IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSHUA HONEA,

Appellant,

v. STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Docket No. 76621

Electronically Filed Dec 07 2018 10:55 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

VOLUME 14

Jonathan MacArthur, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7072 Monique McNeill, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9862 P.O. Box 7559 Las Vegas, NV 89125 (702) 497-9734 Attorney for Appellant Honea

INDEX

Document	Page Nos.	
Ex Parte Application for Order Requiring		
Material Witness to Post Bail	vol. 1, 103-108	
Information	vol. 1, 1-17	
Instructions to the Jury	vol. 13, 3058-3116	
Judgment of Conviction	vol. 14, 3291-3296	
Motion for Judgment of Acquittal, or in the Alternative, Motion for a New Trial	vol. 13, 3129-3177	
Motion for Setting of Appeal Bail,	vol. 14, 3249-3253	
Motion to Admit Evidence of M.S.'s Knowledge Of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual Conduct	vol. 1, 26-31	
Notice of Appeal	vol. 14, 3294-3296	
Notice of Motion and Motion to Preclude Karen Hughes, And Reference to Chief Deputy District Attorney Elizabeth Mercer and/or Christopher Baughman and/or Convicted		
Defendant Raymond Sharpe at Trial	vol. 1, 84-95	
Notice of Motion and Motion to Use Reported Testimony	vol. 1, 18-25	
Order Declaring Defendant Indigent	vol. 14, 3293	
Order Denying Motion for Acquittal or, in the Alternativ Motion for a New Trial	vol. 14, 3245-3248	
Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail		

Or Be Committed to Custody	vol. 1, 109-110	
Reply to State's Opposition to Motion to Admit Evidence Of M.S.'s Knowledge of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual		
Conduct	vol. 2, 274-276	
Second Amended Information	vol. 2, 258-273	
State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine re Raymond Sharpe	vol. 1, 32-33	
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Judgment Acquittal, or in the Alternative, Motion for a New Trial,		
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Admit Evidence of M.S.'s Knowledge of Sex Acts and Prior Sexual Conduct	vol. 1, 80-83	
Transcript November 6, 2017	vol. 1, 34-79	
Transcript November 15, 2017	vol. 1, 96-102	
Transcript December 15, 2017	vol. 1, 120-140	
Transcript January 3, 2018	vol. 13-14, 3178-3203	
Transcript, January 10, 2018	vol. 14, 3222-3244	
Transcript, May 21, 2018	vol. 14, 3254-3290	
Trial Transcript, November 27, 2017	vol. 1-2,111-257	
Trial Transcript, November 28, 2017	vol. 2, 277-440	
Trial Transcript, November 29, 2017	vol. 2-3, 441-639	
Trial Transcript, November 30, 2017	vol. 3-4, 640-895	
Trial Transcript, December 1, 2017	vol. 4-5, 896-1080	

Trial Transcript, December 4, 2017	vol. 5, 1081-1246
Trial Transcript, December 5, 2017	vol. 5-6, 1247-1396
Trial Transcript, December 6, 2017	vol. 6-7, 1397-1551
Trial Transcript, December 7, 2017	vol. 7, 1552-1725
Trial Transcript, December 8, 2017	vol. 7-8, 1726-1979
Trial Transcript, December 11, 2017	vol. 8-9, 1980-2182
Trial Transcript, December 12, 2017	vol. 9-10, 2183-2389
Trial Transcript, December 13, 2017	vol. 10-11, 2390-2534
Trial Transcript, December 14, 2017	vol. 11-12, 2535-2811
Trial Transcript, December 15, 2017	vol. 12-13, 2812-3057
Verdict	vol. 13, 3117-3128

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 7th day of December, 2018. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

ADAM LAXALT

JONATHAN MACARTHUR

STEVEN WOLFSON

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:

JOSHUA HONEA

By: /S/MONIQUE MCNEILL

MONIQUE A. MCNEILL

State Bar # 9862

1	DECLARATION		
2			
3	JONATHAN E. MACARTHUR, makes the following declaration:		
4	1. That I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada,		
5	nd that I am familiar with the facts and circumstances of this case.		
6	2. That on July 23, 2015, JOSHUA HONEA was arrested and charged with		
7	forty-four (44) counts of Sexual Assault of a Minor <14; one (1) count of Lewdness w/ a Minor		
8	14; four (4) counts of First Degree Kidnapping; two counts of Use of a Minor in Production of		
9	Pornography; and one (1) count of Luring Children w/ Technology.		
10	That on December 18, 2017, a jury found Honea guilty of 1 count, out of 52,		
11	of Sexual Assault on a Minor under Sixteen.		
12	4. That this Court did not grant the State's request to remand Honea into		
13	custody after the verdict, but did impose an added condition of Intensive Supervision as a condition		
14	of his already posted bail.		
15	5. That after the bondsman put Honea back into custody after the verdict, he		
16	again posted bail and has been complying with the terms and conditions of that bail.		
17	7. That Honea has made all of his court appearances and has stayed out o		
18	rouble.		
19	8. That Honea will be filing an appeal of this Court's ruling on the Motion for		
20	Judgment of Acquittal, or Motion for a New Trial.		
21	9. That such appeal is not frivolous, as explained below.		
22	10. That Honea is not a danger to the community, nor is he a flight risk.		
23	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. (NRS		
24	3.045)		
25	EXECUTED on this 18th day of May, 2018		
26			
27	By: /s/ Jonathan MacArthur		
28	JONATHAN E. MACARTHUR Nevada Bar #7072		

ARGUMENT

3 4

2

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

18

19 20

21

23

22

25

26

27 28

The Nevada Revised Statutes provide for bail pending appeal, and that the District Court can set such a bail. See NRS 178.488. In Bergna v. State, 120 Nev. 869, 874, 102 P.3d 549, 52 (2004), the Nevada Supreme Court noted that a court which considers a request for appeal bail can consider the strength and quality of the evidence, as well as the nature and circumstances of the offense.

This Court already concluded that Honea was not a flight risk or danger to the community when it denied the State's motion to remand after trial, and there is nothing new for the Court to change that conclusion.

However, in looking to the strength of the appeal, the Court erred in instructing the jury, and as a matter of law, the pending appeal will no doubt be successful. In fact, the defendant argued orally, and pointed out in his motion for a judgment of acquittal, that consent is a defense to Sex Assault on Minor. The State argued that it was not; however, the State misspoke. Prior to changes in the law during the 2015 legislature, consent was a defense to the charge. Further, the charge which 17 Mr. Honea was convicted of predates the 2015 legislative changes, and therefore, the State was bound by the law at the time of the allegations.

This Court should be aware that in a trial in January 2018, in Department Four, Chief Deputy District Attorney Lisa Luzaich informed that court that consent was in fact a defense to Sexual Assault on a Minor Under Sixteen and agreed that the jury should be thus instructed. Similar to this case, the defendant was charged for acts that occurred prior to the 2015 legislative changes. The 24 jury instructions in the instant case were incorrect and the defendant is confident that the Nevada Supreme Court will thus have no option but to remand this case for a new trial. Accordingly, this Court is aware that the alleged victim in this case maintained that the sexual conduct in the instant case was consensual. Mr. Honea should not be prejudiced by the loss of his liberty when the verdict

which convicts him is wrong as a matter of law.

CONCLUSION The defendant asks that this Court set an appeal bail in the amount of the current bail, and allow that bond to transfer or in the alternative, in the amount of \$100,000, because the verdict in the instant case is unsound, and the appeal which will be pending is not frivolous and contains matters which will lead undoubtedly to reversal of the verdict. /s/ Jonathan MacArthur By: JONATHAN E. MACARTHUR Nevada Bar #7072

	Steven D. Grierson		
	CLERK OF THE COURT		
1	TRAN CASE NO. C-15-309548-1		
2	DEPT. NO. 25		
3			
4			
5	DISTRICT COURT		
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
7	* * * *		
8			
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10) Plaintiff,)		
11) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT) OF		
12	vs.) SENTENCING)		
13	JOSHUA HONEA,		
14	Defendant.)		
15	/		
16			
17	BEFORE THE HONORABLE KATHLEEN DELANEY		
18	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
19	DATED: MONDAY, MAY 21, 2018		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	REPORTED BY: SHARON HOWARD, C.C.R. NO. 745		

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the State:	STACEY KOLLINS, ESQ.
3		KRISTINA RHOADES, ESQ.
4		
5	For the Defendant:	MONIQUE MCNEILL, ESQ.
6		JONATHAN MACARTHUR, ESQ.
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	* * * *	*
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; MONDAY, MAY 21, 2018 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 4 5 THE COURT: Page 8, State of Nevada vs. Joshua Mr. MacArthur is obligated in another courtroom. 6 7 You did indicate, Ms. McNeill you did indicate you could proceed without him at this time; is that correct. 8 9 MS. MCNEILL: It is. However, Mr. Honea, 10 indicated he wants him here. He just text me and said 11 he's done. If we could do the appeal bail request first 12 then --13 THE COURT: I really want to do appeal bail at the end. So we'll address that when we are complete, but 14 15 Mr. MacArthur you've indicated is on his way. 16 MS. MCNEILL: He is. 17 THE COURT: We can go ahead and proceed now. 18 The other option was, of course, to come back this 19 afternoon. I appreciate we have a lot of folks here. I'm 20 ready to proceed as soon as we can have everybody 21 present. 22 MS. MCNEILL: May I approach the clerk to file a 23 motion I emailed. 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MS. KOLLINS: I will let the court know did not

file a written response.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Are you intending to orally oppose.

MS. KOLLINS: Yes.

THE COURT: I would also note, for the record, there were some letters of support that were provided to the court through the law clerk. Did you provide copies to the State.

THE CLERK: Those are for them.

So let me call the matter of State THE COURT: of Nevada vs. Joshua Honea. Noting for the record that Mr. Honea is present out of custody. We are on today for sentencing. We also had been advised by counsel for Mr. Honea a motion for setting of appeal bail was to be filed. You indicated, Ms. McNeill, it was sent by email to my staff. My staff did not receive such an email. doubting you attached it to send it. I don't know why it wasn't received. Our first review of it will be today. But in anticipation the request would be made, I certainly did educate myself, as necessary, with the standards and the circumstances for that consideration. I will take that argument, but that's why I move the argument forward to the beginning of the matter.

We have Mr. MacArthur present with us. I understand he's been tied up in another courtroom. We are at this time ready to proceed This sentencing has been continued a number of times. I do want to express from the court my sincerest apologies again for the time frame it has taken for us to be able to proceed, but, we are here today to do that.

2.0

I would note, as I did before we commenced, there were couple of communications, letters of support on behalf of Mr. Honea that were submitted to the court.

We've given copies to counsel. The court has also reviewed them. I would note in them, at least one, if not both are seeking what they identify as some form of compassion from the court to not sentence Mr. Honea to a prison term. Setting aside for a moment the discussion about appeal bail. That is simply not an option for the court to consider. I trust that the individuals who are supporting Mr. Honea made him aware of what the parameters are in terms of the conviction and the sentence that is available to the court to consider. But that perhaps discussion is for another day.

What I would like to do is tart with is the State. Hear from the State with regard to arguments of the sentence, then go forward to counsel for defense.

MS. COLLINS: I'll be extremely brief, your Honor, this afternoon.

The court heard the trial. The sentence is not

discretionary. It's mandatory 25 to life. I know the court is going to follow the law. I would remind you that he has 692 days credit for time served, as he approached the court today. I would also request that the court order that the child pornography be returned to the State upon adjudication.

That's all I have to say, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Kollins.

Mr. MacArthur or Ms. McNeill, who is going to speak today -- or if Mr. Honea wishes to speak first.

MR. MACARTHUR: I'll do sentencing. Ms. McNeill will be doing the appellate argument.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. MCNEILL: I think Mr. Honea wants to go first.

THE DEFENDANT: I have something prepared for you.

THE COURT: Mr. Honea, take a deep breath. I can see this is an emotional circumstance for you. That's understandable. The only caution I would give you, it may seem very mundane, but I do have a court reporter who has to write down everything that's said. And when people read, they tend to read more quickly, especially if they're emotional or nervous, then they would if they were just speaking. I'll ask you to, please, as difficult as

it may be, to take your time so that the court can understand what it is you want to share with the court and that you are reading at an appropriate pace for my report to take it down.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm doing my best.

With sincerest respect to you and this court, I appreciate the ability to let me share my feelings. I have always had a passion for law and order, but on several occasions have heard, even from judges, the system needs repair. Falling into a situation now that I was totally unprepared for, I'm noticing how the system is failing me so far.

After receiving your order on Thursday, I'm just so confused. I can't seem to understand how during my trial a juror's sister could break into Morgan's dad's car and fight over it. All with the residents in the alleyway knowing all of the details in the case. Apparently when the police and the DA's office were searching for Morgan they spoke to several people in the alleyway. It just so happens that the family member who claimed he knew what he knew ends up on my jury panel and never told anyone.

Jurors calling, crying, saying that it isn't the verdict they wanted, but when they went around the room and asked they looked at each other and waited for someone to speak up and no one did out of fear. But they reached

out later on.

I honestly feel like my due process was violated because we couldn't have these people come to tell you what happened in their own words and this is what you would have heard.

In the trial we had coercion of witnesses, witnesses saying they had to come up with something to get rid of me, threats of obstruction, pleas to stop this trial because Morgan said she was a tool for the State and not a victim. Her cousin calling saying she ran away because she made it up. A witness that admitted to sexual activity with Morgan when she was underage and distributing child pornography which no law enforcement or official even blinked at.

A witness that took notes and rehearsed her testimony. Officers on the car stop couldn't remember what I was doing, but had no recollection of their own actions when guns and drugs were found missing out of the stolen vehicle. I personally watched them be put into a blue car. I had every intention to testify up until the night before, because we felt the verdict was obvious and we just wanted to finish the trial that Friday after 3 weeks of being in here.

As a Defendant, I with truly unaware so much could happen to prejudice me and just be ignored. I was singled

out, targeted, and attacked by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department because I pissed off the wrong people at Enterprise. And now I'll be sentenced to more time then most violent offenders who murder. They won't only destroy my life, but the lives of all my friends and family that have been here supporting me and behind me.

I doubt that anything I could say right now is going be much to you, but I'll remain strong and just like Morgan told everybody on 4 days of testimony that I don't deserve this. And I don't. So as much as I wish I could have been provided justice, a bear cannot be swept under the rug and my fight is not over. You might not help me, but I have faith still that someone will.

Thank you for the court's time.

THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur, I trust your sentencing argument will not be an attempt to revisit the verdict in this case or asked to reconsider the decision on the motion for new trial or acquittal and will actually speak to sentencing. If you wish to have that argument, I would prefer that that be made when we discuss the motion for appeal bail, because as you know and would have reviewed, as the court did with the case law, that whether or not the appeal is frivolous or for purposes of delay, it's only one of the things the court has to assess.

MR. MACARTHUR: I understand all those things.

I need a minute.

2.0

THE COURT: Take your time.

MR. MACARTHUR: I don't have the luxury of being able to express myself emotionally as Joshua just had. It's consider inappropriate for counsel, but with that said, I know where he's coming from. This sentencing argument is difficult because the statute gives the court no discretion. Mr. Honea was charged with 52 counts of felonies. The vast majority of which the sexual assault of a minor under the age of 16. And the vast majority of those charges were dismissed, except for one. I will never forget Count 39, because it is an unexpected cruelty to hear not guilty for 3 minutes before hearing guilty. When even the clerk has become so accustomed to saying not guilty, that she stumbles over the words.

It has been one of the most difficult 6 months of my life waiting to get here. I never expected to have to make this argument, because I never expected that the jury would return, what to defense counsel, would appear to be an illogical verdict. It's apparent that they were not satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt of Mr. Honea's culpability with 51 sex related felony counts, but for reasons that belong to them, they felt as though one count, there was evidence there for.

It's difficult to understand how they might have

pieced that together, given that at trial the victim said that she had testified falsely at preliminary hearing.

Obviously, the jury is not obligated to believe that she testified falsely. However, when she did testify falsely, if she, in fact, did, she talked about dozens of instances, which clearly the jury did not believe. So it appears as though they picked and chose, not between witnesses, but with regard to at least one witness choosing to believe that most everything she said in preliminary hearing was false. Even if it was made under oath. Even under the circumstances explained by that witness as it related to the State.

But then the jury also chose to discount her trial testimony. And it strikes me as an odd result that if the only person who could testify to whether there was ever sexual conduct was disbelieved on both sides of the same coin, how a jury of good conscience arrives at a compromised verdict of one count.

Now, we know that counsel are not allowed, for either side, to tell the jury what the consequences are. These jurors found out from the news, and in a highly unusual turn of events contacted defense counsel. And they had various reasons. They weren't all the same for how they arrived at the answer they did.

Unfortunately, we had learned they didn't take the

court's admonition as seriously as they should have. We learned they consulted the media. We learned they spoke with each other. We learned that Juror No. 3 had a real life sister, was a real-life friend of Morgan, and didn't divulge that. The case remains that despite all of these things -- and those will be issues for other hearings in other courts -- the court has no discretion but to sentence Mr. Honea on the count for which he was found guilty.

In the legislative wisdom that carries a component penalty of a minimum of 25 years, before he's eligible for parole. I had to check my file to find out if Joshua was 25 yet. The required sentence is all of his life, as he knows it. These circumstances are not why I chose this career. It's not why I do any job. It's not that I haven't had disappointment before. It's just that usually the disappointments don'ts carry these kinds of penalties.

I appreciate the State having previously calculated the credit for time served at 692 days. And I appreciate the court, having listened to my argument many months ago, last year in fact, regarding Mr. Honea and his risk assessment, that he could be relied upon to come to court. And he did, over a dozen times.

Additionally, I appreciate the court having declined

the State's request to have him remanded. uncommon. Most courts, when a defendant is convicted of any crime, much less one that carries a life sentence, could be expected to put a defendant in custody. Despite all the other feelings about these proceedings, I will remain grateful for that. Due to no fault of Mr. Honea's, for some reason he was put back in custody, and in order to get him out, his family had to pay again. originally remember his bail was \$250,000.00, arranged for by his grandparents, who are still with him today. when he was remanded again, not for having violated any rules of release, they were relied upon for a bail amount for another \$100,000.00. So I don't know what the out-of-pocket expense to them was or continues to be, but bond's men continue to take payments on a monthly basis. I know that it's a lot of money. I know they did it because they believe in Josh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With that said, Judge, I would ask what discretion the court is aware of in sentencing and these proceedings be used in Mr. Honea's favor. I understand that any sentence that will be imposed is 25 to life. Those conclude my statements as well.

THE COURT: I appreciate you acknowledging that the court has limited, if any, discretion, given the jurors' determination.

I feel compelled to say this now, it would be very easy for me to just say that's the sentence. Here you go, Mr. Honea. Then move on to the appealable decision. But I feel compelled to say this because, in all candor, your remarks on your own behalf show me a lack of understanding of the court's job, the law that applies to the court's decision making. I don't know where that comes from. I don't know if that comes from this large group of support you have and folks wanting to have you believe certain things, or if that comes from what you believe to be your own research, or discussions with counsel, or all of the above.

But when it comes to sentencing, as has been discussed here today, we have one option, based on the jury's verdict. And while the court was requested to set aside that verdict, or to, as a matter of acquittal, or to give a new trial based on the information evidence that's been provided to the court. I did my best to articulate in the order why the evidence was not sufficient. In all candor, it wasn't even close, Mr. Honea, that the evidence provided to the from these jurors would result in relief.

Here's why. The reason why is because we cannot, it is sacrosanct, in my opinion, and in the legal analysis and case law and everything else we have to look at when

it comes to the deliberations of the jurors, we cannot go back in and attempt to revisit those things and inquire of those things when what is being addressed is intrinsic to their deliberation.

The one circumstance that we can qualify as extrinsic is the admission, if you will, of one of the jurors that he consulted an article on the same day they were impaneled. Which by all accounts of the other jurors, he did not choose to further share, but by all objective of accounts of that article in no way, shape, or form could have or would have prejudiced you or your case.

And for those reasons, again, although it took time and took time that the court again regrets because it knows it adds to the difficulty of uncertainty of this case and is very conscious of that and very apologetic for that. It took time to truly weigh through everything and how best to articulate why the court can make a decision the way it did, why the evidentiary hearing was inappropriate and not warranted, and why the outcome is what it is. To sort of be here today with this righteous indignation that somehow the court was compelled to listen to these jurors and not come in here and explain these things is not what the law is or requires at all. It's disconcerts to the court, in all candor. But I understand the emotion of the circumstances. I understand emotions

of this case. Like I said, we are going to have further discussion about what this appeal might look like, because we are going to have to have that discussion in the circumstances of the appeal bail.

I'm going the ask my marshal to step aside briefly, because we still have that appeal bail motion to discuss and that hasn't been discussed yet today. So his recognition of where we are with the court, because we are going to complete the sentencing. And the sentencing is going to be completed the only way it can be completed at this time, based on the jury's verdict.

The court's understanding and reading of the law to deny being set aside, you'll be adjudicated guilty today of sexual assault on a minor under 16 years of age. You will be accordingly sentenced to the term of life in prison with the opportunity for parole after 25 years is served. You will have to pay \$25.00 administrative assessment fee to the court; \$150.00 DNA analysis fee to the court, \$3.00 DNA collection fee to the court. And pay that fee during the term of your incarceration.

There are requirements that also are attendant to that conviction of charge such as this, which include you must register as a sex offender within 48 hours of any release from custody pursuant to NRS 179(d).4670. You'll also be subject to a special sentence of lifetime

supervision to commence upon release from any term of incarceration, pursuant to NRS 176.10931.

2.0

You do have as calculated by the State -- there's no reason to dispute this number -- 692 days credit for time served toward that sentence. The court will give you that credit for time served today.

The question becomes when will you begin serving that sentence and whether or not you will have the opportunity to be remaining out of custody on a bail while the matter remains on appeal. Considerations that the court has to make in those circumstances are very well articulated by our Supreme Court in its decision making process that indicates to us that it's somewhat different, although some factors overlap to a pre-conviction bail situation, but it is that we have to look at and consider certainly the danger to the community and potential for failure to return to address the case, which we've already had some consideration of obviously to get where we are today.

Also requires us to have an analysis of whether or not this appeal has legitimate basis, not that you're adjudicating the appeal, but that this appeal is brought for legitimate reasons and not for purpose of delays.

Certainly I've had a chance briefly to review the motion submitted. I understand Ms. McNeill will be arguing this matter. We've perhaps touched upon these

issues in terms of matters that might be asked to be considered by an appellate court. One focused on in the moment was whether the court properly instructed the jurors on what elements of the ultimate charge that was subject of the conviction.

At this time I do want to hear from counsel with regard to this matter for final determination on Mr. Honea's custody status.

MS. MCNEILL: Thank you.

I'm not going to focus on the danger to the community and flight risk. I think we've sort of done that at length. I think the court sees he's here today, knowing he could be going in for 25 years.

As far as the other prong which is, as I said in my motion, the strength, quality of the evidence, the nature and circumstance of the offence. As I indicated in my motion, your Honor, I believe the jury was improperly instructed on the element of sex with a minor under 14. I did a trial in January --

THE COURT: The conviction was a minor under the age of 16.

MS. MCNEILL: Under 16.

I did a trial in January and I made my same argument, the facts of the case, the date of the charges pre-date the changes in the 2015 legislature. Prior to

that, consent was absolutely an element the jury should have been instructed for that. We asked for an instructions on that. And I don't think that it's harmless, because if the jury chose to believe Morgan's preliminary testimony, which they would have to have done, in order to convict on that count. At no point did she ever say it was not consensual. In fact, she admitted freely that it was consensual, any acts that were engaged in.

I think when you look at the strength of this appeal and the instruction to the jury, which is incorrect, this would have caused a structural error and I don't think they could ever say it was harmless. I think that we are confident that just that issue was a enough to get a reversal.

Additionally, as far as the juror misconduct, we indicated to the court that Jankowitz wouldn't speak to us. So the only way to find out whoever spoke to his sister who was friends with Morgan and lived in the alley where the district attorney was walking around trying to find her would have been to subpoena him and bring him into court and ask him that question. If his answer had been affirmative, there's an issue. But we were denied being able to flesh that issue out because he wouldn't speak to us. I think when you look at the strength and

quality of our appeal issue that Mr. Honea has a fighting chance with the Supreme Court.

As far as the strength and quality of evidence in this case, it came down the which version of Morgan's the jurors believe. It wasn't as if this was a case where there was forensic evidence or confessions or anything of those things. I would ask your Honor to leave him out on bail.

His bond's man is present in the courtroom, your Honor, which I think speaks a lot about Josh. He's indicated that he will do whatever he can to take the current bond and transfer that over and keep Josh out of custody. So the entire family and the bond's man are here to let the court know that everyone is here to do what they can do to keep Josh from going to prison while we fight this appeal.

I would ask you consider our argument for the legal issues and leave Josh out on bail.

THE COURT: Ms. Collins.

MS. COLLINS: Mr. McNeill is missing the entire circumstantial case that was offered Mr. Honea. All the documents of the relationship. It wasn't just on Morgan's word. So they say the strength of this case is just borne out of Morgan's testimony is inaccurate. The court recognized that in your order.

As to the instruction issue, they were instructed without consent or under circumstances whether the Defendant knew or should have known she was incapable of giving consent. She was incapable, under the law, because of her age. I believe those will stand up on appeal. I don't have the entire trial file in front of me. I don't have what they offered to the court. But I don't believe that that will be a successful appellate issue, as it stands here.

MS. MCNEILL: The instruction that we asked for in this case was that consent is a defense. That's an instruction I was given in front of Judge Early that Ms. Luzaich consented to and was told by the court that's the state of the law, where I like it or not. I highly doubt Ms. Luzaich would let me have that instruction because by the way the jury came back on a stat sex instead of a sex assault, if that weren't the state of the law. We fleshed this out when we argued the instructions. We put it in our motion. The jury was absolutely misinstructed. And it was crucial to him being found guilty.

THE COURT: Ultimately, the eye of the -- the beauty of the argument would be in the eye of the beholder. It won't be this court. This court did what it felt was appropriate in the circumstance. The court does acknowledge what the State indicated, which is, however

anybody wants to view this, I will tell you that the court endeavors, thrives, and does its very best in every trial to be as objective as possible, do an objective review of this information, as I noted in the order, substantial circumstantial evidence in this case and felt it indicated there was the relationship the jury found existing with this Defendant and the victim in this case and could support that verdict.

2.0

Whether or not the legal analysis results in some reversal or some change, I have no stake in that outcome, beyond I did my job, and I will wait to see what the appellate court will tell us.

The reason those factors aren't important for today's purposes though was because obviously, again, one of the things we have to determine is whether or not this appeal is not frivolous, not taken for purposes of delay. Our case law instructs us that obviously someone who has been convicted of a serious offence and is facing a substantial term of imprisonment has a very heavy burden to bring to this court in order to be entitled to bail on appeal. It is within that framework that the court looks at all of the facts and circumstances making a determination on whether or not there should be an appeal bail. Other factors do come into play. I'll address them, even though I understand why the argument didn't focus on them is

because we had vetted these discussions and circumstances previously about whether or not Mr. Honea is a danger to the community, will Mr. Honea be present for court requirements and proceedings. And he has been and continues to be. Whether that's because there is a bail or whether that's because of his own volition, only he knows that. Just as he only knows the circumstances that occurred between him and the victim in this case. I am using that terms because there is a conviction. Don't let that override things. I'm using that term rather then using a name, because there has been a conviction and these are the circumstances.

2.0

I get the sense today that Mr. Honea and the people who are here to support of him perceive him to be the victim in this case. I can't do anything to address that I would only hope that everybody would recognize there was a trial here that was fair. If they don't choose to recognize that, then so be it. I would hope everybody would recognize here there was a decision that was made on the request to provide acquittal or to get a new trial that was made with thoughtful attention to the case, to the circumstances and the court's best reading of what the law will tell us here. I don't want to try to speak to it just because I don't want there to be a record that doesn't have this addressed. I focus on the extrinsic

because we have some evidence of there being extrinsic of the Juror No. 1 accessing the article. There is zero evidence that was brought forward that Juror No. 3 had any contact, share or impact on his verdict, related to the fact there was a relationship brought forward of Facebook connection between a sister and the victim here. It just did not trigger from this court's opinion in reading of the case law here to require an evidentiary hearing. That's why the court declined to do so.

At this point in time we have what we have. It is this court's analysis that based on the factors of whether or not this Defendant would be a danger to the community, fail to appear, whether or not this appeal is frivolous or taken for purposes of delay, taking in consideration those factors that Mr. Honea can and should be afforded the opportunity for bail on appeal.

I will allow the bail to remain what it is, which is \$100,000.00, to be transferred from the bond's man to appeal bail, other then current circumstances of the bail. All I hope at this point in time, again, is that Mr. Honea, as I understand, is working in the community and continues to do what he's doing in the community will stay out of trouble, will comply with any requirements of his bail, and that ultimately whatever the outcome is of our appeal court that that will be respected. We'll

1	address that when the time comes.
2	MS. MCNEILL: Thank you, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: There's no further need for
4	discussion here today. Court is adjourned.
5	
6	
7	
8	* * * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	OF
3	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
4	* * * *
5	
6	
7	
8	I, the undersigned certified court reporter in and for the
9	State of Nevada, do hereby certify:
L 0	
L1	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the
L2	time and place therein set forth; that the testimony and
L3	all objections made at the time of the proceedings were
L 4	recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter
L5	transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing is a
L6	true record of the testimony and of all objections made at
L7	the time of the proceedings.
L8	
L9	
20	
21	Shaline Variables
22	~ Caron tollable
23	Sharon Howard
24	C.C.R. #745
25	

< Dates >.	< 6 >.	17:21.
MAY 21, 2018 1:28,	6 10:16.	adjudication
3:1.	692 6:3, 12:20,	6:6.
#745 26:28.	17:4.	administrative
	17.4.	
\$100,000.00	•	16:17.
24:18.	•	admission 15:6.
\$100,000.00.	< 7 >.	admitted 8:11,
13:13.	745 1:35.	19:7.
\$150.00 16:18.	•	admonition 12:1.
\$25.00 16:17.		advised 4:13.
\$250,000.00	· < 8 >.	affirmative
13:9.	8 3:5.	19:23.
	0 3.5.	
\$3.00 16:19.	•	afforded 24:15.
.4670. 16:24.	•	afternoon 3:19,
•	< A >.	5:24.
•	ability 7:7.	age 10:10, 16:14,
< 1 >.	able 5:4, 10:4,	18:21, 21:5.
1 24:2.	19:24.	ago 12:21.
14. 18:18.	above 14:12.	ahead 3:17.
16 16:14, 18:21.	absolutely 19:1,	alley 19:19.
	21:19.	_
16. 10:10,		alleyway 7:16,
18:22.	accessing 24:2.	7:19.
176.10931 17:2.	accordingly	allow 24:17.
179(d 16:24.	16:15.	allowed 11:19.
•	accounts 15:8,	already 17:17.
•	15:10.	although 15:12,
< 2 >.	accustomed	17:13.
2015 18:25.	10:14.	amount 13:12.
25 1:3, 6:1,	acknowledge	analysis 14:24,
12:11, 12:13,	21:25.	16:18, 17:19,
13:21, 16:16,		22:9, 24:11.
	acknowledging	
18:13.	13:23.	answer 11:24,
•	acquittal 9:18,	19:22.
•	14:16, 23:20.	anticipation
< 3 >.	actions 8:18.	4:19.
3 8:22, 10:13,	activity 8:12.	anybody 22:1.
12:3, 24:3.	acts 19:8.	apologetic
39 10:12.	actually 9:18.	15:15.
	Additionally	apologies 5:3.
•	12:25, 19:16.	apparent 10:20.
· < 4 >.	address 3:14,	Apparently 7:17.
4 9:9.	-	appeal 3:11, 3:13,
	17:17, 22:24,	
48 16:23.	23:15, 25:1.	4:14, 5:14,
•	addressed 15:3,	9:21, 9:23,
• _	23:25.	16:2, 16:4,
< 5 >.	adds 15:14.	16:6, 17:10,
51 10:22.	adjourned 25:4.	17:20, 17:21,
52 10:8.	adjudicated	19:10, 20:1,
	16:13.	20:16, 21:5,
	adjudicating	22:15, 22:20,
•	J J	, ,

22:23, 24:13,	15:2.	blinked 8:14.
24:16, 24:19,	attendant 16:21.	blue 8:20.
24:25.	attention 23:21.	bond 13:15, 20:9,
appealable 14:3.	attorney 19:20.	20:12, 20:13,
appear 10:19,	available 5:18.	24:18.
24:13.	aware 5:16,	borne 20:23.
APPEARANCES 2:1.		break 7:15.
	13:19.	
appears 11:7.	away 8:10.	breath 6:18.
appellate 6:12,	•	brief 5:23.
18:2, 21:8,	•	briefly 16:5,
22:12.	< B >.	17:23.
applies 14:6.	back 3:18, 13:7,	bring 19:21,
appreciate 3:19,	15:2, 21:16.	22:19.
7:7, 12:19,	bail 3:11, 3:13,	brought 17:21,
12:20, 12:25,	4:14, 5:14,	24:3, 24:5.
13:23.	9:21, 13:9,	burden 22:19.
approach 3:22.	13:12, 16:4,	
approached 6:3.	16:6, 17:9,	
appropriate 7:3,	17:14, 20:8,	· C >.
21:24.	20:18, 22:20,	C-15-309548-1
argued 21:18.	22:23, 23:5,	1:2.
_	24:16, 24:17,	calculated 12:19,
arguing 17:25.		-
argument 4:22,	24:19, 24:24.	17:3.
6:12, 9:16,	based 14:14,	call 4:10.
9:19, 10:7,	14:17, 16:11,	calling 7:22,
10:18, 12:21,	24:11.	8:10.
18:24, 20:17,	basis 13:15,	candor 14:4,
21:22, 22:25.	17:20.	14:20, 15:24.
arguments 5:21.	bear 9:11.	car 7:15, 8:16,
around 7:23,	beauty 21:22.	8:20.
19:20.	become 10:14.	career 12:15.
arranged 13:9.	becomes 17:7.	carries 12:10,
arrived 11:24.	begin 17:7.	13:3.
arrives 11:17.	beginning 4:23.	carry 12:17.
article 15:7,	behalf 5:8,	CASE 1:2, 7:17,
15:10, 24:2.	14:5.	9:17, 9:22,
articulate 14:18,	behind 9:6.	12:5, 14:25,
15:17.	beholder 21:23.	15:11, 15:15,
articulated	believe 11:3,	16:1, 17:17,
17:11.	11:6, 11:9,	18:24, 20:4,
aside 5:13, 14:16,		20:5, 20:21,
	13:17, 14:9,	
16:5, 16:13.	14:10, 18:17,	20:23, 21:11,
assault 10:9,	19:4, 20:5,	22:5, 22:7,
16:14, 21:17.	21:5, 21:7.	22:17, 23:8,
assess 9:24.	belong 10:23.	23:15, 23:21,
assessment 12:23,	best 7:5, 14:18,	24:8.
16:18.	15:17, 22:2,	caused 19:12.
attached 4:17.	23:22.	caution 6:20.
attacked 9:1.	beyond 10:21,	certain 14:9.
attempt 9:16,	22:11.	Certainly 4:19,
	•	•

17:15, 17:23.	coming 10:6.	continue 13:15.
CERTIFICATE	commence 17:1.	continued 5:1.
26:1.	commenced 5:6.	continues 13:14,
CERTIFIED 26:3,	communications	23:5, 24:22.
26:8.	5:7.	convict 19:6.
certify 26:9.	community 17:16,	convicted 13:2,
chance 17:23,	18:10, 23:3,	22:18.
20:2.	24:12, 24:21,	conviction 5:17,
change 22:10.	24:22.	16:22, 18:5,
changes 18:25.	compassion 5:12.	18:20, 23:9,
charge 16:22,	compelled 14:1,	23:11.
18:4.	14:4, 15:21.	copies 4:7, 5:9.
charged 10:8.	complete 3:14,	correct 3:8.
charges 10:11,	16:9.	counsel 4:13, 5:9,
18:24.	completed 16:10.	5:22, 10:5,
check 12:12.	comply 24:23.	10:19, 11:19,
child 6:5, 8:13.	component 12:10.	11:22, 14:11,
choose 15:9,	compromised	18:6.
23:17.	11:18.	Count 10:12,
choosing 11:9.	conclude 13:22.	10:24, 11:18,
chose 11:7, 11:13,	conduct 11:16.	12:8, 19:6.
12:14, 19:4.	confessions	counts 10:8,
circumstance 6:19,	20:6.	10:22.
15:5, 18:16,	confident 19:14.	COUNTY 1:7.
21:24.	confused 7:14.	couple 5:7.
circumstances	connection 24:6.	course 3:18.
4:21, 11:11,	conscience	courtroom 3:6,
12:14, 15:25,	11:17.	4:25, 20:9.
16:4, 17:11,	conscious 15:15.	courts 12:7,
21:2, 22:22,	consensual 19:7,	13:2. cousin 8:10.
23:1, 23:7,	19:8.	
23:12, 23:22,	consent 19:1,	credit 6:3, 12:20, 17:4, 17:6.
24:19. circumstantial	21:2, 21:4, 21:11.	•
20:21, 22:5.	consented 21:13.	crime 13:3. crucial 21:20.
claimed 7:20.		cruelty 10:12.
CLARK 1:7.	consequences 11:20.	crying 7:22.
clearly 11:6.	consider 5:15,	culpability
CLERK 3:22, 4:7,	5:18, 10:5,	10:22.
4:9, 10:14.	17:15, 20:17.	current 20:12,
close 14:20.	consideration	24:19.
coercion 8:6.	4:21, 17:18,	custody 4:12,
coin 11:17.	24:14.	13:4, 13:7,
collection	Considerations	16:24, 17:9,
16:19.	17:10.	18:8, 20:13.
COLLINS 5:23,	considered 18:2.	10 0, 20 13.
20:19, 20:20.	consulted 12:2,	
comes 14:7, 14:8,	15:7.	·
14:10, 14:13,	contact 24:4.	DA 7:18.
15:1, 25:1.	contacted 11:22.	dad 7:15.
,	1	I

danger 17:16,	direction 26:15.	
18:10, 23:2,	disappointment	•
24:12.	12:16.	· < E >.
date 18:24.	disappointments	Early 21:12.
DATED 1:28.	12:17.	easy 14:2.
day 5:19, 15:7.	disbelieved	educate 4:20.
days 6:3, 9:9,	11:16.	either 11:19.
12:20, 17:4.	disconcerts	element 18:18,
decision 9:17,	15:24.	19:1.
14:3, 14:7,	discount 11:13.	elements 18:4.
15:17, 17:12,	discretion 10:8,	eligible 12:11.
23:19.	12:7, 13:18,	email 4:15,
declined 12:25,	13:24.	4:16.
24:9.	discretionary	emailed 3:23.
deep 6:18.	6:1.	emotion 15:25.
Defendant 1:20,	discuss 9:20,	emotional 6:19,
2:5, 6:16, 7:5,	16:6.	6:24.
8:24, 13:2,	discussed 14:14,	emotionally
13:4, 21:3,	16:7.	10:4.
22:7, 24:12.	discussion 5:13,	emotions 15:25.
defense 5:22,	5:19, 16:2,	end 3:14.
10:19, 11:22,	16:3, 25:4.	endeavors 22:2.
21:11.	discussions 14:11,	ends 7:21.
DELANEY 1:25.	23:1.	enforcement
delay 9:23, 22:16,	dismissed 10:11.	8:13.
24:14.	dispute 17:4.	engaged 19:8.
delays 17:22.	distributing	enough 19:14.
deliberation	8:13.	Enterprise 9:3.
15:4.	DISTRICT 1:6,	entire 20:13,
deliberations	1:26, 19:20.	20:20, 21:6.
15:1.	divulge 12:5.	entitled 22:20.
denied 19:23.	DNA 16:18,	error 19:12.
deny 16:13.	16:19.	especially 6:23.
Department 9:2.	documents 20:22.	ESQ 2:2, 2:3, 2:5,
DEPT. 1:3.	doing 6:12, 7:5,	2:6.
deserve 9:10.	8:17, 24:22.	events 11:22.
Despite 12:5,	don'ts 12:17.	everybody 3:20,
13:4.	done 3:11, 18:11,	9:9, 23:16,
destroy 9:5.	19:5.	23:18.
details 7:17.	doubt 9:7, 10:21,	everyone 20:14.
determination	21:14.	everything 6:22,
13:25, 18:7,	doubting 4:17.	11:9, 14:25,
22:22.	down 6:22, 7:4,	15:16.
determine 22:15.	20:4.	evidence 10:24,
different 17:13.	dozen 12:24.	14:17, 14:19,
difficult 6:25,	dozens 11:5.	14:20, 18:15,
10:7, 10:16,	drugs 8:18.	20:3, 20:6,
10:25.	Due 8:2, 13:6.	22:5, 24:1,
difficulty	during 7:14,	24:3.
15:14.	16:20.	evidentiary 15:18,

24:8.	felony 10:22.	< G >.
except 10:11.	felt 8:21, 10:23,	give 6:20, 14:17,
existing 22:6.	21:24, 22:5.	17:5.
expected 10:17,	fight 7:16, 9:12,	given 5:9, 11:1,
10:18, 13:4.	20:16.	13:24, 21:12.
expense 13:14.	fighting 20:1.	gives 10:7.
explain 15:22.	file 3:22, 4:1,	giving 21:4.
explained 11:11.	12:12, 21:6.	grandparents
express 5:2,	filed 4:14.	13:10.
10:4.	final 18:7.	grateful 13:6.
extremely 5:23.	find 12:12, 19:18,	group 14:8.
extrinsic 15:5,	19:21.	guilty 10:13,
23:25, 24:1.	finish 8:22.	10:15, 12:9,
eye 21:21,	first 3:11, 4:18,	16:13, 21:20.
21:22.	6:10, 6:15.	guns 8:18.
	flesh 19:24.	•
	fleshed 21:17.	•
< F >.	flight 18:11.	< H >.
Facebook 24:5.	focus 18:10,	happen 8:25.
facing 22:18.	22:25, 23:25.	happened 8:4.
fact 11:5, 12:22,	focused 18:2.	happens 7:20.
19:7, 24:5.	folks 3:19,	harmless 19:4,
factors 17:14,	14:9.	19:13.
22:13, 22:24,	follow 6:2.	Hear 5:21, 10:13,
24:11, 24:15.	foregoing 26:11,	18:6.
facts 18:24,	26:15.	heard 5:25, 7:9,
22:22.	forensic 20:6.	8:5.
fail 24:13.	forget 10:12.	hearing 10:13,
failing 7:12.	form 5:11,	11:2, 11:10,
failure 17:16.	15:10.	15:18, 24:8.
fair 23:17.	forth 26:12.	hearings 12:6.
faith 9:13.	forward 4:22,	heavy 22:19.
Falling 7:10.	5:22, 24:3,	help 9:12.
false 11:10.	24:5.	hereby 26:9. highly 11:21,
falsely 11:2, 11:4.	found 8:18, 11:21, 12:8, 21:20,	21:14.
family 7:20, 9:6,	22:6.	Honea 1:18, 3:6,
13:8, 20:13.	frame 5:3.	3:9, 4:11, 4:12,
far 7:12, 18:14,	framework 22:21.	4:14, 5:8, 5:12,
19:16, 20:3.	freely 19:8.	5:16, 6:10,
fault 13:6.	Friday 8:22.	6:14, 6:18,
favor 13:20.	friend 12:4.	10:8, 10:21,
fear 7:25.	friends 9:5,	12:8, 12:22,
fee 16:18, 16:19,	19:19.	13:6, 13:20,
16:20.	frivolous 9:23,	14:3, 14:20,
feel 8:2, 14:1,	22:16, 24:13.	18:8, 20:1,
14:4.	front 21:6,	20:21, 23:2,
feelings 7:7,	21:12.	23:3, 23:13,
13:5.		24:15, 24:21.
felonies 10:9.		honestly 8:2.
'		

Honor 5:24, 18:17, 20:7, 20:10,	18:18, 19:2, 21:1.	19:11, 21:16, 21:19, 22:6.
25:2.	instruction 19:11,	justice 9:11.
HONORABLE 1:25.	21:1, 21:10,	
hope 23:16, 23:18,	21:12, 21:15.	
24:20.	instructions 19:3,	< K >.
hours 16:23.	21:18.	KATHLEEN 1:25.
Howard 1:35,	instructs 22:17.	keep 20:12,
26:27.	intending 4:2.	20:15.
	intention 8:20.	kinds 12:17.
•	intrinsic 15:3.	knowing 7:17,
< I >.	issue 19:14,	18:12.
identify 5:11.	19:23, 19:24,	known 21:3.
ignored 8:25.	20:1, 21:1,	knows 12:14,
illogical 10:20.	21:8.	15:14, 23:7.
impact 24:4.	issues 12:6, 18:1,	Kollins 2:2, 3:25,
impaneled 15:8.	20:18.	4:4, 6:8.
important 22:13.	•	KRISTINA 2:3.
imposed 13:21.	•	
imprisonment	< J >.	
22:19.	Jankowitz 19:17.	< L >.
improperly	January 18:19,	lack 14:5.
18:17.	18:23.	large 14:8.
inaccurate	job 12:15, 14:6,	Las 3:1, 9:1.
20:24.	22:11.	last 12:22.
inappropriate	JONATHAN 2:6.	later 8:1.
10:5, 15:19.	Josh 13:17, 20:10,	law 4:7, 6:2, 7:8,
incapable 21:3,	20:12, 20:15,	8:13, 9:22,
21:4.	20:18.	14:6, 14:25,
incarceration	Joshua 1:18, 3:5,	15:23, 16:12,
16:20, 17:2.	4:11, 10:4,	21:4, 21:14,
include 16:22.	12:12.	21:17, 22:17,
incorrect 19:11.	Judge 1:26, 6:7,	23:23, 24:8.
indicate 3:7.	13:18, 21:12.	learned 11:25,
indicated 3:10,	judges 7:9.	12:2, 12:3.
3:15, 4:15,	Juror 7:15, 12:3,	least 5:10,
18:16, 19:17,	19:16, 24:2,	11:8.
20:11, 21:25,	24:3.	leave 20:7,
22:5.	Jurors 7:22,	20:18.
indicates 17:13.	11:21, 13:25,	legal 14:24, 20:17, 22:9.
indignation 15:21.	14:21, 15:1, 15:6, 15:8,	legislative
individuals	15:22, 18:4,	12:10.
5:15.	20:5.	legislature
information 14:17,	jury 7:21, 10:18,	18:25.
22:4.	11:3, 11:6,	legitimate 17:20,
inquire 15:2.	11:13, 11:17,	17:22.
instances 11:6.	11:20, 14:15,	length 18:12.
instead 21:16.	16:11, 18:17,	less 13:3.
instructed 18:3,	19:1, 19:4,	letters 4:6,

5:7.	minimum 12:11.	necessary 4:20.
life 6:1, 9:5,	minor 10:10,	need 10:1, 25:3.
10:17, 12:4,	16:14, 18:18,	needs 7:10.
12:13, 13:3,	18:20.	nervous 6:24.
13:21, 16:15.	minute 10:1.	Nevada 1:7, 1:10,
lifetime 16:25.	minutes 10:13.	3:1, 3:5, 4:11,
limited 13:24.	misconduct	26:9.
listen 15:21.	19:16.	new 9:18, 14:17,
listened 12:21.	misinstructed	23:20.
lived 19:19.	21:19.	news 11:21.
lives 9:5.	missing 8:18,	night 8:21.
look 14:25, 16:2,	20:20.	No. 1:2, 1:3,
17:15, 19:10,	moment 5:13,	1:35, 12:3,
19:25.	18:3.	24:2, 24:3.
looked 7:24.	MONDAY 1:28,	note 4:5, 5:6,
looks 22:21.	3:1.	5:10.
lot 3:19, 13:16,	money 13:16.	noted 22:4.
20:10.	MONIQUE 2:5.	notes 8:15.
luxury 10:3.	monthly 13:15.	noticing 7:11.
Luzaich 21:13,	months 10:16,	Noting 4:11.
21:15.	12:21.	NRS 16:24, 17:2.
	Morgan 7:15, 7:18,	number 5:2,
	8:9, 8:12, 9:9,	17:4.
· < M >.	12:4, 19:4,	_
		•
MACARTHUR 2:6,	19:19, 20:4,	•
3:6, 3:15, 4:24,	20:22, 20:24.	< 0 >.
6:9, 6:11, 9:15,	motion 3:23, 4:14,	oath 11:11.
9:25, 10:3.	9:18, 9:20,	objections 26:13,
majority 10:9,	16:6, 17:24,	26:16.
10:10.	18:15, 18:17,	objective 15:9,
man 20:9, 20:13,	21:19.	22:3.
24:18.	move 4:22, 14:3.	obligated 3:6,
mandatory 6:1.	MS 3:7, 3:9, 3:16,	11:3.
marshal 16:5.	3:22, 3:25, 4:4,	obstruction 8:8.
matter 4:10, 4:23,	4:15, 5:23, 6:8,	obvious 8:21.
14:16, 17:9,	6:9, 6:11, 6:14,	Obviously 11:3,
17:25, 18:7.	17:24, 18:9,	17:18, 22:14,
matters 18:1.	18:22, 20:19,	22:17.
MCNEILL 2:5, 3:7,	20:20, 21:10,	occasions 7:9.
3:9, 3:16, 3:22,	21:12, 21:15,	occurred 23:8.
4:15, 6:9, 6:11,	25:2.	odd 11:14.
6:14, 17:24,	mundane 6:21.	offence 18:16,
18:9, 18:22,	murder 9:4.	22:18.
20:20, 21:10,	myself 4:20,	offender 16:23.
25:2.	10:4.	offenders 9:4.
	10-1.	offered 20:21,
media 12:2.	•	-
member 7:20.	•	21:7.
men 13:15.	< N >.	office 7:18.
Metropolitan	name 23:11.	Officers 8:16.
9:1.	nature 18:15.	official 8:14.
	II.	

One 5:10, 7:25,	perhaps 5:18,	23:20.
9:24, 10:16,	17:25.	provided 4:6,
10:23, 11:8,	person 11:15.	9:11, 14:18,
11:18, 13:3,	personally 8:19.	14:21.
	picked 11:7.	
14:14, 15:5,	_	purpose 17:22.
15:6, 18:2,	pieced 11:1.	purposes 9:23,
22:14.	pissed 9:2.	22:14, 22:16,
one. 10:11.	place 26:12.	24:14.
opinion 14:24,	Plaintiff 1:12.	pursuant 16:24,
24:7.	play 22:24.	17:2.
opportunity 16:16,	pleas 8:8.	put 8:19, 13:4,
17:8, 24:16.		13:7, 21:18.
-	please 6:25.	13.7, 21.10.
oppose 4:3.	point 19:6, 24:10,	•
option 3:18, 5:14,	24:20.	•
14:14.	Police 7:18,	< Q >.
		· · ·
orally 4:2.	9:2.	qualify 15:5.
order 6:5, 7:8,	pornography 6:5,	quality 18:15,
7:13, 13:7,	8:13.	20:1, 20:3.
14:19, 19:6,	possible 22:3.	question 17:7,
	_	_
20:25, 22:4,	potential 17:16.	19:22.
22:20.	pre-conviction	quickly 6:23.
originally 13:9.	17:14.	•
out-of-pocket	pre-date 18:25.	_
13:14.	prefer 9:20.	· D >
	_	< R >.
outcome 15:19,	prejudice 8:25.	ran 8:10.
22:10, 24:24.	prejudiced	rather 23:10.
overlap 17:14.	15:11.	reached 7:25.
override 23:10.	preliminary 11:2,	read 6:23.
own 8:4, 8:17,	11:10, 19:5.	reading 7:3,
14:5, 14:11,	prepared 6:16.	16:12, 23:22,
23:6.	present 3:21,	24:7.
	4:12, 4:24,	ready 3:20, 5:1.
•	20:9, 23:3.	real 12:3.
• _	T	
< P >.	previously 12:19,	real-life 12:4.
pace 7:3.	23:2.	really 3:13.
Page 3:5.	Prior 18:25.	reason 13:7,
panel 7:21.	prison 5:13,	14:23, 17:4,
-		
parameters 5:16.	16:16, 20:15.	22:13.
parole 12:12,	proceed 3:8, 3:17,	reasonable
16:16.	3:20, 5:1,	10:21.
passion 7:8.	5:4.	reasons 10:23,
-		
pay 13:8, 16:17,	proceedings 13:5,	11:23, 15:12,
16:19.	13:19, 23:4,	17:22.
payments 13:15.	26:11, 26:13,	receive 4:16.
penalties 12:18.	26:17.	received 4:18.
penalty 12:11.	process 8:2,	receiving 7:13.
people 6:22, 7:19,	17:12.	recognition
8:3, 9:2,	prong 18:14.	16:8.
23:13.	properly 18:3.	recognize 23:16,
perceive 23:14.	provide 4:7,	23:18, 23:19.
ACTOCTAG 73.14.	Provide 4.1,	45.10, 43.13.

	15.00	1.7.0
recognized	requires 15:23,	17:8.
20:25.	17:19.	sentenced 9:3,
recollection	research 14:11.	16:15.
8:17.	residents 7:16.	SENTENCING 1:15,
reconsider 9:17.	respect 7:6.	4:13, 5:1, 6:11,
record 4:5, 4:11,	respected 24:25.	9:16, 9:19,
23:24, 26:16.	response 4:1.	10:6, 13:19,
recorded 26:14.	result 11:14,	14:13, 16:9.
regard 5:21, 11:8,	14:21.	serious 22:18.
18:7.	results 22:9.	seriously 12:1.
regarding 12:22.	return 10:19,	served 6:3, 12:20,
register 16:23.	17:17.	16:17, 17:5,
regrets 15:13.	returned 6:5.	17:6.
rehearsed 8:15.	reversal 19:15,	serving 17:7.
related 10:22,	22:10.	set 14:15, 16:13,
11:12, 24:4.	review 4:18,	26:12.
relationship	17:23, 22:3.	Setting 4:14,
20:22, 22:6,	reviewed 5:10,	5:13.
24:5.	9:22.	several 7:9,
release 13:12,	revisit 9:16,	7:19.
16:24, 17:1.	15:2.	sex 10:22, 16:23,
relied 12:23,	RHOADES 2:3.	18:18, 21:16.
13:12.	rid 8:7.	sexual 8:11, 10:9,
relief 14:22.	righteous 15:20.	11:16, 16:14.
remain 9:8, 13:6,	risk 12:22,	shape 15:10.
24:17.	18:11.	share 7:2, 7:7,
remaining 17:9.	room 7:23.	15:9, 24:4.
remains 12:5,	rug 9:12.	Sharon 1:35,
17:10.	rules 13:12.	26:27.
remanded 13:1,	rates 13 12.	show 14:5.
13:11.	•	side 11:20.
remarks 14:5.	· < S >.	sides 11:16.
remember 8:16,		
-	sacrosanct	simply 5:14.
13:9.	14:24.	sincerest 5:3,
remind 6:2.	satisfied 10:21.	7:6.
repair 7:10.	saying 7:22, 8:7,	singled 8:25.
report 7:3.	8:10, 10:14.	sister 7:15, 12:4,
REPORTED 1:35.	searching 7:18.	19:19, 24:6.
REPORTER 6:21,	seeking 5:11.	situation 7:10,
26:3, 26:8.	seem 6:21, 7:14.	17:14.
REPORTER'S 1:13.	sees 18:12.	somehow 15:21.
request 3:11,	send 4:17.	someone 7:24,
4:19, 6:4, 13:1,	sense 23:13.	9:13, 22:17.
23:20.	sent 4:15.	somewhat 17:13.
requested 14:15.	sentence 5:12,	soon 3:20.
require 24:8.	5:17, 5:22,	sort 15:20,
required 12:13.	5:25, 12:8,	18:11.
requirements	12:13, 13:3,	speaking 6:25.
16:21, 23:4,	13:21, 14:2,	speaks 20:10.
24:23.	16:25, 17:5,	special 16:25.
·	10 20, 1,70,	

spoke 7:19, 12:2,	supporting 5:16,	15:13, 15:16.
19:18.	9:6.	tool 8:9.
STACEY 2:2.	Supreme 17:12,	totally 7:11.
staff 4:16.	20:2.	touched 17:25.
stake 22:10.	swept 9:11.	toward 17:5.
stand 21:5.	system 7:9,	TRAN 1:1.
standards 4:20.	7:11.	transcribed 26:15.
stands 21:9.	•	TRANSCRIPT 1:13.
stat 21:16. State 1:10, 2:2,	·	transfer 20:12.
3:5, 4:8, 4:10,	< T >. talked 11:5.	transferred
5:20, 5:21, 6:5,	targeted 9:1.	24:18.
8:9, 11:12,	tart 5:20.	trial 5:25, 7:14,
12:19, 13:1,	tend 6:23.	8:6, 8:8, 8:22,
17:3, 21:14,	term 5:13, 16:15,	9:18, 11:1,
21:17, 21:25,	16:20, 17:1,	11:13, 14:17,
26:9.	22:19, 23:10.	18:19, 18:23,
statements	terms 5:17, 18:1,	21:6, 22:2,
13:22.	23:9.	23:17, 23:20.
status 18:8.	testified 11:2,	trigger 24:7.
statute 10:7.	11:4.	trouble 24:23.
stay 24:23.	testify 8:20,	true 26:16.
stenographically	11:4, 11:15.	truly 8:24,
26:14.	testimony 8:16,	15:16.
step 16:5.	9:9, 11:14,	trust 5:15,
stolen 8:19.	19:5, 20:24,	9:15.
stop 8:8, 8:16.	26:12, 26:16.	try 23:23.
strength 18:15,	text 3:10.	trying 19:20.
19:10, 19:25,	thereafter	turn 11:22.
20:3, 20:23.	26:14.	•
strikes 11:14.	therein 26:12.	•
strong 9:8.	though 10:23,	< U >.
structural	11:7, 22:14,	ultimate 18:4.
19:12.	22:24.	Ultimately 21:21,
stumbles 10:15.	thoughtful	24:24.
subject 16:25, 18:5.	23:21. threats 8:8.	unaware 8:24.
submitted 5:8,	thrives 22:2.	uncertainty 15:14.
17:24.	Thursday 7:13.	uncommon 13:2.
subpoena 19:21.	tied 4:25.	underage 8:12.
substantial 22:4,	today 4:12, 4:18,	undersigned
22:18.	5:4, 6:4, 6:10,	26:8.
successful 21:8.	13:10, 14:14,	understand 4:24,
sufficient	15:20, 16:7,	7:2, 7:14, 9:25,
14:19.	16:13, 17:6,	10:25, 13:20,
supervision	17:18, 18:12,	15:24, 15:25,
17:1.	22:13, 23:13,	17:24, 22:25,
support 4:6, 5:7,	25:4.	24:21.
14:8, 22:8,	together 11:1.	understandable
23:14.	took 8:15, 15:12,	6:20.
·		

understanding	watched 8:19.	year 12:22.
14:5, 16:12.	weeks 8:23.	years 12:11,
unexpected	weigh 15:16.	16:14, 16:16,
10:12.	whatever 20:11,	18:13.
Unfortunately	24:24.	
11:25.	Whether 9:22,	•
unprepared 7:11.	11:15, 17:8,	< Z >.
until 8:20.	17:19, 18:3,	zero 24:2.
unusual 11:21.	21:2, 22:9,	
using 23:9, 23:10,	22:15, 22:23,	
23:11.	23:2, 23:5,	
	23:6, 24:11,	
	24:13.	
· < V >.	whoever 19:18.	
various 11:23.	will 3:25, 4:18,	
vast 10:9,	4:21, 6:12,	
10:10.	9:13, 9:16,	
Vegas 3:1, 9:1.	9:18, 10:11,	
vehicle 8:19.	12:6, 13:5,	
verdict 7:23,	13:21, 15:6,	
8:21, 9:17,	16:15, 16:17,	
10:20, 11:18,	17:5, 17:7,	
14:15, 14:16,	17:8, 17:24,	
16:11, 22:8,	20:11, 21:5,	
24:4.	21:8, 22:1,	
version 20:4.	22:11, 22:12,	
vetted 23:1.	23:3, 23:23,	
victim 8:10, 11:1,	24:17, 24:22,	
22:7, 23:8,	24:23, 24:25.	
23:15, 24:6.	wisdom 12:10.	
view 22:1.	wish 9:10, 9:19.	
violated 8:2,	wishes 6:10.	
13:11.	within 16:23,	
violent 9:4.	22:21.	
volition 23:6.	without 3:8,	
vs 1:15, 3:5,	21:2.	
4:11.	witness 8:11,	
•	8:15, 11:8,	
•	11:12.	
< W >.	witnesses 8:6,	
wait 22:11.	11:8.	
waited 7:24.	word 20:23.	
waiting 10:17.	words 8:4,	
walking 19:20.	10:15.	
wanted 7:23,	working 24:21.	
8:22.	write 6:22.	
wanting 14:9.	written 4:1.	
wants 3:10, 6:14,		
22:1.		
warranted 15:19.	< Y >.	
	,	

ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 7/5/2018 11:52 AM Steven D. Grierson LERK OF THE COURT

JOC STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500

Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

7 THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

JOSHUA RAY HONEA, #3060176

Defendant.

C-15-309548-1 CASE NO:

DEPT NO: XXV

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (JURY TRIAL)

As Defendant previously entered a plea of not guilty to the crimes of SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366 - NOC 50105), SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366 - NOC 50106), FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING (Category A Felony - NRS 200.310, 200.320 -NOC 50053), LEWDNESS WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE (CATEGORY A Felony - NRS 201.230 - NOC 50975), USE OF MINOR IN PRODUCING PORNOGRAPHY (Category A Felony - NRS 200.700, 200.710.1, 200.750 - NOC 50367), LURING CHILDREN OR MENTALLY ILL PERSONS WITH THE INTENT TO ENGAGE IN SEXUAL CONDUCT (Category B Felony - NRS 201.560 -NOC 51081), //

Case Number: C-15-309548-1

W:\2015\2015F\108\89\15F10889-JOC-(HONEA JOSHUA)-001.DOCX

28

and the matter having been tried before a jury, and the Defendant being represented by counsel and having been found guilty of the crime of <u>COUNT 39</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366 - NOC 50106); and thereafter on the 21ST day of MAY, 2018, the Defendant was present in Court for sentencing with counsel, JONATHAN MACARTHUR, ESQ., and good cause appearing therefor,

DEFENDANT HEREBY ADJUDGED GUILTY of the crime as set forth in the Jury's verdict, and in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment fee, a \$150.00 DNA Analysis fee including testing to determine genetic markers, and \$3.00 DNA Collection fee, Deft. SENTENCED to a TERM of LIFE in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC), with the opportunity of parole AFTER a MINIMUM TERM of TWENTY-FIVE (25) YEARS SERVED, with SIX HUNDRED NINETY-TWO (692) DAYS credit for time served.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED, a special SENTENCE OF LIFETIME SUPERVISION is imposed to commence upon release from any term of probation, parole or imprisonment pursuant to NRS 176.0931; Deft. to register as a sex offender in accordance with NRS 179D.460 within 48 hours of release from custody or imprisonment.

With regard to Deft's. Motion for Setting of Appeal Bail, COURT STATED ITS FINDINGS, and **FURTHER ORDERED**, Deft's. Motion GRANTED, and the Appeal Bail SET at \$100,000.00; Deft. to is to transfer his bail from the Bondsman to an Appeal Bail. Deft. is to stay out of trouble and comply with any bail requirements.

DATED this day of June, 2018.

NSTRICT JUDGE

hjc/SVU

Electronically Filed 7/30/2018 3:42 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 1	ORD		XII. Satur
	JONATHAN E. MACARTHUR, ESQ.	(Den S. Dun
2	Nevada Bar No. 007072 JONATHAN E. MACARTHUR, LLC.		
3	P.O. Box 7559		
1	Las Vegas, Nevada 89125 Phone: (702) 868-2724		
7	Fax: (702) 385-2734		
5	Email: Jempc_law@embarqmail.com Counsel for JOSHUA RAY HONEA		
6	Counsel for Joshfox RAT HONEA		
7			
	DISTRIC	CT COURT	
8	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA	
9			
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
11	Plaintiff,		
	vs.	CASE NO:	C-15-309548-1
12	j	DEPT. NO:	XXV
13	JOSHUA RAY HONEA,) #3060176)	DATE:	
14	Defendant.	TIME:	
15			
16			
	ORDER DECLARING DEFENDANT IN		WING LEAVE TO
17	PROCEED IN FO	ORMA PAUPERIS	
18	Pursuant to the Ex Parte Petition for App	roval of Payment of Spec	rific Categories of
19	Ancillary Costs presented by the defendant hereig	n, and good cause appear	ing therefore,
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defe	endant be allowed to proc	eed In Forma Pauperis,
21	with the State of Nevada responsible for the filing fees for the Notice of Appeal and for the costs		
22	of the transcripts necessary for the preparation of	the appeal brief and app	endix, in the appellate
	proceedings of the above-named Defendant.		
23	Submitted this 30 day of	_, 2018. / \	\cap
24	\	XHULL)	Veran
25		HONORABLE JUDG	E KATHLEEN DELANY
26		DISTRICT COURT	DEP(T. XXV
27	Jonathan E. MacArthur, Esq.	19	
	Bar #7072		
28			

Electronically Filed 8/2/2018 12:56 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

NOASC **JONATHAN MACARTHUR, ESQ.**

Nevada Bar No. 007072 P.O. Box 7559 Las Vegas, Nevada 89125

Phone: (702) 868-2724 Fax: (702) 385-2734

Email: JEMPC_Law@embarqmail.com

Counsel for JOSHUA HONEA

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	
Plaintiff,)) CASE NO:	C-15-309548-1
vs.)	
JOSHUA HONEA,	DEPT. NO:	XXV
#3060176	DATE:	
Defendant.	TIME:	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Defendant, Joshua Honea, appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the judgment entered against said Defendant on July 5, 2018, whereby he was convicted of Sexual Assault of a Child Under the Age of 16.

DATED this 2nd Day of August, 2018.

By: /s/Jonathan E. MacArthur, Esq.

JONATHAN MacARTHUR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 007072

P.O. Box 7559

Las Vegas, Nevada 89125 Phone: (702) 868-2724

Fax: (702) 385-2734

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED by the undersigned that on 2nd day of August, 2018,

I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Notice of Appeal** on the parties listed on the attached service list via one or more of the methods of service described below as indicated next to the name of the served individual or entity by a checked box:

VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada.

VIA FACSIMILE: by transmitting to a facsimile machine maintained by the attorney or the party who has filed a written consent for such manner of service.

BY PERSONAL SERVICE: by personally hand-delivering or causing to be hand delivered by such designated individual whose particular duties include delivery of such on behalf of the firm, addressed to the individual(s) listed, signed by such individual or his/her representative accepting on his/her behalf. A receipt of copy signed and dated by such an individual confirming delivery of the document will be maintained with the document and is attached.

BY E-MAIL: by transmitting a copy of the document in the format to be used for attachments to the electronic-mail address designated by the attorney or the party who has filed a written consent for such manner of service.

DATED this 2nd Day of August, 2018.

By:	_/s/Monique A. McNeill,	Esq.

SERVICE LIST

ATTORNEYS OF RECORD	PARTIES REPRESENTED	METHOD OF SERVICE
CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 200 E. Lewis Ave Las Vegas, NV 89101	State of Nevada	☐ Personal service ☐ Email service ☐ Fax service ☐ Mail service
pdmotions@clarkcountyda.com		