

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

YOAV EGOSI,

Appellant,

vs.

PATRICIA EGOSI, N/K/A PATRICIA  
LEE WOODS,

Respondent.

No. 76144

**FILED**

SEP 13 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER REGARDING MOTION AND TO SHOW CAUSE*

On August 2, 2018, this court entered a second order directing appellant to file a corrected case appeal statement and docketing statement within 10 days. Appellant has now filed an untimely motion for an extension of time to file the documents, and also an amended notice of appeal and the transcript request form. Under the circumstances, we grant appellant's motion as follows. Appellant shall have 15 days from the date of this order to file and serve the case appeal statement, docketing statement, and transcript request form. NRAP 3(f), NRAP 9(a), NRAP 14. This court is unable to extend the time to file a notice of appeal except as provided in NRAP 4(c). *See* NRAP 26(b)(1)(A). Failure to file the documents may result in the imposition of sanctions, including dismissal of this appeal. *See* NRAP 3(f), NRAP 9(a)(7), NRAP 14(c).

Appellant informs this court that the notice of appeal currently on file is premature because the final written orders resolving the challenged district court decisions have not been entered. However, our preliminary review of the docketing statement and the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(g) reveals an additional potential jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the judgment or order designated in the notice of appeal is not substantively appealable. *See* NRAP 3A(b). The notice of appeal states the appeal is from "the district

court's May 29, 2018, order denying his [sic] motion to reconsider." This court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. *Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels*, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984). An order denying a motion for reconsideration is not independently appealable; the appeal must be taken from the final judgment. *See Arnold v. Kip*, 123 Nev. 410, 417, 168 P.3d 1050, 1054 (2007).

Accordingly, appellant shall have 30 days from the date of this order within which to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. In responding to this order, appellant should submit points and authorities and documentation that establishes this court's jurisdiction, such as a final written appealable order. We caution appellant that failure to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in this court's dismissal of this appeal. The briefing schedule in this appeal shall be suspended pending further order of this court. Respondent may file any reply within 11 days from the date that appellant's response is served.

It is so ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.

cc: Alex B. Ghibaud, PC.  
Blackmon Law Group