2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

on a vacation trip and had an entire week when she returned to finish her homework.

Eli raised the issue of Nova being bitten by the family dog and Nova being left alone in the car while in California with Diane. Both of these issues are being blown out of proportion. In reference to the dog bite, Nova was in school that day and unable to find the IPad Eli had purchased for her. She was extremely upset and immediately called her father after school. Eli jumped in his car and came rushing over. When he arrived, he raised a commotion in the house, causing a lot of noise. Nova stepped backwards and inadvertently stepped on the dog's paw. The dog nipped Nova. While in California, Diane and Nova stopped at a gas station where Diane filled up her car. She informed Nova that she was taking trash from the car over to a trash can in the parking lot. Nova sat safely locked in the car while Diane dumped the trash. It took Diane less then a minute and Nova did not appear to be upset in any way. From the writing in Eli's Opposition, it appears he believes Diane left Nova in the car and went shopping. That is not what happened.

Since the divorce, Nova has been displaying behavior indicating that she may be pitting her parents against one another. In the conversation Diane heard on speaker phone, Eli informed Nova that she was to call the police if 'mommy spanks you again.' The week prior to leaving on vacation, Nova seemed very upset with Diane. The child was defensive and rude to Diane. Nova hit Diane and told her she did not have to listen to her mother. She told Diane that she wanted her dad to have custody of her and wanted to live with him because he bought her stuff all the time and that he loved her and Diane did not. Nova has been spending a lot her time with Eli in the company of several teenage cousins. Diane has personally witnessed these teens being disrespectful, using curse words, and telling their mother (Eli's sister) that they 'don't have to listen' to her. Nova is now showing that same teenage attitude.

It is Diane's request that the Court admonish Eli to be a more cooperative co-parent. From Nova's behavior, Eli may be indulging the child to a point that is unhealthy. Likewise, Eli needs to honor his agreement with Diane that Nova will be educated in both their faiths. Diane is completely supportive of Nova's involvement in the Jewish faith. Diane requests that Eli show that same support of Nova's participation in the Christian faith. Eli must understand that post-divorce, he can no longer dominate Diane, cannot insist on his way at all times, and must be an effective co parent

to their daughter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

Diane respectfully asks the Court to grant the relief sought in her Motion and Supplement and deny Eli's Counterclaim.

DATED this 14th day of May, 2014.

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY on the 14th day of May, 2014, I served the above and foregoing PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION AND OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S COUNTERMOTION FOR ENFORCEMENT OR MODIFICATION OF DECREE by depositing a true and correct copy in the United States mails, postage prepaid, addressed to counsel for Defendant at her last known address as follow:

Rachel M. Jacobson, Esq. Jacobson Law Office, LTD. 64 N. Pecos Road, #200 Henderson, Nevada 89074

An Employee of LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

Exhibit 11

## LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 • (702) 477-0448 530 SOUTH 7TH STREET

#### **DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF**

STATE OF NEVADA )ss COUNTY OF CLARK

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DIANE MIZRACHI, being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. That I am the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, have knowledge of the facts set forth herein and am competent to testify as to those facts if called upon in a Court of law to do so and I make this Declaration in support of my MOTION TO CLARIFY AND/OR AMEND DECREE OF DIVORCE IN RESPECT TO HOLIDAY VISITATION FOR THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS and SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION.
- 2. That I recently picked Nova up after school. Nova was speaking to Eli on the phone and without informing him, put the call on speaker phone. I heard Eli say to Nova that she was not to forget that 'mommy hates Jews.'
- 3. That I am shocked and horrified that Eli would say such an inflammatory lie to our child. I categorically deny that I am anti-Semitic. I do not hate Jews and I have never and would never express such a sentiment. If I hated Jews, why would I have married one? I am dumbstruck by this allegation.
- 4. That Eli's own Opposition and Exhibits testify that I have always been respectful of Eli's religion. I was always a gracious guest at holiday dinners with Eli's family. I took my own mother and father to some of those dinners. Paraphrasing the footnote to Eli's Opposition, I did indeed light candles on Hanukkah, dance at Jewish celebrations, enjoyed traditional Israeli/Jewish foods, danced the hora and placed a mezuzah on the door of our home to honor Eli's faith.
- 5. That when Eli and I negotiated our divorce, I believed Jewish holidays encompassed Passover, Rosh Hashanah, Yom Kippur and Hanukkah. I likewise believed each of those holidays was of one day duration. Eli never told me that he intended to celebrate Jewish holidays he had never observed before and that I had never heard of. He also never made note that he intended to celebrate the entire holiday period and not one single day.
- 6. That I believe it is unreasonable for Eli to demand that he have Nova for every single Jewish holiday that has never had previous meaning to his life.

- 7. That I have read the Motion and affirm that each and every allegation set forth therein is true to my own knowledge.
- 8. I respectfully ask the Court to grant my Motion and Supplement and deny Eli's Countermotion.

I swear under penalty of perjury that the contents of this Declaration are true to the best of my knowledge. I understand that by my signature I verify the material accuracy of the contents. I also understand that any willful misstatements may be contemptuous and could result in my punishment by the Court.

DATED this 13 day of May, 2014.

Attachment 10

1 **NEOJ** ORIGINAL LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ. LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street 2 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: 702-477-0443 Electronically Filed 4 07/07/2014 03:14:36 PM Fax: 702-477-0448 5 Attorney for Plaintiff DIANE MIZRACHI 6 CLERK OF THE COURT DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 10 DIANE MIZRACHI. 11 Case No.: D-13-479664-D Plaintiff. Dept. No.: 12 LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED VS. 13 ELIEZER MIZRACHI, 530 SOUTH 7<sup>131</sup> STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 • (702) 477-0448 14 THE LAW OFFICES OF Defendant. 15 16 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 17 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Re May 19, 2014 was entered on the 24th day of 18 June, 2014. A copy of said Order is attached for your records. 19 DATED this 30th of day of June, 2014. 20 LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED 21 22 By: 23 Névada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street 24 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 25 26 27 28

## LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED THE LAW OFFICES OF

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I HEREBY CERTIFY on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of July, 2014, I served the above and foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER by depositing a true and correct copy in the United States mails, postage prepaid, addressed to counsel for Defendant at his last known address as follows:

Rachel Jacobson, Esq. 64 North Pecos Road, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89074

An Employee of LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

S CHALL

**Electronically Filed** 

ORDR LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ. LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: 702-477-0443 Fax: 702-477-0448

Attorney for Plaintiff DIANE MIZRACHI

06/25/2014 03:21:12 PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI, Plaintiff. VS. ELIEZER MIZRACHI, Defendant.

Case No.: D-13-479664-D Dept. No.:

#### ORDER RE MAY 19, 2014 HEARING

This matter came on for hearing on the 19th day of May, 2014 on Plaintiff's Motion to Clarify and/or Amend Decree of Divorce in Respect to Holiday Visitation for the Parties' Minor Child and for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Supplement thereto, Defendant's Opposition and Countermotion for Enforcement or Modification of Decree and Plaintiff's Reply and Opposition. Plaintiff, DIANE MIZRACHI, appeared personally and by and through her attorney, LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ., of the law offices of LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED, and Defendant, ELIEZER MIZRACHI, appeared personally and by and through his attorney, RACHEL JACOBSON, ESQ., of the JACOBSON LAW OFFICE, LTD. Based on the papers and pleadings on file herein, and the argument of counsel.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court finds there was not a clear understanding between the two parties at the time and there needs to be a clarification on the Jewish holidays and so the Court is going to adopt the default Jewish holiday system that has been set up in Department D. The Court is going to agree that the four major hoildays: Passover, Hanukkah, Yom Kippur and

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED 530 SOUTH 771 STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 • (702) 477 0448 THE LAW OFFICES OF

Other Want of Prosecution
Dismissed (Statutory) Dismissed
Involuntary (Statutory) Dismissed
Involuntary (Statutory) Dismissed
Involuntary (Statutory) Dismissed 23 Disposed After Trial Start 24 25 Serial Care Series D Judgment Reached by

LAS VYGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 677 0641 @ (702) 477-0448

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Rosh Hashanah be the four holidays and will constitute only the first day of each holiday.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the holidays shall constitute one full day defined as 5:00 o'clock p.m. on the eve of the holiday to 5:00 o'clock p.m. on the day of the holiday.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties will alternate having Nova on her birthday. Each year Plaintiff has Nova on her birthday. Defendant will have Nova the following day.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party will continue to have three (3) weeks of vacation per year with Nova with thirty (30) days advance notice. Each vacation shall be no less then one week in duration.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this vacation modification shall take effect subsequent to the vacation time already scheduled from June 8, 2014 to June 12, 2014 and June 24, 2014 through June 25, 2014.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's request for Sunday visitation is denied as Plaintiff agreed to that and there is no change in circumstances.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that neither party shall be awarded make up visitation time. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court is not going to adopt Monday holidays as the parties knew those existed at the time they entered the Agreement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party shall bear their own attorney's fees and costs. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are on notice that any party ordered to pay child support is subject to the provisions of NRS 125.450(2) and Chapter 31A inclusive, regarding the withholding of wages and commissions for delinquent payments of support.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125A.350, neither party may move from the State of Nevada with the minor child without the prior mutual written consent of the other party or leave of the court. The failure of a parent to comply with this provision may be considered a factor if a change of custody is requested by a non-custodial parent or a parent having joint custody.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125.510(7) and (8), the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign county. The minor child's habitual residence is located in the city of Las Vegas, Clark County, State of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Nevada, within the United States of America.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are aware of the provisions of NRS 125.510(6) as follows: PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF THE ORDER: THE ABDUCTION. CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a Category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125B.145, the parties are entitled to a review of any order for support every three years to determine whether the order should be modified or adjusted. JUN 2 4 2014

DATED this day of

Submitted by:

By Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

JACOBSON, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 007827 64 North Pecos Road, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89074

	1 0001						
	2						
	3						
	4						
(		DISTRIC	CT COURT				
7	a service and a	CLARK COU	INTY, NEVADA				
8							
ç	DIANE P. MIZRACHI,						
10	Plai	intiff(s),	CASE NO. D479664				
11	-Vs-		DEPT. NO. C				
12	ELIEZER MIZRACHI,		FAMILY COURT				
13	Dofo	malant/_)	MOTION/OPPOSITION FEE INFORMATION SHEET				
14	Party Filing Motion/Opposition	endant(s).	(NRS 19.0312)				
15	1 9		/Petitioner				
16	MOTION FOR OPPOSITION	THE PERSON NAMED AND POST OF THE PERSON NAMED					
17	Oppositions to Motions	Mark correct	answer with an "X."				
18	filed after entry of a final	entered.	cree or Custody Order has been				
19	order pursuant to NRS 125, 125B or 125C are						
20	subject to the Re-open filing fee of \$25.00,	SANDOIL IOLG	This document is filed solely to adjust the amount of support for a child. No other request is made.				
	Unless specifically	YES [	<b>∑</b> INO				
21	<b>excluded.</b> (NRS 19.0312)	3. This motion	is made for reconsideration or a new				
22	NOTICE:	mich child is if	d is filed within 10 days of the Judge's Order provide file date of Order:				
23	If it is determined that a motion or opposition is filed without payment	YES	⊴NO				
24	of the appropriate fee, the matter may be taken off the Court's	If you answere	d YES to any of the questions above,				
25	calendar or may remain undecided until payment is made.	you are <u>not</u> sub	ect to the \$25 fee.				
26	E 8 . (° 1-	IS NOT subject	to \$25 filing fee				
27	Detect it oTH	114					
28	Rochel Floradoson Es	<b>A</b> ,	S()e				
	Printed Name of Preparer		Signature of Preparer				
A Principal of the Paris of the			Motion-Opposition Fee.doc/1/30/05				

Attachment 8

ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 05/12/2014 11:16:40 AM

1

SUPP

2 3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

530 SOUTH 7TH STREET
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
(702) 477-0443 • (702) 477-0448 16 17

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

THE LAW OFFICES OF

18

19 20

21 22

26 27

28

25

23 24

LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ. LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone 702-477-0443 Facsimile

702-477-0448 Attorney for Plaintiff DIANE MIZRACHI

CLERK OF THE COURT

#### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,	)	
Plaintiff,	Case No.: Dept. No.:	D-13-479664-D C
VS.	}	
ELIEZER MIZRACHI,	) Date of Hearing	g: May 19, 204
Defendant.	) Time of Hearing	ig: 10:00 a.m.
	)	

#### SUPPLEMENT TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CLARIFY AND/OR AMEND DECREE OF DIVORCE IN RESPECT TO HOLIDAY VISITATION FOR THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

In order to resolve all outstanding issues without burdening the Court with another hearing, Plaintiff respectfully supplements her Motion to Clarify and/or Amend the Decree of Divorce and asks the Court to make a ruling at the hearing scheduled May 19, 2014 on the following issues:

- 1. It is Plaintiff's understanding that all of the Christian and Jewish holidays constitute one day each. Plaintiff nevertheless requests the Court to allow Christmas Eve and Christmas Day to be considered one holiday. Plaintiff likewise agrees that Defendant be allowed two days of one of the Jewish holiday each year (either Passover, Rosh Hashanah, Yom Kippur or Hanukkah) to be considered one holiday.
- 2. Because of the parties' work schedules, Defendant has the parties' minor child Nova every weekend. This means as a practical matter Nova is unable to attend church with Plaintiff on Sundays in order for Nova to be educated in the Protestant faith. Under the circumstances, Plaintiff asks the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Court to allow her to have Nova for two hours on Sundays from 9:15 a.m. to 11.15 a.m. in order for Nova to attend church.

- 3. Plaintiff asks the Court to set a time each day when Nova is in the custody of the other parent in order for the non-custodial parent to have a telephone conversation with the child. Plaintiff suggests that a one time call be made any day and any time between 6:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. and limited to one call only per day.
- 4. Pursuant to the Decree of Divorce, Defendant is allowed to have Nova every year on her birthday. Plaintiff would like the child's birthday alternated each year with Defendant having Nova in even numbered years and Plaintiff having Nova in odd numbered years.
- 5. Plaintiff requests a modification of that portion of the Decree of Divorce found on page 2, lines 27-28 in which it is required that each party give the other 30 days notice in order to exercise vacation time with Nova. Because of the Internet technology allowing cost-saving reservations to be made at the last minute, Plaintiff suggests that it is to the benefit of both Plaintiff and Defendant for the notice requirement to be reduced from thirty (30) days to fourteen (14) days.
- 6. At the time of the preparation of this Supplement, Defendant has not designated a particular vacation week he would like to spend with Nova this year. Defendant has, however been taking vacation days from his job. So far, every vacation day he has taken falls on one of Plaintiff's custody days during the week. Plaintiff understands that a designated vacation period normally supercedes the usual custody schedule. Likewise, she does not wish to prevent Nova from having vacation time with her father but if Defendant continues with this pattern of taking one vacation day at a time, Plaintiff's usual custody of Nova will be disrupted for weeks. Plaintiff believes that Defendant is purposefully interfering with Plaintiff's time with their daughter and asks the Court to resolve this issue.
- 7. During the recent Passover holiday, Defendant kept Nova not only on Passover but for the following Wednesday, Thursday and Friday, which are Plaintiff's usual days with Nova. Under

10 11 12 LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED 13 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 • (702) 477-0448 THE LAW OFFICES OF 14 530 SOUTH 7TH STREET 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

the circumstances, Plaintiff asks the Court to award her three extra days with Nova to make up for the time that Defendant kept Nova, contrary to the Decree of Divorce.

DATED this 9th day of May, 2014.

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7th Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I HEREBY CERTIFY on the 9th day of May, 2014, I served the above and foregoing SUPPLEMENT TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CLARIFY AND/OR AMEND DECREE OF DIVORCE IN RESPECT TO HOLIDAY VISITATION FOR THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS by depositing a true and correct copy in the United States mails, postage prepaid, addressed to counsel for Defendant at her last known address as follow:

Rachel M. Jacobson, Esq. Jacobson Law Office, LTD. 64 N. Pecos Road, #200 Henderson, Nevada 89074

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

Attachment 9

Electronically Filed 05/15/2014 09:29:36 AM

Alm & Chin

CLERK OF THE COURT

RPLY
LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ.
LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED
Nevada Bar No. 1678
530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone 702-477-0443
Facsimile 702-477-0448
Attorney for Plaintiff
DIANE MIZRACHI

#### DISTRICT COURT

#### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ELIEZER MIZRACHI,

Defendant.

#### PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION AND OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S COUNTERMOTION FOR ENFORCEMENT OR MODIFICATION OF DECREE

Date of Hearing: Time of Hearing:

May 19, 2014 10:00 o'clock a.m.

COMES NOW Plaintiff, DIANE MIZRACHI, by and through her attorney, LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ., of the law offices of LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED, and replies to Defendant's Opposition and opposes his Countermotion as follows.

It is an absolute fact that when the parties negotiated the terms of their Decree of Divorce, Plaintiff, Diane Mizrachi, (hereinafter "DIANE") agreed that she would have the parties' daughter on Christian holidays and Defendant, Eliezer Mizrachi (hereinafter referred to as 'ELI') would have their daughter on Jewish holidays. Based on her knowledge of Eli's participation in observing Jewish holidays throughout their marriage, Diane believed the Jewish holidays encompassed Passover, Rosh Hashanah, Yom Kippur and Hanukkah. The parties had a calendar in their home that referenced other days but it was simply a calendar on the wall, not something that entered into their daily lives in any manner. It would be much like a calendar for the Catholic faith noting feast days, or dates

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED
530 SOUTH 7TH STREET
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
(702) 477-0448

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

honoring saints, etc. It had no bearing on their lives.

Diane's naivety has caught up with her. Eli now appears to want their daughter on every date noted on the Jewish calendar regardless of whether those 'holidays' are one day long or last for several days. Eli never made known to Diane or her attorney his intention to interpret "Jewish holidays" so broadly. This is unreasonable on Eli's part and contrary to the good faith agreement the parties reached in crafting their Decree of Divorce.

The parties' disputes date back to Thanksgiving, 2013. Hanukkah fell on the day before Thanksgiving. Eli had Nova for Hanukkah but refused to return her to Diane in order for her to celebrate Thanksgiving with her mother. Eli insisted that Hanukkah was a week-long celebration and he intended to keep Nova for the entire week. The parties argued over the issue and Eli finally agreed to allow Nova to be with Diane during the day on Thanksgiving but he demanded that Nova return to him at 6:00 p.m. when Diane had to go to work. He kept Nova for a week.

Eli's claim that he has tried working with Diane on these issues is a lie. Eli has a very domineering personality. Throughout their marriage and continuing post-divorce, Eli has been quite insistent that he get his way in all matters concerning Nova. It has come to Diane's attention that Eli is now manipulating Nova to gain the upper hand in any disagreements with Diane.

Diane recently picked up Nova after school. Nova was speaking to Eli on the phone and without informing her father, the child put the phone on speaker. Diane heard the conversation between father and daughter in which Eli said to Nova that she was not to forget that 'mommy hates Jews.' Diane was completely horrified that Eli would say such a thing to Nova. Diane confirmed this by sending a text message to Eli refuting that she ever told Nova any such thing. A copy of Diane's text message is incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit "10." [Due to poor scanning quality, the original text message is being hand delivered to Dept. C]. Eli's allegation that Diane is anti-Semitic is categorically false. Eli proved his own statement wrong when he stated on page 5, lines 21-25 of his Opposition, "Throughout their courtship before the marriage and during the marriage, Diane shared in Eliezer's Jewish heritage..." Attached as Exhibit "H" to Eli's Opposition is a Declaration of Eden Pendergast who stated that Diane attended Passover, Rosh Hashanah and Hanukkah holiday dinners. Ms. Pendergast stated,

# LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 • (702) 477-0448

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"Not only did they come to the dinners, they also took part in some of the holiday traditions, and they seemed to enjoy themselves while taking part." [Emphasis

In the Declaration of Francisco Osorio, attached as Exhibit "E" to Eli's Opposition, Mr. Osorio, who is Eli's brother-in-law, states in pertinent part,

"For the last 22 years I have celebrated as many Jewish holidays as I could with my wife's family. I have respected their religion the same way they respect my religion and for the past 12 years that I have known Diane she celebrated Jewish holidays just like me." [Emphasis added].

The footnote contained on page 5 of Eli's Opposition states, among other things,

"...Diane celebrated all holidays with the family, lit candles on Hanukkah, danced at various bar and bat mitzvahs, ate traditional Israeli/Jewish foods, danced the hora, was surrounded by Hebrew, watched Eliezer say the Kadish over his father when he passed away, placed a mezuzah on the front door of their marital home. . .

As set forth in Diane's Declaration, attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "11," points out, Diane is shocked by Eli's allegations. An anti-Semite would not show the respect Diane demonstrated throughout the parties' marriage. Both Eden Pendergast and Francisco Osorio testified to that fact in their Declarations. The footnote in Eli's Opposition is further proof that Diane Mizrachi always had and continues to have respect for the Jewish faith. Incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit "12" is a picture of the doorway leading into Diane's house which shows the mezuzah that Diane and Eli installed on the home when they were together.[Due to poor scanning quality, the original copy of the picture is being hand delivered to Dept. C]. It remains in the doorway of Diane's home in order to honor the Jewish faith.

On the other hand, in spite of their agreement that Nova would be exposed to both the Christian and Jewish faith, Eli has been dead set opposed to Nova receiving any education in Christianity. In his Opposition, Eli describes Diane as a 'non religious person,' states that Diane has only recently been taking Nova to different churches and says that Nova had not attended Sunday School or church prior to that. Eli did not inform the Court that he refused to allow Diane to take Nova to church during their marriage. While married to Eli, Diane did not attend church regularly because of the conflict it caused with Eli. When she expressed a desire to attend church with Nova, Eli told her if she left the house with the child that he would follow her, take Nova from Diane when they arrived at church, and drive her back home. Because of Eli's dominating personality, Diane

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

believed his threats. She did not want to be part of a domestic dispute in the church parking lot.

Now that Diane is freed from Eli's domination, she has been looking for a permanent church to attend with Nova. She has been visiting protestant churches in her area to find one where she and Nova can worship together. Nova is too young to grasp the Jewish dictate that a child is considered to be the faith of the child's mother. If Diane actually followed that dictate, since she is Christian, Nova is likewise Christian. Diane has always honored her agreement with Eli that their daughter would be educated in both of their faiths and has always told Nova that she is both a Christian and a Jew. If the Court were to have concerns that either Diane or Eli is against the other's religious faith, Eli's conduct shows that he is the party guilty of the prejudice.

Eli made reference in his Opposition to Nova's tardiness at school and the vacation week Diane took with the child that caused her to miss school. Nova is not a morning person and it is sometimes difficult to get her up and moving. Diane has established a stricter morning routine in order to combat this issue and Nova is no longer late.

Diane's vacation was planned in November/December 2013 for April, 2014 during Nova's school break. When Diane informed Eli of the time period for the vacation, he objected because it would interfere with Passover. As a result, Diane changed the date of the vacation in order to accommodate Eli. See texts of communications between Diane and Eli regarding the vacation issue, copies of which are incorporated herein as Exhibit "13." [Due to poor scanning quality, the original text message is being hand delivered to Dept. C]. Diane discussed the time frame with Nova's teacher. Prior to vacation, Nova had not missed any days from school. The teacher informed Diane that she could get Nova's school work in advance of the vacation so she would not fall behind. Eli was given notice of this vacation as soon as the trip was booked. Eli told Diane he was going to call the Court and Nova's school and object. Diane had to remind him that she had scheduled the trip so that the dates would not conflict with him celebrating Passover with Nova on April 15, 2014.

Nova went on vacation with her mother. As is understandable, she did some, but not all, of her homework during the trip. Nova was on spring break the week she returned to Las Vegas, which gave her more then sufficient time to complete her school work during her regular time with her father. This cannot be construed in any manner as an indictment of Diane's parenting. Nova was



#### CONDITIONS OF ADMISSION AND GENERAL CONSENT FOR TREATMENT CONDICIONES DE INGRESO Y CONSENTIMIENTO GENERAL DE TRATAMIENTO

ENC# 90482704 7 DOB 4/30/200 MIZRACHI, NOVA Craig Quick Care MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/201

MRUC1875 (02/14/13)

Page 3 of 3

I understand that, in most instances, there will be a separate charge for professional services rendered by physicians to me or on my behalf, and that I will receive a bitl for these professional services that is separate from the bill for hospital services.

Entiendo que en la mayoría de los casos, habrá costos por seperado correspondientes a los servicios profesionales recibidos o proporcionados a mi favor, y que recibire por estos servicios profesionales una factura separada de la factura por los sarvicios del hospital.

#### Personal Valuables | Valores Personales

I understand UMC maintains a safe for the safekeeping of money and valuables for patients who are admitted to the hospital. UMC is not responsible for the loss of or damage to any money, jewelry, glasses, dentures, or any other item that would be considered a loss if misplaced, unless deposited with UMC for safekeeping.

Entiendo que UMC mantiene una caja de seguridad para resguardar dinero y valores para los pecientes que están ingresados en el hospital. UMC no es responsable por la pérdida o daños de dinero, joyas, anleojos, dentaduras o cualquier atra elemento que se considere perdido por no haberlo guardado adecuademente, salvo que se lo haya entregado e UMC para su resguardo.

UMC's responsibility for loss of any personal property deposited with UMC for safekeeping is limited to five hundred dollars (\$500.00), unless a written receipt for a greater amount has been provided to the hospital by the patient.

La responsabilidad de UMC por la pérdida de elementos de propiedad personal depositados para el resguardo de UMC está limitada a quinientos dólares estadounidenses (\$500.00), salvo que el hospital haya entregado un recibo al paciente por un importe mayor.

I agree to reclaim any property in the custody of UMC within sixty (80) days of discharge. If I am unable to sign for the release of said property, my personal representative may reclaim the property.

Acepto reclamar cualquier elemento de mi propiedad dejado en custodia de UMC dentro de los sesenta (60) dies del alta. Si no puedo firmar la devolución de dicho elemento de mi propiedad, mi apoderado personal puede reclamario.

#### Weapons, Explosives or Drugs / Armas, Explosivos o Drogas

I understand and agree that if UMC believes there may be a weapon, explosive device, illegal substance or drug, or any alcoholic beverage in my room or with my belongings while on UMC premises, UMC may:

Entiendo y concuerdo que si UMC sospecha le presencia de armas, dispositivos explosivos, sustancias ilegales o droga, o cualquier bebida alcohólica en mi habitación o con mis pertenencias, UMC puede.

- Search my room and my belongings. I Registrar mi habitación y mis pertenencias.
- Confiscate any of the above items that are found. I Confiscar cualquiera de los objetos arriba mencionados encontrados.
- Dispose of them as appropriate, including delivery of any item to law enforcement authorities.
   Deshacerse de ellos como sea apropiado, incluyendo la entrega de cualquiere de los objetos a las autoridades de orden público.

#### Joint Notice of Privacy Practices / Notificación Conjunta de Políticas de Privacidad

The Joint Notice of Privacy Practices describes the ways in which the hospital may use and disclose my healthcare information for its treatment, payment, healthcare operations and other described and permitted uses and disclosures.

La Notificación Conjunte de Políticas de Privacidad describe las formas en que el hospital puede usar y compartir mi información de atención de salud para sus procedimientos de tratamiento, pago, atención de salud y otros usos y divulgaciones descrites y permitidas.

Initial the applicable acknowledgement / Marque con una inicial su confirmación junto a la afirmación aplicable

! received a copy of the Joint Notice of Privacy Practices / recibí une copie de la Notificación Conjunta de Politicas de Privacidad

| Ideclined a copy of the Joint Notice of Privacy Practices / rechacé la copia de la Notificación Conjunta de Politicas de Privacidad

i, the undersigned, hereby certify that the information provided is true and complete, and that I have read and fully understand these Conditions of Admissions and General Consent for Treatment, and that I agree to be bound by its terms. I hereby certify that I have received no promises or guarantees from anyone as to the results that may be obtained by any medical freetment or services.

Yo, el signatarto, por la presenta cartifico que la información aquí consignada es verdadera y completa, y que he leido y entiendo completamente estas Condiciones para Admisiones y Consentimiento General de Tratemiento, y que acepto estar comprometido a sus términos. Por la presente certifico que no he recibido promesas o garantías con referencia a los resultados que puedan obtenerse de los tratamientos médicos o servicios.

Signature of Patis Simps del paciente			Dete / Fech	Time / Hors	Reason Patient Unable To Sign Motivo porque no puede firmer el paciente		
7			Winness /	Testiga .	Title	A Titulo	
Relationship to Patient: Relación con el paciente:	□ Patient Paclents	Ci Spouse Conyage	Ø Parent Padre	O Guardian Tutor	Healthcare Durabl     Power of Attorney     Poder duradero per     le atención de la sala	Otro (explique)	

#### UNIC COLLEGE THE STATE OF THE S

CLOR DIERT CRICE ROVE

N. LAS VEGAS MEVADA 39932

PHONE (702) 181-6280 FAX (702) 617-8106

MEDICAL DIRECTOR.

JOHN ONYEMA MB. 83. C.P.E. M.M.M.
ROBERT STEINMETZ, CLIMICAL MANAGER
DEBRA SALERNO, OFFICE SUPERVISOR

Paceinier transmittal emert \$ 6 15 kg. \$12 1 5 c A PARTY OF THE PAR 28 \$222. Art. 265.19 人名英格兰斯 医阿克斯斯氏病 经实际股份 n Big CI militar recyclik Ciplean comment Openie eemy Q Pade neview CLUMENT · i of Participation in FNC# 90482704 MIZRACHI, NOVA Craig Quick Care

Note to recipient of information. This resemination is introduct for the use of interestable or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, contributed and exempt from disciouse under applicable law. If the render of this massegs is not the intended complete, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the massegs to the intended recipient, you are been by notified that discensionation, distribution or copying, of this communications is critically probabited, if you have received this communication in caret, please notify us intendicably by telephone and remain the original massage to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service.

MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM

Patient Name: NOV	OYA MIZRACHI 590482764		Subscriber Name: ELIEZER MIZRACHI				
Patient SSN:			Subscriber SSN:				
Patient Acct #: 8590			Member ID/HIC #: 1	1001352502			
Patient DOB: 04/3	0/2006	Englaimy	Financial Class:	ng galan. Salan dan melalah salahada yandan melap pendapuna antakan pilabarah dalah dingan menandan menandan m			
Gender: F			Date of Service: 6	6/00/2013			
Relat'n to Subsc'r: 34			Request Date: 0	06/30/2013			
Patient Name	NOVA MIZRACHI	Almondonin e y E e	e geligi ya qeyya geyand a mari si silikundami sa darramanla e e na vergar e filo yak kiri in				
Patient Name NOVA MIZRACHI Payer: SIERRA HEALTH SERVICES Subscriber Member ID/HIC #: 11001352502							
Subscriber Member ID/I-	IIC #: 11001352502		•				
MCO/HMO:	and the state of t	Part # 2 Mary					
"A" Effective Date:	ura na menteterari (1976 k.) (1974 k.) a 1980 prosti kina a mio historia di manada menunca a sa da mai (1976 m	P. B. J. P. J. S.	•				
"B" Effective Date:			•				
Dats No	205	~~~~	Ad	ditlonal Coverage			
ER Copay			***************************************				
Primary Care Provider	a legythons		N/A				
My View Benefit Summ	ery Payer Summary Dem	(providencia)	ohics Financial Oppor	tunities All			
My View Benefit Summ	eary Payer Summary Demo conse unless otherwise noted with HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA	(providencia)	ohics Financial Oppor Response Payer Name:				
My View Benefit Summ	ionse unless otherwise noted with HPN HEALTH PLAN CF	(providencia)	ymmen gantemen kundus ei den eine ei maarinisel ei das verkilde villige ein ei die held de "Hillitet in Jahrich".				
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp *HIS Payer Name:	ionse unless otherwise noted with HPN HEALTH PLAN CF	(providencia)	Response Payer Name:				
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp *HIS Payer Name: *HIS Plan Code:	onse unless otherwise noted with HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA	(providencia)	Response Payer Name: Payer Address 1:				
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp THIS Payer Name: THIS Plan Code: Response Status:	onse unless otherwise noted with HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA ELIGIBLE	(providencia)	Response Payer Name: Payer Address 1: Payer Address 2:				
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp THIS Payer Name: THIS Plan Code: Response Status: Coverage Begin Date:	onse unless otherwise noted with HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA ELIGIBLE	(providencia)	Response Payer Name: Payer Address 1: Payer Address 2: Payer City, State, Zip:	SIERRA MEALTH SERVICES			
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp THIS Payer Name: THIS Plan Code: Response Status: Coverage Begin Date: Coverage End Date:	onse unless otherwise noted with HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA ELIGIBLE	(providencia)	Response Payer Name: Payer Address 1: Payer Address 2: Payer City, State, Zip: Payer Phone Number:	SIERRA HEALTH SERVICES			
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp THIS Payer Name: THIS Plan Code: Response Status: Coverage Begin Date: Coverage End Date: HMO Name:	onse unless otherwise noted with HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA ELIGIBLE	(providencia)	Response Payer Name: Payer Address 1: Payer Address 2: Payer City, State, Zip: Payer Phone Number: Primary Care Physician:	SIERPA MEALTH SERVICES			
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp THIS Payer Name: THIS Plan Code: Response Status: Coverage Begin Date: Coverage End Date: HMO Name: Product Info	onse unless otherwise noted with HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA ELIGIBLE 01/01/2013	(providencia)	Response Payer Name: Payer Address 1: Payer Address 2: Payer City, State, Zip: Payer Phone Number: Primary Care Physician:	SIERRA HEALTH SERVICES  7028778600			
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp THIS Payer Name: THIS Plan Code: Hesponae Status: Coverage Begin Date: Coverage End Date: Histo Marse: Product Info Description:	onse unlese otherwise noted with HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA ELIGIBLE 01/01/2013 HMO PLUS S NV	(providencia)	Response Payer Name: Payer Address 1: Payer Address 2: Payer City, State, Zip: Payer Phone Number: Primary Care Physician: PCP Phone Number:	SIERRA HEALTH SERVICES  7028778600			
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp THIS Payer Name: THIS Plan Code: Hesponse Statue: Coverage Begin Date: Coverage End Date: HMO Name: Product Info Description: Plan #	onse unlese otherwise noted with HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA ELIGIBLE 01/01/2013 HMO PLUS S NV	(providencia)	Response Payer Name: Payer Address 1: Payer Address 2: Payer City, State, Zip: Payer Phone Number: Primary Care Physician: PCP Phone Number: Service Type:	SIERRA HEALTH SERVICES  7028778600  Preferred Provider Organization			
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp THIS Payer Name: THIS Plan Code: Hesponse Status: Coverage Begin Date: Coverage End Date: HMO Name: Product info Description: Plan # Plan Name:	HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA  ELIGIBLE  01/01/2013  HMO PLUS S NV  CALVST20	(providencia)	Response Payer Name: Payer Address 1: Payer Address 2: Payer City, State, Zip: Payer Phone Number: Primary Care Physician: PCP Phone Number: Service Type:	SIERPA HEALTH SERVICES  7028778600  Preferred Provider Organization (PPO)			
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp THIS Payer Name: THIS Plan Code: Response Status: Coverage Begin Date: Coverage End Date: HMO Name: Product Info Description: Plan # Plan Name: Group #:	HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA  ELIGIBLE  01/01/2013  HMO PLUS S NV  CALVST20  10002391  STATION CASINOS LLC	(providencia)	Response Payer Name: Payer Address 1: Payer Address 2: Payer City, State, Zip: Payer Phone Number: Primary Care Physician: PCP Phone Number: Service Type: Insurance Type:	SIERPA HEALTH SERVICES  7028778600  Preferred Provider Organization (PPO)			
My View Benefit Summ Payer Summary (Payer resp THIS Payer Name: THIS Plan Code: Hesponae Statue: Coverage End Date: Coverage End Date: HMO Name: Product Info Description: Plan # Plan Name: Group #: Group Name:	HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA  ELIGIBLE  01/01/2013  HMO PLUS S NV  CALVST20  10002391  STATION CASINOS LLC	4.3	Response Payer Name: Payer Address 1: Payer Address 2: Payer Chy, State, Zip: Payer Phone Number: Primary Care Physician: PCP Phone Number: Service Type: Insurance Type:	SIERPA HEALTH SERVICES  7028778600  Preferred Provider Organization (PPO)			

Receipt

8/30/13

Guarantor ELIEZER MIZRACHI

4979 NANCY AVENUE LAS VEGAS NV 89120 Act# 748681 CRAIG QUICK CARE 1800 WEST CHARLESTON

LAS VEGAS, NV 891022329 Fed# 886000436

Date	Patient Name	Description	Payment	Enc #	User ID
8/30/13	NOVA MIZRACHI	PYMI CASH	25.00-	90482704	AMEBENALLY

Memo: CASH

ENC# 90482704 7 DOB 4/30/2006 MIZRACHI, NOVA Craig Quick Care F MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/2013

Thank you for your deposit payment. Estimated deposit amounts do not represent a negotiated rate. Charges not yet posted to your account will affect your balance due. Should there be a refund or additional charges, you will be notified by mail.

Please retain for insurance and income tax purposes.

You may receive a separate bill for your x-ray reading or processing of lab tests depending on your insurance carrier contract.

For care/clinical inquiries, please call 702 383-6270 For all billing inquiries, please call 702 383-7364

#### · K

2 3

C

**Z**6

#### DECLARATION OF EDEN PENDERGAST

EDEN PENDERGAST, being first duly sworn upon eath deposes and says as follows:

My name is Eden Pendergast and I am a family friend of Eliezer Mizrachi. I have known Eliezer for more than four years and I have attended many of the Jewish holidays (Rosh Shanna, Hanukah, and Passover) at their house. While I was there, I met Diane and her parents and they always came to all the dinners. Not only did they come to the dinners, they also took part in some of the holiday traditions, and they seemed to enjoy themselves while taking part.

That I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada (NRS 53.045) that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 1 day of May, 2014.

Edøn Peddergast








































# Exalotto

FROM: EIEZER MIZRACHI

TO : LELAND E. LUTFY RE: DIANE MIZRACHI

Dear Mr. Lutfy:

I am willing to agree to the additional holidays provided in your propped stipulation but, as I wrote in my last letter, I am not agreeing to modify the holidays as previously agreed upon in the Decree of Divorce. Diane knows that the Jewish holidays are very important to me and that is the reason we entered the Decree as we did. In my last letter, I asked you to ensure the holidays in the Decree are not affected. While your revised stipulation adds a provision to that effect, it also has a new provision that specifically CHANGES the holidays in the Decree. I am referring to lines 14-17 on page 2, and lines 7-10 on page 5. The revised stipulation also attempts to limit the Jewish holidays to only those that are "significant" and/or "court recognized." I don't know what that means and this is also not something we agreed in the Decree.

I do recognize the need to clarify the holidays and dates to avoid confusion and/or conflict. I am happy to review the holidays and dates. In the interest of cooperating with Diane, I am also willing to take less days on some holidays. Here is the list of holidays:

Rosh Hashanah Yom Kippur Sukkot Shemini Atzeret Simchat Torah Chanukkah Tu B'Shevat Purim Pesach (Passover) Lag B'Omer Shavu'ot Tisha B'Av

Again, I will love to try to work with Diane as much as I can but this is very important to me and is the reason I agreed to the terms of the Decree of Divorce. I am willing to work with Diane on this. I do not have to have all of the holidays if they conflict with Diane. But there are some holidays that I would not like to change. For example, I would like to keep all of Yom Kippur, Chanuka and all of Passover, and have at least the first night of Rosh Hashanah, Sukkot, Simchat Torah, and Purim.

Thank you for your time,

Eli

# Exaloit E

#### DECLARATION OF MEIR OREN

MEIR OREN, being first duly sworn upon oath deposes and says as follows:

That my name is Meir Oren and I am a family friend of Eli Mizrachi.

That on the 18th of April Nova Mizrachi came over my house to swim with my daughter. While she was there I asked her in a joking way, how her vacation was and she had said it was good, and then I asked her "Next time can I come with you on the cruise," so her response was "It costs \$1000." After she told me, I said that I was willing to pay that amount of money then she had said to me "NO you can't go because you are Jewish and she won't take you." Then I asked her who won't take me and she said my mom. In that moment I was shocked that a seven year old girl could have said that about our religion.

That I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada (NRS 53.045) that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 7 day of May, 2014.

:

Ä

Meir (Year

#### DECLARATION OF FRANCISCO OSORIO

FRANCISCO OSORIO, being first duly sworn upon eath deposes and says as follows:

I am Eliezer Mizrachi's brother and law. The weekend before Nova left to the cruise with her mom this year, she spent the night over my house and, as a joke, I asked her if I could go with her. She said "No my mom hates Jewish people," then I told her "but I am not Jewish." Her response after that was "but you are married to a Jewish person, and your wife is related to my father." A couple seconds later, Nova added "My mom hates my dad. Do you think that my mom would take anybody from my dad's side of the family with us?." For the last 22 years I have celebrated as many Jewish holidays as I could with my wife's family. I have respected their religion the same way they respect my religion and for the past 12 years that I have known Diane she celebrated the Jewish holidays just like me.

That I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada (NRS 53.045) that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 7 day of May, 2014.

<u>Carring Constitution</u>

Francisco Osorio

-1.5°

2.

(S)

<u>5</u>

8 9

THE LAW OFFICES OF

# LELAND E. LUTFY

530 SOUTH 7TH STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 FAX; (702) 477-0448 E-MAIL: LUTFYLAW@AOL.COM

April 15, 2014

Mr. Eliezer Mizrachi 4979 Nancy Avenue Las Vogas, Novada 89120

Dear Mr. Mizrachi:

My client informs me you intend keeping Nova not only for the first two days of Passover but through and including Easter Sunday. If you do so you are in violation of the Decree of Divorce. Yesterday I called and left you a message but have not heard back from you. Before calling you, I made calls to numerous Departments in Family Court. In particular, I spoke to the law clerks in Departments I, L, and N. Department I's position is that the Passover holiday visitation is overnight at most. Department L's position is that the first two nights are celebrated for Passover and no more. Department N's position is that Passover constitutes one overnight visit.

After the first two days of Passover, your daughter should return to the regular custody schedule with your ex-wife. Further, Diane should have your daughter this coming Sunday for the Easter holiday.

If you violate the Decree of Divorce, I intend bringing this to the attention of the Judge and ask that you be held in contempt.

You cannot do anything you please. You must comply with the Court Orders. Each Department has their own holiday visitation schedule. As pointed out previously, the most visitation you are entitled to for Passover is two days.

You continue in this course of conduct to your detriment.

Yours truly.

LELANG E. LUTFY, CHARTEREI

LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ.

LEL/cf

cc: Diane Mizrachi

# Exhibit G

#### Dear Mr. Lutfy:

I am in receipt of your letter dated April 15, 2014. In your letter you reference Easter and Passover.

Regarding Easter, I have no intentions of denying Diane her court ordered visitation. This year is unique because Easter and Passover overlap. Since Diane is working on Easter Sunday, I do not think Nova should simply stay at home when she can be enjoying a Sunday with me. In the event Diane is not working, of course, she should have Nova in her care and I would not think of denying Diane this time.

As for Passover, please allow me to clarify that I did not have Nova the "first two days of Passover" as you state in your letter. Despite my continuous requests and despite the court order, Diane did not allow me to have Nova on the first day of Passover. As Diane knows, that is the day that we host the big dinner and reteil the story of the Jewish exile from Egypt. Sadly, Nova and I could not share this part of the holiday due to Diane's denial. This is especially disturbing because I had not seen Nova for an entire week at that point and Diane knows that my mother is ailing and may not be around for additional Passover.

Further, please allow me to clarify that the Decree does not provide for only "two days" of Passover. Please recall that Diane and I agreed that I would have visitation the entire holiday. This was a main part of our agreement; I would not have otherwise signed it as you will please recall. Nevertheless, despite our agreement (and Decree), Diane fights me on every Jewish holiday. Yet, ironically, in your letter, you also write "you cannot do anything you please." That could not be farther from the truth. And, by way of this letter, I hope to clarify to you again that I have always followed Court orders and have always exhibited flexibility in my continuous efforts to amicably co-parent with Diana. On the other hand, Diane denies my time with Nova and makes poor choices as related to Nova. As a parent, this is very difficult to sit back and watch. And, should it continue, I will have no choice but to file a motion to modify custody.

For example, despite my objection, Diane removed Nova from school for an entire school week to enjoy Diane's vacation. This is a concern because Nova had to miss a whole week of school. Not only did she miss all week of school, Diane did not do any of the makeup school work with her. I have been working with Nova since getting her yesterday to catch her up on her work. Of additional concern, Diane has been telling Nova that Jewish people are bad and she has been bad mouthing me to her. Needless to say, that is not healthy. Further, along with badmouthing Judaism to Nova, Diane has also been introducing Nova to various experimental religions. While I have no intentions with interfering with Diane's spiritual journey; I don't think it can be good for Nova. This conduct too is against our agreement to raise Nova in the Jewish faith. This could really confuse an (almost) 8 year old. And Diane has made no effort to discuss these decisions with me.

Frankly, I am exhausted by Diane's constant interference with my visitation and relationship with Nova and her efforts to manipulate our agreement as she sees fit. Moreover, I am extremely concerned about the effect Diane's behavior will have on Nova. I have already asked that Diane participate in a coparenting type course. I believe she will gain a lot from this type of class. I will also attend and I believe we do not need to attend the same class so that one of us could be with Nova while the other is in class.

I have heard good things about the UNLV co-parenting course and welcome the opportunity to take that should Diane agree. We have many years of parenting ahead of us. I am willing to do what it takes to make it as amicable as possible. But Diane must begin putting Nova's interests before her own. I sincerely hope you can help us. With that in mind, I look forward to hearing back from you.

Thank you,

Eli Mizrachi

	Officeiei	4622	3-41	in-One
--	-----------	------	------	--------

#### Fax Log for

#### 7025259322 Jan-00-00 00:00AM

#### Last Transaction

Date	Time	Туре	Station ID	Duration	Pages	Result
	on and the second state of the second se			Digital Fax		
Jan 00	00:00AM	Fax Sent	4770448	1:07 N/A	2	ОК

# Exhibit

Late Ohr Ein Semanting way a physic bed printing way	_į Mond	ay	Į Tuesc	iay	Wedn	esday	Thurs	dav	Friday	**************************************
Date	A.M.	P.M.	A.M.	P.M.	IAM.	P.M.	A.M.	P.M.	A.M.	P.M.
08/26/13			Chicago			1	£ 1,77,2,1-	1 1141,	77.101.	T.WI.
09/02/13	HOL	HOL	TDY			-	TDY	-		-
09/09/13						***			-	-
09/16/13			9		TOY	***	TDY			-
09/23/13			Section 1					****	-	-
09/30/13	the state of the s	[	*	-	TDY		TDY		_	***************************************
10/07/13						~ <del> </del>			<del>-</del>	-
10/14/13	medium.			tree management and	-	***************************************	TDY		#	-
10/21/13							ISSD	leen		li ini
10/28/13		***************************************	***************************************		-	-	1000	SSD	HOL	HOL
11/04/13	SSD	SSD		1	*		**	**	-	ļ.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
11/11/13	HOL	HOL				<u> </u>	-	-		-
11/18/13		***************************************	The state of the s			-	-		B-rass	
11/25/13					-	EXC	HOLE	Jun 1	TDY	632.8
12/02/13			-		TDY	1500	andre es	HOL	HOL	HOL
12/09/13	-	-		1		<del></del>		-	I make	ļ
12/16/13	iii	CONTRACTOR OF STATE O						-	TDY	ļ
12/23/13	VAC	VAC	VAC	VAC	VAC ®	VAC		<b>-</b>		
12/30/13	VAC	VAC	VAC -	VAC.	VAC	VAC	VAC	VAC	VAC:	VAC
01/06/14					TDY	TVAC	VAC:		VAC_	VAC
01/13/14		-		-	81121		-	1	-	ļ
01/20/14	HOL	HOL		-	TDY	-	1	-		<u> </u>
01/27/14		1000	1			-	-	<del></del>	£	
02/03/14	B B	***********************		-	TDY	<u> </u>	-	-		CONTRACTOR - CONTRACTOR -
02/10/14	Photographic Colors		Î	-				The property of the last of th	TDY	ļ
02/17/14	HOL	HOL		-	TDY		TDY	-	[SSD	SSD
)2/24/14				<del></del>	1101	<del></del>	<u> </u>	<del></del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
03/03/14		<del> </del>		-		ļ	-	-		ļ.
)3/10/14	Section Control of the Control of th	<del></del>		-		Andread the landing street and the	<u> </u>	-	TDY	
03/17/14	***************************************		<u> </u>	1	e e	-		-	<u> </u>	-
3/24/14			Commence of the second	-	-	Carlo parter reservations	- voncerous some		and the same	
3/31/14	in the second section of the section of the second section of the			-	TDY	ļ	1	ļ	TDY	
4/07/14	PRE	PRE	PRE	PRE	PRE	Dor		1		
4/14/14	VAC	VAC	VAC	VAC	VAC	PRE VAC	PRE		[PRE	PRE
4/21/14	-		A The Contract of	1.0.7.1	LAVO	TVAC.	VAC	VAC	VAC	VAC
4/28/14	***************************************	Harris State Control of the Control	NATIONAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND				Same of the same o	-	***************************************	-Constitution of the constitution of the const
5/05/14			THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF	Marie Comment of the	eg Samen og segneret spærsegaren S	1		***************************************	ac-owner-man	21h
5/12/14	annotaway in 1966 in Managar		A POST OF STREET	Charment Statement France	<u> </u>	( 	-			
5/19/14			erne <del>Marter e</del> Britânia e de ampaias propies.	TOTAL PARTY AND ADDRESS.	*	***************************************	<u> </u>	-		P STANDARD MANAGE A MANAGE AND A
THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT NAMED IN COLUMN TRANS	HOLE	HOL	***************************************		 			Activities and the second of t	*****	
6/02/14										Photo Day (1985)
COLUMN TO SERVICE DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA	VAC	VAC .	VAC S	VAC 🐇	VAC	VAC	VAC	VAC	VAC	VAC

14/27

Exhibit

Acct No. 748681-1 Chart No. 002-243-736 Slip/Enc No: 90482784 Dr.QCC CRAIG QC Appt Date: 8/30/13 Acct Type: HP HMO/PPO

Spec: QCC PCP: 0000 Time: 17:55 PM Sign Date: 8/30/13 AMZKOSILES

Patient: NOVA MIZRACHI

Address: 4979 NANCY AVENUE Sex: F DOB: 4/30/2006 Age: 7

49/9 NAMCY AVERUE Sex: 1 LAB: 4/30/2006 Age:
LAS VEGAS, NV 89120 Home Phn: (702) 525-9322 Work Phn: (000) 000-0000 Cell: (000) 000-0000 Pt Employer: 99999

Reason: WALK-IN EST QUICK CARE Memo: DOG BITE RT HAND

Guar Phn: (702) 525-9322 Guarantor: ELIEZER MIZRACHI

Policy Ins# Carrier Start Stop Seq

5080 HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVAD 11001352502 1/01/13

ENC# 90482704 7 DOB 4/30/2006 MIZRACHI. NOVA 7 DOB 4/30/2006 Craig Quick Care MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/2013

QC:



#### QUICK CARE ENCOUNTER

ENC# 90482704 7 DOB 4/30/2006 MIZRACHI, NOVA 7 DOB 4/30/2006 Craig Quick Care MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/2013

NPA01003 (11/28/12) Page 1 of 2	D668, to 14, 140	
PATIENT MASSE: 1012 M3 ROCK	more the same of t	
DOS: 4-30-11. SEX: ON IE POP: NON	SIGN IN TEMES 9 DATES! JULIAN DIEST	
RODE OF ARRIVAL:	ACCOMPANIED BY:	
BAMS DWC DCARRY DOTHER:	LIFAMELY - D FRIEND O SELF O OTHER:	
CHIEF COMPLAINT: pur dead dog but Ry	hand PAHIPSH: 0	ud gel Musifiana, ge
this after noon froms	deg)	
· ·	LAST D.T. OR Drap: < 5 YRS > 2072	5
PAIN ASSESSMENT: PEDIATRIC: 0 2 4 (8) 8, 10	ADULT: 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 
LOCATION: RI WARF FRE	QUENCY: P your DURATION: ( CO)	
HT: TT WT: 22 K8 VISUAL ACUITY: RIGHT: 20/	LEFT; 20/BOTH; 20/ CORRECTED CLUNCORRECTED	}
BF: 98/43 PALSE: 7-5 RESP: 70 T	EMP: 98-5 PULSE OX: ISHIHARA: /14	1
FALL RISK / BARRIERS ASSESSMENT:	HURSE TO PATIENTS STAR FOR PATIENTS	
HAVE YOU HAD A RECENT FALL? CIVES AND	AUNDER 5 YEARS OF AGE? DIYES DINO ATRISM	•
• ARE YOU AFRAID OF FALLING IN YOUR DAILY ACTIVITIES? IT YES IT NO • CURRENT MEDICAL CONDITION / MEDICATIONS: IT YES IT NO	ASARRIERS FORM INITIATED: DIVES DINO PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS? DIVES DINO	
ACTION TAKEN:	William Charles and Cho	
NURSE SIGNATURE: PROCLUMEN DATE: 83-07	INTAKE /800   TIME PATIENT /8/0   ROOM #: 2	
DATE TRIBE PHYSICIAN PHYSICIAN ORDERS / ME	DICATIONS / TREATMENTS DATE TIME MCP	••••••
//	INITIALS	
that we e think soul becten	och to dua 8/2013 0241	
13000 WA STATE 300 / 1000 1000	~~~~/~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	7
		<u>ا</u>
Q TRANSPER TO EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT - ACCEPTING PHYSICIAN:		
DISCHARGE INSTRUCTIONS: D FOLLOW UP WITH UMC PRIMARY CARE PHYSIC	IAN IN DAYS (REFERRAL OFFICE; 702-383-2060)	ETHOL:
date clas 150ap - 40 / de ch	1 11 - 8-	
777777	f to the first of the second	-
Me do	9******	
DISCHARGE PRESCRIPTION GIVEN: EYES DINO CONDITION	NON DISCHARGE: AT STABLE II UNSTABLE	
DIAGNOSIS: DES BOX (P. 1/8-1		
O NON-EMERGENT SPEMERGENT	O MSE ONLY- HO CHARGE	
PHYSICIAN SIGNATURE:	STAMP:	Al Green Company
DATE. F. T.	Frank McAllister, DO	
PAIN LEVEL @ DISCHARGE:PED: 0 2 4 6 /8 10	ADULT: 0 1 2 3 4 5 8 7 8 9 10	
MODE AT DISCHARGE: CI AND CI WIC CI CARRY CI OTHER:	ADULT: 0 1 2 3 4 5 8 7 8 9 10	
DISPOSITION: D HOME D TRANSFER TO		
	O OTHER:	1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
ACCOMPANIED BY: U. SELF ILPAMEY MEMBER OR FRIEND - NAME:  EDUCATION MATERIALS GIVEN TO PATIENT:	U 5M Medication Changes or Additions	
an ahm	If Medication Education Given to patient for newly prescribed medication Facility LOS: 1 2 3 4 5	38.
! HAVE RECEIVED DISCHARGED SISTRUCTIONS AND ACKNOWLEDGE UNDERST.	anding. My questions have been answered.	~~~
PATIENT SIGNATURE:	TIME: DATE: /	
NURSE SIGNATURE: ////// ////	THATE: 1220 DATE 8/30/12	



#### QUICK CARE ENCOUNTER

FNC# 90482704 7 DOB 4/30/2006 MIZRACHI, NOVA Crgig Quick Care MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/2013

MRA0100\$ (11/26/12)

Fage 2 of 2

				u-ner-					Time	LEARING SEL	Indialo
Tible	1317	F	A	T	OZ 521	File/	150	hitiots		CARDIAC MONITUR Rate: ->	
-	AND HE HOUSE HE WAS A				1	†	1			PULSE OX - Limit: <	
		<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	<del>-</del>	<del></del>				IV PUMP - Max Volume: mi	
		<u> </u>		+	+	+			Time	101071 - 1012	- kritisle
<del> </del>		<del>}</del>		┪──	1				.2 75 1160		SPECIAL .
		a de la constant			arte and base						
THRE	I meete	edon		Dose	Ric	3		inidale			
	<u> </u>				}						
Response	90							į	:		
TIME	MacIc	ation		Dose	Rue	56	be i	initials			
	1							•		The state of the s	
Response	B:			4	··						<u></u>
								i i			
TIME	Mode	atton .	· · · · · ·	Dose	Rig	\$8		Initials	75************************************		}
. PARISON	1 Sections		<del></del> -		3 7993	456	·-a	1471476120		The state of the s	<u> </u>
Response				i	L			<b>[</b>		***************************************	<u> </u>
1750pollo	Ψ,										ļ
	1	<del>,,</del>	<del></del>	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,			
TME	Medic	stion		Dose	Rts		te	huitials			
				<u> </u>		1					{
Responsi	e:										
CAPPER TO THE PER TO T											**************************************
			E Agr. D	\$5,557,67							İ
Pediatric	Pain Sca		en in de la company de la comp	an company and a second se	6	8	10				<u> </u>
Adult	Pain Sca	de: 0	1 2 3	4 5	8 7	8 8		1			-
China termination of	1		Descript			Les		initials	and and market broad to be a second or		<b>\</b>
						1	- 1843 - 1843	***************************************			1
	<del></del> -					+					ļ
	<del>- </del>				**************************************	<del> </del>		ļ			- And the second second second
						i Mariana					
											<u></u>
Start floy							Sto	o timo			
		Aver 6	Solution	Sitte	Gauge:	Refe					
500	tivš;	. 1	:			. '.		initials			-
				***************************************	-						
			Į	A							
			j			- manufactural confi	ļ			j	
		e de la companya de l		- Parties			_				+
<del> </del>				<del></del>			-			1	
		Ĭ.	•	44			}				
	. Kasha isim	( ), < 201 y 20, 100 PL		1							
TOTALA	TO COMPANY AND A SECOND	INFUSED									-
			0.00		anna an	100			} }		
e down	1	1						1			
4632			••••		Trippy only to become between the						
v.		1						}			
								THE STATE OF THE S			1
and desired		T						1		The state of the s	<del></del>
1	1	j						-	t		<del></del>

Time: 17:55

Date: 8/30/13 University Medical Center of So Nevada Patient Information Sheet

Paqe: \*DEM003\*

AMZKOSILES

Sex: F

Guarantor: ELIEZER MIZRACHI Address: 4979 NANCY AVENUE

city/ST/Zip: LAS VEGAS NV 89120

Home Phone: 702-525-9322

Work Phone:

Acct Number: 748681

Created: 10/05/09

Patient: NOVA MIZRACHI

In Care Of:

Address: 4979 NANCY AVENUE

City/ST/Zip: LAS VEGAS NV 89120

SignDate: 8/30/13 Phone:

Marital Sts: S

Race:

Plan:

MR样: 002-243-736

Birthdate: 4/30/2006 Age: 7

Religion: Phone #:

Spouse: Next of Kin: DIANE

Phone #: 702-290-9500

Relation:

Account Type: HP HMO/PPO

Stop Date:

Phone: Carrier: 5080 HPN HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA Pol/Grp#: 11001352502 100023911001 Plan:

Pol Dates: 1/01/13 -

Pol Name: ELIEZER MIZRACHI

Relation:

DOB: 4/30/1971 Address: Sex: M

City/ST/Zip: Work Phone: Employer:

Carrier:

Pol/Grp#:


Pol Dates:

DOB: Pol Name: MIZRACHI, ELIEZER

Employer:

7 DOB 4/30/2006 ENC# 90482704. MIZRACHI, NOVA MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/2013 Craig Quick\_Care





- MIZRACHI
- 4979 NANCY AVE LAS VEGAS, NV 89126-1726

15 Sex M 16 Hgt. 5'25'5' 17 Wgt. 200 19 Eyes BRO

9 Class **C** 望 经知识

11 + 30 NONE #1214 BRO 40 105 06/15/2013

3 008

4b Exp





tealth Plan (80840) 911-76342-01

HOICES Live well. Be well.

HMO PLAN

Group Number: 100023911001 Viember ID: 110013525-02

/lember: **NOVA MIZRACHI** 

3enefit Code:

Medical Rx

CALVST20 PLV073L5

Payer ID 76342

medco

Rx BIN:

610014

RX GRP:

UNEVADA

Rx PCN:

Not Rea'd

Px Copay Tier I / II / III / IV \$0/\$7/\$30/\$55

Copay: Office /Spec

Tier | \$20 /\$40


)OI-0513

Effective Date 01/01/2013

Health Plan of Nevada HMO Underwritten by Health Plan of Nevada, Inc.

ENC# 90482704 MIZRACHI, NOVA 4/30/2006

Croig Quick Care MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM



In a life-threatening emergency, call 911 or go to an emergency room. Printed: 12/24/12



This card does not guarantee coverage. For routine care, you must see your PCF. La autorizacion previa se necesita para algunos servicios. Para servicios medico usted tiene que ver a su PCP. To verify coverage, benefits, and prior authorization, call Member Services or visit www.healthplanofnevada.com

Wember Services: 24 Hour Advice Nurse: Wentst Health

(702) 562-8013 (702) 242-7330 877-559-4511 800-288-2264

(702) 364-1484

800-873-2246

For Providers Only: www.healthplanofnevada.com

877-559-4511

For Pharmacists Only: 800-443-8197

Medical Claims HPN Claims, PO Box 15645, Las Vegas, NV 89114-5645

Pharmacy Claims: Medco, PO Box 14711, Lexington KY 40512

SOUTHERN	NEVADA	REG	HONAL
ANIMAL CO	NTROL	BITE	REPORT

Case Nun	iber
Activity !	lumber
AID Num	
	The state of the s

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the second residence of the second resid				
Victim WIJA MIZIACHI Guardian DeterTime of Bi	1 4-30 in 13 14-30				
Aidress 6224 VILLA EMO Phone 525-9322 210 89	331 FVSR 4-20-92				
Verified by Treated by _UMC	produced to the last the second secon				
Subject to England Development by DA TO FT 888 -100					
Circumstances of bite Goly G BACK IN TO THE HOUSE					
•					
	manageria se a se				
	eritera varia antimatikan tahututura antimata katalah katalah katalah katalah katalah tahututa pamatana Katalah tahututa (1944) (Katalah palika Cara) (Catalah tahututa katalah tahututa katalah tahututa katalah tahu				
Owner/Custodian DIA/R MIZLACHI Phone 290-	4500				
Address 6224 VILL & MO Zip 3903/ Date/Time Adv	115523				
Animal: Breed HVS/LY Color V Size Age 7 Sex 1					
Name Villa T Palic# Microchip Oth	Sec.				
Rabies Vaccination Expiration Clinic SAt large Cown	er's property – OLeasbed				
LEGISTICS OF COCUMENCE	an a las a las as las a second and				
·	lite Occurred Suspicious				
Code					
Animal Quarantined at: O'Ficane C Animal Shelter D Veterinarian Hosp: D Other					
Comments/Priors:					
Investigating OfficerSupervisorSupervisorSupervisorSupervisorSupervisorSupervisorSupervisorSupervisorSupervisorSupervisorSupervisorSupervisorSupervisor	Supervisor				
Referese DateQuarantine visit by:Date/Time	Desc/Time /				
Animal appears healthy and released by Date/Time					
Died during Quarantine DEuthanized during Quarantine DAnireal never located DSurvey Only					
Specimen delivered to SNHD by TNC# COLOGICAL TO THE					
CMU# 30482/114 / NOR 1/20/2006	1				
SNHD Lab Results Received from 111/11/11 NOVA	/ DPos O No				
Craig Quick Care F MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/2013					
711 VOZ. 243 730 3000 RDN 875072013					
I have read, fully understand and abide by the provisions listed on the back page. I am also a	were that any				
violation of the QUARANTINE may lead to prosecution.	•				
•					
NOTE: Agreement to quarantine is not an admission of liability or guilt.					
OWNER/CUSTODIAN SIGNATURE 2	12-112				
UNITED COSTOCIATE SIGNATURE VALLE //	<u> </u>				
WITNESSED BY DATE					
	• Variation and an analysis				
a Clurk County a Las Veges a Henderson a Boulder City a Mesquite	□ North Las Vegas				
Animal Control Animal Control Animal Control Animal Control Animal Control 2001 E. Sunset Rd. 416 N. 7th St. 500 E. Gelleris 810 Yucca St. 500 Hilleride Dr	Animal Control				
2 and of the beautiful to the contract of the	655 N. Mojave Rd.				
Las Vegas, NV Les Vegas, NV Henderson, NV Boulder City, NV Mesquite, NV 89120 89005 39027	Las Vegas, NV 39101				
(7:12) 455-7710 (102) 229-6348 (702) 267-4970 (702) 293-928) (702) 346-5268	(702) 633-1750				
Fax Number: Fax Number: Fax Number: Fax Number:	Fix Number:				

Pt Name	
	ENC# 90482704 7 DOB 4/30/2006 HIZRACHI, NOVA
	Crais Quick Care
derest as of offers	MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/2013
The state of the s	PROCEDURES
191717	Wound Description / Repair: Time:
	lengthcm_location
	inear stellate irregular flap into subcus / muscle
	clean contaminated moderately / heavily
	distal NVT: neuro/vasc intact no tendon injury
10 ~ 01 1.0 1.1	anesthesis: local topical lidocalne / bupivacalne epi / blcarb digital block
	prep: Shur-Clens / Hibiclens / Betadine
	trigated with saline debrided mod /extensive
	wound explored wound margins revised
	to base / in bloodiess field multiple flaps aligned no foreign body identified
\ \ / \ \ / \ /	foreign material removed
<i>1-V*\</i>	repair: Wound dosed with: wound adhesive / steri-strips
	SKIN- #9 nylon / prolene / staples
\ (\ \ (\)\ (\)\ \ (\)\ (\)\ (\)\ (\)\	SUBCUT- #O vicryl / chromic
)	MUSCLE- #0 OTHER- #0
CO COM	PROGRESS
AAR AAR OGO OGA	Time unchanged improved re-examined
atiliza home and home	
AN IN MIN AND	
L'R'L'	animal control form completed
	<u>CLINICAL IMPRESSION / DX</u>
	Antimal Bine 2009 cot (a) Ha
	<u> Laceration</u>
10 600 000	Puncture Wound
	The Control of the Co
ADDITIONAL NOTES	TREATMENT PLAN / DISCHARGE MEDICATION
	SEE DISCHARGE FORM
) After a was a con	_Discussed with Dr
LABS & XRAYS	will see potient in: office/click / hospital
ORDERS Time Initials	Counseled patient / family regarding:
CBC (see lab slip)	drug / ekohol cessation
UA (see lab slip) EKG (see lab slip)	Time spent connector minutes
HCG serum / urine pos / neg	DISPOSITION Schorce Cadmitted Coransferred
accu-check	FOLLOW-UP- Zerome Cladement Classifiered
	Time 7-2-0
YDAVE II. III. III.	CONDITION Descharged Discorred Differents
XRAYS Cincerp. by me Reviewed by me Discad wiredictogist C-Spine T-Spine LS-Spine CXR	105: 1 2 3) 4 5 MSE only / 2
nml / NADno fracturenml alignmentsoft dissues nml	PHYSICIAN SIGNATURE.
#	and considered additional and

Date: # 3a/L3 Times 1870

Template Complete
BMVeters 1870

PHYSICIAN'S STAMPFIBINK MCAIlister, DO

\_no infiltrates \_\_nml heart size\_

47, 1996-2008 T-System, Inc. Circle or check affirmatives, backslash (i) negatives.

University Medical Center – Quick Care

# URGENT CARE RECORD Animal Bite

PATIENT/NAME:	** - **_** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
DATE: \$ 34 13 TIME: 62	F ROOM: 7
HISTORIAN: spouse MODE OF ARRIVAL: (ambulate	The case of the ca
TODE OF MANIAME CARBURAR	ory coner
chief complaint: offe	and the same of th
	J
ř(P)	
onset / duration:	where:
iust prior to arrival	home school neighbor's
poday yesterday	park work street
podez yesterday 4 (hrs.l.days'ago)	Section of the sectio
animal: dog cat other:_	
Brillian Code	water community record to the control of the contro
family pet heighborhood animal	unknown animal
Appearance of animal appeared w	rell appeared ill unknown
Description:	
Animal's Immunizacion status	
Observation / copture animal is kn	
animal unknown; not captured	animal control notified
context of attack: "unprovoke	ud* arrack
"provoked" attack (See below)	O death
provoked attack (see-astow)	
playing with or teasing animal	AL 244 VARIETING AND
other	
Tempuser (graces/prompt, reproductives, No. 12 at March States/stat	
severity of injury: scratched (	bitten mucous membrane contact
puncture wounds laceration	
severity of pain: mild mo	derate severe (1/10). Ka
location of Injury:	
	omen back (upper mid- lower)
	nity R/L upper lower
	**************************************
associated symptoms: ting	ang i numoness ostally
ban on movement	
ROS Vall systems neg except as r	
(exet / cuille	TEN ASS
ICAGE & CHRIS	aoominat pain
problems with vision	problems urinating
nasal drainage	Jash
chaer pain	Yalnting / dizziness
shormers of breath	anxiety / depression
INMP brea best-moses	
Limb prog pour merap	*************
* NEURO / MS components also addressed to	n HPl
reviewed and updated: Post Ho	Family Hx Social Hx Med Rec
Location: _in chart	

diabetes Type / Type 2 hepatitis / MfV.

Concussion X

PAST HX

diet / ord / insulin \_\_\_\_\_\_

Toranus immun. UID given in QC Meds-Gong ) see nurses note Allergies (NKDA / see nurses note FNC# 90482704 7 DOB 4/30/2006 MI7RACHI, NOVA Craig Quick Care F MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/2013

SOCIAL HX smo	kerPPD drugs;
alcohol (recent / heavy /	occupation
married single cont.	1
FAMILY HX	The state of the s
	Financia de la composição
PHYSICAL EXAM	RR Temp
General Appearance	
	mild / moderate / severe discress
	anxious / lethargic
-	
-	
SKIN	see diagram
intact	abrasion
	A service of the serv
NEURO / VASCULA	
no vascular compromise	abnmt color / warmth / cap refili
oriented x4	_pulse deficit
sensation intact	sensory / motor deficit
	_facial droop
_ROM nmi	ROM limited by pain / tendon injury
PSYCH	4
	depressed affect
	CI SA TO LO
to the section of the	
HEENT	see diagrem EOM palsy / anisocoria
PERRLA	laceration / abrasion
eye lids / conjun	
uninjured	- Mark a summinum and administration of the control
ENT nml external	
inspection	VALUE AND A 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
NECK	see diagram
uninjured,	
nml inspection	
RESP / CVS	_tenderness / ecchymosis / abrasions
chest non-tender	wheezes / rales / rhonchi
breath sounds nmi	tachycardia / bradycardia
reg. rate & rhythm	
1	The state of the s
ARDOMEN	_see diagram
uninjured,	moves with hesitation
nml inspection	
non-tender	The second secon
BACK	and the state of t
, BACR , uninjured.	see diagram
nmi inspection	
,	man a complete manage and the state of the s
EXTREMITIES	see dagram
uninjured,	foreign body suspected
nml inspection no infection	Tour heise enem sesherred





#### QUICK CARE PRESCRIPTION

(Locations listed on reverse)

ORIGINAL: Pharmacy

ENC# 90482704 MIZRACHI, NOVA Craig Quick Care
MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/2013

7 DOR 4/30/2006

PLACE PATIENT LABE, HERE

4RA01234 (11/27/12)	C-1174	Page tof1			PLACE PANERI LARE, HE
atient Name:			Address:		
Drug Allergies/ADR's:	april	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Describe Reac	lion:	
Drug Allergies/ADR's:			Describe Read	tion:	
Patient Pregnant or Lacteting?	]Yes []No	Height: '99			□lb. <del>□kg.</del>
HOME MEDICATIONS: Record O	nly, <u>NOT</u> a Presc	ription	(Ple	ase include: Vita	mins, Over-the-Counter and Herbal products
List Medication Names Only:				4	
1.			8.		And the same selection of the same selection
2.			9.		
3.			10.		
4.			11.		
5.		Administrative resulting and the Administrative of the Administrat	12.		
6.			13.	White was a series of the seri	
,			14.		
Tratient is not on any medication	ns	22 s			
Signature of Nurse completing for	n: <i>I-m</i>	rull-ari		Date:	673 Time: 1500)
		orestation restations	51-1516 A.N	entari Sreikia tetipakkatund	
DRUGS AND DOSE	QUARTITY	DIREC	TIONS	REFILLS	
augunta 25th/sel	Hoon	10 d g. 20	il	9	DO NOT USE THESE DANGEROUS ASSREVIATIONS:
Backbery &	225-	app to ac	- Be#	1	dd deg MS MSO: Milso:
				1	
				1	GR.
				and the second s	
					Trailing Zaros
					Trailing Zaros AO AS AU
					Trailing Zares  AD AS AU  DD DS DU  Pharmacy Barcode
Physician's Signature:		Frank MicA	Wister, 50	Date: 27	Trailing Zares  AD AS AU  OD OS OU  Pharmacy Barcode
Physician's Signature:		Frank MicA DEA BMI	2615574	Date: 26/3	Trailing Zaros  AD AS AU  OD OS OU

PHOTOCOPY #1 OF ORIGINAL - Chart

PHOTOCOPY #7 OF ORIGINAL - Palient





# CONDITIONS OF ADMISSION AND GENERAL CONSENT FOR TREATMENT

CONDICIONES DE INGRESO Y CONSENTIMIENTO GENERAL DE TRATAMIENTO

MRU01875 (02/14/13)

Page 1 of 3

ENC# 90482704 7 DOB 4/30/2006 MIZRACHI, NOVA Crgig Quick Care MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/2013

#### Consent to Treatment / Consentimiento a Recibir Tratamiento

I consent to the procedures that may be performed during this hospitalization or on an outpatient basis, including emergency treatment or services, and which may include but are not limited to:

Doy mi consentimiento a los procedimientos que pueden realizarse durante este hospitalización o como paciente embulatorio, incluso tratamiento o servicios de emergencia, incluyendo en forma enunciativa pero no limitativa:

- Laboratory procedures / Procedimientos de laboratorio
- Diagnostic procedures / Procedimientos de diagnóstico
- Medical, nursing or surgical treatment or procedures
   Tratemiento o procedimientos médicos, quirúrgicos o de enfermería
- X-ray examination / Exémenes por rayos X
- Anesthesia / Anestesia
- Hospital services as ordered by my physician or other professionals Servicios hospitalarios de acuerdo a lo indicado por mi médico u otros profesionales

The hospital will provide a medical screening examination to all patients seeking medical services to determine if there is an emergency medical condition, without regard to the patient's ability to pay. If there is an emergency medical condition, the hospital will provide stabilizing treatment within its capacity.

El hospital realizará un examen clínico a todos los pacientes que solicitan servicios médicos para determinar si hay una afección que requiere atención médica de emergencia, independientemente de la capacidad de pago del paciente. Ante una emergencia médica, el hospital brindará el tratamiento acorde con su capacidad, para estabilizar al paciente.

I understand UMC is a teaching institution. As a part of the medical education program, students and medical staff members may participate in or observe a significant portion of my operation/procedure/care under appropriate supervision.

Entiendo que UMC es una institución educativa. Como parte del programa da estudios de medicina, los estudiantes y el personal médico pueden participar u observar una parte significativa de mi oparación, procedimiento o cuidado bajo la supervisión adecuada.

#### Photography / Fotografia

I understand healthcare providers at UMC may use photographs, films or other recordings for identification, diagnosis, treatment, education or for other healthcare purposes. Any other uses will require my authorization. Additionally, I must grant authorization before healthcare providers at UMC may use any images or other recordings for education purposes that include my personal identifiable health information, including full face photographic images.

Entiento que el personal médico de UMC puede usar fotografías, filmaciones o cualquier otra grabación con los propósitos de identificación, diagnóstico, tratamiento, educación u otros finas médicos. Cualquier otro uso requeriré mi autorización. Adamás, yo debo autorizar antes que cualquier personal médico de UMC pueda usar cualquiera de las imágenes o grabaciones para propósitos educativos que incluyen información médica identificable con mi persona, incluyendo imágenes fotográficas de la cara completa.

#### informed Consent / Consentimiento Informado

The attending physician is responsible for obtaining my informed consent prior to the performance of the proposed medical services and/or surgical procedures.

El médico a cargo es responsable de obtener mi consentimiento informado antes de realizar el servicio médico y/o procedimiento quirúngico propuesto.

- If I am unable to consent to treatment, the attending physician is responsible for obtaining consent from my legal guardian or representative.
  Si no estoy en condiciones de dar mi consentimiento al trefamiento, el médico a cargo es responsable de obtener el consentimiento de mi lutor o apodersolo.
- UMC is responsible for carrying out the instructions of my attending physician while i am a patient.
  - UMC se hace responsable por cumplir con las instrucciones del médico a cargo de mi tratamiento mientras yo see su paciente.

#### <u>Financial Agreement i Acuerdo Financiero</u>

- I understand I am obilgated to pay my account at the rates effective on the date of service.
   Entiendo que estoy obligado e peger mi cuenta e las tarifes vigentes a la fecha del servicio.
- I am responsible for payment of any copayment, coinsurance, deductible or non-covered service required by my private or governmental health insurance plan at the time of service.
  - Soy responsable del pago de cualquier copago, coaseguro, deducible o servicio no cubierto solicitado por mi plan de salud privado o del gobierno al momento de recibir el servicio.
- If I am uninsured and not covered by a governmental health insurance plan, I may be eligible for UMC's uninsured discount or charity care
  program in effect at the time of service. I may request information from UMC about these programs.
  - Si no tengo un seguro médico y no estoy cubierto por un plan de salud del gobierno, puedo ser elegible pare el descuento a no asegurados o el programa de baneficencia del UMC, vigente al momento del servicio. Puedo solicitar información a UMC sobre estos programas.
- UMC will bill for services and supplies furnished by UMC, hospital employees and physiciens directly employed by UMC.
   UMC facturará los servicios e insumos provistos por UMC, y por los empleados y médicos de la nómina del UMC.
- l understand that services turnished by independent healthcare professionals, private or consulting physicians will be billed separately by them. Entiendo que los profesionales independientes de la stención de salud, médicos privados o de consulta facturarán sus servicios por separado,
- As a courtesy to me, the hospital may bill my insurance company, but is not obligated to do so.
   Como una cortesia el hospital puede facturar a mi aseguradora, pero no es su obligación hacedo.
- If my account is placed with a collection agency or an attorney for collection, I will pay all costs UMC Incurs in these collection efforts, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, interest at the legal rate, and any court costs or other costs of illigation allowed by taw.
  Si mi cuenta se envia a una agencia de cohros o a un abogado para cobrar un deuda, pagaré todos los gastos incurridos por UMC para cobrame, incluyendo, de forma enunciativa, pero no limitativa honorarios del abogado, intereses a lasas legales, y cuelquiar gasto de la corte u otros gastos de litigio autorizados legalmente.
- UMC reserves the right to self and transfer ownership of accounts to a third party for billing/collection purposes.
   UMC se reserve el derecho de vender y transferir la titularidad de las cuentas a terceros para propósitos de facturacido/cobro.



# CONDITIONS OF ADMISSION AND GENERAL CONSENT FOR TREATMENT

CONDICIONES DE INGRESO Y CONSENTIMIENTO GENERAL DE TRATAMIENTO

MRU01875 (02/14/13)

Page 2 of 3

ENC# 90482704 7 DOB 4/30/2006 MIZRACHI, NOVA Craig Quick Care MR# 002-243-736 5080 ADM 8/30/2013

#### Retention of Records / Mantenimiento de Registros

UMC will retain the financial details of my account for the period required by law. Medical records of patients over the age of 18 will be destroyed after 5 years. Medical records of patients under the age of 18 will be destroyed 5 years after the patient reaches the age of 18.

UMC mantendrá los detailes financieros de mi cuenta por el periodo exigido legalmente. El historial médico de los pacientes mayores de 18 años se destruirán 5 años después de que el paciente cumple 18 años.

#### <u>Assignment of Benefits / Cesión de Beneficios</u>

I assign to UMC all applicable insurance benefits otherwise payable to me, not to exceed UMC's established charges for services provided. I authorize UMC's Chief Executive Officer, or designee, as my true and lawful attorney-in-fact to endorse any checks made payable to me for benefits or claims collected under this assignment. UMC may apply any credit balance to any other account I may owe. I accept financial responsibility for any charges not paid by this assignment.

Yo cedo en favor de UMC todos los beneficios de mi seguro aplicables y que de otra forma yo cobraria, y que no excederán los honorarios establecidos por UMC para los servicios provistos. Autorizo al Director General de UMC o a su representante, como mi verdadero y legitimo apoderado para endosar todos los cheques pegaderos en mi nombre por los beneficios o reclamaciones cobradas bajo esta cesión. UMC puede aplicar cualquier saldo acreedor a otra cuanta que yo adeude. Acepto la responsabilidad financiera de todos los cargos impagos por esta cesión.

#### Medicare Patient Certification and Assignment of Benefits / Certificación de Paciente Medicare y Cesión de Beneficios

I certify that any information I provide in applying for payment under Title XVIII (Medicare) or Title XIX (Medicaid) of the Social Security Act is correct. I request payment of authorized benefits to be made on my behalf to the hospital or hospital-based physician by the Medicare or Medicaid program.

Codifice our toda to información que he proportionado et solicites page hala Titulo XVIII Medicard o Titulo XIV Medicaid de la Loy de Securidad Social So

Certifico que toda la información que he proporcionado al solicitar pago bajo Titulo XVIII (Medicare) o Titulo XIX (Medicard) de la Ley de Seguridad Social es correcta, Solicito que los beneficios autorizados en mi favor sean pagados por el programa Medicare o Medicaid al hospital o al médico del hospital.

#### Medicaid Recipients / Destinatarios de Beneficios Medicaid

I acknowledge that Federal and State statutes require UMC to bill all other payment sources before billing Medicaid. Other coverage sources may be private or employer-provided. By signing this agreement and applying for Medicaid, I certify, under penalty of fraud, that I do not have private or employer provided coverage.

Reconozco que los estatutos federales y estatales exigen a UMC facturar los servicios a otras fuentes de pago antes de facturar a Medicaid. Las otras fuentes de pago pueden ser privadas o proporcionadas por el empleador. Con la firma de este acuerdo y la solicitud de beneficios a Medicaid, certifico bajo pena de fraude, que no tengo cobertura privada o provista por un empleador.

#### Release of information i Divulgación de Información

I acknowledge that UMC, the physicians and other health professionals involved in my care will share healthcare information necessary for treatment, payment or healthcare operations as allowed by law.

Reconozco que UMC, los médicos y otros profesionales de la salud involucrados en mi atención compertirán la información de salud necesaria para procedimientos de tratamiento, pago o cuidado de la salud acorde con lo permitido por ley.

- Information may be released to any person or entity liable for payment on my behalf to verify coverage, answer payment questions or for any other purpose related to benefit payment.
  - La información puede compartirse con cualquier persona o entidad responsable de pago en mi beneficio para verificar la cobertura, contestar consultas de pago o para cualquier otro fin relacionado con el pago del beneficio.
- Information may be released to my employer's designee when the services delivered are related to a claim under worker's compensation.
   La información puede compartirse con el representante de mi empleador cuando los servicios provistos están relacionados con una reclamación por indemnización laboral.

#### Communications about My Healthcare / Comunicaciones Sobre mi Atención de Salud

Unless I request privacy restrictions, I understand my healthcare information may be disclosed:

Salvo que yo solicite restricciones de privacidad, entlando que mi información de atención de salud puede divulgarse:

- For purposes of communicating results, findings and care decisions to my family members and others responsible for my care or designated by me.
   Con fines de comunicación de resultados, hallazgos y decisiones de atención, a mis familiares y a otras personas responsables de mi cuidado nombradas por mi.
- My name, location and condition will be available for visitors, flowers, phone calls or other directory services.
   La información sobre mi nombre, ubicación y afección estará disponible para los visitantes, recepción de flores y flamadas lelefônicas y otros servicios de directorio.

#### Other Acknowledgements / Otros Reconocimientos

Relationship between Hospital and Physicians / Relation entre et hospital y los médicos

I understand that doctors furnishing services may be independent contractors and not employees or agents of UMC. Independent contractors are responsible for their own actions and UMC shall not be liable for the acts or omissions of any independent contractors. Independent contractors will bill separately for their services.

Entiendo que los médicos que proporcionan los servicios en general son independientes, están contratados y no son empleados o representantes de UMC. Los profesionales contratados independientes son responsables de sus propias acciones y UMC no será responsable por actos u omisiones de tos profesionales contratados independientes, quienes además facturarán sus servicios por separado.

I understand physicians or other health care professionals may be called upon to provide care or services to me or on my behalf, but I may not actually see, or be examined by, all physicians or health care professionals participating in my care. For example, I may not see physicians providing radiology, pathology, EKG Interpretation and anesthesiology services.

Entiendo que existirán servicios de mi atención de salud provistos por médicos y otros profesionales da la atención de salud, a quianes yo no vea personalmente, o que seré examinado por todos los médicos o profesionales de la salud que perlicipan en mi atención. Por ejemplo, es posible que yo no vea a los médicos que proporcionan servicios de radiología, patología, interpretación de electrocerdiogramas (EKG, por su sigla en inglés) o anestesia.

# Attachment 7

Electronically Filed 05/08/2014 09:28:23 AM

**OPPS** 2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

RACHEL M. JACOBSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 007827 JACOBSON LAW OFFICE, LTD 64 North Pecos Road, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89074 (702) 601-0770

**GLERK OF THE COURT** 

#### DISTRICT COURT

#### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI.

Attorney for Defendant

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

ELIEZER MIZRACHI.

DEFENDANT.

Case No. D-13-479664-D Dept. No.

Date of Hearing: Time of Hearing: 5/19/2014

10:00 AM

### OPPOSITION TO MOTION AND COUNTERMOTION FOR ENFORCEMENT OR MODIFICATION OF THE DECREE

COMES NOW, the Defendant, EUEZER MIZRACHI ("Eliezer"), by and through his attorney RACHEL M. JACOBSON, ESQ., and hereby files his Opposition and Countermotion to Plaintiff's Motion to Clarify and/or Amend Decree of Divorce in Respect to Holiday Visitation for the Parties' Minor Child and for Attorney's Fees and Costs.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7

8

This Opposition and Countermotion are made and based upon the Points and Authorities, Exhibits, Declaration of Defendant submitted herewith, and oral argument to be adduced at the hearing of this matter.

Dated this Xth day of May, 2014.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

RACHEC M. JACOBSON, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 007827 JACOBSON LAW OFFICE, LTD 64 North Pecos Road, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89074 (702) 601-0770

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Trees.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

#### A. <u>Background</u>

The Parties, Defendant Eliezer Mizrachi ("Eliezer") and Plaintiff Diane P. Mizrachi ("Diane") married in December of 1999. Together, they have one child named Nova Mizrachi ("Nova") who was born on April 30, 2006. In May of 2013, Diane initiated a divorce action and the parties commenced negotiations. Diane's counsel prepared Eliezer's Answer and the parties entered a Stipulation and Order vacating the hearing on the Motion which Diane filed regarding custody. Following negotiations, on June 4, 2013, Eliezer also signed the parties' stipulated Decree of Divorce which was drafted and signed by Diane's attorney. That Decree of Divorce was entered by this Court on June 21, 2013.

2728

As provided in the Decree, the parties agreed to share joint physical custody of Nova. They agreed that Nova would be with Diane on Wednesday, Thursday and Friday each week and every other Tuesday. And Nova would be with Eliezer on Saturday, Sunday and Monday each week and every other Tuesday. See Decree at page 2, lines 5-10. On Saturdays, Diane is to deliver Nova to Eliezer no later than 11:00 a.m., and Eliezer is to deliver Nova to school on Wednesdays as well as his alternating Tuesdays. During summer breaks or any other break in school, Eliezer is to deliver Nova to Diane at her residence for her usual schedule no later than 11:00 a.m. Id. at lines 11-19.

The parties also agreed, in no uncertain terms, that "Dad will have the minor child for the Jewish holidays every year." And that "mom will have the minor child on the Christian holidays every year." Id. at lines 20-21. Pursuant to the Decree, Diane was also awarded the parties' marital home and investment properties. Id. at page 4. When inquired about this, Eliezer explains it was worth it because all that mattered to him was the time and Jewish holidays with Nova. But now, in her Motion, Diane seeks to modify the parties' Decree of Divorce "in respect to holiday visitation."

## B. <u>Diane's Current Motion to Clarify/Amend</u>

- To justify her request, Diane present to this Court that "numerous disputes have arisen" between the parties "concerning holiday visitation with their daughter." See Diane's Motion, page 2, lines 25-27. Importantly, however, what Diane does not clarify to this Court is that all "disputes" were a result of her own refusal to facilitate Eliezer's visitation during Jewish holidays. None of the "disputes" Diane presents were caused by Eliezer.
- 2. Diane also tells this Court that she attempted to resolve this issue by way of correspondence on November 25, 2013. See Motion page 3, lines 10-12. In reality, Diane

2 /  simply demanded that Eliezer modify the Decree pursuant to her new terms. Moreover, Diane's November 25, 2013 correspondence simply seeks to add nonreligious holidays, to wit: Martin Luther King Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day, and Nevada Admissions Day, as well as Mother's Day, Father's Days, and the parties' birthdays. This letter said nothing about revising the Jewish holidays granted to Eliezer in the Decree. See letter attached hereto as Exhibit A. In his responsive letter, Eliezer agreed to add the non-religious holidays yet when he received the proposed Stipulation, it contained revisions to which he did not agree. See Eliezer's letter attached hereto as Exhibit B; See also proposed Stipulation attached hereto as Exhibit C. Specifically, though never agreed, the proposed Stipulation contained the following terms on page 2, lines 14-17:

"IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that pursuant to the Decree of Divorce, Dad will continue to have the minor child for the first day of the Jewish holidays every year. Mom will continue to have the minor child on the Christian holidays every year. Significant, Court-recognized Jewish and Christian holidays will take precedence over regular visitation and the Monday holiday schedule."

Upon receipt of this proposed Stipulation in the first week of February 2014, Eliezer sent Mr. Lutfy another letter, on February 5, 2014, making even more concessions in his continued efforts to successfully co-parent with Diane. See letter attached hereto as Exhibit D. Eliezer has continually attempted to work with Diane, but she would not accept less than the terms she attempted to spring upon Eliezer in her proposed Stipulation. Following this letter, Eliezer did not receive a response but Diane (a non-religious person) began taking Nova to different churches and Sunday schools. Up to this point, Nova did not attend Sunday school or church of any kind. Eliezer also learned that Diane was badmouthing the Jewish faith and people directly to Nova. See Declarations attached hereto as Exhibit E. Diane also began telling Nova that Nova is not Jewish. One can only imagine how confusing all this must be to an eight year old child.

The next correspondence Eliezer received from Diane's attorney was dated April 15, 2014. In this letter, Diane demands her visitation for Easter Sunday. Diane also advises Eliezer what various Court departments typically order regarding Passover visitation. See letter attached hereto as Exhibit F. Eliezer assured Diane and her attorney that he has no intentions of denying Diane's Easter Sunday. See Eliezer's letter attached hereto as Exhibit G. Eliezer's only concern was that Diane was working on Easter Sunday. Id. Thus, Diane's current concern, on page 5, lines 11-12 of her Motion, that she will only have one Easter Sunday with Nova in a period of 4 years (as Passover and Easter overlap) is simply not genuine as Eliezer has not and does not intend on denying Christina holidays to Diane. Ironically, as Diane sent this letter demanding Easter Sunday and threatening Eliezer that he would be in contempt if he denied same, Diane herself refused to allow Eliezer to exercise visitation with Nova on the first day of Passover 2014.

Jiane also argues that "Eliezer is not an observant Jew," and is simply requesting visitation on the Jewish holidays each year as "just a grab for extra time with [Nova]. See Motion page 4, lines 27-28. First, this is irrelevant as the parties entered an agreement which Diane cannot simply modify after the fact simply by critiquing Eliezer's religious commitments. In any event, Eliezer never presented to be other than Jewish. Diane, on the other hand, is now experimenting with different religions (and Eliezer is concerned that this is not healthy for an 8 year old). Throughout their courtship before the marriage and during the marriage, Diane shared in Eliezer's Jewish heritage and was, at the very least, aware of it. See, for example, pictures and Declaration attached hereto as Exhibit H. Diane's current representations in this regard are simply not genuine.<sup>1</sup>

Diane saw photographs taken at Eliezer's bris and his bar mitzvah. Diane celebrated all holidays with the family, lit candles on Hanukah, danced at various bar and bat mitzvahs, are traditional Israeli/Jewish foods, danced the hora, was surrounded by Hebrew, watched Eliezer say the Kadish over his father when he passed away, placed a

## 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

# 

# 

## 2.7

# 

#### LEGAL ARGUMENT

# A. <u>Diane's Motion Does Not Present a Prima Facie Case and Should, therefore, be Denied.</u>

While it is true that, pursuant to Nevada law, this Court has continuing jurisdiction to modify or vacate its prior order until the time that Nova reaches the age of majority, Nevada courts, however, treat the decision to modify or vacate an existing order very seriously and parents seeking to modify an order in Nevada must first meet a much greater burden than the standard best interest test originally used to determine custody. Specifically, parents seeking modification should bring forward changed circumstances along with a best interest argument. In the case at hand, Diane does not present a legal basis for her request and fails to satisfy this standard.

Diane is asking this Court to modify the Decree without having any changed circumstances other than what boils down to simply "I don't like it." This sentiment does not warrant modification. The "disputes" which Diane presents in support of her Motion are self-induced and are comprised simply of her denying Eliezer visitation on Jewish holidays. Not only does Diane fail to present a changed circumstance to support her Motion, her Motion does not address Nova's best interest whatsoever. Thus, Diane's Motion should be denied for failure to present adequate cause pursuant to *Rooney v. Rooney*, 109 Nev. 540, 853 P.2d 123 (1993).

#### B. Countermotion

# 1. Modification of Custody and/or Diane should be Admonished for Negligent Conduct and Should be Found in Contempt of Court

Not only has Diane attempted to restrict and limit Eliezer's visitation with Nova, she has also exhibited worrisome parental judgments. In his communication with Diane's attorney, Eliezer attempted to discuss some of his concerns. In doing so, Eliezer even suggested

mezuzah on the front door of their marital home marking it a "Jewish home," raised Nova immersed in this faith, and used these hotidays to negotiate what she wanted in the divorce.

participation in a co-parenting course. Unfortunately, all of Eliezer's communications in this regard have gone ignored. See letters attached hereto as Exhibits B and G. Without the assistance of this Court, Eliezer fears Diane will continue to exhibit questionable parental judgment.

Not only is Diane telling Nova that Jewish people are bad as provided above, school records reveal that Nova is also repeatedly tardy to school under Diane's care. See report attached hereto as Exhibit I. During Diane's days, Nova is tardy in the morning and too frequently left waiting long after school had already ended. Diane has also recently left Nova alone in a car while Diane was shopping inside a store when the two were in California. Eliezer is aware of this incident because Nova called him from the car expressing she was scared. Eliezer immediately texted Diane.

Another recent incident involves a dog bite (Nova's second one from the same dog). On August 30, 2013, Nova was bitten by one of the family dogs. Strangely, Diane would not take Nova to the doctor because she was going to work. Eliezer was also scheduled to work that day but, given the circumstances, took the day off. Disturbingly, though she was going to work, Diane would not allow Eliezer to take Nova to the doctor because it was her day. Given the severity of the injury, Eliezer contacted the Police who told him that Diane could not refuse medical care and, if necessary to facilitate care, police would remove child from her care. Luckily, police involvement was not necessary because Diane's nanny allowed Eliezer to take Nova to the hospital after Diane left for work. When Eliezer was going to report the dog due to the bite, Nova cried vehemently and explained that Diane told her "if they take the dog they will put him down." See medical records attached hereto as Exhibit J.

Another questionable incident involves Diane's vacation choice. Diane insisted upon exercising her vacation time with Nova this year on days that required Nova to miss an entire week of school. Not only did Nova miss this week, but she did not work on any of her missing assignments during that time. Instead, upon Nova's return, Eliezer utilized his time to ensure Nova completes her missing assignments and catches up.

Additionally, as previously stated, Diane would not allow Eliezer to exercise visitation with Nova on Passover of this year. A quick internet search revealed that Passover indeed started on the night of April 14, 2014; Diane nevertheless denied this time though she and Nova were back from their week-long vacation. As a result, Nova missed the first night of Passover and did not begin the holiday together with the traditional family dinner during which time the Jews retell the story of the Jews in Egypt. Eliezer attempted to explain this to Diane but to no avail. Diane's conduct constitutes contempt under NRS 22.010 as contempt equals any "Disobedience or resistance to any lawful writ, order, rule or process issued by the court or judge at chambers." Diane's refusal to facilitate Nova's Passover visitation is consistent with her behavior of late.

Diane has told Nova that "Nova is not Jewish" and that "Jewish people are bad...." See Declarations attached hereto as Exhibit E. Diane speaks ill of Judaism and also badmouths Eli directly to Nova. It is a concern that Diane speaks to Nova more like an adult than a child who requires censored communication – especially regarding her father and heritage.

Another example of Diane's questionable judgment involves Thanksgiving 2013. Though Thanksgiving is not included in the parties' Decree of Divorce, Eliezer requested to exercise the 4 hour right of first refusal that day as Diane was going to work and Nova would be home alone with the Nanny instead of family on this day. Eliezer had to beg and plead with

Diane to allow him to visit with Nova on this day rather than having her stay at home alone on Thanksgiving while Diane was at work until 2 a.m.

Further, given Diane's failure to honor the parties' agreement and this Court's order, and having to oppose this Motion, Eliezer should be awarded attorney's fees. In that regard, EDCR 7.60(b) provides as follows:

The court may, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, impose upon an attorney or a party any and all sanctions which may, under the facts of the case, be reasonable, including the imposition of fines, costs or attorney's fees when an attorney or a party without just cause:

- (1) Presents to the court a motion or an opposition to a motion which is obviously frivolous, unnecessary or unwarranted.
- (2) Fails to prepare for a presentation.
- (3) So multiplies the proceedings in a case as to increase costs unreasonably and vexatious.
- (4) Fails or refuses to comply with these rules.
- (5) Fails or refuses to comply with any order of a judge of the court.

#### III

### **CONCLUSION**

In essence, by way of her Motion, Diane is attempting to back out of the parties' agreement regarding Jewish holidays. It is very clear that Eliezer agreed to the terms of the parties' Stipulated Decree of Divorce because he was going to get the Jewish holidays. Allowing Diane to modify the partiers' agreement now simply because she does not like it is not warranted, it contradicts public policy as it would be gravely unfair to Eliezer who negotiated in good faith, and it would not be in Nova's best interests.

WHEREFORE, Eliezer prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. That the Court deny Diane's Motion in its entirety;
- 2. That the Court grant his Countermotion for Enforcement of the Decree of Divorce;
- 3. For a finding of contempt;

4. For Attorney's Fees; and

5. For such other relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_day of May, 2013.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

RACHELM. JACOBSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 007827
JACOBSON LAW OFFICE, LTD
64 North Pecos Road, Suite 200
Henderson, Nevada 89074
(702) 601-0770

### Ğ б ----

### DECLARATION OF ELIEZER MIZRACHI

ELIEZER MIZRACHI, being first duly sworn upon outh deposes and says as follows:

- 1. That I am the Defendant in the above-referenced action and make this declaration based upon my own personal knowledge except as to those matters stated upon information and belief and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true.
- 2. That I have carefully read the foregoing Opposition and Countermotion and the factual averments contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, except as to those matters based upon information and belief and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true. Those factual averments contained in the preceding are incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
- That I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada (NRS 53.045) that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this X day of May. 2014.

Eliezer Mizrachi

### Exhibit A

THE LAW OFFICES OF

### LELAND E. LUTFY

CHARTERED

530 SOUTH 7TH STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 FAX: (702) 477-0446 E-MAIL: LUTFYLAW@AOL.COM

November 25, 2013

Mr. Eliezer Mizrachi 4979 Nancy Avenue Las Vezas, Nevada 89120

Dear Mr. Mizrachi:

Your ex-wife Diane has pointed out to me that the Decree of Divorce does not ser forth a holiday visitation schedule.

Family Court offers the following as a recommended holiday schedule:

### THREE DAY HOLIDAYS

The holiday will begin on the day observed for the holiday at 9:00 a.m. and conclude at 9:00 a.m. the day following the three day holiday weekend, or the day following the holiday where not attached to a three day weekend.

- A. In even numbered years, Dad will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memoriai Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day, in odd numbered years Mom will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memoriai Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day.
- B. In odd numbered years Dad will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day; in even numbered years Mom will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day, 14th of July, 14th of

### INDIVIDUAL HOLIDAYS

The holiday visitation shall begin at 9:00 a.m. on the insteadual holiday (or after school on school days), and end at 9:00 p.m. the same day.

Mr. Eliezer Mizrachi November 25 2013 Page 2

- A. Morn will have the minor child on Morn's birthday and Mother's Day each year.
- B. Dad will have the minor child on Dad's birthday and Father's Day each year.
- C. Mom will have the minor child on the child's birthday in even numbered years. Dad will have the minor child on the child's birthday in edd numbered years.

#### THANKSGIVING

The holiday visitation shall begin after school on Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving, or at 6 p.m. Wednesday if school is not in session, and ends at 12:00 noon the day before returning to school, or if no school, on the Sunday after Thanksgivin.

- A. In odd numbered years, Dad will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving holiday.
- B. In even numbered years Mom will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving holiday.

Please advise as soon as possible if you will agree to sign a Stipulation and Order to amend the Decree of Divorce to set forth the holiday visitation schedule. If you do not agree and it is necessary to file a motion in Court, be advised I will be seeking attorney's fees for your refusal. If I do not hear from you within seven days of receipt of this letter I will, without further notice to you, file a motion.

This letter is written pursuant to EDCR 5.11(a)

Yours truly,

LELAND B. LUTTY, CHARTERED

LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ.

TEY MA

cc: Diane Mizrachi

### Exhibit 3

December 9, 2013

Eli Mizrachi 4979 Nancy Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

Leland E. Lutfy, Esq. 530 South 7<sup>th</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

<u>VIA FACSIMILE ONLY</u> (702) 477-0448

Dear Mr. Lutfy:

Thank you for your letter. Please accept this as my response. In your letter, you state that our Decree does not provide a holiday schedule but it does on page 2 of the Decree. This holiday is schedule is in large part why I accepted the Decree. In any event, if it will help, I am willing to accept the suggestion you make regarding the three-day holidays and Thanksgiving. And, OF COURSE, I have no problem with Diane having Nova on Diane's birthday and on Mother's Day. (Just so you know because it's not in your letter, mine and Nova's birthdays are on the same day.) Also, please make sure that this holiday is incorporated into our Decree in ADDITION to the current holidays already provided in our decree. Please do not change the Jewish holiday schedule and that we continue to have the right of first refusal — which leads me to my next point.

I am thankful to receive your letter because I am very concerned with Diane's behavior. Specifically, I had to beg and plead with her to allow Nova to spend Thanksgiving with me this year. This was a big struggle even though Diane was working on Thanksgiving. Thankfully, Diane finally agreed to let Nova spend Thanksgiving with me rather than stay home alone with Diane's roommate. I have additional concerns as well. I am hopeful that we will be able to co-parent better. To help us with this, I heard about a great class that is offered through UNLV. I don't want to have to file a Motion either. I believe Diane and I should be able to resolve things ourselves. So, instead of filing a motion, I am requesting that we both attend this class. Please let me know if Diane is willing.

Sincerely,

HP	Officeiet	4622	e-All-in-On	6
	Albert & M. A. addle after E. artist at	A AMERICAN STATES	other is not a self a selfer a little of the	

### Fax Log for

### 7025259322 Jan-00-00 00:00AM

### Last Transaction

Date	Time	Туре	Station ID	Duration	Pages	Result
televanorimore annuques	and the same of th			Digital Fax	**************************************	
Jan 00	MA00:00	Fax Sent	4770448	0:56 N/A	*[	OK

### Exhibite

THELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

530 SOUTH 7<sup>th</sup> Street
LAS VECAS, NEVADA 8910!
(102) 471-040 © (702) 471-0408

SAO LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ. LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702-477-0443 Attorney for Plaintiff DIANE MIZRACHI

#### DISTRICT COURT

### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

ELIEZER MIZRACHI,

Defendant.

Case No.: D-13-479664-D

Dept. No.: C

### STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY DECREE OF DIVORCE

Plaintiff, DIANE P. MIZRACHI, by and between her attorney, LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ., of the law offices of LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED, and Defendant, ELIEZER MIZRACHI, hereby STIPULATE that the Decree of Divorce be modified to include the following language relative to holiday visitation with their minor child. This Stipulation and Order does not modify any other of the terms set forth in the Decree of Divorce.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that Three Day Holidays will begin on the day observed for the holiday at 11:00 a.m. and conclude at 11:00 a.m. the day following the three day holiday weekend, or the day following the holiday when it is not attached to a three day weekend.

- A. In even numbered years, Dad will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day; in odd numbered years Mom will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day.
- B. In odd numbered years Dad will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day; in even numbered years Mom will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA \$9101 (202) 477-0445 • (202) 477-0448

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that individual holiday visitation shall begin at 11:00 a.m. on the individual holiday (or after school on school days), and end at 11:00 a.m. the following day.

- Mom will have the minor child on Mom's birthday and Mother's Day each year.
- В. Dad will have the minor child on Dad's birthday and Father's Day each year.
- C. Because the minor child and her father share the same birth date, Dad will have the minor child on the child's birthday each year and Mom will celebrate with the child on a different day.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall begin after school on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving, or at 6 p.m. Wednesday if school is not in session, and end at 12:00 noon the day before returning to school, or if no school, on the Sunday after Thanksgiving.

- In **odd** numbered years, Dad will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving Α. holiday.
- В. In even numbered years Mom will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving holiday.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that pursuant to the Decree of Divorce, Dad will continue to have the minor child for the first day of the Jewish holidays every year. Mom will continue to have the minor child on the Christian holidays every year. Significant, Court-recognized Jewish and Christian holidays will take precedence over regular visitation and the Monday holiday schedule.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the parties are on notice that any party ordered to pay child support is subject to the provisions of NRS 125.450(2) and Chapter 31A inclusive, regarding the withholding of wages and commissions for delinquent payments of support.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that pursuant to NRS 125A.350, neither party may move from the State of Nevada with the minor child without the prior mutual written consent of the other party or leave of the court. The failure of a parent to comply with this provision may be considered a factor if a change of custody is requested by a non-custodial parent or a parent having joint custody.

IT IS FURTHER STIPUL, ATED that pursuant to NRS 125.510(7) and (8), the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign county. The minor child's habitual residence is located in the city of Las Vegas, Clark County, State of

# THELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED 530 South 7th Spread LAS VIGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0445 \* (702) 477-0448

Nevada, within the United States of America.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the parties are aware of the provisions of NRS 125.510(6) as follows: PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF THE ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a Category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that pursuant to NRS 125B.145, the parties are entitled to a review of any order for support every three years to determine whether the order should be modified or adjusted.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2014.

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

By:
LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 1678
Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

By:
ELIEZER MIZRACHI
4979 Nancy Avenue
N. Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
Defendant in Proper Person

**VERIFICATION** 

STATE OF NEVADA ) ss: COUNTY OF CLARK )

ELIEZER MIZRACHI, being first duly sworn, deposes and says, that he is the Defendant in the above-entitled action; that he has read the foregoing STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY DECREE OF DIVORCE in Case No. D-13-479664-D and agrees with the contents

1		
2		•
3		
4		
5	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON OF	
6		
7		
8	handan kuddili rada	
9		
10		:
11		
12		
13		
14	10100000000000000000000000000000000000	
15		
16	***************************************	
17	-	
18	The second second	
19	The special series	
20	Management of the	
21	de states to specialists	
22		
23	***************************************	
24		
25	and the state of t	
26	CATALOG STATE	

27


28

thereof and acknowledges that he has executed the Stipulation and Order freely and voluntarily and for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

### ELIEZER MIZRAÇÎ E

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me
this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2014.

NOTARY PUBLIC
In and for Said County and State



#### ORDER

UPON STIPULATION of the parties and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Stipulation and Order does not modify any other of the terms set forth in the Decree of Divorce not specifically set forth herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Three Day Holidays will begin on the day observed for the holiday at 11:00 a.m. and conclude at 11:00 a.m. the day following the three day holiday weekend, or the day following the holiday when it is not attached to a three day weekend.

- A. In even numbered years, Dad will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day; in odd numbered years Mom will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day.
- B. In **odd** numbered years Dad will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day; in **even** numbered years Mom will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that individual holiday visitation shall begin at 11:00 a.m. on the individual holiday (or after school on school days), and end at 11:00 1.m. the following day.

- A. Mom will have the minor child on Mom's birthday and Mother's Day each year.
- B. Dad will have the minor child on Dad's birthday and Father's Day each year.
- C. Because the minor child and her father share the same birth date, Dad will have the minor child on the child's birthday each year and Mom will celebrate with the child on a different day.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 @ (702) 477-0448

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDER that the Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall begin after school on Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving, or at 6 p.m. Wednesday if school is not in session, and end at 12:00 noon the day before returning to school, or if no school, on the Sunday after Thanksgiving.

- In odd numbered years, Dad will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving holiday.
- В. In even numbered years Mom will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to the Decree of Divorce, Dad will continue to have the minor child for the first day of the Jewish holidays every year. Mom will continue to have the minor child on the Christian holidays every year. Significant, Court-recognized Jewish and Christian holidays will take precedence over regular visitation and the Monday holiday schedule.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are on notice that any party ordered to pay child support is subject to the provisions of NRS 125.450(2) and Chapter 31A inclusive, regarding the withholding of wages and commissions for delinquent payments of support.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125A.350, neither party may move from the State of Nevada with the minor child without the prior mutual written consent of the other party or leave of the court. The failure of a parent to comply with this provision may be considered a factor if a change of custody is requested by a non-custodial parent or a parent having joint custody.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125.510(7) and (8), the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign county. The minor child's habitual residence is located in the city of Las Vegas, Clark County, State of Nevada, within the United States of America.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are aware of the provisions of NRS 125.510(6) as follows: PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF THE ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian

# THE LAW OFFICES OF LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTIRED 530 SOUTH THE STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 472-0443 • (702) 472-0448

or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a Category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125B.145, the parties are entitled to a review of any order for support every three years to determine whether the order should be modified or adjusted.


DATED this \_\_\_\_ Do not distance 3014.

The transfer of the series of the

SUBMITTED BY: APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

By: LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

By:
ELIEZER MIZRACHI
4979 Nancy Avenue
N. Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
Defendant in Proper Person



### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

1	1						
2	According to the second						
3	The state of the s						
4	ELIEZER	MIZRACI	<b>-II.</b>		[		Electronically Filed
5						Supreme Court District Court (	Aug 25 2014 01:01 p.r Tracie K Lindeman
6		Appe	iiani,			District Court	Clerk of Supreme Cou
7	V.					nocki	ETING STATEMENT
8	DIANE M	IZRACHI,	;				TL APPEALS
9		Respo	ndent.				
10							
11	· ·	. Judicial	Dietrict	Nevada		Department	С
12		County	District	Clark	_	Judge	STEVEN E. JONES
13		•	t. Case No.	D-13-47966	 64-D		
14	2	Attorne	y filing this do	rkotina staton	nont.		
15	-			G			(mon) cod ommo
16		Attorney Firm		obson, Esq.	······	Telephone	(702) 601-0770
17		Address	Jacobson Law	oad, Suite 200			
18		1 1441 600	Henderson, N				
19	To the state of th	Client:	Eliezer Mizra		indirect.		
20	3	. Attorne	y representing	Respondent			
21				~	an t	1 (mod)	/mm 0.4.40
22		Attorney	Leland E. Lut		Telep	hone <u>(702</u>	) 477-0443
23		Firm Address	Leland E. Lut 530 South 7 <sup>th</sup>				
24		2 1001033	Las Vegas, No				
25		Ol:					
26		Client:	Diane Mizrac	<u>nı</u>			
27							
28	4.	Natui	e of Deposition	n below (check	call th	at apply):	
		Judgr	nent after bench	ı trial	(	Grant/denial of I	NRCP 60(b) relief
1							

1	Judgme	nt after jury verdict	Grant/denial of injunction
2	Summa	ry Judgment	Grant/denial of declaratory relief
3	Default	judgment	Review of agency determination
5	Dismiss	al	X Divorce decree:
6	Lack	of Jurisdiction	Original X Modification
7	Failur	e to state a claim	Other disposition (specify)
8	Failur	e to prosecute	
9	Other	(specify)	
11			
12	5. Does thi	s appeal raise issues co	ncerning any of the following: Yes
13	X Child co	ustody	_ Termination of parental rights
14	Venue		_Grant/denial of injunction or TRO
15	Adoptic	n	_ Juvenile matters
16	of all ap		s in this Court. List the case name and docket number dings presently or previously pending before this Court
18	None.	outed to this appear.	
19	The state of the s		
20			s in other courts. List the case name, number and court lings in other courts that are related to this appeal (e.g.,
21	bankrup	cy, consolidated or bifur	reated proceedings and their dates of disposition.
22 23	<i>Mizrach</i> Nevada	i v. Mizrachi, D-13-479	664-D; District Court, Family Division, Clark County,
24			
25	•	of the action. Briefly of action pleaded and the	describe the nature of the action, including a list of the result below.
26	——————————————————————————————————————	•	
27			d on March 16, 2000. On April 30, 2006, their daughter
28	was born counsel. negotiati	Plaintiff/Respondent	intiff/Respondent filed a Complaint for Divorce though and her attorney contacted Appellant and initiated ions, the parties reached an agreement which included

allowing Plaintiff/Appellant to maintain the bulk of the community property as Defendant/Appellant's primary concern was custody and visitation during Jewish holidays. As agreed, *inter alia*, the Decree granted Defendant/Appellant visitation with the parties' daughter during the Jewish holidays.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Decree was entered on June 21, 2013. On April 16, 2014 Respondent filed her Motion to Clarify and/or Amend Decree of Divorce in Respect to Holiday Visitation for the Parties' Minor Child and For Attorney's Fees and Costs. By way of her Motion. Respondent asked the Court to order that the parties follow the Court's Default Holiday and Vacation Plan and further limit each holiday to only one day of visitation. In a supplemental filing, Respondent also requested that the Court order Monday holidays as the Decree did not award Monday holidays to either party. At the time of the hearing on Respondent's Motion, Judge Ames reasoned that "it had to look up Jewish holidays are they are not that known" so the Court "clarified" the Jewish holidays to be limited only to those accounted for in the Court's default calendar. Ironically, the Court declined to award or clarify Monday holidays as it reasoned the "parties knew those existed at the time they entered the Agreement." The Court further specified it found "there is no change of circumstances." Clearly, in its reasoning, the Court applied its own personal experience and knowledge regarding holidays (Jewish and Monday holidays) to the parties instead of taking into account that the parties were married to each other for 13 years and each entered this divorce negotiation with enough knowledge and information about Jewish holidays as it is undisputed that Appellant is Jewish and, at the very least. Jewish holiday information was readily available to the parties when they entered their Decree of Divorce and the parties specifically chose not to utilize the Court's Default Schedule.

This appeal to the Supreme Court relates to the District Court's order, first, finding an ambiguity in the Decree as it relates to Jewish holidays and, second, clarifying the ambiguity in such a way that it is deemed against the Appellant rather than the Respondent who is the one who drafted the Decree. Instead of deeming an ambiguity against the draftered, the decision rather severely limits Appellant's Jewish holiday visitation schedule over Appellant's objection. Specifically, the Order adopts the Family Court's Default Department Jewish Holiday Schedule which grants Appellant only four (4) Jewish holidays per year with the parties' minor child and limits those visits to only one day.

### 9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal:

- Whether the district court erred in finding that there was not a clear understanding between the parties regarding Jewish holidays at the time they entered the Decree of Divorce;
- Whether the district court erred in finding that there needs to be a clarification regarding Jewish holidays;
- Whether the district court erred by finding that the Court should agree with Respondent's request to clarify the Jewish holidays by limiting the Jewish holidays awarded to

1   2		Appellant to only four major holidays: Passover, Hanukkah, Yom Kippur and Rosh Hashanah and further limit visitation for each holiday to the first day;
3	•	Whether the district court erred by failing to find that Respondent was aware of, of should have been aware of, Jewish holidays when she entered the parties Decree of Divorce;
5		Whether the district court erred by failing to find that the purported ambiguity in the Decree should have been deemed against the drafterer rather than the Appellant.
7	•	Whether the District Court erred by failing to find that the Respondent acted in bad faith during negotiations and agreement by agreeing to allow Appellant to "have the Jewish holidays" and later refusing to follow the agreement.
9   10   11	•	Whether the District Court erred by failing to find, and even consider the Appellant's countermotion for modification of custody and/or the facts presented and the best interests of the parties' minor child, that Respondent has negligently cared for the parties' child since the time of the entry of the Decree of Divorce constituting a change of circumstance.
12	10.	Pending proceedings in this Court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this Court that raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case number and docket number and identify the same or similar issues raised:
15		None.
16 17 18	11.	Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the Clerk of this Court and the Attorney General in accordance with NRCP 44 and NRS 30.130?
19 20		N/A X Yes No
21	12.	Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
22		Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (on an attachment, identify the case(s))
23	****************	An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
24		A substantial issue of first impression
25		An issue of public policy
26		An issue where <i>en banc</i> consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this Court's decisions
27	annimitation of the second	A ballot question
28	If so, e	explain:

1	13.	<b>Trial.</b> If this action proceeded to	to trial, how many days di	d the trial last? One day.
2	14.	Judicial disqualification. Do	you intend to file a motio	n to disqualify or have a justice
3		recuse him/herself from particip	<del>*</del>	* *
5				
6		TIMELINESS	OF NOTICE OF APPEA	L
	15.	Date of entry of written judgn	nent or order appealed f	rom: June 25, 2014,
7 8		Attach a copy. If more than ceach judgment or order from whether the state of the s	-	appealed from, attach copies of
9		The Order entered on June 25, 2	2014 is attached as Attach	ment "1" hereto.
10				
11	16.	Date written notice of entry copy, including proof of service		erved: July 3, 2014. Attach a ent appealed from.
		Notice of Entry of Order is attac	ched as Attachment "2" he	reto.
13				
15		Was service by delivery	or by mail (X) regul	ar . (Specify)
16 17 18	17.	(NRCP 50(b), 52(b), or 59) s	specify the type of motion	I by a post-judgment motion n, and the date and method of copies of all post-trial tolling
20	18.	Data Nation of Armost was G	lod. Into 24 2014 IS	41
21	10.			ore than one party has appealed I was filed and identify by name
		the party filing the notice of app		
22	and the same of th			
23	19.			ling the Notice of Appeal, e.g.,
24		NRAP 4(a), NRS 155.190, or o	uner: <u>NKAP 4(a)</u>	
25		SHRSTANTI	IVE APPEALABILITY	
26	20.			and impodiation to marious the
27	۷۷۰	judgment or order appealed from		ourt jurisdiction to review the
28		NRAP 3A(b)(1)X	NRS 155.190	(specify subsection)
		NRAP 3A(b)(2)	NRS 38.205	(specify subsection)

	NRAP 3A(b)(3) NRS 703.376
	Other (specify)
	NRAP 3A(b)(1) permits an appeal from: "A final judgment entered in an action or proceeding commenced in the court in which the judgment is rendered." Here, Appellant
	appeals the District Court order from the Order following hearing, which was a "final judgment entered in an action or proceeding commenced in the court in which the
	judgment is rendered."
21.	List all parties involved in the action in the District Court:
	ELIEZER MIZRACHI
	DIANE MIZRACHI
	If all parties in the District Court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, e.g., formally dismissed, not served, or other: Not
	applicable.
22.	Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counter- claims, cross-claims or third-party claims, and the trial court's disposition of each
	claim, and how each claim was resolved (i.e., judgment, stipulation), and the date of disposition of each claim. Attach a copy of each disposition.
	<ul> <li>On May 8, 2013, Plaintiff Diane Mizrachi Lewis filed claims for divorce and child custody in the District Court, Attachment "3".</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>On June 5, 2013, Defendant Eliezer Mizrachi filed an Answer and Counterclaim for Divorce, Attachment "4."</li> </ul>
	• The parties reached an agreement. Plaintiff's counsel drafted a Decree of Divorce which was entered by Court on June, 21, 2013, Attachment "5."
	21.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- On April 16, 2014, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Clarify and/or Amend Decree of Divorce in Respect to Holiday Visitation for the Parties' Minor Child and for Attorney's Fees and Costs, Attachment "6."
- On May 8, 2014, Defendant filed his Opposition and Countermotion, Attachment "7."
- On May 12, 2014, Plaintiff filed a Supplement to her Motion, Attachment "8."
- On May 15, 2014, Plaintiff filed her Reply to Defendant's Opposition, Attachment "9."
- The hearing on Plaintiff's Motion was held on May 19, 2014 and the order from the hearing was entered on June 14, 2014; this Order is provided herein as Attachment "10."

2	23.		r appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below es of ALL the parties to the action below?
3	www.www.www.	No <b>X</b> Yes	
4	24.	If you answered "No" to the	ne immediately previous question, complete the following:
5	Transmitter, and a second	(a) Specify the claims rema	ining pending below:
6	And Additional Professional Pro	(b) Specify the parties rema	ining below:
7	ere de la companya de	(c) Did the District Court co pursuant to NRCP 54(b)	ertify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment?
9			If Yes, attach a copy of the certification or order entry and proof of service.
10			nake an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that r delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment:
12 13		NoYes	
14	25.	appellate review (e.g., orde	any part of question 24, explain the basis for seeking er is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)): <u>The ependently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)(1).</u>
16 17 18 19	26.	third-party claims filed resolving tolling motions; claim, counterclaims, cross or consolidated action be	filed complaints, counterclaims, and/or cross-claims and in the District Court; any tolling motions and order orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each s-claims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action elow, even if not at issue on appeal; any other order ices of entry for each attached order. See Attachments "1"
ļ			VERIFICATION
21	I decl	are under penalty of perjur	y that I have read this Docketing Statement, and that the tatement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.
23	information a	nd belief, and that I have attac	ched all required documents to this Docketing Statement.
24	Eliezer Mizra		Rachel M. Jacobson, Esq.
25	Name of App	ellant	Name of Counsel of Record
26 27	August 25, 20 Date	)14	Signature of counsel of record
28		da, County of Clark unty where signed	

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Statement upon all counsel of record by personally serving it upon him at the following address:

Leland Lutfy, Esq.

DATED this 25th day of August, 2014.

Leland E. Lutfy, Chartered Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Attorneys for Plaintiff

I certify that on the 25th day of August, 2014, I served a copy of this completed Docketing

DATED this 25<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2014.

Rachel M. Jacobson, Esq.

RACHELM. JACOBSON, ESQ.

-8-

Attachment 1

Electronically Filed 06/25/2014 03:21:12 PM

Atu 1. Lum

CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR
LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ.
LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED
Nevada Bar No. 1678
530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Phone: 702-477-0443
Fax: 702-477-0448
Automey for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,

DIANE MIZRACHI

Plaintiff,

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

ELIEZER MIZRACHI,

Defendant.

Case No.: D-13-479664-D Dept. No.: C

### ORDER RE MAY 19, 2014 HEARING

This matter came on for hearing on the 19th day of May, 2014 on Plaintiff's Motion to Clarify and/or Amend Decree of Divorce in Respect to Holiday Visitation for the Parties' Minor Child and for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Supplement thereto, Defendant's Opposition and Countermotion for Enforcement or Modification of Decree and Plaintiff's Reply and Opposition. Plaintiff, DIANE MIZRACHI, appeared personally and by and through her attorney, LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ., of the law offices of LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED, and Defendant, ELIEZER MIZRACHI, appeared personally and by and through his attorney, RACHEL JACOBSON. ESQ., of the JACOBSON LAW OFFICE, LTD. Based on the papers and pleadings on file herein, and the argument of counsel.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court finds there was not a clear understanding between the two parties at the time and there needs to be a clarification on the Jewish holidays and so the Court is going to adopt the default Jewish holiday system that has been set up in Department D. The Court is going to agree that the four major hoildays: Passover, Hanukkah, Yom Kippur and

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED 316 SOUTH "STAFF LAN VEGAS, NEVEL PORT 1125 VEGAS, NEVEL PORTS



THE REAL PROPERTY.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Rosh Hashanah be the four holidays and will constitute only the first day of each holiday.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the holidays shall constitute one full day defined as 5:00 o'clock p.m. on the eye of the holiday to 5:00 o'clock p.m. on the day of the holiday.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties will alternate having Nova on her birthday. Each year Plaintiff has Nova on her birthday, Defendant will have Nova the following day.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party will continue to have three (3) weeks of vacation per year with Nova with thirty (30) days advance notice. Each vacation shall be no less then one week in duration.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this vacation modification shall take effect subsequent to the vacation time already scheduled from June 8, 2014 to June 12, 2014 and June 24, 2014 through June 25, 2014.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's request for Sunday visitation is denied as Plaintiff agreed to that and there is no change in circumstances.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that neither party shall be awarded make up visitation time. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court is not going to adopt Monday holidays as the parties knew those existed at the time they entered the Agreement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party shall bear their own attorney's fees and costs. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are on notice that any party ordered to pay child support is subject to the provisions of NRS 125.450(2) and Chapter 31A inclusive, regarding the withholding of wages and commissions for delinquent payments of support.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125A.350, neither party may move from the State of Nevada with the minor child without the prior mutual written consent of the other party or leave of the court. The failure of a parent to comply with this provision may be considered a factor if a change of custody is requested by a non-custodial parent or a parent having joint custody.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125.510(7) and (8), the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign county. The minor child's habitual residence is located in the city of Las Vegas, Clark County, State of

## LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED THE LAW OFFICES OF

1.05 VEGAS, NEVADA 89181 (702) 471-0443 @ (702) 471-0448 \$30 SOUTH 711 STRU-5-7

1

2

3

4

S

Ó

7

ŝ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Nevada, within the United States of America.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are aware of the provisions of NRS 125.510(6) as follows: PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF THE ORDER: THE ABDUCTION. CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a Category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125B.145, the parties are entitled to a review of any order for support every three years to determine whether the order should be modified or adjusted.

2014. DATED this day of

Submitted by:

Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7TH Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

JACOBSON, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 007827 64 North Pecos Road, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89074

Attachment 2

NEOJ LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ. LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7 <sup>TH</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: 702-477-0443 Fax: 702-477-0448 Attorney for Plaintiff DIANE MIZRACHI	Electronically Filed 07/07/2014 03:14:36 PM
DIS	TRICT COURT
CLARK	COUNTY, NEVADA
DIANE MIZRACHI,  Plaintiff,  vs.  ELIEZER MIZRACHI,	Case No.: D-13-479664-D Dept. No.: C
Defendant.	
NOTICE O	OF ENTRY OF ORDER
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an	Order Re May 19, 2014 was entered on the 24th day of
June, 2014. A copy of said Order is attack	hed for your records.
DATED this 30th of day of June, 2th	014.
	LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED
	By:  LELAND E. IUTFY, ESQ.  Névada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7 <sup>TH</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

# THE LAW OFFICES OF SAS SAS SOUTH TO STREET

б

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of July, 2014, I served the above and foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER by depositing a true and correct copy in the United States mails, postage prepaid, addressed to counsel for Defendant at his last known address as follows:

Rachel Jacobson, Esq. 64 North Pecos Road, Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89074

An Employee of

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

Attachment 3

Ī 2

3

4 5

> 6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (102) 477-0443 © (702) 477-0448 15 16

17 18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED 530 SOUTH 7TH STREET 19

THE LAW OFFICES OF

COMP LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ. LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702-477-0443

Attorney for Plaintiff

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Case No.: D-13-479664-DDept. No.:

Plaintiff, VS. ELIEZER MIZRACHI, Defendant.

### COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, DIANE MIZRACHI, by and through her attorney, LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ., of the law offices of LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED, and for her Complaint for Divorce alleges as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Nevada and for a period of more than six weeks before commencement of this action has resided and been physically present and domiciled in the State of Nevada, and now resides and is domiciled therein, and during all of said period of time Plaintiff has had, and still has, the intent to make said State of Nevada her home, residence and domicile for an indefinite period of time.
- 2. That Plaintiff and Defendant were married in Las Vegas, Nevada on or about March 16, 2000 and are husband and wife.
- 3. That there is one minor child of the parties, Nova Autumn Mizrachi, date of birth April 30, 2006.
- 4. That the parties should have joint legal custody of their minor child with Plaintiff having primary physical custody with specified visitation to Defendant.
  - 5. That pursuant to the provisions of NRS 125B.070 et. seq, Defendant should pay child

Ţ

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

support to Plaintiff in the amount of SIX HUNDRED FORTY-NINE DOLLARS (\$649.00) per month until the minor child reaches the age of eighteen or, if still in high school, until the age of nineteen.

- 6. That any Decree of Divorce entered by this Court shall include a notice to the parties that any party ordered to pay child support is subject to the provisions of NRS 125.450(2) and Chapter 31A inclusive, regarding the withholding of wages and commissions for delinquent payments of support.
- 7. That pursuant to NRS 125A.350, neither party may move from the State of Nevada with the minor child without the prior mutual written consent of the other party or leave of the court. The failure of a parent to comply with this provision may be considered a factor if a change of custody is requested by a non-custodial parent or a parent having joint custody.
- 8. That pursuant to NRS 125.510(7) and (8), the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign county. The minor child's habitual residence is located in the city of Las Vegas, State of Nevada, within the United States of America.
- 9. That Defendant will provide health insurance for the benefit of the minor child. The parties will equally split the balance of all unreimbursed medical expenses including all medical, dental, orthodontic, vision and related prescription medicine expenses of the minor child utilizing the 30/30 rule for payment of expenses.
- 10. That the parties are aware of the provisions of NRS 125.510(6) as follows: PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF THE ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a Category D felony as provided in NRS

## LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 © (702) 477-0448 530 SOUTH 7711 STREET

-0	140		90	
ŧ	1.5 4	Ş	412	

Press

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 11. That Plaintiff will claim the income tax deduction for the minor child.
- 12. That there is community property belonging to the parties including but not limited to the following, which should be awarded to Plaintiff:
  - Marital residence located at 6224 Villa Emo Street, North Las Vegas, Nevada Α. 89031, subject to encumbrance thereon.
  - Real property in New Mexico designated as MC047-130-120-111. В.
  - Real property in New Mexico designated as MC072-113-110-509. C.
  - Real property in Arizona designated as APN 201-28-018. D.
  - Real property timeshare located at Tahiti Village in Las Vegas, Nevada. E.
- 13. That there is other community property belonging to the parties, the exact amount and description of which is unknown to Plaintiff at this time. Plaintiff prays leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to insert the same when it becomes known to her or at the time of trial in this matter. The parties' community property should be equally divided.
  - 14. That Plaintiff's separate property should be confirmed as her sole and separate property.
- 15. That Defendant's separate property should be confirmed as his sole and separate property.
  - 16. That Plaintiff should be awarded the two dogs, Yukon and Molly; and the three cats.
- 17. That other than the mortgage on the marital residence, there are no community debts of the parties.
- 18. That Plaintiff's separate debts should be confirmed as her sole and separate debts and she should indemnify and hold Defendant harmless therefrom.
- 19. That Defendant's separate debts should be confirmed as his sole and separate debts and he should indemnify and hold Plaintiff harmless therefrom.
- 20. That Defendant pay spousal support to Plaintiff for a period of seven years following the entry of the Decree of Divorce.
- 21. That Defendant has engaged in an individual act or course of actions which, individually or together, have constituted marital waste and, therefore, Plaintiff should be compensated for the

# LELAND E. LUTPY, CHARTERED

loss and enjoyment of said wasted community asset(s).

- 22. That based on the disparity in income between Plaintiff and Defendant and pursuant to Sargeant v. Sargeant, 88 Nev. 223, 495 P.2d 618 (1972), Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit.
- 23. That Plaintiff and Defendant have conflicts in personalities and dispositions so deep as to be irreconcilable and to render it impossible for the parties to continue a normal marital relationship with each other, as the result of which actionable incompatibility exists of such a character as to destroy the legitimate objects of matrimony and to render it impossible for Plaintiff and Defendant to live together as husband and wife and to make impossible a reconciliation as between the parties.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- That the bonds of matrimony now and heretofore existing between Plaintiff and Defendant be forever discharged, and that each of the parties be restored to the status of an unmarried person, and that the Plaintiff be granted an absolute Decree of Divorce from Defendant.
  - 2. That the Court grant the relief set forth in this Complaint for Divorce.
  - 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper in the premises. DATED this 6th day of May, 2013.

LELAND E-LUTFY, CHARTERED

LELAND E. LUTFY

530 South 7th Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

# THE LAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED 530 SOUTH 7" STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0448

### **VERIFICATION**

STATE NEVADA ) ss:

DIANE MIZRACHI, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That she is the Plaintiff in the above-entitled action; that she has read the foregoing Complaint for Divorce, knows the contents thereof, and that the same is true of her own knowledge, except for those matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matter she believes the same to be true.

DIÁNÉ MIZRACHI

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 6th day of May, 2013.

Carre Jarre



Attachment 4



Electronically Filed 05/05/2013 03:46:54 PM

ANS
ELIEZER MIZRACHI
6224 Villa Emo Street
N. Las Vegas, Nevada 89031
702-525-9322
Defendant In Proper Person

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,

Plaintiff,

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ELIEZER MIZRACHI,

Defendant.

Case No.: Dept. No.: D-13-479664-D

- (

### ANSWER IN PROPER PERSON

COMES NOW Defendant, ELIEZER MIZRACHI, and as and for his Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint for Divorce on file herein, admits, denies and alleges as follows:

- 1. Defendant admits all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 23 of the Complaint for Divorce on file herein.
- 2. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 4, 5, 11, 12, 20, 21 and 22 of the Complaint for Divorce on file herein.
- 3. Defendant waives notice of the time and place fixed for the hearing of this action; further waives notice of the Decision of the Court on said hearing; waives the making of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and if such are made, then waives service of the same upon him; and hereby consents that this case be heard at the convenience of the Court and that judgment be entered immediately on the conclusion of said hearing; all without notice to the Defendant that said proceedings are about to be had or that they have been had and determined.

26

. . .

27 | .

28

ų.	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that Plaintiff take nothing by virtue of her Complaint for			
2	Divorce on file herein.			
3	DATED this day of June, 2013.			
4				
5	OT 10 FED A CUT			
6	6224 Villa Emo Street N. Las Vegas, Nevada 89031			
7	702-525-9322 Defendant In Proper Person			
8	Detendant in Proper Person			
9	STATE OF NEVADA ) ss:			
10	COUNTY OF CLARK () ss.			
11	ELIEZER MIZRACHI, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:			
12	That he is the Defendant in the above-entitled action for divorce, that he has read the			
13	foregoing Answer in Proper Person and knows the contents thereof and that the same is true of his			
14	own knowledge except as to those matters therein stated upon information and belief and as to those			
15	matters he believes them to be true.			
16				
17	ELIÉZER MIZRACHI			
18	Subscribed and sworn to before me			
19				
1	this 4 day of June, 2013.			
20	this 4 day of June, 2013.  DONALD FRASER Notary Public State of Nevada No. 06 104070 1			
21	1 ASSESS DOIVALUTRASER D			
21 22	Notary Public State of Nevada  No. 06-104970-1  My Appt 5 yp March 8 2014			
21 22 23	Notary Public State of Nevada No. 06-104970-1 My Appl Exp. March 8, 2014  STATE OF NEVADA  SS:			
21 22 23 24	Notary Public State of Nevada  No. 06-104970-1  My Appt Exp. March 8, 2014  STATE OF NEVADA  )			
21 22 23 24 25	Notary Public State of Nevada No. 06-104970-1 My Appt Exp. March 8, 2014  STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK  On this day of June, 2013, personally appeared before me, ELIEZER MIZRACHI, who executed the foregoing document, who acknowledged to me that he executed the same freely			
21 22 23 24 25 26	Notary Public State of Nevada No. 06-104970-1 My Appt Exp. March 8, 2014  STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK  On this 4 day of June, 2013, personally appeared before me, ELIEZER MIZRACHI.			
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Notary Public State of Nevada No. 06-104970-1 My Appt Exp. March 8, 2014  STATE OF NEVADA  COUNTY OF CLARK  On this   day of June, 2013, personally appeared before me, ELIEZER MIZRACHI, who executed the foregoing document, who acknowledged to me that he executed the same freely and voluntarily and for the uses and purposes therein mentioned			
21 22 23 24 25 26	Notary Public State of Nevada No. 06-104970-1 My Appt Exp. March 8, 2014  STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK  On this day of June, 2013, personally appeared before me, ELIEZER MIZRACHI, who executed the foregoing document, who acknowledged to me that he executed the same freely			

Attachment 5

### OPICNAL.

Electronically Filed 06/28/2013 12:01:53 PM

27

28

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

THE LAW OFFICES OF

NOEJ LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ. LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 477-0443 Attorney for Plaintiff CLERK OF THE COURT

### DISTRICT COURT

### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,	)
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	{
ELIEZER MIZRACHI,	(
Defendant.	}

Case No.: D-13-479664-D Dept. No.: C

### NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECREE

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Decree of Divorce was entered on the 21st day of June, 2013.

A copy of said Decree of Divorce is attached for your records.

DATED this 27th day of June, 2013.

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

LELAND E. LUTFY, Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

# 1H51AND E. LUTTY, CHARTERED 530 SOUTH 7<sup>14</sup> STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 \* (702) 477-0443

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2013, I served the above and foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECREE OF DIVORCE by depositing a true and correct copy in the United States mails, postage prepaid, addressed address as follows:

Diane P. Mizrachi 8228 Corset Creek Las Vegas, Nevada 89130

Eliezer Mizrachi 6224 Villa Emo Street North Las Vegas, Nevada 89031

> An Employee of LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

### ÖRIĞINAL

Electronically Filed 06/21/2013 10:53:25 AM

CLERK OF THE COURT

LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ. LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED 2 Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street 3 Vegas, Nevada 89101 4 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 DIANE MIZRACHI

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,

Plaintiff.

ELIEZER MIZRACHI,

Defendant.

б

a de

7 8

9

10 11

12

VS.

13

530 South 7th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (703) 477-6443 @ (702) 477-1448 14 15 16

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

17 18 19

CI Judgman Reached by Titel

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Case No.:

D-13-479664-D

Dept. No.:

### DECREE OF DIVORCE

This cause having been submitted for Summary Disposition on the 19th day of June, 2013, upon the Complaint of Plaintiff, DIANE P. MIZRACHI, and the Answer of Defendant, ELIEZER MIZRACHI, and the parties having stipulated to the contents of this Decree of Divorce, and the Court having reviewed the sworn affidavit of Plaintiff and Resident Witness, Francis Lacey, and the cause having been submitted for decision and judgment, and the Court being fully advised as to the law and the facts of the case finds:

That all the allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint are true; that the Plaintiff for a period longer then six weeks prior to the date of verification of the Complaint was, and now is, a bona fide and actual resident of the State of Nevada; that the Court has jurisdiction herein and that the Plaintiff is entitled to a divorce upon the grounds set forth in the Complaint.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the bonds of matrimony now and heretofore existing between Plaintiff and Defendant be and the same are, hereby wholly dissolved and an absolute Decree of Divorce is granted to Plaintiff, and each of the parties hereto Spensor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

is restored to the status of a single, unmarried person.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that there is one minor child born the issue of this marriage, Nova Autumn Mizrachi, date of birth April 30, 2006. There are no adopted children of the parties and Plaintiff is not pregnant.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties are fit and proper persons to have joint legal and joint physical custody of their minor child using the follow schedule:

- Mom will have custody of the minor child on Wednesday, Thursday and Friday each week and every other Tuesday.
- 2. Dad will have custody of the minor child on Saturday, Sunday and Monday each week and every other Tuesday.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the custody exchanges shall occur as follows:

- 1. Mom will deliver the child to Dad at his residence on Saturday to be expected no later then 11:00 o'clock a.m.
- During the school year, Dad will deliver the child to school on Wednesday morning. On Mom's alternating Tuesday with the child, Dad will deliver the child to school on Tuesday morning.
- 3. During Summer breaks or any other break in school, Dad will deliver the child to Mom at her residence for her usual custody on Wednesday to be expected no later then 11:00 o'clock a.m. and on Mom's alternating Tuesday to be expected no later then 11:00 o'clock a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Dad will have the minor child for the Jewish holidays every year. Mom will have the minor child on the Christian holidays every year.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that if either party wants to take the minor child outside of the United States, that party will need the written approval of the other party and must provide a written itinerary to include where the minor child will be staying and contact numbers.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that each party will have three weeks of vacation with the minor child each year upon thirty (30) days notice to the other party.

### THELAND E. LUTRY, CHARTERED 530 South 7" Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (703) 477-2453 • (702) 477-2443

ţ

Each party must provide a written itinerary to include where the minor child will be staying and contact phone numbers.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the vacation in Florida scheduled in July, 2013 is agreed to by and between the parties.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that based on the shared custody arrangement and the income of the parties, the parties waive the payment of child support pursuant to NRS 125.B.080(9). Should the shared custody arrangement change, child support will be set pursuant to NRS 125B.070.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties are on notice that any party ordered to pay child support is subject to the provisions of NRS 125.450(2) and Chapter 31A inclusive, regarding the withholding of wages and commissions for delinquent payments of support.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to NRS 125A.350, neither party may move from the State of Nevada with the minor child without the prior mutual written consent of the other party or leave of the court. The failure of a parent to comply with this provision may be considered a factor if a change of custody is requested by a non-custodial parent or a parent having joint custody.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to NRS 125.510(7) and (8), the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign county. The minor child's habitual residence is located in the city of Las Vegas, Clark County, State of Nevada, within the United States of America.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant shall provide health insurance for the benefit of the minor child. Plaintiff and Defendant shall equally share the balance of all unreimbursed medical expenses including all medical, dental, orthodontic, vision and related prescription medicine expenses of the minor child, utilizing the 30/30 rule for payment of expenses.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties are aware

## THE LAND E. LETTRY, CHARTERED 530 SOUTH 774 STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (703) 477-548 9 (703) 477-548

C. Carlo

of the provisions of NRS 125.510(6) as follows: <u>PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF THE ORDER:</u> THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a Category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that parties will alternate claiming the income tax reduction for the minor child on a yearly basis. Defendant will claim the minor child in odd numbered years; Plaintiff will claim the minor child in even numbered years.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff is awarded the following as her sole and separate property:

- Marital residence located at 6224 Villa Emo Street, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89031, subject to encumbrance thereon.
- 2. Real property in New Mexico designated as MC047-130-120-111.
- 3. Real property in New Mexico designated as MC072-113-110-509.
- 4. Real property in Arizona designated as APN 201-28-018.
- 5. Real property timeshare located at Tahiti Village in Las Vegas, Nevada. Plaintiff will have use of the timeshare in odd numbered years. Defendant will have use of the timeshare in even numbered years. Each party will pay all the expenses relative to the timeshare during the year in which they have the use of it.
- 6. The 2007 Pontiac G6. Defendant is to transfer ownership of the vehicle to Plaintiff.
- 7. The two dogs and three cats.
- 8. All furniture and furnishings located in the marital residence other then the specific items awarded herein to Defendant.
- Plaintiff's clothing, jewelry and personal property.

# THE LAW OFFICES OF LUTFY, CHARTERED 530 SOUTH 7" STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 877-045 9 (702) 877-045

Sec.

2

3

4

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant is awarded the following as his sole and separate property:

- The 2004 Dodge Durango subject to any encumbrance thereon. Plaintiff is to transfer ownership of the vehicle to Defendant.
- Defendant's clothing, jewelry and personal property.
- 3. One sofa, one love seat and two chairs.
- 4. The new 46" television downstairs.
- 5. Gold colored laptop computer.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant will receive \$2,800.00 from an account in Plaintiff's and Nova's names at Nevada State Bank. Plaintiff will receive the balancing remaining in the account.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff's separate property is confirmed as her sole and separate property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant's separate property should be confirmed as his sole and separate property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff's debts should be confirmed as her sole and separate debts and she should indemnify and hold Defendant harmless therefrom.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant's debts should be confirmed as his sole and separate debts and he should indemnify and hold Plaintiff harmless therefrom.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant shall vacate the marital residence no later than June 5, 2013.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that neither party shall pay spousal support to the other.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that each party shall pay their own attorney's fees and costs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that after the divorce, in

### The Law offices of Leland E. Lutffy, Chartered 530 South 7th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (723, 477-043) & (723, 477-043) & (723, 477-043)

Z

order to protect the parties' minor child Nova, if either party has another adult living at their residence, before doing so, they will make sure that the other person has no convictions for crimes against children and/or no convictions for murder, rape, burglary, or other violent felonies, by running a background check and sharing the results with the other party.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties shall submit the information required in NRS 125B.055, NRS 125.130 and NRS 125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days from the date this Decree is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days should any of that information become inaccurate.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties hereto shall execute all necessary documents to effectuate the terms of this Decree of Divorce. If any party fails to do so, the parties are put on notice that the Clerk of the Court is authorized to execute and deliver said documents for and in behalf of such party.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to NRS 125B.145, the parties are entitled to a review of any order for support every three years to determine whether the order should be modified or adjusted.

Submitted by:

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

----

6224 Villa Emo Street

N. Las Vegas, Nevada 89031 Defendant in Proper Person

PROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT:

Nevalia Bar No. 1678 530 South 7th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

### VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA ) ss: COUNTY OF CLARK

games

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

ELIEZER MIZRACHI, being first duly sworn, deposes and says, that he is the Defendant in the above-entitled action; that he has read the foregoing Decree of Divorce in Case No. D-13-479664-D and agrees with the contents thereof and acknowledges that he has executed the Decree of Divorce freely and voluntarily and for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me

4 day of June, 2013.

In and for Said County and State

DONALD FRASER lolary Public State of Nevade No. 06-104970-1 My Appl. Exp. March 8, 2014

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED THEE LAW OFFICES OF

Attachment 6

and a

2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 © (702) 477-0448 16 17

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

S30 SOATH T'H STREET

18 19

> 20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

0106 LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ. LELAND E. LUTFY, CHÀRTERED Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone 702-477-0443 702-477-0448 Facsimile Attorney for Plaintiff DIANE MIZRACHI

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,

Plaintiff.

VS.

ELIEZER MIZRACHI.

Defendant.

D-13-479664-D Case No.: Dept. No.:

### PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CLARIFY AND/OR AMEND DECREE OF DIVORCE IN RESPECT TO HOLIDA' VISITATION FOR THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

Date of Hearing: Time of Hearing:

NOTICE: YOU ARE REQUIRED TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THIS MOTION WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND TO PROVIDE THE UNDER-SIGNED WITH A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION. FAILURE TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION MAY RESULT IN THE REQUESTED RELIEF BEING GRANTED BY THE COURT WITHOUT HEARING PRIOR TO THE SCHEDULED HEARING DATE

COMES NOW Plaintiff, DIANE MIZRACHI, by and through her attorney, LELAND E. LUTFY, ESO., of the law offices of LELANDE. LUTFY, CHARTERED, and moves this honorable Court to clarify and/or amend the Decree of Divorce relative to the existing holiday visitation schedule and for an award of attorney's fees and costs.

This Motion is made and based on the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Plaintiff, attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "1," the Affidavit of Leland E. Lutfy, Esq. pursuant to Eighth Judicial District Court Rules, Rule 5.11(a),



attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "2," the papers and pleadings on file herein and such argument of counsel as may be heard at the hearing of this matter.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2014.

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>th</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

### NOTICE OF MOTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CLARIFY AND/OR AMEND DECREE OF DIVORCE IN RESPECT TO HOLIDAY VISITATION FOR THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS will be heard in Dept. C of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Division: 05/19/20/4

DATED this 15th day of April, 2014.

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiff, Diane Mizrachi, moves this honorable Court to clarify and/or amend the Decree of Divorce entered June 21, 2013 in respect to the holiday visitation schedule with the parties' minor child, Nova Autumn Mizrachi, date of birth April 30, 2006. Numerous disputes have arisen between Plaintiff, DIANE MIZRACHI (hereinafter referred to as 'DIANE') and Defendant, ELIEZER MIZRACHI (hereinafter referred to as 'ELIEZER') concerning holiday visitation with their daughter.

## LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED SO SOUTH 7" STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0448

### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The parties were granted a Decree of Divorce on June 21, 2013. A copy of the Decree is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "3." Page 2, lines 19 through 22 of the Decree states.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Dad will have the minor child for the Jewish holidays every year. Morn will have the minor child on the Christian holidays every year.

The Decree did not address holidays that are not religious in nature, e.g., July 4<sup>th</sup>, Presidents Day, etc. Further, disputes have arisen between the parties concerning the religious holidays and how and what visitation each of them should have with their daughter.

In an attempt to resolve the issues, on November 25, 2013, Diane's counsel sent a letter to Eliezer asking him to agree to a holiday visitation schedule as set forth in the letter, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "4." It was explained to Eliezer that if the parties could not agree, a motion would be filed with the Court. December 9, 2013, Eliezer responded. Thereafter, Diane's counsel prepared a Stipulation and Order to Modify the Decree of Divorce in respect to the holiday visitation schedule. A copy of the Stipulation and Order is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "5." The Stipulation and Order was forwarded to Eliezer on January 31, 2014.

February 6, 2014, Eliezer sent a letter to Diane's counsel and asked that the holiday visitation time be changed to commence at 11:00 a.m. on the holiday and conclude at 11:00 a.m. the following day. The proposed Stipulation and Order was then modified to include the time change. Counsel also put in language Eliezer requested on page 1, lines 20 through 21 where it is stated, "This Stipulation and Order does not modify any other of the terms set forth in the Decree of Divorce." A copy of page 1 of the Stipulation and Order is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "6." The revised Stipulation and Order was forwarded to Eliezer on February 7, 2014.

February 15, 2014, Eliezer faxed a letter to counsel listing twelve Jewish holidays for which he wants visitation with the parties' daughter. A copy of the letter is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "7." Eight of the holidays Eliezer is requesting are outside of those that are commonly recognized. Diane does not agree that those 8 additional holidays should be included in

570 SOUTH 7"1 STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 © (702) 477-0448 Į,

the parties' holiday and vacation schedule.

### LEGAL ARGUMENT

Each department of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Division, has a default holiday and vacation plan that parties can refer to in formulating their own holiday/vacation schedule. The Court's default plan includes a page that utilizes Jewish holidays as an example for sharing religious holidays. The Jewish holidays set forth in the Court's default plan are Passover, Rosh Hashanah, Yom Kippur and Hanukkah. The default plan also allows for 'bar mitzvah arrangements.'

The Clark County School District includes a calendar on its website with a list it calls Important Dates. Included on the CCSD's list are the Jewish holidays of Passover, Rosh Hashanah, Yom Kippur and Hanukkah.

Diane respectfully requests that the parties follow the default holiday and vacation plan utilized by the Court. A copy of the Default Holiday and Vacation Plan is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "8." Diane further requests that the visitation for the Jewish holidays begin at 11:00 a.m. the first day of the holiday and end at 11:00 a.m. the following day.

Diane and Eliezer were married March 16, 2000. Eliezer is Jewish; Diane is Protestant. As the Court can read in Diane's Affidavit, Exhibit "1," prior to their marriage the parties discussed how religion would be addressed if they had a child. They mutually agreed that both parties could instruct their child in their own faith, with neither party's religion being considered dominate over the other.

The parties' marriage ended after thirteen years. The Court should be aware that Eliezer paid only slight attention to the Jewish holidays during the parties' marriage. He did not attend temple on a regular basis. His mother lives in town and would occasionally fix a Passover meal which Diane and Eliezer would attend with their daughter. Once in a great while Eliezer would take a day off from work for a Jewish holiday but that was rare. Most Jewish holidays came and went without any notice in the parties' home. Diane, in fact, who was married to this man for 13 years, never heard of many of the holidays Eliezer included in his letter of February 15.

It is not Diane's desire to deprive Eliezer from teaching their daughter about his faith. That is part of the child's heritage. But for Eliezer, who is not an observant Jew, to demand that he have visitation with their child on twelve Jewish holidays each year is just a grab for extra time with her,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

thus depriving Diane of her rightful time with the child.

There are two holidays generally accepted as "Christian" holidays: Easter and Christmas. Diane would like to celebrate both holidays with the parties' daughter. Pursuant to his letter of February 15th, Eliezer is requesting that he have "all of Passover" with the child. Passover falls during the same time period as Easter. The Easter holiday lasts only one day; Passover lasts nine. The dates for Easter and Passover for the next four years are as follows:

2014:	Passover April 14-22	Easter April 20
2015	Passover April 3-11	Easter April 5
2016	Passover April 22-30	Easter March 27
2017	Passover April 10-18	Easter April 16

Were the Court to grant Eliezer's request that he have visitation for all of Passover, Diane will celebrate only one Easter Sunday with her daughter in that 4 year period. This is not acceptable. This is clearly a grab for extra time and is adverse to the parties' original agreement prior to marriage that both mom and dad could share their faith with their child. Diane asks that Eliezer have Passover with the child beginning at 11:00 a.m. the first day and ending 11:00 a.m. the next day. She requests that she have Easter beginning at 11:00 a.m. Easter Sunday and ending 11:00 a.m. the next day.

Diane would like to have the Christmas Eve/Christmas Day holiday each year beginning on December 24 at 11:00 a.m. and ending on December 26 at 11:00 a.m. If Eliezer feels that Diane having the Christmas holiday is somehow unfair to him, Diane is willing to consider a two day visitation period for any one of the Jewish holidays Eliezer desires unless that holiday would prevent her from celebrating Easter Sunday with her daughter. Such a holiday arrangement would put the parties are on equal footing.

### CONCLUSION

This has become a contentious issue between the parties. In order for them to effectively coparent, this issue must be resolved expeditiously. Diane respectfully moves the Court to grant the relief sought in this Motion. She understands and agrees that Eliezer should have the opportunity to share his Jewish faith with their child. Diane should have an equal opportunity to celebrate the

## LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED 530 SOUTH P. STREET LAN VEGAS, NIVADA 89103 2005 573-2043 (2012) 173-048

Ì

Christian holidays with her daughter. Eliezer's request that he have the child on twelve Jewish holidays every year, including the nine-day Passover period, is unreasonable. Throughout the parties' thirteen year marriage, Eliezer was never an observant Jew. Jewish holidays often came and went without notice in their home. Eliezer only rarely took the day off work to observe a Jewish holiday. For Eliezer to inexplicitly become so devout post-divorce is suspect. Diane believes this to be a grab for extra time and possibly an attempt by Eliezer to make the Jewish faith the dominate faith of their child. Diane cannot agree.

Diane asks the Court to adopt the default holiday and vacation plan routinely accepted by the Court for Monday holidays. She asks that Eliezer be granted holiday visitation on the four significant Jewish holidays of Passover, Rosh Hashanah, Yom Kippur and Hanukkah from 11:00 a.m. the first day of the holiday to 11:00 a.m. the following day. Diane requests that she have the parties' daughter on Easter and the Christmas Eve/Christmas Day holiday. Diane's request is reasonable and is not made in an effort to thwart Eliezer from celebrating Jewish holidays with their child.

Diane further asks that the Court award her attorney's fees and costs for having to file this motion.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2014.

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7th Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

EXHIBIT 1

### DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF

STATE OF NEVADA
COUNTY OF CLARK

Ĭ

ss }

DIANE MIZRACHI, being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. That I am the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, have knowledge of the facts set forth herein and am competent to testify as to those facts if called upon in a Court of law to do so and I make this Declaration in support of my MOTION TO CLARIFY AND/OR AMEND DECREE OF DIVORCE IN RESPECT TO HOLIDAY VISITATION FOR THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS.
- 2. That numerous disputes have arisen between my ex-husband Eliezer and me relative to holiday visitation with our daughter Nova, who will be 8 years old on April 30.
- 3. That I am a Protestant and Eliezer is Jewish. Prior to our marriage in 2000, we agreed that we would both freely share our faith with any child we might have and that neither of our faiths would be considered dominate over the other.
- 4. That during our thirteen year marriage, Eliezer was never an observant Jew. He did not attend temple on a regular basis; he rarely took a day off work to observe a Jewish holiday. His mother would sometimes invite us for a Passover meal which I attended with Eliezer and our daughter.
- 5. That Jewish holidays often came and went without any notice in our home. In Eliezer's letter dated February 15, 2014, he listed twelve Jewish holidays during which he would like to have our daughter for visitation. I have never heard of eight of those holidays and they were never previously noted, observed, or celebrated during our 13 year marriage.
- That I believe it is unreasonable and a grab by Eliezer for more time with our daughter to demand that he have Nova for all of those 12 holidays.
- 7. That set forth in our Decree of Divorce is language allowing Eliezer to have Nova on the Jewish holidays which I believed to be Passover, Rosh Hashanah, Yom Kippur and Hanukkah. I am to have Nova on the Christian holidays which I believed to be Easter and Christmas Eve/Christmas Day.

Ì

б

8. That I agree that Eliezer should be able to celebrate those four significant holidays with
Nova beginning at 11:00 a.m. the first day of the holiday and ending at 11:00 a.m. the following day.
I should likewise be able to celebrate Easter and Christmas with Nova.
9. That Easter and Passover traditionally fall during the same time period. If Eliezer were
allowed to have Nova for the entire nine days of Passover, I will be deprived of spending Easter with

- my daughter.

  10. That in a conversation with Eliezer, he informed me that contrary to our Decree of Divorce, he intends to keep Nova the entire upcoming Passover period beginning April 14 through
- 11. That I respectfully ask the Court to put our disputes to rest and grant the relief sought in my motion. It is not my intention to circumvent Eliezer's efforts to share his faith with Nova. For him to suddenly become so devout following our divorce is suspicious.

April 22, 2014, depriving me of spending Easter Sunday with our daughter on April 20.

- 12. That I have read the Motion and affirm that each and every allegation set forth therein is true to my own knowledge.
  - 13. I respectfully ask the Court to grant my Motion.

I swear under penalty of perjury that the contents of this Declaration are true to the best of my knowledge. I understand that by my signature I verify the material accuracy of the contents. I also understand that any willful misstatements may be contemptuous and could result in my punishment by the Court.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 2014.

EXHIBIT 2

### AFFIDAVIT OF LELAND E. LUTFY, ESO. PURSUANT TO EDCR 5.11(a)

STATE NEVADA	)
	) ss:
COUNTY OF CLARK	)

LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ., being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. That I am an attorney, duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada, counsel for Plaintiff, I have knowledge of the facts set forth herein and am competent to testify as to those facts if called upon in a court of law to do so and I make this affidavit in support of PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CLARIFY AND/OR AMEND DECREE OF DIVORCE IN RESPECT TO HOLIDAY VISITATION FOR THE PARTIES' MINOR CHILD AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS.
- 2. That I certify pursuant to Eighth Judicial District Court Rules, Rule 5.11(a) that I attempted to resolve this matter prior to filing a Motion on Plaintiff's behalf.
- 3. That on November 25, 2013, I sent a letter to Defendant, Eliezer Misrachi, asking that he stipulate to a specific holiday visitation plan for their minor child. See, Exhibit 4.
- 4. That on February 6, 2014, I received a letter from Defendant asking for certain language to be included in the proposed Stipulation and Order. The Stipulation and Order was revised and forwarded to Defendant. See, Exhibit 6.
- 5. That on February 15, 2014, I received another letter from Defendant asking that the Stipulation and Order be revised again to include visitation to him on eight additional Jewish holidays for a total of 12 holidays each year. See, Exhibit 7.

. . .

l

LEVAND E. LUTEY, ESQ.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me

this 15th day of April, 2014.

Adral Julia NOTARY PUBLIC






Exhibit 3

### ÖRIĞINAL

Electronically Filed 06/21/2013 10:53:25 AM

CLERK OF THE COURT

DECD LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ. LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702-477-0443 4 Attorney for Plaintiff DIANE MIZRACHI 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

LELAND E. LUTIY, CHARTERED LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (703) 477-0440 @ (702) 477 0448 530 SOUTH 714 STREET 16 17

19 20

18

C) Other
C) Deprison - Want of Prose
C) (hyderisen (Steulony) De
C) Defeut Judgmen
C) Transferred
Transferred
Transferred CI D'APPOSED ATTAY TIME STATE 21 22 23 C) Judgment Resided by Tris

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,

Plaintiff,

ELIEZER MIZRACHI.

Defendant.

Case No.: Dept. No.: D-13-479664-D

### DECREE OF DIVORCE

This cause having been submitted for Summary Disposition on the 19th day of June, 2013, upon the Complaint of Plaintiff, DIANE P. MIZRACHI, and the Answer of Defendant, ELIEZER MIZRACHI, and the parties having stipulated to the contents of this Decree of Divorce, and the Court having reviewed the sworn affidavit of Plaintiff and Resident Witness, Francis Lacey, and the cause having been submitted for decision and judgment, and the Court being fully advised as to the law and the facts of the case finds:

That all the allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint are true; that the Plaintiff for a period longer then six weeks prior to the date of verification of the Complaint was, and now is, a bona fide and actual resident of the State of Nevada; that the Court has jurisdiction herein and that the Plaintiff is entitled to a divorce upon the grounds set forth in the Complaint.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the bonds of matrimony now and heretofore existing between Plaintiff and Defendant be and the same are, hereby wholly dissolved and an absolute Decree of Divorce is granted to Plaintiff, and each of the parties hereto ń

is restored to the status of a single, unmarried person.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that there is one minor child born the issue of this marriage, Nova Autumn Mizrachi, date of birth April 30, 2006. There are no adopted children of the parties and Plaintiff is not pregnant.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties are fit and proper persons to have joint legal and joint physical custody of their minor child using the follow schedule:

- 1. Mom will have custody of the minor child on Wednesday, Thursday and Friday each week and every other Tuesday.
- 2. Dad will have custody of the minor child on Saturday, Sunday and Monday each week and every other Tuesday.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the custody exchanges shall occur as follows:

- 1. Mom will deliver the child to Dad at his residence on Saturday to be expected no later then 11:00 o'clock a.m.
- 2. During the school year, Dad will deliver the child to school on Wednesday morning. On Mom's alternating Tuesday with the child, Dad will deliver the child to school on Tuesday morning.
- 3. During Summer breaks or any other break in school, Dad will deliver the child to Mom at her residence for her usual custody on Wednesday to be expected no later then 11:00 o'clock a.m. and on Mom's alternating Tuesday to be expected no later then 11:00 o'clock a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Dad will have the minor child for the Jewish holidays every year. Mom will have the minor child on the Christian holidays every year.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that if either party wants to take the minor child outside of the United States, that party will need the written approval of the other party and must provide a written itinerary to include where the minor child will be staying and contact numbers.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that each party will have three weeks of vacation with the minor child each year upon thirty (30) days notice to the other party.

### THELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED 530 South 7th Street

LAS VEDAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 # (703) 477-0448

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Each party must provide a written itinerary to include where the minor child will be staying and contact phone numbers.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the vacation in Florida scheduled in July, 2013 is agreed to by and between the parties.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that based on the shared custody arrangement and the income of the parties, the parties waive the payment of child support pursuant to NRS 125.B.080(9). Should the shared custody arrangement change, child support will be set pursuant to NRS 125B.070.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties are on notice that any party ordered to pay child support is subject to the provisions of NRS 125.450(2) and Chapter 31A inclusive, regarding the withholding of wages and commissions for delinquent payments of support.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to NRS 125A.350, neither party may move from the State of Nevada with the minor child without the prior mutual written consent of the other party or leave of the court. The failure of a parent to comply with this provision may be considered a factor if a change of custody is requested by a non-custodial parent or a parent having joint custody.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to NRS 125.510(7) and (8), the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign county. The minor child's habitual residence is located in the city of Las Vegas, Clark County, State of Nevada, within the United States of America.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant shall provide health insurance for the benefit of the minor child. Plaintiff and Defendant shall equally share the balance of all unreimbursed medical expenses including all medical, dental, orthodontic, vision and related prescription medicine expenses of the minor child, utilizing the 30/30 rule for payment of expenses.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties are aware

### THE LAND E. LAITFY, CHARTERED 530 SOUTH PP STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0448

d Basel

б

of the provisions of NRS 125.510(6) as follows: <u>PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF THE ORDER:</u>
THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS
ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130.
NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a Category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that parties will alternate claiming the income tax reduction for the minor child on a yearly basis. Defendant will claim the minor child in odd numbered years; Plaintiff will claim the minor child in even numbered years.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff is awarded the following as her sole and separate property:

- Marital residence located at 6224 Villa Emo Street, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89031, subject to encumbrance thereon.
- 2. Real property in New Mexico designated as MC047-130-120-111.
- 3. Real property in New Mexico designated as MC072-113-110-509.
- Real property in Arizona designated as APN 201-28-018.
- 5. Real property timeshare located at Tahiti Village in Las Vegas, Nevada. Plaintiff will have use of the timeshare in odd numbered years. Defendant will have use of the timeshare in even numbered years. Each party will pay all the expenses relative to the timeshare during the year in which they have the use of it.
- 6. The 2007 Pontiac G6. Defendant is to transfer ownership of the vehicle to Plaintiff.
- 7. The two dogs and three cats.
- 8. All furniture and furnishings located in the marital residence other then the specific items awarded herein to Defendant.
- Plaintiff's clothing, jewelry and personal property.

# THE LAW OFFICE OF LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED \$30 SOUTH TO STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 - (703) 477-0448

б

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant is awarded the following as his sole and separate property:

- The 2004 Dodge Durango subject to any encumbrance thereon. Plaintiff is to transfer ownership of the vehicle to Defendant.
- 2. Defendant's clothing, jewelry and personal property.
- 3. One sofa, one love seat and two chairs.
- 4. The new 46" television downstairs.
- 5. Gold colored laptop computer.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant will receive \$2,800.00 from an account in Plaintiff's and Nova's names at Nevada State Bank. Plaintiff will receive the balancing remaining in the account.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff's separate property is confirmed as her sole and separate property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant's separate property should be confirmed as his sole and separate property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff's debts should be confirmed as her sole and separate debts and she should indemnify and hold Defendant harmless therefrom.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant's debts should be confirmed as his sole and separate debts and he should indemnify and hold Plaintiff harmless therefrom.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant shall vacate the marital residence no later than June 5, 2013.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that neither party shall pay spousal support to the other.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that each party shall pay their own attorney's fees and costs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that after the divorce, in

### LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

530 SOUTH 7<sup>TJ</sup> STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-643 \* (702) 477-0448 THE LAW OFFICES OF

ì

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

order to protect the parties' minor child Nova, if either party has another adult living at their residence, before doing so, they will make sure that the other person has no convictions for crimes against children and/or no convictions for murder, rape, burglary, or other violent felonies, by running a background check and sharing the results with the other party.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties shall submit the information required in NRS 125B.055, NRS 125.130 and NRS 125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days from the date this Decree is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days should any of that information become inaccurate.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties hereto shall execute all necessary documents to effectuate the terms of this Decree of Divorce. If any party fails to do so, the parties are put on notice that the Clerk of the Court is authorized to execute and deliver said documents for and in behalf of such party.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to NRS 125B.145, the parties are entitled to a review of any order for support every three years to determine whether the order should be modified or adjusted.

Submitted by:

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

Nevalja Bar No. 530 South 7TH Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

N. Las Vegas, Nevada 8903 i Defendant in Proper Person

PPROVED AS TO FORM & CONTENT:

### VERIFICATION


STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK

ELIEZER MIZRACHI, being first duly sworn, deposes and says, that he is the Defendant in the above-entitled action; that he has read the foregoing Decree of Divorce in Case No. D-13-479664-D and agrees with the contents thereof and acknowledges that he has executed the Decree of Divorce freely and voluntarily and for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me

day of June, 2013.

In and for Said County and State



Lelande. Lutey, Chartered

EXHIBIT 4

THE LAW OFFICES OF

# LELAND E. LUTFY CHARTERED

530 SOUTH 7TH STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 FAX: (702) 477-0448 E-MAIL: LUTTYLAW(()AOL.COM

November 25, 2013

Mr. Eliezer Mizrachi 4979 Nancy Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

Dear Mr. Mizrachi:

Your ex-wife Diane has pointed out to me that the Decree of Divorce does not set forth a holiday visitation schedule.

Family Court offers the following as a recommended holiday schedule.

## THREE DAY HOLIDAYS

The holiday will begin on the day observed for the holiday at 9:00 a.m. and conclude at 9:00 a.m. the day following the three day holiday weekend, or the day following the holiday where not attached to a three day weekend.

- A. In even numbered years, Dad will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day; in odd numbered years Mom will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day.
- B. In odd numbered years Dad will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day; in even numbered years Mom will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day.

## INDIVIDUAL HOLIDAYS

The holiday visitation shall begin at 9:00 a.m. on the individual holiday (or after school on school days), and end at 9:00 p.m. the same day.

Mr. Eliezer Mizrachi November 25 2013 Page 2

- A. Mom will have the minor child on Mom's birthday and Mother's Day each year.
- B. Dad will have the minor child on Dad's birthday and Father's Day each year.
- C. Mom will have the minor child on the child's birthday in even numbered years; Dad will have the minor child on the child's birthday in odd numbered years.

#### THANKSGIVING

The holiday visitation shall begin after school on Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving, or at 6 p.m. Wednesday if school is not in session, and ends at 12:00 noon the day before returning to school, or if no school, on the Sunday after Thanksgivin.

- A. In odd numbered years, Dad will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving holiday.
- B. In even numbered years Mom will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving holiday.

Please advise as soon as possible if you will agree to sign a Stipulation and Order to amend the Decree of Divorce to set forth the holiday visitation schedule. If you do not agree and it is necessary to file a motion in Court, be advised I will be seeking attorney's fees for your refusal. If I do not hear from you within seven days of receipt of this letter I will, without further notice to you, file a motion.

This letter is written pursuant to EDCR 5.11(a).

Yours truly,

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

LELAND E. LUTFY, ESO

LEL/cf

cc: Diane Mizrachi

	-
	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	9 10
	12
	13
89101 7-048	14
FVADA (702) *	15
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 8910 (702) 477-0441 * (702) 477-044	16
LAS V	14 15 16
	18
	18 19 20
	20
	21
÷	22
	23
	24
٠,	25
	- 61

26

27

28

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

THE LAW OFFICES OF

Ţ

2

3

4

5

б

7

SAO LELAND E. LUTFY, ESO. LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702-477-0443 Attorney for Plaintiff DIANE MIZRACHI

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI. Plaintiff,

Case No.: Dept. No.: D-13-479664-D

VS.

ELIEZER MIZRACHI,

Defendant.

#### STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY DECREE OF DIVORCE

Plaintiff, DIANE P. MIZRACHI, by and between her attorney, LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ., of the law offices of LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED, and Defendant, ELIEZER MIZRACHI, hereby STIPULATE that the Decree of Divorce be modified to include the following language relative to holiday visitation with their minor child:

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that Three Day Holidays will begin on the day observed for the holiday at 9:00 a.m. and conclude at 9:00 a.m. the day following the three day holiday weekend, or the day following the holiday when it is not attached to a three day weekend.

- In even numbered years, Dad will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, A. Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day; in odd numbered years Mom will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day.
- В. In odd numbered years Dad will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day; in even numbered years Mom will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that individual holiday visitation shall begin at 9:00 a.m.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0643 \* (702) 477-048 Ì

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

on the individual holiday (or after school on school days), and end at 9:00 p.m. the same day.

- A. Mom will have the minor child on Mom's birthday and Mother's Day each year.
- B. Dad will have the minor child on Dad's birthday and Father's Day each year.
- C. Because the minor child and her father share the same birth date, Dad will have the minor child on the child's birthday each year and Mom will celebrate with the child on a different day.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall begin after school on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving, or at 6 p.m. Wednesday if school is not in session, and end at 12:00 noon the day before returning to school, or if no school, on the Sunday after Thanksgiving.

- A. In odd numbered years, Dad will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving holiday.
- B. In even numbered years Mom will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving holiday.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the parties are on notice that any party ordered to pay child support is subject to the provisions of NRS 125.450(2) and Chapter 31A inclusive, regarding the withholding of wages and commissions for delinquent payments of support.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that pursuant to NRS 125A.350, neither party may move from the State of Nevada with the minor child without the prior mutual written consent of the other party or leave of the court. The failure of a parent to comply with this provision may be considered a factor if a change of custody is requested by a non-custodial parent or a parent having joint custody.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that pursuant to NRS 125.510(7) and (8), the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign county. The minor child's habitual residence is located in the city of Las Vegas, Clark County, State of Nevada, within the United States of America.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the parties are aware of the provisions of NRS 125.510(6) as follows: <u>PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF THE ORDER</u>: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 \* (702) 477-044 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a Category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that pursuant to NRS 125B.145, the parties are entitled to a review of any order for support every three years to determine whether the order should be modified or adjusted.

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

By:
LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 1678
530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

By:
ELIEZER MIZRACHI
4979 Nancy Avenue
N. Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
Defendant in Proper Person

#### VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA
COUNTY OF CLARK

ELIEZER MIZRACHI, being first duly sworn, deposes and says, that he is the Defendant in the above-entitled action; that he has read the foregoing STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY DECREE OF DIVORCE in Case No. D-13-479664-D and agrees with the contents thereof and acknowledges that he has executed the Stipulation and Order freely and voluntarily and for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

ELIEZER MIZRACHI

SUBSCRIBED	and	SWORN to before me	
this	day	of, 20	14.

NOTARY PUBLIC
In and for Said County and State

# THE LAW OFFICES OF LELAND E, LUTFY, CHARTERED 530 SOUTH 7TH STREET

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-4943 © (702) 473-0448 Ī

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

A STATE

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### ORDER

UPON STIPULATION of the parties and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Three Day Holidays will begin on the day observed for the holiday at 9:00 a.m. and conclude at 9:00 a.m. the day following the three day holiday weekend, or the day following the holiday when it is not attached to a three day weekend.

- B. In even numbered years, Dad will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day; in odd numbered years Mom will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day.
- B. In odd numbered years Dad will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day; in even numbered years Mom will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that individual holiday visitation shall begin at 9:00 a.m. on the individual holiday (or after school on school days), and end at 9:00 p.m. the same day.

- A. Mom will have the minor child on Mom's birthday and Mother's Day each year.
- B. Dad will have the minor child on Dad's birthday and Father's Day each year.
- C. Because the minor child and her father share the same birth date, Dad will have the minor child on the child's birthday each year and Mom will celebrate with the child on a different day.

IT IS FURTHER ORDER that the Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall begin after school on Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving, or at 6 p.m. Wednesday if school is not in session, and end at 12:00 noon the day before returning to school, or if no school, on the Sunday after Thanksgiving.

- A. In **odd** numbered years, Dad will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving holiday.
- B. In even numbered years Mom will have the minor child for the Thanksgiving holiday.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are on notice that any party ordered to pay child support is subject to the provisions of NRS 125.450(2) and Chapter 31A inclusive, regarding the withholding of wages and commissions for delinquent payments of support.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125A.350, neither party may move from the State of Nevada with the minor child without the prior mutual written consent of the other party or leave of the court. The failure of a parent to comply with this provision may be considered

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 © (702) 477-0448

Ĭ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

H

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a factor if a change of custody is requested by a non-custodial parent or a parent having joint custody.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125.510(7) and (8), the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign county. The minor child's habitual residence is located in the city of Las Vegas, Clark County, State of Nevada, within the United States of America.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are aware of the provisions of NRS 125.510(6) as follows: PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF THE ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a Category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to NRS 125B.145, the parties are entitled to a review of any order for support every three years to determine whether the order should be modified or adjusted.

DATED this day of	., 20		4	٠.
-------------------	-------	--	---	----

SUBMITTED BY:

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

By: Nevada Bar No. 1678 530 South 71H Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

By: ELIEZER MIZRACHI 4979 Nancy Avenue N. Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 Defendant in Proper Person

Exhibit 6

	8 9
	,
	é
	9
	10
	11
3	12
530 SOUTH 77% STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 477-0443 • (702) 477-0448	7.79
530 SOUTH 77% STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 80101 (702) 477-0443 • (702) 477-0448	13 14 15 16 17
The STR EVADA	15
SOUTH EGAS, N	16
530 LAS VI (702) 4	17
	18
	17 18 19 20
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
•	26
	27

28

LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED

THE LAW OFFICES OF

Ĭ

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

SAO
LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ.
LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED
Nevada Bar No. 1678
530 South 7TH Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
702-477-0443
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Plaintiff DIANE MIZRACHI

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,	)	
Plaintiff,	) Case No.: ) Dept. No.:	D-13-479664-D C
VS.	) }	
ELIEZER MIZRACHI,	) )	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

# STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY DECREE OF DIVORCE

Plaintiff, DIANE P. MIZRACHI, by and between her attorney, LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ., of the law offices of LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED, and Defendant, ELIEZER MIZRACHI, hereby STIPULATE that the Decree of Divorce be modified to include the following language relative to holiday visitation with their minor child. This Stipulation and Order does not modify any other of the terms set forth in the Decree of Divorce.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that Three Day Holidays will begin on the day observed for the holiday at 11:00 a.m. and conclude at 11:00 a.m. the day following the three day holiday weekend, or the day following the holiday when it is not attached to a three day weekend.

- In even numbered years, Dad will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, A., Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day; in odd numbered years Mom will have the minor child on Martin Luther King Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day and Nevada Admissions Day.
- В. In odd numbered years Dad will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day; in even numbered years Mom will have the minor child on President's Day, 4th of July, and Veteran's Day.

Exhibit 7

RECEIVED FEB 1 3 2014

FROM: EIEZER MIZRACHI TO: LELAND E. LUTFY RE: DIANE MIZRACHI

Dear Mr. Lutfy:

I am willing to agree to the additional holidays provided in your propped stipulation but, as I wrote in my last letter, I am not agreeing to modify the holidays as previously agreed upon in the Decree of Divorce. Diane knows that the Jewish holidays are very important to me and that is the reason we entered the Decree as we did. In my last letter, I asked you to ensure the holidays in the Decree are not affected. While your revised stipulation adds a provision to that effect, it also has a new provision that specifically CHANGES the holidays in the Decree. I am referring to lines 14-17 on page 2, and lines 7-10 on page 5. The revised stipulation also attempts to limit the Jewish holidays to only those that are "significant" and/or "court recognized." I don't know what that means and this is also not something we agreed in the Decree.

I do recognize the need to clarify the holidays and dates to avoid confusion and/or conflict. I am happy to review the holidays and dates. In the interest of cooperating with Diane, I am also willing to take less days on some holidays. Here is the list of holidays:

Rosh Hashanah Yom Kippur Sukkot Shemini Atzeret Simchat Torah Chanukkah Tu B'Shevat Purim Pesach (Passover) Lag B'Omer Shavu'ot Tisha B'Av

Again, I will love to try to work with Diane as much as I can but this is very important to me and is the reason I agreed to the terms of the Decree of Divorce. I am willing to work with Diane on this. I do not have to have all of the holidays if they conflict with Diane. But there are some holidays that I would not like to change. For example, I would like to keep all of Yom Kippur, Chanuka and all of Passover, and have at least the first night of Rosh Hashanah, Sukkot, Simchat Torah, and Purim.

Thank you for your time,

Eli

Exhibit 8

# DEPARTMENT D - DEFAULT HOLIDAY AND VACATION PLAN

THE COURT ENCOURAGES THE PARENTS TO COMMUNICATE REGARDING SHARING TIME WITH THEIR CHILDREN FOR HOLIDAY AND VACATION. The following HOLIDAY AND VACATION PLAN is a "default" schedule where parents are unable to otherwise agree. Therefore, the parents may agree in a signed writing to deviate from this schedule and this "default" plan shall apply where they cannot agree, HOLIDAYS take precedence over RESIDENTIAL TIME and no party shall give notice to take VACATION TIME during the other party's HOLIDAY TIME.

# ODD YEAR EVEN YEAR

# THREE DAY HOLIDAYS

The holiday will begin on the day observed for the holiday at 9am and conclude at 9am the day following the three day holiday weekend, or the day following the holiday where not attached to a three day weekend.

MARTIN LUTHER KING DAY	MOM	DAD
PRESIDENT'S DAY	DAD	MOM
MEMORIAL DAY	MOM	DAD
INDEPENDENCE DAY	DAD	MOM
LABOR DAY	MOM	DAD
COLUMBUS DAY	DAD	MOM
NEVADA ADMISSION DAY (HALLOWEEN)	MOM	DAD

#### <u>INDIVIDUAL DAYS</u>

The holiday visitation shall begin at 9am on the individual holiday (or after school on school days) and end at 9 pm the same day. The year indicated is the calendar year and not the age of a child or parent.

	ODD YEAR	<u>EVEN YEAR</u>
MOTHER'S DAY	MOM	MOM
FATHER'S DAY	DAD	DAD
MOTHER'S BIRTHDAY	MOM	MOM
FATHER'S BIRTHDAY	DAD	DAD
CHILDREN'S BIRTHDAY	DAD	MOM

# EASTER/SPRING BREAK

W.A	ST	TR.R.	15.55	RIN	1	BRE	Α	14
وعضض		200	. عداست	EWALL S	8. 1	LE S. S. S. S.	~~	LHYN

MOM

DAD

The holiday visitation shall begin at 9am following the last day of school and concludes at 12:00 noon the day before returning to school. If a child must travel outside of the country for the holiday, they should be home no later than 7pm the evening before school resumes. If the child is not in school, the parents shall refer to the Clark County School District Calendar for the school zone where the primary custodian resides regarding exact dates for travel.

ODD YEAR

EVEN YEAR

MOM

DAD

#### THANKSGIVING

The holiday visitation shall begin after school on Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving, (or at 6:00 pm Wednesday if school is not in session) and ends at 12:00 pm the day before returning to school, or if no school, on the Sunday after Thanksgiving. If a child must travel outside of the county for the holiday, they should be home no later than 7:00 pm the evening before school resumes.

# CHRISTMAS HOLIDAY & WINTER BREAK

The parties are expected to equally divide all days available for the winter break attaching MOM'S timeshare to her Christmas Holiday segment and DAD'S timeshare to his Christmas Holiday segment to the extent possible, except the Christmas holiday, which shall be divided into two segments. The first segment shall begin at 9 AM on Christmas Eve and conclude Christmas Day at 9 PM. If a child must travel outside of the county for the holiday, they shall be home no later than 7 PM the evening before school resumes. If the child is not in school, the parents shall refer to the Clark County School District Calendar for the school zone where the primary custodian resides regarding exact dates for travel.

CHRISTMAS SEGMENT 1 CHRISTMAS SEGMENT 2

DAD MOM

MOM DAD

# SUMMER/TRACK BREAK VACATIONS

ODD YEAR

EVEN YEAR

**VACATION SELECTION PRIORITY** 

MOM

DAD

Each parent shall be entitled to a minimum of one (1) vacation each year, not to exceed a consecutive two (2) week period, unless there is a mutual written agreement otherwise.

During the year a parent has the right to designate their vacation time first, failing to do so by certified mail by May 1<sup>st</sup> in that year will permit the other parent to make plans via certified mail to the other parent as of May 2<sup>nd</sup>. The earlier certified mail stamp will prevail as to the parent who made the earlier plans where there is a conflict regarding first in time. HOLIDAYS take precedence over RESIDENTIAL TIME, and no party shall give notice to take VACATION TIME during the other party's HOLIDAY TIME.

## RELIGIOUS HOLIDAYS

Where the parents do not share the same religious beliefs, each parent shall have the right to provide religious instruction to the child unless there is a child welfare or endangerment issue that where the parents cannot resolve, may be presented to the Court. Additionally, where both parents are of the same faith (e.g. Jewish, Catholic, etc.), both parents shall have the opportunity to enjoy the right to celebrate that holiday with the child. However, where the parent with the right to celebrate that holiday does not intend to observe the formal ceremonies, that parent shall make the child available to the other parent for attendance at temple, mass religious instruction, etc. Where one or both parents practice another religion, they are to alternate those holidays as provided in the following example for Jewish Holidays: Following, is a non-inclusive list of other religions where parents shall alternate holidays: Buddhist, Hindu, Greek Orthodox, Eastern and Russian Orthodox, Islamic, World Wide Church of God, Protestant, Lutheran, Baha'i, Church of Latter Day Saints, Sikh, Roman Catholic, Armenian Holidays, Eid of Adha, Chinese, Korean and Vietnamese New Year, etc.

JEWISH HOLIDAY EXAMPLE:	<u>ODD</u>	EVEN
PASSOVER	DAD	MOM
ROSH HASHANAH	MOM	DAD
YOM KIPPUR	DAD	MOM
HANUKKAH	MOM	DAD
BAR MITZVAH ARRANGEMENTS	DAD	MOM

NOTE: WHERE THERE IS AN OVERLAP OF CONFLICTING RELIGIOUS HOLIDAYS, THE FOLLOWING PRIORITY SHALL PREVAIL:

OVERLAP PRECEDENT MOM DAD

#### MOFI

LELAND E. LUTFY, ESQ.
LELAND E. LUTFY, CHARTERED
Nevada Bar No. 1678
530 South 7<sup>TH</sup> Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

# DISTRICT COURT

# CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DIANE P. MIZRACHI,	)	
Plaintiff, vs.  ELIEZER MIZRACHI,  Defendar	DEPT NO.: E  FAMILY COURT MOTION/OPPOSITION  FEE INFORMATION SHEET  (NRS 10.0312)	
Party Filing Motion/Opposition	on Plaintiff/Petitioner Defendant/Respondent	
MOTION FOR/OPPOSITION	N TO CLARIFY OR AMEND HOLIDAY VISITATION	
<u>Notice</u>	Excluded Motions/Oppositions	
Motions and Oppositions to Motions filed after entry of final Decree or Judgment (pursuant to NRS 125, 125B & 125 C) are subject to the Re-open Filing Fee of \$25.00, unless specifically excluded. (See NRS 19.0312)	Motions filed before final Decree/Custody Decree entered (Divorce/Custody Decree NOT final)  Child Support Modification ONLY  Motion/Opposition For Reconsideration (within 10 days of Decree) Date of Last Order  Request for New Trial (within 10 days of Decree) Date of Last Order  Other Excluded Motion (Must be prepared to defend exclusion to Judge)  NOTE: If no boxes are checked, filing fee MUST be paid.	
■ Motion/Opp IS subject to \$2	25.00 filing fee	
DATE: <u>April 15, 2014</u>		
<u>Leland E. Lutfy, Esq.</u> Printed Name of Preparer	Signature of Preparer	