

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JUSTIN ODELL LANGFORD,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.


No. 75825

JUSTIN ODELL LANGFORD,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 76075

FILED

AUG 30 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion asking this court to reconsider its previous order denying his motion to set a hearing in these appeals. Appellant fails to identify any material fact or point of law that this court may have overlooked or misapprehended, and therefore fails to demonstrate that reconsideration is warranted. *See, e.g. McConnell v. State*, 121 Nev. 25, 26, 107 P.3d 1287, 1288 (2005) (moving party bears burden of "demonstrat[ing] that this court overlooked or misapprehended any material points of law or fact"); *see also* NRAP 40. NRS 177.215 provides that the court will set a hearing "pursuant to the rules fixed by the Supreme Court." The Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, drafted by the supreme court, provide that the court may order a case submitted for decision on the briefs without oral argument. NRAP 34(f)(1). Appellant's motion is denied.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Justin Odell Langford
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney

18-33923