IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NEVADA

Supreme Court No. 76422
Electronically Filed Jun 13 2019 01:45 p.m.
) District Court No. Exizable 605 164B Cown) Clerk of Supreme Court
)
)
)
)
)
)
;)
)
)
)
)
)

JOINT APPENDIX - VOLUME 11

BRIAN K. HARRIS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 7737

HEATHER E. HARRIS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 7666

CHRISTIAN N. GRIFFIN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 10601

HARRIS & HARRIS

2029 Alta Drive

Las Vegas, NV 89106

Telephone: 702.880.4529 Facsimile: 702.880.4528

Bharris@harrislawyers.net

MORELLI LAW FIRM, PLLC

777 Third Avenue, 31st Floor New York, New York 10017 212.751.9800 - Telephone Attorneys for Appellants

ALPHABETICAL JOINT APPENDIX INDEX

TITLE	DATE	FILER / PREPARER	PAGE NO.	VOLUME NO.
01.19.17 Transcript of Proceedings - Motions	01.19.17	Martha Szramek, Court Recorder	JA 000239 - JA 000346	2
09.18.17 Transcript of Proceedings - Plaintiffs' Omnibus Motion in Limine; Defendants' Motion in Limine; Team Construction Management, Inc., and Beacher's LV LLC's Joinder to Fourth Supplement to Defendant Backstage Employment & Referral, Inc.'s Designation of Expert Witnesses & Documents	09.18.17	Jennifer Gerold, Court Recorder	JA 000352 - JA 000390	2
03.29.18 - Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pretrial Conference	03.29.18	Jennifer Gerald, Court Recorder	JA 000391 - JA 000424	2
04.03.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.03.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 000425 - JA 000568	2-3
04.11.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.11.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 000574 - JA 000714	3
04.13.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.13.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 000715 - JA 000892	3-4
04.17.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.17.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 000893 - JA 001167	4-5
04.18.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.18.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 001168 - JA 001415	5-6
04.24.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.24.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 001416 - JA 001585	6-7
04.25.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.25.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 001933 - JA 002269	9-10
04.26.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.26.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 002270 - JA 002514	10-11

04.27.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.27.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 002515 - JA 002904	11-13
04.30.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	04.30.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 002905 - JA 003016	13
05.01-18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.01.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 003017 - JA 003282	13-14
05.02.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.02.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 003283 - JA 003596	14-16
05.03.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.03.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 003597 - JA 003846	16-17
05.04.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.04.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 003847 - JA 004002	17
05.08.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.08.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 004071 - JA 004402	18-19
05.09.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.09.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 004435 - JA 004720	19-20
05.10.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.10.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 004723 - JA 004988	20-21
05.11.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.11.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005005 - JA 005157	21-22
05.22.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.22.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005158 - JA 005232	22
05.23.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.23.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005233 - JA 005401	22-23
05.24.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.24.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005440 - JA 005613	23-24
05.25.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.25.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005614 - JA 005806	24-25
05.29.18 - Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial	05.29.18	Kristy L. Clark, RPR	JA 005807 - JA 005919	25

08.23.18 - Recorder's Transcript of Hearing re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment As A Matter of Law or, In The Alternative, for New Trial	08.23.18	Jennifer Gerold, Court Recorder	JA 006497 - JA006552	28
Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Brief Regarding New and Previously Undisclosed Witnesses	04.25.18	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 001874 - JA 001932	8-9
Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Motion to Bifurcate Trial (Filed Under Seal)	12.16.16	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 000151 - JA 000158	1
Backstage Employment & Referral, Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or, Alternatively for a New Trial	08.10.18	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 006353 - JA 006381	27
Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Certification of Judgment on Order Shortening Time	04.08.19	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 006614 - JA 006616	28
Backstage Employment & Referral, Inc.'s Reply in Support of Motion to Bifurcate Trial	01.11.17	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 000177 - JA 000234	1
Beacher's LV, LLC's Answer to MGM Grand Hotel's Third Party Complaint	04.05.16	Morris Sullivan Lemkul & Pitegoff	JA 000078 - JA 000092	1
Beacher's LV, LLC's Amended Answer to MGM Grand Hotel's Third-Party Complaint; Counterclaim by Beacher's LV, LLC; Third Party Complaint by Beacher's LV, LLC	10.07.16	Morris Sullivan Lemkul & Pitegoff	JA 000128 - JA 000150	1
Beacher's Motion for Leave to File an Amended Answer to Third Party Plaintiff MGM Grand's Complaint; Counterclaim by Beacher's LV, LLC; Third Party Complaint by Beacher's LV, LLC	07.29.16	Morris Sullivan Lemkul & Pitegoff	JA 000093 - JA 000127	1
Case Appeal Statement	07.11.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006271 - JA 006294	27

Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial	08.06.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 00001 - JA 00011	1
Court Minute Order Regarding Motion for Certification	04.25.19	Judge Mark Denton	JA 006623	28
Court Minutes - Defendant Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Motion to Bifurcate Trial	02.02.17	Judge Mark Denton	JA 000347	2
David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield aka David Kotkin and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint	10.27.14	Selman Breitman	JA 000029 - JA 000038	1
David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Brief Regarding Undisclosed Witnesses	04.25.18	Selman Breitman	JA 001835 - JA 001873	8
David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield aka David Kotkin and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Amended Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint and Cross Claim Against Team Construction Management, Inc.	02.01.16	Selman Breitman	JA 000060 - JA 000071	1
David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield aka David S. Kotkin, and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Joinder to Co-Defendants' Motions in Limine and Motion to Bifurcate Trial	12.28.16	Selman Breitman	JA 000159 - JA 000161	1
David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Certification of Judgment on Order Shortening Time	04.10.19	Selman Breitman	JA 006617 - JA 006619	28

Decision Regarding Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	09.17.18	Judge Mark Denton	JA 006553 - JA 006559	28
Gavin and Mihn-Hahn Cox's Appendix in Support of Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus Under NRAP 27(E)	05.07.18	Harris & Harris	JA 004009 - JA 004067	17-18
Jury Instructions	05.23.18	Judge Mark Denton	JA 005402 - JA 005439	23
MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Motion for Leave to File a Third Party Complaint	12.01.15	Selman Breitman	JA 000039 - JA 000057	1
MGM Grand Hotel, LLC, David Copperfield and David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc.'s Trial Brief to Preclude Plaintiffs from Calling Improper Rebuttal Witnesses	05.10.18 Selman Breitman	JA 004989 - JA 005004		21
MGM Grand Hotel, LLC, David Copperfield aka David Kotkin and David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment As A Matter of Law, or, Alternatively for New Trial	08.10.18	Selman Breitman	JA 006382 - JA 006466	27-28
Notice In Lieu of Remittitur	06.04.18	Supreme Court	JA 005924	25
Notice of Appeal (Supreme Court File-Stamp)	07.19.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006295 - JA 006326	27
Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or, Alternatively, for a New Trial	10.23.18	Resnick & Louis	JA 006562 - JA 006566	28
Notice of Filing Emergency Petition for Writ of Mandamus	05.07.18	Harris & Harris	JA 004003 - JA 004006	17
Notice of Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Certification	03.29.19	EJDC - Department 13	JA 006612 - JA 006613	28
Notice of Transfer to Court of Appeals	05.07.18	Supreme Court	JA 004007 - JA 004008	17

NRAP 27(E) Certificate	05.09.18	Selman Breitman Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial Resnick & Louis	JA 004427 - JA 004434	19
Order Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus	05.07.18	Supreme Court	JA 004068 - JA 004070	18
Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or, Alternatively, for a New Trial	10.22.18	Resnick & Louis	JA 006560 - JA 006561	28
Order Denying Rehearing	05.10.18	Supreme Court	JA 004721 JA 004722	20
Order Granting Defendant Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Motion to Bifurcate Trial	02.27.17	Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial	JA 000348 - JA 000351	2
Order Granting Defendants David Copperfield, David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc. And MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Motion for Leave to Amend Their Answer to File Cross Claim	01.28.16	Selman Breitman	JA 000058 - JA 000059	1
Order Granting Motion to Extend Time (Supreme Court)	03.28.19	Supreme Court	JA 006597 - JA 006598	28
Order on Plaintiffs' Motion for Certification of Judgment	05.08.19	Morelli Law Firm	JA 006624 - JA 006626	28
Plaintiff's Amended Case Appeal Statement	11.26.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006577 - JA 006585	28
Plaintiffs' Amended Notice of Appeal	11.26.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006567 - JA 006576	28
Plaintiffs' Motion for Certification of Judgment On Order Shortening Time	03.28.19	Harris & Harris	JA 006599 - JA 006611	28

Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment As a Matter of Law, or, Alternatively, for a New Trial	07.05.18	Harris & Harris	JA 005925 - JA 006259	25-27
Plaintiffs' Notice of Appeal (EJDC File-Stamped)	07.11.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006260 - JA 006270	28
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.'s Motion to Bifurcate Trial	01.05.17	Harris & Harris	JA 000166 - JA 000176	1
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, Or, Alternatively for a New Trial	08.20.18	Harris & Harris	JA 006467 - JA 006496	28
Plaintiffs' Trial Brief to Exclude Cumulative Expert Testimony on Defendants' Proposed Expert Witnesses John E. Baker and Nicholas Yang	04.11.18	Harris & Harris	JA 000569 - JA 000573	3
Plaintiff's Trial Brief to Permit Testimony of Newly Discovered Fact Witnesses	04.25.18	Harris & Harris	JA 001586 - JA 001834	7-8
Real Parties in Interest Emergency Petition for Rehearing of Order Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus Under NRAP 27(E), Immediate Action is Necessary as the Trial is Already in Progress	05.09.18	Selman Breitman Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial Resnick & Louis	JA 004403 - JA 004426	19
Request for Transcript of Proceedings	12.21.18	Morelli Law Firm	JA 006586 - JA 006589	28
Stipulation	03.08.19	Morelli Law Firm	JA 006595 - JA 006596	28
Summons - Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc. w/Affidavit of Service	09.02.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 000021 - JA 000024	1
Summons - David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc. w/Affidavit of Service	08.14.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 000012- JA 000014	1

Summons - David Copperfield aka David S. Kotkin w/Affidavit of Service	09.14.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 000025 - JA 000028	1
Summons - MGM Grand Hotel, LLC w/Affidavit of Service	08.14.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 000015- JA 000017	1
Summons - Team Construction Management, Inc. w/Affidavit of Service	08.14.14	Eglet Law Firm	JA 000018 - JA 000020	1
Supplemental Request for Transcript of Proceedings	01.15.19	Morelli Law Firm	JA 006590 - JA 006594	28
Team Construction Management, Inc.'s Answer to Cross Claimants David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc., David Copperfield aka David Kotkin and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Cross Claim	03.22.16	Resnick & Louis	JA 000072 - JA 000077	1
Team Construction Management, Inc., and Beachers LV, LLC's Joinder to Defendants David Copperfield's Disappearing, Inc, David Copperfield and MGM Grand Hotel, LLC's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Certification of Judgment on Order Shortening Time	04.15.19	Resnick & Louis	JA 006620 - JA 006622	28
Defendant Team Construction Management, Inc. And Beachers LV, LLC's Joinder to Backstage Employment and Referral's Motion to Bifurcate Trial	12.29.16	Resnick & Louis	JA 000162 - JA 000165	1
Team Construction Management, Inc. And Beachers LV, LLC's Joinder to Backstage Employment & Referral's Reply in Support of the Motion to Bifurcate Trial	01.18.17	Resnick & Louis	JA 000235 - JA 000238	1
Defendants Team Construction Management, Inc. And Beacher LV's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or, Alternatively for a New Trial	07.20.18	Resnick & Louis	JA 006327 - JA 006352	27

Verdict (Phase 1)	05.29.18	Court	JA 005920 - JA 005923	25

- and giving that answer? You can answer.
 - A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Do you recall being asked that question and giving that answer?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. But in your deposition, when you said that the participants set the pace, was that not really accurate?
 - A. Are you asking me right now or --
- Q. Yeah. Well, now you're saying you don't know or maybe it's Ryan. And at your deposition you said that it was the participants that set the pace.
- So which one is it?
- MR. RUSSELL: Object. Misstates testimony.
- THE COURT: Overruled. She can say so if it
- 16 does.
- 17 BY MR. DEUTSCH:
- Q. Which one is it? Do they set the pace? Does Ryan set the pace? Or are you not sure who sets the pace?
- 21 A. I'm not sure.
- Q. If Ryan came in here yesterday and said that
 he was the one who sets the pace, would that change
 your feeling in any way? Or you still wouldn't know?
- A. No, I wouldn't know because I was never in

the front.

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

- And from where you are in the back to where Q. Ryan is in the front, can you see him?
 - Α. At one point I can.
- Okay. What point is that?
- A. We reenter the MGM and he gathers everyone up before we head into the kitchen area. So I can see him 8 then.
 - Okay. But at any point in time from when you leave the dragon until you get back into the -- into the casino right before you enter the kitchen, you can't see him at any point there?
- 13 Α. No.
- 14 Q. It's just because the group is too spread 15 out?
- 16 Α. It could be that. I know the route we take, 17 I can only see maybe two or three people in front of me 18 in the train.
- 19 Okay. Now, we know that it's called the 20 runaround; correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 And at your deposition, you were asked how you would describe the pace of the runaround; true?
- 24 Α. True.
- 25 Okay. And at your deposition you said that Q.

- 1 people weren't required to run; is that true?
- 2 A. True.
- Q. Okay. But you said sometimes they did run.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. True?
- 6 A. True.
- Q. Okay. If they did run, you wouldn't tell them to slow down; true?
- 9 A. No, I wouldn't use that word -- wording.
- 10 Q. Okay. So even though people weren't required 11 to run, in your experience, people sometimes did.
- 12 A. Yes, sometimes they did.
- Q. And when -- when asked about the pace that you would describe it, I guess, generally, you used the very specific term; is that fair?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. You used the term "brisk walk"?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you know that Mr. Carvalho also called it a "brisk walk"?
- 21 A. I did not know that.
- Q. Okay. Would that surprise you, that you both, like, picked the same one?
- A. I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. How about if I told you that

```
1 Mr. Carvalho used the term "brisk walk" and Mr. Kenner
 2 used the brisk walk? Would it then surprise you that
   all three of you chose that exact same speed?
 3
             MR. RUSSELL: Objection to the extent it
 5
   misstates Mr. Kenner's testimony.
 6
             MR. POPOVICH: Also relevance as to --
 7
              THE COURT:
                          I will allow it.
   BY MR. DEUTSCH:
 9
        Q.
             Would that surprise you?
10
        Α.
             No.
11
        Q.
             Okay. How about if Mr. Kenner, Mr. Carvalho,
   Mr. Copperfield, and you all chose that exact same term
13
   for the speed, a brisk walk? Would that surprise you?
14
        Α.
             No.
15
        Q.
             Okay. Did you ever talk to Mr. Carvalho
   about using that term "brisk walk"?
17
        Α.
             No.
18
             Did you ever talk to Mr. Kenner about using
        Ο.
19
   that term "brisk walk"?
20
        Α.
             No.
21
        Q.
             Did you ever talk to Mr. Copperfield about
   describing it at your deposition as a brisk walk?
22
23
        A.
             No.
24
        Q.
             Now, on the -- you were working on
```

25 November 12th, 2013; correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And that night you were doing -- in the back of the runaround; is that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And did you see Mr. Cox fall?
- 6 A. No, I didn't.
- 7 Q. Did you know at the time that he had fallen?
- 8 A. No, I didn't.
- 9 Q. When would you have been -- were you behind 10 him?
- A. I would have been, yes, because I was at the lend of the train.
- Q. Okay. And when you -- when you came around that corner, was -- was he on the ground, had he already gotten up, or something else? Or you're not
- 16 sure?
- 17 A. I don't remember at all.
- Q. You don't remember if he was still on the ground or not?
- A. I don't remember seeing him fall at all.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember seeing him on the ground?
- 23 A. No, I don't.
- Q. Do you remember seeing him get up?
- 25 A. No, I didn't.

- 1 Q. You didn't or you don't remember?
 - A. No, I don't remember seeing anything.
- Q. Okay. Did there come a point in time when you learned that he had fallen?
 - A. Yes, there was a point in time.
 - Q. And how did you learn?

5

6

7

10

- A. It was about a little over a year ago, I was contacted by our stage manager, and he let me know that there was a case happening around an incident from the show. So he filled me in on sort of the background of that and had me connect with the lawyer.
- Q. So if Mr. Carvalho told this jury yesterday that, on the evening of the accident, you were the one who told him about the accident, you would think that was a not true statement?
- 16 A. I just don't remember.
- Q. Okay. So it's possible that you were the one who told him?
- 19 A. Possibly.
- Q. Okay. So do you go into the little room during the speeches afterwards?
- 22 A. No, not during the speeches.
- Q. Okay. Were you there at any point in time and see an ambulance arrive?
- 25 A. No, I don't remember an ambulance.

- Q. What do you do after the show? Do you go -- where do you usually go?
- A. So, as soon as we bring the disappearing participants back into it's kind of like a debriefing room, which I think is the room you were just referring to where they get the speech and watch playback of the show, some of them have flashlights on them. So I just collect their flashlights, and then I actually exit.
- Q. You said some of them have flashlights. Not all of them have flashlights?
 - A. I don't think all of them have flashlights.
- Q. And that evening of the accident, did anyone do you know do you remember if Mr. Kenner came to you and asked you any questions about what had happened with Mr. Cox?
 - A. No, I don't remember.
- Q. Do you know if Mr. Copperfield came to you and asked you any questions about what had happened with Mr. Cox?
- 21 A. No.

Q. Other than your recollection of someone
coming, like, a year later and asking you about it, did
anyone in any capacity with Backstage ask you any
questions to inquire in any way about what had happened

with Mr. Cox?

1

2

5

7

8

10

11

12

- A. No. I do remember, when I was still there, one of the other assistants had been going through scheduling, and so they had asked they were trying to figure out who was working that night and because of days off and whatnot. And I learned later that it was in reference to that specific incident. I didn't know the background of why they were asking.
- Q. But nobody nobody ever came to you to try to ascertain if you had any information about what had happened; is that fair?
- A. Yes.
- Q. No one ever came to you to try to determine what had happened with respect to Mr. Cox; true?
- 15 A. True.
- Q. Did anyone from MGM ever come to you and ask you any questions, interview you as a potential witness to this accident?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Is it fair for me to say that that, other than Mr. Cox, you're aware of other people who have fallen? Is that fair?
 - A. I remember one person falling.
- Q. Okay. And the person that fell, that person that you remember, that person also fell doing the

- 1 runaround; is that true?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And were you on the runaround at the time that that person fell?
- 5 A. Yes.

- Q. Did you actually see that person fall?
- 7 A. I did see that person fall.
- Q. You did. And where in the runaround did you see that person fall? Do you remember?
- 10 A. I know we were outside of the building. I
 11 don't remember where exactly outside, but I know we
 12 were outside.
- Q. Okay. So was it at some point when you leave the doors before you enter?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And after -- did that person fall,
- 17 like, all the way to the ground?
- 18 A. She did.
- 19 Q. It was a woman?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And, you know, I'm assuming that David
 Copperfield and the show or Backstage had some sort of
 protocol of what to do if there was some sort of fall
 or something out of the ordinary at the show. Is that
- 25 fair?

1 Α. Yes. 2 And that would be because Backstage would Q. 3 want to know what happened; is that right? Α. Yes. 5 MR. RUSSELL: Objection. Foundation. 6 THE COURT: Sustained. 7 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 8 Ο. Well, would the protocol be that, if something out of the ordinary happened, that -- that 10 you were supposed to go to someone and notify them that 11 that happened? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Who were you supposed to go and notify? Ο. 14 If it was a matter of safety, definitely Α. 15 letting security know right away so that they can 16 actually step in and -- and check on the person. 17 **Q**. Okay. And that would be MGM security? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. How about people at the Copperfield 20 show or Backstage employees, like Chris Kenner, for 21 example? Would -- would -- if someone fell during the

Thirteen Illusion or, I guess, any other illusion,

would that be something that Chris Kenner, as the

executive producer of the show, would want to know?

25 A. Yes.

22

23

1 Q. So did you -- so I'm assuming, then, that if the protocol was to go to Mr. Kenner about the fact 2 that someone else had fallen, then on that night, when this other woman had fallen that you remember, you went 5 to Mr. Kenner and told him, "Hey, someone had fallen." 6 Is that fair? 7 Α. Yes. 8 MR. RUSSELL: Objection. Misstates 9 testimony. 10 THE COURT: If it does, she can say so. 11 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 12 Q. Is that fair? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. So, therefore, if Mr. Kenner came in 15 and told this jury that he was unaware of anybody other than Mr. Cox falling, that would be not accurate --17 MR. RUSSELL: Objection. BY MR. DEUTSCH: 19 -- because you would have informed him of 20 this; is that fair? 21 MR. RUSSELL: Misstates Mr. Kenner's 22 testimony and calls for speculation. 23 THE COURT: Well, it's the jury's prerogative 24 to determine what the testimony -- what the testimony

So they'll consider it in light of what they have

1 heard. BY MR. DEUTSCH: 3 Q. Do you need the question read back? A. 4 Yeah. Sorry. 5 Ο. No problem. I assumed you did. 6 (Record read by the reporter.) 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know what he remembers. I do remember informing him of that one incident that I personally saw. BY MR. DEUTSCH: 10 11 Okay. Did you -- was it also -- you know, Q. 12 was it also part of the protocol to notify Mr. Copperfield if something went awry or went -- out 14 of the ordinary occurred? 15 Α. If it was specific to his involvement in the 16 show. 17 So he wouldn't care if someone had **Q**. Okay. 18 fallen while doing the runaround. That wouldn't be 19 something he would want to know? 20 MS. FRESCH: Objection. Lacks foundation. 21 Calls for speculation. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 MR. RUSSELL: Join. 24 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 25 Q. Well, was it part of the protocol that if --

would someone falling during the runaround be something that the protocol would require you to tell 2 3 Mr. Copperfield? 4 Not that I was aware of. 5 Okay. When did you start? What year -- your 6 two and a half years, when did that start? 7 Α. I believe it was August of 2012. 8 0. August of 2012. Okay. 9 And so at the time of Mr. Cox's accident in 10 November of 2013, you had been there for a little over 11 a year? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Fourteen months or so? 14 And when you -- how long after you started 15 working at the show did you start doing the runaround 16 for the Thirteen Illusion? 17 A. I think I had been there about a month. 18 Ο. Okay. And -- and was it -- Mr. Carvalho 19 explained to us yesterday that for, like, a period of 20 time -- maybe, like, a week or so -- you did the 21 runaround following someone else? 22 Α. Yeah. So the training itself sort of it went 23 over probably like three or four weeks. Actually

shadowing was -- was part of that training.

So you -- you shadowed someone else for a

24

25

Q.

little bit, and then that person shadowed you for a little bit, and then you started doing it by yourself?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And the -- the -- the incident that you recalled with someone else falling during the runaround, was that when you first started doing the Thirteen Illusion, or was it the end -- sort of at the end of when you did the Thirteen -- you know, closer to November, was it when you started?
- 10 A. I don't remember exactly when that incident 11 took place.
- Q. Okay. Can you -- I mean, was it, like, something that -- was it -- you know, I'm not asking for a specific date.
- 15 A. Okay.
- Q. I -- I understand that you might not recall that, but was it, like, in the first three months, let's say, of you doing it?
- A. No, I think I had been there for a little while.
- Q. Okay. The person -- did you talk with this other person that had fallen?
- 23 A. I did.
- Q. Okay. And do you know if -- if there was a protocol that Chris Kenner came and talked to the

person?

- A. If the person decided to stay. In this case, she did not want to stay. She didn't want to file a report. She just said she was fine and she felt fine to leave. So he did not meet with her at that time.
- Q. Okay. Well, so so even if let's say a person doesn't want to stay. Was is it fair of me to say that the Copperfield show or Backstage would still make some sort of record of the fact that the person had fallen, or would they only do it if that person decided to stay and and make some sort of record?
- A. I'm not sure. Aside from so my sort of leaving a record or communicating, it was just a verbal communication. I know there wasn't, like, a paperwork or a document that we had to sort of like a report to file in our back end; it was just a verbal communication of what had happened.
 - Q. And then you're not sure what they did?
 - A. Yeah, I'm not sure on their end.
- Q. The other person that had fallen, did that person get injured?
- A. I --
- Q. And -- and I'm not asking you the severity of the injury right now; I'm just asking if they got

- 1 injured.
- A. Sure. I wasn't sure. So that's why we
- 3 stopped, check on her, brought security over. She said
- 4 she wasn't injured. She didn't have any appearance,
- 5 like no scrapes or bruises or --
- 6 Q. She wasn't bleeding or anything?
- A. No, she wasn't -- she didn't have, like, a visible sign of injury.
- 9 Q. Okay. No blood, though, or anything that you
- 10 | saw?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Just a fall?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you -- did you check her over?
- 15 A. Like, did I look at her?
- Q. Yeah. Did you, like, look to make sure there
- 17 was no blood?
- 18 A. I did, yes.
- 19 Q. And you didn't see any?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Can you can you describe the person
- 22 that -- that fell, that other person -- the woman that
- 23 you remember falling?
- 24 A. She was a woman.
- Q. Okay.

- 1 A. That's --
- 2 Q. Got that much.
- A. That's about all I remember about -- about her.
- Q. That's all you remember what she looks like?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- Q. So you just the only things you remember

 about her for sure is that she was a woman and that she

 wasn't bleeding?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Fair enough. Do you remember what kind of shoe she was wearing?
- 13 A. I remember, like, a modest heel.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- A. I don't remember the color or the --
- 16 Q. But she was wearing heels of some sort?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember if -- I know you said
- 19 that -- that she was -- had fallen outside. Do you
- 20 remember if it was on that -- sort of the first
- 21 straightaway when you first come outside, or had you
- 22 already turned the corner to go towards that set of
- 23 doors?
- A. I don't remember exactly where outside.
- Q. Okay. So when the people are coming off of

the -- the dragon and you're, like, one of the first people to meet them, is -- do you -- is it fair for me to say that -- that you don't really give them any specific instructions at that point about what they're about to encounter? Is that fair?

- A. I basically give them their next step. So I just say "Take my hand. You're about to go down stairs."
- Q. Okay. But would it be fair for me to say that you're pretty vague at that point about what you -- what you say? That a fair description of it?
- A. I actually would say it a little more specific because I'm -- I would say "Take my hand. You're about to walk down stairs."
- Q. Okay. Well, let me just show you what -- you say -- do you -- after saying that you're about to walk down the stairs, do you then continue to give them any instructions as they're going?
- A. Yes. After that, the instruction is vague.

 It's just basically, "Follow the person in front of you and watch your step."
- Q. Okay. What are you telling what are you warning them to watch their step about? Any hazard in particular?
 - A. No, not a hazard, just they're going to be

moving. So just a general "watch your step."

Q. Okay. When you first — when you first went to work at Backstage and — did you go to the job with the understanding that you were going to be Mr. Copperfield's personal assistant?

A. Yes.

1 |

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

17

18

21

- Q. Okay. And did he interview did you have to interview with him personally?
- A. I did.
- Q. Okay. And did you -- did you also have to interview with someone else, or was it just with him?
- A. He was actually the last person I interviewed with.
- 14 Q. You also interviewed with Chris Kenner?
 - A. No. Chris Kenner wasn't part of the interview, but the other assistants were sort of the first step in the process, and then David was the next step.
- Q. Okay. And then when you left, did you just decide to change career paths and go somewhere else?
 - A. Yeah. I did pretty much a 180.
- Q. Okay. All right. Thank you, Ms. Weall.

 I have nothing further.
 - A. Okay. Thanks.

25

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. RUSSELL: 3 Hello. Q. 4 Α. Hello. 5 Get my water before I get too dry up here. Q. 6 You -- you were discussing with -- well, 7 before we get into the show, where are you currently 8 employed? 9 I work for the Las Vegas Metro Chamber of Α. 10 Commerce. 11 0. What do you do for them? 12 A. I'm their marketing coordinator. 13 Ο. How long have you been over there? 14 Α. A little over three years. 15 Q. Essentially since you left Backstage? 16 Yes. Α. 17 You started talking with Mr. Deutsch about Q. the show track. Tell the jury what your show track was 19 with the Thirteen Illusion during the questioning 20 Just walk them through it. portion. 21 Α. So it starts off the audience members are 22 sort of trying to grab hold of these giant inflatable 23 And the ones that do make their way down to the 24 edge of the stage where the stairs are. That's where I

was stationed. So the first part of the Thirteen track

would just be asking those questions to the people who came down to the stage.

And then the second part of the track would involve the runaround, so actually helping the people off the prop, out and around, and then having them reappear.

- Q. And you talked to him a little bit about shadowing and training. Who was your predecessor for your show track in the Thirteen Illusion?
- 10 A. Her name was Ashley Kelly.
- 11 Q. How long did you shadow Ms. Kelly for?
- 12 A. About three or four weeks.
- Q. And during the time you're shadowing
- 14 Ms. Kelly, were you both on stage together?
- 15 A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

- Q. What do you recall Ms. Kelly communicating to you as far as the specifics of the track?
- 18 A. What do you mean?
- 19 Q. Well, what do you recall, if anything,
- 20 Ms. Kelly communicating to you about the specifics of
- 21 the track as it related to participant safety?
- 22 A. With the questioning or --
- 23 Q. Yes.
- A. Just be really clear in the questions, that everyone had to be asked the questions. If there

1 I 2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was -- if anyone essentially answered yes to any of the questions, it was really important to then communicate 3 that to David so that he was aware.

And then, for the runaround, you're also wondering specifics?

Q. No, let's stick with the questioning for right now.

What was your practice in communicating with the participants as far as how clearly you would communicate with them? What was your practice?

A. Essentially, we -- they would have to sort of get in a single-file line, just lining up in -- along the edge of the theater itself, against the wall. And just, one by one, we would ask them the series of four questions. Once we got through the questioning, then they'd just move forward to actually go onto the stage.

And then, yeah, if anyone answered yes, basically, to any of the questions, then we'd just communicate that to David.

- Q. And what was your practice during the questioning session on making eye contact with the participants?
- You need to definitely make sure they're listening to you. It's -- sometimes it's hard because everyone's so excited. That was the general theme and

the general energy. But everyone was really clear when it came down to questioning. Even if they wanted to keep moving forward and getting closer to stage, we'd just say, "Okay. Hold on. You're almost there. We just have a few questions for you." And everyone was really receptive and stopped. Once they realized we were serious and were actually going to ask them questions, they're really good about answering.

- Q. Just to make sure they heard your questions?
- 10 A. Yeah.

- Q. Now, part of the questioning, did that include any visual assessment?
 - A. There are a few visual assessments.

One is if — sometimes people would walk up without shoes on. So we would have to nicely say, "I'm so sorry. You have to be wearing shoes to participate in this."

Or if they looked younger than 18, we would just politely ask how old they were. If they weren't 18, we would have to have them go back to their seats.

And then, just in general, if someone — because, at this point, we're able to actually watch all the audience members walk towards us and down the stairs and line up. If someone was moving a little slowly or maybe seemed unsteady, then that was a pretty

clear visual indication.

- Q. And describe for the jury a little bit better what -- what the auditorium -- the theater looks like.

 Is it all -- from the stage straight out, is it all just one level?
- A. No. I don't remember how many levels, but, yeah, it's sort of staggered. So it -- each -- you know, each row is higher than the one below it.
- Q. What would you be looking for as participants crossed those tiers to come down for you to -- towards you?
- A. You would just sort of get a preliminary indication of how they're moving. So if and mostly if they if they weren't moving in a way that we felt was maybe normal, I guess. So, yeah, if they were if they were maybe stumbling or just in general moving very, very slowly, then we can get a pretty clear visual that, okay, so if we ask them these questions, we really need to make sure that they're answering.
- Q. So the way the theater's laid out, does it require the participants to walk across and -- and then down as well?
 - A. Uh-huh. Yes, it does.
- Q. And how many people from Backstage were lined up to sort of greet the audience participants before

they got to the stage?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

17

21

24

25

- A. So there were three of us, myself included.
- Q. And when you were doing your visual assessment of people coming across and coming down, what sort of things would you be looking for to make sure they were in good health?
- A. Yeah, I think I mentioned, like, the walking, like, if they were, you know, maybe stumbling a little bit or just moving very slowly.

You never want to assume if a lady is
expecting, but, you know, if she looks like she is,
that's -- you know, you really want to be extra
cautious helping her. She can still participate in -in the illusion, just not -- she wouldn't disappear.
So just make sure we really help her up the stairs and
get her settled.

- Q. She would become a witness?
- 18 A. A witness.
- Q. What sort of signs would you look for of -of a participant being intoxicated?
 - A. I mean, the walking is a good giveaway. When you're right in front of someone who has been drinking, you know, they tend to get a little handsy and really in your face and close and especially when they're very excited that they just, you know, caught a ball

- Q. And if someone came up to you and they were handsy or if you smelled alcohol, what would you do?
- A. I wouldn't even bother asking or I would ask the questions but already know that they were going to be sitting down. So just communicate to David that this person's been having a lot of fun and they should probably be sitting down.
- Q. You mentioned having no shoes. Were there any other footwear issues that you would look for?
- A. Our -- no, I mean, our -- at the questions, our main visual -- I mean, if you weren't wearing any shoes, that's -- we would have you go right back to your seat, but we didn't really have any part of assessing footwear beyond that.
- Q. Regardless of whether it was because of footwear or intoxication, for whatever reason, what would you do if somebody did not appear steady as they're walking across the auditorium and down to you?
- A. Well, I think one of two things. So if they in the case of intoxication, if they couldn't even, you know, follow basic direction of answering the question, security was next to us. So they would just

politely help people back to their seats.

But if it was just someone who's a little unsteady, we would just help them up the stairs. And then David would have them sit down on the stage as a witness.

Q. You said you would communicate things to Mr. Copperfield. The jury has seen a video — and we don't necessarily need to see it again — where, essentially, looking at the stage, Mr. Copperfield is — is over here, the participants are lining up here. Would you be off the corner here in that video if this is the edge of the stage here?

I can show you the video, if you'd like.

A. No, that's okay. I think I've got you.

Yes. So I would be on the -- the stair -- there's stairs that actually take you up to the stage. So David would be at the top of those stairs and I would be on one of, like, the first stairs.

- Q. And what were some of the things that you would say to Mr. Copperfield as you were -- you were handing off a participant who you believe needed to be sat?
- A. I would just say the answer to the questions. So, you know, "This person doesn't speak English. This person's expecting a child. This person has a

disability that is -- you know, they can't move as well or they can't climb stairs or they said they have a hard time running. This person has kids at their table."

And then, for the drinking, you know, we try not to specifically say that because those — those participants are standing right next to you. So we just, you know, say "This person's been having a lot of fun."

- Q. And and did you did you have a goal in that position you were at to sort of keep things lighthearted and keep it entertaining even for the people who were going to be sitting as witnesses?
- A. Yeah. So they don't -- you know, they just answer the questions and -- and are still excited to participate either way.
- Q. All right. Now, once the participants are on stage you talked about this a little bit with Mr. Deutsch but go ahead and explain in your own words to the jury, after the participants are on stage, what do you do next?
- A. So once everyone is on stage, actually would walk around backstage and wait for I think you guys maybe have been described the dragon and the prop that sort of helps connects to the main prop that

everyone is sitting on. So once that prop gets connected, that sort of marks, like, beginning of the runaround. So there would be two other — I think I use the word "magi," but basically stagehands would go onto the dragon. And I would be right behind them.

So the first guy would actually lift up —
the whole prop is sort of covered by this curtain, if
you will. So the first guy would actually lift the
curtain so that the second guy could go onto the prop.
And that person would just sort of have everyone stand
up and let them know, "Okay. You know, we're about to
move. Everyone stand up."

Then I would go -- not on the prop, but where the dragon and the prop connect. I would just sort of step forward to grab the first person's hands and walk them off and just say, "Okay. We're about to walk and head downstairs." So help them down the stairs, hand that first person off to Ryan, and then I would actually just stand at those stairs, flashlight, to help everybody else off the prop. Once the last person exited the prop, then I would just follow behind them.

Q. Pomai, I'm going to show you. This is 93-154. I'm going to hand you this pointer. This is a photograph the jury has already seen, Exhibit 93-154.

- Do you recognize that photograph?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10 l

11

12

15

16

17

- Q. What is that in that photograph?
- A. That's the dragon.
 - Q. All right. Feel free to stand up.

Show us — show the jury where the curtain is that you were talking about someone holding up at the back of the platform.

- A. Sure. So this up-and-down area, it's actually a curtain. It's just a black curtain. So the first person that I described would actually lift that curtain up.
- Q. And when you say "the first person," this was a Backstage employee?
 - A. Yeah, the magi.
 - Q. And where would you stand when the participants started coming out of the dragon?
- A. So when they first started coming out of the dragon?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- A. So I would actually be it's kind of hard to see, but where the curtain is, essentially that's where the dragon meets the prop. So I would just be standing there. The other two magi, one would be on the prop and the other is still holding the curtain.

They would actually hand off the first person right 2 there. I'd help them down the stairs. And then I'd actually just stand here while everyone else exited the 4 prop, and then follow behind. 5 And so the people coming off the prop, from your perspective, could they even get onto the dragon without running into you first? You're the first thing they see -- right? -- as they come onto the dragon? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Okay. They can't get onto the dragon -- the 11 first person can't get onto the dragon without taking 12 your hand; right? 13 A. Yes. 14 0. On the stairs there, there's some white tape. 15 Do you know what that is? 16 Α. Yeah, it's glow tape. 17 Q. All right. You can have a seat. Thank you. 18 Could you please describe what sort of -- and 19 I'll use the pointer this time -- but what sort of 20 ambient light comes from the prop over the top of the 21 dragon? 22 Objection to the form. MR. DEUTSCH: 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 MR. RUSSELL: I'm sorry. I didn't hear what

25

it was.

1 MR. DEUTSCH: Form. 2 And foundation. 3 BY MR. RUSSELL: 4 As you come out of the dragon and you're 5 waiting at the bottom of the stairs, are you able to 6 see any light coming from over the top of the dragon? 7 MR. DEUTSCH: Note my objection. Misstates 8 her testimony. 9 THE COURT: She can say so if it Overruled. 10 does. 11 BY MR. RUSSELL: 12 Q. Go ahead. 13 Sorry. Can you rerepeat the question. Sure. After you've taken the first 14 participant out, are you able to see any light coming 16 over the top of the dragon? 17 A. I'm not sure. I'm not really looking up over 18 the dragon. 19 Is there ambient light back there? 20 There is ambient light. I don't know where Α. 21 it's coming from. 22 0. Can you identify -- well, I want to put this 23 This is a diagram that's been used by a few 24 different witnesses.

25

Α.

Do you need help?

```
1
             No, I'm good. But you can stand up because
        Q.
 2
   I'll have you use the pointer again.
 3
             MR. POPOVICH: Your Honor, I'm kind of
 4
  thinking it's high time we give that board a number.
 5
             MR. RUSSELL: Can you all see this?
 6
             THE COURT: In other words, for the record,
 7
   demonstrative exhibit next in order.
 8
             MR. RUSSELL: You're pretty familiar with it.
 9
   That's why --
10
             THE COURT: I guess this would be
11
  demonstrative exhibit --
12
             MR. POPOVICH: Okay. Thank you.
13
             MR. DEUTSCH: All right.
14
                         This will be marked Demonstrative
             THE COURT:
15 l
   Exhibit 1. Is it defendant Backstage's demonstrative
16
   or --
17
             MR. RUSSELL: I believe.
                            It's actually Copperfield.
18
             MR. POPOVICH:
19
             THE COURT: Copperfield Demonstrative
20
   Exhibit 1.
   BY MR. RUSSELL:
22
             All right. Pomai, this -- again, this is a
        Ο.
23
   diagram that's been used by quite a few witnesses in
   this case.
25
             From your perspective, having done the
```

runaround, can you identify where along the route --11 2 again, from your perspective -- is the darkest part of 3 the runaround? 4 I would say where the stage area is. 5 And is that coming -- right when you come off Q. 6 the dragon? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Is there lighting in the -- in these Q. Okav. hallways here? 10 Α. Oh, yeah. They're fully lit. 11 Q. Leave that up there for a second. 12 Can you identify any point in the route --13 again, from your perspective -- where either you or the 14 participants have to run in total darkness? 15 Α. In total darkness? 16 Yeah. Q. 17 Α. I don't think at any point. 18 Q. All right. Let's go back to the point where 19 you've left the dragon. You stand at the bottom of the 20 stairs -- is that what you said? -- of the dragon? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. What efforts do you make to assist the 23 participants down the stairs of the dragon? 24 Α. So I'm basically just standing like this. So

I extend my hand. I light the stairs. I just say,

"Take my hand. You're about to walk downstairs. Take 2 my hand. You're about to walk downstairs." 3 If they took my hand, they did; if not, they 4 saw the stairs. 5 How long -- you can have a seat. Thank you. 6 How long, typically, would it take the participants to get out of the platform and out of the dragon after the curtain drops? 9 Are we talking about, like, when the 10 dragon -- like, from the dragon being dumped or just --11 Q. No. 12 Α. -- in total? 13 From the moment the -- from the moment the ٥. person opens the curtain to let the participants out, 15 from that moment until they're all out, about how long 16 is that? 17 A. It probably took about 30 seconds. 18 What do you do after all of the participants Ο. 19 are out of the dragon? 20 Α. I would just follow the last person. 21 Q. And as you're following the last person, what 22 are you saying to that person? 23 Α. I basically just say, "Watch your step and 24 follow the person in front of you."

What language, if you use -- what language do

25

Q.

- 1 you use, if any, to communicate any sort of pace to the 2 participants?
 - A. I didn't really give direction on pace but just be to follow the person in front of them.
- Q. For your part of the runaround, did you have a flashlight with you?
 - A. I did.

3

4

7

- Q. Where did you shine the flashlight?
- 9 A. So I basically just shine it at the ground in 10 front of them.
- 11 Q. How were the participants provided guidance 12 along the runaround?
- A. So I'm assuming the dots are maybe people?

 14 Is that -- I don't know.
- MR. DEUTSCH: Note my objection. Move to strike, Your Honor. She's making an assumption.
- MR. RUSSELL: I don't want you to assume anything.
- THE COURT: Motion granted. Ask the next question.
- 21 BY MR. RUSSELL:
- Q. Let's just start with, tell us verbally -
 23 don't worry about the drawing right now -- tell us

 24 verbally, how are the participants provided guidance

 25 along the runaround?

A. As to their main thing — their main direction is just to follow the person in front of them. So they're in almost like a train, like a single-file line, and I've never seen anyone veer off

the single-file line.

And then along the route itself, we do have stagehands sort of stationed along the way. So they're lighting, so it's additional light, but they're also just sort of a visual of this is sort of your — your lane that you're staying in, if that makes sense. I don't know if that — you wouldn't go — if someone is standing here and here, you're not — you wouldn't have any inclination to run past them, like a barrier.

- Q. When -- when you said there are stagehands along the way, do you know who those stagehands work for?
 - A. I believe they work for MGM.
- Q. And looking at the demonstrative demonstrative exhibit, do you recognize the dots on that diagram?
- A. The reason I said I assume they're people is because that's where stagehands were actually standing along the route.
 - Q. Is there any use of lights by the MGM stagehands?

A. Yeah, they all have flashlights.

- Q. What direction do they point the lights?
- A. They're essentially pointing at the same direction, so they're pointing at the ground in front of the participants.

I do remember the people stationed outside, they would also sort of move the light in the direction that the participants were moving.

- Q. What, if anything, have you heard the MGM stagehands say as the participants are going through the runaround?
- A. I just remember very short, specific instructions of what exactly was coming next. So if someone was stationed outside, for example, they'd say, "You're about to take a turn. You're about to take a turn." That was pretty much it. They didn't they didn't yell out a ton of commands. It was basically just whatever your next step is going to be along the route.
- Q. Are the participants are the audience participants handed lights at any point in time?
 - A. They are handed lights.
- Q. Where along the runaround are they handed the lights?
 - A. Once they're offstage, the -- the additional

1 l stagehands that are sort of stationed, they do have 2 flashlights with them. I kind of think of it as, like, passing out water during a marathon. So they have flashlights that they'll extend. If no one takes them, 5 | then no one takes them. But that's sort of when they try to pass out flashlights. 7 Do you know why the participants are given 0. 8 I those lights? 9 For when they reappear so that they can sort 101 of light up the space that they're standing in. 11 Q. From your perspective, having gone through this, do they actually need the lights to light their 13 way on the runaround? 14 No. 15 MR. DEUTSCH: Note my objection. BY MR. RUSSELL: 17 Q. What was -- what was the normal pace on a 18 typical evening during the runaround? How would you 19 describe that? 20 A. I would describe --21 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection to the form. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 BY MR. RUSSELL: 24 What was your usual pace, the way you walked, Q.

25

through the runaround?

Q. How much — how much space is there if someone wanted to run in the hallways backstage?

1

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

- A. Backstage? They really don't have space to run. If someone were to run, it would mostly be in the outside where the -- when they exit the building in that time before they reenter the building.
- Q. And your experience, again, from understanding that it's at the back of the line and I know you can't see everyone in the line. But in your experience from the back of the line, as you go through the hallways backstage, how often would you see the participants actually run through those hallways?
 - A. The hallways? Sorry.
 - Q. Yeah, the hallways backstage.
- 18 A. The hallways backstage. I never saw someone 19 run backstage.
 - Q. What efforts do you take, from your position at the back of the line, to keep people in a single-file line?
 - A. It's mostly just my verbal direction to follow the person in front of them.
 - Q. You mentioned the dots. Those are where you

recall the stagehands being -- the MGM stagehands being stationed?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

16

- Q. So if a participant is walking through the backstage area, or proceeding through the backstage area, whatever pace it might be, as they're proceeding through the backstage area and they're coming up on a turn, what are they going to see at the turn before they get there?
- A. They would see a person.
 - Q. And that person would be directing them?
- 12 A. Yes. So it would be a -- like a stagehand.
- Q. Have you ever heard anyone, while you were proceeding along the runaround, shout out a time that you had to get the runaround done?
 - A. No.
- Q. Never heard anybody say, "Okay. You've only got 48 seconds"?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. What would what would happen if the runaround took a few minutes not a few minutes.

 What would happen if the runaround took a few seconds less a few seconds more than usual? What would happen?
 - A. It didn't happen often, but if we -- so I --

again, I'm at the back of the train, if you will. If people in the — towards the back of the train start slowing down a little bit — it didn't happen often, but when it did, I would just sort of yell out, "Okay, everyone. We're going to come to a stop right here. Everyone come to a stop right here." I'd actually stop them, go in front of them, and just say, "Okay. Just follow me the rest of the way." And basically what that meant is we're not going to make it in time to reappear.

know, you're trying to reappear and you see people sort of trickling in from a direction. So I would get in front of them and just sort of walk them through the rest of the route, hold them. There's a little alcove by there — so the kitchen doors that lead back into the theater, there's a little bit of an alcove there. So in the event that, you know, you weren't going to reappear in time, just walk them the rest of the way, have them stand in that alcove. When everybody else who did reappear started heading backstage, we would just subtly get in line right behind them and then head backstage.

Q. Other than those circumstances where you -- you said you had to kind of cut people off and stop

them, other than those times, where were you located in relation to the audience participants?

- A. I was always at the -- behind them at the end of the line, the train.
- Q. And the circumstances you just talked about of sort of cutting people off, how often did that happen?
- A. It didn't happen very often. Maybe my whole time there, maybe a handful of times.
 - Q. And in those handful of times, would you try to force the participants to finish the illusion?
- 12 A. No.

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Would you ever push the participants from behind?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. How does the the situation where you have to cut people off and pull them out of the illusion, how does that impact the effect of the illusion?
 - A. If it was a majority of the people who disappeared, it's pretty obvious. You know, say ten people disappear, if only four reappear, that something is a little off about that. So in that case, we're actually able to to stretch the music and, you know, David would sort of distract on stage and just sort of be like, wow, nothing to see here, just to buy

us more time.

But if it was just, you know, less than half of the group, it wasn't as big of a deal. So, in the handful of times it did happen, yeah, I would just sort of have them stop and then have them follow me the rest of the way.

- Q. Okay. So one adjustment you have is, if it's going to be a lot of people that can't make it, your testimony is the music just continues until you can get enough people there?
- A. Yeah. Basically, it's called stretching. You just stretch the track that's playing during the illusion, we can just keep it -- keep it going.
- Q. And what about the times where you have to cut some people off and they don't reappear? How do you make adjustments then to get them back into the illusion?
- A. So once the participants reappear, they're only at the back of the theater for a handful of seconds before we usher them backstage so that they can actually get debriefed on what you know, what they just participated in. They get to see not that show, but they do get to see a video of of what the audience saw.

So before then -- we usher them backstage --

they actually follow the pathway along the theater wall to get backstage. And that wall is the same wall where the kitchen doors are, where the alcove is. So they actually do walk past us. If I do — if I had to hold people back, the participants would walk past us, Ryan leading the way, and we would just very subtly get right back in line with them at the end. So it was sort of like the train sort of picked up where it left off.

- Q. You were able to make a pretty seamless adjustment?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. If there were tripping hazards along -- well,
 let me ask you this: The route that the participants
 take, same route you run; correct?
 - A. Yes.

16

- Q. If there are tripping hazards along the route, could that affect you personally?
- 19 A. Absolutely.
- Q. If the audience participants were confused or erratic, could that affect you personally?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If the -- if the illusion was run in such a way that would be described as pandemonium, could that affect you personally?

1 Α. Yes. 2 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection to the form, Your 3 Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. 5 BY MR. RUSSELL: 6 Q. You already told Mr. Deutsch you did not see 7 Mr. Cox fall; correct? 8 Α. I don't remember seeing that happen. 9 Okay. If he was the last participant in Q. 10 line, he was the last audience participant, where would 11 he have been in relation to you? 12 Α. He would have been right in front of me. 13 Q. And how many people ahead of you -- I think 14 you told Mr. Deutsch you can usually see, what, two to 15 three people ahead of you? 16 Yes. Α. 17 What would you be able to see if two or three Q. 18 of the audience participants ahead of you fell? 19 What I would see? Α. 20 Yeah. Q. 21 Α. If they fell? 22 Yeah. Would you see them fall? Ο. 23 A. I would see them fall. 24 The woman that you talk about with Q. Mr. Deutsch who fell, after she fell, what did you do?

A. She was right in front of me, so I did see her fall. I helped her back up, and I just assumed she'd want to stop. But she didn't; she actually wanted to continue. So we did. We ended up finishing up the runaround, she reappeared; and when we got backstage to that debriefing room, I actually had pulled security in there so that security can check on her.

So we checked on her. We kind of did a visual, and I think we talked about blood. But she visually looked okay. She was very insistent that she was okay. Security wanted to know if she wanted to file a report or if she wanted any additional medical attention. And she said, no, she didn't want anything, she felt fine, and did not want to file a report.

So she stayed for the debriefing where you sort of get to watch the video of what just happened. Then they actually get to meet David as well. So she stayed through all of that and then left after that.

- Q. Did -- did that woman ask you to go get security, or did you go get security on your own?
 - A. No, I got security.
- Q. Mr. Deutsch asked you a little bit about protocols and what Mr. Kenner may have said. If, in fact, Mr. Kenner testified at trial that he does know

1	that people have fallen during the runaround, would
2	that be consistent with you telling him about that
3	woman that night?
4	A. I would assume so.
5	MR. RUSSELL: May we approach, Your Honor?
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	(A discussion was held at the bench,
8	not reported.)
9	MR. RUSSELL: Ms. Weall, I'll have some more
10	questions for you in a few minutes.
11	
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. POPOVICH:
14	Q. But, meanwhile, I'm Jerry Popovich for MGM
15	Grand Hotel. Okay?
16	A. Hello.
17	Q. Hello. A few questions. Well, lawyers say
18	that all the time. It's more than a few.
19	The woman you talked about falling and
20	helping up, do you remember the race she was?
21	Caucasian?
22	A. Oh, I don't remember.
23	Q. Just remember female and nothing and
24	modest heel?
25	A. Yeah.

- Okay. When the first words are spoken by stagehands to the participants in the prop, you're right there at where the prop meets the dragon?
- So the -- there's like a little bit of a hallway on the dragon, if you will. I'm on that hallway with them.
 - Q. Music's going?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

- Α. Music is going.
- Q. Pretty loud?
- 10 Α. It's pretty loud.
- 11 The people who -- or at least the person who Q. goes in to start having the participants come out has 13 to speak loudly to be heard over the music?
 - Yes. The music is also being projected out to the audience. So on stage, even though there is -it's -- it's really more background noise. So we can actually yell and be quite loud, and the audience wouldn't hear us.
 - So there is loud music playing, but it's projected to the audience, if that makes sense.
- Q. When that person goes into the prop to start 22 bringing people out, can you hear what that person says?
- 24 Α. I can.
- 25 And I think I already heard it once. Q.

```
1
   of the first things they tell the people is to stand
 2
   up?
 3
        Α.
             Yes.
             Would it make any sense to tell people in
        Ο.
 5
   that prop to run at that point?
 6
             MR. DEUTSCH: Objection to the form.
                          I will allow it.
             THE COURT:
 8
             THE WITNESS: Do I answer? Sorry.
   BY MR. POPOVICH:
10
        Q.
             Yes, you get to answer.
11
        Α.
             Okay. What was the question?
12
             Would it make any sense to tell people seated
        Q.
13
   in the prop to run, first thing?
14
        Α.
             No, it wouldn't make sense.
15
             Got to get them up?
        0.
16
        A.
             We have to have them -- one thing at a time.
17
   So standing up first.
18
             And then does the back row -- well, from the
19
   audience's perspective, the back row of the prop, those
20
   people exit first?
             Actually, I remember the first row exiting
21
        Α.
22
   first.
23
        Q.
             Okay.
                     There is created a path for them to
   reach the exit?
24
```

25

Α.

Yes.

1 At any point do you recall people -- a Q. stagehand -- yelling at the participants in the prop, 3 very loudly, "Run, run, run," three times? 4 A. No. 5 Is there room for people to run in that prop? Ο. 6 Α. No. 7 I think you've already said you Q. Okay. 8 haven't shoved a participant to make them go faster. 9 Have you seen any stagehands shove a 10 participants in the Thirteen Illusion to get them 11 moving? 12 Α. No, I have never seen that. I do extend my 13 arm to help them off the prop, but that's sort of the 14 extent of me touching them. 15 No, I'm talking about a slow mover at the 0. back of the train and you moving them with a shove. 17 A. Oh, got you. No, absolutely not. 18 Q. Was your understanding that that would not be 19 acceptable behavior for you? 20 Α. That would not be acceptable. 21 Q. For the two and a half years you were 22 involved in the Thirteen Illusion, was the route from 23 the prop to the reappearance the same? 24 Α. Yes. 25 During that time, you've already talked about Q.

the stagehands and the positions of the dots. stagehands were essentially in one general area and stayed there during the process of the train going through the route?

- Α. Yes.
- Q. Either just to holding a flashlight or you said the ones outside might be directing?
- Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

17

21

22

23

24

- 9 Do you remember a night where any of those Ο. 10 stagehands that were supposed to be in that position 11 were flat-out missing? Somebody that should have been 12 at a corner just wasn't there?
 - Α. No.
- Was there some testimony about sometimes it **15** | would take the participants a little longer to reach 16 the reappearance point, just a slower moving group; fair?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 Have you ever seen Mr. Copperfield angry Q. 20 about it taking longer?
 - Α. He would definitely inquire. He would just wonder, you know, if there was a reason or if the reason was simply the group is moving a little bit slower, which was always the reason. So he would just ask why if we had to hold people back. And the reason

was always the same. It was always just people were moving just a little bit slower so we didn't end up reappearing with them.No. He would definitely inquire. He would just wonder, you know, if there was a reason or if the reason was simply the group is moving a little bit slower, which was always the reason. So he would just ask why if we had to hold people back. And the reason was always the same. It was always just people were moving just a little bit slower, so we didn't end up reappearing with them.

- Q. Okay. So that was one of those occasions where you talked about where you would get in front and just you would make the call, this person or maybe two or three people wouldn't make the reappearance and and you just take control of that process?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What I'm asking about is, if they were a little slower but made the reappearance, would -- was he ever angry that it took longer?
- A. Oh, no.
- Q. Because of the reasons you talked about before, there was a Plan B if it took longer?
 - A. Yes. And he also wouldn't -- he -- all he sees are the people reappearing. So, from his perspective, what -- however long we're moving in the

background wouldn't really cross his mind. It -- only 2 if we didn't reappear. 3 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor. Move to 4 strike what goes through someone else's mind. 5 THE COURT: Motion is granted. 6 The jury will disregard. 7 BY MR. POPOVICH: 8 I want to go back for one moment to the woman Q. you did see fall. You're in the room where the 10 discussion was going on about what had happened. 11 And -- and Ryan does his thing and Mr. Copperfield does 12 his thing, that room. Okay? You with me? 13 Α. I'm with you. 14 All right. Q. So --15 Α. Not in that room. 16 The time the woman fell, you were with her in Q. that room? 17 18 Α. So the hallway actually is two parts. 19 that debriefing room is one part of a longer hallway. 20 So we actually had her in that hallway, chatting with 21 her before she went into the debriefing room. 22 was separate from the rest of the people who 23 reappeared. 24 Q. Thank you for clarifying. I see.

And you had pulled in an MGM security person?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

13

15

16

17

- Q. And are you clear in your memory that this woman was offered a chance to make a report?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And she turned it down?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. She was offered medical assistance?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. She turned it down?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. After those inquiries, did you just decide done what I can do and walked away, or what happened?
 - A. No, she was pretty clear that she felt fine, wanted to continue because the rest of the group, like I said, went into that debriefing room. So she just wanted to finish out the rest of that like, the participation part, and then just wanted to go after that.
- Q. So then you went on to do your pickup of the flashlights and go on your duties?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. We've talked about this
 debriefing room or breakout room, we've called it. Is
 there any way is that Copperfield Demonstrative
 No. 1 diagram, is there any way you can point out where

- . that is in relationship to what's on that diagram?
- 2 A. I can try.
- Q. Just even a general area would help. I don't think we --
- 5 A. Sure. It would be this -- this area here.
- Q. Oh, so it's the last hallway before the exit outdoors on the route?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How far of a walk is it from where the
 10 participants reappear to get back to that hallway, if
 11 you can estimate for us?
- A. I wouldn't even -- I'm not sure. I wouldn't be able to guess.
- Q. Okay. So there -- the participants,
- 15 Mr. Carvalho, and you are at the -- a back corner of
- 16 the theater when the reappearance occurs; is that
- 17 right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And when the show's over, you and
- 20 Mr. Carvalho escort them to this area?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Describe where you take them. Do you take
- 23 them inside the theater a ways or do you immediately
- 24 take them back out the doors they just reappeared
- 25 through?

A. So they're actually -- we're in the theater for the first part of it. It's actually the exact opposite side of where we sort of bring them down for questioning. So I think earlier we sort of -- if the questioning happened on -- looking at the stage on the left wall of the theater, we'd actually walk them backstage down the right wall of the theater.

And then there are mirroring double doors that lead backstage. So we then walk them — once they get down to the first row where the stage stairs are, there's actually double doors that lead backstage from there. And that's that — that hallway.

- Q. So I get it. So, just so I'm clear, you walk them down that kind of terraced theater area along the right-side wall; correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Would people leaving the show be going the opposite direction?
- A. No, because we they're actually not moving at that point. So they're sort of watching us go back down the stage or back down backstage. They're not exiting against us, if that makes sense.
 - Q. They haven't started leaving yet?
- 24 A. No.
 - Q. So you take your group along that wall.

1 Essentially, do you get even with the front of the 2 stage before then going out double doors? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And then there's some backstage area that you Ο. 5 walk through to get them to that debrief area? 6 Α. Well, it's a hallway. 7 Q. Hallway. Okay. 8 I think that's all I have. 9 Α. Okay. 10 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. FREEMAN: 13 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Weall. 14 A. Hi. 15 Q. My name is Eric Freeman. I represent David 16 Copperfield and David Copperfield Disappearing, Inc. 17 You've been asked a lot of questions and I 18 just have a few follow-ups. 19 I want to take you back to your testimony 20 about when you get up onto the dragon and you're 21 assisting the people off. Are you -- are you -- are 22 they -- you're helping them off one by one? 23 A. Yes. 24 Ο. And telling "follow the person in front of 25 you"?

- 1 Not while they're actually exiting the Α. 2 Once they're off the dragon, yes, but, while 3 they're exiting, no. It's just what -- basically what the next step is. So your next step is, "Okay.
- 5 about to go down stairs. Take my hand. You're about to go down stairs."
- 7 Okay. Kind of starting the train? Ο.
- 8 Α. And then, yeah, that's -- once they exit the dragon, that's when they start the train.
- 10 Ο. Okay. Now -- and then once you let the last 11 person down, what do you do then?
- 12 Α. Then I just follow behind them.
- 13 0. Okay. And using your train analogy, would 14 you say you're the caboose?
- 15 Α. Yes, I guess so.
- 16 Q. Okay. And -- and while you're -- while 17 you're doing that -- you know, you're starting to 18 follow them. Has there ever been an occasion where you 19 catch up to a person in front -- in front of you?
- Α. Well, I'm always behind the last person. I don't know if I would ever need to catch up with them 22 because I'm always behind the last person --
- 23 Q. Okay.

20

- -- if that makes sense. 24 A.
- 25 Q. If they were moving slowly and you just

caught up naturally to them, has that ever happened on occasion?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Okay. Do you ever try to grab them or drag them and pull them trying to make them go faster?
 - A. Definitely not.
 - Q. You let them take their own pace?
- A. Yes. So I'm -- I just follow basically during the train. So whatever pace the person in front of me is going, that's the pace I'm going. If -- in, like, the handful of times that I've described, if they have come to, like, a full mosey and I know we're not going to reappear, that's when I would have them stop and then get in front of them.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- A. That that would be the only time I'd ever be in front of them.
- Q. In the 2 1/2 years that you did this runaround, the Thirteen Illusion, how many times did that happen?
- A. Like, a handful. Probably, like, maybe literally five.
- Q. Okay. There's been a lot of talk about the runaround, brisk walk, jog, fast walk. Even someone said a crappy jog. Does the pace matter?

1

Α.

matter.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

reappear.

front of them.

٥.

that correct?

Α.

Q.

Α.

that at the front?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

front of me, that's the pace I'm moving at. Q.

Correct.

Okay.

Is -- the main point is that you want

Does the pace matter? I mean, yeah, it does

I -- obviously, I follow behind. But if it

So sort of the route they're taking, there are

So if people were moving too quickly, we

So not that it would matter, but I would

Okay. And it sounds like you're talking

And you're at the end. Is Ryan controlling

about you don't want the people to move too quickly; is

I don't know what he's controlling.

never been in the front. But I just know whoever's in

was too fast of a pace, we would lose track of the

turns. And, with that, you know, you lose sight of --

or at least I think I described how I can only see two

would probably -- I would lose sight of them. But, at

they're -- I mean -- I mean, moseying, then we wouldn't

have to then go to a Plan B of stopping and going in

the same time, the handful of times I described if

or three people in front of me until we reenter the

building and are actually in a single-file line.

JA002451

people moving forward -- to continue to be moving
forward, follow the person in front of them?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. You don't want people stopping, taking be a photograph?
 - A. No.

3

6

7

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- Q. Pulling out a selfie stick, going to film themselves as they're doing it?
 - A. No, that's never happened.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- A. A lot of high fives. People like to high five, but that's okay.
- Q. Okay. So the point is that you -- you want the people to follow the leader and move throughout the route?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. You talked a little bit about stagehands along the path. What are they there for?
 - A. So they kind of have dual roles. They're there to assist with lighting the paths. They hold flashlights. But then they're also, like, human barriers, if you will. So they're stationed so that you can always see where you're going next because there's always going to be someone in front of you

along the path to kind of guide the way.

```
1
             And then outside, because, you know, we are
  exiting the building, it allows them a sort of a visual
   barrier. If they decide not to follow the person in
   front of them, they can see, oh, okay, there's people
 5
   here. We don't want to go past them.
 6
             I want to show you some photographs. I don't
        Q.
 7
   believe they're admitted yet, Exhibit 84.
 8
             Adam, do you have any problem with
 9
   Photographs 6, 8, 9, and 13?
10
             MR. STRASSBURG: I will get it.
11
             MR. FREEMAN:
                           Thanks, Roger.
12
             MR. DEUTSCH: 84, you said 6?
13
             MR. FALLICK: 8, 9, 13.
14
             MR. DEUTSCH: 6, no problem. What was the
15
   next one?
16
             MR. FREEMAN: 8, 9, and 13.
17
             MR. DEUTSCH: No problem with 8. No problem
18
   with 9.
            No problem with 13.
19
             Just with the understanding that these were
20
   not the night of the incident.
21
             MR. FREEMAN:
                           Yes.
22
             MR. DEUTSCH: What we've said about the other
23
   ones as well.
24
             MR. FREEMAN:
                           Yes.
   11111
25
```

1 BY MR. FREEMAN: 2 0. And I'll affirm that all of the photos I'm 3 going to be showing you were not taken on the night of 4 the incident. 5 So they're being proffered now? THE COURT: 6 MR. FREEMAN: Yes, starting off with 84, 7 No. 6, I move that into evidence -- request? 8 MS. FRESCH: No objection. 9 MR. POPOVICH: I'll stipulate to all four. 10 MR. DEUTSCH: Thanks, Elaine. 11 MR. FREEMAN: Any objection to all four? 12 MR. DEUTSCH: No objection to all four. 13 They're all admitted. THE COURT: 14 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 84-6 was 15 admitted into evidence.) BY MR. FREEMAN: 17 Q. Okay. Let's start with No. 6. 18 If you would, Audra. Thank you. 19 Okay. You see that photograph? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. Okay. What does that look like to you? 22 A. That looks like backstage and part of the 23 dragon. 24 Q. Okay. Is that -- I know, before, they showed 25 you a picture of the -- of the dragon, and we talked

1 about that. Is this once you get off of the dragon?

2 A. Yes. So the curtain on the left is actually,

like, a backstage -- actually attached to the stage.

4 And then the lighter curtain on the right that this

5 magi is holding is part of the dragon.

- Q. Okay. Okay. And once the participants descend the stairs, where -- where are they supposed to qo?
- A. So they're just supposed to follow the person in front of them. But, from their perspective, once they exit, they're going to head right.
- Q. Would they be heading in this direction as this photo shows?
- 14 A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

- Q. Now, when -- when you follow the last participant off the -- the dragon, you take this same route?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Typically, from this point of view, how many stage -- not stagehands -- I'm sorry -- how many participants would be in front of you at this time?
 - A. Probably two or three.
- MR. FREEMAN: Could you put up 84, No. 8,
- 24 please.
- 25 /////

BY MR. FREEMAN:

1

2

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. Do you recognize that picture?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, is this -- explain this for us, what this picture shows.
 - A. So this is actually where you're walking off of backstage, the stage itself. So this is the first first hallway. It's, like, a little it's a mini hallway that connects to that debriefing room that I sort of explained. So they're going to head towards the guy with the flashlight and turn right.
 - Q. Okay. Would the person in the photograph holding the flashlight, is that an example of a stagehand being in the position that they would at the show?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Have you ever -- following people, have you ever seen anyone go through that hallway and try to get past the stagehand and run up the stairs?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever seen anyone go down that hallway and stop and look confused as to which way they're going?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Why is that?

1 MR. DEUTSCH: Note my objection. 2 THE COURT: The question is why is that? 3 I'll sustain it. 4 BY MR. FREEMAN: 5 I'll strike that. Q. Okay. 6 Is -- that stagehand there, what is -- what 7 is he doing while he's standing there and participants 8 are coming by? 9 He's just lighting the path. So he would 10 just let them know, "All right. That's sort of the 11 next step of the path." So while they're following 12 along in the train, that person would just sort of be 13 there as extra light. 14 Q. Telling them which way to go? 15 Α. I don't remember exactly what that person was saving. 17 Q. If they don't say anything, are they directing with their body language? 18 19 Α. With the flashlights. 20 Q. With the flashlights. Okay. 21 Let's see. Audra, if you would pull up 84, 22 No. 9, please. 23 Can you tell us what this photo shows? 24 Α. So the guy with the flashlight in the 25 last image would essentially be facing -- this would be

- his view facing another mini hallway. The two
 gentlemen in the photo are actually in the debriefing
 room. So it looks like they would just turn through
- Q. Okay. Would there be a stagehand in -- in this hallway at the time of the illusion?

there before turning left to exit the building.

- A. Yes. So where the -- there's someone in black there, a magi, there would be someone there.
- Q. Okay. Do you want the pointer or -- you said the -- the person wearing the black clothes?
- 11 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. That that's a position of where a stagehand would be standing?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what would that stagehand be doing?
- A. The same thing with the flashlights, except they would also -- at that point, you know, they'd offer -- hold out flashlights as well, so -- for everyone to take one.
- Q. Now, when you turned down this hallway, would you see participants in front of you?
- A. Not down this hallway. I would only see,
 like, the person the last person in the line, so the
 person right in front of me.

1 Q. Have you ever seen anyone come to this 2 hallway and stop, they're not sure which way to go? 3 Α. No. 4 Because they're being directed? 5 And they can see there's someone in 6 front of them. And they've been directed to sort of keep going where the people are, so they just keep 8 following forward. 9 Okay. And the next photograph, Exhibit 10 No. 93, Photograph 167, I believe it's already in 11 evidence. 12 Okay. What does this photograph show? 13 So I call this the debriefing room. Α. 14 the -- it's the room right before they actually exit 15 the MGM. 16 Q. Okay. And --17 A. So it's sort of like a hallway room, if that 18 makes sense. 19 Okay. And is this part of the route? Ο. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Is this the hallway the participants Q. 22 would see once they were told to take a left turn in 23 the previous photograph? 24 Α. Yes.

Okay. Now, there isn't anyone standing in

25

Q.

1 this one, but would there be a stagehand present during 2 the illusion?

- A. Not in this room, besides the last photograph, there was someone stationed in that corner. But as soon as they it's kind of hard to tell with the lighting, but there's actually stagehands out
- 7 there, outside, with lights -- with flashlights who are 8 quiding.
- 9 Q. Okay.

3

5

16

- 10 A. I don't see any in this photo, but there 11 usually is.
- Q. Well, again, this photograph was taken a year later, well after the show and not during a show.
- 14 A. Oh, got you.
- 15 Q. In fact, 2014.
 - Okay. And so, again, there would be a positioned stagehand at that location?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned the stagehands
 outside would have lights. Is this an example of the
 light they'd have?
- A. I don't remember exactly what lights they
 were holding. I know those two of the participants
 who disappeared would be handed those pretty large
 flashlights for when they reappeared.

1 Q. Okay. But they would be holding some sort of 2 light? 3 Α. Yes. To -- all right. 4 0. 5 Audra, would you pull up 84, No. 13, please. Before we get to this photograph, that 6 Okay. 7 last photograph shows the hallway to go outdoors. 8 Which way would the participants turn? 9 Once they go out of those doors outside the 10 building, they'd turn right. 11 Q. They would take a right. Okay. 12 Now, this next photograph, does that look 13 familiar to you? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. What is that showing? 16 So that's actually outside MGM. 17 Okay. And would this be a view the Q. 18 participants would see after they went outside and turned right? 19 20 Α. Not this -- as you clarify, not this 21 exact view with all the people and everything, but 22 there would be stagehands instead of those groups of 23 people.

Okay. So there would -- there would be

stagehands out the door, not a group of attorneys?

24

25

Q.

1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. Okay. Where would the stagehands be standing 3 in -- in that photograph? 4 Α. Should I point? 5 0. If that's easier. 6 May I approach, Your Honor? 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 9 So they would have someone stationed here 10 (witness indicating). And that's essentially where the -- the next turn is going to be. 12 BY MR. FREEMAN: 13 Okay. Okay. And that would be a stagehand 14 that was stationed there, not moving? 15 Α. Right. Correct. 16 Q. What direction would be -- would they be 17 directing them in? 18 Α. Well, they're -- they're directing the train 19 or runaround or whatever? 20 Q. Yes. 21 Α. Their next turn would be right. 22 Okay. So the participants are told to follow Q. the person in front of you, and they're also directed 24 by the positioned stagehands?

25

Α.

Yes.

They would mostly use their lights.

1 Q. Okay. Okay. One more photograph.

84 -- Exhibit 84, No. 22, please.

Okay. Does that photograph -- does that photograph, what it's depicting, look familiar?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Can you tell the jury what that is?
- A. Sure. So it's outside of the MGM again. The doors to the right are actually the first doors they exit through. They follow along the next doors that are open on the left-hand side of the screen. That's where they'd reenter the MGM.
- Q. Would you point to the two doors again so everyone understands which door they come out of and which door they enter into?
- A. So they're actually exiting out of these doors. And then they reenter through these doors.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Thank you. And that second group of doors you showed that they went in, is that the area where they'd regroup before entering the casino?
- A. Yes. So it's -- it's a hallway. It's -- it looks similar to the debriefing room, which is much, much bigger. So we just sort of -- Ryan would sort of regather everyone there. And then, in a single-file line again, we just walk through the kitchen.

- Q. Okay. Now, in your time being involved in the route, what is the audience participants' mood during that illusion?
- A. It was always really excited. They're —
 they're really happy. I think I mentioned high fives,
 everyone big on high-fiving. But everyone is just
 really excited.
 - Q. They have a chance to be part of magic?
- A. Yeah. I think they're -- they're already excited when they catch a ball, so like the start of the trick. I think the whole time, they're -- that level is matched.
- Q. Okay. They're happy to be there?
- 14 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

- 15 Q. Okay. Would you expect anything else?
- 16 A. Would I expect anything other than excited?
- 17 O. Yes.
- 18 A. No.
- Q. After the illusion, has anyone ever complained to you about being in the illusion?
- A. I've never had a complaint, no.
- Q. Did they ever complain to you about the lighting conditions?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Did anyone ever complain to you about the way

```
1
   they were treated by a stagehand?
2
        Α.
             No.
 3
                            All right.
                                        Thank you very
             MR. FREEMAN:
4
   much.
          That's all the questions.
5
             THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
 6
             MR. CALL: Good afternoon, Ms. Weall.
 7
   you doing?
8
                          I see a hand being raised.
             THE COURT:
9
   need a break, I think; right?
10
             JUROR:
                      Yes, please.
11
             THE COURT:
                         What we'll do is let's take,
   what, 15 minutes?
12
13
             MR. POPOVICH:
                             Yes.
14
                         That sounds fine.
             MR. CALL:
15
                          15 minutes and make it 5 to 4:00.
             THE COURT:
16
   Okay?
17
             During the recess, ladies and gentlemen,
   you're admonished not to talk or converse among
19
   yourselves or with anyone else, including, without
20
   limitation, the lawyers, parties, and witnesses on any
21
   subject connected with the trial or read, watch, or
22
   listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or
   any person connected with the trial by any medium of
   information, including, without limitation, newspapers,
25
   television, the internet, and radio, or to form or
```

1	express any opinion on any subject connected with the
2	trial until the case is finally submitted to you.
3	Be outside the courtroom 5 to 4:00. Thanks.
4	THE MARSHAL: All rise.
5	(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
6	THE MARSHAL: All rise. Counsel, Counsel,
7	real fast. Being in the back hallways is a privilege
8	to use the restrooms and stuff, but don't loiter and
9	don't be going down in other courtrooms because we got
10	a call. Okay? Whoever it may be.
11	(The following proceedings were held in
12	the presence of the jury.)
13	THE MARSHAL: Department is in session.
14	THE COURT: You may be seated. Do counsel
15	stipulate that the jury is present?
16	MR. DEUTSCH: Yes, Your Honor.
17	MR. POPOVICH: Yes, Your Honor.
18	MR. CALL: Yes, Your Honor.
19	Your Honor, decided to pass.
20	MR. DEUTSCH: Okay.
21	THE COURT: All right. Redirect?
22	MR. DEUTSCH: Yes.
23	I've been watching you, Roger, too long.
24	MR. STRASSBURG: Hope you learned something.
25	MR. DEUTSCH: How to drop my stuff all over

1 the place. 2 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 5 Ms. Weall, how are you? 6 A. Good. Thank you. 7 A couple of questions. I might jump 8 around a little bit. 9 The other woman who fell, you said that an MGM security guard -- that you were there when an MGM 10 security guard came over to talk to her? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 You were there when the MGM security guard 14 came over to talk to her? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Okay. And was that MGM security guard a man 17 or a woman? 18 Α. It was a man. 19 Now, you had -- I think you had mentioned 20 that -- that, during the part that you are running 21 around, that you hold the flashlight. Is that right? 22 A. Yes. 23 Ο. And I think you said that the reason you hold 24 the flashlight was to light up the -- sort of the route 25 as the people are going?

1 Α. Yes. 2 0. I want to show you 402. 3 MR. POPOVICH: Yep. 4 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 5 Exhibit 402, in evidence. And this is a Q. video of -- surveillance video from the night of the 7 accident. Okay? I don't know. Have you -- do you know -- I don't know if you've seen -- let me go back. 9 So have you seen this before? 10 Α. Yes, I have seen this. 11 Okay. When was that that you saw it? 0. 12 Α. It was in the meeting when they called me in 13 to the lawyers to explain the whole incident in the 14 first place. 15 Q. Okay. And you're -- did you -- were you able to identify yourself on this video? 17 Α. I was able to identify myself because I was 18 the last person. 19 Okay. So let me -- I'm going to just kind of Q. 20 play it. We've seen it a lot. So I'm just --21 So, as I understand it -- and tell me if I'm 22 wrong -- but this person right here is you? 23 If you need me to rewind it again so you can

see, I can do that if you're not sure.

24

25

Α.

Sure.

```
1
        Q.
             Okay. So let's rewind it.
 2
             Oh, is that you?
 3
        Α.
             Yes, the last person.
             Okay. And can you tell, by looking at this,
 4
 5
   if you were holding a flashlight?
 6
        Α.
              I --
 7
             Doesn't appear that you are.
 8
        Α.
              I know I was because I always did, but I
 9
   don't know --
10
        0.
             Let's see.
11
        Α.
             -- when I was.
12
              It would have been in my left hand.
13
        Q.
             Okay.
                     So it's your understanding that you
14
   were -- you were seen carrying a flashlight in that
15
   video?
16
        Α.
             Yes.
17
             Now, you mentioned before -- you were talking
18
   about the speed, and you talked about that -- that
19
   generally -- you used the term brisk walk, was
20
   generally the speed; right?
21
        Α.
              Yes.
22
             But you said sometimes people did run;
        Q.
23
   correct?
24
        A.
             Correct.
25
              Okay. And is it fair of me to say that you
        Q.
```

- 1 don't have an independent recollection of the night of
 2 Mr. Cox's accident? Is that fair?
 3 A. That's fair.
 - Q. Okay. So, therefore, on that night, you don't know if they were running or brisk-walking or something else?
- 7 A. On that night, no. Just generally.
 - Q. And, obviously, the speed that they were going on that night is really what's relevant to this case. Would you agree with that?
- 11 A. Sure.

5

6

8

9

- 12 Q. Now, you were asked a question by
- 13 Mr. Popovich, I believe, about times that maybe
- 14 Mr. Copperfield got angry.
- Do you recall that?
- 16 A. I think he asked if he got angry.
- Q. Right. If he got angry.
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. I want to read you the question that he asked you and your answer, and then I want to ask some
- 21 follow-up questions. Okay?
- 22 So the question was -- and this is at
- 23 | 15:11:59.
- 24 MS. FRESCH: Which page?
- MR. DEUTSCH: You know, I can't quite

1 understand how these numbers work. It's from the 2 realtime. 3 MR. POPOVICH: The time stamp? 4 The time stamp is because the MR. DEUTSCH: 5 pages seem to -- I don't understand how they work. says it's 7916, then 15:11:59. 7 MR. RUSSELL: Oh, I'm sorry. 8 MR. DEUTSCH: The realtime. 9 MR. RUSSELL: Go ahead. 10 MR. DEUTSCH: You got it? 11 "QUESTION: Have you ever seen 12 Mr. Copperfield angry about it taking longer? 13 "ANSWER: No. He would definitely 14 inquire. He would just wonder, you know, if 15 there was a reason or if the reason was simply 16 the group is moving a little bit slower, which 17 was always the reason. So he would just ask 18 why if we had to hold people back, and then the 19 reason was always the same. It was always just 20 people were moving a little bit slower, so we 21 didn't end up reappearing with them. 22 "No. He would definitely inquire. 23 He would just wonder, you know, if there was a 24 reason" -- I think it was actually typed twice,

but I will keep reading -- "or the reason was

1 simply the group is moving a little bit slower, 2 which was always the reason. So he would just 3 ask why if we had held people back. And the 4 reason was always that same. It was always 5 people moving a bit slower." BY MR. DEUTSCH: 6 7 Q. Do you recall being asked that question and giving that answer? 9 Α. Yes. 10 But, in fact, Ms. Weall, there were actually other reasons other than people walking slower that you 11 12 l had to do that and when Mr. Copperfield would inquire 13 about it; true? Moving slower is not the only reason that Mr. Copperfield would inquire about why things 15 l took longer; isn't that true? 16 MR. RUSSELL: Objection. Foundation as to 17 why he would inquire. 18 MS. FRESCH: And speculation. 19 MR. DEUTSCH: I'll rephrase the question. 20 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 21 Q. People moving slower. Here, you said that 22 that was always the reason. But, in fact, sometimes there were other reasons why it would take longer; 23 24 true?

It could be true.

25

Α.

For me, I know when I was

1 there, if we were moving slower and didn't reappear --2 Q. That's not the question I asked you, 3 Ms. Weall. 4 Α. Oh, sorry. 5 The question I asked you were, in the court just a little while ago, you told this jury that the only reason that it would take longer was because people would be moving slower. 9 My question is isn't it true that there were 10 other reasons people would be moving slower? Yes or 11 no. 12 A. For me --13 MR. RUSSELL: Objection. Foundation. 14 I'll allow it. THE COURT: 15 THE WITNESS: For me, that was always the 16 reason. 17 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 18 Let me ask you if you remember being asked 19 this question or giving this answer at your deposition. 20 Page 34 at line 18. Let's put it up on the screen. 21 MS. FRESCH: No objection. 22 MR. POPOVICH: No objections. 23 MR. DEUTSCH: 24 "QUESTION: If it took too long, would 25 David or had David ever become angry that the

1 time had taken too long to get participants 2 back into the audience? 3 No. He would wonder if we were "ANSWER: 4 Just making sure if there was an 5 obstacle or, if there was something weird, that 6 we get it resolved. But he was never angry 7 about it." 8 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 9 Do you remember me asking that question and Q. 10 giving that answer? 11 Α. Yes. 12 So, in fact, sometimes there were obstacles Q. 13 in the way that caused you to take longer; true? 14 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Misstates --15 MR. RUSSELL: Misstates testimony. 16 MR. DEUTSCH: I'm asking her. 17 THE COURT: I will sustain it. 18 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 19 Q. Well, was one of the reasons that it would 20 sometimes take longer because there was obstacle in the 21 path? 22 I guess I view obstacle as someone moving a Α. 23 little bit slower. 24 Q. How about something weird? You obviously, in your deposition, denoted the difference between

obstacles and something weird.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

So what weird things occurred?

- A. I know -- so when I say high fives, I mean, people are really excited about giving high fives. And the people along the path, like the stagehands, they want to high-five every single person along the way, which would, in turn, slow us down. I thought that was a little weird, but everyone is also excited, which is understandable.
- Q. So just so we're clear, the things that would slow you down are people walking slowly, high-fiving the security guards; right?
 - A. The stagehand.
- Q. And that's it. No -- nothing having to do with obstacles; right?
- 16 A. Yeah. Sure.
- Q. Is there a reason why you didn't say "walking slow" and instead used the term "was an obstacle"?
- MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
- THE COURT: Do you want to maybe put it on the screen so she can see what you're referring to?
- MR. DEUTSCH: Sure.
- 24 BY MR. DEUTSCH:
- 25 Q. I just want to see if I understand your --

your testimony correctly, so give me one second. 2 So if I understand your testimony correctly, 3 at your deposition where you said "if there was an obstacle, " "if there was an obstacle, " you aren't 4 referring to specific, like, things that people can 6 either trip on or slip on or anything like that? 7 MR. RUSSELL: Objection. Asked and answered. 8 THE COURT: Overruled. 9 THE WITNESS: Do I answer? Sorry. 10 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 11 Q. You can answer. 12 Α. Okay. No, so he would just inquire what in 13 general --14 Q. That's not what I asked you. 15 Α. Okay. 16 What I asked you was if, when you said in Q. 17 your deposition that you were making sure if there was 18 an obstacle, you weren't talking about a physical 19 obstacle that you could trip on or slip on in the way 20 of the run or walk. 21 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Misconstrues the 22 testimony. 23 MR. RUSSELL: Objection. It's what 24 Mr. Copperfield --25 THE COURT: Overruled. If it does, she can

1 say so.

5

7

8

11

12

13

14

22

2 THE WITNESS: I'm so sorry. Can you ask one

3 more time?

BY MR. DEUTSCH:

Sure. When you said at your deposition that Mr. Copperfield would wonder if you were late and he was making sure if there was an obstacle. So my question to you is, when you said that, that "he was just making sure if there was an obstacle," is that him 10 wondering if there was some sort of physical hazard in the route that could be tripped on or slipped on or have to go around or something like that?

> MR. RUSSELL: Objection. Speculation.

You can ask her what she means THE COURT:

15 by ·

16 That's what I'm asking her, I MR. DEUTSCH:

17 thought.

18 BY MR. DEUTSCH:

- 19 Is that what you meant when you said "just Ο. 20 making sure if there was an obstacle"? Is that what 21 you meant?
- Α. Sort of. So along the route -- I wasn't a part of the precheck, but anytime -- I don't know if you guys covered it, but there's another trick right 25 before and they do the similar checks.

1 Q. Let me stop you. 2 MR. RUSSELL: We already talked about that. 3 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 4 We're going to talk about that in a second. 5 A. So if a door was closed, like, that would be an obstacle slowing us down. 7 0. I understand. But this question that was 8 asked of you at the deposition had nothing to do with prior tricks or anything else. This question 10 specifically asked you about reasons why it could take 11 too long to get the participants back into the lines. 12 That's what the question was. True? 13 Α. True. 14 And the answer you gave is that Ο. 15 Mr. Copperfield would want to make sure that there 16 l wasn't an obstacle in the way. That's what you said at 17 your deposition. True? 18 Α. True. 19 Q. And what you were talking about was physical 20 obstacles that you could either trip on or slip on or 21 have to go around. True? 22 Α. Mostly go around if a door was closed True.

23

24

25

for whatever reason.

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. But we've been told that the security guards for MGM know this like clockwork and are always there to open the doors.
- A. Yes.
 - Q. So that didn't happen very often?
- A. No. He would still inquire.
- Q. No, no. The fact that the doors wouldn't be open for you guys didn't really happen very often because MGM knew exactly when you were coming through.
- MR. RUSSELL: Asked and answered. She answered the question.
- 13 BY MR. DEUTSCH:
- 14 Q. True?
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 16 THE WITNESS: That's true. But he would
- 17 still inquire.
- 18 BY MR. DEUTSCH:
- Q. He would inquire about -- no, no, no. Maybe we're not understanding each other.
- 21 A. I'm sorry.
- Q. I apologize. But what we're talking about right now is that there were times when it took longer than usual to do the runaround. True?
- 25 A. True.

If something weird happened.

25

Α.

- Q. Right. So and the something weird that you say you were referring to at your deposition was that people would be high-fiving the security guard, and that could slow them down?
 - A. Yes.

10.

- Q. Okay. But you said that happened pretty frequently. So that, I guess, wouldn't really be weird, would it?
- A. It wouldn't happen frequently in the sense that it would slow everyone down, but I feel like it was quite often that people would want to want to high-five. If they were trying to high-five every single person they saw, that would slow it down.
- Q. So let me see if I understand this. You would tell Mr. Copperfield, if people were high-fiving the security guards during the runaround, that, you felt like it was something that you needed to tell him; right? That's what you said here, that that's what you would tell him.
- A. If it affected reappearing.
- Q. Okay. But if someone fell during the runaround, you told us before that that was something that he didn't need to know?
- 24 A. I was just --
 - Q. That's what you told us before.

1 MR. RUSSELL: Asked and answered. BY MR. DEUTSCH: 3 Q. Right. THE COURT: Overruled. 5 BY MR. DEUTSCH: Q. You told us before that, if someone fell, Mr. Copperfield wouldn't need to know that. But if someone high-fived a security quard during the 9 runaround, that's something you would tell him. 10 MS. FRESCH: Objection. Misstates her prior 11 testimony. 12 MR. RUSSELL: And badgering at this point, 13 Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 15 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 16 Is that true? Is that what your testimony is Q. 17 to this jury? 18 Could you repeat your question. 19 Sure. Is your testimony to the jury that Q. 20 Mr. Copperfield wouldn't want to or wouldn't need to know if someone fell during the runaround, but if some 22 people were high-fiving the security guards during the runaround, that's something he would want to know? 24 that your testimony to the jury? 25 MS. FRESCH: Objection. Lacks foundation.

Misstates her prior testimony. 2 THE COURT: Overruled. 3 THE WITNESS: So he would --4 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 5 That's not my question. It's a yes/no Ο. 6 question. 7 THE COURT: She can answer the question. 8 It was a yes/no question, Your MR. DEUTSCH: 9 Honor. It's a yes/no question. The question is --10 THE COURT: You said is that what you're 11 saying? 12 MR. DEUTSCH: I said, "Is it your testimony." 13 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 14 Yes or no, is it your testimony to this jury 15 that Mr. Copperfield would not need to know about 16 l someone falling but would need to know about the fact 17 that the people in the runaround were high-fiving 18 security guards? Is that your testimony? Yes or no. 19 Do you mean for me or in general? Α. 20 In general. Q. 21 I feel he would need to know in general, not A. 22 necessarily from me specifically. So if there were 23 just, for example -- not saying -- but if there were 24 something that would happen, he would walk through that

with the stage manager most likely.

1 Q. I understand that, but I don't think you 2 quite answered my question. 3 You told the jury before that Mr. Copperfield 4 wouldn't need to know about whether or not someone fell 5 during the runaround. 6 MR. FREEMAN: Misstates testimony, Your 7 Honor. 8 MR. POPOVICH: Join. 9 THE COURT: If she does, she can say so. 10 l It's her testimony, so she can --11 MR. DEUTSCH: You know what? I'll withdraw 12 the question. BY MR. DEUTSCH: 13 14 So let's get back to this obstacle thing. 15 Α. Okay. 16 Q. Other than the door not being open, what 17 l other obstacles -- physical obstacles were you 18 referring to here? You said mostly it was the door. 19 "Mostly" means not always, means there must be 20 something else. So what is the something else? 21 MR. RUSSELL: Object. She didn't say --22 misstates testimony. 23 THE COURT: She can clarify what she intends 24 by her use of the term "obstacle." I'll allow that. 25 I quess I just used that as a THE WITNESS:

vague way to describe something out of the ordinary.

2 BY MR. DEUTSCH:

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

22

- That's what I'm trying to figure out, though. Q. We're trying to figure out these out-of-the-ordinary things that would slow you down. I'm just trying to get the complete list.
- We've got people walk too slowly, they mosey. 8 I We have people high-five people. We have the doors not being opened. You said that was mostly the obstacle you were referring to. And now I'm asking you what the other part of that is. "Mostly" means that there's other things. So what are the other obstacles that you're referring to?
 - For me personally, that was about it --Α.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 -- that I experienced.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, you were shown a picture. 18 was -- you had mentioned before that the backstage --19 excuse me -- the backstage area when you first got off 20 the dragon, that was the darkest part of this -- the 21 runaround?
 - A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And you were shown this picture. Ι think it was No. 6, 84-6. That one; right? 24
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And -- and that's in the backstage area that you were talking about, which is the darkest part of the runaround?
 - Α. Yes.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 l

11

12

13

15 l

17

18

- Q. So just to be clear, the lighting in this photograph is not what it looks like on show night; is that true?
 - Α. True.
- When -- when it was going too slowly and you said people didn't reappear, I just wasn't clear. that just the one person who was moving too slowly or the whole group or sometimes both?
- Α. Sometimes both. And -- and both occasions, they were very rare, in a handful of times. So if the majority of the group is moving pretty slow, that's 16 when we would have the music be stretched so that we had enough time to reappear. If it's just two or three, or even just one, then, in that case --
 - 0. Then you'd just pull them out?
- 20 Α. Yeah.
- 21 Q. But if it was the whole group, you would 22 stretch it?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 But if it was just one, you'd pull them out? Q.
- 25 A. Yes.

1,	Q.	And I think you said also that the woman who	
2	fell, that you were the one who helped her up?		
3	A.	Yes.	
4	Q.	Do you recall if there was any any of the	
5	other par	ticipants helped her up also, or was it just	
6	you?		
7	A.	It was just me. They were all in front of	
8	her.		
9	Q.	Okay.	
10		Okay. I have nothing further. Thank you,	
11	Ms. Weall.		
12	A.	Thank you.	
13		THE COURT: Mr. Russell?	
14		MR. DEUTSCH: Are are we now going to	
15	have		
16		MR. RUSSELL: No, not in a second.	
17			
18		RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
19	BY MR. RUSSELL:		
20	Q.	I'm not sure I understand what obstacle means	
21	anymore at this point, but let me see if I understand.		
22	A.	Okay.	
23	Q.	In your experience, if someone falling had	
24	caused the people to arrive for the reappearance late,		
25	would that have been something you would communicate to		

1 Mr. Copperfield? If that was the reason you were late,

2 because somebody fell, would you have communicated

that?

4

7

8

9

10

11

16

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. The one time the woman fell, did that make the reappearance late?
 - A. It did not.
 - Q. Okay. So were you ever involved in a situation in your time with the Thirteen Illusion where someone fell and you had to tell Mr. Copperfield that that was the reason you were late?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Take a look at the screen again.

14 Let's just show Exhibit 402.

Go ahead and watch it again.

(Whereupon video was played.)

- 17 BY MR. RUSSELL:
- Q. And now just focus on yourself coming into the building.
- Okay. Stop right there. Back it up just a couple of shades there, Audra. Right there is good.
- 22 Just take it frame by frame.
- I think you told Mr. Deutsch that you would keep the flashlight in your left hand; is that correct?
- A. If I remember correctly.

1	Q. Okay.						
2	Go a couple of frames up, Audra, please.						
3	And if you look now to the left of your body,						
4	do you see a flashlight shining on the ground?						
5	A. Yes.						
6	Q. And Mr. Deutsch asked you that what would be						
7	relevant to this case is the pace that the illusion						
8	moved at on the night of Mr. Cox's accident. You						
9	remember he asked you that question?						
10	A. Yes.						
11	Q. You've now seen this video. The pace that						
12	you're moving in this video, is that consistent with						
13	the pace you would usually use during the Thirteen						
14	Illusion?						
15	A. Yes.						
16	Q. Okay. Thank you, Ms. Weall.						
17	THE COURT: Mr. Popovich?						
18	MR. POPOVICH: Just a few real quick.						
19							
20	RECROSS-EXAMINATION						
21	BY MR. POPOVICH:						
22	Q. When participants are high-fiving stagehands,						
23	do they appear to be confused about what they're doing						
24	in the process?						
25	A. No. They're so excited. That's why they're						

high-fiving. 1 2 Q. When they're high-fiving those stagehands, 3 does it look like they're in chaos? 4 Α. No. 5 Ο. Or pandemonium? 6 Α. No. 7 It looks like they're having fun; right? Q. Yeah. 8 Α. 9 MR. DEUTSCH: Just -- just --10 THE COURT: Wait a second. Is there any --11 any other questions from the team? 12 MR. FREEMAN: No further. 13 Just one question, Your Honor. MR. DEUTSCH: 14 15 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DEUTSCH: 17 So Mr. Russell just asked you about, you Ο. 18 know, that -- that if -- if the person fell and that 19 slowed down the ability to reappear, then you would 20 tell Mr. Copperfield; right? That's what Mr. Russell 21 just asked you; correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. So what that means to me -- and let me Q. 24 know if you agree -- is that the only thing **25** | Mr. Copperfield cares about is whether his illusion

```
looks good and doesn't care about whether someone got
 2
   hurt or not.
 3
             MR. RUSSELL:
                           Objection.
 4
             THE COURT:
                         Sustained.
   BY MR. DEUTSCH:
 5
 6
        Q.
             Well, if -- if you -- if you -- if
   Mr. Copperfield would only be told about someone
 8
   falling if it slowed down his illusion and he wouldn't
   want to know about someone falling if the illusion
10
   didn't slow down, what does that say to you?
11
             MR. RUSSELL: Objection. Speculation.
12
             THE COURT: Sustained.
13
             MR. POPOVICH:
                             Join.
14
             MR. DEUTSCH: Nothing further.
15
             THE COURT: All right. Now, the witness will
16
   remain.
            Okay?
17
             At this time, all persons who are not
   parties, counsel, jurors, or court personnel are
19
   directed to leave the courtroom. And any official
20
   cameras in the courtroom -- any unofficial cameras and
21
   recordings or other effective media are to be
22
   inactivated. Okay?
23
             So anybody who is in the courtroom who's not
24
   a party, an attorney, or a juror, or court personnel.
25
                   (Whereupon media exited the courtroom.)
```

```
1
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Russell?
 2
             MR. RUSSELL: Audra, can you please pull up
 3
   402, Clip 5.
 4
             THE COURT: And we're dealing with that
 5
   aspect of your cross-examination --
 6
             MR. RUSSELL: Correct.
 7
             THE COURT: -- that has to do with things
8
   that are not --
 9
             MR. RUSSELL: Correct. They're not public
10
   record.
            Correct.
11
12
                         EXAMINATION
13
   BY MR. RUSSELL:
14
             Pomai, you -- you mentioned -- started to
   mention earlier during your testimony an earlier
   illusion.
16
17
             MR. RUSSELL: Feedback.
18
             THE MARSHAL: I don't know if it's recording.
19
             MR. RUSSELL: Thank you.
20
   BY MR. RUSSELL:
21
             Are you familiar with an illusion called the
        Q.
22
   Fan?
23
        A.
             Yes.
24
             All right. Tell the jury what -- what the
        Q.
25
   Fan is.
```

1 So it's actually pretty similar to Thirteen Α. except for David would be the one disappearing from stage and reappearing in the audience. 3 4 Are you part of that illusion? 5 A. I was. 6 All right. And tell the jury how you play a role in that illusion. 7 8 So I basically assist him exiting from stage, Α. 9 disappearing from stage. And I basically do a very 10 similar runaround. So it's the same exact route, 11 just -- just with David instead of a group of people. 12 But, yeah, we'd go -- we'd exit the theater, 13 reenter MGM, and then reenter the -- the back of the 14 theater. 15 0. And as you're proceeding along that route with Mr. Copperfield, where are you in relation to him 17 when you're outside the MGM? 18 So, outside, I actually get in front of him, 19 and then he follows me the rest of the way. 20 Q. If you were to see any hazards along that 21 route while you were proceeding with Mr. Copperfield, 22 how would you respond to that? 23 Α. If I saw any hazards? 24 Ο. Yeah.

I would definitely alert him in some way, and

25

Α.

then we would work to remove a hazard if there was one. 2 MR. RUSSELL: Audra, please go ahead and play Exhibit 402, Clip 5. 4 (Whereupon video was played.) 5 BY MR. RUSSELL: 6 Q. Okay. So is that the Fan there that you're 7 seeing? 8 A. So that's -- what you just saw was Yes. David and then two -- me and another DC World -- an 9 10 assistant. 11 Q. The first person that walks through the door that was -- that was you? 13 Α. Yes. 14 The -- and I'm not asking you as -- as any Q. 15 sort of expert in cameras. 16 A. Okay. 17 However, you are familiar with the lighting outside the MGM during the time that you're running 19 these illusions; correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 As you view the video there, is the lighting 22 on that video, from your perspective, darker than, 23 lighter than, or the same as the actual lighting 24 conditions --25 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Overruled.						
2	BY MR. RUSSELL:						
3	Q. Go ahead.						
4	A. Answer?						
5	In my opinion, this looks way darker than						
6	what I felt like it actually was lit.						
7	MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor. Move to						
8	strike what she felt. In her opinion?						
9	MR. RUSSELL: Well, I said from her						
10	perspective. That was the question, Your Honor. It's						
11	a personal it's a percipient witness who's got her						
12	own perception of the						
13	THE COURT: Motion is denied.						
14	Next question.						
15	MR. RUSSELL: Thank you. No further						
16	questions.						
17	Thank you, Pomai.						
18	THE COURT: Anybody else?						
19	MR. POPOVICH: No.						
20	THE COURT: Any questions regarding that						
21	aspect of the cross-examination or how about you,						
22	Mr. Deutsch? Any redirect on that point?						
23	MR. DEUTSCH: I don't think I have anything,						
24	Your Honor.						
25	THE COURT: Okay.						

1 That's all, Your Honor. MR. RUSSELL: 2 THE COURT: You can stand down. 3 MR. RUSSELL: We have some juror questions. 4 THE COURT: Hey, Bob. 5 JUROR NO. 8: No, no. 6 (A discussion was held at the bench, 7 not reported.) 8 THE COURT: Bob, the courtroom is reopened. 9 MR. POPOVICH: The courtroom is open again. 10 You can invite the media. 11 THE COURT: I don't think there's any particular order in which these questions need to be 13 l I'll ask each one of the questions that I will 14 be posing separately, of course. And then you can 15 follow up with the witness after I've -- after she's answered the questions. 17 MR. DEUTSCH: After each one. 18 THE COURT: Okay. The courtroom's reopened. 19 At this time, I have received several 20 questions from members of the jury. I'm going -- that 21 there's -- one of these questions, it's not really a 22 question to the witness. So that item will be 23 deferred. Counsel has been made aware of what it is, 24 and counsel will do what they think needs to be done relative to the subject matter of that item.

1 And that has to do with photos. Okay? 2 The rest of these will be posed by the Court. 3 And the witness will respond to the question, then I'll allow counsel to follow up with each of the questions 5 if they wish to do so. 6 Okay. First question here: From the point 7 of reappearance to the debriefing room, are there any 8 stairs the participants must walk down or up? THE WITNESS: Yes. There are stairs they 10 have to walk down. So when they reappear in the back 11 of the theater, they're sort of at the top of the 12 stairs, if you will. And then, as they make their way 13 down to stage level, they're actually descending 14 stairs. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Next court exhibit in 16 order. 17 And any follow-up on that? 18 MR. DEUTSCH: No. 19 MR. RUSSELL: No. 20 MR. POPOVICH: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Next question: Was the reappearance delayed or late on the night -- the show 23 time of the incident? 24 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can you repeat 25 that.

```
1
             THE COURT:
                         Was the -- was the reappearance
2
   delayed/late on the night/show time of the incident?
3
                           I -- I don't remember that
             THE WITNESS:
 4
   night.
5
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 6
             Any follow-up?
7
             MR. POPOVICH: No, Your Honor.
8
             MR. DEUTSCH:
                           No, Your Honor.
9
             MR. POPOVICH: Okay. No, Your Honor.
10
             MR. DEUTSCH: No, Your Honor. Nothing.
11
             MR. RUSSELL:
                           No.
12
             THE COURT: Next court exhibit number.
13
             Okay. Did you lose sight of Cox on the night
14
   of the accident?
15
             THE WITNESS:
                            I -- I wouldn't even remember
   that show or night, let alone him specifically in
17
   participating.
18
             THE COURT: Did you notice Mr. Cox getting
19
   help or anything?
20
             THE WITNESS: I don't remember seeing
21
   anything.
22
             MR. DEUTSCH:
                           I do have a couple follow-up
23
   questions, Your Honor.
24
                          EXAMINATION
25
   /////
```

BY MR. DEUTSCH:

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

13

14

16

- Q. That -- is it fair for me to say that the reason you don't remember that specific night is because it was a long time ago?
 - A. Probably.
- Q. Okay. And is there a reason why you were able to remember the specifics about the other woman who had fallen and the specific conversation she had with the security guard but you don't remember anything about this night?
- A. I know, for the woman, she was right in front of me. So I remember seeing it. And it for me, that was the only time I remember anything like that happening. I don't remember seeing anyone else fall besides that one woman, so it really stuck out
 - Q. Stuck out in your mind?
- 17 A. -- in my mind.
- 18 Q. Okay. Fair enough. Thank you.
- MR. POPOVICH: Your Honor, I would like to
 follow up on that by showing Exhibit 402, Clip 8, which
 is the actual Thirteen Illusion.
- THE COURT: All right.
- MS. FRESCH: On that night?
- 24 MR. POPOVICH: On that night.
- 25 And can I go forward?

1	THE COURT: Yes.					
2	(Whereupon video was played.)					
3	EXAMINATION					
4	BY MR. POPOVICH:					
5	Q. Well and stop.					
6	Ms. Weall, can you see in this area a bit of					
7	light?					
8	A. I do see light.					
9	Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to assume, just					
10	for the watching of the rest of the video, that that					
11	was Mr. Cox, where he fell.					
12	A. Okay.					
13	Q. Okay.					
14	MR. DEUTSCH: Objection, Your Honor.					
15	Hypothetical to a lay witness?					
16	MR. POPOVICH: It's been happening, Your					
17	Honor.					
18	THE COURT: I'll allow it.					
19	MR. POPOVICH: Thank you.					
20	BY MR. POPOVICH:					
21	Q. And when we proceed, I want you to see what					
22	you can see about what happens with him and then when					
23	you appear timing-wise. Okay?					
24	A. Okay.					
25	MR. POPOVICH: Now, can you roll it.					

1 (Whereupon video was played.) 2 BY MR. POPOVICH: 3 Q. Did you see a person in kind of a 4 yellowish-gold shirt seeming to stop and maybe help? 5 I -- I didn't really see specifics of that, 6 but I did see someone in a yellow shirt. 7 MR. POPOVICH: Can we back up to that same 8 spot. BY MR. POPOVICH: 9 10 Q. All right. 11 A. Okay. 12 Q. Towards the -- the bend, I think you're going 13 to see the yellow shirt, and I believe the white shirt 14 is Mr. Cox getting up. 15 Α. Oh, okay. 16 Q. And so if we roll it forward there, and 17 you're now appearing; correct? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Can you tell whether or not, by the time you 20 came around the right turn, did it appear that Mr. Cox 21 would have been up on his feet by then? 22 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection to the form. 23 THE COURT: Overruled. 24 MR. DEUTSCH: Foundation. 25 THE COURT: Well, "the person" -- you can say

```
1
   "the person."
 2
   BY MR. POPOVICH:
 3
             The person. The person in the white shirt,
        0.
 4
   did --
 5
             MR. DEUTSCH: Well, that's not --
   BY MR. POPOVICH:
7
             -- did it appear that that person was on his
   or her feet by the time you would have come around the
8
 9
   corner?
10
        Α.
             From what I see here, yes.
11
             Just from your knowledge of the illusion, the
        Q.
   timing you see in this video?
13
        A.
             Yes.
14
        Ο.
             Nothing further.
                                Thank you.
15
             MR. DEUTSCH: Just a couple of questions.
16
             THE COURT:
                          Is there anything else from any
   of the defendants' counsel?
17
18
             MR. RUSSELL: No, Your Honor.
19
             MR. CALL: No, Your Honor.
20
             MR. DEUTSCH: Couple of questions.
21
             Would you mind just throwing it up instead of
   having to switch back and forth.
                                      Thank you.
23
                   (Whereupon video was played.)
24
                          EXAMINATION
   11111
25
```

1 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 2 Now, this video, you could only see yourself Q. once you get up past this tree up over in this area; 4 correct? 5 A. In the video, yes. 6 Q. Okay. So coming around the corner, you can't 7 see at all in this video because there's a big tree 8 there; right? Is that true? 10 Α. Sorry. I'm not understanding. 11 Well, you can't see when you came around the Ο. 12 corner because there's a big tree covering that whole 13 area? 14 Α. Oh, yes. Yes. 15 Q. Is that true? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And I notice that -- if you could just -- you **Q**. 18 know, I'll make it easier. 19 Do you mind just switching real quick, 20 Jennifer, so I can control that. 21 Thank you, though, Audra. Appreciate it. 22 THE COURT RECORDER: It's already on.

So does the -- does this -- the -- the MGM

security guard that stands on the corner, at that

23

24

25

BY MR. DEUTSCH:

Ο.

corner, does he usually stay in that spot? 2 MR. POPOVICH: Stagehand? 3 BY MR. DEUTSCH: The stagehand. Sorry. What did I call him? Q. 5 Security guard. Sorry. The MGM stagehand. There's a 6 guy that stands at that corner; correct? 7 Α. Yes. 8 0. And does he usually stay at that spot? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. He doesn't usually move to another spot? 11 Α. No. 12 So in this video, take a look, you can --Q. fast-forward a little bit -- you can see, I think, 14 there in that corner -- the left-hand corner of the 15 video, do you see a flashlight down there? 16 Α. Yes. 17 That's -- that would be the guy at that Ο. 18 corner with that flashlight; correct? 19 Yes. Α 20 You agree? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. And at the time that Mr. Cox falls, that guy 23 that was standing at the corner has now moved up to 24 where Mr. Cox fell; true?

It looks like that, yeah.

25

Α.

1 Q. So when you came around the corner, that quy, the MGM stagehand that would have been at that corner, had, in fact, moved to a place that you would not have 3 seen him before; true? 5 I guess, yeah. 6 0. But you didn't notice anything odd going on? 7 Α I don't remember. 8 You don't really remember one way or another? Q. 9 A. No. 10 Q. Okay. Fair enough? 11 MR. DEUTSCH: Nothing further. 12 THE COURT: Follow-up by Mr. Russell? 13 14 **EXAMINATION** 15 BY MR. RUSSELL: 16 0. Pomai, you've now watched this video several times. The amount of time from when you saw Ryan first 17 18 run into the building, you see him first enter the 19 building, until the time you come up behind and enter 20 in the building, is that amount of time any amount that 21 would have ever delayed this illusion from finishing? 22 MR. DEUTSCH: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. 24 THE COURT: If she knows.

25

/////

BY MR. RUSSELL:

1

2

3

4

5

7

- Q. If you know.
 - A. Could you repeat the question? I'm sorry.
- Q. Sure. Now that you have seen this a few times, you've seen the point where Ryan enters the building and you've see the point where you enter the building, is that time frame between when Ryan enters the building and when you enter the building, is that a
- MR. DEUTSCH: Calls for speculation. There's a lot that happens after this point as well.

time frame that would have delayed this illusion?

- THE COURT: She can state her understanding of that particular segment.
- THE WITNESS: That looks like a pretty normal pace that we would be able to reappear.
- 16 BY MR. RUSSELL:
- Q. Thank you. I think we have one more question over there. Okay. We have one more question over there.
- THE COURT: I've still got some here.
- MR. RUSSELL: Oh, some more. I apologize,
- 22 Your Honor.
- THE COURT: No, we're not done yet. All
- 24 right.
- So the last one I gave, that's court's

exhibit in order. 1 2 Now the next question, couple of questions 3 actually. "Are you -- were you aware of an incline at 4 5 the second right turn outside? 6 THE WITNESS: I -- I vaquely remember the 7 I -- I really can't remember. levels. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Well, the next part of the 9 question is this: "If so, were you concerned at all 10 l with a potential hazard while running the route?" 11 THE WITNESS: Is that that specific night or 12 in general? 13 THE COURT: It has to do with the prior part 14 of the question. It says --15 MR. DEUTSCH: In light of her lack of memory, Your Honor, the first one. 17 THE COURT: The first one was "Are you -were you aware of an incline at the second right turn 19 outside? And, if so, were you concerned at all of a 20 potential hazard while running the route?" 21 I don't remember much of an THE WITNESS: 22 incline outside, so I guess I wouldn't remember 23 there -- feeling like there was a hazard. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Any follow-up? 25 MR. RUSSELL: No, Your Honor.

1 MR. POPOVICH: No, Your Honor. 2 MS. FRESCH: No, Your Honor. 3 MR. DEUTSCH: I have something, Your Honor. 4 Just got to find what I'm looking for. Give me one 5 second. 6 I want to show you --7 I'm pretty sure this is in evidence already. 8 It's 93-173. Yes? 9 10 EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 12 Q. How many times did you do this illusion, do 13 you think, the runaround part? 14 Α. Like, all together or maybe a week, per week? 15 Q. No, all together. Hundred? 16 Probably more than that. 17 Q. Okay. And we're looking at the corner, I think, if you recognize it, coming from -- this is 19 coming from the first set of doors, and then they would 20 make a right-hand turn into the other doors; correct? 21 Α. Correct. 22 Q. Okay. Is that the incline that you don't 23 remember? 24 A. Yeah, I guess not. I don't remember that. 25 JUROR NO. 8: That's kind of funny.

```
1
             MR. DEUTSCH:
                           I have nothing further, Your
 2
   Honor.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Follow-up?
 4
             MR. RUSSELL:
                           None, Your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: Okay. That's the next court
 6
   exhibit in order.
 7
             Okay. Now, "If you remember, the woman that
   fell, one, wearing a skirt? dress? pants?
 9
             THE WITNESS:
                           I don't remember what she was
10
   wearing.
11
             THE COURT: "Was there any debris or dust
12
   present?"
13
             THE WITNESS: On her or the -- or --
14
             MR. DEUTSCH: On the prior woman.
15
             THE WITNESS: On her person?
16
             THE COURT:
                         This is the woman that fell.
17
             THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. No, I don't
              I don't remember.
18
   remember.
19
             THE COURT: Okay. Now, "The night of the
20
   accident, if you remember, did you notice any dust on
   the route?"
21
22
             THE WITNESS:
                           I don't remember seeing dust in
   general, but definitely not anything specific from that
24
   night.
25
             THE COURT: "Any dust on your shoes?"
```

THE WITNESS: No, not that I recall.					
THE COURT: Okay. Follow-up?					
MR. DEUTSCH: Just one.					
EXAMINATION					
BY MR. DEUTSCH:					
Q. So just so I'm clear in terms of the dust					
that night, your testimony is that, I think you told us					
already, that you don't have any specific recollection					
of that night at all; correct?					
A. Uh-huh, yes.					
Q. So when you is that a yes?					
A. Yes.					
Q. So the answer you gave to the judge was just					
a general recollection. About that specific night you					
don't recall one way or another; is that fair?					
A. Yes.					
MR. DEUTSCH: Okay. Nothing further.					
MR. RUSSELL: Nothing, Your Honor.					
MR. POPOVICH: Thank you.					
EXAMINATION					
BY MR. POPOVICH:					
Q. What color were your shoes?					
A. They were black.					

1 Q. Dust would show? Α. It would show. 3 Going back to the woman who fell, do you have Q. 4 any recollection of forming an opinion that she fell 5 because of a condition on the ground? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Whether it's debris, a hole, a crack, 8 anything? 9 Α. No. 10 MR. POPOVICH: Okay. Thank you. 11 12 **EXAMINATION** 13 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 14 Just to clarify, you don't have any 15 recollection of forming an opinion; correct? 16 Α. No, I don't remember her tripping -- I remember her tripping over her shoe, not over something 17 18 like a crack or something. 19 Okay. So she tripped over her shoe just Ο. 20 because she was running in the dark. 21 MS. FRESCH: Well, objection. Speculation. 22 BY MR. DEUTSCH: 23 Q. No? No? I'm just asking if that's what 24 happened. 25 If she tripped over her shoe? Α.

1 MR. DEUTSCH: Okay. Thank you. Nothing 2 further. 3 THE COURT: Okay. That's the next court 4 exhibit in order. Okay. 5 And the last one, as I indicated earlier, 6 it's not a question to the witness, but counsel has 7 been made aware of its subject matter. All right? will be made the next court exhibit in order. 9 counsel want to look at it, you're free to do so. 10 Okay. Anything else? 11 MR. DEUTSCH: Is there any -- was there 12 another question? Was there one more? I thought we 13 had one more question. 14 No, that was the question. JUROR: 15 MR. DEUTSCH: Got you. Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Ma'am, you may stand down. 17 you very much. 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: I will ask counsel to approach. 20 (A discussion was held at the bench, 21 not reported.) 22 THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, at this time we're going to adjourn as far as you're 24 concerned. Counsel and the Court have to do a few 25 things before we can adjourn. But, in any event, we'll

adjourn now with the jury and reconvene tomorrow morning at 9:00.

2

3

4

5

7

11

12

13

14

15

16.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You're admonished, in the meantime, not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties, and witnesses on any subject connected with the trial or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information, including, 10 | without limitation, newspapers, television, the internet, and radio, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Once again, you're directed to return to the outside of the courtroom, just to the south of the courtroom, tomorrow, Friday, April 27th, 2018, no later than 8:50 a.m., 10 to 9:00, so we can resume here at 9:00.

Thank you very much. Have a nice night, ladies and gentlemen. See you tomorrow.

> (The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

I understand, Mr. Deutsch, you wanted to make a record relative to a deposition.

1	MR. DEUTSCH: Oh, no. We just wanted to						
2	to publish						
3	THE COURT: That's what I mean. Yeah, to						
4	publish.						
5	MR. DEUTSCH: Yeah, that was Ms. Weall's						
6	deposition, I believe.						
7	THE COURT: Okay. It will be it's ordered						
8	published, then.						
9	MR. DEUTSCH: Thank you.						
10	THE COURT: All right. So see you tomorrow,						
11	everybody. Have a nice night.						
12	(Thereupon, the proceedings						
13	concluded at 4:55 p.m.)						
14							
15							
16							
17	-000-						
18							
19	ATTEST: FULL, TRUE, AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF						
20	PROCEEDINGS.						
21							
22							
23	,, 1. A. I.						
24	KristyClark						
25	KRISTY L. CLARK, CCR #708						

```
CASE NO. A705164
 1
 2
    DEPT. NO. 13
 3
    DOCKET U
 4
                        DISTRICT COURT
 5
                     CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
 6
    GAVIN COX and MINH-HAHN COX,
    husband and wife,
 8
            Plaintiffs,
 9
           VS.
10
    MGM GRAND HOTEL, LLC; DAVID
11
    COPPERFIELD aka DAVIS S. KOTKIN;
    BACKSTAGE EMPLOYMENT AND REFERRAL,
12
     INC.; DAVID COPPERFIELD'S
    DISAPPEARING, INC.; TEAM CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, INC.;
13
    DOES 1 through 20; DOE EMPLOYEES
14
    1 through 20; and;
    ROE CORPORATIONS 1 through 20,
15
                                             REPORTER'S
                                             TRANSCRIPT
            Defendants.
16
                                                  OF
    MGM GRAND HOTEL, LLC.,
17
                                             JURY TRIAL
            Third-Party Plaintiff,
18
                                             BEFORE THE
                                             HONORABLE
19
           VS.
                                            MARK R. DENTON
20
     BEACHER'S LV, LLC, and DOES 1
     through 20, inclusive,
                                            DEPARTMENT XIII
21
            Third-Party Defendants.
                                             FRIDAY,
                                             APRIL 27, 2018
22
23
24
25
    REPORTED BY: KIMBERLY A. FARKAS, CRR, NV CCR #741
```

APPEARANCES:			
For the Plaintiff:			
MORELLI LAW FIRM			
BY: BENEDICT P. MORELLI, ESQ. BY: ADAM E. DEUTSCH, ESQ.			
BY: PERRY FALLICK, ESQ. 777 Third Avenue			
31st Floor New York, New York 10017			
(212) 751-9800 bmorelli@morellilaw.com			
adeutsch@morellilaw.com			
For the Defendant Team Construction Management,			
Inc. and Beacher's LV, LLC:			
RESNICK & LOUIS, P.C. BY: ROGER STRASSBURG, ESQ. BY: GARY CALL, ESQ.			
5940 South Rainbow Boulevard			
Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 (702) 997-3800			
gcall@rlattorneys.com			
For the Defendants MGM Grand Hotel:			
SELMAN BREITMAN, LLP BY: JERRY C. POPOVICH, ESQ.			
6 Hutton Centre Drive Suite 1100			
Sulte 1100 Santa Ana, California 92707 (714) 647-9700			
jpopovich@selmanlaw.com			
- AND -			
SELMAN BREITMAN, LLP			
BY: ERIC O. FREEMAN, ESQ. 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway			
Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 228-7717			
efreeman@selmanbreitman.com			

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):					
2	For the Defendant Backstage Employment and Referral, Inc.:					
3						
4	WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS GUNN & DIAL BY: D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ.					
5	BY: HOWARD RUSSELL, ESQ. 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard					
6	Suite 400					
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 (702) 938-3838					
8	lroberts@wwhgd.com					
9	For the Defendants David Copperfield's					
10	Disappearing, Inc. and David Copperfield aka David S. Kotkin:					
11	SELMAN BREITMAN, LLP					
12	BY: ELAINE K. FRESCH, ESQ. 11766 Wilshire Boulevard					
13	Sixth Floor Los Angeles, California					
14	90025-6538 (310) 445-0800					
15	efreschlaw.com					
16						
17	* * * * *					
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

	1					
1	INDEX					
2	Witness:	Direct:	Cross:	Redirect:	Recross:	
3	Mark					
4	Habersack	13	166			
5			189			
6		•				
7					,	
8						
9						
10	EXHIBITS					
11	Number:	Marke	ed:	Admitted:	Joint:	
12	D552-2			138		
13	D536 pages	1 and 64		144		
14	90 page 61			198		
15	401-5			215		
16	553			229		
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, APRIL 27, 2018; 2 9:11 A.M. 3 4 PROCEEDINGS 5 6 (The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury.) 8 THE MARSHAL: All rise. Eighth Judicial 9 District Court, Department 13, is now in session, 10 the Honorable Mark Denton presiding. 11 THE COURT: Good morning. Please be 1.2 seated. We're reconvening the jury trial in the 13 case of Cox v. MGM Grand Hotel, LLC, et al. 14 Please state appearances of counsel, identify 15 parties and party representatives who are present. 16 MR. MORELLI: Benedict Morelli for the 17 plaintiff Gavin and Minh Cox, who are in the 18 courtroom. 19 MR. DEUTSCH: Good morning, Your Honor. 20 Adam Deutsch. 21 MR. FALLICK: Good morning, Your Honor. 22 Perry Fallick for plaintiffs. 23 MR. POPOVICH: Good morning. 24 Popovich for defendant MGM Grand Hotel. For the 25 hotel, Mr. Habersack. And we have Will Martin and

1 counsel Mike Infuso as well. 2 MS. FRESCH: Good morning, Your Honor. 3 Elaine Fresch for David Copperfield and David 4 Copperfield Disappearing, Inc., and Eric Freeman of my office as well. 5 6 MR. ROBERTS: Good morning, Your Honor. 7 Lee Roberts and Howard Russell for Backstage 8 Employment and Referral. 9 MR. STRASSBURG: May it please the 10 court, Roger Strassburg and my partner Gary Call 11 for defendant Team Construction Management. 12 THE COURT: Good morning. 13 indicated, we're outside the presence of the jury. 14 I understand there was something that needed to be addressed. 15 16 MR. DEUTSCH: I thought, Your Honor, we 17 were just going to discuss what we were doing with 18 those two witnesses and which portions of their --19 THE COURT: I thought yesterday, before 20 we adjourned at the bench conference, you said you 21 had somebody you were going to call before getting 22 into that issue. 23 MR. DEUTSCH: We could do that. That's 24 fine. 25 THE COURT: We've got the jury sitting

out there.

MR. DEUTSCH: No problem. We can do that at the first break.

THE COURT: I think that's probably the best thing to do. And then during the recess, we can make the record relative to the deposition witnesses that we've -- unless somebody thinks it should be different.

MR. POPOVICH: Well, the order of witnesses has changed, Your Honor. Instead of calling someone from Team first, they're calling Mr. Habersack now; but as long as it's resolved today, I think we're okay because we wouldn't be designating/counterdesignating today anyway.

MR. MORELLI: The only reason we're bringing it up -- Benedict Morelli for the plaintiff. The only reason why we're bringing it up now, Your Honor, is so we know because we're going to have to cut the videos, go over them, and decide which portion of this and which portion of that so that we're ready. Seeing that Your Honor is working on Monday afternoon, it might be a good time to play one of them. So that's the reason.

THE COURT: All right. Well --

MR. MORELLI: It wasn't to use it today.

1 THE COURT: How long is the witness 2 going to take that you're going to call if we go 3 with the witness first? 4 MR. MORELLI: I would say at least the 5 morning. THE COURT: At least what? 6 7 MR. MORELLI: At least the morning. 8 THE COURT: Because I have a commitment 9 from 12:00 to 1:30, so I can't do anything during 10 that time. 11 How long do you think it's going to take 12 to make the record relative to the depositions? 13 MR. MORELLI: I don't think it will take 14 very long, Your Honor, because I think Your Honor 15 indicated to us during the time of us taking the 16 depositions what Your Honor's thinking was with 17 reference to admissibility. So I don't think -- I 18 think all counsel know what your thoughts are. 19 And, also, we've sort of made our arguments 20 already. 21 MR. DEUTSCH: And submitted briefs. 22 MR. POPOVICH: I think that's true with 23 the Seattle accident. I don't think that's true 2.4 with Ms. Lawrence because I know I haven't been 25 heard on my position. Now that I've heard her

1 testimony, I'm ready to argue. 2 THE COURT: I can see that that's going 3 to take a little bit of time. I think what we 4 need to do is get started with the witness now. 5 And then what we'll do is, when we recess, I'll 6 have you approach the bench before we recess and get an idea as to how long the recess should be. 8 And then we'll make the record relative -- you'll 9 have a recess, but then we'll make a record 10 also --11 MR. MORELLI: After that. I got you. 12 THE COURT: Let's do that. All right. 13 MR. MORELLI: Yep. 14 MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, I think there 15 is another issue that we should address with the 16 jury before we get into the first witness. 17 that Ms. Fresch -- I believe it was on the 24th --18 brought to the attention a certain interview that 19 plaintiffs' counsel had conducted, and we polled 20 the jury to make sure no one had seen or been 21 influenced by that. 22 We have just become aware that the AP, a 23 story by Mr. Ken Ritter, was released by the 24 Associated Press. And in this, it quotes 25 Mr. Deutsch, attorney for plaintiff Gavin Cox and

his wife: "They felt compelled to testify because of the dishonest stuff that was put out by the defense team."

So, Your Honor, I don't believe that was a second interview after the hearing on the 24th.

That appears to be a quote from an argument

Mr. Deutsch made in court.

MR. DEUTSCH: Yes.

1.0

11.

MR. ROBERTS: But here's the problem. As you know, in Nevada it's improper to claim that lawyers are liars, certainly without any evidence that they knew of things and misrepresented them to the tribunal. This would have been a violation of Nevada law had this argument been made to the jury, to call the defense team dishonest, the whole defense team dishonest. Highly improper.

So an improper argument was made to the Court outside the presence and now it's been published nationwide. We need to make sure the jury hasn't been exposed to this, Your Honor.

MR. DEUTSCH: If I may just respond,
Your Honor. The comments about the defendants
being dishonest had nothing to do with counsel.
In fact, during the argument, I made clear that it
was very possible that none of the counsel knew

that their own clients were not being honest with 1 2 I made that point very clear. 3 What I was referring to was that the 4 defendants in this case were being dishonest and 5 we were going to prove their dishonesty. At no 6 time did I suggest that it was defense counsel 7 and, in fact, made clear that I wasn't referring 8 to defense counsel. And I think Mr. Roberts is 9 aware of that. 10 THE COURT: All right. Let's go forward 11 now. 12 MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. POPOVICH: Can we approach one 13 moment for a private matter? 14 15 (A discussion was held at the bench, 16 not reported.) 17 THE COURT: Plaintiffs may call their 18 next witness. Or let's have the jury come in 19 first. Okay. Have the jury in, Bob, please. 20 THE MARSHAL: All rise. 21 (The following proceedings were held in 22 the presence of the jury.) 23 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and 24 gentlemen of the jury. You may be seated. 25 Counsel stipulate that the jury is now

1	present?
2	MR. MORELLI: Stipulated.
3	MS. FRESCH: So stipulated.
4	MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
5	THE COURT: At this time, please call
6	the next witness.
7	MR. MORELLI: Plaintiff calls Mark
8	Habersack to the stand.
9	THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the
10	testimony you're about to give in this action
11	shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
12	but the truth, so help you God?
13	Please state your name and spell it for
L 4	the record, please.
15	THE WITNESS: Mark Habersack,
L6	H-a-b-e-r-s-a-c-k.
17	THE CLERK: And Mark with a C?
18	THE WITNESS: S-a-c, yes, as in cat.
L9	I didn't know it was going to swing back
20	on me.
21	MR. MORELLI: Good morning, jurors.
22	IN UNISON: Good morning.
23	
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MARK HABERSACK
25	

BY MR. MORELLI: 1 2 Good morning, Mr. Habersack. Q. 3 Good morning, sir. 4 I rested for a couple of days, but I'm Ο. 5 back now. So I'm going to be asking you some 6 questions. It's not that I've lost height. It's me. 8 Good morning. 9 Good morning, sir. 10 Mr. Habersack, you know, you and I have Ο. 11 seen each other in the courtroom. You were here 12 when I opened to the jury; correct? . 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 Okay. And with the same understanding 0. 15 that, if there are any questions that I ask you 16 that you don't understand, please tell me and I'll 17 rephrase them so that you do understand them. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Fair enough? Because, you know, 20 sometimes when we're doing this, the questions get 21 a little longer than we expect. Okay. So we have that understanding? 22 23 Yes, sir. Α. 24 All right. Now, if you could,

Mr. Habersack, tell the jury who you're employed

25

1 by. 2 I'm currently employed with MGM Grand 3 Hotel, LLC. 4 Ο. Okay. And what is your position? 5 My position is director of risk Α. 6 management. 7 Ο. Okay. And could you tell the jury what is the definition of risk management? 8 9 That depends who you ask and what day a 10 week it is. 11 0. Okay. Today is Friday and I'm asking 12 you. 13 Α. So risk management has a multitude of 14 functions, from doing loss-control assessments, 15 claims, insurance certificates, review of 16 insurance and insurance language in contracts. 17 there's a host of various things. 18 We also work closely with the 19 departments within the location and work closely 20 with our safety department and our security department. And then we have dotted lines to our 21 22 corporate entities. 23 Okay. Now, that was a mouthful. Q. 2.4 When you're doing your job, you're 25 assessing risk; is that true?

1 Yes, sir. Α. 2 Okay. And you're trying to determine, 3 if I'm correct -- and correct me if I'm wrong -you're trying to determine whether or not the 4 5 employer, your employer, has any risk in a 6 particular area; is that correct? That's one of the things? 8 It's one of the things, yes, sir. 9 Yeah. And it's -- I'm being specific 10 about that because, you know, we're dealing here 11 with this particular case; right? 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 And you actually were not employed by 14 MGM at the time of this particular accident; true? 15 Α. That's true, sir, yes. 16 Q. And I think that you became employed, 17 what, a month or two or so after the accident 18 happened? 19 Yes, sir. Α. 20 Okay. And you did have an opportunity, Ο. 21 after you became employed, to work on doing 22 whatever investigations you thought were

appropriate for this particular case; is that

A. No, sir.

23

24

25

true?

```
Okay. You did, in fact, make certain
 1
 2
    determinations about what you thought happened; is
 3
    that true?
 4
              Yes, sir.
         Α.
 5
              Okay. Now, you sat for a deposition
         0.
 6
    some years ago; true?
 7
              Yes, sir.
         Α.
 8
              You remember that?
         Q.
 9
              And, at the time, you were determined to
10
    be the most knowledgeable of the facts, persons,
11
    and events leading to and occurred during this
12
    particular incident.
13
              That's correct, sir, is it not?
         Α.
              Yes, sir.
14
15
              MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Misstates the
16
    30(b)(6).
17
               THE COURT:
                           Sustained.
18
              MR. DEUTSCH: Page 6, line 15.
19
              MR. MORELLI: We have an answer, Your
20
    Honor.
            Okay.
21
              MR. DEUTSCH: Take a second.
22
              MR. MORELLI:
                            Okay.
23
    BY MR. MORELLI:
24
               The question on line 12,
25
    Mr. Habersack -- and I know that you answered
```

already, but I'm going to read it to you. 1 2 "QUESTION: Are you aware of what the 3 significance of being a deponent" -- that's 4 having a deposition taken -- "in that type of 5 deposition is?" 6 And you said, "Yes, sir." 7 "QUESTION: What is your understanding of it? 8 9 "ANSWER: That I'm the person most 10 knowledgeable of the facts, persons, and 11 events leading to and occurred during this 12 particular incident." 13 And you gave that answer, did you not, 14 under oath? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 Okay. Now, if you could give us an 17 understanding, Mr. Habersack, of some of your job responsibilities, you know, your day-to-day 18 responsibilities. I know you gave us a 19 20 wide-ranging definition of risk management, but 21 now talk maybe a little bit more specifically 22 about what your job responsibilities are. Okay? 23 Α. Okay. I manage a staff of three 24 individuals. We -- we review incidents that come 25 into our office. We input information into our

1 systems based on -- based on incidents at the 2 property. 3 Then throughout the course of the day, 4 it varies from day to day. There isn't, like, a 5 set thing that I do at 8:00, 9:00, 10:00. There's 6 a -- it can change depending on what's going on on that day between the phone calls and so on. 8 So meetings and -- because I'm involved 9 in a lot of other aspects of various committees 10 and meetings and so on throughout the property. 11 0. Okay. Mr. Habersack --12 MR. DEUTSCH: 62/10? 13 MR. MORELLI: No. It's 9/12. 14 MR. POPOVICH: May I have an 15 understanding of when this is? 16 MR. MORELLI: Yeah. It's the question 17 and answer that he gave at his deposition about 18 what his job responsibilities were. It's 9/12. 19 MR. POPOVICH: No objection. 20 BY MR. MORELLI: 21 Okay. So, Mr. Habersack, you can see Q. 22 that on your screen; right? 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 And you were asked this question at your 25 deposition:

"QUESTION: Sir, what are your job responsibilities? Did you say director of risk management at MGM?

"ANSWER: Yes, sir. I manage the risk management department, which involves the review of incidents that occur at the MGM Grand and the Signature condominiums. We determine whether or not it's an incident that could become a claim or a claim.

"If it's a claim, it's handled through our corporate claims office today. In the past, we used to handle it in-house at each of the properties. We've reorganized in the past -- in the last 18 months."

And that was your answer; correct?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. Now, I'm just going to ask you a couple of things about that. And, you know, you differentiate in your answer with reference to what an incident is and what a claim is, okay, in your answer.

And so am I correct, sir, that there is a distinction in your mind between those two and you handle them differently; is that true?

A. Yes, sir.

1 Okay. Now, you know that Mr. Cox had an 2 accident at the property November 12th, 2013; 3 correct? 4 Α. Yes, sir. 5 Q. And is he an incident or a claim? 6 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Vague as to 7 the point in time. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 9 BY MR. MORELLI: 10 0. The accident that happened 11 November 12th, 2013, involving Mr. Cox, did you 12 construe that as an incident or a claim? 13 Α. As I wasn't employed at the time, I 14 couldn't make any determination as to whether 15 there was an incident or a claim. 16 Okay. But when you -- when you came 17 aboard MGM, what date was that? That was on December 23rd, 2013. And 18 19 then on December 24th, I was on vacation for 20 approximately a week. So technically --21 That was a good job. Q. Technically, I didn't really 22 Yeah. 23 start or do anything until roughly New Year!s Eve. 24 Okay. You got a good start date anyway? Q. 25 Α. I did, sir.

1 Yeah. So there came a time when you 2 were working for MGM in your capacity. And let's 3 say that you started doing your job in January. 4 Is that fair enough? 5 Α. Sure, that's fair. 6 Okay. And at that time, when you were 0. 7 reviewing the accident that involved Mr. Cox, did 8 you then make a determination as to whether it was 9 an incident or a claim? 10 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Assumes 11 facts. Lacks foundation. 12 THE COURT: Sustained. 13 BY MR. MORELLI: 14 One of your job responsibilities was to 0. 15 make a determination with reference to this 16 accident. Am I correct? 17 Α. To this accident? No, sir. 18 0. No? 19 No, sir. Α. 20 Okay. Did you -- you did, did you not, Ο. 21 do investigation with reference to this accident? 22 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Vague as to 23 "you." BY MR. MORELLI: 24 25 I'm talking about you, and I'm not being Q.

- vague. You, Mr. Habersack, did you do or were you involved in any way in doing an investigation with reference to this accident? Yes or no.
 - A. I can't answer that as a yes-or-no question.
 - Q. Okay. Did you have any involvement with reference to doing an investigation about
- 8 Mr. Cox's accident? Did you have any involvement?
 - A. Only at the time we were asked to answer the interrogatories when a lawsuit was filed.
 - Q. Okay. As a matter of fact, you did go to the scene; correct?
 - A. During the course of the response to interrogatories?
 - Q. Yeah. It doesn't -- I'm not asking you what you were responding to. I'm asking you what you did. And you did actually go to the scene because you had to make certain determinations to give certain answers; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Now, there came a time, did there not, when MGM made a determination with reference to Mr. Cox's accident as to whether it was an incident or a claim; is that correct?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Okay. And when you -- when you gave that answer about the difference between an incident and a claim, can you explain to us what that meant? What makes something an incident? What makes something a claim?

A. If there's a minor accident that occurs on the property and it's something that we may be able to resolve as a gesture of goodwill with that person, that would be construed as an incident. We could resolve that issue fairly quickly and move on.

If it's much more complicated, that could be construed as a claim, such as being transported to a hospital or something a lot more serious.

Q. Okay. So let me understand. The differentiation between an incident and a claim is how serious the injury is.

Is that a fair statement?

- A. That's a pretty fair statement, if it's a minor issue.
- Q. Okay. And who makes the determination as to whether or not an injury is minor or not? Who makes that determination?
 - A. That depends.

- Q. Okay. What about in -- you are the director of risk management. Are you ultimately responsible for making a determination as to whether or not something is an incident or a claim at this time? Forgetting about Mr. Cox for the minute.
- A. At this time?
 - Q. Yeah.

- A. I do not make that determination.
- Q. Okay. Are there still these two categories of incident and claim?
 - A. Yes, sir, there is.
- Q. Okay. So, now, it doesn't -- the differentiation does not turn -- so that I understand, it does not turn on whether someone brings a lawsuit; it turns on whether or not someone is injured enough for it to be called a claim?
 - A. That could be a fair statement.
- Q. Okay. And you would agree with me, would you not, that there could be somebody who's determined to have a minor injury and you would consider it an incident, and then it turns out to be a more major injury. Do you then reclassify it?

25 | it

A. It can be reclassified as an incident to a claim.

- Q. Okay. Do you do anything differently when you make the determination originally as to whether or not something is an incident or a claim?
 - A. I'm not understanding the question, sir.
- Q. Okay. Is it handled differently? You say, "Well, this looks like a minor injury. Let's call it an incident." Okay? How does that change what's done by MGM?
- A. If I'm understanding your question correctly, sir, if, say, you stubbed your toe and you got a bruise, no big deal. That could be an incident. We reach out, see how you're doing, and then see what we can do to resolve that issue. So we immediately reach out.

If, in fact, it's all of a sudden now I broke my toe in two parts and they got to pin in it or whatever, that obviously is not just a minor issue that we can do a comp or some cash or a return stay. Now it's involving a lot more. So that could then become a claim at that point, and then we would have to work with that person and get through their claim.

Okay. When you say "work with that 1 2 person," does it then go to your lawyers? Is that 3 the difference? Α. No, sir. Lawyers don't generally get 4 5 involved until there's an actual lawsuit that's 6 filed. Even if there's a letter of representation, we would then work with the 8 attorney as opposed to the person themselves. 9 Okay. Now, am I correct, sir, that, as 10 part of your job responsibilities, you have said 11 that you reduce, eliminate, or transfer risk? 12 Have you said that? 13 Α. That sounds like a statement that we 14 generally would make, yes. 15 MR. DEUTSCH: Page 62, line 14. BY MR. MORELLI: 16 17 And I'm saying that -- I'm talking 18 about -- not a statement that we make, although, 19 if -- you're saying that MGM makes that statement 20 also. It's a statement that you made under oath; is that correct? 21 22 Yes, sir. Α. 23 Okay. And I think I understand what Ο.

the -- reduce risk. How does one do that? How do

you reduce risk? What do you do?

24

25

A. Well, in a general context of reducing risk is, if we're putting on an event or we're going to do something on the property, we would do a risk assessment that we would allow that -- the department or the departments involved would allow risk management and safety to come in and look at what they want to do.

2.0

So the issue is is this a risky thing that we want to do? Could somebody get hurt? Could there be a problem? Property damage, so on. If we determine -- we have to determine is there a risk? If there is, what steps can we take to reduce, eliminate, or even transfer risk.

So say we're putting an electric barbecue out at our pool. Okay? Water and electric really don't mix. So the issue is, how do we reduce that? If we really are wanting to put a barbecue, an electric barbecue, at -- well, you asked. I'm answering your question, sir.

- Q. I haven't said anything.
- A. I know, but you're waving at me.
- Q. Well, I'm actually -- I wanted to ask you to answer with reference to the MGM Grand.

 Are they putting an electric barbecue somewhere, the MGM Grand?

1 Α. I'm giving you an example, sir. 2 I understand that. But I'm asking Q. 3 now --4 Α. You asked, sir, how -- how do you 5 reduce, transfer --6 Mr. Habersack, I'm not here to argue with you. Believe me. 8 I'm not here to argue with you; I'm 9 trying to answer --10 0. Okay. So -- so --11 THE COURT: He's answering the question. Just let him answer it. 12 13 BY MR. MORELLI: 14 Ο. I'm asking now about what the MGM Grand 15 does to reduce risk. Is that -- you see something 16 happen, it is, in your opinion, something that's 17 dangerous enough that it could be risky, and you 18 want to change the situation so it doesn't happen 19 again. Would that be something that would be 20 reducing risk? 21 Sir, the judge asked me to finish 22 answering the question. Should I answer that 23 before I go to your next question? 24 THE COURT: Just finish the answer to 25 the previous question and then you can answer his

JA002543

subsequent question.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.

So to continue on, we were discussing the possibility of electricity on a grill next to the pool. Electric and pool water don't really go well together. So to reduce that possibility of a risk, is there alternatives, such as propane, charcoal, some other thing that we can do so we don't run an electrical cord next to water at the pool.

However, if it is something that we actually have to do, is there a third party, an outside company, that's doing this for a conference, convention, whatever. So in a contractual agreement and insurance, we can either reduce, eliminate, or transfer that risk to a third party so that the MGM is not responsible for any issues or incidents that occur with electricity next to a pool.

So now that I've answered that, can I understand your next question, sir.

BY MR. MORELLI:

- Q. Okay. Can I now ask you a question?
- A. Oh, yes, sir. I've answered the question per the judge's request.

1 So, now, I was asking about reducing the Q. 2 risk, and you also answered about transferring the 3 risk. So let's talk about that. 4 I think I understand, with reference to 5 reducing the risk, you want to do something in a 6 safer way; right? Α. Yes, sir. 8 Q. So that there's not a problem? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 That's fair enough. Q. 11 And eliminating the risk, I think, is more obvious. You know, you try to, you know, 12 13 say, "Well, maybe that shouldn't be done at all 14 because I can't think of a way to make it safer or 15 safe enough. So let's not do it." 16 Fair enough? That's eliminating the 17 risk? 18 Α. That could be one term of eliminating --19 Q. One way? 2.0 Α. Yes. One way, yes, sir. 21 Q. Now, transferring the risk is having 22 someone else responsible other than the MGM Grand; 23 is that correct? 24 That would be a transfer of risk, yes, 25 sir.

1 Okay. And my -- my question is, have 2 you, when you got involved in this particular 3 case -- because you are obviously involved in the 4 case now -- did you decide with reference to the 5 risk in this case who you were going to transfer it to? 6 7 MR. POPOVICH: Objection, Your Honor. 8 Relevance. 9 And can we approach? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 (A discussion was held at the bench, 12 not reported.) 13 BY MR. MORELLI: 14 Q. Now, in your job responsibilities that 15 we were talking about, Mr. Habersack, the --16 getting back for one moment to the incidents and 17 the claims. Okay? 18 If there is an incident -- in other 19 words, determined by MGM to be an incident --20 that's not reported. Is that a fair statement? 21 Α. No, sir. 22 Okay. So the difference between an 23 incident and a claim is not about the reporting of 24 it; it's about the handling of it after? 25 Α. It could be -- yes, that's a fair

1 statement. 2 Okay. So am I correct that, even if Q. 3 there is what's determined to be by MGM an 4 incident, meaning that the person was determined 5 by MGM to not have any kind of a serious injury --6 fair enough? -- that would make it an incident? 7 just want to understand if I'm correct about that. 8 If we're in the context of just 9 injuries --10 0. Yeah. 11 Α. -- yes, that's a fair concept. 12 Q. So now it's determined that someone 13 was -- had an accident on the property and it's determined by MGM that the person wasn't -- I want 14 15 to use the right term -- severely injured or 16 injured enough for it to be in the claim category. 17 Fair enough? 18 Α. Fair enough. 19 Q. Is there then a report filled out about 20 that? 21 Yes, there has to be a report or we 22 wouldn't know about it. 23 Yeah -- well, that's true. But what I'm 24 saying is that someone has an accident on the 25 property, and that person is determined to not

have a serious injury or not having an injury that 1 2 is, you know, going to require medical care, let's 3 There is a report filled out by the MGM 4 Grand with reference to that accident because it 5 did happen and you want to know about it? 6 Α. As long as the guest reports it to us, yes, there would be a report. 8 Okay. And so -- let's assume for a 9 moment that the -- the quest has an accident --10 forgetting about what kind of accident it is --11 and the guest is seen by an MGM employee having 12 this accident and the guest is determined not to 13 be injured seriously. 14 Does the MGM employee have a 15 responsibility to report it to the employer and 16 fill out an accident report? 17 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Incomplete 18 hypothetical. 19 MR. MORELLI: It's my hypothetical, and 20 I was complete. 21 THE COURT: Overruled. 22 BY MR. MORELLI: 23 Go ahead. You can answer. 24 understand my question? If you don't, I'll try 25 again.

No, I understand your question. 1 Α. 2 If an employee of the MGM should witness 3 or see an incident that occurs, yes, that should 4 be reported to someone at the MGM, whether it's 5 their supervisor, security, or so on. 6 MR. MORELLI: I think you have to put it 7 in evidence. 8 MR. DEUTSCH: Your Honor, at this time, 9 we would offer Exhibit 87 into evidence, the MGM 10 security department handbook. I believe there was no objection. I believe it was stipulated 11 12 already. 13 It wasn't? 14 Never mind. It was not. So we're 15 offering it, Your Honor. 16 MR. POPOVICH: Well, a portion had been 17 used at Mr. Habersack's deposition. If that's all 18 they're offering, no objection. If they're 19 offering the entire thing, then we would have to 20 talk about it. 21 MR. DEUTSCH: We're offering the entire 22 thing. I believe most of the sections were 23 covered, but there may be some additional sections 24 as well. 25 MR. MORELLI: Obviously, there's only

```
certain portions that are relevant, you know.
 1
                                                    So
 2
    we're not going to be talking about 50 pages;
 3
    we're talking about three or four.
 4
              THE COURT: Here's what we'll do:
 5
    make a record outside the presence of the jury.
 6
    At this point -- at this point, utilize portions
    of the handbook that relate to his testimony.
 8
              MR. MORELLI: Yes. I think we can agree
 9
    quickly because it's not complicated.
10
              MR. POPOVICH: Can we meet and confer at
11
    a break, Your Honor?
12
              MR. DEUTSCH: All right. But, for now,
13
    the piece we're going to use is -- you have no
14
    problem, page 35.
              THE COURT: You can utilize what this
15
16
    witness is going to testify about, but I'm not
17
    admitting the entire thing at this time.
18
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             So we're going to show
19
    page 35.
20
              MR. POPOVICH: No objection to that.
21
              MR. MORELLI:
                             What about page 1?
22
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             That, he has to take a
23
    look at.
24
              MR. MORELLI:
                             Page 1.
25
              MR. POPOVICH:
                              I don't have page 1 here.
```

1 MR. MORELLI: All right. So put up 35. 2 MR. DEUTSCH: All right. Page 35. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. MORELLI: You want to highlight the 4 5 portion -- bring it over a little bit, Adam. 6 MR. DEUTSCH: I don't know why this 7 keeps happening with this. 8 Howard, do you have the remote control? 9 Jennifer, do you have the remote control 10 for the TV? 11 MR. RUSSELL: Jennifer does. 12 THE COURT RECORDER: It's back by Audra, 13 I think.] 4 MR. MORELLI: I think that's good. 15 all the jurors see that? I'm just going to read 16 this. 17 JUROR NO. 8: Can you turn the screen? 18 MR. MORELLI: Sure. Better? JUROR NO. 8: Yes. 19 20 BY MR. MORELLI: 21 Q. So under -- on page 35 of the handbook, 22 the MGM handbook, it talks about quest accidents. 23 "A guest accident report will be 24 completed whenever a guest-involved accident is 25 reported to security. First aid and paramedics

will be offered to the complainant and noted in the report narrative. If the complainant" -- and that would be the guest who's involved in an accident --Α. Yes, sir.

Q.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay?

-- "declines filing a report, a report will be completed by the officer with 'guest refused report' -- in quotes -- "noted in the report narrative. The officer will complete the report detailing the information obtained."

Is that correct?

Α. Yes, sir.

Okay. So if I understand that, any incident that occurs, even if it's not any kind of an injury that MGM would consider substantial enough, let's say, there still has to be an accident report if, in fact, the MGM employee knows about it or is notified about it.

Is that a fair statement?

- If security is notified, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So, now, we had -- we've had a number of witnesses on the stand. You were here for some of the testimony but not all of the testimony, So let me state that we had a witness

on the stand yesterday, Pomai Weall, and she testified that there had been a previous accident during the runaround of the Thirteen Illusion.
Okay?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And you know what the runaround from the Thirteen Illusion is; correct?
 - A. After hearing everything in court, yes.
- Q. Okay. Well, did you -- when you had to answer the questions -- when you had to answer the questions that you say you had to answer, and you were at work in January, you learned about the Thirteen Illusion and the runaround and where the accident happened and all of those things before hearing it in court; isn't that true?
- A. That's true. It wasn't in January, though.
- Q. Okay. But it was sometime after. I'm not trying to pin you down that it was January, but sometime after you were employed. It wasn't hearing it in court; it was way before hearing it in court. Correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, now, the statement that was made under oath by Pomai Weall was that she

witnessed -- I think she said -- a woman falling 1 2 and determined -- she determined -- that there 3 was -- that she wasn't injured or injured enough. 4 And there's also been testimony that, at 5 the time, there was MGM employees along the route 6 of the Thirteen Illusion. Did you ever know about that before I just mentioned it? Α. Her testimony? 9 Q. No, about the incident. 10 Only from what I heard yesterday. 11 Q. Okay. The MGM employee that was 12 standing at the point where the runaround is 13 outside and makes a right, wouldn't that person 14 have a responsibility to fill out an incident or 15 accident report for the MGM Grand? 16 As I wasn't there at that time, 17 apparently, I don't know. 18 Ο. Okay. But I'm not --19 I can't answer that. 20 You know, I'm not blaming you for 21 anything; I'm just --22 Α. Oh, no. I didn't say you were. 23 just -- I wasn't there. I can't answer that. 24 Okay. But I'm saying that, if MGM 25 security came over to the person who had had the

1 accident and therefore was aware that somebody had 2 an accident, would that security person, MGM 3 security person, have the responsibility under the 4 rules to fill out an incident report or an 5 accident report? 6 Α. Based upon what was posted on the 7 screen, if MGM security was notified and involved, 8 yes, they should have filled out a report. 9 Q. Okay. MR. DEUTSCH: Page 198 of Ms. Weall's 10 11 testimony from yesterday, line 9. 12 BY MR. MORELLI: 13 Q. Okay. 14 "QUESTION: The other woman who fell, you 15 said that an MGM security quard -- that you 16 were there when an MGM security quard came 17 over to talk to her? 18 "ANSWER: Yes. 19 "QUESTION: You were there when the MGM 20 security quard came over to talk to her? 21 "ANSWER: Yes." 22 Okay. So if, in fact -- now, you know, 23 I'm not saying that you were working for the 24 company at the time; I'm only talking about the 25 rules now, the rules. That's all.

And if -- you would agree with me that, in that particular instance, there should have been an incident or accident report filled out by the MGM employee. Fair enough?

- A. That's fair enough.
- Q. Okay. And you would also agree with me, Mr. Habersack, would you not, that if, in fact, an incident report isn't filled out, then MGM doesn't know about it -- right? -- and it never happened? Based on the records, I'm saying. It would be like it never happened.

Is that a fair statement?

- A. That's a fair statement.
- Q. Yeah. And so if, in fact, there were one or more people who had fallen and had an accident during this runaround on the property of the MGM Grand and the security people knew about it and they didn't fill out any kind of an accident report, then people would think that no one had ever fallen before.

That's a fair statement; correct?

MR. RUSSELL: Objection. Speculation.

MS. FRESCH: Join. Lacks foundation.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

BY MR. MORELLI:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- That's a fair statement; right? Q.
- That's a fair statement.
- And I'm also correct, am I not -- and Q. we'll look at the handbook after the lawyers confer with reference to the admissibility of some of it.

But it is true, is it not, that every employee has the responsibility to read, understand, and follow the guidelines contained in the handbook. Is that right?

- What handbook are you referring to, sir? Α.
- The MGM handbook that I can't put up yet 0. until we make a determination about it.
- Α. There are many handbooks. I don't know 16 which book you're referring to, sir.
 - It's in evidence. I'll get it for you. I'll just lay the foundation the old-fashioned way.

I'm talking about -- Mr. Habersack, I'm talking about the security handbook. Okay? Are you familiar with that?

- Α. I am familiar with the security handbook.
 - Q. Okay. We're going to try to find a hard

1 copy of it and show it to you. Okay? 2 MR. DEUTSCH: There you go. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 4 MR. MORELLI: What number would that be? 5 MR. DEUTSCH: 87. 6 MR. MORELLI: It's Plaintiffs' 87. 7 BY MR. MORELLI: 8 I'm just asking you to look at it, 9 Mr. Habersack. Just -- you know, you don't have 10 to read the whole thing, just review it a little 11 bit. 12 And ask you whether or not you're 13 familiar with the security handbook of MGM. 14 Α. I am familiar with the handbook. 15 And that was -- that was the handbook 0. 16 that was provided to us by MGM in this case. 17 MR. MORELLI: And, Your Honor, I'm going to offer that into evidence so that we can put 18 19 portions of it on the screen. 20 THE COURT: Is that the one we discussed 21 previously? MR. MORELLI: Yes. 22 23 MR. POPOVICH: The objection is it's 24 overbroad. There's many things here that are not 25 relevant to the case. There are things here that

1 are. 2 THE COURT: All right. As I indicated, 3 I'll allow you to show the witness things that are 4 relevant to his testimony. 5 MR. MORELLI: Sure. 6 THE COURT: Okay. And then we'll make a 7 determination as to what portion of the entirety 8 will be admitted. 9 MR. MORELLI: Absolutely. 10 MR. DEUTSCH: Do you want to put the 11 guidelines up? 12 MR. MORELLI: Yes. 13 MR. DEUTSCH: Okay. Page 1. MR. POPOVICH: No objection to that. 14 15 MR. DEUTSCH: Did I screw it up here, 16 Jennifer, when I pushed a button on the remote? 17 THE COURT RECORDER: You're all 18 connected on my end. 19 MR. POPOVICH: So that's a yes. 20 MR. DEUTSCH: Yes. All right. 21 MR. MORELLI: That was a disclaimer. 22 JUROR: Transfer of risk. 23 MR. MORELLI: Exactly. 24 MR. DEUTSCH: You'll have to give me a 25 minute. I apologize. I came out for two days and

```
1
    now I'm back in again. There we go. Okay.
                                                 We're
 2
    good.
 3
    BY MR. MORELLI:
 4
         Q.
               Okay. So let's just highlight Number 1
    and Number 15 for now.
 6
              MR. DEUTSCH: We should only do one at a
 7
    time. When we get it big enough, then we'll
 8
    scroll down.
 9
              MR. MORELLI: Okay. Scroll down.
10
              MR. DEUTSCH: That's Number 1.
11
              MR. MORELLI: Is that big enough?
12
    BY MR. MORELLI:
13
         Q.
              And that's what I read to you a couple
14
    of moments ago.
15
         Α.
              Yes, sir.
16
         Q.
              Okay. And now it's --
17
         Α.
              Did you want an answer to that?
18
         0.
              No. You did answer me originally.
19
              No, I didn't.
         Α.
20
         Ο.
              Oh, no?
21
              No, sir.
         Α.
22
              Okay. I'll ask you the question in a
         Ο.
23
    moment.
24
              Okay.
                      So Number 1, under the general
25
    guidelines that every employee has the
```

responsibility to read, understand, and follow the 1 2 guidelines contained in the department handbook. 3 Okay. Does that need any explanation? 4 Yes, sir, it does. Α. 5 Okay. So give it to us. Q. 6 Α. Not every employee at the MGM sees this 7 handbook, only the security department employees. 8 So that's a true statement for security. 9 Sure. And thank you for clearing that 10 Because that's what it's called, the "Security Handbook"? 11 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Okay. So, now, the -- so it is -- it is 14 accurate with reference to the security people that we were just referencing in my last line of 15 16 inquiry? 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 Okay. So, now, let's look at Number 15. 19 You can probably see it better there than here. 20 Okay. Good. Perfect. 21 "You shall not, under any circumstance, 22 discuss or accept liability with a guest on behalf 23 of the MGM Grand or management for a situation in

which a guest claims to have suffered harm or

24

25

damages."

1 Okay. So now I think I understand it, 2 but I'm going to ask you a few things about it so 3 that you could explain it to me. Okay? 4 Α. Yes, sir. 5 You don't want -- not you, but the MGM 6 Grand does not want the security people, under any 7 circumstance, to accept liability with a guest. 8 Like, "I can see it's our fault," or "Sorry it 9 happened," "It's not your fault; it's ours," 10 something like that. Fair enough? To accept 11 liability. So that would be --12 Α. It's almost a true statement that you 13 just made. 14 Ο. Okay. Almost accurate, you mean? 15 Α. Almost accurate. 16 Ο. All right. What makes it more accurate? 17 There's nothing that says that we can't Α. 18 say "We're sorry to hear of your incident." 19 Q. Okay. 20 Saying "I'm sorry" isn't an admission of 21 liability. 22 Okay. Well, I didn't say it was, and I 23 didn't say you couldn't say you're sorry and I'm 24 sure that you should. But this -- this here, it

says, "You shall not, under any circumstances,

25

discuss." Discuss.

So if, in fact, the security people are told in their rules and regulations that they can't even discuss what happened, then it would be, in my opinion -- and you correct me if I'm getting it wrong -- that -- are they able to say they're sorry if they can't even discuss it?

- A. "I'm sorry" is not an admission of guilt. Matter of fact, we just went through training on that for all employees that are going through our show training module right now. "I'm sorry" is not an admission of guilt. "I'm sorry" is "I'm sorry that you had an incident."
 - Q. No, sure.
- A. You're taking it somewhat out of context. Security's role in this is that they are to gather the facts, get the facts into the report, allow then risk management or other departments to review that report and make determinations. They're just a fact-gathering person.
- Q. Okay. So what I'm trying to understand is, you know, you would agree with me that language and words and the way they're in certain context are important. Fair enough?

I'm only

- 1 Words are always important. 2 Always important. And so I'm reading 3 this, and I understand -- and you and I discussed already -- about accepting liability. So that's 4 5 out of the way. I understand that. 6 And I'm saying that it says that there 7 should be no discussion of anything. And I'm not saying that you're wrong about saying you're 9 sorry, that that's not an admission of liability. 10 And I'm not talking about liability now. 11 talking about the fact that they can't, under any
- 13 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. That misstates the document. 14

circumstance, discuss what happened.

15 THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. MORELLI:

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- So, now, drawing the distinction of discussing liability, that is discussing exactly how the accident happened? No, because that you can discuss. Correct?
 - Α. You're gathering the facts.
- Right. Q.
 - Α. They need to know what happened.
- 24 Right. Now, when you -- when you went Q. 25 and looked and you had to research this particular

```
1
    accident that we're talking about -- and I think
 2
    we should show Number 13, I think.
 3
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             That's a different
 4
    section. Page 2 --
 5
              MR. MORELLI: Let's not go to that
 6
    section yet.
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             Actually, page 3.
 8
              MR. MORELLI:
                             No.
 9
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             Okay.
10
              MR. MORELLI:
                             Not yet.
11
    BY MR. MORELLI:
12
         Q.
               So, now, am I correct, sir, that -- that
13
    in this particular case with Mr. Cox, did you have
14
    occasion before taking the stand today to look at
15
    the incident report in this case that was filled
16
    out by the security officer?
17
               You mean the incident report on Mr. Cox?
18
         Q.
               Yes.
19
               Yes, I have reviewed it.
         Α.
20
               Okay. And you also reviewed Mr. Cox's
          Ο.
21
    portion of the incident report; is that correct?
22
         Α.
              His voluntary statement?
23
         Q.
              Yes.
24
              Yes, sir, I did see it.
         Α.
25
               Okay. Now, you have stated that, if a
          Q.
```

1 complainant -- you know, we talked about if the 2 complainant even declined to fill out an accident 3 report, it would still have to be filled out. But 4 in this case the security person or persons -- I 5 think there were two people involved here -- did 6 fill out an accident report; correct? Yes, they filled out a report. 8 Okay. And did you note in that report 9 how many witnesses were interviewed? 10 The only statements I saw in the report 11 was Mr. Cox' statement. I didn't see any other 12 statements. 13 Q. Okay. Is that in accordance with 14 protocol?

15 A. I don't understand what you mean by
16 "protocol." Do you have something specific to
17 point out?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. The rules that are in the security handbook, is it -- in accordance with the rules of the MGM that an accident happened and someone was injured, but even if they weren't injured, there should be a report, and no witnesses were interviewed. Is that true in this case, no witnesses were interviewed?
 - A. I can't say it was true or not true. I

don't know if they were interviewed. I don't know 1 2 that there were any witness statements that were 3 included in the report. 4 You don't know or you know that there 5 weren't? 6 Α. Your question was were they interviewed? 7 I don't know whether they were interviewed. 8 wasn't employed at the time. So I don't know what 9 conversations took place. 10 In the actual report, the only attached 11 statement is from Mr. Cox -- or actually Mr. Cox' first attorney. 12 13 And now my question is is that in Ο. 14 accordance with the MGM rules, that there were no 1.5 witness statements attached to the report? 16 Can you refer me to which page we're 17 discussing at this point? MR. DEUTSCH: Page 33, the bottom of 18 19 page 33 of Exhibit 87. 20 MR. MORELLI: Why don't you put it up. 21 MR. POPOVICH: No objection to page 33. 22 MR. DEUTSCH: Just for clarity, the 23 section starts at the bottom of 31, if you want to 24 point it out, that we're talking about at the

This is the bottom of page 31, report

25

bottom.

```
1
    writing section. But we're talking about is on
 2
    33.
 3
              MR. MORELLI:
                            Go to 31 first --
 4
              MR. POPOVICH: No objection to page 31.
 5
              MR. MORELLI:
                             -- so I can read it.
 6
    Okay.
           Can you move it over a little. That's
 7
    good.
 8
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             I wish I could.
 9
              MR. MORELLI:
                            Okay. Can everybody see
10
    that?
    BY MR. MORELLI:
11
12
              "Report Writing. Report writing
         Q.
13
    guidelines. All reports must be written following
14
    the MGM Grand security department guidelines,
1.5
    which will result in a correct, clear, concise,
16
    complete, chronological report with proper grammar
17
    and punctuation."
18
              Okay. Have to be an Oxford grad to do
19
           That's pretty strict, you know. I mean, I
20
    don't know. You don't get called on the carpet if
21
    you left out a comma, I hope, you know. That's
22
    pretty strict.
                   Okay.
23
              So those are the guidelines.
2.4
    obviously, whoever wrote that likes the C letter
25
    because all of the five Cs; right? And one of the
```

1 Cs was completeness; correct? 2 Α. Correct. 3 0. And completeness is obviously important; 4 correct? 5 Α. Correct. 6 Okay. So now let's go to the statements on page 33. 8 "Every complainant and witness should ,9 complete a written statement when possible." 10 Okay. So let's just take that. And I 11 know that you may tell me that you don't know if 12 it was possible, right, because you weren't there. 13 Α. Correct. 14 So let's start with that. Q. 15 Okay. Α. 16 Q. All right. And now what I'm saying to 17 you is, from the testimony that's already in this 18 case, we know that there was an MGM security 19 person standing at this point. And when the 20 runaround was being completed, the outside 21 portion, they make a right to go into the doors 22 back into the casino, that person was standing 23 right here. Mr. Cox fell right in his line of 24 sight. Okay? 25 Your Honor, before we MR. POPOVICH:

1 take more time, did Mr. Morelli mean stagehand 2 instead of security? Just to cut to it. 3 MR. MORELLI: Stagehand. MGM employee. 4 I don't know what he was wearing. I don't know 5 his name because we weren't provided any of that. 6 Okay. So I don't know what his designation is. don't know if he's a stagehand or a security 8 I don't know if he was wearing --9 MR. POPOVICH: Objection to the speech. 10 I get the point. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. Just pose the 12 question. 13 MR. POPOVICH: Nice argument. BY MR. MORELLI: 14 15 Ο. But you knew that was true. 16 So person -- an MGM employee is standing 17 there, and the person falls -- Mr. Cox -- in his line of sight. 18 19 Should the security officer who's 20 doing -- who's charged with the responsibility of 21 doing the report, interview that person? 22 Α. I can't answer that because we don't 23 know if that was the line of sight this way or the 24 line of sight going the other way back where the door was. 25

1 All possible witnesses should be 2 interviewed. Is that a fair statement? 3 It's a fair statement based on this page 4 in the handbook. 5 0. Okay. Do you have any reason to believe 6 that the handbook is not the accurate rules for people at the MGM Grand in security? 8 I have no reason not to believe that 9 that's not accurate. 10 0. Okay. 11 Α. I don't know, because as you and I both know, I wasn't there. 12 13 Q. Okay. 14 So that question would probably be best 15 posed to the person who actually completed the 16 report as to what they did or what they didn't do 17 when it came to witnesses. 18 0. Don't worry. I'll take care of that. 19 Α. Okay. 20 Okay. But you're the person who is the 21 director of risk management for the MGM, and 22 you're the person representing the company in this 23 case. 24 You understand that; right? 25 Α. I understand.

1 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. I don't 2 believe that's entirely accurate at trial. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. MORELLI: 4 5 Are you the person representing the MGM 6 Grand in this trial? Yes or no. 7 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Vague and 8 ambiguous. I thought I was representing MGM. 9 THE COURT: Sustained. Clarify what the 10 term "representation" means. 11 BY MR. MORELLI: 12 Are you the representative of the MGM Q. 13 Grand for purposes of this trial? 14 Α. I'm here as one of the representatives 15 of MGM Grand. 16 Now, let's take the next one. 17 "Persons writing a statement should be 18 interviewed prior to completing the statement. 19 The goal of the interview is to help the person 20 write a more complete and factual statement." 21 When you reviewed the reports in this 22 case with reference to this -- Mr. Cox's accident, 23 did you feel that -- that the report was complete? 24 You. What you thought. Did you look at that and 25 say that it was complete? Did you think it was

complete?

- A. I felt that it was a professionally written report. I did not understand why there was only one statement in the report.
- Q. Okay. And did you ever speak to anyone to find out why there was only one statement in the report?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. You understand that, during the time of this particular incident and it happening during the runaround during the Thirteen Illusion, there were approximately at least ten participants, audience participants who were also running the route. And would you agree with me that they also should have been interviewed?
- A. I can't answer that as I wasn't there, but it also depends if they were already gone when the incident was actually reported.
- Q. Well, Mr. Cox fell. Okay? And the stagehands for MGM were there. And there was a period of time when, we know from the testimony in this case, that everyone was aware that Mr. Cox had an accident. Okay?

And are you telling the jury that none of the participants should have been interviewed?

What about the employees, the MGM Grand employees?
Shouldn't they have been interviewed to find out
whether or not they knew anything at all? What do
you think?

- A. You kind of compounded the question. So what question am I answering?
- Q. Should the MGM employees have been interviewed, the ones who were working that night with reference to this runaround?
- A. As I had already testified, I don't know what the circumstances were as to why there wasn't an interview conducted and that would be a better question posed to the person who actually did the report.
- Q. Mr. Habersack, as director of risk management, you made certain determinations in this case, did you not?
- A. I gathered the facts as I understood them for the deposition.
- Q. Okay. And you also made certain determinations, did you not?
- A. I don't understand what you mean by a determination.
- Q. You made certain decisions based on what you saw with reference to the reports. Did you

1 make certain decisions? 2 I didn't make any decisions. I gathered 3 facts for my deposition. 4 0. Okay. Did you feel that the Backstage 5 employees should have been interviewed? You know 6 who they are; right? You know who Backstage employees are? 8 I know that there's Backstage employees. 9 I don't know who they actually are. I've never 10 met them. 11 Q. Neither do we. Okay. 12 MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Move to 13 strike. THE COURT: Sustained. 14 BY MR. MORELLI: 15 16 Should they have been interviewed? 17 Should the Backstage employees have been 18 interviewed by the security personnel? And I'm 19 going to ask them too. But I'm asking you, should 20 they have been interviewed? 21 I can't answer the question, sir. I 22 wasn't there. I don't know what happened. 23 don't know why there isn't statements in there. 24 Did you find it odd there were no 25 statements except the statement from the plaintiff

in this case? Is that odd to you?

- A. That's a fair statement, that it's odd that there wasn't statements in there, but I don't know why.
- Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about -- am I correct, sir, that, as far as you know when you looked at it, there were no witnesses interviewed in this case; correct? Except the plaintiff.

 That's the only statement you saw.
- A. That's a statement. You asked me interviewed. I don't know whether anybody was interviewed or not.
- Q. Well, if somebody was interviewed —
 let's take that. Let's assume that the security
 people interviewed certain people and those people
 said, "Well, I only saw this or I didn't really
 see that." Should that have been put as part of
 the report? Yes or no.
- A. I can't answer that yes or no. I wasn't there. I don't know what the security officer did.
- Q. Mr. Habersack, you keep telling me you weren't there. Here's what we're going to do.

 You and I are going to agree that you weren't there.

1 A. Correct.

- Q. Okay? Now we know you weren't there.
- A. Correct.
 - Q. So I don't have to hear it again.

So here's my question. My question to you is, as the director of risk management and the person representing MGM Grand as a witness in this case, I'm asking you whether or not there should have been a report that said, "I interviewed this witness, and this is what they knew. I interviewed this witness, and they didn't know much or they knew nothing."

Should they have done that under the rules? That's my question. That's a yes or no. They should have done it; they shouldn't have done it. It's not complicated.

- A. Well, you said assume in the first part of your question. So assuming, that could be a factual statement.
- Q. Okay. So do you agree that, if witnesses were interviewed, even if they didn't know much, it should have been in the report?
- A. If the witnesses were interviewed, there should have been statements in the report.
 - Q. Okay. Even if they didn't witness the

exact accident; correct?

- A. I can't answer that because I don't know that, if they said they didn't see anything, whether or not they would have had them fill out a statement at that time.
- Q. Now, there were -- did you at any time, after you saw the reports, question anybody at MGM about who was working that night, because you came on board only a few months later; right? Did you question anybody and say, "Hey, how come we don't have any statements here, we don't have any evidence to know what happened?"
- MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Ambiguous as to time.

THE COURT: Sustained.

16 BY MR. MORELLI:

- Q. When you came on board in 2014 -correct? -- and you started looking into this
 particular case, whenever that was, did you ask
 anybody why there was such an incomplete report of
 this accident?
- A. I did not ask anybody anything further, as this claim was tendered over to the insurance company.
 - Q. Now, let me ask you this.

1 There was surveillance tape in this 2 case; correct? 3 Α. There was some surveillance, yes. Q. And you saw it? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. You've seen it, I mean. 7 MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, do you want us 8 to take a break now because I'm going to show the 9 video? 10 THE COURT: Maybe that would be a good 11 idea. 12 Okay. We have some things to take up 13 outside the presence of the jury. So we'll take a 14 longer recess than usual because I want counsel to 15 have a recess too after we take up the items 16 outside your presence. So why don't we recess 17 with the jury until five after 11:00. It's now 20 to 11:00. Right? 18 MR. MORELLI: Yes. 19 THE COURT: We'll take up the items that 20 21 we discussed at the bench conference. And then 22 counsel will also have an opportunity, hopefully, 23 to have a recess as well. 24 MR. POPOVICH: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right.

1 During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, 2 you're admonished once again not to talk or 3 converse among yourselves or with anyone else, 4 including, without limitation, the lawyers, 5 parties, and witnesses, on any subject connected 6 with the trial, or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any 8 person connected with the trial by any medium of 9 information, including, without limitation, 10 newspapers, television, the internet, and radio, or to form or express any opinion on any subject 11 12 connected with the trial until the case is finally 13 submitted to you. 14 Please be outside the courtroom, to the south, at five after 11:00. 15 16 Counsel, remain, please. 17 (The following proceedings were held 18 outside the presence of the jury.) THE COURT: Would counsel like to take 19 20 their recess first and then make the record? 21 MR. POPOVICH: Either way. 22 THE COURT: Whichever you prefer. 23 MR. MORELLI: We might as well just do 24 it now and then take the recess; right? 25 here.

1 THE COURT: Have a seat. 2 MR. POPOVICH: Your Honor, before we get 3 into those, given, two answers ago, about 4 insurance, can I ask the Court to instruct the 5 jurors at some point that they should not 6 consider --7 THE COURT: Oh, that's going to be one of the instructions that I give to the jury, yes. 8 9 I mean, that's one of the pattern instructions. 10 MR. POPOVICH: And I understand that. 11 I'm just thinking, based on what just came out, 12 sooner rather than later might be appreciated. 13 THE COURT: If there's an agreement, I 14 can go ahead and do that. It's just -- if you 15 want to give me an instruction --16 MR. POPOVICH: The Court is inclined to 17 do it at the end? 18 THE COURT: At the end, you said? 19 MR. POPOVICH: Is the Court inclined to 20 do it just at the end like normal rather than --21 Well, I mean, if there's an THE COURT: 22 agreement that I would do it ahead of time, I can 23 do that too. I mean, it's just I don't -- I 24 always give that instruction. 25 MR. POPOVICH: Tell you what.

think about it. 1 THE COURT: Right. And if you have one 2 3 you want me to read, give it to me. 4 MR. POPOVICH: Thank you. 5 MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor, I know I moved 6 to strike the comment, but in the middle of questioning about things they don't know, Mr. Morelli made the comment, "Well, we don't know 8 9 the Backstage." They have the name of every -- 32 10 employees from Backstage Employment that were 11 there that night. I'd like a curative instruction 12 on that. 13 THE COURT: I don't know what they know, 14 but you can certainly bring that out during 15 examination at the appropriate time. 16 MR. RUSSELL: Well, the list is in 17 evidence. I mean, we've already given them the 18 list. So it's in evidence. 19 MR. MORELLI: Howard, I'll clarify. 20 MR. RUSSELL: Appreciate that. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. MORELLI: Because I really meant the 23 MGM, not Backstage. So I'll clarify. 24 THE COURT: So I think we need to make a 25 record, then, relative to these two deposition

```
1
    witnesses; right?
 2
              MR. MORELLI:
                             Yes.
 3
              MR. POPOVICH:
                              Yes.
 4
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             I'll go first, I guess,
 5
    Your Honor.
 6
               I think -- as Mr. Popovich made clear, I
    think Your Honor has already ruled, at least with
    respect to Ms. Esack, which is the woman from
 8
 9
    Seattle, that Your Honor was going to permit her
10
    to testify about the limited facts of -- that she
    was involved in the illusion; that she was
11
12
    injured; you know, what that injury was; and
13
    Mr. Copperfield's knowledge of that injury but not
14
    about any specifics of the illusion itself,
15
    including how the runaround occurred or anything
16
    like that because Your Honor felt that that was
17
    too remote and it was in a different location.
18
    Obviously, for the record, that was over our
19
    objection, but we understand Your Honor's ruling.
20
               So I think that that was -- I think,
21
    with respect to Ms. Esack, I think that we all
22
    agree that that's what it was going to be.
23
               THE COURT: But I think there was also
24
    some evidence about being out against the wall.
25
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             Well, I think that
```

1 involved the portion of her testimony that 2 detailed the fact that she was sitting there, that 3 she had the wrist -- her wrist was broken, and 4 that Mr. Copperfield came over. So that went into 5 the piece of her testimony about Mr. Copperfield's 6 knowledge that the accident and injury had occurred. So that was what we understood her testimony would be permitted. 8 9 THE COURT: My recollection is you were 10 objecting to the "against the wall" portion. 11 MR. DEUTSCH: No, no, no. The only 12 objection that I had to the "against the wall" was 13 because Ms. Fresch's questions were making it seem 14 as if, in a vacuum, that maybe she got injured in 15 some other place instead of during the illusion; 16 that she, like, tripped on the sidewalk or 17 something. That's what her questions were sort of 18 indicating at the time. 19 THE COURT: All right. But where she 20 was and the ambulance and all that, that's part of 21 what came in. 22 MR. DEUTSCH: Yeah. Right. And 23 Mr. Copperfield knowing about it and 24 Mr. Copperfield calling her about it and 25 Mr. Copperfield sending her a Christmas card.

1 THE COURT: I think that's relevant. 2 MS. FRESCH: Your Honor, I will reassert 3 my objections to Ms. Esack's testimony in its 4 entirety because I do think it's not relevant. It's too remote. And, you know, we're kind of in 5 6 a quagmire because Ms. Esack, yeah, there was an injury, but I don't want -- maybe there has to be some type of instruction to the jury because she 9 was injured, but I don't want to leave out there that somehow -- because there's no finding of why 10 11 she fell or how --12 THE COURT: No, I understand that. 13 MS. FRESCH: Because just saying she was 14 injured and -- they'll contend that 15 Mr. Copperfield actually spoke to her. We would 16 refute that. But the fact that it just leaves 17 this little question mark out, like, a little 18 bubble over a character's head. 19 THE COURT: I think her testimony 20 regarding the fact of injury during the course of 21 the Thirteen Illusion is appropriate and will be 22 permitted. 23 There was a discussion about an 24 instruction that the Court would give that it's 25 only applicable as to the Copperfield defendants.

```
1
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                            Correct. We had
 2
    stipulated to that, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          Right. Okay. So that will
 4
    have to be something that you'll give me to read
 5
    to the jury.
 6
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                            Right.
 7
              MR. MORELLI:
                            Yes.
 8
              MR. DEUTSCH: Correct. Although --
 9
              THE COURT: Now -- okay. So we've dealt
10
    with Ms. Esack, is it?
11
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             Right.
12
              MS. FRESCH:
                           But how are we -- just the
13
    process. So is plaintiff going to give us the
14
    page and line ahead of time so that I can
15
    review --
16
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             Yes.
17
              THE COURT: That's why we're doing this
18
    now, so that they can configure --
              MS. FRESCH: I just want to make sure
19
20
    we're on the same page.
21
              MR. DEUTSCH: We will give them to you
22
    by sometime tomorrow, sooner rather than later.
23
    That way, you can get us back, within a certain
24
    amount of time, yours. And then we could maybe,
25
    if we all agree on what pages and lines we can do,
```

```
1
    we can maybe just have one person do the video --
 2
    you know, edit of the video so we can just play
 3
    one complete videotape of the whole thing.
 4
    seems to be what makes sense to me.
 5
              MR. POPOVICH: That's what I'm used to.
 6
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             So we will get them to you
 7
    by, like, noon tomorrow.
                              Does that work?
 8
              MS. FRESCH:
                            Yes.
 9
              MR. DEUTSCH: And then you guys can get
10
    them to me by the end of the day tomorrow.
11
    that way, whoever video has Sunday and Monday
12
    morning, and then we can have it maybe by Monday
13
    afternoon.
14
              MS. FRESCH:
                           Or maybe first thing
15
    Sunday.
16
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             Okay.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Now, with respect to
18
    Ms. Lawrence --
19
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             Yes.
                                   We, Your Honor, feel
20
    that Ms. Lawrence's testimony in its entirety is
21
    relevant and should be permitted. It happened
    within a couple of months of this accident.
22
23
    Mr. Carvalho conceded that he would have been
24
    working that same night. He testified that he was
25
    working in June, that he worked every night, he
```

```
did all the shows. So it's exactly the same
 1
 2
            It was the exact same runaround.
 3
    Mr. Carvalho testified that the procedure --
 4
              THE COURT: Again, we're looking at fact
 5
    of injury, though, aren't we?
 6
              MR. DEUTSCH: Well, it's more than that
    because it's also impeachment about them saying
 8
    that nobody runs and -- and --
 9
              THE COURT: But you know what? You
10
    could have developed that with somebody who was in
11
    the incident who wasn't injured.
12
              MR. DEUTSCH: But, Your Honor --
13
              THE COURT: Whether it's "run, run,
14
    run," being yelled out, that doesn't depend on
15
    somebody --
16
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             Your Honor, we had no
17
    ability to know because --
18
              THE COURT:
                          Wait a second.
                                           I think
19
    maybe you're misunderstanding my point. One of
20
    the things that you're going to adduce or you want
21
    to adduce is that she was told to run, run, run,
22
    run; right?
                 As I recall.
23
              MR. DEUTSCH: Absolutely.
24
              THE COURT:
                           Right?
25
                     That kind of evidence could have
              Okay.
```

1 been developed through regular discovery -right? -- by somebody who was in the incident, 2 3 whether they fell or not, "Are you told to run?" 4 MR. DEUTSCH: No, because they don't keep track of the names of the people that 6 participate in the illusions. So we would have 7 been unable to find anyone else that was in the 8 illusion other than by sheer luck. 9 We had put out a Facebook post in 10 Las Vegas back -- I don't remember exactly when it 11 was -- in 2016 looking for anyone who had been 12 involved in this illusion. There's no way for us 13 to identify -- whether it was someone who was 14 injured or just someone who participated in the 15 illusion, there was no way for us to identify any 16 other participants in the illusion whether they 17 were injured or not. It was impossible for us to 18 do that because there's no record of any of those 19 things. So regardless even of the fact that she 20 was injured, we had no way of finding it. 2.1 Well, you know, in looking THE COURT: 22 at your brief that you filed regarding these 23 witnesses -- okay? -- you have said that the --24 that the purpose of the -- of being allowed to 25 adduce evidence through these witnesses was for

impeachment as to whether or not there were prior injuries, not as to the modus operandi of the manner in which the show takes place.

MR. DEUTSCH: Well, Your Honor, I think that if it wasn't as clear in the brief as it could have been, but the fact is, Your Honor, that there has been testimony from every single one of the defense witnesses thus far -- Mr. Carvalho, Ms. Weall, Mr. Kenner, all of them -- that --

MR. MORELLI: And Copperfield.

MR. DEUTSCH: And Mr. Copperfield -that nobody runs, that nobody is ever told to run,
that it's a brisk walk, that it's slow, that they
can go at their own pace, that nobody ever says
anything to them about anything about the speed,
that it's calm and collected and super mellow and
totally relaxed.

We were finally, thankfully, able to identify someone — which is like picking a needle out of a haystack, Your Honor, to find one person who actually participated in the trick who would be willing to come in. The fact that they came forward is miraculous. It really is. And the fact is, Your Honor, it clearly impeaches this testimony.

1 And I'd like to play something for you, 2 Your Honor, if I may, because, while it's a little 3 unorthodox, I want to play something for you, Your Honor, because I think it's relevant because of 4 5 what Your Honor stated in terms of the truth --6 MS. FRESCH: But before you play that --7 MR. DEUTSCH: Truth-finding. 8 MS. FRESCH: I think I know what he's 9 going to play, and I would highly object to this, 10 of him just playing some newscaster --11 MR. DEUTSCH: It's for the purpose of my 12 argument. 13 MS. FRESCH: No, it's not for 14 purposes -- because that man is not under oath; 15 he's on TV. 16 MR. DEUTSCH: Okay. But it's for the 17 purpose of my argument. I'm allowed to use it as 18 my argument. 19 MS. FRESCH: I'm objecting to it, Your 2.0 I just want to be on the record. 21 MR. DEUTSCH: Your Honor, the point of 2.2 this is --23 THE COURT: Just paraphrase what it is. 24 MR. DEUTSCH: Sure. The point of this 25 is, Your Honor, is that the goal in this trial is

to get to the truth. That's what Your Honor said. And, right now, there is one side to this story, which is that these people are not ever told to run, that it's calm and collected, and everyone just walks around very quietly.

Mr. Cox is obviously going to testify to the contrary about that. But Mr. Cox, obviously, has a dog in this fight, and they're going to attack his credibility for that purpose, about the fact that he has some reason to not be telling the truth.

We now have other witnesses who came forward out of the grace -- goodness of their own heart, to come in here and say that the statements made by the defendants in this case are not accurate.

We actually were sent a video, what I was going to show you, from a newscast in British Columbia where they were covering this trial. And the newscaster who was doing it was actually a participant in this trick as well. And that newscaster, after they finished playing the clip of the trial and, you know, what was going on, one newscaster turns to another newscaster and said, "Hey, you were, in fact, a participant in this

illusion at MGM, weren't you?"

18.

And he says, "I was." And he said, "You know, it was crazy. I was sitting on the platform. They closed the curtains. And the second they closed the curtains, they yelled, 'run, run, run.'"

So that is another witness who was a participant in this illusion who we never would have been able to identify no matter what we did, who says that what the defendants are saying about how this works is just not true.

And this jury, Your Honor, is entitled to hear all the facts. They should not decide this case based on technicalities with respect to disclosure.

And regardless of the technicalities,
Your Honor, the rule is substantial justification
for not giving the late witness notice. It's not
just that if it's a late identification of a
witness that they should just be precluded; there
just needs to be some good cause shown, some
substantial justification, about why that witness
was not disclosed sooner.

And I think it's pretty clear that we showed that, that we had no ability to find them.

Within 15 minutes of them coming forward, we disclosed them. There's no prejudice to them at all. They knew about all of these other witnesses. They chose not to keep names of all these people. So there's no prejudice to them. It's not harmful to them other than the fact that it gets to the truth.

2.0

And to have this jury decide this case with only half of the facts because of a technicality of late disclosure when there's substantial justification for why that disclosure was late --

THE COURT: So, basically, what you're saying is your position has morphed from no prior injuries now to not only were there prior injuries but this is how they took place; right?

MR. DEUTSCH: So -- well, no, no.

THE COURT: Wasn't your initial position basically that the stadium has shown this is how many people have participated, nobody has been injured. That's the reason why the Court allowed you then to, you know, adduce evidence regarding prior injuries. But now you want to take it not only to the prior injuries but how they took place.

1 MR. DEUTSCH: Well, yes, Your Honor, 2 because -- that's exactly right. 3 THE COURT: There's a distinction 4 between fact of injury and cause of injury. 5 MR. DEUTSCH: Well, I understand that --6 THE COURT: I thought you were focusing 7 on fact of injury. 8 MR. DEUTSCH: I understand that, Your 9 Honor, but in light of Your Honor saying we could 10 have a deposition, one of the reasons why we wanted to do a deposition was so that everyone 11 12 could see what the person was going to say. And 13 then after we heard what the person was going to 14 say, we were going to figure out what we wanted to do with the witness. 15 16 So, now, having heard what the witness 17 says, that's my position. And it shouldn't make a 18 difference at this point, having now heard the 19 testimony, what was the initial thought process. 20 We now know what the testimony is and we know that 21 the testimony flat out impeaches the defendants' 22 position in this case. 23 And, therefore, they would be 24 permissible as an impeachment witness. They would 25 be permissible as a rebuttal witness. It doesn't

matter what we call them. We can call them whatever we want to call them. The fact is that they have evidence that is relevant to this case that the jury should hear in deciding this case, because, if they don't, they'll only be deciding it based on half the evidence.

1.3

We had substantial justification for why we didn't have this witness sooner. Had we disclosed this witness 30 days ago, we wouldn't even be discussing this. I mean, that's the — if I had given them the name of this woman two months ago or three months ago, assuming I had it — somehow was able to find this woman out of nowhere and I found her, and six months ago or a year ago, I gave them this witness and they got to depose this witness, there would be no discussion that her testimony would be relevant, none.

It would be admissible and relevant and she'd be allowed to testify. There's no discussion. We have a woman who participated in a trick who says how it was done. It would be clearly relevant and admissible. So, therefore, the only issue that we're really looking at right now is the technical issue of whether the notice was late or not.

1 And the only showing that we have to 2 make of the Court on that issue is whether we were 3 substantially justified in giving the late notice. 4 And based on the fact that we had absolutely no 5 way to find anyone in this case that participated 6 in the illusion other than Mr. Cox -- had MGM and Mr. Habersack identified those people or Backstage 8 identified the people that were doing the 9 runaround with him that night, we'd be in a 10 different situation. 11 THE COURT: Let me hear from counsel. 12 MR. DEUTSCH: But we know that didn't 13 happen. 14 MS. FRESCH: Well, Your Honor, I think 15 we're neglecting to remember that Mr. Cox hired a 16 very well-respected and great lawyer of Mr. Eglet 17 two days after this. And you know what? 18 bad, but Mr. Eglet, for whatever reason --19 MR. DEUTSCH: It was a less 20 well-respected lawyer, Your Honor. 21 MS. FRESCH: I'm sorry. But you know 22 Mr. Eglet was involved in this lawsuit too. 23 There's been a lot of lawyers involved in this 24 lawsuit. And, you know, the fact that they didn't 25 do any discovery and investigation from two days

later, from November 14th of 2013, to look for witnesses, to interview people, to stake out the illusion for the next 30 days to talk to people or whatever, that's the problem here. They didn't do proper discovery or investigation.

2.0

Now, because someone just came up now, they're like, well, this person has come up. And there is a distinction. If this person had been identified during normal course of discovery — because, then, we would have taken her deposition, and I would have had — or all of us would have had adequate time to actually do other investigation to determining whether they're even telling the truth, to determine — there's a host of things.

Because, right now, I'm scrambling for my impeachment evidence of both witnesses because I've only been able to deal with this since two days ago. And they're going to go -- potentially be viewed to the jury on Monday. And then I have to hurry and scramble as this case takes longer and longer and longer. And I think it's not germane.

MR. POPOVICH: If Ms. Lawrence is allowed at all for MGM, I would request a limiting

1 instruction. There's no indication from her 2 testimony that she spoke with an MGM security 3 person, and she specifically said no report was 4 taken. As we heard from Mr. Habersack this 5 morning, if there's no report, MGM doesn't know about it. 6 That's actually not what MR. DEUTSCH: 8 he said. What he said was that a report is 9 supposed to be done even if the person refuses the 10 report. 11 MR. POPOVICH: If it's reported to 12 security. She did not identify anyone that she 13 talked to as being security. Your Honor, if I just may 14 MR. DEUTSCH: 15 respond to Ms. Fresch's argument. The fact is, is 16 that their truthfulness and credibility is for the 17 jury to decide. Okay? That's their job. 18 they should get to make that determination in this 19 case. 20 One of the central issues in this case 21 is whether or not the people have to walk or run, 2.2. if it's chaotic or not chaotic, how it's done. 23 That's one of the essentials in this case. 24 And Ms. Fresch is suggesting that the 25 lawyers that were involved in this case before us

should have staked out the trick and, while people were running around in the runaround, they should have been standing out there, saying, "Hey, can I get your name real quick?"

That's what she's suggesting that we should have done. It's a ridiculous standard to hold an attorney to. Every time we have a slip-and-fall in the supermarket or a slip-and-fall case anywhere, we're supposed to put out notices to the world, "Hey, has the millions of people that have ever been in that supermarket fallen before?"

It's an impossible standard. It's an impossible standard. We never would have been able to identify these participants unless the people that knew who they were at the time they knew Mr. Cox was hurt took down their names the way the rules required them to.

That's what we're talking about this morning. Had MGM said, you were there -- we saw the video, Your Honor. There were four or five, six people that were around Mr. Cox when he fell, not only MGM security guards but participants in the trick. We know from Mr. Carvalho that they were all in the room together when Mr. Copperfield

stopped to question if he was okay or not. 1 2 At that point they could have identified 3 all of those people in the room that were there. 4 We would have been able to depose those people and 5 said, "Hey, were you running or walking? 6 happened?" But it gets back to the point before, 8 that there is nothing different about this witness 9 other than the fact that her disclosure was late. 10 If she was disclosed sooner, there is no doubt 11 that her testimony would be admissible. 12 So the only question that we believe the 13 Court needs to be looking at is whether there was 14 a justification, which is what the rule states --I think it's 37.1 -- states, that there needs to 15 16 be a substantial justification for why there was 17 late notice. 18 All the courts in this country, 19 including the Nevada Supreme Court, the Supreme 20 Court of the United States, say that cases should be decided on the merits and not based on 21 22 technicalities of late notice, especially if good 23 cause is shown. And we have shown that good 24 cause, Your Honor. So --25 MS. FRESCH: I think Mr. Roberts

MR. ROBERTS: 1 With regard to Lawrence, 2 it also does affect us. There's no stipulation, I 3 don't think, on this one. 4 So first, with regard to the idea that 5 they had no way to obtain evidence of whether someone said "Run, run, run," or what the scene 6 was like, we did disclose all 32 employees who 8 were there, including the two employees who were 9 inside of the Thirteen prop that night in response 10 to their discovery request. 11 THE COURT: They wouldn't have known the 12 names of the participants, though. 13 MR. ROBERTS: They would have been able 14 to testify to what happened inside the prop. Your 15 Honor, we disclosed 32 names of people who were 16 They deposed three of them. 29 people 17 they didn't depose that might have known some information. 18 19 MR. DEUTSCH: Your Honor, there's no 2.0 doubt --21 MR. ROBERTS: They did not diligently 22 pursue discovery in this case, Your Honor. 23 MR. DEUTSCH: Your Honor, I don't think 24 there could be any doubt --25 MR. ROBERTS: Could I --

```
MR. DEUTSCH: You want to call --
 1
2
              MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor --
 3
              MR. DEUTSCH: You want to call --
              MR. ROBERTS: -- could you instruct
 4
 5
    Mr. Deutsch to sit down until I'm done.
 6
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             Sorry. Sorry. Sorry.
                                                     Ι
. 7
    apologize.
 8
              THE COURT:
                         I don't think he interrupted
          So let him speak.
9
    you.
10
              MR. DEUTSCH: I apologize.
11
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
12
              MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
              Second, in order for this to be
14
    relevant, there has to be substantial similarity.
15
    I understand impeachment due to the fact of
16
    injury. The only person that they would possibly
17
    impeach are opening statements because
18
    Mr. Copperfield, to his knowledge, no one had been
19
    hurt. This witness testified that she didn't tell
20
    Mr. Copperfield. She testified she didn't tell
21
    Mr. Kenner, that Mr. Kenner was not called.
    There's no one that's testified in this trial that
22
23
    this impeaches, only some claim of no injuries
24
    during opening statement.
25
              So the fact of injury, I understand your
```

1 ruling on the other case; I understand how that 2 might be relevant. But then you get to her injury 3 and how she fell. There's no substantial 4 similarity. She had a problem with her shoe. She 5 was running in her shoe. She didn't say she 6 slipped on construction dust. She didn't say she slipped at all. 8 Finally, Your Honor, there's one more 9 argument that I need to make, and you may have 10 heard this when Mr. Russell was doing the 11 deposition. But she testified the very reason she 12 came forward is she saw part of the trial on TV. 13 She saw Mr. Copperfield's testimony regarding this 14 very issue. And then she researched the trial on 15 the internet after she met with counsel. 16 Under Givens v. State --17 MR. DEUTSCH: Never met with us. 18 MR. ROBERTS: -- 657 P.2d 97, it 19 discusses the sequestration of witnesses and the 20 presumption that a prejudice has occurred if 21 there's a violation of the sequestration order. 22 "The purpose of sequestration of 23 witnesses is to prevent particular witnesses from 24 shaping their testimony in light of other 25 witnesses' testimony and exposing falsehoods by

1 exposing inconsistencies." 2 She actually saw part of 3 Mr. Copperfield's testimony on the very issue that 4 she's here to allegedly rebut. 5 The supreme court said, "We hold that, 6 because requiring the requested -- requesting 7 party to prove that actual prejudice occurred 8 would be overly harsh and unjust, we will presume 9 prejudice from a violation of NRS 50.155 unless 1.0 the record shows prejudice did not occur." 11 The court also noted, "In many 12 instances, the prejudice resulting from a 13 violation of a sequestration order will be 14 virtually impossible to detect as the damage will 15 already have been done and no inconsistencies will appear." 16 17 So in this case there's a violation of 18 the sequestration order. She saw part of the 19 Witnesses were excluded from the trial. 20 And she's here to comment on the testimony she saw 21 of the trial. And there's no exception to the rule which would allow her to view 22 23 Mr. Copperfield's testimony before coming in to 24 rebut it. 25 THE COURT: She hadn't been denominated

as a witness at that point. She became aware of it because of it and then she came forward. So I disagree with that.

MR. DEUTSCH: Your Honor, if I may respond to one thing that Mr. Roberts said. If Mr. Roberts is suggesting that, had we deposed all 32 of their witnesses, that some of them would have come in and said something other -- told the truth or told something other than "brisk walk," like the four people that we did depose, if he is saying that one of the people they would have produced that they identified would have said what Ms. Lawrence said, then he could bring that person in now and we'll ask him the questions in court, if he's suggesting that one of those people would have said what Ms. Lawrence said.

MR. ROBERTS: And, Your Honor, we mentioned this in our brief. We've had someone monitoring Facebook, social media, throughout the trial. And there are at least 12 people that have posted that they were participants in the illusion and that it was perfectly safe and that this is a ridiculous lawsuit. And we should be able to bring those in to rebut.

THE COURT: We've got to move on here.

We could debate this for a long time.

With respect to Ms. Lawrence, at this point the testimony will be confined to the fact of injury. That's without prejudice to utilization of manner of injury or the "run, run," whatever in rebuttal if it comes to that. So that's what I'm doing.

MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, I don't understand. What do you mean by "if it comes to that"? It's come to that.

THE COURT: I mean, I'm not sure what evidence the defense is going to put on, but the plaintiff has the right to rebut.

MR. DEUTSCH: They've already put on their evidence, Your Honor. The only witnesses that they're calling at this point, other than the ones that are already here, are two security guards who took the report who don't have any information about that and an expert who's going to say that Mr. Cox tripped instead of slipped.

So all of the witnesses that the defendants are going to put on in their case have already given their defendants' testimony in their cross-examinations when we did it.

So the bell has been rung by them.

Their defense is in. All of the evidence is in at this point. There's going to be nothing new on the defense case other than an expert who says that he tripped and two security guards that talk about their investigation. They weren't part of the illusion. There was one guy who opened the door and then walked away, and there's another guy who came down and wrote the report after the fact and has no idea about how anything works.

1.7

2.0

So all of their testimony is in, and this is already rebuttal. I mean, any way you look at it, it's already happened.

THE COURT: Let me hear from counsel on that point -- it's now almost 10 after 11:00 -- what counsel just said. Did you want to address what counsel just said about the course of the defense and the -- whether or not anything remains on this subject?

MS. FRESCH: Well, Your Honor, plaintiff hasn't rested yet. So I'm not going to sit here and say, for the witnesses who have gone before who were identified on my witness list, then I may call them back in my case in chief. I have the ability and right.

Yes, I know we talked about that,

1 especially because we thought we'd be done with 2 this trial. So we went beyond cross-examination. 3 THE COURT: Right. 4 MS. FRESCH: But that does not mean, by 5 the time plaintiff closes and the entire 6 plaintiffs' case is presented, that I would not still elect to call someone to present more testimony in my -- in my client's defense. I'm 9 not aware of a rule --10 MR. DEUTSCH: I agree with her, Your 11. Honor, other than the fact that she would be 12 entitled to produce more testimony but not the 13 same. And the area that we're talking about, the 14 run versus walk versus that, has already been 15 covered by her. 16 MS. FRESCH: Really? 17 THE COURT: And it is also the subject 18 of further defense; right? MR. DEUTSCH: Well, if it wasn't 19 20 cumulative, I guess, and she could ask the same 21 questions --22. I guess if it's allowed, THE COURT: 23 then she would be allowed to adduce evidence that 24 addresses that; right? 25 Well, that being so, I'll allow the

1 "run, run, run" too. 2 MR. DEUTSCH: Okay. 3 THE COURT: All right. 4 MR. DEUTSCH: Thank you. Good. 5 THE COURT: In other words, not just the 6 fact of injury, but how she claims it happened. Okay? Her involvement in the illusion as to how 8 that took place. 9 MR. ROBERTS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Ι 10 don't understand how we just flipped. 11 THE COURT: The fact is the defense is 12 going to hear this evidence and have an 13 opportunity to prepare for it in presenting the defense case. 7.4 15 MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, we're not 16 putting on any more witnesses. Our witnesses have 17 taken the stand and they've flown to New York. 18 THE COURT: Ms. Fresch just said --19 MS. FRESCH: I'm reserving my right. 20 don't feel like I should be boxed into a corner 21 today without hearing the rest of plaintiffs' --22 because basically what they're asking me to 23 stipulate right now, I'm not going to call any That's really what I hear them saying. 24 witnesses. 25 THE COURT: So that would mean that they

1 couldn't call that person in rebuttal; right? 2 MS. FRESCH: Well, they can call that 3 person in rebuttal, but that shouldn't be done on 4 Monday. 5 MR. ROBERTS: Rebuttal is in their 6 rebuttal case, Your Honor. But for me, I have no witnesses left. They've called all my witnesses. I ruled on this without 8 THE COURT: 9 prejudice for the plaintiff calling that aspect of it in rebuttal. And what I'm hearing you say, 10 11 Mr. Roberts, is that there isn't going to be 12 anything to rebut because it's in already. 13 MR. ROBERTS: Correct. As to Backstage, 14 that's correct. 15 THE COURT: That being so, it seems to 16 me then let the plaintiff put it on and you'll be 17 prepared for it because you'll know what it is and 18 you can decide your defense as you go along, 19 having heard it. 20 MR. ROBERTS: I've got no witnesses left 21 to rebut it even if I hear it and decide I need 22 to. 23 But you're not the only THE COURT: 24 defendant in the case. Ms. Fresch just said that 25 she may plan her defense accordingly.

1 MR. ROBERTS: But what -- she's gone. 2 We've deposed her. There's nothing to prepare 3 It's just going to be played. 4 THE COURT: No, I understand. I'm not 5 talking about that person. I'm talking about what 6 witnesses may be put on by the defense as a result 7 That's all I'm saying. of it. I don't know. 8 MS. FRESCH: Your Honor, now I'm 9 confused. Are we having Ms. Lawrence's testimony, 10 from any respect, shown Monday -- potentially 11 Monday, if we get through all this -- versus that 12 being in plaintiffs' rebuttal case? 13 THE COURT: Well, see, when I said 14 rebuttal, that's what I said. Then you indicated, 15 as I understood it, that you may be adjusting your 16 defense, because the question is whether or not 17 anybody else is going to be called and you said 18 you were going to be adjusting your defense based 19 upon how things are going. So that's why I 20 thought the rebuttal was fair. I don't know. 21 MS. FRESCH: But rebuttal wouldn't be 22 done on Monday. 23 THE COURT: No, it wouldn't. 24 MS. FRESCH: Okay. So we're not going 25 to have Ms. Lawrence's testimony on Monday?

```
1
              THE COURT: I don't know. We haven't
 2
    got to that point yet.
              MR. POPOVICH: You're getting hung up on
 3
 4
    rebuttal. He's allowing the facts of the
 5
    illusion, the "run, run, run."
 6
              MR. MORELLI: In chief.
 7
              THE COURT: I originally wasn't going
 8
    to. I think I said it would just be in rebuttal,
 9
    but in response to what I heard --
10
              MS. FRESCH:
                           Then I retract it because
11
12
              THE COURT: All the witnesses have
13
    testified and everything and therefore --
14
              MR. DEUTSCH: Can we use the restroom
15
    now, Your Honor?
16
              MS. FRESCH: I'll be done with that
17
    then.
              THE COURT: Well, let me hear from
18
19
    Mr. --
20
              MR. POPOVICH:
                             I just asked for a
21
    limiting instruction as to MGM. Is the Court
22
    going to grant that or is it coming in for all
23
    defendants?
24
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                            Our position, Your Honor,
25
    is that since we know the MGM employees were there
```

that night, just like they were there a couple 1 2 months later, despite the fact that she didn't 3 specifically report it --4 THE COURT: The whole thing opened up 5 because of, as I understood your position --6 MR. DEUTSCH: Yes. 7 THE COURT: -- because of the number of 8 people who have participated without injury; 9 right? 10 MR. DEUTSCH: Correct. 11 THE COURT: So that was the Copperfield 12 defendants. 13 MR. POPOVICH: It wasn't MGM. I didn't 14 open up that one. 15 MS. FRESCH: Your Honor, I thought you 16 were for sure allowing it in our case in chief. 17 So then I feel like, if someone is being allowed 18 something in case in chief, I would be able to do 19 a defense. 20 If your ruling as to Ms. Lawrence is 21 that that would be a rebuttal witness only, I will 22 not bring anybody in --23 THE COURT: That would mean they 24 wouldn't have a rebuttal. 25 MS. FRESCH: Then they wouldn't need a

1 rebuttal. But if you were saying it would only be 2 a rebuttal, well, then her testimony then is not 3 necessary. 4 I misunderstood. And I apologize. 5 misunderstood what you were saying at the 6 beginning because I thought you were saying -because we started talking about this about 8 Monday. And maybe I just thought you were stating 9 they got to do this for their case in chief. 10 So --11 THE COURT: Well, I think the idea was, 12 because of the way the examinations have taken 13 place with the understanding that cross could go 14 beyond direct and go into the defense case points, 15 right, that what plaintiffs counsel is saying is 16 that the evidence is in that you're going to be 17 putting in relative to the manner in which it took 18 place, the witnesses have testified, and therefore 19 it's appropriate at this point to permit them to 20 call a witness at this stage. 21 I'm not going to call MS. FRESCH: 22 anyone else about "run, run, run." 23 MR. MORELLI: You don't have to. 24 in the case ad nauseam. 25 Then Ms. Lawrence doesn't MS. FRESCH:

need -- her testimony is unnecessary. I guess I'm 1 2 very confused because I thought we were talking 3 that, if there was a ruling that she was coming in 4 on a case in chief -- which, to me, is totally 5 different than what you had talked about last week 6 you were saying, well, maybe as a rebuttal So if your ruling is she's only going to 8. be permitted potentially as a rebuttal witness, 9 then we're not fighting about Monday now. 10 THE COURT: What I had said is in the 11 case in chief -- my original thought was in the 12 case in chief she could testify about the injury, 13 right, but not the course of -- the manner in 14 which the injury took place, the fact of injury. 15 Because I understood the plaintiffs' position 16 basically to be impeachment on the occurrence 17 of -- but as I heard further argument, it appeared 18 to me that, in this situation regarding her, it's 19 proximate in time, it's the same place, all right, 20 and that the manner in which the illusion took 21 place with respect to the "run, run, run," 22 et cetera was -- could be adduced at this time 23 because it would be in effect not only case in 24 chief but, in effect, rebuttal of what defense 25 witnesses have testified about. Okay?

So that being the case, then I won't preclude you from calling any additional witnesses if you intend, based on the context, if I allow this to come in, if you have somebody you want to call on the subject of the "run, run, run" or whatever, you can do so.

MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor, the problem that I'm having here, Mr. Deutsch made a comment that, well, if we had deposed the other 29 people that you gave us information on, they would have just said the same thing.

Well, if that was the rule, then no party would ever have to do discovery. They could show up at trial and say, "Well, I knew what he was going to say, so I didn't take his deposition."

And what we disclosed to plaintiffs two and a half, three years ago, was a list of employees, including saying these were the two employees inside the prop.

Now, the testimony has been that this "run, run, run," Ms. Lawrence's testimony, that's the people that should step inside the prop.

Okay. Ms. Weall testified that she was on the edge of the dragon and there's loud music going,

1 so that doesn't rebut anything she said. 2 The two employees that were inside the 3 prop on the night of Mr. Cox's illusion, who could 4 have been questioned about what they said and how 5 they carried things out, have been known to the 6 plaintiffs for more than two and a half years. can't assume what they're going to say. 8 what plaintiffs get to do discovery for. 9 THE COURT: Those are employees of a 10 party. 11 MR. RUSSELL: Right. My point is -- I'm 12 talking about the "run, run, run" thing. 13 talking about the "run, run, run" issue. And my 14 point is they're saying, "Well, we don't have any 15 other way of finding witnesses to ask about that." 16 They had the two people that were inside 17 the prop the night of Mr. Cox's accident to ask 18 about that and they didn't depose them. So they 19 can't now say, "Well, this person just came up, so 2.0 let's use her instead." 21 They chose who to depose and who not to. 22 And they chose not to depose the two people that 23 would have had not only information about the --24 THE COURT: Okay, Those are employees. 25 Those are employees affiliated with a

```
1
    party.
            Okay?
 2
              MR. RUSSELL:
                             I understand this.
 3
              THE COURT: This witness is non -- she's
 4
    not a party in the case.
 5
              MR. RUSSELL: I understand that.
                                                 But
 6
    the point of -- we started back -- what
    Mr. Deutsch said is that there has to be
 8
    substantial justification for allowing this late
 9
    witness.
10
              My point is there can't be substantial
11
    justification when you didn't do the discovery to
12
    look into those issues in the first place. You
13
    can't say we got this new witness when you had two
    witnesses you could have asked a long time ago
14
15
    about the same exact thing, not only in general,
16
    but the ones there on that night.
17
              And they never took that opportunity.
18
    So they can't backdoor it in now, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I
20
    think the question -- yes.
21
              MR. CALL: Your Honor, for Team, I know
22
    you were giving a limiting instruction --
23
              MR. DEUTSCH: We consent to that.
24
              MR. CALL: And as far as --
25
              MR. DEUTSCH:
                             Ms. Lawrence too.
```

1 consent to a limiting instruction because Team was 2 not doing work at the time of Ms. Lawrence's act. 3 MR. MORELLI: Neither one of those 4 witnesses apply to that defendant, Team. 5 MR. CALL: Just so long as the jury 6 understands. 7 THE COURT: Well, I have to have 8 instructions given to me. Mr. Popovich has also 9 said --10 MR. DEUTSCH: So if you guys want to 11 draft something for Ms. Esack for you? MR. CALL: Oh, for the three of us? 12 13 MR. DEUTSCH: For the three of you for 14 Ms. Esack, and if you guys want to draft something 15 for that, we'll take a look at it. And we agree 16 to that. 17 THE COURT: What is your position on 18 Ms. Lawrence relative to MGM? 19 MR. DEUTSCH: We think that 20 Ms. Lawrence's testimony should come in against 21 MGM because it was at the MGM, the MGM security 22 guards were there at the time participating in the 23 actual workings of the illusion. Ms. Lawrence 24 testified that certain people along the route, 25 which we know are MGM employees, said specific

1 things to her as she was going along. So we think 2 it should apply to MGM as well. 3 MR. POPOVICH: If it's a notice issue, 4 you've heard today the way that has to happen is 5 to get it to security. She did not identify ever 6 divulging any information to security. That's my argument, and the Court can rule. 8 MR. DEUTSCH: I think security and the 9 people that worked in the illusion are two 10 different things. I think he's conflating issues. 11 THE COURT: How does it apply to 12 Copperfield? 13 MR. DEUTSCH: Because it's his illusion. 14 He's the guy who designed it. He's the guy who 15 came up with the protocols with the runaround. 16 The Backstage employees were working under the 17 protocols that he developed for his trick. 18 MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, are the 19 attorneys allowed to have a 10-minute break? 20 MR. POPOVICH: One way or another, I'd 21 like to hear if I have a ruling on the limiting 22 instruction. Do I get it or don't I? 23 THE COURT: I think you get the limiting 24 instruction based on what the evidence was about 25 the security. Okay?

```
1
              MR. POPOVICH: So we will get those
 2
    limiting instructions.
 3
              THE COURT: 11:30. Okay?
 4.
              THE MARSHAL: All rise.
 5
               (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
 6
              THE MARSHAL: All rise.
 7
               (The following proceedings were held in
 8
              the presence of the jury.)
 9
              THE COURT: You may be seated.
10
              Do counsel stipulate that the jury is
11
    present?
12
              MR. POPOVICH: They are now, Your Honor,
13
    yes.
14
              MR. MORELLI:
                             Yes.
15
               THE COURT: We'll be going until 12:00.
16
    As I indicated, I have a commitment from 12:00 to
17
    1:30, so we will be recessing at 12:00 for lunch.
    BY MR. MORELLI:
18
19
              Okay. Mr. Habersack, I just wanted to
         Ο.
20
    clear up a couple things because I thought about a
21
    couple things that I said.
22
               First of all, we did have the names of
23
    all of the Backstage employees. We did have those
24
    names.
25
               The names that I was referring to were
```

1 the names of the MGM people that were in the 2 Those are the names that we didn't have. 3 So I wanted to clear that up because I made a statement that I know now was inaccurate. Okay? 5 Α. Sure. Sure. 6 And also we were discussing -- and it 0. 7 said -- you can't discuss liability. 8 Yes, sir. 9 I understand exactly what you and I are 10 talking about; it's only about discussing 11 liability, not discussing what might have 12 happened. Okay? So that we're on the same page 13 with that. 14 Α. Okay. 15 I don't like things hanging out there 16 that are inaccurate. All right? 17 So let's talk about some other issues 18 that we haven't covered. And let's not, you know, 19 beat dead horses, so to speak. 20 Let's talk about surveillance. You've 21 seen the video in this case. If you don't mind, 22 I'm going to show it. It's not very long, as you 23 It's actually very short. 24 MR. MORELLI: Are we able to dim the

lights, Your Honor, so we can see it better?

25

1 THE COURT: Bob, lights dimmed. 2 THE MARSHAL: Got it. 3 MR. MORELLI: The jury has only seen 4 this video 7,000 times, so --5 (Whereupon video was played.) 6 MR. DEUTSCH: Just for the record, 7 that's Exhibit 402 that we just showed. 8 MR. MORELLI: Okay. We can put the 9 lights back up. Thank you. 10 BY MR. MORELLI: 11 So you've seen that video before. I 12 wanted to make sure that you had seen it now. 13 Okay? 14 This video was the only video that we 15 were provided. Were there any other videos that 16 you discovered of this area? 17 I believe there are some other videos. Α. 18 Now, I'm talking about videos that show 19 the accident scene. That's what I'm talking about 20 specifically. Okay? 21 This particular area is a high-security 22 area. Is that not true? 23 It's an emergency exit doors out of the 24 casino. Well, actually, isn't it true that this 25 Q.

```
1
    particular area -- and that's why I showed you the
 2
    video -- is where the Brinks trucks come in to
    take the cash from the casino? Isn't that true?
 3
 4
               MR. DEUTSCH: Page 104, line 6.
 5
               THE WITNESS: It's my understanding
 6
     there are Brinks trucks that use the area.
 7
               MR. DEUTSCH: Page 104, line 6 through
     23.
 8
 9
               Oh, actually, it's 103/18.
10
    BY MR. MORELLI:
11
          Q.
               Okay.
12
              "QUESTION: I believe you testified
13
          earlier that the area where this incident
14
          occurred was in a high-security area; is that
15
          correct?
16
              "ANSWER: Where the sets of doors, those
17
          two sets on each side, yes, that's considered
18
          a high-security area."
19
               MR. DEUTSCH: Next page. Page 104,
20
     line 6.
21
               MR. MORELLI: 104, line 6. You got it.
22
     BY MR. MORELLI:
.23
          Ο.
              Okay.
24
              "QUESTION:
                          So the area just outside the
25
          set of doors that we were watching on the
```

video earlier --1 2 "ANSWER: To exemplify that, yes. 3 the Brinks trucks would be coming in and out. "QUESTION: What does it mean to be a 4 5 high-security area? 6 "ANSWER: We're moving an awful lot of 7 funds in and out of the building. So that would be -- it would be no different than a 8 9 count room or surveillance rooms. 10 high security. I don't know how best to 11 explain that. 12 "QUESTION: They're areas that are 13 monitored? 14 "ANSWER: It's a very sensitive area, 15 yes. 16 "OUESTION: Are there additional cameras 17 in that area compared to other parts of the 18 hotel? 19 "ANSWER: I can't even speculate on that. 20 There's things that they won't divulge, even 21 for me, because of the money coming in and 22 out." 23 And you remember those questions and 24 answers; correct? 25 Α. Yes, sir.

1	Q. Okay. And so this particular area where
2	the accident happened just happens to be one of
3	those high-security areas; correct?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. And am I correct that you said "the
6	count room"? Is the count room where they count
7	the money?
8	A. Yes, that would be considered a place
9	where they count money.
10	Q. Okay. I mean, the security or the
11	surveillance at that place is different from other
12	places in the hotel; is that correct?
13	MR. POPOVICH: Objection. May assume
14	facts not in evidence or lacks foundation.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	BY MR. MORELLI:
17	Q. When you stated that the high-security
18	area was the count room, how does that differ, if
19	at all, from other areas in the hotel?
20	A. I don't know how it differs. It's an
21	area where the money is brought in, counted,
22	sorted, and distributed.
23	Q. Okay. But is the surveillance different
24	there from other areas in the hotel?
25	MR. POPOVICH: Objection. Your Honor,

```
1
    this is a sensitive area. Can we approach?
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
 3
               (A discussion was held at the bench,
 4
              not reported.)
 5
              THE COURT:
                          All right. Due to the
 6
    subject matter of the upcoming questions, all.
    persons who are not parties, counsel, jurors, or
    court personnel are directed to leave the
 8
 9
    courtroom and any nonofficial cameras and
10
    recording or other electronic media are to be
11
    inactivated at this time.
12
              MR. POPOVICH: Thank you.
13
              THE COURT: All right.
14
              MR. MORELLI: All right. Thank you,
    Your Honor.
15
16
              MR. POPOVICH: There's still a device
17
    there.
              THE MARSHAL: Let me grab that.
18
                                                Thank
19
    you.
          Thank you.
20
               (Whereupon media exited the courtroom.)
21
              MR. MORELLI:
                             Okay.
    BY MR. MORELLI:
22
23
              Okay. Mr. Habersack, you know, I know
24
    that some of this information might be very
25
    sensitive. So what I'm asking is, the
```

high-security areas, do they not have more surveillance than generally?

- A. That would depend on the actual area in question. So a delivery point may not be as heavily surveilled as a count room would be under surveillance.
- Q. So the count room is more than generally? Let's just take the count room, one at a time.
- A. I can't answer that definitively because, at the MGM, I've never had the opportunity to enter the count room or see the count room.
 - Q. You haven't done any counting?
- A. I haven't done any counting. I don't go anywhere near that room.
 - MR. DEUTSCH: Same page, 104, same questions.

BY MR. MORELLI:

Q. So, now, let's just read the -- your answer to "What does it mean to be a high-security area?" was "We're moving an awful lot of funds in and out of the building. So that would be -- it would be no different than a count room or surveillance rooms."

And that's what you said.

2.0

So what I understood that to mean is -and you correct me if I'm wrong -- that the
security would be heightened in this particular
area where the Brinks truck comes in the same as
it would be in the count room. I think that's
what you said.

- A. I believe that may be what I said -well, it's obviously what I said; it's on paper in
 front of everybody.
 - Q. No, no. Right. Okay.
- A. It's my understanding, though, that there's different levels of ways security and surveillance is handled. In a delivery scenario, those drivers are armed and there are security out there. There are different times of day that those deliveries or pickups are done in that particular area. And where those trucks pull up, there's a better view than what we were seeing that whole view through the tree.

I hope that explains it a little better.

Q. I understand.

Here's the question: You agree with me that you've heard the term "eye in the sky."
You've heard that term; right?