Electronically Filed 06/22/2016 12:45:53 PM

Dun to to

CLERK OF THE COURT

Electronically Filed Jun 28 2016 03:45 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

2 MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No.: 007302 4 1100 S. Tenth Street 5 Las Vegas, NV 89101 6 (702) 419-7330 (Office) 7 (702) 446-8065 (Fax) 8 CedarLegal@gmail.com 9 Attorneys for Petitioner, LAMAR A. HARRIS 10 11 12 **DISTRICT COURT** 13 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** * * * * * 14 15 STATE OF NEVADA, Case No.: C274370 Plaintiff, Dept. No.: V vs. LAMAR A. HARRIS, Defendant. 16 17 **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 18 19 TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and 20 DEPARTMENT 5 OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE 21 22 OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK. 23 24 NOTICE is hereby given that LAMAR A. HARRIS, presently incarcerated at the 25 Southern Desert Correctional Center, appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from 26 the 27 111 28 111 29 111

1

NOASC

1	an Order dismissing his Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) entered on or	
2	about June 6, 2016.	
3	DATED this 6 th day of June, 2016.	
4		
5	CARLING LAW OFFICE, PC	
6		
7	/s/ Matthew D. Carling	
8	MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ.	
9	Nevada Bar No.: 007302	
10	1100 S. Tenth Street	
11	Las Vegas, NV 89101	
12	(702) 419-7330 (Office)	
13	(702) 446-8065 (Fax)	
14	CedarLegal@gmail.com	
15	Attorneys for Petitioner,	
16	LAMAR A. HARRIS	
17		

1	DECLARATION OF MAILING		
2	MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ., hereby declares that he is, and was when the herein		
3	described mailing took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age; that on the 21 st		
4	day of June, 2016, Declarant deposited in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy of	•	
5	the Notice of Appeal in the above-mention case, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first		
6	class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to the following:		
	LAMAR A. HARRIS (#71088)STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ.SDCCCLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYP.O. BOX 208200 LEWIS AVENUEINDIAN SPRINGS, NEVADA 89070-0208LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101		
7	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.		
8	Executed on the 21 st day of June, 2016.		
9 10 11	CARLING LAW OFFICE, PC		
12	/s/ Matthew D. Carling		
13	MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ.		
14	Nevada Bar No.: 007302		
15	1100 S. Tenth Street		
16	Las Vegas, NV 89101		
17	(702) 419-7330 (Office)		
18	(702) 446-8065 (Fax)		
19 20	<u>CedarLegal@gmail.com</u> Attomays for Patitionan		
20 21	Attorneys for Petitioner, LAMAR A. HARRIS		
21			
23			

Electronically Filed 06/22/2016 12:46:42 PM

then p. John

ASTA 1 2 MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ. **CLERK OF THE COURT** 3 Nevada Bar No.: 007302 4 1100 S. Tenth Street 5 Las Vegas, NV 89101 6 (702) 419-7330 (Office) 7 (702) 446-8065 (Fax) 8 CedarLegal@gmail.com 9 Attorneys for Petitioner, 10 11 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 12 (NRAP 3(d)(4))13 14 1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: 15 16 Lamar A. Harris 17 2. 18 Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed 19 from: 2021 Judge Carolyn Ellsworth 22 23 3. Identify all parties to the proceedings in the district court: 24 25 Lamar A. Harris 26 27 The State of Nevada 28 29 4. Identify all parties involved in this appeal: 30 31 Lamar A. Harris 32 33 The State of Nevada 34 35 5. Name, law firm, address, and telephone number of all counsel on 36 appeal and party or parties whom they represent: 37 MATTHEW D. CARLING PETER I. THUNELL 1100 S. Tenth Street Deputy District Attorney P.O. Box 552212 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 419-7330 Las Vegas, NV 89101-2212

	<i>Counsel for Appellant,</i> Lamar A. Harris	<i>Counsel for Appellee,</i> State of Nevada
6.	Indicate whether appell counsel in the district co	ant was represented by appointed or retained ourt: Appointed
7.	Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal: Appointed	
8.	* *	ant was granted leave to proceed in forma of entry of the district court order granting such
9.	Indicate the date the pro	oceedings commenced in the district court:
	Information filed June 24,	, 2011.
Date	d this 21 st day of June, 2016.	
		CARLING LAW OFFICE, PC
		<u>/s/ Matthew D. Carling</u> MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 007302 <i>Court-Appointed Attorney for Defendant,</i> LAMAR A. HARRIS

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2		
3	I hereby certify that, on this 21st day of June, 2016, I sent a true and correct copy of	
4	the above CASE APPEAL STATEMENT to the following parties:	
5	Steven B. Wolfson, Esq.	
6	Clark County District Attorney	
7	Post Conviction Unit	
8	Jennifer.Garcia@clarkcountyda.com	
9		
10	CARLING LAW OFFICE, PC	
11		
12	/s/ Matthew D. Carling	
13	MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ.	
14	Court-Appointed Attorney for Defendant,	
15	LAMAR A. HARRIS	

Electronically Filed 06/22/2016 12:47:28 PM

REQT		(Alm & Ehin	
MATTHEW D.	CARLING, ESQ.		CLERK OF THE COURT	
Nevada Bar No.:	: 007302			
1100 S. Tenth St	treet			
Las Vegas, NV 8				
(702) 419-7330 ((Office)			
(702) 446-8065 ((Fax)			
<u>CedarLegal@gn</u>	<u>nail.com</u>			
Attorneys for Pe	titioner.			
LAMAR HARR	IS			
		CT COURT UNTY, NEVADA		
	* :	* * * *		
STATE OF NEVADA,		Case No. C-11-	27.1370.1	
STATE OF IN	Plaintiff,	= (1.350, 1.50), (1.711)	2 · · +_) · () · 1	
	1 10111(111,	Dept. No. V		
-V [*] S-				
LAMAR HAR	RIS.			
	Defendant.			
		: 		
TO: COURT	REPORTER – DEPARTMEN	NT NO. 5		
LAMAR	HARRIS. Defendant named	d above. requests prep	paration of a rough	
transcript of certa	ain portions of the proceedings	s before the district cour	rt. as follows:	
DATE	JUDGE	PORTION	ORIGINAL PLU	
12/8/15	Ellsworth, Carolyn	All	2	
	1		1	

23

22

which counsel reasonably and in good faith believes are necessary to determine whether

appellate issues are present. Voir dire examination of jurors, opening statements and closing

¹ Original Rough Draft to be filed with the District Court, two certified copies to be served on Mr. Carling, and original certificate of service to be filed with the Nevada Supreme Court. NRAP 3C(3)(E).

arguments of trial counsel, and the reading of jury instructions shall not be transcribed unless
 specifically requested above.

reporter and opposing counsel, and that the above named court reporter shall have twenty (20)

days from the receipt of this notice to prepare and submit to the district court the transcript

requested herein. I further certify that the defendant is indigent and therefore exempt from

I recognize that I must personally serve a copy of this form on the above named court

CARLING LAW OFFICE, PC

MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESO.

Court-Appointed Attorney for Defendant,

/s/ Matthew D. Carling

Nevada Bar No.: 007302

LAMAR HARRIS

3

4

5

6

7

8 9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17 18 paying a deposit.

DATED this 22nd day of June, 2016.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

19 20 I hereby certify that, on this 22ne day of June, 2016. I sent a true and correct copy of the above REQUEST FOR ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPTS OF DISTRICT COURT 21 22 PROCEEDINGS to the following parties: 23 Steven B. Wolfson, Esq. Clark County District Attorney 24 25 Post Conviction Unit Jennifer.Garcia@clarkcountyda.com 26 27 28 CARLING LAW OFFICE, PC 29 /s/ Matthew D. Carling 30 MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ. 31 Court-Appointed Attorney for Defendant, 32 LAMAR HARRIS 33

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING		
2	I hereby certify that on June 22, 2016, I served a copy of the REQUEST FOR ROUGH		
3	DRAFT TRANSCRIPTS OF DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS to Dept. 5 Court Reporter		
4	by mailing a copy via first class mail, postage thereon fully prepaid, to the following:		
	Court ReporterLamar Harris (#71088)Dept. 5Southern Desert Corrections Center200 Lewis AvenueP.O. Box 208Las Vegas, Nevada 89155Indian Springs, Nevada 89070		
5 6 7 8 9 10	CARLING LAW OFFICE, PC <u>s Matthew D. Carling</u> MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ. <i>Court-Appointed Attorney for Defendant</i> . LAMAR HARRIS		

DEPARTMENT 5 CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. C-11-274370-1

State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris

\$	Location: Judicial Officer: Filed on:	Department 5 Ellsworth, Carolyn 06/24/2011
\$ \$ \$	Case Number History: Cross-Reference Case Number:	C274370
& & &	Defendant's Scope ID #:	1589576 844955 1181875
\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	ITAG Booking Number: ITAG Case ID: Lower Court Case # Root:	1100029992 1256982 11F07785
8	Lower Court Case Number: Supreme Court No.:	111 0770011

CASE INFORMATION

Offense 1. ATT. MURDER WITH A DEADLY WEAPON 2. BATTERY WDW W/SUBSTANTIAL BODILY	Deg F F	04/25/2011	Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Appealed to Supreme Court
HARM Statistical Closures	•	01/20/2011	Custody Status - Nevada Department of Corrections
07/05/2012 Jury Trial - Conviction - Criminal			

Warrants

Material Witn	ess Warrant -	Kasper, Tamara (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie)
08/31/2011	4:44 PM	Returned - Served
08/31/2011	11:31 AM	Active
Fine:	\$0	
Bond:	\$0	
Arrest Warrar	it - Thomas N	Michael (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie)
THINGE IT WITHE	ii 11101111 •0, 1	menuer (succent officer, offics, succe)
08/29/2011	4:46 PM	Returned - Served
08/26/2011	8:15 AM	Active

Fine: \$0 Bond: \$0

DATE

CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment	
Case Number	C-11-274370-1
Court	Department 5
Date Assigned	10/15/2011
Judicial Officer	Ellsworth, Carolyn

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant Harris, Lamar Antwan

Plaintiff

State of Nevada

Carling, Matthew D. Retained 702-419-7330(W) Wolfson, Steven B

702-671-2700(W)

Lead Attorneys

DATE		EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT	INDEX
06/23/2011	Bail Set \$90,000.		

DEPARTMENT 5 CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. C-11-274370-1

	CASE NO. C-11-2/43/0-1
06/24/2011	Information Information
06/24/2011	Criminal Bindover
06/24/2011	Case Reassigned to Department 5 Case reassigned from Judge Kenneth Cory
06/30/2011	🚺 Initial Arraignment (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: De La Garza, Melisa)
07/11/2011	Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses
07/14/2011	Order to Release Medical Records Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Order Releasing Medical Records
07/14/2011	Ex Parte Motion Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada <i>Ex Parte Motion for Release of Medical Records</i>
07/18/2011	Request (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bonaventure, Joseph T.) DA Setting Slip - Status Check Trial Setting
07/20/2011	CANCELED Calendar Call (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie) Vacated - per Judge Reset
07/25/2011	CANCELED Jury Trial (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie) Vacated Reset
07/27/2011	Reporters Transcript Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Transcript of Preliminary Hearing Taken on Wednesday June 22, 2011
08/15/2011	Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses [NRS 174.234]
08/15/2011	Notice of Expert Witnesses Filed By: Defendant Harris, Lamar Antwan Notice Of Expert Witnesses
08/19/2011	Motion Notice of Motion and Moiton to Admit Prior Testimony
08/22/2011	Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Notice of Witnesses and/or Notice of Rebuttal Expert Witness
08/22/2011	Notice of Witnesses Party: Defendant Harris, Lamar Antwan
08/24/2011	Calendar Call (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Gates, Lee A.)

DEPARTMENT 5

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. C-11-274370-1

	Calendar Call - Set By Court - Must Go To Trial By 8/29/11-Overflow Eligible
08/25/2011	Opposition to Motion Filed By: Defendant Harris, Lamar Antwan Defendant's Opposition to State's Motion to Admit Prior Testimony
08/26/2011	Ex Parte Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Ex Parte Application For Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail
08/26/2011	Order Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada Order Requiring material Witness To Post Bail Or Be Committed To Custody
08/26/2011	Warrant Filed by: Plaintiff State of Nevada Warrant Of Arrest
08/29/2011	Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bonaventure, Joseph) 08/29/2011-08/30/2011 Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Prior Testimony
08/29/2011	Bench Warrant Return
08/29/2011	Warrant Warrant of Arrest
08/29/2011	Ex Parte Ex Parte Application for Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail
08/29/2011	Order Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail or be Committed to Custody
08/30/2011	Jury Trial (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Brennan, James) 08/30/2011-09/02/2011
08/30/2011	Bench Warrant Return
08/30/2011	Jury List
08/31/2011	Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bonaventure, Joseph) Hearing at the State's Request: Michael Thomas - material witness bench warrant return.
09/01/2011	CANCELED Jury Trial (9:15 AM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie) Vacated - On in Error
09/01/2011	Instructions to the Jury Instructions to the Jury (Instruction No. I) Members of the Jury
09/01/2011	Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used At Trial
09/02/2011	CANCELED Jury Trial (8:15 AM) (Judicial Officer: Glass, Jackie) Vacated - On In Error

DEPARTMENT 5

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. C-11-274370-1

09/02/2011	Amended Jury List	
09/02/2011	Verdict	
09/02/2011	Plea (Judicial Officer: Ellsworth, Carolyn 1. ATT. MURDER WITH A DEADLY Not Guilty PCN: Sequence:	
	2. BATTERY WDW W/SUBSTANTL Not Guilty PCN: Sequence:	AL BODILY HARM
09/07/2011	Bench Warrant Return (9:00 AM) (Jud Events: 08/29/2011 Bench Warrant Re	
11/07/2011	Sentencing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Off 11/07/2011, 11/21/2011	icer: Ellsworth, Carolyn)
11/21/2011	Disposition (Judicial Officer: Ellsworth, C 1. ATT. MURDER WITH A DEADLY Not Guilty PCN: Sequence:	
	2. BATTERY WDW W/SUBSTANTL Guilty PCN: Sequence:	AL BODILY HARM
11/21/2011	Sentence (Judicial Officer: Ellsworth, Car 2. BATTERY WDW W/SUBSTANTI, Adult Adjudication Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Con Term: Minimum:70 Months, Credit for Time Served: 182 I Fee Totals:	AL BODILY HARM rrections Maximum:175 Months
	Administrative Assessment Fee \$25	25.00
	DNA Analysis Fee \$150 Fee Totals \$	150.00 175.00
12/02/2011	Judgment of Conviction	
12/08/2011	Notice of Appeal (criminal) Party: Defendant Harris, Lamar Antw	an
12/08/2011	Substitution of Attorney Filed by: Defendant Harris, Lamar At	itwan
12/28/2011	Affidavit Affidavit Of Financial Condition	
12/28/2011	Ex Parte Motion	

DEPARTMENT 5

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. C-11-274370-1

	CASE NO. C-11-274370-1
	Filed By: Defendant Harris, Lamar Antwan Ex Parte Motion for Authorization of Payment of Fees for Trial Transcript
01/19/2012	Request Filed by: Defendant Harris, Lamar Antwan Request for Rough Draft Transcript
03/20/2012	Ex Parte Order Ex Parte Order Granting Payment of Fees for Trial Transcripts
04/16/2012	Transcript of Proceedings Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada Transcript of Proceedings Trial - Day 1 - August 30, 2011
04/16/2012	Transcript of Proceedings Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 2 - August 31, 2011
04/16/2012	Transcript of Proceedings Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 3 - September 1, 2011
04/16/2012	Transcript of Proceedings Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 4 - September 2, 2011
07/05/2012	Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada
01/15/2013	NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Affirmed Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate Judgment - Affirmed
01/30/2015	Motion Motion to Withdraw Counsel
02/23/2015	Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ellsworth, Carolyn) Deft's Pro Per Motion to Withdraw Counsel
03/11/2015	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Filed by: Defendant Harris, Lamar Antwan
03/11/2015	Ex Parte Motion Filed By: Defendant Harris, Lamar Antwan Ex Parte Motion for Appointment of Counsel and Request for Evidentiary Hearing
03/11/2015	Memorandum of Points and Authorities Filed By: Defendant Harris, Lamar Antwan Memorandum of Points and Authorities In Support of Writ of Habeas Corpus/Post Conviction
03/19/2015	Notice of Hearing Notice of Hearing
03/19/2015	Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

DEPARTMENT 5 CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. C-11-274370-1

	1
04/14/2015	Order Order Granting Defendant's Pro Per Motion To Withdraw Counsel and Order Denying Defendant's Pro Per Motion To Produce File
05/08/2015	Response State's Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Motion for Appointment of Counsel and Request for Evidentiary Hearing
05/13/2015	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ellsworth, Carolyn)
05/13/2015	Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ellsworth, Carolyn) Ex Parte Motion for Appointment of Counsel and Request of Evidentiary Hearing
05/13/2015	All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ellsworth, Carolyn) All Pending Motions: 5/13/15
05/20/2015	Confirmation of Counsel (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ellsworth, Carolyn)
06/26/2015	Order Order Of Appointment
07/27/2015	Supplemental Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)
08/12/2015	Response State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post- Conviction)
09/09/2015	Reply Petitioner's Reply on Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)
09/16/2015	Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Thompson, Charles) Hearing: Time Bar on Writ
09/17/2015	Request Request for Rough Draft Transcripts
09/19/2015	Motion Notice of Motion and Motion for Reconsideration of Denial of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)
09/30/2015	Recorders Transcript of Hearing Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing: Time Bar on Writ, heard September 16, 2016
10/02/2015	Response State's Response to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Denial of His Post-Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
10/12/2015	Reply Reply to State's Response Motion for Reconsideration of Denial of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)

DEPARTMENT 5 CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. C-11-274370-1

	CASE 110. C-11-27 - 570-1	
10/14/2015	Motion to Reconsider (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ellsworth, Carolyn) Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Denial of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	
10/26/2015	Status Check (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ellsworth, Carolyn) Status Check: Hearing on Petition for Writ	
11/05/2015	Order for Production of Inmate Order for Production of Inmate - Lamar Antwan Harris, BAC #71088	
12/08/2015	Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ellsworth, Carolyn) Hearing: Time Bar on Writ	
06/06/2016	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law on Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	
06/14/2016	Notice of Entry of Order	
06/22/2016	Notice of Appeal (criminal) Notice of Appeal	
06/22/2016	Case Appeal Statement Case Appeal Statement	
06/22/2016	Request Request for Rough Draft Transcripts	
DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
	Defendant Harris, Lamar Antwan	
	Total Charges	185.00

Detendant Harris, Lamar Antwan Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 6/23/2016

_

185.00 0.00

			Electronically Filed 06/06/2016 02:11:25 PM
1	FFCL		Alun J. Chimm
2		CT COURT	CLERK OF THE COURT
3		UNTY, NEVADA	
4	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
5	Plaintiff,	CASE NO:	C-11-274370-1
6	-vs-	DEPT NO:	v
7	LAMAR HARRIS		
8	Defendant.		
9	FINDINGS OF FACT AND DEFENDANT'S PETITION F		
10	On December 8, 2015, this matter cam	e on for an evidenti	ary hearing before the Court on
11	Defendant's Supplement to his Post-Conviction	Petition for Writ of	Habeas Corpus. The Defendant
12	was present with counsel Matthew D. Carling,	Esq. The State was	s present by and through Deputy
13	District Attorney Peter Thunell, Esq. The Co	ourt, having heard	the arguments of counsel, and
14	considered the pleadings and papers on file here	ein, finds as follows	:
15	I. FINDINGS OF FACT		
16	1. On June 24, 2011, Defendant v	vas charged by way	of Information with Attempted
17	Murder with use of a Deadly Weapon, in v	violation of NRS 2	200.010, 200.030, 193.330, and
18	193.165, and Battery with Use of a Deadly	Weapon Resulting	in Substantial Bodily Harm, in
19	violation of NRS 200.481(2)(e).		
20	2. Defendant was tried on those	charges during a f	our day jury trial commencing
21	August 30, 2011. Defense counsel at trial was A	Adam Gill, Esq.	
22	3. On September 2, 2011, the jury	returned a verdict of	of guilty as to the battery charge
23	but acquitted Defendant of attempted murder.		
24	4. Defendant was sentenced on No	vember 21, 2011 to	a minimum term of seventy (70)
25	months and a maximum term of one hundred s	eventy five (175) m	onths in the Nevada Department
26	of Corrections and given one hundred and eight	ty two (182) days of	credit for time served.
27	5. The Judgment of Conviction wa	s filed on December	2, 2011.
28			

CAROL YN ELLSWORTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT V 6. After the conclusion of the trial, Defendant engaged attorney Leslie Park, Esq. ("Park"), to represent him in post-trial proceedings.

7. Defendant pursued a direct appeal with the Nevada Supreme Court, represented by Park. A Notice of Appeal was filed in this case on December 8, 2011, and the Supreme Court affirmed Defendant's convictions on December 13, 2012. The remittitur issued on January 15, 2013.

8. Defendant did not file a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus until he filed a pro per petition on March 11, 2015. An initial hearing was held on that petition on May 13, 2015, at which time the Court made the preliminary finding that Defendant may be able to show good cause to excuse the untimeliness of his petition and appointed Matthew D. Carling, Esq. to file supplemental briefing on that sole issue. A briefing schedule was set and an evidentiary hearing date of September 16, 2015.

9. At the September 16, 2015 hearing, Senior Judge J. Charles Thompson denied Defendant's petition and declined to hold an evidentiary hearing. Mr. Carling then filed a Motion for Reconsideration of that decision, on behalf of Defendant, which was granted by this Court on October 14, 2015. An evidentiary hearing date was then set at that time for December 8, 2015.

10. At the evidentiary hearing, Defendant called Park as a witness and he also testified himself.

11. Defendant testified that Park was to handle both his direct appeal and a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

12. The fee agreement for Park's retainer purportedly signed by Defendant's wife on behalf of Defendant was a stock form and would be used for the defense of initial criminal charges in the lower court. In the blank where the nature of the criminal charges at issue would be filled in was written the word "appeal." The form itself states that the agreement does *not* cover trial, appeal, or District Court proceedings. The fee amount and the date it was to be due were left blank. The agreement was marked and admitted as Court's Exhibit 1 at the hearing.

CAROLYN ELLSWORTH

13. Park initially testified that she was retained only for the direct appeal, but she later agreed with Defendant's contention that she was to do both the direct appeal and the post-conviction petition.

14. Park also agreed with Defendant that the total fee to be paid for these services was\$8,000.00, but that Defendant paid only about half of that amount.

15.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The fee agreement contained no date upon which full payment would be due.

16. Park did in fact file and pursue Defendant's direct appeal (Supreme Court Docket No. 59817). The Court notes that according to the docket in that appeal, Park filed the initial Notice of Appeal documents and the Fast Track Statement, but did not file a Fast Track Reply pursuant to NRAP 3(C)(e)(3). The Court further notes that Park attempted to file the Statement on July 3, 2012, but it was rejected for failure to comply with the Supreme Court's brief formatting requirements.

17. Sometime just after June 6, 2013, Defendant received a copy of a document drafted by Park entitled "Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus" (the "Park Petition"). The Park Petition was marked and admitted as evidence at the hearing.

18. As confirmed by Park's testimony, this document was signed by Park and dated June
6, 2013. It attached a certificate of service that was dated June 6, 2013 by Park, listing the Clerk of
the Supreme Court, the Clark County District Attorney, and the Nevada Attorney General as service
recipients.

 The caption on the Park Petition stated that the Petition was to be filed in the Nevada Supreme Court.

20. Defendant did not notice the filing error initially, presumably due to his lack of legal education and knowledge.

21. A short time later, someone with whom Defendant is incarcerated looked at the Park Petition and told him it appeared to have been filed in the wrong court, as post-conviction petitions are to be filed in the first instance in the district courts.¹

22. Defendant contacted Park in December 2013 to point out this deficiency and was told that she would immediately correct it and file it in the district court.²

¹ A specific date on this point could not be gleaned from the testimony at the evidentiary hearing, but it seemed to be within a few months after June 6, 2013 that Defendant came to this realization.

23. Defendant had no contact with Park after he alerted her to the deficient filing of the Park Petition, but he did unsuccessfully attempt to contact her office several times to obtain a status update. This period of no contact includes all of the year 2014.

24. Defendant did not attempt to check the status of his petition in the district court for the majority of 2014, as he was told that it takes some time and he thought he was waiting on the State's response to the Park Petition.

25. Towards the end of 2014, Defendant contacted the Supreme Court Clerk and asked for a status update on his petition. In response, he received a docket sheet from his Fast Track appeal printed on December 31, 2014.

26. Defendant also contacted the district court clerk around that time and in response received a docket sheet from the instant case, printed on January 6, 2015.

27. When he received these docket sheets, he realized that the Park Petition had never been filed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

28. Park confirmed that she had never filed the Park Petition.

29. The Park Petition itself is clearly deficient in many ways, in that it captions the incorrect court and it does not comply with the procedural and formatting requirements set forth in NRS 34.730 and NRS 34.735.3

30. The Court specifically notes that Park testified she had never before prepared a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus for any client.

31. When Park was asked why she would sign a petition that she did not in fact intend to file, she at first had no answer and then stated that she did not want to have to scramble to get a petition together if Defendant ended up paying his balance a few days before the filing deadline.⁴

² Defendant's testimony as to when he contacted Park regarding where the Park Petition had been filed was that he contacted her in August of 2013, but his Pro Per Petition states December 2013. The Court attributes this discrepancy to lack of memory and passage of time and finds that December 2013 is the more likely date, as he wrote the Pro Per Petition well before the evidentiary hearing was held and that date was fresher in his mind at that time. It is also more consistent with other dates given in his testimony, such as the fact that he did not check the status of his petition throughout 2014 because he thought he was waiting for the State's response.

³ Although not addressed herein, Defendant's Supplemental Briefing in Support of his Pro Per Petition points out that the substance of the Park Petition is also likely legally inaccurate as well.

⁴ This is troubling, as it indicates that Park would have been willing to file the Park Petition if Defendant paid his balance, even though that petition is clearly deficient.

32. Upon realizing no petition had ever been filed, Defendant drafted a Pro Per Petition and filed it with this Court on March 11, 2015, alleging most of the same facts recited above regarding the lateness of his petition.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

33. This Court entered an Order on March 19, 2015, requiring the State to file a Response within forty five days of the date of the Order and set it for hearing on May 13, 2015.

34. At the initial hearing, the Court noted there was an preliminary issue of whether Defendant could show good cause for failing to timely file a petition and thereby escape the time bar. Matthew D. Carling, Esq., was appointed to represent the Defendant and file supplemental briefing on that issue.

35. The supplemental briefing was initially heard on September 16, 2015, at which time the Honorable J. Charles Thompson, sitting as a Senior Judge, denied the request for an evidentiary hearing and the Defendant's Pro Per Petition.

36. On September 19, 2015, Defendant's appointed counsel filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the September 16, 2015 decision, which was granted at a hearing on October 14, 2015 and the matter was set down for an evidentiary hearing.

37. The evidentiary hearing was held on December 8, 2015, revealing the facts recited above.

38. Overall, the Court finds that the Defendant's testimony was more credible than Park's, as Park's responses were equivocal in nature, she stated that she lacked knowledge in response to many questions, and she conceded to many factual positions put forth by Defendant.

 Any findings of fact that are more appropriately considered conclusions of law shall be so construed.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

40. The Defendant had until January 22, 2014 to file a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. *See* NRS 34.726(1).

41. No such petition was ever filed in this case until Defendant's Pro Per Petition was filed on March 11, 2015 and so Defendant is required to show good cause for failing to timely file. *See id.*

CAROLYN ELLSWORTH CAROLYN ELLSWORTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT V 22 23 24 25 25 26 26 27 27 28 28 28 28 42. To demonstrate good cause, a petitioner "must show that an impediment external to the defense prevented him or her from complying with the state procedural default rules." *Hathaway v. State*, 119 Nev. 248, 252, 71 P.3d 503, 504 (2003).

43. Such an impediment may be demonstrated "by a showing that the factual or legal basis for a claim was not reasonably available to counsel, or that some interference by officials made compliance impracticable." *Id.*

44. The Defendant argues that he can show good cause for failing to timely file because he was relying on Park's representations that she had filed the Park Petition on his behalf. In support, Defendant cites to *Hathaway*, *supra*.

45. In *Hathaway*, the petitioner was convicted on December 11, 1998 and immediately after sentencing, told his trial counsel he wanted to pursue a direct appeal. 119 Nev. at 251, 71 P.3d at 505. His counsel told him that he would take care of it. *Id*.

46. Hathaway finally learned no petition had ever been filed when he wrote to the district court; he then filed a pro per petition on November 6, 2001, which was beyond the statutory deadline. *Id.*

47. The Supreme Court noted that a "claim of ineffective assistance of counsel may also excuse a procedural default if counsel was so ineffective as to violate the Sixth Amendment...[and the claim is not] itself procedurally defaulted." *Id.* at 252, 71 P.3d at 506.

48. It further noted that trial counsel is ineffective "if he or she fails to file a direct appeal after a defendant has requested or expressed a desire" to appeal and "prejudice is presumed" under such circumstances. *Id.* at 254, 71 P.3d at 507.

49. On that basis, the *Hathaway* court concluded that the petitioner had demonstrated sufficient facts to show that due to constitutionally ineffective assistance of counsel, he was entitled at minimum to an evidentiary hearing as to whether there was good cause to excuse his late filing. *Id.* at 255, 71 P.3d at 508.

50. Defendant argues that *Hathaway* is directly applicable to the instant case, as he relied upon Park's agreement to file the Park Petition on his behalf and her representation that it had been filed.

CAROLYN ELLSWORTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

51. However, *Hathway*'s holding was clearly couched in the fact that the petitioner there had a Sixth Amendment right to the effective assistance of counsel on a direct appeal, a claim that could excuse his late petition filing.

52. Here, the Defendant is not relying upon Park's ineffective representation *on appeal* to show good cause for his late filing.

53. Moreover, Defendant has no constitutional or statutory right to counsel in his postconviction proceeding. *Brown v. McDaniel*, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 60, 331 P.3d 867, 870 (Nev. 2014).⁵

54. "Where there is no right to counsel there can be no deprivation of effective assistance of counsel." *McKague v. Whitley*, 112 Nev. 159, 164–65, 912 P.2d 255, 258 (1996).

55. Hence, Defendant here is precluded from relying upon a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel to show good cause to excuse the procedural default of his Pro Per Petition. *See Brown, supra.*

56. Defendant has not presented any other impediment external to the defense for a finding of good cause.

57. Defendant's Pro Per Petition asserts two main claims: ineffective assistance of trial counsel and ineffective assistance of counsel on direct appeal.⁶

58. However, each of these claims was available to the Defendant at the time the remittitur issued and are thus procedurally defaulted themselves.

BASED UPON THE FOREGOING, the State's request to dismiss the Defendant's Pro Per Petition is **GRANTED** and the writ is discharged.

| //

H

 Π

 \parallel

CAROLYN ELLSWORTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT V ⁵ The Court also notes that the Supreme Court has rejected the federal doctrine of equitable tolling related to petitions for a writ of habeas corpus. *See Brown*, 331 P.3d at 874.

⁶ Defendant does not assert ineffectiveness of appellate counsel as an excuse to his late filing, however.

1	The Court is nevertheless troubled by the performance of attorney Leslie Parks in this
2	matter, as that performance appears to demonstrate significant issues concerning her professional
3	conduct. A copy of this Order and relevant documents will be forwarded to Bar Counsel for review
4	and appropriate proceedings.
5	DATED this day of June, 2016.
6	A cont
7	Carolyn Elloweth
8	District Court Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
28	
	8
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	The undersigned hereby certifies that on the $\underline{\mathcal{O}}^{TH}$ of June, 2016 she served the foregoing
3	Order Dismissing Appeal by faxing, mailing, or electronically serving a copy to counsel as listed
4	below:
5	Matthew D. Carling, Esq.
6	Attorney for Defendant
7	Peter I. Thunell, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff
8	Leslie Park, Esq.
9	Former Appellate Counsel for Defendant
10	Stan Hunterton, Esq.
11	State Bar of Nevada – Bar Counsel
12	Shellow Langers Indiaial Evacution Againtant
13	Shelby Lopaze, Judicial Executive Assistant
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 DEPARTMENT V 27 27 28	
127 127	
ä 28	
	9
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

CAROLYN ELLSWORTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

				Electronically Filed 06/14/2016
NEOJ				CLERK OF THE COURT
		DISTRI	CT COURT	
	CL	ARK COU	JNTY, NEVA	DA
LAMA	R HARRIS,		Case No: C-11	1_274370_1
		Petitioner,	Dept. No: V	1-27+370-1
	VS.		Dept. N <u>0</u> . V	
тие с	TATE OF NEVADA,			
1112.3		1	NOTIC	E OF ENTRY OF ORDER
		Respondent,		
	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE f	hat on June 6. 🤇	2016 the court ente	red a decision or order in this matter
true and	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE the correct copy of which is attach			red a decision or order in this matter
true and	l correct copy of which is attach	ned to this notice) .	
	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Suprer	ned to this notice me Court from t	e. he decision or order	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y
must fil	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Suprer	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou	e. he decision or order ırt within thirty-thre	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y
must fil	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren e a notice of appeal with the c	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016	e. he decision or order ırt within thirty-thre 6.	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y
must fil	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren e a notice of appeal with the c	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016 S	e. he decision or order urt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasa	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y ee (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant
must fil	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren e a notice of appeal with the c	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016 S	e. he decision or order urt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y ee (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant
must fil	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren e a notice of appeal with the c	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016 S	e. he decision or order urt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasa	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y ee (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant
must fil	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren e a notice of appeal with the c	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016 S	e. he decision or order urt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasa Chaunte Pleasant,	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y ee (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant
must fil	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren le a notice of appeal with the c to you. This notice was mailed o	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016 S – –	e. he decision or order urt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasa Chaunte Pleasant, TE OF MAILING	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y e (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant Deputy Clerk
must fil	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren e a notice of appeal with the c	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016 S – –	e. he decision or order urt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasa Chaunte Pleasant, TE OF MAILING	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y e (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant Deputy Clerk
must fil	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren e a notice of appeal with the c to you. This notice was mailed o I hereby certify that <u>on this 14</u> The bin(s) located in the Regio	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016 S <u>CERTIFICA</u> <u>CERTIFICA</u> day of June 20 onal Justice Cen	e. he decision or order urt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasa Chaunte Pleasant, TE OF MAILING 16, I placed a copy o uter of:	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y e (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant Deputy Clerk
must fil	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren le a notice of appeal with the c to you. This notice was mailed o I hereby certify that <u>on this 14</u>	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2014 S <u>CERTIFICA</u> day of June 20 onal Justice Cen t Attorney's Off	e. he decision or order irt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasar Chaunte Pleasant, <u>TE OF MAILING</u> <u>16.</u> I placed a copy o iter of: fice	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y e (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant Deputy Clerk
must fil	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren le a notice of appeal with the c to you. This notice was mailed of I hereby certify that <u>on this 14</u> The bin(s) located in the Regie Clark County District Attorney General's C	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016 S <u>CERTIFICA</u> <u>day of June 20</u> onal Justice Cent t Attorney's Off Office – Appella	e. he decision or order nrt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasar Chaunte Pleasant, <u>TE OF MAILING</u> <u>16.</u> I placed a copy of the of: fice te Division-	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y ee (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant Deputy Clerk
must fil mailed	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren le a notice of appeal with the c to you. This notice was mailed of I hereby certify that <u>on this 14</u> The bin(s) located in the Regio Clark County Distric Attorney General's C The United States mail addres Lamar Harris # 71088 P.O. Box 208	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2014 S <u>CERTIFICA</u> <u>CERTIFICA</u> day of June 20 onal Justice Cen t Attorney's Off Office – Appella ssed as follows: Matthe 1100 S	e. he decision or order urt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasar Chaunte Pleasant, TE OF MAILING 16, I placed a copy of the of: fice te Division- ew Carling . Tenth St.	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y ee (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant Deputy Clerk of this Notice of Entry in: Mark Peplowski 515 S. Third St.
must fil mailed	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren le a notice of appeal with the c to you. This notice was mailed of I hereby certify that <u>on this 14</u> The bin(s) located in the Regia Clark County District Attorney General's C The United States mail address Lamar Harris # 71088	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2014 S <u>CERTIFICA</u> <u>CERTIFICA</u> day of June 20 onal Justice Cen t Attorney's Off Office – Appella ssed as follows: Matthe 1100 S	e. he decision or order urt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasa Chaunte Pleasant, TE OF MAILING 16, I placed a copy of the of: fice te Division-	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y ee (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant Deputy Clerk of this Notice of Entry in: Mark Peplowski
must fil mailed	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren le a notice of appeal with the c to you. This notice was mailed of I hereby certify that <u>on this 14</u> The bin(s) located in the Regio Clark County Distric Attorney General's C The United States mail addres Lamar Harris # 71088 P.O. Box 208	ned to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016 S <u>CERTIFICA</u> day of June 20 onal Justice Cen t Attorney's Off Office – Appella ssed as follows: Matthe 1100 S 08 Las Ve	e. he decision or order urt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasar Chaunte Pleasant, TE OF MAILING 16, I placed a copy of the of: fice te Division- ew Carling . Tenth St.	of this court. If you wish to appeal, y ee (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant Deputy Clerk of this Notice of Entry in: Mark Peplowski 515 S. Third St. Las Vegas, NV 89101
must fil mailed	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren le a notice of appeal with the c to you. This notice was mailed of I hereby certify that <u>on this 14</u> The bin(s) located in the Regio Clark County Distric Attorney General's C The United States mail addres Lamar Harris # 71088 P.O. Box 208	ed to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016 <u>S</u> <u>CERTIFICA</u> <u>day of June 20</u> onal Justice Cen t Attorney's Off Office – Appella ssed as follows: <u>Matthe</u> 1100 S 08 Las Ve	e. he decision or order urt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasa Chaunte Pleasant, TE OF MAILING 16, I placed a copy of the of: fice te Division- ew Carling . Tenth St. gas, NV 89101	of this court. If you wish to appeal, yoe (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant Deputy Clerk of this Notice of Entry in: Mark Peplowski 515 S. Third St. Las Vegas, NV 89101
must fil mailed	l correct copy of which is attach You may appeal to the Supren le a notice of appeal with the c to you. This notice was mailed of I hereby certify that <u>on this 14</u> The bin(s) located in the Regio Clark County Distric Attorney General's C The United States mail addres Lamar Harris # 71088 P.O. Box 208	ed to this notice me Court from t clerk of this cou on June 14, 2016 <u>S</u> <u>CERTIFICA</u> <u>day of June 20</u> onal Justice Cen t Attorney's Off Office – Appella ssed as follows: <u>Matthe</u> 1100 S 08 Las Ve	e. he decision or order irt within thirty-thre 6. STEVEN D. GRIER /s/ Chaunte Pleasar Chaunte Pleasant, TE OF MAILING 16, I placed a copy of the of: fice te Division- ew Carling . Tenth St. gas, NV 89101 /s/ Chaunte Pleasa	of this court. If you wish to appeal, yoe (33) days after the date this notice SON, CLERK OF THE COURT ant Deputy Clerk of this Notice of Entry in: Mark Peplowski 515 S. Third St. Las Vegas, NV 89101

			Electronically Filed 06/06/2016 02:11:25 PM
1	FFCL		Alun X. Ehrin
2		ICT COURT UNTY, NEVADA	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
4	Plaintiff,		
5	-VS-	CASE NO:	C-11-274370-1
6	LAMAR HARRIS	DEPT NO:	V
7	Defendant.		
8	FINDINGS OF FACT AND	CONCLUSIONS	OF LAW ON
9	DEFENDANT'S PETITION F		
10	On December 8, 2015, this matter cam	e on for an evidenti	ary hearing before the Court on
11	Defendant's Supplement to his Post-Conviction	Petition for Writ of	Habeas Corpus. The Defendant
12	was present with counsel Matthew D. Carling,	Esq. The State was	s present by and through Deputy
13	District Attorney Peter Thunell, Esq. The C	ourt, having heard	the arguments of counsel, and
14	considered the pleadings and papers on file here	ein, finds as follows	:
15	I. FINDINGS OF FACT		
16	1. On June 24, 2011, Defendant v	vas charged by way	of Information with Attempted
17	Murder with use of a Deadly Weapon, in	violation of NRS 2	200.010, 200.030, 193.330, and
18	193.165, and Battery with Use of a Deadly	Weapon Resulting	in Substantial Bodily Harm, in
19	violation of NRS 200.481(2)(e).		
20	2. Defendant was tried on those	charges during a f	our day jury trial commencing
21	August 30, 2011. Defense counsel at trial was A	Adam Gill, Esq.	
22	3. On September 2, 2011, the jury	returned a verdict	of guilty as to the battery charge
23	but acquitted Defendant of attempted murder.		
24	4. Defendant was sentenced on No	vember 21, 2011 to	a minimum term of seventy (70)
25	months and a maximum term of one hundred s	eventy five (175) m	onths in the Nevada Department
26	of Corrections and given one hundred and eigh	ty two (182) days of	credit for time served.
27	5. The Judgment of Conviction wa	s filed on December	2, 2011.
28			

CAROLYN ELLSWORTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT V

6. After the conclusion of the trial, Defendant engaged attorney Leslie Park, Esq. ("Park"), to represent him in post-trial proceedings.

7. Defendant pursued a direct appeal with the Nevada Supreme Court, represented by Park. A Notice of Appeal was filed in this case on December 8, 2011, and the Supreme Court affirmed Defendant's convictions on December 13, 2012. The remittitur issued on January 15, 2013.

8. Defendant did not file a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus until he filed a pro per petition on March 11, 2015. An initial hearing was held on that petition on May 13, 2015, at which time the Court made the preliminary finding that Defendant may be able to show good cause to excuse the untimeliness of his petition and appointed Matthew D. Carling, Esq. to file supplemental briefing on that sole issue. A briefing schedule was set and an evidentiary hearing date of September 16, 2015.

9. At the September 16, 2015 hearing, Senior Judge J. Charles Thompson denied Defendant's petition and declined to hold an evidentiary hearing. Mr. Carling then filed a Motion for Reconsideration of that decision, on behalf of Defendant, which was granted by this Court on October 14, 2015. An evidentiary hearing date was then set at that time for December 8, 2015.

10. At the evidentiary hearing, Defendant called Park as a witness and he also testified himself.

11. Defendant testified that Park was to handle both his direct appeal and a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

12. The fee agreement for Park's retainer purportedly signed by Defendant's wife on behalf of Defendant was a stock form and would be used for the defense of initial criminal charges in the lower court. In the blank where the nature of the criminal charges at issue would be filled in was written the word "appeal." The form itself states that the agreement does *not* cover trial, appeal, or District Court proceedings. The fee amount and the date it was to be due were left blank. The agreement was marked and admitted as Court's Exhibit 1 at the hearing.

g

13. Park initially testified that she was retained only for the direct appeal, but she later agreed with Defendant's contention that she was to do both the direct appeal and the post-conviction petition.

14. Park also agreed with Defendant that the total fee to be paid for these services was\$8,000.00, but that Defendant paid only about half of that amount.

15.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The fee agreement contained no date upon which full payment would be due.

16. Park did in fact file and pursue Defendant's direct appeal (Supreme Court Docket No. 59817). The Court notes that according to the docket in that appeal, Park filed the initial Notice of Appeal documents and the Fast Track Statement, but did not file a Fast Track Reply pursuant to NRAP 3(C)(e)(3). The Court further notes that Park attempted to file the Statement on July 3, 2012, but it was rejected for failure to comply with the Supreme Court's brief formatting requirements.

17. Sometime just after June 6, 2013, Defendant received a copy of a document drafted by Park entitled "Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus" (the "Park Petition"). The Park Petition was marked and admitted as evidence at the hearing.

18. As confirmed by Park's testimony, this document was signed by Park and dated June 6, 2013. It attached a certificate of service that was dated June 6, 2013 by Park, listing the Clerk of the Supreme Court, the Clark County District Attorney, and the Nevada Attorney General as service recipients.

 The caption on the Park Petition stated that the Petition was to be filed in the Nevada Supreme Court.

20. Defendant did not notice the filing error initially, presumably due to his lack of legal education and knowledge.

21. A short time later, someone with whom Defendant is incarcerated looked at the Park Petition and told him it appeared to have been filed in the wrong court, as post-conviction petitions are to be filed in the first instance in the district courts.¹

22. Defendant contacted Park in December 2013 to point out this deficiency and was told that she would immediately correct it and file it in the district court.²

¹ A specific date on this point could not be gleaned from the testimony at the evidentiary hearing, but it seemed to be within a few months after June 6, 2013 that Defendant came to this realization.

23. Defendant had no contact with Park after he alerted her to the deficient filing of the Park Petition, but he did unsuccessfully attempt to contact her office several times to obtain a status update. This period of no contact includes all of the year 2014.

24. Defendant did not attempt to check the status of his petition in the district court for the majority of 2014, as he was told that it takes some time and he thought he was waiting on the State's response to the Park Petition.

25. Towards the end of 2014, Defendant contacted the Supreme Court Clerk and asked for a status update on his petition. In response, he received a docket sheet from his Fast Track appeal printed on December 31, 2014.

26. Defendant also contacted the district court clerk around that time and in response received a docket sheet from the instant case, printed on January 6, 2015.

27. When he received these docket sheets, he realized that the Park Petition had never been filed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

28. Park confirmed that she had never filed the Park Petition.

29. The Park Petition itself is clearly deficient in many ways, in that it captions the incorrect court and it does not comply with the procedural and formatting requirements set forth in NRS 34.730 and NRS 34.735.³

30. The Court specifically notes that Park testified she had never before prepared a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus for any client.

31. When Park was asked why she would sign a petition that she did not in fact intend to file, she at first had no answer and then stated that she did not want to have to scramble to get a petition together if Defendant ended up paying his balance a few days before the filing deadline.⁴

² Defendant's testimony as to when he contacted Park regarding where the Park Petition had been filed was that he contacted her in August of 2013, but his Pro Per Petition states December 2013. The Court attributes this discrepancy to lack of memory and passage of time and finds that December 2013 is the more likely date, as he wrote the Pro Per Petition well before the evidentiary hearing was held and that date was fresher in his mind at that time. It is also more consistent with other dates given in his testimony, such as the fact that he did not check the status of his petition throughout 2014 because he thought he was waiting for the State's response.

³ Although not addressed herein, Defendant's Supplemental Briefing in Support of his Pro Per Petition points out that the substance of the Park Petition is also likely legally inaccurate as well.

⁴ This is troubling, as it indicates that Park would have been willing to file the Park Petition if Defendant paid his balance, even though that petition is clearly deficient.

32. Upon realizing no petition had ever been filed, Defendant drafted a Pro Per Petition and filed it with this Court on March 11, 2015, alleging most of the same facts recited above regarding the lateness of his petition.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

33. This Court entered an Order on March 19, 2015, requiring the State to file a Response within forty five days of the date of the Order and set it for hearing on May 13, 2015.

34. At the initial hearing, the Court noted there was an preliminary issue of whether Defendant could show good cause for failing to timely file a petition and thereby escape the time bar. Matthew D. Carling, Esq., was appointed to represent the Defendant and file supplemental briefing on that issue.

35. The supplemental briefing was initially heard on September 16, 2015, at which time the Honorable J. Charles Thompson, sitting as a Senior Judge, denied the request for an evidentiary hearing and the Defendant's Pro Per Petition.

36. On September 19, 2015, Defendant's appointed counsel filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the September 16, 2015 decision, which was granted at a hearing on October 14, 2015 and the matter was set down for an evidentiary hearing.

37. The evidentiary hearing was held on December 8, 2015, revealing the facts recited above.

38. Overall, the Court finds that the Defendant's testimony was more credible than Park's, as Park's responses were equivocal in nature, she stated that she lacked knowledge in response to many questions, and she conceded to many factual positions put forth by Defendant.

39. Any findings of fact that are more appropriately considered conclusions of law shall be so construed.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

40. The Defendant had until January 22, 2014 to file a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. *See* NRS 34.726(1).

41. No such petition was ever filed in this case until Defendant's Pro Per Petition was filed on March 11, 2015 and so Defendant is required to show good cause for failing to timely file. *See id.*

42. To demonstrate good cause, a petitioner "must show that an impediment external to the defense prevented him or her from complying with the state procedural default rules." *Hathaway v. State*, 119 Nev. 248, 252, 71 P.3d 503, 504 (2003).

43. Such an impediment may be demonstrated "by a showing that the factual or legal basis for a claim was not reasonably available to counsel, or that some interference by officials made compliance impracticable." *Id.*

44. The Defendant argues that he can show good cause for failing to timely file because he was relying on Park's representations that she had filed the Park Petition on his behalf. In support, Defendant cites to *Hathaway*, *supra*.

45. In *Hathaway*, the petitioner was convicted on December 11, 1998 and immediately after sentencing, told his trial counsel he wanted to pursue a direct appeal. 119 Nev. at 251, 71 P.3d at 505. His counsel told him that he would take care of it. *Id.*

46. Hathaway finally learned no petition had ever been filed when he wrote to the district court; he then filed a pro per petition on November 6, 2001, which was beyond the statutory deadline. *Id.*

47. The Supreme Court noted that a "claim of ineffective assistance of counsel may also excuse a procedural default if counsel was so ineffective as to violate the Sixth Amendment...[and the claim is not] itself procedurally defaulted." *Id.* at 252, 71 P.3d at 506.

48. It further noted that trial counsel is ineffective "if he or she fails to file a direct appeal after a defendant has requested or expressed a desire" to appeal and "prejudice is presumed" under such circumstances. *Id.* at 254, 71 P.3d at 507.

49. On that basis, the *Hathaway* court concluded that the petitioner had demonstrated sufficient facts to show that due to constitutionally ineffective assistance of counsel, he was entitled at minimum to an evidentiary hearing as to whether there was good cause to excuse his late filing. *Id.* at 255, 71 P.3d at 508.

50. Defendant argues that *Hathaway* is directly applicable to the instant case, as he relied upon Park's agreement to file the Park Petition on his behalf and her representation that it had been filed.

CAROLYN ELLSWORTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

51. However, *Hathway*'s holding was clearly couched in the fact that the petitioner there had a Sixth Amendment right to the effective assistance of counsel on a direct appeal, a claim that could excuse his late petition filing.

52. Here, the Defendant is not relying upon Park's ineffective representation *on appeal* to show good cause for his late filing.

53. Moreover, Defendant has no constitutional or statutory right to counsel in his postconviction proceeding. *Brown v. McDaniel*, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 60, 331 P.3d 867, 870 (Nev. 2014).⁵

54. "Where there is no right to counsel there can be no deprivation of effective assistance of counsel." *McKague v. Whitley*, 112 Nev. 159, 164–65, 912 P.2d 255, 258 (1996).

55. Hence, Defendant here is precluded from relying upon a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel to show good cause to excuse the procedural default of his Pro Per Petition. *See Brown, supra.*

56. Defendant has not presented any other impediment external to the defense for a finding of good cause.

57. Defendant's Pro Per Petition asserts two main claims: ineffective assistance of trial counsel and ineffective assistance of counsel on direct appeal.⁶

58. However, each of these claims was available to the Defendant at the time the remittitur issued and are thus procedurally defaulted themselves.

BASED UPON THE FOREGOING, the State's request to dismiss the Defendant's Pro Per Petition is **GRANTED** and the writ is discharged.

| //

H

H

ll

⁵ The Court also notes that the Supreme Court has rejected the federal doctrine of equitable tolling related to petitions for a writ of habeas corpus. *See Brown*, 331 P.3d at 874.

⁶ Defendant does not assert ineffectiveness of appellate counsel as an excuse to his late filing, however.

	1	The Court is nevertheless troubled by the performance of attorney Leslie Parks in this
	2	matter, as that performance appears to demonstrate significant issues concerning her professional
	3	conduct. A copy of this Order and relevant documents will be forwarded to Bar Counsel for review
	4	and appropriate proceedings.
	5	DATED this day of June, 2016.
	6	ALCO.L
	7	Carolyn Ellsworth
	8	District Court Judge
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
- H	24	
NORTI LUDX	25	
ELLS COUR	26	
CAROLYN ELLSWORTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT V	27	
CAF DIS: DEP	28	
		8

-	
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE The undersigned hereby certifies that on the \bigcirc^{TH} of June, 2016 she served the foregoing
2	
3	Order Dismissing Appeal by faxing, mailing, or electronically serving a copy to counsel as listed
4	below:
5	Matthew D. Carling, Esq. Attorney for Defendant
6	
7	Peter I. Thunell, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff
8	Leslie Park, Esq.
9	Former Appellate Counsel for Defendant
10	Stan Hunterton, Esq.
11	State Bar of Nevada – Bar Counsel
12	Shally Langua Indiaial Evaguation Againtant
13	Shelby Lopaze, Judicial Executive Assistant
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 >	
La 26	
26 DEPARTMENT V 27 28 28	
ä 28	
	9

CAROLYN ELLSWORTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	June 30, 2011
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
June 30, 2011	9:00 AM	Initial Arraignment	
HEARD BY: [)e La Garza, Melisa	COURTROOM:	RJC Lower Level Arraignment
COURT CLERK	C: Louisa Garcia Noelle Peguese Sharon Coffman Christine Erickson Ruth Gilfert		
RECORDER: Kiara Schmidt			
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Harris, Lamar Antwar Mitchell, Scott Stever NUTTON, DOUG State of Nevada		
JOURNAL ENTRIES			
- DEFT. HARRIS ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY, and INVOKED the 60-DAY RULE. COURT ORDERED, matter set for trial.			
CUSTODY			
7/20/11 9:00 AM - CALENDAR CALL (D5)			

7/25/11 1:30 PM - JURY TRIAL (D5)

PRINT DATE: 06/23/2016

C-11-274370-1

Felony/Gross N	lisdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	July 18, 2011
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
July 18, 2011	9:00 AM	Request	State's Request Re Trial Setting
HEARD BY: E	Bonaventure, Joseph T.	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16A
COURT CLERK	K: Sharon Chun		
RECORDER:	Rachelle Hamilton		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Harris, Lamar Antwan Jimenez, Sonia V. State of Nevada Whipple, Bret O	Defendant Attorney Plaintiff Attorney	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- Deft was present at start of calendar, but, when he became disruptive he was removed from the court room and not present during this hearing.

Ms. Jimenez stated that the trial date was set three weeks from the initial arraignment date of 6/30/11. Because she has not received the necessary records from UMC and cannot subpoena witnesses/victims/doctors that may be required for trial, she requested a trial continuance to allow the State to prepare.

Mr. Whipple opposed a continuance, noting he is ready for trial and Deft wants to invoke his speedy trial rights. He stated he will waive the witness requirements and if the State provides information re expert witnesses that will suffice to let this trial go forward as scheduled for 7/25/11.

COURT NOTED that Deft is not present at this time. After further discussion as to time restraints and availability of both counsel, Ms. Jimenez and Mr. Whipple confirmed this trial is Overflow

PRINT DATE: 06/23/2016

C-11-274370-1

eligible.

COURT ORDERED, Calendar Call and Trial VACATED and RESET in August, noting it could be heard by a Senior or Visiting Judge if not assigned from Overflow.

CUSTODY

8/24/11 9:00 AM CALENDAR CALL (SET BY COURT)

8/29/11 1:30 PM JURY TRIAL -OVERFLOW ELIGIBLE - (SET BY COURT)

Felony/Gross N	lisdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	August 24, 2011
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
August 24, 2011	9:00 AM	Calendar Call	
HEARD BY: (Gates, Lee A.	COURTROOM:	
COURT CLERI	K: Alice Jacobson		
RECORDER:	Rachelle Hamilton		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Gill, Adam L, ESQ Harris, Lamar Antwar Jimenez, Sonia V. State of Nevada	Attorney Defendant Attorney Plaintiff	
		IOURNAL ENTRIES	

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Ms. Jimenez stated there were pictures pending as the analyst had been unavailable. Therefore, Ms. Jimenez requested to reset the trial date. Opposition by Mr. Gill. COURT ORDERED, request to reset the trial DENIED; trial date STANDS. Upon Court's inquiry, counsel estimated the trial to last five days.

CUSTODY

Felony/Gross N	Aisdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	August 29, 2011	
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris			
August 29, 2011	9:00 AM	Motion		
HEARD BY:	Brennan, James	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16A	
COURT CLER	K: Alice Jacobson			
RECORDER:	Rachelle Hamilton			
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:	Gill, Adam L, ESQ Harris, Lamar Antwar Jimenez, Sonia V. State of Nevada	Attorney Defendant Attorney Plaintiff		
		JOURNAL ENTRIES		
- At the request of counsel, COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to be heard at the date of trial.				
CUSTODY				
8/30/11 1:00 PM STATES' MOTION TO ADMIT PRIOR TESTIMONY				

Felony/Gross M	isdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	August 30, 2011
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
August 30, 2011	1:00 PM	Jury Trial	
HEARD BY: B	onaventure, Joseph	COURTROOM:	
COURT CLERK	: Alice Jacobson		
RECORDER:	Rachelle Hamilton		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Gill, Adam L, ESQ Harris, Lamar Antwan Jimenez, Sonia V. State of Nevada Thunell, Peter I.	Attorney Defendant Attorney Plaintiff Attorney	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL PRESENT. Voir Dire of Panel. Jury selected and Sworn. Clerk read the Information to the Jury and stated the Defendant's plea of not guilty. Court ADMONISHED and EXCUSED the Jury for the evening.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. Ms. Jimenez indicated an offer was made to the Defendant but it was rejected. Therefore, the offer is off the table. Colloquy regarding witness statements, uncooperating witnesses, and gang affiliation. COURT ORDERED, the word "gang", or "pimp" may not be used as it is prejudicial.

COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED 8/31/11.

Felony/Gross N	Misdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	August 30, 2011
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
August 30, 201	1 1:00 PM	Motion	
HEARD BY:	Bonaventure, Joseph	COURTROOM:	
COURT CLER	K: Alice Jacobson		
RECORDER:	Rachelle Hamilton		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Gill, Adam L, ESQ Harris, Lamar Antwar Jimenez, Sonia V. State of Nevada	Attorney Plaintiff	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	
- At the reques	t of counsel, COURT OR	DERED, matter OFF CALENDAR.	

CUSTODY

Felony/Gross N	lisdemeanor	COURT MI	NUTES	August 31, 2011
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris			
August 31, 2011	9:00 AM	Hearing		
HEARD BY: H	30naventure, Joseph	C	OURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16A
COURT CLERE	K: Melissa Benson			
RECORDER:	Rachelle Hamilton			
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:	Harris, Lamar Antwar Jimenez, Sonia V. State of Nevada	1	Defendant Attorney Plaintiff	
JOURNAL ENTRIES				

- Taleen Pandukht D.A. present on behalf of the State.

Trial victim Michael Thoma in custody for a warrant. COURT ORDERED, said victim shall remain in custody until he testifies in trial for Deft.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 8/31/11 1:30 PM

Felony/Gross M	isdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	August 31, 2011		
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris				
August 31, 2011	10:00 AM	Jury Trial			
HEARD BY: B	onaventure, Joseph T.	COURTROOM:			
COURT CLERK	: Nicole McDevitt				
RECORDER:	Rachelle Hamilton				
REPORTER:					
PARTIES PRESENT:	Gill, Adam L, ESQ Harris, Lamar Antwan Jimenez, Sonia V. State of Nevada	Attorney Defendant Attorney Plaintiff			
	JOURNAL ENTRIES				
- Peter Thunell, Esq. present on present on behalf of Plaintiff.					

JURY PRESENT: Opening Statements by counsel. Exclusionary rule invoked by Ms. Jimenez. Testimony and exhibits presented (see worksheets.) COURT ORDERD, matter CONTINUED.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Colloquy regarding Juror #1 recognizing one of the witnesses. Ms. Jimenez and Mr. Gill agreed they did not see any prejudice. Mr. Gill moved for mistrial. COURT ORDERED, oral motion DENIED. Court advised Defendant of his right to testify.

CONTINUED TO......9/1/2011 9:15 AM

Felony/Gross M	isdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	September 01, 2011
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
September 01, 2	011 9:15 AM	Jury Trial	
HEARD BY: B	onaventure, Joseph	COURTROOM:	
COURT CLERK	: Alice Jacobson		
RECORDER:	Rachelle Hamilton		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Gill, Adam L, ESQ Harris, Lamar Antwan Jimenez, Sonia V. State of Nevada Thunell, Peter I.	Attorney Defendant Attorney Plaintiff Attorney	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- JURY PRESENT. Testimony and exhibits presented (See Worksheets).

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. Colloquy regarding admonishment of the witnesses as to the word "gang". COURT ADVISED counsel that State did not elicit the word "gang", therefore, any request for a mistrial will be denied. Jury Instructions settled on the record.

JURY PRESENT. Court INSTRUCTED the Jury. Closing arguments by counsel. Alternate Juror thanked and excused. At the hour of 2:31pm the Jury retired to deliberate.

COURT ADMONISHED and EXCUSED the Jury for the evening.

COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to 9/2/11 8:30 AM.

PRINT DATE: 06/23/2016

Felony/Gross M	isdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	September 02, 2011
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
September 02, 20	011 8:15 AM	Jury Trial	
HEARD BY: B	rennan, James	COURTROOM:	
COURT CLERK	: Alice Jacobson		
RECORDER:	Rachelle Hamilton		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES			
PRESENT:	Gill, Adam L, ESQ	Attorney	
	Harris, Lamar Antwan	3	
	Jimenez, Sonia V.	Attorney	
	State of Nevada	Plaintiff	
	Thunell, Peter I.	Attorney	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- At the hour of 12:15pm the Jury returned with a verdict of COUNT 1- NOT GUILTY, COUNT 2-GUILTY of BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM (F). Jury Polled and excused.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. Ms. Jimenez requested the Defendant be remanded without bail as he has another pending case. COURT ORDERED, Defendant REMANDED without bail; matter SET for Sentencing.

CUSTODY

11/7/11 9:00 AM SENTENCING

Felony/Gross M	lisdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	November 07, 2011
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
November 07, 2	011 9:00 AM	Sentencing	
HEARD BY: E	Ellsworth, Carolyn	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16A
COURT CLERK	C: Denise Trujillo Louisa Garcia		
RECORDER:	Patti Slattery		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES			
PRESENT:	Harris, Lamar Antwan	Defendant	
	Jimenez, Sonia V.	Attorney	
	State of Nevada	Plaintiff	
	Whipple, Bret O	Attorney	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	
- SENTENCING	ł		
Due to loud beh	avior Deft. had to be rer	noved from the Court room.	LATER: Mr. Whipple present

and advised he client would not be available today. Mr. Whipple advised he just received PSI and has a sentencing memorandum being prepared and requested matter be CONTINUED. State advised she has no opposition as long as completed before his next trial is scheduled to go to trial. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED,

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 11/21/11 8:00 AN

Felony/Gross M	lisdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	November 21, 2011
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
November 21, 2	011 9:00 AM	Sentencing	
HEARD BY: E	llsworth, Carolyn	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16A
COURT CLERK	: Denise Trujillo		
RECORDER:	Debbie Winn		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Gill, Adam L, ESQ Harris, Lamar Antwan Jimenez, Sonia V. State of Nevada	Attorney Defendant Attorney Plaintiff JOURNAL ENTRIES	
- SENTENCING			

Deft. present in custody. CONFERENCE AT THE BENCH. DEFT HARRIS ADJUDGED GUILTY of CT II - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM (F). Statements by Deft. and counsel. COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment fee and a \$150.00 DNA Analysis fee including testing to determine genetic markers, Deft. SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED SEVENTY FIVE (175) MONTHS and MINIMUM of SEVENTY (70) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) with 182 DAYS CREDIT for time served.

NDC

Felony/Gross M	isdemeanor	COURT MINUTE	ES February 23, 2015	
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris			
February 23, 201	5 9:00 AM	Motion	Deft's Pro Per Motion to Withdraw Counsel	
HEARD BY: E	llsworth, Carolyn	COURT	FROOM: RJC Courtroom 16D	
COURT CLERK: April Watkins				
	Debbie Winn Lara Corcoran			
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:	State of Nevada Weckerly, Pamela C		intiff orney	
JOURNAL ENTRIES				
- COURT ORDERED, motion to withdraw counsel GRANTED. As to motion to produce file, Court FINDS no showing Deft. has requested or demanded, motion premature and ORDERED, DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.				

NDC

CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: Lamar Harris #71088, P.O. Box 208, Indian Springs, NV 89070. aw

Felony/Gross	Misdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	May 13, 2015
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
May 13, 2015	9:00 AM	All Pending Motions	
HEARD BY:	Ellsworth, Carolyn	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16D
COURT CLER	RK: Denise Trujillo Tena Jolley		
RECORDER:	Lara Corcoran		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Heap, Hilary State of Nevada	Attorney Plaintiff	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- PETITIONER'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...PETITIONER'S PRO PER EX PARTE MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL & REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING

Deft. not present. Without benefit of argument, Court noted there is an unusual circumstance regarding whether or not this is time barred. COURT is going to have counsel appointed for the limited issue to see if Deft. can get around the time bar. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

NDC

5/20/15 9 AM CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL

CLERK'S NOTE: Clerk notified Mr. Christiansen's office regarding appointment of counsel, via email this date. /dt

PRINT DATE: 06/23/2016

Felony/Gross N	Misdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	May 20, 2015
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
May 20, 2015	9:00 AM	Confirmation of Counsel	
HEARD BY:	Ellsworth, Carolyn	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16D
COURT CLER	K: Denise Trujillo		
RECORDER:	Lara Corcoran		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Harris, Lamar Antwan Pandukht, Taleen R. Peplowski, Mark E. State of Nevada	Defendant Attorney Attorney Plaintiff	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	
- CONFIRMAT	TON OF COUNSEL		

Deft. not present. Mr. Peplowski confirmed as counsel for Mr. Carling and requested 30 days to review the file. COURT advised the only issue Court wants counsel to determine at this point, is if there is sufficient basis for Deft. to get around the time bar and if he does, then counsel can work on the writ. Further, counsel can prepare supplemental, and there may be a need for evidentiary hearing. Briefing schedule as follows: Deft. to submit the supplemental by 7/20/15; State to respond by 8/20/15; Deft. to reply by 9/2/15 with matter set for hearing thereafter.

NDC

9/16/15 9 AM HEARING: TIME BAR

Felony/Gross M	lisdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	September 16, 2015
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
September 16, 2	015 9:00 AM	Hearing	
HEARD BY: T	hompson, Charles	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16D
COURT CLERK	: Denise Trujillo		
RECORDER:	Lara Corcoran		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Carling, Matthew D. Pandukht, Taleen R. State of Nevada	Attorney Attorney Plaintiff	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- HEARING: TIME BAR ON WRIT

Deft. not present. Court noted he read supplemental and response. Mr. Carling stated he filed a reply. Counsel submitted matter on their briefs. COURT ORDERED, Writ is Time BARRED, and therefore DENIED. State to prepare findings of fact and conclusions of law.

NDC

Felony/Gross Mi	sdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	October 14, 2015
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
October 14, 2015	9:00 AM	Motion to Reconsider	
HEARD BY: El	lsworth, Carolyn	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16D
COURT CLERK:	Denise Trujillo		
RECORDER: L	ara Corcoran		
REPORTER:			
	Carling, Matthew D. Giordani, John State of Nevada	Attorney Attorney Plaintiff JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- DEFT'S MOTION FO RECONSIDERATION OF DENIAL OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)

Deft. not present. COURT advised she previously asked for additional briefing, and a senior Judge ruled on it without an evidentiary hearing. Court believes she can hear this motion and ORDERED, Motion for Reconsideration is GRANTED. FURTHER, matter CONTINUED for Court to read the supplemental brief's.

NDC

10/26/15 9 AM STATUS CHECK: HEARING ON PETITION

Felony/Gross M	isdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	October 26, 2015
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
October 26, 2015	9:00 AM	Status Check	
HEARD BY: E	llsworth, Carolyn	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16D
COURT CLERK	: Denise Trujillo		
RECORDER:	Lara Corcoran		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Carling, Matthew D. Flinn, William W. State of Nevada	Attorney Attorney Plaintiff	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- STATUS CHECK: HEARING ON PETITION FOR WRIT

Deft. not present. COURT advised after reading pleadings on the sole issue of whether or not this petition is time barred, COURT finds that she needs to set this down for an evidentiary hearing on that issue. If Deft. reaches that prong and can escape the procedural bar then counsel can supplement briefs on underlying issues. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for hearing, and directed State to have Deft. and Ms. Parks present. State to prepare order to transport.

NDC

12/8/14 8:30 AM HEARING

Felony/Gross M	isdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	December 08, 2015
C-11-274370-1	State of Nevada vs Lamar Harris		
December 08, 20	015 8:30 AM	Hearing	
HEARD BY: E	llsworth, Carolyn	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16D
COURT CLERK	: Denise Trujillo		
RECORDER:	Lara Corcoran		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Carling, Matthew D. Harris, Lamar Antwar State of Nevada Thunell, Peter I.	Attorney Defendant Plaintiff Attorney	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	
- HEARING: TIN	ME BAR ON WRIT		

Deft. present in custody. Deft. waived his client attorney privilege as to Ms. Park, for purpose of this hearing. Leslie Park and Lamar Harris sworn and testified. Arguments by counsel. COURT advised she is going to re-read "Hathaway" and may need additional briefing.

NDC

VAULT EXHIBIT FORM

CASE NO: C 274370	HEARING DATE: 8-30-11
DEPT. NO.	JUDGE: Joseph Bonaventure
	CLERK: Alice Polci
State of Neurada	REPORTER :
PLAINTIFF:	JURY FEES:
	Sonia Jimenez COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:
Camar Harris	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:
DEFENDANT:	Adam Gil
	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:
	Date Offered Objection Date Admitted
1	
(see attached)	
·····	

C274370

States Exhibits

#	Description	Date Offered	Obj.	Date Admitted
	Map-808 W. Lake			
1	Mead (Seven Seas)	8/31/11	Stip	8/31/11
	Photograph-Exterior			
2	Seven Seas		Stip	
	Photograph-Exterior			
3	Seven Seas		Stip	
	Photograph-Exterior		_	
4	Seven Seas		Stip	
	Photograph-Exterior			V
5	Seven Seas	831/11	Stip	8/31/11
	Photograph-Exterior		••••	· · ·
6	Seven Seas		Stip	
	Photograph-Exterior			
7	Seven Seas		Stip	- -
	Photograph-Exterior			
8	Seven Seas		Stip	
	Photograph-Exterior			
9	Seven Seas		Styp	
	Photograph-Exterior		1	
10	Seven Seas		Stip	
	Photograph-Exterior			
11	Seven Seas		Ship	
	Photograph-Exterior			
12	Seven Seas		Stip	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Photograph-Exterior			
13	Seven Seas		Stip	
	Photograph-Exterior			
14	Seven Seas		Stip	:
	Photograph-Exterior			
15	Seven Seas		Stip	
-	Photograph-Interior			
16	Seven Seas		She	
	Photograph-Interior			
17	Seven Seas		Stip	

	Photograph-Interior		Ch	
18	Seven Seas	8 31/11	Stip	8/31/11
	Photograph-Interior			ob 1
19	Seven Seas	8/31/11	Stip	8/31/11
	Photograph-Interior			8/31/11
20	Seven Seas	8/31/11	stip	01-11
	Photograph-Interior		Stip	1
21	Seven Seas			<u>.</u>
	Photograph-Interior		Stip	
22	Seven Seas		Stip	
	Photograph-Interior		Stip	
23	Seven Seas		mp	<u> </u>
	Photograph-Exterior			
~ .	Seven Seas		Stip	
24	(Evidence)		- 1	
	Photograph-Exterior			1
~ -	Seven Seas	1	Stip	
25	(Evidence)			
	Photograph-Exterior			
.	Seven Seas		Stip	
26	(Evidence)			
	Photograph-Exterior			
~-	Seven Seas	1 1	Stip	
27	(Evidence)		Sur	<u> </u>
	Photograph-Exterior	Ť.		
••	Seven Seas	8/31/11	no	8/31/11
28	(Evidence)	012111		
	Photograph-Exterior			1
~~	Seven Seas	4	NO	
29	(Evidence)			
	Photograph-Exterior			
00	Seven Seas		m	
30	(Evidence)			
	Photograph-Exterior			
24	Seven Seas	▶/	no	₩
31	(Evidence)	¥		

* 1.

· ·

	Photograph-Exterior			
	Seven Seas	alarla	SE	8/31/11
32	(Evidence)	8/31/11	Ship	0101/11
	Photograph-Exterior	1		
	Seven Seas		stip	
33	(Evidence)		Shy	
	Photograph-Exterior			
	Seven Seas			
34	(Evidence)		Stip	
	Photograph-Exterior			
	Seven Seas		Stip	
35	(Evidence)		5.1	
	Photograph-Exterior		3	
	Seven Seas		Ship	
36	(Evidence)			
	Photograph-Exterior			
	Seven Seas		Stip	
37	(Evidence)		01	
	Photograph-Exterior			
	Seven Seas		C IN	
38	(Evidence)		Stip	:
	Photograph-Exterior			
	Seven Seas		Cl'a	
39	(Evidence)		242	
	Photograph-Exterior			
	Seven Seas		Stip	
40	(Evidence)		- TP	
	Photograph-Exterior			
	Seven Seas		Stip	
41	(Evidence)	\mathbf{V}	<u> </u>	V
	Photograph-UMC	()		
42	(Michael Thomas)	8 31 11	no	831/11
	Photograph-UMC			
43	(Michael Thomas)	83111	M	8/31/11
	Photograph-UMC	8/31/11	0	c12. 1.
44	(Michael Thomas)	11/11/11	Ship	8/31/11

45	Photograph-UMC (Michael Thomas)	8 31 11	Stip	8/31/11
46	Photograph-UMC (Michael Thomas)		Stip	1
47	Photograph-UMC (Thomas' shirt)		Stip	
48	Photograph-UMC (Thomas' shirt)		Stip	
49	Photograph-UMC (Thomas' shirt)		Stip	V
50	Surveillance Still- Inside	8/31/11	Stip	8 31/11
51	Surveillance Still- Inside Surveillance Still-		Ship	
52	Inside Surveillance Still-		Stip	
53	Inside Surveillance Still-		Stip	
54	Inside Surveillance Still-		Stip	
55	Inside Surveillance Still-		Stip	
56	Inside Surveillance Still-		Stip Stip	
57	Inside Surveillance Still-			
58 59	Inside Surveillance Still- Inside		SLO	
60	Surveillance Still-		Shp	
61	Surveillance Still- Inside		Stip	
62	Surveillance Still- Inside	\checkmark	Stir	\checkmark

5 <u>-</u> 4 1

	Surveillance Still-	1 (alait
63	Inside S	31/11	Stip	8/31/11
	Surveillance Still-	•		ſ
64	Outside-Revere		Stip	_
	Surveillance Still-			
65	Outside-Revere		Stip	
	Surveillance Still-		010-	
66	Outside-Revere		Stip	
	Surveillance Still-		01.0	
67	Outside-Revere		Stip	
	Surveillance Still-		QUA	
68	Outside-Revere		Stip	
	Surveillance Still-		01	
69	Outside-Revere		Stip	
	Surveillance Still-			
70	Outside-Revere		Ship	
	Surveillance Still-			
71	Outside-Revere		Ship	
	Surveillance Still-	ł	00	
72	Outside-Revere		SHP	
	Surveillance Still-			
73	Outside-Revere		Stip	
	Surveillance Still-			
74	Outside-Revere		Stip	
	Surveillance Still-Lake		<u> </u>	
75	Mead Entrance		Shr	
	Surveillance Still-Lake			
76	Mead Entrance		Stip	
	Surveillance Still-Lake			
77	Mead Entrance		Stip	
	Surveillance Still-Lake			
78	Mead Entrance		Stip	;
	Surveillance Still-Lake			
79	Mead Entrance		Stip	
	Surveillance Still-Lake			
80	Mead Entrance	V	Ship	

	Quere illemen Still Lake			
04	Surveillance Still-Lake	8/31/11	Stip	831/11
81	Mead Entrance	0121111	Stit	01211
00	Surveillance Still-Lake		Stip	
82	Mead Entrance	V	Jip	
00	Photo Lineup-Darnella	8/31/11		ala I.
83	Lay	8121/11	no	8/31/4
0.4	Photo Lineup-Michael	8/31/11	n	8/31/11
84	Thomas	11/10/01		
05	Photo Lineup-Tamara	8/31/11	no	8/31/11
85	Kasper	01 = 1	· · ·	
00	Photo Lineup-Kimble			
86	Clark	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Photo Lineup-Stacy	9-1-11	M	9-1-11
87	Monroe	• • • •	r	
~~	Photo Lineup-Joycelyn	: 		
88	Boston			
	Facebook (Young Shyce) P∢ 1≱⊋	darlin	obj	8/31/11
89		8121/11	UUJ	8/21/11
90	FI Card Photograph		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
91	FI Card Photograph	· 		
92	FI Card Photograph	! 		
	Photograph-Defendant	9-1-11	70	9-1-11
93	Booking	,		
	Photograph-Tiffany	1 1		dad
	Thompson aka Tia aka	8/31/11	no	8 31/11
94	Sharteia Harris	•	· ···· - · ·	
	CD-Seven Seas	8/31/11	no	8/31/11
95	Surveillance Video	<u>↓ ↓</u>	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
96	Handwritten Statement	831 11	no	8/31/11
97	CD-Tamaxa Casper Statement	B131/11	po	<u>8/31/µ</u>
98	CD-Michael Thomas Statem	1 1 1	<u>v</u> vo	8/31/11
99	Voluntary Statement	831/11	NO	831/1
100 25	Darnella Lay Impean	mentalip 9-111	NO	9-1-11
101 dis-	Stacy Monroe chi	0 9-1-1	NO	9-1-11
102	L		; }	
103			···	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
104		†	 }	1
189 A	Facebook (Young Shyce) pgs 3+4	1	ł	
L	1 Singer 193 284	ł	1	4'



ĩ

CASE NO (27437)

(

Date Offered OBJ Admitted Date

A Photograph 8-31-11 BPhotograph	A 631-1		M	
BPhotoaraph	F.3)			
c Photograph	EJ/			
	4. 3/			
E Photograph E Photograph E Photograph	8-31	4		
- NOVSTAN	8-31			
6 Photograph			\	
			-	
				,

<u>Court's</u> EXHIBITS

.

CASE NO. (274370

	Date Offered	Objection	Date Admitted
1) Defendant's power pow point 2) Juror guestion 3) Juror Question (4) State's Power Point	8/31/11		8/31/11
2) Juror guestion	8/31/11		8/31/u
3) Juror Greshin	9-1-11	MO	9-1-11
4) State's Power Point			
		• • •	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			- III

Deft's <u>'S EXHIBITS</u>

CASE NO. <u>C274370</u>

	Date Offered	Objection	Date Admitted
1) Supreme Court Writ	12/8/0		12/8/15
	•		
	1999 1999 <u>1999</u>		

VAULT EXHIBIT FORM

CASE NO: C-11-274370-1

DEPARTMENT 5

STATE OF NEVADA PLAINTIFF LAMAR ANTWAN HARRIS DEFENDANT HEARING DATE: JUDGE: **CAROLYN ELLSWORTH** CLERK: Denise Trujillo REPORTER: Lara Corcoran JURY FEES: \$

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF Peter Thunell, DDA COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT Matthew Carling, Esq.

(COURTS)	Date Offered	Objection	Date Admitted
COURT'S EXHIBIT 1 – CLIENT INF. & FEE	12/8/15		12/8/15
·			
	1		
· · ·		841.1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	<u> </u>		

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada County of Clark SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT (NRAP 3(D)(4)); REQUEST FOR ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; EXHIBITS LIST

STATE OF NEVADA,

VS.

Plaintiff(s),

Case No: C-11-274370-1

Dept No: V

LAMAR ANTWAN HARRIS,

Defendant(s).

now on file and of record in this office.

and a state of the second s **IN WITNESS THEREOF,** I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 23 day of June 2016. OF THE Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-$ Ne or jet