#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE	) Supreme Court No. 76924
OF THEODORE ERNEST SCHEIDE,	) District Case No. <b>Electronically Filed</b>
JR.	Jun 07 2019 06:36 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
GE WEE GIVE PRESIDENT OF PEGEL PROVI	Clerk of Supreme Court
ST. JUDE CHILDREN'S RESEARCH	) Sient di Sapreme Seart
HOSPITAL,	
A man allows	)
Appellant,	)
V.	
v.	)
THEODORE E. SCHEIDE, III,,	)
Respondent.	) )
	_ )

# APPENDIX TO APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF VOLUME VI of IX

Appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court Case No. P082619

## HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC

Michael K. Wall (2098) Russel J. Geist (9030) Peccole Professional Park 10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Attorneys for Appellant

# **Chronological Index**

Doc No.	Description	Vol.	Bates Nos.
1	Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Special Administrator; filed 10/02/2014	I	AA000001- 000005
2	Ex Parte Order Appointing Special Administrator; filed 10/06/2014	Ι	AA000006
3	Letters of Special Administration; filed 10/13/2014	I	AA000007
4	Notice of Entry of Ex Parte Order Appointing Special Administrator; filed 01/12/2015	I	AA000008- 000010
5	Petition for Appointment of Administrator of Intestate Estate Under Full Administration; filed 01/29/2015	Ι	AA000011- 000030
6	Notice of Hearing for Appointment of Administrator with Will Annexed Under Full Administration; fled 01/29/2015	Ι	AA000031
7	Certificate of Mailing - Notice of Hearing for Appointment of Administrator with Will Annexed Under Full Administration; filed 01/29/2015	I	AA000032
8	Petition for Instructions; filed 05/06/2015	I	AA000033- 000055
9	Notice of Hearing on Petition for Instructions; filed 05/06/2015	I	AA000056
10	Certificate of Mailing - Notice of Hearing on Petition for Instructions; filed 05/06/2015	I	AA000057

11	Court Minutes; issued 05/22/2015	I	AA000058- 000059
12	Order on Petition for Instructions; filed 05/26/2015	I	AA000060- 000061
13	Notice to Creditors; filed 05/27/2015	I	AA000062
14	Statement of Name and Permanent Address of Administrator; filed 05/27/2015	I	AA000063
15	Letters of Administration; filed 05/28/2015	I	AA000064
16	Affidavit of Publication; filed 06/11/2015	I	AA000065
17	Inventory, Appraisal and Record of Value; filed 03/28/2016	I	AA000066- 000069
18	First and Final Account, Report of Administration and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 05/18/2016	I	AA000070- 000075
19	Notice of Hearing on First and Final Report and Accounting and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 05/18/2016	I	AA000076
20	Certificate of Mailing - Inventory, Appraisal and Record of Value and Notice of Hearing on the First and Final Account, Report of Administration, and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 05/18/2016	I	AA000077
21	Certificate of Mailing - Notice to Creditors; filed 05/18/2016	I	AA000078
22	Notice of Appearance - Hutchinson & Steffen; filed 05/20/2016	I	AA000079- 000081

23	Petition for Proof of Will and for Issuance of Letters Testamentary Under Full Administration, Petition to Appoint Person Representative, and Petition to Distribute and Close Estate; filed 05/25/2016	I	AA000082- 000104
24	Notice of Hearing on Petition for Proof of Will and for Issuance of Letters Testamentary Under Full Administration, Petition to Appoint Personal Representative, and Petition to Distribute and Close Estate; filed 05/25/2016	I	AA000105
25	Amended First and Final Account, Report of Administration and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 05/25/2016	I	AA000106- 000111
26	Last Will and Testament of Theodore E. Scheide; filed 05/31/2016	I	AA000112- 000128
27	Notice of Withdrawal of Petition for Proof of Will and for Issuance of Letters Testamentary Under Full Administration, Petition to Appoint Personal Representative, and Petition to Distribute and Close Estate; filed 07/13/2016	I -	AA000129
28	Notice of Withdrawal of Amended First and Final Account, Report of Administration and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 07/13/2019	I	AA000130
29	Re-Notice of Hearing - First and Final Account, Report of Administration and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 08/29/2016	I	AA000131- 000138

30	Response to Theodore E. Scheide III's Re- Notice of Hearing on the First and Final Account, Report od Administration and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 09/12/2016	I	AA000139- 000140
31	Petition for Probate of Lost Will (NRS136.240); Revocation of Letters of Administration (NRS 141.050); Issuance of Letters Testamentary (NRS 136.090); filed 09/13/2016	I	AA000141- 000193
32	Objection to First and Final Account, Report of Administration and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 09/13/2016	II	AA000194- 000238
33	Notice of Exercise of Right to Have Hearing Before Probate Court Judge; filed 09/14/2016	II	AA000239- 000240
34	Order Scheduling Status Check; filed 10/03/2016	II	AA000241- 000243
35	Objection to Petition for Proof of Lost Will (NRS 136.240), Issuance of Letters Testamentary, Etc. Counterpetition (Response to Objection) to Distribute Intestate Estate; filed 10/04/2016	П	AA000244- 000287
36	Court Minutes; issued 10/12/2016	II	AA000288- 000289
37	Reply in Support of Petition for Probate of Lost Will (NRS 136.240); Revocation of Letters of Administration (NRS 141.050); Issuance of Letters Testamentary (NRS 136.090); filed 10/26/2016	П	AA000290- 000298
38	Court Minutes; issued 11/02/2016	II	AA000299- 000300

39	Order Granting Petition for Probate of Lost Will (NRS 136.240); Revocation of Letters of Administration (NRS 141.050); Issuance of Letters Testamentary (NRS 136.090); filed 02/02/2017	Π	AA000301- 000303
40	Notice of Motion and Motion to Reconsider/Clarify, Etc.; filed 02/13/2017	II	AA000304- 000398
41	Opposition to Motion to Reconsider/Clarify, Etc., filed 03/04/2017	II	AA000399- 000424
42	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Reconsider; filed 03/14/2017	Ш	AA000425- 000454
43	Court Minutes; issued 03/22/2017	Ш	AA000455- 000456
44	Recorder's Transcript of Proceeding: Notice of Motion and Motion to Reconsider/Clarify, Etc. Motion: St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Motion to Extend Discovery and Continue Trial Date on Order Shortening Time (First Request); filed 03/27/2017	III	AA000457- 000484
45	Notice of Entry of Order; filed 04/18/2017	III	AA000485- 000489
46	Notice of Motion and Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (NRCP12 (c)); filed 04/21/2017	III	AA000490- 000659
47	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Non-Revocation of Will Prior to the Decedent's Guardianship and on Decedent's Testamentary Capacity After the Establishment of a Guardianship; filed 04/25/2017	IV	AA000660- 000713

48	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Opposition to Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (NRCP 12(c)); filed 05/08/2017	IV	AA000713- 000795
49	Respondent's Opposition to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment; filed 05/12/2017	IV	AA000796- 000839
50	Reply to St. Jude's Opposition to Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (NRCP 12(c)), Etc.; filed 05/22/2017	IV	AA000840- 000872
51	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Non-Revocation of Will Prior to the Decedent's Guardianship and on Decedent's Testamentary Capacity After the Establishment of a Guardianship; filed 05/23/2017	V	AA000873- 000917
52	Respondent's Supplement Regarding Kristin Tyler's Testimony, Etc.; filed 06/01/2017	V	AA000918- 000964
53	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Reply to Respondent's Supplement Regarding Kristin Tyler's Testimony, Etc.; filed 06/02/2017	V	AA000965- 000970
54	Minute Order; served 06/06/2017	V	AA000971- 000973
55	Respondent's Trial Brief; filed 06/12/2017	V	AA000974- 001067
56	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Trial Brief; filed 06/13/2017	V	AA001068- 001078
57	Petition for Instructions; filed 06/14/2017	V	AA001079- 001081
58	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Non- Jury Trial - Day 1; 06/15/2017	VI, VII	AA001082- 001363

59	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Non- Jury Trial - Day 2; 06/16/2017	VII	AA001364- 001407
60	Order Sealing Trial Exhibits; filed 06/26/2017	VII	AA001408
61	Petition for Approval of Accounting and Report of Administration; Petition for Approval of Fees and Costs; filed 01/18/2018	VII	AA001409- 001470
62	Decision and Order; filed 08/06/2018	VII	AA001471- 001482
63	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order; filed 08/08/2018	VII	AA001483- 001496
64	Notice of Appeal; filed 09/06/2018	VII	AA001497- 001498
65	Trial Exhibit 1	VII	AA001499- 001514
66	Trial Exhibit 2	VII	AA001515- 001530
67	Trial Exhibit 3	VII	AA001531- 001533
68	Trial Exhibit 4	VII	AA001534- 001535
69	Trial Exhibit 5	VIII, IX	AA001536- 001884

# Alphabetical Index

Doc No.	Description	Vol.	Bates Nos.
16	Affidavit of Publication; filed 06/11/2015	I	AA000065
25	Amended First and Final Account, Report of Administration and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 05/25/2016	Ι	AA000106- 000111
20	Certificate of Mailing - Inventory, Appraisal and Record of Value and Notice of Hearing on the First and Final Account, Report of Administration, and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 05/18/2016	I	AA000077
21	Certificate of Mailing - Notice to Creditors; filed 05/18/2016	I	AA000078
7	Certificate of Mailing - Notice of Hearing for Appointment of Administrator with Will Annexed Under Full Administration; filed 01/29/2015	I	AA000032
10	Certificate of Mailing - Notice of Hearing on Petition for Instructions; filed 05/06/2015	Ι	AA000057
11	Court Minutes; issued 05/22/2015	Ι	AA000058- 000059
36	Court Minutes; issued 10/12/2016	II	AA000288- 000289
38	Court Minutes; issued 11/02/2016	II	AA000299- 000300
43	Court Minutes; issued 03/22/2017	III	AA000455- 000456

62	Decision and Order; filed 08/06/2018	VII	AA001471- 001482
2	Ex Parte Order Appointing Special Administrator; filed 10/06/2014	I	AA000006
1	Ex Parte Petition for Appointment of Special Administrator; filed 10/02/2014	I	AA000001- 000005
18	First and Final Account, Report of Administration and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 05/18/2016	I	AA000070- 000075
17	Inventory, Appraisal and Record of Value; filed 03/28/2016	I	AA000066- 000069
26	Last Will and Testament of Theodore E. Scheide; filed 05/31/2016	I	AA000112- 000128
15	Letters of Administration; filed 05/28/2015	I	AA000064
3	Letters of Special Administration; filed 10/13/2014	I	AA000007
54	Minute Order; served 06/06/2017	V	AA000971- 000973
64	Notice of Appeal; filed 09/06/2018	VII	AA001497- 001498
22	Notice of Appearance - Hutchinson & Steffen; filed 05/20/2016	I	AA000079- 000081
63	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order; filed 08/08/2018	VII	AA001483- 001496
45	Notice of Entry of Order; filed 04/18/2017	III	AA000485- 000489
4	Notice of Entry of Ex Parte Order Appointing Special Administrator; filed 01/12/2015	I	AA000008- 000010

33	Notice of Exercise of Right to Have Hearing Before Probate Court Judge; filed 09/14/2016	II	AA000239- 000240
6	Notice of Hearing for Appointment of Administrator with Will Annexed Under Full Administration; fled 01/29/2015	I	AA000031
19	Notice of Hearing on First and Final Report and Accounting and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 05/18/2016	I	AA000076
9	Notice of Hearing on Petition for Instructions; filed 05/06/2015	Ι	AA000056
24	Notice of Hearing on Petition for Proof of Will and for Issuance of Letters Testamentary Under Full Administration, Petition to Appoint Personal Representative, and Petition to Distribute and Close Estate; filed 05/25/2016	I	AA000105
46	Notice of Motion and Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (NRCP12 (c)); filed 04/21/2017	III	AA000490- 000659
40	Notice of Motion and Motion to Reconsider/Clarify, Etc.; filed 02/13/2017	II	AA000304- 000398
28	Notice of Withdrawal of Amended First and Final Account, Report of Administration and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 07/13/2019	I	AA000130

27	Notice of Withdrawal of Petition for Proof	I	AA000129
	of Will and for Issuance of Letters	-	
	Testamentary Under Full Administration,		
	Petition to Appoint Personal Representative,		
	and Petition to Distribute and Close Estate; filed 07/13/2016		
13	Notice to Creditors; filed 05/27/2015	I	AA000062
32	Objection to First and Final Account, Report	II	AA000194-
	of Administration and Petition for Final		000238
	Distribution and Approval of Costs and		
	Fees; filed 09/13/2016		
35	Objection to Petition for Proof of Lost Will	II	AA000244- 000287
	(NRS 136.240), Issuance of Letters Testamentary, Etc. Counterpetition		000287
	(Response to Objection) to Distribute		
	Intestate Estate; filed 10/04/2016		
41	Opposition to Motion to Reconsider/Clarify,	II	AA000399-
	Etc., filed 03/04/2017		000424
39	Order Granting Petition for Probate of Lost	II	AA000301-
	Will (NRS 136.240); Revocation of Letters		000303
	of Administration (NRS 141.050); Issuance of Letters Testamentary (NRS 136.090);		
	filed 02/02/2017		
12	Order on Petition for Instructions; filed		AA000060-
	05/26/2015		000061
34	Order Scheduling Status Check; filed	II	AA000241-
	10/03/2016		000243
60	Order Sealing Trial Exhibits; filed	VII	AA001408
	06/26/2017		
5	Petition for Appointment of Administrator	I	AA000011-
	of Intestate Estate Under Full		000030
	Administration; filed 01/29/2015		

61	Petition for Approval of Accounting and Report of Administration; Petition for Approval of Fees and Costs; filed 01/18/2018	VII	AA001409- 001470
8	Petition for Instructions; filed 05/06/2015	I	AA000033- 000055
57	Petition for Instructions; filed 06/14/2017	V	AA001079- 001081
31	Petition for Probate of Lost Will (NRS136.240); Revocation of Letters of Administration (NRS 141.050); Issuance of Letters Testamentary (NRS 136.090); filed 09/13/2016	Ι	AA000141- 000193
23	Petition for Proof of Will and for Issuance of Letters Testamentary Under Full Administration, Petition to Appoint Person Representative, and Petition to Distribute and Close Estate; filed 05/25/2016	Ι	AA000082- 000104
58	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Non- Jury Trial - Day 1; 06/15/2017	VI, VII	AA001082- 001363
59	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Non- Jury Trial - Day 2; 06/16/2017	VII	AA001364- 001407
44	Recorder's Transcript of Proceeding: Notice of Motion and Motion to Reconsider/Clarify, Etc. Motion: St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Motion to Extend Discovery and Continue Trial Date on Order Shortening Time (First Request); filed 03/27/2017	III	AA000457- 000484
29	Re-Notice of Hearing - First and Final Account, Report of Administration and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 08/29/2016	I	AA000131- 000138

37	Reply in Support of Petition for Probate of Lost Will (NRS 136.240); Revocation of Letters of Administration (NRS 141.050); Issuance of Letters Testamentary (NRS 136.090); filed 10/26/2016	II	AA000290- 000298
42	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Reconsider; filed 03/14/2017	III	AA000425- 000454
50	Reply to St. Jude's Opposition to Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (NRCP 12(c)), Etc.; filed 05/22/2017	IV	AA000840- 000872
49	Respondent's Opposition to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment; filed 05/12/2017	IV	AA000796- 000839
52	Respondent's Supplement Regarding Kristin Tyler's Testimony, Etc.; filed 06/01/2017	V	AA000918- 000964
55	Respondent's Trial Brief; filed 06/12/2017	V	AA000974- 001067
30	Response to Theodore E. Scheide III's Re- Notice of Hearing on the First and Final Account, Report od Administration and Petition for Final Distribution and Approval of Costs and Fees; filed 09/12/2016	I	AA000139- 000140
14	Statement of Name and Permanent Address of Administrator; filed 05/27/2015	I	AA000063
47	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Non-Revocation of Will Prior to the Decedent's Guardianship and on Decedent's Testamentary Capacity After the Establishment of a Guardianship; filed 04/25/2017	IV	AA000660- 000713

48	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Opposition to Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (NRCP 12(c)); filed 05/08/2017	IV	AA000713- 000795
51	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Non-Revocation of Will Prior to the Decedent's Guardianship and on Decedent's Testamentary Capacity After the Establishment of a Guardianship; filed 05/23/2017	V	AA000873- 000917
53	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Reply to Respondent's Supplement Regarding Kristin Tyler's Testimony, Etc.; filed 06/02/2017	V	AA000965- 000970
56	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital's Trial Brief; filed 06/13/2017	V	AA001068- 001078
65	Trial Exhibit 1	VII	AA001499- 001514
66	Trial Exhibit 2	VII	AA001515- 001530
67	Trial Exhibit 3	VII	AA001531- 001533
68	Trial Exhibit 4	VII	AA001534- 001535
69	Trial Exhibit 5	VII, IX	AA001536- 001884

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I am an employee of HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC and that on this date **APPENDIX TO APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF VOLUME VI of IX** was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Nevada

Supreme Court, and therefore electronic service was made in accordance with the master service list as follows:

Cary Colt Payne, Esq. 700 S. 8<sup>th</sup> Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorney for Theodore "Chip" E. Scheide, III

DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of June, 2018.

An employee of Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC

**Electronically Filed** 9/11/2018 1:58 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA CASE#: P-14-082619-E DEPT. XXVI BEFORE THE HONORABLE GLORIA STURMAN, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE THURSDAY, JUNE 15, 2017 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS NON-JURY TRIAL - DAY 1 RUSSEL J. GEIST, ESQ. TODD L. MOODY, ESQ.

CARY C. PAYNE, ESQ.

KIM BOYER, ESQ.

#### **RTRAN**

In the Matter of:

**APPEARANCES:** 

Hospital:

For Susan Hoy:

For St. Jude Children's Research

For Theodore E. Scheide:

THEODORE SCHEIDE JR., deceased.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER

GAL FRIDAY REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION 10180 W. Altadena Drive, Casa Grande, AZ 85194 (623) 293-0249

DISTRICT COURT

AA001082

## **INDEX OF WITNESSES**

2		<u>PAGE</u>
3	FOR THE PETITIONER:	
4	KRISTIN TYLER	
5	Direct Examination by Attorney Geist Cross-Examination by Attorney Boyer	27 133
6	DIANE DEWALT	
7	Direct Examination by Attorney Geist	134
8	Cross-Examination by Attorney Payne Redirect Examination by Attorney Geist	145 146
9	Recross Examination by Attorney Payne	147
10	KATHY LONGO	1.40
11	Direct Examination by Attorney Geist Cross-Examination by Attorney Payne	148 170
12	SUSAN HOY	
13	Direct Examination by Attorney Geist Cross-Examination by Attorney Payne	174 231
14	Redirect Examination by Attorney Geist	269
15	JENNIFER MAFFEO-MORRISSEY	
16	Direct Examination by Attorney Moody	277
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## **INDEX OF EXHIBITS**

٠ ١	INDEX OF EXHIBITO	
2		<u>PAGE</u>
3	FOR THE PETITIONER:	
4	1 Last Will and Testament	213
5	<ul><li>2 Last Will and Testament, 06/08/12</li><li>3 Kristin Tyler's Affidavit</li></ul>	90 130
6	4 Diane Dewalt's Affidavit 5 Kristin Tyler's File (pages 1 through 350)	144 71
7	6 Medical Records 7 Business Records of Nevada Guardian Services	220 189
8	7 Business necolds of Nevada Guardian Services	109
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Thursday, June 15, 2017
2	
3	[Case called at 9:08 a.m.]
4	THE COURT: and who's with you in court because we
5	have to discuss do they stay or are they excluded.
6	MR. GEIST: Okay. Russel Geist and Todd Moody from
7	Hutchison & Steffen on behalf of St. Jude Children's Research Hospital.
8	Risa Beck from our office is also here, and Jennifer Maffeo from St.
9	Jude is present as well.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. PAYNE: Cary Payne on behalf of Theodore E. Scheide.
12	Mr. Scheide is to my left. I have Marge Arenas (phonetic) from my office
13	and Mr. Ian Christopherson.
14	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
15	MS. BOYER: Kim Boyer on behalf of Susan Hoy, the
16	administrator.
17	THE COURT: Thanks.
18	Okay. Thank you all. You can have a seat.
19	All right. So just to discuss procedurally how you want to
20	move forward with this, are you anybody wishing to make opening
21	statements or
22	MR. GEIST: Your Honor, I would like to.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So then if we're going to have opening
24	statements, Mr. Payne, I believe it's your are you in agreement with
25	that?

MR. PAYNE: Sure, he can do an opening.

THE COURT: Okay.

All right. So Mr. Geist, it's your petition so --

MR. GEIST: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, Your Honor. St. Jude Children's Research Hospital is a national nonprofit organization founded to advance research into curing childhood illnesses and provide cost-free care for sick children and support their families.

MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I'll stipulate that St. Jude's is a charity.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

MR. GEIST: Thank you.

St. Jude Children's Research Hospital is also named as the contingent beneficiary under the last will and testament of Theodore E. Scheide, Jr. and is an interested person permitted to petition to have the will of the decedent proved whether the will is lost or destroyed pursuant to NRS 136.070 which states that any other interested person may at any time after the death of the testator petition the court having jurisdiction to have the will proved whether the will is in the possession of that person or not or is lost or destroyed.

St. Jude Children's Research Hospital filed its petition to admit the last will and testament of Theodore Scheide, Jr. as a lost will pursuant to NRS 136.230 and NRS 136.240. As the proponent of the lost will of the decedent, St. Jude is required, number one, to prove that the lost will was in existence at the death of the person whose will it is

claimed to be or shown to have been fraudulently destroyed in the lifetime of that person, and number two, to clearly and distinctly prove the provisions of the lost will by at least two credible witnesses and that's from --

THE COURT: Okay, thanks. Why -- were we going to do this on any of the TVs?

THE COURT RECORDER: No, no Judge, because we -- since we didn't have a jury we left the TVs off and just were going to use the monitors, but if you want them on --

THE COURT: Okay.

THE COURT RECORDER: -- I can put them on, Judge.

THE COURT: Fine.

Sorry.

MR. GEIST: That's okay. And that's from NRS 136.240 Subsection 3.

In existence, the term in existence has been defined by the Nevada Supreme Court to mean, quote, legal existence, unquote. From the case *Irvine v. Doyle* in the -- in regarding the matter of the *Estate of Irvine versus Doyle*, and that's at 101 Nevada 698 from 1985, the court said a will is said to be in legal existence if it has been validly executed and has not been revoked by the testator. Thus, a will lost or destroyed without the testator's knowledge could be probated because it was in legal existence at the testator's death. And then the court cited a Colorado case, Minnesota case and a Utah case in support.

In Irvine, the proponent of a lost will was prohibited by the

district court from putting on evidence, quote, to establish that the deceased did in fact execute a valid will leaving the property to her and that the document presented for probate was an accurate copy of that will, unquote. The district court in that case, quote, refused to allow any of this testimony to be admitted on the ground that it was irrelevant to the issue of whether the original will had been in actual physical existence at the time of Irvine's death, unquote.

The Nevada Supreme Court declared that, quote, the decision of the district court in this case was based on an invalid construction of NRS 136.240 Subsection --

THE COURT: Is this a closing?

MR. GEIST: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: Is this a closing or an opening? I'm --

MR. GEIST: This is an opening. I'm just --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GEIST: Must be reversed.

In this case, Your Honor, the opponent of a lost will has repeatedly claimed that St. Jude must present proof. St. Jude will show that the will was in legal existence through the evidence that will be presented, and that as in *Irvine* we're permitted to present such evidence not only showing the legal existence of the will but also the provisions of the will.

As a proponent of a lost will, St. Jude bears the burden of overcoming the presumption that the lost will was revoked by destruction by the testator. However the presumption is rebuttable.

1 ||

anticipate the --

THE COURT: I won't tell you how to practice law, but I -- I'm not seeing that this is an opening, just sorry.

MR. GEIST: -- what the evidence is showing.

The evidence will further show that a copy of the will was maintained by the drafting attorney, and that the will was maintained by the decedent himself, who continually reached out to the decedent prior to his guardianship.

The evidence will also show that the decedent's health declined during 2013, so much that he transitioned from an independent living situation to finding more full-time care.

The evidence will show that the decedent ultimately was subject to a guardianship and a guardian was appointed over his person and estate after a hospitalization in February 2014.

During the guardianship, the decedent's health continued to decline as he was transitioned from group home to facility to hospital to rehabilitation center and to a group home again numerous times.

The evidence will show that at some point during the pendency of the guardianship the decedent had dementia, from which he never recovered until his death on August 17th, 2014, which makes intentional revocation less likely under the standard set.

There's also a question of presumption of testamentary capacity. The evidence will show that in the case of the decedent, it became less and less likely during the pendency of this guardianship that the decedent had testamentary capacity to make any changes to his

estate planning documents, particularly his will, such that when he was subject to a guardianship the presumption that he revoked intentionally his will was less likely especially as time went on and his health declined.

The evidence will show that regardless of any of these other factors, the decedent himself had a copy of his will which is significant and will show again that he was less likely to have revoked his will.

The evidence will establish that the absence of the original will is more likely attributed to an accidental loss rather than an intentional revocation by destruction by the decedent.

Further the evidence will show that the provisions of the decedent's October 2012 will are clearly and distinctly proven by the copy of the will that was found among the personal possessions of the decedent which is identical to the copy of the will that his attorney retained in her files.

Both witnesses to the execution of the October 2012 will are expected to testify that the copies of each will are identical, and ultimately we believe at the conclusion of the evidentiary hearing, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital will have met its burden in establishing that based on the evidence it's more likely than not that the decedent left his last will and testament unrevoked and that the provisions of the last will and testament dated October 2nd are clearly and distinctly proved by at least two credible witnesses, the two requirements under NRS 136.240 Subsection 3.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thanks.

Okay. Mr. Payne, do you wish to make an opening?

MR. PAYNE: Yes, Your Honor, and it's also a motion to renew the earlier motion. Your Honor, as Your Honor was aware, we were in front of you last week. At that point there were some pending motions; there was originally my 12(b)(5) motion which I'll address just briefly, then a motion for judgment on the pleadings. After the hearing took place, you indicated that you needed to look at the testimony of Kirstin Tyler and that you would make a decision.

When we got your minute order, it -- because the way the minute order was drafted, I wasn't sure if serious question of facts were still pending and so therefore we did a demand for jury trial and I know Your Honor has stricken it, but just for purposes of the record, I wanted to explain why that happened.

If you recall, Your Honor, during one of the pretrial matters on this matter, Mr. Geist -- and Your Honor said you've got a difficult road to hoe. This is a lost will. Under the lost will statutes, he did discovery, came back and nothing is changed, and let me tell you why his petition is going to be denied today. It's going to be denied because it's deficient as a matter of law.

Your Honor, recall there's already an order entered in this case, there was an order entered back in May 26th that said he died intestate, it was -- in fact there were two petitions that were brought in this matter. There was the original petition that said he died intestate. Commissioner Yamashita gave him -- gave Susie Hoy three months to

go out and find a will. Based upon what she had discovered, there was no original document. She brings a petition on for instruction. St. Jude's was on notice. They didn't object. That order went in. One of the arguments obviously was is that this order is now res judicata collateral estoppel.

Now in their argument counter to that they looked at several statutes and I don't think those statutes apply. Excuse me, I think that there is a statute that applies that's right on point that Your Honor could hang her hat.

Your Honor, under the probate statutes, there are contest of wills under Chapter 137 and there's before probate and after probate. And before probate is the initial petition that is filed and there's a process that you can go through that you come in and you -- under 137.010 and you can object, any interested person can object, and then you go into the citation process so Your Honor ordered issue citations and that -- the interested parties are served. They have then time to either file a motion or respond in accordance with Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure and then there's a chance for the proponent or opponent to come back and modify their pleadings.

Under that process it's called a pre-probate will contest. The initial petition filed in this thing was St. Jude's opportunity to come in and say hey, this is a will contest, it is or is not, and we want to bring our own petition on. They didn't do that. And if you follow down through the line of progression as to what the consequences of that are, it ends up going to 137.120, period of limitation, if no person contests the validity of the

will of the probate thereof within the time prescribed in 137.08 (sic throughout), the probate is conclusive.

Now, that word probate is a technical word that is actually defined by the statute. 137.275 (sic) defines what a probate is.

Probate, used as a noun, means a legal proceeding in which the court has jurisdiction to administer, pay out and distribute the assets of the decedent's estate entitled to them, including devisees, heirs, creditors or others.

So probate is defined by the process of getting somebody appointed. So Ms. Boyer presents a petition, they give the notice to St. Jude, St. Jude's doesn't do anything, that petition is then granted.

The second statute that they could have come after -- come in after is the after probate provisions which starts at 137.080, and those provisions only apply if they didn't get first notice of the original petition. If they hadn't gotten original notice of Ms. Boyer's original petition for probate, they could have come in within three months. Okay? Within three months.

137.08 says within three months after the order is entered admitting the will to probate, contest the admission validity the will, the contestant must file with the court blah blah petition allegation sufficient -- they have to then issue citations. Service of citations, revocation -- then it goes to trial. It's the same process again, but again, 137.120 says if no person contests the validity of the will the probate thereof within the time prescribed, the probate will is conclusive. Again it's the word probate that you're focusing on.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	:0
2	:1

23

24

25

The order was entered May 26. They filed the petition
January 29th. They filed their petition -- Ms. Boyer's client filed the
petition May 6th. The order was entered on 5/26/2'15 and six months
after that would have been 11/26/15 so they have no new evidence to
bring in to assert that this order was fraudulent. If they would have had
some evidence that the order was fraudulent, they would have had to
move within six months which would have been November 26, 2015.
Instead, they wait until September 13th of 2016, 10 months later, to
bring this petition.

Let me tell you why this petition is going to be denied today. Your Honor, I argued before you last week that the petition on its face fails to meet several standards. One of the first standards that the petition fails as a matter of law is the issue of verification. The petition is signed by a Fred E. Jones. I asked counsel is Mr. Jones going to be present. No, Mr. Jones is not coming to the hearing.

Mr. Jones as Your Honor may -- do you have a copy of that verification? Can I approach?

THE COURT: I think it's in here.

MR. PAYNE: Yeah. But here's a fresh copy.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. PAYNE: Verification and -- and it wasn't in fact until 1999 that the actual word verification was added in the statutes under 132.260 (sic). NRS 132 says -- 260 -- verification defined means a declaration that a statement is true, made under oath affirmation under penalties of perjury for a false statement.

Okay. It doesn't say -- and if you look at Mr. Fred's (sic) affidavit, excuse me, verification which by the way, Your Honor, is a verification out of the State of Tennessee. It doesn't comply with NRS 53.045.

NRS 53.045 talks about affidavits out of state. It says that, number 2, except as otherwise provided under 53.250 or 53.390 inclusive, if executed outside this state, it must state I declare under penalties of jury under the laws of the State of Nevada and the foregoing is true and correct.

As a matter of law, this petition doesn't state that. It's not under penalties of perjury. And I see that Mr. Jones is not sitting here today. It doesn't comply with the statute.

Furthermore, Your Honor, if you'll look at the last sentence, it says except for those matters stated on information and belief. Okay? Is that proper? I don't think so. You can't have it both ways, you can't -- it can't be under penalties of perjury but yet based upon information and belief in certain matters.

Now, that verification is void. Does not comply with the statutes.

Now, let's look at their petition, Your Honor, and this is where I struggled with this case. And if you recall -- Your Honor, you know this, this -- probate is a court of jurisdiction. You don't get jurisdiction unless you meet the test.

Their petition is pursuant to 136.230. Okay? And their petition outlines that. It says it's pursuant to 136.230. Jurisdiction of the

affidavits say of Kristin Tyler or Diane Dewalt. None of these affidavits meet the requirement that says it's lost by accident or it was destroyed by fraud.

Diane Dewalt's affidavit only says I further attest to the decedent's will in the presence of Theodore. It's the typical subscription paragraph. Okay. The next paragraph says I further attest that to my knowledge the decedent did not intentionally destroy or revoke the last will -- dated October 2nd. That doesn't meet the requirement of NRS 136.230 that says it was lost by accident or destroyed by fraud. That's their initial -- that's the burden they have to get over. Okay.

And Kristin Tyler's affidavit is the exact opposite. It says it's in legal existence. She doesn't even say whether it's lost by accident or destroyed by fraud without the knowledge of the testator, she says it's in existence. And then that's when we took her deposition on it and she had no evidence that this thing was in existence other than the fact that she handed it to Mr. Scheide on October 2nd and Mr. Scheide walked out and never saw her again.

So the -- they haven't complied with the statute, and Your Honor, here's the biggest problem why their petition fails today. Their petition has to state also what the assets are of Mr. Scheide. Okay, this is all laid out under NRS -- remember Your Honor, they brought -- they had two petitions. They had a petition to try and admit a lost will and then they had an alternative on argument to bring in the June will that Kristin Tyler lodged. Okay? After the fact two years later, Kristin Tyler lodges this will.

In order for that -- these -- this petition to be granted, Your Honor, as a matter of law, 136.090 has the requirements, petition for probate requirements, a petition for the will must state the following:

Number 1, jurisdictional facts. This document doesn't do that. In fact it references the wrong jurisdictional statute. It references the nondecedent -- nonresident decedent.

Number 2, whether the named personal representatives consents to acts or renounces to letters. Now that's the key one, Your Honor. That's why this petition is deficient. They never gave notice to Patricia Bolen (phonetic) or Karen Holmstead (phonetic), the executors of the other wills, the executors of the will they're trying to admit to probate, Your Honor.

136.120, service of a petition when a petition presented by a person other than a named personal representative, St. Jude's, or by fewer than all the named personal representatives. If a petition for probate is presented by any person other than the personal representative named in the will or it's presented by fewer than all the personal representatives named in the will, the petition must be served upon the personal representatives not joining in the petition.

They have to serve Karen Hogestead (phonetic) who is the executor under the June will and they have to serve Patricia Bolen under the October will. They didn't do that.

You don't have jurisdiction to go forward because let's face it, Your Honor, Mr. Scheide was a grumpy old man. He already -- Karen already quit, he goes to -- quit, got fired, whatever. He goes to Kristin

Tyler three months later, does a new will. Three months -- sometime after that Patricia Bolen says I'm out of this thing, I'm not going to serve as an executor.

Okay, and do you remember, Your Honor, when I showed you couple -- last week or week or two ago the -- that there was a note from Patricia Bolen says she wanted nothing to do with this that she resigned as the POA? But she didn't resign as the executor. Okay. She didn't resign. As a matter of law, this petition is deficient.

Your Honor, the successor fiduciary under both wills is

Nevada State Bank. They never gave Nevada State Bank any notice of
this hearing. And it has to be served upon personal representatives.

Has to be served. It's got to be served on Nevada State Bank, it's got to
be served on Karen, it's got to be served on Patricia. This petition fails
on multiple levels.

Your Honor, if you think about it, and I know to the degree that this is argument, but if you think about what this lost will statute is designed to do --

MR. MOODY: Then we object, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah, I'm --

MR. MOODY: -- because it is argument. It's gone so far beyond an opening statement.

THE COURT: Yeah. That's --

MR. PAYNE: But Your Honor, you have pending motions, you have pending --

MR. MOODY: This is a renewed motion for judgment on the

1	pleadings.
2	THE COURT: Yeah, and
3	MR. MOODY: That's all it is.
4	THE COURT: really should be heard at the end of the
5	evidence so if you've got something to say about an opening, happy to
6	hear
7	MR. PAYNE: But Your Honor, if the petition doesn't meet the
8	jurisdictional requirement, as a matter of law, they don't get to go down
9	these steps. If you think about it, Your Honor, it makes sense. If
10	people would be showing up with copies of wills all the time, but they
11	have to prove first, okay, that it was, as the statute says
12	THE COURT: Okay. We've talked about
13	MR. PAYNE: lost by
14	THE COURT: We've talked about what they have to prove.
15	What are what's your defense to what they're
16	MR. PAYNE: Well, Your Honor, the petition you if you
17	here's the problem. If you go forward with this hearing and you didn't
18	give notice to Nevada State Bank and you didn't give notice to Karen,
19	you didn't give notice to Patricia Bolen, we have effectively a case that
20	no matter what the decision is is going to be reversed.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. PAYNE: The petition needs to be denied. It has to be
23	denied.
24	THE COURT: All right, thanks. All right.
25	MR. PAYNE: And the order that you had already ordered

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 hearing, because I don't think she's a competent witness to testify these other things because the contents are not in -- contents are in dispute. Okav.

Remember what we have. We have a copy of a will and in my trial brief I attached three different versions of this potential copy, okay? Kristin Tyler can only testify to what happened in October 2012. Okay. She can't testify what happened with respect to this copy. She can't testify with respect to what he did or didn't do. It's all speculation. This whole line of questioning about well he told me he was going to -- he still wanted it to go to St. Jude's is pure speculation.

Your Honor, I don't think that -- and then lastly, I think she's incompetent because of the issue of the conflicts of interest that we addressed in our trial brief.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GEIST: Your Honor, may I respond?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. GEIST: There's been no motion in limine to exclude her as a witness or to limit her testimony. The first of these issues have been raised in his trial brief and here in court today. She has, as Mr. Payne is aware, testified in deposition of her personal knowledge of the interactions with the decedent up until the point of his guardianship and her interactions with the decedent's guardian after that point. She has personal knowledge of those and she's entitled to and we have a right to put on that evidence, according to Irvine versus Doyle, of her interactions with the decedent and how that makes the nonrevocation

more likely than not. So I would ask that the Court overrule his objection.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you can raise your objections to particular questions if you think they exceed the scope of her knowledge.

MR. PAYNE: I will, Your Honor, but let me just correct for the record he keeps talking about this more likely than not. That's in paragraph 5(b) that he picks that language up on and that has to do with a trust agreement that they can't find a pour-over will and it has nothing to do with this proceedings and he keeps referring to that and I think it's improper. That statute -- and it's only applies if there's not an objection so that is not the standard. You know -- we know what the standard is, it's presumed it's been revoked. Okay. They have to have presentable, credible evidence to overcome that presumption and they can't do it with any of these witnesses, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GEIST: Your Honor, may I respond briefly?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. GEIST: Okay. And I'll keep it very brief. Your Honor, the presumption is set by the statute and by *Irvine versus Doyle* and whenever there is a presumption and the standard is not set, under NRS 47.180, a presumption other than a presumption against the accused in a criminal action imposes on the party against whom it is directed, which would be St. Jude, the burden of proving that the nonexistence of the presumed fact is more probable than its existence. It's a preponderance

1	of evidence standard. It's statutory. He has presented nothing in the
2	law in the statute or case law that would counter that. That's the statute
3	that defines our burden.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Call your first witness.
5	MR. GEIST: We would ask that the visual be connected to
6	that TV and we would ask
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. GEIST: if we can move it over here so the witness
9	THE COURT: Yeah, that's the better place for it
10	MR. GEIST: Okay.
11	THE COURT: is sort of angled over there. All right.
12	MR. GEIST: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: So we'll take a brief recess. Kerry, do you
14	THE COURT RECORDER: Yeah.
15	THE COURT: Here he is. He's here.
16	THE COURT RECORDER: I'll call now, Judge.
17	THE COURT: He's right here.
18	THE COURT RECORDER: Oh.
19	THE COURT: Right on time.
20	THE MARSHAL: All rise.
21	[Recess taken at 9:39 a.m.]
22	[Proceedings resumed at 9:54 a.m.]
23	THE COURT: Mr. Geist, your first witness.
24	MR. GEIST: I was going to call Kristin Tyler, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay.

25

1	MR. GEIST: So from our perspective
2	THE COURT: Well, if she has a question, you know
3	MR. GEIST: Right.
4	THE COURT: it's not been waived so if she feels it falls
5	within that, great.
6	MR. GEIST: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Then we can rule on it, but we'll make sure she
8	understands that on the record, as Ms. Boyer points out, they haven't
9	determined what does and does not waive the privilege, so
10	MR. GEIST: Understand, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: as things come up, we'll deal with them one
12	at a time. That's just weird. Okay.
13	All right. Bring in our first witness. Thanks, Tom.
14	[Pause]
15	KRISTIN TYLER
16	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as
17	follows:]
18	THE CLERK: Please be seated. And if you'll state and spell
19	your name for the record, please?
20	THE WITNESS: Kristin Tyler, K-r-i-s-t-i-n T-y-l-e-r.
21	THE CLERK: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	Mr. Geist.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF KRISTIN TYLER
25	BY MR. GEIST:

question.

MR. GEIST: Thank you. Again, our position is NRS 49.115 states a clear exception: There is no privilege under NRS 49.095 or 49.105; Subsection 2, as to a communication relevant to an issue between parties who claim through the same deceased client, regardless of whether the claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transaction. Our position is there is no privilege in this case.

THE COURT: Understood.

MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, that is a very limited waiver and if the attorney in this matter who is testifying on behalf of St. Jude's takes that position, so be it, but I haven't heard a word from Ms. Tyler at this point. Think Ms. Tyler needs to tell us while she's sitting up there what her position is.

MR. GEIST: First of all, I'm not testifying on behalf of St. Jude. I'm representing St. Jude in this matter.

MR. PAYNE: Ms. Tyler is testifying. She is a witness --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. PAYNE: -- to this matter --

THE COURT: That's what I understood Mr. Payne's point to be. So just want to make clear on the record we have a standing objection and we have a standing I guess assertion that this testimony falls within a waiver. Ms. Tyler's going to -- she's a witness. She can make her own determinations as to what she thinks one way or the other.

think is the relevant issue then?

THE COURT: I think she said she has to hear each question because she has an overall belief that if the issue is with respect to the two parties and Mr. Scheide's will, that she can testify about those things, but she otherwise has to hear questions to know if she -- she has -- she stated what her belief is, if you want to state it one more time, Ms. Tyler, because that's my point is we all know what the issues are and we're not going to have to make this objection and have this whole argument each time. So we're doing it at the beginning. Ms. Boyer stated the objection. Mr. Geist says he thinks there's an -- there is an exception. Ms. Tyler has said I understand that exception, however I need to hear questions. That's what I understood where we were. Does anybody understand it any differently?

MR. GEIST: That's my understanding.

THE COURT: Okay, and if anybody wants to raise a specific objection, fine, or if Ms. Tyler wants to raise an -- state she can't answer, fine, but generally we've got it laid out so we don't have to do it every question hopefully.

So Ms. Tyler, one more time so everybody's clear what you believe the scope of the waiver applies to.

THE WITNESS: You would like me to say it one more time? Yes.

THE COURT: Yeah, because I don't -- we were all talking at one time I think.

THE WITNESS: There is no -- may I refer to my notes?

1	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
2	THE WITNESS: There's no exception no privilege as to a
3	communication relevant to an issue between parties who claim through
4	the same deceased client. So
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. PAYNE: And Your Honor, as long as she's explaining
7	she's testifying not to content, okay, that's the key here. What is she
8	testifying to? If it's content, it's going to be covered under the privilege.
9	MR. GEIST: I don't understand that question
10	THE COURT: Yeah.
11	MR. GEIST: if there is one.
12	THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not sure what content
13	MR. PAYNE: There's multiple levels of content in here.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. PAYNE: Okay? There's content in the documents.
16	There's content in the evidence. All right? And she's going to have to
17	make a stake a position each time with respect to what she's
18	disclosing as to the contents of it.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. PAYNE: So if that's the ground rules, then
21	THE COURT: Well I understand your view of it. I think we all
22	it's up to her. So if she agrees with you on as to something, fine. If
23	she doesn't, I understand what your response is that you so if you
24	believe she's it's a question that's going to call in question content,
25	she can make her evaluation as to a specific question. I was hoping to

1	get this all laid out in the beginning so we knew what we're talking about,			
2	but it so	but it sounds like we're just going to have to do it question by question		
3	so start.	so start.		
4		MR. GEIST: Okay.		
5	BY MR.	GEIST:		
6	Q	Good morning, Ms. Tyler.		
7	A	Good morning.		
8	Q	Do you have an understanding of why you're here today?		
9	A	Yes.		
10	Q	What is your understanding?		
11	A	That there is a dispute between		
12		MR. PAYNE: Objection, Your Honor.		
13		THE COURT: What's your objection?		
14		MR. PAYNE: Who she going to lay a foundation who how		
15	does sh	e know about this dispute? Who told her? Who told her what?		
16	What di	d they tell her?		
17		THE COURT: You do get a question an opportunity to		
18	question her.			
19		MR. PAYNE: I understand that.		
20		THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.		
21	BY MR. GEIST:			
22	Q	What is your understanding?		
23	A	I'm on a service list for the case and so I receive copies of all		
24	the pleadings and I don't review all of them, however I have a general			
25	underst	anding that there is a dispute between the charity and the son as		

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. And that's what you are here on is to respond to that
3	subpoen	a and give testimony?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Ms. Tyler, may I ask what your educational background is
6	starting	with any college that you attended?
7	A	I attended college at the University of Nebraska in Lincoln
8	Q	What was your degree in, if you
9	A	Degree in business
10	Q	And
11	A	and graduated and went to law school at the University of
12	Nebrask	a and received a dual degree, a JD/MBA.
13	Q	When did you graduate from law school?
14	A	December 2005.
15	Q	After graduation what did you do?
16	A	I moved to Las Vegas, clerked, took the Bar exam
17	Q	Where did you
18	A	began working.
19	Q	I'm sorry. Where did you clerk?
20	A	I clerked for a law firm called Cooksey Toolen Gage Duffy &
21	Woog.	
22	Q	And how long did you clerk for them?
23	A	Approximately a year and a half.
24	Q	After that what did you do?
25	A	I then accepted a job doing estate planning work with a law
1	1	

1	July of 2011.		
2	Q	Where did you go after that?	
3	A	I then joined the law firm Gordon Silver.	
4	Q	And what was your position there?	
5	A	They hired me to help build up the firm's estate planning	
6	practice	and develop clients in estate planning. In addition, I also	
7	worked	on probate and guardianship cases.	
8	Q	What were your responsibilities at Gordon Silver in that	
9	capacity?		
10	A	I was the primary attorney working on estate planning matters	
11	with clie	nts, meeting with clients, drafting documents, working on those,	
12	and then also working with a partner on the probate and guardianship		
13	cases.		
14	Q	Were you what was your position there?	
15	A	I was an associate and then I made partner in January of	
16	2015.		
17	Q	And how long were you at Gordon Silver?	
18	A	I left there in May of 2015.	
19	Q	When you left Gordon Silver where did you go?	
20	A	I opened my own firm called Garman Turner Gordon along	
21	with eigh	nt partners.	
22	Q	And is that where you're presently employed?	
23	A	Yes.	
24	Q	And what is your position there?	
25	A	I am the partner that oversees our estate planning, probate	
1	1		

1	and guar	dianship work for the firm.
2	Q	What are your duties and responsibilities as partner
3	overseei	ng that area?
4	A	Sure, I am responsible for overseeing the estate planning
5	work, me	eeting with clients, drafting of documents or if an associate or
6	paralega	I ever drafts something, reviewing it before it goes to the client.
7	But I do	the vast majority of estate planning work myself.
8	Q	And do you have client contact in that position?
9	А	Yes.
0	Q	And you have drafting responsibilities in that position?
1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And I'm sorry, going back to when you were at Gordon Silver,
3	did you have client contact in the area of estate planning?	
4		MR. PAYNE: Objection, Your Honor, which client? Just in
5	general?	
6	BY MR.	GEIST:
7	Q	Did you have any client contact
8		THE COURT: I think he's just asking just general client
9	contact, it wasn't specific? Okay.	
20		MR. GEIST: Correct.
21		THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	BY MR.	GEIST:
23	Q	And did you have responsibility for drafting of estate planning
24	documer	nts
25	А	Yes.

Q	What do you mean when you say you've handled
uardiar	ships for children?

A So I've handled cases where a child has maybe lost one or both parents and there are assets involved that need to pass to the minor, and in order to do so they need to set up a legal guardianship to oversee and manage those assets for the benefit of the child. That would be the most typical case.

Q Okay. What do you mean when you say you've handled guardianships for elderly people?

A I've handled guardianships when a person becomes -- often later in life maybe they lose some of their mental capacity and are no longer make -- able to make decisions for themselves regarding their health or their finances and if they have not created documents such as powers of attorney --

MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I -- I'm going to object. Mental capacity -- the definition of capacity is a legal conclusion. I don't think she can make a legal conclusion.

MR. GEIST: She's not making a statement as to anybody's --THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. GEIST: -- mental capacity. She's just saying what she's

THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. So far I haven't heard anything attempting to qualify her as an expert in any area which is what I think your questions are getting at. So if at an appropriate time there is an attempt to do that, then you can ask it. Otherwise, just stop. We're

going to be here all day and all day tomorrow just to get through Ms.
Tyler's resume.
MR. GEIST: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. GEIST:
Q In handling a guardianship for a child or for an adult as you've
said, in what capacity do you serve as an attorney?
A Typically I'm contacted by the family once they have concerns
about the loved one. The first step is always to determine if a
determination has been made by a medical doctor in the State of
Nevada if the person needs a guardian.
MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I got to object. She's not
designated as a legal or medical expert, yet she's going down this
testimony as to what she's got all this expertise in. If she's going to try
and lay a foundation for ultimately some opinion, I object. She's a lay
witness. Percipient witness to these proceedings.
THE COURT: She is a percipient witness and so, Mr. Geist,
are we trying
MR. GEIST: I'm not going to offer her as an expert witness,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: You're just laying what the the scope of
MR. GEIST: The scope of
THE COURT: her personal experience and how she
brought that to bear in her interactions specifically with Mr. Scheide?
MR. GEIST: With the decedent, yes.
THE COURT: Okay. So we're still just talking about her

1	perspec	tive, remained my client until the time he was appointed a legal
2	guardia	n
3		MR. PAYNE: Objection, Your Honor. Move to strike. There's
4	no ques	tion pending about in her opinion as to when he did or did not
5	cease.	
6		THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.
7	BY MR.	GEIST:
8	Q	So how he became your client in June 2012. Was he a
9	client of	yours prior to that that you know of?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Was he a client of you were at Gordon & (sic throughout)
12	Silver at	t the time of June 2012, correct?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	Do you know if he was a client of Gordon & Silver prior to
15	June 20	12?
16	A	I don't believe so, no.
17	Q	Okay. You're not sure though?
18	A	I would have to go run I'd have to ask them to run a conflict
19	check to	b be sure, but I don't believe so, no.
20	Q	When was the first time that you spoke with Mr. Scheide?
21	A	He would have called me on the phone in June of 2012. I
22	don't kn	ow the exact date.
23	Q	So it was a telephone call?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Do you recall what he called about?

1	MR. PAYNE: Objection; hearsay.
2	MR. GEIST: Your Honor
3	THE COURT: So I think that to the extent she you're asking
4	generally the topic that the the topic of the, I don't know, I guess
5	consultation, is that
6	MR. GEIST: That's correct.
7	THE COURT: the
8	MR. GEIST: And Your Honor, I think this
9	THE COURT: I guess that's a term.
10	MR. GEIST: this might be a good time to raise there is a
11	specific exception to hearsay. It's NRS 51.105. A statement of memory
12	or belief to the to prove the fact remembered or believed is
13	inadmissible under the hearsay rule unless it relates to the execution,
14	revocation, identification or terms of declarant's will. We're and
15	THE COURT: I don't think we're there yet. I mean you're just
16	asking Mr. Tyler if she has a general recollection of topics.
17	MR. GEIST: Sure. Yes.
18	THE COURT: The intended I would like to come and see you
19	about
20	MR. GEIST: Right. I'm not asking for a specific statement.
21	THE COURT: some things. You're not asking her to quote
22	him and say what he said.
23	MR. GEIST: Correct, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Just generally her understanding of what they
25	were meeting about. That's her understanding. That's not hearsay.

1		MR. GEIST: Correct.	
2		THE COURT: Okay.	
3	BY MR. GEIST:		
4	Q	Okay, do you recall?	
5	A	He called the office because he wanted a new estate	
6		MR. PAYNE: Objection. How does she know what he called	
7	about?	He could have been calling for unless she lays a foundation	
8		MR. GEIST: She just	
9		MR. PAYNE: he could have been calling	
10		MR. MOODY: It's a	
11		MR. PAYNE: for personal injury claim.	
12		MR. MOODY: It's a speaking objection.	
13		THE COURT: Sustained. Okay. Overruled.	
14		MR. GEIST: Okay.	
15		THE WITNESS: So do I answer, Your Honor?	
16		THE COURT: Yeah.	
17		THE WITNESS: He called to inquire about hiring an attorney	
18	from the firm to prepare new estate planning documents for him.		
19	BY MR. GEIST:		
20	Q	And you spoke with him at the time that he called?	
21	A	I don't know if I spoke with him or if a message was taken and	
22	I called	him back, but at some point in June 2012, I did speak with him	
23	by phone to set up a meeting.		
24	Q	And why were you speaking with him by phone?	
25		MR. PAYNE: Objection; calls for speculation.	

1	THE WITNESS: He was	
2	MR. PAYNE: What time period, Your Honor?	
3	MR. GEIST: It was at the time	
4	THE COURT: When she met with him in the in	
5	MountainView Hospital.	
6	MR. PAYNE: At what time?	
7	THE COURT: Her first meeting with him in MountainView	
8	Hospital. That's my understanding we're talking	
9	MR. PAYNE: What year?	
10	THE WITNESS: At a rehab facility near there.	
11	THE COURT: She already told us it was June 2012. Please,	
12	Mr. Payne, I'm going to ask you to please remember that you have an	
13	opportunity to cross-examine if you feel there is anything in which you	
14	wish to cast doubt on her credibility. It's not that doesn't necessarily	
15	make it an objection. Thank you. All right.	
16	Mr. Geist.	
17	MR. GEIST: Thank you.	
18	BY MR. GEIST:	
19	Q So you were you met with him in the rehab facility the first	
20	time you met with him in June 2012, just to get all of that out of the way	
21	Your impressions of him were again I'm sorry, I'd like to get a full	
22	answer from you.	
23	A That he was very smart. He was very organized. He was in	
24	full control of everything going on with his in his realm right now other	
25	than the use of his leg which was recovering.	

4

8

9

11

16

21

24

25

He -- I don't remember -- he was in the hospital bed the whole time because of the leg issue. He looked just about like any other person that is at a rehab facility under those types of circumstances. There was nothing unusual that stuck out about his physical Okay. And what was it you discussed with him at the time you I will preface this answer by saying there were certainly third parties in and out of the room during the entirety of that meeting, which I did discuss with him to make sure he was aware that would affect the privileged nature of that conversation. He was not concerned about that. He did not ask to refrain from conversations --MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I got to object. You got the estate over here saying that she's invoking attorney-client privilege. Now she's MR. PAYNE: -- in this conversation. THE COURT: I think that Ms. Boyer's concern is a different one. With respect to this particular conversation which is what Ms. Tyler said with respect to any particular question, she would have to consider whether -- and I'm assuming as a professional she's doing so -- whether the issue raised by Ms. Boyer was implicated, and she specifically said for that conversation, when third parties came into the room, he advised

her he was not concerned about the privilege. So for that conversation,

which she said she was going to do this as questions came up, I'm trusting her as a professional to do that. That was my understanding was why she felt she could talk about it. This particular one, her recollection is he said he wasn't concerned about third parties causing waiver of the privilege. Okay, fine. So for that conversation we now understand why she feels she can talk about it. Ms. Boyer has an issue she can certainly raise it.

MR. GEIST: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

## BY MR. GEIST:

Q What did you discuss?

A In general, just to make sure I'm clear on what I was trying to express there is the fact that during that first meeting, it was not in a 100 percent sterile privileged environment because of the nature of where the meeting was and people were in and out of the room. We -- I discussed that fact with him. He didn't care about it. There was nothing that we discussed during that meeting that was in any way secretive or needed to be attorney-client confidential because there was nothing that interesting, for lack of a better word, to try to protect under a privilege. It was a very routine estate planning meeting that I -- Mr. Scheide would have probably had in front of a complete stranger with no reservations because there were nurses in and out.

Q Okay. When you say it was a routine estate planning meeting, is this the kind of meeting that you would have with any other client any other time that you meet with them for the first time?

1	Q	Do you normally keep a file on estate planning clients?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And what sort of things do you put in that file?
4	А	The engagement agreement goes in the file. Correspondence
5	goes in t	he file. Attorney notes, attorney research. There's an envelope
6	for any o	riginal documents, and then there's a place to keep like a
7	tabbed p	ile of executed documents, copies of those in the tabbed area.
8	Q	Okay. In the file for Mr. Scheide, did you gather documents
9	relating t	o the representation of him in this estate planning
10	represen	tation?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Did you receive a subpoena from our office for a copy of your
13	file on M	r. Scheide?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	And did you produce a copy of your file on Mr. Scheide in
16	response	e to that subpoena?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	All right. And if I could show you what's been marked as
19	Propose	d Exhibit 5 do we have the witness binder there?
20		[Colloquy between the Court and the Clerk]
21		MR. GEIST: I apologize, Your Honor.
22		THE COURT: No problem.
23		[Colloquy between the Court and the Clerk]
24		THE WITNESS: Says witness here?
25		THE CLERK: Yeah.

1		MR. GEIST: I think that's respondent's.
2		THE COURT: Okay.
3		THE CLERK: Oh, wrong one. Hold on. Okay.
4		THE COURT: Okay, we've got
5		THE CLERK: Which exhibit are you referring to?
6		MR. GEIST: Exhibit 5.
7		THE COURT: Five.
8		THE CLERK: Five.
9		[Colloquy between the Court and the Clerk]
10	BY MR. (	GEIST:
11	Q	Ms. Tyler, I'm going to ask you to take a look at Proposed
12	Exhibit 5.	
13		THE COURT: It's the very back.
14		Is it the very back, Mr. Geist, because it's this is one through
15	five I beli	eve so would it be in the very back or
16		MR. GEIST: Yeah, it would be under tab five. I'm sorry, Your
17	Honor.	
18		THE WITNESS: Yeah.
19		THE COURT: So it's in the back. Thank you. Okay, I'm in
20	the same	place now I think.
21	BY MR. 0	GEIST:
22	Q	So taking if you can take a look at this Proposed Exhibit 5, I
23	know it's	very lengthy.
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Does it look familiar to you?

1	THE WITNESS: I have one.
2	THE COURT: Okay, so there is more oh, there's more.
3	THE WITNESS: That's
4	THE COURT: It goes all the way back so it's P dash KT dash
5	lots of zeros 1 through and is is the entire thing in just this one binder
6	through 621?
7	MR. GEIST: I believe so.
8	THE COURT: Or six 622.
9	MR. GEIST: Let me take a look, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: I that's just want to make sure that it's just
11	this one
12	MR. GEIST: Yes.
13	THE COURT: It's all in this one volume, 1 through 622?
14	Okay, got it.
15	Okay. So Mr. Payne?
16	MR. PAYNE: I object, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MR. PAYNE: First of all, the one that I have is if file
19	stamped zero just zero one and then it looks like it stops at 349 which
20	is their Bate stamp 350, so this entire file she's got to lay a foundation
21	as to each exhibit, Your Honor. They're trying to admit this thing in bulk.
22	If there's a particular exhibit that they want to pull out and ask her
23	questions about and she can lay a foundation, fine, but this is not her
24	entire file. Her file consisted of estate planning documents, estate's
25	notes attorney billing records all kinds of other things and I and she

25

hasn't testified to that -- those issues. But primarily, Your Honor, this exhibit -- my Exhibit 5 -- and she's saying the entire exhibit has got

THE COURT: So Mr. Geist, what he's pointing out is that there is a Bate stamping down on the bottom FILE000349. There's also additional P dash KT dash 350 through -- and that -- the first -- the file reference doesn't show up from page 350 through page six whatever number that was that we talked about.

MR. GEIST: Your Honor, the FILE000349, we did not append that to these documents. That was on the documents --

MR. GEIST: -- as we received them. I'm -- my assumption and -- and rather than assuming let me just ask.

Ms. Tyler, did you -- before you produced those documents, did you Bates number any of those documents that were produced?

And do you recall which documents you Bates numbered or what convention you used to Bates number them?

MR. GEIST: While she's looking, Your Honor, I'll clarify the P dash KT dash lots of zeros and then numbers following, that was our Bates numbering convention that we used for our proposed exhibits. So any document that shows that number is our addition to the proposed

THE COURT: Okay. So maybe Ms. Tyler can explain a little

1	bit more	, since it is a 600-plus page exhibit, how her firm traditionally
2	organize	ed their files as reflected in what we've got here?
3	BY MR.	GEIST:
4	Q	Well, and let me ask you this
5		THE COURT: Because that's
6	Q	Ms. Tyler. On
7		THE COURT: I understand that part of it was hard copy and
8	part of it	was electronic.
9		THE WITNESS: Yes.
10		MR. GEIST: Correct.
11		THE COURT: So but I think maybe that might be part of our
12	problem	here.
13		THE WITNESS: Yes.
14		THE COURT: I don't know.
15		MR. GEIST: Right.
16	BY MR.	GEIST:
17	Q	On documents that you produced electronically to us and
18	what do	cuments would those be?
19	Α	The documents from what I'm looking at here, the
20	docume	nts produced electronically would be the ones that do not have
21	the Bate	e stamp file and a number.
22	Q	And why would that be? Why would you have not appended a
23	Bates st	amp number on that? Do you know?
24	A	I don't know.
25	Q	Okay.

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And so based on your observation looking at this, review of
3	these do	ocuments, does this represent a true and accurate copy of Mr.
4	Scheide	's estate planning file that you had on him?
5		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I got to object. The first of all,
6	these ar	e Gordon & Silver's records. If she's trying to get these admitted
7	as some	kind of or counsel trying to get admitted as some kind of a
8	busines	s record, he's got to lay the foundation for Gordon & Silver. She
9	doesn't	have knowledge to have this these documents admitted and if
10	it's going	g to content, I've got strong objections
11		THE COURT: Okay.
12		MR. PAYNE: to every single one of these exhibits.
13		THE COURT: All right. These were produced by a custodian
14	apparen	tly from the successor firm, Garman Turner. So maybe, Mr.
15	Geist, yo	ou could lay
16		MR. GEIST: Yeah.
17		THE COURT: a foundation of how
18		MR. GEIST: Absolutely.
19		THE COURT: when the firm split, how did she happen to
20	keep this	S.
21	BY MR.	GEIST:
22	Q	So if you could turn to page 1
23		MR. GEIST: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	BY MR.	GEIST:
25	Q	If you could turn to page 1. Do you recognize this document?

Silver saying this is what our file is or consists of. It's the successor firm. She's not -- she hasn't laid any kind of a foundation as to whether she reviewed every single one of these documents --

THE COURT: Well I think clearly with respect to the first 350 pages, Ms. Tyler is certainly competent to lay a foundation for the first 350 pages. She was a partner in the predecessor firm. She's a partner in a firm that was -- I don't know, I guess a successor, but in spinning off from Gordon Silver as she testified. She's a partner in Garman Turner, kept custody of her work product, and that's the first 350 pages clearly her work product because she, as she said, took the paper file. So I've got no problem with the first 350 pages.

If there's an issue with respect to the succeeding 600 page- -- 300 pages up to six whatever it was, I mean to me if she can lay a foundation for a document that she kept in her personal capacity, she was a partner in Gordon & Silver.

And so if there is something in particular, Mr. Geist, that you want to pull out from that last 300 pages approximately, I think that Ms. Tyler certainly would recognize her own work product and as a partner in that firm could, you know, lay a foundation for it there, but there may be a point with respect to the complete 300 pages that she -- she said she was a partner but didn't say she was an officer so I don't know if that makes a difference. I mean she's a partner in the firm so she's got some knowledge, but as to whether this was her entire electronic file, I guess that's where we're running into a problem. But she can certainly tell us if she recognizes work product because that's a different issue.

1	took with her when she walked out the door. That's her paper file.
2	Am I I understood your testimony?
3	THE WITNESS: Correct.
4	THE COURT: Yeah. So the first that's why I said with
5	respect to this the rest of it, as you pointed out, the person who wound
6	up the firm produced the electronic copy and so that's why I said if you
7	with if there are specific documents in there, she can identify those as
8	her work product, she would recognize those.
9	But I agree with you she can't really vouch that the person
10	who produced the electronic I mean I don't even know if she looked at
11	the electronic file before producing it, so that part I think Mr. Payne's
12	raised a valid objection to the second but I totally understood why she
13	had the first 350 pages; that's her paper file, she walked out the door
14	with it. Yeah.
15	MR. GEIST: And just to be clear, Your Honor, it goes to our
16	numbering page 350, but the first page is actually her affidavit of record
17	so it's actually 349.
18	THE COURT: Right. Yeah.
19	MR. GEIST: Minor issue.
20	THE COURT: Right.
21	MR. GEIST: So renewal of my motion to admit the first 350
22	pages
23	THE COURT: Exactly, yes they are.
24	MR. GEIST: of Exhibit
25	THE COURT: Granted.

1	MR. GEIST: Proposed Exhibit 5.
2	THE COURT: Yeah.
3	MR. PAYNE: Same objection, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Well with respect to that portion,
5	objection's overruled, those are admitted.
6	[Petitioner's Exhibit 5, pages 1 through 350 admitted]
7	MR. GEIST: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	MR. PAYNE: Just Your Honor, for and the purpose is for
9	what? It's not to prove the matter asserted in these documents. In other
10	words, these are still hearsay.
11	THE COURT: It's business record.
12	MR. PAYNE: I'm sorry?
13	THE COURT: It's a business record.
14	MR. PAYNE: She didn't establish is a business record. We
15	just went through that. But it's full of hearsay so each document has
16	hearsay. She's still got to overcome that issue. She's not issue she's
17	not these are not being admitted for the truth.
18	THE COURT: No. They're being admitted as a business
19	record.
20	MR. MOODY: Which is an exception to hearsay.
21	THE COURT: Yeah.
22	MR. GEIST: Correct.
23	MR. PAYNE: Right. But the contents are still in dispute, Your
24	Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay. But it's a business record and it's

1	admitted.	
2		MR. GEIST: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
3	BY MR.	GEIST:
4	Q	If we could pull up and take a look at pages and this is the P
5	dash KT	numbering convention pages 2 through 9 from Exhibit 5.
6	A	Okay.
7	Q	Does that look familiar to you?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	How does it look familiar to you?
10	A	It's the legal representation between Mr. Scheide and Gordon
11	Silver.	
12	Q	Okay, and taking a look at this document, have you seen it
13	before	
14		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I have to object. It misstates the
15	agreeme	ent is between Bradley Richardson.
16		THE COURT: Okay.
17		MR. GEIST: On the may I reply, Your Honor?
18		THE COURT: Sure.
19		MR. GEIST: On the first page, this agreement is made on
20	June 6th	between Theodore Scheide and Gordon Silver whose address
21	is 3960 H	Howard Hughes Parkway
22		THE COURT: And so at the time Mr. Richardson being a
23	partner -	-
24		MR. GEIST: Correct.
25		THE COURT: who's authorized I so I guess if we can

1	just establish that he would have been authorized on behalf of the firm.
2	MR. GEIST: And if we could take a look at
3	MR. PAYNE: That I'm sorry, that Ms. Tyler is authorized
4	to
5	THE COURT: No. If
6	MR. PAYNE: She he's saying this is her fee agreement, but
7	the fee agreement runs between Mr. Scheide and Bradley Richardson.
8	THE COURT: No. It's
9	MR. GEIST: No.
10	THE COURT: It specifically states it's between Gordon &
11	Silver. Mr. Richardson's an authorized signer for Gordon & Silver at the
12	time.
13	MR. PAYNE: Is Mr. Gordon is Mr. Richardson going to
14	come and testify to that?
15	THE COURT: Certainly Ms. Tyler can testify to that.
16	MR. PAYNE: She hasn't.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Well
18	MR. PAYNE: How do we know who Mr. Richardson is? I
19	mean are you taking judicial knowledge?
20	THE COURT: No. No. I'm simply saying I we don't it
21	does it's irrelevant. I mean Gordon & Silver
22	MR. PAYNE: Then why are we introducing it?
23	THE COURT: Gordon & Silver this is a retainer
24	agreement Gordon & Silver signed by a person authorized to sign.
25	MR. PAYNE: How do we know that? Is Mr. Richardson now

1	going to	come in and say I
2		THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Payne, you can just sit down.
3		Continue.
4		THE WITNESS: Was there a question pending about the
5	agreem	ent?
6		MR. GEIST: I don't recall.
7		THE COURT: Okay.
8		MR. GEIST: I'll move on.
9	BY MR.	GEIST:
10	Q	Do you recognize taking
11		[Colloquy between counsel]
12	BY MR.	GEIST:
13	Q	So I believe
14		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I
15	Q	the question pending was, was this the agreement
16	betweer	۱
17		THE COURT: You've been talking to Mr. Christiansen (sic),
18	so we're	gjust going to skip over that, Mr. Payne, okay? Thanks.
19		All right. Keep going, Mr. Geist.
20	BY MR.	GEIST:
21	Q	You recognize this. Was this the agreement that Mr. Scheide
22	signed v	vith your firm at the time, Gordon & Silver?
23	A	Yes, I recognize it. I prepared the document.
24	Q	Who signed this document?
25	А	Mr. Richardson, who was a partner at the time. I was not a

1	partner a	at the time. I witnessed Mr. Richardson sign it. I witnessed Mr.
2	Scheide	sign it.
3	Q	You saw them both sign this?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. And so based on this you believe do you believe that
6	Mr. Sche	eide was a client of Gordon & Silver?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Okay.
9		MR. GEIST: Court's indulgence.
10		THE COURT: Uh-huh.
11	BY MR.	GEIST:
12	Q	Okay. If we can turn to pages 148 in there, from Exhibit 5?
13	Do you r	recognize this document?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	How do you recognize this?
16	Α	These are my attorney notes in the file.
17	Q	When you say they're your attorney notes, you took these as
18	notes fro	om what?
19	Α	From a conversation with Mr. Scheide.
20	Q	Okay. Why were they taken?
21	Α	To memorialize what was discussed and his instructions for
22	updating	his estate plan.
23	Q	What were the circumstances under which these notes were
24	taken?	
25	A	I believe these are from my first meeting with Mr. Scheide at

1	the reha	b facility.
2	Q	Okay. Is there a date on those?
3	A	The date is June 6, 2012.
4	Q	Is there more than one page to these notes?
5	A	Yes. Believe it's four pages.
6	Q	Okay. So it goes from page 148 through 151?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And all of these notes, to the best of your recollection, were
9	taken on that June 6th?	
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. If you could turn to page 150 for me, please? At about
12	halfway	down well let's start up at the top. There's a mention of Velma
13	diagnos	ed Alzheimer's, correct?
14	A	Correct.
15		MR. PAYNE: Objection. There's been no foundation related
16	to Velm	a; who she is, how she knows her.
17		THE COURT: Overruled.
18	BY MR.	GEIST:
19	Q	Why did you write down Velma diagnosed Alzheimer's and
20	then a c	late afterwards?
21	A	I wrote that down because at the time Velma was the most
22	importa	nt person in Theo's life and he recognized she was getting sicker
23	and he	needed to update his estate planning documents.
24	Q	So he told you this
25	A	Yes.

1	Q	Velma was diagnosed with Alzheimer's and you made a
2	note	
3		MR. PAYNE: Objection; hearsay.
4	Q	and you made a note of that?
5		THE COURT: Overruled. As I understood this these were
6	notes taken during the conversation with folks coming in and out?	
7		THE WITNESS: Correct.
8		THE COURT: Okay. With respect to that meeting, I believe
9	that Mr. Scheide personally waived the any privilege at that time.	
10		MR. GEIST: And I would also point out that these were
11	discussions about the creation of a will and that has a specific exception	
12	as well.	
13		THE COURT: Okay. Accept that as well.
14	BY MR. GEIST:	
15	Q	Moving down about halfway, it talks about Velma dash
16	checking joint, Theo dash checking joint, and just to reiterate, who was	
17	Velma?	
18	Α	Velma was Mr. Scheide's longtime companion. They never
19	married.	They were boyfriend/girlfriend for lack of a better term.
20	Q	And who is Theo?
21	Α	Theo was my client.
22	Q	Okay. And he told you these things why?
23	Α	Because I
24		MR. PAYNE: Objection; hearsay.
25		THE COURT: Overruled.

1		MR. GEIST: One five zero.		
2	BY MR.	BY MR. GEIST:		
3	Q	So you have will bennies (phonetic) dash Velma, comma, St.		
4	Jude, correct?			
5	A	Correct.		
6	Q	And so why did you write that down there again?		
7	A	Will is first just establishing the document that we're		
8	discussing and the instructions he's giving me. Bennies is my shorthand			
9	instead of writing out beneficiaries. The dash to delineate that then I'm			
10	going to list them Velma's name first because he indicated he wanted			
11	Velma to be the first beneficiary if she was alive. The comma to indicate			
12	if she's not, then to St. Jude.			
13	Q	Okay. Did Mr. Scheide tell you why he wanted to leave his		
14	estate that way?			
15		MR. PAYNE: Objection; calls for speculation.		
16		MR. GEIST: I'm asking if she had		
17		THE COURT: Overruled.		
18		MR. GEIST: personal knowledge.		
19	BY MR. GEIST:			
20	Q	Did he tell you?		
21	A	He did. I don't remember if it was at that meeting, but he did.		
22	Q	So he may have told you later possibly?		
23	A	It may have been later. I don't remember if it was at that		
24	meeting	meeting or at a later meeting where he talked again about St. Jude.		
25	Q	Okay. So if you recall him telling you at some point why he		

wanted you to leave his -- why he wanted to draft his will to leave it that way, what did he tell you?

A And again I'm going to answer this question on the basis that I believe it is a relevant communication to the nature of this dispute. He --

MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I got to -- you're the one that's determine relevance, not counsel here sitting up on the stand.

Objection; relevant.

MR. GEIST: I believe she was offering that relevance --

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. GEIST: -- because of the privilege.

THE COURT: That was what I understood is that she was explaining the basis on which she felt that she was not violating the privilege because one or the other of the exceptions applied. That's what I understood her to be saying. So I'll overrule the objection and I -- as I understand it, and certainly, if you believe she's wrong in her understanding, you can move to strike, but I'll let her answer based on the fact this is her belief that she can answer because a exception applies.

MR. GEIST: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE WITNESS: In addition to the exception, I am all but certain that the communication would have taken place either in the facility or in a later housing situation where our communications were never 100 percent private and Mr. Scheide was fine with that. He cared very deeply about Velma. She -- he visited her every day in her Alzheimer's care facility where she lived. He had dinner with her. He

1	Α	Yes. I reviewed it with him.
2	Q	Were you there when he reviewed it?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Were you there when he signed it?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Was there anybody else present when he reviewed and
7	signed it?	
8	A	I believe my assistant, Diane Dewalt, also went to that
9	meeting in anticipation that he was likely to sign it that day. I never	
10	pressure a client to sign. It's his decision. If he decided that he didn't	
11	want to, I wasn't going to force him, but Diane also went to the meeting	
12	because she's a notary and she could serve as a witness in case he	
13	chose to sign it that day.	
14	Q	Okay. Did you witness him signing the will
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	that day? And Diane Dewalt you said was there also?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Did she witness him signing that will?
19	Α	Yes.
20		MR. PAYNE: Objection. I don't think she can testify to what
21	Diane w	itnessed.
22	BY MR. GEIST:	
23	Q	Was she present when
24		THE COURT: Okay.
25	Q	you saw him sign it?

1		THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, was there a question?		
2	BY MR.	BY MR. GEIST:		
3	Q	I just wanted you to take a look at that. Have you had the		
4	chance	chance to look at it?		
5	A	Yes.		
6	Q	Okay. Do you recognize this document?		
7	A	Yes.		
8	Q	How do you recognize it?		
9	A	It's the last will and testament of Theodore E. Scheide that I		
10	witnesse	witnessed him sign on June 8th, 2012.		
11	Q	Okay. So turning to page 32 of this document, do you		
12	recogniz	recognize your signature on that page?		
13	A	I do.		
14	Q	Okay. Do you believe does it appear that this is a true and		
15	accurate	accurate copy of that will that you witnessed for Mr. Scheide on June 8		
16	that you	that you prepared for him prior to that signature?		
17	A	It does.		
18		MR. GEIST: I would move to admit Exhibit 2 into evidence.		
19		THE COURT: Okay.		
20		MR. PAYNE: For what purpose?		
21		MR. GEIST: For the purpose of establishing that she met with		
22	him, cre	ated an estate planning document		
23		THE COURT: And this is the work product that resulted from		
24	those m	those meetings?		
25		MR. GEIST: Correct.		

1	passed as if he had died intestate and		
2	Q	When you say this timeframe, do you mean May 20th, 2016?	
3	Α	Yes.	
4	Q	Okay. And were you in possession of the original of this	
5	document at that time?		
6	A	I was.	
7	Q	What did you do with the original?	
8	A	I lodged it with the court. Lodged it with court.	
9	Q	Okay. Thank you. So the original of this document is lodged	
10	with the court, correct?		
11	Α	Correct.	
12	Q	Okay. So does this document appear to be identical the	
13	copy of this document appear to be identical to the one that you lodged		
14	the original that you lodged with the court?		
15		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I think she'd have to see the	
16	original	original before she could testify to that.	
17	BY MR.	BY MR. GEIST:	
18	Q	From your personal knowledge	
19		THE COURT: Yeah, I'm going to overrule that.	
20		THE WITNESS: It appears to match the same key terms so	
21	yes, I be	yes, I believe it is accurate.	
22	BY MR.	BY MR. GEIST:	
23	Q	Okay. Turning back to page 17, thank you for looking at that.	
24	Under a	Under article one, who does it say is Mr. Scheide's family under family	
25	information?		

1	several	several fiduciary roles, Karen, she didn't want to be in that role anymore,		
2	I think s	I think she had resigned, and so he needed to he wanted he		
3	contacte	ed me. He wanted to update those fiduciary roles once again in		
4	the doc	uments.		
5	Q	Okay. Did you meet with him in person?		
6	А	Yes.		
7	Q	Where did you meet with him in person?		
8	А	I believe it was at my office, but I'd need to confirm my notes		
9	to check	< that.		
10	Q	Okay. Let's see if we can find those. Take a look at KT145,		
11	that would be Exhibit 5.			
12	А	Okay.		
13	Q	Does that refresh your recollection?		
14	А	Yes.		
15	Q	Okay. Take a look at 146 if you would please?		
16	А	Okay.		
17	Q	Are those your notes as well?		
18	А	Yes.		
19	Q	Does that refresh your recollection on when you met with him		
20	anytime prior?			
21	А	Yes, it looks like we had some phone calls in		
22	Q	Okay, that yeah. So you did meet with him prior to that		
23	October date?			
24	А	Yeah. I don't know if I met with him in person, but I spoke with		
25	him at least by phone.			

1	Q	Did he respond?
2	A	He did.
3	Q	What did he say?
4	A	He said that yes he declared it to be his last will and
5	testame	nt.
6	Q	What's your next question?
7	A	Have you had an opportunity to review this document?
8	Q	And did you ask that of Mr. Scheide?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And what was his response?
11	A	That he did. He had reviewed it earlier that same day.
12	Q	What is your next question? I apologize for putting you on the
13	spot.	
14	A	I do them so fast I have do you understand its key
15	provisio	ns about executor and beneficiaries?
16	Q	Did you ask Mr. Scheide this question?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	What was his response?
19	A	That yes he did understand what he was doing by signing the
20	docume	nt.
21	Q	Okay. Did you ask another question?
22	A	Yes. The fourth question is, is anyone forcing you to sign this
23	docume	nt.
24	Q	Did you ask Mr. Scheide that question?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	And what was his response?
2	A	His response was no that no one was forcing him to sign it.
3	Q	Okay. Did you and there's another question?
4	A	The last question is would you like myself and in this case
5	Diane to	be the witnesses and he
6	Q	Did you ask Mr. Scheide that question?
7	A	I did.
8	Q	And what was his response?
9	A	He said yes he would like us to be the witnesses on his will.
10	Q	Based on those questions and those responses, did you form
11	an impre	ession of his mental of the soundness of his mental ability
12	A	I had no concerns
13	Q	to sign the will?
14	A	that day about him having enough mental soundness to sign
15	this document.	
16	Q	Okay. Did Mr. Scheide appear to be of sound mind when he
17	signed the will?	
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Did you personally witness him signing the will?
20	A	I did.
21	Q	Did you personally witness Diane Dewalt witnessing the will
22	with her signature?	
23	A	She was in the room the entire time and I also saw her sign
24	the docu	iment.
25	Q	Do you know were Mr. Scheide and Ms. Dewalt in the room

with him	?
----------	---

A I sit down next to the client and say okay, we're going to look at the core terms of your document. The first section we need to look at is your name, that you're a resident of Clark County, you're of sound mind and all this good stuff. Then let's review the information. Are you still unmarried? Yes. Do you still only have one child? Yes. Do you still wish to not provide for him under this document? He said yes. So we go -- and that means article one we go paragraph by paragraph with the client.

- Q So you reviewed all of that with Mr. Scheide --
- A Yes.
- Q -- that day before he signed it?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. Turning to page 249, same exhibit, Exhibit 5, article three. Under section 3.02, again there's another reference to residuary estate which you've already testified what your use of that term is. Why did you draft the document this way?
- A These were my instructions from Mr. Scheide about who he wanted as his beneficiaries.
  - Q And who did he want as beneficiaries?
- A He wanted them the same as the prior will and the will before that, that that was Velma G. Shay if she was alive, and if she wasn't alive, then St. Jude Children's Hospital in Memphis, Tennessee.
- Q Okay, article four, there is a reference to a remote contingent distribution. What is your understanding of why that's -- what that

1	means?	
2		MR. PAYNE: Objection; calls for legal conclusion.
3		THE COURT: I believe that question was specifically limited
4	to her ur	nderstanding?
5		MR. GEIST: Correct.
6		THE COURT: Okay. I'll allow that.
7		THE WITNESS: This is the provision of the will that I explain
8	to the cli	ent is the worst case scenario provision, so that if his prior
9	beneficia	aries were not around for some reason, if Velma was deceased,
10	if St. Jude's wasn't in existence anymore, who would be the beneficiary	
11	in that worst case scenario, and I	
12	BY MR.	GEIST:
13	Q	Did you discuss this with him prior to drafting this?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	And what did he say he wanted to put in this provision?
16	А	He didn't have an alternate charity and he was fine with heirs
17	at law	
18	Q	What are heirs at law
19	А	Heirs at law
20	Q	based on your understanding?
21	Α	Sure. Heirs at law would mean to look at Mr. Scheide's
22	parents	if they were living, if not siblings excuse me, heirs yes, heirs
23	at law w	ould be parents if they're not living, if not siblings, if not nieces
24	and nep	hews or then all the way into cousins, to find someone in the
25	bloodline	e. But before that, I revisited well would you want your son to

1	take in th	nat scenario and he said no.
2	Q	Okay, so the last line of that paragraph, in parentheses it says
3	other tha	an Theodore E. Scheide, III and his descendants?
4	A	Correct. That is what he instructed me to provide there that
5	he was f	ine with heirs at law but not his son.
6	Q	Okay. And you discussed that with him prior to him signing
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	this document? Okay. Do you recall when you next spoke
9	with Mr.	Scheide?
10	A	Off the top of my head, no.
11	Q	Okay. If we turn to page 144 of Exhibit 5, do you recognize
12	that?	
13	A	Excuse me, 144?
14	Q	Yeah.
15	A	Okay. Yeah, these are my handwritten notes.
16	Q	Does that refresh your recollection of when you next met with
17	Mr. Sche	eide?
18	A	It does recall I don't believe I met with him based on these
19	notes, b	ut it recalls helps me recall what was going on in his life
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	when he
22	Q	So this might not
23	A	he called me.
24	Q	have been an in-person meeting
25	A	This was a phone call.
- 1	1	

1		MR. GEIST: No.
2		THE COURT: reporting what a conversation somebody had
3	with Ms.	Tyler upon like some sort of an expectation Ms. Tyler was
4	going to	do something with that information.
5		MR. GEIST: Right.
6		THE COURT: So that so in the context that it's just about
7	what info	rmation this unknown third party provided with the expectation
8	of somet	hing, okay, I'll admit it. Just not for the proof of the matter, but
9	just that t	his is
10		MR. GEIST: Okay.
11		THE COURT: the background for whatever Ms. Tyler did
12	next.	
13	BY MR.	GEIST:
14	Q	So after after you spoke with her, what did you do for Mr.
15	Scheide?	If you turn to 133, it looks like you're having a hard time
16	recalling.	
17	Α	It appears that I met with him a few days after that for an
18	in-persor	n meeting.
19	Q	Do you recall where you met with him?
20	Α	I believe at this point in time he was at the Sunshine Home.
21	It's a gro	up home up in kind of the North Las Vegas area.
22	Q	Okay. Was he a resident there
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	that you recall?
25	А	Yes.

۱ ۱	Α	Not about his wishes. He had some other comments, but not
1		Not about his wishes. He had some other comments, but not
2	about his	s wishes.
3	Q	Okay. Did he ask you to get in touch with his son?
4	A	He did not.
5	Q	Did he tell you that he wanted to change his estate plan in any
6	way?	
7	A	No.
8		MR. PAYNE: Objection; calls for speculation, Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: Overruled.
10		MR. GEIST: Okay.
11		THE WITNESS: No.
12	BY MR.	GEIST:
13	Q	Do you recall when you next spoke with Mr. Scheide?
14	A	I believe it would have been early January.
15	Q	Okay. If you turn to page 128, does this refresh your
16	recollect	ion?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And when was the next time that you spoke with Mr. Scheide?
19	A	I met with him it looks like in person on January 2nd, 2014.
20	Q	Do you recall where you met with him?
21	A	Would have been at the Sunshine Group Home.
22	Q	Okay. When you met with him, how did he appear to you?
23	A	He physically was slowing down. I
24	Q	What do you mean he was slowing down?
25	А	His body was failing him. He had a hard time walking.
- 1	1	

1	Q	Did you
2	A	He wasn't in a wheelchair he was
3	Q	Did you I'm sorry, did you observe him walking?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay.
6	Α	I believe he was using a walker, not a wheelchair, and moving
7	pretty sl	owly with the walker.
8	Q	Okay.
9	А	Whereas, a year before he was walking on his own.
10	Q	Okay. Did you talk with him at this meeting?
11	Α	Yes.
12	Q	And what was the circumstances of this conversation with
13	him?	
14	А	Again trying to figure out who could come in to work as like an
15	assistar	t for him to help take care of him and get him to appointments
16	and whe	ere he needed to go, run errands for him
17	Q	Okay.
18	А	and some other issues it looks like.
19	Q	When you spoke with him, how did how was his speech?
20	How dic	I that appear to you?
21	A	I don't recall anything unusual. His I hi speech was the
22	same as	s it had been before.
23	Q	Okay. No concerns is what
24	А	No concerns from my standpoint.
25	Q	Okay. If you look down the page about two-thirds of the way,

1	there is a reference to a Ricky?	
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	Theo gave Ricky a check to hold next vacancy?
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	Who is Ricky?
6	A	Ricky is a man that owned a different group home where his
7	friend Ve	elma had lived for a while and he liked that place better and he
8	wanted t	to move there.
9	Q	Okay. Do you know was he in the process of moving there?
10	A	I don't recall as I sit here today.
11	Q	Okay. All right. Did in this meeting did you discuss
12	anything about his estate plan?	
13	А	No.
14	Q	Did he ask you to make any changes to his will?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Did he ask you to get in touch with his son at this time?
17	A	No.
18	Q	All right. Do you know if you spoke with Mr. Scheide at any
19	time afte	er this meeting?
20	A	Yes. I believe there was interaction later that month.
21	Q	Do you recall when it was?
22	A	I know we had a meeting where I brought out I believe two
23	different	women who work as fiduciaries that would that did interviews
24	with him	and I sat in on those interviews.
25	Q	Do you recall where those interviews took place?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay.
3		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, do we have a date of this?
4		MR. GEIST: Yeah, I was just going to ask that.
5		THE COURT: Thank you.
6	BY MR.	GEIST:
7	Q	Do you recall roughly when this was
8	A	I believe it was
9	Q	or specifically?
10	A	It was in during one of the January or maybe February 2014
11	meetings	s. I think January 2014.
12	Q	Was it middle of January, end of January, beginning of
13	January'	?
14	A	If you could direct me to some notes that would refresh my
15	memory,	I could answer that question for you, but I can't off the top of
16	my head	
17	Q	Yeah, I don't believe there are any notes on those meetings.
18	Α	On the interviews?
19		MR. GEIST: Court's indulgence.
20		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, just for purposes we haven't had
21	a break.	I don't know and are we going to go through
22		THE COURT: Yeah. So I guess that is a question since Ms.
23	Tyler is h	nere. Is it better to take a break or and then allow Ms. Tyler to
24	continue	or would she like to have lunch herself? I mean
25		MR. GEIST: I'll leave it up to her.

1	THE WITNESS: I could eat a little something. I don't need
2	like a
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	THE WITNESS: leisurely hour and a half long lunch.
5	MR. GEIST: I don't have much more, but we can take a break
6	and
7	THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll take a break at this time.
8	This is just for our lunch break. Want to return at one? Is one
9	agreeable?
10	MR. PAYNE: Sure.
11	THE COURT: It's is that going to give everybody enough
12	time to get in and out of the building if you need to?
13	MR. GEIST: Oh yeah. I think so.
14	THE WITNESS: Yep.
15	THE COURT: It's an hour and 10 minutes.
16	MR. GEIST: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Tyler, really appreciate that.
18	MR. PAYNE: And then Your Honor instruction that the
19	witnesses shouldn't be talking.
20	THE COURT: Pardon?
21	MR. PAYNE: Have her the instruction that the witnesses
22	shouldn't be discussing this matters (sic).
23	THE COURT: Yes. And Ms. Tyler, you understand that, yes.
24	So yeah. All right. Understood. Thank you.
25	[Recess taken at 11:50 a.m.]

After the creation of the October 2012 will, did Mr. Scheide

25

Q

1	ever discuss changing his beneficiary designations on his will?	
2	Α	Same disclaimer to begin with and the answer is no, he did
3	not discuss changing beneficiaries.	
4	Q	And if I can have since we had a break, if I could just
5	recount, I believe you testified that some your last contact with Mr.	
6	Scheide was sometime in January 2014; is that correct?	
7	Α	Yes, I may have sent him a letter in February of that year. I
8	don't remember the date of that last letter I sent to him.	
9	Q	Did
10	Α	That would have been the last communication to him.
11	Q	Did you have any contact with him after that point?
12	А	After the letter?
13	Q	Yeah.
14	А	No.
15	Q	Do you you're aware that Mr. Scheide died?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Do you know when he died?
18	А	Believe it was the summer of 2014.
19	Q	Okay. Do you know who Theodore E. Scheide, III is?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Who is Theodore E. Scheide, III?
22	А	Would be the son of my client also named Theodore Scheide.
23	Q	Have you ever met him?
24	А	No.
25	Q	Have you ever talked to him?

- Q Okay. Did Nevada Guardian Services -- well let me back up. Are you aware that Mr. Scheide was subject to a guardianship?
  - A Yes.
  - Q How are you aware of that?
- A I became aware of that when I received a call from a social worker at a hospital saying that his physical condition had significantly declined and they were recommending that he -- well they inquired if there was power of attorney and at that point he had, in Theo's own words, fired the person named as power of attorney and so the social worker at the hospital indicated that they believed guardianship would be necessary.
  - Q Were you involved in Mr. Scheide's guardianship in any way?
- A The social worker had a list of potential guardians and one of the people on the list was Nevada Guardian Services who Mr. Scheide had interviewed for an assistant job and he liked them, and so I suggested to them but I didn't mandate, I suggested that maybe they contact them first because they were already familiar with Mr. Scheide.
- Q Okay. Did you have any involvement in the guardianship after that point?
- A The attorney for the guardian asked that I send the estate planning documents, which is pretty customary if a person has estate planning documents to submit those to the court, so I did that, and I filed a request for special notice.
  - Q In the guardianship matter?
  - A Yes.

1	BY MR. GEIST:	
2	Q	Is do you recognize this document?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	How do you recognize this?
5	A	This is the affidavit that I edited and signed.
6	Q	Okay. And is this the affidavit that you had reviewed and
7	revised y	ourself prior to signing?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And how do you know that?
0	A	I believe the original was maybe a page longer and I cut some
1	things or	ut.
2	Q	Okay. Is this copy substantially the same as the original
3	affidavit that you signed? And I have the original if you	
4	Α	I'm just scanning it real quick.
5		Yes, it does appear that way.
6		MR. GEIST: Your Honor, I would move to admit Exhibit 3 into
7	evidence	<b>)</b> .
8		THE COURT: Okay, thanks.
9		With respect to the affidavit, Exhibit 3, Mr. Payne, any
20	objection	1?
21		I think it's filed in the court record, isn't it, Mr. Geist?
22		MR. PAYNE: Yeah, Your Honor, no objection.
23		THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. So it'll be admitted.
24		[Petitioner's Exhibit 3 admitted]
25		MR. GEIST: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	you. That's
2	MR. GEIST: Your Honor, we're releasing the witness at this
3	point.
4	THE COURT: That's the point. Yeah. I mean it's entirely up
5	to you.
6	MR. PAYNE: Well if they can prove their case by prima facie
7	evidence, I reserve the right to recall her and if
8	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to say it one more time.
9	MR. PAYNE: Okay.
10	THE COURT: If you wish to call her in your case in chief, she
11	is not under subpoena and is not willing to return.
12	MR. PAYNE: She's refusing?
13	THE COURT: Yeah. She's under subpoena by Mr. Geist.
14	They have released her. She is not willing to return voluntarily.
15	MR. PAYNE: Then we need to, if need be, continue the trial.
16	I'll get a subpoena out and have her come back.
17	THE COURT: No, we're not going to continue the trial. The
18	witness is here. She's prepared to go forward today, but she is not
19	willing to come back subject to recall when she's not been subpoenaed.
20	MR. PAYNE: Fine, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Do you need any questions for Ms. Tyler or are
22	we free to let her go?
23	MR. PAYNE: Done.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So Ms. Tyler, you may step down. You
25	are free to go. All right.

1	MS. BOYER: Your Honor?
2	THE COURT: Yeah. Oh I'm sorry, Ms. Boyer, we didn't
3	MS. BOYER: Is it appropriate for me to ask questions?
4	THE COURT: Oh absolutely.
5	MS. BOYER: Okay.
6	THE COURT: You are a party so give us a minute, Ms. Tyler.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. BOYER:
9	Q In September of 2014, you were searching for the original will.
10	We contacted your office and I asked you if you knew where the original
11	will was located. You said to check the white bag, and then you also
12	said I wouldn't be surprised if he destroyed it.
13	A I don't recall saying that last part that I wouldn't be surprised if
14	he destroyed it. I think I may recall a slightly different discussion.
15	THE COURT: Anything further?
16	MS. BOYER: No, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay, thanks.
18	Anybody have anything further? Okay.
19	Thank you, Ms. Tyler.
20	THE MARSHAL: Watch your step, Ms. Tyler.
21	THE COURT: And your next witness then, Mr. Geist?
22	MR. GEIST: Diane Dewalt, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	[Pause]
25	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.

1	Q	And was it our office that issued that subpoena to you?
2	Α	Yes it was.
3	Q	And you are here today to respond to that subpoena and give
4	testimony	/?
5	Α	That's correct.
6	Q	Okay. Are you familiar with the decedent, Theodore E.
7	Scheide,	Jr.?
8	Α	I remember the name.
9	Q	What do you remember about the name?
10	А	I don't want to specifically say that I remember the gentleman
11	himself, b	pecause I have him mixed with one other gentleman that I can't
12	tell you w	hether it was him or that particular gentleman, but I what I do
13	remembe	er is when the day we witnessed his will
14		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, objection. There's not a question
15	pending.	
16		THE COURT: Okay. That's enough. Thank you. Sustained.
17		THE WITNESS: Okay.
18	BY MR. 0	GEIST:
19	Q	Where were you employed during the month of October
20	2012?	
21	Α	Gordon Silver.
22	Q	Okay. And what was your position there?
23	Α	Legal assistant.
24	Q	Who were you a legal assistant to? To whom?
25	А	Brad Richardson and Kristin Tyler.

1	Α	No, I only remember one.
2	Q	Okay. Do you recall the date that that will was signed?
3	А	No. I do not.
4	Q	Okay. Do you recall where that will was signed?
5	А	If he's the gentleman I believe he is, it may have been in a
6		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, object, move to strike,
7	nonresp	onsive.
8		THE COURT: Overruled.
9		MR. GEIST: Court's indulgence. Let me grab something that
10	may help	ο.
11	BY MR. GEIST:	
12	Q	I believe you have an exhibit
13		THE COURT: Oh, which exhibit book do you need?
14		MR. GEIST: Exhibit 5.
15		THE CLERK: Sorry.
16		THE COURT: Just a minute here, Ms. Dewalt.
17		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18	BY MR.	GEIST:
19	Q	It's a fairly thick book. I apologize, Diane.
20	А	That's okay.
21	Q	Under there, there are down in the corner Bates numbers and
22	if you co	uld turn to page P dash KT dash 000247.
23	Α	Okay.
24	Q	Okay. And this document I submit to you goes to page P
25	dash KT	dash 000

1		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, instruct the witness there's not a
2	question	pending. She wants to tell a story.
3		THE COURT: Okay.
4		MR. PAYNE: Form of the question.
5		THE COURT: All right. You have to just wait for the question,
6	Ms. Dew	alt. Thank you.
7		THE WITNESS: Sure.
8	BY MR. (	GEIST:
9	Q	Okay. Do you recall witnesses the signatures of other
10	individua	Is on this document when you signed your name on it?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And do you recall who those individuals were?
13	A	Mr. Snidey (sic) as far as I recall.
14	Q	Okay. Anybody else?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Okay.
17	A	Other than Kristin but I didn't witness her signature.
18	Q	When you say you didn't witness her signature
19	A	I watched her sign, but I mean
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	Yeah.
22	Q	So do you recall watching Mr. Scheide sign?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Do you recall watching Kirstin Tyler
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	sign? Okay. And were they in the room when you signed
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	as well? Okay. Have you ever spoken with Mr. Scheide
4	after tha	t?
5	Α	No.
6	Q	Okay. Did Mr. Scheide ever contact you about this will?
7	Α	No.
8	Q	Did Mr. Scheide ever contact you about any of the contents of
9	that will?	
10	Α	No.
11	Q	Do you recall any other time that you witnessed Mr. Scheide
12	sign a w	ill?
13	Α	No.
14	Q	Okay. Are you aware that let me scratch that. Do you know
15	if Mr. Sc	heide ever revoked that will?
16		MR. PAYNE: Objection; calls for legal conclusion.
17		THE COURT: Okay. To the extent that it is a term of art, if
18	Ms. Dew	alt understands that term, then it's just her understanding of
19	that term	n. She is not making a legal determination, so that's probably
20	the foun	dation we need to lay is if she does she know that term.
21	Okay.	
22	BY MR.	GEIST:
23	Q	Again, do you recall
24		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, he's got to lay a foundation here. I
25	mean ju	st out of the blue first of all she says she has had no contact

1	with him	already since never called, knows nothing about the contents
2	and now	he wants to ask her other questions about it.
3		THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah. She can answer no. It's just a
4	question	l.
5		All right. I think the first thing we were going to say is does
6	she und	erstand what revoked is and then we'll ask her the actual
7	question	l.
8	BY MR.	GEIST:
9	Q	Do you
10		MR. GEIST: Yeah. Thank you.
11	BY MR.	GEIST:
12	Q	Do you understand what revoking a will means?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	What is your understanding of what revoking a will means?
15	A	It means that this will is no longer in effect and there's a
16	possibili	ty I'm put another one in a new one.
17	Q	That's your understanding
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	of revoking? Do you have any reason to believe do you
20	know of	any reason that would lead you to believe that Mr. Scheide
21	revoked	that will?
22		MR. PAYNE: Objection; calls for speculation.
23		THE COURT: Overruled.
24		THE WITNESS: I can answer?
) <sub>5</sub>		MR GEIST: Vou can

1		THE WITNESS: No.
2	BY MR. 0	GEIST:
3	Q	Okay. Were you asked to sign an affidavit regarding your
4	witnessin	g that will?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And by whom were you asked to sign that affidavit?
7	A	You.
8	Q	And who prepared that affidavit for you to sign?
9	A	I believe your office.
10	Q	Okay. Did you have a chance to review that affidavit before
11	you signe	ed it?
12	A	Yes I did.
13	Q	Was there anything in that affidavit that you disagreed with?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Was there anything in that affidavit that you wanted to
16	change?	
17	A	No.
18	Q	Did you sign that affidavit?
19	A	I did.
20	Q	Okay. Did you I'm sorry, did you review anything prior to
21	signing th	nat affidavit?
22	A	The will.
23	Q	When you
24	A	The signature page of the will.
25	Q	So when you say the will, that will that you are looking at

1		MR. GEIST: Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.
2		THE COURT: Very well. Thank you.
3		Mr. Payne, any questions for
4		MR. PAYNE: Yes.
5		THE COURT: Ms. Dewalt?
6		CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. F	PAYNE:
8	Q	Ms. Dewalt, I think if I understand your testimony correctly,
9	you had i	no contact with Mr. Scheide after the October will was signed,
10	correct?	
11	Α	That's correct.
12	Q	So you weren't around him 24 hours a day?
13	А	That's correct.
14	Q	You never saw him after that point
15	А	No I didn't.
16	Q	never talked to him?
17	А	No I didn't.
18	Q	He never contacted you after that point?
19	Α	No.
20	Q	Okay.
21	Α	He did not.
22	Q	And do you know when he died?
23	Α	No I do not.
24	Q	If I told you he died two years later, would it be safe to say that
25	your testi	mony is that you never talked to him until after or ever again

1	upon his	s death?
2	А	That is correct.
3		MR. PAYNE: Nothing further, Your Honor.
4		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
5		MR. GEIST: Just one follow-up question
6		THE COURT: Sure.
7		MR. GEIST: if I may, Your Honor.
8		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR.	GEIST:
10	Q	Diane, you had testified that to the best of your knowledge you
11	don't kn	ow if the decedent revoked that will.
12	A	I do not.
13		MR. PAYNE: Objection, Your Honor; calls for legal
14	conclusi	ion.
15		THE COURT: Again, to the extent that we're talking about her
16	understanding of the term, she is not making a legal conclusion. Her	
17	understanding of how that term is defined, that's what she's basing her	
18	response on. Just want to make clear to that extent I think the	
19	question	n's fine.
20	BY MR.	GEIST:
21	Q	Okay. Page 2 of that affidavit, page 2 of Exhibit 4. That first
22	paragra	ph, would you read that out loud, please?
23	Α	I further attest that to my knowledge the decedent did not
24	intentior	nally destroy or revoke the last will, dated October 2nd, 2012,
25	and that	to the best of my knowledge this was the decedent's last will

- 1		
1	and testament.	
2	Q	Thank you, and so to the best of your knowledge, do you
3	know if t	he decedent, Mr. Scheide, ever intentionally destroyed his will?
4	Α	I do not.
5		MR. PAYNE: Objection; lack of foundation.
6		THE COURT: Overruled.
7		MR. GEIST: Okay. Thank you. No further questions, Your
8	Honor.	
9		RECROSS EXAMINATION
10	BY MR.	PAYNE:
11	Q	Ms. Dewalt, if Mr. Scheide did a will the very next day,
12	October	3rd, you would have no idea, correct?
13	Α	That's correct.
14	Q	And if he did a new will on October 4th you wouldn't know?
15	Α	I would not.
16	Q	October 5th, October 6th until the time he died, correct?
17	Α	Until the time of his death.
18		MR. PAYNE: Thank you, Your Honor. Nothing further.
19		THE COURT: Okay. Thanks very much.
20		Is Ms. Dewalt excused?
21		MR. GEIST: Yes. She is, Your Honor.
22		THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Dewalt. We appreciate you
23	being he	ere.
24		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
25		THE COURT: All right. Okay. Your next witness then, Mr.

1	Geist.		
2		MR. GEIST: Kathy Longo.	
3		THE COURT: Ms. Longo. It's Kathy Longo is the next	
4	witness.		
5		THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor.	
6		[Pause]	
7		THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.	
8		KATHY LONGO	
9	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as		
10		follows:]	
11		THE CLERK: Please be seated. And if you'll state and spell	
12	your name for the record, please?		
13		THE WITNESS: I didn't hear you.	
14		THE CLERK: If you'll state and spell your name for the	
15	record, p	record, please?	
16		THE WITNESS: Kathy Joan Nichols Longo. It's K-a-t-h-y	
17	J-o-a-n N	l-i-c-h-o-l-s L-o-n-g-o.	
18		THE CLERK: Thank you.	
19		THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. Thanks.	
20		DIRECT EXAMINATION OF KATHY LONGO	
21	BY MR. (	BY MR. GEIST:	
22	Q	Good afternoon, Ms. Longo.	
23	A	Afternoon.	
24	Q	May I call you Kathy?	
25	A	Absolutely.	

1	Q	Thank you. Do you have an understanding of why you're here	
2	today?		
3	Α	Yes.	
4	Q	What is your understanding of why you're here today?	
5	Α	I guess it would be to follow up on the deposition that was	
6	taken by you a few weeks ago.		
7	Q	Okay. Did you receive a subpoena to appear today and give	
8	testimony?		
9	Α	Yes I did.	
10	Q	And was that subpoena issued by our office?	
11	Α	Yes.	
12	Q	And you're here to respond to that subpoena and give	
13	testimony?		
14	А	Correct.	
15	Q	Okay. Can you please tell me where you currently live?	
16	What's y	What's your current address?	
17	А	3956 Pembridge Court, Las Vegas, Nevada 89121.	
18	Q	How long have you lived there?	
19	Α	I've owned the home since December of 2003.	
20	Q	Okay. Where did you live before that?	
21	Α	Prior to I've lived in Las Vegas since 1972 at various	
22	addresses, however I have moved out of state three different times.		
23	Q	Okay. And when did you move back to stay so to speak?	
24	А	April 1st, 2013.	
25	Q	Okay. Did you what is your educational background? Did	

1	you attend a college?			
2	Α	No, I went to Robert Morris Business School in Pittsburgh and		
3	complete	completed an eight month secretarial course.		
4	Q	You received a certificate for that?		
5	Α	Yes.		
6	Q	Okay.		
7	Α	I did.		
8	Q	Are you currently employed?		
9	Α	No, I'm retired.		
10	Q	Okay. Are you familiar with the decedent, Theodore E.		
11	Scheide, Jr.?			
12	Α	Yes.		
13	Q	How are you familiar with him?		
14	Α	I was his stepdaughter.		
15	Q	So he's not your biological father?		
16	Α	Correct. No, he was not.		
17	Q	Okay. Does that mean that he was married to your mother?		
18	Α	Yes.		
19	Q	When did he marry your mother?		
20	Α	December 21st, 1973.		
21	Q	And how long for how long was he married to your mother?		
22	Α	Over 25 years.		
23	Q	How did that marriage terminate?		
24	Α	My at the death of my mother on July 16th, 1999.		
25	Q	Okay. Did you remain in contact with Mr. Scheide after the		

Q When did he move?

A He was supposed to have been out of his rental home on Sunup the end of November and he had me write a letter to his realtor and say that he would be out of the house, the leased home by the end of November. However, November 30th came, he refused to leave the house. He --

Q So why did he have -- do you know, if you know, why did he have to be out of the house that you said?

- A He was moving into a group home --
- Q Okay.

A -- Golden Sunshine Home, and he had given a deposit to the owner of the home and we had made arrangements to move all of his clothing and his boxes, everything in his house that he, you know, did not sell into the house. He had had people come in to sell -- they had a sale at his home and everything was gone except a few things that he was having donated, and at that point there was no place for him to sleep. He had a bed at the Sunshine Home and he refused to go out there.

Q Do you know why he refused to go there?

A He didn't want to give up his independence. He wanted to keep driving. The doctor would not sign his form to renew his drivers license, and I said, Ted, I said how did you get your license and he said oh I fooled them, I signed the doctor's name and took it to DMV. I said you shouldn't do that, you know, he doesn't want you to drive. He had an aneurism that could have burst at any time. He was just -- he had

1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	Continue.	
3	А	And we had a meeting at the group home and I said, Kristin,	
4	you're go	oing to have to find somebody, you know, guardian or	
5	somethir	ng and she said that she would take care of it. And that was the	
6	last time I ever saw him alive.		
7	Q	Was at that meeting with Kristin?	
8	A	At that meeting, yes.	
9	Q	Okay. Describe the circumstances of going to lunch or going	
10	to Kmart or going out in public with Mr. Scheide. How was that?		
11	A	It was very embarrassing for me.	
12	Q	Why was it embarrassing for you?	
13	A	He looked like a homeless person.	
14	Q	What do you mean	
15	A	It was very sad.	
16	Q	What do you mean he looked like a homeless person?	
17	A	He would wear pajamas.	
18	Q	Okay.	
19	A	He would wear some kind of a slip-on shoe because he	
20	couldn't	couldn't put socks on.	
21	Q	Do you know why he couldn't put socks on?	
22	A	His legs were ulcerated from his diabetes.	
23	Q	Okay.	
24	А	He had wounds that wouldn't heal, so he would not put socks	
25	on. Wel	he never wore ever wore socks, period. And this was in the	

1	in public	or being with him in private?	
2	Α	Well in my car I would have to have a shower curtain and a	
3	towel on	the passenger seat because he had no control of his bowels.	
4	He wore	adult diapers. And on one occasion when I was dropping him	
5	off at the group home, he stood right outside the car and just he had		
6	diarrhea and he went everywhere. They had to bring buckets of water		
7	out and the attendants had to help him into the house and get him into		
8	the shower and change his clothes. And I mean it was it was so sad		
9	to see him like that.		
10	Q	Do you recall about when that was?	
11	А	That was in probably November of 2013.	
12	Q	Okay. Did you ever talk to anybody about these experiences	
13	with Mr. Scheide?		
14	А	I talked to the people at well at the group home.	
15	Q	Okay.	
16	А	You know, they were aware of what was going on with him	
17	and it wa	and it was just it was embarrassing, it was pitiful. I felt sorry for him,	
18	but		
19	Q	Did you talk to anybody else besides the people at	
20	А	No.	
21	Q	the group home about it?	
22	A	No.	
23	Q	Okay. Were you ever concerned about Mr. Scheide's physica	
24	safety?		
25	A	Yes.	

1

11

21

1	Q	And what did he
2		MR. MOODY: What year?
3	A	He said to me
4		MR. MOODY: What year?
5		MR. PAYNE: Objection.
6	BY MR. (	GEIST:
7	Q	What year
8		THE COURT: What's the basis of the objection?
9		MR. PAYNE: I'm sorry?
10		THE COURT: What's the basis of the objection?
11		MR. PAYNE: Foundation.
12		THE COURT: Overruled.
13	BY MR. (	GEIST:
14	Q	What year was this meeting again?
15	Α	December of 2013.
16	Q	Okay. What did Mr. Scheide tell you about his will?
17		MR. PAYNE: Objection.
18		THE COURT: Overruled.
19		MR. PAYNE: Hearsay.
20		THE COURT: Mr. Geist.
21		MR. GEIST: There's a specific exception about testamentary
22	creation (	of, revocation of, terms of that are specifically excepted from
23	hearsay.	
24		THE COURT: Overruled.
25		MR. PAYNE: But Your Honor, it's during the time or about the

1	time the	will is executed.
2		MR. GEIST: That's not what the exception
3		THE COURT: That's not the exception but so overruled.
4		MR. GEIST: If we're talking about revocation at any time that
5	can be a	at any time.
6	BY MR.	GEIST:
7	Q	What did Mr. Scheide tell you about his will?
8	А	He told me personally in this meeting with Kristin Tyler that I'm
9	leaving	everything to St. Jude's Hospital in Memphis. That was his wish.
10	I heard	him with my own ears so
11	Q	Okay.
12	А	I know what he said.
13	Q	Did Mr. Scheide ever tell you that he wanted to change that
14	will from something other than that?	
15	А	No.
16		MR. PAYNE: Objection; calls for speculation.
17		THE COURT: Overruled. It was specifically did he ever tell
18	you? A	nd I think your answer's no? Just to be clear, I think that's the
19	way I heard it.	
20		MR. GEIST: That's what I heard.
21		THE COURT: Okay.
22	BY MR.	GEIST:
23	Q	Turning to Theodore E. Scheide, III, do you know who that is?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Who is Theodore E. Scheide, III?

1	III?	
2	Α	No, I do not.
3	Q	Okay. Did he ever talk about Theodore
4	Α	No.
5	Q	E. Scheide, III? Did Theodore E. Scheide, III ever contact
6	you while	e Ted was alive?
7	Α	No.
8	Q	Did he ever contact you ever Mr. Scheide's death?
9	А	No.
10	Q	Okay. Prior to 2013, did Mr. Scheide ever say anything about
11	his son t	o you?
12	Α	No.
13	Q	Did Mr. Scheide ever ask you to contact Theodore E. Scheide,
14	III after 2013?	
15	Α	No.
16	Q	Okay. Did you have you ever spoken with anyone from St.
17	Jude regarding Mr. Scheide or his estate?	
18	Α	No.
19	Q	Did Mr. Scheide ever speak with you about St. Jude Children's
20	Researc	h Hospital?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	When did he speak with you about St. Jude?
23	Α	It was approximately October of 2013.
24	Q	What did he say?
25	Α	He said, Kath, we need to write a check for St. Jude's, I send

1	you say that he tricked his doctors so that he could keep his driver		
2	license?		
3	Α	No.	
4		THE COURT: No, tricked DMV.	
5	А	I didn't say that.	
6	Q	You didn't say that. Okay. Isn't it true that you don't know that	
7	the will th	nat you referenced was either original or a copy?	
8	А	Correct.	
9	Q	Isn't it true that you have no idea whether he changed his will?	
10	А	I have no idea if he changed it or not.	
11	Q	Correct.	
12	A	Correct.	
13	Q	The answer is yes. You're not a lawyer, correct?	
14	A	No.	
15	Q	You don't have any special training	
16	A	No.	
17	Q	legal scholars?	
18	A	No.	
19		MR. PAYNE: Nothing further, Your Honor.	
20		THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.	
21		Any follow up then, Mr. Geist?	
22		MR. GEIST: Nothing further, Your Honor.	
23		THE COURT: Ms. Longo, thank you very much for coming in.	
24		THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
25		THE COURT: Okay. Any more witnesses, Mr. Geist?	

1	MR. GEIST: Yes. Next we'd like to call Susan Hoy.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So we'll let Ms. Longo leave and then
3	we'll get Ms. Hoy.
4	MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, could we take a personal break 10
5	minutes?
6	THE COURT: Sure.
7	[Recess taken at 2:16 p.m.]
8	[Proceedings resumed at 2:32 p.m.]
9	THE COURT: On the record and I think Ms. Hoy is your next
10	witness?
11	MR. GEIST: That's correct, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Got it.
13	[Pause]
14	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.
15	SUSAN HOY
16	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as
17	follows:]
18	THE CLERK: Please be seated and if you'll state your name
19	for the record?
20	THE WITNESS: Susan Hoy.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF SUSAN HOY
23	BY MR. GEIST:
24	Q Good afternoon. How would you prefer that I call refer to
25	you, call you?

1	А	Susie's fine.
2	Q	Susie? Okay, thank you. Susie, where are you currently
3	employe	d?
4	А	Nevada Guardian Services.
5	Q	And how long have you been with Nevada Guardian
6	Services	?
7	Α	Ten years now.
8	Q	What is the business address of Nevada Guardian Services?
9	A	6625 South Valley View, Suite 216, Las Vegas, Nevada
10	89118.	
11	Q	How long have you been there?
12	A	Six to seven years.
13	Q	Okay. What is your position there?
14	Α	I'm the owner manager.
15	Q	And what are your responsibilities as the owner manager?
16	A	I manage the day-to-day operations of the business. I also act
17	as lead g	guardian in cases.
18	Q	Okay. Any other responsibilities?
19	A	There are lots of responsibilities. I, you know, pay the
20	business	bills, anything to do with the operation of the day-to-day
21	business	s.
22	Q	Do you have any professional certifications?
23	A	The guardianship certification, the national guardianship
24	certificat	ion.
25	Q	And when did you first receive that

1	meetings	s?
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	Do you ever get a vacation?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Good. Good. Do you have an understanding why you're here
6	today?	
7	А	I do.
8	Q	Okay. And why are you here?
9	A	I'm here to testify in the probate matter.
10	Q	Okay. The probate matter of
11	A	Oh.
12	Q	Theodore E. Scheide, Jr.?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. Are you familiar with Theodore E. Scheide, Jr.?
15	А	I am familiar with him.
16	Q	How are you familiar with him?
17	A	My agency acted as his guardian prior to his passing.
18	Q	Okay. Do you have any other relationship or connection to
19	Theodore	e E. Scheide, Jr.?
20	A	No, I do not.
21	Q	Do you have any connection to the current probate case?
22	A	I do. I'm currently the administrator.
23	Q	Okay. So you are serving as the administrator
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	of his estate? Okay. When did and if I could just refer to

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And did you produce the file that you were keeping for Mr.
3	Scheide	to our office?
4	A	Yes, I produced it to my attorney.
5	Q	Okay, in response to that request. Did you produce
6	everythin	g in your file that we had requested to us that you kept on Mr.
7	Scheide?	?
8	Α	I copy the entire file.
9	Q	Okay. If you could take a look at the binder, the witness
10	binder	
11		THE COURT: So that'd be binder two
12		MR. GEIST: Two.
13		THE COURT: because is it Exhibit the medical records
14	provided	by Ms. Hoy
15		MR. GEIST: We're actually
16		THE COURT: or is it the documents from the file?
17		MR. GEIST: We're actually going to look at three, volume
18	three and	d the Exhibit 7A.
19		THE COURT: So it's Exhibit 7. Okay, there were two. I didn't
20	know wh	ich one you wanted. Okay.
21		THE WITNESS: Can I just get my glasses?
22		MR. GEIST: Yeah. Absolutely.
23		[Pause]
24	BY MR.	GEIST:
25	Q	And I believe I apologize, but this was a very large file as

1	Α	Do I recognize the marking SCHEIDE0001?
2	Q	Yeah.
3	А	I
4	Q	Was that on the documents that you provided us?
5	А	No.
6	Q	It was not? It was provided to us with that?
7	А	Unless Ms. Boyer's office put that on there, this would not
8	this was	not on my documents.
9	Q	Okay. If I submitted to you that we received the documents
10	with tha	t stamp on there, you would accept that?
11	А	Yes, I'm assuming this came from Ms. Boyer's office.
12	Q	Okay. Thank you. So looking at this file, this 7A and 7B, does
13	that look	k like the documents that you had in Mr. Scheide's file that you
14	had pro	duced subject to our request for production of documents?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Is there anything in there that you can tell is missing or
17	unusual	?
18	А	Well I'd have to go through this page by page
19	Q	And we wouldn't want that.
20	А	Mr. Geist, but I mean this generally resembles what our file
21	is.	
22	Q	Okay. Does it appear that that is a true and accurate copy of
23	the file t	hat you kept on Mr. Scheide at NGS?
24	А	This volume as well, right?
25	Q	That volume as well. Yes.

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And were those medical records incorporate into the file of
3	records	that you kept for Mr. Scheide's guardianship?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	The records that may be in the medical records that may be
6	in the fil	e that was produced, would they include some of those medical
7	records	that you had requested on his behalf?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay, and would they possibly be medical records that were
10	received on his behalf?	
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay.
13		MR. GEIST: So Your Honor, I think the foundation is
14	establis	hed that as the designee, NGS requested and received medical
15	records on his behalf, incorporated those into their file which is their	
16	business record. I move that we admit Exhibit 7, 7A and 7B into	
17	evidenc	e.
18		THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
19		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I mean I've got to laugh. I'm
20	looking	at 7B and 7B 609 through 615 is his Allstate records. These
21	aren't m	edical records. This is correspondence from Mr. Scheide to Tim
22	Hacker	his Allstate agent. Thank you for Allstate Indemnity Company
23	custome	er, we're delighted to have here's your regarding your
24	persona	l umbrella. I mean so this file is not just medical records, Your
25	Honor.	

1

11

21

1	The pag	e is number 116. Well we'll start with 114. Do you recognize
2	that document?	
3	А	I do.
4	Q	What is that?
5	А	This is the petition for appointment of temporary guardian,
6	petition f	or appointment of general guardian.
7	Q	How do you recognize it?
8	А	This is a document that I sign to file with the court to initiate
9	the proc	eedings.
10	Q	Okay. Turning to page 118. There are two signature at the
11	bottom.	The top signature on there, do you recognize that?
12	А	That is my signature.
13	Q	Okay. So you recognize your signature on that. And is that
14	your signature on that petition that was submitted for the guardianship?	
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Okay, turning the page, 119. Do you recognize that
17	document?	
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	Do you recognize your signature on that?
20	Α	Yes I do.
21	Q	Okay. And what is that document?
22	А	This is the verification page.
23	Q	What is a verification page?
24	А	This is just stating that all of the information in the petition is
25	true and	to the best of my knowledge.

1		THE COURT: if it were phrased as that's the she's relying
2	on a medical person	
3	BY MR.	GEIST:
4	Q	Did you rely on anything to put this in the petition? Was there
5	a reaso	n that you put that in the petition?
6	A	I believe that this would correspond to the physician statement
7	that we	
8	Q	Was is a physician statement?
9	A	The physician statement is submitted in support of the
10	guardia	nship.
11	Q	Does every petition then you submit have a physician
12	stateme	nt?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	Did this petition that you submitted have a physician
15	statement?	
16	Α	I believe so, yes.
17	Q	Okay. If you could turn to page 98? Does that I'll wait till
18	you get	there, sorry.
19	A	I'm getting there.
20	Q	Does this document look familiar to you?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	At the top there's a code AFFT and then there's the name of
23	Kim Boy	er. Is was Kim Boyer your attorney at the time of this
24	guardia	nship?
25	A	Yes.

1	needs.	Is that your handwriting that filled that in?	
2	A	No it is not.	
3	Q	Do you know who filled that in?	
4	A	I do not know who filled it in. I would assume that Dr. Arora	
5	completed the form.		
6	Q	Okay, and do you know what that says that was filled in on	
7	those lines?		
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	Can you read that for me?	
10	A	Altered level of consciousness, dementia, chronic bifrontal	
11	strokes		
12	Q	So Susie, is it true that then you relied on this document, the	
13	physician's statement, to prepare the petition and include that paragraph		
14	in the petition for guardianship?		
15		MR. PAYNE: Object, Your Honor. The document speaks for	
16	itself.		
17		THE COURT: Sustained.	
18		THE WITNESS: Yes.	
19	BY MR. GEIST:		
20	Q	Did	
21		THE COURT: No.	
22		THE WITNESS: Oh.	
23		THE COURT: You don't answer that one. Sustained it.	
24	BY MR.	BY MR. GEIST:	
25	Q	Did you rely on this document in preparation of the petition for	

1		THE WITNESS: relying on the information from the	
2	physician.		
3	BY MR. GEIST:		
4	Q	Thank you, ma'am. And based on your knowledge at the	
5	time, was Mr. Scheide suffering from dementia at the time?		
6	A	Based on the information provided to me from the physician.	
7	Q	You stated that he also had diabetes?	
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	Were there any other conditions that you were aware of?	
10	A	Not that I'm able to just recall.	
11	Q	Okay. Were there any other conditions that he told you	
12	about?		
13	Α	Not he would not have told me but no, not that I'm able to	
14	recall.		
15	Q	Okay. Was a temporary guardianship granted over Mr.	
16	Scheide?		
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	Do you know when that was?	
19	A	Can I look at the order?	
20	Q	Sure.	
21	A	February 19th, 2014.	
22	Q	And that was a temporary guardianship, correct?	
23	A	Correct.	
24	Q	What is a temporary guardianship? Do you know?	
25	A	I do know.	

1	Α	It said updated.
2	Q	Did it say anything else that you recall
3	Α	There was a date.
4	Q	Do you recall the
5	А	I believe
6	Q	Do you recall the date?
7	А	October 2nd or October 12th, 2012.
8	Q	Okay. Was there anything else that was
9	А	On the
10	Q	in blue ink?
11	Α	On the side he had written that he was an organ donor.
12	Q	Okay. If you could take a look at Proposed Exhibit 1?
13		MR. GEIST: Your Honor, I
14		THE COURT: Okay.
15		MR. GEIST: know that you got that.
16		THE COURT: So that'll be in
17		MR. GEIST: The very first volume.
18		THE COURT: Volume.
19		MR. GEIST: Yeah, volume one.
20		THE COURT: Got it.
21		MR. GEIST: Sorry to bounce around.
22		THE COURT: No problem.
23		THE WITNESS: Not these binders?
24		THE COURT: No.
25		MR. GEIST: Not those.

1		THE COURT: It's not going to be in either of those.
2		[Pause]
3	BY MR.	GEIST:
4	Q	Go ahead. If you could take a look at Proposed Exhibit 1.
5	And I be	elieve there are 16 pages to it. Does that look familiar to you?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	How does it look familiar to you?
8	A	This looks familiar because it has the writing on the top or a
9	has the	right copy of the writing on the top and the writing on the side.
10	Q	Okay, when you say the writing, you're referring to the writing
11	the bl	ue ink writing that you
12	A	Right.
13	Q	remembered on the copy
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	that you found after his passing?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. Does this copy that you're looking at appear to be a
18	true and	correct copy of that document that you retrieved after his
19	passing	?
20		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, my objection is, is that unless
21	strike th	at. Unless she's been laid a foundation that she is a handwriting
22	expert,	don't think she can testify to the writing organ donor recorded e
23	cetera a	and the updated. To the extent that this and which copy are
24	you talk	ing about? As Your Honor's aware, there's we're of the
25	opinion	there's three copies in play. There's a copy that has hole

1	actual do	actual document she provided to Ms. Boyer, why do we need that? All	
2	we're ge	we're getting from Ms. Hoy is that she found a document in Mr.	
3	Scheide'	s possessions as delivered to her upon his death and this is a	
4	сору		
5		MR. PAYNE: Copy of a copy.	
6		THE COURT: of what she found in that	
7		MR. PAYNE: Copy of a copy.	
8		THE COURT: Yeah. That's all we're saying.	
9		MR. PAYNE: Nothing	
10		THE COURT: Okay.	
11		MR. GEIST: Your Honor, and this is the best evidence that	
12	we have of that copy.		
13		THE COURT: Right. Okay.	
14		MR. GEIST: So again we move for this to be admitted.	
15		THE COURT: It's admitted.	
16		MR. PAYNE: As a copy.	
17		THE COURT: I think we've established that.	
18		MR. GEIST: Okay.	
19		[Petitioner's Exhibit 1 admitted]	
20	BY MR. GEIST:		
21	Q	Sorry Ms. Hoy, is Exhibit 1 the copy of Mr. Scheide's will that	
22	you inclu	ded in your petition for appointment as administrator of his	
23	estate?		
24	Α	Yes.	
25	Q	Was there a different copy of Mr. Scheide's will that you used	

1	Q	No, my fault if I didn't say it, I'm sorry.
2	A	I just got a lot of binders here.
3	Q	We do.
4	A	Okay, 121. Yes, this yes, this looks familiar.
5	Q	Okay. And how does it look familiar to you?
6	Α	This is our warehouse inventory form.
7	Q	And what do you use that for?
8	A	When something comes into the warehouse that's going to be
9	stored fo	r an extended time, possibly extended time.
10	Q	Can you tell me what the date of this particular form is?
11	A	The date is 8/25/14.
12	Q	Okay. And then flipping the page, there's handwriting on this.
13	Do you know who wrote that?	
14	Α	I wouldn't know. I could name who possibly may have written
15	it	
16	Q	We don't need to
17	Α	Okay.
18	Q	We don't need to speculate, but this was this part of that
19	warehou	se inventory form?
20	Α	Yeah, this is the back side.
21	Q	The back side of
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. And what is that that's written on there?
24	Α	You want me to read that?
25	Q	Well, no
	1	

1	A	Oh.
2	Q	just in general.
3	A	Oh, in general this is what is being held in the warehouse.
4	Q	Okay. On behalf of
5	A	On behalf of
6	Q	the protected person?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. Halfway down the list of things that are there, there's a
9	line that says University of PA diploma and other personal documents.	
10	Do you :	see that?
11	A	I do see that.
12	Q	Okay. Did you list the will on this document other than those
13	personal documents?	
14	A	No.
15	Q	Okay.
16		MR. PAYNE: Talking about the copy, right?
17		MR. GEIST: The copy that was found. Yeah. Exhibit 1. I'll
18	refer to it as Exhibit 1.	
19	BY MR.	GEIST:
20	Q	And then down about five more pages (sic) there's another
21	line that	says miscellaneous papers. Do you know that what either of
22	those re	fer to?
23	A	I wouldn't know without going through
24	Q	Okay.
25	А	through.

1	number o	of reasons. We had filed a long-term care claim through his
2	policy an	d so typically they want medical records. We typically will
3	request r	medical records from previous providers to ensure continuity of
4	care. So	they would have been gathered for a number of different
5	reasons.	
6	Q	Okay, so the group of medical records that were separate
7	from you	r file were gathered and collected in the course of the
8	guardian	ship with Mr. Scheide?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And you had requested those on behalf of Mr. Scheide as the
11	guardian	and received those on behalf of Mr. Scheide as the guardian
12	as well?	
13	A	My agency, yes.
14	Q	Okay. Yeah, Nevada Guardian Services.
15	Α	Uh-huh.
16	Q	If you could take a look at Proposed Exhibit 6?
17	A	Which binder?
18	Q	And that's I'm sorry, that is volume two. This will be
19	A	I don't know
20	Q	smaller hopefully.
21	A	I don't have volume two.
22		THE COURT: Oh.
23		THE CLERK: You have them all now.
24		THE WITNESS: Do I have them all now? Okay.
25		MR. GEIST: You have a complete set.

1		THE WITNESS: I have a complete set. About right.		
2	BY MR.	BY MR. GEIST:		
3	Q	Okay, taking a look at this Proposed Exhibit 6, does this look		
4	familiar t	o you?		
5	A	Yes.		
6	Q	How does it look familiar to you?		
7	A	Well this is a MountainView Hospital face sheet.		
8	Q	And that's the first page on this Proposed Exhibit 6. The		
9	whole ex	chibit, those pages, do those look familiar?		
10	A	Well these are his medical records that		
11	Q	Okay.		
12	A	we had.		
13	Q	And are those the medical records that you collected in		
14	conjunction with his guardianship?			
15	Α	They would appear to be. Yes.		
16	Q	Okay.		
17		MR. GEIST: Your Honor, we would move to admit Exhibit 6		
18	for the s	ame purposes that any records previously were used that would		
19	be Exhib	pit 7.		
20		THE COURT: Okay. And so, again, as raised by Ms. Boyer,		
21	there are	e certain concerns that while it might be relevant here and used		
22	here tha	t as an exhibit, it should not be made public and is are we just		
23	talking a	bout and again, we would need to identify if it's just a certain		
24	page or	multiple pages.		

MR. GEIST: On this I would stipulate to the entire exhibit

25

1	because I believe it is all medical records
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. GEIST: for Mr. Scheide.
4	THE COURT: So with the understanding that as the HIPAA
5	authorized recipient, she obtained these records, she kept them in the
6	ordinary course of her business, but with the understanding that due to
7	the confidential nature they'll be sealed after the fact?
8	MR. GEIST: Uh-huh.
9	THE COURT: Okay, so that's is that that's all of
10	MR. GEIST: That's my understanding.
11	THE COURT: Which exhibit?
12	THE WITNESS: Six.
13	MR. GEIST: All of 6.
14	THE COURT: All of so all of 6, okay. So admitted with that
15	limitation that it's to be sealed at a later afterwards. Because it can
16	still be looked at for anybody who has access to the file, it's just it
17	doesn't go out on in the public domain on the internet, et cetera.
18	[Petitioner's Exhibit 6 admitted]
19	MR. GEIST: We're fine with that.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	BY MR. GEIST:
22	Q Taking a look at page 79 in that Exhibit 6, do you know what
23	this document is?
24	MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I'm just going to lay a standing
25	objection here because I think we're now going into the content of these.

1	Q	Yeah.
2	A	I mean he's
3	Q	If you don't, that's fine.
4	A	Okay.
5	Q	About halfway through that paragraph at the end of the far
6	right sid	e it starts his metabolic?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Can you read that sentence for me?
9	A	His metabolic encephalopathy somehow resolved, but patient
10	has had	progressively worsening dementia.
11	Q	Okay. Were there other tests or other things that were done
12	on Mr. S	Scheide during this time when he was in the hospital?
13	A	I would have to review the that particular hospitalization.
14	Q	Okay, but you would have rely you would have you would
15	relied	on this information to continue care plan once he was
16	discharged from the hospital?	
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. Moving on to page 207.
19		MR. MOODY: Your Honor, I apologize. I touched the screen
20	and cau	sed that black line.
21		THE COURT: Okay. Is that right there so the bottom right.
22		MR. MOODY: Thank you.
23		THE COURT: There we go.
24		[Colloquy between counsel]
25		MR. GEIST: Well we'll wrap that up.

1	Scheide,	III? Well first let me start off, do you know who Theodore E.
2	Scheide,	III is?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And who is he?
5	А	The son of Theodore Scheide, Jr.
6	Q	Okay. When was the first time you spoke with him?
7	Α	I spoke with him during the probate administration.
8	Q	Okay. Did he contact you?
9	Α	I believe that we had contacted him.
10	Q	You reached out to him, and why did you contact him?
11	Α	I contacted him to advise him of the probate administration,
12	the proce	eedings.
13	Q	And were you aware of Ms. Scheide, III before that contact?
14	Α	I was aware of him, yes.
15	Q	Okay. But you hadn't contacted him before then?
16	А	No I had not.
17	Q	Okay. Did Mr. Scheide ever talked to anybody well did Mr.
18	Scheide ever talk to you about his son?	
19	А	Not about his son, no.
20	Q	Do you know if Mr. Scheide talked to anybody at NGS about
21	his son?	
22	A	He did at one time mention him at a doctor's appointment
23	Q	Okay.
24	А	to one of the case managers.
25	Q	When you say he mentioned him, what did he say?

1		MR. GEIST: Yeah.
2		THE COURT: Mr. Payne
3		MR. GEIST: Tender the witness.
4		THE COURT: did you want to wait for Ms. Boyer or are you
5	going to	go
6		MR. PAYNE: I think I'll let Ms. Boyer go.
7		THE COURT: Okay.
8		MR. PAYNE: Do you want to are you going to ask her any
9	question	ns?
10		THE COURT: You have any questions for your client?
11		MS. BOYER: No.
12		THE COURT: Okay. Great. Okay. Just wanted to offer that
13	opportu	nity because I did forget the last time, thanks.
14		CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR.	PAYNE:
16	Q	So Ms. Hoy, counsel was asking you about the volume three,
17	the Exhi	bit Number 117 (sic) which was the inventory. Do you recall
18	that?	
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. And he walked you through some of the items that
21	were on	there. Do you agree that one of the items is a shredder?
22	A	I can refer, right?
23	Q	Sure.
24	A	I'm on the right
25	Q	I think it's volume three.

1	A	It's volume three? Must be in the other volume three. Page
2	117?	
3	Q	One one seven Scheide or one two two.
4	A	One two two. One two two.
5	Q	Do you recall testifying to this?
6	A	Yes I do.
7	Q	Okay. And you would agree that one of the items that Mr.
8	Scheide	had in his possession was a shredder?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And in fact I think if I understood your testimony at some point
11	you gave him back his shredder?	
12	A	I actually think that he purchased that during the guardianship.
13	Q	Let's talk a little bit about the guardianship. You had
14	submitte	d a bill in the guardianship with very detailed records of your
15	accounting. Do you recall that?	
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And for purposes of the guardianship, these records were
18	used to	document the time and the events that you were dealing with
19	Mr. Scheide?	
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	During this the guardianship period?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. And so those records would be pretty accurate
24	represer	ntations as to the things that you did with respect to Mr.
25	Scheide	?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	All right.
3		MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I think just because mine is Exhibit
4	A, I'll have the	
5		THE COURT: So use yours as you've got to lay okay.
6		MR. PAYNE: Yeah
7		THE COURT: It's going to
8		THE WITNESS: So am I
9		THE COURT: It's going to be organized a little bit differently.
10		MR. PAYNE: Yeah, exactly
11		THE WITNESS: Okay, so I'm
12		THE COURT: So
13		MR. PAYNE: but it's the same
14		THE WITNESS: switching binders?
15		THE COURT: So yeah.
16		MR. PAYNE: Yeah. And we'll have you turn
17		THE COURT: Well why don't you leave those just because
18	we don't want to be dragging them back and forth	
19		MR. PAYNE: Oh yeah.
20		THE COURT: if we don't need to, because there's so many
21	of them. Okay.	
22	BY MR. PAYNE:	
23	Q	If you would turn to Respondent's Exhibit A, ask you if you'd
24	ever seen that?	
25	A	Yes. The summary of account.