IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TIMOTHY TOM, AN INDIVIDUAL, Appellant,

No. 65419

VS.

INNOVATIVE HOME SYSTEMS, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,

Respondent.

TIMOTHY TOM, AN INDIVIDUAL, Appellant.

VS.

INNOVATIVE HOME SYSTEMS, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,

Respondent.

No. 66006

FILED

NOV 1 8 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

The parties have filed a second stipulation extending the time for filing the opening brief and appendix in these consolidated appeals. We elect to treat the stipulation as a joint motion for an extension of time, and we grant the motion. See NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from the due date established by the rule). Appellant shall have until December 15, 2014, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(1).

No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extreme and unforeseeable circumstances. Counsel's

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

caseload will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. Varnum v. Grady, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974).

It is so ORDERED.

C.J.

cc: Pezzillo Lloyd Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas