

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN FLOYD VOSS,  
Petitioner,

No. 74227

vs.

THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF  
NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE  
COUNTY OF WASHOE,  
Respondent,  
and  
THE STATE OF NEVADA  
Real Party in Interest.

**FILED**

FEB 26 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

**RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO PETITION FOR**

**EXTRAORDINARY RELIEF**


STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
Inmate #52094  
Northern Nevada  
Correctional Center  
P. O. Box 7000  
Carson City, Nevada 89702

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
Washoe County District Attorney

TERRENCE P. MCCARTHY  
Chief Appellate Deputy  
P.O. Box 11130  
Reno, Nevada 89520

PRO PER

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT



18-900345

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### **Pages**

#### **Cases**

<i>Renard v. State</i> , 94 Nev. 368, 369, 580 P.2d 470, 471 (1978) .....	5
<i>Riker v. State</i> , 111 Nev. 1316, 1326–27, 905 P.2d 706, 712–13 (1995) .....	5
<i>Silks v. State</i> , 92 Nev. 91, 545 P.2d 1159 (1976) .....	5
<i>State v. District Court (Riker)</i> , 121 Nev. 225, 112 P.3d 1070 (2005) .....	5
<i>State v. District Court</i> , 116 Nev. 127, 994 P.2d 692 (2000) .....	4

#### **Statutes**

NRS 34.724 .....	6
NRS 34.810 .....	5

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

STEVEN FLOYD VOSS,  
Petitioner,

No. 74227

vs.

THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF  
NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE  
COUNTY OF WASHOE,  
Respondent,  
and  
THE STATE OF NEVADA  
Real Party in Interest.

---

**RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO PETITION FOR**

**EXTRAORDINARY RELIEF**

As directed by an Order dated January 22, 2018, respondent State of Nevada, by and through the Washoe County District Attorney, answers and generally denies each and every material allegation of fact included within the "Petition for Extraordinary Relief Writ" filed by petitioner Voss. The unstructured narrative nature of the petition, intermingling assertions of fact with propositions of law, precludes a more specific answer.

As directed, the undersigned has reviewed the record and informs this Court that the Findings of Fact appended to the petition seem to be a true and correct copy. The undersigned further informs this Court that

before there could be a new sentencing hearing as ordered by the district court in 2001, Voss appealed the judgment of the district court. The Supreme Court rejected the arguments presented by Voss and Affirmed the judgment of the district court. *Voss v. State*, Docket No. 38373, Order of Affirmance (January 17, 2002). To date, the new sentencing hearing that was ordered in 2001 has not occurred.

After Voss appealed and thereby prevented the new sentencing hearing, he began a lengthy series of attacks on his conviction. As indicated in the district court docket records (*See Exhibit A*), he has filed approximately nine separate collateral attacks on this instant conviction in the district court and in the Supreme Court. He has been similarly prolific in case No. CR97-2077, the murder conviction. Not once has he sought to get that new sentencing hearing that was ordered by the district court in 2001.

Now, Voss has filed some sort of petition in this court, seeking some sort of writ, directed to someone, commanding someone to do something that would wholly avoid the conviction in the instant case due to the delay in re-sentencing. Because he makes no sense is reason enough to deny the petition. Taken literally, he asks only that this Court compel the Supreme Court to vacate the Order of Affirmance in docket No. 38373, and order a

remand, and order that the conviction be vacated entirely, even though it was he that appealed and divested the trial court of jurisdiction and he never sought an order of remand in that appeal. The State suggests that this Court should deny the petition for several reasons, including the fact that Voss has improperly invoked the jurisdiction of this Court, seeking a non-existent remedy. The proper course would have been to seek relief in the district court in the first instance. The remedy that he seeks, vacating the conviction entirely, is not available and is supported by no law. The other potential remedy, a new sentencing hearing, ought not to be ordered by this Court.

The State asserts Laches as a defense. In fact, the State asserts that the defense is made out on the face of the petition as Voss claims that he does not want a new sentencing hearing due to the passage of time, but instead seeks an order directing the district court to vacate the judgment of conviction. The State agrees that the passage of time in which Voss has repeatedly sought other forms of relief tends to make a new sentencing hearing impractical. Indeed, one of the effects is that time has proven that the comments of the sentencing judge, indicating a belief that Voss had killed the victim of the crime in CR96-1581A, have been proven true.

///

Because Voss murdered that victim, Beverly Baxter, she is no longer available to give evidence at a new sentencing hearing.

Laches is available as a defense to a writ petition, or at least it would be if Voss had identified what sort of writ he wanted. *See State v. District Court*, 116 Nev. 127, 994 P.2d 692 (2000). One of the issues is whether there has been inexcusable delay in seeking the writ. *Id.* Here, it has been 17 years and Voss has never showed any interest in getting that new sentencing hearing. What may have changed is that he now thinks (incorrectly) that if he can completely vacate the conviction, he can then use that as a new basis for attacking his murder conviction. That may also be why he seeks only to vacate the conviction and not to get an actual sentencing hearing.

Another factor in laches is whether there is an implied waiver from acquiescence in the conditions. *Id.* Again, Voss was aware of what relief he sought and what remedy was ordered in the 2001 post-conviction action. He was present in court when the court announced that there would be a new sentencing hearing but he has never made any effort to actually get the hearing. Finally, prejudice is a factor. As noted above, the victim in the burglary/forgery case is not available to give victim impact testimony

///

because Voss murdered her. Other potential sentencing witnesses, such as police officers, have retired and are not readily available.

The State also contends that the district court should not have ordered the new sentencing hearing in 2001. First, the claim itself was barred as it could have been raised on direct appeal. *See* NRS 34.810. We now know that those procedural bars are mandatory. *State v. District Court (Riker)*, 121 Nev. 225, 112 P.3d 1070 (2005). Furthermore, the claim was based on the incorrect assumption that a sentencing court may only consider conduct for which a defendant has already been convicted. That is an incorrect assumption of law. *See Riker v. State*, 111 Nev. 1316, 1326–27, 905 P.2d 706, 712–13 (1995). Finally, the district court ignored the correct standard, announced by the courts so many times, that the reviewing courts should reverse a sentence only if it is supported solely by impalpable and highly suspect evidence. *Renard v. State*, 94 Nev. 368, 369, 580 P.2d 470, 471 (1978); *Silks v. State*, 92 Nev. 91, 545 P.2d 1159 (1976).

The State acknowledges that it did not cross-appeal in 2001, but would point out that the ruling in *Riker, supra*, to the effect that the procedural bars are mandatory, did not exist at the time of the district court's ruling in this case. Furthermore, this Court may now consider those errors in the 2001 proceeding because extraordinary relief is never

mandatory and is always discretionary, and a writ by this Court would be giving effect to an order that should never have issued in the first place. That seems contrary to general principles of equity. Because the 2001 Order granting partial relief was wrongly decided, this Court should not exercise its discretion and should not issue a writ to compound the error by Judge Elliott.

Another reason why this Court should decline to issue whatever sort of writ Voss wants, is that he had a plain, speedy and adequate remedy at law. Among them, he could have asked for remand for the new sentencing hearing in the appeal from the order that now interests him. He could have sought the remedy in the district court at any time in the last 17 years. Even now, he could have sought a ruling in the district court but failed to do so, choosing to invoke the original jurisdiction of the appellate court without first asking the district court for the appropriate relief. The State would mention that NRS 34.724 provides that the post-conviction habeas corpus petition comprehends and takes the place of all other common law, statutory or other remedies which have been available to challenge a conviction, and must be used in place of them. For many years now, Voss has been continually ignoring that law, and making up weird pleadings, and  
///



the courts have let him do so. It is time to stop and require Voss to follow the procedural laws set out by the legislature. Those laws do not include invoking the original jurisdiction of the appellate court to require a remedy that would be unlawful if he had sought it properly in the district court.

This Court should recognize the defense of laches, and should recognize that a writ to give effect to an order of the district court that was itself incorrect would simply compound the error. Therefore, the “Petition for Extraordinary Relief Writ” should be denied.

DATED: February 21, 2018.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

By: TERRENCE P. McCARTHY  
Chief Appellate Deputy

## **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

1. I hereby certify that this brief complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because this brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2013 in Georgia 14.

2. I further certify that this brief complies with the page limitations of NRAP 32(a)(7) because, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by NRAP 32(a)(7)(c), it does not exceed 30 pages.

3. Finally, I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e)(1), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by a reference to the page and volume number, if any, of the transcript or appendix where the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in

///

///

///

the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED: February 21, 2018.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
Washoe County District Attorney

BY: TERRENCE P. McCARTHY  
Chief Appellate Deputy  
Nevada State Bar No. 2745  
P. O. Box 11130  
Reno, Nevada 89520  
(775) 328-3200

# **EXHIBIT A**

# **EXHIBIT A**



Second Judicial District Court  
State of Nevada  
Washoe County

Electronic Filing

## Case Summary for Case: CR96-1581

STATE VS. STEVEN FLOYD VOSS (D1)

**Case Number** CR96-1581  
**Case Type** CRIMINAL  
**Opened** 07-16-1996  
**Status** ORD/JUDFLD

**Plaintiff** STATE OF NEVADA et al  
**Defendant** STEVEN FLOYD VOSS et al  
**Judge** HONORABLE KATHLEEN DRAKULICH - Division D1

☒ Show/Hide Participants

File Date	Case History
	Request for Submission
	Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS
02-16-2018 Defendant	Request for Submission DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION AND DEMAND FOR SPEEDY TRIAL FILED 2/2/18; MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF TRIAL COUNSEL FILED 2/2/18, MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF DISTRICT JUDGE ELLIOTT A. SATTLER, AND FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REASSIGNMENT OF CASE BY CHIEF JUDGE PARTY SUBMITTING: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS DATE SUBMITTED: 2/16/18 SUBMITTED BY: SWOLFE DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:
02-16-2018	Notice of Electronic Filing
	Filed
	Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6537454 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-16-2018:14:00:52
02-16-2018	Case Assignment Notification
	Filed
	Case Assignment Notification CASE RANDOMLY REASSIGNED FROM DEPARTMENT 10 TO DEPARTMENT 1 - Transaction 6537449 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-16-2018:13:59:52
02-16-2018	Notice of Electronic Filing
	Filed
	Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6537123 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-16-2018:12:11:42
02-16-2018	Ord Granting Recusal
	Filed
	Ord Granting Recusal Transaction 6537121 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-16-2018:12:10:53
02-14-2018	Notice of Electronic Filing
	Filed
	Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6533115 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-14-2018:16:01:21
02-14-2018	Order...
	Filed
	Order ... REFERRING DISQUALIFYING QUESTION [TO D4] - Transaction 6533104 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-14-2018:16:00:08
02-12-2018	Notice of Electronic Filing
	Filed
	Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6527680 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-12-2018:13:48:05
02-12-2018	Supreme Court Receipt for Doc
	Filed
	Supreme Court Receipt for Doc SUPREME COURT NO. 75064 / RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS - Transaction 6527678 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-12-2018:13:47:04
02-09-2018	Notice of Electronic Filing
	Filed
	Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6525034 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-09-2018:11:52:57
02-09-2018	Other ...
	Filed
	Other ... ANSWER TO MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION - Transaction 6524808 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 02-09-2018:11:52:06
02-07-2018	Notice of Electronic Filing
	Filed
	Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6518987 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-07-2018:07:58:23
02-06-2018	Request
	Filed
	Request REQUEST FOR VOLUNTARY RECUSAL OF DISTRICT JUDGE - Transaction 6518555 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 02-07-2018:07:57:23
02-06-2018 Defendant	Motion
	Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS
	Motion ... MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF DISTRICT JUDGE ELLIOTT A. SATLER, AND FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REASSIGNMENT OF CASE BY CHIEF JUDGE (Email sent to Chief Judge - mp 2/6/18)
02-06-2018	Notice of Electronic Filing
	Filed

Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6517160 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-06-2018:10:42:12

02-06-2018 Case Appeal Statement  
Filed  
Case Appeal Statement Transaction 6517153 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-06-2018:10:41:16

02-06-2018 Certificate of Clerk  
Filed  
Certificate of Clerk CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL - NOTICE OF APPEAL - Transaction 6517153 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-06-2018:10:41:16

02-05-2018 Notice/Appeal Supreme Court  
Defendant Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
Notice of Appeal Supreme Court ORDER; 1/26/18

02-02-2018 Motion  
Defendant Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
Motion ... MOTION AND DEMAND FOR SPEEDY TRIAL

02-02-2018 Motion  
Defendant Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
Motion ... MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF TRIAL COUNSEL

01-26-2018 Notice of Electronic Filing  
Filed  
Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6502152 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-26-2018:16:21:43

01-26-2018 Notice of Entry of Ord  
Filed  
Notice of Entry of Ord Transaction 6502149 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-26-2018:16:20:45

01-25-2018 Notice of Electronic Filing  
Filed  
Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6499853 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-25-2018:16:20:52

01-25-2018 Ord Denying  
Filed  
Document withheld. Document Security Level Exceeded

01-24-2018 Request for Submission  
Defendant Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
Request for Submission DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION TO FORMALLY VACATE JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION AND TO DISMISS ACTION WITH PREJUDICE DUE TO THE STATE'S FAILURE TO PROSECUTE PARTY SUBMITTING: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS DATE SUBMITTED: 01/24/18 SUBMITTED BY: MP DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:

01-24-2018 Notice  
Defendant Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
Notice ... NOTICE OF STATE'S FAILURE TO FILE POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO FORMALLY VACATE JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION AND TO DISMISS ACTION WITH PREJUDICE DUE TO THE STATE'S FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND REQUEST FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS IN ACCORDANCE WITH DISTRICT COURT RULES, RULE 13(3)

01-23-2018 Notice of Electronic Filing  
Filed  
Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6494331 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-23-2018:14:06:27

01-23-2018 Supreme Ct Order Directing  
Filed  
Supreme Ct Order Directing... SUPREME COURT NO. 74227 / ORDER DIRECTING ANSWER - Transaction 6494316 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-23-2018:14:04:53

01-12-2018 Motion  
Defendant Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
Motion ... MOTION TO FORMALLY VACATE JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION AND TO DISMISS ACTION WITH PREJUDICE DUE TO THE STATE'S FAILURE TO PROSECUTE

01-12-2018 Request for Submission  
Defendant Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
Request for Submission DOCUMENT TITLE: PROPOSED ORDER OF ACQUITTAL (Order attached as exhibit 1) PARTY SUBMITTING: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS DATE SUBMITTED: 01/12/18 SUBMITTED BY: MPURDY DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:  
- Exhibit 1

01-11-2018 Application Produce Prisoner  
Defendant Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
Application Produce Prisoner MOTION FOR ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER AT EVIDENTIARY HEARING RELATIVE TO MOTION TO SET ASIDE JURY VERDICTS

01-11-2018 Motion  
Defendant Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
Motion ... MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING IN REGARD TO THE DEFENDANT'S PRE-SENTENCING MOTION TO SET ASIDE JURY VERDICTS

01-11-2018 Request for Submission  
Defendant Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS

Request for Submission DOCUMENT TITLE: PRESENTENCING MOTION TO SET ASIDE JURY VERDICT PARTY SUBMITTING: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS DATE SUBMITTED: 01/11/18 SUBMITTED BY: MPURDY DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:

Reply  
 01-11-2018  
 Defendant  
 Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
 Reply... DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S PRE-SENTENCING MOTION TO SET ASIDE JURY VERDICTS

Notice  
 01-09-2018  
 Defendant  
 Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
 Notice ...

Notice of Electronic Filing  
 01-04-2018  
 Filed  
 Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6464970 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-04-2018:09:56:00

Opposition to  
 01-04-2018  
 Plaintiff  
 Filed by: TERRENCE P. MCCARTHY, ESQ.  
 Opposition to ... OPPOSITION TO "PRE-SENTENCING MOTION TO SET ASIDE JURY VERDICT" - Transaction 6464638 - Approved By: CSULEZIC : 01-04-2018:09:54:57

Notice of Electronic Filing  
 12-05-2017  
 Filed  
 Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6423153 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-05-2017:10:53:11

Order...  
 12-05-2017  
 Filed  
 Order ... ORDER DIRECTING RESPONSE FROM STATE TO PRESENTENCING MOTION FILED BY VOSS - Transaction 6423147 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-05-2017:10:52:11

Request for Submission  
 11-07-2017  
 Defendant  
 Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
 Request for Submission DOCUMENT TITLE: REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION PARTY SUBMITTING: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS DATE SUBMITTED: 11/7/17 SUBMITTED BY: RODRIGUEZ DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:

Other ...  
 Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
 Other ... DEFENDANT'S APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S PRE-SENTENCING MOTION TO SET ASIDE JURY VERDICT (VOLUME TWO)  
 - Exhibit 2  
 - Exhibit 3  
 - Exhibit 4  
 - Exhibit 5  
 - Exhibit 6  
 - Exhibit 7  
 - Exhibit 8  
 - Exhibit 9  
 - Exhibit 10  
 - Exhibit 11  
 - Exhibit 12

Other ...  
 10-25-2017  
 Defendant  
 Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
 Other ... DEFENDANT'S APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S PRE-SENTENCING MOTION TO SET ASIDE JURY VERDICT (VOLUME ONE)  
 - Exhibit 1

Motion  
 10-25-2017  
 Defendant  
 Filed by: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
 Motion ... PRE-SENTENCING MOTION TO SET ASIDE JURY VERDICT

Notice of Electronic Filing  
 10-18-2017  
 Filed  
 Proof of Electronic Service Transaction 6353190 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-18-2017:13:37:44

Supreme Court Receipt for Doc  
 10-18-2017  
 Filed  
 Supreme Court Receipt for Doc SUPREME COURT NO. 74227 / RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS - Transaction 6353182 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-18-2017:13:36:44

Stip and Order  
 06-10-2011  
 Filed

Notice  
 01-25-2008  
 Filed  
 Notice ...

Ex-Parte Mtn  
 08-15-2007  
 Filed  
 Ex-Parte Mtn... EMERGENCY EX-PARTE MOTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, AND APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER - SUBMIT TO D/3 8/15/07

Supreme Court Order Denying  
 07-06-2006  
 Filed

	Supreme Court Order Denying SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 29783 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
05-16-2006	Supreme Court Order Denying Filed Supreme Court Order Denying SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 29783 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CORRECT TRIAL COURT RECORD
05-01-2006	Supreme Court Order Denying Filed Supreme Court Order Denying SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 29783 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO RECALL REMITTITUR
01-17-2006	Notice of Change of Address Filed Notice of Change of Address
12-13-2005	Request for Submission Filed Request for Submission DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION FOR CORRECTION OF TRIAL RECORD PARTY SUBMITTING: S. VOSS DATE SUBMITTED: 12-14-05 SUBMITTED BY: GVELARDE DATE RECEIVED JUDGE'S OFFICE:
10-10-2005	Request for Submission Filed Request for Submission DOCUMENT TITLE: CORRECTION OF TRIAL RECORD PARTY SUBMITTING: STEVEN FLOYD VOSS DATE SUBMITTED: 10/11/05 SUBMITTED BY: A. SIMPSON DATE RECEIVED JUDGE'S OFFICE:
09-26-2005	Motion Filed Motion ... FOR CORRECTION OF TRIAL RECORD
04-07-2005	Request for Submission Filed Request for Submission DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION FOR COMPLETE UN-REDACTED TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS PARTY SUBMITTING: S. VOSS DATE SUBMITTED: 4-8-05 SUBMITTED BY: GVELARDE DATE RECEIVED JUDGE'S OFFICE:
04-07-2005	Request for Submission Filed Request for Submission DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION TO STRIKE DATED AND PREJUDICIAL PRESENTENCING REPORT... PARTY SUBMITTING: S. VOSS DATE SUBMITTED: 4-8-05 SUBMITTED BY: GVELARDE DATE RECEIVED JUDGE'S OFFICE:
04-01-2005	Mtn to Strike Filed Mtn to Strike... DATED AND PREJUDICIAL PRE-SENTENCING INVESTIGATIONAL REPORT AND SENTENCING RECOMMENDATIONS AND MOTION FOR NEW PRE-SENTENCING INVESTIGATION, AND REPORT WHICH DOES NOT MAKE REFERENCE TO UNCHARGED CRIMINAL CONDUCT OR TO ANY WRITTEN OR VERBAL STATEMENT OF THE DEFENDANT TO THE NEVADA DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATIONS MADE DURING PRE-SENTENCING INVESTIGATION AND OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF TRIAL COUNSEL
03-25-2005	Mtn for Rough Draft Transcript Filed
04-25-2003	Order... Filed Order ... REQUESTING INMATE FINANCIAL CERTIFICATE
11-04-2002	Response Filed Response... PET'S RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT'S OPPOS TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL STAY OF EXECUTION OF SENTENCE
10-22-2002	Opposition to Mtn Filed Opposition to Mtn ... OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRODUCE SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED DISCOVERY INFORMATION
10-22-2002	Opposition to Mtn Filed Opposition to Mtn ... FOR PARTIAL STAY OF EXECUTION OF SENTENCE
06-08-2001	***Minutes Filed ***Minutes
04-08-1999	Certificate of Clerk Filed Certificate of Clerk
04-08-1999	Supreme Court Remittitur Filed Supreme Court Remittitur
04-08-1999	Ord Dismiss Appeal/Remand Filed Ord Dismiss Appeal/Remand
06-18-1998	Transcript Filed



	Transcript MOTION TO SET ASIDE JURY VERDICT : this document can only be accessed at the court
	Transcript
06-16-1998	Filed
	Transcript MOTION TO SET ASIDE JURY VERDICT : this document can only be accessed at the court
	***Minutes
05-21-1998	Filed
	***Minutes
	***Minutes
05-20-1998	Filed
	***Minutes
	Reply
05-18-1998	Filed
	Reply... REPLY TO MOTION TO SET ASIDE VERDICT
	Opposition to Mtn
05-11-1998	Filed
	Opposition to Mtn ... OPPOSITIONB TO MOTION TO SET ASIDE VERDICT
	Motion
04-30-1998	Filed
	Motion ... MOTION TO SET ASIDE
	Receipt
08-28-1997	Filed
	Receipt
	Receipt
08-27-1997	Filed
	Receipt
	Order...
08-26-1997	Filed
	Order ...
	Motion
08-22-1997	Filed
	Motion ... MOTION TO RELEASE EVIDENCE
	Transcript
01-29-1997	Filed
	Transcript (JURY TRIAL) 10/08/96 : this document can only be accessed at the court
	Transcript
01-29-1997	Filed
	Transcript (JURY TRIAL) 10/09/96 : this document can only be accessed at the court
	Transcript
01-29-1997	Filed
	Transcript JURY TRIAL (APPEAL) 10/07/96 : this document can only be accessed at the court
	Transcript
01-29-1997	Filed
	Transcript SENTENCING : this document can only be accessed at the court
	Order...
01-13-1997	Filed
	Order ...
	Motion
01-07-1997	Filed
	Motion ... MOTION FOR TRIAL TRANSCRIPT AT PUBLIC EXPENSEN AND SPECIFICATION OF ERROR
	Certificate of Transmittal
12-26-1996	Filed
	Certificate of Transmittal
	Certificate of Clerk
12-26-1996	Filed
	Certificate of Clerk
	Notice/Appeal Supreme Court
12-24-1996	Filed
	Notice of Appeal Supreme Court
	Case Appeal Statement
12-24-1996	Filed
	Case Appeal Statement
12-04-1996	Transcript

	Filed
	Transcript SENTENCING : this document can only be accessed at the court
11-27-1996	PSI - Confidential Filed Document withheld. Document Security Level Exceeded
11-27-1996	Judgment of Conviction Filed Judgment of Conviction
11-27-1996	Opposition to Mtn Filed Opposition to Mtn ... OPPOSITION TO DISMISS
11-21-1996	Motion Filed Motion ... MOTION TO DISMISS
11-17-1996	***Minutes Filed ***Minutes
10-30-1996	Transcript Filed Transcript MOTION FOR RELEASE ON OR : this document can only be accessed at the court
10-21-1996	Opposition to Mtn Filed Opposition to Mtn ... OPPOSTION TO MOTION FOR ACQUITTAL OR NEW TRIAL
10-21-1996	Subpoena Filed Subpoena
10-21-1996	Subpoena Filed Subpoena
10-21-1996	Subpoena Filed Subpoena
10-17-1996	Motion Filed Motion ... MOTION FOR A JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL OR A NEW TRIAL
10-10-1996	Jury Instructions Filed Jury Instructions
10-10-1996	Verdict(s) Filed Verdict(s)... GUILTY OF COUNT VI: ATTEMPTED THEFT
10-10-1996	Verdict(s) Filed Verdict(s)... COUNT V: FORGERY
10-10-1996	Verdict(s) Filed Verdict(s)... COUNT IV: FORGERY
10-10-1996	Verdict(s) Filed Verdict(s)... COUNT I I I : UTTERING A FORGED INSTRUMENT
10-10-1996	Verdict(s) Filed Verdict(s)... COUNT I I : UTTERING A FORGED INSTUMENT
10-10-1996	Verdict(s) Filed Verdict(s)... COUNT 1: BURGLARY
10-10-1996	Unused Verdict Form(s) Filed Unused Verdict Form(s)...
10-10-1996	Unused Verdict Form(s) Filed Unused Verdict Form(s)...

10-10-1996	Unused Verdict Form(s) Filed Unused Verdict Form(s)...
10-10-1996	Unused Verdict Form(s) Filed Unused Verdict Form(s)...
10-10-1996	Unused Verdict Form(s) Filed Unused Verdict Form(s)...
10-10-1996	Unused Verdict Form(s) Filed Unused Verdict Form(s)...
10-10-1996	Jury Question, Court Response Filed Jury Question, Court Response
10-04-1996	Transcript Filed Transcript ARRAIGNMENT 7/19/96 : this document can only be accessed at the court
10-04-1996	Transcript Filed Transcript MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL : this document can only be accessed at the court
10-02-1996	Opposition to Mtn Filed Opposition to Mtn ... OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE
09-25-1996	Motion Filed Motion ... DEFENDENT'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE
09-24-1996	***Minutes Filed ***Minutes
09-16-1996	Transcript Filed Transcript MOTION TO CONFIRM TRIAL 8/06/96 : this document can only be accessed at the court
09-10-1996	***Minutes Filed ***Minutes
09-09-1996	Motion Filed Motion ... MOTION FOR RELEASE ON OWN RECOGNIZANCE OR REDUCTION IN BAIL
09-09-1996	Application for Setting Filed Application for Setting
09-03-1996	***Minutes Filed ***Minutes
08-21-1996	Order... Filed Order ...
08-16-1996	Motion Filed Motion ...
08-06-1996	***Minutes Filed ***Minutes
08-02-1996	Proceedings Filed Proceedings
07-25-1996	Stip and Order Filed Stip and Order...
07-19-1996	***Minutes Filed ***Minutes

07-16-1996	Information Filed Information
07-16-1996	Application for Setting Filed Application for Setting

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Pursuant to NRAP Rule 25, I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County District Attorney's Office and that on February 21, 2018, I deposited for mailing at Reno, Washoe County, Nevada, postage prepaid, a true copy of the foregoing document, addressed to:

STEVEN FLOYD VOSS  
Inmate #52094  
Northern Nevada  
Correctional Center  
P. O. Box 7000  
Carson City, Nevada 89702

Margaret Ford  
Washoe County District Attorney's Office