IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

YOAV EGOSI, Appellant, vs. PATRICIA EGOSI, N/K/A PATRICIA LEE WOODS, Respondent.

No. 76144

FLED

DEC 2 3 2019

CLERIFOR SUPPLIES COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

This is an appeal from a district court order denying a motion to relocate and from an order validating a prenuptial agreement in part. Our review of the case reveals a potential jurisdictional defect, in that it appears the district court has not yet entered a final judgment appealable under NRAP 3A(b)(1) in the divorce proceedings. See Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 426, 996 P.2d 416, 417 (2000) (defining a final judgment). Because the district court has entered a final order establishing child custody in the divorce action and the September 7, 2018, order denying the motion to relocate is a special order entered after final judgment, this court has jurisdiction over that order. NRAP 3A(b)(7), (8). However, the September 4, 2018, order validating the prenuptial agreement in part is not a final order and is not independently appealable.

Accordingly, appellant shall have 28 days from the date of this order to show cause why the appeal from the September 4 order validating the prenuptial agreement in part should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Respondent may file any reply within 14 days of service of the response. We caution appellant that failure to demonstrate that this court

has jurisdiction may result in the dismissal of the appeal from the September 4 order.

It is so ORDERED.

Libro, C.J.

cc: Alex B. Ghibaudo, PC. McFarling Law Group