1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 JANET SOLANDER, CASE NO. 76228 Electronically Filed 3 Appellant, Apr 17 2019 09:02 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown 4 vs. Clerk of Supreme Court **VOLUME II** 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 6 Respondent. 7 **APPENDIX TO APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF** (Appeal from Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial)) 8 KRISTINA WILDEVELD, ESQ. STEVEN B. WOLFSON 9 Nevada Bar No. 005825 Nevada Bar No. 001565 CAITLYN MCAMIS, ESQ. **District Attorney** 10 Nevada Bar No. 012616 STEVEN OWENS The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld Nevada Bar No. 004352 11 & Associates Chief Deputy District Attorney 550 E. Charleston Blvd., Suite A Office of the District Attorney 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 200 Lewis Ave., Third Floor (702) 222-0007 Las Vegas, NV 89155 13 (702) 671-2750 14 **AARON FORD** Nevada Bar No. 007704 15 Nevada Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900 16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 486-3420 17 Attorneys for Appellant Attorneys for Respondent 18 19

20

1 INDEX

2	VOL. NO.	DOCUMENT PAGE NO.
3	III	Amended Information filed February 6, 20180622-0637
4	XXII	Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed March 12, 2018
5	III	Excerpt of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 1 heard February 5, 2018 filed August 28, 2018
7	Ш	Excerpt of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 2 heard February 6, 2018 filed August 28, 2018
8	I	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed June 17, 2015
9	I	Information filed July 28, 20140001-0019
10	XXII	Instructions to the Jury filed March 13, 20185310-5363
11	I	Joinder to Defendant Dwight Solander's Motion to Suppress
12 13	XXII	filed January 22, 2018
14	I	Motion to Strike Notice of Expert Witness filed January 26, 20180192-0197
15	I	Motion to Suppress Evidence filed January 22, 2018.0145-0152
16	I	Notice of Appeal filed March 30, 20150071-0073
17	XXII	Notice of Appeal filed June 21, 20185491-5492
18	I	Opposition to State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit
19		Evidence of Defendants Janet and Dwight Solander's Abuse of the Foster Children in their Home filed
20		January 18, 20180128-0142
	I	Order of Reversal and Remand filed April 19, 20160081-0090

1		
2	I	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed November 5, 2014
3	I	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings: Calendar Call; State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Defendant Janet and Dwight
4		Solander's Abuse of Foster Children in their Home heard January 23, 2018 filed July 27, 20180156-0191
5	I	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Further Proceedings: Continue Trial Date heard January 29, 2018 filed August 28,
6		2018
7 8	II	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Further Proceedings: Continue Trial Date heard January 29, 2018 filed August 28, 2018 (continuation)
9	II	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings: Joinder to Defendant Dwight Solander's Motion to Suppress, State's
10		Motion to Quash Dr. Sandra Cetl's and Jacqueline Bluth's Subpoena Duces Tecum heard February 1, 2018 filed August 10, 2018
12	III	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings: Joinder to
13		Defendant Dwight Solander's Motion to Suppress, State's Motion to Quash Dr. Sandra Cetl's and Jacqueline Bluth's
14		Subpoena Duces Tecum heard February 1, 2018 filed August 10, 2018 (continuation)
15	XXII	Recorder's Transcript of Proceeding: Sentencing heard June 5, 2018 filed July 24, 2018
16	XXII	Sentencing Memorandum filed June 1, 20185375-5422
17	I	State's Bench Memorandum Pursuant to Court's Request Regarding Issue in Pretrial Writs of Habeas Corpus filed
18		October 15, 2014
19	I	State's Notice of Expert Witnesses [NRS 174.234(2)] filed January 4, 2018
20	I	State's Notice of Witnesses [NRS 174.234(1)(a)] filed

1		January 9, 20180125-0127
2	I	State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Evidence of Defendants Janet and Dwight Solander's Abuse of the Foster
3		Children in their Home filed January 8, 20180097-0124
4	I	State's Opposition and Motion to Dismiss Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed November 19, 2014.0047-0052
5	III	State's Opposition to Defendant Janet Solander's Joinder to
6		Dwight Solander's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed February 1, 2018
7	III	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike the State's Experts filed February 2, 2018
8	I	State's Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed December 17,
9		20140053-0070
10	I	State's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses [NRS 174.234(1)(a)] filed January 22, 2018
11	II	Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing – Day 1
12		Excerpt heard January 31, 2018 filed February 13, 2018
13	II	Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing – Day 2
14		Excerpt heard February 1, 2018 filed February 13, 2018
15	III	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 4 heard February 15, 2018 filed August 28, 2018
16	IV	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 4 heard February
17		15, 2018 filed August 28, 2018 (continuation)0751-0845
18	IV	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 5 heard February 16, 2018 filed August 28, 2018
19	V	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 5 heard February 16, 2018 filed August 28, 2018 (continuation)1001-1172
20		

1	N/	Transporiet of Decoadings, Iver Trial Day 6 haard Eshenson
1	V	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 6 heard February 20, 2018 filed August 28, 2018
2	VI	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 6 heard February
3		20, 2018 filed August 28, 2018 (continuation)1251-1433
4	VI	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 7 heard February 21, 2018 filed August 28, 20181434-1500
5	VII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 7 heard February
6		21, 2018 filed August 28, 2018 (continuation)1501-1706
	VII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 8 heard February
7		22, 2018 filed August 28, 20181707-1750
8	VIII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 8 heard February 22, 2018 filed August 28, 2018 (continuation)1751-1936
9	VIII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 9 heard February
10		23, 2018 filed August 28, 2018
11	IX	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 9 heard February 23, 2018 filed August 28, 2018 (continuation)2001-2226
12	IX	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 10 heard February 26, 2018 filed August 28, 2018
13	X	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 10 heard February
14		26, 2018 filed August 28, 2018 (continuation)2251-2500
15	XI	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 10 heard February
16		26, 2018 filed August 28, 2018 (continuation)2501-2552
16	XI	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 11 heard February
17		27, 2018 filed September 18, 20182553-2750
18	XII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 11 heard February 27, 2018 filed September 18, 2018 (continuation)2751-2757
19	XII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Day 12 hoard Echmony
20		Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 12 heard February 28, 2018 filed September 18, 20182758-3000
20		

1	XIII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 12 heard February 28, 2018 filed September 18, 2018 (continuation)3001-3076
3	XIII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 13 heard March 1, 2018 filed August 28, 2018
4	XIV	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 13 heard March 1, 2018 filed August 28, 2018 (continuation)3251-3363
5 6	XIV	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 14 heard March 2, 2018 filed September 5, 2018
7	XV	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 14 heard March 2,
8	XV	2018 filed September 5, 2018 (continuation)
9	XVI	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 15 heard March 5, 2018 filed September 5, 2018 (continuation)3751-3947
11	XVI	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 16 heard March 6, 2018 filed September 18, 20183948-4000
12 13	XVII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 16 heard March 6, 2018 filed September 18, 2018 (continuation)4001-4149
14	XVII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 17 heard March 7, 2018 filed August 28, 2018
15 16	XVIII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 17 heard March 7, 2018 filed August 28, 2018 (continuation)4251-4379
17	XVIII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 18 heard March 8,
18	VIV	2018 filed September 18, 2018
19	XIX	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 18 heard March 8, 2018 filed September 18, 2018 (continuation)4501-4601
20	XIX	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 19 heard March 9, 2018 filed September 18, 20184602-4750

1		
2	XX	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 19 heard March 9, 2018 filed September 18, 2018 (continuation)4751-4914
3	XX	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 20 heard March 12, 2018 filed September 18, 20184915-5000
4	XXI	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 20 heard March 12,
5		2018 filed September 18, 2018 (continuation)5001-5250
6	XXII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 20 heard March 12, 2018 filed September 18, 2018 (continuation)5251-5280
7		
8	XXII	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial – Day 21 heard March 13, 2018 filed September 18, 20185294-5309
9	XXII	Verdict filed March 13, 2018
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

1	evidentiary hearing done. So let's just plan on starting with that. And
2	then we'll finish up with what we can.
3	MS. BLUTH: Okay. And just to put everybody on notice, I just
4	have to have a minor oral surgery on Monday excuse me, on
5	Thursday at 9, which I'll be in right afterwards. I might be speaking
6	funny, but I'll be here. So I just would ask that we argue it, you know,
7	close to the end of calendar. I don't want everybody waiting for me for
8	like an hour and a half. But I can't push back this
9	THE COURT: Okay.
0	MS. BLUTH: date.
1	MR. FIGLER: And Ms. McAmis will be here without me,
2	because I'll be out of the jurisdiction
3	THE COURT: Right.
4	MR. FIGLER: but that's fine. And then, okay.
5	THE COURT: Ms. Wildeveld.
6	THE COURT: And then, so Ms. Wildeveld will also be out of
7	the jurisdiction.
8	THE COURT: Right.
9	MR. FIGLER: So with regard to Dr. Cetl, we are going to
20	serve subpoenas today, one on the District Attorney's Office, one on Dr.
21	Cetl. And then we have leave to renew this if we feel that there is
22	justification for an additional prejudice argument. Is that correct, Your
23	Honor?
24	THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
25	MR. FIGLER: Okay.

1	THE COURT: Sure.
2	MS. BLUTH: Alright.
3	THE COURT: I guess we're done. That's it. So
4	MS. BLUTH: Wednesday at 9.
5	MR. MUELLER: Wednesday at 9. Thank you, Judge.
6	THE COURT: Alright, thank you.
7	[Hearing concluded at 10:28 a.m.]
8	* * * * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
22	
23	Kukina teda
24	Rubina Feda
25	Court Recorder/Transcriber

TRAN

Electronically Filed 2/13/2018 8:48 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NOS. C299737-1,

C299737-3

DEPT NO. XXI

VS.

DWIGHT SOLANDER,

JANET SOLANDER,) TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 31, 2018

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - DAY 1 EXCERPT

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ.

CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

FOR D. SOLANDER: CRAIG A. MUELLER, ESQ.

FOR J. SOLANDER: CAITLYN L. MCAMIS, ESQ.

DAYVID J. FIGLER, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: SUSIE SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

TRANSCRIBED BY: JD REPORTING, INC.

WITNESSES

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:

AREAHIA DIAZ

Direct Examination by Ms. Bluth	5
Cross-Examination by Ms. McAmis	37
Redirect Examination by Ms. Bluth	57
Recross-Examination by Ms. McAmis	68
Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Bluth	79
Further Recross-Examination by Ms. McAmis	80
LURLINE WELLS	
Direct Examination by Ms. Bluth	81
Cross-Examination by Ms. McAmis	108

1	LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, JANUARY 31, 2018, 11:40 A.M.
2	* * * *
3	AREAHIA DIAZ
4	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,
5	testified as follows:
6	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat and spell
7	your first and your last name for the record.
8	THE WITNESS: A-r-e-a-h-i-a, D-i-a-z.
9	THE COURT: Okay. So D-i-a-z is your last name,
10	correct?
11	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes.
12	THE COURT: And I'm going to have you two switch
13	seats because that chair I think is closer to oh. No. You
14	moved the microphone.
15	THE MARSHAL: I moved the microphone in front of her.
16	THE COURT: Kenny was on top of it. So you two stay
17	where you are.
18	And you're here with your mom today; is that right?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	THE COURT: And can we have mom's name for the
21	record.
22	MS. BLAZEVICH: Candess Marie Blazevich.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Can you spell that for us.
24	MS. BLAZEVICH: C-a-n-d-e-s-s. Blazevich, B as in
25	boy, l-a-z as in zebra, e-v as in victor, i-c-h.

THE COURT: All right. And you're just sitting up 1 2 there to provide, I guess, comfort. 3 MS. BLAZEVICH: My baby. 4 THE COURT: Okay. And Ms. Bluth is going to ask the 5 witness some questions, and I just need to remind mom that you 6 can't answer any of the questions. You can't try to provide 7 any guidance. 8 And, Ms. Diaz, you can't look at mom for answers or 9 help or anything like that. Okay? 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. 11 THE COURT: All right. She's just here to provide 12 some comfort. So it's really important that you not try to, 13 you know, help her answer any of the questions or anything like 14 that, and if she doesn't understand a question, you need to ask 15 Ms. Bluth, hey, I don't understand that question. Don't turn 16 to mom and say, oh, what did she mean by the question? All 17 right. 18 MS. BLAZEVICH: Yes, ma'am. 19 THE COURT: It's real important that you just sit 20 there quietly. 2.1 MR. FIGLER: May we have a quick sidebar maybe behind 22 the --

Sure.

THE COURT:

2.3

2.4

25

that --

JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

The lawyers have to -- we can't

let you go this way because you might trip or something like

MR. FIGLER: Liability. 1 2 THE COURT: -- and then sue the county. 3 MR. FIGLER: We don't want to do that. 4 THE COURT: Exactly. 5 We're just going to take a quick -- so just sit up 6 there. 7 (Pause in the proceedings.) 8 MS. BLUTH: May I proceed with direct, Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: You may. 10 MS. BLUTH: Thank you. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MS. BLUTH: 13 Okay. Areahia, I know from speaking with you before 14 you have a pretty quiet voice, and I know you're kind of shy. 15 Okay. So for the purpose of today's hearing these little mics 16 in front of us are going to take down everything that you and I 17 say because the lady over there with the headphones, she is 18 recording what you and I say, and then later somebody will type 19 everything out. Okay? 20 Α Okay. 2.1 So I know it's hard to speak up, but you're doing a 22 pretty good job already. Okay. So if I keep reminding you, 2.3 hey, would you speak up, don't get annoyed with me. We just 2.4 have to do our best to keep our voices up. Okay?

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

25

Α

Okay.

1	Q	All right. So, Areahia, it's Areahia and not Aria,
2	correct?	
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	How old are you now?
5	А	I'm almost 14.
6	Q	Okay. Do you have any brothers and sisters?
7	А	I do.
8	Q	And do you have any brothers and sisters that are
9	younger t	han you?
LO	А	I do.
11	Q	Can you tell me their names and their ages.
12	А	Keisha, she's 9. Demar, he's, I believe, 7, and
L3	Novalie,	she's, I think, almost 4 or
L4		THE COURT: And do they all live with you now?
15		THE WITNESS: No, they don't.
L6		THE COURT: Okay.
L7	BY MS. BL	JUTH:
L8	Q	Now, Keisha and Demar and Novalie were formerly
L9	adopted,	correct, in open adoption?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. Now, I want to turn your attention back to
22	around 20	13 and 2014. During that time were you and the
23	siblings	you just talked about foster children in the Solander
24	home?	
25	A	Yes.
	I	

And when I say the Solander home, who were the adults 1 Q 2 in the house? 3 Janet, Dwight and Danielle. Α And who is Danielle to Janet? 4 5 Her daughter. Α 6 Okay. I know this seems like a silly question, but 0 7 do you see Janet here today? 8 Α I do. 9 What is she wearing, for the record? Okav. 0 10 A sweater and what looks like a pink T-shirt. 11 Okay. And is she sitting at the table to my right? 0 12 To my right. 13 Α Oh, yes. 14 THE COURT: I know that's hard, right? 15 MS. BLUTH: It is hard. 16 THE COURT: To switch it around in your mind. 17 MS. BLUTH: Bad question. 18 THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the identification of the defendant Ms. Solander. 19 20 BY MS. BLUTH: 2.1 Okay. When you were a foster child in their home, 22 were there any other kids in there besides you and your 2.3 siblings? 2.4 There was. Α 25 And who was that? 0 JD Reporting, Inc.

1	A Their adopted their three adopted children.
2	Q Okay. So for the purposes of today's hearing, I'm
3	just going to ask you questions about you and your siblings if
4	that's okay with you. All right.
5	A Okay.
6	THE COURT: And I need to clear something up. When
7	you were in the Solander home, were your biological siblings
8	there with you?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, they were.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So it was all four of you and then
11	the Solander's adopted children?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	BY MS. BLUTH:
14	Q Okay. So when in 2013 when you get to the Solander
15	house for the first time, were you having any health problems?
16	A No.
17	THE COURT: And how old were you?
18	THE WITNESS: 9 or 8 I think.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do you remember what grade you
20	were in when you first got to the Solander house?
21	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Do you remember where you went to
23	school?
24	THE WITNESS: Darnell, I believe.
	, '
25	THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Ms. Bluth.

BY MS. BLUTH: 1 2 Okay. Were you having any problems eating certain 3 foods or digesting any foods? 4 Α No. 5 Were you having any stomachaches? Q 6 No. Α 7 Q Were you having any issues with using the bathroom 8 properly? 9 Α No. Did there come a day when Janet told you you were 10 Q 11 going to go see a doctor? 12 Α Yes. 13 Why did you think you were going to see the doctor? Q 14 I just thought it was a regular checkup. 15 Q Okay. But when you get to the doctor, what is Janet 16 discussing with the doctor about you? $I ext{ --- just my health and } I ext{ --- } I ext{ don't really remember}$ 17 Α 18 anything about that. 19 Okay. At that point in time did you feel like 20 anything was wrong with you? 2.1 Α No. 22 Q Had you passed out at the Solander house? 2.3 A couple of times I think, yes. Α 2.4 When we say passed out, like, do you mean like you 0 25 lost consciousness and fell to the ground? How would you

explain it? 1 2 I just usually in the mornings when I'm really 3 tired and not pass out, but fall asleep. Okay. So I'm sorry. I should probably be, like, 4 5 more clear. So when I use the term passed out, I mean, like, 6 you faint, you lose consciousness, you end up on the floor. Do 7 you remember ever doing that? 8 Α No. 9 Q Okay. 10 THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. Go on. 11 MS. BLUTH: That's okay. 12 BY MS. BLUTH: 13 When you -- when I said, Did you pass out and you 14 said, yes, a couple times, tell me what you mean by that. Like 15 you said in the morning, tell me about that. 16 I'm sorry. What? Α 17 That's okay. And I should have told you if at any 0 18 point in time I ask you a question or --MS. BLUTH: Ms. McAmis, are you doing the 19 20 questioning? 2.1 BY MS. BLUTH: 22 -- or Ms. McAmis does -- asks you a question and you 2.3 don't understand, totally do exactly what you just did right 2.4 there and say I'm sorry I don't understand. Okay?

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

25

Α

Okay.

So when I was asking you the questions, you said a 1 2 couple of times in the morning I would -- I would get up too 3 early, and then I would just fall asleep. 4 Α Yes. 5 THE COURT: Did she say that? Is that -- I don't 6 remember. I'm sorry. 7 BY MS. BLUTH: 8 Is that what you said, or did I get that wrong? Q No, I said that. 9 Α 10 Q Okay. 11 THE COURT: What time did -- when you said get up too 12 early, what do you mean? Like, did you have a set time that 13 you had to get up every morning for school? I'm sorry, Ms. Bluth, but since I'm the fact finder I 14 15 know what I need to --16 MS. BLUTH: No. Of course. 17 -- I know what I want to know. THE COURT: 18 Go for it. MS. BLUTH: 19 THE COURT: Was there a set time that you had to get 20 up each morning for school when you were with the Solanders? 2.1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 THE COURT: And what time was that? THE WITNESS: I think 7:00 a.m. 2.3 2.4 THE COURT: Okay. And were there times that you got 25 up before 7:00 a.m.?

1	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
2	THE COURT: And was that you just woke up, or did	
3	somebody else wake you up or?	
4	THE WITNESS: No, I just woke up.	
5	THE COURT: Okay. And were those some times that you	
6	then, quote, passed out?	
7	THE WITNESS: Yes. I think. Yes.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Ms. Bluth.	
9	BY MS. BLUTH:	
10	Q So to your memory there was never a time where you	
11	lost consciousness and	
12	THE COURT: Do you know what losing consciousness	
13	means?	
14	THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.	
15	THE COURT: Okay. Go on.	
16	BY MS. BLUTH:	
17	Q where you faint and then you kind of wake up on	
18	the floor and you're, like, what happened to me?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Okay. Do you remember that ever happening while you	
21	were at the Solander house?	
22	A No.	
23	Q Okay. When you went to the doctor's office, did	
24	Janet talk to the doctor about you passing out?	
25	A I think so, yes.	

Now, when you were at the doctor's office, did you 1 Q 2 talk or did Janet talk? 3 Α Janet talked. 4 Did you feel like you could talk to the doctor? 5 No. Α 6 Why not? 0 7 Α Well, I never had room to. She would always just 8 talk. She would -- I never had room to. 9 If you had -- you used the term "room to". Okav. 10 Like, if you had had room to how would you felt -- would you 11 feel comfortable speaking to the doctor in front of Janet? 12 Sure. Yes. 13 Now, you started a new school during that time Q Okay. 14 period called Darnell Elementary like you just said, right? 15 Α Yes. 16 And when you were at Darnell, did there come a point 17 in time when you went to the nurse's office? 18 Α Yes. 19 And during one of those initial visits, did you have 20 a conversation with her about that you -- about supplies, like 2.1 a glucometer or needles or something like that? 22 Α Yes. 2.3 Did you believe you had diabetes? 2.4 T did. A 25 MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection. Foundation.

Well, I'm going to --1 MS. BLUTH: 2 THE COURT: Overruled. 3 MS. BLUTH: And I'll get there. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 BY MS. BLUTH: 6 Did you think that you had diabetes? 0 7 Α I did. 8 Okay. Why did you think you had diabetes? Q 9 She will -- I mean, she would take my blood pressure, Α 10 or I don't know what it's called, but she would take it. 11 When you say she --12 THE COURT: Since you don't --13 I'm sorry. We're asking a lot of the same questions, 14 but since you don't know what it's called, just tell us what --15 what -- and by she, do you mean Mrs. Solander? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Tell us what she would do to test 18 you. 19 THE WITNESS: She would have this -- this thing that 20 would poke my finger that would have blood come out, and she 2.1 would have this strip to put the blood on. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 2.3 THE WITNESS: And it would tell me, like, if my blood 2.4 sugar was high or low. 25 THE COURT: Okay. And did you look at the thing to

see what the number was of your blood sugar? 1 2 She would show me if it was low. THE WITNESS: 3 THE COURT: Okay. And did you have an understanding based on the number -- well, what number would she -- do you 4 5 remember any of the numbers that she would show you to show you 6 that your blood sugar was low? 7 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So you don't remember what those 9 numbers were. 10 THE WITNESS: No. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Ms. Bluth. 12 BY MS. BLUTH: 13 Now, did -- did Janet tell you you had diabetes? Q 14 Yes. Α 15 Now, when you go to the nurse -- originally when you 16 go to the nurse, do you have any of the supplies that she's 17 asking you about? 18 Α No. 19 So after that, do you go back home and get supplies? Q 20 Α Yes. 2.1 Q And who gives you those supplies? 22 Α Janet. 2.3 Do you know where she got those supplies from? Q 2.4 No. Α 25 When you get to school, are those the right supplies? Q

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Now, do you ever remember having a conversation with
3	the nurse	and having to get different different supplies to
4	bring back	k that worked better with, like, your age and size?
5	A	I don't think so.
6	Q	Okay. When did Janet have an issue with you
7	eating are	ound other children at school?
8		MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection. Ambiguous and leading.
9		THE COURT: All right. It's sustained because that
LO	might call	l for speculation as to whether
L1		So did Janet ever talk to you about eating your lunch
L2	at school	?
L3		MS. BLUTH: Okay.
L4	BY MS. BL	JTH:
L5	Q	Did Janet ever have a conversation with you how she
L6	wanted you	ı to eat your lunch at school?
L7	А	Yes.
L8	Q	What did she say?
L9	А	She told me she wanted me to eat in the nurse's
20	office or	the office.
21	Q	Why did she want you to do that? Did she tell you?
22		MS. MCAMIS: Objection. Speculation.
23		THE COURT: If she told you. Did Janet tell you why
24	she wanted	d you to eat your lunch in the nurse's office or the
25	office?	

1	THE WITNESS: To make sure that I ate ate my		
2	lunch, all of it.		
3	THE COURT: Was there ever a problem with you not		
4	eating your lunch?		
5	THE WITNESS: No.		
6	THE COURT: And did you, like, buy the school		
7	lunches, or did Janet make your lunch, or how did that work?		
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, she made it.		
9	THE COURT: Okay. And do you remember what kinds of		
10	food did she make you the same lunch every day, or did she		
11	make you different lunches?		
12	THE WITNESS: It was mostly the same, yes, sandwiches		
13	and carrots and		
14	THE COURT: Okay. Do you remember what kind of		
15	things you would get in your lunch?		
16	THE WITNESS: Not really.		
17	THE COURT: Okay. But usually sandwiches?		
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.		
19	THE COURT: What kind of sandwiches?		
20	THE WITNESS: Baloney.		
21	THE COURT: Okay.		
22	THE WITNESS: And that's all I remember.		
23	THE COURT: Okay. Did you like baloney sandwiches?		
24	THE WITNESS: I did.		
25	THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Ms. Bluth.		
	JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31		

BY MS. BLUTH: 1 2 In those conversations with Janet, did she talk to 3 you or accuse you of taking food from other children at school? She did. 4 Α 5 What did she say? Q MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection. Hearsay. 6 7 THE COURT: Overruled. 8 MS. BLUTH: It's her client. 9 THE COURT: I know. Overruled. 10 You can -- that means you can answer. 11 THE WITNESS: Oh. 12 BY MS. BLUTH: 13 What did Janet say to you about that? Q She said I was taking food because my blood sugar 14 15 shouldn't be high because she took it, and it was high apparently. I don't -- I don't know. I never saw it. 16 17 Okay. Were you stealing food from other kids? 18 I wasn't. Α 19 THE COURT: Did Janet ever put, you know, like, 20 treats in your lunch, like cookies or potato chips or, you know, a Twinkie or anything like that? 2.1 22 THE WITNESS: Nothing like that. 2.3 THE COURT: Okay. BY MS. BLUTH: 2.4 25 Was there a Valentine's Day party at school?

1	А	Yes.	
2	Q	And did you get to go to that?	
3	А	No.	
4	Q	Why didn't you get to go?	
5	А	Because I wasn't allowed to have sweets, and they	
6	were they were that day it was they had all sweets,		
7	you know.		
8	Q	And so who didn't let you go to it?	
9	А	Janet.	
10	Q	Now, at some point in that same school year, did	
11	Janet stop sending you with supplies to school?		
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	And when I say supplies, I mean like the glucometer	
14	and the testing strips and stuff?		
15	А	Yes.	
16	Q	As you sit here today, do you have any health	
17	restricti	ons?	
18	А	No.	
19	Q	Are you as you sit here today, do you eat regular	
20	foods?		
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	Can you eat sweets?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Are you on anything having to do with diabetes?	
25	А	No.	
		JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31	

THE COURT: Do you have a regular doctor that you go 1 2 to see? 3 THE WITNESS: No, not yet. I mean --4 THE COURT: What do you mean "not yet"? 5 THE WITNESS: Not a regular doctor. We go to different doctors that, you know, see what works out better for 6 7 us for transportation. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Do you remember the last time you 9 went and saw a doctor? 10 THE WITNESS: Last year. 11 THE COURT: Last year. And why did you go to -- was it, like, at a clinic, like a Quick Care, or do you remember 12 13 where you went? 14 It was just a checkup. THE WITNESS: No. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So you had a checkup last year? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Do you remember if they sent you to go 18 get blood tests, or did anyone, you know, stick the needle in 19 your arm and draw out vials of blood? 20 They didn't, no. THE WITNESS: 2.1 THE COURT: They did not. Okay. 22 THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Did anybody -- sometimes in the doctor's 2.3 2.4 office I've seen where they -- they do the thing, the stick on 25 the finger with the little strip and all that. Did they do

that at your doctor's office? 1 2 THE WITNESS: No. 3 THE COURT: Okay. What kind of things did they do in 4 the checkup? 5 THE WITNESS: They just checked my ears --6 THE COURT: So they stuck that light in your ears 7 and, like, looked in your ears? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Is that what they did? And then what 10 else did they do, if you remember, at the checkup? 11 THE WITNESS: They also checked if my eyes were okay 12 and my throat. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 THE WITNESS: They asked me if I had any problems 15 with my body. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 THE WITNESS: That's -- that's all -- or that's about 18 it. THE COURT: And on the eyes, was it the thing where 19 20 they look in your eyes with the light, or did they have to --2.1 did you have to read an eye chart, or --22 THE WITNESS: No. 23 THE COURT: -- you know, where you put one thing over 2.4 the eye and you read the letters. Was that what they did? Do 25 you remember?

THE WITNESS: No. They had the light, made me follow 1 2 the light. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And do you remember anything else 4 about that doctor's visit? 5 THE WITNESS: No. 6 THE COURT: But they didn't send you for any, like, 7 blood tests or anything like that? 8 THE WITNESS: No. 9 THE COURT: Did they take your blood pressure, you know, where they put they cuff around your arm, and they pump 10 11 it up, and sometimes it hurts? Did they do that? 12 THE WITNESS: They did. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And all right. Go on, Ms. Bluth. 14 Thank you. MS. BLUTH: 15 BY MS. BLUTH: 16 All right. So I want to move in to a little bit Q 17 different topic. Okay. Even though you were about 9 years old 18 when you went to the Solander house, had you been somewhat 19 raising your younger siblings? 20 Yes. Α And when I say raising, like did you potty train 2.1 Q 22 them? 2.3 I did. Α 2.4 Okay. When you got to the Solander home -- I know 0 25 this sounds like a silly question, but I actually have to ask

```
sometimes silly questions -- were you potty trained?
 1
 2
               Yes.
 3
                      Keisha, from the records and from you talking,
               Okay.
     it looks like she was about 4 years old. Was she potty
 4
 5
     trained?
6
               Yes.
          Α
 7
               Demar, was he potty trained?
          Q
8
          Α
               Sort of, yes.
9
               Okay. And then Novalie was just a baby, right?
          Q
10
          Α
               Yes.
11
               When you say Demar was sort of potty trained, tell me
          0
12
     about that.
13
          Α
               He knew how to use a toilet, but sometimes he would
14
     have accidents at night.
15
          Q
               Okay.
16
               THE COURT: And how old was Demar at that time?
17
               THE WITNESS: 3, I think.
18
               THE COURT: Okay.
19
               THE WITNESS:
                             2 maybe.
20
               THE COURT: Did he wear, like, the pull-up-type
21
    pants?
            Do you remember?
22
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, he wore --
2.3
               THE COURT: Like those pull up diapers?
2.4
               THE WITNESS: Pull-ups, yes.
25
                            JD Reporting, Inc.
```

BY MS. BLUTH: 1 2 So once you get to the Solander home and you're there 3 for a while, do you start having issues, sorry, with going to the bathroom? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 Okay. Well, can you tell me why you started having 7 those issues? 8 Α Well, we would have to ask to go to the bathroom, and 9 sometimes we would have to wait because she was either doing 10 something or she wanted us to finish something, and sometimes I 11 couldn't hold it. 12 Were you scared to ask her to go to the bathroom? Q 13 Sometimes, yes. Α 14 Why? Q 15 Α She was always angry. I don't know why. 16 Okay. You don't know why she was angry? Q 17 Α No. 18 Did the toileting make her angry? Q Okay. 19 Α I don't know. 20 Did she appear angry when you would ask? 0 2.1 Sometimes, yeah, irritated. Α 22 Q Okay. Were you allowed to use however much toilet 2.3 paper you wanted? 2.4 A No. 25 How -- how did that work out? 0

1	A She would put sheets on the counter, like, you know,
2	squares, two or three for number one, or four or six for number
3	two.
4	Q Okay. And so
5	THE COURT: So I'm guessing if when you asked to go
6	to the bathroom you had to tell Janet whether you had to go
7	number one or number two?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	THE COURT: And then she'd give you either two
10	pieces are you talking about the little squares of toilet
11	paper?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Or six pieces?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And how many bathrooms are in this
16	house?
17	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Ms. Bluth.
19	BY MS. BLUTH:
20	Q Did you have to use a specific bathroom, or could you
21	use any bathroom?
22	A I used the bathroom by my siblings and I's rooms that
23	we stayed in.
24	Q Okay. When you asked to go to the bathroom and she
25	said yes, now, do you get to go to the bathroom by yourself, or

did she go with you? 1 2 I go by myself. Were you allowed to close the door? 3 4 There was two doors. It was one door to go into the 5 bathroom and then the toilet and where the tub was there was a 6 door, and I closed that one. 7 Okay. Now, while you were staying with the Q 8 Solanders, did Keisha and Demar start having a lot of pee and 9 poop accidents? 10 Α Yes. 11 What would happen -- what would Janet do if Keisha or 12 Demar had an accident? 13 She would get mad and put them in timeout or in the 14 shower to clean them off. 15 So it's -- I want to talk about Demar. Would the shower, if you know, be hot or cold? 16 17 MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection. Personal knowledge. 18 There's no foundation that she's in the shower. THE COURT: Okay. Well, lay a foundation as to 19 20 whether she was in the bathroom or --2.1 BY MS. BLUTH: 22 Do you know -- do you know what the temperature was when Demar was in the shower? 2.3 2.4 Not -- no. Α

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

In previous -- like in your -- when you were

25

Q

Okay.

interviewed by CPS, do you remember talking about Demar going 1 2 into cold showers? 3 MR. FIGLER: Objection. 4 MS. MCAMIS: Objection. Leading. 5 MR. FIGLER: Oh, my gosh. 6 Well, overruled. I mean, for purposes of THE COURT: 7 this hearing, she can answer the question. 8 MS. BLUTH: And I'm impeaching. It's not leading. 9 THE COURT: And then you can go back and find out. 10 If it was a jury trial, I wouldn't let her answer the question, 11 but --12 MS. MCAMIS: Well, we still have a foundation 13 objection as well. 14 THE COURT: I know. I get it. 15 MS. BLUTH: It's impeachment, Judge. I can point 16 them to the statement. I mean, if she --17 THE COURT: Right. 18 -- previously said something, something MS. BLUTH: 19 else that was different --20 THE COURT: Well, and then we can find out whether 2.1 there was a foundation for what she said because there may not 22 have been. 2.3 MS. BLUTH: So I'm just asking her does she remember 2.4 saying that to CPS. 25 THE COURT: Right. I said she could answer.

1 MS. BLUTH: Okay. 2 Like, I said, in front of a jury I might THE COURT: 3 I might make her read the statement so that the 4 jury didn't hear that she's already said that they were taking 5 cold showers. In front of me, I can keep it all straight, and 6 she can answer the question. 7 So the question was do you remember telling --8 What was it, CPS or the police? 9 MS. BLUTH: It's a forensic interviewer who works for 10 CPS. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 12 Did you remember in an interview talking about Jemar 13 having cold showers? 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 MS. BLUTH: Demar. 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Demar? Okay. 17 MS. BLUTH: Yeah. 18 I thought you said Jemar. THE COURT: 19 MS. BLUTH: No, that's okay. 20 THE COURT: All right. Do you remember that? 2.1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. And how did you know Demar had cold showers? 2.3 2.4 THE WITNESS: He would -- when he would go in the 25 shower, he would scream it's cold, or whenever we'd get to be

alone, which was rarely, he would tell me. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 THE WITNESS: Because I would ask him. 4 THE COURT: But you could overhear him screaming it's 5 cold? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 MS. MCAMIS: Objection as to hearsay, Judge. 8 THE COURT: Well, it's not hearsay if it's an excited 9 utterance and a present sense impression. The rest of it's 10 hearsay. 11 Now, would you be, like, in the bedroom when he's in 12 the shower, or where would you be that you're hearing the 13 screaming? THE WITNESS: At a table in the kitchen. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Was it loud enough that you could 16 hear him in the kitchen? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Is this a two-story house or a one-story 19 house? 20 THE WITNESS: A two-story. 2.1 THE COURT: A two-story. So would he be upstairs in 22 the shower and you would be downstairs in the kitchen, or was 2.3 there a bathroom downstairs, and he'd be in the shower there? 2.4 THE WITNESS: No, he'd be upstairs in the shower. 25 THE COURT: Okay. So you'd be downstairs in the

kitchen, and Demar would be upstairs in the shower? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 THE COURT: But you could still hear him? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Okay. BY MS. BLUTH: 6 7 0 Can you explain for the Judge the set up with the 8 loft area, how it's open. Do you know what -- do you 9 understand my question? From -- I don't know how to explain it. 10 11 Just think about kind of how the layout of the house 12 was and how the loft was situated. 13 It was upstairs, and it was --Α 14 Let me ask you this. From downstairs could you see Q 15 upstairs? 16 Α Yes. 17 Could you see into the loft area? 0 18 Only -- only the opening. Α 19 Right. But you could see upstairs? Q 20 Yes. Α 2.1 Okay. So now if Demar had or Keisha had an accident 22 downstairs, would they have to go upstairs to get cleaned up or 2.3 use the bathroom? 2.4 Α Yes. 25 How would Ms. Janet take them upstairs? Q JD Reporting, Inc.

Sometimes she would drag them up or --1 Α 2 By the -- by how? 0 3 Α By their arms or their hair. 4 Did you ever see her use -- I don't want to use the 5 word violence, but be abusive to them other than pulling their 6 hair, like, up the stairs --7 MS. MCAMIS: Objection. Leading. 8 MS. BLUTH: I'm laying a -- how is that --9 THE COURT: Well, I don't know how else she would ask 10 the question. 11 So go ahead and you can answer -- ask the question. 12 BY MS. BLUTH: 13 Was there ever a time when you saw her kick Demar or 0 14 Keisha up or down the stairs? 15 Α Yes. 16 THE COURT: And describe what you saw. THE WITNESS: I wasn't --17 18 That would be a nonleading question. THE COURT: 19 Describe for us what you saw. 20 THE WITNESS: I wasn't allowed to really look up 2.1 from, you know, what I was doing, but sometimes I would glance 22 up, and I'd see her kicking them up the stairs. 2.3 THE COURT: Okay. 2.4 THE WITNESS: To make them go faster. 25 THE COURT: And kicking them how? Like kicking

their, you know, feet or kicking their legs or kicking their 1 2 What would you see her do? 3 THE WITNESS: Their bottoms. 4 THE COURT: Okay. And where would she be in relation 5 to your siblings? Your brothers or sisters -- your brothers. 6 Would she be on the same step or a couple steps below or on the 7 ground? 8 THE WITNESS: She'd be right behind them. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Bluth, it is now 12:10. So 10 the doctor --11 MS. BLUTH: I have --12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 I have three more questions. MS. BLUTH: 14 THE COURT: All right. Well, we're probably going to 15 have to take a break with this witness --16 MS. BLUTH: Of course. 17 THE COURT: -- to accommodate the doctor. So what I 18 was going to say, finish your three questions. We'll take the 19 break because it may take a minute or two --20 MS. BLUTH: Yes. 2.1 THE COURT: -- to do the setup. 22 MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor. 2.3 THE COURT: Okay. BY MS. BLUTH: 2.4 25 You just said you weren't allowed to look up. 0 JD Reporting, Inc.

do you mean by that? 1 2 I usually had -- she'd give me work, homework to do, 3 or I'd read a book, and I wasn't allowed to look around or 4 anything while doing it. 5 Whose rule was that? 6 Janet's. Α 7 Okay. Now, did Janet ever do anything to check Q 8 whether or not you had had accidents? 9 She would make me hold up my underwear. Α 10 Q Can you explain that to me. 11 What do you mean? Α 12 Well, when you say she would make you hold out your 13 underwear, are you just standing there and holding it out? Do 14 you have to -- is it on you? 15 THE COURT: Right. Is it on your body? Do you have 16 to take off your clothes or lift up your dress, or do you take 17 off your underwear and hold it up? Tell us what you did. 18 THE WITNESS: It would usually be after I have a 19 new -- new pair on, and she -- she'd tell me to hold my -- the 20 pair that I had on up so she could check them. 2.1 THE COURT: Okay. 22 BY MS. BLUTH: 2.3 The old pair. Not the pair that you have on, but the Q 2.4 old pair?

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

25

Α

Yes.

1	Q	Okay.
2		THE COURT: So, okay. If you had an accident, then
3	you would	change your underwear; is that right?
4		THE WITNESS: I would get in the shower.
5		THE COURT: Okay. And then who would give you a
6	clean pai:	r of underwear? Did you just go and take a clean pair
7	of underwe	ear out of your dresser or your, you know, bedroom, or
8	did Janet	give you a clean pair of underwear?
9		THE WITNESS: She would.
10		THE COURT: Okay. And then what would you do with
11	the dirty	underwear?
12		THE WITNESS: Well, after, if I shower. After she
13	checked tl	hem, if, you know, they had anything in there, I would
14	wash them	by hand in the laundry room.
15		THE COURT: Okay. And then when you took a shower,
16	what was	the water temperature like?
17		THE WITNESS: It was warm.
18		THE COURT: It was warm. Okay. So you never had to
19	take a co.	ld shower?
20		THE WITNESS: No.
21	BY MS. BL	UTH:
22	Q	My last question is is was there a time when you were
23	at therapy	y that you had an accident in your pants?
24	А	Yes.
25		MR. FIGLER: And I would just ask for foundation,
	Ī	

Is it before or after, while she's in the Solander 1 like when. 2 home. 3 Well, she's asking the preliminary THE COURT: 4 I'm assuming the follow-up question is when was 5 that. 6 MS. BLUTH: Sure. 7 BY MS. BLUTH: 8 Q So when you were in therapy with Lori Wells, was that 9 during the time period you were living in the Solander home? 10 Α Yes. 11 And during one of your therapy appointments did you 12 have an accident? 13 It wasn't a therapy appointment. It was a visit. Α Oh, okay. Sorry. And but during one of those visits 14 Q 15 you had an accident, right? 16 Yes. Α 17 And was that number one or number two? 0 18 I think number two. Α 19 Okay. And did you panic after you had that accident? Q 20 I did. Α 2.1 Q Why? 22 MS. MCAMIS: Objection. Relevance. 2.3 THE COURT: Well, overruled. 2.4 Why did you panic? 25 THE WITNESS: I didn't want her to -- Janet to know. JD Reporting, Inc.

BY MS. BLUTH: 1 2 Why didn't you want Janet to know? 3 Α She'd be angry. 4 Were you scared of her reaction? 5 I was. Α 6 Was this toileting -- I'm going to refer to it as a 7 toileting thing -- was that a big issue in the house? 8 Α Yes. 9 MS. MCAMIS: Objection. Well, objection. Foundation 10 as to going on in the rest of the house. 11 THE COURT: Well, I mean, it's a little leading, but 12 I -- it's 12:15. So I don't know. 13 MS. BLUTH: That was my last question. 14 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a break 15 because there's a doctor, and he can only testify right now. 16 So I'm going to have you guys go into the vestibule or out in 17 the hallway and wait. You cannot talk about your testimony 18 with each other or anybody else. Okay. So you can't say, mom, 19 how did I do or anything like that. You're not to discuss 20 anything relating to your testimony or this case or Janet or 2.1 Mr. Solander or anything like that when you're out in the 22 hallway. Do you understand? 2.3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2.4 MS. BLAZEVICH: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

1	(Excerpt recessed 12:16 p.m.)
2	(Excerpt begins 2:06 p.m.)
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. MCAMIS:
5	Q pronouncing that correctly?
6	A Huh?
7	Q It's pronounced Areahia?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. Thank you for that clarification. I'm Caitlyn
10	McAmis. I just have some questions for you. Okay?
11	A Okay.
12	Q All right. And if I ask it in a way that's
13	confusing, please let me know.
14	A Okay.
15	Q I'm not asking you to I'm not trying to put words
16	in your mouth. Okay?
17	A Okay.
18	Q All right. So you know Ms. Janet Solander because
19	she was a foster mother to you in 2013 and part of 2014; is
20	that fair to say?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And you lived in the home with her and her husband
23	Dwight and their daughter Danielle; is that fair to say?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And this was a pretty big house, right?
	JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	There were about five bedrooms, right?
3	А	I think so.
4	Q	So there was a bedroom for you to be in?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And then the other girls, like Ava and Amaya and
7	Anastasia	had their own, like, open loft bedroom-type area,
8	right, wh	ere they stayed?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And Danielle had a bedroom.
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	And that was upstairs, right?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And Mr. and Mrs. Solander had their own bedroom,
15	right?	
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	And did I hear you, right? Your bedroom was
18	downstair	s?
19	А	No, it was upstairs.
20	Q	Okay. I didn't hear you right. Thank you. All
21	right. T	he downstairs, there were a number of rooms, like the
22	kitchen,	right?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	And sorry. Give me just a moment.
25		And in order to get to the, like, the bathroom or the
		JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

bedrooms, you had to go up the stairs, right? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Now, the staircase itself was enclosed, right? 0 4 Say that again, please. 5 The staircase that went from the first floor to the Q 6 second floor was enclosed. Do you know what that word means? 7 Α No. 8 Q Okay. Then I have to use a better word. How about 9 The staircase had sides on it, right? this? Oh, yes. 10 Α 11 And you couldn't see through the sides, right? Q 12 Α No. 13 And the kitchen was not off of the staircase, right? Q 14 Yeah. Yes. Α 15 Q That's a yes? 16 Α Yes. 17 I didn't hear you and I think I cut you off. 0 18 I'm sorry about that. So when you were in the kitchen doing 19 things, like, homework or eating, you could not see up the 20 stairs, right? 2.1 Α No. 22 Q Okay. All right. So I'm going to ask you a couple 2.3 of questions about being in foster care. Okay? 2.4 Okay. Α 25 All right. And it's not intended to embarrass you at Q

1	all. Okay	y •
2	А	Okay.
3	Q	When did you get taken out of your home that you
4	regularly	lived in?
5	А	I think when I was 8.
6	Q	And you were 9 when you lived with Mr. and
7	Mrs. Solar	nder?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. How many foster homes did you live in before
10	you lived	with the Solanders?
11	А	One.
12	Q	Okay. Were you with all of your siblings then?
13	А	No.
14	Q	Were you with any of your siblings in that first
15	foster hor	me?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Which ones?
18	А	Keisha and Demar.
19	Q	Okay. So Novalie was living somewhere else?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Do you know why you were taken out of your primary
22	home, you	r main home?
23	А	Yes.
24		MS. BLUTH: Objection. Relevance.
25		MS. MCAMIS: Well, the relevance goes to prior
		JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

conduct and --1 2 THE COURT: Well, approach. 3 MS. MCAMIS: Okay. 4 (Conference at the bench not recorded.) 5 THE COURT: And he probably didn't know what to do 6 with them. 7 MS. BLUTH: Yeah. 8 THE COURT: Said, oh, what are these? MS. BLUTH: 9 It's right here. 10 THE COURT: Let me delete them. 11 MS. BLUTH: One second. 12 MR. FIGLER: I don't -- Ms. McAmis can continue. 13 just need to step out. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 15 Ms. Bluth had sent me some records, and I never got 16 them, and so she's seeing did she send them to my secretary, or 17 did you send them to my law clerk. So we're just going to all 18 sit here quietly for a few minutes while Ms. Bluth tries to 19 figure out who she sent them to, and then I'm going to figure 20 out who didn't give them to me, but we'll just sit here quietly 2.1 right now while Ms. Bluth is doing that. 22 MS. BLUTH: And I'm going to do it on my phone. It's 2.3 faster. 2.4 THE COURT: Okay. 25 (Colloquy off the record.)

THE COURT: Okay. Are you aware that it's over 500 1 2 pages? 3 I remember that -- I remember I talked MS. BLUTH: 4 to -- I was like because a bunch of them were just in between, 5 and you know how --6 THE COURT: Right. 7 MS. BLUTH: -- like in the CPS records it will say 8 the kids' names. 9 THE COURT: Yeah. 10 MS. BLUTH: Yeah. So I didn't know. I thought it 11 was 300. 12 THE MARSHAL: I think there's three different 13 sub-attachments. 14 THE COURT: Okay. I guess just have her print them 15 all out then. That's the easiest because for me to mark which 16 ones to print out on the computer --17 MS. BLUTH: Agreed. 18 THE COURT: -- would take way too long. 19 (Colloquy off the record.) 20 MS. BLUTH: Right. So what I'm happy to do because I 2.1 have to work with these records so much, I'm happy whatever you 22 guys want me to do, but I'm happy to print them out and then 2.3 take out the ones that have the names and the personal 2.4 identifiers and just a --25 THE COURT: Okay.

-- I won't read them, but --1 MS. BLUTH: 2 THE COURT: -- typically, as you probably know, CPS 3 redacts all of that. They don't send us unredacted ones. 4 MS. BLUTH: Right. 5 THE COURT: Are these unredacted? 6 They're not unredacted. MS. BLUTH: No. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MS. BLUTH: But my -- I quess what I'm trying to say 9 is you know how there'll be like 30 pages of kids' names, and 10 then there'll be a two-page report, and then 40 more, like, 11 because they talk about the history. So I'd be happy to print 12 them out and pull those out so you can just look at the 13 substantive to --14 Right. That would be great. THE COURT: Oh. 15 MS. MCAMIS: I'm just going to submit it to the 16 Court, whatever you --17 Yeah. That would be --THE COURT: 18 MS. MCAMIS: (unintelligible). I'm happy to do that. 19 MS. BLUTH: 20 Yeah. That would, I mean, that would THE COURT: 2.1 make it easier. All I was saying is the identifiers, socials 22 and birthdays --2.3 MS. BLUTH: Yeah. 2.4 THE COURT: -- those are normally redacted by CPS. 25 MS. BLUTH: And those -- they've told me that they

were going to send those redacted because like I said --1 2 THE COURT: Yeah. Because we have to, which I never 3 have done, but we have to specifically request to CPS for 4 unredacted ones. 5 MS. BLUTH: Right. 6 Okay. So we'll be working on that, and THE COURT: 7 then, Ms. McAmis, go ahead and finish your questioning of the 8 witness. 9 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. MCAMIS: 10 BY MS. MCAMIS: 11 Okay. Areahia, when I was about to ask you a 12 question, I want to refocus on that. Okay. I'll ask it again. 13 I'd asked about why you got into foster care, why you were 14 removed from your home. So why is that, if you know? 15 Because it was -- it wasn't safe to live in, and it Α 16 didn't have any electricity or water or heat or anything. 17 Okay. Were there other people in the home that you 18 had negative interactions with? I'm not specifically asking 19 about mom, but were there other people that were involved in 20 your life that negatively impacted you that led to you being 2.1 taken out of the home? 22 Α No. 2.3 THE COURT: Were there other grown-ups living in the

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

2.4

25

home besides your mom?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Ms. McAmis.
2	MS. MCAMIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Did you have a bad event or any
4	Is that where you're going with this?
5	MS. MCAMIS: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Did you have any problems or issues with
7	any of the other grown-ups that were living in the home other
8	than your, you know, besides your mom?
9	THE WITNESS: Not the home we got taken out of, but
10	there was my siblings' father in the previous home before that.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	THE WITNESS: The one that we got "tooken" out of.
13	BY MS. MCAMIS:
14	Q Now, when CPS got involved in your life or all the
15	caseworkers got involved in your life, they gave you a support
16	system, right?
17	A I don't know what that is.
18	Q That's fair. They gave you people to help and to
19	talk to, right?
20	A I think so.
21	Q Did you have people who helped transported you or
22	transporting you to appointments?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Do you know what they were what their names were
25	or what their titles were?

1	А	I don't remember.
2	Q	Okay. But you had appointments with doctors, right?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And sometimes Janet went with you to those,
5	right?	
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Actually, Janet took you to all of your doctor
8	appointme	nts, right?
9	А	Yeah.
10	Q	Okay. And your caseworker was getting updates about
11	all of yo	ur medical appointments, right?
12	А	I think so, yeah.
13		THE COURT: I don't know that she would know what her
14	caseworke	r was getting. So I don't think she's
15		MS. MCAMIS: Sometimes there's just as an officer
16	of the co	urt, I appeared over in abuse and neglect. So
17	sometimes	if the child's old enough they will have that
18	contact,	but
19		THE COURT: Okay.
20		MS. BLUTH: So if we could just lay a foundation.
21		THE COURT: Yeah. But otherwise she wouldn't know
22	what the	doctors were doing. You can ask if she had contact
23	with the	caseworkers or, you know, whatever.
24		MS. MCAMIS: Sure. Thank you.
25		THE COURT: But she's not going to know what records

```
they're getting.
1
 2
               MS. MCAMIS: Sure.
                                    Sure.
 3
     BY MS. MCAMIS:
 4
          Q
               Janet took you for appointments like checkups, right?
 5
          Α
               Yes.
6
                      So you had a checkup for just like a general
          0
               Okav.
 7
     doctor, right?
8
          Α
               Yes.
9
          Q
               Okay.
10
               THE COURT: Do you know what that doctor -- do you
11
     remember what that doctor's name was?
12
               THE WITNESS: No.
13
               THE COURT: Okay.
14
     BY MS. MCAMIS:
15
               If I asked you do you remember any doctor named
          Q
     Dr. Saad? Does that sound familiar?
16
17
          Α
               No.
18
          Q
               Okay.
19
          Α
               No.
20
               All right. But she took you to other appointments
          Q
21
     too, like, to get your eyes checked, right?
22
          Α
               Yes.
2.3
               Okay. And to the dentist, right?
2.4
               Yes.
          Α
25
                      So there were times when she also took you to,
          Q
               Okay.
                            JD Reporting, Inc.
                     State vs Solander / 2018-01-31
```

like, a therapist's office, right? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 And I want to make it clear. I'm not asking you 4 anything about what you talked about with your therapist. 5 Okay? 6 Α Okay. 7 0 I just wanted to know if Ms. Solander from time to 8 time took you to the therapist's office? 9 Α Yes. Do you remember the name of your therapist? 10 Q 11 Ms. Lori [phonetic]. Α 12 Ms. Lori. Okay. How long did you see Ms. Lori? Q 13 Α I don't know. 14 Okay. Does it sound right that you may have seen her Q 15 from, like, the summertime in July to maybe November of 2013? 16 Maybe. I --Α 17 Does that sound like it could be right? 0 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. Now, if it doesn't, you have to tell me. Q 20 Okay? 2.1 Α Okay. 22 Okay. Did you have interactions with Ms. Lori where Q 2.3 you became upset that she -- that she disclosed things that you 2.4 were talking about in therapy to other people? 25 I don't remember. Α

Do you remember indicating that you purposely wet 1 Q 2 yourself because you were upset with Ms. Lori and did not want 3 to go to a therapy visit -- actually let me lay a better foundation. 4 5 Prior to going to a therapy visit, Ms. Janet would 6 get you ready, right? 7 Α Yes. 8 She would help, like, you know, get you dressed and Q 9 eat food and all of that, right? 10 Α Yes. 11 Okay. And then she would also get some food ready 0 12 for you to take to your therapy visits? 13 Α Yes. 14 And you got to -- you had your therapy visits at 15 Ms. Lori's office on Saturdays, right? 16 Α I think so, yes. 17 Okay. So on one of these Saturdays were you upset 18 with Ms. Lori because she disclosed something you told her in confidence and wet yourself? 19 20 I do not remember. I don't --Α 2.1 Q Okay. 22 THE COURT: Was there a point in time when you were 2.3 supposed to go to a therapy visit when you wet yourself? 2.4 THE WITNESS: Not a therapy visit, no. 25 THE COURT: Okay. We talked a little bit before that

you had a bathroom accident. Other than the one we talked 1 2 about, did you have any other bathroom accidents where you wet 3 yourself or went number two in your pants or anything like that? 4 5 THE WITNESS: I did one morning of a visit, and I 6 didn't go because of it, yeah. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Ms. McAmis. 8 BY MS. MCAMIS: 9 Thank you for answering that question. Okav. 10 because I used a wrong word. You were going to visits on 11 Saturdays? 12 Α Yes. 13 They just happened to be at your therapist's office? Q 14 Yes. Α 15 Okay. You have -- strike that. All right. So you 16 testified earlier about things in the Solander home. So I'm 17 going to ask you about that. Okay? 18 Α Okay. So you stayed in a bedroom upstairs with a bathroom 19 20 nearby, right? 2.1 Α Yes. 22 Okay. And was that bathroom locked? Q 2.3 Α No. 2.4 So you were able to go into the bathroom when 0 25 you needed to in order to use the rest room, right?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Because at that time you were about 9, and you were
3	absolute	ly potty trained, right?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. You also went into that bathroom to regularly
6	take you	r showers, right?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. And you got to control the temperature for
9	your sho	wers, right?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Now, there were times when Ms. Janet may have asked
12	you to,	like, help grab things for the bathroom, right?
13	А	I think so, yes.
14	Q	Okay. For example, there were times where she had
15	you go h	elp get toilet paper from the laundry room, right?
16	А	I don't remember.
17	Q	Okay. Was there a time where she asked you to go get
18	some toi	let paper, and you grabbed the flower toilet paper
19	instead	of the plain and she got upset?
20	А	I really don't remember.
21	Q	Okay. All right. So you were going to school at
22	what was	your elementary school? Darnell?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. You were going to Darnell Elementary while you
25	were liv	ing in the Solander home, right?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. And Mrs. Solander would prepare your lunches
3	and your	snacks when you went to class every day, right?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	And those snacks were like carrots and bologna
6	sandwiche	es, right?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	She didn't send you with sugary treats like candy,
9	right?	
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. And then when you came home, you had to do
12	your home	ework from school, right?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And you had to sit with all of the other kids at the
15	kitchen c	counter to do your homework, right?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. And that was every day after class, right?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Okay. And you had some trouble with some of your
20	actually	with maybe one of your subjects math, right?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	And that can be kind of upsetting when you're having
23	trouble w	with a subject, right?
24	А	Yes, I guess.
25	Q	It can make you kind of lose your temper, right?

1	A Yeah.
2	Q Okay. And so Ms. Janet kept telling you you had to
3	do your math homework, right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q So you could turn it in the next day and get your
6	credit, right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. So you talked you talked about observing
9	the other kids in the home, and the other kids in home also had
LO	to do their homework, right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And they also had to eat dinner, right?
L3	MS. BLUTH: Objection just to what kids were talking
L4	about. I'm just not sure. All of them or
L5	THE COURT: Okay.
L6	BY MS. MCAMIS:
L7	Q When I was asking about the kids, I meant any of the
L8	kids who were living in the home, including any foster children
L9	and also Ava, Amaya and Anastasia.
20	MS. BLUTH: So, Judge, I'm going to object to that in
21	regards to relevance and outside the scope of my direct as well
22	as my motion.
23	MS. MCAMIS: Well
24	THE COURT: Ms
25	MS. MCAMIS: Sorry.

1 THE COURT: Ms. McAmis. 2 It's relevant as for her observation, it MS. MCAMIS: 3 goes to the credibility of what else she observed in the home, 4 and it's brought up in the --5 I think it is a little beyond, but I'll THE COURT: 6 let her answer. Just don't go too far down this path --7 MS. MCAMIS: That was -- right. 8 THE COURT: -- because --9 MS. MCAMIS: Right. 10 THE COURT: If she observed incidents with the other 11 children, we did get a little bit into the showering and stuff, 12 so, with the other child. 13 So did you -- did everybody have to do their homework 14 together there at the -- in the kitchen? 15 THE WITNESS: Me and the -- and the other girls, yes. 16 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. 17 BY MS. MCAMIS: 18 You also talked about -- actually let me ask Okay. 19 you. You talked about that you had snacks at school. You also 20 ate at home, right? Or at the Solander home. I'm sure you 2.1 don't call it home, but you also ate at the Solander home, 22 right? 2.3 Α Yes. 2.4 Including dinner and breakfast, right? Q 25 Yes. Α

Your daily schedule is for school you got up at 1 Q 2 7:00 a.m., right? 3 Α Yes. 4 And Ms. Janet would get you up, right? 5 Α Yes. 6 And you testified that sometimes you were really 0 7 tired in the morning and you would fall back asleep, right? 8 Α Yes. 9 So there were times that Janet had to wake you up so 0 10 you weren't late for school, right? 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. And then she -- and so she'd get you ready, 13 and you took the bus to school, right? 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. Areahia, I'm going to ask you just a little 16 bit more about the accidents, the wetting or the soiling. 17 Okay? 18 Α Okay. 19 And I don't mean to embarrass you. Q 20 Α Okay. Okay. When you would wet or soil yourself, you said 2.1 22 it only happened, like, two times while you were with 2.3 Ms. Solander? 2.4 Yes, I think so. Α Yeah. 25 It didn't happen every day, right? Q JD Reporting, Inc.

State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

1	А	Yeah.
2	Q	And then when you did have an accident, you cleaned
3	up after	yourself, right?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. And she would have you or she would tell you
6	to go cle	an up after yourself, right?
7	А	Yes.
8		MS. MCAMIS: Okay. Court's indulgence.
9		THE COURT: Uh-huh.
LO	BY MS. MC.	AMIS:
l1	Q	Okay. So you testified about some of your
12	observati	ons with I think it was your brother Demar, right?
L3	А	Yes.
L4	Q	Okay. And you talked about how before you were in
L5	the Solan	der home you helped out a lot with them, including
L6	potty tra	ining, right?
L7	А	Yes.
L8	Q	Okay. When you got to the Solander home, you no
L9	longer ha	d to do that, right?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. So Ms. Solander would take care of potty
22	training	or changing diapers, right?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. And she would do that upstairs in the
25	bathroom,	right?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And you weren't in the room for any of that, right?
3	А	Yeah.
4	Q	Okay. When she was helping your brother or your
5	sister c	out with any potty issues, you spent your time
6	downstai	rs working on homework or reading a book, right?
7	А	Yes.
8		MS. MCAMIS: Okay. Court's indulgence.
9	BY MS. M	ICAMIS:
LO	Q	Can I just ask one more question, Areahia?
11	А	Areahia. It's Areahia.
L2	Q	Thank you. Areahia. Do you know the reason you no
L3	longer w	rent to see Ms. Lori, your therapist?
L4	А	No.
L5		MS. MCAMIS: Okay. All right. I would pass the
L6	witness.	
L7		THE COURT: Ms. Bluth.
L8		MS. BLUTH: Just briefly, please.
L9		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. E	SLUTH:
21	Q	Okay. So I want to make sure I understand this, and
22	I think	Ms. McAmis was just touching on it. When you got
23	original	ly when you got to the Solanders', Demar was the only
24	one who	still wet the bed occasionally. Do I have that right?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q As you stayed with the Solanders, did the toileting
2	issues become did they become an issue for Keisha and Demar?
3	A Yes.
4	MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection. Misstates the
5	testimony. She testified earlier that one of them was not
6	potty trained, and the other one had accidents prior to coming
7	into the Solander home.
8	MS. BLUTH: No. That
9	THE COURT: Oh. Did Keisha have accidents prior to
10	moving into the Solander home, bathroom accidents?
11	THE WITNESS: No.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	BY MS. BLUTH:
14	Q So was Keisha potty did you train Keisha?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And before you got to the Solanders' house, was
17	Keisha potty trained?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Was
20	THE COURT: And did
21	I'm sorry.
22	MS. BLUTH: That's okay.
23	THE COURT: Did Keisha ever have any accidents?
24	MS. BLUTH: Well, when, like
25	THE WITNESS: Not that I know of, no.
	JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

THE COURT: Okay. And your other, Demar, he was in 1 2 the process of being potty trained? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 THE COURT: And he wore the pull up pants, you know, 5 like the pull-up diapers. 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And then the other siblings was an 8 infant or a baby? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Who was in diapers; is that right? 11 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. BY MS. BLUTH: 12 13 So I'm not going to talk about Novalie because she 14 was a baby, but with Demar, did he wear pull-ups during the 15 day, or he was potty trained during the day? He was wearing pull-ups sometimes. 16 Α 17 THE COURT: Oh, Demar. 18 MS. BLUTH: Demar. Yeah. BY MS. BLUTH: 19 20 And so sometimes he would wear underwear? 0 2.1 Α Yeah, if -- to train him, yeah. 22 Okay. But before you guys got to the Solanders', Q 2.3 would Demar have accidents during the day or only at night? 2.4 Only at night. Α 25 Okay. So now I want to talk about when you get to Q

1	the Solanders'. When you get to the Solanders', you know,
2	after you've been there a period of time weeks, months, you
3	can tell me did Keisha start having accidents?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Were there pee accidents, poop accidents or both?
6	A I think sometimes poop.
7	MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection. Calls for speculation
8	THE COURT: Don't guess. Only if you know.
9	THE WITNESS: I know peeing, yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And how do you know that Keisha
11	would have peeing accidents?
12	THE WITNESS: She she would tell Janet this.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And was that you were present
14	when that happened?
15	THE WITNESS: In the next room.
16	THE COURT: In the next room. So would you hear
17	Keisha telling Janet I peed my pants, or what would you hear?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, I would hear telling her that she
19	had an accident. Yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	BY MS. BLUTH:
22	Q Would you hear Janet's response to Keisha?
23	A No.
24	Q And when I say that, like would you hear, you know,
25	Janet have a conversation with Keisha about it?

1	MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection. Asked and answered.
2	She said she couldn't hear.
3	THE COURT: No, I don't think she said that.
4	Overruled.
5	BY MS. BLUTH:
6	Q Do you understand my question?
7	A Not really.
8	Q That's okay. Any time you don't understand, tell me,
9	and I'll clear it up. Okay?
10	THE COURT: When you heard it
11	I'm sorry.
12	When you heard Keisha tell Janet that I've had an
13	accident, what would did you hear what Janet said back to
14	Keisha?
15	THE WITNESS: Not all the time, but
16	THE COURT: Sometimes did you hear it?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. What kinds of things would Janet
19	say? What did you overhear Janet saying?
20	THE WITNESS: She would sometimes get angry and tell
21	her to go upstairs or tell her to go in timeout.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And why do you think Janet was
23	angry?
24	THE WITNESS: Well, because Keisha had an accident.
25	I mean

No, like --1 MS. BLUTH: 2 No. I mean, like, what was it about THE COURT: No. 3 Janet that made you think she was angry? 4 THE WITNESS: She would yell or -- or she just, I 5 don't know, just by yelling. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Go on. 7 BY MS. BLUTH: 8 Q When -- you talked a little bit on direct that you would see Janet kick your brother and your sister either up or 9 10 down the stairs. 11 Α Yes. 12 Was that --Q 13 THE COURT: I think she said up the stairs. 14 MS. MCAMIS: It was up the stairs. 15 THE WITNESS: Up. 16 MS. BLUTH: Up? 17 MS. MCAMIS: Yeah. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 BY MS. BLUTH: 20 Okay. Okay. You would see them kicking -- you would 21 see her kicking them up the stairs. Was that because of 22 toileting issues? 2.3 Α Yes. 2.4 MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection. Speculation. 25 THE COURT: Overruled. I mean, I think she already JD Reporting, Inc.

said it was when they --1 2 If she's -- if she is --MS. BLUTH: 3 I overruled it. You don't have to THE COURT: No. 4 argue. 5 MS. BLUTH: Okay. I just feel like we're doing the 6 same thing over. 7 BY MS. BLUTH: 8 Q You talked about when you would do your homework. 9 When you would sit downstairs, you could see part of the 10 stairway. You could see Janet doing the kicking; is that 11 right? 12 Yes, only the -- only the opening. Α 13 The opening of the staircase? Q 14 Yes. Α 15 Okay. After you left the Solander home, where did 16 you guys go? Did you go as a unit somewhere? 17 Yes, we went to Child Haven. Α 18 Okay. And then after Child Haven did you get -- did you go into another foster home, or where did you guys go? 19 20 Not right after, but I think a day or a couple days 21 later, yeah. 22 Q Okay. And did you all four go together? 2.3 Α Yes. 2.4 Okay. Did you have any toileting accidents after 0 25 that?

1	А	No.
2	Q	Did you feel like you could go to the bathroom freely
3	at that	new foster house?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Sorry, Areahia. I had a question on the tip of my
6	tongue,	and I I lost it for a second. So let me just
7	once you	were at Child Haven, did you feel like you could go to
8	the bath	room whenever you wanted?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	But when you were at the Solanders' could you go
11	whenever	you wanted or you always had to ask?
12	А	I had to ask.
13	Q	In regards to when you were in the new foster home
14	and you	were around I imagine you were around your brother
15	and sist	er within that home?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Did they continue to have those same toileting
18	issues?	
19	А	No, only Demar, but only at night.
20	Q	When you were in therapy with Lori, did you ever talk
21	to her a	about how you were being treated in the Solander home?
22	А	No.
23	Q	And I think when Ms. Caitlyn was asking you questions
24	she was	asking you about a time you urinated and had an
25	accident	before therapy. I want to clarify that. Was there a

time that you had a urinating accident before a visit? What 1 2 are those called? Visitations. 3 Α Yes. 4 Okay. Do you remember what happened that caused you 5 to have an accident? 6 I -- I -- I think I was doing something in my room, 7 and I just couldn't make it. 8 When you would -- after school when you would have to 9 do your homework, did you -- like after you got done with your 10 homework, could you then move around the house freely, or did 11 you always stay there doing your homework? 12 I always stayed there. 13 So could you ever go and, like, play with your 0 14 siblings? 15 Α No, not that I -- no. 16 So from the moment you got home to the moment you Q 17 went to bed besides, like, eating dinner, did you have to stay 18 and do your homework? 19 Α Yes. 20 Were you allowed contact with your siblings? Like 0 21 could you pick them up, hold them, things like that? 22 Α No. 2.3 And whose rule was that? 2.4 Janet's. Α

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

When you were sitting and doing your homework, could

25

Q

1	you explain to me is it a table or a counter? How does it	
2	work?	
3	A It's sort of both. I don't know how to explain it.	
4	Q Well, like a table, I guess, would, you know if	
5	like this were a table and there would be chairs on each side	
6	of it, or, you know, a counter would be more kind of like what	
7	the Judge has around her, like in the kitchen area around the	
8	sink or something. Would you be at a table, or would you be	
9	more at a counter area?	
10	A It was a counter, but, like, it had I don't know	
11	how to explain it. I don't.	
12	Q Okay. No, that's okay.	
13	THE COURT: Did you sit on, like, a chair, like a low	
14	chair like what you're sitting in now, or is it more like a	
15	higher-up chair like a stool?	
16	THE WITNESS: No, it was a low chair.	
17	THE COURT: A low just kind of okay.	
18	BY MS. BLUTH:	
19	Q And then was there ever anything put up around you so	
20	you couldn't see anybody else?	
21	A I don't remember.	
22	MS. BLUTH: Okay. All right. Perfect. Thank you so	
23	much, Areahia.	
24	That concludes my questions, Your Honor.	
25	THE COURT: I just want to follow up on the eating	

1	thing. You testified that for lunch you would have a sandwich
2	and maybe some carrot sticks. What would you normally eat for
3	breakfast before you went to school?
4	THE WITNESS: Oatmeal.
5	THE COURT: Oatmeal. Okay.
6	THE WITNESS: Or cereal.
7	THE COURT: Or just, like, cold cereal? Like what
8	kind of cereal did you like to eat?
9	THE WITNESS: It was, I think, Kix. I don't
10	remember.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And then what kind of food would
12	you did you eat the same dinner food as the rest
13	everybody else in the Solander house?
14	THE WITNESS: Only my siblings.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So you and your siblings had one
16	kind of food. What about the adopted Solander girls? Did they
17	eat something different?
18	THE WITNESS: They would drink something. I don't
19	THE COURT: Okay. What about Mr. and Mrs. Solander.
20	Did you ever watch them eat dinner?
21	THE WITNESS: No. I never watched them eat. No.
22	THE COURT: So they didn't sit down and eat with you
23	and your siblings?
24	THE WITNESS: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And what about their daughter

1	Danielle? Did Danielle eat with you and your siblings?	
2	THE WITNESS: No.	
3	THE COURT: Okay. So do you know what Mr. and	
4	Mrs. Solander ate for dinner?	
5	THE WITNESS: No.	
6	THE COURT: And do you know what Danielle ate for	
7	dinner?	
8	THE WITNESS: No.	
9	THE COURT: Okay. But you and your siblings would	
10	eat, and the Solander, the three girls, Ava and her sisters,	
11	they would drink something for dinner?	
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
13	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any follow up, Ms.	
14	Bluth, to that?	
15	MS. BLUTH: I don't, Your Honor. Thank you.	
16	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. McAmis.	
17	MS. MCAMIS: I do have recross.	
18	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
19	BY MS. MCAMIS:	
20	Q Areahia, you were talking earlier about how	
21	Ms. Solander kicked the adopted siblings, the adopted children	
22	up the stairs?	
23	MS. BLUTH: And I apologize. Objection. That	
24	misstates her testimony.	
25	THE COURT: That's sustained. She was talking about	
	JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31	

1	her own siblings as I recall.	
2	MS. MCAMIS: Okay. Thank you for that.	
3	BY MS. MCAMIS:	
4	Q Areahia, you were talking about what you observed,	
5	your own siblings being kicked up the stairs, right?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Okay. How was Ms. Janet kicking them?	
8	A On their butts. Just kicking them	
9	Q And what was she	
10	A up the stairs.	
11	Q Okay. So she was using her foot	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q and making contact with their rears?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q And this was after they had potty accidents?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Okay. So it was to direct them to go up the stairs	
18	for cleaning purposes?	
19	MS. BLUTH: Objection. Speculation as to what it was	
20	done to do?	
21	THE COURT: State the state your question again.	
22	MS. MCAMIS: I asked the purpose of the kicking was	
23	to get them up the stairs after potty accidents for cleaning.	
24	THE COURT: That's sustained. That would be	
25	speculation.	

MS. MCAMIS: Okay. 1 2 BY MS. MCAMIS: 3 Based on your observation, after you saw Ms. Janet 4 kicking your siblings up the stairs, they went into the 5 bathroom, right? 6 Yes. Α Okay. Did you follow them? 8 Α No. 9 Now, you testified that you spent most of your time 0 10 at home in the kitchen, right? 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. And that you weren't allowed to interact with 13 your siblings or play or just get up and wander around, right? 14 Yes. Α 15 Okay. So you were never taken to Target to buy new 16 clothes by Ms. Solander? 17 Α That was for --18 MS. BLUTH: Objection. Relevance. MS. MCAMIS: Well, it goes to the statement of she 19 20 was never --2.1 THE COURT: Well, I think the statement pertained to 22 when she was home in the house. 2.3 MS. MCAMIS: Right. But if she's testifying that she 2.4 just was only home in the house, this would go to the relevance 25 of that.

1	THE COURT: But I don't know that she I thought
2	she was testifying when she was in the house she
3	MS. BLUTH: My question was about after school
4	THE COURT: Right.
5	MS. BLUTH: or home.
6	THE COURT: After school, did you ever, like, go in
7	your bedroom, or did you have to stay in the kitchen area?
8	THE WITNESS: I stayed in the kitchen area.
9	THE COURT: Was that because you wanted to stay in
10	the kitchen area, or were you told to stay in the kitchen area?
11	THE WITNESS: I was told to.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Go on.
13	BY MS. MCAMIS:
14	Q Now, the Solander house had a family room with a TV,
15	right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. And you got to actually watch TV with your
18	siblings after your homework was done, right?
19	A No.
20	Q You never watched TV once while you were in the
21	Solander home?
22	A I remember watching a movie once, yes, but I don't
23	remember anything else.
24	Q Okay. Now, Ms. Janet provided a number of things for
25	you, including food, right?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. And she bought you clothes, right?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And she took you with her when she bought those
5	clothes,	right?
6		MS. BLUTH: Objection. Relevance. Outside the scope
7	of direct	redirect.
8		THE COURT: That's sustained.
9		Did you ever did Ms. Solander or Mr. Solander, for
10	that matt	er, did they ever take you out to eat anywhere, like
11	McDonald'	s or, you know, anything like Burger King or any
12	restauran	ts?
13		THE WITNESS: I don't remember.
14		THE COURT: Okay.
15	BY MS. MC.	AMIS:
16	Q	Okay. There were times where you and Ms. Janet would
17	go on wal	ks with Danielle, right?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And that was outside the home, right?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Because she was trying to help you with just being
22	healthier	, being more active, right?
23		MS. BLUTH: Objection. Speculation as to what
24	defendant	was trying to do.
25		THE COURT: That's sustained unless
		JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

MS. MCAMIS: Well, I --1 2 THE COURT: Unless Ms. Solander told --3 MS. MCAMIS: I can try to lay a foundation, Your 4 Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 BY MS. MCAMIS: 7 Q Did you and Ms. Janet talk about trying to be more 8 active so you could lose weight? 9 Α Yes. 10 Q Okay. And so then she would walk with you, and 11 Danielle outside just around your neighborhood? 12 Α Yes. 13 Okay. And you went on walks more than once with her, Q 14 right? 15 Α Yes. 16 You would go on walks several times, right? Q 17 Α Yes. 18 I have a few more questions about the kicking up the Q 19 stairs. Okay? 20 Α Okay. 2.1 Areahia, when Ms. Janet was kicking your siblings up 22 the stairs, were they falling down? 2.3 Yes, sometimes. They would stumble, yeah. Α 2.4 They would stumble. Okay. Were they falling down 0 25 the stairs and tumbling over and falling on the kitchen floor?

1 Α No. 2 They -- did they have blood all over 3 themselves after they were kicked and falling down? 4 Α No. 5 Objection. Relevance. MS. BLUTH: 6 What was the question? THE COURT: 7 MS. MCAMIS: Did they have blood all over themselves 8 after being kicked. 9 THE COURT: She can answer. I'm not sure --10 Was there any -- do you see any blood? 11 THE WITNESS: No. 12 BY MS. MCAMIS: 13 Did they ever have to go to the hospital after being Q 14 kicked? 15 Α No. 16 Did they cry and scream after being kicked? Q 17 Α They would cry, yes. 18 Were they crying before they were being kicked Q 19 because they had soiled themselves? 20 Sometimes, yes. Α 2.1 Okay. You testified that you had to ask permission 22 to go to the bathroom. 2.3 Α Yes. 2.4 You asked permission every single time you went to 25 the bathroom?

1	A Only in the day. At night if we had to go, we could.
2	Q Okay. So you could freely go to the bathroom by
3	yourself at night?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. But it was just during the day that you had to
6	ask?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay.
9	THE COURT: I have a question about going to the
10	bathroom at night. Was there toilet paper in the bathroom, or
11	what did you use for toilet paper at night?
12	THE WITNESS: She'd lay she would lay sheets down
13	on the counter.
14	THE COURT: At night?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Was it, like, two little sheets, or was
17	it like a whole big, you know, long roll or what was it?
18	THE WITNESS: No. It was the same thing as when she
19	handed us toilet paper. It was a specific amount of sheets for
20	either one or two.
21	THE COURT: Okay. So was it like how many sheets
22	about? Would it be like a lot of sheets laid out?
23	THE WITNESS: Three for number one, or four or six
24	for number two.
25	THE COURT: Okay. But how so was it just one

stack, one four and one two, or was there, you know, like three 1 2 or four things of two, and three or four things of four? Do 3 you remember? 4 THE WITNESS: No, I don't really remember the layout. 5 THE COURT: Okay. But there would be some toilet 6 tissue in the bathroom? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Do you know if your siblings were 9 and the other children were allowed to go to the bathroom at 10 night if they needed to go? 11 THE WITNESS: My siblings were, yes. 12 THE COURT: Okay. What about the Solander girls? 13 Were they allowed to -- do you know whether or not they could 14 just go to the bathroom at night? 15 THE WITNESS: No, I don't know. 16 THE COURT: You don't know. Okay. 17 BY MS. MCAMIS: 18 When Ms. Solander was kicking your siblings up the 19 stairs, did she, like, do a windup? Did she lean really far 20 back when she --THE COURT: What does that mean? 2.1 22 MS. MCAMIS: That's a fair question. 2.3 BY MS. MCAMIS: 2.4 So if I could demonstrate, there's a difference 0 25 between, like, kicking, like, with a push. You can't even see.

How on earth am I going to --1 2 MS. MCAMIS: Do I have permission to use the well? 3 THE COURT: Well, why don't we ask -- yes, sure. 4 MS. MCAMIS: It's just a brief demonstration, 5 especially as far as the wind up. BY MS. MCAMIS: 6 7 0 Did she lean really far back and put her foot back 8 like this, did she have it up in the air? That's what I mean 9 by windup. No. 10 Α 11 Okay. When you saw her kick, did she just lift her 0 12 foot and use it to push one of your siblings up the stairs? 13 She wouldn't -- it would be much more aggressive. Α 14 Q Okay. 15 But she wouldn't swing her leg back, no. 16 Okay. And did this happen every time that one of Q 17 your siblings had an accident? 18 Α No. 19 Was she kicking them up the stairs every single day? Q 20 Α No. 2.1 Q Was she --22 MS. MCAMIS: Well, Court's indulgence. 2.3 Thank you, Areahia. Okay. 2.4 THE COURT: No further questions? 25 MS. MCAMIS: No. I'm sorry. I do have -- I'm trying JD Reporting, Inc.

State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

1 to --2 BY MS. MCAMIS: 3 Okay. On the first floor of the home, where there's things like banisters -- do you know what a banister is? 4 5 Yes, I do. Α 6 Is that something like kind of like a pillar in the 7 home for structure? 8 Α Um --9 It's okav. I'll withdraw the question. You don't 0 10 have to answer that. Okay. 11 Α Okay. 12 But there were a number of banisters on the first 13 floor, right? 14 Yes. Α 15 Q And you couldn't just see directly through the house, 16 right? 17 Α Yes. 18 They would partially block your view depending on Q 19 where you were in the home? 20 Α Yes. 2.1 Okay. You were asked by Ms. Bluth about whether or 22 not you told Ms. Lori about anything that was going on in the 2.3 home, and your answer was no. My question to you is you didn't 2.4 tell anyone else that you were talking to, as far as a 25 caseworker or people who drove you, about problems in the home,

1	right?	
2	А	Not not until the
3	Q	The only time that you did was when you spoke to, I
4	think, N	Ms. Christine?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. Or maybe it was Ms. Christina. It's one of
7	those.	Okay. So before that you didn't talk to anybody?
8	А	No.
9	Q	Okay. And before that, you had lived in the home for
10	how long	g?
11	А	I think almost a year. I don't remember.
12	Q	Well, just based on what you remember you think it
13	might ha	ave been a year?
14	А	I think so.
15		MS. MCAMIS: Okay. Pass the witness now. Thank you.
16		THE COURT: Ms. Bluth, any redirect?
17		MS. BLUTH: Just one.
18		FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. I	BLUTH:
20	Q	Were there any gates, alarms or cameras up by
21	Janet	- put up by Janet to deal with the toileting?
22	А	There was an alarm on my siblings' room?
23	Q	What would what was the alarm for, if you know?
24		I don't really know. I mean, I know she put it up
25	there to	o I I don't really know.

MS. BLUTH: Okay. Thank you. 1 2 Nothing, Judge. 3 MS. MCAMIS: Brief follow up on that. 4 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. MCAMIS: 6 When you were talking about this alarm, did it ever 7 beat and go off? 8 Yes, when the door was open. 9 Would it go off really loud like an alarm system, or 0 would it just make a single beep noise when the door was 10 11 opened? 12 It would go off loud. Α 13 Okay. Did the police ever come for that? Q 14 Α No. 15 Okay. This alarm system, did it include, like, a Q 16 camera, like a nanny cam? Do you know what that is? 17 Α Yes, but I don't think so. 18 Okay. You just -- you don't know any more than that? Q 19 Α Yeah, I don't. 20 Okay. How many times did this alarm go off? 0 2.1 Α Whenever the door was opened. 22 Q Okay. 2.3 I don't know how many times, just whenever it was 2.4 opened at night when it was set. 25 Okay. It was set at night? Q JD Reporting, Inc.

1	A Yes.
2	MS. MCAMIS: Okay. Pass the witness. Thank you.
3	MS. BLUTH: Nothing further, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right. We don't have any other
5	questions for you. So thank you for your testimony, and you're
6	excused at this time.
7	(Excerpt ended 3:00 p.m.)
8	(Excerpt begins 4:07 p.m.)
9	LURLINE WELLS
10	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,
11	testified as follows:
12	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat and state
13	and spell both your first and last name for the record.
14	THE WITNESS: My name is Lurline Wells.
15	L-u-r-l-i-n-e. Last name Wells, W-e-l-l-s.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
17	Ms. Bluth.
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. BLUTH:
20	Q Ms. Wells, how are you employed?
21	A I am employed as a marriage and family therapist and
22	an intern and a licensed alcohol and drug counselor.
23	Q Okay. Now, how long have you been working in these
24	types of fields?
25	A Approximately 13 years.
	JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

Could you explain your training and education that 1 Q 2 allowed you to hold both the positions that you were just 3 talking about. 4 Α I am -- have a master's in psychology, and with that 5 master's there is an emphasis in marriage family therapy. 6 came here to Nevada and was employed and did a 3,000 hour 7 internship which got me the LADC. You have to be approved by 8 the board. 9 What's the LADC? 0 10 Licensed alcohol and drug counselor. 11 0 Got it. 12 So I did that first with 3,000 hours, and then I did 13 the marriage family therapist internship. Understood. Okay. Now, you -- you don't have a 14 Q 15 standard curriculum vitae, correct? 16 Α No. 17 I asked you for, like, a resume, and you provided me 18 with that. 19 Α Correct. 20 All right. You are also not like a hired expert by 2.1 the State. You are someone who treated Areahia Diaz and her 22 siblings? 2.3 Α Correct. 2.4 So I'd like to turn your attention now to 2013. Did 0

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

you start working with Areahia and her siblings in a

25

therapeutic-type setting?

A I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

- Q Would you also be in charge of supervised visitations with their biological parents?
 - A I was.
 - Q Where were you working during that time period?
 - A I was working at Legacy Health and Wellness.
 - Q And what were your job duties there?
- A I was a marriage family therapist intern. I did biopsychosocial assessments.
 - 0 What is that? What's that?
- A Where we take an intake of the history of the client and add so they give us all this information regarding their past history, their current history. We use resources such as CPS or DCFS to gather information, and in order to treat them, we have to do this assessment and then have a treatment plan so we're following some type of correct excuse me criteria to help them get well.
- Q Okay. So and I don't want to keep saying siblings. I'm talking about Areahia Diaz, Keisha Burnett, Demar Burnett and Novalie Burnett, but Novalie was a baby. So did you see her?
 - A Yes. She came to family visits.
- Q Okay. Now, how long did you work with these children for?

1	А	That was four years ago. So I'm going to say the
2	time frame	e was between six and nine months.
3	Q	Okay. Now, during that time period were the children
4	in foster	care?
5	А	They were.
6	Q	And do you remember the names of the foster parents
7	that they	had?
8	А	Janet and Dwight Solander.
9	Q	Do you see Ms. Solander in the courtroom today?
10	А	I do.
11	Q	Can you please just describe to me some clothing that
12	she's wearing.	
13	A	She's wearing a, I believe, a pink sweater, pinkish,
14	purple sweater.	
15	Q	Okay. And she
16		THE COURT: The record will reflect the
17	identifica	ation.
18		MS. BLUTH: Okay. Thank you.
19	BY MS. BL	JTH:
20	Q	Now, as part of working with those children, did you
21	have conta	act with both Janet and Dwight?
22	А	I did.
23	Q	And would that be, like, just a one-time thing, or
24	was it sor	mewhat regular contact?
25	А	It was somewhat regular contact.
	ĺ	

In the course of your treatment of these children, 1 Q 2 did Janet let you know what she did for a living? 3 Yes, she did. And what was that? 4 0 5 She was both a foster parent and a nurse. Α 6 How -- when you dealt with her, how would you 0 7 describe her personality or demeanor? Is she a shy person, 8 quiet? Like, explain to me. 9 She had a very strong personality. I would say at 10 times she was overbearing, and she seemed to -- I got the 11 impression that she knew a lot. You know, she knew everything. 12 So whatever I questioned on, she had an answer for it. 13 Okay. And in regards to Dwight, did you also have 0 14 contact with him? 15 Α Yes. 16 How would you describe his personality? 17 He had a very strong personality as well, but he was Α 18 intimidating, and except for with Ms. Solander, she was -- he 19 was submissive. 20 To her? 0 2.1 Α Yes, just to her. 22 Okay. During the period of time in which you were 2.3 treating these children, did you contact CPS several times 2.4 regarding concerns over these children?

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection. Foundation.

25

Several

It's ambiguous. 1 times. 2 THE COURT: Overruled. 3 BY MS. BLUTH: 4 Q More than once? 5 THE COURT: Well, I said overruled. MS. MCAMIS: Ambiguous time frame. 6 7 MS. BLUTH: Okay. I'm sorry. 8 THE COURT: I said overruled. I'm sorry. I couldn't hear. 9 MS. BLUTH: 10 THE COURT: Did you contact CPS about these children? 11 THE WITNESS: Consistently and regularly. I can't --12 How many times did you contact CPS? THE COURT: 13 THE WITNESS: Every time I saw the children. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And what was the Solanders' role? 15 Was it just to bring the children for the visitation? 16 THE WITNESS: Actually, we had somebody that would 17 bring -- they had a BST worker, which is a basic skills trainer 18 or the -- I can't recall if they had a psycho (unintelligible). 19 I'm sorry. I'm a little nervous. Psychosocial skills 20 provider, but they would bring the kids to treatment, but the 2.1 Solanders would often show up at treatment. 22 BY MS. BLUTH: 2.3 Okay. And I want to -- I want to get into some of 2.4 your concerns in regard to the Solanders coming to treatment in 25 a second.

A Okay.

2

1

Q But I want to kind of break down some of your concerns, and obviously you have seen some e-mails and reports that you put in for CPS, correct?

4 5

A Correct.

6

Q And one of the issues you discussed are some toileting issues?

7

A Correct.

9

10

Q So I'd like to do some questions in regards to that if I could. When you met with Janet, was that a topic that would come up often?

1112

A Always.

13

Q Explain to me how.

14

15

16

17

18

A Well, one, she indicated that the kids had toileting issues, but she also had three adopted children in the home that had severe toileting issues. She indicated that they would — she couldn't get them to use the rest room. They would actually go to the bathroom, stand behind the — stand next to the toilet and poop right there. They would poop on

19

20

the stairs. They would just poop everywhere.

21

Q Now, are these the Diaz-Burnett children or her adopted children?

2223

A These are her adopted children.

24

25

Q Okay. Now, did she talk to you about the adopted children and how she had them situated every day?

She indicated, quote, unquote, that she kept 1 Α 2 them on a pot for 10 hours a day. 3 In regard --4 The toilet pot, bucket thing. 5 Okay. In regards to toileting with the foster Q 6 children, what was -- was she saying that they were having any 7 issues? 8 Α Actually that the two younger children Keisha 9 and Demar were coming to -- coming to treatment with diapers 10 yet they were potty trained. 11 Were they ever having any accidents -- I'm talking 12 about Keisha and Demar right now -- were they having accidents 13 with you at therapy? 14 Α No. 15 Were they having accidents with you at supervised 16 visitation? 17 Α No. 18 Q How --19 THE COURT: How do you know they were --20 I'm sorry. 2.1 How did you know they were potty trained? 22 THE WITNESS: They would ask for the bathroom. 2.3 consistently asked to go to the rest room, and we would take 2.4 them to the rest room. Kids that are not potty trained don't

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

25

ask to go to the rest room.

THE COURT: And they were wearing diapers? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. And they would tell me that they 3 didn't like the diapers. They would ask if they could be 4 removed. 5 BY MS. BLUTH: 6 Did there come a point in time when you had a 7 conversation with Janet saying -- asking can we please take off 8 these diapers, and can you send them extra clothes? 9 Yes, I did. Α 10 Q Tell me about that. 11 I talked to Ms. Janet regarding the diapers because 12 the kids were embarrassed, and I felt it was shaming. Enuresis 13 is part of trauma, even if they were having it. So --14 MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection. Foundation. 15 THE COURT: Say -- I'm sorry. Say that again. THE WITNESS: Enuresis. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 THE WITNESS: We would call it enuresis. 19 BY MS. BLUTH: 20 What is that? 0 2.1 THE COURT: What does that mean? 22 THE WITNESS: Enuresis is when a child has 2.3 experienced some type of trauma, and therefore a potty-trained 2.4 child might revert back to wetting in their pants. So there 25 would be enuresis and encopresis. Encopresis is pooping in

their pants.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.4

25

THE COURT: Bowel. Okay. And so were you concerned about that with the Diaz-Burnett children?

THE WITNESS: No. Because they didn't -- they weren't doing that.

BY MS. BLUTH:

- Q So instead of wearing diapers, did you have for her another alternative?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What is that?
- A I asked for extra clothing to be provided. We could just keep it at Legacy, and if there was an accident, we would change the child's clothes.
 - Q Did she provide you with that?
- 15 A No.
 - THE COURT: Were these pull up, you know, like pull-up diapers, or were they the kind of diapers, you know, like that somebody else has to that have, like, the tape? Do you remember?
 - THE WITNESS: I don't recall. It was four years ago, but I know -- I do recall that the kids didn't like them, and they wanted them off as soon as possible.
- 23 | BY MS. BLUTH:
 - Q How long -- I know you said you worked with them for about six to nine months, but how long would the children be

with you? Like is it a 30 minute session? 4 hours?

A The children were with me at least once a week per child, and then on Saturdays, when we had visitations with their parents, they were with me approximately two hours.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

A I do believe that — I think Demar and Keisha did group therapy as well, and that would've been another hour in there.

Q Okay. So I want to talk to you about your conversations with Janet in regards to Areahia and disciplining of Areahia and urinating on herself. Did Janet talk to you about that being an issue with Areahia?

A Yes.

Q What did she say?

A Areahia was being put in timeout when she would discipline her, and normally I would say that that's good; however, Areahia was wetting her pants every time she was placed in timeout, and I spoke to Ms. Janet, and I'm going to refer to her as that because it's what I normally do.

Q Okay.

A So I spoke to Ms. Janet regarding her discipline and that she had been parentified. Areahia was parentified. She was the --

Q What does that mean?

A -- parent to her siblings prior to them coming into

foster care. So she took care of all their basic needs. She — whatever needed to be done because of whatever was going on with the biological parents, Areahia stepped up and became the parent of those children. So by putting her in timeout in front of the children, it was shaming because her role was the parent.

- Q Was Janet the one reporting that Areahia was peeing her pants every time she was put in timeout?
 - A Yes.

2.1

2.3

2.4

- Q Was there a time either during a therapy session or a visitation that Areahia had an accident in her pants?
 - A Yes.
- Q Explain to me Areahia's demeanor when she had that accident.

A It was a family therapeutic session. It was a Saturday. Areahia — Areahia didn't actually poop. What she had was uncontrollable bowels. So it wasn't something that she was — she had lost control. It ran down her leg like water. She — for a split second I saw embarrassment, and then I saw fear. She was terrified. She asked me to get her clothes changed. She asked me to get them off of her. She — she didn't want to be late going home. She was afraid that it was going to take too much time to get them clean, but she did not want to go home smelling —

O Go home with the Solanders?

A No. She did not want to smell like it. I actually said to her let's just throw the underwear away. She would not throw those underwear away. They had to go home with her, and she had to have them back on, and what we did is we — her mom was there. So I need you to understand I did not clean her up. Mom was there. Mom did it with my assistance. I cleaned the clothes. So mom cleaned her, but she wanted all the smell off of her, but of course we couldn't do that.

Those things that you wash your hands and you place your hands under and you dry them, that's what I dried her panties under because she would not go without those panties. She wouldn't go without the jeans. It was sheer fear, and then she was terrified that she was going to be late going home with the driver and that that would be another issue, and she was telling me, Ms. Janet cannot know about this. Ms. Janet cannot know about this. She was terrified.

- Q But you never told Janet about it?
- A No.

2.1

2.3

2.4

Q About the accident?

A I don't -- I don't recall telling her; however, I did get a -- I did get a message that she had pooped on her pants so that she could stay longer. Ms. Janet indicated that she pooped on her pants so she could stay for a longer visit. If it was a bowel movement, I might not be able to dispute that, but this was water. This was running. This was loss of

control of bowels. There's no way anybody can do that.

Q Did you question Areahia about what types of food she was eating or if someone was putting something in her food?

A I asked Areahia if somebody was putting something in her food or on top of her food. I had a great suspicion that that was what was occurring, and so I asked Areahia. It just was an instinct.

THE COURT: Why did you think that?

THE WITNESS: I've been working in this field for a long time. So part of my job is to watch for abuse regardless of where it's coming from. I'm a mandated reporter.

THE COURT: Right.

2.1

2.3

2.4

THE WITNESS: As working with the children, things began to look very, very wrong, which is why there were so many reports to DFS.

THE COURT: I mean, did you think somebody was putting something in her food that was causing her to have bowel problems, or did you think the food was causing her to gain weight, or where did you — where were you going with this something in your food idea? Did you think that was causing a bowel —

THE WITNESS: I think I thought she was being poisoned. If you really want to know the truth, that's --

THE COURT: And what -- I mean, I guess what symptoms did she exhibit that made you think that? Was it --

THE WITNESS: It was an overall symptom of all the 1 2 kids. They were starving. They were literally --3 MS. BLUTH: I'll get --4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 THE WITNESS: -- like. Sorry. I'll get to it. 6 MS. BLUTH: 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 9 No. No. MS. BLUTH: No. That's okay. 10 THE COURT: No. No. It's my fault because --11 MS. BLUTH: But Judge's questions I -- I'm going to 12 ask that exact same question. I just don't want you -- because 13 I know where you're going and --14 THE COURT: I don't. 15 MS. BLUTH: Yeah, exactly. 16 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 17 MS. BLUTH: No, no, no. That's okay. 18 THE COURT: Oh, no. It's fine. You know, normally 19 in a trial, just the lawyers ask a question, but because I'm 20 the fact finder in this hearing, then I ask questions on things 2.1 that I want -- I want to know. 22 THE WITNESS: There was a totality. 2.3 THE COURT: Okay. 2.4 THE WITNESS: There was a totality that was in my 25 mind of what was happening.

THE COURT: Okay. But it wasn't -- it wasn't like a -- you thought she was getting like a laxative that was giving her diarrhea or anything like that?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

BY MS. BLUTH:

2.1

2.3

2.4

Q Did you talk to Janet about certain techniques they were using, either if she had an accident or checking to see if she had an accident that you felt were very inappropriate?

A Yes. Actually, Areahia had told me in session that Ms. Janet was checking her underwear, and if there was any marks, she was in trouble.

- Q What about showering?
- A She was watching her shower as well.
- Q Did you talk to Janet about those things?

A I did talk to Janet. I actually told Janet that Areahia was a victim of sexual assault. So by watching her she was being revictimized. Ms. Janet indicated to me that — that she didn't know how to clean herself, and that's why they had to do it because she would just get under the water and get out, and I said to Ms. Janet back at that time, then the appropriate thing is that she gets out of the shower. You smell her hair. We can pretty much tell — with kids, you smell their body. If they're not clean, get back in the shower and do it again, and that's what I suggested occur because I

did feel she was being revictimized.

Q When you would go to Janet with these concerns, like did you feel you were met back with, okay, I see where you're coming from, we can work together?

A No. She was going to do it her way, and that was the only way which is why there are multiple e-mails, phone calls to DCFS.

Q All right. Now, I want to move into some of the questions that Judge was talking — or the food, the food issues. Was the children's food intake while they were at therapy a major concern of Janet's?

- A It was.
- Q Were you concerned about how the children looked?
- 14 A Yes, I was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

Q Tell me what you were concerned about.

A I was especially concerned with Keisha. She -- I don't know if I can say this in court. Can I -- I'm just going to say it.

THE COURT: You can say whatever.

BY MS. BLUTH:

Q You can say whatever you want.

A She looked like an Ethiopian little child that you see on TV, that they're begging for money to feed. Her eyeballs appeared to be sunken in. There were circles around her eyes, and literally I could put my hand like this around

her arms and her legs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

- Q You're making a circle with your pointer and your thumb.
 - A I'm making a circle like this.
- Q Did Janet want you guys to provide them any food or snacks while they were there?
 - A Absolutely none.
- Q Would you -- I don't know if I should use get in trouble with Janet, or would you get a talking to if it was found that the children got snacks, like fruit?
- A She would become angry, but I immediately called DCFS and requested that we be allowed to give them food. They came from a background where they didn't have food. They were requesting food. We gave out oranges, apples. We we eat pretzels and raisins. Those are healthy snacks for kids, and they they're coming from school or wherever they're coming from. So it wasn't just these children. We fed all children those snacks when they came to therapy.
- Q Did you talk to Janet about how concerned you were with how thin Areahia was particularly -- I'm sorry, how thin Keisha was particularly?
 - A I did.
 - Q What did she tell you was wrong with Keisha?
- A She told me that the doctors had stated that she had swallowed -- a -- excuse me, gauze and that it was absorbing

all the nutrients in her body.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

Q Did you ask, like, if anyone was going to -- if the doctor was going to take out the gauze or --

A I told her that she needed to get her in the hospital, or I would make a police report, and I would report it to DCFS.

- Q Did -- sorry. Let me follow up. Did Keisha then go to a hospital for a period of time?
 - A Yes, she did.
 - Q When she came out of the hospital, how did she look?
- A She looked way better. She didn't have the circles around her eyes anymore. They weren't sunken in like they had been. She looked healthier. It wasn't a very long period of time, but in that period of time there was a dramatic change in the way that she looked.
- Q As she came back from the hospital and stayed working with you, did she begin to regress looking the way she used to?
 - A Yes, she did. She returned back to the same way.
- Q When the children would come to meet with you, whether it be for a therapeutic session or for a visiting, a supervised visitation, were they hungry?
- A They were starving. I don't know how to explain it other than that. They would we had a kitchen. That's where the kids would go in and eat. They wanted to go to that kitchen. They would beg for more and more food. We had a

little thing that I would feel their tummies because they would 1 2 literally just gorge on this food, and so I would actually feel 3 their tummies and say, oh, it's hard. We need to stop because I was fearful that they -- they would try to eat to the point 4 5 of vomiting. That's what they were doing. 6 Did --0 7 Oh, sorry, Judge. MS. BLUTH: 8 THE COURT: No. Go ahead. BY MS. BLUTH: 9 Did Janet tell you that Areahia had a specific health 10 11 issue that would prevent her from eating certain things? 12 Yes. Areahia was not supposed to have anything unless it was sent from Ms. Janet because she was juvenile 13 14 diabetic, on the verge of insulin. 15 Did you ever ask Janet for a copy of her nursing 16 license? 17 Α No, I did not. 18 Did you talk to Janet about disciplining the children Q 19 for asking for food or for saying that they're hungry? 20 The kids indicated they were asking for food, and 21 they were not allowed to ask anymore in any capacity. I don't 22 know if I spoke to Ms. Janet or Mr. Dwight because they were 2.3 constantly both back and forth, but I indicated that food could 2.4 not be rationed. These children, according to what I

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

understood from DCFS, had come from a home where they had not

25

had any food. So we already knew that if they came from that type of home and now were rationing their food it's really unhealthy.

They don't — they didn't know where their next meal was coming from, and so we need to get them to see food as something as normal. Otherwise, we're going to develop an eating habit down the road. You can't — you can't ration food. Kids are going to sneak that food. They're going to get it, and then we have other types of eating disorders.

- Q Now, you said that you and Janet had talked about some of the issues she was having with her adopted children. Did she have plans in regards to what she was going to do with her adopted children and then what she was going to do with her foster children?
 - A She did.

2.1

2.3

2.4

- Q What were those that she discussed with you?
- A Janet indicated that her children had severe pooping and peeing problems and that she was going to be sending them somewhere in Florida for inpatient.
- Q And what was she going to do with the Diaz-Burnett children?
 - A She was going to adopt them.
- Q Did you discuss with her, like, what did you have concerns about that, and if you did, did you discuss them with her?

I did. I was very concerned because if you can't 1 2 handle three children that you've previously adopted because 3 they're medically fragile, then why would you want to take on four more children and take care of those children and then 4 5 send it -- why would you send your children away? 6 Did she ask you to document any and all of the issues 0 7 that the Diaz-Burnett children had? 8 Α Yes. 9 And did she discuss with you why that was necessary? 0 10 They at one point -- I don't know which one it was, 11 but I was clearly told that they deserved more money for taking 12 care of these children. They had special needs, and they 13 should be paid more money. So documentation had to take place. 14 Did Janet want the children to have contact with Q 15 their biological parents in a supervised visitation setting? 16 Α No. 17 What did she say --18 They did not. Α 19 Q -- about that? 20 They wanted visitations to stop. Ms. Janet asked me Α 2.1 to stop the visitations. She specifically told me that in the 22 past she has had visitations stopped, and I clearly stated to her that that was not my role. That was DCFS's role. 2.3 They had

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

to determine that, and until I was told differently,

visitations would continue to occur.

2.4

25

2.1

2.3

2.4

Q Now, did you -- as you continued to work with the Diaz-Burnett children, would you say they progressed, got better or regressed, got worse?

A That's kind of an almost catch 22. So they were beginning to trust when they were at Legacy. We would see some improvements where temper tantrums were reducing, so versus hours because when we got them it was hours down to, like, quickly being able to calm them down, but then I saw other issues getting worse. So their weight began to have a problem. They were not as quick to want to go home. They were almost over latching on to us. They were, like, holding on to us so they couldn't leave.

THE COURT: I have a question. Now, Keisha was abnormally thin?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And what about --

MS. BLUTH: Demar?

THE COURT: Yeah, the brother.

THE WITNESS: Demar was also overly thin. The difference between the two that I saw that was striking was Keisha's eye sockets appeared to be sunken in with the black eye circles around them. She had more of an extended tummy.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

THE WITNESS: But all of them were hungry all the time.

THE COURT: Okay. And then what did you notice about the weight of the Diaz girl?

THE WITNESS: I want to say that she was a little plumper to begin with when I started working with her, and then she clearly stated to me that Ms. Janet had put her on a diet.

THE COURT: Okav.

THE WITNESS: And she was not allowed to eat, and I believe she began to lose weight.

THE COURT: Okay. Go on.

BY MS. BLUTH:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

Q In any of the records or reports that you looked at from going between you and CPS, did you see anywhere that Areahia Diaz had lost 30 pounds in the beginning months of her being in the Solander home?

A No, I don't recall.

Q You write a letter to the Department of Family Services in November of 2013 discussing in great detail your concerns.

A I did.

Q Why did you write that letter?

A Because the totality of what was happening with the amounts of calls that I was making and the interaction with the Solanders which had become aggressive towards me. I was being told that the kids couldn't disclose anything at home. They could not even talk about a friend that they might have or not

have. There was nothing in that home according to Areahia that they were allowed to talk about, and when her siblings would try to bring something up, she would immediately tell them we can't talk about it. Don't talk about it. You're not allowed. So that becomes an issue for me with any kids that I'm working about.

Q Was -- oh, I apologize. Did I cut you off? I apologize.

A That's all right. The other issue was, you know, the — the behavior of the children seemed to be withdrawing versus opening up anymore. Something was going wrong.

Q When Areahia had discussed with you the things that you had testified about in regards to, hey, they're watching me shower, and she's checking my underwear, and you then and go have that conversation with the Solanders, did you lose Areahia's trust at that point?

A I had Areahia come in — actually, Mr. Solander came to my office. He was very upset. He was yelling. He wanted to talk to me. He took me in the — I took him into the office. He wanted to shut the door. I felt so uncomfortable that I indicated the door could not be shut because I felt he was aggressive.

Q Okay.

2.1

2.3

2.4

A And I asked him regarding the kids not being able to say what was happening in the home. For a moment I saw this

man care for a moment, and he indicated that there was some progress, and initially he had said when we started that — so initially he says, okay. There's some progress, and so I bring Areahia in because I'm thinking if Mr. Solander knows something, maybe he can help me to help these children.

And I brought Areahia in there and made that comment in front of Areahia and Mr. Solander saying that she had been told by Janet, and he said, no, I think she's misunderstood, and then I asked Janet. Janet, do you — Areahia, Areahia, Do you think you might have misunderstood, and she said no, and then she sat there and sobbed.

Q After that, did you --

2.1

2.3

2.4

- A In the midst of that Ms. Janet called. In the midst of that conversation she called.
 - Q How many times did she call?
 - A Over and over while he was in that session.
- Q Could you hear her when she -- if you could, could you hear her quietly, yelling?

A She was yelling at him. She was yelling at him to shut up. She was yelling at him to get out of the office, and he's saying, I'm almost done. I'm almost done, and she's telling him don't say anything. Don't say anything. Get out of the office. She might as well have been in the office with me because I could hear everything she said.

Q After you started, you know, contacting CPS as much

as you were and after you formally write this letter, do the Solanders request you be removed from the children?

A Yes.

2.1

2.3

2.4

Q And were the children removed from your care?

A Yes. Mr. Solander actually came in that last day. In that stopped him from being permitted into the back of the office at all because whenever the kids had a visit he would attempt to stop that visit. So they had already requested no visits take place with the parents, that they stop.

Then the children, they're not allowed to be brought by our BST worker. Mr. Solander started bringing them, and he would bring them late. Yet they were requesting that the parents if they were late not be able to see their children, and I indicated that, you know, the consequence is a natural one. Parents have less time with their children. That's just the way it's going to go, but I can't very well ask a parent to leave with the children there and they're seeing them. I mean, that wasn't my place to do that anyway.

And so he stood in that -- in that thing. I had to make a rule that he could not go past because he -- he was aggressive when he came in, and he told me that day that I would be fired. I would not see those kids ever again and that he -- I had not heard the last of him, and --

- Q And you didn't. And you --
- A And I said to him, You haven't seen the last of me

1	because I wrote that letter because I knew that something was
2	wrong. I didn't know what. You work in this field long enough
3	you know when children are being abused. You know when they're
4	being hurt. You know when they're being psychologically
5	damaged. That's our job to know that.
6	MS. BLUTH: Thank you. Your Honor, I would pass the
7	witness at this point, but I didn't know if would you like
8	me to let the CPS worker go?
9	THE COURT: I think so because I don't know how much
10	Ms. McAmis we're probably going to have to go past
11	5:00 anyway with just this witness to finish up with her today.
12	So maybe you should let the
13	MS. BLUTH: Any idea when you want us to come back,
14	or should we talk about that later, and I'll just call her?
15	THE COURT: Maybe just talk about it later.
16	MS. BLUTH: Sounds good.
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Thank you.
18	THE COURT: But, like, I so let's finish up with
19	this witness, and that may go past 5:00.
20	MS. BLUTH: Yes, ma'am.
21	THE COURT: So that's okay.
22	But, Ms. McAmis, you may proceed.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. MCAMIS:
25	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Wells.

1	A Hi.
2	Q I'd like to ask you a little bit about your education
3	and your background and your training. It's my understanding
4	that you received your master's in family therapy from Chapman
5	University, correct?
6	A Correct.
7	Q And that you studied between December of 2003 to
8	December of 2005, correct?
9	A Say that again.
LO	Q And that you studied for your master's degree between
11	December of 2003 to December of 2005?
12	A Approximately, yes. I know I graduated in 2005.
13	Q Okay. So that was a two-year degree in addition to
L4	your four-year bachelor degree, correct?
L5	A Correct.
L6	Q Now, you don't have any specific medical training,
L7	correct?
L8	A No.
19	Q You are not, like, a licensed medical professional,
20	correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And as part of your master's degree and training, you
23	didn't take any special classes on, like, gastroenterology, for
24	example?
25	A Correct.

1	Q Okay. Now, when you were working with the Diaz, that	
2	sibling group, that was in 2013, correct?	
3	A Correct.	
4	Q All right. And you previously gave a statement in	
5	this case to, like, a CPS investigator	
6	MS. MCAMIS: Or actually, Court's indulgence.	
7	BY MS. MCAMIS:	
8	Q There was a detective. You spoke with police about	
9	this, correct?	
10	A I believe they came to my office, or I went in to	
11	CPS, correct.	
12	Q Okay. So just as some point	
13	A One or the other.	
14	Q Okay. And you remember giving a statement to them,	
15	correct?	
16	A I do remember giving a statement.	
17	Q Okay. And at that time you indicated that you	
18	interacted with that sibling group between July 8th of 2013,	
19	for initial assessments and that you would've individually	
20	started working with them on July 15th of 2013. Does that	
21	sound accurate?	
22	A I cannot honestly tell you. That was four years ago,	
23	and I'm no longer with that agency.	
24	Q Okay. Are you familiar with actually, let me ask.	
25	If looking at if I had your statement to present to you,	

would you be able to review it and would that refresh your 1 2 memory as far as the time period? 3 Α Probably if --4 Q Okay. 5 -- if it's there. Α 6 0 Okay. And I just want to --7 MS. MCAMIS: May I approach, Your Honor? 8 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 9 MS. MCAMIS: If I may approach. 10 BY MS. MCAMIS: 11 Ms. Wells, I'm going to ask you to look at page 3 of 12 this document, but I'm going to give it to you in its entirety 13 so you understand what I'm handing you. And just read that 14 silently to yourself, and if you could look at page 3. Pardon 15 my reach. I've underlined a passage (unintelligible). 16 Α Okay. 17 And did that give you an opportunity to 18 refresh your memory as far as the time that you report -- or 19 started working with the Diaz children? 20 If -- if that's what I said at that time, then that 2.1 would've been what I said at that time, but really you're 22 asking me something from four years ago. So unless I saw the records, if this is what it says I said, then I must have said 2.3 2.4 That's all I can tell you.

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

25

Q

Okay.

It's a long time ago, a lot of clients in between. 1 Α 2 But at the time that you gave that statement you had 3 no reason to be untruthful about the time period? 4 No, I would not have been untruthful. 5 Okay. So it's fair to say that you interacted in a 6 therapeutic setting with the sibling group between July of 2013 7 and November of 2013, correct? 8 Α I think the end of November, somewhere in there if 9 that's what it was. 10 Okay. So that would've been a period of July --11 well, middle of July, August, September, October, November. 12 a period of less than six months, correct? 13 Somewhere in there, yes. Α 14 Okay. Now, when you are gathering information to Q 15 prepare for counseling sessions with your clients, is it 16 accurate to say that CPS or DFS is not providing you with all 17 of their medical records, correct? 18 They provide me with whatever they provide me. 19 Okay. And in this case you were aware that the girls 20 had an assigned caseworker, correct? 2.1 Α Correct. 22 And that they also had an assigned PSR and BST 2.3 worker, correct? 2.4 From our agency I believe, yes. Α 25 Okay. And that the purpose of those PSR and BST Q

workers were to kind of help with things like transport for the 1 2 girls, correct? 3 Basic skills and psychosocial as well as working with Α 4 them in the car. So that might be bringing them. 5 Okay. And between the July and November 2013 period, 6 the -- there's a PSR worker David Hoyas [phonetic]. Does that 7 sound familiar? Perhaps a Hispanic individual? 8 Α I don't recall. I'm sorry. 9 Okay. But you were aware -- that's okay. But you're 0 10 aware that the kids had one assigned to them, and that that 11 person also assisted in transportation for the kids to be able 12 to go to those visits on the weekends, correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. As part of your obligations and duties, your Q 15 focus was the children, correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 So it's -- you weren't spending a lot of time 18 requesting meeting specifically with the Solanders, correct? 19 Α No. 20 Because your obligation and your focus of your 0 2.1 interaction with the sibling group was to provide therapeutic 22 services for what they had been removed for from their --2.3 Α Correct. 2.4 -- biological home. Thank you. And I -- if I could 25 just ask, I know I can talk a lot. Could you just wait until

the question is completed and then answer, and I will try to be 1 2 a little better about my questions being so long. Okay? Okay. So it's accurate to say unfortunately that these 3 4 children were removed from a pretty significant abuse and 5 neglect situation, the Diaz-Burnett children, correct? 6 Α Yes. And that included the fact that the children didn't 0 8 have running water or running electricity at the home, correct? 9 Α Correct. And that they had a history of not having plentiful 10 11 food and appropriate food available at their biological natural 12 home, correct? 13 Α Correct. 14 And as part of your therapeutic engagement with Q 15 Areahia, she ended up disclosing that she was the victim of a 16 sexual assault, correct? 17 Α Correct. 18 And that the sexual assault occurred as a result of 19 her mother's boyfriend, not her biological father, but her 20 mother's boyfriend, correct? 2.1 Α I cannot recall who it was. 22 Q Okay. 2.3 Α I'm sorry. 2.4 No, that's fine. But you do recall that there was a 0

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

disclosure that she had been sexually either molested or

25

assaulted in some manner and that she was having some issues 1 2 related to that? 3 А She disclosed it, yes. 4 Q Okay. 5 I believe CPS disclosed it. Α 6 Q Okay. Α I'm not sure which one. 8 THE COURT: But you knew about it? 9 THE WITNESS: I knew about it. I did know. 10 THE COURT: Do you -- I'm just curious. Do you know 11 if the issue with the biological parents was poverty, or was it 12 poverty and substance abuse? 13 THE WITNESS: It was poverty and substance abuse. 14 BY MS. MCAMIS: 15 Okay. Were you aware of any of the other situations 16 of abuse and neglect that happened in the biological home for 17 the sibling group? 18 I may have at that time, but I do not recall. focus at the time was working with them being in the foster 19 20 home and getting them to kind of process what they had been 2.1 through and get them healthy from there. I didn't know what 22 the plan was for these children. 2.3 Okay. Q 2.4 I'm never told. А

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-01-31

Well, I'll go ahead and ask another question.

25

you are doing your internship, that actually involves you being 1 2 supervised, correct? 3 А Yes. 4 And what does that supervision entail? 5 That supervision is -- well, it's been a long 6 supervision. So I worked five years at Bridge Counseling where 7 I learned how to testify, how to do therapeutic groups, how to 8 do individuals. They sit in. They tape. They videotape. 9 They record. I present cases. They go over the cases with me. 10 They teach me how to interact with the clients. You know, it's 11 a wide variety of things. 12 Okay. So part of your supervision requires that 13 you -- that you meet back with your supervisor and discuss 14 cases, correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 Because ultimately it's the supervisor's license that Q 17 is, so to speak, on the line, correct? 18 Α Correct. And that if you do anything to potentially compromise 19 20 that license it could cause your position as a therapist to be 2.1 reevaluated, correct? 22 Α It can with the board if it goes to the board, 2.3 correct --2.4 Okay. I'll go ahead --Q 25 -- but with the -- do you want me to finish?

2.3

2.4

25

Q Yes.

A So under supervision, we do present the case. We may talk about, you know, what issues we're having with them.

They're not necessarily in there with us in the sessions.

That's not required, but we do talk about all of our cases and what's going on with them.

Q Okay. Now, as part of your internship hours and training, are you required to do a certain amount of, like, educational follow-up or coursework?

A Not with the MFT board; however, I'm not going to tell you that I did not.

Q Okay.

A I always did simply because I was an LADC as well.

Q Okay.

A And because of that, that is required that I had to have that. At Bridge Counseling we were often encouraged and our ongoing educational training was paid for by our agency.

Q Okay. But that ongoing educational training again was not medical training, correct?

A No, it was not.

Q Okay. Now, you've testified that part of your education training and experience is actual practice or training on testifying in court; is that correct?

A That was at Bridge Counseling. It was.

Q Okay. And so at Bridge Counseling they taught you

what it means to answer questions, correct? 1 2 We would -- yes. 3 Okay. And also how to, you know, wait for potential 4 objections, correct? 5 Α Yes. 6 Okay. So they trained you to be able to maintain eye 0 7 contact with whomever you're speaking, including a potential 8 jury --9 THE COURT: Can I see counsel. 10 I'm sorry to interrupt you. 11 MS. MCAMIS: Oh, of course. (Conference at the bench not recorded.) 12 13 THE COURT: All right. Ms. McAmis has indicated she 14 had significantly more cross-examination, and of course then 15 the State has the opportunity to redirect. I hoped we'd finish with you today. 16 17 THE WITNESS: That's fine. 18 THE COURT: But it looks like it's going to way past 5:00, and the County does not like to pay overtime. 19 I don't 20 get overtime, of course, but these other fine people do, and so 2.1 if we're going to be another hour or so, we should probably 22 just recess for the evening, and I believe the witness 2.3 indicated to Ms. Bluth that you were available tomorrow; is

THE WITNESS: I am.

2.4

25

that right?

THE COURT: Okay. I have a lengthy calendar, which I 1 2 haven't read yet for tomorrow morning. So maybe we should come 3 back tomorrow afternoon, say 1:00 o'clock to finish up with this witness. 4 5 And do you think the nurse could -- we could finish 6 the whole thing tomorrow? 7 MS. BLUTH: Yeah. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So I don't -- can you be back tomorrow afternoon at 1:00 o'clock? 9 10 THE WITNESS: I can. 11 THE COURT: All right. So we'll go ahead and take 12 our evening recess and come back at 1:00 o'clock tomorrow. 13 And during the recess don't discuss your testimony 14 with anyone else. 15 THE WITNESS: Of course. 16 (Excerpt recessed 4:58 p.m.) 17 -0000-18 I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled 19 20 case. 2.1 22 2.3 Janie L. Olsen Transcriber 2.4 25

TRAN

Electronically Filed 2/13/2018 8:48 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

Defendant.) FROCEEDINGS
JANET SOLANDER,	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
VS.) DEFI NO. AXI
Plaintiff,) CASE NOS. C299737-3) DEPT NO. XXI
THE STATE OF NEVADA,)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2018

EVIDENTIARY HEARING - DAY 2 EXCERPT

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

FOR THE DEFENSE: CAITLYN L. MCAMIS, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: SUSIE SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

TRANSCRIBED BY: JD REPORTING, INC.

WITNESSES

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:

LURLINE WELLS

Continued Cross-Examination by Ms. McAmis

3

1	LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, FEBRUARY 1, 2018, 2:02 P.M.
2	* * * *
3	(Excerpt begins 2:02 p.m.)
4	LURLINE WELLS
5	CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. MCAMIS:
7	Q I want to direct your attention to just a part of
8	your testimony yesterday as far as Janet's employment. You
9	testified that Janet told you she was a nurse, correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay. Now, and I just appreciate I know you nodded,
12	and you got you caught yourself afterwards. If you could
13	just provide verbal answers, that would be so much appreciated.
14	A All right.
15	Q Okay. Now, your primary contact concerning the
16	Diaz-Burnett sibling group was not with the Solanders, correct?
17	A If
18	Q Let me ask it this way. You spent more time talking
19	to the children than you did the foster parents, correct?
20	A Yes, but I saw them quite often, the Solanders.
21	Q Okay. Now, they have a BST worker that provides
22	transportation, correct?
23	A Among part of their services, yes.
24	Q Fair point. And there were times where the Solanders
25	and specifically Dwight provided transportation on the
	JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

weekends, correct? 1 2 Correct. 3 And there's nothing inherently wrong with a foster parent providing transportation to make sure children get to 4 5 therapy appointments, correct? 6 Α Correct. 7 0 Okay. So is it fair to say that you had more 8 frequent in-person contact with Dwight over Janet? 9 Face to face? Α 10 Yes, that's what I mean. 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. So in your conversations with Janet that were 13 limited by comparison, comparing to Dwight, she indicated that 14 she had some medical background experience, correct? 15 She indicated she was a nurse. Α 16 She indicated that she had some medical Q Okay. 17 background experience, correct? 18 She indicated she was --Α 19 MS. BLUTH: Objection. Asked and answered. 20 THE WITNESS: -- a nurse. Those were the 21 terminology, the word, quote unquote, that she used with me. 22 am a nurse. 2.3 BY MS. MCAMIS: 2.4 It's been some years since you had that conversation; 25 fair to say?

1 Α Fair to say. 2 If she used the word nursing assistant --0 3 Α She did not. 4 Q Let me finish my question. 5 Α Sorry. 6 If she used the word nursing assistant, that's a 0 7 possibility given how far ago this was? 8 Α No, it's not. 9 Based on your memory? 0 10 А Based on my memory. 11 Do you have any knowledge about whether or not 12 Mrs. Solander worked as a medical assistant for the local air 13 force base? 14 I did not question her being a nurse in any capacity. 15 So that's all I knew. 16 Okay. So you did not question further in any regard Q 17 about her employment, correct? 18 She said she was a nurse. 19 My question to you is you did not inquire any further 20 about her employment, correct? 2.1 Α Correct. 22 Okay. I'd like to turn your attention now to the 2.3 Diaz-Burnett sibling group and unfortunately the trauma that 2.4 they came into care for. The home that they came from was not

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

25

safe for them, correct?

1	А	Correct.
2	Q	The food
3	А	Let me add according to DCFS.
4	Q	Okay. Fair point. That you were aware that that
5	food tl	hat home had no food or water for them, correct?
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	And they came into foster care with weight issues,
8	correct?	
9	А	I do not
10	Q	Let me ask
11	А	know.
12	Q	Okay. But they came in to care and the three
13	youngest (ones were very skinny, correct?
14	А	When they came to me, they were thin, yes.
15	Q	Okay. So you don't have any knowledge about any
16	weight is:	sues that they had before?
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	Okay. But the eldest Areahia, you're aware that she
19	was large:	r than the other children, correct?
20	А	She was much older, yes. So I knew she was larger.
21		THE COURT: Was she overweight?
22		THE WITNESS: I did not see Areahia as obese in any
23	capacity,	and usually I'll write obesity when I assess them.
24		THE COURT: Uh-huh.
25		THE WITNESS: So I saw her as larger, but she was

also much taller than the rest. So I don't think I saw a 1 2 problem with obesity. Could she have been slightly heavier? 3 She could have been, but not where I would've wrote obesity. do not believe I wrote obesity on her assessment. 4 5 BY MS. MCAMIS: 6 Ms. Wells, to your knowledge, the Diaz-Burnett 7 children had been in at least one foster home before going into 8 the Solanders'; is that fair to say? 9 I don't know that I can honestly answer that Α 10 question. They were brought from CPS. They were with 11 Solanders, and that's where I started. 12 Q Okay. 13 THE COURT: So you had no contact with them prior to 14 them living with the Solanders? 15 THE WITNESS: None at all. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So you don't really know? 17 THE WITNESS: I don't know anything prior to CPS 18 bringing them, and they were placed with the Solanders. 19 never questioned it. BY MS. MCAMIS: 20 2.1 Okay. Now, can you remind me, at the time the 22 Burnez-Diette -- Diaz children were all 9 and younger when you 2.3 were seeing them, correct? 2.4 Α Correct. 25 And isn't it fair to say they were aged 9

approximately 5 was the next one, 3 and 1? Is that fair to 1 2 sav? 3 I don't know if it was 9, 5, 4 and 1. 4 Q Okay. 5 It was somewhere in there, yes. Α 6 All right. I appreciate that clarification. So the 0 7 biological parents had not actually potty trained their 8 youngest children, correct? 9 They were potty trained when I started seeing them. Α 10 So I have no knowledge who potty trained them. 11 Your testimony yesterday was that --12 Other than Novalie. I'm sorry. 13 Your testimony yesterday was that Areahia had been Q 14 parentified, including having to potty train her siblings, 15 correct? No, I indicated that she was parentified and met all 16 Α 17 their needs. 18 Your testimony yesterday was that Areahia had helped potty train her younger siblings, correct? 19 20 I don't recall that, but I know that she was meeting 2.1 all their needs. 22 And one of their needs --Q 2.3 According to her. 2.4 Okay. And one of their needs would've been potty 0

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

training or bathroom issues, correct?

25

1	MS. BLUTH: Objection. Asked and answered.
2	THE WITNESS: I I don't
3	THE COURT: I (unintelligible) think it's asked and
4	answered. I know they're I don't remember what this witness
5	said to be honest, but the record will speak for itself, and I
6	do remember Areahia had testified that she had potty trained.
7	So that is in the record.
8	MS. MCAMIS: I appreciate the Court's comments. I'll
9	move on.
LO	BY MS. MCAMIS:
11	Q Now, for Areahia to be parentified at age 9 is
L2	traumatic for her, correct?
L3	A It's traumatic, yes.
L4	Q It's emotionally harmful for her, correct?
L5	A Yes.
16	Q And that's one of the reasons why therapy is so
L7	was so essential for her at that time, correct?
L8	A Correct.
L9	Q You testified yesterday that when the youngest two
20	children came to you they were in diapers, correct?
21	A I don't know that they started in diapers, but I know
22	that they were placed in diapers.
23	Q Okay. And you testified that Demar wanted his
24	diapers off, correct?
25	A Correct.

So you remember giving a statement to police 1 Q Okay. 2 back in March of 2014, correct? 3 I know that I gave a statement to the police, yes. 4 Okay. And that was the statement I approached with 5 you yesterday, correct? 6 I believe so. Α 7 Okay. Now, in your statement, if you indicated that 0 8 the children were actually in pull-ups as opposed to diapers, 9 would you have any reason to dispute that? 10 No, they're both the same to me. 11 Q They're both the same to you? 12 Yes. Α 13 A pull-up is --Q 14 It's a diaper, but the sides are connected. 15 Okay. And a pull-up is a next step for potty Q 16 training purposes, correct? 17 Α Correct. 18 Or is it like an evolution of diapers from ones that 19 the children can't handle themselves to pull-ups which are a 20 little more child friendly, correct? 2.1 Α Correct. 22 Okay. It was reported to you by both of the 2.3 Solanders that the youngest children were throwing temper 2.4 tantrums, correct?

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

That's what they indicated, yes.

25

1	Q Okay. And the Solanders expressed their concern that
2	the weekday therapy sessions impacted the children's home
3	schedule and contributed to their tantrums, correct?
4	A I don't recall that.
5	Q Okay. So they talked to you about their concerns
6	about specifically Areahia not eating her snacks at school,
7	correct?
8	A They requested that I talk to the school regarding
9	food. That's what I remember.
10	Q Okay. And your instruction to them was for them to
11	contact the school about the food issue, correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Okay. And you've testified fairly significantly
14	about these children having food issues because of the
15	unfortunate problems from their biological home as it related
16	to food, correct?
17	A I testified that they had problems in their home as
18	well as the Solanders' home.
19	Q But you testified that there was no food in the
20	original home as well, correct?
21	A Correct. But according to the kids
22	Q It was just a
23	A it continued.
24	Q a yes or no question. I can ask you another one.
25	THE COURT: Ms. Bluth will

1	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
2	THE COURT: have an opportunity to go back over
3	this in redirect examination.
4	THE WITNESS: I just want to give the truth.
5	THE COURT: Well, that's all we want to hear.
6	THE WITNESS: I know.
7	THE COURT: But under the rules of the
8	MS. MCAMIS: Well, and
9	THE WITNESS: Okay.
10	THE COURT: of the way it works, you know, the
11	lawyers ask questions, and in that way it's designed to bring
12	out the truth, and
13	MS. BLUTH: I'll go back, Judge.
14	THE COURT: And, you know, if the State feels like
15	something's not clear, they can go back over that
16	THE WITNESS: Okay.
17	THE COURT: and the defense
18	THE WITNESS: I apologize.
19	THE COURT: is allowed to
20	THE WITNESS: to the Courts.
21	THE COURT: you know, highlight things that they
22	feel are more important and so forth.
23	THE WITNESS: Okay.
24	THE COURT: And so that's the way our system works.
25	All right. Ms. McAmis, I don't remember if there was
	JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

1	a question pending. So would you just ask the question.
2	MS. MCAMIS: Yes, Your Honor.
3	BY MS. MCAMIS:
4	Q The Solanders had concerns about what snacks the
5	children received at therapy because it made the children less
6	hungry at their dinner time after therapy, correct?
7	A I never heard that comment from the Solanders.
8	Q Okay. So the children were in therapy following
9	their school hours, correct?
LO	A Correct.
11	Q And they would've finished at what time, if you
L2	remember?
L3	A I cannot honestly tell you what time their sessions
L4	were.
L5	Q Okay. But their schooling was probably over
L6	somewhere in the vicinity of 3:00 o'clock, maybe less, and the
L7	therapy would follow thereafter, right?
L8	A Correct.
L9	Q And then after therapy they would need to go home and
20	eat their dinner, correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And you testified yesterday that you had a lot of
23	conversations with the Solanders about snacks at therapy,
24	correct?
25	A Correct.

Q	Okay. At one point Mrs. Solander asked for therapy
visits	for therapy and the visits to be combined on a
Saturday.	So that way they could eat their snacks, and there's
not going	to be an issue at dinnertime, correct?
А	Not correct.
Q	Okay. At one point Mrs. Solander asked for therapy
and the v	isits to be on the same day on Saturdays, correct?
А	Not correct.
Q	It's not correct?
А	No.
Q	Okay. I want to direct your attention to the issue
of the di	scipline of the children. Now, you acknowledged that
proper di	scipline is important for the growth and development
of childre	en?
А	Absolutely.
Q	Okay. And being on a regular sleeping and eating
schedule	is also important for children?
А	Correct.
Q	Okay. So basically a regular routine is healthy for
children?	
А	Absolutely.
Q	Okay. If a child acts out, is it proper for a parent
or legal (guardian to discipline their child?
А	Yes, it is.

Q

JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

Okay. Now, acceptable discipline can be in different

1	forms, ri	ght?
2	А	Correct.
3	Q	Okay. It can include timeouts, right?
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	It can include taking away privileges, correct?
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	It can include taking away toys, like iPads or games?
8	А	Correct.
9	Q	Okay. It can include yelling, correct?
LO	А	I would say no, but some parents do in fact do that.
11	Q	You would say no, but other
12	А	Yelling at the child. Is that what you're asking me?
L3	Q	Uh-huh. Is a verbal reprimand in a raised tone an
L4	acceptabl	e form of discipline?
15	А	It is, but that is different than yelling.
L6	Q	Okay. Is yelling a very ambiguous term?
L7	А	It can be.
L8	Q	Now, the Solanders acted as legal guardians for the
L9	Diaz-Burn	ett sibling group, right?
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	And these children came to the Solanders with
22	behaviora	l problems, correct?
23	А	I don't know what they went to the Solanders with. I
24	know how	they were when they came to me.
25	Q	Okay. So when they came to you, they had behavioral

presentations of things like tantrums, particularly with the 1 2 younger children, correct? 3 Α Correct. 4 Okay. The other siblings were not fully potty 5 trained at that time, correct? 6 MS. BLUTH: Objection. Asked and answered. 7 This goes to the behavioral issue. MS. MCAMIS: 8 THE COURT: She can answer. I think you covered it, 9 but go --10 THE WITNESS: The children would ask to use the potty 11 when they went -- when they needed to go. BY MS. MCAMIS: 12 13 But you're aware that they had other accidents at 14 unspecified times, correct? 15 MS. BLUTH: Objection. Vaque. Was the youngest child able -- the 16 THE COURT: 17 youngest of the Diaz-Burnett children able to ask to use the 18 rest room? 19 THE WITNESS: Not the 1 year old. 20 THE COURT: Okay. But the other three? 2.1 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. 22 THE COURT: Okay. And the 1 year old, you wouldn't 2.3 expect the 1 year old to --2.4 THE WITNESS: No. The 1 year old we on Saturdays 25 when I -- and that was the only time that I would see that

particular child. 1 2 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 3 THE WITNESS: That 1 year old was sent with diapers, 4 and the mother would change the infant. 5 THE COURT: Okay. And then the question was -- I'm 6 sorry I forgot what Ms. McAmis's question was -- oh, about --7 MS. MCAMIS: Not being fully --8 THE COURT: -- that they were potty trained. So I 9 think we've clarified that. 10 MS. MCAMIS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: And did any -- and I think we covered 12 this yesterday, but did any of, other than obviously the 1 year 13 old, did any of the three other kids have any accidents other 14 than the accident we covered with Amaya and the --15 MS. BLUTH: Areahia, Judge. 16 THE COURT: -- Areahia -- I'm sorry -- and the 1 year 17 old? Did either of the other two have any potty accidents --18 THE WITNESS: No, they did not. 19 THE COURT: -- in your -- you know, when they were 20 there with you? 2.1 THE WITNESS: None at all. 22 BY MS. MCAMIS: 2.3 All right. So I want to turn your attention to when 2.4 you became aware of the different methods that the Solanders

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

used to discipline the Diaz and Burnett -- Burnett children.

You're aware that they used timeouts, correct? 1 2 Correct. 3 Okay. And timeouts is an appropriate discipline form for younger children, correct? 4 5 Correct. Α 6 Now, you're aware that corporal punishment can be 7 used as a method of discipline for children, correct? I know that it's used. 8 Α 9 Right. And my question was not if you agreed with it 0 as a method of discipline, but you acknowledge that it is a 10 11 method of discipline, correct? 12 Α Yes. 13 And that the State of Nevada does not criminalize 0 14 corporal punishment per se as an inappropriate child discipline 15 method? 16 Correct. Α 17 Q Okay. 18 Unless they leave a mark. Α I didn't --19 Q 20 I'm sorry. Α 2.1 Q Okay. 22 Α I'm sorry. 2.3 Q No. Actually that's fine. 2.4 MS. BLUTH: I didn't hear. I'm sorry. Unless what? 25 THE COURT: Unless they leave a mark. JD Reporting, Inc.

1	MS. BLUTH: Okay.
2	BY MS. MCAMIS:
3	Q You specifically informed the Solanders that timeouts
4	were not appropriate for Areahia, correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q Now, Areahia was four years older than her next
7	youngest sibling, correct?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Now, the three younger children also received
LO	timeouts, correct?
11	A I believe so.
12	Q Okay. And as a therapist, you recognize that younger
13	children can sometimes have just acting out because they're
L4	kids where they would need timeout, correct?
15	A Correct.
L6	Q And timeouts are not inherently mentally or
L7	physically harmful for young children, correct?
L8	A Correct.
L9	Q But as it relates to Areahia, you suggested that
20	older children respond better to consequences, correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q You paused is are you having trouble remembering?
23	A No.
24	Q Okay. Thank you for that clarification. I just want
25	you to testify based on what you remember. What are

consequences?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

A Consequences might be removing something from the child, giving them timeout, sending their — sending them to their room. They're behaviors that parents do to try to get children to adhere to the behaviors that they'd like to see.

- Q Okay. So as far as when a child wets or soils itself, your instruction to them was an older child should be instructed to clean up after herself as a consequence, correct?
 - A It's taken out of context.
 - Q But your instruction to them -THE COURT: Well, if the --

Ma'am, if you can't answer it as a yes or no question, just say I'm unable to answer that as a yes or no question.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: And then Ms. McAmis can say why not, or she can move on or whatever she wants to do.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm unable to answer that. BY MS. MCAMIS:

- Q I'd like to direct your attention to the statement that you gave to the police, and we talked yesterday about how that would've been in March 2014, and that dates or that time period sounds accurate enough to you, correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Okay. So at that time you gave a statement as far as

what you told the Solanders as far as discipline as it related 1 2 to Areahia, correct? 3 Α I do not know. All right. Would looking at your statement refresh 4 5 your memory on what you gave -- what you told the police at that time? Would it refresh your memory to look at your 6 7 statement? 8 Α Yes. 9 MS. MCAMIS: May I approach? 10 THE COURT: You may. You can move freely. 11 MS. MCAMIS: You're right. I'm sorry, Your Honor. 12 It's just habit. 13 THE COURT: Both sides can move freely. BY MS. MCAMIS: 14 15 I want to direct your attention to page 7. This is 16 the same statement I wanted to -- I'll just give you a page. 17 If you could read page 7 to yourself and then look up when 18 you're done. 19 Α Okay. 20 And did that refresh your memory about --0 2.1 Α Yes. 22 -- the statement? Thank you. And just as a Q 2.3 reminder, if you could wait until I finish my question just 2.4 to make a clean record.

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

All right, Ms. Wells, so at that time you told police

that consequences are much better for a child that age versus 1 2 timeout, correct? 3 Α Correct. And that timeout is used for more -- is more used for 4 5 the younger children, correct? 6 Α Correct. And once you get into an older age, you move into 0 8 discipline forms like consequences or natural consequences? 9 Α Correct. 10 Okay. So you told police, So you clean your pants. 11 You clean up the mess that you made. That would've been 12 appropriate. Correct? 13 Α That is what I said. 14 Okay. And, Ms. Wells, you had, I believe it was 15 called a treasure box at your therapy office, correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 Would you talk to us about what your therapy box was 18 and what it was used for. 19 So when I did groups with children, especially the 20 younger children and they did well in therapy, they were 2.1 rewarded with positive reinforcement. They were allowed to go 22 to the box and pull out some trinket of a toy and get rewarded 2.3 for doing what they were supposed to be doing.

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

If they did not do what they were supposed to be

2.4

25

Q

Okay.

doing, then they did not go to the trinket box. 1 2 Okay. Now, Areahia, when she went to your therapy 3 visits, as long as she met the criteria, she was able to pick 4 out something from the treasure box after therapy, correct? 5 All kids, yes. Her too. Α 6 Okay. But specifically --7 Yes. Yes. Α 8 -- I'm talking about Areahia. Q 9 Yes. Α I would never insinuate that you only singled out 10 Q 11 Areahia. Okay. 12 All kids. Or sorry. Yes, Areahia was allowed to do 13 that. 14 Okay. So at one point Areahia wasn't allowed to pick 15 out something that was like a stuffed animal, correct? 16 I don't believe that there were stuffed animals in Α 17 there, but --18 Just she was allowed to pick toys when she was Okay. 19 compliant? 20 Α Yes. 21 Okay. And she was allowed to take those home after 22 therapy, correct? 2.3 Α Correct. 2.4 Okay. You became aware that a toy was taken away

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

after Areahia was deemed to have bad behavior at the home,

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

A Correct.

Q Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to just more generally your rapport with the Solanders. Okay. Initially you had a positive relationship with the Solanders, correct?

A Absolutely.

Q And you told police it got worse when you started confronting them on the things that you were seeing, correct?

A Correct.

Q Okay. Now, the initial reason that these children were in therapy was to help with their behavior or just their emotional needs, correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay.

THE COURT: I have a question. Do all kids that come out of abuse and neglect situations go into therapy, or is that only the kids that are manifesting some kind of behavioral issue?

THE WITNESS: Most kids will go into therapy. I think it's something that normally CPS will do based on the history.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: So it has more to do with the background they're coming from than what the child is doing; is that fair?

the children from July 2013 through November of 2013, correct?

Okay. And just as a reminder for all of us, you saw

2.4

25

If those are the dates, then those are the dates. 1 2 Would looking at your statement that you gave to 3 police refresh your memory, or if I suggested to you that yesterday when you looked at it it said July was the beginning 4 5 date, would you accept that as an accurate representation? 6 Yes, I would. Α 7 Okay. You had a concern because Keisha or Keisha --0 8 do you remember the pronunciation? 9 Keisha. Α 10 Keisha. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Wells. You had a 11 concern when Keisha got sick between August 12th and August 12 28th, 2013, correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 You told the Solanders, There's something wrong with 0 15 this kid. Take her to the doctor. Correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 And then they took her to Monte Vista hospital to see 0 18 a doctor, correct? 19 Α I know she was taken to the hospital, yes. Okay. And Keisha was admitted at that hospital for a 20 0 2.1 one-week period, correct? 22 Α Yes. 2.3 And afterwards she seemed a little better, correct? Q 2.4 Α A whole lot better, yes. 25 Okay. And then she regressed --Q

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	according to your testimony yesterday?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Now, at the time that Keisha was admitted to
5	the hospi	tal in late August of 2013, you had only been in
6	counselin	g with the sibling group for approximately a month and
7	a half at	that point, correct?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	So a month and a half into counseling, they still had
10	significa	nt emotional and possibly even physical issues that
11	they were	dealing with because of their prior trauma, correct?
12	А	I can only speak to the time of when they came to
13	me tha	t's it. And so when they came to me, they still had
14	significa	nt problems.
15	Q	Now, you e-mailed the caseworker on October 8th,
16	2013, abo	out a conversation you had with Dwight Solander,
17	correct?	
18	А	I don't recall.
19	Q	Okay. Would looking at an e-mail from that October
20	8th, 2013	, date refresh your memory on that issue? Would
21	looking a	t the e-mail refresh your memory?
22	А	Yes.
23		MS. MCAMIS: Okay. Court's indulgence so I can find
23 24 25	it.	
25		THE COURT: Sure.

BY MS. MCAMIS: 1 All right. Ms. Wells, I'd like you to take a look at 2 this document. Read it to yourself and then when you're done 3 4 reading, please look up. 5 (Witness complies.) Α 6 Did that refresh your memory? 7 Α It did refresh my memory. 8 Q Okay. Now, reviewing this, this is an e-mail dated 9 October 8th, 2013, signed by you, correct? 10 Α Correct. 11 And it's got your signature line indicating that 12 you're a therapist at the time at Legacy Health and Wellness, 13 correct? 14 Correct. Α 15 Okay. And in your e-mail you stated, Expressed my 16 concerns and offered family therapy to foster mom, correct? 17 Α Correct. 18 Okay. You also stated, I think they're feeling 19 overwhelmed with the four children, and the problems they are 20 having with their own adopted children, correct? 2.1 А Correct. 22 So you knew that at that time they had three adopted 2.3 children living in the home, plus four foster children that you

JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

were treating for a total of seven children in the home?

2.4

25

Α

Correct.

1	Q	So you also and this was an e-mail to the	
2	caseworke	er, just to clarify.	
3	А	(No audible response.)	
4	Q	Is that a yes?	
5	А	Yes.	
6	Q	You also e-mailed, explained enuresis and encopresis	
7	and why it occurs, correct?		
8	А	Correct.	
9	Q	And your e-mail went on to explain how you told	
LO	Mr. Solan	der enuresis and encopresis are psychological issues,	
11	correct?		
L2	А	Correct.	
L3	Q	Now, that same e-mail included other concerns that	
L4	you were	informed biological mother told you the biological	
15	father ha	d threatened to kill her, correct?	
L6	А	Correct.	
L7	Q	And that the children were aware of this threat,	
18	correct?		
L9	А	If it says that I said the children were aware, then	
20	yes.		
21	Q	All right. And you said in this e-mail the	
22	biologica	I mother also told you that the biological father had	
23	threatene	d to kill her children, correct?	
24	A	Correct.	
25	Q	You also e-mailed, children living in that kind of	

fear will have psychological issues, correct? 1 2 Α Correct. 3 MS. MCAMIS: Okay. Can I have this marked as a 4 defense exhibit. 5 THE COURT: Sure. Is that F? MS. MCAMIS: I can't remember. 6 7 THE CLERK: G. 8 MS. MCAMIS: G. Okay. BY MS. MCAMIS: 9 10 Ms. Wells, the psychological issues that you're 11 referring to in your e-mail can manifest by children doing 12 things like wetting or soiling "theirselves", correct? 13 That's what was being reported. So, yes. 14 Now, you told the caseworker you did not think that 0 15 Mr. and Mrs. Solander were handling the children's wetting and 16 soiling themselves properly, correct? 17 Α Correct. 18 But the children remained in the Solander home for 19 the month of October after you sent this e-mail to DFS, 20 correct? 2.1 Α Correct. 22 And then you had an in-office meeting on October Q 2.3 28th, 2013, with just the Solanders, not the children, 2.4 correct? 25 I don't recall. Α

JD Reporting, Inc.

and then let us know when you're done.

(Witness complies.) 1 Α 2 Thank you. Did that refresh your memory about an October 28th, 2013, meeting with you and Mr. and 3 Mrs. Solander in your office? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 Okay. So at that meeting, both of the foster parents 7 vented their frustrations about the difficulty they were having 8 at home with the children, correct? The Diaz-Burnett children 9 specifically, correct? 10 Α Correct. 11 Okay. And Mrs. Solander vented that she was having 0 12 trouble getting Areahia to eat on a schedule, correct? 13 If it says that in the note. I'm sorry. Α 14 That's okay. It's again been some years --15 Α Yes. 16 Okay. But she vented generally that she was not able Q 17 to get Areahia to eat her snacks at school, correct? 18 Correct. Α 19 And that one time Areahia had missed the bus because 20 she took too long to eat her cereal for breakfast, correct? 2.1 Α That's what she reported, correct. 22 Okay. But she vented how that was a problem because Q 2.3 she wanted to get Areahia to school on time, correct? 2.4 Α She just vented the problem. I have no knowledge as

That's what she vented.

25

to whv.

2.3

2.4

25

Q And that — that was the purpose of this October 28th, 2013, meeting was for you to meet privately to try to deal with some of these issues that you saw and let the foster parents vent?

A It was to let them vent, and it was also to try to correct the behaviors that I was observing that were inappropriate.

THE COURT: The parents' behaviors or the kids' behaviors?

THE WITNESS: Or excuse me. The foster parents' behaviors.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

BY MS. MCAMIS:

Q And in your October 28th, 2013, note, it's fair to say you wrote, Session focused on venting frustrations with parenting foster children, correct?

A Correct.

Q Okay. So with respect to Areahia and the snacks at school, again you redirected Mrs. Solander and redirected specifically her conduct to go talk to the school about this problem, correct?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And Mrs. Solander also vented that -- well, actually I think -- strike that. Both of the Solanders vented

that Areahia would hide her underwear if she had an accident, 1 2 correct? 3 Correct. Α 4 And the Solanders vented that they were upset that 5 the soiled underwear would go into the laundry unknown to them, 6 correct? Α Correct. 8 Q Okay. Mrs. Solander indicated that she was 9 frustrated because she felt Areahia was manipulative, correct? 10 Α Correct. 11 She also vented that Areahia indicated that Areahia 12 pooped her pants on purpose in your office for that one session 13 that we -- that you testified to earlier in order to stay 14 longer for a visit with her biological mother, correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 In that same meeting on October 28th, 2013, 17 Mrs. Solander expressed the trouble that she was having with 18 Keisha and that she was very active and moved around a lot, correct? 19 20 Α Correct. 2.1 Q And again Keisha was under 5 at that time period, 22 correct? 2.3 She was 5 or 4. I can't recall. Α 2.4 So you -- moving on. You made a report to CPS in 0 25

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

November of 2013 again with some other concerns, correct?

1	А	If the note indicates or you have something to
2	indicate,	then it would be so.
3	Q	You acknowledge you made more than one report and
4	that ther	e was a report in November of 2013, correct?
5	А	I acknowledge that I made lots of reports.
6	Q	Okay. Now, on November 9th, 2013, Dwight Solander
7	came to y	our office to confront you about CPS being in their
8	home, correct?	
9	А	I don't know.
10	Q	Would looking at a report authored by you documenting
11	this t	his contact refresh your memory about that
12	interacti	on?
13	А	Yes, it would.
14	Q	Okay.
15		THE COURT: Ms. Bluth, would you have any objection,
16	since it'	s just me, to kind of short-circuiting some of the
17	refreshin	g and just letting Ms. McAmis show her things and say
18	does this	refresh your recollection?
19		MS. BLUTH: No. I mean, a lot of this
20		THE COURT: And I don't think we need to do with this
21	formally	
22		MS. BLUTH: Yeah.
23		THE COURT: since it's just an evidentiary
24	hearing.	
25		MS. BLUTH: And

And it's only me. If it were a jury, 1 THE COURT: 2 that's different, but --3 MS. BLUTH: And, Judge, honestly a lot of this is 4 I'm just letting it come in because I -- because I 5 believe this to be a fact-finding hearing. So if --6 THE COURT: Right. 7 Ms. McAmis even wants to read it --MS. BLUTH: So, yeah, if you just want to show her 8 THE COURT: 9 things --10 MS. BLUTH: -- I'm fine. 11 THE COURT: -- and say does this memo or does this 12 e-mail refresh your memory --13 MS. BLUTH: I'm totally fine with that. 14 THE COURT: -- go ahead and do that. 15 And then just look at it and tell us, no, gosh, I 16 don't remember this, or, yes, I do remember, whatever the --17 you know, just because we show you something doesn't mean you 18 have to remember it if you really don't remember it, then we 19 want you to say no, I don't. It doesn't refresh my memory. 20 THE WITNESS: That's a lot to remember. 2.1 BY MS. MCAMIS: 22 All right. Ms. Wells, you testified that based on 2.3 this November 9th, 2013, interaction that you were in actual 2.4 fear of Mr. Solander, correct?

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

25

Α

Correct.

1	Q You never filed for anything like a restraining order
2	or filed a police report based on that interaction, correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And Mrs. Solander was not physically in the office
5	for that confrontation, correct?
6	A Correct.
7	Q After November 9th, 2013, and after all of those
8	interactions, you continued to speak to the Solanders either by
9	phone or in person to the extent they were even in the office,
10	correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And now at the end of this November 9, 2013, meeting,
13	Mr. Solander told you he wanted everyone on the same page and
14	to work as a team, however, no one was doing that, correct?
15	A He did state that, yes.
16	Q And you agreed with Mr. Solander that everyone needed
17	to work as a team for the best interests of the children,
18	correct?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Okay. And you continued to see the children through
21	roughly the later part of November of 2013, correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Okay. Now, Areahia began missing visits in therapy
24	in November of 2013, correct?
25	A Correct

And that was because she became sick in November 1 Q 2 of 2013, correct? 3 Α I do not know that. Okay. Mrs. Solander informed you in November of 2013 4 5 that she had found Areahia passed out at the home, correct? She indicated that. I don't know the date. 6 7 Okay. And in response -- oh, strike that. And after 0 8 she -- Mrs. Solander indicated that she found Areahia passed 9 out at the home, she informed you that she was taking Areahia 10 to the doctor to follow up, correct? 11 I don't recall. 12 And more generally in that November 2013 13 confrontation with Mr. Solander, he expressed to you that all 14 of the children were sick, correct? 15 I don't recall that. Α 16 Okay. If I could direct your attention to the Q 17 statement you gave to police in March of 2014 relating to that 18 November 9th interaction, if I can direct you to page 27. 19 Α Correct. Or I can recall that conversation. 20 Q Okay. 2.1 Α Sorry. 22 Q Just generally the statement was the kids were sick, 2.3 correct? 2.4 Α Yes.

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

In response, you confronted Mr. Solander that

25

Q

Okay.

you thought the Solanders were making them worse, correct? 1 2 Correct. 3 And that Mr. Solander became upset and accused you of 4 lying against him, correct? 5 Α Correct. 6 And accused you of being against just generally the 7 Solanders, correct? 8 Α Correct. 9 But he still concluded the meeting with he wanted 10 everyone on the same page for the best interests of the children and to act as a team, correct? 11 12 Correct. 13 Okay. If you would excuse me just a moment. 0 14 So I want to direct your attention to when the 15 Solanders expressed dissatisfaction with the parents, the 16 biological parents, about some visitation concerns. So the 17 Solanders just expressed a complaint. Let's just use that 18 word. They complained that the biological family was either 19 showing up late to visits or that there were some no-shows, 20 specifically more commonly with the biological mother, correct? 2.1 It's possible. Α 22 Okay. I'd like you to take a look at some of the 2.3 e-mails as far as that issue. 2.4 MS. MCAMIS: So if I could direct counsel to --

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

BY MS. MCAMIS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

- Q So as part of your position as a therapist, there's communication that was sometimes authored by you or sent by you or received by you, correct? From, like, the other caseworkers?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay. And or and they were also sent from your director Randy Page [phonetic], correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay. And if you recall or if I suggested to you that the caseworker at the time was Gail [phonetic] Anderson, would you have any reason to dispute that?
 - A No, I would not.
- Q Okay. So Randy Page e-mailed on August 1st, 2013. Hi, Gail, there has been a lot of difficulty with the visits --mom not showing, inappropriate comments made to the children, et cetera -- resulting in negative impact on the children. I'd like to direct your attention to this e-mail and specifically who was CCed.
 - Oh, I'm so sorry, Ms. Wells.
 - A It's all right.
- Q All right. So you acknowledged that that was an e-mail sent from your director to the caseworker on August 1st, 2013, confirming the issues with the visits, mom not showing up and inappropriate comments, correct?

Α Correct.

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

2.1

2.3

22

2.4 25

Okay. And you e-mailed on November 13th, 2013, to Laura Hannick [phonetic], Diane Smart, and Randy Page that mother did not attend the last session with, parentheses, November 9, 2013. She calls and canceled; that's the end of the question.

MS. BLUTH: Just one second, Ms. Wells.

MS. MCAMIS: Okay. So with the Court's permission, there's a stipulation that on November 13th, 2013, Laurie Wells sent an e-mail to DCFS. Mother did not attend the last session 11/9/2013. She called and canceled stating that she was sick.

> THE COURT: Okay.

BY MS. MCAMIS:

- Ms. Wells, with that information you acknowledge that there was some problems for the biological parents in showing up regularly for their visitation, correct?
 - Α Correct.
- And part of that is because they have their own -well, to your knowledge, did they have their own resource issues, like taking the bus and being able to show up on time?
 - Α I knew that they took the bus.
- Okay. So as a therapist, you know how devastating or Q upsetting it can be for a child to be excited to go for a visit and their parents don't show up, right?

1	A Correct.
2	Q It's it's traumatic or strike that. It's just
3	emotionally upsetting because the child was expecting one thing
4	and does not understand why a parent might not show up,
5	correct?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Okay. So they leave your office upset afterwards if
8	the parent is a no-show, correct?
9	A Incorrect. Not necessarily.
10	Q Okay. But there are times, especially well,
11	strike that. There were times in this case with these children
12	where the parents did not show up, and it was upsetting or
13	hurt, disappointing for these sibling group children, correct?
14	A Correct in that moment.
15	Q Okay. So then they go back to their foster parents'
16	home upset, right?
17	A Not necessarily.
18	Q But they leave your office and they are upset?
19	A Not necessarily.
20	Q But when there are times when they leave they are
21	upset. They go back to their foster parents' home, and they
22	can they can continue to be upset because of how
23	A They could continue to be upset.
24	Q Because it's just very disappointing for them to
25	expect one thing and not have that happen, correct?

1	А	Correct.	
2	Q	So Janet and Dwight complained to you as foster	
3	parents t	hat when the biological parents were late or no-shows	
4	to visit	that the kids acted out, correct?	
5	А	I don't recall.	
6	Q	Okay. But at a minimum they wanted there to be	
7	consequer	nces for when the biological parents were late or a	
8	no-show t	to visits, correct?	
9	А	Visits to stop, correct.	
10	Q	Okay. So, in fact, they actually complained, and	
11	they requested visits to stop, correct?		
12	А	Correct.	
13	Q	And you knew that they had been strike that. So	
14	their rec	quest came from their knowledge from let me rephrase	
15	that. Wh	nen they requested that visits stop, they told you that	
16	Child Hav	ven would stop visits or cancel visits when a parent is	
17	a late or	a no-show, correct?	
18	А	Incorrect.	
19	Q	Are you familiar at all with how Child Haven	
20	visitatio	ons work?	
21	А	You asked me if they stated that to me. They did	
22	not.		
23	Q	My question to you was are you familiar at all with	
24	how Child	l Haven visits work?	

MS. BLUTH: Judge, I'm going to object at this point

to relevance. I think we've gone -- I understand the issue. 1 2 think we've gone far past what direct went into. 3 THE COURT: I think we are getting a little afield here. 4 5 BY MS. MCAMIS: 6 Okay. But you disagreed with their request that the 7 visits stop? 8 Α It's not my position to determine whether visits 9 continue or not. Visitations were ordered by CPS, DCFS, and 10 that's what I'm obliged -- obliged to do until they tell me 11 otherwise, and only they can tell me what is to occur. 12 Okay. And visitations -- let me put it this way. 13 Department of Family Services did not tell you to stop 14 visitation, correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 Okay. But your office had a general policy or rule, Q 17 if you will, that if a biological parent was late there would 18 be a natural consequence -- the natural consequence is that 15 minutes would come off of the visit, or the visit would 19 20 conclude whenever the session was over, correct? 2.1 MS. BLUTH: Judge, I'm still going to object. We're 22 still on the same issue. 2.3 THE COURT: Yeah. I think -- I think we're

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

getting -- I mean, I think you've established the sort of --

I understand the Court's comments.

MS. MCAMIS:

2.4

THE COURT: -- disintegrating nature of the 1 2 relationship between Solanders and the witness. 3 MS. MCAMIS: I'm happy to move on. THE COURT: 4 Okay. 5 BY MS. MCAMIS: 6 Okay. So I want to direct your attention to the 7 nature of the sibling group being in foster care. You knew 8 that they had assigned caseworkers, correct? 9 I didn't -- a assigned caseworker? 10 Q Sure. 11 Α Okay. Yes. 12 And that they had other resources available to them, 13 like PSR and BST workers, correct? 14 Correct. Α 15 And you knew that those workers had contact with the children at least partially in the home, correct? 16 17 Α Correct. 18 Okay. Now, you asked for CFTs to discuss the children's needs, correct? 19 20 Α Correct. 2.1 And those -- one of your requests was also for a CFT 22 for the Solanders to express their frustration as far as 2.3 visits, correct? 2.4 That would be the same CFT. Α 25 Okay. And just for everyone's information, what's a Q

CFT stand for?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

A Child Family Team meeting where everybody on the team that works with the children present at the same meeting to make sure that we're on the same page and meet the needs of the children or the family.

- Q Thank you. Now, you believed that the Diaz-Burnett children were being harmed in the Solander home, correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q You have training and experience in order to allow you to be able to make observations and recognize any physical or behavioral signs to spot potential child abuse and neglect, correct?
- A I'm a mandated reporter for the State of Nevada, and that is part of my job. So when I observe those behaviors, I am to report.
- Q Okay. Now, you never saw bruises on the Diaz-Burnett children while they were in the Solander care between July and November of 2013, correct?
 - A I don't recall.
- Q Okay. You never reported any unexplained marks on the Diaz-Burnett children during that same period, correct?
 - A That would be correct.
- Q Okay. You just wrote and called and e-mails to Department of Family Services that you disagreed with the Solanders' discipline methods, correct?

1	А	It was not the discipline methods that I was
2	concerned	d with.
3	Q	Okay. But you acknowledged that you e-mailed your
4	disagreer	ment with their discipline methods, correct?
5	А	Correct.
6	Q	Okay. When the Diaz-Burnett children came to therapy
7	on Saturo	days, they came with snacks, correct?
8	А	I can't recall.
9	Q	Okay. I'd like you to look at your statement to
LO	police ba	ack from March 2014, specifically page 20. I've
11	actually	underlined the passage if that helps orient you.
12		MS. MCAMIS: This should be the last approach.
13		THE COURT: Okay.
L4		THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	BY MS. MO	CAMIS:
L6	Q	Thank you. So you confirmed to police that these
L7	children	came to therapy with peanut butter, crackers, a
18	sandwich	and vegetables, correct?
L9	А	Correct.
20	Q	And, in fact, you called it an enormous lunch,
21	correct?	
22	А	Correct.
23	Q	And the children would eat the food, the food that
24	they brow	aght with them at that visit?
25	А	Correct.

So you were so concerned with the food at the 1 Q Okav. 2 Solander house you testified yesterday you thought the 3 Diaz-Burnett children were actually being poisoned, correct? I felt that something was being put in the food, and 4 5 I did say that, yes. 6 Okay. You used the word and the language --Α Poisoned. 8 Q -- poisoned, correct? 9 Yes, I did. Α 10 Okay. So you never called 9-1-1 to report this 11 alleged poisoning, correct? 12 I was reporting consistently. 13 You never called 9-1-1 to report this concern about 0 14 an alleged poisoning, correct? 15 That's correct. Α 16 All right. You never called CPS to use that language Q 17 that they were being poisoned, correct? 18 Α Correct. Now, you've testified that you're a mandated 19 20 reporter. If you suspect a child is being poisoned, you have a 2.1 legal obligation to report that, correct? 22 Α Correct. 2.3 And, in fact, yesterday was the first time you told 2.4 anyone -- well, let me put it this way. You never e-mailed CPS 25 or any of the caseworkers specifically you thought the children

were being poisoned, correct? 1 2 I indicated to CPS that I felt that there might be 3 something being put in their food. 4 You did not use the word poisoned to CPS, DFS, any of 5 them, correct? 6 Α Correct. You did not use the word poisoned when you spoke to 0 8 police -- the police officer in March of 2014, correct? 9 Correct. Α 10 You wanted the children removed from the home, the 11 Solander home, correct? 12 Incorrect. I wanted the children to be safe. 13 Okay. You were removed as their therapist on Q 14 November 20th, 2013, correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 Okay. And you received an e-mail from the department 17 from family services caseworker confirming that after 18 consultation with the DFS supervisor and after CPS visited the children, it was agreed a new service provider would be in the 19 20 best interests of the children, correct? 2.1 Α Correct. 22 MS. MCAMIS: Pass the witness. 2.3 THE COURT: I just -- before Ms. Bluth has redirect, 2.4 and we touched on this yesterday, but why did you think the

> JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

children were being poisoned?

THE WITNESS: I think it was after the children left that I began to just process all of the abuse that I felt I was observing — observing, and so with the excessive weight loss, with what the children were telling me, with how the Solanders were acting — they had become aggressive. They had become intervening with the therapeutic process to harm it, psychological damage — but afterwards — so during that time I didn't know, but afterwards I started to wonder.

THE COURT: Like, in hindsight?

2.1

2.3

2.4

THE WITNESS: In hindsight I began to wonder what —
if that's what was occurring because when you — when I took
the totality of everything that I saw, behavior based on the
children, statements based on the children, the Solanders'
actions to work against the therapeutic process, their
unwillingness to change anything and CPS not stepping up and
doing anything, that I was visibly being able to be told or
whatever, when I finished with this case, then I began to think
that something was wrong, seriously wrong.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this, and we touched on some of this. You know, you mentioned the incident -- you mentioned a couple of specific incidents, but other than what we talked about already, did you ever witness the children being physically ill, you know, other than something like a cold, with headaches or stomach pains or nausea or anything like that or lethargy or any kind of physical, you know,

1	symptom?
2	THE WITNESS: No.
3	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ms. Bluth.
4	MS. BLUTH: I don't have anything, Judge.
5	THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Ms. McAmis,
6	based on the last
7	MS. MCAMIS: Based on your questions, no.
8	THE COURT: All right. There are apparently no other
9	questions, ma'am. Thank you for your testimony, and you are
10	excused.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	(Excerpt ends 3:09 p.m.)
13	-000-
14	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly
15	transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled
16	case.
17	
18	Jani Glan_
19	Janie L. Olsen Transcriber
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	JD Reporting, Inc. State vs Solander / 2018-02-01

Electronically Filed 8/10/2018 8:34 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA. CASE#: C-14-299737-3 9 Plaintiff, DEPT. XXI 10 VS. 11 JANET SOLANDER, 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2018 15 RECORDER'S PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: 16 JOINDER TO DEFENDANT DWIGHT SOLANDER'S **MOTION TO SUPPRESS** 17 STATE'S MOTION TO QUASH DR. SANDRA CETL'S AND JACQUELINE BLUTH'S SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM 18 19 **APPEARANCES:** 20 For the State: JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ. 21 JONATHAN COOPER, ESQ. Chief Deputies District Attorney 22 23 For the Defendant: CAITLYN L. MCAMIS, ESQ. 24 25 RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

TREGORDED DT. GGG/MY GGFMCF IEED, GGGFM FREGGRADE

INDEX OF WITNESSES

3	WITNESS:	PAGE
4	CHERINA DAVISON	
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Bluth	4
5	Cross-Examination by Ms. McAmis	16
6	Redirect Examination by Ms. Bluth	43
7	Recross Examination by Ms. McAmis	49
8	SHANNON EDWARDS	
	Direct Examination by Ms. Bluth	53
9	Cross-Examination by Ms. McAmis	75

1	THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2018, AT 9:42 A.M.
2	
3	THE COURT: I can call Janet Solander on page two, Mr.
4	Cooper. This was all moved to 1:30 this afternoon when we're continuing
5	the evidentiary hearing.
6	MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: So
8	[Proceedings trailed at 9:42 a.m.]
9	[Proceedings recalled at 1:06 p.m.]
10	MS. BLUTH: I was just telling Ms. McAmis that I'm going to
11	call the CPS worker right now. Her child she has to get her child to a
12	medical appointment.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MS. BLUTH: So, I told her to we'd call her out of order.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. BLUTH: And I told the therapist to be back around two
17	o'clock.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Great. Perfect.
19	THE COURT MARSHAL: And what's the
20	MS. BLUTH: Cherina Davison.
21	THE COURT MARSHAL: Just step right there, and then you
22	can follow me up to the witness stand.
23	THE WITNESS: Can I take my purse?
24	THE COURT MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am. Remain standing,
25	raise your right hand and face our clerk to your left.

1		THE COURT: It's that lady right there.
2		CHERINA DAVISON
3	[ha	aving been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,
4		testified as follows:]
5		THE COURT CLERK: Okay. Please have a seat. State and
6	spell you	first and last name for the record.
7		THE WITNESS: Cherina Davison, C-H-E-R-I-N-A
8	D-A-V-I-S	S-O-N.
9		THE COURT: Thank you.
10		DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. E	BLUTH:
12	Q	Good afternoon, Ms. Davison. How are you employed?
13	А	I'm currently a supervisor with the Department of Family
14	Services.	
15	Q	Okay. And when you say supervisor, explain to me like,
16	what are your job duties?	
17	А	Currently I supervise the intake unit. So, when anybody in the
18	communi	ty calls to report child abuse and neglect, I screen the reports,
19	decide if	they're going to be investigated or not.
20	Q	And in a moment I want to talk to you about your involvement
21	with Autu	mn and Ivy Stark. First of all, how many years have you been
22	with CPS	?
23	Α	Eleven years.
24	Q	All right. So, when you were
25		MS. MCAMIS: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? I just didn't

1	catch it.
2	THE WITNESS: Sure, 11
3	THE COURT: Yeah, your voice is really
4	THE WITNESS: Oh.
5	THE COURT: soft. Do you see that black box?
6	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
7	THE COURT: That's the microphone.
8	THE WITNESS: Okay. Eleven years.
9	MS. BLUTH: There you go. It's picking up a little bit better
0	now.
1	THE WITNESS: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Great. Are you do you have a degree in
3	social work or anything like that?
4	THE WITNESS: I have a bachelor's degree in criminal justice
5	and a master's degree in public administration.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	BY MS. BLUTH:
8	Q So, I want to talk to you about when you were working with
9	Autumn and Ivy Stark. What years are we talking about there, or year?
20	A I believe I was assigned the case in 2011, and I believe I got
21	off in around early 2014.
22	Q Okay. And when I say you were working with them, was your
23	role as supervisor then or was it different?
24	A No, at that time I believe I was a family service specialist II.
5	O And what does that mean?

1	Α	It means that I was a case manager assigned to the case, and
2	the task w	as to work with the family to work towards reunification. The
3	children w	ere out of the care of the parents due to their substance abuse
4	issues, so	we were working with the family to address those.
5	Q	Okay. And I know this seems like a silly question, but you
6	were work	king with the family to address the parents' substance abuse
7	issues?	
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. Now, at some point when you were working with
10	Autumn a	nd Ivy Stark, did they go into the Solander home as the
11	Solanders	being their foster parents?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	And when I say the Solanders, do you remember the name of
14	the mothe	r and father?
15	Α	Yes, the mother was Janet, and the father was Dwight.
16	Q	And do you see Janet in the courtroom here today?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Can you please just describe an article of clothing that she's
19	wearing?	
20	Α	It looks like she's wearing like a turquoise sweater.
21	Q	And is she sitting at counsel table to my right, your left?
22	А	Yes.
23		MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, may the record reflect identification
24	of the Def	endant?
25		THE COURT: It will

1		MS. BLUTH: Thank you.
2	BY MS. BLUTH:	
3	Q	I want to ask you a few questions about Autumn and Ivy. As a
4	caseworl	ker, when children are taken, you know, outside of their home
5	and place	ed with another family, are you made aware of, you know, any
6	health is:	sues they have, any toileting issues they have so you can better
7	assess, y	you know, when you start working with them and foster parents?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	When you worked with Autumn and Ivy while they were with
10	the Solar	nders or let me back up. Did you work with them before they
11	even got to the Solander home?	
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	Could you explain to me were they healthy children, were
14	they sick	ly children?
15	Α	They seemed to be healthy children. There was no
16	outstand	ing major issues that I was aware of with regard to their health.
17	Q	Okay. And then what about like toileting issues, was were
18	there any	issues with toileting?
19	Α	Not that I was aware of, no.
20	Q	So and I apologize because you just said it. What year was
21	it that yo	u worked with them in the Solander home?
22	Α	I believe excuse me I believe 2011 and 2012 and maybe
23	into 2013	B they were there; maybe about a year, a little over.
24	Q	Okay. So, during that time period what was it like to interact
25	with Jane	et? How would you describe her personality or working with

1	her?	
2	Α	I would describe oh.
3		MS. MCAMIS: Objection, it's ambiguous as to time.
4		MS. BLUTH: During the timing?
5		THE COURT: Well, just during the time I mean, if there's a
6	change b	etween early on and later on, then, you know did was her
7	personalit	y consistent over time?
8		THE WITNESS: Yes.
9		THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and answer.
0	BY MS. B	LUTH:
1	Q	How would you describe it?
2	Α	I would describe her personality as very persistent, a little
3	dominatin	g, assertive.
4	Q	Okay. Did you have interactions with Dwight Solander?
5	Α	Yes, I did.
6	Q	How would you describe his personality during that same time
7	period?	
8	Α	He was, I remember excuse me traveling often for work,
9	but when	I did have contact with him it was the same; just very clear,
20	direct. W	hatever issues they were having with the children they would,
21	you know	, email me, call me or tell me in person when we met.
22	Q	Would you say that those two were on an even playing field or
23	was one,	you know, more domineering over the other?
24		MS. MCAMIS: Objection, relevance.
25		THE COURT: Overruled.

You can answer.

BY MS. BLUTH:

A Okay. I would say they had similar -- they were both aligned together in their perceptions of the children.

Q Okay. Would you have conversations with Janet -- well, let me strike that. Did she have concerns or issues with the Stark girls' behavior while in her home?

- A Yes.
- Q Could you explain those issues or concerns?

A Sometimes she would describe the children as being defiant and not listening to her. Other times she described issues with toileting, pooping excessively or urinating on themselves. She would describe concerns when the children were doing homework assignments; if they weren't focusing and things of that nature.

Q When she would talk to you about some of these concerns or issues she was having with the Stark girls, would some of the issues be over like very, very minor things?

A Yes, I do recall one time she described that the children were having -- being defiant towards her. We talked about it together at her home, and she would just describe that as -- I remember on two separate occasions she gave me one example of the children like untwining a piece of string, and I noted that that was odd to me because I thought it was something that stood out. Another --

Q She like -- like, I just want to make sure I'm understanding. Like, literally there's a string, and they had unraveled a string?

1	Α	Yeah, like a piece of string that you would just play with at	
2	Q	How old were these little girls?	
3	Α	Probably, at the time, four and six.	
4	Q	Okay.	
5	Α	And then another time excuse me I know she described	
6	the childre	en would take apart, what are those things called, paperclips,	
7	and they	would unravel them and, you know, poke at her walls.	
8	Q	Okay. And when I say you know, I said were these very	
9	minute iss	sues, but when she's talking to you about them, is it a calm	
10	conversat	tion like you and I are having or is she pretty upset?	
11		MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection, leading.	
12		THE COURT: What would you say about her demeanor	
13	during these conversations?		
14		THE WITNESS: It would just seem like she was overwhelmed	
15	by the iss	ues or she was somewhat overwhelmed with them, and she	
16	just didn't	understand why the children were doing that, so she would be	
17	very persi	istent and detailed, and seemed a little concerned about the	
18	children d	loing those types of things.	
19		THE COURT: What was her demeanor like, I mean, was she	
20	quiet, loud	d?	
21		THE WITNESS: She wasn't loud; just assertive, direct,	
22	persistent	in describing what the children were doing.	
23		THE COURT: So, she wasn't yelling at you or anything?	
24		THE WITNESS: No.	
25		THE COURT: Okay.	

	BY MS.	BLUTH:
2	Q	You to
3	Α	Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You talked a little bit about toileting issues.

Yes. Α

Q Did she ever discuss with you issues that the girls were having with their hands in their mouth related to toileting?

MS. MCAMIS: Objection, leading, foundation.

MS. BLUTH: Okay. That -- leading suggests --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. BLUTH: -- an answer.

THE COURT: Well, that sort of suggests an answer, but sometimes in order to move the testimony along you have to ask more specific questions, and so, you know, I don't know how else Ms. Bluth could ask some of these questions, so overruled.

Was there any talk about toileting or going to the bathroom and their hands or what they were doing with their hands?

THE WITNESS: In regards to toileting, she had mentioned that the children were pooping excessively, that they were pooping to the point where it was clogging her toilets. Another time, I know she mentioned that the children were excessively urinating. At one point, she had to put the children in like two pull-ups because they were urinating so much over the night.

She would mention that she was having trouble with the children always putting their hands in their mouths, and so she -- I think she said she wanted to put like socks on their hands that keep them from putting their fingers in their mouth.

1	BY MS. I	BLUTH:
2	Q	Now, was there anything in relation to them using their hands
3	in, like, e	ither of the messes, whether it be pee or poop, and then putting
4	them in t	heir mouth?
5	А	I believe she said she they would stick them in their pants
6	and then	put them in their mouth.
7	Q	Now, I want to when I want to ask you some specific
8	question	s about conversations with Janet about Autumn the older
9	daughter	's bowel movement.
10	А	Mm-hmm.
11	Q	Did she talk about concerns regarding Autumn's bowel
12	moveme	nts a lot with you?
13	Α	Yes, she just indicated that she was having issues pooping,
14	having h	ard stools. Again, it was clogging her toilet. She indicated that
15	she had	taken her to the doctor, and that she had been prescribed
16	MiraLAX daily to address it.	
17	Q	Did you ask her to provide a doctor note?
18		THE COURT: That was my next question.
19		Do you
20		And I'm sorry to interrupt, Ms. Bluth.
21		MS. BLUTH: That's okay.
22		THE COURT: But is part of the or is there any protocol
23	regarding	g them, the foster parent providing you folks with records of
24	doctor visits or anything like that?	
25		THE WITNESS: Yes, typically foster parents have a like

1	a it's li	ke a medical sheet that they take with them to the doctor's
2	appointment so the doctor can fill it out when they go to appointments,	
3	and they normally provide it to caseworkers at their next visit or	
4	sometim	es they'll fax it or sometimes they'll email it to us. However, in
5	this case	e I didn't have one from Ms. Solander.
6	BY MS.	BLUTH:
7	Q	Did you did she tell you what she did for a living?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	And what did she tell you she did?
10	Α	She indicated that she was a nurse.
11	Q	Did you ever try to verify that or did you take her for her word?
12	Α	I just
13		MS. MCAMIS: Objection, relevance; verification?
14		THE COURT: Overruled.
15	BY MS. BLUTH:	
16	Α	I took her for her word. She was a licensed foster parent so I
17	didn't inquire much into it.	
18	Q	Okay.
19		THE COURT: On this and I had interrupted, I think, Ms.
20	Bluth's q	uestion, but on this MiraLAX issue did you ever get any
21	verification, a letter or a not hospital, but an office visit record from the	
22	physician regarding this MiraLAX?	
23		THE WITNESS: No, Ms. Solander just verbally reported it to
24	me.	
25		THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Ms. Bluth.

BY MS. BLUTH:

Q Did Janet report any concerns about Autumn's behavior at -- while at school?

A She indicated that -- excuse me -- Autumn had been observed stealing other children's lunches and she had been observed eating out of the garbage can, and what she was doing is taking those items that she was receiving from school, bringing them home to give to her sister, which was making her sister sick.

Q Okay. Did Janet discuss with you what she wanted to implement at the school?

A She wanted to make sure that Autumn was not receiving food items from the other children, so she wanted to ensure that the school staff knew to let all the other children know that they were not to provide her food, that she wanted to have her isolated from the other children so they couldn't share food from her, so either sitting at the end of the table or, you know, isolated really at her own table. I believe that was it.

Q Okay. Was there ever anything implemented with Autumn's bus driver in regards to checking food?

A Yes, I believe she was supposed to have the bus driver check the backpack, and I believe she was supposed to give her lunch bag to the school as well.

Q Did you decide to have someone higher up in your chain or a supervisor work this case with you?

A In addressing this issue, ma'am, my supervisor at the time discussed it, yes, and I went down to the school to kind of see what was

1 | (

going on.

Q Okay. When you went down to the school could you verify any of these things that Janet was saying?

A I talked to the school staff and they mentioned that Mrs.

Solander had concerns, that they wanted her isolated, that -- they mentioned that she had never been observed to eat out of garbage cans, that they thought that the Solanders had a misunderstanding, that Autumn was given food by peers and she was just eating it, but not that she was stealing it.

And they mentioned that they wanted the Solanders to provide a note from a doctor to verify what's going on because they thought that punishing Autumn by separating her from her peers was not appropriate.

Q Okay. Did you go back and report this type of stuff to the Soalnders?

A Excuse me -- I did, and I believe it was Mr. Solander who indicated that he would go write a letter to the doctor and have him sign it, and provide it to the school so that they could put those things in place so that she wouldn't be getting sick.

- Q Did you ever see a doctor's note of that sort?
- A I didn't.
- Q Once -- I don't know if I should use the word -- once you questioned the Solanders about this or once you discussed that with the Solanders, were any complaints made against you?

A I know that they discussed some of their concerns with my supervisor about the eating and the issues that they were having with the

1	school.	So, any time that they had any issues or concerns about
2	anything	they would just, you know, call my supervisor.
3	Q	I don't understand. Why couldn't they call you?
4	Α	They're always open to call me, but if they're not happy with
5	what I'm	doing or what's happening, then, you know, the next step is to
6	call my s	upervisor.
7	Q	Got it. Okay. So, were I think I asked a couple of these in
8	the begin	nning, but were you aware of any eating issues or digestion
9	issues before the Starks got to the Solander's?	
10	Α	Not that I'm aware of.
11	Q	Were you aware of any of the toileting issues?
12	Α	No.
13	Q	And you said they lived the Stark girls lived there for
14	somewhere around a year?	
15	А	Yeah, at least, mm-hmm.
16		MS. BLUTH: Okay. That concludes my questioning, Your
17	Honor. I'll pass the witness.	
18		THE COURT: All right. Ms. McAmis?
19		MS. MCAMIS: Yes. Thank you.
20		CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. I	MCAMIS:
22	Q	Good afternoon, Ms. Davison. I have a couple of questions
23	about your duties as a caseworker.	
24	Α	Okay.
25	O	So, now, as a caseworker you work for the Department of

1	Family S	ervices; correct?	
2	Α	Yes.	
3	Q	Okay. And as a caseworker you're assigned to have very	
4	frequent	interactions with your with foster children who you're assigned	
5	on your o	caseload; correct?	
6	Α	Correct.	
7	Q	Okay. And so that contact has to be minimum once per	
8	month; ri	ght?	
9	Α	Right.	
10	Q	But it can actually be more than that depending on the foster	
11	parent and foster children's needs; correct?		
12	Α	Yes.	
13	Q	And in this case the foster children assigned to you, Autumn	
14	and Ivy, were initially five and three; correct?		
15	Α	That may be right, some four and six, something around	
16	there, bu	t yes.	
17	Q	Okay. Well, they were in the home for a period of a year, so	
18	they coul	d have had a birthday there.	
19	Α	Sure.	
20	Q	Correct?	
21	Α	Yes.	
22	Q	Okay. Now, this once monthly minimum contact has to	
23	actually i	nclude a home visit; correct?	
24	А	Correct.	
25	Q	So, you were personally responsible for visiting the Solander	

1	home at a minimum of once a month; correct?		
2	Α	Correct.	
3	Q	And you did that; correct?	
4	Α	Yes.	
5	Q	Now, these home visits, some of them are announced and	
6	some of	them are unannounced; correct?	
7	Α	Yes.	
8	Q	And talk to us about why some may be announced and some	
9	may be unannounced.		
10	Α	The purpose of the unannounced visit is to just ensure that the	
11	children	are safe, and you're going to the home unannounced so that	
12	they're not able to kind of prepare for you or to excuse me be doing		
13	anything that's against policy or that would be concerning. So, we do		
14	unannounced visits to ensure the safety of the kiddos.		
15	Q	Do you remember how many unannounced visits you did at	
16	the Solar	nder home in the approximate year that they were in care with	
17	the Solar	nders?	
18	Α	I do not have an exact number, no.	
19	Q	Do you have an estimate, if it would be just one unannounced	
20	home visit over a year?		
21	А	I would maybe say three or four, but I don't have the my	
22	records i	n front of me, yeah.	
23	Q	But you would have had to do a minimum of at least 12 home	
24	visits for	a period of a year when the children were in care; correct?	
25	Α	Sure, yes.	

	1	
1	Q	And so some of them were unannounced, and some were
2	announc	ed; correct?
3	А	Correct.
4	Q	Okay. At any of the unannounced visits did you see anything
5	that ac	tually, let me ask that again so you can actually understand
6	what I'm	saying. During any of those unannounced visits, you didn't see
7	anything	that you ever had to report to a supervisor or remove the
8	children t	for; correct?
9	Α	No.
10	Q	Okay. Now, during these contacts and these home visits with
11	the childr	ren, you interact with them like one-on-one; correct?
12	Α	Correct.
13	Q	Okay. And that includes observing if they have anything
14	physicall	y wrong with them; correct?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	So, you're looking for accidental or even non-accidental
17	injuries;	correct?
18	Α	Yes, in a it's called a body check.
19	Q	Thank you.
20	Α	Mm-hmm.
21	Q	And I was aware of that, but
22	Α	Sorry.
23	Q	No, that's okay. What I want to do is ask you now, in your
24	experien	ce and all of your training, what do you have to do to complete a
25	body che	eck?

1	Α	We physically check the children depending on their age. So,
2	for examp	ole, if it's a baby it's a full body check, removing the diaper and
3	things of	that nature. Sometimes if it's older children we'll just check their
4	arms, leg	s. And if they're like, say, like a teenager or something like that
5	we can v	erbally ask them, hey, are there any marks or bruises on you
6	because	they're at that age where a body check is not appropriate.
7	Q	Okay. So, if I understand you correctly, a body check where
8	you would	d have actually had to physically inspect Autumn and Ivy would
9	have bee	n appropriate during this time period where they were with the
10	Solander	s, just based on their age?
11	Α	Sure, but if Ivy was six, that would have changed.
12	Q	Okay.
13	Α	Excuse me Autumn.
14	Q	Autumn.
15	Α	Yeah.
16	Q	Understood.
17	Α	Mm-hmm.
18	Q	Okay. But if you had any concerns as a caseworker that
19	Autumn v	vas either not disclosing or was disclosing something that was a
20	potential	problem
21	Α	Mm-hmm.
22	Q	you would have had other remedies and other steps that
23	you would	d have taken to pursue any physical inspection if it was
24	necessar	y; correct?
25	Α	Correct.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

23

24

25

Q Okay. And so there was never a time where a body check or other home visit raised a red flag for you as far as removing the children; correct?

A Correct.

Q And at one point the Solanders were actually considered an adoptive resource for Autumn and Ivy; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Can you talk to the Court about what an adoptive resource is?

A An adoptive resource is when -- generally when children have been placed in a foster home for an extended amount of time and it appears that potentially the parents are not going to reunify with their children, we start exploring other options.

So, we want to have people who have been in the children's lives for a consistent basis. So, for example, if they've been in a home six months or more, pushing a year, we consider that new placement, an adoptive placement home if the family's identified that they're interested and the children have been there for a while and, you know, the parents aren't --

Q Okay.

A -- looking like they're getting the children back.

Q Okay. But the Department of Family Services had considered both of the Solanders a potential adoptive resource at one point while you were assigned the case; correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Now, you testified a little bit earlier about the Solanders

1	being lice	ensed foster parents.
2	Α	Mm-hmm.
3	Q	Can you explain what it means to be a licensed foster parent
4	and what	requirements and proof you have to actually undergo for the
5	departme	ent to accept that licensing?
6	Α	Sure, they have to go to criminal background checks. A
7	licensing	worker comes to their home and inspects their home. They
8	provide a	lot of information about their personal backgrounds. They
9	express i	nterest in the type of children that they're interested in having
10	placed in their home and they're fingerprinted. So, that department, the	
11	licensing	handles all of that for licensed or potential licensed homes.
12	Q	And to your knowledge both of the Solanders were properly
13	licensed	foster parents; correct?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	And Autumn and Ivy were not their first foster kids; correct?
16	Α	Mmm, I'm not sure, to be honest with you, but I believe they
17	may have	e had one prior placement.
18	Q	Okay. All right. So, Autumn and Ivy were still relatively young
19	at the tim	ne that they were placed with the Solanders, so I want to direct
20	your atte	ntion to the specific questions you were asked as far as toileting
21	issues.	
22	Α	Mm-hmm.
23	Q	That language used.
24	А	Sure.
25	Q	What is a toileting issue to you?

1	Α	It can be anything. It can be them, you know, urinating on
2	themselve	es, bed wetting, issuing with stool, things like that.
3	Q	Now, you have been a foster I'm strike that. You have
4	been a ca	seworker with Department of Family Services since how long
5	ago did yo	ou say, Ms. Davison?
6	Α	Since, what, 2007.
7	Q	Oh, okay. So, even further back than the 2011 period that you
8	were assi	gned the Autumn and Ivy Stark children; correct?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. So, in your experience in dating back to 2007, foster
11	children a	re unfortunately commonly known to have some potential
12	various is	sues; correct?
13	Α	Yes, they are.
14	Q	And unfortunately it relates back to the fact that they were
15	removed	from homes because of potential abuse or neglect; correct?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	And so this potential abuse can be, I mean, just the worst of
18	the worst,	very extreme with parents murdering each other, very
19	Α	Mm-hmm.
20	Q	violent acts witnessed and used against the children;
21	correct?	
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	But it can also be the other end of the spectrum where it's
24	severe ne	eglect, due to an uneducated parent or a substance abuse
25	depender	nt parent; correct?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And so Autumn and Ivy's parents fell more into the neglect
3	category;	correct?
4	Α	Yes, they did.
5	Q	So, now, children who are neglected, that can also mean that
6	the parer	nts just lacked educational resources; correct?
7	Α	Correct.
8	Q	And so part of their case plan for reunification purposes can
9	include p	arenting classes; correct?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	And it is it has happened, to your knowledge, in the
12	Departme	ent of Family Services that are that children can be removed
13	because	they get to an age where they're not potty trained or they're just
14	not potty	trained correctly?
15	Α	I'm sorry?
16	Q	Is that fair to say?
17	Α	I didn't catch what you said. You said is it the children are
18	removed	because they're what? I'm sorry.
19	Q	Improperly potty trained or not fully potty trained at all once
20	they reach a certain age.	
21	А	And you're saying that they're removed for that reason?
22	Q	Can that be one of the reasons.
23	Α	We don't generally remove them if they're not potty trained or
24	the parents are incorrectly potty training them. It would be more if I'll	
25	give you	an example if I may. If, like, the parents abuse them

1	Q	Well, let me ask it this way.
		·
2		MS. BLUTH: Judge, I'd ask that she be able to answer the
3	question.	
4		MS. MCAMIS: And it's my cross-examination.
5		THE COURT: Well, so, that wouldn't be a reason is just the
6	parent y	ou know, like, say a kid shows up for the first grade and they're
7	wearing a	diaper.
8		THE WITNESS: No.
9		THE COURT: A child wouldn't be removed for that reason, in
10	your expe	rience?
11		THE WITNESS: No.
12		THE COURT: Okay.
13	BY MS. M	ICAMIS:
14	Q	But can that be one of the reasons in addition to other
15	instances	of abuse or neglect where the Department of Family Services
16	gets invol	ved because you were dealing with children whose needs are
17	not being	met?
18	Α	If their needs are not being met, yes, we'll remove children for
19	those reas	sons, yes.
20	Q	Okay. So, it's not is it fair to say it's not so much an issue
21	if a very y	oung child like a four-year-old has not finished potty training
22	and they'v	ve come into foster care; correct?
23	Α	Correct.
24	Q	Okay. Because an issue has this kind of negative
25	connotation	on; correct?

1	Α	You could say that.
2	Q	Okay. A an inability or a just not being properly potty
3	trained	
4	А	Mm-hmm.
5	Q	is something that the Department of Family Services is
6	equipped	to handle; correct?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	And that's through education; right?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	And that education actually goes to both biological parents
11	and foste	r parents who are dealing with these kinds of issues; is that fair
12	to say?	
13	Α	It would it honestly it would depend on what was
14	happenin	g. I think that foster parents are held to a higher standard.
15	That's wh	y we license them and place the children with them. So, you
16	know, if the	ney're having issues that maybe a parent were similarly having
17	we would	hold them to a higher standard, given that they've received
18	training a	lready, whereas the parent had not.
19	Q	Okay. But my question was that the department is equipped
20	to provide	e educational services to both foster and biological parents
21	about bas	sic potty training or toileting type issues; correct?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. Now, it was brought to your attention that the Stark
24	children h	nad, as the State's put it, toileting issues, so I have some
25	questions	about that. To your knowledge Autumn had some pooping

1	issues; correct?	
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And they were hard stools that occurred more frequently at
4	night; cor	rect?
5	А	I'm not sure what time of day, but yes, they were she was
6	having iss	sues with her stooling, yes.
7	Q	Okay. But you certainly remember just the hard stooling;
8	correct?	
9	А	Yes, mm-hmm.
10	Q	Okay. Now, you were asked about the prescription for
11	MiraLAX.	
12	Α	Mm-hmm.
13	Q	And MiraLAX is a stool softener, to your knowledge; correct?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. And Autumn was prescribed MiraLAX or it was
16	reported t	o you that Autumn was prescribed MiraLAX; correct?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And you were asked questions about you never got
19	a like a	note or a prescription from the doctor about that
20	Α	Mm-hmm.
21	Q	prescription for MiraLAX; correct?
22	А	Correct.
23	Q	Okay. Now, if a child is having hard stools, is a stool softener
24	going to b	e beneficial for them?
25	Α	If they were having it, yes.

1	Q	Okay. And is the Department of Family Services the kind of
2	agency w	here if a parent fails to show up with a prescription and the
3	departme	nt feels it's inappropriate
4	Α	Mm-hmm.
5	Q	are you just going to overlook that?
6	Α	Can you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Or say that again?
7	Q	Sure, is the Department of Family Services the kind of agency
8	where	
9	Α	Mm-hmm.
10	Q	If a parent shows up and says the child's going to be on
11	MiraLAX a	according to a doctor but I don't have the note
12	Α	Oh.
13	Q	and you think that's a problem, is the department just going
14	to overloc	k that?
15	Α	No.
16	Q	No. So, if you thought or you felt based on all of your
17	interaction	ns with Autumn
18	Α	Mm-hmm.
19	Q	and Mrs. Solander, based on all of the issues they told
20	you	
21	Α	Sure.
22	Q	if they told you she's on MiraLAX and you thought it was
23	inappropr	iate, you as the caseworker are empowered to do something;
24	correct?	
25	Α	Correct.

1	Q	You can go to your supervisor; correct?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	But actually, even more than that, you're empowered yourself.
4	If you thin	k it's inappropriate, you have automatic removal power to take
5	a child ou	t of a home; correct?
6	Α	Yes, we do.
7	Q	It can happen at that same moment; correct?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. So, MiraLAX is designed for a child's stool needs;
10	correct?	
11	Α	Yes.
12	Q	Or it well, actually, it could be for an adult as well.
13	Α	Correct.
14	Q	But we're specifically focusing, of course, on Autumn.
15	Α	Mm-hmm.
16	Q	So, that's something in your mind that was okay?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And there weren't other instances where Mrs. Solander
19	indicated	that the children were on prescription medication, but she just
20	didn't hav	e a prescription to give you; correct?
21	Α	That was the only time, I think, she mentioned giving the
22	children like a prescription.	
23	Q	Okay. Court's indulgence, just a moment.
24		Ms. Davison, in your experience in working with,
25	unfortuna	tely, abused and neglected children, can children who were

1	once pott	y trained be removed from a home and then struggle
2	emotiona	lly and kind of regress in their knowledge?
3	Α	Yes, they can.
4	Q	Okay. And unfortunately, as a caseworker you've seen
5	instances	s of that; correct?
6	Α	Yes, I have.
7	Q	And in this case it appears that there may have been some
8	regressio	n, assuming that the girls were actually fully potty trained at the
9	time; corr	rect?
10	Α	They could have been, yes.
11	Q	Okay. So, if Autumn testified at some other point that she was
12	potty trained, but had issues with hard stools and just little accidents	
13	even prio	r coming into the Solander home, that wouldn't surprise you;
14	correct?	
15	Α	It would not.
16	Q	Because she was younger; correct?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And the same would apply to Ivy; correct?
19	Α	Correct.
20	Q	Although, she didn't have a hard stool issue?
21	Α	No.
22	Q	Okay.
23		THE COURT: Did you ever talk to Autumn about her stool, I
24	mean, lik	e to find out if she felt like she was having trouble going to the
25	bathroom	or having pain or discomfort or, you know, anything like that?

1		THE WITNESS: I never asked her directly. I mean, regards
2	to like her	stooling issues I just kind of excuse me trusted what Ms.
3	Solander	was saying.
4		THE COURT: Okay.
5		THE WITNESS: Because she was so young.
6		THE COURT: Okay.
7		THE WITNESS: You know, she could articulate that.
8		THE COURT: Okay.
9		THE WITNESS: In regards to her bowels.
10		THE COURT: Go on, Ms. McAmis.
11		MS. MCAMIS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
12	BY MS. M	ICAMIS:
13	Q	Ms. Davison, there was some testimony about Janet's
14	concerns	for the children's behavior, specifically, defiance issues.
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	So, I want to direct your attention to kind of that area of the
17	testimony	you provided. Again, Autumn and Ivy would have been three
18	and five to	o four to six at the time that they were at the Solander home;
19	correct?	
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. And is it fair to say that abused and neglected children
22	sometimes have what people will call defiance issues?	
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. And defiance issues can be as simple as just acting
25	out becau	se a very young child is not getting his or her way?

1	Α	Mm-hmm.
2	Q	Fair to say?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	It could be a little more aggressive and escalate to temper
5	tantrums	where children are throwing themselves on the floor and just
6	upset; correct?	
7	Α	Yes, it can.
8	Q	It can escalate even further to just not minding the rules of the
9	home; rigl	ht?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	And in fact, it could escalate to children, you know, hitting
12	each other or hitting out or just lashing out physically; correct?	
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. But there's nothing inherently sinister or evil about
15	children having these kinds of defiant issues; right?	
16	Α	No, there is not.
17	Q	Because children sometimes are defiant; right?
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	That's just part of learning how to grow up and be a normal
20	little huma	an being; correct?
21	Α	Yes, I think
22	Q	And that's
23	Α	Go ahead.
24	Q	But that's one of the I'm so sorry.
25	Α	Yes, I believe that those are all issues that known our

1	children	n foster care pose. Honestly in this case I just found it that
2	they wer	e small things that were being emphasized that the children
3	were doi	ng that stood out to me more particularly because I didn't find
4	that they	were a big deal, essentially, for them to be doing at their age.
5	Q	Okay. And so you talked about how direct, assertive
6	Α	Mm-hmm.
7	Q	And things were emphasized by the Solanders; correct?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	One of the qualities that you look for when you are trying to
10	find appr	opriate foster homes are people who are going to actually
11	advocate	for the children in their home; correct?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	Because these children come from homes where they don't
14	have an	advocate either by the parent's will or lack of will or because of
15	the parent's just inability to be an advocate; correct?	
16	Α	That's true.
17	Q	Okay. So, assertiveness is not a negative quality; correct?
18	Α	Typically, no.
19	Q	Okay. Directness is not a problematic or negative quality
20	about a person; correct?	
21	Α	Generally, no.
22	Q	Okay. And so when you are dealing with foster parents who
23	are direc	t or assertive, doesn't that make your job a little bit easier in
24	identifyin	g what you need to actually talk about?
25	Α	It just depends on what the issue at hand is. So, for

1	Q	Okay.
2	Α	In this case it was just a little different.
3	Q	Okay. But regardless, Mrs. Solander was advocating for
4	Autumn's	needs as far as it related to these hard stools; correct?
5	Α	In regards to the hard stool, yes.
6	Q	Okay. And she also has an obligation as a foster parent to
7	make sur	e that these children are have proper nutrition; correct?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	So, they have to be fed regularly; right?
10	А	Yes, they do.
11	Q	She has to monitor what actually goes into their mouths;
12	correct?	
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	And because she hasn't had them from birth, she's not going
15	to know e	every single little thing about their medical past to know if they
16	have allergies or if they have other food issues or intolerances; correct?	
17	А	Not necessarily, most excuse me foster parents are
18	provided	with those little bit of background informations. If the children
19	have like	a allergy or food allergy, she would have been privileged to that
20	information	on.
21	Q	Okay. But it's not like the Solanders are just provided the
22	child's en	tire medical history and records and things to take to the
23	doctors; correct?	
24	Α	To be honest with you, in this case, not fully, but they had a lo
25	of contac	t with the biological parents frequently, and at one point they

1	were qui	et civil with one another, so if she ever had questions or needed
2	to have in	nformation about the children, she had access to the mother.
3	Q	Okay. All right. Isn't it actually true that prior to Autumn
4	coming to	o the Solander home, she'd already taken MiraLAX and had a
5	prescript	ion for that before for the hard stools?
6	Α	To be really honest with you not that I can recall.
7	Q	Okay. But it has been seven years approximately, six to
8	seven years; is that fair to say?	
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Are you going to be able to remember every single detail
11	about these children and their medical history?	
12	Α	No.
13	Q	And would that that wouldn't be fair to even ask you to
14	remembe	er every single detail.
15	Α	Right.
16	Q	Okay. But that's something that's possible; you just don't
17	have that	t memory of it?
18	Α	That's correct.
19	Q	Okay. Court's indulgence briefly.
20		Actually, I want to ask you a little bit about something you just
21	mentione	ed, that the Solanders were actually civil with the biological
22	parents a	at one time?
23	Α	At times.
24	Q	Okay.
25	Α	Times.

1	Q	Okay.
2	А	Times.
3	Q	That doesn't happen in every one of your cases; right?
4	А	No.
5	Q	But it is nice when it does happen; correct?
6	А	Absolutely.
7	Q	Okay. And so it's your understanding that when the
8	reunificat	ion actually occurred, that was a civil period; correct?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. And were you aware that they ended up actually
11	having a dinner after the reunification back at the Solander home?	
12	Α	No, but that wouldn't surprise me.
13	Q	Ms. Davison, just give me a brief moment to make sure I look
14	at my not	es. My eyes are just getting a little older.
15		Oh, I wanted to return to this concern about the food; okay?
16	Α	Mm-hmm.
17	Q	So, it was expressed to you that Mrs. Solander had some
18	concerns	about the kids having access to food either out of a garbage
19	can or possibly off of the floor at school; correct?	
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. And so if it was Mrs. Solander who indicated that the
22	kids were	eating food off of the floor and that's a problem, that's
23	somethin	g as a foster parent she has an obligation to actually correct; is
24	that fair?	
25	Δ	Is it off the floor? Mm-hmm

1	Q	That's a yes; right?
2	А	Yes, sorry.
3	Q	That's okay. Just for purposes of the transcription.
4	Α	Sure, yes.
5	Q	Mm-hmm doesn't come out as okay. Thank you. Wasn't
6	trying to be difficult.	
7		Now, there are lots of children who attend school; right?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	It's impossible to look at every single one of them at every
0	single moment to know what they're putting in their mouths; correct?	
1	Α	Sure, yes.
2	Q	Okay. So, when you went to the school, you interacted with
3	the people in the administration office; correct?	
4	Α	Yes, I did.
5	Q	Okay. So, they're not going to be the ones who are
6	monitoring	g what actually happens when children are having access to
7	food; right?	
8	Α	No, but I can say that the young lady did note that in this
9	particular	instance that Autumn had never received breakfast or lunch at
20	the school	I through the school program. So, she was able to verify that
21	for me.	
22	Q	Okay.
23	Α	So, she was making sure she wasn't getting like outside foods
24	from the s	school.
25	Q	But that kind of a report didn't raise a red flag for you as to

1	Mrs. Sola	ander's care in the home; correct?
2	Α	No, I knew she was providing her with a cold lunch.
3	Q	Okay. You knew that she was that Autumn, rather, was
4	provided	and well, and Ivy to the extent she was that was Ivy at
5	all involve	ed in a preschool or daycare?
6	Α	No.
7	Q	No.
8	Α	She stayed at home.
9	Q	Okay. So, you had no issues as far as Ivy receiving breakfast,
10	lunch, and dinner at the Solander's; correct?	
11	Α	Correct.
12	Q	Okay. And foster parents receive and correct me if the
13	language	is wrong some kind of stipend for these kinds of care they
14	have to provide; correct?	
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	And it actually comes in the form of like benefits; correct?
17	Α	Like a check, yes.
18	Q	Like a check.
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Okay.
21		THE COURT: So, do they just get a cash payment and then
22	they're supposed to use that for clothing and food	
23		THE WITNESS: Correct.
24		THE COURT: and whatever?
25		THE WITNESS: Yeah, they get like a lump sum payment at

1	the begin	ning of the month, and then it's broken down in categories to
2	what it go	es towards. But yes, they're issued like, you know, like a check
3	or a direc	t deposit monthly.
4	BY MS. M	ICAMIS:
5	Q	And that money is intended to go to the care of the children as
6	far as me	als; correct?
7	Α	Yeah, meals, room and board, clothing, extracurricular
8	activities;	it's like a breakdown of the
9		THE COURT: What do they get I'm just curious. What do
10	they get a	month?
11		THE WITNESS: I believe for the kids zero to 12 it's 694, and
12	13 to 18 it	e's 773 a month per child, basic, which would be these children.
13	And then	if they have special needs it can increase.
14	BY MS. M	ICAMIS:
15	Q	Okay. And Autumn and Ivy were not considered special
16	needs; correct?	
17	Α	Correct.
18		MS. MCAMIS: I'm so sorry, Your Honor, were you done?
19		THE COURT: Yeah.
20		MS. MCAMIS: Okay.
21		THE COURT: No, that's fine.
22	BY MS. M	ICAMIS:
23	Q	Okay. So, the Solanders had meals in the home; correct?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Now, part of your home visits was to go verify that there was

1	actually f	ood in the fridge; right?
2	Α	Depends, I normally to be honest, in these kiddos they're so
3	verbal an	d articulate and smart I would just ask them if they're eating,
4	and they	would say yes and they would tell me what they've ate.
5	Q	And if they were suddenly dropping weight or otherwise
6	looking v	ery sickly, that would have caused you to investigate further;
7	correct?	
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. Because that's your obligation.
10		Just a moment.
11		Now, from time to time foster parents may ask your
12	permission to be able to take the kids on out of state travel just for fun;	
13	correct?	
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	And Autumn and Ivy were in the care of the Solanders when
16	they went to visit Disneyland; correct?	
17	Α	I believe so, yes.
18	Q	And that's something that has to be approved first; correct?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Yes, because foster parents are not allowed to just unilaterally
21	take children out of state even though they are in their physical custody	
22	because legal custody is in the department; correct?	
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. Now, if you had any concerns with the Solanders
25	taking the children out of state to Florida to Disney World	

1	Α	Mm-hmm.
2	Q	would you have stopped it?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. But you didn't have those concerns; correct?
5	А	No, not at that time.
6	Q	Okay. Now, the Department of Family Services doesn't pay
7	for their	- the Autumn and Ivy's plane tickets to go to Florida; correct?
8		MS. BLUTH: Judge, I'm going to object to relevance and
9	outside th	ne scope of direct examination for the purpose of this hearing.
0		THE COURT: Yeah, that's sustained.
1	BY MS. MCAMIS:	
2	Q	All right. But again, that's something that the foster parents
3	have to clear through you?	
4		MS. BLUTH: Objection.
15		THE COURT: It's the same question, but I think we can all
6	assume t	hat the State isn't going to give extra money for fun trips.
7		MS. MCAMIS: I will move on. I understand the Court's
8	comment	s, and thank you.
9	BY MS. N	MCAMIS:
20	Q	Okay. So, my last set of questions have to deal with these
21	questions	s you were asked about, I believe it was, Autumn sticking her
22	hands in	her pants and then moving her hands to her mouth; correct?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. So, when Mrs. Solander brought this to your attention
25	she would	d not have been happy about it; correct?

1		MS. BLUTH: Objection, speculation.
2		MS. MCAMIS: Okay. I'll rephrase.
3		THE COURT: Yeah, that
4	BY MS. N	MCAMIS:
5	Q	She brought it to you as a concern that she didn't want that
6	behavior	to continue; correct?
7	Α	That's correct.
8	Q	Okay. Because she recognized that this is not something that
9	can happ	en as far as keeping the child safe; correct?
10	Α	She just mentioned they keep she kept saying that they kept
11	doing it.	It sounded like it was an issue in the home.
12	Q	Okay. Now, the children weren't removed because of that;
13	correct?	
14	А	No, no.
15	Q	And in fact, they were just reunified with their biological family;
16	is that fai	r to say?
17	А	Yes, they were.
18	Q	Okay. Was there a referral made for medical services as far
19	as this re	port about the hands going in the pants and then into their
20	mouths?	
21	А	No, I think she was trying to remedy the situation with putting
22	socks on	the girls' hands.
23	Q	Okay. And that was an appropriate remedy, in your eyes, as
24	a casewo	orker?
25	Α	It was fine to keep them from doing that. It wasn't anything

1	that was	like detrimental.
2	Q	Okay. And had it been detrimental, you as a caseworker
3	would ha	ve been able to step in and remove the children from an unsafe
4	home; co	prrect?
5	Α	Sure, if it had gone on too long we'd have tried other things,
6	you know	<i>!</i> ?
7		MS. MCAMIS: Okay. Fair point.
8		Pass the witness.
9		THE COURT: Redirect?
10		MS. BLUTH: Yes, please.
11		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. E	BLUTH:
13	Q	How many times I know that Ms. McAmis just in the
14	beginning	g of her cross-examination was asking you about unannounced
15	visits and	I announced visits.
16	Α	Sure.
17	Q	How many times do you think in the year, rough estimate of
18	that, you	would have had contact with them at the home?
19	Α	If I if we had contact if it was if the kids were in the
20	home 12	months, there would have been a home visit conducted every
21	month, so	o at least, in person, 12 times in the home. However, in addition
22	to that we	e have child and family team meetings and I receive a lot of
23	emails fro	om the family. So, we honestly this case is we had a lot of
24	contact.	
25	Q	Okay.

1	Α	On a regular basis.
2	Q	In all the times you went to the home, did you ever see the
3	adopted o	children?
4	А	I saw the adopted children one time.
5		MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection withdrawn.
6		THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.
7		MS. MCAMIS: Withdrawn.
8		THE COURT: Okay.
9	BY MS. E	BLUTH:
0	Q	You saw them one time?
1	А	Yes, it was very, very brief. I just remember one time coming
2	to their ho	ome they had a two story home, so I walked upstairs and the
3	three girls	s were sitting at a table doing homework or something like that.
4	Q	Okay. Now, you I what I want to kind of understand is the
5	timing of	the placement. The Stark girls had been had they been in a
6	foster hor	me before the Solander's?
7	А	No, prior to the Solander's, I believe they were in a relative
8	placemen	nt briefly.
9	Q	Okay.
20	А	And then they went to the Solander home.
21	Q	Okay. In your interactions with the Stark girls would you
22	describe	them as defiant when you were with them?
23		MS. MCAMIS: Objection, relevance of
24		THE COURT: State that I'm sorry. State that question
25	again.	

1		MS. BLUTH: Would you describe the Stark girls as defiant
2	when she	e was near them or observing them?
3		THE COURT: Overruled.
4	BY MS. N	MCAMIS:
5	Α	Does that mean I answer?
6	Q	Yeah, that means you can answer, yeah.
7	Α	No, honestly those children are bright, intelligent, never
8	defiant, v	ery easygoing very calm demeanor. I don't think that they'd
9	do anythi	ng that, you know, isn't child-appropriate for their age group.
10	Q	If the children came to the home with a prescription, would
11	that be so	omething documented in either CPS health notes or your notes?
12	Α	It would have probably been in the CPS notes because they
13	have the	case at onset, and it could have potentially been transferred to
14	me, but I	don't recall, to be honest.
15	Q	Okay. And you said that foster parents get more money for
16	children with special needs. Does that include are special needs	
17	would that	at include medical issues?
18		MS. MCAMIS: Objection, relevance. These children were not
19	special needs.	
20		MS. BLUTH: I didn't say they were special needs.
21		MS. MCAMIS: Yes, but you're asking about the Stark
22	children.	
23		MS. BLUTH: We just had testimony on cross-examination
24	that child	ren that foster families get more money for children with
25	special n	eeds. I'm trying to understand what special needs mean.

1	MS. MCAMIS: Objection, relevance as to these children.
2	THE COURT: I don't know that we need to get into the
3	MS. BLUTH: Look
4	THE COURT: special needs issue.
5	MS. BLUTH: if people get more money for children with
6	medical issues if
7	THE COURT: Oh, okay. I was just assuming special needs
8	was like
9	MS. BLUTH: No right, that's why I'm
10	THE COURT: physical handicaps
11	THE WITNESS: Oh.
12	THE COURT: autism, things like that.
13	MS. BLUTH: Right.
14	THE COURT: So, what is how does your organization
15	define a special needs child?
16	THE WITNESS: It can be both. It can be medical or
17	behavioral. So, if a child has, you know, behavioral issues they can
18	receive an additional funds on top of their foster payment for that, or if
19	they have medical needs. So, it's both.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And by medical needs I mean, I'm
21	assuming some children might have minor medical needs?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Who decides whether or not the medical needs
24	are so severe that the additional payment is made?
25	THE WITNESS: Normally we'll request the children's medical

1	records, and in order for them to qualify it has to reach a certain level,
2	like they have to have maybe for example, like if the children had
3	behavioral issues they have to be receiving six hours of a special service
4	per week to qualify for that additional payment, or the, you know, the
5	children's medical records indicate that there's a specific diagnosis that
6	would meet the need for special.
7	THE COURT: Okay. What about I'm just curious. What
8	about, say, something like asthma that has to be monitored?
9	THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.
10	THE COURT: Would that rise to the level of the additional
11	payment?
12	THE WITNESS: No.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	THE WITNESS: Because asthma's very common in children,
15	so we it's it would no.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	BY MS. BLUTH:
18	Q How about something like diabetes?
19	THE COURT: That was my next question.
20	THE WITNESS: Diabetes potentially could. It would depend
21	on the type. So, if they were having to, you know, go to the doctor more
22	frequently than a normal child with that issue, or they had to be receiving
23	maybe some type of additional you know, doctor because of it, it could
24	potentially, yes, potentially.

THE COURT: What about like a child that was

1	developm	nentally delayed?	
2		THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.	
3		THE COURT: Would that be special needs	
4		THE WITNESS: Yes.	
5		THE COURT: to where you would get the additional funds?	
6		THE WITNESS: Yes, and, again, we just have to show that	
7	they are i	receiving like six hours of some type of special service that week	
8	and a OT	coming in and a physical therapist coming in to assist this child,	
9	and that's	s what would bump the amount up.	
10		THE COURT: So, like an autistic child would be considered	
11	special n	eeds, I'm assuming, or would it depend on how, I guess, autistic	
12	or the level of services?		
13		THE WITNESS: Yes, it would really depend on their	
14	spectrum		
15		THE COURT: Okay.	
16		THE WITNESS: And what qualifies it, is the services that are	
17	in place to assist.		
18		THE COURT: Go on, Ms. Bluth.	
19	BY MS. E	BLUTH:	
20	Q	So, both on direct and on cross you talked about	
21	conversa	tions that you would have with Ms. Solander regarding what	
22	we've be	en referring to as toileting issues	
23	Α	Mm-hmm.	
24	Q	and then eating issues.	
25	Α	It's true.	

1	Q	Are those the two major issues that she discussed with you?	
2	Α	Yes, mm-hmm.	
3	Q	And were those something that were discussed constantly,	
4	every on	ce in a while? I'm trying to kind of understand how much of this	
5	was com	ing up.	
6	Α	I found it to be regular and it, again, stood out to me in the	
7	way that	she would emphasize them. It sometimes seemed, in my	
8	opinion, t	hat she was just a little too focused on those things at times and	
9	they seemed to really bother her, and they kind of stood out to me.		
10		So, when she would report them to me, you know, I would take	
11	note of it and we'd discuss it, but, you know, sometimes I would think to		
12	myself some of those things are typical things. So, they're not		
13	necessarily, you know, that large in the way that she presented them.		
14		MS. BLUTH: Understood. Thank you so much.	
15		That concludes my redirect, Your Honor.	
16		THE COURT: Any recross?	
17		MS. MCAMIS: Yes, please, Your Honor. Court's indulgence.	
18		RECROSS EXAMINATION	
19	BY MS. N	MCAMIS:	
20	Q	Ms. Davison?	
21	Α	Yes.	
22	Q	You were asked on recross examination about your opinion or	
23	observati	ons if Autumn was defiant when she was with you. You spent a	
24	half hour	with her once a month; correct?	
25	А	Sure, or sometimes more depending on our contact we have.	

1	Q	Home visits are commonly roughly a half hour; correct?
2	Α	Sure.
3	Q	Okay.
4	Α	Add 30 minutes to an hour depending on what's happening,
5	sure.	
6	Q	Okay. Now, your the last questions you were asked on
7	recross e	exam or redirect examination excuse me were about the
8	toileting i	ssues that related to Autum.
9	Α	Mm-hmm.
10	Q	Now, Mrs. Solander didn't bring up toileting issues or anything
11	related to	potty training concerns as far as Ivy; correct?
12	Α	Say did she bring up any issues in regards to toileting for
13	lvy?	
14	Q	Right.
15	Α	No, she just said she was being sick from food.
16	Q	Okay. But nothing about toileting concerns; correct?
17	Α	No.
18	Q	Okay. You were also asked a series of questions about how
19	foster pa	rents are paid, so I have a few follow-up questions on that. You
20	testified t	that children who are assessed as special needs can receive
21	more mo	ney that is sent to their foster parent; is that fair to say?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Okay.
24	Α	Sorry, yes.
25	Q	Now, the Solanders never brought up special needs as far as

1	getting pa	aid; correct?
2	Α	Correct.
3	Q	They never asked for you to find Autumn or Ivy as special
4	needs ch	ildren; correct?
5	А	No, they never did.
6	Q	And they never asked for the and, again, I call it a stipend
7	А	Sure.
8	Q	but I'm not sure which it's actually called.
9	А	Mm-hmm.
10	Q	But
11	Α	That's fine.
12	Q	That's fine?
13	А	Mm-hmm.
14	Q	So, they never asked for the stipend to be increased based on
15	these chi	dren allegedly being special needs; correct?
16	А	No.
17	Q	Okay. And they never asked for more money, period; correct?
18	А	No.
19		MS. MCAMIS: Okay. No further questions.
20		THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Bluth?
21		MS. BLUTH: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
22		THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony.
23		THE WITNESS: All righty, thank you.
24		THE COURT: You are excused at this time.
25		And your next witness is here at two, so let's just take a

1	MS. BLUTH: She's here.
2	THE COURT: Oh, she is?
3	MS. BLUTH: We can take a break, but she's here.
4	MS. MCAMIS: Actually, could I I'm sorry, I didn't mean to
5	interrupt.
6	THE COURT: Let's just take a quick break.
7	MS. MCAMIS: Like a five-minute break.
8	THE COURT: Yeah.
9	MS. MCAMIS: Based on her need to walk.
10	THE COURT: And then we'll finish up with
11	MS. MCAMIS: Okay. Thank you.
12	THE COURT: the next witness.
13	[Recess taken at 1:56 p.m.]
14	[Proceedings resumed at 2:02 p.m.]
15	THE COURT: And I believe we were on cross-examination.
16	MS. BLUTH: Yes, ma'am.
17	THE COURT: So, Ms. McAmis
18	MS. MCAMIS: Yes.
19	THE COURT: you may proceed.
20	MS. MCAMIS: Thank, you, Your Honor.
21	[Proceedings from 2:02 p.m. to 3:09 p.m previously transcribed]
22	MS. BLUTH: Lori Wells or sorry. Let's see. Shannon
23	Edwards.
24	THE COURT: Oh, okay. I thought we were done.
25	MS. BLUTH: Oh, no.

1	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
2	MS. BLUTH: Remember, the nurse.
3	THE COURT: Right. Okay. I had forgotten.
4	MS. BLUTH: We're close.
5	[Colloquy between the Court, counsel, and Marshal]
6	THE COURT: Where is she?
7	THE COURT MARSHAL: Ms
8	MS. BLUTH: I'm texting her.
9	THE COURT MARSHAL: Bluth is going to contact her.
10	THE COURT: All right. Let's just go ahead and take a quick
11	break then and wait for the witness.
12	[Recess taken at 3:14 p.m.]
13	[Proceedings resumed at 3:25 p.m.]
14	THE COURT MARSHAL: Just remain standing, raise your
15	right hand and face the clerk to your left.
16	THE WITNESS: Okay.
17	SHANNON EDWARDS
18	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,
19	testified as follows:]
20	THE COURT CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat. State
21	and spell both your first and your last name for the record.
22	THE WITNESS: Shannon Edwards, S-H-A-N-N-O-N
23	E-D-W-A-R-D-S.
24	THE COURT: You may proceed.
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

1	BY MS. BLUTH:	
2	Q	Ms. Edwards, how are you employed?
3	Α	I'm a nurse case manager for Department of Family Services.
4	Q	Okay. Let's see. I don't know if I want to start with your
5	education	n or why don't we first start with what a person in your position
6	does?	
7	Α	I'm a registered nurse. I follow medically fragile and special
8	needs kid	ds in foster homes to make sure their medical concerns are
9	being ad	dressed properly by the foster parent.
10	Q	Okay. And then could you explain the training and education
11	that you	receive in order to become a nurse?
12	Α	I have an associate's degree in nursing and I've been a nurse
13	for sever	years, and prior to that I was an LPN for seven years.
14	Q	And for the record, what is an LPN?
15	Α	A licensed practical nurse.
16	Q	Okay. So, when you say when you were talking about your
17	nursing o	luties within the Department of Family Services like a what
18	did you s	ay, a nurse case manager? What's the proper word? I want to
19	make sui	re I'm using it.
20	Α	Nurse case manager.
21	Q	Okay. Could you give me an idea of what, like, your job duties
22	would be	as a nurse case manager?
23	Α	To see what the needs are medically; make sure they're being
24	addresse	ed appropriately, meaning they have all the services they qualify
25	for or need, all the specialists that are involved.	

If there's any concerns make sure I'm being supportive to the foster parent and the child, and if there is any other family involved make sure that I'm making sure they're well aware of the medical issues and involving them and being kind of like a person to describe the medical needs in layman's terms so that everybody that's involved in the case understands what's going on.

- Q Okay. At some point did you become involved in the care of Areahia Diaz?
 - A Yes, I received a medically fragile referral for her.
- Q And what foster home was she in when you received a -- the medically fragile paperwork?
 - A The Solander's.
- Q Okay. And when you received that paperwork can you give me an idea of, you know, what you were being notified you needed to help with?
- A I was notified that this was a foster child who was diabetic and having hypoglycemic episodes, and that there was a request to come in and evaluate and see what the needs are because they were a bit confusing and to help provide more financial assistance to the foster parents.
- Q Okay. And -- but who's making that request for you to do those things?
- A The caseworker makes the request, and it would be based on their preference or the foster parent's request.
 - Q Okay. And so once you get that request let -- tell me what you

1	do next.	
2	Α	I call the foster parent explaining who I am and what my role is
3	and what	I'm trying to do for them, and ask them to set up a home visit to
4	meet with	the caregiver, the child to go over all the medical needs or
5	concerns	with them in their home.
6	Q	Okay. And did you in fact go to the Solander home and do
7	that?	
8	Α	l did.
9	Q	And when you went to the Solander home could you give me
10	an idea of	f who was home at the time?
11	Α	I saw a foster mom and Areahia.
12	Q	And when you say foster mom, would that be Janet Solander?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	And do you see Ms. Solander in the courtroom today?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Can you just describe to me what she's wearing?
17	Α	A teal shirt on.
18	Q	And she's sitting at counsel table to my right, your left?
19	Α	To your right, my left.
20		THE COURT: The record will reflect.
21		MS. BLUTH: Okay. I tried to make it easier than yesterday,
22	and I'm m	aking it worse. That question always gets people when I say
23	my right,	so now I'm trying to say
24		THE COURT: I know it's confusing; right, left.
25		MS. BLUTH: your left. It's a disaster.

1	BY MS. BLUTH:	
2	Q	Okay. So, Janet is home and Areahia is home?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	Now, do you what was Areahia doing upon your arrival to
5	the house	?
6	Α	She was sitting at like the kitchen counter doing homework.
7	Q	So, would this be after school hours?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	And did you see any other children in the home?
10	Α	I don't recall any others.
11	Q	When you got there was Janet able to speak with you or was
12	she busy doing other things?	
13	Α	She was on the phone making a phone call, I believe, to the
14	pharmacy	because she told me she was in the middle of something, but
15	welcomed	me in to sit down with Areahia.
16		THE COURT: I'm sorry. This was a scheduled visit?
17		THE WITNESS: Yes, a scheduled visit on her time.
18	BY MS. BLUTH:	
19	Q	When you arrived, were you able to make contact with
20	Areahia?	
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Is was she like a talkative child? How would you describe
23	her demeanor?	
24	Α	She was sitting down doing the homework. I sat down next to
25	her and tried to chitchat with her and get to know her to make her	

comfortable with me. She was answering my questions and open to 1 2 talking, but on the quiet side. Q Okay. Was there any either paperwork or charts around that 3 talked about any food restrictions? Α She had a piece of paper that was handwritten that -- I believe 5 she actually was writing down everything that she had been eating with 6 7 all of the carbs and the calories. 8 Q Areahia, are you talking about Areahia? Α I believe it was her writing. 9 Q 10 Okay. 11 Α But it was very neatly done, and it was a extensive list of all of the foods. 12 13 Q Now, when Janet gets done with this telephone conversation, do you have an opportunity to sit with her and discuss with her her 14 concerns about Areahia's health? 15 Yes. 16 Α And what was she conversing with you in regards to that? 17 Q Α She told me that the child was diabetic and -- but she didn't 18 make it clear on how long she was diabetic, who diagnosed her, what 19 20 type of diabetes she had, but kind of went through the motions of telling 21 me when I asked how did she know she was diabetic, you know, what 22 kind of symptoms are you seeing. She did tell me that she knew she was 23 diabetic because she was a nurse and she knows, and that she found 24 her passed out underneath her bed one night. 25 Q Was there anything else that she was able to give you where

you thought, okay, this child's diabetic?

A She gave me a lot of information about her, but nothing that was clear that made me believe she was truly a diabetic child.

Q Was she able to verbalize -- that being Janet. Was Janet able to verbalize anything other than I found her passed out one morning, anything other than that in regards to why she believed the child had diabetes?

A Not to why she believed the child had diabetes, more of the treatment and what they had been doing.

Q What did she say about that?

A That she said for the summer they had been working on weight loss and she was eating healthier and exercising quite a bit instead of being on, you know, TV, and doing lots of walks. And that she was checking her blood sugar to make sure that her blood sugar hadn't been dropping, which was the -- she said was the concern that her blood sugar's always low and dropping, but that didn't match with a diabetic.

Q Well, why, explain why.

A Depending on what type of diabetic she was, her blood sugar would be high. The only reason it would be having lows would be because she had too much insulin. So, when I asked about the insulin orders, she told me there was no insulin orders.

- Q Were you confused at that meeting?
- A Very.
- Q You said that Janet told you that she was a nurse. Did you ever ask for her medical license?

1		MS. MCAMIS: Objection, relevance.
2		THE COURT: Overruled.
3	BY MS. E	BLUTH:
4	Α	I did because one of the qualifications to receiving a medically
5	fragile	like for the financial assistance that I can put in place, would be
6	to be hav	ring extra education in something medical. So, if she didn't have
7	more edu	ication than the average foster parent, whatever their licensure
8	requires,	then I would give her some classes to take online. So, I told
9	her lett	ing me know what her license number was.
10	I know that she is required to do 30 CEs every two years to	
11	renew her license. That would be sufficient to submit to our licensing	
12	department to get her the medical rate. So, I was just trying to do an	
13	easy fix o	on getting her license for that purpose.
14	Q	Did you ask once or more than once?
15	Α	More than once.
16	Q	Were you ever provided that information?
17	Α	No.
18	Q	Did she discuss with you how much weight Areahia had lost
19	while in h	ner care?
20	Α	She had told me that she had lost about 30 pounds.
21	Q	After speaking with Janet did you also make contact with the
22	school nu	urse at Areahia's school to see if you could provide any more
23	clarificati	on or see if she could provide any more clarification?
24	Α	I
25		MS. MCAMIS: Objection, hearsay as to the nurse. The nurse

1	has alrea	dy testified.
2		MS. BLUTH: I'm not asking what the nurse said.
3		MS. MCAMIS: She said did you contact the nurse for
4	clarification	on.
5		THE COURT: What was well, the it's just a yes or no
6	question.	
7		Did you follow up with the school nurse?
8		THE WITNESS: I did after a specific appointment that talked
9	about the school.	
10	BY MS. B	LUTH:
11	Q	Okay. So and you're referring to an appointment with Dr.
12	Dewan?	
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. Dr. Dewan. Sorry, I always mispronounce that.
15		Let me ask you this. When you after you speak with Janet
16	and Areal	nia, at that point in time do you decide to stay on the case or is
17	that a poi	nt in time where you make those decisions?
18	Α	If it was an absolute no, that I wasn't she didn't qualify for
19	anything,	she didn't have any medical needs, there was no real
20	concerns,	then I would have just submitted a denial saying there's
21	nothing fo	or me to follow up on, but I requested that even though I didn't
22	feel like th	nere was a medical need going on. Something was going on
23	and I wan	ted to pursue it through her specialist appointments.
24	Q	Okay. And so is that why an appointment is made with Dr.
25	Dewan?	

1	Α	We made an appointment with Dr. Dewan because she was
2	seeing Dr	. Saad and she said she wasn't satisfied with Dr. Saad and
3	wanted a	new endocrinologist.
4	Q	And
5		THE COURT: And then did you say go to Dr. Dewan or how
6	did that al	I come about?
7		THE WITNESS: There was only at the time, and there's still
8	about nov	v, only two to three pediatric endocrinologists in the valley that
9	accept fee	e-for-service Medicaid, so if it wasn't Dr. Saad, it would have
10	had to be	Dr. Dewan. There wasn't really another choice.
11		THE COURT: Okay. So, did you recommend or did you
12	say, well, Dr. Dewan takes Medicaid or something like that?	
13		THE WITNESS: No, she actually said she knew Dr. Dewan
14		THE COURT: Okay.
15		THE WITNESS: and was familiar with her because him
16	because h	ner adopted child sees him and was it okay to switch, and I said
17	absolutely	, if you know him and you're comfortable with him and you're
18	not getting	g the services you want from Dr. Saad, I will support you in that
19	move.	
20	BY MS. B	LUTH:
21	Q	Did she state why her one of her adopted children were
22	seeing Dr	. Dewan?
23		MS. MCAMIS: I'm sorry, could you please repeat that? I
24	missed it.	
25		THE COURT: Yeah, I didn't hear that either.

1		MS. BLUTH: Sorry.
2	BY MS. B	LUTH:
3	Q	You had just stated to Judge that Mrs. Solander had stated
4	that one o	of her adopted children was either had been seen by Dr.
5	Dewan or	was currently being seen. Did she tell you why?
6	Α	She said the child had thyroid issues and was diabetic as well.
7	Q	Did she tell you which child that was?
8	Α	No.
9	Q	Did she discuss with you why she was not happy with Dr
10	I'm sorry,	I don't pronounce it right. Is it Sah-eed [phonetic] or
11	Α	Saad.
12	Q	Dr. Saad. It's S-A-A-D; is that right?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	Okay.
15		THE COURT: So, all this time I thought everybody was
16	saying Dr. Scott.	
17		MS. BLUTH: Oh, no. Dr. Saad.
18		THE COURT: I'm so glad you spelled it.
19	BY MS. B	LUTH:
20	Q	Yeah, Saad; right?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	S-A-A-D. Did she discuss with you why she was not happy
23	with Dr. S	aad?
24	Α	She said there was lots of confusions with the supplies, on
25	what was	being ordered, what she had. And that was actually the

1	argument	she was having with the pharmacy when I arrived that day,	
2	saying sh	e didn't have the correct supplies and everything that was	
3	needed fo	needed for her, and it was the provider's doing.	
4	Q	Okay.	
5		THE COURT: So, Dr. Saad is actually a pediatric	
6	endocrino	ologist as well?	
7		THE WITNESS: Yes.	
8		THE COURT: Okay.	
9	BY MS. E	BY MS. BLUTH:	
10	Q	So, now I'd like to talk to you about the appointment with Dr.	
11	Dewan. \	Were you present at that appointment?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	With Janet and with Areahia?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	Before you were able to go back into the doctor's room did	
16	you sit in the waiting room with Areahia and Janet?		
17	А	I did, I sat next to Areahia.	
18	Q	Where was Mrs. Solander in regards to where you guys were	
19	sitting?		
20	А	She was on a wall to my right, and I was next to Areahia	
21	almost ca	itty-corner to her, but there was a decent distance between us,	
22	and multi	ple people sitting.	
23	Q	Did you make an attempt to talk to Areahia about what was	
24	going on?	>	
25	Α	Yes, I did.	

Q And when you would attempt to talk to Areahia what would she do?

A She -- I tried to be very discreet and kind of whispered to her that I was very concerned about her and the way she acted at the home visit, and that if there was something going on I was trying to give her the opportunity to let me know, and told her I work for DFS, if you -- if something's going on where you don't need to go back to this home, you need to tell me now so I can make that call and make those arrangements, but if you don't tell me now, I don't know what's going on and I can't help you.

THE COURT: How was she acting? You said she was acting strangely or funny at the house. What was she doing that you thought was strange or --

THE WITNESS: When I was talking to her initially, introducing myself and just asking her about herself in general, she was quiet, but she was answering the questions openly and appropriately.

When I started getting to, you know, I'm here, I want to talk about your diabetes and what you're having to do about it, she put her head down, looked straight down and kind of shut off from me. And I said I understand this is probably a sensitive subject because I was thinking maybe she's a new diabetic and she doesn't understand or doesn't want to talk about it. So, I just kept asking her questions and she completely shut down from me.

And then she kept glancing out of the corner of her eye towards where her foster mom was on the phone, which was far from

1	me. And	I said I'll talk to her when she's done; I'll get her point of view,
2	but I nee	d to know what's going on, what do you think's going on with
3	you, and	she wouldn't talk to me, but kept glancing over. And I said I
4	don't kno	w what's going on, but you don't have to worry about that, she's
5	far away,	she can't even hear what we're talking about, and she looked
6	at me fearful. And I asked her can she hear us, and she put her head	
7	down and	d refused to speak to me. And that's about the time the phone
8	call ende	d and she walked over to us.
9	BY MS. BLUTH:	
10	Q	How was she acting at the doctor's office when you were
11	trying to	talk to her?
12	Α	Very quiet and kept her head down, but did answer. When I
13	asked he	r if she was okay, she did say she was okay.
14	Q	At any point in time did you ever notice her looking at Janet
15	repeated	ly while you were talking to her?
16		MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection, foundation.
17		THE COURT: Basis.
18		MS. MCAMIS: Yeah.
19		THE COURT: I I'm assuming you're talking about in the
20	waiting room area.	
21		At any time when you're all in the waiting room area.
22		THE WITNESS: Probably just for a second. Not like it was in
23	the home) .
24		THE COURT: Okay.
25		THE WITNESS: But she did glance at her, but didn't answer

anything more, didn't elaborate on anything. She just looked and was done talking to me.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MS. BLUTH:

- Q Now, when you all go in to see Dr. Dewan, do you get to go in too?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And who does the talking once inside the appointment?
 - A Janet did.
 - Q Did Areahia ever have the opportunity to talk?
- A The opportunity was there; she chose not to talk. And when Dr. Dewan asked her questions directly, she did minimal talk and maybe a one-word answer and just --
 - Q What did Janet say was going on with Areahia to Dr. Dewan?
- A She said she was diabetic and that she was having episodes of low blood sugar and passing out. And she had current concerns about her eating habits and hoarding food and trying to be involved with events at school; eating sugary foods and snacks that were being provided, and she was asking for assistance to help get that under control as well.

And there was also a review of an ICU testing that was done just recently in the ICU setting that was ordered by Dr. Saad, but we were not going back to her. So, we were going back -- we were going to Dr. Dewan for an initial consult, as well as to review what those results showed.

Q Okay. And as part of -- her caseworker were you able to look

1	at those	results that were being presented to Dr. Dewan?
2	Α	I didn't get to see anything on paper. I visited her in the ICU
3	while the	e testing was being done, and he just verbally told us what the
4	results w	vere.
5	Q	When as her case manager, either through the ICU or in
6	your app	pointment to Dr Dewan, was it ever confirmed that Areahia had
7	high bloo	od sugar?
8	Α	No.
9	Q	Or that she was diabetic?
10	Α	No.
11	Q	Or that she was pre-diabetic?
12	А	No.
13		THE COURT: What about low blood sugar, did the ICU tests
14	show tha	at she had low blood sugar?
15		THE WITNESS: It was overall on the low-end of normal, but
16	nothing that ever significantly dropped, and that's what they were testing	
17	for.	
18		THE COURT: Right. So, when you say the low-end of
19	normal, like 70 or	
20		THE WITNESS: Yes, anything below 70 would have been
21	considered low. She was borderline low 70s high 60s, but nothing	
22		THE COURT: But they didn't feel like any based on that,
23	any kind of intervention or anything?	
24		THE WITNESS: No.
25	BY MS.	BI UTH:

Q Did -- when Ms. Solander was talking about that the child -- she didn't want her having sugary snacks or things like that, then what was the medical -- what was the treatment plan then?

A Dr. Dewan said there's absolutely no problems with having sugary snacks; that was actually encouraged if her concern was low blood sugar. We weren't worried about the blood sugar going high, so that was actually encouraged.

Q And then once Dr. Dewan kind of alleviated that concern, did Janet flip flop her facts or her -- change her story in any way?

MS. MCAMIS: Objection, argumentative. Flip flop?

MS. BLUTH: I said change her story, but I'm not argumentative. It's my witness.

THE COURT: Well, I don't think it's argumentative.

I mean, well, did she change what she was telling her?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MS. BLUTH:

Q In what way?

A After she was talking about the hoarding lots of food both at the school by taking other kids' foods and in the home and that had to be monitored, and trying to get involved with the different parties at school and eating sugary snacks and he said that wasn't a concern, she said, well, actually, we're providing snacks all the time, but she's not able to eat them at school because she takes too long, you know, chitchatting and not eating, that the snacks actually end up getting thrown out, so

1	that's wh	y her blood sugar drops when she gets home.
2	Q	Okay. So, it went from stealing other children's food to not
3	even eat	ing her own food?
4	Α	Yes.
5		MS. MCAMIS: Objection, leading. Counsel's testifying.
6		THE COURT: Overruled.
7	BY MS.	BLUTH:
8	Q	Did Dr. Dewan prescribe Areahia any medications?
9	Α	No.
10	Q	Did Janet have any request in regards to Areahia being at
11	school around others and their food?	
12	А	Yes, when he discussed if the eating is becoming problematic,
13	that he c	ould always tell the school nurse that, you know, to go ahead
14	and eat	with her during meals, but he didn't feel like it was problematic
15	and didn	't think that was necessary and was very concerned that socially
16	that was inappropriate at this time.	
17	Q	After you left that appointment did you have concerns
18	regarding	g Areahia's well-being?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Why?
21	А	Because the stories never the stories had never meshed
22	well. An	d even though Dr. Dewan was being very kind in the
23	appointn	nent and just addressing whatever the statement was at the time,
24	I was jus	t concerned, how do I say, in general that the stories didn't
25	match.	She kept even referring to her in this appointment that the child

was diabetic, and Dr. Dewan had to correct her several times saying the child is not diabetic.

And at some point she did ask for a note for the school to keep her away from the other children at lunch and have her sit and eat in the nurse's office, and he said he wasn't going to write that.

MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection as to what he said. He's already testified.

MS. BLUTH: Well, it doesn't matter if he's already testified. It's in the purpose of medical diagnosis and treatment, and she --

MS. MCAMIS: Well, objection, hearsay.

MS. BLUTH: Can I respond to the first objection?

THE COURT: Well, Ms. Bluth has stated a hearsay objection, which is statement for medical diagnosis and treatment, but it's also -- I mean, it's also being considered as to the progression of events and then what this witness may or may not have done based on whatever happened in the Dewan appointment.

So, you can answer. So, he said he wasn't going to write the letter?

THE WITNESS: He said he was not because he wasn't recommending it.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: It was just mentioned as this is what we would do if it becomes problematic, but he didn't feel like that was beneficial yet. Socialization with the other children was more important to him.

1		THE COURT: Also, it's not going into truth of what Dr. Dewan
2	says, i	t's more going to what Mrs. Solander knew or didn't you know,
3	what ir	formation she had in her head going forward. So, whether or not
4	it's god	od for socialization or not good for socialization really isn't the
5	point.	It's what she was told.
6		Go on.
7	BY MS	S. BLUTH:
8	Q	Did Janet discuss with you any other diagnosis of Areahia's
9	siblings in the home?	
10		MS. MCAMIS: Objection, relevance.
11		THE COURT: Overruled.
12	BY MS	S. BLUTH:
13	А	She had mentioned that the little girl was autistic.
14	Q	The baby?
15	А	Yes.
16		THE COURT: What little girl?
17		THE WITNESS: I believe her name was Novelie [phonetic].
18		THE COURT: Okay. So, Mrs. Solander just offers out of the
19	blue, oh, Novelie [phonetic] or the little baby is autistic?	
20		THE WITNESS: We've we talked in the home and had also
21	talked	at the on the phone and at the appointments, and I was just
22	asking	how does she the Areahia interact with siblings and all of that
23	So, tha	at would have come with any appointments; saying how she'd
24	interac	t with the family members of the household, how she'd interact
25	with he	er siblings, is she having visits.

1		And I would also have asked that specific to diabetic children;
2	do visits o	r interactions cause high blood sugar because it does affect
3	them with	stress. So, that information would have been offered during
4	that time t	alking about the other children.
5	BY MS. B	LUTH:
6	Q	Well, did she have any diagnoses for Keisha [phonetic], the
7	sorry the	e sister that was
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	like four?
0	Α	She told me there was she never gave me a specific
1	diagnosis.	She did say that she's got anger issues and she's tantrums
2	a lot. It wa	asn't later until I found out a diagnosis for her.
3		MS. MCAMIS: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that last part? I
4	didn't hea	r it.
5		THE WITNESS: It wasn't until later, after the fact of all this
6	that I hear	d a diagnosis for this child.
7		MS. MCAMIS: Okay.
8		MS. BLUTH: Given five
9		THE COURT: Well, I'm just what was that diagnosis?
20		THE WITNESS: Intermittent explosive disorder.
21		THE COURT: And
22		THE WITNESS: And this is because my unit it's hard to
23	explain. N	My unit, medical, the nursing unit, some of us did the medical,
24	and at the	time it was only me. The other five nurses do the psych

appointments.

1	THE COURT: Right.
2	THE WITNESS: So, that appointment that information
3	came through us and I recognized the name, and it said from the
4	caseworker that the child was diagnosed with intermittent explosive
5	disorder and being prescribed meds.
6	THE COURT: Who
7	THE WITNESS: But I knew that. I knew those girls' names
8	so I told them
9	THE COURT: Oh.
10	THE WITNESS: it's the sibling.
11	THE COURT: And who diagnosed that child?
12	THE WITNESS: I believe it was the neurologist.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So, that's actually a real diagnosis.
14	THE WITNESS: It's a real diagnosis.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So, a medical, true medical
16	professional, to your knowledge, diagnosed that.
17	THE WITNESS: Intermittent explosive disorder.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Go on.
19	BY MS. BLUTH:
20	Q When you have a child who's four years old, and a doctor
21	diagnoses them with anything, whether it be intermittent explosive
22	disorder
23	THE COURT: Anxiety.
24	BY MS. BLUTH:
25	Q diabetes

1		THE COURT: Depression, whatever.
2	BY MS. E	BLUTH:
3	Q	do you know like, does that information come from the
4	child or th	ne caregiver?
5	А	I'm not quite I understand.
6	Q	So, the information when that child goes to see a doctor
7		THE COURT: I think that's beyond what she can answer
8	because	I don't think she knows whether there was corroborative testing
9	or do you see what I'm saying?	
10		THE WITNESS: We request medical records if there's any
11	question about what's being said.	
12		THE COURT: Okay.
13		MS. BLUTH: That concludes my direct examination, Your
14	Honor.	
15		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16		Ms. McAmis?
17		CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. N	MCAMIS:
19	Q	Good afternoon, Ms. Edwards.
20	Α	Hello.
21	Q	You identified yourself as a nurse case manager employed
22	with the [Department of Family Services; correct?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	And that you were assigned specifically to Areahia Diaz;
25	correct?	

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And what was the time period of that assignment, if you
3	remembe	r?
4	Α	It was the end of 2013.
5	Q	Okay. And how long did you stay on that case for?
6	Α	I want to say it was about till March the following year until she
7	was reuni	fied.
8	Q	Okay. When did you start with Areahia Diaz as her nurse
9	case man	ager?
10	Α	At the end of 2013. The initial visit, I believe it was November.
11	Q	Okay. So, as in your duties as a nurse
12	Α	Mm-hmm.
13	Q	assigned to these children, you have a responsibility to
14	know what doctors they're seeing; correct?	
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	All of the doctors that they're seeing; correct?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	And it's fair to say you also have a responsibility to know or
19	document	for your internal file what medications those children are
20	receiving; correct?	
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. So, you have testified that Areahia saw Dr. Dewan one
23	time; corr	ect?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	But prior to that she was being assessed and/or treated by Dr.

1	Saad; correct?	
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	How long had Areahia seen Dr. Saad for compared to Dr.
4	Dewan?	
5	Α	I don't know. I was not following her when she had seen Dr.
6	Saad. I v	vas provided that information at the initial home visit.
7	Q	Okay. But you have access to Areahia's or you would
8	have had	access to Areahia's file that was maintained by your agency;
9	correct?	
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	And that file would have included whatever records or
12	prescriptions Dr. Saad had given to Areahia; correct?	
13	Α	No, not necessarily.
14	Q	The Department of Family Services, through their nursing unit
15	does not	maintain records as to what prescriptions a doctor is giving a
16	child in the custody of Department of Family Services?	
17	Α	No, that's not our role. The medical unit, when they're notified
18	of an app	ointment, requests records immediately. And then at some
19	point the	records get notated with like a written narrative from whoever's
20	inputting	it in so that the people on the case can read those. And the
21	records get scanned into a totally different system.	
22	Q	Okay. But you would have had access to notes that Dr. Saad
23	was treat	ing Areahia; correct?
24	Α	If they were inputted, yes.
25	Q	Okay. And do you have any independent memory of notes

that Areahia was seeing Dr. Saad? 1 2 Α I recall being told that she had seen Dr. Saad. And I actually called Dr. Saad's office to ask for information because as nurse I'm not 3 actually allowed to request the records directly. I have to go through a 4 5 process of having the caseworker request them from the medical unit, which I did ask them to do. But I was given information over the phone 6 7 regarding the child was seen and prescribed medication. 8 Q And was one of those prescriptions for Areahia Metformin? Α Yes 10 Q Will you explain to, perhaps, some of us non-medical 11 professionals what is Metformin, what is it prescribed for? 12 Α It's usually prescribed for people who need their blood sugar 13 brought down. It will help bring the overall blood sugar down lower; not necessarily to a normal level, just lower than what it is. People also use 14 15 it on the side for weight loss, which it is prescribed for weight loss; it's one of the side effects. 16 THE COURT: And she had actually been prescribed this? 17 THE WITNESS: I was told that she was actually prescribed it 18 initially. 19 20 THE COURT: By Dr. --THE WITNESS: Saad, but asked to stop shortly after. 21 22 THE COURT: Who asked to stop? 23 THE WITNESS: The office. When I called they told me it was 24 asked to stop. I --25 THE COURT: I mean, the patient and the mom, Janet