TRAFEY IN VICKERS # 1143756 Warm Springs Correctional Center

3301 EAST FIED STREET S HE JOUR Carson City, Nevada, 89702 - 7007 LOVELOCK COKR. CTR. 1200 PRISON RD., LOVELOCK, NV 899/19

PLAINTIFF, In Propria Persona

REC'B & FILEO

2017 FEB -8 AM 10: 30

SUSAN MERRITCHER Electronically Filed Feb 13 2017,02,15 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ______

TRACEY W. VICKERS,

Plaintiff,

νs. JAMES E. DZUREUSA, LIRECTOR, NOC: AND HARd'S LUCKNAM. WARDEN, WSCC, Defendant.

CASE No. <u>/6 Elv co 137</u> /B DEPT. No. <u>2</u> Dkt. No.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Please take notice that $\overline{IRAcor M. Vickets}$, Plaintiff, and in his proper person, hereby appeals to the Nevada Court of Appeals, the judgment(s) in the above-entitled action(s) entered in this Honorable Court on or about the <u>3</u> day of <u>Feb</u> <u>20</u>. This notice of Appeal is timely filed pursuant to NRAP 4(b).

DATED this _	day of	February	<u> </u>	, 20 <u>/7</u> .
		RE	SPECTFULLY	SUBMITTED,
			The	~

PLAINTIFF, In Propria Persona TRACEY W? VICKERS # 1143756

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, <u>TRACEY M. VICKERS</u>, certify under the penalties of perjury, that service was made of this NOTICE OF APPEAL & DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), by placing same in the United States mail, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

LE OF THE ATTOLNEY GENERAL CIAL PROSECUTIONS LANT 100 NORTH CARSON STREET EAREON LITY, NEVADA 89701-4717 (Copy to)

DATED this 6 day of February 20 17

BY

Appellant, In Proper Person TRACEY W. Vickets, # 1143756

REC'D & FILED	+
2017 FEB -8 PM 2:	05
SUSAN MERRIWETHEN	RK

In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada In and for Carson City

TRACEY W. VICKERS,

vs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JAMES E. DZURENDA, DIRECTOR, NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS (NDOC); AND HAROLD WICKHAM, WARDEN, WARM SPRINGS CORRECTIONAL CENTER (WSCC), Respondent(s).

Petitioner(s),

Case No.: 16 EW 00139 1B Dept. No.: II

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement:

- TRACEY W. VICKERS

2. Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from:

- HONORABLE JAMES E. WILSON, JR.

3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant:

- TRACEY W. VICKERS #1143756 (PROPER PERSON) P.O. BOX 7007 CARSON CITY, NV 89702

4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for

each respondent (if the name of a respondent's appellate counsel is unknown, indicate as

much and provide the name and address of that respondent's trial counsel):

- JAMES E. DZURECTOR, NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS HAROLD WICKHAM, WARDEN, WARM SPRINGS CORRECTIONAL CENTER

Page 1 of 3

1 2		ADAM PAUL LAXALT, ATTORNEY GENERAL (COUNSEL FOR DEFTS) 100 NORTH CARSON STREET CARSON CITY, NV 89701-4717
3	5.	Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not
4		licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that
5		attorney permission to appear under SCR 42 (attach a copy of any district court order
6		granting such permission):
7		- NOT APPLICABLE
8 9	6.	Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the
10		district court:
11		- APPELLANT IN PROPER PERSON IN DISTRICT COURT
12	7.	Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:
13		- APPELLANT IN PROPER PERSON ON APPEAL
14	8.	Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date
15		of entry of the district court order granting such leave:
16		- NOT APPLICABLE
17	9.	Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint,
18		indictment, information, or petition was filed):
19		- PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS GOOD AND WORK TIME,
20		MERITORIOUS AWARD CALCULATIONS FILED AUG. 19, 2016
21	10.	Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court,
22		including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the
23		district court:
24		- ORDER FILED FEB. 3, 2017
25	11.	Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ
26		proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket
27		number of the prior proceeding:
28		- NOT APPLICABLE

) i	1	
1	12.	Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:
2		- NOT APPLICABLE
3	13.	If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement:
4		- NOT APPLICABLE.
5		Dated this 8th day of February, 2017.
6		SUSAN MERRIWETHER, Carson City Clerk
7		885 E. Musser St., #3031 Carson City, NV 89701
8		
9		By Allguns, Deputy
10		
11		
12		
13 14		
14		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		2 ×
27		
28		
		Page 3 of 3
		Case Appeal Statement/Rev. 7/1/09

ł

II

	e: 02/08/20 R5925	17 14:21:4	6.2	Docke	et Sheet		Page:	1
Judg	e: WILSON J	R, JAMES E			Case M Ticket CTN:		W 00139 1B	
VICK	ERS, TRACEY	W			Ву:			
DZUR.	ENDA, JAMES	Е	-v DRSPND	's-	100	ALT, ADAM P NORTH CARS SON CITY, N	ON STREET	
	DA DEPARTME	S	ex: id: DRSPND			ALT, ADAM P		
Dob:	ECTIONS	S	ex:			NORTH CARS SON CITY, N		
Lic: WARM CENT	SPRINGS CO	S RRECTIONAL	id: DRSPND			ALT, ADAM P		
						NORTH CARS SON CITY, N		
Dob: Lic:			ex: id:					
	HAM, HAROLD		DRSPND		100	ALT, ADAM E NORTH CARS SON CITY, N	ON STREET	
Dob: Lic:			ex: id:					
Plat Make Year Type	:	Accid	ent:					
Venu Loca	e: tion:							
	ERS, TRACEY	W	PLNTPET		Bond: Type:		Set: Posted:	
Char	ges:			_				
Ct.	Offense Arrest Comment	Dt:	Cvr:					
Ct.	Offense Arrest Comment	Dt:	Cvr:					
Ct.	Offense Arrest Comment	Dt:	Cvr:	:				
Ct.	Offense Arrest Comment	Dt:	Cvr:	:				
Sent	encing:							
No.	Filed	Action			Operato	r I	Fine/Cost	Due
1	02/08/17	AMENDED NO DECISION O	TICE OF ENTRY R ORDER	COF	1BCCOOP	ER	0.00	0.00
2	02/08/17	CASE APPEA	L STATEMENT		1BJHIGG	INS	0.00	0.00
3	02/08/17	DESIGNATIO APPEAL	N OF RECORD (ИС	1BVANES	SA	0.00	0.00
4	02/08/17	NOTICE OF	APPEAL FILED		1BVANES	SA	24.00	0.00
5	02/07/17	NOTICE OF OR ORDER	ENTRY OF DEC	ISION	1BCCOOP	ER	0.00	0.00
6	02/06/17	CASE CLOSE	D		1BCGRIB	BLE	0.00	0.00
7	02/03/17	FILE RETUR SUBMISSION	NED AFTER - ORDER ENTI	ERED	1BCGRIB	BLE	0.00	0.00
8	02/03/17	ORDER			1BCGRIB	BLE	0.00	0.00
9	02/01/17	REQUEST FO	R SUBMISSION		1 BVANES	SA	0.00	0.00

Date: 02/08/2017	14:21:46.2	Docket Sheet
NT TREOOF		

Da	C E	÷ .	υ	21	00/	
MI	JR	59	2	5		

Page: 2

No.	Filed	Action	Operator	Fine/Cost	Due
0	01/17/17	DISCLOSURE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION	1 BVANESSA	0.00	0.00
1	12/15/16	RETURN	1BCGRIBBLE	0.00	0.00
2	12/13/16	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS	1BCGRIBBLE	0.00	0.00
3	11/07/16	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	1BCGRIBBLE	0.00	0.00
4	11/07/16	MOTION TO DISMISS	1BCGRIBBLE	0.00	0.00
5	10/26/16	FILE RETURNED AFTER SUBMISSION - ORDER ENTERED	1BCCOOPER	0.00	0.00
6	10/26/16	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	1BCCOOPER	0.00	0.00
7	10/11/16	ACCOUNT STATEMENT	1BJULIEH	0.00	0.00
8	09/29/16	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FOR RESPONDENT	1BCGRIBBLE	0.00	0.00
9	09/26/16	FILE RETURNED AFTER SUBMISSION - ORDER ENTERED	1BJULIEH	0.00	0.00
0	09/26/16	ORDER REQURING RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	1BJULIEH	0.00	0.00
1	09/26/16	ORDER ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	1BJULIEH	0.00	0.00
2	09/23/16	FILE RETURNED AFTER SUBMISSION - ORDER ENTERED	1BJULIEH	0.00	0.00
3	09/23/16	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	1BJULIEH	0.00	0.00
4	09/14/16	PETITIONERS REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (COMPUTATION TIME-CREDITS)	1BCCOOPER	0.00	0.00
5	08/19/16	INMATE REQUEST/FILING - CIVIL (NEW FILING)	1BVANESSA	0.00	0.00
6	08/19/16	MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PURSUANT TO N.R.S.34.750	1 BVANESSA	0.00	0.00
7	08/19/16	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (GOOD AND WORK TIME, MERITORIOUS AWARD CALCULATIONS)	1BVANESSA	0.00	0.00
8	08/19/16	AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	1BVANESSA	0.00	0.00
9	08/19/16	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	1BVANESSA	0.00	0.00
			Total:	24.00	0.(
		Totals By: COST INFORM *** End of Repor	ATION	24.00 24.00 0.00	

*** End of Report ***

l						
* 2						
1		REC'D & FILEL				
2		2017 FEB - 3 PM 1: 49				
3		SUSAN MERRIWETHER				
4		BY AN CLERK				
5		DEPUTY				
6	IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA				
7	IN AND FOR	CARSON CITY				
8						
9	TRACY W. VICKERS,	Case No. 16 EW 00139 1B				
10	Petitioner,	Dept. No. 2				
11	vs.					
12	JAMES E. DZURENDA, HAROLD WICKHAM, et al					
13						
14	Respondents.]				
15		RDER				
16	THIS MATTER comes before the Court	on petitioner Tracey W. Vickers' petition for writ of				
17	habeas corpus. This Court has reviewed all plea	adings, documents and exhibits on file in the above-				
18	entitled matter. Based on this review, the Court	makes the following findings of fact, conclusions of				
19	law, and order.					
20	Vickers is incarcerated with the Nevad	a Department of Corrections (NDOC) after being				
21	convicted in the Eighth Judicial District Court of battery with use of a deadly weapon, a category B					
22	felony in violation of NRS 200.481, committed on February 27, 2014.					
23	On August 19, 2016, Vickers filed the present post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus					
24	in which he alleged (1) he earned an additional 140 days while working in the Clark County Detention					
25	Center (CCDC), and a total of 200 days credit for the period served on probation, for a total of 280 days					
26	that have not been credited; (2) he should receive	960 days credit for the period he was willing to work				
27	and/or study in prison; and (3) the NDOC failed to apply good-time credits to Vickers' minimum term					
28	of imprisonment.					

NRS 34.720(2) authorizes the filing of post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus that challenges the computation of time a petitioner has served pursuant to a judgment of conviction. However, Vickers fails to state any claim that, if true, would entitle him to relief. See Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 502, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984).

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$

7

8

9

10

17

19

21

First, Vickers alleges he earned an additional 140 days while working in the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC). 6

Any such employment occurred while Vickers was incarcerated in CCDC. Vickers cannot challenge his presentence credits in his current state habeas petition. Petitions challenging pre-trial detention credits are properly filed in the county of conviction and not the county of confinement, since they challenge the "judgment or sentence" and not the "computation of time served." Griffin v. State, 137 P.3d 1165, 1169, 122 Nev. 737, 743-44 (2006). 11

12Vickers was sentenced in the Eighth Judicial District Court, not the First Judicial District Court. In his petition, Vickers challenges both the calculation of his credits, which are properly raised in the 13 14 county of the petitioner's confinement, as well as presentence credits, which must be filed in the county in which the conviction occurred. NRS 34.738(1). This Court finds it lacks jurisdiction over this claim 15 and the claim is therefore dismissed. 16

Second, Vickers alleges he is owed additional time for the period he served on probation. However, an inmate is not entitled to credit for time spent on probation outside of incarceration. See 18 Webster v. State, 109 Nev. 1084, 1085, 864 P.2d 294, 295 (1993); Merna v. State, 95 Nev. 144, 145, 591 P.2d 252, 253 (1979). This Court will deny this claim. 20

Third, Vickers alleges he should have received 960 days credit for the period he was willing and able to work and participate in programs. 22

Whether Vickers states a cognizable claim for relief is governed solely by NRS 34.720 et. seq. 23and the Nevada Supreme Court's rulings addressing the availability of habeas relief in Nevada. $\mathbf{24}$ NRS 34.720 et seq.; see also J.E. Dunn Northwest, Inc. v. Corus Const. Venture, LLC, __ Nev. __, 249 25P.3d 501 (2011) (Nevada courts should not go beyond the plain language of a statute when the meaning 26of the statute is clear on its face); McConnell v. State, 125 Nev. 243, 247, 212 P.2d 307, 310 (2009) (the 27goal of statutory interpretation is determining the legislative intent behind the statute, which is derived 28

from reviewing the plain language of the statute).

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

In Nevada, the availability of post-conviction habeas relief is limited by statute to "[a]ny person convicted of a crime and under sentence of death or imprisonment" who challenges the validity of their confinement by requesting relief "from a judgment of conviction or sentence in a criminal case" or by challenging "the computation of time that the petitioner has served pursuant to a judgment of conviction." NRS 34.720; NRS 34.724. Nevada's post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus is not a proper means for an inmate to challenge conditions of confinement, including his placement in a more restrictive correctional setting. Bowen v. Warden, 100 Nev. 489, 490, 686 P.2d 250, 250 (1984) (in addressing "the specific question raised by this appeal, whether the imposition of a qualitatively more restrictive type of confinement within the prison, such as punitive segregation, may be challenged 10 by a petition for writ of habeas corpus[; w]e now hold such a challenge speaks only to the conditions of 11 confinement and therefore may not be raised by a habeas corpus petition." (emphasis added)). 12

The Court finds Vickers' current claim is not a challenge to the validity of Vickers' 13 confinement as prescribed by NRS 34.720 and NRS 34.724. Vickers does not challenge any alleged 14 loss of time credits that he has already earned, or that he "has served pursuant to the judgment of 15conviction" that was "improperly calculated." NRS 34.724(1). Rather, Vickers challenges his 16 conditions of his confinement – the alleged failure of the NDOC to provide him a job or participate in 17 unspecified programs – which does not fall within the scope of a claim for post-conviction habeas relief 18 in Nevada. Bowen. 19

Even if this claim was cognizable, the Court finds there is no statutory requirement that the NDOC must provide Vickers with a job so that he can earn work credits.

Fourth and finally, Vickers alleges the NDOC improperly calculated his minimum sentence 22pursuant to NRS 209.4465(1)(a) in violation of the unpublished decision in Vonseydewitz v. LeGrand, 23No. 66159, 2015 WL 3936827 (Nev. June 24, 2015) (unpublished). 24

Initially, reliance on an unpublished decision should not be relied upon as persuasive or 25mandatory precedent. See NRAP 36(c)(2)-(3). Furthermore, nothing in the unpublished Vonseydewitz 26opinion directs NDOC to review or modify any sentences or credit calculations for any offender other 27than inmate Vonseydewitz. See Vonseydewitz, 2015 WL 3936827. 28

1 Further, in 2007, the Legislature in Assembly Bill 510 (AB 510) amended NRS 209.4465. The 2 Legislature amended subsection 7 to read: "Except as otherwise provided in subsection 8, credits 3 earned pursuant to this section:" 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 5, at 3177 (emphasis added). The 4 Legislature also added subsection 8, which stated: 5	1		
 Legislature amended subsection 7 to read: "Except as otherwise provided in subsection 8, credits earned pursuant to this section:," 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 5, at 3177 (emphasis added). The Legislature also added subsection 8, which stated: , 8. Credits earned pursuant to this section by an offender who has not been convicted of: (a) Any crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use or threatened use of force or violence against the victim; (b) A sexual offense that is punishable as a felony; (c) A violation of NES 448.379, 484.3795 or 484.3795 that is punishable as a felony; (d) A category A or B felony; (e) A violation of NES 484.379, 484.3795 or 484.37955 that is punishable and felony; (f) A category A or B felony; (g) A violation of NES 484.379, 484.3795 or 484.37955 that is punishable and felony; (f) A category A or B felony; (g) A category A or B felony; (h) A category A or B felony; (h) Crecive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 Nersion of NRS 209.4465 applies to Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applics only if subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS 213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vick	ă.	X X	
 a carned pursuant to this section:," 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 5, at 3177 (emphasis added). The Legislature also added subsection 8, which stated: 	1	Further, in 2007, the Legislature in Assembly Bill 510 (AB 510) amended NRS 209.4465. The	
 Legislature also added subsection 8, which stated: 8. Credits earned pursuant to this section by an offender who has not been convicted of: (a) Any crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use or threatened use of force or violence against the victim; (b) A sexual offense that is punishable as a felony; (c) A violation of NRS 484.379, 484.3795 or 484.37955 that is punishable as a felony; (d) A category A or B felony, ~ apply to eligibility for parole and must be deducted from the minimum term imposed by the sentence until the offender becomes eligible for parole and must be deducted from the maximum term imposed by the sentence. 12 Id. (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force agains the victim, category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS 213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// /// /// /// 	2	Legislature amended subsection 7 to read: "Except as otherwise provided in subsection 8, credits	
 3 8. Credits earned pursuant to this section by an offender who has not been convicted of: (a) Any crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use or threatened use of force or violence against the victim; (b) A sexual offense that is punishable as a felony; (c) A violation of NRS 484.379, 484.3795 or 484.37955 that is punishable as a felony; (c) A violation of NRS 484.379, 484.3795 or 484.37955 that is punishable as a felony; (d) A category A or B felony, ~ apply to eligibility for parole and must be deducted from the minimum term imposed by the sentence until the offender becomes eligible for parole and must be deducted from the maximum term imposed by the sentence. 12 Id. (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, eategory B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS 213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. 214. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// 	3	earned pursuant to this section:" 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 5, at 3177 (emphasis added). The	
 been convicted of: (a) Any crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use or threatened use of force or violence against the victim; (b) A sexual offense that is punishable as a felony; (c) A violation of NRS 484.379, 484.3795 or 484.37955 that is punishable as a felony; (d) A category A or B felony, ~ apply to eligibility for parole and must be deducted from the minimum term imposed by the sentence until the offender becomes eligible for parole and must be deducted from the maximum term imposed by the sentence. <i>Id.</i> (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only vickers is serving time for a category B felony committed in 2014, after the 2007 effective date for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, eategory B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. <i>See also</i> NRS 213.120; <i>see also</i> 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. <i>See Hargrove</i>, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// // // 	4	Legislature also added subsection 8, which stated:	
 (a) Any orime that is punishable as a felony involving the use or threatened use of force or violence against the victim; (b) A sexual offense that is punishable as a felony; (c) A violation of NRS 484.379, 484.3795 or 484.37955 that is punishable as a felony; (d) A category A or B felony, ~ apply to eligibility for parole and must be deducted from the minimum term imposed by the sentence until the offender becomes eligible for parole and must be deducted from the maximum term imposed by the sentence. <i>Id.</i> (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only Vickers is serving time for a category B felony committed in 2014, after the 2007 effective date for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. <i>See also</i> NRS 213.120; <i>See also</i> 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. <i>See Hargrove</i>, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// ///			
 (b) A sexual offense that is punishable as a felony; (c) A violation of NRS 484.379, 484.3795 or 484.37955 that is punishable as a felony; or (d) A category A or B felony, ~ apply to eligibility for parole and must be deducted from the minimum term imposed by the sentence until the offender becomes eligible for parole and must be deducted from the maximum term imposed by the sentence. <i>Id.</i> (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of parole and must be deducted in 2014, after the 2007 effective date for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. <i>See also</i> NRS 213.120; <i>see also</i> 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. <i>See Hargrove</i>, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// /// // 		(a) Any crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use or	
 pinishable as a felony; or (d) A category A or B felony, ~ apply to eligibility for parole and must be deducted from the minimum term imposed by the sentence until the offender becomes eligible for parole and must be deducted from the maximum term imposed by the sentence. <i>Id.</i> (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(7); NRS 213.120(2). Vickers is serving time for a category B felony committed in 2014, after the 2007 effective date for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. <i>See also</i> NRS 213.120; <i>see also</i> 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. <i>See Hargrove</i>, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// /// /// /// /// /// 		(b) A sexual offense that is punishable as a felony;	
 term imposed by the sentence until the offender becomes eligible for parole and must be deducted from the maximum term imposed by the sentence. <i>Id.</i> (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(7); NRS 213.120(2). Vickers is serving time for a category B felony committed in 2014, after the 2007 effective date for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. <i>See also</i> NRS 213.120; <i>see also</i> 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. <i>See Hargrove</i>, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// 		punishable as a felony; or	
11 parole and must be deducted from the maximum term imposed by the sentence. 12 13 Id. (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only 14 receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only 15 Vickers is serving time for a category B felony committed in 2014, after the 2007 effective date 16 for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to 17 Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if 18 subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was 19 convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, 20 category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS 21 213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. 22 Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his 23 claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of 24 habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// <td>10</td> <td>\sim apply to eligibility for parole and must be deducted from the minimum</td> <td></td>	10	\sim apply to eligibility for parole and must be deducted from the minimum	
12 Id. (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only 14 receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(7); NRS 213.120(2). 15 Vickers is serving time for a category B felony committed in 2014, after the 2007 effective date 16 for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to 17 Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if 18 subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was 19 convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, 20 category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS 21 213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. 22 Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his 23 claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of 24 habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///	11	parole and must be deducted from the maximum term imposed by the	
 receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(7); NRS 213.120(2). Vickers is serving time for a category B felony committed in 2014, after the 2007 effective date for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. <i>See also</i> NRS 213.120; <i>see also</i> 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. <i>See Hargrove</i>, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// /// /// /// 	12	sentence.	
15Vickers is serving time for a category B felony committed in 2014, after the 2007 effective date16for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to17Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if18subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was19convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim,20category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS21213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196.22Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his23claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of24habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.25///26///27///28///	13	Id. (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only	
 for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS 213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// /// /// /// /// 	14	receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(7); NRS 213.120(2).	
 Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. <i>See also</i> NRS 213.120; <i>see also</i> 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. <i>See Hargrove</i>, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// /// /// /// /// 	15	Vickers is serving time for a category B felony committed in 2014, after the 2007 effective date	
 subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS 213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// /// /// /// /// 	16	for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to	
 convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim, category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS 213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// 	17	Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if	
 category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS 213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// //// ///	18	subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was	
 21 213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196. 22 Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his 23 claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of 24 habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 /// 	19	convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim,	
 Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// // <l< td=""><td>20</td><td>category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS</td><td></td></l<>	20	category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS	
 claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// 	21	213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196.	
 habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. /// /// /// /// /// /// /// 	22	Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his	
25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///	23	claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of	
26 /// 27 /// 28 ///	24	habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.	
27 /// 28 ///	25	///	
28 ///	26	///	
	27	///	
Page 4 of 5	28	///	
Page 4 of 5			
		Page 4 of 5	

The Court deeming itself fully informed,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED**.

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $\mathbf{5}$

 $\mathbf{21}$

ORDERED this <u>Z</u> day of <u>Jehnnan</u>, 2017.

Jamus Ellaloy RICT JUDGE

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
2	I certify that I am an employee of the First Judicial District Court of Nevada; that on the 3					
3	day of February, 2017, I served a copy of this document by placing a true and correct copy addressed					
4	to:					
5	Heather Proctor, DAG Tracy Vickers, #1143756					
6	100 N. Carson StreetLovelock Correctional InstituteCarson City, NV897011200 Prison Road					
7	Lovelock, NV 89419					
8						
9	the envelope sealed and then deposited in the Court's central mailing basket in the court clerk's office					
10	for delivery to the USPS at 1111 South Roop Street, Carson City, Nevada, for mailing and/or placed the					
11	document in the pick-up box located in the court clerk's office.					
12	losis					
13 14	PMAL					
14	Kudicial Assistant					
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22	л.					
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	Page 6 of 6					

1 2 3 4	In The First Judicial District	REC'D & FILLU 2017 FEB -7 AM 8: 47 SUSAN MERRIWETHER CLERK COURT of the State of Nevada
5		UCFUTY
6	In and for C	
7 8 9 10 11	TRACY W. VICKERS , Plaintiff vs JAMES E. DZURENDA, HAROLD WICKHAM, ET AL. ,	Case No.: 16 EW 00139 1B Dept. No.: II NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION OR ORDER
13 14	Defendant.	
15	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on	the 3RD day of FEBRUARY, 2017, the Court
16	entered a decision or order in this matter, a true a	nd correct copy of which is attached to this
17	Notice.	
18	You may appeal to the Supreme C	Court from the decision or order of this Court. If
20	you wish to appeal, you must file a Notice of Ap	peal with the Clerk of this Court within 33 days
21	after the date this Notice is mailed to you. This I	Notice was mailed on the 7TH day of
22	FEBRUARY, 2017.	
23	DATED this 7TH day of FEBRU	ARY, 2017.
24 25 26 27 28	cc: TRACY W. VICKERS N/A Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General JASON WOODBURY , District Attorne	SUSAN MERRIWETHER, Clerk

	C	
1		REC'D & FILEL
2		2017 FEB - 3 PM 1: 49
3		SUSAN MERRIWETHER
4		BY AN CLERK
5		DEPUTY
6	IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
7	IN AND FOR	CARSON CITY
8		
9	TRACY W. VICKERS,	Case No. 16 EW 00139 1B
10	Petitioner,	Dept. No. 2
11	vs.	
12	JAMES E. DZURENDA, HAROLD	
13	WICKHAM, et al	
14	Respondents.	
15	<u></u>	RDER
16	THIS MATTER comes before the Court	on petitioner Tracey W. Vickers' petition for writ of
17	habeas corpus. This Court has reviewed all plea	adings, documents and exhibits on file in the above
18	entitled matter. Based on this review, the Court	makes the following findings of fact, conclusions of
19	law, and order.	
20	Vickers is incarcerated with the Nevad	a Department of Corrections (NDOC) after being
21	convicted in the Eighth Judicial District Court o	f battery with use of a deadly weapon, a category B
22	felony in violation of NRS 200.481, committed or	n February 27, 2014.

On August 19, 2016, Vickers filed the present post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus in which he alleged (1) he earned an additional 140 days while working in the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC), and a total of 200 days credit for the period served on probation, for a total of 280 days that have not been credited; (2) he should receive 960 days credit for the period he was willing to work and/or study in prison; and (3) the NDOC failed to apply good-time credits to Vickers' minimum term of imprisonment.

NRS 34.720(2) authorizes the filing of post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus that challenges the computation of time a petitioner has served pursuant to a judgment of conviction However, Vickers fails to state any claim that, if true, would entitle him to relief. See Hargrove v State, 100 Nev. 498, 502, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984).

First, Vickers alleges he earned an additional 140 days while working in the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC).

Any such employment occurred while Vickers was incarcerated in CCDC. Vickers cannot challenge his presentence credits in his current state habeas petition. Petitions challenging pre-trial detention credits are properly filed in the county of conviction and not the county of confinement, since they challenge the "judgment or sentence" and not the "computation of time served." Griffin v. State. 137 P.3d 1165, 1169, 122 Nev. 737, 743-44 (2006).

Vickers was sentenced in the Eighth Judicial District Court, not the First Judicial District Court. In his petition, Vickers challenges both the calculation of his credits, which are properly raised in the county of the petitioner's confinement, as well as presentence credits, which must be filed in the county in which the conviction occurred. NRS 34.738(1). This Court finds it lacks jurisdiction over this claim and the claim is therefore dismissed.

Second, Vickers alleges he is owed additional time for the period he served on probation However, an inmate is not entitled to credit for time spent on probation outside of incarceration. See Webster v. State, 109 Nev. 1084, 1085, 864 P.2d 294, 295 (1993); Merna v. State, 95 Nev. 144, 145. 591 P.2d 252, 253 (1979). This Court will deny this claim.

Third, Vickers alleges he should have received 960 days credit for the period he was willing and able to work and participate in programs. 22

Whether Vickers states a cognizable claim for relief is governed solely by NRS 34.720 et. seq and the Nevada Supreme Court's rulings addressing the availability of habeas relief in Nevada. NRS 34.720 et seq.; see also J.E. Dunn Northwest, Inc. v. Corus Const. Venture, LLC, ___ Nev. __, 24. P.3d 501 (2011) (Nevada courts should not go beyond the plain language of a statute when the meaning of the statute is clear on its face); McConnell v. State, 125 Nev. 243, 247, 212 P.2d 307, 310 (2009) (the goal of statutory interpretation is determining the legislative intent behind the statute, which is derived

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

from reviewing the plain language of the statute).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

20

21

In Nevada, the availability of post-conviction habeas relief is limited by statute to "[a]ny person convicted of a crime and under sentence of death or imprisonment" who challenges the validity of their confinement by requesting relief "from a judgment of conviction or sentence in a criminal case" or by challenging "the computation of time that the petitioner has served pursuant to a judgment of conviction." NRS 34.720; NRS 34.724. Nevada's post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus is not a proper means for an inmate to challenge conditions of confinement, including his placement in a more restrictive correctional setting. Bowen v. Warden, 100 Nev. 489, 490, 686 P.2d 250, 250 (1984) (in addressing "the specific question raised by this appeal, whether the imposition of a qualitatively more restrictive type of confinement within the prison, such as punitive segregation, may be challenged by a petition for writ of habeas corpus[; w]e now hold such a challenge speaks only to the conditions $a^{(1)}$ confinement and therefore may not be raised by a habeas corpus petition." (emphasis added)).

The Court finds Vickers' current claim is not a challenge to the validity of Vickers' confinement as prescribed by NRS 34.720 and NRS 34.724. Vickers does not challenge any alleged loss of time credits that he has already earned, or that he "has served pursuant to the judgment of conviction" that was "improperly calculated." NRS 34.724(1). Rather, Vickers challenges his 16 conditions of his confinement - the alleged failure of the NDOC to provide him a job or participate in unspecified programs – which does not fall within the scope of a claim for post-conviction habeas relief 18 in Nevada. Bowen. 19

Even if this claim was cognizable, the Court finds there is no statutory requirement that the NDOC must provide Vickers with a job so that he can earn work credits.

Fourth and finally, Vickers alleges the NDOC improperly calculated his minimum sentence 22pursuant to NRS 209.4465(1)(a) in violation of the unpublished decision in Vonseydewitz v. LeGrand. 23No. 66159, 2015 WL 3936827 (Nev. June 24, 2015) (unpublished). 24

Initially, reliance on an unpublished decision should not be relied upon as persuasive or 25mandatory precedent. See NRAP 36(c)(2)-(3). Furthermore, nothing in the unpublished Vonseydewirz 26 opinion directs NDOC to review or modify any sentences or credit calculations for any offender other 27 than inmate Vonseydewitz. See Vonseydewitz, 2015 WL 3936827. 28

H	
.	•
1	Further, in 2007, the Legislature in Assembly Bill 510 (AB 510) amended NRS 209.4465. The
2	Legislature amended subsection 7 to read: "Except as otherwise provided in subsection 8, credits
3	earned pursuant to this section:" 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 5, at 3177 (emphasis added). The
4	Legislature also added subsection 8, which stated:
5	8. Credits earned pursuant to this section by an offender who has <i>not</i> been convicted of:
6	(a) Any crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use or
7	(a) Any entitle that is pullishable us a felony interning and use of threatened use of force or violence against the victim; (b) A sexual offense that is punishable as a felony;
8	(c) A violation of NRS 484.379, 484.3795 or 484.37955 that is punishable as a felony; or
9	(d) A category A or B felony,
10	~ apply to eligibility for parole and must be deducted from the minimum term imposed by the sentence until the offender becomes eligible for
11	parole and must be deducted from the maximum term imposed by the sentence.
12	sentence.
13	Id. (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can only
14	receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(7); NRS 213.120(2).
15	Vickers is serving time for a category B felony committed in 2014, after the 2007 effective date
16	for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies to
17	Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only if
18	subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was
19	convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victim.
20	category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NRS
21	213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196.
22	Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his
23	claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of
24	habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///
0	Page 4 of 5

The Court deeming itself fully informed,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED**.

ORDERED this _ _ day of Jehman , 2017.

JUDGE TILLA DIST

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I certify that I am an employee of the First Judicial District Court of Nevada; that on the <u>3</u>
3	day of February, 2017, I served a copy of this document by placing a true and correct copy addressed
4	to:
5	Heather Proctor, DAG Tracy Vickers, #1143756
6	100 N. Carson StreetLovelock Correctional InstituteCarson City, NV 897011200 Prison Road
7	Lovelock, NV 89419
8	
9	the envelope sealed and then deposited in the Court's central mailing basket in the court clerk's office
10	for delivery to the USPS at 1111 South Roop Street, Carson City, Nevada, for mailing and/or placed the
11	document in the pick-up box located in the court clerk's office.
12	loser
13 14	MMu
1415	Júdicial Assistant
16	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Page 6 of 6

1	RECTD&FILES	
2	2017 FEB -8 PM 2: 18	
3	SUSAN MERRIWETHER	
4	In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada	
5	In and for Carson City	
6	In and for Carson City	
7		
8	TRACEY W. VICKERS, Plaintiff Case No.: 16 EW 00139 1B	
9 10	Dept. No.: II	
10	vs) AMENDED) NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION OR	
12	JAMES E. DZURENDA, and HAROLD) ORDER	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 7 day of FEBRUARY, 2017, the Court	
16	entered a decision or order in this matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached to this	
17	Notice.	
18	You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this Court. If	
19	you wish to appeal, you must file a Notice of Appeal with the Clerk of this Court within 33 days	
20 21		
21	after the date this Notice is mailed to you. This Notice was mailed on the 8TH day of	
23	FEBRUARY, 2017.	
24	DATED this 8^{TH} day of FEBRUARY, 2017.	
25	SUSAN MERRIWETHER, Clerk	
26	Bx Deputy	
27	cc: TRACY W. VICKERS JAMES E. DZURENDA	
28	HAROLD WICKHAM Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General	
	JASON WOODBURY, District Attorney	

IJ	×.		
2.8	8		
1		REC'D & FILED	
2		2017 FEB -3 PM 1: 49	
3		SUSAN MERRIWETHER	
4		BY OFPUTY	
5	THE THE WAY AND AND A DESCRIPTION	V	
6	IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
7	IN AND FOR	CARSON CITY	
8			
9	TRACY W. VICKERS,	Case No. 16 EW 00139 1B	
10	Petitioner,	Dept. No. 2	
11	vs.		
12	JAMES E. DZURENDA, HAROLD WICKHAM, et al		
13	Respondents.		
14			
15		RDER	
16		on petitioner Tracey W. Vickers' petition for writ of	
17		adings, documents and exhibits on file in the above	
18	entitled matter. Based on this review, the Court	makes the following findings of fact, conclusions of	
19	law, and order.		
20	Vickers is incarcerated with the Neva	da Department of Corrections (NDOC) after being	
21	convicted in the Eighth Judicial District Court of battery with use of a deadly weapon, a category		
22	felony in violation of NRS 200.481, committed o	n February 27, 2014.	
23	On August 19, 2016, Vickers filed the pre	esent post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus	
24	in which he alleged (1) he earned an additional	40 days while working in the Clark County Detention	
25	Center (CCDC), and a total of 200 days credit for	r the period served on probation, for a total of 280 days	
26	that have not been credited; (2) he should receive	960 days credit for the period he was willing to work	
27	and/or study in prison; and (3) the NDOC failed	to apply good-time credits to Vickers' minimum term	
28	of imprisonment.		

Page 1 of 5

NRS 34.720(2) authorizes the filing of post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus that challenges the computation of time a petitioner has served pursuant to a judgment of conviction However, Vickers fails to state any claim that, if true, would entitle him to relief. See Hargrove 1 State, 100 Nev. 498, 502, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

First, Vickers alleges he earned an additional 140 days while working in the Clark Count Detention Center (CCDC).

Any such employment occurred while Vickers was incarcerated in CCDC. Vickers cannot challenge his presentence credits in his current state habeas petition. Petitions challenging pre-trial detention credits are properly filed in the county of conviction and not the county of confinement, sinc they challenge the "judgment or sentence" and not the "computation of time served." Griffin v. State 10 137 P.3d 1165, 1169, 122 Nev. 737, 743-44 (2006). 11

Vickers was sentenced in the Eighth Judicial District Court, not the First Judicial District Court 12In his petition, Vickers challenges both the calculation of his credits, which are properly raised in the 13 county of the petitioner's confinement, as well as presentence credits, which must be filed in the count 14 in which the conviction occurred. NRS 34.738(1). This Court finds it lacks jurisdiction over this claime 15 and the claim is therefore dismissed. 16

Second, Vickers alleges he is owed additional time for the period he served on probation 17 However, an inmate is not entitled to credit for time spent on probation outside of incarceration. Se. 18 Webster v. State, 109 Nev. 1084, 1085, 864 P.2d 294, 295 (1993); Merna v. State, 95 Nev. 144, 145 19 591 P.2d 252, 253 (1979). This Court will deny this claim. 20

Third, Vickers alleges he should have received 960 days credit for the period he was willing and 21able to work and participate in programs. 22

Whether Vickers states a cognizable claim for relief is governed solely by NRS 34.720 et. see 23and the Nevada Supreme Court's rulings addressing the availability of habeas relief in Nevada 24NRS 34.720 et seq.; see also J.E. Dunn Northwest, Inc. v. Corus Const. Venture, LLC, ___ Nev. ___, 249 25P.3d 501 (2011) (Nevada courts should not go beyond the plain language of a statute when the meanin 26of the statute is clear on its face); McConnell v. State, 125 Nev. 243, 247, 212 P.2d 307, 310 (2009) (the 27 goal of statutory interpretation is determining the legislative intent behind the statute, which is derived 28

Page 2 of 5

4	
1	Further, in 2007, the Legislature in Assembly Bill 510 (AB 510) amended NRS 209.4465. The
2	Legislature amended subsection 7 to read: "Except as otherwise provided in subsection 8, credit
3	earned pursuant to this section:" 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 5, at 3177 (emphasis added). The
4	Legislature also added subsection 8, which stated:
5	8. Credits earned pursuant to this section by an offender who has <i>not</i> been convicted of:
6	(a) Any crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use or
7	threatened use of force or violence against the victim;
8 9	(c) A violation of NRS 484.379, 484.3795 or 484.37955 that is punishable as a felony; or (d) A category A or B felony,
10	~ apply to eligibility for parole and must be deducted from the minimum
11	term imposed by the sentence until the offender becomes eligible for parole and must be deducted from the maximum term imposed by the
12	sentence.
13	Id. (emphasis added). Offenders excluded by the provisions in NRS 209.4465(8)(a)-(d) can onl
14	receive credit towards their maximum term of imprisonment. NRS 209.4465(7); NRS 213.120(2).
15	Vickers is serving time for a category B felony committed in 2014, after the 2007 effective date
16	for the amendments to NRS 209.4465. Therefore, the post-2007 version of NRS 209.4465 applies 1.
17	Vickers. Pursuant to the plain language of post-2007 NRS 209.4465, subsection 7 applies only u
18	subsection 8 does not. In subsection 8, the statute clearly addresses an inmate such as Vickers who was
19	convicted of a crime that is punishable as a felony involving the use of force against the victin
20	category B felony, and prohibits deduction of credits from that inmate's minimum term. See also NR>
21	213.120; see also 2007 Nev. Stat., ch. 525, § 21, at 3196.
22	Vickers' petition is not pleaded with specificity and he fails to provide any support for his
23	claims. See Hargrove, 110 Nev. at 502. Accordingly, this Court finds Vickers' petition for writ of
24	habeas corpus fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
25	111
26	///
27	///
28	111

The Court deeming itself fully informed,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Vickers' petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED**.

ORDERED this _ Z_ day of Jehman, 2017.

DISTRICT JUDGE

4		
		8
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I certify that I am an employee of the First Judicial District Court of Nevada; that on the 3	
3	day of February, 2017, I served a copy of this document by placing a true and correct copy addressed	
4	to:	
5	Tracy Vickers, #1143756	l
6	100 N. Carson Street Lovelock Correctional Institute	Ì
7	Carson City, NV 89701 Lovelock, NV 89419	
8	ling basket in the court clerk's office	
9	the envelope sealed and then deposited in the Court's central mailing basket in the court clerk's office	
10	for delivery to the USPS at 1111 South Roop Street, Carson City, Nevada, for mailing and/or placed th	
11	document in the pick-up box located in the court clerk's office.	
12	Imaci	
13	Judicial Assistant	
14		
15 16		
10		
18		
19		
20		
2		
2		
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	
2	.8	

DIST	RICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEE	
	Case No. 10 EU OU S	
18	Case No/0EW00139	10

REC'D & FILED

2016 AUG 19 PM 2: 22

I. Party Information (provide both home a	and mailing addresses if differe	(ant)	SUSAD THEANING	
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):		V	nt(s) (name/address/phone): Refs four furts	
TRACEY W. VIEKERS, MADE #			E. Szarensa, Addite, NSC: DFOIL	
When Stens Correctional			nals Wickham Warbas tose	
Production of the second s			ADA DEMESMENT OF COENEETIONS (UDec)	
3361 EAST FIFTH STREET - PO			ADA SETTUSTING - DE COLUETTIONS (UDac)	
CARSON LITY, NOVADA 87702-	20)			
			u Giry, Nevasa 89762	
Attorney (name/address/phone);		Attorney (name/address/phone):		
Formarez, In Peorter Vers	DH		P. LAXAT, ESD. (ATTORNEY General)	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		No. of Concession, Name of	E OF THE ATTOCHES GENERAL	
			is of Eliminal Justice	
			PETH EARSON STREET - A Ber 4717	
			NEVADA 89701	
II. Nature of Controversy (please select	the one most applicable filing t	ype below	0	
Civil Case Filing Types	a statistical and a second state of the second state			
Real Property	-		Torts	
Landlord/Tenant	Negligence		Other Torts	
Unlawful Detainer	Auto		Product Liability	
Other Landlord/Tenant	Premises Liability		Intentional Misconduct	
Title to Property	Other Negligence		Employment Tort	
Judicial Foreclosure	Malpractice		Insurance Tort	
Other title to property	Medical/Dential		Other Tort	
Other Real Property	Legal			
condemnation/eminent domain	Accounting			
Other Real Property	Other Malpractice			
Probate	Construction defect & C	ontract	Judicial Review/Appeal	
Probate (select case type and estate value)	Construction Defect		Judicial Review	
Summary Administration	Chapter 40		Foreclosure Mediation case	
General Administration	Other Construction De	efect	Petition to Seal Records	
Special Administration	Contract Case		Mental Competency	
Set Aside	Uniform Commerical C	Code	Nevada State Agency Appeal	
Trust/Conservatorship	Building and Construc	tion	Department of Motor Vehicle	
Other Porbate	Insurance Carrier		Worker's Compensation	
Estate Value	Commerical Instrumer	nt	Other Nevada State Agency	
Over \$200,000	Collection of Accounts		Appeal Other	
Between \$100,000 and \$200,000	Employment Contract		Appeal from Lower Court	
Under \$100,000 or Unknown			Other Judicial Review/Appeal	
Under \$2,500				
Brider \$2,000	Writ		Other Civil Filing	
Civil Writ			Other Civil Filing	
Writ of Habeas Corpus	Writ of Prohibition		Compromise of Minor's Claim	
Writ of Mandamus	Other Civil Writ		Foreign Judgment	
			Other Civil Matters	
Writ of Quo Warrant				

10 AUG 16 Date

Signature of initiating party or representive

-