INDEX GABRIEL IBARRA Case No. 69617

PAGE NO Criminal Complaint filed 08/03/2015......001 Motion for Own Recognizance Release, or, in the Alternative, for Setting of Reasonable Bail Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release, or, in the Alternative for State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release, or, in the Alternative, Verdict filed 10/20/2015......119

i

TRANSCRIPTS

2	Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day One Date of Hrg: 10/19/2015
4 5	Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial—Day Two Date of Hrg: 10/20/2015
6	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing,
7	Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 08/20/2015 150-152
8	Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 10/13/2015
10	Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release, or the Alternative, for Setting of
11	Reasonable Bail Date of Hrg: 11/10/2015445-447
12 13 14	Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 10/06/2015
15 16	Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 10/08/2015
17	Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Request: Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 10/15/2015
18 19	Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing
20	Date of Hrg: 12/10/2015
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
77	

JUROR NUMBER NO. 137: I think the same thing, I don't like to -- I don't -- I don't know how it will be, but I think after all the facts I'll be fine.

MS. SUDANO: So if you're selected as a juror in this case, you'd have to go back and deliberate with 11 other people and their strangers. You've never met them other than sitting here this morning; is that going to be an issue for you?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 137: No.

MS. SUDANO: So no issues going back there and deliberating?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 137: No.

MS. SUDANO: Would you be the type of person that could participate in the process and -- and share your opinion with everybody else?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 137: I believe so. But just like now I think it might take me a little bit longer than others.

MS. SUDANO: But you think you can get there?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 137: Yes.

MS. SUDANO: Okay. So, I just asked the question of whether or not you all would be comfortable with somebody with your state of mind [indecipherable] the State of Nevada. So let's flip it around. So would you all be comfortable -- I'm going to start with you, Ms. Carter -- would you be comfortable if you were the Defendant -- if you were in the Defendant's position with somebody like yourself with your state of mind serving on a jury?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 137: I believe so.

MS. SUDANO: And why is that?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 137: Just for the same reason that it's hard for me to make a decision, so I think having that kind of always pecking at something, but not really sure. Maybe it would help someone else give more information.

MS. SUDANO: Now is there anybody else who feels differently that if they were sitting in the Defendant's position, they would not want somebody with their state of mind on the jury? I'm seeing no hands on that question.

Now, I just asked Ms. Carter the question of whether or not you all would be comfortable and able to deliberate with a group of strangers to all come to a verdict; is there anybody who feels that they couldn't participate in that process or couldn't work together with the other members of the jury to come to a verdict? I'm seeing no hands on that question.

Have any of you ever been a witness or participated in a trial in any way other than the folks who have been jurors previously? Mr. Baumer, number 125?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 125: One, two, five, yes. Expert witness in a contract dispute.

MS. SUDANO: Just one time?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 125: Just one time.

MS. SUDANO: Okay. And that sounds like it would have been a civil case, correct?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 125: Correct.

1	as well, the Dateline and the 48 hours?
2	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Uh-huh. At times, yes.
3	MS. SUDANO: But mainly Law and Order?
4	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Uh-huh.
5	MS. SUDANO: So you understand that shows like Law and Order
6	and CSI, those are all fictional shows?
7	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Yup, I do.
8	MS. SUDANO: Do you understand that some of the things that
9	happen in those cases are very different than how things actually
10	happen in the real world?
11	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Yes.
12	MS. SUDANO: And do you watch some of the CSI type shows as
13	well?
14	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Occasionally.
15	MS. SUDANO: And so in those shows they've got all sorts of
16	cool ways of
17	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Right.
18	MS. SUDANO: finding the
19	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Right.
20	MS. SUDANO: the bad guy or
21	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Right.
22	MS. SUDANO: tracking somebody down?
23	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Right.
24	MS. SUDANO: And they rely a lot on forensic evidence. Do you
25	understand that again that sort of forensic evidence sometimes
l	

ı	isn't available in the real world?
2	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Yes, I do.
3	MS. SUDANO: And even if that technology does exist in the
4	real world, sometimes it's not used by agency such as Metro?
5	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Yes.
6	MS. SUDANO: So is there anything about those shows, Law and
7	Order, CSI, that's going to affect your ability to be a fair and
8	impartial juror in this case?
9	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: No.
10	MS. SUDANO: Now are you going to require the State to have
11	forensic evidence or to go through all the the CSI type things
12	to prove our case to you beyond a reasonable doubt?
13	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: No. Because I know sometimes that's
14	not available or even appropriate.
15	MS. SUDANO: Okay. And so would you be able to follow the law
16	if the law told you that witness testimony by itself is sufficient?
17 -	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: Yes.
18	MS. SUDANO: So you wouldn't require any of that other?
19	JUROR NUMBER NO. 124: No. No.cf
20	MS. SUDANO: Now, any of the other folks that watch CSI pass
21	it down. Mr. O'Neill, did you say you watch some CSI shows?
22	JUROR NUMBER NO. 120: I've watched it before, yes.
23	MS. SUDANO: Now, do you have any issues with that any
24	different
25	JUROR NUMBER NO. 120: No. Not at all.

1	may testify here?
2	JUROR NUMBER NO. 145: Sure. I'll try.
3	MS. SUDANO: Anything about that experience that would affect
4	your ability to be fair and impartial?
5	JUROR NUMBER NO. 145: No.
6	MS. SUDANO: Ms. Bruce, I have one more question for you;
7	that's badge number 128.
8	JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: Yes.
9	MS. SUDANO: Now you work or worked for Adam Laxalt, right?
10	JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: Yes.
11	MS. SUDANO: Who's the Attorney General?
12	JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: Correct.
13	MS. SUDANO: So the Attorney General is one prosecuting
14	agency. The District Attorney's Office is a separate prosecuting
15	agency, right?
16	JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: Right.
17	MS. SUDANO: So, your work for the Attorney General, was that
18	is that more political in the campaign side of things or are you
19	actually involved in the day to day handling of cases?
20	JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: Just the political side of things on
21	the campaign.
22	MS. SUDANO: So, day to day no involvement with what the
23	Attorney General's Office is doing?
24	JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: Just in respect of learning about it
25	and being able to promote it through his social media on the

1 campaign side. MS. SUDANO: Now, anything about that experience that's going 2 3 to affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: I don't think so. 4 So, for instance, say that the State can't prove MS. SUDANO: 5 this case to you beyond a reasonable doubt; does the -- and you 6 7 have to come back with a verdict of not guilty --JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: Uh-huh. 8 MS. SUDANO: -- you'll be able to do that, right? 9 JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: Yes. 10 MS. SUDANO: Now, anything about having to come back with a 11 not quilty verdict it would be particularly difficult for you 12 because you do work for a prosecuting agency? 13 JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: No. 14 MS. SUDANO: Okay. So you'd have no issue going back and 15 saying, hey, I found him not guilty? 16 17 JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: Correct. MS. SUDANO: Okay. And then similarly, you're not going to 18 hold the State to a higher burden or anything just because you're 19 familiar with the Attorney General and maybe some of their 20 21 policies? JUROR NUMBER NO. 128: No. 22 MS. SUDANO: All right. Can you pass that over to Ms. Marino, 23 badge number 129? Ms. Marino, you taught for a number of years. 24 25 JUROR NUMBER NO. 129: Yes, 25.

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

MS. SUDANO: -- is that fair?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 129: Right. Parents mostly through abuse or neglect or homelessness or drug addiction, whatever the problems with the families.

MS. SUDANO: And so would you said that you kind have a familiarity with the juvenile justice system through that?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 129: I guess a sure tail experience. were never privy to any specific information.

MS. SUDANO: That was the Court cases or whatever was happening in the system was kind of apart from what you were doing? JUROR NUMBER NO. 129: Right. We weren't allowed to ask and

nobody told us.

So anything about that experience and what you MS. SUDANO: may have learned about the justice system through that that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 129: I don't think so.

MS. SUDANO: I think that's it. So, open this one up to you again, Ms. Marino, just 'cause you were my lucky person with the Judge Bixler already asked you all I believe if you would be able to follow the law. Now do you understand that even if you don't disagree with the law we're not really here to change the law today, so you just have to follow the law as it's given to you/

JUROR NUMBER NO. 129: Yes.

MS. SUDANO: Do you have any issues with that? JUROR NUMBER NO. 129:

1	MS. SUDANO: Anybody else in the panel that would have any
2	issues just following the laws that's given and separating that
3	from the ability to change the laws as it's written? Seeing no
4	hands on that.
5	Ms. Marino, again, do you promise if you're selected as
6	juror to do the absolute best that you can with this case?
7	JUROR NUMBER NO. 129: Yes.
8	MS. SUDANO: You can give it the weight and seriousness that
9	it's entitled to?
10	JUROR NUMBER NO. 129: Yes.
11	MS. SUDANO: Now is there anybody else who can't make that
12	same promise, you can't promise that they'll do the best that they
13	can with this case? Seeing no hands.
14	And, Your Honor, with that I would pass for cause.
15	THE COURT: Very good. Counsel.
16	MS. SPELLS: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. And actually
17	good afternoon. My name is Jasmin Spells and I if you don't
18	mind I'm going to go by first names 'cause that's what we've been
19	going by most of the morning.
20	Now, how many of you guys do not have a cellphone?
21	Seeing one hand. I expected to see none.
22	Mr. David, badge number 147.
23	JUROR NUMBER NO. 147: Yes, I am.
24	MS. SPELLS: And you don't have a cellphone?
25	JUROR NUMBER NO. 147: I do not.

MS. SPELLS: Do you only use a house phone?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 147: I do.

MS. SPELLS: And what do you do in case of emergency?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 147: Well, my wife has a cellphone.

MS. SPELLS: Okay. And everyone else, do the rest of you guys have cellphones? Out of the individuals who do have cellphones, how many of you guys purchased your cellphone as part of a contract? Majority of you guys.

I want to switch gears just a little bit. How many of you guys sitting here this morning -- guys and women I should say - - think it would be better for -- no, let me take that back -- how many of you guys believe that as defense attorneys we should put on witnesses? Seeing no hands.

How many of you all believe that a person who is charged in a crime be called that individual a Defendant, Mr. Ibarra, that he should testify at his own trial? Ms. Mary?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: Mary Peterson. Mary Peterson.

MS. SPELLS: And badge number 138. And you believe that a Defendant named in a crime should testify at their own trial?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: Yes.

MS. SPELLS: And can you tell me why?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: Well, it just seems fair. I'd want to hear all -- both sides, one on one personally.

MS. SPELLS: And can you think of a reason why an individual would decide not to testify at his or her own trial?

would be worse to let the guilty man go free or to convict an innocent person? Okay. I'm seeing no hands, so that would be a

Now Judge Bixler has gone over it just a little bit and I'm sure he's going to go over it in more detail later. But he will let you know that the State is the only party that has the burden in this case and the defense doesn't have any burden. They don't have to put on any witnesses or do anything. And earlier he made the comment that the defense could sit and play solitaire.

Does anyone have a problem with that? Not seeing any hands.

Now I'm going to talk a little bit just about how you deal with disagreements and conflicts, so I wanted to speak with Ms. Christina. And are you badge number 126?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 126: Yes, I am.

MS. SPELLS: And you indicated that you were a preschool teacher?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 126: Yes.

MS. SPELLS: Now, is it safe to say that in your job environment some of the preschoolers have some conflict?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 126 Oh yes. All the time.

MS. SPELLS: What are some of the skills and things that you do to resolve those conflicts?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 126: Well, I sit with them one on one to talk with them. And normally I'll bring the other one after I've talked with the whose caused the conflict. And, you know,

1	See if there's anybody who witnessed exactly what happened.
2	Schools have very strict procedures. I know we go through it. It
3	begins with that. Make a written statement from each child. Then
4	gather any witnesses and if if need be turn it over to a higher
5	power.
6	MS. SPELLS: Thank you. Now to the panel, can anyone think o
7	some things that you would use while listening to witnesses to kin
8	of determine whether an individual is telling the truth? Ms. Mary
9	badge number 138.
10	JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: Yes.
11	MS. SPELLS: Go ahead please.
12	JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: Body language.
13	MS. SPELLS: That is a great one.
14	JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: I really pay attention to that.
15	MS. SPELLS: Okay. So you're going to be looking at the body
16	language
17	JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: It's not the only, you know, but it's
18	- it's something I pay attention too with a lot of people.
19	MS. SPELLS: And is there a certain body language that you
20	think signifies that someone is more honest?
21	JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: The eyes.
22	MS. SPELLS: Okay.
23	JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: If they're looking down or they're
24	they're avoiding you or whoever is speaking to them. Not feeling
25	strong and their stature.

MS. SPELLS: Okay.

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: So --

MS. SPELLS: And, Ms. Mary, you're also retired educator, right?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: Yes.

MS. SPELLS: And what age group did you teach?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: Well, it was a special ed class and I was teacher assistant. Each year was different, but it was one through sixth. I mean, off and on. A few here, a few there.

Depending in the year.

MS. SPELLS: Would you agree with me that culturally sometimes looking down could be a sign of respect?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: Yes. I learned that there.

MS. SPELLS: Okay.

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: Yes.

MS. SPELLS: And so someone who is looking down possibly may still be telling the truth and is just showing more respect than trying to be dishonest; would you care to say?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: Yes, it is. Because we did have
Hispanic children and I was not accustomed to the different
cultures and it was brought to my attention. And I found that very
interesting and then it opened my eyes, so to speak.

MS. SPELLS: So, during the course of this when you are evaluating body language, you can take into account that maybe some culture's body language mean something different and is not always

1	a sign of dishonesty?
2	JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: That's true. Good reminder. Uh-huh.
3	MS. SPELLS: And anything about that experience that is going
4	to lead you to not be fair and impartial to everything else?
5	JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: No.
6	MS. SPELLS: Thank you. Now I wanted to direct a question to
7	Mr. Richard; are you badge 127? You indicated that you do
8	surveillance?
9	JUROR NUMBER NO. 127: Yes.
10	MS. SPELLS: Is that in a casino or retail shop?
11	JUROR NUMBER NO. 127: Casino.
12	MS. SPELLS: And during the course of your job duties, do you
13	deal a lot with police officers?
14	JUROR NUMBER NO. 127: Yes.
15	MS. SPELLS: Do you ever have to write any statements?
16	JUROR NUMBER NO. 127: Yes.
17	MS. SPELLS: And in those statements are you ever using like
18	any legal words as far as making a determination to what crime
19	easily was committed.
20	JUROR NUMBER NO. 127: No. We just put our point of view of
21	what we saw witnessed.
22	MS. SPELLS: Okay. And have you ever had a bad experience on
23	your job dealing with the officers?
24	JUROR NUMBER NO. 127: No.
25	MS. SPELLS: Fair to say they've all be positive experiences?

boss who is the mom of the little girl she watches and has been for five years, she asked my daughter if she wanted to be trained in her office.

MS. SPELLS: Sure.

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: So that's all I know.

MS. SPELLS: Do you know if the office does civil or criminal law or both?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: I think it's both.

MS. SPELLS: Oh okay.

JUROR NUMBER NO. 138: And no specific cases are ever discussed with me, but just in general I think that's the case.

MS. SPELLS: Now at the conclusion of this trial, if you are chosen to be a juror, you will have to deliberate with other jurors. Each of you will do that. Is anyone going to have difficulty making known their opinion if they are in the minority? Seeing no hands.

And does everyone feel comfortable with expressing their thoughts and ideas about any of the evidence that you have heard during the course of the trial? Okay. And I'm seeing no hands for that.

Brief indulgence, Your Honor.

Just one last question. Have you ever been in a situation where you felt as if someone made a huge mountain or made something extremely bigger than what it was? Very like minor situation either at work or at school and it just got blown way out

And Ms. Deborah in seat 13; are you badge 133?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 133: Yes.

MS. SPELLS: I just wanted to ask you, what does your husband do?

JUROR NUMBER NO. 133: He's president of REIT, a real estate investment trust.

MS. SPELLS: Okay. Your Honor, I'll pass for cause.

THE COURT: Very good. Okay. Now we are going to go to the next phase of the jury selection process and this is where both sides get to pick out of this 23 people group the 13 folks that are going to actually to sit on the jury. So they're going to start it. They're going to pass their little list back and forth.

I'm going to start the process after -- I'm going to pretend like this whole group is the jury 'cause I got some additional information that I need to give to you by way of instructions of what you can and what you can't do. And I'm just going to go ahead and start, so we don't waste any time. As soon as they get done, I'll -- oh yeah, when I -- when I read the names of the 13 jurors, if you don't hear your name that means you're not among the 13 folks and you'll be excused.

It's at that point that we sometimes get a lot of sobbing, crying when they're not -- when they're not selected. So I just want you to kind of hold that part down to a minimum.

So you're going to -- here's the information for the jurors. Okay. First of all, from here on, you're going to get

The purpose of that is is it's real important you have that badge on when you're anywhere close to the building because it hopefully is -- it identifies you and keeps people from talking to you. Because this bit about talking to people is real important. Steer clear of -- now you guys -- are you guys working?

MS. SPELLS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh okay. All right. It just looked that we're doing anything.

This part about having your badge on is important because we want to separate you away from everybody else especially the folks here, the attorneys, the witnesses. So, to further facilitate that, you will no longer be coming in and out of this front door. From here on, the juries go out the back door and the deliberation room is right down the hall.

JR's going to show you exactly where you go and you won't be -- you won't park where you parked today. There's a special place for jurors to park, okay. And he'll go through all that with you.

Not only not talking about the case, it's real important you don't do any kind of an investigation on your own because if -- you can get on the internet these days and look all kinds of stuff

Here's how the case is going to proceed. And I'll tell you I'm going to give you an overview of how it works. Counsel will make opening statements. First the State's opening statement, then the Defendant's opening statement. And in conjunction with opening statements, closing arguments and questions to the witnesses, I need to remind you that the evidence in this case comes from the folks that are sitting there in the witness chair.

Everything that the counsel says whether it's in opening statements, closing arguments or by way of questions to the jury have no evidentiary value whatsoever. Questions to a juror only have — only have meaning as it relates to the answer to that question. So don't — don't let opening statements or closing arguments or questions by counsel interfere with your thinking process; that's evidence, that's not. All right.

There's -- there's two kinds of evidence, direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. And it is completely and entirely up to the purview of the jury to give evidence whatever way you deem it is worth, okay. Direct evidence, so you understand what I'm talking about, the classic example that's always used, direct

Circumstantial and direct evidence is entirely how much weight you want to give it is a completely and entirely up to the jury.

I told you this before. Before the jury -- after we have opening statements, the State's going to put on their evidence. They're going to call their witnesses. They do direct examination. Then they'll be cross examination by the defense counsel. Then they'll both have a chance to do redirect or recross and then the witness is excused. Okay.

State will go through all their witnesses. Then the defense will decide what they want to do. As they said, they don't have any burden to prove. They don't have to put on evidence. They may or they may not call witnesses. The Defendant may or may not testify.

If the Defendant chooses not to testify which he has every single right to choose and elected to do that, it is -there's a specific instruction. We're going to give you preview.
You are instructed that you cannot even discuss in the course of

deliberations the fact that the Defendant may have elected and chosen not to be on a witness stand. You can't even put -- can't even bring it up, so don't do that. If that's what the Defendant's election is, that's his election and that is absolute constitutional right.

You are encouraged, and you'll have a notebook here, you're encouraged to take notes during the course of the evidence that's being presented, but not to the point that you exclude the witness. Pay attention to the witness when the witness is testifying. There's plenty of lulls in the testimony and the -- and the trial where you'll be able to make notations of things that you thought you were important. But don't do that so that you miss somebody's testimony or something the same because it is real important to pay attention to the witnesses while they're testifying.

You're the ones that going to decide what you believe actually happened. The only way you're going to be able to do that is to pay real close attention to the witnesses. All right.

There's no way to correct a mistake in that regard. So you got to get it right first time around.

You must not be influenced by any kind of sympathy or prejudice for or against either the State or the Defendant. They are both entitled to the same, fair and impartial consideration. That pretty much -- if you can't hear a witness for heaven's sake, tell JR and we'll stop. We'll stop the proceeding and have them

repeat what they said. Don't let something like that go -- go on without making a correction.

Counsel's job are to ask the witnesses questions. Okay.

My job is to answer questions of law. We all have a function.

Your job is to listen real careful to the evidence and then

ultimately answer the questions if the State proved their case

beyond a reasonable doubt.

And your job is not to ask questions. However, even though it's not encouraged, there is a process where you can ask a question and I'll tell you how it works. If there's -- first of all, the question has to be a question for the witness. And it has to be the witness that is on the witness stand. The witness has left the witness stand. We're not going to call them back 'cause you thought of a question that you wanted to ask him.

During the course of the -- of the testimony of a witness, if you have a question, what you'll do is you'll take a notebook, you're going to get a clean sheet of paper, you'll put your juror number and the question, give it to JR, he's going to bring it up here, give it to me and I'll have counsel come up, we'll all read it, I'll listen to any objections they have to the question. If -- if it's a proper question to be asked, either I'll ask it or I'll have one of the counsel ask the questions. So you can write it down, but you're not the one that's going to be asking, you know.

If I don't ask the question, I'll tell you at the end of

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

why the question couldn't be asked. That's the process that you go through if you have a question that you want to ask the witnesses, okay.

THE MARSHAL: Final selection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh, I ran out of stuff to yap about just about the same time they -- as soon as we -- as soon as we do this then everybody else that's not on the list of the 13 jurors are going to get excused and we're going to take a lunch -- we're going to do what we do really well, take a recess. And we're going to take a break 'til like 2 o'clock, okay. And then we'll come back. Witnesses are all scheduled to start right at 2 o'clock and we'll be off and running.

And yeah another thing, you go out here and JR will make sure that you know when -- when you come back. When we come back at like 2 o'clock, the 13 folks will meet -- you have them meet you over here at the -- JR, do they meet you over here at the doubledoors and you bring them back down the hallway over here?

THE MARSHAL: When they deliberate.

THE COURT: No. I mean, even after lunch and when they come back in the morning, do you meet them over here and walk them back into the deliberation room?

THE MARSHAL: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, he'll go through that whole process with you, but the main point is you're not going to be out in the

front door. I mean, that's where the witnesses are going to be and we want to keep you away from there. So you'll come down the hallway over here at the double doors, he'll take you into the jury deliberation room and they have water, coffee, stuff like that. For heaven sakes, it doesn't bother us if you bring something in as long as it makes you comfortable and keeps you alert and awake. You want water, coffee, let us know. All right.

Did I ever finish telling you the order after the State's witnesses, the defense, if they have any witnesses, after they've concluded all that, then I'm going to read you the instructions on the laws that applies to this case? Then you'll hear closing arguments from both the State and the defense. Because the State has the burden of proof, you will hear closing arguments from the State first, then you'll hear the defense closing arguments, and you'll hear the State's. They get to make the last thing you will hear will be the State making their final closing argument.

And after all that's been accomplished, I send you off. We identify who the alternate juror is and then we take the other 12 people and send them to the deliberation room.

Okay. Now, since I'm not used to doing it like this, which one do I read?

THE CLERK: Oh, I read it.

.13

THE COURT: I just wanted to make sure.

THE CLERK: You want me to read it?

THE COURT: Okay. You read it. Yeah. Here you go.

THE CLERK: I have to number it first.

THE COURT: Okay. You got to make sure you have 13 there.

After we -- after we do this and I excused everybody, you're barely going to hear me say another word 'cause it seems like I'm the one that's been doing all this talking. I'm really going to be pretty much silent as soon as we get this trial kicked off.

When we named the 13 folks, we're going to kind of reconfigure you so that you're in the same order, just absent the people that were excused, so we can tell exactly -- you want to read them?

THE CLERK: Do you want to look at it?

THE COURT: Oh no.

THE CLERK: Okay.

THE COURT: No. Just read them off.

THE CLERK: Okay. Juror number one is Sherilyn Nelson.

THE COURT: So when you hear your name, you want to step -- step back up. Sorry about that.

THE CLERK: Juror number two is Andrea Quimby-Nichols. Juror number three is Christopher O'Neill. Juror number four is George Baumer. Juror number five is Timothy Zacovic. Juror number six is Philip Merkley. Juror number seven is Deborah Negrel. Juror number eight is Rasool Barati. Juror number nine is Courtney Anne Carter. Juror number ten is Darlene Drummond. Juror number eleven is Jennifer Marinacci. Juror number twelve is Brian Ribar. And

Juror number thirteen is Emilio Guerra.

THE COURT: Okay. Folks, the rest of you are going to be excused and sent back down to the Jury Commissioner's office on the third floor. If you didn't get picked, if you didn't get even overly questioned, I still have to tell you that we couldn't do this without every single one of you. I appreciate the -- the effort that you've made and the fact that you answered the call. So, you guys don't have to listen to me talk anymore. Go on downstairs to the Jury Commissioner's office on the third floor and just tell them that our selection process is over with and you guys weren't in the 13 that were picked. Thank you all, everyone for your efforts.

And you guys are going to get sworn in again. There's a different oath when you're actually on the sitting jury, okay. So first thing we're going to do right now is have everybody stand up, raise your right hand, Carol's going to swear you in.

[Clerk swears jury panel]

THE COURT: Very good. All right. Like I said, first thing we're going to do is take a recess. Let's -- is that enough time if we come back at 2 o'clock or should I say 2:15?

MS. SPELLS: That should be fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Two o'clock?

MS. SPELLS: Yeah. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So we're taking a break here for lunch, whatever from now 'til 2 o'clock. JR will take you

outside and tell you exactly how it works. You're going to go out from -- are you going to take them out? THE MARSHAL: I'll take them out. Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. This -- this time you're going to go out 4 there, but this is the last time you're going to use the front 5 door. He'll tell you where to meet him and he'll bring you back in 7 at 2 o'clock. All right. Now I have to admonish you. I did this earlier. Yeah, 8 you can leave your notebooks right there. I admonish the members of the jury not to converse or 10 discuss amongst themselves or with anyone else on any subject 11 connected or related to this trial. Don't watch, listen or read 12 13 any reports or commentaries regarding this trial through any median 14 of information which means radio, television, newspapers, internet, any of those sources of information. And don't form or express any 15 opinions on any subject connected or related to this trial until 16 such time as this case has been finally submitted to you. Okay. 17 Go have lunch. Relax. See you at 2 o'clock. 18 [Outside the presence of the jury] 19 THE COURT: Okay. We're outside the presence of the jury. 20 21 Anything we need to discuss? MR. WOOD: Just really quick. I don't know if Your Honor did 22 it before, but the whole -- if you see us in the hallways we're not 23

119

THE COURT: I'll go through it again. I mean, I didn't

24

25

ignoring you, we're just --

24

25

The DA never filed any bad acts or, you know, motions. So we just want to make sure that the detectives or the officers don't --

THE COURT: Mention that.

MR. WOOD: -- indicate that sort of thing.

MS. SUDANO: And, Your Honor, I have pre-trialed with the officers. They know not to mention the fact that he had or may have had an outstanding warrant. And I do know he was never booked on that, so I don't know what happened with it, but they are aware. I don't anticipate any of them will mention the warrant.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Good.

MR. WOOD: Additionally, Your Honor, I just want to let the Court know that my client is going to be making an admission as to a petty larceny, so we have talked to him about that. He does agree with that, so we are going to be presenting that to the jury.

THE COURT: How?

MR. WOOD: Possibly in opening, but definitely in closing and through jury instructions.

THE COURT: Okay. You mean, he's not going to get on the witness stand?

MR. WOOD: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. All right. Okay. And I'll -- I'll reemphasize for the jurors that if they see you guys downstairs in the hallway, blah, blah, blah, that they're -- you're not being rude; it's just when they say no contact mean no conversations.

Good afternoon, that's -- that's it.

MR. WOOD: Understood.

THE COURT: All right. We're ready.

[In the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: Everybody please have a seat. Will the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. WOOD: We will.

MS. SUDANO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very good. All right. Couple of little housekeeping matters. I know I said this, but I want to emphasize this again. When you guys are on a break, you're in lunch break, you're getting in the morning, you're leaving, it's entirely possible that you're going to run into some of these people. I just want to make sure you understand how important it is that you don't converse with anybody that has anything to do with this case, okay.

If you can get somebody to even say good morning, you're going to be lucky. They're not being rude. They're following the same instructions I'm telling you guys, okay. So if you run into somebody and they act like they're ignoring you, they're not being rude. They're just following the rules, okay.

And I've already kind of foreshadowed how this whole things works. What you're going to hear right now is opening statements which is a preview of what each side believes the evidence is going to be. As soon as they get done with that, we're going to actually start the evidence and we should have several

witnesses done before we break tonight, okay.

Counsel, are you ready?

MS. SUDANO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. SUDANO: May I move about, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. SUDANO: Thank you.

[OPENING STATEMENT BY THE STATE]

BY MS. SUDANO:

Ladies and gentlemen, this isn't a particularly complicated case, but it's still an important one. You're going to hear that on July 31st of 2015 approximately 2:50 in the morning, Evangelia Mantikas was sitting at a bus stop near Boulder Highway and Flamingo. And as she was sitting there, she was approached by the Defendant in this case, Gabriel Ibarra. He saw her texting and using her phone. He asked if he could use it to make a phone call.

Now you're also going to hear and the evidence is going to show, ladies and gentlemen, that the Defendant didn't actually want to make a phone call. You see, Evangelia typed in the number for him as the Defendant asking her questions about what type of phone it was that she had. And then as soon as the Defendant had the phone, within a matter of seconds, he stood up and he started to walk away.

Now Evangelia attempted to follow the Defendant, but he took a couple of steps, looked behind him and saw that Evangelia

was following him, and he began to run. So Evangelia chased him, but she wasn't able to catch up with him, wasn't able to get her phone back from this man who had just been borrowing it for the purposes of making a phone call.

So instead what Evangelia did was she tracked down a passerby. She called the police and she call her friend, Bri. Bri started using an iPhone app to track my iPhone app. She was able to give the police updated information about where the phone was moving. And based on that information, the police -- you're going to hear from several officers in this case -- were able to track the phone, Evangelia's iPhone.

They eventually track it to the area of a McDonald's on Nellis Boulevard, you're going to hear from Officer Kunz and Officer Giese, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, that they go that McDonald's as they're getting this updated information from Bri and Evangelia that that's maybe where the phone is. They find a car. And as the car starts to move, the iPhone starts to move.

And so they try to conduct a stop on this car, but before they were able to do so, somebody gets out and goes into the Oasis Meadows Apartment complex. So Officer Kunz is eventually able to conduct a stop on that car finds out that nobody in the car matches the suspect that Evangelia gave to police. Nobody matches that information. There's also no iPhone in the car or an iPhone that belongs to Evangelia in the car.

You're going to hear from Officer Giese that after they stopped the Defendant and talked him, he walked over to that area where the Defendant was momentarily out of view, just four or five feet away from that area in the bushes they found Evangelia's cellphone.

Now, once they found that cellphone, they returned it to Evangelia and they had Evangelia come down to the scene and identify the Defendant. She pointed out the Defendant and yes again that's the man who took my iPhone from me, took it out of my hand, pretended to make a phone call and then ran away with it.

Ladies and gentlemen, as I already told you, this isn't a particularly complicated case. But at the end of this trial, the State's going to stand back before you and ask that you find the Defendant, Gabriel Ibarra, guilty of larceny from a person. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Who is going to -- you are, okay.

MS. SPELLS: I am, Your Honor. Permission to move about?

THE COURT: Absolutely.

MS. SPELLS: Thank you.

[OPENING STATEMENTS BY THE DEFENDANT]

BY MS. SPELLS:

There are books of which the backs and cover are by far the best parts. That's a quote from Charles Dickens out of his famous book Oliver Twist.

Now you're probably thinking what does Oliver Twist have to do with this case? Well, a few things. Very similar to the quote that I just recited before you. The beginning and the end of this case is probably going to be the most interesting. Now why is that? That's because the State and the defense agree on most of the facts.

This case is very simple. On July 31st, 2015, Ms.

Evangelia Mantikas was sitting at a bus stop. Sitting next to her at the bus stop was my client, Mr. Ibarra. Ms. Mantikas was texting on her cellphone and Mr. Ibarra asked to use the phone.

Ms. Mantikas finished texting. She dialed a phone number and she voluntarily handed Mr. Ibarra that cellphone. He began to speak on the phone, got up, started to walk away. Ms. Mantikas also got up. And she followed Mr. Ibarra. And then Mr. Ibarra began to run.

This whole incident was not a few seconds, but actually took a number of minutes; a minimum of two to three minutes. When Mr. Ibarra ran, he took the phone with him.

Now, unlike the story of Oliver Twist, we're not going to have any interesting stories of any villains like Fagin. You're not going to hear about any complicated schemes of criminal

So the obvious question becomes why are you here? If the State and the defense agree on that much, what is your purpose? Why are you here? The defense contends that you are here because the State overcharged this case. We are very confident that end of hearing all of the evidence, you will find that Mr. Ibarra is not quilty of the crime charged, larceny from the person.

We ask instead that after considering all of the evidence before you, you find Mr. Ibarra guilty of the actions that he committed on petty larceny. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. State, will you call your first witness?

MS. SUDANO: Your Honor, can we actually approach on something please?

THE COURT: Sure.

5

7

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[Bench Conference commenced at 2:20 p.m.]

MS. SUDANO: Your Honor, I'm a little concerned [indecipherable] we haven't settled a jury instructions. We haven't addressed whether or not there's a lesser included of larceny from the person that's going to be a petty larceny in this particular case. There's some concerns with the -- the argument that the State's overcharging. So I just wanted to address all of those things right now before we get too far into this trial 'cause like I said we haven't settled instructions and how this juries been told that they're going to get a lesser included on larceny or petty larceny.

THE COURT: I think we're going to be entitled to pursue an instruction that says [indecipherable] committed the offense of petty larceny. I don't know that it can be under a lesser included. I think he's just entitled to -- that's their theory of the case that the crime that was committed was a petty larceny.

Not going to [indecipherable] to a lesser included [indecipherable]

MR. WOOD: They actually contain all of the same elements. So it actually is listed and [indecipherable] in the stock, quote on quote, stock instructions as a lesser included. And those are written by predominantly a DA team along with other individuals.

THE COURT: [indecipherable] I think you're going to be [indecipherable] to have a petty larceny charge instruction. We're not going to go through all the rigmarole on making a lesser included. We're just going to -- unless you can show me some law

1	because otherwise I think the way it's going to work is you're jus	
2	going to [indecipherable] your instruction on [indecipherable].	
3	We'll discuss it further.	
4	MS. SUDANO: Okay.	
5	THE COURT: Okay.	
6	MS. SUDANO: I just wanted to raise that. Thank you, Your	
7	Honor.	
8	[Bench Conference concluded at 2:22 p.m.]	
9	THE COURT: Call your first witness.	
10	MS. SUDANO: State calls Evangelia Mantikas please.	
11	THE MARSHAL: Remain standing, raise your right and face the	
12	Clerk.	
13	EVANGELIA MANTIKAS	
14	having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,	
15	testified as follows:	
16	THE CLERK: Please be seated and then state and spell your	
17	name for the record.	
18	THE COURT: Just scoot right up there close to that	
19	microphone. There you go. All right. State your full name. And	
20	for the record, spell both the first and last name, okay.	
21	THE WITNESS: Evangelia Mantikas, E-V-A-N-G-E-L-I-A, M-A-N-T-	
22	I-K-A-S.	
23	MS. SUDANO: Thank you, Your Honor.	
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
25	BY MS. SUDANO:	
	<u> </u>	

i	1	
1	Q:	Ma'am, may I call you Evangelia?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	Now, Evangelia, I want to draw your attention to July 31st
4	of 2015	approximately 2 in the morning; do you recall where you
5	were?	
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q:	Where were you?
8	A:	At the bus stop located on Boulder Highway and Flamingo.
9	Q:	Is that here in Clark County, Nevada?
10	A:	Yes.
11	Q:	What were you doing at that bus stop?
12	A:	Just waiting for the bus to get home.
13	Q:	Where were you coming from?
14	A:	Down Flamingo.
15	Q:	Now, what were you doing while you were waiting for the
16	bus?	
17	A:	Texting.
18	Q:	What kind of phone were you texting on?
19	A:	IPhone 5S.
20	Q:	Now, were there any other people at the bus stop near
21	you?	
22	A:	Yes.
23	Q:	How many people were there?
24	A:	I'd say around three to four.
25	, Q:	Were they close to you or far away?
		130

1	A: Only one was closest to me. The rest were on the other
2	side.
3	Q: When you say the other side, about how far away were
4	those other people?
5	A: Maybe four or five seats away. There's dividers in the
6	bus stop.
7	Q: You said there was one person that was close to you?
8	A: Yeah.
9	Q: Where was that person?
10	A: Just a few seats away from me.
11	Q: How long had you been waiting for the bus?
12	A: When I got there, maybe 20 minutes.
13	Q: Now at some point as you were waiting for the bus, did
14	somebody else come up?
15	A: Yes.
16	Q: Do you see that person here in the courtroom today?
17	A: Yes.
18	Q: Could you please point to that person and identify an
19	article of clothing that he or she is wearing today?
20	A: A blue shirt, button up. I think.
21	MS. SUDANO: And may the record reflect identification of the
22	Defendant?
23	THE COURT: Yes. Yes, the record so shall.
24	BY MS. SUDANO:
25	Q: How is that you first came into contact with the

1	Defe	ndant	at that bus stop?
2		A:	He came around the corner and kind of sat there a little
3	bit	looki	ng around and then he asked if he could borrow my phone.
4		Q:	Where was your phone when the Defendant first came around
5	the corner?		
6		A:	Kind of like I was holding it like this, just sitting on
7	my lap.		
8		Q:	And so you were holding it out in front of you?
9		A:	Yeah.
10		Q:	Were you texting?
11		A:	Yeah.
12		Q:	Where was the Defendant when he asked if he could borrow
13	your phone?		
14		A:	Sitting next to me.
15		Q:	Was he where was he next to you?
16		A:	To my right like right just yeah.
17		Q:	Was he in the seat immediately next to you or were there
18	some	seat	s between the two of you?
19		A:	Immediately next to me.
20		Q:	And that was to your right side?
21		A:	Yes.
22		Q:	Did you agree that the Defendant could use your phone?
23		A:	Yes.
24	·	Q:	How did that conversation go?
25		A:	He asked if he could borrow it and I said yes. And I
			132

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

1	Q:	Where did you tell him you were from?
2	A:	California.
3	Q:	Why did you do that?
4	A:	I don't like telling people where I live if I don't know
5	them.	
6	· Q:	Now, from the time you first saw the Defendant until you
7	typed in t	the entire phone number that he gave to you, about how
8.	long was	that?
9	A:	Like how long were we talking before?
10	Q:	Yes.
11	A:	Three to five minutes. No more than that.
12	Q:	Now, when you fully typed in the phone number the
13	Defendant	gave to you, what happened at that point?
14	A:	I handed the phone over to him and since he was to my
15	right, he	grabbed it with his left hand and then switched it to hi
16	right, so	it was further away from me.
17	Q:	So it was on the side initially that was closest to you
18	and then h	ne switched it into his left hand so it was across his
19	body from	you; is that fair?
20	A:	Well, his right hand. Like he grabbed it with his left,
21	switched :	it to his right.
22	Q:	So it was across his body from you?
23	A:	Yeah.
24	Q:	Okay. And approximately how long did he have the phone
25	in his ri	ght hand, so the side that was closest to you?

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

1	A:	Two to three.
2	Q:	What happened after the Defendant started running?
3	A:	I ran after him.
4	Q:	Where did he run?
5	A:	Into kind of the middle of the suites apartments.
6	Q:	Are those located in that same area of Boulder Highway
7	and Flami	ngo?
8	A:	Yes.
9	Q:	Is that here in Clark County, Nevada?
10	A:	Yes.
11	Q;	Were you able to follow the Defendant into the suites?
12	A:	For a short time, yeah.
13	Q:	What happened after you followed him into the suites?
14	A:	When we ran to the middle, he turned and I turned with
15	him and I	was still able to see him. But once he turned again, I
16	was no lo	nger able to catch up to him or see him at all.
17	Q:	And did he lose you in the apartment complex?
18	A:	Yes.
19	Q:	Now, while the Defendant was sitting with you, was he
20	able to c	omplete that phone call?
21	A:	Like speak to
22	Q:	Yeah. Did he talk to anybody on the other end?
23	A:	No.
24	MS.	SPELLS: Objection, Your Honor. Speculation.
25	THE	COURT: I think you can she can ask if he was able to
		136

Q: So from the time -- you initially you dial the phone number and hand the phone to the Defendant. And then he gets up and starts to walk away. How long between those two things?

A: I want to say no more than five minutes. It wasn't that long.

Q: And so I want to clarify, it was five minutes from the time that you handed him his phone until he got up and walked away or the entire interaction that you had with the Defendant was five minutes?

A: By the time I dialed it and handed it to him and he got up. The whole interaction was maybe less than 10 minutes, no more.

Q: And so how long was the Defendant sitting next to you holding your phone before he got up and walked away?

A: A few seconds.

Q: And so when you're saying that there was something that took five minutes, can you explain for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what it was that took five minutes? I'm sorry. You said that the interaction from when he had the phone until he got up and walked away was five minutes. Can you explain that a little bit more?

A: From when he asked me to dial the number and I didn't hear it, so I kind of ignored it. Then when he asked again, and I typed it in and handed it to him. That whole process kind of took five minutes.

Q: Okay. So that was the five minutes. And then from the

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lot of things were going on. And I had seen a cop at a stop light located on Flamingo and Boulder Highway and I kind of ran to him. His window was down and I asked for help if he can come in the driveway and help me.

	1
1	Q: Did that officer pull over and help you?
2	A: Yes.
3	Q: Now, what happens once that officer's pulled over and
4	helping you?
5	A: I told him everything that went on about him taking my
6	phone and then another policeman pulls up. And I was just talking
7	to both of them and they told me
8	MS. SPELLS: Objection. Hearsay.
9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	BY MS. SUDANO:
11	Q: I don't want to talk specifically about anything that the
12	officers told you. I just want to talk about what you did and what
13	you were involved with. So, at some point after the officer show
14	up and and help you in the Walgreen's parking lot, do you go to
15	a different location?
16	A: Eventually yes.
17	Q: Where did you go?
18	A: It was after they had told me they found him. We went to
19	
20	MS. SPELLS: Objection, Your Honor.
21	BY MS. SUDANO:
22	Q: So I don't want you to talk about anything that the
23	officer said. I just want you to talk about where you went.
24	A: The Oasis apartments.
25	Q: Who did you go to the Oasis apartments with?
	143

1	A:	One of the officers.
2	Q:	And how did you get over to that apartment complex?
3	A:	They drove me over there in their car.
4	Q:	One of the police officers drove you?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	Now, do you recall where that apartment complex was?
7	A:	Nellis and Vegas Valley.
8	Q:	Now what happened after you drove into the complex with
9	that offic	cer?
10	A:	He was speaking to me, but we drove in a little behind
11	the other	policeman that was with us.
12	Q:	And when you say he, are you referring to the officer
13	that drove	e you over there?
14	A:	Yes.
15	Q:	Okay. And you followed another officer into the complex?
16	A:	Yes.
17	Q:	What happened once you got into the complex?
18	A:	We were backed up and he was telling me everything that
19	was	
20	MS. S	SPELLS: Objection, Your Honor.
21	THE (COURT: Okay. Objecting to as you repeating what somebody
22	else said	that you out of court. So you took that part
23	[indeciphe	erable] he said just say you had a conversation.
24	THE V	WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. Sorry.
25	BY MS. SUI	DANO:
- 1		

BY MS. SUDANO:

about this previously. I don't believe there's going to be an 2 objection. MR. WOOD: That's correct, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: You guys, no objection? State's 1 is admitted. 4 MS. SUDANO: And may I have permission to publish that for the 5 6 jury? 7 THE COURT: Yes. [State's Exhibit 1 admitted] 8 BY MS. SUDANO: 9 Evangelia, now I'm going to play the -- State's Exhibit 1 10 Q: here. 11 12 [Playing State's Exhibit 1] I just want to follow up on a couple of things with you 13 Q:from that call. Now you're talking it sounds like to somebody else 14 who's there; who were you talking to? 15 The couple that I had found in the apartments. 16 **A**: Now, you also were talking about how you gave the phone 17 0: to the Defendant to make a phone call; is that right? 19 A : Yes. Now, did the Defendant have your permission to have the 20 Q: phone permanently? 21 22 **A**: No. Did he have permission to have your phone to do anything 23 0: other than make a phone call? 24 25 A: No.

1	Q: Now had you known that he was going to take off with your
2	phone, would you have given it to him?
3	A: No.
4	MS. SUDANO: Your Honor, at this time no further questions.
5	THE COURT: Cross.
6	MS. SPELLS: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
7	CROSS EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. SUDANO:
9	Q: Good afternoon, Ms. Mantikas.
10	A: Good afternoon.
11	Q: So early in the morning on July 31st you're sitting at the
12	bus stop?
13	A: Yes.
14	Q: You're texting on your phone?
15	A: Yes.
16	Q: Your phone is out?
17	A: Yes.
18	Q: It's in your hands?
19	A: Yes.
20	Q: And it's not in your purse at this time?
21	A: No.
22	Q: Not clipped to a phone clip?
23	A: No.
24	Q: It's not clipped on your belt?
25	A: No.
	153

1	Q:	It's not in an arm wristband?
2	A:	No.
3	Q:	It's not affixed to your body, right?
4	A:	No.
5	Q:	And Gabriel asked to use your phone?
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q:	And you respond yes?
8	A:	Yes.
9	Q:	So you finished texting?
10	A:	Finished, but kind of just stopped.
11	Q:	Okay. You stopped texting?
12	A:	Yes.
13	Q:	And you put in a number for him?
14	A:	Yes.
15	Q:	Do you recall that number by heart?
16	A: .	No.
17	Q:	Did you write the number down somewhere?
18	A:	Like physically write it down, no.
19	Q:	Yes. No. After you dialed the phone number, you hand
20	the phone	to Gabriel?
21	A:	Yes.
22	Q:	And he takes the phone in his left hand?
23	A:	Yes.
24	Q:	He switches it to his right hand?
25	A:	Yes.
i	l	

1	Q:	The phone is not on speaker phone at that point?
2	A:	No.
3	Q:	He's holding the phone up his ears?
4	A:	Yes.
5	Q:	And he says something?
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q: ,	You couldn't make out what he said?
8	A:	Not exactly, no.
9	Q:	At this point, Gabriel starts he stands up?
10	A:	Yes.
11	Q:	And he initially begins to walk away?
12	A:	Yes.
13	Q:	You follow him at this point?
14	A:	Yes.
15	Q:	And then he begins to run?
16	A:	Yes.
17	Q:	Now this incident takes not more than ten minutes?
18	A:	Between just us at the bus stop or the entire chase?
19	Q:	The entire event from when Mr. Ibarra starts speaking to
20	you to when you begin running.	
21	A:	Yes.
22	Q:	As the phone was in Gabriel's hand, you weren't touching
23	the phone	?
24	A:	No.
25	Q:	You weren't touching his hands?
ļ		155

1	A:	No.
2	Q:	When Gabriel asked to use the phone, he didn't shout at
3	you?	
4	A:	No.
5	Q:	He didn't threaten you?
6	'A:	No.
7	Q:	Didn't demand the phone?
8	A:	No.
9 .	Q:	He didn't push or shove you anyway?
10	A:	No.
11	Q:	And he never used the weapon?
12	A:	No.
13	ö:	He also didn't snatch the phone from you?
14	A:	No.
15	Q:	So you handed it over to him voluntarily?
16	A:	Yes.
17	Q:	You weren't afraid? When he asked to borrow the phone,
18	you weren'	't afraid?
19	A:	I was getting a little nervous when anyone asks for it,
20	but not 1:	ike afraid.
21	Q:	Okay. That's fair. Because obviously he's a stranger,
22	right?	
23	A:	Yes,
24	Q:	Now, you indicated that you had an iPhone?
25	A:	Yes.
		4.50

1	Q:	This is an iPhone 5S?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	And are you familiar with iPhones?
4	A:	Yeah.
5	Q:	Somewhat?
6	A:	Yeah.
7	Q:	You're aware that there's currently a iPhone 6?
8	A:	Yes.
9	Q:	iPhone 6 Plus?
10	A:	Yes.
11	Q:	There's an iPhone 6S?
12	A:	Yes.
13	Q:	So, are you aware that the iPhone 5S came out in
14	September	, approximately 2013?
15	A:	I wasn't aware of the exact date, but
16	Q:	Came out a few years ago?
17	'A:	Yeah.
18	Q:	And when did you purchase this phone? What year do you
19	recall?	
20	A:	2015 this year.
21	Q:	You'd have the phone for at least a few months prior to
22	this inci	dent?
23	A:	Yes.
24	Q:	So the phone wasn't brand new?
25	A:	I thought it was. They had told me that they gave me a
		157

1	used phon	e and still charged me for the amount that I got it for.
2	Q:	But it was in good shape?
3	A:	Yeah.
4	Q:	And it was in good working condition?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	Did it have a few scratches?
7	A:	No.
8	Q:	When you purchased the phone, you purchased it as part of
9	a contrac	t?
10	A:	Yes.
11	Q:	I want to talk to you just a little bit about while you
12	were at t	his bus stop. You had a purse that evening?
13	A:	A backpack.
14	Q:	A backpack?
- 15	A:	Yeah.
16	Q:	And your backpack was at the bus stop?
17	A:	Yes.
18	Q:	Where was it at the bus stop?
19	A:	On the ground next to me.
20	Q:	On the ground.
21	A:	Yeah.
22	Q:	And so when you begin to get up and walk and follow
23	Gabriel,	your backpack was left there?
24	A:	Yes.
25	Q:	And when you begin to run after Gabriel, your backpack
		158

1	was still	there?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	You knew that your backpack was still there?
4	A:	Yes.
5	Q:	And you were concerned about your backpack being still
6	there?	
7	A:	Yes.
8	Q:	You're also concerned about your phone?
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	You weren't counting the amount of steps you took before
11	you starte	ed running?
12	A:	No.
13	Q:	You weren't looking at your watch to gage how much time
14	it was?	
15	A:	No. I didn't have a watch.
16	Q:	And this couple that you asked for help, were they also
17	at the bus	s stop with you?
18	A:	No.
19	Q:	They were only inside the suites?
20	A:	Yes.
21	Q:	To your knowledge, had they ever had an opportunity to
22	see Gabrie	el?
23	A:	Yes.
24	Q:	The State talked to you a lot about the description that
25	you gave	the officers about the person who took your phone. And
		159

2 **A**: Yes. You got it wrong. You said you got the clothing wrong, Q: 3 4 right? I remember the wife beater, but I didn't really remember 5 A: the shorts. I don't really look at people's clothing especially 6 with something that happened like that. Everything just completely 7 blew out of mind. 8 Sure. And you also indicated that you thought you got 9 Q: his ethnicity wrong. 10 **A** : Yes. 11 And you were talking with Gabriel, right? 12 Q: 13 **A**: Yes. For a few minutes? 14 Q: 15 A: Yes. I want to talk to you just briefly about this iPhone and 16 the iPhone app tracker. Prior to July 31st, 2015 you had not used 17 the iPhone app tracker? 18 Me, no. 19 A: No. You weren't aware that you could track where your 20 0: 21 phone was going? I was aware you can. I just didn't know how to do it. 22 A: You didn't know how to do it, okay. And when you called 23 Q: the police you said I hope that the police can track it, right? 24 Yes. Just in case the track my iPhone that my girlfriend 25 **A**: 160

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT
State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra
C-15-308774-1

you said a couple of times that you gave a bad description.

1

1	was doing	did not work.
2	Q:	So you weren't the person conducting the track my iPhone?
3	A:	No.
4	Q:	And you weren't right next to your girlfriend as this was
5	being con	ducted?
6	A:	No.
7	Q:	And you indicated that your iCloud has to be on?
8	A:	Yes.
9	Q:	How are you aware of that? Did someone let you know that
10	you have	to have your iCloud on at the time?
11	A:	When I I guess when connect to it
12	Q:	Uh-huh.
13	A:	it asked if or it says something along the lines
14	to where	if it is on and it lets you know if it is on, so Brianna
15	was able	to tell me if it was on or not otherwise it wouldn't track
16	the phone	•
17	Q:	This is information that you're getting from the track my
18	iPhone si	te, right?
19	A:	Yes.
20	Q:	Okay. Do you have any type of training in electronics?
21	A:	No.
22	Q:	Any type of training in like cellphones specifically?
23	A:	No.
24	Q:	So the information when you were speaking about how the
25	iPhone	track my iPhone works and all that; this is information
		161

162

163

1	a few seconds?		
2	A:	Yes.	
3	Q:	Now you were asked when you bought your iPhone 5S. Do	
4	you remem	ber specifically when you bought it in 2015?	
5	A: ,	No.	
6	Q:	You said you'd had just a few months?	
7	A:	Just about, yes.	
8	Q:	How much did you pay for your iPhone?	
9	A:	The exact, I'm not sure. About four to five.	
10	Q:	Four to five what?	
11	A:	Hundred. I'm still paying it off.	
12	Q:	Now, you're also asked a couple of questions about your	
13	backpack and you said you left your backpack at the bus stop.		
14	A:	Yes.	
15	Q:	Why did you leave your backpack at the bus stop?	
16	A:	I wasn't even thinking about it when I got up and ran	
17	after my	phone.	
18	Q:	Now, you're also asked about the description that you	
19	gave the	Defendant and you said at a couple of points that you had	
20	not yo	u'd given kind of a bad description.	
21	A:	Yes.	
22	Q:	And I think you also said that you remembered some things	
23	a little	bit better after you had the opportunity to see him again;	
24	is that f	air?	
25	A:	Yes.	
		1.05	

1	Q:	Now, I just want to clarify. You heard the 911 call,
2	right?	
3	A:	Yes.
4	Q:	Was the 911 call made before you saw the Defendant a
5	second ti	me or after you saw him the second time?
6	A:	Before I saw him the second time.
7	Q:	Were you able to give a description of his race?
8	A:	Not a valid one.
9	Q:	But at the time you thought he was African American; is
10	that righ	t?
11	A:	Yes.
12	Q:	And fair to say that this all happened at night time?
13	A:	Yes.
14	Q;	Fair to say it was a little bit dark?
15	A:	Yes.
16	Q:	Now were you able to give the description again about
17	what clot	hing he was wearing?
18	A:	Yes.
19	Q:	Were you able to give a description about his height?
20	A:	Yes.
21	Q:	And a description about his weight?
22	A:	Not numbers. Just like body.
23	Q:	His build?
24	A;	Yeah.
25	Q:	You gave a description about his build?
		166

1	A: :	Yes.
2	Q:	You gave a description about his hair?
3	A: 3	Yes.
4	Q:	You gave a description about his age?
5	A: '	Yes.
6	Q: 1	Now, at some point after you saw the Defendant a second
7	time, did	you write a second statement for the police?
8	A: :	Yes.
9	Q: 1	Now even in that second statement that you gave after you
10	saw the De:	fendant a second time; did you give a description of his
11	race?	
12	A: '	Yes.
13	Q: \[\[\]	What was the description you gave that second time after
14	you saw hir	m?
15	A: 7	African American.
16	Q: S	So both times you saw him that night you thought he was
17	African Ame	erican?
18	A: '	Yes.
19	Q: i	And just to clarify, the Defendant is the person that you
20	saw with th	he police and the person that took your phone on July $31^{ m st}$
21	of 2015?	
22	A: :	Yes.
23	Ms. st	UDANO: Your Honor, no further questions.
24	THE CO	OURT: Okay. Anything else?
25	Ms. s	PELLS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Thank you for your 1 testimony. I appreciate it. You can go ahead and step down and 2 you'll be excused. You're good. 3 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: You guys want to take a couple minute break? 5 You're all right. You want to keep going? Okay. Call another witness. 7 MS. SUDANO: The State calls Brianna Roche. 8 THE MARSHAL: Remain standing and raise your right facing the 10 Clerk. BRIANNA ROCHE 11 having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, 12 testified as follows: 13 THE CLERK: Please be seated and then state and spell your 14 name for the record. 15. THE WITNESS: B-R-I-A --16 THE COURT: And grab -- next to that microphone. 17 THE WITNESS: B-R-I-A-N-N-A, R-O-C-H-E. 18 THE COURT: Go ahead. 19 MS. SUDANO: Thank you. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MS. SUDANO: 22 [indecipherable] Brianna. I want to draw your attention 23 to July 31st of 2015 approximately two or three in the morning. Do 24 you recall that date and time? 25

1	A:	I do.
2	Q:	Where were you at that time?
3	A:	Home.
4	Q:	Had anybody else been at your house earlier on the night
5	of July	30 th into the morning of July 31 st ?
6	A:	No.
7	Q:	Now, were you talking to anybody on the night of July
8	31 st , 20	15?
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	Who were you talking to?
11	A:	Evangelia.
12	Q:	Evangelia who just left the courtroom?
13	A:	Yes.
14	Q:	How were you talking to her?
15	A:	Text.
16	Q:	Had Evangelia been at your house prior to or earlier on
17	in the evening of July 30 th	
18	A:	No.
19	Q:	2015? At some point did you and Evangelia stop
20	texting that night?	
21	A:	Yes.
22	Q:	Can you describe what happened there?
23	A:	I texted her and I just didn't get a reply, so I texted
24	her a f	ew more times.
25	Q:	And she never responded to you?
		169

1	A:	No.
2	Q:	At some point later on in the night do you get a phone
3	call?	
4	A:	Yeah.
5	Q:	Who called you?
6	A:	Evangelia.
7	Q:	Do you recall about what time Evangelia called you?
8	A:	Around three.
9	Q:	Is that 3 a.m.?
10	A:	Around that, yes.
11	Q:	Now, you're quiet, so I'm going to ask you to speak up so
12	everyone	
13	A:	Sorry.
14	Q:	could hear you, okay? Now, I don't want to go
15	specifica	lly into what you said to each other on the phone call,
16	but based	on that call, did you do anything next?
17	A:	Yes.
18	Q:	What did you do?
19	A:	Tracked her iPhone.
20	Q:	How did you track her iPhone?
21	A:	Find my iPhone app.
22	Q:	And can you just generally explain a little bit what the
23	find my i	Phone app is?
24	A:	You put in their Apple ID and their password and you can
25	see where	their phone is.
		170
- 1	I	

1	Q:	And did you have Evangelia's Apple ID and her password?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	So were you you were tracking the actual phone that
4	goes back	to her phone number and her Apple account?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	Now, were you doing anything with the information about
7	where her	phone was?
8	A:	I was on the iPhone with the police.
9	Q:	Now, what were you doing with the police on the phone?
10	A:	Telling them every location.
11	Q:	Do you remember those locations?
12	A:	Most of them.
13	Q:	Okay. Where's the first location that you remember that
14	phone bei	ng?
15	A:	The Eastside Cannery.
16	Q:	Okay. How long was the phone at the Eastside Cannery?
17	A:	About ten minutes.
18	Q:	Okay. Where did the phone go next after it was at the
19	Cannery?	
20	A:	A neighborhood near it.
21	Q:	How long was it in that neighborhood?
22	A:	Roughly 20 minutes.
23	Q:	Where did the phone go next after it left that
24	neighborh	ood?
25	A:	McDonald's.
- 1	İ '	

1	Q:	Do you remember where that McDonald's was?
2	A:	I don't.
3	Q:	And how long was the phone at the McDonald's?
4	A:	Fifteen minutes.
5	Q:	Where did the phone go after the McDonald's?
6	A:	An apartment complex.
7	Q:	Do you remember where the apartment complex was?
8	A:	I don't.
9	Q:	Do you remember what the apartment complex was called?
10	A:	Oasis I think.
11	Q:	So it goes to the the phone goes to the Oasis complex.
12	Now, where	e did the phone go after it went to the Oasis complex?
13	A:	The internet cut off so I got I didn't get any. I
14	wasn't ab	le to track it.
15	Q:	So once the phone ends up in that apartment complex, kind
16	of lost s	ignal and you couldn't figure out where the phone was
17	going anyı	more?
18	A:	Yes.
19	Q:	Okay. Where well, as the phone is moving to all of
20	these dif	ferent locations, what are you doing?
21	A:	I'm refreshing it and just telling the copy every
22	location.	
23	Q:	Okay. Now, were you ever actually with Evangelia on this
24	night?	
25	A:	I was before.
	I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	Q:	So when you say before, when were you with her?
2	A:	Around one I want to say.
3	Q:	And so was that 1 a.m. or 1 p.m.?
4	A:	One a.m.
5	Q:	Now, where were the two of you together?
6	A:	I don't remember.
7	Q:	And so what happens at 1 o'clock in the morning?
8	A:	She takes me home.
9	Q:	Okay. So at 1 o'clock in the morning she went back to
10	your hou	use with you?
11	A:	Around the neighborhood, yeah.
12	Q:	How did you guys get there?
13	, MS	SPELLS: Objection. Relevance.
14	THE	E COURT: No. Overruled. Go ahead.
15	BY MS. S	SUDANO:
16	Q:	How did the two of you get to your house?
17	A:	Bus I believe.
18	Q:	So she takes you back to your house on the bus. If you
19	know, wł	nere did she go after that?
20	A:	The bus stop that's near my house.
21	Q:	What bus stop is that?
22	A:	The one on I want to say on Flamingo.
23	Q:	Flamingo and what?
24	A:	I don't remember.
25	Q:	So you two get back to your house taking the bus and then
		173

as far as	you know Evangelia gets on the bus stop at Flamingo. Do
you know	where she was going?
A:	The bus stop near the suites.
Q:	So is that the one at Flamingo and Boulder Highway?
A:	Yes.
Q:	And were you two in communication as she's traveling back
and forth	on the on the bus down Flamingo?
A:	Yeah.
Q:	Do you know where she was when she stopped responding to
you?	
A:	The bus stop.
Q:	So she texted you or told you before that she was at that
bus stop?	
A:	Yes.
Q:	And then that's when you stopped getting calls from her?
A:	Yes.
Q:	Or I'm sorry, text from her. Now, at any point after
that did	you actually leave your house?
. A:	No.
Q:	So all of your involvement in this is just over the phone
with the p	police?
A:	Yes.
Ω:	How is it that you got into contact with the police that
night?	
A:	I got a call from them.
	174
	you know A: Q: A: Q: and forth A: Q: you? A: Q: bus stop? A: Q: that did A: Q: with the part of the pa

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

1	Q:	One of the officers called you?
2	A:	Yes.
3	Q:	And is that when you started relaying the information
4	A:	Yes.
5	Q:	to the officer? And were you using well what kind
6	of phone	do you have?
7	A:	iPhone.
8	Q:	And so were you using your iPhone to track Evangelia's
9	iPhone?	
10	A:	My sister's iPhone at first.
11	MS.	SUDANO: Your Honor, no further questions.
12	THE	COURT: Okay. Cross?
13	MS.	SPELLS: Court's brief indulgence.
14		[Defense counsel conferring]
15	MC	SPELLS: Your Honor, we'll pass the witness.
15	MS.	oredes. Tour honor, we if pass the wreness.
16		COURT: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate
	THE	
16	THE	COURT: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate
16 17	THE it. You	COURT: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate can go ahead and step down. You'll be excused.
16 17 18	THE it. You	COURT: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate can go ahead and step down. You'll be excused. WITNESS: Thank you.
16 17 18 19	THE it. You THE THE	COURT: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate can go ahead and step down. You'll be excused. WITNESS: Thank you. COURT: Thank you again.
16 17 18 19 20	THE it. You THE THE	COURT: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate can go ahead and step down. You'll be excused. WITNESS: Thank you. COURT: Thank you again. You got somebody else?
16 17 18 19 20 21	THE it. You THE THE	COURT: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate can go ahead and step down. You'll be excused. WITNESS: Thank you. COURT: Thank you again. You got somebody else? SUDANO: Your Honor, can we approach briefly?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE it. You THE THE THE	COURT: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate can go ahead and step down. You'll be excused. WITNESS: Thank you. COURT: Thank you again. You got somebody else? SUDANO: Your Honor, can we approach briefly? COURT: Sure.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE it. You THE THE THE	COURT: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate can go ahead and step down. You'll be excused. WITNESS: Thank you. COURT: Thank you again. You got somebody else? SUDANO: Your Honor, can we approach briefly? COURT: Sure. [Bench conference commenced at 3:20 p.m.]

other officer is supposed to be here at 4, so I can see if he's on 1 2 his way --THE COURT: Yeah. We'll take --3 MS. SUDANO: -- to get here a little bit quicker. 4 THE COURT: That's fine. We'll take a break -- break. 5 need a break. 6 7 MS. SUDANO: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. How long do you anticipate? 8 MS. SUDANO: He won't be too long. I had texted another 9 officer to see --10 THE COURT: Are we going -- are we going -- are we going to be 11 12 able to be done by 5, right? 13 MS. SUDANO: We will. Absolutely. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. MS. SUDANO: We'll be done by 5. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPELLS: We have to give the lady her break between four 17 and five. She needs to take her shot between four and five. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 MS. SPELLS: So --20 MS. SUDANO: I only have one. I called all my other officers 21 and they're all graveyard officers, so [indecipherable] got my 22 23 messages now. THE COURT: So just tell me [indecipherable] we'll be in a 24 break for a few minutes and then we will [indecipherable] ready to 25

go [indecipherable] -- okay.

21.

[Bench conference concluded at 3:21 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right. We're going to take a short break. I don't know exactly how long it's going to be. Your shot at 4 o'clock?

UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll try to work it so that we can have a break right around 4 o'clock. This might be a ten minute break. It might be a 30 minute break. I'm not quite sure how it's going to work. Just go relax. Have a bathroom break. Take it easy.

I'll admonish the member of the jury -- I have to say this to you every time whether it's a two minute break or an overnight break. And I want to -- let me slow down and I'll say it slow to make sure you know what I'm saying.

I admonish the members of the jury not to converse or discuss amongst themselves or with anyone else on any subject connected or related to this trial. Nor watch, listen or read any read any reports or commentaries regarding this trial through any medium of information; that means radio, television, newspapers, internet, telephone, iMessages, anything you can possibly dream up. Don't watch, listen or read anything about this trial. Don't form or express any opinions on any subject connected or related to this trial until such time that this case had been finally submitted.

All right. You guys go relax. We'll see you in a little while, probably -- probably about 10 or 15 minutes.

1	[Outside the presence of the jury]
2	THE COURT: All right. We're outside the presence of the
3	jury. Anything we need to cover? You're all good?
4	MS. SPELLS: We're all good, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MS. SUDANO: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	[Recess taken from 3:23 p.m. to 4:02 p.m.]
8	[Outside the presence of the jury]
9	THE COURT: We're outside the presence of the jury. Anything
10	we need to address? We're going to how many witnesses do you
11	think we can get on?
12	MS. SUDANO: I only have one that was available this afternoon
13	to come down.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MS. SUDANO: So he will be short. They'll be out of here well
16	before five any way today.
17	THE COURT: Perfect. Perfect.
18	MS. SPELLS: Shall we just check with the one
19	THE COURT: She's taken her shot.
20	MS. SPELLS: Yeah. Okay.
21	THE COURT: She's all taken care of.
22	[Inside the presence of the jury]
23	THE COURT: Everybody have a seat. Will the parties stipulate
24	to the presence of the jury.
25	MR. WOOD: We will.

1	MS. SPELLS: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Very good. All right. The next witness for the
3	State is?
4	MS. SUDANO: State calls Carlos Morales please.
5	THE MARSHAL: Remain standing, raise your right hand, face the
6	Clerk.
7	CARLOS MORALES
8	having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn,
9	testified as follows:
10	THE CLERK: Please be seated and then state and spell your
11	name for the record.
12	THE WITNESS: First is Carlos. Last name Morales, M-O-R-A-L-
13	E-S.
. 14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. SUDANO:
16	Q: Sir, how are you employed?
17	A: I work for Metro.
18	Q: In what capacity?
19	A: In patrol.
20	Q: How long have you been a patrol officer?
21	A: Ten years.
22	Q: Now I want to draw your attention to July 31 st of 2015.
23	Were you working that day?
24	A: Yes.
25	Q: What was your shift on July 31 st of 2015?
	179
	1

1	A:	From 10 p.m. to 8 a.m.
2	Q:	I want to draw your attention specifically to about 3
3	a.m. on t	he morning of July $31^{\rm st}$ of 2015. Around that time, did you
4	get a cal	l regarding a cellphone?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	What was the nature of that call?
7	A:	There was a victim who had her phone taken away.
8	Q:	Now do you know where that occurred?
9	A:	It happened at Nellis and Flamingo.
10	Q:	Now did you eventually respond to that call?
11	A:	No.
12	Q:	So did some other officer respond to that call?
13	A:	Yes.
14	Q:	So you were not the primary officer on that call?
15	A:	No.
16	Q:	At some point though, do you assist with that call?
17	A:	Yes.
18	Q:	How did that come about?
19	A:	I was in a different call with my partner and we heard
20	that the	phone was pinging around Nellis and Vegas Valley which
21	which was	where we were.
22	Q:	When you say pinging what do you mean by pinging?
23	A:	There's a cellphone app you can download on your phone.
24	You regis	ter it. So just in case you lose it, you can use somebody
25	else's ph	one to find your to find your phone.

1	A: Yes.
2	Q: Okay. And was this I'm sorry, 3150 Nellis South
3	Nellis?
4	A: Yes.
5	THE COURT: You sure you you sure you don't want to stay
6	there?
7	MS. SUDANO: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	BY MS. SUDANO:
10	Q: Is that here in Clark County, Nevada?
11	A: Yes.
12	Q: Now, fair to say that the call was already in progress
13	before you and your partner responded to the Oasis Meadows
14	Apartment complex?
15	A: Yes.
16	Q: Do you know if there were any other addresses where
17	officers had responded prior to going to the apartment complex?
18	A: I think there was making something about McDonald's on
19	Nellis and Vegas Valley?
20	Q: When you got to that Oasis Meadows Apartment complex,
21	what if anything did you do?
22	A: We before we went in there we heard Officer Kunz was
23	with somebody who fit the description, so we went and backed them.
24	Q: When you say that Officer Kunz was with someone who
25	matched the description, what description are you talking about?
ı	II

1	A:	I think it was a black male with a black tank top and
2	black	black shorts.
3	Q:	And so was that the description of the person who had
4	taken the	cellphone?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	Now, you said that we went somewhere. When you say we,
7	who are your referring to?	
8	A:	Myself and Officer McFarland.
9	Q:	Was that your partner on July 31st of 2015?
10	A:	Yes. We were riding together.
11	Q:	Now were you able to make contact with Officer Kunz and
12	the indiv	idual that they had or he had stopped in the apartment
13	complex?	
14	A:	Yes.
15	Q;	Do you see that individual here in the courtroom today?
16	A:	Yes.
17	Q:	Could you please point to that individual and describe an
18	article o	f clothing that he or she is wearing today?
19	A:	He's sitting over here to my left with the blue button up
20	shirt.	
21	MS.	SUDANO: May the record reflect identification of the
22	Defendant	?
23	THE (COURT: Yes. The record will so show.
24	BY MS. SU	DANO:
25	Q:	Now where was it exactly that you first came into contact
		185

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

1	with the Defendant?
2	A: I think it was in the far end of the property in the back
3	in the by building 12 I think it was.
4	Q: Do you recall approximately what time it was that you
5	first made contact with the Defendant near Building 12?
6	A: No.
7	Q: Would looking at the dispatch log refresh your
8	recollection as to
9	A: Yes.
10	Q: at the time you you made it to the complex?
11	A: Yes.
12	MS. SUDANO: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	I'm showing you the dispatch log and also showing you,
14	Officer, the list of call signs for that time.
15	THE WITNESS: Three, forty.
16	BY MS. SUDANO:
17	Q: You arrived at the apartment complex at 3:40?
18	A: We assigned ourselves at 3:40 and then yeah, around
19	roughly the same time.
20	Q: And is that A.M.?
21	A: Yes.
22	Q: Now when you arrived at that apartment complex at 3:40 in
23	the morning, were there a lot of people outside?
24	A: No.
25	Q: In fact, were there any other attendants or any people
	186

1	other tha	n the Metro officers outside?
2	A:	Correct.
3	Q:	Correct, there were no other people?
4	A:	Nobody else. Just us.
5	Q:	Okay. Now, once you arrived in the area where Officer
6	Kunz and	the Defendant were, what if anything did you do?
7	A:	I walked to him and asked him for his information.
8	Q:	When you say him, do you mean the Defendant?
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	Did he provide you with his information?
11	A:	Yes.
12	Q:	Now at some point does anybody else arrive at the
13	apartment	complex that night?
14	A:	Yes. '
15	Q:	Who arrived at the apartment complex?
16	A:	It was Officer Navarro with victim.
17	Q:	What was the purpose of Officer Navarro and the victim
18	arriving?	
19	A:	To see if the person we had stopped was the person who
20	took the	victim's phone.
21	Q:	Where were you when Officer Navarro and the victim
22	arrived?	
23	A:	In inside my patrol car.
24	Q:	What if anything did you do at that point?
25	A:	Just I was just checking his information, run him
		187

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

1	individual who had taken her cellphone?	
2	A: Yes.	
3	MS. SUDANO: Your Honor, may I approach the Clerk?	
4	THE COURT: Sure.	
5	MS. SUDANO: May I approach the witness?	
6	THE COURT: Sure.	
7	BY MS. SUDANO:	
8	Q: Officer Morales, I am approaching with State's proposed	
9	Exhibits 11, 12 and 13. If you could just look through those and	
10	let me know if you recognize what's depicted there generally.	
11	A: Here's Nellis and here's Vegas Valley and the apartment	
12	should be the entrance should be right here. We were way in the	
13	back corner.	
14	Q: Okay. So you're looking now at State's proposed Exhibit	
15	11 and you've identified as the intersection of Vegas Valley and	
16	Nellis just generally?	
17	A: Uh-huh.	
18	Q: Is that a fair and accurate depiction of the area of	
19	Vegas Valley and Nellis on July 31 st of 2015?	
20	A: Yes.	
21	Q: Okay. Now let's go on to State's proposed Exhibit 12.	
22	Do you recognize what's depicted there?	
23	A: Yes.	
24	Q: And was it that just generally?	
25	A: Here's here's Nellis and [indecipherable] Valley	
	189	

7	Vegas Valley would be right here and then this is the entrance to
2	the Oasis. And I think we were some where back back here.
3	Q: Okay. And again, is this a fair and accurate depiction
4	of that apartment complex at 3150 Nellis on July 31st, 2015?
5	A: Yes.
6	Q: Okay. And then moving onto State's proposed Exhibit 13;
7	do you recognize just generally what's depicted in State's proposed
8	Exhibit 13?
9	A: Yes. It's the Oasis apartment complex.
10	Q: And is that a fair and accurate depiction of the
11	apartment complex on July 31st of 2015?
12	A: Yes.
13	MS. SUDANO: Your Honor, at this time, the State would move
14	for admission of proposed Exhibits 11, 12 and 13.
15	THE COURT: Any objections?
16	MR. WOOD: No objection, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Eleven, twelve and thirteen are all admitted.
18	[State's Exhibits 11, 12 and 13 admitted]
19	BY MS. SUDANO:
20	Q: Your Honor, permission to publish?
21	A: Yes.
. 22	Q: Officer Morales, I'm showing you up on the screen here
23	State's Exhibit 11. So can you mark on the screen if you
24	actually touch the screen it'll draw on it.
25	A: Okay.

1	THE COURT: Touch the screen. You can go like that and then -		
2	-		
3	BY MS. SUDANO:		
4	Q: If you hit the bottom right corner or the bottom left		
5	corner, I'm not sure which in this department, it'll clear out.		
6	A: It did.		
7	THE COURT: I'll clear it for you.		
8	BY MS. SUDANO:		
9	Q: So just generally what are we looking at here?		
10	A: Here's here's Nellis. And here's apartment complex		
. 11	right there.		
12	Q: And that's at 3155 South Nellis you were talking about?		
13	A: Yes.		
14	Q: And it's the Oasis the Oasis Meadows Apartment		
15	complex?		
16	A: Yes.		
17	Q: And there are a couple other areas marked on this map.		
18	Can		
19	THE COURT: You want me to clear it?		
20	MS. SUDANO: You don't have to clear it yet, Your Honor. No,		
21	thank you.		
22	So, can you see up at the top right there's an address on		
23			
24	THE WITNESS: Right here.		
25	BY MS. SUDANO:		
	101		

]] Q:	Yeah. What is that address?
A:	That's the McDonald's address.
Q:	Okay. And that's the 2886 South Nellis?
A:	Yes.
Q;	And is that the where was that located?
A:	At the corner of Vegas Valley and Nellis.
Q:	Okay. I didn't realize this is actually a little bit cu
off, but	you've got something that says Boulder Highway on the
bottom 1	eft.
A:	And Flamingo.
Q:	And so that's in the area of Boulder Highway and
Flamingo?	
A:	Yes. Right here.
Q:	So you just highlighted Flamingo for us?
A:	Yes, and Nellis.
Q:	Now is there anything significant about that intersection
down the	re at Boulder Highway and Flamingo as it relates to this
particul	ar case?
A:	I think this is where the I think the victim the
victim w	as at a bus stop which is on the corner right here.
Q:	So right there on that corner near Boulder Highway and
Flamingo	and Nellis?
A:	Yes.
MS.	SUDANO: Could you clear that one out please, Your Honor?
Thank yo	ı.,
	Q: A: Q: A: Q: off, but bottom 1 A: Q: Flamingo A: Q: down the: particula A: victim wa Q: Flamingo A:

1	Now, I'm showing you Exhibit 12. What's depicted here i	
2	Exhibit 12?	
3	THE WITNESS: Here's here's Nellis and this is Oasis.	
4	BY MS. SUDANO:	
5	Q: And so that's the apartment complex that we were talking	
6	about earlier?	
7	A: Yes.	
8	Q: Now, I'm going to show you Exhibit 13. Thank you, Your	
9	Honor. What's depicted there in Exhibit 13?	
10	A: The Oasis complex again.	
11	Q: Now when I was up at the witness stand with you you	
12	started to indicated that the entrance to the complex and where yo	
13	responded.	
14	A: Nellis runs	
15	THE COURT: I don't know what's going on.	
16	THE WITNESS: Okay. Here we go. That's Nellis.	
17	BY MS. SUDANO:	
18	Q: So running north, south on this photo?	
19	A: Uh-huh. This is the entrance and we went to go back	
20	Kunz in the back over right there.	
21	Q: So, the top right corner I guess is the northeast top	
22	corner of this complex; is that fair?	
23	A: Yes.	
24	Q: And do you recall specifically where in that corner or	
25	just generally that corner?	

7	A:	I'm not sure if it was this that walkway of this
2	walkway.	It was one of those two walkways.
3	Q:	Okay. So one of the two upper most right buildings is
4	is generally where you responded to?	
5	A:	Yeah.
6	Q:	Now, just to clarify, when you were going back there,
7	were ther	e a lot of people back in that corner?
8	A:	No. It was it was pretty dark. There was no lights
9	in betwee	n the the buildings.
10	Q:	So there were no lights at all back there?
11	A:	No.
12	MS.	SUDANO: Your Honor, at this time I have no further
13	questions	•
14	THE	COURT: Okay. Cross examination.
15		CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. WO	OD:
17	Q:	Officer, you said you've been with Metro for a while,
18	correct?	
19	A:	Yes.
20	Q:	You've I think you said ten years, correct?
21	A:	Yes.
22	Q:	And I'm guessing in that time you probably handled a lot
23	of cases	like this before, correct?
24	A:	Yes.
25	Q:	Have you worked in any other units in Metro while you've
		194

1	been there for the past ten years?
2	A: No. I've been on graveyards for ten years in patrol.
3	Q: Always with patrol. Now, you're familiar with various
4	theft crimes, correct?
5	A: Yes.
6	Q: You're actually familiar with pickpocket crimes, correct?
7	A: Yes.
8	Q: Purse snatches?
9	MS. SUDANO: Your Honor, I'm going to object and ask to
10	approach.
11	THE COURT: Sure.
12	[Bench conference commenced at 4:25 p.m.]
13	THE COURT: [indecipherable]
14	MR. WOOD: I think it goes towards his experience. I think he
15	can speak to it as an officer.
16	THE COURT: [indecipherable] crime of petty larceny as opposed
17	to larceny from the person.
18	MR. WOOD: No. I'm just going to ask what [indecipherable] in
19	terms of pickpocketing.
20	MS. SUDANO: My concern obviously is that [indecipherable] get
21	to the [indecipherable] from this jury through this officer. I
22	don't think he could speak to [indecipherable] specific case or
23	charging decisions that were made.
24	MR. WOOD: I'm not going to ask him about any charging
25	decisions [indecipherable]

MS. SUDANO: I think I'm going to also ask that we not go into okay, what's your understanding of what a pickpocket is and what's your understanding of what a person [indecipherable] laying out the elements to a crime.

THE COURT: Well, it's [indecipherable] if we're getting to explain these elements [indecipherable] elements of petty larceny [indecipherable] explain the elements of the larceny from a person.

MS. SUDANO: And I don't disagree with that. I just don't think that it's proper to ask the officers what their interpretation of anything is.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going [indecipherable] you need to clear that he's not the one [indecipherable] petty larceny or larceny from a person. Those kind of decisions [indecipherable] somebody [indecipherable] a crime [indecipherable] to determine [indecipherable] -- all right. Go ahead.

[Bench conference concluded at 4:26 p.m.]

BY MR. WOOD:

Q: Well, I'm going to go back a little bit, Officer. You -you weren't responsible for any charging decisions in this case,
correct?

A: No.

Q: And you had a very limited sort of piece of this case?

You -- you were the one who ultimately saw the suspect, correct?

And took him into custody, correct?

A: No.

1	Q:	That was?
2	A:	Officer Kunz saw him first.
3	Q:	Okay. And you were there for the show up then, correct?
4	A:	Yes.
5	Q:	Now, going back to your experience. You've dealt with a
6	pickpocke	t crime before, correct?
7	A:	Yes.
8	Q:	And essentially those crimes can be done in a lot of
9	different	ways, correct?
10	A:	Yes.
11	Q:	You could have someone fake a bump and and reach in
12	and take	something, correct?
13	A:	Yes.
14	Q:	You could have multiple people working in a group to kind
15	of facili	tate that, correct?
16	A:	Yes.
17	Q:	Same sort of thing with purse snatching, correct?
18	A:	Yes.
19	Q:	It can be done in a number of different ways, right?
20	A:	Yes.
21	Q:	And do you know or don't you know it's probably fair
22	to say th	at it's something that's pretty prevalent especially on
23	the strip	?
24	A:	Yes.
25	Q:	And, in fact, isn't isn't there a unit that
		197

1	specifically deals with crimes that are on the strip?	
2	A: Yes.	
3	Q: And that's like a tourist crime's unit, correct?	
4	A: Yes.	
5	Q: And they handle a lot of this kind of stuff, right?	
6	A: Yes.	
7	Q: Now, are you aware whether Metro sometimes will have an	
8	officer pretend to be a citizen?	
9	A: Pretend to be a citizen?	
10	Q: For for instance, are you aware of whether or not	
11	Metro has had officers impersonate a citizen with money hanging out	
12	of their pockets?	
13	A: No. I haven't heard anything like that.	
14	Q: So you're not aware if Metro ever had a cop pretend to be	
15	drunk and have money hanging out?	
16	MS. SUDANO: Your Honor, at this point I'm going to object to	
17	relevance.	
18	THE COURT: This is getting	
19	THE WITNESS: Drunk.	
20	THE COURT: quite a bit off. I mean, this has nothing to	
21	do with the bait crime. Move on.	
22	BY MR. WOOD:	
23	Q: Now, you come upon police come upon Mr. Ibarra,	
24	correct? Correct?	
25	A: I'm sorry.	
1:		

1	ا <u>ن</u> :	Police come upon Mr. Ibarra?
2	A:	Ms
3	Q:	At the at the back of the complex, the suspect?
4	A:	Oh. When Kunz found him?
5	Q:	Correct.
6	A:	Yes. We went and backed him. Yes.
7	Q:	And initially you said he standing outside by the patrol
8	vehicle,	correct?
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	And then you get word that a victim is going to be
11	showing	up, correct?
12	A:	Yes.
13	Q:	And based on that you get out of your car, correct?
14	A:	Yes.
15	Q:	And it's kind of dark out, so you move the suspect into
16	the spot	lights, correct?
17	A:	Yes, 'cause the victim's driving exactly right behind us
18	and he c	an't see through the cars or through the windows 'cause
19	their ti	nted. So I had to move him over and then move the spot
20	lights i	nto him.
21	Q:	And your testimony was that there was no one else around
22	besides	Metro at that point, correct, in that area?
23	A:	Correct.
24	Q:	And you were standing next to the suspect, correct?
25	A:	Yes.
	Ī	

You were in your --1 Q: 2 Uniform. **A**: -- uniform? So there was no mistaking -- there's only 3 Q:one person out there who's not wearing a uniform, correct? 4 5 A: Correct. MR. WOOD: Court's indulgence. 6 7 [Defense counsel conferring] MR. WOOD: We'll pass. 8 THE COURT: Any redirect? 9 Briefly, Your Honor. 10 MS. SUDANO: REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MS. SUDANO: Mr. Morales, you were asked some questions about some of 13 the crimes that you've investigated in your ten years with Metro; 14 do you remember those questions? 15 **A**: 16 Yes. Now, you were asked, you know, whether or not you've seen 17 Q:18 cases where somebody snatches a purse or, you know, takes property from somebody in a violent way, things along those lines; do you 19 remember that? Remember being asked about purse snatchings for 20 21 instance? 22 **A**: Yes. Have you also seen cases where somebody would use maybe a 23 24 trick or arouse to take property from another person? 25 **A**: Yes.

1	Q: Now, you're also asked questions about the tourist crime
2	unit, right; do you remember those?
3	A: Yes.
4	Q: And crimes about or questions about maybe some of the
5	bait programs at Metro employs?
6	A: Yes.
7	Q: I just want to clarify. This wasn't a tourist crime,
8	right?
9	A: No.
10	Q: And it wasn't a bait crime, right?
11	A: No.
12	Q: So it wasn't a crime that was strike that to your
13	knowledge this crime happened to an actual citizen who lives in Las
14	Vegas valley?
15	A: Yes.
16	MS. SUDANO: Your Honor, no further questions.
17	THE COURT: The recross?
18	MR. WOOD: Briefly, Your Honor.
19	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. WOOD:
21	Q: Do you recall this day just asking a line of questions
22	about this wasn't a bait crime?
23	A: Yes.
24	Q: What is a bait crime?
25	A: It's when a specialized unit goes out and they have some
	201

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

-- an officer set up as a victim, would go somewhere and sit down where it's a high violent crime. Just sit down and wait 'til somebody come up and commit a crime.

MR. WOOD: No further questions.

THE COURT: Is that it?

MS. SUDANO: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony.

Appreciate it. You can go ahead and step down. You're excused.

Thank you once again, Officer.

All right. We are out of witnesses for today. You're going to get an early out. And I think and if I understand correctly, you're going to show him the parking -- you've already done that and you're going to take care of all that?

THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE MARSHAL: I will.

THE COURT: So we're going to have an overnight recess. For heaven's sakes, don't do anything silly. Pay attention to what I'm telling you about not watching, listening or reading anything that has to do with this case. Don't get on the internet and look stuff up. Just go home and watch football. Just relax.

If you see something that looks like it could be related to this case and that is a possibility although not overly likely, just as soon as you recognize it and it could have something to do with this case, just stop reading, okay. You'll have plenty of

time to do that if you choose to later.

So, we're going to shoot for 10 o'clock. I've gone through the calendar and I think we can -- I think we can start right around 10 o'clock, okay. And is there a possibility that we might be done with your case?

MS. SUDANO: I think that it's a certainty that we'll finish the State's case tomorrow. Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. We might, you know, go through this fairly quickly. Impressive. All right. So, you guys are going to get your parking directions and you're going to meet over here in the morning at 10 o'clock. Make sure when you're walking through the building keep badge on. Avoid contact with anybody who has anything to do with this case. And as I said, don't get upset if you see somebody out in the hallway and they just don't say anything because that's how we tell everybody do it. All right.

So, during this overnight recess I admonish the members of the jury not to converse or discuss amongst themselves or with anyone else on any subject connected or related to this trial.

Don't watch [indecipherable] or read any reports or commentary during this trial through any medium of information meaning radio, television, newspapers, internet. And don't form or express any opinions on any subject connect or related to this trial until such time as this case has been finally submitted. And it might be sooner than we thought. All right. All right. Go relax. We'll see you guys in the morning.

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected or certified to be an accurate transcript. Court Recorder/Trans@rijber

RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT 2 3 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 STATE OF NEVADA, 9 CASE NO. C-15-308774-1 Plaintiff, 10 DEPT. XVII vs. 11 GABRIEL IBARRA, 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES BIXLER, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 16 TUESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2015 17 ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: 18 JURY TRIAL - DAY 2 19 APPEARANCES: 20 MICHELLE SUDANO, ESQ. For the State: 21 Deputy District Attorney 22 JASMIN D. SPELLS, ESQ. For the Defendant: 23 JEREMY WOOD, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders 24 RECORDED BY: MICHELLE RAMSEY, COURT RECORDER 25 ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra C-15-308774-1

INDEX OF WITNESS(ES)

3	NAME	PAGE
4	NATHANIEL NAVARRO	
	Direct Examination	5
5	Cross Examination	13
	Redirect Examination	15
6	Recross Examination	16
7	PAUL KUNZ	
8	Direct Examination	18
	_	
9		

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT
State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra
C-15-308774-1

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra C-15-308774-1

MR. WOOD: You can't commit a larceny from the person without committing a petit larceny or a larceny in general.

THE COURT: Yeah, depending on the value, you committed a larceny from the person, and you would have also committed a petit larceny if it's under \$3500 or whatever it is. So every larceny from the person under \$3500 would include the same elements of committing a petit larceny. Not so a larceny -- I mean, a petit larceny. A petit larceny is committed with taking property --

MR. WOOD: Without -- yeah.

THE COURT: -- from the person. So if you take property that doesn't amount to taking it from the person and a value under \$650, it's petit larceny. So the -- the element that's not in a petit larceny that's in the larceny from the person is that the taking has to be from a person and not in constructive possession, in actual possession.

[Jury enters the courtroom]

THE MARSHAL: All present and accounted.

THE COURT: Everyone, please have a seat. We'll be -- do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. WOOD: We do.

MS. SUDANO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very good. Good morning. Good morning.

THE JURY: [en masse] Good morning.

THE COURT: So we're ready for the next witness. And just to give you a little heads-up pregiew, the State is going to call

1	a couple witnesses, and they're probably going to rest, and then
2	we'll see what's going to happen on the other side. So I think
3	she needs her
4	Believe it or not, we're already closing in on the
5	end. Okay. And who is the next witness?
6	MS. SUDANO: The State calls Nathaniel Navarro.
7	THE MARSHAL: Remain standing and raise your right hand to
8	be sworn.
9	NATHANIEL NAVARRO
10	being first duly sworn as a witness, testified as
11	follows:
12	THE CLERK: Please be seated and then state and spell your
13	name for the record.
14	THE WITNESS: My name is Officer Navarro. That's first
15	name, it's Nathaniel, N-A-T-H-A-N-I-E-L. Last name Navarro, N-A-
16	V-A-R-R-O.
17	THE COURT: Go ahead.
18	MS. SUDANO: Thank Your Honor.
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. SUDANO:
21	Q Sir, how are you employed?
22	A I'm employed by Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
23	Department.
24	Q In what capacity?
25	A Patrol officer. 5

1	Q	How long have you been a patrol officer?
2	A	Approximately almost two years now:
3	Q	I'm going to draw your attention to July 31st of 2015.
4	Were you wo	rking that day?
5	A	Yes, ma'am.
6	Q	What was your shift?
7	A	Graveyard shift.
8	Q	So what time to what time?
9	A	From 2200 to 0800.
10	Q	Is that 10:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m.?
11	A	That's correct.
12	Q	Now, at some point during that shift, did you get a
13	call regard	ing a stolen cell phone?
14	A	That is correct.
15	Q	Do you recall what time that call came in?
16	A	Approximately about 3:30, 3:36.
17	Q	3:36 a.m.?
18	Ά	That's correct.
19	Q	Did you respond to that call?
20	Α	Yes, I did.
21	Q	What time did you respond to the call?
22	А	About 3:36.
23	Q	So can you explain how the call came in and you
24	responded al	bout the same time?
25	А	Actually, I was flagged down by the victim. I was

1	crossing Flamingo, going westbound, crossing Boulder Highway. I
2	was flagged down by the victim themselves about 3:36.
3	Q So had the victim initiated a call to 911 or 311 to
4	dispatch prior to you arriving at 3:36?
5	A That is correct.
6	Q Was that approximately 2:50 a.m.?
7	A That is correct.
8	Q So you just get flagged down at 3:36 a.m.?
9	A Yes.
10	Q What do you do after you get flagged down by the
11	victim?
12	A Basically, I pulled over, speak to the victim, asked
13	her what was going on. Found out that she was related to a call
14	that was generated. She told me what happened.
15	Q Now, what was the victim's name in this particular
16	case?
17	A I believe her name is Evangelina [sic throughout]
18	Mantikas.
19	Q Did she report just generally that the phone was
20	her phone was taken from her?
21	A Well, she stated that she was sitting at the bus stop
22	
23	MR. WOOD: Objection; hearsay.
24	THE COURT: Well
25	MS. SUDANO: And I just want 7 to get just generally the nature

State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra C-15-308774-1 I take the victim and we conduct a show-up.

'	in minu, cm	is may not be the person, it is important you don't
2	identify the	em just because they're here?
3	A	That is correct.
4	Q	Now, did you read the victim in this particular case
5	those instr	uctions?
6	A	That is correct.
7	Q	Did you do that before you conducted the show-up with
8	her?	
9	A	Before I conducted the show-up.
10	Q	Now, approximately how far away from well, was the
11	victim in y	our car with you the entire time during the show-up?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Was the suspect in a car or was he out on the street?
14	A	He was out on the street well, parking lot, so
15	Q	How far from the suspect was the victim in your car at
16	the time you	u did the show-up?
17	A	I'd say maybe approximately like 10 yards. About 5
18	5, 10, maybe	e less than 10, so
19	· Q	5 to 10 yards?
20	A	Yeah.
21	Ω	Now, what was the lighting situation just generally in
22	that parking	g lot?
23	A	As far as just the streetlights, but when we have our
24	overhead lie	ghts on and all our lights on on our vehicle, it pretty
25	much illumi	nates whatever we're facing.
- 1	1	

1	Q	Was the victim in this particular case able to make an
2	identificati	on of the suspect who took her phone?
3	A	That is correct.
4	Q	And she indicated that the person that was detained
5	was the same	individual who had taken her phone?
6	A	That is correct.
7	Q	Now, do you see that individual here in the courtroom
8	today?	
9	Α .	To be honest, I don't recall as far as
10.	Q	Did you ever have any face to face interaction with
11	that individ	lual?
12	A	No, I did not.
13	Q	It was just you driving up in your car?
14	A	That's correct.
15	Q .	Now, approximately what time was it when you took the
16	victim over	to the Oasis Meadows apartment complex to conduct the
17	show-up?	
18	A	It was approximately about four like 4:30, 4 I'd
19	say like 4:3	35, 4:36.
20	Q	I apologize, what was the time again, Officer?
21	A	Like 4:35-ish.
22	Q	4:30 or 5:00 a.m.?
23	A	Yeah,
24	Q	And you responded to the call initially at 3:36 a.m.?
25	A	That is correct. 12

1	Q The initial call came out at I'm sorry, at 2:50
2	a.m.?
3	A 2:50, yeah.
4	MS. SUDANO: Court's indulgence.
5	BY MS. SUDANO:
6	Q Now, after you conducted this show-up with the victim,
7	did you have any further involvement in this particular case?
8	A No. As far as just helping her recover the phone
9	itself, as far as just
10	Q Are you just
11	A Just standing by waiting till like I.D. took pictures,
12	and that's it. I just stood by.
13	MS. SUDANO: No further questions, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Cross.
	·
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15 16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WOOD:
16	BY MR. WOOD:
16 17	BY MR. WOOD: Q So you were driving around, and you were hailed down
16 17 18	BY MR. WOOD: Q So you were driving around, and you were hailed down by Ms. Mantikas, correct?
16 17 18 19	BY MR. WOOD: Q So you were driving around, and you were hailed down by Ms. Mantikas, correct? A Yes, sir.
16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. WOOD: Q So you were driving around, and you were hailed down by Ms. Mantikas, correct? A Yes, sir. Q And she indicated that she had a problem, and she
16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. WOOD: Q So you were driving around, and you were hailed down by Ms. Mantikas, correct? A Yes, sir. Q And she indicated that she had a problem, and she needed your assistance?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. WOOD: Q So you were driving around, and you were hailed down by Ms. Mantikas, correct? A Yes, sir. Q And she indicated that she had a problem, and she needed your assistance? A That is correct, sir.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. WOOD: Q So you were driving around, and you were hailed down by Ms. Mantikas, correct? A Yes, sir. Q And she indicated that she had a problem, and she needed your assistance? A That is correct, sir. Q And part of that was that her phone had been stolen,

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT
State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra
C-15-308774-1

1	Q And the value of that phone was less than \$650,
2	correct?
3	MS. SUDANO: Objection as to speculation, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Well
5	BY MR. WOOD:
6	Q Would it refresh your recollection to review the
. 7	report?
8	A Sure.
9	[Counsel confer]
10	MR. WOOD: May I approach, Your Honor?
11	THE COURT: Sure.
12	BY MR. WOOD:
13	Q Officer, I'm going to show you a page from the report
14	on this case. I just want to have you read this first paragraph
15	right there. Let me know when you're done.
16	A (Witness reading document). Okay. I'm done.
17	Q Did that refresh your recollection?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q That phone was under 650, correct?
20	A That is correct, sir.
21	Q After you received some indication that a suspect had
22	been caught, correct, and you you drove Ms. Mantikas over
23	there?
24	A That's correct, sir.
25	Q And he was standing b $_{rac{1}{4}}$ himself, correct?
i	

1	don't know."
2	By MR. WOOD:
3	Q To your knowledge, there was a report that was done on
4	this case, correct?
5	A That is correct.
6	Q And you just reviewed that report, correct?
.7	A That is correct.
8	Q That report was not written by you, though, correct?
9	A It was not written by me.
10	Q But it was written by someone who was involved in this
11	case, correct?
12	A That is correct.
13	Q And you had no reason to disbelieve anything that's in
14	that report, correct?
15	A Correct.
16	MR. WOOD: No further questions.
17	THE COURT: Anything else?
18	MS. SUDANO: No, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony.
20	Appreciate it.
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: You can go ahead and step down. You'll be
23	excused.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
25	MS. SUDANO: The State's next witness is Paul Kunz.

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra C-15-308774-1

The McDonald's is connected to a gas station.

Α

1

State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra C-15-308774-1

A Numerous other officers that responded there, the ones that were at the McDonald's, relocated over there because that's where the GPS updated. I know another officer had stopped two individuals, but didn't believe they were related, so we were still locating. Officer Hibbetts was still getting GPS updates from the phone that it was moving northeast through the complex, so we were still trying to locate.

Q Okay. Now, approximately what time was it that you arrived at the Oasis Meadows complex?

A The traffic stop I conducted was right across the street, so probably two minutes after I initially made the traffic stop. So around 3:50, I believe.

Q Okay. So 3:50 a.m., you end up in the apartment complex?

A Approximately, yes.

Q Were there any other people around in the complex?

A There was the two persons that I believe Officer Woodard stopped. He had been in contact with them while other officers went to assist him just in case. And I continued locating.

Q Now, other than those two people and the officers were there, any other people out and about in the complex at 3:50 in the morning?

A Not that I saw, no. 25

	_	-
2	A	Initially, I was probably about 50 yards away.
3	Ω	What, if anything, did you do when you saw the
4	Defendant f	rom about 50 yards away?
5	A	I continued to close distance on him because it's a
6	large compl	ex, and people often run through there. So I wanted to
7	make sure I	was a bit closer before I identified myself.
8	Q	Were you eventually able to close that distance?
9	A	I was.
10	Q	Did you have constant sight of the Defendant while you
11	were closing that distance?	
12	A	I lost him when he turned around the building both
13	times.	
14	Q	Approximately how long was he out of your your
15	sight?	
16	A	A total of a minute.
17	Q	Were you eventually able to contact him?
18	A	I was.
19	Q	How did that come about?
20	A	He was continued to after he made that east turn,
21	he turned s	outh, and then he was on the north side or, sorry,
22	the east sign	de of the building where I initially saw him. I caught
23	up to him the	here. Said, Metro Police, I need to talk to you for a
24	minute. He	stopped, and I asked him he stayed in the complex. He
25	informed me	he didn't. I told him, hey, we're looking for someone

1 when you first saw him in the complex?

State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra C-15-308774-1

23 24 25	Q So it was at that corner? A Yes. 33		
	Q So it was at that corner?		
23			
	A Yes, there.		
22	right here?		
21	Q So the top right corner that I'm marking with my pen		
20	A The bush is in this corner here.		
19	where the cell phone was located at?		
18	Q Okay. Now, where in that series of events is the bush		
17	building and then down.		
16	him. He was standing about right here. He walked up around the		
15	A I was initially here in the parking lot. He I saw		
14	traveling?		
13	around Building 13 for you to contact him? What direction was he		
12	Q So can you mark on there for us the how did he go		
11	in this area.		
10	- or I visually saw him there, and I came in contact with him here		
9	this were to be Building 13, I located him here, and then I came -		
8	building because they all look the same at this location. But if		
7	A Okay. I initially I'm not sure of the exact		
6	is upside down. There we go. State's Exhibit 13.		
5	the Defendant, what area of this complex? It's upside down. This		
4	Q Just generally, where did you come into contact with		
3	A It is.		
2	Q Is that the Oasis Meadows apartment complex?		

1	Q	Is that right?	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	I'm showing you here Exhibit 4. Is this also the back	
4	corner of that building?		
5	A	Yes.	
6	Q	So this photo, Exhibit 4, would correspond with this	
7	area right w	up in here	
8	A	That's correct.	
9	Q Q	on State's Exhibit 13? That corner, the top right	
10	corner?		
11	I'm showing	you next Exhibit 5. What's depicted in Exhibit 5?	
12	A	The door marker for Apartment 1124.	
13	Q ,	What's the significance of Apartment 1124?	
14	A	That's the corner apartment where the bush is located.	
15	Just outside	e of.	
16	. Ω	Going back then to Exhibit 4, where's that doorway	
17	that we saw	in Exhibit 5 on Exhibit 4?	
18	A	That doorway is just over here.	
19	Q	Now, the bush that the cell phone was located and you	
20	eventually :	saw the cell phone in, is that depicted on this photo	
21	here?		
22	A	It is.	
23	Q	Which bush are we talking about?	
24	. A	It is this bush here.	
25	Q	So that bush is actually around the back corner of	
	<u> </u>	ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT	

this building; is that correct?

1

THE COURT: You guys take a break for a minute. Here's what we've got to look forward to. We're going to -- I'm going to read you the instructions of the law as it applies to this case. Then we're going to have closing arguments. And just to remind you of how this works, being that the State has the burden of proof, you'll hear from the State twice. They'll make the initial closing argument, followed by the Defense's closing argument, and the last thing you'll hear is the State's final closing argument. And then we will designate one of you as the alternate, and the other 12 will take the instructions, all the exhibits and you'll retire to the jury deliberation to consider your verdict. Okay?

So we'll need a few minutes to sort through and get the instructions all ironed out, and then we're going to wrap this up. Okay? So we'll take a short recess. During this probably 15-minute recess -- is it going to take us longer than that to --

MS. SUDANO: Can we approach on this, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure, sure.

[Bench conference- not transcribed]

THE COURT: We're just going to do like this. We're going to take a lunch break. You guys will eat lunch, go relax, be back here at 1:00 o'clock. We'll have all of the instructions ironed out, and then we'll hear the instructions and the closing arguments, and we'll have plenty of time this afternoon to deliberate. Right?

So if it appears that $\frac{1}{28}$ have moved things along in an

effort to make sure we don't waste any time, I want you to
understand something. We're got a (indiscernible). We're in no
hurry. You guys take your time. Okay? So you'll do a thorough
examination of the evidence and deliberate thoroughly and don't
feel as though there's any kind of pressure or concern. Okay?
All right.

We're going to take an hour and half lunch break. It's 11:00 [sic] o'clock. You've got to be back here by 1:00 o'clock, and we will put this thing to rest. Okay?

During this next hour and a half lunch break, I will admonish the jury not converse or discuss this trial with yourselves or anyone else on any subject connected with anything in this trial. Don't watch, listen or read any reports or commentary regarding this trial through any medium of information — it means radio, television, newspapers or internet — and don't form or express any opinions on any subject connected or related to this trial until such time this case has been finally submitted to you, which will be real soon. Okay? All right. Go on lunch break. Relax.

THE MARSHAL: You can leave everything and follow me.

THE COURT: Yeah. You can leave -- you can leave everything right there.

[Jury exits the courtroom for lunch break at 11:30 a.m.]

[Out of the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: We outside the presence of the jury. All right.

It appears that the *Taro* case that's been relied on by both parties took that language, but didn't take the part that said it was fraud until I feel like this instruction is not a complete and accurate depiction and eliminates the -- the elements of the fraud instruction. I know that that has been overruled, but I did just want to make that objection.

THE COURT: Okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SUDANO: And then the other one was the two -- the two reasonable interpretations instruction.

THE COURT: I think you're actually referring to 17, but we didn't use the two reasonable interpretations. We used 17, I think.

MS. SUDANO: There it is. So that is the modified two reasonable conclusions. That was $_4\!$ modified based on the

1 2 N 3 i 4 C 5 - 6 x 7 C 8 C

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

MR. WOOD: Just briefly, Your Honor. First, Instruction

Number 15: "A larceny victim's consent to a taking is valid only

if that consent is freely and unconditionally given. Consent

obtained by force, arrest or fraud is ineffective." My objection

-- and we made these arguments outside off the record, but just to

reiterate, the State had cited to the Barrett case from Nevada

dealing with burglary and felonious intent. Felonious intent is

one of the elements of burglary. I objected based on that.

Also, the other case the State cited was People v. Wynn, a California case that is no longer good law in California for at least one point of law. As such, I didn't -- I believe it's confusing to the jury, and I don't know that it's necessary in this case, and so I'm objecting to that one.

And just for purposes of making sure that there's a full record, can I respond to the State's objections?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. WOOD: As the State's Instruction Number 10 -- or the Instruction Number 10 that's being given, the State argued that it was not completed. They offered the Stoffer case from California from 1906. I believe the statement that is presented to the Court, in the way that it is here now, is the correct statement. The inclusion that the State tried to include of things being attached and giving various examples, that was never mentioned until -- which is a Nevada case. It's not mentioned in any of the statutes. So I believe the version that is being given to the

24

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra C-15-308774-1

own copies back to the deliberation room. And you can follow

along as I read through the instructions, but as important, when we have our closing arguments, many of the points that will be raised during the process of closing arguments will address specific instructions.

I encourage you to make notes on your set of instructions when a particular instruction is being pointed and discussed in closing arguments. You will be able to take your set of instructions back to deliberate. If you have notes on them, I want you to have them available for it. Okay? All right.

So what happens now is I read for the record the instructions that you all have in front of you, and then we will have our closing arguments by counsel. Then you'll go deliberate. All right.

[Jury instructions read to the jury - not transcribed]

THE COURT: Ready?

MS. SUDANO: Yes, Your Honor.

STATE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT

BY MS. SUDANO:

Ladies and gentlemen, there's no one way to steal things. Throughout the course of this trial you heard about purse snatchings. You were instructed about jewel abstractors and pickpockets. One of the officers was asked about a robbery. But I told you at the very beginning of this case that this is a simple case. What you have here is a larceny from the person.

Now, in every single estiminal case the State has to

prove two things to you beyond a reasonable doubt. First the State has to prove that the crime charged was committed, and, second, we have to prove that the Defendant was the person who committed the crime.

Now, in this particular case, there's really no doubt that Gabriel Ibarra is the person who committed the crime here. So how do we know that? The victim identified the Defendant as the person who took her phone. The phone was located less than 8 feet away from the area where the Defendant is ultimately stopped by police. Now, that's after he steps out of view of the officer. If you remember Officer Kunz from this morning, he said that he initially saw the Defendant. The Defendant went around the corner of the building, and then as he's coming back, that's when he finally makes contact with the officers. They go back around the corner of that building again, and in the bush right there, less than 8 feet away, is where the Defendant stashed the phone.

Now, you also have that the officers are following the location of this phone, right? They've got this Track My iPhone app that they're using, and they getting updated information relayed to them, and they are able to use that information, and it takes them straight to the Defendant. Now, even after the Defendant has been stopped by officers, the victim comes back again and she identifies the Defendant again and says, yep, that's the person.

So right here we've got this phone where it ended up

in that bush less than 8 feet away from where the Defendant is ultimately found by the police. So, again, there's really no dispute that the Defendant is the person that we're talking about in this particular case. Instead, what we need to focus on is whether or not the crime that was charged was committed, and it was, ladies and gentlemen.

The crime that we have here is a larceny from the person. In every case, every criminal charge, there's a certain element that the State has to prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt. So a larceny from the person, the State has to prove that under circumstances not amounting to a robbery, a person who takes money, property or a thing of value from the person of another without her consent and with the intent to steal or appropriate that property to his own use.

so let's break those down. The first one is under circumstances not amounting to robbery. Now, you already heard that what happened in this case isn't a robbery, but you first have to understand what a robbery is to know that. So robbery is the unlawful taking of personal property from the person of another or in his presence, against his will, so that part's pretty much the same, but the part that's different here is "by means of force or violence or fear of injury." And that can be immediate or future to his person or property.

So we know what happened here isn't a robbery, so we can't have that force, violence of fear of injury. So, instead,

the property has to be taken in another way. So you heard an example. You heard the victim was questioned -- well, the Defendant didn't threaten you. He didn't pull out a weapon. You weren't scared at all when you gave up the property, right? But if any of those things had happened, this would be a robbery instead of a larceny from the person. So what we need to look at is circumstances where there's no force or no violence.

So how do you get somebody's property away from them without using force or violence? You have to use some other means. Maybe it's stealth. Maybe you don't know that they're taking the property. Maybe it's deception where you don't think that they're actually going to take the property. Or maybe it's some sort of different thought. It can be any of those ways, but it can't be by force.

So the next element is "that a person takes money, property or thing of value." So what's our thing of value in this particular case? It's that iPhone 5 S. The other important thing to remember in a larceny from the person, there's no requirement that the phone has a specific value. The victim testified that it did have a value. She said she purchased it just a couple months before this for, she said, four or \$500. She couldn't remember. So it does have a value. We know that. There's no minimum value that needs to be shown for this to be a larceny from the person.

So the next requirement is that the taking has to be from the person of another. So $i\frac{\pi}{48}$ actually has to be on their

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

person. So it's on or attached to the victim's person, carried or held by the victim, so in the victim's hand, in anticipation if the property is taken from immediate possessed -- excuse me, present --

MR. WOOD: Objection, Your Honor. Can we approach? THE COURT: Sure.

[Bench conference -- not transcribed]

So as I was saying, ladies and gentlemen, in MS. SUDANO: order to constitute a larceny from the person, the property actually has to be taken from the person of another. So that's property that's on or attached to the victim or carried or held by the victim, and that includes in the victim's hand. insufficient if the property is taken from the presence of the victim or their construction possession.

So what larceny from the person is really meant to punish and what is really meant (indiscernible) 2:22:49 8* is this idea that properties taken from the person of another. It's not meant to punish property that's abandoned. So if somebody has just left a phone on a bus stop and walked away from it, that certainly wouldn't be a larceny from the person.

Now, without her consent is the next element. So the victim can't consent to the taking because then it doesn't constitute a wrongful act. But you have an instruction, ladies and gentlemen, that tells you that a larceny victim's consent, you're thinking, is valid only if 4 t's given freely and

unconditionally. So content -- or, excuse me, consent obtained by force, duress or fraud is ineffective.

Mantikas got up on the stand and she told you all that she consented to the Defendant making one call. She didn't consent to him taking off and running away with the phone. She didn't' consent to him having that phone permanently. So she didn't consent to what actually happened in this case. The only reason she gave over her phone was under this false impression and this ruse that the Defendant created that he was going to make a phone call. So when that turned out to not be what he intended to do, her consent wasn't freely given. So the taking in this case occurred without Evangelia Mantikas giving consent.

Now, we also have to be able to show that the Defendant in this case intended to steal or appropriate that phone for his own use. So how do we know he intended to appropriate it for his own use? He runs off with that phone, right? The victim is following after him, and he loses her inside of an apartment complex. She's not able to find him anymore. He does that after he sees her following him as he's walking away with the property.

So once he sees that she's trying to pursue him, presumably in an attempt to get her property back, he takes off running. When she gets that phone back just a couple of hours later, the number is changed. What does she tell you about that number? That number is the same number that she dialed before.

During this time he's following up with her. He's asking, hey, what kind of phone is that? Oh, is that an iPhone 4? No, it's an iPhone 5 S. And he asks her once again to borrow that phone after that five-minute conversation, and he asks the victim to dial his number. Right? So if he's asking her to dial a number and then that phone number is later switched over and takes control over Evangelia's phone, it's a reasonable inference that that number is his number.

so why would he want to call his own number? He'd want to call his own number because that's how he's trying to get the phone out of her possession. He's not trying to call somebody for a ride. He's not trying to call his friends to meet up. He's not trying to call anybody. He's just trying to get that phone out of her possession.

Now, what else do we know? So he sits there with her for five minutes trying to get that phone, and then what does she

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, you also have an instruction on the Amendment, the main focus of a larceny from the person. It's an instruction that tells you that it's limited to crimes such as purse snatching, pickpocketing, jewel abstracting and the like.

Now, as I mentioned earlier, there can't be any force in these takings, so you have to use something other than the force. You have to use fraud, you have to use stealth, you have to use deception because that's the only way that you're going to get property off of somebody's person without the use of force. So the main concern in the larceny from the person statute is that the person of another has been violated, and their privacy directly invaded.

Now, the important part about this statute -- or about this inception that you've got here is that it's limited to crimes such as these three things and the like. So this isn't an exclusive list, ladies and gentlemen. That's just the class of

crimes that we're looking at here.

So ask yourselves whether or not what happened in this particular case is any less invasive of the victim's privacy than having her purse taken or her wallet stolen from her pocket. I would submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, that it's not. The Defendant sat by her for five minutes right in that seat right next to her, asking her questions about her life and trying to get that phone from her.

Now, in summary, the Defendant devises this ruse prior to gaining control over that phone. So the entire time he's sitting there with her, he's trying to gain access to this phone. The phone is on the victim's person. It's in her hand. She's holding and carrying it at the time of this ruse. Right?

She says that when the Defendant first approaches her, she's sitting at the bus stop. She's texting, using her phone. The ruse is the only way that the Defendant gets the phone off of the victim's person. But for that ruse and that trick to get the phone by telling her that he's trying to make this phone conver -- or maybe this phone call, he's not going to get the property off of her person.

So, ladies and gentlemen, the Defendant in this case is guilty of larceny from the person. When you all go back to deliberate, the State is going to ask that you so find. Thank you.

THE COURT: Defense closing?

DEFENSE CLOSING ARGUMENT

BY MR. WOOD:

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you for bearing with us, ladies and gentlemen. We've tried to make this as painless and tried not to waste anybody's time. The State asked you, well, how do you know who committed the crime? I'll tell you how. It's because we told you that's who did it.

We told you from the very opening of this case that a crime had been committed and that Gabriel had committed that crime. But we also told you that that crime is not larceny from the person. If you all remember the game of Clue, you often had three cards. You had the who, you had the where and you had the with what. We told you all of those. The only thing we don't know what the crime was. You can't have a murder if no one has been killed Well

Now, I didn't bring a PowerPoint for you guys to watch, but I did a friend. Your Honor, can I?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. WOOD: I'll be right back.

[Mr. Wood exits the courtroom]

[Pause]

[Mr. Wood reenters the courtroom]

DEFENSE CLOSING [CONTINUED]

BY MR. WOOD:

This is my friend, you, I'm going to show you

guys something, and I want you to pay attention, and you're going to understand why it's important later on. I've got somebody here, and I reach in and pull out a pen or a tie -- well, some money and (indiscernible). I want you to think about what I just did because it's going to make sense in a few minutes.

Now, for this to make sense, I want to go over some of these instructions that you're going to be given -- you've already been given. You're going to take them back with you. First you've got your Instruction Number 9. This is the one that says: Every person under circumstances not amounting to robbery with intent to steal take from the person of another." That's the important one. That's what's required for our larceny from the person. It's got to be from that person.

And if you'll look at Number 10, there's a little more guidance there. It tells you: "The offense is not committed if the property is taken from their immediate presence, well-constructed control or possession." It further says: "Taking from the person of another is an essential element. The crime requires more than a taking in someone's presence. The statements prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant committed a larceny from the person of another is strictly to pickpockets, purse snatchers, jewel abstractors and the like." And this is the part that I really want you to focus on when you go to the back. "The gravity of the offense, the larceny from the person, is that the person of another has been viglated and her privacy directly

linvaded."

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I showed you how I was taking things from this individual. There's a difference. I ask someone to borrow their phone, and they had that phone to me. We're not making contact; we're passing something between the two of us. But if I reach in around their shoulders and I lift out a pen, I'm invading the privacy of another. If I go to the back and lift a wallet for money, I'm getting into an area where people don't want to be touched, where people don't want to be tampered with. You may not know that you've been pickpocketed. There's a difference because when that actually happens and realize that someone has been in your personal space and has touched your body without your permission, that person's body has been violated. Their privacy has been invaded. You reach in the front and lift out that phone. No different. Think about where these things are taken Think about how that would appear. from.

Now, I know we have a lot of people involved with casinos in this town. There's a lot of people that deal with casinos, so I like to use a little bit of casino references. The State is like the house. They have all the cards. They set things up; they play the game. But the important thing is, is the State has to follow the rules. They're their rules, and they have to follow them just like everybody else.

If the State is going to play a hand one way, they have to follow house rules. The \S^t ate can't chose to hit on an 18

just because they know someone else has a 21. That's not house rules. You have to follow the rules. The State is held to that exact same burden, and in that case, you've got the law which tells you exactly what the house rules are here.

Now, I'm going to show you guys Instruction Number 11.

"Larceny is the stealing, taking and carrying away." Someone
hands me their phone, and I turn and walk away, and I run. I'm
stealing that phone and taking that phone. I am carrying it away
from the person, from -- carrying away other personal goods or
property of another. I am depriving them of their phone.

Now, you heard testimony from Mantikas. She paid 400 to \$500 for that phone. We know the value of the phone. It was less than 650. And you're told right here: "If the property taken is valued less than 650, nor taken from the person of the victim," that's a petit larceny. The State doesn't get to change the rules just because they want something higher. They have to follow the exact same rules as everybody else.

Now, when we were questioning everybody, the Judge asked you, can you follow the law even if you don't agree with it? It's very important in this case. You may not feel good about this. I'm not saying that Gabriel didn't do this. I'm saying he didn't do a larceny from the person. He did not invade the privacy. He did not violate or set up another. What he did was he took a phone, it was handed to him. He did not make any contact with that victim, and he \$\frac{1}{2}\theta \text{ok} off and ran. It's a crime

we're -- and we've been asking you from the beginning, hold him responsible for that crime. I'm not saying that he didn't do something, but he didn't do a larceny from the person.

Now, I also want to show you guys -- and this will be the last I deal with the instructions because I know they're really boring. This one's important as well, though. This is Instruction 13. It says: "You shall find the Defendant guilty of larceny from the person if you believe the State has proven that beyond a reasonable doubt. When a person is accused of committing a particular crime and at the same time and by the same conduct may have committed another offense of lesser/greater degree, the latter is with respect to the former or lesser included offense."

If you're not satisfied that the Defendant is guilty of the offense charged: "Larceny from the person, he may have, however, become guilty of any lesser included offense if the evidence is sufficient to establish guilt." It says here: "You are instructed that petit larceny is a lesser included offense of larceny from the person."

It's a game of Clue, folks. I've opened the packet. You know what the cards are. You know who did it. You know what happened. You know how it was done, and you also know what crime was committed because we know nothing was done with this. Nothing was done with Ms. Mantika's privacy, her -- she wasn't -- her body wasn't violated. Her privacy wasn't invaded. That didn't occur in this case. Hold him responsible for the

1	crime that did take place. Make the State follow the rules.
2	There's a big difference, folks.
3	MR. WOOD: Can I borrow your phone?
4	MS. SPELLS: Sure. Let me put the number in for you.
5	DEFENSE CLOSING ARGUMENT (CONTINUED)
6	BY MR. WOOD:
7	That was the testimony that took place. You were all
8	witness to it. Nothing happened to Ms. Mantika except her phone
9	was taken. She passed it to him. She felt foolish for doing it.
10	He committed a crime. It's larceny, petit larceny. Fine him
11	guilty of the crime that he's been charged with. Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Counsel.
13	[Pause]
14	STATE'S REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT
15	BY MS. SUDANO:
16	Now, ladies and gentlemen, let's start out with the
17	instruction here. This is Instruction Number 1, the very first
18	instruction in your packet.
19	Now, this says: "That regardless of what your opinion is as to
20	what the law ought to be, you assigned and you took an oath, and
21	you're bound by that oath to follow the law, the instructions that
22	were given to you."
23	Now, this isn't a case or a plan to pass money or the
24	house is changing the rules. No. This is a case where there's an
25	actual victim of the actual crime of larceny from the person.

We're not stretching the rules or trying to make this fit where it doesn't.

Now we move to Instruction Number 10. This tells you that: "The property, when it's taken, has to be at some -- in some way actually upon or attach to the person or carried or held in their actual physical possession." Now, the demonstration of counsel, keep that in mind. That's not actually evidence. You have an instruction that says that the arguments of counsel aren't your evidence. Your evidence is what you heard from the witnesses in this particular case. So that was a nice demonstration, but that's not your evidence.

The same with taking the phone and walking out of the room. Also not your evidence. You know that that's not how it went down because that's now how the witnesses told you it went down.

Now, counsel pointed it this way and said, well, when you're actually taking property from somebody else, you're reaching into the pockets and you're taking money from them. You're taking a phone or you're sneaking something out without them knowing about it. Well, ask yourself again, ladies and gentlemen, if what happened in this case is any less volatile of Ms. Mantikas' privacy than what happened there.

I would submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, that it's not. This instruction, Instruction Number 10, tells you that the property has to be carried or held in the actual possession, among

the other things that it says up there. So there's no requirement that the property has to be under clothing or concealed in some way. There's no requirement that the individual actually has to make physical skin-to-skin contact with the victim in order for a largeny from the person to occur.

I would submit again, ladies and gentlemen, that in a case of a good pickpocket or somebody that's done it many times before, they're not going to have any more contact with the victim than the Defendant in this case did, with Evangelia Mantikas. No, she's holding the phone, and he devises this ruse to get the phone away from her. That is sufficient, ladies and gentlemen. The phone is on her person. It's in her possession. She's holding it and using it.

Again, I would hold you to this instruction and ask you, where in there it says that there actually has to be clothing removed or anything like that. No, it just says that the person -- that the property has to be attached or in some way in the possession of the victim, which it was in this particular case.

Now, you also have the instruction that tells you -if I can find it. It's further down on this one. This is still
within Instruction Number 10. It says: "The offense of larceny
from the person requires an actual taking from the person of
another, if the person of another has been violated and their
privacy has been directly invaded." Now, in this case apart

from a pickpocketing or a purse snatching, the victim in this particular case is immediately away of what's going on.

Now, sometimes, when you've got somebody that's a victim of a pickpocket -- again, if it's a good pickpocket, they might not even know right away. The victim here knows. She knows immediately that her person has been violated; that the Defendant who's sitting there right next to her for five minutes, leaning over her shoulder, asking about the phone, that as soon as he gets it, he takes off with it. So she immediately knows. Her privacy and her person were violated in this case, ladies and gentlemen.

Now, again, I would submit to you that this demonstration you saw where the two Defense counsels handed a phone over, that's not how it actually happened in this case. You're to be guided by the testimony of the witnesses as you remember it about what actually happened in this case.

Now, when you go back into that jury deliberation room, I'm not going to put this instruction up there, but it's the instruction on common sense. It says: "You're to be guided by your common sense as everyday men and women. You can't check your common sense at the door when you go back into that deliberation room to reach your verdict in this case. I assure you that if you rely on that common sense, you'll come back and find the Defendant, Gabriel Ibarra, guilty of larceny from the person. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Who all gets sworn to take

the --

You are about to commence the process of deliberation. Before you do, we need to swear in the marshal to take charge of the jury during the course of your deliberations. So raise your right hand.

[Court and Clerk confer]

[The Marshal is given the oath by the Clerk]

THE COURT: Emilio, stay right there. You're the alternate, and we'll have some special instructions for you. The rest of you, go with J.R. Bring your notebooks, bring your set of instructions.

[Jury exits courtroom to deliberate at 2:49 p.m.]

[Out of the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: Okay. Emilio, here's -- here's how this works. You guys relax a minute. You're the designated alternate juror in this matter. You're not going to have to stick around the courthouse, but you need to stick around where you can be down here on short notice. If something happens to somebody during the course of deliberations, we'll give you a call. We need you to exchange contact information, that if something was to happen to you, you need to call and let us know if you -- for some reason you're incapacitated.

The same admonishment that I've been giving the jury, every time we take a break, still applies. So until you're either been called to come down and sit for somebody that should become

disabled in some fashion and unable to finish service, jury service, or, alternatively, you'll get a call saying that the jury reached a verdict, and you're free at that point to discuss the case however you choose to. So that's what you'll be looking for.

And we'll call you and tell you that the jury's coming in, and if you want to come down -- I mean, you don't have to stick around, but if you're somewhere close and you want to come down, we certainly will let you sit in with the jury when they come back. That's kind of choice; it's up to you. Okay?

So, Michelle, has been sworn in, and she's going to exchange information with you, and she'll be who will be contacting you, either you got to come down and serve or you're discharged. Okay?

ALTERNATE NUMBER 1: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you. Might be the last time I get to see you, so I want to tell you how much I appreciate the -- I know it wasn't long, but I can tell you what, you were a good group. You guys paid close attention. You did everything we asked you to do, and I appreciate -- we always appreciate your jury service. Thank you very much.

Okay, guys. Don't go far. We know how to get a hold of everybody. You got everything?

THE CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. SUDANO: Thank you. 64

[Pause in proceedings]

please. 1 2 [Pause] I'm going to have the clerk read into the record THE COURT: 3 the verdict. Would the Defendant please stand. THE CLERK: District Court, Clark County, Nevada, State of 5 Nevada, Plaintiff versus Gabriel Ibarra, Defendant. Case Number 6 C308774, Department 17. 7 Verdict: We, the jury, in the above-entitled case, 8 find the Defendant, Gabriel Ibarra, as follows: Count 1, larceny from the person, guilty of larceny 10 from the person. Signed on this 20th day of October by the jury 11 12 foreperson. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, is this verdict, so 13 say you one, so say you all? 14 THE JURY (en masse): Yes. 15 THE COURT: Please have a seat. Would you like the jury 16 polled? 17 MR. WOOD: Yes, please, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 Juror Number 1, is this your verdict as read? 20 THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 1: Yes. 21 Juror Number 2, is this your verdict as read? 22 THE CLERK: JUROR NUMBER 2: Yes. 23 Juror Number 3, is this your verdict as read? THE CLERK: 24

> ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra C-15-308774-1

66

JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.

25

no matter how short a case is, no matter how simplistic it might 1 seem, it's always a tough call. It is a never an easy call. And what you guys have done is incredibly remarkable for everybody, but for you guys, you've been a great jury. You've been -- even though it's only been a couple days, but you've been here on time. You all paid close attention to what was going on. You followed the instructions, it certainly appears to be. You're all entitled 7 to an accommodation as far as I'm concerned. You've all gone above and beyond the call. I cannot tell you on behalf of myself and the rest of the folks here in the Eighth Judicial District how 10 much we appreciate your jury service. 11 So from this point on, the admonishment about not 12

So from this point on, the admonishment about not talking about the case no longer applies. You are free to talk to anybody about anything you want to talk to them that has to do with the case. At the same time, you don't have to. You don't have to talk to anybody.

And I always throw in, if somebody pesters you about talking about this case and you don't want to talk about it, you call the office and I'll handle them. All righty?

So now they'll be waiting for you guys downstairs at the 3rd Floor Jury Service -- Jury Commissioner's office, so go on down and get -- I think you'll get that big check they pay you, what you've been waiting for.

All right? Thank you, guys, very much appreciate it.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the evidence was sufficient support the verdict. Although I'll have to say, you guys made it a real interesting case out of what was probably an open-and- shut case. All right. Like I said, the evidence was pretty strong. I don't think there's a chance in the world that somebody's going to find this evidence insufficient. I think it was a -- they didn't seem to have too much trouble wrestling with it.

So I do want to say that you guys did an excellent job for a case that, you know -- I mean, how unusual it probably went to trial, but you guys did a great job, and you certainly had a legitimate issue that you -- you rode that horse quite a ways. You did a great job. Following those instructions was a little rough, but that's all right. You guys have a good evening.

MR. WOOD: You, too, Your Honor.

MS. SPELLS: Thank Your Honor.

MS. SUDANO: Thank you for your patience with us and -[Proceeding concluded at 4:19 p.m.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-visual recording of the proceeding in the above entitled case to the best of my ability.

Penu Vincent

Renee Vincent, Court Recorder/Transcriber

1	RIRAN & Comments
	CLERK OF THE COURT
2	
3	
4	
5	DISTRICT COURT
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7	
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
9	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C-15-308774-1
10	vs. DEPT. XVII
11	GABRIEL IBARRA,
12	Defendant.
13	j .
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL P. VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2015
16	ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE:
17	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR OWN RECOGNIZANCE RELEASE, OR THE
18	ALTERNATIVE, FOR SETTING OF REASONABLE BAIL
19	
20	APPEARANCES:
21	For the State: CHARLES W. THOMAN, ESQ.,
22	Deputy District Attorney
23	For the Defendant: JEREMY B. WOOD, ESQ.,
24	Deputy Public Defender
25	
	RECORDED BY: MICHELLE RAMSEY, COURT RECORDER
	ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT
	State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra

C-15-308774-1

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2015

[Proceeding commenced at 8:48 a.m.]

3

5

1

2

THE COURT: Motion for O.R. or bail reduction. Defendant is present in custody.

6 7

MR. WOOD: Good morning, Your Honor. Jeremy Wood on his behalf. Just really briefly I'd like to add a few things that

weren't in the motion.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Obviously Your Honor was away at I think judicial college or when the trial actually took place, but the testimony is that essentially -- and we had done this on a writ of habeas corpus as well, so the Court was familiar with the issue. She handed over her phone and then the Defendant turned and ran.

THE COURT: I remember it. Yes.

MR. WOOD: My -- my indication is that he doesn't have a great criminal history. He's got one prior felony. Given the nonviolent nature of this, yes, he was adjudicated guilty by the -- by the jury trial. But I think he's still a good candidate for possibly probation. Given that, I did file the motion for him to try and get out and prove to the Court, you know, at the time of sentencing that he can be monitored on probation.

So, non-violent, limited criminal history. He does have ties to the community, Your Honor, as I listed in the motion. I'll submit it on that.

THE COURT: Doesn't he have a prior battery deadly weapon

case, three FTA's --1 MR. WOOD: That's correct. THE COURT: -- and in a prior case he was revoked from 3 probation for absconding. MR. THOMAN: Yes, Your Honor. And I believe that's all 5 detailed in the State's response submitted. 6 MR. WOOD: That's correct. 7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wood, I don't think that showing a 8 good track record doesn't mean you won't get probation, sir. It's just right now your record doesn't show that you're really going to 10 follow through. I'll hear from you at the time of sentencing, 12 okay. I'm going to deny the motion for the reasons just set 13 forth. Thank you. 14 [Proceeding concluded at 8:50 a.m.] 15 16 17 18 ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case 19 to the best of my ability. 20 Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate 21 Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected or certified to be 22 an accurate transcript. 23 michelle flansely 24

3

25

Court Recorder/Transcriper

1	RTRAN & Lann
2	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	
4	
5	DISTRICT COURT
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7	
8	THE CHARL OF MENADA
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE NO. C-15-308774-1
)
10	vs.) DEPT. XVII
11	GABRIEL IBARRA,
12	Defendant)
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL P. VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
14	BEFORE THE HONOKABLE MICHAEL 1. VILLENT, BISTRICT COOK! 30202
15	THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015
16	ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE:
17	SENTENCING
18	
19	APPEARANCES:
20	For the State: MICHELLE SUDANO, ESQ., Deputy District Attorney
21	
22	For the Defendant: JEREMY B. WOOD, ESQ., Deputy Public Defender
23	Debuth Amplic pereuder
24	
25	RECORDED BY: MICHELLE RAMSEY, COURT RECORDER
	1
	ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT State of Nevada v. Gabriel Ibarra

C-15-308774-1

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015

[Proceeding commenced at 10:12 a.m.]

THE COURT: Ibarra, it's time set for sentencing. Public Defender case. Mr. Ibarra is present in custody. Any reason we can't go forward today?

MR. WOOD: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Defendant is hereby adjudged guilty of larceny from the person. Argument by the State?

MS. SUDANO: Thank you, Your Honor. The State's going to be asking for a 14 to 48 month sentence on this particular case. I know Your Honor was not here when we actually tried the case, but I know that you did hear our petition for writ of habeas corpus. I believe you're familiar with the facts.

Essentially, the Defendant in this case went up to an individual while she was seated at a bus stop and he employed this ruse to get the phone away from her and then took off running with the phone. When she ultimately got her phone back later on that evening, the Defendant had somehow changed the number and it was potentially his number that was associated with the phone through a Sims car or some other means. And that was the same number that he had had her dial, so even before he got the phone in his possession he wasn't intending to make a phone call. He was just trying to get it away from her person.

And your -- Your Honor, the reason the State's asking for

that 14 to 48 month sentence is because the Defendant in this case has one prior felony conviction. It was a battery with a deadly weapon conviction, but it started out as a robbery with a deadly weapon. From the beginning of this case that's given the State concern.

What happened in that underlying case was he ran up to an individual in an alley way or something, took property from the individual. I believe it was a camcorder, a hand held camcorder. When that individual then attempted to retrieve that property, the Defendant swung some sort of knife or machete at that individual. And that's how we ended up with a battery with a deadly weapon.

The reason that was so concerning to the State is it essentially started out just like this particular case here. He approaches some citizen, doesn't know out on the street and then gets property away from them. And so that was the big concern for the State.

Additionally on that case, he did receive probation. He was on probation approximately one month before his first violation. He got reinstated at that time. And then he was ultimately revoked about six months later.

So he's already indicated that he's somebody who can't be supervised on probation. He absconded in that case. Was using narcotics and having issues with being supervised. And so the State does think that prison is -- is appropriate in this particular case in light of his criminal history and his failure at

probation previously. He has a hundred thirty three days credit, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And what are you suggesting for his underlying?

I'm sorry. I think you mentioned it before.

MS. SUDANO: Fourteen to forty-eight, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Ibarra, do you have anything to say before I sentence you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I just want to apologize for my actions. I hear what Ms. Sudano is saying there about my first conviction. It happened like about almost ten years ago. It's been a long time. I don't a lot of program and I done a lot of change in that period of time. I actually completed my parole. had an honorable discharge. I've been supervised and I even completed programs as well as — as numerous different types of counseling programs.

I do understand that -- that I did -- it's been almost ten years like I said. But I just I want to ask for opportunity to, you know, re-entry with probation or even an inpatient drug program or something to allow me to get back on a right -- on a right road to get my -- my stuff and my life back together.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Counsel.

MR. WOOD: Your Honor, as Ms. Sudano has indicated, you're aware of the issues. We did file the petition. Essentially he asked to borrow a phone and then took off running. There were no

threats. There was nothing ever made. And -- and I think there's still merit to that issue.

Given that though, I would point out to the Court that he's got one prior felony and that's it. That happened eight years ago, so that's -- he's made changes. He slipped, you know -- and obviously we -- we contest the nature of the charge here and our appeal's unit will deal with that, but ultimately he was convicted.

So given the nature -- given his history of one prior felony and given the fact that that was eight years ago and this case involves no violence, it didn't involve any threats. The girl did receive her property back. I would ask that the Court give him an opportunity. I would ask for the Court to hang something maybe perhaps heavier over his head to demonstrate to him the necessities of following the straight and narrow, so perhaps an underlying of a 19 to 48 or a 24 to 60 and an admonishment that this is his chance.

But I do think given the facts of this case and given his prior history, I do think a shot at probation would be warranted.

I'll submit it.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Well, sir, your last offense you said almost ten years ago. Well, you got off paper three years ago.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I understand that. I had an honorable discharge. I did all of my time pretty much, so I paid for that, you know. And I do understand, you know, that I did something and I do understand your position. But at the same time,

sir, I just want to, you know, change my life. You know, I don't -- I don't want to just, you know, be a scrub my whole life. You know, I do want to get on the right path and I want to, you know, make changes. I just need opportunities, sir. I'm pretty sure I can -- I can succeed. 5 THE COURT: Court's going to sentence you -- and by -- by the way, Carol, like I said he's adjudged guilty. It was based upon a jury verdict. 8 THE CLERK: Okay. 9 THE COURT: Make sure the record is clear in that regard. 10 I'm going to sentence you to confinement, sir, to Nevada 11 Department of Corrections for a maximum term of 36 months, minimum 12 term of 14 months. You're ordered to pay a \$25 administrative 13 assessment fee, \$3 DNA collection fee, the one-fifty DNA fee is waived; it was taken in another case, \$250 to the indigent defense 15 fund. And 133 dollars [sic] credit for time served. Good luck,

1

2

3

6

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sir.

[Proceeding concluded at 10:19 a.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected or certified to be an accurate transcript.

Court Recorder/Transcriber

1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 3 GABRIEL IBARRA, No. 69617 4 Appellant, 5 vi. 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 7 8 Respondent. 9 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME II PAGES 251-453 10 STEVE WOLFSON PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor 11 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 12 ADAM LAXALT Attorney for Appellant 13 Attorney General 100 North Carson Street 14 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 15 Counsel for Respondent 16 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 17 I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the stage of Month, 2016. Electronic Service of the 18 19 foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows: 20 **HOWARD S. BROOKS** ADAM LAXALT STEVE WOLFSON 21 I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and 22 correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to: 23 **GABRIEL IBARRA** NDOC # 1017562 24 c/o High Desert State Prison 25 PO Box 650 Indian Springs, NV 89070 26 27 BYClark County Public Defender's Office 28