IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PETER and CHRISTIAN GARDNER, individually and on behalf of minor child 1218 02:17 p.m. GARDNER,

Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Appellants,

v.

R & O CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Respondent.

Appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for County of Clark

JOINT APPENDIX, VOL. I OF III

Donald J. Campbell, Esq. (#1216) J. Colby Williams, Esq. (#5549) Philip R. Erwin, Esq. (#11563) Samuel R. Mirkovich, Esq. (#11662) **CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS** 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 382-5222

Counsel for Appellants

INDEX

	Page
Answer to Complaint	9
Complaint	1
Defendant Craig Huish's Answer to Third Amended Complaint	419
Defendant Henderson Water Park, LLC's Answer to Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and Third-Party Complaint	433
Defendant R&O's Reply in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss	561
Defendant Shane Huish's Answer to Third Amended Complaint	399
Defendant West Coast Water Parks, LLS's and Scott Huish's Answer to Third Amended Complaint	426
Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint And Crossclaim against William Patrick Ray, Jr	408
Defendants Orluff Opheikens, Slade Opheikens, Chet Opheikens, And Tom Welch's Comprehensive Joinder to R&O Construction, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss.	507
Defendants Oluff Opheikens, Slade Opheikens, Chet Opheikens, and Tom Welch's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint.	45
Defendants Orluff Opheikens, Slade Opheikens, Chet Opheikens, And Tom Welch's Response to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Comprehensive Joinder to R&O Construction, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss	570
Motion to Dismiss	450
Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint.	15
Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendant R&O Construction, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss and Motions to Associate.	642
Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion For Leave to File Third Amended Complaint.	371
Order Granting Defendant R&O Construction, Inc.'s Motion To Dismiss and Motions to Associate	638

Amended Complaint	366
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant R&O Construction Company's Motion to Dismiss and the Opheikens Defendants' Joinder Thereto	531
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint	335
Transcript of Hearing on Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint	347
Transcript of Hearing on Motion to Dismiss R&O Construction	598
Third Amended Complaint	379

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS	ATTORNEYS AT LAW	700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101	Phone: 702.382.5222 • Fax: 702.382.0540	www comments land were
---------------------	------------------	---	---	------------------------

		07/20/2013 00:40.10 110
1	COMP CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS	Alm to Chrim
2	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. (1216)	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	djc@cwlawlv.com SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. (11662)	
4	srm@cwlawlv.com PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. (11563)	
5	pre@cwlawlv.com 700 South Seventh Street	
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
7	Telephone: (702) 382-5222 Facsimile: (702) 382-0540	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9	DISTRICT	COURT
10		
11	CLARK COUNT	Y, NEVADA
شوع 12	PETER GARDNER and CHRISTIAN GARDNER, on behalf of minor child, LELAND GARDNER,	Case No.:
smell on the state of the state	Plaintiffs,) Dept. 140
214 ဥပဒ	VS.) <u>COMPLAINT</u>
કૂં 15 કું	,)
<u>ૈ</u> 216	HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK, a Nevada	
17 ×	limited liability company; WEST COAST WATER)
10	PARKS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company;	
18	DOUBLE OTT WATER HOLDINGS, LLC, a Utah limited liability company; DOES I through X,)
19	inclusive; ROE Corporations I through X, inclusive;)
20	and ROE Limited Liability Company I through X,	
21	inclusive,)
22	Defendants.	
		_)
23	Plaintiffs Peter and Christian Gardner, on b	behalf of their minor son, Leland Gardner, and
24	through their undersigned counsel, hereby complain	and allege against Defendants as follows:
25		
26	••••	
27		
28		
	••••	

6

9

10

11

man 12 ms. Campbellandwilliams. Campbellandwilliams. 14 ms. 16 ms

⁵17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

IDENTIFICATION OF THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff Peter Gardner ("Mr. Gardner") is an individual and a Nevada resident. Mr. 1. Gardner is married to Christian Gardner and is the father of Leland Gardner ("Leland"), a minor child.
- 2. Plaintiff Christian Gardner ("Mrs. Gardner") is an individual and a Nevada resident. Mrs. Gardner is married to Mr. Gardner and is Leland's mother.
 - 3. Leland Gardner is six (6) years old and a Nevada resident.
- 4. Defendant Henderson Water Park, LLC dba Cowabunga Bay Water Park is a Nevada limited liability company with its principal place of business in Clark County, Nevada.
- 5. Defendant West Coast Water Parks, LLC is a Nevada limited liability company that manages and/or owns Defendant Henderson Water Park, LLC dba Cowabunga Bay Water Park and regularly conducts business in Clark County, Nevada.
- 6. Defendant Double Ott Water Holdings, LLC is a Utah limited liability company that manages and/or owns Defendant Henderson Water Park, LLC dba Cowabunga Bay Water Park and regularly conducts business in Clark County, Nevada.
- 7. Cowabunga Bay Water Park ("Cowabunga Bay") is a water park located at 900 Galleria Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89011 and is owned and operated by Defendants Henderson Water Park, LLC dba Cowabunga Bay Water Park, West Coast Water Parks, LLC, and Double Ott Water Holdings, LLC (collectively "Defendants").
- The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise of Doe Defendants I through X, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of the defendants designated as a Doe Defendant is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings described herein, including but not limited to the individuals and entities that provide or should have provided lifeguard and safety protection for Leland including but not limited to lifeguards, managers,

11

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

supervisors, contractors, other water park personnel, and the individual owners and operators of Cowabunga Bay. As such, Plaintiffs will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of said defendants as they become identified and known to Plaintiff.

- 9. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants Roe Corporations I through X and Roe Limited Liability Companies I through X, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of the defendants designated as a Roe Corporation or Roe Limited Liability Company is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings described herein, including but not limited to the individuals and entities that provide or should have provided lifeguard and safety protection for Leland including but not limited to swimming pool management companies and employment staffing agencies. As such, Plaintiffs will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of said defendants as they become identified and known to Plaintiff.
- Whenever it is alleged in this Complaint that a Defendant did any act or thing, it is 10. meant that such Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, or representatives did such act or thing and at the time such act or thing was done, it was done with full authorization or ratification of such Defendant or was done in the normal and routine course and scope of business, or with the actual, apparent and/or implied authority of such Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, or representatives. Specifically, Defendants are liable for the actions of its officers, agents, servants, employees, and representatives.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- At all times material to this Complaint, the acts and omissions giving rise to this action 11. occurred in Clark County, Nevada.
- 12. Cowabunga Bay is a twenty-five (25) acre for-profit water park featuring dozens of water slides and attractions. One of its marquee attractions is the Surf-A-Rama Wave Pool ("the Wave

3

8

10

11

18

19

20

21

25

26

27

28

Pool"), which is 35,000 square feet, holds up to 2,619 bathers and produces waves up to four (4) feet high.

- 13. Before opening its doors to the public, Nevada law required Cowabunga Bay to first obtain a permit to operate from the Southern Nevada Health District ("SNHD"). Nevada Revised Statute Chapter 444 governs the operation of public swimming pools and dictates the procedures a water recreation business such as Cowabunga Bay must follow to obtain such a permit.
- In that regard, NRS 444.080 states that it is "unlawful for any person, firm, 14. corporation, institution or municipality to construct or to operate or continue to operate any public swimming pool [] within the State of Nevada without a permit to do so from the health authority." In order to obtain the requisite permit, the operator must submit an application or "lifeguard plan" to the health authority clarifying inter alia "[t]he lifesaving apparatus and measures to insure safety of bathers." Id. The health authority will only approve a permit when it determines that the public swimming pool in question will not constitute a menace to public health. Id.
- On February 19, 2014, Cowabunga Bay applied for its permit and submitted a lifeguard 15. plan to SNHD. In its lifeguard plan, Cowabunga Bay proposed posting only six (6) lifeguards to monitor the Wave Pool. Due to the woefully deficient lifeguard coverage proposed for this banner attraction, SNHD denied Cowabunga Bay's application. In doing so, SNHD specified that seventeen (17) lifeguards were required to safely operate the Wave Pool.
- Thus, in order to obtain its permit, Cowabunga Bay submitted a revised lifeguard plan 16. in line with SNHD's safety requirements for the Wave Pool, i.e., that seventeen (17) lifeguards would be posted to monitor the Wave Pool at all times. Based on Cowabunga Bay's revised lifeguard plan, SNHD granted its request for a permit.
- Cowabunga Bay, however, had no intention of ever providing the lifeguard coverage 17. required by state law and instead knowingly, intentionally and willfully deviated from the prescribed lifeguard plan for its Wave Pool and other attractions. Indeed, despite its public proclamations that

6

7

10

11

*.campbellandwilliams.com

18

19

20

21

25

26

27

28

safety was its "number one priority," Cowabunga Bay habitually operated the Wave Pool with an inadequate amount of lifeguards. In sum, Cowabunga Bay made the necessary representations regarding lifeguard staffing to obtain the required permit and then summarily disregarded those representations in order to operate the Wave Pool with the staffing levels that were previously rejected by SNHD.

- Moreover, Cowabunga Bay did not provide life poles used to rescue a drowning 18. swimmer, failed to post the appropriate safety signage, and did not maintain water quality in clear violation of SNHD requirements.
- 19. During the 2014-2015 school year, Leland was a kindergarten student. After school on May 27, 2015, Leland had a playdate with a classmate that would be hosted by the classmate's father, William Ray ("Mr. Ray"), at a "water park."
- While visiting Cowabunga Bay, Mr. Ray took his son and Leland to the Wave Pool. 20. There, Leland—who was not wearing a life vest—fell off of his inner tube and was submerged at the bottom of the Wave Pool for a lengthy period of time. Leland suffered a non-fatal drowning and, as a result, debilitating injuries that required weeks of hospitalization in the pediatric intensive care unit at St. Rose Hospital-Siena Campus. Since the incident, Leland has required twenty-four (24) hour care for his severe neurological impairments and his devastating injuries will necessitate extensive and ongoing medical treatment and rehabilitative therapy for the rest of his life.
- 21. On May 27, 2015, Cowabunga Bay failed to adequately staff lifeguards at numerous attractions throughout the water park, including the Wave Pool. Further, Cowabunga Bay failed to provide safety signage, life poles, clean water with the appropriate levels of visibility, and otherwise abide by the parameters of its permit. Finally, Cowabunga Bay did not require children of Leland's age and size to wear life vests in the Wave Pool.
- 22. On or around 12:10 p.m. on May 29, 2015, SNHD reported to Cowabunga Bay to investigate Leland's non-fatal drowning. SNHD observed that proper safety signage and lifepoles were

10

11

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

not present. In addition, although Cowabunga Bay was not scheduled to open for another hour, SNHD still noted there were only fourteen (14) lifeguards on duty inside Cowabunga Bay at the time when thirty-five (35) were required by the lifeguard plan.

23. SNHD returned to Cowabunga Bay on June 9, 2015 to conduct an additional investigation while the park was open for business. Despite Cowabunga Bay's May 29, 2015 representations—and its absolute legal obligation to provide adequate staffing of lifeguards—SNHD found only eight (8) lifeguards on duty at the Wave Pool instead of the seventeen (17) required by the lifeguard plan. SNHD likewise found lifeguard staffing violations at other attractions in Cowabunga Bar as well as additional problems with the water quality. SNHD ultimately cited and fined Cowabunga Bay for its inadequate staffing of lifeguards and other violations of the permitting requirements.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence – All Defendants)

- 24. Paragraphs 1 through 23 are hereby specifically incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- Defendants, through their acts and omissions, owed multiple duties to Plaintiffs 25. including but not limited to:
 - The duty to keep Leland safe; a.
- The duty to use reasonable care to protect Leland from known dangers such as b. drowning;
 - The duty to adequately staff lifeguards throughout Cowabunga Bay; c.
- The duty to properly train employees, lifeguards and managers/supervisors to d. protect customers from dangers such as drowning;
- The duty to provide ongoing training to employees, lifeguards and e. managers/supervisors to protect customers from dangers such as drowning;

						8
SI					1	9
A		ő			1	(
		A 891			1]
	×	NEVAL	2.0540	989	1	2
WILLIAMS	TLA	EGAS,	702.38	ams.c	1	3
- -\	ATTORNEYS AT LAW	700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101	Phone: 702.382.5222 • Fax: 702.382.0540	www.campbellandwilliams.com	1 1 1 1	2
. 1	ZE	TREET,	5222	shellar	1	4
;i	TOR	STEEN.	702,382.	v.cam	1	6
BE	AT	H SEVE	Phone: 7	法法法	1	7
T D		Soun				
CAMPBELL		700			1 1	9
Ú					2	(
					2	.]
					2	2
					2	7

25

26

27

28

6

7

C	TC1 1 4 4	• , •	1 1	1 4	1	\sim 1	D
Ť	I he duity to	maintain	clean and	-clear water	within (Cowabunga	Rav:
1.	Ino daty to) IIIaIIIaIII	Cicaii aiia	cicai watei	AA ICIIIII A	comacaliga	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{u_j}$

- g. The duty to use reasonable care in the hiring, supervision, training and retention of its employees; and
- h. The duty to act in a matter that does not violate State of Nevada, City of Las Vegas and Clark County statutes, laws and ordinances.
- 26. Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiffs when they failed to provide adequate lifeguard coverage and otherwise failed to take reasonable steps to protect Leland from drowning.
- 27. In addition, Defendants' violations of the law were criminal in nature and constituted negligence *per se* as Leland's injuries are of the type which the statutes, laws, ordinances, and regulations of the United States, State of Nevada—including but limited to NRS 444.080—Clark County, and/or the Cities of Henderson and Las Vegas were intended to prevent.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and brazen violation of the law, Plaintiffs have been damaged in an amount greater than \$10,000.
- 29. The conduct of the Defendants, and each of them, individually and in concert with one another as herein alleged, was grossly negligent, reckless, willful, intentional, oppressive, fraudulent, malicious, and done in reckless disregard of the safety and rights of Plaintiffs thereby warranting the imposition of punitive damages.
- 30. Plaintiffs have been forced to retain the services of attorneys to prosecute this action and are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

JURY DEMAND

31. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury for all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages in excess of \$10,000.00;
- 2. For punitive damages to be determined by the jury;

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS

3
4
5
5
7
3 4 5 7 8 9
)
)
1
2
3
2 3 4 5
5
5
7
3
)
)
1
2
3
4
5
5

28

- 3. For attorneys fees and costs of suit incurred herein;
- 4. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as allowed by law; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as is appropriate under the circumstances.

DATED this 28th day of July, 2015.

Respectfully submitted, CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS

By /s/ Donald J. Campbell, Esq.

DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. (1216) SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. (11662) PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. (11563) 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 382-5222

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Electronically Filed 08/26/2015 08:56:36 AM

How to Chin **ANSC** 1 THORNDAL ARMSTRONG DELK **CLERK OF THE COURT** 2 BALKENBUSH & EISINGER PAUL F. EISINGER, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 001617 4 PHILIP GOODHART Nevada Bar No. 005332 5 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101-5315 6 Mail To: 7 P.O. Box 2070 Las Vegas, NV 89125-2070 8 Tel: (702) 366-0622 Fax: (702) 366-0327 E-Mail: peisinger@thorndal.com 10 E-Mail: png@thorndal.com Attorneys for Defendants, 11 HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK, 12 WEST COAST WATER PARKS, LLC and 13 DOUBLE OTT WATER HOLDINGS, LLC 14 **DISTRICT COURT** 15 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 16 17 PETER GARDNER and CHRISTIAN GARDNER, on behalf of minor child, LELAND GARDNER, CASE NO. A-15-722259-C 18 DEPT. NO. XXX Plaintiffs, 19 VS. **ANSWER TO COMPLAINT** 20 HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK, a Nevada 21 limited liability company; WEST COAST WATER PARKS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; 22 DOUBLE OTT WATER HOLDINGS, LLC, a Utah limited liability company; DOES I through X, 23 inclusive; ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, and ROE Limited Liability Company I through X, 24 inclusive, 25 Defendants. 26 27 28

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

Defendants, HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK, WEST COAST WATER PARKS, LLC and DOUBLE OTT WATER HOLDINGS, LLC, by and through their counsel of record, Paul F. Eisinger, Esq. and Philip Goodhart, Esq., of the law firm of THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER, do herein answer the Plaintiffs' Complaint on file and admit, deny and allege as follows:

I. <u>IDENTIFICATION OF THE PARTIES</u>

- 1. These answering Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 9 of the Complaint and therefore deny same.
- These answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 8 and
 of the Complaint.
- 3. These answering Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.

II. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 4. These answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 11, 17,18 and 21 of the Complaint.
- 5. These answering Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs 12, 19 and 20 of the Complaint and therefore deny same.
- 6. These answering Defendants object to paragraphs 13, 14, 15, 16, 22 and 23 of the Complaint on the grounds that said paragraphs call for several legal conclusions. Without waiving said objections, and in a good faith effort to respond, these answering Defendants deny the allegations set forth paragraphs 13, 14, 15, 16, 22 and 23 of the Complaint.

III. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence-All Defendants)

7. Answering paragraph 24 of the Complaint, these Defendants reaffirm and incorporate by reference each and every response to the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs 1 through 23 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 8. These answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 of the Complaint.
- 9. These answering Defendants object to paragraph 25 of the Complaint on the grounds that said paragraph calls for several legal conclusions. Without waiving said objections, and in a good faith effort to respond, these answering Defendants deny the allegations set forth paragraph 25 of the Complaint.

IV. JURY DEMAND

10. These answering Defendants state that Paragraph 31 of the Complaint is the Plaintiffs' Jury Demand and therefore no formal response is required.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendants allege that the allegations contained in the Complaint fail to state a claim against them upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendants state that the Plaintiffs' alleged injuries and damages, if any, were caused in whole or in part by the negligence of the Plaintiffs.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendants state that the Plaintiffs' alleged injuries and damages, if any, were caused in whole or in part by the negligence of a third party or parties.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs have failed to mitigate their damages, if any.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs have failed to name a necessary party.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Assuming arguendo that liability is found, these answering Defendants would not be jointly liable and would be only severally liable (these answering Defendants deny any negligence) for that portion of Plaintiffs' claim that represents the percentage of negligence attributable to them.

∠6

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Plaintiffs alleged injuries or damages, if any, were caused by the acts of unknown third persons who were not agents, servants or employees of these answering Defendants, and who were not acting on behalf of these answering Defendants in any manner or form and, as such, these answering Defendants are not liable in any manner to the Plaintiffs.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendants state that an independent intervening force or circumstance caused the incident alleged in the Plaintiffs' Complaint.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The damages or injuries, if any, alleged by Plaintiffs in the Complaint, came as a result of a superseding cause and/or intervening acts of others which bars this suit.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

There is no evidence of oppression, fraud or malice to support an award of punitive damages against these answering Defendants.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

These answering Defendants did not act with a wanton, willful or otherwise conscious disregard of Plaintiffs and, therefore, there can be no factual or legal basis for punitive damages.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

All possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of the Defendants' Answer to the Complaint, and, therefore, these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend their Answer to the Complaint to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants.

. . .

. . .

• • •

 $\|\cdot\|$

WHEREFORE, Defendants, HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba
COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK, WEST COAST WATER PARKS, LLC and DOUBLE
OTT WATER HOLDINGS, LLC, pray that Plaintiffs takes nothing by way of their Complaint
on file herein, and that the same be dismissed with prejudice, and that these answering
Defendants be awarded their fees and costs incurred herein.

DATED this 26th day of August, 2015.

THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER

/s/ Paul F. Eisinger

PAUL F. EISINGER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 001617
PHILIP GOODHART
Nevada Bar No. 005332
1100 East Bridger Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89101-5315
Attorneys for Defendants,
HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba
COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK,
WEST COAST WATER PARKS, LLC and
DOUBLE OTT WATER HOLDINGS, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 5(b)(D), I hereby certify that on the 26th day of August, 2015, service of the foregoing **ANSWER TO COMPLAINT** was made upon the following parties via electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's Odyssey E-File and Service System:

Donald J. Campbell, Esq.
Samuel R. Mirkovich, Esq.
Philip R. Erwin, Esq. Esq.
CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS
700 South Seventh Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
PETER GARDNER and CHRISTIAN
GARDNER on behalf of minor child,
LELAND GARDNER

/s/ Terry Kelly-Lamb

An Employee of Thorndal, Armstrong, Delk, Balkenbush & Eisinger

www.campbellandwilliams.com

www.campbellandwilliams.com

Plaintiffs, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby submit the following Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint. This Motion is made and based upon the papers and pleadings on file herein, the exhibits attached hereto, and the Points and Authorities that follow.

NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: ALL PARTIES and

TO: THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on **07-25-18** at the hour of **9:00** A.M., or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, Plaintiffs will bring the foregoing motion on for hearing before the above-entitled Court at the Regional Justice Center, 200 Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89155.

DATED this 18th day of June, 2018.

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS

By /s/ Donald J. Campbell

DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. (1216)

SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. (11662)

PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. (11563)

700 South Seventh Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Tel. (702) 382-5222

Fax. (702) 382-0540

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS ATTORNEYS AT LAW 700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

www.campbellandwilliams.com

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

The Court is familiar with the general background of this litigation that arises out of the non-fatal drowning suffered by Leland Gardner in the Wave Pool at Cowabunga Bay on May 27, 2015. Plaintiffs initially filed suit on July 28, 2015 against Henderson Water Park, LLC dba Cowabunga Bay Water Park ("HWP"), Double Ott Water Holdings, LLC and West Coast Water Parks, LLC for negligence. Specifically, Plaintiffs alleged that Defendants intentionally violated Nevada law by only assigning three lifeguards to the Wave Pool on the date of the incident when seventeen lifeguards were required pursuant to the lifeguard plan approved by the Southern Nevada Health District.

Plaintiffs subsequently learned through discovery that HWP was operated by a Management Committee comprised of seven (7) members who were vested with absolute managerial control over Cowabunga Bay.² As such, Plaintiffs sought leave to amend to bring direct claims for negligence against the Individual Defendants related to their direction, authorization and participation in the tortious conduct that caused Leland Gardner's severe injuries. Plaintiffs likewise sought leave to assert claims under the alter ago doctrine against HWP, Double Ott Water Holdings, LLC, and West Coast Water Parks, LLC in order to pierce the corporate veil to reach the assets of the Individual Defendants. The Court denied Plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend, and Plaintiffs filed a petition for writ of mandamus in the Nevada Supreme Court. On November 22, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court granted Plaintiffs' petition for writ of mandamus and confirmed that Plaintiffs were entitled to bring direct claims for negligence against the Individual Defendants and a veil piercing theory

¹ The Court granted summary judgment on Plaintiffs' direct claims for negligence against Double Ott Water Holdings, LLC and West Coast Water Parks, LLC on October 10, 2016.

² At all relevant times, the Management Committee members were Orluff Opheikens, Slade Opheikens, Chet Opheikens, Tom Welch, Shane Huish, Scott Huish and Craig Huish (collectively referred to as the "Individual Defendants").

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS ATTORNEYS AT LAW 700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

www.campbellandwilliams.com

against the limited liability companies under the alter ego doctrine. *See Gardner on Behalf of L.G.* v. *Eighth Judicial District Court*, 405 P.3d 651 (Nev. Nov. 22, 2017).

On December 18, 2017, Plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint and immediately began pursuing discovery related to their claims against the Individual Defendants. Specifically, Plaintiffs obtained extensive documentation that was previously undisclosed by HWP and conducted the depositions of the Orluff Opheikens, Slade Opheikens, Tom Welch and Scott Huish. This discovery conclusively demonstrated that Orluff Opheikens obtained an ownership stake and managerial control of Cowabunga Bay to protect his primary business, R&O Construction Company ("R&O"), from the devastating financial losses and severe reputational harm that resulted from the lack of financing for the construction of Cowabunga Bay.³ In order to facilitate this plan, R&O loaned Orluff Opheikens millions of dollars to capitalize the Cowabunga Bay project with the understanding that those funds would be used to pay R&O's significant unpaid construction costs and subcontractor fees. R&O, along with Orluff Opheikens, likewise signed as a borrower and guarantor on a \$12.2 million loan from Bank of Utah that was used to complete the construction of Cowabunga Bay and fund its operations, the profits from which would flow back to R&O in the form of loan repayments.

At all relevant times, Orluff Opheikens governed and influenced his alter ego, R&O, and acted with a unity of interest and ownership towards the common purpose of making R&O whole and extricating the company from the "nightmare" situation created by the failed construction of Cowabunga Bay. Because this arrangement blurred the corporate fiction and allowed R&O to reap the benefits of a fully constructed and operational Cowabunga Bay while attempting to avoid the liability caused by the negligent mismanagement of Orluff Opheikens and his fellow Management Committee members, Plaintiffs seek leave to amend their complaint to pursue a reverse piercing theory

³ R&O was hired as the general contractor for the construction of Cowabunga Bay. Within months of breaking ground, R&O was forced to halt construction of Cowabunga Bay due to millions of dollars in unpaid construction costs that left its subcontractors on the verge of bankruptcy.

against Orluff Opheikens and R&O.⁴ A copy of Plaintiffs' proposed Third Amended Complaint that fully details the allegations supporting their reverse piercing theory is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

Π. **ARGUMENT**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"[A] party may amend its pleading only with...the court's leave" and "[t]he court should freely give leave when justice so requires." Nev. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2); see Gardner, 405 P.3d at 654 ("NRCP 15(a) provides that leave to amend a complaint should be freely given when justice so requires."). "The liberality embodied in NRCP 15(a) requires courts to err on the side of caution and permit amendments that appear arguable or even borderline, because denial of a proposed amendment amounts to denial of the opportunity to explore any potential merit it might have had." *Id.* (citing *Nutton v. Sunset Station, Inc.*, 131 Nev.—,—, 357 P.3d 966, 975 (Ct. App. 2015)).

"Sufficient reasons to deny a motion to amend a pleading include undue delay, bad faith, or dilatory motives on the part of the movant." *Kantor v. Kantor*, 116 Nev. 886, 891, 8 P.3d 825, 828 (2000). A proposed amendment may also be denied as "futile if the plaintiff seeks to amend the complaint in order to plead an impermissible claim." Halcrow, Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court., 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 42, 302 P.3d 1148, 1152 (2013). Nevertheless, the policy for leave to amend should be "applied with extreme liberality." Lay v. Treesource Industries, Inc., 143 Fed. Appx. 786, 789 (9th Cir. 2005) (citing *Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc.*, 316 F.3d 1048, 1051 (9th Cir. 2003)).⁵ Plaintiffs clearly satisfy this lenient standard here.

Plaintiffs filed the instant Motion prior to the deadline to amend pleadings and add parties of November 8, 2018. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' request for leave to amend is timely and Defendants

⁴ Plaintiffs also seek leave to conform certain allegations in their Complaint to the facts developed in discovery.

⁵ "Federal cases interpreting the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are strong persuasive authority, because the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure are based in large part upon their federal counterparts." See Executive Mgmt. v. Ticor Title Ins. Co., 188 Nev. 46, 53, 38 P.3d 872, 876 (2002).

CAMPBELL ATTORNEYS 700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET, I

www.campbellandwilliams.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

cannot claim undue delay. In that regard, there is no evidence of bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of Plaintiffs as the request for leave to amend simply seeks to name a new party to this litigation under a recognized legal theory and conform the factual allegations in the Complaint to the evidence that was recently developed in discovery. Indeed, this is not a situation where Plaintiffs seek leave to amend in order to surprise Defendants with new and distinct claims at a late stage in discovery. Defendants also cannot claim they will suffer prejudice as a result of Plaintiffs' proposal to add R&O as a defendant.

As they have in the past, Defendants may attempt to claim that Plaintiffs' reverse piercing theory in unsupported by law. But unlike the issues addressed by the Nevada Supreme Court in connection with Plaintiffs' petition for writ of mandamus, Nevada has long recognized that reverse piercing under the alter ego doctrine is a viable legal theory. "While the classic alter ego situation involves a creditor reaching the personal assets of a controlling individual to satisfy a corporation's debt, the 'reverse' piercing situation involves a creditor reaching the assets of a corporation to satisfy the debt of a corporate insider based on a showing that the corporatre entity is really the alter ego of the individual." *LFC Mktg. Grp., Inc. v. Loomis*, 116 Nev. 896, 903, 8 P.3d 841, 845-46 (2000). In Loomis, the Nevada Supreme Court explained that "reverse piercing is not inconsistent with traditional piercing in its goal of preventing abuse of the corporate form[;]" holding that "reverse piercing is appropriate in those limited instances where the particular facts and equities show the existence of an alter ego relationship and require that the corporate fiction be ignored so that justice may be promoted." *Id.* at 904, 9 P.3d at 846.⁶

Nevada law governs this action, but it is worth noting that Utah—the state in which R&O is domiciled—also recognizes reverse piercing as a viable legal theory. See M.J. v. Wisan, 371 P.3d 21, 36 (Utah 2016) ("[W]e conclude that our law should allow reverse piercing of the corporate veil.").

www.campbellandwilliams.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

To plead a viable reverse piercing theory, Plaintiffs must simply allege facts sufficient to demonstrate the basic elements for finding alter ego under Nevada law. *Id.* More specifically, Plaintiffs must allege that (1) the corporation was influenced and governed by the person asserted to be the alter ego; (2) there was such unity of interest and ownership that one is inseparable from the other; and (3) the facts are such that adherence to the corporate fiction of a separate entity would, under the circumstances, sanction a fraud or promote injustice." *Id.* at 904, 8 P.3d at 846-47. The following factors, though not conclusive, may also indicate the existence of an alter ego relationship: (1) commingling of funds; (2) undercapitalization; (3) unauthorized diversion of funds (4) treatment of the corporate assets as the individual's own; and (5) failure to observe corporate formalities. *Id.* at 904, 8 P.3d at 847.

To be clear, "there is no litmus test for determining when the corporate fiction should be disregarded [as] the result depends on the circumstances of each case." Id. (finding alter ego even though controlling individual did not own a single share of corporate stock); see also Soule v. High Rock Holdings, LLC, 514 B.R. 626, 636 (D. Nev. 2014) ("This Court's view is consistent with that of the Nevada Supreme Court, which has shown its willingness to apply the doctrine to a broad range of factual scenarios and not adopt a litmus test."). For that reason, it is well settled that the determination of whether the alter ego doctrine applies is a question of fact reserved for the jury. See, e.g., Runvee, Inc. v. United States, 2013 WL 1249602, at *16 (D. Nev. Mar. 26, 2013) ("Whether Plaintiff is the alter ego of Runvee Holdings is essentially a fact question."); Oost-Lievense v. N. Am. Consortium, P.C., 969 F.Supp. 874 (S.D.N.Y. 1997) ("[A]ny claim of veil piercing based on an alter ego theory must be left for the jury. Resolution of the alter ego issue is heavily fact-specific."); Rd. Sprinkley Fitters Local Union No. 669, U.A., AFL-CIO v. Tristar Fire Protection, Inc., 2014 WL 707245, at *8 (E.D. Mich. Feb. 24, 2014) ("[T]he Court cannot grant any of the parties' motions for summary judgment with regards to the alter ego question; such an issue is a question of fact for the jury to decide.").

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS ATTORNEYS AT LAW 700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

www.campbellandwilliams.com

Here, Plaintiffs pleaded their reverse piercing claim against Orluff and R&O with particularity and clearly alleged the required elements to establish alter ego liability under Nevada law. Moreover, Nevada precedent dictates that there is no litmus test for alter ego liability as the issue of veil piercing is highly fact-specific and reserved for the jury. Accordingly, Defendants cannot seriously claim that Plaintiffs' reverse piercing theory is futile or otherwise subject to dismissal especially where, as here, the evidence developed in discovery plainly supports such a claim. The Court should grant Plaintiffs leave to file their proposed Third Amended Complaint, which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant their Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint in its entirety..

DATED this 18th day of June, 2018.

CAMPBELL AND WILLIAMS

By /s/ **Donald J. Campbell**

Donald J. Campbell, Esq. (1216) Samuel R. Mirkovich, Esq. (11662) Philip R. Erwin, Esq. (11563) 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS ATTORNEYS AT LAW 700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

www.campbellandwilliams.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Campbell & Williams, and that on this 18thth day of June, 2018 I caused the foregoing document entitled **Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint** to be served upon those persons designated by the parties in the E-Service Master List for the above-referenced matter in the Eighth Judicial District Court eFiling System in accordance with the mandatory electronic service requirements of Administrative Order 14-2 and the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion Rules.

/s/ Lucinda Martinez

An Employee of Campbell & Williams

EXHIBIT 1

1	CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. (1216)	
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	djc@cwlawlv.com SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. (11662)	
4	srm@cwlawlv.com PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. (11563)	
5	pre@cwlawlv.com 700 South Seventh Street	
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
6 7	Telephone: (702) 382-5222 Facsimile: (702) 382-0540	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9	DISTRICT CO	OURT
10	CLARK COUNTY	, NEVADA
11	PETER GARDNER and CHRISTIAN GARDNER,)	
12	individually and on behalf of minor child, LELAND) GARDNER,	Case No.: A-15-722259
13) Plaintiffs,)	Dept. No.: XXX
14		THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT
15	VS.)	
16	HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba) COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK, a Nevada)	
17	limited liability company; WEST COAST WATER) PARKS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company;)	
18	DOUBLE OTT WATER HOLDINGS, LLC, a Utah)	
19	limited liability company; ORLUFF OPHEIKENS,) an individual; SLADE OPHEIKENS, an individual;)	
20	CHET OPHEIKENS, an individual; SHANE) HUISH, an individual; SCOTT HUISH, an)	
21	individual; CRAIG HUISH, an individual; TOM	
22	WELCH, an individual; R&O CONSTRUCTION) COMPANY, a Utah corporation; DOES I through X,)	
23	inclusive; ROE Corporations I through X, inclusive;) and ROE Limited Liability Company I through X,	
24	inclusive,	
25	Defendants.	
26)	
27	AND ALL RELATED CLAIMS)	
28		

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 monor sumbelland williams.com 14 monor sumbelland 15 monor sumbelland williams.com 16 monor sumbelland williams.com 17 monor sumbelland sumb

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiffs Peter Gardner and Christian Gardner, individually and on behalf of their minor son, Leland Gardner, and through their undersigned counsel, hereby complain and allege against Defendants as follows:

IDENTIFICATION OF THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Peter Gardner ("Mr. Gardner") is an individual and a Nevada resident. Mr. Gardner is married to Christian Gardner and is the father of Leland Gardner ("Leland"), a minor child.
- 2. Plaintiff Christian Gardner ("Mrs. Gardner") is an individual and a Nevada resident. Mrs. Gardner is married to Mr. Gardner and is Leland's mother.
- 3. Leland Gardner is a Nevada resident, who was six (6) years old at the time of the incident that is the subject of this litigation.
- 4. Defendant Henderson Water Park, LLC dba Cowabunga Bay Water Park ("HWP") is a Nevada limited liability company with its principal place of business in Clark County, Nevada.
- 5. Defendant West Coast Water Parks, LLC ("West Coast") is a Nevada limited liability company that owns Defendant Henderson Water Park, LLC dba Cowabunga Bay Water Park and regularly conducts business in Clark County, Nevada.
- 6. Defendant Double Ott Water Holdings, LLC ("Double Ott") is a Utah limited liability company that owns Defendant Henderson Water Park, LLC dba Cowabunga Bay Water Park and regularly conducts business in Clark County, Nevada.
- 7. Defendant Orluff Opheikens ("Orluff") is a Utah resident who, at all relevant times, conducted business in Clark County, Nevada and served as the Chairman of HWP's Management Committee.
- 8. Defendant Slade Opheikens ("Slade") is a Utah resident who, at all relevant times, conducted business in Clark County, Nevada and served as a member of HWP's Management Committee.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 9. Defendant Chet Opheikens ("Chet") is a Utah resident who, at all relevant times, conducted business in Clark County, Nevada and served as a member of HWP's Management Committee. At times, Orluff, Slade and Chet will be referred to collectively as the "Opheikens Family."
- 10. Defendant Shane Huish ("Shane") is a Nevada resident who, at all relevant times, served as a member of HWP's Management Committee.
- 11. Defendant Scott Huish ("Scott") is a Washington resident who, at all relevant times, conducted business in Clark County, Nevada and served as a member of HWP's Management Committee.
- 12. Defendant Craig Huish ("Craig") is a Washington resident who, at all relevant times, conducted business in Clark County, Nevada and served as a member of HWP's Management Committee. At times, Shane, Scott and Craig will be referred to collectively as the "Huish Family."
- 13. Defendant Tom Welch ("Welch") is a Utah resident who, at all relevant times, conducted business in Clark County, Nevada and served as a member of HWP's Management Committee. At times, Orluff, Slade, Chet, Shane, Scott, Craig, and Welch will be referred to collectively as the "Individual Defendants."
- 14. Defendant R&O Construction Company ("R&O") is a Utah corporation that regularly conducts business in Clark County, Nevada. Orluff, through his family trust, owns approximately eighty-five percent (85%) of the outstanding shares in R&O and the remaining shares are owned by other executives and board members of R&O.
- 15. At all times material to this Complaint, HWP's Management Committee, through the Individual Defendants as its members, was a common or joint enterprise and the Individual Defendants acted in concert with each other and subject to the common nondelegable duties detailed herein. All actions taken by a member of HWP's Management Committee, as its agent in furtherance of HWP's

3

4

5

6

10

11

12 monor sumbelland williams.com 14 monor sumbelland 15 monor sumbelland williams.com 16 monor sumbelland williams.com 17 monor sumbelland sumb

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

business, were done so with the actual or constructive knowledge and authorization of the other members of HWP's Management Committee.

- 16. Upon information and belief and at all times material to this Complaint, the Individual Defendants influenced and governed Defendants HWP, West Coast, and Double Ott and were united in interest and ownership with said entities so as to be deemed inseparable from them. In this regard, the Individual Defendants (1) undercapitalized these limited liability companies; (2) diverted limited liability company funds; (3) treated limited liability company assets as their own; and (4) caused the entities to ignore certain required formalities. The Individual Defendants and Defendants HWP, West Coast, and Double Ott, therefore, are one and the same and Plaintiffs should be permitted to pierce the corporate structure veil of Defendants HWP, West Coast, and Double Ott to reach assets belonging to the Individual Defendants in order to prevent the sanction and/or promotion of an injustice.
- 17. Cowabunga Bay Water Park ("Cowabunga Bay") is a water park located at 900 Galleria Drive, Henderson, Nevada 89011 and is operated by HWP's Management Committee, which is composed of the Individual Defendants.
- 18. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Doe Defendants I through X, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of the defendants designated as a Doe Defendant is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings described herein, including but not limited to the individuals and entities that provide or should have provided lifeguard and safety protection for Leland including but not limited to lifeguards, managers, supervisors, contractors, other water park personnel, and the individual owners and operators of Cowabunga Bay, as well as any swimming pool management companies and employment staffing agencies. As such, Plaintiffs will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of said defendants as they become identified and known to Plaintiffs.

- 19. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants Roe Corporations I through X and Roe Limited Liability Companies I through X, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of the defendants designated as a Roe Corporation or Roe Limited Liability Company is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings described herein, including but not limited to the individuals and entities that provide or should have provided lifeguard and safety protection for Leland including but not limited to lifeguards, managers, supervisors, contractors, other water park personnel, and the individual owners and operators of Cowabunga Bay, as well as any swimming pool management companies and employment staffing agencies. As such, Plaintiffs will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of said defendants as they become identified and known to Plaintiffs.
- Whenever it is alleged in this Complaint that a Defendant did any act or thing, it is meant that such Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, or representatives did such act or thing and at the time such act or thing was done, it was done with full authorization or ratification of such Defendant or was done in the normal and routine course and scope of business, or with the actual, apparent and/or implied authority of such Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, or representatives. Specifically, Defendants are liable for the actions of their respective officers, agents, servants, employees, and representatives.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

21. At all times material to this Complaint, the acts and omissions giving rise to this action occurred in Clark County, Nevada.

.

.

.

....

ATTORN F 700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Original Ownership Structure Behind the Cowabunga Bay Project and the "Nightmare" Scenario That Ensued During R&O's Construction of the Park

- 22. In or around September 2012, Splash Management, LLC ("Splash")—a business entity operated by three individuals named Shawn Hassett, Ben Howell and Marvin Howell—partnered with the Huish Family, through West Coast, to develop Cowabunga Bay.
- 23. Together, Splash and West Coast formed Cowabunga Las Vegas Land, LLC to hold the land on which Cowabunga Bay would be built. Splash and West Coast likewise formed Cowabunga Las Vegas Operations, LLC to conduct the water park's operations after the completion of construction.
- 24. Because Splash and West Coast did not have the ability to independently finance the construction of Cowabunga Bay, Splash and West Coast sought loans from financial institutions and hard money lenders with little to no success. In early November 2012, however, Splash and West Coast obtained a commitment for financing that would close within 90 days and be used to pay for the construction of Cowabunga Bay, which was originally anticipated to cost approximately \$12 to \$15 million.
- 25. Cowabunga Las Vegas Operations, LLC hired R&O as the general contractor to oversee the construction of Cowabunga Bay. Although the financing for the project was not yet secure, R&O hired subcontractors and immediately began construction of Cowabunga Bay in December 2012 with the goal of opening the park in Spring 2013.
- 26. The prospective financing arranged by Splash and West Coast fell through just months after R&O started construction. As a result, Cowabunga Las Vegas Operations, LLC failed to pay R&O several millions of dollars in construction costs that had already been incurred by R&O and its various subcontractors. With its subcontractors on the verge of bankruptcy, R&O was forced to halt construction in April 2013.
- 27. The consequences of R&O overextending itself on the Cowabunga Bay project threatened to cause irreparable harm to the company. First, R&O would lose millions of dollars if its

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

 $^{\rm m12}_{\rm mw.ccambbellandwilliams.com}$

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

construction costs were not paid. Second, R&O would be forced to default its subcontractors, which would cause them to declare bankruptcy and ruin R&O's reputation in the Las Vegas construction market.

- 28. Due to this self-described "nightmare" scenario, Orluff became personally involved in order to salvage the Cowabunga Bay project and rescue R&O from severe harm. Orluff arranged meetings with Splash and West Coast where it was discussed that Orluff, acting on behalf of R&O, would make a capital contribution to the Cowabunga Bay project in exchange for an ownership stake in the business. By doing so, Orluff would provide the funds necessary to pay R&O's costs and those of its subcontractors such that the construction of Cowabunga Bay could be completed with minimal damage to R&O's finances and reputation.
- 29. In order to obtain the funds for his capital contribution to the Cowabunga Bay project, Orluff (as he had on other occasions in the past) requested a personal loan of approximately \$4 million from R&O. Those same funds would then be funneled through the Cowabunga Bay project and paid to R&O so the company could compensate the subcontractors and cover its own construction costs. In exchange for this injection of capital, Orluff would receive an ownership stake in the Cowabunga Bay project that would eventually generate sufficient funds to make R&O whole and extricate the company from the "nightmare." R&O's Board of Directors—including Orluff and each of the minority shareholders in the company—unanimously voted to approve the multi-million dollar loan to Orluff.
- 30. Splash, West Coast and Orluff (acting on behalf of R&O) initially contemplated that each group would maintain an equity interest in Cowabunga Bay based on their respective capital contributions. Splash, however, refused to accept a decreased equity interest and instead informed Orluff and the Huish Family that it would take the project into bankruptcy, which would irreparably harm R&O's financial health and reputation in the Las Vegas market.
- 31. In the face of a looming fight over ownership between Splash, on one hand, and Orluff and the Huish Family, on the other, Orluff turned to his close friend and advisor, Tom Welch, for advice on how to remove Splash from the equation. In anticipation of litigation with Splash, Welch activated

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 monor sumbelland williams.com 14 monor sumbelland 15 monor sumbelland williams.com 16 monor sumbelland williams.com 17 monor sumbelland sumb

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

his dormant law license and devised a scheme whereby West Coast—which had voting control of Cowabunga Las Vegas Land, LLC and Cowabunga Las Vegas Operations, LLC—would sell the land and all of the park's assets to a new business entity formed by Orluff and the Huish Family. Through the new business entity, Orluff and the Huish Family would own and operate Cowabunga Bay to the exclusion of Splash. During this undertaking, Welch represented the interests of R&O, the Opheikens Family and the Huish Family and each group consented to the plan to remove Splash and form a new entity to own and operate Cowabunga Bay.

- Welch formed HWP in August 2013 with the express consent of R&O, Orluff and the 32. Huish Family. Welch drafted HWP's Operating Agreement, which was likewise reviewed and approved by R&O's corporate counsel, Cass Butler, who also served as Orluff's personal attorney.
- 33. R&O, Orluff and the Huish Family successfully executed the scheme in which HWP bought the land and assets from Cowabunga Las Vegas Land, LLC and Cowabunga Las Vegas Operations, LLC and, in turn, removed Splash from the Cowabunga Bay project.
- 34. Upon the formation of HWP, Orluff and the Huish Family sought additional financing to complete the construction of Cowabunga Bay and fund the park's operating costs. To that end, Orluff personally approached Bank of Utah and negotiated a \$12.2 million loan to HWP, R&O, Double Ott, West Coast, Orluff, Shane Huish, Scott Huish, and other relatives of the Huish Family. In addition to the other borrowers, Orluff and R&O guaranteed payment on the note to Bank of Utah.
- 35. With the financing from Bank of Utah, Defendants successfully completed the construction of Cowabunga Bay and opened the park to the public on July 4, 2014.
- 36. As a result of the scheme to insert Orluff as a straw man owner of Cowabunga Bay in its place, R&O paid its subcontractors and recovered the costs of construction. Nevertheless, R&O did not make a profit from the construction of Cowabunga Bay and even waived its lucrative general contractor fee.

AMPBELL & ATTORNEY 700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 monor sumbelland williams.com 14 monor sumbelland 15 monor sumbelland williams.com 16 monor sumbelland williams.com 17 monor sumbelland sumb

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Management Committee of HWP Exercises Complete Control Over the Operations of Cowabunga Bay

- 37. Pursuant to HWP's Operating Agreement, HWP was operated and controlled by its Management Committee. At Orluff's direction, Welch designed the Management Committee to grant Orluff control over the Huish Family in the operations of Cowabunga Bay because Orluff and R&O had a greater amount of money invested in the business and, therefore, more risk. At all relevant times, the Management Committee was comprised of seven (7) members made up of the Opheikens Family, the Huish Family and Welch. Orluff served as Chairman of the Management Committee.
- 38. HWP's Operating Agreement contains the following provisions pertaining to the Management Committee's absolute control over every aspect of Cowabunga Bay's operations:
 - 6.1 Rights and Powers of Management: Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Operating Agreement, all management rights, powers and authority over the business, affairs and operations of the Company shall be solely and exclusively vested in the Management Committee.

[T]he Management Committee shall have the full right, power and authority to do all things deemed necessary or desirable by it, in its reasonable discretion, to conduct the business, affairs and operations of [Cowabunga Bay].

- 39. Among numerous other specific powers identified in the Operating Agreement, HWP's Management Committee has direct and absolute control over "the selection and dismissal of employees" and is responsible for "tak[ing] all actions which may be necessary or appropriate to accomplish the purpose of the [Cowabunga Bay]."
- 40. All actions taken by Cowabunga Bay set forth herein were authorized, directed or participated in by the Individual Defendants in their individual capacity as members of the Management Committee. Additionally, as set forth below, the Individual Defendants knew or should have known that these actions could injure Cowabunga Bay patrons like Leland, but negligently failed to take or order

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 monor sum signature of the state of the s

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

appropriate action to avoid that harm despite the fact that an ordinarily prudent person, knowing what the Individual Defendants knew at the time, would not have acted similarly under the circumstances.

Defendants Intentionally Violate Nevada Law by Understaffing Lifeguards at the Wave Pool

- 41. Cowabunga Bay consists of a twenty-five (25) acre for-profit water park featuring dozens of water slides and attractions. One of its marquee attractions is the Surf-A-Rama Wave Pool ("the Wave Pool"), which is 35,000 square feet, holds up to 2,619 bathers and produces waves up to four (4) feet high.
- 42. Before opening its doors to the public, Nevada law required Cowabunga Bay to first obtain a permit to operate from the Southern Nevada Health District ("SNHD"). Nevada Revised Statute Chapter 444 governs the operation of public swimming pools and dictates the procedures a water recreation business such as Cowabunga Bay must follow to obtain such a permit.
- 43. In that regard, NRS 444.080 states that it is "unlawful for any person, firm, corporation, institution or municipality to construct or to operate or continue to operate any public swimming pool [] within the State of Nevada without a permit to do so from the health authority." In order to obtain the requisite permit, the operator must submit an application or "lifeguard plan" to the health authority clarifying *inter alia* "[t]he lifesaving apparatus and measures to insure safety of bathers." *Id.* The health authority will only approve a permit when it determines that the public swimming pool in question will not constitute a menace to public health. *Id*.
- 44. On February 19, 2014, Cowabunga Bay applied for its permit and submitted a lifeguard plan to SNHD. In its lifeguard plan, Cowabunga Bay proposed posting only six (6) lifeguards to monitor the Wave Pool. Due to the woefully deficient lifeguard coverage proposed for this banner attraction, SNHD denied Cowabunga Bay's application. In doing so, SNHD specified that seventeen (17) lifeguards were required to safely operate the Wave Pool.
- 45. Thus, in order to obtain its permit, Cowabunga Bay submitted a revised lifeguard plan in line with SNHD's safety requirements for the Wave Pool, i.e., that seventeen (17) lifeguards would be

 $^{\rm m12}_{\rm mw.ccambbellandwilliams.com}$

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

posted to monitor the Wave Pool at all times. Based on Cowabunga Bay's revised lifeguard plan, SNHD granted its request for a permit.

- 46. Cowabunga Bay, however, had no intention of ever providing the lifeguard coverage required by state law and instead knowingly, intentionally and willfully deviated from the prescribed lifeguard plan for its Wave Pool and other attractions. Indeed, despite its public proclamations that safety was its "number one priority," Cowabunga Bay habitually operated the Wave Pool with only 5-7 lifeguards. In sum, Cowabunga Bay made the necessary representations regarding lifeguard staffing to obtain the required permit and then summarily abandoned those representations in order to operate the Wave Pool with the staffing levels that were previously rejected by SNHD.
- 47. Cowabunga Bay and, more specifically, the Management Committee made the decision to violate the SNHD-approved lifeguard plan by operating the Wave Pool with only a fraction of the required amount of lifeguards in order to meet the burdens imposed by the financing obtained by Defendants from Bank of Utah. Defendants knowingly slashed variable costs including lifeguards at the Wave Pool in order to meet a strict annual budget that would allow Cowabunga Bay to continue operating without violating Defendants' loan covenants with the Bank of Utah. Indeed, had Defendants chosen to comply with the law, HWP, R&O, Double Ott, West Coast, Orluff, Shane Huish, Scott Huish, and other relatives of the Huish Family would have jeopardized compliance with their loan obligations and been exposed to severe financial consequences tallying in the tens of millions of dollars. R&O was doubly at risk because it was not only a borrower on the Bank of Utah loan, but it had also invested millions of dollars in Cowabunga Bay as a result of the loan to Orluff that now amounts to approximately nine million dollars (\$9,000,000). Accordingly, rather than subject themselves to these devastating financial ramifications. Defendants simply chose to violate the law and expose the public to severe bodily harm.
- 48. In addition to not providing an adequate number of lifeguards, Cowabunga Bay also failed to properly certify and train those lifeguards that it did staff. Moreover, Cowabunga Bay did not

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

provide life poles for use in the rescue of a drowning swimmer, failed to post the appropriate safety signage, and did not maintain water quality in clear violation of SNHD requirements.

Leland Gardner Drowns in the Wave Pool at Cowabunga Bay When Only Three Lifeguards Were on Duty

- 49. During the 2014-2015 school year, Leland was a kindergarten student. After school on May 27, 2015, Leland had a playdate with a classmate that would be hosted by the classmate's father, William Ray ("Mr. Ray"), at a water park.
- 50. While visiting Cowabunga Bay, Mr. Ray took his son and Leland to the Wave Pool. There, Leland fell off of his inner tube and was submerged at the bottom of the Wave Pool for a lengthy period of time. Leland suffered a non-fatal drowning and debilitating injuries that required weeks of hospitalization in the pediatric intensive care unit at St. Rose Hospital-Siena Campus. Since the incident, Leland has required twenty-four (24) hour care for his severe neurological impairments, and his devastating injuries will necessitate extensive and ongoing medical treatment and rehabilitative therapy for the rest of his life.
- 51. On May 27, 2015, Cowabunga Bay illegally operated its Wave Pool with just three (3) lifeguards on duty, one of whom was not properly trained or certified pursuant to NRS 444.115. Indeed, Cowabunga Bay knew it was breaking the law when it understaffed its Wave Pool, but did so anyway.
- 52. Further, on May 27, 2015, Cowabunga Bay failed to provide safety signage, life poles, clean water with the appropriate levels of visibility, and otherwise chose not to abide by the parameters of its permit.
- 53. The Individual Defendants, as the members of HWP's Management Committee, knew or should have known of these hazardous conditions that threatened physical injury to their patrons like Leland, yet failed to take any action to avoid this harm and, in fact, took action which exacerbated the risk to patrons like Leland. Indeed, the Individual Defendants knowingly operated Cowabunga Bay and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

 $12^{\rm month} = 13$ $14^{\rm month} = 15$ $16^{\rm month} = 17$

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the Wave Pool with far less than the required amount of lifeguards in order to meet their strict budgetary constraints.

- 54. On or around 12:10 p.m. on May 29, 2015, SNHD reported to Cowabunga Bay to investigate Leland's non-fatal drowning. SNHD observed that proper safety signage and lifepoles were not present. In addition, although Cowabunga Bay was not scheduled to open for another hour, SNHD still noted there were only fourteen (14) lifeguards on duty inside Cowabunga Bay at the time when thirtyfive (35) were required by the lifeguard plan.
- 55. SNHD returned to Cowabunga Bay on June 9, 2015 to conduct an additional investigation while the park was open for business and found only eight (8) lifeguards on duty at the Wave Pool instead of the seventeen (17) required by the lifeguard plan. SNHD likewise found lifeguard staffing violations at other attractions in Cowabunga Bay as well as additional problems with the water quality. SNHD ultimately cited and fined Cowabunga Bay for its inadequate staffing of lifeguards and other violations of the permitting requirements.
- 56. The tragic incident underlying this litigation is a direct result of Defendants' willful disregard of their obligations under the law. As a result of his non-fatal drowning arising out of Defendants' despicable conduct, Leland suffered catastrophic brain injuries that require 24-hour care. Leland has essentially no motor skills and cannot talk, eat, walk, use his arms, or even sit up.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence – Defendant HWP) (Alter Ego Liability Only - Defendants West Coast Water Parks, LLC, Double Ott Water Holding, LLC, and the Individual Defendants)

- 57. Paragraphs 1 through 56 are hereby specifically incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 58. HWP, through its acts and omissions, owed multiple duties to Plaintiffs including but not limited to:
 - The duty to keep Leland safe; a.

28

1

2

3

drowning:

b.	The duty to use reasonable care to protect Leland from known dangers such as

- The duty to adequately staff lifeguards throughout Cowabunga Bay; c.
- d. The duty to properly train and certify employees, lifeguards managers/supervisors to protect customers from dangers such as drowning;
- The duty to provide ongoing training to employees, lifeguards and e. managers/supervisors to protect customers from dangers such as drowning;
 - f. The duty to maintain clean and clear water within Cowabunga Bay;
- g. The duty to use reasonable care in the hiring, supervision, training and retention of its employees; and
- h. The duty to act in a matter that does not violate State of Nevada, City of Henderson and Clark County statutes, laws and ordinances.
- 59. HWP breached its duties to Plaintiffs when they failed to provide adequate lifeguard coverage and otherwise failed to take reasonable steps to protect Leland from drowning.
- 60. In addition, HWP's violations of the law were criminal in nature and constituted negligence per se as Leland's injuries are of the type which the statutes, laws, ordinances, and regulations of the United States, State of Nevada—including but limited to NRS 444.080 and 444.115—Clark County, and/or the Cities of Henderson and Las Vegas were intended to prevent.
- 61. As a direct and proximate result of HWP's negligence and brazen violation of the law, Plaintiffs have been damaged in an amount greater than \$15,000.00.
- 62. The conduct of the HWP was grossly negligent, reckless, willful, intentional, oppressive, fraudulent, malicious, and done in reckless disregard of the safety and rights of Plaintiffs thereby warranting the imposition of punitive damages.
- 63. Plaintiffs have been forced to retain the services of attorneys to prosecute this action and are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12 monor sum signature of the state of the s

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence – Individual Defendants)

- 64. Paragraphs 1 through 63 are hereby specifically incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 65. The Individual Defendants, and each of them, were members of HWP's Management Committee.
- 66. At all relevant times, HWP's Management Committee had all management rights, powers and authority over HWP's business, affairs and operations and, as a result, the Individual Defendants personally owed multiple common duties to Plaintiffs, including but not limited to:
 - a. The duty to keep Leland safe;
- b. The duty to use reasonable care to protect Leland from known dangers such as drowning;
 - c. The duty to adequately staff lifeguards throughout Cowabunga Bay;
- d. The duty to properly train and certify employees, lifeguards and managers/supervisors to protect customers from dangers such as drowning;
- e. The duty to provide ongoing training to employees, lifeguards and managers/supervisors to protect customers from dangers such as drowning;
 - f. The duty to maintain clean and clear water within Cowabunga Bay;
- g. The duty to use reasonable care in the hiring, supervision, training and retention of its employees; and
- h. The duty to act in a matter that does not violate State of Nevada, City of Henderson and Clark County statutes, laws and ordinances.
- 67. The Individual Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiffs when they authorized, directed or participated in HWP's unlawful scheme to understaff lifeguards at its Wave Pool and otherwise failed to take reasonable steps to protect Leland from drowning.

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

- In addition, the Individual Defendants' violations of the law were criminal in nature and 68. constituted negligence per se as Leland's injuries are of the type which the statutes, laws, ordinances, and regulations of the United States, State of Nevada—including but limited to NRS 444.080 and 444.115— Clark County, and/or the Cities of Henderson and Las Vegas were intended to prevent.
- 69. As a direct and proximate result of the Individual Defendants' negligence and brazen violation of the law, Plaintiffs have been damaged in an amount greater than \$15,000.00.
- 70. The conduct of the Individual Defendants, and each of them, individually and in concert with one another as herein alleged, was grossly negligent, reckless, willful, intentional, oppressive, fraudulent, malicious, and done in reckless disregard of the safety and rights of Plaintiffs thereby warranting the imposition of punitive damages.
- 71. Plaintiffs have been forced to retain the services of attorneys to prosecute this action and are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Reverse Veil Piercing Under The Alter Ego Doctrine – **Orluff Opheikens and R&O Construction Company)**

- 72. Paragraphs 1 through 71 are hereby specifically incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 73. Orluff founded R&O in 1982 and, through his family trust, owns eighty-five percent (85%) of the outstanding shares in R&O. At all relevant times, Orluff served as the Chairman of the Board of Directors of R&O. During the same time period, Orluff's son, Slade, served as the Chief Executive Officer of R&O—a position previously held by Orluff for decades—and acted at the direction of Orluff. According to Slade, Orluff is R&O.
- 74. When R&O was faced with the prospect of heavy monetary losses and severe damage to its reputation resulting from the failed construction of Cowabunga Bay, Orluff immediately stepped in to personally represent R&O's interests and save the project from failure. To that end, Orluff

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

m12 sumbellandwilliams.com

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

determined that he would personally assume an ownership stake in Cowabunga Bay to ensure that R&O recouped its costs and paid its debts. In doing so, Orluff directed R&O's course of conduct and acted for the benefit of the company and in furtherance of its interests.

- 75. In that regard, R&O and Orluff were represented by Welch in the plan to exclude Splash from Cowabunga Bay by selling the land and all of the park's assets to HWP. In furtherance of the scheme, Welch acted at Orluff's direction and represented the interests of R&O, Orluff, and the Huish Family. Cass Butler, R&O's corporate counsel and Orluff's personal attorney, was equally involved in the formation of HWP and Orluff's plan to assume an ownership interest in Cowabunga Bay for the benefit of R&O.
- 76. In keeping with Orluff's practice of obtaining loans from R&O for non-corporate purposes, Orluff obtained a personal loan from R&O in the approximate amount of \$4 million to fund his capital contribution to the Cowabunga Bay project. At Orluff's direction, R&O's Board of Directors, including Orluff himself and the other minority shareholders of the company, unanimously approved the loan with knowledge that the funds would be invested in the Cowabunga Bay project and used to recoup R&O's unpaid costs and pay the company's debts to subcontractors. With R&O's consent, Orluff treated corporate assets as his own and otherwise commingled funds for the purpose of ensuring R&O did not suffer severe monetary and reputational harm as a result of the Cowabunga Bay project.
- 77. At Orluff's direction, R&O also signed as a borrower on the \$12.2 million loan from Bank of Utah that was used to complete the construction of Cowabunga Bay and fund its operations. R&O, therefore, exposed itself to extreme financial risk to salvage the prospects of the Cowabunga Bay project and allow Orluff to eventually make R&O whole. R&O likewise declined to collect a profit from the construction of Cowabunga Bay and waived its lucrative general contractor fee.
- 78. Based on the foregoing, Orluff governed and influenced R&O on a day-to-day basis and, in particular, with respect to the Cowabunga Bay project. Moreover, there was such unity and

CAMPBELL & ATTORNEY 700 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET,

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12 monor sum signature of the state of the s

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

identity of interest and ownership between R&O and Orluff that one was inseparable from the other especially as it related to the Cowabunga Bay project.

- 79. The facts of this case are such that adherence to the corporate fiction of R&O as a separate entity from Orluff would, under the circumstances, promote injustice. In addition to the undercapitalization of HWP and lack of adequate insurance coverage, adherence to the corporate fiction would permit R&O to reap the benefits of Orluff's ownership and management of Cowabunga Bay while avoiding any of the liability caused by the negligent conduct of HWP and the Individual Defendants, including the Opheikens Family. In point of fact, by virtue of Orluff serving as a straw man for R&O, the company recovered its unpaid costs from the construction of Cowabunga Bay, saved its reputation in the Las Vegas market by not defaulting its subcontractors, and attempted to shield itself from any liability related to the hazardous operations of the water park.
- 80. Because Orluff is the alter ego of R&O and the protections of the corporate form have been abused in connection with the Cowabunga Bay project, Plaintiffs should be permitted to pierce the corporate veil in reverse and recover from R&O—the true beneficiary of Orluff's ownership and participation in the management of Cowabunga Bay.
- Reverse piercing of the veil will not harm the rights of innocent shareholders or 81. creditors. While R&O has minority shareholders that own approximately fifteen percent (15%) of the corporation's outstanding stock, each minority shareholder is an executive with R&O and a member of the Board of Directors. As such, the minority shareholders voted for and benefitted from Orluff's decision to assume an ownership interest in the Cowabunga Bay project so R&O could recover its construction costs and pay its subcontractors. In that same vein, R&O's minority shareholders would have suffered if Orluff had not taken action to save the Cowabunga Bay project by serving as R&O's straw man. Reverse piercing is neither inequitable nor unjust under these circumstances.

JURY DEMAND

82. Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury for all issues so triable.

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

m12 sumbellandwilliams.com

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages in excess of \$15,000.00;
- 2. For punitive damages to be determined by the jury;
- 3. For attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein;
- 4. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as allowed by law; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as is appropriate under the circumstances.

DATED this th day of June, 2018.

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 382-5222

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Campbell & Williams, and that on this __th day of June, 2018 I caused the foregoing document entitled **Third Amended Complaint** to be served upon those persons designated by the parties in the E-Service Master List for the above-referenced matter in the Eighth Judicial District Court eFiling System in accordance with the mandatory electronic service requirements of Administrative Order 14-2 and the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion Rules.

An Employee of Campbell & Williams

12 monor sum signature of the state of the s

Electronically Filed 7/5/2018 5:30 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT **OPPS** 1 JOHN E. GORMLEY, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 001611 OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY 3 ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Telephone: 702-384-4012 5 Facsimile: 702-383-0701 6 Attorney for Defendants TOM WELCH: ORLUFF OPHEIKENS; 7 SLADE OPHEIKENS: and CHET OPHEIKENS 8 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 10 Case No. A-15-722259-C Dept. No. XXX PETER GARDNER and CHRISTIAN 11 GARDNER, individually, and on behalf of minor child LELAND GARDNER, DEFENDANTS ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, 12 SLADE OPHEIKENS, CHET OPHEIKENS, Plaintiffs, AND TOM WELCH'S OPPOSITION TO 13 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO VS. 14 FILE THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba 15 COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK, a Nevada limited liability company; 16 ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, an individual; Date of Hearing: July 25, 2018 SLADE OPHEIKENS, an individual; 17 CHET OPHEIKENS, an individual; Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m 18 SHANE HUISH, an individual; SCOTT HUISH, an individual; CRAIG HUISH, an 19 individual; TOM WELCH, an individual; and DOES I through X, inclusive; ROE 20 CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive, and ROE LIMITED LIABILITY 21 COMPANY I through X, inclusive, 22 Defendants. 23 24 HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK, a 25 Nevada limited liability company, 26 Third-Party Plaintiff, VS. 27 WILLIAM PATRICK RAY, JR.; and 28 DOES 1 through X, inclusive,

Third-Party Defendants.

DEFENDANTS ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, SLADE OPHEIKENS, CHET OPHEIKENS, AND TOM WELCH'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

COME NOW Defendants ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, SLADE OPHEIKENS, CHET OPHEIKENS, and TOM WELCH ("the Opheikens Defendants"), by and through their attorney of record, JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. of the law firm OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI, and hereby file this Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion For Leave To File Third Amended Complaint.

This Opposition is made and based upon all papers, pleadings, and records on file with the Court herein, the Points and Authorities and such oral argument, testimony, and evidence as the Court may require at the time this matter is considered.

OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI

JOHN E. GORMLEY, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 001611

OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY

ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Telephone: 702-384-4012

Facsimile: 702-383-0701 Attorney for Defendants

TOM WELCH; ORLUFF OPHEIKENS;

SLADE OPHEIKENS; and CHET

OPHEIKENS

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

INTRODUCTION

This Court is well aware of the facts of this case and the Opheikens Defendants will not This specific matter concerns Plaintiffs' attempt to amend their Second recite them here. Amended Complaint to add a futile, legally barred cause of action against a non-party, R&O Construction Company ("R&O"). The proposed cause of action, for "Reverse Veil Piercing Under The Alter Ego Doctrine," is not a recognized cause of action in Nevada. Moreover, the theory of recovery underpinning Plaintiffs' impermissible claim only arises in very limited circumstances not present here. Namely, reverse piercing is only permitted post judgment when a creditor is unable to collect against a judgment debtor; that creditor is then allowed to seek recovery from the assets of a corporation, on an alter ego theory, in which the debtor owns an interest. In this instance, Plaintiffs simply are not capable of even alleging they are creditors. Therefore, Plaintiffs' proposed Third Cause of Action for reverse piercing is an impermissible and futile claim because Nevada law allowing reverse veil piercing requires that a plaintiff first be a judgment creditor before a reverse veil piercing theory of recovery can even be advanced in the appropriate form. See LFC Marketing Group, Inc. v. Loomis, 116 Nev. 896, 8 P.3d 841 (2000) (allowing for a judgment creditor to utilize a reverse veil piercing theory of recovery to enforce a post-judgment writ of attachment).

Not only have Plaintiffs' failed to allege that they have a judgment against a shareholder of R&O, Plaintiffs' Proposed Third Amended Complaint also contains allegations which are inconsistent and contradictory on their face. Accordingly, the Court should deny the Plaintiffs' Motion pursuant to NRCP 15(a) at this time.

///

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

2728

¹ "While the classic alter ego situation involves a creditor reaching the personal assets of a controlling individual to satisfy a corporation's debt, the "reverse" piercing situation involves a creditor reaching the assets of a corporation to satisfy the debt of a corporate insider based on a showing that the corporate entity is the alter ego of the individual." *LFC Marketing Group, Inc. v. Loomis*, 116 Nev. 896, 903, 8 P.3d 841, 846 (2000).

1

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

RELEVANT BACKGROUND

The lawsuit concerning Leland Gardner was filed on July 28, 2015. Since that time, Plaintiffs have engaged in a broad-ranging campaign of discovery and motion practice with an eye towards finding some basis of liability for Leland's near drowning against those with no actual responsibility.² To that end, after conducting nearly two years of discovery, which included subpoenaing records from R&O and taking numerous depositions, Plaintiffs were permitted to add, as defendants, the management committee of Henderson Water Park ("HWP"). This included Orluff Opheikens, Slade Opheikens, Chet Opheikens, and Tom Welch, now sued under a specious theory that they were individually negligent for the accident on May 27, 2015. In so allowing, the Nevada Supreme Court ruled in Gardner v. Henderson Water Park, et al., 133 Nev. Adv. Op. 89, 405 P.3d 651 (2017), that managers of an LLC bear no personal liability by virtue of being a manager unless such managers committed acts of "individual negligence" or had "direct knowledge and actions that threatened physical injury to patrons" or "intentionally and willfully breached" a duty towards a plaintiff. Id. at *7, 405 P.3d at 655. To date, despite turning over every stone, Plaintiffs have comprehensively failed in uncovering any evidence that any of these individuals had direct knowledge of actions which threatened Leland or intentionally and willfully breach a duty towards Plaintiffs.

Unable to find any evidence supporting their claims against the Opheikens Defendants, Plaintiffs are now seeking to name R&O as a defendant, but not on allegations that R&O committed any wrong doing. Instead, Plaintiffs seek to add R&O as a defendant now before they are awarded a judgment against Orluff Opheikens personally, and before they establish they are unable to collect on that speculative judgment. Plainly, Plaintiffs do not just seek to impair Orluff's interest in R&O, but they seek to impair all of R&O's assets. This misadventure has

² The Court will note that Plaintiffs never attempted to sue the adult male who left the six-year old Leland alone, unattended and without a life jacket in a wave pool rather than make any effort to keep him safe. Without question, but for William Ray's egregious misconduct on May 27, 2015, this lawsuit would have never been filed.

somehow brought them to seek to add, prematurely, an innocent party into this case under a legally unrecognized cause of action.³

Indeed, Plaintiffs have not alleged that Orluff Opheikens owns a direct controlling interest in R&O. Rather, Plaintiffs allege a "family trust" owns 85% of the outstanding shares of R&O, and other individuals own the remaining 15%. Plaintiffs conveniently gloss over whether or not the "family trust" is irrevocable and whether the trustee is someone other than Orluff. *See* Proposed Third Amended Complaint, ¶14. Thus, according the Plaintiffs' Proposed Third Amended Complaint, the nexus between HWP and R&O is even more remote: HWP - Double Ott - Orluff – family trust - R&O.

Additionally, Plaintiffs draw conclusions which are not remotely supported by the underlying predicate factual allegations presented in their Proposed Third Amended Complaint. In fact, their predicate allegations contradict their conclusions and their complaint fails on this basis alone. For example, Plaintiffs' Proposed Third Amended Complaint alleges that Orluff, "treated corporate assets [presumably R&O's corporate assets] as his own and otherwise commingled funds for the purpose of ensuring R&O did not suffer severe monetary and reputational harm as a result of the Cowbunga Bay project." See Proposed Third Amended Complaint, ¶76. In making this misguided conclusion, Plaintiffs advance other allegations which completely contradict that any of the \$9M invested or \$12.2M loaned by Bank of Utah was subsequently "commingled" or mixed with R&O, the family trust, Double Ott, or Orluff's personal funds. For instance, at paragraphs 34 to 36 of the Proposed Third Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs allege the \$9M invested by R&O and \$12.2M loaned by Bank of Utah were all used to complete construction of the Cowabunga Bay, pay the subcontractors and the costs of construction and that "R&O did not make a profit from the construction of Cowabunga Bay and even waived its lucrative general contractor fee." By alleging that all of the \$9M and \$12.2M were used to pay for the costs of construction and nothing was left over, Plaintiffs have negated

³ In fact, if the Plaintiffs really thought R&O had any actual liability they would have named R&O as a direct party under a negligence theory. Plaintiffs know (and knew) R&O built the water park and their counsel is currently litigating against R&O in a different matter, alleging negligent construction of the very same water park. The reality of this all begs the question: if Plaintiffs really thought R&O has some responsibility, why try to create a new cause of action to bring them in?

and contradicted their bogus allegation that there has been any commingling. There can be no commingling of money entirely spent on construction costs.

To be absolutely clear, Plaintiffs' inconsistent, contradictory and conclusory accusations about the relationship between Orluff Opheikens, R&O, and any bank loans (whether in Paragraphs 47 or 76 of their Proposed Third Amended Complaint, whether concerning alleged commingling and use of corporate assets, or whether found elsewhere within the Plaintiffs' Motion) are utterly false. Plaintiffs took Orluff Opheikens deposition in May 2018 and there is absolutely no testimony therein which remotely supports Plaintiffs' reckless and regrettable accusations. A copy of that deposition, attached for the Court's benefit as Exhibit A, completely belies Plaintiffs' conclusory statements about the relationship between Orluff Opheikens and R&O. By the same token, the deposition of Slade Opheikens was also taken in May 2018. Yet again, there is absolutely no testimony therein which supports Plaintiffs' misstatements of fact throughout their Motion and Opposition. A copy of Slade Opheikens' deposition is attached for the Court's benefit as Exhibit B, and once again it completely belies Plaintiffs' conclusory, illogical statements about R&O, Orluff Opheikens, the loans taken out to finish construction of the water park, and the factual underpinnings of Plaintiffs' legally unsustainable, futile attempt to reverse pierce the corporate veil against R&O.

Finally, if Plaintiffs are permitted to amend their complaint and name R&O as a defendant on their misguided reverse piercing theory, they would potentially cause irreparable and significant harm to R&O and its individual shareholders. Plaintiffs' Third Cause of Action for "Reverse Veil Piercing Under the Alter Ego Doctrine" would not be covered by R&O general liability insurance because the claim would not be one for damage or injury to person or property.

⁴ For example, Orluff Opheikens does not own any shares in R&O. A separate family trust does. Plaintiffs completely ignore that reality in hopes of dragging R&O into this case. Yet another example: Plaintiffs know that neither R&O, Orluff Opheikens, Slade Opheikens, Chet Opheikens, nor Tom Welch, has ever taken a dime out of the water park. Not a single penny has gone to them; every cent has gone to the holder of the note: The Bank of Utah. And that loan wasn't even to Orluff Opheikens. Plaintiffs know this and strategically keep it hidden from this Court. When viewed objectively, Plaintiffs appear hopeful that if they spread enough mistruths around the Court might just let it slide at this stage because Leland Gardner almost drowned. When Plaintiffs talk about sanctioning a fraud, this Court should be very careful in choosing to dance to Plaintiffs' tune.

Consequently, on this uncovered claim, R&O's capacity to obtain bonding and new work based on its financial strength would potentially be diminished thereby preventing R&O from bidding on new jobs. Because R&O relies on bonding and its financial strength to obtain new construction projects, Plaintiffs' claim against R&O would irreparably impair R&O and its other shareholders who are innocent bystanders with no involvement or relationship to HWP.

With all of that said, even if the Court sets aside the negative impact to an innocent corporation and its shareholders for purposes of scrutinizing Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave and their Proposed Third Amended Complaint, the bottom line conclusion is that Plaintiffs still have no legal basis under Nevada law to seek to reverse pierce any corporate veil, as demonstrated below, and Plaintiffs' Motion should be denied.

III.

STANDARD

It is well-understood that NRCP 15(a) provides that leave to amend shall be freely given when justice so requires. *Stephens v. Southern Nevada Music Co.*, 89 Nev. 104, 507 P.2d 138 (1973). It is equally well-understood, though, that this does not prevent a trial judge, in a proper case, from denying a motion to amend. *Id.* Indeed, the reasons sufficient to deny a motion to amend a pleading include: undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant. *Kantor v. Kantor*, 116 Nev. 886, 891, 8 P.3d 825, 828 (2000). And, as the United States Supreme Court has noted, "[i]n the absence of any apparent or declared reason – such as undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of the amendment, **futility of amendment**, etc. – the leave sought should, as the rules require, by "freely given." *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182, 83 S.Ct. 227, 9 L.Ed.2d. 222 (1962) (interpreting FRCP 15(a)) (emphasis added).⁵

⁵ Where the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure parallel the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, rulings in federal courts interpreting and applying the federal rules are persuasive authority in applying the Nevada Rules. *See Exec. Mgmt. Ltd. v. Ticor Title Ins. Co.*, 118 Nev. 46, 53, 38 P.3d 872, 876 (2002).

Indeed, under NRCP 15(a), leave to amend, even if timely sought, need not be granted if the proposed amendment would be "futile." *Allum v. Valley Bank of Nev.*, 109 Nev. 280, 287, 849 P.2d 297, 302 (1993); *see also Halcrow Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 129 Nev. 394, 398, 302 P.3d 1148, 1152 (2013). A proposed amendment may be deemed futile if the plaintiff seeks to amend the complaint in order to plead an impermissible claim, such as one which would not survive a motion to dismiss under NRCP 12(b)(5) or a "last-second amendment[] alleging meritless claims in an attempt to save a case from summary judgment." *See Nutton v. Sunset Stations, Inc.*, 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 34, 357 P.3d 966, 973 (Ct. App. 2015), *citing Soebbing v. Carpet Barn, Inc.*, 109 Nev. 78, 84, 847 P.2d 731, 736 (1993).

"[A]n amendment should not be allowed if it inevitably will be considered to be a waste of time and resources on which the movant has no realistic chance of prevailing at trial." *Nutton*, 357 P.3d at 973. In determining futility, a district court may not consider outside facts determined in discovery but must limit its inquiry to the proposed amendment to determine whether the movant may be able to state a claim. *Id.* at 976.

The question of whether alter ego exists is one of law in Nevada; it is not a question of fact. See NRS 78.747(3) ("The question of whether a stockholder, director, or officer acts as the alter ego of a corporation must be determined by the court as a matter of law."); Material Supply Int'l, Inc. v. Sunmatch Industrial Co., Ltd., 62 F.2d 13, 19-20 (D.D.C. 1999) ("Whether one corporation is the alter ego of another is a question of law."); FG Hemisphere Associates, LLC v. Unocal Corporation, 560 Fed.Appx. 672 (9th Cir. 2014) (the availability of the alter ego doctrine to determine the location of intangible property under the Federal Sovereign Immunities Act is a question of law).

⁶ Plaintiffs' statement that "it is well settled that the determination of whether the alter ego doctrine applies is a question of fact reserved for the jury" is puzzling. In fact, the issue is far from "well-settled". Here, the persuasive Nevada statute, NRS 78.747, makes clear that issues of alter ego are questions of law, not fact. It is worth noting that Plaintiffs relied heavily upon this specific statute in their efforts to seek individual liability against the members of the LLCs – the subject of Gardner v. Eighth Judicial District Court, 133 Nev. Adv. Op. 89, 405 P.3d 651 (2017). The Gardner Court cited NRS 78.747 with approval – at Plaintiffs' behest -- multiple times in its decision. Moreover, all of the cases cited by Plaintiffs in their Motion regarding whether alter ego is a question of law are inapposite. Runvee, Inc. v. United States, 2013 WL 1249602 (D. Nev. Mar. 26, 2013), involved a tax liability issue. Ogst-Lievense v. N. Am. Consortium, P.C., 969

ARGUMENT

A. The Nevada Supreme Court's *Gardner* Decision Did Not Create A New Cause Of Action And Does Not Support Plaintiffs' Attempts To Fabricate A Legally Unrecognized Claim Against A Non-Party

As this Court is aware, Plaintiffs have made previous efforts to add various defendants – such as the Opheikens Defendants – under a factually unsupportable and baseless theory that these men were somehow individually negligent with regards to the running of the Cowabunga Bay water park. Plaintiffs' purpose, in this regard, is not to hold those who are responsible for Leland Gardner's near drowning accountable. Rather, the purpose is merely to find new (and hopefully deeper) pockets. To be clear, that is exactly what Plaintiffs are regrettably doing with this Motion: seeking to add a non-party, R&O, knowing that it has no ownership interest in the water park and absolutely no individual liability at all, only in hopes of shaking down more money.

Now, the relevant discovery has been done. Plaintiffs exhausted their full 7 hours in deposing Orluff Opheikens, a 70+ year old man with chronic fatigue syndrome, and they exhausted nearly that same time deposing his son, Slade Opheikens. They already know that R&O has absolutely no connections whatsoever upon which Plaintiffs could, in good faith, even suspect that R&O has any liability at all in this case. They already know, through their exhaustive questioning of Orluff Opheikens, that: (1) there is absolutely no evidence that the hallmarks for alter ego exist between him and R&O; (2) there was no commingling of funds; (3) no undercapitalization; (4) no unauthorized diversion of funds; (5) no treatment of corporate assets as his own; (6) and no failure to observe corporate formalities. See LFC, 116 Nev. at 904, 8 P.3d at 848 (2000) (internal citations omitted).

F.Supp. 874 (S.D.N.Y. 1997), relied upon Texas law. *Rd. Sprinkley Fitters Local Union No. 669, U.A., AFL-CIO v. Tristar Fire Protection, Inc.*, 2014 WL 707245 (E.D. Mich. Feb. 24, 2014), solely implicates the 6th Circuit. In short, Plaintiffs are simply wrong to rely upon any of these cases in the face of NRS 78.747 and *Gardner*.

⁷ These are just some instances in which Plaintiffs have represented what they wish the actual facts of this case were rather than cite the true facts faithfully. Regardless, if the Plaintiffs really thought R&O had any actual liability they would have named R&O as a direct party under a negligence theory. Plaintiffs know R&O built the water park and their counsel is currently litigating against R&O in a different matter, alleging negligent construction of the very same water park.

Without question, Plaintiffs' Motion and Proposed Third Amended Complaint are not brought in good faith. Instead, Plaintiffs rely upon a factually discredited and legally unsupported theory of recovery which has never been permitted by the Nevada Supreme Court or any other court in this State. This Court should not condone the Plaintiffs' shotgun approach, and it should look very closely at what Nevada law actually allows *vis a vis* any alter ego theory of recovery -- whether traditional or reverse -- and not read into *Gardner* what is not there.

In this regard, it appears that Plaintiffs believe that the *Gardner* decision gave them *carte blanche* to try to assert any fanciful theory they might throw against the wall in order to add R&O into the mix, irrespective of law or facts. *Gardner* allows no such thing. Rather, *Gardner* merely stands for the proposition that "the alter ego doctrine applies to LLCs" and that, at the initial pleading stage, the Plaintiffs should be permitted "to allege that the Managers [e.g. the Opheikens Defendants and others] were subject to liability through the alter ego doctrine." *Gardner*, 133 Nev. Adv. Op. at *11, 405 P.3d at 656. It adds nothing more to Nevada law regarding alter ego theory.

Notably, *Gardner* did not touch the mountain of precedent that clearly rejects alter ego as an independent cause of action. *Gardner* also did not rule that individual members of an LLC are automatically liable for the acts of the LLC. And *Gardner* certainly did not expand Nevada law to include a new basis for seeking to pierce the corporate veil, in reverse, to drag a non-party into this case (with absolutely no factual foundation). Again, this Court should reject Plaintiffs' understanding of what Nevada law allows concerning alter ego, and it should deny Plaintiffs' Motion.

B. Plaintiffs' Proposed Amendment Is Futile Because It Would Be Subject To Immediate Dismissal Pursuant To NRCP 12(b)(5)

An amendment is futile if it would not survive a motion to dismiss under NRCP 12(b)(5). *Id.* Taking the Plaintiffs' factual allegations in their Proposed Third Amended Complaint as true, this Court must still deny Plaintiffs leave to amend pursuant to NRCP 15(a) because their proposed third cause of action, "Reverse Veil Piercing Under The Alter Ego Doctrine", is not a legally recognized cause of action in Nevada. Specifically, Nevada law does not recognize "Alter Ego" (traditional or reverse) to be its own independent cause of action.

"Ordinarily, a corporation is regarded as a legal entity separate and distinct from its stockholders, officers and directors." *Gowen v. Tiltware LLC*, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 43970, 13 (D. Nev. 2009), *affirmed, Gowen v. Tiltware LLC*, 437 Fed. Appx. 528, 2011 U.S. App. LEXIS 11802 (9th Cir. Nev. 2011) (*quoting Robbins v. Blecher*, 52 Cal.App.4th 886, 892, 60 Cal.Rptr.2d 815 (1997)); *see also Canarelli v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court of Nev.*, 265 P.3d 673, 677 (Nev. 2011) ("Under the common law, a corporation is a legal entity that exists separate and distinct from its shareholders, officers, and directors.") (*citation omitted*). "Under the alter ego doctrine, however, where a corporation is used by an individual or individuals, or by another corporation, to perpetrate fraud, circumvent a statute, or accomplish some other wrongful or inequitable purpose, a court may disregard the corporate entity and treat the corporation's acts as if they were done by the persons actually controlling the corporation." *Gowen at *13*. But even then, "the corporate cloak will not be lightly cast aside." *Wyatt v. Bowers*, 103 Nev. 593, 597 (1987) (*citing Baer v. Walker*, 85 Nev. 219 (1969)).

Of particular importance to the Plaintiffs' Motion is that "[a] request to pierce the corporate veil is only a means of imposing liability for an underlying cause of action and is not a cause of action in and of itself." Local 159 v. Nor-Cal Plumbing. Inc., 185 F.3d 978, 985 (9th Cir.1999) (citing Peacock v. Thomas, 516 U.S. 349, 354, 116 S. Ct. 862 (1996)). This is independent of whether the alter ego claim is traditional or "reverse". As the United States District Court for the District of Nevada has repeatedly stated, "alter-ego is a theory of liability aimed at penetrating a corporation's limited liability protection, it is not an independent cause of action." Fernandez v. Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P., 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 69596, *4, n. 2 (D. Nev. 2012) (emphasis added) (citing Taddeo v. Taddeo, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 103649, *28 (D. Nev. 2011)); see also Adams v. Silar Advisors, LP, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 60118, *21 (D. Nev. 2013) (alter ego is a legal theory and measure of relief not amounting to an independent cause of action); Waterfall Homeowners Ass 'n v. Viega, Inc., 283 F.R.D. 571, 579 (D. Nev. 2012) ("claims for alter ego are not independent causes of action"); Henderson v. Buchanan (In re Western World Funding, Inc.), 52 B.R. 743, 782 (Bankr. D. Nev. 1985). "Put another way, a

⁸ "Thus, an attempt of a third party to pierce the corporate veil does not constitute a cause of action independent of that against the corporation; rather, it is an assertion of facts and circumstances that will persuade the court to impose the corporate obligation on its owners." 18 Am Jur 2d *Corporations* § 47.

claim against a defendant based on the alter ego theory is a derivative of the substantive cause of action against the corporate defendant." *Smith v. Simmons*, 638 F. Supp.2d 1180, 1190, n. 14 (E.D. Cal. 2009).

Because the alter ego doctrine – traditional or reverse -- is not an independent cause of action, there can be no alter ego relief without a substantive underlying cause of action. As the Ninth Circuit has stated in a case arising in Nevada:

A claim based on the alter ego theory is not in itself a claim for substantive relief, but rather is procedural. "A finding of fact of alter ego, standing alone, creates no cause of action. It merely furnishes a means for complainant to reach a second corporation or individual upon a cause of action that otherwise would have existed only against the first corporation. An attempt to pierce the corporate veil is a means of imposing liability on an underlying cause of action, such as a tort or breach of contract.

Grimmett v. McCloskey (In re Wardle), 2006 Bankr. LEXIS 4817, 20-22 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. Nev. 2006) (internal citations omitted) (emphasis added); see also Simmons, 638 F. Supp. at 1190, n. 14 (citation omitted) (alter ego "is 'not itself a claim for substantive relief,' but rather is a means to 'disregard the corporate entity as a distinct defendant and to hold the alter ego individuals liable on the obligations of the corporation where the corporate form is being used by the individuals to escape personal liability, sanction a fraud, or promote injustice."'). Alter ego, especially in reverse, only becomes an issue when liability is imposed, and the issue of determining alter ego is premature until "[w]hen and if any defendant is shown to be liable for the violations alleged in the complaint, and is unable to satisfy any judgment rendered, the court can, if necessary, reopen the issue of piercing the corporate veil for further discovery at that time." Higgins v. Journal Register Co., 2007 U.S. Dist. Lexis 78761 at *4-5 (D. Conn. Oct. 23, 2007).9

of those that have considered the issue, the majority of state courts have found an alter ego claim does not constitute an independent action. Courts in Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, New York, Tennessee, Texas, and Utah have noted alter ego is not an independent claim, but rather a theory of liability, procedure, or equity to enforce another substantive claim. See Forever Green Athletic Fields, Inc. v. Lasiter Constr., Inc., 384 S.W.3d 540, 553 (Ark. Ct. App. 2011); Leek v. Cooper, 125 Cal. Rptr. 3d 56, 71 (Cal. Ct. App. 2011); Swinerton Builders v. Nassi, 272 P.3d 1174, 1177-78 (Colo. Ct. App. 2012); Turner Murphy Co. v. Specialty Constructors, Inc., 659 So.2d 1242, 1245 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1995); Morris v. N.Y. State Dep't of Taxation & Fin, 623 N.E.2d 1157, 1160 (N.Y. 1993); Boles v. Nat'l Dev. Co, 175 S.W.3d 226, 251 (Tenn. Ct. App. 2005); Matthews Constr. Co., Inc. v. Rosen, 796 S.W.2d 692, 693 n.1 (Tex. 1990); Jones & Trevor Mktg., Inc. v. Lowry, 284 P.3d 630, 634 n.1 (Utah 2012). Similarly,

Therefore, in cases where summary judgment has dismissed claims of actionable wrong, "alter ego has no remaining application to the case." Towne v. Robbins, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5206, *13 (D. Or. 2005). For instance, "[i]f the underlying cause of action for breach of the agreement is dismissed... the claims of liability for the remaining Defendants under alter ego or veil-piercing theories would also be dismissed." TV Events & Mktg. v. AMCON Distrib. Co., 526 F. Supp. 2d 1118, 1128 (D. Haw. 2007). Thus, "the veil-piercing doctrine does not come into play...unless and until [the Plaintiff's] establish their right to a money judgment against [the corporate defendants] under the alter ego doctrine...." Local 159 v. Nor-Cal Plumbing, Inc., 185 F.3d 978, 985 (9th Cir. 1999) (emphasis added). Simply put, absent an underlying cognizable cause of action, coupled with a established right to a money judgment, the alter ego remedy is unavailable.

Such prohibited claim is exactly the scenario presented in Plaintiffs' Proposed Third Amended Complaint. Specifically, Plaintiffs assert an independent cause of action for "Reverse Veil Piercing Under The Alter Ego Doctrine" which is not premised upon any tort or breach of contract. See generally Plaintiffs' Proposed Third Amended Complaint, pp. 16-18. Frankly, Plaintiffs' proposed third cause of action is no more actionable in Nevada than saying "Punitive Damages" or "Third-Party Insurance Bad Faith" is an independent cause of action. It simply cannot survive an NRCP 12(b)(5) motion to dismiss. Staying within the four corners of the Plaintiffs' Proposed Third Amended Complaint, their proposed amendment is futile and the Plaintiffs' Motion should be denied.

C. Plaintiffs' Proposed Third Amended Complaint Is Also Futile Because They Have Not Alleged, And Cannot Allege, The Necessary Elements To Allow Them To "Reverse Pierce" At This Stage

Even if this Court were to create a heretofore unrecognized cause of action called "Reverse Veil Piercing Under The Alter Ego Doctrine" by obliterating the *LFC Marketing*

federal courts applying Illinois, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, and Virginia state law have noted that an alter ego claim is not an independent cause of action. See Int'l Fin. Servs. Corp. v. Chromas Techs. Can., Inc., 356 F.3d 731, 735-36 (7th Cir. 2004); Everspeed Enters. Ltd. v. Skaarup Shipping Int'l, 754 F. Supp. 2d 395, 404 (D. Conn. 2010); Siematic Mobelwerke GmbH & Co. KG v. Siematic Corp., 643 F. Supp. 2d 675, 694 (E.D. Pa. 2009); C.F. Trust, Inc. v. First Flight Ltd. P'ship, 111 F. Supp. 2d 734, 742 (E.D. Va. 2000). As noted above, federal courts in Nevada have reached the same conclusion.

Group, Inc. v. Loomis, 116 Nev. 896, 8 P.3d 841 (2000) decision, under Nevada law the Plaintiffs' proposed amendment would still be futile. The reason being, Plaintiffs have failed to allege the necessary predicate for such a claim; namely, they have not alleged they are a judgment creditor.

A careful review of the *LFC* case reveals that an action for reverse piercing of the corporate veil in the present case is premature and not ripe for adjudication at this time. In the *LFC* case, Plaintiff Loomis was a judgment creditor of William Lange, who had held himself out as the President, CEO, and primary owner of the corporation. Plaintiff had obtained a judgment of \$25,000 against William Lang and for three years had been unsuccessful in its collection efforts against William Lang. Plaintiff Loomis then obtained a writ of attachment against monies owed to the corporation in a post judgment proceeding at which time the corporation appeared in the case to defend its interests. After a hearing under NRS 31.070(5), the District Court determined that LFC Marketing was the alter ego of William and thus granted the motion for writ of attachment in the post-judgment proceeding. The court stated, in granting the writ of attachment, that:

While the classic alter ego situation involves a **creditor** reaching the personal assets of a controlling individual to satisfy a corporation's debt, the "reverse" piercing situation involves a **creditor** reaching the assets of a corporation to satisfy **the debt** of a corporate insider based on a showing that the corporate entity is the alter ego of the individual.

LFC, 116 Nev. at 903, 8 P.3d at 846 (emphasis added).

The *LFC* Court cited several cases involving the principal of reverse piercing of the corporate veil, however those cases involve the collection of a pre-existing debt in a proceeding in which the complaining party is attempting to collect the pre-existing debt from the alter ego corporation. For instance, in *Cargale Inc. v. Hedge*, 375 N.W.2d 477 (Minn. 1985), the court held that the family farm corporation was the alter ego of its owner--occupants, and therefore, the owners were entitled to reverse pierce the corporate entity to assert homestead exemption against the judgment creditor of one owner. In *Taylor v. Newton*, 117 Cal.App.2d, 257 P.2d 68 (1953), the plaintiff was attempting to collect against a judgment debtor. The court declared that the corporation was the debtor's alter ego and therefore both of them were jointly and severally liable

27

28

for the judgment debt. Notably, a judgment was present before the attempt to show the alter ego status of the corporation was allowed.

The *LFC* Court also cited to *Towe Antique Ford Foundation v. I.R.S.*, 999 F.2d 1387 (9th Cir. 1993), for the proposition that most courts considering the reverse piercing issue allow such piercing. *LFC*, 116 Nev. at 903, 8 P.3d at 846. *Towe Antique*, however, involved an established tax liability, and the *Towe Antique* Court remarked that "[o]rdinarily, courts are called upon to apply the alter ego doctrine in cases where a party seeks to hold an individual liable for a business entity's **debts**. *Towe Antique*, 999 F. 2d at 1390, *citing Drilcon, Inc. v. Roil Energy Corp., Inc.*, 230 Mont. 166, 749 P.2d 1058 (1988) (*emphasis added*).

Here, Plaintiffs have not alleged or established that Orluff Opheikens owes any debt to the Plaintiffs. Bluntly, Plaintiffs have not alleged or established that they are judgment creditors in any respect. They simply have no legal or factual basis to reverse pierce to reach R&O at this time.

Plaintiffs also pay no heed to the equitable concerns involved with reverse pierce theory. However, the LFC decision signals that the equities do not only flow to a complaining party. Rather, they flow to those who may be harmed by an improper reverse pierce. Particularly, LFC cited with approval to a law review article which describes the different types of reverse piercing theories, insider and outsider, and which casts a bright light upon the dangers of the type of haphazard application of reverse pierce theory which Plaintiffs espouse. LFC, 116 Nev. at 903, 8 P.3d at 846. The LFC Court therein cited to Gregory S. Crespi, The Reverse Piercing Doctrine: Applying Appropriate Standards, 16 J.Corp.L. 33, 55-69 (1990), which concludes that "only under highly unusual circumstances should a court uphold an outsider reverse piercing claim and allow a person with a claim against a corporate insider to attach corporate assets directly to satisfy a claim." Id. at 69. Crespi further notes that "[a] key factor in any outsider reverse piercing controversy is the presence of corporate shareholders other than the insider against whom the outsider is asserting the primary claim. If other shareholders do exist, allowance of a reverse pierce would prejudice those shareholders by allowing the outsider to attach assets in which they have an interest. This injustice visited upon non-culpable parties would militate strongly against granting the equitable remedy of corporate disregard." Id. at 66 (emphasis

25

26

27

28

added).¹⁰ Stated another way, allowing Plaintiffs to assert a reverse piercing claim now would be doubly prejudicial in this case: first, it would act as an impermissible prejudgment writ of attachment against R&O; and second, it would absolutely harm purely innocent shareholders.

To reiterate, the one thing that all of the cases cited with approval by the *LFC* Court have in common is that there was a <u>pre-existing debt</u> evidenced either by a note, a tax lien, or a judgment. Such is not the case here. Orluff Opheikens owes the Plaintiffs nothing at the present time. He has denied all liability, and liability has not remotely been established.

Even further, if the Plaintiffs fail to prove that Orluff Opheikens is actually liable to them, then of course no debt will ever be owed by him or (by the hypothetical "reverse pierce" alter ego) R&O. This means that the issue of any alter ego is not ripe for adjudication at the present time. And, even if the Plaintiffs obtain a judgment against Orluff Opheikens, then and only then will it be the time for Plaintiffs to try to prove that R&O is his alter ego in a Chapter 31 proceeding. Stated another way, the "reverse pierce" issue – without any judgment — is totally premature, unripe for adjudication by this Court, and necessarily futile at this stage.

Clear language appearing in the *LFC* case shows that this is indeed the law. The Court there held that, "[c]onceptually, we conclude that reverse piercing is not inconsistent with traditional piercing in its goal of preventing abuse of the corporate form. Indeed "it is particularly appropriate to apply the alter ego doctrine in 'reverse' when the controlling party uses the

¹⁰ The "factual allegations" raised in Plaintiffs' Proposed Third Amended Complaint, e.g. Paragraphs 73-81, are strongly disputed and not supported by the facts of this case. Nevertheless, this Court can set aside the question of whether those "facts" are disputed at this stage and focus squarely upon the Proposed Third Amended Complaint and determine whether the proposed amendment is "facially futile without having to look outside the four corners of the pleadings." See Nutton, 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 34, 357 P.3d at 974. Even when afforded such liberality, Plaintiffs concede that there will be harm to non-culpable parties if a reverse pierce through Orluff Opheikens to R&O is permitted. See e.g., Plaintiffs' Proposed Third Amended Complaint, Paragraph 73. Although Plaintiffs implore this Court to ignore the harm to innocent shareholders by, in effect, slapping a prejudgment writ of attachment upon R&O, this harm strongly suggests that a reverse pierce would be impermissible as a matter of law. The Court should also consider whether other harms would be inflicted upon R&O and other innocent shareholders, such as the impact to R&O's bonding ability and financial strength from being recklessly named in a lawsuit of this nature under a legally unrecognized cause of action which is not covered by insurance. One might wonder if the proposed amendment was actually being brought with a bad faith, ulterior motive to try to force a settlement. See Kantor v. Kantor, 116 Nev. 886, 891, 8 P.3d 825, 828 (2000). 16

controlled entity to hide assets or secretly to conduct business to avoid **the pre-existing liability** of the controlling party." *Id.* at 903, 8 P.3d at 846, *citing Select Creations, Inc. v. Paliafito Am., Inc.*, 852 F.Supp. 740, 774 (E.D.Wis. 1994) (*emphasis added*).

As noted above, there is not a single statement within the Proposed Third Amended Complaint confirming that the Plaintiffs have obtained a judgment against Orluff Opheikens, nor that Plaintiffs have an established debt owed by Orluff which Plaintiffs have not been able to collect. In order to even go forward with any reverse piercing theory, the Plaintiffs will have to obtain a judgment against Orluff Opheikens and then unsuccessfully attempt to collect on that judgment. Then, and only then, can they come to this Court and try to prove that R&O is Orluff Opheikens' alter ego.

Finally, there simply is no showing at the present time that the alleged adherence to the fiction of a separate corporate entity would sanction any sort of fraud or promote injustice. If Plaintiffs never obtain judgment, certainly there will be no injustice or fraud involved. The conditions precedent to allow a reverse pierce theory are indisputably not present at this time. The issue is not ripe for adjudication and Plaintiffs' proposed amendment is necessarily futile at this stage. This Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motion.

///

///

///

22 | ///

///

///

///

///

///

Dated July, 7, 2018

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs' Proposed Third Amended Complaint seeks to assert a legally impermissible claim in a manner unrecognized in Nevada. There is no basis under Nevada law to allow an independent cause of action for "Reverse Veil Piercing Under The Alter Ego Doctrine". Furthermore, Plaintiffs have failed to allege that they have established the predicate fact – in this case, an uncollectible judgment against Orluff Opheikens – which would even permit them to try to advance their "Reverse Veil Piercing" theory in any manner. Consequently, Plaintiffs' Proposed Third Amended Complaint is facially futile and would be subject to dismissal pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(5). Therefore, Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave should be denied pursuant to NRCP 15(a), Halcrow Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 129 Nev. 394, 302 P.3d 1148 (2013), and Nutton v. Sunset Stations, Inc., 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 34, 357 P.3d 966 (Ct. App. 2015).

OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI

JOHN E. GORMLEY, Esq

Nevada Bar No. 001611

OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY

ANGULO & STOBERSKI

9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

Telephone: 702-384-4012

Facsimile: 702-383-0701

Attorney for Defendants

TOM WELCH; ORLUFF OPHEIKENS;

SLADE OPHEIKENS; and CHET

OPHEIKENS

1	<u>CERTIFIC</u>	ATE OF SERVICE
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the	he 5 day of July, 2018, I served a true
3		nt (and any attachments) entitled: DEFENDANTS
4		IEIKENS, CHET OPHEIKENS, AND TOM
5		FFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE THIRD
6		
	AMENDED COMPLAINT in the following	
7	(ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Pursua	ant to Administrative Order 14-2, the above-
8	referenced document was electronically filed	d on the date hereof and served through the Notice
9	of Electronic Filing automatically generated	I by the Court's facilities to those parties listed on
10	the Court's Master Service List	
11	and/or when necessary: by placing a	copy in a sealed envelope, first-class postage fully
12	prepaid thereon, and by depositing the en	nvelope in the U.S. mail at Las Vegas, Nevada,
13	addressed as follows:	
14		
	Donald J. Campbell, Esq. Samuel R. Mirkovich, Esq.	Paul F. Eisinger, Esq. Douglas J. Duesman, Esq.
15	Philip R. Erwin, Esq.	THORNDAL ARMSTRONG DELK
16	CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS	BALKENBUSH & EISINGER
10	700 South Seventh Street	1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101-5315
17	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,	Attorneys for Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff,
	PETER GARDNER and CHRISTIAN	HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba
18	GARNDER on behalf of minor child,	COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK
19	LELAND GARDNER	Marsha L. Stephenson, Esq.
	Rebecca L. Mastrangelo, Esq.	STEPHENSON & DICKINSON
20	ROGERS, MASTRANGELO, CARVALHO &	2820 W. Charleston Blvd, Suite 200
21	MITCHELL 700 G. This I Great	Las Vegas, NV 89102 Attorney for Third-Party Defendant,
21	700 S. Third Street Las Vegas, NV 89101	WILLIAM PATRICK RAY, JR.
22	Attorney for Defendants,	
	SCOTT HUISH, CRAIG HUISH and	Steven T. Jaffe, Esq.
23	WEST COAST WATER PARKS, LLC	Kevin S. Smith, Esq. HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP
24		7425 Peak Drive
24		Las Vegas, NV 89128
25		Attorneys for Defendant,
		SHANE HUISH
26	\parallel	

By:

An employee of OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI

EXHIBIT A

ROCKET REPORTERS

702.8Rocket (702.876.2538) www.RocketReporters.com

1	DISTRICT COURT
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
3	
4	PETER GARDNER and CHRISTIAN GARDNER, on behalf of minor
5	child, LELAND GARDNER,
6	Plaintiffs,
7	vs. CASE NO. A-15-722259-C
8 9	HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba VOLUME I COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK, a Nevada limited liability company;
10	WEST COAST WATER PARKS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company;
11	DOUBLE OTT WATER HOLDINGS, LLC, a Utah limited liability company;
12	ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, an individual; SLADE OPHEIKENS, an individual; CHET OPHEIKENS, an individual;
13	/
14	///
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ORLUFF OPHEIKENS
17	Taken at the offices of Campbell & Williams
18	on Friday, May 4, 2018
19	at 9:32 a.m.
20	at 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada
21	Las vegas, Nevada
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by: Denise R. Kelly, CCR #252, RPR



_	page 2	_		age
1	SHANE HUISH, an individual; SCOTT	1	APPEARANCES (CONT'D):	
	HUISH, an individual; CRAIG HUISH,	2	For Defendants Scott Huish and Craig Huish: KIMBERLY BEAL, ESQ.	
2	an individual; TOM WELCH, an]	ROGERS, MASTRANGELO, CARVALHO & MITCHELL	
	individual; DOES I through X,	4	700 South Third Street	
3	inclusive; ROE Corporations I		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
	through X, inclusive; and ROE	5	702.383.3400	
4	Limited Liability Company I		kbeal@rmcmlaw.com	
	through X, inclusive,	6		
5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7	Also present:	
_	Defendants.	'	JOSEPH CAMP, VIDEOGRAPHER	
6	belendanes.	8	LAS VEGAS LEGAL VIDEO	
7	AND ALL DELAMED CLAIMS		PETER GARDNER	
/	AND ALL RELATED CLAIMS	9	SLADE OPHEIKENS	
_	/		SCOTT HUISH	
8		10	TOM WELCH	
9		11 12	+ + + +	
0		13		
1		14	INDEX	
2		15	WITNESS PAGE	
3			ORLUFF OPHEIKENS	
4		16	Examination by Mr. Campbell 7	
5		1.7	Continued Examination by Mr. Campbell 211	
6		17 18		
7		19		
8			INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED	
9		20	None	
0		21		
1		22		
		23	NO ANSWER PROVIDED BY THE DEPONENT	
2		23	Page 44 Page 83	
3		24	Page 211	
4			Page 322	
5		25	-	
	page 3		pa	age
1	APPEARANCES:	1	EXHIBITS	
2	- 11 11 1 1 1 1 6 6	1 -		
3	For the Plaintiffs:	2	(Exhibit Nos. 1 through 38 were marked	
3	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ.	2	-	
		2 3	in prior depositions.)	
4	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK	2	-	
1	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS	2 3	in prior depositions.)	
1	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street	2 3 4 5	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of	
1	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS	2 3 4 5 6	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga	
1 5	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com	2 3 4 5	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of	
1 5 5	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com	2 3 4 5 6	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga	
1 5 7	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com	2 3 4 5 6 7	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
11 5 7 8	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
11 5 7 8	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com FOR Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
1 5 7 3 9	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
11 55 77 89 90	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com FOr Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
14 55 66 77 89 90	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com FOr Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
14 55 77 33 99 90 11 12 23	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
1 5 7 3 3 9 9 9 1 1 1 2 3	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
1 5 7 3 3 9 9 9 1	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
1 5 7 3 3 9 9 9 1	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
14 55 67 73 99 90 11 12 23 34	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
1 5 7 7 3 3 9 9 9 1 1 1 5	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
1 5 7 1 1 1 5 7	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 702.383 0701 jgormley@ocgas.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
1 5 7 3 3 9 9 9 9 1 1 1 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 702.383 0701 jgormley@ocgas.com For Defendant Shane Huish:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 7 7 8 8 9 9 1 9 1 9 1 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 702.383 0701 jgormley@ocgas.com For Defendant Shane Huish: WALTER F. FICK, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
14 5 7 3 9 9 9 1 1 2 3 4 4 7 3 9 7 3 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 702.383 0701 jgormley@ocgas.com For Defendant Shane Huish: WALTER F. FICK, ESQ. HALL, JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 702.383 0701 jgormley@ocgas.com For Defendant Shane Huish: WALTER F. FICK, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRROVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 702.383 0701 jgormley@ocgas.com For Defendant Shane Huish: WALTER F. FICK, ESQ. HALL, JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP 7425 Peak Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 702.316.4111	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
14 55 56 77 33 99 90 11 55 77 33 99 90 11	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com For Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 702.383 0701 jgormley@ocgas.com For Defendant Shane Huish: WALTER F. FICK, ESQ. HALL, JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP 7425 Peak Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89128	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
4 5 6 7 8 9 9 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com FOT Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com FOT Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 702.383 0701 jgormley@cogas.com FOT Defendant Shane Huish: WALTER F. FICK, ESQ. HALL, JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP 7425 Peak Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 702.316.4111 wfick@lawhjc.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
1 5 7 3 3 9 1 1 1 7 7 3 3 9 7 7 3 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com FOr Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com FOr Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 702.383 0701 jgormley@ocgas.com FOr Defendant Shane Huish: WALTER F. FICK, ESQ. HALL, JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP 7425 Peak Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 702.316.4111 wfick@lawhjc.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	
	DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ. SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ. PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.382.5222 djc@cwlawlv.com srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com FOT Defendant Henderson Water Park: DOUGLAS J. DUESMAN, ESQ. THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 East Bridger Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622 djd@thorndal.com FOT Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ. OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI 9950 West Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 702.383 0701 jgormley@cogas.com FOT Defendant Shane Huish: WALTER F. FICK, ESQ. HALL, JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP 7425 Peak Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 702.316.4111 wfick@lawhjc.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in prior depositions.) DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga Bay with identifications of people in the photos by	



page 6 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, MAY 4, 2018, 1 2 9:32 A.M. * * * * * 3 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today is May 4th, 2018. This begins the videotaped deposition 6 of Orluff Opheikens. The time is approximately 7 9:32 a.m. 8 My name is Joseph Camp, court videographer of Las Vegas Legal Video. And your court reporter is 10 Denise Kelly of Rocket Reporters. 11 Were are located at Campbell & Williams, 700 South Seventh Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101. 12 13 This is District Court, Clark County, 14 Nevada, Case No. A-15-722259. 15 Will counsel present please identify themselves for the record, and the court reporter will 16 administer the oath. 17 18 MR. CAMPBELL: Donald Jude Campbell, 19 Campbell & Williams, 700 South Seventh Street, with 20 the plaintiff. 21 MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, 22 Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the 23 plaintiffs. 24 MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & 25 Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. page 7 MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & 1

page 8 1 O. Mr. Opheikens, have you ever had your 2 deposition taken before? 3 Yeah. 4 O. On how many occasions? 5 I have no idea. Two or three times maybe. 6 Two or three times. 7 When was the last time you had your 8 deposition taken, sir? 9 I don't recall. Α. Okay. Would it have been within the last 10 Q. 11 10 years? **12** A. Honestly, I can't remember. 13 Okay. We are going to cover then some of the procedures and protocols that are going to be attendant to the taking of your deposition. So I 15 would ask that you pay attention to what I'm about to 17 share with you. If you have any questions or you want me to elaborate, don't for a minute hesitate, I'm 18 19 happy to do so. Okay? 20 A. Okay. 21 O. This deposition is being conducted pursuant to the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. This 23 deposition is being taken of you as a party to this 24 litigation.

2 Williams, on behalf of plaintiffs. 3 MR. DUESMAN: Douglas Duesman, Thorndahl 4 Armstrong, on behalf of Henderson Water Park. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal from Rogers 5 6 Masterangelo, on behalf of Craig and Scott Huish. 7 MR. FICK: Walter Fick with Hall Jaffe & Clayton also on behalf of Mr. Huish. 8 9 MR. HUISH: Scott Huish with Henderson 10 Water Park. 11 MR. WELCH: Tom Welch. 12 MR. OPHEIKENS: Slade Opheikens. 13 MR. GORMLEY: John Gormley, Olson Cannon & Gormley, on behalf of Double Ott and the 14 15 Opheikens. 16 17 ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, 18 having been first duly sworn, was 19 examined and testified as follows: 20 21 **EXAMINATION** 22 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 23 Good morning, Mr. Opheikens. How are you,

Fine, thank you.

24

25

sir?

Α.

gives his testimony, his answers to questions propounded to him under oath. 4 That's the most important feature because you can't in any material way prevaricate. And I'm not suggesting that you would. But should you do so, 7 should you falsely answer a question or otherwise not fully answer a question with respect to what is asked of you in that regard and try to conceal facts, that 10 would be a felony under Nevada law. And, of course, I'm not suggesting you would ever commit such a serious offense such as perjury under Nevada law, but simply to advise you and reinforce how important it is that you respond to the questions truthfully in all 15 regards. Okay? 16 A. Okay. 17 All right. Mr. Opheikens, it's also

The most important feature of taking

deposition testimony is that the deponent, that's you,

important that you understand the question. If you at any time don't understand the question, because I'm from time to time given to asking maybe a convoluted question or one that can't be understood by you, please alert me to that fact. I'm happy to redraft it for you. I'm happy to reframe it in such a manner that we are both on the same page or understand one another, what I'm asking and what you're answering.



25

page 10

1

2

9

Will you do that for me?

A.

1

2

11

2

3

7

3 Q. Okay. In that regard, I want you to take all the time you feel is necessary to think about the question that is being asked of you and answer it. This is not going to be, you know, something like you 6 7 would see on a Perry Mason show where people are required to give rapid-fired answers. Okay? You just take your time, think about the question, and go ahead 10 and answer it. Will you do that for me?

A.

12 O. All right. One of the things that we like 13 to do in these depositions is to get you to answer audibly. While you're on videotape, and that's being 15 recorded digitally, it is somewhat difficult for the court reporter to take down all of your testimony if 17 you're nodding your head or shaking your head to 18 indicate affirmative or negative responses. So we are 19 going to ask you to answer audibly in all regards.

20 Same way with respect to "uh-huhs" or 21 "huh-uhs" or things of that nature to indicate 22 negative or affirmative responses. We ask you to just go ahead and say "yes" or "no." And I can tell you I 24 have been doing this 40 years, and I have never once ever had a witness that I didn't have to say, "Does

that mean 'yes' or is that a 'yes' or a 'no?"" Okay.

If I say that to you, it's not meant to be a criticism of any way of your testimony or your appearance, demeanor, or the manner in which you're testifying. It's simply to remind you. Because you are not doing this on an everyday basis, so you're probably going to forget that from time to time. 8 Okay?

9 Α. Okav.

10 O. All right. Similarly, it's important that you let me get my full question out. In everyday 12 conversation you are going to anticipate exactly what 13 somebody is about to ask you about halfway through the question. And in everyday conversation it's not a 15 bit, you know, unusual for you to go ahead and answer the question having anticipated it and going ahead and 17 saving the person asking the question the time and 18 expense necessary to get it all out.

19 That's impossible for the court reporter 20 to handle during the course of a deposition, because 21 she has to have full question, then full answer, not 22 half a question, full answer, half a question. Okay?

23 A. Okav.

24 Q. All right. And I may remind you from time 25 to time on that as well. Okay?

Α. Okav.

Q. All right. I'm not going to ask you to engage in anything that we generally regard as rank speculation. You know, wild guessing. I am entitled, however, to your most -- to your best recollection of events and your reasonable interpretation of the events that you witnessed or otherwise participated in or were told about. Okay?

You're going to understand certain things 10 by my -- by the mere fact that you have lived a certain amount of time on God's green earth. You're a man of great wisdom, I'm sure. So I'm going to advise you that with respect to speculation, if I asked you, for example, to speculate what the length of the 15 dining room table in my house was, you couldn't tell me what that was. Right? Because you've never been 17 in my house. You don't know if there is a dining room 18 table here.

19 But if I asked you to say, okay, tell me the general length of this board table you're sitting 21 at. You may say, "Okay, it looks to be about 20 feet 22 or so."

23 That's the difference between the two.

24 Okay?

page 11

1

4

5

11

12

17

18

19

21

25 A. Okay.

page 13

All right. You're present here today with multiple counsel that are going to be attending these proceedings and some representing you individually in this matter.

And that's your entitlement. It's your right. And that's an important feature. But it's your testimony that we are after. We're not after the testimony of anybody that is representing you. Accordingly, you can't look to your attorneys to give 10 you an answer to a pending question.

If, however, there is a question that would in the opinion of your attorney invade the attorney/client privilege; that is, that would call for you to respond in such a manner that would reveal 15 protected or privileged conversations with an attorney, you have an opportunity to talk to your client about that. All right? But barring that, there generally isn't any basis upon which you can take a break during a pending question to talk your 20 attorney about certain things.

Now, if for any reason you have to take a 22 break in these proceedings to, for example, go to the 23 restroom, or something of that nature, please alert me to that fact. We will try to finish up the question or the particular area that we are delving into at



1

2

3

4

16

Α.

Q.

the same.

that time, and I'll be happy to take a break to permit you to do so and accommodate you in that regard.

3 Your deposition is going to be lasting at 4 least seven hours by rule. Okay. We generally take a 15-minute break in the morning, a 15-minute break in the afternoon, and approximately a half-an-hour break 6 7 or so for lunch.

8 If there is anything that comes up that's important for you to attend to, however, I'll also 10 permit you to take a break there. For example, if you 11 get a phone call from a loved one who's ill or 12 something of that nature.

13 With respect to your deposition today, is 14 there any reason why your deposition cannot go 15 forward? For example, are you suffering from any 16 cognitive disability of any kind or type? Are you 17 under the care of a physician or taking medication of 18 any kind or type that doesn't permit you to give your 19 best testimony here today?

- 20 I suffer from something called chronic 21 fatigue syndrome.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 And I never know if it's going to hit. A.
- 24 O.
- 25 I hope I'll be fine. Α.

10 said. 11 We provide that transcript for you to 12 review. If you make any changes in that transcript,

stenographic means. But at the end of it, the

and sometimes people do, they change the spelling of a name, a person's name, things of the nature, you're

However, with respect to substantive

Okay. Good for you. I wish I could say

Mr. Opheikens, this deposition, as I

mentioned, is being recorded digitally as well as by

stenographic reporter, Miss Kelly in this particular

prepare a verbatim transcript of everything that is

action, the young lady who swore you in, is going to

15 entitled to do that.

17 changes in your testimony, for example, if this were 18 an automobile accident and you testified here today that "the light was red," and then changed your testimony to the effect that "it was green," that 21 could be used to impeach your testimony at the time of 22 trial.

23 That is to say, you answered one way under 24 oath and then after you reflected on how that answer

affected possibly your liability, you then changed

page 15

4

8

16

17

18

- All right. All right. And do you take 2 medication for that?
- 3 Α. No.

- 4 Q. All right. And when were you first 5 diagnosed with that malady?
- 6 Time flies by so fast, as you know. Just 7 let me think for a second.
- 8 Q. Sure.
- 9 Probably about 2004. Α.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. Maybe '05.
- 12 Q. Are you actively treated for that malady?
- 13 There is not much treatment they can do, other than you just have to get rest and do -- you 15 know, eat the right foods and all that kind of stuff.
- 16 Q. Good.
- 17 A. I'm really doing pretty good.
- 18 Good, I'm glad to hear it.
- 19 Okay. Anything other than that? You are
- 20 not on any medications --21
 - Α. No.
- 22 -- or anything that --O.
- 23 A.
- 24 Q. Do you take any medications at all for any
- malady?

- your answer. Impeachment means the suggestion would
- be made that you prevaricated or lied. And obviously,
- that's something that you want to try to avoid. Okay?
 - A. Um-hum.
- 5 O. That's a "yes"?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 See, I caught you already.
 - Okay. So I want your best testimony today, and that's why I'm going to allot you all the
- time necessary for you to go ahead and give me your,
- 11 your answers. Okay?
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 O. All right. Is there anything that I've
- discussed with you so far, Mr. Opheikens, that is in
- 15 any way confusing to you or needs clarification?
 - A. I don't think so.
 - Okay. Very good.
 - Mr. Opheikens, please tell me what your
- 19 understanding of this case is all about.
- 20 Well, of course a child drowned and was
- 21 maimed, his -- with brain damage. It's our testimony
- or my testimony that we subordinated or assigned that
- 23 responsibility to the operations people running the 24 park.
- 25 I'm an investor, I'm not -- I don't run --



7

8

9

14

21

page 21

1 I'm not a water park guy.

2

That's probably it in my, in my mind.

- 3 Q. Okay. Tell me what the name of the little 4 boy is.
- 5 A. Leland Gardner.
- 6 Q. Okay. When did you first learn of Leland
- 7 Gardner's event at your water park?
- 8 A. I don't, I don't recall a date. It was, I
- 9 believe it was the afternoon or late afternoon of the
- 10 day that it happened. Maybe evening, early evening.
- 11 I'm not positive. But I don't have a strong memory of
- 12 that moment. Yeah, that's all I remember.
- 13 Q. Okay. And you don't know the date that 14 occurred?
- 15 A. I believe it was May 27th.
- 16 Q. And when was it that you were informed of
- 17 the event for the first time?
- 18 A. Well, I believe May 27th in the evening.
- 19 Q. And how were you informed?
- 20 A. By phone.
- 21 Q. And who was it that informed you of the
- 22 event?
- 23 A. My son Slade.
- Q. Okay. And what was it that -- what did he
- 25 tell you? What did he say to you?

- 1 alerting me to the fact that I asked you a question
- 2 that you didn't understand.

How much time was consumed in this first phone call that you had with your son concerning the event?

- 6 A. I, I really don't remember.
 - Q. Okay. What was the next phone call? What was the next communication that you had concerning the event?
- 10 A. I would be speculating, I just don't 11 remember.
- 12 Q. I don't want you to speculate, just give 13 me your best reasoned estimate as to when it was.
 - MR. GORMLEY: If he has one, fair enough.
- 15 THE WITNESS: I just don't remember.
- 16 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 17 Q. Okay. Would it have been within days of 18 the event?
- 19 A. Well, I believe so.
- Q. Okay. And why do you believe so?
 - A. Well, I, I just think that my son would
- 22 have gotten with me.
- 23 Q. All right. Well, irrespective of what
- 24 length of time passed since the first phone call with
- 25 your son, tell me what it was that your son -- and was

page 19

- 1 A. Well, I'm guessing, because I don't have a 2 real vivid memory.
- Q. I want you to give your best recollection.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. Basically that there had been an accident
- 7 and that there had been a drowning, and that they
- 8 thought the little boy was going to be okay. They had
- 9 got him out. And that's pretty much probably what I
- 10 remember.
- 11 Q. All right. How long did this phone call 12 take place?
- 13 A. I have no recollection of that.
- Q. Okay. Did he call you on your home phone
- 15 or your cellular phone?
- 16 A. It would be my cellular phone.
- 17 Q. And what's that number?
- 18 A. (801)540-9357.
- 19 Q. Okay. And what's his phone number?
- 20 A. (801)540 -- no, 430-1303.
- Q. Approximately how long was it that this,
- 22 that was consumed during the course of this phone call
- 23 when you first learned of the event involving Leland?
- 24 A. Okay, ask me that again.
- 25 Q. I'm happy to do so. Thank you for

- 1 it the same son?
- **2 A. Yes.** 3 O. And
 - Q. And his name again for the record?
- 4 A. Slade Opheikens.
- 5 Q. And tell me what it was when Slade called
- 6 you and shared information with you subsequently.
- 7 A. Could you explain what "subsequently" 8 means.
- 9 Q. Yes. After the first phone call.
- 10 A. Yeah.

11

- Q. Okay. You think he had a second phone
- 12 call? I know you don't remember exactly when that
- 13 was, but tell me what that phone call was all about.
- 14 Tell me what he said and tell me what you said to the
- 15 best of your recollection.
- 16 A. I just don't have any recollection at all.
- 17 Q. None whatsoever?
- 18 A. I don't. I'm sorry.
- 19 Q. Okay. Tell me what the next communication
- 20 you had with anyone concerning the event.
- 21 A. I don't know if I'm not understanding.
- 22 Are you asking me to remember day-by-day or
- 23 week-by-week or just kind of over a broad period of
- 24 time?
 - Q. Well, if you can't answer me day-by-day,



page 22

4

19

- 1 just give me your best, best recollection of what you
- 2 do recall. For example, if you, if you talked to
- 3 Slade with respect to the event on what you believe to
- 4 be the evening of the 27th of May, the day the event
- 5 took place.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You talked to him some time later,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And when you talked to him some
- 11 time later, was that a matter of days, do you think,
- 12 your best recollection?
- 13 A. I think it would have been sooner than
- 14 days.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. And I, and I, I just don't recall.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. I believe that, that I thought that the
- 19 young boy had been rescued and that he was going to be 20 okay.
- 21 Q. Okay. Let's see if we can maybe assist
- 22 you.
- Was there a point in time that you learned
- 24 that Leland was not going to be okay?
- 25 A. I really didn't hear too much about it for

- 1 saying that, you know, that information, that they
- 2 were saying things that just didn't make sense based
- 3 on what we thought, what I thought.
 - Q. Who was saying things? The news media?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. Okay. And do you recall what things the
- news media was saying that differed from what you had
- 8 been informed?
- 9 A. Well, I remember, again I believe it was
- 10 Shane, or a conversation between my son Chet and
- 11 Shane, where they felt like we needed to get a PR firm
- 12 engaged because of the things that the, that were
- 13 being said on television were so inaccurate. And it
- 14 was, you know, potentially could do damage to the
- 15 reputation of the park.
- 16 Q. All right. And was this, was this a phone
- 17 call that you had with both of them? A conference
- 18 call with both of them, Chet and Shane?
 - A. I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. Who do you think conveyed this
- 21 information to you?
- 22 A. I think Chet.
- 23 Q. Okay. Did you endorse the idea that you
- 24 should get a PR firm to assist?
- 25 A. At that point, yes, I thought they should

page 23

- 1 some period of time. I mean, I don't really think -
- 2 oh, I remember making a call and asking I believe
- 3 Shane.
- 4 Q. And that's Shane?
- 5 A. Huish.
- 6 Q. Shane Huish.
- 7 A. How the little boy was doing, whether they
- 8 had any word. And I don't remember if that was one
- 9 day or three days or -- I just don't remember.
- 10 Q. Was it within a week?
- 11 A. I believe so.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. And, and I asked him if, you know, if
- 14 they'd had word on the little boy. And what I recall
- 15 is that he hadn't been able to talk to anybody, that
- 16 something to do with medical laws. I don't know. I
- 17 don't remember the exact conversation.
- 18 Q. Um-hum.
- 19 A. But they thought the little boy was going
- 20 to be okay.
- Q. Okay. All right. And what was the next
- 22 communication you had concerning Leland?
- 23 A. Well, I don't think I remember very much
- 24 of conversations or news or otherwise until something
- 25 came out on television. And then I received a call

- 1 look into it.
- Q. Okay. All right. And what did you tell
- 3 them in that regard?
- 4 A. Oh, I don't know. They thought they ought
- 5 to look into it.
- 6 Q. But, nevertheless, you approved and
- 7 endorsed the idea that a PR firm should in fact be
- 8 hired?
- 9 A. Well, at least they should talk to
- 10 somebody.
- 11 Q. Okay. And do you know who they talked to
- 12 about it?

15

- 13 A. I don't remember the gentleman's name.
- 14 Q. Okay. And did you ever talk to anyone
 - from a PR firm?
 - A. No.
- 17 Q. All right. Do you know if -- do you know
- 18 who it was that talked to, to the PR firm?
- 19 A. I believe that, I believe there was a
- 20 meeting set up and Chet and Shane were going to sit 21 down with this gentleman.
- 22 And, and then -- okay, now my memory is
- 23 coming back. Okay, I'm sorry.
- 24 There, there had probably been something
 - in the television or TV news event about a shortage of



2

15

16

1

2

5

18

20

25

1 lifeguards. And, and I think that, that at that point Shane pulled Slade aside, as they were going into this 3 meeting, to discuss this with this PR person.

4 Because we had been told that everything 5 was the way it was supposed to be and that he didn't want us to think that something had been conveyed wrongly and that maybe there was an issue with the 7 8 number of lifeguards.

9 Okay. Now, do you recall when this was, 10 this conversation was? Withdraw the question.

11 Do you recall when the meeting was that took place with the PR person?

12

- 13 I think it was like the end of July. Α.
- 14 Q. End of July?
- 15 Α. Quite a ways, quite a while.
- All right. Of 2015? 16 Q.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And how is it that you learned of
- 19 this meeting and the attendant facts?
- 20 Well, I think I heard it after the fact. Α.
- 21 O.
- 22 Α. I don't recall. I could speculate, but...
- 23 I don't want you to speculate. What is
- your best reasoned recollection? 24
- 25 I don't know how to do a reasoned

1 Very good. All right.

And who was it that shared this

information with you?

- 4 Well, it would have probably either been Slade or Chet.
- Okay. 6 Q.
- 7 I don't know if Chet talked to Slade first A. 8 and then contacted me, or I just don't recall.
- 9 Okay. Now, what was the next event or -withdraw. 10

11 What was the next significant bit of

12 information that was shared with you concerning this 13 event?

14 A. I'm sorry. Nothing comes to my mind.

Nothing comes to your mind, okay. Q.

Following the time that this meeting with

17 the PR person was had, did the public relations firm 18 engage in an effort to put out a narrative that was

more consistent with one that was favorable to the

Cowabunga Bay as opposed to against it?

21 Α. I, I don't think that the public relations 22 went forward.

- 23 Q. Okay. And why do you say that? 24
 - Α. I, I just know that it didn't.
- 25 How do you know that? Q.

- recollection without it being speculation, because I don't remember. 2
- 3 O. So you just have no idea whatsoever?
- 4 A. I don't have any clear memory.
- 5 You can't give me any timeframe? Q.
- Okay. Timeframe for what, please? 6 Α.
- 7 For when you were told about the meeting
- with the PR person; that is, after it had been 8 9 accomplished?
- 10 A. Well, I think shortly after the meeting I
- was told that, that Shane had decided possibly there 11 12 was a problem with the number of lifeguards.
- 13 Okay. And do you know when you were told Q. 14 that?
- 15 Α. You mean like what time or do you mean what day? 16
- 17 Q. Yes. In connection with when the meeting 18 took place?
- 19 A.
- 20 And how long after, approximately?
- 21 I, I don't know. I have no recall. I
- 22 don't know. I didn't know when their meeting was.
- 23 Would it have been at or near the time
- 24 that the meeting had taken place?
- 25 I would think so.

- I just know. I can't remember how I was told or. But it didn't go forward.
- 3 Q. Okay. And, again, you don't know the name 4 of the man?
 - Α. I don't.
- 6 O. Okay. You don't know the name of the
- 7 firm?
- 8 A. I don't.
- 9 Did you ever speak to anyone from the O.
- 10 public relations firm? 11
 - A. No.
- 12 Q. Was there ever a public relations firm
- that was hired thereafter? 13
- 14 Α. No.
- 15 To your knowledge? Q.
- 16 Α.
- 17 O. Okay. And how do you know that?
 - Okay. Not that I'm aware of. Α.
- 19 Okay. Very good. Q.
 - Tell me a little bit about your
- 21 businesses. You are in the construction business as
- 22 your primary business?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And the name of it is?
 - **R&O** Construction Company.



- All right. And what type of legal entity 1
- 2 is R&O Construction Company?
- 3 It's a corporation. Α.
- 4 O. And when was it formed?
- 5 Is approximate okay? Α.
- 6 Q. Yes, of course.
- 7 I think about 1982.
- 8 Okay. And could you please tell me, as
- you sit here today, approximately how many legal
- 10 entities you are associated with as an officer,
- 11 director, or managing member?
- 12 I don't know. Several.
- 13 Okay. And is several more or less than Q.
- 10? 14
- 15 A. Probably less.
- 16 Okay. Could you tell me what Landmark, Q.
- Inc. is? 17
- 18 Well, it's actually my wife had a little
- 19 cleaning business that she doesn't do much with
- 20 anymore. It was just a little deal. And originally,
- 21 I had an office building in Ogden, and I think that we
- 22 thought that was probably put over in her name or
- 23 something like that. So it's just something that
- 24 hasn't been cleaned up.
- 25 Are you an officer or director of that

- that we did pre '08 and we have been working since 1
- '08. We're pretty much -- it's pretty much gone now.
- 3 You were a managing member of that as Q.
- well? 4

7

11

page 30

- 5 Α. I believe so.
- 6 What is a Wall Avenue Aircraft, LLC?
 - It's kind of a -- we used to own an
- 8 airplane years ago. I have no idea what's inside
- there now, if anything. Might be a car in there or
- 10 something like that. I'm just not sure.
 - You're the manager of that as well?
- 12 Well, do I have to tell you firmly that I
- 13 am or I -- I'm guessing.
- 14 Q. To the best of your recollection.
- 15 A. I'm guessing that I am.
- 16 Okay. Your best reasoned recollection is Q.
- what I'm after. 17
- 18 What is Wall Avenue Management?
- 19 Well, I think, I think that's an entity --
- I don't know how to explain it. I years ago worked
- 21 with a, an attorney and he set these LLCs up for real
- estate, these real estate entities. You probably
- 23 understand them better than I do.
- 24 Q. What is Opheikens & Company?
- 25 That's an old residential corporation that

page 31

- company? 1
- 2 I honestly don't know. I would guess I'm 3 an officer if it's still there.
- 4 Could you please tell me what Industrial
- 5 Park Properties is?
- 6 That's a little LLC that owns a piece of 7 property.
- 8 All right. And what piece of property 9 does that LLC own?
- 10 Well, I can tell you it's in Farr West. I 11 get them confused. There's two, there is two
- 12 different entities out in Farr West. I think it's a
- 13 lot just out in Farr West. We had a bigger piece of
- 14 property and we sold a piece off, a couple pieces off,
- 15 and it's kind of the remnant of that.
- 16 If when you name the other one, I decide 17 that that's wrong, I'll correct it.
- You're the managing member of Industrial 18
- 19 Park Properties?
- **20** A. I think so, yes.
- 21 O. What is Hamptons Development &
- 22 Construction?
- 23 That's a project that's pretty much
- completed. It's a -- I have a partner in it named
- 25 Bob Anderson. It's a little residential subdivision

- originally when I started was in residential
- 2 construction, building homes.
 - Q. You were the president of that company?
- 4 A.
- 5 Q. What is Opheikens & Sons Farms?
- 6 Α. That's a -- we own a farm up where I live,
- 7 a little 20-acre piece of property.
- 8 Q. And you're the manager of that LLC as
- 9 well?

3

11

15

- 10 Again, I think so. Α.
 - Q. Okay. What is Zeb's Again, LLC?
- 12 That's gone. It was a little restaurant I Α.
- 13 helped a guy start at a little golf course place. And
- 14 that's all gone.
 - Q. What is Red Mountain?
- **16** I have no idea. Red Mountain? A.
- 17 Q. Red Mountain.
- 18 I have, I have no idea. Α.
- 19 Okay. What is Stencer Holdings, LLC? Q.
- 20 I believe that's our office here in A.
- 21 Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 22 Okay. And where is it located? O.
- 23 A. Just south of the airport on Spencer
- 24 Street.
 - Do you know the address? O.



25

- Α. I, I don't offhand. 1
- 2 Q. Okay. And you're the managing member of 3 that as well, correct?
- 4 Probably.
- 5 Okay. R&O Construction Company is a O.
- 6 corporation?
- 7 I think. Well, I think, yes. I think it A.
- 8 is.
- 9 Q. Okay. And when it was formed in -- what 10 year did you say, I'm sorry?
- 11 Well, I think approximately '82.
- 12 Okay. Were you the chief executive O.
- 13 officer?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And were you the chairman of the
- 16 board?
- 17 Α. No.
- 18 O. Who was chairman of the board?
- 19 Α. Well, that's a long time ago. It might
- 20 have been Les Randall.
- 21 Q. Okay. Les Randall was the R in R&O?
- 22 Α. That's correct.
- 23 O. And you were the O in R&O?
- 24 Correct. Fancy name, huh. Α.
- 25 Q. And did there come a time when you became

- chairman of the board -- excuse me, the chief 1
- executive officer?
- 3 A. When Del passed away.
 - O. And when was that?
 - Let's say four to five years ago probably.
- 6 Tell me what R&O Investments is.
 - I'm not sure. I'm actually not positive
- 8 if it -- I'm going to guess that it's a little thing
- that we have some stocks and stuff in.
- 10 We actually had quite a few stocks before
- this Cowabunga thing came along, and we had to sell 11
 - our stock in order to try and make this -- put this
- 13 park together.
- 14 Q. All right. And were they publicly-traded
- 15 stocks?

19

21

1

8

11

- 16 Yeah. Yeah. Α.
- 17 Okay. And were you the manager of that
- 18 LLC as well, R&O Investments, LLC?
 - Probably. Α.
- 20 Q. Okay. What is R&O Equipment, LLC?
 - It's another LLC. I'm not sure what is Α.
- 22 inside of it. It might be a car or a boat or
- 23 something. I'm just not positive what is in there.
- 24 And you're likewise the manager of that?
- 25 I'm sure I am, yeah.

page 35

page 34

4

5

7

- the chairman of the board? 1
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 0. And when was that?
- 4 A. When I got sick.
- 5 Q. And when was that?
- Roughly 15 years ago or so. 6 A.
- 7 Q. Okay. And who became chief executive 8 officer?
- 9 At that time Del Campbell. Α.
- 10 Q. Okay. And who is Mr. Campbell?
- 11 A. Well, he had been with us for a number of
- years, Del passed away. 12
- 13 And what was his, what was his position?
- Well, he was the chief executive officer, 14
- 15 president of the company.
- Okay. And before he became CEO, what was 16 Q.
- 17 his position?
- 18 I think business development. I don't
- 19 know if he had a title, but that's what he did.
- 20 Are you chairman of the board of R&O Q. 21 today?
- 22 Α. Yeah.
- 23 Q. And who is the CEO?
- 24 Α. Slade Opheikens.
- 25 When did Slade Opheikens become the O.

- O. What is R&O Properties?
- 2 They are starting to sound similar. I
- 3 don't know. It might be a piece of property that we
- purchased inside of R&O or something along that line.
- 5 All right. And you were the manager of
- that? 6
- 7 A. Well, if they're on there, I probably am.
 - Okay. R&O Management, Inc., what is that?
- 9 A. Is that the green spot in the middle or
- 10 something?
 - Q. No. The green spot in the middle is you.
- 12 A. Oh. I don't know what R&O Management,
- 13 Inc. is.
- 14 Do you know if you, if you are a director
 - or officer of R&O Management, Inc.?
- 15 16 Well, I would think I probably am, but you
- **17** know I, I don't know.
- 18 Okay. I would like to talk to you a
- little bit about R&O, if I might. And as I understand
- it, you were one of the founders of R&O Construction;
- 21 is that correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. All right. And who is Les Randall?
- 24 A. Kind of like my dad.
 - Okay. And you, you participated in the Q.



25

page 38 page 40 introduced you, was Mr. Welch associated with Smith's

development of this construction company with

Food King, directly associated?

3 A. Yes.

> 4 Q. All right. And what was his position at

5 that time?

6 I. I don't know what his title was. He

7 worked for Dee Smith.

8 Okay. Do you recall when it was that you

built the first Smith's Food King as a result of

Mr. Welch's introduction of you to Dee Smith?

11 A. Probably in the neighborhood of '84 maybe.

12 1984.

14

page 39

3

10

11

13

13 O. Okav.

> A. We didn't build a first Smith's Food King,

15 we started out doing, hanging doors or something along

17 All right. When was it that -- did you O.

18 ever build a supermarket for the Smith family?

19

20 Q. All right. When was the first one?

21 A. Probably '85, somewhere in there.

22 All right. And how many did you build for O.

23 the Smith family?

24 I, I have no idea. Α.

25 Ballpark it.

1 Good friend. A.

2 All right. And when was it that you

3 developed a relationship with Mr. Welch?

4 A. In college.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

13

25

A.

Q.

A.

Q.

A.

Q.

Q.

A.

Q.

Α.

O.

Q.

Mr. Welch?

yours of sorts?

Mr. Randall; is that correct?

Yes, um-hum.

other business ventures with him?

all we did probably was lose money.

Okay. Anything else?

That I did with Les?

Not that I remember.

Yes. That's correct.

At running horses?

Quarter horses.

All right. When you refer to him as your

Okay. And were you ever involved in any

Well, we ran some horses at one point. I

adventure or venture, maybe business adventure. But

dad, or sort of like your dad, this was a mentor of

don't know if you'd call it much of a business

Okay. All right. Anything else?

All right. Who is Tom Welch?

Okay. And what is your relationship with

Mr. Welch is right there.

That was probably 20 years ago.

Yes.

5 Okay. Did you ever develop a business O.

relationship with Mr. Welch? 6

7 Well, okay. From the standpoint of

through R&O Construction Company, for instance? 8

That or anything else.

10 Well, indirectly. I mean Tom worked for

11 Smith's Food King years ago and we built some Smith's

Food King stores. 12

All right. What was Mr. Welch's position

14 with Smith's Food King?

15 I believe he was vice president.

Okay. And how many Smith's Food King 16

17 stores did you build?

18 Well, over all these years, over all these

19 years quite a number. But Tom hadn't been with

Smith's Food King for a long time, a really long time.

21 Okay. So, perhaps I can back into this a

22 little bit. Was Mr. Welch instrumental in getting you

23 the first contract to build a Smith's Food King?

24 Well, he introduced us, yes.

Q. All right. And at the time that he

A number. A number. Well, you can see 1 2

how accurate my ballparks are, though. O. More or less than 10?

4 More. Α.

5 O. More or less than 20?

6 You know, I'm guessing. That's a

7 speculation, isn't it?

8 Don't want you to speculate. I want your

9 best reasoned estimate.

> A. I, I just have no real idea.

So you can't give me any estimate as to

12 how many more than 10 you built for Smith?

Not without guessing.

14 Okay. Did you -- and when you say not

15 without guessing, that's because you have no basis

upon which to reasonably estimate the amount of

17 Smith's Food Kings that you built, whether it was 15

18 or 20 or 25 or give me a range; is that correct?

19 I don't understand what you're trying to

20 get at. Okay, let me say this. There is a lot of

different Smith's. Smith's have been purchased and

22 sold and purchased and sold. What Smith's are you

23 talking about?

24 Q. Any Smith branded food store.

I can pull a number out of the air, it



page 42

will be way off. I have no way of knowing how many 1 2 Smith's we've built.

- 3 Well, tell me this --Q.
- 4 A. Ouite a few.
- 5 Have you built, have you built Smith's Q.
- 6 food stores in markets other than Ogden or Salt Lake?
 - Yes. Right here in Nevada.
- 8 0. Okay. Any, any other states?
- 9 A. Yes.

7

- 10 Q. Where?
- 11 Probably New Mexico. Probably Colorado.
- All over the place. I mean, I --**12**
- 13 Okay. What other states? O.
- 14 Α. What other states?
- 15 Q. That's the question.
- 16 Well, I just don't know the answer to that Α. 17 question.
- 18 Okay. We have got New Mexico. We've got
- 19 Colorado. We've got Nevada. We've got Utah. Any
- 20 others that you can think of?
- 21 Are you talking possibilities or facts?
- 22 Do you want me to speculate or --
- 23 No, I don't want you to speculate. Again,
- 24 I told you at the beginning of the proceedings, I
- never want you to speculate.

- that are built in New Mexico, you couldn't tell me 1
- 2 that either?
- 3 A. No.

4

5

9

12

16

- O. Okay.
- Okay. Α.
- 6 And you couldn't tell me the amount of
- 7 Smith's Food Kings you built in Colorado or a range of
- 8 the number?
 - Α. You know, we are talking 40 years here.
- 10 Q. What's the answer to the question, sir?
- 11 You can't tell me?
 - I don't. I can't. I don't have any idea.
- 13 Okay. Do you know approximately how much
- 14 revenue was earned by R&O in 2015? 15
 - (No answer provided by deponent.)
 - MR. GORMLEY: I'm going to object and
- 17 instruct him not to answer. That goes to worth in
- 18 terms of punitive damages, which we haven't
- 19 established prima facie case in that yet.
- 20 MR. CAMPBELL: No, I'm not asking anything
- 21 about punitive damages.
- 22 MR. GORMLEY: I know, but you're asking
- 23 about net worth and value.
- 24 MR. CAMPBELL: Are you directing him not
- to answer the --

page 43

3

8

11

13

- A. Okay.
- 2 I'll never ask --O.
- 3 Then --A.

- 4 Q. Excuse me.
- 5 A. Okav.
- 6 I'll never ask you to speculate. But what
- 7 I am entitled to under the law is your best reasoned
- estimate based upon your entire body of knowledge and
- experience and devotion to these projects.
- 10 So here's the question. Can you tell me
- 11 how many food, Smith's Food Kings you built in Nevada?
- 12 Α. I can't.
- 13 Q. Do you have a reasonable estimate?
- 14 Α. I don't.
- 15 Okay. So you can't tell me whether it's
- one or 15? 16
- 17 Α. I, I can guess a number and I would be
- lucky if I was on target.
- 19 Q. I'm not asking you to guess.
- 20 A. Okay, then --
- 21 I'm asking for a range or an estimate.
- 22 And you can't give me a range or estimate, correct?
- 23 I just don't know.
- 24 Okay. And so if I asked you to give me a
- 25 range or estimate of the number of Smith's Food Kings

- 1 MR. GORMLEY: Yes.
- 2 MR. CAMPBELL: -- question?
 - MR. GORMLEY: Yes.
- 4 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. And you are doing so
- 5 on the basis that it's not discoverable?
- MR. GORMLEY: It's not discoverable at 6
- 7 this stage.
 - MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.
- 9 MR. GORMLEY: You can ask what projects
- 10 and other things, but...
 - MR. CAMPBELL: I disagree with you.
- 12 MR. GORMLEY: I understand.
 - MR. CAMPBELL: I'm not going to debate it
- 14 with you.
- 15 MR. GORMLEY: Appreciate it.
- MR. CAMPBELL: We will deal with that down 16
- 17 the road.
- 18 MR. GORMLEY: Okay.
- BY MR. CAMPBELL: 19
- 20 Q. I would like to talk to you about your
- 21 involvement with R&O. Do you attend board meetings of
- R&O? 22
- 23 A. I --
- 24 MR. GORMLEY: At what stage? Object.
 - Vague and ambiguous.



page 46 page 48 or quarterly. BY MR. CAMPBELL: 1 1 2 Α. Well, the project management meetings are 2 Anytime? Q. 3 3 basically designed around work, you know. A. Yes. 4 O. Okay. And how frequently today are board Right. 5 5 meetings of R&O conducted? A. Do we have a problem with the job or so on 6 Once a year. 6 and so forth. Α. 7 7 Okay. Let me back up a little bit. All right. What other type of meetings Q. O. that you have? 8 When I'm talking about R&O, I'm talking 8 9 Me personally? 9 about the construction company, not the satellite 10 companies that may be associated with it, okay? 10 O. No, not necessarily you. 11 Well, I suppose meetings with owners. 11 Um-hum. Α. 12 Q. Okay. 12 Okay. Are you with me? O. 13 13 That were --A. Um-hum. 14 14 Q. Is that a "yes"? O. What are those called? You said you --15 Yes. you used the nomenclature that may not be the same Α. that I'm using. So just tell me what they are called. 16 Okay, that's two. Q. 17 Well, I -- you know, we're trying to get a 17 MR. GORMLEY: We will keep track. 18 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 18 job. Maybe you want to have an office building built, so we meet with you. The business development people 19 All right. And does the -- does Q. meet with you and see if we can get the job. 20 R&O Construction have committees? 21 Okay. What other sorts of committees or 21 I don't think so. Α. 22 meetings that, that are conducted? 22 Q. Okay. So it doesn't have, for example, an 23 MR. GORMLEY: Can I object just on the 23 audit committee? 24 24 basis so it's clear that you're talking like scheduled A. No. 25 Doesn't have, for example, a compliance meetings, not talking among each other. Q. page 47 committee? 1 MR. CAMPBELL: I'm, I'm happy to lay more 1 foundation. 2 Α. I don't think so. 2 3 Okay. Does R&O have meetings of its 3 MR. GORMLEY: Okay. 4 directors and/or officers on a regular basis? 4 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 5 Okay. Directors and officers. 5 Q. What I'm interested in is, for example, And/or officers? you told me you had a weekly meeting of your, your 6 O. 7 A. Alone, you mean or? 7 project manager meeting. Do you have other regularly Scheduled meetings? Do you have quarterly scheduled meetings like that? 8 8

9 meetings of any kind?

10 I just don't know. I don't know that the

11 titles that, you know, we have are the titles that

12 you're using.

13 Okay. Go ahead and tell me what the O.

14 titles that you have are.

15 Well, we, we have a weekly project manager

meeting. Some of those people sit on our -- come to 16

17 our annual board meeting.

18 Q. Okav.

19 Are you looking for more? A.

20 Yes, I am. Q.

21 Okay, sorry. Α.

That's okay. 22 Q.

23 A. What are you looking for?

24 Other meetings such as those. Regularly

scheduled meetings whether they are weekly or monthly

9 Α. I don't think so.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. I don't.

12 All right. When I say you, I mean the Q.

13 collective you or the company.

14 Yeah. Well, I don't --Α.

> Q. Does the company?

-- and I'm not aware of any regularly 16 A.

17 scheduled meetings.

18 Who would typically attend a project Q.

19 manager meeting?

Slade would lead it. 20 A.

21 He is the CEO, right? Q.

22 Yeah. Α.

23 Q. Who else?

24 Α. Rick Zampedri.

> And who is he? O.



- 1 Α. Project manager.
- 2 Could you spell that name for the court Q. 3 reporter, please.
- 4 Α. R-i-c-k, Z-a-m-p-e-d-r-i.
- 5 O. Who else?
- 6 Α. Tim Gladwell.
- 7 Q. Who is he?
- 8 Project manager.
- 9 Mike Nichols.
- 10 Q. And who is he?
- 11 Project manager.
- 12 These are not meetings I attend, so I'm
- 13 trying to remember.

14 Frank McDonough, he is the chief

- 15 estimator. Charlie Auger.
- 16 Can you spell his last name.
- 17 A-u-g-e-r. Chief financial officer.
- 18 That's probably it.
- 19 Okay. Does Charlie Auger, your CFO,
- conduct regularly scheduled meetings? For example,
- 21 budget meetings, projection meetings, things of that
- 22 nature?
- 23 Well, we have an annual meeting that he 24 takes a part of and would do those kind of things.
- 25 Okay. And would there be other regularly

- A. I think so.
 - Mr. McDonough, is he a member of the Q.
- 3 board?

2

- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 Q. Charlie Auger, is he a member of the
- 6 board?
- 7 Α. Yes.
- 8 Are there any other members of the board O.
- 9 that we haven't discussed?
- 10 A. I don't think so. 11 Q. Okay. Who is -- you are the chair, you're
- the chairman of the board, correct? 12
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Q. Who is the vice chairman of the board, is
- 15 there one?
- 16 A. No.
 - O. You're the big kahuna?
- 18 A. Well, I never think of that way, but I'm 19 the old kahuna.
- 20 Q. Okay. Who is the corporate secretary?
- 21 A. I believe Charlie Auger.
- 22 MR. GORMLEY: It's Auger?
- 23 THE WITNESS: A-u-g-e-r. 24
 - MR. GORMLEY: I'm sorry.
- 25 ///

8

17

- scheduled meetings that your CFO would participate in
- with other individuals at R&O?
- 3 I don't think so.
- 4 Q. At the project managers meeting --
- withdraw. 5

8

- 6 At the board of directors meetings, is
- 7 there an agenda that's usually prepared?
 - Yes. Slade would prepare.
- 9 And would that be distributed to the other
- 10 members of the board before the meeting typically?
- 11 Α. Probably.
- Okay. Who are the other members of the 12 O.
- board of directors? 13
- 14 I think I just listed them for you. Α.
- 15 Oh, I'm sorry. Q.
- Rick and Tim. 16 Α.
- 17 Q. Okay. Rick Zampedri?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 Q. He is a member of the board?
- 20 A.
- 21 And then Tim Gladwell, he too is a member O.
- 22 of the board?
- 23 A. Yeah. I believe.
- 24 Q. And Mr. Nichols, is he a member of the
- 25 board?

- BY MR. CAMPBELL: 1
- Is he responsible for keeping the minutes
- 3 of the corporation in its meetings?
- 4 I think we have a young gal come in who 5 takes the minutes.
- 6 Q. Who assists him in that regard?
- 7 Yes. Α.
 - Okay. And what is her name? Q.
- Lori. I can't think of her last name. I 9
- 10 can't think of her last name.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 Α. And it may come to me, because I sure
- 13 ought to be able to think of it.
- 14 Q. How long has she been with you?
- 15 A. A long time.
- 16 Q. Okay. Is Lori the one that actually, that
- 17 actually takes down the minutes?
- 18 Well, she sits there with a notebook and a Α.
- 19 pen.
- 20 Q. Right.
- 21 Yeah, I think so. Α.
- 22 O. And then, and then prepares them for this
- 23 secretary of the corporation?
- 24 Yeah, wherever they go. A.
 - Okay. And thereafter, those minutes are Q.



25

page 56

- 1 distributed for approval by the board of directors at
- 2 some point?
- 3 A. I'm not sure.
- 4 Q. Do you have a chief estimator?
- 5 A. Yeah. Frank McDonough.
- 6 Q. Mr. McDonough participates in the weekly 7 project meetings?
- 8 A. I believe so. You know, it's a fluid
- 9 thing. I mean, every day. But if they call an
- 10 organized meeting, Frank McDonough would be invited.
- 11 Q. Okay. What is the job of an estimator?
- 12 A. To try to put together the numbers to bid 13 a project.
- 14 Q. And when you say to put together the
- numbers to bid a project, what numbers does he puttogether?
- 17 A. Well, you know, this building is built out
- 18 of components. First you start with the footing or
- 19 digging a hole.
- 20 Q. Right.
- 21 A. Pouring a foundation, et cetera,
- 22 et cetera, et cetera.
- Q. Okay. I've represented a big construction
- 24 company here in Las Vegas for a number of years, so I
- 25 have an understanding, but I have to get this on the

- 1 Q. Okay. With respect to an estimator's
 - 2 function, he considers certain line items. And I'm
 - 3 not suggesting how to build a building or what every
 - 4 individual, every individual step would be taken in
 - 5 the building. But there would be, for example, there
 - 6 would be an estimate of labor involved in any
 - 7 particular excavation or construction of the building
 - 8 with respect to, as you say, the footings and things
 - 9 of that nature, right? There would be materials, do 10 you agree?
 - 11 A. Yes
 - 12 Q. Okay. So we have labor, and we have 13 materials. What else is a line item in an estimator's 14 configuration of a bid?
 - 15 A. Well, actually, you have labor and 16 materials in each thing that I was telling you.
 - Q. Right.
 - 18 A. Okay. So each line item, for instance, 19 footings.
 - Q. Right.

17

8

18

25

page 55

- A. Digging the hole.
- Q. Right.
- A. Backfilling the hole.
- 24 Q. Right.
- 25 A. Putting in the storm drain or the, you

- 1 record. So I'm not trying to belabor anything, I just
- 2 want to kind of take you through it.
- 3 A. All right.
- 4 Q. There are line items --
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. -- that goes into an estimator's
- 7 configuration of whatever that bid is going to be,
- 8 right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Tell me all of the line items that
- 11 go into configuration of a bid.
- 12 A. Okay. So you want me to basically walk
- 13 through this building?
- 14 Q. No, just --
- 15 A. Or something like it?
- 16 Q. Something like it, exactly.
- 17 A. All right. So you start out with a survey
- 18 and you dig a hole. And then you crawl down in the
- 19 hole and pour footings. Then you pour a foundation on
- 20 top of the footings. Then you backfill that hole.
- 21 You may put footing drains or such if it's a basement.
- Q. Mr. Opheikens, I don't think we are on the
- 23 same wavelength, and it's all attributable to me, I'm
- 24 sure. So let me ask a more artfully drafted question.
- 25 A. Okay.

- 1 know, all those things are a line item. There can be 2 50 or 100 line items.
- 3 Q. Exactly. And that's what I'm trying to 4 get to.
- 5 Typically, okay, there would also be a
- 6 line item with respect to, for example, if you had to
- 7 rent any specialized equipment, correct?
 - A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. Okay. What else would there be typically
- 10 a line item for? What are the major ones?
- 11 A. Okay. Earthwork, concrete, maybe it's a
- 12 block job, so it's block. Typically we sub it all
- 13 out. I mean, we don't have our own crews.
- 14 Q. Right.
- 15 A. So if we call you, the block mason, labor
- 16 and insurances, and all those things are built into
- 17 your block bid.
 - Q. Right.
- 19 A. So framing material would probably be 20 under the framer's contract.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- A. So then, of course, a roofer. And then
 - 3 depending on the outside materials, whether it be
- 24 aluminum or wood or whatever the case may be.
 - Q. Okay.



- 1 A. You think I should keep going? There is
- 2 more.
- 3 Q. Is there typically more? You've talked 4 about labor.
- 5 A. Well, I told you there might be 100 items 6 and you wanted me to do 10.
- 7 O. I didn't ask --
- 8 A. I don't know exactly how to -- I don't
- 9 know what you're looking for.
- 10 Q. Okay. I'm just asking for the typical
- 11 components in an estimator's project configuration to
- 12 place a bid. And if you've told me all of them that
- 13 you can think of at the time, that's fine.
- 14 A. Let's say that's it.
- 15 Q. Okay. R&O is a general contractor?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And it's licensed?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And what are its licensing limits?
- 20 A. I don't presently know.
- 21 Q. Okay. Ballpark it.
- 22 A. You mean what size project?
- 23 Q. Yes
- 24 A. Licensing limits in Nevada or?
- Q. Let's go Utah.

1 it was probably in excess of \$20 million?

- 2 A. Well, just thinking of Nevada and the 3 prices of things here. I wouldn't be surprised, let 4 me put it that way.
- 5 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for budgeting 6 and finance of R&O Construction Company?
- 7 A. Okay. Budgeting and finance. Financing 8 what?
- 9 Q. Okay. Financing the business of the
- 10 corporation?
- 11 A. Do you mean borrowing money or taking care
- 12 of --

14

19

21

24

1

- 13 Q. That's one component.
 - A. I would say Charlie Auger.
- 15 Q. Who prepares operating budgets for the
- 16 corporation?
- 17 A. Probably Slade. But I'm not sure what you
- 18 mean by operating budget.
 - Q. Well, what do you mean when you use the
- 20 term operating budget?
 - A. I don't use that term.
- Q. What term do you use with respect --
- 23 A. Okay. You tell me what your term --
 - Q. Hold on. Hold on a second. You have to
- 25 wait until I get out the question.

page 61

- 1 A. I, I don't know the answer to these, these 2 are technical questions that, you know, 10 years ago I
- 3 could have answered for you.
- 4 Q. Just give me --
- 5 A. I'm too far.
- 6 Q. -- a ballpark.
- 7 A. You mean per job?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. 20 million.
- 10 Q. Okay. Did R&O build IKEA here?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What was that project, what was the number
- 13 on that project?
- 14 A. I--
- 15 Q. Generally.
- 16 A. I have no idea.
- 17 Q. More or less than \$20 million?
- 18 A. I would think.
- 19 Q. You would think what?
- 20 A. It would be more or less.
- Q. It would be more than \$20 million?
- 22 A. Well, I don't know. You know what? I'm
- 23 not close enough to it, so I don't know the price of
- 24 the IKEA.

25

Q. But you have a reasonable basis to believe

- A. I'm sorry.
- Q. All right. Makes her crazy and she startsthrowing stuff at us.
- Who prepares the budget with respect to
- 5 forecasting what the operations will, will entail
- 6 insofar as capital expenditures?
- 7 A. Well, I'm going to give you two names,
- 8 okay. I think part of what you're asking would be
- 9 Slade, and part of what you're asking would be
- 10 Charlie. But I don't know what where the line would
- 11 be.
- 12 Q. So tell me what part you think -- what
- 13 parts are we talking about?
- 14 A. I think Slade runs overall the company and
- 15 he would budget income. Not income, but you know,
- 16 next year's forecast.
- 17 Q. Okay. Projections?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. All right. And budgetary projections
- 20 would be created by Slade and/or his department?
- 21 A. Well --
- Q. Does he have people?
- 23 A. Yeah. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. And so he has people underneath him
 - that would assist him in that regard?



2

3

11

21

22

1

5

13

- 1 Yeah. Α.
- 2 Q. And who would they be?
- 3 Well, I'm just saying yeah now because I A.
- 4 don't know the answer.
- 5 Presumably an estimator maybe?
- 6 Okay. Budgeting for the company I think 7 would probably be Slade and --
- 8 Your CFO? O.
- 9 A. And Charlie Auger, okay.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 If they are sitting in a meeting talking
- about these things, then it would be members of the 12
- 13 board.
- 14 Q. Right. Are budgetary projections --
- 15 withdraw.
- 16 Budgetary projections, as I understand,
- are presented to the board of directors at the annual 17 18 meeting?
- 19 Maybe you think R&O is way more different Α.
- 20 than -- you know, we are a construction company. I
- 21 don't know that we do all these -- I don't know that
- they don't. I'm not in all these meetings. 22
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 So I don't know the answers to a lot of A.
- the questions you're trying to ask.
- page 63
- Well, have you ever seen budgetary 1 Q.
- projections for operations at any point in time for 2
- R&O? 3
- 4 A. So are you saying next year they might do
- 5 a hundred million dollars worth and they would project
- that expenses total might equal 97 or 98 percent of
- 7 that and then hopefully there is some profit? Is that
- what you're talking about? 8
- 9 O. Correct.
- 10 A. Yes, we do that.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. Once a year.
- 13 Q. And you do that at the board meetings?
- 14 At the annual board meeting or -- I'm not
- 15 sure we call it board meeting, but...
- 16 Q. At the annual meeting?
- 17 A. Our annual meeting, yes.
- 18 Has R&O Construction ever done any
- 19 projects for any state government?
- 20 I'm sure we have for the State of Utah. A.
- 21 Q. Any other states?
- 22 I'm not aware of it. A.
- 23 Okay. Has it ever, has R&O ever done
- 24 projects for the federal government?
- 25 Gosh. Ever is such a long time. I think

- 1 we were involved in one project.
 - Q. And what --
 - A. Maybe in a partnership with another
- 4 construction company back East years ago. 5
 - And what project was that, sir?
- 6 Α. I believe it was a big base, like 7
 - apartment project.
- 8 Q. Okay. And where was that project?
- 9 I believe in North Carolina. A.
- 10 Q. Where in North Carolina?
- Oh, come on. I don't know. I don't have any idea. It's North Carolina. 12
- Did you ever go to North Carolina? 13 Q.
- 14 A. I never went -- I did one time, but I
- 15 never went to the job.
- 16 Q. You didn't go to the job. What did you go 17
 - there for?
- 18 A. Meet the people that we were trying to get 19 the right to build the job.
- 20 O. Okay. Got it.
 - When you take on a project -- withdraw.
 - I imagine when you built your first
- 23 Smith's Food Store, you became acquainted with all
- 24 that it was that was needed to be figured into the
- configuration of your bid; is that correct?

page 65

- A. That's how you learn for sure.
- 2 Okay. And, and with each successive food
- 3 store, you learned more and more about what you were
- 4 doing, correct?
 - Α. Well, or what not to do.
- 6 Q. Or what not to do, which is sometimes just
- 7 as important.
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Okay. And the same would be true with
- 10 whatever other type of projects that you would engage
- in, presumably, correct? 11
- 12 A. Yeah.
 - O. Okay. Would you say that -- withdraw.
- 14 Did you ever build supermarkets, for
- 15 example, or food stores for, that were ever branded as
- anything other than Smith's? For example, did you do
- 17 it for, you know, Safeway?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And what other companies did you
- 20 build stores for?
- 21 A. Well, actually, we did build some stores
- 22 for Safeway.
- 23 Q. Um-hum.
- 24 A. We built some stores for Albertsons.
 - O. Right.



1

4

5

9

14

- 1 Vons. Α.
- 2 Okay. Q.
- 3 **Associated Foods.** A.
- 4 O. Okay.
- 5 Just grocery stores, right?
- 6 Q. Just grocery stores, yes, sir.
- 7 I can't think of any others.
- 8 All right. Please provide me with the
- best reasonable estimate you can give me as you sit
- here today of how many grocery stores R&O built over 11 the years.
- 12 A. Well, it's 40 years, right? The first
- 13 decade we probably didn't do more than one or two a
- year. I don't know. A hundred.
- 15 Okay. That's a reasonable estimate in
- 16 your mind as you sit here today, correct?
- 17 Well, the problem with it is, it's like
- 18 sitting here throwing a dart at a wall, because I just
- 19 really don't have any idea.
- 20 And I understand it's a ballpark. Fair
- 21 enough?
- 22 A. Fair enough.
- 23 Okay. Is there any component of
- R&O Construction where its projects have exceeded the
- number of supermarkets that its built; for example,

1

3

page 67

- 1 has R&O built a lot of schools?
- 2 No. I, I don't think so. I think
- 3 supermarkets are, have been our specialty.
- 4 Q. All right. Has R&O built warehouses?
- 5 A. Not very many.
- Okay. But it has? 6 Q.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Has R&O built industrial complexes? Q.
- 9 A. We have, but not very many.
- 10 Q. Okay. What other type of projects has R&O
- 11 done?
- 12 A. We have done apartment house projects most
- 13 recently.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. Office buildings.
- 16 Q. All right.
- We, we presently have done a few buildings 17 A.
- on a school campus, but that's relatively new for R&O.
- 19 Q.
- 20 A. And we have done lots of small jobs. I
- 21 mean --
- 22 Sure, I understand. O.
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. So we are on the same wavelength.
- 25 Yeah. Α.

- I'm talking about, I'm talking about Q.
- 2 pretty sizable projects.
- 3 Yeah. A.
 - Q. Okay.
 - I think that's pretty good.
- 6 All right. How many buildings have you
- 7 done? Ballpark it.
- 8 Α. Small jobs? Big jobs? Every job?
 - Q. Big jobs. Big jobs.
- 10 Well, I, I recall sitting in meetings
- 11 several years ago when Dale was still here where we
 - were looking at roughly a hundred jobs a year.
- 13 Q. Okay.
 - A. But that might be putting a door in or
- 15 building a -- every job had a number. So we were a
- hundred plus in jobs, but that doesn't mean they were
- 17 all great big jobs.
- 18 What was the biggest job you ever did with
- 19 respect to building a building? Would that be IKEA?
- 20 Pretty close, yeah. That was a very nice
- 21 project for us.
- 22 O. Any others of similar scale?
- 23 Well, I think that apartment project we
- 24 did up on 215.
- 25 What project was that?

- Well, it's up, it's up above The District,
- iust east of The District. 2
 - O. Right. Do you know the name of it?
- 4 I don't. A.
- 5 Q. Okay. Any others?
- 6 Not that really comes to mind. Not of
- 7 that scope probably. That doesn't mean we haven't,
- 8 it's just, just what I remember.
- 9 Does R&O have projects underway in the
- 10 State of Nevada right now?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. How many?
- 13 I don't know. I'm just not close to it.
- 14 Tell me the ones that you're aware of. Q.
- 15 You know, you get a lot more information Α. out of the people that know. 16
- 17 Q. Okay. But I'm asking you.
 - Yeah. A.
- 19 You just tell me what you know. Can you
- 20 give me a reasonable estimate of the number of
- 21 projects that are underway here?
- 22 Α. I, I really don't have any idea.
- 23 Q. Okay. Tell me, tell me those that you're
- 24 aware of.
 - I really am not aware of what we are doing Α.



18

1

12

15

- 1 down here.
- 2 So you don't have any idea if you're doing O. 3 a project at all right now?
- 4 I know we are doing projects. I just 5 can't tell you where they are at or what they are.
- 6 So you don't know where they are at, what 7 they are, how big they are? There's nothing that you 8 can tell me about them?
- 9 No.
- 10 Okay. Are there governmental requirements
- 11 that R&O as a construction company that does business
- both intrastate and interstate that have to be 12
- 13 complied with?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. What are they, generally speaking?
- 16 Well, I, I don't know. I don't know what
- vou're asking for. I don't know the answer to that 17 18 question either.
- 19 Q. When you said "yes" --
- 20 Well, of course government, all
- 21 governments have rules and governments have laws.
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 So any state you go into, you know you are 24 going to have to get a license.
- 25 Q. Right.

- page 71
- You know you are going to have to live by 1 Α. the license. 2
- 3 Q. Right.
- 4 Or you're in trouble. A.
- 5 Q. Okav.
- You could lose your license. 6 A.
- 7 Okay. And in that regard, what type
- typically for a construction company, governmental
- regulations, do you have to comply with other than
- licensing? 10
- 11 A. Inspections.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 That's probably the biggest thing you have
- 14 to. You know, you have to get permits, start the
- **15** project. Excuse me.
- 16 And you have to, you know, every so often,
- **17** depending on the project as it's progressing, you have to call for an inspection. So they come out and you 18
- 19 can't move forward until you finish that inspection.
- 20 Um-hum. What else?
- 21 You have to get a final inspection to give
- 22 occupancy to the owner.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. Occupancy permit. That's all I could
- 25 think of.

- Okay. What about governmental regulations
- with respect to -- well, let's, for example, take
- 3 OSHA. What is OSHA?
- 4 Well, OSHA is an inspector that comes out on the projects unannounced and they inspect the
- project. They can write you up with a ticket or 7 whatever if you're not doing something right.
- 8 And do you have, do you personally have any experience in dealing with implementing or
- 10 otherwise being involved in the application of OSHA 11 regulations?
 - A. Okay. Tell me that again.
- 13 Sure. It was a convoluted question. It's Q. 14 my fault, not yours.
 - Do you know what OSHA certified means?
- 16 Yeah, it means that you have gone through
- 17 their school, or, you know, been approved that you
- 18 know what you're doing, I suppose. 19
 - Okay. And are you OSHA certified? Q.
- 20 I don't know what R&O is presently. I 21 told you it's been a number of years since I really
- have been that involved in the day-to-day. 22
- 23 When you were involved on a day-to-day
- 24 basis, was R&O OSHA certified?
- 25 I'm sure we were. That's an operations

- event. I mean, you know, our operations people would take care of that. They could answer the question
- probably better than me today. 3
- 4 Q. I'm not asking today.
- 5 Α. Okay.
- 6 O. Okay.
- 7 Α. Back then?
- 8 Yes. The foundation for my --
- 9 I'm sure we were. I just really don't
- know the answer to that actual question, so I'm sure 10
- 11 we were.
- 12 Q. And what is required to become OSHA
- 13 certified --

15

20

- 14 A. I. I don't know.
 - -- as a contractor?
- 16 I don't know. I don't remember.
- 17 O. Do you ever attend any OSHA seminars or
- 18 training or anything of that nature?
- 19 I, I never.
 - Would you have individuals that were
- 21 responsible for that?
- 22 Yes. We had an operations manager. He
- 23 took care of all those operational requirements.
- 24 Q. And what was his name?
 - Len Wright. Α.



page 74 page 76

- 0. Is he still there? 1
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Who does it today?
- 4 Well, I would be, I would be speculating.
- I can tell you who I think does it. Probably Rick 6 Zampedri.
- 7 Q. And what is involved with respect to 8 becoming OSHA certified?
- 9 I don't know.
- 10 Q. You have no idea?
- 11 A. I have no idea.
- 12 Do you know whether or not there's ever
- 13 been any -- during the period of time that you were
- 14 the chief executive officer, was there ever an
- 15 occasion in which OSHA cited the company for any
- 16 safety violation?
- 17 Well, I, I'm sure there was. And then we 18 would have, you know, met the requirements to fix them. But I can't imagine on all those projects we never had any kind of notice from OSHA.
- 21 Can you recall the most serious OSHA 22 violation alleged?
- 23 No. We have had very little problem with 24 OSHA that I'm aware of.
- 25 Have you ever hired an attorney or a law Q.

with.

1

17

3

11

- 2 Well, in my case, I -- basically, it's Α.
- really a title, maybe even really out of respect. I
- really haven't been able to operate in the capacity
 - that I used to operate in for a number of years.
- 6 All right. Most respectfully, I'm moving
- 7 to strike your answer because it was nonresponsive.
- Don't take any offense to that, it's what lawyers do.
- 9 That's how we get paid. Okay? All right?
- 10 A. All right.
- 11 Q. Let me, let me ask a different question 12 perhaps.
- 13 In your mind, what is the chairman of a company? What duties, responsibilities? What does
- 15 that, what does that entail? 16 A. Attend the annual board meeting.
 - O. Okay. Anything else?
- 18 Attend any meetings that you're requested 19 to attend. Give your input. That's all I can really 20 think of.
- 21 O. Have you ever sat on the board of
- 22 directors of any other companies other than those that
- 23 you have had an equity position in?
- **24** Α. No.
- 25 Okay. Have you ever owned -- have you Q.

- 1 firm to represent you with respect to compliance
- issues regarding OSHA? 2
- 3 Not that I'm aware of. I know that our
- people work very, have worked very closely with OSHA
- because of safety, you know, trying to not have the
- problem instead of waiting for the problem to happen 7 and then having to fix it.
- 8 Okay. Fair to say that safety is the most
- 9 important consideration?
- 10 A. Absolutely.
- 11 Q. Undeniably, unquestionably, unequivocally,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. It is under Slade and R&O for sure.
- 14 Okay. And is that, is safety the
- 15 important consideration in all the businesses that you operate? 16
- 17 Α. I think safety is very important.
- 18 Okay. Can you think of anything that is
- 19 more important than safety?
- 20 A. No. Not right out of the box.
- 21 Okay. What does the position chairman
- 22 signify in your experience?
- 23 A. At R&O Construction Company?
- 24 Yes, in your experience. Whether it was
- at R&O or other corporations that you were associated

- ever held a significant position in any publicly
- traded corporation?
 - Significant, meaning? Α.
- 4 O. Million dollars or more?
- 5 A. I don't think so.
- 6 Okay. Do you recall how many businesses
- 7 that you acted as chairman for over the years? Can
- 8 you give us a rough estimate? More or less than 10?
- 9 Α. I think R&O.
- 10 Ο. Any others?
 - Α. Cowabunga, they had me listed as chairman.
- 12 O. Any others?
- 13 A. I don't think so.
- 14 Do you, do you hold the position as an
- 15 executive of any other businesses? This is slightly
- different question than I've asked you. Do you occupy
- 17 a position as an executive or director of any other
- businesses other than those that you have an actual
- 19 equity interest in?
- 20 Α. No.
- 21 Okay. Have you ever been involved in any
- 22 business that wasn't successful?
- 23 Α. Of course.
- 24 Q. Okay. How many?
- 25 Not very many.



page 78 page 80

1 Q. Okav.

4

5

- 2 A. I can't even -- I mean, that little
- 3 restaurant I told you about, it didn't work out.
 - Okay. A lot of restaurants don't.
 - I've, I've been involved in little Α.
- 6 subdivisions from time to time, and they seem to work
- 7 really well until the downturns hit, like '08 and 8 whatever.
- 9 Sure. Q.
- 10 A. And then they -- what appears to be 11 successful goes unsuccessful all the sudden.
- Right. Any others that you can think of? 12
- 13 No.
 - MR. GORMLEY: I think it's time for a
- 15 break. We have been going for about an hour and a
- half. 16

14

- 17 MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.
- 18 MR. GORMLEY: Is that okay?
- 19 MR. CAMPBELL: Absolutely. Let's take
- 20 15 minutes. Okay?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 23 approximately 11:00 a.m. We are going off the record.
- 24 (Recessed from 11:00 a.m. to 11:19 a.m.)
- 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- page 79

1

10

13

- approximately 11:19 a.m. We are back on the record.
- BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 3 How is it that you became involved with
- 4 Cowabunga Bay as a project?
- 5 A. It was a project that R&O was building
- down here in Las Vegas. 6
- 7 All right. What was the first involvement
- of R&O with the Cowabunga Bay project? 8
- A. I wasn't real familiar with. I didn't
- 10 even know we were building the water park when I first
- 11 became involved. I had heard we were building a water
- 12 park. But that's, that's all. And we were to start
- 13 with just doing the, I believe we were just doing the
- 14 first part of it, the ground, you know, the tubes
- 15 under the ground and pipes under the ground and the
- 16 earthwork and that kind of thing.
- 17 Q. Who did R&O contract with?
- A. With the Huish family and Splash, another 18 19 group.
- 20
- Q. Who is Splash?
- 21 Three guys. Two young guys and one of
- 22 them's older brother, I believe, from Provo, Provo
- 23 area in Utah.
- 24 And at the time that R&O got involved in
- 25 the Cowabunga Bay project, did Splash and the Huishes

own the land? 1

2

14

- I don't recall exactly how that -- I A.
- 3 wasn't in all that detail until later. But I don't
- recall, remember. I think they had signed a contract.
- I don't know if it was a partnership to purchase the
- property. I believe they had purchased the property,
- and then the owner of the property had subordinated
- the property to them so they could get a loan or
- something like that. I'm not clear memory-wise as to 10 how that actually worked.
- 11 Okay. Well, tell me this. Are you aware
- 12 that there was litigation?
- 13 Α. Yes.
 - Q. All right.
- 15 MR. GORMLEY: Let him finish the question.
- MR. CAMPBELL: He did. 16
- 17 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 18 And what is your familiarity with the
- 19 litigation that ensued?
- 20 Well, I was, I was involved in the
- 21 litigation is basically what it was.
- 22 I'm aware of that. So just tell me what
- 23 you're aware of --
- 24 A. Basically --
- 25 Q. -- as a result of your involvement.

page 81

- Basically, from my perspective, it was
- trying to get a negotiation so that a loan could be
- put in place so that we could finish the project.
- 4 Because there was several million dollars worth of
- 5 bills that were due and that they didn't have the
- funds to pay. So we were trying to put a contract
- 7 together so we could borrow the money and finish the
- project and get these subcontractors paid off.
 - O. Who sued who?
 - A. I don't remember.
- 11 Q. You don't, okay. Who were the parties to
- 12 the litigation?
 - Well, the Huishes, R&O, and Splash. A.
- 14 Were you a party to the litigation? Q.
- 15 Well, by party, are you being technical or
- are you asking was I part of the group or a group? Is 16
- 17 that what you mean?
- 18 Q. I'm asking whether or not you were sued?
- 19 I don't recall. Α.
- 20 Did, did you hire a lawyer? Q.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 O. Who was your lawyer?
- Aviva. I don't remember Aviva's last 23 A.
- 24 name.

25

And was R&O a party to the litigation? Q.



- 1 A. I, I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. Were any of your relatives in any way a party to the litigation?
- 4 A. Are you, are you talking about my sons?
- 5 Q. I am.
- 6 A. Yes. I mean, if I was, they were.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. What I don't remember is whether it was an
- 9 entity or whether it was individuals. I think it was 10 an entity kind of a thing.
- 11 Q. What was the essential allegation that was
- 12 being asserted against you or your company?
- 13 A. I, I just don't recall.
- 14 Q. You don't recall, okay. Was it something
- 15 to do with behavior that was commercially untoward;
- 16 that is to say, that Splash was making allegations to
- 17 the effect that you had done something underhanded,
- 18 let's say?
- 19 A. I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. The matter was resolved, was it
- 21 not?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. There was a, there was a resolution of the
- 24 matter as a result of the involvement of Judge
- 25 Elizabeth Gonzalez, correct?

- 1 Q. Okay. Did R&O Construction resolve the
 - 2 matter by, in any way, extending remuneration in any
 - 3 form or fashion to Splash?
 - 4 A. I don't think so. I don't think R&O
 - really had anything to do with it.
 - 6 Q. Had nothing to do with it?
 - A. I don't think R&O had anything to do with
 - 8 it.

5

7

14

- 9 Q. Did you attend any proceedings before
- 10 Judge Gonzalez?
- 11 A. Yeah. You mean like in a courtroom?
- 12 O. Yes.
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. How many such proceedings did you attend?
- 15 A. Well, seems to me like we went to two.
- 16 But it might have only been one.
- 17 Q. "We" being who?
- 18 A. The parties. So the Huish, I believe Tom
- 19 was there.
- Q. Mr. Welch?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Was there a specific allegation that was
- 23 made against Mr. Welch?
- 24 A. I, I don't recall.
- 25 Q. Okay. Do you recall any general

page 83

page 85

- 1 A. Probably correct. I don't remember her
- 2 name. Aviva knew her.
- Q. And what were the terms of the resolution 4 of the matter?
- 5 A. Well, I think part of that was a
- 6 nondisclosure agreement, and I'm not sure if we're7 okay to talk about that.
- 8 Q. Okay. Well, I'm asking you what the terms 9 were.
- 10 A. Well --
- 11 (No answer provided by deponent.)
- MR. GORMLEY: Let me object. Is there a
- 13 nondisclosure agreement? Can we do that in some other
- 14 form or fashion other than right now? Or I will have
- 15 to instruct him not to answer.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Are you going to instruct
- 17 him not to answer?
- MR. GORMLEY: Well, I'd rather -- I have
- 19 no problem. But, I mean, if there is a nondisclosure,
- 20 then we're going to have to do it. We can send you an
- 21 answer in writing.
- 22 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- Q. Did R&O pay anyone any money to resolve
- 24 the matter?
- 25 A. I don't think so.

- allegation made against Mr. Welch to the effect that
- 3 underhanded in some fashion?
- 4 A. I don't remember anything like that.
- 5 Q. Okay. You would remember something like

he had done something commercially untoward or

- 6 that if that allegation had been made against
- 7 Mr. Welch, would you not?
- 8 A. I don't know what I would remember
- 9 anymore, but I don't remember it.
- 10 Q. Does Mr. Welch have any equity position in
- 11 the, in the operation generically known as
- 12 Cowabunga Bay?
 - A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How much?
- 15 A. You mean in percentage or?
- 16 Q. That's the question.
- 17 A. Very small. Maybe 1 percent or something
- 18 like that.

- 19 Q. 1 percent or something like that?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. What was his capital contribution
- 22 to acquire his equity position of 1 percent or so?
- A. It was a couple hundred thousand dollars.
- 24 We actually gifted that to Tom because he worked
 - really hard to help us resolve this issue. And then



page 86

2

4

- I when it came time to pay him, he didn't want any pay.
- 2 So my son and I decided that we didn't feel good about
- 3 that, so we gifted him that interest in the park.
- 4 Q. What was the, what was the value of the
- 5 interest that you gifted him?
- 6 A. I have -- I don't have any -- I don't know 7 that we ever valued it. I just think we picked a
- 8 percentage and gifted it to him.
- 9 Q. You would have had to have filed a federal 10 tax, gift tax return for a gift of that size, would 11 you not?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- 13 Q. Are you suggesting that you did not file a 14 gift tax return as required by the Internal Revenue
- 15 Service of the United States Department of Treasury?
- 16 A. I'm not suggesting anything. I don't know 17 what we did or didn't do.
- 18 Q. Who signs the tax returns of
- 19 R&O Construction?
- 20 A. Charlie Auger. Oh, no, probably me. I 21 probably sign them.
- Q. Do you recall whether or not any
- 23 disclosures were made to the Internal Revenue Service
- 24 Department of the Treasury with respect to the
- 25 acquisition of R&O's interest in Henderson Water Park?

- 1 Q. Do you know who that attorney was?
 - A. I'm just trying to remember. You know, I
- 3 don't. It might have been Tom, but I'm not positive.
 - Q. Mr. Welch?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. He was the scrivener or he was the
- 7 transactional attorney? Is that what you're saying?
- 8 He put together all the paperwork?
- 9 A. I don't know if it was Royce Richards or
- 10 if -- I don't, I just don't recall.
- 11 Q. What was the equity interest that
- 12 Double Ott acquired?
- 13 A. Well, that was kind of a moving target,
- 14 because originally when we were trying to resolve the
- 15 issue, the park, they thought the park was going to
- 16 cost somewhere in the neighborhood of 15 million. And
- 17 then it seemed that maybe it was going to be in the
- 18 neighborhood of 20 million. And then it ultimately
- 19 ended up being over 30 million.
- 20 And so by that, I mean there -- in the end
- 21 date I can tell you more or less how much money we put
- 22 in.

24

- Q. How much?
 - A. Right around \$9 million or a little over
- 25 9 million, I believe.

page 87

- 1 A. I, I don't recall R&O being involved or 2 technically involved in Henderson Water Park.
 - Q. Well, let's use the word technically,
- 4 because you're associated very closely with R&O,
- 5 correct? You are the chairman of the board?
- 6 A. Yeah.

3

- 7 Q. You formed a company called Double Ott,
- 8 did you not?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And that spinoff is in fact a
- 11 participant, an equity participant in Henderson Water
- 12 Park; is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And what's your position with Double Ott?
- 15 A. I don't know if it's called managing
- 16 member or -- you know, they have, seem to have
- 17 different -- like in a corporation, this president and
- 18 something else is managing member or something like
- 19 that. But whatever that term is.
- 20 Q. All right. Did you ever execute any
- 21 paperwork to that effect that you were the managing
- 22 member?
- 23 A. Well, I'm guessing that it was executed.
- 24 Q. Okay
- 25 A. That was put together by an attorney.

- 1 Q. What was the first capital contribution
- 2 that was made to acquire the interest that Double Ott
- 3 acquired in Henderson Water Park?
- 4 A. I, I don't recall.
- 5 Q. Would it be fair to say that there were
- 6 essentially two families involved in Henderson Water
- 7 Park?
- 8 A. I think so.
- 9 Q. Okay. The Opheikens family?
- 10 A. Yes, and the Huish.
- 11 Q. And their long-time adviser and friend
- 12 Mr. Welch on the one side, correct?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- Q. And the Huishes on the other side,
- 15 correct?

16

- A. Yeah.
- Q. What was the percentage of ownership of
- 18 each?
- 19 A. Well, again, that was a moving target,
- 20 because as the park would require more funds to
- 21 operate, it wasn't always -- everybody wasn't always
- 22 capable to add money. And at some point it sort of
- 23 became, we were the last guy standing, and so we put
- 24 in more funds.
 - And so consequently, as you put more money



- 1 in, the interest would change.
- Q. The allocation, the capital accounts would increase?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. If you put money in. And correspondingly
- 8 it would decrease if you didn't?
- 9 A. Yes. Yeah.
- 10 Q. So what was the initial capital
- 11 contribution that Double Ott made?
- 12 A. Well, I just simply don't recall that 13 number.
- Q. At the time the capital contribution was
- 15 made, was there any construction underway on the 16 property?
- 17 A. Oh, yeah, the park was, I don't know,
- 18 maybe 30 percent complete or 40 percent, something
- 19 like that.
- Q. Okay. What percentage of the park had
- 21 been completed at the time the litigation ensued by
- 22 Splash?
- A. Probably about 30 percent. Because we couldn't really go forward until that was resolved.
- Q. Okay. And R&O was the construction firm?

- 1 Q. Okay. If, if we wanted to find out
- 2 exactly when, we'd just go back to the minutes,

page 93

3 correct?

page 90

- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. The board minutes. Okay.
- 8 A. Or even the permits.
- 9 Q. Or even the permits, okay.
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. Do you recall the first time the board
- 12 ever considered the project? I'm asking --
- 13 A. No.

14

- Q. -- the question a slightly different way.
- 15 A. I wasn't part of that.
- 16 Q. Okay. When you say you weren't part of
- 17 that, you were on the board, right?
- 18 A. The project is not necessarily approved 19 whether or not R&O is going to build it by a board.
- Q. Okay. But it would certainly be a matter
- 21 that would be discussed, a project of that size, at
- 22 the board of directors, annual board of directors
- 23 meetings, correct?
- 24 A. I don't think so, not necessarily.
- Q. Okay. So if we get your minutes from

page 91

6

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. What year did -- withdraw.
- 3 Do you recall the first board meeting that
- 4 was held with respect to approving or otherwise
- 5 authorizing the approval of the project known as
- 6 Cowabunga Bay; that is to say, for R&O, when did they,
- 7 what board year did that take place?
- 8 A. Okay, could you try it one more time.
- 9 Q. Sure. I'm happy to do it. I'm happy to
- 10 do it.
- 11 A. Thank you.
- 12 Q. Okay. The board of directors would have
- 13 approved getting involved in the project at some 14 point?
- 15 A. You mean when we initially --
- 16 Q. Yeah.
- 17 A. -- started the contract?
- 18 Q. Contract, right.
- 19 A. To build the park with the Huish and the
- 20 Splash boys.
- 21 Q. Right.
- 22 A. You're asking what calendar year?
- Q. Yeah.
- 24 A. Well, it was either probably -- I think it
- 25 was 2012.

1 those years, it would, in your view, not display or in

- 2 any way mention Cowabunga Bay?3 A. Oh, I'm, I'm not implying that at all. I
- don't know what it would say about Cowabunga Bay.
- 5 Q. Or Henderson Water Park?
 - A. Or Henderson Water Park, yes.
- Well, and you're asking when the project
- 8 started. I don't believe there was a Henderson Water9 Park.
- 10 Q. Okay. I'm simply asking with respect to
- 11 the project that we generically refer to as
- 12 Cowabunga Bay. Okay?
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. All right. So with respect to the
- 15 decision that you were actual going to become involved
- 16 as an equity owner of the project, in which you had
- 17 previously been the contractor, do you recall when
- 18 that decision was made?
- 19 A. Okay, let me think. Okay. I think, I
- 20 think the job was started in 2012 in like the fall and
- 21 then they were trying to open the park in April of
- 22 2013. I think that was the initial desires of the
- 23 Splash group.
- Q. Okay. When, okay, Double Ott actually
- 25 became an equity owner in the project --



page 94 page 96

- 1 A. Okay.
- Q. That's the foundation in time.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. -- the other participant, the Huish
- 5 family --
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. -- side of it, that was known as
- 8 West Coast, correct?
- 9 A. I believe that's right.
- 10 Q. Okay. What was the initial contribution
- 11 of West Coast?
- 12 A. I think it was around four-and-a-half
- 13 million dollars or something like that.
- 14 Q. And what was the contribution, initial
- 15 contribution of Double Ott?
- 16 A. Well, okay. So there was a piece of
- 17 property, the property that the water park sat on.
- 18 Q. Um-hum.
- 19 A. And the, the owner -- the seller of the
- 20 property to Splash and to the Huish group originally.
- O. Um-hum.
- 22 A. So I believe that the Huish borrowed
- 23 somewhere in the neighborhood of, I am going to say
- 4 \$4 million, if you will just not -- I mean, if you
- 25 just won't hold me to these numbers in general.
 - page 95

- 1 Q. Ballpark, I get it.
- 2 A. Okay. So I think it was like roughly they
- ${f 3}$ paid for half the property. So and I believe the
- 4 sales price was in the neighborhood of \$8 million.
- 5 And they had --
- 6 Q. For the land?
- 7 A. For the land.
- 8 So they had an \$8 million line of credit.
- 9 And \$4 million came out of that line of credit and
- 10 went to pay for the half of the land that was
- 11 initially paid for.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. I think where they ran into a problem was
- 14 that -- and the Splash boys were sort of really
- 15 driving this at that point, okay -- and they thought
- 16 they had financing all lined up. And so they thought
- 17 this park would be up and operating, and the financing
- 18 would be in place, and everything would be going full
- 19 speed ahead like in April.
- I just got to collect my thoughts. When I
- 21 try to talk and think, I get in trouble.
- Q. I can relate.
- 23 A. Okay. So I'm just trying to re -- I'm
- 24 trying to remember. Okay. So they had an \$8 million
- 25 line of credit. And they, as I recall, paid

- 1 \$4 million for half the land, and then the seller put
- 2 a subordinated note or something along that line
- 3 against the park. And I believe that that was due in
- 4 a year, okay, plus or minus.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. All right.
 - Q. What was Double Ott's contribution,
- 8 capital contribution?
 - A. Well, Double Ott wasn't there then.
- 10 Q. Okay. When it became involved, all right?
- 11 A. You keep -- I'm here and then we're
- 12 jumping up there.
- 13 O. I know.
 - A. Okay. If you'll help me stay in the
- 15 field.

7

9

14

19

- 16 Q. I'm going to try to do that.
- 17 A. Okav.
- 18 Q. All right. Same ballpark.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. So when Double Ott actually became
- 21 involved, okay, with Henderson Water Park, you had the
- 22 Huishes with West Coast and then you had Double Ott.
- A. Okay, but I think we are missing a part.
- Q. Okay, go ahead.
- 25 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. Correct me if you want to do that.
 - 2 A. Okay. So there is an \$8 million line of
 - 3 credit.
 - 4 Q. Right.
 - 5 A. And so 4 million goes out for the land.
 - 6 Q. Right
 - 7 A. Now there's \$4 million left in the line of
 - 8 credit.
 - 9 Q. Okay.
 - 10 A. More or less.
 - 11 Q. Right.
 - 12 A. Okay. So R&O is building the project.
 - 13 Q. Right.
 - 14 A. And they are funding us out of that line
 - 15 of credit.
 - 16 Q. Right.
 - 17 A. Okay. The mess-up or the screw-up, or
 - whatever you want to call it, was when we started this
 - 19 project the Splash boys told us that they had an
 - 20 \$8 million line of credit.
 - 21 Q. Um-hum.
 - 22 A. We called the accountant to make sure that
 - there was \$8 million, there was an \$8 million line of
 - 24 credit, and he said yes. Where we went wrong is we
 - 25 didn't ask, yeah, but is there \$8 million in the



2

\$8 million line of credit, and there wasn't. 2 \$4 million of it had already been used to purchase the 3 property.

4 Q. Okay.

So we are going 100 miles an hour, so to 5 6 speak, building the project trying to get it ready by 7 April.

8 Q. Um-hum.

9 A. The first month's payment came out right

10 when it should. So it's really being fast-tracked.

11 And then the second month's payment got cut in half.

I mean, a couple of weeks or a month went by, and, you

know, there was reasons why. And then only half the

14 payment got made.

15 Well, meanwhile, we are going 100 miles an

16 hour.

17 To make a long story short. So at some 18 point, the 8 million has been used and we are roughly

19 4 million or so due and there is no loan.

20 One of the members of Splash's group had 21 worked for Goldman Sachs, and so he, you know, he kept

telling everybody, "No problem. The loan is coming."

23 And "The loan would be here tomorrow," or you know

24 what I mean.

25

This went on for quite a while. Two or

Huish family. 1

> And when I met all these guys, you know, they are just really super nice guys. And so I

started thinking, "Well, why can't -- how can we, how

page 100

page 101

can we fix this? How could we buy you guys some time

or something to help you get this park? Because if you can get the park up and open, it should be

operational." And one of the Splash boys kept saying,

and he was not lying, he really thought he had a loan

set up. 10

11

16

17

21

5

10

11

So, but the loan never happened. And then

12 it turned out it was a hard money loan. Which, and

13 then of course, we start putting numbers together

going, "Guys, we are only doing, we were only doing

15 8 million or 9 million or something."

Who was the lender?

Ultimately? Α.

18 Q. No. No. On the hard money loan?

19 I'm not sure.

20 Q. Okay. Go ahead.

> Okay. So when it -- so then we started A.

getting into these meetings, trying to figure out what 22

is really -- I mean, what can you really do. Because

guys -- and then -- well, we got to open in April

because we can't -- well, there is no way you are

page 99

three -- while these payments weren't being made. 1

That's about when I got the phone call. I 2

3 happened to be in Las Vegas. And that's when I got a call and was asked if I would try to figure out what

the problem was, because nobody really knew. I mean, 5

something was wrong but we couldn't figure out what. 6 7

Q. Who called you?

8 My son Slade. Α.

9 Okay. Continue on.

10 Α. Okay. So -- can I take a breath?

11 Q. Sure. Take a couple.

12 I'm running out of air.

MR. GORMLEY: Take a drink of water.

14 THE WITNESS: Okay. And I also got to

15 think.

13

16

All right. So now I get the phone call.

17 So I spend some time trying to figure out what is

going on. I mean, you know, what is the problem?

19 Which sounds easy, but... And I, as I recall, I met

20 with the Splash, and they were super nice guys, very

nice. They were, they were -- but here's the problem

22 in a nutshell.

23 So finally, look, we got to get these

subcontractors paid. How are we going to do this? It

was during this same period of time that I met the

going to open in April. You haven't got a loan.

These subcontractors are not going to turn another

ounce of dirt until they get paid. We are now maybe

4 two or three months in arrears.

And so I said to them, "All right, listen,

guys. What if we put some money in? How much is this

park supposed to cost?" And it was \$12 million or

15 million, whatever. I don't really remember the

exact number. But it was down in that range.

I get talking and I forget to breathe.

Q. That's okay.

12 Okay. So, so we come, we come up with a

proposal, which went something like this. "Well,

look, the Huish have" -- and I'm making up these

numbers -- "but, look, if the Huish have \$4 million in

and there's 4 million coming out of this loan, which

is the line of credit, and so if we come up with \$4 or

\$5 million and get these subcontractors paid for and

19 then get a loan, we ought to be able to build this

20 park."

21

Q. All right.

22 So and here is where we ran into the

dispute with the Splash boys. You know, they said,

"well, we" -- they had, and I'm not -- don't hold me

to the number again, but it really wasn't very much,



2 that they had put into the project. And Huish had 3 several million, whatever that number is. And then

4 the other money was money that was against the line of

credit. That's where the money had come from. 5

6 So we were saying to the Splash group, 7 "Listen, guys, we will put some money in dollar for 8 dollar. I mean, we don't -- we are not trying to get 9 an interest that's any more or any less fair than 10 yours. But if you're going to put in 300,000 and we

11 are going to put in 3 million, you don't get to have 12 40 percent of the park."

13 But they insisted that they were entitled 14 to 40 percent of the park, and that's really basically 15 what the dispute was. So it wasn't between R&O. It had nothing to do with R&O.

17 So the thrust of my question was what the 18 capital contribution --

19 I went through all that.

20 Q. That's okay. No. No. And I'm a pretty 21 patient guy so don't worry about it.

22 Okav. A.

23 Q. So whatever the capital contribution

24 was --

3

25 A. Well, I'm going to get -- 3 right?

4

5

9

11

14

A. Can I just ask you one question?

O. Sure.

6 A. Okay. Is this at the point when we are 7 getting ready to start construction to finish the park 8 or is this ultimately at the end of building the park?

No. This is, this is at the beginning of

10 Double Ott's involvement, okay.

> A. Okay. I --

12 There is something --O.

13 Α. Okav.

> Hold on. Hold on. O.

15 MR. GORMLEY: Let him finish the question.

16 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

17 Okay. So foundationally, the time I'm

interested in is Splash is out. 18

19 A. Okav.

20 Q. Okay. West Coast, the Huishes are in.

21 A. Okav.

22 Double Ott, the Opheikens are in, right? Q.

23 You with me?

24 Yeah. Yeah. A.

25 Q. Now, what was the capital contribution

page 103

Q. Hold on. Hold on. 1

2 A. Okav.

Q. That was ultimately made by West Coast,

4 okay, West Coast, and then the capital contribution --

5 Α. Now, do you mean the final?

6 Q. You have to wait until I get the question 7 out.

8 A. I'm sorry.

9 I'm just giving you what is known as the O.

10 foundation here.

11 A. Okav.

12 The point in time that I'm interested, Q.

13 okay --

14 Α. All right.

15 -- is the Splash guys are out. Okay? Q.

Oh, you are down the road. 16 Α.

17 O. I'm down the road.

18 Splash guys are out. There is a capital

19 contribution, okay, that's been made in some form or

20 fashion by West Coast, correct?

21 A. Yeah.

25

22 O. You basically covered that, you know, that

23 side of the equation for me, right?

24 A. I'm not sure.

> Pretty close. Q.

page 105 that was made by the Opheikens initially? Double Ott? 1

2 I think in the neighborhood --

Double Ott, in other words? 0.

4 A. I think in the neighborhood of 4 or

5 \$5 million.

6 Q. All right. Where did that money come

7 from?

3

8 A. Where did I get the money?

9 Q.

10 Okay. I borrowed the money. Α.

11 Q. From?

12 R&O. A.

13 Okav. Q.

14 Put it in Double Ott. Α.

15 Q. All right.

Invested the money there. And I 16 A.

17 personally owe R&O, and then we took a loan out.

All right. So initially, there was a loan

that was made by R&O Corporation? 19

Yeah. A.

20 21 O. Correct?

22 Yeah. Α.

23 Q. That loan was made to you personally?

24 Α. Correct.

> Correct? O.



18

- 1 Α. Correct.
- 2 You signed personally for that loan? Q.
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Okay. Who signed that loan agreement on
- 5 behalf of R&O?
- 6 I, I don't know without checking that out.
- 7 Did you sign as the -- you signed as the Q.
- 8 borrower, correct, personally?
- 9 I'm sure I did. Α.
- 10 Q. All right. R&O was the lender, correct?
- 11 Α.
- 12 How much, how much was the loan, the O.
- initial loan? 13
- 14 A. What I don't, what I don't recall is, I
- 15 think the original loan was somewhere in the
- neighborhood of 4 million. 16
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 Okay. Cass probably, my personal
- 19 attorney, R&O's attorney, probably handled that --
- 20 Q.
- 21 A. -- paperwork. I just don't remember.
- 22 So would the board of directors have
- approved that loan? 23
- 24 Sure. Α.
- 25 Okay. And you were on the -- you were the Q.

- Q. And the Bank of Utah was a bank that you 1 2 had done business with in the past?
- 3 A. That's correct.

page 106

4

7

19

22

6

- O. Okay. And when I say "you," I mean
- 5 collectively you and R&O, correct?
- 6 Really more me. A.
 - Q. Okay. And you had had a number of, of
- 8 loans or, or credit transactions with them over the
- 9 vears: is that correct?
- **10** Not too many. But I, I know them. A.
- 11 You knew them, okay. Q.
- 12 Α. Yeah.
- 13 Q. Where were they located?
- 14 A. In Ogden.
- 15 Ogden, right. Where you live? Q.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. And R&O is located in Ogden?
- 18 Α. Right. Right.
 - Q. And do you recall the general timeframe,
- 20 the ballpark, okay --
- 21 Okav. Α.
 - Q. -- of when your first loan agreement was
- 23 with, with that bank on your own behalf or R&O's?
- 24 Α. Let me think.
- 25 Q. Go ahead, yeah.

page 107 1

chairman of the board?

- 1
- 2 Yeah. Α.
- 3 Q. Did you vote for the approval of the loan?
- 4 Well, of course. A.
- 5 Q. Okay. Was the vote unanimous for the
- approval of the loan from R&O to Double Ott? Or to
- 7 you, excuse me, I'm sorry.
- 8 I'm sure it was.
- 9 You thereafter contributed that \$4 million
- to Double Ott; is that correct? 10
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Okay. At the time that you contribute
- that money to Double Ott, you were the, you were the
- designated manager --14
- 15 Α. Okav.
- -- of Double Ott; is that correct? 16 Q.
- 17 Α. I think so.
- 18 Did you also bear a title of chairman at O.
- 19 any time of Double Ott?
- 20 I don't think so. Α.
- 21 Okay. Now, you said that thereafter you
- 22 got a loan from a bank?
- 23 Α. Correct.
- 24 Q. Okay. And what bank was that?
- 25 Bank of Utah.

- Okay. And let me -- can I ask you a Α.
- question? 2 3
 - Sure. Go ahead. O.
- 4 Okay. So --A.
- 5 I'm looking historically here. Q.
 - Yeah, I understand. Α.
- 7 Q. Irrespective of what the project was or
- 8 anything.
- 9 Α. Yeah.
- 10 And, again, I'm looking for a ballpark Q.
- 11 here, Mr. Opheikens.
- 12 All right. So we, we probably resolved
- that issue because we were trying to get it ready for 13
- 14 the next year. So now we are coming into fall.
- 15 Different question. Different question, Q.
- 16 okay.

18

21

25

- 17 A. Well --
 - My question is, my question is, do you O.
- recall the very first commercial transaction you ever
- 20 had with that bank?
 - Α. Oh. Oh, Bank of Utah. You mean like --
- 22 Yeah. Very first time you did business O.
- 23 with those folks?
- 24 Α. Maybe in the '80s or '90s.
 - Okay. And before, before you went to them O.



page 110 page 112

- 1 to get the loan for the water park for, or for
- 2 Double Ott to invest in the water park, how many, how
- 3 many loan transactions do you believe you may have
- engaged in with that bank? And again ballpark.
- 5 Here's what is difficult.
- Sure. Go ahead and explain it to me. 6 Q.
- 7 A. Okay. Back in the '70s or '80s --
- 8 Q. Right.
- 9 A. -- when we were building some homes --
- 10 O. Sure.
- 11 -- I would have taken out construction
- 12 loans and done some of those kind of things with Bank
- 13 of Utah.
- 14 O. Um-hum.
- 15 A. You know, the interest rates went crazy in
- 16 early '80s and all that kind of stuff. So I never
- 17 really borrowed a lot of money after that from Bank of
- 18 Utah. And so there may have been two or three or four
- 19 or five over those years. I, I don't recall even one
- 20 of them. But I can't imagine that from time to time
- 21 that I didn't do some kind of business with them. And
- 22 I knew them real well.
- 23 Okay. That's the point I'm getting. You
- 24 had a relationship with them?
- 25 A. Yes.

- page 111
- Okay. Who is it that you had the 1
- relationship with at the bank? 2
- 3 His name is -- well, Scott Parkinson.
- 4 Q. Okay. And who is Scott Parkinson?
- 5 Well, he was, you know, he was, I think,
- probably their business development, but I'm not
- 7 positive about what his exact title was. He is
- 8 retired now.
- And did you know, did you know him from a
- 10 previous life at Weber State, for example, or --
- 11 Not, not that far back. But I had known
- him with involvement in the Chamber of Commerce and 12
- 13 all those kind of things for probably 20 years or so.
- 14 Okay. All right. So whether it was
- 15 Chamber or Rotary or whatever. You had a business
- relationship and he was an acquaintance? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- You liked him? 18 Q.
- 19 A. Oh, yeah.
- 20 And seemingly, he liked you? Q.
- 21
- 22 Q. And thought you were good fella?
- 23 A. I hope so.
- 24 Okay. And as a result of that, you got
- 25 loans from him over the years, right?

- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. So when you got involved in
- 3 Double Ott and you decided to go get a loan, you
- 4 decided you'd go see Scott, presumably?
 - Probably.
- 6 Probably. Okay.
 - So did you actually meet with Scott?
- 8

5

7

17

19

3

- 9 Q. Okay. Where did you meet with him?
- 10 A. Well, probably at the bank.
- 11 Q. Okay. Do you recall your first meeting
- 12 with him?
- 13 Α. No. But sort of.
- 14 Q. Sort of.
- 15 I mean, I --A.
- 16 Q. That's fine.
 - Α. A gist, if you will.
- 18 Q. Who was with you?
 - A. Just me.
- 20 Q. Okay. What did you tell him?
 - Α. I told him that we were in the middle of a
- 21 22 real nightmare down here on this project and I was
- 23 trying to figure out how to work it out.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 I remember he -- of course his first Α.

- reaction is, you know, "Well, what do you know about a
- water park? And why would we want to lend money --
 - Q. Right.
- 4 A. -- on a water park?"
- 5 Q. Right.
- And I said, "Well, look, why don't we, why 6
- 7 don't we go down to Salt Lake," because the Huish
- family had a water park in Salt Lake City.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 And so I took Scott, yeah, Scott. There
- may have been another person with Scott. Seems like
- there was, but I'm not 100 percent sure. 12
 - Q. Um-hum.
- 14 And we went, we drove down there one day
- 15 and met Shane, and I think --
- 16 Shane Huish? O.
- 17 Yes. And I think his brother Dave. A.
- 18 Dave, okay.
- 19 I think it was Dave. And, and we kind of
- 20 spent the morning, or, you know, mid-morning probably
- 21 and went to lunch and whatever. And so gave him kind
- 22 of a chance to, you know, to get to know the Huish.
- 23 Q. Okay. And observe the operations and that
- 24 sort of thing?
- 25 A. Yeah. Yeah.



- 1 Q. Okay. And so what happened next?
- 2 A. Well, we went back and met with the bank
- several times trying to figure out if we could or how
- 4 we could structure a loan. And ultimately we were 5 able to do that.
- 6 Who negotiated the loan, you? Q.
- From their -- me. 7 A.
- 8 Q. From your side.
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. Okay. And who negotiated the loan from
- 11 their side?
- 12 A. Taft. Actually, a guy under Taft. I
- 13 can't think of Taft's last name.
- 14 O. Was, was he the loan officer?
- 15 A. No, Taft is over the commercial loan
- department, I believe. 16
- 17 Okay. He's, he's the vice president of
- 18 commercial loans, something of the nature?
- 19 Yeah, probably something like that, yeah.
- 20 I can't believe I can't think of his name.
- 21 That's all right.
- 22 All right. Do you know who was on his
- 23 loan committee?
- 24 Oh, I have no idea. Α.
- 25 Did you ever meet with the loan committee? Q.

- 1 park. I mean to, you know, actually get the park up
- and get it complete.
- 3 Q. All right.
- 4 Α. And open.
- 5 In other words, to get R&O paid back and
- 6 to get R&O paid for the construction --
- 7 Get the --A.
- 8 -- services they rendered? O.
- 9 A. Yeah, get all the bills paid.
- 10 Q. And principal creditor was R&O?
- 11 Well, okay.
- 12 Fair enough? O.
- 13 We owed the subs. Α.
- 14 Q. Right.
- 15 A. Yes, that's correct. Get everybody paid.
- 16 Right. Get everybody paid, okay.
 - So the, the first loan -- excuse me. The
- 18 first funding through the loan was extended to who?
- Bad question. 19

17

1

- 20 On the funding of the loan --
- 21 A. Who did we pay?
- 22 Q. You got a certain amount to pay somebody
- 23 right away, correct?
- 24 A. Yeah. I think the subcontractors, right.
- 25 Q. Okay.

page 115

page 114

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Okay. But ultimately, the bank's loan
- 3 committee approved this loan to you?
- 4 Yes. Α.
- 5 O. Of how much?
- 6 I think it was 12.2 or 12.5 million.
- 7 Q. And did you prepare a prospectus to 8 submit?
- 9 I think, I think Scott gave them that.
- 10 But mainly the thing that got us a loan is everything
- I owned is on the line. 11
- 12 Q. Okay. You signed personally for the loan?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Okay. And, and to what purpose was the
- 15 loan of 2.2 to 2.5 to be put?
- 12.2, you mean? 16 Α.
- 17 Q. Excuse me, 12.2. I misspoke. Thank you
- 18 for correcting me.
- 19 Okay. I'm sorry, now can you say it Α.
- **20** again.
- 21 Sure. What were you going to do with the Q.
- 22 dough?
- 23 Α. Well, we were thinking of a trip.
- 24 Q. There you go.
- 25 No. It, it was, it was to finish the

- page 117 Because they were just really, some of
- 2 them were on the verge of filing for bankruptcy. 3
 - Q. Bankruptcy which you don't want?
- 4 No, of course not. A.
- 5 Q. Okay. About how much was that, do you
- 6 recall?
- 7 Well, again, plus or minus, I think it was
- 8 four, four and a half million is what sticks in my
- 9 head.

- 10 Q. Okay. So that was the first funding that
- 11 was approved and applied?
- **12** A. Well, I --
 - O. Or drawdown, however you want to --
- 14 Well, it may have also been reimbursed.
- 15 You know, it's sort of like all these things need to
- be paid. 16
- 17 Q. Right.
- 18 So I think it's that --Α.
- 19 Q. R&O?
- **20** -- and I think it's the land, you know. Α.
- 21 O. Okay.
- 22 **R&O** never, we never received any fee for
- 23 our -- we made no profit on that project. We never
- were reimbursed profit. But we did cover all of our
- costs, which is our subcontractors, so on and so



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

O.

A.

A.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Q.

O.

A.

0.

A.

repay the loan?

Yes.

Okay.

then you go to work.

Yeah.

bank at the closing?

All right.

Okay.

- forth. 1
- 2 Got it. Okay. Q.
- 3 So when you say that R&O never got any
- profit, do you mean to say by that, that there was a
- decision by the R&O board not to do the project for a 6 profit?
- 7 A. No. I mean, Cowabunga Bay has not yet 8 been able to pay R&O, so it's an account receivable.
- 9 It's an account receivable?
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. There hasn't been a forgiveness of debt?
- 12 Α.
- 13 Got it. Okay. Q.
- 14 So when you got the loan, personally
- 15 signed for the loan and got the loan, did you then
- have some sort of an agreement with Double Ott? Do
- 17 you understand the question?
- 18 Α. Are you assuming that --
- 19 Let me -- it was a poorly, it was a poorly Q. 20 drafted question.
- 21 MR. GORMLEY: Let him rephrase it.
- 22 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 23 Did Double Ott assume some responsibility
- 24 for the repayment of that loan that you personally
- took out?

1

- page 119

8

18

19

23

- Taft. I also I can't remember his name. But I only
- worked with him on that loan. I didn't really know 3 him.

And I'm sure Double Ott and -- well, if it

had anything to do with me, it's put up as collateral.

Did the Huishes through any of their entities sign for the loan or assume an obligation to

Okay. When did that happen?

About the same time?

You know what I mean.

It's the same table.

So please forgive me if I'm wrong.

It's all kind of at the same time.

Just a big closing, a deep breath, and

Okay. Where did the closing take place?

You got to do that to me, don't you? It

must have been Ogden, I would think, at Bank of Utah.

At the closing, who was there from the

Well, there was a loan officer assigned by

That's okay. Makes sense. Okay.

- 4 Q. Okay. Did you know any of the members of 5 the loan committee?
- I'm not sure who's on the Bank of Utah 6 7 loan committee. I don't have that kind of --
 - Q. Okav.
- 9 -- pull, if you will. Α.
- 10 All right. But you knew Mr. Taft as Q.
- the --11
- 12 I really got to know Taft. Α.
- -- VP of loans? 13 O.
- 14 I knew who Taft --
- 15 MR. GORMLEY: Let him finish the question.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- 17 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
 - No, that's all right.
 - You knew that Taft was the VP of loans.
- He assigned a loan officer. The loan officer was who 20
- 21 did you say?
- 22 A. I didn't. I can't remember.
 - Okay, can't remember. Okay, fair enough.
- 24 You think he was there. Who else was
 - there at the closing on behalf of the bank?

- Well, I think Double Ott took out the
- loan, but I had to sign personally.
- 3 Okay. All right. So they were the
- 4 actual, okay, entity?
- 5 Or maybe it was Cowabunga Bay that took
- out the loan. That seems like -- yeah, I think
- 7 Cowabunga Bay.
- Cowabunga Bay is the dba for Henderson 8
- 9 Water Park, right?
- 10 Oh, man, you know, you just keep helping 11 me be confused.
- 12 Well --Q.
- 13 A. No, I understand.
- 14 You understand what I'm saying?
- 15 Yeah. I know it should be clear, but --
- No, that's okay, that's okay. I think we 16
- 17 are on the same, same track.
- 18 So it seems to me like I get a payment
- coupon every month from Bank of Utah and it seems to 19
- 20 me like it says Cowabunga Bay on it.
- 21 Q.
- 22 A. So I think we have an entity, I'm sure we
- 23 do, called Cowabunga Bay Water Park.
- 24 Q.
- 25 And that entity signed for the loan. A.



2

5

- 1 I, I think the loan officer pretty much.
- 2 But all the papers were put together by an attorney --
- 3 Q. Sure.
- 4 A. -- here in Las Vegas, actually.
- Okay. And you were present? 5
- 6 A. At the closing?
- 7 Yes, sir. Q.
- 8 Of course. A.
- 9 Who else from your side was present? Were Q.
- 10 any of your boys there?
- I'm going to guess they were. 11
- 12 Don't guess. Q.
- 13 I don't know. Α.
- 14 Q. Okay. You know for a fact you were there?
- 15 A. Well, I know I signed, so I know I was
- 16 there.
- 17 Okay. You were signing on the dotted line
- you were responsible? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay, very good.
- 21 Did the -- withdraw.
- 22 You've been involved in a number of
- 23 commercial transactions with banks. The banks
- basically ask for a lot of documentation, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

- page 123
- The bank presumably asked for
- 2 documentation that demonstrated that Cowabunga Bay or
- whatever entity it was that was also signing as being
- 4 financially responsible for the loan being extended
- 5 had the authority to in fact agree to their liability
- 6 for the loan, right? It's called authorization
- 7 approval, right?
- 8 A. You are in the legal jargon world now. I signed the papers that the bank required. 9
- 10 Okay. When you signed -- you signed a
- 11 paper as a guarantor of the loan?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 O. Okay. And it was personally extended to
- 14 you?
- **15** No. I personally --A.
- Double Ott? 16 Q.
- Well, I think Double Ott. 17 A.
- 18 Q. Right.
- 19 I think. Α.
- 20 And you signed for Double Ott? Q.
- 21 Α. I had to sign personally.
- 22 Personally and as --O.
- 23 And Double Ott. I would have had to have Α.
- 24 signed for Double Ott.
- 25 And you were authorized to sign for

- Double Ott? 1
 - A. Yeah.
- 3 All right. Were you the chief, you Q.
- 4 weren't the Indian?
 - I guess that's right.
- 6 Okay. Thereafter, there was funding of
- 7 the loan that took place on a, on a regular basis
- presumably, correct? 9
 - Yeah. Α.
- 10 Q. Okay. The funding of this loan, however,
- 11 was subject to certain contingencies or covenants,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. All right. What were the covenants that
- 15 the loan was subject to?
- 16 Boy, you'd think I would remember that
- 17 word. Because it was a big deal.
- 18 There was a lot of negotiation over it? Q.
- 19 A. Not so much negotiation as --
- 20 Q. As them telling you?
- 21 A. -- fear of --
- 22 Q. This is the way it's going to be?
- 23 This is the wrong thing to do or the right
- 24 thing to do. Why am I doing this?
- 25 Q. Okay.

page 125

- What I can't tell you right now, though, 1
- is what those covenants were.
 - Okay. Can you tell me any of them?
- 4 A. Well, give me a hint. What would a
- 5 covenant --

3

8

- Sure. Meeting certain projections. 6
- 7 Being, being responsible for --
 - Yeah, okay. Thank you.
- 9 -- adhering to the budget, for example,
- 10 which is always the main one.
- 11 That helped me, because, yes, we had to
- meet certain criteria. I don't specifically remember
- it, but we had to make a certain amount of money,
- 14 let's sav.
 - O. Right. Your cash flow had to be X?
- 16 Yeah. And you had to, of course, make the
- 17 payments, that's just...
- 18 O. Banks like that.
- 19 Yeah. So anyway, so there were covenants,
- 20 and, and we've had to meet those regularly.
- 21 All right. And in anticipation of
- 22 complying with those covenants, you were also required
- to submit budgetary proposals and projections,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Yes.



page 126

- 1 Q. All right. Who was it that submitted the
- 2 budgetary proposals and projections?
- 3 A. I believe Scott Huish prepared them,
- 4 but -- or it might have been Craig Huish. Which is
- 5 not -- doesn't have anything to do with the park, but
- $6\,\,$ he is an accountant that the Huish family have used, I
- 7 believe, for a number of years.
- 8 Q. All right. And why are the, why are
- 9 budgetary projections required to be submitted to a
- 10 bank for their approval?
- 11 A. Well, I, I don't -- I think that when I
- 12 say budgetary, I think they were asking to see
- 13 something to help them be convinced that the park
- 14 would work.
- 15 Q. Right.
- 16 A. Is that what you meant?
- 17 Q. Right. And, in fact, there is a
- 18 continuing and ongoing obligation to submit these
- 19 projections to the loan committee or the bank, let's
- 20 just say the bank, correct?
- 21 A. I would take your word on that. I, I
- 22 think you are correct, but I'm not really...
- Q. Now, were there any consequences that would flow from your failure to keep the budgetary
- 25 limitations that had been submitted to the bank; that

- 1 A. -- the construction loan.
- 2 O. Is that correct?
- 3 A. Okay, say it again.
- 4 O. One of the conditions of them giving you
- 5 the loan and you complying with the covenants is when
- 6 they give you money, you have to show them what you're
- 7 doing with the money?
- 8 A. Yes, what we would --
 - Q. That's a "yes"?
- 10 A. Well, I'm going to -- let me say this
- 11 first.

9

- 12 Q. Before you get there, and I'm going to let
- 13 you say whatever you want. Okay?
 - And as a result of that, in advance of
- 15 that, you submit projections to them, correct,
- 16 budgetary projections or operational projections,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Okay. I'm confused. And let me tell you
- 19 why.
- Q. Go ahead.
- 21 A. Okav.
- Q. I'm not trying to confuse you.
- 23 A. When you say operational to me, I think
- 24 that's operating the park.
- Q. Okay.

page 127

1

- is to say, could the bank declare a violation of a
- 2 covenant if you went over budget?
- 3 MR. GORMLEY: I object. Lack of
- 4 foundation.
- 5 You can testify what you mean.
- 6 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I don't, I don't understand
- 8 that.
- 9 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 10 Q. I'll -- then I'll just ask a different
- 11 question --
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. -- to get to the same point.
- The bank keeps a tab on how much you're
- 15 spending, they are giving you money, you're --
- 16 A. Now, are you talking --
- 17 Q. Hold on. Wait until I get it out.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. The bank is giving you money, right?
- 20 A. Yeah. We make draws.
- Q. Right. One of the conditions of making
- 22 the draws is that you have to show them what the draw
- 23 was used for, correct?
- 24 A. Okay. So you're talking about the loan --
- 25 Q. Is that --

- A. Okay?
- Q. So let's withdraw -- let me rephrase the
- 3 question.
- 4 A. Well, let me go back.
- 5 Q. All right, I'll let you.
- 6 A. Because I want to, I want to be right.
- 7 Q. You are beating me down here. You know,
- 8 that's not your job, it's my job to beat you down.
- 9 Go ahead.
- 10 A. Okay. So when we took out the loan, the
- 11 \$12.2 million, it's to build the park.
- 12 Q. I get it
- 13 A. Okay. So are you asking me about that?
- 14 Q. That, yes
- 15 A. So we're -- and I'm going to call that a
- 16 construction loan.
- 17 Q. That's fine.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Okay.
 - A. Okay. So that's what I'm talking about.
- 21 Q. All right.
- A. So we would -- when we would pay somebody,
- 23 we would have to show the invoices, et cetera, against
- 24 a cost breakdown.
- 25 Q. Right.



page 130 page 132

- 1 Α. Okay.
- 2 Now, let's go forward. Q.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. Now the park is up and running.
- 5 Α.
- 6 Okay. Now we are talking about Q.
- 7 operations, correct?
- 8 Right. Α.
- 9 O. All right. And when you're talking about
- 10 operations, you submit budgets as well to the bank
- showing them what your operations are, what's your
- 12 line items, what your projections are in the budget, 13 et cetera, correct?
- 14 MR. GORMLEY: If you know.
- 15 **THE WITNESS: Operations --**
- 16 MR. CAMPBELL: That's improper.
- 17 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 18 Q. Go ahead.
 - MR. GORMLEY: Well --
- 20 MR. CAMPBELL: You are not allowed to.
- 21 That's the classic, classic speaking objection.
- 22 Please don't explain it to me.
- 23 MR. GORMLEY: I'm not trying to explain.
- 24 MR. CAMPBELL: You made the objection.
- 25 ///

19

page 131

- BY MR. CAMPBELL: 1
- 2 Go ahead.
- 3 MR. GORMLEY: Lack of foundation.
- 4 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 5 O. Go ahead, sir.
- 6 MR. GORMLEY: Answer the question if you
- 7 know.
- THE WITNESS: Now I'm lost. 8
- 9 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 10 That's okay, I'll bring you back.
- 11 MR. GORMLEY: I'm sorry for losing you.
- 12 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 13 Q. No, I'll bring you back to it.
- 14 So we are in the operational phase. The
- 15 park is up and running. Okay? But you are still
- drawing down on the loan.
- 17 Α. No, no. The -- that loan --
- 18 Q. It's already --
- 19 -- was invested into the park.
- 20 Okay. But you have to make payments, Q.
- 21 correct?
- 22 Yes. Α.
- 23 Okay. And those payments are governed by,
- you know, a payment schedule. They're actually called
- 25 something else in the terms of art. But a payment

- schedule, right?
 - Right. A.
- 3 All right. And there are covenants that Q.
- 4 basically you agree I'm going to make these payments,
- 5 correct?

2

7

19

1

- 6 A. Correct.
 - And one of the things you do in operations Q.
- is you want to make sure you can make those loan
- payments, correct?
- 10 A. And so that's the budget you're talking about.
- 11 12 O. Is the answer to my question, yes, you
- 13 want to make sure you can make the payments?
- 14 Okay. All of that was handled, I believe,
- 15 by Scott. So I don't -- I can't say yes, because I
- didn't have anything to do with that part of the -- I
- 17 didn't operate it.
- 18 Q. Let me, let me ask a different question.
 - A. Okav.
- 20 Q. You have an enormous amount of, of
- 21 experience and wisdom with respect to the operation of
- big businesses. So I'm not necessarily committing you
- to every single detail with respect to this particular
- 24 loan, but rather generally how these things work.
- 25 Okay?

A. Okav.

- 2 All right. So they extend when you have Q.
- 3 to pay the money back, right?
- 4 Um-hum. Α.
- 5 Q. Okay. That's a "yes"?
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 That's three.
- 8 You got to pay the money back and you have
- 9 to do it on a certain schedule, correct?
- 10 You mean like monthly? Α.
- 11 Q. Well, whatever it may be.
- 12 A.
- 13 O. Sometimes it's quarterly, sometimes it's
- 14 monthly.
- **15** A. Okay.
- 16 Q. Right?
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 Okay. And if you don't do that, they can Q.
- 19 declare a default?
- 20 Α. Correct.
- 21 O. And in the event that they declare a
- default, because there is a covenant that says they
- can do that, they can -- basically, the bank can come
- in and say, hey, all of it is due right now, pay up.
- 25 Correct?



1 more frequently than an annual basis?2 A. I don't believe so.

3 Q. Okay. They are shared, these projections,

4 budgetary projections are shared with the bank,

5 correct?

7

page 134

6 A. I don't know.

Q. All right. Have you ever seen loan

8 covenants that require budgetary projections to be

9 shared with the bank?

10 A. I don't remember that I have.

11 Q. Okay. Did the loan that you obtained and

12 signed for have any requirements for balloon payments?13 A. Yes, I think for the first three years or

13 A. Yes, I think for the first three years or 14 something like that it required it.

15 Q. Okay. What was, what was the balloon

payment in the first year?A. Oh, gosh. I, I don't remember the number.

18 Q. Can you ballpark it?

A. I think it was like 300 grand or something

20 like that.

19

24

Q. Okay. What was it in the second year?

A. I think it was consistent.

Q. It was the same amount every year?

A. I believe it was.

25 Q. Okay. Of hundreds of thousands of

page 135

1 dollars?2 A. Well, I think it was around 300,000.

Q. Okay. All right. Whatever it was, it was in the hundreds of thousands of dollars is my point,

5 fair enough?

6 A. I guess.

7 Q. Okay. And those balloon payments were 8 covered by a covenant as well; but if you didn't make

9 the balloon payments, just like you didn't make your

10 ordinary loan payments, that that could be a basis for

11 the bank to declare a default, correct?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. And so you wanted to make those

14 balloon payments as well, that's an important

15 component of your obligation under the contract,

16 correct?

18

25

17 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Was the individual you were talking

19 about Bart, Bart Tucker?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay.

22 A. How did you know?

Q. Well, I do my best. I have done this for

24 40 years. I've learned something along the way --

A. Don't you think that --

1 A. I believe so.

Q. Now, one of the things that you're

3 concerned about is you never want to get into a

4 default situation, correct?

5 A. Correct.

2

6 Q. You don't want the bank coming in and

7 saying, "It's all due now, pay up," right?

8 A. Right.

9 Q. Okay. So what you want to do is you want

10 to watch your operations and observe, okay, what

11 you're budget is calling for and whether or not the

12 budget is going to be sufficient to fund your payment

13 obligations under the loan so there isn't a

14 declaration of default, right?

15 A. Yes. Always.

16 Q. Okay. Who was responsible for creating

17 the budget to fund the repayment of the loan?

18 A. I, I believe Craig Huish.

19 Q. Okay. And, and was there anyone from the

20 Opheikens side that was responsible for that or

21 otherwise responsible for reviewing it for Double Ott

22 or you or your family?

23 A. Well, when you say "review," you mean like

24 in our annual meeting?

25 Q. At any point in time.

1 A. Wait --

2 Q. Let me ask a different question.

3 A. Okay.

4 Q. When the Huish side, okay, was making

5 these budget proposals or submitting the budget, who

6 from the Opheikens side or the Double Ott side was

7 reviewing them?

8 A. Probably, probably Slade.

9 O. Okay. And were there presentations or

10 were there budget projections that were made on a

11 regular basis --

12 A. Well, I think --

13 Q. -- with respect to the operations?

14 A. I think annual. But you have to remember

15 that annual in this water park is only about a

16 quarter. I mean, it's only open, you know, from April

17 to August, and then a few days, weekends only or

18 something like that.

19 Q. So the answer is yes, correct?

20 A. Okay, ask the question again.

Q. Sure. These projections are made on, on

22 an ongoing basis in the operations of the park,

23 correct?

24 A. Okay, on an annual basis.

Q. All right. Are they made, are they made



page 140
A. -- they can come out and make sure the

2 house is half built if you're taking half the money.

3 Q. Right. Exactly. They have a right to

4 inspect and observe, right?

A. I, I suppose.

6 Q. Okay. And, in fact, the Bank of Utah did

7 that?

page 138

1

5

8 A. Well, I don't know that. I know they came 9 out. I don't know that they came out specifically to

10 do that.

11 Q. Well, you know they weren't here to, to

12 party, they were there for a commercial business,

13 correct?

14 A. I don't know. They seemed to want to get

15 in the water.

16 Q. Yeah. Did they?

17 A. I think they did, but I'm not positive.

18 Q. But they were there for to serve the

19 interests of their, of their bank?

20 A. Yeah, probably. Yes.21 Q. Do you recall signing a number of

22 documents designating you as the authorized managing

23 member on behalf of Henderson Water Park in connection

24 with the extension of the loan?

25 A. No.

page 141

1 Q. You don't dispute that you were, you 2 simply are saying you don't recall?

3 A. That's right.

4 Q. Okay. The reason I'm asking, you are not

5 denying that you were, you're just saying at the

6 moment I'm asking you this question you don't recall

7 if you were or not?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. Did Scott Huish sign personally on

10 this loan?

11 A. I think so.

12 Q. Did John Huish sign personally on the

13 loan?

14 A. I think so.

15 Q. Did he have any role in the development or

16 operation of Cowabunga Bay, John Huish?

17 A. Well, he certainly, he certainly had a

18 role.

20

19 Q. What was it?

A. John -- the Huish family, which is John

and Scott and Shane, were who we were impressed with.

22 I mean, you know, John is like, he was put in the

23 International Hall of Fame, for instance, when we were

24 trying to put all this financing together, for running

25 all the parks that he has run throughout his life.

O. -- no matter how dumb I am.

2 A. Don't you think that I ought to be able to 3 remember a guy named Bart Tucker, though?

O. Yeah, well. Okay.

5 So did anyone from the bank ever come out

6 to the park to observe its operations or conduct any

7 meeting with any executive associated with Double Ott

8 or West Coast or Henderson Water Park, LLC?

9 A. Okay. Ask me again.

10 Q. Sure. I'm going to make it much simpler.

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. Anybody from the bank ever come out to

13 meet with anybody at Cowabunga Bay with respect to its

14 obligations?

15 A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall who that was?

17 A. Oh, I think Bart Tucker came out.

18 Q. All right. Do you remember who else came

19 out?

1

4

20 A. I think Richens.

21 Q. Right.

22 A. Spencer Richens came out.

23 Q. Right.

24 A. I believe -- well, I think some others

25 came out before the park opened.

page 139

1 Q. And after the park opened, correct?

2 A. Well, I don't remember.

3 Q. Okay. And do you recall why these

4 individuals from the bank came out to observe the

5 operations?

6 A. Well, probably to make sure that we were 7 doing what we were supposed to be doing.

8 Q. Right. And they had the right to do that,

9 correct? 10 A. Well, I never thought of it that way. I

mean, they are certainly welcome.Q. Right. But irrespective of that, they

13 had, they had a right and entitlement to inspect the project, correct?

15 A. I suppose so.

16 Q. Okay. Have you ever heard of that as a

17 component of a loan in any of the years that you were

18 involved in the construction industry, for example?

19 A. Well, I, I think more in terms in my --20 more normal than what I think you are describing is

21 that they have a right to send an inspector out --

Q. Right.

23 A. -- to inspect. For instance, on a home if

24 we are building it -- 25 Q. Right.

- 1 And --
- Q. Was John an equity holder in West Coast?
- 3 A. I don't remember how West Coast is
- 4 structured.
- 5 Q. Okay. Do you know who the members of
- 6 West Coast are?
- 7 A. I don't recall.
- 8 Q. Okay. You are not suggesting that John is
- 9 a member of West Coast, are you?
- 10 A. I'm not, I'm really not trying to suggest 11 anything.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. I just don't remember.
- 14 Q. All right. Who is Lisbeth Edmunds?
- 15 A. I think that's Scott and Shane's mom.
- 16 Q. Are you aware of the fact that she was a
- 17 guarantor --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- on the loan?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- Q. How is it that you became aware of that
- 22 fact?
- A. She called me.
- O. And when did she call you?
- 25 A. At about the time when we were applying

- page 144

 Q. Okay. It was only after your involvement
- 2 in Cowabunga Bay that you came --
- 3 A. Right.

4

- Q. -- to know them?
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. Were you familiar with Lisbeth Edmunds
- 7 before you were involved in Cowabunga Bay?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. You'd never seen her on TV or anything of
- 10 that nature?
- 11 A. No. Was she on TV?
- 12 Q. I'm just asking if you ever saw her on TV.
- 13 A. No. I didn't know of her or...
- 14 Q. I want to ask this question a different
- 15 way, because I'm not trying to trick you, okay, but
- 16 I'm just -- I'm giving you an opportunity to answer
- 17 this question.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Did you ever meet with any representatives
- 20 of the bank at Cowabunga Bay?
 - A. Well, it, it seems like I should have.
- 22 But -- yes. Yeah. I think prior to the loan.
- Q. Um-hum.
- A. When we were talking to them to make the
- 25 loan, I believe they came down. I'm not clear on

page 143

21

3

8

15

- 1 for the loan and Bank of Utah was basically saying we 2 want all these personal guarantors.
- 3 Q. Okay. And she was one of the personal
- 4 guarantors?5 A. Yeah, she was willing to sign. She wanted
- 6 to show her support for her boys.
- 7 Q. Why did she call you?
- 8 A. Because she was trying to encourage us to
- 9 be willing to work with these guys.
- 10 Q. The Huishes?
- 11 A. Yeah. It's just another example of how
- 12 honest the Huishes are.
- 13 Q. Um-hum.
- Where did the, where did the Huishes
- 15 reside? Where is the Huish family from?
- 16 A. Well, she is in Salt -- Salt Lake area, I
- $17 \quad believe. \ I \ think \ the \ Huishes \ are \ from \ the \ Salt \ Lake$
- 18 area.
- 19 Q. Did you know the Huishes --
- 20 A. Prior?
- 21 Q. -- prior to any involvement in
- 22 Cowabunga Bay?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. You didn't?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 exactly when. I don't know if it was when the park2 was pretty much done and --
 - Q. It's getting ready to open.
- 4 A. I think, I think it was then. I think
- 5 when the park was starting to, you know, look like a
- 6 park instead of a disaster area. But I also think
- 7 that they may have come down even prior to that.
 - Q. I understand.
- 9 A. But I don't think I met them.
- 10 Q. But you do recall --
- 11 A. But I do think I met them the one time.
- 12 Q. Right. And who did you meet with?
- 13 A. Oh, you got to do that. Well, okay. I
- 14 think Bart might have been one of them.
 - O. Okay
- 16 A. And I don't remember. I don't remember
- 17 the name. Oh, okay, now look. Bank of Utah wasn't
- 18 the only institution --
- 19 Q. I'm aware, I'll get there in a minute, but
- 20 go ahead.
- 21 A. Okay. So I don't think it was Taft. I
- 22 don't remember.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. I remember the faces.
 - Q. All right.



1 But I can't --

2 Q. And you remember that they were from Bank of Utah. There were a couple of gentlemen that came

4 down and you met with them? That's all --

But they also, they also may have been 6 from the other institutions that were involved.

All right. When you say institutions --

8 Well, I don't know what I'm talking about. A.

9 -- do you mean other lenders? Q.

10 Α. Yeah.

5

7

11 Q. Okay. What other lenders were there?

12 Well, one of them is Lindquist has an

insurance company. I don't know the name of the 13

insurance company. Great something or --

15 Q. Who has the insurance company?

16 John Lindquist. A.

Yes. Who is John Lindquist? 17 Ο.

18 He's a -- he's from Ogden, and he owns a

mortuary and cemetery. It's a long, long-time family 19

20 from Ogden.

21

Q. Right. 22 A.

And, and he has been very successful in 23 insurance product, which I don't really understand.

24 But, anyway, so he, he lends money through Bank of

25 Utah is the way I would say it. I don't know if

1 that's the proper way to think of it, but... So Bank

page 146

1

7

8

12

16

17

22

4

15

16

of Utah somehow sort of represents him.

3 Um-hum.

4 And then I think there was another small

bank out of Casper, Wyoming. I don't remember the

name of the bank, but... So I think there was a

7 gentleman that came then. I think there was three 8 people, but...

9 How much would -- what was the lending 10 amount of the Wyoming bank?

11 I -- gee, I don't remember.

12 What was the purpose to which the funds Q. were to be put? 13

14 Well, it's all part of that 12.2 million.

15 Okay. And did you sign any guarantees on Q. that loan? 16

17 A. Well, it's all the same loan.

18 Q. It's all the same loan, right?

19 Yeah. Yeah. Α.

20 They are, they are -- basically, this is a Q.

21 funding conglomeration, so to speak?

Yeah. Yeah, something like that. 22 Α.

23 Q. It's called a syndicated loan?

24 A. Well --

25

Does that sound right? Q.

I don't know what you call it.

2 Q. All right. So they, they had a piece of

the action, and whatever interest that they had was

covered pursuant to the transactional documents that

5 you signed with the Bank of Utah?

6 A. I believe so.

Okay. Did the -- withdraw.

The Bank of Utah required certain

9 information be given to them on at least an annual

10 basis, correct?

11 A. Well, I'm going to guess so.

Q.

13 I think that information is furnished by

14 Scott to Bank of Utah.

15 Q. Scott who?

> Huish. A.

Right. O.

18 Where are -- where is the compendium of

19 documents that have been forwarded to the Bank of Utah

by Cowabunga Bay or Scott Huish or anyone on their

behalf maintained and kept? That is to say --21

Yeah, whose file cabinet are they in?

23 Yeah. Yeah. Where are they?

24 I, I don't know. A.

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. All right. We sort

of exhausted that. So it's 12:30 or so. Do you want to take a break now for lunch? How much time do you

3 want for lunch?

MR. GORMLEY: Is there -- are we going

5 out? Where is a place around here for lunch?

6 MR. CAMPBELL: If you just go up to, to

Las Vegas Boulevard here, you can hang a right and

there's some sandwich shops there. 9

MR. MIRKOVICH: Straight down Seventh.

10 MR. ERWIN: Are we on the record or off

11 the record?

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Let's go off the record.

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is

14 approximately 12:36 p.m. We are going off the record.

(Recessed from 12:36 p.m. to 1:51 p.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is

17 approximately 1:51 p.m. We are back on the record.

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Would you kindly indicate

19 the elapsed time of deposition testimony, please. 20

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's been

21 2 hours, 44 minutes, and 38 seconds.

22 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

23 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

24 Q. Could you tell me who Craig Nielsen is?

Well, he's a partner in the water park.



- 1 He's from Salt Lake City. He is in the pool building
- 2 business. And as I recall, I believe he was already
- 3 involved in Cowabunga. I think he already had put
- 4 money in Cowabunga with the Splash group and the Huish
- 5 partnership.

7

- 6 Q. Do you know what his equity interest is?
 - A. I don't know percentagewise. I think he
- 8 has a little over \$2 million in the park. So I mean,
- 9 it's probably, you know, do the math of -- we did
- 10 dollar for dollar. So if it was 31 million, or
- 11 exactly whatever it was, divided by roughly 2 million.
- 12 Q. So his interest, his equity position would
- 13 be larger than Mr. Welch?
- 14 A. Oh, yes.
- 15 Q. What role does he have at Cowabunga Bay?
- 16 And, again, when I say Cowabunga Bay, I mean Henderson
- 17 Water Park, okay?
- What role does Mr. Nielsen have at
- 19 Cowabunga Bay?
- 20 A. He is just, he's just got money in the
- 21 park.
- 22 Q. Okay. He's just a passive investor?
- 23 A. Yeah, pretty much.
- Q. He has no position as in voting on --
- 25 A. I don't believe so.

- 1 want to.
 - 2 A. Well, there is a management committee, but
 - 3 the park is not managed by that committee. The park
 - 4 was delegated to Shane Huish and Richard Woodhouse as
 - 5 the general manager and/or the operational managers of
 - 6 the park.
 - 7 Q. Who is the chairman of the management
 - 8 committee?
 - 9 A. I am, I guess.
 - 10 Q. And who are the members of the management
 - 11 committee?
 - 12 A. I believe it's Scott Huish, Shane Huish,
 - 13 Slade, Chet, and Tom. Is that everybody? You
 - 14 probably already know. Did I leave anybody out?
 - 15 Q. How many, how many members associated with
 - 16 Double Ott are there on the management committee for
 - 17 Cowabunga Bay?
 - 18 A. I think three.
 - 19 Q. Including yourself?
 - 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. How many from the Huish side?
 - 22 A. I think three.
 - Q. So as far as you know, there are, there
 - 24 are only six? There is not seven?
 - 25 A. Well, I think Tom -- oh, now is Tom part

page 151

- 1 Q. Okay. Just let me finish.
- 2 -- voting on the management committee?
- 3 A. I'm sorry.
- 4 Q. That's okay. That's all right.
- 5 A. We got to warm up after lunch.
- 6 Q. That's okay.
- And your answer is you don't believe so;
- 8 is that correct?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. Okay. Cowabunga Bay is managed by a
- 11 management committee; is that correct?
- 12 A. Well, the --
- O. That's a "yes" or a "no."
- 14 A. The park was delegated to Shane Huish to
- 15 manage.
- 16 Q. Strike as nonresponsive.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Kindly read the gentleman
- 18 back the question.
- 19 (Record read as follows:)
- 20 "Q. Cowabunga Bay is managed by a
- 21 management committee; is that correct?"
- MR. GORMLEY: He can explain his answer.
- 23 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- Q. And the answer is either "yes" or "no,"
- 25 but you can go ahead and explain after that if you

1 of --

3

8

13

- 2 Q. I'm asking you.
 - A. That first, that first question, can you
- 4 ask that first part of that question back, please.
- 5 Q. Sure. How many members in total? Let's
- 6 ask it a different way.
- 7 A. Seven.
 - Q. Seven?
- 9 A. Seven.
- 10 Q. Okay. And how many of the seven members
- 11 are associated with the Opheikens side?
- 12 A. Well, I, I guess I would say four --
 - Q. Okay.
- 14 A. -- counting Tom.
- 15 O. Mr. Welch?
- 16 A. Mr. Welch. I didn't -- I don't know if he
- 17 is part of -- I don't remember which name you threw
- 18 out.
- 19 Q. And there are three --
- 20 A. Double Ott.
- 21 O. Yes. And there are three from, from the
- 22 Huish side, for a total of seven?
- 23 A. I believe that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you are the chairman; is that
- 25 correct?



Α. Yeah.

- 1
- 2 All right. Q.
- 3 A. Apparently.
- 4 Q. And do you agree that the purpose of the
- management committee is to solely and exclusively be
- vested with all management's rights, power and
- 7 authority over the business affairs and operation of
- 8 the company?
- 9 Α. Okay. Can you, can you read it again.
- 10 Sure. Do you agree that the purpose of
- the management committee is to be solely and
- 12 exclusively vested with all management rights, power,
- and authority over the business affairs and operation
- of the company?
- 15 I think that's probably a yes. A.
- 16 And do you agree that the management
- 17 committee has the full right, power, and authority to
- do all things necessary or desirable by it in its 18
- 19 reasonable discretion to conduct the business affairs
- and operations of the company?
- 21 Α. Would you throw that one at me again.
- 22 Q. Sure. And do you agree that the
- management committee has the full right, power, and
- authority to do all things necessary or desirable in
- its reasonable discretion to conduct the business
 - page 155
- affairs and operations of the company? 1
- Yeah, I think so. 2 Α.
- 3 That includes the use and assets of the Q.
- 4 company?
- Well, use and assets of the country --5
- company? Are you saying sole? 6
- 7 Q. The question stands as it is.
- 8 A. I don't know.
- 9 Okay. Does it include the distribution of
- 10 company cash?
- 11 A. I think so.
- 12 Does it include the selection and
- dismissal of employees? 13
- 14 Α. No.
- 15 Q. What are the duties and responsibilities
- of the management committee? 16
- 17 Well, we -- we selected Shane and Richard
- Woodhouse to put them in the place of the operations
- management. We brought in -- they, they in turn hired
- 20 NASCO to operate the lifeguards, to train them, to
- 21 document the training and certify them. We, we
- 22 brought in Haas & Wilkinson (sic). We asked the
- 23 insurance company to bring them in to audit the park
- 24 to make sure that things were going to be reasonably
- 25 done the way it needed to be done. Okay.

page 154 You're done? 1 O.

2

- I think so. A.
- 3 Q. Move to strike the answer as
- 4 nonresponsive.

5 Here is the question again. What are the

- duties and responsibilities of the management
- 7 committee?
- 8 Why don't you explain to me what Α. 9
- nonresponsive means.
- 10 Q. It means you didn't answer the question I
- 11 asked.

12

14

- A. Oh.
- 13 O. Don't take that as an insult, it's an
 - objection and it's preserving my record.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 So what I'm saying is that that's not the Q.
- 17 question I asked of you.
- 18 The question I asked of you is, again,
- 19 what are the duties and responsibilities of the
- 20 management committee?
 - To put people in place to operate the
- 22 water park and in a lawful way and in a safe manner.
- 23 Who was it that selected the members of
- 24 the management committee?
- 25 Well, I guess I would have to say from day

- one it was obvious the Huish group would be managing
- the water park. And I think John and Scott probably,
- you know, Shane was their pick. We all voted on it
- and agreed with it. John brought Richard Woodhouse
- from a previous park. 5
- Let me reask the question a little bit 6 Q.
- 7 differently.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 How are the members of the management
- 10 committee selected?
- 11 A. The members of the management -- are you
- 12 talking about the --
- 13 The seven members, how are they selected?
- 14 Α. The seven members?
- 15 Yes, sir.
- Well, I think, I think that was done, 16
- **17** we -- they had three members from the Opheikens side
- and three members from the Huish side and then one to
- break a tie. It was mainly tied to making sure that
- the money wasn't disbursed out of the park, control
- 21 the funds so that payments would get made to the bank.
- 22 Okay. Let me, let me ask an additional
- question. How did you select the members for your
- 24 side of the management committee? How did you do
- 25 that?



Gardner vs Henderson Water Park, LLC, et al. page 158 page 160 Well, Slade is my son. Well, I don't know if that's really -- you 1 Α. 1 2 2 know, I have a lot of years in business, but... Q. Right. 3 3 Q. How old are you? A. Chet is my son. 4 Q. Right. 4 A. I'm 73. 5 A. And Tom Welch is -- you know, had worked O. Okay. How many years have you been in 6 with us on the project. 6 business? 7 7 Okay. Probably 45 years. Q. Α. 8 Why did you pick Slade? 8 Q. Okay. 9 9 Well, anybody who knows Slade will tell I think John Huish has had a lot of years A. 10 vou that he is awesome. **10** in business, and particularly in this type of 11 Q. Okay. And by awesome, you mean he is 11 business. 12 12 astute? Q. What position does John Huish occupy with 13 13 the company, with Cowabunga Bay? Α. He is conscious. 14 Q. Okay. 14 Well, he is, he's Scott and Shane's 15 Α. He cares. 15 father. I don't know that he has a position; but he Why did you pick Chet? certainly is a mentor, somebody that we look to. 16 Q. No different. He is here and he is in --Knows probably more than any of us about parks and 17 stuff. 18 he's my son and he also does a good job. 18 19 Q. 19 Q. Why did you pick Tom Welch? John Huish does not sit on the management 20 20 committee, correct? Α. Same answer. 21 21 Q. He is awesome? That's correct. 22 22 Α. Yeah, he is. Q. He has no role in the operation of the 23 23 Okay. park; is that correct? 24 Yeah. That's fair. 24 Α. When you were appointed as chairman of the management committee, was there a vote on that? 25 Okay. He has no equity interest? page 159 page 161 1 I don't recall. Not that I'm aware of. Α. 1 A. 2 2 Okay. Was there, was there a Q. So he is not even a passive investor, is 3 3 memorialization of your selection as the chairman? he? 4 4 A. I don't recall. A. That's probably true. 5 5 Was it your understanding that you were Okay. As chairman of the management Q. chairman because you had the greatest amount of risk committee, were other members of the management at stake in the project as well as being the most committee able to reach you by phone if they wanted to 7 8 experienced businessman? 8 speak to you? 9 Well, I, I don't know that that discussion A. Sure. A. ever took place. 10 Q. Were they able to reach you by an email Q. It was just assumed? 11 address? 11 12 Yeah, if anything. 12 Α. Α. 13 13 O. Okay. You had the most skin in the game Q. Do you have more than one email address? 14

9 10

and you had the most experience, fair enough? 14

15 Well, I certainly had the, the most money.

But the Huish also had lots of money in the game.

17 But a lot -- but you had a lot more, Q.

18 right?

19 A. I had more.

20 Q. And you had the most experience in running 21

this business?

22 I have no experience in running a water A.

23 park.

24 You had the most experience, business

25 experience, fair to say?

Α.

15 Do you have more than one cell phone Q.

16 number?

18

23

25

17 Α.

> Okay. As chairman of the management O.

committee, were the other members entitled to rely on

your business judgment and experience as a resource? 20

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. The management committee met on more than

one occasion during the course of a particular year,

24 did they not?

Well, it probably depends on the year.



page 162

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

19

1

3

11

experience.

Q.

A.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Yes.

Okay.

- 1 Is the answer yes to the question?
- 2 A. Well --
- 3 Q. Then we can boil it down from there.
- 4 A. You said on -- okay, repeat the question,
- please. 5
- 6 Sure. Did the management committee ever
- 7 meet on more than one occasion during the course of
- any one year? 8
- 9 A. Probably.
- 10 As I understand your earlier testimony,
- there was a decision made at some point to have one of
- the members of the management committee to conduct the
- day-to-day operations of the Cowabunga Bay park,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And who was that?
- 17 Α. Shane Huish.
- 18 Okay. And when was, when was Shane Huish
- appointed to manage the park on a day-to-day basis as 19
- 20 its general manager?
- 21 A. Pretty much from the time that the park
- 22 was -- that we were all going forward. Shane Huish
- 23 was the person that had been selected to be in that
- 24 position.
- 25 O. Who voted in favor of that decision?

- And I believe that he had that experience.

I believe that Shane had that experience.

Did you believe that that was important?

8 All right. Was there any sort of itemized

Is the answer "yes"?

- 9 listing or compilation of the skill set that you would
- 10 want in a general manager that was ever compiled by
- the management committee before Shane Huish was
- appointed as general manager? 12
- 13 Not that I'm aware of. Α.
- 14 Q. What documentary evidence are you aware of
- 15 that exists anywhere that details and memorializes the
- affirmative vote of all seven members of the
- management committee to make Shane Huish the GM? 17
- 18 Α. I doubt if there is any.
 - Q. And why is that?
- 20 We didn't conduct our meetings like that. A.
- 21 O. What do you mean when you say, "We didn't
- 22 conduct our meetings like that"?
- 23 We, we had a meeting. We discussed. We
- 24 didn't really do these official votes. We weren't
- running a corporation type minutes.

page 163

- Everybody. 1 Α. 2 Okay. So there were dissents on that? Q.
- 3 Α.
- 4 All right. Did you vote in favor of it? Q.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Did all of your sons vote in favor
- 7 of it?
- 8 Yes. A.
- 9 Did Mr. Welch vote in favor of it? Q.
- 10 I think so. Α.
- 11 Okay. Did you view that as to be an Q.
- important position, that is, the position of general 12
- 13 manager of the park?
- 14 Α. Sure.
- 15 Did you believe it was important for that Q.
- person to be an honest person?
- 17 A.
- 18 Q. Did you believe that that person should
- have experience in aquatics management? 19
- 20 Well, Shane has been involved in that for A.
- 21 years.
- The question is, did you believe that the 22
- person who occupied the person of general manager
- 24 should have experience in aquatics management?
- 25 I believe that Shane did have that

- Q. Well, what minutes were kept?
- 2 A. I, I don't know. I'm not sure.
 - Q. Who kept the minutes?
- 4 If there were any minutes, I would be
- 5 guessing as to who kept them, so I'm not sure.
- 6 Well, are you suggesting in any way that
- 7 there were never any minutes kept of the management
- 8 committee's actions?
- 9 I'm saying that I'm not sure. Α.
- 10 Q.
 - Does R&O regularly maintain minutes of its
- 12 board of directors?
- 13 Yes. I believe we do. Α.
- 14 You spoke earlier of the fact that the
- 15 secretary was responsible for that, but the actual
- scrivener duties were assigned to a young lady who
- worked for you for a great number of years, correct? 17
- 18 A. Yes. Lori sits in on our meeting and
- 19 keeps notes.
- 20 Q. Who is the scrivener, who keeps notes on
- 21 the management committee meetings?
- 22 On R&O? A.
 - Q. No, sir. On Cowabunga Bay.
- 24 A. Who's the scrivener?
 - Q. Yeah. Who keeps, who keeps the



23

25

notation --1

2

- A. I've -- I don't --
- 3 Q. Let me finish. You have to let me finish.
- 4 I'm sorry.
- 5 O. Not at all. It happens all the time.

6 Who is it that is assigned the

- 7 responsibility of keeping notes of actions taken,
- matters discussed, decisions made of the management 8
- 9 committee during the course of its meetings?
- 10 I don't, I don't know that anybody has 11 been assigned to do that.
- 12 Okay. Do you know why?
- 13 Typically, we only have one meeting a year 14 and we go over everything and we make our decisions,
- 15 and...
- Have you ever participated in any meetings 16 Q.
- of the management committee by telephone? 17
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Have you ever participated in any meetings
- by telephone of other members of the management
- 21 committee with respect to operations?
- 22 A. I don't think so.
- 23 Would that be true for each year you have
- ever been involved as the, as the chairman of the 24
- 25 management committee?

page 167

- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 Okay. With respect to the management
- committee's appointment of Shane Huish as the general
- manager, the management committee not only has, as I
- 5 think we've agreed earlier, the ability to, to hire
- 6 employees or appoint employees to certain positions,
- 7 but also has the right to terminate employees or
- terminate the position of an employee; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 Α. No. We delegated that to the general
- manager and they did all the hiring. We didn't do any
- 12 hiring other than those two gentlemen.
- 13 I don't think you understood the question. O.
- 14 A. Okav.
- 15 Mr. Huish was designated by the management Q.
- committee to be a general manager, correct? 16
- 17 Well, okay, yeah.
- 18 O. All right. And the management committee
- 19 retains the right to terminate him if it so desires,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Okay. Who was it that nominated Shane O.
- 23 Huish for the position of general manager of the park?
- 24 Well, I don't know that it really happened
- 25 in that form. I, I don't think that it did. But I

page 168 would say that the Huishes -- I mean, it was always

kind of understood amongst all of us that Shane Huish

3 would be in that position.

4 Okay. Did you or any of your side of the

equation, any of the four, did you or any of the three

people you appointed ever conduct a background

investigation on Shane Huish?

8 I don't know. I didn't.

9 All right. Taking all the time you feel

is necessary, please detail for me all of the

information that was in your possession at the time

that you voted affirmatively to have Shane Huish 12

13 appointed as general manager.

14 You mean, for instance, his, what we --

15 his experience?

- Q. Yes.
- 17 Is that what you're talking about?
- 18 Q. Yes.

16

3

10

11

16

- 19 Well --Α.
- 20 Tell me all of the information that you Q.
- 21 had in your possession at the time that you voted
- affirmatively for his appointment as general manager 22
- 23 as to what his qualifications and experience was.
- 24 Information in my possession.
- 25 Q. Up here or on paper? You know, you told

page 169

- me that there was no itemization or compilation of
- data points with respect to --
 - What did I know about it.
- 4 -- what the skill set was supposed to be.
- 5 Do you remember that?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Okay. But, nevertheless, you had in mind
- 8 he had a skill set that warranted him being appointed
- 9 as general manager, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Okay. And my question is, what was that Q.
- 12 skill set that warranted your confidence in voting for
 - him as general manager?
- 14 Well, Shane, Shane had a lot of experience
- 15 with water parks. He had --
 - Okay. Can I stop you right there.
- 17 Tell me all of his experience that you
- were personally familiar with or otherwise made known
- 19 to you of his experience at water parks.
- 20 A. He worked at in North Carolina. Well, he
- worked for his dad for several years coming out of
- 22 school. He graduated from BYU --
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 -- in these, this amusement park, what do
 - vou call it, coming from a college degree, that kind



of a degree. He worked for his dad for several years.

Then he went back to, I believe it was

- North Carolina and worked with companies back there
- with water parks. He was there for several years.
- 5 Let me think.

2

- 6 Then he went to -- gosh, I can't think of
- 7 their name, Six Flags. He was at Six Flags for I
- think about three years. Then he came back and worked
- 9 in his family again for, I don't know, 10 or 12 years
- 10 it seems like, if my memory serves me right. They
- developed the water park in Salt Lake City. And so he
- was helping with that, that park. 12
- 13 They of course were in the midst of
- 14 operate or developing this park right here when, when
- 15 we became engaged with them.
- Have you now informed me as to all the 16
- information that you had in your possession with 17
- 18 respect to Shane Huish's qualifications that compelled
- you to vote for him as general manager of
- 20 Cowabunga Bay?
- 21 I believe so. A.
- 22 Q. Okay. So let's take them one by one.
- 23 Okay?
- 24 You said that he had worked for his dad.
- 25 Setting aside that for a second, because I think we

- were to put his resume, I'm sure you have it, in front of me, it would tell you.
 - Did you have a resume in front of you?
- 4 Yeah, I knew -- I had a resume or a copy
- 5 of one a long time ago about this big, I mean just 6 saying who he was.
- 7 But what we were really relying on was the 8 Huish family, they felt Shane was the right guy, and
- we had no reason not to go along based on the
- 10 information that we had.
- 11 Did your review of his resume support your
- 12 decision in that regard?
- 13 Α. I think so.

3

14

17

24

1

2

10

15

page 171

- Q. Okay. How many pages was his resume?
- 15 Α. Oh, I don't, I don't remember.
- 16 Okay. Where is your copy of his resume? Q.
 - A. I, I have no idea.
- 18 Q. All right. Who is it that distributed his
- resume to the members of the management committee? 19
- 20 A. I, I don't recall any of that.
- 21 Q. All right. Irrespective of that fact, you
- 22 relied on that resume; is that correct?
- 23 Well, that and word of mouth, I mean...
 - Q. But that resume was an important component
- 25 in making the decision to allow him to --

- will follow up on that, you said he worked in
- 2 North Carolina?
- 3 Yeah, that's my understanding.
- Q. And for whom did he work for in 4
- North Carolina? 5
- 6 Α. Well, I, I don't remember the name of that 7 company.
- 8 Okay. Detail for me all that he did for 9 that company.
- 10 Well, if I recall, I mean, we're talking
- 11 about a memory here that's probably five years old.
- 12 Okay, tell me what you remember.
- 13 It seems to me like he was involved with A.
- 14 helping them with water, their water in their water
- parks. At one point, I believe he was in charge of
- 16 two, two of the water parks that they were developing
- 17 back there. I may have confused that with Six Flags
- 18 to be honest with you. Anyway, that's what I 19 remember.
- 20 Okay. You say you may have confused that
- 21 with Six Flags. In what fashion do you think you may
- 22 have confused that with Six Flags?
- 23 Well, he was doing similar things at
- Six Flags, you know, working in one water park. That
- 25 might be where there was two. I don't recall. If you

- We, we made the decision collectively and Α.
- 3 And that, and his resume was an important
- 4 component in that regard, correct?
- 5 I suppose, yeah.

I felt good about it.

- 6 Q. Okay. Have you now told me all of the
- 7 information that was in your possession that supported
- your position for Shane Huish to become the general
- 9 manager in the operation of the Cowabunga Bay park?
 - Α. I believe so.
- 11 Q. Was anyone else considered to serve
- 12 besides Shane in that capacity?
- 13 I don't, I don't remember.
- 14 Q. Can you think of any?
 - Α.
- 16 Okay. Who conducts the performance review Q.
- 17 of Mr. Shane Huish on an annual basis?
- Well, I, I don't know if we do. 18 Α.
- 19 Q. Okay. As far as you know, you don't
- 20 conduct --
- 21 Well, I think --Α.
- 22 Q. -- an annual review?
- 23 A. -- Slade and Scott would probably handle
- 24 that.
 - My question is a little bit different. So O.



25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay.

Yeah.

Staffing?

-- to make your life difficult.

to get the park open including licensing?

Okay. Well, yes.

Okay. You would agree with me that the

management committee had to accomplish certain tasks

Α.

Q.

A.

A.

O.

Gardner vs Henderson Water Park, LLC, et al. page 174 page 176 let me ask it with a little bit more precision. 1 The park, the park had to get those things Α. Could you please tell me whether or not 2 done. 2 the management committee conducts an annual 3 Including licensing was one of the things Q. 4 performance review of Shane Huish's performance as 4 that the management committee --Yes. general manager of Cowabunga Bay park? 5 Α. 6 As a committee? 6 Q. -- had to do? 7 7 That's the question. Well, it's --Q. 8 8 I don't think so. Q. Including --Α. 9 9 Okay. And why not? A. It's not the management committee, it's Q. 10 A. I don't, I don't know. Never even thought 10 the part, it's the operations group --11 of it. 11 Right. And --12 -- had to get those things done. 12 Q. Okay. A. Prior to the opening of the park, did the 13 Right. And that's the management 13 management committee have to accomplish certain tasks 14 committee, correct? to facilitate the opening of the park? 15 No. That's Shane Huish and that group. 15 MR. GORMLEY: Objection. Vague and That's what they worked on while we were building the 16 park. You're saying like I was involved in that. I 17 ambiguous. 18 Go ahead. You can answer. was not involved in that. We were -- R&O was over 19 THE WITNESS: Well, as a construction building the building and we were doing those things company, we had to get the occupancy permit and while they were getting ready to open the park. 20 21 You were on the management committee at 21 then --22 that time? 22 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 23 I don't even know that. 23 Q. Okay. So the answer is "yes"? A. 24 24 -- Shane and his group --Okay. So if you were on the management Α. 25 Let me rephrase the question. committee pre-opening, okay, you were its chairman, page 175 page 177 MR. GORMLEY: He will rephrase it. correct? 1 1 2 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 2 Α. Yes. 3 3 Did the management committee have to And pre-opening, it was required that accomplish certain tasks before opening the park? The 4 licensing be obtained; is that correct? 4 A. Yes, but I think that, again, that's 5 5 answer to that question is either "yes" or "no." the -- I think it's the park -- and that's what I'm 6 Well, I'm going to say no because I don't 7 know what they are. 7 going to call it, okay, because I don't know which name is the right name -- has to get those things 8 Well, you just told me one, didn't you? 9 Did I? done. I don't think the management committee is in Α. 10 Q. I think so. 10 charge of that. We are in charge of hiring the people 11 No, that was a construction company. 11 to operate the park and that's part of their scope of Α. 12 You had to get -- okay, let me rephrase 12 work. Q. 13 13 the question. O. Staffing had to be accomplished; is that 14 14 correct? Α. Okav. 15 And, and allow me to suggest some topics 15 A. Yes. And they did that also. Q. that they have to get you through this a little bit Budgeting had to be accomplished; is that 16 Q. 17 quicker, because I'm not here --17 correct?



18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Yes.

management committee?

Okay. Was opening the park on a

Well, it was -- there was a lot of effort

but it was never able to happen because of the slides.

Was the opening of the park on a

put on by everybody to open the park on a certain day,

particular date a matter of importance to the

page 181

- 1 particular assigned day important to the management
- 2 committee?3 A. Of course.
- 4 Q. Okay. Were there a number of meetings
- 5 that were conducted by the management committee to
- 6 discuss concerns regarding opening the park on time?
- 7 A. No. I think the meetings were the people
- 8 at the park that were doing the work trying to make it 9 happen.
- 10 Q. You would agree with me that it was
- 11 incumbent upon Cowabunga Bay to abide by all
- 12 applicable safety codes, statutes, and ordinances; is
- 13 that correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Please detail for me all that you did to
- 16 ensure compliance with all statutory safety codes,
- 17 ordinances, rules, and regulations.
- 18 A. That I did personally?
- 19 Q. In your position as chairman of the
- 20 management committee.
- 21 A. Well, again, the operations people at the
- 22 park were working with the health department, working
- 23 with the state. They were, you know, they were --
- 24 whatever list they had, the things they needed to do
- 25 in order to open the park, they were working hard on

- 1 Q. Thank you.
 - A. -- have anything to do with that.
- 3 Q. Do you recall participating in a
- 4 management meeting of January the 15th, 2014?
 - A. Yeah, I think so.
- 6 Q. And what was the purpose of that
- 7 management meeting that took place on January 15th,
- 8 2014?

2

5

19

21

- 9 A. Well, I, I don't remember.
- 10 Q. Okay. Was there an agenda prepared?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And where are the agendas of the meetings?
- 13 A. Where are they?
- 14 Q. Yeah.
- 15 A. In our hand.
- Q. Where are they kept and maintained as
- 17 business records?
- 18 A. I, I'm not sure.
 - Q. Okay. But it's standard operating
- 20 procedure to have agendas, correct?
 - A. Yes. They were generally -- one is
- 22 prepared for the meeting.
- Q. As far as you know, no notes or minutes or
- 24 the creation of any similar document or compilation of
- events that took place at these management committee

page 179

- 1 to get them completed so they could open up.
- 2 Q. Are you finished?
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. Okay. Move to strike as nonresponsive.
- 5 MR. CAMPBELL: Would you kindly ask the
- 6 gentleman the question I asked.
- 7 MR. GORMLEY: I think it was responsive so
- 8 I'm objecting, but go ahead.
- 9 MR. CAMPBELL: Go ahead.
- 10 (Record read as follows:)
- "Q. Please detail for me all that you
- did to ensure compliance with all statutory
- safety codes, ordinances, rules, and
- regulations in your position as chairman of
- the management committee."
- 16 THE WITNESS: Stand on my answer.
- 17 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 18 Q. Tell me all that you did personally as the
- 19 chairman. What did you do personally, sir?
- 20 A. I, I didn't. I didn't have anything to do
- 21 with that on a day-by-day basis. That was handled by
- 22 the operations people at the park.
- Q. Tell me anything you did as chairman of
- 24 the management committee in that regard.
- 25 A. I, I didn't do anything --

- 1 meetings was ever created or maintained, is that -
- 2 A. I, I don't know. I'm not saying they were 3 and I'm not saying they weren't.
- 4 Q. But as far as you know and sit here today,
- 5 you know of none?
- 6 A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. What was discussed at the
- 8 management meeting of January 15th, 2014?
- 9 MR. GORMLEY: Asked and answered. I
- 10 thought he just said he doesn't remember.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I don't have any idea. I
- 12 don't, I don't recall.
- 13 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 14 Q. Well, did it generally involve that you
- 15 were trying to ensure that your expectations were
- l6 being met in some regard with respect to what was to
- 17 occur at the water park including its opening?
 - A. So 2014 would have been just before the
- 19 park would have opened. So, yeah -- right? So that's
 - safe to bet that we were talking about those things.
- Q. Okay. With respect to the creation of a
- 22 budget, in the operational aspect of Cowabunga Bay,
- 23 there are a number of different line items and sub
- 24 line items; is that correct?
 - A. I suppose.



18

25

page 182 Okay. There is a labor line item,

O. correct? 2

1

3 A. I don't know. I never looked at those

- 4 kind of details in a budget. The budget would have
- 5 been prepared by Scott or Scott's accountant, and they
- would bring them in and we would go through them. But
- I don't remember ever going down line item by line
- 8 item.
- 9 The meetings were more along the line of,
- 10 okay, here's, here's where we think we are at the end
- 11 of the year. We are okay. Hopefully there is enough
- 12 money left over, for instance, to add a canopy over
- 13 the top of the slide to get the lifeguards out of the
- 14 sun or some such thing.
- 15 Why was it? Why was it important to get
- 16 the lifeguards out of the sun?
- **17** Well, because the sun is so hot.
- 18 Was it creating a, an operational problem
- 19 that the lifeguards didn't have adequate protection
- 20 from the sun?
- 21 A. Well, if it's, if it's too hot, the sun,
- 22 veah, of course.
- 23 And that in fact became a problem that was
- 24 chronic, was it not?
- 25 You mean hot?

1 correct?

5

6

9

12

14

- 2 I don't know. Α.
- 3 Okay. What would be the largest Q.
- 4 operational component of labor?
 - I don't know.
 - Q. Under labor you would have maintenance,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yeah, probably.
 - You would have, you would have food and Q.
- beverage workers including, but not limited to,
- bussers, servers, cooks, correct?
 - A lot of people, yeah.
- 13 Yes, okay.
 - In addition, you would have clerical
- personnel with respect to ticket sales and that sort
- of thing, taking money from people at the park for
- 17 entrance?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Correct? Q.
- 20 Uh-huh. A.
- 21 Q. You would also have cabana-related
- 22 services and employees who handed out towels or helped
- 23 with the lockers, correct?
- 24 A. Yeah, probably.
- 25 O. And the largest line item for labor among

page 183

- No. Lifeguard, lifeguards complaining to
- the point or otherwise simply deciding that they
- 3 weren't going to work any longer or not show up for
- 4 work, correct?

1

- 5 I never ever heard anything like that. A.
- 6 O. You didn't?
- 7 A. I didn't.
- 8 Okay. With respect to operational
- 9 expenses, you know what operational expenses are?
- 10 Α.
- 11 Q. All right. What was the biggest component
- 12 of operational expenses in the budget?
- 13 A. I honestly don't know. I didn't pay
- 14 that -- you know, I wasn't -- if the park was doing
- well, and, and whatever they needed, it was fine with
- 16 me for them to -- for safety, anything they wanted to
- **17** get, it was fine with me.
- 18 Could you detail for me in your experience what you would opine as what was likely to be the
- 20 largest operational expense of Cowabunga Bay.
- 21 A. Well, I suppose labor --
- 22 Q.
- 23 A. -- is the one you're looking for, I think.
- 24 I'm not -- I'm just looking for what
- 25 you're telling me. So labor. It would be labor,

- those categories was lifeguards, correct? 1
- 2 Α. I don't know that.
 - Is there a reason why you don't know that?
- 4 I've already told you, I didn't really --
- I didn't pay a lot of attention to the detail. We are
- talking one meeting. We are trying to get a park
- 7 open.

3

- 8 I'm not talking about just one meeting,
- sir, I'm talking about multiple meetings that I'll
- take you through here in a minute.
- 11 Okay. I, I didn't go through all that
- 12 detail or I don't remember what the detail was.
- 13 You were aware, were you not, during the
- course of a meeting that took place on March 5th, 2014
- that a lifeguard plan had to be submitted to the 15
- health department before the park could open, correct?
- 17 Okay. Could you repeat that, please.
- 18 Sure. You were aware, at least as of a O.
- management committee meeting that took place on
- March 15th (sic), 2014, that a lifeguard plan had to
- be created and submitted at least two weeks before
- 22 opening?
- 23 Α. I was, I was not aware of that at that
- time. That would have been an operational thing
- again. What I --



page 185

page 189

1 You were --

> A. What I probably was aware of, our people

3 at R&O were working with the health department to do

whatever we needed to do so that they could move

5 forward and get the permits that they needed at the

6 water park.

2

7 You were aware that one of those permits O.

8 was a permit that was granted by the health district

approving a lifeguard plan, correct?

10 A. No, I was not aware of that.

11 Now, you're talking before opening,

12 correct?

13 That's the question. Q.

14 A. Okav.

15 Q. Would you agree that lifeguards are the

single-most important aspect of providing safety for 16

the customers, patrons of Cowabunga Bay? 17

18 Yeah, I agree, they are very important.

19 Do you agree that they are the most single Q.

20 important component of safety?

21 A. I, I don't know where to go with that.

22 They are very important.

23 Do you know of any component of safety

that is more important than that? 24

25 I don't know that one piece of safety is 1 Α. For the people working for me?

Q.

MR. GORMLEY: I think the question is

vague and ambiguous.

BY MR. CAMPBELL: 5

6 Go ahead, please, sir. Q. 7

A. I just don't know how to answer that.

8 Q. You can't answer that question "yes" or 9

"no"?

2

3

4

10 No. A.

11 Is there a reason why you can't? Q.

12 I, I just don't know the answer, honestly.

13 Q. Is there a reason you don't know the

14 answer to that?

15 No, it's not -- I don't have a convenient. A.

16 Q. You don't have a convenient what?

17 I don't have a convenient memory. And

18 I'm, I'm running out of juice, I guess.

19 When did you first become aware that the

lifeguard plan that had been submitted to the, to the

21 health district had, had been rejected?

22 I don't think I ever knew that the

23 lifeguard plan had been rejected.

24 Mr. Opheikens, isn't it true that you

attended a meeting on or about April the 10th, 2014

page 187

3

8

1 more important than another. Safety is safety.

2 Okay. Tell me what the other components 3 of safety are.

4 Whatever, whatever they are. I mean,

walking through the parking lot and get run over by a

car, stepping on glass, running across the concrete.

7 Of course the safeguards -- I mean the, the lifeguards 8 are important.

Do you agree with me that their most --

10 that they are first line of defense in providing safety to patrons of the park operations? 11

12 MR. GORMLEY: I'm going to object. Asked

13 and answered three times.

14 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

15 O. Go ahead, sir.

Well, I really think the first line of 16

17 defense are the folks that, you know, the guardians.

18 I'm not asking about anyone at all --

19 Yeah. Α.

20 -- except, okay, the folks that work for

21 you. Okay?

22 MR. GORMLEY: That wasn't the question.

23 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

24 Do you agree that they are the first line

of defense, the lifeguards?

where that was disclosed to you? 1

2 I, I don't recall. Why would that...

MR. GORMLEY: Did you finish your answer?

4 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

BY MR. CAMPBELL: 5

Q. Did you? 6

7 A. Yes.

> Was there something you wanted to add? Q.

9 No. A.

10 O. Let's talk about another meeting then,

okay. How about the meeting of, the management

committee meeting on May 1st and 2nd. Do you recall

13 what was discussed at that --

14 MR. GORMLEY: What year?

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Again, we're still in the

16 same year, 2014.

17 MR. GORMLEY: Thank you.

18 THE WITNESS: So you're saying we had a

19 management meeting in April and we had another

20 management meeting in --

21 (Cell phone interruption.)

22 MR. WELCH: Sorry.

23 THE WITNESS: Okay. So you're saying we

had a management meeting in April and we had a

management meeting in May? A management meeting?



- 1 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 2 Q. Yes.

7

- 3 A. Well, I don't recall.
- 4 Okay. Do you recall learning at or about
- the management meeting of May 1st or 2nd that Shane's
- liquor application had been denied? 6
 - A. No, I don't recall.
- 8 All right. When was it that you first
- 9 became aware of the fact that Shane's liquor
- 10 application had been denied?
- A. I, I don't think, I don't think that was 11
- 12 ever a subject that I was involved in. Again, Shane
- 13 was operating the park and trying to get the things
- 14 done that he was trying to accomplish.
- 15 Q. Okay. I want to be absolutely fair to 16 you. Okay, Mr. Opheikens?
- **17** A. All right.
- 18 When was the -- is it your testimony that
- at any time before the park was open you were not 19
- aware of the fact that Shane was not able to be
- licensed for the sale of liquor at the park? 21
- 22 I, I just don't recall. Α.
- 23 Were you aware that Shane had a criminal
- 24 background?
- 25 Α. No.

1

15

16

17

19

1

8

15

20

25

page 190

- page 191 When was the first time you ever learned
- that he had a criminal background?
- 3 I, I've never heard he had a criminal 4
- background. 5 When you say you never heard, you mean
- 6 that you never learned by any source that he had a 7 criminal background?
- 8 A. I, I have no knowledge that Shane has a 9 criminal background.
- 10 And more specifically, you never learned 11 from any source prior to the opening of the park that
- 12 his license had been denied because he lied about not
- 13 having an arrest record when in truth and in fact he
- 14 did have an arrest record?
- 15 Oh, I think I did hear something about
- that when he was a kid or something like that. Is 17 that what you're talking about?
- 18 I'm asking very straightforward direct Q.
- 19 questions.
- **20** A. And I'm trying, and I'm trying to answer 21 your questions.
- 22 Were you aware that he had been arrested
- 23 on three separate occasions? 24 No, I don't recall. I don't remember
- 25 hearing that.

Are you, are you now telling me that you 1

were in fact informed that he had an arrest record at

3 some point?

4 Α. Well, I just don't, I just don't recall

what it is that I knew. I don't think I ever really

knew that Shane had an arrest record at all. Honestly, I still question that he does. So you're

8 saying when he was a kid?

9 I think you've answered the question. I'm 10 going to move on.

11 Were you aware that a revised lifeguard plan could not be completed without the management 12 committee's approval because of the impact it had on 13 14 the budget?

A. You're saying that we turned down a revised lifeguard plan over money?

MR. CAMPBELL: Could you repeat the 18 question for the gentleman.

(Record read as follows:)

20 "Q. Were you aware that a revised 21 lifeguard plan could not be completed without

22 the management committee's approval because 23 of the impact it had on the budget?"

24 MR. GORMLEY: Objection. Assumes facts

not in evidence.

THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that.

- 2 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 3 Q. I believe you earlier told me that the
- purpose of the management committee generally was to
- exercise oversight over operations, but that
- day-to-day supervision in that regard was the
- 7 responsibility of Mr. Huish, correct?
 - No, I -- I'm sorry. Try that again.
- 9 Sure. As I understand your earlier
- 10 testimony, you said that the management committee had,
- had the ability to exercise oversight as to the
- operations, but it was Mr. Shane Huish who had the
- day-to-day responsibilities --13
- 14 Α. Yes.
 - Q. -- to do that?
- 16 We delegated that to him as, as the
- manager of the park and the people that worked under 17
 - him. It's not a lot different than a stockholder in
- 19 any other corporation. Somebody has to do the work.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Pardon the

21 interruption.

22 Mr. Opheikens, when you play with the

23 cord, it's really loud. I can hear it.

24 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Playing with the cord,



page 194 page 196 it's really loud. 1 Okay. I understand where you're trying to 1 A. 2 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 2 go. 3 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you, sir. Q. You previously even agreed with me on 4 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 4 that, correct? 5 You were not a stockholder, were you? A. I don't know. 5 6 A. In Cowabunga Bay? 6 You would agree with me now, you are not a 7 Correct. 7 passive investor, correct? 8 Well, I'm an -- I have an interest in the 8 A. Okay. Α. 9 9 LLC. Q. Okay. 10 O. You are a member of the LLC? 10 MR. GORMLEY: Do you want to take a break? 11 A. Okay, yes. 11 How you doing? 12 And not only are you a member of the LLC, 12 THE WITNESS: I need to -- I need a break. 13 you were a managing member of Cowabunga Bay, correct? 13 MR. CAMPBELL: Sure. How long would you 14 14 like to take? 15 Q. You were the chairman of its management 15 THE WITNESS: Well, whatever. 16 committee, correct? 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 17 A. Yes. approximately 2:52 p.m. We are going off the record. 17 18 Q. So you were not a mere shareholder, were 18 (Recessed from 2:52 p.m. to 3:06 p.m.) 19 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is you? 20 Oh, I see what you're saying. 20 approximately 3:06 p.m. We are back on the record. A. 21 Q. Right? 21 MR. CAMPBELL: And how much testimony time 22 Right. 22 have we consumed thus far? A. 23 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Q. You were not a passive investor, correct? 24 Well, I think you know what I'm trying to 24 THE WITNESS: 3 hours, 45 minutes, and say, that we delegated -- the responsibility of 25 34 seconds. page 197 1 operating that park was delegated to Shane and Richard BY MR. CAMPBELL: 1

2 Woodhouse. And they brought in these other people 3 to -- we had to -- NASCO to keep track of all these 4 lifeguards and train them and certify them and so 5 forth and so on. We brought in audits. I mean, we did, I think, everything 6 7 reasonably possible to run a proper park or have a proper park run. What I'm saying to you is I wasn't there on a day-to-day basis operating the park.

What's the answer to my question? 10 11 MR. GORMLEY: Objection. I think he 12 answered it.

BY MR. CAMPBELL: 13

14 What was the question I asked of you?

15 I don't -- I guess I don't know.

You were not a passive investor, correct? Q.

Well, I don't even know how to answer that 17 A. 18 question.

19 You knew how to answer it with respect to 20 other individuals who invested, did you not?

21

Well, I'm, I'm an investor.

22 But you're not a passive investor, that's Q.

23 the point. You compared yourself to a stockholder.

24 A. Okay.

16

25

Q. You are not a passive investor. During the break you had opportunity to

speak to your counsel; is that correct?

4 Yeah. A.

5 Okay. Detail for me all that you said to O.

him and all that he said to you during those

7 conversations.

8 MR. GORMLEY: I'm going to object. There

was no question pending. And, and so I'm objecting to

10 anything that was said.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Your objection is noted.

12 MR. GORMLEY: You can go ahead and answer.

BY MR. CAMPBELL: 13

14 Q. Detail for me all that he said to you and

15 all you said to him outside those doors.

Well, I told him that I was really running 16 **17** out of energy fast, and I'm not sure I can make it

through the rest of the day. 18

19 I was surprised I didn't know of these

20 meetings that you talked about. I just don't recall

21 them at all.

22 And I was surprised when you talked about

the, this records of Shane Huish. I wasn't aware of 23 24 any of that.

25 I think mostly what we talked about is I'm



	page 198		page 200
1	not sure I can make it that many more hours.	1	MR. CAMPBELL: Well, can you have someone
2	Q. What did your attorney say in response?	2	in your stead? I mean, our it's day to day until
3	A. You mean about Shane Huish?	3	completed. I'm accommodating the witness.
4	Q. No. About anything you said to him, what	4	MR. GORMLEY: I appreciate that.
5	did he say in response?	5	MR. CAMPBELL: So if you could get someone
6	A. I think he told me to hang in there. He	6	from your firm.
7	told me I was doing okay. I don't feel like I'm doing	7	MR. GORMLEY: All I'm saying is I have a
8	okay, but that's about it. I mean, no big deal. I	8	mediation on Monday.
9	didn't need any more deep conversation.	9	MR. CAMPBELL: That's okay. If you can
10	Q. Why don't you feel you're doing okay?	10	get somebody from your firm to
11	A. I'm, I'm just really running out of energy	11	MR. GORMLEY: I have to check to see if
12	fast. I, I told you earlier that at about this time	12	Max or somebody else can sit in.
13	of day every day is when I just really run out of	13	MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. I mean, you got
14	energy.	14	smart guys over there. Get Cannon's butt over here.
15	Q. Okay. Are you requesting a recess in	15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16	these proceedings?	16	MR. CAMPBELL: Absolutely.
17	A. I hate to. I don't want to because I	17	MR. GORMLEY: Are we off the record?
18	really would like to get this over, but I'm even	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 1
19	starting to slur my speech.	19	of the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens on
20	Q. All right. Do you think you can go for a	20	May 4th, 2018.
21	few more minutes?	21	The original the media from today's
22	A. I think so.	22	testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas
23	Q. Okay. So I think we earlier resolved that	23	Legal Video. The time is approximately 3:10 p.m. We
24	there was a with respect to the management	24	are going off the record.
25	committee, there was a common goal of all of you, the	25	(Whereupon, the deposition adjourned at 3:11 p.m.)
1	Page 199 Huishes and the Opheikens side, to successfully	1	page 201 CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
2	operate the park, correct?	2	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
3	A. Yes.	3	
4	Q. Okay. And you would agree with me, would	4	
5	you not, that in fulfilling that common goal, the	5	
6	management committee, including you as its chairman,	6	
7	had a duty and obligation to comply with the law,	7	
8	correct?	8	
9	A. Yes.	9	
10	Q. And to do all within your reasonable power	10	
11		11	
12	law, correct?	12	
13	A. Yes.	13	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do
14	Q. Okay. Including Shane Huish, correct?	14	hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury
15	A. Yes.	15	that the within and foregoing transcription, including
16	MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. We are going to	16	my corrections reflected above, is a true and correct
17	recess today's proceedings.	17	transcription of my testimony contained therein; that
18	I have no intention of taking your	18	I have read, corrected, and hereby affix my signature
19	examination any further if you're unable to go forward	19	to said deposition.
20	at this time. I'm not seeking to take advantage of	20	Executed this day of,
21	you in any way.	21	2018, at
22	And, accordingly, let's start again	22	(City/State)
23	Monday. And we will go from day to day as	23	
24	MR. GORMLEY: I have a mediation all day	24	·
25	Monday.	25	ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, Deponent



```
page 202
                    CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
 1
 2
 3
     STATE OF NEVADA )
     COUNTY OF CLARK )
 5
 6
                 I Denise R. Kelly, a Certified court
 7
     Reporter, duly licensed by the State of Nevada do
 8
     hereby certify:
 9
                 That I reported the deposition of
10
     ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, commencing on Friday, May 4, 2018,
     at the hour of 9:32 a.m.
11
12
                 That prior to being deposed, the deponent
13
     was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth;
14
                 That I thereafter transcribed my said
15
     stenographic notes into written form;
16
                 That the typewritten transcript is a
     complete, true, and accurate transcription of my said
17
18
     stenographic notes;
                 I further certify that pursuant to {\tt NRCP}
19
20
     Rule 30(e)(1) that the signature of the deponent:
21
                 _X_ was requested by the deponent or a
22
     party before the completion of the deposition;
                 __ was not requested by the deponent or a
23
    party before the completion of the deposition;
24
25
                 I further certify that I am not a relative
                                                       page 203
     or employee of counsel or of any of the parties
    involved in the proceeding, nor a person financially
 3
     interested in the proceeding.
          IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my
    office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this
 5
 6
     12th day of May, 2018.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
                                   Denise R. Kelly
                                    CCR #252, RPR
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



Exhibits	8:11 30:14 41:3,12	2.5 115:15	196:25	8
Opheinkens Ex 39 C olor 5:5	58:6 59:2 77:8 170:9 100 57:2 58:5 98:5,15 113:12	20 12:21 38:17 41:5,18 59:9 88:18 111:13	38 149:21 3:06	8 98:18 100:15 801 540-9357
\$	10th	20-acre 33:7	196:18,20 3:10	19:18
\$12 101:7 \$12.2 129:11 \$2 150:8 \$20 59:17,21 60:1 \$300,000 102:1 \$4 94:24 95:9 96:1 97:7 98:2 101:15,17 107:9 \$5 101:18 105:5 \$8 95:4,8,24 97:2,20, 23,25 98:1	188:25 11:00 78:23,24 11:19 78:24 79:1 12 170:9 12.2 115:6,16,17 147:14 12.5 115:6 12:30 149:1 12:36 149:14,15 15 35:6 41:17 43:16 78:20 88:16 101:8 15-minute	2004 15:9 2012 91:25 93:20 2013 93:22 2014 180:4,8 181:8,18 185:14,20 188:25 189:16 2015 26:16 44:14 2018 6:1,5 200:20 215 68:24 25 41:18 27th	3:10 200:23 3:11 200:25 4 6:1 97:5 98:19 101:16 105:4 106:16 40 10:24 44:9 66:12 90:18 102:12,14 137:24 430-1303 19:20 44 149:21 45 160:7 196:24 4th	80s 109:24 110:7,16 82 34:11 84 40:11 85 40:21 89101 6:12 9 9 88:25 100:15 90s 109:24 97 63:6 98
\$9 88:24	14:5 15th 180:4,7 181:8	18:15,18 22:4 2:52 196:17,18	6:5 200:20	63:6 9:32 6:2,7
(801)540 19:20	185:20 1982 30:7 1984 40:12	2nd 189:12 190:5 3	50 57:2 5th 185:14	A-15-722259 6:14
0 05 15:11 08	1:51 149:15,17 1st 189:12 190:5	3 102:11 196:24 30 88:19 90:18,23 300	7 700 6:12,19	A-U-G-E-R 50:17 52:23 a.m. 6:2,7 78:23,24 79:1 abide
32:1,2 78:7 1 85:17,19,22 200:18	2 2 149:21 150:11 2.2 115:15	136:19 300,000 102:10 137:2 31 150:10	70s 110:7 73 160:4	178:11 ability 167:5 193:11 199:1 above 69:1



absolutely

75:10 78:19 190:15 200:16

accident

16:18 19:6

accommodate

14:2

accommodating

200:3

accomplish

174:14 175:4,22 190:14

accomplished

27:9 177:13,16

accordingly

13:9 199:22

account

118:8,9 accountant

97:22 126:6 182:5

accounts

90:2

accurate

41:2

acquaintance

111:16

acquainted

64:23

acquire

85:22 89:2

acquired

88:12 89:3

acquisition

86:25

across

187:6

acted

77:7

action

16:8 148:3

actions

165:8 166:7

actively

15:12

actual

73:10 77:18 93:15 119:4 165:15

actually

30:18 36:7,10 53:16, 17 56:15 65:21 80:10 85:24 93:24 96:20 112:7 114:12

116:1 122:4 131:24

add

89:22 182:12 189:8

addition

184:14

additional

157:22

address

33:25 161:11,13

adequate

182:19

adhering

125:9

adjourned

200:25

administer

6:17

advance

128:14

advantage

199:20

adventure

38:12

advise

9:13 12:12

adviser

89:11

affairs

154:7,13,19 155:1

affected

16:25

affirmative

10:18,22 164:16

affirmatively

168:12,22

afternoon

14:6 18:9

against

28:20 82:12 84:23 85:1,6 96:3 102:4 129:23

agenda 51:7 180:10

agendas

180:12,20

agree

56:10 123:5 132:4 154:4,10,16,22 175:21 178:10 186:15,18,19 187:9, 24 196:6 199:4

agreed

157:4 167:5 196:3

agreement

83:6,13 106:4 108:22 118:16

ahead

10:9,23 11:15,16 17:10 47:13 95:19 96:24 100:20 108:25 109:3 110:6 128:20 129:9 130:18 131:2, 5 145:20 151:25 174:18 179:8,9 187:15 188:6 197:12

air

41:25 99:12

Aircraft

32:6

airplane

32:8

airport

33:23

Albertsons

65:24

alert

9:22 13:23

alerting

20:1

allegation

82:11 84:22 85:1,6

allegations

82:16

alleged 74:22

...

allocation 90:2

allot

17:9

allow

172:25 175:15

allowed 130:20

Alone

47:7

along

36:11 37:4 40:15 96:2 137:24 172:9

182:9 already

17:7 98:2 131:18 150:2,3 152:14

185:4 aluminum

57:24

always

168:1

89:21 125:10 134:15

ambiguous

45:25 174:17 188:4

among

48:25 184:25

amongst

168:2

amount

12:11 41:16 44:6 116:22 125:13

132:20 136:23 147:10 159:6

amusement

169:24

and/or

47:4.6 61:20 152:5

47:17 50:23 62:17

•

Anderson 31:25

annual

63:14,16,17 76:16 92:22 134:24 135:14,15,24 136:1 148:9 173:17,22 174:3

answer

9:7,8 10:5,10,13,19 11:15,21,22 13:10 16:24 17:1 21:25 42:16 44:10,15,17, 25 59:1 62:4 70:17 73:2,10 76:7 83:11, 15,17,21 131:6 132:12 135:19 144:16 151:7,22,24 156:3,10 158:20 162:1 164:2 174:18, 23 175:5 179:16 188:7,8,12,14 189:3 191:20 195:10,17,19 197:12

answered

16:23 59:3 181:9 187:13 192:9 195:12

answering

9:25

answers 9:2 10:8 17:11 62:24

anticipate

11:12

anticipated

11:16

....

anticipation

125:21

anybody 13:8 23:15 138:12, 13 152:14 158:9

166:10

anymore 30:20 85:9

anyone

21:20 25:14 29:9 83:23 134:19 138:5 148:20 173:11 187:18

anything

12:3 14:8 15:19,22 17:13 32:9 38:16,18 39:9 44:20 55:1



65:16 73:18 75:18 76:17 84:5,7 85:4 86:16 109:8 120:3 126:5 132:16 142:11 144:9 159:12 179:20,23,25 180:2 183:5,16 197:10 198:4

Anytime 46:2

anyway 125:19 146:24 171:18

anywhere 164:15

apartment 64:7 67:12 68:23

Apparently 154:3

appearance 11:4

appearing 6:22,25

appears 78:10

applicable 178:12

application 72:10 190:6,10

applied 117:11

applying 142:25

appoint 167:6

appointed 158:24 162:19 164:12 168:6,13

169:8

appointment 167:3 168:22

appreciate 45:15 200:4

approval 54:1 91:5 107:3,6

123:7 126:10 192:13,22

approved25:6 72:17 91:13
92:18 106:23 115:3

117:11 approving 91:4 186:9

approximate 30:5

approximately
6:6 14:6 19:21 27:20
30:9 34:11 44:13
78:23 79:1 149:14,
17 196:17,20 200:23

April 93:21 95:19 98:7 100:24 101:1 135:16 188:25 189:19,24

aquatics 163:19,24

area 13:25 79:23 143:16, 18 145:6

Armstrong 7:4

around 48:3 88:24 94:12 137:2 149:5

arrears 101:4

arrest 191:13.14 192:2.6

arrested 191:22

art 131:25

artfully 55:24

aside 26:2 170:25

aspect 181:22 186:16

asserted 82:12 **assets** 155:3,5

assigned

17:22 120:25 121:20 165:16 166:6,11 178:1

assist

22:21 24:24 61:25

assists 53:6

associated 30:10 40:1,2 46:10 66:3 75:25 87:4 138:7 152:15 153:11

assume 118:23 120:5

assumed 159:11

Assumes 192:24

assuming 118:18

astute 158:12

attend 14:9 45:21 49:18 50:12 73:17 76:16, 18.19 84:9.14

attendant 8:15 26:19

attended 188:25

attending 13:2

attention 8:16 185:5

attorney

13:12,16,20 32:21 74:25 87:25 88:1,7 106:19 122:2 198:2

attorney/client 13:13

attorneys

attributable

55:23

audibly 10:14,19

audit 46:23 155:23

audits 195:5

Auger 50:15,19 52:5,21,22 60:14 62:9 86:20

August 135:17

authority 123:5 154:7,13,17, 24

authorization 123:6

authorized 123:25 140:22

authorizing 91:5

automobile 16:18

Avenue 32:6,18

Aviva 81:23 83:2

81:23 avoid 17:3

Aviva's

aware

29:18 49:16 63:22 69:14,24,25 74:24 75:3 80:11,22,23 142:16,21 145:19 161:1 164:13,14 185:13,18,23 186:2, 7,10 188:19 190:9, 20,23 191:22 192:11,20 197:23

away 35:12 36:3 116:23

awesome 158:10,11,21 В

back
25:23 39:21 46:7
64:4 73:7 79:1 92:2
110:7 111:11 114:2
116:5 129:4 131:10,
13 133:3,8 149:17
151:18 153:4 170:2,
3,8 171:17 196:20

backfill 55:20

Backfilling

56:23

background 168:6 190:24 191:2, 4.7.9

Bad 116:19

balloon 136:12,15 137:7,9, 14

ballpark 40:25 58:21 59:6

66:20 68:7 95:1 96:18 108:20 109:10 110:4 136:18

ballparks 41:2

bank

107:22,24,25 108:1, 23 109:20,21 110:4, 12,17 111:2 112:10 114:2 119:19 120:19,24 121:6,25 123:1,9 126:10,19, 20,25 127:1,14,19 130:10 133:23 134:6 136:4,9 137:11 138:5,12 139:4 140:6,19 143:1 144:20 145:17 146:2,24 147:1,5,6, 10 148:5,8,14,19

bank's 115:2 bankruptcy

157:21



117:2,3 banks

122:23 125:18

barring 13:17

Bart

137:19 138:3,17 145:14

base 64:6

based 24:2 43:8 172:9

basement 55:21

basically

19:6 48:3 55:12 76:2 80:21,24 81:1 102:14 103:22 122:24 132:4 133:23 143:1 147:20

basis

11:6 13:18 41:15 45:5 47:4 48:24 59:25 72:24 124:7 135:11,22,24 136:1 137:10 148:10 162:19 173:17 179:21 195:9

Bay

28:20 79:4,8,25 85:12 91:6 93:2,4,12 118:7 119:5,7,8,20, 23 123:2 138:13 141:16 143:22 144:2,7,20 148:20 150:15,16,19 151:10,20 152:17 160:13 162:13 165:23 170:20 173:9 174:5 178:11 181:22 183:20 186:17 194:6,13

Beal 7:5

bear 107:18

beat 129:8

beating

129:7

beginning 42:24 104:9

begins 6:5

behalf

6:22,25 7:2,4,6,8,14 106:5 108:23 121:25 140:23 148:21

behavior 82:15 belabor

55:1 **believe**

> 18:9,15,18 20:19,20 22:3,18 23:2,11 24:9 25:19 32:5 33:20 39:15 51:23 52:21 54:8 59:25 64:6,9 79:13,22 80:6 84:18 88:25 93:8 94:9.22 95:3 96:3 110:3 114:16,20 126:3,7 132:14 134:1,18 136:2.24 138:24 143:17 144:25 148:6 150:2.25 151:7 152:12 153:23 163:15,18,22,25 164:3,4,7 165:13

besides 173:12

best

12:5 14:19 17:8 19:3 20:13 21:15 22:1,12 26:24 32:14,16 41:9 43:7 66:9 137:23

170:2,21 171:15

173:10 193:3

bet 181:20

better 32:23 73:3

between

12:23 24:10 102:15

beverage 184:10 bid

54:12,15 55:7,11 56:14 57:17 58:12 64:25

big

52:17 54:23 64:6 68:8,9,17 70:7 120:15 124:17 132:22 172:5 198:8

bigger 31:13

biggest 68:18 71:13 183:11

bills 81:5 116:9

bit

11:15 28:11 29:20 37:19 39:22 46:7 157:6 173:25 174:1 175:16

block 57:12,15,17

. .

board
12:20 34:16,18 35:1,
20 36:1 45:21 46:4
47:17 51:6,10,13,19,
22,25 52:3,6,8,12,14
54:1 62:13,17 63:13,
14,15 76:16,21 87:5
91:3,7,12 92:7,11,
17,19,22 106:22
107:1 118:5 165:12

boat 36:22

Bob 31:25

body 43:8

boil 162:3

borrow 81:7

borrowed 94:22 105:10 110:17

borrower

borrowing 60:11

Boulevard 149:7

box 75:20

boy

18:4 19:8 22:19 23:7,14,19 124:16

boys

91:20 95:14 97:19 100:8 101:23 122:10 143:6

brain 17:21

branded 41:24 65:15

break

13:19,22 14:1,5,6,10 78:15 149:2 157:19 196:10,12 197:2

breakdown 129:24

breath 99:10 120:15

breathe 101:10

bring 131:10,13 155:23

182:6 **broad** 21:23

brother

79:22 113:17

brought 155:19,22 157:4 195:2,5

budget

50:21 60:18,20 61:4, 15 125:9 127:2 130:12 132:10 134:11,12,17 135:5, 10 181:22 182:4 183:12 192:14,23

budgetary 61:19 62:14,16 63:1 125:23 126:2,9,12, 24 128:16 136:4,8

budgeting

60:5,7 62:6 177:16

budgets

60:15 130:10

build

39:17,23 40:14,18, 22 56:3 59:10 64:19 65:14,20,21 91:19 92:19 101:19 129:11

building

30:21 33:2 48:18 54:17 55:13 56:3,5,7 68:15,19 79:5,10,11 97:12 98:6 104:8 110:9 139:24 150:1 176:16,19

buildings 67:15,17 68:6

built

39:11 40:9 41:12,17 42:2,5 43:11 44:1,7 48:18 54:17 57:16 64:22 65:24 66:10, 25 67:1,4,8 140:2

business

29:21,22 30:19 35:18 38:9,11,12 39:5 48:19 60:9 70:11 77:22 108:2 109:22 110:21 111:6,15 140:12 150:2 154:7,13,19, 25 159:21,24 160:2, 6,10,11 161:20 180:17

businesses

29:21 75:15 77:6,15, 18 132:22

businessman 159:8

bussers

184:11 **butt** 200:14

buy 100:5



BYU
169:22

С

cabana-related

184:21

cabinet

148:22

calendar

91:22

call

13:13 14:11 19:11, 14,22 20:4,7,24 21:9,12,13 23:2,25 24:17,18 38:11 54:9 57:15 63:15 71:18 97:18 99:2,4,16 129:15 142:24 143:7 148:1 169:25 177:7

called

14:20 21:5 48:14,16 87:7,15 97:22 99:7 119:23 123:6 131:24 142:23 147:23

calling

134:11

Camp

6:8

Campbell

6:11,18,19,22,24 7:1,22 20:16 35:9,10 44:20,24 45:2,4,8, 11,13,16,19 46:1,18 49:1,4 53:1 78:17,19 79:2 80:16,17 83:16, 22 104:16 118:22 121:17 127:6.9 130:16,17,20,24 131:1,4,9,12 148:25 149:6.12.18.22.23 151:17,23 174:22 175:2 179:5,9,17 181:13 187:14,23 188:5 189:5,15 190:1 192:17 193:2 194:4 195:13 196:13,21 197:1,11, 13 199:16 200:1,5,9, 13,16

campus

67:18

Cannon

7:14

Cannon's

200:14

canopy

182:12

capable 89:22

capacity 76:4 173:12

capital 61:6 85:21 89:1 90:2,10,14 96:8 102:18,23 103:4,18 104:2,25

car

32:9 36:22 187:6

care

14:17 60:11 73:2,23

cares

158:15

Carolina

64:9,10,12,13 169:20 170:3 171:2, 5

case

6:14 17:19 44:19 57:24 76:2

cash

125:15 155:10

Casper

147:5

Cass

106:18

categories

185:1

caught 17:7

cell

161:15 189:21

cellular

19:15,16

cemetery

146:19

CEO

35:16,23 49:21

certainly

92:20 139:11 141:17 159:15 160:16

certified

72:15,19,24 73:13 74:8

certify

155:21 195:4

cetera

54:21,22 129:23 130:13

CFO

50:19 51:1 62:8

chair

52:11

chairman

34:15,18 35:1,20 36:1 52:12,14 75:21 76:13 77:7,11 87:5 107:1,18 152:7 153:24 158:24 159:3,6 161:5,18 166:24 176:25 178:19 179:14,19,23 194:15 199:6

Chamber

111:12,15

chance

113:22

change

16:13 90:1

changed

nanged 16:19,25

changes

10.10.47

16:12,17

charge 171:15 177:10

Charlie 50:15,19 52:5,21 60:14 61:10 62:9

86:20

check

200:11

checking

106:6

Chet

24:10,18,22 25:20 28:5,7 152:13 158:3,

chief

34:12 35:7,14 36:1 50:14,17 54:4 74:14 124:3

child

17:20

chronic

14:20 182:24

cited

74:15

City

113:8 150:1 170:11

Civil

8:22

clarification

17:15

Clark 6:13

classic 130:21

Clayton

7:8

cleaned

30:24

cleaning

30:19

clear

27:4 48:24 80:9 119:15 144:25

clerical

184:14

client

13:17

close

59:23 68:20 69:13 103:25

closely

75:4 87:4

closing

120:15,17,23,24 121:25 122:6

Coast

94:8,11 96:22 103:3, 4,20 104:20 138:8 142:2,3,6,9

codes

178:12,16 179:13

cognitive

14:16

collateral

120:3

collect

95:20

collective

49:13

collectively

108:5 173:1

college 39:4 169:25

Colorado 42:11,19 44:7

Commerce

111:12

commercial

109:19 114:15,18 122:23 140:12

commercially

82:15 85:2

commit

9:11

committee

46:23 47:1 114:23, 25 115:3 121:5,7 126:19 151:2,11,21 152:2,3,8,11,16 154:5,11,17,23 155:16 156:7,20,24 157:10,24 158:25 160:20 161:6,7,19, 22 162:6,12 164:11, 17 165:21 166:9,17, 21,25 167:4,16,18 172:19 174:3,6,14

175:3,22 176:4,9,14,



21.25 177:9.21 178:2,5,20 179:15, 24 180:25 185:19 189:12 193:4,10 194:16 198:25 199:6

committee's 165:8 167:3 192:13.

22

committees

46:20 48:21

committing 132:22

common

198:25 199:5

communication 20:8 21:19 23:22

companies

46:10 65:19 76:22 170:3

company

29:25 30:2 31:1 32:24 33:3 34:5 35:15 38:1 39:8 46:9 49:13,15 54:24 60:6 61:14 62:6,20 64:4 70:11 71:8 74:15 75:23 76:14 82:12 87:7 146:13,14,15 154:8,14,20 155:1,4, 6.10.23 160:13 171:7,9 174:20 175:11

compared

195:23

compelled

170:18

compendium

148:18

compilation

164:9 169:1 180:24

compiled

164:10

complaining

183:1

complete

90:18 116:2

completed

31:24 90:21 179:1 192:12,21 200:3

complexes

67:8

compliance

46:25 75:1 178:16 179:12

complied

70:13 199:11

comply

71:9 199:7

complying

125:22 128:5

component

60:13 66:23 137:15 139:17 172:24 173:4 183:11 184:4 186:20,23

components

54:18 58:11 187:2

conceal

9:9

concerned

134:3

concerning

20:4,8 21:20 23:22

28:12

concerns 178:6

concludes

200:18

concrete

57:11 187:6

conditions

127:21 128:4

conduct

50:20 138:6 154:19, 25 162:12 164:20,22

168:6 173:20

conducted

8:21 46:5 48:22 178:5

conducts

173:16 174:3

conference

24:17

confidence

169:12

configuration

55:7,11 56:14 58:11

64:25

confuse

128:22

confused

31:11 119:11 128:18 171:17,20,22

confusing

17:15

conglomeration

147:21

connection

27:17 140:23

conscious

158:13

consequences

126:23

consequently

89:25

consideration

75:9.15

considered

92:12 173:11

considers

56:2

consistent

28:19 136:22

construction

29:21,25 30:2 31:22 33:2 34:5 37:20 38:1

39:8 46:9,20 54:23

56:7 60:6 62:20 63:18 64:4 66:24

70:11 71:8 75:23

84:1 86:19 90:15,25

104:7 110:11 116:6

128:1 129:16 139:18

174:19 175:11

consumed

19:22 20:3 196:22

contacted

28:8

contingencies

124:11

Continue

99:9

continuing 126:18

contract 39:23 57:20 79:17

80:4 81:6 91:17,18 137:15

contractor

58:15 73:15 93:17

contribute

107:12

contributed

107:9

contribution

85:21 89:1 90:11,14 94:10,14,15 96:7,8 102:18,23 103:4,19

104:2,25

control

157:20

convenient 188:15,16,17

conversation

11:12,14 23:17 24:10 26:10 198:9

conversations

13:15 23:24 197:7

conveyed

24:20 26:6

convinced

126:13

convoluted

9:20 72:13

cooks

184:11 copy

172:4,16

cord 193:23,25 corporate

52:20

corporation

30:3 32:25 34:6 53:3,23 60:10,16 77:2 87:17 105:19

164:25 193:19

corporations 75:25

correct

22:8 31:17 34:3,22,

24 37:21,22 38:2,20

41:18 43:22 52:12 55:5 57:7 58:16 63:9

64:25 65:4,11 66:16

75:12 82:25 83:1

87:5,12 89:12,15

92:3.23 94:8 97:1

103:20 105:21,24,25

106:1,3,8,10 107:10,

16,23 108:3,5,9

116:15,23 122:24

124:8,12 125:24

126:20,22 127:23

128:2,15,17 130:7,

13 131:21 132:5,6,9

133:9,20,25 134:4,5

135:19,23 136:5

137:11,16 139:1,9,

14 140:13 148:10

151:8,11,21 153:23,

25 160:20,21,23

162:14 165:17

167:9,16,20 169:9,

10 172:22 173:4

176:14 177:1,4,14,

17 178:13 180:20

181:24 182:2 183:4

184:1,7,11,19,23

185:1,16 186:9,12 193:7 194:7,13,16,

23 195:16 196:4.7 197:3 199:2,8,12,14

correcting 115:18

correspondingly

90:7

cost 88:16 101:7 129:24

costs

117:25



counsel

6:15 13:2 197:3

counting

153:14

country

155:5

County

6:13

couple

31:14 85:23 98:12 99:11 146:3

coupon

119:19

course

9:10 11:20 17:20 19:22 30:6 33:13 57:22 70:20 77:23

100:13 107:4 112:25 117:4 122:8 125:16

161:23 162:7 166:9 170:13 178:3 182:22

185:14 187:7

court

6:8,9,13,16 10:16 11:19 50:2

courtroom

84:11

covenant

125:5 127:2 133:22

137:8

covenants

124:11,14 125:2,19, 22 128:5 132:3

136:8

cover

8:13 117:24

covered

103:22 137:8 148:4

Cowabunga

28:20 36:11 77:11 79:4,8,25 85:12 91:6 93:2,4,12 118:7 119:5,7,8,20,23 123:2 138:13 141:16 143:22 144:2,7,20

148:20 150:3,4,15, 16,19 151:10,20

152:17 160:13

162:13 165:23 170:20 173:9 174:5

178:11 181:22 183:20 186:17

194:6,13

Craig

7:6 126:4 134:18 149:24

crawl

55:18

crazy

61:2 110:15

created

61:20 181:1 185:21

creating

134:16 182:18

creation

180:24 181:21

credit

95:8,9,25 97:3,8,15, 20,24 98:1 101:17 102:5 108:8

creditor

116:10

crews

57:13

criminal

190:23 191:2,3,7,9

criteria

125:12

criticism

11:3

custody

200:22

customers

186:17

cut

98:11

dad

37:24 38:5 169:21

D

170:1,24

Dale

68:11

damage

17:21 24:14

damages 44:18,21

dart 66:18

data

169:2

date

18:8.13 88:21 177:20

Dave

113:17,18,19

dav

18:10 22:4 23:9 27:16 54:9 113:14 156:25 177:23 178:1

197:18 198:13 199:23,24 200:2

day-by-day

21:22,25 179:21

day-to-day

72:22,23 162:13,19 193:6,13 195:9

days

20:17 22:11,14 23:9 135:17

dba

119:8

deal

30:20 45:16 124:17

198:8

dealing

72:9

debate

45:13

debt

118:11

decade

66:13

decide

31:16

decided

27:11 86:2 112:3,4

deciding

183:2

decision

93:15,18 118:5 162:11,25 172:12,25

173:1

decisions

166:8.14

declaration

134:14

declare

127:1 133:19,21 137:11

decrease

90:8

Dee

40:7,10

deep

120:15 198:9

default

133:19,22 134:4,14

137:11

defense

degree 169:25 170:1

187:10,17,25

Del

35:9,12 36:3

delegated

151:14 152:4 167:10 193:16 194:25 195:1

delving

13:25

demeanor

11.4

demonstrated

123:2

denied

190:6,10 191:12

Denise

6:10

denying 141:5

department

61:20 86:15,24 114:16 178:22 185:16 186:3

depending

57:23 71:17

depends

161:25

deponent 9:1 44:15 83:11

deposition

6:5 8:2,8,15,21,23 9:1 11:20 14:3,13,14 16:4 149:19 200:19,

depositions

10:13

describing 139:20

designated

107:14 167:15 designating

140:22

designed 48:3

desirable

154:18,24

desires 93:22 167:19

detail 80:3 132:23 168:10 171:8 178:15 179:11

183:18 185:5,12

197:5,14

details 164:15 182:4

develop 39:5

developed 39:3 170:11

developing

170:14 171:16

development 31:21 35:18 38:1 48:19 111:6 141:15

devotion



43:9	disaster 145:6
diagnosed 15:5	disbursed
15.5	157:20
differed	
24:7	disclosed
difference	189:1
12:23	disclosures
different	86:23
31:12 41:21 62:19	discoverable
76:11 77:16 87:17	45:5,6
92:14 109:15 127:10	discretion
132:18 135:2 144:14	154:19,25
153:6 158:17 173:25	154.19,25
181:23 193:18	discuss
differently	26:3 178:6
157:7	discussed
difficult	17:14 52:9
10:15 110:5 175:19	164:23 166:
	189:13
dig	discussion
55:18	159:9
digging	dismissal
54:19 56:21	155:13
digitally	100.10

10:15 16:5	
dining 12:15,17	
direct 191:18	
directing 44:24	
directly	

40:2 director 30:11,25 37:14 77:17 directors 47:4,5 51:6,13 54:1 62:17 76:22 91:12 92:22 106:22 165:12 dirt 101:3

disability 14:16

disagree 45:11

92:21 :8 181:7

display 93:1

dispute 101:23 102:15 141:1

dissents 163:2 distributed 51:9 54:1 172:18

distribution 155:9

district 6:13 69:1,2 186:8 188:21

divided 150:11 document 155:21 180:24

documentary 164:14 documentation

122:24 123:2 documents

140:22 148:4,19

dollar

102:7,8 150:10

dollars 63:5 77:4 81:4 85:23 94:13 102:1 137:1,4

Donald 6:18 door 68:14

doors 40:15 197:15

dotted 122:17

Double

7:14 87:7,14 88:12 89:2 90:11 93:24 94:15 96:7,9,20,22 104:2,10,22 105:1,3, 14 107:6,10,13,16, 19 110:2 112:3 118:16,23 119:1 120:2 123:16,17,20, 23,24 124:1 134:21 135:6 138:7 152:16 153:20

doubt 164:18 dough 115:22

Douglas 7:3

downturns 78:7

drafted 55:24 118:20

drain 56:25

drains 55:21

draw 127:22

drawdown 117:13

drawing

131:16

draws 127:20,22

drink 99:13

driving 95:15

drove 113:14

drowned 17:20

drowning 19:7

due

81:5 96:3 98:19 133:24 134:7

7:3 duly 7:18

Duesman

dumb 138:1

duties

76:14 155:15 156:6, 19 165:16

duty 199:7

Ε

earlier

162:10 165:14 167:5 193:3,9 198:12,23

early 18:10 110:16

44:14 earth 12:11

earned

earthwork 57:11 79:16

east 64:4 69:2

easy

99:19

eat 15:15

Edmunds 142:14 144:6

effect

16:20 82:17 85:1 87:21

effort

28:18 177:22

elaborate 8:18

elapsed 149:19

Elizabeth 82:25

email 161:10,13

employee 167:8

employees 155:13 167:6,7 184:22

encourage 143:8

end

16:6 26:13,14 88:20 104:8 182:10

ended 88:19 endorse

24:23

endorsed 25:7

energy 197:17 198:11,14

engage

12:3 28:18 65:10

engaged 24:12 110:4 170:15

enormous 132:20

enough

20:14 59:23 66:21,



22 116:12 121:23 137:5 159:14 182:11

ensued 80:19 90:21

ensure

178:16 179:12 181:15 199:11

entail

61:5 76:15

entire 43:8

entities

30:10 31:12 32:22 120:5

entitled

12:4 16:15 43:7 102:13 161:19

entitlement

13:5 139:13

entity

30:1 32:19 82:9,10 119:4,22,25 123:3

entrance

184:17

egual

63:6

equation 103:23 168:5

equipment

36:20 57:7

eauity

76:23 77:19 85:10, 22 87:11 88:11 93:16.25 142:2 150:6,12 160:25

6:24 149:10

essential

82:11

essentially

89:6

established

44:19

estate

32:22

estimate

20:13 41:9,11,16 43:8,13,21,22,25 56:6 66:9,15 69:20 77:8

estimator

50:15 54:4.11 62:5

estimator's

55:6 56:1,13 58:11

evening

18:10,18 22:4

event

18:7,17,22 19:23 20:5,9,18 21:20 22:3,4 25:25 28:9,13 73:1 133:21

events

12:6,7 180:25

ever

8:1 9:11 10:25 25:14 29:9,12 38:8 39:5 40:18 63:1,18,23,25 64:13 65:14,15 68:18 73:17 74:12, 14,25 76:21,25 77:1, 21 86:7 87:20 92:12 109:19 114:25 136:7 138:5,12 139:16 144:12,19 159:10 162:6 164:10 166:16,19,24 168:6 181:1 182:7 183:5 188:22 190:12 191:1 192:5

everybody

89:21 98:22 116:15, 16 152:13 163:1 177:23

everyday

11:6,11,14

everything

16:9 26:4 95:18 115:10 166:14 195:6

evidence

164:14 192:25

exact

23:17 101:9 111:7

exactly

11:12 21:12 55:16 57:3 58:8 80:2 92:2

140:3 145:1 150:11

examination

7:21 199:19

examined

7:19

example

12:14 13:22 14:10, 15 16:17 22:2 46:22, 25 49:5 50:20 56:5 57:6 65:15,16 66:25 72:2 111:10 125:9 139:18 143:11

excavation

56:7

exceeded

66:24

except

187:20

excess

60:1

exclusively

154:5,12

excuse

36:1 43:4 71:15 107:7 115:17 116:17

execute

87:20

executed

87:23

executive

34:12 35:7,14 36:2

74:14 77:15,17 138:7

exercise

193:5,11

exhausted

149:1

exists

164:15

expectations

181:15

expenditures

61:6

expense

11:18 183:20

expenses

63:6 183:9,12

experience

43:9 72:9 75:22,24 132:21 159:14.20. 22,24,25 161:20 163:19,24 164:1,3,7 168:15,23 169:14, 17,19 183:18

experienced

159:8

explain

21:7 32:20 110:6 130:22,23 151:22,25 156:8

extend

133:2

extended

116:18 123:4,13

extending

84:2

extension

140:24

F

faces

145:24

facie

44:19

facilitate

174:15

fact

9:22 12:10 13:24 20:1 25:7 26:20 87:10 122:14 123:5 126:17 140:6 142:16,22 165:14 172:21 182:23 190:9,20 191:13 192:2

facts

9:9 26:19 42:21 192:24

failure

126:24

fair

20:14 66:20,22 75:8 89:5 102:9 116:12 121:23 137:5 159:14,25 160:24 190:15

fall

93:20 109:14

falsely

9:7

Fame

141:23

familiar

79:9 144:6 169:18

familiarity

80:18

families

89:6

family

40:18,23 79:18 89:9 94:5 100:1 113:8 126:6 134:22 141:20

143:15 146:19 170:9

172:8

Fancy 34:24

far

17:14 59:5 111:11 152:23 173:19 180:23 181:4 196:22

farm 33:6

Farms

33:5

Farr 31:10,12,13

fashion

83:14 84:3 85:3 103:20 171:21

15:6 197:17 198:12

fast-tracked

98:10 father

ROCKET REPORTERS www.RocketReporters.com 702.876.2538

160:15	filing	five	form	fully
fatianca	117:2	36:5 110:19 171:11	83:14 84:3 103:19	9:8
fatigue 14:21	final	fix	167:25	function
	71:21 103:5	74:18 75:7 100:5	formed	56:2
fault			30:4 34:9 87:7	
72:14	finally	Flags		fund
favor	99:23	170:7 171:17,21,22,	forth	134:12,17
162:25 163:4,6,9	finance	24	48:6 118:1 195:5	funding
favorable	60:6,7	flies	forward	97:14 116:18,20
28:19	financial	15:6	14:15 28:22 29:2	117:10 124:6,10
	50:17	flow	71:19 90:24 130:2	147:21
fear		125:15 126:24	162:22 186:5 199:19	funds
124:21	financially		forwarded	81:6 89:20,24
feature	123:4	fluid 54:8	148:19	147:12 157:21
8:25 9:4 13:6	financing	54.8	foundation	furnished
federal	60:7,9 95:16,17	folks	49:2 54:21 55:19	148:13
63:24 86:9	141:24	109:23 187:17,20	73:8 94:2 103:10	
00.24 00.9	find	follow	127:4 131:3	further
fee	92:1	171:1		199:19
117:22			foundationally	
feel	fine	Following	104:17	G
10:4 86:2 168:9	7:25 14:25 58:13	28:16	founders	
198:7,10	112:16 129:17 183:15,17	follows	37:20	gal
feet	·	7:19 151:19 179:10	four	53:4
12:21	finish	192:19	36:5 110:18 117:8	game
	13:24 71:19 80:15	food	153:12 168:5	159:13,16
fella	81:3,7 104:7,15	39:11,12,14,16,20,		
111:22	115:25 121:15 151:1	23 40:2,9,14 41:17,	four-and-a-half	Gardner
felony	166:3 189:3	24 42:6 43:11,25	94:12	18:5
9:10	finished	44:7 64:23 65:2,15	framer's	Gardner's
felt	179:2	184:9	57:20	18:7
24:11 172:8 173:2	firm	foods	framing	Garrett
24.11 172.0 173.2	24:11,24 25:7,15,18	15:15 66:3	57:19	7:1
few	28:17 29:7,10,12			
36:10 42:4 67:17	75:1 90:25 200:6,10	footing	Frank	gave
135:17 198:21		54:18 55:21	50:14 54:5,10	113:21 115:9
Fick	firmly 32:12	footings	frequently	gee
7:7	32.12	55:19,20 56:8,19	46:4 136:1	147:11
field	first	forecast	FRIDAY	general
96:15	7:18 15:4 18:6,17	61:16	6:1	12:20 58:15 84:25
	19:23 20:3,24 21:9			94:25 108:19 152:5
figure	28:7 39:23 40:9,14,	forecasting	friend	162:20 163:12,23
99:4,6,17 100:22	20 54:18 64:22 66:12 79:7,10,14	61:5	39:1 89:11	164:10,12 167:3,10,
112:23 114:3	89:1 91:3 92:11 98:9	forget	front	16,23 168:13,22
figured	108:22 109:19,22	11:7 101:10	172:1,3	169:9,13 170:19
64:24	112:11,25 116:17,18	forgive	fulfilling	173:8 174:5
file	117:10 128:11	120:20	199:5	generally
86:13 148:22	136:13,16 153:3,4			12:3 13:18 14:4
	187:10,16,24 188:19	forgiveness	full	59:15 70:15 132:24
filed	190:8 191:1	118:11	11:11,21,22 95:18	180:21 181:14 193:4
86:9			154:17,23	



generically 85:11 93:11

gentleman

25:21 147:7 151:17 179:6 192:18

gentleman's

25:13

gentlemen

146:3 167:12

get all 116:9

gift

86:10,14

gifted

85:24 86:3,5,8

qist 112:17

give

10:8 13:9 14:18 17:10 19:3 20:12 22:1 27:5 41:11,18 43:22,24 59:4 61:7 66:9 69:20 71:21 76:19 77:8 125:4 128:6

giving

103:9 127:15,19 128:4 144:16

glad 15:18

Gladwell 50:6 51:21

glass

187:6 GM

164:17

goal

198:25 199:5

God's 12:11

Goldman

98:21 qolf 33:13

Gonzalez

82:25 84:10

good

6:4 7:23 15:16,17,18 16:2 17:17 28:1 29:19 39:1 68:5 86:2 111:22 122:20 158:18 173:2

Gormley

7:13,14 20:14 44:16, 22 45:1,3,6,9,12,15, 18,24 46:17 48:23 49:3 52:22,24 78:14, 18 80:15 83:12,18 99:13 104:15 118:21 121:15 127:3 130:14,19,23 131:3, 6,11 149:4 151:22 174:16 175:1 179:7 181:9 187:12.22 188:3 189:3,14,17 192:24 195:11 196:10 197:8.12 199:24 200:4,7,11,

17 gosh

63:25 136:17 170:6

governed 131:23

government 63:19,24 70:20

governmental 70:10 71:8 72:1

governments 70:21

graduated 169:22

grand

136:19

granted 186:8

great

12:12 68:17 146:14 165:17

greatest 159:6

areen 12:11 16:20 37:9,11 grocery 66:5,6,10

ground 79:14,15

group

79:19 81:16 93:23 94:20 98:20 102:6 150:4 157:1 174:24 176:10,15

quarantees 147:15

guarantor

123:11 142:17

quarantors 143:2.4

quardians 187:17

guess

31:2 36:8 43:17,19 122:11,12 124:5 137:6 148:11 152:9 153:12 156:25 188:18 195:15

quessing

12:4 19:1 32:13,15 41:6.13.15 87:23 165:5

guy

18:1 33:13 89:23 102:21 114:12 138:3 172:8

guys

79:21 99:20 100:2,3, 5.14.24 101:6 102:7 103:15,18 143:9 200:14

Н

Haas 155:22

half

11:22 78:16 95:3,10 96:1 98:11,13 117:8 140:2

half-an-hour

14:6

halfway 11:13

Hall

7:7 141:23

Hamptons 31:21

hand

180:15

handed 184:22

handle 11:20 173:23

handled

106:19 132:14 179:21

hang

149:7 198:6

hanging 40:15

happen

75:6 120:8 177:24 178:9

happened

18:10 99:3 100:11 114:1 167:24

happens 166:5

happy

8:19 9:22,23 14:1 19:25 49:1 91:9

hard

85:25 100:12,18 102:1 178:25

hate

198:17

head

10:17 117:9

health

178:22 185:16 186:3,8 188:21

hear

15:18 22:25 191:15 193:23

heard

26:20 79:11 139:16

183:5 191:3,5

hearing

191:25

held 77:1 91:4

help

85:25 96:14 100:6 126:13

helped

33:13 125:11 184:22

helpina

119:10 170:12 171:14

Henderson

7:4,9 86:25 87:2,11 89:3.6 93:5.6.8 96:21 119:8 138:8 140:23 150:16

hesitate

8:18

hey 133:24

hint 125:4

hire

81:20 167:5

hired

25:8 29:13 74:25 155:19

hiring

167:11,12 177:10

historically

109:5

hit 14:23 78:7

hold

60:24 77:14 94:25 101:24 103:1 104:14 127:17

holder 142:2

Holdings 33:19

hole

54:19 55:18,19,20



56:21,23

home

19:14 139:23

homes

33:2 110:9

honest

143:12 163:16 171:18

honestly

8:12 31:2 183:13 188:12 192:7

hope

14:25 111:23

hopefully

63:7 182:11

horses

38:10,14,15

hot

182:17,21,25

hour

78:15 98:5,16

hours

14:4 149:21 196:24

198:1

house

12:15,17 67:12 140:2

however

12:5 13:11 14:9 16:16 117:13 124:10

huh

34:24

huh-uhs

10:21

Huish

7:6,8,9 23:5,6 79:18 84:18 89:10 91:19 94:4,20,22 100:1 101:14,15 102:2 113:7,16,22 126:3,4, 6 134:18 135:4 141:9,12,16,20 143:15 148:16,20 150:4 151:14 152:4,

12,21 153:22 157:1,

18 159:16 160:9,12,

19 162:17,18,22

164:11,17 167:3,15, 23 168:2,7,12 172:8 173:8,17 176:15 193:7,12 197:23 198:3 199:14

Huish's

170:18 174:4

Huishes

79:25 81:13 89:14 96:22 104:20 120:4 143:10,12,14,17,19 168:1 199:1

hundred

63:5 66:14 68:12,16 85:23

00.20

hundreds 136:25 137:4

ı

idea

8:5 24:23 25:7 27:3 32:8 33:16,18 40:24 41:10 44:12 59:16 64:12 66:19 69:22 70:2 74:10,11 114:24 172:17 181:11

identify

6:15

IKEA

59:10,24 68:19

ill

14:11

imagine

64:22 74:19 110:20

impact

192:13,23

impeach

16:21

Impeachment

17:1

implementing

72:9

implying

93:3

importance

177:20

important

8:25 9:4,13,18 11:10 13:6 14:9 65:7 75:9, 15,17,19 137:14 163:12,15 164:4 172:24 173:3 178:1 182:15 186:16,18, 20,22,24 187:1,8

impossible

11:19

impressed 141:21

improper

130:16

inaccurate

24:13

include

155:9,12

includes

155:3

including

152:19 175:23 176:3,8 181:17 184:10 199:6.14

income

61:15

increase

90:3

incumbent

178:11

170.11

Indian

124:4

indicate

10:18,21 149:18

indirectly

39:10

individual

56:4 137:18

individually

13:3

individuals

51:2 73:20 82:9 139:4 195:20 industrial

31:4,18 67:8

industry

139:18

information

21:6 24:1,21 28:3,12 69:15 148:9,13 168:11,20,24 170:17 172:10 173:7

informed

18:16,19,21 24:8 170:16 192:2

initial

90:10 93:22 94:10, 14 106:13

initially

91:15 95:11 105:1,

input

76:19

inside

32:8 36:22 37:4

insisted

102:13

insofar 61:6

inspect

72:5 139:13,23 140:4

inspection

71:18,19,21

Inspections

71:11

inspector

72:4 139:21

instance

39:8 56:18 139:23 141:23 168:14

182:12

instead

75:6 145:6

institution 145:18

institutions

146:6,7

instruct

44:17 83:15,16

instrumental

39:22

insult

156:13

insurance

146:13,14,15,23 155:23

insurances

57:16

intention 199:18

interest

77:19 86:3,5,25 88:11 89:2 90:1 102:9 110:15 148:3 150:6,12 160:25 194:8

interested

49:5 103:12 104:18

interests

140:19

Internal 86:14.23

International

141:23

interpretation

12:6

interruption 189:21 193:21

interstate

70:12

intrastate 70:12

introduced

39:24 40:1 introduction

40:10

invade 13:12

invest 110:2

invested



105:16 131:19 195:20

investigation 168:7

Investments 36:6,18

investor

17:25 150:22 161:2 194:23 195:16,21, 22,25 196:7

invited 54:10

invoices 129:23

involve 181:14

involved

38:8 56:6 64:1 72:10,22,23 74:7 77:21 78:5 79:3,11, 24 80:20 87:1,2 89:6 91:13 93:15 96:10, 21 112:2 122:22 139:18 144:7 146:6 150:3 163:20 166:24 171:13 176:17.18 190:12

involvement

45:21 79:7 80:25 82:24 104:10 111:12 143:21 144:1

involving 19:23

irrespective

20:23 109:7 139:12 172:21

issue

26:7 85:25 88:15 109:13

issues 75:2

item

56:13,18 57:1,6,10 182:1,7,8 184:25

itemization 169:1

itemized

164:8

items

55:4,10 56:2 57:2 58:5 130:12 181:23, 24

J

Jaffe 7:7

January 180:4,7 181:8

jargon 123:8

iob

48:5,18,20 54:11 57:12 59:7 64:15,16, 19 68:8,15,18 93:20 129:8 158:18

iobs

67:20 68:8,9,12,16,

John

7:13 141:12,16,20, 22 142:2,8 146:16, 17 157:2,4 160:9,12, 19

Joseph

6:8

Jude 6:18

Judae

82:24 84:10

judgment 161:20

iuice

188:18

July 26:13,14

jumping 96:12

Κ

kahuna 52:17,19

46:17 58:1 96:11 119:10 126:24 195:3

keeping

53:2 166:7

keeps

127:14 165:19.20.25

Kelly

6:10 16:7

kept

98:21 100:8 148:21 165:1,3,5,7 180:16

191:16 192:8

Kimberly

7:5

kind

14:16.18 15:15 21:23 31:15 32:7 37:24 47:9 50:24 55:2 74:20 79:16 82:10 88:13 110:12, 16,21 111:13 113:19,21 120:9 121:7 168:2 169:25

182:4 kindly

149:18 151:17 179:5

King

39:11,12,14,16,20, 23 40:2,9,14

Kings

41:17 43:11,25 44:7

knew

83:2 99:5 108:11 110:22 121:10,14,19 172:4 188:22 192:5, 6 195:19

knowing

42:1

knowledge

29:15 43:8 191:8 193:1

known

85:11 91:5 94:7 103:9 111:11 169:18 L

labor

56:6,12,15 57:15 58:4 182:1 183:21, 25 184:4,6,25

Lack

127:3 131:3

lady

16:8 165:16

Lake

42:6 113:7,8 143:16, 17 150:1 170:11

land

80:1 95:6,7,10 96:1 97:5 117:20

Landmark

30:16

larger

150:13

largest

183:20 184:3,25

Las

6:1,9,12 33:21 54:24 79:6 99:3 122:4 149:7 200:22

8:7,10 50:16 53:9,10 81:23 89:23 114:13

lasting 14:3

late 18:9

later

22:7,11 80:3

law

9:10,12 43:7 74:25 199:7,12

lawful

156:22

laws 23:16 70:21

lawyer 81:20,22 lawyers

76:8

lay 49:1

lead 49:20

learn

18:6 65:1

learned

19:23 22:23 26:18 65:3 137:24 191:1,6, 10

learning

190:4

least

14:4 25:9 148:9 185:18,21

leave 152:14

left 97:7 182:12

legal

6:9 30:1,9 123:8 200:23

Leland

18:5,6 19:23 22:24 23:22

Len

73:25

lend 113:2

lender 100:16 106:10

lenders

146:9,11 lending

147:9 lends

146:24 length

38:19

Les 34:20,21 37:23

12:14,20 20:24



less

30:13,15 41:3,5 59:17,20 77:8 88:21 97:10 102:9

liability

16:25 123:5

license

70:24 71:2,6 191:12

licensed

58:17 190:21

licensing

58:19,24 71:10 175:23 176:3 177:4

lied

17:2 191:12

life

111:10 141:25 175:19

lifeguard

183:1 185:15,20 186:9 188:20,23 192:11,16,21

lifeguards

26:1,8 27:12 155:20 182:13,16,19 183:1 185:1 186:15 187:7, 25 195:4

light 16:19

likely

183:19

likewise 36:24

limitations

126:25

limited 184:10

limits

58:19,24 Lindquist

146:12,16,17

95:16

190:6,9,21

Lisbeth

142:14 144:6

list 178:24

listed

51:14 77:11

listen 101:5 102:7

listing 164:9

litigation

8:24 80:12,19,21 81:12,14,25 82:3 90:21

little

18:3 19:8 23:7,14,19 29:20 30:18,20 31:6, 25 33:7,12,13 36:8 37:19 39:22 46:7 74:23 78:2,5 88:24 150:8 157:6 173:25 174:1 175:16

live

33:6 71:1 108:15

12:10

LLC

31:6,9 32:6 33:8,11, 19 36:18,20,21 138:8 194:9,10,12

32:21

loan

80:8 81:2 98:19,22, 23 100:9,11,12,18 101:1,16,19 105:17, 18,23 106:2,4,12,13, 15,23 107:3,6,22 108:22 110:1,3 112:3 114:4,6,10,14, 15,23,25 115:2,3,10, 12,15 116:17,18,20 118:14,15,24 119:2, 6,25 120:5,6,25 121:2,5,7,20 122:1 123:4,6,11 124:7,10, 15 126:19 127:24 128:1,5 129:10,16

131:16,17 132:8,24

134:13,17 136:7,11 137:10 139:17 140:24 141:10,13 142:19 143:1 144:22,25 147:16, 17,18,23

loans

108:8 110:12 111:25 114:18 121:13,19

located

6:11 33:22 108:13, 17

lockers 184:23

Logan 7:1

long

19:11,21 27:20 34:19 39:20 53:14, 15 63:25 98:17 146:19 172:5 196:13

long-time

89:11 146:19

longer 183:3

looked 182:3

LOTI

53:9,16 165:18

lose

38:13 71:6

losing 131:11

lost 131:8

lot

31:13 41:20 62:24 67:1 69:15 78:4 110:17 122:24 124:18 159:17 160:2,9 169:14 177:22 184:12 185:5 187:5 193:18

lots

67:20 159:16

loud

193:23 194:1

loved

14:11

lucky 43:18

lunch

14:7 113:21 149:2,3, 5 151:5

lying 100:9

M

made

17:2 84:23 85:1,6 86:23 89:2 90:11,15 93:18 98:14 99:1 103:3,19 104:2 105:1,19,23 117:23 130:24 135:10,21,25 157:21 162:11 166:8 169:18 173:1

maimed

17:21

main 125:10

mainly

115:10 157:19

maintain 165:11

maintained 148:21 180:16 181:1

maintenance 184:6

major

57:10

make

16:12 24:2 36:12 97:22 98:17 125:13, 16 127:20 131:20 132:4,8,13 137:8,9, 13 138:10 139:6 140:1 144:24 155:24 164:17 166:14 175:19 178:8 197:17

Makes

61:2 120:21

making

23:2 82:16 101:14 127:21 135:4 157:19 172:25

malady

15:5,12,25

mar

12:12 29:4 119:10

manage

151:15 162:19

managed

151:10,20 152:3

management

32:18 37:8,12,15 48:2 151:2,11,21 152:2,7,10,16 154:5, 11,12,16,23 155:16, 19 156:6,20,24 157:9,11,24 158:25 160:19 161:5,6,18, 22 162:6,12 163:19, 24 164:11,17 165:7, 21 166:8,17,20,25 167:2,4,15,18 172:19 174:3,14 175:3,22 176:4,9,13, 21,24 177:9,21 178:1,5,20 179:15, 24 180:4,7,25 181:8 185:19 189:11,19, 20,24,25 190:5 192:12,22 193:4,10 194:15 198:24 199:6

management's

154:6

manager

32:11 33:8 36:17,24 37:5 47:15 49:7,19 50:1,8,11 73:22 107:14 152:5 162:20 163:13,23 164:10,12 167:4,11,16,23 168:13,22 169:9,13 170:19 173:9 174:5 193:17

managers

51:4 152:5

managing

30:11 31:18 32:3 34:2 87:15,18,21



140:22 157:1 194:13

manner

9:23 11:4 13:14 156:22

March

185:14,20

markets

42:6

mason

10:7 57:15

Masterangelo

7:6

material

9:5 57:19

materials

56:9,13,16 57:23

math

150:9

matter

13:4 22:11 82:20,24 83:4,24 84:2 92:20 138:1 177:20

matters

166:8

Max

200:12

Mcdonough 50:14 52:2 54:5,6,10

mean

11:1 23:1 27:15 39:10 42:12 47:7 49:12 54:9 57:13 58:22 59:7 60:11,18, 19 67:21 68:16 69:7 73:1 78:2 81:17 82:6 83:19 84:11 85:15 88:20 91:15 94:24 98:12,24 99:5,18 100:23 102:1,8 103:5 108:4 109:21 112:15 115:16 116:1 118:4,7 120:13 127:5 133:10 134:23 135:16 139:11 141:22 146:9 150:8. 16 158:11 164:21 168:1,14 171:10

172:5,23 182:25

187:4,7 191:5 195:6 198:3,8 200:2,13

meaning

77:3

means

16:6 17:1 21:8 72:15.16 156:9.10

meant

11:2 126:16

meanwhile

98:15

media 24:4,7 200:21

mediation

199:24 200:8

medical

23:16

medication 14:17 15:2

medications

15:20,24

meet

48:19,20 64:18 112:7,9 114:25 125:12,20 138:13 144:19 145:12 162:7

meeting

25:20 26:3,11,19 27:7,10,17,22,24 28:16 47:16,17 49:6, 7,19 50:23 51:4,10 54:10 62:11,18 63:14,15,16,17 76:16 91:3 112:11 125:6 134:24 138:7 164:23 165:18 166:13 180:4,7,22 181:8 185:6,8,14,19 188:25 189:10,11, 12,19,20,24,25 190:5

meetings

45:21 46:5 47:3,8,9, 24,25 48:2,7,11,22, 25 49:8,17 50:12,20, 21 51:1,6 53:3 54:7 62:22 63:13 68:10 76:18 92:23 100:22

164:20,22 165:21 166:9,16,19 178:4,7 180:12 181:1 182:9 185:9 197:20

member

30:11 31:18 32:3 34:2 51:19.21.24 52:2.5 87:16.18.22 140:23 142:9 194:10,12,13

members

51:10,12 52:8 62:12 98:20 121:4 142:5 152:10,15 153:5,10 156:23 157:9,11,13, 14,17,18,23 161:6, 19 162:12 164:16 166:20 172:19

memorialization

159:3

memorializes

164:15

memory

18:11 19:2 25:22 27:4 170:10 171:11 188:17

memory-wise

80:9

mention

93:2

mentioned

16:5

mentor

38:5 160:16

mere

12:10 194:18

mess-up

97:17

met

74:18 99:19,25 113:15 114:2 145:9. 11 146:4 161:22 181:16

met all

100:2

Mexico

42:11,18 44:1

mid-morning

113:20

middle

37:9,11 112:21

midst

170:13

might

32:9 34:19 36:22 37:3,19 58:5 63:4,6 68:14 84:16 88:3 126:4 145:14 171:25

Mike

50:9

miles

98:5,15

million

59:9,17,21 60:1 63:5 77:4 81:4 88:16,18, 19,24,25 94:13,24 95:4,8,9,24 96:1 97:2,5,7,20,23,25 98:1,2,18,19 100:15 101:7,8,15,16,18 102:3,11 105:5

106:16 107:9 115:6 117:8 129:11 147:14

150:8,10,11

mind

18:2 28:14,15 66:16 69:6 76:13 169:7

minus

96:4 117:7

minute

8:18 145:19 185:10

minutes

53:2,5,17,25 78:20 92:2,7,25 149:21 164:25 165:1,3,4,7, 11 180:23 196:24 198:21

Mirkovich 6:21 149:9

missing 96:23

misspoke 115:17

mom

142:15

moment

18:12 141:6

Monday

199:23,25 200:8

money

38:13 60:11 81:7 83:23 88:21 89:22, 25 90:7 100:12,18 101:6 102:1,4,5,7 105:6,8,10,16 107:13 110:17 113:2 125:13 127:15,19 128:6,7 133:3,8 140:2 146:24 150:4, 20 157:20 159:15,16 182:12 184:16

month

192:16

98:12 119:19

month's

98:9,11

monthly

47:25 133:10,14

months

101:4

morning

6:4 7:23 14:5 113:20

mortuary

146:19

mostly 197:25

Mountain

33:15,16,17

mouth

172:23

move

71:19 156:3 179:4 186:4 192:10

moving

76:6 88:13 89:19

multiple

13:2 185:9

must

120:19



Ν

named

31:24 138:3

names

61:7

narrative

28:18

NASCO

155:20 195:3

nature

10:21 13:23 14:12 16:14 50:22 56:9 73:18 114:18 144:10

near

27:23

necessarily

48:10 92:18,24 132:22

necessary

10:4 11:18 17:10 154:18,24 168:10

need

117:15 196:12 198:9

needed

24:11 64:24 155:25 178:24 183:15 186:4.5

needs

17:15

negative

10:18.22

negotiated

114:6,10

negotiation

81:2 124:18,19

neighborhood

40:11 88:16,18 94:23 95:4 105:2,4

106:16

net

44:23

Nevada

6:1,12,14 8:22 9:10, 12 33:21 42:7,19

43:11 58:24 60:2 69:10

never

10:24 12:16 14:23 42:25 43:2,6 52:18 64:14,15 73:19 74:20 100:11 110:16 117:22.23 118:3 134:3 139:10 144:9 165:7 174:10 177:24 182:3 183:5 191:3.5. 6,10

nevertheless

25:6 169:7

new

42:11,18 44:1 67:18

23:24 24:4,7 25:25

nice

68:20 99:20,21 100:3

Nichols

50:9 51:24

Nielsen

149:24 150:18

nightmare

112:22

no?' 11:1

nobody

99:5

nodding

10:17

nomenclature

48:15

nominated

167:22

nondisclosure

83:6,13,19

nonresponsive

76:7 151:16 156:4.9 179:4

normal

139:20

North

64:9,10,12,13 169:20 170:3 171:2,

notation

166:1

note

96:2

notebook

53:18

noted

197:11

notes

165:19,20 166:7 180:23

nothing

28:14,15 70:7 84:6

102:16

notice

74:20

number

19:17,19 26:8 27:12 35:11 39:19 41:1,25 43:17,25 44:8 54:24 59:12 66:25 68:15

69:20 72:21 76:5

90:13 101:9.25 102:3 108:7 122:22

126:7 136:17 140:21 161:16 165:17 178:4

181:23

numbers

54:12,15 94:25 100:13 101:15

nutshell

99:22

0

oath

6:17 9:3 16:24

object

44:16 45:24 48:23 83:12 127:3 187:12

197:8

objecting

179:8 197:9

objection

130:21,24 156:14 174:16 192:24 195:11 197:11

obligation

120:5 126:18 137:15 199:7

obligations

134:13 138:14

observe

113:23 134:10 138:6 139:4 140:4

obtained

136:11 177:4

obvious 157:1

obviously

17:2

occasion 74:15 161:23 162:7

occasions

8:4 191:23

occupancy

71:22.24 174:20

occupied

163:23

occupy 77:16 160:12

occur

181:17

occurred

18:14

offense 9:12 76:8

offhand

34:1

office

30:21 33:20 48:18

67:15

officer

30:10,25 31:3 34:13 35:8,14 36:2 37:15 50:17 74:14 114:14 120:25 121:20 122:1

officers

47:4,5,6

official

164:24

Ogden

30:21 42:6 108:14, 15,17 120:19 146:18,20

older

79:22

Olson 7:13

one

9:21,24 10:12 14:11 16:23 20:14 23:8 28:19 31:16 37:20 38:10 40:20 43:16

52:15 53:16 60:13 64:1,14 66:13 79:21

84:16 89:12 91:8

98:20 100:8 104:4 110:19 113:14

125:10 127:21 128:4 132:7 134:2 143:3 145:11,14 146:12

154:21 157:1,18 161:13,15,23 162:7,

8,11 166:13 170:22 171:15,24 172:5

175:8 176:3 180:21

183:23 185:6,8 186:7,25

ones

57:10 69:14

ongoing 126:18 135:22

only 84:16 98:13 100:14 121:1 135:15.16.17

144:1 145:18 152:24

166:13 167:4 194:12

open 93:21 100:7,24 101:1 116:4 135:16 145:3 175:23 176:20 177:23 178:25 179:1

opened

138:25 139:1 181:19

opening

174:13,15 175:4

185:7,16 190:19



177:19,25 178:6 181:17 185:22 186:11 191:11

operate

75:16 76:4,5 89:21 132:17 155:20 156:21 170:14 177:11 199:2

operating

60:15,18,20 95:17 128:24 180:19 190:13 195:1,9

operation

85:11 132:21 141:16 154:7,13 160:22 173:9

operational

73:23 100:8 128:16. 23 131:14 152:5 181:22 182:18 183:8,9,12,20 184:4 185:24

operations

17:23 61:5 63:2 72:25 73:1,22 113:23 130:7,10,11, 15 132:7 134:10 135:13,22 138:6 139:5 154:20 155:1, 18 162:13 166:21 176:10 178:21 179:22 187:11 193:5,12

Opheikens

6:6 7:12,15,17,23 8:1 9:17 16:4 17:14, 18 21:4 32:24 33:5 35:24,25 55:22 89:9 104:22 105:1 109:11 134:20 135:6 153:11 157:17 188:24 190:16 193:22 199:1 200:19

opine 183:19

opinion 13:12

opportunity

13:16 144:16 197:2

opposed

28:20

order

36:12 178:25

ordinances

178:12,17 179:13

ordinary

137:10

organized

54:10

original

106:15 200:21

originally

30:20 33:1 88:14 94:20

Orluff

6:6 7:17 200:19

OSHA

72:3,4,10,15,19,24 73:12,17 74:8,15,20, 21,24 75:2,4

other

15:14.19 31:16 38:9 42:6,8,13,14 45:10 47:24 48:7,21,25 49:7 50:25 51:2,9,12 52:8 63:21 65:10,16, 19 67:10 71:9 75:25 76:22 77:15,17,18 83:13,14 89:14 94:4 102:4 105:3 116:5 146:6,9,11 161:6,19 166:20 167:12 187:2 193:19 195:2.20

others

42:20 66:7 68:22 69:5 77:10.12 78:12 138:24 199:11

otherwise

9:7 12:7 23:24 72:10 91:4 134:21 169:18 183:2

Ott

7:14 87:7,14 88:12 89:2 90:11 93:24 94:15 96:9,20,22 104:2,22 105:1,3,14 107:6,10,13,16,19

110:2 112:3 118:16, 23 119:1 120:2 123:16,17,20,23,24 124:1 134:21 135:6 138:7 152:16 153:20

Ott's

96:7 104:10

ought

25:4 53:13 101:19 138:2

ounce

101:3

outside

57:23 197:15

overall

61:14

oversight

193:5,11

owe

105:17

owed

116:13

owned

76:25 115:11

owner

71:22 80:7 93:16,25 94:19

owners

48:11

ownership

89:17

owns

31:6 146:18

Ρ

p.m.

149:14,15,17 196:17,18,20 200:23,25

pages

172:14

paid

76:9 81:8 95:3,11,25 99:24 101:3,18 116:5,6,9,15,16

117:16

paper

123:11 168:25

papers

122:2 123:9

paperwork

87:21 88:8 106:21

Pardon

193:20

park

7:4,10 17:24 18:1,7 24:15 31:5,19 36:13 79:10,12 86:3,25 87:2,12 88:15 89:3, 7,20 90:17,20 91:19 93:5,6,9,21 94:17 95:17 96:3,21 100:6, 7 101:7,20 102:12, 14 104:7,8 110:1,2 113:2,4,8 116:1 119:9,23 126:5,13 128:24 129:11 130:4 131:15,19 135:15,22 138:6,8,25 139:1 140:23 145:1,5,6 149:25 150:8,17,21 151:14 152:3,6 155:23 156:22 157:2,5,20 159:23 160:23 162:13,19,21 163:13 167:23 169:24 170:11,12,14 171:24 173:9 174:5, 13,15 175:4,23 176:1,17,20 177:6, 11,19,23,25 178:6,8, 22,25 179:22 181:17,19 183:14 184:16 185:6,16

parking 187:5

199:2

186:6 187:11

190:13,19,21 191:11

193:17 195:1,7,8,9

Parkinson 111:3,4

parks

141:25 160:17 169:15,19 170:4 171:15,16

part

50:24 61:8,9,12 79:14 81:16 83:5 92:15,16 96:23 132:16 147:14 152:25 153:4.17 176:10 177:11

participant

87:11 94:4

participate

51:1

participated

12:7 37:25 166:16,

participates

54:6

participating

180:3

particular

13:25 16:7 56:7 132:23 161:23 177:20 178:1

particularly

160:10

parties

81:11 84:18

partner 31:24 149:25

partnership 64:3 80:5 150:5

parts

61:13

party

8:23 81:14,15,25 82:3 140:12

passed

20:24 35:12 36:3

passive

150:22 161:2 194:23 195:16,22,25 196:7

past

108:2

patient

102:21



patrons

186:17 187:11

pay

8:16 81:6 83:23 86:1 95:10 116:21,22 118:8 129:22 133:3, 8,24 134:7 183:13 185:5

payment

98:9,11,14 119:18 131:24,25 134:12 136:16

payments

99:1 125:17 131:20, 23 132:4,9,13 136:12 137:7,9,10, 14 157:21

pen 53:19

pending

13:10,19 197:9

people

10:7 16:13 17:23 47:16 48:19 61:22, 24 64:18 69:16 73:1 75:4 147:8 156:21 168:6 177:10 178:7, 21 179:22 184:12,16 186:2 188:1 193:17 195:2

percent

63:6 85:17,19,22 90:18,23 102:12,14 113:12

percentage

85:15 86:8 89:17 90:20

percentagewise

150:7

performance

173:16 174:4

period

21:23 23:1 74:13 99:25

perjury

9:12

permit

14:1,10,18 71:24

174:20 186:8

permits

71:14 92:8,9 186:5,7

Perry 10:7

person

11:17 26:3,12 27:8 28:17 113:11 162:23 163:16,18,23

person's

16:14

personal 106:18 143:2,3

personally

48:9 72:8 105:17,23 106:2,8 115:12 118:14,24 119:2 123:13,15,21,22 141:9,12 169:18 178:18 179:18,19

personnel

184:15

perspective

81:1

phase 131:14

Phil

6:24

phone 14:11 18:20 19:11, 14,15,16,19,22 20:4, 7,24 21:9,11,13 24:16 99:2,16 161:7, 15 189:21

physician 14:17

.

pick

157:3 158:8,16,19

picked

86:7

piece

31:6,8,13,14 33:7 37:3 94:16 148:2 186:25

pieces

31:14

pipes 79:15

place

19:12 22:5 26:12 27:18,24 33:13 42:12 58:12 81:3 91:7 95:18 120:17 124:7 149:5 155:18 156:21 159:10 180:7,25 185:14,19

plaintiff

6:20

plaintiffs 6:23,25 7:2

plan

185:15,20 186:9 188:20,23 192:12, 16.21

play

193:22

Playing

193:25

plus

68:16 96:4 117:7

point

22:23 24:25 26:1 38:10 54:2 63:2 89:22 91:14 95:15 98:18 103:12 104:6 110:23 127:13 134:25 137:4 162:11 171:15 183:2 192:3 195:23

points

169:2

.

pool 150:1

poorly

118:19

110.13

position

35:13,17 39:13 40:4 75:21 76:23 77:1,14, 17 85:10,22 87:14 150:12,24 160:12,15 162:24 163:12 167:8,23 168:3 173:8 178:19 179:14 positions

167:6

positive

18:11 36:7,23 88:3 111:7 140:17

possession

168:11,21,24 170:17 173:7

possibilities

42:21

possible 195:7

possibly

16:25 27:11

potentially

24:14

pour 55:19

_ .

Pouring

54:21

power

154:6,12,17,23 199:10

PR

24:11,24 25:7,15,18 26:3,12 27:8 28:17

pre

32:1

pre-opening 176:25 177:3

precision

174:1

prepare

16:9 51:8 115:7

prepared

51:7 126:3 180:10, 22 182:5

prepares

53:22 60:15 61:4

present

6:15 13:1 122:5,9

presentations

135:9

presented

62:17

presently

58:20 67:17 72:20

preserving

156:14

president

33:3 35:15 39:15 87:17 114:17

presumably

62:5 65:11 112:4 123:1 124:8

pretty

15:17 19:9 31:23 32:2 68:2,5,20 102:20 103:25 122:1 145:2 150:23 162:21

prevaricate

9:5

prevaricated

17:2

previous

111:10 157:5

previously 93:17 196:3

price

59:23 95:4

prices

60:3

prima 44:19

primary

29:22

principal

116:10

prior

143:20,21 144:22 145:7 174:13 191:11

privilege

13:13

privileged 13:15

probably

11:7 15:9 18:2 19:9 25:24 28:4 30:15,22 32:22 34:4 36:5,19



37:7,16 38:13,17 40:11,21 42:11 50:18 51:11 57:19 60:1,17 62:7 66:13 69:7 71:13 73:3 74:5 83:1 86:20,21 90:23 91:24 106:18,19 109:12 111:6,13 112:5,6,10 113:20 114:19 135:8 139:6 140:20 150:9 152:14 154:15 157:2 160:7, 17 161:4,25 162:9 171:11 173:23 184:8,24 186:2

problem

27:12 48:5 66:17 74:23 75:6 83:19 95:13 98:22 99:5,18, 21 182:18,23

procedure

8:22 180:20

procedures

8:14

proceedings

13:3,22 42:24 84:9, 14 198:16 199:17

product

146:23

profit

63:7 117:23,24 118:4,6

progressing

71:17

project

31:23 47:15 48:2 49:7,18 50:1,8,11 51:4 54:7,13,15 58:11,22 59:12,13 63:5 64:1,5,7,8,21 68:21,23,25 70:3 71:15,17 72:6 79:4, 5,8,25 81:3,8 91:5, 13 92:12,18,21 93:7, 11,16,25 97:12,19 98:6 102:2 109:7 112:22 117:23 118:5 139:14 158:6 159:7

projection

50:21

projections

61:17,19 62:14,16 63:2 125:6,23 126:2, 9,19 128:15,16 130:12 135:10,21 136:3,4,8

projects

43:9 45:9 63:19.24 65:10 66:24 67:10, 12 68:2 69:9,21 70:4 72:5 74:19

proper

147:1 195:7,8

Properties

31:5,19 37:1

property

31:7,8,14 33:7 37:3 80:6,7,8 90:16 94:17,20 95:3 98:3

proposal

101:13

proposals

125:23 126:2 135:5

propounded

9:3

prospectus

115:7

protected

13:15

protection

182:19

protocols

8:14

provide

16:11 66:8

provided

44:15 83:11

providing

186:16 187:10

Provo

79:22

public

28:17,21 29:10,12

publicly

77:1

publicly-traded

36:14

pull

41:25 121:9

pulled

26:2

punitive

44:18,21

purchase

80:5 98:2

purchased

37:4 41:21,22 80:6

purpose

115:14 147:12 154:4,10 180:6 193:4

pursuant

8:22 148:4

put

28:18 30:22 36:12 54:12,14,15 55:21 60:4 81:3,6 87:25 88:8.21 89:23.25 90:7 96:1 101:6 102:2,7,10,11 105:14 115:15 120:3 122:2 141:22,24 147:13 150:3 155:18 156:21 172:1 177:23

putting

56:25 68:14 100:13

Q

qualifications

168:23 170:18

quarter

38:15 135:16

quarterly

47:8 48:1 133:13

question

9:7,8,18,19,21 10:5, 9 11:11,14,16,17,21, 22 13:10,11,19,24 20:1 26:10 42:15,17 43:10 44:10 45:2 55:24 60:25 70:18 72:13 73:2,10 76:11

77:16 80:15 85:16

92:14 102:17 103:6 104:4,15 109:2,15,

18 116:19 118:17,20 121:15 127:11 129:3

131:6 132:12,18 135:2,20 141:6

144:14,17 151:18 153:3,4 155:7 156:5, 10,17,18 157:6,23

162:1,4 163:22 167:13 169:11

173:25 174:7,25 175:5,13 179:6 186:13 187:22

188:3,8 192:7,9,18 195:10,14,18 197:9

questions

8:17 9:2.14 59:2 62:25 191:19,21

quicker

175:17

quite

26:15 36:10 39:19 42:4 98:25

R

R&o

29:25 30:2 34:5,21, 23 35:20 36:6,18,20 37:1,4,8,12,15,19,20 39:8 44:14 45:21,22 46:5,8,20 47:3 51:2 58:15 59:10 60:6 62:19 63:3,18,23 66:10,24 67:1,4,8, 10,18 69:9 70:11 72:20,24 75:13,23, 25 77:9 79:5,8,17,24 81:13.25 83:23 84:1. 4,7 86:19 87:1,4 90:25 91:6 92:19 97:12 102:15,16 105:12,17,19 106:5, 10 107:6 108:5,17 116:5,6,10 117:19, 22 118:3,5,8 165:11, 22 176:18 186:3

R&o's

86:25 106:19 108:23

R-I-C-K

50:4

ran

38:10 95:13 101:22

Randall

34:20,21 37:23 38:2

range

41:18 43:21,22,25 44:7 101:9

rank

12:3

rapid-fired

10:8

rates

110:15

re

95:23

reach

161:7,10

reaction

113:1

read

151:17,19 154:9 179:10 192:19

ready

98:6 104:7 109:13 145:3 176:20

19:2 32:21,22 41:10 79:9 110:22 112:22

reask

157:6

reason

13:21 14:14 141:4 172:9 185:3 188:11,

reasonable

12:6 43:13 59:25 66:9,15 69:20 154:19,25 199:10

reasonably

41:16 155:24 195:7

reasoned

20:13 26:24,25 32:16 41:9 43:7



reasons 98:13

recall

8:9 18:8 22:2,16 23:14 24:6 26:9,11, 22 27:21 28:8 40:8 68:10 74:21 77:6 80:2.4 81:19 82:13. 14 84:24,25 86:12, 22 87:1 88:10 89:4 90:12 91:3 92:11 93:17 95:25 99:19 106:14 108:19

109:19 110:19 112:11 117:6 138:16 139:3 140:21 141:2, 6 142:7 145:10 150:2 159:1,4

171:10,25 172:20 180:3 181:12 189:2.

12 190:3,4,7,22 191:24 192:4 197:20

receivable

118:8,9 received

23:25 117:22

recently 67:13

recess

198:15 199:17

recessed

78:24 149:15 196:18

recollection

12:5 19:3,13 21:15, 16 22:1,12 26:24 27:1 32:14,16

record

6:16 21:3 55:1 78:23 79:1 149:10,11,12, 14,17 151:19 156:14 179:10 191:13,14 192:2,6,19 196:17, 20 200:17,24

recorded

10:15 16:5

records

180:17 197:23

red

16:19 33:15,16,17

redraft

9:22

refer

38:4 93:11

reflected

16:24

reframe

9:23

regard

9:9 10:3 12:3 14:2 25:3 53:6 61:25 71:7 172:12 173:4 179:24 181:16 193:6

regarding

75:2 178:6

regards

9:15 10:19

regular

47:4 124:7 135:11

regularly

47:24 49:7,16 50:20, 25 125:20 165:11

regulations

71:9 72:1,11 178:17 179:14

reimbursed

117:14,24

reinforce

9:13

rejected

188:21,23

relate

95:22

relations

28:17,21 29:10,12

relationship

38:24 39:3,6 110:24 111:2,16

relatively

67:18

relatives

82:2

relied

172:22

rely 161:19

relying 172:7

remain

200:22

remember

8:12 18:12 19:10 20:6,11,15 21:12,22 23:2,8,9,17,23 24:9 25:13 27:2 29:1 38:21 50:13 69:8 73:16 80:4 81:10,23 82:8 83:1 85:4,5,8,9 88:2 95:24 101:8 106:21 112:25 121:1,22,23 124:16 125:12 135:14 136:10,17 138:3,18 139:2 142:3,13 145:16,22,24 146:2 147:5,11 153:17 169:5 171:6,12,19 172:15 173:13 180:9 181:10 182:7 185:12

191:24 remind

11:5,24 remnant

31:15

remuneration

84:2

rendered

116:8

rent

57:7

repay

120:6

repayment

118:24 134:17

repeat

162:4 185:17 192:17

rephrase

118:21 129:2 174:25

175:1,12

reporter

6:9,16 10:16 11:19 16:7 50:3

Reporters

6:10

represent

75:1

representatives 144:19

represented

54:23

representing 13:3,8

represents 147:2

reputation 24:15

requested

76:18

requesting

198:15

require 89:20 136:8

required

10:8 73:12 86:14 123:9 125:22 126:9 136:14 148:8 177:3

requirements

70:10 73:23 74:18 136:12

rescued

22:19

reside

143:15

residential

31:25 32:25 33:1

resolution

82:23 83:3

resolve

83:23 84:1 85:25 88:14

resolved

82:20 90:24 109:12

198:23 resource

161:20

respect

9:8 10:20 12:13 14:13 16:16 22:3 56:1,8 57:6 60:22 61:4 68:19 72:2 74:7 75:1 76:3 86:24 91:4 93:10,14 132:21,23 135:13 138:13 166:21 167:2 169:2 170:18 181:16.21 183:8 184:15 195:19 198:24

respectfully

76:6

respond 9:14 13:14

response

198:2,5 responses

10:18.22

responsibilities 76:14 155:15 156:6, 19 193:13

responsibility

17:23 118:23 166:7 193:7 194:25

responsible

53:2 60:5 73:21 122:18 123:4 125:7 134:16,20,21 165:15

responsive

179:7

rest

15:14 197:18

restaurant 33:12 78:3

restaurants

78:4

restroom 13:23

result 40:9 80:25 82:24 111:24 128:14

resume 172:1,3,4,11,14,16, 19.22.24 173:3

retains

167:19



retired 111:8 return 86:10,14 returns 86:18 reveal 13:14 revenue 44:14 86:14,23 review 16:12 134:23 172:11 173:16,22 174:4 reviewing 134:21 135:7 revised 192:11,16,20 Richard 152:4 155:17 157:4 195:1 **Richards** 88:9 **Richens** 138:20,22 Rick 49:24 51:16,17 74:5 rights

154:6,12 risk 159:6 road

45:17 103:16,17 Rocket

Rogers 7:5 role

6:10

141:15,18 150:15,18 160:22

roofer 57:22 room 12:15,17 Rotary

111:15

rough 77:8

roughly 35:6 68:12 95:2 98:18 150:11

Royce 88:9 rule 14:4

rules 8:22 70:21 178:17 179:13

run 17:25 141:25 187:5 195:7,8 198:13

running 17:23 38:14 99:12 130:4 131:15 141:24 159:20,22 164:25 187:6 188:18 197:16 198:11

S

runs 61:14

Sachs 98:21

safe 156:22 181:20

safequards 187:7

safety 74:16 75:5,8,14,17, 19 178:12,16 179:13 183:16 186:16,20, 23,25 187:1,3,11

Safeway 65:17,22 sale

190:21 sales

95:4 184:15

Salt 42:6 113:7,8 143:16, 17 150:1 170:11

Samuel 6:21

sandwich 149:8

sat 76:21 94:17

satellite 46:9

saving 11:17 scale

68:22

schedule 131:24 132:1 133:9

scheduled 47:8,25 48:24 49:8, 17 50:20 51:1

school 67:18 72:17 169:22

schools 67:1

Scott

scope 69:7 177:11

7:6,9 111:3,4 112:4, 7 113:10,11 115:9 126:3 132:15 141:9, 21 142:15 148:14,

15,20 152:12 157:2 160:14 173:23 182:5

Scott's 182:5

screw-up 97:17

scrivener 88:6 165:16,20,24

second 15:7 21:11 60:24 98:11 136:21 170:25

seconds 149:21 196:25

secretary 52:20 53:23 165:15 seeking 199:20

seem 78:6 87:16

seemed 88:17 140:14

seemingly 111:20

seems 84:15 113:11 119:6, 18,19 144:21 170:10 171:13

seen 63:1 136:7 144:9

select 157:23

selected 155:17 156:23 157:10,13 162:23

selection 155:12 159:3

sell 36:11

seller 94:19 96:1

seminars 73:17

send 83:20 139:21

sense 24:2 120:21

191:23 serious 9:12 74:21

separate

serve 140:18 173:11

servers 184:11 serves

170:10 Service 86:15,23

services

116:8 184:22

set

25:20 32:21 100:10 164:9 169:4,8,12

Setting 170:25

seven 14:4 152:24 153:7,8, 9,10,22 157:13,14

164:16

Seventh 6:12,19 149:9

30:12,13 68:11 81:4 102:3 114:3 169:21

shaking 10:17

170:1.4

Shane 23:3,4,6 24:10,11,18

25:20 26:2 27:11 113:15,16 141:21 151:14 152:4,12 155:17 157:3 162:17,18,22 163:20,25 164:3,11, 17 167:3,22 168:2,7,

12 169:14 170:18 172:8 173:8,12,17 174:4.24 176:15

190:12,20,23 191:8 192:6 193:12 195:1 197:23 198:3 199:14

Shane's 142:15 160:14

190:5,9

share 8:17

shared 21:6 28:2,12 136:3, 4,9

shareholder

194:18 shops 149:8

short

98:17



shortage 25:25 shortly 27:10

> 10:7 127:22 128:6 129:23 143:6 183:3

showing 130:11

show

155:22 185:20

sick 35:4

sic

side 89:12,14 94:7 103:23 114:8,11 122:9 134:20 135:4, 6 152:21 153:11,22 157:17,18,24 168:4 199:1

sign

86:21 106:7 119:2 120:5 123:21,25 141:9,12 143:5 147:15

signed

80:4 106:2,4,7 115:12 118:15 119:25 122:15 123:9,10,20,24 136:12 148:5

significant 28:11 77:1,3

signify 75:22

signing

122:17 123:3 140:21

signs 86:18 similar 37:2 68:22 171:23 180:24

Similarly 11:10 simpler

138:10

simply

9:13 11:5 90:12 93:10 141:2 183:2

single 132:23 186:19

single-most 186:16

sir

7:24 8:8 44:10 64:5 66:6 122:7 131:5 157:15 165:23 179:19 185:9 187:15 188:6 194:3

sit

25:20 30:9 47:16 66:9,16 160:19 181:4 200:12

sits

53:18 165:18

sitting

12:20 62:11 66:18 68:10

situation 134:4

six

152:24 170:7 171:17,21,22,24

sizable 68:2

size

58:22 86:10 92:21

skill

164:9 169:4,8,12

skin 159:13

Slade

7:12 18:23 21:4,5 22:3 26:2 28:5,7 35:24,25 49:20 51:8 60:17 61:9,14,20 62:7 75:13 99:8 135:8 152:13 158:1, 8.9 173:23

slide 182:13

slides 177:24

slightly

77:15 92:14

slur 198:19

small

67:20 68:8 85:17 147:4

smart 200:14

....!dl-

Smith

40:7,10,18,23 41:12, 24

Smith's

39:11,14,16,20,23 40:1,9,14 41:17,21, 22 42:2,5 43:11,25 44:7 64:23 65:16

sold

31:14 41:22

sole 155:6

solely 154:5,11

somebody

11:13 25:10 116:22 129:22 160:16 193:19 200:10,12

somehow 147:2

someone 200:1,5

something

10:6 13:23 14:12,20 17:3 23:16,24 25:24 26:6 30:23 32:10 36:23 37:4,10 40:15 55:15,16 72:7 80:9 82:14,17 85:2,5,17, 19 87:18 90:18 94:13 96:2 99:6 100:6,15 101:13 104:12 114:18,19 126:13 131:25 135:18 136:14,19 137:24 146:14 147:22 189:8 sometimes

16:13 65:6 133:13

somewhat

10:15

somewhere

40:21 88:16 94:23 106:15

son

18:23 20:4,21,25 21:1 24:10 86:2 99:8 158:1,3,18

sons

33:5 82:4 163:6

sooner 22:13

sorry

21:18 25:23 28:14 34:10 47:21 51:15 52:24 61:1 103:8 107:7 115:19 121:16 131:11 151:3 166:4 189:22 193:8,24 194:2

sort

38:5 89:22 95:14 112:13,14 113:24 117:15 118:16 147:2 148:25 164:8 184:15

sorts

38:6 48:21

sound

37:2 147:25

sounds 99:19

source 191:6,11

south

6:12,19 33:23

speak

29:9 98:6 147:21 161:8 197:3

speaking 70:15 130:21

specialized

57:7

specialty

67:3

specific 84:22

specifically

125:12 140:9 191:10

speculate

12:14 20:12 26:22, 23 41:8 42:22,23,25 43:6

speculating

20:10 74:4

speculation 12:4,13 27:1 41:7

speech 198:19

speed 95:19

spell 50:2,16

spelling 16:13

Spencer 33:23 138:22

spend 99:17

spending 127:15

spent 113:20

spinoff 87:10

Splash

79:18,20,25 81:13 82:16 84:3 90:22 91:20 93:23 94:20 95:14 97:19 99:20 100:8 101:23 102:6 103:15,18 104:18 150:4

Splash's

spoke 165:14

spot 37:9,11



191:15,16

S	t	af	fi	n	g
		_	_	_	_

175:25 177:13

stage 45:7,24

stake

159:7

Stand 179:16

standard 180:19

standing 89:23

standpoint

39:7

stands

155:7

start

33:13 54:18 55:17 71:14 79:12 100:13 104:7 199:22

started

33:1 40:15 91:17 93:8.20 97:18 100:4. 21

starting

37:2 145:5 198:19

starts

61:2

state

63:19,20 69:10 70:23 111:10 178:23

states

42:8,13,14 63:21 86:15

statutes

178:12

statutory

178:16 179:12

stay 96:14

stead

200:2 Stencer 33:19

stenographic

16:6,7

step 56:4

stepping

187:6

sticks 117:8

still

31:3 68:11 74:1 131:15 189:15 192:7

stock 36:12

stockholder

193:18 194:5 195:23

stocks

36:9,10,15

stop

169:16

store

41:24 64:23 65:3

stores

39:12,17 42:6 65:15, 20,21,24 66:5,6,10

storm

56:25

story

98:17

Straight

149:9

straightforward

191:18

Street

6:12,19 33:24

strike

76:7 151:16 156:3

179:4

strong 18:11

structure

114:4

structured

142:4

stuff

15:15 36:9 61:3 110:16 160:18

sub

57:12 181:23

subcontractors

81:8 99:24 101:2,18 116:24 117:25

subdivision

31:25

subdivisions

78:6

subject

124:11,15 190:12

submit

115:8 125:23 126:18 128:15 130:10

submitted

126:1,9,25 185:15, 21 188:20

submitting

135:5

subordinated

17:22 80:7 96:2

subs

116:13

subsequently

21:6.7

substantive

16:16

successful

77:22 78:11 146:22

successfully

199:1

successive

65:2

such

9:11,12,23 13:14 47:24 55:21 63:25 84:14 182:14

sudden 78:11

sued

81:9,18

suffer

14:20

suffering

14:15

sufficient

134:12

suggest

142:10 175:15

suggesting

9:6,11 56:3 86:13,16 142:8 165:6

suggestion

17:1

sun

182:14,16,17,20,21

super

99:20 100:3

supermarket

40:18

supermarkets 65:14 66:25 67:3

supervision

193:6

support 143:6 172:11

supported

173:7

suppose

48:11 72:18 139:15 140:5 173:5 181:25 183:21

supposed 26:5 101:7 139:7 169:4

sure

12:12 15:8 32:10 36:7,21,25 53:12 54:3 55:24 60:17 63:15,20 65:1 67:22 72:13,25 73:9,10 74:17 75:13 78:9,17 83:6 91:9 92:4 97:22 99:11 100:19 103:24 104:5 106:9,24 107:8 109:3 110:6, 10 113:12 115:21 119:22 120:2 121:6 122:3 125:6 132:8,

13 135:21 138:10 139:6 140:1 153:5 154:10,22 155:24 157:19 161:9,12 162:6 163:14 165:2, 5,9 172:1 180:18 183:10 185:18 193:9 196:13 197:17 198:1

surprised

60:3 197:19,22

survey

55:17

swore

16:8

sworn 7:18

syndicated

147:23

svndrome 14:21

Т

tab

127:14

table

12:15,18,20 120:11

114:12,15 121:1,10, 12,14,19 145:21

Taft's

114:13

takes 50:24 53:5,17

taking 8:15,25 14:17 60:11 140:2 168:9 184:16 199:18

talk

13:16,19 23:15 25:9, 14 37:18 45:20 83:7 95:21 189:10

talked

22:2,7,10 25:11,18 28:7 58:3 197:20,22, 25



talking

41:23 42:21 44:9 46:8 48:24,25 61:13 62:11 63:8 68:1 82:4 101:10 127:16,24 129:20 130:6,9 132:10 137:18 144:24 146:8 157:12 168:17 171:10

target

43:18 88:13 89:19

181:20 185:6,8,9

186:11 191:17

tasks

174:14 175:4,22

86:10,14,18

technical 59:2 81:15

technically

87:2,3

telephone

166:17,20

television

23:25 24:13 25:25

tellina

56:16 98:22 124:20 183:25 192:1

term

60:20,21,22,23 87:19

terminate

167:7,8,19

terms

44:18 83:3,8 131:25 139:19

testified

7:19 16:18

testify 127:5

testifying

11:5

testimony

9:1,2 10:16 11:3 13:7,8 14:19 16:17, 20,21 17:8,21,22 149:19 162:10

190:18 193:10 196:21 200:22

thank

7:25 19:25 91:11 115:17 125:8 149:22 180:1 189:17 194:3 200:15

them's

79:22

themselves

6:16

thereafter

29:13 53:25 107:9, 21 124:6

thing

36:8,11 54:9 56:16 71:13 79:16 82:10 113:24 115:10 124:23,24 182:14 184:16 185:24

things

10:12,21 12:9 13:20 16:14 24:2,4,6,12 45:10 50:21,24 56:8 57:1,16 60:3 62:12 110:12 111:13 117:15 132:7,24 134:2 154:18,24 155:24 171:23 176:1,3,12,19 177:8 178:24 181:20 190:13

think

10:4,9 15:7 17:16 20:21 21:11 22:11, 13 23:1,23 24:19,20, 22 26:1,6,13,20 27:10,25 28:21 30:7, 21 31:12,20 32:19 33:10 34:7,11 35:18 37:16 42:20 46:21 47:2 49:9 51:3,14 52:1,10,18 53:4,9, 10,13,21 55:22 58:1, 13 59:18,19 61:8,12, 14 62:6,19 63:25 66:7 67:2 68:5,23 71:25 74:5 75:17,18 76:20 77:5,9,13 78:12,14 80:4 82:1, 9,19 83:5,25 84:4,7

86:7 89:8 91:24 92:24 93:19,20,22

94:12 95:2,13,21 96:23 99:15 105:2,4

106:15 107:17,20

114:13,20 115:6,9

116:24 117:7,18,20

120:19 121:24 122:1

126:11,12,22 128:23

123:17,19 124:16

135:12,14 136:13,

19,22 137:2,25

138:2,17,20,24

143:17 144:22

139:19,20 140:17

141:11,14 142:15

145:4,6,9,11,14,21

147:1,4,6,7 148:13

150:3,7 152:18,22,

25 154:15 155:2,11

160:9 163:10 166:22

167:5,13,25 170:5,6,

8,25 171:21 172:13

173:14,21 174:8

175:10 177:5,6,9

178:7 179:7 180:5

182:10 183:23

190:11 191:15

192:5,9 194:24

198:6,20,22,23

thinking

Thorndahl

7:3

though

thought

thoughts

95:20

thousand

195:6,11 197:25

60:2 100:4 115:23

41:2 125:1 138:3

19:8 22:18 23:19

88:15 95:15,16

174:10 181:10

24:3,25 25:4 30:22

100:9 111:22 139:10

187:16 188:3,22

156:2 157:2,16

108:24 111:5

113:15,17,19

119:1,6,16,22

threw

153:17

through

11:13 39:8 55:2,13 72:16 102:19 116:18 120:4 146:24 175:16 182:6 185:10,11 187:5 197:18

throughout

throwing

61:3 66:18

thrust

102:17

thus

196:22

ticket

72:6 184:15

tie

50:6 51:16,21

time

6:6 8:7 9:19.20 10:4. 9 11:7,17,24,25 12:11 14:1 15:6 16:21 17:10 18:17 20:3,24 21:24 22:7, 11,23 23:1 27:15,23 28:16 34:19,25 35:9 39:20,25 40:5 53:15 58:13 63:2,25 64:14 74:13 78:6,14,22,25 79:24 86:1 90:14,21 91:8 92:11 94:2 99:17,25 100:5 103:12 104:17 107:12,19 109:22 110:20 120:9,10 134:25 142:25

145:11 149:2,13,16,

85:23

thousands

136:25 137:4

141:25

throw

154:21

157:19

tied

157:19

Tim

19 162:21 166:5 168:9,11,21 172:5 176:22 178:6 185:24 190:19 191:1 196:16,19,21 198:12 199:20 200:23

timeframe

27:5.6 108:19

times

8:5,6 114:3 187:13

title

35:19 40:6 76:3 107:18 111:7

titles 47:11,14

today

6:4 13:1 14:13,19 16:18 17:9 30:9 35:21 46:4 66:10,16 73:3,4 74:3 181:4

today's

199:17 200:21

together

36:13 54:12,14,16 81:7 87:25 88:8 100:13 122:2 141:24

told

12:8 26:4 27:7,11,13 29:2 42:24 49:6 58:5,12 72:21 78:3 97:19 112:21 168:25 173:6 175:8 185:4 193:3 197:16 198:6, 7,12

Tom

7:11 38:22 39:10,19 84:18 85:24 88:3 152:13,25 153:14 158:5,19

tomorrow

98:23

took

22:5 26:12 27:18 73:23 105:17 113:10 118:25 119:1.5 124:7 129:10 159:10 180:7,25 185:14,19

top



55:20 182:13 9:14 ultimately 82:15 85:2 193:20,25 194:3 88:18 100:17 103:3 196:16,19,23 200:18 unusual topics trying 104:8 114:4 115:2 175:15 41:19 48:17 50:13 11:15 videotape 55:1 57:3 62:25 10:14 um-hum total upon 64:18 75:5 81:2,6 17:4 23:18 38:7 63:6 153:5,22 13:18 41:16 43:8 videotaped 88:2,14 93:21 95:23, 46:11,13 65:23 6:5 200:19 178:11 towels 24 98:6 99:17 71:20 94:18.21 184:22 100:22 102:8 109:13 97:21 98:8 110:14 usually view 112:23 114:3 128:22 113:13 133:4 143:13 93:1 163:11 51:7 track 144:23 147:3 130:23 141:24 46:17 119:17 195:3 Utah violation 142:10 143:8 144:15 unable 74:16,22 127:1 42:19 58:25 63:20 178:8 181:15 185:6 traded 199:19 79:23 107:25 108:1 190:13,14 191:20 vivid 77:2 109:21 110:13.18 194:24 196:1 unanimous 19:2 train 119:19 120:19 121:6 107:5 155:20 195:4 tubes 140:6 143:1 145:17 Volume 79:14 unannounced 146:3,25 147:2 200:18 training 72:5 148:5,8,14,19 **Tucker** 73:18 155:21 Vons 137:19 138:3.17 Undeniably 66:1 transaction ٧ 75:11 109:19 turn vote 101:2 155:19 underhanded 107:3,5 158:25 vague transactional 82:17 85:3 163:4,6,9 164:16 turned 45:25 174:16 188:4 88:7 148:4 170:19 100:12 192:15 underneath value transactions 61:24 voted TV 44:23 86:4 108:8 110:3 122:23 157:3 162:25 25:25 144:9,11,12 understand valued 168:12.21 transcript 9:18,19,24 12:9 20:2 86:7 two 16:9,11,12 32:23 37:19 41:19 votes 8:5.6 12:23 31:11 45:12 62:16 66:20 Vegas 164:24 **Treasury** 46:16 61:7 66:13 67:22 109:6 118:17 6:1,9,12 33:21 54:24 86:15,24 79:21 84:15 89:6 voting 119:13,14 127:7 79:6 99:3 122:4 98:25 101:4 110:18 150:24 151:2 169:12 treated 145:8 146:23 162:10 149:7 200:22 167:12 171:16.25 15:12 193:9 196:1 VΡ 185:21 venture treatment 121:13,19 understanding 38:12 type 15:13 17:19 21:21 54:25 14:16,18 30:1 48:7 ventures 159:5 171:3 W trial 65:10 67:10 71:7 38:9 16:22 160:10 164:25 understood verbatim wait trick 9:21 167:13 168:2 typical 16:9 60:25 103:6 127:17 144:15 58:10 underway 135:1 verge 69:9,21 90:15 trip typically 117:2 waiting 115:23 49:18 51:10 57:5,9, unequivocally 75:6 vested 12 58:3 71:8 166:13 75:11 trouble 154:6,12 walk 71:4 95:21 United 55:12 vice U 86:15 true 39:15 52:14 114:17 walking 65:9 161:4 166:23 unquestionably 187:5 **Uh-huh** Video 188:24 75:11 184:20 6:9 200:23 wall truth unsuccessful 32:6,18 66:18 uh-huhs videographer 191:13 78:11 10:20 6:4,8 78:22,25 Walter truthfully untoward 149:13,16,20 7:7



Zeb's

33:11

Wanted
58:6 92:1 137:13
143:5 161:7 183:16

189:8

warehouses

67:4

warm

151:5

warranted

169:8,12

watch

134:10

7:4,10 18:1,7 79:10, 11 86:25 87:2,11 89:3,6 93:5,6,8

94:17 96:21 99:13

110:1,2 113:2,4,8 119:9,23 135:15

138:8 140:15,23

149:25 150:17

156:22 157:2 159:22

169:15,19 170:4,11 171:14,16,24 181:17

186:6

wavelength

55:23 67:24

ways

26:15

Weber

111:10

week

23:10

week-by-week

21:23

weekends

135:17

weekly

47:15,25 49:6 54:6

weeks

98:12 185:21

Welch

7:11 38:22,23,25 39:3,6,22 40:1 84:20.23 85:1.7.10 88:4 89:12 150:13 153:15,16 158:5,19 163:9 189:22

Welch's

39:13 40:10 welcome

139:11

West

31:10,12,13 94:8,11 96:22 103:3,4,20 104:20 138:8 142:2,

3,6,9

whatever

55:7 57:24 65:10 72:7 78:8 87:19 97:18 101:8 102:3, 23 111:15 113:21

123:3 128:13 133:11 137:3 148:3 150:11 178:24 183:15 186:4

187:4 196:15

whatsoever 21:17 27:3

whereupon

200:25

wherever

53:24

whether 23:7 41:17 43:15 47:25 57:23 74:12 75:24 81:18 82:8,9

86:22 92:19 111:14

134:11 174:2

wife 30:18

wild

12:4

Wilkinson

155:22

Williams

6:11,19,22,25 7:2

willing

143:5,9

wisdom

12:12 132:21

wish

16:2

withdraw

26:10 28:10 51:5 62:15 64:21 65:13 91:2 122:21 129:2 148:7

witnessed

12:7

wood 57:24

Woodhouse

152:4 155:18 157:4

195:2

word

23:8,14 87:3 124:17 126:21 172:23

words

105:3 116:5

work

48:3 75:4 78:3,6 112:23 120:16 126:14 132:24 143:9

171:4 177:12 178:8 183:3,4 187:20

193:19

worked

32:20 39:10 40:7 75:4 80:10 85:24 98:21 121:2 158:5 165:17 169:20,21 170:1,3,8,24 171:1

176:16 193:17

workers

184:10

working 32:1 171:24 178:22. 25 186:3 188:1

world

123:8

worry

102:21

worth

44:17,23 63:5 81:4

Wright

73:25

write

72:6

writing

83:21

wrong

31:17 97:24 99:6 120:20 124:23

wrongly

26:7

Wyoming

147:5.10

Υ

year

34:10 46:6 63:4,12 66:14 68:12 91:2,7, 22 96:4 109:14 136:16,21,23 161:23,25 162:8

166:13,23 182:11

year's

189:14.16

61:16

years

8:11 10:24 32:8,20 35:6,12 36:5 38:17 39:11,18,19 44:9 54:24 59:2 64:4 66:11,12 68:11

72:21 76:5 77:7 93:1

108:9 110:19 111:13,25 126:7 136:13 137:24

139:17 160:2,5,7,9 163:21 165:17

169:21 170:1,4,8,9

171:11

yet 44:19 118:7

young

16:8 22:19 53:4 79:21 165:16

vourself

152:19 195:23

Ζ

Z-A-M-P-E-D-R-I

50:4

Zampedri

49:24 51:17 74:6



Gardner vs Henderson Water Park, LLC, et al.

Videotaped Deposition of

ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, VOL. 2

May 08, 2018



702.8Rocket (702.876.2538) www.RocketReporters.com

1	DISTRICT COURT
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
3	
4	PETER GARDNER and CHRISTIAN GARDNER, on behalf of minor
5	child, LELAND GARDNER,
6	Plaintiffs,
7	vs. CASE NO. A-15-722259-C
8	HENDERSON WATER PARK, LLC dba VOLUME 2 COWABUNGA BAY WATER PARK, a
9	Nevada limited liability company; WEST COAST WATER PARKS, LLC, a
10	Nevada limited liability company; DOUBLE OTT WATER HOLDINGS, LLC,
11	a Utah limited liability company; ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, an individual;
12	SLADE OPHEIKENS, an individual; CHET OPHEIKENS, an individual;
13	/
14	///
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ORLUFF OPHEIKENS
17	Taken at the offices of Campbell & Williams
18	on Tuesday, May 8, 2018
19	at 9:29 a.m.
20	at 700 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada
21	Las vegas, nevada
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by: Denise R. Kelly, CCR #252, RPR



ou.	dici vo i chacison vvater i aik, LLO, et ai.		20020
	page 205		page 207
1	SHANE HUISH, an individual; SCOTT	1	APPEARANCES (CONT'D):
	HUISH, an individual; CRAIG HUISH,	2 3	For Defendants Scott Huish and Craig Huish:
2	an individual; TOM WELCH, an	3	KIMBERLY BEAL, ESQ. ROGERS, MASTRANGELO, CARVALHO & MITCHELL
	individual; DOES I through X,	4	700 South Third Street
3	inclusive; ROE Corporations I		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
	through X, inclusive; and ROE	5	702.383.3400
4	Limited Liability Company I		kbeal@rmcmlaw.com
	through X, inclusive,	6	
5		_	Also present:
	Defendants.	7	TOGERIL CAMP ATREOGRAPHER
6	/	8	JOSEPH CAMP, VIDEOGRAPHER LAS VEGAS LEGAL VIDEO
7	AND ALL RELATED CLAIMS	"	SLADE OPHEIKENS
•	/	9	SCOTT HUISH
8		10	
9		11	* * * *
10		12	
		13	INDEX
11		14	WITNESS PAGE ORLUFF OPHEIKENS
12		14	Examination by Mr. Campbell 7
13		15	Continued Examination by Mr. Campbell 211
14		16	* ***
15		17	
16		18	INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED
17			None
18		19 20	
19		20	
20		21	NO ANSWER PROVIDED BY THE DEPONENT
21		22	Page 44
22			Page 83
23		23	Page 211
24			Page 322
25		24	
23		25	
	page 206		page 208
1	APPEARANCES:	1	EXHIBITS
2	For the Plaintiffs: DONALD J. CAMPBELL, ESQ.	2	(Exhibit Nos. 1 through 38 were marked
•	SAMUEL R. MIRKOVICH, ESQ.	3	in prior depositions.)
4	PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ.		
_	GARRETT LOGAN, LAW CLERK	4	DESCRIPTION PAGE
5	CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South Seventh Street	5	Exhibit 39 - Copies of Photographs of
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	6	Ribbon Cutting at Cowabunga
	702.382.5222	7	Bay with identifications
7	djc@cwlawlv.com		•
8	srm@cwlawlv.com pre@cwlawlv.com	8	of people in the photos by
9	For Defendant Henderson Water Park:	9	Orluff Opheinkens 261
10	VINCENT M. GODINHO, ESQ.	10	
	THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER		
11	1100 East Bridger Avenue	11	
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.366.0622	12	
	vmg@thorndal.com	13	
13	-		
	For Defendants Double Ott and the Opheikens	14	
14	JOHN E. GORMLEY, ESQ.	15	
15	OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI	16	
	9950 West Cheyenne Avenue		
16	Las Vegas, Nevada 89129	17	
1.7	702.383 0701	18	
17 18	jgormley@ocgas.com For Defendant Shane Huish:	19	
19	WALTER F. FICK, ESQ.	20	
-	HALL, JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP		
20	7425 Peak Drive	21	
0.1	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128	22	
21	702.316.4111	23	
	wfick@lawhjc.com		
22		1 0 4	
22 23	///	24	
23 24	///	25	
23			



24 please, Ms. Kelly.

25 ///

			1
1	page 209 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, MAY 8, 2018,	1	ORLUFF OPHEIKENS,
2	9:29 A.M.	2	having been first duly sworn, was
3	* * * *	3	examined and testified as follows:
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today is	4	
5	May 8th, 2018. This begins Volume 2 of the videotaped	5	CONTINUED EXAMINATION
6	deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The time is	6	BY MR. CAMPBELL:
7	approximately 9:29 a.m.	7	Q. Good morning, Mr. Opheikens.
8	My name is Joseph Camp, court videographer	8	A. Good morning.
9	of Las Vegas Legal Video. And your court reporter is	9	Q. How are you, sir?
10	Denise Kelly of Rocket Reporters.	10	Please tell me all that you did between
11	We are located at Campbell & Williams,	11	Friday and today to prepare for this deposition.
12	700 South Seventh Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101.	12	A. A lot of sleeping. I did have a meeting
13	This is District Court, Clark County,	13	with John Sunday for about an hour and a half or so.
14	Nevada, Case No. A-15-722259, entitled Peter Gardner	14	That was pretty much it.
15	and Christian Gardner, individually and on behalf of a	15	Q. Okay. Tell me all that was discussed in
16	minor child, Leland Gardner, plaintiffs, versus	16	that meeting with Mr. Gormley in that hour and a half.
17	Henderson Water Park, LLC, doing business as	17	(No answer provided by deponent.)
18	Cowabunga Bay Water Park, a Nevada limited liability	18	MR. GORMLEY: I would object and instruct
19	company, et al., defendants, and all related matters.	19	you not to answer that question.
20	Will counsel please state your appearances	20	MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Under Coyote
21	for the record and the court reporter will administer	21	Springs, I'm entitled to that information. You asked
22	the oath.	22	for a recess. The recess was granted to you. And
23	MR. CAMPBELL: Donald Jude Campbell,	23	accordingly, anything that occurs during that, that
24	Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of	24	recess is subject to being discovered by us in these
25	plaintiffs.	25	proceedings.
			1 6
	page 210		
1	page 210 MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich,	1	page 212 MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in
1 2			MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer.
l _	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich,		page 212 MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in
2	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell &	2 3	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer.
2 3	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs.	2 3	page 212 MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law
2 3 4	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell &	2 3 4	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and
2 3 4 5	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs.	2 3 4 5	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe &	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	page 212 MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	page 212 MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish. MR. OPHEINKENS: Slade Opheikens.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of. Q. Were any documents read to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish. MR. OPHEINKENS: Slade Opheikens. MR. GORMLEY: John Gormley, Olson Cannon &	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of. Q. Were any documents read to you? A. No. We just discussed. I mean, as much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish. MR. OPHEINKENS: Slade Opheikens. MR. GORMLEY: John Gormley, Olson Cannon & Gormley, on behalf of Double Ott and the Opheikens.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of. Q. Were any documents read to you? A. No. We just discussed. I mean, as much as anything, they thought I did an okay, you know, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish. MR. OPHEINKENS: Slade Opheikens. MR. GORMLEY: John Gormley, Olson Cannon & Gormley, on behalf of Double Ott and the Opheikens. MR. CAMPBELL: There is no appearance by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of. Q. Were any documents read to you? A. No. We just discussed. I mean, as much as anything, they thought I did an okay, you know, I was doing okay. I'm sorry I was crashed and burned.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish. MR. OPHEINKENS: Slade Opheikens. MR. GORMLEY: John Gormley, Olson Cannon & Gormley, on behalf of Double Ott and the Opheikens. MR. CAMPBELL: There is no appearance by the Thorndahl firm. We have waited now 30 minutes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of. Q. Were any documents read to you? A. No. We just discussed. I mean, as much as anything, they thought I did an okay, you know, I was doing okay. I'm sorry I was crashed and burned. I was so tired I could hardly function. I appreciate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish. MR. OPHEINKENS: Slade Opheikens. MR. GORMLEY: John Gormley, Olson Cannon & Gormley, on behalf of Double Ott and the Opheikens. MR. CAMPBELL: There is no appearance by the Thorndahl firm. We have waited now 30 minutes. We called them, talked to them, they said that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of. Q. Were any documents read to you? A. No. We just discussed. I mean, as much as anything, they thought I did an okay, you know, I was doing okay. I'm sorry I was crashed and burned. I was so tired I could hardly function. I appreciate that you let me have some time off.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish. MR. OPHEINKENS: Slade Opheikens. MR. GORMLEY: John Gormley, Olson Cannon & Gormley, on behalf of Double Ott and the Opheikens. MR. CAMPBELL: There is no appearance by the Thorndahl firm. We have waited now 30 minutes. We called them, talked to them, they said that they did not have this on calendar or it was otherwise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of. Q. Were any documents read to you? A. No. We just discussed. I mean, as much as anything, they thought I did an okay, you know, I was doing okay. I'm sorry I was crashed and burned. I was so tired I could hardly function. I appreciate that you let me have some time off. Q. My pleasure. My question, though, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish. MR. OPHEINKENS: Slade Opheikens. MR. GORMLEY: John Gormley, Olson Cannon & Gormley, on behalf of Double Ott and the Opheikens. MR. CAMPBELL: There is no appearance by the Thorndahl firm. We have waited now 30 minutes. We called them, talked to them, they said that they did not have this on calendar or it was otherwise inappropriately removed. We told them that we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of. Q. Were any documents read to you? A. No. We just discussed. I mean, as much as anything, they thought I did an okay, you know, I was doing okay. I'm sorry I was crashed and burned. I was so tired I could hardly function. I appreciate that you let me have some time off. Q. My pleasure. My question, though, is whether or not any documents were read to you or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish. MR. OPHEINKENS: Slade Opheikens. MR. GORMLEY: John Gormley, Olson Cannon & Gormley, on behalf of Double Ott and the Opheikens. MR. CAMPBELL: There is no appearance by the Thorndahl firm. We have waited now 30 minutes. We called them, talked to them, they said that they did not have this on calendar or it was otherwise inappropriately removed. We told them that we are proceeding, but we would wait until 9:30.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of. Q. Were any documents read to you? A. No. We just discussed. I mean, as much as anything, they thought I did an okay, you know, I was doing okay. I'm sorry I was crashed and burned. I was so tired I could hardly function. I appreciate that you let me have some time off. Q. My pleasure. My question, though, is whether or not any documents were read to you or portions of documents read to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish. MR. OPHEINKENS: Slade Opheikens. MR. GORMLEY: John Gormley, Olson Cannon & Gormley, on behalf of Double Ott and the Opheikens. MR. CAMPBELL: There is no appearance by the Thorndahl firm. We have waited now 30 minutes. We called them, talked to them, they said that they did not have this on calendar or it was otherwise inappropriately removed. We told them that we are proceeding, but we would wait until 9:30. That time has expired, and accordingly we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of. Q. Were any documents read to you? A. No. We just discussed. I mean, as much as anything, they thought I did an okay, you know, I was doing okay. I'm sorry I was crashed and burned. I was so tired I could hardly function. I appreciate that you let me have some time off. Q. My pleasure. My question, though, is whether or not any documents were read to you or portions of documents read to you. A. I don't think so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MIRKOVICH: Samuel Mirkovich, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. ERWIN: Phil Erwin, Campbell & Williams, appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. LOGAN: Garrett Logan, Campbell & Williams, on behalf of the plaintiffs. MR. FICK: Walter Fick, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, on behalf of Shane Huish. MS. BEAL: Kimberly Beal, Rogers Masterangelo, on behalf of defendant Scott Huish. MR. OPHEINKENS: Slade Opheikens. MR. GORMLEY: John Gormley, Olson Cannon & Gormley, on behalf of Double Ott and the Opheikens. MR. CAMPBELL: There is no appearance by the Thorndahl firm. We have waited now 30 minutes. We called them, talked to them, they said that they did not have this on calendar or it was otherwise inappropriately removed. We told them that we are proceeding, but we would wait until 9:30.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GORMLEY: No case law says that in Nevada. I instruct him not to answer. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, the case law is pretty clear on it, it's Coyote Springs, and MR. GORMLEY: I know the case. MR. CAMPBELL: You can direct him not to and we will file our motion to compel. MR. GORMLEY: Okay. BY MR. CAMPBELL: Q. Did you review any documents? A. No. Not, not that I can think of. Q. Were any documents read to you? A. No. We just discussed. I mean, as much as anything, they thought I did an okay, you know, I was doing okay. I'm sorry I was crashed and burned. I was so tired I could hardly function. I appreciate that you let me have some time off. Q. My pleasure. My question, though, is whether or not any documents were read to you or portions of documents read to you.



preparing once again for your deposition in thismatter during the course of the recess that was

granted at your request? different, Mr. Opheikens. Who was this document by Yeah, the only thing I did was sit and

page 213

- 3
- MR. GORMLEY: Let me just check the 4
- 5 record. I advised Mr. Campbell that the client was 5 O.

page 214

- 6 very tired and could have difficulty going on.
- 7 Mr. Campbell asked the client whether,
- Mr. Opheikens, whether or not he could continue a 8
- 9 little further. He said he could. And Mr. Campbell
- graciously, because of the circumstances, said we will
- 11 adjourn the deposition.

2

3 talk.

4

- 12 So, as opposed to me adjourning a
- 13 deposition or walking out. I think it seemed to be
- mutually agreed upon between the parties, I think
- 15 generally is a fair statement of what took place.
- MR. CAMPBELL: I recognize that everything 16
- 17 is on the record, and I'm not going to engage in a
- 18 debate that is clearly reflected in the record.
- 19 (Mr. Scott Huish enters the proceedings.)
- 20 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 21 So, what was it that you viewed before you
- 22 came to your deposition for the first time on Friday?
- 23 A. Well, okay, there was some documents to do
- 24 with Haas & Wilkerson. There was a letter from Morton
- 25 Insurance.

25

- 2 Haas & Wilkerson prepared for? It was prepared for
- Cowabunga Bay?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Okay. And do you know who the principals
- 6 were that prepared this document?
- 7 Okay. The principals of Cowabunga Bay,
- 8 you mean?
- 9 Q. No, sir. No. The people that prepared
- 10 this from Haas & Wilkerson.
- 11 A. No, but if you -- I'm sure you have a copy
- **12** of it. If you were to give me a copy of it, I'm sure
- 13 we could find their, their names are on the documents.
- 14 Did you ever meet with any of those
- 15 individuals?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 O. Okay. And what was the letter from the
- 18 insurance company that you reviewed in preparation for
- 19 your deposition?
- 20 Morton Insurance had a safety officer that
- 21 they send out. They go out sometimes and check our
- jobs. And we asked them to come down and just again
- walk through the park, and, you know, help us to make
- 24 sure everything was safe.
- 25 Okay. When you say, "They help us check

page 216

- our jobs," who are you referring to when you use the 2 word "our"? R&O?
 - Yes. R&O's insurance company.
- 4 And are they Cowabunga Bay's insurance Q.
- 5 company?

3

15

- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Okay. Who is Cowabunga Bay's insurance
- 8 company?
- 9 Lance. I can't think of his last name.
- 10 And I also can't remember the name of the insurance
- company. It's an, it was an insurance company that
- the Huishes had worked with for years. He was their
- 13 agent. I think the request might have been made by
- 14 the Huish. I'm not, I'm not positive.
 - And where are they based? Q.
- 16 A. The Huish?
- 17 O. No, sir. The insurance company, Lance's
- 18 insurance company?
- 19 I think they are -- you know, I don't
- 20 know. I would be guessing.
- 21 Well, is there some reasonable basis upon
- 22 which you believe that they may be located in one
- 23 particular state as opposed to another?
- 24 Well, what run through my mind is that
 - Scott Huish is from up in the northeast. So I thought

MR. GORMLEY: Should we add that Mr. Huish 1 iust arrived? 2 3 THE WITNESS: We went over -- I had some, I'm going to call it documentation, which was the lawsuit that you filed, I believe, at -- where you guys were at last. What is that called? 7 MR. GORMLEY: Supreme Court? 8 THE WITNESS: Supreme Court, yeah. 9 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 10 O. Anything else? 11 A. Not that I can think of. 12 What was the Haas & Wilkerson documents? 13 That was an audit that was prepared by 14 Haas & Wilkerson when they came to the park right just shortly after the park opened. I think it was like about a 150-page document. And they went through and made a list of all the things they found that needed 17 18 to be taken care of. I think of it as kind of a 19 punchlist for the contractors. And then a list of 20 safety issues to do with the water park itself. 21 And who is this prepared for? 22 Well, we -- I believe, Slade requested that the insurance company send somebody out just sort 24 of a double check to make sure that the park was safe.

Okay. But my question is a little bit



page 219

1 well, maybe that's where the insurance is -- or northwest, I'm sorry. So I just assumed, but I actually don't know. 3

Okay. You said that you also reviewed 4 documentation as to when the lawsuit was filed. Do you mean to say that you reviewed the Complaint, the 7 actual lawsuit that was filed and the allegations that

8 were stated therein?

> A. Yes. Yes.

10 Okay. And then you also reviewed certain Q. 11 briefs that went to the Supreme Court, is that

12 correct, in support of our claims that were being

13 advanced?

9

14 A. I'm not familiar with the term briefs.

15 And I, I'm not clear what they were, but --16

And I'm glad that you --

17 Α. But it was a number of pages, okay.

18 Okay. All right. And were these written 19 materials that were advancing a particular position of

20 either --

21 Α. Yes, sir.

22 Q. -- of either the plaintiffs or the

23 defendants?

24 Α. Yes, sir.

25 All right. Did you review both the Q.

no recollection. 1

2

5

9

14

17

19

21

March did you say 14th?

3 MR. GORMLEY: 5th.

4 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

No. I said March the 5th of 2014.

6 Okay. So that would have been just before

7 the park opened the first year, correct?

8 That is correct.

> I'm wondering -- I'm not saying there A.

10 wasn't a meeting, I have no recollection of a meeting.

11 All right. And, again, you're not aware

of any minutes having been created that memorialize 12

what occurred at that meeting, are you? 13

MR. GORMLEY: Object. Lack of foundation.

15 He doesn't remember --

16 THE WITNESS: I -- no.

MR. GORMLEY: -- the meeting.

18 Go ahead, you can answer the question.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm going to object to

speaking objections once again.

MR. GORMLEY: Sounds like --

22 MR. CAMPBELL: I'd like to, I'd like to

23 keep track of speaking objections, please, on a

separate disk. Thank you.

25 ///

3

page 218

plaintiffs' materials and the defendants' materials as

to what they were arguing in the Supreme Court?

3 No. I don't believe so. I think it was

4 basically here's what -- the way I would say is here is what they are thinking you guys did or didn't do or

6 accusing you of, I suppose.

7 Okay. Did you also review the Supreme

Court's orders that were issued in this case? 8

9 A. No. I don't think so.

10 Mr. Opheikens, on March the 5th, 2014,

there was a meeting of the management committee. Do

12 you recall that meeting?

13 A. No. sir.

18

14 MR. GORMLEY: Do you have a copy of the

15 meeting notes to refresh his recollection?

BY MR. CAMPBELL: 16

17 Q. With respect to --

MR. GORMLEY: I guess not.

19 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

20 Q. With respect to that meeting, was there

21 any agenda that had been prepared?

22 I, I'm not aware. I have no recollection

23 of the meeting. I'm not saying there wasn't one. But

24 if you did have some, an agenda, then I would have a

25 better idea what we are talking about. But I, I have

BY MR. CAMPBELL: 1

2 Go ahead. Q.

> I don't, I don't know of any minutes. A.

4 Okay. Do you know of anyone that kept any Q.

5 minutes of that meeting?

6 Α. No.

7 All right. Do you recall that that

particular meeting involved the necessity of obtaining

the approval of the health department with respect to

10 a lifeguard plan?

11 MR. GORMLEY: Same objection. Asked and

12 answered.

13 THE WITNESS: I don't, I don't remember

sitting in a meeting like that.

BY MR. CAMPBELL: 15

16 When you say "sitting in a meeting like

17 that," what does that mean?

18 Well, you asked me if I was in a meeting

that had something to do with a lifeguard plan. I

have -- I don't remember anything like that.

21 Did you ever sit in on any meeting where a

22 lifeguard plan was discussed in any matter generally

23 or specifically?

24 A. No.

> O. You seem very confident in that regard.



I, I just have no recollection about a 1 2 lifeguard plan.

3 Okay. Do you recall being present at a meeting the following month, specifically on

April 10th, 2014, again before the park opened, where

that lifeguard plan was again discussed? 6

MR. GORMLEY: Same objection.

8 THE WITNESS: No. I don't. But I wonder if you're confusing construction meetings with

Cowabunga meetings. 10

11 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

12 No, sir. I'm not. O.

13 Okay. I, I don't recall. Α.

14 O. Okay. Moving on to yet another meeting

15 that took place on May 1st and May 2nd. Again,

16 this --

7

17 MR. GORMLEY: 2014?

18 MR. CAMPBELL: Excuse me. Allow me to

19 make my record.

BY MR. CAMPBELL:

21 Again, this is in 2014.

22 MR. GORMLEY: Thank you.

23 THE WITNESS: Okay.

24 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

25 Q. Okay?

page 222

1

14

15

16

8

9

10

11

All right.

2 Q. Do you recall being present at that 3 meeting?

Α.

1

4 No, I don't. A.

5 Do you recall any discussion with respect Q.

to lifeguards? 6

7 No.

8 (Mr. Godinho enters the proceedings.)

9 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

10 Do you recall seeing any communications in

any written form whether in text or an email with 11

12 respect to lifeguards?

13 A. No.

14 Do you recall with respect to --

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Let's go ahead and make a

16 record who has now appeared.

17 MR. GODINHO: Vincent Godinho on behalf of

18 Henderson Water Park, LLC.

19 MR. HUISH: Scott Huish.

20 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

21 Do you ever recall any issue with respect

22 to the, the issue of liquor being served and any

impact that that might have with respect to

24 lifeguards?

25

A. Okay. Could you ask that again, please. Do you ever recall ever attending any

2 meeting at which the issue of liquor being served and

3 the impact that that might have on lifeguards?

4 A. I, I remember that subject coming up. I

don't remember where, I don't remember when. I don't

know if it might have been just a conversation at the

park, but I do remember the subject.

8 Taking all the time you feel is necessary to do so, please detail for me all that you can recall

10 regarding your communications received and considered

by you, or otherwise commented on, regarding that

subject matter, specifically the service of liquor at

13 the park and the possible impact upon lifeguards.

Okay. In English you want me to tell you everything I remember; is that correct?

On that subject matter, that is correct.

17 Well, I vaguely remember that we had 18 concerns about liquor by the drink, but then we are

from Utah so I guess that's kind of natural.

20 We didn't -- I had nothing to do with

21 that. I recall making an application or being

involved with, you know, with that subject. I know

23 that the operations management were wanting to obtain

24 a license and serve I thought it was beer. But does

liquor mean beer? Anyway, that's pretty much all I

page 224

can recall. 1

2 Okay. My question wasn't directed with respect to what your particular involvement was from the standpoint of obtaining a liquor license or what actions you took in that regard. Rather, a specific conversation that you told me that you had with respect to the issue. So that's what I'm asking you 7

about. Who did you have the conversation with?

I, I don't know that I had a conversation.

But I think I was more overheard a conversation with

Shane, and I'm going to call it on the tarmac or 12

13 whatever out there where they serve the food court, as

14 I recall. That they were wanting to apply for it. I

don't remember exactly when that took place. I don't

even remember what year it took place. But I, I just

17 remember that bit of information.

18 And what was the, what was the component 19 of the discussion with respect to impact on

20 lifeguards?

21 A. I, I don't remember any conversation like 22 that.

23 Have you had a sufficient amount of time

24 upon which to reflect upon the question and to answer

25 it?



page 228

I believe so.

1

2 O. As a general matter, did you face any particular challenges in getting Cowabunga Bay open 4 and running in the spring of 2014?

MR. GORMLEY: Objection. Vague and 5 ambiguous. Overbroad. 6 7

THE WITNESS: Does that mean answer?

8 MR. GORMLEY: You can answer.

9 THE WITNESS: Okav.

10 Okay. There was a, there were a lot of 11 hurdles to jump to get the park opened. We had a lot of problems getting the slides and the, and the towers **12** in place. Just in general just getting the park built 13

was very difficult.

15 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

16 And that's what I'm asking you about. I'm 17 asking specifically what those challenges or what 18 those difficulties were. Share with me all that you 19 can recall of what those difficulties or challenges 20 were in the spring of 2014 in getting the park open.

21 Okay. I'm trying to isolate in my mind now '14 from '15. Because it just seems like it went 22 23 on forever.

24 But '14, when we were originally trying to get the park open, the slides, as I recall, the slides Q. Go ahead and answer my question.

As I recall, we -- in fact, I know we Α.

3 didn't get that slide open. We couldn't get it built.

So we opened the park with that one ride not 5 available.

6 Were there any other challenges in getting 7 the park opened for the 2014 season?

8 I'm sure there was a lot. I mean, everything is a challenge when you're trying to build 9 10 a park. But I don't specifically know what you're wanting me to talk about.

12 Any challenges that you encountered in the 13 opening of the park in the 2014 season, whatever they 14

MR. GORMLEY: Overbroad.

16 But you can answer the question to the

17 best of your ability.

THE WITNESS: I think I just did. I think 18 19 that was our biggest issue.

20 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

> O. You can't recall any others?

Not off, not off the top of my head. Α.

22

23 MR. GORMLEY: He didn't ask the biggest

24 issue, he said any issue with anybody, I think. 25

THE WITNESS: Are you trying to ask me

page 226

page 225

1

2

15

21

5

18

21

1 were -- okay, when I say slides, I mean the Fiberglas

slides were laying out in the parking lot. So the whole parking lot was asphalted and the slides had

4 been sitting there for six months or more.

When it came time to erect them, it became 5 a problem of their steel wasn't there. And by steel, I mean the towers that hold the slides up. I mean, you can't have a slide if you don't have a column or a steel tower to hold it up there.

9 10 When that, so when it became clear, it --11 the people from Turkey, I think Pauline furnished all 12 that stuff, and so we had to get the columns here. 13 They sent them across the sea on barges or whatever 14 you call them and they would get stuck in port down in 15 Los Angeles. So there was just a lot of frustration and effort getting that stuff here. 16

17 Then when it got here, the steel columns were not properly welded. So basically, they were --19 we had to bring the inspectors in from the inspection 20 department and go over them and then get some local welders to restructure them and redo them. And it's just never ending time, these time issues. 22

23 And so in the end we got -- when we did get the park opened, the big blue slide out there on 25 the main road -- do you know what I'm referring to?

something in particular? 1

BY MR. CAMPBELL:

3 Sir, I think my questions are very 4 straightforward, direct, intelligible.

Yes. sir.

6 And I, and I think you've answered it. If you're telling me that the only challenges that you

can recall in getting the park open were those

regarding the erection of a slide, then that's what it

10 is. If you're telling me that you believe there are 11 others, I would ask you to articulate what those

12 challenges were in 2014, in the spring of 2014 in

13 getting the park open.

14 Okay. So in addition to that, I suppose 15 then it's the construction of the, of the project. I 16 mean, there is cement --

17 Q. Right.

-- being poured. There is the piping. Α.

19 But I think by then we pretty much had most of the

20 piping underground.

> Q. Okay.

22 So there is the compaction of that, and

then, you know, the concrete is being poured. And all

of this was back on fast track after having been shut

25 down for some time.



O. Okay. A. So I would say in general it's all that

3 construction progress.

1

2

4

Were there any other issues that were

nonconstruction related that presented challenges in 5 6 opening the park in 2014?

7 A. Okay. So I'm thinking in terms of

8 construction Cowabunga Bay, okay. They were, they

were over in their trailer, so to speak, trying to get

10 permits and approvals, whatever that means. I mean, I 11 know they were working hard on I think advertising. I

12 don't think those things, though, were holding up

opening. I think holding up opening was probably us 14 getting the project completed so it could open.

15 All right. So as you sit here today, the only challenges that you can personally recall in the

spring of 2014 that were impactful in some fashion on 17

18 the opening of the park were those related to the

19 actual construction?

Α.

I believe so.

21 0. Okay. Have you had a sufficient amount of

22 time upon which to reflect on that question and answer

23 it?

20

24 A. I think so.

25 I would like to move now to the 2015 like February or something like that. But anyway, and

at that -- the one thing I do remember -- I get the

3 years so mixed up.

It's like my brain is stuck. '15? Let me 5 think of it in terms of months.

So --

6

11

12

7 MR. GORMLEY: Can you restate the

8 question, maybe that will trigger something, if you

would, please.

10 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

Q. Do you have the question in mind?

Okay, we would have opened in -- they

13 would have opened in about April on weekends.

14 You know, somewhere in there, one thing I 15 remember is Shane mentioned to me that he was, he was

on a committee with the -- had been on a committee

through the winter months working on the lifeguard, 17

18 the number of lifeguards and they had a committee. I

think it was the state health department.

20 And he -- he was concerned that, that the 21 number of lifeguards they had -- he had been from the

22 start, he was concerned about safety and that the

23 number of lifeguards typically around the nation was

24 in the neighborhood of seven or eight.

25 And, and he had met with -- had been

page 230

page 229

preseason. That would have been the 2015 -- the year

of 2015 would have been the first full season for

Cowabunga Bay, correct? 3

4 Yes. I think that's correct. The

previous year we opened without the blue slide. I'm

trying to remember if we opened up on time with the

7 blue slide. But I think in general the answer to your

8 question is correct.

Okay. What did the, what did the 10 management committee do to prepare for the 2015

11 season?

13

15

12 A. Well --

MR. GORMLEY: Object. Vague and

14 ambiguous. Overbroad.

Go ahead, you can answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay. The operations group 16

17 is doing, is doing most of the work at that point.

Shane and those guys are just busy as can be cleaning

19 the park and getting everything ready for their grand

20 opening. You wanted to know about the other.

21 You know, at some point, and I don't, I

22 can't put a date on it by memory, but I'm guessing

23 or -- you know, I think we, I think we had a meeting

and that there would have been a budget. What I don't 25 remember is whether that was spring. Might have been

page 232 meeting with this committee. And that they had, I

don't know, they had agreed to give him a variance or a pass, something. And I remember saying to Shane,

"Well, Shane, whatever you do, don't jump the gun.

5 Make sure everything is proper before you, you know,

6 start changing your numbers."

7 And that was discussed in a meeting I 8 believe in maybe February or March, that might --

9 maybe that's the meeting you were asking me about.

10 The other things that maybe would have

11 been discussed is generally, you know, a budget would 12 come in which is basically -- what would you call it?

13 A speculation of what costs were going to be.

14 We really hadn't had a full year yet, so

15 it's hard to compare, you know, this year's cost with 16 last year's costs, because we really haven't had a

17 vear. We had about four months of, four-and-a-half

18 months or something like that of actual park

19 operation.

20 I don't recall what else would have been discussed. I mean, generally there is several items on the agenda. But I just don't remember what they 22

23 were. 24

Now, when you say that Shane had been concerned over the number of lifeguards that were



- being required, for what period of time had Shane been
- 2 concerned --
- 3 A. That --
- 4 Q. You have to wait until I finish my
- question. 5
- 6 A. Okav.
- 7 For what period of time had Shane been
- 8 concerned with respect to that issue?
- 9 That's, that's when I heard about it that
- 10 he was working with the health department.
- 11 But my question is, for what period of
- 12 time had he been concerned prior to him beginning to
- 13 work on it with the health department?
- 14 A. I, I don't have any idea.
- 15 Q. Would it, would it have been a matter of
- weeks or months or more than that? 16
- Do you mean since he had been meeting with 17
- 18 the health department?
- No. That it had been a concern to him? 19
- 20 Well, that's when I -- that was, that was
- 21 the one -- that's when I heard about it or that's when
- he told me about it. He -- I don't know if the word
- excited is the right word; but, you know, he felt
- 24 like, you know, he was making headway and he was, you
- know, happy about it.

- Well, do you recall what specific meeting 1
 - 2 of the management committee this was discussed, this
 - 3 subject matter of the lifeguards and then later at
 - some point the budget?
 - 5 I, I can't pin that down. I don't
 - 6 remember rather what month it is. Seemed to me like
 - it was a meeting in Salt Lake. But, but I -- I just
 - 8 don't really recall a date.
 - Okay. But you know it occurred to the
 - best of your recollection sometime in February or
 - 11 March of 2015?

9

12

17

- Well, I, I believe so. A.
- 13 Let me go back before the meeting that you
- believe that you attended at which the subject matters
- were discussed in February or March of 2015 and ask
- you about the year-end meeting in 2014. Okay?
 - Okay. Now, I think the year-end meeting
- 18 would be the same as --19
- That would be the October meeting that
- takes place at the year end after the closure of the
- 21 park in the previous year. You have one every year,
- 22 correct?
- 23 Well, what's hard, Mr. Campbell, is to say
- 24 that we did everything every year. We'd been --
- basically we opened and we haven't had years to say we

page 234

- 1 Now, insofar as him being concerned, share
- 2 with me what his concerns were.
- 3 Well, the only thing I, I recall him
- saying was that he was worried that if you had too
- many lifeguards standing around the wave pool that the
- lifeguards would be, you know, talking about last
- 7 night's hot date or something like that. That's
- really, really all I recall. That they are not paying
- attention to what they should be paying attention to.
 - Q. Anything else?
- 11 A. Not that I can think of.
- 12 You further stated that there was a
- speculation with respect to the budget and costs
- associated with the lifeguards. Share with me what
- that was. By that do you mean what the projections
- were in the budget for the lifeguards --16
- 17 A. No.

10

- 18 O. -- when you say speculation?
- 19 Nothing to do with the lifeguards. To do
- with the park. Just the, just to budget. Profit and
- loss, you know. I mean, like every other business is
- 22 run, they have a budget.
- 23 You know what, I don't have any
- recollection. I just know that if we had a meeting,
- we would have had something along that line.

- do everything. You know what I'm saying? 1
- 2 Okay. Then let me ask you a different Q. 3 question.
- 4 Okay. Α.
- 5 Tell me all that occurred at the meeting O.
- that you held at the closure of the season in 2014
- 7 which took place on the days October 30th and 31st of
- 8 2014.
- 9 Well, can you tell me where --
- 10 MR. GORMLEY: Object. Assumes facts not
- 11 in evidence.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Can you tell me where that
- 13 meeting occurred?
- 14 MR. CAMPBELL: Objection. Speaking
- 15 objection. Would you please take it down.
- MR. GORMLEY: Take it down as an improper 16
- 17 question. Assumes facts not in evidence.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Do you know where that
- meeting was at? Was that a Las Vegas meeting or a
- Salt Lake meeting? Do you have any idea? 20
- 21 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 22 I didn't attend it. Perhaps you can tell Q.
- 23 me where it occurred.
- 24 A. Well, I can't remember.
 - O. Okay. Well, irrespective of the venue,



25

page 236

- please tell me all that you can recall that took place
- at that meeting that took place over a two-day period, 3 sir.
- 4 Okay. You're saying at the end of the season in '14, so that would have been after the park 6 closed in 2014.
- 7 I'm telling you the exact dates. Q.
- 8 Okav. Well --A.
- 9 Q. The exact dates are October 30 and 31 of
- 10 2014.
- 11 MR. GORMLEY: Still object. We haven't
- seen any evidence that there was a meeting on those 12 13 dates.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I haven't -- I don't.
- 15 You're telling me dates. I don't remember the dates.
- 16 I remember we had meetings. I don't remember --
- 17 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 18 Then tell me about that meeting
- 19 irrespective of what peculiar or specific dates it was
- 20 on. Tell me about the two-day meeting that you had in
- 21 October.
- 22 Α. I don't remember a two-day meeting.
- 23 Q. Do you remember a meeting taking place in
- 24 the month of October after the park was closed in
- 25 2014?

- Well, I think we had a meeting. But I 1 don't remember the details of the meeting. I'm just
- blank. 3
- 4 You're just blank, okay. So you can't
- tell me a single thing that occurred at that meeting 5
- as you sit here today?
- 7 Well, I'm -- as it comes to me, I'll tell
- 8 you. If it -- you know what I mean? I mean, sitting
- right here at this moment, I can't think.
- 10 O. Okay.
- 11 Let's go to yet another meeting that took
- place, one specifically on March 9th and possibly the
- 10th of 2015. Do you recall that management committee
- 14 meeting?
- 15 MR. GORMLEY: Same objection. Assumes
- facts not in evidence. Make sure that's on the 16
- 17 record.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Of '15?
- 19 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 20 Q. Yes, sir.
- 21 A. Well, that might be the meeting I told you
- 22 about.
- 23 Okay. Is there anything else that you
- 24 recall from that meeting other than what you told me?
- 25 A. No.

- Okay. Did you ever inspect the park in 1 Q.
- 2 2015?
- 3 MR. GORMLEY: Objection. Vague by
- 4 inspection.

5 THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't know what you 6 mean. Are you asking me if I was ever at the park in

- 7 2015?
- 8 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 9 Q. I'm asking if you were ever at the park in
- 2015 and whether or not you inspected the park while
- you were at it?
- 12 A. I was at the park a number of times in the
- winter months of 2015 because we were putting that
- blue slide together, so...
- 15 Q. That would have been what? February?
- 16 A. Yeah, February. Some January, some
- February, maybe some March. I wasn't at the park 17 18 after it opened.
- 19 So you were never at the park while it was Q.
- 20 operating in 2015?
 - That's correct. Α.
- 22 O. Okay.

21

24

- 23 That I remember. Α.
 - All right.
- 25 Was there ever any conflict between the

page 240

- Huishes and the Opheikens group with respect to
 - ownership and responsibilities of the park?
 - 3 I, I don't, I don't think so. My, my
 - recollection with the Huishes, we've had a very close
 - relationship. You know, we were the contractor side
 - of the venture. And you know, they had the
 - experience. John and Scott felt like Shane was, you
 - know, the right guy for the job. He was -- it was, it
 - was their park. We were coming in trying to help.
 - 10
 - Shane was put in the position as general
 - manager. Richard Woodhouse was brought in by John
 - Huish because he had worked for the Huish family for
 - John and those guys for like years and years. He had
 - 14 operated his own park. So I really don't know.
 - 15 Now, there may, there may have been, as
 - the park required more funds and we were putting, you
 - 17 know, we were putting more money in, I think the

 - accountants were going back and forth adjusting
 - 19 percentages of ownership or whatever. There might
 - 20 have been some --
 - 21 Q. Adjusting the capital accounts, you mean?
 - 22 A. Yeah, yeah.
 - 23 Q. There might have been some what?
 - 24 A. Yeah.
 - Conflict? Q.



page 244

1 Well, I don't know of any conflict. I

2 don't know if anybody had any hurt feelings or

- something like that. But I was just trying to think
- what might stand out or something like that. But none
- 5 that I'm aware. I've never had any real conflict with
- 6 the Huish.
- 7 Well, when you say that there may have O.
- been some developments with respect to the need for 8
- the investment of more capital and the adjusting of
- 10 the capital accounts, meaning that your capital
- account was increased because the Opheikens were
- 12 putting more money in and had more responsibility,
- 13 correct?
- 14 Α. Yes, that's correct.
- 15 Q. And as a corollary to that, there was a
- commensurate decrease in the capital account of the 16
- Huishes, correct? 17
- 18 Well, the accounting people were --
- 19 Q. Right.
- 20 -- doing that, yeah. A.
- 21 Q. Right. And so in layman's terms, okay,
- 22 the more you put in, the more you own; the less you
- 23 put in, the less you own, correct?

tension at all regarding that?

I never did.

24 Yes. A.

concerning that?

A.

interest?

Α.

supported that.

subject matter first arose?

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

25 O. Okay. Was -- and what was the discussion

None that I'm aware of. I never was in a

1 with the Huishes regarding that? Was there any

conversation where there was any tension.

Did you ever hear of any tension

Were you aware of the fact that the

Huishes were looking for a buyer to, to take over your

Yes. We would have, we would have

Okay. And how is it that that particular

16 recognized there was a problem, we -- you know, we are

17 not water park operators. We are in the construction

18 business. That's where we should be. That's where we

19 want to be. And so we never were looking to be in the

20 water park long term. We would have been happy to

have sold or have Huish take over the park.

A. I think from day one when we all

- 1 that they would like to buy the park.
- 2 I again ask you, was there any tension
- between the two groups; that is, the Huishes --
 - Α. Not that --
 - O. You have to wait until I get the question
- 6 out on the record.
 - **A.** Okav.
- 8 Was there any tension between the two Q.
- 9 groups, meaning the Huishes and the Opheikens --10
 - Α. Not that --
- 11 Q. -- with respect, with respect to financial
- 12 issues?
- 13 Not that I'm aware of. Α.
- 14 Q. Okay. Did you ever refer to yourself as a
- 15 caged tiger?
- Caged tiger? 16 Α.
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 I don't know. That doesn't seem like Α.
- 19 something.
- 20 (Cell phone interruption.)
- 21 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 22 It doesn't sound like a term that you
- 23 would use?
- 24 Α. It's not -- doesn't seem like part of
- 25 my --

page 242

page 241

4

5

7

- Personality? Q. 1
 - 2 Yeah. A.
 - 3 Okay. Going back to an answer that you
 - gave a narrative form, you refer to Shane Huish as
 - being part of the operations group, quote, operations
 - group. Do you recall using that term?
 - 7 A. I'm not surprised if I did. I don't
 - 8 recall exactly, but, yeah.
 - 9 Okay. What is the operations group?
 - 10 Well, by that I mean Shane Huish is, is
 - the general manager over operations of the park. His
 - assistant was Richard Woodhouse. And, you know, they
 - 13 run the park. They hired, fired, and did whatever
 - 14 operations would do.
 - 15 Was there any designation of particular
 - individuals to something that was known as, quote, the
 - 17 operations group, end quote?
 - Well, that's more just my terminology.
 - 19 Q. Is the answer no?
 - 20 A. The answer is no.
 - 21 Okay.
 - 22 Okay. You also said that in the, in the
 - particular conversation where you discussed the fact
 - 24 that Shane had been concerned over the number of
 - lifeguards he was required to have that you told him,

22 I thought their long-term goal was to own 23 the park, but they were always very happy and willing to discuss options that would come up. They would get 25 phone calls from time to time from people suggesting



- "Don't get ahead of yourself in that regard, let's
- 2 wait until you have more information," or words to
- that effect. Do you recall that?
- 4 A. Well --
- O. Do you recall, do you recall that 5
- 6 testimony?
- 7 A. Yes, yes. Yes.
- 8 I would like to go back to that subject
- 9 matter of that testimony.
- 10 A. Okav.
- 11 Q. And I would like to now have you tell me
- 12 all that you can recall with respect to that
- communication, what he said to you and what you said
- to him. And in that regard can you tell me
- 15 foundationally where it took place physically? Were
- you at the park? Were you at a business office?
- 17 Where were you?
- 18 It, it took place near the -- between his
- 19 office and there is another office where the
- 20 lifeguards, you know, hung out or whatever you want to
- 21 call it.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 Had lunch or took a break. A.
- 24 Okay. And --Q.
- 25 So we were just passing right there.

page 246

page 245

- O. 1 Okay.
- 2 And he had come back, I believe, from a
- 3 meeting, and, and just mentioned that.
- He had come back from a meeting with whom? 4 Q.
- 5 A. With I believe the health department.
- 6 Q. Okay. And, again, this would be sometime
- 7 in the spring of 2015?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Okay. And so he stopped you, apparently,
- 10 or you stopped him?
- 11 A. Well, I, I honestly don't recall. We, we
- 12 talked.
- 13 Q. You bumped into one another --
- 14 Α.
- 15 Okay. And was anyone else present for Q.
- this conversation? 16
- 17 Α. There could have been. I, I don't recall
- if there was who else was there. 18
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 Because it's kind of an area where it's a Α.
- 21 traffic, traffic area.
- 22 And when you say it's in an area that's a
- 23 traffic area, designate for me specifically where it
- 24 was.

25

Okay, well, if his office is on the other A.

- side of that wall right there, and the lifeguards room
- is right there, it was kind of in the middle.
- 3 Okay. And tell me now all that was said
- by Shane Huish and all that you said in response
- during the course of the communication.
- 6 Well, therein is always a problem for me
- 7 is to remember what was said. I can tell you that,
- kind of the gist of the conversation as I remember it.
- But I don't, I don't have recall for words and
- 10 sentences he said. I said. he said. I said.
- 11 Okay. The law doesn't require that.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 The only thing that is required in the
 - giving of deposition testimony is your best
- recollection with respect to the actual words that
- were used or words to the effect. Not everyone can
- recall precise words in every single conversation. 17
- 18 The law doesn't demand that of any witness. 19
 - Okav. Α.
- 20 I'm asking you what was said if you can
- 21 recall what was said or what words were used or what
- 22 words to the effect were used.
- 23 Well, I, I remember Shane was pleased that
- 24 he had just come back from a meeting with the health
- department. Rather he had just come back or this had

page 248

- taken place in the last few days, I don't know. But
- in my mind, he had had a meeting recently with the
- health department and that they had -- they had voted
- or committed that they were going to change something
- to do with the number of lifeguards that were
- required. 6
- 7 Q. I think you said at one point seven or
- 8 eight?
- 9 Yeah, I believe that's correct.
- 10 Q. That they were going to, that they were
- 11 going to permit seven or eight lifeguards as opposed
- 12 to --
- 13 Yeah, something of an industry standard, I Α.
- 14 remember, and more of an industry standard.
- 15 And how many lifeguards were being
- required by the health department at the time that
- 17 they were reflecting upon the issue, and, and the
- suggestion that they go to seven or eight? 18
- 19 I, I didn't know. I had no idea. I never 20 even thought about it.
- 21 But you understood that it was certainly Q.
- 22 more than --
- 23 A. It was more, yes.
- 24 Q. -- than eight?
 - Yes. Α.



- 1 Q. Okay. How many more than eight?
 - A. I don't have -- I don't know.
- 3 Q. All right. What else was said during the
- 4 course of this communication?
- 5 A. Well, I just remember -- you know, I'd had
- 6 issues with the Contractors Board. In particular, one
- issue where we had a subcontractor working on a job
- 8 and, and he didn't have a license. I mean, I just
- 9 said, "Shane, just don't jump the gun. Because if you
- 10 do, it -- just don't, don't do that." And --
- 11 Q. What do you mean by that, "Shane, don't
- 12 jump the gun"?

2

- 13 A. I mean, make sure that the Is are crossed
- 14 and the Ts are -- I mean, the Ts are crossed and the
- 15 Is are dotted before you make any change, make sure
- 16 that it's finished by them.
- 17 Q. And what did you mean by that?
- 18 A. I meant don't do it until it's legal.
- 19 Q. And what did he say in response?
- 20 A. I, I think he indicated agreement. I
- 21 don't -- I mean, there wasn't any debate.
- He also in a meeting --
- Q. Okay. Are you done with your answer with
- 24 respect to what took place in that communication?
- 25 A. Yes.

- page 250
- 1 Q. All right. Now, did you want to volunteer
- 2 something that you believe that would impact that
- 3 answer?

25

- 4 A. No. Impact it?
- 5 Q. You were going to say something.
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. All right.
- 8 A. Okay. There was a meeting in -- a later
- 9 meeting maybe a few days later that we had where there
- 10 was a meeting, one of our meetings, maybe the March
- 11 meeting or something like that. Where Shane brought
- 12 that information to the board that, you know, the good
- 13 news, whatever it was, as I recall.
- 14 And again, I brought up, "Listen, just
- 15 make sure that, that it's voted on and correct before
- 16 you go forward with that."
- 17 Q. Okay. And were there any minutes
- 18 maintained at that meeting?
- 19 A. I don't, I don't know that. I don't, I
- 20 don't think -- we weren't so structured that we were
- 21 having minutes. We were just a group of guys trying
- 22 to get the park opened and we were having -- but it
- 23 was a regular, one of our regular meetings. It was a
- 24 called meeting, let me say it that way.
 - Q. And in that regard, could you please tell

- 1 me what it was that anyone else said at the meeting
 - 2 with respect to the lifeguard issue?
 - 3 A. I don't think it was a big discussion. It
 - 4 was, you know -- I don't remember what anybody else 5 said.
 - 6 Q. Who was in attendance at the meeting that
 - 7 you can recall?

12

14

- 8 A. Well, I think -- obviously I think Shane
- 9 was there. I think Scott was there. I think Slade
- 10 was there. I think Tom was there. I think Craig was
- 11 there. Any one of them could have --
 - Q. Were you there?
- 13 A. Yeah, I was there.
 - Q. Anyone else?
- 15 A. Well, what I'm trying to say is any one of
- 16 them could have maybe not been there. Or I might be
- 17 forgetting somebody, but...
- 18 Q. To the best of your recollection, were all
- 19 the members of the management committee present for
- 20 that particular discussion?
- 21 A. I, I don't think Chet was there, but just
- 22 because I said that he is probably there. I mean, you
- 23 know. I think that meeting took place in Salt Lake.
- 24 It could have been Vegas.
- Q. Now, please tell me all that you or any

page 252

- 1 other member of the management committee did to ensure
- 2 that your concerns that Shane not jump the gun were in
- 3 fact ensured against. That is to say, tell me all of
- 4 the steps, procedures, or any other actions that were
- 5 taken by you or any other member of the management
- 6 committee to ensure that Shane did not do what you
- 7 feared he might do.
- 8 A. Well, I, I don't think I ever feared or
- 9 even thought that, that Shane would do anything. It
- 10 wasn't -- this was just me saying, "Hey, guy, whatever
- 11 you do, don't do that. Because we did it with a
- 12 subcontractor and just don't do that." And that was
- 13 it. It was just, it was relatively casual.
- 14 It wasn't driven by fear. I never thought
- 15 Shane would, would ever reduce the number of
- 16 lifeguards. That never even crossed my mind. I never
- 17 knew --
- 18 Q. Well, it must have crossed your mind
- 19 because you didn't mention it just once but you
- 20 mentioned it twice. You mentioned it to him in the
- 21 hall when you first heard about it, and then you
- 22 mentioned it to him at a formal collection of the
- 23 management committee members and you again mentioned
- 24 it to him. So it must have been some concern to you
- 25 at that point, correct?



page 256

1 If you had been through what I had been 2 through with my contractor's license, you would know 3 exactly what -- just don't do anything like that, period.

5 But my point is, is this was not a casual Q. 6 concern of yours, this was in fact an important concern of yours. So important that you mentioned it to him on two separate occasions and admonished him 9 that he shouldn't jump the gun and make sure his Ts

10 were crossed and Is were dotted, correct?

11 Α. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. Now, here's the question. What 13 steps did you take or put in place to ensure that he 14 didn't jump the gun and that the Ts were crossed and 15 the Is were dotted on this very important issue?

It never crossed my mind that Shane Huish 16 would do anything other than what he is required to do 17 18 by the position that he was in.

19 Well, he was required to tell the truth with respect to his arrest record and he didn't do 20 21 that which cost him the opportunity to get a liquor 22 license, correct?

23 MR. GORMLEY: Let me object.

24 Argumentative.

25 ///

3

25

Q.

No.

Α.

1

2

9

You had no idea that that had occurred?

3 A. If I knew, I certainly have spaced it, it

4 never registered to me as a problem.

5 And you didn't know that it was, that 6 because of that, the park didn't have a liquor 7 license, and therefore had to nominate yet another

8 employee to get a liquor license?

I had no involvement with that.

10 0. You didn't know that either?

11 A. Well, if -- I don't remember anything 12 about it, sir.

13 Are you telling me that you don't recall 14 anything now or that you knew it at one time but 15 simply have no information you can share with me of a particularized nature regarding it?

MR. GORMLEY: Let me object. He's asked 17 18 and answered the question five times. Last time he said he had no idea. You are badgering the witness.

20 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

> O. Go ahead, sir.

22 Α. I was not involved in a liquor license.

23 It didn't matter to me if the park had a liquor

license. I wasn't managing the park.

25 But you did know that he was turned down

page 254

BY MR. CAMPBELL: 1

2 Isn't that true?

Well, I don't know about the liquor

license. And I really, since you said that, found out

that his arrest record is relatively minor. What

he -- my understanding was that he, he didn't tell

them that he had gotten a ticket or something along 7 8 that line.

9 You don't know what he said or what he O. 10 didn't say?

11 A. Oh, you're right.

12 Is that right? So your just speculating Q.

13 now, right?

14 A. Yes.

15 But you do know this much, that the Q.

16 Henderson Police Department found out that he was

17 arrested on three occasions, advanced that fact to the

licensing board, and recommended that he not be 18

19 approved for a liquor license, correct?

20 I know that because you've been sitting 21 here for two days telling me that.

22 But you knew it back then when it

23 occurred, did you not?

24 Α. I didn't know.

> You didn't know? O.

13

18

21

25

21

for a liquor license, didn't you? 2 MR. GORMLEY: Objection. Misstates

3 testimony.

4 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

5 In fact, that was your testimony on Q.

Friday, correct?

7 MR. GORMLEY: No. Misstates testimony.

8 It was not.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Again, speaking objection.

10 Put that on a separate disk.

MR. GORMLEY: Make a third disk too. 11

12 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

> O. Go ahead.

14 I don't think I did know, sir. You're the 15 one that's been pounding this about Shane. I, I

thought Shane did an excellent job. 16

17 Q. You did?

> Yes. A.

19 Okay. And so you had no confrontations Q.

20 with Shane?

> I don't remember --Α.

22 MR. GORMLEY: At what point in time?

23 Vague and ambiguous.

24 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

At any time point in time.



attorney here in Las Vegas, Nevada with respect to the

MR. GORMLEY: I didn't say anything.

MR. CAMPBELL: -- be so kind as to put

MR. GORMLEY: Let me object. Vague and

MR. CAMPBELL: Again, speaking objection.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

operation of the park?

ambiguous. His operation --

Please be --

Gardner vs Henderson Water Park, LLC, et al. page 259 1 I don't ever remember a confrontation with 1 that on as well. 2 Shane. 2 MR. GORMLEY: Yeah, sure. But I didn't 3 Isn't it a fact that you had not one, but Q. say anything, so... more than one confrontation with Shane, and told him BY MR. CAMPBELL: that he didn't want to let the caged tiger out after 5 Q. Go ahead, sir. 6 him, referring to yourself as a caged tiger that might 6 MR. GORMLEY: Enjoy that. 7 do him some sort of harm in some respect if he didn't THE WITNESS: I, I guess I don't know. 7 8 follow your instructions? 8 Okav. We, we used the services of Aviva Gordon. 9 A. I have absolutely no recollection of any 9 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 10 10 such thing. Q. Okay. 11 Okay. Shane wasn't particularly enamored 11 What I'm not sure of what you're asking is with the fact that you were giving him directions, was whether that was we or if that was Cowabunga or, or 12 12 he? both. And I think the answer is that we used Aviva 13 14 MR. GORMLEY: Calls for speculation. Gordon as our -- as a personal attorney down here. 15 THE WITNESS: I never saw Shane -- I would 15 Q. You mean the Opheikens? 16 say he is more expressionless than enamored. I never 16 A. Yeah. sensed any pushback from Shane. 17 O. Okay. 18 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 18 Α. That's that I think. 19 Did you ever feel that Shane was concerned 19 So Cowabunga Bay might have likewise used Q. with respect to your moods and whether you were happy 20 her? 21 21 or otherwise not happy for whatever reason with Α. They could have. 22 Okay. Who was paying her? Was it the 22 respect to the park? Q. 23 23 Opheikens family? Was it R&O Construction? Was it MR. GORMLEY: Objection. Calls for Cowabunga Bay, Henderson Water Park? 24 speculation as to his state of mind, Shane's state of 25 mind. 25 I don't recall. page 258 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 1 Okay. What type of work did she perform 1 2 Q. Go ahead, sir. 2 on behalf of Cowabunga Bay or the Opheikens? 3 3 I have no, I have no idea what you're Well, she, she was involved with the 4 talking about. 4 Splash group --5 So there were no occasions in which you 5 All right. Q. had ever experienced that Shane was concerned with 6 Α. -- as our attorney. 7 respect to how you would react to a particular bit of 7 In that litigation? Q. 8 8 news? A. Yes. 9 MR. GORMLEY: Same objection. 9 That was, that was part of the settlement Q. 10 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 10 conference with the Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez? Q. Concerning the park, that is? 11 11 Α. Yes, I believe so. 12 MR. GORMLEY: Vague and ambiguous. 12 All right. Did the Huishes have their Q. 13 THE WITNESS: I, I would be surprised if 13 separate counsel in that? that were true. 14 14 Α. I don't think so. But I could be wrong. BY MR. CAMPBELL: 15 Okay. Did you use her services thereafter 15 Q. on behalf of Cowabunga Bay? 16 Okay. 17 Did you utilize the services of an 17 A. I, I don't recall.



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

attorney?

A.

Q.

that you can recall?

attorney in Ogden. But I --

Did you use the services of any other

I, I don't remember using any other

Now, would you be referring to the

Was there any other attorney you ever used

attorney. Unless it was Cass Butler, our personal

1 attorney that I think represented Bank of Utah on the

- 2 loan documents? Would you be thinking that?
- 3 Q. Okay. Did you use an attorney for that?
- 4 A. Well, I don't know if I did or Bank of
- 5 Utah did. There was certainly an attorney that was6 involved.
- 7 Q. Okay. Any other attorney that performed
- 8 legal work on behalf of Cowabunga Bay?
- 9 A. Not that I recall.
- 10 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. All right. Do you
- 11 want to take a quick break now?
- MR. GORMLEY: Sure.
- 13 THE WITNESS: That would be great.
- 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 15 approximately 10:42 a.m. We are going off the record.
- 16 (Recessed from 10:42 a.m. to 10:58 a.m.)
- 17 (Deposition Exhibit 39 marked.)
- 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 19 approximately 10:58 a.m. We are back on the record.
- 20 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- Q. You have placed before you Exhibit No. 39.
- 22 Exhibit No. 39 is what we refer to as an aggregate
- 23 exhibit, it consists of more than one page. But you
- 24 will see that this exhibit is essentially a depiction
- 25 of an event that took place in which you are present.
 - page 262
 - A. Um-hum.
- Q. With respect to Exhibit No. 39, could you
- 3 please tell me what that event was?
- 4 A. It was ribbon cutting that must have taken 5 place in probably sometime in July of 2014, I would
- 6 guess.

1

- 7 Q. Okay. Going from right -- excuse me, from
- 8 left to right, okay, please place on that exhibit the
- 9 names of those individuals that are there with the pen
- 10 I've provided you.
- 11 A. Okay. So --
- 12 Q. So write, okay, that's who?
- 13 A. I don't know.
- 14 Q. Okay. Just put "UNK" for unknown.
- 15 A. Write it?
- 16 Q. Yes, sir, above him.
- 17 A. (Deponent complies.)
- 18 Q. The next individual, do you know that next
- 19 individual?
- 20 A. No, I don't recognize him. I guess
- 21 somebody with the chamber.
- Q. Do you know the young lady standing next
- 23 to him?
- 24 A. No, I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. So those are both unknown as well?

- 1 A. Um-hum.
 - MR. GORMLEY: "Yes"?
- 3 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
 - Q. Is that a "yes"?
 - A. Yes.

2

4

5

9

14

19

3

8

20

- 6 Q. Next individual in the brown coat, do you
- 7 know that individual?
- 8 A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. Okay. Put "UNK."
- 10 A. (Deponent complies.) Okay.
- 11 Q. The lady next to the him, do you know who
- 12 that is?
- 13 A. No.
 - Q. So that's another unknown?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 And then Shane.
- 17 Q. Put "Shane" above his head.
- 18 A. (Deponent complies.)
 - And then me, of course.
- Q. All right. So put "Orluff" there.
- 21 A. (Deponent complies.) Okay.
- Q. Do you know the individual next to you?
- 23 A. I don't think so.
- O. Put "unknown."
- 25 A. (Deponent complies.)

page 264

- 1 Q. There is a child next to that gentleman in 2 the blue shirt.
 - A. I don't think I know.
- 4 Q. Okay, put an arrow, "unknown."
- 5 A. To the child in the blue?
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. (Deponent complies.)
 - Q. Do you know the child next in order?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Do you know the child next in order from
- 11 there?
- 12 A. I don't believe so.
- Q. So those three children standing there,
- 14 you don't know who they are?
- 15 A. No. Can I draw an arrow? There is four,
- 16 aren't there?
- 17 Q. Right. In fact, it likes like there is
- 18 actually four.
- 19 A. Should I put an arrow to all four of them?
 - Q. All four, "unknown."
- 21 A. Can I do one unknown and four arrows?
- O. Sure. Yes.
- 23 A. (Deponent complies.) Okay.
- Q. Who is the gentleman standing behind those
 - four children in the white shirt?



21

22

23

24

25

I'm saying it might be. I can't see

Okay. (Deponent complies.)

Okay. So go ahead, how about putting

enough, I mean, to tell.

"Chet" with a question mark.

page 265 page 267 1 I don't know. 1 Q. Okay. Calling your attention to the first Α. 2 Q. But an "unknown" there, if you would, 2 page of Exhibit 39, do you remember what day this was? 3 3 please. I think it would have been early in July 4 Α. (Deponent complies.) 4 or in July, but I don't remember the day. 5 5 There appears to be someone with a bell Okay. And it appears that, that this is 6 hat on with sunglasses, do you know who that is? 6 the ribbon-cutting ceremony opening the park? 7 No idea. 7 I think so. 8 O. But "unknown." 8 It appears that there is a large scissors in which you have grasped the lower part of the 9 A. (Deponent complies.) Okay. 10 There appears to be a young lady in a 10 handle? sundress wearing, a blue sundress, wearing sunglasses. 11 A. Do you know who that is? 12 And Shane Huish has grasped the upper part Q. 12 13 I don't think I know any of them. 13 of the handle; is that correct? Α. 14 14 Q. A. That's right. 15 What about the lady in red next to her, do 15 Q. The second page of Exhibit No. 39 depicts the ribbon having been cut and you are gesturing 16 you know her? 16 **17** No. 17 with --Α. 18 Q. What about the child standing in front of 18 Α. Hoorah. 19 her? 19 Q. I'm sorry? 20 20 Hoorah. Α. A. 21 21 With a Tiger Woods like hoorah, correct? Q. The child next to the small child, do you Q. know that? 22 I hadn't thought of it quite like that. 22 23 That's probably how it felt. 23 A. 24 24 The blonde in the black tank top and Okay. Now, I would like to go back to the Q. next meeting in order that would have taken place 25 striped skirt? page 268 page 266 1 I don't know. after the last one we discussed, the last one having Α. 1 2 Or the lady behind her? You don't know been March 31st, 2015. 3 3 any of those? The next management committee meeting 4 would have taken place on April the 16th, 2015. No. I can't see the lady behind her. Α. 5 All right. Let's go to the second page of 5 MR. GORMLEY: Object. Lack of foundation. Q. 6 aggregate Exhibit No. 39. 6 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 7 Okay. 7 Do you recall that? 8 8 A. No. Is there anyone else on aggregate Q. 9 9 Exhibit 39 that you can recognize? Okay. O. 10 10 Oh, I see Slade. MR. GORMLEY: You said 2015 or '16, I'm Α. 11 Q. And he is standing directly behind Shane? just writing it down. 11 12 12 A. Behind Shane. MR. CAMPBELL: 2015. 13 Okay. Would you identify him? MR. GORMLEY: Thank you. 13 O. 14 (Deponent complies.) 14 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 15 And that might be Chet behind --15 Q. Were you there for it? I, I don't recall. 16 Q. In the blue shirt? 16 Α. **17** A. But I'm not positive. 17 Okay. All right. Going back to the 18 In the blue shirt standing behind the earlier March 31st, 2015 meeting, with respect to the Q. woman who appears to be clapping wearing a flower subject matter of you once again cautioning Mr. Shane black-and-white top; is that correct? That's Chet? 20 Huish to cross his Ts and dot his Is, you previously 20



23

24

25

Is, correct?

A.

O.

testified that you cannot recall doing anything thereafter to ensure he crossed his Ts and dotted his

I never thought it was necessary.

Do you recall whether or not any other

7

9

- 1 member of the management committee ensured that he
 2 crossed his Ts and dotted his Is and didn't jump the
 3 gun?
- 4 A. I don't think anybody thought any 5 different than I did, that Shane wasn't going to do
- 6 what Shane should do. It just wasn't in our minds7 that there was a problem.
- 8 Q. So the answer is no, you're not aware of 9 anyone doing anything else?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 MR. GORMLEY: Same objection. Calls for 12 speculation. It's speculative.
- 13 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 14 Q. You are not, you are not aware of anyone 15 else doing anything else, correct?
- 16 A. Well, I, I don't know what anybody else 17 did.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 And you can't tell me anything that anyone
- 20 else on the management committee did, correct?
- 21 Correct?
- MR. GORMLEY: Incomplete hypothetical.
- 23 THE WITNESS: You know, I'm just confused
- 24 now. I don't, I don't know what somebody else did.
- 25 ///

1

page 270

- BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 2 Q. And you can't tell me that anybody did a 3 single thing to ensure --
- 4 A. Well, I can't tell you that somebody 5 didn't do a lot. I, I don't know.
- 6 Q. You have nothing that you can offer in 7 that regard here today, correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 O. Thank you.
- Now, let's talk about the first post
- 11 incident meeting of the management committee, that
- 12 your counsel has supplied us information on, to the
- 13 effect that it occurred on June 2015 after Leland
- 14 Gardner suffered brain damage as a result of his
- 15 drowning. Are you with me?
- 16 A. June?
- 17 Q. Correct.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- MR. GORMLEY: Let me object. Nothing in
- 21 the record established that. Go ahead.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Sorry, what was your
- 23 objection?
- MR. GORMLEY: My objection is you haven't
- established through any documents or papers that a

- 1 meeting took place in June. I'm taking your word for
- 2 it, but we haven't seen anything.
- 3 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 4 Q. Were you present for a meeting shortly 5 after the drowning of Leland Gardner?
- 6 A. I don't believe so. I don't recall.
 - Q. Do you know where the meeting took place?
- 8 A. I don't know that there was a meeting.
 - Q. Well, do you remember the first meeting of
- 10 the management committee that took place after this
- 11 little boy suffered his brain damage in your pool?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. Was it within a matter of days?
- 14 A. I don't recall.
- 15 Q. Weeks?
- 16 A. I don't recall.
- 17 O. Months?
- 18 A. I, I just don't recall.
- 19 Q. Well, there had to be one meeting that
- 20 took place sometime thereafter that, correct?
 - A. Well, I don't recall.
- Q. So, Mr. Opheikens, a child drowned in your
- 23 pool and suffered irreversible brain damage leaving
- 24 him a quadriplegic.
- 25 MR. GORMLEY: I'm going to object. It's

page 272

1 not his pool.

- 2 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
 - Q. At that time, sir, okay, did it occur to
- 4 you that you should have a meeting right away of the
- 5 management committee?
- 6 A. I don't recall.
- 7 Q. Do you recall any meeting of the
- 3 management committee where my client, Leland Gardner,
- 9 who drowned in that wave pool and suffered
- 10 irreversible brain damage and quadriplegia, was
- 11 discussed?
- 12 A. I, I don't recall a meeting about that
- 13 topic. Umm, I don't think we -- as I recall, we had
- 14 no idea about the scope of this issue until you filed
- 15 a lawsuit. And then, even then, we thought that --
- 16 I'm twing to nomember
- 16 I'm trying to remember.
- 17 Q. You thought he was going to be okay once
- 18 we filed the lawsuit?
- 19 A. Well, we thought he was going to be okay
 - up to that point. We hadn't -- as I recall, Shane had
- 21 tried contacting the hospital or something along that
- 22 line, and had talked to somebody, and they thought
- 23 that Leland was going to be okay.
- 24 And, and so I never really heard any
- 25 negative story or awful, that awful information until,



1 until a lawsuit was filed.

- 2 O. Tell me what Shane was told.
- 3 A. You would have to ask Shane, I --
- 4 Q. Well, what did he tell you?
- 5 A. Well, I think my information came through
- 6 Slade.
- 7 Q. Okay. What did Slade tell you?
- 8 A. Well, I think Slade told me more or less 9 what I just told you.
- 10 MR. GORMLEY: Say it again.
- 11 THE WITNESS: He talked to Shane.
- MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I'm going to ask you to stop.
- MR. GORMLEY: I'm just trying --
- MR. CAMPBELL: Don't help me. I know what
- 16 I'm doing.
- 17 MR. GORMLEY: I know you do.
- MR. CAMPBELL: I'm going to ask you to
- 19 stop interrupting. I know this may be difficult for
- 20 you for whatever reason, but I'm going to ask you to
- 21 stop.
- 22 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- Q. So tell me --
- MR. GORMLEY: I interrupted once.
- 25 ///

page 274

page 273

1

4

7

21

- 1 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- Q. Tell me what it is that you were told.
- 3 A. Well, my impression of what I was told was
- 4 that they thought the little boy was going to be okay.
- 5 Q. All right. And what led you to that
- 6 impression? What were you told?
- 7 A. I don't recall that.
- 8 Q. Okay. Just that they had spoken to
- 9 someone at the hospital and they said that the little
- 10 boy was going to be okay?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. And when did that -- when was
- 13 that communicated to you?
- 14 A. Shortly after the accident.
- 15 Q. By shortly, do you mean within a month?
- 16 A. Within a day --
- 17 Q. Within a day.
- 18 A. -- or two.
- 19 Q. Or two.
- 20 A. And I think that it was sort of in three
- 21 or four days or whatever, still the same impression.
- Q. That the little boy was going to be okay?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Because someone at the hospital had
- 25 likewise reaffirmed that in some fashion --

- A. That's --
- 2 Q. -- to Shane or --
- 3 A. To Shane, I believe.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Because that's what you
- 5 were told?
- 6 A. That's my impression.
 - Q. Okay. Tell me what you did.
- 8 A. Well, I, of course, was interested. I
- 9 didn't really --
- 10 Q. How did that interest manifest itself?
- 11 A. I really didn't know what to do. I, I
- 12 thought the little boy was going to be okay. So I, I
- 13 didn't do anything. We, we were checking to find out
- 13 didn't do anything. We, we were checking to find of
- 4 is he okay. And the word that came back to us was
- 15 that he was going to be okay. So I didn't do
- 16 anything.
- 17 Q. All right. Can you tell me how soon after 18 the drowning of Leland that you can recall a meeting
- 19 that took place of the management committee at which
- 20 that event was discussed?
 - A. I don't, I don't recall.
- Q. Can you give me a reasonable approximation
- 23 of when it would have taken place? Would it have
- taken place within days, weeks, or months?
- 25 A. Well, I, I think probably, what I can

page 276

- 1 remember is that when you filed the lawsuit, of
 - 2 course, the first impression was, and I'm trying to
 - 3 think of the right way to say it, was that -- maybe it
 - 4 was because it was on, came out on television, came
 - 5 out on the news, that the information you had was
 - 6 wrong. Because we were -- we thought that it was bad
 - 7 information. The information that we had been given

 - $\boldsymbol{8}$ $\,$ and the information that is now being talked about on
 - 9 television was wrong.
 - 10 Q. Okay. What was wrong?
 - 11 A. Well --
 - 12 Q. When you say, "The information on the
 - 3 television we thought was wrong," do you mean to say
 - 14 that the information that you had was right?
 - 15 A. No, no, no. I don't know what is right
 - 16 and what is wrong. But we were under the impression
 - 17 that the news coverage was wrong.
 - 18 Q. Okay. What news coverage did you observe?
 - 19 A. I believe it's Channel 8 on the news.
 - Q. Okay.
 - 21 A. I didn't observe it.
 - 22 Q. All right.
 - 23 A. I mean, I, I think my son called and --
 - 24 Chet who lives in Vegas --
 - Q. Okay.



20

1 A. -- and said, "Guess what is on the news, I

2 mean, they are saying this." And our impression was

- 3 that it was misinformation.
- 4 Q. But you later found out that the
- 5 information was correct; is that correct?
- 6 A. Well, I think -- so I think our next 7 meeting was with an attorney.
- 8 Q. There was a pending question. So, sir, so
- 9 the pending question related to the observation by
- 10 Chet of a news story on Channel 8, okay?
- 11 A. All right.
- 12 Q. All right. And you said that the
- 13 information conveyed in that Channel 8 news story was
- 14 information that was in your view incorrect or
- 15 otherwise at odds with what you believed to have
- 16 occurred; is that correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Was this a news story that was narrated by
- 19 an investigative reporter by the name of George Knapp?
- 20 A. I don't, I don't know that.
- 21 But, sir, I would like to tell you --
- Q. Is the answer that you don't know?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- Q. Did you see more than -- did you ever see
- 25 this particular news story --

- 1 thinking of another attorney, okay.
 - Q. That would handle this matter?
- 3 A. Yes, that we met with. And --
 - Q. I'm going to get to it. I'm going to get
- 5 to that.

2

4

7

17

- 6 A. Okay.
 - Q. Okay. So when you discovered as a result
- 8 of the Channel 8 news story that the facts that were
- 9 being presented were much different from what you
- 10 thought had occurred, okay --
- 11 A. Um-hum.
- 12 Q. -- how were they different?
- 13 A. Well, Leland.
- Q. Do you mean to say that the condition of
- 15 my client Leland Gardner was far graver and serious
- 16 than what Shane's information had led you to believe?
 - A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 And when that information was learned by
- 20 you, specifically what was the information that you
- 21 gleaned or learned of that suggested Leland Gardner's
- 22 physical condition was far graver than what you had
- 23 been led to believe?
- 24 A. Could you repeat.
- Q. What was the information that was shared

page 278

- 1 A. I never --
- Q. -- at any time?
- 3 A. I never saw it.
- 4 Q. At any time?
- 5 A. Not that I recall.
- 6 Q. Did you ever see any news story at any
- 7 time regarding Cowabunga Bay?
- 8 A. Okay, I saw something in the newspaper. I
- 9 saw a newspaper article.
- 10 Q. Okay. And what newspaper was that in?
- 11 A. Oh, man. I can see it. It's a local
- 12 paper. You know the paper, it's --
- 13 Q. The Review-Journal?
- 14 A. Yeah, I think so.
- 15 Q. How was that brought to your attention?
- 16 A. I, I, I honestly don't know. Somebody
- 17 told me that, you know, and I think I pulled it up
- 18 on --
- 19 Q. On the Internet?
- 20 A. On the Internet.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- A. And as time went on, which I don't
- 23 remember the timeframe, but I do want to -- you know,
- 24 you asked me if we had another attorney. And I told
- 25 you, I thought I had told everybody, but right now I'm

- 1 with you following the Channel 8 news investigative
- 2 report that indicated to you that the actual condition
- 3 of Leland Gardner was far graver than what had been
- 4 conveyed to you by Shane?
- 5 A. I believe that we then had a meeting with
- 6 the other attorney that I was going to tell you about,
- 7 Lou Brandon, and I think that's where our next meeting
- 8 took place was in his conference room.
- 9 Q. And his name is Lou?
- 10 A. Brandon, I believe.
- 11 Q. Was that here in Las Vegas?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And is he associated with a particular
- 14 firm?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 MR. GORMLEY: Objection.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I, I don't know the name of
- 18 the firm.
- 19 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 20 Q. You don't know -- do you know how to spell
- 21 his name?
- 22 A. Well, I think it's L-o-u, and I believe
- 23 it's B-r-a-n-d-o-n.
- Q. And when did this meeting take place?
 - A. Well, I think shortly after this



25

page 280

information was -- when the lawsuit was filed, of
 course, we are all going, "What? And what is this?"
 And, and at some point we end up in a
 meeting with Lou Brandon. I don't know. I think our
 attorney Cass Butler probably put that meeting
 together, our personal attorney.
 MR. GORMLEY: I object regarding any

7 MR. GORMLEY: I object regarding any 8 communications with --

9 MR. CAMPBELL: No. Well, he can tell me 10 if somebody arranged a meeting. That's not 11 attorney-client.

MR. GORMLEY: As long as you agree that we are not piercing the attorney/client privilege.

MR. CAMPBELL: Of course not. No.

15 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

16 O. Go ahead.

17 A. I, I think that's my, end of my sentence.

18 Q. So it wasn't until a lawsuit was filed 19 that there was the first meeting of the management 20 committee members that --

21 A. That I --

Q. Wait a second, let me get it out.

23 -- that this issue was discussed being the

24 drowning of Leland Gardner?

25 A. That I attended.

(Record read as follows:)

"Q. Why did you not attend any

meeting of management committee members regarding this drowning until a lawsuit was

initially filed in this matter?"

THE WITNESS: I don't, I don't know if there was a meeting for me to attend. I, I may have been out of town. I'm not positive about that.

9 I was in touch with -- you know, people 10 were contacting me and telling me whatever they were 11 telling me. So it's not like nobody was paying any 12 attention to this thing. You know, it's a serious

14 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

15 Q. Are you done?

16 A. Are you going to say I'm nonresponsive

17 again?

matter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

21

22

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

18

23

25

18 Q. No. No. I'm just -- I just don't want to 19 interrupt your answer if you were going to take a

20 breath and say more. I'm trying to be polite.

A. You have been, sir.

Q. Okay. So when was it that you found out

23 for the first time that there was in fact a failure to

4 provide the full contingent of lifeguards as was

25 mandated by the, by the Southern Nevada Health

page 282

Q. Okay.

1

3

2 A. That I recall.

Q. Why did you not attend any meeting of

4 management committee members regarding this drowning

5 until you were -- until a lawsuit was initially filed

6 in this matter?

7 A. I've told you before. I'm not a water 8 park operator. I know you don't want to hear it. We 9 put Shane and that group of people in there to operate 10 that water park.

We -- I, I certainly thought that they
were abiding by the law. Nobody, Shane Huish
included, nobody ever told me they weren't using the
required number of lifeguards. Our attorney at home

15 was working with whoever he was working with.

16 So it's not that we were -- had no

17 concern. We thought we were doing what we should be 18 doing.

19 Q. Are you done?

20 A. I am.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Move to strike as

22 nonresponsive.

Would you kindly, Ms. Kelly, ask again the

24 question I propounded.

25 ///

1 District requirement at the time that Leland drowned 2 suffering brain injury and quadriplegia?

MR. GORMLEY: Object. Argumentative.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Would you repeat that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure. Would you kindly repeat my question, Ms. Kelly.

(Record read as follows:)

"Q. So when was it that you found out

for the first time that there was in fact a failure to provide the full contingent of

lifeguards as was mandated by the Southern

Nevada Health District requirement at the

time that Leland drowned suffering brain

injury and quadriplegia?"

16 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

17 Q. Do you understand the question?

A. No, I don't.

19 Q. Okay. I'm happy to rephrase it.

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. Okay? And I appreciate you informing me

22 that you didn't understand.

When was the first time after Leland

24 drowned, okay --

A. Got it.



24

25

Α.

O.

Sure.

Can you repeat the question.

page 285 page 287 Q. -- and after you found out, all right, 1 Sorry. Α. 2 that he suffered these horrific injuries, that you 2 Q. We've already, we've already established 3 also found out that the correct number or contingent 3 that they called you and they told you there has been of lifeguards that was required by the health district a lawsuit that's now been filed, correct? was not in fact honored at Cowabunga Bay --5 5 Α. Correct. 6 A. Okav. 6 They said, and by the way, this child's 7 Q. -- that they have far less? Do you 7 injuries are far graver, or words to the effect --8 understand the question? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Α. I do. 9 Q. -- than you believed, correct? 10 O. When did you first learn of that fact? 10 A. Well, yeah. 11 That also was after the lawsuit was filed. 11 Q. Right? 12 Okay. Did you find out that fact by 12 Yeah. Α. 13 reading the lawsuit itself? 13 Because they had the lawsuit which spelled O. 14 A. No. 14 it out, right? 15 Q. How did you find out that there were far, 15 A. Well, yeah. 16 far fewer lifeguards present on the day Leland drowned 16 Okay. And they also told you that there were far fewer lifeguards present when Leland drowned than were required by the health district? 17 18 I, I believe I got a phone call from my 18 than were required under the health district's plan, 19 son Slade, and, and he and Chet -- I mean, he and 19 correct? 20 Scott Huish had set up a meeting, and they were headed 20 Α. Okay. Where I think you're putting words 21 down to try and get to the bottom of all this and 21 in my mind or mouth, okay --22 figure out what to do. Excuse me, sir. 22 Q. Okay. 23 23 -- I don't mean that as an insult. Okay. And so they told you that the Α. 24 lawsuit had been filed, correct? 24 No, I don't take it as such. Q. 25 A. Correct. 25 A. Okay. page 286 page 288 Q. I'm not trying to do that. That not only had a lawsuit been filed but 1 1 that Leland's injuries were far graver than you 2 Okav. A. thought, correct? 3 I'm asking questions. 3 Q. 4 A. I believe that's correct. 4 Is that Shane had -- they were going into a meeting. And I think the meeting was with -- what 5 O. And they also told you that there was far, do you call these people? I'm sorry. What do you far fewer lifeguards than were mandated under the 7 health district's requirements, correct? call the people that when you think everything -- that you're being unfairly lambasted, let's say, in the 8 Well, I don't think they said to me that press? And you hire a specialist to come in and try 9 there were far, far fewer. 10 to deal with the press. Do you know what I'm trying 10 Q. Okay. What did they say in that regard? 11 A. But I think they said that, that -- let me 11 to say? 12 12 think. Okay. Q. Yes. A public relations strategy --13 13 Correct. MR. GORMLEY: Did --14 THE WITNESS: They --14 O. -- session? 15 MR. CAMPBELL: Please. 15 A. Okav. 16 Q. Okay. MR. GORMLEY: I know, I know. 16 **17** 17 MR. CAMPBELL: You are interrupting again. So, again, I want to back up because I 18 MR. GORMLEY: I think --18 don't know exact dates. But so they were, they were 19 under the impression that what was being said wasn't MR. CAMPBELL: Please don't interrupt his 20 correct, and so they were headed into a meeting with answers. 21 MR. GORMLEY: Seriously. 21 this public relations person to say, hey, what do we 22 do here? 22 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 23 23 Q. Go ahead, sir. And at that, and at that meeting Shane



24

Huish said something to one of my kids, I don't

remember which one, but along the line that, "You know

1 what, I didn't mean to misled you, but I may have 2 misled you; because I thought I was okay with seven or 2 time, probably Cass Butler, our personal attorney,

3 eight lifeguards, whatever the number may be, but I

5

14

19

3

10

11

didn't have the required number." Okay.

Now, this is, this meeting with the P-,

6 with the press relations people, the PR people --

Yeah.

5

7

8 -- was one that was attended by the

9 Huishes and the Opheikens?

10 Well, I think Shane and my son in

11 Las Vegas were just going to meet with this gentleman

kind of as a what should we do. And I think the

13 meeting ended up not taking place, is my impression.

14 And was that a meeting that was to take

15 place with Tom Letizia?

Well, gosh, I don't know. Maybe. 16

17 Okay. So at the time they were going to

18 have the meeting after the lawsuit was filed, the

19 meeting that they wanted to have was with a PR person,

20 correct?

22

1

8

11

15

21

21 Α. Correct.

> O. And this -- and the purpose of having the

23 PR person was to address what, what bad publicity was

going to flow from this in some fashion that you were

sued, correct?

At the same time or the same period of 1

contacted Lou Bryan (sic), I'm guessing, but I think

4 I'm in the ballpark.

The Las Vegas lawyer?

6 Yeah. And I think, because I think by

now word has come back that, that maybe we really do

have a problem here. And, and so -- so I think the

meeting that you asked me about took place in Lou

Bryan's (sic) conference room. And I think there was

a couple, three meetings in Lou Brandon's conference

12 room.

13 O. Okay. And did you attend any of them?

A. I believe I attended all three.

15 Q. Okay. When was the first one?

16 You keep doing that to me. I know you

17 don't mean to, but...

18 Q. Let me try to, let me try to reframe it

for you.

20 A. All right.

21 Q. How soon after the filing of the initial

22 Complaint, the filing of the initial lawsuit where you

learned for the first time of this grave and serious

condition of my client Leland Gardner, did you then

have the meeting with the attorney in Las Vegas?

page 290

A. Yeah. I think so, yeah.

2 Okay. And so there was, as I understand

it, there was an assumption on the part of your son

and, and the Huishes, that what was in the filed

lawsuit, the lawsuit that was filed with the court,

were allegations that were untrue in some fashion?

7 That's correct.

> O. Okay. Now, that meeting didn't take place

9 with the PR person, correct?

10 A. I'm not sure. My impression is it didn't.

All right. But you informed me that there

12 was thereafter, as I understand it, and you correct me

if I'm wrong, a meeting that was held with an attorney 13

14 at or about that same time, correct, named Brandon?

Okay. Let me get -- let me try and think.

Go ahead. 16 Q.

17 Okav.

18 Okay. So I'm think -- I think that there

19 were several things happening now, as you would

20 expect.

I believe during this same timeframe Slade

22 got on a plane and flew here to Vegas. And Scott

Huish got on a plane and flew here to Vegas. And they

were having a meeting trying to check out "What?" One

25 of those kind of things.

1 A. I think relatively soon.

2 Q. Within days?

> I think so. A.

4 Fair enough.

5 You have told me that you do not believe

that there was a meeting at which this tragic event

7 was discussed among the management committee members

until such time as it was actually discussed with the

attorney Lou Brandon, correct?

To the best of my recollection.

Okay. Now, different question.

12 Following the time of the initial lawsuit,

13 was there a subsequent meeting, okay?

14 Sav again.

15 Sure. Following the time of the lawsuit,

was there a subsequent meeting of the management

17 committee members regarding the park, the operation of

18 the park in any way?

19 Okay. Could you tell me what you mean by 20 "subsequent." Does that mean at the same time sort

21 of?

23

22 Well, I'm going to -- I will tell you

this, okay. Let's see if I can put this in frame.

24 I'll represent to you, all right, that the lawsuit of

which we speak, the initial lawsuit was in fact filed



page 292

- with the Eighth Judicial District Court on July 28th, 2 2015.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 O. All right. I'm not trying to trick you in
- any way here.
- 6 A. No, no, I get it.
- 7 Okay? Q.
- 8 I will also tell you that we know of a
- meeting that took place of the management committee
- members on or about August 4th of 2015. Are you with
- 11 me?
- 12 Um-hum. A.
- 13 All right. Is that a "yes"? Q.
- 14 July 28th. August 4th.
- 15 Q. Correct.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 O. All right. Within days of the lawsuit
- 18 being filed, there was a meeting of the management
- committee meeting on August the 4th, 2015. Are you
- 20 with me?
- 21 A. I am.
- 22 Q. So it seems logical based upon your
- 23 testimony to me that you would have had the lawsuit
- 24 come to your attention, there would have been a
- meeting with Mr. Brandon in Las Vegas about it, and

- page 295 1 Okay. I think that was maybe the meeting
 - 2 that Slade and Scott came down and met. I don't know
 - who else might have been involved in that meeting.
 - But that's my best guess. I don't recollect the
 - 5 meeting.
 - 6 Q. At all?
 - 7 At all. A.
 - 8 You don't remember anything being
 - discussed if you don't remember the meeting then,
 - 10 correct?

- 11 That's correct. But I'll tell you this.
- 12 If I think of something, as I've done, I will bring it
- to your attention.
 - O. Well, let me ask you this.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 When was it that you first discovered the
 - actual number of lifeguards that were on duty, present
- 18 and on duty at the time that Leland was drowning?
- 19 There has been a lot of frustration on my
- part, because I've heard so many different numbers. 21 Everybody seems to have a different number.
- 22 The, the first time that I heard a number,
- that just shocked me, was from the insurance attorney,
 - I believe, and -- Paul Eisinger. And I, and I don't
- recall exactly.

- 1 then a subsequent meeting of all of the members of the
- management committee meeting at or about August 4th of 3 2015.
- 4 A. You, you may be correct. I don't
- 5 recollect. But what I think is more likely.
- 6 And just -- and I'll tell you because I'm not trying to hide the ball here. 7
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. I believe that meeting took place at
- 10 R&O Construction. Okay?
- 11 A. Oh, in Las Vegas R&O or do you mean
- 12 Salt Lake?
- 13 I believe, I believe in Salt Lake, but I'm O.
- 14 not sure.
- 15 MR. MIRKOVICH: Here.
- 16 MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, was it here? Oh, it
- 17 was here.
- 18 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 19 Q. We believe it was here, I'm sorry. Okay.
- 20 Are you with me?
- 21 Α. On August 4th?
- 22 Q. Yes, sir.
- 23 Α. Okay. I just wanted to tell you what I
- 24 think.
- 25 Q. Okay.

- 1 MR. GORMLEY: I have to object in terms of attorney/client privilege if he's discussing something with you. If you learned it some other way, that's 4 fine.
- 5 THE WITNESS: All right. Anyway, I don't
- 6 recall. 7 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 8 The transmission of facts in a case are
- 9 not privileged.
- 10 MR. GORMLEY: I never heard that argument
- 11 before.
- 12 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. They are not. But,
- are you telling him not to answer? 13
- 14 MR. GORMLEY: I'm telling him not to
- 15 answer because I think it's a discussion with Paul
- Eisinger.
- 17 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 18 Well, irrespective. Did you learn from
- 19 some source what the actual number was?
- 20 I, I'm still not clear what the number A.
- 21 was.
- 22 All right. And when you say you're not
- 23 clear, do you have a range?
- 24 Well, I -- I, I believe that Shane thought
 - that it was okay to have seven. I believe that Shane



page 299

- 1 thought he had seven. But I believe there was a
- 2 breakdown there in the operations of some type and
- 3 what happened shouldn't have happened.
 - Q. So how many were there?
- 5 A. Well, I think there was three, and two
- 6 rovers. Whether that's three or five, but I know that 7 it's not seven.
- 8 Q. At the time that Leland was drowning in
- 9 the wave pool, how many lifeguards were present at the 10 wave pool?
- 11 A. I don't know. I wasn't there.
- 12 Q. How many do you believe were there?
- 13 A. Five. That's not seven.
- 14 Q. Okay. And that's based upon what?
- 15 A. What I have been told by Shane and Richard
- 16 Woodhouse and that's it.
- 17 Q. And you have confidence that there were
- 18 five there because they told you that? That at the
- 19 time --

4

- 20 A. I, I have. I have.
- 21 Q. Here's the question. Here's the question.
- 22 Okay?
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. And it's a yes or no. Do you have
- 25 confidence in the accuracy of Shane and

- 1 first time that the limits of liability were
- 2 5 million?
- 3 A. Well, I remember a discussion when we were 4 getting ready to open the park, more or less, over how
- much insurance to have.
- 6 Because as I recall, the insurance -- I
 - don't know if his name is Lance or -- anyway, they
- 8 were thinking maybe two, two-and-a-half million was
- 9 enough.
- 10 We were concerned just because it
- 11 doesn't -- we just weren't sure how much was enough.
- 12 And so we asked that agent to do some, some checking,
- 13 and get back with us to what he thought we should
- 4 really carry. Because we didn't know. We are not in
- 15 the water park business.
- 16 And then we also asked Morton Insurance,
- 17 our agent, as I recall, for some information. I
- 18 believe they --
- 19 Q. Who does the R&O stuff?
- 20 A. Morton?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. They provide insurance --
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 Q. -- coverage for R&O Construction?

page 298

- 1 Mr. Woodhouse's representation to you that there were
- 2 five lifeguards present and on duty at the time Leland
- 3 Gardner was drowning in the wave pool?
- 4 A. I just don't know. I wasn't there.
- 5 Q. And what did you do to determine whether
- 6 the information you were receiving in that regard was
- 7 accurate or was it false? What did you do?
- 8 A. We sent Slade down. He met with Scott
- 9 Huish. They had a meeting. They made some changes.
- 10 I relied on them.
- 11 Q. On, on who?
- 12 A. On Slade and Scott.
- 13 Q. Slade who?
- 14 A. Slade Opheikens.
- 15 Q. Your son?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- There is an insurance policy that was
- 19 acquired on behalf of the operation of Cowabunga Bay,
- 20 correct, liability insurance policy?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. Do you know what the limits of
- 23 liability of that policy are?
- A. I believe it's 5 million.
- Q. And when was it that you learned for the

- A. Right.
- 2 Q. I'm just getting in the record who Morton
- 3 is.

1

- 4 A. I'm sorry. Okay.
- 5 Q. Go ahead.
- 6 A. So we just kind of, so we relied on their
- 7 input as to how much is enough. And we thought we
- 8 were, if anything, overinsured. We were trying to be
- 9 overinsured, not underinsured.
- 10 Q. Has R&O Construction Company ever suffered
- 11 a catastrophic loss?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. How many of them?
- 14 A. I don't know. Too many.
- 15 Q. Okay. Tell me what catastrophic losses
- 16 that you can recall.
- 17 A. I.I --
 - Q. Well, tell me any of them. You say there
- 19 is too many of them. You have --
- 20 A. Okay. Well, one is too many.
- 21 O. I understand. So tell me what
- 22 catastrophic losses.
- A. 40 years ago we had a young father that
- 24 was a subcontractor down in a trench, as I recall,
- 5 putting a line in and the dirt collapsed on him.



Gardner vs Henderson Water Park, LLC, et al. page 301 page 303 Buried alive? acquired for Cowabunga Bay in this case? 1 1 2 Yeah. 2 A. No. A. 3 Died? 3 Q. Are you aware that there is a provision 4 A. Correct. 4 with respect to funds that could be achieved in the 5 Um-hum. And there was a claim that was 5 event of a catastrophic event? O. advanced, correct? 6 6 A. No. 7 7 I, I -- I don't have recall with that. Q. Has there been any claim or designation of 8 Okay. But money was paid out by some 8 this event as catastrophic by you to the insurance Q. 9 9 company? agency? 10 A. I would guess. 10 A. I, I don't know. 11 Q. Do you know how much was paid out? 11 Q. Has there been any claim that this event 12 I have no, I have no idea. 12 constituted a catastrophic injury which has been Α. 13 Do you understand it was in the millions? advanced by any member of the management committee for O. 14 A. No. Cowabunga Bay to the insurance carrier in this case? 15 Q. Okay. How much was it? 15 A. I don't know. 16 I have no idea. 16 BY MR. CAMPBELL: A. 17 O. Okay. What other catastrophic injuries? 17 Has Cowabunga Bay or Henderson Water Park, 18 We had a superintendent was driving back 18 we have been using that --19 and forth to, somewhere between Salt Lake and I can't 19 Yeah, you've kept me mixed up. remember where, but out there on that alone and he ran 20 Oh, I haven't kept you mixed up. We 21 off the road and the car rolled over and he was 21 agreed that they are both the same, right? Okay? 22 killed. 22 But I forget. 23 All right. When was that? 23 All right. So when I'm talking about Q. 24 30 years ago. 24 Cowabunga Bay, I am also talking about the legal A. 25 Q. Okay. 25 entity. page 304 We had a project downtown Ogden where they 1 1 Okav. just finished a safety meeting and a subcontractor Okay. Just to remind you of that, 2 went out on a beam and didn't tie off, and it's 3 Henderson Water Park. winter, you know, slippery and fell off the beam and 4 Α. Okay. 5 it killed him. 5 It's just easier, I think, for you to just Q. 6 Q. When was that? recall Cowabunga Bay. That's why I've used it, okay? 7 A. Maybe 20, 20 years ago, maybe. 7 Yeah. 8 What is the most recent one that you had? 8 O. Because I don't want to mix you up. 9 9 Α. I, I'm not aware of any recent. Has, has Cowabunga Bay, all right, 10 Q. Okay. And by recent, within the past 10 received any letter from the insurance company years have you had one? declaring that there is a reservation of rights of any 11 12 A. I'm not aware of any. 12 kind or type? 13 13 Past 15 years? I'm not -- I don't know. O. Α. 14 I'm not aware of any since the one that I, 14 Q. Okay. Who would know the answer to that 15 the last one I told you about. 15 question? Okay. How much liability insurance do you 16 Q. 16 A. I would, I would guess Scott Huish. But

17 carry at R&O? 18 I couldn't answer that question now. 19 Q. Is it more or less than \$5 million? 20 I honestly couldn't carry that question. Α. 21 Do you understand that catastrophic means

22 death or severe injury of a permanent ongoing nature,

you know, like paralysis, things of that nature?

24 A. Yeah. Yeah.

25

Have you ever read the policy that was Q.

17 that is just a guess on my part.

18 Besides the initial news account from the

CBS affiliate KLAS TV Channel 8 news here in

Las Vegas, have you seen or been advised of subsequent

21 news coverage regarding this tragedy?

22 Α. The newspaper, I think I told you.

23 Q. Um-hum.

24 A. And the TV is all I'm aware of.

Okay. You haven't, you haven't seen Q.



page 308

1 anything else?

2

7

- A. No, I haven't.
- 3 Q. Okay. Now, on December 22nd of 2017, you
- 4 were personally served with an amended lawsuit in
- 5 which you were personally named as a party, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
 - Q. I believe it was served that morning at
- 8 1025 East 2100 North Street in Ogden, Utah.
- 9 A. I believe it was actually served on the 10 24th.
- 11 Q. We have it was the 22nd, but you think it 12 was the 24th?
- 13 A. Well, I remember get -- my doorbell
- 14 ringing at 6:00 in the morning on Christmas Eve and I15 thought it was unprofessional.
- 16 Q. It's been filed with the Court, I believe,
- 17 the 22nd.
- 18 A. Okay. I'm just telling you when it was
- 19 served.
- Q. What did you do after receipt of that
- 21 lawsuit?
- 22 A. I'm sure I took it to Cass at our office.
- Q. Cass who?
- 24 A. Cass Butler.
- 25 Q. Has Cass Butler attended any meetings here

- A. And then Lou Brandon.
 - Q. Okay.
- 3 A. And we have met with an assistant of
 - Lou Brandon's. I don't recall his name, but...
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall a meeting of the
- 6 management committee that took place on November of 7 2015? That would have been approximately a month or
- 8 so before you were --
- 9 A. Well, let me say this. I, I don't recall 10 a meeting on a certain day.
 - Q. Um-hum.
- 12 A. But I feel like we had a meeting about
- 13 that time. It just seems to me like we did. But I
 - don't, I don't remember the meeting, if that makes
- 15 sense to you.
- 16 Q. Well, do you recall at any meeting of the
- 17 management committee, after Leland was
- 18 catastrophically injured and after the initial lawsuit
- 19 was filed, that you met with the other management
- 20 committee meetings (sic) to discuss operations of the
- 21 park going forward?
- 22 A. Well, I think that's the meeting that I am
- 23 remembering.
- Q. All right. And would -- and that would
- 25 have taken place at or about, at or about --

page 306

1

3

11

13

20

25

page 305

1

2

5

- in Las Vegas, Nevada in reference to this case?
- 2 A. For example, like with Lou Brandon?
- 3 Q. Yes
- 4 A. You know what, I don't, I don't remember.
- 5 I want to remember. But I, I'm not sure.
- 6 Q. Does Cass Butler represent Cowabunga Bay
- 7 in any way, shape, or form?
- 8 A. He represents me.
- 9 O. Okay.
- 10 A. So I think he has been. In fact, I
- 11 believe he is who lined up Lou Brandon.
- 12 Q. Okay. All right. And he has in the past
- 13 represented Cowabunga Bay, as I understand; is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. It may be correct. Yeah, probably, I'm
- 16 sure he has.
- 17 Q. All right. Any other attorneys that have
- 18 represented Cowabunga Bay?
- 19 A. Well, I keep thinking of more.
- Q. Okay.
- 21 A. The last one I thought of was Paul Price.
- 22 Q. Is who?
- A. Isn't that his name, Paul Price? The
- 24 insurance attorney? No, Eisinger, Paul Eisinger.
- 25 O. Paul Eisinger.

- A. November.
- Q. -- November of 2015? Fair enough?
 - A. Yeah. That's what I think, yeah.
- 4 Q. Okay. Now, at that meeting was there an
- 5 examination of the failure to comply with the
- 6 lifeguard requirements as set forth by the Southern
- 7 Nevada Health District? Was there a discussion of
- 8 that issue?
- 9 A. I, I don't recall that. I don't recall
- 10 even thinking about --
 - Q. That issue?
- 12 A. I just have no recall of that at all.
 - Q. Okay.
- 14 Is there any -- withdraw.
- Was there any change in your participation
- 6 or the manner in which you participated in the
- 17 management of Cowabunga Bay after Leland suffered his
- 18 catastrophic injuries?
- 19 A. No, I don't think so.
 - Q. Okay. At the 20 -- November 2015 meeting,
- 21 were there any directives or personnel changes made
- 22 with respect to operations of the park?
- 23 A. Okay, please say ask that question again.
- 24 (Record read as follows:)
 - "Q. At the November 2015 meeting,



21

23

24

25 there. I don't know.

Q. What rights were removed in the, as a

I'm going to defer to them. I wasn't

22 disciplinary measure that was imposed by the

management committee on Shane Huish?

Gardner vs Henderson Water Park, LLC, et al. page 309 page 311 1 were there any directives or personnel 1 Q. What makes you believe that that's the 2 changes made with respect to operations of 2 case? 3 3 the park?" Because, because they called me, and at A. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't, I don't recall. the time what they were talking about sounded 5 BY MR. CAMPBELL: reasonable. And I think they were asking me if I was 6 Q. Had they been discussed, do you think you 6 okay with it. 7 7 would have remembered them? Well, that's what I'm asking you, what was Q. 8 Okay. So the -- I, I think that Scott and 8 it? 9 Slade had been working on something, but I just don't I just, I've told you everything that I Α. remember. recall exactly what it was or how it came down. It 10 led to IAM, I know. 11 11 And as you sit here today, can you tell me 12 Led to what? 12 whether or not there was some sort of discipline 13 A group named IAM, I-A-M. imposed upon Shane Huish directly attributable to the 14 Q. Okay. Anything else? catastrophic injuries that were suffered by Leland 15 A. No. 15 Gardner? 0. Okay. So let's see if I can encapsulate. 16 16 A. I believe there was. But you're going to So there was no personnel change or change in the 17 have to ask those guvs what they were. duties of any member of the operations that you can 18 And was that, when you were told, did you 19 recall at that 2015 meeting in November, correct? 19 upon reflection believe that the discipline imposed 20 Well, I think there was changes, but I upon him was commensurate with the harm that was 21 just don't remember exactly when they took place. suffered by Leland Gardner? 21 22 Was, was Shane Huish fired? 22 I don't know. Α. 23 The, the lifeguard responsibility -- or 23 Wasn't that important to you? Q. 24 he, he no longer ran the lifeguards, so to speak. 24 Of course. A. That was taken away from him, I believe. That's when 25 Q. All right. page 310 page 312 they brought IAM in, that's their specialty. I, I don't know how to answer that 1 1 2 Was Shane Huish fired? question. I just don't know. O. 2 3 3 Truthfully, did you believe that the A. No. 4 Q. Was he disciplined in any way? discipline that had been imposed upon him was 5 You would have to ask Slade and Scott. commensurate with the injuries that were suffered by Leland Gardner? They met with -- they made the decision and they 7 called me to go over with me what they were thinking. 7 I don't, I don't think anything is commensurate with what poor Leland Gardner is going 8 That's back around I believe in August. 9 9 Q. No, we are talking about in the meeting -through. 10 10 A. It didn't happen --Q. And, but in fact, as you sit here today, 11 Q. -- of November 2015. you can't even tell me what the discipline, if any, 12 A. Well, it didn't happen in November of 12 there was at that time, correct? 13 2015. A. I just don't know. 13 14 Q. So here's the question, okay. 14 MR. CAMPBELL: I think we have, what, 15 15 about an hour left? A. Was he disciplined? 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 55 minutes. 16 Q. 17 17 A. I believe he was. MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Let's take a 18 O. How? 18 10-minute break for you, okay, and we will finish up. 19 19 I, I think he had rights that were THE WITNESS: Yeah. A. 20 removed. 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is



21

22

23

24

25 ///

approximately 12:06 p.m. We are going off the record.

(Recessed from 12:06 p.m. to 12:24 p.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is

approximately 12:24 p.m. We are back on the record.

BY MR. CAMPBELL: 1

- 2 O. Whose decision was it to hire IAM?
- 3 I, I believe Scott Huish and Slade worked
- 4 on that. But I'm not sure exactly who.
- 5 Slade who? Slade Opheikens?
- 6 Α. Well, let me think.
 - Well, I'm just asking. We have to have an Q.
- identification of the last name, okay. You said Scott 8
- Huish and then you said Slade, and Slade is Opheikens,
- 10 correct?

7

- 11 A. Yeah. But I'm trying --
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 I'm trying to remember when IAM came into
- 14 the, the picture and how --
- 15 Okay. When did you first, when did you
- first learn that IAM was hired? 16
- A. I guess I really didn't know when IAM 17
- 18 actually went to work. I met them down at the park.
- 19 When? Q.
- 20 I think in the spring of or maybe the --Α.
- 21 either the fall or the winter of '16 or fall of '15,
- but I don't remember for sure. I met --22
- 23 When you met them --
- 24 -- the guy that's working for IAM. A.
- 25 I'm sorry? Q.

page 314

- I met the guy that was working for IAM. 1
- And when you met him, was the park open 2 O.
- 3 for business?
- 4 A. I can't remember.
- 5 Q. And when you met him, it was at the park?
- 6 A.
- 7 Q. And when you met him, who was it that
- 8 introduced you?
- 9 Α. Shane.
- 10 O. And who else was present?
- 11 A. I, I don't know if Richard Woodhouse was
- 12 present or not.
- 13 O. And was -- what the gentleman's name from
- 14 IAM?
- 15 A. I think Chris. I don't remember his last
- 16 name.
- 17 All right. And at the time you met him,
- 18 was IAM already retained to perform services?
- 19 I'm not positive exactly when that
- 20 started, or, you know, how it really happened. I
- 21 just, I just was really impressed with him. I just
- 22 thought, I just remember being really impressed with
- 23 the person.
- 24 Was, was anyone else from IAM present
- 25 besides the gentleman that you talked to?

page 313 I don't, I don't remember. I don't 1 Α.

2 believe so.

3 All right. What was it that was so Q.

impressive about the gentleman from IAM?

- 5 I don't know. You know when you meet, 6 sometimes you meet people and they just -- you are
- impressed with the person. I don't, I don't 8 specifically remember a reason to be impressed.
- 9 Well, apparently you were, so I'm asking 10 if you can articulate the reasons why. Did he appear
- 12 Yes, and just --Α.
- 13 Q. And did he appear to have a great deal of 14 experience?

to be very knowledgeable about the industry?

15 A. Yes.

11

19

1

- 16 O. All right. Did he tell you about his
 - company and the experience of his company?
- 18 A. I'm sure he did.
 - Q. All right. And you tell -- did he tell
- you that they had a body of clients that they
- performed services for and that this was their bread
- and butter, so to speak? 22
- 23 A. I believe so.
- 24 Okay. So he presented to you a resume, so Q.
- to speak, that was impressive, correct?

page 316

- Well, I don't know if I thought of it in those terms. But I was impressed, I just remember
- 2 3 that. 4 All right. Did you talk to Shane or Slade
- 5 or anyone else about what their view of IAM was and whether or not they shared how impressed you were?
- 7 I believe I did talk to, to Slade and Α.
- 8 Scott.
- 9 O.
- 10 And I think they were equally impressed. Α.
- Q. Opheikens? 11
- 12 Yes. Α.
- 13 O. Okay. And they were equally impressed?
- 14 Well, my impression is they thought he Α.
- 15 was, would do a really good job.
- Were they there for this meeting --16 Q.
- 17 A. What meeting?
- 18 Q. -- with you?
- 19 I --Α.
- 20 Q. Where you came away with the impression
- 21 that this gentleman and his firm was a solid, IAM.
- 22 I don't, I don't think it was a meeting.
- 23 I think I was just over at the park having lunch.
- 24 Q. Were they with you?
- 25 Α. No.



page 320

- 1 Q. Okay. They met him at, at an earlier 2 point in time?
- 3 A. They must have. Because I'm pretty sure 4 he was working at the park.
- 5 Q. All right. And, and they recommended that
- 6 he be hired or the firm be hired, I should say?
- 7 A. I don't recall how that came about.
- 8 Q. Well, did they object to him being hired?
- 9 A. Did they object?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. I don't know how it came about. I don't
- 12 know why anybody would object.
- 13 Q. Okay. All right. So as far you knew, 14 they were in favor of hiring this firm that was
- 15 eventually hired, correct?
- 16 A. Probably.
- 17 Q. Okay. Makes sense to me too.
- So do you know of anyone that objected to
- 19 hiring this firm, IAM?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Do you know of anyone who was in any way
- 22 critical of IAM or their principles?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Everything you knew about them told
- 25 you that they were a stable, solid firm; is that

- 1 A. I don't, I don't think, no. I don't think 2 immediately.
 - 3 Q. Well, were they brought in within weeks?
 - 4 A. Well, weeks puts us in August.
 - Q. Okay.
 - 6 A. And --
 - Q. That would have been months, actually, but
 - 8 go ahead.

5

7

14

17

- 9 A. That's correct. But, okay. But I think
- 10 that it was towards the end even further, maybe
- 11 September.
- Q. September?
- 13 A. The first of September possibly.
 - Q. Okay. Would it have been at or about the
- 15 time that the Gardner family filed their first
- 16 lawsuit?
 - A. Yeah, I think it was after that.
- 18 Q. Okay. It was after the lawsuit was filed
- 19 that IAM was hired, correct?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- Q. And it was then that IAM performed certain
- 22 duties; is that correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- O. And that was -- and that was with the
- 25 approval of the management committee that they did

page 318

1 correct?

- 2 A. I felt good about them, that's all I could
- 3 say.
- 4 Q. You were satisfied?
- 5 A. Yes
- 6 Q. Okay. And as far as you know, all the
- 7 other members of the management committee were equally
- 8 satisfied, to your knowledge?
- 9 A. I have no idea how the others felt about
- 10 it.
- 11 Q. Well, no one expressed to you anything
- 12 different than how you felt, right?
- 13 A. Nobody that I recall was negative.
- 14 Q. Okay. When they were first hired, they
- 15 were -- the first time they performed services was in,
- 16 was for the 2016 season?
- 17 A. That's what I was trying to remember.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. And I don't remember.
- Q. All right. Is that your best
- 21 recollection?
- A. Well, it could have been late in 2015.
- Q. Were they brought in, do you believe that
- 24 they were brought in immediately after the drowning of
- 25 Leland?

1 that?

- 2 A. I actually think all this was happening
 - with the -- I don't think there was a management
- 4 committee meeting that made this big decision to hire
- 5 IAM. I don't know exactly how that came down.
- 6 Q. Well, no one from the management committee
- 7 you've told us ever objected to their hiring, correct?
 - A. Not that I'm aware.
- 9 O. And no one in the management committee
- 10 that you know of had anything negative to say about
- 11 them, correct?

13

16

- 12 A. Not that I'm aware.
 - O. And you further told us that no member of
- 14 the management committee had anything but good things
- 15 to say about them as far as you knew, correct?
 - A. As far as I knew.
- 17 Q. Okay. So when they took over after you
 - were sued, excuse me, after Cowabunga Bay was sued by
- 19 Leland Gardner's family, for the first time, what were
- 20 their duties and responsibilities?
 - A. That all falls under the operations.
- 22 Q. Okay. So they were to perform what
- 23 particular operational function?
- 24 A. I think mainly they were to control all
- 5 the lifeguards, teach them, certify them, audit them,



whatever was required to do the proper lifeguard job according to the way it should be done and according 3 to the law.

- 4 O. Okay. Now, did there come a time when
- IAM's function, duties, and responsibility were
- expanded to include other functions, duties, and 7 responsibilities?
- 8 I'm, I'm not aware, I don't know.
- 9 Q. Well, there was another drowning at
- 10 Cowabunga Bay, correct?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 All right. Who drowned? Q.
- 13 I don't, I don't remember the kid's name. Α.
- 14 Q. You don't remember the kid's name?
- 15 A. I don't.
- Okay. Do you remember how old the kid 16 Q.
- 17 was, the child?
- 18 I believe he was a teenager. I just can't 19 remember. I think he was 12 or 13.
- 20 Q. Okay. And you don't know his name?
- 21 A. I can't remember his name.
- 22 O. Okay. And when was it that you first
- 23 learned that there had been another drowning?
- 24 I, I don't recall.
- 25 All right. Was Shane Huish still employed Q.

page 323 1 MR. GORMLEY: Well, yes. So? I don't

- 2 care.
- 3 MR. CAMPBELL: And you're telling him not
- 4 to answer that question?
- 5 MR. GORMLEY: Because you have another 6 lawsuit. You want --
- 7 MR. CAMPBELL: I'm not asking him anything
- about the other lawsuit. I'm not asking him about
- liability or anything of the nature. I'm asking about
- 10 if he knows the date.
- 11 MR. GORMLEY: I don't know where this line 12 of questioning is going.
- 13 MR. CAMPBELL: Well, stay tuned and object
- 14 then rather than in advance of the questions that are
- 15 objectionable, because I'm not going to ask him
- anything that's objectionable.
- 17 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 18 Okay. Do you know the date?
 - MR. GORMLEY: I'll let him answer that.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I don't remember.
- 21 BY MR. CAMPBELL:
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you believe it's in the summer
- 23 of 2016?

19

- 24 A. I believe so.
- 25 Okay. After that date, was there an Q.

page 322

at the time of the second drowning?

- 2 Yes. But he didn't have anything to do 3 with the lifeguards.
- 4 So the answer to my question that I asked;
- to-wit, was Shane Huish still employed at the time of
- 6 the second drowning, the answer is "yes"?
- 7 A.
- 8 Q. Okay. When did the second drowning take place? 9
- 10 (No answer provided by deponent.)
- 11 MR. GORMLEY: Let me object. I'm on the
- verge of instructing him not to answer. This is 12
- another lawsuit that's been filed. We are not
- prepared to go into these issues at this time. 14
- 15 Don't answer the questions.
- 16 MR. CAMPBELL: You're telling him not to
- 17 answer the question as to when the second drowning
- took place? 18
- 19 MR. GORMLEY: Yes.
- 20 MR. CAMPBELL: That's a matter of public
- record, and you're telling him --21
- 22 MR. GORMLEY: I don't care what you think
- 23 it is.
- 24 MR. CAMPBELL: It is a matter of public
- 25 record.

- expansion of the duties of IAM? 1
- 2 Α. I don't know. 3
 - Was there any demotion of Wayne (sic)
- 4 Huish's responsibilities in any way, shape, or form?
- 5 I don't know.
- Has Wayne -- or Shane Huish, excuse me, I 6
- meant to say Shane. Was Shane Huish demoted in any
- way, shape, or form following the second drowning?
- This one resulting in death to the little boy whose
- 10 name you can't recall.
 - Α. I believe so.
- 12 Q. Okay. How so?
- 13 I wasn't part of that, figuring that out.
- So I'm not going to try to answer the question because
- I just don't know it well enough to sit here and tell
- 16 you.

11

- 17 Well, how do you know that his
- responsibilities were diminished in some fashion? 18
- 19 Α. Because I was told.
 - Q. Who told you?
- 21 I think, I think -- I don't remember.
- 22 Okay. It was, it was someone on the O.
- 23 management committee?
- 24 I just don't remember. You asked me who
- and I was trying to think and I don't know.



ge 325 | 1

2

4

9

10

1 Q. All right. How did you learn? Did you 2 learn from a conversation or did you read it or a

3 telephone call or?

4

7

A. I, I just can't remember.

5 Q. Was it such an unimportant event that you 6 just put it out of your mind?

MR. GORMLEY: Let me object.

8 Argumentative.

9 THE WITNESS: I just don't know.

10 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

11 Q. Were the duties that were the subject of

12 diminishment in this responsibility sphere of Shane

13 Huish, assumed by IAM; that's to say, were duties

14 taken away from Shane and then directed to be the

15 responsibility of IAM?

16 A. I believe so.

17 Q. Okay. Do you know what those duties are?

18 A. I'm not knowledgeable enough to try and 19 explain them.

Q. Can you tell me who runs the park now, is

21 it Shane Huish or, or is it IAM?

MR. GORMLEY: How is this relevant to the

23 drowning?

1

24 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

Q. Go ahead.

A. I don't know the exact structure right now of, of the operations.

3 Q. Why not?

4 A. Well, I'm actually not on the management

5 committee anymore.

6 Q. When did you leave the management

7 committee? Was it before or after you were sued?

8 A. After.

9 Q. How long after?

10 A. Probably a year.

11 Q. Why are you no longer on the management

12 committee?

13 A. Obviously I'm old.

14 Q. Any other reason?

15 A. I don't think there needs to be any other

16 reason. I hate to admit it, but it's where I am at.

17 Q. Have you engaged in any efforts to sell

18 the interest, your interest, to any third party in the

19 Cowabunga Bay park?

20 A. I, I don't think we could say that we have

21 engaged. I, I think there has been conversations

22 with, probably with Scott Huish. I don't know. You

23 know, I don't know what they are. But if, certainly

24 if somebody wanted to buy the water park, you know, we

25 would --

O. Consider it?

A. We would consider it, yeah.

3 Do you want to buy it?

Q. And there have been no such discussions of

any sort of negotiations to sell it as of yet?

6 A. I don't, I don't think anything is serious

7 or promising. I think there has been interest, a

8 phone call, you know.

Q. By who?

A. I'm not sure. Those, those conversations

11 have taken place through Scott. They haven't been

2 serious enough for us to even hardly have a

13 conversation about them.

14 Q. You mean the conversations with Scott

15 concerning possible -- your divestiture from the

16 business, have not been serious enough to consider at

17 this point?

18 A. Well, I think if the Huish -- I think they

19 might be interested to do something. But, you know

20 what, I don't know how under this investigation or

21 lawsuit. I don't know how you would even sell a park,

22 if you could even sell a park.

23 Q. Different question. And it's more

24 directed towards third parties. Has there been any

25 attempt to sell your interest or that of your family

page 328

1 in Cowabunga Bay to any third parties?

2 A. No.

3

5

Q. Okay. Have you ever acquired or sold any

4 business of any kind through a broker?

A. Well, ever is a long time. I don't think

6 so. I, I definitely cannot think of a business. I

7 mean, I think an emphatic no.

8 Q. Have you ever acquired a warehouse through

9 a broker?

10 A. Well, define a warehouse. Do you mean

11 like our office?

12 Q. An office or an office complex?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. A small, not very big, but...

16 Q. Have you sought out a broker to --

17 A. Market.

Q. -- to seek, to sell, market, or otherwise

19 locate a third party to purchase your interest and

20 that of the Opheikens family?

A. No.

Q. Okay. At the time that you resigned your

3 position as chairman of the management committee, did

24 you remain on the committee as a member?

A. No.



21

page 331 I just don't remember when we had a

2 meeting, the last meeting. I would guess last fall,

3 but, but I don't specifically remember the meeting.

- 4 Were you at a management committee meeting 5 at which the designation of IAM to operate the entire
- 6 park was made? 7

1

17

- A. I don't, I don't recall. Why is Shane Huish still employed by
- 8 9 Cowabunga Bay?
- 10 I, I think that Shane really, really
- 11 cares. Shane is so good with the customers. As far as safety is concerned, I always thought Shane really
- was very safety conscious. And I don't know what
- happened on this lifeguard issue. I had no idea it
- 15 was happening. I had no idea how it happened. I just wasn't there. 16
 - Q. That's right, you weren't, were you?
- 18 A. That's right, I wasn't. 19
 - So it is fair to say then that Shane
- Huish's responsibility for operations ended at 21
- Cowabunga Bay after the second drowning? 22 I don't know when. I think that happened
- 23 prior to that, but I'm not positive.
- 24 Yes, the second drowning occurred prior to

the time that Shane's operational responsibilities

page 330

2 I don't believe that's correct. Α.

Q. Oh, you don't?

4 A.

ended.

1

3

5 And why don't you believe that that's O.

6 correct?

7 I just don't think that's correct.

8 Well, what is your body of knowledge that

9 leads you to believe that that's not correct?

10 A. I, I just think that Shane Huish, things 11 were changed prior to the end of 2015. I just don't

remember exactly what the changes were. I'm not sure

13 I even ever really knew what the changes were.

Are you aware of any amended operating 15 agreement that exists for the Henderson Water Park?

There could be some, but I, I'm not aware 16

17 of them. Does that mean, for instance, if I am

relieved of being on the board? Or is that an

19 amended -- is that amended?

Well, do you know what an operating

21 agreement is for an LLC?

22 A. Yes. 23

Okay. An operating agreement is, is far

more inclusive than just who happens to be a chairman

of the management committee. Okay? So do you

So when you resigned as chairman, you went

3 A.

2

4 Q. Was an individual appointed in your place as chairman? 5

6 A. I'm not sure.

7 Was another individual appointed to the O.

8 management committee?

9 I'm, I'm not sure.

10 Q. Do you believe that there has been a newly 11 appointed member to the management committee?

12 I, I don't know.

13 Did you ever ask anyone? Q.

off the committee completely?

14 A.

15 Q. And no one ever discussed that subject

matter with you? 16

No. 17 Α.

18 And no one discussed the subject matter of

19 who would become chairman upon your relinquishing that position? 20

21 Α. No. I, I don't know.

22 Was the answer no or you don't know? Q.

23 Can you ask me the question again, please.

MR. CAMPBELL: Please do so.

24 25 ///

1

2

3

(Record read as follows:)

"Q. And no one discussed the subject matter of who would become chairman upon your relinquishing that position?"

4 5 THE WITNESS: I don't, I don't remember specifically talking about it. Cass handled it, and I 7 was just happy get off and be gone.

8 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

Okay. As you sit here today, you can't, you can't recollect anything with respect to what

events transpired after you said "I'm resigning,"

12 correct?

13 A. Yeah. Pretty much.

14 When was the last meeting of the

15 management committee of Cowabunga Bay that you

presided over? 16

17 A. Well, I, I've never thought of me ever 18 presiding over a meeting, but we had meetings.

19 Do you want me to ask -- let me ask the 20 question this way since you quibble with the word 21 "presiding."

22 When was the last meeting at which you

were in attendance of the management committee before

you resigned your position as chairman of that

25 committee?



page 335

understand what I'm saying to you?

A. I think I do.

3 Okay. So I am asking, has there been a

new operating agreement or amended operating agreement

- for the Cowabunga Bay Henderson Water Park that you
- 6 know of?

2

- 7 A. That would remove me from --
- 8 Q. No.
- 9 Α. -- is that what you're talking about?
- 10 That does anything. That does anything
- with respect to the operations. 11
- 12 A. There may have been. I'm not sure if
- 13 that's -- I don't, you know.
- 14 Why do you believe there may have been?
- 15 Α. Well, I signed, I signed a document taking
- me off of the board. 16
- 17 Q. And who prepared that document?
- 18 A. I, I believe Cass may have had it prepared
- 19 by somebody. I'm not sure who prepared it, but, but I
- 20 signed it with Cass.
- 21 Where did you execute that document with Q.
- 22 Cass?

1

- 23 I don't -- I think in my office. A.
- 24 In Utah? Q.
- 25 Yeah.

page 334

page 333

2

3

7

12

19

3

- Okay. Have you disposed of any asset more
- than \$25,000 in value since the time that the first
- lawsuit was filed in this matter in July of 2015?
- 4 Are you talking about a personal asset?
- 5 You know, we build, we build homes, we sell a home,
- pay off the bank loans, I mean, that's kind of the
- 7 business we are in.
- 8 Personal asset is what I'm talking about.
- Something that you would list, okay, on a personal net worth sheet.
- 10
- 11 A. I sold one lot. I think it was for
- 12 200,000, and paid a commission, and I paid off a bank
- 13 loan.

25

- 14 When was that? Q.
- **15** Probably a month ago. A.
- Okay. Anything else? 16 Q.
- 17 A. No, I think that's it.
- When is the last time you created a net 18 0.
- 19 worth statement for a bank?
- 20 I honestly don't know. Charlie Auger
- 21 takes care of that if the bank requests it.
- Charlie Auger is your CFO? 22 0.
- 23 A. CFO, yeah. I would, I would guess that he
- 24 would have done that in the last one or two years.
 - Okay. And do you know what bank that net

- worth statement was provided to? 1
 - I would guess Zions Bank.
 - O. In Ogden?
- 4 Α. Or Salt Lake Bank. I mean, they have a
- 5 branch.
- 6 Q. SLC. Okay.

Is there a investment advisory firm you

- 8 use for your investments?
- 9 I don't think so. You're talking about 10 like stocks or things like that?
- 11 Q. Yes.
 - No. No. A.
- 13 You don't use Morgan Stanley or Q.
- 14 Wells Fargo advisers or?
- 15 No. Α.
- 16 O. You know, Goldman Sachs, nothing like
- 17 that?
- 18 Α.
 - Well, who manages your investments? Q.
- 20 A. Well, I don't have a lot of those kind of
- 21 investments.
- 22 Okay. You are basically a real estate Q.
- 23 guy?
- 24 Α. Yeah.
- 25 Okay. Who's your certified public O.

page 336

- accountant? Your personal certified public
 - accountant?
 - A. Rovce Richards.
 - 4 Q. How long has he been your CPA?
 - 5 A. A number of years.
 - 6 O. What is the name of the firm?
 - 7 A. I think it's Woods Richards or Richards
 - 8 Woods.
 - 9 When the second drowning occurred -- and I
 - 10 will tell you the little boy's name is Daquan
 - Bankston. Okay? 11
 - 12 A. Okay.
 - 13 O. After Daguan Bankston died by drowning at
 - the wave pool at Cowabunga Bay, did you make any
 - 15 inquiry of anyone as to the facts and circumstances of
 - 16 the little boy's death?
 - 17 MR. GORMLEY: Let me object to relevancy
 - 18 concerning the lawsuit of Leland Gardner and this
 - 19 second drowning.
 - You can answer the question.
 - 21 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.
 - 22 THE WITNESS: I, I talked to Scott Huish
 - 23 and I think Slade also, you know, that they told me
 - 24 what was going on.
 - 25 ///

20



page 339

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. How much time do I 2 have left? 3

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 26 minutes.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Let's take a break.

5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is

approximately 12:59 p.m. We're going off the record. 6 7

(Recessed from 12:59 p.m. to 1:06 p.m.)

8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is

approximately 1:06 p.m. We are back on the record.

10 BY MR. CAMPBELL:

11 Q. Have you assigned any interest that you possess in Henderson Water Park, Cowabunga Bay, or any 12

13 of the entities that are associated with to any other

14 party?

15 A. No.

Has any entity, to your knowledge, 16 Q.

associated with Cowabunga Bay, changed its status in

any way such as going from a corporation to a limited

liability company to a partnership, anything of that

20 nature?

> A. I don't believe so. Not that I'm aware

22 of.

21

23 I asked this question, but bear with me

because I'm asking it again for a reason, for

25 foundation.

page 338

1 To the best of your recollection, when did you resign your position as presiding chairman of the

3 management committee of Cowabunga Bay?

4 I would say in the last two or three A. 5 months.

Okay. What other documents were created 6 Q. in conjunction with your resignation of that position

besides your, whatever document your lawyer in Ogden 9 prepared for you?

10 A. I -- I'm not, I'm not aware. I just 11 signed the documents as he --

12 Q. Was --

13 Α. -- prepared them.

14 Q. Sorry.

15 I don't think. Α.

That's the question, was there more than 16 Q.

17 one document?

18 I don't think so. Α.

19 Q. Okay.

20 But, you know. Α.

21 Did you -- have you ever participated in

22 any sort of restructuring of any entity that's been in

23 any way involved with Cowabunga Bay?

24 Α. No.

25 Q. Has there been any meeting that you have attended or otherwise are aware of with respect to the

lending institution that you have outstanding loans

3 with for Cowabunga Bay?

No. I don't believe so.

O. Okay.

4

5

7

11

12

14

17

22

1

3

6 None that I've attended. A.

> Is Lou Brandon still your attorney? Q.

8 I don't think so. But we still -- I know

9 that Cass was still working with something with

Lou Brandon --10

Q.

A. -- the other day. So I'm not sure how to

13 answer that question.

> Q. All right. Don't tell me what they were

15 doing.

16 A. I don't know.

> Q. Okay. But you believe that Lou,

18 Mr. Brandon is still an attorney who is in some

19 fashion associated with this litigation; is that fair

20 enough? 21

Α. Yes, I believe so.

Q. Okay. Now, since the time -- withdraw.

23 Have you at any time seen any recordings,

24 visual recordings, that depict the physical condition

25 of little Leland?

page 340

A. No, I haven't.

2 Do you know that they exist?

I've heard. I think Lou Brandon told us

that, that he watched them, and they were so -- they

were really hard to watch.

All right. Why did you choose not to 6 O.

7 watch them?

8 Mr. Campbell, I had a son that was killed

in our backvard with a brain injury on a four-wheeler.

10 I just didn't know how -- I just couldn't deal with

11 it.

13

12 Q. How long ago was that?

It's been probably 20, 25 years ago.

14 Who was the manufacturer?

15 I, I don't remember. I didn't sue

16 anybody. It was me that bought it.

17 Well, I've handled a number of those cases

18 and successfully so, and established liability on

four-wheelers. Particularly ones that were developed,

configured, and tested about the same time as your boy

21 died from.

22 And you have my greatest and most sincere

23 condolences. It is something that you never get over.

No matter how many children you have, no matter how

long you live. Until the day you die, you will never



	page 341		page 343
1	overcome that grief.	1	MS. BEAL: Yes, please.
2	And I only pray that I never have to	2	COURT REPORTER: Mr. Godinho, did you want
3	undergo it. And I worry about it every day that my	3	a copy of the transcript?
4	boy is deployed.	4	MR. GODINHO: Yes.
5	So I can understand why you would not want	5	(Whereupon, the deposition adjourned at 1:16 p.m.)
6	to view that. But I want to ask a different question.	6	
7	Who is it that you believe is responsible	7	
8	for the death of little Leland in this case?	8	
9	MR. GORMLEY: I'm going to object. It	9	
10	calls for a legal objection.	10	
11	BY MR. CAMPBELL:	11	
12	Q. Excuse me, brain injury. I'm sorry. Who	12	
13	do you think is let me reask it.	13	
14	·	14	
15	injuries that have been suffered by Leland?	15	
16	A. I, I really don't know. I, I have no	16	
17		17	
18	MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I have no further	18	
19	questions at this time.	19	
20	•	20	
$\frac{20}{21}$	you once again for documents that have not yet been	21	
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	produced in this action.	22	
$\begin{vmatrix} 22\\23 \end{vmatrix}$	But for now, that covers all of the	23	
$\begin{vmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{vmatrix}$	questions that we have. Thank you very much for	24	
25	coming back.	25	
23	coming back.	23	
1	page 342	1	
1	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the	1 2	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time.	2	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet.	2	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4	time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2	2 3 4	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens.	2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6	time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony	2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video.	2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of them? MR. GORMLEY: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the within and foregoing transcription, including
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of them? MR. GORMLEY: Yes. How soon can we get the video done?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the within and foregoing transcription, including my corrections reflected above, is a true and correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of them? MR. GORMLEY: Yes. How soon can we get the video done? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The end of the week?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the within and foregoing transcription, including my corrections reflected above, is a true and correct transcription of my testimony contained therein; that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of them? MR. GORMLEY: Yes. How soon can we get the video done? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The end of the week? When do you need it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the within and foregoing transcription, including my corrections reflected above, is a true and correct transcription of my testimony contained therein; that I have read, corrected, and hereby affix my signature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of them? MR. GORMLEY: Yes. How soon can we get the video done? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The end of the week? When do you need it? MR. GORMLEY: Maybe by next week.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the within and foregoing transcription, including my corrections reflected above, is a true and correct transcription of my testimony contained therein; that I have read, corrected, and hereby affix my signature to said deposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of them? MR. GORMLEY: Yes. How soon can we get the video done? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The end of the week? When do you need it? MR. GORMLEY: Maybe by next week. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No problem.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the within and foregoing transcription, including my corrections reflected above, is a true and correct transcription of my testimony contained therein; that I have read, corrected, and hereby affix my signature to said deposition. Executed this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of them? MR. GORMLEY: Yes. How soon can we get the video done? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The end of the week? When do you need it? MR. GORMLEY: Maybe by next week. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No problem. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fick, would you like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the within and foregoing transcription, including my corrections reflected above, is a true and correct transcription of my testimony contained therein; that I have read, corrected, and hereby affix my signature to said deposition. Executed this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of them? MR. GORMLEY: Yes. How soon can we get the video done? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The end of the week? When do you need it? MR. GORMLEY: Maybe by next week. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No problem. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fick, would you like a copy of this deposition transcript?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the within and foregoing transcription, including my corrections reflected above, is a true and correct transcription of my testimony contained therein; that I have read, corrected, and hereby affix my signature to said deposition. Executed this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Thank you for giving me the time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of them? MR. GORMLEY: Yes. How soon can we get the video done? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The end of the week? When do you need it? MR. GORMLEY: Maybe by next week. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No problem. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fick, would you like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the within and foregoing transcription, including my corrections reflected above, is a true and correct transcription of my testimony contained therein; that I have read, corrected, and hereby affix my signature to said deposition. Executed this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	time. MR. CAMPBELL: You bet. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume 2 in the videotaped deposition of Orluff Opheikens. The original media from today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Gormley, would you like a copy of the transcript or the video? MR. GORMLEY: Yes, I do. COURT REPORTER: Would you like both of them? MR. GORMLEY: Yes. How soon can we get the video done? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The end of the week? When do you need it? MR. GORMLEY: Maybe by next week. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No problem. COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fick, would you like a copy of this deposition transcript? MR. FICK: Yes, please. COURT REPORTER: Ms. Beal, would you like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I, ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the within and foregoing transcription, including my corrections reflected above, is a true and correct transcription of my testimony contained therein; that I have read, corrected, and hereby affix my signature to said deposition. Executed this day of



```
page 345
                    CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
 1
 2
 3
     STATE OF NEVADA )
     COUNTY OF CLARK )
 5
 6
                 I Denise R. Kelly, a Certified court
 7
     Reporter, duly licensed by the State of Nevada do
 8
     hereby certify:
 9
                 That I reported the deposition of
10
     ORLUFF OPHEIKENS, commencing on Tuesday, May 8, 2018,
     at the hour of 9:29 a.m.
11
12
                 That prior to being deposed, the deponent
13
     was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth;
14
                 That I thereafter transcribed my said
15
     stenographic notes into written form;
16
                 That the typewritten transcript is a
     complete, true, and accurate transcription of my said
17
18
     stenographic notes;
                 I further certify that pursuant to {\tt NRCP}
19
20
     Rule 30(e)(1) that the signature of the deponent:
21
                 _X_ was requested by the deponent or a
22
     party before the completion of the deposition;
                 __ was not requested by the deponent or a
23
    party before the completion of the deposition;
24
25
                 I further certify that I am not a relative
                                                       page 346
     or employee of counsel or of any of the parties
    involved in the proceeding, nor a person financially
 3
    interested in the proceeding.
          IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my
    office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this
 5
 6
     13th day of May, 2018.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
                                   Denise R. Kelly
                                    CCR #252, RPR
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



	302:13 313:21	2100		274:14
Exhibits		305:8	6	
	150-page			according
Opheinkens Ex 39 C	214:16	22nd	6:00	321:2
olor	16	305:3,11,17	305:14	accordingly
208:5 261:17,21,22	268:10 313:21	24th		210:21 211:23
262:2 266:6,9 267:2,	16th	305:10,12	7	account
15	268:4	25		241:11,16 304:18
		340:13	700	
\$	1:06		209:12	accountant
	337:7,9	26		336:1,2
\$25,000	1:14	337:3	8	accountants
334:2	342:8	28th		240:18
\$5	1:16	293:1,14	8	accounting
302:19	343:5	2nd	209:1 276:19	241:18
		221:15	277:10,13 279:8	
1	1st		280:1 304:19	accounts
	221:15	3	90101	240:21 241:10
10			89101 209:12	accuracy
302:10	2	30	209.12	297:25
		210:16 237:9 301:24	8th	accurate
10-minute	2		209:5	298:7
312:18	209:5 342:4	30th		
1025	20	236:7	9	accusing
305:8	302:7 308:20 340:13	31		218:6
10:42	200,000	237:9	9:29	achieved
261:15,16	334:12	31st	209:2,7	303:4
		236:7 268:2,18	9:30	acquired
10:58	2014	· ·	210:20	298:19 303:1 328:3,
261:16,19	218:10 219:5 221:5,	39	Oth	8
10th	17,21 225:4,20	261:17,21,22 262:2	9th 238:12	
221:5 238:13	227:7,13 228:12 229:6,17 235:16	266:6,9 267:2,15	230.12	across 226:13
12	236:6,8 237:6,10,25			220.13
321:19	262:5	4	A	action
			A 45 700050	341:22
12:06	2015	40	A-15-722259	actions
312:21,22	229:25 230:1,2,10	300:23	209:14	224:5 252:4
12:24	235:11,15 238:13 239:2,7,10,13,20	4th	a.m.	actual
312:22,24	246:7 268:2,4,10,12,	293:10,14,19 294:2,	209:2,7 261:15,16,	actual 217:7 229:19 232:18
12:59	18 270:13 293:2,10,	21	19	247:15 280:2 295:1
337:6,7	19 294:3 307:7		abiding	296:19
,	308:2,20,25 309:19	5	282:12	
221:10	310:11,13 318:22		ability	actually
321:19	332:11 334:3	5	ability 227:17	217:3 264:18 292:8
14	2016	298:24 299:2		305:9 313:18 319:7 320:2 326:4
225:22,24 237:5	318:16 323:23	55	above	
14th		312:16	262:16 263:17	add
219:2	2017		absolutely	214:1
	305:3	5th	257:9	addition
225-22 224-4 220-40	2018	218:10 219:3,5	accident	228:14
225:22 231:4 238:18	209:1,5		accident	



address 289:23

> adjourn 213:11

adjourned 343:5

adjourning 213:12

adjusting 240:18,21 241:9

administer 209:21

admit 326:16

admonished 253:8

advance 323:14

advanced

217:13 254:17 301:6 303:13

advancing 217:19

advertising 229:11

advised

213:5 304:20

advisers 335:14

advisorv 335:7

affiliate

304:19 against

252:3 agency

301:9

agenda 218:21,24 232:22

agent

216:13 299:12,17

aggregate 261:22 266:6,8 agree

281:12

agreed 213:14 232:2 303:21

agreement

249:20 332:15,21,23 333:4

ahead

219:18 220:2 222:15 227:1 230:15 245:1 255:21 256:13 258:2 259:5 266:23 270:21 281:16 284:4 286:23 290:16 300:5 319:8 325:25

alive 301:1

allegations 217:7 290:6

Allow 221:18

alone 301:20

along

234:25 254:7 272:21 288:25

already

287:2 314:18

always

242:23 247:6 331:12

ambiguous

225:6 230:14 256:23 258:12,21

amended

305:4 332:14,19 333:4

among 292:7

amount

224:23 229:21

Angeles 226:15

answer

211:17,19 212:2 219:18 224:24 225:7,8 227:1,16 229:22 230:7,15 244:3,19,20 249:23 250:3 259:13 269:8 277:22 283:19 296:13,15 302:18 304:14 312:1 322:4, 6,10,12,15,17 323:4, 19 324:14 329:22

answered

220:12 228:6 255:18

336:20 339:13

answers 286:20

anybody

227:24 241:2 251:4 269:4,16 270:2 317:12 340:16

anymore 326:5

anyone

220:4 246:15 251:1, 14 266:8 269:9,14, 19 314:24 316:5 317:18,21 329:13 336:15

anything

211:23 212:14 214:10 220:20 234:10 238:23 252:9 253:3,17 255:11,14 258:24 259:3 268:21 269:9,15,19 271:2 275:13,16 295:8 300:8 305:1 309:14 312:7 318:11 320:10,14 322:2 323:7.9.16 327:6 330:10 333:10 334:16 337:19

anyway

223:25 231:1 296:5 299:7

apparently 246:9 315:9

appear 315:10,13

appearance 210:15

appearances 209:20

appeared 222:16

appearing 209:24 210:2,5

appears

265:5,10 266:19 267:5,8

application 223:21

apply 224:14

appointed 329:4,7,11

appreciate 212:16 284:21

approval 220:9 319:25

approvals 229:10

approved 254:19

approximately

209:7 261:15,19 307:7 312:21,24 337:6,9 342:8

approximation 275:22

April

221:5 231:13 268:4

area

246:20,21,22,23

arguing 218:2

argument

296:10

Argumentative 253:24 284:3 325:8

arose 242:14

231:23 234:5 310:8

arranged

around

281:10

arrest

253:20 254:5

arrested

254:17

arrived 214:2

arrow 264:4,15,19

arrows 264:21

article 278:9

articulate 228:11 315:10

asphalted 226:3

asset 334:1,4,8

assigned 337:11

assistant 244:12 307:3

associated 234:14 280:13 337:13,17 339:19

assumed

217:2 325:13

Assumes 236:10.17 238:15

assumption 290:3

attempt 327:25

attend

236:22 282:3 283:2, 7 291:13

attendance 251:6 330:23

attended

235:14 281:25 289:8 291:14 305:25 339:1,6

attending



223:1

attention

234:9 267:1 278:15 283:12 293:24 295:13

attorney

258:18 259:14 260:6,19,21,22,23 261:1,3,5,7 277:7 278:24 279:1 280:6 281:5,6 282:14 290:13 291:2,25 292:9 295:23 306:24 339:7,18

attorney-client

281:11

attorney/client

281:13 296:2

attorneys

306:17

attributable

311:13

audit

214:13 320:25

Auger

334:20,22

August

293:10,14,19 294:2, 21 310:8 319:4

available

227:5

Aviva

259:8,13

aware

218:22 219:11 241:5 242:3,8 243:13 269:8,14 302:9,12, 14 303:3 304:24 320:8,12 321:8 332:14,16 337:21 338:10 339:1

away

272:4 309:25 316:20 325:14

awful

272:25

В

B-R-A-N-D-O-N

280:23

back

228:24 235:13 240:18 244:3 245:8 246:2,4 247:24,25 254:22 261:19 267:24 268:17 275:14 288:17 291:7 299:13 301:18 310:8 312:24 337:9 341:25

backyard

340:9

bad

276:6 289:23

badgering

255:19

ball 294:7

ballpark

291:4

bank

261:1,4 334:6,12,19, 21,25 335:2,4

Bankston

336:11.13

barges

226:13

based

216:15 293:22 297:14

basically

218:4 226:18 232:12 235:25 335:22

basis

216:21

Bay

209:18 215:3,7 225:3 229:8 230:3 259:19,24 260:2,16 261:8 278:7 285:5 298:19 303:1,14,17, 24 304:6,9 306:6,13, 18 308:17 320:18 321:10 326:19 328:1 330:15 331:9,21 333:5 336:14 337:12,17 338:3,23 339:3

Bay's 216:4,7

Beal 210:10 342:24 343:1

beam 302:3,4

bear 337:23

beer

223:24,25

beginning

233:12

begins 209:5

behalf

209:15,24 210:2,5,7, 9,11,14 222:17 260:2,16 261:8 298:19

behind

264:24 266:2,4,11, 12,15,18

believe

214:5,22 215:4 216:22 218:3 225:1 228:10 229:20 232:8 235:12,14 246:2,5 248:9 250:2 260:11 263:8 264:12 271:6 275:3 276:19 279:16,23 280:5,10, 22 285:18 286:4 290:21 291:14 292:5 294:9,13,19 295:24 296:24,25 297:1,12 298:24 299:18 305:7,9,16 306:11 309:25 310:8,17 311:1,16,19 312:3 313:3 315:2,23 316:7 318:23 321:18 323:22,24 324:11 325:16 329:10 332:2,5,9 333:14,18 337:21 339:4,17,21

341:7

believed

277:15 287:9

bell

265:5

besides

304:18 314:25 338:8

best

227:17 235:10 247:14 251:18 292:10 295:4 318:20 338:1

bet

342:3

better

218:25

between

211:10 213:14 239:25 243:3,8 245:18 301:19

big

226:24 251:3 320:4 328:15

biggest

227:19,23

bit

214:25 224:17 258:7

black

265:24

black-and-white

266:20

blank

238:3,4

blonde

265:24

blue

226:24 230:5,7 239:14 264:2,5 265:11 266:16,18

board

249:6 250:12 254:18 332:18 333:16

body

315:20 332:8

bottom

285:21

bought

340:16

boy

271:11 274:4,10,22 275:12 324:9 340:20

341:4

boy's

336:10,16

brain

231:4 270:14 271:11,23 272:10 284:2,14 340:9 341:12

branch

335:5

Brandon

280:7,10 281:4 290:14 292:9 293:25 306:2,11 307:1

339:7,10,18 340:3

Brandon's

291:11 307:4

bread 315:21

hreak

245:23 261:11 312:18 337:4

breakdown

297:2

breath

283:20

briefs

217:11,14

bring 226:19 295:12

broker

328:4,9,16

brought

240:11 250:11,14 278:15 310:1 318:23.24 319:3

brown

263:6

Bryan



291:3

Bryan's

291:10

budget

230:24 232:11 234:13,16,20,22 235:4

build

227:9 334:5

built

225:13 227:3

bumped

246:13

Buried

301:1

burned

212:15

business

209:17 234:21 242:18 245:16 299:15 314:3 327:16

328:4,6 334:7

busy

Butler

230:18

260:21 281:5 291:2 305:24,25 306:6

butter

315:22

buy

243:1 326:24 327:3

buyer

242:9

С

caged

243:15,16 257:5,6

calendar

210:18

call

214:4 224:12 226:14 232:12 245:21 285:18 288:6,7 325:3 327:8 called

210:17 214:6 250:24 276:23 287:3 310:7 311:3

Calling 267:1

calls

242:25 257:14,23 269:11 341:10

Camp

209:8

Campbell

209:11,23,24 210:2, 4,6,15 211:6,20 212:3,6,9 213:5,7,9, 16,20 214:9 218:16, 19 219:4,19,22 220:1,15 221:11,18, 20,24 222:9,15,20 225:15 227:20 228:2 231:10 235:23

231:10 235:23 236:14,21 237:17 238:19 239:8 243:21 254:1 255:20 256:4, 9,12,24 257:18 258:1,10,15,22,25

259:4,9 261:10,20 263:3 268:6,12,14 269:13 270:1,22 271:3 272:2 273:12.

15,18,22 274:1 280:19 281:9,14,15 282:21 283:14

284:6,16 286:15,17, 19,22 294:16,18 296:7,12,17 303:16 309:5 312:14,17

313:1 322:16,20,24 323:3,7,13,17,21 325:10,24 329:24

330:8 336:21 337:1,

4,10 340:8 341:11, 18 342:3

Cannon

210:13

capital

240:21 241:9,10,16

car

301:21

care

214:18 322:22 323:2 334:21

cares

331:11 carrier

303:14

carry

299:14 302:17,20

case

209:14 212:1,3,5 218:8 296:8 303:1, 14 306:1 311:2 341:8

cases

340:17

Cass

260:21 281:5 291:2 305:22,23,24,25 306:6 330:6 333:18, 20,22 339:9

casual

252:13 253:5

catastrophic

300:11,15,22 301:17 302:21 303:5,8,12 308:18 311:14

catastrophically

307:18

cautioning

268:19

CBS

304:19

304.13

cell

243:20

cement

228:16

ceremony

267:6

certainly

248:21 255:3 261:5 282:11 326:23

certified

335:25 336:1

certify

320:25

CFO

334:22,23

chairman

328:23 329:1,5,19 330:3,24 332:24 338:2

challenge

227:9

challenges

225:3,17,19 227:6, 12 228:7,12 229:5,

chamber

262:21

change

248:4 249:15 308:15 309:17

changed

332:11 337:17

changes

298:9 308:21 309:2, 20 332:12.13

changing

232:6

Channel

276:19 277:10,13 279:8 280:1 304:19

Charlie

334:20,22

check

213:4 214:24 215:21,25 290:24

checking

275:13 299:12

Chet

251:21 266:15,20,24 276:24 277:10 285:19

child

209:16 264:1,5,8,10 265:18,21 271:22 321:17

child's

287:6

children

264:13,25 340:24

choose

340:6

Chris

314:15

Christian

209:15

Christmas

305:14

circumstances

213:10 336:15

claim

301:5 303:7,11

claims

217:12

clapping 266:19

Clark

209:13

Clayton 210:9

cleaning

230:18 clear

212:4 217:15 226:10

296:20,23

clearly 213:18

client

213:5,7 272:8 279:15 291:24

clients

315:20 **close**

240:4

closed 237:6,24

closure

235:20 236:6

coat

263:6

collapsed 300:25

collection 252:22



column 226:8

columns 226:12,17

commensurate

241:16 311:20 312:5,8

commented

223:11

commission

334:12

committed

248:4

committee

218:11 230:10 231:16,18 232:1 235:2 238:13 251:19 252:1,6,23 268:3 269:1,20 270:11 271:10 272:5,8

271:10 272:5,8 275:19 281:20 282:4 283:3 292:7,17

293:9,19 294:2 303:13 307:6,17,20 310:23 318:7 319:25

320:4,6,9,14 324:23 326:5,7,12 328:23, 24 329:2,8,11

330:15,23,25 331:4 332:25 338:3

communicated 274:13

communication 245:13 247:5 249:4,

communications

222:10 223:10 281:8

compaction

228:22

company

209:19 214:23 215:18 216:3,5,8,11, 17,18 300:10 303:9 304:10 315:17 337:19

compare

232:15

compel

212:7

Complaint 217:6 291:22

completed

229:14

completely

329:2

complex 328:12

complies

262:17 263:10,18, 21,25 264:7,23 265:4,9 266:14,25

comply 308:5

component

224:18

concern

233:19 252:24 253:6,7 282:17

concerned

231:20,22 232:25 233:2,8,12 234:1 244:24 257:19 258:6 299:10 331:12

concerning

242:6 258:11 327:15 336:18

concerns

223:18 234:2 252:2

concludes

342:4

concrete

228:23

condition

279:14,22 280:2 291:24 339:24

condolences

340:23

conference

260:10 280:8 291:10,11

confidence

297:17,25

confident

220:25

configured

340:20

conflict

239:25 240:25 241:1.5

confrontation

257:1,4

confrontations

256:19

confused

269:23

confusing 221:9

conjunction 338:7

conscious

331:13

consider

327:1,2,16

considered

223:10

consists

261:23

constituted

303:12

construction

221:9 228:15 229:3, 8,19 242:17 259:23 294:10 299:25

300:10

contacted

291:3

contacting

272:21 283:10

contingent

283:24 284:11 285:3

continue

213:8

CONTINUED

211:5

contractor

240:5

contractor's

253:2

contractors

214:19 249:6

control

320:24

conversation

223:6 224:6,9,10,11, 21 242:4 244:23 246:16 247:8,17 325:2 327:13

conversations

326:21 327:10,14

conveyed

277:13 280:4

copy

215:11,12 218:14 342:11,22,25 343:3

corollary

241:15

corporation 337:18

correct

217:12 219:7,8 223:15,16 230:3,4,8 235:22 239:21

241:13,14,17,23 246:8 248:9 250:15 252:25 253:10,22

254:19 256:6 266:20 267:13,21 268:23 269:15,20,21 270:7,

8,17 271:20 277:5, 16,17 279:17 285:3,

24,25 286:3,4,7 287:4,5,9,19 288:13,

20 289:20,21,25 290:7,9,12,14 292:9 293:15 294:4

295:10,11 298:20 301:4,6 305:5,6

306:14,15 309:19 312:12 313:10

315:25 317:15 318:1 319:9,19,22,23

320:7,11,15 321:10

330:12 332:2,6,7,9

cost

232:15 253:21

costs

232:13,16 234:13

counsel

209:20 260:13

270:12

County 209:13

209.10

couple

291:11

course

212:25 247:5 249:4 263:19 275:8 276:2 281:2,14 311:24

court

209:8,9,13,21 214:7, 8 217:11 218:2 224:13 290:5 293:1 305:16 342:10,13,

Court's

21,24 343:2

218:8

coverage

276:17,18 299:25

304:21

covers 341:23

Cowabunga

209:18 215:3,7 216:4,7 221:10 225:3 229:8 230:3

259:12,19,24 260:2,

16 261:8 278:7

285:5 298:19 303:1,

14,17,24 304:6,9 306:6,13,18 308:17

320:18 321:10 326:19 328:1 330:15

331:9,21 333:5 336:14 337:12,17

338:3,23 339:3

211:20 212:4

СРА

Coyote

336:4

Craig 251:10

crashed 212:15



219:12 334:18 338:6

critical

317:22

cross

268:20

crossed

249:13,14 252:16,18 253:10,14,16 268:22 269:2

custody

342:7

customers

331:11

cut

267:16

cutting

262:4

D

damage

270:14 271:11,23 272:10

Daguan

336:10,13

date

230:22 234:7 235:8 323:10,18,25

dates

237:7,9,13,15,19 288:18

day

242:15 267:2,4 274:16,17 285:16 307:10 339:12 340:25 341:3

days

236:7 248:1 250:9 254:21 271:13 274:21 275:24 292:2 293:17

deal

288:10 315:13 340:10

death

302:22 324:9 336:16

341:8

debate

213:18 249:21

December

305:3

decision

310:6 313:2 320:4

declaring

304:11

decrease

241:16

defendant

210:11

defendants

209:19 217:23

defendants'

218:1

defer

310:24

define

328:10

definitely

328:6

demand

247:18

247.10

demoted 324:7

demotion

324:3

Denise

209:10

department

220:9 226:20 231:19 233:10,13,18 246:5 247:25 248:3,16 254:16

depict

339:24

depiction

261:24

depicts

depicts 267:15

deployed

341:4

deponent

211:17 262:17 263:10,18,21,25 264:7,23 265:4,9 266:14,25 322:10

deposing

341:20

deposition

209:6 211:11 212:24 213:11,13,22 215:19 247:14 261:17 342:5,22 343:5

designate

246:23

designation

244:15 303:7 331:5

detail

223:9

details

238:2

determine

298:5

developed

340:19

developments

241:8

die

340:25

died

301:3 336:13 340:21

different

215:1 236:2 269:5 279:9,12 292:11 295:20,21 318:12 327:23 341:6

difficult

225:14 273:19

difficulties

225:18,19

difficulty

213:6

diminished

324:18

diminishment

325:12

direct

212:6 228:4

directed

224:2 325:14 327:24

directions

257:12

directives

308:21 309:1

directly

266:11 311:13

dirt

300:25

disciplinary

310:22

discipline

311:12,19 312:4,11

disciplined

310:4,16

discovered

211:24 279:7 295:16

discuss

242:24 307:20

discussed

211:15 212:13 220:22 221:6 232:7, 11,21 235:2,15 244:23 268:1 272:11 275:20 281:23 292:7,8 295:9 309:6 329:15,18 330:2

discussing

296:2

discussion

222:5 224:19 241:25 251:3,20 296:15 299:3 308:7

discussions

327:4

disk

219:24 256:10,11

disposed

334:1

district

209:13 284:1,13 285:4,17 293:1 308:7

district's

286:7 287:18

divestiture

327:15

document

214:16 215:1,6 333:15,17,21 338:8,

documentation

214:4 217:5

documents

212:10,12,19,20,23 213:23 214:12 215:13 261:2 270:25 338:6,11 341:21

Donald

209:23

doorbell

305:13

dot 268:20

dotted 249:15 253:10,15 268:22 269:2

double

210:14 214:24

downtown

302:1 **draw**

264:15

drink

223:18

driven 252:14

driving

301:18

drowned

271:22 272:9 284:1, 14,24 285:16 287:17 321:12

drowning

270:15 271:5 275:18 281:24 282:4 283:4 295:18 297:8 298:3



318:24 321:9,23 322:1,6,8,17 324:8 325:23 331:21,24 336:9,13,19

duly 211:2

duties

309:18 319:22 320:20 321:5,6 324:1 325:11,13,17

duty

295:17,18 298:2

Ε

earlier

268:18 317:1

early

267:3

easier

304:5 **East**

305:8

effect

245:3 247:16,22 270:13 287:7

effort

226:16 **efforts**

326:17

eight 231:24 248:8,11,18,

24 249:1 289:3

Eighth 293:1

Eisinger

295:24 296:16 306:24,25

Elizabeth 260:10

email 222:11

emphatic

328:7 employed

321:25 322:5 331:8

employee 255:8

enamored 257:11,16

encapsulate

encountered 227:12

end

226:23 235:20 237:4 244:17 281:3,17 319:10 332:11 342:17

ended

289:13 331:20 332:1

ending 226:22

engage 213:17

engaged 326:17,21

English 223:14

Enjoy 259:6

enough

266:22 292:4 299:9, 11 300:7 308:2 324:15 325:18 327:12,16 339:20

ensure

252:1,6 253:13 268:22 270:3

ensured

252:3 269:1

enters

213:19 222:8

entire 331:5

entities

337:13

entitled 209:14 211:21 entity

303:25 337:16 338:22

equally

316:10,13 318:7

erect 226:5

erection

228:9

Erwin

210:4 essentially

261:24 established

270:21,25 287:2 340:18

estate 335:22

et al 209:19

Eve 305:14

event

261:25 262:3 275:20 292:6 303:5,8,11 325:5

events 330:11

eventually 317:15

ever

215:14 220:21 222:21 223:1 239:1, 6,9,25 242:5 243:14 252:8,15 257:1,19 258:6 260:23 277:24 278:6 282:13 300:10 302:25 320:7 328:3, 5,8 329:13,15 330:17 332:13 338:21

everybody 278:25 295:21

everyone 247:16

everything

213:16 215:24 223:15 227:9 230:19 232:5 235:24 236:1 288:7 311:9 317:24

evidence

236:11,17 237:12 238:16

exact

237:7,9 288:18 326:1

exactly

224:15 244:8 253:3 295:25 309:10,21 313:4 314:19 320:5 332:12

examination 211:5 308:5

examined

211:3

example 306:2

excellent

256:16

excited 233:23

excuse

221:18 262:7 285:22 320:18 324:6 341:12

execute 333:21

exhibit

261:17,21,22,23,24 262:2,8 266:6,9 267:2,15

exist

340:2

exists 332:15

expanded 321:6

expansion 324:1

expect 290:20

experience

240:7 315:14,17

experienced 258:6

expired 210:21

explain 325:19

expressed 318:11

expressionless

257:16

F

face 225:2

fact

227:2 242:8 244:23 252:3 253:6 254:17 256:5 257:3,12 264:17 283:23 284:10 285:5,10,12 292:25 306:10 312:10

facts

236:10,17 238:16 279:8 296:8 336:15

failure

283:23 284:11 308:5

fair

213:15 292:4 308:2 331:19 339:19

fall

313:21 331:2

falls 320:21

false 298:7

familiar 217:14

family 240:12 259:23

319:15 320:19 327:25 328:20

far

279:15,22 280:3



285:7,15,16 286:2,5, 6,9 287:7,17 317:13 318:6 320:15,16 331:11 332:23

Fargo 335:14

fashion229:17 274:25
289:24 290:6 324:18

339:19

fast 228:24

father 300:23

favor 317:14

fear 252:14

feared 252:7,8

731-1 232-8 234

231:1 232:8 235:10, 15 239:15,16,17

feel

223:8 257:19 307:12

feelings 241:2

fell 302:4

felt

233:23 240:7 267:23 318:2,9,12

few

248:1 250:9

fewer

285:16 286:6,9 287:17

Fiberglas 226:1

Fick

210:8 342:21,23

figure 285:22

figuring 324:13 file 212:7

filed

214:5 217:5,7 272:14,18 273:1 276:1 281:1,18 282:5 283:5 285:11, 24 286:1 287:4 289:18 290:4,5 292:25 293:18 305:16 307:19 319:15,18 322:13

334:3 **filing** 291:21,22

financial 243:11

find

215:13 275:13 285:12,15

fine 296:4

finish

233:4 312:18

finished

249:16 302:2

fired

244:13 309:22 310:2

firm

210:16 280:14,18 316:21 317:6,14,19, 25 335:7 336:6

first

211:2 213:22 219:7 230:2 242:14 252:21 267:1 270:10 271:9 276:2 281:19 283:23 284:10,23 285:10 291:15,23 295:16,22 299:1 313:15,16 318:14,15 319:13,15 320:19 321:22 334:2

five

flew

255:18 297:6,13,18 298:2

298:2

290:22,23

flow 289:24

flower 266:19

follow 257:8

following

221:4 280:1 292:12, 15 324:8

follows

211:3 283:1 284:8 308:24 330:1

food 224:13

forever 225:23

forget 303:22

forgetting 251:17

form

222:11 244:4 306:7 324:4.8

formal 252:22

forth

240:18 301:19 308:6

forward

250:16 307:21

found

214:17 254:4,16 277:4 283:22 284:9 285:1,3

foundation

219:14 268:5 337:25

foundationally 245:15

four

232:17 264:15,18, 19,20,21,25 274:21

four-and-a-half

232:17

four-wheeler 340:9

four-wheelers

340:19

frame 292:23

Friday

211:11 213:22 256:6

front

265:18

frustration 226:15 295:19

full

230:2 232:14 283:24 284:11

function

212:16 320:23 321:5

functions

321:6

funds

240:16 303:4

furnished

226:11

further

213:9 234:12 319:10 320:13 341:18

G

Gardner

209:14,15,16 270:14 271:5 272:8 279:15 280:3 281:24 291:24 298:3 311:15,21 312:6,8 319:15 336:18

Gardner's

279:21 320:19

Garrett 210:6

gave

244:4 general

> 225:2,13 229:2 230:7 240:10 244:11

generally

213:15 220:22 232:11,21 gentleman

264:1,24 289:11 314:25 315:4 316:21

gentleman's

314:13

George 277:19

gesturing

267:16

gist 247:8

give

215:12 232:2 275:22

giving

247:14 257:12 342:1

glad 217:16

gleaned 279:21

goal 242:22

Godinho

222:8,17 343:2,4

Goldman 335:16

Gonzalez 260:10

_----

good 209:4 211:7,8 250:12 316:15 318:2 320:14 331:11

Gordon 259:8,14

Gormley

210:13,14 211:16,18 212:1,5,8 213:4 214:1,7 218:14,18 219:3,14,17,21 220:11 221:7,17,22 225:5,8 227:15,23 230:13 231:7 236:10,16 237:11 238:15 239:3 253:23 255:17 256:2,7,11, 22 257:14,23 258:9, 12,20,24 259:2,6

261:12 263:2 268:5,



10,13 269:11,22 270:20,24 271:25 273:10,14,17,24 280:16 281:7,12 284:3 286:13,16,18, 21 296:1,10,14 322:11,19,22 323:1, 5,11,19 325:7,22 336:17 341:9 342:10,12,15,19

gosh 289:16

graciously 213:10

grand 230:19

granted 211:22 213:1

grasped 267:9,12

grave 291:23

graver 279:15,22 280:3

286:2 287:7 areat 261:13 315:13

greatest 340:22

grief 341:1

group

230:16 240:1 244:5, 6,9,17 250:21 260:4 282:9 309:13

243:3,9 guess

groups

218:18 223:19 259:7 262:6,20 277:1 295:4 301:10 304:16,17 313:17 331:2 334:23 335:2

guessing 216:20 230:22 291:3

232:4 249:9,12

252:2 253:9,14 269:3

guy 240:8 252:10 313:24 314:1 335:23

quys 214:6 218:5 230:18 240:13 250:21 311:17

Н

Haas 213:24 214:12,14 215:2,10

half 211:13,16

hall 210:8 252:21

handle 267:10,13 279:2

handled 330:6 340:17

happen 310:10,12

happened 297:3 314:20 331:14,15,22

happening 290:19 320:2 331:15

happens 332:24

happy

hard

233:25 242:20,23 257:20,21 284:19 330:7

229:11 232:15 235:23 340:5 hardly

212:16 327:12 harm

257:7 311:20

hat 265:6 hate 326:16

head 227:22 263:17

headed 285:20 288:20

headway 233:24

health 220:9 231:19 233:10,13,18 246:5 247:24 248:3,16 283:25 284:13 285:4,17 286:7 287:18 308:7

hear 242:5 282:8

heard 233:9.21 252:21 272:24 295:20,22 296:10 340:3

held 236:6 290:13

help 215:23,25 240:9 273:15

Henderson 209:17 222:18 254:16 259:24 303:17 304:3 332:15 333:5 337:12

hey 252:10 288:21

294:7 hire

hired

hiring

hide

288:9 313:2 320:4

244:13 313:16 317:6,8,15 318:14 319:19

317:14,19 320:7 hold

holding

226:7,9

229:12.13

home

282:14 334:5

homes 334:5

honestly 246:11 278:16 302:20 334:20

Honorable 260:10

honored 285:5

hoorah 267:18,20,21

horrific 285:2

hospital 272:21 274:9,24

hot 234:7

hour 211:13,16 312:15

Huish 210:9.11 213:19 214:1 216:14,16,25 222:19 240:12 241:6

242:21 244:4.10 247:4 253:16 267:12 268:20 282:12 285:20 288:24 290:23 298:9 304:16 309:22 310:2,23

311:13 313:3,9 321:25 322:5 324:6, 7 325:13,21 326:22 327:18 331:8 332:10

336:22 Huish's

Huishes

324:4 331:20

216:12 240:1,4 241:17 242:1,9 243:3.9 260:12 289:9 290:4

hung 245:20 hurdles

225:11

hurt 241:2

hypothetical 269:22

ı

I-A-M 309:13

ΙΔΜ

309:11,13 310:1 313:2,13,16,17,24 314:1,14,18,24 315:4 316:5,21 317:19,22 319:19,21 320:5 324:1 325:13. 15,21 331:5

IAM's 321:5

idea 218:25 233:14

236:20 248:19 255:2,19 258:3 265:7 272:14 301:12,16 318:9 331:14,15 341:17

identification 313:8

identify 266:13

immediately 318:24 319:2

impact 222:23 223:3,13

224:19 250:2,4 impactful 229:17

important 253:6,7,15 311:23

imposed

310:22 311:13,19 312:4

impressed

314:21,22 315:7,8 316:2,6,10,13



impression

274:3,6,21 275:6 276:2,16 277:2 288:19 289:13 290:10 316:14,20

impressive

315:4,25

improper 236:16

inappropriately

210:19

incident

270:11

include 321:6

included

282:13

inclusive 332:24

Incomplete

269:22

incorrect

277:14

increased 241:11

indicated

249:20 280:2

individual

262:18,19 263:6,7,

22 329:4,7

individually

209:15

individuals

215:15 244:16 262:9

industry

248:13,14 315:11

information

211:21 224:17 245:2 250:12 255:15

270:12 272:25 273:5

276:5,7,8,12,14 277:5,13,14 279:16,

19,20,25 281:1 298:6 299:17

informed

290:11

informing

284:21

initial

291:21,22 292:12,25 304:18 307:18

initially

282:5 283:5

injured 307:18

injuries 285:2 286:2 287:7

301:17 308:18 311:14 312:5 341:15

injury

284:2,15 302:22 303:12 340:9 341:12

input 300:7

inquiry 336:15

insofar

234:1

inspect 239:1

inspected

239:10

inspection

226:19 239:4

inspectors

226:19

instance

332:17

institution

339:2

instruct

211:18 212:2

instructing

322:12

instructions

257:8

insult

287:23

insurance

10,11,17,18 217:1

295:23 298:18,20

302:16 303:8,14

299:5,6,16,23

304:10 306:24

242:10 275:10

328:19 337:11

275:8 327:19

283:19 286:19

273:19 286:17

326:18 327:7,25

intelligible

228:4

interest

interested

Internet

interrupt

interrupted

interrupting

interruption

243:20

314:8

327:20

introduced

investigation

investigative

investment

277:19 280:1

241:9 335:7

investments

involved

338:23

involvement

irrespective

296:18

irreversible

224:3 255:9

236:25 237:19

335:8,19,21

220:8 223:22 255:22

260:3 261:6 295:3

273:24

278:19,20

isolate

225:21

issue

222:21,22 223:2 224:7 227:19,24

233:8 248:17 249:7

233:8 248:17 249:7 251:2 253:15 272:14

281:23 308:8,11

331:14

issued 218:8

issues

214:20 226:22 229:4 243:12 249:6 322:14

items

232:21

itself

214:20 275:10

285:13

J

Jaffe

210:8

January 239:16

iob

240:8 249:7 256:16 316:15 321:1

jobs

215:22 216:1

John

210:13 211:13 240:7,11,13

Joseph

209:8

Jude

209:23

Judicial

293:1

July

262:5 267:3,4 293:1, 14 334:3

jump

225:11 232:4 249:9,

12 252:2 253:9,14 269:2

June

270:13,16 271:1

K

keep

219:23 291:16 306:19

Kelly

209:10 210:24 282:23 284:7

керт

220:4 303:19,20

kid

321:16

kid's

321:13,14

kids 288:24

killed

301:22 302:5 340:8

Kimberly

210:10

kind

214:18 223:19 246:20 247:2,8

258:25 289:12

290:25 300:6 304:12

328:4 334:6 335:20

kindly

282:23 284:6

KLAS 304:19

Knapp

277:19

knew

252:17 254:22 255:3,14 317:13,24 320:15,16 332:13

knowledge

318:8 332:8 337:16

knowledgeable

315:11 325:18



known 244:16

L

L-O-U 280:22

Lack 219:14 268:5

lady

262:22 263:11 265:10,15 266:2,4

Lake

235:7 236:20 251:23 294:12,13 301:19 335:4

lambasted

288:8

Lance

216:9 299:7

Lance's 216:17

large 267:8

Las

209:1,9,12 236:19 258:18 280:11 289:11 291:5,25 293:25 294:11 304:20 306:1 342:7

last

214:6 216:9 232:16 234:6 248:1 255:18 268:1 302:15 306:21 313:8 314:15 330:14,22 331:2 334:18,24 338:4

late

318:22

later

235:3 250:8,9 277:4

law

212:1,3 247:11,18 282:12 321:3

lawsuit

214:5 217:5,7 272:15,18 273:1 276:1 281:1,18 282:5 283:4 285:11, 13,24 286:1 287:4, 13 289:18 290:5 291:22 292:12,15, 24,25 293:17,23 305:4,21 307:18 319:16,18 322:13 323:6,8 327:21 334:3 336:18

lawyer

291:5 338:8

laying 226:2

layman's 241:21

leads 332:9

learn

285:10 296:18 313:16 325:1,2

learned

279:19,21 291:23 296:3 298:25 321:23

leave 326:6

leaving 271:23

led

274:5 279:16,23 309:11,12

left

262:8 312:15 337:2

legal

209:9 249:18 261:8 303:24 341:10 342:7

Leland

209:16 270:13 271:5 272:8,23 275:18 279:13,15,21 280:3 281:24 284:1,14,23 285:16 287:17 291:24 295:18 297:8 298:2 307:17 308:17 311:14,21 312:6,8 318:25 320:19 336:18 339:25 341:8,15 Leland's

286:2

lending 339:2

less

241:22,23 273:8 285:7 299:4 302:19

Letizia

289:15

letter

213:24 215:17 304:10

liability

209:18 298:20,23 299:1 302:16 323:9 337:19 340:18

license

223:24 224:4 249:8 253:2,22 254:4,19 255:7,8,22,24 256:1

licensing

254:18

lifeguard

220:10,19,22 221:2, 6 231:17 251:2 308:6 309:23 321:1 331:14

lifeguards

222:6,12,24 223:3, 13 224:20 231:18, 21,23 232:25 234:5, 6,14,16,19 235:3 244:25 245:20 247:1 248:5,11,15 252:16 282:14 283:24 284:12 285:4,16 286:6 287:17 289:3 295:17 297:9 298:2 309:24 320:25 322:3

likely

294:5 341:20

likes 264:17

201.17

likewise 259:19 274:25

limited

209:18 337:18

limits

298:22 299:1

lined

306:11

liquor

222:22 223:2,12,18, 25 224:4 253:21 254:3,19 255:6,8,22, 23 256:1

list

214:17,19 334:9

Listen

250:14

litigation 260:7 339:19

little

213:9 214:25 271:11 274:4,9,22 275:12 324:9 336:10,16 339:25 341:8

live

340:25

lives

276:24

LLC

209:17 222:18 332:21

Ioan

261:2 334:13

loans

334:6 339:2

local

226:20 278:11

locate

328:19

1---1--1

located

209:11 216:22

Logan

210:6

logical 293:22

long

242:20 281:12 326:9 328:5 336:4 340:12, 25 long-term

242:22

longer 309:24 326:11

Los

226:15

loss

234:21 300:11

losses

300:15,22

lot

211:12 225:10,11 226:2,3,15 227:8 270:5 295:19 334:11 335:20

Lou

280:7,9 281:4 291:3, 9,11 292:9 306:2,11 307:1,4 339:7,10,17 340:3

lower

267:9

lunch

245:23 316:23

М

made

214:17 216:13 298:9 308:21 309:2 310:6 320:4 331:6

main

226:25

mainly

320:24 maintained

250:18

make

214:24 215:23 221:19 222:15 232:5 238:16 249:13,15 250:15 253:9 256:11 336:14

makes

307:14 311:1 317:17

making

223:21 233:24



man

278:11

management

218:11 223:23 230:10 235:2 238:13 251:19 252:1,5,23 268:3 269:1,20 270:11 271:10 272:5,8 275:19 281:19 282:4 283:3 292:7.16 293:9.18 294:2 303:13 307:6, 17,19 308:17 310:23 318:7 319:25 320:3, 6,9,14 324:23 326:4, 6,11 328:23 329:8,

11 330:15,23 331:4

manager

240:11 244:11

332:25 338:3

manages

335:19

managing

255:24

mandated

283:25 284:12 286:6

manifest

275:10

manner

308:16

manufacturer

340:14

March

218:10 219:2,5 232:8 235:11,15 238:12 239:17 250:10 268:2,18

mark

266:24

marked

261:17

market

328:17,18

Masterangelo

210:11

materials

217:19 218:1

matter

212:25 220:22 223:12,16 225:2 233:15 235:3 242:14 245:9 255:23 268:19 271:13 279:2 282:6 283:5,13 322:20,24 329:16,18 330:3 334:3 340:24

matters

209:19 235:14

mean

212:13 215:8 217:6 220:17 223:25 225:7 226:1,7 227:8 228:16 229:10 232:21 233:17 234:15.21 238:8 239:6 240:21 244:10 249:8,11,13,14,17, 21 251:22 259:15 266:22 274:15 276:13,23 277:2 279:14 285:19 287:23 289:1 291:17 292:19,20 294:11 327:14 328:7,10 332:17 334:6 335:4

meaning

241:10 243:9

means

229:10 302:21

meant

249:18 324:7

measure

310:22

media

342:6

meet

215:14 289:11 315:5,6

meeting

211:12,16 218:11, 12,15,20,23 219:10, 13,17 220:5,8,14,16, 18,21 221:4,14 222:3 223:2 230:23 232:1,7,9 233:17

234:24 235:1.7.13.

16,17,19 236:5,13,

247:24 248:2 249:22 250:8,9,10,11,18,24 251:1,6,23 267:25 268:3,18 270:11 271:1,4,7,8,9,19 272:4,7,12 275:18 277:7 280:5,7,24 281:4,5,10,19 282:3 283:3,7 285:20 288:5,20,23 289:5, 13,14,18,19 290:8, 13,24 291:9,25

19,20 237:2,12,18,

20,22,23 238:1,2,5,

11,14,21,24 246:3,4

292:6,13,16 293:9, 18,19,25 294:1,2,9 295:1,3,5,9 298:9

302:2 307:5,10,12, 14,16,22 308:4,20, 25 309:19 310:9

316:16,17,22 320:4 330:14,18,22 331:2,

3,4 338:25

meetings

221:9,10 237:16 250:10,23 291:11 305:25 307:20 330:18

member

252:1.5 269:1 303:13 309:18 320:13 328:24 329:11

members

251:19 252:23 281:20 282:4 283:3 292:7,17 293:10 294:1 318:7

memorialize

219:12

memory 230:22

mention

252:19

mentioned

231:15 246:3 252:20,22,23 253:7

met

231:25 279:3 295:2

298:8 307:3,19 310:6 313:18,22,23 314:1,2,5,7,17 317:1

middle

247:2

might

216:13 222:23 223:3,6 230:25 232:8 238:21 240:19,23 241:4 251:16 252:7 257:6 259:19 266:15,21 295:3 327:19

million

298:24 299:2,8 302:19

millions

301:13

mind

216:24 225:21 231:11 248:2 252:16,18 253:16 257:24,25 287:21 325:6

minds

269:6

minor

209:16 254:5

minutes

210:16 219:12 220:3,5 250:17,21 312:16 337:3

Mirkovich

210:1 294:15

misinformation

277:3

misled

289:1,2

Misstates

256:2,7

mix

304:8 mixed

231:3 303:19,20

moment

238:9

money

240:17 241:12 301:8

month

221:4 235:6 237:24 274:15 307:7 334:15

months

226:4 231:5.17 232:17,18 233:16 239:13 271:17 275:24 319:7 338:5

moods

257:20

Morgan

335:13

morning 209:4 211:7,8 305:7,

14 Morton

> 213:24 215:20 299:16,20 300:2

motion

212:7

mouth 287:21

move

229:25 282:21

Moving

221:14

must

252:18,24 262:4 317:3

mutually

213:14

Ν

named

290:14 305:5 309:13

names

215:13 262:9

narrated

277:18

narrative 244:4

nation



231:23

natural

223:19

nature

255:16 302:22,23 323:9 337:20

near

245:18

necessary

223:8 268:24

necessity

220:8

need

241:8 342:18

needed

214:17

needs

326:15

negative

272:25 318:13 320:10

negotiations

327:5

neighborhood

231:24

net

334:9,18,25

Nevada

209:1,12,14,18 212:2 258:18 283:25 284:13 306:1 308:7

never

226:22 239:19 241:5 242:3,7,19 248:19 252:14,16 253:16 255:4 257:15,16 268:24 272:24 278:1,3 296:10 330:17 340:23,25

341:2 new

333:4

newly

329:10

news

250:13 258:8 276:5, 17,18,19 277:1,10, 13,18,25 278:6 279:8 280:1 304:18, 19,21

newspaper

278:8,9,10 304:22

niaht's 234:7

nobody

282:12,13 283:11

318:13

nominate

255:7

nonconstruction

229:5

nonresponsive

282:22 283:16

North

305:8

northeast

216:25

northwest

217:2

notes

218:15

nothing

223:20 234:19 270:6,20 335:16

November

307:6 308:1,2,20,25 309:19 310:11.12

number

217:17 231:18.21.23 232:25 239:12 244:24 248:5 252:15 282:14 285:3 289:3.

4 295:17,21,22 296:19,20 336:5

340:17

numbers

232:6 295:20

0

oath

209:22

object

211:18 219:14,19 230:13 236:10 237:11 253:23 255:17 258:20 268:5 270:20 271:25 281:7 284:3 296:1 317:8,9, 12 322:11 323:13

325:7 336:17 341:9

objected

317:18 320:7

objection

220:11 221:7 225:5 236:14,15 238:15 239:3 256:2,9 257:23 258:9,22 269:11 270:23,24 280:16 341:10

objectionable

323:15,16

objections

219:20,23

observation

277:9

observe

276:18.21

obtain

223:23

obtaining

220:8 224:4

obviously

251:8 326:13

occasions

253:8 254:17 258:5

occur

272:3

occurred

219:13 235:9 236:5, 13,23 238:5 254:23

255:2 270:13 277:16 279:10 331:24 336:9

occurs

211:23

October

235:19 236:7 237:9.

21.24

odds

277:15

offer 270:6

office

245:16,19 246:25 305:22 328:11.12

333:23

officer 215:20

Ogden

260:22 302:1 305:8 335:3 338:8

Olson

210:13

one

216:22 218:23 227:4 231:2.14 233:21 235:21 238:12 242:15 246:13 248:7 249:6 250:10,23 251:11,15 255:14 256:15 257:3,4 261:23 264:21 268:1 271:19 288:24,25 289:8 290:24 291:15 300:20 302:8.11.14.

15 306:21 318:11 320:6,9 324:9 329:15,18 330:2

334:11,24 338:17

ones

340:19

ongoing 302:22

only

213:2 228:7 229:16 234:3 247:13 286:1

open

341:2

225:3,20,25 227:3 228:8,13 229:14 299:4 314:2

opened

214:15 219:7 221:5 225:11 226:24 227:4,7 230:5,6 231:12,13 235:25 239:18 250:22

opening

227:13 229:6,13,18 230:20 267:6

operate

282:9 331:5

operated

240:14

operating

239:20 332:14,20,23

333:4

operation

232:19 258:19,21 292:17 298:19

operational 320:23 331:25

operations

223:23 230:16 244:5,9,11,14,17 297:2 307:20 308:22

309:2,18 320:21 326:2 331:20 333:11

operator 282:8

operators

242:17

Opheikens 209:6 210:12,14 211:1,7 213:8 215:1 218:10 240:1 241:11

243:9 259:15,23

260:2 271:22 289:9 298:14 313:5,9

316:11 328:20 342:5

OPHEINKENS

opportunity

253:21

210:12

opposed 213:12 216:23

248:11 options

242:24

order 264:8,10 267:25

orders

218:8



original 342:6

> originally 225:24

Orluff

209:6 211:1 263:20 342:5

other

227:6 229:4 230:20 232:10 234:21 238:24 246:25 252:1,4,5 253:17 260:18,20,23 261:7 268:25 280:6 296:3 301:17 306:17 307:19 318:7 321:6 323:8 326:14,15 337:13 338:6 339:12

others

227:21 228:11 318:9

otherwise

210:18 223:11 257:21 277:15 328:18 339:1

Ott

210:14

outstanding

339:2

Overbroad

225:6 227:15 230:14

overcome

341:1

overheard

224:11

overinsured

300:8,9

ownership

240:2.19

Р

P-

289:5

p.m.

312:21.22.24 337:6. 7,9 342:8 343:5

pages

217:17

paid

301:8,11 334:12

paper

278:12

papers

270:25

paralysis 302:23

park

209:17,18 214:14, 15,20,24 215:23 219:7 221:5 222:18 223:7,13 225:11,13, 20,25 226:24 227:4, 7,10,13 228:8,13 229:6,18 230:19 232:18 234:20 235:21 237:5.24

239:1,6,9,10,12,17, 19 240:2,9,14,16 242:17,20,21,23

243:1 244:11,13 245:16 250:22 255:6,23,24 257:22

258:11,19 259:24 267:6 282:8,10 292:17.18 299:4.15 303:17 304:3 307:21

308:22 309:3 313:18 314:2,5 316:23 317:4 325:20

326:19,24 327:21,22 331:6 332:15 333:5

337:12

parking 226:2.3

part

243:24 244:5 260:9 267:9.12 290:3 295:20 304:17 324:13

participated

308:16 338:21

participation

308:15

particular

216:23 217:19 220:8

224:3 225:3 228:1 242:13 244:15,23 249:6 251:20 258:7 277:25 280:13

320:23

particularized

255:16

particularly 257:11 340:19

parties

213:14 327:24 328:1

partnership

337:19

party

305:5 326:18 328:19 337:14

pass

232:3

passing

245:25

past

302:10,13 306:12

Paul

295:24 296:15 306:21,23,24,25

Pauline

226:11

pay

334:6

paying

234:8,9 259:22

283:11

peculiar 237:19

pen

262:9

pending

277:8,9

people

215:9 226:11 241:18 242:25 282:9 283:9 288:6,7 289:6 315:6

percentages

240:19

perform

260:1 314:18 320:22

performed

261:7 315:21 318:15 319:21

period

212:23 233:1,7,11 237:2 253:4 291:1

permanent

302:22

permit 248:11

permits

229:10

person 288:21 289:19.23

personal

259:14 260:21 281:6 291:2 334:4,8,9

290:9 314:23 315:7

Personality

244:1

336:1

personally

229:16 305:4,5

personnel

308:21 309:1,17

Peter

209:14

Phil 210:4

phone

242:25 243:20 285:18 327:8

physical

279:22 339:24

physically

245:15 picture

313:14

piercing 281:13

nia

235:5

piping

228:18,20

place

213:15 221:15 224:15,16 225:13 235:20 236:7 237:1, 2,23 238:12 245:15, 18 248:1 249:24 251:23 253:13 261:25 262:5,8 267:25 268:4 271:1, 7.10.20 275:19.23. 24 280:8,24 289:13, 15 290:8 291:9 293:9 294:9 307:6, 25 309:21 322:9,18

placed 261:21

327:11 329:4

plaintiffs

209:16,25 210:3,5,7 217:22

plaintiffs'

218:1

plan

220:10,19,22 221:2, 6 287:18

plane

290:22,23

pleased

247:23

pleasure 212:18

point

230:17,21 235:4 248:7 252:25 253:5 256:22,25 272:20 281:3 317:2 327:17

Police 254:16

policy

298:18,20,23 302:25

polite 283:20

pool

234:5 271:11,23 272:1,9 297:9,10 298:3 336:14



poor 312:8 port 226:14

> portions 212:20 position

217:19 240:10 253:18 328:23 329:20 330:4,24 338:2,7

positive 216:14 266:17 283:8

314:19 331:23

possess 337:12

possible 223:13 327:15

possibly 238:12 319:13

post 270:10

pounding 256:15

poured 228:18,23

220.10,23

289:6,19,23 290:9

341:2 **precise** 247:17

pray

preparation 215:18

prepare 211:11 230:10

prepared 214:13,21 215:2,6,9 218:21 322:14 333:17,18,19 338:9, 13

preparing 212:24

preseason 230:1 present

221:3 222:2 246:15 251:19 261:25 271:4 285:16 287:17 295:17 297:9 298:2 314:10,12,24

presented

229:5 279:9 315:24

presided 330:16

presiding 330:18,21 338:2

press 288:9,10 289:6

pretty 211:14 212:4 223:25 228:19 317:3 330:13

previous 230:5 235:21

previously 268:20

Price 306:21,23

principals 215:5,7

principles 317:22

prior 233:12 331:23,24

233:12 331:23,24 332:11

privilege 281:13 296:2

privileged 296:9

probably

229:13 251:22 262:5 267:23 275:25 281:5 291:2 306:15 317:16 326:10,22 334:15 340:13

problem226:6 242:16 247:6
255:4 269:7 291:8

problems 225:12

342:20

procedures

252:4

proceed 210:22

proceeding 210:20

proceedings

211:25 213:19 222:8

produced 341:22

Profit 234:20

progress 229:3

project 228:15 229:14 302:1

projections 234:15

promising 327:7

proper 232:5 321:1

properly 226:18

propounded 282:24

provide 283:24 284:11

299:23 provided

211:17 262:10 322:10 335:1

provision 303:3

public 288:12,21 322:20,24 335:25 336:1

publicity 289:23

pulled 278:17

punchlist 214:19

purchase

328:19

purpose 289:22

pushback

257:17

put

230:22 240:10 241:22,23 253:13 256:10 258:25 262:14 263:9,17,20, 24 264:4,19 281:5 282:9 292:23 325:6

puts 319:4

putting

239:13 240:16,17 241:12 266:23 287:20 300:25

Q

quadriplegia 272:10 284:2,15

quadriplegic 271:24

question

211:19 212:18 214:25 219:18 224:2.24 227:1.16 229:22 230:8 231:8, 11 233:5,11 236:3, 17 243:5 253:12 255:18 266:24 277:8,9 282:24 284:7,17 285:8 286:24 292:11 297:21 302:18.20 304:15 308:23 310:14 312:2 322:4, 17 323:4 324:14 327:23 329:23 330:20 336:20 337:23 338:16 339:13 341:6

questioning 323:12

questions 228:3 288:3 322:15 323:14 341:19,24 quibble 330:20

quick 261:11

quite 267:22

quote 244:5,16,17

R

R&o

216:2 259:23 294:10,11 299:19,25 300:10 302:17

R&o's 216:3

301:20 309:24

range 296:23

react 258:7

read

212:12,19,20 283:1 284:8 302:25 308:24 325:2 330:1

reading 285:13

ready

230:19 299:4 reaffirmed

274:25

real 241:5 335:22

reask 341:13

reason

257:21 273:20 315:8 326:14,16 337:24

reasonable

216:21 275:22 311:5

reasons 315:10

recall



218:12 220:7 221:3, 13 222:2,5,10,14,21 223:1,9,21 224:1,14 225:19,25 227:2,21 228:8 229:16 232:20 234:3,8 235:1,8 237:1 238:13,24 244:6,8 245:3,5,12 246:11,17 247:9,17, 21 250:13 251:7 255:13 259:25 260:17,24 261:9 268:7,16,21,25 271:6,12,14,16,18, 21 272:6,7,12,13,20 274:7 275:18,21 278:5 282:2 295:25 296:6 299:6.17 300:16,24 301:7 304:6 307:4,5,9,16 308:9,12 309:4,10, 19 317:7 318:13 321:24 324:10 331:7

receipt 305:20

received

223:10 304:10

receiving 298:6

recent

302:8,9,10 recently

248:2 recess

211:22,24 212:25

recessed

261:16 312:22 337:7

recognize

213:16 262:20 266:9

recognized 242:16

recollect

294:5 295:4 330:10

recollection

218:15,22 219:1,10 221:1 234:24 235:10 240:4 247:15 251:18 257:9 292:10 318:21 338:1

recommended

254:18 317:5

record

209:21 213:5,17,18 221:19 222:16 238:17 243:6 253:20 254:5 261:15.19 270:21 283:1 284:8 300:2 308:24 312:21,24 322:21,25 330:1 337:6.9 342:9

recordings 339:23,24

red

265:15

redo 226:21

reduce 252:15

refer

243:14 244:4 261:22

reference 306:1

referring

260:25

216:1 226:25 257:6

reflect

224:24 229:22

reflected 213:18

reflecting

248:17

reflection 311:19

reframe 291:18

refresh 218:15

regard

220:25 224:5 245:1, 14 250:25 270:7 286:10 298:6

regarding

223:10,11 228:9 242:1,2 255:16 278:7 281:7 282:4 283:4 292:17 304:21

registered 255:4

regular 250:23

related

209:19 229:5,18 277:9

relations

288:12,21 289:6

relationship 240:5

relatively

252:13 254:5 292:1

relevancy

336:17

relevant

325:22

relied

298:10 300:6

relieved 332:18

relinquishing

329:19 330:4

remain

328:24 342:7

remember

216:10 219:15 220:13,20 223:4,5,7, 15,17 224:15,16,17, 21 230:6,25 231:2, 15 232:3,22 235:6 236:24 237:15,16, 22.23 238:2 239:23 247:7,8,23 248:14 249:5 251:4 255:11 256:21 257:1 260:20 267:2,4 271:9 272:16 276:1 278:23 288:25 295:8,9 299:3 301:20 305:13 306:4,5 307:14 309:21 311:10 313:13,22 314:4,15, 22 315:1,8 316:2 318:17,19 321:13, 14,16,19,21 323:20 324:21,24 325:4

330:5 331:1.3 332:12 340:15

remembered

309:7

remembering

307:23

remind 304:2

remove 333:7

removed

210:19 310:20,21

repeat

279:24 284:5,7 286:24

rephrase

284:19

report 280:2

reporter

209:9,21 277:19 342:10,13,21,24 343:2

Reporters

209:10

represent 292:24 306:6

representation

298:1

represented 261:1 306:13.18

represents

306:8

request 213:1 216:13

requested

214:22

requests

334:21

require 247:11

required

233:1 240:16 244:25 247:13 248:6,16

253:17,19 282:14 285:4,17 287:18 289:4 321:1

requirement 284:1,13

requirements

286:7 308:6 reservation

304:11 resign 338:2

resignation 338:7

resigned

328:22 329:1 330:24

resigning 330:11

respect

218:17,20 220:9 222:5,12,14,21,23 224:3,7,19 233:8 234:13 240:1 241:8 243:11 245:12 247:15 249:24 251:2 253:20 257:7,20,22 258:7.18 262:2 268:18 303:4 308:22 309:2 330:10 333:11 339:1

response 247:4 249:19

responsibilities

240:2 320:20 321:7 324:4.18 331:25

responsibility

241:12 309:23 321:5 325:12,15 331:20

responsible 341:7,14

restate

231:7

restructure 226:21

restructuring

338:22

result



contin Opneiken
Gardner vs Hen
270:14 279:7
resulting
324:9
resume
315:24
retained
314:18
review
212:10,22 217:25
218:7
Review-journal
278:13

278:13

215:18 217:4,6,10 ribbon

262:4 267:16

ribbon-cutting 267:6 Richard

240:11 244:12 297:15 314:11

Richards 336:3,7

ride 227:4 rights

304:11 310:19,21

ringing 305:14

road 226:25 301:21

Rocket 209:10

Rogers 210:10

rolled 301:21

room 247:1 280:8 291:10,

12 rovers

297:6

Royce 336:3

run 216:24 234:22 244:13

running 225:4

runs 325:20

S

Sachs 335:16

safe

214:24 215:24

safety 214:20 215:20 231:22 302:2 331:12,13

Salt 235:7 236:20 251:23 294:12,13 301:19

335:4 **Samuel** 210:1

satisfied 318:4,8

scissors 267:8

scope 272:14

Scott 210:11 213:19

216:25 222:19 240:7 251:9 285:20 290:22 295:2 298:8,12 304:16 309:8 310:5 313:3,8 316:8 326:22 327:11,14 336:22

sea 226:13

season 227:7,13 230:2,11 236:6 237:5 318:16

second 266:5 267:15 281:22 322:1,6,8,17 324:8 331:21,24 336:9,19

seeing 222:10

seek 328:18

seem

220:25 243:18,24

seemed 213:13 235:6

seems 225:22 293:22 295:21 307:13

seen 237:12 271:2 304:20,25 339:23

sell 326:17 327:5,21,22, 25 328:18 334:5

send 214:23 215:21

sense 307:15 317:17

sensed 257:17

sent

226:13 298:8 sentence

281:17 **sentences** 247:10

separate219:24 253:8 256:10
260:13

September 319:11,12,13

serious 279:15 283:12 291:23 327:6,12,16

Seriously 286:21

serve 223:24 224:13

served 222:22 223:2 305:4,

7,9,19

service 223:12

services 258:17 259:8 260:15,18 314:18 315:21 318:15

session 288:14

set 285:20 308:6

settlement 260:9

seven231:24 248:7,11,18
289:2 296:25 297:1,
7,13

Seventh 209:12

several 232:21 290:19

severe 302:22

Shane
210:9 224:12 230:18
231:15 232:3,4,24
233:1,7 240:7,10
244:4,10,24 247:4,
23 249:9,11 250:11
251:8 252:2,6,9,15
253:16 256:15,16,20
257:2,4,11,15,17,19
258:6 263:16,17
266:11,12 267:12
268:19 269:5,6
272:20 273:2,3,11
275:2,3 280:4 282:9,

12 288:4,23 289:10 296:24,25 297:15,25 309:22 310:2,23 311:13 314:9 316:4 321:25 322:5 324:6, 7 325:12,14,21 331:8,10,11,12,19 332:10

Shane's 257:24 279:16 331:25 shape

306:7 324:4,8

share

225:18 234:1,14 255:15

shared 279:25 316:6

sheet 334:10

shirt

264:2,25 266:16,18

shocked 295:23

shortly214:15 271:4
274:14,15 280:25

shut 228:24

sic 291:3,10 307:20

324:3

240:5 247:1

signed 333:15,20 338:11

simply 255:15

sincere 340:22

single 238:5 247:17 270:3

sir

211:9 215:9 216:17 217:21,24 218:13 221:12 228:3,5 237:3 238:20 255:12,21 256:14 258:2 259:5 262:16 272:3 277:8,21 283:21 285:22 286:23 294:22

sit

213:2 220:21 229:15 238:6 311:11 312:10 324:15 330:9

sitting



220:14,16 226:4 238:8 254:20 six 226:4

skirt 265:25

Slade

210:12 214:22 251:9 266:10 273:6,7,8 285:19 290:21 295:2 298:8,12,13,14 309:9 310:5 313:3,5, 9 316:4,7 336:23

SLC 335:6

sleeping 211:12

slide

226:8,24 227:3 228:9 230:5,7 239:14

slides

225:12,25 226:1,2,3,

slippery

302:4 **small**

265:21 328:15

sold

242:21 328:3 334:11

solid

316:21 317:25

somebody

214:23 251:17 262:21 269:24 270:4 272:22 278:16 281:10 326:24 333:19

someone

265:5 274:9,24 324:22

something

220:19 228:1 231:1, 8 232:3,18 234:7,25 241:3,4 243:19 244:16 248:4,13 250:2,5,11 254:7 272:21 278:8 288:24 295:12 296:2 309:9 327:19 334:9 339:9 340:23

sometime

235:10 246:6 262:5 271:20

sometimes 215:21 315:6

somewhere 231:14 301:19

son

276:23 285:19 289:10 290:3 298:15 340:8

soon

275:17 291:21 292:1 342:16

sorry

212:15 217:2 267:19 270:22 287:1 288:6 294:19 300:4 313:25 338:14 341:12

sort

214:23 257:7 274:20 292:20 311:12 327:5 338:22

sought 328:16

sound 243:22

243:22 sounded

311:4 Sounds

219:21

source 296:19

South 209:12

Southern

283:25 284:12 308:6

spaced 255:3

speak

229:9 292:25 309:24 315:22,25 speaking

219:20,23 236:14 256:9 258:22

specialist 288:9

specialty 310:1

specific

224:5 235:1 237:19

specifically

220:23 221:4 223:12 225:17 227:10 238:12 246:23 279:20 315:8 330:6 331:3

speculating 254:12

speculation

232:13 234:13,18 257:14,24 269:12

speculative

269:12

spell 280:20

spelled 287:13

sphere 325:12

Splash 260:4

spoken 274:8

spring

225:4,20 228:12 229:17 230:25 246:7 313:20

Springs

211:21 212:4

stable 317:25

stand 241:4

standard 248:13,14

standing

234:5 262:22 264:13,24 265:18

266:11,18

standpoint 224:4

Stanley 335:13

start

231:22 232:6

started

314:20

state

209:20 216:23 231:19 257:24

stated

217:8 234:12

statement

213:15 334:19 335:1

status

337:17

stay 323:13

steel

226:6,9,17

steps

252:4 253:13

still

237:11 274:21 296:20 321:25 322:5 331:8 339:7,8,9,18

stocks 335:10

335:10

stop 273:13,19,21

stopped 246:9,10

story

272:25 277:10,13, 18,25 278:6 279:8

straightforward

228:4

strategy 288:12

Street

209:12 305:8

strike 282:21

striped 265:25

structure 326:1

structured 250:20

stuck

226:14 231:4

stuff

226:12,16 299:19

subcontractor

249:7 252:12 300:24 302:2

subject

211:24 223:4,7,12, 16,22 235:3,14 242:14 245:8 268:19 325:11 329:15,18 330:2

subsequent

292:13,16,20 294:1 304:20

successfully

340:18

such

257:10 287:24 292:8 325:5 327:4 337:18

sue

340:15

sued

289:25 320:18 326:7

suffered

270:14 271:11,23 272:9 285:2 300:10 308:17 311:14,21 312:5 341:15

suffering 284:2.14

sufficient

224:23 229:21 suggested

279:21



suggesting 242:25

suggestion 248:18

summer 323:22

Sunday 211:13

sundress 265:11

sunglasses 265:6,11

superintendent 301:18

supplied 270:12

support 217:12

supported 242:12

suppose 218:6 228:14

Supreme 214:7,8 217:11

218:2,7

sure

214:24 215:11,12,24 227:8 232:5 238:16 249:13,15 250:15 253:9 259:2,11 261:12 264:22 284:6 286:25 290:10 292:15 294:14

292:15 294:14 299:11 305:22 306:5,16 313:4,22 315:18 317:3 327:10 329:6,9 332:12 333:12,19 339:12

surprised 244:7 258:13

swear 210:23

sworn 211:2

Т

takes

235:20 334:21

taking

223:8 237:23 271:1 289:13 333:15

talk

213:3 227:11 270:10 316:4,7

talked

210:17 246:12 272:22 273:11 276:8 314:25 336:22

talking

218:25 234:6 258:4 303:23,24 310:9 311:4 330:6 333:9 334:4,8 335:9

tank 265:24

tarmac 224:12

teach 320:25

teenager 321:18

telephone 325:3

television 276:4,9,13

telling

228:7,10 237:7,15 254:21 255:13 283:10,11 296:13,14 305:18 322:16,21 323:3

tension

242:2,4,5 243:2,8

term

217:14 242:20 243:22 244:6

terminology 244:18

terms

229:7 231:5 241:21

296:1 316:2

tested 340:20

testified 211:3 268:21

testimony

212:22 245:6,9 247:14 256:3,5,7 293:23 342:6

text 222:11

Thank

219:24 221:22 268:13 269:18 270:9 336:21 341:24 342:1

thereafter

260:15 268:22 271:20 290:12

therefore 255:7

therein 217:8 247:6

thing

213:2 231:2,14 234:3 238:5 247:13 257:10 270:3 283:12

things

214:17 229:12 232:10 290:19,25 302:23 320:14 332:10 335:10

think

212:11,21 213:13,14 214:11,15,18 216:9, 13,19 218:3,9 224:11 226:11 227:18,24 228:3,6, 19 229:11,12,13,24 230:4,7,23 231:5,19 234:11 235:17 238:1,9 240:3,17 241:3 242:15 248:7 249:20 250:20 251:3,8,9,10,21,23 252:8 256:14 259:13,18 260:14 261:1 262:24 263:23 264:3 265:13 267:3, 7 269:4 272:13

273:5,8 274:20 275:25 276:3,23 277:6 278:14,17 280:7,22,25 281:4, 17 286:8,11,12,18 287:20 288:5,7 289:10,12 290:1,15, 18 291:3,6,8,10 292:1,3 294:5,24 295:1,12 296:15 297:5 304:5,22 305:11 306:10 307:22 308:3,19 309:6,8,20 310:19 311:5 312:7,14 313:6,20 314:15 316:10,22,23 319:1, 9,17 320:2,3,24 321:19 322:22 324:21,25 326:15, 20,21 327:6,7,18 328:5,6,7 331:10,22 332:7,10 333:2,23 334:11,17 335:9 336:7,23 338:15,18

thinking

14

218:5 229:7 261:2 279:1 299:8 306:19 308:10 310:7

339:8 340:3 341:13,

Thorndahl 210:16

though 212:18 229:12

thought

212:14 216:25 223:24 242:22 248:20 252:9,14 256:16 267:22 268:24 269:4 272:15,17,19,22 274:4 275:12 276:6, 13 278:25 279:10 282:11,17 286:3 289:2 296:24 297:1 299:13 300:7 305:15 306:21 314:22 316:1,14 330:17 331:12

through 214:16 215:23 216:24 231:17 253:1,2 270:25 273:5 312:9 327:11 328:4,8

ticket 254:7

tie 302:3

tiger

243:15,16 257:5,6 267:21

time

209:6 210:21 212:17,23 213:22 223:8 224:23 226:5. 22 228:25 229:22 230:6 233:1,7,12 242:25 248:16 255:14,18 256:22,25 261:14,18 272:3 278:2,4,7,22 283:23 284:1,10,14,23 289:17 290:14 291:1,2,23 292:8,12, 15,20 295:18,22 297:8,19 298:2 299:1 307:13 311:4 312:12,20,23 314:17 317:2 318:15 319:15 320:19 321:4 322:1, 5,14 328:5,22 331:25 334:2,18 337:1,5,8 339:22,23 340:20 341:19 342:2,8

timeframe

278:23 290:21

times

239:12 255:18

tired

212:16 213:6

to-wit 322:5

today

209:4 211:11 229:15 238:6 270:7 311:11 312:10 330:9

today's 342:6



Orluff Opheikens, \Gardner vs Hende
together 239:14 281:6
told 210:19 224:6 233:22 238:21,24 244:25 257:4 273:2,8,9 274:2,3,6 275:5 278:17,24,25 282:7, 13 285:23 286:5 287:3,16 292:5 297:15,18 302:15 304:22 311:9,18 317:24 320:7,13 324:19,20 336:23 340:3
Tom 251:10 289:15
took 213:15 221:15 224:5,15,16 236:7 237:1,2 238:11 245:15,18,23 249:24 251:23 261:25 271:1,7,10,20 275:19 280:8 291:9 293:9 294:9 305:22 307:6 309:21 320:17 322:18
top 227:22 265:24 266:20
topic 272:13
touch

266:20 topic 272:13 touch 283:9 towards 319:10 327:24 tower

towers 225:12 226:7
town 283:8
track

226:9

219:23 228:24
traffic
246:21,23

tragedy
304:21

tragic 292:6

trailer 229:9

transcript 342:11,22 343:3

transmission 296:8 transpired

trench 300:24

330:11

trick 293:4

trigger 231:8

true 254:2 258:14

truth 253:19

Truthfully 312:3

trying 225:21,24 227:9,25

229:9 230:6 240:9 241:3 250:21 251:15 272:16 273:14 276:2 283:20 288:1,10 290:24 293:4 294:7 300:8 313:11.13

Ts

249:14 253:9,14 268:20,22 269:2

318:17 324:25

TUESDAY 209:1

tuned 323:13

Turkey 226:11

turned 255:25

TV

304:19,24

twice 252:20

two

243:3,8 253:8 254:21 274:18,19 297:5 299:8 334:24 338:4

two-and-a-half 299:8

two-day 237:2,20,22

type

260:1 297:2 304:12

typically 231:23

Um-hum 262:1 263:1 279:11 293:12 301:5 304:23 307:11

U

Umm 272:13

undergo 341:3

underground 228:20

underinsured 300:9

understand

284:17,22 285:8 290:2,12 300:21 301:13 302:21 306:13 333:1 341:5

understanding 254:6

understood 248:21

unfairly 288:8

unimportant 325:5

UNK

262:14 263:9

unknown

262:14,25 263:14,24 264:4,20,21 265:2,8

unprofessional 305:15

untrue

290:6

upon

213:14 216:21 223:13 224:24 229:22 248:17 293:22 297:14 311:13,19,20 312:4 329:19 330:3

upper 267:12

Utah223:19 261:1,5
305:8 333:24

utilize 258:17

٧

Vague 225:5 230:13 239:3 256:23 258:12,20

vaguely 223:17

value 334:2

variance 232:2

Vegas

209:1,9,12 236:19 251:24 258:18 276:24 280:11 289:11 290:22,23 291:5,25 293:25 294:11 304:20 306:1 342:7

venture 240:6

venue 236:25

verge 322:12

versus 209:16

video

209:9 342:7,11,16

videographer

209:4,8 261:14,18 312:16,20,23 337:3, 5,8 342:4,17,20

videotaped 209:5 342:5

view

277:14 316:5 341:6

viewed 213:21

Vincent 222:17

visual 339:24

> Volume 209:5 342:4

volunteer 250:1

voted

248:3 250:15

w

wait

210:20 233:4 243:5 245:2 281:22

waited 210:16

walk 215:23

walking 213:13

wall 247:1 Walter

210:8

wanted 230:20 289:19 294:23 326:24



343:5

antina	llaatha:-	L vocalda c		1
wanting	whether	working	-	
223:23 224:14	212:19 213:7,8	229:11 231:17	Z	
227:11	222:11 230:25	233:10 249:7 282:15		
warehouse	239:10 257:20	309:9 313:24 314:1	Zions	
328:8,10	259:12 268:25 297:6	317:4 339:9	335:2	
	298:5 311:12 316:6	worried		
watch	white	234:4		
340:5,7	264:25			
watched	201.20	worry		
340:4	Wilkerson	341:3		
0 10.1	213:24 214:12,14	worth		
water	215:2,10	334:10,19 335:1		
209:17,18 214:20	Williams			
222:18 242:17,20	209:11,24 210:2,5,7	write		
259:24 282:7,10		262:12,15		
299:15 303:17 304:3	willing	writing		
326:24 332:15 333:5	242:23	268:11		
337:12	winter	200.11		
wave	231:17 239:13 302:4	written		
234:5 272:9 297:9,	313:21	217:18 222:11		
10 298:3 336:14	313.21	wrong		
10 230.3 330.14	withdraw	wrong 260:14 276:6,9,10,		
Wayne	308:14 339:22	13,16,17 290:13		
324:3,6	woman	13,10,17 290.13		
wearing	266:19			
265:11 266:19	200.19	Υ		
200.11 200.19	wonder			
week	221:8	year		
342:17,19	wondering	219:7 224:16 230:1,		
weekends	219:9	5 232:14,17 235:20,		
231:13	219.9	21,24 326:10		
231.13	Woodhouse	year's		
weeks	240:11 244:12	232:15,16		
233:16 271:15	297:16 314:11	232.13,16		
275:24 319:3,4	Woodhouse's	year-end		
welded	298:1	235:16,17		
	296.1			
226:18	Woods	years		
welders	267:21 336:7,8	216:12 231:3 235:25		
226:21	and	240:13 300:23		
Walla	word	301:24 302:7,11,13 334:24 336:5 340:13		
Wells	216:2 233:22,23	334.24 330.3 340.13		
335:14	271:1 275:14 291:7	yet		
whatever	330:20	221:14 232:14		
224:13 226:13	words	238:11 255:7 327:5		
227:13 229:10 232:4	245:2 247:9,15,16,	341:21		
240:19 244:13	17,21,22 287:7,20	Voling		
245:20 250:13	ark	young		
252:10 257:21	work	262:22 265:10		
273:20 274:21	230:17 233:13 260:1	300:23		
283:10 289:3 321:1	261:8 313:18	yourself		
338:8	worked	243:14 245:1 257:6		
	216:12 240:12 313:3			
whereupon				
4/1315	1	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1	1

