```
I'm going to publish State's 17. Is that a picture of the El
1
   Pollo Loco you worked at on that evening?
2
         Α
              Yes.
3
              And what was your shift on October 28th, 2014?
 4
         Q
              Afternoon.
         Α
 6
              What time does that run from?
              4:00 to 2:30.
 8
              So, you worked late into the night; is that correct?
         0
9
         Α
              Yes.
              I'm going to direct your attention to about 11:30
10
    P.M., okay? Is the store closed at that point in time?
11
         Α
              Yes.
12
              What -- how many people are you working with at that
13
   point in time?
14
              Five.
15
         Α
              And who was the shift manager that night?
16
         0
17
              Jamie.
              Would that be Jamie Schoebel who just walked out of
18
19
    the courtroom?
20
         Α
              Yes.
              And was there a woman named Diana Mena working with
21
    you that evening?
22
23
         Α
              Yes.
              And is her last name M-e-n-a?
24
25
         Α
              Yes.
```

CONTINUED NEXT VOLUME

arrest; this is your only chance to say sorry and why you are sorry, and that the judge and the DA will look favorably upon that, and that actually has borne out in front of us and will happen during the course of this trial.

2.0

He's taken the least amount of responsibility and he's gained the greatest advantage. He said, I was just the guy who was driving the car. I didn't actually go in and pistol-whip anybody, I didn't punch the pregnant lady in the stomach, it was just me driving the car. When he was asked the critical question about when -- or who are the people that were involved with this case, he said these words; no more, no less. No more, no less.

What we do know though about Mr. Johns is that he owns that Charger, it's registered to him, it's his vehicle, and that no evidence was recovered from the crime scenes at all in this case; it was recovered from Mr. Johns's car. It came out of his trunk, and he admits to committing five of those robberies.

When he was asked about taking responsibility and that he was just the getaway driver, the statement he told the police is, I was just trying to protect my brother, that's why I was doing this. Those days are long over. He's not trying to protect his brother anymore. He's taken the easy way out.

The police have taken the easy way out in this case. They've closed 13 robberies, they've submitted this to the

prosecution, so that way, 43 victims will have a shot at getting justice. For Donte, it's simple. He could get probation, and he's been out of custody since July of 2015.

Ladies and gentlemen, the people who took the easy way out in this case are the police when they started to tie and sew a thread -- a common thread that they want you to believe when you watch the evidence unfold in this case, but as you watch it, ask yourself these questions of, is it fitting together the way that they said it would?

The only person in this case who has not taken the easy way out -- well, two people. The only person who's not taken the easy way out is Brandon Starr. He sits here accused of 82 felony counts, he has pled not guilty to each and every one of those counts, and at the end of this trial, we are going to ask you to return a verdict on all 82 not guilty. Thank you.

17 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Lobo.

MS. LOBO: Thank you.

19 THE COURT: Ms. Mercer, Mr. Portz, did you have

MR. PORTZ: We do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Call your first witness.

MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. The State calls

Jamie Schoebel. May I approach the clerk, Your Honor?

25 THE COURT: Yes.

witnesses now?

MR. PORTZ: Thank you. 1 THE MARSHAL: Step up here, please. Watch your step 2 there. Remain standing, face the clerk, and raise your right 3 hand, please. 4 JAMIE SCHOEBEL, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 5 6 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. could state your first and last name, spelling on the record. 8 THE MARSHAL: Just pull your chair up and speak up. THE WITNESS: Jamie Schoebel. J-a-m-i-e, 9 S-c-h-o-e-b-e-1. 10 MR. PORTZ: May I proceed? 11 THE COURT: Your witness, Mr. Portz. 12 MR. PORTZ: Thank you very much. 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 15 BY MR. PORTZ: And good morning, Ms. Schoebel. Can you please tell 16 17 the members of the jury what it is you do for a living? 18 I'm a shift leader at Checkers Restaurant. Α 19 Okay, and have you always worked for Checkers? Q 20 Α No. 21 Q Who did you work for before Checkers? 22 Α El Pollo Loco. 23 And which El Pollo Loco did you work at? Q On Charleston. 24 Α 25 And to be more specific, would that be the one on 0 Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

4011 East Charleston here in Clark County, Nevada? 2 Α Yes. Ma'am --3 0 MR. PORTZ: Would Your Honor like the State to ask 4 5 permission to publish each exhibit that's already been admitted? THE COURT: Well, I'll need you to identify them 8 based on the previous ruling I had, okay? 9 MR. PORTZ: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You won't -- if they've been admitted, 10 just identify them and -- for the record, and then, yeah, you 11 don't need to ask permission as you talk, okay? 12 13 MR. PORTZ: Thank you. I appreciate that. BY MR. PORTZ: 14 Ma'am, I'm going to publish what's been admitted by 15 stipulation as State's Exhibit 1. It's going to pop up on 16 17 that screen next to you. Do you recognize this map? Α 18 Yeah. Okay. And you'll see a little bubble there on the 19 20 bottom kind of left quadrant of the map. Do you see that? 21 Α Yes. 22 It says 4011 East Charleston? Q 23 Α Um-hum. Okay. Is that the location of your store, the El 24 Pollo Loco you used to work at? 25

A Yes.

4

8

9

- Q Okay. When did you leave that El Pollo Loco for Checkers?
 - A April of 2015.
- Q Okay. And were you working at that El Pollo Loco on October 28th of 2014?
 - A Yes.
 - Q I'm going to publish what's already been admitted by stipulation as State's 17. Do you recognize what we're
- 10 looking at here?
- 11 A Yeah.
- 12 Q What is that?
- 13 A The outside of the El Pollo Loco.
- Q Okay, so this is a photograph of your -- the restaurant you worked at?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q What was your shift on October 28th, 2014?
- 18 A 4:00 to 12:00.
- 19 Q And --
- 20 A 4:00 P.M. to 12:00 A.M.
- 21 Q Okay, so you get off around midnight?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q And what was your position on that date?
- 24 A Shift leader.
- Q And what are the responsibilities of a shift leader?

A manager in charge. We run the store, count money, 1 Α 2 do inventory, run the crew. So, when you say run the crew, do you mean like 3 Q oversee other employees? 4 5 Α Yes. And were there other employees working with you on 6 7 that night? 8 Α Yes. And could you tell us who was working with you in 9 addition to yourself? 10 David Caballero. 11 Α And what was David's position? 12 13 Α Burrito boy. And what does a burrito boy do? Q 14 15 Α He makes -- preps the food, salads, tacos. And who else was working with you that night? 16 Q Jose Borja. 17 And what was Jose's position? 18 Q He's a cook. 19 Α THE COURT: For the record, do you know the spelling 20 21 of their last name? 22 THE WITNESS: Some of them. THE COURT: For these ones here that you're naming, 23 can you spell them? 24 25 THE WITNESS: Not perfectly, but --

```
48
```

```
THE COURT: Do the best you can, all right?
1
              THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
2
              THE COURT: David Caballero, what -- how do you
 3
 4
    spell his last name?
              THE WITNESS: C-a-b-e-l-l-o.
 5
 6
              THE COURT: Okay, and then Jose?
 7
              THE WITNESS: Borja, B-o-r-j-a.
 8
              THE COURT:
                           Okay, thank you.
              MR. PORTZ:
                           Thank you.
 9
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
10
    BY MR. PORTZ:
11
              And it's David Caballero, right; not Cabello?
12
         Q
              Caballero, it's pronounced.
13
         Α
              So there's an R in there somewhere?
14
         0
              There might be.
15
         Α
              Maybe? Okay. That's okay. Were there any female
16
         Q
    employees working with you?
17
         Α
18
              Yes.
              Who else was working?
19
         Q
20
         Α
              Diana Mena.
              And what was -- and can you spell Diana's last name,
21
    if you could?
22
23
              M-e-n-a.
         Α
              And what was her position?
24
         Q
25
              Cashier.
         Α
                  Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890
```

And anyone else? 1 0 Jennifer Hernandez. 2 Α Common spelling of Hernandez? 3 Q 4 Α Yes. 5 Q Okay, and what was Jennifer's position? Α Prep cook. 6 Now, can you please tell the members of the jury, just not on this particular night, but every night, what time 8 does the restaurant itself close? 9 Inside, we close at 10:00 P.M. Drive-thru, we close 10 at 11:00 P.M. 11 Okay. So, when you say inside, do you mean sort of 12 13 the main --The lobby. 14 Α 15 -- dining area? The lobby? Q 16 Α Um-hum. 17 So, at 10:00 P.M., the public no longer has access 18 to the store, correct? Α 19 No. 20 Q Only through the drive-thru? 21 Α Yes. 22 All right. And you said the drive-thru closes at 23 what time? 11:00 P.M. 24 Α

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

So, let's just kind of go to your common procedures

25

0

when you close first the main lobby/dining area at 10:00 P.M. What do you do -- what do you oversee your employees doing as shift manager?

A The cashier would be working drive-thru until 11:00, and also cleaning the lobby. Everybody else, cleaning up, closing down their stations.

Q And you said there's a cash -- a cashier working one of the cash registers, correct?

A Yes.

1

2

3

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 Q Okay. How many cash registers are in your El Pollo
11 Loco?

A Three.

Q And where are they located?

A There would be two in the front and one in the drive-thru.

Q Okay. The two in the front, those are in the lobby where people come in to eat?

A Yes.

Q What happens to those registers after you close the main lobby at 10:00 P.M.?

A Those registers are closed out as the cashiers go home.

Q Okay, and what happens to the money that's inside those registers?

A The money is deposited into a smart safe.

Who deposits the money there? 1 The cashier. 2 Α Now, you said the drive-thru closes at 11:00 P.M., 3 0 correct? 5 Α Yes. Okay, but that cash register obviously doesn't --6 that stays open until 11:00 when you guys are done with --8 Α Yes. -- the drive-thru customers? Okay. So, at 11:00 9 P.M., what do you guys do with that -- that portion of your 10 restaurant? 11 That box? The cashier closes down the drive-thru, Α 12 turns off her headset, locks the window, takes out her 13 register, and counts it. 14 15 And when she's done counting it, what does she do with the money inside? 16 She's to deposit it into the smart safe. 17 18 Do you handle this money at any point in time? I double -- double-count it. 19 Α 20 You double -- okay, so you'll actually go through the cash after the cashier has gone through it? 21 22 Α Um-hum, and then they'll deposit it. The cashier deposits it into the safe? 23 Q 24 Α Yes, because they go in with their own codes. 25 Okay. Who has access to that safe after it's been 0

deposited? The bank. 2 Α Do you as a shift manager have access to opening 3 that safe? 4 5 Α No. 6 Do the employees of El Pollo Loco wear work uniforms? 8 Α Yes. Is there anything different about your uniform from 9 your other employees to signify that you are the shift 10 manager? 11 Yeah, we have different colored shirts. Α 12 Okay. What color was your employees' shirts? 13 Q Α Gray. 14 15 And what color is your shirt that you wear as a Q 16 manager? Α Maroon. 17 Now, I want to talk to you about what happens after 18 you close down the store at 11:00 P.M. 19 Α Um-hum. 20 21 Okay? At some point while you're shutting down the 22 registers and cleaning up the restaurant, does something out of the ordinary happen to you? 23 That night in particular, yes. 24 Α 25 Okay. And that night being October 28th, 2014, Q

53 1 correct? 2 Α Yes. Okay. About what time does something happen that 3 Q catches your attention? I want to say between 11:15 and 11:30. 5 Okay, and what -- where were you when this something 6 Q 7 happens? In the office. 8 Α 9 In which office? Q The front office. 10 Α Okay, and --11 Q 12 Α The manager's office. And what were you doing in the manager's office? 13 Q Putting in my inventory in the computer. 14 Α 15 Q Was anyone with you at that time? Α No. 16 17 Where had your employees gone? Q 18 Α They had gone outside to take out the trash. And when you say go outside, did they go -- what 19 20 side of the restaurant did they exit to go take out the trash? 21 Α They went out the back door. 22 0 Does the public have access to the back door of your 23 restaurant? 24 Α No. 25 I'm going to publish --0

MR. PORTZ: Court's indulgence. What's been 1 admitted by stipulation as State's Exhibit 20. 2 BY MR. PORTZ: 3 Can you tell us what we're looking at here? 5 Α That's the back door. Okay. And that's from the inside of your store, 6 7 correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 And so you exit that door to get to the trash? Q 10 Α Yes. 11 And I'm showing you State's 18. What are we looking 12 at here? 13 Α That's the trash can area. Okay, and can you see that back door in this 14 15 exhibit? 16 Α Yes. 17 Okay, I'm going to zoom-in a little to help us. if you'd touch that screen in front of you, you can actually 18 circle it. Can you circle the back door to show the members 19 20 of the jury where -- okay. So, for the record, you've 21 indicated a door just to the right of a ladder that goes up to 22 the top of the El Pollo Loco roof. I'm just saying that for 23 the record, okay? Is there a surveillance camera in the back 24 that you're aware of? 25 Α Yes.

```
1
              Can you please circle that for the ladies and
         Q
 2
    gentlemen of the jury? Okay. For the record, it looks like
 3
    -- and were you trying to circle this little black --
         Α
 4
              Yeah.
 5
              That, okay, little black dot just to the left of the
    ladder up above the door frames. Okay, and that surveillance
 6
    video -- are you familiar as the shift manager with your
 8
    surveillance video system?
 9
         Α
              Yes.
10
              And you're aware of the cameras that you have around
    your store?
11
12
         Α
              Yes.
              Are there more than just this one camera?
13
14
              On the outside, no.
         Α
15
              Okay, but on the inside, are there more?
16
         Α
              Yes.
17
         0
              And where does this camera look at while it's
18
   videotaping?
19
              Outside the back door.
20
              Does it look at the back door that you've circled on
21
    this exhibit?
22
         Α
              Yes.
23
              So, if you could just touch the bottom left, I
   believe that will clear the screen.
```

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

THE COURT: Try the bottom right, right in the

25

1 corner. MR. PORTZ: Ms. Mercer's going to check --2 There you go. 3 THE COURT: 4 MR. PORTZ: There we go. Okay. 5 BY MR. PORTZ: 6 So, you're inside the manager's office, and all your employees are out back with the trash, correct? 8 Α Yes. Okay. What happens next? 9 I hear one of my employees come running in, 10 screaming, (indecipherable, speaking Spanish). 11 Okay, so which employee do you hear screaming that? 12 13 Α Diana. Diana Mena. Diana Mena? And you said something. Can you say 14 that again, what she was screaming? 15 MR. TANASI: Objection, hearsay, Your Honor. 16 MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, this is an excited 17 18 utterance. She's clearly stated the woman was screaming. THE COURT: Overruled, overruled. You can answer. 19 20 THE WITNESS: She was saying (speaking Spanish) 21 which means, they come in to rob us. 22 BY MR. PORTZ: Okay, and is that Spanish that she was screaming 23 that in? 24 25 Α Yes.

And what's the next thing that you noticed 1 2 happening? I turn around and they're all right there, all my 3 employees, and two men. 5 Okay. And just so the jury kind of understands, can you describe what you can see from your office? Let me -actually, let me assist you here. I'm going to publish 8 State's 22. Do you recognize what we're looking at here? А 9 Yeah. Can you just describe for the jury what we're 10 looking at so they kind of have a sense of where we are in the 11 12 store and what rooms are what? Α That's the front counter where the two registers are 13 14 held. 15 Okay, and is that facing out to the main lobby? Q 16 Α Yes. 17 And then, there's a door to the left of that Q 18 counter. Do you see that door on the left side of the screen? 19 Α Yes, that would be the office. 20 Okay, so is that the manager's office that you're inside? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. Now, you said that after you heard Diana Q. 24 screaming in Spanish, "They came to rob us" --

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

25

Α

Um-hum.

-- that the next thing is you saw all your 1 2 employees. Where were they located? In the front right there by the registers. 3 Α Okay, right in front of these registers that we see 4 Q 5 in this exhibit? Α Yeah. 7 Q And was there anyone else with them? 8 Α Two men. 9 And could you tell the race of these two men? Q African American. 10 Α Both of them? 11 Q 12 Α Yes. And could you tell where they had come from? 13 From the back. 14 Α Now, what did these two men do with your employees? 15 Q They told them to get on the floor. 16 Α 17 Q And does anyone say anything to you? 18 Α They told me to open the safe. 19 And before we get into what happens next, I want to Q 20 take a moment to talk about these two men. So, you've already indicated both of them were African American; is that correct? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 Did any of them have anything in their hands? 24 Α Yes. 25 0 Okay. Let's start this way. Did you notice a

height difference between the two of them?

- A One tall, one shorter.
- Q Okay, so let's start with the taller one. About how tall do you think the tall one was?
 - A 5'10, 5'11, maybe 6.
- Q Maybe 6? Okay. What about the shorter one?
- A Maybe 5'7, 5'8.
 - Q Okay. So, there was a noticeable difference in the height of these two individuals; is that fair to say?
- 10 A Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

- 11 Q Now, the taller one, what did he have in his hand?
- 12 A I don't remember.
- Q Okay. What do you remember being in either of their hands?
- 15 A One had a gun and one had a knife.
- Q Okay. So, you're not sure which one, but you remember one had a gun and one had a knife?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q The person -- the one with the gun, I know you don't 20 know which of those two it was, but did you get a good look at 21 that gun?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q And do you know what the difference is between a revolver and a semi-automatic firearm?
- 25 A Yes.

```
Okay. Which -- which type of gun was this that you
1
         Q
 2
   saw?
              A revolver.
 3
         Α
 4
              So, it was one of those guns with like the spindle
 5
    things that the cowboys used in the old westerns?
 6
         Α
              Yes.
              And do you recall what color that revolver was?
 7
         Q
 8
         Α
              Black.
              And then you said the other one had a knife on him;
 9
10
    is that correct?
         Α
              Yes.
11
              Okay. And while I'm saying "him," could you tell
12
         0
    whether they were male or female?
13
14
         Α
              Yes.
15
         Q
              How could you tell?
              Their voice.
16
         Α
17
              Okay. Was there anyone else other than your
18
    employees and these two men present?
         Α
19
              No.
20
              Can you describe what these two men were wearing;
    what type of clothing they were wearing?
21
22
              Both of their face were covered, I believe with a
         Α
23
    red bandana, and all I can remember is gloves and a gray
24
    sweater.
25
         0
              Do you remember anything about the color of those
```

```
61
   gloves?
1
2
         Α
              No.
              Okay, but you do remember something covering their
 3
         Q
    face?
 5
         Α
              Yes.
 6
              And did both of them have a bandana covering their
 7
    face?
 8
              I believe so.
              Now, they have all four of your employees and the
 9
10
    two -- the two men, one with a knife and one with a gun, right
    there by the register. Does someone come into your office
11
    first with you?
12
13
         Α
              Yes.
              Okay. Which one comes in first?
14
         Q
15
         Α
              The one with the gun.
16
         Q
              And what does the one with the gun say to you?
17
              To open the safe.
         Α
              Does he stay inside the room with you the whole
18
19
    time?
20
         Α
              No.
21
         Q
              Okay, what happens?
              The one with the knife comes in there.
22
         Α
23
              Um-hum.
         Q
24
         Α
              And the one with the gun steps out next to the cook.
25
         0
              Okay. Does the one -- and the cook is who?
```

- Mario Borja -- or Jose Borja. Α 1 Okay. So, Jose, you also call him Mario? 2 Q 3 Α Yes. So, the guy with the gun goes to Jose, and 4 the guy with the knife comes into the room with you --5 Α Yes. 7 -- correct? Okay. What does the guy with the 8 knife, if anything, say to you? 9 I think I can remember him saying, shut up, bitch, 10 or move, bitch. Is this before or after you open the safe? 11 Q After I open the safe. 12 Okay. Did -- were you able to open the safe 13 14 immediately when the gunman came in and said, open the safe? 15 Α No.
 - Q Okay. About how long did it take you, would you -- maybe that's a hard thing to estimate, but about how long do you think it took you to open -- get that safe open?
 - A Maybe two or three minutes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q While you were trying to open the safe, what were these two individuals doing, the guy with the gun and the guy with the knife?
- A The guy with the knife was just standing there, and the guy with the gun, every time the safe didn't open, he hit Jose in the head with the gun.

Okay, so he actually took -- you actually saw him 1 use the firearm and hit him in the head with it? 2 Yes. 3 Α Does the safe make a noise when it doesn't Okav. 5 open? 6 Α No. 7 Aside from hitting Jose in the head with the gun every time the safe didn't open, did the guy with the gun do 8 or say anything else about Jose while you were trying to open the safe? 10 That if it didn't open, he was going to kill him. 11 Α And where was he pointing the gun when he said that 12 13 to you? 14 Α At Jose's head. 15 What are the female employees that are with you at Q 16 this point, where -- what are they doing? What's their state? 17 They're laying on the ground. 18 Okay. Can you hear them saying anything or doing 19 anything? 20 Α No. 21 Once you open the safe, what happens? Q 22 Α The guy with the knife steps in and grabs the money. 23 Q Okay. And is it at this point that you recall him 24 saying, shut up, bitch? 25

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

Α

Yeah.

1 What had you said to him --I had asked --2 Α 3 -- that caused him to say that? 4 Α -- if I should move so he can get it; I'll move out 5 the way. 6 0 And that's what he said in return? 7 Α Yeah. 8 Q Okay. Who grabs -- well, what's inside the safe 9 when you open it? 10 Α Money. 11 And is this coin money, paper money, both? Q Both. 12 Α 13 And who grabs the money inside the safe? 14 Α The man with the knife. 15 Q And is that a large room that you're in, or a tiny room that you're in? 16 17 Α Tiny. 18 Is the man with the knife at all touching you in any 19 way? 20 Α No. After -- do you know about how much money was inside 21 22 that safe that the man took the money from? 23 Α We're to keep I think 2,300. 24 And do you think all 2,300 was taken from the safe 25 ultimately?

1	A	No.
2	Q	About how much would you estimate was taken from
3	that safe?	
4	А	Between 800 and 1,000, or a little over.
5	Q	Where was the man with the knife, if you remember,
6	putting the money that he was taking out of the safe?	
7	А	In his hands.
8	Q	In his hands? When he's done taking the money, what
9	happens next?	
10	А	They leave. I feel him something hit my stomach,
11	maybe brushed by my stomach.	
12	Q	And was there any particular reason that you bring
13	that up or that that caused you any concern?	
14	A	Yes, I was four months pregnant.
15	Q	Did the fact that you were four months pregnant and
16	these individuals were being violent with your employees and	
17	threatening towards you have anything to do with your	
18	complying	and handing over the cash?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	After you feel something brush up against your
21	stomach a	and the money's been taken, what did the two suspects
22	do at that point in time?	
23	A	They leave out the back door. They tell us to stay
24	down.	
25	Q	Because you indicated both of these individuals had

their faces covered, do you recall if they had anything over the tops of their head as well? 2 I think -- I thought they were wearing hoodies, but 3 I don't remember anymore. 5 Okay. You could tell they were African American; is that correct? 6 Α Yes. Could you see enough of them to identify who they 8 9 were? No. 10 Α Now, you've told us already that your store is 11 equipped with surveillance cameras; is that correct? 12 13 Α Yes. And you're familiar with your surveillance video 14 0 15 system? 16 Α Just from looking at the cameras inside the office, 17 yes. And they were working properly on this night in 18 question, October 28th? 19 20 Α Yes. Okay. And have you in fact viewed surveillance 21 video footage of the incident that you just testified to to 22 23 this jury? Α Yes. 24 25 And did -- after reviewing it, did the images Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

captured on that surveillance video appear to fairly and accurately depict everything that you've testified to here 2 today? 3 Α Yes. MR. PORTZ: At this point, Your Honor, the State 5 will move to admit State's Exhibit -- Proposed Exhibits 31 and 31A, surveillance video. 8 THE COURT: Do you have it? 9 MR. TANASI: Your Honor, we have seen a copy of the video, yes. 10 THE COURT: No, I mean, do you have the video, Mr. 11 12 Portz? MR. PORTZ: I do, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: I -- do you have an objection at this 14 15 time? MR. TANASI: Your Honor, I don't, I think, as long 16 as the State can continue to lay the foundation --17 18 THE COURT: That's why --MR. TANASI: -- for her knowledge of the video. 19 That's -- okay, okay. Mr. Portz, I 20 THE COURT: 21 think maybe with respect to this, you probably ought to lay a 22 little bit more foundation, just so we know that she's seen this one, and you know. Is there -- is there something on the 23 24 video that -- I mean, on --25 MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, well, the witness has

already indicated she's seen the video. THE COURT: No, I know that, but I know that in this 2 3 case, there's --MS. MERCER: Your Honor, may we approach, please? 4 5 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. 6 (Off-record bench conference) 7 MR. PORTZ: Okay. Can we switch over to the camera -- the video and audio? 8 BY MR. PORTZ: 9 Now, Ms. Schoebel, you already discussed your 10 surveillance system. Before we move to admit the State's 11 exhibit, I'm going to show you on your screen portions of four 12 separate video camera angles from your surveillance system, 13 just so that you can verify that those are in fact shots from 14 15 your store on the night in question, okay? Α 16 Okay. Then we'll move to admit. 17 Q 18 (Exhibit 31A is played) 19 (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) BY MR. PORTZ: 20 Okay, ma'am, so is that October 28th, 2014? 21 Q 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. Can you tell us, is this a camera from your 24 store? 25 Α Yes.

```
Is this in fact the camera that you circled for us
1
    earlier on the exhibit showing the back door?
2
 3
         Α
              Yes.
              Okay. So, what is this camera showing a picture of?
         Q
              Diana Mena going out the back door.
 5
         Α
              Okay, and you recall this from being video footage
 6
    from the surveillance on the night in question?
8
         Α
              Yes.
 9
              Okay. We're going to go to another angle before we
    play through that.
10
                         (Exhibit 31A is played)
11
                    (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
12
              MR. PORTZ: And we'll pause it right here.
13
    BY MR. PORTZ:
14
              Okay, ma'am, do you recognize what's depicted in
15
         0
16
    this particular video surveillance camera?
17
              The front two registers.
         Α
              And this is from your store?
18
19
         Α
              Yes.
20
              On the night in question?
         Q
21
         Α
              Yes.
22
         Q
              Thank you. This is going to be the third.
23
                         (Exhibit 31A is played)
24
                    (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
25
              MR. PORTZ: Pause it right there.
                  Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890
```

```
BY MR. PORTZ:
 2
              And what are we looking at here, ma'am?
 3
              That's the prep kitchen where the back door is
    located.
 5
         Q
              Okay, so is this the interior side of that back door
    that your employees went out of earlier that night?
              Yes.
 8
              And this is one of your surveillance cameras inside
         0
    your store --
10
         Α
              Yes.
11
         Q
              -- from the night in question?
12
         Α
              Yes.
13
         Q
              Thank you. And then the final fourth version.
14
                        (Exhibit 31A is played)
15
                    (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
    BY MR. PORTZ:
16
17
              What are we looking at here, ma'am?
18
         Α
              It's the office.
19
         Q
              Okay. Is this the manager's office next to those
    two cashier registers?
20
21
         Α
              Yes.
22
              And who is inside the office at this point?
         Q
23
         Α
              I am.
              That's you in there?
24
         Q
25
         Α
              Yes.
```

```
And is there a security camera inside the manager's
1
   office that depicts this angle of your office?
 2
 3
         Α
              Yes.
              Okay, and this is from the night in question as
 4
 5
   well, correct?
 6
         Α
              Yes.
 7
              MR. PORTZ: Court's indulgence.
 8
   BY MR. PORTZ:
              And then finally, ma'am, we've talked a lot about
    what happened this night. Before I get to the video, after
10
11
    the crime occurred, did anyone call the police?
12
         Α
              Yes.
              Okay. Do you recall who called the police?
13
         Q
              I did.
14
         Α
15
              And what did you dial? 911, 311?
         Q
         Α
              911.
16
              And have you heard the recording of that 911 phone
17
   call?
18
              Yeah.
19
         Α
              Did you recognize the voice on that phone call to be
20
         0
21
    yours?
22
         Α
              Yes.
23
              Okay, and was it a fair and accurate reflection of
24
    the conversation you had with the 911 operator from the
25
    moments following the robbery that took place?
```

Α Yes. 1 MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, and I'm going to publish a 2 portion of that so that she can verify. 3 (Exhibit 31A is played) 4 5 (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) 6 MR. PORTZ: Thank you. For the record, we paused it at 17 seconds. BY MR. PORTZ: Ma'am, you heard the initial portion of that 9 recording; that was the date and time and -- of the robbery, 10 11 correct? Α 12 Yes. 13 Q Okay. And then there was a woman screaming, 14 "Someone robbed us." Do you hear that? 15 Α Yes. Did you recognize that person's voice? 16 Q 17 Yes. Whose was it? 18 19 Α Mine. 20 MR. PORTZ: At this point, Your Honor, the State 21 would move for admission of State's 31 and 31A. 22 THE COURT: Okay, so how is -- is 31 the video, and 31A is the audio? 23 24 MS. MERCER: 31 is the envelope, Your Honor, and 31A 25 is the disk, and it contains both the surveillance and the

911. 1 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Any objection based 2 3 on --MR. TANASI: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Maningo, Ms. Lobo, any objection? 5 MR. MANINGO: No objection, Your Honor. Thank you. 6 7 THE COURT: All right, okay. So, 31 and 31A? MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: It will be admitted. 9 (Exhibits 31 and 31A are admitted) 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 MR. PORTZ: Thank you. We're going to start with 12 31, the video portion. I'd ask Ms. Mercer to pull up the 13 14 first camera angle. Your Honor --15 THE COURT: Yes? MR. PORTZ: Before we begin playing, can we again 16 17 approach? 18 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. (Off-record bench conference) 19 20 MR. PORTZ: Okay. So, we're going to play the first 21 file, which is "Backdoor.mp4." 22 (Exhibit 31A is played) 23 (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) 24 MR. PORTZ: For the record, we've paused the 25 recording at 14 seconds.

```
BY MR. PORTZ:
              Who was that first person to walk out the back door,
2
   ma'am?
3
              Diana Mena.
         Α
              Okay. And can you tell who the second person is
5
         0
6
    coming out?
              David Caballero.
         Α
              Okay. We'll continue playing.
8
         0
                        (Exhibit 31A is played)
 9
                    (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
10
              MR. PORTZ: And we're going to pause it here at 19
11
12
    seconds.
13
    BY MR. PORTZ:
              Can you tell who the third person was to walk out
14
    the back door?
15
              Jennifer Hernandez.
16
         Α
17
              Okay, thank you.
              MR. PORTZ: Okay. For the record, we're
18
19
    fast-forwarding approximately three minutes into the video.
20
                         (Exhibit 31A is played)
21
                    (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
              MR. PORTZ: And we'll pause it right there, and
22
23
    we're pausing at three minutes and 18 seconds on the
24
    recording.
    BY MR. PORTZ:
25
                  Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890
```

```
Could you recognize that fourth individual who
 1
         Q
    walked outside?
 3
         Α
              Jose Borja.
 4
              MR. PORTZ: Now we're going to fast-forward to
    approximately four minutes and 15 seconds.
 5
                        (Exhibit 31A is played)
 7
                    (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
 8
              MR. PORTZ: Okay. And for the record, we've paused
    it at four minutes and 24 seconds.
    BY MR. PORTZ:
10
11
         Q
              Ma'am, did we see all four of your employees run
12
    back in?
13
         Α
              No.
14
         0
              Who did we see run back in?
15
         Α
              Jennifer, Diana, and Jose.
16
         Q
              Okay, and who's outside still?
17
         Α
              David.
18
              And are there any other individuals with those four
    of your employees?
19
20
         Α
              Two men.
21
              MR. PORTZ: We'll continue playing.
22
                        (Exhibit 31A is played)
23
                    (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
24
              MR. PORTZ: For the record, we've paused at four
25
   minutes and 28 seconds.
```

BY MR. PORTZ: 1 2 Did everyone, including the two men, enter your Q 3 store? 4 Α Yes. Okav. MR. PORTZ: I'm going to fast-forward now to about 6 five minutes and 18 seconds. (Exhibit 31A is played) 8 (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) 9 BY MR. PORTZ: 10 11 Q Okay, ma'am, and in that portion --12 MR. PORTZ: For the record, we've stopped at five minutes and 28 seconds. 13 BY MR. PORTZ: 14 Did you see two individuals run outside the back of 15 16 your store? 17 Α Yes. Okay, and would those be the two individuals who 18 19 committed the robbery while you were there? 20 Α Yes. 21 Q Okay. MR. PORTZ: We're going to switch now to the front 22 counter. I'm sorry, let's do inside back door first. 23 (Exhibit 31A is played) 24 25 MR. PORTZ: Okay, can we pause it right there, Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

please, at 21 seconds? 1 (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) 2 3 BY MR. PORTZ: Ma'am, what did we just see happen there? 5 Α Diana, David, and Jennifer go outside. 6 Q Okay. 7 MR. PORTZ: Let's fast-forward to two minutes and 12 8 seconds, please. (Exhibit 31A is played) 9 (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) 10 MR. PORTZ: And we'll pause it there at two minutes 11 and 19 seconds. 12 BY MR. PORTZ: 13 Who just left now? 14 0 Α 15 Jose. 16 Q Okay. 17 MR. PORTZ: Can we please fast-forward to three 18 minutes and 20 seconds? MS. MERCER: I'm sorry, what was the time? 19 MR. PORTZ: Three minutes and 20 seconds. 20 (Exhibit 31A is played) 21 (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) 22 BY MR. PORTZ: 23 Okay, ma'am. Did we just see all of your employees 24 run back in with the two men that you said came in and robbed 25 Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

your store? 2 Α Yes. Okay. Now, at this frame --3 MR. PORTZ: And we've paused, for the record, at three minutes and 30 seconds. 5 BY MR. PORTZ: 7 Do you see one of the men who robbed your store in 8 this frame? 9 Α Yes. Okay. Where is he located; on the right or the left 10 of the two people in the picture? 11 The right. 12 Α Who's the person on the left? 13 Q David. 14 Α 15 Q Okay, thank you. MR. PORTZ: We can continue playing. 16 17 (Exhibit 31A is played) (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) 18 MR. PORTZ: And now I will ask that we fast-forward 19 20 to four minutes and 25 seconds, please. 21 (Exhibit 31A is played) 22 (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) 23 MR. PORTZ: Okay, you might have gone a little too 24 far. Would you like to format it to 20 seconds maybe? 25 (Exhibit 31A is played) Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

1	(Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
2	MR. PORTZ: Okay, ma'am. And now we're pausing it
3	at four minutes and 25 seconds.
4	BY MR. PORTZ:
5	Q Do we see those two individuals running out your
6	back door of your store again?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay, and those you remember being the two men that
9	robbed you that night?
10	A All right, thank you.
11	MR. PORTZ: We'll now switch to the front counter.
12	And we can fast-forward to about 25, 30 seconds. That's good.
13	(Exhibit 31A is played)
14	(Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
15	MR. PORTZ: Okay, pause there, please.
16	BY MR. PORTZ:
17	Q Ma'am, who is who is the woman in the frame
18	paused at 31 seconds?
19	A Diana Mena.
20	MR. PORTZ: Okay, we'll continue playing.
21	(Exhibit 31A is played)
22	(Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
23	MR. PORTZ: Okay.
24	BY MR. PORTZ:
25	Q And now, who is the woman to the right of Diana
!	Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Mena? MR. PORTZ: We've paused, for the record, at 33 2 3 seconds. THE WITNESS: Jennifer Hernandez. BY MR. PORTZ: 5 6 And then, there is a gentleman who has a gray and red glove wrapped around the back of his neck. Who is that person? Α Jose Borja. MR. PORTZ: Please continue playing. 10 (Exhibit 31A is played) 11 (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) 12 MR. PORTZ: Okay, we'll pause it there, about 41 13 seconds. 14 15 BY MR. PORTZ: What happened to Jose there, ma'am? 16 0 17 The man hit him in the head. Is that the man with the red hat and the red and 18 19 gray glove? 2.0 Α Yes. And what did he hit him in the head with? 21 22 Α The gun. And as you testified earlier, is that because you 23 Q weren't opening the safe fast enough, he hit the man in the 24 25 head?

```
Α
              Yes.
              MR. PORTZ: Okay, continue playing.
 2
 3
                        (Exhibit 31A is played)
              MR. PORTZ: Okay, what is -- we'll pause there for a
 4
 5
   moment.
 6
                   (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
 7
              MR. PORTZ: We're at 52 seconds.
 8
   BY MR. PORTZ:
              What is the man pointing at Jose's head now as Jose
10
    lays on the ground?
         Α
              A gun.
11
12
              Does this correspond to the time when you said that
13
    he was going to shoot Jose if you didn't open the register?
14
         Α
              Yes, the safe.
15
         Q
              How were you feeling when you heard him say that?
         Α
              Scared.
16
17
              MR. PORTZ: Continue playing, please.
18
                        (Exhibit 31A is played)
    BY MR. PORTZ:
19
20
              Are all your employees now on the ground, ma'am?
              I can only see three of them.
21
22
                   (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
23
   BY MR. PORTZ:
24
              Okay, ma'am. Are those two suspects no longer in
    the screen?
25
```

```
1
         Α
               No.
 2
               Have they -- have they -- is this about the time
         Q
    that they had left the building?
 3
         Α
 4
               Yes.
 5
         Q
               Okay.
 6
               MR. PORTZ: We'll now move on to office.
 7
                         (Exhibit 31A is played)
 8
    BY MR. PORTZ:
 9
               Okay, now we're looking at you inside your office,
10
    correct?
11
         Α
               Yes.
12
               Are you doing the inventory there?
13
         Α
               Yes.
14
                    (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
15
    BY MR. PORTZ:
16
               Okay, ma'am, we saw you attempt to close the door.
17
    Do you recall doing that?
18
         Α
               Yes.
               Who stopped you from closing that door?
19
         Q
20
         Α
               The man with the gun.
21
         Q
               And what is the man with the gun doing right now?
22
         Α
               Pointing the gun at me.
23
         Q
               And does it appear his hands are covered in gray and
24
    red?
25
         Α
               Yes.
                  Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890
```

```
(Exhibit 31A is played)
1
   BY MR. PORTZ:
2
             Can you tell or do you recall where the gun was
 3
   pointed at you?
 5
         Α
              No.
 6
              On the bottom right corner, do we see Jose going
   down to the ground after being hit with a pistol?
8
         Α
              Yes.
              MR. PORTZ: Stop right there.
 9
                   (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A)
10
   BY MR. PORTZ:
11
12
              Now, is this the same man with the gun, or is this a
13
    different man?
14
         Α
             Different man.
15
              Okay. What -- what -- this is the guy with the
16
    knife then; is that fair to say? There were two guys; one
17
   with a gun, one with a knife?
         Α
              Yes.
18
19
         0
             Do we see the knife in this one?
20
         A
              Yes.
              In this frame --
21
         Q
              MR. PORTZ: And for the record, we're paused at --
22
    I'm sorry, I can't -- one minute and one second.
23 |
   BY MR. PORTZ:
24
25
              Can you see the knife?
                  Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890
```

```
Yes.
1
         Α
2
              Is that it in his right hand there?
         0
 3
         Α
              Yes.
 4
         Q
              Okay.
 5
              MR. PORTZ: We'll continue playing.
                        (Exhibit 31A is played)
 6
 7
   BY MR. PORTZ:
8
              Okay, ma'am, we now see you covering your stomach.
    Is there any particular reason that you've placed both your
10
    hands over your stomach at this point?
              I felt something hit my stomach; brush up against
11
12
    me.
              And now has the man with the knife taken the money
13
         0
    and left?
14
15
         Α
              Yes.
              Okay, and what are you doing?
16
         Q
17
              On the ground, sitting.
         Α
18
         O
              Okay. And just to be clear, I know it's probably
19
    obvious, but what's to the left of you is that the man with
20
    the knife was digging through?
21
         Α
              The safe.
              Okay, that's the safe that you opened for them?
22
23
         Α
              Yes.
24
              After this incident, did you notice any injuries on
         0
25
    Jose, your cook, who had been hit in the head with the
```

firearm? 2 His head was kind of bleeding. Okay. And you said you were the one who called 911 3 0 after this happened? 4 5 Α Yes. Do you know what phone you used? I believe the store phone. Α 8 (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) 9 MR. PORTZ: And at this point, I am going to move to 10 publish State's 31A. (Exhibit 31A is played) 11 12 (Stopped playing of Exhibit 31A) 13 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, ma'am. And just for the record, the portion of 31A we just played was the 911 call 14 15 from this event. And thank you very much, Michelle. 16 Honor, I have no further questions. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Tanasi, any cross? 18 MR. TANASI: Briefly, Your Honor. Thank you. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. TANASI: Good afternoon, Ma'am. My name's Richard Tanasi. 21 22 represent Mr. Hobson. I have just a few questions for you on 23 cross-exam, is that okay? All right. Prior to today's 24 testimony, you have had an opportunity to testify again, 25 correct?

- 86 Yes. Α And was that the grand jury proceeding? 2 0 3 Α Yes. Is that right? That was on January 22nd, 2015, 4 0 5 correct? 6 Α Yeah. And prior to that testimony in front of the grand jury, did you have an opportunity to speak with the State; 8 specifically, speak with Mr. Portz or Ms. Mercer prior to that 10 testimony? Α Yeah. 11 Okay. And then fast-forward into today, here you 12 Q 13 are testifying again. Have you had a chance and an 14 opportunity to speak with Mr. Portz and/or Ms. Mercer prior to 15 today's testimony? 16 Α Yes. Okay. At the grand jury proceeding -- well, let's 17 If I understand your testimony today, you understand 18 or you now know the difference between a revolver and a 19
 - Α Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. Would you agree with me though, at the time of the grand jury, you didn't know the difference?
- No, I didn't. Α

semi-automatic; is that --

25 Okay. All right, ma'am, thank you.

MR. TANASI: I have no further questions. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Maningo, Ms. Lobo? Mr. 2 3 Maningo? MR. MANINGO: No questions. Thank you, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Any redirect? 6 MR. PORTZ: Court's brief indulgence. Just a couple quick questions in response to Mr. Tanasi's. 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PORTZ: Ma'am, on the night of the robbery, were you asked 10 to fill out a voluntary statement by the police? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 And that was before you even knew Ms. Mercer or my name; is that correct? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 And in that statement, did you tell the Okay. 17 police that there was a man with a gun and a man with a knife? 18 Α Yes. 19 Q Okay, and that the man with the gun hit the cook? 20 Α Yes. 21 And that the man with the knife may have hit your 22 stomach during this robbery? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. And that 911 call that you made that we just listened to, was that made just minutes after the robbery 25

itself? 2 Α Yes. 3 Okay, before you even knew Ms. Mercer or my name? Α Yes. 5 Okay. And did the statements you made on that 911 call coincide with what you told us here today is your testimony? 8 Α Yes. 9 And when you met with Ms. Mercer and I, the only thing that we've ever told you to do is to tell the truth; is 10 11 that correct? 12 Α Yes. 13 Q Thank you, ma'am. 14 THE COURT: Recross? 15 MR. TANASI: Please, briefly, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TANASI: 18 19 We have just a couple more questions with respect to 20 the statement that you filled out. It was on October 28th, 2014; do you remember that? 21 22 Α Yeah. 23 In that statement though, you identify that Okay. you did see a man with a gun and a man with a knife, correct? 25 Α Yes.

```
1
         Q
             But you didn't go the extra step to say it was a man
   with a revolver and a man with a semi-automatic; is that fair?
 3
        Α
             Yes.
             Thank you, ma'am.
 4
         Q
5
                         Nothing else, Your Honor. Thank you.
             MR. PORTZ:
                                            So -- okay. All
6
                        Okay. All right.
              THE COURT:
   right, Ms. Schoebel, thank you so much. You can step down.
8
   You're excused, okay? Let's see. Let's take a --
9
             MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, the next witness does
10
   require the use of an interpreter. It should be short.
                                                             It's
   the --
11
12
              THE COURT: We have her right here, the interpreter.
13
             MR. PORTZ: I just wasn't sure if you were
14
    contemplating a break.
15
              THE COURT:
                          That's fine. Yeah, let's go ahead and
16
   do that one, and then we'll take a break, okay?
17
             MR. PORTZ:
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness, Mr.
19
   Portz.
20
             MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. The State's next
21
   witness is Jose Borja. And for the ladies and gentlemen of
22
    the jury, this is in reference to counts 1 through 7.
23
              THE MARSHAL: Step up and watch your step. Step up
24
   here, raise your right hand, and face the clerk.
25
              THE COURT: Hold on, we're going to swear the
```

```
interpreter in first.
1
2
              THE INTERPRETER: Oh.
3
             THE COURT: Okay.
              THE CLERK: Please raise your hand. You do -- is it
4
5
   Spanish?
6
              THE INTERPRETER: Yes.
         MARIA PERALTA DE GOMEZ, SPANISH INTERPRETER, SWORN
8
              THE CLERK: Thank you. Can you please state and
9
   spell your name?
              THE INTERPRETER: Yes. Maria Peralta De Gomez.
10
   M-a-r-i-a, P-e-r-a-l-t-a, space, D-e, space, G-o-m-e-z.
11
12
              THE COURT: Okay, thank you. All right.
13
          JOSE MARIO BORJA ESQUIVEL, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
14
              THE CLERK: Thank you. Please -- please be seated.
15
    Please state your full and last name, and spelling for the
16
   record.
17
              THE WITNESS: Jose Mario Borja Esquivel.
18
              THE COURT: Can you spell it?
19
              THE INTERPRETER: Okay.
20
              THE WITNESS: J-O-S-E, M-A-R-I-O, B-O-R-J-A,
21
   E-S-Q-U-I-V-E-L.
22
              THE COURT: Okay, your witness.
23
              MR. PORTZ: Thank you.
24
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
25
   BY MR. PORTZ:
```

Good afternoon, Mr. Borja. Sir, where are you 1 2 employed? 3 Α El Pollo Loco. How long have you worked for El Pollo Loco? 4 0 5 Α Eight years. And what is the location of the particular El Pollo 7 Loco store that you work at today? It's on Charleston and Sacramento. 8 Okay. And to be specific, would that be 4011 East 9 Charleston here in Clark County, Nevada? 10 Α Yes. 11 12 What's your position with El Pollo Loco? 13 Α Cook. 14 And Mr. Borja, is it fair to say that you understand Q 15 a little English? 16 Α More or less. 17 Q Okay, but you're using the aid of an interpreter today, correct? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay. So, please, just for the remaining questions, 21 wait until she interprets what I'm saying in Spanish to you, 22 and then respond to her. 23 Α Okay. 24 Thank you, sir. Now, I'm going to direct your 25 attention to October 28th, 2014, that night, sir, okay?

jurors from asking any excess number of questions.

Questions may be asked after both lawyers have finished questioning the witnesses, and only at that time. For example, the State calls a witness, conducts direct examination, the defense then has the opportunity to cross-examination those witnesses. This process may go on back and forth a number of times, you know, direct, cross, redirect, recross, re-redirect, re-recross. And sometimes it goes on quite a ways.

Only when they're finished with their questioning, if you so desire that you want to ask a question, I want you to write it down on your notepad, take a full notepad page, write down your question at the top of the page, put your name and your juror number where you're seated. So Juror No. 1, 2, 3, 4, so forth and so on. And your question that you write after I get it, the attorneys and myself, we will review it, and I will look to see -- I mean, I will look to determine whether or not it is actually a proper question.

Understand that the questions that you pose must be factual in nature and they're designed to clarify information that's already been presented. It must be directed to the witness as if you were asking the witness. Not to the lawyers or to the Court. It will be asked exactly like you write it. So understand that it must be legible, it must be -- it must fit certain portions of the testimony that's already being

presented, and I will ask it exactly like it's written. I will not add words. If I can't understand it after we discuss it, I won't ask it.

Also, understand after consulting with counsel, I'll determine whether or not it's legally proper. The only questions permissible under the rules of evidence will be asked. A lot of times jurors ask for hearsay, which would be asking for hearsay answers. And just like any objections that would be made by another party as to that particular question, if one of the parties asked it, that would still be an objection as if you asked that question and it's not properly admissible.

If I determine that your question in improperly asked, I will -- I will not ask it. And please don't take offense to that. And if I determine that it is properly asked, I will ask it exactly like it's written. After I do so, then the attorneys will have an opportunity to do follow up questions based on those questions. And, once again, I'll look to the jury to see whether or not you all have questions after that. If you have a question, just write, like I said, write it on the piece of paper and then raise it up when I look to you and my marshal will collect the questions, okay.

Until this case is submitted to you, you must not discuss it with anyone, even with your fellow jurors. After it is submitted to you, you must discuss it only in the jury

room with your fellow jurors. It is important that you keep an open mind and not decide any issue in this case until the entire case has been submitted to you under instructions from me. If you cannot hear a witness, please raise your hand as an indication.

Also, if you need to use the restroom or if you feel ill, please raise your hand as an indication. I've been trying to take -- I haven't been really good at it, but I try to take breaks about every 90 minutes. Or if you all need to go earlier, you let me know. Sometimes at the 90 minute we might be in the middle of a portion of testimony or a portion of the case that I really don't want to break, and I'll look to the jury. And if you want to go on, we'll go ahead and go on.

Also, I will allow you to bring in drinks in the courtroom as I've already indicated. Understand, this is not your home. This is my courtroom and -- and we have to clean up. So if somebody is spilling things all over, then my marshal doesn't get too happy about that. And I don't want you -- don't want you to ruin it for everyone else. So if you bring coffee in or something, make sure you have a lid on it. If you bring bottled water, that's great, you can screw the lid on.

Also, you can bring in anything in to make you comfortable. If you feel cold, or you feel like you're going

to be cold, bring in a sweater, or if you feel like you need a pillow for your back, bring in a pillow for your back. Also, if you need to feel more comfortable with snacks, you can bring that in, as well. I always bring this up because it's happened. If, however, you're over there eating Doritos and it's interfering with my record, I'll stop you from doing so, you understand? So just keep that in mind, okay.

Also, let me remind you, until this case is submitted to you, do not talk to each other about it or anyone else who has anything to do with it until the case, the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide your verdict. Do not talk to anyone else about the case or anyone that has anything to do with it until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors. Anyone else includes members of your family and friends.

I kind of touched on this the other day. It's interesting, one of your jurors here has indicated in questioning about concerns of his wife. Well, that's a concern oftentimes in these cases. They want to know -- their spouses want to know where -- where you're at and sometimes they don't believe that you're down here doing this. As I said, invite them down if they don't believe it, but you cannot discuss the case with them. And if they continue to pursue and pressure you, let us know and my marshal will address that issue. You can tell them that you are in a

criminal case, but you can't tell them anything about it until you've been discharged by me.

anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to do so, please contact my marshal immediately and let them know that somebody is trying to talk to you. When you're here in the courthouse or you're around the courthouse eating, make sure you wear your badge. That way people know that you are on a jury and they will, if they're following their admonitions, they'll stay away from you.

Certainly, court personnel, people that do understand the ethical standards, they will not approach you or talk to you about anything. If someone comes up to you and asks you are you on a jury, and you can tell them yes. But if they start talking to you about is, so tell me about it, what case is it, where are you at, then you let my marshal know, okay.

Once again, on your honor do not read any news stories or articles or listen to any radio or television reports about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. Obviously, I'm not in your home, I can't tell you to -- I can't stop you if I see you doing it. You have to, if you're watching TV and something happens to come up and it -- and it mentions something that you think has something to do with this case, I'd ask that you please either turn it off or

go to a different station or go out of the room. Also, same with newspapers and that.

And it goes to -- it goes with it, as well, as do not do any research on it, do not get on the Internet and look this up. Do not visit the scene or any of the events mentioned during the trial or undertake any investigation or research on your own. Obviously, there was a number of establishments that were named. Whether or not you remember what was named at this point, I don't know. I saw some of you taking notes about it, but, you know, if it's some place that you frequent or whatever, that -- I'm not -- I'm not telling you to stop or change your -- change your trip, but do not go there with the intention that you're doing any investigation with regards to this case.

Also, if you invite individuals in to watch the case, see, we've got room now, but if you do so, let them know that I won't allow them to come in and out of the courtroom while the witnesses are on the stand. I think that's highly inappropriate and I don't think it's really fair to the witnesses.

Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, it's a quarter to 5:00 now. I suspect these opening statements are going to be somewhat lengthy based on the -- just the nature of the indictment. My anticipation was is that you were going to be able to hear openings statements tonight, but I'm not going to

do that to you. I'm not going to do it to the parties, as well. So it's a quarter to 5:00. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take our weekend recess. And my calendar is --

11:00?

2.3

THE CLERK: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask that you be back here on Monday by 11:00. Do not come in the courtroom. Stay outside the courtroom. My marshal will come out and collect you.

You're admonished not to converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this case or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet, or radio. You're further admonished not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Is there any questions? Okay. So we'll be at ease while the jury leaves the room. You all have a good weekend. I'll see you back at 11:00 on Monday, okay. Thank you. Leave your books and that there. Leave them on your chair. My marshal will collect them.

(Jury recessed at 4:43 p.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. We're outside the presence of the

```
1
           I wanted to put on the record before we get started on
    jury.
 2
           There was a discussion at the bench that sparked the
 3
    release of Mr. Baugus. And it cameaddressed it previously
    regrading some hardship that he had. And then after it was
    noticed with the court, the concern that he had with his --
    with his child custody issue, the defense then said they would
 7
    have no objection to letting him leave at this time.
                                                          So now
    we're working with three alternates. Does everyone agree with
 8
 9
    that?
10
              MR. TANASI: Yes, Your Honor.
11
              MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor.
12
              MR. MANINGO: Yes, sir.
13
              THE COURT: All right. Do you have anything that
14
    you need to put on the record at this time by either side?
15
              MS. MERCER: No, Your Honor.
16
              MR. TANASI: Nothing on the record, Your Honor.
17
    just had a question regarding scheduling that's anticipated
18
    for next week, but it doesn't need to be on the record.
19
              THE COURT:
                          Well, we'll start at 11:00 on Monday.
              MR. TANASI: Okay.
20
21
              THE COURT: Tuesday probably --
22
             MS. MERCER: Your Honor, on Monday do you anticipate
23
    taking a lunch break, or should we just anticipate going all
```

No, I'll give them lunch.

24

25

day?

THE COURT:

MS. MERCER: Okay. We start at 11:00. Probably around 1:00 THE COURT: we'll give them lunch. MS. MERCER: Okay. THE COURT: Depending -- I'll probably give them lunch after your opening. (Court recessed at 4:43 p.m., until Monday, May 9, 2016, at 11:14 a.m.) CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. Julie Hond JULIE LORD, INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER

TRAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C-14-303022-1

CASE NO. C-14-303022-2

Plaintiff,

DEPT. NO. XIX

vs.

TONY LEE HOBSON, and BRANDON STARR,

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendants.

.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM D. KEPHART, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 4

MONDAY, MAY 9, 2016

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE:

ELIZABETH A. MERCER, ESQ.

KENNETH PORTZ, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorneys

FOR DEFENDANT HOBSON: RICHARD E. TANASI, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT STARR:

LANCE A. MANINGO, ESQ.

ADRIAN LOBO, ESQ.

ALSO PRESENT:

MARIA PERALTA DE GOMEZ Spanish Interpreter

COURT RECORDER:

TRANSCRIPTION BY:

CHRISTINE ERICKSON District Court

VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Englewood, CO 80110

(303) 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, MAY 9, 2016, 11:14 A.M.

(Outside the presence of the jury)

THE MARSHAL: Department 19 is now in session, the Honorable Judge William Kephart presiding. Please be seated.

THE COURT RECORDER: We're on the record.

MR. TANASI: Good morning.

MS. LOBO: Good morning.

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.

MS. MERCER: Good morning, Your Honor.

MR. TANASI: Good morning, Judge.

MR. MANINGO: Morning.

THE COURT; On the record in case C-303022, State of Nevada vs. Tony Hobson and Brandon Starr. I'd like the record to reflect the presence of the State, their counsel, both defendants, as well as their counsel. Is there anything that needs to be brought before the Court's attention before we get the jury back?

MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, just briefly, we discussed this with opposing counsel this morning. Because there are so many counts and so many different events, it's the State's intention, and this is what we did at the grand jury, to notify the jurors -- when we call a witness up, we'll simply state, this is reference -- this is in reference to incident number 1 of 14, counts 1 through 7, just so that they're aware of who the witness's testimony -- what counts the witness's

```
testimony applies to.
              THE COURT: Okay. Any particular objections to
2
3
   that?
              MR. TANASI: No, Your Honor.
 4
 5
             MR. MANINGO: No, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, other than that,
   are we ready to get started then?
8
              MR. TANASI: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Jim, go ahead and get the
10
   jury in then.
                  (Within the presence of the jury)
11
              THE MARSHAL: Please be seated. Department 19 is
12
   back in session.
13
14
              THE COURT: Okay. This is a continuation of the
15
    jury trial in C-303022, State of Nevada vs. Tony Hobson and
   Brandon Starr. Is Vissa Noquez here?
16
17
              JUROR NO. 1: I'm here.
18
              THE COURT: Robert Bass?
              JUROR NO. 2: Here.
19
20
              THE COURT: Vince Gaeta?
21
              JUROR NO. 3: Present.
22
              THE COURT: Lin-Belle Addington?
              JUROR NO. 4: Here.
23
24
              THE COURT: Daniel Boggs?
25
              JUROR NO. 5: Here.
```

		5
1	THE COURT: Nicholas Kozlowski?	
2	JUROR NO. 6: Present.	
3	THE COURT: William Burns?	
4	JUROR NO. 7: Here.	
5	THE COURT: Angila Jenkins?	
6	JUROR NO. 8: Here.	
7	THE COURT: David Snyder?	
8	JUROR NO. 9: Here.	
9	THE COURT: Glynis Bernard?	
10	JUROR NO. 10: Here.	
11	THE COURT: Daniel Powers?	
12	JUROR NO. 11: Here.	
13	THE COURT: Charles Worth?	
14	JUROR NO. 12: Here.	
15	THE COURT: Janet Swanson-Sulerud?	
16	JUROR NO. 13: Here.	
17	THE COURT: Heather Hedrick?	
18	JUROR NO. 14: Here.	
19	THE COURT: And Dustin Bigelow?	
20	JUROR NO. 15: Here.	
21	THE COURT: Will the parties stipulate to all	
22	presence of the jury?	
23	MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor.	
24	MR. TANASI: Yes, Your Honor.	
25	MS. LOBO: Yes, Your Honor.	
	Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890	

THE COURT: Okay. Before we took a break, I just finished addressing the jury, and at this point in time, if the State wishes, it's time for you to do your opening statements.

MS. MERCER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

STATE'S OPENING STATEMENT

MS. MERCER: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. As you heard in the opening statement by my co-counsel, this trial pertains to 14 separate robbery events. It is alleged by the State that between October 28th of 2014 and November 25th of 2014, the defendants, Tony Hobson, who is approximately 5-foot-7 inches tall, and Brandon Starr, who is approximately 6-foot-4 inches tall, were involved in each and every single one of these incidents.

In addition, you will hear that Donte Johns is -- or was a charged co-defendant. You will hear from Donte Johns at some point during the trial, and specifically, you will hear from him with regards to his role as a driver in these robberies. He never went into any of the establishments; he drove them to and from the robbery locations.

Specifically, he will tell you that he was involved in the number 4 Pizza Hut robbery, which is the second Pizza Hut robbery in this series. He will tell you that he was involved in the number 5 Little Caesar's robbery, which was on

the same night approximately an hour-and-a-half to two hours later. He will tell you that he was involved in a Popeye's robbery down off Stephanie near RC Willey, which is the Henderson robbery charged in this series.

He will also tell you that he was involved in the number 11 El Pollo Loco robbery and the number 12 Taco Bell robbery, both of which also took place in the same night. He will also tell you that he was involved in the last completed robbery of this series, which was the 13th robbery that occurred at Popeye's the night before they were arrested on November 25th of 2014.

He was arrested at the Taco Bell, which is incident number 14, along with Tony Hobson and Brandon Starr. He was in the driver seat when police approached the vehicle and pulled everyone out. He was dressed in a blazer, dress shoes, button-up shirt, clearly not intending to go in and commit a robbery.

These are the locations of the various robberies that took place. You will notice that the bulk of them took place in this vicinity, and you will hear that Defendant Hobson and Starr resided at 3955 East Charleston during the time of these robberies. So in the beginning, most of those robberies took place close to their residence. They then started deviating up into the northwest and down in Henderson, but you'll also notice and you'll also hear that these

locations are really off of freeways -- very close to a freeway. Not in areas that would be difficult to get to, areas that would -- they were areas that were easily spotted, these locations.

With regards to the number 1 incident, there were five different people present during that robbery. And in the interest of saving time, the State will not be calling every single person that was present during these robberies. It would get redundant, and it's not necessary because they were all captured on video.

But with regards to the first incident, that incident took place at 11:30 P.M. at the El Pollo Loco at 4011 East Charleston. At the time, Jamie Schoebel was the manager. You will hear from her. You will also hear from Jose Borja. During that incident, he was pistol-whipped with a firearm because the defendants didn't believe they were acting quickly enough. You will also hear from Diana Mena, who was standing close to Jose Borja at the time he was pistol-whipped, and you will see that surveillance video.

The State is going to ask, as you listen to the evidence in this case and you watch those surveillance videos, that you pay very close attention to the details that you can observe from those surveillance videos; in particular, what each of the suspects is wearing, indications of the height variances between the two of them.

With regards to this incident, you will hear that

Tony -- or I'm sorry, Brandon Starr, who was wearing a red

Cincinnati baseball cap, and wearing gray gloves with red

lettering on them, and carrying a firearm. This is him

pistol-whipping Jose Borja. You will see that that firearm is

a revolver. It has a cylinder right here. And he was wearing

a gray thermal, like a long-sleeved thermal sweatshirt. In

this particular incident, Tony Hobson was wearing a gray

hoodie and carrying a knife. The witnesses will tell you

about the height variations between the two suspects.

Then, on October 2nd -- or October 29th, 2014, the second robbery occurs, and it happens again shortly after 11:00. With regards to this incident, it took place at the 7-Eleven very close to where they were residing at 4581 East Charleston. The only employee working, the only victim of a robbery was Darnell Butler, who you will hear from, and once again, you'll see surveillance footage.

When you watch the surveillance footage, you will see that Tony Hobson actually forgot to put his mask on when he entered the store. And this is one of the robberies where you -- there's actually very good perspective as to the height differences, because when he walks in, you can tell that he's significantly shorter than Brandon Starr. Once again, he's wearing that gray hoodie. You will be able to tell from the surveillance footage that the inside of the gray hoodie has a

plaid pattern on it. Again, he's got a red bandana and he's wearing red gloves with white lettering.

And with regards to this incident, pay particular attention to the shoes that are depicted in that surveillance video. They're a pair of gray sneakers. There's another viewpoint of his red gloves with white writing on top of the gloves. Then, in walks Brandon Starr, significantly taller. Brandon Starr is once again wearing those -- the gray gloves with the red lettering. He's wearing a black and gray hoodie.

Then, the third incident takes place on November 1st of 2014 at 11:02 P.M. This one happens at a Pizza Hut at 6130 West Lake Mead. There are three employees present during the robbery; Shanon Poole, Daniel Heffner, and George Thimakis. You are only going to hear from Shanon Poole, who was the manager at the time.

That surveillance video only captures the customer area, and it captures them entering the establishment. This is Brandon Starr entering in a Pirates cap with a red bill, once again carrying the same revolver, and dressed in black. Tony Hobson walks in, wearing his same gray sneakers, black clothing, red gloves with the white lettering, red bandana. Again, you can tell he's significantly shorter than Brandon Starr.

In this particular incident, the defendants were smart enough to jump over the counter and leave shoe prints

behind. Those shoe impressions were lifted by crime scene analysts and compared by Eric Gilkerson, who determined that the mark left by Tony Hobson in those gray sneakers was compatible with the sneakers that he was arrested in. It was a match. There were identifying characteristics associated with those shoes that were present in the foot impression left behind.

Once again, Brandon Starr is wearing the gray gloves with the red lettering, and he's wearing black boots. Those black boots were consistent with the boot print left on the counter top. Here's a picture of the shoe impressions. This is the boot; this is the Reebok. You can see that it says "Reebok" and there's indications of wearing on the soles.

Then, fast-forward to the number 4 Pizza Hut robbery. This is the November 3rd, 2014 robbery at 10:55 P.M. This is one involving Trevor Faraone, or Faraone. I can't pronounce his last name. He's the manager.

Ashley Charmichael was present, Thomas Bagwell was present, and then about halfway through the robbery, Guy Brown returned from making a delivery. He was unaware that a robbery was occurring. When he walked in, they approached him. They took the 40 or 60 bucks that he'd just made from the delivery, and they also took some other stuff from his person. In that incident, you'll hear from Trevor and you'll hear from Guy.

This is the surveillance still here. Brandon Starr is wearing a gray and black hoodie. The top portion is where the gray is, and the bottom is black. You'll see it over and over again in the surveillance footage. He's also wearing the red gloves with black lettering, and once again wearing a baseball cap with a red bill underneath that hoodie. And you'll be able to tell the size differences with regard to this video very well.

And Tony Hobson walks in wearing gray and black hoodie, matches the one that Brandon Starr was wearing, once again wearing a red bandana, once again wearing the red gloves with the white lettering, and once again carrying a knife.

Brandon Starr was armed with a firearm in that one, and Tony Hobson was carrying a knife.

Then, about 30 minutes to an hour later, they go to the Little Caesar's. At that robbery -- with regard to the first four robberies that took place, they gained entrance through the main entrances of the business. They did so with this one, too, but it was because a delivery man was making a delivery to Little Caesar's, so he snuck -- they snuck in behind him as the doors were opening.

His name was Jesus Dorame, and the company he worked for is associated with Little Caesar's. Different name, but both owned by the same people. Idania Sacba was there by herself because the store was technically closed. She was

just there to drop off paperwork from the store where she actually worked.

2.5

With regards to this robbery, you will see that they enter wearing the same clothing that they were wearing in the Pizza Hut robbery. Tony Hobson's wearing a gray hoodie with -- I'm sorry, gray and black hoodie, red gloves with white lettering, red bandana. Brandon Starr is wearing the gray and red gloves, black pants, gray and black hoodie, red cap. And with this one, you'll see that Brandon Starr is hunching down in several of the video surveillances, as if to make himself shorter.

Then, the robberies stop for a period of time. They pick back up on November 15th. This robbery happened at a Popeye's. Again, there were several employees present. Most of these robberies took place as the stores were closing down. Just -- most of the stores' closing hours varied between the lobby, the main dining area, and the drive-thru. These robberies were occurring while the drive-thru was still open or shortly after it closed, so everyone was doing their duties to clean up the store and get out of there.

With regards to this robbery, you will hear from Karina Aguilar and Jeronimo Urbina. And this -- this is where their method of entry kind of changed a little bit, because they started splitting up. Brandon Starr would bust out the windows or the doors to gain entrance to the store, and then,

when the employees all started running towards the back, Tony Hobson would be in the back waiting for them to stop them from exiting or force them back inside. This is the first one where that occurred.

Again, you'll see they're wearing the same clothing. Brandon in the black windbreaker, gray and white gloves. Brandon Starr. And you'll see that he's carrying the bag out with the gun in his left hand, bag of cash.

The number 7 robbery occurred November 17th, 2014 at 12:42 A.M. at Burger King. With regards to this particular incident, this is the only incident where an item was not successfully stolen, so this is charged as an attempt robbery with use. Sonia Soto De Mason was working, Cornell Combs was working, and Jose Romero-Pitano (phonetic) was working.

When Brandon Starr tried to break out the window in this particular incident, he was unsuccessful, so the two of them went around to the back door. And when the employees tried to run out that back door because they'd heard the loud crash, they were confronted by the two defendants. Brandon Starr punched Cornell Combs so hard that it knocked him down, and you'll see that on the surveillance video, and then walks over him. Jose Romero actually managed to get away, which is likely why they didn't obtain anything in this robbery, because he was the only one with access to the safe; he was the manager.

There's where they attempted to break in and were unsuccessful, so they had to go around to the back door. Once again, they're wearing the same gloves and the same clothing. Brandon Starr's got his hoodie on with the red billed baseball cap, the red gloves with the black lettering, and Tony Hobson is wearing the same gloves and same hoodie, and he's wearing his gray sneakers.

Number 8 is the November 17th, 2014 robbery at 12:57 A.M. at Wendy's. Again, several witnesses -- or several employees present; Janie Fannon, Jesus Lopez, Anthony Maddaford, and Juan Mendoza. Noemy Morrquin was also present, but not an employee. She was waiting for her, at the time, boyfriend, now husband, to get off work. You will hear from Noemy and Juan Mendoza.

Again, they broke out a window -- one of them broke out the window, Brandon Starr; and the other one, Tony Hobson, ran around the back to make sure the employees couldn't get away. You can see the revolver again. That's Juan Mendoza in the office.

And in this incident, a blue Walmart bag starts showing up. The blue Walmart bag is provided by either Tony Hobson or Brandon Starr to the employees to place the money in and leave the scene, and it depended on which incident that -- which -- which defendant handed the blue Walmart bag to the employee. In this particular incident, it was Brandon Starr.

Here is a surveillance still, it's not a very clear one, where Tony Hobson is crouched down on the floor, trying to assist in getting the money out of the safe. You can again see the back of his gray sneaker, and you can see his red and white gloves.

Number 9 is the November 21st, 2014 robbery. Two employees were present; Jessica Hubbard and Jorge Morales. You will hear from Jessica Hubbard. This robbery took place at the Wendy's across from the In-N-Out off of Lake Mead and 95 area. Once again, Brandon Starr broke out the window in the front door, reached in, opened the door, gained entrance that way. Tony Hobson went around -- or followed in behind him on this one. Again, you can see they're wearing the same clothing. Brandon Starr always wears the black pants, black shoes, and Tony Hobson has his gray sneakers on.

They've also started wearing medical masks. You will hear that about halfway through this robbery series, Tony Hobson's girlfriend gave birth to a child in the lobby of the hospital at the -- where the child was born. They had medical masks available for anybody to just take. There was a medical mask dispenser because -- probably because it was flu season, I don't know, but that's about when they start wearing these medical masks.

Once again, you can see that Brandon Starr has his red gloves with black lettering, his gray and black hoodie, and he's carrying a revolver. Tony Hobson standing behind

him, dressed in his black hoodie, black pants, white medical mask, and once again, he's got his red gloves with white lettering on them and his gray sneakers.

The 10th robbery happens down in Henderson, right off of Stephanie near the RC Willey and the 215. Once again, several employees present; Angelica Uribe (phonetic), Skyler Cox, Maria Sanchez, Gamaliel Zavala (phonetic) Enriquez, Guillermo Rodriguez (phonetic), and Silvia Villegas. You're only going to hear from Angelica and Skyler. The rest of them managed to successfully escape out the back door in this incident.

With regards to this particular incident, Tony

Hobson -- or I'm sorry, Brandon Starr broke out the entrance

-- the main entrance to the Popeye's and walked through the

broken window. Tony Hobson jumped through the drive-thru

window. This is one incident where Donte Johns was the

driver.

Again, same clothing. Gray and black hoodie, black pants, black shoes, baseball cap with red bill. That's Brandon Starr. And this is Tony Hobson coming in through the window. You can very clearly see he's wearing his red gloves with white lettering and black clothing. He's also wearing the gray sneakers, and they're both donning the medical masks once again. The Walmart bag appears in this incident as well. That's Alejandra and Skyler on the ground -- or Angelica and

Skyler.

The number 11 incident happened on November 23rd, 2014 at 11:10 P.M. Five -- or four employees present. You're going to hear from Laura Lopez and Yanais Alejandra Silva, but Luis Lopez and Sergio Hernandez-Bautista were also there. You will hear that Sergio was the brother of Laura Lopez. This incident happened at 7380 West Cheyenne. You will hear that it was in close proximity to a Magoo's. Donte Johns recalls parking next to the Magoo's when he dropped the two of them off.

Once again, Brandon Starr broke out the front door to gain entrance and jumped over the counter. You can see he's wearing the same clothing; black pants, black shoes, gray and black hoodie, and he's got his hoodie pulled up. You can also see that he's wearing the gray and red glove on one hand, and then a gray and black glove on the other hand. He's also carrying the blue Walmart bag in that picture. He hands it over to the clerk to put the money in.

In that incident, there's another great example of the height variations. Tony Hobson on the right; Brandon Starr on the left. They also left shoe prints behind at that incident.

With regards to the number 12 Taco Bell, this was a short distance from the last robbery, the number 11 robbery, and there were three female employees working; Vanessa

Gonzalez, Jamie Ward, and Holly Hadeed. Jamie Ward managed to escape and run down the street to call 911. Vanessa Gonzalez and Holly Hadeed were inside of the Taco Bell during the robbery. This surveillance video actually has audio.

(Surveillance video is played)

MS. MERCER: That was Brandon Starr. You could see the red and black glove. He picked up -- because they couldn't gain access to the safe, they just took whatever they could, which was one of the employee's cell phones that was sitting on the desk. Once again, they broke out the front door to gain access to the business, and -- well, Brandon Starr did, and Tony Hobson ran around the back to keep the employees from leaving.

This time, Brandon Starr's armed with a semiautomatic firearm, as you can tell from the slide right here,
but he's wearing the same clothing; black pants, black shoes,
gray and black hoodie, the red glove on one hand with the
black "N" and the black letters, and the gray glove in his
left hand -- on his left hand with the red letters. Tony
Hobson's wearing the same red gloves with white lettering,
black hoodie, and they're both wearing medical masks.

Donte Johns will tell you what he remembers about that incident is that it was -- or that -- about that location is that it was close to the freeway, being the 215, because it was up in Summerlin at the Taco Bell just past Hills Center,

heading towards the 215 on Lake Mead.

The 13th robbery is the last one -- the last completed robbery in the series, and it happened on November 24th, 2014 just around 11:00 P.M. at the Popeye's at 6121 Vegas Drive. Once again, there were five employees present. You're not going to hear from every single one of them. It was Angelica Abrego, Gabriela Oyoque, Rafael Velazquez, Jose Espinoza, and Alma Gomez. With regards to this incident, you will hear from Rafael and Alma, and potentially others if we feel the need to, but at this time, those are the two we plan on calling.

Once again, the front door was broken out to gain entrance by Brandon Starr. The blue Walmart bag once again shows up in this one. Brandon Starr is once again wearing his gray and black hoodie, and his black boots, and his black pants. Tony Hobson is once again wearing all black; his black hoodie, black pants, and his gray sneakers.

With regards to this incident, you will hear that when the cash was taken, the money had just been counted down from the various cash drawers; the two inside the lobby, and then the one at the drive-thru. When they count down the drawers prior to putting them in the safe, they print out a report of how much money was in the drawer -- how many -- how much money should have been in the drawer, how much money was in the drawer, the employee's name is on the receipt, and then

the manager handwrites the amount at the top of the receipt. Those receipts were taken with the money during this robbery.

Then, on November 14th -- or I'm sorry, November 25th, with regards to the 14th incident, you will hear that the detectives within the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department assigned to the robbery unit were having a very difficult time identifying these suspects because they were always wearing gloves, which means no fingerprints, no DNA.

So they decided that they would go to various locations throughout the Valley, hoping to identify the suspect vehicle. They had a suspect vehicle in mind. They knew it was a gray Charger, and they knew that from surveillance video at two different locations.

You will hear that Ted Weirauch from the robbery unit decided that he wanted to go back to the vicinity where the original robberies were happening down in what is referred to as the Southeast Area Command. As he was driving down the road, he spotted a Dodge Charger, and he decided that he was just going to follow it and see what happened.

So, he pulled in the parking lot approximately 50 feet away from the vehicle in a manner as not to catch their attention or alarm them, and he watched. He watched for about 20 or 30 minutes. He could tell that the vehicle was occupied by at least two people, but he didn't know for certain how many people. So, as he waited and as he watched, he saw the

right rear passenger door open, and Brandon Starr got out. He walked to the trunk and he put a mask on, the white medical mask.

2.0

At that point, Detective Weirauch called in patrol officers to conduct a stop, because he wasn't willing to wait for them to actually complete another robbery. When patrol pulled them out of the vehicle, they noticed that -- or they were taken into custody, and Detective Abell noticed that at the time that they were stopped, they were wearing items of clothing that were identifiable in the surveillance video.

Brandon Starr in particular was wearing this gray and black hoodie with a red zipper. And in the trunk in front of where Brandon Starr was standing was a hatchet with an orange handle. When you watch the surveillance from the number 13 event, you will see that Brandon Starr was holding a hatchet with an orange handle.

As they started removing the layers and documenting what was in that trunk with the assistance of the crime scene analysts, they found other relevant items, which I'll get to in a second. But at the time he was arrested, he was also wearing this baseball cap, which was depicted in one of the other surveillance videos.

In the trunk in front of where Brandon Starr was standing, they located that semi-automatic handgun, one black and red glove with the black lettering, and one gray and red

glove -- the left hand red, and gray glove. They continued to move stuff away and found a revolver that matched the description of the revolver seen in the surveillance videos over and over again early on in the series.

Tony Hobson was seated in the right front passenger seat, and search incident to arrest, the officers located a blue Walmart bag in his waistband. And on the seat where he was seated, the red gloves with the white lettering on the front -- on the tops of them were located, along with a mask. Tony Hobson was also wearing the gray sneakers, the Reebok sneakers that were used to make the comparisons by Eric Gilkerson, and a black hoodie.

And this is what Donte Johns was wearing when he was arrested in the driver seat of that vehicle; a jacket, button-up shirt, and as you can see, shiny dress shoes. Not robbery attire.

You will hear that Brandon Starr's DNA was located on that red and gray glove that was recovered in the trunk, and his DNA was also located on that revolver. With regards to Tony Hobson, you will hear that the gloves that he was wearing in almost every single one of these incidents with the exception of two, the red gloves with the white lettering on the top and the black outlines, had his DNA on them.

And you will hear that after their arrest, robbery detectives went to 3955 East Charleston and executed a search

warrant. They found some very relevant items inside of that residence. In particular, this is the gray hoodie with the plaid lining inside that was found there, the Pirates cap depicted in one of the robbery events with black and the red bill, the red Cincinnati hat, and in this drawer in Tony Hobson's -- or Brandon Starr's room, a wad of cash.

In addition, when they searched the trash can, they found some very interesting materials in there as well. You will hear from several of the victims and employees in this case that when the robberies were occurring, oftentimes, they would make off with a lot of coins -- rolls of coins. The wrappers for those coins in the trash can, as well as the receipts from the November -- the number 13 robbery. You will hear from the manager in that case that that is her handwriting on those receipts. They also located a coin sorter and boxes consistent with boxes that were taken from some of these robberies that contained the rolls of coins.

This is the receipt I was referring to, this is the manager's handwriting up here where it says "386.14." This indicates the cashier who was manning that drawer, and this tells you that it occurred on November 24th -- that this receipt was printed on November 24th of 2014.

You will also hear from Detective Abell, who was the lead detective on this case, and he will tell you that when he started perusing Facebook, he found an interesting photograph,

which is a photograph of Brandon Starr wearing those red and gray gloves that were used in the commission of these robberies.

At the conclusion of this case, the State is going to ask that you find the defendants guilty of every single one of the charges in the Indictment.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Mercer. Mr. Tanasi, did you want to address the jury?

MR. TANASI: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

DEFENDANT HOBSON'S OPENING STATEMENT

MR. TANASI: Ms. Mercer, Mr. Portz, good morning. Folks, good morning. This is a case with many, many pieces, okay, but many pieces that don't fit together as nicely and as easily as the State would have you think.

Now, what you've heard to this point is a good opening statement from a good prosecutor. What you have not heard to this point is evidence. You've heard a roadmap, you've heard a frame, you've heard about pieces. What your folks' job as jurors who have taken the oath in this case is to find and determine the facts, and draw your own inferences. I wrote that down because it's important. You guys are doing that, you guys are making that conclusion; not the State, and not their good opening statement.

So, let's talk about these events, the 14 of them,

right? I just want to put something you've seen already on the Elmo here. Again, you all can see for yourself that these robberies, they're all over the Valley. Yeah, there's a cluster, but there are also outliers. We have one out in Henderson. We have robberies out in the northwest. Not all in one specific area; different locations spanning a different time frame, right? October through November of 2014.

2.0

What you're going to hear, folks, is a variety of descriptions from a variety of suspects. Over 50 potential victims in this case -- 50 listed victims in this case, but as you've just heard from the State, you're not going to hear from all of them. Why? Why? Importantly, not one of the victims you will hear for -- from or the victims you won't hear from, not one of them identified Mr. Hobson or Mr. Starr. Not one.

These suspects are described in a variety of different ways by the folks who were there living through this, okay? Three to four possible suspects. You've heard about Mr. Hobson, you've heard about Mr. Starr, you've heard about Mr. Johns. Who is the fourth?

One possible female. One of the suspects might be 5'10, 5'11, or 6-foot-tall. Another suspect might even be 6-foot, 6-foot-1, 6-foot-2, 6-foot-3, or 6-foot-4. One you'll learn was described as possibly 7-foot-2. Another, or a description of them, was that they were relatively the same

height. One suspect's described as 240 pounds, another as 300 pounds, and one at one time had small dread locks.

You're going to hear about the weapons involved, and you've seen that kind of already. A revolver, semi-automatic, an axe, a pipe.

You're going to learn about their clothing during these events. Black pants, gray, black, blue hooded sweatshirts, white socks, blue Red Socks cap, a cap with the letter "C" or "P," a green shirt, boots, and sneakers with an "N" mark on them from the folks that lived through this.

Their hands. They used latex gloves sometimes, you'll hear. Black gloves, red gloves, once even gloves with a yellow cuff.

The bags. Going to hear a lot about these -- this bag, this Walmart bag. You're going to learn that the victims described it as a Walmart bag, a blue bag, a plastic Cardenas bag, and sometimes even a cardboard box. Different events, ladies and gentlemen.

And let's talk about the Taco Bell arrest, the last incident in these events. Detective Weirauch, whose job is not to patrol, he's the detective; he was out patrolling that night, and as luck would have it, he picked the Nellis area -- the Nellis area to drive around looking for the Dodge Charger, and he wound up right behind the Dodge Charger.

Instead of making an immediate arrest, he let things

play out, he says, you'll learn. Calls in for backup, three different patrol officers come; Officer Mohler, Malloy (phonetic) and Warren (phonetic), okay? You'll hear that they arrive and they take the defendants into custody, and must do what every good cop does, which is a pat-down search. Officer safety. Before you arrest somebody, you want to make sure that they are not carrying a weapon on their waist. You're going to want to make sure that they don't have guns in their hand or contraband in their pockets, surely.

So they are taken into custody by these three officers, and Detective Matlock arrives on the scene, another detective who's in this investigation, and as luck would have it, he spots a blue bag on Mr. Hobson's waist, something that the officers who took him into custody had missed.

But surely there's a picture you'll see, right, of a Walmart bag on Mr. Hobson's waist? You'll see a picture of that, right? You won't. You've seen already a picture of the blue Walmart bag or a blue bag from a surveillance shot, right, in one of the robberies, but -- and then a picture that kind of like superimposed next to a picture of Mr. Hobson, but you didn't see the bag on his waist. Why?

And you also, as the State mentioned, are going to hear about this arrest -- or this search, rather, that takes place, not at Mr. Hobson's house; at Mr. Hobson's girlfriend's house, Brianna Rankin (phonetic). Mr. Hobson was not living

at that house at the time of the robberies or at the time of the search. He was living with his sister, Lynette Hobson. You'll hear from her.

And you heard about these receipts. These receipts are found, okay? You'll see that the receipts are depicted, 1, 2, and 3, right next to each other on the kitchen counter. You saw for your own eyes a picture of the receipt -- or a receipt in the kitchen waste bag, okay?

You'll learn that there are two different versions of where these receipts were found from two different police officers. You're going to learn that Detective Abell, he found them in the kitchen garbage can; and Detective Flynn, he found them in the bedroom night stand. Two different versions from two different police officers. Why, folks?

You're going to hear science. We talked a lot about science during jury selection, but you're going to hear it.

You're going to hear DNA, and you're going to learn that Mr.

Hobson's DNA is allegedly, okay, on one of the gloves. I say allegedly because here's the thing, folks. The State's scientist is going to come in and tell you that, and the State's scientist is also going to tell you that it's a mixture of three other individuals on the same glove. Science is not absolute; science is for you all to accept and reject.

You're going to hear some more science from the State's scientist. Footwear evidence. Footwear evidence that

you're going to hear from the State's footwear scientist who says he would expect Mr. Hobson's Reeboks are identified. He would expect Mr. Hobson's Reeboks are identified in the Pizza Hut robbery. He would expect it. He's not 100 percent, folks. And here's the thing, you're going to get to see the footprints and decide for yourself.

You've already seen it and you'll see it again, the picture of who the State says is Mr. Hobson who forgets to put his mask on, right? Is that picture, folks, as conclusive as the State has just told you? Again, you get to judge for yourself. Or is it a picture of a hooded black man the State wants you to believe is Mr. Hobson just because it's another piece of evidence, right? Icing on the cake.

And with these pieces that are kind of disconnected, who's going to come in -- who's going to come in and tie it all together? Their home run hitter, right? Mr. Johns, he's going to come in. He's a young man, he's a military man, okay, and I have a lot of respect for that, I do, okay, but folks, his testimony is bought and paid for. Bought and paid for, and you'll hear that. He signed an agreement with the State of Nevada to testify. Why?

At the end of this trial, folks, I'm confident you're going to see what I see in this case, which is that these pieces all don't fit together. And I'm going to ask you at the end of this trial to return the only verdict in this

case, which is not guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Tanasi. Ms. Lobo or Mr.

3 | Maningo?

MR. MANINGO: Ms. Lobo.

THE COURT: Ms. Lobo?

MS. LOBO: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. LOBO: Thank you, Judge.

DEFENDANT STARR'S OPENING STATEMENT

MS. LOBO: Ladies and gentlemen, this is a case about taking the easy way out. Two specific parties in this case have chosen to take the easy way out, and the evidence in this case is going to show exactly that. The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department took the easy way out when they were tying together 13 robbery events that were happening all over the Valley. The second person in this case who took the easy way out is now the State's witness, their star witness who will come here to testify.

Before I get to Mr. Johns and I explain his testimony and what he is going to offer for the State of Nevada, let's talk about what was happening on October 28th. You saw all the pictures that the State presented, detailing all of the robberies that happened all over the Valley, predominantly the east side, the northeast, and then down to the southeast area. So, let's talk about what happened and

what Metro was dealing with at that time.

October 28th began at that El Pollo Loco, just as Ms. Mercer said. Five victims had the daylights scared out of them that night. They went to work, and it was supposed to be a normal night of work, but what happened in that case is they went through absolute terror.

Some individuals came in there shrouded in all black with a gun and with a knife as they heard the demands to get down on the floor, open the F'ing safe. These people were scared out of their mind that night because of two hoodlums that came in to steal property that did not belong to them.

Then what happened three days later? I will run through all of these. Three days later, Pizza Hut, three more victims. Two days later, four more victims. The tallies began to roll and [inaudible]. It was rolling into more victims, more events.

From the first event all the way through the next event, the next event, not one single victim could identify these people, and rightfully so. The people who were perpetrating these crimes were completely covered. They wore gloves. They were trying to do the best that they can, but Metro at this point had five unopen, unsolved cases.

Two days go by, Burger King, two more victims. The same day, Wendy's, five more victims. Not a single arrest, not a single lead. Nothing was happening for the Las Vegas

Metropolitan Police Department to bring these cases to a close.

Four days later, two more victims. Two days later, four more victims at El Pollo Loco. And then the same day at Taco Bell, three more victims. It was increasing with intensity. It was also increasing in violence. People actually being struck with a firearm in the back of their head. The very next day, Popeye's, seven more victims.

This is what I would like to say, at least for the robbery division of Metro, it appeared to be that there was a crime wave occurring in the Valley. At this point, what they were dealing with in less then 30 days is 43 victims that are named in this case.

Three of these people were pistol-whipped. They were actually struck in the back of the head or somewhere on their body as these individuals were coming in and perpetrating these violent, horrible acts upon them. A pregnant woman, you'll hear in this case, was actually punched in the stomach. They were increasing in frequency. The number of victims per event was increasing. They were happening at numerous times throughout the day. There are some days where there was two events that were happening.

Still not a lead. No victim could identify them because these individuals were wearing masks covering their faces, wearing gloves, very dark clothing, and not a whole lot

of variance -- or a lot of variance in the descriptions of them. No DNA, rightfully so, at the crime; no fingerprints at the crime as well.

Metro seemed to be in a hard position, and it's safe to say that they wanted to make sure that they caught these people that were perpetrating all of these robberies all over our community. They had 13 unsolved open cases.

But Detective Abell, who's the lead detective in this case, got the case early on. I think it was about the third, is what we'll hear, maybe after the first, that he was assigned the case. All of these events fell under him because he's the lead detective, he's the person who's running the investigation, he's the point person for Metro.

And so, doing what any prudent detective should do for the police department, he sends out emails. They connect with each other inside of the department. Robbery works together as a team. If I have information about a crime, then I share it with my coworkers. Emails are being sent as to what is going on in the Valley.

Still, nothing is happening at this point, until the most critical thing that was the big break in this case is that a silver Charger was found in video surveillance. When the video of the Charger was found, finally, aha, we've got something here.

And so, what the State touched on -- they didn't use

this fancy Latin term about modus operandi, but it's a Latin word that means mode of operation, and you'll probably hear it throughout this case with various detectives, and probably also with the DNA people, and possibly also with the shoe -- footwear people.

But before I get to modus operandi, I want to talk to you about it, because it kind of happens in two different ways. Modus operandi can be the first way. It's a signature action. So, if we think about modus operandi -- this is just my own example to bear out with you guys -- is that there could be a signature crime.

So, say I go into a robbery -- or into a bank to commit a robbery. I walk up to the teller and I say -- write a note saying, "Roses are red, violets are blue, give me all your money or I'm going to shoot you in the shoe," right? Not a great poet, but that's all I could think that would rhyme with it. I hand that to the teller. That's a signature action. That's something that's unique and distinctive in the way that a robbery would be committed.

The other way that modus operandi bears out in this case, and what the State has said, saying multiple times over and over, it's a gray windbreaker, a windbreaker, a windbreaker. And they showed you a lot of pictures of a windbreaker in some of these cases, but what you'll see is that that's probably not the unique thing that comes to mind

with people.

So, as you think about modus operandi and whether you see unique actions or you see unique descriptions of crime, the thing that came to my mind in this case is the movie Point Break, right? They go in there and they've got the presidents' face masks on, and that is kind of as signature as it's going to get. If somebody comes in wearing that to multiple banks or multiple stores, that's modus operandi.

And what we've got in this case is a little bit different. What we have is the police tying together 13 different events that are not going to have a signature crime. Not the poetry, that's pretty extreme on the opposite end, but no signature event that would tie together these.

But we do have what you would find in any robbery; robbery with a gun, robbery with a knife, robbery in one of the events that we'll hear from is that there might have been a pipe that individuals used when they went into these stores. Happened at nighttime. Money was demanded from these people. They were ordered to the ground, ordered to the safe, and that it's pretty nondescript clothing.

Yes, you did see some photos of windbreakers in this case, but what you also heard, the State even referred to, and what we'll have witnesses come here to testify, telling you, is that it was also possibly a hoodie. Now we've heard of a

gray thermal that's used, but that bandanas were used, masks were used, gloves were used in this case; not what you would normally think about, is this really a signature series events?

So, when you listen to the evidence in this case, think about, how is it all tying together? Is it as neatly as the State would like you to believe, or is there some disconnect in these events?

A blue Walmart bag was used towards the end, but in some of them, it could have been a plastic bag that was used, a Cardenas bag that was used in it. So, ask yourself, is this really the windbreaker case? Is this the MO of these people that are going in there? And that's something that you should all look critically at as you hear all the evidence in this case.

So, we don't have a signature action or a signature crime being committed. We have regular run-of-the-mill robberies that are being committed, and we also have varying descriptions of the robbers.

Mr. Tanasi briefly touched on it. In some of these events, you will hear that only one person entered the store. In another one of these events, you'll hear that three people entered the store. In some of the events, you'll hear that it was two people that entered the store. The height ranges for one suspect goes from 5'10 to 6-foot, as you heard. The

second suspect, from 5'10 to 7'2. And that weight ranges could be anywhere from 180 pounds all the way up to 300 pounds.

One person may have had dread locks, one person was wearing a beanie, one we don't even have a description at all, and one may have actually been female in this case. That's what the victims that filled out their statements, doing the best that they could under those circumstances, saw and reported.

So, let's get to November 25th, the actual night of the arrest, as Detective Weirauch, who was on that email chain that goes out through Metro, he is -- has all of this information. The silver Charger is the best piece of evidence that they had to start linking together to catch these people, these hoodlums that were out perpetrating these crimes.

So, November 25th, he's out there, and he sees the Charger and he pulls behind it. He's driving down Nellis and he follows it into the Taco Bell parking lot. You'll hear that he's testified at the grand jury that he parked directly behind that Charger and he watched that Charger for 36 minutes, is what he testified to. He sat in that Charger 36 minutes, waiting to see what was going to happen. In that Charger sat Tony, Donte, and Brandon.

So, here's Brandon's connection to Donte in this case. He's friends with Tony Hobson. He's known him since

before they moved to Las Vegas. They've known each other since they lived in California and were friends. He considered him a friend and he would hang out with him. He didn't, however, know Donte very well. Donte is his -- Tony's younger brother. He would see him every now and again, they would go do things together, but the friendship was really between Tony and Brandon.

At the time of this arrest, Brandon was also working at Ralph Lauren, and was in the process of trying to get a second job as well. He had been hanging out that night -- I skipped one. He had been hanging out that night with Tony, and they were going to Taco Bell.

So, let's go back to the moment where the arrest is about to take place. As all three of them are sitting in the car that night, you are going to hear that Detective Weirauch says that he sees Brandon with a mask exit the car. He has the mask on, and he's going to the trunk, and he's rummaging inside of the trunk.

But what you will not see -- and what you do see is just as important as what you don't see in this case -- is that Brandon -- I'm sorry, Detective Weirauch didn't ever activate a dash cam. There's no body cam, no cell phone cam, nothing that he could have recorded the actual evidence for you all to see that a mask was on his face that night.

What you will see is DNA and footwear evidence in

this case, but there's no DNA that's actually at the crime scene. All of the DNA that you will see that is associated with Brandon in this case comes from the car where he was sitting with the men who he had had prior contact and prior relationships with. There is no exact match, science is not 100 percent, and that there were multiple DNA profiles found on the evidence. There's mixtures where Brandon's evidence --- or Brandon's DNA was found as well.

No expert is going to tell you how or when that DNA came to be on a piece of evidence in this case. They will not be able to tell you that the DNA showed up that night, it showed up six weeks ago, it showed up a week ago. Nobody can time/date it, nobody can stamp it.

The footwear evidence. The boots that Brandon was wearing that night and that the State is saying that these boots were the ones that were used in all of the other robberies don't have an exact match either. The State's expert, who's a big fancy guy from Quantico, Virginia who's going to come here and testify for you is going to tell you that as the boots pertain to Brandon in this case, that it could have been, and that it can't be -- could not be determined, and that it can't be eliminated as a source. That's the best that this expert is going to be able to give you.

But let's get to the State's star witness in this

case. This is Donte Johns, who you saw in the State's opening power point. Ladies and gentlemen, if this was May of 2015, and I was here in front of you defending Mr. Starr and Mr. Tanasi's defending Mr. Hobson, right sitting over there in that corner, we would have seen Donte Johns with his lawyer, but fast-forward now one year later. What's happened in that time period? Because Donte Johns is obviously not there.

Instead, Mr. Johns is going to come through those doors, and he's going to walk right in front of you, and he's going to come to this witness stand, and he's going to swear to tell the truth in this case. Why is he going to do that? Because he signed a deal with the State. He signed a very beneficial deal with the State.

He admitted to committing five of the robberies in his voluntary statement with the police, but through the State's opening, we saw that there are seven events now that he's willing to say that he was involved with. Why is he interested in giving this testimony? He has the most to gain. He has pled guilty to a number of felonies. Those felonies, he's got a shot at probation. A shot. Not a guarantee, a shot, depending upon how things go here. Johns's way out. This is inarguably his way out of this case.

He took the bait from Detective Abell and Detective Weirauch, and when he was told that this is your only chance to say sorry when he was interviewed that night after his

case at all for you? I mean, if in any way that we have - we have two young black men here standing trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I would hope not, that it wouldn't make any kind of a difference. That's just a hard question, really, to answer. I don't know until I hear all the different facts that are present if I, in fact, am chosen to be a juror.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you. And I know these race issues are hard to talk about, especially in a setting like this.

Mr. Gaeta, thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Vincent Gaeta, Badge 19. Emotionally, I just think it's a shame. I mean, I'm the last of the baby boomers. I was born in 1964 and I was raised by parents that didn't harbor any ill will toward anybody. In fact, had friends of all ethnicities that I treated the same in my life. And it's just -- to me it's a shame that our country is at a point, whether it's the media or the politicization or exploitation of certain things that have happened along the way. I've never seen it worse in my lifetime than it is of late, and that's really concerning for me.

In fact, my father used to say that at some point in your lifetime if everybody marries someone of a different race, there would be no more racism. You know, I'm also in a

relationship with someone that's not of the same race as myself and have beautiful kids. And some of the most beautiful people in the world are mixed race. You know, it's kind of like the mutts are the best dogs. So, anyway, I just think it's shame. That's -- emotionally that what it does to me. I'm kind of ashamed that our country has gotten to this point.

MR. MANINGO: Well, I'll share that I have a Caucasian mother and a Filipino father, so I understand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I have a Filipino wife.

MR. MANINGO: I understand. So I take it from the conversation that few would agree or make the statement that race isn't an issue anymore today; right? We can all agree that race is an issue? Who here couldn't set aside race issues and be a fair juror? Anyone? That's what we're getting at. That's what I -- that's what I want to know if anyone is willing to take that position. Nobody thinks that just because Tony Hobson and Brandon Starr are young black men standing trial that they're more likely guilty right now. Nobody thinks that, do they?

Thank you for your patience with the race issues. I know that's not a comfortable place to go. I want to -- I want to turn my attention over to this side of the room just for a moment because I -- I haven't -- I feel like I don't know some of you.

But I'm going to start with Ms. Plank, and you've spoke to us a little but already. I think you told us regrettably that you had been robbed two or three times.

1.0

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Virginia Plank, Badge 11, that's correct.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you. And -- and as much as you were a victim three times, and one of them was pepper spray, is that going to filter in to how you sit as a juror in this case if you're selected?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: As I said before, I would hope not, but I don't know. And I will add onto that that of two of the three times I could identify race on them and those were black people. And it's -- I heard a saying. I want to share this with everybody just because it's so neat. I had somebody who taught me a saying a long time ago. And that is the color of a person has nothing to do with prejudism. Prejudism is something like I don't like purple. What we're talking about is racism. Give it the proper name. Racism is bad.

MR. MANINGO: And you say it with disdain and I appreciate that. You take a position that race is an issue and racism is a bad thing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Correct. I see people as people.

MR. MANINGO: So you wouldn't take a position that

Mr. Hobson and Mr. Starr are --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I would hope not. 2 3 That's all I can say. I would consciously at this point say, 4 no, I wouldn't do that, but, you know, who knows when it comes 5 down to it. I would hope not. MR. MANINGO: On the issue of being the victim, and 6 7 we've spoken a lot to a lot of people so forgive me if these weren't your words, but something was said along the lines of 8 9 if I -- if I hear these victims' stories, I'll probably be 10 hypersensitive to it and that will really weigh heavy on me. It might be Ms. Greenough. But do you agree with that? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Like --12 13 MR. MANINGO: No, I'm talking to Ms. Plank about 14 that statement. If you hear victims tell a story that rings 15 similar to yours, is that going to affect you? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Possibly. MR. MANINGO: Would you --17 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: If it rings similar to 19 I also would be able to go, well, now, that doesn't 20 seem normal or logical or whatever if it didn't to me. 21 it was something that rang really true to mind, it's possible. 22 MR. MANINGO: And that was an emotional situation. 23 All those were emotional situations for you; right? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Correct. 25 MR. MANINGO: So if it does ring true, even if

myself or the State or the Judge says set that aside, be fair 2 and impartial, that would be tough, wouldn't it? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: That would. 4 MR. MANINGO: Mr. -- can you pass it to Mr. Worth, 5 Mr. Worth, I apologize that you haven't been -- been please. addressed yet. I think you're in that corner and maybe we've 7 overlooked a little bit. You're an instructor at CSN? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct. 9 MR. MANINGO: What do you do there? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I teach EMT classes, 11 paramedic classes, anything EMS related. 12 MR. MANINGO: Is there anything about what we've 13 talked about yesterday or today that you have opinions on that 14 you'd be willing to share with us? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: In terms of what? 16 MR. MANINGO: Anything that has -- has resonated 17 with you, anything that you might have been sitting there in 18 the corner and we haven't seen you raise your hand or that you would want to add to, whether it be race or your opinions on 19 20 police or whether it be the burden of proof? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I can just say from 22 being a paramedic previously, I worked with the cops a lot 23 and --24 MR. MANINGO: Okav. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: -- I've got, you know,

good respect for them. They've always kept me safe, so --1 MR. MANINGO: Would you give them their testimony 2 3 more weight than anyone else's? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: More weight? No, I 4 5 couldn't say that. MR. MANINGO: What about the scenario that there's 6 7 no other testimony, there's no other evidence, yet an officer, 8 uniformed or not, take the stand and says that the stoplight 9 was green. Gets off the stand, one question only. Another 10 lay witness just in street clothes, civilian, comes up there 11 and testifies under oath and says the stoplight was red. you're asked to go back and deliberate whether the stoplight 12 was red or green, what do you think you would say? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: What would I think I 15 would say? Sorry. 1.6 MR. MANINGO: I mean, if you had to make a decision 17 on that, an officer says green light, a lay witness says red 18 light. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I don't think there's 19 20 enough evidence on either side. I wouldn't make a judgment. 21 MR. MANINGO: Okay. Would any -- would anyone say 22 the light was green just because it was an officer that 23 testified? 24 Mr. Eldridge, could you remind me what you do for

25

work, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: I work for Clark County 1 2 RPM, Real Property Management. 3 MR. MANINGO: That's right. And what do you do for 4 them? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: I work at Horseman's 6 Park and I do horse arenas as far as maintenance and preparing 7 the dirt for horses to ride on. 8 MR. MANINGO: With respect to any of the responses 9 from anyone on the panel, did -- did you strongly agree or 10 disagree with anything that anyone else has said? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: No, not really. 12 MR. MANINGO: Do you have anything additional to 13 offer us with respect to any of the questions that have been 14 asked of anyone else? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: The only thing I would 16 have to add is that police officers have to deal with a bunch 17 of nuts out there, so that's where I'm at with that that you 18 guys were talking about today. 19 MR. MANINGO: So you would agree with what Mr. Gaeta 20 and I and some of the others were talking about with respect 21 to who difficult their job is? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: Oh, yeah, definitely. 23 Yeah, there's -- people out there are crazy. 24 MR. MANINGO: Court's indulgence. 25

Thank you, sir.

Thank you, Your Honor. I'm just going to review my 1 2 notes just for a moment. Mr. Deluca, I don't believe I've had a chance to 3 talk to you yet. And -- oh, I'm sorry. And I apologize if my 4 notes aren't accurate, but I have here by your name something 5 along the line of talking about an experience or something 6 7 with thugs. Does that ring a bell? Did you mention that at all? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No. 9 No. MR. MANINGO: Was that somebody else? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: That was -- that was 12 here. MR. MANINGO: Oh, I apologize. I couldn't remember 13 14 what -- who that was. I apologize. So it was a note taking 15 competition. I apologize on that one. Thank you, sir. 16 think I'm all set. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Can parties approach, then. (Off-record bench conference) 18 Okay, ladies and gentlemen, once 19 THE COURT: Okay. again, if you her your name, you're going to be excused. 20 21 going to go ahead and allow you to leave. Contact the jury commissioner, and then we'll fill those spots, okay. 22 23 Juror No. 070, seated in Seat 19, Monte Lai, you're 24 Thank you. excused. Okay. 25 Okay. Call the next in order.

1	THE CLERK: For Seat No. 19 is going to be Juror No.
2	054, Steven Davies.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Davies, how long have you
4	lived in Las Vegas?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: A little over four years
6	now.
7	THE COURT: And where are you from originally?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Omaha, Nebraska.
9	THE COURT: And are you employed, sir?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: What do you do for work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: I work for Warner
13	Enterprises, the trucking company. I run a dedicated account
14	for Office Max and Office Depot here in Vegas.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And how high did you get in your
16	education?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: I got a general studies
18	bachelor's.
19	THE COURT: And in what area? General studies?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: General studies.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Does your spouse work?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yes.
25	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?

	DDOGDEGETYE TUDOD NO OF A
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: She's a teacher?
2	THE COURT: Here in the Clark County School
3	District?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yep.
5	THE COURT: And do you have any children?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Nope.
7	THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror before,
8	sir?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: I have not.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Sir, have you or anyone close to
11	you such as a family member or friend ever been a victim of a
12	crime?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No.
14	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you such as
15	a family member or a friend ever been accused of a crime?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Nope.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Would you have a tendency to give
18	more weight and credence or less weight or credence to the
19	testimony of a police officer simply because he or she is a
20	police officer?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Nope.
22	THE COURT: And can you wait in forming your opinion
23	as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant until all the
24	evidence has been heard and after considering the instructions
25	I'll give you on the law?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yes. 2 THE COURT: All right. And do you know of any 3 reason why you couldn't be completely fair and impartial if 4 you were chosen here as a juror? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: 6 THE COURT: Is there any medical reason that would 7 prevent you from being able to participate in this? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Portz or Ms. Mercer. Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MR. PORTZ: Mr. Davies, welcome to the panel. I'm just going to 11 12 run through a few of the questions that you've heard me ask 13 already just to see where we're at and everything, and then I 14 think we'll be good to go, okay. So my first question is do 15 you have any experience with security work or work with 16 surveillance systems?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No. When I got out of the Army, my first job for about three months was with a security company, but I had nothing to do with surveillance.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. Did your position as a security officer or even your position in the military, do you think that in any way would affect your ability to sit as an impartial juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PORTZ: Ever work at a fast food restaurant?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: When I was in high school I worked at Subway, and then through high school and my first couple years of college I delivered pizzas.

MR. PORTZ: So knowing, you know, based on the State's introduction and our allegation of what had occurred and where, these are mostly fast food restaurants. Is there anything about your experience with the fast food industry that would affect your ability to sit fairly and impartially and be fair to both sides in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No.

MR. PORTZ: Thank you. Now, you have police in your family; is that correct? Did I catch that right? Law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Well, I think my cousins are law enforcement officers. I don't really speak to that side of the family, but --

MR. PORTZ: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: -- I know that my uncle was a -- he was a police officer, but, again, I -- you know, I don't have any contact with police officers.

MR. PORTZ: Not a lot of interaction with members of your family that are in law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. Now, you said that side of the family. Is that side of the family, is there kind of bad

1 blood there or --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Well, yeah, my -- my 3 father and him didn't -- they don't get along, so --4 MR. PORTZ: Okay. And I don't want to get too 5 personal, but is there anything about your father's 6 relationship with your uncle and the fact that your uncle is a law enforcement officer that would prejudice you one way or 8 another? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: 10 MR. PORTZ: Okay. And as you made clear with Judge 11 Kephart, you would not weigh a police officer's testimony any 12 more than you would any other witness simply because they were 13 a police officer; correct? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: That is correct. 15 MR. PORTZ: Okay. Sir, would you require or would 16 it be necessary for you for a victim to identify the attacker 17 or do you think that there are other means of identification 18 than just an eyewitness? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yes. 20 MR. PORTZ: Yes, you believe there are other ways to 21 prove ID? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yes. 23 MR. PORTZ: The discussion about hearing testimony 24 from someone who may have been involved in some of these

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

incidents, would you automatically disregard that person's

25

testimony because they were somehow involved, or would you view all the facts and circumstances surrounding their testimony and weigh that when you assess the credibility of others?

23:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: I think everything has to be considered before you -- you know, you reach your conclusion.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. And that -- that would include every witness's testimony, is that fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yes.

MR. PORTZ: And every piece of evidence that comes before you, you want to see all of that before you make a decision; is that correct

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yes.

MR. PORTZ: Are you a fan of the CSI shows or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No, I know they exist, but I don't.

MR. PORTZ: Sure. No particular piece of forensic evidence you're going to require? You know, I'm beating a dead horse here, but like you said, you want to look at everything with regards to forensic evidence, witness testimony, whatever might be presented to you, you would look at all of it and not just require one particular piece, is that fair to day?

MR. PORTZ: I ask this of some of the other -- well, actually, I'm going to jump ahead. Mr. -- no, Ms. Lobo had gotten up and asked some questions of the other panel before you were a member about whether or not you would expect the defense to put on a case or your ideas of reasonable doubt. Now, you know, the Court is going to instruct you on what the law is. Do you promise, if you are selected as a juror in this case and take an oath to follow the law that the Court gives you, do you promise to follow that law? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yes. MR. PORTZ: And that law, however it interprets the term reasonable doubt, you promise to follow that definition? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yep. MR. PORTZ: Okay. And if that law tells you that the defense has absolutely not case or anything that they have to put on, that the burden beyond a reasonable doubt rests entirely with the State. Do you promise that you will follow

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yeah.

that law and hold the State to its burden?

MR. PORTZ: You understand that the defense does not have to present any evidence whatsoever, the burden is entirely on the State of Nevada to prove its case and the allegations?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yeah.

MR. PORTZ: And then finally do you promise to be, if you're selected on this jury panel, to do the best job you can to be fair and impartial to both sides, the State and Mr. Hobson and Mr. Starr?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Absolutely.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. Thank you very much, sir. And if you wouldn't mind passing the microphone to Ms. Jenkins.

Ms. Jenkins -- sorry, Ms. Jenkins. Ms. Jenkins, I just had a quick follow up with regards to the questions that Ms. Lobo had asked before about what you would need the defense to show or prove in this case. As I mentioned with Mr. Davies here, the Court is going to give you the law at the end of this case. We're not here to talk about it right now. If you're instructed, as I mentioned, that the defense, that the burden rests entirely on the State of Nevada, it's our job to present evidence to prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt of the allegations that we've made, do you promise to follow the law that the Court gives you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, I would.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. And so understanding that, you know, you're not an attorney; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Correct.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So not being familiar with the law or anything of that nature, you know, you might -- you will wait until you're instructed, you won't bring your own

1 ideas of what the law should be into the equation? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Correct. 2 3 MR. PORTZ: And do you promise if you're selected as 4 a juror that you will do the best job that you can to be fair 5 to both the State, Mr. Starr, and Mr. Hobson? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes. MR. PORTZ: Thank you very much, ma'am. 8 Thank you, Your Honor. We'll pass. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Tanasi. 10 MR. TANASI: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. 11 Mr. Davies, good afternoon at this point. 12 have a note, and my notes can be awful so I apologize in 13 advance, but do you or anyone in your family know Detective 14 Flynn? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yeah. When his name was mentioned. I don't personally know him. My wife is really --16 17 is good -- is friends with his wife. 18 MR. TANASI: Sure. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: I just know the name. 20 So when it came up and the judge said if you, you know, he said if you know somebody, say something. But like I said, I 21 22 never met him. I just know who he is. 23 MR. TANASI: Right. You're probably familiar with 24 the saying happy wife, happy life? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yeah.

MR. TANASI: And I don't mean to make light of that, but is there any issue, or will you have any issue, you know, with the point of going home and, you know, having Detective Flynn testifying and maybe for some reason you have an issue with Detective Flynn at the end of this case, would you have any issue with being fair and neutral regarding Detective Flynn?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No, none.

MR. TANASI: Okay. So you would evaluate his testimony kind of open and objectively? Is that -- is that true?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yeah, I mean --

MR. TANASI: Okay. All right. Sir, I -- when I spoke to the group before, I kind of referenced the fear and the emotion in this case and we've talked a lot about it already. Is there anything about the emotion in this case that would keep you from being fair and impartial to Mr. Hobson or Mr. Starr?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No.

MR. TANASI: Okay. Science, same idea, we touched on it a little bit already. You know, is there -- are you more in the camp of folks that would say, hey, if there's science then he must be guilty, why are we here, or are you in that other camp of folks that would say, look, let's hear what the whole case is about, let's see what that science says?

```
1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: I'm the whole, you know,
 2
    get everything together before you make a decision on
 3
    anything.
 4
              MR. TANASI:
                           Thank you, sir. Have you ever been
 5
    falsely accused of anything? Not a crime necessarily, but
 6
    anything at home or at work or anything along those lines?
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yeah. I mean, nothing
 8
    that really sparks an idea, you know. I mean, you know, in 35
 9
    years I'm sure I have, but --
10
              MR. TANASI:
                           Sure.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: -- you know, it doesn't
11
12
    really stick out to me.
13
              MR. TANASI: Do you have kids?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No.
15
              MR. TANASI: No.
                                Okay. Do you have nieces and
16
    nephews?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yeah.
18
              MR. TANASI: Are they young?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yep.
20
              MR. TANASI: Okay. You ever had them over to the
21
   house?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No, they live back in
23
   the mid-west.
24
              MR. TANASI:
                           Okay.
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: So I don't really see
```

them much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TANASI: Well, let me put it to you this way. I've got a young child, he's four years old, and he's got nieces and nephews. And they come over to the house from time to time. They go out and they play. And sometimes my little four year old will come running around the corner and he's like, Daddy, Daddy, Chase, my cousin, he pushed me. okay, my son who is four and I think a habitual liar by nature, right, by four years old, I'll say, okay, you know, let's go figure this out. Go back over to the side of the yard and I'll ask, you know, what happened. And, you know, Chase will say I didn't put him. You know, and that causes me to kind of go through and ask my son what happened, ask Chase what happened. And so my question to you is in a case like this, is that something you're going to be willing to do and you're okay with doing is going through each fact and each circumstance?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yeah, I mean, I think that's the job of, you know, the jurors to hear the whole story and, you know, once it's all said and done, then you can make a decision based off of the facts and -- and everything that's been presented.

MR. TANASI: Great. Thank you. Long trial. Talked about this ad nauseam, by making it longer by continuing to talk about. But a three-week trial most likely. Anything

Thank you.

about that that's going to cause you to be not fair? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TANASI: Okay. All right, sir. Thank you.

Ms. Jenkins. Can you pass the mic. You're getting picked on a lot, and I apologize to continue to do so. But it's an important job you have and, you know, it's important to us that you can be fair and impartial, so I hope you can appreciate kind of where we're coming from. One quote that I had written down was where there's smoke there's fire. And I think you had said that, is that fair? And you have been asked questions about whether or not you can kind of put that notion aside as you come into this courtroom. I have it correctly, you come into the courtroom with this notion that where there is smoke there's fire, is that fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: I would -- yeah, I would say that's initially true. I will say through this whole process, and I haven't taken this lightly, partially because of personally what it will do for the next three weeks. you know, and I do look at those gentlemen and say, you know what, it has made me realize, and I've thought a tremendous amount about this because it's day three for me, we have -it's our duty, we have to make sure that we do the best of our ability and that is to be impartial to the very end.

MR. TANASI: So is it --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063:

2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No. 3 So is it fair to say as you sit here MR. TANASI: 4 now you're not under the notion of where there's smoke there's 5 fire? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No, I would be lying to 7 you if I said that that wasn't still there, but -- but what I do believe is that I think that's somewhat human nature. 8 9 I also believe that it's just as important, and I think I 10 could be, where the logical side of me says, okay, that's 11 fine, but at the end of the day you still have to listen to 12 both sides and -- and make a logical conclusion based on both 13 sides. 14 MR. TANASI: Sure. And would you be able to do that 15 for all 82 counts in this case, count by count by count? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, I think I could. 17 MR. TANASI: Okay. And let's assume if the case 18 were to -- if the State has convinced you of one count, would you then just when you're looking at a list of all the counts 19 20 in the case, would you then just go check, check, check, 21 check, check right down the line, guilty on all counts? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No, because we can't do 23 that legally.

Sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off.

1

24

25

MR. TANASI:

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Thank you, ma'am.

THE COURT: Mr. Lobo or Mr. Maningo, did you have

Okay.

No.

MR. TANASI:

1 any questions?
2 MR. MANINGO: Court's indulgence just a moment, Your

3 Honor.

THE COURT: Sure. Sure.

MS. LOBO: No, Your Honor.

MR. MANINGO: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Will the parties reapproach,

8 then.

(Off-record bench conference)

THE COURT: Okay, ladies and gentlemen. I want to ask just a couple follow up questions with regards to the panel here, the 36. Based on all of the discussions that have been had in the last three days and the questions that I asked and the questions of the defense attorneys, as well as the prosecution attorneys have asked, it's kind of not fair to you all.

And in the beginning of the questioning I told you we'd be asking personal questions and we're not trying to -- we're not trying to, you know, get really into certain things, but -- and it's kind of vague for you all, as well, because I didn't give you any of the law. You really don't know what you're being governed here. And so that's part of the system. We watch you kind of you navigate in those rough spots.

And -- and it's a question that the courts deal with oftentimes of how much do we give you before we get started.

And, obviously, the factual areas that you're going to be determining, you won't have any of those facts other than that brief entrance by both parties to kind of give you a brief statement. But understanding that in a criminal case or in a civil case the charging party, which would be the plaintiff, has an obligation of proving their case.

In a criminal case it's beyond a reasonable doubt is the standard that they have to -- they have to overcome. If they charge you one count or a thousand counts, for every count they have to -- and you'll be instructed in that regard. And rightfully so. If the State wishes to -- to ask the Court to issue some type of punishment or some type of sanction against somebody or a plaintiff asks the Court to issue some type of money damages or something against a -- a -- someone they're challenging, the person making the allegations under our system of justice has the obligation of proving that.

And the -- and the defendants have no obligation whatsoever. If both attorneys and the defendants believe that they don't want to present any evidence at all, that's their right, and they're not obligated to. So the question asked of some of you in regards to that is would you require the State or the defense to prove anything, is there anyone that believe that under our system of justice that you would require the State -- and let me -- let me preface it with this, as well.

When we start this, there's a question -- a question

was asked if you had to vote today, right now, and no evidence has been presented, how would you vote? I would -- pretty much everybody, is there anybody that disagrees that you would have to vote not guilty because nothing has been presented? Even though there's an allegation and I have a charging document here which is not evidence, since the State has not presented anything, there's no evidence so you'd have to find him not guilty. Anyone disagree with that?

Okay. Does anyone disagree with the precept that the defense does not have to present anything? If they -- if they just sit there and don't say a single thing, it's still the obligation of the jury to make a determination whether or not the State has proven their case beyond a reasonable doubt. Does anyone have any problem with that at all?

Okay. And with everything else being asked, is there anything that anyone wants to say in regards to this that based on all the questioning that was asked that you think that we're disregarding or we're missing or we're not --we're not considering, obviously? Does anyone in this first 36 want to address the Court in any way regarding your serving as a juror? Okay. All right. No one has.

Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, the 36 that we talked about, you are my panel. So now what we're going to be doing is I'm going to be giving the attorneys what we call the peremptory challenges sheet and they're going to be -- and I

do this by -- in the blind, as well, that way you don't know who -- who is relieving whoever. But everyone after No. 36 -- well, I'm sorry, after the seat number -- after Mr. Bigelow.

Mr. Bigelow, you're No. 36.

1.9

So everyone after Mr. Bigelow, I want to tell you thank so much for sitting through this and I'm going to release you now. I'm going to let you go back to the jury commission room. And, please, if there's anything I want you -- I extend an open invitation to you all if you have any questions that you'd like to ask of the Court at a later date. But at this point in time I just want to thank you.

And hopefully, other than the fact that we took your time for a couple days and didn't even get to question you, you just got to sit through and watch everybody else, you will at least take this away with you is that -- is that the system that we have, the way we ask those questions in a way that we put every one of you somewhat on the stand to address in front of a number of private individuals has been a system that we've tried to work out and worth through for years and years since -- since the beginning of our country.

And I truly believe that it is -- it is the best system that we have. And it's unfortunate that we have individuals that try to shirk their responsibility here and try to get out of things, say certain things that we know because we've been doing this forever. I mean, you're not the

first 130 people that we've talked to. So we -- that's why I know the one question that I'm going to ask is going to get everybody's hands, and that's fair. But we do know individuals that when you're here, please understand that this is so important to our system of justice that we -- that we push through it the best the way we can.

And for all of you that weren't -- didn't have the opportunity to be a part of this first 36, I want to thank you all and I'm going to excuse you now and let you go back to the jury commission room. And if the question comes up that you have any -- you want to discuss anything with anybody, you can now, all right. Thank you so much.

(Prospective jurors released at 12:22 p.m.)

(Pause in the proceedings)

MS. MERCER: Your Honor, can we approach real quick?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Off-record bench conference)

(Pause in the proceedings)

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, in the Case C-303022, the State of Nevada versus Tony Hobson and Brandon Starr, it appears from the Court that all peremptory challenges have either been exercised and waived. At this point in time I'll go ahead and note -- let's see. Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, if you hear your name called you need to stay. That means that you are going to be seated on my jury.

And all the other individuals whose names aren't called, you'll be excused.

And before we get to that I want to thank all of you once again for sitting through this. Normally what I do is I let everybody go out during this process, but because we went over the number of days we did, the parties asked that you remain so they could see and make sure they connect the names to the faces who they actually discussed with, okay.

Seated as Juror No. 1 is Vissia Noquez. Juror No. 2 would be Robert Bass. Juror No. 3 would be Vince Gaeta.

Juror No. 4 would be Lin-Belle Addington. Juror No. 5 would be Daniel Boggs. Juror No. 6 would be Nicholas Kozlowski.

Juror No. 7 would be William Burns. Juror No. 8 would be Angila Jenkins. Juror No. 9 will be David Snyder. Juror No. 10 will Glynis Bernard. Juror No. 11 will be Daniel Powers.

Juror No. 12 will be Charles Worth. Juror No. 14 will be -
I'm sorry. Juror No. 13 will be Janet Swanson-Sulerud. Juror No. 15 will be Heather -- 14 will be Heather Hedrick. 15 will be Exazavion Baugus. And Juror No. 16 will be Dustin Bigelow.

Okay. So everyone whose name I did not call, you are excused. I'm going to allow you to go back to the jury room, let them know you've been excused, and I want to thank you for the time that you've given us, okay.

(Prospective jurors excused at 1:01 p.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. So, ladies and gentlemen, what

I'm going to do at this point in time is I'm going to go ahead and release you for lunch. It's now 1:00. I'm going to give you until 2:15. And my marshal is going to give you all a badge, SO I'm going to ask that you wear that. When you come back my marshal will set you up because -- where you're going to be seated in the positions in the actual box where that will be your seat throughout the trial.

During this recess you are admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet, or radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Okay. So we'll be at ease while you exit the room. Be back at a quarter after 2:00, okay.

(Jury recessed at 1:02 p.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. We're outside the presence of the jury. At this point in time there was some discussion at the bench regarding challenges for cause in which the defense had -- the State had not requested of the panel any challenges, and the defense had a number of challenges. Did you want to make a record with respect to that Mr. Tanasi or Ms. Lobo or Mr. Maningo?

```
Sure. Your Honor, with respect to
1
              MR. TANASI:
2
    Juror 007, Ms. Miller, there was a request to strike her for
 3
   cause. From our position it seemed that the emotions of the
 4
   case would be too much for her to be fair and impartial.
 5
    respect to Juror No. 70, Mr. Lai, there as a request to strike
   him for cause, as well, given his, I think, predisposition
 6
 7
   related to the burden in the case, and then also kind of how
   he felt about criminal defense lawyers, as well. With respect
8
 9
   to Ms. Jenkins, Juror No. 63, we did make that motion for
10
    cause.
11
              And if I could have the Court's indulgence just for
12
    one moment to confer with my counsel.
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. TANASI: With respect to Ms. Jenkins, Your
14
15
    Honor, we're just going to withdraw that request for -- for
16
    challenging for cause.
17
              THE COURT: Did you have any other challenge?
18
              MR. TANASI: Those are the three that I had noted,
    Your Honor, and that's all that we have.
19
              THE COURT: Ms. Lobo, did you have any challenge?
20
21
              MS. LOBO: No, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Mr. Maningo?
23
              MR. MANINGO: No, we conferred --
24
              THE COURT: Okay.
25
              MR. MANINGO: -- amongst ourselves. Thank you, Your
```

Honor.

THE COURT: With respect to Mr. Lai, I granted that motion and he was excused. With regards to Ms. Jenkins, you had withdrawn that; is that correct?

MR. TANASI: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So, State, did you want to respond with regards to their motion to challenge Ms. Miller?

MS. MERCER: Your Honor, we agreed with Your Honor at the bench that the fact that she's emotional doesn't automatically disqualify her from her jury service. The fact of the matter is that she's had experiences on both sides. She's got grandsons who are facing robbery charges or were convicted, and she's been a victim of a burglary at her business. She ultimately said that she would do everything she could to be fair and impartial, and didn't indicate that she had any previous positions as to the defendants' guilt in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Yes, I denied the motion with regards to Ms. Miller. I felt that although she indicated that she is emotional under the circumstances of this matter, I find it hard pressed that a lot of individuals would be emotional. And she indicated that she would follow the rules as dictated to her by the Court, and -- and what struck me is that she did say that she had individuals, her both two grandsons that are involved with these charges and --

```
and that's why she -- it makes her emotional on behalf of the
 1
2
   defendants. So for that reason I denied the motion. So we
   made the record. And it's now five after 1:00, and I've given
 3
   the jury until 15 after 2:00. I'm going to ask the -- what
 4
 5
    I'm going to do is charge the jury to come back.
                                                      I'll seat
 6
    them, we'll charge them, and then will the parties be prepared
 7
    to do their openings statements today?
 8
                           I'm ready, Your Honor.
              MS. MERCER:
 9
              MR. TANASI: Defense is ready, Your Honor.
10
              MS. LOBO:
                        I'm ready.
                          Okay. Let's - let's see where we're at.
              THE COURT:
11
12
    Do you have any witnesses available?
13
              MS. MERCER: We have three on standby, Your Honor.
14
   We were going to ask from guidance from you as to --
15
              THE COURT:
                          I probably -- I'm not going to require
16
        We'll get started on Monday with them. Because I'll tell
    it.
17
   you, my clerk just reading the -- just the charges is probably
18
   going to take 30 to 40 minutes just that. So, and give her a
19
   break. She's a little nervous about it.
20
              Okay. So go ahead and grab some lunch. Do what you
21
   need to do to get ready and we'll get started at a quarter
22
   after, okay.
23
            (Court recessed at 1:07 p.m., until 2:21 p.m.)
24
              THE COURT: Okay. We're on the record in the case
25
   of State of Nevada versus Tony Hobson and Brandon Starr in C-
```

```
303022. I'd like the record to reflect the presence of
1
2
   counsel for the State, as well as the defendants and their
   counsel. Are we ready to proceed?
 3
              MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor, but I think the
 4
 5
   parties wanted to place a stipulation regarding the evidence
 6
   on the record --
 7
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
              MS. MERCER: -- before we start.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: All right.
10
                           I think now that defense counsel has
              MS. MERCER:
11
   had the opportunity to review the exhibit list and compare it
12
   to the representations made before it. They're prepared to
    stipulate to all of the exhibits, with the exception of 31,
13
14
    36, 52, 64, 71, 82, 92, 101, 115, 136, 154, 165, and 179.
15
              THE COURT: 129 or 179?
16
              MS. MERCER:
                          179.
17
              THE COURT:
                          179. Okay. All right. So you're
18
    agreeing to stipulate to the admission of everything except
19
    those numbers that was just read?
20
              MR. TANASI: Your Honor, just as a catchall, from
21
    the defense perspective, we're not agreeing to any of the
22
    video in this case without proper foundation being laid.
23
                          Is that -- is that what's included here?
              THE COURT:
24
              MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Okay. So my question, you're -- you're
```

```
stipulating to the admission of all exhibits -- how many
1
2
    exhibits are there?
3
              MS. MERCER: 279, I believe.
              THE COURT: Okay. All 279 with the exception of the
 4
5
   numbers just delineated, 31, 36, 52, 64, 71, 82, 92, 101, 115,
6
   136, 154, 165, and 179; right?
              MR. TANASI: That is accurate, Your Honor.
8
   again, with respect to the video itself and with respect to
9
   any of the stills that came from the video themselves. We are
10
   not stipulating to that. That's been the one point of
11
   contention with the evidence in this case.
12
              THE COURT: All right. So that -- that's clear.
13
   All right.
14
              MR. MANINGO: And that's the same for both
15
   defendants, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT:
                         Are these exhibits, though, that was
17
   just named, are they the ones that involve the video and any
18
   still photos?
19
              MR. TANASI:
                           That, Your Honor --
              MR. MANINGO: Yes.
20
21
              MR. TANASI: -- in looking through this, yes, that's
22
   what I understand.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. So all the other ones do not as
24
   far as you know?
25
              MR. TANASI: As far as I know.
```

```
THE COURT: All right.
 1
              MR. TANASI: And, again, I -- if something comes up
 2
   during the course of trial I would like to just make sure
 3
 4
   we're reserving that right.
 5
              THE COURT: Yeah. Make a note in your notes --
 6
              MR. TANASI:
                           Sure.
 7
              THE COURT: -- those -- the numbers here. So if --
 8
   so if Ms. Mercer stands up and says I have Exhibit No. 29,
 9
   which isn't on this list, and it turns out it's a photo or a
10
   video, then --
              MR. TANASI:
                          Understood.
11
12
              THE COURT: -- address it.
                                          Okay.
              MR. TANASI: Understood.
13
                          I show -- my State exhibit list has 292
14
              THE CLERK:
15
   exhibits.
16
              MS. MERCER: Oh.
                                292, sorry.
17
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
18
                          So are we stipulating to the physical
              THE CLERK:
19
    evidence that's on there, as well?
20
              MS. MERCER: No, just not that yet because we
21
   haven't opened it.
22
              THE CLERK:
                          Okay.
                                So it's not a full stipulation of
23
   all the other exhibits, then.
24
              MS. MERCER: Yes. I didn't realize those were
25
    already on the exhibit list. I apologize.
```

```
THE COURT:
                         Okay. So other than that are we ready?
 1
                           Yes, Your Honor.
 2
              MS. MERCER:
              MR. TANASI: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
 4
              MR. MANINGO: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT:
                         All right. Jim, go ahead and bring the
 6
    jury in.
 7
                      (Pause in the proceedings)
              THE COURT:
                         Before we get the jury in, my marshal
 8
 9
   has indicated that Juror No. 15, Exazavion Baugus has brought
10
    in some court documents to show that he actually has to be in
11
    family court, we knew that because he represented that, in ten
12
    days. So what I've told my marshal to do is to inform him
13
    that we're contacting that court to let them know that he's in
14
    jury -- he's sitting on the jury right now. Okay. All right.
15
              MR. TANASI: Thank you, Judge.
16
              MS. MERCER:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
17
                   (Inside the presence of the jury)
18
              MR. TANASI: Your Honor, may we approach?
              THE COURT:
19
                          Yes.
                     (Off-record bench conference)
20
21
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, we're back
22
    on the record in the case the State of Nevada versus Tony
23
   Hobson and Brandon Starr in C-303022. During the break it
24
    came to the Court's attention with regards to one particular
25
    juror that he had provided my marshal with some information
```

regarding a court proceeding. And the parties, what we were just discussing at the bench is that the parties have agreed to allow you to leave. I just want to make sure it's clear on the record.

Mr. Hobson, your attorneys have represented -- your

Mr. Hobson, your attorneys have represented -- your attorney has represented to the Court that you have no objection to allowing Mr. Baugus to be excused.

THE DEFENDANT HOBSON: No, I don't have objection -- an objection.

THE COURT: You do?

THE DEFENDANT HOBSON: No, I do not.

THE COURT: Oh, you do not. Okay.

And, Mr. Starr, your attorneys have both also represented that same, is that you have no objection to allowing Mr. Baugus --

THE DEFENDANT STARR: I have no objection.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Baugus, at this point I really want to tell you thank you for going through this. And I -- and I appreciate your time and attention. Go ahead and good luck with the child, okay. All right.

So we're going to -- we're going to move the seats around again. So -- so, Mr. Kozlowski, you don't have to sit in that -- that chair is probably not very comfortable.

JUROR SEAT NO. 6: I appreciate it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So could all of -- Mr. Worth, Ms.

```
1
   Sulerud, Ms. Hedrick, could you move to your right. And then
   Mr. Powers, could you move down here to the -- right in the
 3
          Yes. And then everyone in that row move to your
           And then Mr. Kozlowski, you'll be seated right in
 4
 5
    front of Ms. Noquez and behind Mr. Worth, okay.
 6
              Okay. So we have 15 juror, then.
 7
              THE CLERK:
                         Yes.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 9
              JUROR SEAT NO. 6: Your Honor, for clarification.
10
   You said I'm No. 7. Am I still 6, just sitting in this chair,
11
   or am I now 7?
12
              THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah.
                                                 You're -- you're
13
   No. 6.
14
              JUROR SEAT NO. 6: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: And you're seated in -- where No. 7 was.
16
    I'm sorry. Okay.
17
              All right. Okay. So before we get started, just
18
    indicate if you're here by -- once I call your name, okay.
19
   Vissia Noquez.
20
              JUROR NO. 1: Here.
21
              THE COURT: Robert Bass
22
              JUROR NO. 2:
                           Here.
23
              THE COURT: Vince Gaeta.
24
              JUROR NO. 3: Present.
25
              THE COURT: Lin-Belle Addington.
```

```
JUROR NO. 4: Here.
1
 2
              THE COURT: Daniel Boggs.
              JUROR NO. 5: Here.
 3
              THE COURT: Nicholas Kozlowski.
 4
 5
              JUROR NO. 6: Present.
              THE COURT: William Burns.
 6
 7
              JUROR NO. 7: Here.
              THE COURT: Angila Jenkins.
 8
9
              JUROR NO. 8: Here.
              THE COURT: David Snyder.
10
11
              JUROR NO. 9: Here.
12
              THE COURT: Glynis Bernard.
13
              JUROR NO. 10: Here.
14
              THE COURT: Daniel Powers.
15
              JUROR NO. 11: Here.
16
              THE COURT: Charles Worth.
17
              JUROR NO. 12: Here.
              THE COURT: Janet Swanson-Sulerud.
18
19
              JUROR NO. 13: Here.
20
              THE COURT: Heather Hedrick.
21
              JUROR NO. 14: Here.
22
              THE COURT:
                         And Dustin Bigelow.
23
              JUROR NO. 15: Here.
24
              THE COURT: Will the parties stipulate to the
25
    presence of the jury?
```

MR. TANASI: Yes, Your Honor.

2.0

MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, once again, please rise. I'm going to have you sworn. Now you are my jury, okay.

(Jury panel sworn)

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen of my jury in Case C-303022, before the case commences with opening statements, I have some introductory remarks that I want to pass along to all of you. I'd like be able to just say them from memory, but they are of such importance I think I need to read many of them to you. What I'm about to say is intended to serve as a general introduction to the trial of this case. It is not a substitute for the detailed instructions on the law, which I will give you at the close of the case and before you retire to consider you verdict.

This is a criminal case commenced to by the State of Nevada, which I may sometimes refer to as the State against both Daniel -- I mean, Tony Hobson and Brandon Starr. This case is based on an indictment. I'm going to ask my clerk to read the indictment now to you and state wait the defendants' pleas are. Bear with her, ladies and gentlemen.

(Indictment read by the clerk)

THE COURT: Hold on a minute.

Will the parties approach.

Just take a breather.

(Off-record bench conference)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, with the numbers that we have here, I kind of caught something I thought was a little disconnected, so I'm going to give you a quick break here now. You've been sitting here listening for over an hour or so.

During this recess you are admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet, or radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

It's 20 'til. Give us about 15 minutes again. So be ready bout 10 'til -- or, I'm sorry, 5 'til. And then just be outside the courtroom, all right. We'll be at ease while the jury leaves the room.

(Jury recessed at 3:35 p.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. We're outside the presence of the jury. During -- while we were reading the indictment, when we started reading my clerk had indicated that she was reading the superseding indictment. I have a second superseding indictment that was filed on April 24, 2015. And according to

my court clerk that was only filed in the Donte Johns matter. So -- but both of them have 82 counts.

So what I'm going to do is I want the State to take a look at the superseding indictment, as well as the second superseding indictment and see if there's any difference that you're -- that you're concerned with in light of what I caught was -- it seems that the superseding indictment had neglected to address the April 22 event, which would be 48, 49, 50, 51 of the second superseding indictment. So far that's the only thing I've caught. So I'm going to give you some time to see if you can reconcile this and see what the concern is.

MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. MERCER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. We're off the record.

(Court recessed at 3:37 p.m., until 3:50 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: Okay. We're back in the record in the State of Nevada versus Tony Hobson and Brandon in C-303022. I want the record to reflect the presence of defendants and their counsel, as well as the State and the counsel.

During the break did you have an opportunity to review the superseding indictment and -- and compare it the second superseding indictment and which one are we using?

MS. MERCER: Your Honor, I think the parties are at this point willing to just keep going with the superseding.

```
THE COURT: Superseding indictment. Okay.
 1
 2
             MS. MERCER: And I corrected the dates on -- on the
    counts that were corrected in the second superseding -- the
 3
 4
    filed version of the second superseding and reordered, by
 5
    substantively everything is the same.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that, Mr.
 7
    Tanasi?
                                   And I think just the jury
 8
             MR. TANASI: We do.
 9
   verdict, though, that was mentioned would reflect the second
1.0
    superseding indictment.
             MS. MERCER: Correct.
11
12
             MS. LOBO: Yes, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT:
                          There was agreements?
14
             MS. LOBO:
                        Yes.
15
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. All right.
16
             MR. TANASI: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: What I'm going to do was I'm going to
18
    get the jury back in. And where did we -- do you know what
19
   number we left off at?
20
              THE CLERK: We're going to start with 55.
21
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                                We're starting at 55. I'm going
22
   to go ahead and have Tia continue on. Cori is doing some
23
   mother things. So I don't know how -- how to say it. She's
24
   got some obligations and so that's what she's -- and I didn't
25
   want anybody to think that I'm removing her or anything, she's
```

doing a fine job. It's just -- just -- okay. So go ahead and bring the jury back in.

(Inside the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: Okay. This is the continuation of the jury trial in C-303022, State of Nevada versus Tony Hobson and Brandon Starr. I'd like the record to reflect the presence of all the jury members, the State with their counsel, defendants and their counsel. Will the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. LOBO: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. TANASI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we've corrected what we had concerns with and so -- and just because there's so many counts, I'm going to have Tia, Ms. Everette here, complete the reading. I think we put -- it's quite a bit on one person, so okay. So all right.

(Indictment continued to be read by the clerk)

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you both, ladies.

Ladies and gentlemen, this case is based on this indictment that was just read to you by both my clerks. You should distinctly understand that the indictment is simply a charge and that by no means in any sense evidence of the allegation it contains.

The defendants here have pled not guilty to this

indictment as you've just head from my clerks. The State, therefore, then has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt. As the defendants sit here now, they are not guilty. The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State will meet that burden.

It is your primary responsibility as jurors to find and determine the facts. Under our system of criminal procedure, you are the sole judge of the facts. You are to determine the facts from the testimony you hear and the other evidence including exhibits introduced here in court. It is us up to you to determine the inferences which you feel may be drawn properly from that evidence.

The trial in this matter begins by opening statements. The District Attorney will make an opening statement if they so desire, which is an outline to help you understand what the State expects to prove. Next, the defendants' attorneys may, if they so desire, make an opening statement, but they do not have to. Opening statements serve as an introduction to the evidence, which the party making the statement intends to prove, but it is not evidence.

Next, the State will commence with this case in chief. This is the State's opportunity to present its evidence. This consists of calling of witnesses, production of physical items of evidence, such as documents, photographs,

and the like. Counsel for the defendant may cross-examine the State's witnesses. Following the State's case in chief, the defendant may present evidence and the District Attorneys may cross-examine the defense witnesses. However, as I've said before, the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence.

There are two kinds of evidence, direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony by a witness about what the witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are proof or particular fact from which if proven you may infer existence of the second fact.

I'd just like to go into this once again. It was kind of touched on by Mr. Portz, but if the question is is it raining outside -- this is an easy one. That's why we use it all the time. If the question is is it raining outside. A witness comes in here, sits on the stand, swears to tell the truth. They ask a question, sir, is it raining outside? He says, yes, it's raining outside. That's direct evidence.

On the other hand, if the question is is it raining outside, he walks in here, when he comes in the door he has an umbrella, he shakes the umbrella off, he's got a rain coat on, it's wet. He sits down and the question is did you just come from outside? You may infer possibly from that evidence and circumstances that it's raining outside. You may consider

both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case, and the law permits you to give equal weight to both. But it is for you to decide to how much weight you see fit to give to whatever evidence.

Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and you must disregard that. Regarding the presentation of evidence, it is the duty of an attorney here to object to evidence which he or she feels may not properly be brought before you. At times I may sustain objections or direct you to disregard certain testimony or exhibits. If I do so, you must not consider any evidence in which I have sustained an objection or which I have instructed you to disregard.

In considering the weight and value of testimony of witnesses, you may take into consideration the appearance, attitude, and behavior of the witness, the interest of the witness and the outcome of the case, if any, the relationship of the witness to the defendant or the State, the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully or not, and the probability or improbability of the witness's statements and all the facts and circumstances in evidence. Thus, you may give the testimony of any witness just such weight and value as you believe that testimony of that witness deserves and is entitled to receive.

If the defendant presents evidence, the State will

have the opportunity to present rebuttal evidence and the defendant may have the opportunity to present surrebuttal evidence. After all the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law. After the instructions on the law have been read to you, each side will have the opportunity to present closing arguments. What is said in closing argument is not evidence, just like what's said in opening statements are not evidence.

The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence while discussing with you how to apply the law to the particular evidence and the facts in this case. Since the State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments. This means the State will make a closing argument, followed by closing arguments from the defense, and the State may make rebuttal closing argument.

After the arguments have been completed, you will retire on your verdict. I may, during the trial, take notes of the witness's testimony. You may not make or draw any inferences from that action. I am required to prepare for legal arguments of counsel during this trial, and for that reason I may take notes. The jury will not have a transcript to consult at the close of the case, however, the jury, you have been furnished notepads and pencils and you will be allowed to take notes.

If any juror discovers during the trial or after the jury has retired to deliberate that he or she has personal knowledge of any fact in controversy in this case, you shall disclose that situation to myself in the absence of other jurors. This means that if you learn during the course of this trial that you are acquainted with the facts of this case or the witnesses and that you've not previously told me about, you must then declare that fact to me. You communicate that through my court marshal.

Additionally, if the aforementioned situation arises, you're admonished that you may not relate to any of your fellow jurors the facts relating to this case that are within your own personal knowledge. Also, if you discover that any other juror has personal knowledge of any fact in controversy of this case, you should also disclose that situation to myself in the absence of other jurors. Once again, you communicate that through my marshal. And if the aforementioned situation does arise, you are admonished that you may not relate to any of your fellow jurors any of the fact relating to this case that are within your own personal knowledge or what you have learned from the other juror or what's in the other juror's knowledge.

You will also recall that during the course of the trial, as I indicated before, the attorneys for both sides, the parties, the witnesses and court personnel, other than my

marshal, are not permitted to converse with any members of the jury. As I previously stated, these individuals are not being anti-social, rather they are bound by ethics and the law not to talk to you. To do so will contaminate your verdict.

Moreover, you are admonished that you are not to visit the scene of any of these acts or occurrences made mention of during this trial unless specifically directed to do so by the Court. Please don't investigate the case or anyone who has anything to do with his case on your own. Do not undertake any legal or factual research on your own.

Finally, you must not be influenced in any degree by any personal feelings of sympathy for or prejudiced against the State or the defendant. Both sides are entitled to the same fair and impartial consideration. This is the area right here I specifically stress about using social media. It's really easy to Google things nowadays. I'm asking you not to do so. You're on -- you're on your honor with that.

You will be given the opportunity to ask written questions of any of the witnesses called in this matter to testify. You are not encouraged to ask a large number of questions because that is primarily the responsibility of these attorneys. Only a limited number of questions may be posed by jurors, and you will not be allowed to become the third attorney or advocate a certain position with your questions. I have the discretion to preclude individual

would be more focused on how I'm going to pay bills and everything at home than the case itself.

MR. TANASI: Understood. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: One of the first questions we were asked yesterday had to do with regard to these three weeks, had to do with hardship. And I expressed the fact that I do basically contract work that I've done from home. I'm very good at it and I love, but it requires that I be accessible via phone and computer work. A week would be one thing. Three weeks is really going to put a financial strain on me because that's going to be potential clients for this company that I could have converted and gotten paid for. So earning, live alone, and I need that extra income to supplement Social Security. So that's another stress in addition a bit of an emotional nature to begin with.

MR. TANASI: Understood. Thank you, ma'am.

Is there anyone else? Thank you.

Just give me one, please. Okay, folks. Thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Tanasi.

MR. TANASI: Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Lobo, Mr. --

MR. MANINGO: Ms. Lobo, then I'll finish.

MS. LOBO: Yes.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, could you approach?

MS. LOBO: Sure.

(Off-record bench conference)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, what happened is that I was just informed my -- the computer crashed. And so right as Ms. Lobo started to address you all, I was informed that the computer crashed. That's why I brought them up here to talk. And as a matter of fact, even though you hear some white noise, a portion of what's being discussed here goes on there, so that's -- so I'm just waiting. So just be patient with us and then I'll --

THE RECORDER: It's coming up.

THE COURT: Are you okay? Is it coming back? Do I need to just give them a break or --

THE RECORDER: No, we're good.

THE COURT: We're good. Okay. All right. So we're back on the record in C-303022. Ms. Lobo.

MS. LOBO: Thank you, Judge.

All right. Second lawyer from the defense table. Thank you for your patience. I want to introduce myself again. I'm Adrian Lobo. This is Lance Maningo and we have questions because we want to make sure we get the most fair and impartial jury for Mr. Brandon Starr and Mr. Tony Hobson. So thank you for your attention in advance, and I promise I won't be duplicative and ask the same questions over and over

again.

guys about is actually -- let's start with what Mr. Gaeta talked about yesterday if we could. Mr. Gaeta had expressed an opinion yesterday about wanting to know more information about a deal with a witness that's going to be coming here to testify. He had said that he would like to know what the nature of the deal was. He would like to know additional information. Now, some people probably, I think, agreed with Mr. Gaeta. Is there anybody who disagrees with Mr. Gaeta? And I believe Ms. Orlando talked about it a little bit when Mr. Portz was talking to you. Is there anybody who agrees with Ms. Orlando and disagrees with Mr. Gaeta?

Okay. And if I could go pass the mic down to the second row. I believe that's Mr. -- Mr. Burns.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Mr. Boggs.

THE COURT: 21.

MS. LOBO: Thank you. What -- what are your thought? Why do you disagree with Mr. Gaeta or have -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Well, it's just so common to have witnesses given immunity to one extent or another.

MS. LOBO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: It doesn't stop trials from going on or coming up with the correct verdict. It's

just one piece of the puzzle. 1 2 MS. LOBO: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: And I'm smart enough to 3 4 look past that. MS. LOBO: And you said that it's just part of the 5 6 process that people are given immunity. Is that what you 7 said? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Well, his testimony will 8 9 be just one part of the whole trial. 10 MS. LOBO: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: And it's up to us to 12 decide whether or not he's telling the truth, so I'm --13 MS. LOBO: Okay. Anybody else who has those same 14 sentiments or feelings? 15 I'm sorry. I can't remember your name. Yes. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: Badge 14, Scott Young. 17 MS. LOBO: Okay. Scott Young. I'm not sure if you 18 can hear him, Judge. 19 THE COURT: We'll give him the mic. 20 MS. LOBO: Okay. Mr. Young, what do you think about 21 that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: Yeah, my feeling is 23 witnesses, whatever, you know, deal they may have or may not 24 have, it's part of, I believe, an individual said earlier it's 25 when he takes an oath, we'll take that as fact, and we'll

determine with all the other evidence, you know, determine the overall outcome. I will determine whether someone has a deal or not. But weigh that with whatever other evidence is presented. It's just one piece, part of the whole puzzle.

MS. LOBO: Okay. But it is something that you would not hold that against the prosecution?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: That is correct.

MS. LOBO: Okay. Ms. Greenough. If you could just pass the microphone.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Because I commented yesterday, agreeing with what had been said, but pretty much saying I'd be real curious to know what the deal was. It wouldn't be mandatory that I know, but I think it's not going to sway things one way or the other. I totally agree with what he just said.

MS. LOBO: Okay. All right. Anybody else on this back row that we didn't see? Anybody else? I'm not seeing hands. Okay.

I would like to talk to Mr. Kozlowski.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yes.

MS. LOBO: If I could ask you a question. You shared briefly when Mr. Tanasi was asking you a question about your wife accusing you on a weekly basis of adultery or something of that sort.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yes.

MS. LOBO: Has there been a time that you have had 1 2 to disprove what didn't happen? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Oh, absolutely. MS. LOBO: Okay. How did you go about doing that? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Hand over the phone. 6 Check the tape. 7 MS. LOBO: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: In that case. 8 One time 9 I actually thought of it, this one is kind of funny in a way, 10 too. I was pushing someone out for management purposes. 11 were just a poor performer. The person happened to be a 12 lesbian, so she called the HR director and said that I hate 13 lesbians. 14 MS. LOBO: Not your wife. The lady? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: The woman --16 MS. LOBO: Okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: -- that was I managing out professionally and made it about something that's an 18 19 extremely sensitive topic, as opposed to it being about her 20 poor performance. Ironically, I had just promoted a lesbian a 21 week before, so it kind of washed the whole situation. 22 that case it was kind of another thing, well, no, here's the 23 performance, here's what it is, it's nothing about who you are

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

or where you're from or what you're about.

Okay.

MS. LOBO:

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: So it's -- again, accusations are one thing, but it's all about the evidence that supports it, as well.

MS. LOBO: Okay. So you were able to find a way to do that; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely.

MS. LOBO: Okay. Could you imagine a circumstance where you did not, you weren't able to find a way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yeah, anything that's hearsay. So, someone just makes an accusation just based off of what they've heard. I'm sure that's happened sometime in personal life. Absolutely. It's happened, you know, someone says you said something and you didn't, but you agree to disagree and move on --

MS. LOBO: Anyone here --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: -- in that case.

MS. LOBO: Oh, sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: No. In that case.

MS. LOBO: Okay. Anybody else have maybe a similar situation or can think of something similar about how you would go about proving something did not occur? I'm not showing any hands. Sure, Mr. Kozlowski.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I mean, you can't -- I mean, thinking criminally, but just also personally like an alibi. So, for instance, if my wife, this is hypothetical,

1 but she claims I was somewhere. No, I was with this person. 2 Call them --3 MS. LOBO: Right. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: -- that's where I was or 5 whatever it was. That would be an example. 6 MS. LOBO: What if you weren't with anybody? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: What am I accused of? 8 MS. LOBO: Adultery. Let's just stick with your facts that you gave us. 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: All right. It depends 11 on where she gets her idea from. 12 MS. LOBO: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: If it's -- if it's 14 non-descriptive and it's something that seems imaginative, 15 then it's just that. But if she's got something that she believes is damning and, you know, she sees a message or 16 whatever and she thinks it's something, then you could scroll 17 18 up and you see the rest of the conversation or you show them 19 who the contact is or whatever it may be in that case. 20 depends on how it's brought before you. So it's a near 21 impossible question to answer. 22 MS. LOBO: Right. Well, sorry if it was a poor 23 question, but --24 THE COURT: Ms. Lobo, hold off one minute.

Okay.

MS. LOBO:

25

THE RECORDER: Go ahead.

THE COURT: You all right? Okay. All right. Go ahead.

MS. LOBO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: It wasn't a poor question. It's just -- it depends on who it's brought to you.

MS. LOBO: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: So if my wife is accusing me because she sees me messaging a person with a woman's name and she just literally sees that there's dialogue going on without any context whatsoever, then you just show the context; right?

MS. LOBO: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: But if she checks a credit card receipt and says why were you at this restaurant, why does it seem like you've got enough food for two people, where's the receipt, well, then that's something else. And then it's a matter of, well, here's who I was with and, you know, it just depends on what the evidence is that's brought before you.

MS. LOBO: So in your second scenario that you just gave, and I don't know if everybody could hear it, is it seems like it would be a little bit more challenging if you were at a restaurant by yourself and just happened to order a lot of food.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yeah, and I do a lot, actually. So, yeah, no, absolutely.

MS. LOBO: Okay. All right. Anybody else have anything to say or comment upon what Mr. Kozlowski said? I'm showing no hands. Okay.

Okay. I want to talk to you guys briefly about -- I think it was Ms. Greenough who brought up yesterday she said the words, I think, for probably out of all of us to say proof beyond a reasonable doubt. I think it was her who brought that up. And so I wanted to talk to you a little bit about that and what that exactly means.

Now, there could be two camps of lawyers. One camp of lawyers would say -- or camp of people would say that lawyers, criminal defense attorneys in particular, that we use proof beyond a reasonable doubt as a loophole. Now, other people might say that proof beyond a reasonable doubt means that there is justice and to make sure that people have a fair opportunity if they're forced to go to trial. Who would tend to agree that proof beyond reasonable doubt can mean a technicality or a loophole? Is there anybody here who has that kind of idea in their head?

MS. LOBO: Sure. I said that there would be probably two group of people. One group would say that proof

beyond a reasonable doubt could mean a technicality or it's something that lawyers use as a loophole in the law. The other group of people would say that that is the justice standard to make sure that people have a fair and just trial, they have a good representation. Who has probably an inclination or maybe thinks that proof beyond a reasonable doubt is a loophole or technicality? Did that help or not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Yeah, I was just thinking.

MS. LOBO: Okay. Anybody have any thoughts on that?

Yes, Ms. Greenough.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Since I said it, I can go either way. I think --

THE MARSHAL: Wait one second.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I can -- I can see both sides of that, I would have to say, just based on certain pretty well-known cases. I'll just use one example, the Trayvon Martin case. A lot of people had their own thoughts. They weren't there. They weren't in the court or anything. But in some cases it seems like it can be a loophole.

MS. LOBO: Okay.

2.0

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I don't know how else to answer that. I can -- I can see it both ways. That's the best thing I can say to that.

MS. LOBO: Why do you think it was a loophole in

that case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Because I felt so strongly. It's just, again, what I had researched on my own and heard in the news. I just had strong feelings about that particular case.

MS. LOBO: Okay. Thank you for sharing.

Is there anybody else who agrees with Ms. Greenough or maybe that jogged another thought in their head about what I said? Nobody else? I'm showing no hands.

What would you, all of you, the first 36, like to see as evidence presented from the defense? And I'm just going to open that up to everybody. What would you all like to see from Mr. Tanasi, Mr. Maningo, and myself in representing Mr. Starr and Mr. Hobson?

Yes, ma'am. And if we can get the mic in the second row.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Angie Jenkins.

MS. LOBO: Yes, Ms. Jenkins.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No. 63. I think it was probably reiterated yesterday that there's probably quite a few people here that feel like there are so many counts against these gentlemen that where there's smoke, there's fire.

MS. LOBO: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: And so I think as the

defense, I think it's going to be imperative that you really truly prove that all these numerous accounts, there isn't some validity to it. And I think as a jury, one of our responsibilities is going to have to be, you know, obviously, this is an emotional case. But trying to put aside emotion and say if there's so many charges against someone, you're going to really have to prove that there isn't some validity. And I think that that's --

MS. LOBO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: -- going to be the biggest challenge.

MS. LOBO: Okay. And do you have any, I guess, anything in particular that comes to mind that you would think that would be helpful or beneficial that we should do?

MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, at this point can we approach again?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. PORTZ: Thank you.

(Off-record bench conference)

MS. LOBO: Okay. Ms. Jenkins. Okay. You still have the microphone. I was just making sure. Okay. So not going into specifically what you would like to see from the defense, I understand your answer to be that maybe you think — and correct me if I misspeak, that we have a daunting burden, it sounds like, or a task before us with that many

counts.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Correct. I think at the end of the day our job as potential jurors is to make sure that whatever the plaintiff has against your client, you guys have, as the defense attorneys, true accountability or -- or -- I don't know if that's the right word -- true explanations, valid explanation, of what is being -- is what your -- your clients are being accused of.

MS. LOBO: Okay. I'd like to actually -- okay. I'm actually going to make a comment, and then I'll follow up with Mr. Gaeta if I could. Just briefly, Ms. Mercer yesterday touched on that fact if everybody had to vote right now that it would be not guilty. But I see you smiling, Ms. Jenkins. I think it's people realize what kind of task is in front of us, right.

But as it stands, Mr. Tanasi, Mr. Maningo, and myself could all do nothing in this trial because we don't have a burden. They have the burden. They brought the charges, they have those 82 counts that they have to prove. And we don't really have to do anything. We will, because I know my team very well, but we don't have to. The law doesn't require it. So with that I'm going to go to Mr. Gaeta if I could get the mic passed back to him.

Sir, what did you have to add to the discussion? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Badge No. 19, Vincent

Gaeta. On that note it's a matter of rules. So when people struggle with that question that seems ridiculous to me. It's a basic rule of law. So that -- that goes without saying. People are innocent until proven guilty. And I just feel duty bound because I've sat here and listened to everybody talk about how they have all these charges and where there's smoke there's fire and these guys have got to be guilty right off the get go. And you ask how -- what your job should be and what's going to help you accomplish your goal as getting an innocent verdict.

I believe that conversely with all the charges, it's almost like loading up. We have so many and you go for broke. I think you start out by proving in one instance that they weren't involved in one of those cases. And that would, for me, cast a shadow of a doubt on all the charges. Because if they're trying to put these guys at every single one of these places, that seems like, again, like you're kind of going for broke and loading up. And that can sometimes work against the prosecution in my view. So to something to say, I think you've got to first cast a shadow of doubt and maybe that opens the door, and then you can do it a second time, and who knows at that point.

MS. LOBO: All right. Thank you. Does anybody -- yes, Mr. Burns. If we could pass it two rows down.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Yes, ma'am. William

Burns.

MS. LOBO: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Badge 56. I guess I just, you know, like everything in life. Do your best at your job, they'll do the best of their job, and the Judge can do the best of his.

MS. LOBO: Absolutely.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: That's what it's about. I mean, all we can do is hope that we did the best at our job and that you did the best at your job.

MS. LOBO: Okay. Let's talk about that. That's a good place. Unless somebody else has something to add from the discussion. I don't mean to have my back to you guys, but did anybody else have anything to share? Okay.

Let's talk about that because we mentioned the 82 counts. So there's 82 counts in the indictment. The prosecution does have to prove each one of those beyond a reasonable doubt. How will it be if one count is stronger than the next? Say -- take what Mr. Gaeta said. Say that absolutely without a doubt one event they prove. How will that affect the judgment if the evidence isn't so strong on the other ones?

Mr. Kozlowski?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: It shouldn't -- you can't come in with presumptions and you can't come in with any

sort of -- it's -- they're isolated incidents. You know, if there's 82 counts, there are 82 separate counts. One is not related to another unless proven that they're related to another. You have to come in with novice approach. Before yesterday for me and some of these people on Wednesday, we knew nothing of anything. We only know what has been shared to us at this point. And then people have made assumptions and have drawn conclusions from those assumptions. We still know nothing and we need to take that approach.

MS. LOBO: Okay. So you would start with each one individually it sounds like.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Badge 19, Vincent Gaeta. Again, this is -- it comes down to the rule of law. I mean, if there's 81 counts and I would believe the jury's job is to come to a determination on what counts they should be sentenced on, or the Judge would do that, I guess. I don't know. I guess my question -- it raises a question is it all for -- all for naught? Go for broke one way or the other? So if it's one definitely and 80 not, are they still going to be sentenced -- will they be sentenced accordingly?

MS. LOBO: Sentencing is left to the Court.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: That's what I'm getting

MS. LOBO: Yeah.

at.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: So I don't know how we

-- how we weigh that on juries. Like when we go through do we go through each one and kind of determine piece by piece --

MS. LOBO: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: -- which ones we believe and which ones they didn't prove?

MS. LOBO: The Judge will give you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: And then that would have a determination on the sentencing. So, again, it's a rule of law. It's not for us to sit there and, I would hope not, to weed the whole thing out and base it on that one thing.

MS. LOBO: Well, and we're going to pass it two rows down, if we could, to the gentleman next to Mr. Burns.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Badge No. 49, James Keegan. In mind's eye, listening that there are so many counts, okay, I would really hope that you would be able to persuade and show evidence that, you know, these counts are in actuality not true. Now, sitting here being fair and impartial, listening through the whole -- the whole situation would be something that each one of us that might, you know, would be selected would have to do.

But it did prejudice me a little bit just to hear what the awesome amount of accounts and the witnesses that are involved when I first heard you all making your opening statements. I was going, wow. I just wanted to bring that up. It's kind of like my human nature just coming out

thinking, whereas like the lady said before, smoke, there might be fire. So I -- I want to be able to listen to what you present, you know, as a defense attorney on each one of these charges to show that in actuality that these gentlemen are not guilty of what they are, you know, accused of. I just wanted to bring that up.

2.3

MS. LOBO: Okay. No, thank you for sharing that.

As far as each of the counts go, I want to talk to you about

-- I believe Mr. Lai made the comment that if -- you know, he

would just want to get it over with. And what your job as

jurors are is once we present evidence on both sides, or the

prosecution has the burden to do it, we do not, but once we

present all of that, you have to weigh that evidence and then

assess did they meet the burden for those 82 counts.

Who here would say, you know, like Mr. Lai, because life doesn't stop on the outside. You would feel pressure to get it over with or just overwhelmed by the fact that you've got to go through all of these different counts and weigh the evidence. Anybody else have the same sentiment as Mr. Lai? I'm not seeing any hands.

All right. Let's go to -- who has heard in TV or movies I plead the Fifth? Who has heard that by a show of hands? Okay. Showing a lot of hands. Anybody care to share where they heard it from if they can remember directly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Probably my kids.

```
MS. LOBO: From your kids? Okay. Not from a TV
1
 2
    show?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: From young age to old
 3
    age. But also on TV.
 4
 5
             MS. LOBO:
                        Okay.
             THE COURT: For the record --
 6
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Law & Order.
              THE COURT: -- that's Judith Greenough, Badge No.
 8
 9
    012.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Sorry.
10
             MS. LOBO: Okay. So, Ms. Greenough, you heard it
11
12
    from your kids?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Well, kids, but TV
13
14
    shows, Law & Order.
15
             MS. LOBO: Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Things like that.
16
17
    you know, anybody that doesn't want to tell you the truth or
18
    tell you anything, for that matter.
19
              MS. LOBO: Okay. So somebody -- you said somebody
20
    who does not want to tell you the truth.
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: That's been pretty much
22
    my experience --
23
              MS. LOBO:
                         Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- when I've heard it
24
25
    used on -- in the TV shows.
```

MS. LOBO: Does the person look like they're 1 2 dishonest or maybe guilty when they say it? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Not necessarily, no. 4 MS. LOBO: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Outside of my kids. 5 Okay. 6 MS. LOBO: 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: But not necessarily. MS. LOBO: But you said that they don't want to tell 8 9 the truth. What makes you think that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Or they don't want to 10 I think that's a better way to put it. give full disclosure. 11 12 MS. LOBO: Okay. If you -- opening it up to the group, can anybody here think of a reason why somebody who is 13 14 innocent or not quilty would not want to take the stand and testify on their own behalf in their defense? Can anybody 15 16 here think of a reason why that would happen? 17 Are you the first 36? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I'm not. 18 MS. LOBO: Okay. Sorry. I'll get to you if we --19 20 if we can. But let's pass it to Mr. Young, and then I'll come 21 back over here. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: Some points I've heard 23 over the past are, you know, you can have gentleman who are --24 you know, may be incarcerated at the time when they go on the

defense, on the stand, a prosecution lawyer can tear them

25

apart, confusing them, the person on the stand could be agitated and they can almost necessarily trick them into something, but make them look, maybe manipulate them into something that may not have happened or, you know, essentially frame it to where, you know, they're meaningless but -- but what they say can mean completely something else.

MS. LOBO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: In order to protect the witness from or protect the defendant from maybe saying something they did not intend to say or having it framed in a different manner.

MS. LOBO: Okay. So just what I'm hearing is that being up against a skilled prosecutor such as Ms. Mercer and Mr. Portz, that could be probably maybe intimidating?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: Correct.

MS. LOBO: Okay. Anybody else? Mr. Gaeta, and then I see Ms. Addington.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Well, you touched on it at the very end. Someone might not have the mental capacity or the intellect to be able to withstand questioning from someone that could lead them down a path that they may not want to go. And there's an old adage, I think in criminal and defense cases, that your attorneys always advise you not to say anything because by not saying anything you can't incriminate yourself. Which just goes to an old adage, how

did the fish get caught. 1 2 MS. LOBO: Right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: He opened his mouth; 3 4 right? 5 MS. LOBO: Right. Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. If we could pass it down to Ms. Addington on the end. 6 Gaeta. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I'm Badge 20, I guess. 7 Yeah. Well, no one is required to speak if they're charged 8 with something. If I'm not mistaken, you're not required to 9 10 go up there and testify in your own defense. And just because 11 someone does not testify in their own defense, you can't look at that, to me, in a way that, well, he's not speaking because 12 13 he has something to hide. It's not his job to get on the 14 stand and defend himself. It's the job of the prosecutor to 15 prove the charges. 16 Okay. Thank you. MS. LOBO: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: But I don't -- is that 17 18 what you're talking about? MS. LOBO: Yes. Yeah, that was perfect. I was just 19 20 I mean, thank you for sharing. That was perfect. 21 And what I would be asking on behalf of Mr. Tanasi and Mr. 22 Maningo is that if we -- we are going to make that decision 23 about whether or not Mr. Hobson or Mr. Starr does testify, is 24 there anybody here who would have a problem if they did not

hear from them? I'm not seeing any hands.

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I don't think most of us would expect them to.

MS. LOBO: Okay. All right. Thank you.

Court's indulgence. Okay. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right.

2.3

MS. LOBO: I'm going to pass it to Mr. Maningo.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Maningo.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you, sir.

I know you all were hoping me, being at the end of the table, I wouldn't stand up. I don't -- I don't take offense to that. Being third on the defense team rotation, I'm going to talk to you all about two polarizing, potentially polarizing issues. We're going to talk a little but about your feelings, your opinions, and your thoughts about law enforcement in general, and we're also going to talk about race.

First, I want to ask all of you who shares the opinion with me that law enforcement and police work is difficult?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Law enforcement? I didn't --

MR. MANINGO: Law enforcement or police work, that it's a difficult job. Most of you share that -- share that opinion. Who is willing to raise their hand and tell me why they think it's a difficult job? Who can tell me? Who can

start?

Mr. Gaeta, please. What makes law enforcement -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: They have to deal with
things that most of us aren't exposed to ever in life on a
daily basis. So it's -- you know, even walking from the
parking garage to the Justice Center, the folks that, you
know, are homeless and sleeping on benches and walking and
look like they've lost their mind. Domestic violence, going
to people's houses and putting themselves between
circumstances like that.

MR. MANINGO: Putting themselves in harm's way at times?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Just everything, you know. The world has become a very difficult place. And to sit there and put yourself out there every day and not knowing if you're going to make it home, to me, is, you know, I have the utmost respect for police officers, as well as folks in the military that serve. That's -- those are difficult things to do.

MR. MANINGO: Absolutely. If you don't mind passing the microphone down.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Nicholas Kozlowski, 47. They deal with a prejudice and an air that most of us don't in our everyday job, so, you know --

MR. MANINGO: What do you mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: So people have a predisposed concept of what a police officer is like, what they're -- what the system is supposed to be like. They have -- they have an opinion about it. You know, they go to a deli counter you don't have really an opinion of the butcher. They do that and you just kind of go about your way. But people have an opinion about police officers one way or another, maybe good, maybe bad, maybe different, but it's one of those positions that people have distinctive opinions about.

2.4

MR. MANINGO: Absolutely. I think I started by stepping up and saying these are two potentially polarizing issues. Let me ask a whole question for everyone, just to everyone in the first group. Who would generally have a favorable opinion of law enforcement? Thank you.

Who generally would have a dis-favorable or critical opinion of law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: In what terms? That it exists?

MR. MANINGO: No, not that it exists. But I think you mentioned that when you walk into the deli and you see the butcher it's a neutral feeling. This is -- this is an individual who is cutting the meat and providing me and making me a sandwich. And maybe I misunderstood what you offered.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: No, no.

MR. MANINGO: But when you think police, there's a

visceral emotional response that some people get, and I'm just 1 2 asking if any of you share that. I think some of you raised 3 your hands to the positive saying I have a generally favorable 4 feeling or vibe when I hear Las Vegas Metro, LAPD, NYPD, 5 favorable. And others, on the second half of that question, 6 I'll ask again, and if the answer is a negative for the panel, 7 that's fine. But anyone have a generally dis-favorable 8 feeling, emotional response when they hear police? Nobody? 9 Let's go to those that have the favorable. Can I 10 see those hands one more time? And I think, Mr. Young, if I 11 may. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: Yes, sir. 13 MR. MANINGO: You -- you told us that you -- and 14 correct me if I'm wrong, you married into a law enforcement 15 family? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: That's correct, sir. 17 MR. MANINGO: And is that the basis, because you were a raised hand for favorable; correct? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: That's correct. 20 MR. MANINGO: Tell us about your experiences with 21 your family and why you have a favorable perspective on law 22 enforcement. 2.3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: Even before I married 24

importance to me that her father was a police officer.

25

into that family, when I was dating my now wife, it bore no

already had my beliefs into the police officer. Just taking what that gentleman over there said about they deal with a lot of things that we're not exposed to, they deal with a lot of people who are having the worst day of their lives. And it's something that we as the general public in our jobs don't have to handle. So just the sheer respect of the duties that they perform that all of us probably wouldn't have the capabilities of handling.

24.

MR. MANINGO: So in addition to being in harm's way, like Mr. Gaeta discussed, do you think other pressures on police officers and law enforcement do you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: Oh, without a doubt, especially in today's -- today's society.

MR. MANINGO: And I know you kind of live in a law enforcement family. Can you tell us your experiences with those pressures and what they are?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: Just, you know, my father-in-law retired in 2009. So I would imagine with him -- if he was still active within the last few years there would be a lot more pressure on him just with the negative media coverage, CNN, Fox News of just police work in general, just the overanalyzing, just these guys cannot make a mistake at all or else they could lose their jobs if the police chiefs can lose their jobs now. They're under such a heavy microscope now that --

MR. MANINGO: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: -- they need to make sure they're on their Ps and Qs and doing, you know, the correct process. Are there bad cops? Yes, there are. Do cops make mistakes in their jobs and investigations? Well, certainly.

MR. MANINGO: Like any profession.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: Correct. Yeah. Just like us.

MR. MANINGO: I want to -- I want to parlay your comments to the rest of the panel, if I may. Anyone sensitive or take offense, I should say, to the critical media prospective of police that's going on right now? Mr. Young, I'll start here since the microphone is here, but I want those hands again, if I may.

How do you feel about the critical prospective?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: It may not be just overall looking at the police work. It's more of what's selling our show, you know. Any items involving police brutality, that's our show, that's our ratings, that brings in advertisers. I look at it more in that way than not, you know, maybe fulling looking at the full situation. Whether right or wrong, but just hitting those points to essentially create buzz for their shows.

MR. MANINGO: As much as you have these opinions

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

about law enforcement, if an officer were to take the stand and testify in this case, do you feel that you would give his testimony possibly more weight than you would some ordinary lay witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: No, sir.

MR. MANINGO: We're all products of our history; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: Correct.

MR. MANINGO: You don't think that that might filter in to you as you're evaluating evidence or listening to testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 014: No, sir. I've had conversations with my father-in-law and other police officers about stuff like Making a Murderer, or the onsite case work. There are probably missteps of that police work done, you know. And I'll -- you know, most police officers you talk to, they don't want bad police work around them. They can look at -- you know, look at things, you know, pretty well objectively and said he's kind of skipped steps here, he kind of, you know, penned in on the guy and did a little more of, you know, what else could have happened. They locked on this one thing and that's all they focused on and they got lazy with their police work.

MR. MANINGO: Let me stay over here just for a minute. Who over on this side in the first group shares some

opinions regarding police that Mr. Young shared with us? Any? I know there were hands over here when I asked the question about who is sensitive about how the media is critical of police. Can I see those hands again? Ms. Jenkins, if I can start with you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Angie Jenkins, No. 63. I do think a lot of it is media. I think it's about ratings. I think that — that police officers and our military are under extreme scrutiny to be perfect, and they are still men and women. And nobody's field is perfect, but I do think a lot of it is the media. I think socially we are becoming so hypersensitive to so many things that the people that are put in place to implement some of those things just go to the firing squad for it. And I think we're all human and it doesn't matter what field you're in. You do make mistakes and there are things that happen.

MR. MANINGO: Let me ask you the same question. If an officer were to take the stand and offer testimony in this case, would you tend to believe that testimony more so just because that witness is a police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: You know, it would depend on the topic. If you are asking me medical questions and you had a doctor on the stand, I would hope you'd listen to the doctor before you'd listen to me. So I think it depends on the topic that you're asking. I think police

officers have certain knowledge, they have certain history that they've experienced far more than I have. If we're asking about raising our children and I have three children and he has three children, okay, you're going to take merit to both of us, but I think it depends on the topic.

MR. MANINGO: So you'll globally look at the credibility of each witness --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Absolutely.

MR. MANINGO: -- and be able to evaluate that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Absolutely.

MR. MANINGO: Who else is sensitive? If you could pass. And when I say sensitive, I mean to the public scrutiny of law enforcement.

Reegan. Sir, I grew up in the south, and from a little child my mom and dad instilled in me to have respect for law enforcement people and authority as such. And being in the military, as I was in the Marine Corps, this is kind of instilled into you from boot camp all the way as you progress in your career. After listening how things have gone from 40, 50 years and up to now, our present society, the media, to me, is -- I don't want to really -- it's kind of hard to say. They're evil to me. They tend to look out and try to slander, you know, people. They -- I don't know. I just don't feel good about the media when they go after police officers.

Now, granted, just like in the military, they say there's a 10 percent that are maybe just non-conformance, they don't do the things they ought to. Now, in the police force and that, there's always good apples and there's bad apples. Fortunately, from my experiences in life, I've dealt with mostly good apples. That does not mean, you know, that there are bad people out there that are police officers, there probably are, but I just think it's an over-biased opinion in the media to look to find fault in people that are in law enforcement, military, and such. I just wanted to add that, please.

MR. MANINGO: If during this case issue with the investigation might be brought up by the defense, would you take offense to that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Sir, I'd listen to it as impartially as I could. But, you know, and I think the Judge actually mentioned about a question I should have spoken up to about if I would tend to agree with or, you know, maybe give more credence to what a police officer said, in that aspect I think I might.

MR. MANINGO: And that's a pretty strong belief you have, isn't it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes, sir.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ MANINGO: I think you said that it was something that was instilled --

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Instilled, yes, sir. 2 MR. MANINGO: -- instilled from the south from your 3 parents as you -- as you were raised. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: In Charleston, South 4 Carolina, sir. 5 Yes. 6 MR. MANINGO: Very good. So even if the Judge were 7 to say, but, sir, you could still be fair and impartial and 8 you can listen to the law, and if he said those things, you 9 couldn't just put your -- your years of upbringing aside and 10 -- and forget about that, could you? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I'll be honest with you, it would be kind of difficult. Yes, sir. Of course, I would 12 13 try to be as impartial as I could, listen to what is being 14 said, the evidence that is, you know, being provided or 15 whatever statements are being stated. But, yeah, I would 16 probably -- I've just been taught. It's kind of hard for me 17 to change my upbringing unless they, you know, come out and 18 hit me in the head and say, hey, okay, this is -- this is what 19 it is.

MR. MANINGO: Yeah, and no one is expecting any of you to change your upbringings for the purposes of this trial, and so thank you very — thank you very much for your honesty.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes, sir.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MANINGO: Anyone else agree with what Mr. -- is it Keegan? I'm sorry.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Keegan.

MR. MANINGO: With what Mr. Keegan said, just brought up in a way that -- or just has these life experiences that would make them more likely to favor officer testimony?

Mr. Young -- and, I'm sorry, did anybody else have anything to offer about being critical of police in the media? Mr. Gaeta?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: I did just briefly.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No. 19, Vincent Gaeta. It's unfortunate that the media has been politicized. Like somebody over here defined the different networks, and it kind of weighs in on two topics that you wanted to raise your poll rising. Not only does it mess with how people feel about police officers, but it is about race. And kind of to be fair, for me it's difficult to judge a whole group whether it be all police officers or all people of a certain race by the actions of a few. And it seems that that's the world we live in now. They want to focus on some of the -- there's also the jumping to conclusions before all the facts are in that's troubling to me.

So not to be defensive of police officers, but I do have a problem when they zero in on a select few and try to cast a shadow on all of them and make -- basically put targets on good people's backs when they're going out and doing the

work in the community. That's the toughest work to do. Talk about being perfect as a police officer, they're operating in probably the most imperfect part of our world, dealing with, you know, crime and all the things they do.

MR. MANINGO: Do you think they feel a lot of pressure to resolve the crimes and to do a good job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: You know, again, go back to what I said, there's in every aspect there is a few -- there is -- there is probably a certain few that don't do a good job in every -- whether they're a police officer or sports or business. 10 percent of the people probably it's questionable the job they do, and the other 90 are probably just fine.

MR. MANINGO: If we could start working the microphone towards the front. It's Ms. Bernard? Yes, you were -- you were involved with security and risk management; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: Well, just lost prevention.

MR. MANINGO: Loss prevention. Thank you. As a loss -- doing your loss prevention and your security, did you feel pressure to catch the people that were stealing things from your store?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: Not really because, actually, I was like in areas where I could see people coming

in and whatever if I seen someone take something, walk into the store, take something, then I go down onto the floor and then follow them and watch them, but pressure, I don't --

MR. MANINGO: But if they -- these people, if you saw them, but yet they were to leave the store before you were able to get down there and catch them, would that fall on you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: Well, one thing is that was my job, and I was kind of required to try my best to do the -- do the job, so, yeah, somewhat.

MR. MANINGO: And so would you -- would you -- would your supervisors or your bosses come to you and say, Ms.

Bernard --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: Yes.

MR. MANINGO: -- you didn't catch this guy or that guy?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: Yes.

MR. MANINGO: Anyone else feel job pressure from bosses? Anyone -- I'll just raise my hand. Silly question. I'm sorry.

If you could pass the microphone back one to Ms.

Lockhart. Could you -- I think, and I hope I don't have the wrong person because we've been at this for awhile and my notes are a little scattered, but I think you told us about an incident in your -- in your history where you had to testify about vandalism?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yeah. 1 2 MR. MANINGO: I have that right person? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes. 4 MR. MANINGO: Thank you. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes. 6 MR. MANINGO: I have a note about something about 7 exaggerated the matter. Can you --- can you tell me more 8 about that? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Okay. The -- the people 10 that were accused did do partially what was said. But then 11 the other side, the people I lived with and rented a room 12 from, the mother exaggerated the damage because the house was 13 in a fixer up condition, and she wanted a lot of other things 14 fixed. 15 MR. MANINGO: Okay. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: So she had said, accused 17 them that they did certain things in that house that they 18 didn't do, it was already like that. 19 MR. MANINGO: And that would --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: So both sides did wrong 21 things basically. 22 MR. MANINGO: Okay. And so then that was 23 frustrating to you? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yeah. 25 MR. MANINGO: Okay. And it was -- it was the

```
victims of the vandalism that were doing the exaggerating?
1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.
2
              MR. MANINGO: Okay. Mr. --
3
              THE COURT: Mr. Maningo, before you go.
4
5
              MR. MANINGO: Oh, I'm sorry.
              THE COURT: I just need -- just you.
6
7
              MR. MANINGO: Yes.
                     (Off-record bench conference)
8
9
              THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going
10
    to give you all a recess at this time. During this recess you
11
    are admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or
12
   with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial,
13
    read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the
14
    trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of
15
    information, including, without limitation, newspapers,
16
    television, the Internet, or radio, or form or express any
    opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the
17
18
    case is finally submitted to you.
19
              It is now close to a quarter till. Be ready to get
20
    started back at 11:00. We'll be at ease while the jury leaves
21
   the room.
22
           (Court recessed at 10:42 a.m., until 11:12 a.m.)
23
         (Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel)
24
              THE COURT: Okay. We're on the record in State of
   Nevada versus Tony Hobson and Brandon Starr in C-303022.
25
```

```
like the record to reflect the presence of the defendants,
2
   their counsel, as well as the State and their counsel.
3
   ready to bring the jury back in?
             MS. LOBO: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
5
             MR. PORTZ:
                         Yes, Your Honor.
6
             MR. MANINGO: Yes, Your Honor.
                                              Thank you.
7
              THE COURT:
                        Jim, you want to get them back in.
8
         (Inside the presence of the prospective jury panel)
              THE COURT: Jim. Jim, somebody is -- Jim.
9
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Hello, I'm Ricardo,
11
   Badge No. 137.
                   This is causing too much stress.
                                                      I feel my
12
   blood pressure going up, anxiety, I'm also claustrophobic.
13
   I'm sweating profusely and I got to get out of here.
   don't want to be here. I'm telling you, I'm being -- I'm very
14
15
   claustrophobic. Right now I'm sweating just because I'm in
16
   the corner. It's really -- turn up the air or something.
17
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. Jim, why don't you move Mr.
18
   Gutierrez up, put him up in the chair over here where he's got
19
   a little more room, okay. All right. Let's see if that will
20
   help at this point. Okay. All right.
21
              I'd like the record to reflect we're back on the
22
   record in C-303022, and all the members of the prospective
23
   jury panel is here. Will the parties stipulate to that fact?
24
             MR. PORTZ: Yes, Your Honor.
25
             MS. LOBO:
                        Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
THE MARSHAL: I have another question.
1
2
              THE COURT: Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: My name is Tiffinee
3
   Smith, Badge No. 161. Your Honor, I would just like to ask if
4
   I could be dismissed from the case because my grandmother
5
   passed last night and my family will have to travel to
6
7
   Louisiana next week.
                         Okay. Does anyone have any questions?
8
              THE COURT:
              MS. MERCER: No, Your Honor.
9
             MR. TANASI: No, Your Honor.
10
11
              MS. LOBO: No, Your Honor.
                         Okay. Ms. Smith, I will allow you to
12
              THE COURT:
   --I'm sorry to hear that about your mother or your
13
14
   grandmother. So go back over the jury commission room and let
15
   them know that you've been excused, okay.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: Thank you.
              THE COURT: And tell them what happened, and then
17
   what we'll is we'll probably have to renotice you for another
18
    -- on another date.
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161:
20
                                          Okay.
21
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161:
22
                                          Thank you.
23
                          Okay. So before we took a break, Mr.
              THE COURT:
24
   Maningo was questioning the prospective jury panel, the first
25
    36.
```

Mr. Maningo.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you, Your Honor.

Thank you. Welcome back everyone. When we broke we were talking about law enforcement. Does anyone in the first set have any other comments they'd like to share with their -- with respect to their feelings on law enforcement and police?

Who shares the opinion that race is a significant issue in our society? Can I have the -- to the back row, please, Jim.

Mr. Bass, tell me what you feel about race as an issue today.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Not in a positive way or negative way. I was just answering affirmatively that I think it's a big part of society.

MR. MANINGO: Of course. Can you expand on that like what your -- your thoughts are on race issues?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I don't hold any opinions on one or the other. I mean, it's just -- it's a neutral issue for me.

MR. MANINGO: Okay. Just recognizing that it is an issue?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Uh-huh.

MR. MANINGO: But you would recognize -- would you agree that there are biases which, I would say would be any tendencies to favor certain races or whatever it may be, any

1 prejudices that would be tendencies to disfavor? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yes, that's very 3 prevalent in society. 4 MR. MANINGO: And racial prejudices and biases 5 exist? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yes. Uh-huh. 7 MR. MANINGO: Who doesn't agree with that or who has 8 something else to comment with that? Yeah, please, can you pass it down. 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: William Burns, No. 56. 11 MR. MANINGO: Thank you, Mr. Burns. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: It might be exactly what 13 you're saying. I think sometimes you open your eyes and you 14 realize it more. You have to recognize it to combat it. I 15 mean, everybody has to see some way to be made to look at 16 And you've got to look at yourself and say, okay, 17 there's a chance or somebody else might have a bias. And I guess if you don't recognize it, everybody I guess might have 18 19 some. MR. MANINGO: 20 Sure. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: And if you don't 22 recognize it, you're never going to combat it. 23 MR. MANINGO: I think that's -- I think that's dead 24 on. 25 Who else raised their hand when I had asked if there

were -- if you shared the opinion in that there were race issues?

Please, Ms. Addington.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I'm Badge 20. I don't -- I don't think that anyone here could disagree that there aren't racial issues in our country. It's sad. It's a shame. It shouldn't be, but it is.

MR. MANINGO: Have you personally experienced any one being mistreated based on race that you can think of?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.

MR. MANINGO: Is that something you would be willing to share with us?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Uh-huh.

MR. MANINGO: Go ahead.

prospective juror No. 020: My mother and her -- my grandmother, her husband left her in the depression and my grandmother was left to raise two children and take care of her mother. And she met, who I consider to be my grandfather, and he was Chinese, a Chinese-American. And they lived in Illinois and it was probably at -- right after World War I, and in order for my grandparents to be married, they had to cross four state lines because it was illegal in the state of Illinois for white Caucasians to marry Asians. So it goes that far back with me. And then I just remember telling people when I was little that my grandfather was Chinese and

people telling me that that wasn't true and I shouldn't say that. And I just wasn't aware. But from a very small age I've seen just in the neighborhoods and where we lived people looking at us like we were different.

MR. MANINGO: Who else has -- Mr. Kozlowski.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: On a professional sense I deal with it daily where if I'm in one of my stores in one of my states and I can tell that the level of service or that there are preconceived notions among some of my staff and it's really redirecting them and getting them back to neutral. Something that I handle consistently. Whether it's someone comes in with a certain dress, attire, or a certain race, and then they make presumptions of income level or presumptions of — of reason for being there. And it's redirecting them and getting them back to neutral and what is your real job. Your job is to sell.

MR. MANINGO: What do you normally do to do that, to redirect them and bring them back to neutral.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: You reinforce their job, which is to sell. You sell regardless of what someone looks like. You do -- you do your job. And then on a personal sense, I'm in an interracial marriage. My wife is Latin and we have a son together. And she's been asked multiple times while at the grocery store if she's nannying her son because my son is pretty -- pretty white looking.

MR. MANINGO: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: So that one hits home.

MR. MANINGO: I believe Ms. -- thank you. Mr.

Sneen. Excuse me. Mr. Keegan. I apologize.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Badge No. 49, James Keegan. Growing up in Charleston, South Carolina, during the south -- during the '50s and '60s, I witnessed firsthand segregation and such to the extent where the stories that you may have heard about the busses and sitting in the back of the bus, you know, they would separate colored people, they would call them back in the day then, you know, from the -- the white people, which was kind of a disheartening thing for me in a sense because my mom and dad brought me up to believe that, you know, as God says, that we're all created equal.

I see -- nowadays it seems like the circle has kind of swung full circle where, you know, certain ethnicities, I guess we all have the same prejudice, think that our lives matter more than others, which is kind of disheartening to me in that sense. But the witnessing first hand, you know, some of the things that went on back in the day there when I was a younger person, you know, I have experienced and seen these things in actuality. I just wanted to bring that up.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you. Anyone else have any opinions to share on race?

Ms. Sneen, thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Badge 37. With my job I've worked with individuals that are intellectually disabled. I did have a staff. One time the individual called me that was with her mother and they are African-American, called and said the staff made them feel like they lived in the ghetto, that they should not even be having services. So I had to investigate it, work with the State, with the agency that I work with, and I did end up terminating that staff. They're there to do a job, not to discriminate on race, age, anything. So, but --

MR. MANINGO: Thank you.

Anyone else have anything to share? Please. Thank you. I'm sorry, this side has been quieter, so I'm not familiar with the names. Ms. Hedrick?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I haven't talked yet.

MR. MANINGO: Thank you. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Heather Hedrick, Badge
No. 175. Just kind of piggybacking on what the gentleman over
there said. I have a nephew that is biracial and he's in
middle school now. And I notice a lot of prejudice that he
deals with at school, and I think a lot of people see -- it's
sad, but I think they see color before they see a person. And
I think a lot of people are treated unfairly because of that.

MR. MANINGO: And I know it's -- I know this is a sensitive topic, and I know it's difficult to speak in public.

I know it's difficult to share your feelings. But does anyone want to tell us about some racial biases or prejudices that they harbor inside themselves? You know, we've been practicing a long time collectively, Rich, Adrian, and I. And I know that we seldom get people to raise their hands to that question. We live in a politically correct society or we try to, but it's a difficult question.

And I won't press anyone individually, of course, but does anyone have anything they'd like to offer that just says maybe -- God, you know, maybe I had that uncle who, you know, didn't like black people, or maybe I had that -- that old grandfather that -- that used the "N" word. You know, I mean, I had that in my family, you know. I don't think it's actually bad, you know, it doesn't make me a bad person or anything. I don't think it necessarily makes me horrible because I have it in my family, but you raised your hand again, Ms. Hedrick.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Just going back to my nephew, there was a period of about ten years I didn't speak to my father or my mother because they are prejudice, I guess, is the way you would say it, and I helped my sister raise my nephew from the time he was a baby. And I have a hard time with that issue just because turning your back on a family member because of a skin color issue, it's just --

MR. MANINGO: Anyone agree with Ms. Hedrick on that

or have anything to add to that?

Mr. Burns.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: William Burns, Badge 56. I guess I'm like you, I mean, I didn't realize it until I went back home sometimes that -- how my family really was. Sometimes you realize, when you're not like that, you can have family that are, and they do the jokes and when I was growing up I heard jokes and I didn't think about it. I go back 20 years later and I have a different opinion on something. So, I mean, it just depends on how you're brought up that's going to make you who you are.

MR. MANINGO: You know, and I think we said it earlier that we're all products of our history and our -- and our upbringing. And I don't mean to put you on the spot, Mr. Burns. I really appreciate you offering that to us. Do you think that that in your past would bear any influence on you as you sit here today as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: No, I don't. I grew up with a father who was abusive and I decided I was going to be different. Throughout my years, I guess I didn't see it when I was in the military, but I saw everybody as they are. It wasn't really until I went home this last time that I really looked at my family going that joke -- I guess probably I heard the jokes when I was a kid. I don't know. I was looking at them going, really?

MR. MANINGO: Yeah. No, I know.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I don't think it's going to judge me. I mean, I've been in Europe, I've traveled around the world, I've been around a lot of people. I've never looked at anybody besides they're a person. But, yeah, I did grow up around it. So, I mean, if that's a bad verdict, then, sorry.

MR. MANINGO: I can't thank you enough for sharing.

Does anyone else have -- have anything to add to that topic? It is a polarizing issue. Ms. Greenough mentioned the Trayvon Martin case. And then there are others, there are Eric Garner in New York. I don't know if you recall the "I can't breathe". There are Michael Brown and the Ferguson riots, those -- those situations. And then there's the movement Black Lives Matter. I trust you've all at least heard of that. When I say those names and that movement, is there an emotional response from anyone here? Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: James Keegan, No. 49. If feel that in all these instances the police officers were slandered to the point where the media tried them before actual facts came out. To me, that was a horrible thing.

MR. MANINGO: So your main scrutiny with that is -- is the attack, just the attack on the police?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: That is correct, sir. MR. MANINGO: Okay. Would that filter into this

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

				-
BRANDON S7	ΓARR,)	CASE NO • 71401	Electronically Filed
#1103904,	Appellant,)	E-FILE	Jun 21 2017 09:23 a.m
	**)	D.C. Case: C-14-30	后kizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Cour
V.)	Dept.: XIX	олот от отрание объ
STATE OF NE	EVADA,)		
	Respondent.)		
	Appeal from a D	enial of	ENDIX VOLUME V Post Conviction Relie t Court, Clark County	ef

TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ.	STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Nevada Bar No. 000854	Nevada Bar No. 001565
Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson	Clark County District Attorney
624 South 9th Street	200 E. Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 386-0001	(702) 671-2750
Terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com	Steven.Wolfson@clarkcountyda.com

ADAM LAXALT Nevada Bar No. 003926 Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

Counsel for Appellant Counsel for Respondent

MASTER INDEX

Case No.: 71401

Document (File stamp date in parenthesis)	Vol.	Page No.
Amended Judgment of Conviction [Jury Trial] (10/19/16)	3	556-00 567
Case Appeal Statement [Terrence M. Jackson] (9/23/16)	3	548-00 550
Case Appeal Statement [clerk of court] (10/4/16)	3	551-00 552
Cert. of Service: Motion to Sever: Hobson (6/3/15)	1	142-00 143
Defendant's Joint Memorandum in Support of Proposed	2	359-00 370
Jury Instructions (5/19/2016)		
Defendant's Notice of Witnesses (4/22/2016)	2	326-00 327
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, Order (4/13/16)	2	315-00 323
Indictment: (December 12, 2014)	1	01-00006
Instructions to the Jury (5/23/2016)	2	371-00 472
Joinder in Hobson's Motion to Sever: Starr: (6/5/2015)	1	144-00 146
Judgment of Conviction [Jury Trial] (9/20/16)	3	532-00 542
Jury Trial - Day 13 - heard 5/23/16 @ 1:44 p (7/22/16)	3	504 - 00 531
Jury Trial - Day 1 - heard 5/4/2016 (1/3/17)	3	568-00 686
Jury Trial - Day 1 - heard 5/4/2016 (1/3/17)	3	687-00 750
Jury Trial - Day 2 - heard 5/5/2016 (1/3/17)	4	751 - 00 978
Jury Trial - Day 3 - heard 5/6/16 (1/3/17)	5	979-01133
Jury Trial - Day 4 - heard 5/9/16 (1/3/17)	5	1134-1225
Jury Trial - Day 4 - heard 5/9/16 (1/3/17)	6	1226 -1362
Jury Trial - Day 5 - heard 5/10/16 (1/3/17)	6	1363- 1426
Jury Trial - Day 5 - heard 5/10/16 (1/3/17)	6	1427- 1476
Jury Trial - Day 6 - heard 5/11/16 (1/3/17)	7	1477 -1622
Jury Trial - Day 7 - heard 5/12/16 (1/3/17)	7	1623- 1720
J ury T rial - Day 7 - heard 5/12/16 (1/3/17)	8	1721 -1879

MASTER INDEX

Case No.: 71401

Document (File stamp date in parenthesis)	Vol.	Page No.
Jury Trial - Day 8 - heard 5/16/16 (1/3/17)	8	1880 -1960
Jury Trial - Day 8 - heard 5/16/16 (1/3/17)		1961 -2115
Jury Trial - Day 9 - heard 5/17/16 (1/3/17)	9	2116 -2210
Jury Trial - Day 9 - heard 5/17/16 (1/3/17)	10	2211 -2304
Jury Trial - Day 10 - heard 5/18/16 (1/3/17)	10	2305- 2455
Jury Trial - Day 10 - heard 5/18/16 (1/3/17)	11	2456- 2610
Jury Trial - Day 11 - heard 5/19/16 (1/3/17)	11	2611- 2714
Jury Trial - Day 12 - heard 5/20/16 (1/3/17)	12	2715 -2858
Motion to Continue Trial: Starr: (4/6/2016)	2	299-00303
Motion for Discovery & Alt. Motion in Limine (4/27/16)	2	328-00 358
Motion to Sever from Co-Defendant's: Hobson: (6/1/15)	1	107-00 129
Motion to Sever by Defendant: Starr: (June 2, 2015)	1	130 - 00 141
Motion to Withdraw Counsel & Motion to Appoint Appellant Counsel [pro per] (9/21/2016)	3	543-00 545
Notice of Alibi Witnesses: Starr: (4/22/2016)	2	324-00 325
Notice of Appeal [Terrence M. Jackson] (9/23/16)	3	546-00 547
Notice of Appeal [pro per] Defendant Starr (10/10/16)		554-00 555
Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses (8/4/2015)		264-00 298
Order Appointing Terrence M. Jackson, Esquire (10/7/16)		00553
Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Sever (7/21/2015)		262-00 263
Second Superseding Indictment (April 24, 2015)		57-00 106
Sentencing - heard 9/8/16 (1/3/17)		2859 -2895
State's Opposition to Motion to Sever: (6/19/2015)		147-00 250
State's Opposition to Motion to Sever: (6/19/2015)		251-00 261
State's Opposition to Motion to Continue (4/7/2016)		304-00 306

MASTER INDEX

Case No.: 71401

Document (File stamp date in parenthesis)	Vol.	Page No.
State's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses &/or Expert	2	307-00 314
Superseding Indictment: (February 20, 2016)	1	007-000 56
Verdict: Defendant Starr (5/23/2016)	2	473-00 503

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an assistant to Terrence M. Jackson, Esq., am a person competent to serve papers and not a party to the above-entitled action and on the 19th day of June, 2017, I served a copy of the foregoing: Appellant's Appendix and Index, Volumes I - XII, as follows:

[X] Via Electronic Service (*eFlex*) to the Nevada Supreme Court and to the Eighth Judicial District Court, and by U.S. mail with first class postage affixed to the Petitioner/Appellant as follows:

STEVEN B. WOLFSON	ADAM LAXALT

Clark County District Attorney Nevada Attorney General

steven.wolfson@clarkcountyda.com 100 North Carson Street

STEVEN S. OWENS Carson City, Nevada 89701

APPELLATE DIVISION

steven.owens@clarkcountyda.com

BRANDON STARR

ID# 1165964

Ely State Prison

P. O. Box 1989

Ely, NV 89301

By: <u>/s/Ila C. Wills</u> Assistant to Terrence M. Jackson, Esq.

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C-14-303022-1 CASE NO. C-14-303022-2

Plaintiff,

vs.

DEPT. NO. XIX

TONY LEE HOBSON, and BRANDON STARR,

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendants.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM D. KEPHART, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 3

FRIDAY, MAY 6, 2016

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE:

ELIZABETH A. MERCER, ESQ.

KENNETH PORTZ, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorneys

FOR DEFENDANT HOBSON: RICHARD E. TANASI, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT STARR:

LANCE A. MANINGO, ESQ.

ADRIAN LOBO, ESQ.

COURT RECORDER:

TRANSCRIPTION BY:

CHRISTINE ERICKSON District Court

VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Englewood, CO 80110

(303) 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, MAY 6, 2016, 8:49 A.M.

(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors)

THE COURT: All right. We're on the record in the Case No. C-303022. The State of Nevada versus Tony Hobson and Brandon Star. I'd like the record to reflect the presence of the defendant and their counsel, as well as the State and Mr. Portz. This is the continuation of the jury trial. Do we need to put anything on the record at this time before we bring the jury in?

MR. TANASI: I don't think so, Your Honor.

MS. LOBO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Jim, go ahead and bring them back, then.

(Inside the presence of the prospective jury panel)

THE COURT: Mr. Kinnally, are all the jurors accounted for this morning?

THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, this is the continuation of the jury trial in C-303022, the State of Nevada versus Tony Hobson and Brandon Starr. For the record, I'd to reflect the presence of the State with their counsel, the defendant and their counsel, and all prospective juror members.

Last night before we took the break, I had excused three jurors seated in Seat No. 1, 9, and 15. At this time

I'm going to ask the clerk to call the next in order to fill 1 2 those positions. 3 THE CLERK: In Seat No. 1 it's going to be Badge No. 046, Vissia Noquez. In Seat No. 9 it's going to be Badge No. 4 047, Nicholas Kozlowski. In Seat No. 15 it's going to be 5 Badge No. 049, Regina Orlando. 7 THE COURT: Jim, do you want to give Ms. Noquez, 8 Juror No. 46 the mic. Ms. Noquez, is it Vissia Noquez? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Right. 11 THE COURT: Ms. Noquez is Badge 046. Ma'am, how 12 long have you lived in Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: 11 and a half years. 13 14 THE COURT: Where are you from originally? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: I stayed three years in 1.5 California. I'm from the Philippines. 16 Okay. Are you employed, ma'am? 17 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes. 18 19 THE COURT: What do you do for work? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: I'm a nurse. 21 THE COURT: And how high did you get in your 22 education? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Bachelor's in nursing. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Are you married? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

THE COURT: Does your spouse work? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Retired now. 3 What is he retired from? THE COURT: 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Accounting clerk. 5 retired early for medical reasons. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any children? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: I have two, 32 and 35. They are in the Philippines. 8 A nurse and a computer engineer. They're in the Philippines? THE COURT: 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yeah. THE COURT: 11 Okav. Jim, do you want to give Ms. Addington some water? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I have some. 13 14 THE COURT: Are you okay, ma'am? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I apologize. 16 THE COURT: No, no. You're okay. Just let me know, 17 are you all right? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It's just the end of a 19 cold. 20 THE COURT: Okay. We've got some wind blowing, too. 21 Ms. Noquez, have you ever served on a jury before? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: No. sir. 23 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, ma'am. 24 Can you pass the mic up to Mr. Kozlowski. His badge number is -- Nicholas Kozlowski is Badge No. 47. He's seated in Juror 25

Seat No. 9. 1 2 Sir, how long have you been in Las Vegas? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: It'll be five years next month. 5 THE COURT: Where are you from originally? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Born in Pittsburgh, and 6 7 then New York, and then Miami. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And you told us that you are 9 employed. Can you give us an understanding of your employment again? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yeah, I'm a district 11 12 manager for Puma, the sneaker company. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I have 11 stores in six 15 states and two countries, and then soon to be 12. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And how high did you get in your education, sir? 17 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Bachelor's in politic 19 science from Syracuse University. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And are you married? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yes, I am. 22 THE COURT: And your wife, she does the same thing; 23 right? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: No, no, no. She's in

She works for IHD. She works here out of Vegas, but

25

medical.

```
she does take trips to -- to Reno.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. And how many children do you have
 3
    again?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I have three.
 5
              THE COURT:
                          And how --
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: One of my own that's
 7
    two, and then two step-children, eight and nine, daughters.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever served as a juror
    before?
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: No, sir.
11
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Thank you, Mr. Kozlowski.
12
    you pass it up to Ms. Orlando. She's seated in Juror Seat No.
    15, and her badge number is 049. And her name is Regina
13
14
    Orlando.
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes, sir.
16
              THE COURT: Ms. Orlando, how long have you lived in
17
    Las Vegas?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: 15 years.
19
              THE COURT:
                         And where are you from originally?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049:
                                          New Jersey.
21
              THE COURT: And are you employed, ma'am?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes, I am.
23
              THE COURT: What do you do for work?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I work for United Health
24
25
   Care, business systems analyst.
```

THE COURT: Okay. And how high did you get in your education?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Bachelor of Science, business administration.

THE COURT: And are you married?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No.

THE COURT: Do you have any children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No.

THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I was an alternate in

another state, Pennsylvania.

THE COURT: Okay. So you never -- you did not go through the deliberation process, then?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No, I did not.

THE COURT: All right. Of the three that just came in, Ms. Noquez, Mr. Kozlowski, and Ms. Orlando, have you ever -- have you or anyone close to you such as a family member or friend ever been accused of a -- or victim of a crime. I'm sorry. Ever been a victim of a crime? Okay. No one has indicated that they have.

You or anyone close to you such as a family member or friend ever been accused of a crime? Okay. None of them indicated that they are.

Would you have a tendency to give more weight and credence or less weight and credence to the testimony of a

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

police officer simply because he or she is a police officer?

Okay. No one has raised their hand on that. And can you wait in forming your opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendants here until all the evidence is heard until after consideration of the instructions that I've given you on the law? Okay. They've all shaken their head yes.

Of the three of you do you know of any reason why you couldn't be completely fair and impartial juror if you're selected on this case? Okay. No one has indicated. And do you have any medical reasons you believe that would prevent you from being able to sit in this case? Okay.

Ms. Mercer, Mr. Portz, did you want to address the three?

MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PORTZ: Good morning, again, everyone to our three new prospective jurors. Welcome to the show. We're so close. You almost made it. So I'm not trying to make light of it. I do want to underscore the importance and make sure our three new members would agree with me. Is there anyone who disagrees that the function and role of a juror is a very important one in today's society? Okay. I'm showing no response. So everyone would agree with that statement; correct? Okay. Thank you.

Now, does anyone of the three of you, and you can

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

just show by hand, have any experience or any military related 1 experience? Okay. And that's going to be Ms. Orlando; 2 3 correct? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Regina Orlando, Badge 5 No. 49. 6 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, ma'am. And what is your 7 military experience? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I was in the Air Force 9 for four years. MR. PORTZ: Okay. What did you do in the Air Force? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I was an aircraft 11 12 scheduler. 13 MR. PORTZ: And was that here in Vegas, or was that when you were elsewhere? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Elsewhere. I served a 16 tour overseas. 17 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, ma'am. And then has 18 anyone --19 Oh, I'm sorry. Yesterday we went over family 20 members, as well. Is that irrelevant now, or is it just for 21 myself? 22 MR. PORTZ: No, go ahead, Mr. Kozlowski. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: My father was in 24 Vietnam, drafted to do service. He worked in hospital --25 hospital clerical work over in South Vietnam, and then my

grandfather was in the Navy in World War I throughout the entire duration.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. Thank you very much for sharing that. And of the three new perspective jurors, does anyone have any experience with security or surveillance video review?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I do.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. Mr. Kozlowski, can you say your badge number again?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yeah, it's 47.

MR. PORTZ: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: As a district manager I deal with potential internal or external theft or liability that happens from within that, whether it's associates stealing from each other, associates stealing from customers. You know, I've had instances where an associate stole a cell phone from a customer, believe or not. Things like that. So I've had to, you know, use video surveillance in order to use it as evidence and for -- you know, it's only part of the process, but, yeah, I have.

MR. PORTZ: And so that's one of your responsibilities with your role with Puma?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yeah, one of my roles and responsibilities is any internal investigation, whether it's theft or a human resources related issue, I have to be

the witness and sometimes the investigator.

1.2

MR. PORTZ: Okay. And I'm assuming this is for your retail stores? These are events that occur in retail stores?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely. Yeah.

MR. PORTZ: Are they retail stores in Vegas or you mentioned you cover a lot of -- of --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: So I have two here in Vegas at both of the outlet malls, the north and the south, and then I have nine others out of state or out of country.

MR. PORTZ: How -- so is it fair to say, then, that you're familiar with your surveillance security system that Puma uses at other retail stores?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: In a basic form of being able to see it and knowing how to use it, but the inner workings of it, not necessarily.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. But you're able to go pull video, rewind, fast-forward?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. And you're familiar with your stores, you know what your stores look like and you can see when the surveillance video is capturing an image of, say, someone pocketing a Puma bag or something in their jacket?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely. Absolutely.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So when you see it and you know,

okay, that's my store and you recognize your security system

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

is working properly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely.

MR. PORTZ: What sort of things do you tend to, I quess, look for in your surveillance videos?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Surveillance is only to reinforce anything else that comes up. It's not anything more than that. It's just the second form of -- of evidence. It is not, you know, in no way do we manage or handle situations where you see something on video and that's the written way it is done. It's more for support for either what's been reported either directly or indirectly, or what has been accused.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So that's an interesting point you bring up. So it's just one layer, as you mentioned, of the evidence that you look at; right? It's not the end all, be all of proof that this person did something wrong; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So what other things do you look for? Do you look for, say, other individuals in the store who maybe saw this person engage in that illegal activity?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yeah, so it could be eyewitness accounts. Again, you need typically more than just one. There's two sides to every story and you need a series of sources there. But, you know, if -- if a series of evidence align in a way, then absolutely. But it depends on

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

what the situation is. It could be, you know, time fraud for instance.

So if someone comes in and claims that they've been coming, I then would check the security detail of when the alarm is deactivated, when they actually arrived, when the camera show they arrived, down to was it physically them or was it somebody else that was using their numbers. You know, it's not just what I see with my eyes. It's also what my recording shows me, you know, does the system say that that item is missing. If we then do and go look for it, is it actually missing, you know? So there's a series of orders that go into it.

MR. PORTZ: And you tend to use all that to corroborate and to point to some sort of conclusion?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. Well, that brings me to a topic I was going to bring up a little down the line, but I think I might as well do it now since we're on it. Of our three new prospective jurors, are you familiar with the term circumstantial evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So, Mr. Kozlowski, yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.

MR. PORTZ: Yes, ma'am. Okay. And it's sort of this idea of what we -- we use circumstances to infer

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

something that maybe necessarily we didn't see ourselves; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Uh-huh.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So, for instance -- if you'd pass the microphone to Ms. Orlando. And this is an example that we tend to use a lot. You might even hear it again later on the courtroom. It doesn't really work today since it was raining, but yesterday when you came to the courthouse did you drive, take the bus, did someone drop you off?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I drove.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. And when you walked up to begin your jury service, was it dry out and sunny?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So say once you completed jury service and the judge let us take our break or take a lunch break or something like that. You've been in here for a few hours. You walk outside to Lewis to cross the street to go grab a bite and the streets are covered in water. What are you able to determine happened while you were inside doing jury duty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: That there was a storm, it rained.

MR. PORTZ: That it rained. Okay. So you didn't see it rain, but you were able to use the fact that the ground outside is wet to determine that; is that correct?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Correct.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So maybe it's possible if we're just looking at Lewis, maybe a street cleaner came by and just watered the street. Is that a possibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: That is a possibility.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So there's a chance maybe that there is something else that happened; right? Okay. What if you walked around the corner and you saw that the rest of the city as far as you could see was soaking wet. Would you be more likely or less likely to believe that it had rained?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: More likely that it rained.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. What other things could you do? For instance, do you think maybe looking at the weather report to confirm whether or not it had rained, would that help you determine if it rained?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes, it would.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So, again, even though you couldn't see the rain itself because you were inside doing jury duty, it's no longer raining when you walk out, you're able to determine based on the circumstances that there was rain; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Correct.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. Could you pass it back to Ms.

25 Noquez.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

And, ma'am, I'm going to give you a similar example. One of our jurors who was excused the other day mentioned that her home had been burglarized and that a week or two later police called her to have her come down and look at some items and see if they belong to her. Do you recall that?

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: [Indiscernible].

Okay. Well, let's talk about this as an MR. PORTZ: Let's use this as another example of circumstantial example. evidence, okay. Say your home had been burglarized while you were away on vacation, okay. So you didn't see who broke into your house; right? Let's say two weeks later the police call you and they found your property in someone's possession, okay. It's been two weeks. Is there a reason for you to think that the person who is possessing the items that were stolen from your house during that burglary was the person who broke into your house?

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: No.

MR. PORTZ: No? But they're in possession of those items.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Probably somebody gave it to him.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So it's possible that that's the person that broke into your house, but it's also possible that someone gave it to them over the course of two weeks; right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046:

Yeah.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

1 MS. LOBO: Judge, may we approach? 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 (Off-record bench conference) 4 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Portz. 5 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 So we were discussing maybe a couple of weeks later 7 there's a chance someone handed the property to them and 8 that's not the person that actually broke into your house; right? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes. 11 MR. PORTZ: Okay. What if -- what if, say, they 12 located the property only ten minutes after your home was 13 burglarized at a location that's only ten minutes away from 14 your house. Would you be more likely to believe --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: More likely is the one 16 who took our property. 17 MR. PORTZ: Okay. Because here's a shorter period 18 of time and it matches up with what happened; right? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes. 20 MR. PORTZ: Okay. What if they found that property 21 with someone inside a vehicle that, say, a witness who was

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

standing outside your house during the burglary saw that

likely or less likely that these were the individuals?

vehicle driving away from your house. Would that make it more

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: More likely is the one

22

23

24

25

who took it.

MR. PORTZ: So I guess what I'm getting at is this. You didn't see the burglary, but you can still take evidence and build on evidence and look for corroborating pieces and come to a conclusion as to what actually happened, is that fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes.

MR. PORTZ: So if you were selected to be a juror on this panel, will you keep an open mind and weigh all the evidence and wait until the evidence is closed, both sides rest, before you make a determination as to what your verdict would be in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes

MR. PORTZ: Thank you. Could you pass it back to Mr. Kozlowski.

And I had it passed to you, but I'm just going to ask a general question again.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Okay.

MR. PORTZ: Anyone of our three new prospective jurors have any experience with fast food industry or with convenience stores? Okay. And we're going to go with Ms. Orlando. And could you state your badge number again, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Badge No. 49.

MR. PORTZ: All right. What's your experience with -- is it fast food or convenience stores?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Fast food. 2 MR. PORTZ: Okay. And what's that? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I worked at a Burger 4 King during college. 5 MR. PORTZ: How did you like that experience? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: It was interesting. 7 MR. PORTZ: Interesting. Did anything -- I guess 8 you get to see how kind of the sausage is made, so to speak, Is that -- do you still eat fast food? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No, I do not. 1.1 MR. PORTZ: No, you don't. Is that a result of your 12 working there or is that --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No, it's not result of 13 14 that. 15 That's good to know because I might have MR. PORTZ: Burger King later. Anything about, you know, you heard me 16 recite some of the factual allegations in this case. 17 Is there anything about the fact that fast food restaurants were the 18 19 site of a number of burglaries, robberies, that would affect 20 your ability to sit fair and impartial in judgment this week? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No. 22 MR. PORTZ: Did you have any sort of traumatic 23 experiences or anything dangerous happen while you were at 24 Burger King?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049:

25

No, I did not.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. Does anyone here have any --1 well, does anyone here own a firearm of our three new jurors? 2 Okay. Ma'am, Ms. Orlando. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Badge No. 49. 5 MR. PORTZ: Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I have my CCW. 6 MR. PORTZ: Okay. What are your thoughts on 7 8 firearms? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: 9 In what respect? MR. PORTZ: I guess just in, you know, you own -- is 10 it one firearm or multiple firearms? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Multiple. 12 MR. PORTZ: Multiple. Okay. And I guess to get a 13 14 CCW you have to go through some sort of training, is that fair 15 to say? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: That is correct. 16 MR. PORTZ: Okay. What's the point of that 17 18 training? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: For safety, proper use. Do you have any general opinions on 20 MR. PORTZ: 21 firearms themselves, or do any of our jurors have a strong 22 opinion one way or another on firearms that might affect their 23 ability to sit fairly or impartially in this case? 24 showing a negative response from the three jurors. 25 Have any of our three prospective jurors had any

interactions with law enforcement, either positive or negative? Negative response.

Even -- let's go to what Ms. Mercer mentioned yesterday, even, say, being pulled over and written up for a ticket. A negative response. We have responsible drivers.

Oh, nope, not Ms. Orlando. Sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Badge No. 49. I think I was pulled over once for speeding in college, but did not receive a ticket.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. Anything about that interaction that makes you feel one way or another towards law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No. No.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. Ms. Mercer also mentioned yesterday this idea of whether or not you as jurors, if you are chosen to sit on this panel, would require a victim to ID their attacker in order to convict. Do you recall that question being asked yesterday? So let me give an example. Ms. Plank had discussed the other day being involved in a burglary that occurred at a convenience store that she ran. And she said that she was pepper sprayed in the face and she couldn't identify the person who broke into the store.

Ms. Orlando, can you think of other reasons why someone might not be able to identify an attacker or someone who came in and robbed them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Badge No. 49. If they had something over their face, maybe they couldn't recognize them or something was obstructing their view.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So if they had their head looking down at the floor the whole time, they're trying not to look at the individual, or if the individual who was attacking them has covered their face, it might be hard to be able to point that person out, is that fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So you -- none of our jurors here would require identification by a victim as necessary to establish who perpetrated the crime; is that fair to say?

Okay. I'm showing -- I'm sorry, Gaeta, I can only address the --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: That's all right.

MR. PORTZ: Otherwise we would be here --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: I thought you opened it up to everybody.

MR. PORTZ: Otherwise we would be here until next week and I know you guys are --

THE COURT: I promise you we would not. Go ahead.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So, again, this kind of ties into our circumstantial evidence or other pieces of evidence that might tie someone to a crime. What kind of things might you think if, say, a victim couldn't ID could help assist in

identifying the person who committed the crime?

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

speak?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Is it open to the floor?

MR. PORTZ: Yes, Mr. Kozlowski, would you like to

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: It could be someone that witnessed someone going into the building, someone that witnessed their whereabouts at that time. It could be, you know, they talked about DNA evidence if that was a possibility, if there was fingerprints if they weren't -- if their fingers were exposed. You know, I don't watch a lot of TV, but if hair follicles, everyone seems to lose hair everywhere, you know, all of the sudden on these television shows, so if that exists. Any of those things. There's a lot more than just the individual's account with their own eyes. They say, and I don't know if it's true, but eyewitness is a pretty poor form of evidence, and I've seen that in my own -my own work where someone claims they've seen something, and then you go and check the film and it doesn't necessarily support that fact.

MR. PORTZ: Right. Okay. So, again, you're going to look for evidence that either supports or does not support an assertion that's being made. Is that fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. Ms. Mercer also brought up yesterday with the rest of the panel this idea that there is

an individual who was involved in some of these robberies who is going to testify for the State. I want to ask straight out, is there any of the three prospective jurors who simply by virtue of the fact that this individual was associated with some of these events would flat out disregard everything this person has to say? I'm showing a negative response.

So, Mr. -- is it Gaeta or Gaeta?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Gaeta.

MR. PORTZ: Yesterday Mr. Gaeta brought up a couple of factors that he would like to consider. One, he mentioned he'd like to know what kind of deal that person might be getting to determine and assess their credibility. Is that something that each of you would want to consider, as well?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Inside the courtroom that person takes an oath under perjury. So if they are saying something here, then that is what is official in the courtroom.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. And that -- again, that was Mr. Kozlowski. And one of your -- your jobs as jurors is to assess the credibility of every witness who walks up here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely.

MR. PORTZ: Now, everyone does take an oath, but it's your role to be the fact finders to weigh the evidence. One of the other things that Mr. Gaeta recommended -- or not recommended, but said that he would like to know is the type

of sentence that this person might be facing, whether or not they're getting a sweetheart deal or if they're facing something more significant might affect his opinion on whether or not this person is testifying credibly or not. Is there anyone who disagrees or agrees with that assessment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I don't think needing to know their -- their deal is essential, but, again, it's one piece of evidence amongst many. It's not just the end all, be all.

MR. PORTZ: When we're talking about this issue of witness credibility, what are some other things you might look -- look towards? Like, for instance, one of our jurors who was dismissed the other day had to discuss a very troubling time where she had to testify against a friend that she cared about and it cost her friend because she testified. She didn't want to testify, but it -- it basically -- it cost her that friendship. Would the jurors here consider circumstances of the relationships of the parties, as well?

Ms. -- I'm sorry, Ms. Orlando, you're shaking your head. Do you have a -

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Badge No. 49. I think any personal relationship is irrelevant in the facts and the law.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. So I guess what I'm getting at, will the three of you, if you're selected as jurors, again,

and this goes with every witness, not just one particular witness, look to what they're saying and look at all the other evidence that's presented to you, see if it's corroborated or if the evidence belies whatever that person testifies to and take that into consideration when you judge their credibility as witnesses and ultimately make your decision based on all of the evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely.

MR. PORTZ: So, Mr. Kozlowski, you brought something up that I want to talk about, too. The -- all those TV shows with the hair follicles and the --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yeah, there's always -- there's always sperm involved. It's weird.

MR. PORTZ: Yeah. I don't think we'll get to that in this case, but --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: If it was CSI we would.

MR. PORTZ: That's right. So there's this very popular television series, CSI. It sounds like you at least are familiar with it. You watch it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I know it exists, but I don't watch it.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. There's a lot of those crime drama shows. You know, briefly, I mean, our three prospective juror, you understand that these shows, there's a dramatic element, there's something theatrical about it. It's not

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

necessarily real life. Is that fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Very fair.

MR. PORTZ: Okay. I mean, Ms. Mercer and I don't sit in our office with live satellite feed chasing suspects and the office doesn't provide us with big yellow hummers to drive around town and catch bad guys or anything like that. So everyone understands that? Okay.

Anyone who of our three prospective jurors would sit here, and we heard a lot of discussion about this yesterday, so you can jump on it or just agree, but would say that, you know, if there weren't this one piece of evidence, if they didn't look for and find a hair follicle to test at a crime scene, I'm going to acquit because they could have done that and they missed it. I'm going to disregard all the other evidence and just acquit because they didn't do this one certain thing I think they should have done or I saw on TV?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely not.

MR. PORTZ: I'm showing a negative response. One second, please.

Okay. I just have one question for each of our jurors, so if we can start with Ms. Orlando. I'm going to ask each of you the same question. If you're selected as a juror on this panel, do you promise to do the best job you can to follow the law and be fair to both sides, the State and the defense in this case?

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes, I do.
1
             MR. PORTZ: Thank you, ma'am. Would you pass it to
2
   Ms. Kozlowski.
3
             Mr. Kozlowski, same question.
4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Absolutely.
5
             MR. PORTZ: Thank you, sir.
6
7
             Mr. Noquez?
8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes, I do.
9
                          Thank you very much, ma'am.
             MR. PORTZ:
              Thank you all for your time and your service.
10
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tanasi, Mr. Maningo, and Ms.
11
12
   Lobo.
13
             MR. TANASI: Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
14
                          Okay.
15
             MR. TANASI: Good morning, folks.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL: Good morning.
                           It's Friday. More questions from
17
              MR. TANASI:
18
   another lawyer. Did I read anybody's mind here? You know,
    I'll level with you guys. I am an attorney, as you all know.
19
20
    I have family members who they don't like attorneys.
                                                          They've
21
   made no bones about it. They don't like attorneys.
22
    that's okay. I think they still love me, you know, despite
23
    the issue of me being an attorney. So my question to -- to
24
   the first 36 is this. Is there anybody in this group who just
25
   plain does not like attorneys by a show of hands? Okay.
```

Negative response.

Next question I have, a little bit more specific for the group, is there anybody here who just plain doesn't like criminal defense attorneys? It's a negative response from the group. Thank you.

THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on. You have one response.

MR. TANASI: Oh, I'm sorry. I missed it. Two?

THE COURT: Two responses.

MR. TANASI: All right.

THE COURT: All right. Anyone in the back row?

Anyone in the second row? The third row? The fourth row?

Okay. Did you raise your hand, sir? Okay. Here comes the mic.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Monte Lai, Badge No. 70.

MR. TANASI: Thank you, sir. I'll take no offense.

17 Tell me -- tell me how you feel about defense attorneys.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: I just feel like criminal lawyer, they -- well, I mean, they defend for a criminal. I mean, they have their right, but personally, I just don't guide the way. I mean, your job you do.

MR. TANASI: Understood. So you don't like the job that I do. I appreciate your honesty. I do. I don't meant to keep [inaudible] about it, either, but can you still be fair and impartial as a juror in this case for my client, Tony

Hobson?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: In this case, I can try, but I'm not sure because as I recall, you know, I've been robbed before. I -- I don't know how to describe how it will go. I will say -- or how the process will go. But if they come out like [indiscernible] or something, I probably will go more for the victim or the State or something against the [indiscernible]. Maybe, I'm not sure. That's why I will try, but I'm not sure.

MR. TANASI: Sure. And, again, specifically about not liking criminal defense lawyers, is there anything about that that would keep you from being fair and impartial to this trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: That part, probably not. I don't think so. I mean, that's your job, so I don't think so.

MR. TANASI: Okay. And I apologize. I missed who else raised their hand. If anyone else raised their hand and said that they actually would have -- that they don't like criminal defense lawyers. Who out there raised their hand? Someone in the front row or -- okay.

All right. In all seriousness, ladies and gentlemen, this case is a -- it's a serious case, okay, you've heard that. You've heard some pretty scary allegations, okay. You've heard some things that made me scared when I first get

the case, right? You hear about robberies. You hear about people getting pointed around and ordered around at gunpoint. That's scary stuff, okay. And for me emotionally, that's scary, too. The thought of myself being involved in that, the thought of my wife, my kids being involved in that. It's scary.

Then my emotion kind of turns to anger when I think about it. You know, visualizing my four year old going through something like this. Awful. Absolutely awful. And so my question for the group is this. Who here is emotional about this case just based on what they've heard. They're just flat out emotional about it by a show of hands.

Ma'am, in the back row. Could we pass the mic. Ms. Miller? Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: I'm -- I am emotional about it and it stems because of my grandsons that were involved with this, which just was beyond my comprehension.

And, I mean, that was 18 months ago. And now I have another grandson that was not, you know, with a gun or anything, but still stealing. It's freaking me out. It's just not right. I don't understand. It's just -- I can't -- I have a real difficult time with people terrorizing, threatening, you know, and the emotional effect it must have on those people. I mean, I've never had come even close to somebody really pointing a gun at me, but I just -- it scares the [inaudible]

out of me. 1 MR. TANASI: Well, thank you for sharing that and 2 it's got to be tough to do in front of the whole group. My 3 question, if you don't mind, is can you set that kind of 5 emotion aside in this case and sit here for what could be three weeks and be fair and impartial to Mr. Hobson and Mr. 7 Starr? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: I would give it a try. 8 9 MR. TANASI: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: That's all I can say. 11 MR. TANASI: Understood. Thank you, ma'am. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Was there anyone else 13 who raised their hand about being emotional about this case? 14 Okay. 15 THE COURT: Jim, do you want to --16 MR. TANASI: Okay. That's Ms. Greenough. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Greenough, 12. 18 THE COURT: -- hand it to Ms. Greenough. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I can talk loudly. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. We can talk. Can you hear her 21 okay, Christine? 22 Judge, can you hear? Sure. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I would say, just as I expressed yesterday, when things happen in your past, 24

sometimes those emotions don't go away. And probably because

25

I had an attempted break in recently and I live alone, as much as I want to be fair and give everybody a shot, when I heard the opening remarks all of the charges, mentally I'm going there has to be something there. And that's not a thought that I would want somebody on a jury if I were in their chair to have. I think I would do my best to be impartial, but as I said yesterday, too, I think victims, especially if any were held at gunpoint, or in my case it was a knife, things of that nature and then just feeling violated from being robbed, that would probably wear --

MR. TANASI: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- on my emotions.

MR. TANASI: I understand. And I don't mean to keep picking on you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: That's okay.

MR. TANASI: But --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No, some of these -- you know some things got more vivid after the questioning yesterday, quite honestly.

MR. TANASI: Understood. Understood. But I think as you said, and I just want to make sure I understand it clearly, you don't think you would want you on this jury if --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I wouldn't want 12 of me, I don't think.

MR. TANASI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: That was a thought I had 1 2 last night --3 MR. TANASI: Understood. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- in doing my own soul 5 searching, if you will. 6 MR. TANASI: Okay. Thank you for sharing. 7 Anyone else who raised their hand about just being 8 too emotional essentially to be fair in this case? All right. 9 Thank you. So Mr. Portz touched on it a little bit already. 10 11 There will be science in this case, okay. There'll be DNA 12 evidence in this case. There will be footprint evidence in 13 this case, okay. And some folks, they might say, well, if 14 there's DNA evidence and there's footwear evidence, then why 15 are we here; right? That would be the first camp. Let's 16 imagine a second camp of folks. The second camp of folks 17 might say, you know what, if there's DNA evidence and there's 18 footwear evidence, that's science. I want to see what the 19 science says, I want to see how they --20 MR. PORTZ: Your Honor --21 MR. TANASI: -- reach their conclusion. 22 MR. PORTZ: I apologize to interrupt, Mr. Tanasi. 23 Can we approach? 24 THE COURT: Sure.

Thank you.

MR. PORTZ:

25

(Off-record bench conference)

THE COURT: Mr. Tanasi, go ahead.

MR. TANASI: Thank you, Judge.

Okay. So if I can try to reimagine the two groups for us. The first group is science. The first group is DNA and footwear evidence. Why are we here? That's the question. That's kind of group and camp number one.

Group and camp number two would be asking, wait a minute, what does the science show, how did the scientists reach their conclusions, do I agree with the scientist's conclusions, and is there more than just science in this case? That would be the second group. And so my question for the first 36 is this. Who in the first 36 is in that first group, that group of people that are sitting in here saying if there's science, why are we here? Okay. Thank you. It's a negative response from everyone.

This question has been kind of posed already to some level, but I want to build on it just a little bit more. To the group of 36, who here has been falsely accused of something? It doesn't have to be a crime, just falsely accused of something? By a show of hands, anybody, at work, at school, at home, anybody?

THE COURT: We have a number of them.

MR. TANASI: We have a number of responses.

THE COURT: Anybody in the back row? Okay. In that

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

```
second row? Can you -- can you pass it there. There you go,
1
2
        It's coming.
3
              MR. TANASI:
                           Thank you.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021:
4
5
             MR. TANASI: Mr. Boggs.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yeah, in high school/
6
7
              MR. TANASI:
                           Sure.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: My PE teacher gives me a
8
9
    supplement.
              MR. TANASI: You don't need to go into the
10
    allegations of what it is, but, you know, what did you do in
11
12
    response to that?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Well, tried to explain
13
   myself to the powers that be to no avail because it was me
14
15
   against the teacher.
              MR. TANASI: So you say to no avail. It sounds
16
17
    like --
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yeah.
18
              MR. TANASI: -- you weren't able to clear your name?
19
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Right.
21
              MR. TANASI: How did that make you feel?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Well, not good.
                           Sure. Okay. Is there anything else
23
              MR. TANASI:
24
    you'd like to share over that?
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No, I can't think of
```

```
1
   anything.
             MR. TANASI: Thank you, sir. Can you pass it down.
2
3
   Thank you.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: This might lighten up
4
   the room, I don't know. My wife like on a monthly basis
   accuses me of adultery. It's because I travel for a living
   and if there's any woman's number in either of my phones, work
8
   or personal --
              MR. TANASI: Sure.
9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: -- it's automatically a
10
11
   mistress.
              MR. TANASI: Right.
                                   Okay.
                                          How does that make you
12
13
    feel?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Kind of like the room
14
15
    just was at this point, but originally it's quite frustrating.
              MR. TANASI: Sure. And okay, we'll leave that
16
17
    alone.
           Is there anyone else?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047:
                                          That's it.
18
              MR. TANASI:
                           Anyone else?
19
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056:
                                          William Burns, No. 56.
21
              MR. TANASI: Thank you.
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Anytime you work on -- I
23
    worked on aircraft all the time in the military. If something
    was wrong, there's always people who are like did you do your
24
```

Okay, yeah. I mean, I guess everybody we're always

25

judged by somebody at one time or falsely accused at -MR. TANASI: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: -- anything in life. So, I mean, it's not big, nothing big, but, yeah, everybody in their lifetime has probably been accused of something, be it a teacher or whatever.

MR. TANASI: And just if you could pick only one of those examples, what did you do about it when you were accused?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I just, you know, explained that I did do it or prove that I did do it or whatever I had to do at the time. I mean, it could be anything from them just saying you falsified a document to saying, no, I did this step or my friend over here -- one case run the rounds through a drum system. They said it couldn't have worked the way it did. I'm like, you're right, it probably shouldn't have, it was backwards, but I did run the rounds. I did my ops check. And a friend of mine said, yeah, he did the ops check, and closed case, I mean --

MR. TANASI: Sure. Thank you, sir.

Anyone else falsely accused? I saw some hands over here if we could -- yeah, thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Virginia Plank, No. 11.

I was accused by a -- I was a supervisor at work and I was accused by an underling of threatening her and throwing

something at her and I did neither.

MR. TANASI: Sure. So what did you do in response to that accusation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Well, she -- the accusation wasn't made to me. It was made to my supervisors who then called in a third party to meditate on this. And the third party looked at the video and said that the person was very obviously self-editing and it hadn't happened.

MR. TANASI: How did that whole process make you feel?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Well, when it was going on I was in tears and crying just because of the fact that I was accused of not doing something -- of doing something that I hadn't done. Then after I was cleared I felt, you know -- I won't say vindicated, but like, okay, justice has been served. It was proven I didn't do what she said.

MR. TANASI: Sure. Well, thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Boy, stuff from ages
ago.

MR. TANASI: I'm sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: This is kind of connected with an earlier question about a good or bad experience with law enforcement. Probably 18 years old, just a couple years ago, and I was driving from New York to Connecticut and I had just gotten -- well, actually, I was

close to 20 because I had just gotten out of the Navy. And I was driving up to Connecticut in the back roads of Virginia.

And I wear and wore hard contact lenses for distances, but I had taken them out and put my glasses on. I was in the back roads probably going a little too fast, but not too, too fast. It was an old car.

Got stopped and I took my glasses off because I didn't need them for close up vision to get out the license and so on. I had a not very nice cop and I had to follow -- I got an escort, front and back, follow him back to whatever town it was in the boondocks. I wasn't sure I was ever going to get out of the boondocks, but I was accused not only of the speeding, but of driving without corrective lenses. And I probably paid, I don't know 80 bucks, which was a lot of money back in the '60s just to get out of there. But the accusation was that I was also driving, they wanted to make the fine higher, that I was also driving without corrective lenses, which wasn't true.

MR. TANASI: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: And it doesn't feel good, but mostly it was a fear factor and just grateful to get out of there at the time.

MR. TANASI: So the accusation itself actually made you fearful?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Oh, yeah. Yeah.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

MR. TANASI: And I will just point out that I'm from Connecticut myself, and so I know the boondocks that you're speaking of.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Well, this was before I got to Connecticut.

MR. TANASI: Oh, okay. Got you. All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No, no, no. This was -- I'm not going to say a state because I don't want offend anybody, but this was on my way to there. Love Connecticut.

MR. TANASI: Okay. Anyone else? I think the gentleman in the back row. Oh, he's out of the first 36, so one more.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Over here.

MR. TANASI: Oh, I'm sorry, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Powers. Jim, right in the front row. Thanks.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Dan Powers, No. 77. A long time ago, most of my life I've been in the Army for '70 to '97. Early on accused by a platoon sergeant of saying something, calling him a name, didn't do it. Took me into the old man anyway and gave me a choice, you either do this or it gets worse. And I said, okay, I'll do that. So I went out and polished like artillery shells in the front yard for two weeks.

MR. TANASI: Yikes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: And then at the end of all that, when we finished the school and I was leaving, the guy came up to me and said, well, I know you didn't really do it, just thought you were getting too big for your britches. So I did not punch him, but yeah. So, you know, processes, while it's unfair, it happens. Suck it up and move on.

MR. TANASI: Understood. Thank you for you sharing.

Anyone else? It's been mentioned a few times, and, again, this question is for the first 36. This trial could go to -- to three weeks, okay. I -- it's my job, okay, to be here for two to three weeks. I have my own business, as well. And so running my own business and being here for three weeks is going to be tough, okay. I don't ask for pity, I'm just telling you I understand that for some folks that's going to be a hard thing to do, sit here for three weeks.

And we've touched on it, and I just want to make sure that we're clear, of all the folks that are in this first 36, is there anybody here who is just thinking, you know what, this trial is too long, three weeks, I cannot sit here and be fair to anybody, let along Mr. Hobson and Mr. Starr. I can't be fair. It's too long for me to focus and be fair. So by a show of hands in the first 36, who is at that pint right now? Okay. Thank you. We've got three here. Thank you, sir. Where's mic? Oh, go ahead.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No. 84, Jacqueline

Ayala. My stress levels are like up to here and I won't be able to concentrate on anything. I'll probably be like what did you say, or going back and forth. So it's -- I mean, I can try to concentrate really hard, but I just have like a million things that I have to do.

MR. TANASI: So given the million things that'll be on your mind, would you want yourself on a jury judging yourself?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No, because I might miss something and I will be like make a wrong decision or something.

MR. TANASI: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.

13 Sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Thank you. Well, I -Monte Lai, Badge No. 70. Yeah, I -- I'm not sure because
three weeks is really too long. I might have the feel like I
should get it done, the process get it done, even if they were
to, oh, okay, then we just try to rush a bit and get it done.
So I don't know if I can really by the time the point of
pressure get to me that I'm not sure if I can really like
carefully go through the detail, the facts, everything. I'll
probably just get, oh, let's get it over and go home.
Probably feel that way.

MR. TANASI: Sure. Would you want yourself sitting on a jury judging you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Excuse me? 1 2 MR. TANASI: Sure. It was a bad question. 3 you want to be on a jury that judges you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: No. 4 5 MR. TANASI: Given all of the issues you just 6 mentioned? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: No, probably not. 8 MR. TANASI: All right. Thank you, sir. If you can 9 pack the microphone two rows, I think. 1.0 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Steve Goulder, Badge 57. 11 I tell you this only as a matter of record --12 MR. TANASI: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: -- that I work 10 to 12 14 hours a day every day, Saturday included, and a half a dozen 15 hours on Sunday. I have a crew of five or six people that 16 rely on me, and they have -- they have 50 people behind them 17 that rely on them to keep the process moving. So I'm not 18 looking for pity, not looking for excused, but I will be working those 10 or 12 hours a day at night. 19 20 MR. TANASI: Okay. Is that something you would be 21 able to kind of manage through the duration of the three-week 22 trial and still be fair to Mr. Hobson and Mr. Starr? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: So that's tough because 24 I'll be working on three hour of sleep a night or so.

Sure.

MR. TANAST:

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Focus could be a 1 2 problem. 3 MR. TANASI: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I don't know. 4 Thank you for sharing. 5 MR. TANASI: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Hi. I'm Sam Menor, 6 7 Badge 22. Sir, I make -- I work for tips so that 21 days is going to affect my -- my day. And I don't have nobody to pick 8 9 up my kids at school. And [inaudible]. MR. TANASI: I understand. Given managing that, 10 managing the financial side and managing the family side of 11 12 things, do you think over the course of three weeks you could 13 be fair and impartial to Mr. Hobson and Mr. Starr? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: I don't know if I could 14 15 be partial. I'm not sure. 16 MR. TANASI: Thank you, sir. 17 Is there anyone else? I saw some hands over here. 18 Thank you. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: Jerry Eldridge, 154. 20 MR. TANASI: Good morning, sir. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: I just think the focus 22 for me would be, you know, paying attention three weeks. I'm 23 kind of an outside working, impatient type of person. 24 always moving, so I don't know if I could sit in here for

25

three weeks and focus.

MR. TANASI: Sure. So understanding that, if you were on trial, would you want you sitting on the jury if you were maybe impatient and unable to focus?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: Well, not really.

MR. TANASI: Okay. So do you think you'd be able to be fair and impartial to Mr. Hobson and Mr. Starr given those three weeks and that kind of limitations?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: Well, I think I could be fair and impartial, but what if I just miss something, you know, that was important by not paying attention or completely, you know, focused on paying attention. That's -- that's kind of where I'm at with it.

MR. TANASI: sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: I can be fair and impartial. The focus thing is --

MR. TANASI: Tough.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: Yeah.

MR. TANASI: Understood. Thank you, sir. Pass it down. I saw a couple other hands.

Thank you. Good morning.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Exazavion Baugus, Badge No. 4. So I was asked yesterday if my job would pay for me to stay here for the three weeks, and she said no, just for one day. So I think that me being here three weeks and I'm the only one paying for everything at the house, I think my eyes