## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSHUA HONEA,
Appellant,
v.

STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

## APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

## VOLUME 9

Jonathan MacArthur, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 7072
Monique McNeill, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 9862
P.O. Box 7559

Las Vegas, NV 89125
(702) 497-9734

Attorney for Appellant Honea

## INDEX

## Document

Ex Parte Application for Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail

Information

Instructions to the Jury
Judgment of Conviction
Motion for Judgment of Acquittal, or in the Alternative, Motion for a New Trial

Motion for Setting of Appeal Bail,
Motion to Admit Evidence of M.S.'s Knowledge Of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual Conduct

Notice of Appeal

Page Nos.
vol. 1, 103-108
vol. 1, 1-17
vol. 13, 3058-3116
vol. 14, 3291-3296
vol. 13, 3129-3177
vol. 14, 3249-3253
vol. 1, 26-31
vol. 14, 3294-3296

Notice of Motion and Motion to Preclude Karen Hughes, And Reference to Chief Deputy District Attorney Elizabeth Mercer and/or Christopher Baughman and/or Convicted Defendant Raymond Sharpe at Trial vol. 1, 84-95

Notice of Motion and Motion to Use Reported Testimony vol. 1, 18-25

Order Declaring Defendant Indigent vol. 14, 3293

Order Denying Motion for Acquittal or, in the Alternative, Motion for a New Trial

Reply to State's Opposition to Motion to Admit Evidence Of M.S.'s Knowledge of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual Conduct vol. 2, 274-276

Second Amended Information vol. 2, 258-273

State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine re Raymond Sharpe vol. 1, 32-33

State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Judgment of
Acquittal, or in the Alternative, Motion for a New Trial, vol. 14, 3204-3221
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Admit
Evidence of M.S.'s Knowledge of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual Conduct

Transcript November 6, 2017
Transcript November 15, 2017
Transcript December 15, 2017
vol. 1, 120-140
Transcript January 3, 2018
vol. 13-14, 3178-3203
Transcript, January 10, 2018
vol. 14, 3222-3244
Transcript, May 21, 2018
vol. 14, 3254-3290
Trial Transcript, November 27, 2017
vol. 1-2,111-257
Trial Transcript, November 28, 2017
Trial Transcript, November 29, 2017
Trial Transcript, November 30, 2017
Trial Transcript, December 1, 2017
vol. 1, 80-83
vol. 1, 34-79
vol. 1, 96-102
,
vol. 2, 277-440
vol. 2-3, 441-639
vol. 3-4, 640-895
vol. 4-5, 896-1080

Trial Transcript, December 4, 2017

Trial Transcript, December 5, 2017
Trial Transcript, December 6, 2017

Trial Transcript, December 7, 2017
Trial Transcript, December 8, 2017

Trial Transcript, December 11, 2017

Trial Transcript, December 12, 2017

Trial Transcript, December 13, 2017
Trial Transcript, December 14, 2017
Trial Transcript, December 15, 2017
Verdict
vol. 5, 1081-1246
vol. 5-6, 1247-1396
vol. 6-7, 1397-1551
vol. 7, 1552-1725
vol. 7-8, 1726-1979
vol. 8-9, 1980-2182
vol. 9-10, 2183-2389
vol. 10-11, 2390-2534
vol. 11-12, 2535-2811
vol. 12-13, 2812-3057
vol. 13, 3117-3128

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the $7^{\text {th }}$ day of December, 2018. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:

## JOSHUA HONEA

By: /S/MONIQUE MCNEILL
MONIQUE A. MCNEILL
State Bar \# 9862
Q. Now, the words you used to describe this relationship as brother sister, mentor, helping her with school, is that what Mr. Honea told you?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever inquire of him during that course of time what his relationship was with this young girl?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever see, in the course of 2014 and 2015, Josh Honea with a woman that was his own age?
A. Yes.
Q. In a dating situation?
A. It was a first date.
Q. When was that?
A. That was mid-2015, with Caterina. We went to McFlys.
Q. Was that after Josh left Metro?
A. Yes.
Q. So I'm talking about during the course of time when he was with Morgan --
A. Not while he was with Morgan.
Q. Did you watch Josh interact with Morgan on these occasions where you would eat dinner?
A. Yes.
Q. What can you tell me about their interaction?
A. He provided a lot of advise, tried to convince her to do certain things to -- that -- for example, she shouldn't be going out to certain places or going with certain friends because they were a bad influence.
Q. Would you characterize his interaction with Morgan as positive or critical or neutral or any of those things?
A. It was a combination of positive and critical. She appeared somewhat submissive. She didn't say very much. It seemed like she took the advise.
Q. Now, other then advise regarding who to hang out with and where to go, did they have opinions for her regarding other aspects of her life?
A. I believe finishing school, working on school was one of those.
Q. Were you aware in early 2015 late 2014, when Morgan quit speaking to Josh?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you become aware of that?
A. He told me that he was not speaking to her, that she had blocked him.
Q. Blocked him on her phone, blocked him on social media?
A. Through her phone, she wasn't answering her texts -- sorry -- his texts.
Q. What was Joshes' reaction to that?
A. He was distraught.
Q. What, if any, efforts did Josh take to get back in communication with Morgan?
A. He tried calling her. He tried texting her. He had people that he knew try to contact her and text her.
Q. The people that he knew that he tried to get to contact Morgan, who was that?
A. I believe it was his parents, or his mother and possibly grandparents.
Q. Now, this kind of started near January of 2015?
A. Yeah. Yes.
Q. Now, you characterized him as being distraught. Was that for a day, two days, or was that something that carried on for awhile?
A. It was ongoing. It was a lot of what he talked about.
Q. You said it was ongoing. How long did it last?
A. Indefinitely, until she responded.
Q. Did Josh talk to you about being confronted by other individuals within Metro -- Explorer supervisor, VPSR supervisors, or supervisors within the gang unit
that time --
MR. MACARTHUR: Objection as to leading.
THE COURT: I understand Mr. MacArthur. I think though some of these are foundational and very hard to get at without some orientation as to time and scope. I'm going to give a little leeway here. I think Ms. Kollins is striking a good balance entering into that subject matter and questions. Therefore, I'll give her some leeway.

MS. KOLLINS: Thank you.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. During the course of this time when you describe Josh as distraught, does he speak to you at all about being counseled by VPSR supervisors, Explorer associates, or supervisors associated within the gang unit about Morgan?
A. Yes. Specifically Explorer advisors and a sergeant with the Enterprise Area Command.
Q. So he discusses you with that people are talked him about Morgan?
A. Yes.
Q. What does Mr. Honea tell you regarding being talked to about Morgan?
A. That they asked him if there was a deeper relationship then the friendship he claimed.
Q. Did he talk to you about those types of conversations one time, more than one time?
A. More than one time.
Q. Through the course of early 2015?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever ask him what his relationship was with Morgan and why these people were so adamant?

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection, asked and answered.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Did you ever ask Mr. Honea what was going on with Morgan and why these people were so interested in his behavior with Morgan?
A. No because I thought I already understood that relationship.
Q. Where did you get your understanding of that relationship?
A. From the way he described it, and how I viewed the interaction between them.
Q. You saw no hand-holding?
A. Correct.
Q. You saw no kissing?
A. Correct.
Q. Would it be safe to say that generally you were around Mr. Honea and Morgan Savage in public?
A. Yes.
Q. In 2015, when you say that Josh talked to you about being spoke to by somebody at Enterprise and some Explorer individuals, did he talk to you at all about following along with the Metro investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he tell you about that?
A. He stated that he called the detective who interviewed him to ask if he was still being investigated.
Q. When was that?
A. It was sometime in the spring of 2015.
Q. Would it be before he departed from Metro?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Mr. Honea talk to you at all about the case notes or $P-1$ notes that were kept by Metro regarding his investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he tell you about those?
A. He mentioned that he viewed them, and that I was mentioned in them.
Q. Now, up until this time have you had conversations at all with Josh Honea regarding an Officer Kevin Zafiris?
A. Yes.
Q. Prior to Morgan blocking Josh what was Joshes' relationship with Kevin Zafiris like, if you know?
A. It was similarly a mentorship, where Officer Zafiris had -- his Explorer advisor.
Q. You mean Joshes' Explorer advisor?
A. Yes.
Q. Before Morgan was blocking communication, is that a positive relationship for Josh? Is that how you would characterize it or something else?
A. It was. I believe it was also -- he trained him while he was -- to become a voluntary patrol services representative.
Q. But nothing -- anything that you recall from Josh regarding Kevin and he having a fallout prior to Morgan blocking him?
A. Not prior.
Q. Did Josh tell you about a trip that he took to Red Rock Casino with Officer Zafiris, Officer Wirey and Officer Samples?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he tell you about that?
A. He stated that they took him there to have some drinks, and I don't remember too many of the details but I believe it was to try to convince him to move on from Morgan.
Q. That's what Josh told you?
A. Yes.
Q. When he told that you these officers had taken him there to try to get him to move on from Morgan, did Josh have any further explanation for his behavior or say anything else?
A. Not at that time.
Q. What brought up the topic of this conversation that he needed to move on from Morgan and he had been given that information at Red Rock? What's the context of that conversation?
A. I believe it came from Officer Zafiris counseling him before that meeting to move on from Morgan, and I actually witnessed one of those -- one of those interactions.
Q. You witnessed an interaction between Officer Zafiris and Josh where the topic of Morgan was discussed?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was present for that conversation?
A. It was Officer Zafiris and Josh Honea.
Q. And yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. Where were you when this conversation took place?
A. This was a parking lot on the roof of Metro headquarters.
Q. Was that during Joshes' employment of the gang unit?
A. Yes.
Q. What did Officer Zafiris tell Josh?

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection as to hearsay for Officer Zafiris' portion of the conversation.

MS. KOLLINS: It's not for the truth. It's
effect of hearing and Joshes' reaction.
THE COURT: Can I have counsel at the bench.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: Objection overruled with
clarification by Ms. Kollins to follow up. BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Can you tell us when this conversation took place on roof of Metro?
A. This was sometime during the spring of 2015.
Q. Prior to Josh being fired?
A. Yes.
Q. Excuse me -- leaving metro. Please strike the fired.
A. Correct.
Q. Was it after Morgan had blocked him, blocked the communication with him?
A. Yes.
Q. Without telling us what Officer Zafiris said what was Joshes' reaction to the information that was being relayed by Kevin Zafiris?
A. At the time he was listening to what he had to say.
Q. Did he communicate back with him?
A. I don't remember much response.
Q. Did you have a conversation with Mr. Honea following that meeting where you discussed what Officer Zafiris had to say?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did that conversation take place?
A. At the Chilies' on Fort Appache and Charleston.
Q. What was Joshes' reaction to the information or the warning that had been given to him by Officer Zafiris?
A. I remember him still talking about wanting to interact with Morgan.
Q. Did he tell you how he wanted to interact with Morgan?
A. Not specifically.
Q. Did he - during that conversation, did he talk about Morgan's age?
A. No.
Q. Did -- during that conversation did Josh have an opinion about Kevin Zafiris and what Kevin Zafiris had to say?
A. I believe he did, but I don't remember what was said. I remember being surprised that he wasn't taking that advise directly, however.
Q. Did Josh, during that conversation, speak to you at all about his career being jeopardized or his academy entry be jeopardized by his interaction with Morgan?
A. Not at that time.
Q. At any other time?
A. Yes.
Q. When was that and where was that?
A. That was I believe in May or June when he expressed that he would have preferred his relationship with Morgan over a career in law enforcement.
Q. Was that after he left Metro?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you present on the day that Josh Honea was interviewed by sexual assault detectives at Metro headquarters?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about that?
A. I remember him being called to go downstairs and him coming up a long time later.
Q. What was his demeanor when he came back up?
A. He looked a little concerned.
Q. Did you speak to him after that interview?
A. Not in the office.
Q. At another location?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Where was that?
A. I don't remember where that was, but he told me briefly what they asked him.
Q. What did he tell you he was asked?
A. Whether, in general, whether there was any type of inappropriate relationship with Morgan.
Q. In this conversation where Josh is telling you what was asked does he express concern about the investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. What does he say?
A. Again, I don't remember specifically. But he was insistent -- he stated that he was insistent to them that nothing inappropriate was going on.
Q. Now, after Josh left Metro did you continue to speak with him about an investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. What means did you use to do that?
A. By text and spoken word.
Q. Did you provide a couple of text messages to my secretary regarding your communications with Josh about the investigation about Morgan?
A. I did.

MS. KOLLINS: Showing defense counsel what's marked for purposes of State's 115 and 116.

MR. MACARTHUR: No objection.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Showing you what's marked for identification only as 115 and 116. Can you tell me whether or not you recognize those two items?
A. I do.
Q. How do you recognize those?
A. These were texts sent to me by Josh.
Q. Josh Honea?
A. Yes.
Q. When were those sent?
A. In June of 2015.
Q. And is text communications some way that you regularly communicated with Josh in June of 2015?
A. Yes.
Q. Within State's 115 and 116, do those accurately reflect that conversation you had with Josh

Honea?
A. Yes.

MS. KOLLINS: State moves for the admission of 115 and 116.

MR. MACARTHUR: No objection.
THE COURT: State's 115 and 116 are admitted.
You may publish.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Showing you what's admitted as 115. Can you see that.
A. I can.
Q. Is this -- what is this? What is 115?
A. That is a screen shot of a Tweet sent by

Morgan.
Q. That relayed from Morgan to you by Josh?
A. Relayed --
Q. Relayed to you from Josh?
A. Correct.
Q. Then showing you what's been marked as 116. Is this also a text passage from Joshua in June 2015?
A. It is.
Q. Could you read that text from Joshua Honea?
A. Sure.

My text was WTF.
He said, so either I'm fucked, or I don't know.

And Morgan text me saying I have a feeling they are going to find out. It's reality. Then said, quote, think about it seriously. You have to face the reality of this, end quote.

Text when you are by my car.
Q. Where were you when you received these messages?
A. I believe I was at home.
Q. Did you have a face-to-face meeting with Josh after this text exchange?
A. Yes.
Q. Where was that meeting?
A. That was at Timbers on Cheyenne.
Q. Near the 215?
A. In that area. Yes, in that area.
Q. What was the follow-up conversation with Josh at Timbers?
A. He and Caterina and $I$ met to discuss it. And I believe that we counseled him to stop communicating with Morgan.
Q. Both you and Caterina?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have a discussion other then counseling him about stopping to see Morgan? Did you discuss the investigation with him?
A. Not specifics. Nothing specific about it.
Q. How would you characterize Joshes' demeanor?
A. Worried.
Q. Worried to the point of like he was earlier in the year distraught or worried?
A. He was stressed about it.
Q. Did he tell you why he was stressed about it?
A. No.
Q. Did you find it curious that he was stressed about a relationship, if it was nothing but brother and sister?
A. I'm sorry. Repeat that.
Q. Did you find it curious that he would be stressed out or worried about them discovering a brother sister relationship, if that's all it was?

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: The basis of objection.
MR. MACARTHUR: Misstates the testimony.
Permission to approach.
THE COURT: You may.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: Objection overruled. You may answer. If the attorney needs to repeat the question, I'm sure you will.

MS. KOLLINS: Do you need me to repeat the question.

THE WITNESS: I understand it now. I was somewhat curious, but it was also my assumption that she could wrongfully accuse him of something.

BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. You were confidants?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Josh express to you any reason Morgan would falsely accuse him?
A. No.
Q. That never came up?
A. No. In fact he stated that he couldn't understand why she didn't want to even speak with him anymore.
Q. Did Josh talk to you about losing his virginity and making Morgan angry?
A. No.
Q. In your time that you were friends with Josh did you go places and take pictures?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you take pictures of you and Josh together?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you and Josh joke together?
A. Yes.
Q. What kinds of things did you joke about?
A. All kinds of things. Whether it was something at work or something work-related something about our personal lives.
Q. Were you and Josh ever in a dating relationship?
A. We were not.
Q. Is that something that was ever on your radar?

Is that something that you wanted?
A. No.
Q. Did you guys ever make jokes about that?
A. Yes.

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection, as to relevance.
MS. KOLLINS: If we can approach, I'll tell you why.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. I think what I asked you, Mr. Belmonte, is whether there was chance of a dating relationship between you and Josh?
A. No there was not.
Q. And that was not something that you pushed for or pursued?
A. No. It was something that we joked about because how often we spent time together.

```
MS. KOLLINS: Thank you, Mr. Belmonte.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur.
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Thank you for being here. I know you waited a long time to be called last week. My apologies. None of it was under our control.

Listening to your testimony as elicited from the State, let me back up and do this chronologically. At some point you become aware that some personnel at Metro were curious or investigating whether or not there was a potentially improper relationship between Josh Honea and Morgan Savage; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You've already testified the two of you were friends and confidants?
A. Yes.
Q. You, in fact, spoke with Detective Dicaro when he was doing his investigation, correct?
A. I did.
Q. You were honest with him?
A. Yes.
Q. Isn't it, in fact, true when you spoke with him you really had no information that you could give on the issue of whether there had been any sort of inappropriate relationship?
A. Correct.
Q. That is did Detective Dicaro give you an opportunity to review his report?
A. No.
Q. Have you since been able to review the report?
A. No.
Q. You wouldn't be surprised if that is exactly what it says?
A. Yes.
Q. You would or wouldn't be surprised?
A. If it said what.
Q. You didn't have any information that would lead you to believe there was an inappropriate relationship?
A. That's what I would expect.
Q. Approximately how long did you have a working and personal relationship with Josh?
A. Total of about a year.
Q. Part of the State's questions had been that related to your ability to observe Josh when he monitored Morgan?
A. Yes.
Q. You said that he frequently provided advice and direction on what she should do with regard to school, et cetera?
A. Yes.
Q. I would like for you to give the jury insight into Josh. Is that something he did for the people around him? Did he also provide you with recommendations or advice?
A. Yes. He believed that I should not concentrate on college so much. I was in graduate school at the time. He believed and advised me that I should not do that and try to enter the Academy sooner instead.
Q. That was his opinion?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you go on to continue your post --
A. Graduate.
Q. Did you continues to pursue your graduate degree?
A. I did.
Q. Because Josh said, yeah, you should focus on the Academy didn't change your course?
A. No, it didn't.
Q. You were free to accept or disregard the advice as he gave it?
A. Correct.
Q. Did he give you that advice more than once?
A. Yes.
Q. You disregarded it and did what you did best?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, you graduated?
A. I did.
Q. Congratulations.
A. Thank you.
Q. Thinking about to 2015, do you recall whether you were aware of an individual by the name of Briza Perez? Does that name sound familiar at all?
A. Sounds familiar, but I don't -- I did not meet her.
Q. Even though you didn't know her could you orient me as to who is she and what does that name mean?
A. I believe Josh went out on a date or several dates with her.
Q. In fact, would that have been around June 2015?
A. May or June.
Q. Does that sound right to you?
A. That sounds right.
Q. But you never met her?
A. No.
Q. Did you know any particular details about her?

What she looked like?
A. I believe he may have showed me her picture at one point.
Q. You said that as far as you could tell it was a dating relationship of some sort?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, the State showed us couple of screen captures that appeared to be text messages. Those are from your phone?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, there appears to be a social media post from Morgan; is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. You said the date on that is June 21, 2017?
A. I didn't give a date.
Q. If you had you probably wouldn't have said 2017 like I did.

But inside of the picture -- referring to State's 115, this appears to be the same screen capture you discussed during your direct examination?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell from that what the date was of the social media post that Josh appeared to be referring to?
A. Yes, June 21st, 2015.
Q. This is right around the same time Briza Perez is an element in Joshes' circle?
A. I believe so.
Q. At the bottom -- so we are not leaving anything out -- it appears Josh said, but she text me something different to you, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You sent WTF?
A. Yes.
Q. What does WTF stand for?
A. WTF means, what the fuck.
Q. This may be your only chance to swear in court.

Referring to the next which is State's 116. This is a continuation of that same text conversation; is that correct.
A. It is.
Q. Based on what you already testified to you, in fact, did not know exactly what he meant by either I'm fucked or $I$ don't know?
A. No.
Q. You didn't have any sense of what the fucked might be?
A. No.
Q. Were you aware of what kind of threats that Morgan may have been making to Josh on that particular day?

MS. KOLLINS: Well, objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: Overruled. If he knows.
MR. MACARTHUR: You understand the question.
THE WITNESS: Repeat it.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. As far as you're aware there's not been any sort of inappropriate relationship?
A. Correct.
Q. Josh sends you a screen capture of this social media post?
A. Yes.
Q. We can see the continuity of this relationship from the first screen capture to the second without interruption?
A. Correct.
Q. Isn't it true you're not aware of what kind of threats Morgan may have been making against him that
day?
A. No, but because of the investigation that had already started that was what $I$ assumed.
Q. So by reading it you thought that this had something to do with the investigation or the terms therein?
A. Yes.
Q. But you had not heard Morgan threaten Josh?
A. No.
Q. You didn't receive any other information about things that Morgan was saying, did you?
A. No.
Q. And I think you probably testified to this previously. You and Josh also had a mutual friend?
A. Yes.
Q. Caterina Babbitt?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it the case that you would text her and you'd have individual conversations?

```
A. Yes.
Q. You were aware that Josh also had a friendship
with her?
A. Yes.
Q. Clearly you and Josh had individual communication?
```

A. Yes.

MS. MCNEILL: No further questions.
THE COURT: Any redirect, Ms. Kollins.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Based on your conversation with Josh was there anything else he -- he being Josh -- expressed that Morgan had hanging over his head other then an inappropriate relationship?
A. No.

MS. KOLLINS: Nothing further, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur.
MS. MCNEILL: No follow up.
THE COURT: Let's see by a show of hands if anyone has questions for this witness. Seeing no hands, Mr. Belmonte, you are excused.

State may call their next witness.
THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give in this action, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God.

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE CLERK: Be seated. State and spell your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Lawrence Samples, L-a-w-r-e-n-c-e,

S-a-m-p-l-e-s.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Sir, how are you employed?
A. I'm a detective Las Vegas Metropolitan Police

Department.
Q. What unit do you work right now?
A. Right now I'm assigned to homicide, sex crime, sexual assault.
Q. How long have you been assigned to that unit?
A. 3 years.
Q. How long have you been with Metro?
A. $\quad 11$ years.
Q. Before you were assigned to the unit you're in where did you work with Metro?
A. Prior to going to sexual assault $I$ worked in Enterprise Area Command, problem solving unit, field training officer.
Q. Where were you in field training?
A. Enterprise Area Commands. Also I was a patrol officer at Enterprise Area Command.
Q. Do you know Josh Honea?
A. I do.
Q. Do you see him in the courtroom today?
A. I do.
Q. Can you point to him and indicate something he's wearing?
A. He's wearing a dark suit with a blue shirt and tie.

MS. RHOADES: May the record reflect identification of the Defendant.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. When and how did you meet Mr. Honea?
A. I met Mr. Honea when he became an Explorer with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Explorer Program of which I was an advisor.
Q. You were an Explorer advisor as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Remember when that was?
A. I would probably say best guest 2009, 2010.
Q. At that time who else was an adviser with you?
A. We went through a couple. So, for a period of time it was Officer James Wirey, Officer Kevin Zafiris. I believe another officer, Mike Teal.
Q. Did you have a work and a personal relationship with Mr. Honea?
A. Just in the form of Explorer advisor.
Q. So describe your relationship with him.
A. So as an advisor it was kind of, I guess you could call it, part mentor, part trainer for juveniles who want to pursue a career in law enforcement when they become older.
Q. Is that how you relationship started with Mr. Honea?
A. Yes, that's how the relationship started.

THE COURT: Can I make sure you have the question complete. You are talk over each other. It's for the reporter to write it down. I want to make sure you have heard the full question.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Did you ever meet Morgan Savage?
A. I did.
Q. How is it you met her?
A. She began coming to meetings with Josh.
Q. Do you remember when?
A. Not too long after he began in the program, if I remember correctly.
Q. You recall it being around 2010?
A. Best of my knowledge.
Q. How long were you an Explorer advisor?
A. I'm still involved, so I would probably, at
this point, 10 years, 9 years.
Q. How long was Josh an Explorer, do you recall?
A. 4 or 5 years, I believe.
Q. How often would Josh bring Morgan to the Explorer meetings?
A. Pretty regularly. You can pretty much count on Josh showing up if Morgan was there.
Q. Was it common for Explorers to bring other people to those meetings?
A. Occasionally an Explorer may bring a friend to show them what the program was about. But it kind of became a thing where if Josh was there Morgan was there, from whatever their relationship was.
Q. What was your impression of their relationship?
A. From understanding, his family had kind of taken her in, due to some personal issues she had had. So it was kind of described as a brother, sister type relationship to me.
Q. Who described that relationship to you?
A. Josh, as well as some other Explores.
Q. When you saw Morgan and Josh together did you ever see them do anything inappropriate?
A. No.
Q. How would they interact with each other when they were around you at those Explorer meetings?
A. They wouldn't interact too much. Josh was doing his Explorer thing. Morgan would come and volunteer time to help out and watch if she wasn't helping in any of the scenarios and stuff.
Q. Besides the Explorer meetings did you ever have any occasion to see Morgan outside of those meetings?
A. I can recall one time at a -- we were coming back from competition. We gave the Explores a party because they did really well. Morgan was there for that.
Q. Do you remember when that was?
A. 2013, 2014 I would say.
Q. Do you recall where the party was at?
A. At Officer Zafiris' house.
Q. When Morgan was there, did she come with Josh?
A. She did.
Q. Did you observe their interactions at the party?
A. Very similar to how it would be at the meetings.
Q. Any other time you saw Morgan outside of those

Explorer meetings?
A. No.
Q. At some point did Josh also become some sort of advisor?
A. Yes. He kind of -- I wouldn't qualify it as an advisor all the way, because he never became an official advisor. He could come in and help out with the meetings when we would have them.
Q. Did you ever hang out with him personally?
A. There was one time we'd seen each other and hung out outside of work, you can call it.
Q. Do you remember when that was?
A. 2014 I want to say. I was already in sex crimes at the time.
Q. Where was that?
A. We had taken him out to -- I'm drawing a blank on it -- Red Rock.
Q. Red Rock Casino?
A. A restaurants.
Q. When you say we, who is we?
A. Myself. Officer Zafiris, and Officer Wirey.
Q. Leading up to that, was there a purpose of you guys going out and taking him out on that day?
A. We'd become aware that he was spending a lot of time with the Explorers outside of the meetings and
there also were some rumors about over-stepping his bounds in regards to Morgan. And we had approached him about hanging out with kids his own age, such as us, adults. We offered the opportunity to have him come out with us and hang out with some adults.
Q. What was his reaction to you guys?
A. He was okay with it. He -- we all met at the restaurant at Red Rock and had dinner and a few drinks.
Q. Was there any talk about Josh dating Morgan?
A. It had come up during conversation. He had mentioned that he had a desire to begin a relationship whether her when she turned 16 .
Q. What was your reaction?
A. I explained to him that in my opinion I believed it was inappropriate for somebody who is about to become a police officer, 21, 22 years old, being in a relationship with a 16 year old.
Q. Before that gathering at Red Rock, did you personally observe anything concerning about Joshes' behavior toward Morgan?
A. Nothing that I observed.
Q. How about after that meeting at Red Rock?
A. After that meeting we all went our separate ways. I kind of moved away from the Explorer program and stopped going to meetings. I hadn't seen interactions
after the fact.
Q. Did Josh talk to you about his relationship with Morgan?
A. Just in the aspect of brother sister relationship, taking her in with his family. That's really it.
Q. Were there any times where he was upset and he confided in you about something going on between him and Morgan?
A. He talked about -- that same night at the restaurant -- about moving her to a new school. He complained that he found out she had been smoking marijuana and he'd taken steps to remove her from that situations and move here into a different school.
Q. After that gathering at Red Rock was there a meet with you, Sergeant Jeff Clark, Kevin Zafiris, and James Wirey?
A. Not that $I$ was at.
Q. You were not at that meeting?
A. I was not.
Q. Were you ever at a meeting where Sergeant Clark was present with Zafiris and Wirey?
A. I was not.
Q. At some point did you speak with Detective Dicaro?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you tell him about Morgan and Josh?
A. Same thing as I testified to already. That to my understanding the relationship was a brother sister relationship. Aside from that, I didn't have information for them.
Q. Did you participate in any other way besides that conversation in the sex assault investigation?
A. No.
Q. Did you participate in the internal affairs investigation?
A. No.
Q. When you spoke with Detective Dicaro, did you also let him know about that conversation about Mr. Honea expressing a desire to date Morgan when she was 16 ?
A. Yes.

MS. RHOADES: I'll pass the witness, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr. MacArthur.
MR. MACARTHUR: Thank you, Judge.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Have we ever met?
A. No, sir.
Q. Thank you for being here.

During your direct examination, you described that you were currently assigned to homicide, sex assault, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Before those two you were still working sex assault?
A. Yes.
Q. To be more specific, you were working juvenile sex assault, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. We've had some testimony from other witnesses such as Detective Cho. You know who she is?
A. Yes.
Q. Detective Dicaro, who's already filled us in there being a difference between the way a juvenile or minor case might be investigated or interviewed versus that of an adult. You agree with that, there are differences?
A. There are.
Q. How long did you work in juvenile sexual assault?
A. 2 years 6 months.
Q. Now, this might seem like an obvious question, but there is actually a skill or art to that, correct?
A. To what?
Q. Doing a juvenile sexual assault
investigation?
A. There is.
Q. It's not something that any trained officer can step in and expect to do well without having received the same training and experience you have received?
A. Correct.
Q. You referenced an event that was held at Officer Kevin Zafiris' house for the Explorers. You remember that question?
A. Yes.
Q. Wasn't that, in fact, in the summer of 2014?
A. Potentially.
Q. I believe you said that it was the summer of 2013 or 2014?
A. Yes.
Q. If there were other testimony that said 2014 is there any reason to think that wasn't true?
A. I can't testify to other people's testimony.
Q. I'm not asking you to. I'm saying if that was later established as a fact would you disagree with that at all?
A. No.
Q. Now, this event was, in fact, a party?
A. Yes.
Q. It was held at night?
A. Yes.
Q. You said that Josh Honea had attended?
A. Yep.
Q. And that you'd also seen Morgan Savage there?
A. Yes.
Q. There were many other people there?
A. Yes.
Q. If you could, just estimate for the jury how many people were present at Kevin Zafiris' house?
A. I would say between 8 to 12.
Q. Officer Zafiris as the host had done the inviting?
A. I couldn't tell you.
Q. I'm not asking like wedding planning, but he's opening his house to he other people that are coming there?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, there were, in fact, alcohol and cigars for the adults present, right?
A. Yes.
Q. There were both adults and minors present?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you'd had on more then one occasion the opportunity to see Josh Honea in a private and a work setting, correct?
A. More work than personal.
Q. But you'd had an opportunity to see both?
A. Yes.
Q. You'd seen him in a professional capacity?
A. Yes.
Q. You'd seen him in a personal capacity?
A. Yes.
Q. On more than one occasion you'd seen him in the company of Morgan Savage?
A. Yes.
Q. If you'd seen inappropriate conduct between them, you would have reported that to Detective Dicaro?
A. Yes.
Q. You probably wouldn't have to get to Detective Dicaro, you'd done something about that yourself, fair?
A. I would have.
Q. You are uniquely situated because of your experience, so you have some insight of child victims of sexual abuse?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, think back to this counseling session that was had, I believe at Red Rock Casino?
A. Yes.
Q. You said yourself, Officer Wirey, and Officer Zafiris were present?
A. Yes.
Q. If you would, how old are you?
A. 33 .
Q. So a couple of years ago, 2-and-a-half years ago you'd be around 31, 30 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know how old Officer Wirey is?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you know how old Officer Zafiris is?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you know if you're the oldest guy in the group?
A. I don't.
Q. Now, do you know a Detective Ramirez over in computer forensics?
A. I do.
Q. Thanks to him, we have I have a picture. Do you recognize the person depicted in that photo?
A. I do.
Q. Is that Josh Honea?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that appear to be at the Red Rock

Casino?
A. Yes.
Q. Does this appear to be a picture that you took of him that night?
A. That I don't remember.
Q. Let me lay more foundation.

You stated on direct examination that one of the objectives of meeting with Mr . Honea was to see if you could encourage him to let the Morgan thing go?
A. Yes.
Q. That if she's doing inappropriate things that at some point you've got let her be her?
A. Yes.
Q. You are becoming -- I don't want to put words in your mouth -- we've received testimony in fact in the case from Officer Wirey -- that you had instructed him that he had been an Explorer. He's aging out. Now he's sort of entering the adult police side?
A. Yes.
Q. And is it, in fact, true that at that point you decided to help Josh Honea develop an Ok Cupid and Tender profile online so he could date?
A. I remember Tender but Ok Cupid I don't
remember.
Q. Is it true that the picture in front of you is the photo you used when you create that account as this is Josh to the world who is looking at the Tender account?
A. I believe so.

MR. MACARTHUR: I'll have this marked and move for admission of Defendant's $W$ next in evidence.

THE COURT: Let counsel see it.
MS. RHOADES: No objection.
THE COURT: $W$ is admitted. You may publish. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Admitting Defense $W$, this is the same picture I presented to you up there at the stand?
A. It is.
Q. The best of your knowledge this appears to by the picture that you took of Josh and used in the creation of his Tender profile?
A. Yes.
Q. Remember what the date might have been or can you proximate as best you can?
A. No.
Q. Was it in 2015?

MS. RHOADES: Objection. He answered that question.

THE COURT: For clarification overrule. You may
answer.
THE WITNESS: Potentially.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. It was certainly before he was terminated from Las Vegas Police Department, right?
A. I don't know when he was terminated. I don't know any of that. I can't testify to that.
Q. I don't think we have to know that date. At some point he was fired from Metro?
A. I don't the know terms of the separation from Metro.
Q. Does he still work for Metro now?
A. No.
Q. Do you still have contact with him now?
A. No.
Q. Ar some point he ceased working for Metro?
A. Yes.
Q. And you haven't seen him since then?
A. Yes.
Q. So this would have been before that, fair?
A. Fair to say it was before that.

MS. MCNEILL: No further questions.
THE COURT: Ms. Rhoades, any further redirect.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. Did you have occasion to see Mr. Honea with an age appropriate female?
A. In what setting.
Q. Anything, work, personal anything?
A. No.
Q. You are in sex assault. You have experience with juvenile sex assault cases, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it your experience that these crimes occur in secret?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you surprised you didn't see any appropriate behavior between Mr. Honea --

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection. Assumes there was inappropriate behavior.

MS. RHOADES: He was asked a lot about his experience in sex assault and what he does.

MR. MACARTHUR: Facts not in evidence.
MS. MCNEILL: Calls for a legal conclusion.
THE COURT: One at a time. Thank you.
Sustained.
Rephrase. You may ask the question that doesn't require speculation.

BY MS. RHOADES:
Q. In your experience as a sex assault detective,
how many years have you been in sex assault?
A. 3 years.
Q. In your experience as a sex assault detective are you surprised you didn't see anything going on between Mr. Honea and Ms. Morgan?
A. No, I'm not surprised.

MS. RHOADES: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Mr. MacArhtur.
MR. MACARTHUR: No further questions.
THE COURT: Let me see if our jurors have questions for this witness. Seeing none, you are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Let's have a brief recess before we call our next witness. It's not quite a quarter to. JURY ADMONITION

During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties and witnesses, on any subject connected with this trial, or any other case referred to during it, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, or any such other case by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, internet or radio.

You are further admonished not to form or express any
opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

See you back at 3:00.
I believe there was a bench conference during the questioning of Detective Cho. I think it resulted in -- an objection was posed by the defense. Ultimately the State did not pursue the question further. I didn't write down the details. I apologize. If anybody can enlighten us as to that. It wasn't anything significant that I recall.

Okay.
During the questioning of Mr. Belmonte there were 3 bench conferences relating to objections. The first objection posed by defense counsel was to the question asked regarding a statement by Officer Zafiris and asking about whether Officer Zafiris had, for lack of a better word, counseled defendant on certain things regarding Ms. Savage.

The objection was made that was hearsay as to statements of Officer Zafiris. The response at the bench was it was offered for effect on the listener. There was some discussion at the bench by the court as far as it's exempt from hearsay. You want to clear that up here, this objection, as it may be ongoing in these circumstances.

This is the situation where if it is offered for effect on the listener, which the court is persuaded, and
overruled the objection. Obviously, that is not hearsay. It is not an exception to hearsay. If the relevance of out or court statement is the fact that statement was made rather then the truth of the assertion contained in the statement, that is not something that's not hearsay.

And also as we discussed with regard to state of mind of the listener is not hearsay. It was offered to show the effect of the listener and the person who heard it for things such a proving notice of information, et cetera. Statements offered to someone to provide, again, notice of fact or condition or event are not being offered for the truth.

I believe both of these could be applicable or are applicable, such that it's not hearsay and that's why it was allowed.

Either side have information they'd like to add to that bench conference. I believe that was --

MR. MACARTHUR: I have nothing to add.
THE COURT: State.
MS. KOLLINS: No.
THE COURT: The second bench conference was ultimately -- the objection articulated at the bench by Mr. MacArthur indicated possibly foundation was a question regarding whether or not Mr . Belmonte was curious as to why the Defendant would be stressed as he described him
appearing when discussing the investigation if it was just a brother sister relationship.

The objection was posed to foundation for that question. I believe I ruled at the bench foundation had been laid that he had inquired of the Defendant as to what he was stressed about and the circumstances of the discussion of him observing the stress. I did overrule and allow that question to be asked.

Mr. MacArthur, anything further to that bench conference.

MR. MACARTHUR: No, your Honor.
MS. KOLLINS: No.
THE COURT: Last but not least. There was a question -- objection raised by Mr. MacArthur regarding the question asked of Mr. Belmonte whether or not there was any relationship between him or potential relationship between him and Defendant. Objection was posed as to relevancy. The discussion at the bench was there had been a communication with counsel about the possibility of opening the door to that circumstance. The State offered that they were anticipating potentially cross that might address a bias situation of being scorned or otherwise where that ultimately could go to bias and that inquiry should be allowed.

The counsel for defense Mr. MacArthur indicated that
he did not intend to make that inquiry and at that point asked if the objection either be sustained or the question withdrawn. The State, I don't know, responded to that, but the court determined that the question was legitimately asked of the witness in terms of those circumstances and to anticipated that line of questioning from defense. It did go to bias and/or motive to testify a certain way. And that it was allowed and defense could further develop into that if they wished to on cross. Defense have anything further to add to that.

MR. MACARTHUR: No.
MS. KOLLINS: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: We have 10 minutes.
(Brief recess taken.)
THE COURT: Reassuming in the trial of State of Nevada vs. Joshua Honea. All counsel and Defendant are present.

State may call their next witness.
MS. KOLLINS: State calls Kevin Zafiris.
THE COURT: Officer Zafiris.
THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God.

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Be seated. State and spell your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Kevin Zafiris, K-e-v-i-n, Z-a-f-i-r-i-s.

THE COURT: Ms. Kollins.
MS. KOLLINS: Thank you, your Honor.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Good afternoon, Officer Zafiris. How are you.
A. Good. How are you.
Q. I'm well. Thank you.

Obviously by your uniform you are employed with Metro.
A. Yes.
Q. How long have you been so employed?
A. It will be 10 years.
Q. In what capacity are you employed with Metro now?
A. I'm a police officer 2 in the training bureau.
Q. What do you do in the training bureau?
A. Reality based training is squad level use of force tactics, deescalation issues, command and control aspects on handling large scenes like barricades.
Q. How long have you been performing in that
capacity?
A. 3 years.
Q. Prior to that, what was your duty?
A. Field training officer.
Q. At Metro area commands, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. What area command were you a field training
officer?
A. Enterprise Area Command.
Q. What -- where in the county is Enterprise?
A. Most people call it southwest.
Q. How long were you a field training officer at Enterprise?
A. Almost 2 years.
Q. Prior to that, what did you do?
A. Police officer, regular patrol.
Q. When you were a field training officer at

Enterprise, who was your sergeants?
A. Jeffrey Clark.
Q. How long was Jeffrey Clark your sergeant?
A. For the duration of time at Enterprise, 2
years.
Q. When you were a field training officer, were you involved with the Explorer Program?
A. Yes.
Q. In what capacity?
A. I was an advisor and eventually promoted to head advisor.
Q. How long, overall, were involved in the Explorer program?
A. 8 years.
Q. When to when?
A. Say 2009 to 2015-ish, about then.
Q. Upon your departure you were the head advisor?
A. Yes.
Q. That was for the Explorer program that was located at Enterprise?
A. There was a merge. All the area commands merged into one headquarters, so we ended up into phases. I was phase 2.
Q. In your capacity as advisor or head advisor, did you come into contact with Joshua Honea?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see Joshua Honea present in court today?
A. Yes.
Q. Where is he seated and what is he wearing for the record today.
A. Directly in front of me, black suit, dark blue
shirt and a tie.
MS. KOLLINS: May the record reflect
identification of Joshua Honea.
THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. When did you meet Josh Honea?
A. When $I$ was an advisor at Enterprise Area Command early on. So probably between 2010 and 2011, I'm not sure. But it was when $I$ was an advisor at Enterprise.
Q. As an advisor when you met Joshua Honea, what was your interaction with him? What kinds of things would you do?
A. The Explorer Program, you meet once a week for two hours on Wednesday night or Thursday night, when everyone can make it.
Q. What kind of things would you do?
A. We basically get them prepared for the Police Academy. Not that they are required to continue on and go to the Police Academy, but we want them to product citizens in whatever they decide to do.

We do teach them police tactics and codes and definitions to better prepare them for the Police Academy .
Q. The Explorer Program is completed in phases?
A. Now, it is, yes.
Q. When you would have these meetings on Wednesday nights, would those be at Enterprise Area Command?
A. Yes.
Q. Would Josh attend those meetings regularly?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he attend those meetings in the company of anyone?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is that?
A. Morgan Savage.
Q. Was Morgan Savage an Explorer?
A. No.
Q. What was her role attending those meetings?
A. She would ride with him. It was brought to my attention he would pick her up from school and bring her with him to the Explorer meetings and then bring her home.
Q. Showing you admitted State's 22. Do you see that on your screen, Officer?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that Morgan Savage with Josh Honea?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, what year did Josh begin bringing Morgan
to those meetings, do you recall?
A. I wouldn't be able to give you an exact date.
Q. Was it more than a year he brought her along with him to those meetings?
A. Yes.
Q. Would she participate in some of the role playing exercises?
A. Sure. Instead of having her sit around, we asked if she wouldn't mind role playing for us. She had no issues with that.
Q. During at least the initial portion of time where Mr. Honea is bringing this young woman to these meetings do you inquire of him at all what the relationship is or how Morgan is ending up there with him with such frequency?
A. We asked, but his mom actually told me the reason why.
Q. You had a conversation with his mother?
A. Yes.
Q. What did she tell you?
A. She told me that Morgan lives down the street, relatively close her family. Her parents, meaning, weren't the best of citizens, so they pretty much took her under their wing and treated her like a daughter.
Q. Did you have any reason to disbelieve that?
A. No.
Q. Did that dispel any concerns you had, given Joshes' age and this young girls age?
A. Yes.
Q. When you met Josh in the Explorer program did you eventually assist or encourage him to become a volunteer patrol service representative?
A. Yes.
Q. What is a VPSR?
A. A volunteer patrol services representative is basically a report taking. No suspect contact. It's a great position because it helps police officers handle the more violent or volatile situations. So VPSRs in plain cars can go take reports such as burglary reports or anything where there wouldn't be suspect contact at all. It helps out the parole officer greatly.
Q. Do you recall when Josh became involved in VPSR?
A. Towards the ends of his Explorer career, if not done already. It was pretty much the bridge gap between Explorers are getting ready for the Academy.
Q. Do Explorers have expiration dates in terms of age?
A. 21.
Q. So once you turn 21, it's VPSR or the Academy or --
A. Whatever it is you want to do.
Q. So had Josh aged out of Explorers by the time he was doing VPSR?
A. I'm not quite sure. I don't know.
Q. Could there have been some overlap?
A. Sure.
Q. In what location, in terms of area command, did you know Josh to be involved as a VPSR?
A. I trained with him while I was still at Northeast Area Command.
Q. Northeast Area Command is located where?
A. Basically close to Nellis Air Force Base.
Q. You trained him at that location then you moved to Enterprise?
A. Then I promoted to field training officer He worked for us as a VPSR at Enterprise also.
Q. You your patrol officer career, was it Northeast Area Command when you became a field training officer and went to Enterprise?
A. Yes. It's not uncommon to transfer.
Q. Did you assist or encourage Joshua to move to Enterprise?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. When did that happen?
A. Shortly after I became a field training
officer at Enterprise, due to the very high call volume of reports that were tying up all the officers at Enterprise. I called for his position, what it does, would be very beneficial for him to get a lot of work in as a VPSR at Enterprise compared to Northeast.
Q. Was he amenable to that?
A. He wanted to stay at Northeast. It had more action more exciting things. But Enterprise was a better place for him to do his job.
Q. Now, Officer Zafiris you say action and a lot of us think crime. So when you say action, do you mean -what do you mean?
A. High violent situations, driving code 3, dynamic calls, lots of suspect contact, lots of involvement with gang members.
Q. That was a little more exciting?
A. For a patrol officer.
Q. When Josh starts his volunteer patrol service representative stint at Enterprise is he still attending Explorer meetings?
A. Yes.
Q. Is he still bringing Morgan?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, during these meetings do you have any one-on-one conversations with Morgan?
A. No.
Q. Turning your attention to spring of 2015. Do you recall that period of time?
A. Sure.
Q. Let me back up. Had you ever seen Morgan in a social setting?
A. Yes.
Q. What social settings?
A. Either the San Gennaro Feast or the Greek Food Festival. I saw Morgan with Josh and Joshes' family.
Q. Was there a function at your home some time during 2014 where there had been an Explorer competition and celebration afterwards?
A. Yes. We did very well in the Explorer competition in Chandler, Arizona, so we had a family get together at my house.
Q. Do you recall Morgan being at that with Josh?
A. I do believe she was there.
Q. Did you interact with her there?
A. Nothing out of the ordinary, other then thanks for coming.
Q. Up to this point, at least to this party, had
you seen Josh Honea with an age-appropriate female at any of the Explorer meetings, socially, or anything like that?
A. No. Just the other Explorers at the meetings.
Q. Did you ever see him with any other female other then Morgan Savage?
A. No.
Q. Turning your attention to spring of 2015 -actually January 2014, spring of 2015.

Did some of Joshes' behavior cause you and some of your Explorer supervisors some concern?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me about that.
A. Joshes' role as VPSR, it's in policy that he has no suspect contact, whatsoever. He's not supposed to portray himself as a police officer. He just handles report calls, that's why he has a plain car, so there's no markings on it that would confuse him as a police officer. And he would bring magazines to work on his duty belt and that would kind of lead people to believe he may be a police officer, even though his uniform was slightly different. That is the first thing I remember.
Q. When you say magazines, magazines that go -A. For a firearm.
Q. Did they contain ammunition?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. Was inquiry made to Josh as to why do you have those, since that's not part of you role?
A. I asked him.
Q. What was the result of you asking about that?
A. He said the reason why he had magazines was in case there was a mass shooting or what not, he'd be able to provide officers with magazines. I told him not every officer carries the same caliber of ammunition or the same magazines. The firearms, I understood where he was coming from, but I also told him that that's not your position with what you do.
Q. Is a VPSR a commissioned officer?
A. No.
Q. Do they ever carry a firearm?
A. No.
Q. You referenced report taking. Were these primarily their function?
A. They can handle report calls and control traffic with cones.
Q. So when you say report calls, what kind of calls are you talking about?
A. If someone's residence was burglarized, that
would be a perfect call for him to handle.
Q. Auto burglary?
A. Sure. As long as there's no suspect
contact.
Q. Suspect contact you mean?
A. Any idea or hint that a suspect may be at the scene he would not respond to or be required to. Or if we have reason to believe throughout the investigation a suspect may return, he should not be there.
Q. You mention the issue with the magazines. Was there any others issues regarding Josh and his duties as VPSR that came to your attention in spring of 2015?
A. Yes. Over the radio I could hear a vehicle pursuit and I could hear Joshes' voice over the air so I knew it was him giving call signs. We all have our own individual call signs. He was calling out almost play by play turns of the vehicle. Obviously that vehicle is driven by a suspect who just committed a violent crime so he shouldn't be near the vehicle at all.
Q. Was that March 22nd, 2015?
A. I wouldn't know the exact date.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection to review a police report from that date?
A. Sure.

MS. KOLLINS: Approach the witness.

THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. I should say police report dispatch log. View that to yourself and let us know if that refreshes your recollection.

Reviewing that police report, does that refresh your recollection as to the prohibited conducted that Mr. Honea was engaging in?
A. Yes.
Q. Does it refresh your recollection as to the date?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that date?
A. March 22nd.
Q. 2015?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the nature of the call?
A. Josh was at a gas station. We had a ride-along that day. That's fine too. Explorers are allowed to do ride along, VPSRs and police officers can do ride-alongs.

He is allowed to record checks on vehicle plates, which he did. He finds out the vehicle was stolen. There were two occupants in the vehicle and he stayed within close proximity of the vehicle with eyes $n$ the suspect
until officers could arrive.
Q. Is this the incident that you are referring to where he is calling radio traffic and describing car turns?
A. No.
Q. Was that -- what date was that?
A. I don't know the date. That was in Northeast Area Command.
Q. So prior to Enterprise?
A. Yes.
Q. How much prior to March -- spring of 2015 was that?
A. No more than a year before I transferred to Enterprise.
Q. Other then the performance issues you already discussed, was there anything that came to your attention about Joshes' interaction with Morgan in the spring of 2015 that caused you and Officer Wirey and Detective Samples some concern?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that?
A. Josh would tell us -- we could see him getting more frustrated with his friendship with Morgan, given things he was telling me was happening to her at school, his relationship was starting to not go the way he wanted
it to so he'd convey some of these things to me. I said, well, you are older than her. You are getting ready to embark on a career in law enforcement, if some of these things you are saying about her are true, you really need to distance yourself. We have policies with Metro. You have to distance yourself with people that are doing certain things. I don'ts know if they're true or not, but if that's the case, you need to distance yourself because you're about to go through the testing process and those questions will get asked. They will do background checks.
Q. The things he's describing to you that Morgan was doing, were what?
A. He mentioned she started smoking marijuana at school.
Q. Did he describe for you whether or not she'd quit communicating with him in early 2015?
A. If he did, I don't remember.
Q. You described him as being frustrated with Morgan's conduct. Is that the word you used?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you give him advice regarding his frustration?
A. You need to distance yourself from her. If you're planning on having a career with us, if that's the
path she's going to go, just distance yourself from her.
Q. Were these frustrations with Morgan repeated to you one time, more than one time by Josh?
A. Definitely more than once.
Q. For how long a period of time?
A. At least a couple of months. Because I was surprised and frustrated with Josh that I've already told him once, hey, if this is really what you want to do, its not worth it, just leave her alone.
Q. Did you have any conversations with Josh where you told him this isn't your place, let her parents do it. Anything in that regard?
A. Yes. He went on to tell me because of issues she was having at school he wanted to go talk to the guidance counselor or the principal -- I'm not sure. He wanted to get her removed from that school. I told him that completely sounds wrong. That's something her parents should handle. If anything is going on that bad at school, you have no place doing it. You are not her dad.
Q. Over the course of these couple of months when you have these conversations, did it seem like Joshua was heeding your advice or not so much?
A. No. I would hear from other Explorers he's still hanging out with her.
Q. Now, at some point do you learn that Joshes' conduct may result in a restraining order?
A. He did mention that at one of the Explorer meetings.
Q. Did that cause you concern?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Did you talk to him about that?
A. I used what he just told me to tell him, even more of a reason to leave her alone because you're getting ready to join the police department, even more of a reason to leave her alone.
Q. Do you remember when that conversation took place?
A. I couldn't give you an exact date. I don't know.
Q. Was it somewhere in that 2 month time frame we were talking about where he was talking about Morgan's conduct and all of that?
A. Sure. It was either at an Explorer meeting or at work.
Q. Did the totality of these conversations that you had with Josh with Morgan's conduct, the retaining order, those type of things, did that lead you, yourself, Officer Wirey, Detective Sample to have a sit down conversation with Josh?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Where did that take place.
A. In the Explorer meetings, that is when all three of us would be together. Since Officer Samples was going to be a detective, he was about ready to go. So it was at the Explorer meeting.
Q. Did you also take him to -- being Joshua -- to the Yard House at Red Rock?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that a topic of conversation as well?
A. Yes. We told him he needed to start hanging out with people his own age. Hanging out with the Explorers at a meeting is one thing because he was transitioning to be an advisor and help us out with that aspect. So we told him he needed to start hanging out with people his own age. We told him how at the Academy he's going meet people his own age. He'll make great friends through it. We told him to come out with us after an Explorer meeting and just hang out and get some dinner with us.
Q. Okay. Did the topic of Morgan Savage come up with Josh at that sit down at the Yard House?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about that conversation.
A. We asked him why he just wouldn't leave her
alone, or just stop hanging out with her. And he informed us that he had every intention of having a relationship with her when she became of age.
Q. What did he define as of age?
A. I'm assuming 18, when he said it.
Q. Do you recall saying previously he intended to date her when she was 16 in that conversation?
A. I don't remember.
Q. After that conversation were you prompted to write an e-mail to Sergeant Jeffrey Clark?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that subsequent to your conversation at the Yard House?
A. Yes, it was after.
Q. Okay. What about that conversation prompted you to give this information to your sergeant?
A. Talking to Josh and seeing the frustrations he was having with Morgan, the fact he disregarded any advice we gave him about hanging out with her, since there was so much on the line getting ready to go to the Academy, it struck me, why. I just don't get it. Why won't he just leave her alone. I get she's a friend. She's close to your family. I understand they took her under their wing. But if she's going be behaving the way she did -- I don't know if it's true or not -- if what Josh is telling me is
true, I don't get why he's so frustrated and so attached to her.

He told me how he would pay her cell phone bills and gym memberships. Once again that's not your place to do those things. That's not appropriate for you. It's not an appropriate relationship.

When he became more frustrated with me telling him these things that's when $I$ really and I started putting a couple of things together, there has to be something wrong. This just isn't an appropriate relationship. So I did what I felt I had to do. I went and talked to my sergeant about it. On top of the issues we were having with work that we already talked about.
Q. Now, did you then draft a note to Sergeant Jeffrey Clark?
A. Yes. He told me to send an e-mail.
Q. Would that have been March 27th, 28th, 29th, 2015?
A. It was after the incident on the 22 nd. That sounds about right.
Q. So you drafted that and sent it to Jeff Clark; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. It's a couple of pages?
A. Yes.

MS. KOLLINS: Approach the witness, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Showing you what's marked for purposes of identification State's Proposed 59. Do you recognize that 2-page document?
A. Yes.
Q. How do you recognize that?
A. I typed it.
Q. You typed it and sent from your e-mail within

Metro?
A. Yes.
Q. To Sergeant Clark?
A. Yes.
Q. Fairly and accurately depict it from what you remember?
A. Sure. You want me to read it.
Q. We'll get there.

MS. KOLLINS: State moves for the admission of 59.

MR. MACARTHUR: No objection to 59.
THE COURT: State's 59 is admitted. You may
further publish.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. You inform Sergeant Clark that Ms. Savage was currently 15 years old at the time you're drafting that e-mail?
A. Yes.
Q. You knew that Josh had known her since she was 11?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you know that from Joshua?
A. No, his mom.
Q. You said that that made Josh 16 when she was 11, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware that Josh was 17 when Morgan was 11?
A. No.
Q. So that's not direct information from Josh. That was from mom?
A. It was me doing my math from what $I$ was told by mom.
Q. Up until the point you are seeing Joshes' behavior, but you haven't seen them holding hands or kissing or anything like that?
A. No, nothing like that.
Q. You mentioned that his frustration continued through March or through those months, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you outline that for your sergeant?
A. If I read it I can give you a more accurate answer.
Q. Go ahead. In reviewing that did you previously state that Josh said to he had every intention of dating Morgan when she was 16?
A. Yes.
Q. When you said 18 before --
A. I was me guessing.
Q. Let's not guess. Okay.

You mentioned that Josh had been counseled several times about his behavior. How many times do you think you counseled Josh?
A. About a hard full. A couple times at Northeast. Just me and him. Then more formally when we were at Enterprise with sergeants involved.
Q. At the bottom of your e-mail you reference the March 22 nd incident, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Then that's the one you spoke of before where you believed he was engaging in a vehicle pursuit?
A. That was in Northeast. This is at the Chevron gas station with the stolen vehicle. We conducted a records check on the plate.
Q. Okay. That was March 22nd?
A. Yes, that one was.
Q. The police report I showed you, that is not that incident?
A. Not the same one at the Chevron incident.
Q. That is what asked you and you said it's not the same incident?
A. Sorry. The first one I talked about was early on when he was a VPSR in Northeast. Then the one you showed me the police report on and I references here is the Chevron incident on March 22nd.
Q. I was wondering if $I$ got something totally confused.

You are referencing his conduct on March 22nd?
A. Yes.
Q. Now in regards to that incident, was Josh spoken to about that incident some time later?
A. Yes.
Q. Anything to do with that call where reports were made about your conduct, your behavior, your action on that call?
A. I have no idea what you are talking about.
Q. Are you aware whether or not Josh complained to anyone about your performance or interaction on that call? Are you aware of anything like that?
A. No.
Q. Were you reprimanded or spoke to about anything that had to do with that call?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever have to testify in a case that culminated from that arrest?
A. No.
Q. After you sent your e-mail to Sergeant Clark was there a meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was at that meeting?
A. Officer James Wirey, myself, and Sergeant Clark.
Q. What was the purpose of that meeting?
A. To counsel him, hopefully for the last time, about his conduct, what he is doing at work, what his job description is, what's expected of him when he's out on the streets with us.
Q. Was there another topic also discussed?
A. Morgan was brought up.
Q. Who injected that topic of Morgan into the conversation?
A. Sergeant Clark.
Q. What was Joshes' reaction to the Morgan topic in front of Sergeant Clark and yourself and Officer

Wirey?
A. He seemed surprised and said no instantly.
Q. Said no instantly to what?
A. Sergeant Clark asked if he was having sex with Morgan.
Q. Now, the call, the March 22nd call where he engaged in activity he wasn't supposed to, is that concerning behavior for a VPSR?
A. Yes.
Q. Why is that?
A. The close proximity of suspects, in my training and experience and being a patrol officer almost 10 years, people who steal cars tend to carry weapons and he cannot defend himself because he doesn't have any tools on his duty belt, so he's too close to suspect interaction. He knew there were suspects there with the vehicle, and he had to wait for officers to get there. It's too dangerous for him to be there. That's why we were so concerned about it. I wanted to help, but it's too dangerous for him to do that.
Q. Was Josh stubborn in that regard?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, that in combination with the Morgan issue, what happened to his VPSR status at Enterprise?
A. We asked him to leave. He was a volunteer
anyway, so we asked him not to come back.
Q. Now, you and Josh shared communications
outside of work; is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you -- you said you counseled him many times and were around him for awhile, what did you consider your responsibility to be towards Josh?
A. As an advisor from the Explorer Program we're just trying to cultivate good citizens, trying to get these kids back on the right track. They all come from various background, some rich, poor, everything. It's a mixture of kids. We just want to surround them with good people. Tell them not that they need to be a cop, just be a good citizen. Do things the right way.

I did that with Josh when he was an Explorer. He was a good Explorer. Then Josh eventually was old enough graduate from the Explorer Program he became an advisor. That didn't mean my job stopped, I was trying to counsel him and advice him on the right way to do things. Especially getting ready for the Metro Academy, which he was about to do.
Q. Did you advice him and encourage him regarding the Metro Academy?
A. I wanted him to join.
Q. Did you mention earlier -- if you didn't
please remind me. Did you encourage Josh from switching from Northeast to Enterprise?
A. Yes.
Q. But at that time he was still a volunteer?
A. Yes.
Q. Was he employed at the gang unit at that time?
A. Yes.
Q. In a part-time position?
A. I believe so.
Q. Getting back to your communications outside of work with Josh, did you have discussions with him via text message about changing area commands and things he was doing?
A. Sure. I wanted to recruit him to come to Enterprise and help out.
Q. Did you have text message discussions with him before his behaviors you were counseling him about?
A. I'm sure I did.
Q. I'm going to ask you to look at a portion of State's admitted 56 up there on your screen, Officer Zafiris, to see if you recognize that text exchange between you and Josh Honea.

Can you see that?
A. I can't make out the word in green I. see the
black and white ones.
Q. If you would read that continues on the next page, read it to yourself to see if you recall that exchange.
A. Okay.
Q. So did you look at those two messages?
A. Yes.
Q. What is the nature of that conversation between you and Josh?
A. Josh wants to go back to Northeast while at Enterprise.
Q. And what is the decision or what's the discussion between both of you?
A. He conveyed to me he just didn't like it at Enterprise. He used the term paper pusher. He does one report after another. Enterprise has one of the largest call volumes in the metropolitan areas, which is a perfect reason to be there, because there are so many reports and calls to help us with. He made it clear he didn't want to be at Enterprise and be a paper pusher anymore because of call volume and he wanted to go back to Northeast where it was more exciting.

I told him it's not the wisest move for him to make because he is in a perfect spot with his job description. And he able to get away with more, meaning being closer to
suspects, calling out vehicles, calling out radio traffic during vehicle pursuits. He got away with more up there at Enterprise and that's why he wanted to go up there.

I told him if you do it, it's not safe for one. You are going get yourself or someone else hurt, and he could get himself in a jackpot, get himself in trouble administratively.
Q. Jackpot means what?
A. You screwed up, largely.
Q. At some point in that conversation you tell him he's making you look like an idiot?
A. Yes.
Q. What are you referring to?
A. I stuck my neck out for him and told everyone he's been a VPSR in Northeast, been an Explorer for years, he's helping me as an advisor now, he'd be a great asset for us at Enterprise. So I stuck my neck out saying we could really use this kid, because he does good work and he could rally help us out with the report calls so officers wouldn't have to anymore. And his behavior bringing magazines to work with ammunition, being counseled on that, being counseled again on be too close to suspect interaction potentially makes me look bad because here I told everyone this kid is squared away and can help us out, when, in fact, he was causing us more
issues cause we had to keep counseling him. Almost looking out for him because we want him to be safe, now we have to worry about someone else to keep safe on scene he shouldn't be at.
Q. Contributing to making you look like an idiot also includes the situation with Morgan and how many times he'd been counseled on that?
A. At the end, yes.
Q. But not in this conversation?
A. Not in this conversation.
Q. I guess in all candor your wife is also employed with Metro, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. What is her job?
A. Analyst for internal affairs.
Q. What does an analyst do?
A. There is various sections in internal affairs. She's under the Early Intervention Program section. So basically she reads statistics and tries to figure out -she could explain it way better then I could.

Basically look to see if there is patterns or issues with officers whether it's too much uses of force within a 6 month period and try to figure out why is that happening. She basically looks at numbers, statistics and generates reports for captains, sergeants, whatever
requests reports.
Q. Is her position investigative in nature in terms of investigating trends?
A. Just trends, more with numbers not investigating officers like a detective would. She is trying to identify trends and patterns, you know, why are violent crimes peaking in a certain area of town. Does it correlate with officers uses of force. She's basically looking at numbers.
Q. Has she met Josh?
A. Yes.
Q. At one of those gatherings you spoke about?
A. Explorer meetings and competitions many times.
Q. After this March 22, 2015 issue with Josh, did you have any communications with your wife, Ms. Zafiris, regarding prompting an investigation into Josh?
A. No.

MS. KOLLINS: Court's indulgence.
THE COURT: Yes.
MS. KOLLINS: I'll pass the witness.
THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur.
MR. MACARTHUR: Thank you, Judge.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Afternoon.
Q. We've never met?
A. No.
Q. I've been looking forward to meeting you for two weeks.
A. Okay.
Q. You were, in fact, started the ball rolling that resulted in Mr. Honea being terminated from LVNPD, aren't you?
A. Meaning I'm the one that brought it to my sergeant.
Q. Sure.
A. Yes, I told Sergeant Clark.
Q. That began with you, nobody before you, fair?
A. Fair.
Q. When did you first meet Josh Honea?
A. When did I?
Q. Yes.
A. At an Explorer meeting at Enterprise Area Command.
Q. What year that was?
A. I don't know. I wouldn't be able to give you an exact answer. He completed the whole program in its
entirety. He's been there a long time. It's a 4 year program.
Q. So he did at least 4 years?
A. Yes.
Q. You were an advisor in that program?
A. Yes.
Q. You describe your relationship to him at some point as mentor, mentee?
A. Yes.
Q. And we've heard testimony from the State's questions that you were integral in certain points of your career helping to promote him. I don't mean by rank, but to promote his career?
A. Sure.
Q. I'm not doing this chronologically, but you'd helped bring him over to Enterprise Area Command?
A. Yes.
Q. That would be a good career move for him?
A. Yes.
Q. You surrounded him with other mentors who took interest in his career, namely Officer Wirey, Officer Samples?
A. Yes.
Q. There was reference to a time you guys went and had a counseling session with him at Yard House over
beers?
A. Yes.
Q. That was at Red Rock?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember when that was in 2015?
A. I don't know.
Q. It was before he was terminated from Metro?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, while he was in Explorers, I don't want to put words in your mouth, you said Josh established a reputation for doing good work?
A. Yes.
Q. Had some hustle, applied himself, showed initiative?
A. Yes.
Q. Before the incident that led to his termination, wasn't he in fact ranked number one to go next academy?
A. I don't know. I don't know how well he tested. I don't know.
Q. Okay. If we received that testimony from somebody else in this trial, would you have any reason to dispute that?
A. No.
Q. All right. Now, we've also had testimony --

I'll ask the same from you -- is it fair to say that Metro is all about documentation?
A. Yes.
Q. You learn how to write reports?
A. Yes.
Q. You try to be scrupulously accurate in those reports?
A. Yes.
Q. People transfer jobs through reports people make?
A. I don't know about the administrative process. I'm sure there is.
Q. People are arrested there's a report?
A. Yes.
Q. Property confiscated and held there's a report?
A. Yes.
Q. People are released from custody, there's a report?
A. Yes.
Q. Performance evaluation, there's a report?
A. Yes.
Q. Thinking about the counseling session that you had with Officer Wirey, Officer Samples, and Josh, if I might, I'm going to refer to Defense Exhibit $W$.

Received information that that was the same night at the Red Rock Casino. You recognize that?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that appear to you, not taking account anybody else's testimony, does that appear to you to be the same night where the counseling session involving Officer Wirey and Samples and Josh where you were encouraging to step away from the Explores and come over to the adult side of Metro because he's going to the academy. Does that sound about right?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that fairly and accurate represent the way Josh looked that evening when you had your conversation with him?
A. Ye.
Q. Now, your testimony has been that at least one of your reasons if not your primary reason for meeting Josh, you didn't think it was a good idea for him to have this undefined friendship, brother sister or otherwise, with Morgan Savage?
A. Are you talking about the night at the Yard House.
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Officer Zafiris, did you happen to document
that counseling session? Is there a paper trail you might be able to provide us with how that went?
A. No.
Q. Why not? How is it that that did not get documented?
A. It wasn't an official counseling session like you would if a sergeant was providing and officer. It was just mentoring as humans.
Q. So it was kind of informal enough where there didn't have to be documentation?
A. Correct.
Q. We wouldn't be able to go back ourselves to what was discussed, how heavily it was emphasized and what the understanding was when you walked away from that, right?
A. Right.
Q. Let's move forward. You describe during direct examination a counseling session that involved you, Officer Wirey and Sergeant Clark?
A. Yes.
Q. This would have been sometime after March 22, 2015?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, he was counseled about, I believe, violations of the uniform, having to close a contact with
suspects, and, again, this friendship with Morgan, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Was that documented? Did you guys make a report of that counseling session?
A. I don't know if Sergeant Clark did any documentation. I don't know.
Q. You brought Josh over from Northeast Area Command?
A. Yes.
Q. You mentored him while he was an Explorer?
A. Yes.
Q. You were present for this meeting?
A. With Sergeant Clark.
Q. And Officer Wirey?
A. Yes.
Q. But you're not sure if it was documented?
A. No.
Q. You've never seen it?
A. No.
Q. So we've now discussed two counseling sessions where Josh was informally reminded that his conduct would need to change in order for him to have a future at LVNPD?
A. Yes.
Q. We don't know exactly the date of Yard House, but we know that the second counseling session with you Clark and Wirey would have been after March 22, 2015 because that was discussed, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, before I move on you said you counseled him a hand full of times. To be fair, I think you said you can think of two. Can you think of any more as you sit there?
A. Not right this second, no. He worked with us for awhile.
Q. Are you aware of any documentation for any other counseling sessions you may have purportedly had with Josh?
A. Not aware of any.
Q. Now, you not only have this professional relationship with Josh, but you also were able to text him privately?
A. Yes.
Q. You provided him guidance and advice, yes so far?
A. Yes.
Q. Would I be correct if I assumed that the invitation to come over might have been through text as opposed to announcing it in front of other officers?
A. It could have been. Sure.
Q. Given what you've testified to under oath he's not supposed to have any direct contact with witnesses and take statements?
A. With suspects. He can talk to witnesses and take witness statements. He can't talk to suspects.
Q. You be sure to set me straight. He's not supposed to talk to suspects?
A. Right.
Q. Not supposed to have any additional ammo clips, regardless of good reasons or not?
A. Right.
Q. Was there something else? Not supposed to follow a car, something like that?
A. No suspect contact whatsoever, whether on foot, car, house he should not have any suspect contact.
Q. You would not have encouraged him to do any of those things because those would have been outside of policy, right?
A. Right.
Q. As you sit there now you're sure you didn't encourage him to do that?
A. Right.
Q. There may have been confusion about an incident March 22, 2015. First the State asked you about
it. I belive your testimony was that what you were talking about were two different things. Then later in her direct you came back together and said that is the incident $I$ was talking about, right. You know what I'm talking about?
A. I know which two you're talking about.
Q. You describe that as a Chevron station?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there also a McDonalds next to that?
A. There may be, or a Jack-in-the-box. I know there is a burger place there.
Q. This location is on Tropicana?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember if Officer Younger from your knowledge was present?
A. He was on my squad, I worked with him.
Q. Do you remember -- you clearly remember that Josh was the volunteer service representative?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember Sergeant Erickson being
there?
A. I remember Sergeant Erickson. I'm not sure he was there or not.
Q. Do you remember rolling with Joe Belmonte that night?
A. I don't.
Q. You know who Joe Belmonte is?
A. Yes.
Q. As you sit there now you don't remember whether he was in your vehicle?
A. I don't know.
Q. When you communicate with Metro dispatch everybody has a unique call sign?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you 2P4?
A. That was my call sign when I was at Enterprise, yes.
Q. All right. Remember what Josh was?
A. Josh Younger or Josh Honea.
Q. I didn't know Mr. Younger's name was Josh. Do you know what Mr. Honea's call sign was at the time?
A. It would be VP something.
Q. Now, as you related to the jury you said that you recall Josh made some sort of hot plate read, that he checked the plate and it came back stolen?
A. Yes.
Q. You said he called that out on the radio?
A. Yes.
Q. You picked up that call from wherever you were and headed to that location, didn't you?
A. I remember getting there.
Q. You remember being there?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said you remember Officer Younger being there. Officer younger also picked up that call?
A. I believe he did. He was there.
Q. When you arrive didn't you in fact find a silver Audi occupied 3 times by one female and 2 males?
A. I don't remember. I would have to read it again. It wasn't my call.
Q. So as you sit there now you don't remember how many people it was occupied by, right?
A. I don't know.
Q. You testified it was 1 or 2, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you doing that from memory or are you doing that from having read something?
A. I remember a lot of people being there, multiple people in handcuffs. I don't know the exact number.
Q. In fact, you pointed a gun at some of the individuals inside of this car. That would be memorable?
A. I don't remember if $I$ did or not. I don't know.
Q. Is drawing a weapon on suspects or civilians common to you enough to where it doesn't stand out?
A. Unfortunately, I have had to draw my weapon more than $I$ would like to in any career.
Q. You remember one person was female?
A. From reading the report I do.
Q. Was the other person male? You said there was one or two people, was the other person male?
A. I believe so. I don't remember. I would have to read the report.
Q. Understood. You're saying it would refresh your recollection to review that incident report before you answered additional questions; is that correct?
A. It would.
Q. If you would Officer Zafiris, please familiarize yourself with the contents of the report. THE COURT: Did we get specifics on the report.

Mr. MACARTHUR: Sure. I think that's appropriate. Before we get too far in, you did not write this report; is that correct.

THE WITNESS: I did not.
MR. MACARTHUR: Appears to be written by J.
Blum.
THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MACARTHUR: Do you recall Officer Blum having been present.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. These were events that took place that you were present for?
A. Yes.
Q. Resume.
A. Okay.
Q. Let the record I'm referring to LLV-150322002467.
A. Okay.
Q. Having reviewed that document does that refresh your recollection to some of the events that occurred at that location on March 22, 2015?
A. I remember the incident now.
Q. I'll go back to my previous question. Do you remember now drawing your weapon and pointing it at the occupants of a silver Audi?
A. I don't.
Q. You think you may have?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, speculation.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: It's possible on an incident like this. I've handled many before. It's possible. I just
don't know if $I$ did on this incident.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Going back to my questioning about documentation. Any time there is a use of force you have to document that; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Would that include drawing your firearm and pointing it at another individual?
A. No.
Q. Just if the actual force is used?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Having refreshed your recollection, do you remember the female from the vehicle?
A. No. I just reading this I know there was a female.
Q. Having read that, do you remember the male referenced in that report having been in the vehicle?
A. I don't know. I just know there was a male there.
Q. Do you remember having made contact with Mr. Honea when you arrived?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he update you as to the information he was aware of to give a situation report?
A. A brief synopsis.
Q. Do you remember what that was?
A. Not word for word.
Q. Would you feel comfortable summarizing it,
what was the spirit of it?
A. I believe he said he was getting gas. He was just running records check on plates, which he's allowed to do. The plate returned stolen. He call it on the radio. That's what prompted me to start heading that way.
Q. Well, moving forward were there in fact 4 people inside that vehicle?
A. I don't know.
Q. Isn't it in fact true that the female occupant of the vehicle had spoken with Josh in the presence of Officer Younger?
A. I don't know.
Q. You weren't there for that or you don't remember?
A. If $I$ was there $I$ don't remember.
Q. Isn't it, in fact, true that you allowed 3 members of that vehicle to leave the scene?
A. It isn't my call. I know I was there, but this isn't my call. I don't know what the officers did just what $I$ read in the report.
Q. You don't remember that Joe Belmonte was
there, right?
A. I've had many ride-alongs with me, I don't know if he was there or not.
Q. Is that, no?
A. I don't know.
Q. You don't know if Sergeant Erickson was there, right?
A. Just from reading the report -- I'm sorry, not the report. Can I look at it.
Q. Sure.
A. From the e-mail Sergeant Erickson was at that scene.
Q. Excellent. Now Officer Zafiris, I take it that in your time with Metro -- how long?
A. $\quad 10$ years.
Q. You have written a report or two?
A. Sorry what.
Q. You've written a report or two?
A. Yes.
Q. Would including information such as how many times the vehicle was occupied typically be something you put inside a report?
A. If I feel it's pertinent.
Q. You might distinguish between the number of people placed in custody and other people who were
released from at the scene, correct?
A. It depends on the officer and if it's pertinent to the case they're handling.
Q. I'm talking about your practice?
A. My practice, we all write differently. It depends on the circumstances of the investigation what $I$ need to write about or who I need to include.
Q. Okay.
A. I understand what you're asking. It depends.
Q. Quite all right.

Speaking about codes, would it be fair to say that
Metro has codes that convey information the rest of the public may not be aware of?
A. You mean like our department IDF codes.
Q. Responding to a 187 or a 211?
A. Yes, we have 400 codes.
Q. This is a 411 A?
A. Yes.
Q. What is 411 A?
A. Recovery stolen vehicle.
Q. So would you agree with me the car comes back stolen, there is potentially a person or persons in possession of it?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, there was an arrest made, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That woman was charged with possession of stolen vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, there was another man was also charged with possession of stolen vehicle?
A. Not sure of the charge. If I can recall it.
Q. Please do.
A. Okay. He was also.
Q. No mention of the two other suspects or people who may have been present and occupying that vehicle?
A. I agree with that.
Q. So based on this report we only know for sure there were two people, one male one female, right?
A. Based on what Officer Younger wrote, yes.
Q. You don't recall independently of that report there being two other people?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Okay. Is it, in fact, true -- before I get there. When was the end of your shift back then? When did you get off?
A. We were 6:30 to $4: 30$ at the time.
Q. $4: 30$ p.m.
A. Yes.
Q. 16:30?
A. Military time.
Q. Military time in police reports?
A. Yes.
Q. If you would, do you remember when you responded to this call?
A. I don't know when I responded, exact time. It's not written in here.
Q. It was sometime after the call initiated, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You agree with me the call initiated at 15:02, so 2 minutes after 3:00 o'clock?
A. From reading this report, yes, 15:02.
Q. That would be an-hour-and-a-half before you typically get off shift?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Officer Zafiris, isn't it, in fact true, that the woman who was taken into custody provided certain information to Josh Honea and Officer Younger?
A. I don't know.
Q. You read the report. Didn't refresh your recollection?
A. I didn't write this report.
Q. But you were present?
A. When this report was written?
Q. No. You were present at the scene?
A. Yes.
Q. You saw the people who were also present at that the scene?
A. There were lots of people there.
Q. Sure. So my question is did reading that report refresh your recollection as to whether it was true that there was a woman who provided valuable information to Joshua Honea and Officer Younger?
A. I don't remember any of that happening while I was there.
Q. To be more specific, that woman was interviewed by Officer Younger?
A. Yes. Sorry that's -- yes.
Q. She described --

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, hearsay.
THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur.
MR. MACARTHUR: It's not for the truth of the matter asserted, Judge. It's not for the truth because it effects what he does next.

THE COURT: What this witness did next.
MR. MACARTHUR: Yes.
THE COURT: Can I have counsel at the bench, please, briefly.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: Objection sustained. Mr. MacArthur, lay additional foundation. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Isn't it true that this woman present advised officers present that there were drugs in the car?
A. I would have to read the report. I'm not sure what she said to officers.
Q. I'm pretty sure you just did that. Having done that, isn't that in fact true?
A. There were drugs at the scene from her charges.
Q. Recovered from the vehicle, silver Audi, right?
A. I would have to read it to be sure.
Q. How about you read it now and when you are done you let me know when you understand what's in it.
A. Okay.

THE COURT: Read the report again. I'm going to need counsel back at the bench.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: You'll let us know when you are complete in reviewing the report to see what, if anything, the report refreshes in your recollection.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. All right. Before get back into the chain of events, what was your role at responding to that scene, Officer Zafiris?
A. I was concerned that Josh was close to suspect interaction. That is why I left my beat to get to Josh.
Q. And once you arrived what was your role on investigating or responding to the dynamics at that scene?
A. I don't remember handling any of the investigation. I remember talking to Josh.
Q. Got it. Isn't it true that the woman identified a location where drugs could be found inside the vehicle?
A. Per the report, yes.
Q. Isn't it true that she informed officers present that she had --

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, hearsay.
MR. MACARTHUR: Your Honor, I'm not asking for what anyone else said, if it's true from his recollection. Just because he's going the say I don't remember doesn't make it inappropriate.

THE COURT: I'm going to do this. I would like to have the brief recess with the jurors for 5 minutes and maybe address the circumstances regarding the remainder of
the day.
JURY ADMONITION
During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties and witnesses, on any subject connected with this trial, or any other case referred to during it, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, or any such other case by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, internet or radio.

You are further admonished not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.
(Brief recess taken.)
THE COURT: I just didn't want to keep having debates at the bench. We need to make this record here. So far this witness has indicated he did not have a role in the investigation. This is his testimony. I'm not saying one way or the other. He did not have a role in the investigation. He was there to speak with Mr. Honea. He didn't write the report. He doesn't know the circumstances that are in it.

And the objection being made to hearsay is that you are asking about a statement that's in it. And it does
appear you are eliciting for the truth of the matter that's in it, and the argument being made that it's for the affect on the listener, okay, but that's why I inquired the prior objection, are we talking about this listener because there hasn't been any foundation established he had any role in the investigation or the circumstances.

You have inquired of him already, did he release people from the scene. Did he do those things. You got those answers.

I'm going to want to allow whatever appropriate question there is but I'm not hearing where the hearsay exception comes in with this witness and these circumstances. I needed to discuss it more and this is the opportunity to go that.

MR. MACARTHUR: Thank you, Judge. At this point I'm going to change grounds. It's clear that he's going to say $I$ don't know anything and there's no amount of refreshing recollection that's going to change his answer. So I see the court's hearsay concern. At this point I'm going to rely on Davis vs. Alaska. I have a theory of the case, and I'm allowed to cross-examine. It's a constitutional right.

The State is objecting. I recognize the objection. Hearsay is a statutory prescription.

Constitution trumps hearsay. The defense believes and has a good faith basis that would be provided for in future testimony and exhibits that this officer was present at the scene and deviated from police policy in a way that was corrupt. And that the corruption has been meticulously been not documented. I intend to impeach him. In order to do that I need to explain the chain of events as they pertain to the impeachment. I get that I'm asking him for information that would be regarded as hearsay, but it's still my theory of defense. I have a constitutional right to cross. And it's only a statutory prescription that prevents the hearsay. I don't think he is going to give me an answer other then $I$ don't know. I believe that will become abundantly clear why I'm asking questions and what my basis is.

THE COURT: I'm not surprised by anything you just said. I guess my question is this. We've already had some discussions in the case, not related to this witness but another witness, related to the idea of being able to seek to impeach or being able to inquire of information that may go to bias, motive to testify, et cetera. And, you know, again, related to a different witness, but I guess I'm wondering about how that inquiry gets made. The setting up of the foundation of circumstances or events and having a good faith belief to
ask questions, you know, you have a good faith belief to ask questions if the court allows.

The idea here is we're trying to get in the truth of the matter in the statements in the report when I'm not seeing that that's necessary to the other inquiry you want to make.

I don't know, and I guess at this point, why you can't simply ask the questions that you need to ask without first going through the report and then running up against hearsay exception. I'm very leery, and I'm going to be very candid to say, because the defense has a theory of the case hearsay goes out the window. I don't think there's any case that stands for that proposition. I don't think that's what you are asking.

I think what you're saying is I need to get to someplace with this line of questioning with this witness. I think you can go there without going through the report. You may not want to go there without going through the report, but that's my concern. So that's why we're having this discussion now this way not with the jurors or trying to do this at the bench.

Ms. Kollins.
MS. KOLLINS: Well, your Honor, I appreciate his
right of confrontation. I don't think it abrogates the hearsay rule. I concur with the court in that.

You know, if he wants to allege corruption, I agree with you, he doesn't have to go line by line to one of the co-defendant's declaration of arrest to do it. If he wants to allege misfeasance, malfeasance, whatever, on behalf of Officer Zafiris, I think he can do it without this.

MS. MCNEILL: Your Honor, can I respond, as well.

THE COURT: Sure.
MS. MCNEILL: The State is confused at this point. The line of questioning that's coming up is not based on the report. It's based on information that we believe what really happened at the scene and it's not going to be in that report.

The question that was objected to is information that's not contained in the report, and it's going to be asked not for the truth of the matter as these are the things you heard, but for him to deny it.

MR. MACARTHUR: Correct.
THE COURT: Well, that's my point. Then go there. That's not where we have been yet. We've been didn't she -- isn't it in the report. You can read the report, read the report isn't that what it says and a focus on he's not remembering what's in the report. That's where it becomes she said this because it's in the report.

If you want to go there, go there.
MR. MACARTHUR: I see the problem now.
Perhaps I wasn't clear to court or to the jury. I'm no longer asking isn't it true in the report.

THE COURT: That wasn't clear at all.
MR. MACARTHUR: I'm saying isn't it true she said this to you in the presence of Honea and Younger. I asked him, would it refresh your recollection earlier on, it's clear now that it doesn't. But I'm no longer asking him to rely on the report. I'm asking him do you recall this to be true, expecting the answer to be no, I don't remember. And I'm not going to refer to the report anymore.

THE COURT: Then I don't have a problem. I have -- we still have to balance things out. There's still potential for eliciting hearsay. There are ways you can ask these questions without eliciting hearsay.

I wasn't understanding you were not still going through the report. At the bench $I$ was saying if we are going to through report that's not going to fly if it's not refreshing his recollection, et cetera. We're past the fact we're doing the report.

You want to respond how he might inquire on these issues.

MS. KOLLINS: I think he testified twice already
he doesn't know or was present for and doesn't remember what information was imparted by one of these co-defendants to the police officer who's ultimately responsible for this arrest. He said that a couple of times. I don'ts know if Mr. MacArthur wants to say isn't it true a conversation was had and this happened.

THE COURT: There is a good --
MS. KOLLINS: Please don't argue to me across the aisle.

THE COURT: I didn't hear what you said. Go ahead, Ms. Kollins.

MS. KOLLINS: If he wants to inquire as to his, again, misfeasance, malfeasance, he's already said he doesn't know what conversations were had with this woman to other officers. He said that twice, doesn't know if conversations were had.

He's had his recollection refreshed by the report. It doesn't work because he wasn't there for those. He doesn't remember them.

If they have specific information about a statement that they are trying to get to him, I would ask for an offer of proof as to what the question is, the content of what this woman was suppose to have said to other officers.

THE COURT: He indicated they have a good faith
belief to bring forward the question she said these things to Officer Zafiris not to the other officers. Am I mistaken.

MS. KOLLINS: I heard to a group of officers.
MR. MACARTHUR: I believe I did. I think I said Younger, Zafiris, and Honea. Not really an officer. But I know what you're talking about.

THE COURT: I wanted to break for scheduling. How much time do you have with this officer.

MR. MACARTHUR: Judge, I really hate to break this cross. I would like to start as quick as possible and if the court would give me some leeway until 5:30, I think I'd be done with him.

THE COURT: You're done at 5:30.
MR. MACARTHUR: Yes.
THE COURT: You still have redirect. We still have all of the jurors if they need to ask questions. We still have redirect. I can't keep this jury here till 6:00 o'clock tonight. It's not an option.

MR. MACARTHUR: I'm concerned the officer gets to go home and do a little homework and buttress himself against impeachment.

THE COURT: I'm not going do this trial on some what we think nefarious action might be. He's under oath. He's got to do his job. You already suspect he's doing
what he's doing anyway. That's not going to drive the train today to keep us here till well after the 6 o'clock hour. I can't justify it on behalf of cost and expense. There are circumstances otherwise that are at play here in terms of us going that late. It's not an option.

But let's get back in here and get going and hopefully we'll get to a place where it makes sense to break. I don't have a problem going into the 5 o'clock hour, but I don't think we're going to complete today.

I have other concerns. I don't like the keep witnesses over night, especially some one in law enforcement. Can he return tomorrow at 1:00. Check with him.

MS. KOLLINS: May I.
THE COURT: Please.
MS. MCNEILL: Your Honor, our concerns aren't based on nothing. In fact after Detective Samples testified, he was in the room talking to Officer Zafiris.

THE COURT: Sorry.
MS. MCNEILL: Our concerns about what he might do, given a break, aren't unfounded. I caught him talking to Detective Samples after Detective Samples' testimony, before his testimony in the room. Witnesses are not supposed to be communicating with each other. The
concerns we have are based in --
THE COURT: Can he be back at 1:00 o'clock. MS. KOLLINS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right.
Bring the witness back in. I'm going to give you leeway Mr . MacArthur to ask your question and we'll deal with objections if they come along.

One second before we start with the jurors. Officer, because I want to do it now and I don't want to do it with the jurors presents, we are going to continue your testimony somewhat into the 5 o'clock hour today, but I don't think we're going to complete your testimony today, which is why I had the DA ask about your availability at 1:00 o'clock tomorrow.

I do want to admonish you right now, you are not to speak about this case with anyone while you are still under oath pending testimony in the case. I guess I'll make sure you understand that and leave it at that.

Do you understand that.
THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. I have you still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Let's resume Mr. MacArthur, when you are ready.

BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Thank you. Let me back up a little. In your 10 years as a line officer for Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, you've testified in court before, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Multiple times?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it typical that the judge or the attorneys will remain you not to discuss the substance of the case or your testimony with any other witnesses outside?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it, in fact, the case before you came in here you were in the anti-room with Officer Samples -Detective Samples before you came in here to testify?
A. Today, yes.
Q. Would you understand that that is something we would typically discourage because witnesses are not supposed to talk to each other?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, argumentative.
MR. MACARTHUR: That was the question.
THE COURT: You've already established his understanding.

MR. MACARTHUR: Did you think it was appropriate to have a conversation --

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, argumentative -- a conversation.

THE COURT: You may inquire with foundation what the question, if you wish to, what the conversation was about, but at this point $I$ think it's an inappropriate question. Sustained. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Before you testified you were in the anti-room right there, right?
A. Yes.
Q. With Detective Samples?
A. Yes.
Q. With the door closed?
A. It opened and closed many times.
Q. Whether it was opened and closed many times --
A. People were in and out.
Q. -- there was some time when you were in there with Detective Samples before you came to testify today with the door closed?
A. Yes.
Q. Going back to March 22, 2015. Is it true that this woman informed the officers present that she had a meth pipe in her vagina?
A. From reading the report that is not how I took it.
Q. I'm not asking you from the report, since you were there with other officers present, you remember that having been a thing?
A. It wasn't my role in the investigation.
Q. A woman with a meth pipe in her vagina was sufficiently common where that didn't stand out in your mind?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, argumentative.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Isn't it in fact true that this woman said drugs could be found inside the vehicle, the silver Audi?
A. Per the report, yes.
Q. But you don't have independent recollection of that?
A. No.
Q. Isn't it in fact true that you allowed two other individuals that previously had been inside of that stolen car to empty the trunk, removing, for lack of better term, gym bags?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Isn't it in fact true the woman advised the officer presents, including you, the gym bags also had drugs and gun in them?
A. I don't know what she said to the officers.
Q. You don't recall that having been a thing?
A. Correct. I don't know what they said.
Q. You've done a possession of stolen vehicle stop before in your career?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, your direct testimony is that that's a volatile situation?
A. Yes.
Q. Because the occupants of the vehicle might have firearms?
A. It's possible, sure.
Q. You also testified that one of your reasons for responding to that call or picking it up was to make sure Josh was safe?
A. Yes.
Q. Did we establish whether you remembered that Sergeant Erickson was there or not?
A. He was there.
Q. Is it in fact true that Josh made Sergeant Erickson aware of the fact you released two people with the guns and drugs purportedly in the bags?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, hearsay.
MR. MACARTHUR: There is no hearsay. Do you recall that being a thing.

THE COURT: There is an objection. You don't direct the witness to respond until the court has made a ruling.

MR. MACARTHUR: I'm not asking him what anyone else said. I'm asking if he recalled doing that.

THE COURT: I'll allow it. You may answer.
THE WITNESS: I don't know what Josh said to Sergeant Erickson. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. You weren't present when that was said by the Defendant to Sergeant Erickson?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, hearsay.
MR. MACARTHUR: It's the Defendant's statement. Since we've already brought the Defendant's statement in --

THE COURT: Can $I$ have counsel at the bench. (Discussion held at the bench.) BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Were you present when the Defendant informed the sergeant you had released two suspects from the vehicle that may have left with guns and drugs?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, hearsay.
THE COURT: Overruled. I don't believe it's offered for the truth of the statement. It's offered for the effect on the listener in the event the listener
recalls the statement.
Overruled.
MR. MACARTHUR: Thank you.
Do you understand the question.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Were you present when Josh Honea informs Sergeant Erickson you had released two suspects from the silver Audi with gym bags that may have contained drugs and guns?
A. I know I was at the scene. I don't know what Josh said to Sergeant Erickson. I don't know. My role at the scene, I went there to make sure Josh was okay. I know I was there, but I don't know what they said. I don't know when it happened during the investigation. I just know I was at the scene because I spoke with Josh.
Q. Based on your training and expertise, would you agree with me that it would not be policy to release any items from a vehicle -- stolen vehicle to other persons?
A. That's tough to say. It depends on the situation and circumstances.
Q. Based on the circumstances, as you recall them, would it have been appropriate to release any items from a suspect vehicle to a person that's been in it?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled. The form of the question
is fine. You may answer.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Based on the circumstances as you recall them, would it have been appropriate to release any property from the suspect stolen vehicle to previous occupants of that vehicle?
A. I didn't investigate the incident, so I don't know what my role was, what the officers decided, I don't know. I don't recall. It wasn't my investigation.
Q. Okay. My question was would that have been appropriate. I'm not asking what anybody else did.

Based on your understanding of this situation, would you now think it appropriate to release property from the suspect vehicle to people previously in it?
A. Honestly, it depends on the situation, what items are in question. I don't know. It depends on the officer and his investigation.
Q. Would you agree with me your call sign was 2P4?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me that Officer Younger's call sign at the time was 23P?
A. I don't know his call sign, but that seems accurate. He was on my squad.
Q. Would you agree that Josh Honea's call sign was VP27?
A. Sounds right, VP call sign.
Q. Voluntary patrol 27?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you recognize Sergeant Erickson as

733?
A. He was not my sergeant. I don't know what his call sign was when he worked there.
Q. Let me ask you about that. He was the ranking person on site, correct?
A. He was the only sergeant, yes.
Q. But not your sergeant, right?
A. No.
Q. Your sergeant was Sergeant Clark?
A. Clark, yes.
Q. Okay. Do you know if 607 would have been swing sift sergeant at that time?
A. I have no idea.
Q. If you would please describe what a CAD report is to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury.
A. A CAD report gives a chronological time line of who typed something on the computer or who showed up, when the keyed a mic, depending on how in depth the report is. It gives you an idea of who is there and
approximately when.
Q. Would a person obtain a CAD report by subpoenaing a certain event number, for example?
A. I don't have any person knowledge of it. I'm sure you could.
Q. Have you seen a CAD report?
A. A few times.
Q. Know how to read it?
A. Not accurately. It's been that few times.
Q. All right.

MR. MACARTHUR: Approaching with this document. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Do you recognize that as a CAD report?
A. Yes.
Q. This is a log of communication through Metro dispatch?
A. Yes.
Q. And that it's chronologically based so we know who said what and when?
A. The dispatcher, when we say something on the radio, they are good at it, they're trying to type as fast as possible. Things may not get entered right that second, but they do a good job keeping up with what we say on the radio traffic.
Q. They put in information as is pertinent to the
scene as you tell them?
A. Yes.
Q. So we can look back in time and figure out the order in which events occur at a scene; is that fair?
A. Close to it, yes.
Q. Are they also coded by who said it? In other words if you did something it would be preceded by 2P4?
A. Correct.
Q. That would be true for everyone else. They have their own individualized call signs?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, given that you said that you don't necessarily recall the dynamic events of March 22, 2015, is there any way that you can refresh your recollection as to when this hot stop was initiated by Josh Honea?
A. I can review it and try to figure it out for you.
Q. Would you agree with me that 15:02 hours there's an entry that Josh initiated this traffic stop?
A. Yes.
Q. It's coded as 411A, possession of stolen vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. Before we go further -- withdrawn.

Would you -- do you recall whether or not your
first entry into this scene occurs at 15:03 hours and 10 seconds?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony.

THE COURT: Does it -- I guess I'm assuming there is something on the report that would refresh his recollection on that.

MS. KOLLINS: I guess it'd phraseology that's the issue. Logging on and entry are two different things.

MR. MACARTHUR: Would you be willing to stipulate to admission of the CAD and we can look at it as an exhibit.

MS. KOLLINS: The one you have in you hands.
MR. MACARTHUR: It's the same. I just highlighted it.

THE COURT: He's got a cad report up here. I know we would not typically put those in when it's to inquire to refresh. We can proceed with a stipulation or let the witness review it.

MS. KOLLINS: There's not a stipulation.
THE COURT: The witness may review and see if he can identify the information to help us understand to best answer the question.

Can Mr. MacArthur restate to the witness recalls the
questions.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Do you recognize this as a document kept in the common course of business with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?
A. Yes.
Q. You've seen one before?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you understand the majority of codes on it?
A. The majority. There are some dispatcher coding I don't know what it means, but I have an idea.
Q. On March 22, 2015 you pick up this call at 15:03 hours in the area of Tropicana, Budget Suites, Pollara and McDonald?
A. Yes. I assigned myself.
Q. Didn't you in fact have the subjects inside of the silver Audi at gun point until they were compliant?
A. I don't remember what I did.
Q. Refresh with that document, midway down the first page.
A. Are you asking me who said that?
Q. I'm not asking you who said it. I'm asking did you have subjects at gun points on the northwest corner of MacArthur until they were compliant?
A. I don't know if I did or not. I can keep reading to find out.
Q. Tell the jury who was the first officer to find out on scene to assist Josh?
A. Well, it's tough to say because some officers arrive on scene don't say anything on the radio. They don't hit the arrive button. I don't know who was there first. I don't know. I could tell you what it says. I don't know who was there first because of those reasons.
Q. Would you be able to say whether that fairly and accurately represents the events as they arrived in dispatch?
A. I don't know. It's possible. I could tell you if I hit the assigned button or in route button twice on our CAD system at the time, that could also says you're arrived on scene which may not be the case. Which you wouldn't know as a police officer because you're more focused on driving. That is why $I$ honestly can't answer as you are asking me to. I don't know.
Q. Would you agree with me that at 15:07 hours BP27, that's Josh, stated to dispatch that two people were in custody, a third was being taken into custody then?
A. That's what this line says.
Q. Any reason to think that's not true?
A. No.
Q. Would you agree with me at 15:09, that 2 P 4 -that's you, right?
A. I'm 2P4.
Q. Would you agree that you informed dispatch there were 4 people in custody and you are clearing the vehicle?
A. That's what it says, yes.
Q. Any reason to think that's not true?
A. Well, I could have been assisting. I could have been just saying it over the radio depending where I was on scene. I don't remember what I did with this.
Q. Would you have said it on the radio if it weren't true?
A. No.
Q. Given you would only say true things on the radio, can we assume you had 4 people in custody and you were clearing the vehicle?
A. Correct.
Q. Would you agree with me that Officer Younger's report doesn't seem to reflect there were 4 people taken into custody?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, argumentive.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. You need me to restate?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Would you agree with me that Officer Younger's report does not reflect the other two people taken into custody?
A. Correct.

MS. KOLLINS: It's Officer Blum's report. MR. MACARTHUR: I apologize. I keep saying Younger when I needed to say Blum.

THE COURT: Similarly, apologizes from the court. The report is not connected to the name of the report, the report viewed by the witness earlier. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Do you -- restate. Isn't it in fact true that you had 4 people in custody?
A. I don't know if we did. I'm assuming we did.
Q. Isn't it in fact true that you kept the girl, identified with the pipe, and you released the 3 other people?
A. I don't know what $I$ did.
Q. Isn't it true that female occupant of the vehicle was Mirandized at 15:29 hours?
A. Yes.
Q. Briefly explain to the jury what it means when you're giving somebody Miranda?
A. You read Miranda rights when you're about to ask incriminating questions of the person in custody and they're not free to leave.
Q. That happened at 15:29, known as 3:29 p.m.?
A. Yes.
Q. You already stated you don't remember whether you released the other 3 people?
A. Right.
Q. Isn't it true that these 3 people emptied the contents of the back of the car into a neighboring vehicle?
A. I don't know. I don't recall.
Q. Isn't it true that an hour later one of those men came back and started staring (sic) at the investigation?
A. I don't know.
Q. Isn't it in fact true that that man was subsequently arrested?
A. I don't know.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection to refer to that police report that you already read?
A. Blum's report.
Q. Yes. My question was there was a male that was arrested and you already said yes to that.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall what time he was Mirandized? MS. KOLLINS: Objection, vague. If we're talking about the original two people arrested or the third party. Which is he talking about.

MR. MACARTHUR: The two. There's a woman and a man identified in the police report. He said that that man was arrested. So I'm asking him a question with regard to him being Mirandized.

THE COURT: With that clarification of the individual referenced in the Blum report being arrested, if you know the times of Miranda, is that the question?

Do you recall when he was Mirandized.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Would it refresh your recollection to review the report?
A. Yes. I'm not sure if we're looking at the same report. I'm not seeing a male's name in this paragraph.
Q. Would you agree with me the male is Rudy Morano?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me he was Mirandized at 16:31 hours?
A. That's a different document then the one I am
reading.
MS. KOLLINS: Mr. MacArthur, there is a report for each --

THE COURT: For the record, what document have you been looking at. I thought that was the CAD report.

THE WITNESS: I have a couple, your Honor. I have a CAD report. And I have a declaration of arrest along we the email to Sergeant Clark.

THE COURT: What record are we going to show him now.

BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Now, looking at the same -- I'm looking at the declaration of arrest for Samantha Chavez?
A. All right.
Q. Totally my bad.

I'm going to direct you to the top paragraph of the second page, still asking for the same information?
A. He was Mirandized at 16:31 hours.
Q. Samantha Chavez, you already testified, was Mirandized at 15:29 or 3:29 p.m.
A. Yes.
Q. It appears that Mr. Morano was Mirandized, same scene, same people, an hour and two minutes later?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember what he was doing for an hour
and 2 minutes?
A. I don't know.
Q. Isn't it true he left the scene and came back and was Mirandized when he returned?
A. I don't know.
Q. Would you agree with me that when you reviewed the police report it didn't say anything -- well, that's a clumsy question. Withdrawn.

You stated that you didn't recall her saying she had a meth pipe in her vagina, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection to review the CAD report from that incident, namely 15:56 hours?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, hearsay. He doesn't remember nor is he testifying from the report.

THE COURT: Again, the witness cannot testify from the report. He can refresh his recollection or not, then you can ask if he's refreshed or not. We have had some inquiry of the CAD report and what it says and whether he has reason to question it.

Let me sustain, but let me let you rephrase. Mr. MacArthur, if we're going to veer into a different line of questioning, I would like to break. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Do you have any recollection about this woman
and the meth pipe in her vagina?
A. I can read this and see if there's --
Q. Highlighted in yellow.
A. Is this the same as what I have.
Q. Same document.
A. Can you ask the question again.
Q. Does reviewing the CAD record refresh your recollection involving the woman with the meth pipe in her vagina?
A. I still don't remember what happened on scene. All I can do is read this and tell you what it says.
Q. Okay. Well, you have at least testified that she was Mirandized at 15:29, right?
A. From the report, yes.
Q. That's 3:29 p.m.
A. Yes.
Q. We've already testified that the man, Morano, was Mirandized at 16:31?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me that 15:56 hours, which is $3: 56$, is between those two times?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me that when you read the police report you were aware that she gave the information as to where the drugs could be located at the same time
she was talking about the meth pipe in her vagina?
MS. KOLLINS: Objection, calls for hearsay response.

THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: It was kind of long. I want to get it right. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. You agreed that 3:56 p.m. is between 3:29 and 4:31?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree that according to the events the woman identified the location of the drugs at the same time she talked about where the meth pipe could be located, per the police report that you used to refresh your recollection?
A. The police report says she was sMirandized at 15:29 hours. It doesn't have the time stamp like the CAD report does.

MS. KOLLINS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. He didn't ask her any questions.

MR. MACARTHUR: Is it your practice --
THE COURT: Sustained. You may proceed.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Do you typically Mirandize a suspect before asking questions that could inculpate themselves?
A. Yes.
Q. Saying you have a meth pipe in your vagina and you're in possession of drugs inculpates a person, right?
A. Before or after Miranda.
Q. Either way. I think it's inculpatory whether it's said before or after.
A. Correct.
Q. Would you agree with me that nobody could have questioned her before you responded to the scene?

MS. KOLLINS: Observation, speculation.
MR. MACARTHUR: Withdrawn.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. What is a search incident to arrest?

THE COURT: Are we switching gears now.
MR. MACARTHUR: No, Judge.
THE COURT: I think it's a good time for the court to break. It's 5:15. We have discussed this. How much additional time do you have.

MR. MACARTHUR: If that's the court order, that's the ruling -- I would appreciate 5 additional minutes to see if $I$ can get through the issue of the police report and the CAD.

THE COURT: 5 minutes.

BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Isn't it true that the female occupant Chavez informed officers present there was a half ounce of meth in the trunk?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, hearsay.
THE COURT: We were able to get through the other set of questions.

MR. MACARTHUR: I'm not relying on any one else's statement, just his recollection.

THE COURT: That's not how you asked the
question. Sustained.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. You testified Sergeant Erickson is not your sergeant?
A. Correct.
Q. Sergeant Clark is your sergeant?
A. Yes.
Q. Was Sergeant Clark present?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Does Sergeant Erickson out rank you?
A. Yes.
Q. Might one get in trouble with the powers that be for deviating from Metro policy as a Metro employee?
A. Yes.
Q. You in fact when you wrote the letter that was
admitted by the State referenced that car stop; is that correct?
A. Yes.

MR. MACARTHUR: If I could ask the State, what exhibit is that, the letter. Referring to State's 59.

MS. KOLLINS: It's admitted. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. State's 59, you referenced this stop inside your letter to Sergeant Clark; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Remember the date you issued that letter to Sergeant Clark?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you agree with me when you wrote this letter it was closer in time to the events of March 22, 2015?
A. It was after, yes.
Q. In fact, this letter was written somewhere between March 22 nd and March 29 th of that year, correct?
A. I don't know when $I$ wrote this.
Q. We'll pick that up tomorrow.

Did you in fact tell Sergeant Clark that Josh drove past an occupied vehicle, occupied twice, and did so because he thought the vehicle looked suspicious?
A. Where are you reading.
Q. Bottom paragraph. Is that what you said to Sergeant Clark?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me that that would be at odds with the CAD that said there were 4 people?
A. I'm reading so I can accurately answer your question. It says here, vehicle occupied twice.
Q. Would you agree with me that this is closer in time to the incident itself -- this letter was written closer in time to the March 22nd incident?
A. It was written after, yes.
Q. Do you feel like your memory of that incident would be better now or then?
A. Probably then.
Q. So the vehicle was only occupied by 2 people?
A. Yes.
Q. So we are complete and I can come back to this tomorrow. You described why you wrote this letter to Sergeant Clark, but in the second sentence of the last paragraph you informed Sergeant Clark when you invited Josh over to Enterprise Area Command that he no called, no showed the first time but has since been volunteering his time regularly, correct?

## A. Correct.

Q. Then you go in to how the car was occupied 2 times?
A. Yes.
Q. That he conducted a records check and discovered the vehicle was stolen?
A. Yes.
Q. Then Josh assisted with what he could in the investigation but went a step further and began providing updating radio traffic during the time when officers were taking the subjects into custody?
A. Yes.
Q. So your statement through this letter to Sergeant Clark was that Josh deviated somehow, updating the dispatch records or using the radio at a time in which he was not supposed to do that?
A. Correct.
Q. Is that correct.
A. Yes.
Q. And lastly -- I appreciate the court's indulgence, Judge -- 55. Referring to one of pages of State's 55, do you recognize this as some text communication captured in a screen shot with Joshua Honea?
A. Yes.
Q. Unfortunately we don't have the date for everything on the screen, but there is a date here,
which -- that's horrible?
THE COURT: If you can identify the date, Mr .
MacArthur, it's there.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Given it's a little fuzzy, please compare this document to that document, and let me know if it's the same one.
A. Yes. This is zoomed in a little more, but it's the same document.
Q. Would you agree with me that there is a time stamp here?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall what that time stamp was?
A. Thursday, March 26th.
Q. After the 22nd?
A. Yes.
Q. And that time stamp let's us know that everything below that happens after March 26th at 14:05 hours, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. What is above that would have been before that
time stamp, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me that you commended Josh on great stop kid?
A. Those words don't mean I'm commending him. It's just that it's a good, stop.
Q. Were you sending it to somebody other then him?
A. No.
Q. You sent it to Josh, great stop kid?
A. Yes.
Q. And you're referring to the March 22nd, incident, right?
A. Yes. Reading the text message above it, yes.
Q. And it's despite the fact he seemed to deviate from all of these policies you felt compelled, 3 days later, to give him an informal counseling statement on, right?
A. I counseled him at the scene also.
Q. Was Sergeant Erickson present for that?
A. I don't know if he was or not.
Q. But you remember the details of counseling him at the scene?
A. I remember telling him he shouldn't have suspect contact, just like I told him before.
Q. You remember that from March 22 nd?
A. Yes.

MR. MACARTHUR: I'll see you tomorrow. No
further questions.
THE COURT: Thank you. We'll excuse the witness at this time.

## JURY ADMONITION

During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties and witnesses, on any subject connected with this trial, or any other case referred to during it, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, or any such other case by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, internet or radio.

You are further admonished not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

See you all tomorrow at 1:00.
Officer, see you tomorrow.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: I don't have a bench conference I need to make a record of, but $I$ have a concern in all candor of the questioning and the text that was covered and what it purports to mean. That's State's 59. I'm not sure how the raise it because I'm not here to comment on evidence or address evidence in the case, however, you
know this is evidence being put forward to jurors.
MS. KOLLINS: Are you talking about the e-mail.
THE COURT: I'm taking about 59, which is the letter.

MS. KOLLINS: It was done by e-mail.
THE COURT: The letter from the witness to Sergeant Clark. For the record, the witness is not in the room at this time. There was a great deal of time spent going over this last paragraph on the first page. I don't know, I'll mull it over tonight and see if there's a way to figure out the court's concern.

We're adjourned for the night.
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49:14, 52:1, 52:4, 55:16, 58:25, 62:9, 67:1, 67:2, 72:16, 73:2, 73:14, 73:22, 74:6, 94:2, 98:13, 102:15, 106:3, 121:15, 138:3.
yellow 157:3.
Yep 60:7.
young 7:6, 19:21, 22:6, 77:13, 78: 4.
Younger 10:6, 10:9, 114:14, 115:14, 115:15, 116:4, 116:5, 120:15, 123:16, 124:20, 125:10, 125:14, 133:7, 135:6, 144:22, 151:19, 152:2, 152:8.
yourself 8:13, 16:11, 29:22, 61:20, 62:4, 85:4, 87:5, 87:6, 87:8, 87:24, 88:1, 89:23, 97:25, 101:3, 102:5, 117:16.
yourselves 67:17, 128:4, 166:6.
.
$<\mathrm{Z}>$.
Z-a-f-i-r-i-s
72: 4.
zoomed 164:8.
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PROCEEDINGS

*     *         *             *                 * 

THE COURT: State of Nevada vs. Joshua Honea. Any matters outside the presence. I'm looking forward to wrapping this up.

Mr. MacArthur.
MR. MACARTHUR: I believe that CAD is admissible on multiple grounds, but before I get there I'd ask that the State stipulate to the admission of the CAD. The defense has reason to believe the State had already been in possession of it, but if they weren't they were when we gave them a copy. I got it from a subpoena from Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department records division.

Now, I understand typically the way to do that would be by calling the custodian of records. I don't mind doing that, if that's what's necessary. But if that is going to be the case I would ask that we get the custodian of records over here as quickly as possible, take him out of order and admit this as an exhibit.

THE COURT: You are claiming the business records exception.

MR. MACARTHUR: It's essentially admissible under present sense impression, past recollection and
recorded general exception with indicia of reliability and state of mind NRS 51.135. Because they are not editorialized and they are contemporaneously recorded by dispatchers at Metro are all the grounds that would allow for the admission, in the absence of stipulation from the State. I would like to have them admitted before we resume with Officer Zafiris.

THE COURT: Ms. Kollins.
MS. KOLLINS: I believe the copy that
Mr. MacArthur gave me was certified so it would be admissible.

THE COURT: It was a certified copy.
MR. MACARTHUR: It was.
THE COURT: We'll allow the admissibility of the CAD report. Is that what you had marked as $W$, or is that something else. It was used to refresh recollection.

MR. MACARTHUR: I'm going to remove the subpoena from the top of it, if it doesn't bother anybody.

THE COURT: We just need one to mark and admit. So certified copy of the CAD report -- is there a date on the CAD report.

MR. MACARTHUR: It says it was produced December 5, but we requested it November 28 th. And the record should reflect that the version we got did have a couple of lines of redactions. We don't know what would have
been in that place. We are not alleging that there is anything nefarious. There are a couple of lines of redactions.

MS. KOLLINS: My assumption is it would be identifying information of a suspects that were arrested. And the date is on the top portion. It says 2015, 3/22.

THE COURT: Where are the redactions.
MS. KOLLINS: No the redactions would be identifying information like date of birth, social, of people arrested.

THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur said a December 5th production date. When you say -- what date are you referring to.

MS. KOLLINS: I thought you were asking the date of the incident on there. I didn't know.

THE COURT: Like for identification of the CAD report, it was produced on such and such a date and reflects production date. Sorry for that confusion. THE COURT: That has been admitted as Defendant's --

MR. MACARTHUR: Defendant's Y. THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MACARTHUR: The second matter, if I may, is going to be Defendant's Proposed $X$. I'm showing it to the State now.

This is part of the warrant return for Josh Honea's arrest. This is a picture of materials in the console of his truck. We had some pictures from that same warrant execution presented by Officer Dicaro that appeared to be from inside of his room. We saw pictures of a drawer and pictures of a bed. They did not include anything that was recovered from the truck. This was part of the discovery that was provide by the State. And we are asking for its admission under the rule of completeness as that witness already testified.

THE COURT: Multiple photos.
MR. MACARTHUR: One photo.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MACARTHUR: I'm sure the State has a CSI or CSA coming in to verify any other evidence that might be coming in. I want to use this in cross of Zafiris, and I believe it's appropriate to bring in. I don't think the State will have any challenges that it's not a picture they gave us.

THE COURT: Any objection from the State on admission of this photo, Defendant's X.

MS. KOLLINS: No.
THE COURT: Defendant's X will be admitted.
MS. KOLLINS: They can lay a foundation with it to his client, if his client is going testify. I'm not
bringing in a CSI. Just trying to truncate wherever I can.

THE COURT: Is it admitted because it's one of the those photos taken.

MS. KOLLINS: It's fine, your Honor. I don't know how this -- I mean, obviously it doesn't mean I'm not questioning this witness with a search warrant picture for a search warrant executed in the interior of Mr. Honea's car that he wasn't there for, so that's a whole different issue.

THE COURT: As far as Defendant's X, it's admitted. To the extent you wish to question him on it and can overcome the objection you can publish.

MR. MACARTHUR: Thank you. That's all I have. THE COURT: Anything from the State.

MS. KOLLINS: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Let's get the jurors in and get started.

Resuming in the trial of State vs. Honea. I have counsel for the state and defense. Mr. Honea is present. Our jurors are present. While the jurors are taking their place, we do have, returning to the stand, Officer Zafiris.

Can I ask you, Officer, if you can acknowledge for the record you understand you are still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Looks like our jurors are ready.
Mr. MacArthur, you may resume your cross-examination.

MR. MACARTHUR: Thank you, Judge.
CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. All right.

When we left off yesterday we covered some events that occurred on March 22nd, 2015, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Part of that discussion involved your review of a police report that had been drafted by officer Blum?
A. Yes.
Q. Also a CAD report that appeared to be generated by Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department records, is that fair?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: I'll note for the record the CAD report is admitted into evidence as Defendant's Y. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. We left off, and since, we've admitted this
exhibit. Can you verify that these are substantially the same documents, one of which is highlighted, but the other one is not highlighted; is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. You recognize them as being the same
document?
A. Yes.
Q. You recognize that as being the same document that we were discussing in front of the jury yesterday?
A. Yes.
Q. All right.

I'm going to publish Defense $Y$. Let's try it with the light.

Now, this being the same document, the one that is on the overhead is actually highlighted, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. We have two different colors of highlights, yellow and pink?
A. Yes.
Q. You identified your call signal as being 2 P 4 , correct?
A. Yes.
Q. If you could, could you circle one of the several pink 2 P 4 s so the jury knows what you're talking about.

Above where you have circled, would this appear to be the beginning of the traffic stop initiated by Josh Honea, BP27, on March 22nd, 15:02 hours?
A. Yes.
Q. 3:02 in the afternoon, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Beneath that we have 3 lines of Trop, Budget Suites, Pollars, $W$ of McDonalds -- something like that?
A. Yes.
Q. Explain to the jury what that means. If you can clear the screen by touching the bottom left corner. Why do we have 3 lines of that in a row?
A. By reading this it seems like 3 different units were assigned to the call.
Q. Would that be $2 \mathrm{~S}, 2 \mathrm{P} 4$ and 2 P 3 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is 2S?
A. I don't know.
Q. Would it be correct that 2 S , since it didn't have any numbers after it, would be the unit sergeant?
A. No.
Q. That's not correct?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you recall if -- all right. Do you recall if 2 S may have been Officer Blum?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Officer Zafiris, what is a $P$ number?
A. Pretty much your personal ID number assigned by the department.
Q. You get assigned that by Metro?
A. You get that on your hire date, yes.
Q. So they are in chronological order, the longer you've been at Metro the lower number you have?
A. There is a thing called ghost $P$ numbers. People change classifications, you can be a PN and you turn in PO, so you could by a senior officer with a higher $P$ number depending on how you look at it. But generally speaking you're correct.
Q. Please state your $P$ number?
A. 13-339.
Q. And do you happen to know what Josh Honea's P number was?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Do you need to refer to Officer Blum's
report?
A. Yes.
Q. See that indicated anywhere there?
A. Yes.
Q. What's his number?
A. 14-652.
Q. From that might we assumed you'd been working for Metro longer than he had?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

All right. Officer, looking at 15:05, middle of the page, you see where it says 2 BP39 has SPJS at gun point?
A. Yes.
Q. Does the SUBJS stand for subjects?
A. Yes.
Q. Has subjects at gun point, NW corner, McDees. Is that what it says?
A. Yes.
Q. Does the NW stand for northwest?
A. Yes.
Q. There's a space that says, complying now?
A. Correct.
Q. Moving further down the page, 2 minutes later, 15:07:13, does there appear to be an entry that says BP27, 2 in custody, taking 3 rd in custody now?
A. Yes.
Q. So this would appear to reflect a radio call for dispatch with this information?
A. Correct.
Q. Is there any way for you to tell us who cued
that to dispatch, who made that radio call?
A. All it says is BP27. So you would assume BP27 is the one that said it.
Q. Let me ask you something. These CADs, this information is available to you in real time in your vehicle, correct?
A. In a different fashion, but, yes.
Q. What are the terminals you use?
A. MDT. Now that's what it is, MDT.
Q. So this is information that you read on a regular basis, correct?
A. Parts of it, yes.
Q. I would like for to explain what is in this row, or what does that row of codes signify?
A. The person that hit the keys or possibly spoke.
Q. So that line is typically the person who hit the key or spoke, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Well, in this line right here, isn't it blank?
A. Yes.
Q. If Josh had been the person making that call --

MS. KOLLINS: I'm sorry, Mr. MacArthur. This
line here, can you make a record of which line it is.
MR. MACARTHUR: Sure. On the line that is 15:00 hours, 5:29, it's blank, correct.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Okay.

So if Josh had been the person making that radio call, wouldn't it say BP27 in that space?
A. Dispatch does this. You're asking me to testify to something $I$ don't create. I can only interpret what it says. So I don't know why it's blank. I don't know if it should be full. I don't know. I don't generate this report. I can just interpret it, like I do on my MDT and try and get information from it.
Q. Understood.

What was your $P$ number again?
A. 13-339.
Q. 13-339. And you are not referenced at all in Officer Blum's police report, correct?
A. Well, that is a different report from what we were talking about yesterday. I don't know about that one.
Q. Based on what you recall having seen it yesterday, you didn't see your name in it anywhere? A. No.

MS. KOLLINS: There were two police reports. Which are you handing him.

MR. MACARTHUR: He's looking at declaration of arrest, Samantha Chavez.

MS. KOLLINS: Thank you. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. You are not mentioned anywhere in there?
A. Correct.
Q. But in the CAD report you are referenced several times; is that correct?
A. My call sign is in here a couple of times.
Q. Look at the monitor, just on the first page. Would you agree with me -- I've highlighted it 6 times -just on the first page.
A. I see 5 times on my screen.
Q. You'd agree with me that is more than a couple?
A. Yes.
Q. We looked at 15:07:13, which you verified said 2 in custody, BP27, which is Josh. Two in custody, taking 3rd in custody now, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Even though it would normally be in this line that you're reading of that is that that's something Josh said on mic, right?
A. Repeat your question. I'm not following you.
Q. You were telling us that that middle row when it identifies a call signal, it's usually the person giving information?
A. Or hitting button strokes.
Q. They are the one initiating the information itself?
A. Yes.
Q. But there is a gap on 15:05:29, right?
A. Correct.
Q. It starts with BP27, as opposed to just the information. It starts with Joshes' call signal, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You also said this that is dispatch and that they try to keep up and type in real time and that sometimes mistakes can be made. You said that yesterday, right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. So after Josh is identified it says silver -sorry -- it says, 2 in custody, taking third in custody now, right?
A. I lost a line. I can't see what you are pointing at. Which line again.
Q. Here, the bottom highlighted.

THE COURT: Reference the time.
MR. MACARTHUR: 15:07:13.
THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. This is apparently knowledge that Josh had that he shared with dispatch?
A. Yes.

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, speculation.
THE COURT: The witness has already answered.
Maybe the witness can clarify, when you say yes to that question, are you speculating or do you know that to be the case.

THE WITNESS: I'm speculating because on the right this is a $P$ number, so $I$ can see who is entering this information. So once again like we said yesterday, she could be catching up. I don't know. This isn't a report I generated.

BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Let's go back up to the top of the page before we go to page 2.

At 15:02:39, you see where it says BP27PU?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you tell the jury what PU stands for?
A. I don't know.
Q. Does it stand for picked up, like picked up the call?
A. I don't know.
Q. Is there a way to tell who the primary officers are based on this CAD?
A. Primary unit for this report is DP39.
Q. Do know who that was?
A. No.
Q. Page 2, now would you agree with me that I highlighted in pink placing where your call sign are present on the page?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to direct your attention to 15:09:04. See where it's highlighted in pink, 2P4?
A. Yes.
Q. By what you told us to expect, this would be you giving information to dispatch, right?
A. Yes.
Q. What information did you give to dispatch?
A. 4 in custody, clearing the vehicle.
Q. Now, having read that, does that refresh your recollection as to whether there were 4 suspects and you were the one that was clearing the vehicle?
A. That doesn't mean I cleared the vehicle.
Q. I'm just asking you if it refreshed your
recollection?
A. Honestly, it doesn't.
Q. All right.

At 15:09:45, it looks like you say, shut down, units rolling code, sufficient coverage?
A. Correct.
Q. Could you tell the jury what that means?
A. Units rolling code, to a call like this, and since we have enough officers on the scene for safety, it's to tell the other officers rolling we don't need any more -- we don't need to roll a code 3, lights and sirens on the way to the call.
Q. Having read Officer Blum's report, would you agree with me that it makes no reference to anything more then 2 suspects?
A. Correct.
Q. Moving down just a little further I've now introduced an orange highlight, see it?
A. On the screen -- yes.
Q. On the left.

Do you recall yesterday having confirmed that Samantha Chavez was Mirandized at 15:29 hours?
A. Correct.
Q. Would you agree with me that that time 15:29 would fall between those two entries?
A. 15:29 falls between which two.
Q. The two in orange?
A. Yes.
Q. There is 4 more entries with abbreviations attributable to 2P4, right?
A. Yes.
Q. What does TWFRM, too fast, on 15:37:24? Is there any way to translate that for us?
A. I don't know what that means.
Q. Okay. Next line down, 15:37:45, EAC -- is that Enterprise Area Command?
A. Yes.
Q. That is repeated again at 15:50:04?
A. Yes.
Q. 15:52:15 there's an entry but no information?
A. Correct.
Q. I would like to direct your attention to 15:56:58. See it there on the bottom highlighted in yellow?
A. Yes.
Q. The substance of it when it says FEM stating she has -- is FEM short for female?
A. Yes.
Q. Stating she has a 413 inside of her. What is
a 413?
A. Firearm.
Q. Inside of her?
A. That's what it says.
Q. Does this refresh your recollection at all as to whether she had a firearm inside of her?
A. No. Because I was already gone.
Q. Okay. Please indicate how you know you are already gone?
A. On 15:37:45 the TO, which we're used to seeing on our MDT, right there $T O$, that means going somewhere else in my vehicle -- going somewhere else.
Q. Would that have been Enterprise Area Command?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that why it says EAC?
A. That's where I'm going.
Q. Now, moving forward it says she has a 413, which we've now identified as a firearm inside of her. There is a dot, dot, dot, POSS -- is that short for possession?
A. Depends on the person writing it. Possession or possible.
Q. Okay. Possession or possible, large amounts of 446. What is 446?
A. Narcotics.
Q. Large amount of drugs?
A. Yes.
Q. So this line referenced both a gun and drugs?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, speculation. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Is 413 a gun?
A. Yes.
Q. $\quad 436$ drugs?
A. Yes.
Q. In this line both guns and drugs are referenced?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Please let the court rule on the objection before you decide to rephrase the question.

MR. MACARTHUR: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: With rephrasing you clarified some questions. I'll sustain the objection as to prior form of the question in terms of the way it was asked.

MR. MACARTHUR: It was poorly phrased.
THE COURT: Proceed.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. In the vehicle also, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So possibly possession a large amount of drugs in the vehicle also?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, just because you left the scene, are you no longer able to see these developments as they occur? Are you still able to see them on your MDT?
A. I could look them up at a later date.
Q. Do you remember doing that or not?
A. No.
Q. When you departed the court house did you see or recognize Joshes' family at the other end of the hall?
A. I wouldn't recognize them by themselves. Given their proximity to the courtroom, I figured that was them.
Q. You met them before, hadn't you. You said yesterday you knew what kind of people they were and how you happen to make their acquaintance?
A. I don't know what kinds of people they are. MS. KOLLINS: Object as to compound.

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Is there a reason why you'd taken a picture of them leaving yesterday.
A. I don't have a picture of anybody.
Q. You don't have a picture of them on your phone right now?
A. No.
Q. Yesterday the State asked you if you ever had to come to court to testify on anything relating to the 411 A stop on March 22nd, 2015, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You said, no, you never had to come to court do that, correct?
A. Correct. I don't believe so.
Q. Isn't that in fact because the DA did not proceed on those charges?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection. May we approach.
THE COURT: You may.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Is it true the charges against the male suspect, Rudy Morano, were not pursued be the DA?
A. I don't know.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection if you see the disposition date?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection.
THE COURT: Before we proceed, we had difficulty with this yesterday, so let's be clear. If the document
could refresh your recollection because he may know the information the document may be used to do so. But no document can be shown to a witness for information to impart upon them. Would this witness have any reason to know what the disposition was of that case.

MR. MACARTHUR: I believe he does.
MS. KOLLINS: He just said he didn't.
THE COURT: He said he didn't know the answer to the question asked.

Officer, do you believe there is information you may have had at one time if you review this document it would refresh your recollection. THE WITNESS: I do not. THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur, you can take back the document. And we'll not seek information that is not known to the officer.

MR. MACARTHUR: Permission to approach. THE COURT: Yes.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: Counsel requested the court take judicial notice of the disposition of the State of Nevada vs. Rudy Morano. The court can take judicial notice of the document from the Justice Court, Las Vegas Township, indicating that the proceeding events, DA, denial review date 7/8/2015, State of Nevada vs. Rudy Morano.

I'll return the document to counsel when you're ready.

MR. MACARTHUR: Thank you, your Honor.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. All right.

From your testimony and response to the State's questions yesterday, is it fair to say you believe that Josh Honea was terminated from Metro's employ because of performance issues?
A. I don't know why he was terminated. I wasn't there for when the decision was made.
Q. Okay. Looks like 59. Referring again to State's 59, do you recognize that as the letter we discussed yesterday?
A. Yes.
Q. Sergeant Clark, yesterday I may have been unfair to you. I would like to allow you to correct me. Do you recall me having placed your letter up on the overhead.

MS. KOLLINS: I'm sorry. You referred to him as Sergeant Clark.

MR. MACARTHUR: I'm horrible at this.
Officer Zafiris, you recall I put your letter up on overhead and highlighted a portion, correct.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. This appears to reflect the same exhibit you have in front of you?
A. Yes.
Q. I would like to direct your attention down where it is orange at the bottom. See the highlighted orange portion?
A. Yes.
Q. Yesterday I believe I asked you if the vehicle that Josh drove past was twice occupied, meaning two occupants. But in reading this again last night, it would appear that that's not what you said. It looks like you said he drove past an occupied vehicle 2 times; is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. I didn't want to do you a disservice. But otherwise, moving forward in this letter.

Going to the top of the next page, Officer Zafiris, going from the bottom of the first page to the top of the second page, you testified yesterday you were discussing the March 22nd, 2015 incident, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And your second sentence in there says, approximately 2 weeks ago, right?
A. Correct.
Q. As you sit there now do you know whether that was true, that you were referencing something that happened two weeks ago?
A. Well, Josh was counseled several times on several incidents where he was too close to suspect contact.
Q. You are talking about the March 22 nd incident, right?
A. That's possible. I don't directly recall anything. It just says approximately 2 week ago.
Q. But you're talking about Josh driving past the occupied vehicle twice. And did so because he thought the vehicle looked suspicious. Conducted a records check on the plate. Discovered that vehicle was listed as stolen. And that Josh did assist with what he could on the investigation, but went a step further and started updating radio traffic during time when officers were taking suspects into custody.

You said all that?
A. Yes.
Q. We can look at the CAD, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And all of those facts appear to be present inside of that CAD?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now to be clear, you testified yesterday before we broke that you counseled him right there at the scene?
A. Yes.
Q. You also testified that you couldn't be certain whether Sergeant Erickson was there, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Going to the second page. Officer Zafiris, does that refresh your recollection as to whether Sergeant Erickson was there?
A. I wrote that he was so he must have been.
Q. You also referenced Sergeant Clark?
A. Yes.
Q. So he would have been there as well?
A. It's possible.
Q. Then lastly you also referenced other officers on the scene, including yourself, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You were there?
A. Yes.
Q. All this was thought to be understood until
the past weekend when $I$ was informed by one of my Explorers who spent off time with Josh that Josh is upset and voiced his opinion on some topics, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. The Explorer you're referring to would have been Joe Belmonte, correct?
A. I don't know.
Q. Did you have other Explorers under your tutelage that spent a lot of time away from work with Josh?
A. I don't know how much time they spent together. I know they were mostly close.
Q. Were there other Explorers who spent time away from work with Josh that knew he was upset?
A. I wasn't there.

MS. KOLLINS: Speculation.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Officer, give a moment after the objection before you answer so the court can rule.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Are there any other potential Explorers, other then Joe Belmonte, you might have been talking about?
A. Yes.
Q. Give me an example?
A. Austin Herrera, Austin Cane, Larry Jones, Stephanie Chavez, I'm not sure how many more I had at the time.
Q. Each of these 4 spent his off time with

Josh?
MS. KOLLINS: Objection, speculation.
MR. MACARTHUR: Let me clean that up.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. You said spent his time with Josh, right? THE COURT: Perhaps a better way to rephrase, but I appreciate you're making a more complete record. While it was speculation the way the question was asked, you recognized that. I'll sustain the objection, but you may proceed, of course, rephrase.

MR. MACARTHUR: Thank you.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Your letter says Explorer spent time with Josh?
A. That is what the letter said.
Q. To the extent you included Explorer Stephanie somebody, that is not what you're talking about is it?
A. I don't know $I$ wrote. This was a long time ago.
Q. So you might have referred to her as him?
A. It must have been one of the male Explorers.
Q. That's what I was thinking. Are you aware of any other male Explorers that spent their free time with Josh?
A. I don't know who spend time with Josh when I'm
not with him. I don't know.
Q. You knew at the time. You know who Joseph Belmonte is, don't you?
A. He wasn't an Explorer.
Q. I'll take your word for that. Wasn't Joe Belmonte in the car with you on March 22, 2015 at that stop?
A. As an Explorer advisor. I have several ride-alongs with me. I don't know don't or remember who was in the car with me that day. I don't know.
Q. All right. Now, in the final paragraph highlighted in yellow, you go on to say other officers and Explorers have talked with Josh countless times about his relationship with Morgan and his performance among other things at work?
A. Yes.
Q. You are not aware of any documentation of these counseling sessions, is that your testimony?
A. Correct.
Q. Going back to the top paragraph, Josh is counseled about his actions by Sergeant Erickson, Sergeant Clark and the officers on scene, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Isn't this letter to Sergeant Clark?
A. Yes.
Q. So you're telling Sergeant Clark that he counseled Josh on March 22nd?
A. Yes. He instructed me to write this.
Q. Sergeant Clark instructed you to write this letter?
A. Yes.
Q. Didn't he, in fact, instruct you to write this letter on March 29th, 2015?
A. I don't remember the date.
Q. Let me see if I can jog your recollection?

Do you remember there being a counseling session with you, now Detective Samples, Sergeant Clark on March 29th. I hope I'm not messing this up. Maybe I should leave Samples out of it, maybe we could be talking about Wirey.

You remember a counseling session with Sergeant Clark, yourself, Josh and one other person on March 29th?
A. I don't know the date, but I remember the counseling session.
Q. So you do remember the counseling session?
A. Yes.
Q. Wasn't it immediately after that Sergeant Clark told you to write this letter?
A. I don't know when he told me to write it, if

```
it was close in proximity.
    Q. Same day?
    A. Sorry?
    Q. Wasn't it in fact the same day?
    A. I don't know.
    Q. Didn't -- at that counseling session, isn't it
true that Josh again brought up the circumstances of March
22, 2015?
```

A. I don't remember if he did. It's a long time ago.
Q. You testified yesterday you remember counseling him not only at the scene on March 22 nd in front of McDonalds but also at that counseling session that he had uniform dereliction, the clip?
A. Correct.
Q. That he had had too much contact with Morgan?
A. Correct.
Q. I believe there was too much contact with suspects at a scene?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there a fourth one, you remember?
A. I know one that I talked about earlier was him chasing a stolen vehicles or a suspect vehicle that was in the northeast.
Q. You said these things were violations and performance problems, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But didn't you also say in the letter that these were things that he was allowed to do in the northeast?

I'm referring to the second page, middle paragraph. That's my mistake. That is not where it says it. Second to last paragraph of the first page. Again my apologies.

That paragraph, didn't you in fact tell Sergeant Clark that up at Northeast Area Command Josh was permitted to do these things?
A. The sergeants weren't as, I guess, cognizant of Joshes' action in Northeast compared to Sergeant Erickson and Sergeant Clark.
Q. Is your answer consistent with that. You said they're not cognizant, meaning they didn't know he was doing it? Is that what you are saying now?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, speculation.
Argumentative.
THE COURT: Overruled. The witness may answer.
You may need to clarify.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. You say sergeants there were not cognizant,
they didn't understand he was doing that? You said that just now, right?
A. I can't speak for them. Whether they counseled him or didn't counsel him.
Q. Please listen to my question. Did you just say they weren't cognizant of it, did you just say that?
A. Okay.
Q. In the letter, the last sentence of that paragraph you said these practices were accepted at NEAC?
A. Yes.
Q. That's not the same as not being aware or not understanding it, is it. It's accepted?
A. That is what I wrote, yes.
Q. So if I understand you correctly Sergeant Clark is one other person who had a disciplinary counseling session for Josh doing something that heretofore he had been allowed to do, right?
A. He's not supposed to do those things.
Q. Regardless of whether he was supposed to do those things, you said they were accepted at NAC and having done them at Enterprise he then had a disciplinary meeting about doing the exact same things?
A. I didn't discipline him. I counseled him at Northeast as well.
Q. I asked you if you had a disciplinary meeting
about them?
A. I don't know if you call it a meeting. I counseled him and told him what he was doing was unacceptable.
Q. Was he getting an accommodation for having done these things?
A. I don't know if he ever received an accommodation.
Q. Was he discouraged from doing them?
A. Yes, because it's unsafe.
Q. So you might refer to that as a disciplinary action?
A. I don't know if he received any disciplinary action. I'm not sure you can as a volunteer.
Q. Well, he was terminated soon after, wasn't he?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, misstates the evidence.

THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. MACARTHUR: Are you aware of a bulletin that stated that Josh Honea was not allowed inside of Metro buildings on May 18, 2015.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember the date. I do remember the bulletin. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Would you disagree or have any reason to think it wasn't true if I said it was May 18th?
A. No reason to argue.
Q. Would you agree that March 22 nd and May 18th, 2015 would be separated by a month and 3 weeks?
A. Sure.
Q. Now, yesterday I believe your testimony to the State that you drafted that letter soon after your counseling session with Josh at the Yard House?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony.

THE COURT: Sustained. You may rephrase. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Did you draft the letter soon after the counseling session you, Officer Wirey, and Detective Samples at the Red Rock?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony.

THE COURT: At this point the court needs clarification. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Can you ask it again, sir.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Sure. Yesterday you testified?
A. Yes.
Q. The State asked you questions?
A. Yes.
Q. One of the questions was when did you write this letter, remember that?
A. There were a lot of questions yesterday.
Q. Does that sound familiar?
A. Sure.
Q. My question to you is did you testify yesterday that you wrote this letter soon after the counseling session at the Red Rock with Josh and the other guys?
A. I'm not sure when the Red Rock was.
Q. Officer Zafiris, isn't it in fact true you wrote this letter after you found that Josh was claiming that you had done improper things in regard to those suspects?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, vague.
THE COURT: What's the time frame,
Mr. MacArthur. I don't believe there is evidence in the record with regard to that complaint. You may clarify. Sustained.

BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. We've spent a good amount of time talking about the possession of stolen vehicle stop March 22nd, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You referenced that vehicle stop in your letter, right?
A. I reference a stop. It could have been that one. It could have been other ones he did the same thing.
Q. You reference Sergeant Erickson was present?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember I asked you questions about things that might have been given to Sergeant Erickson by Josh or said to Sergeant Erickson by Josh?
A. Which I don't know.
Q. You remember that question?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you clear what incident I'm talking about?
A. Yes.
Q. Isn't it in fact true that you drafted this letter after Josh betrayed you by casting what you did at that scene as improper?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, mischaracterizes the evidence in the record.

MR. MACARTHUR: I'm allowed --
THE COURT: Objection sustained. Mr. MacArthur,
if wish to proceed to lay foundation for an additional
line of questioning along these lines, you may.

MR. MACARTHUR: Yes, ma'am.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Officer Zafiris, there were 4 suspects associated with that car on March 22, 2015, weren't there?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection mischaracterizes the evidence in the record.

MR. MACARTHUR: He can say yes or no, Judge.
MS. KOLLINS: Objection as to suspects.
THE COURT: Let's do this. Let's take a brief recess. It's been about an hour and folks need to use the restroom and we cn resolve these concerns and make sure we can complete the process.

JURY ADMONITION
During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties and witnesses, on any subject connected with this trial, or any other case referred to during it, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, or any such other case by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, internet or radio.

You are further admonished not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the
case is finally submitted to you.
See you back in 10 minutes.
(Brief recess taken.)
THE COURT: Couple things. One reason I wanted
to call a recess was as $I$ was thinking about you resuming questioning, Mr. MacArthur, certainly I recall sort of generalized questions from yesterday about if this had happened would that be improper and that type of thing. I don't know that that was tied up in a way -- and that sort of the basis of the bench conference -- that I didn't believe there had been a foundation laid for there having been improper conduct such that then there would be the foundation for the question you asked.

Plus I also didn't believe there had been in the record any evidence there had been a complaint made or brought to his attention based on his denial in questions like that yesterday.

So -- but I do not have a problem with you laying foundation. I think where we get into difficulty is I didn't expect you go back and try to lay the foundation from the beginning on the time of March 22 nd and what occurred that day and all those things, then get to the question. I thought you were going to go to the question of, you know, reminding everyone this is what you said yesterday, was this improper, blah, blah, blah.

I'm not going tell you how to do your job, I just want to be get these objections because when you're rephrasing, paraphrasing, or summarizing for question purposes things that occurred in the testimony and they don't match exactly or they are literally so truncated we get objections, we're going be on a spinning wheel.

MS. MCNEILL: The objection is a little disingenuous. We already established there were 4 people taken into custody. They were arrested.

THE COURT: There is no record there were 4 people in custody.

MS. MCNEILL: It's in the CAD. He told us
yesterday and today --
THE COURT: Let's back up and remember what we're doing here. There is information in the CAD report. He identified that line. There is that information. I think we all yesterday -- give the court a little slack too. I'm doing my best to remember everything that come along, but $I$ haven't lived this case every moment like each of you have. My recollection was two people were arrested. My recollection was there was some discussion about possibly a third being taken into custody then let go. There is discuss of whether there were 4 people, I don't know that a reasonable dispute there were 4 people, 4 people arrested. I saw the CAD report today, but he
said he didn't know if he was clearing the car. He didn't follow up on the 4 in custody. We have that. Okay.

If we get past that hurdle of there being 4 in custody, again, we keep sort of dancing around. It's just not clear why we're not going to the heart of the question. If you have questions to ask just ask the questions. We're dancing around them with, I don't know how to articulate this anymore. I'm frustrated myself.

Take the CAD report back and evidence back. I see it. I don't believe the objection to be disingenuous because the problem is yesterday when Mr. MacArthur was asking a question about 3 people before he talked about 4 people. It's all over the map here. So maybe we can clear it up with this guy and maybe that's the basis to go back and start from the beginning of time and reask the questions.

It's been confusing to the jury and sure as hell been confusing to me. I think when we have these summary questions the objections are valid. I want to get through them. We'll get where you need to go, I'm just trying to get us there.

Ms. Kollins, anything to add. MS. KOLLINS: I do, your Honor.

In the interest of being genuine with the court being taken into custody and being arrested are two
different things. Often people are removed from a vehicle, handcuffed for security purposes. Because they were taken into custody does not mean they were ultimately arrested. And we know that the driver and the passenger were arrested and the case was denied against the passenger.

Possession of stolen vehicle requires actual or constructive possession of a vehicle with knowledge that it's stolen and the same elements apply to dope in the trunk. It's not unusual that they will pull everyone out of a car and not make arrests on the scene. So to call them suspects, just because they were taken into custody at some point is disingenuous in my mind. Those are apples and oranges to me.

MS. MCNEILL: Suspects mean you haven't yet been arrested and are suspicious they may have committed a crime, which you can't take somebody into custody unless you believe they comitted a crime.

THE COURT: We're going to get where we need to go. How are we going to get there. What's the proffer Mr. MacArthur for the next line of questioning so we can get where we need go.

MR. MACARTHUR: The original question, Judge, was you wrote this letter because Josh ratted you out. To save time. Josh ratted you out, that's why you wrote the
letter. He says, no, I don't know what you're talking about. I take him back to the fact he is like, I don't know what violation you are talking about. We talk about the 22 nd. You know that Josh used the radio and indicated there were 3, then 4 people taken into custody. None of that is inside the police report. You know there's a woman inside is the CAD said there is a gun inside me and there's drugs inside the vehicle. He says, I then left the scene. And I said, you can still track this information. He said I don't know whether I did or not. Then I asked him didn't you in fact authorize the release of the bags from the back of the car to the other two people who are not mentioned inside to police report.

I also referenced how Josh shared this information with Sergeant Erickson, who he said is not in his chain of command. His sergeant is Clark. I referenced how Erickson is referenced inside this letter then I'm going to tighten up the time as to when the letter is written because I know when it was sent on from Sergeant Clark to internal affairs.

I'm getting an objection literally every time I ask a question that the State doesn't want to hear the answer to. And I don't think it's because it's confusing. And I don't think somehow the questions are too broad. I'm relying on things established in the background. I don't
expect him to have a perfect memory, but if it's confusing it's because of the State's incessant objections not because I'm not being clear.

THE COURT: Respectfully, Mr. MacArthur, the court had a concern about your question too. I'm not going to try to burden our reporter to go back before we broke the witness to read the question, but the way you just rephrased the initial question wasn't the question you asked from the court's memory a moment ago. The question the court remembers was isn't it true you wrote the letter because you got in trouble for or some fashion it wasn't Josh ratted you out. It wasn't that set up. I think you can get there. If that is how you intend to get there, I think that those questions you can proceed with those. But, you know, again I've asked counsel to stop arguing and/or objecting and/or speculating about why either side is doing what they're doing because it doesn't get us anywhere.

I want basis of objection. I want response to objection. And either counsel can make the objection, if they have a valid basis. Each time they made one it's resinating with me, and I'm trying to get us where we need to go.

So I think we can resume. I think you regrouped that question. And I think you start going, and we'll see
where we end up. But I didn't hear that question, and I didn't hear the way the question was asked. I didn't recall there being a factual basis for it. Fair enough. Let's try to resume and get it done.

Ms. Kollins at the bench I would note she indicated the way in which the question asked that the State wouldn't object to that. That's not the question you led with.

Did you want to make any additional comment on what was discussed at the bench.

MS. KOLLINS: No, ma'am. Can we take a recess.
THE COURT: Let's take 7 minutes.
(Brief recess taken.)
THE COURT: Resuming in State of Nevada vs. Joshua Honea. All counsel are present. Mr. Honea is present.

Officer, can you acknowledge you are still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur, you may proceed when you're ready. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. I may need to clear some things up so we both know what we're talking about.
A. Yes.
Q. Backing up a little. We talked about counseling with Josh on March 22nd after he gave the RSV stop and some other date you don't remember where Clark was present, right?
A. Yes.
Q. We talked about the things that he had been counseled about beside were uniform violation, contact with Morgan, too much contact with suspects, right?
A. Right.
Q. And wasn't it in fact it was for improper radio use?
A. I'm not sure. Many things were discussed.
Q. Do you remember that being an issue?
A. Well, yes, in pervious incidents, yes.
Q. That in mind let's revisit the facts we've established. You are not obligated to agree with me. You can answer yes or no.
A. Okay.
Q. March 22 nd Josh made the PSV stop?
A. Yes.
Q. You testified yesterday that he did that while he was stopping to get gas?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. You didn't say did it because he was going above and beyond what he's suppose to do. He said he
happened to run the plate while he was getting gas at Chevron?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, I asked you earlier today if you knew what $P U$ meant and you said you did not, correct?
A. Correct. I don't know what it means.
Q. Then I asked you if you could, by using the CAD, identify who the primary units was and you said 2DP 39 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me that that is located there?
A. Yes.
Q. So you understand what PU means here, but not down here?
A. The top of the page or on the screen for the jurors that looks consistent -- just that section, that column looks consistent with what I can see on the computer in the car.
Q. Okay. So at the top of the page it says PU primary unit, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Does it also say here right after the call is initiated PU for Josh, volunteer patrol $27 ?$
A. Yes.
Q. And then at 15:04:35, next to your call sign, does it say PU42P4?
A. Yes.
Q. Isn't it in fact correct that you became the primary unit at that time in that line?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. While you're at the scene Josh updates to dispatch that two people are in custody. And you're taking the third person in custody?
A. Yes.
Q. Later on he updates there are 4 people in custody and you're clearing the vehicle?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony.

THE COURT: Sustained. Show the entry please. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. 15:09:04, you are 2P4, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Says 4 in custody, you are clearing the vehicle, right?
A. That is what it says. It doesn't mean I did it.
Q. That's what your call signal reported to dispatch, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You would agree with me that the police report doesn't seem to reference any suspects other then the two arrested -- one female one male?
A. Yes.
Q. There is no record of the two other individuals in custody when you were cleaning the vehicle, correct?
A. Okay.
Q. You'd agree with me that the police report makes no record of any weapons such as guns, knives, firearms?
A. It does.
Q. It does?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

THE COURT: This is the report of -MR. MACARTHUR: This is Officer Blum's report, declaration of arrest attributed to Samantha Chavez. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. My question was isn't it in fact true that that report by Officer Blum doesn't reference any guns or firearms?
A. There is a term in the report that is a street term used for firearm.
Q. You mean burner?
A. Yes.
Q. That is the burner she said, the gun that was inside her?
A. From reading this, that's what I'm assuming.
Q. Okay. We know from the CAD that someone reported that to dispatch, right?
A. Yes.
Q. At 15:56:58?
A. Yes.
Q. The female stated she had a 413 inside of her. You said 413 was a gun. And possibly a large amount of 446 -- being drugs -- in the vehicle also, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Lastly, you indicated that before that entry you left the scene, correct? You left or went to another assignment before that happened?
A. Yes.
Q. Isn't it in fact true, Officer Zafiris, you released the duffel bags in the trunk of that car to the other two occupants that are not referenced inside the arrest report?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: Overruled. The witness may answer.

THE WITNESS: Again, sir.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Isn't it fact true that you released duffel bags that had been in the trunk of that car to the two other individuals that are not identified in that police report?
A. I don't know what I did at the scene.
Q. Okay. Do you remember now, as you sit there, whether these were the violations of policy on Joshes use of the radio?
A. You are saying these, I don't understand what you are asking.
Q. If transmissions that we just went over, the updates as to how many suspects were in custody, what it is you are doing, are these the violations, the ones that are made by Josh, are these the violations?
A. He's too close to suspect contact and he shouldn't be in the area.
Q. The question is, are these the violations on the use of calls you are talking about?
A. I counseled him on this, yes.
Q. Are those the violations you were counseling him about?
A. The radio traffic that he sent on this call?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes among others.
Q. All right.

Isn't it in fact true that you had Officer Blum scrub this report for you so it didn't reference the two other people that had been taken into custody?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, argumentative.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: You're asking if I had Officer Blum write this for me. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Or alter or modify it for you?
A. He wrote this report.
Q. Isn't it in fact true you had Officer Blum eliminate any information about the guns and the drugs referenced in CAD that were in the trunk of that car?
A. I would never do that.
Q. All right. That brings us right before where we were before you left.

You said you don't remember when you wrote the letter, right?
A. Yes.
Q. We know about the 3 -- the March 22, 2015?
A. Yes.
Q. We know that at some point before Thursday the 26th of March, that you told Josh great stop kid, right?
A. That what it says, yes.
Q. We don't have a date for that, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But at some point before the 26 th you are commending him for a great stop, right?
A. I don't know because I'm not seeing messages before that. It depends on the context. It was a good stop. We referred a stolen vehicle. That's great. Somebody gets their vehicle back so that's a get stop. People get their property back.
Q. Okay. Then you appear to have a less pleasant conversation with Josh starting Thursday, March 26 at 2:05 in the afternoon, right?

He's asking you if you mind if he went back to Northeast Area Command?
A. Correct.
Q. You ask him why he would do that?
A. Yes.
Q. You said he said he misses the area, but he likes the officers at Enterprise, but not the area, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You said he only gave it a month. It's about to get real busy with summer around the corner, and you knew he was doing this because we spoke to you the other
day. I saw this coming.
Is that what you said?
A. Yes.
Q. You remember what that was about?
A. I said yesterday Josh felt like he was being a paper pusher at Northeast Area Command.
Q. Wasn't this, in fact, because he had ratted you out over the coms (ph) with regard to the 322 stop?
A. I don't know what you are talking about.
Q. He says, no, no. That's not why at all. That wasn't a big deal. I even told some people last week I wanted to go back. I was just afraid to tell you.

That's what he says?
A. Yes.
Q. You said, well, do whatever you want. They let you bend the rules over there. You'll eventually get hurt or ends up in a jackpot and get yourself in trouble for -- that's where it ends?
A. Yes.
Q. Continues on this page.
A. Yes.
Q. In trouble for the future. They treat you like shit and you go right back to them. We treat you like family over here and you have both me and Wirey over here. Whatever man.

Is that what you're saying?
A. Yes.
Q. Stuck my neck out for you thousands of times.

Thanks for making me like look an idiot.
How did he make you look like an idiot?
A. Like I said yesterday, I told everyone before he came to Enterprise he's a good kid. He's going to work hard. Help us out with these calls, especially the report ones. And when he kept doing what he was doing like bringing magazines to work and getting too close to suspect contacts, it's creating issues. Now I'm concerned about his safety on top of officer safety at the scene -whatever scene he's on.
Q. You agree with me your letter doesn't reference any magazines?
A. Are we talking about this letter.
Q. The letter doesn't mention anything about bringing magazines?
A. I believe it does.
Q. You agree it doesn't mention anything about your fear of him getting hurt?
A. Correct.
Q. Dude, seriously do what you want. You're a volunteer. I'm done giving you advice.

That's what it says?
A. Yes.
Q. So a little bit of a rift between you and Josh as represented on Thursday, March 26th?
A. All $I$ can do is advise him where I thought --
Q. Is that a yes?
A. What's the question, sir.
Q. The rift between you and Josh on Thursday, March 26?
A. Well, sure. It's a disagreement.
Q. All right. You sent your letter to Sergeant Clark, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you this e-mail. I understand it's drafted by Sergeant Clark. I'm not asking you about the substance of the e-mail.

Would you agree with me your letter is an attachment to that e-mail that he sent on up the chain?
A. Well, the attachment is titled. There is nothing written on my letter, so, no, I don't know. I can't tell you what it says.
Q. Turning the page you see your letter on the next page?
A. Yes.
Q. Would that appear to be the attachment he's talking about?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there something on that e-mail that would give you an idea as to when this was?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, foundation when Sergeant Clark sent the letter or e-mail.

THE COURT: Are we asking the date in which the e-mail took place.

MR. MACARTHUR: Yes.
THE COURT: He wouldn't have known about the
email. We have that record. I guess clarify your question, Mr. MacArthur. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. We're on the topic of when you wrote your letter.
A. Okay.
Q. We know that there was an incident on March 22nd, right?
A. Yes.
Q. That's the start time.
A. Yes.
Q. Because you referenced the incident?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, looking for the late as possible time. Is there information on that e-mail where Sergeant Clark forwards your concerns on up the chain, when did that
happen?
A. The date on this sheet says Sunday, March 29th, 20:15.
Q. Would you agree with me March 29 th is 7 days away from March 22nd?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me your letter to Sergeant Clark you referenced this happening a couple of weeks ago?
A. I write those words. There are several incidents.
Q. Would you agree with me that at the time you wrote that letter those events were recent in time?
A. March 22 nd one was, yes.
Q. So would you agree with me that you would have to write the letter sometime after March 22 nd, but before the time on that piece of paper on March 29th?
A. Yes.
Q. Sergeant Clark asked you to draft it, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You did that even though you don't know if you did it immediately the same day or you did that forthwith?
A. Correct.
Q. You agree with me that before the 26 th, you
told Josh great stop?
A. The text message, yes.
Q. So it would appear as though the letter hadn't not written before the 26 th, right?
A. I don't know the time stamp on the text.
Q. Would you agree the letter is written between the 26 th and the $29 t h$ ?
A. I don't know. It's possible. I don't know.
Q. Officer Zafiris, isn't it in fact true the reason Josh wants to go to Northeast Area Command was to get away from you?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, speculation.
Argumentative.
MR. MACARTHUR: If he knows.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Ask again, sir.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Isn't it true Josh wanted to transfer back to Northeast Area Command to get away from you?
A. I don't know how Josh feels. He's a volunteer. He can make his own decisions.
Q. Yesterday during direct examination by the State I believe the question was prefaced with in an abundance of candor, you're married, yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Your wife works at internal affairs?
A. Yes.
Q. At that time wasn't the head of internal
affairs division Karen Hughes?
A. I don't know.
Q. You don't know who your wife's boss was?
A. She worked for a sergeant. I don't know.
Q. Who is the sergeant?
A. I'm not sure. I know who her sergeant is now.
Q. Is it your testimony today you have no idea who Lieutenant Karen Hughes is?
A. I know who she is.
Q. Does she still work for Metro?
A. I don't belive so.
Q. Wasn't she in fact fired under allegations of police corruption?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection.
THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur, there's an objection.
This witness indicates he doesn't know. Sustained.
MR. MACARTHUR: Officer Zafiris, if you know, is
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Internal Affairs currently being investigated by --

MS. KOLLINS: Objection. May we approach. (Discussion held at the bench.)

THE COURT: Objection is sustained.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Something from yesterday stuck in my head. Would it be fair to say that to the extent we've talked about March 22nd, 2015 out there at Chevron and McDonalds, there is a lot of details you don't recall?
A. Yes.
Q. But before we broke last night, you said you specifically remembered counseling Josh at that scene, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You remember what you counseled him about?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't remember anything that happened?
A. Correct.

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony.

MR. MACARTHUR: He already answered.
THE COURT: Overruled. The witness answered.
You may proceed.
BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. You said that one of the reasons Josh was counseled is because he was having too much contact with suspects, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But you didn't remember how many suspects there were at that scene?
A. This is many years ago.
Q. But you remember thinking he had too much contact, you remember that specifically?
A. Because there were suspects at the scene. He shouldn't be there.
Q. But you don't remember any conversations he had with the female, right?
A. No.
Q. The fact that they were reflected in a radio communication and in the CAD didn't refresh your recollection, did it?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection. Mischaracterizes what is in the CAD. There's nothing in the CAD that he had a conversation was a female.

THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Putting it a different way, you read the police report by Officer Blum, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You know when it was she was Mirandized?
A. Yes.
Q. You know when Rudy Morano was Mirandized?
A. Yes.
Q. You know there was and hour and 2 minutes in between those two people being Mirandized?
A. Yes.
Q. You know, in that hour and 2 minutes on the CAD is where the female advises she's got a burner in her and there's drugs in the car, right?
A. From reading the report, yes.
Q. So stands to reason that she gave that information to somebody for it to make it in the CAD, right?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, speculation.
THE COURT: Overruled. He would be able to answer how things get into a CAD. He may answer. BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. Somebody said it or else they don't put it in a CAD, right?
A. It's up to the officer if they say it over the radio or put it in the computer, it's up to the individual officer.
Q. Clearly somebody did, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So that leads you to believe they got that information from the woman. Doesn't it say in the CAD it came from the woman?
A. Yes.
Q. Officer Zafiris, you remember Sergeant Tray Getofer (ph)?
A. Getoffer, yes.
Q. And Officer Learner?
A. Yes.
Q. Wasn't there a time in which you had some sort of dispute with them and you made allegations that they were --

MS. KOLLINS: Objection.
THE COURT: Counsel at the bench, please.
(Discussion held at the bench.)
THE COURT: Objection overruled. Mr. MacArthur, you may restate the question, but rephrase where you left off.

BY MR. MACARTHUR:
Q. I referenced Sergeant Getofer?
A. Yes.
Q. And Officer Learner?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you in fact report allegations that they are sexually harassing another officer by the name of Manfrey (ph)?

MS. KOLLINS: Foundation as to time and please.

MR. MACARTHUR: Mind sharing with us when that

