

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TRUDI LEE LYTLE; AND JOHN  
ALLEN LYTLE, AS TRUSTEES OF THE  
LYTLE TRUST,

Appellants,

vs.

SEPTEMBER TRUST, DATED MARCH  
23, 1972; GERRY R. ZOBRIST; JOLIN G.  
ZOBRIST, AS TRUSTEES OF THE  
GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND JOLIN G.  
ZOBRIST FAMILY TRUST; RAYNALDO  
G. SANDOVAL; JULIE MARIE  
SANDOVAL GEGEN, AS TRUSTEES  
OF THE RAYNALDO G. AND EVELYN  
A. SANDOVAL JOINT LIVING TRUST  
AND DEVOLUTION TRUST DATED  
MAY 27, 1992; DENNIS A. GEGEN;  
AND JULIE S. GEGEN, HUSBAND  
AND WIFE, AS JOINT TENANTS,

Respondents.

TRUDI LEE LYTLE; JOHN ALLEN  
LYTLE; AND LYTLE TRUST,

Appellants,

vs.

SEPTEMBER TRUST, DATED MARCH  
23, 1972; GERRY R. ZOBRIST; JOLIN G.  
ZOBRIST, AS TRUSTEES OF THE  
GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND JOLIN G.  
ZOBRIST FAMILY TRUST; RAYNALDO  
G. SANDOVAL; JULIE MARIE  
SANDOVAL GEGEN, AS TRUSTEES  
OF THE RAYNALDO G. AND EVELYN  
A. SANDOVAL JOINT LIVING TRUST  
AND DEVOLUTION TRUST DATED  
MAY 27, 1992; DENNIS A. GEGEN;  
AND JULIE S. GEGEN, HUSBAND  
AND WIFE, AS JOINT TENANTS,

Respondents.

No. 76198

**FILED**

**AUG 13 2019**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 77007

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION

The parties' stipulation extending the time for filing the reply brief is treated and granted as a joint motion for an extension of time. *See* NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from due date established by NRAP 31(a)(1)). Appellants shall have until August 19, 2019, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Gibbs Giden Locher Turner Senet & Wittbrodt LLP/Las Vegas  
Christensen James & Martin