### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TRUDI LEE LYTLE; AND JOHN ALLEN LYTLE, AS TRUSTEES OF THE LYTLE TRUST,

Appellant,

v.

SEPTEMBER TRUST, DATED MARCH 23, 1972; GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND JOLIN G. ZOBRIST, AS TRUSTEES OF THE GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND JOLIN G. ZOBRIST FAMILY TRUST; RAYNALDO G. SANDOVAL AND JULIE MARIE SANDOVAL GEGEN, AS TRUSTEES OF THE RAYNALDO G. AND EVELYN A. SANDOVAL JOINT LIVING AND DEVOLUTION TRUST DATED MAY 27, 1992; and DENNIS A. GEGEN AND JULIE S. GEGEN, HUSBAND AND WIFE, AS JOINT TENANTS,

Respondents.

Supreme Court No.: 77007
District Court Case No.: A-17-765372-C

REPLY TO RESPONSE 19 03:14 p.m.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION 3:14 p.m.

FILE OPENING BAPEIN A. Brown

Clerk of Supreme Court

[Third Request]

# Reply to Response to Motion For Extension To File Opening Brief (Docket 77007)

RICHARD HASKIN

Nevada Bar No. 11592

GIBBS, GIDEN, LOCHER, TURNER, SENET, & WITTBRODT, LLP

1140 N. Town Center Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 (702) 836-9800

Attorneys for Appellants

Respondents suggest in their Response to the Motion for Extension to File

Opening Brief that the District Court will not reconsider Judge Mark Bailus' Order

Granting Attorneys' Fees. This is blatantly false. This Court granted two prior

extensions, so the District Court could reconsider the ruling, and only procedural

glitches at the District Court level, unrelated to any party, delayed that hearing.

Good cause exists for an additional extension because the District Court, in this case, certified its intent to reconsider the attorney fee award that is the subject of this appeal, but before a written order could be entered, Judge Mark Bailus, stepped down from the bench.

Then, Senior Judge Senior Judge Barker attempted to hear the matter on April 3, 2019, at 9:00 a.m. At the hearing, Judge Barker stated that the matter was complex due to the overwhelming amount of background history and facts, and he asked the parties to consent to send the matter back to District Court Judge Timothy Williams, who originally heard the matter but had to temporarily recuse himself. The parties consented at the hearing to send the matter to Judge Williams because he was familiar as to the background facts and history between the parties.

///

///

111

///

///

The matter is now set to be heard on May 16, 2019, at 9:00 a.m. before Judge Williams. The District Court must take the matter on due to Judge Bailus' own reasoning, specifically that the District Court ruled on the Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs prematurely and would not have granted and would have deferred ruling on the Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs pending determination of Nevada Supreme Court Docket No. 73039, which involves other parties to this consolidated litigation. Hearing Transcript ("Tran.") 18:13 – 25; 19:16 – 20:8, Motion for Extension, Exhibit B. The District Court, citing *Foster v. Dingwall*, 228 P.3d 453 (2010), EDRC 2.24, certified to the Nevada Supreme Court that it intended to reconsider the Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs and set a hearing thereon. *Id.* 

Filing of briefs given the District Court's findings (as set forth above and in the hearing transcript) would be a waste of judicial resources. Further, it may subject the parties to duplicative briefing.

Finally, the delay between April 3, 2019, when Judge Barker was to reconsider the matter, and May 16, 2019, when Judge Williams will take-up the matter is through no fault of any of the parties, certainly not Appellants. Judge Barker and the parties wisely chose to assign this matter to Judge Williams given his background in the case.

///

///

///

Appellants respectfully request additional time, through May 31, 2019, to file and serve their Opening Brief so that Judge Williams can consider Judge Bailus' intent to certify to the Supreme Court the district court's intent to reconsider the award of attorneys' fees and costs to Respondents, which will be heard on May 16, 2019.

DATED this 25th day of April, 2019.

GIBBS, GIDEN, LOCHER, TURNER, SENET & WITTBRODT, LLP

By: /s/ Richard E. Haskin

Richard E. Haskin Nevada Bar No. 11592 1140 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89144 (702) 836-9800 rhaskin@gibbsgiden.com Attorneys for Appellants

## LIST OF EXHIBITS TO MOTION

| Exhibit A | Order Granting Attorneys' Fees and Costs  |  |
|-----------|---|--|
| Exhibit B | Transcript from November 27, 2018 Hearing |  |
| Exhibit C | Stipulation and Order re Hearing Date     |  |

#### **Certificate of Service**

#### 1. Electronic Service:

I hereby certify that on this date, the 12th day of February 2019, I submitted the foregoing REPLY TO RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE OPENING BRIEF (Docket 77007) for filing and service through the Court's eFlex electronic filing service. According to the system, electronic notification will automatically be sent to the following:

Daniel T. Foley, Esq. FOLEY & OAKS 626 S. 8<sup>th</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Christina H. Wang, Esq. FIDELITY NATIONAL LAW GROUP 8363 W. Sunset Road, Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

Wesley J. Smith, Esq. Laura J. Wolff, Esq. CHRISTENSEN JAMES & MARTIN 7440 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

#### 2. Traditional Service:

Daniel T. Foley, Esq. FOLEY & OAKS 626 S. 8<sup>th</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Christina H. Wang, Esq. FIDELITY NATIONAL LAW GROUP 8363 W. Sunset Road, Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

Wesley J. Smith, Esq. Laura J. Wolff, Esq. CHRISTENSEN JAMES & MARTIN 7440 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

> Sham henry SHARA BERRY