ALEX B. GHIBAUDO, PC 703 S. 8" STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89101 (702) 978-7090(T) (702) 924-6553 (F) WWW. GLAWVEGAS. COM

INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Date Filed	Document Description	Location
08.13.2008	Prenuptial Agreement	Volume: 1 Bates: 1-14
07.14.2017	Transcripts: Evidentiary Hearing – 06.13.2017 (Re: Prenuptial Agreement)	Volumes: 1-3 Bates: 14-193
07.14.2017	Transcripts: Evidentiary Hearing – 06.14.2017 (Re: Prenuptial Agreement)	Volumes: 3-6 Bates: 194-405
09.04.2018	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order (Re: Prenuptial Agreement)	Volume: 6 Bates: 406-416
09.20.2017	Order from 09.08.2017 Evidentiary Hearing (Re: Sole Legal and Sole Physical Custody)	Volume: 6 Bates: 417-418
02.07.2019	Transcripts: Evidentiary Hearing – 08.31.2018 (Re: Relocation)	Volumes: 6-10 Bates: 419-730
09.07.2018	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order (Re: Relocation)	Volume: 10 Bates: 731-746

that you met with her to review the prenuptial agreement, did

24

1 you? 2 A He went there with me to read the prenup. He knows 3 then. Well, you didn't say that in your deposition. So 4 you're saying he was present when it was read to you? 5 We went to her house for this -- for -- to 6 read the prenup. 7 8 0 Really? 9 He knows that. He --A Who was present for that meeting to read the prenup? 10 11 A In the house? 12 0 Yes, ma'am. 13 Me, him, his -- her boyfriend, her. A 14 Okay. And why did you meet with her alone in her 0 15 bedroom? 16 It was her thing to do. I don't know. We have to 17 ask --18 You asked to meet with her. 0 19 A We --20 You asked to go over the agreement with her, didn't 21 you? 22 Huh? A 23 You asked her to review the document, didn't you? 24 A I ask her.

1	Q Okay. You knew that she was a lawyer?
2	A Yeah, because it was his friend. He told me.
3	That's why we went there.
4	Q And you asked her what her opinion was about the
5	agreement and what it said?
6	A She said not to sign.
7	Q I know. Appreciate that. Thank you. Now, she got
8	the agreement because you emailed it to her; isn't that right?
9	A No, sir.
10	MR. JIMMERSON: All right. Now
11	(COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY)
12	MR. JIMMERSON: What Exhibit number is it?
13	MS. BREWER: Triple it's Double Z.
14	MR. JIMMERSON: May I have Double Z, please? ZZ.
15	BY MR. JIMMERSON:
16	Q You had a child from a prior relationship, ma'am?
17	A Prior relationship, yes.
18	Q Who is that child?
19	A Nedson Acosta (ph).
20	Q And what is his date of birth?
21	A June 6, '99.
22	Q And when you and David excuse me, when you and
23	Joe had this first agreement downloaded that you discussed and
24	you had Ms. Goodman review for you, it referenced Nedson as

1	your son,	didn't it?
2	A	Who who fill out the document was my husband, so
3		
4	Q	You
5	A	whatever is there; I don't know why he did it.
6	Q	So you deny being present with him when it was
7	downloade	d?
8	A	Exactly.
9	Q	I okay. And but you got a hold of the
10	document,	right?
11	A	When I talk to Bea and when I sign.
12	Q	So how did you get physical control
13		MR. JIMMERSON: And we're going to mark this
14	exhibit,	Judge, Exhibit ZZ, the July the Ja June 24
15	agreement	
16	BY MR. JIN	MMERSON:
17	Q	You recognize that document, don't you, ma'am?
18	A	Yes, the prenup again.
19	Q	Good. Did you ever tell your lawyer that you had
20	negoti	that shown that document to a lawyer?
21	A	Of course no. Once again, she was not my lawyer.
22	She was my	husband friend.
23	Q	Did you ever tell your lawyer that you signed
24	that th	at you had that document in a meeting with Me

1	Goodman?
2	A I don't have a lawyer.
3	Q Would you now answer my question for the third time
4	Did you tell your lawyer that you had taken that document
5	A I told
6	Q and discussed it with Ms. Goodman?
7	MS. McFARLING: Objection. Calls for
8	attorney-client privilege.
9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	BY MR. JIMMERSON:
11	Q Do you recognize this document?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What is it?
14	A This is a prenup agreement.
15	Q And that was the document that you discussed with
16	Attorney Batya Goodman, correct?
17	A It's the document that she read.
.8	MR. JIMMERSON: Move to admit Exhibit ZZ, Your
9	Honor.
0.0	MS. MENTZEL: I'll object as to authenticity. I
1	want the client to actually look at the document. I don't
2	know if she's aware that it's not the same document that she
3	signed.
4	THE WITNESS: Oh okay

1	MS. MENTZEL: If she would actually look through to
2	see what document it is
3	THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah. Yeah, it's not the same.
4	What is this?
5	BY MR. JIMMERSON:
6	Q Well, if you picked up your lawyer's objection,
7	well, my question to you is have you said you have seen
8	that document before?
9	A Oh, okay. You did not stop; look the other pages,
10	right?
11	Q You're right, but it's something you had now for
12	four or five days to look at. It says it was discovered by
13	Mr. Egosi.
14	A If I saw this document before?
15	Q Yes.
16	A I don't think so, sir.
17	Q What document did you show Ms. Goodman, the lawyer?
18	A It's funny you ask me that, because I still don't
19	know if it's the document that I gave to Bea was the do the
20	the same document that I sign. You know, so
21	Q I can assure you that it wasn't.
22	MR. JIMMERSON: Judge, I'll give this to my client.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	BY MR. JIMMERSON:

which read anyway. There's so many documents. I cannot see 1 2 what --3 Continuing then. On the August 13, you said that you -- you said -- I just want to understand your testimony on 4 5 the document you signed. I was in the room, but I didn't download it. That's what I understand. Is that what your 6 7 testimony is now today? I don't remember if I was in the room. Now if he --8 I was in the room, maybe. I -- I'm not sure. It's a long 10 time ago. 11 You signed the document voluntarily, didn't you? 12 Yeah, I took the pen and I sign. 13 0 And you signed it --14 Yeah, nobody hold my hand. A And you signed it knowingly, didn't you? You had a 15 0 full opportunity to read the document, right? 16 A Once again, I was not fluent in English. I did not 17 18 read any document. You know. 19 MR. JIMMERSON: Move to strike the answer. 20 BY MR. JIMMERSON: Q What is your testimony about this? Did you read the 21 document you signed on August 13th or didn't you? 22 No, I -- I look at the pages, but I -- I was not 23 A 24 able to read.

1	Q So you didn't read anything? Is that your
2	testimony?
3	A I looked at the page, but I was not able to read
4	once again.
5	Q Did you know that the document has you affirmatively
6	promising or representing to Joe that you read the document?
7	A What is formally what I don't
8	Q You are promising or representing to Joe that I read
9	the document. The document says that the parties acknowledge
10	that they have read this document.
11	A I never read this document.
12	Q Even today?
13	A Somebody
14	Q Even today?
15	A Somebody ah, right. Today I read this document
16	when
17	Q When did you read it for the first time?
18	A Well after he he drove me in the streets.
19	Q So when I asked at the deposition two weeks ago had
20	you read the document before, you answered no. Have you read
21	it since May 30th to the present day?
22	A You sorry, sir. Like here today I mind is not
23	so good. Sometimes you answer things that I don't understand
4	and automatic I answer without pay attention what you saying.

1	Q	Now listen to my question.
2	A	Okay.
3	Q	Have you read this document in the last two weeks?
4	A	Yeah.
5	Q	Okay. And you had not read it, according to your
6	testimon	y, before that.
7	A	Before? Before two weeks before?
8	Q	Had you read
9		MS. McFARLING: Objection. Misstates her her
10	deposition	on testimony.
11		THE WITNESS: I I don't understand the questions.
12		THE COURT: Overruled.
13	BY MR. J	IMMERSON:
14	Q	Did you
15	A	I I don't understand what you try to ask me.
16	Q	Have you ever read that document?
17	A	I read this document, yeah.
18	Ω	When was the first time you read it?
19	A	After he drove in the streets.
20	Q	So what date was that?
21	A	I don't remember.
22	Q	Is the date you're referring to the day that the
23	police ga	eve you a choice of going to jail or going back to
24		on June on August 19?

1	A Can you repeat again, sir, please?
2	Q Did you know that when you did read it here the last
3	whenever it was that it says you signed the document
4	voluntarily, freely?
5	A Sorry, but I still don't understand the question.
6	Q Why didn't you use the Google Translator that you
7	said that you had in your purse?
8	A Huh?
9	Q Why didn't you use your Google Translator to
10	understand the agreement from 2008?
11	A Because it was on paper. I cannot use Google
12	Translate on paper.
13	Q Well you can't you can't type in the words?
14	A And before I before I copy and paste
15	Q You don't know how to type?
16	A I never thought about that.
17	Q All right. Following August 13, you signed the
18	document and then you moved to Atlanta, correct?
19	A Can you please say again?
20	Q Yeah, after you signed the agreement on August 13 of
21	2008, you and Joe moved to Atlanta; is that right?
22	A No, we was already in Atlanta.
3	Q And now, I've asked you this before, but I don't
4	remember your answer. When did you claim when do you claim

1	you moved	to Atlanta?
2	A	I don't remember which month.
3		MS. McFARLING: Objection. Asked and answered.
4		MR. JIMMERSON: I agree, I I wanted to I
5	wanted to	confirm their answers I don't have.
6	BY MR. JI	MMERSON:
7	Q	Okay. Now, you and Joe decided to get married in
8	September	of two thou of 2008, correct?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And you got married at Fulton County Courthouse,
11	correct?	
12	A	Uh-huh (affirmative).
13	Q	On September 26th of 2007, correct?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Remember you told me September 28?
16	A	2007 or 2008?
17	Q	2008, June September 28, 2008.
18	A	Yeah.
19	Ω	Okay. Do you remember in your deposition you told
20	me you got	married on September 28th of 2008?
21	A	Yeah, I I still haven't forgotten it was that.
22	Q	All right. Now were there other couples present
23	getting ma	rried at the same time?
24	A	There was a lot.

1	Q Your son, Ben, was born on January 14th of 2014?
2	A Exactly.
3	Q Okay. And you've lived separate and apart from your
4	husband since mid August of 2016; is that
5	A Excuse me?
6	Q And you've lived separate and apart away from Joe
7	since the middle of August 2016; is that right?
8	A Yeah.
9	MR. JIMMERSON: At this time I have no further
10	questions.
11	THE COURT: All right. Let's let's take a break,
12	an an afternoon break. Ten minutes and then we'll resume.
13	You may you may step down to take a
14	THE WITNESS: Is it all right?
15	THE COURT: Yeah, you may step down.
16	THE WITNESS: Okay.
17	(COURT RECESSED AT 14:54 AND RESUMED AT 15:04)
18	THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record in
19	the Egosi matter. Ms. McFarling, did you desire to question
20	your client at this time?
21	MR. JIMMERSON: Judge, I'd like to ask for seven or
22	eight more minutes to examine about 10 questions.
23	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's have the
24	Plaintiff if you'd take the stand again, ma'am.

1	THE WITNESS: Okay.
2	THE COURT: I remind you you're still under oath.
3	You're still under oath. Okay. Mr. Jimmerson.
4	BY MR. JIMMERSON:
5	Q Mrs. Egosi, I I just forgot to ask a few
6	questions. You knew what a prenuptial agreement was before
7	you signed this document, did you?
8	A My husband explain to me.
9	Q And you knew what it was in Brazilian; isn't that
10	true?
11	A Yeah, I hear about the prenup agreements for rich
12	people.
13	Q And you remember telling me what you understood what
14	a prenuptial agreement is.
15	A Yeah, I remember.
16	Q And you knew it before you signed the agreement. Do
17	you remember telling me that?
18	A Before I sign the agreements?
19	Q Before you signed
20	A Yeah, before I signed the agreement.
21	Q So tell the Court what your understanding of a
22	prenuptial is.
23	A As my husband said, the prenup agreement was a
4	document that's supposed to protect our assets, things that we

had before we married. 1 And the word in Brazilian is pre nupcial? 2 3 Pre nupcial. Thank you. And just to clarify, Ms. Goodman, the 4 lawyer you met with at -- in her bedroom, tried to explain the 5 prenuptial agreement to you, correct? 7 No, she did -- she -- she did not try to explain the prenup agreement to me what it was. She tried to explain some 8 stuff. And she even told me that after I have a baby, this is going to be like cancelled is what she tried to tell me. 10 MR. JIMMERSON: All right. I'd like to publish the 11 deposition of Ms. Egosi on page 74. 12 13 MS. McFARLING: No objection. 14 MR. JIMMERSON: Thank you. BY MR. JIMMERSON: 15 And read lines 15 to 18, please. Would you just 16 read starting with this how did; and then your answer. Just 17 read it private -- quietly to yourself please. 18 19 Yeah, I'm about to --Question. How did it come to be that you went to 20 her home? Answer. Like she tried -- she tried to explain to 21 me about this prenup, end of quote. And you knew that Joe had 22 listed his assets on the prenuptial agreement, correct? 23 24 Uh-huh (affirmative). A

lawsuit. Do you recall those words?

24

1	A No, for sure not.
2	Q For sure not.
3	MR. JIMMERSON: Thank you now, Your Honor. That
4	does complete my questions.
5	THE COURT: Any questions, Counsel?
6	MS. McFARLING: I do have a few, although I reserve
7	my right to recall her
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MS. McFARLING: in my case in chief. I just have
10	a few on cross or follow up of what was asked just now.
11	CROSS EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. McFARLING:
13	Q You testified earlier about when you worked for
14	Joe's business between January 2007 and August 2008 prior to
15	signing the premarital agreement that you had access to the
16	back room at the business. Do you recall that?
17	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
18	Q Did you ever go through Joe's financial records or
19	business documents in order to analyze his financial status
20	during that time?
21	A No, and I I did have access to access to his
22	computer, his dad computer, or his mother computer.
23	Q The anything that you did have access to, did you
4	ever analyze it, review it to see what his financial cituation

1	wasr	
2	A	I remember they have like in kind of those things
3	for me to	analyze. I don't think I saw anything to be
4	analyzed	over there at the time.
5	Q	You testified earlier that prior to meeting Joe,
6	there wer	e times you made \$50,000 a month, correct?
7	A	Excuse me? Say again?
8	Q	Prior to meeting Joe, there were times that you
9	made	
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	from your income 50,000 a month?
12	A	Yeah.
13	Q	Did you give up that income for Joe? Can you please
14	answer ve	rbally?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	You testified earlier about a contract that you are
17	now aware	of between or potential contract between a Marco
18	Guligan an	nd Joe. When was the first time you ever physically
19	saw that	contract?
20	A	Was in 2017. I don't remember which month.
21	Q	And how did you obtain a copy of that contract in
22	2017?	
23	A	Somebody faxed to your office.
24	Q	Did you request that somebody

1	A Yes.
2	Q send it to me? And did you request that Marco
3	Guligan send it to me?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And when was the first time that you were aware of
6	any dollar amounts or numbers contained in that contract that
7	you first saw in 2017 after Marco Guligan sent it to my
8	office?
9	A After you guys I I call you guys office and
10	you guys sent to me via email a copy. And I read and it was
11	actually, it was a version in English, another version in
12	Portuguese. I read the one in Portuguese and I saw they had
13	like an amount of money and some percentage. Since I'm not
14	good at mathematic, I call a friend and ask how much should be
15	the total of his business. And the person told me how much
16	was.
17	Q And when that person did some math after looking at
18	the contract that you received in 2017, had you been aware of
19	the numbers or finances prior to that?
20	A Sorry, can you please repeat?
21	Q Were you ever aware of the the numbers or dollar
22	amounts associated with that contract prior to receiving it in
23	2017?

24

No.

And at the time that Joe was negot -- negotiating

1

24

man lo -- lost the deposit because the man stepped out for --

be like his investor. You know, since the man like stepped 1 | out of the business, he lost the deposit. 3 So in -- in 2007, when I got the contract when I figured out that was not that way. Joe run away from Brazil 4 5 because he's supposed to pay this man one year later and he never pay. Actually, every time we went to Brazil, I cannot 6 7 -- I was not able to tell my family what time I -- I arrive --8 MR. JIMMERSON: Objection, Your Honor. 9 A -- what time I leave. MR. JIMMERSON: This is far beyond the question that 10 11 was asked. 12 THE COURT: Sustained. 13 MR. JIMMERSON: She's volunteering testimony. THE COURT: It be -- it's becoming a narrative. 14 15 BY MS. McFARLING: 16 Did Joe inform you of situations concerning Marco Guligan dur -- at times during your marriage after the 17 situation when he was negotiating this contract? 18 19 A I don't understand the question. Can you please 20 repeat? 21 Were there -- when -- when you went to Brazil with Joe after you were married, did you ever have any contact with 22 23 Marco Guligan?

24

A

No.

1 MS. McFARLING: Yeah. 2 MS. MENTZEL: -- right here. 3 MR. JIMMERSON: I got it. 4 MS. McFARLING: Would you prefer I use the one in 5 your exhibit book or do you care? 6 MR. JIMMERSON: I don't care. 7 MS. McFARLING: Oh, look at that. Okay. Perfect. 8 MR. JIMMERSON: I should have waited 15 minutes, Judge, to hand that to her. BY MS. McFARLING: 10 11 Q Can you please turn to page 89 of your deposition 12 transcript? 13 A Yeah. 14 Early -- earlier Mr. Jimmerson said that you had 15 said at your deposition that you had met with Ms. Goodwin for 45 minutes to an hour. Do you recall him asking you that? Do 16 you recall? Do you recall him asking about your testimony 17 from the deposition? 18 19 A I don't remember. Okay. If you could look at page 89 of this 20 21 deposition, line 4, he asks, so what did -- how long did the meeting last with her at her home. And what was your answer 22 23 to that? 24 A A few minutes.

1	MR. JIMMERSON: Would you read the next line please
2	Say the the effort the next question, next answer.
3	BY MS. McFARLING:
4	Q His next question. Is that an hour? How long is
5	that? What was your answer?
6	A A few minutes.
7	MR. JIMMERSON: You said I don't remember. A few
8	minutes is the right answer.
9	BY MS. McFARLING:
10	Q So twice you said a few minutes
11	A Yeah.
12	Q in your deposition?
13	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
14	Q Can you turn to page 74 of your deposition?
15	A Yeah.
16	Q Okay. So page sorry, page 74, line 13. Your
17	the question is all right, and so how did it come to be. Joe
18	says why don't you talk to Bea, she knows about these types of
.9	things. How did it come to be that you went to her home?
0.0	What was your answer?
1	A Like she tried she tried to explain to me about
2	this prenup.
3	Q When when you said like she tired she tried to
4	explain to me about this prenup, are you agreeing with the

question that Joe -- Joe says why don't you talk to Bea, she 1 2 knows about these types of things? 3 MR. JIMMERSON: Object to the form of the question, Judge. I impeached her through her testimony where this 4 lawyer did try to explain to her about the prenup. Now, 5 trying to rehabilitate those fashions are inappropriate 6 7 because the words say what they say. 8 THE COURT: Well the transcript does say what it -what it says. So I --MS. McFARLING: Correct. 10 11 THE COURT: -- certainly can read that, but the 12 objection's overruled. Counsel may inquire. 13 MS. McFARLING: There was an -- there was an 14 implication that she had not -- that she -- how -- how it came to be that -- that Patricia went to her home. 15 16 MR. JIMMERSON: I'm just -- object -- that's 17 argument. That's for --18 THE COURT: Yeah. 19 MR. JIMMERSON: -- conclusion of the case. 20 THE COURT: Yeah, I mean the doc -- the transcript 21 speaks for itself. 22 BY MS. McFARLING: 23 Right. So do you -- do you agree with that question that was asked by Jimmerson? Joe says why don't you talk to 24

1 Bea, she knows about these types of things 2 Yeah, he bring up her name because was his friend. 3 How -- had you ever met Bea before that day? 4 A Yes. 5 And how did you know Bea? 6 When I met Joe, there was over at -- Joe's friend 7 and Joe introduce me to them. And then we party here and 8 there together. All the crazy parties from Joe Egosi. 9 And whose -- whose idea was it that you meet with Bea about --10 11 A His -- his idea. 12 His who? 0 13 A Joe's idea. 14 Did -- when you -- when you talked with Bea in her 15 bedroom about this premarital agreement, did you believe her 16 to be a lawyer representing you in negotiating this prenup 17 with Joe? 18 A For sure not. First of all, she was not lawyer this 19 time. She was kind of assistant. And --20 MR. JIMMERSON: Excuse me, Your Honor. She testified on direct that she was a lawyer. Okay. And I can 21 22 take judicial notice of NRS 47 matters of fact that this woman is barred in Florida and in New York. And she's now going to 23

start prevaricating and say she wasn't a lawyer. And -- and

this is what is going on here. I just want to call to the Court's attention. 3 (COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY) 4 THE WITNESS: Excuse me? Excuse me? 5 MS. McFARLING: There's no question pending. I 6 don't think there's been any evidence presented that this 7 woman was a lawyer at that time. 8 MR. JIMMERSON: Court can take judicial notice the 9 same. If you're going to have her deny that she's a lawyer, 10 then I will produce her bar information from the -- from this 11 online --12 THE COURT: Is that the --13 MS. McFARLING: From the state of Georgia? 14 THE COURT: Is that the Plaintiff's offer? The --15 MS. MENTZEL: From the state of Georgia. 16 MS. McFARLING: My offer of proof is that there are 17 zero records that this woman is a lawyer in the state of 18 Georgia. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. JIMMERSON: I didn't say Georgia. She's a 21 lawyer -- Florida and New York. I never said Georgia. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. JIMMERSON: The meeting though took place in 24 Florida.

1 THE COURT: And it's my understanding that whoever 2 this was and whether she was a friend or not advised the Plaintiff not to sign it. 3 4 MR. JIMMERSON: Correct. BY MS. McFARLING: 5 6 Q You -- you stated earlier that Bea had advised you that if you had a baby, that the premarital agreement would be 7 8 void. Did she explain that to you? 9 A No, she just told me that like not to sign. And 10 then she told me but you know what, it's like once you have a baby, this is going to be cancelled. Something like that. I 11 12 don't remember exactly the word how she said. MR. JIMMERSON: Oh, my God. I know it's hearsay. 13 I'm not moving to strike because I wanted to hear what the 14 15 lawyer said too. This is now a whole new story. This is 16 completely --17 MS. McFARLING: It's not new actually. We've said 18 it --19 THE COURT: All right. Well --20 MS. McFARLING: -- all along. I believe the very 21 first motion filed said it. 22 MR. JIMMERSON: Oh boy. 23 BY MS. McFARLING: 24 Q At the time that you met with Bea in her bedroom

1 where she read the premarital agreement, did you know her full name? 2 3 A No. 4 And at that time, did you believe her to be a 5 lawver? On page 74, I say like some assistant, something 6 A 7 like that. 8 And -- and do you believe your deposition answer to be consistent as to your knowledge of this woman's professional status at the time you met with her? 10 11 MR. JIMMERSON: Objection, Judge. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 MR. JIMMERSON: That's --14 THE COURT: What -- what is the evidentiary 15 objection? 16 MR. JIMMERSON: It's an improper question as to what she believes her testimony is. That's like self serving, I 17 could say anything I want to say about my earlier testimony. 18 19 THE COURT: Well -- well --20 MR. JIMMERSON: And her testimony today that says 21 she was a lawyer. 22 THE COURT: Yeah, the record speaks for itself. The -- the objection's overruled. There's not a basis for the 23 Court to -- that becomes an issue of credibility. 24

1	BY MS. McFARLING:	
2	Q At the time that you signed the premarital	
3	agreement, did you know the value of Joe's business?	
4	A No.	
5	Q Do you know that value today?	
6	A I still don't know.	
7	MS. McFARLING: That's all my questions at at	
8	this point in time, but I do plan to recall her.	
9	THE COURT: Any redirect?	
10	MR. JIMMERSON: Yes, three questions.	
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
12	BY MR. JIMMERSON:	
13	Q The prenuptial agreement is 13 pages long, correct	:?
14	A I don't know. I'm not sure.	
15	Q Okay. How long would it did it take Ms. Goodma	an
16	to read that 13 page document in her bedroom?	
17	A I don't remember how long	
18	Q Thank you.	
19	A but it was few minutes.	
20	Q And do you recall that you testified that you neve	r
21	asked Joe the value of the business?	
22	A Excuse me?	
23	Q You never asked Joe the value of the business he	
24	listed, did you?	

1	A No, I never ask.
2	Q Okay. You never asked the value of anything, did
3	you?
4	A No.
5	Q But you had lived with him, you had worked for him,
6	you have driven in his car, you had lived in his condo.
7	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
8	Q Okay. And you had worked at his business?
9	A Excuse me?
10	Q And you had worked at his business?
11	A Yes.
12	MR. JIMMERSON: Thank you. Nothing further.
13	THE COURT: All right. You may step down.
14	(COUNSEL AND CLIENT CONFER BRIEFLY)
15	MR. JIMMERSON: I do call Sarah Woelz to the witness
16	stand please. I'll go get her when she's ready.
17	MS. MENTZEL: Patricia, come here.
18	(WITNESS SUMMONED)
19	MR. JIMMERSON: Ms. Brewer's going to conduct the
20	direct, Your Honor. If I could ask for minutes. May I leave
21	for just one minute please? May I leave the
22	THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine.
23	MR. JIMMERSON: I'll be back in one minute. Go
24	right ahead and take the wi sit right here, ma'am.

1 MS. McFARLING: And I -- I'm going to be lodging an 2 objection. I don't know if I'm going to have to wait until 3 Mr. Jimmerson returns. 4 THE COURT: You're -- you're wan --5 MS. McFARLING: I'm going to be objecting to this 6 witness. 7 THE COURT: Oh, okay. Well plea -- please raise 8 your right hand to be sworn. 9 THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you're about to give in this action shall be the truth, the 10 11 whole truth, and noting but the truth, so help you God? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 THE CLERK: Please state and spell your name for the record. You can be seated. 14 15 THE WITNESS: My name is Sarah Woelz. Sarah, S-a-r-a-h. And the last name W-o-e-l like lion, Z like zebra. 16 17 MS. McFARLING: And I object to this witness. She was disclosed for the first time on June 2nd, 2017. We don't 18 have any information about what she's going to testify or what 19 we need to prepare for her testimony due to the disclosure 20 21 just --22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MS. McFARLING: -- the Friday a week before last. 24 MS. BREWER: The disclosure included that she would

1 testify regarding Patricia's ability to comprehend and 2 understand English. 3 THE COURT: Okav. MS. BREWER: And that was included in the 4 5 disclosure. And we were not sure or aware of the existence of these parties because it's been so long ago since there was contact. So it wasn't exactly easy to get a hold of people 7 8 after 10 years of --9 THE COURT: Okay. But will you acknowledge the --10 the disclosure didn't happen until just recently. 11 MS. BREWER: We acknowledge. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So from a timeliness --13 timeliness standpoint, it creates an issue --14 MS. BREWER: And we --15 THE COURT: -- for you. 16 MS. BREWER: -- were disclosing pursuant to 16.2 as quickly as possible when items became available. As you know, 17 this case has been extremely fluid. And with the discovery of 18 information, more information is flowing when we -- as the 19 20 story is shifting and changing. 21 THE COURT: Well, here's what I'm inclined to do. 22 I'm inclined at least at this point not to allow the witness 23 to testify. To the extent I feel like that testimony may be

warranted or necessary at the conclusion, I can remedy the

24

1 situation to the extent that we need additional time in the 2 future. 3 MS. BREWER: Can we -- she has to fly out tonight. Can we -- you could -- we can take the testimony now, you can 4 decide whether to give it weight and credibility at the end of 6 the trial. 7 THE COURT: Well --8 MS. BREWER: It'll be less than 10 minutes. 9 THE COURT: Well no, I -- I get that, but -- and --10 and --11 MS. BREWER: It's very quick. 12 THE COURT: It -- it's -- it's --13 MS. BREWER: And it's --14 THE COURT: -- not for my purpose, it's that --15 MS. BREWER: It's not as if Ms. Egosi doesn't know this woman. Ms. Egosi has known this woman for over 10 years 16 and saw her frequently. 17 18 THE COURT: And I understand that. And -- and you made the offer of proof that this witness is going to testify 19 20 about the Plaintiff's proficiency --21 MS. BREWER: In English. 22 THE COURT: -- in the English language. 23 MS. BREWER: That is correct. THE COURT: With that noted, I treat that as an 24

offer of proof. I'm still not inclined because I think it is 1 more prejudicial to the Plaintiff not having adequate time to prepare for a witness that was just recently designated. 3 MS. BREWER: And would -- I think that the Plaintiff 4 would not have taken her deposition even if it was a week bef 5 -- further back than that or even four weeks further back. I 6 don't believe there's any prejudice to the Plaintiff at all 7 because she knows who this person is. And she -- and the hearing on May 17 said I don't have any money to do anything. And she wouldn't have done any investigation anyway. 10 11 THE COURT: Well --12 MS. McFARLING: I did get the court ordered fees 13 during that time period. So --14 MS. BREWER: And -- and they had already --15 THE COURT: So --16 MS. BREWER: -- spent the amount. 17 MS. McFARLING: That changed --18 THE COURT: Right. 19 MS. McFARLING: -- that status in my ability to --20 THE COURT: She did a --21 MS. McFARLING: -- financially prepare change. MS. BREWER: Let -- let's quer -- let's query. Did 22 -- they had 11 days. Did they call Ms. Sarah -- Ms. Woelz? 23

24

No.

```
MS. McFARLING: It's not 11 days. It was
  1
  2
     actually --
              MS. BREWER: They didn't call.
  3
  4
              MS. McFARLING: -- six -- six working days.
  5
              THE COURT: Six judicial days.
  6
              MS. McFARLING: Six business days.
 7
              MS. BREWER: That's Jul -- it was --
              MS. McFARLING: And -- and I might also say that --
 8
    that these -- this disclosure of this witness came with -- I
    don't know if it five -- four, five, six, banker's boxes full
10
    of disclosures we had -- we saw for the first time as well.
11
12
    There was --
              MS. BREWER: And all paperwork was very organized.
13
              MS. McFARLING: At the end -- and it was at the end
14
    of the day I believe. I was in trial at the time but --
15
              MS. MENTZEL: A holiday as well in there.
16
              THE COURT: Well, again, from a timing standpoint,
17
    I'm just not inclined to entertain the testimony at this time.
18
    It's just too close. The designation's too close in time. So
19
20
    I -- I understand the offer of proof to the extent I -- I
   believe it's -- it's warranted or necessary.
21
22
             MS. BREWER: And it's relevant.
23
             THE COURT: That's something I'm concerned with.
24
   Right --
```

1 MS. BREWER: And it's highly --2 THE COURT: And -- and that's something I can 3 certainly deal with -- deal with to the extent I feel it's necessary, but I don't -- given the timing, I'm just not 4 inclined to have it move forward. 5 6 MS. BREWER: Given the timing of the disclosure on 7 June 2nd, he's not inclined to allow the testimony. 8 THE COURT: To my understanding, it was six judicial days ago that the disclosure was made. 10 MR. JIMMERSON: That's right. THE COURT: So I -- I'm just not inclined. The 11 offer of proof -- the offer of proof is that this witness will 12 -- will testify about the proficiency of the Plaintiff in the 13 English language. And that's what we've been discussing 14 15 and --16 MR. JIMMERSON: Judge, there was no cut -- discovery cutoff, there was no witness cutoff. Okay. And June 2 was 17 ahead of the list of witnesses that the Plaintiffs provided to 18 19 us. 20 THE COURT: Well, I'm certainly on the same --MR. JIMMERSON: So if we're to have that, we're 21 22 going to have a massacre on both sides. THE COURT: Yeah, I understand that. I mean, what's 23

-- what's good for one is good for the other.

24

1	MR. JIMMERSON: How about okay. Listen, you're a
2	great judge. I want you to get to the merits. And when you
3	exclude witnesses, you don't get to the merits sometimes.
4	That's all I'm saying.
5	THE COURT: Well, and and I can
6	MR. JIMMERSON: Especially when there's no
7	prohibition there's no rule that precludes it. This is an
8	arbitrary choice by you that six days
9	THE COURT: Right.
10	MR. JIMMERSON: is too short a time.
11	THE COURT: Right.
12	MR. JIMMERSON: Respectfully, I disagree with it.
13	That's not for me to disagree
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. JIMMERSON: with a judge.
16	THE COURT: All right. You may step down. Thank
17	you.
18	(WITNESS EXCUSED)
19	MR. JIMMERSON: Thank you. I do call Joe Egosi to
20	the stand please.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. JIMMERSON: Thank you, sir.
23	(WITNESS SUMMONED)
24	MR. EGOSI: Thank you, sir. Appreciate it. May she

1 be released so she can listen or sit in this room? 2 THE COURT: That's fine. I --3 THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you're about to give in this action shall be the truth, the 4 whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God? 5 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 7 THE CLERK: State your name for the record and you 8 can be seated. 9 THE WITNESS: My name is Yoav Egosi, spelled Y-o-a-v. Last name E-g-o-s-i. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated. Counsel, 12 you may proceed. 13 MR. JIMMERSON: Thank you. 14 YOAV EGOSI 15 called as a witness on his own behalf, having been first duly 16 sworn, did testify upon his oath as follows on: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. JIMMERSON: 19 Q Mr. Egosi --20 MR. JIMMERSON: May I have Exhibit C? 21 MS. BREWER: Yes. 22 State your name. 23 A Yoav Egosi. 24 And you are the Defendant, the father of Ben?

1	Q Okay. All right. And so when she testified over
2	the last year in this case that she came here in 2005, that
3	was an error?
4	A That was a mistake.
5	Q And she you had bought her a round trip ticket,
6	correct?
7	A Correct, and may I she was supposed to pay it
8	back when she worked at the club that she that I met her
9	in.
10	ACCOUNTS ACC
	2 data da
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q What was the business?
13	A Hawk Communications. And you well known as Joy
14	Phone.
15	Q It did operate by fictitious name?
16	A Yes, sir. Joy Phone.
17	Q And in that time period of 2007, how many employees
18	did you have?
19	A Between 15 and 20.
20	Q Did there come a time when Patricia began working
21	for Joy Phone?
22	A Yes, sir.
23	Q And when was that?
4	A Around February or March of 2007.

D-16-540174-D EGOSI 6/13/2017 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Egosi in the 18 month time period of March of '07 through

23

24

August of '08?

What are daily reports as they relate to Patricia

1	A Okay. The the reports that you're referring to I
2	believe is what she knows as as sign up report. It's
3	signup.pl on on our internal systems. The reason that
4	Patricia will have to look at the sign up report is because
5	she did all the shipping. So in before we ship, she had to
6	look at the daily report to see all new customers that join
7	and how much they paid and make sure that they have 911
8	authorization. Basically they have to acknowledge that E911
9	is different from traditional 911, that's emergency services.
10	So once she confirm that, she will print their bills and she
11	will send them the adapter. Without the adapter, our clients
12	will not be able to use our service.
13	Now, the thing about the sign up report and we
14	can pro we can show the judge so he can visualize what I'm
15	trying to say.
16	MS. McFARLING: Objection. Non-responsive to the
17	question asked.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, sorry.
20	BY MR. JIMMERSON:
21	Q How can have you produced documents that evidence
22	her duties and responsibilities?
23	A Yes, I believe we have.
24	Q What did what did you produce? Have you

delivered to opposing Counsel?

A We -- we produce tickets, emails, different reports.

Q And what do the tickets speak to?

A Tickets is communication -- communication between clients and employees. And it could also be used internally. And the way tickets -- new tickets get generated is any time an email is -- gets sent into the company, they are -- they automatically signed a ticket number. So that way the company could track every request from beginning to end. Also sometimes tickets will fall into the wrong department. For example, if you email support@joyphone.com, it will go into the support department but maybe that customer wants to do upgrade or billing. So that ticket will then be assigned to the billing department, which will fall into Patricia's queue.

Q And tickets are directed specifically to Patricia. Is that right?

A The tickets that go to billing and tickets that go to portability and upgrades go directly to Patricia. Other tickets that go to the general mailbox of support will be assigned by the support staff to billing or basically to Patricia.

Q Okay. Showing what's been marked as proposed MMM. What are these group of documents please?

A These are samples of tickets that work by Patricia.

1 And each -- do you want me to describe this document? 2 I don't want you to read from it, but I want you to describe what it is and then we'll --3 4 A Okay. 5 -- move it into evidence. 6 So the -- the tickets will show when a original ticket was open. And this particular one that I'm looking for 7 was opened by customer sending email. That ticket was 8 assigned to Patricia. And you can see Patricia's name is on this ticket. A customer sent authorization to move their 10 number. And Patricia tells the customer not to cancel the 11 12 existing account and -- and she will advise them on once the port is complete. That's what this ticket talks about. 13 14 0 And are there other pages behind it? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 What are they? Just what they are quickly. 17 A Okay. 18 0 One by one by one. 19 Yes, sir. This particular ticket is a billing A ticket. This -- this particular person has a billing question 20 21 and Patricia answers regarding this. 22 MS. McFARLING: We -- we haven't been provided a copy of this exhibit. 23 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. I can --

1	MS. McFARLING: You gave it you you handed it
2	earlier and then took it back.
3	THE WITNESS: Bates stamp number YEG01
4	MR. JIMMERSON: We absolutely provided it to you,
5	Counsel before you make the representation
6	MS. McFARLING: Not not anything there is
7	something marked as MMM in 20, but this is not it.
8	THE WITNESS: But you can look it up on the folders.
9	It's Bates Stamp YEG010766.
10	MS. BREWER: That's the identical that Mr. Jimmerson
11	has that the clerk has.
12	THE WITNESS: This is just a summary.
13	MS. BREWER: This is identical.
14	MS. McFARLING: My MMM, Counsel, is the transcripts.
15	MS. MENTZEL: Yep.
16	MS. McFARLING: That's what I have as MMM.
17	THE COURT: So this should be NNN. Was that the
18	next one in order?
19	(COURT AND CLERK CONFER BRIEFLY)
20	THE COURT: It well, is should be what is the
21	last exhibit.
22	MS. McFARLING: This is my MMM.
23	MR. JIMMERSON: And it's it will be after that,
24	ma'am.

to how far it was created. Simple fact is we just were 1 2 provided these documents. We have no proof of how they were done, of where they came about, or even if Patricia has 3 actually been the one to draft these documents. 5 THE COURT: Would you lay more of a foundation, Mr. Jimmerson? So I'll sustain that objection. 6 BY MR. JIMMERSON: 7 8 How do -- please tell the Court why you know those are authentic copies of the original documents that exist in your business office for the time period specified? 10 The -- the -- these tickets are still in our system. 11 It's not something that was printed years ago. It's something 12 that is -- always stays on our system. And we have to keep it 13 14 for auditing purposes. 15 Q And you made copies? You supervised this yourself 16 personally? 17 A When we made copies for you? Yes, sir. You didn't want to pay any kind of a -- a pay chart 18 19 and you physically --20 A I physically --21 -- provided five copies? 22 -- printed five copies of 12,000 copies, 60,000 pages and I hand -- and took them to FedEx to get the holed or 23 24 punched for you guys.

1 there that people had access to and from computers. So 2 there's actually no proof that Patricia's the one who drafted these documents. 3 MR. JIMMERSON: There's no testimony of what Ms. 4 Mentzel says to be true. My client hasn't testified anything 5 Ms. Mentzel is using -- a red herring. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I just wa --8 MS. MENTZEL: Well, he testified to the fact that Patricia drafted these documents and it says created by Patricia, but there's no proof that Patricia actually created 10 these documents. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Patricia did not create those 13 documents. She answered an -- she -- questions from customers. And she answered questions from other employees. 14 15 Now, as far as security's --16 MR. JIMMERSON: Those answers are -- examples of those answers are in those documents, right? 17 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And I want to clarify that 19 we are in --20 MS. McFARLING: Objection. There's no question 21 pending. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 BY MR. JIMMERSON: Q Would you please clarify for the Court -- could you 24

respond to opposing Counsel's objection?

A Okay. We are in telecom. Telecom is considered mission critical and considered highly confidential information. We take security very -- very seriously. Every user have to log in with own credentials, with own users. The only way that Patricia's name can be on this ticket is if somebody had her password. And obviously, if -- if you can see, is that all these tickets have her name. And people reply and she replies again and again and again to the same request.

So if somebody answered in her name, when that request comes in, she will see that somebody answered in her name. And I never had a complaint from Patricia or any other employees that people are using somebody else's user name. And the passwords change on random basis but Patricia would be in charge of that password. Not even me will have a password for her ticketing system.

MR. JIMMERSON: Thank you, Judge. Anything else?

THE COURT: Any ob -- any objection to the admission

Exhibit --

MS. MENTZEL: We're going to object. It's my understanding as the owner of the business, he had the ability to create passwords. He knew all of the passwords and he could change all the passwords at any time.

1 THE COURT: As the trier fact, I'm satisfied with 2 the foundation that's been laid and the authet -- authenticity of the document. That would go to the weight of the exhibit. 3 Exhibit Triple N is admitted into the record. 4 5 (DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT NNN ADMITTED) BY MR. JIMMERSON: 6 7 And going through that, is there anything that you would like to bring out to the Court's attention in this 8 sample of documents? 10 I think there's important things to see here. 11 If you could point to it again. 12 Yes, sir. Okay. Some of these samples -- should I 13 continue? Should I continue, sir? 14 0 Please. 15 Okay. The reason we chose a sa -- small sample out 16 of 9500, some of them show that she's done -- she's dealt with -- with billing, something that, from her discussions before 17 18 in motions and in deposition, she refused to agree. So you can look at Bates Stamp YEG008914. And you can see that she's 19 20 doing upgrade and charge the credit card of a customer. 21 That's ticket number 4617 22 0 Okay. 23 I think it's also important to -- to see how Patricia responds directly to the customer and cannot use a

24

Q Please. You can read from it.

A Yes, sir. We received William AR adaptor, but we did not receive any cancellation request. Please call the customer and advise. So this is an example of where Patricia opened a ticket and sent it to support and asked them to advise the customer that we did not receive cancellation request. So she communicated with other employees at the company in English through the ticketing system and also through chat system.

If we look at some of the other example that we looked at -- here's an example. A ticket -- I'm sorry, YEG006708. This is another ticket that Patricia handled. And the customer -- we -- wanted to switch some numbers to us -- port numbers to us. And Patricia replies to him we -- we moved already two numbers, 301 -- 301-725-5665 and so on. For -- for you to submit one more number, 401-418-8422, you must have three lines with us. If you like us to port additional number, 301 blah, blah, blah, I'm sorry -- to the Joy Phone, please add additional line to your service and pay the porting fee for authorize us one time \$20 porting fee and \$1.95

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q And I would say that I wouldn't think she's totally fluent but I think she knows everything --

A Well she have an accent. She have an accent and she always did. And it's something that she probably will always have. When she's nervous, when you were giving her deposition, her English was worse because she was nervous but when she's not nervous, she's very proficient in -- in language. Actually to my knowledge, she speaks seven different languages. So her English when I met her was not as good as when we signed the agreement, but by working at the

company on daily basis, she communicated with all clients, employees, myself, and my parents only in English. So her proficiency in English was very good by the time she signed the agreement.

(COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY)

MR. JIMMERSON: Your Honor, I'd like to move for the admission of Exhibits C and D in mass as opposed to spending the rest of my three hours going over -- I -- I --

THE COURT: Any objection to the admissions of Exhibits C and D?

MS. MENTZEL: Going to object to the authenticity of them. Even when you look at them, I believe there's one set of them. It's either C or D that doesn't have this created by line or anything to that effect either. Then it simply — it'll be from Joy Phone. And it's Billingl, so I don't believe there's any indication that it's actually written by our client.

MR. JIMMERSON: Exhibit C, Your Honor -- I can meet this I think fairly. Exhibit C are the emails to and from Palicia -- Patricia Egosi created while she worked for Joy Phone in 2007, 2008. And D is the tickets that you have here that actually have Patricia Egosi's name created -- where she creates her responses while worked for Joy Phone for the same time period. If you want, I can take it one by one.

1 THE COURT: Well no, listen, Exhibit -- is Exhibit 2 Triple N an extraction from those --3 MS. BREWER: Yes. MR. JIMMERSON: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: So it's --MR. JIMMERSON: A sample. 6 7 THE COURT: -- more or less used as a sa -- sample 8 of what is in --9 MR. JIMMERSON: Right. 10 THE COURT: -- these two exhibits. 11 MR. JIMMERSON: Yes. That's correct. 12 THE COURT: I'm -- I'm hearing that there may be some entries that aren't necessarily directed to or from the 13 14 Plaintiff. 15 MR. JIMMERSON: That's not true. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. MENTZEL: And I'm further going to object to the 18 timing of the disclosures of these exhibits. They were 19 disclosed June 2nd, 2017. I believe this is again giving six 20 business days to go over these documents. I believe Defendant has testified from the beginning that our client could speak 21 22 English. These are his documents ready to -- avail -available to him. He would have been able to disclose these 23 any time versus six business days before trial when we're 24

1 looking at -- I don't know, how many thousands of documents? 2 MR. JIMMERSON: My response is they have their 3 fountain of knowledge right there to know that she is the 4 author of every one. It's a phony objection. He knows they're true. They're kept near him in the course of 5 6 business. It was her job. 7 THE COURT: Okay. So Exhibits C and D are -- are 8 books 1 through 18. 9 MR. JIMMERSON: That's correct. 10 THE COURT: So it's those binders. 11 MR. JIMMERSON: That's right. 12 THE COURT: Here's what I'm going to do. And 13 understanding, coming back tomorrow, I'm going to defer ruling 14 on that. Give the -- the Plaintiff the opportunity to review 15 those in greater detail and determine whether or not there are specific pages that should be excluded from admission. 16 17 BY MR. JIMMERSON: 18 Q All right now. June of 2007 -- 2008, excuse me. I reference (indiscernible) received an email on March 24, 2008 19 20 to an -- to a rabbi. 21 Yes, sir. Yes, sir. 22 Please tell the Court why that is important as it relates to this issue of the prenuptial agreement? 23 24 The -- the email that you're referring to a email

Q All right. And was it at that time that you had decided or you -- you had started talking with Patricia about marriage?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A We discussed it before that obviously but this is when we start taking more serious steps toward eventually getting married.

Q When did you first raise the issue? Or when was the issue -- when was the issue of -- of signing a prenuptial first raised?

A Patric -- when Patricia came to me and -- and discussed getting married, she understood that credibility was not -- was, you know -- she didn't have much credibility even though we were very much in love and I -- I was in love with her. The way that we met and the money that she stole and the stories that kept on changing. The sister that became a girlfriend for 12 years, the -- the money that I was sending

to -- for her sister to keep her son from the street is what she told me at the time. Found out that it was all falsified invoices but I always --

Q And the sister was in fact her live in lover?

A And it was her lover for 12 years. I found these things as time went --

MS. McFARLING: Objection. Goes beyond the -- the question asked. It's non-responsive.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. JIMMERSON:

Q Direct response is how did it come about.

A Patricia, when she came up with idea of marriage said that she will sign a prenuptial agreement with me in order to I believe me -- make me more comfortable with getting married. And part of the discussion -- I'm sorry to interrupt but -- but the -- the way that we discuss marriage, even though we did love each other, was Patricia way of us stop traveling to renew her travel visa. And that's why we married in Fulton County Courthouse. There was no family, there was no friends, it was just to start the paperwork as part of it. And she wanted to prove that she's marrying me for the right reason. And she proposed that we will sign a prenuptial agreement.

Q So in the typical case, I can tell you but my

1 of them was for money. And we finally decided on the -- the 2 prenup at LawDepot. And did you download the -- the document? 3 After we answered some questions on the website, we 4 A 5 had to buy a licence to download. We -- we purchased a one year licence to download the agreement. And we downloaded on 7 -- by that time, it was June 25th, 2008. And by looking at the ticket, it was late at night. 8 It was the -- the night of the 24th had crossed over to the 10 next day, the 25th? 11 A That is correct. 12 I'll show you what's been marked as Exhibit ZZ. I want you identify this document that's been admitted into 13 14 evidence. 15 Yes, sir. This document is the original paid licence of this prenuptial agreement. It's -- anytime you 16 17 download from LawDepot -- should I continue? 18 0 Yes. 19 It's time -- it's date stamped. So the bottom of this document have a date stamp June 25th, 2008. 20 21 Now, I'd liked to show you what's been marked as 22 proposed Exhibit -- excuse me. Now, what question did you 23 answer that is specific to that document that you have in your 24 hand, the June 25th first draft of the prenuptial agreement?

2008.

A Well, the first thing is names. Second is residence, state -- city and state. Then they have a section on children that's -- and Nedson, her son is listed here under children. So we had to fill that out.

Q And how do you know that that is a true and correct copy of the original first draft of June 25 of 2008?

A Well, once I heard the deposition of -- of my wife on -- on May 30th and I found out that a law -- I mean Batya reviewed this document with her, I -- I was surprised. And I went back to LawDepot and I wanted to see if there was -- if they kept track of any -- any of the documents that we might -- must have if, you know -- if Batya reviewed the final document. So when I went to LawDepot, I -- I found out -- I found the user name that I used was my Gmail account. And when I was in Kris -- Kristine Brewer's office, we together logged in. And we logged in to LawDepot, we saw the email, and then I went to Gmail. And -- and we did together search for -- for that LawDepot. And then we found an email for my Gmail that I didn't know about from July 18th to Batya Goodman. Email at work --

Q From 2008?

A Yes, sir. And that email had an attachment to that email that called prenup. And that attachment is the document

1 that we see here. I -- when I was doing my deposition with McFarling, I stated that we had a draft months before we 3 signed the final version. Of course in the motion that 4 Patricia filed, she said the first time she saw that document 5 was on August 13. I knew it was wrong, but I couldn't prove 6 it. 7 MS. McFARLING: Objection. Non-responsive to 8 question asked. 9 THE COURT: It's becoming a narrative. So 10 sustained. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 MR. JIMMERSON: So I first for the admission of 13 Exhibit ZZ. 14 MS. MENTZEL: I'm going to object to the 15 authenticity and the timeliness of that document. We received 16 that document three business days ago. We've just heard testimony that he knew when Patricia first filed her motion 17 18 that there were some other drafts of this document. And we're 19 just receiving now -- three business days ago. We -- we don't have any proof that that's where this document is actually 20 21 from. MR. JIMMERSON: I think Ms. Mentzel has gone away 22 from the case because we never were advised -- you were never 23

advised that there was an early draft of the prenuptial. You

24

1 were told just the opposite. You were told that the only 2 exact document was downloaded on August 13 and signed within 3 an hour or two of it being downloaded at the mortgage company. That's what you were told. 5 MS. MENTZEL: The client just testified two seconds 6 ago --7 MR. JIMMERSON: You're right. 8 MS. MENTZEL: -- that he knew -- he knew of this 9 document previous before he testified to it previously before he testified at his own deposition. And he's --10 11 MR. JIMMERSON: I didn't hear that testimony. 12 MS. MENTZEL: That's what I just heard. 13 MR. JIMMERSON: I didn't hear it. I did not hear 14 it. I did not hear it. 15 MS. MENTZEL: And that he knew about it because of the motion as well that he knew because in our motion, it said 16 that he had not previously received that. He literally said I 17 18 read her motion and she said she had not previously looked at 19 this document and I know I had another version of this 20 document. 21 MR. JIMMERSON: Right. 22 THE COURT: Right. 23 MR. JIMMERSON: Exactly right. 24 MS. MENTZEL: So three business days ago.

1	BY MR. JIMMERSON:
2	Q And how did you know that? How did you know that?
3	A I didn't have this document. I did know that this
4	that we can have this document. I what I said was is
5	that we reviewed a draft of this document months before we
6	signed the prenup. If I knew that we
7	Q And that was something your client your your
8	wife had denied, right?
9	A Something that my wife denied.
10	Q Right.
11	A And I it was my word against hers until we found
12	this document in my Gmail, me and Mc I mean Kristine
13	Brewer's.
1.4	Q Now did you send the document, the Exhibit ZZ, to
15	Batya Goodman?
16	A No, I have not.
.7	Q But we know that Batya Goodman had the document.
.8	A On July 18th of 2008.
9	Q And you have the email that sent it to her?
0	A It's still in the Gmail account.
1	Q And your wife knew your of course your your
2	email address and your email password?
3	A We had one computer at the time and she would had
4	full access at the time to my computer.

```
counsel to do this for -- for us. So I seeked to go online
    and find a -- a template with my wife that fits both me and my
 3
    wife's --
        Q Show the Exhibit LLL proposed. Can you identify is
 4
 5
    this a certification of custodian of records at Law Depot?
    And I believe attached to it is the receipt to which you
 6
 7
    provided (indiscernible)?
 8
             That is correct.
 9
             MR. JIMMERSON: Move for the admission of Exhibit
10
    LLL. Dated at June 25, 2008.
11
             THE COURT: Any objection to the admission of
12
   Exhibit LLL?
13
            MS. MENTZEL: Hold on, Your Honor. I'm trying to
14
   find it.
15
             THE CLERK: It -- it's in book 20, Counsel.
16
             MS. McFARLING: No, the little one has triple
17
   digits.
18
             MS. MENTZEL: The little one has --
19
             THE CLERK: It's LLL.
20
             THE COURT: This is -- it is --
21
             MS. McFARLING: LLL. Okay.
22
             MS. MENTZEL: Okay.
23
             THE COURT: Yeah, Triple L.
24
             MS. McFARLING: I was looking at LL.
```

1	MS. MENTZEL: I'm looking at LLL, which is the
2	proposed or which is the 8/13/2008 prenuptial agreement.
3	THE WITNESS: YEG013143.
4	THE CLERK: I don't have it Bates stamped.
5	THE WITNESS: Oh.
6	MS. BREWER: I apologize, that's my error. It's
7	Triple J.
8	THE COURT: Triple J.
9	MS. BREWER: We won't forget that, will we?
10	THE WITNESS: That's a good name.
11	(COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY)
12	THE CLERK: So we're not trying to offer LLL?
13	MS. BREWER: It's Triple J.
14	BY MR. JIMMERSON:
15	Q And in terms of answering questions, did you respond
16	about Nedson?
17	A Patricia respond about Nedson. At the time, Nedson
18	was in Brazil.
19	Q Did you know Nedson's birthday?
20	A No, sir.
21	Q Was it required to know Nedson's birthday in order
22	to fill that in?
23	A Yes, sir. They ask about children.
24	Q And in the final document

1	MS. MENTZEL: I I apologize. Is there still
2	waiting on my objection to the Triple J or
3	THE CLERK: Well, my Triple J is a certificate of
4	custodian records.
5	MR. JIMMERSON: Right.
6	THE COURT: Yeah.
7	MR. JIMMERSON: Attached to that is the receipt.
8	THE COURT: Right.
9	MS. BREWER: The receipt from LawDepot.
10	THE CLERK: Okay. I'm sorry, I thought we were back
11	on a prenup. I apologize, sorry.
12	MS. BREWER: That is correct.
13	MS. MENTZEL: And and I I'd object as to
14	authentish authentication, hearsay. We have documents that
15	are clearly not kept in normal business course. It's actually
16	a letter that seems to be written for the purposes of this
1.7	litigation.
18	MR. JIMMERSON: I'm only offering the receipt,
19	Counsel. I'm not offering the letter. The letter will be
20	received.
21	MS. MENTZEL: Okay.
22	THE COURT: He got the receipt from his printer on
23	June 25th, 2008.
4	MS. MENTZEL: I'm sorry, can you tell me what Bates