# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSHUA HONEA,

Appellant,

v. STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Docket No. 76621

Electronically Filed Dec 07 2018 10:53 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

# **APPELLANT'S APPENDIX**

### **VOLUME 12**

Jonathan MacArthur, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7072 Monique McNeill, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9862 P.O. Box 7559 Las Vegas, NV 89125 (702) 497-9734 Attorney for Appellant Honea

# **INDEX**

<b>Document</b>	Page Nos.
Ex Parte Application for Order Requiring	
Material Witness to Post Bail	vol. 1, 103-108
Information	vol. 1, 1-17
Instructions to the Jury	vol. 13, 3058-3116
Judgment of Conviction	vol. 14, 3291-3296
Motion for Judgment of Acquittal, or in the Alternative, Motion for a New Trial	vol. 13, 3129-3177
Motion for Setting of Appeal Bail,	vol. 14, 3249-3253
Motion to Admit Evidence of M.S.'s Knowledge Of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual Conduct	vol. 1, 26-31
Notice of Appeal	vol. 14, 3294-3296
Notice of Motion and Motion to Preclude Karen Hughes And Reference to Chief Deputy District Attorney Elizabe Mercer and/or Christopher Baughman and/or Convicted	
Defendant Raymond Sharpe at Trial	vol. 1, 84-95
Notice of Motion and Motion to Use Reported Testimony	vol. 1, 18-25
Order Declaring Defendant Indigent	vol. 14, 3293
Order Denying Motion for Acquittal or, in the Alternativ Motion for a New Trial	vol. 14, 3245-3248
Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail	

Or Be Committed to Custody	vol. 1, 109-110
Reply to State's Opposition to Motion to Admit Evidence Of M.S.'s Knowledge of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual	e
Conduct	vol. 2, 274-276
Second Amended Information	vol. 2, 258-273
State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine re Raymond Sharpe	vol. 1, 32-33
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Judgment Acquittal, or in the Alternative, Motion for a New Trial,	
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Admit Evidence of M.S.'s Knowledge of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual Conduct	vol. 1, 80-83
Transcript November 6, 2017	vol. 1, 34-79
Transcript November 15, 2017	vol. 1, 96-102
Transcript December 15, 2017	vol. 1, 120-140
Transcript January 3, 2018	vol. 13-14, 3178-3203
Transcript, January 10, 2018	vol. 14, 3222-3244
Transcript, May 21, 2018	vol. 14, 3254-3290
Trial Transcript, November 27, 2017	vol. 1-2,111-257
Trial Transcript, November 28, 2017	vol. 2, 277-440
Trial Transcript, November 29, 2017	vol. 2-3, 441-639
Trial Transcript, November 30, 2017	vol. 3-4, 640-895
Trial Transcript, December 1, 2017	vol. 4-5, 896-1080

Trial Transcript, December 4, 2017	vol. 5, 1081-1246
Trial Transcript, December 5, 2017	vol. 5-6, 1247-1396
Trial Transcript, December 6, 2017	vol. 6-7, 1397-1551
Trial Transcript, December 7, 2017	vol. 7, 1552-1725
Trial Transcript, December 8, 2017	vol. 7-8, 1726-1979
Trial Transcript, December 11, 2017	vol. 8-9, 1980-2182
Trial Transcript, December 12, 2017	vol. 9-10, 2183-2389
Trial Transcript, December 13, 2017	vol. 10-11, 2390-2534
Trial Transcript, December 14, 2017	vol. 11-12, 2535-2811
Trial Transcript, December 15, 2017	vol. 12-13, 2812-3057
Verdict	vol. 13, 3117-3128

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2018. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

ADAM LAXALT

JONATHAN MACARTHUR

STEVEN WOLFSON

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:

JOSHUA HONEA

By: /S/MONIQUE MCNEILL

MONIQUE A. MCNEILL

State Bar # 9862

1	Q. How long.
2	A. Year-and-a-half.
3	MS. RHOADES: Permission to approach.
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MS. RHOADES: Thank you.
6	BY MS. RHOADES:
7	Q. Would it surprise you if Morgan testified
8	under oath at a prior hearing that she didn't hang around
9	you very often at all?
10	A. Hang around myself?
11	Q. Yes.
12	A. No. I wouldn't say she did at times. But I
13	can say that she was always at our house.
14	Q. Publishing page 108 from State's admitted 67.
15	Okay. Looking at this question that is asked of
16	Morgan.
17	Question: Can you name those family members that
18	you spent time with?
19	She names, Larry Honea, who is the dad. Lori
20	Honea, who is his sister. Marty and Evelyn Coleman, who
21	are his grandparents. Those are the only family members I
22	know.
23	Would that surprise you that was what she testified
24	to under oath?
25	THE WITNESS: That's who she knows. Basically

that is my family. 1 BY MS. RHOADES: 2 3 There is talk about the relationship with the 0. 4 Then she talks about the time where she went over 5 to the house when the air-conditioner went out. talked about that on direct. 6 7 Α. Yes. Larry Honea is Joshes' dad? 8 Ο. 9 Yes. Α. 10 Did you ever hear about a jail call that was Q. 11 made in September 2015, that Josh had with his dad? 12 Α. No. 13 You never had a conversation with his dad 0. 14 about a jail call? 15 Α. No. We weren't living together. 16 Ο. Are you aware that in that conversation Josh 17 told his dad to talk to mom? 18 Α. I have spoken to him. I called him when the 19 incident happened, but, I mean, it's not -- I don't talk to him on a regular basis. 2.0 21 Do you have any reason to know why Joshes' dad 22 would think Josh and Morgan were in a dating 23 relationship? 24 I have no idea why he'd say that. Α. 25 Do you have any idea why Josh after he called Q.

his dad, after being asked that question, said to talk to 1 2 you? MS. MCNEILL: Your Honor, that misstates the 3 4 evidence. That is not what Josh responded. 5 MS. RHOADES: That's my recollection. There was a statement he made and he said immediately talk to mom, 6 7 talk to mom. MS. MCNEILL: He said we didn't date. We were 8 9 friends. 10 MS. RHOADES: He said talk to mom. Talk to mom 11 about it. 12 THE COURT: The question has come in. So based 13 on the evidence in the case, you may answer. 14 MS. RHOADES: Do you remember the question. 15 THE WITNESS: Would you repeat it. 16 BY MS. RHOADES: 17 Would it surprise you to know after Joshes' dad said to Josh -- well, she was upset because you broke 18 19 up with her. He said no -- after a long pause, he said, 20 no. We are just friends. Talk to mom. 21 Does that --22 MS. MCNEILL: Objection. 23 THE COURT: Don't answer. Can I have counsel at 24 the bench. 25 (Discussion held at the bench.)

THE COURT: Sustained. You can continue. 1 BY MS. RHOADES: 2 3 In September 2015, did you have a conversation Ο. 4 with your ex-husband about Morgan and Joshes' 5 relationship? 6 Α. I don't recall that, no. 7 Did you ever have a conversation with your ex-husband about hiding what you both knew about Morgan 8 9 and Joshes' relationship? 10 Α. No. 11 Ο. Your testimony on direct was that Josh treated 12 Morgan like all his other friends, remember that 13 testimony? 14 Α. Yes. 15 He treated her just like a sister? Ο. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Showing you 86. Do you recognize the people Q. 18 in this picture? 19 Α. Yes. Who is that? 20 Ο. 21 Josh and Morgan. Α. 22 Q. Have you seen that picture before? 23 Α. No. 24 Did Josh and Morgan ever kiss in front of Q. 25 you?

	1	
1	Α.	No. They never sat near each other.
2	Q.	Who would Morgan sit by when she came over?
3	Α.	Sometimes they'd sit on the floor. We had a
4	dog and she	would play with him. Otherwise we had two big
5	couches and	a chair.
6	Q.	Did you ever see Morgan and Josh holding
7	hands?	
8	Α.	Never.
9	Q.	Showing you 95. Have you seen that Christmas
10	collage of a	Josh and Morgan?
11	Α.	I don't remember. Actually, I don't
12	remember.	
13	Q.	So you may have seen this picture of them
14	kissing?	
15	Α.	No.
16	Q.	So is it, no, you have never seen the collage?
17	Α.	It doesn't look familiar.
18	Q.	Did Josh call Morgan Baby in front of you?
19	Α.	No.
20	Q.	Did Morgan ever call Josh Baby in front of
21	you?	
22	Α.	No.
23	Q.	Showing you 97. Does that collage look
24	familiar to	you?
25	Α.	No.

1	Q.	How about 101. Seen that picture?	
2	А.	No. Josh takes a lot of pictures.	
3	Q.	You want to tell me stuff. Have you seen	
4	this, nothi	ng better then the one of his laugh, along with	
5	a picture of them?		
6	А.	A lot of people say that about Josh.	
7	Q.	How about 102, every seen that one?	
8	А.	No.	
9	Q.	About 104?	
10	А.	No.	
11	Q.	110?	
12	А.	No.	
13	Q.	Have you seen Josh do that with any of his	
14	other frien	ids?	
15	А.	No.	
16	Q.	Have you seen Josh do that with his sister?	
17	Α.	No.	
18	Q.	You worked at the middle school and that's how	
19	you met Morgan?		
20	Α.	Yes.	
21	Q.	You knew how old she was?	
22	Α.	Yes.	
23	Q.	You obviously knew exactly how old Josh was	
24	the whole t	ime?	
25	Α.	Yes.	

MS. RHOADES: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Rhoades.

Ms. McNeill.

2.0

MS. MCNEILL: Just briefly. Nothing further.

THE COURT: Can I see by a show of hands if the jurors have questions for this witness. Seeing none, Ms. Coleman, you are excused. Thank you.

We'll recess for the evening. As the court explained yesterday we'll complete evidence and instruct and have closings and deliberation to commence tomorrow.

We'll resume at 9:00 a.m. in the morning.

#### JURY ADMONITION

During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties and witnesses, on any subject connected with this trial, or any other case referred to during it, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, or any such other case by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, internet or radio.

You are further admonished not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

See you tomorrow.

(Jury dismissed.)

MR. MACARTHUR: We have something related to the investigator.

THE COURT: Sorry. Mr. MacArthur, do us the benefit of reminding us.

MR. MACARTHUR: Sure.

2.0

First let me say that had it been articulated better we wouldn't finding ourself making this record now. But the reason why I don't believe that the testimony I was seeking from Tobbi Cappron was objectionable --

THE COURT: We are putting this in context of a bench conference, let's have context first. Then I have no problem with you elaborating.

MR. MACARTHUR: I asked a question to Tobbi
Cappron about contact she had with Ashley Gruter, who is
an older cousin of Morgan Savage, and the State earlier in
the trial had suggested that Morgan Savage's testimony
changed suddenly when we had contact with her on Tuesday,
November 28th, the second day of trial. It was voir dire
day. We went over the jail and spoke with her, as she was
in custody on a material witness warrant.

Now, as the State's suggested theory, implied that somehow we had been responsible for the change in her testimony, namely the recantation it was relevant to that analysis for the jury to know what the order of operations

was that led us to want to speak with Morgan.

2.0

Now, I knew there would be a potential hearsay problem with what Ashley Gruter said. Ashley Gruter had detailed that when Morgan lived there in Minnesota she'd been something of a pain in the ass, that she had developed a habit of stealing a lot of things, petty larceny type things. It's a small town. She got road out. She doesn't believe she's going to get arrested. She came back here and that's when she ends up at Monte Vista for a drug problem.

But the contact with Ashley Gruter was surprising because she had been very positive in the voluntary statement that was provided as part of the discovery. We didn't know if the State would be using her as a witness or not, we wanted to make sure we talk to her.

THE COURT: Positive, what do you mean.

MR. MACARTHUR: In the voluntary statement back in 2015, she had been supportive of Morgan detailing an incident where she felt as though Josh was asserting himself to have contact with Morgan when she didn't want to have contact with him.

THE COURT: Supportive of Morgan of Morgan's testimony previously.

MR. MACARTHUR: Yes. In a 2015 voluntary statement Ashley Gruter was supportive of Morgan Savage.

THE COURT: Generally, not trying to say supportive of Morgan's version of events just Morgan.

MR. MACARTHUR: She believed Morgan, although she had no personal knowledge as to whether they actually had an intimate relationship. She detailed, as I said, an incident where she believed that Josh had created an opportunity to have contact with Morgan that she felt uncomfortable about and she conveyed that story to Metro.

So, in preparation for trial we wanted to establish contact with Ashley Gruter because we thought the State might call her as a witness.

We were surprised with when the investigator got back to us and said that Ashley Gruter was now hostile and negative toward Morgan Savage. When we asked why that's when we learned the information about the petty theft and drugs up in Minnesota.

It was Ashley Gruter's statement that while she did not say so explicitly that based on things Morgan had said it led her to believe that the allegations against Josh may have been false. Now, that would have been the hearsay objectionable content. However, when our investigator learned that she contacted us, provided us with Ashley Gruter's phone number and our impression was if that's true then we need to find Morgan Savage as

quickly as possible in order to learn whether or not the allegations are now recanted.

2.0

We sent the investigator out. And let me say now that the answer I tried to give to the objection for hearsay was it shows the effect on the listener. We didn't know whether or not the recantation at that point was true. We just wanted to track it down because Ashley Gruter gave us a reason to believe that was the case.

So we sent Tobbi out to see if we could locate

Morgan. We were unsuccessful. We got additional
information from Stacey as to where they had success
contacting her. Namely in an alley near Charleston and
Torrey Pines. We then went out to that area. We located
the alley referenced. We located her father's vehicle and
his whereabouts, but were not able to locate Morgan
herself. We left a card and information that she would be
able to use to contact us. She never actually did that.
It was our impression that the State was going to proceed
to trial with her as an unavailable witness and prosecute
the case using preliminary hearing testimony.

Tobbi then contacted us again and said that the State had applied for and successfully received a material witness warrant. We had some discussion as to whether we thought the State would do that. We thought their case would be stronger without her. So we weren't hopeful that

she would be in custody.

But Tobbi contacted us a third time and said she had actually been taken in custody into CCDC on the material witness warrant. We asked her if she was available to go over with us in order to interview Morgan. She said she was not. Monique and I decided this was an opportunity that we couldn't afford to miss. We went over.

Our recollection was we talked to her for 45 minutes or more, but the computer thing says half hour. We didn't record the date or time with that kind of specificity.

That was when we learned all of the information that was presented by Morgan Savage at the beginning of trial, which led to her receiving immunity.

So, in the bench conference, I was trying to explain that it really was for the truth of the matter asserted. We were trying to find various means to get that in. It's not because we needed the jury to believe that Morgan actually recanted to Ashley. We just needed them to understand that starting around November 3rd or 4th, we had gotten this information that perhaps Morgan had been dishonest in 2015. That led us to look for her in the street, not having found her in the street that led us to avail ourselves of the opportunity to contact her as quick as possible while she was in custody. And, therefore, we did not think it was hearsay. It was just relevant to

show how we arrived at the information we did.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

MS. KOLLINS: Just briefly, your Honor.

It's classic hearsay, one. Two, I have spoken to Ashley Gruter. She never told me Morgan gave a recantation. Ever. She told me that the kid was getting in trouble. She's sneaking out. She's shoplifting. She's smoking weed and sneaking out with a guy. That's what Ms. Gruter told me.

What I find interesting of the conversation is they're saying they had recant information in their hands now weeks before we visited Morgan. I know that really doesn't have anything to do with the bench conference, but everything we've talked about, oh, my God, I never knew this was going to happen. Well, apparently they did know it was going to happen or they thought they knew it was going to happen. And they tried to get Ms. Gruter to buttress that and couldn't elicit the hearsay. I think it's hearsay, out of court statement, offered for the truth that there was some recant from Morgan. That's not the information that the State has from Ms. Gruter.

THE COURT: The court's ultimate ruling is, to just clarify was, it was hearsay and to the extent that this information got to come in through Ashley herself.

Any final remarks, Mr. MacArthur.

MR. MACARTHUR: I'm uncomfortable with the State's response, because it implies that our version of events is -- briefly let me just say, there was every reason for the State to know that something might have been wrong with Morgan Savage's testimony, because I had text them -- well, before I text them we had had a conversation in the anti-room and I said that I thought this case was worth, blah, blah, blah 2, one to 6's, and Alford or not and right to argue. She knows we had that conversation. And at that time she staunchly says I'd rather go to trial and lose on all counts. I said I understand that. We're not that close together.

Then I also sent another text, which she read into the record, where I made that, perhaps, not as funny as I intended it to sound, but, hey, my birthday is coming. We could resolve this case with a sexy 1 to 6. The reason I said that is because I knew that Morgan might not confirm what it was that the State thought she was going to testify to. Especially, if she was actually here to testify as opposed to using the preliminary hearing transcript. We both prepared our cases. I happen to arrived at the conclusion which ended up being correct. The State, for some reason, did not see that coming. That does not mean that they were at all duped or either party had to be dishonest.

1	MS. MCNEILL: When I said they had a duty to
2	disclose, they didn't feel they did. I don't know why
3	Morgan recanted.
4	MS. KOLLINS: When you say recant, I didn't know
5	that was going to happen.
6	MS. MCNEILL: We don't have to disclose on the
7	defense side, your Honor.
8	MS. KOLLINS: I do have some text messages of
9	the banter about what was said.
10	THE COURT: I'd prefer not. We're done.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	* * * *
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	OF
3	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
4	* * * *
5	
6	
7	
8	I, the undersigned certified court reporter in and for the
9	State of Nevada, do hereby certify:
L O	
L1	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the
L2	time and place therein set forth; that the testimony and
L3	all objections made at the time of the proceedings were
L 4	recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter
L5	transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing is a
L6	true record of the testimony and of all objections made at
L7	the time of the proceedings.
L8	
L9	
20	, ( )
21	66 01 - 1 1 - 26 10
22	2 March Source
23	Sharon Howard
24	C.C.R. #745
25	

<b>-</b> .	1 27	1.60.11
< Dates >.	November 9th	162:11.
April 2015	11:21, 22:18,	120. 161:23.
89:15.	22:22.	121 3:18.
April, may	September 2015	13 47:9, 48:20,
130:5.	134:14, 145:4,	48:25, 51:10,
DECEMBER 14, 2017	218:11, 220:3.	57:19, 114:8.
1:27, 5:1.	#745 232:28 <b>.</b>	13. 52:8.
December 2012	'12 115:10.	131 9:21, 10:11.
51:3, 57:13.	'13 115:11.	134 3:19.
December 2014	'14 68:10.	13:48:41 163:2.
151:8.		13:48:57. 163:3.
February 18th		13th 142:3.
88:10, 88:23.	< 1 >.	14 7:16, 11:7,
February 2nd	1 27:11, 27:13,	12:16, 14:24,
87:21.	230:16.	15:22, 17:23,
January 1st, 2016	10 85:19,	19:12, 19:13,
13:12.	115:10.	19:16, 26:1,
January 2013	100 15:11.	28:6, 28:10,
57:13.	101 15:11.	28:13, 29:1,
January 2014	101. 222:1.	32:5, 32:10,
65:4.	102 31:5, 222:7.	57:18, 97:21.
January 2015	102 51:5, 222:7.	14. 7:11, 15:7.
78:21.	103. 31:6.	145 3:20.
	1030 20:9.	145 3:20.
January 26th,		14:47 139:19.
10:48 78:23.	1030. 26:22.	
January 31 83:7.	1036. 20:9.	15 11:13, 19:13,
January, february	104 59:22,	19:16, 28:6,
73:23, 78:9.	222:9.	28:10, 28:13,
June 22nd	1054 35:4.	29:1, 38:15,
164:14.	1060 35:4.	68:13, 91:6,
June 22nd, 2015	108 217:14.	91:11, 122:13,
162:16.	108. 91:2.	139:16, 163:6,
June 23rd 139:10,	109 58:22.	164:16.
141:1.	11 14:24, 15:20,	15-year-old
June 29th 82:12.	114:7, 115:10.	92:21.
June, 2015 93:2.	110 3:9, 3:10,	15. 19:12.
March 2015	22:17, 59:3,	159 3:25.
92:22.	222:11.	16 9:4, 9:6, 11:6,
November 18th	111. 60:2.	13:2, 13:6,
172:24.	113 3:14.	13:7, 16:24,
November 28th	118 3:15.	17:24, 19:13,
224:19.	119 136:1,	45:5, 45:6,
November 3rd	136:17.	45:15, 45:17,
228:19.	119. 137:3.	60:14, 199:6.
November 4th	11:30 172:19.	16. 11:12, 12:14,
176:8, 177:20.	12 115:10,	15:8.
November 5th	131:23.	165 3:26.
177:20.	12. 17:15.	169 3:27.
November 9, 2017	120 20:9, 26:22,	170 3:32.
12:5, 27:6.	162:8, 162:9,	177 3:33.
-,	1 -,,	

184 3:34.  185 4:5.  19 32:12, 32:13.  .  < 2 >.  2 9:1, 27:13,	2013. 53:15,     158:1.  2014 58:12, 66:1,     66:16, 67:11,     68:5, 150:1,     158:2, 204:11,     216:19,     216:20.  2015 66:1, 77:21,     77:22, 83:8,     89:18, 91:19,     94:6, 127:8,     127:12, 130:5,     142:1, 151:19,     204:11, 225:18,     225:24.  2015. 73:20,     139:10,     228:21.  2016 35:23. 2017 22:17. 208 4:6. 20th 183:12. 21 32:18, 148:1,     164:19,     164:20. 21. 32:13. 21st 142:6. 23 165:1. 233 4:11. 24 134:18,     164:22. 25 1:2. 25. 50:8.	155:1, 156:1, 157:3, 163:23, 165:16, 172:13, 173:6, 212:9. 3. 27:10, 27:14. 30 156:10, 163:5. 301 35:4. 32 35:23. 350 11:2, 15:10. 360 11:13. 385 35:23. 3rd 11:2, 31:5, 35:9, 181:14. < 4 >  4 32:4, 75:13, 101:1, 151:11, 155:1, 155:2, 185:9, 186:14, 197:18, 198:3. 45 228:8. 46 117:6. 47 3:7. 48 179:6. 4th 181:14, 228:19. < 5 >  5 9:4, 32:2, 32:21, 32:22, 147:21, 172:13, 173:6, 186:14,
133:20, 134:20,	25 1:2.	32:21, 32:22, 147:21, 172:13,

54 76:10, 77:3, 77:6, 78:3, 78:5, 89:10. 54. 76:3, 76:7. 5:30 173:1. 5th 176:9. < 6 >. 6 32:2, 32:21, 32:22, 119:14,	8:45 65:5, 65:19. 8th 67:11, 114:8, 193:10. < 9 >. 9 23:10, 24:20, 29:21, 39:12, 45:22, 115:10. 90 57:7.	accept 83:3, 86:22. acceptance 132:25. accepted 86:24. accommodate 5:15. accompany 183:23. accompanying 197:9.
209:23, 209:25, 230:8. 6. 230:16. 67. 217:14. 6th 99:3, 118:2, 187:2, 189:13, 190:21, 191:3, 191:20, 191:22, 191:24, 193:11,	92 57:19, 57:22. 93 11:2, 15:11. 94. 57:25. 95. 58:5, 221:9. 97 3:8. 97. 221:23. 9:00 223:11. 9th 71:7, 193:10, 193:11.	accurate 21:22. accurately 77:23, 195:8. accused 20:15, 102:21. accustomed 34:14. acknowledge 46:21, 97:16.
199:3, 199:8, 201:5, 201:15, 205:6.		act 7:10, 7:16, 7:19, 20:12, 20:14, 20:18, 21:2. action 67:5, 113:11, 121:15, 146:17, 170:8, 185:16.
209:23, 209:25. 745 1:34. 76 94:10, 197:19, 198:6. 76. 210:7. 79. 138:1. 7th 191:21, 199:3,	16:6, 17:4, 17:11. able 11:18, 22:20, 27:3, 28:15, 38:16, 92:8, 96:16, 121:1, 152:9, 171:16, 174:25, 175:2,	active 199:11. activities 12:18, 65:17, 65:20, 65:21, 67:22, 78:13, 194:20, 199:5, 200:11, 201:1. activity 9:5,
199:8, 201:6, 201:15, 205:6. < 8 >. 8 46:8. 83. 55:23, 194:17.	180:9, 181:7, 182:7, 190:16, 227:15, 227:17. above 29:12. abrupt 72:6, 103:16, 103:23.	12:17, 144:7. Actually 10:1, 24:9, 80:4, 101:25, 104:20, 106:18, 106:22, 131:3, 186:10, 203:23, 216:15, 221:11, 226:4,
84 56:2. 85. 56:6. 86. 220:17. 87. 56:13. 88. 56:16. 89. 56:25.	abruptly 71:20. Absent 25:1. Absolutely 28:5, 44:15, 205:1. abuse 110:17, 111:9, 213:4.	227:17, 228:3, 228:18, 230:19. adapted 34:14. add 18:16, 30:1, 37:9, 39:9,

40:20, 43:1.	207:8, 207:22.	allegations
added 35:14.	advise 63:5.	152:25, 226:20,
addition 17:14,	advised 100:9.	227:2.
37:8, 175:12.	Affect 175:18.	alleged 12:18,
additional 19:10,	affix 29:16.	43:20.
19:16, 25:14,	afford 228:7.	alley 182:2,
28:8, 39:11,	afield 28:22.	182:3, 182:8,
113:6, 227:10.	afternoon 49:20,	227:12,
additionally	113:21, 118:20,	227:14.
40:21.	147:4, 147:5,	allow 129:6,
address 30:3,	170:18, 172:14,	132:21,
33:3, 43:8.	186:1.	199:25.
addressed 116:3.	aggravating	allowed 44:3,
adjust 22:7.	123:10.	135:16, 180:21,
adjustments	ago 18:19, 51:5,	208:12.
24:13.	51:6, 66:19,	almost 63:14.
administer	85:19, 114:7,	Alone 53:20,
115:21.	131:10, 148:19,	53:21, 67:24,
administrative	-	
	154:8, 155:25,	74:17, 102:8,
115:18.	157:3, 163:23.	120:8, 192:8,
admissible	agree 17:1, 39:25,	205:9, 211:2,
214:12.	43:2, 92:4,	211:10, 211:13,
admission 78:2,	101:7, 101:9,	211:17, 211:20,
137:2, 162:7,	106:5, 106:13,	212:6.
195:24.	136:19, 138:5,	Alotaibi 12:2,
admit 15:23, 17:3,	139:11,	13:21, 22:17,
107:14, 107:15,	201:19.	26:10, 27:5,
107:25, 195:13,	agreement 39:21,	27:22.
196:4, 196:23.	39:23, 39:25,	already 16:2,
admonished 97:4,	40:5.	89:11, 118:3,
97:12, 112:8,	agreements	172:23,
112:16, 184:23,	40:23.	201:10.
185:6, 223:14,	ahead 15:14, 16:3,	alternative
223:22.	16:20, 18:16,	41:24.
admonishment	160:21.	Although 43:13,
130:21.	aid 207:10.	226:3.
ADMONITION 97:2,	air 85:25.	amazing 139:19.
		ambitious
112:6, 184:21,	air-conditioner	
223:12.	218:5.	153:19.
adolescence	air-conditioning	amended 9:24,
52:9.	102:8, 192:2,	20:23.
adult 86:16,	192:5, 192:25.	among 97:4,
180:9.	albeit 26:2.	103:14, 112:8,
advance 5:23,	album 50:2, 51:8,	184:23,
17:7.	55:17, 94:9,	223:14.
Advanced 9:21,	109:4, 109:7,	amount 180:16.
10:11, 10:22.	109:8.	ample 23:15,
advice 60:8,	albums 96:8,	30:1.
83:18, 122:20,	109:5, 109:10.	Anaheim 108:20.
153:24, 207:6,	Alford 230:9.	analogy 15:9.
	I	

analysis 20:1,	22:3.	66:16.
43:5, 224:25.	applied 227:22.	argument 18:12,
Angry 179:14.	applies 15:7,	18:13, 18:14,
	18:4, 19:12,	
Animal 94:15,		21:15, 26:12,
96:11, 96:17,	120:25,	31:7, 39:24,
211:16.	132:24.	44:11, 66:20.
animals 60:13.	apply 148:2,	argumentative
annotated 51:3.	184:11.	73:22, 106:8,
Answer 63:6,		161:17.
	applying 132:25.	
95:21, 96:4,	appoint 51:17.	arguments 43:17,
118:6, 128:13,	appreciate 18:2,	52:7, 66:21,
154:3, 155:23,	21:13, 23:12,	71:1.
159:25, 160:8,	36:24, 38:10,	arise 121:5.
160:14, 160:17,	132:7, 137:9,	Arizona 192:15.
160:23, 190:10,	166:2.	arrangements
200:3, 219:13,	Approach 15:2,	72:23, 89:5.
219:23, 227:4.	31:12, 31:17,	arranging 81:10.
answered 117:13,	50:4, 76:3,	arrest 81:14,
118:12, 172:15,	81:24, 127:19,	82:5, 183:11.
172:16,	135:23, 136:13,	arrested 183:15,
199:23.	159:2, 161:20,	225:8.
answering 131:17,	195:1, 206:18,	arrival 147:7.
160:17.	214:12, 217:3.	arrive 67:23,
answers 87:13.	approached 36:24,	67:24, 180:9.
anti-room 120:19,	37:23, 49:4,	arrived 172:5,
230:7.	53:22.	229:1, 230:22.
anybody 49:7,	approaching	arriving 67:25.
67:25, 73:2,	36:25.	articulated
182:7, 211:22,	appropriate 17:9,	224:7.
215:11.	34:7, 38:8,	Ashley 174:18,
Apache 61:7.	39:2, 43:4,	174:20, 175:14,
apart 6:3, 6:15,	65:22, 68:6,	176:1, 176:6,
142:7.	129:8, 180:19.	176:13, 176:22,
apologize 18:12,	appropriateness	176:24, 177:19,
117:8, 147:6,	129:17.	178:3, 178:17,
165:13, 179:7.	approved 184:13.	180:10, 180:24,
apparent 180:19.	Approximately	224:15, 225:3,
apparently	172:2, 182:3.	225:11, 225:25,
229:15.	April 131:7.	226:11, 226:14,
Appeals 10:3,	Area 62:13,	226:18, 226:24,
10:15, 10:16,	147:25, 150:16,	227:7, 228:18,
16:16, 19:24.	172:4, 207:10,	229:5, 229:24.
appear 24:10,	211:17,	aspect 16:18.
36:16, 36:17,	227:13.	<del>-</del>
		ass 140:8, 144:22,
37:10, 180:13.	areas 145:3,	145:16, 225:5.
APPEARANCES 2:1.	186:12.	assault 9:5,
appearing 60:24.	argue 10:13, 19:2,	10:20, 12:19,
appears 44:18.	230:9.	12:25, 15:21,
applicable 15:9,	argued 18:23.	16:24, 19:9,
16:1, 22:2,	arguing 28:23,	19:11, 19:15,
,,	JJ	, <b></b> ,

19:21, 19:22,	204:19.	Basically 37:16,
20:7, 27:23,	August 192:3,	40:4, 115:15,
27:24, 28:12,	192:24.	
		173:11, 187:9,
28:13, 29:5,	autism 212:16.	199:12,
29:9, 29:14,	avail 228:23.	217:25.
44:13, 44:22,	available 183:20,	Basis 10:25, 16:6,
45:9, 45:18,	183:22, 183:25,	62:14, 65:9,
140:14.	228:4.	72:4, 96:2,
assert 12:19.	awaiting 148:1.	180:20, 196:21,
asserted 228:15.	away 24:7, 81:13,	218:20.
asserting	87:24, 88:7,	bathroom 58:19,
225:19.	89:19.	59:6, 59:7,
assessment 38:6.	awhile 51:6,	59:9, 59:14.
assessor 171:24.	89:12, 114:22,	battery 28:20.
		_
assist 58:14.	189:21.	battle 9:23.
assistance		Bay 142:4,
117:2.		198:9.
assistant 103:6.	· < B >.	beard 154:20.
assists 35:7.	B-a-b-i-n	beat 140:8,
assume 46:11,	121:22.	144:22,
48:10.	Babin 3:17,	145:16.
assumed 11:22,	121:12, 121:21,	became 49:21,
101:5, 205:21.	134:6.	50:15.
Assuming 20:21,	Baby 58:6, 144:15,	become 29:3, 49:2,
29:3, 183:21.	144:16, 221:18,	60:12, 74:22,
assured 61:19,	221:20.	116:21, 151:13,
70:21.	bad 69:7, 72:19,	152:4, 153:18,
		I
ate 150:13.	80:7, 80:8,	181:19, 181:22,
attached 144:18.	81:7, 85:9,	182:12, 183:9.
attempt 21:14,	134:8, 139:21,	becomes 10:20,
171:13, 173:3,	140:2, 147:11,	28:18.
174:17.	166:8, 209:23,	bedroom 63:13,
Attempted 135:11,	209:25.	63:15.
135:18,	bag 111:16.	began 71:13, 74:8,
135:19.	balance 64:4.	202:18.
attempting	balcony 75:18.	begin 65:13,
171:19.	_	78:12.
	banter 139:6,	
attend 64:24,	231:9.	beginning 71:7,
65:1, 65:5,	bare 40:8.	228:12.
65:13, 73:9,	barking 173:6.	begins 9:2,
73:18.	Based 20:4, 27:4,	31:5.
attended 60:4,	38:4, 38:20,	behavior 98:9,
65:18.	89:25, 92:18,	116:7, 213:3,
attending 65:3,	132:18, 173:20,	213:5.
97:25.	175:25, 178:22,	believability
attention 42:21,	180:12, 181:6,	33:25.
53:15, 82:11.	191:14, 192:10,	believe 9:6, 22:7,
Attitude 116:8,	192:24, 207:5,	24:13, 24:14,
178:17, 178:21,	208:2, 219:12,	25:20, 26:1,
180:10,	226:19.	33:20, 35:17,
100.10,	220.17.	33.40, 33.11,

46:3, 51:4, 81:5, 92:18,	Big 52:25, 198:4, 221:4.	braces 57:11. break 23:14,
136:8, 139:16,	birth 51:11,	45:24, 47:6,
146:5, 150:1,	51:14, 52:1,	53:7, 96:25,
156:21, 156:22,	61:16.	97:17, 112:5.
159:19, 167:17,	birthday 47:9,	breakfast 102:12,
168:22, 173:18,	49:6, 51:2,	205:15.
174:5, 176:8,	82:22, 142:4,	Brief 46:15,
178:23, 224:9,	142:6, 142:8,	97:15, 97:21,
225:8, 226:20,	142:12,	120:19, 184:20,
227:8, 228:17.	230:15.	185:10.
believed 48:24,	birthdays 142:7.	Briefly 20:10,
104:25, 146:2,	bit 18:10, 19:7,	110:24, 130:20,
226:3, 226:6.	22:24, 31:7,	145:3, 178:5,
believes 33:6,	97:23, 106:6,	223:4, 229:3,
167:4.	106:13, 117:14,	230:3.
belongings 56:4,	126:21, 147:12,	bring 42:21, 44:3,
56:9, 56:14,	158:1, 166:17,	84:15, 84:16,
56:17, 57:20,	193:14.	84:18, 130:22,
57:23, 58:2,	bitch 139:17,	132:22, 189:8.
184:20.	139:23, 140:5.	bringing 99:18,
bench 90:2,	Bitches 102:21,	99:21, 205:19.
120:19, 120:22,	116:1.	brink 52:9.
129:3, 129:7,	blah 230:8.	Briza 129:11,
132:23, 174:13,	blazoned 56:3.	130:1, 130:6,
219:24, 224:12,	blocked 74:23,	133:5.
228:14,	75:1, 75:8,	broad 45:8,
229:13.	80:12, 84:8,	201:15.
bench. 82:1, 90:4,	106:17.	broke 192:2,
120:23, 127:21,	blue 75:12, 172:9, 173:4.	219:18.
129:4, 174:14, 175:22, 214:13,	body 7:10, 7:16,	brother 52:25, 67:4.
219:25.	7:20, 20:13,	brother/sister
beneficial 42:5.	21:2, 109:23,	66:25, 67:3.
benefit 224:5.	180:5.	brought 140:15,
besides 110:10,	Bonanza 68:16,	140:21, 153:1.
159:20.	69:3, 69:4,	brunette 155:14.
best 21:21, 23:19,	73:12, 73:15,	buddy 116:4.
24:21, 57:16,	89:21, 203:20.	buffet 150:11.
70:19, 86:25,	bothering 87:7.	build 24:21,
87:4, 96:14,	bottom 83:17,	117:3.
122:8, 122:17,	87:14, 138:9,	bulk 30:11.
126:15, 131:24,	138:11,	bullying 102:22.
143:8, 145:17,	138:22.	burden 24:10.
175:20.	bounds 34:13.	bus 189:6.
better 12:21,	bowling 135:3.	buses 189:5.
35:13, 41:21,	boy 71:13, 71:15,	business 93:21,
222:4, 224:8.	204:5, 204:7.	93:23, 93:24.
beyond 32:8.	boyfriend	bust 81:10.
bible 65:18.	192:15.	busy 199:4, 199:7,

201 • 1	122.4 147.11	17.4 40.22
201:1. butt 109:17.	132:4, 147:11, 150:17.	17:4, 40:22, 40:23, 135:16,
butt 109:17. buttress 229:18.	Cara 126:14,	
Duttless 229.16.	126:18.	187:5, 187:22.
•		CERTIFICATE 232:1.
	card 58:3, 80:24,	
< C >.	81:3, 89:3,	CERTIFIED 232:3,
C-15-309548-1	93:24, 227:16.	232:8.
1:1.	cards 93:21,	certify 232:9.
C-a-p-p-r-o-n	93:23.	cetera 8:17, 19:3,
170:15.	care 205:24,	44:13, 171:4.
C-o-l-e-m-a-n 185:23.	206:2, 213:11.	chair 161:5,
	career 60:8,	161:13, 221:5.
Calendar 182:15, 182:23.	60:21, 61:1,	challenge 7:17, 13:17.
	167:21.	chance 83:19.
California 56:2, 94:13, 95:10,	careers 117:6. cares 123:2,	
94·13, 95·10, 95·18, 95·25,		Change 23:20,
108:19, 108:21,	123:3.	24:5, 24:15, 32:14, 132:17,
108:19, 108:21,	caring 123:1, 139:20.	145:20, 202:23,
142:19.	Carlsbad 56:2,	203:25,
called 9:12,	94:13, 94:14,	203:23,
23:25, 94:5,	142:19, 143:18,	changed 21:9,
102:21, 131:23,	210:25,	80:6, 117:6,
132:6, 133:4,	211:17.	224:18.
148:13, 163:7,	carries 31:6.	changes 19:21.
164:13, 188:11,	Carter 31:10,	changing 52:10,
208:7, 209:1,	31:11, 36:19.	71:3, 85:20.
216:14, 218:18,	cases 7:24, 35:1,	characterization
218:25.	36:10, 37:2,	161:7, 181:10.
calling 74:10,	39:11, 39:19,	characterize
113:2.	39:20, 40:4,	181:7.
calls 52:6, 54:11,	40:11, 230:21.	charged 38:21.
62:3, 71:1,	Casino 54:20.	Charleston 57:3,
74:8, 75:2,	Catanio 20:9,	61:5, 61:9,
87:25, 94:4,	26:22.	142:17, 142:24,
121:12, 132:4,	catching 192:15.	227:12.
170:5.	category 36:16.	chastity 17:8.
campus 189:4.	cause 187:21.	checked 51:18,
candle 59:14.	caused 42:8,	111:21.
capable 10:21.	56:20, 90:21,	checking 80:19,
capacity 212:15.	90:22, 146:5,	118:24.
Cappron 3:30,	190:13.	Cher 142:15,
170:5, 170:6,	causes 60:3.	196:11, 197:13,
170:14, 174:17,	CCDC 228:3.	197:17, 197:23,
175:25, 177:8,	cease 174:1.	197:25, 198:2,
178:16, 224:10,	celebrate 49:6.	198:4, 212:9.
224:15.	cell 77:7.	chief 111:23.
car 48:2, 48:3,	Center 182:19.	child 7:11, 7:16,
48:4, 48:18,	Certain 12:18,	7:20, 7:21, 9:2,
120:4, 120:8,	17:1, 17:2,	9:4, 10:21,

12:16, 17:4, 17:14, 17:23, 20:12, 21:2, 26:1, 27:13, 60:18, 60:20, 62:9, 70:18, 73:6, 106:15, 213:4. children 52:12, 60:16, 101:11. choice 60:21, 108:14, 178:18. choices 85:19. chose 123:18. Christmas 58:3, 58:6, 64:11, 104:14, 104:18, 143:23, 144:14, 144:17, 205:7, 205:11, 221:9. Church 64:24, 65:1, 65:2, 65:3, 65:5, 65:7, 65:10, 65:16, 65:20, 65:25, 105:11, 105:13. Circuit 35:1, 35:4. circumstance 16:17, 48:10. circumstances 10:5, 17:1, 17:2, 28:24,	<pre>citations 20:5,     26:19. cite 7:18, 8:25,     9:8, 11:2, 11:3,     15:1, 15:11,     20:22, 22:20,     27:1, 31:5,     34:3, 35:9,     35:18, 45:1,     46:8. cited 9:24, 20:1,     45:15. Cites 5:7, 5:8,     6:23, 31:9,     31:10, 32:2,     41:16. citing 18:18. clarification     47:6. clarify 21:14,     76:12, 116:15,     177:12, 177:16,     197:5, 229:23. clarifying     11:25. Clark 1:6, 114:2,     212:13. class 70:4, 114:8,     122:7, 124:13,     125:17, 187:15,     187:16, 200:21,     212:16. classes 71:16,     134:24,     200:18.</pre>	clerical 25:2. CLERK 7:23, 8:20,     22:21, 23:1,     26:18, 30:4,     31:20, 86:6,     113:10, 113:15,     121:14, 121:19,     146:16, 146:21,     146:25, 159:2,     170:7, 170:12,     185:13, 185:15,     185:20. client 38:19,     38:24, 132:16. clip 138:18. close 23:15, 70:8,     79:13, 150:25,     230:12. closings 223:10. Club 102:20,     102:21, 116:1. clue 87:2. clunky 37:21. coerces 20:17. Coleman 4:3, 99:8,     99:10, 99:12,     99:13, 99:14,     185:12, 185:22,     196:7, 217:20,     223:7. collage 55:23,     57:25, 58:2,     144:17, 221:10,     221:16,     221:23.
10:5, 17:1, 17:2, 28:24, 44:11, 51:13, 53:6, 148:9,	134:24,	221:16,
149:25. citable 9:19,    13:12. citation 5:16,    7:14, 7:24,    8:18, 10:1,    10:10, 10:24,    11:8, 12:12,    13:8, 13:9,    13:13, 13:16,    14:2, 22:11,    26:4, 26:21,    26:25.	clean 21:8, 62:1, 62:6. cleaning 63:12, 63:14. clear 10:10, 14:6, 16:2, 20:24, 25:9, 34:15, 42:24, 43:1, 44:18, 123:21, 165:4. clearly 10:19, 20:13, 28:24.	colleague 114:18, 114:20. college 60:8, 60:15, 122:9, 125:2, 126:24, 194:12, 200:15, 200:16, 216:25. comb 8:9. comes 123:11. comfortable 69:2, 73:5.

Coming 47:22,	complaining	38:3, 38:10.
54:13, 54:15,	187:5.	confusion 12:18,
63:5, 63:14,	complete 8:17,	22:1, 22:5,
64:6, 70:3,	29:20, 96:23,	25:12, 26:5,
70:10, 71:24,	121:8, 223:9.	26:7, 165:14.
90:19, 105:10,	completely 8:14.	conjunction
117:4, 118:1,	completing 12:8.	13:1.
118:2, 192:16,	completion	connected 97:6,
202:11, 230:16,	22:15.	97:9, 97:13,
230:23.		
	components 34:9.	112:10, 112:13,
comma 21:11.	computer 228:9.	112:17, 184:25,
Command 147:25,	concern 56:20,	185:3, 185:7,
150:17.	62:12, 75:21,	223:16, 223:19,
commence 223:10.	80:19, 187:21,	223:23.
comment 7:19,	190:14.	Connor 126:14,
39:14, 180:14.	concerned 61:12,	126:18.
commentary 30:1,	79:6, 187:19,	consensual 19:14,
35:20, 39:11,	191:10, 208:9.	19:15, 28:10,
54:3, 97:8,	concerns 22:8,	28:12, 29:2.
112:12, 185:2,	176:1, 176:13,	consents 16:23.
223:18.	191:4, 191:6,	consider 14:18,
comments 180:14,	191:9, 201:16.	39:12.
180:15.	concert 142:15,	consideration
common 34:10.	212:9.	24:19, 29:24.
commonly 34:13.	concludes 28:1.	considered 51:25,
communicate	conclusion 13:2,	122:8, 122:17.
126:22.	19:6, 24:17,	consistent 66:1.
communicated	35:7, 230:22.	constant 75:20.
6:6.	condo 192:2.	constitutes 33:18,
communicating	conduct 17:5,	33:19.
66:11.	33:19, 59:16,	constitutional
Communication	180:2.	34:24.
54:10, 54:11,	conducted	contacted 49:21,
66:7, 74:6,	179:22.	63:13, 74:24,
80:13.	conference 120:20,	75:3, 84:24,
communications	132:23, 188:2,	91:19, 91:22,
73:24, 74:1,	188:12, 224:12,	93:7, 136:10,
105:16.	228:14,	145:6, 152:9,
community 188:19,	229:14,	165:24, 184:1,
194:8, 194:12.	Confide 157:4,	226:23, 227:21,
company 119:25.	157:6.	228:2.
company 119.23.	confirm 66:18,	contacting 75:7,
10:24.	230:18.	88:13, 89:13,
		I
compared 131:3.	confuse 201:12.	89:16, 91:24,
comparison	confused 76:8.	171:10,
37:11.	confusing 5:19,	227:12.
compel 20:14.	12:10, 13:24,	contain 93:24.
compensated	21:1, 26:2,	contained 96:15.
177:8.	27:15, 31:15,	contemplated
complain 187:17.	33:9, 36:22,	132:13.

content 226:22.	convey 130:16,	Counselors 98:10,
contention	176:20,	98:11, 98:12.
74:22.	183:18.	count 215:24.
contents 138:20.	conveyed 226:8.	counter 59:10.
context 14:18,	conveys 204:19.	country 173:19,
84:3, 88:19,	conviction 28:16,	174:6.
224:11,	29:13.	counts 30:16,
224:12.	cook 190:5,	230:11.
continually	190:12, 190:16,	County 1:6, 114:2,
70:20.	190:17,	171:24.
continuation	190:19.	Couple 34:25,
27:19.	copied 77:6.	53:3, 76:25,
continue 66:2,	copies 26:19,	86:10, 88:11,
66:23, 79:21,	30:15, 30:17,	109:2, 114:17,
83:5, 83:15,	31:20, 77:24.	117:11, 125:23,
85:3, 86:1,	copy 5:7, 8:21,	145:3, 168:23,
86:4, 129:6,	14:12, 26:20,	181:24, 196:11,
129:19, 145:23,	30:20, 136:8,	199:13, 209:21,
173:15, 220:1.	138:16.	210:5.
CONTINUED 47:1,	Coral 150:8,	course 39:13,
66:18, 67:9,	150:10, 159:8,	54:23, 131:25,
70:14, 75:16,	164:15.	133:11,
88:4, 88:5,	correction 32:12,	163:24.
88:16, 188:20.	32:17.	courtroom 121:2,
continues 66:16,	corrections 30:5,	121:8.
67:19, 81:15,	31:24, 32:5,	courts 17:3,
85:4, 121:3,	32:21, 32:23.	184:9.
151:20.	correctly 13:22,	cousin 174:22,
continuing 62:18,	72:3, 114:23,	180:11,
71:1, 88:20.	124:13,	224:16.
contract 74:25,	182:10.	cover 36:13.
89:8.	couches 221:5.	Crawford 31:9,
control 51:11,	Counsel 23:7,	31:11, 35:18.
51:14, 52:1,	23:11, 23:12,	crazy 131:18.
61:16.	23:15, 23:20,	create 8:7, 8:14,
controlled	26:21, 46:17,	22:1, 22:5.
66:12.	63:6, 90:2,	created 75:21,
conversations	112:21, 112:22,	144:14, 226:6.
60:25, 61:21,	120:20, 120:22,	creating 12:17.
92:16, 104:1,	120:25, 129:3,	credibility 33:22,
104:24, 128:1,	149:18, 154:7,	33:24.
128:4, 128:9,	156:8, 160:16,	crime 19:8, 19:17,
128:21, 139:1,	174:13, 183:23,	19:21, 28:7,
168:20, 179:2.	200:1, 203:6,	28:9, 30:12.
converse 35:25,	203:10,	crimes 28:8,
36:5, 36:18,	219:23.	141:4.
37:14, 42:18,	counseling 110:18,	Criminal 6:24,
97:4, 112:8,	111:2, 111:6.	34:1, 34:12,
184:23,	counselor 98:9,	42:9, 200:19,
223:14.	111:9, 188:12.	216:24.

Cross-examianation 3:8, 3:15, 3:19,	133:8, 148:20, 151:17, 172:22,	188:5, 188:23, 188:24, 189:4,
3:25, 3:33,	181:13, 183:2,	189:21,
4:6.	219:8, 228:10.	212:17.
CROSS-EXAMINATION 97:19, 118:18,	DATED 1:27, 151:15,	deans 213:11. Decatur 61:6,
134:4, 159:3,	158:10.	61:9.
177:6, 208:18.	dates 115:13,	December 51:7,
crushes 126:16.	119:13, 128:7,	150:1.
cry 66:23.	128:10, 128:16,	decide 44:6,
cues 180:6.	128:22, 129:14,	45:10, 187:25,
current 16:13.	129:25, 159:5,	189:14.
currently 147:15,	198:12,	decided 189:7,
148:3.	198:18.	228:6.
curriculum 69:18, 69:19.	dating 68:6, 71:21, 71:23,	deciding 18:25. decision 9:19,
custody 38:24,	72:1, 72:2,	10:14, 11:18,
38:25, 39:1,	103:6, 127:13,	14:5, 14:10,
39:4, 152:13,	128:2, 144:10,	14:23, 15:10,
224:21, 228:1,	158:7, 218:22.	18:24, 19:23,
228:3, 228:24.	daughter 58:14,	22:7, 25:18,
cut 73:23, 74:1,	62:18, 73:2,	25:19, 26:10,
74:3, 74:6,	75:20, 82:5,	26:11, 26:23,
80:12, 90:7.	87:24, 88:14,	27:3, 31:2,
cycle 66:15.	92:2, 92:19,	31:3, 43:3,
cycles 51:16.	92:21, 95:10, 95:15, 108:16,	43:16, 44:9, 68:18, 68:23,
•	108:19, 110:17,	69:1, 73:9,
· < D >.	211:4, 215:14,	73:14.
D-a-r-a 185:22.	216:13.	decisions 37:25.
dad 47:11, 47:13,	days 11:13, 54:24,	decline 121:7.
217:19, 218:8,	97:21, 142:7,	decorate 47:14,
218:11, 218:13,	154:8, 181:24,	47:17.
218:17, 218:21,	199:12, 199:13,	decorated 47:10.
219:1, 219:18.	209:20,	decorations
daily 52:6. damn 139:23.	209:21. Daytime 172:21.	59:12. dedicated
dances 197:8.	deadly 28:17.	153:17.
Dara 4:3, 99:7,	dealing 37:23.	Defendant 1:19,
114:14, 115:4,	Dean 90:16, 90:18,	2:5, 5:24, 6:4,
115:7, 116:18,	90:20, 99:4,	10:7, 10:12,
185:12,	115:5, 115:20,	11:11, 14:18,
185:22.	116:10, 116:19,	15:4, 21:11,
Dare 119:8,	117:20, 118:4,	23:24, 24:2,
119:23. date 27:4, 68:4,	119:2, 186:13, 186:16, 186:21,	24:5, 29:10,
71:13, 128:15,	187:3, 187:9,	31:18, 32:9, 32:19, 33:1,
128:19, 131:23,	187:10, 187:12,	33:16, 34:20,
131:24, 131:25,	187:18, 187:24,	42:9, 42:12,
132:3, 133:5,	188:1, 188:3,	42:13, 58:8,

58:11, 60:24,	70:23, 71:4,	150:15, 151:3,
139:13, 196:4.	71:13, 72:10,	190:7, 206:6.
definitely	73:11, 103:3,	dinners 62:23,
<del>-</del>		
79:12.	103:7, 105:4,	63:10, 96:19,
definition	202:21, 203:15,	102:12.
42:25.	213:24.	dire 24:9, 131:12,
definitively	desires 20:15.	224:19.
44:16.	despite 143:8.	DIRECT 3:7, 3:14,
degree 38:4, 96:9,	detailed 23:6,	3:18, 3:24,
110:9, 115:17,	225:4, 226:5.	3:32, 4:5, 6:17,
199:22.	detailing	23:20, 32:1,
DELANEY 1:24.	225:18.	47:1, 113:19,
delayed 147:7.	details 133:11.	121:25, 129:18,
deliberate	Detective 91:23.	147:2, 162:24,
30:20.	Detectives 77:11,	170:16, 185:24,
deliberation	77:14, 92:12,	218:6, 220:11.
30:13, 223:10.	93:24.	directed 20:25,
deliberations	Detention	21:11.
35:8.	182:19.	directing 66:13.
		_
delve 67:7.	determination	direction 61:1,
demand 61:25.	26:13.	232:15.
demeanor 66:21,	determine 15:4,	directly 7:21,
204:18.	23:23, 24:4,	44:8.
deny 168:16,	24:10, 183:1.	Directs 33:17,
168:19.	determined 29:15,	33:18.
Department 77:12,	44:16.	disagree 39:5,
77:25, 91:20,	developed 225:6.	132:14,
94:5, 147:15,	dialogue 31:7.	132:15.
148:1, 151:14,	Dicaro 91:23.	disciplinary
152:3, 153:17,	Diego 94:15,	116:5, 116:7.
166:21.	96:11, 210:25,	discipline 98:5.
	211:16.	
depend 24:23.		disclose 231:2,
depends 209:24,	different 19:9,	231:6.
210:2.	28:7, 47:12,	discourage 81:6.
depict 77:23.	51:4, 55:12,	discovery
DEPT. 1:2.	71:10, 145:10,	225:13.
derive 178:17.	154:12, 173:16,	discuss 130:21,
describe 128:10,	186:11, 186:12,	137:14, 137:19,
128:16, 147:22,	189:22, 214:9.	158:6, 164:9.
148:17, 149:5,	difficult 100:5,	discussed 6:8,
153:14, 157:24,	201:22,	19:2, 24:9,
180:19.	201:25.	44:17, 160:11,
described 151:8.	difficulties 12:8,	
	-	165:10,
describing	98:18, 98:24.	165:17.
128:21.	difficulty	discusses 15:21.
description	100:7.	discussing 139:7,
181:6.	digest 22:25,	163:11,
Desert 68:22,	29:17, 76:14.	163:14.
69:9, 69:19,	dining 60:11.	discussions
69:23, 70:2,	Dinner 150:13,	79:16.
•	·	ı

dishonest 156:21,	104:2, 107:1,	150:17,
167:5, 228:21,	124:23, 125:16,	213:20.
230:25.	138:17, 152:1,	driven 29:10.
dismissed.	157:13, 186:8,	driveway 172:7.
224:1.	186:12, 187:3,	driving 75:11,
Disneyland 48:23,	187:22, 189:17,	75:12, 81:17,
49:5, 49:15,	194:8, 200:11,	88:3.
50:24, 50:25,	201:14.	drop 63:24,
108:8, 141:22,	dolling 116:5.	150:17, 171:17,
206:12, 208:20,		173:11.
	Donald 171:20.	
210:7, 210:10,	done 18:6, 20:12,	dropping 64:5,
211:13,	45:19, 45:24,	64:7.
211:15.	56:8, 63:14,	drove 70:7,
dispute 21:19,	86:18, 87:25,	125:17, 125:18,
24:14, 136:24.	93:19, 106:18,	150:16.
disputed 14:1,	123:7, 123:13,	drug 79:4, 86:2,
23:17.	137:22, 161:24,	225:10.
disregard 129:19,	167:3, 231:10.	drugs 106:21,
130:25, 145:21,	door 33:2, 58:19,	106:22, 107:4,
174:8.	59:9, 172:7,	107:14, 107:22,
disseminated	172:13, 173:5,	107:24,
103:13.	173:8, 173:10.	226:17.
dissolution	double 159:5.	drunk 81:17.
73:7.	doubt 32:8,	dude 162:19.
distinction	42:24.	due 34:24, 88:15,
27:22.	down 9:1, 25:13,	152:2.
distinguishable	25:17, 29:24,	duped 230:24.
17:13.	35:22, 38:18,	duplicate 89:10.
distracting	45:21, 75:17,	duplicative
30:23.	84:16, 160:18,	38:5.
distraught 66:22,	192:3, 199:25,	duplicitice 36:3.
67:7, 90:21,	227:7.	
90:22.	draft 21:20,	duplicitous 36:14,
DISTRICT 1:5,	23:11, 25:17,	36:23, 38:10.
1:25, 69:11,	29:25, 40:25,	During 14:3,
69:16, 114:19.	41:1, 56:7,	30:21, 52:22,
divorce 204:15,	177:23.	54:19, 54:21,
204:21.	drafted 12:22,	54:23, 63:19,
divorced 72:25.	12:24, 13:4.	75:8, 90:7,
dizzy 209:17.	drafting 42:2.	97:3, 97:7,
document 201:10.	drafts 25:16.	107:21, 112:7,
documents 76:6.	Dramamine 207:11,	112:11, 125:9,
Dod 194:6.	208:7.	126:2, 128:9,
dog 221:4.	drawing 13:2.	135:2, 135:5,
dogs 172:13,	dress 66:13.	152:10, 166:25,
173:6, 173:7,	drinking 123:16,	168:13, 180:24,
192:4.	123:18.	184:22, 185:1,
Doing 39:12, 47:4,	drive 49:14,	193:17, 197:3,
56:20, 62:21,	49:22, 75:10,	223:13,
101:18, 103:22,	75:17, 108:15,	223:17.
101-10, 103-22,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	225-1,•

duties 12:9.	224:13.	enjoyed 188:20.
duty 148:5,	element 14:19,	enjoys 79:7.
231:1.	27:11, 27:24,	enough 27:2,
231.1	28:2, 28:5,	124:3, 167:21.
•	28:9, 28:24,	enrolled 73:12.
•		
< E >.	29:12, 29:15,	ensure 30:3.
e-mail 30:3.	31:8, 31:14,	entire 205:11.
earlier 14:13,	35:3, 36:18,	entirety 33:23,
31:1, 167:6,	37:14, 38:2.	35:8.
224:16.	elements 19:10,	entitled 34:20,
early 48:15,	19:17, 20:19,	34:22, 35:1,
53:15, 54:9,	31:4, 34:21,	35:2, 38:19,
57:16, 57:18,	35:19, 37:12,	38:21, 38:23.
67:11, 73:20,	37:15, 38:20,	erred 31:8.
77:20, 204:11.	44:19.	erroneous 35:24,
earned 115:17.	elicit 229:18.	36:2.
easily 7:18,	elicited 129:18.	error 14:17, 25:2,
116:11.	eliminate 32:6,	31:13, 33:7,
easy 69:14, 69:16,	32:13.	36:13, 36:21,
69:17, 101:12.	eliminated	38:1.
eat 190:7,	32:19.	Esmeralda
191:15.	eliminates 26:5.	126:14.
ed 186:13.	email 22:25.	Especially 118:2,
Edith 150:6,	emails 30:4.	191:8, 230:19.
164:23,	emphatic 88:19.	ESQ 2:2, 2:3, 2:5,
164:25.	employed 147:13,	2:6.
educational	170:21.	establish 171:22,
115:18.	employee 115:1,	226:10.
EE 195:1, 195:13,	119:11.	estimate 130:24,
196:4.	encountered	215:20.
effect 159:13,	115:21.	estimating
227:5.	encourage 20:14,	119:10.
effecting 152:4.	61:25, 67:9,	et 8:17, 19:3,
effects 79:9.	87:17.	44:13, 171:4.
effectuate	encouraged 71:5.	eve 183:23.
171:16.	end 24:19, 32:6,	Evelyn 217:20.
efficiency	32:18, 139:10,	Evening 172:15,
203:5.	204:11.	173:1, 223:8.
	endeavor 26:3.	-
effort 8:14,		event 72:18,
22:14.	ended 71:20,	132:10.
efforts 69:8.	74:16, 230:22.	events 58:25,
either 8:3, 16:10,	ending 103:17,	60:3, 131:14,
24:18, 74:19,	103:23.	226:2, 230:3.
88:17, 89:18,	ends 225:9.	eventually 65:6,
119:16, 120:25,	engage 144:7.	114:20.
133:13, 133:14,	engaged 19:25.	Everybody 203:1.
154:12, 158:9,	engagement	everyone 82:22.
180:14, 205:7,	90:24.	Everything 8:8,
230:24.	Enjoy 150:21,	14:9, 24:25,
elaborating	151:3.	42:18, 45:21,

84:12, 86:18,	130:16, 132:25,	eyes 169:16.
101:17, 101:19,	179:12.	
101:20, 111:21,	exciting 131:14,	-
		•
115:9, 160:18,	132:10.	< F >.
169:1, 189:2,	exclusion 37:7.	F3rd 35:4.
199:25,	exclusionary	fabricate 17:4,
229:14.	120:25.	43:21.
	excused 111:16,	
evidence 15:4,	•	face 57:15.
15:23, 16:25,	120:16, 120:18,	faced 75:18.
17:3, 18:25,	146:12, 170:4,	fact 9:2, 9:4,
23:25, 28:14,	184:19, 223:7.	12:16, 19:15,
44:3, 115:24,	executed 63:21.	19:20, 31:16,
171:4, 214:6,	exercise 175:20.	38:25, 75:10,
219:4, 219:13,	Exhibit 105:21,	107:23, 108:12,
223:9.	105:24, 106:2,	109:21, 123:19,
ex 177:9.	126:20, 194:17,	152:2, 155:10,
ex-husband 220:4,	195:1, 197:19.	166:20, 192:24,
220:8.	exhibits 111:21,	208:2.
ex-person 39:23.	111:25.	factor 28:14.
exact 69:19,	exists 42:12.	factors 33:21.
115:13, 148:20,	expect 89:2.	facts 9:15, 17:13,
151:15, 151:17,	expected 101:23,	33:17, 40:8.
163:25.	101:24.	factual 21:16,
exactly 11:17,	experience 72:18,	38:15, 38:21,
156:1, 163:22,	180:8.	40:7, 41:5.
189:1, 222:23.	experienced	factually 17:15.
EXAMINATION 3:7,	17:6.	fails 32:8.
3:9, 3:14, 3:18,	Explain 16:1,	Fair 89:8, 109:6,
3:20, 3:24,	101:2, 103:16,	116:9, 122:19,
	103:23, 132:1,	124:3, 145:25,
3:26, 3:32,		
3:34, 4:5, 47:1,	173:2, 174:20,	167:21, 181:5,
110:6, 113:19,	228:14.	190:23, 196:15,
121:25, 145:1,	explained 18:4,	200:25, 202:3,
147:2, 162:24,	29:11, 223:8.	216:2.
165:22, 170:16,	explicitly 19:1,	fairly 77:23,
		195:8.
178:14,	226:19.	
185:24.	Explorer 143:1,	fake 81:10, 81:14,
example 21:6,	143:3, 199:7.	82:5.
21:7, 22:1,	Explorers 66:2,	Fall 51:20, 57:14,
22:2, 22:4,	75:6, 147:24.	125:7, 125:9.
23:21, 24:12,	express 80:18,	falling 36:15.
-	<del>-</del>	_
123:12, 153:24,	97:12, 112:16,	false 226:21.
153:25.	130:22, 185:6,	familiar 9:14,
examples 126:10.	223:22.	9:16, 10:12,
exception 30:11,	extent 17:16,	59:11, 76:13,
132:15,	37:15, 41:22,	204:18, 207:12,
132:24.	121:4, 229:23.	221:17,
		_
exchange 82:12.	extra 14:12.	221:24.
Excited 130:7,	extreme 51:16,	fan 198:4.
130:11, 130:14,	82:9.	far 28:22, 29:23,
		ı

43:15, 61:5,	141:7.	finishing 67:11.
61:22, 116:4,	figured 8:3, 8:12,	Fire 96:19,
171:6.	141:6.	141:19.
fashion 18:17.	file 75:23,	fish 109:17.
father 90:25,	88:14.	fix 85:11.
91:14, 182:10,	filed 22:18.	fixes 27:25.
227:14.	fill 44:6,	flannel 59:1.
fault 36:6.	44:14.	flat 138:6, 208:4,
February 77:19,	final 8:7, 8:13,	209:15.
77:20, 86:9.	8:14, 16:10,	flipping 30:24,
federal 34:14,	17:18, 21:20,	76:20.
35:9.	23:9, 23:17,	flirty 127:2.
feed 190:5.	24:15, 24:17,	floor 221:3.
feel 79:2, 81:18,	24:22, 25:1,	focus 124:25.
81:19, 81:20,	25:3, 25:9,	focusing 14:21.
91:13, 123:24,	25:16, 25:17,	fold 35:16.
153:9, 155:9,	25:18, 25:19,	follow 8:9, 30:22,
156:5, 156:12,	26:13, 29:23,	65:9, 131:11,
156:15, 157:14,	29:25, 37:2,	170:1, 181:17.
166:24, 175:15,	38:8, 39:10,	follow-up 5:6.
191:15, 204:24,	39:13, 41:13,	Followed 70:7.
231:2.	41:18, 42:16,	food 102:3, 102:5,
feeling 49:23.	43:14, 229:25.	190:15, 190:16,
feelings 204:19.	finalize 16:21,	190:25, 205:19,
_		
feels 10:19,	26:5, 130:20.	206:6, 213:13,
23:7.	finally 97:14,	213:16,
fell 126:24.	112:18, 185:8,	213:19.
felony 81:5.	223:24.	football 71:16,
felt 123:22,	find 22:20, 26:4,	103:14, 124:22,
124:1, 152:1,	30:23, 33:17,	124:24, 135:3.
152:25, 155:21,	35:5, 40:9,	force 28:19,
169:11, 175:7,	74:16, 80:11,	46:2.
178:2, 178:18,	139:25, 187:9,	forced 151:16,
197:2, 225:19,	226:25, 228:16,	152:2.
226:7.	229:10.	Ford 75:12,
female 178:7.	finding 224:8.	172:9.
	finds 148:9.	
females 68:5.		foregoing 232:11,
few 5:8, 8:12,	Fine 8:9, 30:11,	232:15.
18:18, 148:19,	50:20, 85:2,	forget 184:19.
154:8, 197:13,	86:21, 129:23,	forgot 9:25,
201:20,	183:7, 200:3,	14:12, 154:13.
209:23.	206:15.	form 97:12,
fields 186:11.	finer 18:1.	112:16, 128:12,
fight 74:2,	finish 13:25,	130:21, 136:5,
110:8.	16:20, 72:6,	173:13, 185:6,
fighting 104:25,	95:20, 160:16,	223:22.
189:3.	200:1, 209:2.	formed 115:25.
fights 67:6,	finished 117:13,	Fort 61:7.
	_	
105:1, 116:2.	128:15,	forth 16:12,
figure 12:20,	199:23.	30:25, 232:12.

forthcoming	36:2, 36:11,	< G >.
67:8.	42:19.	gain 28:15.
forward 104:11,	frequency 71:25.	games 124:22,
113:9, 154:6,	frequent 52:5,	124:24, 135:3.
185:13.	61:3, 72:4,	gate 84:17,
found 35:24,	128:4.	117:11, 173:7,
152:25, 168:14,	frequently 52:16,	173:9.
228:22.	66:9.	gather 171:3.
Foundation 62:7,	freshman 72:10,	gauge 115:9.
89:24, 118:9,	125:1, 125:25,	Gave 14:13, 41:16,
129:9, 129:17,	126:1, 126:24.	45:22, 77:7,
129:20, 131:13,	Friday 23:11,	77:24, 102:11,
132:14, 173:24,	23:13, 192:14,	158:14, 194:14,
178:23, 180:20,	192:19.	203:8, 206:1,
190:19, 190:24,	friend 54:5,	206:3, 206:25,
196:2, 196:22,	74:10, 79:2,	213:16, 227:8,
197:1, 200:5,	79:5, 79:11,	229:5.
201:3, 201:17,	82:21, 85:11,	gay 61:22,
202:8, 202:25,	86:25, 87:18,	61:23.
203:6.	104:22, 122:8,	general 5:23,
foundational	122:17, 122:25,	17:8, 23:22,
62:14, 202:7,	145:17, 149:9,	30:9, 30:12,
203:11,	153:15, 153:16,	31:12, 38:6,
203:13.	158:14,	66:21, 118:10.
Fraiser 38:5.	205:19.	Generally 19:2,
frame 63:19,	friendship 70:25,	19:23, 28:14,
72:22, 73:23,	71:17, 73:7,	100:24, 164:2,
77:18, 90:7,	73:21, 74:16,	164:3, 172:3,
124:11, 124:17,	99:3, 141:13,	193:6, 226:1.
125:9, 130:4,	157:19.	genesis 41:22.
131:10, 138:9,	front 30:12, 41:6,	genitals 91:17,
155:23, 201:4,	60:13, 84:17,	109:14.
201:8, 201:13,	173:7, 220:24,	gentleman 147:16,
201:16.	221:18,	154:13.
frames 55:14.	221:20.	gentlemen 97:3,
framework 31:17,	Fuck 139:17,	112:7, 184:22,
37:5.	139:23.	223:13.
Francisco 53:16,	full 6:23.	genuinely 79:7.
53:22.	fully 169:18.	geting 58:15.
Franco 71:19,	fun 9:13.	Getron 9:12, 9:14,
72:1, 72:4,	function 170:23.	10:8, 11:4,
72:5, 72:6,	functions 171:2,	14:15, 14:21,
72:14, 72:21,	188:25.	14:23, 15:3,
73:8, 103:10,	funny 127:4,	15:10, 17:20,
103:13, 103:22,	142:21,	18:4, 18:10,
204:10, 213:22,	230:14.	18:18, 18:23,
214:2, 214:4,	future 6:21, 7:2,	18:24, 19:23,
214:18.	152:4.	20:2, 26:11,
frankly 21:19.	•	31:1, 31:3,
Frasier 35:23,	•	35:22, 36:1,

36:19, 37:25,	glance 56:22.	groomed 11:16.
42:20, 43:15,	glass 173:8.	ground 208:5.
43:18.	glean 38:24.	grounds 7:14,
gets 40:2, 40:10,	go-fund-me 135:12,	203:4.
85:20, 207:17,	135:15.	group 14:9,
208:4, 209:15,	goal 117:6,	95:3.
210:3.	153:18.	grow 81:7.
Getting 5:23,	God 113:13,	grown 81:23, 82:4,
28:22, 41:11,	121:17, 132:4,	178:7.
59:25, 66:17,	133:10, 146:19,	Gruter 174:18,
69:15, 70:25,	170:10, 185:18,	174:21, 176:1,
72:25, 75:2,	229:14.	176:6, 176:13,
75:3, 79:4,	Golden 150:8,	178:3, 178:17,
80:13, 80:16,	150:10, 159:8,	180:10, 180:25,
81:4, 98:7,	164:15.	224:15, 225:3,
100:7, 132:16,	Goodbye 88:1,	
	=	225:11, 225:25,
175:20, 208:3,	88:17, 125:20.	226:11, 226:14,
229:6.	gosh 193:10.	226:18, 226:24,
gibe 46:5.	gotten 14:11,	227:8, 229:5,
girl 211:13,	228:20.	229:9, 229:17,
211:17, 211:19,	grab 56:22.	229:21.
211:23, 212:5.	grade 67:12, 71:8,	guess 14:8, 16:3,
girlfriend 115:25,	99:3, 114:8,	16:22, 35:21,
150:6.	118:2, 187:1,	37:4, 52:17,
girlfriends	187:2, 189:13,	61:1, 66:14,
67:16.		127:11, 133:9,
	190:21, 191:3,	
girls 68:4, 126:7,	191:21, 191:24,	143:17, 170:23,
126:17, 127:13,	193:10, 193:11,	189:10.
158:6, 195:9,	199:3, 199:8,	guidance 70:20.
196:12, 196:16,	201:6, 201:15,	guide 17:17.
196:24, 197:8,	205:6.	guilt 24:4,
197:25, 198:15,	graduated 124:8,	24:8.
198:17, 212:9,	125:5, 134:19,	guilty 23:24,
212:10.	200:14.	24:1, 24:7.
Given 8:10, 17:17,	graduation 216:9,	guy 71:19,
30:15, 36:21,	216:13.	229:8.
37:6, 37:22,	grandmother	guys 79:13, 150:5,
41:2, 41:3,	84:21.	157:1, 166:1,
41:20, 46:7,	grandparents	189:14.
49:10, 61:16,	64:15, 75:5,	gym 74:18, 74:20,
93:21, 129:18,	217:21.	74:21, 87:10,
151:16, 203:5,	grant 54:20, 55:3,	87:12, 88:25,
203:10.	82:25.	89:3, 89:7.
gives 14:19,	granted 95:25.	
107:9, 112:4.	Granting 62:9,	
giving 15:6,	82:16.	· < H >.
25:19, 53:7,	gratify 20:14.	H-u-m-b-e-r-t-o
60:7, 136:8,	great 48:1.	146:23.
213:13.	greater 29:13.	habit 225:6.
glad 80:9.	green 138:7.	Haha 139:23,

163:3.	88:16.	53:6, 154:5,
hair 58:20.	hard 7:1, 101:7,	202:9.
half 7:5, 228:9.	101:10, 138:16,	helping 149:9.
halfway 6:19,	140:6, 153:16.	helps 35:7.
7:3.	hate 56:7.	hen 195:11.
hand 17:16,	Hayes 35:4,	hereby 232:9.
	35:11.	herself 20:25,
26:23.		
handle 87:2.	head 36:1, 36:4,	21:12, 63:18,
handled 45:11.	182:6.	206:3, 227:16,
hands 111:14,	hear 34:5,	229:24.
120:14, 146:10,	218:10.	heyday 157:19.
151:4, 170:2,	Heard 14:3, 39:22,	hide 104:9,
184:17, 184:18,	119:7, 132:18,	104:12,
221:7, 223:5,	135:5, 172:13,	198:21.
229:11.	173:5, 173:6,	hiding 220:8.
Hang 52:21,	173:8.	highlighted
102:17, 217:8,	hearing 217:8,	42:4.
217:10.	227:20,	highlights 39:3.
hanging 52:18,	230:20.	Hills 65:2.
52:24, 67:14,	hearsay 62:3,	hindsight 61:10.
79:6, 80:6,	89:23, 102:23,	history 51:17,
80:14, 85:21,	127:17, 128:11,	207:13.
100:14.	128:25, 132:15,	hit 116:8.
happen 7:9, 21:5,	132:24, 173:20,	hold 48:19.
21:6, 49:17,	174:9, 175:17,	holding 151:4,
60:21, 64:1,	178:19, 178:20,	221:6.
75:14, 81:15,	178:22, 180:12,	homecoming 72:9,
154:7, 204:12,	181:9, 225:2,	72:16, 72:18,
229:15, 229:16,	226:22, 227:5,	72:20.
229:17, 230:21,	228:25, 229:4,	Honeas 117:1,
231:5.	229:18, 229:19,	119:1.
happened 19:16,	229:23.	Hones 106:25.
28:19, 49:19,	heart 203:12.	honest 101:14,
63:17, 64:2,	heir 206:2.	101:21, 101:23,
64:4, 71:17,	held 82:1, 90:4,	152:23, 155:11,
74:7, 119:6,	120:23, 127:21,	155:19, 155:22,
128:22, 131:6,	129:4, 174:14,	156:3, 156:6,
131:16, 131:25,	175:22, 214:13,	156:23, 157:2,
132:5, 133:9,	219:25.	163:18, 167:24,
150:14, 173:2,	Hello 79:2, 122:2,	169:13.
201:19, 201:23,	122:3.	honestly 18:13,
202:1, 218:19.	Help 18:16, 47:16,	79:6.
happening 70:13,	113:12, 117:4,	Honor 20:8, 22:13
166:23.	121:16, 146:18,	25:8, 27:8,
happy 50:18,	154:2, 154:4,	30:7, 34:20,
60:19, 73:4,	167:22, 170:9,	39:18, 46:25,
90:14, 179:10.	175:19, 185:17,	50:5, 63:3,
harassing 75:22,	192:18, 204:2.	117:16, 120:10,
87:25.	helpful 6:25,	121:24, 127:19,
harassment	16:1, 35:6,	132:20, 135:24,
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,

138:1, 162:8,	157:18, 167:20,	include 20:11,
165:20, 166:9,	218:24,	171:8, 171:10,
169:24, 178:12,	218:25.	180:5.
190:18, 203:9,	ideas 104:2.	included 5:9,
214:11, 219:3,	identification	19:5, 19:18,
229:3, 231:7.	76:1, 136:17.	28:23, 29:8,
HONORABLE 1:24.	identified 31:3,	37:13, 40:14,
honoring 169:19.	43:16, 154:15.	44:10, 44:12,
hook 158:13.	identify 20:6,	44:18.
hooked 158:19.	178:9.	includes 39:25.
hope 23:13, 28:22,	ignore 76:7.	including 97:5,
80:10.	ignoring 84:21.	97:10, 112:9,
hopeful 227:25.	ill 49:21, 49:23,	112:14, 184:24,
Hopefully 152:3.	50:16, 208:3.	185:4, 223:15,
hostile 226:14.	immediately	223:20.
hot 192:3.	128:18, 163:4,	inclusive 37:25.
hotel 48:7,	219:6.	inconsistent
49:23.	immunity 41:3,	33:18.
hour 8:17, 39:15,	42:2, 42:9,	Index 4:10.
112:4, 132:5,	42:14, 228:13.	indicate 89:16,
228:9.	impart 43:5.	150:25.
hours 115:19,	imparted 60:25.	indicated 38:6,
125:23, 209:13,	implication 40:7,	39:7, 41:14,
209:20, 209:23,	45:6.	41:23, 43:22,
209:25, 210:4,	implied 224:22.	97:24, 99:17,
210:5, 210:10.	implies 40:6,	102:16, 103:3,
Howard 1:34,	230:2.	103:9, 103:25,
232:27.	important 137:10,	104:15, 105:4,
Hughes 113:2,	175:8, 175:15.	105:10, 107:11,
113:4, 171:14,	impossible	108:8, 145:4,
174:12, 178:6,	101:19.	197:13.
178:10.	impression 129:2,	Indigent 170:22.
human 180:9,	226:24,	individual 30:15,
199:23.	227:18.	30:19, 58:1,
Humberto 3:23,	Improper 181:9,	111:4, 171:14.
146:14,	181:10.	individually
146:23.	improve 117:5.	177:1.
hundred 195:16,	in. 100:6, 130:23,	individuals
195:18.	132:22, 185:14,	50:9.
husband 204:15,	219:12,	indulgence 134:2,
215:14.	228:16.	153:4, 158:4,
•	inability 33:19.	205:2, 206:8,
• _	inappropriate	208:14.
< I >.	53:11.	inference 35:19,
IA 163:7.	incapable 15:6.	37:17, 42:10.
idea 10:4, 25:22,	incarcerated	influence 80:7,
57:9, 83:24,	152:7.	80:9, 131:14.
84:4, 105:1,	incident 130:11,	inform 36:9,
105:7, 105:11,	218:19, 225:19,	150:23.
105:15, 151:23,	226:6.	informed 73:22,

100:17, 121:10, 192:5. initial 22:4. Initially 76:24, 111:5, 165:25, 202:20, 203:18. innocence 24:5, 24:8, 24:11. innocent 42:11. inquiry 72:22, 130:20. inside 19:23, 173:6, 173:9, 178:6, 189:1. insinuation 63:2. insist 70:10. insisted 70:3, 70:7. instance 23:18. instruct 23:15, 24:23, 41:1, 130:25, 223:9. instructed 41:5, 145:21. intend 32:14. intended 230:15. intent 13:3, 20:13, 27:12, 32:14. interact 69:24, 194:3. interaction 52:3, 52:12, 66:6, 70:13, 116:18, 187:6. interactions 187:11. intercourse 12:23, 131:15. interested 69:21,	97:11, 112:15, 185:5, 223:21.  interview 92:6, 92:9, 92:14, 93:4, 93:14, 171:3, 183:24, 228:5.  interviews 93:13, 179:22, 180:3.  intimate 151:1, 226:5.  introduce 158:11.  introduced 97:25, 158:10.  inverse 14:19, 31:3, 31:8, 31:14, 33:10, 33:17, 33:20, 37:5, 37:19, 38:2, 46:2, 46:9.  investigated 136:6.  investigation 137:14, 137:16, 137:17, 137:19, 139:3, 160:11, 163:15, 165:10, 165:17.  investigator 154:22, 159:17, 165:6, 170:25, 171:1, 179:23, 180:8, 224:3, 226:13, 226:23, 227:3.  invite 65:11, 123:17, 191:15.  invoked 41:6. invoked 41:9. invokes 42:10, 42:13.	involved 65:16,     65:19, 72:4,     81:6, 81:15,     82:7. involvement     100:1. irritated 70:9. isolated 67:18. issue 22:24, 28:1,     34:19, 41:2,     42:3, 43:19,     43:22, 44:16,     178:24. issued 183:10,     184:9, 184:11,     184:13. issues 31:15,     98:4, 98:9,     116:7, 117:5,     117:21, 118:3. items 26:16. itself 20:23,     22:1
intercourse 12:23, 131:15.	invoked 41:9. invokes 42:10,	98:3, 98:8, 99:4, 99:17,
Internet 80:19,	139:3.	186:4, 186:9,

188:17, 194:7, 198:24.  join 65:6.  JONATHAN 2:6.  Jones 172:4.  Joshes 49:21, 53:24, 62:6, 63:12, 63:15, 64:3, 64:5, 64:12, 64:15, 73:21, 99:20, 114:11, 119:25, 120:4, 136:10, 138:8, 142:6, 145:6, 155:5, 197:8, 199:2, 207:12, 215:19, 216:21, 218:8, 218:21, 219:17, 220:4, 220:9.  Joshua 1:17, 46:17, 47:10, 47:19, 47:25, 48:11, 53:22, 57:1, 61:24, 63:24, 70:21, 71:23, 72:3, 72:16, 73:24, 74:1, 74:9, 74:11, 75:9, 77:17, 78:24, 83:8, 86:13, 110:10, 147:19, 214:1. Joshy 144:5. Josie 158:14, 158:19, 159:6.	jurisdiction 93:17. juror 146:11. jurors 12:9, 21:23, 26:7, 30:15, 30:17, 38:4, 46:18, 111:15, 112:22, 120:15, 121:9, 129:18, 130:19, 130:25, 132:22, 146:11, 170:3, 223:6. justice 169:14. juveniles 81:5. juxtapose 35:25, 36:4.   < K >.  K-a-te-r-i-n-a 121:21. K-r-a-s-k-y 113:18. Karen 113:2, 113:3, 171:14, 174:12, 178:6, 178:9. Katerina 3:17, 121:12, 121:21, 136:20, 137:6, 140:10. KATHLEEN 1:24. Keep 37:8, 104:21, 117:12, 129:23, 133:12, 175:19, 179:25, 201:5.	Kind 8:7, 8:8,    24:16, 27:14,    36:23, 45:8,    52:8, 62:21,    66:15, 88:19,    123:21, 138:4,    153:14, 153:15,    171:5, 172:7,    172:8, 180:10,    188:22, 199:5,    205:18,    228:10. kinds 117:5,    135:1, 189:17,    190:2. kiss 220:24. Kissing 151:6,    221:14. Knocked 172:7,    172:13, 173:5. Knowing 87:1,    208:4. knowingly 15:5. knowledge 9:20,    10:4, 15:24,    16:6, 16:13,    17:2, 17:3,    19:3, 43:20,    54:8, 55:2,    96:14, 226:4. knowledgeable    49:2. known 15:5, 36:25,    50:15, 147:20,    148:21, 171:14,    204:16. knows 63:4, 89:22,
Judge 1:25, 7:4, 10:9, 11:5, 34:8, 112:25, 173:23,	179:25, 201:5. keeps 87:6. kept 124:14, 204:22. kid 15:5, 15:7,	123:5, 217:25, 230:9. Koi 109:17. Krasky 3:13,
183:21. judges 37:20. judgmental 123:25. judicial 182:25, 183:6, 183:21. June 86:8, 93:7.	15:8, 70:14, 189:16, 229:6. kids 11:17, 52:18, 52:20, 72:19, 100:15, 101:7, 101:10, 101:14, 102:17, 117:4,	113:8, 113:17.  Kristina 2:3,     155:11, 155:14,     166:24
junior 100:6, 143:5.	189:5, 212:22, 213:1.	Lack 7:18, 17:8, 118:8.

ladies 97:3,	203:20, 209:8,	led 42:13, 173:18,
112:7, 154:11,	209:10.	174:5, 225:1,
184:22,	latter 8:2,	226:20, 228:13,
223:13.	44:7.	228:21,
lady 147:16.	laugh 54:4,	228:22.
laid 129:9,	222:4.	leeway 62:15,
129:17, 129:20,	laughed 53:25,	203:5, 203:7,
132:14, 196:3,	54:1.	203:10.
197:2.	Laughlin 47:23,	left 21:15, 47:18,
Lamb 150:9.	47:24, 48:5,	87:10, 102:8,
	48:14.	151:21, 213:24,
language 8:3,		
12:13, 12:15,	Lauren 114:17,	214:9, 227:16.
20:11, 21:21,	194:2, 194:4,	legal 21:16,
22:15, 23:24,	194:6, 194:7,	86:3.
24:12, 41:4,	194:10,	legislative
42:1, 42:19,	194:11.	13:3.
180:6.	lawyer 21:4,	lengthy 10:5.
larceny 28:20,	145:10.	leopard 59:14.
225:7.	lawyers 97:5,	Less 10:22, 81:11,
Larry 217:19,	112:9, 136:10,	119:17, 210:2,
_		
218:8.	145:6, 184:24,	215:22.
Las 5:1, 49:14,	223:15.	lesser 19:17,
77:11, 77:24,	lay 173:23, 200:5,	19:18, 28:9,
91:20, 93:6,	203:6.	28:23, 29:8,
94:5, 147:14,	lead 176:13.	44:10, 44:12,
		44:18.
148:1, 151:14,	leadership	
152:2, 166:20,	115:18.	letting 18:2.
172:2.	leading 62:2,	lewd 7:19, 20:12,
Last 6:8, 8:5,	62:12, 122:21,	20:14, 20:18.
9:25, 32:17,	166:9, 202:6,	Lewdness 6:18,
80:22, 88:18,	203:8, 203:11,	7:6, 7:22, 13:1,
88:22, 109:2,	207:24.	13:5, 13:17,
110:12, 110:14,	lean 41:23,	14:2, 20:6,
129:5, 133:18,	191:23.	20:8, 26:1.
156:9, 207:20,	learn 90:8, 175:7,	Lexus 22:17,
209:18, 209:25,	227:1.	27:1.
210:3, 210:5.	learned 186:10,	Liberty 206:16.
Lastly 109:2,	186:11, 226:16,	license 108:16.
109:13.	226:23,	lie 169:22.
lasts 209:19,	228:11.	Lieutenant 113:2,
209:21,	least 8:16, 12:10,	171:14,
209:22.	28:25, 42:20,	174:12.
late 78:20, 86:8,	76:24, 86:18,	life 71:3, 79:9,
91:19.	88:6, 93:23,	87:1, 100:1,
lately 79:4.	95:9, 201:9.	101:22, 106:6,
Later 18:16,	leave 33:5, 74:17,	106:14, 117:22,
29:17, 33:4,	205:14, 205:16,	122:19, 123:12,
35:16, 39:10,	208:12,	139:17, 143:7,
163:6, 172:14,	213:17.	143:9, 201:20,
172:25, 173:18,	leaving 132:4.	202:3, 204:16.
, ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

light 26:12, 150:18, 151:3.	lives 88:14, 108:19, 108:21,	165:9, 210:6. Looking 5:17,
lighten 89:13. lightened 89:15.	189:8, 195:21, 196:1, 197:4,	10:1, 13:16, 31:4, 36:6,
lightly 41:12.	205:6.	44:25, 46:1,
lights 150:3,	living 58:21,	46:13, 57:7,
150:21, 159:9,	72:22, 113:23,	57:15, 83:18,
210:9.	218:15.	123:1, 174:11,
likely 25:20.	locate 182:7,	194:20, 206:21,
likes 122:19,	227:9, 227:15.	217:15.
211:18.	located 27:4,	looks 59:8,
limitation 97:5,	171:20, 182:4,	210:9.
97:11, 112:9,	182:9, 182:10,	Lori 217:19.
112:15, 184:24,	227:13,	lose 230:11.
185:5, 223:15,	227:14.	losing 127:16,
223:21.	locating 173:17.	130:25, 132:9.
limitations 140:13, 141:3.	locked 85:21, 144:12.	loss 87:13. lost 39:4, 129:15,
line 9:3, 32:5,	LOL 163:5,	131:3, 133:10,
32:10, 32:14,	163:6.	133:15.
32:18, 37:11,	long 6:20, 30:15,	lot 6:23, 9:15,
69:20, 104:2,	31:13, 33:8,	22:3, 22:4,
129:6.	36:22, 38:2,	37:20, 58:11,
lines 6:19, 39:22,	38:9, 65:24,	70:11, 80:6,
109:2, 154:2.	97:21, 125:22,	85:7, 98:4,
lipped 144:12.	131:15, 141:9,	103:25, 116:25,
lips 144:17.	143:3, 147:20,	124:12, 124:16,
list 28:7.	148:20, 156:8,	124:24, 127:13,
listen 97:8, 112:12, 185:2,	167:11, 181:15, 186:9, 209:18,	187:11, 189:19, 193:7, 199:15,
193:8, 215:6,	209:19, 209:20,	205:25, 222:2,
215:19, 216:21,	216:24, 217:1,	222:6, 225:6.
223:18.	219:19.	lots 58:9.
listener 175:18,	longer 71:21,	loud 144:4,
227:5.	71:22, 210:5.	190:10.
literally 28:23.	longevity	Love 56:8, 60:13,
little 5:23, 19:7,	119:14.	70:20.
22:24, 89:14,	Look 8:16, 9:8,	loves 211:14.
97:23, 112:4,	18:15, 36:9,	low 45:21.
115:22, 115:25,	37:2, 40:14,	luck 156:16,
117:14, 147:12, 158:1, 166:17,	42:10, 42:19, 44:16, 59:11,	156:17, 156:19, 159:12.
186:15, 201:22,	76:9, 123:3,	Lunch 8:17, 23:14,
201:25,	138:12, 159:8,	39:15, 90:17,
209:10.	161:23, 161:24,	96:24, 111:19,
live 73:2, 79:19,	165:4, 198:12,	112:3, 112:4,
92:8, 108:22,	206:15, 210:12,	112:20.
174:23.	221:17, 221:23,	lying 139:25,
lived 108:23,	228:21.	145:18.
200:23, 225:4.	looked 21:24,	•

		1
•	228:15.	190:12.
< M >.	mean 11:16, 37:6,	mentioning 149:9,
M. 105:21.	40:6, 49:14,	155:20.
ma'am 25:5, 125:8,	57:14, 117:25,	mere 42:22.
134:1, 184:8.	131:13, 132:14,	Merry 58:6.
mad 79:11, 79:14,	149:7, 156:20,	message 78:24,
80:5, 107:7.	158:11, 179:7,	80:23, 86:1,
main 126:15.	218:19, 225:16,	136:2, 136:7,
Mainly 10:2.	230:24.	138:11, 161:1,
major 24:13,	means 11:12,	162:16, 163:9,
25:2.	228:16.	168:19.
male 178:7.	measures 116:5.	messages 54:12,
man 60:17, 70:15,	media 80:20.	71:2, 74:9,
81:23, 82:4,	medical 80:24,	74:12, 75:2,
114:4, 132:11.	81:3, 86:3,	77:13, 77:16,
management 213:3,	207:13.	77:24, 78:15,
_	medicine 69:20.	
213:5.		81:9, 85:4,
Mandalay 142:4,	medium 97:10,	86:7, 106:24,
198:9.	112:14, 185:4,	107:4, 126:20,
mandatory 212:19,	223:20.	137:23, 138:7,
212:22,	meet 53:23, 70:4,	138:21, 140:19,
212:25.	71:5, 71:13,	145:12, 155:7,
manifesting	84:16, 98:8,	155:24, 162:2,
10:21.	117:19, 122:10,	163:17, 163:24,
manner 169:15.	148:25, 149:24,	168:2, 168:5,
Manning 12:23,	150:7.	168:12, 231:8.
13:9, 45:16.	meeting 98:13,	messed 147:11.
March 91:19.	160:2, 162:22,	Met 70:7, 70:8,
marijuana 79:5,	165:9, 165:15.	71:15, 93:20,
80:24, 86:3,	meetings 143:1.	99:10, 99:12,
107:19.	meets 22:8,	111:4, 119:1,
marked 76:1,	196:18.	144:20, 149:11,
136:16,	member 75:3.	149:13, 150:8,
194:25.	members 74:9,	157:17, 162:3,
marking 109:14.	75:4, 88:4,	186:22, 201:11,
markings 91:16.	117:1, 211:5,	222:19.
marriage 204:12.	217:17,	Metro 92:24,
married 60:15,	217:21.	151:21, 153:17,
90:24.	membership 74:18,	167:11, 199:12,
Marty 217:20.	74:21, 87:10,	200:12, 216:15,
master 115:17.	87:12.	226:9.
matched 37:14.	memory 57:16,	Metropolitan
material 182:22,	72:19.	77:11, 77:25,
183:9, 184:8,	men 137:8.	91:20, 94:5,
224:21, 227:22,	menstrual 51:16.	147:14, 148:1,
228:3.	mentally 15:6.	151:14, 152:3,
mates 122:7.	mention 167:2.	166:21.
matter 54:6,	mentioned 5:24,	Middle 61:8, 69:7
75:10, 174:11,	31:1, 149:8,	72:6, 85:14,
180:25,	154:16, 169:11,	89:20, 97:24,
	•	•

98:3, 98:12, 99:5, 99:16, 99:17, 100:17,	206:1, 206:25, 207:12, 208:5, 208:8, 213:16, 218:17, 219:6,	216:7. movies 125:24, 135:3, 216:8. Moving 73:1, 83:7,
108:4, 108:5, 120:7, 158:20, 186:4, 188:17, 192:3, 198:24,	219:7, 219:10, 219:20. moment 55:10,	154:6. Mt 57:3, 142:17, 142:23.
212:14, 212:16, 222:18. mind 34:17, 56:11, 61:11, 85:20,	154:17. moments 18:19. Monday 183:22. money 102:11,	multiple 96:7. murder 182:6. Myself 47:25, 48:19, 63:13,
117:12, 157:3. mindful 21:17, 22:6. mine 30:4,	205:23, 206:1, 206:3, 206:25, 213:13, 213:16.	145:8, 165:5, 208:12, 217:10.
37:22. minimal 26:7. Minnesota 174:24,	Monique 2:5, 8:24, 9:13, 228:6. monitor 58:14,	. < N >. N. 105:24.
225:4, 226:17. minor 7:22, 9:2, 9:4, 9:6, 12:16, 13:1, 13:7,	189:4. Monte 225:9. month 151:25, 165:3, 176:10.	Name 3:6, 3:13, 3:17, 3:23, 3:30, 4:3, 27:5, 35:10, 103:10,
15:22, 17:23, 25:10, 29:9, 88:16. minors 19:12.	months 119:14, 119:15, 186:14. morning 23:11,	113:16, 114:13, 121:19, 129:11, 129:13, 135:6, 136:20, 137:6,
minute 119:21. minutes 85:19, 156:10, 163:5, 228:8.	23:13, 38:13, 41:15, 45:25, 65:4, 87:9, 205:10, 209:13,	146:21, 149:7, 154:14, 154:16, 162:17, 170:13, 174:18, 185:21,
mirror 32:21. mirrored 37:21. Misgivings 166:2,	223:11. mostly 157:25. mother 49:22,	194:1, 204:9, 215:4, 217:17. named 71:19.
166:4. misheard 14:5. misleading 31:15, 33:9.	60:16, 73:1, 75:5, 100:3, 114:11, 115:9, 192:13, 205:10,	Namely 224:24, 227:12. names 75:7, 126:12, 144:1,
misspoke 177:11. misstate 38:9. misstatement 36:23.	205:11, 213:12. motion 15:23, 207:15, 207:17,	217:19. nature 70:24, 126:21, 199:24.
misstatements 38:3. misstates 219:3.	207:18. Move 67:10, 73:14, 73:20, 78:2,	near 89:18, 108:20, 221:1, 227:12.
mistaken 143:5. modify 42:25. mom 57:9, 66:5, 79:13, 186:11,	116:17, 137:2, 138:5, 145:19, 162:7, 195:24, 197:11, 203:23,	necessarily 16:18, 21:25, 41:4, 43:22. necessary 13:2,
188:8, 190:5, 190:15, 192:22,	203:24, 204:4. movie 193:7,	41:10, 116:5. need 6:25, 27:19,

20:19. 172:15, 172:16, numbered 6:16.	30:2, 32:4, 35:22, 35:25, 36:3, 40:11, 41:4, 42:4, 43:7, 47:5, 47:6, 70:6, 84:13, 96:24, 100:10, 105:5, 149:14, 160:18, 163:18, 201:3, 226:25.  needed 78:6, 136:8, 152:22, 155:20, 166:1, 188:11, 199:13, 228:17, 228:18.  needs 21:25, 72:17, 75:22, 81:13, 84:10, 200:2.  negative 14:20, 36:18, 79:8, 181:8, 226:15.  negatively 31:14.  Nellis 150:8. Nelson 171:21. nephew 48:1. nerves 166:16. nervous 80:4, 166:19.  Nevada 1:6, 1:9, 5:1, 9:21, 10:11, 10:13, 12:25, 18:24, 19:4, 20:9, 20:11, 21:22, 22:17, 26:21, 26:22, 35:5, 35:23, 45:6, 46:16, 184:9, 23:9.  nevertheless	112:15, 185:5, 223:21.  next 8:19, 9:1, 12:11, 21:2, 50:22, 57:14, 65:10, 70:9, 76:23, 87:16, 121:11, 146:13, 147:16, 150:14, 172:12, 185:11.  nicely 145:13. niece 47:25. night 6:9, 8:6, 9:25, 49:11, 65:20, 65:24, 108:13, 108:15, 131:6, 132:3, 133:4, 172:20, 173:1, 191:18, 191:25, 192:14, 192:16, 196:13, 196:19, 207:23, 210:9, 210:16, 215:10, 215:19.  nights 215:9. Ninth 35:1, 35:4. No. 1:1, 1:2, 1:34, 67:18, 68:8, 73:1, 80:2, 90:15, 106:15, 124:1, 126:23, 135:15, 142:7, 142:16, 144:9, 145:9, 147:12, 151:2, 151:7, 162:10, 169:10, 210:14, 217:12, 218:15, 219:20, 221:1, 22:2. Nobody 157:2,	180:15. None 59:18,     111:15, 117:7,     120:15, 170:3,     211:4, 223:6. nor 29:8, 116:25,     130:21. Normally 215:9. Northeast 147:25,     150:16. notations 8:12. Notch 102:21. note 9:18, 38:17,     46:2, 50:25,     51:1, 95:12,     96:13, 96:15,     120:24. noted 5:8, 51:7. notes 23:19,     96:20. Nothing 6:22,     40:24, 61:20,     110:4, 110:22,     11:11, 113:12,     120:10, 121:16,     133:23, 142:22,     146:7, 146:18,     169:24, 170:9,     178:12, 184:16,     185:17, 222:4,     223:1, 223:4. notice 182:25,     183:6, 183:21. noticed 52:11,     55:13, 117:11. November 181:14. NRS 7:5, 20:19,     27:8, 27:18. nude 103:14. number 46:5,     136:9, 137:9,     158:15, 175:14,     176:22, 178:24,     226:24.
newspapers 97:11, non-verbal .	new 13:22, 22:16, 45:7, 62:13, 71:5, 71:7, 115:11.	210:21. non-confusing 21:22. non-issue 17:15.	numbers 6:25, 7:1, 23:22. nurse 60:12,

•	obtuse 21:4.	often 54:15, 64:4,
< 0 >.	obvious 21:7.	73:8, 110:8,
o'clock 23:10,	Obviously 12:1,	125:10, 151:24,
	_	
24:20, 29:21,	23:10, 26:10,	157:18, 165:2,
39:12, 45:22,	153:25,	215:7, 215:25,
112:19, 185:9.	222:23.	217:9.
0. 106:2.	occasion 34:4,	oftentimes
Oasis 68:22, 69:9,	95:12, 171:13,	100:13.
69:19, 69:23,	174:17, 196:9.	old 19:16, 57:9,
70:3, 70:23,	occasionally	57:19, 68:12,
	_	
71:4, 71:14,	16:5.	114:8, 134:17,
72:10, 73:11,	occasions 64:3.	139:16, 145:9,
103:4, 103:7,	occur 51:7.	164:17, 164:21,
105:4, 202:21,	occurred 40:13,	164:25, 179:5,
203:15,	182:25, 197:3,	222:21,
213:24.	197:6.	222:23.
oath 46:22, 97:17,	od 14:20.	older 24:3,
217:8, 217:24.	odd 61:10.	224:16.
-		
OBGYN 51:18,	offence 29:13,	Once 8:16, 14:6,
51:22.	29:15.	24:25, 60:14,
object 33:23,	offenses 34:21,	63:17, 87:23,
35:8, 36:5.	35:3.	126:23, 126:25,
objected 17:25,	offer 14:3, 39:21,	149:12, 149:23,
20:4.	70:20, 152:20.	165:3.
objecting 6:14.	offered 36:17,	one. 13:22, 24:13,
objectionable	39:19, 43:14,	36:6, 40:12,
224:10,	69:19, 111:22,	45:13, 229:4.
226:22.	229:19.	ones 5:9, 6:14,
objections 8:13,	offering 44:15.	6:23, 10:3,
	office 90:17,	37:25, 138:7,
38:17, 63:5,		
199:22, 232:13,	90:20, 99:4,	145:9.
232:16.	115:5, 115:20,	open 31:19, 33:2,
objectives	116:10, 116:19,	70:2, 70:4,
171:6.	116:25, 117:20,	70:10, 105:3.
obligation	118:4, 119:2,	open-ended
24:11.	171:24, 186:13,	129:22.
observable 38:23,	186:16, 186:21,	opening 173:7.
41:6.	187:3, 187:9,	operations
Observation	187:12, 187:18,	224:25.
199:19.	187:24, 188:12,	Opinion 10:11,
observations	188:23, 212:17,	11:21, 13:21,
66:5.	212:18, 213:8.	19:2, 22:16,
observed 89:23.	officer 81:11,	22:25, 27:22,
obstruct 169:13.	81:12, 147:15,	28:1, 29:7,
obstruction	148:2, 148:3,	29:11, 29:14,
155:12, 155:16,	152:4, 153:18,	38:11, 73:17,
155:21, 156:3,	166:20,	81:22, 97:13,
167:3, 169:9.	167:11.	104:11, 107:2,
obtained 177:19,	officers 167:15,	107:3, 112:17,
177:22.	167:18.	118:1, 130:22,
	10, 10,	110 1, 100 22,

230:24.	195:20, 195:25,	109:6, 109:8,
Pass 94:2, 96:22,	196:19, 197:3,	109:10, 143:20,
165:19, 187:16,	200:23, 201:5.	195:4, 195:6,
208:16.	permanent 115:1.	196:15, 197:20,
passenger	permissible	198:7, 206:15.
172:10.	128:13.	photograph 50:10,
past 67:10.	Permission 15:2,	50:12, 57:19,
paths 60:8,	49:10, 54:19,	59:20, 59:25,
61:1.	54:21, 55:3,	60:3.
patrol 147:25,	59:16, 62:9,	photos 55:17,
154:1.	76:3, 78:3,	96:7, 103:14,
pattern 39:19.	82:13, 82:16,	105:19, 143:23,
patterned 40:9.	82:25, 95:9,	194:17, 194:21,
Paula 3:13, 113:8,	95:14, 96:1,	198:21.
113:17.	110:13, 137:3,	phrased 31:14.
Pause 63:6, 200:1,	208:9, 217:3.	physical 7:7,
219:19.	permitting	7:12, 7:17,
pay 87:10, 88:25,	62:22.	11:15, 20:15,
89:2.	perpetrator 7:8,	20:18, 21:3,
peers 68:6.	7:12, 20:13,	21:10, 46:2,
penalty 27:25,	20:16, 20:17,	91:16.
29:16.	21:10.	physically 15:6,
penetration 12:14,	person 28:6,	66:10.
12:19, 12:20,	28:10, 28:12,	pick 55:1, 55:4,
12:24.	42:11, 97:9,	55:8, 63:25,
people 10:22,	112:13, 139:20,	64:6, 67:19,
48:2, 67:14, 70:11, 97:22,	139:21, 140:2, 148:22, 148:25,	67:22, 101:1, 199:17,
140:2, 154:24,	153:14, 153:16,	216:16.
159:20, 171:3,	153:14, 153:10, 154:12, 178:6,	pick-ups 64:9.
179:2, 187:18,	178:9, 178:21,	picked 100:8,
187:24, 220:17,	185:3, 223:19.	194:12.
222:6.	personal 89:23,	picking 53:7,
per 27:11.	157:8, 226:4.	54:17, 64:5,
perceive 118:11.	Personally 116:4,	100:20, 101:4.
percent 195:16,	132:9.	pickup 75:12,
195:18.	persuade 27:12.	172:9, 173:4.
Perez 129:11.	persuasive	picture 55:21,
perfect 153:25.	13:13.	57:1, 57:10,
perform 170:24.	pet 144:1.	58:23, 59:4,
perhaps 9:9,	petty 225:6,	194:24, 196:7,
36:16, 228:20,	226:16.	210:6, 210:12,
230:14.	ph 9:13, 9:14,	220:18, 220:22,
period 13:10,	10:8, 12:2,	221:13, 222:1,
43:19, 52:23,	13:22, 171:21,	222:5.
75:17, 78:8,	174:18.	Pictures 49:24,
99:3, 106:16,	photo 50:2, 51:8,	55:13, 55:15,
106:20, 115:2,	59:7, 59:8,	55:17, 55:18,
152:6, 152:10,	94:9, 96:8,	55:24, 56:8,
188:18, 193:9,	109:4, 109:5,	58:1, 60:24,

194:16, 198:11, 198:14, 198:20, 222:2. piece 28:14, 42:4. pierce 9:11. pierceable 17:2. pierced 16:5, 17:1, 17:6, 17:7, 17:10, 17:11. pills 51:23, 107:19. Pines 172:4, 227:13. pinpoint 11:3, 15:11, 31:5. pinpointed 20:9. place 67:23, 123:11, 131:2, 139:10, 202:9, 211:20, 232:12. places 102:11, 211:24, 212:3, 212:6. plaid 59:25, 60:1. Plaintiff 1:11. plan 47:11, 47:14, 63:20, 63:21, 63:22, 64:1, 81:14. plane 192:15. planned 23:14, 53:16. planning 72:11, 72:12. plans 104:1. plants 81:3. play 41:8, 221:4. player 71:16. playful 127:2. Playfully 144:16. playing 173:9	79:14, 83:19, 86:17, 87:17, 87:23, 89:4, 127:20, 129:19, 149:5, 149:25. pleases 183:5. plenty 203:5. Plus 160:18. point 21:24, 39:14, 43:1, 67:5, 68:2, 69:4, 73:25, 74:18, 74:22, 92:18, 92:24, 97:24, 129:1, 129:16, 150:16, 151:7, 155:25, 156:2, 173:10, 174:1, 205:14, 227:6. point. 35:5, 68:5. pointing 15:12. Police 42:11, 75:23, 77:11, 77:25, 88:15, 91:20, 94:5, 147:14, 147:15, 148:1, 148:2, 151:14, 152:3, 152:4, 153:17, 153:18, 166:20, 166:21. portion 7:13, 17:24, 28:25, 42:15, 45:19, 210:7. posed 199:22. position 9:9, 13:6, 38:19, 45:18, 60:22, 100:5, 132:17, 166:19. Positive 14:20, 181:7, 225:16. positive 14:20, 181:7, 225:12, 225:16. positive 14:20, 181:7,	197:7, 227:1, 228:24.  Possibly 14:17, 154:23, 155:21, 178:18.  post-its 76:7. post-testimony 121:4. pot 80:14. potential 26:7, 41:24, 225:2. potentially 26:3, 166:6. power 113:1. practically 85:10. precise 9:19. prefer 6:13, 231:10. preferred 61:6. preliminary 17:20, 18:15, 23:4, 25:20, 25:21, 25:22, 26:14, 41:14, 41:17, 41:19, 43:12, 43:23, 227:20, 230:20. premature 18:3. preparation 226:10. prepare 58:20. prepared 33:3, 38:12, 230:21. prescription 51:19. present 46:18, 94:4, 112:21, 112:22, 112:23, 113:4, 121:2, 129:2, 150:5, 154:24, 159:18. preserved 77:6. Prescript 28:13
playful 127:2. Playfully 144:16. playing 173:8. Please 15:19, 26:20, 46:21,	Positive 14:20, 181:7, 225:12, 225:16. possibility 5:25, 19:20, 121:4. possible 9:11,	presented 155:24, 228:12. preserved 77:6. presume 28:13. pretty 124:25, 163:4.
76:7, 79:10,	12:10, 26:8,	prevail 140:3.

prevents 28:15.	88:2.	pulled 22:14,
previous 134:11.	proof 82:7.	25:15, 45:9.
previously 8:10,	proper 22:9,	punted 39:16.
60:23, 71:11,	196:2.	purchase 94:24,
98:23, 111:21,	properly 12:9.	95:1.
148:15,	property 171:25.	purchased 94:19,
225:23.	proposal 39:8,	94:20.
primary 29:19,	41:24.	purchasing 95:2.
30:9.	proposals 31:12.	purported 70:25.
principal 103:6,	propose 11:23.	purpose 16:11,
115:11, 120:7.	proposing 16:10,	17:9, 17:19,
principles	46:9.	27:13, 43:23,
124:1.	proposition 11:9,	76:1, 95:17,
print 59:14.	11:11, 14:16,	188:9.
printed 11:25.	17:21, 36:20,	purposes 43:21,
Prior 10:4, 12:15,	43:25.	76:9, 136:16,
15:23, 16:13,	prosecute	206:5.
19:3, 51:25,	227:19.	purse 38:17.
73:14, 89:25,	prosecution 29:1,	pursuant 39:21,
182:24, 217:8.	41:3, 140:13.	40:5, 103:4.
probably 12:6,	protects 11:19.	pushed 87:9.
21:25, 22:21,	protracted	put 12:1, 22:11,
23:9, 40:9, 53:6, 79:11.	11:15.	37:20, 37:22, 40:2, 42:1,
•	prove 24:11, 32:8.	58:19, 61:16,
problem 5:11, 30:14, 37:1,	provide 22:11,	103:3, 115:19,
82:23, 132:8,	23:7, 23:10,	166:8, 166:19,
158:25, 204:5,	30:2, 30:18,	167:21, 168:7.
207:15, 224:13,	33:4, 39:11,	Putting 42:4,
225:3, 225:10.	69:11, 70:19,	51:25, 224:11.
problematic 12:25,	175:12,	
166:6.	183:13.	
problems 118:9.	provided 14:23,	< Q >.
Proceed 118:13,	21:5, 26:17,	qualifies
127:23, 214:15,	43:10, 225:13,	132:10.
227:18.	226:23.	quantify 43:1.
proceedings	provides 22:8,	Questioned 52:12,
134:12, 232:11,	23:8.	61:17, 79:20,
232:13,	providing 20:12,	148:20, 155:6,
232:17.	34:17.	155:11, 157:1,
process 5:19,	publish 78:3,	168:6, 168:9,
34:25, 69:14,	78:6, 137:3,	168:12.
69:16, 69:17.	162:12, 196:5.	questioning 46:19
proffered 36:19.	published 10:1,	104:3, 127:23,
Program 115:19,	11:21, 13:14,	129:6.
199:7.	13:20, 14:2,	questions 25:4,
prom 196:12,	22:17, 27:5,	41:11, 53:21,
196:13, 196:19, 197:8.	39:19. Publishing 210:7,	61:11, 76:10, 100:1, 109:3,
prompted 74:2,	217:14.	110:14, 111:15,
prompoed /i.z.,	21/ 17.	110.14, 111.15,

118:14, 118:17,	reading 15:25,	receives 30:3.
120:15, 129:8,	29:6, 29:11,	receiving
133:24, 144:24,	30:19, 30:22,	228:13.
146:11, 146:12,	140:19, 180:5.	recent 27:2,
154:4, 155:20,	reads 7:15,	37:25.
155:23, 158:2,	16:24.	recently 113:24.
160:23, 170:3,	ready 8:20, 31:23,	recess 46:15,
	_	
177:4, 178:24,	46:24, 58:15,	97:3, 97:15,
184:4, 184:18,	121:23, 133:2.	112:7, 112:20,
202:8, 203:11,	real 11:20.	184:20, 184:22,
223:6.	realize 9:22,	185:10, 223:8,
quick 5:5, 11:20,	42:3.	223:13.
228:23.		recitation
	really 8:8, 67:7,	
quickly 160:17,	79:11, 79:13,	38:22.
227:1.	133:8, 137:9,	Recognize 7:4,
quiet 172:7,	142:9, 201:3,	11:13, 34:12,
204:22.	205:21, 228:15,	50:9, 58:25,
quite 14:17,	229:12.	
		59:13, 70:16,
16:15, 31:7,	rear-ended	70:18, 76:13,
106:6, 106:13,	147:8.	76:17, 76:24,
193:14.	reason 9:7, 10:6,	77:5, 136:17,
quote 43:2.	12:22, 17:3,	136:18, 138:10,
-	19:9, 20:1,	161:25, 195:4,
•	20:22, 51:4,	220:17.
·	67:9, 69:18,	recognized
< R >.		_
radar 70:12.	74:2, 87:8,	19:13.
radio 84:10,	117:6, 136:24,	recollection
84:12, 89:3,	218:21, 224:9,	206:19, 219:5,
97:11, 112:15,	227:8, 230:4,	228:8.
185:5, 216:21,	230:17,	recontacted
223:21.	230:23.	92:24.
raised 101:11,	reasonable 32:8,	record 10:10,
	-	
178:24,	42:24.	13:24, 15:4,
201:10.	reasoning 10:17,	20:4, 22:11,
Raising 101:7,	19:5.	23:17, 24:25,
101:10.	reasons 19:10.	34:6, 46:22,
Rampart 54:20.	reassumed 72:5.	79:1, 85:17,
Randolf 42:22,	recalled 121:6,	88:9, 113:3,
42:23, 42:24,	121:10.	113:16, 120:24,
43:1.	recant 229:11,	121:20, 136:1,
range 119:10.	229:20, 231:4.	138:13, 146:22,
rape 9:11, 16:5,	recantation	162:15, 170:13,
16:25, 17:1.	224:24, 227:6,	182:21, 185:21,
rather 230:11.	229:6.	224:8, 228:10,
reaching 35:7.	recanted 227:2,	230:14,
_		-
react 60:17.	228:18, 231:3.	232:16.
reaction 50:16,	receive 74:8,	recorded 92:6,
53:24.	180:2.	92:9, 232:14.
readily 38:22,	received 49:20,	RECROSS-EXAMINATIO
41:5.	78:24, 227:22.	N 3:10, 3:27,
l	l	·

	1	
110:25, 169:6,	28:9, 28:19,	replaced 32:20.
184:6.	29:8, 154:3,	replicated 58:2.
red 138:7,	224:2.	report 75:23,
139:13.	relation 39:23.	88:15, 97:8,
REDIRECT 3:9,	relationship	112:12, 154:2,
	11:17, 67:1,	154:4, 169:9,
3:20, 3:26,		
3:34, 110:5,	67:3, 67:10,	177:23, 185:2,
110:6, 144:25,	70:24, 71:18,	213:1, 213:6,
145:1, 165:21,	103:10, 103:17,	213:9, 223:18.
165:22,	103:23, 119:5,	REPORTED 1:34,
178:14.	122:16, 141:13,	136:5.
refer 7:1, 9:12,	147:22, 157:11,	REPORTER 199:25,
14:22, 18:6.	157:14, 218:3,	212:19, 212:22,
reference 6:22,	218:23, 220:5,	212:25, 232:3,
10:8, 14:3,	220:9, 226:5.	232:8.
24:6.	relationships	REPORTER'S 1:12.
referenced 12:12,	117:3.	
		represent 77:8,
18:10, 227:14.	Relayed 175:11,	195:8.
references 24:7.	175:16,	representations
referred 97:7,	177:25.	92:19.
112:11, 144:15,	Relevance 81:21,	representative
185:1, 223:17.	153:2, 153:10,	154:1.
referring 11:24.	176:3, 178:19,	representatives
reflect 35:3,	202:6.	147:25.
113:3.	relevant 10:6,	request 5:6, 5:14,
reflected 19:23.	178:2, 180:18,	51:11, 61:24,
reflecting	224:24,	121:1, 121:7.
174:11.	228:25.	requested 33:7,
reflective 7:5.	relying 36:5.	36:21.
reflects 33:19.	remain 121:7.	requesting
reflex 118:5.	remainder 35:17.	81:23.
refreshed 197:6.	remarks 156:11,	requests 38:1.
		I =
refreshes	156:14,	require 7:7, 7:11,
206:18.	229:25.	7:15, 20:15,
refuse 36:3.	remembers 16:14.	21:3, 21:10,
refused 173:10.	Reminded 155:12,	121:5.
regard 11:23,	155:16.	required 28:2,
132:23,	reminding 166:24,	29:12.
177:23.	224:5.	requires 20:13,
Regarding 12:13,	render 117:2.	21:1.
14:4, 17:22,	renew 196:17.	reservations
34:22, 91:16,	rent 72:24.	82:22.
165:13, 165:17,	reopen 110:13.	reserving 43:10.
166:23, 181:2.	reorder 30:8.	resign 151:16,
regret 56:7.	Repeat 94:25,	152:2.
regular 52:5,	219:15.	resolve 230:16.
65:9, 218:20.		
	rephrase 122:23,	respect 87:24.
rejecting 31:8.	153:6, 166:14.	respond 28:3,
related 10:6,	replace 32:7,	28:4, 38:16,
17:22, 19:17,	32:13.	50:19, 132:12,

163:5, 163:6,	25:16, 26:9,	ruling 16:15,
180:16,	26:11, 26:16,	17:20, 18:3,
204:21.	27:21, 29:25,	18:15, 25:10,
responded 160:19,	38:8, 41:14,	26:14, 41:14,
219:4.	41:17, 42:16,	41:17, 41:19,
responding	43:14, 43:23,	43:11, 43:12,
15:13.	76:12, 140:20,	43:23, 229:22.
response 16:4,	178:3.	rulings 23:5,
73:3, 81:25,	reviewed 22:15,	25:20, 25:21.
82:18, 84:18,	24:25, 31:1,	ruminations
85:16, 88:22,	184:13.	17:17.
166:5, 178:22,	reviews 42:24.	run 126:21.
178:25, 230:2.	revision 21:25.	running 139:17.
responses 41:11,	rewarding	
52:15, 138:8.	101:13.	
responsible	ride 49:21,	< S >.
224:23.	100:12, 100:23,	Sad 179:16.
responsive 117:7,	207:2.	safe 50:18.
118:12.	rides 100:11,	San 53:16, 53:22,
rest 89:1, 111:23,	194:14,	94:15, 96:11,
210:14, 210:18,	198:25.	210:25,
216:16.	ridiculous	211:16.
Restate 103:20,	141:4.	sat 221:1.
106:11.	rights 41:25.	satisfied 21:18.
restaurant	ring 90:24,	satisfy 20:19.
150:11.	91:2. road 225:7.	Saturday 215:9,
restraining 75:24, 88:15.	robbery 28:16,	215:18. Saturdays
restroom 45:24,	28:18, 28:21.	157:21.
96:25.	room 47:10, 47:15,	saw 5:21, 104:7,
rests 112:2.	48:9, 48:11,	119:3, 125:15,
result 79:18.	49:23, 55:12,	162:22, 178:7,
resume 223:11.	55:17, 58:21,	193:19, 194:3.
resumes 83:23.	60:11, 60:24,	saying 13:22,
Resuming 46:16,	62:1, 62:6,	17:10, 18:10,
46:19.	72:25, 97:22,	28:23, 64:23,
retired 113:24,	109:9, 208:6,	83:3, 85:20,
113:25.	208:13, 210:14,	104:7, 108:9,
return 24:1,	210:18, 216:8.	151:16, 157:2,
50:22, 112:3.	roommate 73:6.	173:11, 175:25,
reversed 16:16.	rooms 48:7.	176:12, 187:23,
reversible	roughly 186:7.	201:5, 229:11.
14:17.	routine 65:3.	Says 7:10, 12:23,
review 8:13,	routinely 65:13.	13:4, 15:3,
16:10, 17:18,	Rpc'd 188:2.	16:7, 17:7,
18:1, 18:17,	rule 9:24, 63:6,	21:1, 24:4,
22:6, 22:14, 23:8, 23:12,	95:21, 120:25, 121:3, 200:2.	29:11, 36:1, 36:2, 39:22,
23:16, 23:21,	rules 22:23,	44:2, 45:1,
25:13, 25:15,	25:22.	45:15, 78:23,
23.13, 23.13,	49.44.	13.13, /0.23,

81:12, 84:10,	seek 67:9.	173:12.
84:12, 87:6,	seeking 224:10.	service 65:5,
107:3, 107:5,	seem 130:7,	65:19, 65:25,
140:1, 141:3,	130:14, 140:3,	115:19, 171:16,
163:3, 198:9,	193:20.	171:17, 173:3,
228:9, 230:10.	seemed 127:4,	173:11, 173:13,
scanner 193:8,	200:25.	188:19, 194:8,
215:6, 215:19.	Seems 80:8, 86:2,	194:13.
scare 81:13.	106:5, 106:13,	services 147:25,
scenario 182:23.	199:20.	154:1.
scene 79:4.	self-incrimination	serving 171:8.
schedule 93:13,	42:1.	servitude 42:22,
199:2, 200:7.	send 13:18, 24:18,	43:3.
schoolers 135:4.	29:22, 85:16,	sessions 111:3,
schools 81:18,	87:21, 88:2,	111:4.
202:23, 203:25,	110:17.	set 5:10, 5:12,
204:4, 212:14,	sending 89:18.	5:14, 5:19,
212:15.	sends 74:11.	5:20, 6:23, 8:7,
screen 78:17,	senior 124:7,	8:15, 10:3,
136:1, 138:3,	124:13, 134:21,	16:12, 23:9,
144:8.	135:5.	23:16, 23:19,
seat 58:21.	seniority	24:15, 24:21,
seated 113:15,	114:23.	24:23, 25:1,
146:21, 170:12,	sense 11:12,	25:3, 25:17,
185:20.	16:15, 25:4,	28:24, 34:17,
second 7:6, 9:3,	39:17, 129:2,	41:18, 62:14,
17:21, 17:24,	166:13, 178:21,	86:7, 86:8,
	180:9.	98:12, 153:18,
46:3, 93:20,		
224:19.	sensitive 9:23.	232:12.
seconds 163:6.	sent 5:10, 25:14,	sets 30:20.
secretary 12:1,	84:2, 90:13,	settle 31:18.
90:17, 90:19,	136:25, 137:12,	settling 23:4,
188:1.	187:10, 227:3,	29:19, 39:10.
section 15:22,	227:9, 230:13.	Several 33:12,
16:8, 16:12,	sentence 7:6,	54:16, 75:16,
18:7.	7:10, 21:1,	210:15.
seduction 11:22,	21:3, 32:6,	sex 10:12, 11:16,
13:2, 13:21,	32:18, 169:12.	15:5, 19:11,
19:8, 19:11,	sentences 18:20.	19:15, 19:22,
19:19, 19:22,	sentimental	28:12, 29:4,
22:15, 27:23,	91:13.	29:7, 43:21,
28:11, 29:4,	Separate 6:3,	44:21, 44:22,
29:10, 44:10,	6:15, 64:9,	61:17, 95:14,
44:12, 44:17,	64:10.	95:18, 95:25,
45:9.	separated	110:17, 111:9,
Seeing 34:14,	151:14.	131:22, 137:18,
66:7, 81:7,	series 76:6.	140:13, 141:3.
111:15, 120:15,	serve 171:13,	sexy 230:16.
170:3, 184:18,	171:19.	Shadow 65:2.
223:6.	served 113:4,	shall 30:13,
223·U.	BELVEU IIJ.4,	SHATT 30.T3,

185:16, shape 136:5, shape 136:5, shape 136:5, share 74:19, 80:17, 153:20, 105:21, 105:21, 105:24, 106:2, 157:10, 166:18, 166:22, 194:16, 168:5, shared 48:9, 181:2, sharing 48:11. Sharon 1:34, 232:27. She'd 190:7, 193:14, 206:3, 225:4. shelter 102:7, sheelt 168:8, shelter 102:7, shield 9:11, 16:5, 16:25, 17:1, shirt 59:1, 209:12, 209:16, 229:7, showlifting 229:8, showlift	113:11, 121:15, 146:17, 170:8,	56:25, 58:22, 59:3, 59:19,	217:20, 218:4, 220:15,
shape 136:5.         76:2, 78:17,         91:2, 105:21,         29:24, 35:22,         65:10, 120:17,         29:24, 35:22,         65:10, 120:17,         29:24, 35:22,         65:10, 120:17,         221:2, 221:3.         sit uation 11:15,         satuation 10:14,         satuation 70:17,         198:15.         satuation 70:17,		60:1, 75:25,	
80:17, 153:20, 157:10, 166:18, 136:16, 161:23, 167:6, 168:2, 197:19, 198:6, 181:2. 21:23, 221:3. 8titing 114:4. situation 11:15, 8haring 48:11. Sharon 1:34, 221:27. She'd 190:7, 193:14, 206:3, 225:4. sheet 168:8. shelter 102:7. sheid 9:11, 16:5, 16:25, 17:1. shirt 59:1, 60:1. shoplifting 229:7. shot 136:2. show 9:11, 16:5, 16:6, 17:2, 17:11, 27:12, 28:17, 28:18, 17:12, 28:17, 28:18, 15:14, 16:23, 17:14, 16:6, 17:2, 17:11, 27:12, 28:17, 28:18, 15:13, 168:11, 17:16, 11:14, 120:14, 16:10, 150:18, 15:13, 168:11, 16:51, 20:14, 16:12, 16:25, 17:1. shirt 4:10, 16:5, 16:6, 17:2, 17:11, 27:12, 28:17, 28:18, 15:13, 168:11, 17:16, 13:14, 17:12, 28:17, 28:18, 15:13, 168:11, 17:16, 13:14, 17:16, 13:14, 17:12, 18:17, 194:16, 194:25, 223:5, 223:5, 229:1. showed 89:19, 90:10, 90:18, 96:7, 105:11, 16:14, 16:12, 163:17, 168:7, Showing 50:8, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8, 18:3, 119:3, 169:8, 15:10, 193:2, 118:3, 119:3, 166:20, 163:17, 168:7, Showing 50:8, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8, 118:3, 119:3, 118:3, 118:3, 119:3, 118:3, 118:3, 118:3, 118:3, 118:3, 118:	_	76:2, 78:17,	
157:10, 166:18, 167:6, 168:2, 167:6, 168:2, 166:22, 194:16, 168:5.   shared 48:9, 197:19, 198:6, 187:11, 144:10, 181:2.   sharing 48:11.   Sharon 1:34, 232:27.   She'd 190:7, 21:2.   shows 90:9, 227:5.   shelt 168:8.   shelter 102:7.   sheelt 168:8.   shelter 102:7.   shield 9:11, 16:5, 16:25, 17:1.   shoplifting 229:7.   shortly 29:20.   show 9:11, 16:5, 16:6, 17:2, 17:3, 17:4, 17:11, 27:12, 28:17, 28:17, 28:18, 55:15, 76:6, 79:8, 81:14, 86:10, 89:21, 11:14, 120:14, 146:10, 150:18, 150:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 105:18, 106:20, 161:1, 161:4, 161:12, 166:20, 161:1, 161:4, 161:12, 166:20, 161:1, 161:4, 161:12, 166:20, 161:1, 161:4, 161:12, 166:20, 161:1, 161:4, 161:12, 163:17, 168:7.   Showing 50:8, 85:10, 99:20, 1221:3.   sitting 114:4.   sittuation 11:15,   sitting 114:4.   situation 11:15,   stuations 70:17, 146:11, 15:14, 100:14,   146:2, 166:8.   situation 11:15,   stuations 70:17, 145:10,   146:2, 166:8.   situation 11:15,   85:11, 85:15,   87:11, 144:10,   146:2, 166:8.   situation 11:15,   85:11, 85:15,   87:11, 144:10,   146:2, 166:8.   situations 70:17,   185:11, 85:15,   87:11, 144:10,   146:2, 166:8.   situations 70:17,   185:11, 186:2, 166:8.   situations 70:17,   185:11, 185:15,   slep 208:6.   slep 208:6.   slep 208:6.   slow 75:17,   slow 75:17,   smart 139:20,   smokes 79:5,   79:19.   smokes 85:22,   smoking 79:20,   80:14, 82:7,   8			
167:6, 168:2, 166:22, 194:16, 197:19, 198:6, stuation 11:15, shared 48:9, 220:17, 221:9, 85:11, 85:11, 144:10, 146:2, 166:8.   Sharing 48:11.   Sharon 1:34, 232:27.   She'd 190:7, 193:14, 206:3, 225:4.   Shelter 102:7.   Shelt 168:8.   Shelter 102:7.   Shield 9:11, 16:5, 16:25, 17:1.   Shirt 59:1, 60:1.   Showlifting 209:12, 209:16, 16:6, 17:2, 17:3, 17:4, 17:11, 27:12, 28:17, 28:18, 55:15, 76:6, 79:8, 81:14, 146:10, 150:18, 16:14, 16:12, 16:14, 16:12, 16:14, 16:12, 16:14, 16:12, 16:14, 16:12, 16:14, 16:11, 16:15, 10:14, 120:14, 146:10, 150:18, 10:15, 10:15, 10:16, 17:2, 17:12, 23:57.   Showdeng 50:8, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8, 16:21, 16:6, 17:2, 17:12, 28:17, 28:18, 55:15, 76:6, 79:8, 81:14, 146:10, 150:18, 10:15, 10:			
168:5. shared 48:9,			
shared 48:9, 181:2.         220:17, 221:9, 221:23.         85:11, 85:15, 87:11, 144:10, 46:16, 47:12.           sharing 48:11.         shown 16:14, 146:2, 166:8.         146:2, 166:8.           Sharon 1:34, 23:27.         shows 90:9, 50:11, 146:2.         situations 70:17, 19:15.           She'd 190:7, 193:14, 206:3, 225:4.         siblings 96:9, 19:15.         slap 140:5.           sheet 168:8.         siblings 96:9, 109:6.         slow 75:17.           shelter 102:7.         sick 54:25, 84:21, 108:9, 115:10, 207:13, 207:2, 207:3, 60:1.         207:2, 207:3, 207:3, 207:2, 207:3, 207:2, 207:3, 207:15, 207:17, 210:3.         smoke 79:5, 79:19.           shoplifting 229:7.         207:12, 209:16, 210:12.         smokes 85:22.         smoking 79:20, 80:14, 82:7, 85:24, 107:12, 229:8.           show 9:11, 16:5, 16:6, 17:2, 17:1, 27:12, 231:7.         side 75:18, 120:1, 156:24, 156:25, 157:2, 229:8.         sneaking 229:7, 229:8.           show 9:11, 16:5, 16:6, 17:2, 17:1, 27:12, 231:7.         significant 25:1, 36:24, 107:12, 229:8.         sneaking 229:7, 229:8.           stituations 70:17, 185:15.         smoke 79:5, 79:19.         smoke 79:17.           show 9:11, 16:5, 16:5, 17:2, 209:16, 21:1, 20:1, 156:22, 156:24, 17:10.         sick 54:25, 84:21, mart 139:20.           show 9:11, 16:5, 16:5, 17:2, 20:17, 20:3.         side 75:18, 120:1, 17:10.           10:6, 17:2, 21:1, 30:17, 30:20.         solicit 27:12, 20:1, 17:13.           so			_
181:2. sharing 48:11. Sharon 1:34, 232:27. She'd 190:7, 193:14, 206:3, 225:4. sheet 168:8. shelter 102:7. shield 9:11, 16:5, 16:25, 17:1. shirt 59:1, 60:1. shorlly 29:20. show 9:11, 16:5, 16:6, 17:2, 17:31, 17:4, 17:11, 27:12, 28:17, 28:18, 55:15, 76:6, 79:8, 81:14, 86:10, 89:21, 11:14, 120:14, 146:10, 150:18, 151:3, 168:11, 109:6. Sick 54:25, 84:21, 108:9, 115:10, 207:18, 208:4, 225:7. smoke 79:5, 79:19. smoke 79:5, 79:19. smoke 89:22. smoking 79:20, 80:14, 82:7, 80:14, 82:7, 80:14, 82:7, 80:14, 82:7, 80:24, 107:12, 229:8. sneaking 229:7, 229:8. snitches 40:4. social 52:11, 71:3, 80:20. solicit 27:12. somebody 13:6, 66:25, 70:17, 158:10, 39:20, somebody 13:6, 66:25, 70:17, 158:10, 39:20, somehody 13:6, 66:25, 70:17, 158:10, 19:20. somehody 13:6, 66:25, 70:17, 158:10, 158:12, 179:18, 179:20. somehody 13:6, 66:25, 70:17, 158:10, 158:12, 179:20. somehody 13:6, 66:25, 70:17, 158:10, 158:12, 179:20. somehod 61:25, 224:23. Someone 13:17, 39:20, 65:6, 103:10, 114:23, 163:17, 168:7, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8,			
sharing 48:11.       Sharon 1:34,       17:12.       situations 70:17,         232:27.       shows 90:9,       198:15.       situations 70:17,         She'd 190:7,       227:5.       slap 140:5.       slap 140:5.         193:14, 206:3,       siblings 193:24.       sleep 208:6.       slow 75:17.         sheet 168:8.       shelter 102:7.       shill ings 96:9,       slow 75:17.       small 138:4,         sheld 9:11, 16:5,       sic 23:3.       small 138:4,       225:7.       smoke 79:5,       smoke 79:5,       smoke 79:5,       smoke 79:5,       smokes 85:22,       smoking 79:20,       80:14, 82:7,       smokes 85:22,       smoking 79:20,       80:14, 82:7,       229:8.       smoken 16:14,       120:12,       229:8.       smitar 17:12,       229:8.       smitar 17:12,       229:8.       smitar 17:12,       229:8.       smitar 17:16,       31:14,       social 52:11,       71:3,			
232:27.       She'd 190:7,       227:5.       slap 140:5.         193:14, 206:3,       sibling 193:24.       sleep 208:6.         225:4.       siblings 96:9,       slow 75:17.         sheet 168:8.       109:6.       small 138:4,         shelter 102:7.       sic 23:3.       small 138:4,         sheid 9:11, 16:5,       sick 54:25, 84:21,       smoke 79:5,         16:25, 17:1.       108:9, 115:10,       smoke 79:5,         shoplifting       207:12, 207:3,       smoke 85:22.         shoplifting       209:12, 209:16,       smoking 79:20,         229:7.       sickness 207:15,       smoking 79:20,         shot 136:2.       sickness 207:15,       85:24, 107:12,         show 9:11, 16:5,       side 75:18, 120:1,       seaking 229:7,         show 9:11, 16:5,       side 75:18, 120:1,       sneaking 229:7,         show 9:11, 16:5,       side 75:18, 120:1,       sneaking 229:7,         17:3, 17:4,       156:22, 156:24,       sneaking 229:7,         17:1, 27:12,       23:17.       snitches 40:4,         17:1, 27:12,       23:17.       social 52:11,         17:1, 27:12,       similar 17:16,       social 52:11,         19:8, 81:14,       similar 17:16,       solicit 27:12, <t< td=""><td>sharing 48:11.</td><td>shown 16:14,</td><td>146:2, 166:8.</td></t<>	sharing 48:11.	shown 16:14,	146:2, 166:8.
She'd 190:7,     193:14, 206:3,     225:4.     sheet 168:8.     shelter 102:7.     shield 9:11, 16:5,     16:25, 17:1.     shoplifting     229:7.     shoplifting     229:7.     shortly 29:20.     show 9:11, 16:5,     16:6, 17:2,     17:3, 17:4,     17:31, 27:12,     28:17, 28:18,     55:15, 76:6,     79:8, 81:14,     86:10, 89:21,     11:14, 120:14,     146:10, 150:18,     151:3, 168:11,     79:10, 90:10, 90:18,     90:10, 90:18,     96:9,     109:6.     sibling 193:24.     slae 140:5.     sleep 208:6.     slow 75:17.     small 138:4,     225:7.     smart 139:20.     smoke 79:5,     79:19.     smokes 85:22.     smoking 79:20,     80:14, 82:7,     85:24, 107:12,     229:8.     sneaking 229:7,     229:8.     snitches 40:4.     social 52:11,     71:3, 80:20.     solemnly 113:10,     121:14, 146:16,     170:7, 185:15.     solicit 27:12.     somebody 13:6,     66:25, 70:17,     158:10, 158:12,     179:20.     somehow 61:25,     224:23.     Someone 13:17,     39:20, 65:6,     103:10, 114:23,     163:17, 168:7.     Showing 50:8,     8leep 208:6.     slow 75:17.     small 138:4,     225:7.     smalt 139:20.     smoke 79:5,     79:19.     smokes 85:22.     smoking 79:20,     80:14, 82:7,     85:24, 107:12,     85:24, 107:12,     229:8.     snitches 40:4.     social 52:11,     71:3, 80:20.     solemnly 113:10,     121:14, 146:16,     170:7, 185:15.     solicit 27:12.     somebody 13:6,     66:25, 70:17,     158:10, 158:12,     179:18,     179:20.     somehow 61:25,     224:23.     Someone 13:17,     39:20, 65:6,     103:10, 114:23,     118:3, 119:3			
193:14, 206:3, 225:4. sibling 193:24. siblings 96:9, 109:6. slow 75:17. small 138:4, 225:7. shield 9:11, 16:5, 16:25, 17:1. 108:9, 115:10, 207:18, 208:4, shoplifting 29:12, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:12, 209:12, 209:12, 209:12, 209:12, 209:12, 209:12, 209:12, 209:12, 209:12, 209:12, 2			
225:4.       siblings 96:9,       slow 75:17.         sheet 168:8.       109:6.       small 138:4,         shelter 102:7.       sic 23:3.       small 138:4,         sheld 9:11, 16:5,       sick 54:25, 84:21,       smart 139:20.         shirt 59:1,       207:2, 207:3,       smoke 79:5,         60:1.       207:18, 208:4,       smokes 85:22.         shoplifting       209:12, 209:16,       smokes 85:22.         229:7.       sickness 207:15,       80:14, 82:7,         shortly 29:20.       sickness 207:15,       85:24, 107:12,         show 9:11, 16:5,       207:17, 210:3.       sneaking 79:20,         show 9:11, 16:5,       156:22, 156:24,       229:8.         17:3, 17:4,       156:22, 156:24,       sneaking 229:7,         17:11, 27:12,       231:7.       sneaking 229:7,         28:17, 28:18,       significant 25:1,       soilemnly 113:10,         17:3, 17:4,       31:2.       solemnly 113:10,         17:11, 27:12,       significant 25:1,       solemnly 113:10,         12:11, 14, 120:14,       34:19, 40:3,       solicit 27:12.         11:14, 120:14,       34:19, 40:3,       solicit 27:12.         12:10, 19:18,       simple 123:19.       solicit 27:12.         12:10,			_
sheet 168:8.       109:6.       small 138:4,         shelter 102:7.       sic 23:3.       small 138:4,         shield 9:11, 16:5,       sick 54:25, 84:21,       smart 139:20.         shield 9:11, 16:5,       108:9, 115:10,       smoke 79:5,         shield 9:11, 16:5,       207:12, 207:3,       smokes 85:22.         shoplifting       209:12, 209:16,       smokes 85:22.         229:7.       210:12.       smoking 79:20,         shortly 29:20.       sickness 207:15,       smoking 79:20,         shortly 29:20.       sickness 207:15,       smoking 79:20,         show 9:11, 16:5,       side 75:18, 120:1,       sneaking 229:7,         16:6, 17:2,       156:22, 156:24,       sneaking 229:7,         17:1, 27:12,       231:7.       social 52:11,         17:1, 27:12,       231:7.       social 52:11,         28:17, 28:18,       signed 177:9.       solemnly 113:10,         55:15, 76:6,       significant 25:1,       solemnly 113:10,         11:14, 120:14,       34:19, 40:3,       solicit 27:12.         11:11, 120:14,       34:19, 40:3,       solicit 27:12.         15:3, 168:11,       simple 123:19.       solicit 27:12.         19:14, 16:10,       simple 123:19.       solicit 27:12.	-		
shelter 102:7.         sic 23:3.         225:7.           shield 9:11, 16:5,         16:25, 17:1.         sick 54:25, 84:21,         smart 139:20.           shirt 59:1,         207:2, 207:3,         79:19.           60:1.         207:18, 208:4,         smokes 85:22.           shoplifting         209:12, 209:16,         smokes 85:22.           229:7.         210:12.         smoking 79:20,           80:14, 82:7,         85:24, 107:12,           207:17, 210:3.         229:8.           show 9:11, 16:5,         Side 75:18, 120:1,           16:6, 17:2,         156:22, 156:24,           17:3, 17:4,         156:25, 157:2,           28:17, 28:18,         signed 177:9.           55:15, 76:6,         significant 25:1,           79:8, 81:14,         31:2.           86:10, 89:21,         similar 17:16,           11:14, 120:14,         34:19, 40:3,           15:3, 168:11,         simple 123:19.           15:13, 168:11,         simple 23:19.           170:2, 184:17,         simple 66:20,           23:5, 229:1.         74:2, 100:3,           105:18, 108:3,         125:15,           96:7, 105:11,         125:15,           105:18, 16:20, 161:1,         sigter 47:25,		_	
shield 9:11, 16:5, 16:25, 17:1.       sick 54:25, 84:21, 207:2, 207:3, 207:2, 207:3, 207:18, 208:4, 209:11, 209:12, 209:16, 229:7.       smoke 85:22. 300 smoking 79:20, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:12, 209:16, 209:14, 209:12, 209:15, 207:17, 210:3.       smoking 79:20, 300 smoking			
16:25, 17:1.       108:9, 115:10,       smoke 79:5,         shirt 59:1,       207:2, 207:3,       79:19.         60:1.       207:18, 208:4,       smokes 85:22.         shoplifting       209:12, 209:16,       smoking 79:20,         229:7.       210:12.       smoking 79:20,         shortly 29:20.       sickness 207:15,       80:14, 82:7,         show 9:11, 16:5,       207:17, 210:3.       229:8.         show 9:11, 16:5,       207:17, 210:3.       229:8.         show 9:11, 16:5,       36:675:18, 120:1,       229:8.         16:6, 17:2,       156:22, 156:24,       229:8.         17:3, 17:4,       156:25, 157:2,       snitches 40:4.         17:1, 27:12,       231:7.       social 52:11,         28:17, 28:18,       signed 177:9.       social 52:11,         79:8, 81:14,       31:2.       solemnly 113:10,         86:10, 89:21,       similar 17:16,       170:7, 185:15.         111:14, 120:14,       34:19, 40:3,       solicit 27:12.         156:25, 70:17,       simple 123:19.       solicit 27:12.         194:16, 194:25,       simple 66:20,       173:6, 173:8,         223:5, 229:1.       74:2, 100:3,       179:18,         showed 89:19,       125:15.			
60:1.       207:18, 208:4,       smokes 85:22.         shoplifting       209:12, 209:16,       smoking 79:20,         229:7.       sickness 207:15,       80:14, 82:7,         shortly 29:20.       sickness 207:15,       229:8.         show 9:11, 16:5,       Side 75:18, 120:1,       229:8.         show 9:11, 16:5,       156:22, 156:24,       229:8.         16:6, 17:2,       156:22, 156:24,       229:8.         17:3, 17:4,       156:25, 157:2,       snitches 40:4.         17:11, 27:12,       231:7.       social 52:11,         28:17, 28:18,       signed 177:9.       solemnly 113:10,         55:15, 76:6,       significant 25:1,       solemnly 113:10,         121:14, 146:16,       170:7, 185:15.       solemnly 113:10,         121:14, 146:16,       170:7, 185:15.       solicit 27:12.         146:10, 150:18,       198:15.       somebody 13:6,         151:3, 168:11,       simple 123:19.       66:25, 70:17,         170:2, 184:17,       simply 36:17.       158:10, 158:12,         190:10, 90:18,       125:15.       somehow 61:25,         96:7, 105:11,       125:15.       somehow 61:25,         105:18, 108:3,       sister 47:25,       39:20, 65:6,         16:4, 16:12,		108:9, 115:10,	smoke 79:5,
shoplifting       209:12, 209:16,       smoking 79:20,         229:7.       sickness 207:15,       80:14, 82:7,         shortly 29:20.       sickness 207:15,       229:8.         show 9:11, 16:5,       207:17, 210:3.       229:8.         show 9:11, 16:5,       Side 75:18, 120:1,       sneaking 229:7,         16:6, 17:2,       156:22, 156:24,       229:8.         17:3, 17:4,       156:25, 157:2,       snitches 40:4.         17:11, 27:12,       231:7.       social 52:11,         28:17, 28:18,       signed 177:9.       solemnly 113:10,         55:15, 76:6,       significant 25:1,       50lemnly 113:10,         121:14, 146:16,       170:7, 185:15.         86:10, 89:21,       similar 17:16,       170:7, 185:15.         111:14, 120:14,       34:19, 40:3,       solemnly 113:10,         121:14, 146:16,       170:7, 185:15.       somebody 13:6,         151:3, 168:11,       simple 123:19.       66:25, 70:17,         170:2, 184:17,       simple 36:17.       158:10, 158:12,         194:16, 194:25,       single 66:20,       173:6, 173:8,         223:5, 229:1.       74:2, 100:3,       179:18,         105:18, 108:3,       125:15.       somehow 61:25,         224:23.       som	shirt 59:1,		
229:7.  shortly 29:20.  show 9:11, 16:5, 16:6, 17:2, 17:11, 27:12, 231:7.  231			
shortly 29:20.       sickness 207:15,       85:24, 107:12,         show 9:11, 16:5,       207:17, 210:3.       sneaking 229:7,         16:6, 17:2,       156:22, 156:24,       229:8.         17:3, 17:4,       156:25, 157:2,       snitches 40:4.         17:11, 27:12,       231:7.       social 52:11,         28:17, 28:18,       signed 177:9.       social 52:11,         55:15, 76:6,       significant 25:1,       71:3, 80:20.         55:15, 76:6,       significant 25:1,       12:114, 146:16,         79:8, 81:14,       31:2.       12:114, 146:16,         86:10, 89:21,       similar 17:16,       170:7, 185:15.         11:14, 120:14,       34:19, 40:3,       solicit 27:12.         146:10, 150:18,       198:15.       somebody 13:6,         151:3, 168:11,       simple 123:19.       66:25, 70:17,         170:2, 184:17,       simply 36:17.       158:10, 158:12,         194:16, 194:25,       single 66:20,       173:6, 173:8,         223:5, 229:1.       74:2, 100:3,       179:18,         showed 89:19,       125:15.       somehow 61:25,         96:7, 105:11,       Sictert 47:25,       somehow 61:25,         105:18, 108:3,       169:8.       somehow 61:25,         126:20, 161:	_		_
shot 136:2.       207:17, 210:3.       229:8.         show 9:11, 16:5,       Side 75:18, 120:1,       sneaking 229:7,         16:6, 17:2,       156:22, 156:24,       229:8.         17:3, 17:4,       156:25, 157:2,       snitches 40:4.         17:11, 27:12,       231:7.       social 52:11,         28:17, 28:18,       signed 177:9.       social 52:11,         79:8, 81:14,       significant 25:1,       solemnly 113:10,         79:8, 81:14,       31:2.       solemnly 113:10,         86:10, 89:21,       similar 17:16,       170:7, 185:15.         111:14, 120:14,       34:19, 40:3,       solicit 27:12.         146:10, 150:18,       simple 123:19.       somebody 13:6,         151:3, 168:11,       simply 36:17.       somebody 13:6,         170:2, 184:17,       simply 36:17.       158:10, 158:12,         194:16, 194:25,       single 66:20,       173:6, 173:8,         223:5, 229:1.       74:2, 100:3,       179:18,         showed 89:19,       125:11,       somehow 61:25,         96:7, 105:11,       sister 47:25,       somehow 61:25,         16:20, 161:1,       sister 47:25,       39:20, 65:6,         16:4, 161:12,       48:8, 64:15,       103:10, 114:23,         163:17, 1			
show 9:11, 16:5,       Side 75:18, 120:1,       sneaking 229:7,         16:6, 17:2,       156:22, 156:24,       229:8.         17:3, 17:4,       156:25, 157:2,       snitches 40:4.         17:11, 27:12,       231:7.       social 52:11,         28:17, 28:18,       signed 177:9.       71:3, 80:20.         55:15, 76:6,       significant 25:1,       solemnly 113:10,         79:8, 81:14,       31:2.       121:14, 146:16,         86:10, 89:21,       similar 17:16,       170:7, 185:15.         111:14, 120:14,       34:19, 40:3,       solicit 27:12.         146:10, 150:18,       198:15.       somebody 13:6,         151:3, 168:11,       simple 123:19.       66:25, 70:17,         170:2, 184:17,       simply 36:17.       158:10, 158:12,         194:16, 194:25,       single 66:20,       173:6, 173:8,         223:5, 229:1.       74:2, 100:3,       179:18,         showed 89:19,       125:11,       somehow 61:25,         96:7, 105:11,       125:15.       somehow 61:25,         105:18, 108:3,       169:8.       someone 13:17,         126:20, 161:1,       48:8, 64:15,       103:10, 114:23,         163:17, 168:7.       67:4, 73:1,       126:10, 147:8,          Showing 50	<del>-</del>		
16:6, 17:2, 17:3, 17:4, 17:11, 27:12, 28:17, 28:18, 55:15, 76:6, 79:8, 81:14, 86:10, 89:21, 11:14, 120:14, 156:21, 123:19. 156:22, 156:24, 156:25, 157:2, 231:7. 231:7. 231:7. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 71:3, 80:20. 20:11, 70:7, 185:15. 20:12, 70:7, 185:15. 20:12, 70:7, 185:15. 20:12, 70:7, 185:15. 20:12, 70:17, 20:12, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:13, 70:17, 20:10, 17:18, 20:10, 17:18, 20:10, 17:18, 20:10, 14:23, 20:10, 14:23, 20:10, 147:8, 20:10, 147:8,			
17:3, 17:4, 17:11, 27:12, 28:17, 28:18, 55:15, 76:6, 79:8, 81:14, 86:10, 89:21, 11:14, 120:14, 156:25, 157:2, 231:7.  31:2.  86:10, 89:21, 11:14, 120:14, 16:10, 150:18, 151:3, 168:11, 170:2, 184:17, 194:16, 194:25, 223:5, 229:1.  showed 89:19, 90:10, 90:18, 90:10, 90:18, 90:10, 90:18, 105:18, 108:3, 126:20, 161:1, 161:4, 161:12, 163:17, 168:7. Showing 50:8,  156:25, 157:2, 231:7. 241:14, 146:16, 210:17:14, 146:16, 210:17:14, 146:16, 210:17:14, 146:16, 211:14, 146:16, 211:14, 146:16, 211:14, 146:16, 211:14, 146:16, 211:14, 146:16, 211:14, 146:16, 211:14, 146:16, 211:14, 146:16, 211:14, 146:16, 211:14, 146:16, 211:14, 146:16, 31:2. 31:2. 30:20. 30			
28:17, 28:18, signed 177:9. significant 25:1, solemnly 113:10, 121:14, 146:16, 170:7, 185:15. solicit 27:12. somebody 13:6, 151:3, 168:11, 170:2, 184:17, 194:16, 194:25, 223:5, 229:1. showed 89:19, 90:10, 90:18, 125:11, 105:18, 108:3, 125:12, 163:17, 168:7. Showing 50:8, signed 177:9. signed 177:9. signed 177:9. solicit 27:12. solicit 27:12. solicit 27:12. somebody 13:6, 66:25, 70:17, 158:10, 158:10, 158:12, 179:20. somebody 13:6, 173:8, 179:18, 179:20. somehow 61:25, 224:23. s			snitches 40:4.
55:15, 76:6,       significant 25:1,       solemnly 113:10,         79:8, 81:14,       31:2.       121:14, 146:16,         86:10, 89:21,       similar 17:16,       170:7, 185:15.         111:14, 120:14,       34:19, 40:3,       solicit 27:12.         146:10, 150:18,       198:15.       somebody 13:6,         151:3, 168:11,       simple 123:19.       66:25, 70:17,         170:2, 184:17,       simply 36:17.       158:10, 158:12,         194:16, 194:25,       single 66:20,       173:6, 173:8,         223:5, 229:1.       74:2, 100:3,       179:18,         showed 89:19,       125:11,       somehow 61:25,         90:10, 90:18,       125:15.       somehow 61:25,         96:7, 105:11,       Sir 147:17, 169:3,       224:23.         105:18, 108:3,       169:8.       someone 13:17,         126:20, 161:1,       sister 47:25,       39:20, 65:6,         161:4, 161:12,       48:8, 64:15,       103:10, 114:23,         163:17, 168:7.       67:4, 73:1,       118:3, 119:3,         Showing 50:8,       85:10, 99:20,       126:10, 147:8,	17:11, 27:12,		_
79:8, 81:14, 86:10, 89:21, similar 17:16, 170:7, 185:15. 111:14, 120:14, 146:16, 170:7, 185:15. 111:14, 120:14, 198:15. somebody 13:6, 151:3, 168:11, simple 123:19. somebody 13:6, 66:25, 70:17, 170:2, 184:17, simply 36:17. 158:10, 158:12, 194:16, 194:25, 223:5, 229:1. single 66:20, 74:2, 100:3, 179:18, 179:20. somehow 61:25, 96:7, 105:11, 125:15. somehow 61:25, 224:23. 105:18, 108:3, 126:20, 161:1, 161:12, 163:17, 168:7. Showing 50:8, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8,			
86:10, 89:21,			
111:14, 120:14, 198:15. somebody 13:6, 151:3, 168:11, simple 123:19. 158:10, 158:12, 194:16, 194:25, 223:5, 229:1. showed 89:19, 90:10, 90:18, 105:18, 108:3, 126:20, 161:4, 161:12, 163:17, 168:7. Showing 50:8, Simple 40:3, 198:15. somebody 13:6, 66:25, 70:17, 158:10, 158:10, 158:12, 170:20, 173:6, 173:8, 179:18, 179:20. somehow 61:25, 224:23. Somehow 61:25, 224:23. Someone 13:17, 169:3, 169:8. Someone 13:17, 169:3, 163:17, 168:7. Sister 47:25, 103:10, 114:23, 163:17, 168:7. Showing 50:8, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8,	· · ·		
146:10, 150:18,198:15.somebody 13:6,151:3, 168:11,simple 123:19.66:25, 70:17,170:2, 184:17,simply 36:17.158:10, 158:12,194:16, 194:25,single 66:20,173:6, 173:8,223:5, 229:1.74:2, 100:3,179:18,showed 89:19,125:11,179:20.90:10, 90:18,125:15.somehow 61:25,96:7, 105:11,Sir 147:17, 169:3,224:23.105:18, 108:3,169:8.Someone 13:17,126:20, 161:1,sister 47:25,39:20, 65:6,161:4, 161:12,48:8, 64:15,103:10, 114:23,163:17, 168:7.67:4, 73:1,118:3, 119:3,Showing 50:8,85:10, 99:20,126:10, 147:8,			
151:3, 168:11, 170:2, 184:17, 194:16, 194:25, 223:5, 229:1.simple 123:19. simply 36:17. simply 36:17. single 66:20, 74:2, 100:3, 125:11, 105:18, 108:3, 			
170:2, 184:17, 194:16, 194:25, 223:5, 229:1.  showed 89:19, 90:10, 90:18, 105:18, 108:3, 126:20, 161:1, 163:17, 168:7. Showing 50:8,  simply 36:17.  simply 36:17.  simply 36:17.  158:10, 158:12, 173:6, 173:8, 179:18, 179:20.  somehow 61:25, 224:23. Someone 13:17, 39:20, 65:6, 103:10, 114:23, 163:17, 168:7. Showing 50:8,  simply 36:17.  158:10, 158:12, 173:6, 173:8, 179:18, 179:20.  179:2			_
223:5, 229:1. 74:2, 100:3, 179:18, 179:20. showed 89:19, 125:11, 179:20. somehow 61:25, 96:7, 105:11, Sir 147:17, 169:3, 105:18, 108:3, 169:8. Someone 13:17, 168:20, 161:1, 163:17, 168:7. Showing 50:8, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8,	•	<u> </u>	
showed 89:19,		single 66:20,	173:6, 173:8,
90:10, 90:18,       125:15.       somehow 61:25,         96:7, 105:11,       Sir 147:17, 169:3,       224:23.         105:18, 108:3,       169:8.       Someone 13:17,         126:20, 161:1,       sister 47:25,       39:20, 65:6,         161:4, 161:12,       48:8, 64:15,       103:10, 114:23,         163:17, 168:7.       67:4, 73:1,       118:3, 119:3,         Showing 50:8,       85:10, 99:20,       126:10, 147:8,			_
96:7, 105:11, 105:18, 108:3, 126:20, 161:1, 161:4, 161:12, 163:17, 168:7. Showing 50:8, Sir 147:17, 169:3, 169:8. Sister 47:25, 48:8, 64:15, 67:4, 73:1, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8,		-	
105:18, 108:3, 126:20, 161:1, 161:4, 161:12, 163:17, 168:7. Showing 50:8, 169:8. sister 47:25, 48:8, 64:15, 67:4, 73:1, 85:10, 99:20, Someone 13:17, 39:20, 65:6, 103:10, 114:23, 118:3, 119:3, 126:10, 147:8,			
126:20, 161:1, sister 47:25, 39:20, 65:6, 48:8, 64:15, 103:17, 168:7. 67:4, 73:1, Showing 50:8, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8,			
161:4, 161:12, 48:8, 64:15, 103:10, 114:23, 163:17, 168:7. 67:4, 73:1, 118:3, 119:3, Showing 50:8, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8,			
163:17, 168:7. 67:4, 73:1, 118:3, 119:3, Showing 50:8, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8,			
Showing 50:8, 85:10, 99:20, 126:10, 147:8,			
55:23, 56:6, 114:17, 193:23, 178:25.	Showing 50:8,	85:10, 99:20,	
	55:23, 56:6,	114:17, 193:23,	178:25.

sometime 72:21,	specifically	125:7, 127:8,
145:4.	23:20, 33:10,	127:11.
Sometimes 30:8,	117:23, 117:24,	squirrel 161:4.
37:21, 55:9,	163:25, 168:23,	squirrelly 161:13,
66:3, 87:4,	178:16,	161:18.
87:8, 188:2,	182:12.	Stacey 2:2,
194:14, 209:21,	specificity	227:11.
209:22, 210:2,	228:10.	stack 12:1.
221:3.	speculate 60:5.	staff 117:1.
somewhat 9:19.	speculating	stage 82:5.
somewhere 48:21,	203:1.	stalking 75:22,
55:5, 63:24,	Speculation	87:25.
68:18, 82:13,	103:18, 106:9,	stand 17:21,
158:20.	110:2, 116:12,	36:20, 43:25,
son 108:17,	118:8, 199:19,	58:19, 58:20.
108:22, 186:3,	200:4, 202:24,	standard 34:1.
214:1.	203:3.	standing 7:24.
Soon 73:7.	speedway 150:2,	standpoint
Sophomore 122:11,	150:18,	37:24.
122:13.	•	start 5:18, 5:22,
	150:19. spell 22:16,	6:10, 6:13,
Sorry 14:11,		
15:14, 15:22,	113:15, 121:19,	60:24, 135:12,
56:7, 57:18,	146:21, 170:12,	138:22, 189:17,
58:10, 82:23,	185:20.	189:24.
85:14, 99:11,	spelling 22:21,	started 13:25,
117:8, 118:6,	27:2.	14:8, 41:15,
118:25, 125:14,	spend 64:11,	65:4, 74:9,
137:16, 138:1,	124:16, 124:19,	80:6, 102:20,
156:13, 158:6,	188:17, 191:17,	114:18, 157:1,
163:4, 181:20,	191:25, 193:14,	176:10, 183:6,
201:24, 205:5,	194:6, 205:7,	183:22, 183:24,
206:6, 224:4.	205:11.	186:7, 186:12,
sort 126:22,	Spending 133:19,	203:18.
150:24.	189:24, 193:4,	starting 9:3,
sound 61:10, 82:9,	193:5.	55:11, 139:9,
230:15.	spent 18:9, 64:12,	187:18, 202:15,
source 204:24.	104:15, 196:24,	228:19.
Southern 108:19,	217:18.	stat 10:12.
108:21,	spoke 84:2, 93:17,	statements 33:18,
108:23.	93:20, 93:25,	89:25.
space 203:13.	130:6, 134:9,	states 29:7,
speaking 28:14,	178:4, 181:13,	89:10.
84:3, 152:15,	210:15,	stating 178:16.
172:3.	224:20.	status 34:22,
special 186:12.	spoken 148:15,	38:24, 73:21.
specific 5:15,	218:18, 229:4.	statute 7:13,
14:20, 30:10,	sports 69:20,	13:4, 15:7,
30:12, 115:24,	123:14,	27:7, 27:11,
119:13,	124:24.	27:15, 27:16,
130:11.	spring 89:17,	28:6, 132:13,
	· · ·	

140:13, 141:3.	stressed 152:1,	171:13.
Statutory 11:22,	162:19,	subpoenaed
13:1, 13:21,	162:25.	156:18.
19:8, 19:10,	stressful 146:3.	subpoenas 171:8,
19:18, 19:21,	strike 145:19.	171:12.
20:11, 22:14,	string 81:10,	Subsection 27:9,
27:23, 28:11,	83:23, 88:6.	27:10.
29:4, 29:10,	stronger 227:25.	subsequent 13:12,
42:25, 44:10,	struck 99:3.	18:17.
44:12, 44:17,	struggle 35:21.	substance
45:8.	stuck 124:2,	105:15.
staunchly	163:20.	substantive
230:10.	student 114:7.	23:3.
Stay 48:5, 49:11,	students 61:8,	success 227:11.
50:16, 55:4,	69:6, 69:7,	successfully
		_
60:16, 70:9,	102:22, 117:4,	227:22.
87:24, 88:7,	118:1, 118:10,	suddenly 224:18.
89:19, 108:12,	187:17,	suggest 61:24.
108:15, 108:25,	189:20.	suggested 81:12,
130:23, 186:11,	study 65:19,	224:17,
205:17,	125:21, 200:20,	224:22.
207:23.	216:24.	sum 24:18.
staying 24:7,	studying 125:23,	Summer 48:20,
182:2.	133:21,	48:24, 68:10,
stealing 225:6.	200:18.	94:6, 127:12,
stenographically	stuff 53:8, 84:15,	158:21,
232:14.		158:22.
	89:7, 123:16,	
step 41:20, 47:11,	124:23, 125:24,	Suncoast 54:20.
47:13, 130:19.	144:5, 186:10,	Sunday 65:4,
stepping 19:7.	222:3.	65:25, 192:16,
stock 6:24, 6:25,	styled 129:8.	215:10.
33:22, 34:1,	subbing 186:8,	Sundays 157:21.
34:12.	186:12.	supplement 44:7,
stomach 84:21.	subject 38:8,	45:23.
Stop 75:23, 86:2,	97:6, 97:13,	supplying 81:5.
88:1, 88:13,	112:10, 112:17,	Supportive 43:16,
89:13, 125:12,	130:22, 145:22,	225:18, 225:22,
189:2.	180:25, 184:25,	225:25, 226:2.
stopped 78:9,	185:7, 223:16,	suppose 49:7,
126:25, 143:6,	223:23.	53:18.
158:15,	subjective 42:22,	supposed 48:11,
174:11.	43:3, 133:1.	66:25, 95:3,
story 163:20,	subjects 36:13.	95:4, 123:18.
226:8.	submit 39:5,	Supreme 9:23,
straight 60:19,	40:18.	10:14, 13:11,
106:25.	submitted 97:14,	18:24, 19:4,
strange 109:22.	112:18, 185:8,	27:3, 27:25,
street 75:18,	223:24.	29:14, 42:23.
182:5, 228:22.	Subpoena 166:6,	surprise 217:7,
stress 204:24.	169:19, 171:3,	217:23,
BULUBB ZUT.ZT.	100.10, 111.0,	211.23,

219:17.	37:25, 40:9,	159:14, 165:25,
surprised 194:20,	42:12, 43:2,	166:16, 167:9,
226:13.	45:5, 218:4.	167:13, 202:4,
		-
surprising	tape 41:8, 42:3.	202:11, 230:19,
225:11.	task 171:5.	230:20.
surrounding	tattoo 109:17.	Testifying 39:20,
79:22.	Taylor 79:5, 80:7,	81:24, 121:3,
suspicions	80:15, 80:23,	166:5, 167:19.
53:10.	81:2, 85:21,	testing 86:2.
suspicious	85:22, 85:24.	texting 8:24,
193:20.	teacher 81:11,	66:15, 74:10,
sustain 62:13,	114:1, 187:17,	82:5, 87:6.
117:15, 122:23,	188:13.	texts 85:19,
153:12.	teachers 70:4,	87:25, 88:19,
Sustained 62:4,	70:8, 117:1,	90:8, 127:4,
82:2, 110:3,		165:5, 165:10,
	117:3.	-
127:22, 173:22,	team 103:14,	209:3.
174:15, 175:23,	181:19,	Thanks 87:20.
181:11, 200:4,	181:22.	the're 35:1.
201:9, 207:25,	teenager 66:6.	theft 226:16.
214:14, 220:1.	Telephone 148:23,	theirs 37:18,
swear 113:10,	148:24,	41:16.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	214:18.	
121:14, 146:16,		theory 17:7,
170:7, 185:13,	television 97:11,	28:25, 224:22.
185:15.	112:15, 185:5,	thereafter
switch 68:18.	223:21.	232:14.
switching 62:13.	tells 107:4,	therein 232:12.
sworn 113:9.	107:6, 133:10,	they've 17:5.
	133:11, 139:16,	Thinking 18:3,
•	140:7, 164:9,	125:9, 127:11,
•		
< T >.	164:12.	131:9, 150:23,
T-o-b-i	temp 186:14.	205:5.
170:14.	temping 186:8.	thinks 123:6.
T. 32:15.	temporary	third 228:2.
tabbed 138:23.	119:11.	though 9:18, 9:25,
table 26:21.	terms 17:15,	10:19, 87:7,
taken. 46:15,	37:14, 66:7,	225:19.
97:15, 112:20,	79:3.	Thousands 179:24,
185:10.	testified 66:15,	180:1.
talked 18:7,	98:23, 111:8,	threat 155:21.
18:18, 45:22,	130:24, 162:24,	threatened 88:24,
47:8, 52:16,	167:16, 172:23,	169:10.
104:24, 129:25,	203:2, 214:8,	threatens 20:17.
133:19, 161:8,	217:7, 217:23.	threats 88:16,
167:25, 168:3,	testify 39:21,	169:8.
189:19, 189:22,	39:24, 40:1,	Three 159:20.
218:6, 228:8,	40:5, 40:23,	throughout 66:1,
229:14.	113:4, 132:17,	124:4, 210:15.
talks 12:15,	132:19, 152:16,	throw 123:15,
23:23, 36:12,	152:18, 152:21,	209:17.
		I

Thursday 1:27,	towards 191:24.	146:18, 157:2,
5:1, 183:3.	town 47:23,	170:8, 170:9,
ticket 94:19,	225:7.	174:10, 185:16,
94:20, 94:24,	track 227:7.	185:17, 228:15,
95:1.	training 114:18,	229:20.
tickets 95:2.	180:2, 180:5,	truthfully 40:1.
timing 24:24.	208:11,	try 22:25, 83:15,
Tobbi 3:30, 170:5,	209:14.	85:23, 112:25,
170:6, 170:14,	transcribed	124:14, 138:6,
224:10, 224:14,	232:15.	173:15, 174:1.
227:9, 227:21,	TRANSCRIPT 1:12,	trying 5:18,
228:2.	230:21.	12:20, 13:23,
Today 11:23,	transportation	13:24, 16:23,
25:19, 26:17,	69:11.	57:5, 75:20,
33:4, 36:5,	traveled 211:19.	79:8, 81:6,
36:24, 85:6,	treat 193:22.	85:11, 98:10,
147:6, 147:7,	treated 220:11,	98:14, 104:9,
148:5, 149:2,	220:15.	104:12, 129:23,
169:1.	treats 193:23.	131:19, 132:1,
tomorrow 8:15,	tricky 131:21,	155:19, 155:23,
39:15, 223:10,	201:7.	196:11, 197:10,
223:25.	tried 70:9, 87:11,	203:4, 203:12,
tonight 8:15,	117:1, 129:21,	226:1, 228:14,
23:3, 23:10,	173:17, 177:16,	228:16.
24:20, 25:13,	187:8, 192:19,	Tuesday 154:10,
29:21, 29:24,	192:21, 192:22,	183:24,
39:10, 45:22,	227:4, 229:17.	224:18.
46:2.	tries 74:11.	turn 49:5, 53:15,
took 37:18, 64:3,	trips 47:19, 61:3,	82:11.
102:11, 131:2,	212:8.	turned 48:25,
142:3, 169:16,	Tropicana 61:7.	51:10, 52:8,
169:17, 194:7,	trouble 155:22,	68:12, 68:13,
196:7, 197:22,	166:22, 169:19,	86:7.
210:24, 211:12,	169:21, 187:4,	turns 48:20.
	187:14, 213:9,	
212:8, 212:9.		tutelage 115:20.
tooth 8:9.	229:7.	Tweet 136:2.
Top 15:20, 56:3,	troubled 189:16.	Twitter 136:8,
102:21, 182:5,	Truck 75:13,	136:19,
198:9.	172:10,	136:25.
topic 79:22,	172:11.	Two 6:11, 6:12,
79:23, 140:23.	true 99:20, 99:25,	18:20, 19:9,
Torrey 172:4,	101:5, 102:10,	20:4, 21:18,
227:13.	104:17, 169:1,	26:19, 62:2,
total 155:2.	226:25, 227:7,	75:16, 95:4,
touch 20:25,	232:16.	95:5, 126:15,
21:12, 56:22.	truly 56:7.	131:9, 138:23,
	trust 157:7.	142:7, 158:6,
touching 7:20.		
tough 204:22.	truth 113:11,	192:4, 221:4,
toward 180:10,	113:12, 121:15,	229:4.
226:15.	121:16, 146:17,	two. 191:23.

type 30:13, 98:10,	Unfortunately	vase 59:10, 59:13,
225:7.	22:19.	59:15.
typed 37:21.	unique 27:2.	Vegas 5:1, 49:14,
types 38:2.	unless 17:5.	77:11, 77:24,
typical 135:4,	unnecessarily	91:20, 93:6,
171:2, 182:23.	13:23, 36:22.	94:5, 147:14,
typically 8:6.	unnecessary	148:1, 151:14,
•	12:17.	152:3, 166:21,
•	unpublished 11:20,	172:2.
< U >.	13:11, 13:19,	vehicle 150:18,
ultimate 229:22.	14:5, 14:10.	172:6, 172:8,
ultimately 23:16,	unsuccessful	172:10,
24:17, 43:19,	227:10.	227:14.
54:7.	Until 23:3, 24:20,	verbal 180:6.
unavailable	25:12, 45:22,	verdict 24:1.
227:19.	97:13, 112:17,	versa 131:25.
unaware 98:17,	185:7, 193:11,	verse 14:20.
106:6, 106:14.	223:23.	version 5:8,
uncomfortable	upset 66:22,	11:20, 14:25,
69:5, 155:9,	66:24, 67:3,	15:16, 24:3,
156:12, 156:15,	67:4, 79:25,	30:6, 34:3,
226:8, 230:1.	90:15, 90:18,	34:5, 34:10,
underage 123:16.	108:4, 110:9,	39:13, 39:14,
underneath	219:18.	226:2, 230:2.
188:23.	using 19:3, 24:11,	versus 10:2. vertigo 209:22.
undersigned 232:8.	106:21, 106:22, 107:3, 107:14,	veterinarian
understand 19:7,	107:19, 107:23,	60:12.
36:1, 41:21,	225:14, 227:20,	via 171:17.
46:22, 51:16,	230:20.	vice 131:25.
62:12, 67:1,	utilized 12:13,	vicinity 75:9.
67:2, 72:2,	31:9.	victim 7:8, 7:12,
72:3, 73:5,	utterance	11:16, 20:16,
74:12, 100:5,	132:25.	20:19, 20:24,
131:3, 132:21,	132,23.	21:10, 21:11,
155:18, 156:20,	•	29:12, 39:1,
175:19, 201:4,	· < V >.	43:20.
228:19,	vacations 143:11,	victims 17:8.
230:12.	143:14.	view 34:19,
understanding	vague 98:19.	36:15.
33:1, 33:6,	vain 34:18.	viewed 33:1.
37:4, 43:20,	valid 20:21,	viewing 37:5.
49:13, 94:21,	169:19,	violation 34:24,
184:2, 212:21,	173:13.	34:25.
212:24.	Valley 96:19,	virginity 127:16,
understands	141:19.	129:15, 131:1,
26:15.	variance 69:10,	131:4, 132:10,
understood 7:18,	69:15, 103:4.	133:17.
133:15,	various 28:8,	visit 70:4.
156:22.	228:16.	visited 229:12.
		•

visiting 48:19.	60:12.	whatever 6:14,
Vista 225:10.	wants 5:16, 20:5,	29:22.
voice 179:25.	34:6, 60:4,	whatsoever
voiced 123:17.	60:20, 81:7,	25:12.
voir 24:9, 131:12,	83:11, 88:25,	whenever 85:18.
224:19.	133:11.	whereabouts
	ward 89:12.	227:15.
voluntary 178:3, 225:12, 225:17,	waru 69:12. warned 120:7.	Whether 10:20,
225:24.	warnings 89:19.	23:23, 24:23,
volunteer 60:14,	warrant 183:10,	31:7, 33:2,
114:16, 115:20,	183:16, 224:21,	33:3, 39:24,
154:1.	227:23, 228:4.	
	_	43:21, 56:22,
volunteered 147:24.	warrants 184:9.	105:12, 124:22,
	watch 97:7,	132:24, 150:24,
vs 1:14, 20:8, 22:17, 26:10,	112:11, 185:1,	152:24, 160:10,
	193:7, 216:7,	178:18, 182:22,
26:22, 27:5,	216:8, 223:17.	226:4, 227:1,
35:4, 35:11,	watching 58:19.	227:6, 227:23.
35:23, 45:16,	ways 64:2, 173:16,	whole 42:3,
46:16.	173:17.   weapon 28:17,	113:12, 121:16,
•	28:18.	126:1, 133:9, 146:18, 170:9,
· < W >.	wear 208:7.	185:17, 204:16,
Wait 95:21,	wearing 57:11,	216:16,
119:21, 120:17,	59:1, 60:1.	222:24.
120:19, 147:6.	Wednesday 65:20,	whom 64:20,
waiting 13:17,	65:24.	77:10.
127:18, 186:1.	Wee'r 23:5.	Wild 94:15, 96:11,
walk 86:25, 100:9,	weed 79:19, 79:20,	96:16, 211:16.
100:10, 120:7.	81:16, 82:7,	willing 144:22.
walked 36:5,	107:12, 229:8.	wing 35:15.
187:15.	week 54:16, 65:15,	winter 57:12.
walking 70:8,	75:17, 100:25,	wise 116:8.
120:1.	101:1, 154:9,	wish 200:5.
walks 90:20.	167:7, 182:17,	Withdrawn 96:3,
Walmart 61:3,	182:24, 192:17,	181:5.
61:4, 61:5.	199:12.	withdrew 73:11.
wanted 10:8, 39:8,	weekend 191:8,	within 37:5,
43:14, 52:21,	212:8.	115:10, 119:12,
74:4, 84:1,	Weekends 157:22,	153:11.
91:12, 100:12,	157:23,	Without 5:20, 7:9,
102:17, 121:9,	157:25.	7:20, 18:3,
135:15, 152:20,	weeks 65:8, 88:11,	32:2, 35:18,
202:14, 202:22,	148:19,	46:7, 87:1,
203:23, 203:24,	229:12.	97:5, 97:10,
203:25, 204:4,	weigh 38:11.	112:9, 112:14,
205:15, 205:16,	weird 7:7, 7:15,	127:25, 130:9,
216:14, 225:15,	157:14.	132:16, 175:6,
226:10, 227:7.	West 22:19, 27:1,	175:25, 176:12,
wanting 12:8,	27:4.	178:16, 184:24,

185:4, 223:15, 223:20, 227:25. witnessed 70:13, 105:16. witnesses 40:16, 97:6, 111:24, 112:10, 113:1, 121:2, 171:3, 171:10, 184:25, 199:21, 203:8, 223:16. woke 87:9. woman 128:2, 132:2. women 68:7, 99:4. wonder 70:12. wondering 137:7. Woodford 35:4,	<pre>worry 50:21,   85:2. worth 230:8. woven 33:22. wrap 25:24,   90:23. write 50:24,   95:12, 136:11,   160:18,   199:25. written 15:8,   20:25, 96:20. wrote 27:8, 96:13,   136:7 &lt; X &gt;. Xanax 107:19</pre>	zoned 68:14, 68:16.
35:11.	· < Y >.	
Word 4:10, 178:18.	Year-and-a-half 200:17, 217:2.	
wording 12:21.	Yep 179:13.	
words 87:13, 138:10.	Yesterday 46:20, 47:8, 163:8,	
work 23:2, 89:12,	223:9.	
93:11, 114:21,	young 114:4.	
115:4, 147:14,	younger 19:13,	
157:23, 186:4,	28:12.	
186:6, 186:9,	yourself 138:19,	
186:14, 192:14, 199:11, 212:12,	159:20, 189:18.	
212:14, 215:19,	yourselves 97:4,	
216:15.	112:8, 184:23,	
worked 114:24,	223:14.	
115:1, 119:8,	youth 65:18,	
119:23, 186:16,	65:20, 65:21.	
188:23, 199:12, 212:14, 212:16,	•	
212:17, 212:10,	· < Z >.	
216:15,	Z-a-r-a-t-e	
222:18.	146:24.	
working 5:12,	Zarate 146:14,	
5:13, 8:1, 8:18,	146:15, 146:23,	
8:22, 99:4, 153:16, 182:6,	147:13, 165:24.	
203:24, 206:16,	ZERATE 3:23.	
212:22.	zone 69:10, 69:15,	
worried 107:6.	103:4.	

	CLERK OF THE COURT
1	CLERK OF THE COURT CASE NO. C-15-309548-1 DEPT. NO. 25
2	
3	
4	DISTRICT COURT
5	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
6	* * * *
7	
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA, )
9	Plaintiff, )  REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
10	) OF
11	vs. ) JURY TRIAL
12	JOSHUA HONEA,
13	Defendant. )
14	/
15	
16	BEFORE THE HONORABLE KATHLEEN DELANEY
17	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
18	DATED: FRIDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2017
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY: SHARON HOWARD, C.C.R. NO. 745
25	

1	APPEARANCE	ES:			
2	For the St	tate:		STACEY KOLLINS, ESQ	<u>)</u> .
3				KRISTINA RHOADES, E	SQ.
4					
5	For the De	efendant:		MONIQUE MCNEILL, ES	SQ.
6				JONATHAN MACARTHUR,	ESQ.
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13			* * * * *		
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	INDEX		
2	O F		
3	WITNESSES		
4			
5			
6	NAME: DARA COLMAN	PAGE	
7	Redirect Examination By Ms. MacArthur	13	
8	Recross-Examianation By Ms. Kollins Redirect Examination By Mr. MacArthur	14 14	
9			
10		PAGE	
11	Closing Statement By Ms. Rhoades	16	
12	Closing Statement By Mr. MacArthur Closing Statement By Msw. Kollins	52 143	
13		-	
14		PAGE	
15	Word Index	191	
16			
17	* * * *		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

## LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; FRIDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2017 PROCEEDINGS

\* \* \* \* \*

2.0

THE COURT: Good morning.

Resuming in the trial of State of Nevada vs.

Joshua Honea. Mr. Honea is present with his attorneys.

State's attorneys are present. Jurors are not present with us at this time. We have the need to canvass

Mr. Honea about whether or not he wishes to waive his right of self-incrimination and testify at trial. I would like to do that first, then depending on that outcome determine if we are finalizing with the jury instructions.

You may remain seated, if you wish, but I have some questions to ask you basically to make sure that I don't miss anything so we get it done exactly right I'm going to read some things, okay. You'll answer verbally so that the reporter can hear you.

Before I do that though, either Mr. MacAuthur or Ms. McNeill, do you want to make representations you've had a conversation -- not what the substance was of the conversation -- with your client about his right under the constitution of the United States not to be compelled

to testify in this case.

2.0

MS. MACARTHUR: We have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Honea, as I've said you have the right under the constitution of the United States and understand the constitution of the State of Nevada not to be compelled to testify in this case.

Do you understand.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: That means no one can make you take the witness stand and make you answer any questions.

You understand that.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You may, if you wish -- as I go through this kind of toggle back and forth, if you do and if you don't, if you have any questions at all let me know.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: You may, if you wish, give up this right and you may take the witness stand and testify. If you do, you will be subject to cross-examination by the district attorney, as well as your own attorney, and anything you say whether it is in answer to questions put to you by your attorney or by the district attorney will be the subject of fair comment when the district attorney speaks to the jury in final argument.

You understand that.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you choose not to testify the court will not permit the district attorney to make any comments to the jury concerning the fact you have not testified.

Do you understand that.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you elect not the testify, the court will instruct the jury specifically, should counsel request, and typically that is the request, we will instruct the jury if you choose not to testify and this is the instruction that will be given.

It is a constitutional right of a defendant in a criminal trial that he may not be compelled to testify.

Thus, the decision as to whether he should testify is left to the Defendant on advice of counsel of his attorney.

You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact he does not testify, nor should this fact enter into your deliberations in any way.

I typically read it with that same inflection in that way. Do you have any questions about the instructions.

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions you want to ask me or counsel at this time.

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: No questions about your

3 constitutional rights up to this part of the canvass.

THE DEFENDANT: No.

2.0

THE COURT: There's is a few more discussions to have. Whether they're applicable or not will depend on the circumstances.

If you choose to testify and you have been convicted of a felony in the past 10 years or parole or probation for a felony within the past 10 years, the district attorney will be permitted to ask you 3 things. If you have been convicted of a felony. What was the felony. When it happened. No details can be gone into about the felony conviction. If you are to deny a felony conviction and had one, the State may impeach your testimony with certified copies of conviction, which may contain more information then what the felony was and when it occurred.

You understand that component.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Those are the questions that I would have to ask you about your rights. One last chance if there is any questions you may have for the court or counsel separately.

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: At this time what is your decision as to your constitutional right not to be compelled to testify in this trial or wish to not testify in this trial.

THE DEFENDANT: I have decided not to testify in this trial.

THE COURT: I do have the instruction that I have read to the Defendant here, and I have included them in the instruction set understanding that counsel would wish to have instruction given.

MS. MACARTHUR: Fine, Judge.

THE COURT: I will tell you that where it was placed did change most of the numbers in the instructions so is anyone using instructions in the power point that they would need to know what the new numbers are.

MS. KOLLINS: No, not by number. We did find a typo.

THE COURT: I may have found it. It's a double C. I found that when I was finalizing the numbering and when I added that new instruction. I believe that is number 8 now, and everything thereafter will moving down one number.

If you don't need us to do that then, I know my JEA is listening. She'll going to produce the copy set for the jurors. I don't have them with them in here when I'm

reading instructions to them, but I do make sure they each have a copy set.

2.0

Here is how I chose to do the information. It's probably obvious. I left it in the instructions rather then having some short instruction No. 3, then attaching it. I left it in there.

The way I intend to read it is to read the initial part and indicate the parties have agreed that because it had been previously read and because it is available in each of their copy set. So I'm not going to read it again at that point. That is why I separated the two closing instructions that are typically with the information into a separate instruction so it would be run separately.

Other then that, are there any other changes from the State to the instruction set.

MS. KOLLINS: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything from defense.

MS. MCNEILL: No, your Honor. Could we get proposed instructions marked as exhibits.

THE COURT: I have that. If you wish to do it differently, fine. Because I did include a number of yours I created from the e-mail you had sent and the document attached to that e-mail. What I styled Defendant's proposed not given.

MS. MCNEILL: Perfect.

THE COURT: I only included those not given.

MS. MCNEILL: Thank you.

THE COURT: Does the State want something similar. In all candor, I made some revisions to the State's, but I don't think any that I recall as I sit here now were substantive in a way that the State would want something in the record. But I did, you know, make further adjustments to the luring instruction. You may have seen it. I believe it completed the statute there. And at this point I'm not remembering anything else.

MS. KOLLINS: We're fine with them as drafted. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I will make the Defendant's proposed not given the court exhibit next in line, which will be 6. All right.

Is that all we have before we bring the jurors in. My JEA is in addition to making the copy sets of instructions for them the form of verdict, let me confirm, that as well neither counsel had changes to the form of verdict. All I did was some pagination. I verified the luring instruction had the lesser included in that. I just changed the pagination up a little bit.

Any issues there.

MS. KOLLINS: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Defense verdict form. 1 2 MS. MACARTHUR: No objection. THE COURT: We'll have that. Then my JEA is 3 4 ordering a lunch anticipating by the time we complete with reading of the closings that they'll need lunch. 5 MS. KOLLINS: I didn't bring all of my equipment 6 7 to close, because we were told he was testifying, so I have to check to see. We have rebuttal, so we have some 8 9 time, but I wanted to let the court know. THE COURT: That's fine. I'm happy to take a 10 11 few more minutes. MS. MCNEILL: Your Honor, can we bring in Mr. 12 13 Honea's family now since it's closing and there is no more 14 witnesses. 15 THE COURT: Is that okay with the State. 16 MS. KOLLINS: State is not calling any rebuttal 17 witnesses, your Honor. 18 MS. MCNEILL: Thank you, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: We'll remove the exclusionary rule 20 and the family may join. 21 (Brief recess taken.) THE COURT: Mr. MacAuthur. 22 23 MS. MACARTHUR: I was going to seek permission 24 or leave from the court to reopen with Dara Coleman for 45 25 seconds. I forgot to establish a fact in the time line

before we closed. 1 2 THE COURT: We haven't officially rested in front of jurors. 3 4 Resuming in the trial in State of Nevada vs. Joshua Honea. We have counsel for the State. Defense 5 6 counsel is present with Mr. Honea. Our jurors are present 7 with us. We appreciate your patience while we finish up final matters this morning to get started. 8 9 Defense have any additional witnesses to call. 10 MS. MACARTHUR: There were 2 additional 11 questions we forgot for Dara Coleman. THE COURT: We can recall Mr. Coleman to the 12 13 stand. Come and take the witness stand. I'll re-swear 14 you since we excused you yesterday from your testimony. 15 THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony 16 you are about to give in this action shall be the truth, 17 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you 18 God. 19 THE WITNESS: I do. 2.0 THE CLERK: Be seated. State and spell your 21 name for the record. 22 THE WITNESS: Dare Coleman, D-a-r-a, 23 C-o-l-e-m-a-n. 24 THE COURT: I think you have your chair. Fine. 25 Mr. MacArthur.

1	M	S. MACARTHUR: Thank you, Judge.
2		CONTINUED REDIRECT
3	BY MS. KOLL	INS:
4	Q.	We forgot to ask a couple of questions
5	yesterday.	What kind of vehicle did Josh drive in 2014?
6	Α.	A Ford 150 truck.
7	Q.	What color was it?
8	Α.	Blue.
9	Q.	What kind of car did he drive before that
10	trunk?	
11	Α.	A Mustang.
12	Q.	What color was that?
13	Α.	Red.
14	Q.	Do you remember when it was that Josh got that
15	blue Ford F	-150?
16	Α.	May 2014.
17	Q.	How do you remember it as having been
18	purchased in	n May 2014?
19	Α.	It was my dad's birthday on the 15th. We went
20	a couple of	days after that.
21	Q.	Were you able to see something this morning
22	that helped	refresh your recollection as to when that
23	occurred?	
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	What was that?

1	A. It was an Instagram that was posted by Josh.
2	MS. MACARTHUR: No further questions.
3	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Kollins.
4	CONTINUED RECROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. KOLLINS:
6	Q. Ms. Kollins, when did you and your ex-husband
7	file for divorce?
8	A. My divorce became final in, oh, gosh, it
9	was now you caught me off guard. It was 2 years ago.
L O	Q. Did you file on July 8, 2015, does that sound
L1	about right?
L2	A. Um, yes. I believe it was somewhere around
L3	there.
L4	MS. KOLLINS: Thank you. Nothing else.
L5	THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur.
L6	CONTINUED REDIRECT EXAMINATION
L7	BY MS. MACARTHUR:
L8	Q. Pursuant to the State's question, were you
L9	separated before you filed for divorce?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Do you remember I realize it's difficult.
22	Do you realize when you separated from your husband before
23	filing?
24	A. Probably, maybe like 6 months after we
25	separated.

Thank you, ma'am. 1 MS. MACARTHUR: THE COURT: Ms. Kollins. 2 MS. KOLLINS: Nothing further, your Honor. 3 4 Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Do the jurors have any questions for 6 this witness by a show of hands. Seeing none, you may 7 step down. You may remain in the courtroom, if you wish. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Defense have any additional witness 11 to call. MS. MACARTHUR: With that the defense rests. 12 13 THE COURT: Defense has rested. The State have 14 any rebuttal. 15 MS. KOLLINS: The State will not be offering a 16 rebuttal case. Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 So at this time, ladies and gentlemen, we are 19 prepared to give you instructions on the law that will be applied to the facts as you find them in your 2.0 21 deliberations to have taken place in this case. You might 22 recall that I mentioned that you will at the time you go 23 to deliberate have the exhibits that have been admitted 24 into evidence and a copy of the instructions. Each of you 25 will have a copy set of instructions so I want you to be

aware of that so you can decide for yourselves if it's ne necessary to take notes at this time.

I did not give you the copy set to read along with because I found in my experience with 14 people in the box flipping through pages and going back and forth it's distracting. I'll read them to you. There are 40 instructions that I'll read to you, and when we complete those instructions counsel will make their closing arguments, then, of course, as I said when you go to deliberate you will each have your own copy set.

I will note there is an instruction that is inclusive of the charging document in this case. Because that document was already read to you at the beginning of the case in its entirety, I have spoken with counsel and with their agreement, I'm not going to reread it for you now. I will reread some components related to it, but it will be included in you copy set.

(Jury instructions read to the jurors.

Instructions have been marked as court

exhibits for the case and

will not be transcribed.)

THE COURT: I will invite the State to make their closing statement.

CLOSING STATEMENT

BY MS. RHOADES:

2.0

Thank you. Good morning.

2.0

This case is the State of Nevada vs. Joshua Honea. This case is not Morgan Savage vs. Joshua Honea.

The State of Nevada has a duty to prosecute cases that come through its office, a duty to taxpayers to prosecute crimes, to protect the victim of the crime, to protect other potential victims that might happen, to protect the community as a whole.

The State doesn't get to pick its victims. You don't have to like Morgan to find the Defendant guilty of these crimes. You don't have to like the person that she is today this 18 year old homeless heroin addict, who came in here and testified in front of you. Ask yourselves how she got to that place.

Her mom who was never around, or this man who started having sex with her when she was 11 years old and controlled her life for 4 years. How did we get the Morgan that we have now. Ask yourself that.

The question is not whether Morgan sees herself as a victim. There were statements in opening why are we here. Why are we even here if Morgan doesn't care. That's not the question you have to answer. Whether or not Morgan sees herself as a victim is not the question. It's whether he did these things to her. That's what you guys have to answer.

Years after a crime has occurred when someone doesn't care about it, the State has duty to care. The State has a duty to prosecute. It's not about a vendetta that anyone has against Mr. Honea. We have a duty to prosecute this case.

Also this is not the State of Nevada vs. Anyone else. Even though the defense will have you the believe that This is not the State of Nevada vs. Morgan, vs. Pam, vs. Kevin Zafiris. No, that's not what this case is about. You are here to determine whether he is guilty or not guilty and not whether anybody did anything else that was bad.

Your duty and your oath. Your duty is to render a true verdict according to the evidence. What the court just said, you can't base it on sympathy, prejudice, or public opinion. It's not whether you sympathize with his family, not whether you sympathize with Morgan. It can't be based on that. It has to be based on the evidence and the law legal, the legal elements that the court just instructed you on.

You are not limited to solely what you see and hear as witnesses testify. You have to bring -- I believe the instruction is you must bring in your common sense.

You can draw reasonable inferences, but those inferences can't be based on speculation or guess. They

have to be based on your common sense. They have to be reasonable. They can't be made up. I can't emphasize this enough, no insinuations. There were a lot of insinuations made during this trial. That is not evidence. The attorney's statement are not evidence.

2.0

You cannot consider punishment as to the Defendant in your deliberations. That is not something that you can consider. We'll talk about how punishment came up with regard to more and her recant, but it's not a factor to consider whether you are determining whether he is guilty or not guilty of these crimes.

Beyond a reasonable doubt, it has to be based on reason. It's not a hundred percent. You're never going to have an eye-witness, otherwise you wouldn't have been on this.

MS. MACARTHUR: Object to quantifying.

MS. RHOADES: I am not quantifying.

MS. MCNEILL: Giving numbers, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. RHOADES: Thank you.

It's not a hundred percent. If you find a crime has happened, and this is a sex crime, done in secret in this case, you couldn't have been on the jury. It is not beyond a shadow of a doubt. It's in the instruction.

It's not mere possibility or speculation. It's not

actual.

If you have a reasonable doubt that Joshua Honea committed these crimes, you must find him not guilty. If you do not have a reasonable doubt that he did these things, you have to find him guilty.

Before I get into Morgan, I want to expel this, hey, everybody look over here argument about IAB and Enterprise Area Command, and Officer Zafiris, and Sergeant Clark. There is no evidence of any conspiracy between anyone to do anything about this volunteer worker, this part-time clerical worker. There is no evidence of that. That's all insinuation.

These guys don't care. They don't care what happened to Mr. Honea. What motive do they have to come in here and lie to you and put their jobs on the line for this guy who resigned a couple of years ago. There's no connection there at all. None, whatsoever.

You can't speculate about it. There is no evidence about it. And moreover, absolutely no connection to Morgan. Morgan wouldn't even give Mr. MacArthur that on cross-examination. No connection at all. That's not a defense.

MS. MCNEILL: Objection, your Honor. They can decide.

MS. RHOADES: This is argument, your Honor.

THE COURT: This is argument. This is the last remark. I will sustain it just at to the last remark.

MS. RHOADES: There is no evidence of this at all. This is not something you should take into consideration.

No evidence that anybody did anything wrong. No evidence of what he blew the whistle on as a whistle blower. There is no evidence of that.

To what end would they all come in here and say that. Why do they care. These pictures, do these pictures show them having sex. No, they don't. Sex crimes occur in secret. These pictures speak so many more words then anyone every could.

What do these pictures tell us. Well, they tell us that Morgan completely lied in front of you when she was here testifying. They tell us that Mr. Honea completely lied to the officers during his interview on April 1st, 2015. What motive do they have to lie What are they hiding. These pictures corroborate what Morgan told Detective Cho during her interview. These pictures corroborate what Morgan testified to at the preliminary hearing in September. That's what these pictures do.

What else do they do. Think about the photo album.

And Morgan said in front of you, well, the photo album was a beginning thing to bring in to the detectives so it

could show all of these lies I'm making about Joshua. Why wouldn't she put these photos in there if she was trying to get him in trouble. Why would they be left out.

2.0

These ones, remember, are on her computer. They don't look like selfies. Somebody took them. I submit to you Morgan took them. They are in Joshes bed. That corroborates what Morgan testified to at preliminary hearing.

Now, put these pictures in that context after seeing those pictures. They're not brother and sister. That is not what their relationship is.

Credibility of Morgan. So this is an important instruction. All the instructions are important. Evidence that a witness made a statement inconsistent with their trial testimony can be considered by you to test their credibility and also as evidence of the truth of the facts stated on that prior occasion. That's what the voluntary statement in the preliminary hearing is. That is what that is, the truth of what happened. And that's what the State is going to ask you to rely upon.

If you believe that evidence that is enough to convict him. If you believe that evidence beyond a reasonable doubt. The credibility instruction with all witnesses on the stand, all witness, the officers, Morgan, Ms. Coleman, Humberto Zerate, these are all things that

you have to take into consideration when you are evaluating their credibility.

The manner on the stand, the relationship to the parties, motives, interests, feelings, what motive does Morgan have to come in here and lie to you today -- well, a couple of weeks ago when she testified. We'll get to that. What is her relationship to Joshua. You saw their interaction when she was on the stand. I mean, she was laughing. I don't know if that was a nervous laugh or if she was trying to sell her I lied before. I don't know what that laugh was, but she looked over at Josh multiple times while she was laughing.

Her relationship to him. They think they are the smartest two people in the room. The strength or weakness of their recollection, opportunity to have observed, that goes a lot to all the witnesses that came in here and said I've never seen them do anything. They didn't do those things in front of other people because they made it such a big deal to hide what they were doing because they knew that it was wrong. Morgan knew it was wrong, because Joshua told her. Joshua was the one that started this relationship and told her what she had to hide, what she could and couldn't do in front of other people.

When you are thinking about Morgan's statements, think of it as she's making them, how old she is when

she's making them, what her status is when she's making them. She's 18 years old when she came in. Her statement to Detective Cho was just barely the month she turned 16. Her preliminary hearing testimony was when she was 16 years old. So think about that when you're taking into account Morgan's statements.

So this is the March 30th, 2015 she tells the detectives -- sexual assault detectives they're brother and sister. Nothing is going on. Why did she say that. Because that's the story that the Defendant told her to say.

Early July she calls Detective Calderon. She says I have to tell you guys everything. I want you to know the truth.

July 22, 2015, her statement. September 15, 2015 her testimony under oath. November 14, 2017, a meeting with me and Ms. Kollins and Keith Gross. Consistent for over 2 years. Consistent with what she said here. Consistent with what she said here.

November 28, 2017, 4:00 p.m., and Keith Gross goes in to speak with her at the Detention Center. Nothing about a lied. I need to tell you guys the truth. Nothing like that. Never asked about punishment before this.

November 28, 2017 at 7:30 p.m., ask yourself why 7:30 p.m. Why at night. Why go there. We know it is

uncontroverted that punishment was discussed during this conversation.

2.0

We know that Mr. MacArthur said, I'm ethically obligated not to tell you what the punishment is, but he can go to prison for a really long time.

MS. MACARTHUR: Objection, misstating.

MS. RHOADES: I believe that's exactly what Mr. MacArthur said.

THE COURT: The court's recollection was that the long time reference was made. I'll leave it to the jurors to make the determination that applies.

Overruled.

MS. RHOADES: Thank you very much.

He is going to go to prison for a really long time.

Then she says, she lied. The first time she said she lied was not when she was here and the State granted her immunity because we wanted her to answer the questions. The first time she lied was in that 7:30 -- the first time she said she lied was in that 7:30 p.m., meeting with the defense attorneys at the Detention Center, after punishment was discussed. After she had never asked anyone about punishment prior to that.

Why would she ask them about punishment if she knew what he was facing. She told you that she made up these

lies so that he could go to prison. So then why would she ask them about that. It doesn't make any sense. Ask yourself how reasonable that is.

The note that you have in evidence, she doesn't write in there I lied. She writes our relationship was a normal relationship, paren, forget the legal age part. That's what she writes.

There is a few other things, a few other things that just can't be explained that I want to point out on contradictions. About this, her behavior on direct examination. Her behavior on cross. You were here You were able to observe her. I will direct you to those questions in voir dire from the defense about how an older person can influence a younger person's answers with their intonations, with their context and things of that nature. Remember those questions. Well, isn't that exactly what was going on with Mr. MacArthur and Morgan Savage while she's on the stand. Exactly what was going on. She knew what she was doing. She knew what she was trying to do. That is clear from her behavior. That is clear from what she said.

No romantic relationship on direct, nothing. Nothing at all. So on cross-examination after 4 days of, no, nothing, nothing, never, no nothing, Mr. MacArthur asks her, did you feel stronger about Josh in 2015. Didn't you

feel stronger about him then. And my recollection of her answer was kind of a confused look, and she said, yes, like she knew what answer he was trying to get out of her.

This virginity thing in 2015. The first time anybody brought it up is on cross-examination with Morgan. Again, similar to those questions, did you feel stronger about Josh in 2015. Well, isn't it true that you got mad because Josh lost his virginity. That same pause and her yes answer. She knew what he wanted out of her. I submit to you this is not reasonable.

The story about legal trouble versus work trouble, that doesn't make any sense at all. That does not in any way support this conspiracy IAB Enterprise Area Command theory at all.

If she wanted him to get in legal trouble, again, why is she asking him about punishment when they go meet with her at 7:30 at night on day two of jury selection. Why.

Her statement about the photo album it was a good thing to have to sound believable. So why didn't she put the pictures of them kissing in that photo album.

When did the argument occur that caused her to be so mad about Joshua that she made up all of these details to Detective Cho to get him in trouble. When did that occur. You don't know. We don't know. What was it about. Who

knows. I guess about virginity on day 5 or 6 of her examination.

2.0

On direct she said it was on January 2015 versus what she said on cross to agree with the defense. In opening the defense told you that Morgan was angry because Josh violated her confidence about issues with her mother.

Well, that didn't really pan out. On direct she said I made up that I hated him. Mom has nothing to do with this. I made up that Josh ever said that he was going to take mom to court. I made all of that up.

On direct she said she lied to see him facing prison time. So why ask about punishment. Oh, Gustovo, so when you are taking into account her testimony in front of you think about that picture that Ms. Coleman showed her on direct examination of the Defendant's penis. Do you recognize that penis. Yes, I recognize that. Okay. Whose penis is it. She realized what she did was not what she was trying to come in here and tell you. She realized she messed up her lie. She tells you it's Gustavo's penis. Some guy in San Francisco, but I don't know his last name.

The truth is in Morgan's prior statements. The truth is in Exhibit 66, which is her voluntary statement; 67, her preliminary hearing transcript; and Exhibit 2, which is the video of that voluntary statement. That is where

the truth is.

2.0

There were a few inconsistencies pointed out by the defense between her voluntary statement and the preliminary hearing testimony. The fellatio prior to Minnesota was not something that she remembered. That's what she testified to at the preliminary hearing. I think sex via Skype app, there was no sex on Skype. And what she told her mom and she told her some stuff and told some stuff before the voluntary statement versus preliminary hearing that mom didn't know about the relationship.

Ask yourself about the reasonableness of these statements. If someone is describing a 4 year long sexual relationship that she's had from 11 years old to 15 years old, it's reasonable that she might forget something. That's reasonable. That goes against her calculated, made up every detail of what was going on so she could get the Defendant in trouble. That goes against that.

Why in the world would she make that up at preliminary hearing. Why. To get him in more trouble. Doesn't make sense. It goes to the reasonableness of her statements, and the truth in this case are in those statements. Those statements are what is corroborated by all of the other evidence in this case. Corroborated by the Defendant's actions in what he was saying in his April 1st, 2015 interview.

The reason for her recant and common sense, plus John Pacult, these are quotes from those statements that are admitted in evidence. I stood up for him. I wasn't -- I didn't feel like he deserved to be -- I just felt bad. You know, like, I was like I could never do that to him. That's why she told the sex assault detectives when they called her that nothing happened. They were brother and sister. That's what they have rehearsed. That's what they discussed and practiced for 4 years. So that's what she told them. Then she calls him and tells him what's going on with the investigation She keeps him apprised and he keeps all his friends apprised of what's going on.

It turned to hate when in Minnesota this guy did this to me and this is disgusting. That's what happened. She realized this is wrong. She's 15 years old. She believes that this is her fault and that her words alone got him here. Why does she believe that. Because that's what he's been feeding her for all of these years. She remembered she wouldn't have anything to say if he didn't do these things he did to her.

This is a general time line. So they meet here when she's in the 6th grade. Just to keep their ages, they're 6 years apart. She is going into 7th grade. She's 12. He's 18. She's going into 8th grade. She's 13. He's 19. She's going into 9th grade, 14. He's 20. Tenth grade,

she's 15. He's 21. Eleventh grade, she's 16. He's 22.

This is what she says about the argument that has now turned in to him losing his virginity. At the preliminary hearing, I don't remember the exact thing that he was trying to control with me, but I was pretty much on the breaking edge and I wanted it to stop talking to him. I wanted to break it off and be done. That's what happened.

Use your common sense. Put her statement in context of not only your common sense, but what John Pacult testified to. The constant text, the constantly trying to talk to her when the detectives told him not to. She knew that officers and detectives were telling Josh to stay away her, to back off. The texts to her mom and officers observations about his obsession. Re-read those texts to his mom that Joshua sent. He's obsessive over this 6 year younger girl because they are best friends. That's what he says in the text. Or they're brother and sister. It doesn't make any sense.

Morgan thought it was a normal relationship when it started when she was 11 years old. This is echoed in her note from the jail. It was a normal relationship, forget the legal age parts.

She told us in the involuntary statement that it was the Defendant that came up with the brother and sister because he knew what they had to hide.

I want to break up the crimes. There are a lot of crimes. That you have to determine each crime separately. This is the crime 14. So these are all under 14. Then over here is all the after she turns 14. So over here is when she's 11, 12, and 13 years old. Then on that other side are all under 16. Crimes which is when she is 14 and 15.

Lewdness with a minor, a lewd or lascivious act under 14, intent to arouse passions or sexual desires of that person or the child. There's no requirement that the desires or actions be. No requirement that bare skin be touched. And consent is not a defense. Consent is not an element of this crime. It's not a defense.

This is Count (44). The date range in (44) is May 4th, 2011 through June 30th, 2011. That is before she goes to Minnesota in that 6th grade summer. She's 11. He's 18 years old. She tells us that the relationship changed. They started talking. They started kissing with their tongue. That's lewdness with a minor. She's 11. He's 18. That is lewdness with a minor.

They had a plan to go to the car after school. They did that multiple times. The principal told him to stop taking her to his car. They kissed in the back seat because they knew it had to be hidden. They did it a few times. That is the kissing, the rubbing, everything going

on. All of the touching anything that happened in that car that is the lewdness with a minor under 14.

Again, the truth is in her preliminary hearing testimony. The truth is in her statement. The truth is not what she came in here and testified to. That's not the truth.

Sexual assault, elements of sexual assault —
penetration without consent. Without consent under
conditions in which the perpetrator knows or should know
that the victim is mentally or physically of resisting or
understanding the nature of her conduct. That's where
this is. That's where this consent, where this issue
lies. She didn't tell him no when she was 11. She didn't
tell him no when she was 12, but it was under conditions
he knew in his employment, in his volunteer service with
Metro, as a person studying criminal justice, he knew that
that's not consent. He should have known she was mentally
incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of her
conduct.

Penetration, intercourse, cunnilingus, the mouth on the vagina, the fellatio, mouth on the penis. Physical force is not necessary. Multiple sex acts, that's where a lot of the counts come from on each of these different instances talked about in the preliminary hearing transcript. A lot of different sex acts occur, that's

where those counts come from.

The voluntary statement is more of a general what is going on, what the relationship is, how it got to this point. And the preliminary hearing transcript are the elements of the crime.

So consent, there are things that go to consent under 14 and some things that go under 16. Right now we're talking about under 14. Although these things all go to both. She's not required to do more then her age, strength, surrounding facts and circumstances make it reasonable to manifest an opposition. That's an instruction we have. The age of consent in Nevada is 16. That's something you have to take into consideration when you are looking at whether or not this girl consented to the sexual act of Mr. Honea.

So what goes to it, goes to it all the time, is this power and control dynamic. He's a volunteer. He's in a position higher then her. He's older then her. The age differential, power differential, John Pacult testified to that. His position in Metro that he readily used and told people he was a cop when he wasn't a cop. Readily played his police radio to scare people. That's his position over her.

He made her feel comfortable. One random night would you kiss me. Then he asks would you be my girlfriend,

when she's 11 and he's 18. She says, I knew it was high risk because he told me. He told he wished I was 16. So he puts it on her. It's her fault that they can't have this relationship. He wants Morgan -- Morgan is nervous. She's 11 and 12, he always said he wanted to have sex. That's not consent to a sexual act, 11 and 12 years old. That's not consent.

She told him no for awhile. These are the factors that go to whether or not there was consent under 14 for those crimes under 14.

He's in control of her whole life. He would tell her who she could be friends with. What to wear. How to wear her hair, how to wear her make up. Mom told you he would stand in the doorway of the bathroom while she was getting ready. Why would anybody do that, 6 years their junior. He knew that she could not consent. He knew the law, and he absolutely knew that she was mentally incapable of understanding her actions when she was 11, 12, 13 years old.

7th grade, after Minnesota, Josh would tell her to tell her mom that she was sick so they could have sex. He is controlling the situation. He's telling her what to do. He is manipulating her. That's when she's 12 years old. He tells her to get birth control while she's in middle school. He told her he could be arrested and could

get in serious trouble and it would ruin his life and career. Putting it on her. Threatens to turn her mom in. Daily contact. She wasn't hanging out with kids her own age. She was isolated That's what her mom told you.

2.0

Every under 14 count, there's no consent. There's no question about that. There's no reasonable belief there was consent under the legal definition.

I went in order of what she testified to at the preliminary hearing. Count (45), sexual assault under 14. That's the date of that. This is before Minnesota when Morgan was 11 and Josh was 18 years old. She testified that she put her mouth on his dick in his car. He did not put his mouth on her then. Knew it from school. Didn't know it from her, which contradicts her trying to get him in trouble.

Josh told me everything to do. I didn't know anything about sex. He's the one that forced it on me. She doesn't say anything like that. She explains the relationship and how it went down. She's honest about it. That is not consent.

She walked to CVS by her house, and he picked her up. The mom didn't know about it. That goes to the first degree kidnapping, which I'll get to those elements after this one Count (47), sexual assault under 14. This is when he picks her up after Taylors. Part of me wanted to

make him happy. Part of me knew she was really young to be doing this. That's not consent.

He takes her to the Rampart Casino. She testified he put his penis in my vagina in the back seat of the car. Described what he did with the condom. She testified that it usually happened in the back seat. She would be back there waiting. She would sometimes undress so it would be faster. There's penetration. There's no consent under 14.

The first degree kidnapping elements takes minor with intent to keep to minor from her parents or to perpetrate upon a minor any lawful act. And unlawful act alleged in first degree kidnapping counts, sexually assault under 14 and sexual assault under 16.

Count (1), is the first degree kidnapping. So this is just one count for every time he took her, he picked her up from CVS to go to the SunCoast. He picked her up from CVS to go to Rampart. He picked her up from the elementary school close to her house to go have sex somewhere. That is the intent in picking her up. Her mom didn't know that she was going with him. And this is where the testimony is. Before Minnesota he picked her up at CVS. That is when the fellatio occurred. Josh picked Morgan up after Taylor's and took her to Rampart.

Another time he picked her up at CVS -- this happened

multiple times. There's one count for each of the times it happened. One count that encompasses all of that. And he took her with the intent to do what he did to her.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He knew that it was wrong. Of course, he knew that it was wrong. He didn't pick her up from her house, he picked her up from these other locations so that people would not see them. So Count (3) (7) and (11), that is intercourse, a fellatio, and cunnilingus. Still under 14. She testified about a specific incident she remembered at the SunCoast. One of the last times they went there. was on the preliminary hearing, pages 33, 34. It was in the back seat of his car. She testified she put her mouth on his penis. He put his penis in her vagina. And he put his mouth on her vagina. Those are the elements. Those are the penetration elements under 14 and there's no consent. It was daytime. He picked her up at CVS. was routine for her to get in the back seat. still while she's at Johnson Middle School.

Counts (4), (8), and (12), still under 14. This is for another incident she described in the preliminary hearing transcript where it happened at Morgan's house, which is the one where she talks about her being in a robe and planned to surprise him. Why would she make this detail up. Why. It doesn't make sense. She's 16 years old, just barely 16 years old.

He always talked about her wearing a robe. Remember this is when she's 12 and 13 years old. Is it valid consent. No, it's not. I don't care if she was naked under that robe.

Rubbing, kissing while they are walking to the bedroom. He pushed her onto the bed. He got on top of her. She turned him over and got on him and put mouth on his penis, his mouth on her vagina and eventually came back up and penis in vagina. So those are all counts for that one incident. Why is she making up these facts, unless this is how it went down.

This is her on her 13th birthday, when they went to Mandalay Bay. This is Counts (5), (9), and (13), corroborated by those pictures of them. They went to Shark Reef and Benihana. She testified that after Shark Reef before dinner they went home to get ready, and he came back to get her and they had sex in her house. Penis in vagina, all involve that (5), (9), and (13) are the counts that go together.

After dinner they went back to his car, parked at a different casino and walked the Strip. After that they went back to his car, drove to the SunCoast because it was a safe casino and had sex again. Why make up that fact. She already got the sex they had at her house, why tell about the car too.

There was no oral sex in the car, so there is two intercourse there. There's one count of intercourse.

Then the fellatio and cunnilingus that are the (9) and (13) counts.

Counts (2), (6), and (10), still under 14. This is the time she talked about when she was in 8th grade. So she's 13 years old. It's on page 32 of the preliminary hearing. This is the one at Joshes' grandparent's house. His grandpa was having surgery. They went home to take care of the dog. This occurred in the guest bedroom. She testified penis inside vagina. She had her mouth on his penis. He had his mouth on her vagina. Those are the counts.

Count (14), still under 14. She's still 13 years old. She talked about when they went to Red Rock Casino one time and he asked her to give him oral sex before they went in for dinner. And she did it. He ejaculated in her mouth. And it was common for him to do that. Why that embarrassing fact. Why did she include that. Because it's true and that's what happened between them. That's why.

December 2012, is when they went to Disneyland. This is where that jurisdiction instruction comes in. It talks about Nevada retains jurisdiction for crimes committed outside its boarder. So all sex that happened in

Disneyland Nevada has jurisdiction over those crimes when under the intent formed in Nevada and any act accomplished here.

They knew that they were going to stay the night at Disneyland. This also goes to Carlsbad, because there was sex that occurred in Carlsbad, but that's after 14. So Count (34) is the first degree kidnapping for Disneyland. December 2012, she told her mom it was a day trip. Josh told her mom it was a day trip. That fact about her brother and sister living in Southern California, the brother and sister that she is so close to and has all of these photo albums of them, why didn't they stay there. Why did they have to get a hotel. He took her and his intent was to have sex with her and his intent was to stay the night with her. Consent is not a defense to first degree kidnapping. He's guilty of Count (34), which has to do with the Disneyland trip.

Count (35), (36), and (37), Morgan is 13 years old and they're in Disneyland together. Josh is the one that paid for the hotel. She testified at the hearing that they had sex, penis inside vagina, mouth on penis, mouth on vagina. Those are (35), (36) and (37) counts.

The story about Josh getting sick. You determine whether or not that's reasonable. You determine whether or not it was planned in the first place to do this.

The trip to Laughlin. That was with her family. He had his own hotel room. They had sex in his hotel.

That's what she testified to at the hearing. She is still 13 years old. She testified he stuck his penis in my vagina. Doesn't remember any other type of sex. Then she stayed in her mom's room. Which is consistent with what her mom said, consistent with Josh having his own room.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(15), (48) and (50), these or all fellatio counts. There are actually more counts regarding intercourse and cunnilingus then what he's charged with, if we're talking about each specific act, each specific time that she She testified in 7th grade there were 4 recalls. occasions where Josh had her stay home from school. would pick her up at CVS. He would take her to her house, and they would have intercourse and oral sex or both. She remembers another specific time when he got mad because mom didn't believe her and mom wasn't going to let her stay home from school, so she had to exaggerate and Morgan convinced mom and he picked her up, CVS, walked through his garage, undressed, went to bed had intercourse, oral sex for both.

Why tell anybody they walked through the garage. Why tell anybody they were kissing, undressing on the way to the room. That's all in the preliminary hearing transcript on pages 93 and 94.

This is May 4th, 2013, to coordinate the time frame. Those are all the counts with regard to Morgan being under 14. No reasonable doubt that they did. There's no reasonable doubt there was not consent under the legal definition. He's guilty of all those counts under 14.

Under 16 for this time period here, and generally she testified at the preliminary hearing that sex still happened but it slowed. This is when she transferred from Desert Oasis to Bonanza. They stopped using condoms. It was common to pick her up and then go to her house for sex, because mom was gone. That's in the preliminary hearing transcript. The sex stops December 2014. It went from pretty much every day when she's 12, 13 years old. So when she's 14 and 15, 3 to 4 times a week, and it slowed to once a week. So as she's getting older, as she's trying to move away from him, sex slows down.

Again, you have instruction on consent. Again, the nature of their relationship and the dynamic between them and his position in that also goes to whether or not she consented to the acts under 16. The fact that in Nevada the legal age of consent is 16, that's a factor to consider whether or not he knew or should have known she was incapable of consent.

These are some additional things she talks about that go to her consent and whethers he was consenting to the

actions, whether it's valid and legal consent. She talks about him wanting her to go to Bonanza. She got involved with another boy and he wasn't excepting of that. Tried to becoming distant from him. I started making new friends. I was really happy. And then he guilt-tripped her. We promised each other we would marry each other. The pictures of the ring corroborate that. Why make up that when she told Detective Cho that. He promised that he was going to marry her.

He convinced me that it was something I needed to do. That's when she's talking about moving schools. He went to Bonanza. He set me up with the staff that would keep me in check. I think when she testified here in front of you she said that the argument was probably about me not being in check. All of these mental games, all of --everything that he put in her head is still there to this day. You can see glimpses of that while she's testifying.

The call to Franco. You know, Josh, this is what she says in her preliminary hearing testimony. Nothing about pictures or Franco distributing pictures. Josh was mad because she was having sex with both of them. Why make up that fact.

This again the police radio, telling him that he's a cop. That goes manipulation and mind games. I was just

afraid of him getting mad all the time, but I didn't like when he got mad. He would scope out everybody's social media she was friends with. He controlled her social media. That is the power and control that goes to whether or not she consented to have sex with him when she was 14 and 15. He would get mad if I didn't listen to his radio.

This is when she turns 14. This I love you picture comes from that date, that June 30th, 2013. That's what starts the after 16 charges.

So Carlsbad, you have that receipt on the IPad.

These are the pictures from there. They went to the Wild Animal Park alone. The intent here, if you look at the charging document, the intent that he took her with the intent to commit sexual assault under 16 years old. He took her to Carlsbad, Count (38). They had sex in the hotel room. That's how we know what his intent was. Mom didn't know. Mom wasn't giving permission for them to have a relationship and go have sex in California.

Counts (39), (40), and (41), that's when she testified to what happened in that hotel room in Carlsbad. He is the one that rents the hotel. They had sex in the room. She testified about the 3 different kinds of sex they had which supports (39), (40), and (41).

Mt. Charleston, no sex there. She testified about. Well, she actually had pictures from there, pictures of

them kissing. Why sid she say there was no sex there if she's trying to get him in trouble. Doesn't make sense.

Count (32), she testified that's an incident that occurred while she was in the 9th grade at Desert Oasis. So that is September 2013 through December 2013, because she went there for the first semester. This is when they went out to dinner with Humberto. Humberto with an age-appropriate girlfriend, and they went on a double date. Then looked at some Christmas lights after that, when Morgan was 15 years old.

She said it was nighttime, Golden Coral. Before they went to Golden Coral she put her mouth on his penis at his request. He ejaculated. Why tell you that embarrassing detail. Why would you do that, unless it's what actually occurred.

Counts (33) and (51), this is an incident she testified this is while she's at Bonanza under his thumb and under all the people there that he knows when he went to Bonanza.

He would pick her up from school and they'd go to house while her mom was at work and have sex. It was normally every day, if we could. She remembers a specific time when he was sitting on the couch and she testified about that at the preliminary hearing. She said I came down from kissing him and got on my knees to put my mouth

on his penis, then I got on top of him and put his penis inside my vagina. So that's Counts (33) and (51).

Counts (18), (23), and (28), sexual assault under 16.

Another time she testified to at Morgan's house. She took a shower when she got home from school. The Defendant was waiting in her room, undressed. This is what she testified to. These are the details that she said. We started tongue kissing. I went down and put my mouth on penis. He put his mouth on my vagina. After we switched, flipped over, and put he put his penis inside my vagina. Those are the details.

Counts (19) and (24) still in 9th grade at Bonanza. This is when they went to the Strip and the Excalibur. They went to the car and he wanted to have sex. Mouth on -- she said her mouth on his penis. He put his penis in her vagina. That's (19) and (24).

She did not testify about him putting his mouth on her vagina, which is that Count (29), cunnilingus. Sl we're asking you find him not guilty of Count (29).

Valentines Day at Valley of Fire. They had sex for Counts (20), (25) and (30). That was at Morgan's house. She testified to all 3 kinds of sex. That's what supports those 3 charges.

His birthday. She is 14. This is his 21st birthday. This goes to (17), (22), and (27), and (52). (52), didn't

happen on his birthday. She testified to what happened at his 21st birthday. It was at his house on Durango.

Nobody was home. They had all 3 types of sex. That's (17), (22), and (27). She further testified on page 83, that she remembered another specific time that that happened at his house. Not on his birthday. His penis in her vagina on the couch when no one was home. That's Count (52).

She says in her involuntary and her preliminary hearing that this happened so much, almost every day, these are specific times she remembers and specific times she's testifying about.

Counts (21) and (26), she's 14 or 15. It was very rare that we'd go to the SunCoast, but they still sometimes went whenever one of their houses was not available. That's what she testified to. She remembered a specific time when they went out to dinner at Archi's. They go to the SunCoast. Their normal spot. They got in the back seat. Mouth on his penis, penis inside her vagina. This is one where she didn't testify about his mouth on her vagina, so we'd ask you to find him not guilty of (31) and find him guilty on (21) and (26).

The Count (16), first degree kidnapping, you have the same elements, taking a minor with the intent to commit any unlawful act. The unlawful act here is sexual assault

under 16. This is for each time he took her to the SunCoast from when she was 14, 15 years old. She testified it did happen.

2.0

This is the Cher concert. She testified that no sex happened.

The use of a minor in producing pornography. The element there, uses, encourages, entices, or permits a minor to simulate or engage in or assist others to produce or performance. And here you have Counts (42) and (46). Count (42) is for the nude photos. Count (46) is for nude photos and asking her to send him videos of her masturbating.

She testified at preliminary hearing between May 2011 and December 2014 they sent each other sexual pictures and videos. This was at his request that she did this. The photos on Morgan's laptop support that. The photos on the Defendant's IPad when he's taking pictures of his penis in December 2013 support and corroborate that.

There was a statement that she made in her involuntary statement about he got mad at her one time so he made her give him her IPod with naked pictures on it to look at it while they are in the dean's office. Again, why make up that fact.

Count (43), the luring a minor. So the elements, contact or communication with a child under 16. She's 5

years younger. That element is met. We know that he is contacting and communicating with her, with the intent to persuade, lure, transport away from the parent or away from a known location without express consent and with the intent to avoid consent, with the intent to persuade or lure her to engage in sexual conduct. That's pretty much what he does throughout the relationship. That's his MO. It starts with talking. Goes to texting. She's 11, and it continues. We're going to ask that you find him guilty of that charge as well.

2.0

That's when her braces are off. Her braces are on in that picture. Beyond a reasonable doubt. There is too many ways for you to not conclude that the way it went down is exactly what she testified to at the preliminary hearing, and what she told Detective Cho in her voluntary statement.

It is not reasonable to believe that they are brother and sister. It's not reasonable to believe they were just friends. Why is he discussing the statute of limitations for sex crimes in June 2015. Why. The only evidence of why is because he knew what he did. And then Katerina's testimony. Her credibility to you guys, in the text message, let me find out that bitch is lying. Implying that, you know, she is not lying about anything.

His interview, re-watch his interview. Review your

notes about his interview. The phone call where Detective Dicaro was there with Morgan and a couple other detectives where the Defendant says, if you don't tell them anything there's no case. No victim no crime. What did she come in here to do. Exactly what he told her to do, exactly what she thought would get him away with this. Listen to that jail call. Listen to the pause when dad said, she's mad because you broke up with her. Listen to the tone of his voice when he responds to that. What are you talking about. We're just friends. Talk to mom about it.

Morgan says in her involuntary statement he knew that I was the only one that would say anything and he trusted me. Why tell people that you're brother and sister. Why not tell people that you are friends. Why spend so much time with someone 6 years younger than you. That's unconverted that they spent an exorbitant amount of time together. Why. Why say he's gay. Why change from the date when she's 16 versus I'm going to date her when she's 18 in an interview. He told Officer Zafiris, Wirey, Samples I'm going to date her when she's 16 years old. Why change that to 18. What are they hiding Why didn't Morgan come in here and say nothing happened.

You know that something happened. You have photographic evidence of that. Why lie. Why does he act the way he did in his interview. Why.

The truth in this case is in the preliminary hearing transcript and voluntary statement and in her video. That is what corroborated by the evidence. The Defendant knows what he did to Morgan for 4 years. Morgan knows what the Defendant did to her. We ask that you tell him that you know what he did to her too.

MS. MCNEILL: Objection, Judge. Conscience of the community.

THE COURT: Ms. Rhoades, sustained.

MS. RHOADES: From all of the evidence, I submit that you know what he did to her too. You know that. And we ask that you find him guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Rhoades.

MS. RHOADES: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur.

## CLOSING STATEMENT

## BY MR. MACARTHUR:

This Court, ladies and gentlemen of the jury,
Ms. McNeill, State's counsel and all people present, I
don't use a power point. I am decidedly low-tech.

If the information is looked at, processed and understood, you shouldn't need props in order to get it all to work together. It's easy to say if you do it for a living, but this is a pretty note-taking, that is probably a really good thing.

I'm going to ask one more favor of you. I'm going to ask while listening to this argument to bear in mind you are going to discuss this evidence when you are done, and that certain people may remember one thing, another person may remember another thing, and you may disagree as to what it meant or what it was relevant too. It's perfectly appropriate that you discuss that. But bearing in mind that another person may not agree with you I would ask that if during my presentation and argument there is something you would like to use for or against you disagree with me or agree with me make a note of that so you can discuss it and be armed with that information so it's not forgotten at a time that might be of critical importance. I leave that up to you.

When we started 3 weeks ago you'll remember we did voir dire. I am quite sure then, as you may be today, you got tired of hearing my voice. I seem to harp on some issues more then others. I will revisit those here, it will become abundantly clear why. I spent a significant amount of time talking about how do you feel about whistle blowers, people who break out of the norm and say, hey, this isn't right. And in the discussion some people found them to be brave, some people wondered why they did that, they didn't joke to them maybe they don't have a strong sense of survival. But overall after asking who wanted to

be present a great many of you expressed a respect for people who are brave enough to say, hey, even though this puts me in harms way, this is what I have to say. It's the truth.

Another question I asked is how many of you wanted to be here. It's not a secret if you want to be here you are going be engaged and listen to the evidence and you'll note those details that are going to be essential to showing this man did not commit a crime. Anybody who has checked out might not catch that. It's altogether too important to leave to chance.

So after talking about wanting to be here and how the body itself felt about whistle blowers, I spent time talking about the presumption of innocence. The State having to change your mind with the evidence.

Now, these things are important because while nobody on either side knows exactly what a witness is going to say, you get a sense from having prepared the case how things are likely to go. And clearly the defense knew about March 22, 2015 and what the defense was going to argue that meant. But there was no way to anticipate that Josh Honea would not be the only whistle blower in this case.

In the past 3 weeks we not only heard about Josh but we also received surprises that was Morgan on the very

first day. And how she was treated when she was saying things that inculpated Josh versus how she was treated when she said things that exonerated Josh. It's pretty obvious that many of you were uncomfortable with that.

We heard from Luann Sacchetti, Joshes former supervisor at Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department who retired back in July. I know that many of you saw the surprise when she didn't testify the way that the State seemed to expect that she might.

We also heard Humberto Zerate. Young man knows and had gone through the Explorer with Josh and his interactions with the State. There is no way that anyone of those 4 things were going to happen. Josh maybe anticipated, but you never know how it's going to play out.

And in that same 3 weeks, you learned about the consequences of if something wrong was being done to Josh, which is up to you to decide whether or not that was true. But if something wrong was being done to Josh what those consequences were -- almost like when you throw a pebble in the lake and you see the ripples go on and on. You saw what it did to Joshes' career. You saw or you heard testimony that put Josh in jail for almost 2 years.

You saw Morgan was in custody for, I think it had to be somewhere around 10 or 11 days. You saw the State drag

out her testimony for 5 days. No other witness lasted 2. You saw Humberto Zerate an active police officer who wears a badge, an actual police officer testifying nervously because he felt like he was threatened by the State. Particularly with Kristina Rhoades and to comments made to him.

Now, I expect since you are going to hear from one more person, so you know, Ms. Rhoades does the first close. I do this close. But because the State has the burden of proof, it gets the last word. So I apologize in advance I have to make sure I don't leave anything out. I'm very deliberate. Because once I sit, I can't contradict anything else.

I expect that at some point Ms. Kollins is going to say, oh, what is this grand conspiracy that Metro is going after Josh and making it all about something else but what we're here for. I want to make it really clear that defense isn't alleging some grand conspiracy that everybody the State put up there was a member of. It didn't require that many people. I'll get into the details as I go, but just so you heard it, the people responsible were Kevin Zafiris and his wife, Sergeant Zack Marsh, Lieutenant Karen Hughes, those are the 4 people that it took. Everybody else, literally only had to do their jobs, because they had no other reason to think that

something was inappropriate.

Now, I've listened to the State's closing, and I have seen what appears to be cherry-picking of isolated facts that's arranged in a certain way might paint the picture that Ms. Rhoades wants you to see. However, that is not really how a puzzle works. Anybody who has ever done a puzzle understands that when you have two pieces that fit together they just click. They are seamless. You don't have to force it. Sometimes you really think something fits somewhere, it doesn't fit quite right and you know that's not the right spot for that piece. You can make one puzzle look like a different picture, but you know what it looks like because there's no gaps. I'm going to give you the summation of events that eliminate those gaps.

My arguments ar not evidence. You are not required to agree. It's merely a statement of how this information fits together. And it's up to you as to whether you agree or disagree and ultimately, whether or not the State has persuaded you beyond a reasonable doubt that that man is no longer innocent. I submit they haven't and here is why.

The defense does not dispute the obvious, that Morgan and Josh knew each other and clearly over years had spent a lot of time in each other's company. That's not the

same as committing any of these crimes. In the first section it's really Morgan and Josh at the early years. We're talking about 2011 to sometime in 2014. There was actually a brother and sister relationship. Later on we'll see the evidence that makes that clear that either the State is unaware of or has neglected to highlight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is no intimate contact between them at all. starts with Josh being a volunteer. I can't remember the term, I hate to assassinate it, at Johnson Middle School in the dean's office making sure there are no fights and people are going to school and things like that. Essentially glorified hall monitor. We know at this time Morgan's life is somewhat of a mess. You heard from Paula Kraskey and her contracts with Morgan herself. That she was part of this ad hoc group of girls called Top Notch Bitches Club. They would get in fights with other people. There was discussion of the fact she used marijuana. She did that in that group. That's when she started using marijuana. She had an attitude problem. People were concerned. Properly so.

Ms. Kraskey was -- almost like lunched into this, this is important. This is how we do it. Easy, we get that you are passionate about it, but tells us how Morgan came into the fold.

They with concerned because she had behavioral

issues. They were showing up early, showing up this early. That was a sign that if it's this early it could be bad and it's not unusual for it to be related to the home.

You heard testimony that they were unsuccessful in getting Pamela Savage to come see a counselor about it.

You received evidence from other people as to why that may have been. She may have had a problem with alcohol. May have had issues that were taking her out of town, a relationship she was doing, or having two of her children living in California.

Now, it's not the defense's intention or job to belittle that or make her seem like she was a worst mother then she was. All the defense needs to remember is that there were adults that were concerned about this young lady, and they formed a bit of a net around her. It started with Josh Honea's mother. Ms. Kraskey, other people, staff, and Josh.

First, it's just making sure she gets home. She's not around negative peers. That seems to work out, right. Because not only does Morgan say back then, but she also says, now, no dispute that she benefited from that.

Attendance improved, grades went up, problems went down.

No matter how the State wants to characterize it now, her own mother couldn't deny those things were true. Pam

didn't seem to be a friend of the defense, but she had to say, well, from what I could see, Josh was advising her not to do illegal things, not to do bad things and it seemed to be working out. It probably worked out a little too well, because you also heard testimony not only from Joshes' mother, but from Morgan that when things would happen it would be the Honea family that Morgan went to because her mom was unavailable. Think about the time the air-conditioning went down and mom was out of town to see a boyfriend in Arizona. You have lived here in Vegas. You know how hot it gets. You can't live in a house or apartment without air-conditioning.

Who did Morgan call. She called Dara Coleman,
Joshes' mom. She was able to stay there on the couch. No
allegations that something improper happened there.
There's nothing to defend there. It's just the first sign
that when things went wrong for Morgan she called Josh and
his family. It's that kind of intervention that creates
the trust.

Think about the Christmas. Pam described it as

Morgan had to come and bring a gift. Almost like it was

mandatory. But the important thing there is that when

Christmas came, which is usually the holiday that most

Americans hold on the highest tier, the mom is there in

the morning and says, well, I've got something to do. She

leaves Morgan there with another family for Christmas.

Again, the intention is not to show she's a bad woman.

The intention is to show that if this woman cared about her daughter -- which she probably did -- good mother or not -- she would not have left her in a place where she knowingly thought she was at risk, right.

You heard her say over and over again, I didn't see any instances that made me think that something more was going on. Sure, she editorializes now in front of you saying that, well, I had misgivings. I kept asking why did you do this, why did you do that, but the reason there why that's not credible is because she also talked to police when she did those voluntary statements back in 2015, right. If she were at all concerned, if those things were legitimate, she would have mentioned them at that time.

I'll get into what Pam Savage's motive might be and is different now, but the point is no mother who loves her child, whether she's a good mother or not, would knowingly leave that child in a position she thought that was sketchy. So you can take from that there was nothing going on as far as she was concerned. Certainly nothing going on as far as Dara Coleman is concerned. That doesn't automatically make it true. I'm not trying to sell you anything. I'm using the first point upon which

we are going to build this house.

Remember when during Pam Savage's testimony she was saying something along the lines of this was a relationship that was sketchy. I didn't see anything obvious. So I went along with it. Then the State casually asked her, how did he get in the house. Oh, Josh had keys. I'm not going to point out there were a couple of you who were like, hell, no. You're not letting a person have a key to your house if you think they're sketchy. We don't mean sexy sketchy. If you think they're theory is sketchy, you're not giving them a key to your house.

Again, no matter what kind of mother Pm Savage is, she is clearly a functioning adult and she's not stupid. So you can assume she didn't give that key to Josh, despite her misgivings.

Think about the testimony when Morgan had that infection, urinary tract, kidney something else. She had to go to Mountainview Hospital. Her mom was unavailable because she was at work. Who was the emergency contact, Joshua Honea. Because by that time he's an adult. He's got a car. And he's got a really good relationship with the families. They know each other. He took her to the hospital. Mom showed up.

That's not the act of a person who's just on the

periphery. That's an act of somebody that you trust, that's a friend of the family. That's a person you know on a first name basis. Not sometimes a stranger, sometimes he's not version that Pamela seems to suggest to you now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What is her motivation for that. Pam Savage knows that she is under the microscope. She also knows the things that because the case is about Morgan and Josh, that we can't get into anything about her. She knew that Morgan and Taylor were getting medical marijuana from mom. Knew they were smoking that. She knew that somehow they were obtaining Xanax, popping those. Doesn't matter. wasn't 3 times like Taylor told you. It was more then that. It's irrelevant to the analysis. The issue is Pamela Savage, as she sits there, knows these things. She knows she's a drinker. Those of you who have experiences with people what have used alcohol, I wonder if you were able to form an opinion about her first day of testimony. Not the second. The first day. With the slow speech patterns, slurred words, and tripping on the way out of the courtroom.

If she has got it, she's got it bad. And she doesn't want to come in here and have this be her fault.

Think about what Pamela Savage knows. She hasn't talked to her daughter in a really long time. The last time they

are talking Morgan was telling her that Josh did these really bad things to me. How would that make a mother feel. I didn't know anything about that. I didn't protect my daughter. Is this my fault.

Pam Savage is honoring the memory of what she thinks her daughter is. She doesn't know what the truth is. But she doesn't want the person that comes in here and says, yeah, my daughter is not honest. I don't know which version to believe. Matter of fact, she told you all of my children were honest with me all of the time. Morgan whether it was appropriate or not laughed at that. There was plenty of things Morgan was doing that her mother never knew about.

That explains Pam Savage's motivation. It doesn't paint her one way or another, as truth telling or a liar, but it does shape what position she takes when there is uncertainty.

Now, moving down. Another one of you reacted visibly when Ms. McNeill asked her a question. I think it went somewhere along the lines of, you only know what Morgan was doing during these years because she said she was with Josh. She said yes. My daughter is always honest with me. When there is a child like Morgan who is clearly advanced in things that she does, says and wants to experience, do you think she hesitated to tell her mom,

no, no, I'm not doing anything big. I'm going to go do this benign thing a she left it to her. Would Morgan say, I was with Josh. I'm with Josh because Josh is safe, right. Josh is good. How many instances would she have justified coming home late from school or being somewhere that her mother didn't think she was going to be. Well, I have been there with Josh, right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The defense is not suggesting to you that they didn't have frequent contact. The defense is reminding you that the only version of that that we get comes from Pamela Savage. Morgan, like her or not, devastates her own credibility because she has been on both sides of the aisle more than one time. In order to believe something that anybody says that is contradicted by themselves, you kind of need to marry it to something that maybe is corroborating evidence. I don't mean to bad mouth morgan. She's got to be the best witness that defense benefited from and it wasn't even our witness. But I'm not going to try to act like, oh, there's absolutely no problem with Morgan's testimony. Of course it's problematic. If she's willing to lie then she's willing to lie now. Hopefully less so.

But the way to fix that is by looking for corroborative evidence. Something else that shows you what she's saying now that's true. There is a lot of

that. We're coming to it.

2.0

Now, remember that Morgan tells her mother Josh is gay. That seems to solve a lot of her problems as well.

Morgan flourishes in 2011 to 2014. Sure there are plenty of pictures of her with Josh, but not with them doing anything that would make you feel uncomfortable. I realize the time line is all over, but I'm going to give you some helpful remainders on how to figure out where you are on the time line by what's available in those images.

Josh likes to do certain things. You heard about Cher concerts. I made fun of him already. Don't need to do it again. Places he liked to go, things he likes to see and people he took to these. The State characterizes that as these look like dates. I suppose if you curate it and take away any context, I suppose. It's nighttime, but there is no kissing going on in those. You heard from Dara that these were places he went with other people, that he took other female people there.

Now, Josh didn't have the most vibrant dating life early on. You aren't going to be able to draw any conclusions from that. You haven't had a chance to speak to any of them, but you heard from Morgan that he was not as advanced as she was. That he was kind of a slow mover. That he was -- I think she said, it was like it's bad kids

that go to some of these things and they use drugs and have sex, et cetera. He seemed reductant to do that.

If the State's proposition that it's been going on since 6th grade was true, what is the likelihood that nobody would have known that. Those of you with children it's not that kids can't be deceiving. They can. They are brilliant at it. But for 4 years of nobody going like, hey, wait a minute. What are you doing in the bedroom. Nothing. Ladies and gentlemen, the reason being is that there was nothing going on at all between 2011 and the majority of 2014. It was, as she described it, a brother/sister relationship.

This brings us forward to later in 2014. Now, Morgan testified there is no reason to think she wasn't honest about it, that in 2014 there were things she wanted to do that Josh couldn't do with her or wouldn't don't with her. She wanted to go to prom. She wanted to go to dances. She wanted to go on dates. What's is going on in 2014 with Morgan. You don't have to have a biology degree. She turns 15 June 30th. So 14 and 15-year-old girls want to do things differently then 11, and 12, 13-year-old girls. For obvious reasons Josh can't do that.

So we see pictures of other boys. I was supposed to use these as I went along. I apologize for doing them out of order. I want to go back to this one and that period I

was talking about between 2010 and 2014, at that Explorer meeting. This is referring to Defense A, a bunch of kids that either want to be police officers or are supporting other people that one day want to be police officers. We know this is a long time ago. I didn't get a specific date on it. You can tell by Joshes' skinny legs and Morgan was chubbier back then. Looks different now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But during this period in 2014, Morgan tells you that she started dating boys. Now, I didn't ask her because it really wasn't any of my business, but was she dating all of these boys at the same time. Who knows. But probably not, right. She's dating boys, and she's having relationships. She identified who these boys where for you. So I put these up for the proposition that the State wants you to believe that Josh put a dome over here and made sure she had no age appropriate friends. How is that We knew about Taylor. The only reason she got separated was because of the marijuana thing. Everything else would appear she had a vibrant social life. Anybody with a skeptical mind who had access to the same discovery the State did would have seen these pictures and said, well, that doesn't quite fit with what she told us. That I wasn't allowed to have any friends that were my age. That he was obsessive. He wouldn't let me have any relationships.

She told you about those relationships. The reason you can believe her is because we have corroboration about that, too. Not only these pictures, but we also know who one of them is, Franco Orduno.

Now, there was an important piece of testimony I got this morning from Dara Coleman that I forgot to do yesterday. I'm really happy I didn't completely forget. Josh Honea gets a blue Ford F-150, May 17, 2015. Before that he's driving a red Mustang, right. Okay. I don't remember which boy Franco Orduno is, but we know that he exists. And I hope I'm not defaming this man using this example, just as a place filler.

What happens in 2014. She says she's in a relationship with a young man by the name of Franco Orduno, right. And it's either August or September, not quite sure. But that the relationship ended in December, because he'd taken naked photos of her. Certainly the allegation is he got those from Josh, right. He got naked photos of her, his own girlfriend and passed them around to other members of the football team. And she testified how embarrassed she was. How it made it impossible to stay at Oasis. Who did she trust that information to. Did Pam testify to that. No, she didn't. She trusted it to Honea. And she told her mom I want to switch to Bonanza, and they filled out the paperwork and they did.

Certainly Josh knew about it. Josh knew more about it then Pamela did. Why. Because we also had the testimony which Morgan still stands by, right. Not only did she say it back in 2015 she said it now. Josh intervened on my behalf, road in like a white knight. He had the radio. He turned it on. He got on the phone with that guy. They talked. She could only hear one side of the conversation. She couldn't hear what Franco was saying. She could hear what Josh is saying. He scared that guy.

Couldn't really do anything else because he wasn't a police officer. And it is actually a violation of policy to pretend to be a police officer when you not a police officer. So he can't actually go do anymore then that, can he. He can't go to some supervisor and say I pretended to be cop for my younger sister because he was passing around naked photos of her. If they, in fact, did have a brother and sister relationship as both Morgan and Joshes' family and friends told you, would an older brother be angry about that. Would an older brother be pissed off that his younger sister, even if she exercised bad judgment, got exploited by that by not only some boy in high school but supposedly her boyfriend, that's not right.

They break up in December 2014 and Morgan told you

that is when she started to feel differently about Josh. Why might that be true. First of all, we already know she likes boys, right. We've seen 3 of them. But we know that one boy treated her right. That he is always giving her good advice. That he's never let her down the path. You suddenly start to see people that were just friends before in an entirely different light. So they go to Mt. Charleston. They do other things. And you have seen those photos. If I could have waived a magic wand from hog warts that explains why Josh is kissing Morgan Savage, I would have. But it is what it is. And the evidence is what exonerates him ultimately.

Look at those photo. The ones the State kept up there because it's the best thing they had. Go look at them. Defense is not afraid of them. Look at what he's wearing. Look at her face. Look at her hair. Then look at the photo where they're driving down from Mt.

Charleston. I trust that every single one of have you knows what the difference would be between what a Mustang looks like on the inside, if it's red, which would be some time before May of 2014, right. Versus what it looks like when you are in a pick up truck, with that raised console. The fact they're higher off the ground where you can see out of the windows right. Look at that photo. They are in a truck.

The State wants you to believe that because we can't assign a time to this or maybe Pam Savage said I looked at this and this kind of looks like maybe she's 13. They want you to rely on that testimony because that's her mom. Number one, I think my mom could look at any picture of me and narrow it down a hell of a lot better. But maybe not everybody has that skill.

What you are rely on is what does she look like. She has braces. We know she had those in 2011 to 2015. She has long hair. Compare that to just what's right here. She long blonde hair when she's up at Mt. Charleston. But here, she's got dark brunette hair. But compare the length of her hair, the color of her hair, how she styles it, her teeth, clothing, that plaid shirt, see if all of pictures when they're kissing are in December 2015.

That's important for a couple of reasons. One, is because they're a hell of a lot less sketchy then if she's in the 6th grade or 7th grade or 8th grade.

Number two, is that State charged Josh with lewdness with a child under the age of 14. Even if they are too young to be thinking about being in a relationship that kissing is not illegal, because she's not under 14. The State lives and dies by the allegations it brings. I'll come back to that charge because they tie themselves to dates where Morgan is 11 years old -- 11 until her 12th

birthday. That's their allegation. That is what they have to live with. The picture that she relied on to support that they are inside the blue truck. You know when it was he bought that, May 17, 2015. One day before he was no longer welcomed inside Metro.

That kiss happens in the winter time. How do we know. Because there's snow on the ground. Morgan testified that that was at Mt. Charleston in December 2015. Right where it should be. Franco Orduno had shown these pictures to other people at Oasis. She breaks up with him. And she moves to Bonanza, when. In December of 2015.

We also know that at this time Morgan starts reading romance slash spy books. She didn't recognize every title I presented to her. She didn't admit to all of those as evidence. She freely admitted that, yes, these were books and authors she's interested in. She confirmed for you herself a theme of the books is young women were going in solving crimes.

Now, I want you to think for a minute about the photo album. This is another piece of evidence that the State is apparently unaware exonerates Josh. Hopefully each of you will remember I asked her would you agree with me that it appears to be written in the same pen. She said, yeah. And I said the reason for that is because it was made at about the same time, right. It wasn't made

contemporaneously where this is my birthday of 2012. got a different pen. All of this is curatted the same Now that happen with that because it seemed like time. the State thought I was asking this to suggest that somehow she put this together to frame Josh. No, not at That question was for this moment. This all happened at the same time because who does a photo collage documenting the history of a relationship, a girl who's love struck, right. Something is now different in December of 2014. She goes back through and curates all of the photos from her friendship with Josh back when they were brother and sister. Now the way she feels stronger about him. And she puts in there and she's adding to it, the keepsakes, because presumably they are going to be together forever. There is no reason to think that that part of her testimony, even back then, wasn't true.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

She was in love with Josh. And clearly Josh had feelings toward her too. We can understand that. The question is, did this lead them to then commit some kind of crime. That is where we move to.

At this point Josh worked really, really hard to get to Metro. You heard about what he did. You don't have to just hear about it. You also are allowed to use your common sense as adult individuals. He managed to become number one on admission into the Academy. And you hear

the way he did that was by learning to write reports, volunteering time for free. That's independent of the part-time work he was doing clerically for the gang unit. So let me be clear. He had a job that was paying him money, but in a addition he volunteered time with the volunteer patrol service in order to get in good. He'd done Explorers for years. He was so dedicated to it he brought Morgan along with him.

If he and Morgan were secretly doing what the State is alleging they were doing, would he have brought her around police officers so that one slipped word or one not hidden gesture would have gotten him busted. Probably not. You'd have to be insane. That would make it altogether improbable that he could have went for 4 years without anybody knowing about it. Again, the defense suggests that reason why nobody saw anything in 2011 to 2014 is because nothing was happening yet.

Josh can't be in a relationship with Morgan. No matter how badly he wants to be. By now they know each other well enough. They can have candid conversations. Anybody here who has ever been a teen or had your hormones telling you I really want to have sex. No, you don't always use the best judgment. Probably wasn't good judgment kissing her, but the light goes on. We can't go all the way because the age of consent is 16.

Isn't the evidence consistent with a fight over why they can't be together. What else happens the end of December 2015. Morgan is angry. I know that when she testified, I agree, I completely hand it to Ms. Rhoades. She didn't give you a whole lot of information on what that argument was about. All she would tell you is it was a really bad argument, but I don't remember what was it. And it was over a protracted period of time. It wasn't just one thing, right. Why aren't you saying that. Because it's going on between December, when she blocks him and she's angry at him for some reason, all the way to May --- or should I say all the way to June.

Remember she testified there were times in which we were good, tight. And other time we just completely separated. Why is that happening. Because December when they apparently had been really close in Mt. Charleston to June 2015. I ask these questions rhetorically because I honestly believe jurors are better at making these connections then when I tell them what those connections are.

What else is going on that informs us as to what's happening. Remember all of those texts that -- I don't remember which attorney it was, I don't want to be wrong -- when the State was going through the texts between Josh and Pamela Savage. Remember when Josh was

saying, I just need to know why. I have been a good friend. Why would she want to not talk to me anymore. He's clearly hurt. And right after December 2015, do you think she feels rejected. She wants this thing. You are the best guy in the world. I've known you forever. I would never tell on you. I would never do anything to hurt you. But I'm sorry Morgan. I can't do that, for a whole list of reasons.

What else do we know. We know that Morgan starts dating again. We've seen pictures of her online profile. Remember she's on Ok Cupid. She's still 15. She hasn't turned 16, which is going t be her age of consent, June 30th. I'm sure she knows that. The Defendant knows that. But she's got a profile out there and says, looking for guys between the ages of 18 and 26. What does Josh do. Contacts OK Cupid and says, hey, she's under age. And OK Cupid takes it down.

What is Joshes' motivation. Again you are not supposed to speculate, but you are to allowed to apply your common sense as adult human beings and draw reasonable inferences. You think that Josh wants Morgan going back into that life where she is making bad decisions. Do you think that Josh wants her to be in a relationship with some other guy by the time she hits 16. Let's look at the other side of that. What else is going

on after December 2015 but before June of 2015.

This is the first time where we hear about Officer
Zafiris, right. He was an Explorer advisor. Sure he
helped mentor Josh. Taught him codes and procedures and
how to write a report in those classes, but now Josh has
aged out. We had multiple people testify that Josh is
reaching that age to where now you got to be with the
adult officers, because we're going to put you in the
Academy and you're going to roll with us. Who takes him
out. Why. Officer Zafiris, Officer Wirey, Officer
Samples who is now a detective. They like this guy. They
know this guy. They've seen him go through the Explorers,
they want him to succeed. There is no reason to
disbelieve that part of their testimony.

And they notice he is down in the mouth because there is a girl he's saying they're only brother and sister, but he's really upset that she won't talk to him. They are like that doesn't sound like just a brother and sister relationship. Why it is so important to you. He tries to respond, she's using drugs, hanging out with bad people. They're like, dude, let her make her own choice. Let it go.

Officer Samples says, you know what, since you seem to be kind of awkward in this dating thing, I'm going to help you set up a Tender profile. He was nice enough to

say, that's true. Officer Samples takes this picture of Josh and puts it up on Tender. Now, Josh is able to meet people, go out with people, get on past any lingering feelings he might have toward a girl he can't be with. Because it would be dangerous to his career, and he has worked too hard to get this far. You heard everybody testify it everything to him.

The problem is that jealousy and anger can work both ways, right. You take down my OK Cupid profile because you don't want me dating men, but you are going to be out there on Tender. Furthermore, I'm finding out on social media you are going out on dates with these -- I don't remember if she said bitches -- or in the text -- but maybe you'll remember the one where Morgan said, you know, here eyes aren't even real. Those are contacts. Well, I asked her soon as I met. They are openly discussing each other's dating relationships. What does that tell you.

It tells you that they have open communication and that even though there was a period where Morgan didn't want to talk to him and blocked him out that that didn't last that long. Because remember Pam Savage testified she didn't tell me when they started talking again, but they were talking again. Another factor would be that there is text messages between Josh and Morgan where they are actually talking about the girl -- at least one girl --

that Josh is dating. You can read it yourself. I rearranged them so they are easier to see. The State's packet has them going from the last page to the front, then bottom to top. I rearranged chronologically so they are bottom to top, turn the page bottom to top.

The dates are there. The substance of the conversations is there. And if you don't cherry-pick it like the State did, you can read the context to make perfect sense to you.

Good afternoon, Mr. Wolfson. Thank you for joining us.

In there you will see Morgan that is insulted. She's using words that betray that she is jealous. It's hard because we have had testimony and it's hard to appreciate the tone, but it's not difficult in those. She doesn't like the girls that Josh is going out with. She thinks they're fake, and they are not her. And so the agreement is they are going to date when she turns 16, because then nobody can say it's illegal. Nobody can say it's wrong. How do we know that's true, because Josh admitted that to Officer Zafiris, Officer Sample, Officer Wirey, right.

How do we know that they didn't think that that was scheming. They certainly came in here and said that was problematic. It didn't smell right. But how do we know back then they didn't think it was scheming. The reason

we know that is because they are mandatory reporters. By law if they think there is an illegal sexual relationship with a minor, they are obligated to report that to a supervisor. So either they were liars back then, or being more charitable to them they didn't think it was scheming because Josh explained the way it was. I really like this girl. We're like brother and sister for a long time. But now she feels differently and I feel differently about her. We can't be together, so I would look forward to dating her when she's 16.

The State asked you why would Josh change his story. Why didn't he say 18, at a later time. Well, I'm not certain that that happened. We haven't seen any evidence that sometimes things get transcribed differently. Let's say that he did say that. Well, the point is he says that people are asking him uncomfortable questions implicating him in supposedly having an improper relationship with an underage girl, which is what he didn't want to have happen. Maybe he minimized that by saying I was going to wait until she was 18. But we know it was 16, because we had multiple witnesses who said he said we're waiting until she's 16 before we can date. That makes sense. It gives them both what they want from each other, but doesn't have them doing anything illegal.

Josh did his part in that. The problem is that when

you can't get what you want out of a relationship sometimes you back away. It's not always one person. Somehow they have to get to June. Sometimes they wait and they talk, but other times they say, you know what, I can't do it. Let's see other people.

Morgan for her part starts to spiral downward. You remember the portions of her testimony where Josh was starting to be different at work. Luann Sacchetti was supportive of Josh said he'd always been top notch but seemed distracted. He wasn't as good as he had been, and I wanted him to get back in that place. What else is going on in Joshes' life at that time.

We know that he and Morgan can't be together and Morgan is mad about that. But also his mom is divorcing his biological father. He's an adult, but he still lives at home and it's weighing on him. Luann told you that. She didn't have to make it up. She said she never met me before. We never talked before. Either that's a lie or you can trust Luann Sacchetti. She told you, yes, that divorce also weighed heavily on him.

At the time where he was going through the two most difficult things we heard about, namely not being able to be with the person he wanted to be with because he wanted his career and his parents separating, he had fewer people around him then usual.

Officer Zafiris, Samples, and Wirey not for any improper purpose, arrive at just the right time. Hey, man you're going to be with one of us. You're going to go to the Academy. You're going to come out. We're going to turn you into an officer and life is going to be better. We're going to get you on Tender. Just wait. But there is also an element of this that reminds me of the movie Training Day. I don't know if anybody has seen it. Denzel Washington, if you're a Denzel Washington fan, one of the most confusing things about that movie is he'd never been a bad guy before and that some people get all the way to like 45 minutes before the movie is over and they're going how is Denzel Washington going to fix this. He seems like such a bad guy. When it occurs to you, he's a bad guy.

All movie long he's sort of nurturing Ethan Hawk, giving him opportunities to make choices and show what his metal is. You're going to be a part of this group. We rely on each other. I look out for you. You look out for me. You'll make money. We'll get promoted, right. It's a slow indoctrination that all happens on one day. Let's bring it back to the real world.

Officer Zafiris, Wirey, Samples have all invested in Josh to get him where he's at. Zafiris talks Josh into leaving Northeast Area Command and coming down to

Enterprise Area Command. Cause that's where the action is. You're going to get more calls. You're going to get more experience. It's going to look really good on your application, so Josh comes on down.

Before I get into the events of March 22, 2015, I just want to point out another reason why it's really important that Josh is doing all of these things to get into Metro. Because it doesn't leave him all of the time the State needs him to have to cultivate this improper relationship with Morgan where back in 2015 Morgan is literally saying we are having sex every day. He's picking me up from school. We're going to different places. When in the world does he have time to do that.

We know he's doing part-time in gangs. We know he's doing volunteer time with the patrol service. We knew he is doing Explorer functions, both daytime and evening. He takes Morgan to those when he can. He's going to college. He's going to his own school. He's got his own best friend called Katerina Babin. She's studying with him after school. She's never met Morgan. That's important for at least one reason. These are two very close friends of his, but somehow the two of them never cross over.

The State might want to treat that as, oh, he's hiding it because maybe he was leading them both on.

That's a reach. Its objective value is that if Josh is

literally only controlling Morgan's life, then when does he have time to do all of these other things. How is it that those people aren't as familiar with Morgan as they would be otherwise.

The answer is found in Morgan's testimony to you here in this trial. That she lied about a lot of things. That she manipulated the information to make it appear as though Josh was doing things he didn't. And that if you knew how she did it, you probably wouldn't believe it. I thank her for that.

So March 22, 2015, Josh is at a Chevron filling up his car. This is important because there were some allegations that there were performance issues with him following cars or somehow initiating these contacts. But Officer Zafiris, who literally tried to do Josh no favors during his testimony, admitted in this instance it was because he was getting gas and he was running a plate. Like license plate lottery, which is okay. Nobody disagrees with that.

He comes up with a 411 A, a hot car with California plates. It's a silver Audi occupied by multiple people. Josh initiates a call to dispatch at 3:02 p.m. We know that Josh is BP27. We know that because he's the first unit to touch it. This PU means primary unit. How do we know that. Because Officer Zafiris, while he can't

remember when it is he's the primary unit, knows that you can see the final primary unit up here, 2DP39. Josh starts that call.

Zafiris picks it up almost immediately thereafter.

It's less then a minute. He initiates that call at 15:02:39. Zafiris picks up on the call as well at 15:03:10, less than 21 seconds later, right. Because he wants to make sure that Josh is okay and he's going to go to that scene. So he leaves Trop, Budget Suites, Polaris, west of McDonalds and heads to the parking lot.

Now, at 15:05:25, whichever officer, unfortunately we weren't able to identify who he was by the call sign 2DP39, reports that another officer there has subjects at gun point, northwest corner of McDonalds, complying now.

I'll take this opportunity to remind you that Officer Zafiris fought tooth and nail to keep from tying down what it means when the call signs are in the body. Sometimes he said this the person doing it. Then when it suited him, he said this is the person saying it.

How do we know it's the person saying it that's the truth, because Josh isn't allowed to actually act on anything. He's the one working the radio -- call -- call -- call -- call.

2DP39, though we don't know who he is, he's the person doing the call. Zafiris has the subjects at gun

point on the northwest corner of McDonalds. Zafiris didn't remember it that way. In fact, he didn't remember it any way, right. When I tried to suggest wouldn't you remember pulling your gun on somebody. It happens so often that I can't remember. I don't know how many -- I know one of you has a spouse that works law enforcement. And while you're considering his credibility, I want you to think about what you know about his job and his friend's jobs and how often they actually pull their weapons on people and whether or not it's memorable. Mr. Zafiris thinks it's not.

Josh updates, taking female into custody. At 15:07, two people in custody, taking third in custody now. Josh continues to work -- oh, before I leave, I want to introduce or remind the jury that 2P4 is Zafiris. It's 15:04:35, he becomes the primary unit. He's primary. It started with Josh. It ends with the guy at the top of the report, because Zafiris leaves before the entire incident is over. But right now on scene he's the primary unit. He is the one holding them at gun point. He's the one that has two in custody, taking a third in custody now.

Finally, he weighs in himself 15:09:04, 9:30 p.m.

Says 4 people in custody. Clearing the vehicle. Now, regardless of whether or not he actually remembered the gun pointing thing, you think he might remember being the

person who called in and said there's 4 people in custody. Then we put him on the stand, and we ask him about that police report. We acknowledge that the police report was written by Officer Blum. We couldn't admit it as an actual exhibit because it's hearsay because it's not a report he wrote. But we also know that he was allowed to refer to it, and I could direct his attention to any part that I wanted to. No matter how hard he fought or how hard he wiggled, not matter how many times he got giggled at by the jury, we got through that thing, and what did he tell me. He said I don't remember if it was 1 or 2 people.

It wasn't 1 or 2 people. It was 4 people. I don't remember if I pointed my gun at anybody. The CAD does. I don't remember if Josh was talking to somebody. But you remember counseling he was doing some things wrong 7 days later.

He also then indicates that he cancels that call.

Now it's under control, he takes off to go somewhere else.

That was his testimony, right. See what happens after that.

He acknowledged by the police report, 15:56, it's now 3:56:58, there's information from a female. We got 2B339, resumed the call. Female stating she has a gun inside of her and either possibly for possession of large amount of

446, namely drugs in the vehicle also. This is after Zafiris has left, right. That's going to be important, because he has released the scene to somebody else and now information comes in additionally from this female that, oh, you officers didn't know, I got something in my vagina, which we know is not a gun because he's got the same police report. He acknowledges, no, it wasn't a gun. Originally she said burner. Sometimes we know burner to be slang for a gun. We know that that ended up being a meth pipe, right. That's not a gun. But there's still reference to guns and drugs from this woman after Zafiris has left.

You remember that I highlighted two numbers -- or 2 times in orange when I did this. And reason why is because we had testimony that the female had been Mirandized right in between here, right. That's when she was first being questioned by the officers. Zafiris leaves a couple minutes later. Why is that important. It's important because there is also a second individual going to be Mirandized. Remember the Morano guy who got Mirandized. He got Mirandized a whole hour later, and Zafiris wasn't even able to testify as to whether that guy was there or if he was in custody or what happened to him.

I asked him isn't it, in fact, true that that guy left taking a duffel bag with him. He said, no. I don't

remember it that way. I wasn't there. You were here for this point, right. When you release the call people had already been taken into custody. You wouldn't have left if it was a hot situation. He left because he thought that it was over.

2.0

After he left information came in from the female that there's guns and drugs in the car. We know that the guns is not from her vagina because later they took her to the hospital and we know that that was a meth pipe. Ladies and gentlemen, the circumstances suggest to you that 2 people are missing and that they took with them guns and drugs that should never have been left in their possession.

The only reason why that police report doesn't stand by itself and solve all of Zafiris' problems is because one person got on the radio and was updating things in real time. Who was that person. Josh. Josh put the information inside of the CAD, which nobody can change or scrub, or modify, because it's maintained by dispatch. It's held by LVMPD records. There's not going to be any input from Officer Blum or Officer Zafiris in what information stays on that document. You can scrub a police report and make it 2 people instead of 1. You can eliminate any reference to guns or drugs having been removed from the scene in a police report, but you can't

scrub the CAD. Why don't they match.

If you guys wanted to know what a whistle blower situation looks like, you're seeing it. The agency or the organization that is in the wrong typically does not come out and say, you know what, our bad. You're absolutely right. We try really hard, but that night we got it wrong. We released guns and drugs to some bad folks in a stolen vehicle. We hope you forgive us. Give us your vote. That's not how it goes.

You told me yourself the way it goes is that you discredit the whistle blower. You make them the person that has the performance issues. They are the ones that get paper. They are the ones that lose credibility. Everybody else who's part of the organization rallies up. What am I talking about. I'll get there in a second.

On the next page 16, 16:31:39, this is the man who was arrested and also Mirandized. That's also the man the State elected not to prosecute. We know that the woman was prosecuted, but she had the narcotics paraphernalia in her body. Not hard to prove a case on her. She's also the driver of a stolen vehicle. Not hard to prove a case on her. Might introduce problems prosecuting the other guy who was able to take the drugs and guns out of the car and then come back to see what was going on, before he gets taken into custody and Mirandized. You think it

might be hard to prosecute him, if he testifies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Josh is now ready to tow the vehicle back to impound. Josh is signing out. How is he received immediately after doing that. We know that at some point before March 26th -- I say some point because we don't have a date for that top text from Zafiris to Josh -- great stuff kid, right. There's nothing in there about, hey, you're running too many hot plates, or you're using the radio the wrong way. It's great stop kid.

Then Sergeant Clark doesn't specifically doesn't remember, but he doesn't deny he says there's a record of He did say it had to do with officers under my direction and control. Great work on the coms (ph). do we mean coms. You saw Josh reported in real time what was happening. Everybody applauded him for it, until the Then come in a conversation where Zafiris sends, I stuck my neck out for you. You make me look like an idiot. We asked what did you mean by that. He said, well, because Josh was having performance problems -- wearing the uniform wrong, had his clips, having too much contact with the public, and the relationship with Morgan Savage and I counseled him about it. He didn't counsel him about it in February, where there is no contact report or any sort of documentation where it seems altogether more informal. The Yard House over beers or help you set up

your Tender profile. Is that a counseling session. Is that a disciplinary action.

That's when Josh was in their good graces. That was Training Day. He says I remember counseling Josh at the scene. Well, there is no proof that he did or didn't. It doesn't seem real consistent with great stop kid or great work on the coms. It seems a little bit more consistent with the 26th, where you make me look like an idiot. And Josh says, I want to go back to Northeast Area Command. Why would you do that. I like the people over there. Isn't that consistent with Josh not wanting to be there because he knows he's no longer the blessed child.

The State has made it sound like there was going to be some document where Josh went to internal affairs or that he made this report and handed it up the chain of command. Is that what they were indoctrinating him to do. Were they teaching him to officially call out other officers. No. If they taught him anything it was how to keep it low level, right. Counseling session don't have to go on the record. No reason to go into your personnel file. But you went and talked on the coms.

When Josh gave this information to Sergeant Erickson who Zafiris couldn't remember if he was present until it was damn obvious in the letter Sergeant Erickson was present. Now there is this big stinky pile related to

Zafiris and Joshes' fault. And Zafiris brought Josh to Enterprise Area Command.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So Zafiris and Wirey come up with an idea. Sergeant Clark who doesn't know anything about this. Would you minds sitting in on a counseling session. Wе want to talk to Josh about something that has us concerned. You don't have to participate, just sit at the head of the table and govern the meeting. They talk to him about Morgan. You got this relationship with this underage girl, I think it's more then that. It's really upsetting. Sergeant Clarks says, what the hell. That's what we're talking about. We told going on now. him before he's got to get rid of Morgan. Sergeant Clark does what he's supposed to do, mandatory reporter. Something wrong with this, he goes up the chain of command.

Problem is Sergeant Clark, who took time to come here despite the death of his father, testified to what he knew, what he didn't know, and didn't make any supposition. What did he tell you. He told you that I remember them talking about Morgan Savage. I don't remember them having a counseling session that day about him having any performance issues. I asked if you're sure about that. He said he was.

That is how the egg gets hatched. That's the seed

that's planted. You don't have to have a string of officers that are all in the buy in, saying this is how we're going to do it. All you have to do is have a couple of people in the right spot and you let everybody know, do your job. Sergeant Clark did his job. He reported it upward. In the chain they say, hey, you got to give this to sex assault. That's when Detective Dicaro gets called in. There is no reason to muddy it up. He's a man with a job.

I might same to a hammer every problem looks like a nail. He didn't testify he felt Josh was evasive. He probably thought that often. It doesn't make him a liar. It doesn't give him a bad intent. He's a man with a job and somebody said, see what you think. If there's something wrong going on. He said based on my experience I felt like maybe there was something going on there, but there was no proof of it. Why. I went over and I talked to Pamela and she said she hadn't seen anything like that. I went and talked to Morgan, and she said no nothing like that has ever happened. We're like brother and sister. I went over and talked to Josh, and Josh said, yeah, I like her. And I probably would date her when she's older, but there is nothing inappropriate going on. Having done his job, investigation closed.

I can't leave this topic without appreciating how the

State included in its direct so it wouldn't come from me, Officer Zafiris, do you have a wife. Yeah. Where does she work. Internal affairs. Which one of you noticed that. Internal affairs sends it up and it gets to Lieutenant Karen Hughes. You didn't get a chance to hear from her. I can't say why she didn't show.

She start the statement of complaint. That's how internal affairs gets it. They have to wait until the investigation is done and that's Dicaro's job. It's not like he's dragging it out. He appears to be moving it along -- the 30th the 31st. But they start the complaint before he's even done, before he even says nope. I didn't find anything in here, internal affairs is on the move. Who is in internal affairs that's relevant to the story, Calderon. She can fall in the category of Dicaro, just doing their job -- Zach Marsh, Lieutenant Karen Hughes. Not present is Officer Zafiris' wife. Same building, same floor, possibly same chain of command.

Now, once Dicaro determines that there doesn't appear to be any fire with this smoke, he goes to IAB.

IAB does something interesting. They contact Morgan's mom, 4 times, plus Dicaro, 5. They contact Morgan 2 times, plus Dicaro, 3. Why does internal affairs need to have so much contact with Morgan Savage and her mom after they've already given a statement to a trained sexual

assault detective saying there's nothing going on her.

And it happens to be during this contact that internal affairs has access to every statement they've all made.

And Josh being questioned by Dicaro, because we saw that on video, Josh gave up a whole lot of information. This happened. Her mom is like this. Morgan is like that.

We've known each other so long. And Dicaro is like, just answer the question I'm giving you. You're giving so much information, it makes it look suspicious?

But listen to that information. He's telling Dicaro, hey, this is not what it looks like. The reason why I'm close to Morgan, she's close to my family, we go all the way back to when she was in 6th grade. Her mom is not like the best mom. There are drug issues and mom gives more access to drugs. Internal affairs doesn't record any of their contacts with Morgan or her mom, but somehow information seems to get to Morgan that there may be some sort of threat against her mom. That Josh is making it sound like her mom could be prosecuted. She takes it almost like, hell, no. After everything we've been through and you're seeing all of these other people and you won't be in a relationship with me. You got attitude. I'm blocking you and unblocking you. Now you want to come for my mom.

Morgan is the same person she was in 2015. She may

have had more experience, but she said then and she says now, I've got a complicated relationship with my mom but I love her. And I don't want to see anything bad happen to her. She even said in response to State's questions, I don't want to say anything that creates a problem for her. She doesn't have really anything to do with this. I'm just here to tell the truth about Josh. We all heard that.

When that happens, Marsh refers the investigation back to criminal detail, saying I know this has been a dead issue before but even though we don't know what they're going to say, we think they should talk to you. Because now they want to final come out with all of the truth. When was that. Right around June 25th. I remember asking everybody to remember some dates around the 21st, 23rd, 24th, 25th. This is when it started becoming important.

When does Morgan start talking to Detective Cho. She talked to Detective Cho July 22nd, 2015. That is a month later, after she tells IAB, you know, I feel like I need to come forward and give you more information. But there is an entire intervening month. In that time internal affairs makes contact with Luann Sacchetti up at Northeast Area Command. How know that that happened. Detective Calderon said she did not do that, Sergeant

Marsh before he realized it was a trap admitted then he did.

Luann Sacchetti told you that internal affairs came to her and said Josh is not the kind of person they wanted in the Academy. That they couldn't keep him out of the academy because he's number one. But that id she were to terminate him or let him go or give him a negative review that it might go well in solving that problem. I saw the eyes light up for 3 or 4 of you when that happen. That's why I hope you're taking notes that you can share all of this with each other in the event somebody missed it.

Why would Luann say that. The State didn't spend any time on her in the first close. Maybe I can prod next counsel to mention it.

Luann Sacchetti did 22 years in Metro. She retired in July. Sergeant Marsh didn't seem to be aware of.

Sergeant Marsh already testified that one of the rules of internal affairs is you're not allowed to discuss an investigation with people outside of a certain network. I asked him to describe that network. He made that network as big as he could. Then I said, that would include admin and supervisors. Oh, no, definitely not. It would have included Luann Sacchetti. No, not -- definitely not.

Luann Sacchetti said she had this conversation with you.

Like, what. Well, now you're stuck. He doesn't know what

anybody else said. We know he said it.

You know, he said it. There is no reason for Luann to come in and stab other officers in the back like that. She wasn't sure what her role was in the testimony, because think about this. Luann Sacchetti did it seem she knew Josh was not guilty or knew Josh was guilty. No. I submit to you that when she asked -- when she was asked about it, she said based on what I knew of Josh, it didn't sound like him. But if it's true, he must have been a really good liar, right. That's an odd thing for her to say if she was on one side of the other.

She was his supervisor, mentored him. She gave him advise and probably likes him, but she's not trying to buddy up to a child molester. She told you what Zach Marsh did because that's what Zach Marsh did. Someone asks you the same question Ms. Rhoades did. Why lie about that. Why does Sergeant Marsh lead you to believe he would never discuss the IAB investigation with Joshes' supervisor. Josh was on the fast track. He was going to get in at Metro. But the thing that he did made other officers look bad. And if they went after him directly, that's story might come out. So they went around. They seized on the Morgan Savage issue because Zafiris himself, Samples and Wirey knew that was a thing. Not because they knew that something was going on, or they were actually

suspicious, but because it was a thing. And if they shake some salt on Josh, maybe he leaves Metro and he's nobody's problem. There is something you can believe from Officer Zafiris' testimony. When he said that he never wanted to see Josh in this position, that was probably true.

Defense is not allegation that Officer Zafiris manufactured a child molestation charge. All he wanted to do is get Josh out of Enterprise Area Command and out of Metro so there would be no discussion of this slip up. I ask him a question that wasn't completely fair. If I repeat it again, I apologize. I said, you knew one of the people in the car, didn't you. He said, no. Think about it. That's all I'm going to say. That is probably unfair.

He doesn't have to be in with the criminal element to make a mistake. But people with integrity admit to their mistakes. He didn't. He sacrificed Josh to hide his mistake. And Officer Wirey, who's tight with him, helped him do that. The way to do it is by talking to Josh about the Morgan Savage issue in front of Sergeant Clark.

That's all they had to do, or should I say that's all Wirey had to do. Zafiris, for good measure, would have gone home and discussed it with his wife too, right.

Two unusual circumstances are about to collide.

Does anybody here think he's the Defendant because we had

a criminal lottery and it's your turn to be charged with a crime. We don't charge people with a crime by lottery.

There's usually a reason why they're there. But the reasons collided with each other There's no wrong person on either side that knew how this was going to turn out?

On the police side you have Officer Zafiris and
Officer Wirey where they give information to Clark who
sends it to IAB. Zafiris' wife moves that along with Zach
Marsh and Lieutenant Karen Hughes.

On the other side of the line you've got Morgan
Savage, who's young. She's 15. She's emotional. She's
angry at Josh because she's in love with Josh but he's
making her wait until she's 16. She's not -- he's not
letting her date other people she wants to date because
he's judging how old they are. But, yet, he's dating
other people and posting it on social media. She's mad.
And part of her testimony where she says I was on the edge
and I was done. That's absolutely true. There's no
reason not to believe it. But what she doesn't understand
is that the allegation she's about to make is not just
going to cost Josh his job. That it's going to charge him
with the most severe crime we have short of murder.
Fifty-two of them.

At that point Metro interviews Morgan. They don't know what she's going to say. There's no reason for

Dicaro or anybody else like Cho to expect what she's going to say. They literally are doing their job. Morgan chose what she said. She cultivated the information and provided it to them and it turned out to be the basis of 52 counts of bad felonies.

Metro didn't want Josh there, or didn't -- Metro is too big -- people at Metro didn't want Josh there and Morgan wanted to punish Josh for what we're about to get into. Those two things met up and resulted in him being arrested and his career devastated.

Okay. Section 4, the nuk (ph). This all happens because Josh loses his virginity to a girl by the name of Briza Perez in mid-June 2015. We know he's talking to Briza Perez. There is text messages that identify Briza Perez, right. Let me briefly pause there. It's almost like the State didn't read any discovery they gave us. We might forgive them for being busy. But if they read it, they wouldn't have been so surprised that Briza Perez was a person. Remember when I asked Morgan about it. Were you, in fact, mad about Briza Perez. Remember her face lighting up. Oh, yeah.

Then Stacey jumps up, as though disbelieving Morgan, that this was a person. How do you spell Briza Perez. I know her Facebook profile. I know what she looks like.

She had attitude in that. You get the feeling like Morgan

has learned something about Briza Perez before I ever asked her that question.

If the State had read all of that information, they would know Briza Perez was a real person. Then if they knew Briza Perez was real person, they would have read those messages, and they would have seen the discussion about how much fun he had in the jacuzzi.

If the State had talked to Katerina Babin they'd have found out that he was excited about having lost his virginity. You know that Josh used social media. You know Morgan uses social media. Somehow they seem to be abreast of each other's stuff. Even when they're not in direct communication. Then you look at those times and dates in his communications with Morgan. She's mad. There is times where there is no response for days to a text.

Now, the State cherry picks through that. We're trying to hide a secret. What the State doesn't seem to be willing to accept is that Morgan is angry, and we don't know what the progression of threats were from when she first learns that Josh is seeing this girl to when she's has enough.

Is she going to go to his job and say, you know, like he wants to get with me, or we kissed up at Mt.

Charleston, or we ha. She probably reviewed all of these

options. When she decides to fire, she just unloads the whole thing. She just empties the cannon. In doing so, Morgan tells the entire world how she feels about this.

She starts posting memes. I trusted you, but now your words mean nothing to me because your actions spoke the truth. She wants to be in a relationship with him. You think his status was important to her after as long as she waited today be his girlfriend. You gave that up to Briza Perez. And when did he do it. Based on the evidence in here, not just testimony, evidence you'll have back there with you. When did he do it, June 20th-ish. Ten days before Morgan was going to be 16. That was her virginity. And he gave it away. Maybe out of spite.

She posts that meme. She gets together with her girl. They smoke a blunt. And they start letting Josh know exactly how they feel about him. Morgan no longer wants a relationship with him. We know she kept a hell of a lot of pictures, but symbolically speaking, I don't care about you or us or any of this.

She burns it until it's gone. I know I'm long-winded. I'm trying to get there.

THE COURT: With that commentary, I do feel compelled, we've been going for some significant period of time, to ask the jurors if I can see by a show of hands if we need a restroom break at some point.

Seeing more than a majority share for a break at this time, we're going to take a 15 minute recess.

## JURY ADMONITION

During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties and witnesses, on any subject connected with this trial, or any other case referred to during it, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, or any such other case by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, internet or radio.

You are further admonished not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

See you back here at 1:15.

(Brief recess taken.)

THE COURT: Resuming in the trial of State of Nevada vs. Joshua Honea. Counsel for the State, Mr. Honea is present with us. I do want to advise the jurors that when it's time to recess to deliberate that the court has provided a meal for you all. It's waiting for you when you begin your deliberations.

Mr. MacArthur, when you are ready.

MS. MACARTHUR: I appreciate your patience.

When we left off we were talking about the text communication in anger that was apparent in them soon after Josh has this interaction with Briza Perez that he then shares with Katerina Babin and somehow gets around to Morgan Savage being responsible for her anger.

Morgan goes public with her anger. It's hard to say when that happens. You are only getting snapshots in time. You get a lot of things like 21st, 23rd, 24th, 25th, but you don't get a lot of information on what happens in early July. Just snippets that perhaps they might want to speak with officers or detectives to provide more information. We don't know what that information is. We don't know what is being said to Josh by Morgan. We don't know what potential leverage she is referring to.

Kristina brought up something I thought was important. Why would Josh have had a conversation at all about the statute of limitations if he hadn't done anything wrong. The statute of limitations goes on for like 25 years, 35 years. It's ridiculous is this conversation between Josh and Katerina. Isn't it equally relevant where the statute of limitations is if she's threatening to make a false allegation. Does it matter whether what she's saying is true or false, the fact is that it's actionable for a really, really long time. And it's completely dependant on how angry she is and whether

or not she can contain itself or her impulses. There's reason to be fearful because we know what those impulses are. All of you will, if you don't now remember after prompting the social media post she had where she indicated her dating profile and she was living life like a wild flower.

We know that she and Taylor at this time had graduated from marijuana to marijuana and pills. She had not made it, in her downward progression, all the way to heroin yet. But there are pills used. There's no direct evidence as to how often they did it. Taylor said they only did it 3 times. There may be reason to think Taylor may have wanted to minimize that number, but I can't call her a liar.

We know that from Joshes' texts to Morgan's mother that she is interacting with inappropriate people, that she's smoking marijuana again, and that he does not mention the pills.

Again, you are allowed to use your common sense as individuals. Would it appear that Josh is trying to hurt Morgan. It appears he's trying to make contact with Morgan. That he does not rat her out on pills. He rats her out on marijuana, which the mother probably has some inclination that she is already doing it. Regardless of what her testimony was here, we know from other testimony,

including Taylor, that her mom had a medical marijuana card. That they smoked on a regular basis. And that the school had tried to contact the mother, but they couldn't seem to get her in for the counseling session. Chances are good the mom knew about the substance abuse, at least in those stages. So Josh, through those communications, does not appear to be trying to make things worse. He's trying to get somebody to reach out to Morgan, because she's cut him off, and say, hey, Josh, is good guy. You have been close. Maybe you should talk to him.

We don't know how exactly that resolved, but we do know that eventually they started talking together. And we do know from testimony in trial that there were times in which they were, quote, together, further apart, between December 2014, back when they were kissing at Mt. Charleston, all the way to June of 2015, where they're now dating other people and freely discussing it with each other.

Now, whatever it was that set Morgan over the edge in June, persisted and appeared to have gotten worse in July. There is no evidence as to exactly how that occurred, but it's obvious that it did. So Morgan goes to see Detective Cho, who said that it was almost like Morgan brought luggage with her. She brought so much stuff, right. What do we know that she had done a few days before that. She'd

been with Taylor, and she burned the photo after they smoked up and made notes detailing the entire history of Joshes' illicit relationship with her.

Remember Taylor was surprised. She felt like those two were best friends, right. She never heard anything about that. I suppose that's potentially consistent with Kristina's theory this was a secret. A successfully held secret for 4 years between best friends, or alternatively it didn't actually happen and that Morgan did exactly what she told you she did. She tested out her story with her girl. Somebody who is not going to judge her. Somebody who will point out when something might be inconsistent.

There is no guarantee that Taylor Roberts didn't know that the allegations against Josh were actually false.

Nobody told Taylor Roberts that Morgan recanted in front of you guys.

Being a solid friend and not wanting to be portrayed as a liar, all she has to do is support, now, whatever she said then. Even if it wasn't that much. Minimize your drug use. Point out she went to Ohio State. Life is good. And we were best friends.

Morgan didn't describe her as her best friend, which gives you a glimpse how manipulative at 15, 14, 13, 12 and 11 year-old Morgan was capable. She allowed Taylor Roberts to think they were closer then they were because

that suited Morgan's purpose. Not that they weren't friends, or they didn't share each other confidences.

Just there's a difference between the way Taylor Roberts thinks of their friendship and the way Morgan Savage thinks.

Now, after having taken several pages of notes, which you never saw again. That was not part of the luggage that Morgan brought to Detective Cho's office with. You know she provided laptops. She provided a cell phone. She was committed. Those of you with teenagers might want to imagine how angry you'd have to be as a teenager to be willing to give up their cell phone.

And you can make a few assumptions. One, is that she did exactly what she told you she did. The love part of Morgan's testimony is that she points out things that happen back in 2015 that I couldn't possibly influence, or defense team couldn't influence. She pointed out that she had deleted some things, imported other things, and turned it over to Detective Ramirez, who turned it over to detectives and ultimately reviewed by Detective Ramirez.

The call data, her contacts had been deleted. Her text messages had been deleted. Now maybe it's because she knew she was going to turn over her phone, right. If you're going to hand over your phone and you don't want your business out there -- but she told you that she

manipulated things to look like Josh had done things that he hadn't done. How do we know that's true.

First of all, part of the State's case is the allegation that Josh had used Morgan somehow to create child porn. Directed her to do things on Skype and record it, et cetera. The State hasn't shied away from that. They argued it in front of you. But if Morgan had any nude photos of Josh at all, wouldn't she have given those to Detective Cho.

If Josh had any nude photos of Morgan at all,

Detective Ramirez would have told you about. In fact, he
told you Josh did not. So if she was curating and she
really wanted to hurt him, which it's obvious she did,
based on things she said, why wouldn't she have included
those. Those would have made a trial complete relevant,
right. Pictures speak a thousand words.

She provided as much intimate detail as she could, even though it was uncorroborated. Kristina points out how could she have possibly have known about Joshes' penis and the fact there was a dark mole on the under side. The State saw different testimony then I did. They asked her whose penis is it. She was, like, I think it's some guy in San Francisco. First of all, the evidence showed you that that picture didn't come from her laptop. It didn't come from Joshes'. It came from taking a picture of Josh

when he was arrested. They had a search warrant for his body. She had never seen that photo before in her life. She'd already made the allegation. He gets arrested. They take the pictures. It gets added to the discovery. And the first time she sees it, is on the stand. She knows that all of her pictures are possessed by the State, but after two years doesn't remember what they have and what they don't. So she says, it's guy from San Francisco.

Now, maybe that's a lie. Maybe it's not some guy in San Francisco. I have no idea. But if there's any reason for her to know, as the State implies, that that is Joshes' penis and she is just hiding it. When would she have seen that photo. Isn't that entire question to her a little misleading, without giving her information as to where it came from.

Secondly -- pushing on pause -- they said didn't you describe a dark mole being on the under side of the penis. I'm not an expert, starring at penis pictures, but it's hard to know which detail they are talking about. They seem to focus on a hairy foreskin that's darker then other spots. But if you look at her voluntary statement, it was a large dark mole. And the skin they drew a circle around was darker then the rest of the penis, but it sort of looks like foreskin. I couldn't help but notice -- I'd

ask you to look at this if you wanted to --that down to the left of that, there's a small dark mole. It's small. There's a small dark mole down and to the left that might be what she could have been describing. But the circle that the State and she drew with on that foreskin spot. That doesn't appear to be a mole or dark -- somewhat large.

So the question becomes how would she know about. I think you already know the answer to that. How does she know. Because of the conversation she told you back at the hospital and the embarrassing marks that they had on each other's bodies. The kind of conversation that people within a family, if there is trust, might actually have. Not every family is the same. But remember Pam Savage's face when we asked her did you have that conversation. She was like no. Absolutely not. Do you have two Koi fish on your butt. She pauses, then she said, yes. Well, how would we know that. Objection. Sustained.

Well, I suppose there is an abundance of ways to know that, right. But pam Savage said she never talked to us. There's no pictures of Pam Savage inside of discovery. I must have been really, really productive with time when I talked to Morgan Savage for half hour, 45 minutes, or an hour on the second night of voir dire if I managed to cover all of that.

Ladies and gentlemen, she mentioned the mole because she knew it was there. She didn't know where it was exactly. She didn't say near the bottom or top. She wanted to burn him and used whatever information she could, but she thought of it like a 15 year old. She didn't understand things can be proven and disproven by corroborative evidence.

When she goes in to speak to Detective Cho she makes statements that later become inconsistent. The defense feels nothing in the voluntary statement and preliminary hearing is true. But that's up for you to determine what is true. What I want to point out is it's very difficult to remember a story if it's not true. Those details all have to be memorized. It's easier if you witness something yourself. So you'll recall that in the involuntary statement Morgan said that Josh started having sex with her when she was 11 in the 6th grade before she graduated from the 6th grade. In the preliminary hearing, only 3 months later, she said he started having sex with her when she was 12. And you'll remember from Detective Marshes' investigation report he references she's 13.

These numbers are significant. These numbers are reported by people who job it is to document what is said under oath, either in the form of a transcript, or voluntary statement, or in some sort of quality control

report. They don't take those jobs lightly. That's the kind of thing that keeps you from having a job if you can't get those things right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would suggest to you it's not because anybody else did anything wrong. Transcriber's job is to transcribe. The testimony is what it was under oath. The problem is Morgan wasn't able to keep her facts straight. She takes 11, 12 and presumably, at some point, 13. She says in her voluntary statement, well, my mom knew all about it. the preliminary hearing in September she goes, well, my mom didn't know until I told her when I was going to go make the allegations to the police. Why would she say my mom knew all about it then later on back then my mom didn't know about it. She just found out about it recently. Because she was afraid they might try to corroborate her story and her mom would say I have no idea what you're talking about. It could make her look like a liar.

She says that even though Taylor Roberts didn't know anything, I totally shared it with my friend Britney and Paris. She didn't give them any last names. And we certainly didn't have any Britney or Paris present to you as witnesses. If these are people that have known about it since 6th grade, she scrubbed her contacts. As a matter of fact, nobody testified to you that they were

interested in who Britney and Paris were. That's where this case goes off the rails.

While a normal allegation gets investigated for truth or falsehood, there's a little more missing in this investigation because it solves the problem for certain people at Metro, who are not trying to look too hard at the allegation to see if it's legit. They believe it's legit because they want to believe it's legit.

It's obvious. We hear bad things about leaders of the opposite party we vote for. We have a tendency to believe it. Both sides do that. We're not nearly as critical when the information comports or conforms with what he already think is true.

Metro dropped the ball because the people who were not -- Zafiris, Marsh, Lieutenant Karen Hughes -- they didn't go and check to see if they could disprove Morgan's story. They gave her all the credit you want them to give an actual child victim, without subjecting her to too much cross-examination. But Morgan told you that if they had done that, if they would have just looked, they would have seen how clumsy it was. Because she was only 15 back then And now 18. She looks at her statements as something funny. I said, something Funny. Well, it's funny that I said that. I'll use one example and move on.

She said that when I was 11, I had never given a blow

job before. I've done that since then, but I don't know why I said that my mouth hurt. Because it doesn't hurt your mouth giving a blow job. I just described what I thought would happen. That's a statement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The State says, why would she give up all these embarrassing details. Did anybody get the feeling that Morgan was easily embarrassed. It might have been uncomfortable, but given the subject matter, before we even got there, she had to talk about heroin abuse. She had to talk about her and her dad being homeless. You think a false allegation about Josh was the hardest things she had to disclose.

Going forward in the voluntary statement, she indicates early on she doesn't know Joshes' family very well. Kind of knows his grandparents. Doesn't know anybody else. Never met his sister. That's a problem as well. We know from Dara's testimony that Lauren used to drive Morgan home from school. It's a family effort. Josh wasn't always available. Lauren and Morgan knew each other. Joshes' mom obviously knew her. She'd been at their house plenty of times, listening to the radio, Christmas for dinner. She knew who Dara Coleman was. But she didn't want people to talk to people and verify whether this is true. When she thought about it, had a chance to think about it, between July of 2015 and

September 2015, she sought to clean it up.

So in September 2015, she forgets details in the first go around. She's also now met Stacey for the first time. And in pretrial she said to go over the facts to make sure they're clear and you can prove your elements and that the judge understands. But it also has a tendency to cement the story now that it's been told several times, right. And the story that gets cemented, it's obvious that it's false. And she does actually know Joshes' family, but didn't know Lauren back then.

I can't say whey she said she didn't know Joshes' mother, but it seems pretty obvious that's false. So if the State wants you to believe that what Morgan said in 2015 was actually true and because of guilt or changes in circumstances that she is lying now, then it's pretty important for the State to be able to point out how those first voluntary statements and preliminary hearing testimony are in fact true and provable. And they are not. They don't mesh with any of the facts. It doesn't include how she was angry at Josh, for various reasons, or I was texting about Briza Perez, or I was smoking marijuana. Those are immaterial that the State wants you to rely on.

Morgan said she first started having regrets when she testified at preliminary hearing and she saw Joshua in

custody. Bear in mind she's now 16. It's her responsibility that he's in custody. If anybody can guess what her emotional state is, but she told you she became depressed afterwards. And that her substance abuse got worse. The period in which she said was her longest period of sobriety was the year from December 2014, Mt. Charleston, around to sometime in 2015. Now that doesn't comport with what her social media is saying. I think Oxycontin was one of those. Maybe that's the longest period where she had stretches of sobriety.

She said she was depressed, because she saw what this had done to Josh. Think about the position that puts her in. If she now comes out and says, hey, I lied, and I cultivated this stuff and really emphasized impact, what happens to me. And she can't discuss that with anybody. You can't get Stacey's opinion on that. That might be dangerous. It's perjury. State's money has been spent. People are in custody. What do kids do when things get out of control. Those of you with kids, anything like people I know with kids, know sometimes they burrow down. They pretend it's not an issue. That it will go away. That somehow somebody will figure this out on their own. They didn't.

Morgan continues her downward slide. She discovers heroin. She starts living on the streets with her dad.

She goes to Minnesota. We don't have any direct testimony about what life was like in Minnesota. I can't comment on it. But she comes back from Minnesota, where she had been living with an older cousin by the name of Ashley Krueger. She comes back from Minnesota and by her testimony she then went to Monta Vista, for drug treatment regarding heroine. Then she decided she's not going to live with her mother anymore. She went to school for a little while, until she stopped. Then she ran away from home. I'm not sure if that's a depiction, given she was 17 years of age and 11 months -- 10 months. But a missing person's report was filed by her mother. And her mother has not seen her since.

During development of this case she has less and less contact with her family. At least her nuclear family. She's in other states. She's certainly having no contact with Josh. He's incarcerated and would have no way of speaking with her. And if she knows who his attorney was at all, that has since changed. There's no reason Morgan would know who me or Ms. McNeill are.

What she's does have is contact with the State's attorney, Stacey Kollins. Stacey prosecutes these types of cases and probably has a lot of experience with youthful victims. Establishes contact with her by requesting her as a friend on Facebook. Morgan accepts. She

intermittently has communications with her by instant messages. She updates her as to the scheduling of the prosecution and whether she is going to have to appear and the court dates.

As trial dates draw closer, there's a need to do pretrial, to go over testimony, make sure we know where the lay of the land is. And pursuant to that she's at varying times contacted by Stacey or by an investigator from the DA's office. And during those contacts she learns that Stacey's good for lunch, when they meet. Stacey is willing to work on weekends or outside of business hours. Stacey is willing to put her up in a hotel, which has got be valuable to a person who is outside literally in the cold.

That Stacey is willing to give her a ride where she needs to go in order to do that. And that Stacey is willing to make something happen in the area of drug treatment, if she willing to accept it.

These don't make Stacey a bad person. To be fair, someone should be doing that for her. The problem is that Stacey Kollins is an attorney in the prosecution case. She's not an objective or unbiased party.

Morgan told you, better put, she admitted to you that she had never actually told Stacey these claims made against Josh were lies. She just said to in investigator,

I don't want to do this. She says she said it more than once. She admits she did not say these allegations themselves were false.

2.0

You know from investigator Tobbi Capron that both sides are preparing for trial, trying to learn as much information as they can. You know that she had been in Minnesota with Ashley Krueger. You know that the defense contacted Ashley Krueger and obtained information that made the defense want to speak with Morgan Savage. Having not been able to find Morgan Savage out in the streets, but having located her father, her father's car and some of her contacts, did not receive a call back or any sort of contact from the information we left.

Next thing that Morgan learns is that in addition to being good for Facebook friendship, food, hotel, drug treatment or travel, Stacey also good for a jail stay.

MS. KOLLINS: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. MACARTHUR: Go ahead. Mr. MacArthur.

So I don't run afoul of the objection, a material witness warrant was submitted for the court's review. After having evaluated it on its terms where there is a proposition that there may be reason to believe that Morgan is not going to come to court and she is an essential witness, we ask that the court issue a material

witness warrant. Judge Delaney did. It's not directly the State who put her in custody. It is merely the State who asked and the judge granted it.

We know that Morgan was arrested on Monday, November 27th, about 3:00 in the afternoon. Where every single one of you was sitting somewhere in this room listening to me drone on and on.

The next day we did the same thing, right. That's when, according to the investigator, Tobbi Capron, the defense learned she was in custody across the street at the Clark County Detention Center. The State and defense made it abundantly clear that we tried to prepare ourselves by speaking with the witnesses and finding out what it is we expect their testimony to be. The defense has never hidden the fact that it went there, that the investigator was not available, and that there was an unrecorded conversation with Morgan Savage at the jail. The evidence shows that we were -- in the log, reflective had been there for 30 minutes.

Morgan's recollection is that was closer to 45 minutes to an hour. Given that the State's document showing that their own investigator was only there for a half an hour and we were only there for half an hour, it maybe be that half an hour is default. The defense is not suggesting that anyone has lied about that or that there

is something wrong with the document It says half an hour, but that may not actually be the case. Morgan said it was 45 minutes to an hour. There's no reason to disbelieve that.

You heard examination and cross-examination on the notes that were taken in my own handwriting that were submitted to Morgan for review so she could determine if these were correct statements. You know she said they were correct. You know they were my words, not hers. I paraphrased the things she said and that in any event they were not direct quotes, but they go to conversations we had and things she had told me.

Now, you have seen both sides conduct direct and cross-examination. You know that in some instances it can take a long time. But, to the extent the State says that Morgan's testimony changed for the very first time when she met myself and Ms. McNeill is an improper jab.

Morgan's testimony lasted 5 days long. And even with the worst intentions there's just no way to prepare a witness with that many contingencies in 45 minutes to an hour. As Ms. McNeill said in opening, if that was something that we could do, we would be millionaires.

It's altogether more enlightening that the State's position through this trial has been repeatedly that it can never be their fault. That this case didn't fall

apart for them because of something they did or did not do. It has to be Morgan or it has to be the defense.

Ms. Rhoades asked why would we go over there at 7:30.

Ladies and Gentlemen, if I need to answer that, you know exactly where we were between 9:00 and 5:00. What other opportunity would anybody from this defense team have had to meet with Morgan Savage the day after she was arrested on the second day of trial.

I also point out that we started opening statements. We committed ourselves to a version of facts that we couldn't possibly know were true because we hadn't talked to Morgan yet. Why did we spend so much time talking about the whistle blower and how difficult it is to tell the truth. That applies to Josh.

MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, openings were given after the visit. That's not accurate.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. MACARTHUR: If I'm mis-remembering that, I apologize.

Morgan testified for 5 days. We knew she was in custody. And we knew that she was detoxing. It was always as though the State thought she might give up that recantation and it disappointed her by keeping her in custody.

MS. KOLLINS: Objection. There is no

evidence.

2.0

MS. MACARTHUR: I will refer to the evidence now.

THE COURT: Objection sustained. Your perspective is based on the evidence in the case.

MS. MACARTHUR: Please recall the testimony.

Did the defense promise you anything Morgan. She says no.

Did they tell you that she would get out of custody sooner if you denied these things about Josh. Morgan says, no.

And the direct goes on for another day. We saw

Morgan actually detoxing. You saw her doubled over. You
saw her voice go quiet. You saw her when she was perked
up. You saw when she messed with her hair, engaged, and
other times in which she wasn't. She still didn't change
her recantation. Maybe if she got through the detox.

Maybe it's the detoxing that made her recant. So the
State directs her for another day.

Remember the times when Morgan got emotional. There weren't too many. She didn't seem to be overly phased by a lot of things. But she did get emotional when she talked about finding out how much time Josh severed in custody. And it was her actions that did that to him.

Remember her testifying that she might deserve to be in that spot because she had been the one that created this situation. It was clear she didn't want to be in

jail.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's difficult to imagine what detoxing from heroine might feel like, but somehow she had the steadfast purpose of doing justice to Josh. The State wants you to believe that that wasn't real.

Now, individual witnesses -- the most important witness was probably not the easiest to remember or at least the most memorable. Detective Ramirez. The State showed you a whole bunch of pictures of Morgan naked and Joshes' boy biz. It's obvious you were uncomfortable. watched that until I could cross-examine. We took one It was no longer any smiling or squirming in the That was heavy evidence. It wasn't until I had a room. chance to examine him that Detective Ramirez tells you, there were no naked pictures of Morgan on Joshes' computer. There were no naked pictures of Josh on Morgan's computer or cell phones. And that it appears as though some of this stuff had been deleted from both sides. The State emphasized that Josh also deleted text messages and that somehow that was inculpatory. Let's talk about why Morgan wanted to do that at all if she's reporting something that actually happened to her.

Stepping back from that what, the most troubling about Detective Ramirezes' testimony is that the State didn't tell you that in opening. Think about that for a

minute. They let you think that you'd see naked pictures of this underage girl taken by that. They didn't tell you in advance, Ladies and Gentlemen, we admit that none of these pictures here where on Joshes' computers. None of these where on Morgan's. Don't worry, we are going to tie it in later and I believe you'll arrive at a verdict guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. They said letting me be offended or insulted and wondering if defense was going to be able to go through the technical data and make that clear for you. I have to assume since they said there was lots of data recovered from the computer and smart devices that some of you would want the real stuff.

2.0

Things were going back and forth with Morgan for 5 days before we finally did our cross. We finally get to Ramirez and now we don't have to speculate anymore about whether something happened or not. If there's something on here that shows them together naked having sex or one persons junk is on another persons' computer, it's a shorted day at the office.

Dr. John Pacult, certainly everybody noticed that in his testimony it became clear that Stacey had informed him of the State's version of the facts and didn't present him with any information that developed since then, other then the fact that Morgan said I felt manipulated by Stacey. How these things bought me to these places, he knew about

that. When Monique asked him about it, he said, yeah, I knew that question was coming.

Loaded questions. Is that grooming when the State does it. No, no it's different when the state does it. There's no reason to think Dr. Pacult was a liar. There's no reason to call the man names. But at the same time he is only as good as the information he gets. Why would the State not update the expert with the developments as they came out in trial to see if he might be able to shed some light on those.

Why pick Dr. Pacult himself anyway. Just because the man isn't dishonest doesn't mean that the man doesn't know which side of the bread his butter is on. He highlights on one page that his first endorsement is district attorney Steve Wolfson -- graced us with his presence a few minutes about an hour ago. And if you click on that you get an honorable endorsement from the man himself. He lists the DA's office as some of his professional references in his CV. Certainly he testified to that he also performs work for the defense. That may be true as far as I know. He apparently cares about the DA's office if he makes campaign contributions.

MS. KOLLINS: Objection. That contribution was not to the direct attorney. He's misstating the evidence. It's to Judge Jackie Glass.

THE COURT: Sustained.

2.0

MR. MACARTHUR: The State is correct. It's to the current district attorney's wife and former judge, Jackie Glass.

My point is this. That in a case that is so precarious with evidence that seems to be shifting around, why rely on John Pacult in particular. Because they can give him the information they need to get a good response from him and not have to worry about him trying to take into account additional information that we know is true. Again, the State can rescue that on cross-examination. That's not right.

John Pacult knew nothing of Franco Orduno and about the school transfer. That would have been important because what he was operating on is this girl had never had sex before meeting Josh. He manipulated her. And that he governed who her friends were, her contacts, what she did, where she went to school. He said her switching to Bonanza was a big red flag, right. But it's not a big red flag if you know anything about Franco Orduno. And the State does know about Franco Orduno. How do you know. Because they red you the witness list and told you they were calling Franco Orduno. In fact, they actually called Franco Orduno, and we went on a break.

At some point in the middle of trial they felt like

the trial stopped being about whether or not Josh was guilty of a crime and instead defending the officers of the Clark County Police Department and district attorney's office.

Luann Sacchetti, supervisor I mentioned earlier, it's funny how being in retirement makes you not give a damn anymore. About choosing your words the right way. She told you what Marsh told her. And if they are going to say any part of that is dishonest, I want you to remember that 14 days of this 15 day trial were their witnesses. Luann Sacchetti was not called by us. She was called by the State. She is the one that poked a hole in Marsh' boat.

Zafiris, remembers nothing about anything.

Franco Orduno, when the State called him as a witness, one juror in particular was like, hell, yeah.

I'm paraphrasing. Because we know why that witness was important We know that he had had a sexual relationship with Morgan. We knew that they had gone to school together. We knew there was allegations from Morgan that he had taken naked photos of her and distributed them to the football team. We know from testimony --

MS. KOLLINS: Objection. Misstates the evidence. She never testified that he took pictures of her.

MS. MACARTHUR: That's correct.

THE COURT: That's sustained. You may proceed.

MR. MACARTHUR: Stacey is correct. It's not alleged he took the photos. He obtained naked photos of Morgan and distributed them to other members of the football team. From other testimony you know that's called use of minor in production of pornography or possession of child porn.

He also, when he was 17 years of age, was in a sexual relationship with a 14 year old. Through the presentation of other witnesses you know that's sexual assault of a minor under 16. So this individual must be pretty valuable for his testimony if the State is willing to walk that gauntlet to have him testify. Except he didn't. They even went so far as calling him and he didn't.

IS there anybody in here that thinks if he's willing to testify and the State thought that it was going to help their case, that they weren't going to put him on in front of you. Anybody.

What you can take from that is that for reasons unknown the importance of convicting Josh of a speculative crime, at best, made it okay to use a person on who admitted to the same crime to prosecute him.

Sergeant Marsh, he was IAB, and he was the one who

had a turn with supervisor Saccchetti. Apparently he's moved on from IAB. He's now a detective sergeant to traffic. Maybe it was his turn to be in traffic.

Lieutenant Hughes, his supervisor, unfortunately was not here to testify. Nor is she any longer employed by Metro. Appears that Sergeant Marsh couldn't give us any insight as to why that may have been. I guess we are stuck with the knowledge of their participation that is described in e-mails that have been entered into discovery with dates and establishes thereto.

You'll recall testimony of Investigator Capron that she was dropped served at the property listed as her residence in Clark County recorder's office. That her home was occupied. Apparently had 3 to 5 dogs, but that no one came to the door.

Humberto Zerate, he was only up for a few minutes, but know that he did some of the same training as Josh. He's gone on to be a police officer. He has been for two year. But for some reason that man seemed nervous and/or afraid. And that's not speculative, because he told you why. Kristina Rhoades told him that obstruction was a crime. He knew that, right. He felt like that was threatening. He said as an officer he has to come in on a subpoena regardless of who subpoenas him and tell the truth. He had nothing to hide. He was not here for one

side or the other. He had interned with Josh. He made contact with him, but had lessened that, because Josh was under scrutiny and being charged with a crime. He doesn't keep them from being friends, but it keep them from being able to be close friends.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the way out, have fun testifying for the defense, from Kristina Rhoades. Why is that necessary. going to say barbs aren't shared. You've seen things can get edgy. But why does an unbiased representative of the State who is here to seek justice make that kind of parting remark to an officer. Is there any reason the State should be so vested in it's view of events that it ignores other known facts. Or makes witnesses feel uncomfortable in coming in to tell their truth. Was his testimony all that helpful to the defense. Did he provide an alibi that Josh was in France for 2015. literally said, I met Morgan Savage one time. girlfriend had dinner with the two of them at Golden Coral, then went to see lights at the Speedway. We had a good time. Was it a date. No. Did you see them touching each other inappropriately. No. Hand holding. Kissing. No. Was it that damaging. Was it something he had to be threatened for, or if not explicitly threatened, made to feel threatened because he shared that.

Isn't it appropriate that he be called to share that,

given that the State characterized that exact same incident when Morgan described it in her voluntary statement as a date. The State argued that and introduced it. Would it not make since that somebody else who was there come in and tell you know that wasn't a double date. It wasn't like that. And should he have to be afraid that something might happen to him at his job, because he was willing say so.

I said before and I probably will say it again before we're done, if you are wondering what whistle blowing cases look like, you are seeing it. It does not come to be that people reveal themselves. They tell you that this is hocus pokus. It's smoke. It's mirrors to keep you from paying attention to the fact Defendant is guilty of these crimes. If the system were perfect, we wouldn't be here. But we can't make these decisions. You do. It's what you think that matters.

This has taken 3 weeks of your lives, but it's taken 2-and-a-half of Joshes'. It has taken at least 2 weeks of Morgan's. Time that none of us are going to get back.

Morgan denied at trial that she had ever had sex with Josh. That makes every sex related offence alleged by the State false, if you believed her. The evidence shows that to the extent they had kissing contact that Kristina described as lewdness. The information alleged this

happened when she was 14. That's what makes it a crime. Well, technically speaking, lewdness and lascivious acts also have their own definition. But lewdness and lasciviousness, if you believe that definition, will inform you that overtly, also sexual. There's nothing overtly sexual about the kissing you saw.

But you don't have to agree. Maybe some of you would look and say I do feel that is overt. It's a crime if that happens with a girl, child of 14. Look at how she's dressed. Remember that she has her braces from the time Josh meets her, 6th grade, 2011 till some time in 2015 when they come off. She had braces.

MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, I'd object. That is not the testimony.

MS. MACARTHUR: I would ask you to rely on your own recollection.

THE COURT: I believe the objection is appropriate. Proceed.

MS. MACARTHUR: You don't have to guess. You don't have to take pam Savage's best estimate. Look at the clothes she's wearing. Look at the length of her hair and color. Look at the car or vehicle that they are in. Knowing that the blue Ford F-150 was purchased May 2014, and that for it to be winter time at Mt. Charleston, that it would be later in May 2014, December 2014. Just like

the defense directed. You'll see that all of the testimony says that that is when the argument starts and the mutual recrimination and text in an attempt to get Morgan's mom to intercede. This is your friend. Can we talk, December 2014. Not when she was 11. Not when she was 12, 13, 14. The first time they did anything that did not include sex was when she was 15 years of age in December 2014. And Josh did the right thing. He stopped it there. He said we got to make it another 6 months. Maybe we can see other people. Maybe we can see each other and hold out. Use your common sense.

Understand how individual relationships work. The question becomes does the evidence in this case show that Josh did things the right way. That he encouraged Morgan to stay away from drugs. He stayed away from drugs. That he encouraged her to do her school work. She benefited from that. That his family wrapped their arms around her. And she benefited from that. Gave her relief from things that weren't perfect at her own home. Did enough good for her to where her own guilt and immunity from the State against fear from prosecution or perjury after she expressed a concern, I said things that weren't true and without nothing what those things were, the State gives you immunity and bam.

MS. KOLLINS: I'm going to object as to what the

State --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It's speculative and argumentAtive. Sustained.

MS. MACARTHUR: Understood, Judge.

There is no dispute on Wednesday, the 29th of November was the first time that you saw Morgan without the fear that she might be prosecuted for what she had done before. And that's when she gave you it all, all of it.

In her own way Morgan was a whistle blower. She doesn't really want to get too much on her mom, and she seemed to go a little to changing the facts. She didn't want to tell you exactly what the argument was and when it happened. Why it was so severe. It was obvious though, it had to be a pretty bad argument in order to warrant that kind of response. And during cross she slowly gave us that, right. She didn't always agree with me. She was like, what you do you mean, right. I had to ask her in more than one way, which the State characterized as me telling her what she's supposed to say. She's getting pretty smart to do that for a whole day. She eventually revealed to me what she did want me to know. That she had kissed Josh. She did want to have a relationship with Josh. And they did talk about it because they did want to have sex with each other.

MS. KOLLINS: I'm going to object She never said on the stand she kissed Josh She said exactly the opposite.

THE COURT: Obviously, Mr. MacArthur, this is closing argument. You are allowed to make argument from the evidence and how to apply it to the law. Your impression of things and extrapolations to things is improper. I'll sustain that objection in that regard.

MS. MACARTHUR: Yes, ma'am.

If Morgan's motivation is to fix the problem she's created, which she can now do freely because she is not getting prosecuted for lying under oath in 2015, is it understandable that she might want to paint him as completely blameless. She's 18. But nobody in here is, and it doesn't solve one problem to create another. Her credibility was essential to your understanding of this case. And if the defense or anyone else had let her believe that by saying, oh, nothing ever happened that that would fly, then she would have been exposed as a lair by those exact same photos that everybody else knew about, Mt. Charleston, et al. Then she would be completely disbelieved, which doesn't do Josh my damn good. So of course she had to be questioned about that. She didn't want to go there, but eventually she did.

Josh didn't do anything illegal. And you hold onto

that presumption, until the State proves beyond a reasonable doubt that he did. Changing your mind.

Switching you over from the presumption that he's an innocent man to the fact that he is guilty of a crime.

You were never neutral finders of fact. You thought you were, until you learned how the system is designed. You marry yourself and you take a vested interest in making sure you get the right outcome. Will you do that, presuming in that innocence, until it's taken away from you.

MS. KOLLINS: I'm going to object. May we approach.

(Discussion held at the bench.)

THE COURT: Objection sustained. Proceed, Mr. MacArthur.

MS. MACARTHUR: Almost done.

In closing, that takes a lot of strength to do things the right way. We would always like to believe when the time came to do it that we would step up. Even if it's not easy.

Josh did it the right way. When the choice came as to wether or not to do something he wanted to do a lot, that Morgan wanted to do a lot -- they didn't -- it caused difficulties and ultimately it wasn't enough to keep the collision of what was going on at Metro and what was going

on with Morgan from running into each other. But he still did the right way.

His reward for doing it the right way cost him his career. You didn't have to have explicit testimony from his friends, his family as what kind of person he was. It was helpful. But you could see it in what he did. You knew how hard he worked. You knew what he did to get there. You knew how he portrayed things.

MS. KOLLINS: Same objection.

MS. MACARTHUR: There is not a problem here.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. MACARTHUR: You knew he worked a job, in the small snippet you were able to see of it, properly. He wasn't there to hurt anybody. He wasn't there to manipulate anybody. He called it the way they told him to call it. He paid the price for that. That coincidence gave the allegations against him by Morgan that should have been pretty easily disputed by anybody with a skeptical mind, she gave them the legs that put us where we are today.

I have talked for way to damn long. I know you guys saw what I saw. Set Josh free.

THE COURT: Ms. Kollins.

MS. KOLLINS: I'm going to need a break.

THE COURT: We can take a 10 minute recess for

the State to make their closing. 1 JURY ADMONITION 2 During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are 3 4 admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else, including, without limitation, the lawyers, parties 5 and witnesses, on any subject connected with this trial, 6 7 or any other case referred to during it, or read, watch, 8 or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or 9 any person connected with this trial, or any such other 10 case by any medium of information including, without 11 limitation, newspapers, television, internet or radio. You are further admonished not to form or express any 12 13 opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the 14 case is finally submitted to you. 15 See you in 10 minutes. (Brief recess taken.) 16 THE COURT: Resuming in State vs. Honea. 17 Our 18 jurors and counsel and Mr. Honea are present. 19 We'll give the State their opportunity to finish 20 closing argument.

Ms. Kollins, I'll invite you to make your closing argument.

MS. KOLLINS: Thank you, your Honor.

CLOSING STATEMENT

BY MS. KOLLINS:

21

22

23

24

25

Good afternoon, Ladies and Gentlemen. Again, on behalf of Clark County District Attorney's Office, myself and chief deputy district attorney, Kristina Rhoades, Special Victim's Unit, we know this has been a long process and not the time frame you counted on.

I can let you know from the State's perspective we didn't count on this time frame either. Our expectation was Morgan Savage would come in here and give direct testimony much as she did in the past, and her testimony would not have lasted the time it did. And I feel compelled to explain to you why it lasted the time period it did.

Because it is critical in cases like these to put both of those statements in their entirety on the record. Because both of those statements were admitted substantively. I was not going to hand you transcripts and have you go through those and muddle through what she had said. So that's why it took so long. Obviously, by Morgan's demeanor she was not going to give me anything by the time she got in here.

You know, the State welcomes jealous advocacy that insures everyone's rights are protected. Ms. McNeill and Mr. MacArthur have done a great job on behalf of their client. It's probably the only point throughout this trial that Mr. MacArthur and I will agree on is that a

trial is a path to the truth. That is what your job is to figure out what the truth is. That's all. Trials are a search for the truth and the defense in this case has been a multifaceted attack on what the truth is.

2.0

She lied about him back in the preliminary and the voluntary statement, because she was sexually mature. She had boyfriends. She did drugs. She was a manipulating genius back then. Because that's what it got to be. She's a bad kid. She's a really bad kid. That's part of their defense.

There is a Metro conspiracy, and there is also district attorney office coercion.

I'm going stop on that last point before we get to rest. I have been employed with this office for almost 20 years. We don't risk or jobs to manipulate kids. We don't do that There is no reward at the end of the Josh Honea verdict for me. So the fact that I take efforts to secure a witness living on the street, maybe put her somewhere where I can find her. Grab her a Jack in The Box burger. That's not coercion. That's not manipulation by my office.

Quite frankly, I don't think I have sat in a trial where my personal conduct has been disparaged to the point it's been here, but that's not what this trial is about.

MS. MCNEILL: Your Honor, this is not rebuttal

to our closing.

THE COURT: There is no requirement that the State's closing only be in rebuttal to your closing. The State has an opportunity to open and close the arguments in anyway they see fit, according to Nevada case law.

You may proceed.

MS. KOLLINS: I think I told you at the beginning the truth in this case is this was anything about a brother/sister relationship here. And I know you have heard that phraseology a million times. We've been in here for 3 weeks. But the burden is ours, and I would like to refocus you on the truth of what happened is this case.

That's the truth. Those kissing pictures, those are the truth. Mr. MacArthur says we relied on those because that's all we have. He's right. They had sex in secret. They didn't take any video tapes of themselves having sex. We know Morgan's consistent statement through the voluntary, through the prelim, that's the selfie. That's the couple. That's the romantic relationship. That's what this was. This is not innocent conduct waiting for the relationship to start. This is in the thick of it.

Merry Christmas, Baby. You know, Joshua Honea, he's a good kid. There are things about Joshua Honea that are positive and don't think I'm going to stand up here and

degrade every character trait he has because I won't. He did do well in school. He was a Metro Explorer. He was even a positive influence on Morgan up until he started having sex with her. Bad guys hide in plain sight all the time. It happens in these cases all the time.

## Coaches --

MR. MACARTHUR: Facts not in evidence.

MS. KOLLINS: It's argument, your Honor.

THE COURT: It is argument. Overruled.

MS. KOLLINS: Yes, he's a brother. He's a son. He's all those things That does not mean he can't be a sex offender.

Sex offenders have lives. The do positive things. That's how they gain access. I'm not up here to tell you everything ge's done in his whole life is wrong, or bad, or illegal. Having sex with Morgan Savage at 12 is illegal. But I'm not here to character bash everything else he's ever done, unlike the character bashing you heard about Morgan for the last 2-and-a-half hours.

Let's talk about the conspiracy theory, I guess, you call it. I apologize. Really thirsty and really hungry.

Let's start with Zafiris. He's the catalyst. He's in the middle. He goes to Sergeant Clark. What does he have to count on. He has to count on Clark, that's Clarks going to go further. What clark also bases his decision

on is the way Joshua Honea acts in that interview

Remember what Sergeant Clark said. Well, I asked if he

was F'ing her. He gets all squirrelly. He looked away.

Part of what causes Sergeant Clark to go forward was not

only what Wirey and Zafiris told him, but the demeanor of

the Defendant when he's confronted with the knowledge that

people knew about Morgan.

So Wirey had to know what Clark was going to do. And he had know how the Defendant was going react That doesn't make any sense. It truly doesn't.

And, the first time we heard it today when we heard Mr. MacArthur's argument. There is no evidence of that Officer Wirey was also in on this with Zafiris. Is there any evidence in this case that there was some plot by Wirey and Zafiris. His wife isn't out on that call that is supposedly the reason for the vendetta. She's not even there.

He has to know, Zafiris, what action Clark is going to take. He has to know that Clark is going to call IAB. How does he know that. How does he know what direction Clark is going to take. He doesn't. He has to predict how far his plan is going to go.

I submit to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a conspirital red herring. This has nothing to do with Joshua Honea. I'm going to explain it, because we have

been and I knew we are going to talk about it today for a protracted period of time. So I prepared this last night. You don't do that. It's rebuttal. You don't know what they're going to talk about. My assumption was we're going to hear about the grand Metro conspiracy.

2.0

Now, also to get Zafiris in -- I guess to be able to foresee everything, you get enough evidence to get this to the district attorney's office to get this case approved and all of this happened. Or get enough from internal affairs to get a recommendation to get rid of Josh. You get all of this in advance for this to work.

The Defendant separated. Zach Marsh has to close his investigation. I know the argument we just listened to that Zach was on the conspiracy wagon. Zach Marsh has never met Joshua Honea. He's never met him. He doesn't know who he is. He's assigned to file. He talks to Pam Savage. He gives out his card. Morgan contacts him. Zach Marsh doesn't know Joshua. He has no reason to frame, to manipulate, to cause any investigation into Joshua Honea.

That's really sexy TV stuff. That's just not reality. These multi-degade of detectives, sergeants, they are not going to come in here and risk their career for a part-time gang kid who is not even in the Academy yet, who is a volunteer patrol service representative.

That's not reality. It's a sexy TV conspiracy, but that's not the way it works.

2.0

Zafiris also has to know that he can orchestrate the assistance and continued cooperation of Detective Igor Dicaro, his lieutenant at the time, Dan McGrath. He has to know that all of these people are going to be on board All of them. He has no way of knowing that. That is the stuff that grassy knoll are made of. It's not reality. It has nothing to do with whether Josh had sex with Morgan Savage. Nice distraction.

Zafiris would have to know that eventually Morgan would get on board. So if you remember Morgan initially makes no disclosure to SA, and we don't hear about that. Like how does that help the conspiracy when there's no disclosure from Morgan. Then now what's Zafiris to do.

Now Zafiris' allegation is someone got to Karen Hughes. I guess Zafiris' wife, who directed Marsh to finish his investigation. That's not right on the time line. Marsh opened the case day before Josh was interviewed. So the time line doesn't make sense. There is no perpetual conspiracy.

Also, to make this whole thing play out, you've got to have Morgan and Zafiris the same train track. And that's not happening time line shows it doesn't work.

So, finally on this point, because I know you have

been here a long time. Either you buy the conspiracy or you don't, but here's the point to think about. to you the conspiracy and credibility of Zafiris is so hell bent on framing this kid here, why doesn't he come out and say he admitted to me he and Morgan were having Why doesn't we come right out and say I caught them in a situation in An Explorer meeting where they were making out or playing touchy-feely in the car. If he's that help bent, isn't that quicker way to do it. of counting on all of these hoops you've got to get through to get to here. Why doesn't he say that in one of his counseling sessions, one of his many counseling sessions with Joshua that Joshua admitted it to him. Wouldn't that be an easier way to get the ball rolling. If you were going you set up a meeting with supervisor and apparently Officer Wirey is in on it with you and you wait for Clark to extract that info and hope that the Defendant acts the right way during the meeting that makes the sergeant suspicious that gets the ball rolling. Wouldn't it have been easier if you are going to lie because you were in so much trouble on some car stop you would do that. That makes more sense. That did not happen. March 22nd car stop, there is no, zero, zero,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

March 22nd car stop, there is no, zero, zero, nothing, no evidence that Kevin Zafiris was reprimanded, spoke to, did anything incorrect against policy in that

car stop other then the innuendo from Mr. MacArthur. If you go back and look at that CAD -- I'm not going to get it out for you -- the call sign for the person who had the gun drawn is not Kevin Zafiris. It's another call number There was another person out there.

Zafiris testified he was gone by the time the people were arrested. That car stop culminated in a conviction in the case by our office. There is no smoking gun there. There is nothing there. That is a look over here, ignore what you have in front of you.

MS. MCNEILL: Objection to disparaging the defense.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule only because the tenor of closing is clear on both sides. Let's get finished. Overruled.

MS. KOLLINS: The argument just now about the guns on the scene, there is no evidence there was a gun on the scene. The call out was burner. Somebody put 413 in the CAD. When he ultimately caught up with the woman, the burner was a burner pipe, like a meth pipe. There is no gun.

There is no evidence of guns or dope were released to anyone inappropriately. You don't have anything in front of you for that, except for innuendo. You don't have any direct evidence of any of that.

Again, Zafiris was gone. There's no information in the CAD that says he was the one with the gun. There's no evidence guns or drugs were released. He did compliment Mr. Honea, that it was a great stop because he, Mr. Honea, calling radio traffic and ran the plate and officers caught up and did what they did. He was in trouble for having suspect contact, which he knew he wasn't supposed to have. He wasn't a police officer, yet. He's a volunteer. He is not commissioned That's the only disagreement and that's what the text message was about. There is nothing more deeply nefarious about any of that. It is what it is on the surface. Don't speculate about innuendo.

These officers, particularly Wirey and Zafiris really come to you in this trial with a demeanor that seemed like they had it out for Josh Honea. If they really had it out for him, it would be like he did this, this, this, this and said this. It was like, it wasn't like that. I don't remember. I'm not sure. Didn't it sound more like they were trying to protect him then inculpate him.

I submit the evidence, as it comes together, should tell you that in his own statement Josh Honea admits to being counseled many times by these young officers. And they did feel protective over him. They came in here and they were still a little protective of him. They weren't

giving huge pieces of information on Josh.

After Josh is spoken to and in that counseling sessions he's contacted by a sexual assault detective, he knows that it's time to figure out what he's going to say if this hits the fan. I submit to you the evidence shows that too. What does he do. He starts to build his defense. Josh is a smart kid. He was able to keep this information about this relationship with Morgan, the nature of it, from Pam Savage, from his family, Explorer advisors. He's a smart kid. So what does he do. He starts building a defense.

These come from his IPad. Any messages he has from Morgan that he believes in his head makes his position defendable, or they are slight of character on Morgan, he saves them. Otherwise there is no reason to really have them in there. He's not saving the positive lovey-dovey things, waiting to date her, saving all the stuff that makes Morgan look bad.

He's saving the Zanex. Why does he have that on his IPad. He's building a defense. He's a smart kid. I'll never stand here and tell you he's not a smart kid. He is educated. He comes from a nice family. But he's building a case because he knows it's about to hit the fan and he better have something to put out there to show what a bad kid Morgan is.

What does he do. He goes fishing on OK Cupid. Now if he is truly, truly concerned about Morgan's welfare at this time and what she's doing, wouldn't it be more consistent that he would write that note and delete that screen shot because he wouldn't want anyone to know. Of course, it is. He's building his defense. He is preserving that.

Now it's here Now it's here. And this Zanex post was used. This, I don't remember if Mr. MacArthur used the OK Cupid one. It was used to put Morgan's character into play, as if it hadn't been trampled on enough just by virtue of who she is. This was designed and orchestrated to cast dispersions on Morgan. Same with the holding herself out on OK Cupid as Morg, hippy hop.

Let me stop there for a second. I want to be -- I want to make a point before I forget. Rebuttal is not quite linear like the first closing. All this bad stuff about Morgan, she's on drugs. She's been using drugs for years. She smokes pot. She's basically grown up unsupervised. She ditches school. She's in this bitch club, whatever it was called. We never heard of that before. We heard it yesterday. All those things that make Morgan a bad kid also make Morgan the perfect victim. Victims are victims for a reason. I want you to think about that. But all the efforts they've gone to is to

make her a bad kid.

Again, the Defendant continues to build his defense.

Another hippy hop. This is from her Twitter account.

Nothing disparaging there. Don't know why that's preserved, other then maybe the user name.

If they're not together at the time and there's back and forth communication about him being interested in her, wouldn't you think anything preserved would be positive and would shed Morgan in a positive light. This young woman you spent 4 years with waiting with baited breath for her to turn 16 or 18, whatever it is, to preserve anything positive about her. No, everything was negative. He's still building a defense.

He also -- this text message from Kevin Zafiris, again, that comes from his IPad. That doesn't come from a phone. So there was a special effort taken to move that from a telephone or from the IPad messenger and preserve it. What is the point of preserving this right here.

Other then to show that there is some disagreement with Kevin Zafiris. Why would that be important unless you are building your defense.

Mr. Honea, you'll be able to read this back there. I apologize. You can't read it, but on May 23rd, after the separation, after his interview with Detective Dicaro and Sergeant Comiskey, Josh writes this letter. And in this

letter he disparages Kevin Zafiris and he's had problems 1 2 with him. And basically Kevin is trying to ruin his career. He writes this to Sheriff Joe Lombardo. Writes 3 4 this to Joe Lombardo. I submit to you, one, that's pretty 5 arrogant. MS. MCNEILL: It's speculative and 6 7 argumentative. Same objection. 8 THE COURT: Can I have counsel at the bench just 9 briefly. (Discussion held at the bench.) 10 11 THE COURT: Objection is overruled. You may 12 proceed. 13 MS. KOLLINS: Thank you. I'm really trying to 14 finish up. It's been a long day. 15 In any event, Joshes' interview with Detective 16 Dicaro, if you recall he's -- that is April 1st -- he's saying what the great guy Officer Zafiris is. He's a 17 mentor, a great guy. He was evasive about the Morgan 18 19 thing, but he's a great guy and mentor. That's not what's contained in this letter to Joe Lombardo after things 20 21 don't go his way. He's building his defense. Very, very telling to you. Should be the notion that 22

23

24

25

He's not suppose to be logging on and screen-shotting what is going on with his own investigation. Again, when you look at these in the exhibit, you'll we able to read it up here. It's not that clear. That is a screen shot of what is called a P-1 note. If you look at the coordinates I believe you will see that it was taken from Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department headquarters.

2.0

This is found on Defendant's IPad. What we know is his access would have stopped on May 18. So between his interview with Detective Dicaro and Sergeant Comiskey and his separation, he's monitoring the investigation. He knows he's not supposed to be doing that.

All the while expressing that he wants to be number one in the Academy. That he's a great officer He's a great VPSR. He's a great gang employee. But he's doing what he's not supposed to do.

These are screen shots. Again screens we're looking at are in an internal Metro computer. They are not accessible by the public. This is something they use internally to monitor cases that are open, closed, investigative stage. They are screens that talk who about who signed, when they're signed, and what's going on with the case. All of those are preserved to Mr. Honea's IPad. I would submit to you you don't get out there and monitor what you shouldn't be, if you're not interested in the

outcome of an investigation because you know you'er still in danger.

You have to remember at this time between the time of his interview and his separation he's talking to Morgan.

Morgan hasn't disclosed yet, but they're talking. They are talking back and forth about what I said, what I didn't say. Called my mom.

I want you to think about what you saw in this case. Did you see a real relationship in the history of everything you saw that came out through the voluntary statement, preliminary hearing, photographs, observations by officers of them together. Even Morgan admitting they were together all the time for a period of time. Did you see a real relationship or see something that wasn't a boyfriend/girlfriend relationship, and was just brother and sister. Think about all of the evidence in total and decide.

They meet. She's very young. She's 11. All those things that we've heard about from Pam Savage, what a bad mom she is. I'm not here to make Pam Savage a hero. I don't think she was a good mom to Morgan. But my opinion doesn't matter. The facts are the evidence. She was left alone. She didn't have a lot of supervision. And Morgan was prime selection for a situation like this. Whether it was Mr. Honea or someone else.

They meet at a young age. Josh begins to control her. They are constantly together. Josh, in his first statement, says maybe once every two weeks. Then later it comes out it's 4 or 5 days a week at the beginning.

Morgan let's you know at the prelim and from the voluntary statement that in the beginning they were together all the time. Pam Savage probably wasn't doing her job. But this case isn't about State of Nevada vs. Pam Savage. She didn't get prosecuted for anything she did with Morgan.

This case isn't about her. I submit that she could have made better decisions. She could have had tightened the reins on her daughter, but she also trusted him.

That was by design. That was by Mr. Honea's design. You know what he would do to get access. Make everybody comfortable. Because if his actions showed mom that something might happen, she would have never let this kid be around him from the very beginning.

I want you to think about what you know about the longevity of their relationship. They break up. They have reunions. These aren't friend break-ups. I'm not talking to you because she didn't walk to school with me. These are break-ups. These are arguments. Morgan is crying. She's upset. There is phone calls. There's arguments. That's not how you argue with a brother and sister relationship. All of that is evidence of boyfriend

girlfriends behavior. Their behaviors are consistent with that throughout this case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I asked you a lot of questions during voir dire about kids being sought out and groomed. There are also questions from the defense about what would it take for an adult to get control and management over a kid or influence a kid. I think those words are designed in part any way to what influence did I have over Morgan in orchestrating this whole prosecution and getting this all together. But truly those are important questions. What influence can an adult have over a young kid. I know the Morgan you saw here, I know you probably didn't like her. I got news for you, I didn't like her in here either. That was not enjoyable. That was not the way the State would prefer to impart evidence on a jury that is devoting your time to us. But she is a product of everything that's happened to her.

And when she was 11, she wasn't the girl you saw in here. When she was 12, she wasn't the girl you saw in here. The woman -- she's a woman now. When she was 13, she wasn't what you saw in here. And you know from what you heard from Mr. Pacult what it takes to get to a kid and hear him talk about a kid that's vulnerable. Grooming is preparing them for sexual contact.

In the first year, 6th grade, when he was still a

senior in high school, they didn't have sex. But you know what they had. They had the romanticizing, the phone contact, the flirting. Then it started with kissing. He was admonished for taking her out to the car, but this activity grows. And part of the explanation for why you saw Morgan as you did in here is how long this relationship went on and how she did feel. Morgan walked in here and when she saw Mr. Honea she started crying. That grooming, that control that started when she was 11 and lasted until she was 15 almost 16, that control lasted until she came in here.

I submit to you grooming was emotional. It was romantic. It was positive. You know, the dinners, the trips, the movies, all of that. It was negative. It's going to get your mom in trouble. It has to be a secret. It's going to get me in trouble. All those things work to shape that form that dynamic. I think that was really evident in this case to you from Morgan.

He demands secrecy of her. He injects himself into the adults around her and makes the adults around him, even in his own family, not question his conduct. No one around him is questioning what's going on with Morgan.

Because probably, in a lot of venues Josh is a good kid, and every single one, except the one, that involves his obsession with Morgan Savage.

Even Mr. Pacult told you these kids are the product of this for awhile. They are going to thrive on the positive. They are part of the guilt. They are not going to want to come in here and talk. I submit to you had I known, after I passed the venire for cause, we would have had protracted discussions with you as jurors about recants and how those came about and what your thoughts on those were. That wasn't something that was ever the State's intention to spring on you, okay. That was an over-night development. Otherwise, if there was some of you who had some strong feelings or thoughts that that would never happen, we would have had discussions about that.

John Pacult identified the things within relationships between Morgan and Mr. Honea that he thought were attributable to grooming. The age differential. The power differential. Holding himself out to be Metro. At one point she said I thought he was safe. She's a kid. He has a uniform on. She doesn't know the difference. To her that's powerful, safe.

Probably one of his latest efforts to control her is during that telephone call when Detective Dicaro is there Lieutenant Ray Spencer is there, and he is on the phone. He's smart, no victim, no crime. No victim, no crime that just parrots and echoes what he's telling her for years.

Don't say anything. Nobody knows. It worked for a minute. It worked for a minute until she got to an age she decided she had had it.

I want to talk to you about the believability of the recant. Over 800 days Morgan Savage has had opportunity after opportunity to reach out to this office through my investigator, through anyone in my office and say this didn't happen.

We've had discussions. Yes, I went and found her in an alley with the assistance of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. Never said it then. Never said it was a lie. If that had been known the decision might have been made, but, again, 7:30 at night after learning about punishment now it's a lie.

If you notice she did a lot up here to help that side of the room. At first she denied that punishment was ever discussed. Remember that on the stand with me. No, we didn't talk about punishment. We had to replay a hearing from the previous day where Mr. MacArthur asked her the question about -- I don't know how -- I don't know how he phrased it. He spoke about punishment, and I didn't give you a number, but that I told you that Joshua could go to prison for a long time.

MS. MACARTHUR: Objection, as to that having been said by anyone.

THE COURT: There was discussion earlier in the court that indicated there was testimony about a long time. I'll let the jurors recall whether the modifier would apply that that tracks to that discussion.

MS. MACARTHUR: Thank you.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. KOLLINS: So after disclosures to Detective Cho, you saw that interview after testifying at the prelim, then on November 29th, 2017, everything I previously said categorically is a lie, except for the things she can't deny or things that are helpful for Mr. Honea. I submit to you she's still under his control. Even though she is on her own, even though they have been separated this 11 to 15 years old, that's not only -- 21 to 24, not that big a deal. Twenty-five to 29, not that big a deal. An 11 year old, preteen to teenager who's getting ready to drive, those developmental years or huge. And he was in control of her for all of them. Basically raised her and taught her what he wanted her to.

Is the recant believable, in light of the things you know. Do you call your sibling, baby, and put it in a Christmas collage. Do you kiss your sibling on the lips and touch her on the butt. No. No. I heart my brother. No.

What is reasonable. Is it reasonable to try to

squeeze these photographs into a couple of months and say, well, you know, this is just Josh waiting. No. There is protracted, long series of photographs of these kids together all the time. This was boyfriend, girlfriend.

Ms. Rhoades hit on this. Morgan had that photo album in her room with her belongings, presumably. Notably none of the kissing pictures are in that album. None of those because what if somebody found the pictures that were in there, they would get Josh in trouble. The kissing pictures, the ones that were in the computer, the ones that aren't printed out, those are the ones that get Josh in trouble. That's why they stayed on the computer.

If she was motivated and hell bent to get him in trouble, why didn't she just bust out the kissing pictures right out of the gate. Get them right out of the gate. Why didn't she print those out and go into Detective Cho, there you go. I'm done. That's what was going on for years and years. You know why. Because she didn't plan that story. Se didn't orchestrate or manipulate, monopolize a version of facts at 15 years old. I submit to you she wasn't that sophisticated. If she was she would have grabbed the best evidence and plopped it down. She wasn't. She's just a kid answering questions about the truth.

Now, we've heard a lot of reasons about why Morgan

made it up, how she's capable of making it up. What prompted her to make it up. How satisfied she was at the notion of framing Josh. Wow. Wow. Just more bashing. She's a bad kid. Bad kid, she's a drug user. Again, it plays both ways.

She made it up because of her exposure to crime novels. Remember the questions about crime novels.

Well, does that make, probably, anyone who's read a crime novel, a 15 year old, that makes them able to come up with a story to a tape recording, go back to court, testify to the same conduct because you read a crime novel.

Good fight. I love the fight. First there is this fight. And on direct examination -- and your memory is your own -- but I submit to you Morgan could not give me a reason for that fight in the 4 times I asked her. Could not give me a reason. The first time it was kind of a fight that spanned over several months, but couldn't remember what started it. Then she narrowed it down to January or February. There is a fight, but we still don't know the reason, but that's the reason that I made up all this stuff about Joshua, because of this fight.

Now, not until 5 days later, which again, I apologize for it, but I have to do my job the way I have to do it.

I'm sorry it was painful. Five days later, first time,

cross-examination -- more like direct examination because

Morgan was really a defense witness at that point. We hear that she is mad because he lost his virginity. Now, let's juxtapose that. Back to the statement given my Joshua Honea. Is there anything in there about mad about losing his virginity. No. It's not there.

Now, where this gets kind of crazy is Mr. MacArthur argued today that the virginity loss is in June, July and that's what made her mad. Katerina Babin got to get up here and speak about her conversation with Mr. Honea places it in March, April. So that means she waited from March and April, all the way to July because she is so mad because he lost his virginity. So mad bout what happens. Doesn't make sense.

The time line is a hot mess. And she couldn't get her story straight in here. For a kid that's so smart, in 2015 to be able to put her story together and come back and reiterate it in front of another judge in another courtroom, she certainly couldn't get her recant lined out. Couldn't get that time line right. That's because it didn't happen that way.

When I spoke to her, you know, you saw her demeanor up here. Was she responsive. Was she appropriately responsive. Did she want to help me answer her questions. Juxtapose how she acted in here at 18 to the kid you saw on the video tape in her interview with Detective Cho.

The questions from Cho, open-ended. She would narrate to Detective Cho. I had to lead her line, by line, by line through 120 pages of voluntary statement, a 200 page probable cause hearing because she wasn't going to tell me anything. Because she is going to protect him, still, still.

This point bears repeating. She came in here time, after time, after time, after time and said to me, I made up all those details, all those details to make everything I was telling you believable. My details make me believable. She couldn't give one detail about her recant, other then blanket lie, blanket lie. Unless it helped Josh in here.

She gave all those details to make everything so believable, but she never testified or gave you solid motive about that fight. She never did. One fight, a single fight, it bears repeating. Literally, for someone who is so careful to calculate those details of a believable statement, you'd think that this would have been handled in here.

If you remember, go back to defense opening, the catalyst for Morgan's motive was that she was mad because he violated a confidence with mom about weed. Wow. That has nothing to do with virginity loss. I mean, those stories couldn't be more disparate and more non-linear, if

you will.

You certainly can't reconcile what she had to say in here with what Josh Honea had to say in his interview with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. Because he says never romantic, no way. Didn't think about it. Didn't talk about. I never kissed her. All of that, deny, deny, deny, deny, deny, deny. Initially on direct in here she tells me, not sexually attracted to him. On cross, well, yeah I was waiting for him. I was attracted to him. We've been talking about a relationship for a long time. We talked about being on the brink of this relationship for a long time. Not what Josh told the police.

She was mad because they couldn't be together. Josh never told the police that. Josh never told the police, this little teen age girl is coming to close, and I shot her down. And she's pissed. And she's coming after me. Never said that. He was just big brother, mentor. Big brother, stick my hand in the beehive and get stung. That response in that 19 page narrative as a response to the question, how do you know Morgan. And he goes on, and on, and on, and on. You never hear any of this.

Never says she's a woman scorned. Never says she's after him. Never says they discussed a romantic relationship.

Again, my direct examination felt more like a

cross-examination, but she tells the State that she doesn't remember Defendant ever talking to Franco.

Remember that on direct exam. She wouldn't even give me that.

Mr. MacArthur gets her, she says the conversation happened and Defendant threatened Franco so he would leave her alone. Remember that. She couldn't give me that conversation.

The burning of the photos. It's funny, the being with Taylor and the burning of the photos and smoking pot and them writing the notes about what happened. Then Morgan has her interview. Isn't that just as consistent, the burning of the photos, when she's just turned 16. She's in her prelim transcript, and I think in her voluntary statement she just finally got to the point where, what is happening. Is this my life. This is wrong. He shouldn't be with an 11 year old. She's mad.

She said in Minnesota her feelings for Josh turned to hate. Isn't that outburst just as consistent as her burning those photos. Just, this man, this grown man has had control over me since I was 11. That's just as consistent with that.

Again, she comes in here and said she felt strong about the Defendant. She's frustrated there was no relationship. That they were off and on friendship.

That's the reason they were fighting. Now it's because they couldn't be together. Never heard that before. That was all designed by Morgan to help him in here. Because she harbors guilt. She harbors responsibility for that relationship. Right. Wrong. Right. That's what happened. That's what you saw. Your job is to find the truth. I submit to you what she said is here is not the truth.

She did with me, remember, she denied all of that to me that she ever had feelings for him on direct. All of a sudden it becomes helpful to Mr. Honea on cross-examination.

Everything -- I want to you think about how she spoke up here. Everything he had to say to you reverted back to it's my fault. I'm a bad kid. I did this. I did drugs. I was in trouble all the time. Even the school witness corroborate that. Everything she had to say about herself, all of that self-loathing, I guess, sounds just like she is parroting the Defendant's statement to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

She went so far as to say, yeah, I went to sex abuse counseling, but I didn't participate. I didn't participate. How credible is that. You go to session after session of sexual abuse counseling, the preliminary statement you talk about being sexually abused, but you

terminated from that counseling. I submit to you that's because she was sexually abused from a young age. She went to that counseling. I don't know whether she finished or not, but she went. Her mom paid for it.

2.0

I know you have been in here a long time. I want to hit on some of these facts, more commentary. But I want you to think about these details that came out of the prelim and her voluntary statement that should ring credible to you. It should ring true to you. It should ring to you as part of the truth. Things that, details that make the story compelling. Certainly not the details that you were given in the recant.

The Defendant tells her get down in the back seat so she is not seen. She goes out with Metro police. She shows them specific location where she was sexually abused. She contrivers the detail that Defendant tell her the make your mom believe you are sick so you can stay home. Picked her up at CVS. Why that detail. Why that. The birth control, stops using condoms. There was a waiting period between the birth control and stop using condoms. Is that a salient detail. Is that something that happened or is that made up. Those are salient, believable, truthful details.

The detail about not being able to have sex early on because of a physical disparity. That is a good salient

descriptive detail about sex. She tells you that she had no friends. Why does that make the story more believable. A salient detail, she's isolated. She's isolated because of her interaction with the Defendant. She told him no for awhile. That he was angry. He was angry when she went to Minnesota. That's a salient detail.

That the first time they had sex she was at Taylor's and Taylor told you about that. She didn't know why she was leaving. She knew she was going to go see a boy. Salient detail that can be corroborated by someone else. You think she was such the great forecaster that she knew that, well, Taylor will be able to say there was a summer when I just turned 12 and we had a sleep over. No, because that happened.

Again, telling mom sick and sneaking out to see him. She grew up and one of the things she said was that she realized that the things that Josh is doing were designed to get close to her mom. He became angry when she brought friends. Is that a believable detail. The Defendant didn't want anyone else around but Morgan. The one story she tells is he picks her up at Boca Park, she's got a friend. He gets pissed off. Is that real. Of course it's real. That he'd come up with this brother/sister. That he'd come up with this notion of how to label the relationship. That's real. That's a salient detail.

He had passwords. What is the purpose of letting the detective know that the Defendant was in possession of her passwords. How does that -- is that something that a 15, 16 year old is going to contrive a few years ago. Trying to make the story sound better. Juxtapose to the recant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

She made the decision. Well, she even said before she made the decision that somebody needed to know the truth. She made that decision. That's consistent with her time line and everything she did.

If you notice back when you look at the tape -- go watch the video -- she thought her mom was going to get in trouble there. We mentioned her mom in here. That made her get emotional that her mom was going to get in trouble. That is a real detail. That is emotion, not fake. In that video interview with Detective Cho that emotion was real. That is one of the primary first concerns, I'm afraid my mom is going to get in trouble. It's going to be by the hands of Josh. That's what she thought. That emotion was real. That is not -- in that video subject to her being able to be an actress. she's being an actress, she didn't do a good job in here.

The frequency and quality of their time together. I know you've seen these pictures ad nauseam. Oh, my God, there's Disneyland, Carlsbad, I get it. Four years, 11 to

15, four years. There's dinners, concerts. First she says 3 times a week. Katerina Babin comes in and says she's with him all the time. You have to think of the time line. The Defendant graduated high school in 2011, when he was finishing 6th grade. So that was when Katerina Babin was in school with the Defendant. The Defendant didn't start being a volunteer patrol service representative right when he out of high school. He didn't start as a part-time employ with the gang unit.

service representative right when he out of high school. He didn't start as a part-time employ with the gang unit He actually had another job before that. He didn't have -- he still was a metro Explorer when he got out of high school. So there's all this frequently early on, this kind of --

MS. MACARTHUR: Objection. Did we have somebody testify to this fact in evidence.

MS. KOLLINS: What facts in evidence.

THE COURT: I recall there being testimony from the prior statement.

MS. MACARTHUR: All right. I'll withdraw.

THE COURT: The voluntary statement and preliminary hearing.

MS. KOLLINS: So what it's designed to do is give him an alibi. I am way to busy to have time to access this kid. You can go back and look at the time line. That's not the way it was. He just graduated high

school when she was leaving 6th grade. He turns 18 that spring. There's never been a 4 year age difference between them, so that was also inaccurate.

You've seen all of this. I'm going through it. This is four years. Hotel, that's a hotel bill, Carlsbad.

They went together. They stayed. That corroborates.

That's preserved on Defendant's IPad. I don't know when he would keep that, but he did. Years and years of contact. It's not like your best friend that lives down the street and you have a beer once every two months, or you go to a movie once a month or twice a month, or go to dinner. Look, there is so many photos and tickets and events. This is not brother and sister. It's not.

Valentine's Day, nobody else with him, ever. None of these pictures ever do you see anybody else but those two when she's underage and he's an adult. That's by design.

His 21st birthday, there's that one last picture when they do the search warrant in his room. One last picture. By the time Joshua was arrested he has had time to clean out everything. You notice there is no -- there's just some cutesy pictures on his IPad -- Morgan with the dog, Morgan with her mom, but everything is gone. The only hard copy picture left of Morgan they find in his room, bottom drawer, in the closet, under a bunch of clothes.

All that stuff, that's been sanitized.

Why do you sanitize if you're not doing anything wrong. There's no reason to. If this kid is just your brother and sister, why don't you have photos on the wall. Why don't you have everything laid out with Morgan, if this is so open and natural and there's not a problem. You don't because you know, you know you're not supposed to be with her. You know you're not supposed to be with her alone. You know you're not supposed to have anything sexual with her. So you just can't let go of that last picture though.

She has to come up with an explanation for the mole. You go back there and you decide, mole, circumcised. She comes in here and tells me, oh, it's so and so. The picture isn't sexual, so I don't know why she would think that that would be some sexual picture of this other guy. I wouldn't know. That's what she said to me.

Defendant's conversation that he has while this investigation is pending with Katerina Babin, Humberto Zerate, Joe Belmonte, those are damning questions that he's asking. That's damning information he's exchanging. Discussing the statute of limitations for sex cases, I know Mr. MacArthur gave you a very eloquent explanation about why he's having that discussion, but that just sounds guilty. How he's asked if Morgan keeps talking --

something like. That he sends text messages, like he's wondering about Morgan and internal affairs. We know he continues after Morgan doesn't disclose. She even said that. I don't think she meant to, but she did. That he was continuing to talk to her asking her about what was going on.

Super telling about this case. I submit to you the fact we step back and take a look at what the truth is.

The Defendant acts like somebody who is de-friended or did he acts like this was a break up.

He's texting. He's calling. He's driving by. He's having his family call. He's contacting Pam. He's pissed off he's losing control and he's afraid he's going to be exposed. So as soon as they start to separate he's acting like a dumped boyfriend. He's not acting like a friend. He's afraid that he's going to get caught, and now that's when the text messages to Pam about Taylor, weed, all of that. Now we've got to come up with a reason why Morgan is mad at him. To her mom anyway. The fall back, what a bad kid Morgan is. Just a bad kid. She's a druggie. In here on heroin. She's a bad kid.

Compulsion to be around this young woman for a period of years to control her and to outward appearances take care of her and guide her, I think were her words. It really turned into a compulsion for him. Sex is a

powerful tool. He says that he has repeatedly warned about his contact with this woman, repeatedly warned. And his explanation to Metro is, well, I'm just such a great guy. I help everyone. I'm a big hero and a big savior to adolescents. That's ridiculous.

He's attracted to this young lady. He's obsessed with this woman. He can't let go. And his compulsion drives him to a point where he finds himself where he is today. Because now he's exposed. If he would have set Morgan aside and buried that relationship, at least in the eyes of the people at Metro that kept telling him, dude, you are too old for her. What is going on. If he would have set her aside and put her away, nobody would have every found out that his compulsion was so strong to stay with this kid that he risked everything. That is not a brother/sister relationship. That is a relationship like a marriage, driven by sex, driven by control. That is not a brother/sister relationship.

You know, he risked his career. He did. He did that, not these people. Zafiris, Wirey, Samples, Dicaro, they didn't risk his career by doing their job. He risked his career.

I think Mr. MacArthur said, you know, all these people are in cahoots, maybe not Samples. The only credible officer you heard from was Humberto Zerate, and

he really was coming in here to do the right thing. He's the only one. I want to talk to about the insinuations made with Mr. Zerate briefly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Zerate was asked in our office, did you have a conversation with Joshua Honea about the investigation about Morgan. No. Did you talk to Joshua Honea about investigation regarding Morgan. Answer, no. Mr. Zerate, here's a text message exchange between you and Joshua Is that you, yeah. Well, talk about Morgan, Honea. I don't remember. Talks about IAB. right. I don't So to sit here and insinuate that that young officer was threatened by Ms. Rhoades, certainly, if anyone is risking his career. He just sat in the district attorney's office and lied about what conversations he obviously had. We weren't trying to intimidate him. Young officer, like, dude, are you sure. Because it's your number and his number. You just told me none of this happened. So what's going on.

Did I call him as a witness. No. I don't need to inject that into the case. He denied his statement, so it is what it is.

Joshes' compulsion was so strong, he's warned by all these officers. He's warned by Pam in text messages. I'm going to get a restraining order. She knows he has been driving by. She know he's had family members contact

Morgan. That's not just coming from Morgan. 1 2 coming from Pam too. What's going on. What is this 3 happening. 4 He still keeps going back. He keeps going back. He's driven by that compulsion. He has a meeting with 5 6 Jeff Clark where he's questioned. He still can't stay 7 away from Morgan. 8 I know that you have been in here a long time. A 9 couple of points I would like to make. Mr. MacArthur made 10 a lot of argument about December 2015. The Defendant was 11 in custody December 2015. I don't know --12 MS. MACARTHUR: Objection. Misstates. It's 13 2014. 14 THE COURT: Let me make sure I understand your 15 objection. Are you correcting prior argument you made, 16 Mr. MacArthur. 17 MS. MACARTHUR: At the intermission I said 2015, when I was talking about December 2014. That is 18 19 abundantly clear. Just trying to save time. THE COURT: Sustained. 2.0 MS. KOLLINS: Josh never admitted to that kiss 21 in that interview. I think that's critical. He never 22 23 admitted to interest between he and Morgan. I invite each 24 of you to go back and watch the interview. Those Mt. 25 Charleston pictures, if you recall, Pam Savage said Morgan got her braces off May of 2014. So those pictures would
have been --

MS. MACARTHUR: Objection, misstatement on the time she got her braces off.

MS. KOLLINS: That's what I have, so I guess the ladies and gentlemen are free to look through their notes.

THE COURT: I'll let the ladies and gentlemen of jury find it in the evidence as they recall it in the evidence.

MS. KOLLINS: So I submit to you the Mt. Charleston pictures are December 2014.

This is the part of rebuttal where I try to look at Mr. MacArthur's statement to see if there's anything I find that I need to comment on. I can't plan ahead for that. I took notes so bear are me a little bit.

I did think the reference to Training Day was kind of ironic, given that the lead chair is this very polished, very well-respected, outwardly appearing great guy, everyone loves him, and you find out at the end he's a bad guy. That was an ironic reference, given I submit to you, that Josh had a positive exterior to all those around him for Morgan. And that was by design.

Mr. MacArthur told you Pam's interviews were not recorded. That is inaccurate. Pam's interview with internal affairs was recorded. Her interview with Las

Vegas Metropolitan Police Department was recorded. I think Sergeant Marsh and Detective Dicaro testified to that.

2.0

There was a big deal about Zach going to talk to Luann Sacchetti. Zach Marsh tells you he goes to talk to any potential witnesses. I don't know what Luann said he said. Metro should have wanted to get rid of him. They should have wanted to get rid of him by his performance or what they subscribed about Morgan. He's a part-time employee. He's not a commissioned officer. Why start out with that problem. They should have wanted to get rid of him. Zach Marsh also told you he went and talked to people that would have worked around Joshua. So that was fair game. That wasn't them trying to torpedo his career.

There is no evidence in this case about Officer
Zafiris' wife except innuendo that there is some
conspirital link between that March 22nd car stop and
Kevin Zafiris not wanting to get in trouble. And then
getting into trouble that we don't know about. And him
going to Katie to direct information to IAB to start that
investigation. There's no evidence of that. It's all
innuendo. That's not the truth. Don't be distracted by
that.

MR. MACARTHUR: Objection. They get to decide

what the truth is.

2.0

THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. KOLLINS: Katerina, I mentioned earlier her description of the phone call where Josh had sex and was so excited about it was March, April. That doesn't jive with Morgan's time line.

There is some insinuation that there is chit chat back and forth in late June early July that Morgan is threatening false allegations. There is no evidence of that in this case. There is no evidence of that. It doesn't come from Joshes' statement to the police. It doesn't come from Joshes' informal interview. It didn't come from Morgan that she was challenging him and threatening him with false allegations. There's no evidence of that in this case.

You know, we talked about Morgan bringing this computer and the photo album and the phone to Detective Cho. This was all orchestrated to frame Josh, because she deleted she some stuff, so she's manipulating the evidence. In the evidence the call data and contacts are deleted, wouldn't that have been nice it have all of that. I submit to you she gave us what she had that documented her relationship with Joshua. Her mind set as she described it that time, she was done being controlled by him. She done with this relationship. And getting rid of

all that stuff, that was cathartic for that kid. Finally the lights came on. She had a realization. That's very consistent with what she said in her involuntary statement and prelim. I hated him for this. I wanted this done. I wanted out. I'm tired of being controlled by him. It was cathartic. It wasn't manipulated and selectively presented to the detective. She didn't scrub anything to make herself look better.

Mr. MacArthur, the pictures speak a thousand words.

Make sure you go back and look at those lip locks.

Picture do speak a thousand words. Those are

representative of the kind of relationship they had from

its inception when the grooming started, the sex started

through 2015.

He called the investigation clumsy because, as I understand it, he says Marsh was interviewed by -- Morgan was interviewed by Marsh. She said 13 years old, so her story was internally inconsistent. Morgan was never interviewed by Zach Marsh or by internal affairs detectives. She was interviewed one time with Detective Cho. You saw the interview. There was no messing up the details that Mr. MacArthur commented on.

Morgan Savage did participate in pretrial conferences with me. Not after she got arrested. I would be derelict in my job as a district attorney to put a witness in here,

especially a kid -- not a kid anymore, but was a kid -- in here unprepared. Unprepared for 14 people that are talking about detailed sex stuff. That would be absolutely derelict. Did I meet with her. Absolutely. Did I control or manipulate her. No. That's what Steve Wolfson pays me to do. Brings kids to court to get them through this.

John Pacult talked about Franco. Mr. MacArthur said that didn't happen. He did talk about the break up of Franco and Morgan at the urgence of the Defendant. So that was elicited.

Trials are a search for the truth. You are the ones to decide that. I submit to you that if you step back and take a deep breath and review the evidence, review the evidence in it's appropriate time line, how it came out, know the things that you don't know. You don't have to fight. You don't know what prompted the information that Morgan gave back in 2015. As you read the statements together you will see that information that's between the two of them, I know how it came out here was painful for four days, you have been reminded of it 20 times, but if you step back and you look at that information and you see what that kid -- she's a kid then, not a heroin addict -- a kid -- you will see what she told, see what she had to say, watch her interview with Detective Cho, read her

prelim transcript and you marry it to all the things we have that have benchmarked that relationship from 2011 to 2015, I submit to you there is proof beyond a reasonable doubt in this case of Mr. Honea's repeated and protracted prolonged sexual abuse of that kid.

2.0

Reasonable doubt is a standard used in every criminal case in every criminal court in every state in the United States, okay. It happens. It happens.

I'll reiterate one thing that Ms. Rhoades said. You probably didn't like Morgan. What's to like -- heroin, homeless, kind of glib in here. Ask yourself this. She came from a house by everyone's account, right, that she wasn't at least supervised to the degree that she should have been. She probably learned how to be an adult very early from the Defendant. And now she is what she is. We don't pick our witnesses. We don't choose them. But I urge you to go back in 2015, pre-heroin Morgan, pre-homeless Morgan. The Morgan that still lived at home with her mom and went to school and had friends.

Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Kollins.

As you will recall there are 14 of you and 12 of you will deliberate. That means that of your number 2 of you are alternates. The marshall will take control of the 12 who will be deliberating and Ms. Springberg will take

control of alternates.

THE CLERK: You do swear you will keep this jury together in some private and convenient place, that you will not permit any person to speak to them nor speak to them yourself, unless it be by order of the court to ask if they have agreed upon a verdict, and that you will return them into court when they have so agreed, so help you God.

COURT OFFICERS: (Choir of I do.)

THE COURT: Before I identify which jurors go with which officers, understand that it is our attention to give you the opportunities to have whatever time to deliberate you need, so I don't want you to be concerned at this time of the time. Understand you have whatever time you need to deliberate.

At this time I can identify the alternates in the case. You are not excused until a verdict is reached. You are under the same admonishment until further information is given to you by Ms. Springberg. Those jurors are John Perreault and Sally Ann Khalil. The other jurors may proceed with the marshall to deliberate. Mr. Perreault and Ms. Khalil you may proceed with Ms. Springberg and follow her directions.

(Jury deliberating.)