

FILED "In the Court of Appeals of the State of Nevada"

APR 05 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY [Signature]
DEPUTY CLERK

Dear Clerk of the Court, I, James H. Hayes case No. 75173

upon this court to issue a stay of proceedings in Clark County District Courts, so that defendant do not have to face the jeopardy of trial. Wherein, in Nevada the writ of habeas corpus will issue to the end that petitioner will not be compelled to undertake the burden of trial where the evidence before the committing magistrate is legally insufficient to indicate that he is guilty of any offense. See Ex parte Sullivan, 71 Nev. 90, 280 P.2d. 965; Ex parte Hutchinson, 76 Nev. 478, 357 P.2d. 589; Eureka Bank Cases, 35 Nev. 80, 126 P. 655; NRS 172.280.

Petitioner begs of this court to rule on the merits of filed petitions. Wherein, the NRAP clearly states that the petitioners deadline to file in District Court has long time passed where the deadline is 21 days after the preliminary hearing transcripts are produced to challenge probable cause. Petitioner requested and begged time and time again to appointed counsel to issue challenge prior to the 21 day deadline expiring to no avail causing petitioner to have paid counsel due to appointed counsel ineffective assistance, that later filed motion to be removed from case due to lack of financial payment that was granted to leave petitioner pro se. As stated in Chapter 34 of NRS and the Nevada Const.

The writ of habeas corpus is the plain, speedy, and adequate remedy on the ordinary course of law, that this said court have the power to issue. See Nev. Const. Art 6, § 4; State v. Smith 675 P.2d. 521

RECEIVED
APR 04 2018