IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT,

Appellant,

vs.

LAS VEGAS REVIEW-JOURNAL,

Respondent.

Supreme Court No. 73525

District Court Case No. A750151

AUG 1 6 2017



MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION IN EXCESS OF FIVE-PAGE LIMITATION ON REPLY IN SUPPORT OF EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL

COMES NOW, Appellant, CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT ("CCSD"), by and through its undersigned counsel, Carlos McDade, General Counsel, and Adam Honey, Assistant General Counsel, and hereby moves to exceed the five-page limit imposed by Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure ("NRAP") 27(d)(2) on its Reply in Support of Emergency Motion for Stay Pending Appeal, or in the Alternative Stay Pending Petition for Writ of Mandamus or Prohibition ("Reply in Support of Motion for Stay").

This Motion to Exceed Page Limit is made pursuant to NRAP 8 and NRAP 27, the pleadings and papers on file, the following points and

AUG 1 4 2017

ELIZABETH A BROWN
C/ERK OF SUPREME COURT
DEPUTY CLERK

authorities, and any argument the Court may allow regarding this matter.

DATED: August 8, 2017

CARLOS MCDADE,
Nevada Bar No. 11205
ADAM HONEY
Nevada Bar No. 9588
Clark County School District
Office of General Counsel
5100 W. Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89146
(702)799-5373

Email: <a href="mailto:cmcdade@interact.ccsd.net-ahoney@interact.ahoney@interact.ahone

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

CCSD requests that this Court allow its Reply in Support of Motion for Stay to exceed the five-page limitation imposed by NRAP 27(d)(2) based upon the complexity of the issues, specificity required, and importance of the issues at stake in the litigation. CCSD has been diligent and has good cause to exceed the page limit.

CCSD, in its Reply in Support of Motion for Stay, is required to support its argument that the four factors of NRAP 8(c) are satisfied for this Court to grant the relief requested. NRAP 8(c) requires CCSD to address:

(1) whether the object of the appeal will be defeated without a stay;

(2) whether appellant will suffer irreparable harm/serious injury without a stay; (3) whether respondent will suffer irreparable harm/serious injury if a stay is granted; and (4) appellant's likeliness of prevailing on appeal.

See Fritz Hansen A/S v. Eighth Judicial District Court, 116 Nev. 650, 6 P.3d 982 (2000). In order to address each of the NRAP 8(c) factors, CCSD's Reply in Support of Motion for Stay is 12 pages in length. In particular, in order to show that CCSD will suffer irreparable injury if the Motion for Stay is not granted and in order to show that CCSD is likely to prevail on the merits of the appeal, at least an appropriate summary argument is required, which increased the length to exceed five pages.

Based upon the above, CCSD respectfully requests permission to file its Reply in Support of Motion for Stay in excess of the five-page limitation.

DATED: August 8, 2017

CARLOS MCDADE, Nevada Bar No. 11205 ADAM HONEY Nevada Bar No. 9588 Clark County School District Office of General Counsel 5100 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89146 (702)799-5373

Email: cmcdade@interact.ccsd.net
ahoney@interact.ccsd.net

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of Clark County School District,
Office of the General Counsel and that on August 8, 2017, I caused to be
served at Las Vegas, Nevada, a true copy of the Motion for Leave to File
Motion in Excess of Five-Page Limitation on Reply in Support of
Emergency Motion for Stay Pending Appeal addressed to:

The Honorable Timothy C. Williams Eighth Judicial District Court, Dept. 16 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Via Hand Delivery

Margaret McLetchie
Nevada Bar No. 10931
McLetchie Shell LLC
701 E. Bridger Avenue, Suite 520
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 728-5300
Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com
Attorneys for Respondent,
Las Vegas Review-Journal

AN EMPLOYEE OF THE CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Via Email