IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national association,

Appellant,

v.

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Respondent.

Supreme Court No. 77010

District Court Case NElectronically Filed Oct 17 2018 02:27 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

AMENDED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR APPELLANT'S DOCKETING STATEMENT

I certify that on October 17, 2018, a copy of the attached Appellant's Docketing Statement was served to the following parties in the manner listed below:

[X] Via the Court's electronic service system:

Diana S. Ebron
Jacqueline Gilbert
Karen L. Hanks
KIM GILBERT EBRON
7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110
Las Vegas, Nevada 89139
Attorneys for Respondents

[X] Via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid:

Charles K. Hauser 2231 Nordica Court Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Settlement Judge

/s/ C. Wells
An Employee of Ballard Spahr LLP

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., Appellant,

vs.

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, Respondent.

No. 77010 Electronically Filed
Oct 12 2018 08:17 a.m.

DOCKETING Elizabeth PATBrown
CIVIL A Pleck ps Supreme Court

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. See <u>KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman</u>, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District Eighth	Department 24
County Clark	Judge Jim Crockett
District Ct. Case No. A-13-692304-C	
2. Attorney filing this docketing statemen	ıt:
Attorney Holly Ann Priest	Telephone (702) 471-7000
Firm Ballard Spahr LLP	
Address 1980 Festival Plaza Dr., Suite 900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135	
Client(s) Appellant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N	<u>.A.</u>
If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add t the names of their clients on an additional sheet accomp filing of this statement.	
3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s	s):
Attorney Diana S. Ebron	Telephone (702) 485-3300
Firm Kim Gilbert Ebron	
Address 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139	
Client(s) Respondent SFR Investments Pool 1	, LLC
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address	
Client(s)	

4. Nature of disposition below (check	all that apply):
\square Judgment after bench trial	☐ Dismissal:
\square Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Lack of jurisdiction
Summary judgment	☐ Failure to state a claim
\square Default judgment	☐ Failure to prosecute
\square Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	☐ Other (specify):
\square Grant/Denial of injunction	☐ Divorce Decree:
\square Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	\square Original \square Modification
☐ Review of agency determination	☐ Other disposition (specify):
5. Does this appeal raise issues conce	erning any of the following?
☐ Child Custody	
□ Venue	
☐ Termination of parental rights	
of all appeals or original proceedings presare related to this appeal: JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Appellant	this court. List the case name and docket number sently or previously pending before this court which v. SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC, Respondent,
Supreme Court Case No. 71337	

7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (*e.g.*, bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition: None.

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

This is a quiet title action arising from a foreclosure sale under NRS Chapter 116. The subject property is located at 3263 Morning Springs Drive, Henderson, Nevada, 89074 (the "Property"). SFR was purportedly the highest bidder at the foreclosure sale. At the time of the sale, Chase was servicing the loan associated with the Property on behalf of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, the owner of the loan and deed of trust. Robert M. Hawkins and Christine V. Hawkins were the record owners of the Property at the time of the sale. Chase and SFR brought claims for declaratory relief and quiet title. SFR moved for summary judgment against Chase and the Court granted SFR's motion. Chase filed its first Notice of Appeal on 2016. A Stipulation to Remand the appeal was filed by Chase and SFR. As a result of the stipulation, on April 13, 2018, SFR and Chase refiled summary judgment motions. The Court granted SFR's motion.

9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):

Did the district court err by holding, at the summary judgment stage, that the HOA foreclosure sale extinguished the deed of trust owned by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") and serviced by Chase?

10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

The following cases raise an issue similar to the issue identified in Question No. 9:

- Citimortgage, Inc. v. SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC, No. 70237
- JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC, No. 70423
- JPMorgan Chase Bank, Nat'l Ass'n v. SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC, No. 76952
- Ferrell Street Trust v. Majed Massis, 76351
- White Lantern, LLC v. PHH Mortgage Corporation, 76299
- Saticoy Bay LLC v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., 73652

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
□ N/A
\square Yes
🗷 No
If not, explain:
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
🗷 An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
\square A substantial issue of first impression
\square An issue of public policy
\square An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
\square A ballot question
If so, explain: Issues identified in Chase's response to Question 9 raise questions under the United States and Nevada Constitutions.

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or
significance:
N/A
14. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last?
Was it a bench or jury trial?

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16. Date of entry of	written judgment or order appealed from Aug 15, 2018
If no written judg seeking appellate	ment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for review:
17. Date written no	tice of entry of judgment or order was served Aug 16, 2018
Was service by:	
\square Delivery	
▼ Mail/electronic	c/fax
18. If the time for fit (NRCP 50(b), 52(b),	lling the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion or 59)
(a) Specify the the date of f	type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and filing.
☐ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
☐ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
\square NRCP 59	Date of filing
	pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the a notice of appeal. <i>See AA Primo Builders v. Washington</i> , 126 Nev, 245)).
(b) Date of entr	ry of written order resolving tolling motion
(c) Date written	n notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served
Was service	by:
\square Delivery	
\square Mail	

19. Date notice of appea	al filed Sep 17, 2018
If more than one part	by has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:
20. Specify statute or ru e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other	le governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal,
NRAP 4(a)(1)	
	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY
21. Specify the statute of the judgment or order at (a)	or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review appealed from:
▼ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	\square NRS 38.205
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	\square NRS 233B.150
□ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	\square NRS 703.376
\square Other (specify)	
The Hawkinses were dism Therefore, the district cour	ority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order: issed from the case in a stipulation and order filed April 23, 2014. rt's August 15, 2018 order entering summary judgment in favor of an appealable final judgment.

(a) Parties:

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. - Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC - Defendant/Counter-Claimaint Robert Hawkins - Counter-Defendant Christine Hawkins - Counter-Defendant

(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, *e.g.*, formally dismissed, not served, or other:

Robert and Christine Hawkins were dismissed in a stipulation and order filed April 23, 2014.

23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.

Chase's operative complaint filed March 9, 2016 includes claims against SFR for declaratory relief, quiet title, and unjust enrichment. These claims were resolved by the August 23, 2016 summary judgment order. SFR's operative counterclaim filed March 20, 2014 includes claims for "declaratory relief/quiet title" and "preliminary and permanent injunction" against Chase and the Hawkinses. SFR's claims against the Hawkinses were resolved by the April 23, 2014 stipulation and order. SFR's claims against Chase were resolved by the August 15, 2018 summary judgment order.

24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?

X	Yes
	No

25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:

(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:	
(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?	t
\square Yes	
\square No	
(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?	
\square Yes	
\square No	
26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):	
27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:	
স The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims স Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)	
a Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, cre	oss
claims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below	w,
even if not at issue on appeal	
ষ্ঠ Any other order challenged on appeal ষ্ঠ Notices of entry for each attached order	

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA Name of appellant			Holly Ann Priest Name of counsel of record		
Oct 11, 2018 Date			/s/ Holly Ann Priest Signature of counsel of record		
Clark Coun State and c	ty, Nevada ounty where signe	ed			
		CERTIFICATE O	F SERVICE		
completed d By p By r add	personally serving mailing it by first (ress(es): (NOTE: I	nt upon all counsel of it upon him/her; or class mail with suffic	eient postage prepaid to the fo esses cannot fit below, please	ollowing	
Diana Jacque Karen Kim G 7625 I Las Ve	S. Ebron eline A. Gilbert L. Hanks ilbert Ebron Dean Martin Drive egas, Nevada 8913	e, Suite 110	,2018		
Dated tills	11011				
			s/ C. Wells Signature		
			ngnature		

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

1 ACOM Abran E. Vigil **CLERK OF THE COURT** 2 Nevada Bar No. 7548 Russell J. Burke 3 Nevada Bar No. 12710 Holly Ann Priest Nevada Bar No. 13226 BALLARD SPAHR LLP 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106-4617 Telephone: (702) 471-7000 6 Facsimile: (702) 471-7070 E-Mail: vigila@ballardspahr.com E-Mail: burker@ballardspahr.com 8 E-Mail: priesth@ballardspahr.com Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. 10 DISTRICT COURT 11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL 100 NORTH CITY PARKWAY, SUITE 1750 12 ASSOCIATION, a national association, CASE NO. A-13-692304-C LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106 Plaintiff, DEPT NO. XXIV BALLARD SPAHR LLP vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company (702)47116 Defendants. 17 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC a 18 Nevada limited liability company, 19 Counter-Claimant, 20 vs. 21 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national association; 22 ROBERT M. HAWKINS, an individual; CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS, an individual; DOES 1-10 and ROE BUSINESS 23 ENTITIES 1 through 10, inclusive, 24 Counter-Defendant/Cross-25 Defendants. 26 27 28

DMWEST #13776321 v2

100 NORTH CITY PARKWAY, SUITE 1750 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106 BALLARD SPAHR LLP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

000 FAX (702) 14

(702) 471 16

AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase"), by and through its counsel of record, hereby complain against Defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC ("SFR") in this Amended Complaint as follows:

I.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Chase is a national banking association headquartered in Ohio and doing business in Clark County.
- Upon information and belief, SFR is a Nevada limited liability company 2. whose principal place of business in Nevada.
- 3. The real property that is the subject matter of this action is situated in Clark County, Nevada.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over SFR because SFR is a Nevada limited liability company and because this lawsuit arises out of and is connected with SFR's purposeful purchase of an interest in real property situated in Clark County, Nevada.
- 5. Venue is proper with this district pursuant to NRS 13.010 because the property at issue in this action is located in Clark County.
- 6. Venue is also proper in this district pursuant to NRS 13.040 because SFR resides in this district.

II.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

The Property and the Deed of Trust

7. This action related to the parties' rights in that certain real property commonly described as 3263 Morning Springs Dr., Henderson, Nevada, 89074; APN

177-24-514-043 (the "Property"). The Property is legally described as:

Lot Fifty (50) in Block Ten (10) of SEASONS AT PEBBLE CANYON, as shown by map thereof on file in Book 53 of Plats, Page 45, in the Office of the County Recorded of Clark County, Nevada.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7000 FAX (702) 14

- 11. On or about June 12, 2006, upon information and belief, the Property was conveyed from Nathan Van Noy to Robert and Christine Hawkins (the "Borrowers").
- 12. On or about June 12, 2006, a Deed of Trust (the "Deed of Trust") securing a loan in the amount of \$240,000 (the "Hawkins Loan") was recorded as Book and Instrument Number 20060612-0003526 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder, showing: the Borrowers as borrowers; GreenPoint Mortgage Funding, Inc. as lender; Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS") as the beneficiary as nominee for Lender and Lender's successor and assigns; and Marin Conveyancing Corp. as trustee.
- 13. On or about June 20, 2006, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") purchased the Hawkins Loan, and thereby acquired ownership of both the note and Deed of Trust. Chase became Freddie Mac's servicer for the Hawkins Loan.
- The relationship between Chase, as the servicer of the Loan, and Freddie Mac, as owner of the Loan, was governed by Freddie Mac's Single Family Seller/Servicer Guide (the "Guide"). The Guide serves as a central governing document for Freddie Mac's relationship with servicers nationwide. See Guide at 1.2(a), www.freddiemac.com/singlefamily/guide.

b. The Guide provides that:

For each Mortgage purchased by Freddie Mac, the Seller and the Servicer agree that Freddie Mac may, at any time and without limitation, require the Seller or the Servicer, at the Seller's or the Servicer's expense, to make such endorsements to and assignments and recordations of any of the Mortgage documents so as to reflect the interests of Freddie Mac.

- Guide at 6.6 (emphasis added), www.freddiemac.com/singlfamily/guide.
 - The Guide also provides that: c.
- The Seller/Servicer is not required to prepare an assignment of the Security

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

000 FAX (702)

Instrument to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac). However, Freddie Mac may, at its sole discretion and at any time, require a Seller/Servicer, at the Seller/Servicer's expense, to prepare execute and/or record assignments of the Security Instrument to Freddie Mac.

Guide at 22.14 (emphasis added), www.freddiemac.com/singlefamily/guide.

- On or about July 1, 2009, the Borrowers defaulted under the Hawkins 14. Loan and Deed of Trust.
- On or about October 27, 2009, an Assignment of Deed of Trust was 15. recorded as Book and Instrument Number 20091027-0000618 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder whereby MERS assigned the Deed of Trust to Chase.

The HOA Foreclosure and SFR's Purported Acquisition of the Property

- Upon information and belief, the Property is subject to a Declaration of 16. Conditions, and Restrictions (the "CC&Rs") for Pebble Canyon Covenants. Homeowners Association ("HOA"). The CC&Rs were recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder on or about November 8, 1991, as Book and Instrument Number 911108-01962.
- Upon information and belief, Nevada Association Services, Inc. ("NAS") 17. is the agent of the HOA and acted as the foreclosure trustee and/or agent, which allegedly mailed and served the foreclosure notices, if any.
- 18. On or about August 3, 2012, a Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien was recorded by NAS as Book and Instrument Number 20120803-0002972 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder. The Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien states that the "[t]otal amount due as of today's date is \$1,333.00. This amount includes late fees, collection fees and interest in the amount of \$982.00."
- 19. On or about September 20, 2012, a Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien was recorded by NAS as Book and Instrument Number 20120920-0001446 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder. The Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7000 FAX (702) 14

(702) 471-16 states in part that the allegedly past due "amount is \$2,126.00 as of September 15, 2012."

- 20. On or about February 7, 2013, NAS recorded a Notice of Foreclosure Sale as Book and Instrument Number 20130207-0000892 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder. The Notice of Sale states that the "[t]otal amount of the unpaid balance of the obligation secured by the property to be sold and reasonable estimated costs, expenses and advances at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale is \$3,142.43."
- 21. On or about March 1, 2013, NAS conducted a foreclosure sale of the Property ("HOA Sale").
- 22. Upon information and belief, SFR bid \$3,700 for the Property at the foreclosure sale.
- 23. Upon information and belief, at the time of the HOA Sale, the fair market value of the Property was approximately \$123,000.
- 24. The amount that SFR paid for the Property was grossly inadequate when compared to the fair market value of the Property at the time of the HOA Sale.
- 24. On or about March 6, 2013, NAS recorded a Foreclosure Deed on the Property as Book and Instrument Number 20130306-0001648 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder.
- 26. After the date of the HOA Sale and recordation of the Foreclosure Deed. Chase continued to advance property preservation payments, including but not limited to payment of taxes and homeowners' insurance.
- 27. Neither the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien, or the Notice of Sale (collectively, the "HOA Assessment Lien and Foreclosure Notices") provided any notice of a right to cure by Plaintiff.
- 28. None of the HOA Assessment Lien and Foreclosure Notices specified what portion, if any, that the HOA claimed constituted a "super-priority."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7000 FAX (702) 14

(702) 471-16

- 29. None of the HOA Assessment Lien and Foreclosure Notices specified whether the HOA was foreclosing on the "super-priority" portion of its lien, if any, or under the sub-priority lien.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Chase did not receive notice of all of the HOA Assessment Lien and Foreclosure Notices prior to the HOA Sale.
 - 31. The HOA Sale deprived Chase of its right to due process.
- 32. The HOA is estopped from claiming that the first Deed of Trust was extinguished by the HOA Sale.
- 33. Under NRS Chapter 116, a lien under NRS 116.3116(1) can only include costs and fees that are specifically enumerated in the statute.
- 34. A homeowners association may only collect as a part of the superpriority lien (a) nuisance abatement charges incurred by the association pursuant to NRS 116.310312 and (b) nine months of common assessments which became due prior to the institution of an action to enforce the lien.
- Upon information and belief, the HOA Assessment Lien and Foreclosure 35. Notices included improper fees and costs in the amount demanded.
- 36. The attorney's fees and costs of collecting on a homeowners association lien cannot be included in the super-priority lien amount.
- 37. Upon information and belief, the HOA Assessment Lien and Foreclosure Notices included fines, interest, late fees, dues, attorney's fees, and costs of collection that are not properly included in a super-priority lien under Nevada law and that are not permissible under NRS 116.3102 et seq.
- 38. Upon information and belief, the unpaid principle balance under the Hawkins Loan and Deed of Trust is at least \$198.136.50.
 - 39. SFR maintains that it has an interest in the Property.

100 NORTH CITY PARKWAY, SUITE 1750 BALLARD SPAHR LLP

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

7000 FAX (702) 14

702) 471-16 III.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief)

- 40. Chase repeats and re-alleges the preceding paragraphs as fully set forth herein and incorporates the same by reference.
- Pursuant to NRS 40.010, this Court has the power and authority to 41. declare Chase's rights and interest in the Property.
- 42. The Deed of Trust is a first secured interest on the Property and is superior to the interest, if any, acquired by SFR.
- 43. SFR claims an interest in the Property adverse to the interest of Chase and Freddie Mac.
- 44. SFR did not comply with NRS Chapter 116, including, but not limited to, providing notice of the HOA Sale to Chase. The HOA Sale is void and should be rescinded on that basis.
- The HOA Sale is void and should be rescinded on the basis that it did 45. not provide due process to Chase.
- 46. SFR's claim of free and clear title to the Property is barred by 12 U.S.C. § 4617(j)(3), which precludes a homeowners association sale from extinguishing Freddie Mac's interest in the Deed of Trust and preempts any state law to the contrary.
- 47. The amount paid by SFR for the Property is grossly inadequate when compared to the fair market value of the Property at the time of the HOA Sale.
- 48. For all the reasons set forth above in the General Allegations, Chase is entitled to a declaration from this Court, pursuant to NRS 40.010, that a first position Deed of Trust encumbered the Property and Chase's interest is superior to the interest held by SFR, if any, and all other parties.

27

28

BALLARD SPAHR LLP 100 NORTH CITY PARKWAY, SUITE 1750 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106

000 FAX (702) 471-7070

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Quiet Title)

- 49. Chase repeats and re-alleges the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein and incorporates the same by reference.
- 50. Pursuant NRS 40.010, this Court has the power and authority to declare Chase's rights and interests in the Property.
- 51. The Deed of Trust is a first secured interest on the Property and is superior to the interest, if any, acquired by SFR.
- 52. SFR claims an interest in the Property that is adverse to the interest of Chase and Freddie Mac.
- 53. SFR did not comply with NRS Chapter 116, including, but not limited to, providing notice of the HOA Sale.
- 54. SFR's claim of free and clear title to the Property is barred by 12 U.S.C. § 4617(j)(3), which precludes a homeowners association sale from extinguishing Freddie Mac's interest in the Deed of Trust and preempts any state law to the contrary.
- 55. For all the reasons set forth above in the General Allegations, Chase is entitled to a declaration from this Court, pursuant NRS 40.010, that a Deed of Trust encumbered the Property and is superior to the interest held by SFR, if any, and all other parties. Chase has furthermore been required to retain counsel and is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unjust enrichment)

- 56. Chase repeats and re-alleges the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein and incorporate the same by reference.
- 57. The HOA Sale unjustly enriched SFR, in that it obtained real property secured by the Deed of Trust with a grossly inadequate purchase price of \$3,700 to

13 14 15000 FAX (102) 471-7000 FAX (103) 471-7000 F LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the detriment of Chase, and contrary to fundamental principles of fairness, justice, and fair dealing.

- 58. If it is determined that the Deed of Trust has been extinguished by the HOA Sale, SFR has been unjustly enriched, in that Chase (as servicer) has continued to expend funds and resources to maintain and preserve the Property, including but not limited to funds for taxes and insurance to the detriment of Chase, and contrary to fundamental principles of fairness, justice, and fair dealing.
- 59. Chase is entitled to recoup the reasonable amount of benefits obtained by SFR based on the theory of unjust enrichment.
- 60. Chase has furthermore been required to retain counsel and is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

IV.

PRAYER

Wherefore, Chase prays for judgment against SFR, as follows:

- For a declaration and determination that the first position Deed of Trust was not extinguished by the HOA sale.
- 2. For a declaration and determination that the HOA sale did not convey the Property free and clear to SFR;
- 3. For a declaration and determination that Chase's interest is superior to the interest of SFR;
- 4. For a preliminary and permanent injunction that SFR, its successors, assigns, and agents are prohibited from conducting any sale, transfer or encumbrance of the Property;
- 5. For a preliminary injunction that SFR, its successors and assigns, be required to pay all taxes, insurance and homeowners association dues during the pendency of this action;
- 6. For a preliminary and permanent injunction that SFR, its successors and assigns, pay all taxes, insurance and homeowners association dues

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106

during	the	pendency	of this	action:
auring	uie	pendency	or ums	action,

- 7. If it is determined that the Deed of Trust has been extinguished by the HOA sale, for special damages in the amount of the fair market value of the Property or the unpaid balance of the Loan and Deed of Trust, at the time of the HOA sale, whichever is greater;
- 8. For all fees and costs of court incurred herein, including post-judgment costs; and
- 9. For any and all further relief deemed appropriate by this Court.

DATED this 8 day of March, 2016.

BALLARD SPAHR LLP

By:

Abran E. Vigil
Nevada Bar No. 7548
Russell J. Burke
Nevada Bar No. 12710
Holly Ann Priest
Nevada Bar No. 13226
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
100 North City Parkway, Suite 1750
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106-4617

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2

Electronically Filed 03/20/2014 01:18:57 PM

1 **AANS** HOWARD C. KIM, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 10386 E-mail: howard@hkimlaw.com 3 DIANA S. CLINE, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 10580 4 E-mail: diana@hkimlaw.com JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. 5 Nevada Bar No. 10593 E-mail: jackie@hkimlaw.com 6 HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 7 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Telephone: (702) 485-3300 8 Facsimile: (702) 485-3301 Attorneys for Defendant/Counter-claimant 9 SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC 10 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 11 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 055 WHITNEY RANCH DRIVE, SUITE 110 12 HENDERSON, NEVADA 89014 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL 13 ASSOCIATION, a national association, Dept. No. XVIII 14 Plaintiff. 15 VS. 16 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a AND CROSS-CLAIM Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 17 through 10; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 10, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a 20 Nevada limited liability company, 21 Counter-Claimant, 22 VS. 23 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL 24 ASSOCIATION, a national association; ROBERT M. HAWKINS, an individual; 25 CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS, an individual; DOES 1 10 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 26 1 through 10 inclusive, 27 Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants. 28

CLERK OF THE COURT Case No. A-13-692304-C AMENDED ANSWER, COUNTERCLAIM

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC ("SFR" or "Defendant"), hereby files an amended answer to JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION's ("Chase") Complaint as follows:

PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

- 1. Answering paragraph 1 of the complaint, SFR admits upon information and belief, that the subject matter of Chase's complaint is real property commonly known as 3263 Morning **Springs Drive, Henderson, NV 89074.** The remaining allegations in paragraph 1 of the complaint call for a legal conclusion, therefore, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, SFR denies the factual allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the complaint.
- 2. SFR is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the factual allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the complaint, and therefore denies said allegations.
 - 3. SFR admits the factual allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the complaint.
- 4. SFR is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the factual allegations contained in paragraphs 4 and 5 of the complaint, and therefore denies said allegations.
 - 5. SFR admits the factual allegations contained in paragraphs 6 and 7 of the complaint.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. SFR is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the factual allegations contained in paragraphs 8, 9, 10 and 11 of the complaint, and therefore denies said allegations.
 - 7. SFR admits the factual allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the complaint.
- 8. SFR is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the factual allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the complaint, and therefore denies said allegations.
 - 9. SFR admits the factual allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the complaint.

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES

055 WHITNEY RANCH DRIVE, SUITE 110

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief)

- 10. SFR repeats and realleges its answers to paragraphs 1 through 14 of the complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 11. SFR admits the factual allegations contained in paragraphs 16 and 17 of the complaint.
- 12. The allegations contained in paragraphs 18, 19 and 20 of the complaint call for a legal conclusion, therefore, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, SFR denies the factual allegations contained in paragraphs 18, 19 and 20 of the complaint.
 - 13. SFR denies the factual allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the complaint.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Quiet Title)

- 14. SFR repeats and realleges its answers to paragraphs 1 through 21 of the complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 15. The allegations contained in paragraphs 23 and 24 of the complaint call for a legal conclusion, therefore, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, SFR denies the factual allegations contained in paragraphs 23 and 24 of the complaint.
 - 16. SFR denies the factual allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the complaint.

<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>

- 1. Chase fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. Chase is not entitled to relief from or against SFR, as Chase has not sustained any loss, injury, or damage that resulted from any act, omission, or breach by SFR.
- 3. The occurrence referred to in the Complaint, and all injuries and damages, if any, resulting therefrom, were caused by the acts or omissions of Chase.
- 4. The occurrence referred to in the Complaint, and all injuries and damages, if any, resulting therefrom, were caused by the acts or omissions of a third party or parties over whom SFR had no control.
 - 5. SFR did not breach any statutory or common law duties allegedly owed to Chase.
 - 6. Chase's claims are barred because SFR complied with applicable statutes and with the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

requirements and regulations of the State of Nevada.

- 7. Chase's causes of action are barred in whole or in part by the applicable statues of limitations or repose, or by the equitable doctrines of laches, waiver, estoppel, and ratification.
 - 8. Chase is not entitled to equitable relief because it has an adequate remedy at law.
- 9. Chase has no standing to enforce the first deed of trust and the underlying promissory note.
- 10. The first deed of trust and other subordinate interests in the Property were extinguished by the Association foreclosure sale held in accordance with NRS Chapter 116.
- 11. Pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry at the time of filing this Answer. Therefore, SFR reserves the right to amend this Answer to assert any affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation warrants.

COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSS-CLAIM FOR QUIET TITLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC ("SFR"), hereby demands quiet title and requests injunctive relief against Counter-Defendant, JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION's ("Chase"), Counter Defendant and ROBERT M. HAWKINS, an individual; CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS, an individual; DOES 1 10 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 10 inclusive, Cross-Defendants as follows:

PARTIES I.

- 1. SFR is a Nevada limited liability company with its principal place of business in Clark County, Nevada and the current title owner of the property commonly known as 3263 Morning Springs Drive, Henderson, NV 89074; Parcel No. 177-24-514-043 (the "Property").
- 2. Upon information and belief, Counter-Defendant JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION ("Chase"), is a national association that may claim an interest in the Property via a 2006 deed of trust originated by GreenPoint Mortgage Funding, Inc.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3. Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendants, ROBERT M. HAWKINS and CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS (the "Hawkinses") as husband and wife, are individuals who are the former homeowners that may claim an interest in the Property.

- 4. Upon information and belief, each of the Cross-Defendants sued herein as DOES I through X, inclusive claim an interest in the Property or are responsible in some manner for the events and action that SFR seeks to enjoin; that when the true names capacities of such defendants become known, SFR will ask leave of this Court to amend this counterclaim to insert the true names, identities and capacities together with proper charges and allegations.
- 5. Upon information and belief, each of the Cross-Defendants sued herein as ROES CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive claim an interest in the Property or are responsible in some manner for the events an happenings herein that SFR seeks to enjoin; that when the true names capacities of such defendants become known, SFR will ask leave of this Court to amend this counterclaim to insert the true names, identities and capacities together with proper charges and allegations.

II. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

SFR Acquired Title to the Property through Foreclosure of an Association Lien with Super **Priority Amounts**

- 6. SFR acquired the Property on March 1, 2013 by successfully bidding on the Property at a publicly-held foreclosure auction in accordance with NRS 116.3116, et. seq. ("Association foreclosure sale"). Since the Association foreclosure sale, SFR has expended additional funds and resources in relation to the Property.
- 7. On or about March 6, 2013, the resulting foreclosure deed was recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument Number 201303060001648 ("Association Foreclosure Deed").
- 8. The Pebble Canyon Homeowners Association ("Association") had a lien pursuant to NRS 116.3116(1) ("Association Lien") that was perfected at the time the Association recorded its declaration of CC&Rs.
 - 9. The foreclosure sale was conducted by Nevada Association Services, Inc. ("NAS"), agent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

for the Association pursuant to the powers conferred by the Nevada Revised Statutes 116,3116, 116.31162-116.31168, the Association's governing documents (CC&R's) and a Notice of Delinquent Assessments, recorded on August 3, 2012 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument Number 201208030002872.

- 10. As recited in the Association Foreclosure Deed, the Association foreclosure sale complied with all requirements of law, including but not limited to, recording and mailing of copies of Notice of Delinquent Assessment and Notice of Default, and the recording, posting and publication of the Notice of Sale.
 - 11. Pursuant to NRS 116.3116(2), the entire Association Lien is prior to all other liens and encumbrances of unit except:
 - (a) Liens and encumbrances recorded before the recordation of the declaration and, in a cooperative, liens and encumbrances which the association creates, assumes or takes subject to;
 - (b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent or, in a cooperative, the first security interest encumbering only the unit's owner's interest and perfected before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent; and
 - (c) Liens for real estate taxes and other governmental assessments or charges against the unit or cooperative.
- 12. NRS 116.3116(2) further provides that a portion of the Association Lien has priority over even a first security interest in the Property:

[the Association Lien] is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of any charges incurred by the association on a unit pursuant to NRS 116.310312 and to the extent of the assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115 which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien[.]

- 13. Pursuant to NRS 116.1104, the provisions of NRS 116.3116(2) granting priority cannot be waived by agreement or contract, including any subordination clause in the CC&Rs.
- 14. According to NRS 116.1108, real property law principles supplement the provisions of NRS 116.
- 15. Upon information and belief, the Association took the necessary action to trigger the super-priority portion of the Association Lien.
 - 16. Upon information and belief, no party still claiming an interest in the Property recorded a

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES

055 WHITNEY RANCH DRIVE, SUITE 110 HENDERSON, NEVADA 89014 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

lien or encumbrance prior to the declaration creating the Association.

- 17. Upon information and belief, SFR's bid on the Property was in excess of the amount necessary to satisfy the costs of sale and the super-priority portion of the Association Lien.
- 18. Upon information and belief, the Association or its agent NAS has distributed or are attempting to distribute the excess funds to lien holders in order of priority pursuant to NRS 116.31164(c).
- 19. Upon information and belief, Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants had actual or constructive notice of the requirement to pay assessments to the Association and of the Association Lien.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants had actual or constructive notice of the Association's foreclosure proceedings.
- 21. Upon information and belief, prior to the Association foreclosure sale, no individual or entity paid the full amount of delinquent assessments described in the Notice of Default.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Counter-Defendant Chase had actual or constructive notice of the super-priority portion of the Association Lien.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Counter-Defendant Chase knew or should have known that its interest in the Property could be extinguished through foreclosure if he failed to cure the super-priority portion of the Association Lien representing 9 months of assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association which would have become due in the absence of acceleration for the relevant time period.
- 24. Upon information and belief, prior to the Association foreclosure sale, no individual or entity paid the super-priority portion of the Association Lien representing 9 months of assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association which would have become due in the absence of acceleration for the relevant time period.
 - 25. SFR learned of the Association foreclosure sale through public notices.
- 26. Multiple bidders attended the public auction, which was held at the same time, day and place that NAS generally conducts such auctions.
 - 27. SFR is a bona fide purchaser.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

28. Pursuant to NRS 116.31166, the foreclosure sale vested title in SFR "without equity or right of redemption," and the Foreclosure Deed is conclusive against the Property's "former owner, his or her heirs and assigns, and all other persons."

Interests, Liens and Encumbrances Extinguished by the Super-Priority Association Lien

- 29. Upon information and belief, the Hawkinses, first obtained title to the Property in June of 2006 through a Grant, Bargain Sale Deed from Nathan VanNoy recorded against the Property in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 200606120003525.
- 30. On or about June 12, 2006, GreenPoint Mortgage Funding, Inc. ("GreenPoint") recorded a deed of trust against the Property in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 200606120003526 ("First Deed of Trust").
- 31. Upon information and belief, the Association was formed and its declaration of CC&Rs was recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder before the First Deed of Trust was recorded.
- 32. Upon information and belief, GreenPoint had actual or constructive notice of the Association Lien and NRS 116.3116 before it funded the loan secured by the First Deed of Trust.
- 33. The First Deed of Trust contains a Planned Unit Development Rider recognizing the applicability of Association's declaration of CC&Rs that were recorded.
- 34. Upon information and belief, on October 26, 2009, Colleen Irby, Officer for Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS") executed an assignment that transferred the beneficial interest in the First Deed of Trust, together with the underlying promissory note to Chase. The assignment was recorded on October 27, 2009 against the Property in Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 200910270000618.
- 35. Upon information and belief, Chase had actual or constructive notice of the Association Lien and NRS 116.3116 before it obtained an interest in the First Deed of Trust.
- 36. On or about October 27, 2009, Chase recorded a document substituting California Reconveyance Company ("CRC") as trustee of the First Deed of Trust.
- 37. On or about October 27, 2009, CRC recorded a notice of default pursuant to the First Deed of Trust for amounts that became due on July 1, 2009 in the Official Records of the Clark

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

County Recorder as Instrument No. 200910270000620.

- 38. On or about, November 27, 2013, Chase filed a Complaint for declaratory relief and quiet title.
- 39. Counter-Defendant Chase's interest in the Property was extinguished by the foreclosure of the Association Lien.
- 40. Cross Defendants, the Hawkinses' interest in the Property was extinguished by the foreclosure of the super priority portion of the Association Lien.

III. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Declaratory Relief/Quiet Title Pursuant to NRS 30.010, et. seq., NRS 40.10 & NRS 116.3116)

- 41. SFR repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-40 as though fully set forth herein and incorporates the same by reference.
- 42. Pursuant to NRS 30.010, et. seq. and NRS 40.10, this Court has the power and authority to declare the SFR's rights and interests in the Property and to resolve the Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants' adverse claims in the Property.
- 43. SFR acquired the Property on March 1, 2013 by successfully bidding on the Property at a publicly-held foreclosure auction in accordance with NRS 116.3116, et. seq. and the resulting Association Foreclosure Deed vesting title in SFR was recorded on March 6, 2013.
- 44. Upon information and belief, Counter Defendant, Chase may claim an interest in the Property via the First Deed of Trust against the Property even after the Association foreclosure sale.
- 45. Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendants, the Hawkinses, may claim an ownership interest in the Property.
- 46. A foreclosure sale conducted pursuant to NRS 116.31162, 116.31163 and 116.31164, like all foreclosure sales, extinguishes the title owner's interest in the Property and all junior liens and encumbrances, including deeds of trust.
- 47. Pursuant to NRS 116.3116(2), the super-priority portion of the Association Lien has priority over the First Deed of Trust.
 - 48. Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants were duly notified of the Association

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

foreclosure sale and failed to act to protect their interests in the Property, if any legitimately existed.

- 49. SFR is entitled to a declaratory judgment from this Court finding that: (1) SFR is the title owner of the Property; (2) the Association Foreclosure Deed is valid and enforceable; (3) the Association foreclosure sale extinguished Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants' ownership and security interests in the Property; and (4) SFR's rights and interest in the Property are superior to any adverse interest claimed by Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants.
 - 50. SFR seeks an order from the Court quieting title to the Property in favor of SFR.

IV. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Preliminary and Permanent Injunction)

- 51. SFR repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-50 as though fully set forth herein and incorporates the same by reference.
- 52. SFR properly acquired title to the Property at the Association foreclosure sale on March 1, 2013.
- 53. Counter-Defendant Chase may claim that it maintained an interest in the Property through the First Deed of Trust which was extinguished by the Association foreclosure sale.
 - 54. Cross-Defendants, the Hawkinses, may claim an ownership interest in the Property.
- 55. A foreclosure sale based on the First Deed of Trust is invalid as Counter-Defendant Chase lost its interest in the Property, if any, at the Association foreclosure sale.
- 56. Any sale or transfer of title to the Property by Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants would be invalid because their interest in the Property, if any, was extinguished by the Association foreclosure sale.
- 57. Any attempt to take or maintain possession of the Property by Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants would be invalid because their interest in the Property, if any, was extinguished by the Association foreclosure sale.
- 58. Any attempt to sell, transfer, encumber or otherwise convey the Property by the Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants would be invalid because their interest in the Property, if any, was extinguished by the Association foreclosure sale.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 59. On the basis of the facts described herein, SFR has a reasonable probability of success on the merits of its claims and has no other adequate remedies at law.
- 60. SFR is entitled to a preliminary injunction and permanent injunction prohibiting Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants from beginning or continuing any eviction proceedings that would affect SFR's possession of the Property.
- 61. SFR is entitled to a preliminary injunction and permanent injunction prohibiting Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants from any sale or transfer that would affect the title to the Property.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

SFR requests judgment against Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants as follows:

- 1. For a declaration and determination that SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC is the rightful owner of title to the Property, and that Counter Defendant and Cross-Defendants be declared to have no right, title or interest in the Property.
- 2. For a preliminary and permanent injunction that Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants are prohibited from initiating or continuing foreclosure proceedings, and from selling or transferring the Property;
 - 3. For an award of attorney's fees and costs of suit; and
- 4. For any further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED March 20th, 2014.

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES

/s/Diana S. Cline
Howard C. Kim, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10386
DIANA S. CLINE, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10580
JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10593
1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110
Henderson, Nevada 89014
Phone: (702) 485-3300

Phone: (702) 485-3300 Fax: (702) 485-3301

Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC

EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 3

Electronically Filed 04/23/2014 03:13:14 PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

SAO HOWARD C. KIM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10386 E-mail: howard@hkimlaw.com DIANA S. CLINE, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 10580 E-mail: diana@hkimlaw.com JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10593 E-mail: jackie@hkimlaw.com HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Telephone: (702) 485-3300 Facsimile: (702) 485-3301 Attorneys for Defendant/Counter-claimant

SFR Investments Pool 1. LLC

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL Case No. A-13-692304-C ASSOCIATION, a national association, Dept. No. XVIII Plaintiff,

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 10; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Counter-Claimant,

VS.

VS.

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national association; ROBERT M. HAWKINS, an individual; CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS, an individual; DOES 1 10 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 10 inclusive,

Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants.

STIPULATION AND ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANTS ROBERT M. HAWKINS AND CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS WITHOUT PREJUDICE

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 1055 WHITNEY RANCH DRIVE, SUITE 110 HENDERSON, NEVADA 89014

(702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

Defendants ROBERT M. HAWKINS and CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS ("Hawkins")

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

stipulate and agree that any ownership interest they may have had in the real property commonly known as 3263 Morning Springs Drive, Henderson, NV 89074; Parcel No. 177-24-514-043 (the "Property") was extinguished on March 1, 2013, by the foreclosure sale conducted by Nevada Association Services, Inc. ("NAS"), agent for Pebble Canyon Homeowners Association. Further, Defendants stipulate and agree that they surrendered any interest in the Property in their Chapter 7 Bankruptcy, Case No. 12-13397-bam, filed on March 23, 2012 in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Nevada, and from which they received a discharge on June 26, 2012, and which case was closed on June 29, 2012.

Defendants Hawkins further stipulate and agree that they will not contest the validity of the foreclosure deed recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument Number 201303060001648, or any subsequent transactions, including SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's ("SFR") ownership interest in the Property.

Based on these representations, SFR and Defendants Hawkins stipulate and agree that Hawkins shall be dismissed from this action, without prejudice, each party to bear its own fees and costs.

DATED this Bassociates To Monet M. Hawkins Cline, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10580 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Phone: (702) 485-3300 (702) 485-3301 Fax: Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC

Robert M. Hawkins 4138 Ridgewood Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

Phone: (702) 524-5821 Email: bobhawkins265@embarqmail.com

Christine V. Hawkins 4138 Ridgewood Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

///

24 25

26

27

1	DATED thisday of
2	TIFFANY & BOSCO F.A.
3	<u></u>
4	Gregory L. Wilde Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4417
5	212 South Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Phone: (702) 258-8200 Fax: (702) 258-8787
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	<u>ORDER</u>
9	IT IS SO ORDERED, that Robert Hawkins and Christine Hawkins are dismissed from case number A692304 Dated this day of, 2014. Dated: 4-21-14
10	Dated this day of, 2014.
11	1-201211
12	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
13	Respectfully Submitted by:
14	HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES
15	Musafoli
16	Howard C. Kim, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10386
17	DIANA S. CLINE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10580
18	JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10593
19	1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, Nevada 89014
20	Phone: (702) 485-3300 Fax: (702) 485-3301
21	Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

EXHIBIT 4

EXHIBIT 4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **NESO** HOWARD C. KIM, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 10386 E-mail: howard@hkimlaw.com 3 DIANA S. CLINE, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 10580 4 E-mail: diana@hkimlaw.com JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. 5 Nevada Bar No. 10593 E-mail: jackie@hkimlaw.com 6 HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 7 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Telephone: (702) 485-3300 8 Facsimile: (702) 485-3301 Attorneys for Defendant/Counter-claimant 9 SFR Investments Pool 1. LLC 10

CLERK OF THE COURT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national association,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 10; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Counter-Claimant,

VS.

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national association; ROBERT M. HAWKINS, an individual; CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS, an individual; DOES 1 10 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 10 inclusive,

Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants.

Case No. A-13-692304-C

Dept. No. XVIII

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 1055 WHITNEY RANCH DRIVE, SUITE 110 HENDERSON, NEVADA 89014

	1	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a STIPULATION AND ORDER DISMISSING
	2	DEFENDANTS ROBERT M. HAWKINS AND CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS WITHOUT
	3	PREJUDICE was entered by this Court on April 23, 2014. A copy of said order is attached
	4	hereto.
	5	DATED April 24, 2014. HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES
	6	
	7	/s/ Diana S. Cline Howard C. Kim, Esq.
	8	Nevada Bar No. 10386 Diana S. Cline, Esq.
	9	Nevada Bar No. 10580 1055 Whitney Ranch Dr., Suite 110
	10	Henderson, Nevada 89014 Phone: (702) 485-3300
	11	Fax: (702) 485-330 Attorneys for Plaintiff
_	12	
(702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301	13	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
	14	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 24 th day of April, 2014, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I
3300 FA	15	served the following parties listed below by depositing via U.S. mail first class a true and
2) 485-3	16	correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER,
)()	17	postage prepaid and addressed to:
	18	Gregory Wilde, Esq.
	19	Tiffany & Bosco P.A. 212 S. Jones Blvd.
	20	Las Vegas, NV 89107 Attorney for JPMorgan Chase Bank
	21	National Association
	22	/s/ Tommie Dooley An employee of Howard Kim & Associates
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

Electronically Filed 04/23/2014 03:13:14 PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

SAO HOWARD C. KIM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10386 E-mail: howard@hkimlaw.com DIANA S. CLINE, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 10580 E-mail: diana@hkimlaw.com JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10593 E-mail: jackie@hkimlaw.com HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Telephone: (702) 485-3300 Facsimile: (702) 485-3301 Attorneys for Defendant/Counter-claimant

SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL Case No. A-13-692304-C ASSOCIATION, a national association, Dept. No. XVIII Plaintiff, VS. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 10; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, Counter-Claimant, VS.

STIPULATION AND ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANTS ROBERT M. HAWKINS AND CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS WITHOUT PREJUDICE

21 22

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES

1055 WHITNEY RANCH DRIVE, SUITE 110 HENDERSON, NEVADA 89014 (702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL 23 ASSOCIATION, a national association; ROBERT M. HAWKINS, an individual; 24 CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS, an individual; DOES 1 10 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 25 1 through 10 inclusive,

26 Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants.

27 28

Defendants ROBERT M. HAWKINS and CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS ("Hawkins")

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

stipulate and agree that any ownership interest they may have had in the real property commonly known as 3263 Morning Springs Drive, Henderson, NV 89074; Parcel No. 177-24-514-043 (the "Property") was extinguished on March 1, 2013, by the foreclosure sale conducted by Nevada Association Services, Inc. ("NAS"), agent for Pebble Canyon Homeowners Association. Further, Defendants stipulate and agree that they surrendered any interest in the Property in their Chapter 7 Bankruptcy, Case No. 12-13397-bam, filed on March 23, 2012 in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Nevada, and from which they received a discharge on June 26, 2012, and which case was closed on June 29, 2012.

Defendants Hawkins further stipulate and agree that they will not contest the validity of the foreclosure deed recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument Number 201303060001648, or any subsequent transactions, including SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's ("SFR") ownership interest in the Property.

Based on these representations, SFR and Defendants Hawkins stipulate and agree that Hawkins shall be dismissed from this action, without prejudice, each party to bear its own fees and costs.

DATED this Cday of April, 2014. DATED this / 8 day of april Diana S. Cline, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10580 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Phone: (702) 485-3300 (702) 485-3301 Fax:

Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC

Robert M. Hawkins 4138 Ridgewood Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 Phone: (702) 524-5821 Email: bobhawkins265@embarqmail.com

Christine V. Hawkins 4138 Ridgewood Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

///

24 25

26

27

1	DATED this, 2014.
2	TIFFANY & BOSCO A.
3	
4	Gregory L. Wilde Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4417
5	212 South Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Phone: (702) 258-8200 Fax: (702) 258-8787
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	<u>ORDER</u>
9	IT IS SO ORDERED, that Robert Hawkins and Christine Hawkins are dismissed from case number A692304 Dated this day of, 2014.
10	Dated this day of, 2014.
11	
12	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
13	Respectfully Submitted by:
14	HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES
15	Tround la
16	Howard C. Kim, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10386
17	DIANA S. CLINE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10580
18	JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10593
19	1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, Nevada 89014
20	Phone: (702) 485-3300 Fax: (702) 485-3301
21	Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

EXHIBIT 5

EXHIBIT 5

8/15/2018 4:59 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 FFCL Abran E. Vigil 2 Nevada Bar No. 7548 Holly Ann Priest 3 Nevada Bar No.13226 BALLARD SPAHR LLP 4 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 471-7000 Facsimile: (702) 471.7070 6 E-Mail: vigila@ballardspahr.com E-Mail: priesth@ballardspahr.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant 8 JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 980 FESTIVAL PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 900 CASE NO. A-13-692304-C JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL 12 ASSOCIATION, a national association, 471-7070 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135 DEPT NO. XXIV 13 BALLARD SPAHR LLP Plaintiff, VS. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company Defendants. 17 18 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC a Nevada limited liability company, 19 20 Counter-Claimant, 21 vs. JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national association; 23 ROBERT M. HAWKINS, an individual; CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS, an individual;) 24 DOES 1-10 and ROE BUSINESS ☐ Voluntary Dismissal Summary Judgment ☐ Involuntary Dismissal ☐ Stipulated Judgment ENTITIES 1 through 10, inclusive, Stipulated Dismissal □ Default Judgment 25 ☐ Motion to Dismiss by Deft(s) ☐ Judgment of Arbitration Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants. 26 27 FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1. LLC 28

121035

Electronically Filed

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This matter came before the Court for hearing on June 5, 2018 on SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's ("SFR") Motion for Summary Judgment and Counter-Motion to Strike, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s ("Chase") Motion for Summary Judgment. Karen L. Hanks, Esq. and Caryn Schiffman, Esq. appeared on behalf of SFR. Sylvia Semper, Esq. appeared on behalf of Chase.

Having reviewed and considered the full briefing and arguments of counsel, for the reasons stated on the record and in the pleadings, and good cause appearing, this Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.¹

FINDINGS OF FACT

- On September 20, 2017, a Notice of Entry of Stipulation Requesting 1. Reconsideration and Certification was filed with the Court.
- As part of that Stipulation, the parties agreed that in light of Nationstar 2. Mortg., LLC v. SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC, ____ Nev. ____, 396 P.3d 754 (Nev. 2017), this Court's earlier grant of summary judgment in favor of SFR, on the issue of whether (1) 12 U.S.C. § 4617(j)(3) preempts NRS Chapter 116; (2) whether Freddie Mac had a valid and enforceable property interest at the time of the Association foreclosure sale; and (3) whether Chase had a servicing agreement with Freddie Mac at the time of the Association foreclosure sale would be vacated.
- The parties further stipulated that all other aspects of the Court's 3. summary judgment ruling in favor of SFR would remain in place, with Chase retaining the right to challenge those other aspects in any future appeal.
- As a result of this stipulation, on April 13, 2018, SFR and Chase filed summary judgment motions on the HERA issue.
- On March 9, 2016, Chase filed its First Amended Complaint. This was 5. the first time Chase alleged Freddie Mac had a property interest in the subject

While Chase submitted this order to memorialize the Court's ruling, Chase does not concede or waive any argument it raised in its filed briefs or during oral argument.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7000 FAX (702) 14

702) 471

property commonly known as 3263 Morning Springs Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89074.

- 6. The Association foreclosure sale took place on March 1, 2013.
- 7. In support of its Motion for Summary Judgment Chase attached a declaration from Dean Meyer, with attached exhibits that were not disclosed during the course of discovery. Chase never disclosed Dean Meyer as a witness during the course of discovery. The documents attached as Ex. 10, 11, 24 and 27 to Chase's Motion were also never disclosed during the course of discovery.
- 9. As a result, SFR filed a counter-motion to strike these documents and the affidavit of Dean Meyer.
- 10. However, the Court adopts the arguments and reasoning in Chase's opposition to SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment at pages 3 through 9 where Chase asserted Freddie Mac's ownership of the note at the time of the Association foreclosure sale, which renders 12 U.S.C. § 4617(j)(3) applicable at the time of the Association foreclosure sale.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Standard

Summary judgment is appropriate "when the pleadings and other A. evidence on file demonstrate that no 'genuine issue as to any material fact [remains] and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law." Wood v. Additionally, "[t]he Safeway, 121 Nev. 724, 729, 121 P.3d 1026, 1029 (2005). purpose of summary judgment 'is to avoid a needless trial when an appropriate showing is made in advance that there is no genuine issue of fact to be tried, and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." McDonald v. D.P. Alexander & Las Vegas Boulevard, LLC, 121 Nev. 812, 815, 123 P.3d 748, 750 (2005) quoting Coray v. Hom, 80 Nev. 39, 40-41, 389 P.2d 76, 77 (1964). Moreover, the non-moving party "must, by affidavit or otherwise, set forth specific facts demonstrating the existence of a genuine issue for trial or have summary judgment entered against

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

[it]." Wood, 121 Nev. at 732, 121 P.3d at 1031. The non-moving party "is not entitled to build a case on the gossamer threads of whimsy, speculation, and conjecture." Id. Rather, the non-moving party must demonstrate specific facts as opposed to general allegations and conclusions. LaMantia v. Redisi, 118 Nev. 27, 29, 38 P.3d 877, 879 (2002); Wayment v. Holmes, 112 Nev. 232, 237, 912 P.2d 816, 819 (1996). Though inferences are to be drawn in favor of the non-moving party, an opponent to summary judgment, must show that it can produce evidence at trial to support its claim or defense. Van Cleave v. Kietz-Mill Minit Mart, 97 Nev. 414, 417, 633 P.2d 1220, 1222 (1981).

Statute of Limitations

- B. Under 12 U.S.C. § 4617(b)(12), any tort actions brought by the FHFA must be brought within three years from the date the claim arose. Here, the Association sale took place on March 1, 2013. As such, any tort claim brought by FHFA under HERA expired on March 1, 2016. Chase did not raise the HERA claim until March 9, 2016. Such claim is time barred.
- Chase argues that 12 U.S.C. § 4617(b)(12) only applies if FHFA is a C. party. Chase, however, claims that because Chase, rather than FHFA is asserting HERA in this case, then the three-year statute of limitations does not apply. The Court rejects this argument.
- D. The problem with this argument is it would mean that a servicer who claims a derivative right to assert the federal foreclosure bar is actually in a superior position immune from the statute of limitations, and that would actually encourage the FHFA to not be a party and litigate its interests because to do so they would be foreclosed by the statute of limitations.
- Alternatively, Chase argues that its amended complaint should relate E. back to its original complaint. The Court rejects this argument. As SFR correctly points out, nothing in the original complaint alleged the federal foreclosure bar or facts and circumstances regarding a claimed federal interest that would put SFR on

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

notice that HERA was at issue in this case. See Wilson v. Fairchild Republic Co., 143 F.3d 733, 738 (2d Cir. 1998) ("The pertinent inquiry, in this respect, is whether the original complaint gave the defendant fair notice of the newly alleged claims." (citing Baldwin County Welcome Center v. Brown, 466 U.S. 147,149 n.3, 104 S. Ct 1723 (1984)). overruled on other grounds by Slayton v. Am. Express Co., 460 F.3d 215, 227–28 (2d Cir. 2006) (adopting de novo standard of review for Rule 15(c)).

Motion to Strike

- G. Chase attached a declaration from Dean Meyer, with attached exhibits that were not disclosed during the course of discovery. The documents attached as Ex. 10, 11, 24 and 27 to Chase's Motion were also never disclosed during the course of discovery. Chase never disclosed Dean Meyer as a witness during the course of discovery.
 - H. The Court grants SFR's Motion to Strike.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Motion for Summary Judgment is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Deed of Trust recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20060612-0003526 was extinguished by the homeowners association foreclosure sale held on behalf of the Association.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Chase, its predecessors in interest and its successors and assigns, have no further right, title, or interest in real property located at 3263 Morning Springs Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89074, and are hereby permanently enjoined from taking any further action to enforce the now extinguished DOT, including but not limited to, clouding title, initiating, continuing to conduct, or taking any other action to foreclosure on, and

from selling, or transferring the Property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that title to real property located at 3263 Morning Springs Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89074, APN 177-24-54-043 is hereby quieted in favor of SFR.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDED, AND DECREED that JUDGMENT be entered in favor of SFR pursuant to this ORDER.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this May of Aug.

, 2018

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Not Approved By:

KIM GILBERT EBRON

KAREN L. HANKS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9578 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 Attorney for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC Approved as to Form Only By:

BALLARD SPAHR LLP

HOLLY PRIEST, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 13226
1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
Attorneys for JPMorgan Chase Bank,
N.A.

EXHIBIT 6

EXHIBIT 6

Electronically Filed 8/16/2018 11:54 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 NEFF Abran E. Vigil Nevada Bar No. 7548 Holly Ann Priest 3 Nevada Bar No. 13226 BALLARD SPAHR LLP 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 471-7000 Facsimile: (702) 471-7070 5 6 E-Mail: vigila@ballardspahr.com E-Mail: priesth@ballardspahr.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant 8 JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL) ASSOCIATION, a national association, CASE NO. A-13-692304-C 1980 FESTIVAL PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 900 12 Plaintiff, DEPT NO. XXIV $^{41.70}_{0.17}$ 13 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135 BALLARD SPAHR LLP VS. 14 (202) 421-2000 EVX (202) 4 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company Defendants. 17 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC a 18 Nevada limited liability company, 19 Counter-Claimant, 20 vs. 21 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national association; ROBERT M. HÁWKINS, an individual; 22 CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS, an individual; 23 DOES 1-10 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 10, inclusive, 24 Counter-Defendant/Cross-25 Defendants. 26 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND 27 JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC 28

DMWEST #14545008 v2 121035

	1	Please take notice that on the 15th day of August, 2018, the Clerk of the Court
	2	entered the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Judgment in Favor of SFR
	3	Investments Pool 1, LLC in the above mentioned case, a copy of which is attached
	4	hereto.
	5	DATED: August 16, 2018.
	6	BALLARD SPAHR LLP
	7	
	8	By: <u>/s/ Holly Ann Priest</u> Abran E. Vigil, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7548
	9	Nevada Bar No. 7548 Holly Ann Priest
	10	Nevada Bar No. 13226 1980 Festival Plaza, Drive, Suite 900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
C	11	
ITE 90	12	Attorneys for JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.
R LLP IVE, SU	OA 8913	
SPAH ZA DR	NEVAI 3AX (702	
BALLARD SPAHR LLP 1980 FESTIVAL PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 900	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135 (702) 471-7000 FAX (702) 471-7070	
BA	LAS V (702) 4.	
1980		
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24 25	
	$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$	
	20	

1980 FESTIVAL PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 900 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135 $^{471-707}_{070}$ 13 BALLARD SPAHR LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 16th day of August 2018, and pursuant to N.R.C.P. 5(b), a true and correct copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF ENTRY FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC** was served via the Court's Odyssey E-File and Serve electronic system on the following parties:

Diana Ebron Jacqueline A. Gilbert Karen L. Hanks KIM GILBERT EBRON 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139-5974

Attorneys for Plaintiff

An employee of BALLARD SPAHR LLP

8/15/2018 4:59 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 FFCL Abran E. Vigil 2 Nevada Bar No. 7548 Holly Ann Priest 3 Nevada Bar No.13226 BALLARD SPAHR LLP 4 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 471-7000 Facsimile: (702) 471.7070 6 E-Mail: vigila@ballardspahr.com E-Mail: priesth@ballardspahr.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant 8 JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 980 FESTIVAL PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 900 CASE NO. A-13-692304-C JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL 12 ASSOCIATION, a national association, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135 471-7070 DEPT NO. XXIV 13 BALLARD SPAHR LLP Plaintiff, VS. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company Defendants. 17 18 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC a Nevada limited liability company, 19 20 Counter-Claimant, 21 vs. JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national association; 23 ROBERT M. HAWKINS, an individual; CHRISTINE V. HAWKINS, an individual;) 24 DOES 1-10 and ROE BUSINESS ☐ Voluntary Dismissal Summary Judgment ☐ Involuntary Dismissal ☐ Stipulated Judgment ENTITIES 1 through 10, inclusive, Stipulated Dismissal □ Default Judgment 25 ☐ Motion to Dismiss by Deft(s) ☐ Judgment of Arbitration Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants. 26 27 FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1. LLC 28

121035

Electronically Filed

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This matter came before the Court for hearing on June 5, 2018 on SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's ("SFR") Motion for Summary Judgment and Counter-Motion to Strike, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s ("Chase") Motion for Summary Judgment. Karen L. Hanks, Esq. and Caryn Schiffman, Esq. appeared on behalf of SFR. Sylvia Semper, Esq. appeared on behalf of Chase.

Having reviewed and considered the full briefing and arguments of counsel, for the reasons stated on the record and in the pleadings, and good cause appearing, this Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.¹

FINDINGS OF FACT

- On September 20, 2017, a Notice of Entry of Stipulation Requesting 1. Reconsideration and Certification was filed with the Court.
- As part of that Stipulation, the parties agreed that in light of Nationstar 2. Mortg., LLC v. SFR Invs. Pool 1, LLC, ____ Nev. ____, 396 P.3d 754 (Nev. 2017), this Court's earlier grant of summary judgment in favor of SFR, on the issue of whether (1) 12 U.S.C. § 4617(j)(3) preempts NRS Chapter 116; (2) whether Freddie Mac had a valid and enforceable property interest at the time of the Association foreclosure sale; and (3) whether Chase had a servicing agreement with Freddie Mac at the time of the Association foreclosure sale would be vacated.
- The parties further stipulated that all other aspects of the Court's 3. summary judgment ruling in favor of SFR would remain in place, with Chase retaining the right to challenge those other aspects in any future appeal.
- As a result of this stipulation, on April 13, 2018, SFR and Chase filed summary judgment motions on the HERA issue.
- On March 9, 2016, Chase filed its First Amended Complaint. This was 5. the first time Chase alleged Freddie Mac had a property interest in the subject

While Chase submitted this order to memorialize the Court's ruling, Chase does not concede or waive any argument it raised in its filed briefs or during oral argument.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7000 FAX (702) 14

702) 471

property commonly known as 3263 Morning Springs Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89074.

- 6. The Association foreclosure sale took place on March 1, 2013.
- 7. In support of its Motion for Summary Judgment Chase attached a declaration from Dean Meyer, with attached exhibits that were not disclosed during the course of discovery. Chase never disclosed Dean Meyer as a witness during the course of discovery. The documents attached as Ex. 10, 11, 24 and 27 to Chase's Motion were also never disclosed during the course of discovery.
- 9. As a result, SFR filed a counter-motion to strike these documents and the affidavit of Dean Meyer.
- 10. However, the Court adopts the arguments and reasoning in Chase's opposition to SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment at pages 3 through 9 where Chase asserted Freddie Mac's ownership of the note at the time of the Association foreclosure sale, which renders 12 U.S.C. § 4617(j)(3) applicable at the time of the Association foreclosure sale.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Standard

Summary judgment is appropriate "when the pleadings and other A. evidence on file demonstrate that no 'genuine issue as to any material fact [remains] and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law." Wood v. Additionally, "[t]he Safeway, 121 Nev. 724, 729, 121 P.3d 1026, 1029 (2005). purpose of summary judgment 'is to avoid a needless trial when an appropriate showing is made in advance that there is no genuine issue of fact to be tried, and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." McDonald v. D.P. Alexander & Las Vegas Boulevard, LLC, 121 Nev. 812, 815, 123 P.3d 748, 750 (2005) quoting Coray v. Hom, 80 Nev. 39, 40-41, 389 P.2d 76, 77 (1964). Moreover, the non-moving party "must, by affidavit or otherwise, set forth specific facts demonstrating the existence of a genuine issue for trial or have summary judgment entered against

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

[it]." Wood, 121 Nev. at 732, 121 P.3d at 1031. The non-moving party "is not entitled to build a case on the gossamer threads of whimsy, speculation, and conjecture." Id. Rather, the non-moving party must demonstrate specific facts as opposed to general allegations and conclusions. LaMantia v. Redisi, 118 Nev. 27, 29, 38 P.3d 877, 879 (2002); Wayment v. Holmes, 112 Nev. 232, 237, 912 P.2d 816, 819 (1996). Though inferences are to be drawn in favor of the non-moving party, an opponent to summary judgment, must show that it can produce evidence at trial to support its claim or defense. Van Cleave v. Kietz-Mill Minit Mart, 97 Nev. 414, 417, 633 P.2d 1220, 1222 (1981).

Statute of Limitations

- B. Under 12 U.S.C. § 4617(b)(12), any tort actions brought by the FHFA must be brought within three years from the date the claim arose. Here, the Association sale took place on March 1, 2013. As such, any tort claim brought by FHFA under HERA expired on March 1, 2016. Chase did not raise the HERA claim until March 9, 2016. Such claim is time barred.
- Chase argues that 12 U.S.C. § 4617(b)(12) only applies if FHFA is a C. party. Chase, however, claims that because Chase, rather than FHFA is asserting HERA in this case, then the three-year statute of limitations does not apply. The Court rejects this argument.
- D. The problem with this argument is it would mean that a servicer who claims a derivative right to assert the federal foreclosure bar is actually in a superior position immune from the statute of limitations, and that would actually encourage the FHFA to not be a party and litigate its interests because to do so they would be foreclosed by the statute of limitations.
- Alternatively, Chase argues that its amended complaint should relate E. back to its original complaint. The Court rejects this argument. As SFR correctly points out, nothing in the original complaint alleged the federal foreclosure bar or facts and circumstances regarding a claimed federal interest that would put SFR on

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

notice that HERA was at issue in this case. See Wilson v. Fairchild Republic Co., 143 F.3d 733, 738 (2d Cir. 1998) ("The pertinent inquiry, in this respect, is whether the original complaint gave the defendant fair notice of the newly alleged claims." (citing Baldwin County Welcome Center v. Brown, 466 U.S. 147,149 n.3, 104 S. Ct 1723 (1984)). overruled on other grounds by Slayton v. Am. Express Co., 460 F.3d 215, 227–28 (2d Cir. 2006) (adopting de novo standard of review for Rule 15(c)).

Motion to Strike

- G. Chase attached a declaration from Dean Meyer, with attached exhibits that were not disclosed during the course of discovery. The documents attached as Ex. 10, 11, 24 and 27 to Chase's Motion were also never disclosed during the course of discovery. Chase never disclosed Dean Meyer as a witness during the course of discovery.
 - H. The Court grants SFR's Motion to Strike.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Motion for Summary Judgment is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Deed of Trust recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20060612-0003526 was extinguished by the homeowners association foreclosure sale held on behalf of the Association.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Chase, its predecessors in interest and its successors and assigns, have no further right, title, or interest in real property located at 3263 Morning Springs Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89074, and are hereby permanently enjoined from taking any further action to enforce the now extinguished DOT, including but not limited to, clouding title, initiating, continuing to conduct, or taking any other action to foreclosure on, and

from selling, or transferring the Property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that title to real property located at 3263 Morning Springs Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89074, APN 177-24-54-043 is hereby quieted in favor of SFR.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDED, AND DECREED that JUDGMENT be entered in favor of SFR pursuant to this ORDER.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this May of Aug.

, 2018

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Not Approved By:

KIM GILBERT EBRON

KAREN L. HANKS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9578 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 Attorney for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC Approved as to Form Only By:

BALLARD SPAHR LLP

HOLLY PRIEST, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 13226
1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
Attorneys for JPMorgan Chase Bank,
N.A.