

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TRUDI LEE LYTLE; AND JOHN
ALLEN LYTLE, AS TRUSTEES OF THE
LYTLE TRUST,

Appellants,

vs.

SEPTEMBER TRUST, DATED MARCH
23, 1972; GERRY R. ZOBRIST; JOLIN G.
ZOBRIST, AS TRUSTEES OF THE
GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND JOLIN G.
ZOBRIST FAMILY TRUST; RAYNALDO
G. SANDOVAL; JULIE MARIE
SANDOVAL GEGEN, AS TRUSTEES
OF THE RAYNALDO G. AND EVELYN
A. SANDOVAL JOINT LIVING TRUST
AND DEVOLUTION TRUST DATED
MAY 27, 1992; DENNIS A. GEGEN;
AND JULIE S. GEGEN, HUSBAND
AND WIFE, AS JOINT TENANTS,

Respondents.

TRUDI LEE LYTLE; JOHN ALLEN
LYTLE; AND LYTLE TRUST,

Appellants,

vs.

SEPTEMBER TRUST, DATED MARCH
23, 1972; GERRY R. ZOBRIST; JOLIN G.
ZOBRIST, AS TRUSTEES OF THE
GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND JOLIN G.
ZOBRIST FAMILY TRUST; RAYNALDO
G. SANDOVAL; JULIE MARIE
SANDOVAL GEGEN, AS TRUSTEES
OF THE RAYNALDO G. AND EVELYN
A. SANDOVAL JOINT LIVING TRUST
AND DEVOLUTION TRUST DATED

No. 76198 ✓

FILED

NOV 15 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 77007

MAY 27, 1992; DENNIS A. GEGEN;
AND JULIE S. GEGEN, HUSBAND
AND WIFE, AS JOINT TENANTS,
Respondents.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND DENYING MOTION

Docket No. 77077 is an appeal from a district court order awarding attorney fees and costs. Our initial review of the docketing statement and documents before this court reveals a potential jurisdictional defect. A post-judgment order awarding fees and costs is appealable as a special order after final judgment. *See Lee v. GNLV Corp.*, 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000); NRAP 3A(b)(8). Here, however, it does not appear that the district court has entered a final judgment resolving all of the claims in the underlying consolidated cases. *See Mallin v. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 106 Nev. 606, 609, 797 P.2d 978, 980 (1992) (“[W]hen cases are consolidated by the district court, they become one case for all appellate purposes.”). *But see Hall v. Hall*, ___ U.S. ___, 138 S.Ct. 1118 (2018). The slander of title claim as well as the cross-claim appear to remain pending in case A-16-747800-C. Appellants’ docketing statement does not address these, or any of the claims in case A-16-747800-C, as required by item 23.

Accordingly, appellants shall have 30 days from the date of this order to show cause why the appeal in Docket No. 77007 should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. In responding to this order, in addition to points and authorities, appellants should provide copies of any district court order or orders formally resolving the slander of title and cross-claims in case number A-16-747800-C. Respondents may file any reply within 11 days of service of appellants’ response. We caution that failure to

demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in the dismissal of the appeal in Docket No. 77007. The deadlines to file documents in Docket No. 77007 are suspended pending further order of this court.

The joint motion to consolidate these appeals is denied at this time.

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Gibbs Giden Locher Turner Senet & Wittbrodt LLP/Las Vegas
Christensen James & Martin