VS.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Case No. 71935

TYRONE JAMES, SR.,

Appellant,

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

Electronically Filed
Dec 29 2016 03:24 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

DOCKETING STATEMENT CRIMINAL APPEALS

(Including appeals from pretrial and post-conviction rulings and other requests for post-conviction relief)

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions.

1 2	1. Judicial District: Eighth County: Clark District Ct. Case No.: 10-C-265506				
3	2. If the defendant was given a sentence,				
4					
5		(a) v	what is the sentence?		
		7	Twenty-five (25) years to life.		
6 7		(b) ł	nas the sentence been stayed pending appeal?		
8		1	No.		
9		(c) v	vas defendant admitted to bail pending appeal?		
10		1	No.		
11	3.	3. Was counsel in the district court appointed ■ or retained □ ?			
12	4	4. Attorney(s) filing this docketing statement: Attorney(s): Margaret A. McLetchie Telephone: (702) 728-5300			
13	4.				
14		Firm:	McLetchie Shell, LLC		
15		Addres	,		
		Client(Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 s): Tyrone James, Sr.		
16		Chemy	sy. Tyrone sumos, or.		
17	5.	Is appe	ellate counsel appointed ■ or retained □ ?		
18	If thi	is is a	joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and		
19	addresses of other counsel and the names of their clients on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.				
20					
21	6.		ey(s) representing respondent(s):		
22	0.		ey(s): Steven Owens Telephone: (702) 671-2750		
23		Firm:	Office of the Clark County District Attorney		
24		Addres	,		
25		Client(Las Vegas, NV 89155 s): The State of Nevada		
26	, , ,	`			
27	///				
H					

1	Attorney(s): Adam P. Laxalt Telephone: (775) 684-1100
2	Firm: Office of the Attorney General
3	Address: 100 North Carson Street
4	Carson City, NV 89701 Client(s): The State of Nevada
5	
6	7. Nature of disposition below (check all that apply):
	☐ Judgment after bench trial ☐ Grant of pretrial habeas
7	☐ Judgment after jury verdict ☐ Grant of motion to suppress evidence
8	☐ Judgment upon guilty plea Post conviction habeas (NRS Ch.34)
9	☐ Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss ☐ grant ■ denial ☐ Parala/unabation grant ■ Other disposition (granify)
	☐ Parole/probation revocation ☐ Other disposition (specify): ☐ Motion for new trial
10	☐ grant ☐ denial
11	□ Motion to withdraw guilty plea
12	□ grant □ denial
13	8. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following?
14	□ death sentence □ juvenile offender
	☐ life sentence ☐ pretrial proceedings
15	
16	9. Expedited appeals: The court may decide to expedite the appellate process in this matter. Are you in favor of proceeding in
17	such manner?
18	□ Yes ■ No
19	10. Pending and prior proceedings in this court. List the case
20	name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related
21	to this appeal (e.g., separate appeals by co-defendants, appeal after post- conviction proceedings):
22	James v. State, Case No. 57178
23	///
	///
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	
28	

11. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts that are related to this appeal (e.g., habeas corpus proceedings in state or federal court, bifurcated proceedings against co-defendants):

State v. James, Eight Judicial District Court Case No. 10-C-265506

12. Nature of action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

Appellant Tyrone James, Sr. appeals from the denial of his Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

Following a jury trial, Mr. James was convicted of two counts of Sexual Assault With a Minor Under Sixteen Years of Age, in violation of Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 200.364 and 200.366 (Counts One and Three); two counts of Open and Gross Lewdness, in violation of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 201.210 (Counts Two and Four); and one count of Battery With Intent to Commit a Crime, in violation of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 200.400 (Count Five). Subsequently, at sentencing, the district court dismissed Counts Two and Four as lesser-included offenses of Counts One and Three. The Court then sentenced Mr. to twenty-five years to life on Counts One and Three, and two years to life on Count Five, all to run concurrent.

In his Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, Mr. James asserted several claims for relief relating to ineffective assistance of trial counsel by failing to retain an expert to rebut testimony from the State's expert witness that the medical examination of the victim in this case, demonstrated Mr. James had committed sexual assault. Mr. James also asserted that trial counsel was

ineffective because he failed to file any pretrial motions to exclude critical—but highly questionable—evidence from being introduced at trial, failed to conduct reasonable investigation prior to trial, and failed to object to the State's use of a highly prejudicial PowerPoint presentation during its closing argument.

Following the completion of briefing, the district court found that Mr. James was entitled to an evidentiary hearing only on trial counsel's failure to retain an expert. After that hearing, the district court entered an order denying all of Mr. James' claims.

13. Issues on appeal. State specifically all issues in this appeal:

Mr. James appeals the district court's order denying the claims raised in his supplemental petition for a writ of habeas corpus and its subsequent supplements thereto. The issues on appeal are whether:

- 1. The District Court erred in limiting the scope of the evidentiary hearing;
- 2. Trial counsel provided ineffective assistance of counsel by, *inter alia*:
- a. Failing to retain an expert witness to review the sexual assault examination of the victim in this matter, and by failing to rebut testimony from the examining physician;
- b. Failing to move to exclude highly attenuated and prejudicial evidence;
 - c. Failing to objection to the admission of attenuated and

prejudicial evidence at trial;

- d. Failing to conduct adequate investigation; and
- e. Failing to object to the State's use of a highly prejudicial PowerPoint presentation during closing argument.
- 3. Whether trial counsel's deficient performance warrants reversal as cumulative error.
- 14. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
 - N/A
 - \square No
 - □ Yes

If not, explain:

15. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

This case is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court pursuant to Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure 17(a)(2) because it is an appeal from

1	the denial of a post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus.				
2	16. Issues of first impression or of public interest. Does thi appeal present a substantial legal issue of first impression in thi				
4	jurisdiction or one affecting an important public interest? First impression: □ Yes ■ No				
5	Public interest: Yes				
6	17. Length of Trial. If this action proceeded to trial or evidentiary				
7	hearing in the district court, how many days did the trial or evidentiary hearing last?				
8					
9	The trial lasted 3 days. The evidentiary hearing on the writ lasted less than a day.				
11	18. Oral Argument. Would you object to submission of this appeal for				
12	disposition without oral argument? ■ Yes □ No				
13					
14	TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL				
15 16	19. Date district court announced decision, sentence or order appealed from:				
17	October 3, 2016.				
18	20. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from:				
19	November 9, 2016.				
20	21. If this appeal is from an order granting or denying a petition				
21	for a writ of habeas corpus, indicate the date written notice of entry of judgment or order was served by the district court				
22	(a) Was it served by delivery □ or by mail ■				
23	///				
24	///				
24					
25					

	N .	
1		
2	22. If the time for filing the not	tice of appeal was tolled by a post-
3	judgment motion,	
	(a) Specify the type of motion, the	
4		Date of filing:
5	□ New trial (newly	Data of filing:
6	New trial (other grounds)	Date of filing:Date of filing:
ð		resolving motion:
7	(b) Date of chary of written order	10501ving motion.
8	23. Date of notice of appeal filed:	December 8, 2016.
9	24 Specify statute on mule gave	suring the time limit for filing the
10	notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP 4	erning the time limit for filing the (b), NRS 34.560, NRS 34.575, NRS
11	177.013(2), 01 0ther	
	The time limit for filing the noti	ce of appeal in this matter is governed
12	by NRS 34.575(1) and Nevada Ri	ule of Appellate Procedure 4(b)(1)(A).
13		
14	SUBSTANTIVE A	APPEALABILITY
15	25. Specify the statute, rule, or or invision to review from:	ther authority that grants this court
16	NRS 177.015(1)(b)	NRS 34.560
17	NRS 177.015(1)(c)	NRS 34.575(1) X
1/	NRS 177.015(2)	NRS 34.560(2)
18	NRS 177.015(3)	Other (specify) NRAP 4
19	NRS 177.055	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	·	
26		
27		
21		
28		

1	VERIFICATION						
2	YEMPICATION						
3	I certify that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.						
4							
5	Tyrone James, Sr. Margaret A. McLetchie Name of Appellant Name of counsel of record						
6							
7	12/21/2010						
8	Date Signature of counsel of record						
9	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE						
10	I certify that on the Zatuday of December, 2016, I served a copy of this						
11							
12	completed docketing statement upon all counsel of record:						
13	☐ By personally serving it upon him/her; or ■ By mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid						
14	to the following address(es):						
	Steven Owens						
15	Office of the Clark County District Attorney						
16	200 Lewis Avenue, Third Floor						
17	Las Vegas, NV 89155						
18	Adam P. Laxalt						
19	Office of the Attorney General						
20	100 North Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701						
21							
22	Dated this 294 day of December, 2016. Signature						
23							
24							
25							
26							