IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NEVADA

GAVIN COX and MINH-HAHN COX,	Supreme Court No. 76422
Husband and Wife,	
Appellants,	Electronically Filed Jun 13 2019 01:32 p.m. District Court No Elizabeth A: Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
VS.	· ·
)
MGM GRAND HOTEL, LLC; DAVID)
COPPERFIELD aka DAVID S. KOTKIN;	
BACKSTAGE EMPLOYMENT AND)
REFERRAL, INC.; DAVID	
COPPERFIELD'S DISAPPEARING, INC.;)
TEAM CONSTRUCTION)
MANAGEMENT, INC.; and BEACHERS)
LV, LLC,	
Respondents.)
JOINT APPENDIX	- VOLUME 4

BRIAN K. HARRIS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 7737

HEATHER E. HARRIS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 7666

CHRISTIAN N. GRIFFIN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 10601

HARRIS & HARRIS

2029 Alta Drive

Las Vegas, NV 89106

Telephone: 702.880.4529 Facsimile: 702.880.4528

Bharris@harrislawyers.net

MORELLI LAW FIRM, PLLC

777 Third Avenue, 31st Floor New York, New York 10017 212.751.9800 - Telephone Attorneys for Appellants

ALPHABETICAL JOINT APPENDIX INDEX

TITLE	DATE	FILER / PREPARER	PAGE NO.	VOLUME NO.
01.19.17 Transcript of Proceedings - Motions	01.19.17	Martha Szramek, Court Recorder	JA 000239 - JA 000346	2
09.18.17 Transcript of Proceedings - Plaintiffs' Omnibus Motion in Limine; Defendants' Motion in Limine; Team Construction Management, Inc., and Beacher's LV LLC's Joinder to Fourth Supplement to Defendant Backstage Employment & Referral, Inc.'s Designation of Expert Witnesses & Documents	09.18.17	Jennifer Gerold, Court Recorder	JA 000352 - JA 000390	2
03.29.18 - Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pretrial Conference	03.29.18	Jennifer Gerald, Court Recorder	JA 000391 - JA 000424	2
04.03.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.03.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 000425 - JA 000568	2-3
04.11.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.11.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 000574 - JA 000714	3
04.13.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.13.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 000715 - JA 000892	3-4
04.17.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.17.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 000893 - JA 001167	4-5
04.18.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.18.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 001168 - JA 001415	5-6
04.24.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.24.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 001416 - JA 001585	6-7
04.25.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.25.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 001933 - JA 002269	9-10
04.26.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.26.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 002270 - JA 002514	10-11

04.27.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.27.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 002515 - JA 002904	11-13
04.30.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.30.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 002905 - JA 003016	13
05.01-18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.01.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 003017 - JA 003282	13-14
05.02.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.02.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 003283 - JA 003596	14-16
05.03.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.03.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 003597 - JA 003846	16-17
05.04.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.04.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 003847 - JA 004002	17
05.08.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.08.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 004071 - JA 004402	18-19
05.09.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.09.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 004435 - JA 004720	19-20
05.10.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.10.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 004723 - JA 004988	20-21
05.11.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.11.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005005 - JA 005157	21-22
05.22.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.22.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005158 - JA 005232	22
05.23.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.23.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005233 - JA 005401	22-23
05.24.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.24.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005440 - JA 005613	23-24
05.25.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.25.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005614 - JA 005806	24-25
05.29.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.29.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005807 - JA 005919	25

08.23.18 - Recorder's Transcript of Hearing re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment As A Matter of Law or, In The Alternative, for New Trial	08.23.18	Jennifer Gerold, Court Recorder	JA 006497 - JA006552	28
Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Brief Regarding New and Previously Undisclosed Witnesses	04.25.18	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 001874 - JA 001932	8-9
Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Motion to Bifurcate Trial (Filed Under Seal)	12.16.16	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 000151 - JA 000158	1
Backstage Employment & Referral, Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or, Alternatively for a New Trial	08.10.18	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 006353 - JA 006381	27
Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Certification of Judgment on Order Shortening Time	04.08.19	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 006614 - JA 006616	28
Backstage Employment & Referral, Inc.'s Reply in Support of Motion to Bifurcate Trial	01.11.17	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 000177 - JA 000234	1
Beacher's LV, LLC's Answer to MGM Grand Hotel's Third Party Complaint	04.05.16	Morris Sullivan Lemkul & Pitegoff	JA 000078 - JA 000092	1
Beacher's LV, LLC's Amended Answer to MGM Grand Hotel's Third-Party Complaint; Counterclaim by Beacher's LV, LLC; Third Party Complaint by Beacher's LV, LLC	10.07.16	Morris Sullivan Lemkul & Pitegoff	JA 000128 - JA 000150	1
Beacher's Motion for Leave to File an Amended Answer to Third Party Plaintiff MGM Grand's Complaint; Counterclaim by Beacher's LV, LLC; Third Party Complaint by Beacher's LV, LLC	07.29.16	Morris Sullivan Lemkul & Pitegoff	JA 000093 - JA 000127	1
Case Appeal Statement	07.11.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006271 - JA 006294	27

Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial	08.06.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 00001 - JA 00011	1
Court Minute Order Regarding Motion for Certification	04.25.19	Judge Mark Denton	JA 006623	28
Court Minutes - Defendant Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Motion to Bifurcate Trial	02.02.17	Judge Mark Denton	JA 000347	2
David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield aka David Kotkin and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint	10.27.14	Selman Breitman	JA 000029 - JA 000038	1
David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Brief Regarding Undisclosed Witnesses	04.25.18	Selman Breitman	JA 001835 - JA 001873	8
David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield aka David Kotkin and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Amended Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint and Cross Claim Against Team Construction Management, Inc.	02.01.16	Selman Breitman	JA 000060 - JA 000071	1
David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield aka David S. Kotkin, and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Joinder to Co-Defendants' Motions in Limine and Motion to Bifurcate Trial	12.28.16	Selman Breitman	JA 000159 - JA 000161	1
David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Certification of Judgment on Order Shortening Time	04.10.19	Selman Breitman	JA 006617 - JA 006619	28

Decision Regarding Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	09.17.18	Judge Mark Denton	JA 006553 - JA 006559	28
Gavin and Mihn-Hahn Cox's Appendix in Support of Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus Under NRAP 27(E)	05.07.18	Harris & Harris	JA 004009 - JA 004067	17-18
Jury Instructions	05.23.18	Judge Mark Denton	JA 005402 - JA 005439	23
MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Motion for Leave to File a Third Party Complaint	12.01.15	Selman Breitman	JA 000039 - JA 000057	1
MGM Grand Hotel, LLC, David Copperfield and David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc.'s Trial Brief to Preclude Plaintiffs from Calling Improper Rebuttal Witnesses	05.10.18 Selman Breitman	JA 004989 - JA 005004		21
MGM Grand Hotel, LLC, David Copperfield aka David Kotkin and David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment As A Matter of Law, or, Alternatively for New Trial	08.10.18	Selman Breitman	JA 006382 - JA 006466	27-28
Notice In Lieu of Remittitur	06.04.18	Supreme Court	JA 005924	25
Notice of Appeal (Supreme Court File-Stamp)	07.19.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006295 - JA 006326	27
Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or, Alternatively, for a New Trial	10.23.18	Resnick & Louis	JA 006562 - JA 006566	28
Notice of Filing Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus	05.07.18	Harris & Harris	JA 004003 - JA 004006	17
Notice of Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Certification	03.29.19	EJDC - Department 13	JA 006612 - JA 006613	28
Notice of Transfer to Court of Appeals	05.07.18	Supreme Court	JA 004007 - JA 004008	17

NRAP 27(E) Certificate	05.09.18	Selman Breitman Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial Resnick & Louis	JA 004427 - JA 004434	19
Order Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus	05.07.18	Supreme Court	JA 004068 - JA 004070	18
Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or, Alternatively, for a New Trial	10.22.18	Resnick & Louis	JA 006560 - JA 006561	28
Order Denying Rehearing	05.10.18	Supreme Court	JA 004721 JA 004722	20
Order Granting Defendant Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Motion to Bifurcate Trial	02.27.17	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 000348 - JA 000351	2
Order Granting Defendants David Copperfield, David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc. And MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Motion for Leave to Amend Their Answer to File Cross Claim	01.28.16	Selman Breitman	JA 000058 - JA 000059	1
Order Granting Motion to Extend Time (Supreme Court)	03.28.19	Supreme Court	JA 006597 - JA 006598	28
Order on Plaintiffs' Motion for Certification of Judgment	05.08.19	Morelli Law Firm	JA 006624 - JA 006626	28
Plaintiff's Amended Case Appeal Statement	11.26.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006577 - JA 006585	28
Plaintiffs' Amended Notice of Appeal	11.26.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006567 - JA 006576	28
Plaintiffs' Motion for Certification of Judgment On Order Shortening Time	03.28.19	Harris & Harris	JA 006599 - JA 006611	28

Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment As a Matter of Law, or, Alternatively, for a New Trial	07.05.18	Harris & Harris	JA 005925 - JA 006259	25-27
Plaintiffs' Notice of Appeal (EJDC File-Stamped)	07.11.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006260 - JA 006270	28
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Motion to Bifurcate Trial	01.05.17	Harris & Harris	JA 000166 - JA 000176	1
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, Or, Alternatively for a New Trial	08.20.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006467 - JA 006496	28
Plaintiffs' Trial Brief to Exclude Cumulative Expert Testimony on Defendants' Proposed Expert Witnesses John E. Baker and Nicholas Yang	04.11.18	Harris & Harris	JA 000569 - JA 000573	3
Plaintiff's Trial Brief to Permit Testimony of Newly Discovered Fact Witnesses	04.25.18	Harris & Harris	JA 001586 - JA 001834	7-8
Real Parties in Interest Emergency Petition for Rehearing of Order Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus Under NRAP 27(E), Immediate Action is Necessary as the Trial is Already in Progress	05.09.18	Selman Breitman Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial Resnick & Louis	JA 004403 - JA 004426	19
Request for Transcript of Proceedings	12.21.18	Morelli Law Firm	JA 006586 - JA 006589	28
Stipulation	03.08.19	Morelli Law Firm	JA 006595 - JA 006596	28
Summons - Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc. w/Affidavit of Service	09.02.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 000021 - JA 000024	1
Summons - David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc. w/Affidavit of Service	08.14.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 000012- JA 000014	1

Summons - David Copperfield aka David S. Kotkin w/Affidavit of Service	09.14.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 000025 - JA 000028	1
Summons - MGM Grand Hotel, LLC w/Affidavit of Service	08.14.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 000015- JA 000017	1
Summons - Team Construction Management, Inc. w/Affidavit of Service	08.14.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 000018 - JA 000020	1
Supplemental Request for Transcript of Proceedings	01.15.19	Morelli Law Firm	JA 006590 - JA 006594	28
Team Construction Management, Inc.'s Answer to Cross Claimants David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield aka David Kotkin and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Cross Claim	03.22.16	Resnick & Louis	JA 000072 - JA 000077	1
Team Construction Management, Inc., and Beachers LV, LLC's Joinder to Defendants David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc, David Copperfield and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Certification of Judgment on Order Shortening Time	04.15.19	Resnick & Louis	JA 006620 - JA 006622	28
Defendant Team Construction Management, Inc. And Beachers LV, LLC's Joinder to Backstage Employment and Referral's Motion to Bifurcate Trial	12.29.16	Resnick & Louis	JA 000162 - JA 000165	1
Team Construction Management, Inc. And Beachers LV, LLC's Joinder to Backstage Employment & Referral's Reply in Support of the Motion to Bifurcate Trial	01.18.17	Resnick & Louis	JA 000235 - JA 000238	1
Defendants Team Construction Management, Inc. And Beacher LV's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or, Alternatively for a New Trial	07.20.18	Resnick & Louis	JA 006327 - JA 006352	27

Verdict (Phase 1)	05.29.18	Court	JA 005920 - JA 005923	25

1		INDEX
2	OPENING STATEMENTS	PAGE
3	By Mr. Morelli	24
4		65
5	By Mr. Popovich	
6	By Ms. Fresch	93
7	By Mr. Russell	121
8	By Mr. Strassburg	143
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		•
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		<u> </u>

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, APRIL 13, 2018;
2	9:10 A.M.
3	
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	* * * * * *
6	
7	THE MARSHAL: All rise. Eighth District
8	Court, Department 13, is now in session, the Honorable
9	Mark Denton presiding.
10	THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated.
11	We're reconvening in outside the presence
12	of the jury in Gavin Cox, et al. v. MGM Grand Hotel,
13	LLC, et al. Please state appearances of counsel,
14	identify parties and party representatives who are
15	present today.
16	MR. MORELLI: Benedict T. Morelli for the
17	plaintiff Gavin and Minh Cox. And they are here in the
18	courtroom, Gavin Cox, Minh Cox, and their three sons.
19	MR. DEUTSCH: Good morning, Your Honor. Adam
20	Deutsch, also for the plaintiffs.
21	MR. FALLICK: Good morning, Your Honor.
22	Perry Fallick, also for the plaintiffs.
23	MR. POPOVICH: Good morning, Your Honor.
24	Jerry Popovich for defendant MGM Grand Hotel, with Mike
25	Infuso, Kelly Davis, Will Martin, and Mark Habersack.

1 MS. FRESCH: Good morning, Your Honor. Elaine Fresch of Selman Breitman for David Copperfield, David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc. Sitting next to me is David Copperfield, my client, and -- excuse me --5 Gil Glancz is also here today from my office, and Eric 6 Freeman. 7 THE COURT: Good morning. 8 MR. ROBERTS: Good morning, Your Honor. 9 Roberts for Backstage. With us today is the president 10 of Backstage, Mr. Chris Kenner. 11 MR. RUSSELL: Good morning, Your Honor. 12 Howard Russell, also on behalf of Backstage. 13 MR. STRASSBURG: May it please the Court, 14 Roger Strassburg on behalf of Team Construction 15 Management. And I'm assisted ably by my partner, Gary 16 Call, who's also here. 17 MR. CALL: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: As I indicated, this is the time 19 for resumption of trial. I understand there's 20 something to be taken up before we proceed. 21 MS. FRESCH: Sorry. 22 MR. GLANCZ: Good morning, Your Honor. 23 Glancz on behalf of David Copperfield and David 24 Copperfield Disappearing, Inc. I'm here to argue with 25 respect to our trial brief relating to closing certain

1 portions of the court proceedings from the media and the general public. And I'll also be, if necessary, arguing our motion to stay pending a writ, depending on your decision.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, Nevada law recognizes that courts should protect trade secrets and other confidential information by reasonable means by putting stuff under seal and sometimes closing the proceedings. we're seeking to close the proceedings so that David Copperfield's trademarked secrets won't get out.

His trademarked secrets are, obviously, his illusions and different magic steps that go to his things. He's worked his whole career, lifetime and years, putting together these different illusions and magic tricks for him to use, and he has secrets behind it.

Plaintiffs have argued that everybody knows these tricks, he's making someone appear and disappear, He's -- there's a lot but that's not entirely correct. more that goes on with the trick. And if everybody knew how to do it, they would be doing it as well. no one else is.

One of the other things that plaintiffs argued is that the plaintiffs themselves and all other participating audience members in his trick also know

how that trick was done because they're part of it.

10 l

But, again, that's only a small portion of the illusion itself. They don't have an idea of what's going on on stage and different things that David Copperfield is doing to — sleight of hands, moving people, and how everything else works. And a lot goes into these tricks. And Mr. Copperfield is going to have to testify to all of these different aspects of it — of the trick, the methods, in order to defend himself properly in this case.

Additionally, the magic trick in question, the Thirteen, also is related to several of his other tricks and illusions, one being the fan illusion, where no other audience participants are even part of the illusion at all. So no one has any idea how he does it. The reason that illusion is relevant is because Mr. Copperfield walks that same exact path that plaintiffs walked when doing the Thirteen Illusion.

And it's — it's clear that there are trade secrets and they should be protected. It meets all the standards. They've guarded the secret. No one else knows the secret. They've taken all the steps that they can to keep it protected. So it's clearly a trade secret. If all of that is revealed to the general public and to the media, then that trick is worthless.

1 Once the secret is revealed, you can't use that trick anymore.

2

3

5 I

8

9

11

12

13

14 l

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And because that illusion has the same 4 | methods as other tricks of -- other tricks of David Copperfield, then he would have to give up using all 6 those other illusions as well because people would already know what it is. The value of a magic illusion is the fact that no one knows how it's done.

Additionally, there's a growing trend to 10 afford magic illusions and tricks copyright protection. We brought the case of Teller v. Dogge, where the court found that dramatic works are protected and can be copyright-protected. In this case, Mr. Copperfield doesn't have a copyright on -- on his illusion, but the case still stands that the court found whatever reason it could to protect Teller's copyright protection in that case.

And what -- there's plenty of ways that the court could have said it's not valid because he didn't file it in the proper time. They could have found that it was abandoned. But the court went out of its way to show that it's a copyrighted material and even said that dramatic works as well as pantomimes are subject to copyright protection.

Additionally, currently going through the

United States Congress House of Representatives is a bill that's — that they're passing, Congressional Resolution 698, which is intended to preserve and protect and promote magic in its form, specifically, to treat it as a rare and valuable art form and national treasure.

By putting it into that, if that bill goes through, automatically, those become trade secrets and they'll be — they'll be protected the same way any musical piece, movie, other artworks are protected.

We've -- we haven't even begun the trial already, and there's been significant media coverage. We have people in here today from the media taking pictures of the courtroom. We've had lots of interviews and things going on. And the advertisers are now actually teasing that they're going to be revealing how some of his illusions are performed.

Mr. Copperfield have to divulge all of his trade secrets related to this trick and others when there's no reason for it. So we believe that eliminating the — by precluding media and general public to come in during his testimony and several others where they discuss the actual methods and design of the illusion would — would — would protect Mr. Copperfield's trade

secrets.

MR. DEUTSCH: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, we submitted a brief on this yesterday, I believe. It starts off with the Constitution of the United States, Your Honor. The First Amendment holds very clearly that legal proceedings should be open to the public. The Supreme Court of Nevada in the Del Papa case has said that. There was another case, Suen v. Las Vegas Sands that said very clearly that legal proceedings are public affairs and should be open to the public for that purpose.

The argument that this trick that is relevant in this case, the Thirteen Illusion, is — is a trade secret or a secret of any kind is belied by the position that Mr. Copperfield is taking in this case. Yesterday in the discussion about opening statements, Mr. Copperfield's attorneys provided the Court with some photograph demonstrative exhibits that they wanted to use to show how many people already know about how this trick is done.

And they're taking the position that there's over 100,000 people that already know how this trick is done. Every single night this trick is done,
Mr. Copperfield selects 13 people, tells them how the

trick is done, shows them how the trick is done, doesn't make them sign any type of nondisclosure agreement of any kind. The world knows how this trick is done.

Any discussion about any other tricks, as far as we're concerned, Your Honor, have nothing to do with this case. If the defendants choose to come in here and disclose how other tricks are done, they do that at their own peril. This case is about one trick, the Thirteen, a trick that Mr. Copperfield claims he doesn't do anymore, which means therefore that there's no reason to protect the secret anymore; a trick that a simple search of the internet already discloses how the trick is done; a trick that 13 people every night for all the years knew how it was done.

So there's nothing secret about the Thirteen Illusion at this point. Anything having to do with another trick, we don't even think it's relevant to the case. And if they choose to use it, then they do so at their own peril, Your Honor. I think that the public has a right to know what's going on in this courtroom, and I think there's no reason to preclude anyone from being in here, including cameras.

Thank you, Your Honor.

Oh, can I just say one more thing? I

apologize.

There's no proof of any copyright here either. They didn't put that in their initial papers. There's no proof of a copyright anywhere, I don't believe. And they raised it today for the first time. So I don't think there's been any proof that anything here is copyrighted.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GLANCZ: Your Honor, to that point, I agree the tricks are not copyrighted. We've raised it just as an example of magic illusions being able to have that kind of protection, and that's what we're seeking here.

The case that plaintiff just cited as far as the openness of the proceedings to the media and general public, none of those cases that he cited have to deal with trademarked secrets. There's plenty of cases — and the supreme court here in Nevada has said that they — that closing proceedings may be weighed to protect trade secrets such as this.

His secrets are what makes him the magician he is today. And while the audience participants may be able to share their limited experience with respect to the small portion of the illusion, they would not be able to provide any information to the majority of the

illusion.

There's a lot of stuff that goes on while the audience participants are moving from one part of the stage to another, a lot. That's where most of the trick happens. Yes, the reveal is the — the big climax of the trick, but a lot of other things go on during that time. And no one can testify to that.

Plaintiffs don't know the whole trick. The only person that does is Mr. Copperfield sitting right here next to us. So he should be able to be protected and not have to give up that information.

Additionally -- sorry -- excuse me -- with respect to the other trick, it goes without saying that that has to be revealed. It's definitely relevant to this case. When you have a person walking the same path as the plaintiffs no more than ten minutes before, it's going to be relevant. You have to discuss that. If he's discussing every method that goes on with the Thirteen Illusion, you're going to find out every method that goes along with the other illusions as well. And he should be protected from having to reveal all that just to defend himself in this case.

THE COURT: But you're the one that brought that out on this argument; right?

MR. GLANCZ: I am.

1 THE COURT: I didn't hear the plaintiffs 2 bring out the resemblance of another act. 3 MR. GLANCZ: That's true. I don't know. 4 haven't been part of the whole discovery process of what they know, but I'm assuming that it came through in deposition testimony, and this is the first they 7 learned about it. 8 It did not, Your Honor. MR. DEUTSCH: 9 MS. FRESCH: Your Honor, may I insert? 10 Mr. Copperfield testified about that in his 11 deposition. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MS. FRESCH: It has been --14 THE COURT: The fact is it hasn't been brought out by the plaintiff --15 16 MS. FRESCH: But, Your Honor --17 THE COURT: -- as being similar. 18 MS. FRESCH: But for us to properly defend 19 Mr. Copperfield in this case and for him to properly 20 defend himself, what -- what Mr. Deutsch is suggesting 21 is that he has to choose between not bringing up 22 another illusion so that he does not reveal the secrets behind how that illusion is performed, or he just 24 doesn't get to defend himself properly. That's not 25 fair. That's prejudicial to him. He has to be able to bring up another illusion in order to properly --

2 THE COURT: And if that's the case, then

there may be reason to close the court at that time.

But that doesn't mean that everything having to do with

5 this illusion has to be closed.

6

7

11 |

12

14

15

16

17

18

22

24

MS. FRESCH: Well, obviously, our argument is that this illusion should be the same closed proceeding 8 because not everyone knows the entire process of how the Thirteen is developed and performed from step one to the end when those audience members reappear. one knows that. Only Mr. Copperfield and the people of Backstage and the other stagehands have any concept of 13 how that is done. That is secret.

Mr. Deutsch talks about things like, yeah, there's 96,000 participants. True. But they only know the part from when they leave the stage to where they end up in the back. They don't know how the rest of the illusion. For us to properly defend,

19 Mr. Copperfield needs to explain all of that. 20 to explain that in opening statements. How can I do 21 that if I'm going to run the risk that

Mr. Copperfield's illusions, the source of his entire career, will be revealed and will be on the news tonight?

25 It already was on "Good Morning America."

1 was on all the local stations. This is, like, getting 2 more media coverage. It's going to be on the news tonight. That's prejudicial to Mr. Copperfield in his These are proprietary information. 5 why originally there was a confidential order that was agreed to by plaintiffs and signed by -- signed by Your 7 Honor. 8 I'm sorry. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 10 MR. DEUTSCH: Your Honor, may I just make one 11 point? 12 THE COURT: All right. The defense will have 13 the last word on it. It's their motion. 14 Yeah, I understand, Your Honor. MR. DEUTSCH: 15 Two things. One, if -- if the relevance of the -- the second trick --17 THE COURT: Don't refer to --18 If the relevance of MR. DEUTSCH: I'm not. 19 the second trick -- that's why I didn't name it, but 20 "the second trick" -- is just that -- that there's a 21 walking of the similar route, like counsel just said, 22 they could say that without revealing anything else 23 about that -- that illusion. 24 But the more concerning thing for us, Your 25 Honor, is that this case has been through discovery for

four years now. We've had interrogatories, we've had multiple depositions of multiple witnesses, including Mr. Copperfield, Mr. Kenner, other employees that are involved in this illusion, the Thirteen Illusion.

And all of those witnesses were asked under oath in multiple occasions, explain to us how the illusion is done. Both Ms. Fresch and -- I'm sorry.

I don't know your name.

1 |

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 l

19

20

21

22

24

25

MR. GLANCZ: Mr. Glancz.

MR. DEUTSCH: -- Mr. Glancz just got up here and say even the plaintiffs don't know how this entire illusion is going to be done. And Ms. Fresch just said that she's going to tell the jury how the entire illusion is going to be done.

So we're sort of perplexed, Your Honor, how we're supposed to start this trial and open when we're now being told in front of everybody in this courtroom 18 that there has been discovery that's been withheld from us in terms of all of the details of this illusion. Mr. Copperfield was asked under oath, "Tell us how the illusion was done."

It's now been clear that he didn't share all 23 that information with us. Mr. Kenner was asked that question. It's clear that he didn't share all that information with us.

So we now feel that we're being prejudiced by 1 2 starting a trial where the defendants have purposefully 3 withheld information from us. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 5 Let me hear last from defense. 6 MR. GLANCZ: Your Honor, first point is if we 7 did say something to the effect that plaintiffs don't know how the -- plaintiffs' counsel doesn't know how the trick is done, that's -- I didn't mean to say that. 10 They didn't -- they've gotten all the information. 11 it's also given under confidentiality and a protective 12 order. So they have that, but they can't disclose it 13 to anybody. 14 We want the same -- we want that to be -- to 15 be protected here in trial, where he shouldn't have to 16 make a choice between his future financial capacity in 17 being able to perform different magic tricks versus 18 trying to defend himself in a case. 19 And, currently, besides the one illusion that 20 we talked about, Mr. Copperfield just informed us 21 there's another illusion that is very similar to the --22 THE COURT: Don't identify what it is, 23 please. 24 MR. GLANCZ: I'm not.

MR. DEUTSCH: Your Honor, I just want to know

25

if Ms. Fresch misspoke also.

MS. FRESCH: No, Your Honor. I can tell you that, because I was at all those depositions, it — it depends on how plaintiff asked their questions and what they asked. If they chose not to ask questions in depositions to elaborate on every process of the illusion, that's their problem. We get to talk about that now because we're in trial.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I don't consider -- yes?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes. Your Honor, Lee Roberts, Backstage Employment and Referral.

As you know, Your Honor, my company employs the stagehands. And I just wanted to — to tell the Court that we would support the request to close the courtroom only during the limited portions of the opening and the trial where the details of the illusion are discussed.

The continued employment and the ability to earn a living of the stagehands depends upon

Mr. Copperfield's success, which, in the case of a magician, depends on the preservation of trade secrets.

When illusions are known, they lose their magic.

In Richmond Newspapers v. Virginia, 448 U.S. 555, page 600, note 5, Justice Stewart noted that "the

1 protection of trade secrets is one of the limited 2 circumstances that can justify the exclusion of the public from a trial during very limited segments of the trial." And we're not asking to close the whole

6 trial, but we support a request to close very limited portions of the trial, Your Honor.

Thank you.

5

8

9

11

12

13

15 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DEUTSCH: Your Honor, can I just make a 10 | clarification after Mr. Roberts's comments?

Our understanding was that the only dispute that we were having at this moment was whether or not cameras would be allowed to roll and not an issue -- I 14 was pretty confident that Your Honor has already ruled on two occasions very clearly that Your Honor was not going to close the courtroom as to reporters and that the only thing that was being discussed here was the issue of whether cameras would be allowed --

THE COURT: No, I think the motion goes beyond that.

> MR. DEUTSCH: Okay.

THE COURT: So I -- I looked at it. Here's what I understand the defendant to be seeking. page 6 of the defendants' motion that was filed April 10th.

It says, "Therefore, defendants request that the Court close the proceedings for opening statements, closing arguments, and parts of the argument and testimony related to the defendant's trade secrets, and specifically the Thirteen Illusion" — and I'm not going to go beyond that.

MS. FRESCH: Right.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FRESCH: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Well, here's my -- my ruling: I don't consider the -- the specific illusion involved in this case to be -- to warrant closing the courtroom. Okay? So opening statements, questioning of witnesses, everything else, I'm not going to close the courtroom or the cameras relative to that illusion.

But if you're going to get into something else, approach the bench — approach the bench — and let me know, because there may be reason to either — either close the cameras or close the courtroom or whatever. Okay? There are some things there that may be protectable trade secrets that would warrant the Court's consideration of closure of proceedings.

But I'm not going to close the proceedings relative to the specific illusion that's involved here, the Thirteen Illusion, because I think that's — that's

1 been out for quite some time in terms of -- and what 2 we're talking about is -- is what happened in this 3 case. And I think that's certainly something that's subject to open court proceedings. Okay? 5 So there's my ruling. 6 So I'm going to need an order to be 7 submitted. And I'm -- I want counsel to be on the same page on it; if not, submit competing orders and then I'll determine which one to sign. 10 MR. GLANCZ: That's fine, Your Honor. 11 draft that order, and then I can pass it over to plaintiffs' counsel for their approval. 12 13 In the meantime, I'd also like to do an oral 14 motion for stay pending the writ that we'll be filing 15 l today. 16 THE COURT: Well, all we're doing today is 17 opening statements. So I'm not going to stay the 18 proceedings. We'll go on with opening statements. And 19 that gives you time if you're going to be seeking a 20 writ. You know, we're not going to be reconvening 21 until next Tuesday, so -- right? 22 MR. GLANCZ: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right. So we're ready for 24 the jury? 25 Finally. MR. MORELLI: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Let's have the jury
2	brought in. I stand, and I expect everybody else to do
3	so when the jury comes in.
4	THE MARSHAL: All rise.
5	(The following proceedings were held in
6	the presence of the jury.)
7	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and
8	gentlemen.
9	IN UNISON: Good morning.
10	THE COURT: You may be seated when you've
11	found your assigned seats. You may be seated, ladies
12	and gentlemen.
13	Do counsel stipulate that the jury is now
14	present?
15	MR. MORELLI: So stipulated, Your Honor.
16	MR. POPOVICH: Yes, Your Honor.
17	MS. FRESCH: Yes, Your Honor.
18	MR. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury,
20	the plaintiffs are entitled to present the first
21	opening statement. And, at this time, you may proceed,
22	counsel.
23	
24	OPENING STATEMENT
25	MR. MORELLI: Thank you, Your Honor. May it
	regularit you, rout nonce, ray to

please the Court. Multiple counsel -- I'm not naming all of you -- good morning.

IN UNISON: Good morning.

MR. MORELLI: He's back. I have a number of things to talk to you about. You're all the way back there, so if I get a little wet up here, you won't get hurt.

It's interesting that — that we are here to discuss the Thirteen Illusion on Friday the 13th.

10 Okay? Hopefully none of you are too superstitious.

11 There are no black cats in the audience.

We're going to be discussing exactly what the evidence is going to show. And I want you to understand that, as I told you for many hours in jury selection, that the evidence comes during the trial. So although what I say to you and what the other lawyers say to you is important for you to pay attention to and listen to, it's not evidence in the case. All right? And so I'm not going to be showing you photographs or charts or anything in opening statement. We're going to talk. Okay? Just like we talked in jury selection, maybe you would say for too many days, but thank you for hanging in there.

First thing I want to note is that my clients are in the courtroom today. And that is Gavin Cox

sitting there and his wife Minh. And their three sons are in the corner there.

And it's very important for you to understand — and I think I told you this in jury selection — that we're not here to prove that David Copperfield's a bad person. That's not this case, not this case. We're not here to prove that MGM Grand is a bad company or that anybody — or the other defendants are bad. You know what I'm saying?

No. As a matter of fact, Gavin Cox isn't in here suing because he's angry. You're going to hear him testify he's not angry; he's injured. And if you remember, I told you, right from the beginning, about this trivialization. And you're going to hear the testimony in this case. This is no trivial matter.

So the Thirteen Illusion, we're going to show you that it's an accident waiting to happen. It's obviously dangerous. And the additional actions that the defendants took, and failed to take, caused Mr. Cox to be injured. And I'm talking about all of the defendants that you heard about in jury selection.

Now, it's very important to recognize that in jury selection we're very fortunate that we're able to talk with you, ask you questions, you give us answers.

I believe every one of you was about as open as you

could be, surprisingly, even more than I thought. And we take those answers and we decide whether or not -
MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Now, this is not opening. It's argument.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, counsel.

MR. MORELLI: Thank you, Your Honor.

So you are going to hear that, when the witnesses take the stand, all of the witnesses who are going to be called, other than the plaintiffs themselves, are going to be defendants' witnesses. We don't have the information; they have the information. The only information we have on our side, without getting it from them, is what the plaintiff says. And I want you to remember that as I go through in detail what I think this case is really about.

There was a duty by the defendants to provide a safe environment for the audience participants. Now, there's many things that I'm going to tell you today, all of which will be proven during the trial. We're going to prove to you that this Thirteen Illusion was fraught with danger. And it's about the illusion that was performed on November 12th, 2013. And you remember I told you that you could remember easily the date of the accident, 11/12/13. Okay? That's how I remember it, anyway. We're going to prove to you that it was

dangerous in the way it was designed and dangerous in the way it was executed. That's what we're going to prove.

Now, the MGM Grand defendant is not the only defendant that was complicit in this accident. David Copperfield himself — and as you can see, he's here in court — David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., Backstage Employment and Referral, all of those defendants were complicit in causing this accident that Mr. Cox had. Team Construction is liable in a different way, and you are going to hear about that. I'm going to talk about it. Got to leave you something to anticipate. Okay?

You're going to find that David Copperfield needs help to do his illusion — help from the Backstage Employment employees, we're going to prove to you; help from MGM Grand employees; and help from the audience, the audience participants.

Now, the audience participants do not know what to expect. Now, understand that the evidence that I will be bringing to you during this trial along with my associate, Mr. Deutsch, who's not listening to me this morning. Okay? You know what I'm going to do about that when I get back.

We're going to be bringing forth the

evidence, and I can tell you that with confidence

because we have all the deposition testimony of the

witnesses in this case: Backstage Employment

employees, David Copperfield himself, MGM Grand. We

have taken this testimony over the years, over the four

years that we have been doing this case.

Now, you're going to hear that they agree.

They will tell you, because I'm going to call them on

my case and I'm going to cross-examine them. And they

will tell you that the audience members were never told

what they were going to encounter during this illusion.

So I say that Mr. Cox was never warned of a possible accident. He was never warned or thought of a possible injury. Quite the contrary. He and possibly all of the other participants had an expectation of safety. An expectation. Because if, in fact, Mr. Copperfield is standing up on the stage and he's telling everybody in his opening — and I'm sure that you'll see this video of that, not of the night of this accident, but the way he normally talks to everybody — he tells the audience, "You're going to be randomly selected."

So Mr. Cox said okay. I guess I'm going to be okay, you know. Why would David Copperfield, who is so famous, select me and not protect me? Select me and

not protect me.

1

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

So I'll tell you that Mr. Cox was never warned, never asked to sign a waiver assuming the risk, so Mr. Cox never assumed any risk.

Now, let's talk a little bit about this Thirteen Illusion. I'm not sure that it's clear that 13 balls -- plastic, glass, rubber, whatever they are, immaterial to me -- are thrown out into the audience, music playing, lights flashing, and the people who catch the balls have an opportunity to go up to the stage.

Now, you're going to learn that sometimes there's 16 people who go up to the stage, 13 people. It varies. And then they go up to the stage. into a -- really not a box, but sort of configured like a box with curtains all around, and they disappear. They disappear. And when they reappear -- and keep that thought in your mind, when they reappear, because I'm going to talk to you about specifically yes and no, okay, they reappear in the back of the audience. Stage, disappear, back of the audience, reappear.

From the audience perspective, the entire 23 trick or illusion is very polished and smooth, because that's their perspective, just like this. So when the curtain comes down and you can't see the participants

any longer, the audience doesn't know what's happening. That's the idea. But what the audience doesn't see is the chaos that's going on behind the scenes, behind the curtain, and further behind the scenes.

And we're going to talk about that. going to talk about this chaos, because that's what I think it is, and I think you are going to agree.

MR. RUSSELL: Objection. Personal opinion.

Hold on one second. THE COURT: objection.

MR. RUSSELL: Objection as to personal opinion.

> THE COURT: Sustained.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

MR. MORELLI: We're going to talk about how the chaos was created, who created the chaos, why there 16 was chaos, and how that chaos caused injury to Mr. Cox, because I submit to you that the evidence is going to show you that that was a major reason why Mr. Cox had an accident. And I'm not so sure I want to call it that.

Now, participants are put in the box or behind the curtains, and they're sitting in stadium seating, and there's approximately 13 of them. Sometimes there is; sometimes there isn't. But when I question the witnesses, you are going to hear that

there could be as few as 10 and there could be 13. So, not so sure that's relevant, but it's called the Thirteen Illusion anyway.

12 l

The curtain comes down. And as soon as that curtain comes down and the audience sees the curtain coming down, the clock starts ticking because that's the beginning of the illusion. And you'll see that when that happens, Mr. Cox describes it as "all hell breaks loose." And he says that he goes from — and that is Mr. Cox talking. That's who you are going to hear from the witness stand. He goes from a feeling of excitement to sheer panic. That's his testimony.

And you have to understand that the box or the cage is — has curtains on all sides and the participants are sitting in there. They don't know what to expect, and they haven't been told anything. So they're sitting there and they're listening, and they're hearing the music that all of the audience members who aren't involved as participants are hearing. And the music is very loud.

So therefore one of the Backstage

employees -- and I -- I guess we'll see this, but I'll

describe it the best I can. One of the seats pulls up

and out comes a Backstage employee. And he yells,

"Stand up." Yells it out. And he yells it out in this

confined space. But he yells it out because the music is playing so loudly that no one would hear him. So he yells it out. "Stand up. Come with me." And Mr. Cox describes it as a rabbit coming out of a rabbit hole, just startling.

So, now, you're going to find that this illusion is planned to decide how the participants are going to get from Point A to Point B, Point A to Point B. And, as with sound common sense — and you are going to hear the testimony in this case, that in order for this illusion to work and for there to — for it to be more amazing, it has to be quick. Has to be quick. Has to move very quickly. So I'm going to see that they have to get from the stage to the back of the audience as quickly as possible.

Now, you're going to learn — 'cause we know — that David Copperfield bought this trick from someone else a very long time ago. And he states, and other witnesses, their witnesses, state that after he bought the illusion, he then totally redesigned it.

And I think the term that he used and the other witnesses used is, "He made it his own." So it was totally redesigned. And you're going to know that the shortest distance between two points, we all know, is a straight line. And there's no straight lines here.

For the audience to go from the stage to the back, the participants, is anything but a straight line.

And the entire route, you're going to hear, was designed by David Copperfield and some of the Backstage Employment people. They designed the route. Which means that they could have designed the route any way they wanted. Their illusion, their design, their route, their responsibility.

So you're going to know and learn that it was filled with confusion — the route — surprise, and danger. Confusion, surprise, and danger. Now, what do I mean by that? Well, you are going to learn that there were multiple turns, going from dark to light, from light to dark, from carpet to tile, from carpet to concrete, from inside to outside, and from outside to back to inside. That's the route.

Now, when I say outside, I mean outside the entire building, outside on the street, in the street. That's the route that was designed by Mr. Copperfield and Backstage. This is when he made it his own.

Now, when they're doing this route, they're being prompted by Backstage employees to hurry, hurry up. Run, run, run. And they're then directed to turn right or left at the very last second. No notice.

Very last second. So that the employees of the defendants forced these unsuspecting participants to run around an unknown route, not knowing what they're going to encounter.

So let's talk about the -- the -- exactly what the trick is. I'm sure you have been waiting for that. But, you know, we have so much information already in jury selection and now that you probably figured it out. So, as I told you, the curtain comes down and the clock starts ticking.

So they're in this box, curtains, curtains, curtains. You heard about the employee yelling "Stand up. Come with me." And now they file out the back.

And it's called — this tight passageway — that's called the dragon. That's what they call it. It's a hidden stage prop and they file out the back, and they run down six stairs. I think it's six. I think if I counted right. Mr. Cox describes the employees yelling "Hurry up. Run, run, run."

At the bottom of the stairs is the first intersection, for lack of a better term. So they don't know if they have to go straight, left, or right. So the last minute, they're told to turn right. So they turn right. And they go into a hallway.

Now, Mr. Cox says, "Everyone in front of me

1 was running as fast as they could. " And hallway No. 1 is a dark hallway. So they run to the end of that 3 | hallway and they meet up with another intersection. 4 Another decision: Are they making a right, are they 5 making a left, or are they going straight? They don't know until the last second.

6

7

12

14

15

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

So now, this time, they get to the end, and 8 they have to make a left, right away, all the time 9 being prompted to hurry and to run. And they now go 10 down another hallway, which is different. And they 11 come to the end of the second hallway, and the same thing happens: They're at this intersection where they have to make a right or a left, because they don't know. Last second, turn left.

Now, at the end of that hallway, which is lit, by the way, they make a left, again, into hallway No. 3. But it's a little different this time, because they're running, running, hurrying, hurrying, hurrying, and they get to the end of hallway No. 3, and there's a set of doors, and the doors are opened, and they go through the doors, and they find themselves outside.

Now, you are going to see that when they found themselves outside, it was 8:30 at night, approximately. I think maybe a little shy of 8:30. was dark. It was dark, and there was no overhead lighting. And outside in the dark, when they're on the street — and I believe that was Tropicana Avenue — there's MGM employees standing outside, some of which had flashlights. And again, they don't know where they're going.

So they get to the outside, and the last second, they're told "Turn right, turn right." So they make a right. And now they're running on concrete, outside, along the side of the building in the dark.

Now, you're going to learn that the MGM employees are along the route, some of which — or some of whom had flashlights. And that was even more disconcerting and confusing that the light was moving, and it was dark out. No overhead lights. And they're still running and hurrying.

And you're going to — you're going to —
you're going to see that this was a big—time rush, that
they were running for their lives, with crazy looks on
their faces. And I want you to remember that because
we're going to revisit that at the end of my opening
statement to you. Running for their lives with crazy
looks on their faces.

Now, along with the MGM employees who were along the route, with the flashlights, there were

1 Backstage employees, one of them in front pulling the 2 herd, and one of them in back. And you're going to hear from them. I'm calling those people to testify to And one of them in the back, pushing the herd. 5 Because that's the way it was.

3

6

7

10

12

13

15

16 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Now they're running outside. The building is to their right. They're running, and there's a -there's an employee, an MGM employee, standing right at 9 that point -- let's assume that this is the next right -- standing over here with a flashlight. And he's yelling "Turn right. Turn right." So now, when he's yelling "Turn right," you're coming along, you're running and you're running and you're running. And as soon as you turn right, this next right, there's an additional obstacle that no one warned anybody of. additional obstacle. And what was that obstacle? Well, they weren't turning onto a flat surface. were turning from a flat surface to an incline, an unsuspected incline. Now, nobody warned any of the participants. And obviously, we're interested that Mr. Cox wasn't warned of this incline.

And so at that time -- and you're going to hear testimony because I'm going to be calling this witness too -- you're going to learn that the director of risk management for MGM Grand, who's here in the

1 courtroom -- he's the first person in the second row 2 here -- Mark Habersack, he's going to testify. going to call him. But we already know what he says under oath. And I'm going to read it to you so I get it exactly right. He says --

MR. RUSSELL: Objection, Your Honor. Reading a deposition.

> THE COURT: Sustained.

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MORELLI: -- that any prudent person, any 10 prudent person would warn his participants of the elevation change as they are participating in the act. I would hope Copperfield did do that.

So now what happens is they go up this incline -- call it a ramp -- and they continue on, going through the doors, back inside the building. They go through -- and they're now into this loading area. And then they go through another set of doors to inside the casino. And, again, they don't know which direction to go -- right, left, straight.

So they get sent in to the kitchen. what they call it. Into the kitchen. And you're going to hear that that kitchen has been described as a maze into itself. And what's in the kitchen? Well, it's an active kitchen with cleaning supplies, boxes, food service items. They're running through a kitchen.

Running. And they run up another set of stairs, back into the theater. Finally, Point B.

Now they've gone from light to dark. They've gone from different surfaces to different conditions.

And all of that, you're going to hear from their witnesses, takes about a minute. So you can imagine, in order for all of that to take a minute, how fast you have to do it.

So we'll prove to you that this accident that Mr. Cox had was foreseeable. They certainly could figure it out, that this could happen. And I'm going to talk, in a little while, about the fact of what they do, which will prove to you, during this trial, that it was foreseeable and that they had an obligation to keep these people safe and keep Mr. Cox safe.

Now, on this night, you are going to learn that, when they turned this last turn that's outside onto this ramp, there was an additional obstacle, an additional obstacle. And what was that? Well, you're going to learn that there was construction going on inside the MGM Grand. They were building a nightclub. And Team Construction was building that nightclub. And the MGM Grand had the right to tell Team Construction where to place their dumpster. And I think all of us know what a dumpster is, you know, for debris and

1 garbage that has to be thrown out. And the dumpster 2 was placed -- and let's assume that this is the incline here -- right adjacent, right over here.

Putting Mr. Deutsch right in the dumpster. That might happen.

3

4

5

6

7

11 I

12

15 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And they knew -- the MGM Grand knew that, when they told Team Construction that they should place their dumpster there, that that's where the Thirteen Illusion had its route. Part of its route was right 10 there. They knew it. And yet they decided to make, tell, instruct Team Construction to place their dumpster there. So that they -- and you -- you are also going to learn that the MGM Grand has an agreement with the construction company and the nightclub that's being built, Beacher's, that they have the right, MGM does, to instruct them as to where they place their materials and things like the dumpster and that they, therefore, violated their own rules by placing it there, because Team Construction doesn't know anything about this Thirteen Illusion, but MGM Grand does. Their employees are working it.

So now you're going to hear that Team Construction was doing the construction work. were the general contractor. And, as the general contractor, you are responsible for any subcontractors who you hire and whatever their actions are.

And you are going to learn that the corner where Mr. Cox fell, when he turned the corner on that elevation, you're going to see photographs of him — not now, during the real trial; not now, although I could.

You're going to see photographs of — after Mr. Cox fell, of this dust and debris all over his clothing and all over his shoes.

So it was a confluence of events that caused Mr. Cox to fall and be injured. Running, dark, unknown incline, unknown route, dust and debris. That's what we submit to you caused Mr. Cox to be injured. And we're going to prove it to you.

So, you know, we heard a number of things during — during jury selection about, you know, when — when we talk to you in jury selection, obviously, what we say is not evidence, just like now, but you remember.

And it doesn't matter, you will see, that — whether or not Team Construction employees were home with their families at the time when the accident happened because they left the job at 2:30 and the accident happened around 8:30 at night. What does matter is not when they left the job but how they left

the job. So whether they're home with their families when Mr. Cox gets injured is not the issue. The issue is in what condition did you leave the job?

Now, you're going to learn, through the evidence in this case — and I'll tell you that Team Construction, in their own materials talking to their employees about safety, state they agree that poor housekeeping is a frequent cause of accidents. Poor housekeeping. They also state they agree that anything left lying around can become a slipping or tripping hazard. They don't differentiate between slipping and tripping because it doesn't matter. Because when someone is caused to fall and get injured, you know — so that's what Team Construction says.

Now, it's important for us to talk about the — what I call the so-called screening process that David Copperfield and his people do after they call the participants up to the stage. They say — and you will hear on the testimony — that as the participants are walking up the stairs to the stage, they're eyeballing them, looking at them and trying to decide whether they're going to be able to do this magic.

So, now, you will also learn that this entire process, this screening process of eyeballing and asking questions and so-called weeding out people,

1 takes a few minutes. That's like us picking a jury in 2 three or four minutes instead of a week and a half or a 3 week or -- you probably felt it was a month. 4 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Argument. 5 THE COURT: Sustained. 6 Go ahead. 7 MR. MORELLI: So they ask questions of the people who come up. And they say, "Can you speak 9 English?" 10 I don't know if you're asking somebody who 11 | doesn't speak English if they could speak English 12 whether or not you're going to get an answer, but let's 13| assume that "Do you speak English? Yes or no?" you 14 have to speak English. And the reason, we're going to 15 prove to you, that they ask that question is because 16 they know they're going to give all of these 17 instructions as they're running, right, left, straight, 18 hurry, you know, stand up. So they want them to speak 19 English. 20 But -- I'll ask them. But the more 21 interesting question is that they ask, "Are you 22 pregnant?" Are you pregnant? They ask women this, 23 obviously, "Are you pregnant?" 24 Now, I would -- I'm going to probe to find

out whether or not they're asking every single woman if

25

1 they're pregnant, but that's one of their questions. 2 And I'm going to prove to you that the reason that they 3 ask that question is because they know that, with this illusion, someone can get hurt, someone can fall, 5 someone can get bumped into. And I'm sure that we're going to find out that they want to protect the unborn 7 child. So that's another question. 8 Now, third question of category number one of 9 questions, which I call the category where they're 10 deciding who should participate. And I'm going to ask 11 whether or not they're deciding who to participate to 12 protect the participants or to protect themselves from 13 liability, going to ask that question. Remember that. 14 And they ask, "Can you run?" Can you run? 15 Now, that's a, I would state, pretty straightforward 16 question, very obscure. They're never told how fast, 17 how far, or in what manner. Never told. 18 So they have a second set of questions. "Are 19 you a member of the press?" That's one question. 20 These questions are to protect 21 Mr. Copperfield's secrets. I understand that. 22 "Are you a magician?" I'm sure that's hard 23 to answer because I would think some people think they 24 are.

And they then -- and you're going to learn

25

that, along with these questions — Are you a member of the press and are you a magician? — that I couldn't participate in this illusion, neither could Mr. Deutsch and Mr. Perry or any of those lawyers over there or even the judge because they ask, "Are you a lawyer?" Are you a lawyer? And I'm going to leave it to you to figure out why they ask that question, but I'm going to prove to you that they do. Are you a lawyer?

Now, they're also doing other screening, which they call "visual screening." And they state that they're screening out — and when I say "screening out," what they do is, when people come up to the stage, certain people, they eyeball, and they put them on chairs to the side. They'll tell you that. This isn't a secret. Okay? They put them to the side. There's chairs to the side.

And one group that they eyeball to put to the side that won't be participating, they say, are young, hip men. I don't know if I was one of those at one point, but hip. We're going to find out what that means, young, hip men. And the reason that they don't want young, hip men — we'll find out whether they allow young men who aren't hip — I don't know — young laymen — I don't know — but young, hip men because they are more likely — this is what they've decided,

1 that they're more likely to tell the secrets of the 2 trick, young, hip men. So they're weeded out. 3 So they also state that they weed out people with certain footwear, like flip-flops and heels. We're going to prove to you they're not so good at 5 6 that. 7 Now, we're going to show you that the reason that they're doing this is because they know that this could be dangerous. They're undertaking this duty to 10 decide whether or not certain audience members can 11 participate. They therefore, I will show you, have a 12 heightened duty --13 MR. RUSSELL: Objection, Your Honor. 14 MR. MORELLI: -- to protect them. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. That's a legal 16 l question. 17 MR. MORELLI: They have to protect the people 18 who are unsuspecting, who don't know what's going to 19 And it's so clear. Everyone testifies that happen. 20 they don't tell them anything about what's going to 21 happen. 22 Now, after the screening is done and the people are chosen -- chosen -- oftentimes, the people

who started don't finish. They don't finish.

we're going to prove to you, for various reasons.

24

25

It's

1 too hard, they're out of breath, they lose shoes, they fall, they stumble into one another, and they don't 3 finish. 4

So we submit to you that, not knowing what 5 they have to do, they can't make a decision as to whether to say yes. So we're going to show you that, no matter what the defendants tell you now, people have fallen before.

7

9

10 l

11

12

15 l

161

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it's not a safe route that they have them run because of all of the problems that I have told you.

And let's talk about the surveillance now. And I think I mentioned this to you in jury selection about the -- somebody's always watching. And we have a situation, you'll see, that this case is one of common sense. And I think I told you that from the beginning, and that's why I'm not doing anything fancy now. just talking to you. It's a case of common sense -- no illusions here, common sense -- for you to decide.

And you don't need an expert, you don't need an engineer to tell you what happened here.

MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Argument.

THE COURT: This is argument, Counsel.

MR. MORELLI: All you need is the evidence that we're going to show you, which is what happened. 1

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

15 l

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

Now, what you're going to see is that, although it's believed that, in Vegas, somebody's always watching and there's the eye in the sky. 4 believe that the jurors had talked about that. that's no secret. That -- not that I think it's necessary, but, certainly, it would be helpful to have a clear video.

Now, this entire route, I'm going to show you, as I told you before, is created and designed by Copperfield and Backstage. MGM knows about it, so they're involved. And you're going to see that all of the route that I told you, the first hallway -- coming down out of the box, the first hallway, the second hallway, the third hallway, through the doors, outside, all around, up the ramp, back inside, through another set of doors, into the kitchen, out of the kitchen, up another set of stairs, into the casino, all of this, not on video at the MGM Grand Casino.

Now, you're going to learn that the head of risk management, Mr. Habersack, who I introduced you to, states that the MGM Grand property has over 2,000 video cameras that he knows of -- that he knows of -over 2,000 that he knows of. And the one video that we were provided is dark, grainy, and shot through a tree.

Okay? Now, understand that we have a

1 situation where it would be more helpful to certainly 2 have a video of what happened during the accident. think we would all agree to that. And it's even more 4 important for you to know that this area -- I go back over here because that's when I was talking about the ramp area -- this area here, this ramp that's outside the hotel and casino, is concrete.

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it's an area that is not only used by Team Construction as a lay-down area for their materials and everything, which MGM Grand told them to do, but it's also a high-security area. You're going to learn it's a high-security area. Why? Because, during the day, the Brinks truck backs up in there to take all the money out of the cage, which is through those double doors that the participants run through after they go up that ramp. And so this high-security area has one video camera in the corner of the building shot through a tree.

So I submit to you that you're going to see, when you have all of the evidence that I've already spoken about, that you're not going to need any animation, we're not going to have to know whether you like Captain America; you're going to see that this accident is caused 100 percent by the defendants the way I have described it.

1 Now, let's talk about the investigation part 2 of what happened. The accident happens. Mr. Cox is injured. And after all of this happens, his wife, 3 4 | Minh, who is sitting next to him right there, no one 5 tells her that -- where her husband is or that he's 6 injured. No one tells her. And she's asking, but no one would talk to her and no one would give her information. That's what she's going to testify to. 8 She's trying to find out where he was because it was a 10 long time after the --11 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. This is Phase 2 12 issues. 13 MR. MORELLI: We're not talking about 14 injuries, Mr. Popovich. 15 MR. POPOVICH: Okay. Your Honor --16 MR. MORELLI: So --17 THE COURT: Go ahead. 18 MR. MORELLI: -- now you're going to see 19 that, at a certain point, she says that they were 20 utterly -- that they utterly refused to answer her 21 questions about anything. "I begged them, and they 22 wouldn't answer." 23 So now you're going to learn, with the 24 evidence in this case, that the MGM Grand has a

security department handbook that states, "You should

25

not, under any circumstance, discuss or accept
liability with a guest on behalf of the MGM Grand or
management for a situation in which a guest claims to
have suffered harm or damages."

So they are now instructing their security department to not talk to these people. They're controlling the situation right away. Right away.

And with the lack of surveillance and this rule and what I'm going to talk to you about in a minute, which is the investigation phase, I submit to you that's what they wanted.

So now the MGM Grand, who is supposed to be doing an investigation when something like this happens, you will see — because we have the evidence — and all the evidence other than Mr. Cox and his wife is their evidence, their witnesses, their documents, their photographs, not mine, not ours.

And they have Backstage employees, MGM Grand employees. They work for them. They're available. Right after the accident, the next day after that, no interviews, no interviews. They interview none of them.

Now, one of the MGM Grand employees is standing right here at the point when Mr. Cox rounds the corner. They don't interview him. There's a

person from Backstage pushing the herd. They don't interview him. There's a person in the front pulling the herd. They don't interview him. So that's their employees.

Now, what about the participants? I mean, there are 12 others, I guess, running along with Mr. Cox, 10 others. We don't know who dropped out because we don't have an investigation that was done. And so if there isn't an investigation done, then I guess it didn't happen. Hmm?

So now the participants who were running alongside, in front, in back maybe of Mr. Cox, they're not interviewed. And you're going to see that — and I'm going to talk about that in a minute — they're brought — they're not released right away after the illusion is over. They're brought into a room to be debriefed. I'm going to talk to you about that in a minute.

So now let's talk about Gavin Cox for a couple of minutes, just maybe one minute. Gavin Cox was living in London with his family and he was turning 53. Some of us remember that. And he's going to tell you that this man was his idol, not like, "Hey, look, I'd like to see a magic show" or "Hey, you know, I think that guy does pretty good illusions," his idol.

That's big-time stuff. He's going to tell you, yeah.

And so, for his 53rd birthday, they came from London to see his idol. And, like I said to you, he's not angry;

he's injured.

12|

14|

19 l

And so he was there. And when he had the opportunity to catch a ball and meet his idol — actually meet him — and be part of what his idol does is these illusions — and I'm not here to tell you that he's not great at it at all — he said, "Oh, my God, this is so great."

And so when he's asked the question, "Do you speak English?" -- I mean, the guy is from London, he says yes -- okay? -- his form of English. And then when he's asked "Can you run?" he had an expectation of safety, so of course he says yes to be involved and go home and tell everybody that he was selected. Big time for him. And that's Gavin Cox's story.

And his three boys are in the corner there. We didn't put them there because they were bad; it was the only seats available.

What you're going to see is, after all the illusion is completed and the participants are now — if this is the stage, they're in the back of the audience; right? Oftentimes, they're not all there. That's part of the illusion, because who's going to

count? You know, so 13 start, 10 finish. 10 start, 7 finish. We'll ask those questions, let's find out who finishes and who doesn't.

But I submit to you that it's very important for us to be listening to the fact of this surveillance video and why there weren't videos in more places or whether there were. And the investigation that was a noninvestigation, that didn't find anything — and, as a matter of fact, you're going to learn that if it wasn't for Gavin Cox putting in an accident report a few days later, it wouldn't have been reported at all.

Now, I'll ask the questions of if something's not reported or if something's not written down, does that mean it didn't happen? Is — does that mean that you can now say 100,000 people have participated and no one's ever been injured because you don't take down the information and you don't investigate and you don't ask questions of witnesses and you don't ask questions of the participants, therefore it didn't happen? So you so it anecdotally? I'm going to ask those questions. I'm good at it.

So, now, for the debriefing, they're not released. They're called back into a small room and they're all spoken to by David Copperfield and by somebody from Backstage Employment. And it's not clear

1 whether or not they both speak one after another or one 2 speaks once in -- every once in a while and the other one speaks at the other time, but they give the same speech.

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that speech is that, "Hey, I bet you had no idea that you were going to get entertainment tonight and exercise and too bad we don't have any Gatorade for you, " Gatorade that they give football players, not water.

So, now, after they tell them that, they say, "We're now going to show you a video" -- after these people participated, whoever is left, who finished, is shown a video. And they're told it's not a video of tonight; it's a video of what the audience sees. not a video of you running for your lives with crazy looks on your faces. Remember, I mentioned that to you before. That's what they say to these people, running for your lives with crazy looks on your faces. not a video of that.

And, now, in order to protect his secrets -and I understand -- he tells the participants who have finished and are in the room, he says he wants to make, like, an agreement with them, a contract, that they're not going to tell anybody what they just experienced.

So he has three versions for them, three,

because one's not enough, three versions. And one 2 | version is for your family members. And he tells them the version, you'll hear about it. I don't have to tell you specifically right now, but you'll hear about 5 it. 6 One version is for your close family members, 7 I guess, spouses, brothers and sisters, whatever. 8 don't know where cousins fit in, but we'll ask. Okay? 9 Second version is for your friends. 10 there's a separate version for the friends. Okay? 11 Now, obviously, these versions are not accurate of how the trick is done because that's the 12 13 point; right? So version one, family members; version 14 two, friends. 15 Now, version three is for, like, acquaintances, you know, people in the street, you 17 know, say, "Hey, you know, Joe told me you were 18 involved in this." And that version is very simple, 19 tell them you don't know, "I have no idea." Okay? 20 That's the third version. It was simple, forget to 21 tell them. 22 So, now, I submit to you that the evidence is 23 going to show you that those three versions that were 24 thought up by Mr. Copperfield and his compatriots are

for the participants. And you're going to hear,

25

1 because I know the evidence in this case and I'm going 2 to call these witnesses, that there's going to be a version four.

And version four is for you. And here's the 5 way it goes in simple terms. There may be more.

First, we don't select the audience participants; they volunteer. That's part one of version four, which is for you.

3

4

6

9

11 |

12

14 l

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Part two of version four, which is for you, 10 is that there's no rush to finish this illusion or trick. There's no rush because the music is playing in a loop. So you're going to hear testimony that they're telling you that there's no rush. The audience participants can show up in five minutes, ten minutes, it doesn't matter. No rush. What matters is they get back safely. That's the testimony in this case. There's no rush, no. Now, after you hear this testimony -- and I'm going to pry it -- you have to use your common sense about that.

Third, part three of the version that's for you is that there's no running involved. There's no running. And this particular part of version four is a collaborative effort because a number of the witnesses used the same term under oath. And that is they don't run; it's a brisk walk.

19

20

21

22

24

25

We're going to find out where they got that 2 | term from. I'm not so sure I heard that before. 3 they've all come up with the term that it's a "brisk 4 | walk." And I submit to you that I'm going to prove to you it was a collaborative effort. They don't run, no rush.

I now want to say to you that I know that there's a version five. And that's the version that you decide from the evidence, the only version that 10 counts.

And if you remember, in jury selection, I said to you there's no facts until you say there's facts, none.

And so I submit to you that, at the end, when you listen to the evidence in this case, you're going 16 to find version five. You're going to find that there's going to be a lot of talk in this case that they're not responsible, so much. And every method possible used --

> MR. POPOVICH: Argument. Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. MORELLI: And yet you'll find that version five is very clear, that they put Gavin Cox in a dangerous position. And, as a result, he had an accident and was injured.

25 l

And that — I believe that, after you hear
the evidence in the case and you apply the law to the
evidence as you find it to be, as you find the evidence
that's important, you are going to find that the
defendants were negligent, that that negligence caused
Mr. Cox's accident, and that they're responsible to him
100 percent.

And I leave you with that you only need your common sense and keep your eye on the ball. Keep your eye on the ball. Thanks so much.

THE COURT: Counsel approach, please.

(A discussion was held at the bench, not reported.)

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen,
we're going to take a 15-minute recess now, or
thereabouts, 15 minutes.

During the recess, you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties, and witnesses on any subject connected with the trial or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the internet, and radio, or to form or express any opinion

on any subject connected with the trial until the case 2 is finally submitted to you. Be outside the courtroom at -- let's make it 4 11:20. Okay? 11:20. Actually, be down to the south a 5 little bit, not -- not right by the doors to the 6 courtroom, but, you know, to the south there. Okay? 7 Bob will bring you in when we're ready. Okay? 8 Thank you. 9 THE MARSHAL: All rise. 10 (Whereupon a short recess was taken.) 11 (The following proceedings were held 12 outside the presence of the jury.) 13 THE MARSHAL: All rise. Eighth Judicial 14 District Court, Department 13, is again in session. 15 THE COURT: You may be seated. We're back on the record. We're outside the presence of the jury. 17 Mr. Roberts, I understand you have something 18 to address. 19 MR. ROBERTS: I had something, Your Honor. 20 Your Honor, I'd cite the Court to the 21 realtime and about nine minutes -- 9:48:41. 22 Mr. Morelli stated -- I'm sorry. 23 MR. DEUTSCH: I'm sorry. 24 MR. ROBERTS: 9:48:41, Mr. Morelli stated 25 "because that's what I think it is, and I think you are 1 going to agree."

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 l

11 l

12

13

17

18 l

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We objected based on personal opinion and the Court sustained it. And we appreciate that.

However, in Lioce, the Court stated that "Where an objection is sustained due to attorney misconduct, the court is required to admonish the jury."

So the question is whether this is --THE COURT: The -- the -- what was involved in that case was far different from just a statement that "I think that."

MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, under Rule 3.4(e), "An attorney shall not, in front of the jury, assert a 14 personal opinion about knowledge of the facts." You 15 heard him say, "I know this. I've studied this. 16 know it. And this is what I think."

"And he shall not state a personal opinion as to the justness of a cause, the credibility of a witness, or the culpability of a civil litigant."

Under Lioce, a violation of Rule 3.4 is defined as attorney misconduct, and the court is required by Lioce to admonish the jury and tell them to disregard Mr. Morelli's assertions about what he knows and his personal opinion about what he thinks the evidence will show. And we would request that the --

1 the jury do that.

And — and, by the way, Your Honor, I looked it up. New York has the exact same rules. So this is no surprise to Mr. Morelli. In New York it's 3.4(d)(2) and (d)(3).

MR. MORELLI: Maybe you could give me some of that research so that when you give your opening statement, I'll hold you to the same thing. Okay? So don't slip up.

MR. ROBERTS: And, Your Honor, Lioce also addressed repeated misconduct. And, as Your Honor may remember, Mr. Morelli asserted his personal opinion to the jury during voir dire, where I had to object and it was sustained. And, at some point, this is going to become prejudicial to the point that we would be able to request further relief. But, for now, we're just requesting an admonishment.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DEUTSCH: Your Honor, first of all, we don't think that — that what was said was improper. We don't think any of it rises anywhere near to the level of what was done in that case.

And Mr. Morelli made very clear multiple times, both during jury selection and during his opening statement, that nobody's opinion on this

1 subject matters, not mine, not theirs, nobody's. 2 only opinion that matters is version five, Your Honor, which is the jury's version. That's the only one that matters. And he made that clear. 5 And that would be the exact same instruction 6 that Your Honor would give, is that nobody's opinions 7 mean anything but the jury. And since Mr. Morelli made that clear already, there's clearly nothing harmful 9 here and no reason for any further instruction. 10 THE COURT: Anything else? 11 MR. POPOVICH: No. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Let's have the jury 13 brought in. 14 THE MARSHAL: All rise. 15 (The following proceedings were held in 16 the presence of the jury.) 17 THE COURT: You may be seated. Do counsel 18 stipulate that the jury is now present? 19 MR. MORELLI: Yes, Your Honor. 20 MS. FRESCH: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Before we begin, ladies and 22 gentlemen, one thing that I read to you the other day, and I will read it again. That is, parties on both 24 sides have the opportunity to make opening statements. 25 What is said in the opening statements is not evidence,

1 nor are the personal opinions of counsel. The personal opinions of counsel are not evidence either. 3 You may proceed. 4 5 OPENING STATEMENT 6 MR. POPOVICH: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 And good morning. 8 IN UNISON: Good morning. 9 Indeed, it is Friday the 13th. MR. POPOVICH: 10 This case is about an illusion called Thirteen. also in His Honor, Judge Denton's, courtroom, 12 Department 13. And I'm going to be talking to you 13 about evidence relating to an accident that happened in 14 This seems right to be here talking to you about 15 what we believe the evidence is going to show for the MGM Grand Hotel as a defendant in this case. 17 So in 2013, every day of the year, thousands 18 l of people are on the MGM Grand Hotel grounds, both 19 inside the hotel and outside. 20 In a given year, with that many people coming 21 and going, people will have accidents, no matter what 22 the condition of the property. And particularly there will be people having accidents on the property that

have nothing do with any dangerous or improper or

otherwise poor condition of the property.

24

25

accidents can just happen. Mr. Cox had an accident like that. Even though it was participating in an illusion at an event at the property, there was no condition of the property which in any way contributed to that accident and the fall.

So I do have a presentation here. There are some pictures. If a picture's worth a thousand words, I'm going to try to save a few thousand words with some pictures and help you be oriented to what was going on, what happened, where it happened, and ultimately why it happened.

So these four are what I call signposts.

They're points that I plan to talk around. So the first one is that Mr. Cox's fall had nothing to do with a condition at the hotel.

Second signpost is Mr. Cox did not slip. As he will testify, he tripped. That will be, through the evidence, very important distinction to understand. And ultimately, although there was dust on the concrete where he fell, because he gets some on his clothes, the dust has nothing to do with a trip-and-fall accident and in particular has nothing to do with his trip-and-fall accident.

So the last point, ultimately, is the conclusion that is generated by the first three points,

is that Mr. Cox simply missed his step when he fell, and that is why he fell.

1

3

5 Í

6 I

7

11

12

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the Thirteen Illusion -- before we get into those signposts, I want to give you some context relating to the Thirteen Illusion. It is what is called a closing illusion. It does involve audience participation. In Mr. Copperfield's performances, many illusions involve audience participation. In order for 9 the audience members to be chosen at random, it can be 10 through the throwing of a Frisbee multiple times, not just to a person where it could be argued that's a Throughout the -- he threw the Frisbee to the plant. person, and that person is in on the illusion. will be once, twice, three, four times, and maybe the fourth person that catches the Frisbee is the person 16 that participates.

In this Thirteen Illusion, that random process comes from bouncing balls. Bouncing balls, clear plastic beach ball-type balls -- there was a reference earlier about glass because that's part of a joke. Mr. Copperfield's shows are not just magic and illusions, they're entertainment. There's jokes. There's stories. So if you hear reference to a glass ball, that's just setting up a big plastic ball and coming out.

1	So Mr. Morelli talked in terms of you're
2	going to hear about volunteers. This presentation was
3	provided to counsel, so they knew where I was heading
4	with some of these slides here. So, yes, it is not
5	choosing audience members to be participants. There is
6	a setup that Mr. Copperfield does in order to start the
7	process of these bouncing balls, which ends up with
8	people holding the balls at the end of the music and
9	then coming forward to be part of the part of
10	illusion.
11	So as Mr. Copperfield stands before the
12	audience, he says some things before that screening
13	process you have already heard about. Some of the
14	things he says are by the way, the audience is
15	standing when these things are being said that if
16	you don't want to be a part of the illusion, you should
17	sit down. So there's a choice. You're also, they're
18	told, somewhat jokingly I believe, from what I have
19	heard
20	MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor.
21	Personal opinion.
22	THE COURT: Are you are you telling the
23	jury what the evidence is going to show?
24	MR. POPOVICH: Yes, Your Honor.
25	There is evidence, through video, of an

1 example of this performance. It wasn't the performance 2 on the night of the accident, but it's the audience view. And I actually have some screen grabs to show you in this presentation from that to help orient you.

3

5

6

8

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So part of the discussion is about if you speak -- can't speak English, don't catch a ball. you don't understand what I'm saying, that doesn't If you're a neighbor of someone that doesn't speak English, knock the ball away so that they will 10 not be a participant or have to be excluded from being a participant when they get to the stage. Another thing that they are told is you need to be at least 18 years old and you need to be in good health. before the plastic balls even start moving.

Also, message from Mr. Copperfield is, if you want to -- if you do not want to participate, go ahead and sit down. Okay?

So this is a screen grab from an example of the illusion at the beginning. You have Mr. Copperfield in the middle of the stage holding one of the big plastic balls. This is when he's talking to the audience letting them know the things I have just outlined. Next up, the balls start moving. music's going, it's fun, lively music, there's lights going on, people are bopping the balls around.

equivocate -- no.

The balls are bopping around, people are having fun, they're flipping the balls, waiting for the music to stop because, when the music stops, that's when, if you're interested, you can grab one of the balls and maybe participate in the illusion.

Another screen grab from the same process.

Lights going on, music going on. And then the music stops. People then come forward towards the stage. As they're coming forward, they're being observed by these magician's assistants and by Mr. Copperfield. The magician's assistants, if they see something, can communicate to Mr. Copperfield about a particular participant.

But when they get to -- I don't know my showbiz terms -- as you're from the audience to the left of the stage, I don't know whether that's stage left or stage right, but that's okay. From the audience, it's on the left side. Mr. Copperfield is at the top of those steps, up on the stage. Magician's assistants are down below and up behind Mr. Copperfield.

And this process of evaluating the people that have the bouncing balls includes looking for anybody that looks like they have had too much to

1 drink. It includes observing, not asking, if anybody 2 is pregnant. It observes whether the clothing is appropriate, shoes are appropriate.

31

4

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, questions are asked. As they are trained, the question is are you healthy enough to run? Mr. Cox's testimony is that he was just asked "Can you run?" But some question like that was asked. Are you a member of the media? Are you a magician? Do you speak English? Those are the screening questions 10 before the people even get up onto the stage.

And as they're getting up on the stage, Mr. Copperfield is also observing. He's observing how each person walks forward. And an important observation point is when the people mount the stairs to get up onto the stage. How do they move? Can they handle the stairs easily? Those are things he's looking at. They're -- he's also, as I said, getting information from the magician's assistants and making decisions about who's going to get onto the platform. Platform is a structure -- I have a picture of it for you in a moment -- where the people actually sit right before they disappear.

Now, anybody that isn't considered right to be a participant in the disappearing portion of the illusion are moved to the side. There are already

chairs there. If more people are determined that they need to be moved to the side, more chairs can be added. That's normal part of this illusion and all part of the process. I have a fancy pointer, so I'm going to use it.

In the gray shirt, Mr. Copperfield. That's from the audience perspective, the left side of the stage. He is greeting people and observing people as they come up the steps, which is to the left of this screen grab. You can see the lighting, poor in front right here in this photo, but you can see that people are standing in front of what we call the platform, and that's this metal frame structure here. There are chairs on that platform that, ultimately, the participants will sit.

You will also note that already some participants have been asked to take seats to the side of the platform. One other point is, to the left of the platform, there is a screen in the background which is part of the illusion because there will be a camera position to show the back side of the platform so that the audience can be seeing not only the front and the sides but the back as well.

Excuse me a moment. Now, this next screen grab, Mr. Copperfield is talking to the audience and

1 the participants. You've got the people on both sides who have been moved off to the side. And the participants that Mr. Copperfield, with the help of the magician's assistants, are appropriate to be in the illusion have already been seated. There is even the possibility that once they have been seated, if any type of behavior or maybe -- maybe making statements or things that are impacting the illusion, participant could be taken off the platform before the disappearing part of the illusion.

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, here's the screen showing the back side of the platform. And so, now, the illusion is set Next part, curtain comes down, all four sides. You'll notice that the people who are sitting are now being asked to move around towards the back so that they can check and be witnesses that this platform is fully covered. And also at this point in time, the platform is taken up into the air.

Once the platform is up in the air and the curtains are dropped, the participants who are in the platform are removed from the platform through the help of magician's assistants. The flashlights these folks were given while they were in the platform continue to play on the curtain. Even though the participants are not in the platform anymore, there are still lights.

The audience believes the people are still there. part of the illusion.

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ultimately, after Mr. Copperfield has gone on for a minute, minute and a half, because there's part in this -- this illusion that he's playing in front of the audience, when this curtain is up -- and let me back up. There are seams on the corners of those curtains. And while the participants are already out, part of the illusion for the audience is to hand flashlights into the curtains, again raising the belief that -- to the audience -- that the participants are still there.

This takes time. It takes time for 14 Mr. Copperfield to set up the illusion so that when, ultimately, after the flashlights have been handed in, 16 he can remove the curtain from the front. The backs and sides fall away. And the audience is amazed. You've got a platform in the air. The participants are gone. And the audience did not see anything. You've got the people on the sides here to be witnesses to the fact that nothing was seen.

While Mr. Copperfield is building to the conclusion of this illusion by pulling the curtains down -- and as he pulls the curtains down, he points to the back of the auditorium. And the participants are

1 there, waving at their family and friends. So that is, in essence, some of the illusion so that you have it in context now.

3

5 I

10

11

12

13

14 l

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, for those of you old enough to remember the original Willie Wonka and the Chocolate Factory, there was Mike TV, who wanted to be so part of the TV that he got Willie Wonka's machine. It broke him down into particles like a signal and shipped him somewhere else, but he came out smaller. This is an illusion. That is not what happened. It is not Mike TV. not some scientific event. It is an illusion, and it was set up as an illusion.

And so how do the participants go from the back of the stage to the back of the auditorium? And there's a route. This is a grainy overhead picture of the outside of the MGM. Tropicana Boulevard is off the picture here below. The participants never touch Tropicana, ever. That is incorrect. So I also have a drawing that I did with a ruler. This is an attempt at that. But it also shows what's going on on the inside of the theater and the route that the participants take.

So we have the stage here at the right part. And the participants, once they are removed with the direction of the magician's assistant, leave the stage and exit into a hallway. The hallway, approximately 17 1/2 feet. The — there are stagehands positioned at the corners, at the entrance to the hallway, stagehands that, as this route was set up when Mr. Copperfield designed the illusion with his coworkers and the people from Backstage, the designers of the illusion, and then they brought it to MGM. This illusion had been done at other places other than MGM. When the illusion's done, a route has to be chosen. When they came to MGM, the route that is being used on November 12, 2013, is the route that was chosen. And it was worked through, and a plan was prepared. And part of that plan was to have the stagehands positioned at important points, giving direction to the participants.

There will be testimony different from the testimony of Mr. Cox, talking about pandemonium or chaos, about the fact that, yes, the participants are guided, there are flashlights being used by the stagehands to help direct the way, light the way. They're giving direction, and it is not at full-throttle screams. They are telling the participants where to go. Plus, remember, there is a magician's assistant who is leading the group, actually has a hand of the first person. And they are going this route. The other participants can follow.

Mr. Cox will certainly say that he was not the first one in the line of participants going through this route.

So there is then another hallway, and there is a stagehand directing for the turn to the right, another 17 1/2 feet. So from the time of leaving the stage, the first two halls, we're talking 35 feet. There is one last turn before they exit the building, in a hallway, and that is 14 feet. And we have a picture coming up of that hallway. Not quite yet.

When they exit out of this door, on the route that is set out for the participants, there is a driveway here for MGM's purposes, not a public thoroughfare, not Tropicana Avenue.

There are stagehands at the corner for where the turn, once the participants come out of the door. There is a stagehand positioned at this left point that I'm pointing to, where they make the right turn towards the building. They head towards an entrance or doors for the casino. These are not normal public entrances; that wouldn't work so well with this illusion. And then, with the door open, there is light coming out of the door, they would head back into the building and follow the route. Now, in this case, Mr. Cox did not get to the point where he reentered the casino.

So let me — the picture we're looking at here is the exit that I'm pointing to that goes from inside to outside. We're looking back into this hallway. So the participants would come out this door, stagehand somewhere in this area giving direction, and make a right turn to proceed along the route.

This corner that we're looking at is the second corner on the outside, where the participants would normally make another right turn, moving from the bottom of the photograph toward the top, and make a soft right turn to head toward the doors. Typically, the doors that were open were these double doors, second from the left in the group of four sets.

Now, don't be confused by this. This photograph was taken during an inspection in this case. Somebody put that there as a marker for measuring. It's got nothing to do with the accident, was not there the day of the accident. Okay?

Another view exit, that's this here. Second turn to head back into the doors. This is here. Now, once the participants make this turn — and as you can note, this was done in an inspection almost, well, ten months, roughly, after the accident.

This tree that I'm pointing to at the top center of the photograph is a tree that is a factor in

what the security camera can see, the surveillance camera can see. That surveillance camera is up on the top corner of this building. If you follow that corner up, that's where it is.

The participants would have been — or Mr. Cox would have been heading towards this door, having come out of here, gone roughly 30 feet, and then made the turn. The fall did not happen as he turned the corner. The fall happened about 20 feet, over 20 feet, 20 feet and some inches after the turn, 20 feet 5 inches from that point, really, which puts the accident somewhere about where I'm pointing here.

There is a rise for a certain distance after that turn. That is not where the accident happened.

Where the accident happened had leveled off. There is an expansion joint in the concrete there, and there's a change from a slight incline to almost no incline.

Where the accident happened, the slope there is 1 degree, which is essentially enough for rainwater to drain away from the building, essentially level.

Same corner, the last corner before where the accident is, slight incline, expansion joint, essentially flat, and that's where the accident happens, about 22 feet before reaching the door.

We know exactly where the accident happened

1 because of work done by an expert retained by MGM Grand 2 and other defendants, Dr. Baker. Dr. Baker used surveillance footage from that night, which I think 4 might be the next photo. There we go.

This is a screen grab from the surveillance video from the night of the accident, year 2013, November 12, roughly 8:35 p.m. There will be playing of this video for you during the trial.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14|

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First thing you will note is it's dark. The evidence will be, from the witnesses, that the appearance that night at that time is not as dark as 12 this. This is a camera issue and a settings issue. That said, you will see that that tree I had pointed out earlier does block part of the area. If you back up from the doors, there's that concrete area leading up to the doors, and the participants would have been coming from around the corner, which is down about here at the bottom left of this image.

From this screen grab, you cannot make out clearly where Mr. Cox is, but this is essentially when Mr. Cox is on the ground after the fall. We know that from what happens after, and you'll see that when we show you the video. This person in yellow, I -- we -the person in yellow, the evidence will show, is another participant who was picking up Mr. Cox's

flashlight that had been, obviously, dropped when he fell.

So what Dr. Baker did is go to the camera rooms at MGM Grand, pull up this surveillance video and have that on one screen. Dr. Baker then, using an MGM employee, had them out on the concrete looking down through the same camera that took this image, with a cone. They would — he would look at this image and the video. He would then compare them on the screen next to it with the then-existing daylight view of the area.

And they would tell the person, by radio,
"Okay, you need to move two steps to your left. You
need to move one step forward." Kept zeroing in that
person until where the area where Mr. Cox fell was
determined to be exactly where that person had the cone
positioned on that time of the inspection.

Once the cone was positioned in the right spot, then Dr. Baker goes out and takes his measurements. That's where we get these measurements. That's how we know that Mr. Cox did not fall going around the corner. That's how we know that where he fell was essentially level, straight.

You have already heard part of this. But Mr. Cox's deposition was taken. And in his deposition,

1 he said he came around this particular corner fast, his feet slipped out from under him, and he fell onto his right side.

3

4

5

10 l

11

12

13 l

15

16 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

What we learned through the expert evaluation and through the surveillance video is that where he fell was after the turn, on the level ground. He was headed straight to the doors. And the video also shows us that he fell in the direction toward the doors. other words, his head traveled forward, not backward. For Dr. Baker's evaluation of this case and the accident, that's an important fact.

Okay. Hopefully we have an understanding of the illusion and an understanding of some of the basic evaluation of what happened. So, first sign point -signpost, Mr. Cox's fall had nothing to do with a condition on MGM Grand Hotel's property. There was an investigation the night of the accident. There is an accident report that was produced to all parties in this accident -- in this case. A security officer named Jansen went out and checked the area where the accident occurred. No holes were found in the concrete. No debris confirmed that, immediately after the accident, meaning things to trip over, whatever, cans, bottles, anything, nothing. There were no raised joints or cracks in the concrete surface.

1 Dr. Baker confirmed that the ground where the accident 2 | was was essentially level. Was there some dust on the concrete? Yes, there was.

3

4

6

7

11

13

15

19

20

21

22

23

25

The surveillance video tells us more things 5 about that night because it's focused on those doors. And despite the tree, the doors are very clearly shown, obviously. You have seen that. In looking at the 40 minutes before the participants come through that area during the Thirteen Illusion, nine people either walk 10 or trot through the area where Mr. Cox tripped.

One of those people did it multiple times, 12 and that's an MGM Grand security guard named Dennis -oh, boy -- Navas. I'm sorry. I've forgotten his name. 14 | He is the one, during the Copperfield show that was responsible for opening those doors during particular illusions. He knew the routine of the shows, he knew the basic timing, although the timing always varied. 18 And he could even peek into the theater to see exactly where they were. There are certain illusions that require people to move through that area. And those doors are shut all the time except when there's a need for the show.

So he would open the door, prop it open 24 before a particular illusion. He would then often, as we will see in the video, walk through the exact area

1 where Mr. Cox fell. And he will tell you that he was looking for anything that would be a potential problem. It could be people who may be hanging out there, and he might want to clear them out to make sure that there was no interference with whatever was happening for the He would indeed also, if he noticed any debris or problems, he would either deal with it or call maintenance to come and deal with it.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 l

11

12

13

14

15 l

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During those times, he will tell you, on this particular night, he didn't notice any problems. will see him walking back and forth several times. of the other things he did is, when he would open the door, he would walk down to make sure that the exit door for whoever was -- whether it's a participant or even Mr. Copperfield himself, was open and available.

Ten minutes before Mr. Cox had his accident -- and we will be able to show you this by videotape as well -- Mr. Copperfield was engaged in another illusion that did not have audience participants, just him. And he goes through this area. He will tell you that, yes, he is focused on the job at hand, but if he noticed any problem in the route, he would have immediately told somebody to deal with it. And there are -- as he's traveling that route for this other illusion, there are stagehands with him.

1

5

11

12 l

13 l

14

15 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

So he would have had somebody to -- to deal 2 with something if something came up. That night, there 3 was no issue with this route that he had created and 4 used for multiple illusions.

So, as I mentioned, Mr. Navas -- that's it, 6 Dennis Navas -- sorry -- Mr. Navas had walked through several times before, and he's looking for anything that might be a problem, including conditions with the property and the walking surface. Based on this evidence of repeated use, the investigation afterwards, there was nothing going on with that property that had anything to do with this accident. There was no failure of maintenance here by anybody for MGM Grand.

Second signpost, Mr. Cox did not slip; he tripped. Seems like it may be small or inconsequential difference between a slip or a trip, but because there was dust on that concrete, the difference makes all the difference.

Dust on concrete could make it somewhat slippery. It could cause a shoe not to grab into the concrete and allow a slip. But there are mechanisms that we look at in evaluating a fall to determine whether it was a slip or a trip. And that's one of the things -- excuse me -- Dr. Baker did.

So when we're considering slip versus trip,

we have to look at the mechanics, we have to look at how the person fell. And the surveillance video does help us with this. Also, there was statements earlier that all of the evidence comes from the defendant. Not true. Mrs. Cox took photos of Mr. Cox's pants and shoes that night. And they're very informative in helping us understand how he fell.

There's one picture. And that is Mr. Cox, and you can see dust on the jeans. The dust stops essentially at the seam, maybe slightly below the seam toward the buttock, but there is no dust on his back pocket. That's important for the expert.

The shoes were either scuffed -- excuse me -- or had dirt both on the toe and on the top of the shoe.

That mark on the top of the shoe, also very important for Dr. Baker in analyzing what happened here.

The surveillance video, as I indicated before, ended and would show, as we go farther into the video, that when Mr. Cox got up, he got up from a position at the doors here. He got up with his head closest to the door. That was the body part that was closest to the doors. That was the direction he was traveling.

So when we look at the mechanics, slip-and-falls typically happen a couple of ways. If

you are slipping on your back push-off foot, and it gives out, you slip, you tend to go straight down, land on the knee. There's the old banana-peel-in-a-store kind of slip, where somebody hits something slippery with their heel, (descriptive sound) leg goes up, they go back. We know that Mr. Cox landed head toward the door. We know that his shoes are -- are marked in this way.

What the expert, Dr. Baker, will say is that is absolutely consistent with a trip-and-fall. A trip-and-fall is when the -- the -- there is not slipping happening -- sorry -- but the progress of the foot is stopped in the walking process perhaps because of a misstep. Sometimes there could be debris on the ground.

But a trip-and-fall ends with somebody going forward because their foot progress has stopped. And that is the way Mr. Cox fell.

That second mark on the top of the foot, if somebody missteps and digs in their toe, their body momentum is going forward, but their leg isn't coming forward fast enough to catch the momentum of the body. So as they're starting to fall, there is still a fight to get this back leg in front in time before you go down.

That second mark there on the top of the shoe is from that effort to — after the first trip, to get that foot forward. And it tends to flatten out the foot, and you're fighting to get there. And that's when that happened.

So these pictures taken by Ms. Cox are very helpful in showing us that this was a trip-and-fall. So if there's no debris, no holes, no cracks, no raised concrete where Mr. Cox fell, there was the dust. So let's talk about the dust.

In a trip-and-fall scenario like this, fine dust like we see on his clothes matters not one little bit. It cannot trip somebody. If it does anything, it makes the surface slippery, makes it less likely to catch a toe.

Dr. Baker indicates that this is a trip-and-fall. He's looked at all the evidence. And the Cox plaintiffs will not present any expert testimony that this is a slip-and-fall. The only testimony that this is a slip-and-fall is from Mr. Cox. And he was wrong about the location, and he's wrong about how it happened, and that's understandable.

He wasn't expecting to trip or slip and fall.

He had an accident. And he will tell you, as we

learned through his deposition, that he came back two

days later to the accident scene and inspected it. he saw some dust then and knew he had dust on his 2 clothes. And, at that point, in essence, he put the 4 scenario together in his mind of what he thought really 5 happened. And he believes that he slipped and fell. 6 Understandable, but wrong. 7 So, as we've indicated, this -- the final bullet there, or final signpost, is that if it was a trip-and-fall that wasn't caused by any condition of 10 the MGM property, what we're talking about is an 11 accident that is either Mr. Cox's own fault or nobody's 12 fault, depending on your point of view. 13 Now, the -- little bit of clarification here. 14 There was some statements --15 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection. Your Honor, may we approach before he does this? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 (A discussion was held at the bench, 19 not reported.) 20 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed. 21 No objection, Your Honor. MR. DEUTSCH: 22 MR. POPOVICH: Just wanted to be clear about 23 the relationship between some of the entities you're hearing about. 24 25 MGM Grand Hotel, Beacher's. They were the

1 ones that were building out the nightclub to run the nightclub. There was a lease between MGM Grand Hotel and Beacher's. There was no direct contract, lease, construction contract, anything between MGM Grand Hotel and Team.

3

5

6

7

10 l

11

12

13

14

15 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Where that -- where Team came in is that Beacher's was going to open up a nightclub, wanted to build it out, make it look nice. And Beacher had a construction contract with Team. Just wanted to make that clear because that's going to be coming up. You're going to be hearing about Beacher's and Team and all this. That's the way it lays out.

So, ladies and gentlemen, the evidence here is that Mr. Cox participated in an illusion. One of the things he heard is you need to be in good health. He was asked if he could run, and he said yes.

The illusion was set up in a way so that Mr. Copperfield actually needed time for the participants to get from the platform to their magical reappearance in the back of the theater. That also could be longer. Mr. Copperfield is a pro. take an extra 15 seconds or extra 30 seconds, whatever he needs in order for -- to get the go-ahead with the big reveal at the back. As you've already heard, the music was on a loop. There is flexibility in this

1 process.

2

3

6

7

10

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

There is a route that has been marked out by Mr. Copperfield and his team. It is lit by the workers for them. It is there with help. You will hear testimony from the gal at the back of the process that there was never any shoving or touching of Mr. Cox.

Mr. Cox is convinced that he was the last 8 person from the platform to reach the area where he fell. That's not correct. The surveillance video will show you that, after he fell, multiple participants came up around him moving at a slower pace. The first participants were moving pretty quickly. The later participants were moving at a fast walk at best. there is flexibility built in here.

And there was steps taken at every point in the illusion to make sure that they had participants that could participate in the illusion safely.

Ultimately, this accident did not happen because of any condition of MGM or its property, not the behavior of any MGM employee. They did their jobs right.

And, ultimately, when the evidence is done, we're going to ask you to find that MGM did nothing wrong here and is not negligent, and we're going to ask you for a verdict at that time.

1 You've been very attentive, even after the 2 noon hour. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 3 THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. 4 At this time, we'll recess for lunch. since it's 12:15 and since I've said that we usually 5 are in recess from 12:00 to 1:30, we'll go from 12:00 7 to 1:45, Okay. 8 So, during the recess, you're admonished not 9 to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone 10 l else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties, and witnesses, on any subject connected with 12 the trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of 13 or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information, including, 15 without limitation, newspapers, television, the 16 internet, and radio, or to form or express any opinion 17 on any subject connected with the trial until the case 18 is finally submitted to you. 19 Be outside the courtroom at 1:45. Be down to 20 the south a bit so you're not right by the door. Okay? 21 Ladies and gentlemen, have a nice lunch. 22 THE MARSHAL: All rise. 23 (The following proceedings were held 24 outside the presence of the jury.) 25 THE COURT: Resume at 1:45.

1	MR. POPOVICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	(Whereupon a luncheon recess was taken.)
3	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
4	(The following proceedings were held in
5	the presence of the jury.)
6	THE MARSHAL: Department 13 is again in
7	session. Remain in order.
8	THE COURT: You may be seated. We're back on
9	the record. Do counsel stipulate that the jury is
10	present?
11	IN UNISON: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Fresch, you may
13	proceed.
14	OPENING STATEMENT
15	MS. FRESCH: Thank you.
16	Okay. Good afternoon.
17	IN UNISON: Good afternoon.
18	MS. FRESCH: I'm Elaine Fresch. Hopefully,
19	you remember that. I represent David Copperfield and
20	David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc. I'd like to
21	introduce you to my client David Copperfield.
22	This is a very straightforward case. Mr. Cox
23	tripped and fell down. But to understand why this has
24	nothing to do with my clients David Copperfield
25	Disappearing, Inc., or Mr. Copperfield, that they did

not fail to do anything and they did not do anything to cause the fall, we have to spend some time in this trial discussing magic and how Mr. Copperfield develops his illusions and how the show is produced.

First, a definition of magic. Magic is a performing art in which audiences are entertained by staged illusions, seemingly impossible feats using natural means. The evidence will show that David Copperfield has developed and performed incredible illusions over the course of many, many years.

Performing each of these illusions is a form of art in and of itself. One of those illusions is the illusions that you're going to hear about throughout this entire trial in this first phase, is the Thirteen Illusion.

Art takes time to create and to develop. The evidence will show that the Thirteen Illusion was developed — we will show you evidence about the pathway the audience participants took that was determined and all the practice and all the rehearsing that was done in order to have this illusion become not just a illusion in Mr. Copperfield's show, but the finale, the end, the big final illusion of the — of — of the show.

This is important. A lot of rehearsal and practice goes into this. That same rehearsal, that

same practice, not only goes into the illusion on the 1 | 2 part that you see as an audience member that Mr. Copperfield is doing but also for that same pathway 4 that the audience volunteers take to go from one point 5 to another.

6

7

8

12 l

13

15

16

18 l

22

24

25

Mr. Copperfield's shows, over all the course of years, involves many, many illusions that involve audience participation. Safety for the audience participants is important for the show. 10| important for Mr. Copperfield and it is important for 11 the audience members. Safety for the audience is important too because Mr. Copperfield's shows involve certain illusions that, in fact, car over participants' 14 heads on the stage, a big box coming down just over the seated audience.

17 has to be determined so that all of these illusions throughout the show are done successfully and safely, because, otherwise, there would be no show. Mr. Copperfield would just not be performing all these years if all of his illusions were not done in a safe manner for participants as well as for the audience as well as for the stagehands as well as for Mr. Copperfield.

All of this has to be practiced. All of this

The evidence will show that the way this

1 illusion, the Thirteen, was performed on November 12th, 2 2013, by Mr. Copperfield, by Mr. Copperfield's company, 3 David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., and the various assistants and stagehands was the way it had been done many, many times before Mr. Cox participated that 6 particular night.

So the Thirteen Illusion. As you have heard, the Thirteen is an illusion where David Copperfield makes up to 13 people disappear before the audience's eyes and then they reappear in a completely different place. We will present evidence on how this illusion was developed by Mr. Copperfield.

7

8 I

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You will also hear testimony about how Chris 14 Kenner as well as Homer Liwag also helped Mr. Copperfield develop this illusion. Mr. Kenner is 16 here as well. He's the president of Backstage Employment.

Developing this illusion many years ago was a collaborative effort to work out each and every precise detail to engage the audience while performing the illusion to make people sitting in a platform on top of the stage all of a sudden disappear and then reappear. It took well over a year to develop this illusion before it was ever performed in public.

In order to perform the Thirteen, it requires

a certain group of people. It requires Mr. Copperfield on the stage. He is on the stage the whole time. It requires a variety of stagehands to participate in order to make this illusion work. It also requires audience volunteers. And, of course, it requires the audience. All of those people are involved in this illusion.

And the audience and the volunteers are an important part of any illusion for Mr. Copperfield.

Mr. Copperfield will testify, and he will discuss the importance of the audience and the importance of the volunteers. And the goal is to inspire all of those people at — for being in the show and from leaving the show, that they are inspired to continue on and dream and possibly maybe do magic themselves.

Doing this illusion, as all of the illusions that Mr. Copperfield performs, requires teamwork. This is important. Mr. Copperfield has performed the Thirteen Illusion all over the world. We will present evidence about how this illusion and the route, or the pathway, that the volunteers take has been adapted to many different venues all over the world. Not just here at the MGM, but all over the world, this same illusion has been performed, and it always involves volunteers going from one point to the other in order

to reappear.

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14 l

15

16 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This illusion was first performed back in 1998. It has been the final act for Mr. Copperfield. 4 For over 15 years, it was the final act. 5 David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., entered into agreement with the MGM Grand for David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., to perform the David Copperfield show at the Hollywood Theater, which is now the David Copperfield Theater.

Briefly, David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., is a closely held corporation. Mr. Copperfield is the sole shareholder. Mr. Copperfield is also an employee of David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc. Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., has an agreement with the MGM to hold his shows at the MGM. Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., also has an agreement with Backstage Employment wherein Backstage provides the magician assistants as well as the stagehands for the show. Mr. Kenner, as I've mentioned, is the executive producer of the show and he is the president of Backstage.

Mr. Copperfield has been performing the Thirteen Illusion as part of the show at the MGM for -he had performed it for 13 years prior to Mr. Cox participating on the night of November 12th, 2013.

1

2

3

5

6

7 |

8 |

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

During the entire illusion, from the moment that David Copperfield begins to talk about this illusion to the -- throwing the balls to the volunteers disappearing and then reappearing, David Copperfield is always on stage.

David, for this -- Copperfield -- excuse me -- for this illusion, does not accompany the volunteers when they leave the platform and then proceed along the route. Because Mr. Copperfield is out on stage the entire time because he needs to make the illusion work. He needs to make participants disappear or there is no illusion. Mr. Copperfield, then, is on the stage the whole time.

Skip that one.

Now, segue here for a moment and talk about participants. So we will present evidence that, from 1998 to 2013, on average, there was 640 shows per year. So I got to get my piece of paper out here for this one.

Okay. So that's 15 shows per week times 640, that's 9600. I believe that's big enough. Okay. average number of participants for the illusion at each show is about 10. You've already heard sometimes it's not 13, sometimes it could be six, sometimes it could be eight. On average, the evidence will show it's

1 about 10 participants per show. 2 9600 times 10 -- I don't know why I have to 3 look at my paper for that -- is 96,000. 96,000 people 4 participated in this exact same illusion that Mr. Cox 5 participated in prior to Mr. Cox participating on 6 November 12th, 2013. 7 Now, to get a sense of 96,000 people, I thought I would show --8 9 JUROR: It's upside-down. 10 MS. FRESCH: Oops. Thank you. Hey, this is 11 | not my skill set. 12 All right. This is a photograph of the T-Mobile Arena. Trying to -- good karma here for 14 tonight. T-Mobile Arena holds approximately, for a 15 l hockey game, 17,500 people for capacity. Here is that photo of T-Mobile Arena during a hockey game. 17 96,000 people. Imagine this many people 18 times 5. 19 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor. 20 Argument. 21 THE COURT: Overruled. 22 MS. FRESCH: 5 T-Mobiles of people 23 participated in this illusion successfully before 24 Mr. Cox did. 25 Okay. Now let's focus on the MGM as a venue

for this show. Okay. Again, I have to use another 2 piece of paper. 3 Okay. All right. The evidence will show 4 that Mr. Copperfield, when he first began performing at 5 the MGM, he did shows 20 weeks of the year -- all right -- on average, 15 shows per week for 20 weeks. 7 So that's 20 times 15, that equals 300 times. And he performed 20 weeks for the first five years 9 while he was at the MGM. So that equals 1500. 10 Then, from 2005 to 2008, Okay. Mr. Copperfield increased the number of weeks he performed his show to 26 weeks per year, 15 shows per 13 week, basically, seven days a week. So do that math, which is 26 weeks times 15 equals 390. Times four 15 years, that's 1560. 16 Okay. Now, from 2009 to 2013, 17 Mr. Copperfield didn't decrease, he actually increased 18 the number of weeks he performs his shows at the MGM to 19 42 weeks. Same thing, 15 shows per -- per week, seven 20 days a week. So that math, 42 times 15 is 630, times 21 4, that's 2,520. 22 All right. So you take all that numbers, 23 you've got 5580. You take the 5580 times the average 24 10 participants, and that comes out to -- again, I'm 25 not sure -- I'm looking at my paper -- 55,800

participants participated in that illusion successfully prior to Mr. Cox. That's three T-Mobile Arenas at capacity for a hockey game like there will be tonight.

That's how many people participated as a volunteer for this illusion, the Thirteen Illusion, prior to Mr. Cox that night successfully. There were no prior injuries, the evidence will show, prior to Mr. Cox tripping and falling that night.

All right. The reason why this illusion has been so successful for all these participants and for all the audience members who sat there and saw that illusion was due to the practice, the preparation, and the precision that this team of people that you will meet over the course of this trial do in order to ensure that this illusion is done successfully, is done in a way for the audience to enjoy, in a way for the volunteers to enjoy.

Now, you've heard also about the screening process for the people who volunteer for this. It seems simple, but it's actually pretty intricate, really. Unbeknownst to any of the people who are going to be volunteers, they're not even aware that they're being screened when they grab a ball. They don't realize that there is a screening process. And the screening process is very important.

1 And that was developed by Mr. Copperfield and by the -- the people at Backstage in order to ensure 3 that the people who are going to be -- to be the volunteers, who actually do disappear, are people who 5 are capable of -- of completing the illusion. what's important is, if someone along that route, for 7 any reason, decides that they do not want to participate any longer, they don't have to. It's okay. It's not a bad thing if someone says, I decide I don't 10 l want to do it. 11 You'll hear testimony that, for the majority of people, that never happens. It does occasionally, 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but that's okay.

There's a lot of flexibility that goes into this illusion in terms of the audience volunteers. It can change over time because each show is different. There's a different group of people each time who are going to be involved — involved in — excuse me — involved in disappearing and reappearing. There is no magic number to who and how many people need to participate in any given show. It is all flexible depending on who those volunteers are at that particular show.

The flexibility of this illusion is one of the most unique things about that illusion, is that it -- it adapts to who volunteers that night, who grabs the ball, who decides that they want to participate and be up on the stage and possibly disappear that night.

Now, the screening process is done to see are — is someone there a little tipsy? Do they have inappropriate shoes on? Are there stilettos? Are they unable to maneuver the obstacle course that Mr. Copperfield walks with them when he is on stage as you will see in the video during the course of this trial?

If any of the people have those signs, they do not go into the platform; they go over to a side chair. They're still participating; they're just not the ones who, quote/unquote, disappear. And the reason that that is done is that is a safety assessment before the individuals who end up on the platform and who will eventually disappear.

Mr. Copperfield actually begins his screening process of the volunteers the moment that they take the balls and approach the stage, as do the Backstage assistants. When Mr. Copperfield begins talking about the illusion, when he starts to set it up, he's on stage. He's walking around. And he's basically giving some information to the audience about, okay, here comes the next illusion.

What the criteria will be to be a volunteer. You need to be in good health. Mr. Copperfield says this to all the audience members, you need to be in good health. You need to be able to speak English. Yes, of course you need to be able to speak English, as the evidence will show, because you do need to understand and follow direction if you're going to be one of the people who disappear. You need to be over 18 years old.

Now, while Mr. Copperfield is asking these questions and while he's performing the illusion, he's also entertaining his audience. And that includes humor. That includes some jokes. Are you a lawyer? Things like that. That's part of the show. That's what he's there doing. He is an entertainer.

Mr. Copperfield — and this is prior to anyone grabbing a ball — tells the audience that the volunteers will hang in the air and be made to disappear. 13 men and women will vanish. He tells them that if they grab a ball, they may get bumps and bruises. And, most importantly — and this is before they grab the ball — he says, "If you don't want to play, sit down."

It's a choice. It is a choice for each person who decides to become an audience volunteer.

They don't have to. You're sitting in the audience.

You don't have to stand up and grab a ball. It's your choice if you want to take on and be a participant in the show.

And Mr. Copperfield says specifically -- you

will see it in the video that we will show you of an exemplar show — if you — if you don't sit down, you understand the risk. He says that to all the audience members, again, before the balls are thrown out.

Before anyone has the opportunity to grab a ball, he has given the audience criteria to make them decide, do I want to be a potential participant? Do I want to maybe be up on stage? Do I want to participate where I might disappear?

There's a mystery, of course, about what are you going to do if you disappear? Because we all know, when we go to a magic show, the whole thing is we don't know how the magic is performed. What would be the point if you always knew what — what was the illusion and how the illusion was performed? It wouldn't be any fun. The whole idea is the mystery.

So if someone chooses to participate in an illusion, they can't know everything before they start participating because that would take away and defeat the whole point of the entertainment value of being a

1 volunteer and being the one who disappears.

3 I

10 l

16 l

All right. Now, there's further screening. Mr. Copperfield has said all of this when he's up on stage. Then the music starts to play, the balls go bouncing into the audience, same kind of beach ball effect as when you're at a sporting event and people are bouncing the balls around.

As they approach — the music stops, people grab the balls. As they approach over to the stairs — which will be the left stairs if you're facing the stage — Mr. Copperfield is standing near the stairs that go up onto the stage. There are Backstage assistants down at the bottom of those stairs. So as each person goes with their ball up to them, the Backstage people are right there. And they ask them three important questions. Can they run? Are they members of the press? And are they magicians?

Now, for the purposes of this trial, the key question was "Can you run?" Does that mean anyone has to run? No. The point of that question is can you run. That is telling that volunteer, when they are asked that question, "Can you run?" that you might need to run, or maybe you don't, but we want to know that you can run. Just like Mr. Copperfield has already said, are you in good health? Two key questions: Are

you in good health? And can you run?

Average adult goes up, hears those questions, thinks I need to be in good health, I need to be able to potentially run. That is giving a clue. That is giving a warning to them that they need to be in good health and they need to be able to run. It's your choice when you're asked those questions to continue to participate or not.

Now, the screening process continues.

They've asked the questions. Then they go up the stairs. Mr. Copperfield is at the top of the stage looking down at each volunteer as they walk up those stairs. What's the point? Because you're assessing the person. How well do they walk up the stairs? Are they a little uneven on their feet? Are they having any problems?

The Backstage assistants are also watching them as they walk up, again, part of the screening process. And the screening process about going up the stairs, just as with the questions, is about safety. Because if someone doesn't answer the question and say they can't run, then, you know what? It's no big deal. They'll go up the stairs; they'll go and sit down. They'll be on the side chairs. They'll be the person who's at the back that is used to show that there's

1 nothing going on behind the platform during the 2 illusion.

So the evidence will show you that this illusion could not have been performed successfully at the MGM if it was unsafe for all of these audience participants for all these years, for all 55,000 people who participated in it. If it was unsafe, 55,000 people would not have participated in this successfully.

Again, they're asked specific questions.

They are watched as they are walked upstairs. Now,
they get up on the stage. They are also viewed,
because Mr. Copperfield takes all of these volunteers,
and he walks around the platform. Now, he's doing this
to show them and show the audience about the platform.

But what an audience member does not really see is that, walking around the platform, there's cables, there's lights. These volunteers have to maneuver around these cables and lights as

Mr. Copperfield is leading them around, looking behind them. Backstage are looking at them too. That's part of the assessment process.

Again, if someone is unable to manage to make it around this big platform and back to the front of the stage, again, they'll go to a side chair.

Now, final screening, they go — platform, you got to go up some stairs. Again, if it looks like someone is physically not doing well to get up those stairs, they're taken over and put on a chair. And it's done very casually. The audience watching this does not think anything about it that some people are put over at chairs and some are put in the platform.

It's part of the illusion.

Now, as much as there's stairs getting up on the stage, going into the platform, for the purposes of what we are all here about, from the point of the dragon, which you've heard about a little bit from Mr. Morelli, which is how — the apparatus that the volunteers utilize in order to leave the platform to start on the pathway — from the point of the dragon, getting out of the dragon, to the point of the doors by the cage of the casino of the hotel, there are no stairs. So the stairs are used as assessment, but, technically, there really are no stairs on this route for the purposes of what we are here today.

Again, there are no magic numbers about how many people need to participate. There are diagrams that the stagehands have that actually show the platform setup because there is a specific seating arrangement. And Mr. Copperfield will explain the

process of that seating arrangement, as will

Mr. Kenner, to — if there's only 6, if there's only 8,

if there's 13, there's a precise seating order.

And they have all of those different alternates available and ready to go depending on how many people volunteer, how many people met and made it through the screening process.

Now, another key point is that there is no hurry or urgency to have these participants reappear.

Why? The evidence will establish that there are a variety of alternate endings to the illusion, to no one appearing, to some reappearing, to all reappearing.

Now, as I mentioned, there will be testimony that the majority of people who participate in this illusion, they do reappear. But there are times when someone doesn't reappear or something happens. And guess what? Just no one reappears.

The audience is watching Mr. Copperfield up on stage. People disappear. If for some reason it — it ends up no one reappears, it's okay. The illusion has still been successful. They disappeared.

Again, going back to the flexibility, the flexibility is so that, no matter what is going on with the volunteers, there are different ways to end this illusion so that there is no issue. If there is a

1 problem with a volunteer, if something occurs, odd 2 things happen, doesn't matter. Some people don't 3 reappear? It's okay.

4

7

10|

11 |

13

14

15

17 l

19 l

20 l

22

23

24

25

There's -- this illusion is not a timed 5 event. And that's key because that goes with the no hurry, no urgency. It's not a timed event.

In fact, as Mr. Popovich mentioned, the only 8 part that's really a key critical thing of timing is 9 actually for the part that Mr. Copperfield is doing while he's on stage. He needs to do the illusion of having them disappear. You can't have people reappear too quickly because he has not had sufficient time to interact with the audience about the people disappearing.

So, in fact, there needs to be enough time for Mr. Copperfield to be performing the illusion in front of the audience members. That needs at least a minute. And, on average, the evidence will show that typically it's about a minute 20, a minute 40, a minute and a half for the participants from the time that the curtain goes down -- because that's when they start on the route -- from the time the curtain goes down on the platform to the time that they reappear when we're talking about the venue at MGM.

Mr. Morelli mentioned how the music can loop.

1 Yes. Why is that important? Because, again, it's so 2 that, as people are going a little -- walking slower that way as the participants, it's okay. The music 4 just loops. Mr. Copperfield is a great performer and 5 entertainer. He can handle being on stage. Doesn't matter. Music keeps looping; he keeps entertaining the 7 audience. The audience is having fun. They don't care. So what if it was actually 2 1/2 minutes? Doesn't matter. The music can reloop and reloop and 10 reloop. No one's going to matter. And then the 11 audience reappears. Those volunteers reappear. 12 that's perfect. That's why the music can reloop. 13 because -- the whole point of this illusion is the flexibility of it so that everyone is having a good 15 time.

Now, a minute doesn't seem like a long time, in some respects. Minute, minute and a half, that doesn't seem like a long time. However, as the photograph that Mr. Popovich showed you of the outside and — oops — of the nice diagram here, it's not a really big distance. And that one photograph that Mr. Popovich showed you shows like it's a pretty short amount of feet, especially from the time that the volunteers go out the 30 feet, to the approximate 43 feet, to go into the third door back into the hotel

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1 by the cage. And there will be testimony as to why.

2

3

7

10

11

12

13 l

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because, again, everyone involved in designing this illusion and developing it, and 4 developing this route at the MGM specifically, took 5 into consideration what made best sense for these 6 volunteers from the time that they leave the dragon, go through the back of the theater, out the door, and that it would make the best sense and the most direct pathway for those people to go into the third set of doors. Not the first, not the second, and not the fourth.

So now that we're looking at the diagram, I want to also point out a little -- again, I have to use my little cheat sheet here with my bad memory.

So, as I said, there's -- the evidence will show there's -- this is not a long route at all. pretty quick in terms of the length. Now, there's a lot of people in this route with the volunteers. can't quite see it with this diagram. But just imagine for a moment, where the volunteers come out from the dragon, there's a stagehand right there with a flashlight. Because, yes, they're on the back of the stage; the audience is out in front of the stage. it's not brightly lit because, otherwise, you couldn't be doing this illusion. It's a stage.

So -- but for the volunteers who come out of 2 the dragon, there is a stagehand right there with a flashlight and directing them. They're directed towards here. Where it says "stage" right below here, there's an individual, another stagehand, who's holding back a curtain and telling them and guiding them where they're going. Okay. So that's No. 2.

1

3

7

8

9

10 l

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

There's also an individual there, a third individual in this same area right in the back of the stage, with a flashlight, because, again, you're still on the back of the stage, so it isn't brightly lit, of course, because of the stage being backstage, needing it to be dark so people can't see anyone leaving or their -- or that would be like a buzz kill for this So there's three people, so far, in this illusion. little space right here guiding the volunteers on their route. Okay. So then they've turned, they've been guided down towards here.

No. 4, stagehand No. 4, now this person is starting to hand out flashlights to them. Because this is important in terms of when they reappear, they're given a flashlight not to turn on, actually, not for them to use to light their pathway, because that's not necessary. This is for later on. But they get a flashlight here.

There's also then another person here at this corner, another stagehand. So we've got one, two, three, four. We're at stagehand 5 at this point. Five different people have been assisting the volunteers so that they know where they're going. There's no pandemonium; there's no chaos. People are just being guided along the route.

all right. So then here we have five going out the door. They walk outside of the door of the theater. There is a person, stagehand, here, that person to help guide them to go right because it's asphalt. And like Mr. Popovich said, it's not Tropicana Avenue. It's just a driveway for MGM's use. That person has a spotlight this big (indicating), not a flashlight. They have a spotlight. All right. They're pointing the flashlight to provide the guide for the people to be walking along. Just very simple.

Now, so we have got, one, two, three, four, five. We're at No. 6. A mere 30 feet down this way, as they're being led, there's another person, another stagehand, right here at this corner. And this is where that tree is. You saw the pictures that Mr. Popovich showed you of the tree in the corner. All right. There's another person here, and guess what? He's holding the big spotlight too. So we've got two

1 people outside holding the big spotlights. So two big 2 spotlights, two people here. All right.

3

4

11 |

12

13 l

15 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

So one, two, three, four, five, six, seven people. Seven people are guiding these volunteers. 5 Now, besides those seven, there are two backstage 6 people for the particular night that we have where Mr. Cox was a volunteer. The person who was leading 8 the volunteers from the time they leave the dragon to 9 the time that they reenter the theater, the back of the 10 theater and reappear, Mr. Ryan Carvalho was leading them that night.

You've got the volunteers, and you also have another individual. Her name -- and she will testify as well, Pomai Weall. She was leading. And it was in the caboose, if you will, the end of it. So you have got seven people in stationery positions, all lined up to help these volunteers to go from over here and over here.

Mr. Carvalho is leading them. Ms. Pomai Weall -- and I think I'm mispronouncing her name slightly -- she's at the end of it to ensure everyone is going, no one's wondering off, no one's getting Not that you could because there's not that many people, and there's these people with the spotlights. But she's right there. They're going this way, the

direction towards the third door.

Now, where the X is, as we know, that's the approximate location where Mr. Cox tripped and fell down. But the pathway that they were taking was to go through these doors, through the next set of doors, and then back into the theater to reappear. So nine people, nine people are helping, on average, 10, 13, 13 people. 9 people are actually helping them on this route. That's 9 stagehands helping them. Not one, not two, but 9.

And I'm not even including the fact that there — the security guard who opens up the door initially to set light. So if you really talk about that person and that individual and their role in this pathway, that's ten people. All right. Let me see. All right.

Now, on November 12th, 2013, the night that Mr. Cox attended the show with his wife, the evidence will show that Mr. Cox, as well as the other members of the audience that night, was told that volunteers will disappear, that the volunteers need to be in good health.

Mr. Cox was asked if he could run when he was at the bottom of the stairs to go on the stage.

25 Because he chose to grab a ball -- didn't have to grab

1 a ball; he chose to grab that ball. He was asked if he could run, and he said, yes, he could. He told them he was in good health and that he could run. All right. I talk faster than I read. 5 Now, let's go back about the route that night, as with all the nights, because same process, 6 same practice, same rehearsing, same precision. And as Mr. Popovich has spoken about, a lot of different people inspect this route prior to those volunteers for 10 the Thirteen Illusion. Now, what I find critical is 11 the fact --12 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor. 13 Opinion. 14 MS. FRESCH: What --15 THE COURT: Go ahead. 16 MS. FRESCH: What's -- as the evidence will show you, what's critical is one of the individuals who 18 actually takes this route and inspects this route is 19 Mr. Copperfield. Mr. Copperfield, for a different 20 reason -- approximately ten minutes before Mr. Cox took 21 the same route, Mr. Copperfield takes that route. 22 Now, Mr. Copperfield is going from Point A to B, true, for a reason. However, for the purposes of why that's important for all of us in this trial is 24

that Mr. Copperfield, when he does that, he himself --

25 I

1 it's Mr. Copperfield performing; he's the magician, 2 he's taking that route, he's inspecting the route, he's looking down, he's aware.

3

4

10 l

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Mr. Copperfield is here. It's so critical for him, for all of his audience members, for the volunteers to have an enjoyable time. That's why Mr. Copperfield is performing. That's why Mr. Copperfield is doing how many shows for 42 weeks of the year, seven days a week, fifteen shows a week. Mr. Copperfield, when he's walking that route, if he saw debris, if he saw something out of the ordinary on this route, he would be immediately alerting.

There was nothing on the route that night, and you will see a video of Mr. Copperfield, at least for a portion, of taking that same route. inspecting that route himself. That's done, literally, about ten minutes before the 13 volunteers take that same route.

So on the night that Mr. Cox tripped and fell on a flat surface of the concrete over here where the X is, Mr. Copperfield had done that same route to go into the same set of doors, or maybe a different door because it's more important for the volunteers to go through the third set of doors. But Mr. Copperfield essentially walked, ten minutes before, right where

1	Mr. Cox walked ten minutes later. There was no debris
2	on that route.
3	Now, my client's accident reconstruction
4	expert is also Dr. Baker. Mr. Popovich has already
5	talked in detail about Dr. Baker's opinion. It is his
6	opinion that Mr. Cox tripped; he did not slip and fall.
7	Mr. Cox does not have an accident
8	reconstruction expert in this lawsuit. The evidence
9	will show that my clients did not cause Mr. Cox to trip
10	and fall that night.
11	I conclude this by saying we're going to have
12	a lot of testimony, a lot of discussion about
13	illusions. But what is not an illusion is that, at the
14	end of the day, the evidence presented will demonstrate
15	to all of you, to each one of you, that neither
16	Mr. Copperfield nor David Copperfield Disappearing,
17	Inc., were the cause of Mr. Cox falling on that night.
18	Thank you. I appreciate your attention.
19	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
20	Opening statement? Mr. Russell, you may
21	proceed.
22	OPENING STATEMENT
23	MR. RUSSELL: I'm just waiting to get it set
24	up here.
25	Good afternoon.

IN UNISON: Good afternoon.

MR. RUSSELL: Again, my name is Howard Russell. And, along with my partner Lee Roberts and our paralegal Audra Bonney, we're here to represent Backstage Employment and Referral. As you heard, we also have Mr. Kenner, Chris Kenner, in the room with us today. He'll be here at parts of the trial.

As you have heard, Backstage Employment and Referral is the team that carries out the illusions at the David Copperfield show. It's a group of individuals who collaborate to bring those illusions to life.

I'd like to thank you for your time and your attention already. We had a long jury selection process. You all devoted us a great deal of time and attention, and we appreciate that. And we're going to thank you in advance for the time and attention you're going to provide in the coming days, the coming weeks, because jury service is not easy, and we know that and we appreciate it.

We'd also like to thank Judge Denton and his court staff. Just as Backstage is the man behind the curtain for the David Copperfield show, Judge Denton and his staff are sort of the man behind the curtain for a trial. They keep things moving smoothly; they

keep things moving efficiently. And we appreciate their time and their service.

As you have heard, this is the part of the trial called opening statements. And, as you know, this is not evidence. This is the opportunity for the attorneys to preview the evidence for you, to lay out a roadmap for you, to keep you on track as you listen to the evidence, as you hear the testimony throughout the trial.

Now, some of the evidence I'm going to talk about, you've heard today already. I understand that you've heard it from Mr. Morelli, Mr. Popovich, and now Ms. Fresch. But this is our opportunity to give you our perspective, to give you Backstage's perspective on the evidence. And as you hear the evidence, you're going to gather those pieces. You're going to gather those bits of testimony.

You're going to be asked to weigh the credibility of witnesses. You're going to be asked to use your common sense along the way. And at the end of this phase of the trial, Mr. Roberts is going to come back up here and he's going to summarize that evidence for you. He's going to take you back down that path to try to reach your verdict.

When you are considering the evidence in this

case, I would like you to remember that words matter. You think about our current culture, and every time a politician or newscaster or celebrity says something on Twitter or in a news conference or on TV or on the radio, the words get dissected. They get picked apart. They get analyzed. They get reanalyzed. And in a civil trial, certain words carry a greater significance than others. There are words you hear often, that are everyday words, that sort of describe the facts to you. 10 Other words have a more significant legal meaning.

2

5

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Some of the words you're going to hear a lot during this trial are the ones you have heard today: accident, fall, trip, stumble. But those aren't the words that you are going to have to rely on to reach your verdict. Those are factual words that no one really disputes.

You're not here to decide whether or not Mr. Cox tripped and fell. We all know he did. You've all heard that for a couple of weeks now. No, you are going to be asked to determine a much more significant legal word, and that's "negligence." You heard a little bit about that today. You heard about it during voir dire. This is a negligence case, and Judge Denton is going to instruct you what that means. And you're going to be asked to decide if one or more of the

defendants was negligent and if that negligence caused Mr. Cox to fall.

The judge will instruct you about the burden of proof, which is just because an accident happens -
MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor. Is this summation or opening?

THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

MR. RUSSELL: Just because an accident happens is not proof that someone was negligent. There might be a reason that someone falls, but there needs to be evidence that someone failed to use reasonable care to cause that fall. And Judge Denton will instruct you on what you must find to determine if one or more of the defendants was negligent and if that negligence caused Mr. Cox's illusion [sic].

So for all the times you are going to hear the word "accident, trip, and fall" over the coming days, you're going to hear the word "negligent" very rarely after today's opening statement because, as you'll be instructed, whether or not one of the defendants was negligent will be for you to find after you hear the evidence and after you are instructed on the law.

So when it comes to determining whether there was negligence, whether there was something more than

just an accident here, what evidence do we expect 2 you're going to hear? Well, you've heard a little bit 3 about Backstage and its history, and you will hear a little bit more.

1

4

5

7

10

11

13

14

15

17

19

20

22

23 l

24

25

Backstage is the team that works with Mr. Copperfield to put on the performances at the MGM. The producer, the conceptual artist, the stagehand, the stage manager, the lighting guys, everyone who works together to bring those illusions to the public. And Backstage's employees are employees who assist in carrying out illusions that are meant to entertain, clearly, but, just as importantly, illusions that are carried out with certain protocols, certain designs, certain plans.

And you are going to hear from witnesses who are involved in the development of the Thirteen Illusion. From the time they first started working on it with Mr. Copperfield, you will hear testimony about the long hours and the efforts that go into developing any illusion, including the development of plans and protocols to carry out those illusions.

One of the people you hear from is Mr. Kenner. Now, Mr. Kenner is in somewhat of a unique position. He has been working with Mr. Copperfield for over a quarter of a century, over 25 years. He has

1 | knowledge of Mr. Copperfield's businesses and work 2 | before Backstage was even created. So he may be knowledgeable, he may be asked to testify and may be asked questions about both Backstage and David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc. Please understand, these are two separate legal entities.

5

7

11

12

13

15

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

But you're going to be instructed that when you deliberate, you don't leave your common sense at the door. You don't forget your common sense. 10 Mr. Kenner cannot forget what he knows of over 25 years of working with Mr. Copperfield. So he may be asked to testify to give you some background on both companies.

You're going to hear from the employees who 14 helped develop the illusion over the years and the directions and the protocols that were developed for here at the MGM as well as other places in the world. And you'll hear from these witnesses that the directions and the protocols, they have a purpose, they have a reason. And Mr. Copperfield, I expect, will explain that as well.

One of the purposes of having directions and protocols and designs is, if it's an illusion where only the show team is involved, well, it's to protect their safety. It's to make sure it gets done efficiently. But when there are audience participants 1 involved, these safeguards and protocols, they are to protect the audience participants as well.

3

5

9

10

11

12

14

15 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

You're going to learn, as you have already 4 heard, about the creation of a path for the participants to take, about the use of music and timing, and how the illusion can be catered to dangerous conditions. If there's something that needs to be changed on a certain night because of something that comes up, how the team works around that.

Now, Mr. Morelli told you a little bit about the timing and he says well, you know, to get through this whole illusion takes about a minute. You have now 13 heard that, in that minute, the participants have to travel less than 100 yards, a few hundred feet, less than a football feet. You've seen Bolt can run a football field in under 10 seconds, high schoolers that can run it in 12 to 13 seconds. Here we're talking about a minute to walk the length of a football field. And you will hear evidence about what pace you need to go at to walk the length of a football field in under a minute.

You will hear testimony about how the 23 protocols and the designs for this illusion are passed down from employee to employee, how when someone is taking over a certain role in the illusion, they shadow their predecessor. They learn on the job. They learn the ins and outs of every piece of their part of the illusion.

You will hear the intricacies of handing off that knowledge from person to person and how a Backstage employee will stay in a certain role, what they call a track. They stay on their track for a very long period of time. And if they decide to leave, well, they take a long time to train a new person before they just send them out there to do the illusion. They have to learn it cold.

And you will also hear from the Backstage employees, well, there's an overarching plan in place. There's an overall design in place. Mr. Copperfield and the Backstage team members can adapt, as necessary, to make sure that the illusions are carried out safely, they're carried out effectively, and they're carried out efficiently.

Now, Mr. Morelli talked about the various versions you're going to hear. This isn't a version of how the illusion is done. The evidence is going to be this is just how it's done.

So what are some of the protocols and what are some of the safeguards you're going to hear about? Well, you've heard some of them already from the

attorneys before me. And that's good because the 2 evidence is going to be that these protocols and safeguards you've heard about, they're reasonable. They're there to make the illusion safe and efficient and effective for everyone involved, including the audience participants.

1

3

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You've heard that you're going to hear from 8 two Backstage employees who were there on the night of 9 Mr. Cox's accident, the ones who were involved in the runaround. You're going to hear from Ryan Carvalho. You're going to hear from Pomai Weall. They were the actual stagehands guiding Mr. Cox's group of participants around the illusion.

Mr. Morelli says, "I'm going to call them in 15 my case in chief." He's right. And if he didn't, I would call them in mine because their testimony is going to establish that Backstage used all reasonable care in carrying out this illusion. Now, you've heard about the weeding-out process, the preprocess of screening participants. You're going to hear that from the Backstage employees as well. You'll hear how they watch the audience members as they come up from the audience, up onto the stage, how they proceed around the stage, and how the employees are looking for any signs of ill health, unsteadiness, intoxication,

whatever it may be. They're there looking to make sure that the people involved in the illusion are well equipped to do so.

You'll hear the process of getting the audience members from the audience onto the stage and the various times that both Mr. Copperfield and the Backstage employees have to assess and reassess these participants. You will hear testimony about the questions that are asked.

Now, Mr. Morelli talked about asking if they could speak English, asking if they were pregnant.

You've seen Mr. Popovich and Ms. Fresch. They talked about those as well. Well, there's no evidence that Mr. Cox's accident happened because he couldn't speak English or because he was pregnant or because he was a lawyer or because he was a magician. Okay? Yes, those are important parts of the protocol, but they really — the evidence is going to be that they really don't have any impact here. The question that he was asked was, "Can you run?" And Mr. Cox will admit he said yes. And he will admit he had no physical limitations preventing him from running, and he will admit he had no difficulty running during the illusion.

After you hear about the prescreening process, next you're going to hear about what happens

1 after the illusion starts. And you have seen some of the pictures, and you've heard some of the other lawyers talk about the curtain drops down and now the participants start moving through the illusion.

3

5

6

7

10

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, what happens first is, they're ushered down these few steps out of what we call the dragon. The steps are lined with glow tape. Mr. Carvalho actually takes the hand of the first participant in line. It's always a female. That's part of the illusion because of the way they set up the participants, so it's always a female. He takes her hand and he guides her through the illusion.

And you'll hear how he tells her before every 14 turn, going to turn left, going to turn right, here we He leads that participant throughout the illusion while various stagehands from Backstage and the MGM are lighting the path and ushering the people along the way. He will tell you how quickly he moves, how the pace of the illusion depends on where along the illusion the participants are and how fast and how sometimes the participants themselves can set the pace. Because Mr. Morelli is right, there are turns in this, and you have seen the diagram of that.

And Mr. Carvalho will explain you can't run down a hallway for 17 feet, you can't run down a

1 hallway for 14 feet before you take the turn. There's 2 no way. You can't physically do it. There's times that the pace can be faster. When you're on a straight 4 line, for example, outside, for example, you could have a faster pace. So there are turns. But there are also straight lines.

3 I

5

6

7

11

12

13

16|

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

You're going to hear different descriptions of what that pace may be. Some of the Backstage employees might call it a joq. Some of them say, yes, 10 we ask the participants to keeping moving. And you'll hear them testify that sometimes the participants do run because, even while the illusion is going on, there is still an opportunity to assess and reassess the 14 participants. And if they're not doing well, if they're having trouble, as Ms. Fresch said, they just don't reappear. They're asked to sit out the rest of the time so they're not putting themselves or anyone else at risk.

Finally you're going to hear about how the illusion is set to music. And you've heard that it can be extended -- it's on a loop, it's on a track -- that if the participants take a little bit longer to get to 23 the back of the auditorium where they can wave to the crowd, Mr. Copperfield just keeps entertaining the crowd. And while the music is playing, in fact, there

are stops along the way. There are times Mr. Carvalho will tell you he regroups the participants, gathers them together before they move on to the next step.

Safeguards, protocols, contingency plans, these are all part of the illusion that you will hear about.

And the evidence is going to be that these are in place both for the safety of everyone involved and to maintain the mystique of the illusion. And it is that conduct, the way that Backstage proceeds through this illusion, that you're going to be asked to evaluate at the end of this phase of the trial to determine if, in fact, you believe Backstage was negligent in the way it carried out the illusion.

You've heard Mr. Morelli give you their version of the illusion and how the illusion is rushed, pandemonium, chaotic. But it will be up for you to decide whether this illusion is carried out in such a way that it's so chaotic and so rushed. You'll hear running as fast as you can.

Again, at the end of this case you're going to be asked to deliberate and use your common sense.

So, as you listen to the evidence, ask yourself, does it make sense that the illusion would be carried out in such a way that people are running as fast as they can

1 in a chaotic -- in a -- in a chaotic sense, in a sense 2 of pandemonium, and then, all of a sudden, they appear at the back of the auditorium to wave hello to the their family and friends? Does it make sense that the illusion would really be carried out that way? Weigh the evidence that way is what we'll ask you to do.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

Mr. Morelli has already talked to you today, 8 and he talked about a little bit during voir dire, that Mr. Cox wasn't given any instructions. Well, to some extent, that's true. Mr. Cox was asked whether he could run. He said yes. But it is true that he and the other participants are not given a step-by-step manual of what the illusion is or what the steps are going to be.

But the Backstage employees that will testify will explain to you there's a reason for that. a more reasonable way to do it. And you can use your common sense to figure that out, because think about the scenario in which you have anywhere from 7 to 13 different people, while they're walking up to the stage, lights going, music on, all of a sudden receiving instructions in their ear, "Hey, you're going to take a left turn after 17 feet. Hey, you're going to take a right turn after 14 feet, then you're going to take another right turn to go outside and you're

going to run 25 feet." Picture that.

1

2

5

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then they all get into the platform. And, as they come off the platform, what are they They're trying to remember in their head which way they're going to go. What am I -- what did they tell me? What's more reasonable? To do that or to play a game of follow the leader? Come with me. go this way. We're going to turn now. We're going to turn now. What's more reasonable? That's the conduct 10 that you're going to be asked to judge.

And the evidence is going to establish that the way Backstage performed this illusion was the reasonable and safe way.

Now, it will also be for you to decide the issue of causation, which means that not only does a party have to be negligent but that negligence has to cause the accident. Now, the undisputed evidence is that, even with all the criticisms of the accident -or excuse me -- all the criticisms of how the illusion is done, there's no causal link between that and Mr. Cox's actual accident.

MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor. Is this what he intends to prove?

THE COURT: He's just telling what the evidence will show, as I understand it.

1	Go ahead.
2	MR. RUSSELL: Now, you're going to have an
3	opportunity to view the video. You've heard us talk
4	about it a couple of times today. And, although, yes,
5	it's partially blocked, the area where you'll see on
6	the video is a straight path towards the door. You'll
7	see the amount of light that's provided. I wanted you
8	to see the video today to give you an idea of what
9	you're going to see during the trial. And you're going
10	to see other participants traverse along this path.
11	Audra, please.
12	THE COURT: You're not showing the video
13	itself, just stills; correct?
14	MR. RUSSELL: I'm showing the video itself.
15	This has been stipulated into evidence.
16	MR. MORELLI: They told us no videos.
17	MR. RUSSELL: This
18	THE COURT: Wait just a second.
19	MR. RUSSELL: Audra, pause it for a second,
20	please.
21	This has been stipulated into evidence, Your
22	Honor.
23	MR. DEUTSCH: Yeah. Okay. It's no problem.
24	MR. RUSSELL: Thank you.
25	MR. DEUTSCH: Sorry.

MR. RUSSELL: No problem.

(Whereupon video was played.)

MR. RUSSELL: Thank you, Audra.

Now, the evidence will be, both from the testimony here and the video and the expert opinions you're going to hear, that there's nothing unique about how the illusion is performed that caused this accident.

You may have assumed during voir dire, what you heard earlier today, that there's some intricate or hazardous portion of the illusion that is where this accident happened. Well, the evidence will show just the opposite. At the end of the day, the evidence is going to be that Mr. Cox was asked if he could run. He said yes. He engaged in the illusion. And while running in a straight line toward a lit door, he tripped and stumbled.

The evidence is going to be that nothing Backstage did in the context of carrying out this illusion caused Mr. Cox to fall. The evidence will be that there's nothing magical or mystical about how this accident happened. It was just an accident.

You've heard that a trial is where the jury acts as the finder of fact. And that is what you're going to be asked here to do. A jury is tasked with

1 weighing disputes over the evidence to find the facts.

But there are some facts that are going to be easier to find than others because they're undisputed.

For example, you're going to hear testimony — and

Ms. Fresch told you a little bit about this -- that in

just -- in over ten years of performing the illusion at

7 the MGM -- and, just for understanding, in 2014,

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

8 Mr. Copperfield put in a new arena. So this wasn't 9 done after 2014.

With an estimated over 50,000 participants involved in this illusion, the only injury alleged from someone falling during the Thirteen illusion was Mr. Cox. That's it. Now, you might hear about an incident where a woman lost a shoe, didn't get hurt, didn't need to call for medical, nothing like that, lost a shoe. In over ten years and over 50,000 participants.

It's also undisputed, as I've already told you, that, prior to participating in the illusion, Mr. Cox was asked whether he could run. He said yes. He had no physical limitations and he had no difficulty running through parts of the illusion right up until the moment of his fall. Those aren't my words; those are going to be Mr. Cox's own words.

It's also undisputed that there were lights

along the pathway inside the MGM. And now you've seen on the video, there's lights along the pathway on the outside as well.

And there's no evidence that Backstage — or any other defendant, for that matter — had any knowledge of any hazardous condition, debris, anything on the pathway that would present a risk to the people participating in the illusion.

Now, these are the pieces of evidence, along with the ones we've already talked about, that you will be able to use at the end of this trial to determine if there was negligence on the part of any of the defendants.

You've heard a lot about the credibility of witnesses and that you're going to be asked to judge that. And, as you receive the evidence, I'd ask you to keep in mind things like bias, what the witness's motivation is.

And the judge will also instruct you in your deliberations, as I said, that you're going to have to use your common sense during your deliberations. So, as you listen to the testimony, keep in mind what makes the most sense. Recall that this case is all about this illusion, all about an illusion that the people disappeared out of the platform, and, a few minutes

later, are in the back of an auditorium waving to their family and friends.

1

2

3

4

5

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As you listen to the evidence, ask yourselves, does it make sense that you would have had those people running at full speed for their lives in a chaotic situation? Or does it make more sense that they had a plan in place and they moved the people through the illusion to the timing of the music and back out to the back of the auditorium? What will make 10 more sense to you?

Finally, Judge Denton is going to instruct you about the burden of proof and what it means to satisfy that burden of proof. And Mr. Roberts will come up here at the end of the trial. And he'll ask you to consider, having heard the evidence, consider the law, weighing the credibility of the witnesses, whether plaintiffs have truly met their burden of proof.

And, at the end of this phase of the trial, you'll be asked to determine if any of the defendants was negligent and whether that negligence caused the accident.

But we submit that the evidence will not prove to you that Backstage was negligent in the way it carried out this illusion. And we will ask you to

, 1	return a verdict in our favor.
2	Thank you. We look forward to speaking with
3	you throughout the trial.
4	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
5	Okay. Mr. Deutsch, 10? 15? 10? Okay.
6	Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take a 10-minute
7	recess.
8	During the recess, you're admonished not to
9	talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else,
10	including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties,
11	and witnesses, on any subject connected with the trial,
12	or read, watch, or listen to any report of or
13	commentary on the trial or any person connected with
14	the trial by any medium of information, including,
15	without limitation, newspapers, television, the
16	internet, and radio, or to form or express any opinion
17	on any subject connected with the trial until the case
18	is finally submitted to you.
19	Be outside the courtroom at 3:15. Thank you.
20	THE MARSHAL: All rise.
21	(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)
22	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury. All
23	rise.
24	(The following proceedings were held in
25	the presence of the jury.)

1 THE MARSHAL: Department is again in session. 2 Remain in order. 3 THE COURT: You may be seated. 4 Do counsel stipulate that the jury is 5 present? 6 MR. MORELLI: Jury's present. 7 MR. POPOVICH: Yes, Your Honor. 8 MS. FRESCH: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MR. STRASSBURG: Yes, Judge. 11 THE COURT: You may proceed. 12 Thank you, Judge. MR. STRASSBURG: 13 OPENING STATEMENT 14 Hello. You know, I'm -- I'm MR. STRASSBURG: 15 not so worried about going after Morelli and these 16 other fine lawyers; what I'm kind of worried about is 17 preceding the hockey game. 18 So I want to make sure that there's plenty of 19 time for those of you who have an interest in the Golden Nuggets and their historic -- their historic 20 21 So I'm going to try to be brief. I'm going to 22 leave some stuff out. I just want to hit the high points and give you the -- the flavor of what I will prove to you in this case. 25 I will prove to you that my company, Team,

did not have actual control at the time of the accident. We had been gone for six hours. We had left the job. I'll prove to you that we didn't leave a condition behind that had anything to do with what happened to Mr. Cox.

We're sorry about that, wouldn't wish that on anybody, but we did not do -- create a condition that led to that.

So, at the end of the case, I'm going to come back and I'm going to ask you for a verdict to clear Team's name, its reputation 100 percent.

Team works in a small market. It's 3 miles long, it's half a mile wide. It's the Strip. There's a limited number of players. Everybody knows everybody. Everybody talks to everybody. A whisper in the wrong ear at the wrong time — I want you, at the end of the case when you've seen everything, when I prove what I say I'm going to prove, that you hold that Team is not liable to the plaintiffs and clear their name.

So to start with, who is Team? Team is basically two guys: David Noble and Chance Hibbard. They met working at a construction company locally. The company fell on hard times because it couldn't control costs. These two guys went out, having learned

that lesson, and started Team Construction. 25 years later, they've built about 70 percent of the TI, the tenant improvements, in the casinos on the Strip.

Just a flavor to give you a sense. And, you know, it's not that — like they're so great. Just to give you a flavor of what it is that they do. They work inside predominantly. And they build tenant improvements — like, well, here's kind of a picture of Beacher's. They do — this is the Center Bar at Mirage, Parasol Up at Wynn, Comedy Club. They do the — the Blue Man at Luxor.

And you see that, most of the these jobs, they got one distinguishing feature. It's that they are built inside a fully operational and operating casino resort that maintains high standards. And, as a result of that, Team — one of Team's competitive edge that they see as a matter of business — is housekeeping. That they can take a crew in on a job, wreck out existing tenant space, rebuild it, Sheetrock, concrete, all that stuff, and control conditions so that the customer, the casino, is satisfied.

Control is everything to these guys and they have developed specialized tools to do that. And if you bear with me, I need to teach you a little about this particular kind of construction and the tools that

are used specifically for housekeeping.

Real quick, just so -- I don't know. I just

like to start, I guess, at the top. Just to give

you -- just so we all know where our job site was, this

is aerial just off Google -- it's right -- of MGM

Grand. You know, this is where you pull in, the porte

cochére. This is the Hollywood Theater, this box here.

This is Tropicana. This is the access road here

that -- the illusion, when the participants moved,

that's where it was. All right?

And I think you've seen this already, but what I showed — you remember that little square, the Hollywood Theater, that's this part here. And these are the security doors. You go through another set of security doors and you're right — kind of right next to the bank. This is where the armored trucks pull up to take the loot. And so these are security doors. Nobody goes in and out without approval.

So another thing is is that nobody builds in a top-notch property like MGM Grand without MGM's approval of the process.

Here's the -- kind of a schematic off their website on where stuff's located, just so we're all good with this. Here's the theater. Here's that -- you know, this is Tropicana and the -- Las Vegas

Boulevard's out here. You with me? Okay? 2 We were building this space here and we were turning it into what's called a Beacher's Madhouse. 3 It was kind of a theater/show kind of venue. 5 Copperfield theater is over here. Here's the bank. All right? So we weren't working on the theater, we 7 weren't working near the theater; we were working over 8 here. 9 Now, construction work, of course, 10 generates -- it generates debris and dust. And those have to be managed and controlled. And one of the 12 things you do is you haul it off in a dumpster. 13 They're called roll-on dumpsters because a truck -- you rent them, a truck comes by that picks it up, leaves 15 the new one, takes the old one, roll-on, roll-off. 16 Ours was about 20 feet, 8 by 8; right? So pretty big. 17 It's like a steel box. I don't know. If you see them 18 around town, the blue ones, used to be Discount 19 Dumpster, now it's Western Elite. 20 Do you mind if I just show them a picture of 21 a dumpster? 22 MR. MORELLI: Go ahead. 23 Go ahead. MR. DEUTSCH: 24 So here's what we're talking MR. STRASSBURG: 25 about. These are the kind of scale of dumpsters. This

1 is off their website. Western Elite took over
2 Discount. So here are the trucks, you know, that haul.
3 Here are the big steel containers. You access them by

4 a door here. Okay? And they're open on top. That's

5 where the debris goes.

The problem, of course, on every job is where do you put the dumpster. On this job, we identified the possible areas to put the — put the dumpster, and MGM agreed to the area where — where it went, per their rules, and that's where we put it.

The access walkway that I just showed you where the trucks go in and out, that was not a construction area. That was an access way. The construction area was inside the — the casino, the casino area.

You know, lay-down is a construction term. And it's where, when the trucks bring the materials, you know, like the — the wood, the Sheetrock, nails, whatever you buy, you buy that from a construction house. They ship it, not to you, not to Team, they ship it to the job site. And they need a place to drop it off. That's called the lay-down area.

The dumpster's not in the lay-down area; right? Because the last thing you want is that to end

up in the dumpster. The lay-down area is farther down that access road by the poker gorse. I'll prove that 3 to you as well. 4 The -- we had a contract with Beacher's, who 5 is MGM's -- or was. They're gone now. But they were 6 a -- let's see. Here it is. Sorry about that, Judge. 7 Can you see this? 8 Okay. So Beacher's is the tenant. Their name is on the door. They have a lease with MGM. 10 lease has basically got a construction provision in it 11 for the -- to build out the space. Beacher's hired Team on what's called a fixed-amount construction 12 13 contract. Okav? 14 So nothing goes on on these TI jobs without 15 there's a contract. There's rules, contracts, 16 exhibits. It's all specified out in black and white 17 for any casino. And the casinos enforce it; right? 18 Because the last thing they want is somebody tracking 19 up their expensive carpet or running a beam where it 20 shouldn't go; right? 21 So we -- Team prides itself on its 22 faithfulness to -- to the house's rules. MGM had rules. We prided ourself in following those rules. 24 They're there for a purpose. They define the

expectations of the owner. We'd be crazy not to follow

25 l

them. We pride ourselves on doing that. And it's a competitive advantage that we use in the marketplace to sell.

1 I

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

That's why it's so important to this little company to -- to have the reputation that it's fought to earn of faithfulness of the house's rules; right? Because that's what the casinos are really looking for. The tenant wants their space built the way they want The house wants the tenant to move in without disrupting the operations; right? And we got to satisfy them both.

So, here, I just drew this out now so you You're going to hear in the proof about could see. Team -- right? -- and Noble Specialties. Okay. Well, Noble Specialties is the labor contract -subcontractor of Team; right? But David Noble controls them both; right? So, really, the other subs, you know, they're separate, independent companies, the plumbers, the -- all those guys; right?

They sign the same subcontracts as Noble, but Noble is really the -- that's the -- the union shop, the laborers, the carpenters. The subcontract defines 23 their responsibilities same as the other subs, no different, but they're controlled by the same guys. when Team's doing a subcontract with Noble, it's kind

of doing it with itself; right?

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

So when the subcontract with Noble says broom clean -- and that was the specification in the contract, that the job's to be broom clean. So that is the standard Team had to hold itself to. Beacher's was okay with that; MGM too. All right?

So broom clean was the standard. So this is 8 the kind of broom, and it's a construction broom. is top-notch polyester bristles. They're stiff; right? So when you work this broom -- okay? -- it does a pretty good job. Right? This is broom clean right here.

And these -- this is the kind of equipment 14 that -- that Team made sure they had for the labor to use to clean the area around the dumpster before they 16 knocked off.

One other quick -- one other quick Green, that's the construction site. observation. Okay? Red, the performance site. Okay?

Now, if I could show you this. When MGM, their construction department, and our guys talked out how to structure this job, they agreed upon a haul-out route to get the construction debris and the dust from the job site area, which is here, to the dumpster, which is outside and here. This is the casino. So you had to haul it right by all these people, right over the carpet.

And I'll show you — let me show you what I'm talking about here. Okay. So this is not, you know, Beacher's; this is, like, Jabbawockeez. But this is where Beacher's was. And then they left and somebody else came in and wrecked them out and put Jabbawockeez in there. Okay?

But -- so this is at one end of the casino. To get the construction debris -- right? -- you wreck out the Sheetrock, you wreck out the finishing, the -- you know, the bar, the -- the plywood. You take that out, you load it in a piece of specialized equipment which I'm going to show you. It's called a Georgia buggy; right? It's like a wheelbarrow only it's about this tall, that wide, and it's deep; right? So when you put stuff in, it stays in. It doesn't come out.

Here's the carpet. Here's the route. Okay? Now, we fill up the Georgia buggies and then we got to wheel the buggy out to the dumpster. But there's one more step.

Partner, can you toss me that.

Thank you, sir.

Here's another piece of specialized construction equipment. These are called tire booties.

I know. I know. The Georgia buggy has wheels like any wheelbarrow. Okay? Before you take one of those across MGM's fancy carpet -- right? -- you put one of these on each wheel; right? And then you're good to go and you can wheel the thing past all the paying customers who are really the reason that any of us are in business.

15 l

I'll show you the other if I could to try to give you another view of the haul-out route that we were policing. Okay. So now we're going to take a corner. Right. I mean, this is — we come out this way. The — the job site was over here. We take this corner. We go down. We got — there's gaming tables to the left, and the bank is to the right. We go all the way down here, and then we turn right. And those are the doors to get outside to the Dumpster. Okay? There's two sets of doors. Okay.

You know, I've got some other pictures I could show you, but in the interest of time, let me just — I mean, you guys get the idea. I just want to show you how this works. You know, you go out here, down the hall; right? See, this is where you're coming out. You go down that hall. You turn like this, here, actually we're coming this way. That's the bank. Those are the security doors. And that's where you go

outside through the security doors. That's what my partner looks like without a suit.

Oops. You know, I want to apologize. I see Paul King, my project manager. He's here on behalf of Team and I forgot to introduce him, I guess because I was in a hurry to get you guys out of here.

Paul, would you stand up. This is Paul King. He was the project manager on the job and we'll put — he'll be put on the witness stand. Morelli subpoenaed him. Good for him. I would have put him on there anyway. And you'll get to meet him, and Mr. Morelli will ask him questions, and he'll be there to be asked questions by everybody, including you, if you want.

Thank you, Paul. Sorry.

Now, I promise — let's talk about the precautionary equipment, precautionary measures, the equipment that we utilize. And this is a Georgia buggy. So you see, as I said, it's — it's pretty high. So you can — you can fill it up. It has two wheels here. That's where the booties go. And then that's what you take it out with.

Now, before anybody moves anything across
MGM's carpet, you do this. This is protective plastic.
It's kind of sticky like a Post-it note. And when the
guys come in in the morning, they roll this across the

carpet, they roll the whole route, like this. Okay.

You roll the whole route, just like this

(demonstrating). Okay. And it's sticky, so it sticks

because, you know, so it's not a trip hazard because,

sa you can see, people are going to the bank. So this

is to protect the carpet. We put on as much as

necessary. And it is to stop any soiling of the carpet from our operations.

And it won't stand still. All right. So that's what the Georgia buggies are wheeled across.

And I think — okay. Now — all right. The proof is going to show that, I'll prove to you, on the night of the 12th of November, all right, before our guys knocked off, they did cleanup, interior and exterior.

The exterior cleanup, that's sweeping around the Dumpster. I'm going to corroborate that with the time cards. They're going to show 15 hours for that particular kind of work. And Team is a very unusual construction company because their time cards are all done electronically by the foreman on the job who has an iPad. Before he leaves for the day, he puts in the information to show what they did and what kind of tasks and who did it. And that goes right to headquarters. Right? And that's kind of what you would expect from two guys that saw what can happen if

you don't control your costs because that's what happened to the company they started with; right?

So the time cards for the 12th; right? Those were done and in the can before the accident ever happened; right? So they're not after-the-fact stuff. That's done before anybody ever knew.

And speaking of the secrets of magic, right, well, I'll tell you somebody else who wasn't in on the secret, and that was us. 'Cause, you know, Mr. Morelli and I, maybe we don't agree on a lot, but one of the things we do agree on — and I cherish the moment — is that we didn't know. We didn't know that these audience participants were making a use of that access way because that information is need-to-know, right, because it's a secret. And I think you understand why. And that's appropriate. And I'm not complaining about that. I'm just saying we didn't know.

And because we didn't know and we didn't have control, actual control, we weren't in a position to exercise precaution. Right? It wasn't foreseeable to us. And, you know, do you think that the day after the accident that we got called on the carpet and MGM's construction head said, you know, you got to clean up your act, we had somebody get hurt? No. Never happened. First time we knew was when we got sued.

Okay.

1

2

5

9

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another thing I will prove to you is that we doubled our budget for cleanup, and, you know, we I don't know, budget as part of general conditions. you know, construction companies they have, like, individual items, right, but then there's kind of a block which is called general conditions. You know, that's like the trailer and all that stuff. cleanup is in there. But we track it. We budgeted 10 22,000 bucks for the whole job, just to do cleanup, 11 just to roll that plastic and sweep, you know, paying 12 the laborers to sweep around the dumpster. And we paid 13 good union wages.

So to sweep, we have specific people to do that. And we may have to spend 22,000 to keep the customer happy, as we always want to do. We spent 45,000. Now, maybe that doesn't sound like a lot, like, you know, in a job that's a million five. will tell you, it was like a third of our profit on the whole job. That's how competitive this business is. And we were glad to do it because this is the kind of work that guys like Paul King love to do because, you know, you are out building bridges, you're building very fine work. You know, these interior finishes has to be just right. And that's what our guys like to do.

And they don't tolerate sloppy work, and they pride themselves on controlling and on their housekeeping.

I'll also prove to you that the plaintiff, he didn't know it was dust. He made an assumption it was dust. And he admitted — I mean, to his credit, he admitted that he didn't know, and he couldn't think of anything else it could be.

Now, you know, I was going to show — play you the surveillance videos. But, you know, I'm going to wait on that. We'll save you some time, maybe get you out early. And we're going to have plenty of witnesses who can — you know, we can play the tape for and they can testify. So why don't we do it that way if you're okay with that. I mean, you have kind of gotten a flavor of what it looks like.

So let me do this: There are some things that, you know, we didn't — we didn't know as part of our work 'cause, you know, we didn't even know that Copperfield audience people were running up and down that access way. So after we got brought into this case, we've had to learn some stuff. And, you know, we spent a couple of years learning this evidence, just like Mr. Morelli and all these other lawyers have. That's pretty typical in these kind of cases. And so I want to just try to conclude this by, if you don't

mind, just telling you, giving you a quick overview of kind of a chronology of the project, what happened, to just clarify, perhaps, in your mind. If I can find it.

Let's do it this way. This will be quicker.

Okay. Okay. Can you all see this? Obviously, one of you could. If I — we'll see. What we did here or what I did here, I guess, as part of my learning process, was I tried to figure out what happened when because I thought that might be helpful to you to get a sense of what we're all talking about on this very important day.

So let's start here at the beginning. On the day of the accident, the 12th, we started at 6:30 a.m., as we usually do. At that time, at 8:00 a.m., we had a job meeting, okay, at MGM in their construction conference room. And at that meeting were, you know, everybody who was anybody on the project.

We had -- let's see. Paul King was there.

Beacher was there, Kevin Browning at MGM, other MGM

people, you see. And they were there to talk about the issues on the job. You know, maybe you know how construction works.

But all these job meetings they usually have once a week, and they have an agenda. And what they do is, every time they have a problem, they write it on

the agenda, and it never falls off until it's resolved.

So every meeting, you come in, the agenda keeps growing, hopefully stuff gets handled. But these — and this is right at the job area; right? So no problems with housekeeping. Okay? We put in our day. 2:30 p.m., I'll prove to you we left the job site, handed it over to MGM after our 15 man-hours of cleanup, you know. There's kind of the form of the time cards. You'll see them in the evidence.

And then the next event is at 5:00 p.m.

We'll prove to you that's when Mr. and Mrs. Cox, that's when they came to MGM. They weren't staying there.

They drove. They got there at 5:00 p.m. And we'll prove to you that Mr. Cox had a margarita, and he was playing blackjack. Ms. Cox got the tickets for the show, and the show was at 7:00 p.m. That's when the show began.

Now, the surveillance tape, you just saw some of it because, as I say, we're just trying to give you a flavor of what's going on here. But there's a fair amount of it, and it really starts about 7:52 p.m., and we know that because the camera burns a date time stamp in the image. You can't -- you can't fuss with that. It's automatic.

So what we'll prove to you, and you will see

this, that at 7:52 p.m., MGM security guard opens the door. Okay?

Can you, if you don't mind.

14 l

Opens the door. And he is standing there, and he's kind of kicking it, you know, to prop the door open. Sometimes that's where they smoke, right, so he's kind of kicking the butts out of the way. But that's what he is doing there. Then, at 7:59, we have attendants, four of them, that walk; right? And you can see them here. They walk across this access ramp; right? That's right where the plaintiff fell. They walk right through here.

This guy, he takes a look. In the light —
he can see the light from the door; right? It shines
right down on that walkway; right? And there's no
debris. I mean, do you think if we left a big sheet of
plywood there, you don't think MGM would call us up and
say, "Look, you either get your happy butts over here
and fix this or we're going to do it and back charge
you. You know how construction works.

No. It was clear. Here are the other people that walked through here. You're going to see Copperfield himself on the tape. He's walking through there as part of, you know, some other kind of illusion I don't really understand. But he walks through there.

1 And here, here are some more attendants. 2 Okay? And, you know, he's got a light. You saw the 3 light; right? Okay. And then that -- I'm sorry. that is the number of people, before any audience members use that walkway, that walked up this area, 5 right, found it to be suitable for its intended

purpose. 8 Then you're also going to see these. I 9 counted seven. I don't know. Maybe I'm wrong. 10 know, maybe I'm a little off. But you can see here --11 and we're going to show you this -- these clips. 12 you look there, you see this, this is the burn, right,

7

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13 where they burn the time stamp in there. 14 Subtract 12, so that's 8:35.

Okav. So -- and here you have the first three participants come through. And, see, they're running along this track. The fourth participant -- I don't want to play favorite -- the fourth participant, you know, he's coming through here. Or she. of hard to tell. Here's the fifth, and here's the sixth and seventh. And you see they're moving through And, you know, we'll play the tape for you. We'll run it back, forward, backwards so you can see and you can judge for yourself. Are they running? Are they trotting? Are they sashaying? I don't know what

you call it. And you can determine that. But they're proceeding through this.

And you can see in the light that is cast upon the surface there's no visible debris or dust. See, and then you'll see on the video — excuse me. You'll see on the video right here, this is 20:35:21. That's Mr. Cox, and he's on the ground. Okay?

And remember that question I asked at voir dire, Can you all see pink? Right. You all thought that was nuts. Here's the reason. Pink shirt, kind of you need to see it kind of through the trees. We'll prove to you that you can see — you can see the shirt moving along his line of travel, along his line of travel before he goes down.

I will prove that to you. And that will show you that he tripped, right, because, just like my colleague Popovich said, forward. He goes down forward, with his head closer to the doors than his feet. If he slipped going around the corner, right, doesn't look that way. If you slip going backwards, doesn't look that way. What you can see in this video, we'll prove to you that it's a trip; right?

Now, there's a couple of other, you know, facts you're going to find as to what happens after the fall. Okay? Now, you know, I would urge you to, you

```
know, listen to the other lawyers because, you know,
  that's what they're expert about. I can only tell you
   that it's going to be clear to you, when the security
   guard gets there, what Mr. Cox tells the MGM security
5
   guard at -- what is it? 8:42? Okay? He says, at
   8:45 -- I'm sorry. The quards's dispatched 8:42 and
   he's on property; right? So he's just walking.
   ambulance arrived at 8:45.
 9
             MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor.
10
             MR. STRASSBURG: I will prove --
11
             MR. DEUTSCH:
                          No.
12
             MR. STRASSBURG: The ambulance arrived at
13
   8:45.
14
             MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor.
15
   talked about this yesterday.
16
             THE COURT: Want to approach?
17
             MR. DEUTSCH:
                           Do you want to?
18
             MR. MORELLI:
                           Go ahead. Why not?
19
                  (A discussion was held at the bench,
20
                   not reported.)
21
             MR. MORELLI: They're both alive.
22
             MR. DEUTSCH: I'm not in the dumpster.
23
             MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. The judge said I have
24
   to stand here. Okay. So --
25
             MR. DEUTSCH: Your Honor.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: I can't see. You're going to
 2 have to take that other one down so I can see the jury.
   I can't see.
 3
 4
             MR. STRASSBURG: Oh, yeah. Sure. Can I put
   this thing here and I will stand on it? Is that okay?
 5
 6
             MR. MORELLI: Somebody just asked why.
 7
   Wasn't me.
 8
             MR. DEUTSCH: That side of the table asked
 9
   why.
10
             MR. STRASSBURG: Why what?
11
             THE COURT: Can it be seen if you put it on
12 the other side?
13
             MR. STRASSBURG: Over here? I don't know.
14
   Ask them.
15
             THE COURT: It looks like it's high enough.
16
   There.
17
             MR. STRASSBURG: How about you back row
18
   people?
            Okay.
19
             Okay.
                    So --
20
             MS. FRESCH: Well, Your Honor --
21
             MR. STRASSBURG: -- we will prove that he
   told Mr. Cox, right, minutes after the ambulance got
23
   there, he told him that he slipped on the concrete
24
   while running. He made no mention of dust. We'll
   prove to you that, shortly later, he told MGM's
```

security officer that he -- he slipped and fell, no mention of dust. All right? Dust doesn't come up until 8:52, when it shows up in the ER record by the ER doctor. We'll prove to you that the ER doctor was told by Mr. Cox of something about dust.

And then there are — you can see here the photographs. There is an investigation by MGM. Their security officers perform an investigation and they take photos — they interview, they write down what Cox tells them. They — they take pictures, okay, of, you know, what they think's important. And then they attach the — we can't exactly prove to you, like, when the photos were taken, but we can prove to you when they were uploaded to the report. I don't know. Something about the camera. And they upload at 9:28.

And here's the condition of the carpet on the other side of the security doors. The carpet is clean after all those people walk through this supposedly heavily dusty area. Carpet's clear. All right.

Here's the first — the back side of the outside door. You know, there's two sets of doors; right? One on the outside; that's the one you've been seeing. There's another set of doors to the inside. In the middle is this stretch of concrete; right? That was open and available for MGM security officer who did

the investigation to view. And we'll prove to you that he put in his report "no hazard." No hazard. All right?

Then we will also prove to you that Mr. Cox was discharged from the ER at 1:20 a.m. the next day, so this is the — on the 13th. And then he — we'll prove to you that Team returns 6:30 a.m. on the 13th, begins its work, and is none the wiser. Nobody says anything to us until, of course, we're sued.

And this here, the next day, we'll prove to you that Mr. Cox returns to the MGM site with his lawyer. Not Mr. Morelli; a different lawyer, a local lawyer. And he — we'll prove to you that he writes out an accident report. And we will prove to you that in the accident report he's saying now that, as he rounded the corner, okay, rounded the corner, that he slipped and fell on dust that was covering the walkway. All right? And we'll prove to you that, as time progresses, the story evolves of what happened. And we'll also prove to you that the lawyers are involved on the 14th.

And what I just showed you is, you know, really, near as I can tell, these facts are -- I mean the videos, they are what they are. And they're stamped.

1 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor. 2 Opinion. 3 Just rephrase. THE COURT: 4 MR. STRASSBURG: Okay. Now, to assist you in 5 gleaning whatever information can be gleaned from these security videos and to understand them ourselves, we hired a biomechanical engineer, Dr. Nicholas Yang. We're going to bring him in to you. And he has performed an analysis of the accident site. He's 10 utilized high-tech scanning equipment to try to 11 recreate the -- what's shown, actually shown on the 12 surveillance tape, to recreate it as it was when this 13 happened and subtract the tree. 14 So you can see what he does is he starts with 15 the stuff you can see, you know, like where the head is 16 and the head movement. And then they know Mr. Cox's 17 height and weight, and they extrapolate the rest of it. And it will show to you that he tripped. All right? 19 And, you know, you may -- you may ask yourself, isn't 20 it kind of counterintuitive --21 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor. 22 I'll allow it. Go ahead. THE COURT: 23 ahead. 24 Isn't it kind of MR. STRASSBURG: 25 counterintuitive that anybody could trip; right?

1 running in a straight line; right? How do you do that? I mean; right? But I'll prove to you that that's 3 | It's called a toe catch, when you catch a toe. 4 | It happens to major league ballplayers. I have some 5 videos that I will bring in to show you to illustrate to you how this mechanism of a fall actually takes 7 place. And if I could get back there, I would like to dramatize it for you now. If I do it here, you can't 9 see it. But we'll show you on the video so you can see 10 l that this is a pretty typical thing. 11 And if it can happen to highly trained sports professionals, right, anybody, it can happen to 13 anybody. And here it happened to Mr. Cox. 14 Okay. 4:15 I'm going to stop. I appreciate 15 you listening to me kind of rehearse the high points of the evidence. And, as I said, at the end of this case, 17 I'm going to come back here and we'll go through it all 18 again, and I'll show you what I have proved, the 19 testimony right out of the record; right? And then I 20 will ask you to declare that my company's not liable. 21 We didn't hurt this man. And we're going to ask you to 22 restore our reputation for good work --23 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor. 24 MR. STRASSBURG: -- 100 percent.

Sustained.

25

THE COURT:

1 MR. DEUTSCH: Not about reputation. 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MR. STRASSBURG: Judge, thank you. I'm done. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 All right. Very well. Ladies and gentlemen, at this time we're going to adjourn. We're not 5:00, but rather than get started with a witness now and 8 interrupt, it's late enough now to adjourn. 9 Counsel have anything outside the presence? 10 Yes, Your Honor. MR. DEUTSCH: 11 THE COURT: Okay. So let me go ahead. 12 the resumption time will be next Tuesday at 9:00 a.m. 13 Okay? 14 You're admonished, in the meantime, not to 15 converse among yourselves or with anyone else, 16 including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties, 17 and witnesses on any subject connected with the trial or read, watch, or listen to any report of or 19 commentary on the trial or any person connected with 20 the trial by any medium of information, including, 21 without limitation, newspapers, television, the 22 internet, or radio. You're further admonished not to 23 form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to 25 you.

1 Once again, you're directed to return to the outside of this courtroom, to the south a bit, not right in front of the doors but to the south there, 4 Tuesday, April 17, 2018, no later than 8:50 a.m., ten 5 to 9:00, so that we can resume here at 9:00 a.m., at which time plaintiffs will present -- begin 7 presentation of their case. Okav? 8 MR. DEUTSCH: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 MS. FRESCH: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Counsel, remain. 11 Have a nice weekend, everybody. Don't get 12 blown away. 13 (The following proceedings were held 14 outside the presence of the jury.) 15 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated. 16 Mr. Deutsch. 17 MR. DEUTSCH: Thank you, Your Honor. two issues that we'd like to raise. 19 In light of the opening statements today, 20 we'd like to make a motion to renew an application that 21 we had made previously with respect to an argument by 22 any of the defendants that this had never happened 23 We had argued initially that that wasn't 24 relevant to this case. Your Honor had ruled already

that they could make that argument, the argument about

25

100,000 people.

But what's clear from both Ms. Fresch's opening as well as Mr. Russell's opening — I marked the page at one of Mr. Russell's comments — I, unfortunately, just didn't have time to find the part in Ms. Fresch's. Mr. Russell mentioned it on page, I think, 4615 at 1502. And what they both said — and it was a very interesting choice of words by both of them — is neither of them said that there has been — nobody has fallen before. What they had said is nobody has gotten injured before. And both of them said that, that you're going to see that, out of 100,000 people or the 55,000 people, that no one has gotten injured before.

But whether or not someone has gotten injured or not is irrelevant in a liability portion of the case because that means that hundreds of people could have fallen or dozens of people could have fallen or thousands of people could have fallen; they just might not have gotten hurt.

And since the case is bifurcated and the issue is only whether or not Mr. Cox fell, not whether he got hurt — because nobody needs to know whether he got hurt or not. The only questions the jury's going to have to answer in this part of the case is whether

1 he fell and whether something that was negligent caused 2 | him to fall. They're not asked any questions about 3 | whether he got injured.

4

5

7

11

12 l

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

So, therefore, testimony from the witnesses, including Mr. Copperfield and Mr. Kenner and Mark 6 | Habersack, that, you know, 55,000 people have done this and have never gotten injured, is totally irrelevant. And based on the opening statement, that is what they told the Court and the jury that they intend to prove 10 in this case, that nobody has gotten injured.

But whether someone got injured is irrelevant. And, therefore, we would move again to preclude any further testimony about that and ask the Court to instruct the jury to disregard comments by counsel on that issue.

THE COURT: Anybody want to be heard on that? MS. FRESCH: Yes, Your Honor. I don't know if I need to go -- well, I'll just stand here.

Your Honor, I think the fact that there are not any prior injuries is directly relevant to this And, specifically, I would rely on the jury instruction regarding "the lack of prior accidents attributable to similar conditions may be considered by you as evidence that the landowner or occupier had no known notice or knowledge of any dangerous condition in

1 the floor where plaintiff's accident occurred." 2 Accident implies injury. 3 MR. DEUTSCH: Absolutely not; otherwise, 4 there --5 THE COURT: The motion is denied. I agree 6 with the defense absolutely. I mean, it's something --7 it sounds like you're very well prepared for cross-examination about the nature of any injuries that 9 may have happened or what may have happened, but I 10 l think that this -- the thinking about whether or not there had been prior injuries, that's something that 12 can be explored. Okay? 13 MR. RUSSELL: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 MR. DEUTSCH: We have one more thing, Your 15 Honor. And that's the issue with respect to the representation of the defendants in this case. 17 Throughout the entire litigation, what's 18 happened here is Mr. -- it occurred yesterday during 19 l the cross-examination of -- of the doctor for the first 201 time, which is why I'm raising it now. 21 But Mr. Freeman, throughout the course of 22 this litigation, appeared at the conferences, argued 23 summary judgment motions on behalf of MGM. He was 24 representing MGM. Now he came yesterday and appeared 25 l on behalf of another entity in the case,

Mr. Copperfield and David Copperfield Disappearing, 2 Inc. 3 Ms. Fresch appeared throughout this entire 4 litigation on behalf of MGM and now is appearing only 5 on behalf of David Copperfield. And we believe that 6 that presents an inherent conflict of interest. 7 The case that discusses it that I can just cite to the Court says that if there's any question -it's Dow Chemical v. Mahlum. And -- and it -- it says very clearly that when a district court must decide 11 whether an attorney's office conflicts of interest 12 should preclude representation, any doubt should be 13 resolved in favor of disqualification. 14 So, at this point, Your Honor, we would move 15 to disqualify Ms. Fresch and Mr. Freeman -16 THE COURT: Do the plaintiffs have standing 17 to question conflict between the defendants? 18 MR. DEUTSCH: We do. 19 MR. MORELLI: Of course. 20 MR. DEUTSCH: Of course we do, Your Honor. 21 That's how a conflict of interest --22 THE COURT: You're not the client, are you, 23 of them? 24 MR. DEUTSCH: I understand that, Your Honor. And -- but we -- we always have standing to raise an

issue of conflict. And I'll be happy to brief this issue over the weekend if you'd like.

THE COURT: I don't really want any briefing or need any briefing. As far as I'm concerned, yesterday what happened is the Court and counsel accommodated plaintiffs' witness. So there — people can't be two places at one time, so that's what you raised as the —

MR. DEUTSCH: No, no, no. That's not what I raised. What I raised was the fact that Ms. Fresch and Mr. Freeman represented MGM throughout the course of this litigation. They appeared on behalf of MGM; they represented MGM.

Today, they represent — and, yesterday, they questioned a witness on behalf of another entity in this case who have competing interests. Copperfield and MGM have competing interests. And now they have represented multiple defendants in a case. And that presents a conflict.

THE COURT: Let me hear from defense counsel.

MR. POPOVICH: The representation of MGM,
DCDI, and Copperfield started years ago with the first
answers. Plaintiffs never complained. Went on for
years. Yes, Mr. Freeman would appear for all the
firm's clients. For purposes of this trial, we asked

```
the Court that the final pretrial conference -- I
  believe it might have even been in chambers -- about
   the fact -- we informed the Court that we intended to
   have me represent MGM, Ms. Fresch and Mr. Freeman
5
   represent the Copperfield and Copperfield entity, and
   the Court approved it over Mr. Morelli's objection at
7
   that time. Nothing's changed.
8
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Anybody else?
 9
             MS. FRESCH:
                          No.
10
             MR. ROBERTS:
                           Your Honor, not that I have a
11
   dog in this fight, but, just out of curiosity, I
12
   looked.
            Liapis v. District Court, Supreme Court of
13
   Nevada, 282 P.3d 733, says "the general rule is that
14
   only a former or current client has standing to bring a
15
   motion to disqualify counsel on the basis of conflict
16 of interest."
17
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
                                Last word?
18
             MR. DEUTSCH:
                           Nothing, Your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: Okay. It's a motion to
20
   disqualify; right?
21
             That's what you -- I mean --
22
             MR. DEUTSCH:
                           I guess so, yes.
                                             Yes, yes.
23
             THE COURT:
                         That's the posture of it?
24
             Okay.
                    It's a motion. So I'm ruling on a
25
   motion; right?
```

1	MR. DEUTSCH: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. All things considered,
3	I'll deny the motion. We'll proceed accordingly.
4	Okay?
5	MR. RUSSELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: And and we'll resume Tuesday
7	at 9:00. See you then. Okay.
8	(Thereupon, the proceedings
9	concluded at 4:26 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	-000-
13	
14	ATTEST: FULL, TRUE, AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF
15	PROCEEDINGS.
16	
17	
18	
19	KristyClark
20	KRISTY L. CLARK, CCR #708
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		1/16/2010 11:27 AM
		Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	CASE NO. A705164	Alumb. Lum
2	DEPT. NO. 13	
3	DOCKET U	
4		
5	DISTRICT C	COURT
6	CLARK COUNTY,	NEVADA
7	* * * *	*
8	GAVIN COX and MINH-HAHN COX,) husband and wife,)
9	Plaintiffs,	(
10	, in the second	\
11	WCM CDAND HOWET IIC. DAVID	<u>}</u>
12	MGM GRAND HOTEL, LLC; DAVID) COPPERFIELD aka DAVIS S.) KOTKIN; BACKSTAGE EMPLOYMENT)))
13		(
14	INC.; TEAM CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, INC.; DOES 1	(
15	through 20; DOE EMPLOYEES 1 through 20; and ROE	
16	CORPORATIONS 1 through 20,))) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
17	Defendants.)
18	MGM GRAND HOTEL, LLC.,) OF)
19	Third-Party Plaintiff,) JURY TRIAL)
20	vs.) BEFORE THE HONORABLE)
21	BEACHER'S LV, LLC, and DOES 1) MARK R. DENTON)
22	through 20, inclusive,) DEPARTMENT XIII)
23	Third-Party Defendants.	TUESDAY, APRIL 17, 2018
24		
25	REPORTED BY: KRISTY L. CLARK,	RPR, NV CCR #708, CA CSR #13529
ļ		-

1	APPEARANCES:
2	For the Plaintiff:
3	MORELLI LAW FIRM
4	BY: BENEDICT P. MORELLI, ESQ. BY: ADAM E. DEUTSCH, ESQ.
5	BY: PERRY FALLICK, ESQ. 777 Third Avenue
6	31st Floor New York, New York 10017
7	(212) 751-9800 bmorelli@morellilaw.com
8	adeutsch@morellilaw.com
9	For the Defendant Team Construction Management, Inc. and Beacher's LV, LLC:
10	·
11	RESNICK & LOUIS, P.C. BY: ROGER STRASSBURG, ESQ. BY: CARY CALL EGO.
12	BY: GARY CALL, ESQ. 5940 South Rainbow Boulevard
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 (702) 997-3800
14	gcall@rlattorneys.com
15	For the Defendants MGM Grand Hotel:
16	SELMAN BREITMAN, LLP
17	BY: JERRY C. POPOVICH, ESQ. 6 Hutton Centre Drive
18	Suite 1100 Santa Ana, California 92707
19	(714) 647-9700 jpopovich@selmanlaw.com
20	- AND -
21	SELMAN BREITMAN, LLP
22	BY: ERIC O. FREEMAN, ESQ. 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway
23	Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
24	(702) 228-7717 efreeman@selmanbreitman.com
25	

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):
2	For the Defendant Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.:
3	
4	WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL BY: D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. BY: HOWARD RUSSELL, ESQ.
5	6385 South Rainbow Boulevard Suite 400
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
7	(702) 938-3838 lroberts@wwhgd.com
8	
9	For the Defendants David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc. and David Copperfield aka David S. Kotkin:
10	SELMAN BREITMAN, LLP
11	BY: ELAINE K. FRESCH, ESQ. 11766 Wilshire Boulevard
12	Sixth Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-6538
13	(310) 445-0800 efreschlaw.com
14	
15	* * * * *
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
                            INDEX
2
   Witness:
                  Direct:
                             Cross:
                                      Redirect:
                                                    Recross:
3
   Chris
                    16
                              238
 4
   Kenner
 5
 6
 7
                        EXHIBITS
 8
   Number:
                 Marked:
                                 Admitted:
                                                   Joint:
 9
        94
                                     122
10
   93-153
                                     135
11
   93-160
                                     137
12
   93-168
                                     142
13
   93-167
                                     143
14
   93-170
                                     148
15
   93-171
                                     150
16
   93-173
                                     154
17
   90-62
                                     162
18
   403-9
                                     179
19
   90-64
                                     180
20
   90-6
                                     183
21
   93-159
                                     267
22
   93-156
                                     270
23
   93-151
                                     271
24
25
```

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, APRIL 17, 2018;
2	9:27 A.M.
3	
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	* * * * *
6	
7	THE MARSHAL: All rise. Eighth Judicial
8	District Court, Department 13, is now in session, the
9	Honorable Mark Denton presiding.
10	THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated.
11	We're reconvening for jury trial in the Gavin Cox,
12	et al. v. MGM Grand. We are outside the presence of
13	the jury. Please state appearances of counsel,
14	identify parties and party representatives who are
15	present today.
16	MR. MORELLI: Benedict P. Morelli for the
17	plaintiffs, Gavin and Minh Cox.
18	MR. DEUTSCH: Good morning, Your Honor. Adam
19	Deutsch also for the plaintiffs. Mr. Cox and Ms. Cox
20	are here, as well as Brian Harrison.
21	MR. FALLICK: Good morning, Your Honor.
22	Perry Fallick also for the plaintiffs.
23	MR. POPOVICH: Jerry Popovich for defendant
24	MGM Grand Hotel, with Mike Infuso, and on behalf of
25	MGM, Kelly Davis.

1 MS. FRESCH: Good morning, Your Honor. 2 | Elaine Fresch for David Copperfield, David Copperfield 3 Disappearing, Inc. With me to my right is David 4 Copperfield. 5 MR. ROBERTS: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 Roberts and Howard Russell for Backstage Employment and 7 Referral. And with us today is the president, Chris Kenner. 9 THE COURT: Good morning. 10 MR. STRASSBURG: May it please the Court, 11 Roger Strassburg and Gary Call for Team Construction 12 Management. 13 THE COURT: All right. As I indicated, we're 14| outside the presence of the jury. I understand there's 15 something to be taken up. 16 MR. POPOVICH: I think a few items. 17 deal with the first one, Your Honor, which I think is 18 l quite brief. Plaintiffs intend to call defense-related 19 witnesses initially this morning, and we wanted to get the Court's approval for how we intend to go as far as 20 21 the defense questioning of these witnesses. 22 Our proposal would be that the attorney for 23| the party represented by that witness -- for instance, 24 with Mr. Kenner, it would be Backstage -- would go

after plaintiffs, followed by the other attorneys for

```
1 the other defendants. When Mr. Copperfield testifies,
 2 Ms. Fresch, on behalf of Copperfield, would go after
   plaintiffs, followed by the other defendants.
                                                   Is that
 4
   acceptable?
 5
             THE COURT:
                         Acceptable to me.
 6
             MR. POPOVICH:
                            Thank you.
 7
             MR. MORELLI: It's acceptable to the
   plaintiff.
 9
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
10
             MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, good morning.
11
   acceptable to the plaintiff.
12
             MR. ROBERTS: And, Your Honor, in the
   interest of judicial economy, will we be able to go
13
   beyond the scope of direct during this case in
15 l
   cross-examination?
16
             THE COURT: As far as I'm concerned, if
17
   that's acceptable to ---
18
             MR. DEUTSCH: To avoid having to bring them
19
   back again at a later date, then, obviously --
20
             MR. ROBERTS: Correct.
21
             MR. DEUTSCH: -- that's okay with us.
22
             MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, sir.
23
             MS. FRESCH: Your Honor, the next thing I'll
24
   bring up is -- excuse me -- we filed yesterday a
25
   request for the testimony of Mr. Copperfield not to be
```

1 filmed. I'm aware of your order that the press can be 2 in the courtroom. But per our earlier discussion and per the earlier motions that we brought, it is up to you in your discretion at the request of the witness. And Mr. Copperfield is requesting that there is no filming of his testimony.

THE COURT: That's the entirety of the testimony? Or --

3

7

8

9

11 |

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23|

24

25

MS. FRESCH: Yes, Your Honor, the entirety of 10 his testimony; but, particularly, those portions that relate to any illusion and the process of the illusion is quite important. As I have brought up to Your Honor 13 before, about the process of the illusion, the secrecy 14 of the illusion, this is the entire realm of Mr. Copperfield's profession. If illusions aren't kept secret, aren't maintained confidentially, then no magician -- Mr. Copperfield or any magician -- could continue in their trade.

For at least -- I understand that you're allowing the press to still report. But I believe it is more crucial that they do not film so that it's not actually on the 5:00 news with the testimony because that is, more likely than not, going to, for sure, ring the bell for the entire world as to how these illusions are performed. And it will inhibit, really,

1 Mr. Copperfield being as open as he would like to be in his testimony. And I believe --

MR. ROBERTS: And Mr. Kenner would -- has the same request, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14 l

15

17

18|

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DEUTSCH: Your Honor, obviously, we feel that this motion has been argued already. Your Honor has already ruled on it. Having the press here to report on everything he says versus it being filmed doesn't change anything. And a suggestion by counsel for a party in a case that a witness will be less than forthcoming under oath if the cameras are on versus not is a very dangerous suggestion, Your Honor. And that is what was just said by Ms. Fresch in this courtroom, that Mr. Copperfield would be less forthcoming with his 16 testimony if the cameras were on versus off.

That is a significant statement by counsel in this case, Your Honor. If the press is allowed in here, there's no difference than having the cameras on. Your Honor has already ruled that, with respect to this illusion, that there is no trade secret that warrants any type of protection.

We will not be getting into any specifics of another illusion during our questioning of Mr. Copperfield or Mr. Kenner, other than the simple

1 statement that someone -- that during another part of the evening at another time, walks that route or walks the same route, with no mention of where it is or what it is or what it has to do with.

2 |

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

25

So Your Honor's already ruled. This is the fourth time that they've made this motion. Honor's ruled every time that the cameras can be here unless there's a trade secret.

THE COURT: No. I think I said I would do it on a witness-by-witness basis.

MR. DEUTSCH: You did, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now we're talking about the witness actually coming onto the stand.

MR. DEUTSCH: But what Your Honor was -- I believe was referring to was -- was a topic-by-topic basis. And the topic that Your Honor made clear, when we argued this last week, was things that didn't have to do with this illusion that may be protected trade secrets.

That was Your Honor's language in the order that was -- that we worked on together and submitted, that it was -- that if there were other things that Your Honor felt were trade secrets, you would think about making a decision at that point. But if we're not talking about anything with Mr. Copperfield or

Mr. Kenner other than this illusion, which has already been out there based on the opening statements and a million other things that we've talked about, we don't think that there's any need at all to preclude him.

2 |

And again, Your Honor, the suggestion that his testimony will be less forthcoming is very concerning for the plaintiffs in this case.

MS. FRESCH: Your Honor, I want to reiterate that, as you mentioned, that this is witness by witness. I have now brought the request pursuant to SCR240, and it says specifically "The court, in exercising sound discretion, may prohibit the filming or photographing of any participant who does not consent to being filmed or photographed." And that is the request of my client. And I believe it does relate and it is separate than what we were talking about. I am doing what we had talked about.

THE COURT: All right. I'll grant the motion in part to the extent that it addresses any testimony having to do with other illusions or the way things are planned and take place. I'll deny the motion in part to the extent that it's simply addressing other testimony having to do with this particular act and the like.

MS. FRESCH: Your Honor, I filed also a trial

brief because we are going to be bringing -- making objections about relevance because there's two aspects of the illusion. And not to divulge all of the 4 specifics of the Thirteen Illusion, but there is the 5 part that Mr. Cox participated in. 6 The other part of the illusion, I would like 7 to make the request and -- to request that you modify the order you just gave, that the Court -- any portions, if you determine that -- and overrule our 10 objections on relevance, that those portions of 11 Mr. Copperfield's testimony be not filmed as well 12 because that portion has nothing to do with Mr. Cox's 13 portion, which is, from the moment he's in the platform 14 and where he goes. 15 The rest of the illusion -- because it's a 16 | much more complex illusion, because there's two parts 17 to it. The other part, I am requesting that Mr. Copperfield not be filmed or photographed during 19 those portions of his testimony. 20 THE COURT: At any given point, if you think that what you just said is implicated, bring it to the 22 Court's attention so that I can make a ruling. 23 MS. FRESCH: Okay.

THE COURT: Now, I see we have the other.

Well --

MS. FRESCH:

24

THE COURT: Anything else to be said, 2 Mr. Roberts?

23 l

MR. ROBERTS: No, Your Honor. We don't anticipate Mr. Kenner will be asked about portions of the illusion, other than the ones in which Mr. Cox had participation and knowledge. If they go beyond that and get into that area, even though they did not in his deposition, then I will raise it and approach the bench.

THE COURT: Very good.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. DEUTSCH: We have one — one more issue,
Your Honor. At the beginning of the case, when
Mr. Russell and Mr. Roberts filed a trial brief about
similarity of other accidents that may have occurred;
and at the time, we had agreed that we were not going
to bring up the issue of the gentleman or the woman who
had broken her collarbone, I think it was, when the
balls were thrown out during a trick. We weren't going
to raise that because we agreed it wasn't similar.

Unfortunately, though, during Mr. Russell's opening statement, he made a statement as an opening that said that nobody has ever been injured during this trick instead of saying nobody has ever been injured during the runaround portion of the trick.

1 And because he said and has now told the jury 2 that nobody has ever been injured during this trick, which is a factually untrue statement based upon their 4 own admissions, we feel that we should be entitled now to raise this issue with the person getting struck with the balls. If they don't want us to do that, I would 7 be happy accepting a stipulation on the record, that Mr. -- in front of the jury, that Mr. Russell's statement that nobody has ever been injured during this 10 trick was not accurate and what he meant was nobody was being injured during the runaround of the trick. 12

But if we're not going to do that, then I 13 think we're entitled because that was a factually 14 incorrect statement that was proffered to the jury that they're now going to have in their head, Your Honor.

MR. RUSSELL: We don't need a stipulation on I have the transcript here, Your Honor. Page 139, line 10, this is what I said: estimated over 50,000 participants involved in this illusion, the only injury alleged from someone falling during the Thirteen Illusion was Mr. Cox."

THE COURT: All right.

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DEUTSCH: Well, except that I believe that person that got hurt, breaking her collarbone, fell during the catching-of-the-balls portion of his

1	totale subject in other constants
	trick, which is when you see the video
2	THE COURT: I think the focus is on the going
3	through the
4	MR. DEUTSCH: Okay. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: So, yeah, I think the stipulation
6	will stand. Okay? Anything else?
7	MR. DEUTSCH: Nothing else, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Ready for the jury?
9	MR. DEUTSCH: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring the jury in.
11	THE MARSHAL: All rise.
12	(The following proceedings were held in
13	the presence of the jury.)
14	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and
15	gentlemen. You may be seated. Everybody may be
16	seated.
17	Do counsel stipulate that the jury is now
18	present?
19	MR. MORELLI: So stipulated.
20	MR. POPOVICH: So stipulated.
21	MS. FRESCH: So stipulated.
22	THE COURT: All right. Plaintiffs may call
23	their first witness.
24	MR. MORELLI: May it please the Court,
25	Counsel. At this time, Your Honor, the plaintiff would

1	call Mr. Kenner to the stand, Chris Kenner.
2	Good morning, jurors.
3	IN UNISON: Good morning.
4	THE MARSHAL: Remain standing. Raise your
5	right hand and face the clerk, please.
6	THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear the
7	testimony you're about to give in this action shall be
8	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,
9	so help you God?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
11	THE CLERK: Please state your name and spell
12	it for the record, please.
13	THE WITNESS: Chris Kenner, C-h-r-is,
14	K-e-n-n-e-r.
15	THE CLERK: Thank you.
16	
17	MR. MORELLI: May I, Your Honor?
18	THE COURT: You may proceed.
19	MR. MORELLI: Thank you.
20	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. MORELLI:
23	Q. Good morning, Mr. Kenner.
24	A. Good morning.
25	Q. I'm Benedict Morelli. I represent the

1 plaintiffs in this case. You know that; right?

Α. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

21

22

23

- Okay. You were in the court, were you not, when opening statements were given?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. So that you know the parties and what the claims are in the case --
 - Α. Yes.
 - ٥. -- correct?

And did you listen carefully to the opening 11 statements so that you know exactly what the claims 12 that the plaintiff is making in this case and what the claims that the various defendants are making in this 14 | case?

- Α. Yes.
- ٥. Okay. Now, am I correct, sir, that -- and let's just talk about -- a little bit about your background. But before we do that, I want you to 18 l understand that I don't want you to answer any of my questions unless you totally understand what I'm saying. Fair enough?
 - Α. Fair enough.
- Q. It may not be your ears; it may be me. Okay? So if you don't understand a certain question, just 24 25 tell me and I will rephrase it. Fair enough?

- 1 A. Fair enough. Thank you.
- Q. So you if do answer a question, we know that you're understanding it and you are giving the answer to the question that I'm asking.
- 5 A. Correct.
 - Q. Fair enough?
- 7 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Now, is it is it correct, sir, that you yourself are a magician?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And we've heard in the court that magicians are entertainers. Do you agree with that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So that you are in fact an 15 entertainer?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And were you doing magic before you met Mr. Copperfield?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And when did you start doing magic?
- 21 A. I was probably 10 or 11 years old.
- Q. Okay. And how old were you when you met
- 23 Mr. Copperfield?
- A. 28. Something like that. 28.
- Q. That's how old you were?

1 Α. Yes. 2 0. And what year was that? 3 Α. 1990, I think. 4 Q. Okay. And what was his name, then? 5 Α. David Copperfield. 6 0. Okay. Did you know him before he changed his 7 name? 8 Α. No. 9 Q. Okay. How did it come about that you met 10 Mr. Copperfield? 11 A. We had a mutual friend. I was performing in a magic-themed restaurant with a small comedy club, and 13 I had published a few books and put some tricks on the market. And Mr. Copperfield was kind of fiddling with one of the tricks, I had heard through the grapevine 15 through my friend. And the show was coming through 17 Indianapolis. So my buddy said, "Hey, you should talk 18 to David; you should meet David." 19 So I get a call from one of David's people. 20 They say, "Hey, come to the show. Show David the 21 tricks that you have that, you know, these things." 22 And so I met him, showed him a few things, one of which he immediately said, "I want to do that 24 trick." So we made a deal. He did the trick.

then we became buddies instantly, like we were friends

- instantly. I think I have spoken to him on the phone every day since that day, like, literally.
 - **Q**. So at this time, you're buddies?
- Α. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

8

- Okay. And, as buddies, have you and he had Ο. conversations about this particular case?
- 7 Α. About this case?
 - Ο. About this case. This case that we're on.
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 Q. Okay. Have you had conversations with him 11 about it?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Okay. And when was the first time that you 14 remember having a conversation about this case with 15 him?
- I don't really have a great memory of the Α. 17 exact time when it would be. It would have been at 18 some point after lawyers got involved.
- 19 ٥. Okay. And so would that be -- from your 20 recollection, can you give us an idea? Was it a year 21 ago? two years ago? three years ago? four years ago? 22 right after it happened? What would you say?
- 23 Α. Probably 2014.
- 24 Okay. And when you -- so that would be at least months after the accident? 25

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Okay. If it was sometime in 2014. Correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. Because you know the date that this accident happened; right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. What was the date?
- 8 A. November 12th, 2013.
- 9 Q. Right. Okay. Now, did you have an 10 opportunity to prepare for my examination of you?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And you knew it was going to be me, didn't
- 13 | you?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. They told you?
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. And how long did you prepare for my examination of you?
- 19 A. Oh, two hours.
- Q. Two hours. What day was that? Was that 21 yesterday?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. How about the day before? You didn't do any preparation?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Okay. So who did you meet with to do this preparation?

 A. My attorneys.
 - Q. Who are your attorneys?
- A. Mr. Russell, Mr. Roberts. And then also Ms. Fresch was there, and Eric Freeman was there.
 - Q. Okay. Now, so all four of them were there with you; is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes.

- Q. And were they all talking to you, and were 11 you talking to them?
- A. Yeah, both. You know, I was asking questions; they were -- you know, a lot of me asking questions.
- Q. Okay. And who's -- let me ask you this:

 You -- you are the president of Backstage Employment
 and Referral; is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you don't work for any other company other than Backstage, do you?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. And Backstage Employment, do you know, is represented by two lawyers, Lee and Howard? You know that?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. So they're your lawyers?
- 2 Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- Right? But you met with the lawyers, one of Q. the lawyers, for -- well, actually two of the lawyers for Mr. Copperfield; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
 - And who does Mr. Freeman represent?
 - Α. Mr. Freeman represents, I think,
- 9 Mr. Copperfield.
- 10 Ο. Okay. Does he represent anybody else?
- 11 Α. Not that I know of.
- 12 Q. Okay. So, now, when you were having these 13 conversations with them, you recognized, did you not, 14 that your lawyers were there, but also the lawyers for 15 another defendant in this case were also preparing you;
- 16 is that correct?
- 17 Α. Yes.

questions?

- 18 Okay. So are they working together as one? Q.
- 19 I don't know how that -- I don't know how 20 that works, so I don't know. I'm not -- I'm not sure 21 how the attorneys work together, so ...
- 22 Q. Okay. So let me ask you this: Did you think 23 it was a little odd that lawyers for another defendant 24 in this case were also preparing your answers to my 25

1 MR. ROBERTS: Objection. Form. 2 MS. FRESCH: Join. 3 THE COURT: Overruled. 4 THE WITNESS: 5 BY MR. MORELLI: 6 Q. You need it read back? 7 Yes, please, just real quick. Α. 8 (Record read by the reporter.) 9 THE WITNESS: No. No. 10 BY MR. MORELLI: 11 Prior to yesterday, when you were preparing 0. for my questions, had you had any other preparation 13 over the years since you found out about this case in 14 2014? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And when was that? Let's take the most Q. 17 recent and then go to the least recent. Fair enough? 18 So when was the time before yesterday? 19 That I met with them to discuss -- what am I 20 discussing with them, the testimony or just the case? 21 Anything about the case. Anything about the Q. 22 case. 23 A. I just want to make sure I can answer this 24 correctly, so I'm thinking. 25 I understand. Q. Sure.

1 A. You want from the most recent? 2 Most recent was yesterday. Ο. 3 Α. Prior to the case? 4 Ο. Prior to yesterday. 5 A. Prior to the case, I couldn't tell you the 6 exact day. 7 0. Just --8 Α. Within the past two weeks. 9 Let me -- let me be clear. Any conversations 10 l that have anything to do with this case. You were preparing yesterday for my questions. 12 Prior to yesterday, when was the time that 13 you met with any of the attorneys about this case? 14 Approximately two weeks ago. It was a 15 similar -- similar people -- the same people. 16 Ο. Okay. It was the same people? 17 Α. Yeah. 18 Q. Okay. 19 And it was just basically kind of a reminder, Α. everything that was going on and everything in the 20 21 case. 22 Ο. Mr. Kenner, I'm over here. I'm asking you 23 questions. Okay? 24 It was two weeks ago? 25 MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, I object. The

1 witness is allowed to answer to the jury. They're the finders of fact. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. 4 THE WITNESS: So what does that mean? 5 look at him or not look at him? BY MR. MORELLI: 7 Before two weeks ago, when was it? Ο. 8 Α. I wouldn't know the date, but it was prior to 9 my deposition. 10 0. Okay. Who was there at that time? 11 Α. It would have been Mr. -- Mrs. Fresch, Mr. Freeman, Mr. Russell. I think that was it. 13 Okay. So, as far as you know, during the entire time, from the time you took your deposition 15 until yesterday, the same lawyers have been talking to you and preparing you; is that correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Ο. Okay. So why don't you tell us what you 19 spoke about yesterday with these lawyers. 20 MS. FRESCH: Objection. 21 MR. ROBERTS: Objection, Your Honor. 22 MS. FRESCH: Attorney-client privilege. 23 MR. DEUTSCH: May we approach, Your Honor? 24 THE COURT: Sustained. The objection is 25 sustained.

1	MR. MORELLI: Your Honor
2	MR. DEUTSCH: May we approach?
3	THE COURT: Sure.
4	(A discussion was held at the bench,
5	not reported.)
6	THE COURT: Objection is sustained.
7	THE WITNESS: May I ask a silly question?
8	MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, I'll ask another
9	question.
10	THE COURT: Hold on just a second here. The
11	witness wants to ask a question, he just indicated, to
12	me.
13	So would you approach, Counsel?
14	MR. MORELLI: Sure.
15	(A discussion was held at the bench,
16	not reported.)
17	MR. MORELLI: I can't get it any more clear
18	than that.
19	BY MR. MORELLI:
20	Q. Okay. Okay. So we understand. Now we both
21	have glasses on.
22	All right. So, Mr. Kenner, let's talk a
23	little bit about
24	THE COURT: Now, I wanted the record to
25	reflect that my sustaining the objection was based upon

the common interest doctrine. Okay? 2 MR. MORELLI: Sure. Thank you, Your Honor. 3 BY MR. MORELLI: 4 Now, Mr. Kenner, when -- when your deposition 5 was taken, it was a number of years ago --6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. -- correct? And, at that time, you were called upon to be the corporate representative of 9 Backstage Employment and Referral? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. Correct. Let's just talk about that first. 12 What is your position at Backstage and 13 Referral now? You're the president; correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Any other titles that you have? Q. 16 Α. From Backstage? 17 Q. Yeah. 18 I'm executive producer of the show. I'm not 19 sure if that title would be official Backstage title or 20 it's the show. It depends on how you look at that. 21 But certainly the president would be on the paperwork; 22 it wouldn't say anything else. 23 Q. Okay. And that was true back when you had your deposition. You were the president at that time

25

too?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

6

8

9

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. How long have you been the president of Backstage Employment and Referral?
- A. 2002. It's a long time ago, so it's, like, 5 2002, 2003, somewhere in there.
 - Q. Right. So 15, 16 years, something like that?
- 7 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Now, was Backstage Employment and Referral an entity before you were the president?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. So there was a president prior to you?
- 12 A. Yes.

Referral?

- Q. Okay. And how did it come about that you became the president of Backstage Employment and
- A. We started performing less. Originally, with Backstage, it was a payroll situation so that we could consolidate multiple companies being paid by different people. So it made it under one umbrella.
 - And then we stopped doing as much touring, so it became more obvious that this that wasn't really needed as much, all this, so I just took it over because I was with the show, at the show, all the time as opposed to another person from another state being president.

- Q. Okay. Now, when you say -- when you say 2 "we," who's the we?
- A. When I say "we" -- what was my statement?

 Could you read back?
- Q. He's asking you to read it back.
- A. I'm sorry. I just want to be as accurate as 7 I can.

8 (Record read by the reporter.)

9 THE WITNESS: "We," I mean the show — the
10 show — David Copperfield show stopped touring as much
11 as we were, you know, prior to that.

- 12 BY MR. MORELLI:
- Q. So the "we" is a number of people; it's not just you and David Copperfield?
- 15 A. Right. It's the show, the entire entity of 16 the show.
- Q. It's the people who are employed also; correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, the -- Backstage Employment, back in 2013, how many employees did you have?
- A. 32, I think. It always fluctuates between 30 and 33 people.
- Q. Okay. But back in 2013 around the time of this incident, it was around 32 people?

1 A. Yes.

2

5

7

- Q. Now, at the time of your deposition, you also were asked or called upon as the person most informed, let's say, as the corporate representative of David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc.; correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. And when you were offered as that witness, as the person most informed about David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., was that a decision that you made personally?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Was that a decision that David
 Copperfield made?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Now, David Copperfield Disappearing,
 Inc., being the person who is the most familiar with
 the corporate structure of that, how many employees
 does that company have?
- 19 A. One.
- 20 Q. And who is that employee?
- 21 A. David Copperfield.
- Q. Okay. And are you an employee of that company?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you -- are you intimately familiar

with the books of that company? 1 2 The books? Α. 3 The books. You know, profit, loss, books, Q. 4 accounting? 5 Α. Well --6 Q. That's a yes or no --7 Α. No. 8 -- if you're familiar or not. 0. 9 Α. No. 10 Okay. Now, you were hesitating. Q. 11 because you know a little bit about the books? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. And how do you know a little bit about 14 the books? You only look a little bit? 15 Α. Well, when you say "books" --16 I'm talking about the records, the financial Q. 17 statements --18 MR. ROBERTS: Objection. Relevance. 19 l BY MR. MORELLI: 20 -- of the company. Q. 21 THE COURT: What's the relevance, Counsel? 22 MR. MORELLI: Do you want me to state that in 23 open court on the record? 24 THE COURT: No, I would like you to approach 25 the bench.

1 (A discussion was held at the bench, 2 not reported.) 3 THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule objections subject to our discussion --4 5 MR. MORELLI: All right. Thank you, Your 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: -- at the bench. 8 MR. MORELLI: May I have my last question 9 read back. 10 (Record read by the reporter.) 11 BY MR. MORELLI: 12 Q. Now, I don't want you to -- I'm not asking 13 you a question about what is in the records or books, please understand, Mr. Kenner. Okay? I'm just asking 14 15 you just that question -- general question. Okay? 16 Α. So no. 17 Okay. No, you don't look? 18 Α. No, I don't look. 19 Okay. So the one thing that you do know is Q. 20 the relationship between the two corporations; correct? 21 Α. ' Yes. 22 Okay. And there is a relationship between Q. 23 David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., and Backstage Employment. Is that true? 24 25 Α. Yes.

1 And we heard in opening statements that Q. there's a contract between the two companies. Did you know that? Did you know that there was --3 | 4 A written contract? 5 I just said that -- what we heard was that there's a contract between the two companies. 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. 9 Is that a yes or no? Is there a contract? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Now, is there a written contract? 12 Α. No. 13 Well, you hesitated. Ο. Was there a written 14 contract at one time? 15 Α. I'm not the owner of the company, so I really don't -- you're asking a question I may not know the 17 answer to. 18 Okay. Now, Mr. Kenner, let me just go back 19 for one second, and it was one of the reasons why I was 20 asking you this question to begin with. 21 You were called upon to be the corporate representative of David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc. 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Ο. Okay?

And you were also called upon as the person

most knowledgeable to answer questions for Backstage Employment and Referral. You understand that --2 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. -- correct? 5 Okay. So as the person most knowledgeable, I'm asking you whether there was ever a written 7 contract between David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., and Backstage Employment, as the person most 9 knowledgeable. 10 MR. ROBERTS: Objection to form, Your Honor. 11 There is no PMK at trial. 12 MS. FRESCH: Join. 13 THE COURT: There's no what? 14 There's no person most MR. ROBERTS: 15 knowledgeable at trial. It's a discovery mechanism. 16 l There only is a witness on the stand: Mr. Kenner. 17 THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule it. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. State -- say the 19 question again. I -- I get confused when this all 20 happens. I'm so sorry. 21 (Record read by the reporter.) 22 BY MR. MORELLI: 23 And I'm talking about in writing now. the only question that I have, because you hesitated 25 and I just wanted to know whether or not at one time

```
1 there was a written contract.
 2
        A.
              Yes.
 3
              Okay. And when was that?
 4
        Α.
              It would have been when it was initially
 5
   started.
 6
        Q.
             When what was initially started?
 7
        Α.
             Backstage.
 8
        Q.
             Okay. And that was when?
 9
        Α.
              I think 1998.
10
        0.
             Okay.
11
        A.
             I was not part of it.
12
        Ο.
             Okay. You came in '02 or '03?
13
        A.
             Yes.
14
        Q.
             Correct?
15
             Okay. So four to five years before that,
16 there was a written contract?
17
        A.
             Yes.
18
             Okay. Now, did you have occasion, when you
19
   came aboard, to see that written contract?
20
        Α.
             No.
21
             How did you know there was one before you
22
   came aboard?
23
        Α.
             I was told there was.
24
           Okay. Who told you that?
        Q.
25
        Α.
             Lori Fry.
```

1 Q. Who? 2 Α. Lori Fry. 3 Q. Who's that? 4 Α. The former president of Backstage. 5 Q. And that was just bringing you up to date? 6 Α. Sure. Just information to know, you know. 7 Q. Okay. And is there a contract between David 8 Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., and Backstage 9 Employment -- in 2013, was there a contract? 10 Α. Verbal. 11 Q. Okay. And what about now? 12 Α. Verbal. 13 Okay. And back when you were the president in '02 or '03, whatever time that was, did you decide 15 what that verbal contract was going to be? 16 Α. No. 17 0. Who decided? 18 Α. The owner. 19 Okay. Who's that? 0. 20 Α. Ted Blumberg. 21 Q. Okay. And so is -- is Ted Blumberg more 22 knowledgeable than you are about some of the questions 23 I'm asking you today? 24 Α. No.

Okay. Did you think it was important for you

25

Q.

to see the written contract that was in existence before you became the president so that you could mirror it, even if it was just going to be oral? 4 Α. No. 5 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Relevance. 6 THE COURT: Sustained. 7 MR. POPOVICH: If there was an answer, Your 8 Honor, I'd move that it be stricken. 9 THE COURT: So ordered. 10 MR. POPOVICH: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: The jury will disregard. 12 BY MR. MORELLI: 13 Mr. Kenner, what is that oral contract? 14 you tell us what it is? 15 Α. It's basically just stating that we are an -a payroll company. That's the sole purpose of it, is 17 to keep all of the payroll consistent to having 18 l multiple entities of payroll. That's really all it is. 19 And that's what Backstage Employment is? 0. 20 Α. Correct. 21 0. Okay. And what's the contract between David 22 Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., and Backstage? What's 23 that oral agreement? 24 That they would -- that they would supply

employees to David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc.

- Q. Okay. So now David Copperfield Disappearing,
- 2 Inc., doesn't have any employees, but Backstage has 32
- 3 employees?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. And all of those employees answer to
- 6 Mr. Copperfield?
- 7 A. They answer to me and Mr. Copperfield.
- 8 Their -- their manager -- if they're an employee,
- 9 they'd obviously answer to their manager or their
- 10 | supervisor.
- 11 Q. Okay. So now let's assume for a moment that
- 12 one of the employees of Backstage Employment doesn't do
- 13 the right thing. Can you fire them?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 0. You can?
- 16 A. Uh-huh
- 17 Q. Okay. Can Mr. Copperfield fire them?
- 18 A. He has to go through me; but, yes, he can --
- 19 he can suggest it. And if -- if I see that -- I agree
- 20 with him, I would fire them.
- Q. Okay. So, at the end of the day, with
- 22 reference to that issue, you have more authority than
- 23 David Copperfield has. Is that true?
- A. On the hiring on the firing of people?
- Q. Yeah. On the firing of people, he has to go

- 1 through you, but you don't have to go through him. Is
 2 that a correct statement?
 - A. I would let him know if I was going to fire someone.
 - Q. Okay.

4

5

6

7

9

10

- A. So it would be a group effort, talking. It's the show, so I can't just randomly disrupt the entire show by letting someone go.
- Q. I know, but I'm -- I'm -- I'm just talking about authority now, Mr. Kenner. You understand what I mean by authority; right?
- 12 A. Yeah. Yes, yes.
- Q. I'm talking about authority. I'm not talking about what, day to day, happens with you and Mr. Copperfield because you're buddies. Correct?
- 16 Authority.
- He has to come to you to fire somebody, but you don't have to go to him. Is that a correct statement? It's just a yes or no.
- 20 A. No. I would ask him if I were to fire 21 someone.
- Q. I just want an answer to my question. Okay?

 And my question is authority. It's not what

 you would do; it's what you must do. Do you need his

 authority to fire people that may have done the wrong

- 1 thing?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. You don't need his authority?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Does he need yours?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So he doesn't have as much authority over those employees that work on his show as you do.
- 9 You have more authority than he has over the employees
- 10 | who work on Mr. Copperfield's show; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Does he know that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. So, now, are there certain
- 15 protocols -- protocols, you know what that means?
- 16 A. Yeah, I know what that means.
- 17 Q. Okay. Are there certain protocols that
- 18 Backstage Employment follows? Yes or no.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And are those protocols put in place
- 21 for safety?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Are there protocols that David
- 24 Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., follows?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And are those protocols put in place for 2 safety?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do those protocols mirror each other?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. So they're the same?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And who decided on those protocols?
- 9 A. Well, it's a group effort.
- 10 Q. Okay. But is the group you and
- 11 Mr. Copperfield?
- 12 A. It would be -- in this particular case, it's
- 13 Mr. Copperfield, myself, the MGM Grand, the MGM Grand's
- 14 stage management, their security, their -- their --
- 15 it's not just us at a venue. We have to also live up
- 16 to the rules of the place that we're in.
- Q. Okay. So the -- you know we're going to be
- 18 talking about the Thirteen Illusion at some point?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the Thirteen Illusion, when that
- 21 was developed, you were a part of developing that;
- 22 | correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Along with Mr. Copperfield?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Along with Mr. Harmas?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. Okay. So that's not -- he wasn't involved in that?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. Okay. When you were developing this Thirteen
 7 Illusion, it was before the MGM Grand?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So were there protocols in place 10 before the illusion was done at the MGM Grand?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And did those protocols change in any way
 when you got to the MGM Grand? Yes or no.
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Okay. So it was the same protocols that you had in the last venue as -- as you had in the MGM
 Grand?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Same exact protocols?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. No change?
- 22 A. No change.
- Q. Okay. Now, so actually, the protocols for the Thirteen Illusion were not decided by the MGM Grand employees; they were decided by you and

- 1 Mr. Copperfield. Correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. So it was you, Mr. Copperfield, and who else who decided the protocols?
- A. The other person that was involved in the creation was a gentleman named Homer Liwag.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- A. But I don't know how much he was involved in that particular aspect of it.
- 10 Q. You're talking about the protocols?
- 11 A. Right.
- Q. Okay. He was involved in creating the illusion with you guys?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So the protocols were -- so that I understand correctly -- were really developed by you and Mr. Copperfield?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And when you went to the MGM Grand, it was the same protocols that you followed; correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, am I correct, sir, that the
 Thirteen Illusion has certain aspects to it, which is
 disappearing, reappearing?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Now, is that always the case?
- A. No.

- Q. Okay. So sometimes people disappear and they don't reappear?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And what percentage of times would you say people don't reappear?
- A. It's not a percentage thing. Because we used to perform the illusion when we first started it, we were trying to come up with different ideas to make it the best effect. So one of the ideas was, vanish the people and don't bring them back. So leave the mystery to, when the audience leaves, "Oh, my God, where they did go? Holy cow, where did they leave? Where did they go?" So that was the original thought.

So during that first, possibly, year — this is why percentage is a difficult question to say.

During that first year, we would try it in different venues, in different theaters, different ways. We would not have them disappear, and then we would play an announcement after the show, "Ladies and gentlemen, your friends, if they vanished, have gone off on tour with us and they've run away." So it was just a funny.

- Q. Which was done more?
- A. They reappeared is the most.

- Q. So the most. So reappeared would be more
- 2 than 50 percent?

- A. Oh, yes.
- 4 Q. Right?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So when the illusion was done, prior to the MGM Grand, there obviously was still a runaround; is that correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And when did the illusion start at the 11 MGM Grand? What year?
- 12 A. 2000.
- Q. Okay. And the theater that it's done in today, is that the same theater that it was done in in 2013?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And when the illusion that was done in
- 18 2013 -- is that the same theater that it was done in
- 19 when you started at the MGM Grand?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. In 2000?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Was there -- was the -- was the
- 24 theater ever reconstructed at all?
- 25 A. The interior was changed -- carpet, color of

the walls --

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

23

- Q. Yeah. I'm just -- I'm talking about more than cosmetic.
 - A. No. No. I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you went to the MGM Grand, you and Mr. Copperfield, back in 2000, you were making a deal and telling them about the illusion; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And did they say to you that they needed to have some input about the route that the illusion was going to take? Or was the illusion a secret to MGM Grand people also?
- 14 A. Can you restate the question, just --
- 15 Q. Sure.
- 16 A. -- just so I --
- Q. Sure. We've heard a lot about keeping the illusion secret. And so what my question is is, when you started doing the illusion at the MGM Grand, were you able to tell the employees of the MGM Grand, the people you were meeting with, what the illusion was going to entail?
 - A. Okay. So are you asking if I did this prior to us going to the MGM or once we had started performing?

- 1 Q. You could ask -- answer both questions. 2 about prior to? Did you tell them? 3 A. No. Ο. Okay. 5 A. We did not discuss anything about the 6 illusions prior. 7 0. So, now, there was a time when you made a contract with the MGM Grand; correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And when I say "you," that was David Q. Copperfield Disappearing, Inc.? 12 A. Correct. 13 Okay. And at that time when you made the 14 contract, did you have to tell the MGM Grand people, 15| whoever you were meeting with, exactly how the illusion 16 was done? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Okay. So that there was nothing about the stage being lifted? Nothing about that. Right? 19 20 A. No. 21 Q. Okay. Nothing about balls being thrown into the audience? 22
 - Q. Okay. Nothing about people running out from
- 25 the stage on a route?

No.

A.

23

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

- Q. So that -- there did come a time, did there not, when you decided what this route was going to be?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Right? This route? Because it wasn't the same route as before the MGM Grand because it's a different place; correct?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So, now, the route itself has the 10 route itself been altered at all from the time you 11 started in this particular theater?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Okay. So when you were sitting down to decide this route, you decided it with just
- 15 Mr. Copperfield; is that correct?
- 16 A. Say -- excuse me? Say it again.
- Q. When you were deciding the route that the participants were going to take during the illusion, did you decide that only with Mr. Copperfield, just the two of you?
- 21 A. No.

- Q. Okay. Who else was in the route creation?
- A. Okay. That's when we were -- this is like being on the road. It's an explanation.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. It's not just a yes or no or a simple answer.

 O. Okav. But it wasn't a yes-or-no question.
 - Q. Okay. But it wasn't a yes-or-no question, actually.
 - A. It was?
- 5 Q. It was here's the question.
- 6 A. Okay.

4

- Q. Who else, other than the two of you, was involved in deciding the route? That's not yes or no.
- 9 A. Could you read back his first question just 10 for me?
- (Record read by the reporter.)
- 12 THE WITNESS: The stage manager.
- 13 BY MR. MORELLI:
- Q. Okay. And who was that?
- 15 A. At the time, Ben Buttoner.
- Q. Okay. So it was the three of you that decided?
- 18 A. He decided.
- 19 Q. He decided the route?
- A. The initial discovery of the route would have been decided by him.
- Q. Okay. So after he initially decided or discovered, as you said, the route, he then came to you and Mr. Copperfield at the same time?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And the three of you discussed it?
- A. Probably me first. This is 2000, so I'm not going to be able to say he came to us on April 3rd.
 - Q. I understand that. That's not a problem.
- A. Yeah. He would have come to me, and then we would have shown it to David.
- Q. Okay. Now, the one thing I didn't ask you is, as executive producer, what were your duties in 2013? Just what your duties were then. And I don't know.
- Have they changed at all between then and now?
- 13 A. No.

2

4

5

6

7

9

- Q. So, as executive producer, what were your to duties?
- A. I'm basically -- it's a long answer, I guess.
- 17 But I'm basically their boss, the employees' bosses. I
- 18 kind of -- we have, obviously, management set up so
- 19 that the management can, you know, run the -- run
- 20 things the way you would run a theater, a stage show,
- 21 from a company manager to --
- Q. I just want to know what your duties are.
- A. Well, my duties are to make sure they're all doing their duties to -- I also deal with advertising,
- 25 the tickets, the ticket sales, the box office.

1 Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- A. Any decisions that get made with the show in general usually all filter through me.
 - Q. So basically the boss of the show?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Kenner, you stated that the route was determined by you, Mr. Copperfield, and who else?
 - A. Ben Buttoner.
- Q. Okay. And I'm just going to refer you to your deposition testimony if I could.
- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. And this is January 26th, 2016. And you and I have already --
- MR. ROBERTS: Objection, Your Honor.
- 16 Improper impeachment.
- MR. MORELLI: And you and I have already --
- THE COURT: Hold on a second. I need to rule on the objection.
- 20 MR. MORELLI: I haven't said anything yet.
- MR. ROBERTS: I believe he was about to read
- 22 from his deposition, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: He's a representative of a party.
- 24 Deposition can be used for any purpose, not just
- 25 impeachment. Overruled.

1 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. MORELLI: 2 BY MR. MORELLI: 3 Q. Now, Mr. Kenner, you and I have discussed 4 already that you were deposed. 5 A. Correct. Q. You had a deposition. And this deposition, it was back on January 26th, 2016. And you were asked this question, and I'm just asking you whether or not you remember being asked this question and giving this 10 answer. Okay? 11 A. Okay. 12 Q. 13 "OUESTION: So it would have been David 14 Copperfield that set up the pathway at the 15 subject theater to determine the best and 16 safest path to get the participants from the 17 stage to the back of the theater." 18 "WITNESS" -- that's you -- "Yes." 19 Is that a correct statement? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Or is it the statement that you made today? Q. Just which one? 22 23 It's the same statement. The same answer, 24 yes. 25 Q. Okay. So the -- so the -- so it was --

1 MR. POPOVICH: Your Honor, can we have page 2 and line number for counsel so we can follow? 3 THE WITNESS: It's also an explanation. It's 4 not just an answer. 5 THE COURT: Hold on. Let's get the page. 6 MR. MORELLI: It's page 28, lines 6 through 12. 7 8 MR. POPOVICH: Thank you. 9 BY MR. MORELLI: 10 Q. So that is an incorrect statement that it was 11 David Copperfield alone, because that's what you said 12 here? 13 Yes, I guess it's an incorrect statement. 14 Q. Okay. Now, I want to ask you whether or not 15 you and Mr. Copperfield determined together what you're going to do with reference to the participants that are 17 selected from the audience. Do the two of you decide 18 that together, or does he decide it alone? 19 Α. During the show? 20 Ο. Prior to the show. Because you have to know 21 what you're going to do in the show. So I'm talking 22 about the balls going into the audience. Right? 23 A. Yep.

People come up, and then certain things are

24

25

done; correct?

1 A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

- Q. It's called screening.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Screening. And did you determine that screening or did Mr. Copperfield? Was it a joint effort or was there somebody else involved?
- A. It's -- everything in our company and in our show is a joint effort.
- Q. Okay. But I'm talking about the screening itself. Who decided what the screening should be?

 Mr. Copperfield, you, or the two of you?
- A. Again, it was a joint effort of the entire company, of the people involved in doing this.
- 14 Q. Okay. So ---
- 15 A. It's best to get information from the people
 16 that are helping, the people that are doing it. You
 17 get all this information together, then you can make a
 18 decision.
- 19 Q. So you --
- A. So it's not like he just went out and said that's what we're going to do, that's it, that's it.

 Done.
- Q. Do you have meetings about this? Did you have meetings? Meetings?
- A. We have meetings all the time, yes.

- Q. Did you have meetings about setting up the screening?
- A. This is -- I don't recall. This is 20 years ago.
 - Q. Okay. So you don't have any meetings on an ongoing basis about the screening; it just stays the same. Is that correct?
- 8 A. Uh --

7

15

17

- 9 Q. Mr. Kenner, does it say the same? That's my 10 only question, really. Does it stay --
- 11 A. I don't know that.
- Q. Okay. So you don't know whether or not the screening process, back 15, 20 years ago, is the same as it is in 2013 or now?
 - A. Correct. I'm not 100 percent sure if it's exactly the same or different because we would obviously as things change and evolve, we evolve with it. Show trick evolves; everything evolves.
- Q. Let's take that statement. "Evolves" means change; correct? Is that what you mean by evolve?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So, now, if in fact the screening process was set back in 2000, right, 2000,
- 24 | approximately?
- 25 A. 1998.

- 1 Q. 1998. And it's evolved. It's changed. it either has changed or it didn't. And all I want to 3 know is if it's changed or it didn't. That's my only 4 question. 5 Α. I do not know. 6 Q. Okay. So if you don't know, then you also do not know if it's evolved; correct? I mean, Mr. Kenner, 8 listen. The only way we can get to understand each other is if we use the same terms. You said that 10 evolved means changed. Correct? 11 Α. Correct. 12 Okay. Now, you are also saying that the 13 protocol or the screening process hasn't changed for 20 14 years. Has it or hasn't it changed? That's all I want 15 to know. 16 THE WITNESS: Did I say that? Did I say it 17 had not changed? I'm just curious. Did I say that? 18 Because he just said I said it. 19 THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want it read 20 back? 21 MR. MORELLI: I'm going to ask you a new 22 question so that we understand each other. Has it
- 24 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

25 /////

changed?

1 BY MR. MORELLI:

2

5

- Q. Okay. So then, if you don't know, you also don't know if it's evolved. Because if it hasn't changed and evolved means change, you don't know if it's changed, then it hasn't evolved because you don't know that either?
- A. Correct. That's what I said, I didn't know.
 It could have evolved.
- 9 Q. Okay. It could have. Now, Mr. Kenner,
 10 please understand that I don't mean to be disrespectful
 11 to you.
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Please understand that.
- 14 I'm from New York.
- 15 A. Really?
- Q. Yeah. And I know you are shocked about that.
- 17 So I'm just trying to get certain answers. Fair
- 18 enough?
- 19 A. (Inaudible response.)
- Q. So the -- do you agree with me that if, in fact, people are selected from the audience, okay, that it's important to you, as the executive producer, as the boss, to protect them?
- 24 A. Oh, yes.
- Q. Okay. And when you're thinking about

protecting them, that's why you and Mr. Copperfield have put in place a screening process? Correct?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

- Q. And part of that screening process is visually looking at the people as they come up to the stage.
- 7 A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. Are you there then?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. Okay. So you're not doing the visual screening?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Or any of it, for that matter?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. You're just -- you were just involved in deciding what kind of screening it should have been?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And, by the way, when -- when the screening is going on -- and we're going to talk about that, and I'm going to show you a video and we'll discuss it a little -- where are you? I mean, are you even on the premises that night?
- A. For the most part. I'm almost there for every show.
 - Q. Okay. But not every show?

- A. No, not every show.
- Q. Okay. So on November 12th, 2013 -- I don't want to put words in your mouth -- do you know whether you were there that night?
 - A. I was on the premises, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And that night, November 12th, 2013, other than Mr. Cox being injured, was basically the same show that's been on before?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Right?

5

6

7

9

10

- And the screening process, as far as you
 know, was basically the same as it had been, let's say,
 that week?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so one of the things that is
 done -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- that people
 catch a ball. And we'll get into more detail in a
 little while. But just for the purpose of these
 questions, certain people catch a ball and music is
 going on at the time. Correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And when the music stops, whoever has the ball can be a participant?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. Basically can be.

1 A. Right.

2

- Q. Right?
- And they come up to the stage. And am I

 correct that, as they come up to the stage, there's a

 few steps to get up to the stage?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And they're carrying the ball and they're walking up the steps?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. And at that time there are Backstage employees?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Your employees ---
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. -- who are there?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Approximately or if you know specifically, how many employees are there looking at the potential participants walk up the stage?
- 20 A. I think it's three to four. I don't know the
- 21 exact number.
- Q. Okay. And are they -- are they positioned
- 23 all in the same place, the three or four, or are they
- 24 on both sides of the stage, or a different
- 25 configuration?

- A. They're on one side of the stage, because everyone that catches the ball is directed to go directly to that side of the stage.
 - Q. To walk up those stairs?
- A. Correct. So there's no confusion. You know, we just try to make it as simple as possible so there's no confusion. They just go to one side, and everybody just walks up that way.
- 9 Q. I gotcha. Okay. So the three -- or do you 10 know, on November 12th, 2013, whether it was three or 11 four employees?
- 12 A. No, I do not.

2

3

4

5

- Q. Okay. And so there they're watching. And they're watching to determine whether or not a person can be selected?
- 16 A. Yeah. They're assessing them to see.
- 17 Q. Whether they could be selected?
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And part of the assessment is just looking at them and determining whether they're physically fit. Is that true?
 - A. Yes.

22

Q. Okay. And in your opinion, Mr. Kenner — now
I know you don't do this. I know you're not involved
in the assessment. But in your opinion, do you think

that somebody can eyeball somebody and know if they're physically fit? Just yes or no. Do you think that's possible?

A. Yes.

2 |

3

4

5

7

8

9

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. So if you look at me, you could say, "Yeah, Morelli's physically fit or he's not"? Right? You could just make a determination as to whether or not I am?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. And does that include I mean, when we when you say "physically fit," does that include whether or not I have cardiovascular disease? Or is that just, hey, the guy looks okay? He looks slim.

 What do you think? Because you're eyeballing and this is a withdrawn. Let's not confuse the issue.
 - Is this not an important determination that you're making?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So as an important determination, people are walking up steps. How many steps they walking up?
- A. Four, I think. I'm not 100 percent sure the steps on the stage. I think it's four.
 - Q. Okay. And am I correct that I have learned that during this walking up the steps is when these

stagehands -- because that's what they are; right? Stagehands?

- Α. Yeah.
- They're stagehands. And these stagehands are making a determination. They're looking, right, as 6 they're walking up the stairs. And they're saying, oh, he's physically fit, she's not physically fit, she's physically fit, he's not physically fit, basically. Fair enough?
- 10 Α. No.

1

2

3

4

7

9

16

17

18

- 11 Q. Okay. The stagehands aren't deciding if 12 anybody's physically fit?
- 13 Α. They're not doing anything to do with the 14 stairs. They've made their decision prior to them 15 walking up the stairs.
 - Q. Oh, okay. All right. So if, in fact, they're walking up the stairs and they're not physically fit, the determination was made before they walked up the stairs?
- 20 Α. Correct.
- 21 0. So now they catch a ball, and they're all the 22 way in the back, and they're walking up and -- they got the ball and they're walking up, and they get near the 23 24 Is that where you decide whether they're 25 physically fit? Yes or no.