

LCC

1200 Prison Rd.

Love Lock, Nv 00000

FILED

JAN 11 2019

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY [Signature]
DEPUTY CLERK

NEVADA SUPREME COURT

JUSTIN ODELL LANGFORD,[©]

Supp. No.: 75825; 76075;
77262

Appellant,

-v-

STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Motion For Decision On
Appeals

COMES NOW, JUSTIN ODELL LANGFORD,[©] Appellant
by and through Justin Odell Langford, Attorney-In-
Fact, Authorized Representative and Sui Juris.
Moves this Honorable Court to grant this Motion
For Decisions On Appeals.

Dated this 5th Day of January, 2019.

By: Justin Odell Langford[©]

without Prejudice / All Rights Reserved @ UCC 1-308 & 1-207

Justin Odell Langford

RECEIVED

JAN 11 2019

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
DEPUTY CLERK

19-01787

Original Copy

ARGUMENT

The Appellant all of the above case numbers in this court. Supp No. 75825 has been in this court since May 5, 2018 and was submitted for decision on September 7, 2018. Supp. No. 75825 is an appeal from an adverse decision on an Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction).

Supp No. 76075 has also been in this court since May 5, 2018 and is an appeal of an Adverse decision on a Motion To Correct an Illegal Sentence, which the Appellant has challenge Due to lack of Jurisdiction by the District Court to Sentence him.

Supp No. 77262 has been in this court since October 22, 2018 and is an appeal of an Adverse decision on a Petition For DNA Marker Analysis, which the state called a Motion For Discovery without a Writ of Habeas filed.

What the appellant is asking this court for is a decision on all of the above Supp. Case numbers. The first two cases are out of District Court Judge Susan H. Johnson's court room, she was removed from criminal cases towards the end of 2018 for ethics violations. The Appellant believes that when this Court reads what he has presented to this court and in both of these denials warrant a reversal

of his conviction. The third case number is from a request to have Evidence collected by detectives from the alleged crime scene tested. But the Appellant asking this court to go collect a DNA sample from his daughter Kaylie Langford and have it tested against all the evidence because he knows the state misrepresented its' evidence and presented false evidence by not comparing his daughters DNA to all evidence.

CONCLUSION

What the appellant is asking for is a Decisions on his appeals when this motion is granted and to have that Decision made within 45 days of this motion being granted. Also the appellant ask if the court is incline to grant all three(3) of his appeals that it just reverse his case with prejudice with immediate release due to the seriousness of all the violations of Constitutional rights.

Dated this 5th Day of January, 2019.

By: Justin Odell Langford ©

without Prejudice/All Rights Reserved @UCC 1-308 & 1-207

Justin Odell Langford