Electronically Filed
9/21/2018 4:46 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

**RTRAN** 

2

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

VS.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

**DISTRICT COURT** 

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

) DEPT. NO. XXI

OSCAR GOMEZ,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

THURSDAY, JUNE 14, 2018

# RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: SENTENCING

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

BINU G. PALAL, ESQ.

**Chief Deputy District Attorney** 

CASE NO. C-16-316959-1

For the Defendant:

MONTI J. LEVY, ESQ..

RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

Page 1

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, JUNE 14, 2018, 10:23 A.M.

[Hearing began at 10:23 A.M.]

THE COURT: All right. State versus Oscar Gomez.

Mr. Gomez is present in custody with Ms. Levy. We have Mr. Palal for the State. This is the time for the rendition of sentence. Are both sides ready to go forward?

MR. PALAL: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. LEVY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I received notification for five speakers.

MR. PALAL: Yes. I did – two or three are actually speaking today.

THE COURT: All right. And I'm assuming pursuant to statute you would like to go last?

MR. PALAL: That's correct.

THE COURT: All right. State, you have retained the right to argue?

MR. PALAL: Yes, Your Honor. We, or what the State's going to be asking for, State's going to be asking for the maximum sentence, the 10-to-Life with a consecutive 8-to-20.

Parole and Probation recommends 10 to Life. They recommend less time for the mandatory or for the consecutive portion for use of a firearm.

Your Honor, a little bit about the case. I know you've read the PSI, but a little bit about the case was is that, you know, none of this had to happen. Shawn and his friend, Johnathan Coleman, were actually coming off the shift from Wendy's where they worked together. They hung out, their – they had smoked some weed, they had drank some alcohol and go into the Mini Mart to buy some more alcohol. Then during that time when they're at the Mini Mart, the defendant and his co-

defendants are already at the Mini Mart.

They don't know each other. There's no reason for them to interact.

The – Johnathan and Shawn go into the Mini Mart and while they're shopping, the defendant and his co-defendant, they check out, and they decide to wait for Shawn and Johnathan outside the Mini Mart. And there was no dispute about that (unintelligible).

Then you have Shawn, or you have Shawn and Johnathan come out and the defendant and his co-defendant confront the two. No dispute about that. What Johnathan says happens is the defendant says, where are you from, it's not your turf.

Then the, not this defendant but the co-defendant and the victim in this case get into a fight. They get into a fist fight, fist fight lasts about two to three minutes. During this time on video this defendant is seen holding a firearm. The fist fight takes about two to three minutes, somebody from the Mini Mart says, hey, we're going to call the police.

So the fist fight breaks up, Shawn and Johnathan go on their way, the other, the co-defendant who is part of the fist fight, goes to his vehicle, and at that point this whole thing should be over. There should be nothing else, a five minute tour of the Mini Mart. Not – it started by the defendants but even then, all we leave with is a couple guys and some booze.

But rather than leave it there, the defendant follows Johnathan, follows Shawn, as they're walking off carrying the bags from the store with the gun and points it at Shawn. Shawn said, put the gun down we can fight. Then Shawn kind of just got up by, if you want to fight, we can fight. Defendant says, I'm not that stupid.

The defendant, while pointing a firearm at Shawn, tells Shawn, where are you going? Shawn at this point says to your mom's house. The way to try and keep – 'cause he was ready. If you want to fight, we can fight. But this defendant decided that he didn't want to, like, he – not only was he going to pursue these two, but he was going to pursue them in a manner where he didn't have to fight, he just took the quick but eternal decision to take Shawn Manymules' life. He shoots Shawn in the chest and then runs off.

Your Honor, you know, I – you do this for a while, you've seen a lot of cases. I don't know if I've seen something so pointless where after the fight he has somebody just chasing them that don't know each other, there was no reason for it, just somebody trying to pretend to be tough and in doing so, takes somebody else's life.

Your Honor, I think the facts in this case are worthy of the maximum, the 18-to-Life. He's the only person with a firearm in this situation, he knows he's the only person with a firearm in this situation, and decided to shoot Shawn dead center back, and Shawn died at the scene.

Your Honor, obviously we have victim speakers here. They can tell you much more about who Shawn was as a person. But what I will say is that somebody who isn't here, Johnathan Coleman, who was the friend that was with him. I've had an opportunity to meet with him a number of times. Obviously, this has affected him deeply as well.

He, as anyone could imagine, you're standing next to your friend gets shot right in front of you, that always has a deep impact on your life, and he wanted me to communicate to the Court about the deep impact it had on him psychologically, having to watch his friend die in front him for no reason whatsoever.

Your Honor, given this callus, callus taking of life, 18-to-life is the appropriate sentence. With that, I'll just reserve the [ ].

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Mr. Gomez, your lawyer, Ms. Levy, will have an opportunity to speak on your behalf, but what if anything would you like to say to the Court before the Court pronounces sentence against you? And I would note that I did get a number of letters from family members in support of the defendant, and I have to keep those. Mr. Gomez.

THE DEFENDANT: I'd like to apologize to the family. I don't know how you guys feel 'cause I never lost a loved one before. I'm sorry for it. That night I was under the influence of drugs and alcohol, just watching a fight break out between a friend and somebody you don't know and seeing your friend get beat on, you know, I just reacted and I shouldn't of went down like that. I'm sorry for it. That night shouldn't have happened.

To this day I pray and ask some forgiveness. I hope one day you guys can forgive me. Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Ms. Levy.

MS. LEVY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, Mr. Gomez is truly remorseful to the Court, to Shawn's family, to his own family. There's two families, entire families in the courtroom today that are broken and they're never going to be the same.

Oscar's family is here. The entire half of the courtroom over there is here for Oscar and they're completely supportive of him, and they don't understand what happened because this is not the Oscar that they know. One split second in a 20-year-old, his mind, who was under the influence of drugs and alcohol have

changed lives forever.

Mr. Gomez, prior to this, 20 years old, no record whatsoever other than a misdemeanor. It was marijuana. I think he actually pled to an CT – ITS. That's it. His entire criminal history, nothing juvenile, nothing anywhere else. He was 20 years old at the time, heavily under the influence of drugs and alcohol. He admitted to the police during his statement he had taken several Xaney bars, Xanax, and was drinking alcohol.

And I think the only thing factually that I would dispute with what Mr.

Palal stated was the fist fight that Oscar witnessed with his friend and Shawn. It

was more like five minutes. It's all on video, it's a very lengthy fist fight, and Oscar's

witnessing his friend get beat up.

After that, Oscar made a horrible decision. He did go around the corner. He's admitted to Your Honor when he entered his plea that he pulled the trigger one time. It was one shot. It wasn't multiple shots. Mr. Coleman was standing right there, didn't shoot him, and was one split second decision, and then he got scared and ran.

That one-second decision is not indicative of Mr. Gomez' entire life. I know the Court read the letters and am hoping that this Court got a better sense of who Mr. Gomez is.

He came from a loving home, a loving family, but it was a broken family, and Mr. Gomez spent his childhood travelling between California and Las Vegas, never really getting roots, never growing up with the same side of the family. Half the family's with the father, half the family's with the mother. There's half-siblings, step-siblings, and he never really had groups, school friends, whatnot. He would go to school in one state for six months and in the other state the rest of the year, and

he never really found himself.

The letters talk about this. Mr. Gomez was essentially raised by his older sister, Maria. Mr. Gomez' mother had suffered from some mental illness and she attempted to kill herself, and Mr. Gomez blamed himself for that, blamed himself for the family splitting up, and he never got over that.

And that one second when Shawn state's, going to your mom's house, something just clicked in Mr. Gomez. And there's no excuse for it whatsoever and he understands it, and he's completely remorseful, he takes full responsibility. That one-second decision has changed his life, Shawn's family's life, his family's life, forever.

I do want to address something with regards to the PSI, the recommendation. And if the Court looks at the scoring sheet. They have a little scoring sheet where it has the checkmarks in boxes, and I was trying to understand this. I've had some conversations with Parole & Probation, the PSI writer as well as the supervisor over there with regard to this.

You've got a 20-year-old kid, no prior history other than a misdemeanor offense. I want to talk about some of the individual scores which I will in a minute, but if you look at the sheet overall, every single A felony has only one option, and it's a life. Now that's what is in the statute, and if the Court looks at – does the Court have the one with the graph? It says page 2 of 2 on the bottom?

THE COURT: It says page what?

MS. LEVY: It says on the bottom here, page 2 of 2. It's the one that – THE COURT: Is this what you're talking about by graph? Yes.

MS. LEVY: Okay. So if you look at the bottom, it starts out with the E and D felonies and it goes all the way down to B felonies. All the A felonies are just

on the bottom row. So even if Mr. Gomez scored in the very low end, low range, the recommendation would be life with possibility of parole after – it says 20. So this sheet and these recommendations, they don't even reflect the sentences for a second degree murder. Same with a kidnapping and all the other A felonies, everything's just life.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. LEVY: So at what point does Probation and Parole say, well, somebody who's charged with a category A felony is someone who would be appropriate for this 10-to 25. They never would recommend it so – according to their scoresheet.

With regard to why they have him in the medium-high range, which that – the only thing that affects it because everything's going to be life, all they're going to recommend is 10-to-life. But the only thing that changes is the sentence on the deadly weapon enhancement, and I'm not sure why it's medium-high, so I went back to the scoresheet and I had some conversations with Probation and Parole, and I don't understand why the highest sentence you can get on the low range is 49 points.

So when you go to the death and the fact that a weapon was used, you have to subtract 14 from there. So right off the bat, anyone charged with a second degree murder with use of a deadly weapon is never going to score the low range. But even if they would, the recommendation's always going to be 10-to-life.

They have listed for criminal pattern he's given zero points for same type or increased severity. Mr. Gomez has one misdemeanor offense prior to this. Nothing else, no other arrests, nothing.

They also have, and I didn't understand until I went to the Probation

Success Probability form that I printed a copy for Your Honor as well as for the State.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. LEVY: Can I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. LEVY: When you look at this form – so when you look at this form, it has what the options are, and when you go to where it has financial crime in packages. The bottom on the first page where it has present offense, type of offense, and then the psychological or medical crime impact, and that's where we get the death minus 10, and then it has financial crime impact. And they have given Mr. Gomez zero instead of successive, but this wasn't a financial crime. There's nothing taken from the victim, it's not a financial crime, and Probation and Parole would only say, oh, it has to do with restitution which is the funeral expenses. So I'm not sure why he's given zero points for that.

Then when you go down to employment, it has almost nonexistent. Now I would ask the Court to refer back to Page 2 of the PSI, employment status, defendant has been unemployed since 2016, time of arrest. He was employed at the time of his arrest. In fact, the State had gotten, like, pay stubs and whatnot in the search warrant.

Mr. Gomez had prior work experience as being a tile layer for Classic Flooring from 2015 to 2016. He had worked for a full year for the tile company at 20 years old. So he started when he was 19 years old. Number of months employed full time in 12 months prior to commission of instant offense. Twelve.

You've got a 20-year-old kid who's been employed at the same employer for 12 months and they give him zero points and said he has an almost

but --

non-existent work history. Employability, they gave him one for could be developed instead of two. He is employable, he was employed.

Family situation he's given two points for moderately supportive. The Court has received the letters and reviewed them. His family's all here in the courtroom. He has a constructive support of family, so he should be given an additional point there for the three points which is on page 2 of the paper that I brought up to Your Honor.

And then attitude towards supervision, it has pre-sentence adjustment, attitude toward supervision, and they put indifferent. I was there with Mr. Gomez in the detention center while he was interviewed by the PSI writer, and there were no contact rooms available, we're yelling between the glass because the phone wasn't working. There was nothing —

THE COURT: It's kind of irrelevant anyway because he can't be supervised for this, so.

MS. LEVY: Correct, he can't get supervision, so I don't understand. When I contacted Parole and Probation they said, well, that's what they come up with, that's so they –

THE COURT: Just so you know, I don't, I mean, I don't really understand these – what they, you know, how they score these. That's what I meant, and I don't really put a lot of weight into it.

MS. LEVY: Well, it's just -

THE COURT: And for what it's worth, I mean, I think it's a guideline,

MS. LEVY: What concerns me is we have a 20-year-old kid with no prior criminal history. At what point does this offense – any murder is egregious.

But the Legislature has provided for 10 to 25 or 10 to life. Probation and Parole has indicated by their own graph, they're never going to recommend a 10-to-25.

Mr. Gomez has accepted responsibility, has no prior criminal history, has a completely supportive family who is going to be there for him. He is someone who is – should be given a minimum sentence which obviously isn't a very minimal sentence. Still, 10 to 25 years plus a mandatory consecutive for the weapon.

Mr. Gomez is not someone who is deserving of the maximum sentence as the State stated. He's not a career criminal, he has no other violence in his history, he is not someone who is deserving of the maximum sentence, Your Honor. He was a 20-year-old kid who really, really screwed up, and he understands that. He accepts responsibility, and there's no words that will ever make it better for Shawn's family or make it better for Oscar's family, but he is truly and deeply sorry and remorseful, and I believe that later in life when he is given the opportunity to be released on parole, his family is going to be there to make sure that he's on the right track.

He's a young kid. He loves his animals. I'm sure the Court saw the letters. His dog was his baby. His family is waiting for him, they want him to do his time, they understand the severity of this offense that he needs to do his time, but let's not let another life completely be ruined for a one split-second decision.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. We'll hear from the speakers.

MR. PALAL: Yes, Your Honor, the first speaker is John Grady.

## **JOHN GRADY**

Having been called as a victim speaker and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat and state and spell both your first and last name for the record.

THE SPEAKER: John Grady, J-O-H-N G-R-A-D-Y.

THE COURT: And, sir, what would you like to say today?

THE SPEAKER: I just want to talk about Shawn and how this situation has affected our family. We – he was really close to his nephews and his siblings. They all hung out a lot together.

We had to bury him on his favorite nephew's birthday. The kid's ten years old. For the rest of his life he's got to remember his best buddy was buried on his birthday.

Shawn loved his family. He was always there supporting his grandkids, or his nephews and nieces. He was always loved and he always had a smile on his face, and he made the decision to focus on his family. When he worked, he sent money to his grand – to his nephews and nieces.

He was always happy. I just want to express how that is going to affect him for the rest of his life. He's always got to remember that his best uncle, his favorite uncle, his best friend, they lived together for most of the kid's life Simeonshaw (phonetic) and he's got to remember that.

This, as our attorney was saying, there was no reason for this. It's really hard. I had a speech prepared but it's hard to focus on it. We deal with it every day. His mother was – he was close with his mother. He had just barely moved out of the house. He'd only been out of the house for about five months, working on his own, taking care of his own thing.

He never got to meet his other nephew. He was supposed to – the night that this happened he was supposed to move in with his cousin and meet his

nephew and he'll never get a chance to do that.

What happened prior to that, prior to this night, I don't think has any effect on anything. What happened at that time, Shawn had a hard life. Shawn's father died before he was born. He was from a broken family as well but he didn't choose to do – he didn't carry weapons, he just stood up for himself and he shouldn't have been punished for that.

It just wasn't fair, and my wife has some things to say. I guess I – really, all I got to say is that there was no – senseless. Senseless, that's why I believe the maximum is absolutely – no reason whatsoever, and we're going to pay for it for the rest of our life. He's never going to have any kids, he's never going to get married, and his mother deserves some restitution, some kind of something.

I guess that's all I have to say.

THE COURT: Any questions?

Sir, thank you for coming in today and speaking.

THE SPEAKER: I did it for Shawn.

THE COURT: And my bailiff will escort you.

MR. PALAL: Our second victim speaker, second of three, Your Honor, will be Stephanie James.

#### STEPHANIE JAMES

Having been called as a victim speaker and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please have a seat. State and spell both your first and last names.

THE SPEAKER: Stephanie James. S-T-E-P-H-A-N-I-E J-A-M-E-S.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

today.

THE SPEAKER: Hi. My name is Stephanie. I wasn't gonna speak

THE COURT: And just take your time.

THE SPEAKER: I'm Shawn's older sister. I was his only sister, no other siblings. My brother and I were very, very close. As my father said, came from a broken home. Shawn's father passed away. My mom wasn't the greatest person in the world. I took care of my brother as well. Can't do that no more.

If they come into Vegas with me, joyful as they see it on commercials, TV, very nice to come here. Can't do that; once you hit into Vegas, just cry.

I can't see my brother. I can't call him. I saw so much. My father explained he was close with his nephews and his nieces which are my kids. My son's seven on the second, very close with him. Didn't even see it but I had to spend his birthday seeing his uncle buried from a distance, couldn't come.

My daughter's birthday yesterday, can't celebrate because we had to come here. This affected not only us but our little ones, the future, the upcoming future. And to be knowing my brother, just seeing him not get the full maximum sentence would totally break my kids' heart because that's the future and they will see is that okay to do that? I could just get away with it then. We're trying not to have our kids see that.

I cry every time and to see our mother. My mother feel this way, heartbroken every single day. I can't – I'm her only daughter, I'm trying to help her, pray with her, bring her to home, back home to San Juan, to get her strength. It's hard to see your mother break down like that. Very, very hard and I can't seem to know when she'll ever forgive. I can't.

My brother came from a loving, loving family. Not just us here, there's a

lot of us as you can see. All of it's very painful. Grandmas, two great-grandmas, grandfathers, all very traditional on our side, of our Native American side, and we can't do that because he's missing.

They have a chance to come and see him, they have a chance to see him. I can't. We can't see my brother again. We cannot bring him back. I would ask you to see – had to see from our side because I would hate for another family to come in to feel what we're feeling if he's to get released – him go do the same thing if someone says one little – one thing about his mother. Yeah, someone say something bad about my mother but I would think before it. You hurt your mother so bad like that you would think he wants to say you'll be by your mother's side. My brother did that.

As a man, as a grown man, he wanted to leave and make himself a living out there. My mother letting him go, she regrets that still to this day. To this day, she regrets sending him out here due to this one reason, my brother working. He was a good guy, very loving guy.

I ask you, please, from the bottom of my heart, give us this at least this comfort in us to what we could now have peace in our hearts to where we know this individual won't do this to another, so we don't have to see or hear another family go through this, I ask you.

THE COURT: Thank you for coming in. Obviously, it's very difficult.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you for bringing this in.

MR. PALAL: And, Your Honor, the State's last witness is Shawn's mother, Lucinda James.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. James. And, ma'am, just remain standing

and face that lady right there.

### **LUCINDA JAMES**

Having been called as a victim speaker and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please have a seat and state and spell your first and last names.

THE SPEAKER: Lucinda James, L-U-C-I-N-D-A J-A-M-E-S.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. Just take your time. Did you prepare a statement that you'd like to read today?

THE SPEAKER: Good morning, Your Honor. [Speaking Native American], that means good morning in my language.

THE COURT: Is that Navajo?

THE SPEAKER: Yes, it's Navajo, Native American, Northern Arizona.

I made this collage so you can have a glimpse through how my son was to us. I don't have very good picture of him when he was in high school. He never liked to get his picture taken.

Sorry.

THE COURT: Just take your time. Would you like some water? And just take your time.

THE SPEAKER: We all miss Shawn so much. From the bottom of our hearts, there's not a day that cry for him, especially this month. This is horrible for me what happened to my son.

Like what my daughter said, when we come to Vegas, this is sad for me. This is not a fun city for us. I'm now supposed to be taking medication. I quit taking medication because I couldn't react to it. I'm still taking counseling in

Albuquerque, New Mexico. I'm not supposed to do that.

Shawn's murder, life has been surreal. We repeatedly relive the events of his murder as we look for answers. How did this take place? Why? Did he suffer? No answer is enough. Shawn's murder involves more than his death. The dimension of cruelness and loss has compound our sorrows and lost acute feeling of adjustment. I trust in hopelessness.

Shawn was a full-blooded Native American Indian from Navajo
Reservation. He was born in Chinle, Arizona. I'm Lucinda James, the mother. My husband's deceased, Darrell Manymules. He had a sister, only sister he had, Stephanie James Shaeza (phonetic), and is my baby. He has grandparents, Kio and Pricillas Gott. This one is my mom. My father's deceased, [Unintelligible]
James, and grandparents on his father's side, [unintelligible].

Shawn's education was taking place in Pinon, Arizona, and Flagstaff, Arizona, and Las Vegas, Nevada. I played two roles as a parent. He had a lot of respect and love for me [unintelligible]. I have no control over it.

A man came out and a gentleman came out from him. He wanted to be on his own. That's what lead him back to Las Vegas. He had plans with his cousin, Russell and his family, but that didn't happen. His life was cut short for no reason at all.

Your Honor, look at me. Part of me died that day when my son died.

Here on earth I'm suffering to find the day I see my baby again. I'm not supposed to bury my son. Nobody should bury their son. [Unintelligible] I don't want anybody, parents, to have to know what I'm going through.

His trade was in construction. [Unintelligible] This was very hard to put this together. I took me at least almost a month to put this together, taking out

pictures, and have so much baby pictures. Shawn was full of was funny. He always tries to scare people. He popped up out of nowhere, teasing my step-father a lot. [Unintelligible] I remember Shawn did this, Shawn said this, remember this, they're forever gone, Your Honor. We can't have no more birthday parties, no more family events to share. They say the opportunity [unintelligible] families and friends are broken forever.

THE COURT: Just take your time, it's all right.

THE SPEAKER: I wanted to come up here as a mother and speak for him, try to show Shawn through this book, my baby. I'm a constant level of weeping. I try to stay strong and continue with my life but I feel guilty because he's not here. I feel guilty not having this is mine here. I hope you understand what I'm trying to say. I had everything here. But, Your Honor, [unintelligible]. He's a danger to society. I don't want anybody to go through this of what I'm going through.

We travelled a long ways to be here. I had a Navajo Tribe person that was supposed to represent me from our tribe. He didn't show because it was – court was cancelled. But most of all, our family members –

THE COURT: Oh, I guess Mr. Palal had a conflict, I'm sorry.

THE SPEAKER: -- most of my main family are here. Some of the family didn't show. I like to wear this shirt today. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you for coming in and for bringing this. And Kenny, Officer Hawkes, will help you back to your seat.

Is that it for the speakers? And I see there are a number of other family members. All right.

All right, Mr. Gomez, by virtue of your plea of guilty, you are hereby adjudged guilty of the felony crime of murder in the second degree with use of a

deadly weapon.

In addition to the \$25 administrative assessment, the \$150 DNA analysis fee, the fact that you must submit to a test for genetic markers, and the \$3 administrative assessment, on the murder, you're sentenced to life with the possibility of parole beginning after a minimum of ten years has been served. I think it's important to have a life tail given the completely senseless and really inexplicable to me nature of this crime.

You're also sentenced for the weapons enhancement to a consecutive term of 96 months on the minimum, and 240 months on the maximum, and you're entitled to –

MR. PALAL: Seven Hundred Six-

THE COURT: How many?

MR. PALAL: Seven Hundred Sixteen.

THE COURT: Seven Hundred and Sixteen days of credit for time served. You are also ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$18,800.00. And, Mr. Palal, that's payable to whom? Lucinda James, and that should be reflected in the JOC. All right, thank you.

[Hearing concluded at 11:09 A.M.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

SUSAN SCHOFIELD
Court Recorder/Transcriber

Page 19

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR.,	)	Electronically Filed	
	)	Nov 15 2018 04:03 p.m. Supreme Court No: <b>764&amp;</b> izabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court	
Appellant,	)	D.C. case no.: C-16-316959-1	
· .	)	Dept.: XXI	
v.	)		
STATE OF NEVADA,	)	E-filed	
	)		
Respondent.	.) .		
	)		

# MOTION TO STAY APPELLATE PROCEEDINGS AND REMAND TO DISTRICT COURT FOR MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA

Comes now the Defendant, Oscar Gomez, Jr., and moves this Honorable Court to Stay Appeal pending in case number 76487 and remand his case to District Court so he can file a Motion to Withdraw Plea of Guilty.

As grounds for this Motion, Defendant submits Oscar Gomez, Jr., has advised him in a letter post-marked November 7, 2018, that his plea of guilty was involuntary and unintelligent. Counsel believes there are sufficient allegations in the Defendant's

letter (Exhibit A) that if true his plea should be withdrawn.

Wherefore, Defendant respectfully requests his case be remanded forthwith to the District Court. Counsel will file an appropriate Motion seeking withdrawal of plea within thirty days. Defendant further requests the briefing schedule in case number 76487 be stayed pending resolution of any Motion to Withdraw in case number C-16-316959-1.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the 15th day of November, 2018, I served a copy of this Motion to Stay Appellate Proceeding and Remand to District Court for Withdrawal of Guilty Plea upon all counsel of record:

- [X] Via Electronic Service (eFlex) to the Nevada Supreme Court;
- [X] and by United States first class mail with postage affixed to the Nevada Attorney General and to the Defendant as follows:

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney steven.wolfson@clarkcountyda.com

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., ID#1200302 HDSP - P.O. Box 650 Indian Springs, NV 89070-0650

By: <u>/s/ Ila C. Wills</u> Assistant to T. M. Jackson, Esq. STEVEN S. OWENS
Chief Deputy D.A. - Criminal
APPELLATE DIVISION
steven.owens@clarkcountyda.com

ADAM P. LAXALT Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Supreme Court No: 76487

## EXHIBIT 'A'

Hi this is Oscar Gomez Just letting you know I have been transfered to I have been thinking long and hard, and came to the decision to withdrawl my plea agreement deal. I feel like I could have recieved a manslaughter charge. In court when I signed the deal I had only less than 5 minutes to go through the plea agreement. I felt presured to sign the deal. That day only two people in court, me and somebody else. My attorney was telling me to make hurry and make my decision because we were going to be called next. she said "Hurry this is your last chance" your life is on the line". I didn't know what to do she scared me saying that. So i signed which now I regret. So can you please file a motion for me to withdraw plea. Thank you.

Jan Gr

Eloy AZ 85131 Oscar Gamez #17003021

であって、公司につまり

of may 2018 fals 1 Las Vegas, NV. 89101-7013 624 South Ninth Street Terrence M. Jackson, ESQ

1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 1999 | 19

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR.,

Appellant,

vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Respondent.

No. 76487

FILED

NOV 26 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY 5. YOU ALL

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING MOTION

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction. Appellant has filed a motion to "stay" this appeal and remand his case to the district court so that he may file a motion to withdraw his guilty plea.

"The statutory remedy of a post-sentence motion to withdraw a guilty plea... was eliminated." Harris v. State, 130 Nev. 435, 448, 329 P.3d 619, 628 (2014). After sentence has been imposed, the postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus is the exclusive means to challenge the validity of a guilty plea for a person in custody on the conviction challenged. Id. Accordingly, appellant's motion to remand this appeal so that he may file a postconviction motion to withdraw his guilty plea is denied. Appellant's request to "stay" briefing of this appeal is also denied. We remind appellant that the opening brief and appendix are due to be filed by November 26, 2018.

It is so ORDERED.

Doyles c.

UPREME COURT OF NEVAGA

114

cc: Terrence M. Jackson
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney

**Electronically Filed** 9/11/2018 3:22 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

**RTRAN** 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C316959-1 9 DEPT. XXI Plaintiff, 10 VS. 11 OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka OSCAR GOMEZ, 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 MONDAY, OCTOBER 2, 2017 15 16

## RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: **EVIDENTIARY HEARING**

**APPEARANCES:** 

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BINU G. PALAL, ESQ. For the State:

**Chief Deputy District Attorney** 

For the Defendant: MONTI J. LEVY, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Monday, October 2, 2017
2	
3	[Hearing began at 9:04 a.m.]
4	THE COURT: I'm assuming we're not going forward?
5	MR. PALAL: That's correct, Your Honor. At about a 6:00 this
6	morning I got a text from Detective Long saying that they are otherwise
7	occupied.
8	THE COURT: I was assuming every available Metro officer is
9	otherwise utilized,
10	MR. PALAL: Yes.
11	THE COURT: whatever. All right, fair enough. What
12	should we just reschedule then?
13	MR. PALAL: Sure.
14	MS. LEVY: Can we do it next Monday? Is the Court available
15	next Monday?
16	THE COURT: I think we're available next Monday.
17	MR. PALAL: That's fine with the State, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: I don't know.
19	MS. LEVY: It'll be very quick, the hearing when we have it.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	[Colloquy between the Judge and the Clerk]
22	THE COURT: When is this set for trial, Mr. Palal?
23	THE CLERK: This is on for the 6 <sup>th</sup> , November 6 <sup>th</sup> .
24	THE COURT: Can we do oh it doesn't give us a lot of time.
25	[Colloquy between the Judge and the Clerk]

1	THE COURT: It's just quicker for me to look at Phyllis' screen			
2	than to pull it up myself.			
3	[Colloquy between the Court and the court staff]			
4	THE COURT: Let's go ahead and set it right now for 9:00 on			
5	Monday.			
6	MR. PALAL: Okay.			
7	THE COURT: And then if we need to move it we'll just contact			
8	you guys.			
9	MR. PALAL: Perfect.			
10	MS. LEVY: That's fine.			
11	THE COURT: Okay.			
12	THE CLERK: So you want to do set it for nine?			
13	THE COURT: Yeah, let's just set it for 9:00.			
14	THE CLERK: Okay so October 9 <sup>th</sup> , at 9:00 a.m.			
15	MS. LEVY: Okay.			
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.			
17	MR. PALAL: Thank you., Your Honor,			
18	[Hearing concluded at 9:06 a.m.]			
19	****			
20				
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed			
22	the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.			
23	July M. Reiges			
24	Gail M. Reiger Court Recorder/Transcriber			
25	Oddit (Cooldel) Hallocibel			

**Electronically Filed** 9/11/2018 3:31 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

## **RTRAN**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

VS.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE#: C316959-1

DEPT. XXI

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE THURSDAY, APRIL 19, 2018

> RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL

**APPEARANCES:** 

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka OSCAR GOMEZ,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

For the State:

BINU PALAL, ESQ.

ERIKA MENDOZA, ESQ.

**Chief Deputy District Attorneys** 

For the Defendants:

Oscar Gomez

MONTI J. LEVY, ESQ.

RUSSELL E. MARSH, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

062

[Hearing began at 9:38 a.m.]

THE COURT: Next up is State versus Oscar Gomez and -- well we'll start with Oscar Gomez. You need to stand up.

Since there's on three people I know who you are, but if it was a full group we would need you to stand up so I -- we could make sure we see that you're here.

All right. This is the time set for calendar call. I'm assuming both sides are announcing ready.

MS. LEVY: Your Honor, Monti Levy along with Russell Marsh from my office --

MR. MARSH: Good morning.

MS. LEVY: -- appearing with Mr. Gomez. My understanding after talking with Mr. Gomez at this time he is willing to accept the offer.

THE COURT: All right. And the offer is?

MR. PALAL: Second degree murder with use of a deadly weapon. The State retains the right to argue. The offer was going to expire today so if -- we don't have a GPA, because we were not expecting this, so --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. PALAL: -- is there a way that we can get a GPA done and --

THE COURT: Well --

MR. PALAL: -- take a plea today?

MS. LEVY: We have no problem waiting.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. PALAL: I know the Court's -- I imagine the reason why this calendar is the way it is, is because maybe the Court has something else today.

THE COURT: Right. Because it's the District Judge's Conference.

MR. PALAL: Just, yeah, right.

THE COURT: So that's why I'm only doing the calendar calls.

MR. PALAL: Okay.

MS. LEVY: Okay.

THE COURT: How long would it take you to get a Guilty Plea Agreement?

MR. PALAL: Thirty minutes.

THE COURT: Okay. We're moving this to Monday. If for some reason Mr. Gomez does not accept the negotiation and enter his plea of guilty on Monday at 9:00 a.m. then we won't have a jury -- we won't call a jury for that day because that's -- all those people that would have to come in unnecessarily. We will begin trial in that case Tuesday at 11:00 a.m.

MS. LEVY: Is that in this department?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. LEVY: Oh, okay.

THE COURT: It's in this department. So again, Monday they're going to bring you in here. You can plead guilty or not plead

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

guilty.

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes.

THE COURT: If you don't plead guilty, like I said, I don't want to order 65 people for Monday. So we would have to pass it over for Tuesday. Yes?

MR. PALAL: Your Honor, the issue for us obviously -- is this Court's aware having tried cases, is you know, we have to -- if we're relying on this case being dealt. We have to still have all the work done over the weekend to get --

THE COURT: Well here's the thing, Mr. Palal.

MR. PALAL: If you can give me 15 minutes I'll get it if I have to type it myself. I'll get one done in 15 minutes.

THE COURT: Why don't you just email one to us and we'll print it out and you can go in the back and make the changes?

MR. PALAL: Okay.

THE COURT: That would be faster than you running across the street --

MS. MENDOZA: Well we can just go to the 9<sup>th</sup> floor.

THE COURT: Oh.

MR. PALAL: Yes, that's -- Ms. Mendoza has given me that idea.

THE COURT: All right. Otherwise if he doesn't -- and it's up to you, Mr. Gomez. The Court's not trying to convince you to take the deal or not take the deal. I'm completely indifferent to whether you take it or not. If you don't take the deal that's fine, we'll start Monday at 9:00

1	a.m. All right.
2	So what is she doing?
3	MR. PALAL: She's getting the GPA ready. She says she
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	So, Mr. Gomez, is that your desire to enter a plea of guilty to
6	second degree murder with use of a deadly weapon here today?
7	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: I'm have to say yes.
8	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
9	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: I'm have to say yes.
10	MS. LEVY: He said he's going to have to say yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay. It's up to you, I mean.
12	MS. LEVY: Canvass.
13	THE COURT: We can the Court's available, your lawyers
14	are ready.
15	MS. LEVY: We're ready.
16	THE COURT: The State is ready, so we can proceed to trial
17	on Monday. It's entirely up to you if you want to accept the negotiation
18	or take your chances at trial.
19	MS. LEVY: And, Your Honor, if I could just for the record.
20	And Mr. Gomez understands that it our advice to him was to take it.
21	We're not certainly coercing him or anything else.
22	THE COURT: Right.
23	MS. LEVY: But
24	MR. MARSH: No.
25	MS. LEVY: after we've had so many discussions about

1	the same offer and I believe that his desire based on our			
2	recommendation would be to take it. So I'm sure the Court will canvass			
3	him on that.			
4	He seems unsure because he's shaky, he's a young kid. So -			
5	THE COURT: Right.			
6	MS. LEVY: he just might need a few minutes to go through			
7	the Guilty Plea Agreement			
8	THE COURT: Right.			
9	MS. LEVY: and enter that plea.			
10	THE COURT: And, I mean, obviously nobody wants to plead			
11	guilty to second degree murder. And its full right to argue, is that right?			
12	MR. PALAL: That's right.			
13	THE COURT: And state the penalty			
14	MR. PALAL: With a deadly weapon, yeah.			
15	THE COURT: state the range of penalty on the record,			
16	please.			
17	MR. PALAL: Yes, Your Honor. It would be either 10 to 25 or			
18	10 to life on the underlying sentence with a consecutive 2 to 20 for the			
19	deadly weapon enhancement.			
20	MS. LEVY: One to 20.			
21	MR. PALAL. One to 20.			
22	THE COURT: So			
23	MS. LEVY: One to 20 on the weapon enhancement.			
24	THE COURT: One to 20?			
25	They keep changing everything all the time.			

'	IVIC
2	TH
3	least amount
4	the bottom er
5	DE
6	[] тн
7	the bottom e
8	DE
9	TH
0	on the top er
11	DE
12	∐ ⊤⊦
13	the bottom e
14	DE
15	T⊦
16	DE
17	T⊦
18	No
19	to life and a
20	to argue for t
21	to look at eve
22	is. Do you u
23	DE
24	<sub>T⊦</sub>

MS. LEVY: I believe it's 1 to 20.

THE COURT: So you understand it's up to the Court. The least amount of time the very least amount of time I could give you on the bottom end is 11 years. Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: 1 -- I understand.

THE COURT: The most amount of time I could give you on the bottom end is 18 years. Do you understand that?

**DEFENDANT GOMEZ: I understand.** 

THE COURT: And I could give you -- the most amount of time on the top end I could give you is life plus 20 years. All right.

**DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Okay.** 

THE COURT: The least amount of time I could give you on the bottom end is 25 years plus 30 months.

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Okay.

THE COURT: Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes.

THE COURT: That's the least amount of time.

Now Mr. Palal can argue for the maximum time, which is a 10 to life and a consecutive 8 to 20. And obviously your lawyers are going to argue for the least amount of time. And then it' going to be up to me to look at everything and determine what, in my opinion, a fair sentence is. Do you understand that?

**DEFENDANT GOMEZ: I understand.** 

THE COURT: So you understand that those are the ranges?

**DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes.** 

1	THE COURT: All right. And obviously it's not an easy thing to			
2	look at a plea where the least the best you're going to do is 11 years.			
3	That's the very best you can do. You understand that?			
4	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: I understand.			
5	THE COURT: And there's no guarantee of that. All right.			
6	MS. LEVY: And he understands because we went through			
7	the penalties also if we were to go to trial and it was a first degree with			
8	use of a deadly weapon.			
9	THE COURT: Right.			
10	MS. LEVY: It's significantly more than			
11	THE COURT: Right.			
12	MS. LEVY: it's double on the underlying charge.			
13	THE COURT: Right. Well			
14	MR. MARSH: At least.			
15	THE COURT: Right. Well and then in that case Mr. Palal			
16	knows that was their intent, to seek life without the possibility of parole.			
17	MR. PALAL: That would be our intent.			
18	THE COURT: And he and you the penalty phase hadn't			
19	been waived, so that would be up to the jury.			
20	MS. LEVY: He did sign one today			
21	THE COURT: Oh.			
22	MS. LEVY: that we were going to enter if			
23	THE COURT: Okay.			
24	MS. LEVY: so we still we have that if, you know.			
25	THE COURT: All right. Do you have any questions for me so			

yes.

	•			
	far			
2	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No questions.			
3	THE COURT: about the plea or about anything?			
	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No.			
5	THE COURT: All right. And we'll go over this in more detail in			
3	a Guilty Plea Agreement is, but you had a full and ample opportunity to			
7	discuss your plea of guilty and the charge of second degree murder with			
3	use of a deadly weapon that you're going to be pleading guilty to. Is that			
•	right?			
)	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: That's right.			
1	THE COURT: Okay. And did your lawyers answer all your			
2	questions to your satisfaction?			
3	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: They did.			
4	THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel like Ms. Levy and her co-			
5	counsel have spent enough time with you explaining the discovery and			
3	going over the evidence and everything like that in this case?			
7	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yeah.			
3	THE COURT: Okay.			
9	MS. LEVY: And also my investigator who's here, Mr. Retke			
o	has spent			
1	THE COURT: I thought he looked familiar.			
2	MS. LEVY: Yes, yes.			
3	THE COURT: All right.			

MS. LEVY: Mr. Retke and I went over there numerous times,

1	THE COURT: Okay.			
2	MR. PALAL: Thank you, Your Honor.			
3	[Colloquy between the State and Defense counsel]			
4	THE COURT: So we're just			
5	MR. PALAL: I appreciate your patience, Your Honor,			
6	MS. LEVY: Thank you, Your Honor.			
7	MR. PALAL: we'll have one up shortly.			
8	THE COURT: What's that?			
9	MR. PALAL: I appreciate your patience; we'll have a GPA up			
10	very shortly.			
11	[Hearing trailed at 9:46 a.m.]			
12	[Hearing resumed at 10:09 a.m.]			
13	MS. LEVY: Your Honor, may I approach the Clerk?			
14	THE COURT: Sure.			
15	MS. LEVY: Or do you want to go on the record first, either			
16	way.			
17	THE COURT: Oh. We're on the record, right?			
18	THE COURT RECORDER: Yes.			
19	THE COURT: All right. And for the record an Amended			
20	Information has been filed in open court this morning charging the crime			
21	of second degree murder with use of a deadly weapon. And a written			
22	Plea of Guilty has also been filed in open court this morning.			
23	Mr. Gomez the Court is in possession of a written Plea of			
24	Guilty which was signed by you. Is this your signature here on page 5 of			
25	the written Plea of Guilty?			

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: All right. Before the Court may accept your plea of guilty the Court must be satisfied that your plea is freely and voluntarily given. Are you making this plea freely and voluntarily?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes.

THE COURT: Other than what's contained in the written Plea of Guilty, have any promises or threats been made to induce you or to get you to plead guilty in this case?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No.

THE COURT: All right. Before you signed the written Plea of Guilty did you read it?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Did you understand everything contained in the written Plea of Guilty?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Did you also read the Amended Information that's been filed and is attached as an Exhibit to your written Plea of Guilty charging you with the felony crime of second degree murder with use of a deadly weapon?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand what's set forth in that charging document?

**DEFENDANT GOMEZ: I understand.** 

THE COURT: All right. Did you have a full and ample opportunity to discuss your plea of guilty as well as the charge to which

you are pleading guilty with your attorneys?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: I did.

THE COURT: All right. And we've already discussed that your counsel, Ms. Levy, has answered all your questions to your satisfaction, is that right?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: That's right.

THE COURT: All right. And is it your desire today to waive and give up your right to go to trial next week and plead guilty to the amended charge of second degree murder with use of a deadly weapon?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Now before I proceed with your plea do you have any questions you would like to ask me the Court?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No, no questions.

THE COURT: All right. Let's turn to the charging document. Tell me in your own words what you did on or about June 24<sup>th</sup>, 2016, here in Clark County, Nevada that causes you to plead guilty to second degree murder with use of a deadly weapon.

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Can you repeat that? I didn't understand you.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I may have lost my train of thought.

Tell me in your own words what you did here in Clark County, Nevada, on the date of June 24<sup>th</sup>, 2016, that causes you to plead guilty to the felony crime of murder in the second degree with use of a deadly

1	weapon. What did you do?		
2	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: I shot Many. I shot Manymules,		
3	Manymules.		
4	THE COURT: That was an individual by the name of Shawn		
5	Manymules, is that right?		
6	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: That's right.		
7	THE COURT: All right. And you shot into his body with a		
8	handgun, is that true?		
9	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: That's true.		
10	THE COURT: And do you acknowledge that as a result of you		
11	shooting him he passed he died?		
12	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes.		
13	THE COURT: Is that true?		
14	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes.		
15	THE COURT: And that was from the gunshot wound or was it		
16	a single wound?		
17	MS. LEVY: Yes.		
18	MR. PALAL: Yes, Your Honor.		
19	THE COURT: That was as a result of the gunshot wound, is		
20	that true?		
21	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: That's true.		
22	THE COURT: And do you acknowledge that at the time you		
23	did it, you did it on purpose and with malice of forethought?		
24	DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Not on purpose.		
25	THE COURT: You did it intentionally right? You shot		

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Not --

THE COURT: -- at him intentionally?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: -- intentionally, it was the heat of the moment.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: It was -- at the moment it was, a how do you say it, like passion. I was -- it was in the moment. I was in the moment.

THE COURT: All right. Well heat of passion killing is -- is different -- you were mad at him, but you acknowledge that it wasn't of a --

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: It wasn't intentional.

MS. LEVY: Court's indulgence.

THE COURT: Well you intentionally shot him, true? I mean, you intentionally pointed your gun at him and shot into his body, is that right?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: You could say that, yeah.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. And you knew as the result of you shooting into his body it was likely that he would either sustain serious bodily injury or possibly die, isn't that right?

**DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes.** 

THE COURT: All right. State would you like the Defendant to acknowledge anything else?

MR. PALAL: No, Your Honor. That's fine.

THE COURT: All right. Is that acceptable with the State?

MR. PALAL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Gomez, the Court finds that your plea of guilty has been freely and voluntarily given. Your plea is hereby accepted and the matter is referred to the Department of Parole and Probation. And we'll give you an in custody sentencing date.

THE CLERK: June 7<sup>th</sup>, 9:30.

MS. LEVY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. MARSH: Thank you.

MR. PALAL: Thank you.

[Hearing concluded at 10:14 a.m.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Gail M. Reiger

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 9/18/2018 11:22 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

**RTRAN** 

2

3

4 5

DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff,

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

6 7

9

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE#: C316959-1 C316959-2

8 ||

DEPT. XXI

|| vs.

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR.,
 aka OSCAR GOMEZ, and
 GUSTAVO ERNESTO DELACRUZ,
 aka GUSTAVO ERNESTO
 DELACRUZCORTEZ.

13

Defendant.

14 15

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2017

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CHECK: NEGOTIATIONS/TRIAL SETTING AND

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR REASONABLE BAIL SETTING

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For the Defendants:

Gustavo Ernesto Delacruz

**APPEARANCES:** 

For the State:

Oscar Gomez MONTI J. LEVY, ESQ.

JESS Y. MATSUDA, ESQ.

BINU G. PALAL, ESQ.

**Chief Deputy District Attorney** 

RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

[Hearing began at 10:13 a.m.]

MR. PALAL: Can you call Oscar Gomez, on top of page 4 and it looks like Gustavo Delacruz on bottom of 14.

THE COURT: All right. State versus Oscar Gomez who, which ones Oscar Gomez?

MS. LEVY: Oscar's right there with the glasses, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And then Delacruz is either not on calendar in a -- on a different --

THE CLERK: Page 14.

THE COURT: On page 14, I don't know why they keep doing that.

All right. And Ernesto Delacruz and Mr. Delacruz is present in custody with Mr. Matsuda. And Mr. Gomez is present in custody with Ms. Levy. And this is on for status check negotiations, trial setting, and then also -- well it should be trial setting, because I know everybody's going to be ready on --

MR. PALAL: About that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What's that?

MR. PALAL: About that issue, I reached out to defense counsel on Thursday of this week. Detective Mogg, who's the case agent on this case, communicated with me saying he has an injury that requires surgery, and as a result he won't be available.

Now obviously him being the case agent, the lead Detective

on the case he's an essential witness to the State. I communicated this as soon as I found out with defense counsel. My understanding is they have no objection to a continuance.

MS. LEVY: That's correct, Your Honor. Mr. Palal did reach out to me on -- I had spoken to my client and we don't have any opposition to continuing the trial.

THE COURT: Is Detective Mogg really an essential witness?

MR. PALAL: Uh --

THE COURT: I mean, I know sometimes, you know, other detectives were present when Detective Mogg did whatever he did.

MR. PALAL: Right. I mean, Your Honor, I think you know, I tried to figure a way to work around it. But I think Detective Mogg is essential because frequently in these types of cases people will ask, well was this done, was that done, was this done, well who's responsibility was it to get, you know, X, Y, and Z done? And the response is going to be Detective Mogg, and then we're going to have an empty witness seat for Detective Mogg, who ran the -- ran the case.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Matsuda, on behalf of your client --

MR. MATSUDA: We have no objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So when is Detective Mogg going to be available?

MR. PALAL: He said --

THE COURT: Because as you know this was a firm setting from last time --

25

MS. LEVY: Uh --

THE COURT: -- we continued this.

MS. LEVY: And I understand that, Your Honor, the issue --the case is not that old.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. LEVY: It's only a year --

THE COURT: And it's not defense counsel's fault

MS. LEVY: -- and a few months.

THE COURT: -- that Detective Mogg is having surgery.

MS. LEVY: Right. And we -- we ourselves have had continuances previously in this matter, but it's not like a stale case, it's only a year and four months old. So we've looked at out calendar, and, I mean, it's not just whether -- when Mr. Mogg or Detective Mogg is going to be ready, it's also you know, we have to fit it in around our other --

MR. PALAL: And I'll just go along with whatever date they're free and we'll be available.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Levy, what have you determined, when --

MS. LEVY: In looking at my calendar with other cases that need preparation work done, I would ask for April.

THE COURT: Mr. Matsuda?

MR. MATSUDA: That's fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Palal, you'd be available?

MR. PALAL: Either myself or another deputy, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

1	MS. LEVY: We also had a bail motion on today as well.			
2	THE COURT: Right.			
3	MS. LEVY: Okay.			
4	THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: How about April			
5	23 <sup>rd</sup> ?			
6	MS. LEVY: Court's indulgence. That's after spring break,			
7	correct?			
8	Or no that's the week of no spring break's at the end of			
9	March. I'm sorry, I believe. That should be fine.			
10	MR. MATSUDA: That's good for me.			
11	THE CLERK: So is it okay?			
12	THE COURT: So does that work?			
13	MS. LEVY: It does.			
14	MR. MATSUDA: That's good for me.			
15	THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: Okay. April 23rd			
16	for the jury trial at 9:00 a.m. and April 19 <sup>th</sup> for the calendar call at 9:30.			
17	THE COURT: All right. And last time we discussed the offer.			
18	Has an offer been made?			
19	MR. PALAL: Yes, Your Honor. An offer was made some time			
20	ago. It was a second with use with right to argue for Ms. Levy's client.			
21	And I believe the last offer for the B Defendant was a battery with			
22	substantial battery resulting in substantial bodily, stip 12 to 30 months,			
23	which would essentially be credit for time or be close to a lot of the			
24	credit time served.			

THE COURT: And was the B Defendant's negotiation

25

dependent on the A Defendant accepting it?

MR. PALAL: No.

THE COURT: All right.

So, Ms. Levy, you've had an opportunity to discuss that with your client?

MS. LEVY: I have, Your Honor, at this point he's made a counteroffer to the State that I relayed. The State rejected his counteroffer. I would assume though if we're continuing it until April that talks will be -- I mean, it's not --

MR. PALAL: It's --

MS. LEVY: -- going to be completely off the table at this point.

MR. PALAL: State's leaving the offer open, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Gomez, at this point it's your desire to reject the offer of second degree murder with use of a deadly weapon, is that right?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, that's right.

THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Matsuda, have you had an opportunity to discuss the offer with your client?

MR. MATSUDA: I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And he doesn't wish to accept that?

MR. MATSUDA: At this time, no. He -- it's not a total rejection, there are some stuff we have to go through, but I don't think at this point, and I spoke to the State about that. I don't think he's outright rejecting that offer at this point. If he does want to accept it, I told the State I would put it back on calendar.

1	THE COURT: All right. And is that correct?		
2	DEFENDANT DELACRUZ: Yes.		
3	THE COURT: You don't want to accept the offer at this time?		
4	DEFENDANT DELACRUZ: Well, I I just wanted to ask him		
5	a little more questions.		
6	THE COURT: Okay. So you may want to		
7	DEFENDANT DELACRUZ: Yeah.		
8	THE COURT: but you have to discuss it further with him		
9	DEFENDANT DELACRUZ: Yeah.		
0	THE COURT: is that		
1	DEFENDANT DELACRUZ: Yeah, just		
2	THE COURT: essentially where we are?		
3	All right. And my understanding was, there was no additional		
4	preparation work that needed to be done, everybody's ready to go. Is		
5	that correct, Ms. Levy?		
16	MS. LEVY: Yes. There's still there are witnesses that I		
17	think both sides were looking for that neither side has been able to		
18	locate.		
19	THE COURT: Right.		
20	MS. LEVY: So, I mean that's,		
21	THE COURT: Okay, so you'll just		
22	MS. LEVY: other than that, yes we're ready.		
23	MR. PALAL: I don't imagine that changes over the next five		
24	months.		
25	MS. LEVY: We've tried, but other than that we've done file		

1	reviews, we've done I think we're good to go.			
2	THE COURT: All right. I'm just going to set it out for another			
3	status check in 120 days just to make and your trial date is firm.			
4	MS. LEVY: Okay. One other request. We had the			
5	evidentiary hearing with Detective Mogg's testimony.			
6	THE COURT: Right.			
7	MS. LEVY: Could I get transcripts of that hearing so I can			
8	utilize those for the trial?			
9	THE COURT: Certainly. Susie, you are ordered.			
10	MS. LEVY: I'm sorry.			
11	THE COURT: I'm saving you the difficulty of submitting a			
12	written order.			
13	MS. LEVY: I appreciate it. And it is Court appointed.			
14	THE COURT: Susie, you are ordered to prepare those			
15	transcripts. All right.			
16	MS. LEVY: Thank you.			
17	THE COURT: So just a she'll let you know when they're			
18	done.			
19	MS. LEVY: Okay, perfect.			
20	THE COURT: All right.			
21	THE CLERK: Our 20 days is March 6 <sup>th</sup> at 9:30.			
22	THE COURT: Well you know, let's do February that's like			
23	end of February.			
24	THE CLERK: How about February 6 <sup>th?</sup> Oh, you want to do			

the end?

orally?

 THE COURT: It doesn't really matter.

THE CLERK: How about February 23<sup>rd</sup>. Do you want 8:30?

THE COURT: All right. And then returning to the bail motion.

Mr. Palal, we did not receive a written opposition?

MR. PALAL: I apologize, Your Honor. If I may respond

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. PALAL: Your Honor, in this case you have somebody who did not flee to Panama, but fled nonetheless after committing a murder. The murder is caught — the minutes leading right up to the murder are caught on video. The actual murder is not caught on video, but essentially what you see is the Defendant with a firearm in his hand. He racks the firearm; you see the victim and the percipient witness walk off screen. You see the Defendant follow him off screen and then you see him run away into a car. And the percipient witness says during those ten seconds the victim — the Defendant shoots the victim ultimately killing him, which is supported by the forensic evidence given the timing of the 911 call and the video.

So, Your Honor, the State's position is, proof is evident, presumption is great, that no ball is appropriate here.

THE COURT: Ms. Levy?

MS. LEVY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, I believe that Mr. Gomez is entitled to bail. He did not flee, and I'm not sure why the State is indicating he fled. Mr. Gomez has been in the State. He was arrested four days after the offense was committed by somebody.

And, you know, he's not -- he's definitely entitled to the presumption of innocence. I know the State thinks this is a clear cut he definitely did it, but as the State said the murder is not on video. The State indicates that there's a firearm in his hand, but that you can see on the video and that's going to be up to a jury to determine.

So with regard to bail, he is not a flight risk. He is not a danger to the community. He was employed at the time of this offense; he was working at a floor company, Classic Floors and Interiors, where he had worked for three years. He has extensive ties to the community including several aunts, uncles, cousins that live here. He went to high school here. He didn't graduate, but he did go to high school here. He's local. The only other place he's ever lived is San Bernardino, where he was born. He -- his criminal history exists is just one misdemeanor citation for marijuana, that's it. No other criminal history whatsoever, so I don't believe that he is a flight risk nor a danger to the community. He is entitled to bail. He's not fled to any other country nor does he intend to.

THE COURT: Yeah, I mean, as to the other Defendant that was just argued that you both keep --

MS. LEVY: Right.

THE COURT: -- well referencing. You know, Mr. Gentile made a strong argument before this Court as to another theory that didn't involve first degree murder.

MR. PALAL: Right.

THE COURT: That the evidence could, you know, prove a voluntary manslaughter, so just to distinguish between --

MR. PALAL: Sure.

THE COURT: -- that defendant and this Defendant in terms of why the Court set bail.

MR. PALAL: Yes.

THE COURT: You know, it wasn't just about fleeing the country, although that was the focus of the argument today based on repeated status checks. So you know that, but again that was --

MR. PALAL: Yes.

THE COURT: -- you know.

MR. PALAL: Yes, Your Honor. I guess, not to be flippant then, I think here I guess, Ms. Levy's argument isn't so much that the Defendant was otherwise impaired, it was that he didn't do it. And I think that's -- that is I think the video evidence strongly rebuts that.

MS. LEVY: And with regard to the Court indicating that Mr. Gentile made an argument for, I mean, in this case the same kind of situation. I don't know the whole facts of that case, but in this case there is prior to this offense the murder taking place, there is a five minute fist fight between the co-Defendant and the victim, who then becomes the victim of the murder. So there's a five minute argument, there's words going back and forth. It's a heated exchange, it's not like Mr. Gomez just went out and killed somebody. It was a five minute all on video. I'm sure Mr. Palal's watched it where there's a heated exchange between these individuals, there's four total individuals.

So I think we could make that argument as well in this case, that it's not a first degree murder, that it is a voluntary. So I would ask

111

MS. LEVY: I will text Mr. Palal and let him know November 25<sup>th</sup> at 9:30. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

[Hearing concluded at 10:26 a.m.]

\* \* \* \* \* \*

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Gail M. Reiger

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 6/22/2018 7:20 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

**JOCP** 

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

CASE NO. C-16-316959-1

DEPT. NO. XXI

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR. aka Oscar Gomez #5990519

Defendant.

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION
(PLEA OF GUILTY)

The Defendant previously appeared before the Court with counsel and entered a plea of guilty to the crime of MURDER (SECOND DEGREE) WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.010, 200.030.2, 193.165; thereafter, on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2018, the Defendant was present in court for sentencing with counsel MONTI LEVY, ESQ., and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offense and, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee, \$18,800.00 Restitution to Lucina James and \$150.00 DNA Analysis Fee including testing to determine genetic markers plus \$3.00 DNA Collection Fee, the Defendant is sentenced to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: LIFE with the Eligibility for parole after serving a MINIMUM of TEN (10) YEARS plus a CONSECUTIVE term of a MAXIMUM of TWO HUNDRED FORTY (240) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of NINETY-SIX (96) MONTHS for the Use of a Deadly Weapon; with SEVEN HUNDRED SIXTEEN (716) DAYS credit for time served.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of June, 2018.

VALERIEP. ADAIR
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE OF

Electronically Filed 7/18/2018 12:09 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 2	NOASC TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ.		Thump, Street
3	Nevada Bar No. 00854 Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson 624 South Ninth Street	L	
4	Las Vegas, NV 89101 T: 702-386-0001 / F: 702-386-0085	<del>.</del>	
5	Terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com Counsel for Oscar Gomez, Jr.	,	
6			L DISTRICT COURT
7	Cl	LARK COUNT	Y, NEVADA
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	)	District Case No.: C-16-316959-1
9		)	
10	Plaintiff,	)	Dept.: XXI
11	V.	)	
	OSCAR GOMEZ, JR.,	)	NOTICE OF APPEAL
12	#1200302,	. )	
13	Defendant.	)	
14	·	_ )	
15	NOTICE is becales since 41	- 44 - D-6 1	OSCAR COMEZ ID by and though him
16	NOTICE is hereby given the	iat the Defendar	nt, OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., by and through his
17	attorney, TERRENCE M. JACKSO	N, ESQ., hereby	appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court, from the
18	Judgment of Conviction, file-stamp	oed June 22, 201	8.
19	Defendant, OSCAR GOME	Z, JR., further s	states he is indigent and requests that the filing
20	fees be waived.		
21	lees be waived.		
22	Respectfully submitted this	17th day of JUI	LY, 2018.
23			
24			/a/ Tarress and Tradesses
25			/s/ Terrence M. Jackson Terrence M. Jackson, Esquire
26			Nevada Bar No. 00854 Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson
			624 South Ninth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101
27			T: 702-386-0001 / F: 702-386-0085 Terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com
28			
			Counsel for Oscar Gomez, Jr.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

1 2 I hereby certify I am an assistant to Terrence M. Jackson, Esq., not a party to this action, and 3 on the 17th day of July, 2018, I served a true, correct and e-filed stamped copy of the foregoing: 4 Defendant, Oscar Gomez's, NOTICE OF APPEAL as follows: 5 6 [X] Via Odyssey eFile and Serve to the Eighth Judicial District Court; 7 [X]Via the NSC Drop Box on the 1st floor of the Nevada Court of Appeals, located at 408 E. 8 Clark Avenue in Las Vegas, Nevada; 9 [X]and by United States first class mail to the Nevada Attorney General and the Defendant as 10 follows: 11 12 13 STEVEN B. WOLFSON STEVEN S. OWENS 14 Clark County District Attorney Chief Deputy D.A. - Criminal steven.wolfson@clarkcountyda.com APPELLATE DIVISION 15 steven.owens@clarkcountyda.com 16 17 OSCAR GOMEZ JR. ADAM P. LAXALT 18 ID# 1200302 Nevada Attorney General 19 HDSP - PO BOX 650 100 North Carson Street 20 Indian Springs, NV 89070-0650 Carson City, NV 89701 21 22 By: /s/ Ila C. Wills 23 Assistant to T. M. Jackson, Esq. 24 25 26

27

28

Electronically Filed 8/16/2018 1:48 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

**RTRAN** 

2

1

3

4 5

6

8

VS.

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE NO. C-16-316959-1

DEPT. NO. XXI

CASE NO. C-16-316959-

OSCAR GOMEZ,

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TUESDAY, JANUARY 16, 2018

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE:
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR TO ADDRESS TRIAL
READINESS

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

BINU G. PALAL, ESQ.

**Chief Deputy District Attorney** 

For the Defendant:

MONTI J. LEVY, ESQ..

RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

Page 1

---

THE COURT: State versus Oscar Gomez. And Mr. Gomez is present in custody with Ms. Levy.

This was placed on calendar by the defense.

MS. LEVY: Yes, Your Honor. Can we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.

### [Bench Conference - Not Recorded]

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gomez, as you know, you have filed with the Court essentially a motion or a letter complaining about your counsel, Ms. Levy, and asking essentially, I think, that Ms. Levy be removed. Is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then you accused her of unethical behavior and failing to pursue defense strategy. Is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Here's the deal. I don't know what you think Ms. Levy has done that's unethical. Obviously, if there were something the Court would be concerned and, you know, could sanction her, or refer her to the State Bar, things like that.

In terms of Ms. Levy pursuing defense strategy, you know, Ms. Levy's obligations are to listen to you, but she is not obligated to pursue the defense strategy that you want. It is entirely up to defense counsel as to what trial tactics to implement. And one of the obvious reasons for that is Ms. Levy is trained in the law and has a lot of experience as a lawyer which you do not have.

So you may think something like filing a motion is a good idea or doing something else. But Ms. Levy, based on her experience and training, may know that it's not a good idea. So decisions regarding trial strategy are completely up to defense counsel.

Now, for example, you may think a motion is a good idea. But Ms. Levy, as a licensed attorney, is bound by various ethical rules, and she cannot file a motion that is frivolous in either law or fact. So, you know, you might think something's a good idea. Ms. Levy may know that it's frivolous, and for that reason she may say, no, you know what, that's not a good idea. Or it could open the door to a waiver of attorney-client privilege, or something like that, that you maybe aren't thinking about. And Ms. Levy may say, wow, you know, that's not a great idea to file that motion.

So, Ms. Levy, have you had an opportunity to review the motion -

MS. LEVY: I have.

THE COURT: -- essentially complaining about you?

MS. LEVY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And are there any factual allegations that you would like to address at this time?

MS. LEVY: There are but perhaps not in the presence of the open court. But, yes, I mean, it's not true what's in here. My investigator is here as well and can address those matters.

We attempted to go see Mr. Gomez to discuss these issues with him. He's refusing our visits and so, obviously, with this type of charge and this type of trial coming up, we need to be able to prepare for that and we're not able to, which is why it's on calendar for Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Gomez, unless there is an actual conflict or counsel has been derelict in their duties, or is pursuing some kind of ethical tactic, and that would be something like trying to offer perjured testimony or something of that nature — that's just an example that immediately pops into my head — or dissuading witnesses from coming to court and testifying, doing things like that, I don't remove appointed counsel.

So when you have appointed counsel, you can't pick and choose because you may not like Ms. Levy, somebody else may not like Mr. Saxe or Mr. Pitaro, and it would be chaos if defendants got to pick and choose among appointed counsel. So unless, you know, one of those things is going on: A) there's an actual conflict; B) defense counsel is derelict in their duties; or C) they're actually pursuing an unethical strategy such as dissuading witnesses or offered perjured testimony or something of that nature, I don't remove counsel.

So, basically, your options are to proceed with Ms. Levy or to represent yourself, because at this point based on what you've – I don't have anything that would justify removing Ms. Levy as your counsel.

MS. LEVY: Your Honor, could I just -

THE COURT: Now, we can have a hearing out of the presence, out of open court, at a later date, including without having the State here if you want to give me more specific information on what it is you want Ms. Levy to do that Ms. Levy has refused to do.

But like I said, you know, trial strategy is left up to counsel and there may be various reasons she isn't pursuing a strategy that you want including, you know, the filing of motions that Ms. Levy may know to be frivolous. So, you know, that's kind of where we are on this.

And if I don't remove Ms. Levy, she's going to represent you at the date we have set whether or not you cooperate with her or not. So, basically, what we do is we make a record of the times Ms. Levy and/or her investigator have gone to the jail to visit with you and if you refuse to visit with you, guess what, that's on you. That's not on Ms. Levy and that's not on Mr. Palal.

So we're going forward. If you refuse to meet with Ms. Levy and allow her to prepare, she's going to go forward with what she has, and down the road if you file a petition for ineffective assistance of counsel and it's your fault, I'm not going to grant that petition.

So Ms. Levy can only do what you allow her to do in terms of her preparation so if you're refusing to meet with her, again, that's on you.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I understand.

THE COURT: And they have, I'm sure, a log at the jail of how many times Ms. Levy or her investigator have gone over to try to see you. And, like I said, you know, if the fault it yours, you're not going to get a petition granted down the road if you're convicted and you start thinking, wow, I really should have met with Ms. Levy. Gee, I really should have met with her investigator.

THE DEFENDANT: Can I say something?

THE COURT: Sure.

THE DEFENDANT: The last time she came, the last time she tried to come see me was before the last court date we had, and there's this officer that came into my room, told me – asking for a Franklin, that he had a visit for a -- so that -- from counsel, and they didn't ask for me so I got confused. He was asking for the

wrong person.

THE COURT: Okay, so you're saying that the Corrections Officer asked for the wrong inmate?

THE DEFENDANT: He was asking for a Franklin.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. LEVY: Your Honor, Mr. Gomez that's prior to our last court date. He was present. We went over there on December 28<sup>th</sup> to the jail, the officer called the unit and they checked, and Mr. Gomez was refusing our visit. So that was way after the last court date, so I don't think that's accurate.

And one thing that I would address in this is that Mr. Gomez has stated that we're, Craig and I are attempting to coerce him to take a deal. We were prepared to go to trial last time. It was put on the record that he's rejected the negotiations. We did counter offer to the State, the State rejected that. I was – I announced ready, the State needed to continue and so the case was continued.

We were ready to go to trial last time so I know Mr. Gomez has rejected the offer. There's nothing coercive, there's no – Mr. Retke and I, when we contacted Mr. Gomez' family, it was to get clothing for trial and negotiations weren't discussed whatsoever. So, and that's one thing that he said in here that we were attempting to get his family to coerce him to take a deal. Well, we didn't even mention negotiations. It was only to get clothing for trial and some addresses. That was the only thing that was discussed.

So we know we're – that he's not going to accept the deal but we have to be able to talk to him to prepare for trial, so.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, look, even if Ms. Levy thinks the offer isn't a good offer from the State, she is ethically required to communicate the offer to

you. She can then give you her input on whether or not you should take the offer, but even if it's a bad offer, she still ethically has to tell you about it.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. But by having -

THE COURT: So, you know, in terms of trying to force you to take a deal, Ms. Levy says she didn't. You've indicated you don't want the negotiation so we're proceeding to trial and Ms. Levy is trying to get ready.

So all I'm telling you is, you know, as long as Ms. Levy is your counsel, it would behoove you to, meaning it would be in your best interest, to be available to meet with Ms. Levy and her investigator so they can be ready. Because, like I said, you know, down the road, if you're convicted and it turns out that you were refusing visits from Ms. Levy or her investigator, and she didn't do as good of a job as she could have done, I'm going to hold that against you. I'm not going to hold that against Ms. Levy.

All right. So as of right now, counsel is still Ms. Levy and let's see, we have a status check set for –

MS. LEVY: February 22<sup>nd</sup>?

THE COURT: -- February 22<sup>nd</sup>. That status check date stands, and if there is other issues, something more specific, you can bring that before the Court, but right now I just don't have anything to justify removal.

25 // / / /

All right, thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

[Hearing concluded at 10:33 A.M.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

SUSAN SCHOFIELD Court Recorder/Transcriber

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR.,	)	Electronically Filed Aug 28 2018 01:09 p.m
	) .	Supreme Court No: 7648 Clerk of Supreme Cour
Appellant,	)	D.C. case no.: C-16-316959-1
	)	Dept.: XXI
v.	)	
STATE OF NEVADA,	)	E-filed
	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	

## **MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

COMES NOW the Defendant Oscar Gomez, Jr., and moves for an extension of time to file Docketing Statement.

As grounds for this Motion, Defendant states he needed additional time to complete the Docketing Statement. He was unfamiliar with the facts of the case as he was not trial counsel and he needed additional time to get necessary appellate transcripts. Counsel needed additional time to review transcripts to determine what would be the issues most likely to be raised on appeal.

DATED this 28th day of August, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Terrence M. Jackson

TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar #00854

Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson

624 South Ninth Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

T: 702.386.0001 / F: 702.386.0085

Terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com

Counsel for Appellant, Oscar Gomez, Jr.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the 28th day of August, 2018, I served a copy of this Motion for Extension of Time upon all counsel of record:

- [X] Via Electronic Service (eFlex) to the Nevada Supreme Court;
- [X] and by United States first class mail with postage affixed to the Nevada

  Attorney General and to the Defendant as follows:

STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
<a href="mailto:steven.wolfson@clarkcountyda.com">steven.wolfson@clarkcountyda.com</a>

STEVEN S. OWENS
Chief Deputy D.A. - Criminal
APPELLATE DIVISION
steven.owens@clarkcountyda.com

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., ID#1200302 HDSP - P.O. Box 650 Indian Springs, NV 89070-0650 ADAM P. LAXALT

Nevada Attorney General

100 North Carson Street

Carson City, Nevada 89701

By: <u>/s/ Ila C. Wills</u>
Assistant to T. M. Jackson, Esq.

Electronically Filed 9/11/2018 3:31 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

CASE#: C316959-1

DEPT. XXI

C316959-2

**RTRAN** 

2

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

**12** /

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka OSCAR GOMEZ, and GUSTAVO ERNESTO DELACRUZ, aka GUSTAVO ERNESTO DELACRUZCORTEZ.

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2016

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL

**APPEARANCES:** 

For the State:

BINU G. PALAL, ESQ.

MICHAEL J. SCHWARTZER, ESQ.

**Chief Deputy District Attorneys** 

For the Defendants:

Oscar Gomez

MONTI J. LEVY, ESQ.

Gustavo Ernesto Delacruz

JESS Y. MATSUDA, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

MR. MATSUDA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. --

22232425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	speed
6	your o
7	Levy,
8	
9	disco
10	defen
11	a wee
12	
13	
14	date,
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	and w
20	
21	we'll t

23

24

25

MS. LEVY: And Mr. Gomez is willing to waive.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gomez?

**DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes.** 

THE COURT: You had previously invoked your right to a speedy trial, do you wish to now waive and give up this right to allow your counsel, Ms. Levy, additional time to -- what were you doing Ms. Levy, investigation and --

MS. LEVY: Yeah, and yes, and the State still has additional discovery that they're going to be getting and giving it over to the defense. We just received the Coroner's report. I think it was a week -- a week ago.

MR. PALAL: Yeah, a week or two ago, yeah.

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: I would like to proceed with the trial date, the following --

MS. LEVY: I --

THE COURT: Well, your lawyer just said she's not ready.

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Okay, I see that.

MS. LEVY: I spoke with him in custody about a week ago, and we discussed this and he indicated he was willing to wait.

THE COURT: Do you want a minute to talk to him again, and we'll talk with Mr. Delacruz?

[Colloquy between the Judge and Court Clerk]

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Delacruz, your lawyer indicated he needs some additional time to prepare your defense. In order to give him time to do that, do you now wish to waive and give up your right to a

DEFENDANT DELACRUZ: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And then Mr. Gomez, your lawyer indicated she needs more time, this is the first setting to review discovery and otherwise prepare your case. Do you wish to now waive and give up your right to a speedy trial in order for her to do that?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Realistically, I know they both want to go to trial sooner rather than later. How long is it going to take defense to get ready?

MS. LEVY: Your Honor, it shouldn't take very long. I've looked at my calendar with my trial dates coming up, and the State -- and if the Court, I'm not sure what the Court's civil and criminal stacks are, but I would like to do this sometime in March, if the Court has maybe early March?

THE COURT: And Mr. Matsuda, would you be ready in March?

MR. MATSUDA: I would be, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MATSUDA: Yes.

MR. MATSUDA: I would ask for early March, Your Honor, if possible just for my trial schedule.

MR. PALAL: Fine with the Court. What --

THE COURT: What about you?

MR. PALAL: Whatever the Court wants, Your Honor, we'll be

ready.

THE CLERK: But early March works for both sides?

MR. PALAL: Yeah.

THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: Okay, well we're -- we can do March 13<sup>th</sup> for the jury trial at 9:00 a.m., and March 9<sup>th</sup> for the calendar call at 9:30.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MS. LEVY: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Hearing concluded at 10:38 a.m.]

\* \* \* \* \*

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Gail M. Reiger

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 9/11/2018 4:27 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

**RTRAN** 

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

**DISTRICT COURT** 

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka OSCAR GOMEZ, and GUSTAVO ERNESTO DELACRUZ, aka GUSTAVO ERNESTO DELACRUZCORTEZ,

Defendant.

CASE#: C316959-1

C316959-2

DEPT. XXI

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE THURSDAY, MARCH 9, 2017

#### RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL

**APPEARANCES:** 

For the State:

BINU G. PALAL, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

VIVIAN LUONG, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendants:

Oscar Gomez

MONTI J. LEVY, ESQ.

Gustavo Ernesto Delacruz

JESS Y. MATSUDA, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

Ī

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

24

25

[Hearing began at 9:25 a.m.]

THE COURT: All right. The one trial I have for her, C316959, Oscar Gomez. I saw Ms. Levy, where did she go?

MS. LUONG: Your Honor, This is Mr. Palal's case. Can we trail this?

THE COURT: Well he better be standing next to you. This is going to trial. This is number one, 9:00.

Where's Gomez?

THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Gomez, stand up.

THE COURT: All right. Number one, Monday 9:00 o'clock.

MS. LEVY: Judge.

THE COURT: What?

MS. LEVY: Good morning, Monti Levy appearing.

THE COURT: Hi.

MS. LEVY: Your Honor, actually the co-Defendant in this matter is requesting to continue. And --

THE COURT: So, Delacruz?

MS. LEVY: Yes.

THE COURT: Where's Matsuda?

MS. LEVY: I don't know, but this is also, Binu Palal's case and I guess they had discussions with regards to continuing the case, because Mr. Matsuda is in Federal Court in the Bundy trial.

So, if the [indiscernible]

1	THE COURT: Oy vey.
2	MS. LEVY: So I would have been prepared to go forward, but
3	when I met with I did discovery review with the Detective and Mr. Palal
4	probably about two weeks ago. And at that time they told me that the
5	co-Defendant would be requesting a continuance.
6	THE COURT: So where is this special prosecutor?
7	How come we don't have our prosecutors here? Defense is
8	here.
9	MS. LUONG: Your Honor, I just texted Mr. Palal. He's on his
10	way right now.
11	THE COURT: That means he's looking for his keys. He
12	probably isn't even out of the shower.
13	MS. LEVY: So I mean, if the Court is covering then
14	perhaps we can
15	THE COURT: No, we'll have to wait. Is Matsuda coming
16	today, do you know?
17	MS. LEVY: Um
18	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes Judge, he will be here today.
19	THE COURT: All right. We'll wait till he gets here.
20	MS. LEVY: Okay. All right. Thank you. I'll be waiting.
21	THE COURT: All right. Anticipating, isn't that a song?
22	[Hearing trailed at 9:27 a.m.]
23	[Hearing resumed at 10:15 a.m.]
24	THE COURT: C316959, Oscar Gomez and Gustavo

Delacruz.

1	MS. LEVY: Good morning, Your Honor. Monti Levy
2	appearing with Mr. Gomez, who's present in custody.
3	MR. MATSUDA: And good morning, Your Honor, Jess
4	Matsuda for Mr. Delacruz, who's also present in custody.
5	THE COURT: So when will you be done?
6	MS. LEVY: Well, he's not in that trial.
7	MR. MATSUDA: Yeah.
8	MS. LEVY: Apparently what happened was, the State Mr.
9	Palal told me that that's why it would be continued was because Mr.
10	Matsuda
11	THE COURT: Well you threw him under the bus in a hurry.
12	MR. PALAL: Are we surprised? No, I
13	THE COURT: So Monday, you guys can do this Monday,
14	9:00 o'clock.
15	MR. PALAL: I
16	MS. LEVY: I'll be there.
17	MR. MATSUDA: Well, I would be requesting a continuance,
18	Your Honor. Just because my client is in NDOC and it's been hard for
19	me to communicate what the State is asking and his options. So I'll be
20	requesting a continuance.
21	MR. PALAL: Your Honor, I need to try both of these people a
22	the same time.
23	THE COURT: Yeah, Tuesday.
24	MS. LEVY: Well, and here's the
25	THE COURT: He's remanded to the the Department of

1	Corrections nere.
2	MR. PALAL: I don't
3	THE COURT: The Clark County Detention Center.
4	MS. LEVY: And, Your Honor,
5	THE COURT: He's remanded. You want a Tuesday start?
6	We can start on Tuesday.
7	MS. LEVY: Your Honor, here's the the issue that I have is
8	that I stopped preparing two weeks ago when I was told that, we were
9	continuing it. I would have been ready and the State's aware of that, so
0	I didn't oppose Mr. Matsuda's request to continue. My assumption
1	was is because I was told that he was in the Bundy trial.
2	MR. PALAL: We can we can get the
3	THE COURT: You know what happens when you make
4	assumptions?
5	MS. LEVY: Well,
6	MR. PALAL: Your Honor,
7	MS. LEVY: I was told that he was in the Bundy trial, so that's
8	why I thought it would be
9	MR. PALAL: Your Honor, we can get the next date available,
20	put it a firm set. We'll be ready to go.
1	THE COURT: You know we get in trouble for not trying these
2	murder cases. They're mad at the courts. The courts are always
3	available to try these.
24	MS. LEVY: What if the
25	THE COURT: In fact, I will clear my calendar next door. You

1	tell me when you want it on and I'll put it over there.
2	MS. LEVY: When is the next stack for Judge Adair?
3	THE COURT: Wednesday.
4	MS. LEVY: Mr. Matsuda, are you ready?
5	MR. MATSUDA: We were hoping for a little
6	MS. LEVY: There is some additional
7	MR. MATSUDA: further
8	MS. LEVY: discovery as well, that the State's getting to me,
9	which is the jail calls, so that's the only thing I'm waiting on.
0	THE COURT: All right. Next when do you want to try
1	give me a firm setting, because this one is going. Get a firm setting
2	give us a firm setting.
3	MS. LEVY: This case is not that old.
4	MR. PALAL: Yeah, this is
5	MS. LEVY: The events happened last June, so.
6	THE COURT: Here's the offense? I want a firm setting.
7	THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: Okay, May 30 <sup>th</sup> .
8	MR. PALAL: All right. We'll do it.
9	MS. LEVY: May 30 <sup>th</sup> ?
20	THE COURT: May 30 <sup>th</sup> . Don't schedule anything else
21	MR. MATSUDA: I will get myself off the Bundy case.
22	THE COURT: for a week.
23	MS. LEVY: Please.
24	THE JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: I know because
25	the day before is a holiday. So May 30 <sup>th</sup> , which is a Tuesday, at 9:30

a.m., and then May 25<sup>th</sup> for the calendar call.

MR. PALAL: It's a firm setting, Your Honor?

THE COURT: That's a firm setting.

MR. MATSUDA: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Hearing concluded at 10:18 a.m.]

. \* \* \* \*

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Gail M. Reiger

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 9/11/2018 3:31 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

CASE#: C316959-1

DEPT. XXI

**RTRAN** 

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

VS.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff.

Plainuii

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka OSCAR GOMEZ,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TUESDAY, JUNE 27, 2017

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING:
DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY OF
EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE AND DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
SUPPRESS STATEMENT

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

BINU G. PALAL, ESQ.

**Chief Deputy District Attorney** 

For the Defendant:

MONTI J. LEVY, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

23

24

THE COURT: -- November, so that was a firm set and we're

planning on going in November, right?

MR. PALAL: Correct.

1	MS. LEVY: Yes, Your Honor. We're still talking negotiations,
2	but yes,
3	MR. PALAL: Sure.
4	MS. LEVY: we're going to try to
5	THE COURT: That's even better if it resolves, but
6	MS. LEVY: Yes.
7	THE COURT: All right. You want an evidentiary hearing? I
8	like to
9	MS. LEVY: Um
10	THE COURT: do these on Mondays at
11	MS. LEVY: Oh, Mondays, okay we I was looking at
12	THE COURT: either Mondays or Fridays at 9:00 a.m.
13	MR. PALAL: I prefer Mondays to Fridays.
14	MS. LEVY: Well, but it's
15	MR. PALAL: But whatever whatever defense counsel's
16	pleasure.
17	THE COURT: So it'll
18	MS. LEVY: Could we do oh sorry.
19	THE COURT: No, go ahead.
20	MS. LEVY: If it's a Monday I it looks like I'm can do
21	October. We're talking about October either October it looks like the
22	9 <sup>th</sup> is Columbus Day. So either I would say the 16 <sup>th</sup>
23	THE COURT: So you want to do something
24	MS. LEVY: I would say I mean the 2 <sup>nd</sup> , the 2 <sup>nd</sup> .
25	THE COURT: That's fine, my only comment is we can do it

1	sooner than October if that would aid in negotiations.		
2	MR. PALAL: I don't think that the statement is dispositive of		
3	anything.		
4	MS. LEVY: Either way, I'm		
5	THE COURT: All right. So we'll reset it for October 2 <sup>nd</sup> at		
6	9:00 a.m. Do you have anything else on October 2 <sup>nd</sup> ?		
7	THE CLERK: Not to knowledge. Not right now anyway.		
8	THE COURT: All right.		
9	MS. LEVY: Thank you.		
10	MR. PALAL: Thank you, Your Honor.		
11	THE COURT: Thank you.		
12	[Hearing concluded at 10:33 a.m.]		
13	****		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed		
22	the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.		
23	Buy m. Reiges		
24	Gail M. Reiger Court Recorder/Transcriber		
	Coult Necolder/ Hallschild		

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR.,		Electronically Filed
Appellant,	)	Dec 07 2018 02:49 p.m CASE NO.Eltaseth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Cour
v.	)	E-FILE
STATE OF NEVADA,	)	D.C. Case No.: C-16-316959-1
Respondent.	)	Dept.: XXI
	)	

### **APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME I**

# Appeal from a Judgment of Conviction Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County

TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 000854 Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson 624 South 9th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0001 Terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com

STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Nevada Bar No. 001565
Clark County District Attorney
200 E. Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 671-2750
Steven. Wolfson@clarkcountyda.com

ADAM LAXALT Nevada Bar No. 003926 Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

Counsel for Appellant

Counsel for Respondent

### **MASTER INDEX**

## Case No.: 76487

Document (file stamp date in parenthesis)	Volume	Page No.
Amended Information (4/19/2018)	I	020 - 0 <b>21</b>
Calendar Call [heard 10-6-2016] (9/11/2018)	I	043 - 047
Calendar Call [heard 3-9-2017] (9/11/2018)	I	048 - 0 <b>54</b>
Calendar Call [heard 4-19-2018] (9/11/2018)	I	062 - 076
Criminal Complaint [Justice Court:16F10719A-B] (6/28	<u>/2016</u> ) I	001 - 002
Evidentiary Hearing [heard 10-2-2017] (9/11/2018)	I	059 - 061
Guilty Plea Agreement (4/19/2018)	I	022 -027
Hearing: S/C: Negotiations/ Trial Settings & Motion for	I	077 - 089
Reasonable Bail Setting [heard 11-7-2017] (9/18/2018)		
Information (8/03/2016)	I	003 - 004
Judgment of Conviction (6/22/2018)	I	028 - 0 <b>29</b>
Motion for Extension of Time [NSC] (8/28/2018)	I	040 - 0 <b>42</b>
Motion to Place on Calendar re: Trial Readiness	I	032 - 039
[heard 1-16-2018] ( <u>8/16/2018</u> )		
Motion to Stay Appeal Proceedings & Remand to	I	109 <b>- 113</b>
District Court [NSC] (11/15/2018)		

### **MASTER INDEX**

# Case No.: 76487

Document (file stamp date in parenthesis)	Volume	Page No.
Notice of Appeal (7/18/2018)	I	030 - 0 <b>31</b>
Order Denying Motion to Stay (11/26/2018)	I	114 <b>- 115</b>
Preliminary Hearing [heard 8-2-2016] (9/14/2016)	I	005 - 019
Pro Per Motion to Compel Discovery of Exculpatory	I	055 - 0 <b>58</b>
Evidence & Suppress Statement [heard 6-27-2017] ( <u>9/11/2018</u> )		
Sentencing [heard 6-14-2018] (9/21/2018)	I	090 - 108

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify I am an assistant to Terrence M. Jackson, Esquire; a person competent to serve papers, not a party to the above-entitled action and on the 7th day of December, 2018, I served a copy of the foregoing: Appellant Oscar Gomez' Appendix and Index, Volume I, as follows:

[X] Via Electronic Service to the Nevada Supreme Court, to the Eighth Judicial District Court, and by U. S. mail with first class postage affixed to the Nevada Attorney General and the Petitioner/Appellant as follows:

STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
steven.wolfson@clarkcountyda.com
STEVEN S. OWENS
APPELLATE DIVISION
steven.owens@clarkcountyda.com

ADAM P. LAXALT

Nevada Attorney General

100 North Carson Street

Carson City, NV 89701

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR.
ID # 1200302
1252 E. Arica Road
Eloy, AZ. 85131

By: <u>/s/ Ila C. Wills</u>
Assistant to Terrence M. Jackson, Esq.

#### JUSTICE COURT\_LAS <u>VEG</u>AS TOWNSHIP CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

2016 JUN 28 A 10: 41 '

Plaintiff,

5 I CE COURT LAS VELAS NEVADACASE NO:

16F10719A-B

-VS-

DEPUTYDEPT NO:

12

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka, Oscar Gomez #5990519 GUSTAVO ERNESTO DELACRUZ, aka, Gustavo Ernesto Delacruzcortez #2738189,

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

Defendants.

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

The Defendants above named having committed the crimes of MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165 - NOC 50001) and ACCESSORY TO MURDER (Category C Felony - NRS 195.030, 195.040, 200.010, 200.030 - NOC 53090), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendants, on or about the 24th day of June, 2016, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, COUNT 1 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

Defendant OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka, Oscar Gomez did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought, kill SHAWN MANYMULES, a human being, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a handgun, by shooting at and into the body of the said SHAWN MANYMULES with said handgun, the said killing having been willful, deliberate and premeditated.

22

### COUNT 2 - ACCESSORY TO MURDER

Defendant GUSTAVO ERNESTO DELACRUZ, aka, Gustavo Ernesto Delacruzcortez did willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously, after the commission of a Murder, a felony, harbor and/or conceal OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka, Oscar Gomez, with the intent that OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka, Oscar Gomez might avoid or escape arrest, trial, conviction, and/or punishment, having knowledge that OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka, Oscar Gomez had committed the Murder and/or was liable to arrest therefore.

> 16F10719A CRM Criminal Complaint

W:\2016\2016F\107\19\16F10719-COMP-001.DOCX

AA 001

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.

06/28/16

16F10719A-B/cb LVMPD EV# 1606243862 (TK12)

Electronically Filed 08/03/2016 02:02:40 PM

W:\2016\2016F\107\19\16F10719-INFM-(GOMEZ\_OSCAR)-001.DOCX

1	INFM STEVEN B. WOLFSON	Alm & Chum	
.2	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565	CLERK OF THE COURT	
3	BINU G. PALAL		
4	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #010178		
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212		
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff		
7 8	I.A. 8/4/16 DISTRIC 10:00 AM CLARK COU M. LEVY	CT COURT NTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO: C-16-316959-1	
11	-vs-	DEPT NO: XXI	
12	OSCAR GOMEZ, JR. aka Oscar Gomez, #5990519		
13	Defendant.	INFORMATION	
14	Dolondant.		
15	STATE OF NEVADA ) ss.		
16	COUNTY OF CLARK		
17	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Att	orney within and for the County of Clark, State	
18	of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of	of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:	
19	That OSCAR GOMEZ, JR. aka Oscar	Gomez, the Defendant(s) above named, having	
20	committed the crime of MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A		
21	Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165 - I	NOC 50001), on or about the 24th day of June,	
22	2016, within the County of Clark, State of N	evada, contrary to the form, force and effect of	
23	statutes in such cases made and provided, ar	nd against the peace and dignity of the State of	
24	Nevada, did willfully, unlawfully, felonious	ly and with malice aforethought, kill SHAWN	
25	MANYMULES, a human being, with use of a	a deadly weapon, to-wit: a handgun, by shooting	
26	//		
27	//		
28	//		
Ì			

1	at and into the body of the said SHAWN MANYMULES with said handgun, the said killing		
2	having been willful, deliberate and premeditated.		
3 4		STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565	
5		BY 42 62 1800	
6		BINU G. PALAI Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #010178	
7		Nevada Bar #010178	
8			
9	Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this		
10	Information are as follows:		
11	<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS	
12	COLEMAN, JONATHAN	C/O DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	
13	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	CCDC	
14	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	LVMPD COMMUNICATIONS	
15	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	LVMPD RECORDS	
16	DELACRUZ, GUSTAVO	5100 E. TROPICANA AVE., LVN 89122	
17	GAVIN, DR. LISA	CLARK COUNTY CORONER'S OFFICE	
18	JAMES, LUCINDA	C/O DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	
19	MOGG, C.	LVMPD P#5096	
20	RAFALOVICH, MARCO or Designee	CCDA INVESTIGATOR	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	16F10719A/llm/GANG		
28	LVMPD EV#1606243862 (TK12)		

1 CASE NO. C316959 1 DEPT. NO. 12 IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP 4 COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA 5 6 STATE OF NEVADA. Plaintiff, 8 vs. Case No. 16F10719A OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., 10 11 Defendant. 12 13 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PRELIMINARY HEARING 16 BEFORE THE HONORABLE DIANA L. SULLIVAN JUSTICE OF THE PEACE 17 TAKEN ON TURSDAY, AUGUST 2, 2016 AT 9:30 A.M. 18 19

> Gerri De Lucca, C.C.R. #82 Official Court Reporter

MICHAEL J. SCHWARTZER Deputy District Attorney

MONTI JORDANA LEVY

2

6

7

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

2

5

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

Reported by:

For the Defendant:

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, 08/44/2016-05:57:12 PM

for the preliminary hearing of Oscar Gomez, Jr.,
16F10719A. CLERK OF THE COURT

Is the State ready to proceed?
MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is the defense ready to

proceed?

21 22

23

24

25

MS. LEVY: Yes, your Honor. We'd invoke the exclusionary rule.

THE COURT: Who's your first witness?

 $\mbox{MR. SCHWARTZER:} \mbox{ Mr. Coleman, your Honor.}$ 

THE COURT: If you're not Mr. Coleman and you're subpoenaed to testify in the preliminary hearing of Mr. Gomez, please step outside until my marshal calls your name.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I don't have any witnesses here, your Honor, besides him.

THE COURT: We're just going forward on Count 1 because defendant B is on Count 2 who was continued today.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Correct, your Honor.
THE CLERK: Go ahead and have a seat.

INDEX 2 PAGE WITNESSES FOR THE STATE JONATHAN COLEMAN 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Schwartzer: 4 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Levy: 25 Redirect Examination by Mr. Schwartzer: 44 Recross-Examination by Ms. Levy: 50 8 9 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 EXHIBITS 19 ADMITTED 20 State's Exhibits 1 and 2: 21 22

State your first and last name and spell both for the record.

THE WITNESS: Jonathan Coleman, J-o-n-a-t-h-a-n, C-o-l-e-m-a-n.

THE COURT: Thank you.
You can proceed.

JONATHAN COLEMAN, having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SCHWARTZER:

Q. Mr. Coleman, on June 24, 2016, were you living here in Clark County, Nevada?

A. Yes.

THE COURT: You need to speak up, sir. Wiss Gerri cannot take you down if she cannot hear you. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. SCHWARTZER:

24

25

Q. Were you friends with an individual by name of Shawn Manemules, M-a-n-e-m-u-l-e-s?

THE COURT: How do you spell Shawn?

Α. Q. Do you feel like you were intoxicated? A. A little bit. How about Shawn, was he drinking? ٥. Yeah, he was drinking mine too, so . . . A. Q. How many drinks did you have? Α. ٥. And that was -- was it a beer, a whiskey drink; what was it? Δ It was a Dad's Root Beer. Q. Is that a beer flavored like root beer? A. Yeah. Q. And how many drinks did you see Shawn drink? A. One. Q. And what kind of drink was he drinking? It was a 211 Purple Label. Α. Q. Is that a beer or some type of liquor? A. It's liquor. ٥. Did he seem intoxicated? Α. To me, not really. When you went to the store, what did --٥. the store on Tropicana and Nellis, what did you

A Four Loko that's purple.

1

3

4

5

decide to buy?

A.

A.

Q.

Tank top.

Honor? 1 1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 BY MR. SCHWARTZER: 3 Showing you Proposed Exhibit 1. 4 4 MS. LEVY: We can stipulate to the 5 6 admission of these two photographs. MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you. THE COURT: Which ones? 8 8 MR. SCHWARTZER: Exhibit 1 and 2. 9 10 THE COURT: Exhibit 1 and 2 will be 10 admitted. Thank you. 11 11 12 12 13 (State's Exhibits 1 and 2 13 admitted into evidence.) 14 15 15 BY MR. SCHWARTZER: 16 16 Q. So now admitted 1, do you recognize that? 17 A. 18 Is that the store that you and Shawn 19 19 decided to go to after work? 20 20 21 A. 21 Q. How did you and Shawn get there? 22 22 Α. By the bus. 23 23 ٥. While you guys took the bus were you 24 24 drinking alcohol at all? 25

Q. That's an alcoholic drink as well? A. Q. Did you and Shawn both go to the store? Absolutely, we did. A. When you were in the store did you see ٥. anyone in the courtroom today? A. Yes, I do. Can you point to the individual, point Q. and pick out a piece of his clothing? He was wearing a tank top. Now, I know -- not what he was wearing Q. that day. Can you point -- is there a person in court, okay? Can you identify the person you're pointing to, what he's wearing right now? Yes, I can identify him. ٥. What color shirt is he wearing? MR. SCHWARTZER: Let the record reflect identification of the defendant. THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. SCHWARTZER: Now, you said in the store he was wearing what did you say?

Was there anything else you noticed about

Did Shawn say anything about where he was

MS. LEVY: I'm going to object as to

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

hearsay, your Honor.

from?

him, about his appearance, any tattoos or anything? He had a sleeve on his left arm. 2 A. Did you notice -- did the defendant say 3 anything to you in the store? Did he say -- did you personally see him 6 ٥. say anything to Shawn in the store? 7 A. 8 ٥. Did you hear that conversation? A. 10 Q. Was this in the store or outside the 11 12 store? 13 Α. It was out of the store. ٥. Out of the store, okay. 14 I want to focus inside the store, 15 okay? Did you see the defendant say 17 anything to Shawn inside the store? 18 A. 19 You and Shawn purchased the Four Loko? Q. 20 21 Α. Did he purchase anything else? 22 ٥. À. Ice cream. 23 24 Q. Did you guys then decide to leave the 25 store?

Α. Yes. When you left the store did you see the Q. 2 2 defendant -3 A. Yes. -- outside the store? ٥. 5 Where was he outside the store? 6 A. By the door. Was anyone else with the defendant? Q. Α. And what did he look like? ٥. 10 10 He was short and dark. Α. 11 11 12 Q. Can you tell if he was white, Hispanic? Α. He was Hispanic. 13 13 Was he shorter or taller than the 14 14 ٥. defendant? 15 15 Α. 16 16 So we'll refer to that guy as the shorter question. Q. 17 17 18 Hispanic male. 18 A. 19 19 Now, when you went outside and so did the ٥. 20 20 defendant, did the defendant say anything to you 21 21 personally? 22 22 A. 23 23 24 Q. Did he say anything to Shawn? 24 Α. A.

THE WITNESS: NO. THE COURT: Hold on. MR. SCHWARTZER: Effect upon the listener, the listener being the defendant. THE COURT: Not offered for the truth of the matter? MR. SCHWARTZER: No. It doesn't matter to me where Shawn's from. THE COURT: It's not offered for the truth of the matter. MS. LEVY: Okay. We're getting into Shawn's statement, who's not here. MR. SCHWARTZER: Well, he's dead. THE COURT: Objection overruled. I'm not going to accept it for the truth of the matter asserted in it. So I don't know the answer to the MR. SCHWARTZER: I actually think the answer was he didn't say anything regarding that. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. SCHWARTZER: Did the defendant say anything else to Shawn before a fight broke out? The other guy was fighting him. Did Shawn -- did the defendant say

3

4

5

8

10

11

12 1.3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

anything	, to	Shawn	before	the	shorter	Hispanic	male
	_						
started	figl	ıtıng v	vith Sha	awn?			

- Α. He was like Sureño Punto.
- ٥. Who said that?
- Α.
- ٥. Did either the defendant or the shorter male say anything in response to that?
  - He was like, let's get down, homey.

MS. LEVY: I'm going to object as to did the shorter male say anything in response to that. That would be hearsay, not my client's statement. If my client said something in response to that, that would be admissible, but not if the shorter male said something in response to that.

THE COURT: Yeah, and I don't know who is he, so you asked did he say something. You're flipping back and forth between the defendant and the shorter male, so we got to be clear, so start over.

The last note I got was that Shawn said Sureño Punto.

Next question.

BY MR. SCHWARTZER:

Q. Did the defendant say anything in response to that?

Α. Which one? Α.

13

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Was it a semiautomatic or was it a revolver?

Semiautomatic. Α.

Now, we've alluded to a couple times Q. there was a fight.

Did a fight occur shortly after the gun was pulled out?

- Fight happened after.
- Was it quick in time? Q.
- A. No, it was a short time.
- Who fought who? Q.
- Α. The other guy and Shawn.
- By the other guy you mean the shorter Hispanic male?
  - A.
- What did the defendant do during this Q. fight?
  - Which one?
  - The person you identified today. Q.
- A. They were fighting, throwing punches randomly.
- I mean let me start with who was throwing Q. Was Shawn throwing punches? punches?
  - A. Yes.

Q. The defendant, the person you pointed out today.

Yeah. He just showed us a gun, and that's how it went down.

THE COURT: The question was did he say anything in response to Shawn saying Sureño Punto? THE WITNESS: No, no response.

BY MR. SCHWARTZER:

- ٥. But you said he showed a gun?
- A.
- Did he do that before there was a fight ٥. that broke out?
  - A. This was before.
- Can you describe where the defendant got Q. the gun from?
  - From his pants. A.
- Could you describe what the oun looked Q. like?
  - It was black and chrome. A.
- ٥. Where was the black and where was the chrome?
- The handle was black and the gun was like silver steel.
- Was it a -- do you know the difference between a semiautomatic and a revolver?

Was the shorter Hispanic male throwing Q. punches?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Was the defendant throwing punches?
- A.
- Q. Were you throwing punches?
- Α.
- 0. What were you and the defendant doing during this fight?
  - A. We were watching.
  - How long did this fight go for? Q.
  - Good five minutes. Α.
  - Q. At some point the fight ended, right?
- It ended because someone said somebody Α. called 911.
- 0. So then Shawn and the shorter Hispanic male stopped fighting?
  - Yes. A.
- Q. Where did -- do you know where the shorter Hispanic male went after the fight?
  - A. I'm pretty sure the car.
  - Did you see it? Q.
  - Α. No.
  - Q. So you don't know where he went?

That's fair. I don't know is a

17 perfectly acceptable answer. 2 Α. No. Q. Did you see where the defendant went after the fight? A. Which one? Q. The guy who you pointed out today. 6 7 Α. Oh, he followed us. Let me ask you this. Where did you and g ο. Shawn go after the fight? 9 The dark alley. 10 11 MR. SCHWARTZER: May I approach, your Honor? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 BY MR. SCHWARTZER: 14 Showing on Exhibit 1 on this photograph 15 right here, can you -- is where roughly the area 16 where Shawn and the shooter --17 Right here. 18 Α. 18 Let me ask the question first, 19 19 ο. Mr. Coleman. 20 20 Can you point out on this picture 21 Where Shawn and the shorter Hispanic male were 22 fighting? 23 Α. Here. 24 Q. You're pointing to the parking lot in

was following you? 1 2 Α. He asked me if I gang banged. How close was he when he said that to 3 Q. you? 4 Α. This close right now. Q. Like as close as I am to you? 6 7 Α. Yes. MR, SCHWARTZER: Two feet? 8 THE COURT: Miss Levy? 9 MS. LEVY: That's fine. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. SCHWARTZER: 12 13 Q. Did you respond? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. What was your response? I don't gang bang. 16 Α. 17 Q. When he said that was he holding anything? Α. Yes. What was he holding? ٥. 21 Α. A gun. 22 Q. Was it the same gun you saw in the 23 parking lot? 24 A. 25 Q. Where on the sidewalk were you when this

Exhibit 1? THE COURT: Kind of in front, outside the 2 front of the store? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. By the parking lot right here. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. SCHWARTZER: 7 So then after the fight you and Shawn left the parking lot; is that correct? Α. Yes. 10 Q. And could you show the Court on the 11 exhibit where you and Shawn went? 12 A. There. 13 So you're now pointing to a sidewalk in 14 the middle of picture? 15 A. 16 Q. 17 And then you were heading this way toward like the lamppost? 18 Α. 19 You said that the defendant, the guy you 20 identified today, was following you. 21 How did you know that? 22 A. Because I looked back. 23 Did the defendant, the person you 24 identified today, did he say anything to you while he 25

happened? Right in the middle. A. Can you point to it for the Court. Q. So kind of where those people are right now in the photograph? A. Yes. MR. SCHWARTZER: Can you see that, Ms. Levy? MS. LEVY: Yes. BY MR. SCHWARTZER: Q. Now, where was Shawn when --Α. He was behind me. How far was Shawn from the defendant? Q. Another feet away. Α. So like about this? Q. Okay, so three and a half feet? Yes. MR. SCHWARTZER: Is that fair? MS. LEVY: Sure. BY MR. SCHWARTZER: Q. Did the defendant say anything to Shawn? A. Q. Did Shawn say anything to the defendant? Α.

What did Shawn tell the defendant?

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

		21	1
1	. a	MS. LEVY: Objection; hearsay.	1
2		MR. SCHWARTZER: It's going to effect	2
3	upon the li	stener and what the listener's going to do	3
4	afterwards.		4
5	* * *	THE COURT: So it's not going to be based	5
6	upon the tr	uth of the matter asserted in it?	6
7		MR. SCHWARTZER: Correct, your Honor.	7
8		THE COURT: Objection overruled. I won't	8
9	take it for	the truth of the matter asserted in it,	9
10	but on the	effect of the listener.	10
11	BY MR. SCHW	ARTZER:	11
12	Q.	You can say what Shawn said to the	12
13	defendant.		13
14	A.	You're not going to use it.	14
15	Q.	And at this point the defendant's holding	15
16	the gun?		16
17	A.	Yes.	17
18	Q.	What happened after Shawn said that?	18
19	A.	He shot him.	19
20	Q.	Who shot who?	20
21	A.	Him.	21
22	Q.	The defendant?	22
23	A.	Yes.	23
24	Q.	Shot Shawn?	24
25	A.	Yes.	25
	L		ı

	Evoluin	23
Q.	Explain.	
A.	I grabbed his phone, trying to call 911.	
Q.	Did you have a cellphone?	
A.	No, I didn't.	
Q.	Shawn has a cellphone?	
A.	Yes.	
Q.	You tried calling from his phone?	
Α.	I tried, but I couldn't.	
Q.	What did you do next?	
A.	I ran back to the store and told them to	
call 911.		
, Q.	Did the police come?	
A.	They did.	
Q.	Did you end up talking to the police?	
A.	Yeah, cooperating.	
Q.	And you gave a statement?	
	THE COURT: What did you say after	
what did	you say?	
	THE WITNESS: I cooperated with them.	
	THE COURT: Oh, okay.	
BY MR. SC	HWARTZER:	
Q.	Did they show you a photographic what	
we call a	photographic lineup?	
Α.	When?	

Later on, not the same night, but later?

Q.

Q.

		22	1
1	Q.	Where did he shoot Shawn?	1
2	A.	In the stomach.	2
3	Q.	What happened after the defendant shot	3
4	Shawn?		4
5	A.	He ran.	5
6	Q.	Shawn ran?	6
7	A.	Yes.	7
8	Q	Did you run with him?	8
9	A.	Yes.	9
10	Q.	How far did Shawn get?	10
11	A.	To the pole by the pay phone.	11
12	Q.	So the pole in the photograph right here?	12
13	A.	Yes.	13
14	Q.	So just a few feet?	14
15	A.	Yes.	15
16	Q.	What happened once he got to the pole?	16
17	A.	He fell down.	17
18	Q.	Did you go to him?	18
19	A.	Yes.	19
20	Q.	Did you try talking to him?	20
21	A.	Yes.	21
22	Q.	Was he able to talk to you?	22
23	A.	No.	23
24	Q.	Did you call 911?	24
25	Α.	Yes. Tried to.	25

			24
	A.	Yeah, they did.	
	Q.	And that's six pictures?	
	A.	Yeah, they showed me two of them.	
	Q.	Two separate lineups?	
	A.	Yes.	
	Q.	And they wanted you to identify the	
sl	orter Hi	spanic male that was fighting with Shawn?	
	A.	Yes.	
	Q.	And see if you could identify the	
sì	nooter?		
	A.	Yes.	
	Q.	Did you believe you were able to pick	
tl	nose two	people out of the lineups?	
	A.	Yes.	
		MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, may I	
aj	pproach a	gain?	
		THE COURT: Yes.	
B.	YMR. SCH	WARTZER:	
	Q.	Showing you admitted Exhibit 2.	
		This is a video still. Do you	
r	ecognize	what you're looking at here?	
	Α.	Yes.	
	Q.	Is this the parking lot of that?	
	Α.	That night.	

And this is kind of right after the

	·	2.5
fight?		
A.	Yes.	
Q.	Could you point to who everyone is for	
the Court?		
A.	Shawn, shooter, and me.	
Q.	And by shooter, is that the person that's	
hear in co	urt today?	
Α.	Yes.	
	MR. SCHWARTZER: I'll pass the witness.	
	THE COURT: Cross-examination.	
	MS. LEVY: Thank you, your Honor.	
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
BY MS. LEV	<b>v</b> :	
Q.	Mr. Coleman, I'm just going to ask you a	
few questi	ons. My name is Monti Levy and I represent	
	lant. You understand that?	
	I'm just going to ask a few	
questions.	So I understand that you don't want to be	
here and i	t's going to be very quick, okay?	
	When you took the bus, you took	
the bus fi	com Wendy's to the mini-mart?	
Α.	Yes.	
Q.	Where exactly is the bus stop? I know	
the mini-n	art is at Trop and Nellis. Where is	

		27
-	Q.	And you said it was some kind of liquor?
	Α.	It was malt liquor.
	Q.	Malt liquor.
		How big was that?
İ	A.	24 ounce.
	Q.	And Shawn drank the whole thing before
	getting to	the mini-mart?
	A.	Yes.
	Q.	How large was your drink?
	A.	16 ounce.
	Q.	And how often had gone out with Shawn
	drinking?	
	A.	That was the second time.
	Q.	So you didn't hang out with him all the
	time to see	what he would act like if he were
	intoxicated	?
		He's not someone that you hung out
	with all the	e time, correct?
	A.	No.
	Q.	So you had you ever seen Shawn
	intoxicated	before?
	A.	No.
	Q٠	How long had you worked with Shawn?
	Α.	Three months.
i	Q.	Have you had an opportunity to look at

	_	Diship words to Tilbhile Greenest	26
1	Α.	Right next to Little Caesar's.	
2	Q.	How far is that from the mini-mart?	
3	A.	Quarter of a mile.	
4	Q.	Now, you said that you and Shawn had been	
5	drinking pr	ior to getting to the mini-mart.	
6		Where did you get that alcohol?	
7	A.	What was that again?	
8	۵.	You testified that you and Shawn had	
9	already bee	n drinking prior to getting to the	
10	mini-mart?		
11	Α.	Yes.	
12	, Q.	Where did you get that alcohol that you	
13	were drinki	ng?	
14	A.	Right next to our job.	
15	Q.	So before you got on the bus?	
16	Α.	Yes. We were drinking already.	
17	Q.	And you said that you drank one Dad's	
18	Root Beer a	nd you were a little intoxicated?	
19	A.	A little bit.	
20	Q.	Was that the only thing that you had	
21	ingested th	at evening?	
22	A.	Yep.	
23	Q.	And Shawn had, I think you called it, a	
24	211 Pumple	Label?	
25	A.	Yeah.	

any of the video, the surveillance video from the mini-mart? Α. Did you and/or Shawn have any bags with you that evening? A. Yes. What did you have? Q. 24 bottles of water. Was that in like a case, was it in a bag, or how was that carried? I had it in a bag and he had some in his backpack to help me go home. So you had a 24 case of water, and then -- so was Shawn carrying a backpack or were you carrying a backpack? I was. Q. You were carrying the backpack? Yes. A. So besides water was there anything else in the backpack? A. Food and the drinks we had in the store. And then in the store when you purchased items, did you have it like in a plastic bag?

Who was carrying that, you or Shawn?

2

10 11

12

13

14 15

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

A.

29 A. I was. Q. And I think you said that there was the 2 alcohol and then some ice cream? 4 A. And you said that you purchased Four 5 Q. Loko. Can you tell me what that is? 6 He bought the Purple Four Loko. 7 A. ٥. Is that like one bottle or --8 A. No, one can. ٥. A can. And how large is that? 10 Α. 24 ounce. 11 Was that the only alcohol that you guys Q. 12 purchased at the mini-mart? 13 14 Q. Is this a mini-mart that you went to frequently? 16 17 Α. I always go there every day. Every day. Q. 18 Do you know whether or not Shawn 19 had ever been there before? He told me that was his favorite store. 21 A. Had you been there before with Shawn? Q. 22 23 Α. Q. Had you ever seen Shawn there? Α. No. 25

31 Q. And the fight that broke out, that was between Shawn and the other individual, correct? It wasn't my client that was in the fistfight, right? 4 5 A. No. 6 Q. It was the shorter guy? A. Yes. 7 And you testified before that inside the store Mr. Gomez, my client, the gentleman next to me, 10 did not say anything to either you or Shawn; is that 11 correct? 12 A. He did say something to us. Q. Inside the store? 13 14 Outside the store. 15 Inside the store, before outside the 16 store, when you're inside the store, did -- my client did not say anything to you or Shawn, correct? 17 18 A. No. 19 That's not correct? 20 Did he say something inside the 21 store? 22 A. No. So just outside the store. 23 24 And you testified that the first

thing that was said outside the store was, let's

25

2

4

5

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Q. Had you ever seen my client, Mr. Gomez, there? 2 No. A. Have you ever, prior to June 24, 2015, have you ever seen my client, the individual right 5 here, Mr. Gomez? A. 0. And where was that? A. Outside the mini-mart. So you've seen him before outside that 10 same mini-mart? 11 Α. 12 No, only that day. 0. Prior to, before that day --13 A. 14 -- have you ever seen --16 A. No. Q. -- in your life? 17 A. No. 18 Q. -- Mr. Gomez? 19 What about the other individual that Mr. Schwartzer was referring to as the shorter 21 individual? 22 23 A. Q. You had never seen him before? 24 A. 25 Never.

fight, or, nice tattoos. Which was first? The nice tattoo. Α. And then you testified that someone Q. stated outside the store, let's go fight, those were the words that you testified to; do you remember that? A. Who said the words, let's go fight? 0. A. Shawn. Shawn said the words, let's go fight? And who did Shawn say those words to? Α. The shorter guy. So I just want to get everything really clear. So this individual right here next to me said, nice tattoos? A. Yes. To Shawn? ο. Α. Shawn didn't say anything in response to the tattoos remark, and Shawn says to the other individual, let's go fight; is that how it happened? A.

Okay. Well, did Shawn first say Sureño

Punto before he said, let's go fight?

A. No. Q. Can you tell from this picture whether or not this is before, after, or during the fight? This is after. Q. And how can you tell that? A. Because he already took off his shirt trying to wipe his blood. So Shawn took his shirt off during the fight or after the fight? A. After the fight. 0. So after the fight Shawn takes his shirt off, and your testimony is that he did that to wipe his blood? A. Q. And at this point you're carrying a backpack, and it looks like a couple of bags and possibly a bottle of water; is that correct? Yes. A. And during the five minute fight, what were you and Mr. Gomez doing? Watching them fight. Q. How close were you standing to Mr. Gomez?

So using Mr. Schwartzer's prior two feet

1

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A.

Two feet away.

measurement was approximately here?

Q. And then the fight breaks out? Α. Q. And the fight breaks out between Shawn and the shorter guy? 4 A. Q. And you testified that the fight lasted for a good five minutes, correct? A. You and Mr. Gomez are not involved in the 10 fistfight, correct? A. Yes. 11 12 ο. I'm going to show you, if I may approach the witness, State's admitted Exhibit 2. 13 14 MS. LEVY: May I approach? THE COURT: Mm-hmm. 15 BY MS. LEVY: 16 I'm going to have you take a look at 17 18 this. Can you tell me again who the 19 gentleman is carrying the bags? 20 21 A. That's me. 22 Q. And who is this individual? Α. Shawn. 23 Q. Okay. Is Shawn wearing a shirt in this 24

A. Yes. Q. So you and Mr. Gomez are this close to each other during this fistfight? A. Q. And this fistfight went on for five minutes? A. Q. Was there any communication whatsoever between you and Mr. Gomez during this five minutes? A. Q. Did Mr. Gomez have the gun out this entire five minutes? Α. Yes. Q. Did he point it at you? A. Q. So the entire five minutes he's holding a gun and the other two are fistfighting and you two are just standing there watching this fight? Okay. Now, did you see Mr. Gomez actually take the gun from somewhere? From his pants. So you actually saw him take the gun out Q. of his pants?

		•	
Q.	and whome in his court of	]	39
	And where in his pants?	1	Q. But your testimony today is that he shot
A.	By the beltline.	2	him with his left hand?
. Q.	His beltline?	3	A. Yes.
	Okay. What side?	4	Q. And you testified that he shot Shawn in
A.	Left side.	5	the stomach, correct?
Q.	Now, you remember you gave a statement,	, 6	A. Yes.
you testifi	ed earlier that you gave a statement to	7	Q. How many shots?
the police,	correct?	8	A. One.
A.	Yes.	9	Q. Did he shoot anything else?
Q.	And you cooperated with the police when	10	A. Nope.
you gave a	statement, correct?	11	Q. Now, you testified that the five minute
A.	Yes.	12	fight ended because someone said that someone called
Q.	And that statement was recorded; do you	13	911 and police were coming?
remember th	at?	14	A. Yes.
Α.	Yes.	15	Q. Who said that?
Q.	And the detectives told you that they	16	A. Somebody from the store.
were record	ing your statement; do you remember that?	17	Q. Somebody that worked in the store or was
A.	Yes.	18	it
Q.	And do you remember during that statement	19	A. It was a customer.
that you to	ld the police that the gun was out of his	20	Q. A customer came out and said that someone

called 911?

A.

Q.

BY MS. LEVY:

you called them?

Yeah.

21

22

23

24

А.	ies.			
Q.	And do you remember during that statement			
that you to	that you told the police that the gun was out of his			
right-side v	waistband?			
A.	Yes.			
Q.	So your testimony today, you said that he			
took it out	of his left-side waistband; is that what			
vou said?				

But when you gave your statement on the

day of the incident, which was June 24, on the actual night, you told the police that it was the right

10

12

13

14 15 16

17 18 19

21

22

23

24

25

.2

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

Α.

Q.

Yes.

A.	No.
	4
	MS. LEVY: Court's indulgence.
By Ms. le	WY:
Q.	Now, you said that you went to the store
every day	, correct?
A.	Yes.
Q.	Did you know the gentleman that worked in
the store	that day?
A.	Yes.
Q.	Did you know him by name?
A.	No.
Q.	Did you happen to recognize any of the
other cus	tomers there?
A.	Nope.
Q.	Now, when you said that Shawn said Sureño
Punto, wh	at does that mean?
A.	South side bitch.
Q.	That means south side bitch?
	Did Shawn say that in response to
something	?
A.	No.
Q.	When you testified that Shawn ran from
Court's b	rief indulgence.
	Approach with State's admitted 1?
	THE COURT: Yes.

But did you see the police come before

1	Q. You testified that Shawn ran from right		A. No.
2	here to can you show me which pole it was?	2	Q. Did you tell do you remember telling
3	A. From here to here to that pole.	3	the police that you wanted to leave, you and Shawn
4	Q. To the first pole right here?	-	wanted to leave before they came?
_		4	
5	A. Yes, that one right here.	5	A. We wanted to leave.
6	Q. How long was it that it took from Shawn	6	Q. Before the police came?
7	to run to that pole?	7	Do you remember telling the police
8	A. A few seconds.	. 8	that?
9	Q. And then how long after that you said	9	A. Yes.
10	that you were trying to talk to Shawn. How long was	10	Q. Do you remember telling the police that
11	that?	11	you that somebody said they went to Sonic's?
12	A. Two minutes.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. So you stayed with Shawn for two minutes,	13	Q. Did you see somebody go to Sonic?
14	trying to talk to him?	14	A. No.
15	A. Yeah.	15	Q. But you told the police that someone went
16	Q. And then you took his phone?	16	to sonic?
17	A. Yes.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Where was Shawn's phone?	18	Q. So when you gave your statement to the
19	A. It was in his pocket.	19	police, were you a little bit confused about what was
20	Q. So you took it out of his pocket, and why	20	happening?
21.	couldn't you dial 911?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. He had a password.	22	Q. So some of what you said to the police
23	Q. And there was no like emergency	23	might not be completely accurate?
24	A. No.	24	A. No.
25	Q dial?	2 5	MS. LEVY: Court's indulgence.
		1	l ~

		42		. 4
1		And so how long did it take you	1	THE COURT: Min-limm.
2	then to go back to the store?		2	Ms. LEVY: Your Honor, at this time we're
3	A.	Five seconds.	3	going to pass the witness.
4	Q.	So from here you're at the pole. Is this	4	THE COURT: Redirect.
5	is where Shawn collapsed, and then you ran back to		. 5	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, your Honor.
6	the store in just a few seconds?		6	
7	A.	Yeah.	7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	Q.	How long did it take for the police to	8	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
9	come?		9	Q. Did Shawn have any weapons on him that
10	A.	Five minutes.	10	you saw?
11	Q.	So Shawn is shot and then the two of you	11	A. No.
12	try to run away?		12	MS. LEVY: Objection; speculation.
1.3	A.	Yes.	13	THE COURT: He followed it up with that
14	Q.	And where did Mr. Gomez go after that?	14	you saw
15	A.	Back to the parking lot.	15	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
16	Q.	Did you see specifically where he went?	16	Q. Is that no?
17	A.	He just ran.	17	A. No.
18	Q.	Did you see if he got in any vehicles	18	Q. Did you have any weapons on you?
19	or		19	A. No.
20	A.	Nope.	20	Q. Now, we got a little bit into that police
21	Q.	And when the fight ended and you and	21	statement that you gave.
22	Shawn went to leave, why were you leaving?		22	You remember Ms. Levy going
23	Α.	Because somebody said 911.	2 3	through it a little bit with you? You gave a
24	Q.	Did you want to leave before the police	24	statement there with the detectives?
25	came?		25	And a Couple of questions Ms. Levy
	l		- 1	

24

25

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defendant?

A.

No.

Α.

Q.

A.

Yes.

actually asked you a few times, were there any -when you said Sureño, was that in response to 3 anything? Do you remember when she asked you about that? Α. Q. And you were actually asked about these statements by the police detectives; is that correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And you knew that was being recorded? 10 O. A. 11 So I just want to set up the scene again. 12 13 You and Shawn are leaving the store? 14 Yes. Α. 15 16 ٥. Defendant and the shorter Hispanic male were outside the store? 17 Α. 19 0. Who says -- what group speaks first; do you and Shawn say something to them first or do they 20 say anything to you first? 21 They said something to us first. 22 A. 23 And you said earlier today it was about the like nice tattoos? 24 Α. Yes. 25

Q. Was it in like, oh, hey, nice tattoos, in like a chummy way, or was it --It was an instigating way. A. Q. An instigating way. Okay. Do you also recall that you said that they said several other things to you right outside the store, that you told the detectives that the defendant and the shorter Hispanic male said other things to you as well? Α. The other guy? No, both, the defendant and the shorter 0. Hispanic male? No, they didn't say nothing to me. A. Okay. Well, they said stuff to Shawn; is Q. that correct? A. Yes. In fact, in the statement you said that they said, you guys are not from around here? MS. LEVY: What page? MR. SCHWARTZER: Page 4. BY MR. SCHWARTZER: You're not from around here. Do you remember telling the police that?

2

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Α.

Yes.

Q. And that's when you said Shawn said the Sureño word? A. Yes. 0. You also mentioned -- I'm going to page 9. You also say that in the statement on page 9 he was claiming Las Vegas, quote, this is my town, end quote, and then, quote, you're on the wrong turf, end quote. Who said that? The defendant said that? A. 0. Who did the defendant say that to? Shawn. A. Is this before the fight? Q. Ά. Before. Q. And then is that when Shawn said Sureño? A. Q. And a fight broke out between him and the shorter Hispanic male? A. Yes. The fight occurs -- after the fight Q. ended, did you hear Shawn say anything to the

It was Shawn responded; is that correct?

	- 0	The next time was any into the defendant			
1.	~	Q. The next time you run into the defendant			
2	is when he is on that sidewalk asking if you bang?				
3	Α.	That was in the alley.			
4	, , , Q•	In the alley.			
5	.*	That's the next time you have any			
6	communication with the defendant?				
7		That's unclear.			
8		There was this exchange right			
9	outside the store that we just talked about, correct?				
10	A.	Yes.			
11	Q.	There was a fight that occurred, correct?			
12	A.	Yes.			
13	Q.	Were there words said after the fight by			
14	the defendant?				
15	:				
16	(Overlapping speakers)				
17					
18	A.	Yes.			
19	Q.	What did the defendant say?			
20	A.	He asked me if I bang.			
21	Q.	That was when you were on the			
22	alley/sidewalk?				
23	A.	Yes.			
24	Q.	And then after that is when he shot			
25	Shawn?				
	L	·			

Do you remember Shawn telling Mr. Gomez Q. that he was going to his mother's house, to 2 Mr. Gomez' mother's house? 3 Q. Do you remember telling the police that? A. 6 So you told the police that Mr. Gomez 7 o. asked, where you going, and Shawn said, to your mom's 8 house; do you remember telling the police that? 10 11 Q. So now you're saying that didn't happen? I'm sorry, can you say it out 12 loud? 13 14 NO. Q. It's no, it didn't happen? No? You have to answer out loud. 16 17 A. So nothing was said about going to your 18 Q. mom's house? 19 20 Is that a no? 21 A. No. 22 ٥. So the statements in the alley, and when you say the alley, does this -- is this like a dead 23

end on State's Exhibit 1 -- may I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

25

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A.

Q.

A. Yes. MR. SCHWARTZER: Court's indulgence. THE COURT: Mm-hmm. MR. SCHWARTZER: Pass the witness. THE COURT: Any recross? MS. LEVY: Just briefly. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. LEVY: So, Mr. Coleman, earlier when I asked you 10 whether or not Mr. Gomez said anything to Shawn 11 12 before the fight broke out and before Shawn said Sureño Punto you said no, and now you're going back 13 to what your statement said to the police, correct? 14 15 So you said your statement to the police is inaccurate in some points, correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 So you remember some things now that are 19 different than your statement? 20 Yes. A. 21 And your testimony a few minutes ago was 22 23 different than what it is now about the statements that were made by Mr. Gomez? 24

BY MS. LEVY: -- you're calling an alley? Can you point to me and show me where you're calling the alley? A. This. This right here is a street, correct? Q. A. Q. So the alley is behind the store? A. Right here is an alley? Ο. A. But this is an actual street? That is a street. A. Do you know what street that is? ٥. Nellis and Tropicana. A. Q. Okay. So it's the sidewalk on --A. -- Nellis, or is that on Tropicana? ο. A. That's the Tropicana. That's the Tropicana, okay. And do you know whether or not this is east or west, going like from here, is this going --

Going that way is east.

That's going east.

were to you, do you bang, and then Shawn just -- he pointed the gun, and Shawn just said, you're not going to use it, and then he shot; there was no other statements made?

A. No.

MS. LEVY: Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Nothing further, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much,

Mr. Coleman. Appreciate your time today.

(Witness excused)

MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, a few

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, a few stipulations, but besides that the State's going to rest.

THE COURT: So the State's not going to have any further witnesses or evidence?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Correct.

THE COURT: What are the stipulations?

MR. SCHWARTZER: There's going to be a stipulation that we should read into the record.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.

53 So when you and Shawn were 1 2 walking -- can you see, guys? 3 When you and Shawn were walking, you're walking east? Yes. 5 A. Q. So you're calling this the alley? 6 A. Yes. 7 The sidewalk here, okay. 8 So your testimony is that when you guys went down the alley and Mr. Gomez followed, the 10 only statements to you were, do you bang? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And you said no? 13 A. Yes. 15 0. And then what was said to Shawn? Α. The gun was just pointed to Shawn. 16 So the gun was just pointed at Shawn and ο. 17 he was just shot? 18 A. Shawn said, you're not going to use it. 19 ٥. That's the only thing that was said? 21 A. 0. Did Mr. Gomez say anything? 22 23 Α. And how was it that Mr. Gomez held the gun? Can you show me with your hand?

So like this with his left hand, like this --2 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. -- over? And you said that Shawn was hit in the stomach? A. Can you show me where on your body? 8 0. So on his left side? And then did my client -- which 10 direction did my client go, back towards the store? 11 12 A. And then you and Shawn turned and ran 13 Q. towards the pole? 14 A. Yes. 15 Which is still going east? 16 Ó. A. 17 So the opposite direction that my client 0. 18 19 went? Α. So you didn't see exactly where my client 21 Q. went? 22 23 A. No. He went to the parking lot. 24 Q. To the parking lot.

And so the only statements made

We have stipulated for the purpose of preliminary hearing only to the cause and manner of death and the identity of the individual that is deceased. That would be Shawn Manemules. That's the name that's been read into the record. The cause and manner would be gunshot wound and homicide.

THE COURT: Is that correct, Miss Levy?

MS. LEVY: That is all correct. For
purposes of preliminary hearing only we are
stipulating to cause and manner of death and identity
of the decedent.

And if I may consult with my client briefly.

THE COURT: Sure.

State rest?

MR. SCHWARTZER: State will rest, your Honor.

MS. LEVY: Your Honor, at this time I've consulted with Mr. Gomez. He does understand that he does have a constitutional right to testify at these proceedings. He is not going to. Pursuant to my advice he is not going to testify at these proceedings. We do not have any witnesses or evidence to present at this time. We'll rest.

THE COURT: Argument by the State.

57 59 MR. SCHWARTZER: I'll save for rebuttal, 1 ATTEST: I further certify that I am not interested your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Argument by the defense. 3 in the events of this action. MS. LEVY: We're submitting, your Honor. 4 /s/GERRI DE LUCCA GERRI DE LUCCA, C.C.R. NO. 82 THE COURT: Based upon the Complaint on 5 file herein and the testimony adduced at the hearing 6 today, I believe there is probable cause to believe 7 that Count 1, murder with use of a deadly weapon, has 8 been committed, and that the named defendant herein, 9 Oscar Gomez, Jr., committed said crime. I hereby order Mr. Gomez, Jr. to 11 answer to this charge in the Eighth Judicial District 12 Court on the day my clerk gives you. 13 THE CLERK: August 4, 10 a.m. lower level arraignment A. 15 MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Thank you have a nice day. ---000---18 ATTEST: Full, true and accurate transcript of 19 proceedings. 21 /s/GERRI DE LUCCA GERRI DE LUCCA, C.C.R. NO. 82 22 23

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP

COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA,

D111111 01 1411 (11111)

1

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

1.7

18

19 20

22 23

25

2

4

6

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25 Plaintiff,

Case No. 16F10719A

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR.,

Defendant.

STATE OF NEVADA ) SS

I, Gerri De Lucca, a Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the County of Clark and the State of Nevada, do hereby certify:

That REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS was reported in open court pursuant to NRS 3.360 regarding the above proceedings in Las Vegas Justice Court, 200 Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada.

That said TRANSCRIPT:

X Does not contain the Social Security number of any person.

Contains the Social Security number of a person.

ATTEST RE: NRS 239B.030

FILED IN OPEN COURT STEVEN D. GRIERSON 1 AINF CLERK OF THE COURT STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney APR 1 9 2018 Nevada Bar #001565 3 ERIKA MENDOZA Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #012520 BY, JILL M CHAMBERS, DEPUTY 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 10 Plaintiff, CASE NO. C-16-316959-1 11 -VS-DEPT NO. XXI 12 OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka, Oscar Gomez, #5990519 **AMENDED** 13 Defendant. INFORMATION 14 15 STATE OF NEVADA SS: 16 COUNTY OF CLARK 17 STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark. State 18 of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court: 19 That OSCAR GOMEZ, JR., aka, Oscar Gomez, the Defendant(s) above named, having 20 committed the crime of MURDER (SECOND DEGREE) WITH USE OF A DEADLY 21 WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010. 200.030.2, 193.165 - NOC 50011), on or about 22 the 24th day of June, 2016, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, 23 force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada, did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and with malice aforethought, 24 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

1	kill SHAWN MANYMULES, a human being, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a handgun				
2	by shooting at and into the body of the said SHAWN MANYMULES with said handgun.				
3	STI	EVEN B. WOLFSON			
4	Nev Nev	EVEN B. WOLFSON ork County District Attorney vada Bar #001565			
5	BY	GIAM MUNILION			
6	D1	ERIKA MENDOZA Chief Deputy District Attorney			
7		Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #012520			
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26	DA#16E10710V /om:// 2				
27	DA#16F10719X /cmj/L2 LVMPD EV#1606243862 (TK12)				
20	(11214)				

Q-7-18 9130

1	GPA	STEVEN D. GRIERSON		
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565	CLERK OF THE COURT		
3	ERIKA MENDOZA	APR 1 9 2018		
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #012520	projon €		
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212	BY, JILL M CHAMBERS, DEPUTY		
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff			
7	DISTRICT COURT			
8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,			
10	Plaintiff,			
11	-vs-	CASE NO: C-16-316959-1		
12	OSCAR GOMEZ,JR., aka, Oscar Gomez,	DEPT NO: XXI		
13	#5990519			
14	Defendant.			
15	GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT			
16	I hereby agree to plead guilty to: MURDER (SECOND DEGREE) WITH USE OF A			
17	DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010. 200.030.2, 193.165 - NOC 50011)			
18	as more fully alleged in the charging document attached hereto as Exhibit "1".			
19	My decision to plead guilty is based u	pon the plea agreement in this case which is as		
20	follows:			
21	The State will retain the full right to argue.			
22	I agree to the forfeiture of any and all weapons or any interest in any weapons seized			
23	and/or impounded in connection with the instant case and/or any other case negotiated in			
24	whole or in part in conjunction with this plea agreement.			
25	I understand and agree that, if I fail to interview with the Department of Parole and			
26	Probation, fail to appear at any subsequent hearings in this case, or an independent magistrate			
27	by affidavit review, confirms probable cause against me for new criminal charges including			
28	reckless driving or DUI, but excluding minor traffic violations, the State will have the			

AA 022

unqualified right to argue for any legal sentence and term of confinement allowable for the crime(s) to which I am pleading guilty, including the use of any prior convictions I may have to increase my sentence as an habitual criminal to five (5) to twenty (20) years, life without the possibility of parole, life with the possibility of parole after ten (10) years, or a definite twenty-five (25) year term with the possibility of parole after ten (10) years.

Otherwise I am entitled to receive the benefits of these negotiations as stated in this plea agreement.

#### CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLEA

I understand that by pleading guilty I admit the facts which support all the elements of the offense(s) to which I now plead as set forth in Exhibit "1".

I understand that as a consequence of my plea of guilty the Court must sentence me to imprisonment in the Nevada State Prison for Life with the possibility of parole with eligibility for parole beginning at ten (10) years; OR a definite term of twenty-five (25) years with eligibility for parole beginning at ten (10) years, plus a consecutive one (1) to twenty (20) for the deadly weapon enhancement. I understand that the law requires me to pay an Administrative Assessment Fee.

I understand that, if appropriate, I will be ordered to make restitution to the victim of the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty and to the victim of any related offense which is being dismissed or not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement. I will also be ordered to reimburse the State of Nevada for any expenses related to my extradition, if any.

I understand that I am not eligible for probation for the offense to which I am pleading guilty.

I understand that I must submit to blood and/or saliva tests under the Direction of the Division of Parole and Probation to determine genetic markers and/or secretor status.

I understand that if I am pleading guilty to charges of Burglary, Invasion of the Home, Possession of a Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell, Sale of a Controlled Substance, or Gaming Crimes, for which I have prior felony conviction(s), I will not be eligible for probation and may receive a higher sentencing range.

I understand that if more than one sentence of imprisonment is imposed and I am eligible to serve the sentences concurrently, the sentencing judge has the discretion to order the sentences served concurrently or consecutively.

I understand that information regarding charges not filed, dismissed charges, or charges to be dismissed pursuant to this agreement may be considered by the judge at sentencing.

I have not been promised or guaranteed any particular sentence by anyone. I know that my sentence is to be determined by the Court within the limits prescribed by statute.

I understand that if my attorney or the State of Nevada or both recommend any specific punishment to the Court, the Court is not obligated to accept the recommendation.

I understand that if the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty was committed while I was incarcerated on another charge or while I was on probation or parole that I am not eligible for credit for time served toward the instant offense(s).

I understand that if I am not a United States citizen, any criminal conviction will likely result in serious negative immigration consequences including but not limited to:

- 1. The removal from the United States through deportation;
- 2. An inability to reenter the United States;
- 3. The inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency;
- 4. An inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or
- 5. An indeterminate term of confinement, with the United States Federal Government based on my conviction and immigration status.

Regardless of what I have been told by any attorney, no one can promise me that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact my ability to become a United States citizen and/or a legal resident.

I understand that the Division of Parole and Probation will prepare a report for the sentencing judge prior to sentencing. This report will include matters relevant to the issue of sentencing, including my criminal history. This report may contain hearsay information regarding my background and criminal history. My attorney and I will each have the opportunity to comment on the information contained in the report at the time of sentencing.

Unless the District Attorney has specifically agreed otherwise, the District Attorney may also comment on this report.

#### WAIVER OF RIGHTS

By entering my plea of guilty, I understand that I am waiving and forever giving up the following rights and privileges:

- 1. The constitutional privilege against self-incrimination, including the right to refuse to testify at trial, in which event the prosecution would not be allowed to comment to the jury about my refusal to testify.
- 2. The constitutional right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury, free of excessive pretrial publicity prejudicial to the defense, at which trial I would be entitled to the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained. At trial the State would bear the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt each element of the offense(s) charged.
- 3. The constitutional right to confront and cross-examine any witnesses who would testify against me.
- 4. The constitutional right to subpoena witnesses to testify on my behalf.
- 5. The constitutional right to testify in my own defense.
- 6. The right to appeal the conviction with the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained, unless specifically reserved in writing and agreed upon as provided in NRS 174.035(3). I understand this means I am unconditionally waiving my right to a direct appeal of this conviction, including any challenge based upon reasonable constitutional, jurisdictional or other grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings as stated in NRS 177.015(4). However, I remain free to challenge my conviction through other post-conviction remedies including a habeas corpus petition pursuant to NRS Chapter 34.

#### **VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEA**

I have discussed the elements of all of the original charge(s) against me with my attorney and I understand the nature of the charge(s) against me.

I understand that the State would have to prove each element of the charge(s) against me at trial.

I have discussed with my attorney any possible defenses, defense strategies and circumstances which might be in my favor.

All of the foregoing elements, consequences, rights, and waiver of rights have been thoroughly explained to me by my attorney.

I believe that pleading guilty and accepting this plea bargain is in my best interest, and that a trial would be contrary to my best interest.

I am signing this agreement voluntarily, after consultation with my attorney, and I am not acting under duress or coercion or by virtue of any promises of leniency, except for those set forth in this agreement.

I am not now under the influence of any intoxicating liquor, a controlled substance or other drug which would in any manner impair my ability to comprehend or understand this agreement or the proceedings surrounding my entry of this plea.

My attorney has answered all my questions regarding this guilty plea agreement and its consequences to my satisfaction and I am satisfied with the services provided by my attorney.

DATED this day of April, 2018.

OSCAR GOMEZ, JR. Laka, Oscar Gomez Defendant

AGREED TO BY:

ERIKA MENDOZA

Chief Deputy District Attorney

Nevada Bar #012520

# 1 2 3 4. 5 6 7 8 9 10 1112 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2 | 25

#### CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL:

I, the undersigned, as the attorney for the Defendant named herein and as an officer of the court hereby certify that:

- 1. I have fully explained to the Defendant the allegations contained in the charge(s) to which guilty pleas are being entered.
- 2. I have advised the Defendant of the penalties for each charge and the restitution that the Defendant may be ordered to pay.
- 3. I have inquired of Defendant facts concerning Defendant's immigration status and explained to Defendant that if Defendant is not a United States citizen any criminal conviction will most likely result in serious negative immigration consequences including but not limited to:
  - a. The removal from the United States through deportation;
  - b. An inability to reenter the United States;
  - c. The inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency;
  - d. An inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or
  - e. An indeterminate term of confinement, by with United States Federal Government based on the conviction and immigration status.

Moreover, I have explained that regardless of what Defendant may have been told by any attorney, no one can promise Defendant that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact Defendant's ability to become a United States citizen and/or legal resident.

- 4. All pleas of guilty offered by the Defendant pursuant to this agreement are consistent with the facts known to me and are made with my advice to the Defendant.
- 5. To the best of my knowledge and belief, the Defendant:
  - a. Is competent and understands the charges and the consequences of pleading guilty as provided in this agreement,
  - b. Executed this agreement and will enter all guilty pleas pursuant hereto voluntarily, and
  - c. Was not under the influence of intoxicating liquor, a controlled substance or other drug at the time I consulted with the Defendant as certified in paragraphs 1 and 2 above.

Dated: This \( \frac{19^{h}}{} \) day of April, 2018.

ATTORNEY HOR DEFENDANT

cmj/L2

26

27