## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSHUA HONEA,
Appellant,
v.

STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

## APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

## VOLUME 7

Jonathan MacArthur, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 7072
Monique McNeill, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 9862
P.O. Box 7559

Las Vegas, NV 89125
(702) 497-9734

Attorney for Appellant Honea

## INDEX

## Document

Ex Parte Application for Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail

Information

Instructions to the Jury
Judgment of Conviction
Motion for Judgment of Acquittal, or in the Alternative, Motion for a New Trial

Motion for Setting of Appeal Bail,
Motion to Admit Evidence of M.S.'s Knowledge Of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual Conduct

Notice of Appeal

Page Nos.
vol. 1, 103-108
vol. 1, 1-17
vol. 13, 3058-3116
vol. 14, 3291-3296
vol. 13, 3129-3177
vol. 14, 3249-3253
vol. 1, 26-31
vol. 14, 3294-3296

Notice of Motion and Motion to Preclude Karen Hughes, And Reference to Chief Deputy District Attorney Elizabeth Mercer and/or Christopher Baughman and/or Convicted Defendant Raymond Sharpe at Trial vol. 1, 84-95

Notice of Motion and Motion to Use Reported Testimony vol. 1, 18-25

Order Declaring Defendant Indigent vol. 14, 3293

Order Denying Motion for Acquittal or, in the Alternative, Motion for a New Trial

Reply to State's Opposition to Motion to Admit Evidence Of M.S.'s Knowledge of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual Conduct vol. 2, 274-276

Second Amended Information vol. 2, 258-273

State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine re Raymond Sharpe vol. 1, 32-33

State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Judgment of
Acquittal, or in the Alternative, Motion for a New Trial, vol. 14, 3204-3221
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Admit
Evidence of M.S.'s Knowledge of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual Conduct

Transcript November 6, 2017
Transcript November 15, 2017
Transcript December 15, 2017
vol. 1, 120-140
Transcript January 3, 2018
vol. 13-14, 3178-3203
Transcript, January 10, 2018
vol. 14, 3222-3244
Transcript, May 21, 2018
vol. 14, 3254-3290
Trial Transcript, November 27, 2017
vol. 1-2,111-257
Trial Transcript, November 28, 2017
Trial Transcript, November 29, 2017
Trial Transcript, November 30, 2017
Trial Transcript, December 1, 2017
vol. 1, 80-83
vol. 1, 34-79
vol. 1, 96-102
,
vol. 2, 277-440
vol. 2-3, 441-639
vol. 3-4, 640-895
vol. 4-5, 896-1080

Trial Transcript, December 4, 2017

Trial Transcript, December 5, 2017
Trial Transcript, December 6, 2017

Trial Transcript, December 7, 2017
Trial Transcript, December 8, 2017

Trial Transcript, December 11, 2017

Trial Transcript, December 12, 2017

Trial Transcript, December 13, 2017
Trial Transcript, December 14, 2017
Trial Transcript, December 15, 2017
Verdict
vol. 5, 1081-1246
vol. 5-6, 1247-1396
vol. 6-7, 1397-1551
vol. 7, 1552-1725
vol. 7-8, 1726-1979
vol. 8-9, 1980-2182
vol. 9-10, 2183-2389
vol. 10-11, 2390-2534
vol. 11-12, 2535-2811
vol. 12-13, 2812-3057
vol. 13, 3117-3128

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the $7^{\text {th }}$ day of December, 2018. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:

## JOSHUA HONEA

By: /S/MONIQUE MCNEILL
MONIQUE A. MCNEILL
State Bar \# 9862
factors that don't change over and over again?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me it's easier to tell a lie if you use the some things that are true?
A. Right.

MR. MACARTHUR: That's all I've got, Morgan.
You're good.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Ms. Kollins, any redirect for Ms.
Savage.
MS. KOLLINS: I do, your Honor. Very short.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Hi, Morgan.
A. Hi.
Q. You and I met in my office a couple of years ago when your mom brought you, right?
A. Right.
Q. The purpose was I never met you before that first day, right?
A. Right.
Q. We talked about things like what would happen in court?
A. Right.
Q. About you would have to come in. There was no
jury there, right?
A. Correct.
Q. You'd have to come in and just be speaking to a judge?
A. Right.
Q. We would be going through your testimony and questions from me and questions from the defense attorney?
A. Right.
Q. Would you agree with me that that was to prepare you for court?
A. Correct.
Q. When we talked about what happened to you with Josh we talked in terms of anatomically correct words, right?
A. Right.
Q. Did I tell you that those were the words we would use in court?
A. Yes.
Q. Because you were of that age to make it clear what we were speaking about?
A. Yes.
Q. Did I put words in your mouth about what to use what to say -- well, not what words to use but in terms of what Josh did?
A. No, just guides, as far as those words to be said. It was easier to be said that way in court.
Q. I don't recall if we had your voluntary statement or not. You didn't recall either?
A. No.
Q. But did we kind of go through the information that you relayed to Detective Cho?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to talk to you a little bit about the statements that you adopted for Mr. MacAuthur. Remember those statements on the piece of paper?
A. Yes.
Q. From when you met Mr. MacAuthur and Ms. McNeill at Clark County Detention Center, one of the statements on there says just telling me remember this, if you love me, you'll do this?
A. Right.
Q. What does that refer to?
A. That just -- just giving me an example of what a manipulative relationship or what could take part in manipulative relationships.
Q. Okay. You told him that you regretted saying anything?
A. Yes.
Q. In the piece of paper that Mr. MacAuthur
showed you, do you remember anywhere -- you still have a copy of that?
A. No.
Q. Remember if it says in there that you categorically said everything that happened before was a lie. Does it say that in there?
A. Yes, I remember.
Q. Does it say in that piece of paper where you talked about punishment with Mr. MacAuthur and Ms. McNeill?
A. Yes.

MS. KOLLINS: May I approach the witness.
THE COURT: You may.
MS. KOLLINS: Your notes, Mr. MacAuthur.
MR. MACARTHUR: Okay.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Written by Mr. MacAuthur, you adopted -- I believe you said he paraphrased what you had to say. Show me in there where it says you spoke about punishment?

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection. I don't believe that was her testimony.

THE WITNESS: I'm confusing it with what I said --

THE COURT: Hold on a second.
MR. MACARTHUR: Objection. It misstates past
testimony.
THE COURT: Overruled because it's not the court's recollection, but $I$ think she can clarify her answer here.

Go ahead, Ms. Savage.
THE WITNESS: I don't see it being said here, but I think I'm confusing it with the whole last few days of talking about it.

MS. KOLLINS: Sure. Sure.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Your recollection was there was a discussion about punishment?
A. Other then he could do a lot of time.
Q. But he could do a lot of time that was said to right?
A. Yes.
Q. That is not in this piece of paper?
A. Right.
Q. So not everything you talked about is in this piece of paper?
A. As far as that goes, no.

MR. MACARTHUR: We'll I object based this was never testified to on this paper. Just what she said.

THE COURT: You can do re-examination.
Overruled.

BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. The story you just talked about at Mountainview Hospital regarding Joshes' -- you being sick and Josh taking you. Had you ever told me that before?
A. No.
Q. When did you fist recall that?
A. It was just recalled now. I had completely forgotten about it. I didn't find it necessary to tell you guys for it to be necessary now.
Q. Do you know why it's necessary now?
A. Not in any specific relation, other then I don't -- I can't say for myself.
Q. And when I asked you questions yesterday or within the last couple of days, we spoke about buying you lunch one day, bringing you or at least facilitating for your mom to get you clothes and your social security number?
A. Right.
Q. Mr. MacAuthur on cross-examination talked about a hotel room. I forgot to ask you about that. Did I ever get you that hotel room?
A. No.
Q. Why didn't I get that you?
A. Because I didn't comply. I said I didn't want it.
Q. Well, you didn't show up, right?
A. For one.
Q. It's hard to put somebody in a hotel if
they're not there, would you agree with that?
A. Yes.
Q. The purpose of putting you there was what?
A. To make sure I would be here sober.
Q. Sober?
A. Yes.
Q. Kind of with a roof over your head?

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Sustained. It's still redirect.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Were you homeless at the time when I offered you that?
A. In a way, yes.
Q. When I offered you that hotel room, I'd met you in an alley?
A. Yes.

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection as to leading.
MS. KOLLINS: Foundation, your Honor.
THE COURT: I'll give a little bit of leeway
here to complete the testimony, as we are late in the day.
I think we know we're trying to clarify and recover some ground we already covered.

I'll overrule, with appropriate boundaries, Ms. Kollins.

BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Did I ever give you the impression I discontinued giving you things because you weren't saying what I wanted?
A. No.
Q. You told Mr. MacAuthur that you found -- or that you are sober from December 2015 to December 2016; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So your sobriety continued from right around the time you had the fight with Josh to the fall Christmas month, December $16 t h$, right?
A. That was at the same time. 2015 of December Josh was already incarcerated.
Q. Sorry. I was thinking the year before. My apologies.

You told Mr. MacAuthur Taylor was not your best friend, but you told other people she was your best friend?
A. At times she was. There were times she wasn't. We were on and off again here and there.
Q. So when you got back from Minnesota in July of 2015, and you and -- Taylor came over and spent the night.

You know what night I'm talking about?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you in best friend mode then?
A. Yeah.
Q. That is the night that you burned the pictures of Josh?
A. Right.
Q. Do you remember how many -- if that would have been July 20th, how many days before that you got back from Minnesota?
A. No.
Q. Could it have been July 18th?
A. Possibly. Most likely honestly.
Q. Now, the notes that you and Taylor put together, did you write those or did Taylor write those?
A. Taylor wrote them for me as I told them.
Q. You told her things and she wrote them down?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you do you after?
A. I think we just stashed them in the notebook and just put the notebook somewhere. I don't know.
Q. Have you and I ever discussed those burned photographs before?
A. No.
Q. When did you learn that you might be speaking
about the burned photographs today?
A. I didn't.
Q. Didn't you burn those photographs at the time you said you were hating Josh?
A. Yes.
Q. When you were just back from Minnesota?
A. Yes.
Q. When we were talking about Franco on direct and were you talking about there was PDA pictures, why didn't you discuss on direct examination about being angry at Franco about blasting out naked pictures?

Why didn't we have that discussion?
A. It not sure. It wasn't information I relayed at the time. It wasn't something I thought of.
Q. When did you start to think about it?
A. It was always there, just not until they brought it up is when $I$ just thought about it.
Q. Until they brought it up. Who is they?
A. Mr. MacAuthur and Ms. McNeill.
Q. When did they bring it up?
A. Just now.
Q. That wasn't something you discussed?
A. No.
Q. You told Mr. MacAuthur that you were present with that phone call between Franco and Josh?
A. Right.
Q. You were with Josh, right?
A. Right.
Q. Where were you? Like were you at Joshes' house, your house? Where were you?
A. Joshes' house.
Q. Where within Joshes house?
A. In the -- he was in the garage on the phone with him. I was kind of going in and out of the house. I didn't want to hear the conversation going on. I heard parts of it.
Q. Did you hear any of it?
A. Only what Josh said. What he said exactly I don't remember, but $I$ just remember going in and out of the house hearing some parts and going back in and coming back out.
Q. Franco, where did you meet?
A. Desert Oasis.
Q. Did you meet in class?
A. Yeah.
Q. What class did you have together?
A. Spanish.
Q. You began to speak?
A. Yes.
Q. How old are you when you met him?
A. $\quad 14$.
Q. $\quad 14$ ?
A. Yeah.
Q. Freshman at Desert Oasis.
A. Right.
Q. He was a junior or senior?
A. Senior.
Q. When you met him?
A. When I met him.
Q. Did he play football?
A. Yes.
Q. I believe you told Mr. MacAuthur, but I may have misunderstood you, that Josh wanted to keep the notion of your brother sister relationship a secret?
A. No.
Q. Did I misunderstand that?
A. Yes.
Q. You told Mr. MacAuthur you attended many Metro functions?
A. Yes.
Q. When we spoke we spoke about 5 to 10 Explorer meetings. And we just talked about the car wash. What other things did you go to?
A. There was things like Jonathan Rameriezes' funeral. The candle lighting for that funeral. Kevin

Zafiris' house when -- the funeral was like just that area command and the Explorers from it. We went to his house for like, not a celebration, but like a mourning, celebration, if that makes sense.
Q. Like a wake?
A. Right.
Q. The other people that were at that BJ's
celebration --
A. Yes.
Q. -- Mr. MacAuthur showed you the picture from the album from my opening statement, remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever turn over any other pictures of that celebration except for the ones we have?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any pictures of either Wirey, Samples, Zafiris that you ever gave me?
A. No.
Q. You talked about something happening at work with Josh, but you don't know what it is in, March 2015?
A. Right.
Q. Now, is that in the time frame when you are fighting?
A. Yes.
Q. Why do you think it was important to tell that
to Detective Cho if that is in fact when you referred to?
A. Just one of the small times when we came back in contact. So when $I$ was saying we were in and out of contact, one of the times we came back in contact. That was the small details $I$ remember being when we did come back in contact.
Q. When you were first contacted by sexual assault, you were contacted by telephone, right?
A. Correct.
Q. You were at you aunt and uncles house in San Francisco?
A. At the house, but $I$ was out shopping with my aunt in San Francisco.
Q. That's where you were visiting, right?
A. Right.
Q. You said it was recorded. Did you and I ever go over that recording?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever seen the recording?
A. No.
Q. You are fearful that something would happen to your mom based on your behavior?
A. Right.
Q. When did that fear arise?
A. The fear was always ingested in me just in case. Like because I was always worried because Josh is so closely related to Metro that any time, like, say my mom was around and say, per se, she was drunk, it was very clear when she is drunk, I would be fearful of her getting in trouble for that, whether she was driving, which was an example. On his 21st birthday if my mom would have showed up to that and get drunk, I didn't want her showing that around officers, per se, because I was worried. Always in fear just like, yeah, always in fear of my mom getting in trouble. She's all I had.
Q. Did I ever leave you with the impression that if you didn't come to court or do something that $I$ was going to get your mom in trouble?
A. No.
Q. Was that ever anything we discussed?
A. No.
Q. Other then in the context of you thinking Josh would get your mom in trouble?
A. Right.
Q. Have I ever told you I'm going to have your mom arrested or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. Mr. MacAuthur asked you questions about there is a platonic relationships, there's brother sister
relationship, and there's everything in the middle. You may have a crush, something like that. That's kind of a gray area in the middle?
A. Right.
Q. If I understand what your response was to him there was portion or part of the time where that's how you felt about Josh?
A. Right.
Q. So from 2011 through the big fight in 2015, when did that change?
A. Change or occur, I can't say. I just remember there being instances where $I$ felt stronger then others depending on how -- just things were going on in life, but specifically I don't remember.
Q. Okay. So when this whole him losing his virginity, this was kind of the blow up for all of this we heard about. Have you and I ever had a discussion about a female by the name that the Defendant lost his virginity to and that that's what spawned this issue?
A. No.
Q. What was her name again?
A. Brisa Perez.
Q. How long have you known Brisa Perez?
A. I only knew of her through Josh. Only what Josh told me.
Q. Was she a Metro Explorer?
A. I don't think so.
Q. What did you know about her through Josh?
A. Just her -- basically just her profile, who she is what. What she looked like. What he had done. There was like an exchange of him showing me some of the text messages between them. That's it. I didn't know her.
Q. When is the first time you discussed Josh losing his virginity as a reason you went to the police? When is first time you had that discussion?
A. Josh, that was never -- I never had that discussion until today.
Q. You never told anyone that information before today?
A. Right. I spoke between me and Josh back then.
Q. As you sit there today how do you remember the day that Josh lost his virginity?
A. I don't remember it exactly, except for me feeling somewhat betrayed, just him explaining to me what had happened. That it had happened. That's it. What exactly exchanged or specific words, I don't remember.
Q. Did you tell Taylor?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. I don't know. It wasn't something I felt was necessary.
Q. Mr. MacAuthur asked you about SunCoast and Rampart. You discussed other locations where sex happened with Josh, other then those two?
A. Yes.
Q. His grandparent' house?
A. Yes.
Q. Joshes' house?
A. Correct.
Q. Your house?
A. Yes.
Q. The Excalibur?
A. Yes.
Q. Parking lot of the Golden Coral?
A. Yes.

MS. KOLLINS: Court's indulgence.
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Do you know when you stopped talking to

Franco?
A. Not exactly. December if that was it. November-ish exactly.
Q. $\quad 2014$ ?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what month you left Desert Oasis High School?
A. Sorry. I'm mixing up dates. Whatever year -my freshman year. It was the beginning of that semester halfway through that semester.
Q. I had it committed to memory. Give me a second.

MS. KOLLINS: Approach the witness.
THE COURT: You may.
MS. KOLLINS: Showing you what's admitted as 62.
Take a look at that real quick. It's the age chart we agreed on earlier. Remember that.

THE WITNESS: Correct. So 2013.
MS. KOLLINS: You would have left Desert Oasis mid-semester, 2013 to 2014.

THE WITNESS: End of 2013.
MS. KOLLINS: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur, anything related to the questions the State asked.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. What year is freshman year?
A. Freshman year is 2013 to '14.
Q. How many year of high school?
A. 4 .
Q. What are they called?
A. Freshman, sophomore, junior, senior.
Q. So publishing State's Exhibit 62. Make sure that I'm using the chart correctly.

We couldn't see what you and Stacey were looking. We established earlier the middle row is the age you were before you started the grade to the right?
A. Right.
Q. So for example, 2010?
A. It's not the age I was before I started the grade. That is the age, yes -- I was -- sorry, my bad.
Q. Not the easiest thing to understand. It's cool. So you remember being 11 in the 6th grade?
A. Correct.
Q. You turned 12 in Minnesota, that was all the 6th grade year?
A. Right.
Q. So you were 11 before 6 th grade started and 12 June 30th, right after 6th grade?
A. Right.
Q. So what grade is freshman?
A. $\quad 9 t h$.
Q. You were 14 before the 9 th grade started?
A. Right.
Q. Then 9th grade ended you turned 15?
A. Right.
Q. So in August and September of 9 th grade, which is 2015, Franco Orduno was a senior, right?
A. Right.
Q. So looking at this line. It looks like it was June 30th, 2013 you turned 14. You then went into 9th grade. On June 30th 2014, right after 9th grade you turned 15?
A. Correct.
Q. That fits with what you testified to about being 14 when Franco was your boyfriend?
A. Right.
Q. That was the year he showed people the pictures of you naked and you switched schools, right?
A. Yes.
Q. He was 17 and he turned 18?
A. Right.
Q. You were still two years under the age of consent?
A. Correct.

MS. KOLLINS: Objection.
MR. MACARTHUR: I'll withdraw the question.
THE COURT: If the jurors have questions for this witness, I need to have you complete the questions
and by a show of hands if there are any. I see no hands from the jurors. So this witness is excused. Thank you, Ms. Savage. The COs will take you -- as a matter of fact, let's take a break so I may briefly figure out our scheduling.

Go ahead. I'll have you wait with counsel for one minute.

## JURY ADMONITION

During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties and witnesses, on any subject connected with this trial, or any other case referred to during it, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, or any such other case by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, internet or radio.

You are further admonished not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll resume shortly.
(Brief recess taken.)
THE COURT: I just realized I needed to make a record with Ms. Savage. It appears that her testimony is complete. I would be releasing her from custody at this
time. I don't know what time that will occur, Ms. Savage.
MS. PANDULLO: She does want to be present for the rest of the trial. I wanted to address that with the court. Does anyone have an objection to that. In don't think she's precluded from being here, since her testimony is concluded.

MS. KOLLINS: Well, I don't know if she will be recalled. I never now that. Based on many defense witnesses, I haven't spoke to. I don't know if she's necessary rebuttal.

THE COURT: Does the defense have a position. MR. MACARTHUR: As an officer of the court, I feel compelled to agree with the State. Legally speaking information could come out that makes them want to call her for rebuttal. I agree with the State. They make a point.

THE COURT: The court agrees with all counsel. There is a possibility they may need rebuttal. We hope you will take care of yourself when you are released from custody. If you need to be recalled, you'll be available. It is not appropriate for any witness to be in the courtroom as trial resumes.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MS. MCNEILL: Thank you, Ms. Pandullo, for your service.

MS. MCNEILL: Can we give contact perhaps, just in case we need to call her.

THE WITNESS: I don't have contact at this moment. But once $I$ do, you'll get one.

MS. MCNEILL: Okay.
THE COURT: Can she take my card.
THE COURT: Yes. We'll adjourn.
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*     *         *             *                 * 

THE COURT: Page 1, this is the trail in State of Nevada vs. Joshua Honea. Mr. Honea is present along with his counsel, and counsel for the State.

We appreciate the juror's patience. We ran into difficulty this morning to ensure all the equipment is working properly, that isn't always the case, but we have worked it out.

I believe we can proceed at this point with our next witness.

Who is the State going to call. MS. RHOADES: Yes, your Honor, Detective Dicaro.

THE COURT: Detective, come up here and take the witness stand and my clerk will swear you in.

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God.

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE CLERK: Be seated. State and spell your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Igor Dicaro, I-g-o-r, D-i-c-a-r-o. THE COURT: Ms. Rhoades.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. How are you employed?
A. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
Q. I what capacity are you currently employed with Metro?
A. I'm a sergeant.
Q. In what department or area?
A. Bolden Area Command.
Q. How long have you been with Metro?
A. Since January of 2006 .
Q. What assignments have you had since January 2006 to today?
A. I worked patrol and vice section. I worked in crimes against family, then became homicide, sex crimes bureau. Now I'm currently a sergeant in the patrol section.
Q. In the patrol section you are sergeant?
A. Yes.
Q. In 2015 what capacity were you working in

Metro?
A. I was working the sex crimes unit.
Q. Is that also the crimes against youth and
family unit?
A. It's part of the crimes against youth and family.
Q. Is there an acronym?
A. CYF.
Q. Anything else?
A. Today it's known as the sex crimes bureau.
Q. In March 2015, what was your title in the sex assault division?
A. Detective.
Q. Who was the sergeant of the sex assault unit in March 2015?
A. My direct supervisor was Sergeant Sean Comiskey.
Q. Who was the lieutenant in sex assault in March 2015?
A. Lieutenant Daniel Bratt.
Q. March 29, 2015 did you receive information about some concerns with a Metro employee?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Who was that Metro employee?
A. It was Josh Honea.
Q. Do you see Josh in the court room today?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you point to him and identify an article
of clothing he's wearing?
A. He's sitting there wearing a gray suit with a green shirt.

MS. RHOADES: Record reflect identification of the Defendant.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. To your knowledge, where was Mr. Honea working March 29, 2015?
A. By the time I received this information he was working as a part-time employee in our gang section, that he was a voluntary patrol service representative.
Q. From whom did you receive this information about him?
A. From Sergeant Clark.
Q. And what capacity was Sergeant Clark working?
A. As a patrol supervisor at the time.
Q. At what area command?
A. It was Enterprise area command.
Q. What is Sergeant Clark's first name?
A. Jeff -- Jeffrey.
Q. What information did you receive about Mr. Honea?
A. I received information that he basically
expressed concern that Josh Honea was involved romantically with a female that was under the age of 16 at the time.
Q. Why did you receive that information?
A. Because at the time that would fit the criteria of a sex crime and fall under my responsibilities of investigation.
Q. Were you aware that Sergeant Comiskey also received that information?
A. Yes.
Q. Before this point, did you know Mr. Honea?
A. No.
Q. Had you seen him before?
A. No.
Q. You knew nothing about him?
A. No.
Q. How is it you got assigned to the case?
A. I was what we call a bucket detective that day.
Q. What does that mean?
A. Basically what that means is at that time there were 4 detectives assigned to the squad and a sergeant would assign what we call a bucket detective for each day. And that detective would be responsible for receiving all incoming cases for that day.
Q. When you received this information, did you know the name of the person Mr . Honea was suspected to be having a relationship with?
A. Yes. It was Morgan Savage.
Q. What was her age at that time?
A. At the time it was 15.
Q. What was Mr. Honea's age at that time?
A. I believe he was 21.
Q. After you received this information, what did you do?
A. After receiving the information the first step I did was contacting Morgan's mom.
Q. What is Morgan's mother's name?
A. Pamela Savage.
Q. So you did a records check. Did you also check to see where Morgan was going to school?
A. Yes. I did the records check through Clark County School District and received information on Morgan as well as her parent.
Q. Where was Morgan going to school and what grade was she in?
A. If I remember correctly she was in 10th grade then. I don't remember the school she was going to off the top of my head.
Q. Does Bonanza sound familiar?
A. That sounds familiar, yes.
Q. Did you make contact with Morgan's mother?
A. Yes.
Q. Where at?
A. Her place of work.
Q. Where is that?
A. She worked a Wells Fargo on Rainbow and 215 South.
Q. What date did you make contact with Morgan's mother?
A. It was the day after I received the initial information from Sergeant Clark.
Q. So March 30, 2015?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. When you went to make contact with her did you conduct a recorded interview?
A. With Pamela Savage, yes.
Q. And who else was there when you conducted the recorded interview?
A. Detective Beza and Sergeant Comiskey, and we had a ride-along. I belive the officer's name is Bloom.
Q. Detective Beza, in what capacity was he working at that time?
A. He was a detective in the sexual assault unit as well.
Q. Why was Sergeant Comiskey along with you to speak with Pamela Savage?
A. It was standard procedure with an allegation involving a Metro employee the supervisor was to be involved in the investigation to ensure all appropriate steps were taken.
Q. Is there a reason why you reached out to Pamela Savage first before Morgan?
A. If I remember correctly, we had information on Pamela Savage. We had her phone number where she worked and her address. I don't remember having a phone number for Morgan.
Q. What information did you learn from Pamela Savage?
A. Well, initially Pamela told us that Morgan was not in Las Vegas. She was in San Francisco visiting relatives. We asked her about her perception of the relationship Morgan had with Joshua and she gave further explanation.
Q. What was her explanation?

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection as to hearsay.
MS. RHOADES: We think it's a basis for what he did in the investigation and what he did next and ultimately does.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
BY MS. RHOADES:
A. Ms. Savage basically told us that -- she described the relationship as being very obsessive. She described the relationship as being obsessive of Morgan, Mr. Honea was very obsessive of Morgan. Even after they broke up she described it as a friendship but he continued to call and text and drive by their residence.
Q. At that time Morgan was in San Francisco?
A. Correct.
Q. What did you do after you spoke with Pamela Savage?
A. After I spoke with Pamela Savage I reached out to the Explorer advisors, which were Officer Zafiris, Officer Wirey, Officer Samples. And I also reached out to Josh Honea's co-worker Joel Belmonte.
Q. Joel Belmonte, in what capacity was he Mr. Honea's co-worker?
A. I believe he had the same assignment in the gang unit.
Q. Why did you reach out to these 3 particular Explorer advisors?
A. The information from Sergeant Clark was that these Explorer advisors were also Explorer advisors for Josh Honea as well, so they had knowledge of these
allegations as well.
Q. What did you learn generally from them?
A. Generally, they said they didn't know Morgan. They didn't know Josh. They knew they were friends. None of them observed them in any type of interaction that would indicate any type of relationship.
Q. Did any of them express concerns?
A. The concern was basically that one of them told me that Josh stated that he would date Morgan once she turns the of age 16.
Q. After you spoke with those individuals, what did you do?
A. I made telephonic contact with Morgan.
Q. What date was that?
A. The same date, March 30th.
Q. All of this was done on the same day?
A. The same day, yes.
Q. When you made telephonic contact with Morgan, where was that at, where were you at?
A. I was in my officer -- Sergeant Comiskey's office.
Q. Was Sergeant Comiskey there was well?
A. Yes, the sergeant there as well.
Q. Was anyone else there?
A. No, just the two of us.
Q. Why did you make contact with her via telephone?
A. She was in San Francisco. I couldn't make contact in person.
Q. When you talked to her, did you record the telephone conversation?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Why not?
A. I made contact with her just to get preliminary information with her at that point and see if she was willing to come to speak to me on her return.
Q. What did Morgan tell you?
A. She basically described the relationship as brother, sister. She denied feeling harassed or threatened by Honea, then at the end of the conversation he said she'd come talk to me upon her return to Las Vegas.
Q. Did she confirm with you what her mother had told you about Josh contacting her after the relationship ended?
A. She did tell me on the phone that they ran into each other twice. Once on Las Vegas Boulevard and once at her school, but described it as a coincidence.
Q. She told you she did not feel harassed or threatened?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did she say that she felt bad for any reason for not talking to Josh anymore?
A. She said she felt bad for not talking to Josh because he gave her advise and tried to put her the right way or something.
Q. You said she indicated she would talk to you?
A. That's correct.
Q. About how long did that phone conversation last?
A. Couple of minutes. Not that long.
Q. What happened after the phone conversation?
A. After the phone conversation, the following day I contacted Pamela Savage and told her I spoke to Morgan and then offered, for her, if she felt Morgan was being harassed by Honea to file a report for harassment.
Q. That was on April 1st, 2015?
A. Correct.
Q. Did Pam want to file a report?
A. No. She said she would not file a report at the time.
Q. At that point what did you do in the investigation?
A. I contacted Josh Honea.
Q. Where had you contacted Josh Honea?
A. At his place of work. We worked in the same building. I was on the second floor and he was on the third or fourth floor.
Q. When you contacted him were you with anyone?
A. Me and Sergeant Comiskey.
Q. When you contacted him, what did you do?
A. We conducted an interview.
Q. Where was that at?
A. It was done in interview room, bottom floor.
Q. At Metro headquarters?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you read Mr. Honea his rights --

Miranda?
A. I did.
Q. Did he indicate he understood those rights and wanted to talk to you?
A. He did.
Q. So by this time, March 2015, you'd been with Metro for about 10 years -- 9, 10 years?
A. Approximately.
Q. How many suspect interviews had you conducted up to this time in the past with metro?
A. It's hard to put a number. Over a hundred, well over a hundred.
Q. Can you give us your general impression of Mr. Honea during the interview you conducted with Mr. Comiskey.

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection.
THE COURT: Basis.
MR. MACARTHUR: Foundation, speculation.
THE COURT: Mr. Rhoades, can you perhaps lay a better foundation for the question.

MS. RHOADES: Sure.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. You said you've conducted well over a hundred interviews with Metro?
A. That's correct.
Q. How long did your interview last with Mr. Honea?
A. I don't remember the exact time, but if $I$ were to guess, an hour, hour-and-a-half maybe.
Q. Were you there for the entire interview?
A. That's correct. Yes, I was.
Q. Were you able to observe his body language, actions, his demeanor throughout that entire interview?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your general impression of Mr . Honea during that interview?

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection, permission to
approach.
THE COURT: You may.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
The objection is overruled. The witness may answer, or if you need the question repeated, repeat the question.

BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Detective, on this April 1, 2015, interview, what was your general impression of Mr. Honea?
A. He appeared talkative and certain times evasive and deflecting his answers.

MS. MCNEILL: I didn't hear that.
THE WITNESS: Evasive and deflective in his answers. BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Was this interview video recorded?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it audio recorded?
A. Correct.

MS. RHOADES: Your Honor, permission to publish portions of the interview.

MR. MACARTHUR: I renew my objection, Judge. If she is going to play the video, that was a conclusion the jury could have, without the detective characterizing it
for them.
MS. RHOADES: The court has already ruled I can ask about the general impression of Mr . Honea.

THE COURT: Objection noted. I will remind counsel to keep the objections to the stated basis and state of renewal if necessary. If we are going to have further discussion, we'll have it at the bench conference.

Thank you. You may proceed.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. You do see on State's 1, a screen shot of what's been marked as State's 1?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recognize that?
A. That's an interview room at Metro headquarters.
Q. Do you see your face on the right side of the screen?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Honea's as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that what he was wearing on April 1st, 2015?
A. Yes.
Q. Does this fairly and accurately depict the
video and the video system Metro had of him?
A. Yes, that's the video.

MS. RHOADES: Move for admission of 1 and 1-A. MR. MACARTHUR: No objection.

THE COURT: 1 and 1-A will be admitted. You may publish.

MS. RHOADES: Thank you very much.
(Video interview played
for the jury. Not reported.)
MS. RHOADES: Pausing there. Whose voice is that that we're hearing.

THE WITNESS: It's Sergeant Comiskey's voice.
MS. RHOADES: He's in the room, but we can't really see him.

THE WITNESS: That's correct. He's sitting to the left, left side of the screen.
(Video interview played
for the jury. Not reported.)
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. That entire monologue was in response to your question, how do you know Morgan?
A. That's correct.
(Video interview played
for the jury. Not reported.)
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Do you recall your talk to Mr. Honea about a minimal age difference in the interview we just watched?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did you say that?
A. It's just a technic used where an interviewer is trying to minimize the severity of the issue at hand.
Q. You mentioned something about the age difference being 4 years. If when you got the case, Morgan was 15 and Mr. Honea was 21, how many years is that?
A. $\quad 7$.
Q. $\quad 15$ and 21?
A. 6, I apologize.
Q. After this interview, what direction did the sexual assault investigation take?
A. I waited for a couple of weeks to close the case.
Q. Why were you waiting to close the case?
A. I was waiting for Morgan to reach out to me and conduct an interview like she said in the phone contact.
Q. Did she ever do that?
A. No, she did not.
Q. Did you ever obtain anyone's cell phone?
A. No.
Q. Anyone's computer?
A. No.
Q. What happened in April with the sexual assault case?
A. It was closed.
Q. On what date?
A. Two weeks after this, April 15, 2015.
Q. Why was it closed?
A. I didn't have a disclosure or specific allegation of any sex crime from Morgan herself.
Q. After you closed this sexual assault case, what was your participation in Mr. Honea or with Morgan more or anything like that?
A. That was it.
Q. Were you aware that there was also an internal affairs investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe for us the difference between internal affairs and sexual assault and what kind of contact you have with each other?
A. Sexual assault is in charge of criminal allegations, criminal investigations. Internal affairs in LVNPD, they investigate administrative type offences. Criminal investigations against an employee.

The criminal investigation will take place first,
then internal affairs, upon conclusion of that investigation, will take their investigation administrative of the incident.
Q. Internal affairs gets involved when there's an employee of Metro involved in the case?
A. Correct. They only investigate instances where a Metro employee is accused of something or misconduct or a criminal allegation.
Q. Before you closed the criminal case in April 2015, did you have any contact with internal affairs in reference to this case?
A. No.
Q. After this case, did you have contact with anyone in internal affairs?
A. I did have contact with Calderon.
Q. Rachel Calderon?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall when that contact was had?
A. I do not.
Q. Why is it you had contact with her?
A. It was casual contact. There was no explicit exchange of information between me and her. I'm not privy to internal affairs investigations. It was just casual contact throughout. Then towards July -- beginning of July, she advised me she had contact with Morgan.
Q. You said you are not privy to that. Do you have access to internal affairs documents?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. Tell us about the contact the beginning of July 2015?
A. July 2015, Detective Calderon advised me she had contact with Morgan and Morgan wanted to come in and give a statement.
Q. What steps were taken after you learned that information from Detective Calderon?
A. The criminal investigation was reopened because we had Morgan willing to come in and give a statement.
Q. At that time you reopened the sexual assault criminal investigation --

MS. MCNEILL: Objection, leading. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. At that time, what did you do with the sexual assault investigation?
A. Reopened it.
Q. Still did you not have access to the internal affairs documents?
A. I do not. I do not have access to internal affairs investigations.
Q. Once the sexual assault case was reopened, what occurred?
A. Morgan interviewed with LVMPD.
Q. Do you know the date?
A. July 22, when she returned from Minnesota.
Q. Do you know who interviewed Morgan?
A. It was Detective Lisa Cho.
Q. Do you know why it was Detective Cho that interviewed Morgan?
A. That was a decision that was made by my supervision. I can only speculate as to the reason why.
Q. Were you present when Detective Cho interviewed her?
A. Yes.
Q. Where were you?
A. I was in a monitor room. As you can see from the video, all videos can be monitored and there's a separate room where the detectives can sit and observe the interview.
Q. Did you have to be reassigned the sexual assault case or was it a continuation from the prior investigation?
A. Just continuation from the prior investigation.
Q. Same event number?
A. Same event number.
Q. What is the event number?
A. $\quad 15033012777$.
Q. Tell the jury when an event number is generally?
A. An event number is assigned to specific investigation or any specific incidence that a parole officers responds to or detectives respond to. Basically it is a number that is used to store all of the documents related to a specific call or specific investigation.
Q. The date is the first 6 numbers and the last 4 --
A. It starts with the year. Second starts the month. Then 2 digits are the day. The last 4 digits are the specific number the day they are assigned in chronological order. The day starts with 0001 and those numbers increase.
Q. After Detective Cho interviewed Morgan was there property you impounded?
A. Morgan gave us her computer and phone.
Q. Anything else?
A. A photo album.
Q. When you impound those items of evidence, how do you do that? What do you do?
A. Any time there's an item of evidence, it's
placed in a bag that basically is sealed with an evidence seal. Whoever is impounding the property has to put their initials and date on a seal.
Q. When you impound Morgan's lap top, her IPhone, her photo album, did you do that in this case, put it in a bag with a sticker on it?
A. Smaller items can be put in a bag. Obviously, larger items that cannot fit in a bag, they can be tagged with specific tags in strategic places to prevent opening or access to the item.

MS. RHOADES: May I approach.
THE COURT: You may.
MS. RHOADES: This has been previously looked at.

MS. MCNEILL: We'll stipulate to the chain of custody of these items.

MS. RHOADES: So stipulation to the admission of 76 and 76-A.

THE COURT: The court will allow the admission of 76 and $76-\mathrm{A}$. As a reminder, for the record, for the jurors this is the photo album.

MS. RHOADES: Yes, correct. This is the photo album.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Here is the event number.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MS. RHOADES: You name is the "P" number.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. After you impounded those items and after Morgan was interviewed, what did you do as part of the sexual assault investigation?
A. Sergeant Comiskey and I drove Morgan to SunCoast.
Q. Why did you do that?
A. During her interview she disclosed that part of what happened happened at SunCoast. We wanted her to show us what location she was talking about.
Q. What happened when you guys got to SunCoast?
A. I was driving and Morgan -- when she got into the parking garage at SunCoast Casino -- specifically the second floor of the parking garage.
Q. What did she tell you occurred at the SunCoast parking garage?
A. She disclosed that that was the location one time they had a sexual encounter.
Q. Where was it at? So on the second floor. Anything else unique about that location?
A. It was an area that was designated. Like on the pillars it was designated with the No. 2 and the letter E as in easy. It was fairly secluded. It appeared
to be under the ramp basically.
Q. In this same drive that you an Sergeant Comiskey took with Morgan, what, if anything, did she tell you about the Rampart?
A. She also said during her interview, one incident happened in the Rampart parking garage on the top floor.
Q. Did you all drive there?
A. We did not. I used to work at Rampart Casino security and I was very familiar with the top of the parking garage. It's just a big open space.
Q. Did you drive anywhere else?
A. We drove to Josh grandparents' house.
Q. Do you recall the exact address of that?
A. Not off the top of my head.
Q. Does 1708 Teaquin sound --
A. That sounds correct, yes.
Q. What happened at that location?
A. That specific house she had, one time, a specific sexual encounter with Josh Honea.
Q. Did you see a vehicle parked in front of the residence?
A. It was a blue Ford pick up truck.
Q. Did you do a records check on the license place?
A. I did.
Q. What did you discover when you did that?
A. It came back registered to Josh Honea?
Q. After that, after you drove there, where did you guys go?
A. We took her home.
Q. Did you plan another meeting with Morgan?
A. Yes. We arranged another meeting the following day, the following morning. We arranged to pick her up and take her to LVMPD for a per-text phone call.
Q. What is a pre-text phone call?
A. An investigative technic with the person who will call a person of interest or suspect regarding the incident being investigated.
Q. Did you pick Morgan up to do this?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you with another detective?
A. Yes, Jason Lafreniere.
Q. Dose she work in the sexual assault unit?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did you go?
A. We drove from her house to LVMPD
headquarters.
THE COURT: Ms. Rhoades, can I have counsel at the bench.

MS. RHOADES: Sure.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I wanted to check in with counsel to see where we are in the process of testimony. We have enough remaining here, and it's time lunch recess.

We'll take and hour and 10 minutes, give or take, to return here at 1:45. So it's a little after 12:30 now. That gives my court staff a break as well. JURY ADMONITION

During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties and witnesses, on any subject connected with this trial, or any other case referred to during it, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, or any such other case by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, internet or radio.

You are further admonished not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

See you back here at 1:45. (Lunch recess taken.)

THE COURT: I have one bench conference to make.

There was an objection posed to a question related to -- the witness is out of the courtroom now -related to this witness being asked his general impression of the demeanor of Mr. Honea during the interview that we subsequently watched the tape recording.

At the time the objection was posed it would be improper to ask this witness to comment on the truthfulness or veracity of the Defendant. Ultimately, there was discussion about what was observed by the detective and there was a certain possibility of different objections made on foundation having been laid. Based on that witness' experience, nature of his job and circumstances upon which he has to make those determinations in terms of proceeding with his investigation it was not going to elicit improper testimony and that question could be asked.

I would note there was a reference made to Supreme Court precedent for not permitting this type of commentary. My recollection of that case law indicates that that was determined in a situation where someone was asked from the standpoint of having not been present at the time to actually see the statement being made, but otherwise being asked to review written statements, and comment -- was allowed to comment significantly on whether or not these comments appear to be truthful. And that
that situation was significantly different then what occurred in this case and when foundation was laid and what the nature of the question was.

I overruled the objection.
Ms. McNeill, you posed the objections. You want to articulate the argument at the bench. I take it back Mr. MacAuthur began the objection, Ms. McNeill discussed the case law.

Do either of you want to add to the record on this. MS. MCNEILL: Your Honor, I would just add that it is improper to elicit testimony on the credibility of the Defendant and saying he was evasive in his commentary which invades the provence of the jury. If they are going to invade the province of the jury, that becomes expert testimony and he wasn't noticed as an expert.

The Supreme Court is clear they're not allowed to elicit from the detective if they believe the Defendant was being credible, and that is what they did when they say evasive. So that was the basis of my objection.

Court's indulgence.
They then played the interview and that is also improper. But the best way to do it is to play it and then let the jury decide what they believe. The best evidence of the interview is the provence of the jury to decide what the demeanor of the Defendant during that
interview was.
I don't think a proper foundation was laid. He doesn't know Mr. Honea. He's commenting on someone's demeanor. Usually you have to have a better idea of who the person is in order to say I know how they act in these situations. So it was improper all the way around.

MS. RHOADES: I had already asked, he'd never met Mr. Honea before. I asked one question, what was your general impression of the Defendant, which was proper for him to comment on. I laid the foundation. He was in there for an hour-and-a-half. He testified what his general impression was.

THE COURT: Just want to make that record.
Anything further.
MS. MCNEILL: No, your Honor. Thank you. (Lunch recess taken.)

THE COURT: We're resuming in the trial of State of Nevada vs. Joshua Honea. Mr. Honea is present with his counsel along with the State's attorneys. Our jurors are present.

Sergeant Dicaro, is present on the stand.
Can I ask you to indicate, for the record, you understand you are still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Going back to the pre-text call made July 23, 2015, the day after Morgan's interview. You said that occurred at headquarters. Who else was present?
A. Detective Branson Beza and Sergeant Ray Spencer. I was there as well.
Q. Branson Beza, tell us is he a detective?
A. He was a detective at sexual assault at the time.
Q. And who is Ray Spencer?
A. He was a sergeant at that time.
Q. For what department?
A. Within the crimes against youth and family.
Q. Tell us about the pre-text call, what you saw and what you heard?
A. What happened was Morgan used her phone and called Joshes' phone number. He answered and they had a conversation, which we were listening to.
Q. Was that on speaker or something else?
A. No. She had the phone close to her ear and we were standing close to her and we could hear what was said.
Q. Why was the call not on speaker?
A. We were doing a pre-text phone call in one of the conference rooms and the concern was if we put it on speaker phone somebody could have entered and said
something or something could have been over heard and jeopardize the investigation.
Q. What did you hear being said between Morgan and Josh?
A. I over heard him saying --

MS. MCNEILL: I'll object to foundation as to who was on the other end of the phone.

THE COURT: Lay the foundation, Ms. Rhoades. Sustained.

BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. So tell us a little more. Did you see who Morgan called and how did you know who Morgan was calling?
A. She dialed the number that she indicated was Josh Honea, the person on the other line. I recognized the voice as his voice because of our previous contact.
Q. What did you hear Morgan and Josh say to each other?
A. I overheard him say he trusted her with the whole issue. He also made the statement when she was questioning him about what to say to detectives he made a statement to the effect of if she says no, he could not be accused of anything because there were no witnesses.

Also throughout the conversation he made the statement to the effect of if there was no victim there
was no crime.
Q. Do you know how that conversation ended?
A. The purpose of the conversation -- one of the things we were trying to do is to get into the conversation for Morgan to have Josh meet her somewhere so he could be taken into custody. It ended when he refused to meet her.
Q. Did you ever hear Josh on the other end of that phone deny a relationship with Morgan?
A. Not explicitly.
Q. Did you record that conversation?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Pre-text phone calls cannot be recorded. I'd have to advise the other party on the other side of the phone the conversation is being recorded. Obviously, for the purpose of the pre-text phone call, that's not feasible.
Q. What was the purpose of that phone call?
A. To obtain further information about their relationship and ultimately try to have them meet somewhere.
Q. They did not meet. Josh did not meet with her?
A. No.
Q. What happened after the pre-text phone call?
A. After that per-text phone call arrangements were made to have detectives take Josh into custody.
Q. Did that occur?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did that occur?
A. That occurred at his grandparents' house.
Q. Did that occur at the Teaquin address?
A. Correct.
Q. Did members of the CAT team arrest

Mr. Honea?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. What does Cat stand for?
A. It's an acronym for criminal apprehension team.
Q. Why does the CAT team make the arrest and not you or sexual assault?
A. Because there were obvious safety concerns regarding the fact Josh received the training, which is consistent with tactics we used. We had information that he'd be in possession of firearms. Just going there by myself and taking him into custody could be a dangerous situation, which is why I utilized the CAT team.
Q. What happened after he was arrested?
A. He was transported down to LVNPD
headquarters.
Q. Why did you do that?
A. I was in possession of a search warrant for his residence, his grandparents' residence and vehicle parked near the residence and for his body as well.
Q. Did you obtain that search warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. That included all 3 of those things you just mentioned?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you then serve the search warrant on his residence and vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it that blue truck you mentioned earlier?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you serve that warrant with other members of the department?
A. Yes.
Q. Who else?
A. Detective Prichard and Detective Hendricks.
Q. Were they with sexual assault?
A. That is correct.
Q. What of anything evidentiary value did you recover in your search of the truck and residence?
A. Electronics, IPhone, IPad, IPod, photos, camera, then some paperwork.
Q. Were there photographs taken during the execution of the search warrant?
A. We did take one photograph from the search warrant.
Q. Like a physical photograph you took possession of?
A. The actual photo print.
Q. Was someone else going around the residence taking photos as you were all executing the search warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. You were present for that, right?
A. Yes.

MS. RHOADES: May I approach the witness, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may. BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Showing you what has been marked as State's Proposed 27 through 45. Can you look through those and tell me if you recognize them.
A. This is the crime shot of the residence we executed the search warrant on Honea's grandparents' residence.
Q. Look through all the photos and tell me if you recognize them generally. Not each individually, but look at the packet.
A. Sure.
Q. Do you recognize all the photos 27 through 45 proposed?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you recognize them to be?
A. The interior and exterior of the residence we served the warrant on.
Q. You served the search warrant on July 23?
A. Yes.
Q. Do they fairly and accurately depict what you saw that day?
A. Yes.

MS. RHOADES: I move for admission of 27 through 45.

MS. MCNEILL: No objection.
THE COURT: State's Proposed 27 through 45 will be admitted. You may publish as needed.

MS. RHOADES: Thank you, your Honor.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. In 46, do you recognize what's depicted there?
A. Yes. It's the Ford truck.
Q. Is that included in the search you obtained?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you States 27. What is that a photograph of?
A. That is the exterior of the residence.
Q. State's 28, can you tell where that is at?
A. That's the interior looking from the kitchen area toward the living room area.
Q. Showing you 29, what's depicted there?
A. That is a dining room table with an IPad on it.
Q. And 30, is that a close up of that same IPad?
A. Correct.
Q. Was that impounded?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you 32, whose bedroom is that that we're looking into, if you know?
A. I believe that is Joshes' bedroom.
Q. And 35, is that still in Joshes' bedroom?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you 36, what are we looking at there?
A. It's paperwork for Las Vegas Athletic Club.
Q. Does it have Pam Savage and Morgan Savage's
name on it?
A. I can't see from the screen. I can't see from the screen. Sorry.

MS. RHOADES: May I approach, your Honor.
THE COURT: You may.
THE WITNESS: That has Pamela Savage's name on there.

THE COURT: If I can get you to speak up. It's -- you're soft spoken. Generally when you are looking at something your voice tends to come down more.

THE WITNESS: There is a name of Morgan and Pam Savage on the paperwork by.

BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Where was that in your execution of the search warrant?
A. If I remember correctly, it was located in Joshes' bedroom.
Q. Showing you 37, is that the closet in Joshes' bedroom?
A. That is the closet in the same bedroom.
Q. And 38 , what the that?
A. That looks like some kind of drawer inside the closet.
Q. With clothes on top?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you 39, is that open bottom drawer in that set of drawers?
A. Yes.

MS. MCNEILL: Objection. Leading. Did he describe what the photo is.

MS. RHOADES: I haven't gotten to that photo.
THE COURT: You mentioned the photo and indicated it was open. I'll go ahead and sustain it, but with that it appears to be leading.

Rephrase.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Thank you, your Honor.

You indicated you impounded a photograph during the execution of the search warrant?
A. Correct.
Q. Is this the photograph you impounded?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you circle it. You can touch the screen. And showing you 40, what are we looking at there?
A. That is the same photograph.
Q. Just a close up?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you 41, why was this photographed?
A. It was significant because during her interview Morgan described that Josh was only wearing

Adidas style under wear.
Q. 42 is that the Adidas style underwear?
A. That's correct.
Q. So specifically in the residence, the IPad was impounded, the photograph was impounded by you. Was there anything else?
A. As I said there was quite a few electronics on that search warrant. I would have to look at the exact impound on the residence versus the vehicle.
Q. Was there also a phone in the residence, do you remember?
A. If I remember correctly there was one.
Q. Do you remember what was recovered from the truck?
A. There was an IPAD -- IPod. There was another cell phone, paperwork. I'm not sure if we recovered any of the memory cards from the vehicle or if they were all in the residence.
Q. Would showing you your report about the search warrant refresh your memory about where everything was found?
A. Yes.

MS. RHOADES: Showing him the officer's report from the search warrant, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Does that refresh your memory?
A. Yes.
Q. What was found in the residence?
A. There was the IPad, cell phone, and several memory sticks.
Q. The cell phone was an IPhone 6 plus?
A. Yes. Photograph in the vehicle, there was 2 IPods, 1 cell phone and some paperwork.
Q. Did you obtain a separate search warrant for these electronic items?
A. I did.
Q. Why do that?
A. In order for a forensic analysis of those devices to be performed.
Q. What do you do you with the search warrant and the items of evidentiary value after you obtain that search warrant?
A. I forwarded the search warrant to the unit, crimes against children. That is the unit that has all of the equipment necessary to search the electronic devices.
Q. Showing you again -- going back to State's 35. Did you look at these photographs on the wall and photographs on the desk when you executed the search
warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you see photos on there of Morgan

Savage?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. Going back to 40. Just for the record, who is in that photograph you impounded?
A. Morgan Savage and Josh Honea.
Q. After the search warrant there what did you do?
A. After serving a search warrant, I responded to LVMPD headquarters.
Q. When you responded to headquarters did you meet with Mr. Honea?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there a crime scene analyst there as well?
A. Correct.
Q. What was the purpose of that?
A. The purpose was to execute the search warrant for his body so I got photographs of his body.
Q. Were you there when those photographs were taken?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us how that interaction went down?
A. Basically I told him I had the search warrant for his body. I don't recall if he asked to see it before hand or not. I don't remember those details. He was asked to undress and CSI took photographs of his body.
Q. What evidentiary value did you see when the photographs were taken?
A. We took close up photographs of penis because Morgan in her interview described his penis in detail.
Q. Did her description match what you saw?
A. Yes.
Q. From what you could tell was Mr. Honea doing anything out of the ordinary when you were -- the CSA was taking pictures?

MS. MCNEILL: Objection, vague. Speculation. Foundation.

MS. RHOADES: I don't want to lead him. THE COURT: It is tricky when you are trying to set foundation not lead. I'll give you leeway here. I'll overrule it and make sure we keep it open-ended. BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Did Mr. Honea do anything out of the ordinary when the photographs of his penis were taken?
A. Well, when we asked to take photographs of his penis we asked to take photographs of his penis, under side of his penis because of the specific mark we were
looking for on his penis. When he lifted his penis it appeared that his fingers were covering that specific mark and he was just asked to move his fingers further away so we could photograph the mole.
Q. Did you have to ask him to move his fingers?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you State's 48 through 50 and 73 through 75. Can you look through those and look up as me when you are done.

THE COURT: Can you give the numbers again.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. $\quad 73$ through 75, 48 through 50, your Honor. Do you recognize those?
A. Those are photographs of Josh Honea we took that day.
Q. Do they fairly and accurately depict what he looked like that day?
A. Yes.

MS. RHOADES: I would move for admission of those, your Honor.

MS. MCNEILL: No objection.
THE COURT: 73 through 75 and 48 through 50 will
be admitted.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. On 48, is that Mr. Honea with his clothes
on?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell what that shirt says?
A. St. Patrick's Day Rampart Casino.
Q. There's a full frontal of him nude in 49; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. State's 73, what is that there?
A. That is a picture of his backside.
Q. You can see his back and how it's shaped?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you 50, what is that a picture of?
A. That is a close up of his penis.
Q. From your monitor can you see where the mole is?
A. Right before his left index finger.
Q. Can you circle it for us. Thank you. After the photographs were taken, what did you do ss part of your investigation, what, if anything, else did you do?
A. After the photographs were taken?
Q. Yes.
A. I impounded the property that was recovered during the search warrant.
Q. The Defendant was arrested that day?
A. That's correct.
Q. In your capacity as a sexual assault detective do you have access to Las Vegas County Detention Center jail calls?
A. I do.
Q. How do you have access to those calls?
A. It's standard investigative technic to follow up once a person was arrested to follow up and monitor jail calls.
Q. Did you do that in this case?
A. I did.
Q. Do you do that in every case?
A. In the majority of cases, I did. MS. RHOADES: Your Honor, I have a portion of the calls, just the start of it, for identification purposes.

THE COURT: You may. MS. RHOADES: Were you able to access and obtain a call that was made by Mr. Honea on September 6, 2015. THE WITNESS: Yes. MS. RHOADES: How do you know it's Mr. Honea. THE WITNESS: There are multiple perimeters you can search by. One is an ID number. A unique number assigned to everybody arrested and booked into CCDC. You can search by phone number or first or last name.

BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Have you listened to this call previously?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you listened to the portion that we're talking about of this call previously?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is this call between?
A. It appeared to be between Josh and his
father.
Q. How could you tell that?
A. Because he referred to him as dad throughout the conversation.
Q. Did you recognize Joshes' voice?
A. Yes.
(Clark County Detention center call played for the jury.)

MS. MCNEILL: I would object to anything the father says to being hearsay.

THE COURT: Right now we are playing for authentication purposes. Is there something you can play now that's not --

MS. RHOADES: It's a clip of the call between his father and Mr. Honea as the first person he talks to is the father. It's to show interaction and response.

THE COURT: I asked for a response, I apologize.

I didn't mean to create an inappropriate circumstance there.

Ms. McNeill, I believe for purposes of identification and not being offered for the truth of the commentary we can and will, only for that reason, allow you to proceed just enough for the identification purposes at this time.

MS. RHOADES: Okay. Did you recognize the voice we just heard.

THE WITNESS: Repeat it, please.
MS. RHOADES: Yes.
(Clark County Detention center call. played for the jury.)

BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Do you recognize the voices we just heard?
A. Yes. Those were two voices of the phone call I monitored, one, of Josh and the other person referred to as dad.
Q. This is the call you obtained made on September 6th?
A. Yes.

MS. RHOADES: Move for admission of this clip.
MS. MCNEILL: I would renew my objection because it contains hearsay information.

THE COURT: Can $I$ have counsel at the bench.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: The objection is overruled. The call played will be admitted.

MS. RHOADES: Your Honor, that is 65 A and 65.
THE COURT: State's 65 and 65 A are admitted. You may play.
(Clark County Detention center call played for the jury.)

MS. RHOADES: Approach the witness again.
THE COURT: Yes.
MS. RHOADES: Thank you.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Showing you, Sergeant, State's 64. Before we get there, when you interviewed Mr. Honea did he give you his date of birth?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you 64, do you recognize the dates on that chart?
A. May 4th, '93 is his birthday.
Q. Does this seem to correspond with his age and the years?
A. Yes.

MS. RHOADES: Move for admission.
MS. MCNEILL: No observation.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Showing you 64. Is that a chart of how old he is and what years?
A. Yes.
Q. By he, I mean Mr. Honea?
A. Yes.

MS. RHOADES: Your Honor, I'll pass the witness.

THE COURT: Ms. McNeill.
MS. MCNEILL: Thank you, your Honor. If I could
have the clerk's brief indulgence to look at this exhibits.

THE COURT: Sure. If the clerk can assist you. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. I want to start talking to you a little about generalities, being a police officer. Would you agree with me that being a police officer has it's own culture?
A. Yes.
Q. You've been a police officer for quite some time?
A. Yes.
Q. Before you were a detective you were in
patrol?
A. Yes.
Q. And police sort of have their own language. You have radio codes you use?
A. They are standard radio codes you use.
Q. You have some terms of art you use that civilians might not understand?
A. The terminology we use, civilians might not understand.
Q. Being police officers are kind of like being part of a secret society in some ways?
A. Not necessarily.
Q. Well, let me ask you this. You have ways of identifying other officers that civilians might not be aware of, correct?
A. I don't know what you mean by that.
Q. Do you have something you put on license plate to identify you as a police officer when you are pulled over? Some officers have the discretion to have on their car, correct?
A. There is a license plate frame, but not necessarily to identify police officers. It's just a frame that says KOM 674, something to that effect. It's code for radio frequency, but not only police officers use it.
Q. Civilians aren't able to get them?
A. You can obtain them at the market -- or -- I never tried --
Q. The swap meet, but that wouldn't be an official license plate with that?
A. I'm not aware if we have an official license plate frame.
Q. You have ways of letting officers know you are an officer, if you need to, correct?
A. I guess you could say that's one of the ways somebody might assume the person they're pulling over is a police officer.
Q. Do you remember when you were asked about Joshes' demeanor during the interview?
A. Yes.
Q. You indicated he was deflecting?
A. He appeared to be deflecting.
Q. Do you agree you are sort of deflecting?
A. I wouldn't say so.
Q. It's hard to answer questions from somebody you don't know?
A. It depends on the situation I guess.
Q. Fair enough. What you do think evasive means?
A. Evasive from my understanding -- you're
talking strictly about an interview.
Q. No. I'm just asking for a definition.
A. In general, not answering directly to a question posed.
Q. So I want to start out with the interview you did with Josh.

You said that he was being evasive and not answering questions posed, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. What question didn't he answer?
A. He -- when Sergeant Comiskey was asking questions he was evasive with his answer. His answers would go from the question to a totally different topic.
Q. So to be fair the interview is 7 some pages long, correct?
A. Yeah. Pretty lengthy.
Q. Sergeant Comiskey doesn't start asking questions until toward the ends?
A. Correct.
Q. At that point Josh is giving you all kinds of information, right?
A. Yeah. He gave a lot of information.
Q. So he volunteered information in response to questions?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to talk about the end of the interview with Sergeant Comiskey's questions. When you interview a suspect you don't go in there hoping for the best, right?
A. What do you mean?
Q. Well, you receive training in becoming a detective?
A. Correct.
Q. Part of that train is how to interrogate a suspect?
A. You take interrogation classes. MS. RHOADES: I'd ask she allow the witness to answer the questions before you ask a question.

THE COURT: Please pause, if you can, Ms. McNeill. BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. You received training on how to integrate a suspect?
A. I did interrogation training.
Q. The reason that you do that is because there is a process to try to get information from someone, right?
A. There is a process, yes, you can say so.
Q. A lot of times people don't want to talk to the police?
A. A lot of times that's true.
Q. So in order to get information you are going to need you have a technique that you use to try to get information?
A. There are different techniques used during the interview.
Q. You relied on those techniques in this interview?
A. I relied on those, yes.
Q. You testified for Ms. Rhoades one of the technicians Sergeant Comiskey was using was to try to minimize?
A. I believe I said I was using.
Q. You minimize and that makes the person wanted to give you information, right?
A. It makes them presumably more willing to provide information requested.
Q. So you saying to him, it's not as if you are a 35-year-old man, makes it seem like whatever you think he had done was more acceptable?
A. Yes. It reduces the severity of the question.
Q. And more willing to give you that information?
A. Correct.
Q. Then one of the techniques you are allowed to use is to lie to the suspect, right?
A. Provide certain information, true.
Q. The theory behind that is that you are giving them information to make it seem as if you know more then you do, right?
A. That's one of the technics, yes.
Q. A theory is a guilty person will then confess?
A. The theory is that the person will talk at the time not necessarily confess.
Q. Kind of the same thing I said though, right?
A. The person would talk, not necessarily confess. I would say that.

MS. MCNEILL: Court's indulgence. THE COURT: Yes.

BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. So, when you were talking to Josh and Sergeant Comiskey at the end you told him you had talked to Morgan and she had given you certain information, correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. But those portions of your statement were not true?
A. Some statements were not true.
Q. You talked to Morgan, but she hadn't told you
anything illegal had occurred?
A. Not at this time.
Q. When you were talking to Josh?
A. Correct. Yes.
Q. Your statements to Josh that, well, Morgan said those things were not true?
A. I think it was, what if I tell you she told us so and so.
Q. And he didn't respond in any way other then shock she would have to said those things?
A. His answer was pretty much she was lying. Summarized she was lying.
Q. Which is about what you would expect if someone tells you somebody is says something untrue about you?
A. Could be possibly one of the answers, yes.
Q. The reason that you were even interviewing Josh is because you received information about a possible inappropriate relationship, right?
A. Correct.
Q. But that information didn't come from Morgan Savage?
A. Right.
Q. It didn't come from her mother?
A. Correct.
Q. It didn't come from a teacher?
A. That's correct.
Q. It didn't come from one of her friends?
A. That's correct.
Q. Are you aware that Sergeant Clark actually received the information from Officer Zafiris?
A. That's correct.
Q. And what they told you was that Josh told them he might want to date Morgan when she's 16 ?
A. Correct.
Q. $\quad 16$ is age of consent in Nevada?
A. Correct.
Q. So dating someone when they are 16 would not be a crime?
A. It would not.
Q. The only information you had at that point is he might want to date her when she turned $16 ?$
A. Sergeant Clark expressed concern that the relationship might be ongoing at the time.
Q. But that's not what you testified to on direct. You said that everyone told you their concerns were that he had told them he might want to date her when she was 16?

MS. RHOADES: I object. That mischaracterizes the testimony on direct. I didn't get into the statements
of each person. I said generally.
THE COURT: Overruled. This needs to be explored for clarification.

BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. You spoke to multiple officers, including Zafiris?
A. That's correct.
Q. Wirey?
A. That's correct.
Q. And Clark?
A. That's correct.
Q. You testified on direct what they told you was Josh told them he might want to date Morgan when she was 16?
A. That's one of the statements made, yes.
Q. When you spoke to Pam Savage she never indicated to you that she believed Morgan and Josh were having sex?
A. That's correct.
Q. So at the point -- well, back up?

You also spoke to Joseph Belmonte, who was one of Joshes' friends?
A. Correct.
Q. He was also a Metro employee?
A. Correct.
Q. He told you he'd been around the two of them and had never seen anything inappropriate?
A. He said they had a mentorship type relationship.
Q. That wouldn't be inappropriate?
A. It would not.
Q. So at the time you give the interview -- that you take the interview of Josh, the only thing you have is that he said he might want to date Morgan when she is 16?
A. Along with the statements I received from officers before.
Q. We've gone through that?
A. I was asked questions about those statements.
Q. You wrote a report in the case, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Your report is written within the constructs of how you are taught to write a report?
A. Right.
Q. You learn that in the police academy?
A. It's one of the classes.
Q. You learn how important reports are?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And they are important because you are going
to be called to probably testify about things you write in your report, right?
A. Correct. Yes.
Q. And it might be months to years before you have to testify to those things?
A. Correct.
Q. That is the only recitation you have of that information?
A. Yes.
Q. It's important that they are thorough and accurate?
A. Correct.
Q. You don't say that Sergeant Clark told you something had happened, did you?
A. I would have to review the report.
Q. Would it help to look at your report?
A. Yes.

MS. MCNEILL: If I may approach. THE COURT: Sure.

BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. Is this a copy of your report?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Read that to yourself?
A. Sure.
Q. Does that refresh your recollection?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't indicate Sergeant Clark told you they already had sex?
A. Just there was a possible sexual relationship between the two.
Q. Possible sexual relationship is not the same thing as believe they are already having sex?
A. Well, he stated there was a possible sexual relationship.
Q. Is that no?
A. I'm not sure how to answer your question at this point because --
Q. Did you have this much difficulty answering questions for the State?

MS. RHOADES: Objection, argumentative.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. One of the areas you interrogated Josh about was that you just could not believe that someone would do all of those things for someone who is just a friend?
A. That is one of the things I said during the interview.
Q. You don't know Josh?
A. I don't.
Q. You'd never met prior to that?
A. Not prior to that, no.
Q. In fact, that line of questioning, I understand you were just saying to him, trying to get him to give you information you wanted, right?
A. To talk, yes.
Q. But would it be fair to say that Joshes' answer to that line of questioning was that that is what he does for his friends?
A. That is what he said, yes.
Q. You found that to be less then credible?
A. Yes, that is one of the statements I made.
Q. Did you ever talk to his friends to investigate this case?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever talk to his family?
A. No, I did not.
Q. In fact, he told you that Morgan was considered part of his family?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. She was at a lot of family functions?
A. Correct.
Q. You never talked to any family members?
A. I did not.
Q. You indicated Pam Savage thought he was obsessive?
A. That he exhibited obsessive behavior, yes.
Q. You indicated to Josh that Pam had given him that information, right?
A. Sorry. Can you repeat that.
Q. That Pam had given you that information?
A. Yes.
Q. He responded back with some allegations about Pam's parenting, right?
A. He did, yes.
Q. In fact, he indicated she was an alcoholic?
A. He did.
Q. He gave you details that suggested that Pam might be neglectful as a parent?
A. That is what he stated, yes.
Q. Did you ever follow up on those accusations?
A. No, I did not.
Q. But you did inform Pam Savage before you talked to her why you were calling?
A. On?
Q. On any of the occasions. Start with the first one. The first time you spoke to Pam you informed her who you were?
A. Yes.
Q. And why you were calling?
A. Yes.
Q. She indicated to you that Morgan didn't want to speak to Josh anymore, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. That wasn't true because Morgan didn't mind talking to Josh?
A. She said she felt bad about not talking to him.
Q. In fact, she told you she didn't feel harassed or threatened by Josh?
A. That's what she said, yes.
Q. Would you agree with me that sometimes kids don't give all the information?
A. Sometimes that's true.
Q. And kids lie to their parents?
A. That's true too.
Q. And they do that because they are worried about their own actions?
A. Sometimes that can be true.
Q. You said you drove to SunCoast with Morgan in the car, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you tape that interaction with her in the car?
A. What?
Q. Tape it?
A. No, I did not.
Q. You had the ability to do that, right?
A. To tape the interaction in the car?
Q. Yeah.
A. I had it with me.
Q. You have various recording devices?
A. At the time I had my voice recorder, yes.
Q. Do you have a smart phone?
A. I do.
Q. It's capable of taking photographs and capable of recording audio and video?
A. Yes.
Q. You didn't tape any of that during that conversation?
A. I did not.
Q. She said she had had sex one time at the SunCoast, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember, in fact, though that during her voluntarily statement she said it happened multiple times at SunCoast?
A. I don't recall every detail of her statement.
Q. Is it fair to say if there were inconsistencies it would be nice to have a recording of
the conversation?
A. Yes.
Q. You didn't take photographs of the parking structure?
A. No, I did not.
Q. I want to ask you also about the photographs you took of Mr. Honea's penis. You indicated that it seemed to you as if he was trying to cover the mole?
A. CSI did photographs, but, yes.
Q. You were present for that?
A. Yes.
Q. That was your impression?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm guessing that the State asked you that because you believed it seemed like he was trying to cover evidence?
A. I just said he was trying to cover it. The way he positioned his fingers, trying to cover the mole.
Q. At the time you believed the mole to be of evidentiary value?
A. Correct.
Q. You would think that that would seem as if he was trying to cover up something of evidentiary value?
A. You can say that, yes.
Q. But no where in your report about taking the
photos did you indicate he was trying to cover the mole?
A. We ended up photographing the mole.
Q. Did you document you believed he was trying to cover it?
A. No, I did not.
Q. I'm going to show you State's 50. You indicated this was the mole?
A. It appears to be a mole.
Q. Is it fair to say that Morgan said it was a large dark mole, correct?
A. I don't recall the words she used to describe the mole on the under side of his penis.
Q. Would looking at your report refresh your recollection?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that refresh your recollection?
A. Yes.
Q. She described it as a large dark mole?
A. That's correct.
Q. This mole is flesh colored, isn't it?
A. It looks a little darker than the surrounding
tissue.
MS. MCNEILL: Your Honor, may I publish this to the jury. It's hard to see. I think it would be good if they could actually look at it.

THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. So your testimony now without that flesh -- it was darker than the flesh around it?
A. It's darker then the surrounding tissue.
Q. What portion of the penis would you describe that being on?
A. The underside.
Q. Top, bottom, head of the penis?
A. The base of the head, I guess.
Q. Okay. Just to have some more awkward conversation about penis --
A. Why not.
Q. -- you would agree with me it's more the head of the penis then the shaft?
A. Seems so.

MS. RHOADES: Court's indulgence.
THE COURT: Yes.
MS. MCNEILL: I'll pass the witness.
THE COURT: Ms. Rhoades, any redirect for this witness.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. While we're on the topic of penises, fair to say that penises look different when they are erect then
when they're not erect?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Mr. Honea, during the interview, give you the name or contact information of friends that he wanted you to contact to verify?
A. He did mention a couple of names, but did not provide me contact information to those.
Q. A couple of names we saw during the interview?
A. Yes.
Q. Why didn't you interview anyone in the Defendant's family?
A. At the time there was no information, there was no specific information that $I$ obtained through investigation that needed to be corroborated by his family.
Q. There were questions about if there were inconsistencies it would be nice to have the recording. Remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you document in your report what you did with Morgan in the car?
A. Meaning we drove to SunCoast.
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Was Sergeant Comiskey with you?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you document what happened in the car in the report? How soon after that did you document it?
A. I would have no answer to that question. I don't know exactly when.
Q. There were questions about Mr. Honea being responsive to questions and volunteering information. Was he volunteering information to questions you were not asking?
A. Yes. He just started talking and providing a lot of information that we didn't ask about.
Q. Are you aware that Sergeant Clark also met with Mr. Honea before he contacted sexual assault?
A. Yes.
Q. He personally met with him himself?
A. Yes.
Q. You said in your report that Sergeant Clark indicated to there was a possible sexual relationship between Morgan and Mr. Honea?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did Sergeant Clark tell you he saw Josh having sex with Morgan?
A. No, he did not.
Q. The car ride with Morgan, that occurred right after she had the lengthy interview with Detective Cho?
A. Correct.
Q. It wasn't meant to be another interview with Morgan?
A. No, it was not.

MS. RHOADES: Nothing further, your Honor.
THE COURT: Anything further Ms. McNeill.
MS. MCNEILL: Briefly, yes.
RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. Mr. Honea didn't give you contact information for his friends, right?
A. Correct.
Q. You didn't ask though?
A. I did not.
Q. You also said that Ms. Rhoades asked you about he was giving you a lot of information to questions you hadn't asked?
A. Correct.
Q. So when he wasn't answering questions the way you liked, it was evasive, right?
A. That's not what I said.

MS. RHOADES: Objection. She's arguing, your
Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.
MS. MCNEILL: Thank you.

BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. The amount of information you thought was being evasive, your words?
A. That's one of the things I said, yes.
Q. When he was talking to much, you thought that was odd?
A. Yes, it was odd.
Q. Is there a perfect amount of information a suspect should give you?
A. No, there is not.

MS. MCNEILL: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Ms. Rhoades.
MS. RHOADES: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: May I see by a show of hands if any of the jurors have questions for the witness. It appears we may have a juror question.

If I can have counsel at the bench.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: Thank you.
One question. The way it will work is I'll read the question as written. I'm not at liberty to interpret it. Answer to the best of your ability. Then I'll give counsel the opportunity to follow up.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: What information was found on the
electronic devices that could be useful to this trial.
THE WITNESS: I don't have that information because forensic examination of the electronic devices was done by a different section, different detective.

THE COURT: Ms. Rhoades, any follow up.
MS. RHOADES: Do you know who did the analysis.

THE WITNESS: Detective Ramirez.
THE COURT: Anything, Ms. McNeill.
MS. MCNEILL: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. You are excused. Thank you for your time today.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Let's take a break. We'll return at 3:00 o'clock.

JURY ADMONITION
During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties and witnesses, on any subject connected with this trial, or any other case referred to during it, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, or any such other case by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, internet or radio.

You are further admonished not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Thank you. See you back in 10 minutes.
A quick record from our bench conference. There was an objection made when the State was going to play -- or asked to play the phone call between the father and Mr. Honea. The detective identified it. The argument at the bench were that they would be hearsay statements from the father and the counter from the State was they are not offered for the truth of the statements by the father but the context in which the statements were made.

There was some discussion about were there any potential adoptive admissions and wouldn't that have been considered the offering of the father's statement for the truth of the matter.

The court overruled the objection and indicated that the statements of the Defendant needed to be in context to be understood and that it was not being offered for the truth of the father's statements and therefore could be admitted.

Ms. McNeill, you want to add anything to that bench conference.

MS. MCNEILL: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Ms. Rhoades.

MS. RHOADES: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. MacArthur is doing the exam on the witness. I saw you giving him a hard time.

> (Brief recess taken.)

THE COURT: Resuming in the trial of State of Nevada vs. Josh Honea. Mr. Honea and counsel along with State's counsel are present.

The State may call their next witness.
MS. KOLLINS: Mr. John Pacult.
THE COURT: Mr. Pacult, come up to the witness stand please.

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God.

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: John Sebastian Pacult, J-o-h-n, S-e-b-a-s-t-i-a-n, P-a-c-u-l-t.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Kollins, when you are ready.

MS. KOLLINS: Thank you, your Honor.
DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. How are you?
A. Good afternoon. Well. How are you.
Q. Good. Thank you for asking.

Can you share with the ladies and gentlemen what your profession is?
A. Certainly. I'm a licensed children's social worker. I've been in private practice going on 20 years.
Q. What is your primary focus of your practice?
A. Primary focus of my practice has bene assessing and treating both adult and juvenile sex offenders and doing individual marital and family therapy.

I'm currently doing defense mitigation work, which means I'm going to court -- or preparing reports for court where defendants are being charged. I explain by giving a big broad stroke of what is going on in their life, and that's pretty much the main aspect of what $I$ do.
Q. Those reports that you draft that are defendant generated, are those in order to assess risk levels to relay to court?
A. Yes, in part, some of the reports I do.
Q. If you could just briefly describe for us your education as well as your clinical experience that qualifies you to perform your profession?
A. Certainly.

I have an associates degree from community college.
I have a bachelors from UNLV and a master from UNLV. I have 3,000 hours internship and state examination. In private practice you go through what are called CEUs, continue education units. I have to do 36 hours every 2 years focused on the area of my practice, along with general mental health type of issues.
Q. You said within your practice for the last 20 years you work with both sex offenders and victims, is that accurate?
A. That's correct.
Q. Part of your practice are you called upon in this jurisdiction -- let's just talk about this jurisdiction -- by both the defense and prosecution?
A. I am.
Q. What capacity are you called upon, if you would?
A. Well, it depends on what we're talking about, but I'm contracted with the Department of Public Safety to do psychosexual evaluations. That's for the State. I'm contracted with the Department of Juvenile Justice, so those are for the juvenile risk assessments. And I'm on the expert witness list for the office of appointed counsel. I do these type of evaluations for the public
defender's office. I do all types of -- I mentioned earlier -- defense mitigation work. I do that for quite a few defense attorneys in town as well.
Q. You have been requested by the prosecution to do the same kind of case evaluations?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Those assessments you do are both
pre-adjudication. By that I mean pre-sentencing or pre-guilt and post-entry of plea?
A. That's correct.
Q. As part of your testifying here in the Eighth Judicial District have you testified previously regarding the notion of grooming?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Have you testified as an expert in this district in the notion and the concept of grooming as relates to sex offenders?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. If you could describe what grooming is in the context of sexual offending?
A. Certainly.

Grooming is a concept. Ultimately what that means is preparing a child for sexual contact. That is done through a variety of means, physical contact. It can be verbal. Can be use of pictures, pornography. There is a
testing of boundaries and ultimately the main goal generally in grooming is to determine if this child is going to be receptive to sexual physical contact. And part of the grooming process is having that child feel responsible for the behavior, so they feel responsible for the relationship that's transpiring.

What that does is make the child feel like they can't tell. They don't want to tell. They feel like they've done something wrong. They are a part of this, through the grooming process, so they're made to feel, again, like they are guilty, like they are responsible for what's happening.

So there is another aspect of grooming is the individual trying to increase the likelihood that there will be sexual contact and to decrease the likelihood of reporting. So the grooming is to maintain the secret, maintain the relationship being secret, maintain as much as possible as it's a secret. That's the broad general definition of grooming.

Grooming also occurs of the child's parents. Grooming occurs in terms of the relationship. Again, the individual doesn't want to get caught, and they are trying to essentially make it appear or seem as if this isn't what other people might think it is.
Q. You did mention just, at least slightly, some

```
of the things that constitute grooming. Is there such
things as emotional grooming as well?
    A. Absolutely.
    Q. At my request and Ms. Rhoades request, did you
review documents regarding the case of State of Nevada vs.
Josh Honea?
```

    A. I did.
    Q. Did you review the preliminary hear
    transcript, the police reports, and the voluntary
statement of Morgan Savage?
A. I did.
Q. You did that at my request?
A. Yes.
Q. You are being compensated for purposes of
reviewing those documents and coming to court today and
rendering an opinion; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you review those documents?
A. I did.
Q. Just generally give us your view of what you
saw?
A. Certainly.
So, I really believe, felt, saw from the very
beginning that this was grooming. There was a -- just
from -- it's a very long complicated many year process, so
there is a lot of information. So I know you said be general, so I'll try to be as general as possible. At the end of the day, I believe it starts with the young lady who was getting in trouble at school. In my experience victims are usually chosen because of vulnerability. There's an element that the individual picks up on that the child is vulnerable.

The grooming started with the attention and the time at the school. I believe the Defendant was a counselor, if you will, a guidance counselor, had some type of role in this school. And then there was continued contact and communication at the school, then phone numbers were exchanged.

So the victim reported hours, and hours, and hours of continued contact, discussion, communication. I have no idea what was discussed, but I can only imagine what was indicated. I believe that progressed to a request for a kiss. So there was a -- that is that subtle boundary testing to see if all of this behavior will result in sexual contact. I believe the kiss was granted.

Then it progresses to continued contact, rides to soccer. All of this is being done, from my understanding, in secret so the child's mother has no idea what's going on. I don't believe there is a father in the home. That's another dynamic $I$ see. It's oftentimes a single
parent household because, again, there's another level of vulnerability there.

Oftentimes that parent is going to believe this individual is taking a special interest in my child. They're lacking that. So the parent is happy, they're excite to have this individual in their child's life, not understandings what is going on behind the scenes.
Q. I would like to ask you about the early on things you reviewed regarding the hours, and hours of speaking on the phone, those types of things.

Is it unusual for the grooming process to start just with verbal communication between offender and a child?

MS. MCNEILL: I'll object to leading. There has been a lot of leading with Mr. Pacult.

THE COURT: I viewed the initial questioning to be foundational to get to the line of questioning we're getting to. At this point open-ended questions are appropriate.

MS. KOLLINS: I'm just trying to direct him to a point. I will let him express what his opinion is.

THE COURT: Is it possible for you to rephrase that question. It was somewhat leading. BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. I would like to discuss the notion of
communication at the early stages of the grooming process, things that are not physical or involve physical touching but grooming in terms of communication. Do you have an opinion on that based on your clinical experience whether that is usual, unusual, part of the process, not part of the process?
A. Certainly.

So each case is different. I have been involved in literally thousands of cases. I've conducted thousands of risk assessments on juvenile offenders so I've read thousands of victim statements. I have worked with hundreds of victims, thousands of offenders. I see all different types of things. It's not in any way, shape, or form uncommon. It is, in this case, I believe it was the way of circumventing being in physical contact in order for that to happen. The contact is often done, as $I$ have seen this day and age, it's done with phone and social media, texting, pictures, all different types of things put essentially it's a way that obviously the child is able to engage in that contact and communication with probably little or no chance of discovery. Again, depending on the parent's level of involvement common. But again incredibly common.
Q. One thing you reviewed is that the physical contact began with a kiss; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. In terms of testing boundaries, do you have any opinion on how that relates to testing boundaries?
A. Absolutely.

So if that child was not receptive then the individual will then -- they could try to continue grooming, or they may realize that this person is not going to be receptive. If there were to ever to be a statement, I'll get in trouble. My mom told me I can't date older boys. Anything along those lines to where that individual would realize that the brakes are being put on. They may continue to try to groom or they may stop altogether and possibly move on to another individual.
Q. Up to this point the things you reviewed and we've just talked about, would you assess that as positive grooming or negative grooming or something different?
A. Certainly.

So in the context of grooming I'll usually define it in two basic areas. You've got nice grooming, if you will, positive grooming, good grooming. And then you have negative grooming.

A quick overview of positive grooming, gives attention, praise, affection, trips, food, dinner, all the good stuff. Everything that is going to make that person closer to you, endear to you, want to spend more time with
you. They are being -- they're being given gifts, getting attention. They're getting all of this type of stuff, affection, what have you. Not necessarily, again, non-sexual at this point. There may be sexual communication in terms of discussion or entry of joke or innuendo or picture or something like that because, again, because they're introducing that subject and testing boundaries. So that's a general overview of positive grooming.

Negative grooming, you have put-downs. You have threats. You have cases where you'll never see your mom, again. I'm going to hurt your animals. I'll hurt you, your brother, your family. Anything along those lines that is perceived as a threat to that child's safety, their family, their well-being, their welfare. Anything along those lines.

There can be -- I have seen, again, in all different type of cases, I have seen a singular variety. I have seen both a combination of the two. So it's really, again, like $I$ stated earlier each case is very unique and has its own merits in looking at the grooming process.
Q. I would like to ask you right there. Do you have an opinion as to whether both -- what you described as positive grooming and negative grooming -- contribute
to a child's willingness to come forward?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you give us that opinion?
A. Absolutely.

Like I stated earlier, the definition of grooming, when that child has -- when that relationship has been established, that child is not going to want to report what's happening. Whether, again, because they're getting positive attention, praise, gifts, rewards, time, trips, what have you, they don't want the give that up, so they're going to go ahead and continue the sexual behavior in lieu of getting that.

Obviously, the flip side of that with the negative grooming, the mean grooming, they're going to want to continue that. They have to continue that because they are in fear, and they have, now again, feel responsible for the behavior. Now they feel responsible for their parent's well-being, or child's well-being, or animal's well-being and that's an immensely tremendous amount of stress and pressure on a child. That's why sexual abuse often goes unreported for days, weeks, months, or years. That ties into delayed disclosures. There is all different elements that occur here due to grooming when it's effectively been done on a child.
Q. After the kiss that we spoke about, can you
tell us in your opinion what other behaviors you saw in this case that are grooming and why.
A. Certainly.

So, to do move forward in a sequential order, In think will probably be most helpful, that moves forward to requests for pictures.

So, again, we have that sexual element, wanting naked pictures of the victim. I leave the Defendant sent a picture of his genitalia to the victim. So, again, testing boundaries. There was a request for sex. And this individual essentially consents to that when they didn't initially want to consent. I believe there was a verbal threat of something might happen. That's a very vague type of thing unless this child has no idea what could or couldn't happen. So therefore, she I believe felt pressure. She felt essentially, again, like she had to do this, but there was also because of this -- I'm perceiving it as a boyfriend/girlfriend type relationship, so she now wants to please this individual. This is a situation where she's feeling more mature. She's got this attention, so that kind of moves on.

Then there's a lot of pressure in that way. In the meantime, as it progresses there's continued taking out, buying of food, going to shows, things of that nature, about all of the positive grooming, the nice grooming.

There it moves forward to where the child's parent is involved, and it's been stated that they're just best friends. So we now have this friendship dynamic going on. As we move forward when the Defendant is trying to --essentially if the child is not going to meet or is resistant, then there's pressure. There's anger. So now, again, that ties into the fear. That ties into, I'm not sure what I should do, bit I'm going to go ahead and I'll do it because there is threats and pressure.

You also have an age differential a power differential. The fact that Defendant was in the Metro Explorer program I believe was a significant dynamic in terms of power, in terms of just the entire grooming element. So this individual genuinely believes, I believe, was told at one point in the process that I can get you -- I can get your mom in trouble because he knows you're smoking marijuana and drinking. So one of biggest elements I see in grooming cases involving children is when their parents are threatened, when their parents' freedom, their life is threatened.

Again, if you just eliminate everything we're talking about, just look at how a child looks up to their parent and is completely dependant on their parent, now they feel, again, the grooming process, that what they've done is contributing to that. They are now responsible
for that. So they are going to continue that, whatever is requested, because they're in fear that their parent is potentially going to be harmed. It continues to progress.

It moves on to all different elements of -- I don't want to use coercion, but there was a statement made that the victim indicated that she had no friends. The Defendant was all I had. So it's akin to how I've likened it to a domestic violence type of relationship, where this individual, most people on the outside looking in people wonder why does that person, why does that general woman stay with that man, despite being beaten, battered things along those lines. Why didn't she leave him. Why didn't they report. Why didn't they show up for court. Why, why, why, there's all these questions. That's because of that power and control dynamic that is in place.

So when that relationship is groomed to a point where they've been entrusted with this relationship -- I believe there's a phone call when everything starts to kind of disintegrate here and there was a phone call with, I'm not sure detectives and the victim, communication with the Defendant essentially saying I've trusted you with this. If you don't tell, no victim no crime, these are all the elements that when the possibility existed that there was discovery the initial elements of that
relationship were all put into place. So I believe there was driving by the victim's home. Essentially a stalking, which is akin to the domestic violence piece. There's fear there. There's the child's mother I believe is contacted by the Defendant when the victim wouldn't respond to this individual's texts and phone calls. Can I please have your daughter respond to me. I believe the Defendant was able to convince --

MS. MCNEILL: Your Honor, this is just a narrative and not responsive to the question.

THE COURT: It would help to break up the testimony so we don't lapse into a narrative. It is still responsive to the question. Overruled on that ground.

I'll ask the State to ask a follow-up question, and I'll the questioning to proceed. BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. I'll ask a question to finish up the concept you were explaining. Is that okay?
A. Yes.
Q. You were addressing the notion of control and domination regarding the disclosure part of the case you reviewed. Is that accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. How does the grooming notion contribute to what you saw in this case?
A. So essentially because of the level of grooming and the power and control, it certainly appeared as if both the child and the mother were at the Defendant's control and direction in that regard.

The child wanted to stay at one school. The Defendant was able to convince the mother apparently to switch schools where the Defendant had relatives possibly. I'm not sure. Maybe went there. I'm not sure. But there was more control in that regard.

So, again, it's essentially all grooming in order to maintain the relationship to try and ensure secrecy. And, again, not have any form of disclosure. And if there is denial, deny it. Nothing is going to happen. Because if you don't make a statement, there is nothing.

There was an initial investigation and there was denial, so obviously that to me was grooming accomplished People were starting to look at things. And once there was a denial, there was a belief that it was going to go away. So that was the ultimate element of getting to that point.
Q. In regards to that initial denial, were you aware in your review in this case that Mr . Savage began to communicate with the Defendant after that denial about the investigative process? Were you aware of that notion?
A. I believe so.
Q. In terms of grooming is that unusual?
A. No, absolutely not.
Q. You spoke about control a little bit. Control in regards to, at least the disclosure and going to school. Were there other things you viewed in this case that were evident to you where Defendant's actions that tried to take control of Ms. Savage?
A. There were elements related to her friendships. There were elements relate to, I believe, her clothing, social media. At one point when there was, I believe, more freedom and she had started to date and the Defendant realized there was a post, I belive, of another young man kissing her, reached out to this young man in a capacity of his Explorer involvement with Metro. I belive there was a police scanner in the background or heard, so now we're talking essentially, in my opinion, an intimidation, which is tied into the power and control dynamic, fear. It continues to sever any relationship that is akin to a domestic violence dynamic to where that individual is isolated and under the complete and total control of the perpetrator in that regard. So no friends, limited communication with family every aspect of their life is under this micro-management of this individual.
Q. What about the types of things communicated to her about the risks and ruining his career and things like
that. Do you have an opinion about those?
A. Absolutely.

So that opinion essentially back to the original description of grooming, getting the child to feel responsible for the relationship. If you tell, my life is over. My career is over. Everything is over. So, again, immense guilty, immense pressure, immense responsibility on that child to maintain that secret so that this individual can continue to move on in this career path he was on throughout much of this relationship with the victim in the case.
Q. So in terms of a kid that's been groomed, you spoke about the notion of guilt. Can you just speak on that just a little?
A. Certainly.

I referenced it earlier, but it's, again, just the concept of the responsibility, feeling responsible for the relationship. So, at the end of the day if that child feels this level of guilt they are going to go ahead and continue to comply with whatever is asked of them.
Q. What about the notion of birth control? MS. MCNEILL: Objection. Vague. MS. KOLLINS: I'm trying not to lead. THE COURT: But you can -- you tied your other questions into a context and certain aspects.

BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Dr. Pacult, what about the Defendant convincing this 13,14 year old she needs to relinquish the use of condoms and start using birth control?
A. It's all again power and control dynamic in terms of being able to manipulate this individual to continue to just satisfy their sexual appetite in that regard. Again, reduce the possibility of detection. Obviously pregnancy would result in a significant question. And obviously this would lead to potentially discovery. The use of birth control in that regard is another element of controlling the victim.

Like I said, it seemed to be almost every aspect of her life was under control. Until she got a little bit older and realized, after initially denying that nothing was occurring, then I think, again, I've seen this in many cases where there's a realization that this wasn't okay. I don't like this. I don't like being controlled. I'm getting older. I'm able to have freedom to do different things. There was that step back and that's what led to the full disclosure in extreme detail of everything from the time they met in middle school all the way to the essentially the last sexual encounter in extreme detail, places, times, locations. It was an incredibly detailed voluntary statement and preliminary hearing.

```
MS. MCNEILL: He is vouching.
THE COURT: I will sustain that.
```

BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. In that regard when you say a kid realizes that this isn't correct and they decide to come forward, have you in your practice seen kids after they've come forward with a detailed recitation of what happened recant their testimony?
A. I have.
Q. Is it sometimes or often?
A. In my experience, again, part of -- as I explained, a lot of what $I$ do is more on the evaluative forensic side in that regard.

I worked with a young child who reported that she had been the victim of sexual abuse. I've counseled her for months. I went to a family court hearing where she was going to testify. I was there for support.

I had every belief she was going to report what had occurred. Then she walked out and had recanted and didn't want anything more to do with it. So I see that happen quite often. More so in family type dynamics. It really, again, depends on the relationship, who's involved and what's involved. But recantation is an element of sexual abuse because it becomes so overwhelming for the child to have to deal with it, they don't want to deal with it
anymore. So it's easier to say it didn't happen. I don't want to talk about it anymore and maybe it will just go away, which is magical thinking. Children don't understand the magnitude of essentially what's occurred so they go ahead and recant. And, again, recantation is a rather well-known aspect of sexual abuse dynamics, certainly.
Q. Mr. Pacult, can you speak to the notion that grooming contributes to the courtroom experience for a kid?
A. Yes.

So when that child has been groomed to a point it's different. But if there has been months or years of grooming, once that child has to face the accuser they -again, they don't want to deal with it. There's fear, shame, guilt, embarrassment. They just want it to essentially go away and/or the kinds of old tapes continue.

Again, in this case the promise ring and promise of marriage and things along those lines, so now that the victim is of age there's possibly a belief system maybe if I don't cooperated with all of this this person is going to still want be with me. We're still going to have this magical life that was promised to me when $I$ was a very young child that absolutely knew nothing about boys, about
sex, about relationships, about anything. Now they are in a courtroom having to detail some of the most embarrassing elements. Again, that's back to that feeling of responsibility. They feel responsible for what has taken place. They feel responsibility for the Defendant sitting where he is sitting. So, therefore, they are going to recant. They don't want to deal with it.
Q. It's kind of two-fold. They don't want to deal with it. There's still this -- I'm just trying to clarify. I'm not trying to lead you on this kind of romanticized notion that everything is going to come up roses at some point. Or still cares about him.
A. Obviously, without having interviewed the victim, $I$ don't know quite what is going on in her head. But having been involved in a lot of cases over the years, clinical judgment indicates it's probably one or both.
Q. What I would like to talk about briefly is if you will you spoke about him having continued his grooming efforts with her mom kind of injecting himself into that life. Is that kind of what you said earlier?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that an unusual phenomenon with the notion of grooming that the offender would ingratiate themselves around he or she?
A. Absolutely not. That is a critical element to
be able to gain access to somebody's child. That is what I have seen, unless it's a complete stranger danger type of case, which is the exception and not the rule in sexual abuse. Normally the perpetrator is known to the victim or the victim's family in some way, shape, or form. There's not usually many degrees of separation. That's why it's usually a family member, a close friend. You've people who are entrusted with children's safety and care -teachers, coaches, law enforcement, doctors, you name it. Anybody that has that type of position of power, trust, and authority.

In order to be able to take somebody's child on a trip, to be able to take somebody's child anywhere that parent has to trust that individual. That's there's no bad intention and that's they're going to MacDonald's because they're going to get a happy meal or whatever they're going for, not sexual intercourse.

The parent has no idea. It's just continued grooming and various different ways. Each case has its own merits, but that's a critical component. And there was also, again, once the investigation got started the individuals -- I don't think colleague is the right word -- the commanders of the Explore program had the perception they were best friends. I believe the victim told her mom that the Defendant was homosexual. So
completely off the radar of possibility that there would by anything going on.

Grooming of the individuals in the Explore program to believe this was a mentor. This is we're just friends. Grooming of the parents. Grooming of the environment. When the Defendant took the victim to locations to have sex, that was making sure that there was nobody around. That security wasn't driving around. It's a secluded area. That's grooming of the environment. Again, don't want to get caught. Got to keep it a secret. That's all grooming.
Q. Indulge me just a moment, Mr. Pacult, please.
A. Absolutely.
Q. Thank you. Did you review the part of the report including the police report and voluntary statement and the preliminary hearing transcript that discussed when Ms. Savage began to distance herself from Mr. Honea in later 2014 early 2015?
A. I did.
Q. Do you have any commentary on what, if any, of Mr. Honea's behaviors, after that effort to block him, were in terms of the grooming comments on that?
A. I think I might have touched on it earlier in terms of reaching out to the mother to speak to her daughter. There were I believe drive-bys of the
residence. So there were continued efforts to make contact with the victim to regain power and control of the relationship, the sexual contact. So it was something that was obvious that despite her efforts, despite the Defendant seeing she wanted to have age appropriate friendships, age appropriate boyfriend, that that was not going to be allowed. And he was going to continue to pursue her, essentially by any means necessary, to regain that power and control.

That is probably where the switching of the schools came into play as well. Which was very remarkable that he was able to convince a child's mother to switch schools based upon a rather young adult's advice and opinion as to why that should have happened. To me it just spoke to and I mentioned complete and total control akin to a domestic violence type relationship control.
Q. You and I are -- and I appreciate that. I didn't stop you, but you and I are referring to two different time frames in which Morgan tried to cut off contact with Mr. Honea.

Before, and I'm referring to kind of the end of everything in January 2015. Okay. I know it's the same winter months a couple of years before you're discussing. I'm talking about the end of, I guess, their relationship December 14, January 2015 where the same conduct kind of
occurs. Do you have those two distinct in your head.
A. For some reason I don't.
Q. The notion is just the same. I guess I'll ask you this question?

Those things, the drive-bys, repeated calls, having others make the phone calls to make contact with Morgan, does that -- is that in alignment with the notion of maintaining a secret as it relates to grooming?
A. The entire process is to keep the relationship secret. I read where that was stated by the victim on several occasions that this is to be secret, nobody can find out, this is risky, I could get in trouble, things along those lines. So that $I$ believe is just essentially inherent in everything I described. That secrecy is a key element of the grooming process and maintaining that.
Q. Okay. I'll try to back up here. I have been talking for awhile about the notion of grooming and when it starts at a very young age, you know, pre-teen. Can the effects of it, can they last for years?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Have you seen that based on your clinical experience?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Do you have opinion whether or not separation of time and space from the offender necessarily removes
all of the effects of grooming on a victim?
A. No. This, again, this is probably the term -- word I can use pest is conditioning. So it's repeated conditioning. So when -- regardless of the time frame, that could have gone days, weeks, months or years but those kind of old tapes are going to kick off. They are going to play. All of that stuff is there. And then, again, oftentimes I've seen it to where the victim will kind of revert back into that space in their life because it gets stunted, if you will, it gets stuck a little bit because of how things that weren't supposed to happen happened. Children aren't supposed to be exposed to things like that at that age. They're not equipped to be in an adult relationship. They're not equipped to engage in sexual behavior in that way from an emotional, psychological standpoint.

So grooming again is very powerful. Conditioning is powerful. And because oftentimes, again, there's delayed disclosures which is a very common dynamic due to grooming. Then what's going to happen is all of that kicks back off to where they remember I promised I wasn't going to tell. I promised I would keep this a secret. I know what it's going to do to that person's life a day, week, month, 5 years down the road. That's still going to continue.
Q. Do you have that same opinion whether or not there's been counseling of the victim?
A. I've experienced it firsthand where the child had counseling to address the sexual abuse she experienced and understood all the proper things that you should do in counseling a child in terms of understanding that it wasn't their fault, that they're no longer at risk, that continuing to deal with the emotional, behavioral, psychological elements that are occurring in their life, treating the symptomatolgy, what have you, rebuilding trust self-esteem all these different things and I've witnessed again firsthand a recantation.
Q. This is my last question. I promise. I don't think I asked you this when $I$ was asking your qualification because $I$ was clock watching How many patients you have treated, seen, evaluated in the course of your 20 year career?
A. I'm on my 20 years private practice. I've been working since 1991 in the mental health field. So probably tens of thousands would be my guess over all of that length of time in patient therapy, group therapy, family therapy evaluations. A lot.
Q. The focus on -- in that practice were sexual victims and sexual offenders?
A. I started in 1992 with children's behavior
services and juvenile sex offenders. So many were victims of sexual abuse. All of them were perpetrators of sexual abuse. So I've been dealing with that dynamic since 1992.

MS. KOLLINS: Thank you, Mr. Pacult.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
THE COURT: Ms. McNeill, when you are ready. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. You indicated do you work for some defense attorneys doing mitigation, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. It's fair to say you have a fairly lengthy relationship with Steven Wolfson of the Clark County District Attorney's office?
A. I've known Steve for quite some time.
Q. Sure. You've contributed money to his wife's campaign as far back as 2002?
A. I don't recall contributing any money to anybody's campaign. I usually don't do that. It's possible, but $I$ normally don't make a practice of that due to trying to keep things as clean and objective as I can. If $I$ did, it was probably a small amount.
Q. Well, since you don't remember would looking at Secretary of State records of campaign contributions of Jackie Glass, Steve Wolfson's wife, refresh your recollection?
A. No. You could show it to me. That would probably refresh it. That would have been, what, 15 years ago.
Q. So your relationship is lengthy then, 15 years at least?
A. Yeah. I would say 15 years.
Q. So it wouldn't refresh your recollection, so I'll tell you. The Secretary of State records indicate you donated $\$ 200.00$ to Jackie Glasses' campaign in 2002?
A. Okay.
Q. It's fair to say that prior to testifying as an expert you have to provide a curriculum vitae?
A. Correct.
Q. Just a fancy word for resume?
A. Yes.
Q. One of the versions of your curriculum vitae you list Mr. Wolfson as a professional reference?
A. That's correct.
Q. Then you have a defense attorney Mr. Terry, so I'll give you credit for that. Then you have Carol Campbell who currently works for the district attorney's
office as well?
A. Correct. As of a few months ago.
Q. Okay. You relationship with Mr. Wolfson is so great you actually list him on your website as giving a testimonial?

MS. KOLLINS: I'll object as argumentative, so great. They have a relationship.

THE COURT: Overruled. This is cross-examination.

MS. MCNEILL: I'll approach the witness.
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. Showing you what's marked as Defense Proposed Q. That is the capture of the page of your website for testimonials correct?
A. Absolutely.

MS. MCNEILL: Move for admission of $Q$.
MS. KOLLINS: No objection.
THE COURT: Q is admitted. You may publish. BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. That is a page of your website, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. The box that's in green, so it fairly highlighted, you can click on that and get a testimonial from Steve Wolfson?

```
A. Correct.
Q. Is it fair to say you have never interviewed
Morgan Savage?
    A. Correct.
    Q. You did not come in and watch her testify?
    A. I did not.
    Q. You've never spoken to her mother?
    A. I have not.
    Q. You've never spoken to her friends?
    A. I have not.
    Q. Her teachers?
    A. I have not.
    Q. You've never spoken to Josh Honea?
    A. I have not.
    Q. And you've never spoken to any of his
family?
    A. I have no.
    Q. So everything you testified to came from
information provided to you by the State?
    A. Correct.
    Q. You don't know anything about Morgan Savage's
emotional development?
    A. No.
    Q. Physical development?
    A. No.
```

Q. Those are important when you are evaluating, I guess, a child's ability to understand things of a sexual nature, correct?
A. Depending on the age.
Q. Sure. You'd agree with me that kids all develop differently?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Some start menstruating before others?
A. I believe so.
Q. That is controlled by hormones. So some are going to have different hormones at different ages then other girls?
A. I would assume that's correct.
Q. You talked about brain development to the standpoint of children. Would you agree with me that girls tend to develop faster then boys emotionally?
A. That appears to be the case generally.
Q. Do you have any background in brain development of humans?
A. Formal training, no.
Q. So your opinions are based on informal
training?
A. Certainly just being abreast of current trends, knowledge, et cetera.
Q. You would agree with me that current research
shows girls brains are developed around the time they're 18?
A. The current research, kind of the new term of emerging adulthood, which is taking a look at the adolescent frontal lobe piece of the brain not really fully developing until 24 or 25 . And that effects elements such as impulse control, consequential thinking, empathy, things along those lines. I haven't seen a distinguishment between the male and female however.
Q. What studies have you read?
A. I can't cite them off the top of my --
Q. So you don't know these -- what the names of these are?
A. No.
Q. I wouldn't be able to review these?
A. No. I could provide them to you after testimony, but I couldn't provide you with testimony to the actual names of the current studies and authors.
Q. I want to talk about grooming. You indicate that based on all of these things you read that were provided by the State it was just clear to you that there was grooming going on, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Your information that you provided to the jury is only as good as the information you received,
correct?
A. Certainly. I can only go off of what is provided to me.
Q. So if the information you received was a lie then what you stated would be inaccurate, right?
A. If it were to be a lie, possibly.
Q. You have no idea or not. You never met Morgan Savage and you weren't present for any of this time period?
A. Correct.
Q. You've been present when someone recanted, but again you're only basing that on that circumstance of a child you actually treated, correct?
A. No.
Q. In that case you treated that child?
A. Yes, in that particular case. But the concept of recantation is very global and well-known concept in sexual abuse and sexual abuse dynamics.
Q. Sure. But my point is you never no if someone's recantation is the truth or a lie, right?
A. No. That's the challenge of this type of work.
Q. Have you ever been involved in a case where there a false allegation made?
A.
I have.
Q. So it happens?
A. It does.
Q. You gave general information in respond to grooming and control dynamics, but all kids are different, right?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Some children respond to the way adults are acting, differently then others, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Some prefer to be in the company of adults?
A. Yes.
Q. Some children who are abused might act out in school, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Some might not?
A. Yes.
Q. Some might tell people, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And some might not?
A. Correct.
Q. There is no way to know if the child's disclosure is accurate without physical evidence?
A. At the end of the day, without some of those pieces, that would be correct.
Q. So I'll ask you questions about that.

You said that one of the pieces was this switching of the schools, right?
A. Right.
Q. The fact that he had managed to convince her mother to switch schools, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you aware that Morgan approached Mr. Honea's mother about switching schools because she was embarrassed that nude photos had been disseminated among the football team from one of her boyfriends?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. That is was in fact because of that embarrassment she asked to switch schools. Are you aware of that?
A. I was not aware of that.
Q. You indicated there was a promise ring, this promise of marriage was something that you considered a grooming technic?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you aware that the promise ring was Morgan's that had been given to her by her mother?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. You indicated there was a promise of marriage. Where did you get that from?
A. That would have been from the declaration of
arrest.
Q. So from a police report, not from Morgan herself?
A. No.
Q. And not from Mr. Honea?
A. No.
Q. You never saw a picture of that ring, correct?
A. No.
Q. You said that one of the ways you believe that you knew there was grooming was because Mr. Honea injected himself into her life with her mother, right, in order to get her mother's trust?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you aware that Ms. Savage was a neglectful mother?
A. I was not aware of that.
Q. Were you aware, did anyone inform you, that Ms. Satisfy had asked Mr. Honea to care for Morgan?
A. I remember one element of, I believe she was out of town and asking the Defendant to check on her.
Q. Were you ever aware that she ever provided him money to baby sit for Morgan?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. Were you aware that it wasn't just Mr. Honea
that was taking care of Morgan, but his mother, his sister but the rest of his family?
A. I'm not recalling that piece of it. Morgan I know was around the Defendant and his family, so -- but, again, not to what degree. I'm not entirely certain.
Q. Okay. You indicated that one of the ways you knew there was this power and control is that she wasn't allowed to have other friends, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you aware that we have seen photos of Morgan with other children her age?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. Were you aware we've seen photos of Morgan with multiple other boys that she was dating?
A. I knew from the discovery provided to me that there was one young man and that there were pictures of, I think, them kissing. But, again, I never saw the picture just knew about it from what was stated.
Q. That was just one, but were you aware that there was multiple boys that she was dating?
A. I don't believe that was part of the discovery.
Q. You mentioned something when you were testifying about the child, they know nothing about sex, correct. That can be a factor in how someone can use that
to manipulate them?
A. Again, I'm not sure of the context, but depending on the age and -- maybe you can be a little more specific.
Q. You were testifying that she knew nothing about sex at some point prior to this. That that would be something that someone can use?
A. I think if $I$ can recall probably the vain of that line of response was along the lines of not understanding what sex is at that age so on and so forth. There would believe to be assumption that at 11 or 12 and based on what Morgan stated, that she was a virgin and didn't know how to perform oral sex, that to me suggested she knew what it was. Kids are going to know what it is in middle school this day and age and hear about sex. I don't want to use slang, oral sex, and things along those lines. But she indicated she never had sex and never performed oral sex until the Defendant.
Q. Were you aware that Morgan has testified that she at a very young age saw her father having sex?
A. I didn't see any of her testimony.
Q. So you weren't aware of that?
A. No.
Q. That would go to what her knowledge of sex would be?
A. Certainly.
Q. Were you aware Morgan testified she menstruated at an early age?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. That would go along with sexual development, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you aware that Morgan testified that she felt more sexually mature than other girls her age? MS. KOLLINS: In this case that mischaracterizes the testimony. She said she felt more mature than the Defendant.

THE COURT: The court's recollection was in comparison to the Defendant. BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. That's fair. I have two questions and mixed the two.

Were you aware she testified she felt more sexually mature then the Defendant?
A. I'm not aware of any of her testimony, if you are referring to court.
Q. Yeah. You're not aware of that?
A. I wasn't sure unless it's the prelim or voluntary statement.
Q. No, but you're not aware of that?
A. No.
Q. Were you aware that her friends testified that Morgan was more grown up then her other friends?
A. No.
Q. I want to talk to you more about grooming. You said this is something that someone would do to instill trust, right, especially meaning nice grooming more than mean grooming?
A. Yes.
Q. Things that someone will do for the child to get the child to trust them?
A. Correct.
Q. Part of the reason they want the child to trust them is they are going to manipulate the child to act in a way they want the child to act?
A. Part of it.
Q. One of the things you said was --I'm sorry I have a cold.

Was that they will build a friendship with a child?
A. Yes.
Q. They'll try to act like they're buds?
A. Correct.
Q. Friending that person on social media and acting like you are buddies?
A. It depends on the context.
Q. What about liking the person's pictures on social media, making comments on the child's social media. That's sort of suggesting that we are friends, right?
A. Again, I think it really depends on the relationship dynamic. I'm not sure if you're referring specifically to the Defendant and --
Q. Just in general. If you are trying to instill trust and establish a friendship, one of the ways you might do that would be to friend the person on Facebook?
A. I'm not on Facebook so I don't know. I'm familiar with it, but -- so I don't really kind of know that -- I know you are supposed to -- how many like you get and friends and all that jazz, but I don't really know if that is going -- I can't draw that line because $I$ don't participate in social media in that way.
Q. You talk to Ms. Kollins since your testimony in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. Did she tell you she friended Morgan Savage on Facebook?
A. Yes.
Q. You knew that question was coming?
A. I had no idea what you were going to ask me.
Q. You indicated that one of the ways that you
might groom a child is to buy food, right?
A. It can be.
Q. What about offering shelter if they're
homeless?
A. Again, it depends on the context.
Q. Offering to put them up in a hotel if they have no where else to stay?
A. Same thing, it's all contextual.
Q. Okay. Again, you've spoken to Ms. Kollins, right?
A. I have.
Q. Did she disclose all of the things she did for Ms. Savage?
A. We didn't go into details.
Q. What about offering to put the person in rehab if they have a substance abuse problem? And again I know you're going to say it's contextual, so let me give you the context.

All of these things $I$ just asked you about, is it fair to say would be things you would do to try to build a rapport with someone, right, to show you're a helpful individual and can provide things to them they might need.
A. Well, I'll say as a licensed clinical social worker, I advocate for clients and advocate in a report to 5 or 6 different things for that individual's well-being
and benefit.
So, I mean, I genuinely believe that I don't think I was grooming or trying to establish a trusting, per se, relationship with the client. I was just doing what I believe as a clinical social worker knowing that that individual has a substance abuse problem as a direct correlation to their current life circumstance to make that professional recommendation in that way.
Q. It's weird because that's not what I asked you. What I asked you was doing those kinds of things for someone would tend to build trust, right?

You just testified on direct that something someone might do to groom someone is buy food. Now you're saying you're not sure about that, right?
A. I'm not saying I'm not sure. I'm basically saying that it really depends what the context of that relationship is. That's why I testified to earlier that every case really is on its own merits. Each situation is on its own merits. I don'ts know that $I$ can draw that line in that way.

MS. MCNEILL: Court's indulgence.
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. All of those things I asked you about that you previously testified could be grooming, it all depends on
the context, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So you would agree with me that the context of your answers are grooming when the State asked you about it, but not grooming when $I$ asked you about it?
A. No.
Q. You wouldn't agree with that?
A. No.

MS. MCNEILL: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Ms. Kollins.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Mr. Pacult, prior to Steve Wolfson becoming the appointed district attorney, are you aware what he did for a living?
A. Absolutely.
Q. He was a private defense attorney. Do you know what year Mr. Wolfson was appointed as district attorney? I mean the head guy, name on paper, that whole thing?
A. Probably 2 to 3 years ago -- 3 to 4 . I don't know exactly. He was recently up for reelection, I know that.

MS. KOLLINS: I would ask the court to take judicial of the date, if they don't know.

THE COURT: If we have that date available as part of public records the court will take judicial notice of it.

MS. KOLLINS: It's February 2012.
THE COURT: The court will take judicial notice of the appointed date.

MS. KOLLINS: Thank you.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Your relationship with Mr. Wolfson that was pointed out by defense counsel, that began when he was a defense attorney?
A. Would you like me to -- yes, yes.
Q. And if you know was Mr. Wolfson a defense attorney for more years than he has been the appointed district attorney for the last 5?
A. Yes.
Q. When did your relationship begin?
A. Probably been around that -- I went into private practice in '98, so I started coming -- I was first contracted as a psychology sexual evaluator for the State in 1999. So spending more time I become acquainted with Mr. Wolfson and his wife Jackie Glass at the Court House Cafe. I met them then began doing work -- clinical work for them. We practiced together at that time. And so it goes back to the early 2000 s. I provided a $\$ 200.00$
campaign contribution. It's probably the last I provided for the record.
Q. While Mr. Wolfson was a defense attorney?
A. Yes.
Q. So all those questions -- so many questions that Ms. McNeill asked you. Are you a medical doctor?
A. I'm not.
Q. If, in fact, in what you reviewed if Ms.

Pamela Savage was a neglectful parent such that Morgan spent a lot of time on her own, would that contribute at all in your opinion to Morgan's vulnerability in a situation like this?
A. Yes.
Q. There were questions about food and hotels and offers of rehab. You haven't been in here for this trial, correct?
A. I have not.
Q. So when Ms. McNeill asked you, isn't it true you would do all those things to gain someone's trust, remember those things?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said, well, it depends on the context?
A. Yes.
Q. You are unaware of the efforts that were taken
by the district office --
MS. MCNEILL: Objection, leading.
MS. KOLLINS: She asked questions during --
THE COURT: Overruled.
MS. MCNEILL: It dDoesn't change her obligations to direct and not lead.

THE COURT: I don't disagree, Ms. McNeill. However, $I$ 'm going to allow foundation to be laid for this line of questioning that's necessary here.

You may proceed, Ms. Kollins.
MS. KOLLINS: Thank you.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. You are unaware what's transpired in the courtroom regarding efforts to get Morgan to court. You don't have anything to do with that?
A. Absolutely nothing.
Q. So the questions that were directed to you regarding the hotel room and providing a meal at Jack-in-the-Box and an offer of a hotel room, that never came to fruition, and an offer of rehab that never came to fruition, you can't really comments on why those things were offered out of context, can you really?
A. No. That is essentially what I testified to that every situation is unique and has its own merits. And obviously, the term grooming, it's a very -- the
beauty of the English language, grooming can be taken in terms of hygiene, in terms of sexual abuse, in terms of grooming an athlete to -- what have you.

So, yeah, there is a lot of different contexts to it.
Q. I guess my question is those things that we talked about getting a hotel, buying a meal, those could be grooming in the context we're speaking of today, if the ultimate nature or goal was to sexually abuse a kid, right?
A. Yes. I wouldn't classify that as grooming.
Q. Okay. MS. KOLLINS: Nothing further for Mr. Pacult. THE COURT: Ms. McNeill. MS. MCNEILL: Yes.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. Mr. Pacult, you indicated that prior to Mr . Wolfson becoming the district attorney Ms. Kollins pointed to you he was a district attorney, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But his job has nothing to do with your relationship, right?
A. That is how we met.
Q. But his job doesn't have anything to do with
how you feel about him, right? Your relationship with him as friends?
A. Yeah. It's two different things.
Q. And you are aware that prior to becoming a defense attorney he was actually a federal prosecutor, correct?
A. Not off the top, yeah, I don't know his CV.
Q. If I told you you would have no reason to think $I$ was lying?
A. None.
Q. Were you aware he was not only appointed, but had to run for election since being appointed?
A. At present, yes.
Q. You indicated that you can't -- the questions I asked about you couldn't offer context, but you offered context for the State and that context was purely from information provided by the State?
A. In relationship to clear allegations of sexual abuse.
Q. Well, clear allegations is your opinion, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You can't be certain about that, right?
A. One hundred percent certain, no.
Q. That's what certain means, one hundred
percent, right?
A. Based on my clinical judgment and experience of 20 -plus years of doing this type of work, rather certain things took place that were inappropriate that were grooming.
Q. You ever been wrong when you testified, get it wrong?
A. Concerning my opinion and judgment of a case?
Q. Yeah.
A. I don't think my opinion has been wrong.
Q. Isn't it fair to say that one of the cases you listed on your curriculum vitae -MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, I'm going object. THE COURT: The basis of your objection. MS. KOLLINS: Hearsay. THE COURT: May I have counsel at the bench. (Discussion held at the bench.)

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. But with our discussion, if you wish to further inquire, you may.

MS. MCNEILL: Thank you, your Honor. I'll move on.

BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. Ms. Kollins asked you if you were aware of what transpired to get Morgan to court?
A. Yes.
Q. You indicated you were unaware of that?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you aware the State had a material witness warrant issued and Morgan testified from jail?
A. No.
Q. Were you aware that Morgan's testimony took 5 days?
A. I knew it took a long time. I wasn't certain how many days. It took a long time.
Q. Were you aware that despite putting her in jail when questioned on the stands for 5 days, she still admitted that what she said previously was a lie?

MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, I object. We've laid the foundation he wasn't here. MS. MCNEILL: Your Honor, it's expert testimony. I'm allowed a hypothetical.

THE COURT: There's been a significant amount of questioning about what he knows or doesn't know about her testimony. Overruled. I'll allow it.

BY MS. MCNEILL:
Q. Were you aware of that?
A. The outcome, in generality it wasn't discussed in any detail, so $I$ wasn't told that there was a change in -- a recantation.
Q. You indicated that sometimes a recantations is done because it's easier. They don't want to have to deal with it?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it easier to be put in a jail cell then to be put out on the street?
A. I would take the street.
Q. Do you think it's easy to be questioned by the State for 5 days?
A. Absolutely, not.
Q. So, again, just to be clear. When Mr. Honea bought Morgan food that was grooming?
A. In the entire context of 4 years, I would qualify that as grooming.
Q. When Ms. Kollins did it it was not grooming?
A. That is correct.
Q. You indicated Ms. Kollins asked you that grooming is done with the goal to get someone to have sex with you, right?
A. Well, in the terms of why I'm here, what I do professionally, what my knowledge base is concerning grooming, then that is what we're talking about. It's not necessarily sexual intercourse, it can be a variety of different sexual acts. There hands-on and hands-off. There's a variety of things that can occur.
Q. But that's what she asked you, was that grooming. The reason it was grooming was because he could have sex with her, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But it's fair to say -- and by your answer -by you saying that's you job is to testify about grooming whether there's been sex or not?
A. Yes.
Q. There are all kinds of grooming that people do in their daily lives to achieve results?
A. Yes.
Q. Parents have to groom their children?
A. Right.
Q. So fair to say that perhaps Ms. Kollins' behavior was grooming to get Morgan to testify?
A. I think that's probably the State's job, I would assume.
Q. There's getting her to testify and there's getting her to say what they want, right?
A. That $I$ don't know.

MS. MCNEILL: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Ms. Kollins, anything further.
MS. KOLLINS: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. May I see by a show of hands if the jurors have any questions. I see no hands
from the jurors.
Mr. Pacult, you are excused. Don't forget your bag.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: Now that we've concluded --
MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, we have -- we
didn't -- we weren't sure --
THE COURT: I'll explain to the jurors.
It's difficult to predict how long testimony will take. We are a little short of where we thought we'd finish, but there's not enough time to get another witness for the day, so what we are going to do is take our evening recess.

We'll convene tomorrow, as it is Friday. We'll be able to provide a full day. The court does not have a calendar.

So we do appreciate the jurors returning tomorrow at 9:00.

## JURY ADMONITION

During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties and witnesses, on any subject connected with this trial, or any other case referred to during it, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or
any person connected with this trial, or any such other case by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, internet or radio.

You are further admonished not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

See you tomorrow at 9:00. Have a good night.
(Jurors excused for the evening.)
THE COURT: I have a bench to put in the record. During the testimony of Mr. Pacult there was an objection regarding questions -- objection posed by Ms. Kollins to Ms. McNeill's questioning with regard to whether the witness had been wrong in his response in his assessment of whether someone had been, I assume, guilty of a crime, but it wasn't phrased that way. It was whether his opinions regarding whether he was wrong. The witness' answer was he's not aware that his opinion had been wrong.

The follow up then was appeared to be an effort impeach with asking about not guilty verdicts, one or more, in cases in which Mr. Pacult testified. There was some discussion at the bench whether that could be deemed to be a causal connection between whether he was wrong and the outcome of trials based on trial outcomes being based on a number of factors and no way to tie it into being
certain that his opinion was in fact wrong.
I allowed Ms. McNeill to proceed with the line of questioning.

Ms. Kollins, anything to add.
MS. KOLLINS: Just briefly, your Honor. When I posed the objection I said hearsay. That was the wrong thing to come out of my mouth. It was relevant because when we got to the bench that was my issue, the causal connection between him rendering an opinion and the outcome and other factors that led to that verdict. So to the extent I misspoke, I apologize. The objection was lodged timely.

THE COURT: Ms. McNeill, anything to add. MS. MCNEILL: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. See you all at 9:00.
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MS. MCNEILL: Good morning. The State provided us with exhibits to attempt to admit from the computer forensic examiner. I don't believe some are admissible. I need to make a record of them.

THE COURT: Do I need to see them.
MS. MCNEILL: I think there is only one copy.
MS. RHOADES: These or all exhibits I intend to use with the next witness. I provided them to defense so they could go through them all before --

THE COURT: What is the range of numbers so I have that for my record.

MS. MCNEILL: As far as exhibits, they are giving them to clerk. We have to look at them. So this is State's Proposed 55. There are multiple items within it I have objections to. I don't think this is State's Proposed 80 that have multiple items, some of which I don't believe are admissible. State's Proposed 108 and State's Proposed 79.

I think 56-A contains the items in 55, so the same things I don't believe are admissible in 55 would also be on 56-A.

THE COURT: So let me make sure I understand. At least a couple of these are numbered where they have sub-parts.

MS. RHOADES: No. Some of them are packets of information -- of images on the IPad for the jury so we didn't have to worry about putting stuff on the computer going to each individual thing. So I made a document and I printed it out as exhibits.

THE COURT: Multiple pages.
MS. RHOADES: It does, your Honor.
THE COURT: They'll still have to be marked in pages.

MS. RHOADES: I think it can be marked as one exhibit because it's a packet.

THE COURT: I'll find out.
MS. MCNEILL: I'm not sure who is in the courtroom. I don't know if this is appropriate to do in front of a potential witness.

MS. KOLLINS: No. That's a civil attorney watching a criminal case. We just introduced ourselves.

THE COURT: Should we have an example of what is not admissible, Ms. McNeill, so we can talk about it for a minute before we can get the numbers.

MS. MCNEILL: I tagged the things I'm going to have to address. I can do it at the bench.

THE COURT: Well, I guess the way to do it is help me understand the general idea so we can take a sampling so to speak. If it turns out my ultimate determination is to let things in or not, if we have to make a subsequent record we can do that. But \(I\) just want to get feel for what we're talking about. Is it a cumulative objection, relevancy objection.

MS. MCNEILL: Some of them are relevance some are hearsay. Some of them potentially have bad acts. Some are collateral. A host of objections.

THE COURT: Can you tell me now, if you know, how many actual documents you have objections to.

MS. MCNEILL: 14.
THE COURT: What exhibit was that.
MS. MCNEILL: That was total.
In Exhibit 80, I have an objection to 1 of the items.

THE COURT: Let's do that so \(I\) have an idea of what we are talking about.

What is Exhibit 80.
MS. MCNEILL: Exhibit 80 is images from Morgan's
phone. I understand these all came from Morgan's IPhone. Just the fact that they are going to have to lay a foundation where they came from doesn't make the individual photo admissible.

THE COURT: What is objectionable about this photo.

MS. MCNEILL: The State has made a lot of objections to our evidence saying, well, you're trying to make a causal connection from nothing. So they introduce this photo and I see there's a promise ring. There's no foundation for that. They didn't ask Morgan about it when Morgan was on the stand. It's just a photo of Morgan with a ring. It's very similar to the objections they've made to us. That they want to draw some sort of causal connection or allow there to be some sort of inference that this is the promise ring when they don't have anyone to come in and say this is the promise ring. So there's a foundation problem or even if they're going to submit it to the jury and allow the jury to make that inference.

THE COURT: Ms. Rhoades.
MS. RHOADES: The foundation is that came from Morgan's phone. That's the path. That's the photo. There was a question asked yesterday, well, you never saw a picture of a ring. You never saw Morgan with a picture of a ring. So I think it's relevance is a picture of a ring with Morgan. There's no legal basis to preclude that from being admitted.

MS. MCNEILL: Just because they lay foundation -- they can lay a foundation as to where the
photo came from. They need to lay the foundation that it is what they're saying it is.

I would just counter with State's Proposed 108 is this is the ring. So are they saying they're both the promise ring. There's no foundation for what this photo is, but they are going to submit it to the jury to allow them to make a causal connection that doesn't exist. That was literally their objection when \(I\) was asking Dr. Pacult, you can't make a causal connection between things when you don't have any evidence of it.

THE COURT: Here's the thing. I want to keep the objection to this, not a tit for tat. That's not really how this works.

I hear what you're saying, that we have two pictures of her with fancy rings. Is it your intent to argue that one of these is the promise ring. They are different rings.

MS. RHOADES: They are photos of a ring, your Honor, and the jury can make reasonable inference from the evidence. We can ask Morgan's mom, as well, to testify is one of these the ring. Is one of these the ring she gave her. There was testimony that Morgan's mom gave her the ring and she gave it to the Defendant and he gave it back to her. Something like that.

THE COURT: What else have I got. We've got one
ring was 80. Then you showed me pictures of another one.

MS. MCNEILL: This picture is 108. I assume this also came from the hard drive. I'm not sure.

THE COURT: Is that a packet or single.
MS. MCNEILL: Single. Same objection. They're introducing a photo of a ring. There's no foundation that it is what they say it is.

THE COURT: What is 79.
MS. MCNEILL: 79 is a packet of text messages from Joshes' phone. I understand they can lay foundation they're text messages that came form his phone. However, they are text messages to and from people. I'm not sure why they're admitting some people's text messages. If they're offering some of the messages for the truth of the matter, it's hearsay.

MS. RHOADES: They're statements to people.
MS. MCNEILL: But they're other people's messages. It's not just to and from. Any message from someone else is hearsay that they're offering for the truth of what is contained in the text.

THE COURT: The conversation we had along these lines was related to the phone call with the father was that the circumstances were to have the Defendant's statements be those that were admitted and put them into
context. Ms. Rhoades, are there messages from others to Mr. Honea that you are trying to admit for the truth. MS. RHOADES: No, your Honor.

MS. MCNEILL: This entire packet is from Breza Perez, to and from. It's not just Mr. Honea's messages. There are arrows that show who sent it and who received it.

MS. RHOADES: It's a conversation with all those people the Defendant had.

MS. MCNEILL: The other people's words are hearsay, if they're offering those words for the truth of what it is.

THE COURT: I understand the nature of the objection, Ms. McNeill. I'm talking through as far as, again, we overruled the objection yesterday in a much shorter version of conversation obviously, but the circumstance of the Defendant's statements and the fact that it put them into context to understand what they are. If they're not being admitted for the truth, we don't have that hearsay problem as an impediment to this exhibit. So I'm asking Ms. Rhoades, does she intend to introduce those comments for the truth.

This is a thick packet with a lot of pages, are we intending to go through every one of these text messages.

MS. RHOADES: No. I'm going to admit them and these are part of the text messages that were downloaded from Morgan's phone copied from the Defendant's IPhone.

THE COURT: Why not admit the pages that's have the conversation on them that we need.

MS. RHOADES: That's what I'm doing here. These are not all of the texts that were found on the phone.

THE COURT: Every one of these pages -- what is this exhibit again.

MS. RHOADES: 79.
THE COURT: Every one of these pages in 79, which looks fairly thick, is going to have a conversation on it somewhere that you are going to admit.

MS. RHOADES: They are texts from his phone, yes.

MS. MCNEILL: And they're texts, as you can see, this is the one sent. The red arrow is received.

So each of these messages are from another person. I think they need to tell us why each of these -- I mean, just because they're text messages from his phone and his phone is in evidence they are still statements.

THE COURT: They'd still have to be trying to admit them for the truth and they're indicating they're not. I suppose as we go along we have that discussion we can rule on it.

MS. MCNEILL: That's the concern. You can see how many statements there are from other people, so what they're trying to do is this. They're trying to get in hearsay statements for the truth of the matter. I can tell you some of these \(I\) would imagine they do want the information coming in. So if they're admitting it because they want that text message from Breza Perez (ph) or that text message from Wendy Samples (ph) or that text message from Gloria (ph), whoever it is, they are offering it for the truth of the matter. Just because they are trying to offer it as a packet of information and say there's text messages in there too, there's a problem with each and every text message.

MS. RHOADES: None of the other people's statements are offered for the truth of the matter. They're to provide context to the Defendant's statement, which is wholly admissible.

MS. MCNEILL: What are the statements that we're trying to admit.

THE COURT: Give me an example of the page.
MS. RHOADES: Sure.
The text messages to Breza, none of this is admitted for the truth of the matter. They talking about, hey, how are you doing. What's going on. His statements to her start at June 30, 2015. There is no prior text before
that with her. They are relevant just to show the relationship between those two people, not for the truth of the matter that's in the statement or relationship between him and Breza Perez. That's what they're offered to show.

MS. MCNEILL: Some of those would be the truth of the matter. Some of what she's saying is about their relationship.

MS. RHOADES: No, because none of them said, hey, I'm your friend only. Hey, we never had sex. Hey, I didn't loose my virginity to you. None of those statements are in there. It's just to show the context of their relationship.

MS. MCNEILL: There are statements that say things like do you want to fuck. Are you down to fuck. It's being offered to show they were having sex or not having sex.

MS. RHOADES: I don't think they were having sex. That's not a truth of the matter statement, saying are you down to have sex.

I'm sorry. Those statement with Breza go on through June 21st. It's to show the context of the relationship.

THE COURT: It's pretty much what's in that packet that's of value potentially to the jurors is the
communications between the Defendant and Ms. Perez and other people as well.

MS. RHOADES: So Chris Swallia -THE COURT: If that's separate we might be in trouble.

MS. RHOADES: Sorry.
So Chris Swallia, these are only admitted for the Defendant's statements. The others are to show context.

So Morgan says, I changed the plans and went to her mom's work yesterday morning and asked her the same questions SA did. It was very inconsistent, so I can't tell if Morgan is lying or not because it doesn't make sense. So there's conversation back and forth about the investigation, about what Morgan is relaying about the investigation.

Caterina Babbitt, more comments about what Morgan is saying and his feelings about it. His interpretation of it, and how he's really stressed out about it and how he's really scared about it. That is the what those conversations with Caterina are being admitted for, his statements.

THE COURT: Hold on.
MS. RHOADES: Lauren -- Larry Samples, Happy Independence Day. Thanks Buddy. You too.

Those aren't offered for the truth of the matter. It's offered to show the relationship between him and Larry Samples and how recently. July 4, 2015, they were having friendly conversation because there has been all of these questions about how everybody is so shady and out to get Mr. Honea and everybody wants him to get in trouble with Metro.

Lauren, his sister, more conversation about Morgan. More conversation from Josh to Lauren about what Morgan is telling him. Texts from Morgan just showing from June 25th, 2015 only through July 6th, 2015 these are all texts between him and Morgan. It's not offered for the truth. Just for conversation and what the conversation was like during that time.

MS. MCNEILL: Your Honor, maybe it's me, but if you're telling me I'm offering this person's statement to show the context of your conversations, then that's kind of the truth of the matter. If we want the conversations to be about this thing, we'll introduce this thing to show it's this thing. And that's the truth of the matter.

My other objection is this is incomplete. They've curated Caterina Babbitt's text messages. I understand they can pick and choose the evidence, but we don't even know if that is the context of the text messages because these are curated.

THE COURT: Was the entirety of whatever text message taken from the phone part of the discovery exchange.

MS. RHOADES: Yes. I have the flash drive. It's just difficult to bring everything up on the computer. I can admit the flash drive so the jury can have the entirety of the text. So if we admit, they can have that. I'm happy to do that.

THE COURT: My question was whether it was curated before discovery.

MS. RHOADES: It was curated after. So as I'm going through them I don't have to look silly up there while the computer is not loading.

THE COURT: What is 56-A.
MS. RHOADES: \(56-\mathrm{A}\) is the disc. 55 is the packet. Within the packet are items that are objectionable.

THE COURT: From where.
MS. MCNEILL: Mr. Honea's IPad.
THE COURT: We have the phone and the IPad. Give me an example of what you find objectionable.

MS. MCNEILL: This is extensively text messages between Corrina Moranda and Mr. Honea. And she is indicating to him that someone told her that he was in love with a 15-year-old girl. I understand they are going
to say that it is Mr. Honea's statement. His statements don't actually admit that.

Here is the problem. It's Corrina Moranda saying what someone else told her, so there is multiple issues. That's double hearsay. It's not to show context. It then becomes almost a bad act. And it's almost -- we don't know what 15 -year-old girl. It's almost propensity evidence.

So we have this statement where she says, cause she said you are dangerous. Dangerous how. Because you were in love with this 15-year-old girl, something about having issues with the cops because of the girl. Oh, no. I told you what happened. And she's twisting it all around. I have put up with her crazy shit for years and I'm absolutely done this time. If \(I\) was so dangerous why would she call me all the time. I'm so angry. I'm sorry if I sound mean.

I'm sure they think that's about Morgan because I know Mr. Honea I know who he's speaking about in those messages and it's Diana Serada who they have messages from the next page.

Then she says, yeah, I think she's twisting everything to what is convenient to her. And she said she's going to stop talking to you and you're going to be running back to her when you start talking to her in the
future.
THE COURT: I'm trying to write something. MS. MCNEILL: I'm sorry.

She's twisting everything to what is convenient to her, and she said that she's going to stop talking to you and that she bets you're going to come running back to her and want to start talking to her in the future. HaHaHa. Me run to her. That actually makes me laugh.

I assume, again, they think these statements are about Morgan. So now what's happening is now \(I\) have to try and find Corrina Moranda (ph) and Diana Serada (ph) to come in and explain to the jury that she was actually talking about Diana, when he's saying she thinks he's going to come running back to her.

So now we're getting into something that's far afield. That's not relevant. He doesn't adopt anything, any admission that he was in love with a 15-year-old girl.

The, well, she didn't tell me -- that to you. She was telling me more stuff about you and it made me more furious because telling me at work and another co-worker was right there listening. Long story short, she was talking bad about you. I need to watch out because you like younger girls and you watch porn and more stuff. All of that is propensity and potentially bad acts. She's jealous and doesn't want you with me. I
blocked her on everything, so it's over. I'm just irritated because you put me in the bad position by making it light in the first place and by that \(I\) mean with your parent and your sister. It's hard enough getting you to hang out with me now. It will be harder now, I guess. That's Mr. Honea's statement. Again, there is no context other then what is surrounding. They're making it sound like she is also a young girl. He also trying to hang out with without parent's permission. That's not accurate. And it's propensity.

She says she's asking me not to talk to you. I told her we were hanging out as a group so they wouldn't freak out, but that was a wrong move. I'm sorry.

Who asking you not to talk to me. Diana. She can go fuck herself.

So now with these messages I don't understand how they're going to argue they are anything -- they're offering to show anything other then he had a relationship with a 15-year-old girl. He likes girls that are younger then him. Corrina is age appropriate, but that's why they're offering the messages. So they're fairly problematic, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Rhoades.
MS. RHOADES: They're not offered for the truth of the matter. They're offered to show his mind set and
what he's thinking at this time. They're similar to the text messages we talked about earlier.

If you turn the page, there is actually a text from Diana about this conversation.

MS. MCNEILL: Yes, there is, but that doesn't make this less problematic. Diana says I can't believe you opened up your mouth and told Corrina what \(I\) told you. Lol. Who does that.

MS. RHOADES: That is Defendant saying that. That's the Defendant saying that.

MS. MCNEILL: Our friendship is probably now over because she got caught and is now trying to blame it on me. Whatever though. You had me pissed.

About what.
How LOL, I was speaking the truth. I wouldn't -- I think it's supposed to be haven't -- I haven't thought you would talk behind my back. I was rolling (sic) you because I was concerned.

We are done being friends and doesn't text me anymore. She doesn't understand and she is mad. Okay. Bye.

So again this message doesn't offer any other context. They want to say, oh, he must have told Diana the truth about Morgan, or I told you the truth about Morgan. We have no way of knowing that. This is Diana's
words. So to show his mind set, again, his mind set being he likes 15-year-old girl. He's making admissions about Morgan. This is propensity, your Honor.

MS. RHOADES: They can draw a reasonable
inference from the evidence. This is not propensity, so it's not to argue that he likes all of these 15-year-old girls. Morgan is the only one we're talking about in this case.

MS. MCNEILL: But they don't know that that's what these messages mean, your Honor.

MS. RHOADES: They are free to ask about that on cross-examination.

MS. MCNEILL: Who.
THE COURT: You said you had like 14 pages. I don't want to go over them one by one. Are they all similar issues.

MS. MCNEILL: Sort of hit and miss. But the issue is when she asks about cross, cross of who. Are they bringing in Corrina or Diana now. We have to bring in Corrina or Diana to explain these messages.

THE COURT: Here's the thing. Of all the stuff we've gone over so far, this is the only one I have issue with. I have to see it. I don't mean like in two seconds here let's skim through them and go yea or nay. I don't know what it is. It looks like much more of a compilation
then the text excerpts.
MS. RHOADES: They're screen shots from his IPad, your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand.
Them being in a packet like this and it's sort of just compiled this way and without the context I am concerned with what we're doing here with these. And, you know, the other circumstances of them, you know, well I'll try to articulate it in a moment.

I'm a little taken back that we're getting this volume of documentation right now, which I have to believe counsel would anticipate being in dispute. I didn't have the opportunity to look at it and go over it before now. But it is what it is.

MS RHOADES: This packet has been marked for a few days. I did see Ms. McNeill looking over it yesterday.

MS. MCNEILL: I looked at it yesterday, then got all of these. I told Mr. MacAuthur we needed to make this record before we got to Vince Ramirez. We never know who they're calling. I didn't know he was the first witness, which is why \(I\) wanted to do it this morning.

I don't know if you want me to go through the rest of these I have problems with. Most of them I tabbed your Honor. We can look at it and figure out.

THE COURT: Hand me the packet.
MS. MCNEILL: I understand this came from Mr. Honea's IPad, but we have no idea who that girl is so what's the relevance of it. If it's to show that he has pictures of other girls on his IPad, how is that relevant. We have no way of knowing. Is she age appropriate. Are they going to call someone in to way how old she is.

THE COURT: Who do we think this girl is.
MS. RHOADES: I don't believe that's Morgan.
MR. MACAUTHUR: That's not Morgan.
MS. MCNEILL: That's not Morgan.
MS. RHOADES: There's hundreds of pages of images on his IPad. I went through and picked out the ones I felt were relevant just to make it easier for the jury too.

That is a screen shot of his letter to Sheriff Lombardo.

THE COURT: One of the issues \(I\) think that's been raised in this trial through the testimony and cross-examination is that Mr. Honea was, well, I don't know if \(I\) can have this discussion -- can I have counsel in chambers for two seconds.

Let's go in chambers. You know what. I've changed my mind and I don't want to go into chambers.

Do we have objections with regard to 78 as well, so I
know the playing field.
MS. RHOADES: I think 78 is the letter to
Lombardo.
THE COURT: So here's what I'm looking at now. It's 20 to 10:00. I need to complete my review on the objections before we have to break, and I don't see that happening.

I told you all yesterday I needed to break here around the mid-day and needed an extra chunk of time to get some where at 11:30. I don't know how the heck we can go through this and make these determinations and make these rulings and still take a witness. Should I tell the jurors to come back this afternoon or keep at this while they're sitting out there cooling their heels and try to do this on the fly, because it's really --

MS. RHOADES: Whatever the court wants to do.
THE COURT: What I understand right now, maybe we need to do this real quick. 56 is the disc. Is the disc 56-A. And it contains what. All the document, but Morgan. Then what was in 55. Remind me again on 55 and 56-A.

MS. RHOADES: So this is just the print out. 55 is what came out of 56 . You can zoom in on there and see things more clear. That's why I did it that way.

THE COURT: So it's really one set of objections
to those.
MS. MCNEILL: Right.
THE COURT: But there is approximately 13 pages within that that we need to look at and individually take objections on and individually rule on, correct.

MS. MCNEILL: Yes, your Honor.
MS. KOLLINS: I don't think it's that many.
THE COURT: Exhibit 80 and 108 stands alone. 79 is the text. That's the one -- I haven't looked at that. I know we went over that generally as to what's in there, but in order for me to look at this and articulate this I guess the text argument could be made and ruled on all in one sort of group, because it's mainly a hearsay objection. But \(I\) haven't seen the documents. I, you know, my assumption of it is it's not being admitted for the truth, but it may change, depending on what comes in. I haven't seen that.

MS. MCNEILL: They're all photos of Joshes' so they're the same thing.

THE COURT: Those are the physical photos.
MS. MCNEILL: Yes. So one objection to multiple photos. Then we've already talked about these, so, you know, I've basically made my objections.

THE COURT: Anything we haven't talked about yet you have objections to.```

