## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ISRAEL BAIGUEN, AN INDIVIDUAL,
Appellant,

VS.

HARRAH'S LAS VEGAS, LLC, A
NEVADA DOMESTIC LIMITEDLIABILITY CORPORATION, D/B/A
HARRAH'S CASINO HOTEL, LAS
VEGAS; AND CAESARS
ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION, A
NEVADA FOREIGN CORPORATION,
D/B/A HARRAH'S CASINO HOTEL,
LAS VEGAS,

Respondents.

No. 70204

FILED

APR 19 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY S. YOUNG DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion to strike respondents' petition for review on the ground that respondents conceded the assignment to the court of appeals in their original routing statement and should not now be heard to argue that the case raises an issue of first impression and statewide importance. Having considered the motion, we deny it. The presumptive transfer to the court of appeals pursuant to NRAP 17 is not a waiver of NRAP 40B petition rights, and appellant's arguments are more appropriately raised in an answer to the petition, if the court orders one. Nothing in the motion to strike shows that the petition is not properly before this court.

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🔷

cc: Law Offices of Steven M. Burris, LLC Fisher & Phillips LLP