IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Barry Harris,) Supreme Court Case No.: 76774
Appellant	Electronically Filed Apr 26 2019 07:17 p.m.
VS.) APPELLANT'S APPENDEXMINDEXBrown) Vol. II Clerk of Supreme Cour
The State of Nevada, Respondent,) Pages 251-455))

Appendix Index (Alphabetical)

<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Date</u>	Bates No.
Information	01/07/2018	0052-0055
Judgment of Conviction	08/16/2018	1254-1256
Jury Instructions	04/16/2018	1095-1146
Notice of Appeal	08/21/2018	1257-1258
Transcripts, Calendar Call	02/27/2018	0112-0120
Transcripts, Calendar Call	04/03/2018	0129-0132
Transcripts, Initial Arraignment	01/18/2018	0108-0111
Transcripts, Jury Trial Day 1	04/09/2018	0133-0455
Transcripts, Jury Trial Day 2	04/10/2018	0456-0701
Transcripts, Jury Trial Day 3	04/11/2018	0702-0999
Transcripts, Jury Trial Day 4	04/12/2018	1000-1094
Transcripts, Jury Trial Day 5	04/16/2018	1147-1223
Transcripts, Preliminary Hearing Vol. I	12/14/2017	0001-0051
Transcripts, Preliminary Hearing Vol. II	01/16/2018	0056-0107
Transcripts, Sentencing	06/07/2018	1228-1233
Transcripts, Sentencing	07/24/2018	1234-1238
Transcripts, Sentencing	08/14/2018	1239-1253
Transcripts, Status Check Trial Setting	03/27/2018	0121-0128
Verdict	04/16/2018	1224-1227

Appendix Index (Chronological)

<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Date</u>	Bates No.
Transcripts, Preliminary Hearing Vol. I	12/14/2017	0001-0051
Information	01/07/2018	0052-0055
Transcripts, Preliminary Hearing Vol. II	01/16/2018	0056-0107
Transcripts, Initial Arraignment	01/18/2018	0108-0111
Transcripts, Calendar Call	02/27/2018	0112-0120
Transcripts, Status Check Trial Setting	03/27/2018	0121-0128
Transcripts, Calendar Call	04/03/2018	0129-0132
Transcripts, Jury Trial Day 1	04/09/2018	0133-0455
Transcripts, Jury Trial Day 2	04/10/2018	0456-0701
Transcripts, Jury Trial Day 3	04/11/2018	0702-0999
Transcripts, Jury Trial Day 4	04/12/2018	1000-1094
Jury Instructions	04/16/2018	1095-1146
Transcripts, Jury Trial Day 5	04/16/2018	1147-1223
Verdict	04/16/2018	1224-1227
Transcripts, Sentencing	06/07/2018	1228-1233
Transcripts, Sentencing	07/24/2018	1234-1238
Transcripts, Sentencing	08/14/2018	1239-1253
Judgment of Conviction	08/16/2018	1254-1256
Notice of Appeal	08/21/2018	1257-1258

1	THE COURT: Okay. Which court?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Municipal court.
3	THE COURT: I know, but Las Vegas, Henderson?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: City of Las Vegas
5	Municipal Court
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: First floor, window 27.
8	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: And then I I spent a
10	year and a half working for the City Attorney's Office, City.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: And I know a couple of
13	District Attorneys.
14	THE COURT: Who do you know?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Chad used to work at the
16	City Attorney's Office.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Chad Lexis?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: And then the husband of
21	one of the City Attorney's Office, John. I I can't remember
22	the last name.
23	THE COURT: All right. Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: I met him once.
25	THE COURT: All right. Any other questions?
	110
	119

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: What is it? 1 2 THE COURT: Any other questions? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: I know a few officers and 4 people who work for Metro. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Who -- who do you know? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: I'm not very social with 6 7 I mean, like we go hiking with a friend. I haven't hiked 8 with her for like five years. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Who is that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Her name is -- last name 10 is Flores. I can't even remember -- oh, Florencia, Florencia 11 Ritan (phonetic). 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. But you haven't done anything 14 really with her for about five years? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yeah. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Maybe -- maybe four, 18 maybe four years. 19 THE COURT: And then who else do you know at Metro? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: My friend used to work for Metro. He -- he's no longer with the force. And then 21 22 throughout the years that I've been with the court, I've been doing events with Metro like outreach events. So I kind of meet 24 officers, but it's just like the hi, how you doing, that's it. So it's a lot of -- can't remember all the names.

```
THE COURT:
 1
                         Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: And then the daughter of
 2
 3
   a friend is also with Metro. She's, I think, records. I'm not
   even sure.
 5
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: And then I also have
 6
 7
   friends who practice law.
 8
                        Okay. Who -- who are they?
             THE COURT:
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Mariana Kihuen.
10
             THE COURT: Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280:
                                           She's an attorney.
11
12
             THE COURT: What kind of law does she practice?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: I think it's criminal.
13
14
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: And then Vincent Ginn.
15
16
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: He used to work at the
   City Attorney's Office, too. That's how I met him.
18
19
             THE COURT: All right. His practice is?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Criminal.
21
             THE COURT: Criminal, okay.
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280:
                                          I don't know if he does
23
   any civil. I don't know. And then I'm still friends with some
24
   of the city attorneys.
25
             THE COURT: Okay.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Mostly one -- one of
 1
 2
   them, like we have lunch once in a while, it's for friends.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. And so lunch every now and then?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Uh-huh.
 5
             THE COURT: You need to answer yes or no.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yes.
 6
 7
             THE COURT:
                        Thank you.
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280:
                                           Sorry.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. Any other questions?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: And then I was a victim
10
   of domestic violence about 15 years ago.
11
12
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: A victim of my --
13
14
             THE COURT:
                        Was that here in Southern Nevada?
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: -- my ex-husband.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. And, generally, what did that
17
   involve?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: I was satisfied with
19
   the --
20
             THE COURT: You were --
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yeah, he was arrested and
22
   -- and the divorce came and TMI.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. Any other questions?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: I think that's pretty
25 much it. Oh, well, with scheduling, I don't have a problem
                                  122
```

```
because I work here in the building, obviously.
 1
   Wednesday morning I do have a job interview.
 2
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. What time is that?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: 10:30.
 5
             THE COURT: All right. Okay. All right. Who is that
   with?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Child services officer in
 8
   -- with the City.
 9
             THE COURT:
                        Okay. All right. I'm guessing we can
10
   probably work with them to get that --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Oh, yeah.
11
12
             THE COURT: -- that changed. Okay. All right.
                                                               All
13
   right.
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280:
                                          If need to.
15
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you very much.
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280:
                                          Thank you.
17
             THE COURT: Any other questions?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Not that I can remember
18
19
   off --
20
                        All right. Well, let me -- anything about
             THE COURT:
21
   your work with the municipal court or with the City Attorney's
   Office that would affect your ability to be a fair juror in this
23
   case?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: No, not at all.
25
             THE COURT: And anything in terms of knowing Chad
                                  123
```

Lexis or John with the District Attorney's Office that would 1 affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: 3 4 THE COURT: Okay. Anything in terms of your 5 friendship with a number of people who have worked -- or have worked or are working with Metro? Anything about that that 6 7 would affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: No. 8 9 THE COURT: And anything in terms of your friendship 10 or association with Kihuen or Ginn or any of the other attorneys with the City Attorney's Office? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: No, not at all. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And do you feel that you -- oh, and 14 then your -- the domestic violence incident that you dealt with about 15 years ago, anything about that incident and your 15 16 experience that would affect your ability to be a fair juror in 17 this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: 18 No. 19 THE COURT: Okay. You feel you'd be able to follow my 20 instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions 21 to the evidence admitted? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. All right.

broke off for break with has anyone ever testified at a court

proceeding, deposition, grand jury, or preliminary hearing, or

at some other proceeding where you were put under oath and 1 answered questions? And I saw a number of hands, so that's why 2 3 we took the break. Let's go back to No. 6, Mr. -- hand the mic back to No. 6, Mr. Roberts. 5 Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: Civil proceedings related 6 7 to intellectual property, depositions, and I've testified under 8 oath for various gaming jurisdictions outside of Nevada. 9 Okay. The civil --THE COURT: 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: Always -- always for political questioning, not in court proceedings. 12 THE COURT: Always for what, I'm sorry? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: Political questioning in 14 State gaming boards and --15 THE COURT: Oh, okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: -- and things like that. 16 17 Not for any kind of court proceeding. 18 THE COURT: All right. Anything about those 19 experiences that would affect your ability to be a fair juror? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: No. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Anyone else 22 in the back row? Yeah. We have Ms. Collins's hand up. Go 23 ahead. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: Yes. I did a deposition for -- regarding a family trust.

1	THE COURT: Okay. About when was that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: Pardon?
3	THE COURT: About when was that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: That was at least about
5	12 to 15 years ago.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience that
7	would affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: No.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else in that
10	back row? All right. Next row down.
11	Okay. We have Ms. Ramirez, No. 19's hands up. Go
12	ahead.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0195: I was a part of a
14	deposition for a will.
15	THE COURT: Okay. About how long ago was that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0195: Last year.
17	THE COURT: All right. And that here in Southern
18	Nevada?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0195: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
21	affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0195: No.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Anybody else
24	in the second row? All right. Let's go to the third row, and
25	No. 22, Ms. Serrano.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: Yeah.
                                                 I was on
 1
   deposition for my company, and there was a sexual harassment
 2
   case and it was dismissed.
 3
 4
             THE COURT: Okay. About how long ago was that?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: About four or five years
 6
   ago.
 7
             THE COURT: Was that here in Southern Nevada?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: Yes.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: All right. Anything about that experience
  that would affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: No.
11
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else in that
   third row down? Oh. I see Ms. Catanzaro's hand up.
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: Yeah. I testified for
14
15
  the government in a federal case.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. About when was that?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: Probably about five years
18
   ago.
19
             THE COURT: Okay. Was that a criminal or a civil
20
   proceeding?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: No, it was criminal.
22
             THE COURT: Criminal? All right. What were the
23 nature of the charges?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: He was -- he was charged
24
  with corruption, embezzlement --
```

THE COURT: 1 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: -- theft from the 2 3 government. 4 THE COURT: All right. Were you a witness in that 5 case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: I work for a casino that 6 7 he had -- he owed us over \$100,000 in debt. 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: So it was basically about 10 money laundering and counting transactions at --THE COURT: Okay. All right. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: -- the casino. 13 THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would 14 affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: No. THE COURT: Would you be able, do you think, to follow 16 17 my instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions to the evidence admitted? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: Absolutely. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anybody else in 21 that row? Anyone in the fourth row? All right. No other 22 hands. 23 All right. Let me ask, have any of you ever been 24 accused of a crime or serious misconduct? Any of you ever been accused of a crime of serious misconduct? All right. Let's go

```
up to No. 4, Ms. Ubaldo.
 1
 2
             Okay. Go ahead.
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Misdemeanor.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay. When --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Charges are here.
 5
             THE COURT: Those were here in Southern Nevada?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Yeah.
 7
 8
             THE COURT: About when was that?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: A few years ago.
             THE COURT: Okay. And what was -- what -- what
10
   happened --
11
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: It was multiple --
13
             THE COURT: -- to those charges?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: -- multiple cases.
15
             THE COURT: Multiple --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: So battery, fighting.
16
17
             THE COURT: Okay.
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Driving without no
19
  license.
20
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, what?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Driving without no
21
22
   license.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. And were those -- how
24 were those resolved?
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: I'm paying them off now,
                                  129
```

at least trying to. 1 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Do you feel you were 2 3 treated fairly or unfairly in those cases? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: I feel like it was 5 unfairly. 6 THE COURT: Okay. And were those all out of -- what 7 jurisdiction? Las Vegas, Henderson? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Las Vegas. 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything about those experiences that would affect your ability to be a fair juror in 10 this case? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Yes, because, honestly, I don't want to be part of the jury. I don't --13 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: -- really want to serve, 16 sir. 17 THE COURT: I understand you don't -- may not want to I'm asking, though, is there anything about those 18 19 experiences in those misdemeanor cases that affect your ability to be fair to both sides in this case? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Yes 21 22 Okay. And how does it impact you? THE COURT: 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Because it -- I just --24 same thing he's going through. I don't know. I just don't --25 THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: -- think I'm going to be 1 able to be fair on this case. 2 3 THE COURT: All right. Anybody else, either you -have you been accused of a crime or serious misconduct? Anyone else? All right. Let's go to No. 6, Mr. Roberts. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: DUI. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. About how long ago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: 8 2011. 9 THE COURT: And do you feel you were fairly or 10 unfairly handled by the system? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: 11 12 THE COURT: You can be honest. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: The State Supreme Court 14 invalidated the -- the premise under which I was picked up, 15 so --16 THE COURT: All right. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: -- I quess -- I quess it was unfair at the time. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. Very good. Is there anything 20 about that experience that would affect your ability to be a 21 fair juror in this case? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: No, sir. 23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Anybody 24 else? Have you -- or ever been accused of a crime or serious misconduct? Let the record reflect no other hands.

Let me ask you, and if you already brought it up, you 1 don't need to, but let me ask you any one of you feel that 2 3 either you or someone close to you has been treated unjustly by the police or a prosecutor? You feel either you or someone close to you have been treated unjustly by the police or a prosecutor. All right. Let the record reflect no hands. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: Sorry. It's me. THE COURT: I'm sorry? THE CLERK: No. 10. THE COURT: Oh. No. 10. Oh, I apologize, Ms. --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: It's okay. 12 -- Wright-Myers. Go ahead. THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: It's okay. I've had 14 multiple problems with my children with domestic violence, and a lot times the -- the punishment hurt me more than it did my 15 children. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. And so you're not happy with the 18 police or the prosecutors or -- or both? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: Both. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Any -- focusing --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: Police arrested one of my boyfriends unfairly. We were trying to tell them something, and 22 the police officer was spitting his chew all over our sidewalk. 24 We were -- he was asked multiple times to please quit spitting on there because I hate shoes and I walk out there barefooted

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

all the time to -- and they arrested him for a felony, and he lost his security clearance for the government.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: And --

THE COURT: All right. I think I've heard enough.

Let me just ask you in terms of that -- that -- that situation with your children and your -- your friend, is there anything about that experience that would, you think, would affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case? Focusing on that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: Don't know.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's -- what we're doing here is totally separate and apart from your children's circumstances or your friend's circumstances. We're going to be presenting witnesses to testify here, evidence will be admitted. You think you would be able to focus on the evidence here and -- and follow my instructions and be fair to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: I can try.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much. Anybody else? Either you or someone close to you you feel have been treated unjustly by the police or a prosecutor? Oh. Let's go down to No. 28, Mr. Wolfbrandt.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: There's -- there's a shooting at the Mandalay Bay. There are, I mean, to -- to the end -- other peoples, my friends at Faith Lutheran that got hurt, they got broken arm and broken leg.

```
1
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: Because this dude right
 3
   here, I saw him --
 4
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: -- to break -- to break
   off the -- to break off two -- two largest of windows.
 6
 7
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: And started shooting --
 9
             THE COURT: All right.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: -- like -- like twice --
10
                         I understand. Do you -- do you have some
11
             THE COURT:
   particular concern with how the police or the prosecutors
   handled that situation?
13
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232:
                                           That's right.
15
             THE COURT: What's your concern as far as the police
16
   or the prosecutors?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: Those police need to
18
   arrested them for good.
19
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: Because you don't mess
21
   with my friends of mine.
22
                        Okay. All right. Okay.
             THE COURT:
                                                    Thank you very
23
   much.
24
             All right. Anybody else? All right. And then now if
  a police officer or other government agent was to testify in
                                  134
```

this case, you must judge their testimony and believability just as you would an ordinary witness, and evaluate their testimony just like anyone else in the case. In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe, and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it.

In that regard, I will instruct you as to possible circumstances you may take into account when considering the testimony of any witness, including law enforcement officers, or government agents; one, the witness's opportunity and ability to hear or know the things testified to; two, the witness's memory; three, the witness's manner while testifying; four, the witness's interest in the outcome of the case, if any; five, the witness's bias or prejudice, if any; six, whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony; seven, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence; and eight, any other factors that bear on believability.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact is not necessarily dependent on the number of witnesses who testify about it. What is important is how believable the witnesses are and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

In that regard, you must consider the testimony of a law enforcement agent or officer just like that of any other witness, assessing the officer or agent's opportunity and ability to see or hear or know the things he or she testifies

to, their memory, their manner testifying, their interest in the outcome of the case, their bias or prejudice, whether their testimony is corroborated or contradicted by other testimony, and whether their testimony is reasonable in light of all the evidence and any other circumstances you feel bear on a witness's believability.

If a police officer or other government agent testifies, is there anyone who would not be able to follow my instructions, and treat the officer or agent as an ordinary witness, and evaluate their testimony by the factors I have just stated, just like any other witness? Let the record reflect no hands.

Is there anyone who would give more credibility or less credibility to a police officer or a government agent's testimony simply because they are a police officer or government agent? Let the record reflect no hands.

Now, is there anyone who feels that they would not be able to follow my instructions on the law, if not consistent with what you feel the law should be? Okay. Let's go to No. 6, Mr. Roberts.

Okay. Mr. Roberts, you wouldn't be able to follow my instructions on the law if you disagreed with them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: I had a gun stolen out of

1 my car. 2 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: I had a gun stolen out of 4 my car. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: A man was arrested, 7 sitting in a stolen car, in possession of my stolen gun. He was 8 released on his own recognizance, never showed up for court. 9 can't get my gun back. 10 THE COURT: All right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: So my belief that the 11 system helps the victims and punishes the perpetrators is zero. 13 THE COURT: All right. Well, I understand you're --14 you're not happy with your gun situation. I can appreciate 15 that. Trust me, having dealt with these situations over the years, I do appreciate it. But you wouldn't be able to follow 16 17 my instructions on the law? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: If I felt like the law 18 19 was in contrary to what's best for people as a whole, I would 20 have a real problem with that. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. Let me ask again, anyone else who believes they would not be able to follow my instructions on the law if not consistent with what you 24 believe the law should be? All right. Let the record reflect 25 no other hands.

All right. Let me explain in general. The trial will proceed with the attorneys first giving opening statements. Witnesses will testify; exhibits will get admitted. And then after all the evidence is in, I will give you the law that you are to use to evaluate the evidence and to determine if the State has proven the essential factual elements of each crime charged, beyond a reasonable doubt.

Then the attorneys will give their closing arguments, and you will retire at that point in time to the jury deliberation room to deliberate and reach a verdict. Your job is to judge and figure out the facts, and take the law I give you and see if the facts you find prove the elements of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt and come up with a verdict.

The jury is to judge the questions of fact. My responsibility is to ensure you are presented with evidence that under the law is appropriate to consider, and the law that you are to use in determining the facts and evaluating the evidence, to determine if the State has proven what it needs to prove as to each charge beyond a reasonable doubt, and determining if the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the crimes charged. It would be a violation of your duty to render a judgement based on something other than the law given by the court.

With that in mind, again, is there anyone who doesn't believe they cannot follow and apply the law that I give if you didn't agree with the law? Okay. Let the record reflect no

other hands.

Now, there are some key principles to our criminal justice system, and I want to go through these to make sure that all of you are comfortable with accepting and abiding by these principles. The first one is that the information in this case, the charging document in this case, is simply a notice document. It is not evidence in any way of a crime.

It is simply a document which gives the defendant notice that he's being charged with certain crimes, and gives the public notice that the defendant is being accused of certain crimes. But it has absolutely no evidentiary value at all, and it's not something that you can consider in any way in your deliberations.

Is there anyone who has a problem with following this principle of our justice system, that the charging document, the information in this case, is simply a notice document and not evidence in any way of a crime? All right. Let the record reflect no hands.

Now, the defendant is presumed innocence. It's the State's obligation to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crimes in which he is charged. Now, we hear the phrase frequently the defendant is presumed innocence. We hear that phrase a lot. But I don't think we often think a lot about it.

And what it means is that at this point in time the

defendant is innocence, no ifs, ands, or buts about it. If I was to send you back to the jury room right now and tell you to reach a verdict, your verdict would have to be not guilty because the defendant is considered innocent until he has proven by the State beyond a reasonable doubt that he is guilty.

Now, this is a hard concept for some jurors. I've seen some jurors when asked the question of what verdict would you render right now say they don't know as they would have to see the evidence. But the point I'm trying to make is the defendant is considered innocent right now. And if you are asked to render a verdict right now, it would have to be not guilty. He remains innocent until the State has prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Is there anyone who has a problem or issue with the idea that the defendant right now is innocent, and you have to find him not guilty until the State provides evidence beyond a reasonable doubt of his guilt? Let the record reflect no hands.

Now, the plaintiff or the State is obligated to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt, and the defendant has no obligation to prove his innocence. This last point is very important. The defendant does not have to do anything. And I want to emphasize that. The defendant does not have to do anything.

His attorney and the defendant could just sit there and say nothing. And if at the end of the State's presentation

of evidence you did not believe that the State had proven beyond a reasonable doubt that a crime had been committed and the defendant had committed the crime, then you would have the obligation to find -- to find the defendant not guilty, even though the defendant and his attorney didn't say or do anything.

Also, a part of this principle is that the defendant, pursuant to the Fifth Amendment of the United States

Constitution and the Nevada Constitution has an absolute right if he chooses not to testify to not testify, and the State cannot use the fact the defendant did not testify as part of its case to prove the defendant's guilt, and you cannot consider it at all in evaluating if the State has met its burden of proving its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

This is a hard concept for some people, but I will instruct you that cannot consider if a defendant chooses not to testify in evaluating and making your verdict in this case, and that your verdict must be only on the evidence introduced at trial. Is there anyone who doesn't think that they can follow my instructions on this point? All right. Let the record reflect no hands.

I want to emphasize this last point again because I've had jurors say that it would bother them if the defendant didn't testify or they would like to hear the defendant testify. And this quite simply is not the law. The defendant has a right to decide if he testifies or doesn't testify, and you need to put

aside any feelings or preferences you have about the defendant's decision, and in deliberations focus just on the evidence presented in determining if the State has met its burden proving its case beyond a reasonable doubt. Does anyone have a concern with being able to follow this principle? Let the record reflect no hands.

Now, let me ask, will -- is there anyone who would not be able to wait in forming your opinion as to the appropriate verdict until after all the evidence and arguments are presented, the law is given to you, and you go back as a whole jury deliberate? Is there anyone who has a concern about their ability to wait until that point in time before forming your opinion as to appropriate verdict? Let the record reflect no hands.

Is there anyone who ha a concern with sitting in judgment of another human being which you think may interfere with your ability to consider the evidence, follow my instructions, and be fair to both sides? Let the record reflect no hands.

I've gone through a bunch of questions, so let me ask, is there anything any one of you feels that I've missed that you feel we should know about you that -- in regard to any biases or prejudice or concerns as far as your ability to be fair? Let the record reflect no hands.

All right. We're going to go for about another 15

minutes. And at this point in time we're going to hear -- get a chance to hear form all of you. I've got a list of questions here in which I'm going to hand you and ask you to answer. And the first question is who long have you lived here and where generally in the valley do you live? I do not want a street address. I want to know North Las Vegas, Boulder City, Henderson, Green Valley, Summerlin, Southern Highlands, that kind of thing.

If you've lived here less than 10 years, where did you live before you moved here? What is your education? How are you employed? And if it's not obvious from your job title, then explain to us what you generally do. If you're retired, tell us how you were employed when you retired. Are you married or have a partner, and what is your spouse or partner's job? Do you have any children, and what are the ages of those children living at home?

And don't be embarrassed by how old some of the kids may be living at home. I've had -- we've had up into the 50s, so don't worry about that. Have you ever been a juror, and was it a civil or criminal trial? And without telling us the verdict, and I want to emphasize, without telling us the verdict, did you reach a verdict and were you the foreperson?

All right. Marshal, can you hand this the -- start

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: I've lived in Vegas my

off with No. 1, Ms. McCloud. Go ahead.

```
whole life. I was born and raised here. I live in east Las
 1
 2
   Vegas.
 3
                         Okay.
             THE COURT:
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: But I go to school in
 5
   Green Valley. I have a high school diploma, but I'm currently
   working on my bachelor's degree in fashion design.
                                                        I am
 7
   employed. I'm a stylist for BCBG. It's a clothing brand.
                                                                I am
   not married. I'm 20 years old. I don't have any children.
 8
                                                                 And
 9
   I have never been a juror.
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you'd hand that list
10
   of questions off to No. 2, Guzman.
11
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0142: I've lived in Vegas 45
   years.
13
14
             THE COURT:
                         What part of Vegas do you live in just
15
   generally?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0142: Decatur and Charleston.
16
17
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0142: Well, I'll skip No. 2.
19
   graduated from high school.
20
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0142: I drive a bus.
22
             THE COURT:
                        For who?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0142: Well, I'm a school bus
24
   driver.
25
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
                                  144
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0142: I'm divorced.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Do you have any children?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0142: I have -- I have a boy
 4
   and a girl.
 5
             THE COURT: Do they live at home or are they out on
   their own?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0142: They live with their
 8
   moms.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. How old are they?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0142: My daughter is about 34
10
   years old. My son is about 32.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. And have you ever been a juror
   before?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0142: No.
14
15
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. Hand that list off, if
16 you would, to No. 3, Ms. Seiling.
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0144: I've lived here 19 years.
   I live in the southwest. I have a smidgen of college. I work
18
19
   in the casino industry.
20
             THE COURT: What generally do you do?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0144: Table games, so I take
  people's money.
23
             THE COURT: Okay.
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0144: My partner also works in
25 table games. We have two kids, 13 and 6, and I've never been on
                                  145
```

1 a jury. 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. If you'd hand that 3 now to No. 4, Ms. Ubaldo. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: I've lived here for ten 5 years. I'm originally from Guam. I have a high school diploma, I'm employed, my job title is the pharmacy help, I'm single, no 6 7 children, and, no, I've never been a juror. 8 THE COURT: Okay. If you can hand that now to No. 5, 9 Ms. Ramos. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147: I live in Las Vegas for 11 nine years. I used to live in the Philippines. I have a degree 12 in psychology. 13 THE COURT: Where generally in Vegas do you live? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147: Southwest. 15 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147: I am a research 16 17 coordinator, pharmaceutical research. I am married. I do not 18 have any children, and I have never been a juror. 19 THE COURT: What does your significant other do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147: He's a nurse. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Hand that now to No. 6, 22 Mr. Roberts. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: I've been in Las Vegas 24 for 30 years. I live in Summerlin. I have a bachelor's degree. I'm a business consultant, mostly project management. I am not

currently married. I have one son living in Southern 2 California. He's 35 years old. I have a stepdaughter who is 46 3 who lives here in town. Never been a juror. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you can hand that 4 5 now to No. 7, Mr. Davidson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: I have lived here about 6 7 15 years, originally from San Diego. I live in Green Valley. 8 have a partial college education. I am employed. I'm a network engineer or an analyst. I am divorced. I have two children, 10 both in college, living with me. And I've been a juror twice, both criminal and civil. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. Were you -- don't tell us the verdict, but were you able to reach a verdict in each case? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: Yes. 15 THE COURT: And were you ever the foreperson? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: I'm sorry? 17 THE COURT: Were you ever the foreperson? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: No. No, sir. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much. 20 If you go to No. 8, Ms. Galindo. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0152: I live on the east side 21 of Vegas. I'm originally from California. I moved here in '99. I do not have any high school education. I'm a scheduler at a 24 radiology center. I am married. I have three children of my 25 own, and raised two kids from my husband's prior marriage, and I

have not been a juror. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. If you can hand that now to 3 No. 9, Ms. Collins. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: I have lived here in Las Vegas about 50 years. The south -- southeast side of town. 5 I've had some college. I am an operations clerk at a bank. Ι 7 am a widow, no kids, and I have been a juror before three times. 8 THE COURT: How many times? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: Three. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Were those criminal or civil trials? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: Two criminal, one civil. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And, again, don't tell us the 14 verdict, but were you able to reach verdicts? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: Yes. 16 THE COURT: And were you ever the foreperson? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: No. 18 THE COURT: Okay. I know you think three is a lot, 19 but I've actually had one juror who came in and had 12 trials 20 that he had been on. And he got picked again for his 13th 21 trial, so anyway. All right. Thank you. Oh, what did your -and I'm sorry for your loss, but what did your husband do before 23 he passed? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: He was a mechanic. 25 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. If you'd

hand that now to No. 10, Ms. Wright-Myers. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: I have been here 2 3 forcefully here for about 25 years. My daughter got kidnapped, and so I came here to get her back. I live in Henderson. have a 23-year-old son that lives with me and an 18-year-old 5 daughter. I have six kids, four grandchildren, two more on the 6 7 way, and three stepchildren. I just started my own company, but 8 right now we're kind of struggling because I just had back 9 surgery. My husband also had a DUI so he can't drive so a lot 10 of it's up to me. And, no, I've never been a juror. THE COURT: Okay. Does your husband have employment 11 or is he currently employed? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: Through my company. Ι 14 had to start a remodeling company --15 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: -- so he could be 16 17 employed because he just couldn't handle being at other jobs. 18 THE COURT: All right. All right. Thank you very 19 much. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0264: 20 Uh-huh. 21 THE COURT: If you could hand that list and microphone all the way down to No. 11, Ms. Miller. Okay. Ms. Miller. 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0269: I've lived in Las Vegas 24 about three and a half years, and I live in the southwest area

of town. I'm currently studying for my travel specialist

degree, and I do have a high school education. I'm employed 1 2 with a resort company out in Summerlin. I've been with the 3 company a little over three years. I'm not married, and I don't have any children living with me, and I've never been a juror. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And if you'd hand that now to No. 12. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0171: I've been here for like 8 four years, southwest Las Vegas. Before, I lived in California. 9 High school diploma, sales associate. I'm divorced, no 10 children, I haven't been a juror. THE COURT: 11 Okay. Thank you. If you'd hand that now to No. 13, Mr. Brown. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0173: I've lived in North Las 13 14 Vegas for 30 years. I did not graduate from high school. work for McKinley Excavating. I have two children, and my wife 15 16 works at a dental office, and I have served on a jury. 17 THE COURT: Okay. How many times? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0173: Just once. 18 19 THE COURT: Was it criminal or civil case? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0173: I think it was civil. 21 THE COURT: All right. Again, don't tell us the 22 verdict, but did you reach a verdict? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0173: 24 THE COURT: And were you the foreperson? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0173:

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you can hand that 1 2 now to No. 14, Ms. Iverson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: I've lived here since 3 1990. I have a bachelor's degree. I'm a teacher for kids with 5 special needs. My husband works for Century Link, and don't ask me to explain what he does because I don't understand it. have three children and two grandchildren. One -- one child is 8 still living at home. He's 18. I have not been a juror. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can hand that now 10 to No. 15, Mr. Kubiak. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0185: Yes. I've been living in 11 Vegas 13 years, Summerlin area. I'm originally from Buffalo, 12 13 New York. Four years of high school. I'm retired. 14 married. My wife works at Venetian. She's an accountant. 15 THE COURT: What did you do before you retired? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0185: I was a receiver for 16 17 Wonder Bread Hostess. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0185: And I've -- let's see, no 20 children and I've never been on a jury. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you'd hand that now 22 to No. 16. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0189: I've been living in Vegas 24 for 25 years. I'm from southwest. I have a high school 25 diploma. I'm a parking attendant at Tropicana. I'm married and 151

I have two children, 13 and 5. My wife is a stay home mom, and I've never been a juror.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you'd hand that now to No. 17, Ms. Pelley.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0191: I've lived in the Las Vegas area 25 years. Excuse me, 22 years, and currently in the Henderson area. Associate degree for education. I work for a heating and air conditioning company in the accounting department. My husband is also employed at the same place as a field foreman. And no children and never on a jury.

THE COURT: Okay. If you'd hand that now to No. 18, Mr. Court.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0193: I have lived in Vegas for 21 of my 23 years. I grew up in North Las Vegas. I have some college education, still attending. I'm employed. I'm a biomed technician at Freedom Medical. I'm not married, I have no kids, and I've never been a juror.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you'd hand that now to 19, Ms. Ramirez.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0195: I've lived in Las Vegas for 20 years. I'm originally from Texas. I have some college education. I'm a Verizon tech coach. I'm single, no children, never been a juror.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Hand that now to No. 25 20, Ms. Biggs.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0277: I've lived here for about 1 2 13 years, and right now I live in the Spring Valley area. 3 had some college, and I illustrate and design games for a slot company. And I'm married and we have two little kids. they're 5 two and five, and I've never been a juror. THE COURT: What does your spouse do? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0277: He's a tattoo artist. 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. Hand that 9 down now to No. 21, Ms. Gonzalez. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: I've been in Vegas for 26 years and all over Vegas, and the last six months in North Las Vegas. I have a bachelor's degree. I'm a court clerk. I have 12 13 two kids from my previous marriage, and my husband, he works IT 14 with the City of Las Vegas. And I was a juror once for a civil 15 case. 16 THE COURT: Don't tell us the verdict, did they reach 17 a verdict? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yes. 19 THE COURT: And were you the foreperson? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: No. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you'd hand that to 22 No. 22, Ms. Serrano. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: I've been in Las Vegas 24 since 1999. I went to school in Germany. And I've worked for a 25 company for 15 years in Las Vegas. I was a supervisor.

What generally does the company do? 1 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: Japanese cash machine. 2 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: And I'm retired right now. I have two children, 54 and 51. My husband is retired 5 Army and retired post office. What else? I've never been a 7 juror. 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. If you'd hand that now 9 to No. 23, Mr. Stockton. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0210: I've lived in southwest Las Vegas Mountains Edge for eight years. I have some college. 11 I play poker professionally. My wife is -- stays at home. We 12 13 have two kids, five and three, and I've never been a juror. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can hand that now 15 to 24, Ms. Vasquez. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0212: I was born and 16 17 practically raised here in Las Vegas. I live on the southwest side of town. I did live in Texas for awhile. I graduated from 18 19 there. I have an associate's degree in business management. I 20 currently work for Dotty's. I'm the assistant controller of 21 gaming. Never been married. I have four children, 26, 24, 13, and 11, three of which are living at home. I was on a jury once, but I never made it to deliberation. 24 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And then now 25, Ms. 25 Dabney.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: I've lived here my whole life for 24 years in Summerlin. I have a bachelor's degree. am a waitress. I am not married, I don't have any kids, and I've never been on a jury. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's hand that to No. 26, Ms. Sanchez. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0220: I've been living in Henderson for the last seven years. Prior to there we lived in Burbank, California. And I'm a secretary. I work for Sunrise Senior Living as a human resources. And my husband is retired. He used to -- he used to work for Teledyne Microelectronic as an engineer. I have four kids. They're all grown up. And I've never been a juror. THE COURT: Okay. All right. If you'd hand that now to No. 27, Ms. Catanzaro.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: Yeah. I live out in Mesquite Monday through Friday because I work out there, and then I have a house in Las Vegas, southeast side of town. I've been here 35 years. I have a bachelor's in accounting. My job title is gaming compliance. I'm married and I have two daughters, both live with me, and a grandson who is 13. And I've never been a juror.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And we'll -- Mr. Wolfbrandt. Actually, this is probably a good time for us to take our lunch break, and we can catch the rest of the people

when we get back. So why don't we do that and we'll take a break right now.

All right. We're going to try -- I'm going to encourage you to get back here by 2:00. As I said before, until we get you all back, we can't get started again. That applies to the 32 over here and the gallery back there. It's very important that you all get back. As soon as you get back, we'll get started again with the jury selection process.

And we have a few people left to go through, and then we're going to let the attorneys get a chance to ask you a few questions, and then we should have our jury and we'll get started with the trial. We'll keep this moving as quickly as possible for all of you because I know you do have your lives that you want to get back to as soon as you can.

While you're out there, again, do not talk to each other about this case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. Do not talk with anyone else about this case or about anyone that has anything to do with it until you've been discharged as potential jurors or as jurors. Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends.

You may tell them that you are a juror in a criminal -- or being considered as a juror in a criminal case, but don't tell them anything else about it until after you have been discharged by me. Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone

should try to talk to you, please report it to me immediately by contacting the marshal.

Do not read any news stories or articles or listen to any radio or television reports about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. Do not visit the scene of any events mentioned during the trial or undertake any investigation or research or experimentation on your own. This includes use of social media to in any way discuss the case or the use of the Internet or other reference materials to do any investigation or research, and do not begin to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you.

All right. I really do appreciate everybody's patience. I know you've been here a long, long time. We'll see you back at 2:00 and get things moving.

(Prospective jury recessed at 1:09 p.m.)

(Inside the presence of Prospective Juror No. 232)

THE COURT: Mr. Wolfbrandt, could I ask you to stay behind for just a minute.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: All right.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and have a seat, Mr.

22 Wolfbrandt.

THE RECORDER: Your Honor, can I give him this microphone.

THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Why don't we go ahead and --

1	THE RECORDER: Uh-oh. Battery.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	THE MARSHAL: We need a new battery?
4	THE RECORDER: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr.
6	Wolfbrandt. I appreciate you staying back for a couple minutes.
7	You had started to talk about a concern you had regarding police
8	handling of, I think, some injuries to your friends during the
9	shooting.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: That's right.
11	THE COURT: What were you trying to explain to me?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: My friends are went to
13	Faith Lutheran
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: High School.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: And they had they had
18	a broken arm and a broken leg.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: And the that they got
21	shot.
22	THE COURT: And you felt that the there was some
23	the police or a prosecutor had not appropriately handled their
24	situation?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: I'm not there. I was
	158

```
working on that day.
 1
                        Okay. All right. But you raised your
 2
             THE COURT:
 3
   hand when I asked if the police of prosecutor should have --
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: I did.
 5
             THE COURT: -- treated a friend or someone close to
   you unjustly. Why do you feel that?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: Because my father told me
   that. I didn't know.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. So now do you feel you've been
10
   understanding all the questions that I've been asking?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232:
                                          I have.
11
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything you want to add
13
   to any of your answers so far?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: No, I don't.
15
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. Would you like to serve
16
   on this jury?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: Yeah, why not?
18
             THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm asking you.
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: I need to [indiscernible]
20
   for -- for -- I'm choosing guilty for this man right here right
21
   in front of me.
22
                        You're choosing guilty?
             THE COURT:
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: Yes, of -- of that man.
24
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. All right. Now, I told you that
25 right now he's presumed innocence. You didn't indicate that you
```

had a problem with that. Do you have a problem presuming or 1 2 assuming that he's innocent right now? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: I have no problem at all. 4 THE COURT: Okay. But you are choosing -- you already 5 have chosen guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: Yeah. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does the State need to 8 ask any other questions? 9 MS. SUDANO: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. Defense? MR. SHEETS: No, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. You know, Mr. 13 Wolfbrandt, why don't you go outside and sit in the hallway just 14 for a minute. We may call you back in, but just go out and sit 15 in the hallway for just a minute. Okay? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0232: All right. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 18 (Outside the presence of Prospective Juror No. 232) 19 THE COURT: All right. Let's just talk about Mr. 20 Wolfbrandt for a second. Does either party want to make a 21 motion? 22 MS. CRAGGS: We would, Your Honor. I think based on the conversation you just had with him, I think both parties 24 would agree that we would want to kick him for cause at this 25 point.

MR. SHEETS: I concur. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Well, he indicated that he was 2 3 picking guilty, and I'm -- I think that that, at this stage, it makes sense for us to strike him. 5 Marshal, would you go ahead and tell him that he doesn't need to come back after the lunch period. 6 7 THE MARSHAL: I'm going to let him keep his badge, 8 too, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Whatever you want to do. I'll leave it up 10 to you. All right. Judge, there were a few answers that we 11 MS. CRAGGS: 12 wanted to bring up, as well, that you got after we had our first 13 break that we thought we wanted to renew some of our cause 14 challenges based on those answers. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MS. CRAGGS: I don't know if now would be appropriate 17 or --18 THE COURT: Yeah, I want to -- I want to decide if 19 we're going to remove anybody at the -- I want to get them back 20 -- get new people in. 21 MS. CRAGGS: Then starting with No. 4, Ms. Ubaldo, she did respond to you when you asked her about her own criminal 22 cases whether or not she could be fair. She said she would try 24 to be fair, but she wasn't sure she could be fair, and her dissatisfaction with the system in general due to her own

criminal history. So we felt based on her answers regarding the 1 2 fairness that she should be kicked for cause at this point. 3 THE COURT: Defense position? MR. SHEETS: I'd ask Your Honor for the opportunity to 4 try and talk to her on voir dire. This is one where she -- she 5 did indicate she could be fair a couple of times, and then, I mean, she's really focusing on the I don't want to serve. think that was really what it is. 8 9 THE COURT: I mean --10 MR. SHEETS: And I have a personal problem with people just saying I don't want to serve --11 12 THE COURT: Well, I'm not --13 MR. SHEETS: -- and expecting to get off. 14 THE COURT: -- thrilled on that, either. Although, I thought at the end she actually said that she couldn't be fair 15 when we were talking about her misdemeanor cases. But I'll --16 17 at this point I'll let you have a chance to chat with her. 18 MS. CRAGGS: And, Your Honor, my co-counsel did remind 19 me that she actually did say I can't be fair, and then pointed 20 at Mr. Harris and said because I know what he's going through. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I thought she said she couldn't be fair, but I'll let you have a chance to --22 23 to speak with her. 24 MR. SHEETS: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right. Anyone else?

MS. CRAGGS: Yes, Your Honor. No. 6, Mr. Roberts, I 1 know we talked about him before, but this time through he said 2 that he would not be able to follow the law as instructed if he disagreed with it. 5 THE COURT: Does defense have a problem with striking Mr. Roberts? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 8 MR. SHEETS: Court's indulgence. I just want to 9 explain my rationale to my client. 10 (Off-record colloquy) MR. SHEETS: Your Honor, I heard the same statement 11 that the State did. My client has asked me not to try and 13 oppose for cause at this time. 14 THE COURT: All right. Well, what do you -- what's your -- what is the defense position? Are you --15 16 MR. SHEETS: I heard the same statements regarding not 17 being able to follow the instructions if he doesn't agree. know that's not what Your Honor is looking for on a jury. Based 18 19 on my client's recommendation, I'd like the opportunity to 20 potentially rehab him on voir dire, but I would submit. 21 THE DEFENDANT: He was fair. 22 Are you submitting on that or are you THE COURT: wanting to -- I mean, I've got -- I've got to have an answer. 24 MR. SHEETS: Okay. I'd -- I'd like the opportunity to rehab on voir dire.

THE COURT: All right. I'll give you the opportunity 1 to rehabilitate him on voir dire. 2 3 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. 4 THE COURT: State. 5 MS. CRAGGS: And, Your Honor, our last one would be Melanie Wright-Myers, No. 10. And she said that she would try 7 to be fair, but then she also started crying, she said she had a lot of problems with the police, a distrust of the system 8 because of how her children, I believe, have been treated due to several DV incidents between herself and her children. 10 just seems to be a lot of issues and she has a general problem 11 12 with the justice system. 13 THE COURT: I'm going to say, this -- what's the 14 defense --15 MR. SHEETS: I concur. 16 THE COURT: You concur. 17 No, you don't. THE DEFENDANT: 18 THE COURT: Okay. I was going to say. I think she 19 was crying at just about every turn, so all right. We'll strike 20 No. 10, Ms. Wright-Myers. 21 Anyone else for the State? 22 MS. CRAGGS: No, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right. Anyone for the defense? 24 THE DEFENDANT: No. 21. 25 MR. SHEETS: Court's indulgence. Let me look at my

client's request very quickly. 1 THE COURT: 2 Sure. 3 (Off-record colloquy) 4 MR. SHEETS: Your Honor, let me just quickly glance through mine here. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. SHEETS: See if there are any answers that change 8 my position. I've got two more to check, Your Honor. them on the long list, that's why I have to flip so many pages. 10 I'm sorry. It's all right. 11 THE COURT: 12 MR. SHEETS: Yeah. No, we are set thus far, Your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm going to finish up the -- we're going to add the two people. At this point in time 15 I'm going to strike Ms. Wright-Myers and Mr. Wolfbrandt. And 16 17 we'll finish up with the people on their -- on their personal backgrounds. 18 19 And then I'll let each side have an opportunity to ask 20 questions, and so I'm -- I'm -- and I'm telling you in terms of 21 asking questions, I want us to be progressing off of either 22 something new or progressing off an answer. I will cut you off if you're just repeating questions that I've asked. 24 And I'm not particularly enamored by questions which get into trying to give examples of different types of evidence.

So, you know, you aren't going to thrill me if you start talking about how you drive by a park and you see a guy on their knees 3 with a woman and holding up their hand, you know, what are they 4 supposed to assume from that. I'm not going to be --5 MS. CRAGGS: I was not planning on doing that. 6 I'm not going to be particularly thrilled THE COURT: 7 to hear that kind of question. I mean, you're allowed to ask 8 questions to see if there's issues of bias and prejudice and how strong those are, but this is not to essentially argue your case 10 or to convey instructions or touch on anticipated instructions on the law. So just keep that in mind. I will --11 12 And I see your hand, Mr. Harris, and I will let you have a chance to chat here in a second. 13 14 I will hold you guys to that. And then we'll do perempts, and I want to try to get openings and get as far as we 15 can get done today, so if we can. All right. Anything before I 16 17 let Mr. Harris talk to his attorney and determine if he wants to say anything to me? Anything else further from the State? 18 19 MS. CRAGGS: No, Judge. 20 From your perspective, Mr. Sheets? THE COURT: 21 MR. SHEETS: No, Your Honor. 22 All right. Mr. Harris, talk to your THE COURT: attorney before you say anything to me. Because anything you 24 say to me is public record --25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- and can be used against you. So ask 1 him -- before you start -- I mean, I will let -- I will give you 2 about two or three minute to say something to me, but I want you to talk to your attorney first and make sure he doesn't have an 5 issue with you saying it. (Off-record colloquy) 6 7 MR. SHEETS: Your Honor, my client is asking to address the Court. 8 9 THE COURT: I'll give you a couple minutes, Mr. 10 Harris, but everybody has got to get their own lunch and get out here. 11 12 Yes, sir. THE DEFENDANT: 13 THE COURT: But I will give you a couple minutes. 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I understand that, and I'm sorry if I'm keeping you guys behind for your lunch break --15 That's fine. 16 THE COURT: 17 THE DEFENDANT: -- and everything. Let's get direct and talk. 18 THE COURT: 19 THE DEFENDANT: I was just trying to come directly to 20 you because I understand what's going on and I want people to 21 understand the system of how we living today in the United States of America and this is supposed to be our safeguard. 22 want them to understand the 27 amendments, I want them to 24 understand the laws and the rights, I want them to understand my 60-day speedy trial that was -- that was way overdue 30 days

ago.

THE COURT: Well, the speedy trial, I've ruled on it and the prior judge has ruled on it, and that's not going to be an issue here in court. So I'm not going to let your attorney get into the 60-day speedy trial rights.

THE DEFENDANT: But it is the process, and that process --

THE COURT: I understand.

THE DEFENDANT: -- to be treated fair.

THE COURT: You may have a right to raise that on an appeal if you are found guilty. You may have that on a right to appeal, but that's not an issue we're looking at here.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. What about the issue of representing -- ask the people do they understand their rights as Americans?

THE COURT: Well, I've already gone through the procedures that relate to the criminal trial. I don't see the relevance of people -- asking people if they have their -- know their rights as Americans.

THE DEFENDANT: Right. But at the same time I was ask them questions, I was asking what a judge do, what a district attorney do. They found me competent to stand trial and to my nature of understanding the laws and the circumstances, and I have — took it upon myself to do research to understand it even more. And I know what a judge is allowed to do, I know if it's

advised between a judge, I know the 12.25s. I know -- I know I got a lawyer to make them representations for me, but what I'm -- what I'm stating, Your Honor, could you ask, at least let them know what the rights are, ask them what they rights are? THE COURT: I will instruct them on the law as it applies to this case. I'm not going to go into right and -- and law that isn't relevant to the case or relevant to their job as jurors. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. So, now, when you say law, you're saying like first degree kidnapping, you're going to give them instructions of what first degree kidnapping is? THE COURT: Right. THE DEFENDANT: Right burglary is. And could you give them instructions that I got bound over on -- on charges that was no probable cause? Because --THE COURT: Well, now, see they're going to determine -- whether or not you feel that you were bound over on charges without probable cause is not relevant at this stage of the proceeding. The jury will decide if the State has proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt. What happened down below is not something we're considering here. You may, again, have an appeal issue if you're convicted --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- but it's not an issue we're considering here.

THE DEFENDANT: I just was reading some laws that if 1 2 another judge know about another judge bias, that I should let 3 the other judge know. Like I told you earlier, the other judge called me a narcissist, the judge called me a pimp, the judge 5 called me a thug ---And you may have --6 THE COURT: 7 THE DEFENDANT: -- the judge said that Mr. Steven Brian Wolfson --8 9 THE COURT: And I understand what you're saying. THE DEFENDANT: -- she had to look on the calendar 10 11 for --12 THE COURT: You maybe had an ability to file a motion at that point in time, but at this point in time we've started 13 14 the trial and whatever happened between you and that previous judge I'm not worrying about at this point. You may have 15 16 something, again, that if you're convicted, and we'll have to 17 see if you're convicted. THE DEFENDANT: I did file motions on record. 18 I got 19 motions that I filed myself, but the judge said no lawyer or the 20 court system will listen to what I've got to say about law. 21 THE COURT: No. What happens is if you filed motions 22 and you were represented by an attorney --23 THE DEFENDANT: At the time I wasn't. 24 THE COURT: -- then -- then when you're -- if you're represented by an attorney, the motions have to be filed by the

attorney. We won't consider anything filed by you when you're represented by an attorney.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, at the time I wasn't. My -- my current lawyer Scott Ramsey --

THE COURT: Well, I understand your concern about it, but at this stage we've started this trial. Whatever happened in the preliminary hearing, like I said, you may have an appeal issue, but at this point it's not relevant to what these jurors need to do, and so I'm not going to spend any more time on that.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I was just speaking because some things I researched in other cases.

THE COURT: I understand. And, I'm sorry, I'm going to have to cut you off.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I [indiscernible].

THE COURT: I'm not going to discuss anything in terms of the preliminary hearing. We're focusing on the trial right here, okay.

THE DEFENDANT: I thought it was -- I thought it was your job to hear what I had to say and like my concerns.

THE COURT: And I have heard what you said and I have explained to you at this point in time I'm not going to deal with a preliminary hearing. Your attorney may have a basis for an appeal on this, and there may be something he can file after the trial. But right now I'm focusing on getting a jury picked to hear the evidence from the State and anything you want to

offer from the defense. 1 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. I just was thinking 2 3 like the process back then was showing bias of this judge. 4 THE COURT: And I appreciate you feel that there was bias on the part of the judge. Like I said, there may have been 5 some motion that could have been appropriately filed at that 7 point. Apparently, it wasn't filed by your attorney if you did 8 file something. At this point, that's not an issue and it's 9 something we can consider later on. All right. We've all got to eat our lunches --10 11 MR. SHEETS: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: -- so I'm going to cut off things at this 13 point --14 MR. SHEETS: I was going to --15 -- and we'll get back together at 2:00. THE COURT: 16 MR. SHEETS: I was going to implore if I might be able 17 to squeak in an extra ten minute out of Your Honor to try and 18 get something in my stomach. 19 THE COURT: No. 20 MR. SHEETS: Oh, my gosh. 21 THE COURT: 2:00. 22 MR. SHEETS: Okay. 23 Sorry. Everybody is living by those. THE COURT: 24 THE DEFENDANT: Could I have my brother -- like my family is kicked out the courtroom with the jury; is that right?

THE MARSHAL: They weren't kicked out. They weren't 1 2 kicked out of the courtroom. 3 THE COURT: The jury we exclude, but, I mean, your 4 family -- your family can sit in and watch. 5 THE DEFENDANT: Because I was -- I was noticing that he left every time the jury left. 6 7 THE COURT: Well, I mean, a lot of times people do, 8 but, no, your family doesn't need to leave the courtroom. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. (Court recessed at 1:28 p.m., until 2:11 p.m.) 10 (Outside the presence of the prospective jury) 11 12 THE COURT: Ready to go? 13 THE MARSHAL: Yeah, we're ready. 14 THE COURT: All right. Let's bring them in. (Inside the presence of the prospective jury) 15 THE COURT: Okay. Let the record reflect the presence 16 17 of the attorneys for both sides, the presence of the defendant. Do the parties stipulate to the voir dire panel? 18 19 MS. CRAGGS: Yes, Your Honor. 20 Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, thank you all THE COURT: for getting back in timely fashion. We didn't -- this is really 21 good for this large group of people, so I really do appreciate that. And I did speak with counsel during the break, and at 24 this point in time we're going to excuse Juror No. 10, Ms. 25 Wright-Myer.

Thank you, Ms. Wright-Myer, for your participation 1 2 here today. 3 Okay. And we're going to need a new Juror No. 10, and that's going to be Erik Hara. And we're also going to need a new Juror No. 28, and that will be Ada Tario. Okay. Let's go 5 -- let's start off with Mr. Hara, and 10. Could you give him 6 7 the questions? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: I have lived --8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Hold on just a second --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: Oh. Yes, sir. THE COURT: -- Mr. Hara. Did you have a -- good 11 afternoon, by the way. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: Yes I did, how is yours 14 going? 15 THE COURT: Good so far. All right. Did you have a 16 chance to hear the questions that I asked earlier today? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: Yes, I did. 18 THE COURT: Would you have answered any of those? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: On one, yes. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and answer that. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: It would be due to 22 scheduling, but I don't know if that would interfere with my ability or not, but it's something that I wouldn't want to 23 24 announce in front of the group. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: If I could speak to you
 1
 2
   and the attorneys, and then you could make the decision.
 3
             THE COURT: All right. We'll probably save that for a
   break.
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283:
                                          Okay.
             THE COURT: But -- so any other questions?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283:
                                          No.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. Why don't you go ahead and
 9
   read the -- off on those questions?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: Okay. I've lived in Las
   Vegas for 25 years, I live up in Summerlin. I have a bachelor's
   in healthcare administration. I'm currently employed with
13
   Costco. I am married with a child of 9. My wife works for
14
   Clark County Social Services. And I have been a juror once.
15
             THE COURT: Criminal or civil case?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: Civil.
16
17
             THE COURT: And don't tell us the verdict, but did you
   reach a verdict?
18
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: Yes.
20
             THE COURT: And were you the foreperson?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283:
21
                                          No.
22
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. If you'd hand
   that microphone and list up two spots, to No. 28, Ms. Tario.
24
   All right. Good afternoon, ma'am.
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Good afternoon.
                                  175
```

1	THE COURT: How are you doing?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Good, how are you?
3	THE COURT: Good. Did you have a chance to hear the
4	questions that I asked earlier today?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: I did.
6	THE COURT: Any of those you would have answered?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Just one.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: I was the victim of
10	domestic violence like 10 years ago.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Was that here in Southern Nevada?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: It was.
13	THE COURT: Were police
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Yes.
15	THE COURT: brought into this?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Was anyone ever apprehended or prosecuted?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Were you satisfied or dissatisfied
20	with the police?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Satisfied.
22	THE COURT: All right. Anything about that experience
23	that you feel would affect your ability to be a fair juror in
24	this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Not at all.
	176
	176

THE COURT: You feel that you'd be able to follow my instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions to the evidence admitted?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Absolutely.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and read off those questions.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: I've lived in Las Vegas, the east side of town, for about 24 years. I have some college education. I am employed. I work as a patient care coordinator for OptumCare Primary Care. I am separated, I have three children, 20 -- ages 20, 16, and 15, and they all live at home, and I've never been a juror.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you'd hand that, and let's bring it over to No. 29, Mr. Nordsiek. Go ahead, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0235: I've lived in Vegas for nine years, northwest. I have some college education. I'm employed as a aircraft mechanic. I'm married with three children, two live with me, 15 and 11, and I have not been a juror before.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. If you'd hand that now to No. 30.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0240: I have lived in Henderson for 20 years. I have a high school diploma. I am employed at Clark County Detention Center. I am married with two children, ages four and six, and I've never been a juror.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you'd hand that now to No. 31, Mr. Simoni.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: I lived in Henderson for four years from -- well, I moved here from Pittsburgh,

Pennsylvania. High school education. I'm employed at Timet on Water Street. And my sweetie, she's retired, she used to be a receptionist in a doctor's office back in Pennsylvania. She has two kids. And I've never been a juror.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And now No. 32, Mr. Pasco.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0259: Lived here in Las Vegas since 2006. Started a bachelor's in science in electrical engineering, and working as a maintenance engineer in Wyndham. Happily divorced, got seven kids, and three grandkids. Never been a juror.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you very much. All right. Well, I appreciate all of you giving us a little information about yourself. At this point in time, I'm going to let the attorneys for both sides ask some questions. They can ask questions generally the way I've been asking questions, sort of as a group, you know. And -- or they can ask you questions individually.

Same principles apply, as to my questions. All we're looking for is honest answers. If at any time you are asked a question that you feel you need to have a sidebar, let -- let me

know and we'll have a sidebar either at that time, or when we have a break the next time. If you don't understand a question that an attorney asks, say you -- or you don't hear it, say I didn't hear that or I -- could you rephrase the question, and they'll be glad to rephrase the question and help you get through what -- the information that they're to get through. So with that, we'll be pleased to hear from the State. MS. CRAGGS: Thank you, Judge. Is it all right if I move the podium a little bit? THE COURT: Sure. MS. CRAGGS: Thank you. All right. How is everybody doing? PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL: MS. CRAGGS: Good. As Ms. Sudano said, my name is Ms. Craggs, Genevieve Craggs. I work for the State. I'm the prosecutor in this case, and I just have a few questions for you. Some general questions, some questions I want to follow up on what you told the Judge. As he said, the State and the defense in this case have an interest in just making sure that everybody who sits on the jury can be fair and can follow the law. So I might be asking you some questions that make you a little bit uncomfortable. I'm not trying to pry into your

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

personal life. We're just trying to make sure that both the

State and the defendant in this case have a fair jury. And as

the judge said, if -- if there's at any point you feel like you 2 need to talk to us in private, we'll be happy to accommodate you 3 in that way. 4 So we'll start off really easy. Does anybody here know anybody else that's on the panel? Okay. Oh. Let's see, 5 Ms. Ramirez, No. 19. Does somebody have the microphone, or is 7 it -- oh, thank you. Let me just pass it back. 8 Go ahead, Ms. Ramirez. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0195: I know Ms. Dabney, she's my sister-in-law's sister. 10 MS. CRAGGS: Who is that, Ms. Dabney? 11 Oh. Okay. And will Ms. Dabney being on the jury in any way cause you have issues in terms of being fair and impartial? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0195: Not at all. 14 15 MS. CRAGGS: Would you be able to have discussions with her and deliberate and talk with her about what you've 16 17 heard on the law when it comes time to do that? Want me to say 18 it again --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0195: 19 Yeah. 20 MS. CRAGGS: -- slower? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0195: 21 Yeah. 22 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Will you be able to talk with Ms. 23 Dabney about what you hear, in terms of the evidence, and the 24 law, as you're instructed, by the judge, even though you may have a relationship outside of being on the jury together, if

1 you're both picked? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0195: Yes. 3 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Great. If you could pass it down 4 to Ms. Dabney for me. Thank you. 5 No. 25, same questions for you, any issues there? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Nope. 6 7 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Great. And did I see another 8 hand? Was that it? Okay. Does anybody here enjoy watching those like CSI, Law and Order shows? Oh. I see -- I saw your 10 hand go up. Okay. Let's see, Ms. Vasquez, No. 24. Could you pass it over to her, please? Thank you. 12 What show do you like? All of them? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0212: I'm bored with Law and 13 14 Order, but CSI, NCIS? 15 MS. CRAGGS: All that stuff. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0212: All that stuff. 16 17 MS. CRAGGS: Do you watch CSI Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0212: I haven't in a while. 18 19 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. But you have? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0212: I check it out. 21 MS. CRAGGS: All right. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0212: Yeah. 23 MS. CRAGGS: So, obviously, in those shows you have 24 about an hour, and a crime is committed and there's all this interesting forensic evidence, and they solve -- you know, they solve the crime through some sort of like interesting test, and it's all done in an hour and it's all really interesting, does that sound correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0212: Yes.

MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And you understand that in terms of how things are actually done, crimes are actually investigated, and the forensic testing that we have available to us, it's not exactly the same as what's on CSI.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0212: Yeah, I understand life is not like TV.

MS. CRAGGS: Yes. And -- well, you've already probably figured out that this is not going to be done in an hour.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0212: Yeah.

MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Does anybody disagree with that?

Obviously, that CSI is fictional, it's made for TV, and clearly, this process is going to be a little bit different? Okay.

Seeing no hands.

Now, I know the judge asked you a couple of questions about experiences with law enforcement. If -- was there anything in terms of a particularly negative or particularly positive experience that you've had with law enforcement, that wasn't covered by the Judge, something that you feel you would need to share? Okay. Seeing no hands.

And -- so the judge also talked to you about how this

process is probably going to take, we're hoping, four days, maybe go into next week. Does everybody here understand that 3 even though this case might just be a few days long, it still should be treated seriously, and is as important as a case that might last three weeks, four weeks? Seeing no hands. 5 6 So now I'm going to just follow up on a couple 7 of folks who shared a little bit about their experiences. And 8 if I could go back to Ms. Dabney, No. 25. And you talked a little bit about this, you said that your father was arrested for domestic violence? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: 11 12 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Could you just tell me a little 13 bit more about what happened there? Was --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: What happened --15 MS. CRAGGS: -- that with your mother? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Yes, with my mother. 16 17 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Was that something that happened --18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: My whole life. MS. CRAGGS: Your whole life? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Yeah. More than once. 21 22 MS. CRAGGS: So it's something that went on for a 23 period of years? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Yes. 25 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And did your mother eventually 183

```
leave him, or is she still with him?
1
2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: No, she left.
 3
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And that took some time?
4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215:
                                           Yeah.
5
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. In terms of the abuse that went
6
   on, were you present for that?
7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Yes, almost always.
8
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And you feel that despite having
9
   some history where you at least present for this type of abuse,
10
   you think that you can set that aside and be fair and impartial
   to Mr. Harris?
11
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215:
                                          I -- sure.
13
             MS. CRAGGS:
                          Sure?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215:
15
             MS. CRAGGS: You obviously understand that what
16
   happened between your parents is different than whatever Mr.
17
   Harris is alleged to have committed?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Uh-huh.
18
19
             MS. CRAGGS: Yes.
20
             THE COURT: You need to answer yes or no.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215:
21
22
             THE COURT:
                         I'm sorry.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215:
                                           That's okay.
24
             MS. CRAGGS: And, again, like I said, not trying to
  pry, but do you understand sort of some of the reasons for why
```

```
your mother may have stayed throughout that time period even
 1
   though she was being abused?
 2
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Yes, I understand.
 4
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. What do you think --
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215:
                                          Fear.
             MS. CRAGGS: -- some of those reasons were?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Fear.
 8
             MS. CRAGGS: Fear?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: And maybe love, but
  mostly fear.
10
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And when you say love, what do you
11
   mean by that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: That she cared about my
13
   dad, but --
14
15
             MS. CRAGGS: She didn't want to get him in trouble.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215:
16
                                          Yeah.
17
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: And she also didn't want
18
19
   him to hurt her.
20
             MS. CRAGGS: Yes.
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215:
                                          More.
22
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And were you yourself ever a
23
  victim of that?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Physically, no.
25
             MS. CRAGGS: In other ways?
                                  185
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Yeah.
2	MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And do you mean like emotional
3	abuse?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Yeah. I mean, he was
5	just a scary person. I don't know. He's just a scary man.
6	MS. CRAGGS: I understand. Now, you said he was
7	arrested eventually.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Uh-huh.
9	MS. CRAGGS: Did your mother call the police?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Yeah. I think my cousin
11	called the police.
12	MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And the victim in that case, was
13	that your mother?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: It was my mother.
15	MS. CRAGGS: And I apologize if you said this, was
16	your father prosecuted for that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: I don't think so or,
18	no. Yes, he was. He had to have take classes, so yes.
19	MS. CRAGGS: He had to take classes?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Uh-huh.
21	MS. CRAGGS: Do you remember if you or your mother
22	ever had to go to court?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: I never had to go to
24	court. I'm not sure if my mom did.
25	MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Was she happy about the fact that
	186

he was being prosecuted? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: I think it was 2 3 bittersweet, probably. I think -- I don't think she wanted him to spend his life in jail, but I think she needed to like -- had 5 to get away, so --MS. CRAGGS: Complicated --6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Uh-huh. 8 MS. CRAGGS: -- situation? Okay. If we could pass it 9 back to -- thank you for sharing -- No. 1, Ms. McCloud. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Hi. MS. CRAGGS: So you just said a couple things earlier 11 when you were talking to the judge that I wanted to follow up 13 So you said that your cousin was the victim of domestic 14 violence; is that right? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: 16 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And you said, first of all, 17 something about somebody being mentally abusive. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Yes. 18 19 MS. CRAGGS: Who were you referring to? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Her boyfriend at the 21 time. 22 Okay. And -- and how did you feel he was MS. CRAGGS: 23 being mentally abusive? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: They -- she stayed with me and my family at the time, and he wasn't very supportive of 187

her having other children, so that was a big issue for him, and 1 2 he would just, you know -- you know, talk to the kids like, you 3 know, very angry and like aggressive and cursing at them and my cousin and just, you know, always like calling them like out of 5 their names and everything like that. Just to try to like rile -- rile her up, but for me, it just upset the kids the most. 6 7 MS. CRAGGS: And she -- you said she was staying with 8 you at the time? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: 10 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Was he also staying with you at the time? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: 13 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. He was just a boyfriend? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Yes. MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Do you know if this kind of 15 16 behavior had gone on for many years, or how long was she with 17 him? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: I'm going to say on and 19 off for about seven years. 20 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And then was -- were the police ever called? 21 22 Multiple times because PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: they would get into fights in my house, and it was, you know, a 24 mess. But, like I said, like my concern were -- were the kids, the children, because they were really, really small and

impressionable, but they did -- you know, we had to get the 1 police involved a couple times. 2 3 MS. CRAGGS: And was your cousin the one calling, or 4 were you the one calling? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Me, my -- she called once, but like, you know, just us in the house observing it, 6 7 like that's pretty much what it was. 8 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. So you said she did call once? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Yes. 10 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Was he ever prosecuted, do you know? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: No. MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Do you know if your cousin ever 13 14 went to court in order for him to be prosecuted, or what 15 occurred? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: She did not. 16 17 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Do you know if her not coming resulted in the charges being dropped, or -- or how that --18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Yeah. That's basically 20 what it was, she didn't really care for him, you know, to be 21 like arrested, she just -- it was just like a defense mechanism 22 for her. 23 MS. CRAGGS: Did you ever talk to her about why she 24 didn't want him to be arrested? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Yeah. And that's, you

know, basically what she said. I mean, she's just -- she doesn't want to be like the snitch, I guess. It's just really 2 3 stupid like in my mind, but --4 MS. CRAGGS: Is that when -- because I also had written down that you said people involved not wanting to do 5 what they need to do. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Yes. 8 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And were you referring to the fact 9 that your cousin didn't want to? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Yes. MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And in terms of why, I guess, you 11 said that she didn't want to be the snitch, was it also because she had feelings for him still? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Yes. A lot of -- put it that way. I mean, she has -- her oldest child is by him, and 15 16 also her youngest that she had about a year ago, so that's what 17 I was referring to, as well. So the oldest is seven, so about on and off for about seven years they've been together, so I'm 18 19 assuming that there's still feelings there. It's just unfortunate. 20 21 MS. CRAGGS: Are they still together today? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: I don't know how to 23 answer that. 24 MS. CRAGGS: That's okay. Can you set aside any of your feelings regarding that situation with your cousin, set

those aside, listen to the evidence as presented, and then make 1 a determination based on what -- if the State is actually able 2 3 to meet their burden regarding Mr. Harris's guilt or innocence? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Originally, I thought so, I would be able to, but it's really hard to unsee the things 5 that I've seen, so I'm -- I really am not sure. 6 7 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Do you -- you do understand, of 8 course, and I know these seem like silly questions, but you do 9 understand, obviously, that what happened between your cousin and her boyfriend is a completely separate situation? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: 11 12 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And do you also understand that 13 it's actually the State who has the burden to prove to you 14 beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Harris is guilty? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: 16 MS. CRAGGS: And that as he sits here today, he's not 17 quilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: 18 Yes. 19 MS. CRAGGS: And that he actually doesn't have to do 20 anything. He and his defense team could just sit there. 21 all up to the State to have to prove the charges? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: 23 MS. CRAGGS: So taking all those things into 24 consideration, do you think you'd be able to try and listen to the evidence and separate those two situations?

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: I will try, but it's --
 1
 2
   it's still, you know, a little iffy.
 3
             MS. CRAGGS: I appreciate your honesty.
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Yeah.
 5
             MS. CRAGGS: That's all we want. Thank you.
             If I could go to No. 5, Ms. Ramos.
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147:
                                          Hello.
 8
             MS. CRAGGS: So I wanted to just ask you a few
 9
   questions. You said that your cousin was arrested --
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147: Yes.
             MS. CRAGGS: -- for domestic violence.
11
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147: Yes.
13
             MS. CRAGGS: And she was actually brought to jail?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147:
14
                                          Uh-huh.
15
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. What --
16
             THE COURT:
                         You need to answer yes or no.
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147: Yes.
18
             MS. CRAGGS: Thank you.
19
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
20
             MS. CRAGGS: What actually occurred regarding that
21
   situation?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147:
                                          I really -- the story
  that was told to me is that they always have a problem, they
24
   have a kid together, but they always have a problem, they're
   always fighting. Both of them have issues. And I believe my
```

cousin said she threw a water bottle to her boyfriend at that 1 time, and then the boyfriend called the police and then the 2 3 police showed up. And then what she was telling me is that when there is a call like that, they usually have to take someone in, and I guess that's what happened. But the charges were dropped 5 because of the absurdity of it. My cousin is 4'11" and the 7 boyfriend is like 6'1", so like the threat, you know, like -- so 8 that's what happened. 9 MS. CRAGGS: Are you close to your cousin? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147: Somewhat. 10 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. So you talked to her about what 11 12 happened? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147: Yes, I did. 14 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And did you feel -- did you have any, I guess, negative feelings about the fact that -- you said 15 the absurdity of the charges. 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0147: I feel like both of them have issues just because it's always on and off. So I felt like 18 19 with my cousin being taken away, it kind of made her realize 20 that you can't just call them. You know, because she's done --21 before where she would call the police to just like aggravate 22 the boyfriend, so I felt like the relationship is just not good. 23 MS. CRAGGS: I understand. Thank you. 24 Let's go to -- let's see, No. 22, Ms. Serrano.

And I know you are -- you're emotional about this, so I'm sorry

```
to have to ask you these questions. If you need to take a
   minute, or if you want to talk just with us, we can do that too,
   okay. Just tell me.
 3
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: Okay.
 5
             MS. CRAGGS: So I know you said your granddaughter was
   killed; is that right? You have to say yes --
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: Yes.
 8
             MS. CRAGGS: -- or no. Okay. And you said -- I think
 9
   you said, was it her boyfriend?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: No. She never met the
11
   man.
12
             MS. CRAGGS: Oh, okay. So it was --
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: She was walking home from
14
   school, in Wichita Falls, Texas, two years ago. September
15
   [indiscernible] started.
16
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay.
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: And she was shot 14
18
   times.
19
             MS. CRAGGS: Oh, my goodness. Okay. I'm so sorry
20
   about that. And you said that it's -- it's going to trial in
21
   September?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202:
                                          September 10th.
23
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And you said that you would be
24 able to be fair and impartial --
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: Oh, yes.
```

```
MS. CRAGGS: -- in this case; right? It's a different
 1
 2
   place --
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: Oh, that's --
 4
             MS. CRAGGS: -- different --
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: Yeah.
 6
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And then one other question for
 7
         I think you talked about a lawsuit that you testified in.
   Was it a sexual harassment lawsuit?
 8
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: Yes, from work.
10
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And can you tell me about that?
   Were you the person bringing the lawsuit?
11
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: No, the company did.
13
   There was a lady, she got terminated from work. I used to be
14
   the supervisor.
15
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: And sexual harassment --
16
17
   something happened with her pants, they were split open when she
18
   was working.
19
             MS. CRAGGS:
                         Okay.
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: And I sent her home to
21
   change clothes.
22
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: And then she gets some
24 work wrong, she got terminated, and then she filed a lawsuit
  against the company for sexual harassment because I sent her
                                  195
```

```
home. And I told her go in the restroom. I was going to give
 2
   her a jacket to cover up.
 3
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay.
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: And she was -- she says,
 5
   well, you harass me, you get this. And I said, no, I said you
   cannot stay in the company like this. And she filed a lawsuit,
 7
   and then we have to go in preparation, we have to swear in, and
   -- and it was dismissed.
 8
 9
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay.
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: It didn't do nothing.
             MS. CRAGGS: So did the judge --
11
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: She wanted to have --
13
             MS. CRAGGS: -- judge just --
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: -- money from the
15
             She tried to make money, and that was it.
   company.
16
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. So nothing ever came of it?
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: Nothing.
18
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Now did that give you any sort of
19
   negative feelings toward the justice system?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: No, I liked it.
21
             MS. CRAGGS: You liked the end result; right?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: Yes, because I didn't do
23
   nothing wrong, so --
24
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay.
                                 Thank you.
25
             And if I could go to No. 21, Ms. Gonzalez. You looked
```

like you knew I was coming to you. You also mentioned with the Judge that you were a victim of domestic violence by your 2 3 ex-husband; is that correct? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yes. 5 MS. CRAGGS: And I think you also said you have a couple kids with the same person; is that correct? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yes. MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Can you tell me a little about 8 9 that? Did it go on for a long time? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yes. They were -- my kids were 6 and 10, and he was addicted to drugs. So the one 11 time that it -- we were married for 11 years, and one time he hit me. I called the police. I guess he was also scaring the 13 14 kids and holding them. He bruised up their little arms. 15 was arrested. The case was dismissed. I don't even know why or how. I think they gave him like credit time served or 16 17 something. 18 Okay. So maybe --MS. CRAGGS: 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: But after that I 20 separated, and then started the divorce process, so I really wasn't that involved. There was a petition order, stay away and 21 22 all that. So, yeah, and he doesn't really have a relationship with my kids. They're 25 and 21 now. They see him like every 3 24 years.

197

Okay.

MS. CRAGGS:

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: And I think -- is there
 1
 2
   any other questions?
 3
             MS. CRAGGS: Maybe a few. So it sounds like
   potentially there was a plea deal that occurred as opposed to it
 5
   being dismissed?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Probably.
 7
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. You're just not sure exactly what
 8
   happened?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yeah. And I -- I never
 9
10
   asked.
             MS. CRAGGS: So it only happened that one time, and
11
   you called the police?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Uh-huh.
13
14
             MS. CRAGGS: Yes?
15
             THE COURT: You need to answer yes or no.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280:
16
                                          Yes.
17
             MS. CRAGGS: Thank you. How about any sort of
18
   behavior in terms of maybe isolating you or controlling you, did
19
   that occur prior to that incident happening?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: There was a lot of
21
   emotional abuse, yes, and financial. I would have to sleep with
   my purse underneath my pillow all those years, so yes.
23
             MS. CRAGGS: Does it -- is it fair to say that it
24
   sounds like a kind of -- built up to that point?
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280:
                                  198
```

MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And then, obviously, I mean, 1 2 you're very familiar with the justice system, I know that. you said that you didn't have any bias regarding that specific incident that occurred, either towards the State, which you work 5 for municipal court, so that would be a problem --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yes. 6 7 MS. CRAGGS: -- is that correct? Or towards Mr. 8 Harris? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: I -- I see both sides, 10 and I can be neutral and --MS. CRAGGS: You can be --11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: -- fair. 13 MS. CRAGGS: -- fair. Okay. Thank you. 14 Last one, Ms. Tario, No. 28. You're our newest 15 number. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Yes, ma'am. 17 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. So you also talked -- you know what I'm going to ask you. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Yes. 19 20 MS. CRAGGS: You also talked to the judge about this. 21 Can you tell me a little bit more about your situation, please? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Well, I had -- I had two 23 instances. I had an ex-boyfriend that I had, that I -- I dated 24 for like six months, and he was abusive to the point that I ended up in a shelter with my kids. He was -- he never touched

-- laid hands on me. He was just abusive and threatened to do 1 things. And that went to -- I ended up at a shelter with the --2 with the kids when the kids were small, and he got caught. put a restraining order on him, and last I heard he got 5 deported. I never had to go to court or anything --MS. CRAGGS: Okay. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: -- for that. And then 8 with my ex -- well, husband, one time, but he was an alcoholic. Not that I'm saying that it was right, but it happened one time. 10 And he went through AA, and it never happened again. MS. CRAGGS: Okay. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: We're not together 13 anymore, but it was just one time, not -- and again, I'm not 14 making excuses for him. 15 MS. CRAGGS: I don't feel like you are. Did you call 16 the police that one time? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: I did. 18 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And you don't know what happened 19 as a result of that phone call? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: He -- well, he was never 21 arrested. 22 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: He was never arrested. 23 24 So you called, but they didn't --MS. CRAGGS: 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: I -- I called, and he had

left by then, by the time they -- he -- you know, by the time he 2 -- the cops showed up, he was gone. 3 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: And then he, you know, he 5 got caught another time drinking and he went through AA, but his problem was the alcohol. 6 7 I want to go back to the first MS. CRAGGS: Okay. 8 time you're talking about your boyfriend, I think you said of 9 six months; is that right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: 10 It was somebody that No. I dated for six months. 11 12 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And you said that there was never 13 physical abuse, but there was other abuse. What --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Well he -- like he used to say like I'm going to burn the house when you're sleeping 15 with the kids. And then he would go and do car damage to my 16 17 mom's cars and my car. So it was just -- it was -- it was very 18 intense. It was just the physical abuse that he would put fear 19 into me, that I was like always like scared that he would do 20 something. 21 MS. CRAGGS: And this went on for a period of time? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Not that long. 23 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: I would say three months, 25 maybe.

MS. CRAGGS: And what were some of the reasons that 1 you didn't leave as soon as this kind of behavior started? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: I was afraid. 4 MS. CRAGGS: You were afraid, okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Not only that, but he -he was my support a lot. I had -- you know, I had gone through 6 a divorce, I don't know, two, three years before that, and I was 8 having financial issues and he was my support. 9 MS. CRAGGS: And you mean by support, you mean 10 financial support? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Well, a little bit. 11 12 so much, but yes. 13 MS. CRAGGS: So financial support, maybe emotional 14 support, as well? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Yeah. MS. CRAGGS: All right. Now, has anyone here ever 16 17 volunteered at a shelter for victims of domestic violence? Okay. Can you pass it to Ms. Dabney? Please don't get afraid 18 19 to raise your hands. 20 Ms. Dabney, No. 25, where have you volunteered? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: SafeNest or whatever the -- yeah, I don't know exactly. I went with a group. 22 23 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. What did you do there? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Probably like four years ago, maybe? It's been a while.

MS. CRAGGS: What -- but what did you do in your 1 2 volunteering? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: We helped set up rooms, and then we also like organized like all the stuff that they like had like that was coming through, and then we did meals for 5 -- we did like everything in a whole day. 6 7 MS. CRAGGS: Oh, okay. Cool. If you could please 8 pass it down to, I think it was Ms. Gonzalez. 9 Did you also raise your hand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yes. 10 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. No. 21. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: I attended orientation to 13 volunteer at the Shade Tree, and I volunteered just once 14 organizing their stuff in the warehouse or something like that. 15 MS. CRAGGS: When did you volunteer there? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Almost four years ago. 16 17 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Anybody else? Okay. Seeing no hands. How about anybody ever donating to a charity that gives 18 19 money to domestic violence victims or help support victims, that kind of thing? 20 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: 22 MS. CRAGGS: It's already with you, 21. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: -- donate clothing and 23 24 stuff to SafeNest --25 MS. CRAGGS: Okay.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: -- all the time.
 1
 2
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. I thought I saw more hands.
 3
  Anybody else?
 4
             If we could go all the way back to Ms. Collins, No. 9,
 5
   please.
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: Do you want me to go
 7
   while I'm --
 8
             MS. CRAGGS: Oh, I didn't see --
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: -- I have the mic.
             MS. CRAGGS: -- your hand. I'm sorry, yes. Let me
10
   just make sure I've got it. Ms. Iverson.
11
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: Yes.
             MS. CRAGGS: No. 14.
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184:
14
15
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: I've donated time and
16
17
   making like blankets and sent pajamas there, and -- but I've
   just never actually worked there --
18
19
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. How often --
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: -- or volunteered at the
21
   place.
22
             MS. CRAGGS: I'm sorry. I cut you off. Go ahead.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: And I haven't volunteered
24 at the actual place.
25
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. How often do you do that kind of
                                  204
```

```
1
   thing?
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: Once or twice a year.
 3
             MS. CRAGGS: Thank you. If you could go back to Ms.
 4
   Collins now, No. 9.
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: And you said donations
 6
   and --
 7
             MS. CRAGGS: Yes.
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: Yeah, I've done that,
 9
   but --
10
             MS. CRAGGS: What kinds of things have you donated,
11
   and where do you donate to?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: Oh, it's usually -- it's
13
   like through work, usually like through cash donations.
14
             MS. CRAGGS: And, specifically, to like domestic
15
   violence charities?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: If that's what's coming
16
17
   up, yeah.
             MS. CRAGGS: So you're just donating to -- to
18
19
   everything that comes up basically?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: Well, yeah.
21
   like it's every day, but yeah.
22
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Thank you. Okay.
                                                    So just a
   general question, but if nobody raises their hand, I have to
24
   pick on somebody, so please do. Is everybody here familiar with
   the term -- or anybody here familiar with the term, like the
                                  205
```

victim brought the charges or the victim dropped the charges? 1 2 Oh, I see -- I see it. Are you shaking --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: Well --4 MS. CRAGGS: -- your head yes? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: Yeah. 5 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Give me a second. All right. 6 Mr. 7 Simoni, could you pass that down for me? Thank you. 8 And not necessarily yourself, but the term. Go ahead. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: I read it in a paper when 10 the -- the Sanchez, what's he, Bishop Gorman's head coach? MS. CRAGGS: Uh-huh. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: Whenever, supposedly 13 Christmas time, him and his live-in, and then all of a sudden 14 she dropped the charges. 15 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Ms. Gonzalez, I think you were 16 raising your hand too, No. 21. I'm just going to keep going 17 back to you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Working --18 19 MS. CRAGGS: Do you -- what do you think that that 20 terms means? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Well, working at the City Attorney's Office you get calls from victims. I don't work directly with them, but translating sometimes, victims trying to 24 drop the charges. And they were explained that they're not pressing the charges, it's the City pressing the charges. So

that's all I know. 1 2 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. So, basically, it's not actually 3 up to the victims whether or not charges are dropped, or whether 4 or not charges are brought, is that fair to say? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yes. 6 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And that sometimes you have 7 victims call and actually ask for charges to be dropped, yes or 8 no? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Yes. MS. CRAGGS: Okay. What are some reasons that victims 10 would call and ask for charges to be dropped? 12 MR. SHEETS: At this point, Your Honor, I'm going to 13 object. I'll sustain. Let's move on. 14 THE COURT: 15 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Thank you, Judge. 16 But, basically, you would just explain to them that 17 it's not up to them, it's up to the State? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0280: Correct. 18 19 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Now, does anyone have an issue 20 with the fact that the State is one that brings the charges in 21 the case, and it's not actually the victim's decision? Seeing 22 no hands. 23 Now, I want you guys to think about a really bad 24 horror movie that you've seen, and in those horror movies, somebody, you know, runs out of the house, and the killer is in 25

the house, and then they decide to turn around and run back in. And you're sitting there like what are you thinking, that was the stupidest choice you could have made. Okay.

Now, can you maybe look at decisions that somebody has made in their life, even if they're different than the ones you would have made, and try to understand the reasons for that?

Anyone have a problem kind of understanding that concept?

Seeing no hands.

And, similarly, does everybody here understand that the law protects everybody, regardless of what choices that they've made in their lives? It protects everybody equally?

Does anybody have a problem with that? Okay. Seeing no hands.

And then regarding your job as jurors, and that you're going to, eventually, if you're picked for the jury, have to deliberate, does anybody here think they'd have a problem deliberating with strangers, people that they don't know, standing up to somebody else if you have a different opinion? Are you raising your hand no? Okay. Can we go back to No. 1, please, Ms. McCloud. Go ahead.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: It's -- it's just really hard to try to see everyone's point of view at one time, you know what I mean. Like we all have to, you know, come to a one solid, you know, agreement. And personally, for me, it's like -- it's hard for me to get my point across when I have so many people.

MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Fair enough. I appreciate that. 1 2 And I know we've already talked about this, but, you know, you 3 would do your best to listen to the evidence, and then come to your own conclusions; is that fair? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: 6 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Anybody else? Okay. How about 7 can everybody here come back with a guilty verdict if the State 8 proves beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the charges? 9 Would anybody have an issue with that? Okay. Seeing no hands. 10 And, similarly, if the State fails to meet our burden, 11 if we fail to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the elements of 12 the charges, you come back as a not guilty verdict for Mr. 13 Harris, does anybody have an issue for that? Okay. Anybody 14 here have any issue sitting in judgement of another person? 15 THE COURT: I've already asked that, counsel. 16 MS. CRAGGS: Oh. Sorry, Judge. Thank you. Okay. 17 And is there anything else that I haven't asked that 18 you might have in the back of your head that you want to tell 19 me, that you think I should have asked? Okay. 20 Court's indulgence. Just a couple more questions, 21 Judge. 22 Ms. Ubaldo. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: 24 MS. CRAGGS: Can we go back to you, please? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146:

```
MS. CRAGGS: So earlier when you were speaking with
 1
 2
   the Judge, I think you said that you didn't think you could be
 3
   fair; is that right? When you were talking about your cases
   that you had a few years ago?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: That I didn't think I
   could be fair?
 6
 7
             MS. CRAGGS: Yes.
                                Is that a correct statement of what
 8
   you had said to us earlier?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: No.
10
             MS. CRAGGS: Okay. I thought earlier when we were
   talking about -- I think you said you had some misdemeanor
12
   cases --
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146:
13
14
             MS. CRAGGS: -- in the system? Okay. I thought you
   said that you'd have a hard time being fair because you kind of
15
16
   understood maybe what the defendant was going through, is that
17
   not what you said?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: No, that's correct.
19
   Yeah.
20
             MS. CRAGGS:
                          Okay.
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: As far as that.
22
             MS. CRAGGS: Can you explain to me what you mean a
23
   little bit more?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: I just don't -- I don't
   feel like I don't -- I don't want to be in a position where I
```

have to make a judgement on somebody that went through kind of a similar -- the same thing as me. I mean, I have a domestic, but it's still similar, and I just -- I just don't think I'm fit for that position.

MS. CRAGGS: And when you say you have a domestic, does that mean you were arrested for domestic violence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Well, I don't have a domestic.

MS. CRAGGS: Oh. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: We have different situations, but I'm -- I don't feel comfortable being in the position to judge somebody else, period. So --

MS. CRAGGS: And did you feel like -- and is that because you felt like the justice system -- justice system wasn't necessarily fair to you during your case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Yes.

MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And -- and how so?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Because my -- it was just from the beginning, certain -- just being picked out for no reason, even with my ticket. For them to put me as a black woman when I'm clearly Asian, just for simple things like with pulling me over, saying I have no plates, but I got my car from a dealership. Just certain things that I went through in my past, so it's just -- I don't feel like -- sometimes -- I'm not saying every single person in the system is bad, but the things

that I did come across, I don't -- it's -- some things are very 2 unfair. 3 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. So it would be hard for you to 4 listen to the evidence with an open mind? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Yes. MS. CRAGGS: If we would go over to Mr. Roberts, No. 6 7 And I wanted to just follow up with you on -- I think you --8 I think you said, and correct me if I'm wrong, that if you 9 disagreed with the law, you wouldn't be able to follow it? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: Correct. MS. CRAGGS: Okay. So even if the Judge gave you an 11 12 instruction saying this is what the law is, if you disagreed 13 with it, you would not be able to follow that instruction? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: I will follow what I think is right and wrong. I think the justice system is 15 16 woefully broken. I think most of it is a waste of time. 17 don't think it helps the victims at all. I think that, more 18 often than not, the guilty walk free, and I don't feel like 19 we're doing anything of any value here. 20 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And we appreciate your honesty, of 21 course. 22 I'm all -- I'm done questioning, Your All right. 23 Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 25 We'll be pleased to hear from the defense. 212

MR. SHEETS: Good afternoon. Looks like everybody is 1 2 super excited to be here today. I want to thank everybody for 3 I know this is tough. This is not what everybody being here. envisions wanting to do, having everybody pry into their personal lives and proclivities and everything that's going on. So I thank you kindly for taking the time to do that with us. 6 7 Similarly, I'm going to ask some general questions, 8 and then I may go and address everybody somewhat individually. Now, we heard the Judge earlier talk about presumption of 10 innocence and -- and what that means, that every defendant is presumed to be innocent. Does anybody have any issue with what 11 12 the term presumption means? Seeing no hands. 13 So does anybody have -- does anybody have an opinion 14 or is -- would anybody here be more likely than less likely to find my client guilty, if -- if everybody, both the State and 15 16 the defense were to stand up and sit down right now? I'm sorry, 17 Mr. -- is it Pasco? Or no --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: Simoni. 18 19 MR. SHEETS: -- Simoni? Yes. No. 31. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: I can talk loud. 20 MR. SHEETS: I have no doubt. 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: I was brought up, a man should never hit a woman and you put a lady on a pedestal, and 24 that's all I have to say. 25 MR. SHEETS: So -- can we pass the microphone, sir?

```
Could we pass -- have the mic come over? Could we get that?
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: I have it.
2
3
             MR. SHEETS: Could you just repeat that, so that the
   record can get that.
4
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: I said I was brought up,
   a man should never hit a woman, and you always put a lady and a
6
7
   woman on a pedestal.
8
             MR. SHEETS: So -- and you say that in response to my
9
   question about -- if -- if everybody stood up and sat down,
   you'd -- would you be more or less likely to find my client
10
   guilty? If everybody just stood up right now and sat down,
11
   didn't present anything?
12
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: What are you saying?
14
             MR. SHEETS: If -- if both the State and the defense
   stood up right now and sat down without presenting any
15
16
   testimony --
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: Right.
             MR. SHEETS: -- or evidence, would you be more or less
18
19
   likely to find my client guilty?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: I would still think he's
21
   guilty.
22
                                 So -- and is that -- is that
             MR. SHEETS:
                          Okay.
23
   simply based on the nature of the charges?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: Yes.
25
             MR. SHEETS: Okay. And you don't think that -- in --
                                  214
```

in -- and I'm not trying to single you out. Everybody tries to 1 2 be fair, including yourself; correct? But sometimes, just when 3 you -- when somebody is charged with something, maybe it's not the right case, maybe it's not the right fit. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: It takes two to tango. MR. SHEETS: So in this particular case, because of 6 7 those opinions, do you think that it -- it would be -- it would 8 impair your ability to kind of go into this thing with an open 9 mind? Is that --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: Yes. MR. SHEETS: Okay. And it's -- it's likely that in 11 other cases, why you would be able to be completely free spirited in your mind, in this one, you'd have --13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: Yes. 15 MR. SHEETS: -- a slant? Okay. Thank you, sir. 16 Who else -- who else agrees with that sentiment? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: As far as him being 18 quilty? 19 MR. SHEETS: Yes, more or less likely to be guilty. Ms. Ubaldo. 20 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: When you guys said until proven guilty, I feel like we don't know the complete story. But off the charges, you would think he's just some type of like 24 woman abuser, but I don't know the story. None of us do. You guys said he's innocent right now. How do I -- how do we know

if -- if the girl didn't touch him? And just because he's a man, a black man at that, whatever he went through, they just 2 charged him for it. So I think -- I don't -- I would think he's 3 less quilty than you think because I don't know the complete story. 5 MR. SHEETS: Now, there was quite a bit of 6 7 conversation with you from the State about whether or not you 8 could be fair in this case, you could be open minded. State came and they presented evidence beyond a reasonable doubt 10 that my client had -- had committed the acts that are charged, would you have a problem finding my client guilty? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: If they have actual 13 evidence as far as him committing it? 14 MR. SHEETS: If they proved to you beyond a reasonable doubt, every element in the offence --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: No. 16 17 MR. SHEETS: -- would you have a problem finding him 18 quilty? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: No. 20 MR. SHEETS: Would you be able to listen to 21 instructions provided by the Judge here, and apply those to what 22 you've heard in order to render a decision? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: As far as in this case, I 24 don't -- I wouldn't -- I didn't -- like I said earlier, I don't 25 want to participate in it at all.

MR. SHEETS: Is it that you -- is it more that you 1 2 don't want to be on a jury, or is it fact specific? Is there 3 something that makes you specifically not want to serve on this jury today? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: I just don't want to serve on the jury. 6 7 MR. SHEETS: On any jury? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: Just personal reasons, 9 certain things. 10 MR. SHEETS: But if you were ordered to serve on a jury, would you be able to follow those instructions and render 11 12 a decision? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0146: I don't have an answer to 13 14 that. I don't think I would be able to, like I said. 15 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Now, we had heard the comment earlier from Mr. Simoni that a female should be put on a 16 17 pedestal and that a man should never ever put his hands on a 18 woman. Who else agrees with that sentiment? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0235: The sentiment, or your 20 questions you asked? 21 MR. SHEETS: With his sentiment. All right. So we'll start over at No. 1, Ms. McCloud. 22 23 Can you explain that? Can you explain your position 24 on that? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: My father has never, you

know, put his hands or done any type of emotional physical abuse 1 2 to my mom, my sister, or me, so, you know, he's always raised me and sisters that, you know, we're basically queens, like we need 3 to be treated as such. But that's only if you have, you know, 5 the mentality to be, and I just don't feel like violence in general should be a thing. That's how I see it. And especially 6 like domestic violence. Like I'm so uncomfortable talking about 8 it, like it's just so hard because you never know what's going 9 on on either side of the party. 10 MR. SHEETS: Thank you. Who was the next hand? could all raise our hands to answer that one. 11 12 No. 6, Mr. Roberts. What's your position, do you 13 agree with that sentiment? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: 15 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Now, you had made a lot of 16 statements earlier today about -- or about -- the system was --17 isn't good enough for victims. Do you -- do you also understand 18 -- do you believe in the constitutional rights that exist for 19 charged defendants? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: Yes. 20 21 MR. SHEETS: And do you believe that they should be 22 followed? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: 24 MR. SHEETS: If you were instructed as to those rights, are you able to put aside your personal opinion about

what you think the system should be doing, and participate in 1 the system as it functions today? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: I can participate. 4 MR. SHEETS: Would you be able to clear -- would you be able to clear your mind and render a decision based solely on 5 those instructions instead of your theory as to what those 7 instructions should say? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: I don't believe so. 8 9 MR. SHEETS: Okay. I appreciate your honesty. 10 you very much. Mr. Davidson? 11 Next. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: Yes. 13 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Going back to the original 14 discussion of a man should never put his hands on a woman. What 15 -- what's your --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: 16 Correct. 17 MR. SHEETS: -- position? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: 18 It's the way I was 19 brought up, it's the way I bring my children up, my son and my 20 daughter, and it doesn't -- doesn't matter how big the woman is 21 or how small, it's not the fact. It's just you do not put hands 22 on a woman --23 MR. SHEETS: Do you believe --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: -- period. 25 MR. SHEETS: -- that it's okay for a woman to put her

hands on a man? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: You can't control that. 2 3 But I'm saying, for me, that's -- if that happens, it happens. But I've known people that have had that, and they've stepped 5 away because they will not strike a woman because of what would happen --6 7 MR. SHEETS: So is it --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: -- in court. 9 MR. SHEETS: -- is it your position that if a woman 10 were to hit a man first, that a man should not use force to 11 protect himself? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: Correct. He should run. 13 MR. SHEETS: Under any circumstances it's not 14 acceptable? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: 911. 16 MR. SHEETS: Who -- who agrees with that sentiment? 17 And if we could just keep our hands up for that one. So it's No. 1, No. 6, No. 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 18, and 31. And I'm 18 19 sorry, could you, one more time, just keep your hands up on that 20 question, just it's -- it's a lot of things to write down here. 21 I don't think I expected this many hands. 22 All right. And so all of you have raised your hand 23 and said that -- that no matter what, even if a woman hits the 24 man first, a man should never make physical contact with the 25 woman. How many of you that raised your hands believe that you

could put that belief aside if you were instructed differently 1 as to the law? So I'm seeing no hands. 2 3 So I hate to do this, but if we could pass it back to 4 Ms. McCloud, No. 1. 5 So Ms. McCloud, so you rose your hand and said that when -- when I asked the question; right? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: Yes. 8 MR. SHEETS: And so it's your position that -- that 9 even if a woman hits the man, the man should never hit the 10 woman; correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: 11 12 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Now, if you were instructed that 13 the law says otherwise, if you were instructed that -- that 14 somebody has a right to use self-defense if force is used 15 against them first, and -- and that differed from your opinion, 16 would you be able to put that opinion aside and -- and make a 17 decision based on those instructions, or do you think that you 18 would not be able to put that belief set aside or that it 19 permeates you too much? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0141: it does permeate me a lot 21 because that's how I was brought up. 22 MR. SHEETS: Thank you. If we could pass to Mr. 23 Roberts, No. 6. 24 Is your position the same on that, Mr. Roberts? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: It would depend on the --

the circumstances, but I've never seen a circumstance where a 1 man can't turn around and walk away. If you're being shot at 2 3 and you want to shoot back, that's a different issue, but if you're being punched, you -- you can easily get more than an arm 5 length away. MR. SHEETS: And so if you were instructed that the 6 7 law said you were entitled to stand your ground, would you --8 would you be able to follow that instruction, or would you --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: Depends on the -- on the 10 details. MR. SHEETS: So it's possible, based on your opinions, 11 that you would not be able to follow that instruction? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0148: It's possible. 14 MR. SHEETS: Okay. No. 7, Mr. Davidson. Similarly, you had raised your hand. Would you be 15 able to follow those instructions? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: If it's the law, I 18 understand, but to me, just to conceive for myself, maybe that's 19 how I look at things. I'm sorry, but that just -- you know. 20 No, I -- believe me, I completely MR. SHEETS: 21 understand the sentiment. But if the law tells you you have to 22 think a different way for the purposes of this case, are you -are you able to kind of set that personal belief set aside and 24 follow those instructions, or do you think that this is probably just not the right case for you because that opinion would get

1 in the way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: It would have to be the 2 3 -- it depends on the variables like the gentleman next to me 4 said. 5 MR. SHEETS: Okay. So would it be fair to say that you would -- you would listen to all the facts and all the 6 7 evidence and follow those instructions versus what your own personal belief set was? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: Correct. 10 MR. SHEETS: Okay. All right. Ms. Galindo. What are your thoughts on -- on that? 11 Would you be able to follow instructions, or is this one of 13 those situations where your own personal beliefs might prevent 14 you from doing so? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0152: No, I'll be able to follow instructions. 16 17 MR. SHEETS: Ms. Collins, is that the same for you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: I think so. I could 18 19 follow the instructions. 20 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Mr. Hara? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: Could you repeat it just 22 one more time? I don't which question I'm answering. 23 MR. SHEETS: If -- if you would be able to -- you --24 you had raised your hand to, under no circumstances, even if hit first, should a man put his hands on a woman. If you were

instructed that the law went -- was different than that theory, 1 2 would you be able to put aside your own personal belief and 3 follow that legal theory, or do you believe that your personal feelings on the issue might get in the way of your ability to 5 independently assess? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: I would like to say that 6 7 I would go with the law, but, honestly, I don't think I would. 8 MR. SHEETS: No, and that -- that's fair. 9 appreciate the honesty. And -- and sometimes -- I mean, you 10 would agree sometimes just certain cases trigger certain emotions in -- in people; correct? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: Correct. 13 MR. SHEETS: Yeah. And so sometimes cases, and 14 sometimes facts, prohibit people from being fair even though they're otherwise fair, would you agree with that? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: Yes. 16 17 MR. SHEETS: Okay. If we could pass to Mr. Brown. 18 Mr. Brown, you've heard us kind of talking a lot about 19 -- about this subject. Do you believe you would be able to 20 follow the instructions, or do you believe that your personal bias -- and I don't mean bias, but your own personal feelings 21 22 might get in the way? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0173: I'm going to be honest 24 with you, I think my personal feelings would get in the way. 25 MR. SHEETS: And do you think that -- so no matter how

hard you tried, you don't think that -- you think it would still 1 kind of sneak in there? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0173: I think so because of 4 just the way I was raised. 5 MR. SHEETS: Fair enough. Ms. Iverson, what say you? 6 7 I'm really in between. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: 8 mean, I think I could be objective, but I just don't agree that 9 that's okay, ever. 10 MR. SHEETS: Now let me ask you kind of a follow-up question to that. If -- if this were a case of -- say this were 11 a case of theft where there was an allegation that somebody had 13 stolen something from a Walmart. Do you think you would be able 14 to give that case a more open mind than this case? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: About following the law? 16 MR. SHEETS: Correct. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: Yes. 18 MR. SHEETS: So -- so it would be fair to say that 19 because of the nature of the charges and that individual opinion 20 that it could make it more difficult in this type of a criminal 21 case for you to more independently or freely follow the 22 instructions? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: 24 MR. SHEETS: And even though I'm certain you would try your best, that it is possible that -- that your judgement could

be somewhat swayed by that personal belief? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: Yes. It's hard to know 2 3 because I don't know the details yet, but --4 MR. SHEETS: Fair enough. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: -- fundamentally, yeah, I would be able to. 6 7 MR. SHEETS: Could pass to No. 18, Mr. Court. 8 So what's your position? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0193: I mean, it -- for me, I mean, I was raised were there's no circumstance where you should 10 ever hit a woman. Maybe under the most severe life threatening 11 12 circumstances, you know, something could be looked past, but I 13 mean, you know, I'm a big dude, so you know, I was raised you 14 don't ever do it. 15 I mean, I would feel like I could -- I could be 16 objective, but I would really more need the details, as in like, 17 you know, severity of situation, you know, whether it was a 18 confrontation that could have been avoided, or, you know, it --19 you know, something happened, you know. Just, I guess, if it 20 was something that could have been avoided, I would -- I would 21 feel a little bit more with the social contract aspect of it of you don't do it. And then in extreme cases, I guess, you know, I would -- I would prefer to stand by the law. 24 MR. SHEETS: So if the -- it was interesting you said the social contract aspect of it. If you were instructed that

the law said you didn't have to try to avoid it, would you be able to set your beliefs aside and follow that instruction, or 2 3 do you think that that would still weigh in your opinion? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0193: I think, again, it goes down, for me, to the details. I would -- I would try as hard as 5 I could, and I think most likely I would be as objective as 6 7 possible, but I can't, you know, lie and say that there wouldn't 8 still be kind of that, you know, part of me that feels some type 9 of way about it. MR. SHEETS: Well, then that's the ultimate concern, 10 is that we -- we're looking for individuals who can, obviously, 11 be kind of free and -- and everybody is going to have their own 12 13 personal backgrounds and their personal beliefs. I mean, it's 14 just part of being who we are and human. And I think what's most important is -- or what it sounds to me like you're saying 15 is you want to be, but -- but you're still probably going to 16 17 look at it, and you're still going to think about whether they could have avoided it or should have avoided it even if you're 18 19 told that doesn't matter. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0193: I mean, that's a 21 possibility. I would like to say I'd -- I'd be objective about 22 it, but you know --23 But you can't promise it? MR. SHEETS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0193: I can't. 24 25 MR. SHEETS: Okay. If we could go to Ms. Dabney.

1 Hey-oh. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Hi. 2 3 MR. SHEETS: So what are your thoughts on the issue? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: I just -- you're asking 5 -- you were asking if like we -- if the law said like it was self-defense or whatever, like I just have a hard time saying 6 7 hitting somebody is self-defense. Like, to me, that's just like 8 -- especially like a man to a woman. That's my personal -- I --9 like everyone else said, I don't know the details, but like 10 there's subduing, there's leaving, there's something. don't think that you need to hit someone. 11 12 MR. SHEETS: Okay. And -- and would that affect your 13 ability to follow the instructions if the instructions said you 14 could stand your ground, or that you had the right to physically 15 defend yourself as a man against a woman? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: 16 17 MR. SHEETS: Okay. And that might adversely impact 18 your decision? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0215: Yeah. 20 Okay. Thank you very much. MR. SHEETS: 21 Mr. Simoni, I think we pretty much addressed it, but 22 if we could pass it up to Mr. Simoni here. Do you agree with Ms. Dabney's sentiment on the issue, or do you think you could 24 independently assess based on the instructions? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: You know what, I'm very 228

head strong, my beliefs are my beliefs. If it was a theft, 1 2 that's a different story, but not this. 3 MR. SHEETS: Okay. All right. Now, having heard what 4 we just talked about, do -- do you, Mr. Davidson, have a 5 different opinion or has your opinion changed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: No, you asked -- you 6 7 asked -- you asked me the question if -- if I -- if I could 8 change based upon what the law, but it depends on the variables. So, no, I could be objective I would think, but, I mean, there 10 is an undertone behind that. For me, it's kind of hard. It's -- no offense to Mr. Harris. It's not whether -- but, you know, 11 12 I mean, again, it's the -- the perception of that. Just like 13 the gentleman said, if it's a theft, the law is the law. I get 14 it, okay. But I mean, like Ms. Dabney said, it's you can walk 15 away, as I say, walk away, you can -- you can call the police. 16 I mean, that's what they -- if you're a man, you're supposed to 17 do that; right? 18 MR. SHEETS: Well, it depends on what the law tells 19 you to do. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: Yeah, I mean, I -- I 21 don't know. I mean, to me, it's just -- it just -- that's the 22 way I am. I don't know, but --23 MR. SHEETS: So in the -- so are -- I'm just am trying 24 to make sure. Do you -- do you worry that that -- or do you feel that that undertone might still affect your decision even

if you're instructed --1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: No, I can be --3 MR. SHEETS: -- that the law is completely different? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0150: I think I can be 5 objective, sir, I just -- it just depends, again, on the variables and severity, so --6 7 MR. SHEETS: Thank you very much. 8 And Ms. Galindo, having heard all of that, do you 9 believe that you could put aside that personal feeling if the 10 instructions say otherwise, or do you believe that it will still permeate your ability to -- to make a decision? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0152: No, I could put that 13 aside. 14 MR. SHEETS: And same for you, Ms. Collins? Just one 15 last time. I'm sorry. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0153: Yes, I believe I could 17 put it aside. MR. SHEETS: Okay. Now, how many of you have formed 18 19 an opinion of my client, just as he sits here right now? Has 20 anybody formed an opinion, good, bad, ugly, beautiful? hands? Okay. 21 22 Now, is there anybody here that believes that in a 23 case with charges such as these, that there is an obligation for 24 the defense to present evidence? Okay. If we could come up to here to No. 27, Ms. Catanzaro. Did I say it right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: Catanzaro. 1 2 MR. SHEETS: Catanzaro. So you -- you had -- you had 3 raised your hand that you believe that the defense is obligated to present testimony. Could you elaborate on that? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: It's part of the law, isn't it? 6 7 MR. SHEETS: If you were --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: He has a right to your 9 defense. 10 MR. SHEETS: If you were instructed that the law does not require the defense to present any testimony or any 11 12 evidence, would you hold it against Mr. Harris if he didn't 13 present any evidence or --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: No. 15 MR. SHEETS: -- testimony? Would you be able to keep 16 an open mind and assess the State's case in its entirety? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: Yeah. 18 MR. SHEETS: Now, if the State presented evidence that 19 you didn't agree with or where you had reasonable doubt, and 20 then the defendant decided not to testify or present evidence, 21 would you be able to find the defendant not guilty in that circumstance, or would you find the defendant guilty because he 22 23 didn't present any evidence? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0226: I wouldn't find him guilty for not saying anything.

MR. SHEETS: Excellent. Anybody have a different viewpoint on that issue?

THE COURT: Counsel, I think we're going to take a bathroom break right now.

MR. SHEETS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And what I'm going to ask, we had some new potential jurors come in. What I'm going to ask is that those new individuals that came in, stay here for a little bit, and then everybody else, you go take your bathroom break and line back up, and as soon as we get you all back together, we'll keep moving forward. While you're out there -- hold on, hold on.

While you're out there, do not talk to each other about the case, don't talk with anyone else about the case, don't let anyone talk to you about the case, don't look at any news stories or articles, listen to any radio or television, do not do any investigation, experimentation, or research on your own, including use of social media, or the Internet, or other reference materials, and do not begin to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the case until it's finally submitted to you. We'll see you back as soon as you can get back.

(Prospective jury recessed at 3:19 p.m.)

(Inside the presence of second prospective jury)

THE COURT: Okay. Let's look at the new group of people that have come in to the courtroom for possible

consideration on -- as members of the jury. We want to thank you for your presence here today. I know you're probably not thrilled and it's been a long day, but it really is important that you're here, and I appreciate your coming up here and meeting your civic responsibilities. I'm going to ask that you stand now and be sworn in to answer questions.

(Prospective jury sworn)

THE COURT: You can be seated. All right. At this point I'm sure you're sort of wondering what's going and what this case is about, and this is a criminal case and people are being considered for possible service on a criminal trial jury. I'm going to ask the State attorneys to introduce themselves and to give a very short summary of their case and a list of witnesses, and then I'll ask the defense to introduce themselves and give you any additional witnesses.

So I'd be pleased to hear from the State.

MS. SUDANO: Thank you, Your Honor.

Let me put this notebook away. I apologize. All right. My name is Michelle Sudano. I'm joined by Genevieve Craggs. We are both Deputy District Attorneys. We were assigned to prosecute Case No. C326569, which is State of Nevada versus Barry Harris. It's a domestic violence case that we're here on.

There are a number of charges in this case, including burglary while in possession of a firearm, first degree

kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon resulting in substantial bodily harm, assault with use of a deadly weapon, battery with use of a domestic -- or, excuse me, battery with use of a deadly weapon constituting domestic violence, battery domestic violence by strangulation, battery domestic violence resulting in substantial bodily harm, preventing or dissuading a witness from reporting a crime or commencing prosecution, and carrying a concealed weapon.

The incidents that gave rise to all of those charges took place on August 22, 2017, at 3850 Mountain Vista Street at Apartment 267. That's here in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. You will hear from the defendant's girlfriend, the victim in this case, Nicole Dotson. You may also hear from Tanisha Jones, a Dr. Lisa Gavin of the Clark County Coroner's Office. You may hear from some additional medical personnel, including Priscilla Benedict, Patrick Flores, Nick Knight, Cheuk Lam, and Dr. Peter Williams.

You may also hear from some other individuals from the District Attorney's Office, including Jerome Revels, Debra Patterson, Richmond Warnke, and Kelly Ploense. You may hear from custodians of records from the Clark County Detention Center, AMR, Medic West, Sunrise Hospital, or the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

And then finally you may hear from several police officers with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,

including Shawn Beck, Nicholas Bianco, Kevin Carey, John

Deschutter, J. Emery, Gabrielle Guerrero, Michael Hambly, Blake

Ferron, Ken Krmpotich, Lance Landholm, Joseph Lepore, Brandon

McFarlane, Chad Palmira, Kevin Samuels, Jason Santos, Mark Shea,

Linda Theobold, and Gilbert Vannostrand.

And don't worry, you're not going to hear from all of those folks. Thank you all for your attention.

end, and just to alleviate some of your fears, that's a pretty long list, generally not -- very few of the people on the list are called. We try and include a list of any possible person that could be called. Right now, just if you heard a name that sounds familiar, put that in the back of your head and we may ask you later on if you think you might know any of the witnesses.

Now, I'd be pleased to hear from defense.

MR. SHEETS: Good afternoon. My name is Damian

Sheets. I work for the law firm of Mayfield Gruber & Sheets,

and I defend Mr. Harris, who is present. Potential witnesses

from our case would be either Mr. Harris, Ryan Conner, our

private investigator, any of the witnesses listed by the State.

Individuals who might work with my office would by Myra

Gonzalez, Judith Veyond (phonetic), Elisabeth Allison, Danielle

Littman, Harvey Gruber, and Maritza Montez Mendoza.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to just at this point go

through the questions that were previously asked today. I'm not going to have you answer any of the questions right now, but I want you to listen to the questions. And if you think that you would have answered any of those questions, put that in the back of your head.

And if you get called up, I'll ask you if you heard the questions that I asked previous today and if you would have answered in them. And then if you would have, say yes and we'll give you some time to answer, and if you say no, then we'll move on.

But it is really important that you listen carefully now as I go through each of these questions and put in the back of your mind if you would answer any of these questions in case you get called up to be considered for the jury. As I go through the questions, if there's anything you don't understand or you need me to repeat because you didn't hear it, don't hesitate to raise your hand and say can you repeat that, I didn't hear it, or could you explain that to me.

And if there's some question, I'll just let you know, if one of these questions is someone sensitive to you and if you get called up and you need to answer a question but you feel uncomfortable saying anything in front of anyone, you can ask for a sidebar and we'll go into the back with the attorneys. And it is recorded, but you won't need to say it in front of everybody in the room.

Again, what we're looking for is no right answer or wrong answer. We're just looking for an honest answer. Jurors frequently will tell me that they're concerned, you know, that the question may show some bias or prejudice, and that's perfectly okay. It's all right to have bias and prejudice. We all have biases or prejudices whether they're conscious or unconscious. The key thing is most of the time when we identify those biases or prejudices, we can put them aside and still be fair to both sides when we consider the evidence and consider the law and deliberate. So, as I said, there's no right or wrong answer.

So at this point in time, like I said, I'm going to go through the questions we've asked earlier. I want you to put in your brains any questions that you would have answered. The first question, though, I would like to hear right now, is there anyone who has trouble with language, either understanding the English language or hearing what I'm saying because of a hearing problem?

Okay. I see one hand. And your badge number, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1309: Excuse me?

THE COURT: What's your badge number?

THE MARSHAL: Need a microphone?

MS. SUDANO: Can we have the mic? Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1309: 1309.

THE COURT: 1309. Okay. Mr. Moore.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1309: And I'm having a problem 1 2 hearing you. 3 THE COURT: You have hearing issues? Okay. 4 Do we have another --THE MARSHAL: Yeah. 5 THE COURT: -- set there? 6 7 Is that working any better? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1309: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Good. Good. That's why we got 10 So very good. All right. Anybody else, either a language issue or a hearing issue? I'm not seeing any other 11 12 hands. All right. Okay. The questions, and like I said, 13 14 note these if you would answer them. Is there anyone who is not 15 a U.S. citizen? Is there anyone who has been convicted of a felony? Is there anyone with prejudice or bias for or against 16 17 any individuals relating to age, gender, religion, ethnic 18 origin, or gender identity? 19 Is there anyone acquainted or associated or have any 20 information or knowledge of the defense attorney in this case? 21 Is there anyone who is acquainted, knows, has knowledge of, or 22 any information concerning the defendant in this case? anyone who knows, is acquainted with, has knowledge of, or any 23 information relating to the prosecutors in this case? 24 25 Is there anyone who knows a member of the Clark County District Attorney's Office, either an attorney or a staff member? The Clark County District Attorney's Office participates in a reality type television program called Sin City Justice on the Investigation Discovery Channel. Has anyone ever watched this program?

Does anyone know anyone who works for Metro, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, either as a police officer or staff member? Is there anyone who thinks they might know one of the witnesses mentioned by the State or by defense?

Does anyone believe they know anything about the facts of this particular case, either through personal knowledge, conversations with people, the news media, or social media?

The parties think that there's a good chance we may be done with the trial on Thursday. However, if the trial does go into Friday, other than jury deliberations, we won't be working on Friday. We'll go and have trial on Monday. But if we can get through the presentation of evidence and arguments by the end of Thursday, we would allow the jury to deliberate on Friday.

The schedule is today to go to about 5:00, and then tomorrow from 1:00 to 4:00, Wednesday from 9:00 to 5:00 with a break for lunch, and Thursday from 12:30 to 4:00. So that's sort of the general schedule. If you are called up to be considered as a witness, I'll ask you also if there is -- if you have a problem serving on the jury with that schedule.

Now, let me ask, and I'll ask you if there's anyone who was really thrilled and happy to get the summons to be considered for the jury, if there was anyone who was really upset that you got the summons and if you were upset because of scheduling issues you've already mentioned. You don't need to explain them again.

Are any one of you or a close family member or friends are or have been engaged in the some way in the practice of law, either as an attorney or a paralegal or a staff member. Anyone one of you or a close family member or friend ever been engaged in law enforcement work or security work?

Now, as has been explained by the prosecutor, this case involves a number of different charges. Does anyone feel that they possibly cannot keep an open mind and be fair as to whether the State has met its burden of proof until the end of trial because of the nature of the charges in this case, which include burglary while in possession of a firearm, first degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon resulting in substantial bodily harm, assault with a deadly weapon, battery constituting domestic violence, preventing or dissuading a victim or witness from reporting crime, or carrying a concealed weapon?

Have you or any close family member or friend been the victim of some type of domestic violence or domestic wrongful conduct? If you were, was a police report made, do you know if anyone was apprehended or prosecuted, and were you satisfied or

dissatisfied with law enforcement's response to the crime?

Have you or any close family member or friends been the victim of a violent crime? Did -- was a police report made? To your knowledge, was anyone apprehended and prosecuted? Were you satisfied or dissatisfied with law enforcement's response to the crime?

Any of you or a close family member or friend been a victim of a crime that you believe would impact on your ability to be fair to both sides in this case? Have any one of you ever testified at a court proceeding, deposition, grand jury, or preliminary hearing or at some other proceeding where you were put under oath and answered questions? What type of proceeding, and what generally did you testify about?

Have you ever been accused of a crime or serious misconduct? Anyone of you feel that you or someone close to you has been treated unjustly by the police or a prosecutor? Anyone feel that you or someone close to you has been treated unjustly by some State or government agency?

If a police officer or other government agent was to testify, you must judge their testimony and believability just as you would an ordinary witness and evaluate their testimony like anyone else in the case. In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says or part of it or none of it.

In that regard, I will instruct you as to possible circumstances you may take into account in considering the testimony of any witness, including law enforcement officers or government agents. These include, one, the witness's opportunity and ability to see or hear or know the things testified to; two, the witness's memory; three, the witness's manner while testifying; four, the witness's interest in the outcome of the case, if any; five, the witness's bias or prejudice, if any; six, whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony; seven, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence; and, eight, any other factors that bear on believability.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it. What is important is how believable the witnesses are and how much weight you think their testimony deserves. In that regard, you must consider the testimony of a law enforcement or police officer or government agent just like that of any other witness.

Assessing the officer or agent's opportunity and ability to see or hear or know things he or she testifies to, their memory, their manner of testifying, their interest in the outcome of the case, their bias or prejudice, whether their testimony is corroborated or contradicted by other testimony, whether the testimony is reasonable in light of all the evidence

and any other circumstances you feel bear on a witness's believability.

If a police officer or other government agent testifies, is there anyone who would not be able to follow my instructions and treat the officer or agent as an ordinary witness and evaluate their testimony by the factors I've just stated just like any other witness?

Is there anyone who would give more credibility or less credibility to a police officer or government agent's testimony simply because they are a police officer or government agent? Anyone believe that they would not be able to follow my instructions on the law if not consistent with what you believe the law should be?

In general, the trial will proceed with the attorneys first giving opening statements. Witnesses will testify and exhibits will be admitted. Then after all the evidence is in, I will give you the law that you are to use to evaluate the evidence and determine if the State has proved the essential factual elements of each crime charged beyond a reasonable doubt.

Then the attorneys will give their closing arguments, and you will then retire to the jury deliberation room to deliberate and reach a verdict. Your job is to judge or figure out the facts and take the law I give you and see if the facts you find prove the elements of the charges beyond a reasonable

doubt and come up with a verdict.

The jury is the judge of questions of fact, and my responsibility is to ensure you are presented with evidence that under the law is appropriate to consider and the law you are to use in determining the facts and evaluating the evidence to determine if the State has proved what it needs to prove with each charge beyond a reasonable doubt, and determining if the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the crimes charged.

It would be a violation of your duty to render a judgment based on something other than the law given by the Court. With that in mind, is there anyone who doesn't believe they can follow and apply the law that I give you if you didn't agree with the law?

There are certain key principles in our criminal justice system that I want to go through and see if you call can accept and abide by these principles. The first one is that the information in this case is simply a notice document. It is not evidence in any way. It is simply a document that is intended to give the defendant notice that he's being charged with a crime and to give the public notice that a defendant is being accused of a crime, but it is not evidence in any way and cannot be used in any way in your deliberations as a jury. Is there anyone who has any problem or concern with being able to follow this important principle of our justice system?

Second, the defendant is presumed to be innocent. The

State's obligation is to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt. We hear the phrase often that the defendant is presumed innocent, but I think we don't often think a lot about it. What it means is at this point in time the defendant is innocent, no ifs, ands, or buts about it.

If I was to send you back to the jury room right now and tell you to reach a verdict, your verdict would have to be not guilty because the defendant is considered innocent until he is proven by the State beyond a reasonable doubt that he is guilty. This is a hard concept for some jurors.

I've seen some jurors, when asked the question of what verdict would you render right now say they don't know as they would have to see the evidence. But the point I'm trying to make is the defendant is considered innocent right now, and if you were asked to render a verdict right now, it would have to be not guilty.

He remains innocent until the State has proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt. Is there anyone who has a problem or issue with the idea that the defendant right now is innocent and you have to find him not guilty until the State proves -- provides evidence beyond a reasonable doubt of his guilt?

The plaintiff is obligated -- the State is obligated to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt, and the defendant has no obligation to prove his innocence. This last point is

very important. The defendant does not have to do anything.

His attorney and the defendant can sit there and say nothing, and if at the end of the State's presentation of the evidence you did not believe that the State had proven beyond a reasonable doubt that a crime had been committed and the defendant had committed the crime, then you would have an obligation to find the defendant not guilty, even though the defendant and his attorney didn't say anything or do anything.

Also, a part of this principle is that the defendant, pursuant to the Fifth Amendment to the United States

Constitution and the Nevada Constitution, has an absolute right if he chooses not to testify, and the State cannot use the fact the defendant didn't testify as part of its case to prove the defendant's guilt, and you cannot consider it at all in evaluating if the State has met its burden of proving its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

This is a hard concept for some people, but I will instruct you that you cannot consider if a defendant chooses not to testify in evaluating and making your verdict in this case. And your verdict must be only on the evidence introduced at trial. Is there anyone who doesn't think that they can follow my instructions on these points?

I want to emphasize this last point again because I have had jurors say that it would bother then if a defendant didn't testify or they would like to hear the defendant testify.

And this, quite simply, is not the law.

The defendant has the right to decide if he testifies or doesn't testify, and you need to put aside any feelings or preferences you have about the defendant's decision, and in deliberations focus just on the evidence presented in determining if the State has met its burden of proving its case beyond a reasonable doubt. Does anyone have a concern with being able to follow this principle?

Will you be able to wait in forming your opinion as to appropriate verdict until after all the evidence and arguments are presented and the law is given to you and you get together as a whole jury to deliberate? In other words, you can't make up your mind now or after the plaintiff's opening or the defendant's opening. You have to wait until all of the evidence has been presented, the parties have done their closing arguments and you've received the law and go back to the jury room with your other jurors. Is there anyone who feels they would not be able to follow this obligation?

Is there anyone who has a concern with sitting in judgment of another human being which you think may interfere with your ability to consider the evidence, follow my instructions, and be fair to both sides?

Is there anything I haven't asked you that you feel that you should tell us, tell the Court or tell the parties, that you feel might be relevant to you being fair or biased or

prejudiced in any way?

Okay. Those are essentially all the questions that I asked up until we started doing voir dire by the attorneys for both sides. And so what I'm going to -- like I said, if you would have answered any of those, I need you to put those questions that you would have answered in the back of your head, and if you get called up, we'll rely on you to -- to let us know which questions you would have answered.

All right. Why don't -- I know we've got the jurors probably all out there. I'm going to ask -- give you all a chance to run to the restroom and get right back as quickly as you can so that we can bring everybody in and continue on with the jury selection process. I need you to get back because until you all get back, we can't get started again. So I need you to all get back so that we can keep this process moving and try to get you out of here today if at all possible.

While you're out there, don't talk about the case with each other, don't let anyone talk to you about the case, don't talk with anyone else about the case, don't do anything on social media about the case or anything on the Internet or any other reference materials about the case, and do not begin to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the case until you're discharged. Thanks a lot.

(Prospective jury recessed at 3:43 p.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. I think I got through every

```
question that I had asked earlier. Is this point -- you know, I
 2
   know that you probably have some concerns with some of the
 3
   jurors, but is there anyone we can excuse that the parties are
   prepared to both agree on right now that we can excuse?
 5
             MS. CRAGGS: Your Honor, the State has the same two
   folks that we brought up before. After Mr. Sheets' questioning,
 6
   I don't believe that they were rehabilitated from their points
 8
   that one won't follow the law and the other one can't be fair
 9
   and doesn't want to be here and is coming up with every possible
10
   answer that she can essentially to get out of it --
11
             THE COURT: All right.
12
             MS. CRAGGS: -- including saying she can't be fair to
13
   the parties.
14
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. And that's No. 4, Ms. Ubaldo, and
   No. 6, Mr. Roberts. What's the defense position on those two?
15
16
             MR. SHEETS: I concur, Your Honor.
17
                         Okay. I'll go ahead --
             THE COURT:
18
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             I object, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: -- and strike those.
19
20
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             I object to that.
21
             THE COURT:
                         We'll strike those. Anyone else right now
22
   that both parties can concur on?
23
             THE DEFENDANT:
                              31.
24
             MS. CRAGGS: I don't think we'd object to that one.
25
             MR. SHEETS:
                          31. I guess they -- State said they
                                  249
```

```
would not object to that one.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Simoni, I'll go ahead and we'll
 3
   strike Mr. Simoni.
 4
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             30.
 5
             MR. SHEETS: Hang on.
             THE DEFENDANT: Personal bias.
 6
 7
             MR. SHEETS: I'm getting there. Patience, old man.
 8
             All right.
                        If I could just --
 9
             THE COURT:
                         Sure. Chat with the State if you want to.
10
             THE DEFENDANT: Do I get over there, too, Your Honor?
             THE COURT: No, you have to stay over there.
11
12
             THE DEFENDANT: I can't hear what they talking about.
13
             THE COURT: Well, you can ask your attorney what was
14
   said while you -- when he comes back.
15
             THE DEFENDANT: Can they speak up a little bit?
             THE COURT: That's -- you're not allowed to go over
16
17
   there.
                         (Off-record colloquy)
18
19
             THE DEFENDANT: Could y'all speak up a little bit.
20
             Is this discussion held off calendar, Your Honor?
21
             THE COURT: We'll put -- when they come back, if
   anything needs to be put on record, we'll put it on record and
   you'll hear it, so --
24
             THE DEFENDANT: I'll just --
25
             THE COURT: -- be quiet.
                                  250
```

```
THE DEFENDANT: Are you telling me to shut up?
 1
                         I'm telling you to be quiet, yes.
 2
             THE COURT:
 3
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             You're telling me to shut up.
 4
             THE COURT: No, I'm telling you to be quiet. Listen
 5
   to me.
 6
             MR. SHEETS: Okay. So we agreed on No. --
 7
             MS. SUDANO: No. 10 and No. 31.
             MR. SHEETS: No. 10, which is, I guess, on our roll
 8
 9
   sheet No. 37, and No. 31. And then the others --
10
             THE COURT: Mr. Simoni we already concurred on.
   Let's --
11
12
             MR. SHEETS: Yes. I'm sorry. No. 10, then, which is
13
   No. 37, so it's going to be --
14
             THE DEFENDANT: 14, 18, 26.
15
             MS. SUDANO: Mr. Hara.
             MR. SHEETS: -- Erik Hara.
16
17
             THE COURT: Mr. Hara?
             MR. SHEETS: Yes.
18
19
             THE COURT: Does the State concur with that one?
20
             MS. CRAGGS: Yes.
21
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. All right.
22
             THE DEFENDANT:
                            No. 30.
23
             THE COURT: All right. Anyone else that both sides
24 can agree on at this point?
25
             MR. SHEETS: Nothing that --
                                  251
```

```
THE DEFENDANT:
                             No. 30.
 1
 2
             MR. SHEETS: -- we agree on at this point, Your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT: All right. That's good.
                                                    I'm not
 4
   precluding --
             THE DEFENDANT: No. 30.
 5
             THE COURT: -- anyone from raising any issue later on,
 6
 7
   but I wanted to get rid of anyone that we had concurrence on.
 8
   So right now when we bring everybody back, we're going to get
   rid of No. 4, Ms. Ubaldo; No. 6, Mr. Roberts; No. 10, Mr. Hara;
10
   No. 31, Mr. Simoni; is that correct?
             MS. SUDANO: Yes, Your Honor.
11
12
             MS. CRAGGS:
                         Correct.
13
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Very good. All right. Run to the
14
   restroom as quickly as you can and get back.
15
             (Court recessed at 3:47 p.m., until 3:53 p.m.)
16
             (Inside the presence of the prospective jury)
17
             THE COURT: All right. Very good. All right.
   the record reflect the presence of the attorneys for both sides,
18
19
   the presence of the defendant. Do the parties stipulate to the
20
   presence of the voir dire panel?
21
             MS. CRAGGS: Yes, Your Honor.
22
             MR. SHEETS: Yes, Your Honor.
23
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Thanks for getting back in a timely
24
             I know that took longer, but we had to deal with some
   fashion.
   of the new potentially prospective jurors that came in, so I
```

appreciate everyone's patience. 1 2 I did speak with counsel during the break. I am going 3 to excuse some individuals at this time. Again, if I don't excuse you and you think that you should have been for some reason, blame me. I'm the one who makes the decision and not 5 the parties in this case, so it's my decision in that regard. 6 7 So at this point, we are going to excuse Juror No. 4, Ms. Ubaldo. 8 9 You're excused. Thank you for your participation here 10 today. And we are going to excuse Juror No. 6, Mr. Roberts. 11 12 Thank you, sir, for your participation. 13 We're going to excuse Juror No. 10, Mr. Hara. 14 Thank you, Mr. Hara, for your participation here 15 today. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0283: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: And then Juror No. 31, Mr. Simoni. 18 Thank you, sir, for your participation here today. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0243: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. We'll need a new Juror No. 4, and that will be Michelle Godkin. 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: 23 THE COURT: And we'll need a new Juror No. 6, and that 24 | will be Ms. Lytle-Jones. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314:

THE COURT: And we'll need a new Juror No. 10, and 1 2 that will be Ms. Hawkins. And we'll need a new Juror 31, and that will be Mr. Raymond. Okay. All right. If we could hand the microphone up to No. 4, Ms. Godkin, and give her the list of questions. Good afternoon, Ms. Godkin. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: Good afternoon. Hi. 8 THE COURT: How are you? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: I'm fine. Is this on? 10 Okay. THE COURT: Yeah. Did you have a chance to It's on. hear all the questions I asked earlier today? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: Yes. I think I remember 13 14 everything. 15 Okay. Good. And is there any -- would THE COURT: 16 you have answered any of those questions? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: Yes. My husband is a 18 police officer for the City of North Las Vegas. He does work 19 for a multijurisdictional task force, and Metro is on that task 20 force, so I am familiar with a lot of Metro officers. 21 THE COURT: All right. Any you socialize with? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: Yes. All of them --23 THE COURT: All right. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: -- on his task force. 25 THE COURT: Okay. What kind of socializing we talking

5

```
1
   about?
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: Barbecues, parties --
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: -- retirement events,
 5
   things of that nature.
 6
             THE COURT: All right. Okay. Go on. What other
 7
   questions would you have answered?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: I would have said yes to
 9
   the scheduling, but my girlfriend said she could pick my
10
   children up from school every day this week if I needed it, so
   that's no longer an issue.
11
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Great. That's good. Thank you.
   What other questions?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: I think that's it.
14
15
             THE COURT: All right. Well, let me just ask in terms
16
   of your husband's work and with -- at North Las Vegas Police
17
   Department with the multijurisdictional task force with Metro's
18
   participation, is there anything about your association with him
19
   and his work that would affect your ability to be a fair juror
20
   in this case?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: I don't believe so.
22
             THE COURT: All right. You feel you would be able to
23
   follow my instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply those
24
   instructions to the evidence admitted?
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301:
```

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you go through and 1 2 read off the answers to that list of questions. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: Okay. I've lived here since 2000. I live in the Northwest, in Providence. I am from Southern California. I have an associate of accounting. I'm 5 currently working for the City of North Las Vegas as a front 6 7 desk receptionist and Parks and Rec. I am married. My husband 8 is a police officer, we do have two children, my daughter is 18, 9 my son is 14. And I have never been a juror. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you would hand that 11 down now to Ms. Lytle-Jones. 12 Hi. How are you today? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Hello. Good. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. Did you hear all the questions that I asked earlier today? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Yes, I did. 16 17 THE COURT: And would you have answered any of those? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: 18 One. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, what is that one? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: The scheduling. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Actually, I work for an employer that doesn't pay for time. I have to use my own time. 24 Never heard of it before, it's a -- I'll explain later, the questions, the reason why, but I work from 5:30 to 2:00 in the

```
morning. 5:00 in the morning -- 5:30 morning to 2:00. I mean,
 1
   I can try to talk to my HR lady, but --
 2
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: -- I'll see.
 5
             THE COURT: Who do you work for?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: It's a company called
 7
             It's contracted to Medicare as a quality improvement
   Livanta.
 8
   organization.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. Any other question you
   would have answered?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314:
11
12
             THE COURT: All right. Why don't you go ahead and
13
   read through that list of questions.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314:
                                          So I've been here for
14
15
   like three and a half years, moved out here from Illinois, so
   that's some, you know, different things here. And --
16
17
             THE COURT: Where do you live generally here?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Generally? Okay.
18
                                                              So,
19
   generally, I'll say Chicago, but south suburban Chicago.
20
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314:
21
                                          Yeah.
22
             THE COURT: And when you moved here, where do you --
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Live here? Oh.
24
   southwest --
25
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: -- side, yeah.
 1
             THE COURT: That's good. Thank you.
 2
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Let's see.
                                                      Oh.
                                                            The
   higher level education I have is an associate degree.
 5
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Like I said before, my
 7
   employer is Livanta. It's like contracted through Medicare. I
 8
   am married to -- he does security. And no --
 9
             THE COURT: And where does he does -- where does he do
10
   security?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314:
                                          Oh. He used to -- well,
11
   right now he's laid off, but he used to work at a -- somewhere
   in Green Valley area.
13
14
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Yeah.
                                                 The --
16
             THE COURT: All right.
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: -- residence there, so --
   but we have no children.
18
19
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: And this one, never been
21
   a juror before, so --
22
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: -- yeah.
24
             THE COURT: If you'd hand that down now to No. 10, Ms.
25 Hawkins.
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Hello.
2	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Hawkins.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Good afternoon.
4	THE COURT: How are you doing?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: I'm okay.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: How are you?
8	THE COURT: I'm doing okay, too. Did you have a
9	chance to hear the questions that I asked earlier today?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Yes, I did.
11	THE COURT: All right. Would you have answered any of
12	those questions?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Yes. Recently as
14	recently as February.
15	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what is recent as February?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Domestic violence.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: I work for Clark County
19	School District and I'm a teacher's assistant. My teacher that
20	I work directly with was murdered, along with her boyfriend, and
21	it was domestic.
22	THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: She had been reporting it
24	to parole probation. You name it, she did it.
25	THE COURT: So
	252
	259

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: And I was a witness to 1 2 all of it. 3 THE COURT: So you're not satisfied with how the 4 justice system handled that situation? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Absolutely not. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you, is -- you know, 7 this obviously is a different circumstance and situation here. 8 Do you think you'd be able to focus on the evidence presented here, the witnesses who testify here, and the exhibits that are 10 introduced here, focus on what the evidence is on this case, and apply my law and be -- that I -- apply the law that I give you, 11 and be fair to both sides? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Well, to be honest with 13 14 you, mentally, I wasn't doing well afterwards. And since it's so recent, that even just thinking about it and knowing how many 15 16 times she went to try and, you know, get help for it --17 THE COURT: Well -- and I -- and I understand. I 18 quess the issue is --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: -- it would be very hard. 20 THE COURT: It would be very hard? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Uh-huh. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Would you have answered any other 23 questions? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: No. 25 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Why don't you go ahead 260

and read through the answers there? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: I was born and raised in 2 3 Las Vegas, but now I'm currently living in northwest. I have some college. Again, I'm employed by the Clark County School 5 District, so is my husband. I have one child that's 31. She does not live at home. I have never been a juror. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you'd pass that up 8 and we'll give it to No. 31, Mr. Raymond. Thank you. 9 All right. Mr. Raymond, did you have a chance to hear 10 the -- how are you doing? I should --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: Great, great. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. And did you have a chance to hear 13 the questions I asked earlier today? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: Yes. THE COURT: And would you have answered any of those? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: Yeah. One. I've had two 16 17 times where I've had to testify in a criminal case. 18 THE COURT: Okay. As a witness? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: Yeah. As a witness. Ι 20 work at a convenience store that's been robbed, and both times 21 were when I had to testify as to people were robbing the store. 22 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything about those -- about how long ago were those? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: The first one was about maybe 15 years ago, and the other one was about 10 years ago.

THE COURT: 1 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: Yeah. 2 3 Was anyone apprehended in those cases? THE COURT: 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: Yeah. In both those 5 cases, yeah. 6 THE COURT: All right. And were you happy or unhappy 7 with how the police responded? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: It was fine. 9 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: 10 Yeah. THE COURT: Anything about those incidents and 11 12 circumstances that would affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: 14 No. 15 THE COURT: You feel you'd be able to follow my 16 instructions on the law and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions to the evidence admitted? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: 18 Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Go ahead and 20 read through the questions there. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: Okay. I've lived here 22 for about 16 years. I live downtown. I have some college. have two jobs. I work as a -- at a convenience store graveyard 24 shift, and also in the day time I work as a department manager. I'm not married, I don't have any children, and I've never been

1 a juror. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. 3 All right. We'll go ahead and allow defense counsel to continue his individual voir dire. If you have anything. 5 Don't feel you have to ask any more questions. MR. SHEETS: So welcome to those that are new to the 6 7 panel. I'll actually start with you since you already have the 8 microphone anyways, Mr. Raymond. We -- you heard -- did you hear the discussion earlier about a man hitting a woman and all 10 that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: 11 12 MR. SHEETS: Did you believe -- do you believe that there's a -- that there's never a situation where a man should 13 14 lay his hands on a woman? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: I personally don't think 16 a man should lay his hands on a woman, no. 17 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Do you believe that there's ever 18 an instance where it would be acceptable for a man to put his 19 hands on a woman? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: Not my personal opinion, 21 no. 22 But if the law -- so even in a MR. SHEETS: Okay. 23 situation where a man was defending himself, would that still be 24 unacceptable to you? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: Yeah. Just as my

personal feelings, yeah. 1 2 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Now, if the law came to you and 3 said well, that's -- that's not what the law considers to be right and wrong, and give you a different definition, would you 5 be able to put that personal belief aside and follow the law, or do you believe that that opinion would continue to permeate you? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0316: Yeah. I'd be able to put 8 that personal belief aside and just follow the law. 9 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Excellent. Thank you very much. 10 If you could pass it up to Ms. Hawkins. All the way in the 11 back, on the far right. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 MR. SHEETS: Ms. Hawkins, you know where I'm going. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Yes, I do. MR. SHEETS: So what do you -- what do you believe as 15 16 far as the -- the man on the woman? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: I believe there should never be an incident where a man should hit a woman. There is 18 19 too many different ways that he could restrain her. 20 MR. SHEETS: Do you believe it's okay for a woman to 21 put her hands on a man? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: 23 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Do you believe that that opinion 24 will affect your ability to freely make a decision in this case? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: That what, a man

shouldn't hit a woman? 1 MR. SHEETS: Yeah. Do you believe that if you were 2 3 instructed that there were circumstances where a man could hit a woman, that you'd be able to follow that, or do you believe so 5 strongly in your conviction --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: No. I -- I --6 7 MR. SHEETS: -- that that would affect --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: -- I don't think there's 8 9 an incident where he should hit a woman. 10 MR. SHEETS: Okay. So -- so you don't think there's a situation that -- and you've heard talk about how we all try to 11 be fair, but there are just some things that we know we can't --12 we can't clear our head on. Is this --13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Right. 15 MR. SHEETS: -- one of those instances? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Yes. 16 17 MR. SHEETS: Okay. If we could pass down to Ms. 18 Lytle-Jones. And I hope I said that right. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: That's fine. Yeah. 20 MR. SHEETS: Ms. Lytle-Jones, what is your thought on 21 -- on the issue? Do you tend to agree with Ms. Hawkins's 22 position? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: 23 I do. To most people --24 well, my personal belief, I don't believe a man should strike a woman at all. But then, in the back of my mind, is I don't know 25

```
what the story is, so I do -- I just don't know until I hear
 1
 2
   everything on one side.
 3
             MR. SHEETS: So do you think that the law said it was
   okay for a man to strike a woman in self-defense that you would
   be able to accept that definition and use that definition when
 5
   rendering your decision, or do you think that your personal
 7
   belief set would affect that?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: It all depends.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: I need you to speak up --
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: I just --
11
             THE COURT: -- just a little.
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: I'm sorry, yeah. My bad.
13
             THE COURT:
                         That's all right.
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: It -- that kind of --
15
             MR. SHEETS: So I saw you -- saw you waving your hands
   like --
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Yeah.
17
             MR. SHEETS: -- yeah, not sure. So you think it's --
18
19
   it's --
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: It --
21
             MR. SHEETS: -- it's possible that -- that your
  personal opinion could affect your ability?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: It could.
24
             MR. SHEETS: Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you very
25 much. If you could pass it to -- yep. Everybody knows I'm
                                  266
```

coming.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: I don't believe that physical violence is acceptable by either party ever.

MR. SHEETS: And I'm not saying I disagree with you at all. If -- if the law says that -- that one person may use physical force to defend against another person using physical force, would you be able to accept that definition, or -- or would your mind set have you believe that both parties should be punished?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: I do feel like it would depend on the circumstances, but I can be -- you know, I can look at both sides, and if I'm -- you know, if you're telling me that the law says it is this way, and I may begrudgingly go okay, if this is what the law says, then that's what we have to do, but, you know.

MR. SHEETS: So you're willing to, as much as you may disagree with the jury instructions, say you know what, it's what I've got to do, it's the oath I'm going to take as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: Right. Even if I don't agree with it, yes.

MR. SHEETS: Okay. All right. So is there anybody here -- we'll finally get off that topic. Is there anybody here who believes that -- that a witness is more or less credible just because of the fact that he may be a police officer? No hands. How about a nurse? A doctor? Oh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Can you repeat that? 1 MR. SHEETS: Yeah. Is -- the whole -- is there 2 3 anybody who believes that a witness may be more or less credible because of the fact that he's a police officer? No hands. 5 Is there anybody who believes that a witness may be more or less credible because they're a doctor? Is there 6 7 anybody who -- here who --8 THE COURT: No hands. Just let the record reflect no 9 hands. 10 MR. SHEETS: No hands. Is there anybody here that -that believes that the testimony of a witness would be more or 11 less credible, because that particular individual were a nurse? 12 13 And we're seeing no hands. 14 Does anybody here have a problem with the theory if -if -- I'll restart that question. If -- if you -- if you were 15 16 instructed that you are to -- that you are to treat all 17 witnesses, nurses, doctors, officers, and laypersons with the 18 same weight, you are not to treat them differently or elevate 19 their testimony simply because they may be qualified as an 20 expert, is there anybody here that would have a problem with 21 that, or would they give deference to somebody who might be 22 called a so-called expert? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: I think I might. 24 MR. SHEETS: Ms. Godkin. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: 25 I think I might if

somebody is a professional and they -- they know what they're 1 2 doing, and they're supposed to be an expert in their field, I 3 would, you know, give them more weight, probably. 4 THE COURT: And this is No. 4, Ms. Godkin. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: Yes. 5 So if you were instructed that you 6 MR. SHEETS: Yes. 7 were to not give it more weight, would you be able to set that 8 aside --9 THE COURT: Well, counsel, they would be instructed to 10 give it the weight that they feel --MR. SHEETS: Right. 11 12 THE COURT: -- it deserves. You know, and that they 13 can disregard or regard it however much weight they feel it --14 feel the opinions of the expert. They're not required to accept Would you be able to follow any instruction that I would 15 16 give as to an expert witness? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: Yes. I mean, if -- if 18 you're bringing in an expert witness, and you're saying, well, 19 don't listen to what they're saying, well then what's --20 THE COURT: Right. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: -- the point of having the witness at all? I mean, aren't we supposed to look at everybody and say, okay, if this gentleman is a doctor, he 24 probably knows something about the injury or whatever it is, as

opposed to the guy on the street who is a taxi cab driver.

MR. SHEETS: So if you were instructed that, as -- as 1 2 His Honor indicated and you were allowed to give it whatever 3 weight you saw fit, would you -- would you give that same consideration to lay witnesses regarding their testimony, or 5 would you give the expert an elevated --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: Yes. If it was on the 6 7 same level. If you're asking a layman about an injury in 8 comparison to the doctor, then obviously I'm going to give the 9 doctor more weight than I am the layman. But if it's just 10 across the board, like just what somebody saw, or something like 11 that, then, yeah. 12 THE COURT: Counsel, we're -- I want you to move on. 13 We're getting into instructions on the law, and I don't want to 14 go there. 15 MR. SHEETS: Yes. One follow-up. Just let me know if 16 it's too hard. And I'm very cautious. 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. 18 MR. SHEETS: If a so-called witness were to testify, 19 and you -- and this witness were qualified as an expert and you 20 were to not necessarily believe what they said, would you be 21 willing to accept that disbelief, or would you automatically 22 assume it to be true, because --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: No, I --24 MR. SHEETS: -- they qualify as an expert? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: No, if I didn't believe

what they said, I wouldn't, just because they were a doctor, I 1 wouldn't believe it. 2 MR. SHEETS: Is -- is there anybody else here who would -- who would give -- who would be less likely to discredit something because somebody is called an expert? Counsel, again. I'm going to give THE COURT: instructions on this. And this is -- you're getting very 8 imprecise. MR. SHEETS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: We should have discussed this if you 11 wanted to go down this road. 12 MR. SHEETS: Yes, Your Honor. Is there anybody here that -- that believes that --14 that people can't be wrongfully accused? Is there anybody here that believes that simply because my client is here, because he 15 16 is facing certain charges, that he must have done something or 17 he must be guilty of something? Is there anybody here who walks in with that -- that mindset? 18 19 THE COURT: Let the record reflect, no hands. Is there anybody here who believes that MR. SHEETS: 21 -- that defendants can be overcharged, or charged with more 22 crimes than they've committed?

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

13

20

23

24

THE COURT: Counsel -- well, counsel, we are not going to go down that route. The issue -- the State has filed the charges, the jury will decide if the elements of the charges are

proven or not proven by the evidence presented in this case.

MR. SHEETS: Is there anybody here that has a problem considering what's not presented, when determining the guilt or innocence of the defendant? For example, if their -- if the State puts their whole case on, their entire case, and there are holes in it, and -- and you're not comfortable with those holes, is there anybody here who would be hesitant to find the defendant not guilty in those circumstances?

THE COURT: Well, again, counsel, you're -- you're -you know, holes, I'm going to instruct them that they're
supposed to look at all the evidence in the case, how they
should look at direct and circumstantial evidence and the
witness testimony, and in the end, they're to deliberate and
determine whether or not they find proof beyond a reasonable
doubt or not. We're not -- I'm not going to have you say if
there's holes in the case. We don't know what you're talking
about, and I will give instructions on the law as to how they
can consider that.

MR. SHEETS: Your Honor, could we have a sidebar maybe?

THE COURT: All right.

(Bench conference)

MR. SHEETS: So, yes, Your Honor. My -- my ultimate concern is -- I'm -- I'm not necessarily implying that there's holes in the case. What I'm doing is asking the jury if they

find holes in the case if they're comfortable finding not guilty because --

THE COURT: Well, I've asked the question, are you -you can ask the question if the jury determines at the end that
the State has not proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt, is
there anyone who has a problem finding the defendant not guilty.
But I'm not going to talk holes. What is a hole? No one knows
what a hole is. And we're getting into these abstract, you
know, what ifs, and we're not going to go down that road.

Now, you can say if the evidence at the end of the day does not convince you for whatever reason that the State has not proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt, you know, are you -- is there anyone who has a problem not finding the -- problem finding the defendant not guilty. But I'm not going -- we're not going to be talking holes.

MR. SHEETS: Yes, Your Honor. Just going to review other questions so we can address them now if we're --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SHEETS: Another question I intended to ask was if anybody watches the Law and Order show and feels bad because somebody is found not guilty.

THE COURT: I mean, I'll let you ask that question if you want.

MR. SHEETS: And then I was just going to ask if anybody had any reservation about finding the defendant not

1 quilty --2 THE COURT: Just --3 MR. SHEETS: -- for fear of letting a potential 4 criminal loose. 5 Okay. I'll let you ask that one. THE COURT: 6 MR. SHEETS: Then the last two were going to be -- I 7 was going to ask if they were able -- if -- if they believed that the defendant had committed some crimes, but not others, if 8 9 they would be able to compartmentalize those and address those 10 individually --THE COURT: Well, that's --11 12 MR. SHEETS: -- versus it all. 13 THE COURT: -- that's getting into jury instructions, 14 and I'll give them jury instructions in terms of that kind of 15 thing. But, you know, you're asking them to try to conceptualize things that we don't even know what's going to 16 17 happen at this point. MR. SHEETS: A potential -- I guess, a maybe more 18 19 broader question is if at the end of the trial the jury finds 20 the defendant committed some of the offenses on the charges but 21 not on the others, would they be okay finding the defendant not guilty on some of those versus just finding him guilty because they found him quilty of some crimes. Ultimately, that's what 24 I'm trying to get at is that if they -- if they think he did a bad deed, are they going to punish him for the whole sheet.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't have a problem with letting 1 2 you ask them that. 3 MR. SHEETS: And my last one -- the only other one was if the jury -- if -- if any of them believe that during the State's presentation -- during the State's presentation of a 5 case, that they could be convinced of a defendant's guilt to 6 7 such a point where they wouldn't even consider a defense, or if 8 they could keep their mind open. And I think you've asked that 9 question. 10 THE COURT: I think we've asked that question. MR. SHEETS: Okay. All right. So that just makes it 11 easier, that way you don't have to keep cutting --13 THE COURT: Okay. That's all right. 14 MR. SHEETS: So --15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 MS. CRAGGS: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 MS. SUDANO: Thank you. 21 (End of bench conference) 22 MR. SHEETS: So we talked a little bit about -- I think it was Law and Order, CSI, all these crime shows we were 23 24 talking about earlier. We had one real big fan. Has anybody ever watched those shows and -- and seen them find not guilty,

and felt -- felt -- well, you felt bad, because they found somebody not guilty? No showing of hands. Okay.

Is there anybody here that would feel some kind of reservation or concern with finding somebody not guilty for fear of setting a potential criminal on the loose? Okay. No hands.

Is there anybody here -- in a situation where a defendant is charged with multiple offenses, is there anybody here that, if they found that a -- an individual did something -- that a defendant committed one or more of the offenses charged, but there was reasonable doubt as to the other, is there anybody here that feels like they would be more inclined to find them guilty of the others despite that reasonable doubt simply because they think that he might -- or may or may not have done a bad thing? Okay.

THE COURT: No -- let the record reflect no hands.

MR. SHEETS: No hands. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me just go back and speak to some of the panel.

Ms. Godkin, No. 4, if you could hand the mic to you.

Ms. Miller, thank you.

And we -- we brought up, and I'll -- I'll say this to everyone, the issue has been brought up about the idea of whether it's appropriate ever for a man to hit a woman, or for a woman to hit a man. And I think, you know, generally, I think we'll all agree that violence is something that is not good,

which is why we have all these statutes that include violence frequently.

The jury's job is to determine the facts of the case, and it's my job to give you the law as it relates to the case. At this point, I don't know what either side is going to present, and you don't know what each side is going to present as evidence.

But would you be -- if I gave you instructions on the law, whether it be self-defense or if excusable neglect or whatever it may be, and gave you a definition that explained what facts you would need to find or conclude to determine whether self-defense existed or whether excusable neglect existed or whatever it may be, would you be able to go back there with the jury and listen to the evidence in this case, take the evidence that you heard in this case, the exhibits you had in this case, and follow my instructions on the law?

And if you found the facts that would establish self-defense or establish excusable neglect or other justification, would you be able to follow my instructions despite whatever feelings you may have as to the propriety of whether someone should or should not hit someone else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0301: Yes, I would.

THE COURT: Okay. If you'd hand that down now to No. 6, Ms. Lytle-Jones.

Ms. Jones, you sort of heard what I went through now.

And, you know, at the end of the case I will give you the law as it relates to whatever is an appropriate defense or excuse for any sort of conduct. And in giving you that law, essentially, to find what facts you would need to find to determine self-defense or excusable neglect or whatever else may be appropriate to give at that time, would you be able to follow — to take the evidence as presented with the testimony and exhibits, and go back with the other jurors, and if you found the facts that I — that met the definition of whatever I give you in the instructions, would you be able to follow my instructions and apply those instructions, regardless of your feelings as to whether or not it's right or wrong to hit someone?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Yes, I would.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And if you could hand that down to No. 13, Mr. Brown.

And Mr. Brown, you expressed, you know, your general feeling that it's never right for a man to hit a woman, you know, and I'm not sure what your feelings was as far as a woman hitting a man, but I think we'll all agree that violence is not the best approach that should be taken to any -- any conflict.

What I'm going to ask you essentially is the same, which is at the end of the case I'll give you instructions, which may define a possible excuse or a possible defense or a possible justification to any sort of physical conduct, whether

it be by a man or be by a woman. And in giving you those instructions, I'll define the kind of facts that you, as a jury, would need to deliberate and find in order to find that excuse or find that defense or find that justification.

Do you think you would be able to follow my instructions, and if you found those facts, follow those instructions despite whatever feelings you had as to the propriety of anyone hitting anyone else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0173: I don't know.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0173: It would be tough.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. Do you think you could

13 do it?

14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0173: I could try.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good. Well, thank you. I appreciate your -- your honesty in that regard. If you'd hand that now to No. 14, Ms. Iverson.

Ms. Iverson, I'm going to go through sort of the same thing with you, which is at the end I may, depending upon what evidence is introduced, and that under the law provide you with instructions as to possible defenses, justifications, or excuses for someone's conduct during an incident. And in doing that, in giving you those instructions, I would define what kind of facts you as a jury would need to conclude or to find in order to apply that justification or that excuse or that defense.

Do you feel that you would be able to follow my instructions on the law if you found those facts and to follow my instructions on the law if you found those facts?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: Yeah, I think so.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Again, the issue is, you know, we don't know what the facts are in the case. We don't know what the details are or any of that. The issue is, you know, would you be able, if I gave you instructions on an excuse or a justification or defense, if you -- if you -- would you be able to go back as a jury, deliberate with the jury, and if you found that those facts which met the definition for justification or excuse or defense existed, would you be able to follow my instructions and apply that excuse, justification, or defense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: Yes, I'll try my best.

THE COURT: Okay. You think you can do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: Yeah.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And then if you'd hand that mic down to Mr. Court, No. 18.

Mr. Court, we've talked about this, but it is important. And ultimately, we don't know what evidence is going to be introduced. You know, at this point, you know, we don't know exactly what instructions you're going to get provided. But if at the end I provide you -- the evidence justifies it and the law justifies it, I can provide you instructions that may

give you -- on a defense or a justification or excuse for someone's conduct. And in doing that, I'd give you, you know, definitions as to what facts you would need to find in order to find that defense or excuse or justification.

Ms. Serrano.

Do you think that you would be able to listen to the evidence, the testimony here, the exhibits that are introduced, and to -- and if you concluded with your jurors that these facts or -- that were necessary to prove a justification defense or excuse under the instructions that I give you existed, do you think you would be able to follow my instructions on the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0193: I mean, I believe so. I

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. And if you -- oh, I think that's all. If you'd hand that down to No. 22,

mean, if the evidence shows, then yeah.

And, Ms. Serrano, I think -- I'm not sure why I had you marked down. I may have had you marked for a different reason. But do you feel that you would be able to follow instruction that I would give you in terms of any justification or defense or excuse that may -- the evidence or the law may allow at the end of the case?

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me ask -- I'll let the State -- if the State had a few more questions. Does the State have a few more questions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0202: I would have no problem.

MS. CRAGGS: Your Honor, my only question would be of 1 2 the new individuals, the four people that came up, I think Mr. 3 Raymond --4 THE COURT: Okay. I'll give you a chance to ask 5 questions of the new individuals if you'd like. MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Thank you. Just one, Judge. 6 7 THE COURT: No, that's fine. You can ask more. You 8 ask two or three. 9 MS. CRAGGS: So for Mr. Raymond, Ms. Hawkins, Ms. --10 is it Lytle-Jones? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: 11 12 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And Ms. Godkin. Was there anything that when I was asking my questions of the panel 13 14 earlier and you were listening, was there any question that I asked that you felt like you would have answered it if you were 15 sitting here? Anything in the back of your head? 16 17 Okay. If we could please pass it over to Ms. Hawkins, Seat No. 10. 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: I'm not sure if you're 20 the one that asked the question about we'd find it hard to find 21 someone guilty or not. 22 MS. CRAGGS: What was that? I'm sorry. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Were you the one that 24 asked the question if I could make the determination if someone 25 was guilty or not?

MS. CRAGGS: If you could sit in judgment of another 1 2 person? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: No, I couldn't. 4 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And why is that, ma'am? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0315: Because of my religious beliefs. 6 7 Thank you. I don't think I have MS. CRAGGS: Okay. 8 anything further unless the other three had something to add. 9 Okay. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And I'll let the defense, if you have a few follow-ups, quick follow-ups, go ahead. 11 12 MR. SHEETS: Just a couple brief ones. 13 Ms. -- this is directed at Ms. Lytle-Jones, maybe Ms. 14 Iverson, and Mr. Court. Now, you -- you both -- you all just 15 responded to the Court that you could follow the instructions as given to you. Would your proclivities or beliefs regarding a 16 17 man putting force on a woman have an impact on how easily you follow that instruction? I think we should start with Ms. 18 19 Lytle-Jones. 20 Okay. Hand the microphone to her. THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: I hope I'm comprehending 21 22 what you're saying. Are you saying that the question was asked 23 -- if you can repeat. I'm sorry. 24 MR. SHEETS: I'll rephrase it so it's a little --25 I don't think the question is how easily. THE COURT:

The issue is can she follow the instruction. 1 2 MR. SHEETS: Will you -- will you be able to follow 3 the instruction or do you think you will be able to follow the instruction or you will -- or will you try to follow the 5 instruction? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: I will follow the 6 7 instruction. 8 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Even if that disagrees with your 9 personal belief set? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Yes. MR. SHEETS: Will your personal belief set change the 11 burden of proof in your mind? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: I'm not --13 14 MR. SHEETS: Is it possible? 15 THE COURT: Well, I'll instruct -- I mean, the burden 16 of proof, I'll instruct that the burden of proof -- the State 17 has the burden, always has the burden, and it never shifts from the State to prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable 18 19 doubt. Do you understand that? The State has that burden. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: The State. Okay. Wow. 21 THE COURT: The State has the burden and the defendant 22 is presumed innocent. Do you remember these things we talked 23 about earlier? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: A little. Yeah. Just trying to --

Okay. That's all right. 1 THE COURT: 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: I'm sorry. It's like 3 just kind of coming back to me. 4 THE COURT: That okay. That's okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Yeah, so -- yes. MR. SHEETS: Yes, you --6 7 THE COURT: Yes, you would follow the Court's 8 instructions? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0314: Follow instructions. 10 Yes. That's what I meant to say, yeah, to follow the Court's instructions. Yes. 11 12 MR. SHEETS: Ms. Iverson, same question. Would --13 would it affect the burden of proof for you, or would you be 14 able to follow the instruction regarding reasonable doubt? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: This is my dilemma. I'm 16 a rule follower, so do I follow what the Judge says or what my 17 morals are, you know what I mean? I'm saying that what if he tells me the law is something I don't believe? So that's my 18 19 struggle there. 20 MR. SHEETS: So would it be fair to say that there's 21 -- that there's -- no matter how hard you try, there's likely to 22 be a conflict there in your mind? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: Well, I don't know what 24 the law is, so, you know, that's -- that's part of the problem. And I don't know the situation, so I have a hard time saying,

yeah, I would have no problem just following it blindly without 1 2 thinking about it. 3 MR. SHEETS: If it disagreed with your personal 4 belief, would you --5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: Right. MR. SHEETS: -- be able to follow the instruction? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: No. 8 MR. SHEETS: Or do you think -- okay, no. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0184: I would still struggle. 10 I would try to, but I think it would influence. 11 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Thank you very much. 12 And, Mr. Court. Same -- same question as I just asked 13 Would you be able to follow the instruction of the 14 instruction was different than your personal belief? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0193: The instruction as in the 16 burden of proof? 17 MR. SHEETS: Burden of proof, whether or not a man can use force on a woman, any of those things. If you were given an 18 19 instruction that disagreed with your personal belief on these 20 issues, would you be able to follow the instruction, or would 21 there be a constant battle in your mind with your personal 22 beliefs? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0193: No, I believe I would be 24 able to follow the instruction. Whether morally, you know, I agreed with it or not, I would -- evidence and evidence that

points itself. 1 2 MR. SHEETS: And one follow-up question, I guess, for 3 the group based on that statement. Is there anybody here who has a problem following the law if it defers from what they think is morally right as instructed by the Judge? No hands. 5 No further questions. 6 7 All right. Can I see counsel at sidebar. THE COURT: 8 (Bench conference) 9 THE COURT: All right. At this point, let me have any 10 that you want to move for cause. If you moved for cause previously, move it again. This is -- I just want to make clear 11 12 that if you did a cause the first time through that you still 13 feel is applicable and I didn't grant it at that time, I want 14 you to move it again or, otherwise, it's -- it's not --15 MR. SHEETS: We're at waiving time now. THE COURT: 16 Huh? 17 MR. SHEETS: We're at waiving time now. 18 THE COURT: Yeah, we're at -- we're at waiving time. 19 So let me ask the State, anyone the State wants to move for cause out of the 32? 20 21 MS. CRAGGS: Seat 10, Ms. Hawkins. 22 THE COURT: Which one? 23 MS. CRAGGS: Ms. Hawkins, Seat No. 10. 24 MR. SHEETS: I concur. 25 THE COURT: You concur.

```
MR. SHEETS: Can I go get my highlighters to mark
 1
 2
   them?
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Sure.
                                Hurry.
 4
             MR. SHEETS: Sorry. [Indiscernible].
 5
             THE COURT:
                         That's okay. All right. So we're all in
 6
   concurrence on No. 10. Hawkins?
 7
             MS. CRAGGS: Yes, Judge.
 8
             THE COURT:
                         Anybody else for the State?
 9
             MS. CRAGGS:
                          No.
             MS. SUDANO: No.
10
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Since there's two [indiscernible].
11
12
             MS. SUDANO: Michelle Sudano for the State.
13
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. All right.
14
             All right.
                         Any for cause from the defense?
15
             MR. SHEETS: No. 1, Ms. McCloud. The basis for it was
   her statement that she would try her best, but it's really,
16
17
   really been hard for her seeing things that she has seen, that
   she thought that that could affect her ability to be unbiased in
18
19
   this situation, not to mention the scheduling issue we had
20
   almost both concurred on that from a scheduling standpoint, as
21
   well.
22
                         All right. Any response by the State?
             THE COURT:
23
             MS. SUDANO: I mean, she indicated early on that she
24
   could be fair despite what was happening with everybody, you
   know, violence shouldn't be a thing with anybody. She did say
```

that she was uncomfortable with domestic violence in general, but that she would try her best to be fair.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me think on that one. Go ahead with the others you have.

MR. SHEETS: I would -- Ms. Lytle-Jones, she's going to be No. 6.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SHEETS: Order No. 41. She -- I mean, she did say that she thought she could follow the instruction. She waivered and then said that she didn't think that she could, and then she did think. It's clear that there's a conflict going on there in her mind. I think out of an abundance of caution, given that my client is charged with life and that she's made it clear that there is that conflict, and she has said multiple times she can't be fair, and then said she can follow the instructions, and kind of gone back and forth. I'd move for cause on that one, as well.

THE COURT: State's response.

MS. SUDANO: And, Your Honor, she did keep indicating that it depended on the circumstances, and that was what everybody was saying throughout was that, you know, they don't know the facts at this point, which is exactly the answer that we want from them is that they don't know anything about the case at this point, so they're having a hard time answering these questions in the abstract. She did repeatedly say that

she would be able to follow the law and follow the instructions as given by Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Yeah, from the very beginning when it was first brought up, she said, you know, she'd have to look at the details which is one of my problems with these kind of questions, which is no one knows exactly what we're talking about here. And so they all are sort of dealing with it in a vacuum. She's indicated fairly clearly at the end, and even when counsel came back for final questioning, but she could follow the law and I'm not going to strike her. So I'll deny that one for cause. Your next one?

MR. SHEETS: So much paper. No. 13, Brown, Arland Brown. I think even when Your Honor --

THE COURT: Let me just top you for a second. Any objection from the State?

MS. CRAGGS: No.

THE COURT: Okay. I -- I think he indicated that he -- that it was going to be really hard. I assume that's where you were going to go?

MR. SHEETS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll strike Mr. Brown.

22 Next one?

MR. SHEETS: I would object, again, for Mr. Iverson, 14, just for the same reason as Ms. Lytle-Jones, that she was going back and forth and back and forth on the issue. I think

it shows that there's a conflict there, and since he's facing 2 life, out of an abundance of caution, I'd move to strike for 3 cause. 4 THE COURT: State's response? 5 MS. SUDANO: Your Honor, I think that she is differently situated than Ms. Lytle-Jones in that she -- her 6 initial response was I don't think I can be fair, and then, you 8 know, she said, yeah, I think so, I'll do my best. But I think 9 her final, final answer was I don't believe I can be fair. 10 THE COURT: So you're not opposing? MS. SUDANO: 11 No 12 THE COURT: Okay. That's what I wanted. And I would 13 concur with you. I think she is different than Lytle-Jones in 14 terms of her responses. All right. I'll strike No. 14, Ms. Iverson, for cause. All right. Any more, counsel? 15 16 MR. SHEETS: Yes, Your Honor. Similarly, No. 18, 17 Count. Again --THE COURT: Mr. Court? 18 19 MR. SHEETS: Court. I'm sorry. My handwriting is 20 terrible. Again, back and forth, was very adamant at the 21 beginning that he would not be able to differ from his hardline position that there's no circumstance where a man could put his hands on a woman. He did loosen up towards the end, but was 24 still going back and forth. 25 THE COURT: All right. State's response.

MS. SUDANO: We believe that he similarly is situated to Ms. Lytle-Jones. You know, he did initially state that he could be objective and that he needed more details, that he would prefer to stand by the law, and that he would try and most likely could be objective were his initial response, and then with the follow-up questions from Your Honor and from Mr. Sheets, both times he did indicate that he would follow the law.

noted he needed details, and so, again, the problem I have -and I'm not saying it's a bad question, Mr. Sheets, but the
problem I have is we're dealing with, at this point, totally
esoteric concepts. No one knows what the facts are and the
circumstances. And so he did indicate, and I thought with me
and then also with you, Mr. Sheets, that he could follow the
law, so I'll deny that motion for cause. Anybody else?

MR. SHEETS: No. 25, Ms. Dabney. I think she's been very outspoken against domestic violence allegations and domestic violence. She's indicated from the very get go that she doesn't know how fair. That was the very first thing she said when she walked in, she didn't know how fair she could be to my client. She also agreed with that sentiment that she didn't think she could -- she could follow the instructions if it differed from her personal belief. She was adamant about that, and I don't believe the State did anything to rehabilitate that.

THE COURT: I seem to remember that. I don't think I 1 2 did anything, either. What's the State's position? 3 MS. SUDANO: Your Honor, I think at one point she did say that she could follow the law, but she also made a lot of other statements that she would have a hard time, so we'll 5 submit it on that one. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. I'll strike Ms. Dabney. All 8 right. Anybody else? 9 MR. SHEETS: Let me check the last two here. 10 Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That leaves us with Ms. McCloud. 11 I'm going to go ahead and strike Ms. McCloud. All right. All right. So we're with McCloud -- we're going to strike McCloud, 13 14 Hawkins, Brown, Iverson, and Dabney. All right. So then we'll 15 put on five and those will be the focus for the remainder of our 16 examinations, all right? 17 MR. SHEETS: Yes, Your Honor. Okay. Okay. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: 19 MS. SUDANO: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 (End of bench conference) 22 THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, I talked with counsel and I am going to excuse some additional jurors at this 24 time. Again, if you feel you should have been excused and you weren't, it's my decision, so blame me, not the parties.

```
At this point we are going to excuse No. 1, Ms.
 1
 2
   McCloud.
             Thank you for your participation here today, Ms.
 3
   McCloud.
 4
             And we're going to excuse Juror No. 10, Ms. Hawkins.
   Ms. Hawkins, thank you for your participation here today.
 5
 6
             We're going to excuse Juror No. 13, Mr. Brown. Thank
 7
   you for your participation here today, Mr. Brown.
 8
             We're going to excuse Juror No. 14, Ms. Iverson.
 9
   Thank you for your participation, Ms. Iverson.
10
             And we're going to excuse Juror No. 25, Ms. Dabney.
11
   Thank you for your participation here today, Ms. Dabney.
12
             All right. We're going to need a new Juror No. 1, and
13
   that's going to be Mr. Jambresic. And then we're going to need
14
   a new Juror No. 10, and that's going to be Elizabeth Barnes.
15
             THE CLERK:
                        Your Honor --
16
             THE COURT: Is there some more?
17
             THE CLERK:
                        Yeah.
18
             THE COURT:
                        Oh, I -- there's more on that -- oh, okay.
19
   No, that will be Vanessa Almazan. I'm sorry. No. 10 will be
20
   Ms. Almazan. Okay. Juror No. 13, new Juror No. 13 will be
   Elizabeth Barnes. New Juror No. 14 will be Ms. Belin.
21
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1288:
                                          Danielle Belin.
23
             THE COURT: Danielle Belin. Belin? How do you
24
   pronounce it?
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1288: Belin.
```

```
THE COURT:
                        Belin. And the new Juror No. 25 will be
 1
 2
   Ms. Jacks. Okay. If you can hand the mic and the questions to
 3
   No. 1, Mr. Jambresic.
 4
             How are you this afternoon?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0318:
                                          Good.
 6
             THE COURT: All right. Did you have an opportunity to
 7
   hear all the questions I asked earlier today?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0318: Yes.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Would you have answered any of those?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0318: No, I'm okay.
             THE COURT: Well, would you have answered any of
11
   those?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0318: No.
13
14
             THE COURT: No? All right. Let's have you read off
  the answers to these questions, then.
15
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0318: I'm originally from
16
17
   Croatia. I live 15 years in Las Vegas, Nevada. Las Vegas and
18
   Salt Lake, and six years in Las Vegas.
19
             THE COURT: Okay. Where generally in Las Vegas?
20
   part of the valley?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0318: Northwest.
22
             THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0318: I finish graduated in
24
  Croatia college and in Salt Lake, also, college.
25
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0318: I'm employed in the
2	Palazzo Hotel like HVAC mechanic.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0318: My wife is not working.
5	I have two children, 37 and 34 years old. One is in Florida,
6	and other is in Germany.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0318: I'm married. And I never
9	serve jury.
10	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0318: I never serve jury.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you very much.
13	If you'd hand that down to No. 10, Ms. Almazan. How do you
14	pronounce your name? I apologize.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: Almazan.
16	THE COURT: Almazan?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: Uh-huh.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: I've lived here for 12
20	years.
21	THE COURT: Well, wait, let me just ask. Did you hear
22	all the questions I asked earlier today?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: Yes.
24	THE COURT: And would you have answered any of those?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: No.
	296

THE COURT: Okay. Now, go ahead and answer the 1 2 questions on that sheet. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: I've lived here for 12 years. Right now I live in Henderson. Let's see, I have some 5 college. I'm a retail associate at Ross. I'm not married. Ι have no children. And I've never been on a jury before. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. If you'd hand 8 that now down to No. 13, Ms. Barnes. 9 Good afternoon, Ms. Barnes. How are you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1283: Good afternoon. 10 11 good, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: I'm doing fine. And so let me ask you, did you hear all the questions that I asked earlier today? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1283: Yes. 14 15 THE COURT: Would you have answered any of those? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1283: No. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and read through the sheet 18 there. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1283: Born and raised in Las 20 Vegas. Currently live in the southeast. Education, bachelor of 21 science in international business. Employed for a 22 pharmaceutical account specialist, senior specialist. Married, 23 and he's a foreman heavy equipment operator. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1283: I have two children, two

and five. Never been a juror. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. If you'd hand that now to No. 3 14, Ms. Belin. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1288: Hello, my name is 5 Danielle Belin. THE COURT: Let me ask you. First of all, did you 6 7 hear all the questions that I asked earlier today? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1288: Yes. I do have two 8 9 friends in law enforcement. They're retired. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you known them? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1288: 25 years. 11 12 THE COURT: And where -- what law enforcement did they work before? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1288: Carl Lindstrom was a U.S. 14 Marshal, and Carpenter, Bill Carpenter and Myra is a -- works 15 here somewhere. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1288: But Bill Carpenter 18 19 retired and he was Metro Police Department. 20 THE COURT: All right. Anything about your friendship with them or association with them and their work that would 21 affect your ability to be a fair juror here? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1288: I don't think so. 24 THE COURT: Okay. You feel you would be able to 25 follow my instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply those

1	instructions to the evidence admitted?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1288: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Any other questions you would have
4	answered?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1288: No, I don't think so.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Go ahead and go off
7	finish that.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1288: So I've lived in Las
9	Vegas. I live on the east side of town since 1986. My
10	education is master's in education. I work for CCSD high
11	school. My husband, I'm married, no children. My husband is a
12	chef. I've been called three times, but never a juror on the
13	on the
14	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Why don't you hand that
15	down to No. 25, Ms. Jacks.
16	And good afternoon, Ms. Jacks. How are you?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: I'm good.
18	THE COURT: All right. Did you hear all the questions
19	that I asked earlier today?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: I did.
21	THE COURT: And would you have answered any of those?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: I have been under oath
23	and in deposition in a divorce trial.
24	THE COURT: Okay. About when was that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: Huh?
	299

1	THE COURT: About when was that, how long ago?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: About 2000.
3	THE COURT: All right. Was that here in Southern
4	Nevada?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
7	affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: No.
9	THE COURT: Okay. What else, other questions would
10	you have answered?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: I have seen that show
12	with the District Attorney on it.
13	THE COURT: Sin City Justice?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: Yes.
15	THE COURT: All right. Well, for rating purposes,
16	they finally scored on that. Do I don't know if the
17	prosecutors who are here have appeared on that show or not. Do
18	you recognize them at all from the show?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: No.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Does the fact how many times
21	have you watched that show?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: Maybe three or four.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that show
24	and watching it that would affect your ability to be a fair
25	juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: No. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. Any other questions 3 you would have answered? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: 5 THE COURT: All right. Then let me just -- from your watching the show, is there anything -- do you feel you could 6 7 follow my instructions and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions to the evidence admitted? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and answer those questions 10 there. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1300: I've lived in Las Vegas since 1969, and I currently live in the northwest. I have some 13 14 college. I'm employed by a construction company. I do their payroll at home. I'm not married. I have two grown children in 15 16 their 40s. And I've never been a juror. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. All right. Does State have any questions for our new 18 voir dire panel members? 19 20 MS. CRAGGS: Briefly, Judge. Thank you. 21 THE COURT: Sure. 22 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. So just to our five new people. think the folks that came in this afternoon hear all my 24 questions; is that correct? People are shaking their heads and 25 nodding yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there any one of the new group 1 that came in this afternoon who didn't hear all of counsel's 2 3 questions? Let the record reflect no hands. 4 MS. CRAGGS: Thank you, Judge. 5 When I was asking those questions, did any of the 6 questions that I asked, would you have answered those if you were sitting on the panel? Okay. I see nobody raising their 8 hand. 9 I guess most importantly, the five new people, does anybody -- are they a victim of domestic violence, have close 10 friends or family that are victims of domestic violence, or have 11 they been accused of domestic violence or had close friends or family accused of domestic violence? 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. We have one hand up over here, No. 15 10, Ms. Almazan. MS. CRAGGS: If you can pass the microphone back. 16 17 Thank you. 18 Okay. Can you tell me about that? Was that you or a 19 close friend or family? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: My brother was actually 21 charged with domestic violence. 22 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. And who was the victim in that 23 case? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: His girlfriend. 25 MS. CRAGGS: Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: Uh-huh.
2	MS. CRAGGS: Are you close to your brother?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: Yes.
4	MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Do you know if it went ended up
5	going to trial or to court?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: It went to court, but she
7	didn't show up.
8	MS. CRAGGS: Do you know what happened to the case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: I know he had to take
10	classes.
11	MS. CRAGGS: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: Yeah.
13	MS. CRAGGS: And what did you have any strong
14	negative or positive feelings about that process, about him
15	having to go to court and having to take those classes?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: I was there when
17	everything happened, and I know she kind of lied.
18	MS. CRAGGS: Oh, okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: Well, not kind of. She
20	did lie.
21	MS. CRAGGS: So you felt like it wasn't fair that he
22	ended up having to take those classes and go to court?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: Yeah.
24	MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Will you hold the fact that you
25	feel like maybe your brother was wrongfully prosecuted against
	202
	303

Ms. Sudano or I who work for the State of Nevada? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: No. 2 3 MS. CRAGGS: Okay. Will you be able to kind of put that aside and keep an open mind and consider the evidence as we present it to you just based on what you hear? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: Yes. 6 7 MS. CRAGGS: Nothing further, Judge. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Defense. 9 MR. SHEETS: Now, we've gone over this ad nauseam with 10 everybody else in the gallery, but I've got to ask the question of the five of you that just came up. Out of the five of you 11 that just came up, which of you believes that there's never a 12 circumstance where a man should put his hands on a woman? 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. Let's go back to No. 10, Ms. 15 Almazan. 16 MR. SHEETS: Yes. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: My brother actually, you know, his girlfriend hit him a different time, and he didn't put 18 19 hands on her and he actually walked away. 20 MR. SHEETS: Do you believe that there's never a 21 situation where a man should put his hands on a woman? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: Yeah, I don't think. MR. SHEETS: Do you believe that you can put that 23 24 belief aside and follow instructions if the Court were to 25 instruct you otherwise?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0321: Yeah. 1 2 MR. SHEETS: Okay. Does anybody out of the five that 3 just came up, do any of you have a belief regarding domestic violence that if it deferred from the Court's instructions you believe you would not be able to follow the Court's 5 instructions? Okay. No hands. 6 7 No questions, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Let me see counsel again at 9 sidebar. 10 (Bench conference) THE COURT: Okay. Any of the new five that have come 11 on the State would move for cause? 13 MS. SUDANO: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Any of the five that have come on that the 15 defense moves for cause? 16 MR. SHEETS: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll do peremptories. 18 I will remind you, if you have it in your hearts anywhere, Ms. 19 Galindo does have the appointment with the child on Wednesday 20 morning that if someone wanted to be kind on a peremptory and 21 had -- felt they had some room to do that, I would ask that to be considered, but, obviously, you need to do what's best for your client or party or side. 24 I also will note Mr. Nordsiek is -- has a flight on 25 Monday morning. So I'll just, again, note that for any thought

or consideration that you may want to give in that regard. 1 again, as I -- you know, we will work around whatever 2 3 circumstances that the jurors have, so do what's best for your 4 side during the -- your consideration of peremptories. 5 Anything else before we get started? 6 MR. SHEETS: Just want to make sure, it's eight 7 peremptories each and --8 THE COURT: Right. 9 MR. SHEETS: -- one strike on the --10 THE COURT: Use eight peremptories on 1 through 28, each side. Number your peremptories. So your first one is one. 11 If you waive a peremptory, that doesn't waive all the remaining. 13 So if for some reason, I never quite understood why, you decided 14 on number five to waive, just write on the bottom, five waived, and you can knock off six, you know, and the next time seven and 15 16 eight. Use one each on 29 through 32. 17 MR. SHEETS: Does Your Honor alternate, or do we do 18 them --Alternate. We'll start with the State. 19 THE COURT: 20 MS. SUDANO: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? 22 MR. SHEETS: No, Your Honor. 23 MS. SUDANO: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. Very good. 25 MS. CRAGGS: Thank you.

(End of bench conference)

THE COURT: Okay. We're actually getting close to finishing the process here today. I'm going to ask you to be a little bit patient. We'll have to run a little bit after 5:00. However, if we can't stay a little after 5:00, everybody will have to come back tomorrow at 1:00. So if I can get a little bit of patience, we should be done here pretty soon.

We're going to go into what's called the peremptory challenge process. Essentially, at this point in time we allow counsel for both sides to excuse members of the panel for any reason that they feel, whatever -- it can be anything. If one of you are excused during this process, don't feel you've said anything bad or did something wrong.

As I think I mentioned before, at least to the first group that was here, I was a prosecutor for about 32 years. I dealt with a lot of jury trials. And by the end of my career, it pretty much came down to just a gut -- and half the time I waived because I couldn't figure out a good reason to strike somebody or to excuse them. So there's no good, bad, anything like that, but I'd ask you to consider -- just stay here and we'll go through the process.

You can talk to each other, not about the case, but you can talk to each other while we go through this. I'm going to ask -- and you can stand up and stretch if you want, but I ask you to stay in your spots that you are now. I think it

```
makes it easier for the attorneys to remember what you say and
 2
   to -- to put faces and names together. So we'll go give the
 3
   sheet to the prosecution.
 4
                   (Peremptory challenges exercised)
 5
                        Okay. Counsel, can I see you at sidebar.
             THE COURT:
                           (Bench conference)
 6
 7
                         Okay. I'm showing Juror No. 1, Ms.
             THE COURT:
 8
   Seiling; Juror No. 2, Ms. Ramos; Juror No. 3, Mr. Davidson;
   Juror No. 4, Ms. Miller; Juror No. 5, Ms. Barnes; Juror No. 6,
10
   Ms. Belin; Juror No. 7, Mr. Kubiak; Juror No. 8, Ms. Ramirez;
   Juror No. 9, Ms. Vasquez; Juror No. 10, Ms. Jacks; Juror No. 11,
11
   Ms. Catanzaro; Juror No. 12, Ms. Tario; Juror No. 13, Alternate
13
   1, Mr. Raymond; and Juror No. 14, Alternate 2, Mr. Pasco.
14
   the parties concur with that, State?
15
             MS. CRAGGS: The State does, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Defense?
16
17
             MR. SHEETS: Yes, Your Honor.
18
             THE COURT:
                         All right. Does any party wish to make a
19
   motion at this point in time?
20
             MS. CRAGGS: No.
21
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. That's the State.
22
             MR. SHEETS: No basis for a Batson objection.
23
             MS. SUDANO: [Inaudible].
24
             THE COURT: You would have surprised me, but anything
25
   is possible.
```

```
Defense.
 1
 2
             MR. SHEETS: No, Your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. All right. I just -- I will swear
   them in tonight. We'll get started -- can we get started
 5
   tomorrow at 12:30?
             MR. SHEETS: Yes.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: I'm going to see if any of them has -- I'm
 8
   looking at my calendar. I think we can maybe get started at
   12:30, and I want to try to get in as much as -- much as we can.
   If Ms. Seiling sells her tickets, you know, get -- get -- get
10
   your speed locomotive up for hitting the game.
12
             MR. SHEETS: Maybe I'll have to meet my wife
13
   [inaudible].
14
             THE COURT: We'll figure it out at the time.
   see what it is. All right. We'll try to get
15
   started tomorrow. I'll do some preliminary instructions, we'll
16
17
   get into openings, and then, State, have your witnesses
18
   available.
19
             MS. SUDANO: Will do, Your Honor.
20
                         Okay. All right. Anything else for right
             THE COURT:
21
   now?
22
             MS. SUDANO: No.
23
             MS. CRAGGS: No, Judge.
24
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. All right. Thank you.
25
                       (End of bench conference)
                                  309
```

THE COURT: All right. We have our jury put together, 1 2 so Juror No. 1 is Ms. Seiling. If you would come up and sit in 3 the back row over to this side. 4 Juror No. 2 is Ms. Ramos. Where did she go? Oh, 5 there she is. All right. You sit next to Ms. Seiling. Juror No. 3 is Mr. Davidson. Sit next to Ms. Ramos. 6 7 Juror No. 4 is Ms. Miller. There you are, Ms. Miller. 8 You're No. 4. If you can sit next to Mr. Ramos. 9 Juror No. 5 is Ms. Barnes. And Juror No. 6 is Ms. Belin. Juror No. 7 is Mr. Kubiak. There he is. All right. 10 Juror No. 8 is Ms. Ramirez. Ms. Ramirez, I'm going to ask you 11 12 to sit down on the front row right below Ms. Seiling. Right 13 there. Very good. Juror No. 10 is Ms. 14 Juror No. 9 is Ms. Vasquez. 15 Juror No. 11 is Ms. Catanzaro. Juror No. 12 is Ms. Jacks. Tario. Is it Tario or Tario? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: Tario. 18 THE COURT: I apologize. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0286: That's okay. 20 THE COURT: And then Juror No. 13 is Mr. Raymond. 21 Juror No. 14 is Mr. Pasco. So you guys go sit up there. And this is our jury for our trial. 23 The rest of you -- the rest of you are excused with my 24 I know it's been a long day. I know a bunch of you got here probably at 7:30 in the morning, and so it has been a long

day for you and I give you my thanks and appreciation.

As I said in the very beginning, I'm not under any delusion that any of you are excited about being here or happy about being here, but what -- your being here was very, very important. Because it's this process that we go through in selecting a jury, people out of the community who have no interest or background or biases or prejudices that they can't put aside. That is so key and so crucial for the community to have confidence in the decisions that come out of this court.

So I know that maybe you're disappointed or maybe felt it's been a long day, but what you have done here is very, very important. I appreciate it, and I know the parties do. You are excused. The State won't contact you again for jury service for 18 months. That doesn't protect you from jury service from the federal district court, so they may still grab you, but you shouldn't hear from the State for 18 months. But thank you very much for your service here today and have a great evening and week.

(Prospective jury excused at 5:24 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. I know I mentioned several times starting tomorrow at 1:00, but if possible, I'd like to get started at 12:30. I had my law clerk check my calendar in the morning. I think we can get done with it early enough to get started at 12:30.

And I do want to get this going and get as much as we

can in and try to get you guys out of -- out of here and out of -- and back to your regular lives as quickly as possible. So we'll try to get started at 12:30. To do that, we need you to get down here about 12:20. We need you to get here about ten minutes ahead of time.

And as I emphasize today, and now that we have you 14, I really emphasize we cannot get started without you. So set the alarm clocks, set, you know, your watch or whatever it is, you know, take into account traffic. If you aren't down here, we're all going to be -- be waiting for you, so I really do need you to be here on time. And then lets go ahead and swear in the jury.

(Jury sworn)

THE COURT: Okay. All right. The way things will work tomorrow is we'll -- once we get back together, I will start off with some preliminary jury instructions to give you a little bit of sense of how the case will be organized, some general rules and parameters for you to think about as you're listening to the evidence and look at the witness list.

As soon as I get done with those instructions, the State will have an opportunity to give an opening statement, and the defense will have an opportunity to give an opening statement. Neither party is required to give an opening statement if they don't want to. It's totally up to them. So they both will have an opportunity to do an opening statement.

Once opening statement are done, we'll get right into the evidence and the State will present it's -- will start presenting its case. The State goes first because, as I mentioned a number of times, the State has the burden of proof in a criminal trial, and that burden never shifts from the State. They have the burden of proof approving beyond a reasonable doubt each and every one of the factual elements of the charges against the defendant. So they get to go first.

And as I noted before, the defense doesn't have an obligation to do anything. If at the end of the State's case, if the State has failed to present evidence that you feel is sufficient to convince you beyond a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt, you have an obligation to find the defendant not guilty, even if the defense didn't do anything. But we do give the defense an opportunity to present evidence if it does want to do so.

So once all the evidence is done, we'll go into the final instructions on the law and closing arguments and send you back to the deliberation room. So we'll go through that all in more detail tomorrow with my initial instructions, but that gives you a thumbnail of what's going to happen with the trial going forward.

Tonight, let me remind you, until this case is submitted to you, do not talk to each other about it or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the end of the case

when you go to the jury room to decide on your verdict. Do not talk with anyone else about this case or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the trial has ended and you've been discharged as jurors.

Anyone else includes members of your family, your employer, and your friends. You may tell them that you are a juror in a criminal case, but don't tell them anything else about it until after you've been discharged by me.

anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, please report it to me immediately by contacting the marshal. Do not read any news stories or articles or listen to any radio or television reports about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. Do not visit the scene of any events mentioned during the trial, or undertake any investigation or research or investigation on your own.

This includes use of social media to in any way discuss the case or the use of the Internet or other reference or resource materials to do any investigation or research on your own. And do not begin to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the case until it is finally submitted to you.

Let me just reemphasize again that I am ordering you and asking you not to go on social media in any way to discuss this case while you sit as jurors. As I said, I'm ordering you

to do that, so it has the official force of the Court, but I'm asking you to do that for the reasons that I expressed earlier today.

It's very important that you are not presented with any information that's not appropriate for you to consider under the rules of evidence. And if you go on social media, people can post things back, message back or whatever it may be, and something that they message back to you may be inappropriate for you to consider or information that you shouldn't have. So just don't in any way go on social media about this case until you've been discharged.

Once you've been discharged, you can post anything and everything that you want. You can take pictures of each other and post that on the -- on the Internet, but until then, don't do this. That doesn't preclude you from -- you know, if you want to go on Facebook and wish somebody a happy birthday or talk about the Golden Knights or the upcoming NBA playoffs, whatever that may be, that's totally fine, but don't do anything in reference to this case.

Same thing, I'm ordering you and asking you don't go on the Internet and do any sort of research on your own. Don't look up any of our names, don't look up any of the locations that have been mentioned or that will be mentioned during the course of the trial. It's the attorneys' jobs to make sure that you get the information you need to deliberate back in the jury

deliberation room. Let them do the job. 1 2 Don't go home and look up a word that I said or one of 3 the attorneys said today or go on and look up anything on the Internet or any reference materials. Just don't do it. You will be given the opportunity during the course of trial to ask 5 questions of any of the witnesses who testify, and so you'll 6 7 have a chance if something comes up to -- to ask some questions, 8 written questions. So just don't go on the Internet in any way. 9 Rely on the attorneys to do their job. 10 It's been a long day. I really do appreciate it. appreciate you staying here so we could get this done. We'll get started at 12:30 tomorrow. And have a fantastic evening. 13 (Jury recessed at 5:31 p.m.) 14 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything we need to cover tonight by the State? 15 MS. SUDANO: No. 16 Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: By the defense? 18 MR. SHEETS: I don't have anything, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Let me just say -- I'll let you 20 have a very brief chance, but I'll have say -- a chance to say 21 something, Mr. Harris. 22 What about jury instructions? 23 MS. SUDANO: I can send them tonight. Take a look at 24 them. 25 MR. SHEETS: I'll do the same. I have a rough draft

that I've been writing, as well.

THE COURT: All right. Well, you know, get together and figure out where there's agreement or disagreement with this. I do want to get this to the jury if at all possible on Thursday, so I don't want to be spending hours that we can be using for witness time going over the instructions. So send him your copy of the jury instructions. You send your copy of whatever are your instructions. And then get together tomorrow morning or start figuring out how you're going to get together and tell me where you agree and where we disagree.

MR. SHEETS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Harris, I -everybody has got a lot of things, but I will give you two or
three minutes. I would encourage you to speak to your attorney
first. Because, again, Mr. Harris, I want to remind you that
anything you say here in court is public record and can be
potentially used against you. So I really do encourage you to
speak through your attorney.

And so if you're going to say something to me, I encourage you to speak to your attorney and ask him if you can say it or what you should say before you say anything to me.

But I will give you a chance, just two or three minutes to -- whatever you want to say here right now.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm aware of that. I'm going to speak with him real briefly here.

THE COURT: 1 Okay. 2 (Off-record colloquy) 3 THE DEFENDANT: Can I get time tomorrow to read over 4 this stack I just got today? 5 THE COURT: Well, I mean, we aren't starting until 12:30. 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Well, it might take me a couple hours 8 because I've got to get some rest. 9 THE COURT: Well, you've got tonight and tomorrow 10 morning. THE DEFENDANT: All right. And this -- there's some 11 instructions I would like the jury. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Well, give -- give the -- I'm going 13 14 to work with your attorney on the instructions, so if you've got some instructions you want to give to your attorney, you go 15 16 ahead and give them to your attorney. And if he feels that they 17 are appropriate or proper under the law, he can present them to 18 me. 19 THE DEFENDANT: There's a lot of things that I took 20 notice like when my attorney was speaking like open -- like open 21 holes in the -- in my case, which that it is, before -- because, one, they got -- we already know what's put to the side, but for me, people looking at me, and I want the best representation to 24 be represented, you know. I don't want -- I don't want to be 25 conflict with you or the DA or the courtroom proceedings.

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 THE DEFENDANT: I respect the courtroom. I respect 3 the judicial system. But I also would want to be treated 4 fairly --5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 THE DEFENDANT: -- through the process. And I would 7 like you to -- to understand that's why I be speaking when I 8 speak because I know that it's not against the law for me to 9 speak on my behalf because I am found competent to stand trial, 10 Your Honor, and I'm standing. And --THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, like I said, I 11 encourage you to give your thoughts to Mr. Sheets, and he'll 12 raise the issues with -- with the Court. And if there is 13 14 something you want to raise with me, I'll give you a few minutes here and there during the trial to speak directly with me. 15 16 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Could I raise something with 17 you today? 18 THE COURT: Well, why don't you ask Mr. Sheets if it's 19 appropriate real quickly. 20 (Off-record colloquy) 21 THE COURT: The witnesses will testify. If they say 22 something inconsistent with their prior testimony, then you may 23 be able -- your attorney may be able to ask them about those prior inconsistencies. But as a general rule, you just don't --24 we just don't play all the transcripts or all the recordings,

```
but your attorney can talk to you about it, but those are --
   those are rules. Look at Nevada Rules of Evidence concerning
 2
   the admission of hearsay and it will talk about prior
   inconsistent statement and consistent statements.
 5
             THE DEFENDANT: I think it's NRS 174 --
             THE COURT: Well, the long and short of it is your
 6
 7
   attorney is the one who is responsible for understanding those
 8
   rules. Be sure you --
 9
             THE DEFENDANT: But what if I got --
10
             THE COURT: -- you note to your attorney that you want
   these things played, and he'll factor that into his examination
   of any witnesses, and there are times where the State will even
13
   agree that certain transcripts or certain recordings should be
14
   presented to the jury. And if they do, we'll present those to
15
   the jury.
16
             THE DEFENDANT: What if it's evidence, Your Honor,
17
   that will get me acquitted for all charges today, the victim
   saying that I didn't do this on recording?
18
19
             THE COURT: And, again, the -- what a victim said on a
20
   recording, the victim will testify here. If you have --
21
             THE DEFENDANT: So that victim --
22
             THE COURT: -- a recording where --
23
             THE DEFENDANT: -- won't be present?
24
             THE COURT: -- she says -- the victim will testify.
25 If you have a recording where she denies that there was anything
```

wrong, that may be something that can be used to undermine her credibility. But the jury is going to decide on the credibility of the witness as she testifies here in court.

THE DEFENDANT: And I would like permission to subpoena the ATM officers, the medical response officers.

THE COURT: Well, you need to discuss that. Your attorney is the one who determines who to subpoena and who not to subpoena.

THE DEFENDANT: Can we have a special psychiatrist come in to show the victim's state of mind?

THE COURT: All right. You need to talk about this stuff with your attorney.

THE DEFENDANT: I already did. I already did.

THE COURT: You're represented by -- you're represented by your attorney. If he feels it's not appropriate, then he is the one who controls how the case is developed and presented to the jury.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, could I talk to him real quick before he leaves?

THE COURT: You can talk to him real quick, but everyone else is going to be leaving. We'll get together tomorrow at 12:30. If there's anything that comes up by either side that's going to require something before the jury, we get started, let my office know and we'll try to meet 15 minutes early.

```
MS. SUDANO: Thank you, Your Honor.
 1
              MS. CRAGGS: Thank you.
 2
3
              THE COURT: All right. Good night.
             (Court recessed at 5:39 p.m., until Tuesday,
 4
 5
                     April 10, 2018, at 12:43 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                    322
```

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

Julie Potter Kingman, AZ 86402 (702) 635-0301

> JULIE POTTER TRANSCRIBER