IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANDREW ROBERT ALLEN LASTINE,

Electronically Filed
Dec 07 2017 01:15 p.m.
No. 73239 Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Appeal from a Judgment of Conviction in Case Number CR16-0718
The Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada
Honorable Patrick Flanagan, District Judge

JOINT APPENDIX VOLUME THREE

JEREMY T. BOSLER Washoe County Public Defender CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS Washoe County District Attorney

JOHN REESE PETTY Chief Deputy 350 South Center Street, 5th Floor P.O. Box 11130 Reno, Nevada 89520-0027 TERRENCE P. McCARTHY Chief Appellate Deputy One South Sierra, 7th Floor P.O. Box 11130 Reno, Nevada 89520

Attorneys for Appellant

Attorneys for Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Information <u>filed</u> on May 13, 2016
2.	Judgment of Conviction <u>filed</u> on May 11, 2017 1JA 134
3,	Jury Instructions (1-44) <u>filed</u> on January 17, 2017
4.	Motion to Dismiss <u>filed</u> on February 6, 2017 1JA 4
5.	Notice of Appeal <u>filed</u> on June 8, 2017 1JA 136
6.	Opposition to Motion to Dismiss <u>filed</u> on February 21, 2017
7.	Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing <i>held</i> on February 28, 2017
8.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Volume I <i>held</i> on March 6, 2017
9.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Volume II <i>held</i> on March 7, 2017
10.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial Volume III <i>held</i> on March 8, 2017
11.	Verdict <u>filed</u> on March 8, 2017

```
4185
 1
    STEPHANIE KOETTING
    CCR #207
    75 COURT STREET
    RENO, NEVADA
 5
 6
                 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
 7
                    IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
 8
            THE HONORABLE PATRICK FLANAGAN, DISTRICT JUDGE
 9
                                --000--
10
11
      STATE OF NEVADA,
                   Plaintiffs,
12
                                      Case No. CR16-0718
13
      VS.
                                      Department 7
      ANDREW ROBERT ALLEN
14
      LASTINE,
15
                   Defendant.
16
17
18
                       TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
19
                            TRIAL VOLUME II
20
                            March 7, 2017
21
                               9:00 a.m.
22
                              Reno, Nevada
23
                        STEPHANIE KOETTING, CCR #207, RPR
    Reported by:
24
                         Computer-Aided Transcription
```

1	APPEARANCES:				
2	For the State:				
3		OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY By: MIKE BOLENBAKER, ESQ.			
4		P.O. Box 30083 Reno, Nevada			
5		Nello, Nevada			
6	For the Defendant:	OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER			
7		By: CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. 350 S. Center			
8		Reno, Nevada			
9					
10					
11		*			
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20	196				
21					
22					
23					
24					

RENO, NEVADA, March 7, 2017, 9:00 a.m.

--000--

THE COURT: We are convened outside the presence of the jury in CR16-0718. Mr. Bolenbaker, you rise.

6 MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you, your Honor. I wanted

to put on the record, I noticed in the defense opening argument yesterday, they argued something to the effect of, well, these deputies barged in to the residence without a warrant, went into the room without a warrant, and things of that nature.

I bring it up, because I don't think that's appropriate. I think it's trying to confuse the jury as to what they can and cannot consider. I dealt with this issue in a DUI trial last August where there was a fight over whether the blood would be admitted. The blood was allowed to be admitted. And during most of the jury trial, there was an insinuation that the blood was taken illegally.

And that went back into the jury room, after the jury deliberated and came back guilty. I did speak to some of the jurors and they said that they weren't sure if they could consider the blood because of the arguments of defense counsel.

So I wanted to put the Court on notice, I do

believe if that's a continuing line of argument, that there should be some type of remedy to say that you are allowed to consider or that the entry has been deemed lawful or something to protect us and give us a fair shot.

Because it stands now, it seems like they're trying to say this was an illegal entry. That's the insinuation that they make when they say you barge in without a warrant or that type of insinuation. I think some remedy needs to be made to make sure we're all on a level playing field.

THE COURT: Ms. Brady. Ms. Maher.

MS. BRADY: There wasn't any insinuation. We weren't trying to make any kind of insinuation. It was just a fact. You deemed it to be a legal warrantless entry. He can on cross examination, he's able on his opening, he's able to say and got out, elicited that Mr. Robert Lastine said they could come in. I don't see any prejudice to the State.

THE COURT: Let me do this, let me have

Ms. Koetting provide a transcript of the opening, I'll look

at it, and if I feel some advisory instruction is

appropriate, I'll ask both sides for their contribution. But

I understand the concern.

MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MS. BRADY: No.

THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury.

MS. BRADY: I'm sorry. The only thing I want to put on the record is that the State did get close, I know that you didn't withhold the keys in the pocket, but in his opening, he mentioned that the keys — that those keys were to the truck and you did suppress the issue of them, you know, turning on, getting into the truck.

THE COURT: I listened to that and I thought that they were close, but they didn't cross the line to our ruling. Our ruling was that the actions of Trooper Howald in going in and turning the key and entering the cab was suppressed and whatever happened after that, but I don't think they crossed the line. But I appreciate that. Go ahead.

MS. BRADY: My concern is that if he's going to try to elicit from the officer something to the effect of, without saying she got into the truck, but to the effect, you know that those keys opened, you know, ignited that truck, were to that truck, that is using and taking advantage of the knowledge they gained from the fruit of the poison tree. So I would be concerned about any question of that sort.

MR. BOLENBAKER: I wouldn't ask that question. What I would ask, though, is were the keys located in the

pocket, were they vehicle keys? I think that's an 1 appropriate observation that she can make just based upon 2 observing the keys in the pocket. 3 THE COURT: That's fine. Thank you very much. 4 Now let's bring in the jury. 5 Mr. Bolenbaker, how will we proceed? 6 MR. BOLENBAKER: I have all six of my witnesses 7 left in the case coming this morning, because I wanted to be 8 prepared to move. I figure essentially one may get bumped. 9 I don't anticipate them being any longer than the one we had 10 yesterday. So that took about, what, 20 minutes. 11 THE COURT: All right. I just have an appointment 12 at 11:45. So I expect to close up a little bit before lunch 13 there and probably come back at 1:15, start at 1:30. 14 (The following proceedings were had in the 15 presence of the jury.) 16 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 17 Will counsel stipulate to the presence of the jury? 18 MR. BOLENBAKER: Yes, your Honor. 19 20 MS. BRADY: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you very much. Mr. Bolenbaker, 21 22 your next witness. MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you. The State will call 23 Jason Beck. May I approach your clerk? 24

1		THE COURT: You may.
2		(One witness sworn at this time.)
3		THE COURT: Thank you very much. Mr. Bolenbaker,
4	your witne	ess.
5		MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you, your Honor.
6		JASON BECK
7	called	as a witness and being duly sworn did testify as
8		follows:
9		DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. BOI	LENBAKER:
11	Q.	Good morning, sir.
12	Α.	Good morning.
13	Q.	Can you state your name and spell your last for
14	the record	1?
15	Α.	Jason Beck, B-e-c-k.
16	Q.	And, Mr. Beck, what I'd like to do is focus your
17	attention	on January 7th of 2016. Do you recall that day?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	And let's start with just the day itself. Can you
20	tell us a	little bit about what you were doing that day?
21	A	I was at work.
22	Q .	And where do you work?
23	п А.	I work for Bennet Medical Services.
24	Q.	What do you do there?

- A. I'm the purchasing manager.
 - Q. And what time do you normally get off work?
 - A. 5:00.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

14

- Q. Is that approximately when you got off work on January 7th?
- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. When you got off work, what were your plans?
 - A. I go pick up my daughter in Sun Valley.
 - Q. And where are you picking her up from?
 - A. From Mozart Lane from the daycare.
 - Q. And how are you traveling that evening?
- 12 A. The way I normally do, north 395 to Sun Valley
 13 Boulevard and north on that.
 - Q. Okay. And it's January, so what were the lighting conditions when you were driving?
- 16 A. It was dark. So streetlights and lights from the 17 cars.
- Q. Now, what I want to focus your attention, then, to
 when you were at Sun Valley Boulevard and about First Avenue.

 Did something unusual happen?
- 21 A. Yes. I was cut off by a pickup truck.
- Q. And what do you mean by cut off?
- A. It was bumper to bumper. We were at the light and there wasn't very much room between me and the car in front

- of me, just normal distance, and a pickup truck swerved in front of me with no turn signal.
 - Q. And what lane were you traveling in?
- A. I was in the left lane.
 - Q. Left lane. And so the truck that you're referring to swerved from the right lane into the left lane?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And it went in front of you, you said?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

- Q. So you were able to see the rear of that truck?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Can you describe what that truck looked like?
- 13 A. It was an older Ford Ranger type small pickup 14 truck, tan in color.
- 15 Q. Anything about the license plate catch your eye?
 - A. It was a classic vehicle license plate.
 - Q. Why would an old Ford small pickup with a classic license plate catch your eye?
- A. It's funny that an old Ford pickup truck,
 1980-ish, is considered a classic vehicle.
- Q. Now, did you observe how many people were in that truck?
- 23 A. I saw one
- Q. So describe what happens then. We'll talk about

the accident in a second, but describe what happens then from the time you're cut off until the time you get to Sun Valley and Fifth.

A. He's still in front of me in the left lane. We get past the light at First Street. Traffic starts to thin out. And the driver is driving erratically or aggressively. He swerves into the middle turn lane like he's going to pass the other cars in front of him, back into the travel lane, back over into the turn lane, back and forth.

Somewhere before the accident happens, the car gets over into the right lane and stays there and drives normal.

- Q. Okay. And when you say drives normal, you mean within the lane?
 - A. Within the lane, yes.

- O. And then what did you observe?
- A. Then coming up, the light changes red. I'm in the left lane. There is a white SUV vehicle ahead of me in the right lane. That vehicle starts to slow down, I start to slow down, and the pickup truck doesn't slow down, no brake lights after I see the tail pass me, and hits the white SUV.
 - Q. Now, describe how the truck hits the white SUV.
- A. Like I said, there was no attempt to stop. There was no brake lights. I didn't observe that the truck was

- slowing down at all, hits it, and then they both kind of veer off to the side of the road.
- Q. So the front of the truck hit the back of the white SUV?
 - A. Correct.
- O. Like a rear-end?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Describe exactly then after the impact. Let me backup. When you say -- I said rear-end, is that fair, is that a fair and accurate description of it?
- 11 A. Yes, rear-end.
 - Q. And describe, then, the immediate aftermath of the impact and where the cars go.
 - A. So there's a bus stop right there before the intersection. They -- both vehicles kind of coast, drift off to the shoulder. The SUV comes to a stop. The pickup truck, the driver seems to pop up, get his wits about him, goes over the embankment, through the parking lot, and out the east side of that business.
 - Q. What do you mean by pop up and get his wits about him?
 - A. I could see the silhouette in the back. His head popped up. He wasn't -- the person in there, I don't think was injured. He popped up, because there was two seconds,

- 1 maybe, that they drifted off to the shoulder.
- Q. And then so he popped up and then went down that embankment?
- A. Yeah, then went down the embankment and out the parking lot.
- 6 Q. Describe that embankment.
- A. It's probably two or three feet, a dirt

 8 embankment. The parking lot is lower than the street level.
- 9 MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach, your Honor?
- THE COURT: You may.
- 11 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
- Q. Let me show you what has been admitted as Exhibits
 13 | 1 through 6. Can you just take a minute to look through
- 14 | those?
- 15 A. Okay.
- Q. Do those photos fairly and accurately depict the aftermath of this accident scene as you saw it?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And Sun Valley and Fifth, is that in Washoe
- 20 County?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So the accident took place in Washoe County?
- 23 A. Yes.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish these

1 again, your Honor?

THE COURT: Granted.

3 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. So everyone knows, I think we figured out the lighting snafu. I'm hoping it will come up better than it did yesterday. Can you tell us what you see in this photograph?
- A. I see the car that was rear-ended just before the intersection.
- Q. You can actually touch the screen and it will come up for everybody to see. Use your fingernail. That's the best way to get it.
- A. That was the vehicle that was rear-ended just before the intersection there.
- Q. And is that Fifth, then, we're looking at? We're on Sun Valley looking at Fifth?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And where do you see the embankment that we've been talking about?
- A. The embankment runs right here, and I believe the bench for the bus stop is behind the deputy's vehicle.
- Q. And that's Exhibit Number 1. I'll show you number five. Is that the bus stop there to the left?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. You're referring to?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Can you circle the bus stop bench so everyone can see? Did that truck ever stop at the scene?
- A. No.
 - Q. Did you stop?
- A. I stopped.
 - Q: You stopped?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Why did you stop?
 - A. Because it was a pretty hard rear-end and I thought they would both stop and I would get out and help in some way.
 - Q. And what do you mean help in some way?
 - A. It struck me as very strange, the driver, obviously, watching that truck coming down Sun Valley Boulevard driving erratically and rear-ended somebody with no apparent stopping or trying to avoid the accident.

And then like I said, after -- two seconds after the rear-end happened, it sped off. So then I really needed to stay.

- Q. Were you worried that somebody was hurt?
- 23 A. Yes.
- MS. BRADY: Objection, leading.

1 THE COURT: Overruled. 2 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 3 You said that the truck sped away. What do you mean by that? 4 5 As I said, they both kind of veered to the 6 shoulder on the right side of the road. And then the best 7 way I could describe it is just put the foot on the gas and 8 went over the embankment. You can see the truck, you know, as it went over, it bounced quite hard and out the side of 10 the parking lot. So you stop and what do you do? 11 Q. 12 I pull up behind the white SUV and block traffic a 13 little bit and start talking the lady who was driving. And how did she appear to you? 14 0. She was very dazed and hurt. 15 Α. 16 MS. BRADY: Objection, speculation. THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker. 17 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 18 What you made you think she was hurt? 19 0. 20 She was complaining of neck pain. Α. 21 MS. BRADY: Objection, hearsay. 22 THE COURT: It's a medical exception. Overruled. 23 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 24 Ο. Go ahead.

Α. She was --1 MS. BRADY: Your Honor, if I may make a record? 2 THE COURT: Yes, certainly. 3 MS. BRADY: He's not a doctor treating. He wasn't 4 treating her. The medical exception would only come in terms 5 of if there was a doctor treating her and if there was some 6 business records with some kind of information from the 7 doctors themselves about any kind of injury. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker. 9 MR. BOLENBAKER: To me, it's a medical diagnosis. 10 It's also a present sense impression. He's explaining what 11 she's going through at that moment. There's more exceptions 12 if I can get into them, but --13 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. Go ahead. 14 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 15 Go ahead and explain why you felt she was hurt? 16 Q. I asked her if she was okay and she said no. 17 Α. MS. BRADY: Objection, hearsay. 18 THE COURT: Overruled. 19 MS. BRADY: Just for the record, a continuing 20 objection of hearsay. 21 THE COURT: That's fine. 22 MS. BRADY: It's improper for him to discuss what 23 someone else is saying. 24

THE COURT: Understood. The objection is overruled. Go ahead, counsel.

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

1.7

- O. Go ahead. Lost your train of thought?
- A. A little bit. So I come up to the vehicle, I asked her if she's okay. She says, no, her neck hurts. I ask her her name. It took her a couple of seconds to come up with her own name. I looked in the vehicle for any other passengers. She was the only one in there.

By this time, there were other people who had stopped and came up. Somebody was calling 911. And so I was at that point more just comforting her, saying it will be okay, there's police and ambulance on the way.

- O. Why did you comfort her?
- A. She was crying. She appeared to be in pain.
- Q. When you say appeared to be in pain, what do you mean?
- A. She was crying. She was aching. She just kept trying to reach for her neck.
 - Q. And then what happens next?
- A. I believe the sheriff's deputy was the first one to show up and the fire truck and ambulance weren't too far behind. They started doing their thing and then I started filling out -- the sheriff's deputy asked me to fill out a

```
1
    report.
              And while you were there, did you notice a license
2
         0.
    plate in the area of the accident?
3
              MS. BRADY: Objection, leading.
 4
               THE COURT: He's just asking him what he saw.
 5
 6
    ahead.
    BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
7
               Did you notice a license plate in the area?
 8
         Q.
               MS. BRADY: Objection, leading.
 9
               THE COURT: Sustained. Excuse me. Overruled.
                                                                Go
10
11
    ahead.
    BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
12
               You can go ahead and answer the question. Did you
13
         0.
    notice a license plate in the area?
14
               No. I didn't see it.
         Α.
15
              Did anybody point it out to you?
16
         Q.
               The sheriff's deputy pointed it out to me.
17
         Α.
               When you saw that license plate, what was your
         Q.
18
19
    reaction?
               I recognized it as the one on the back of the
20
         Α.
     vehicle.
21
               At least matching the one that you saw?
         Q.
22
               Matching, yes.
         Α.
23
               MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach, your Honor?
24
```

THE COURT: You may. 1 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: I'm going to show you Exhibit 7 and 8. Can you 3 take a look at that? 4 Α. Okay. Is that the license plate you saw at the accident 6 0. scene of Fifth Avenue and Sun Valley Boulevard? 7 Yes, it is. Α. 8 MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish these, your 9 10 Honor? THE COURT: Granted. 11 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 12 I'll start with number eight. Is that 13 Q. approximately where the license plate was when the deputy 14 pointed it out to you? 15 Yes, it was. 16 Α. I notice it says Classic Rod on there. Is that 17 what you were referring to? 18 19 Α. Yes. I'll show you number seven, a little closer up 20 view of the actual plate. It appears that the license plate 21 number is A335? 22 23 Α. Yes. MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach again, your Honor? 24

```
THE COURT: You may.
1
    BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
        O. Let me show you what has been admitted as
3
    Exhibit 10. Can you take a look at that photograph?
4
        A. Okay.
5
        Q. Do you recognize that vehicle?
6
             Yes.
        Α.
7
             How do you recognize it?
        Q.
             From the license plate, the tailgate, the tan with
        Α.
9
    the stripe and the toolbox in the bed.
10
             Is that the truck that you saw on January 7th,
11
    2016?
12
             Yes.
13
       Α.
             MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish this, your
14
    Honor?
15
              THE COURT: Granted.
16
    BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
17
         Q. Does it appears that the license plate number is
18
    A335 on this vehicle?
19
         A. Yes, it does.
20
             MR. BOLENBAKER: I have no further questions, your
21
    Honor, Thank you.
22
              THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bolenbaker. Ms. Brady.
23
              MS. BRADY: Thank you, your Honor.
24
```

CROSS EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MS. BRADY: Good morning. 0. Good morning. You met with the State for about three or four 0. 5 times in preparation for this trial? 6 Correct. I met with him a couple of times. 7 Α. And did he show you pictures when you met with him 8 9 in his office? Yes. 10 Α. And on the night in question, you mentioned that 11 Q. it was dark? 12 Uh-huh. Α. 13 And that you saw whoever was driving the vehicle 14 really from behind? 15 Correct. Α. 16 And that was through your window, your front Q. 1.7 window? 18 Uh-huh. 19 Α. And so you didn't get a look at the front of the 20 Q. 21 person? Correct. 22 Α. You refer to him as a male, but you didn't 23 Q. really --24

1	A. Just habit. Yes. I could not tell whether it was
2	male or female.
3	Q. When you filled out your police report, you filled
4	that out after the police showed you the license plate on the
5	ground?
6	A. I was in the middle of filling it out when they
7	said that they found the license plate.
8	Q. Okay. And after the accident occurred, the Kia
9	pulled off to the side of the road?
10	A. Correct.
11	MS. BRADY: No further questions. Thank you.
12_	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Brady. Mr. Bolenbaker.
13	MR. BOLENBAKĖR: Nothing further, your Honor.
14	Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Beck, thank you very much. Watch
16	your step going down. Mr. Bolenbaker, your next witness.
17	MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you, your Honor. The State
18	will call Lieutenant Bowers.
19	(One witness sworn at this time.)
20	THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker, your witness.
21	MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you.
22	EDDIE BOWERS
23	called as a witness and being duly sworn did testify as
24	follows:
	I and the second

DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: Lieutenant, right? 3 Q. Yes. Α. Can you state your name and spell your last for 5 Q. the record, please? 6 It's Eddie Bowers, B-o-w-e-r-s. 7 Α. And, lieutenant, where do you currently work? 8 Q. I'm the personnel commander for parole and 9 Α. probation. 10 And what do you do there? Q. 11 I oversee all the hiring for the Division, 12 Α. background investigation, I review those personnel related 13 matters, grievances, things of that nature. 14 And before you worked for the Division of Parole 15 0. and Probation, where did you work? 16 The Nevada Highway Patrol. Α. 17 And how long did you work there for? Ο. 18 About 16 -- a little more than 16 years. 19 Α. And were you working for the Nevada Highway Patrol 2.0 Q. back in January of 2016? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And can you briefly to the jury just describe the 23 Ο. training that you undergo to become a patrolman? 24

- A. Yes. It's the curriculum offered by the Nevada

 Peace Officers Standards and Training. You go to an academy.

 As soon as you graduate the POST curriculum, you're allowed

 to be a Category 1 police officer. And then after that,

 Highway Patrol candidates go into the Highway Patrol

 specifics program where you're taught much more intimate

 information on how to be a traffic officer, a state traffic

 officer.
- Q. You said you were there for 16 years. Can you give us a breakdown of your duties during that 16-year period?
- A. Initially, that of a traffic trooper for ten years patrolling the highways, providing help to stranded motorists, investigating crashes, taking enforcement action on traffic violations, anywhere from speeding to DUI arrests.

And then about 2010, I promoted to sergeant with the Highway Patrol. And those duties consisted of just basically supervising people like myself at the time, traffic troopers, having the same job duties, other than the additional component of being a supervisor.

- Q. Were you in the capacity of a sergeant back on January 7th of 2016?
 - A. Yes.

Q. So, lieutenant, what I want to do is actually

focus your attention to the early evening hours of January 7th, 2016. Were you called out to an area of Sun Valley Boulevard and Fifth Avenue in Washoe County?

A. Yes.

- Q. And what was the nature of the call?
- A. It was a crash, two vehicle crash up on Sun Valley Boulevard.
- Q. So describe to the jury what it's like getting a call of a crash and the process you do and what you do to get there and things like that.
- A. Yeah. All of our patrol cars have police radios and that's the mode of communication whereby a dispatch call goes out. In this particular incident, I being a supervisor, you're not typically assigned to the call, so you wouldn't be the one dispatch would reach out to first to send. They would grab like a traffic trooper that would appear available and they would have them respond to the call.

That in fact happened on January 7th. Another officer was assigned or responding to a call. It was reported that the other vehicle, the at fault vehicle, perhaps fled the scene. So that kind of triggered me to respond to provide any kind of assistance.

Q. Why does that trigger you to respond, that information?

there, I envisioned. I didn't hear anybody else responding to the call from the Highway Patrol. Now, in incidences like this, especially in Sun Valley, there will be other officers up there from perhaps Washoe County Sheriff's Department. They're always in the area. I knew they would probably be there to provide some sort of assistance. But as a supervisor, you know, like a hit and run, something of that nature, something that I want to respond to and make sure things are followed appropriately.

- Q. How does that work? Do you just say, hey, I'm going to head there myself? How does that work?
- A. Yeah. I'll advise dispatch. I might get on the radio and I'll make a transmission that I'm going to be in route to the call.
 - Q. When you get there, what do you observe?
- A. In this particular case, there was a large SUV that had damage to the rear of the vehicle indicating that is where the collision had occurred. There was debris in the road. Most notably, I guess from an investigative standpoint, was the fact that there was a license plate in the travel lane that did not belong to the victim vehicle, if you will, that remained on the scene.
 - Q. And so what does that indicate to you when you see

something like that?

- A. Well, I've seen it a number of times where you are gifted a pretty large piece of evidence like that when a license plate from the suspect vehicle falls off and it's still on the scene. It's a pretty good clue to know you can run the license plate and quickly ascertain where that vehicle might reside and the hope, then, is that you'll find that vehicle later on.
- Q. And so I'd like to delve into that a little further. You say a gift, what do you mean by that?
- A. Well, in so many cases, I've arrived, unfortunately, on the scene of crashes where there's just one car on the side of the road and somebody is telling me that they were hit. And I'll go, okay, where is the other car? Well, they left. And they'll tell me things like it was a white car. And they just don't give me enough to help them, you know, be made whole, at least try to find that car that did that to their vehicle.

And, you know, in this particular case, I didn't have anybody on scene that was saying, you know, it's this kind of car, it went this way. Nobody was telling me that. It was just, wow, there's the license plate right there. So then you can run the license plate and learn what type of vehicle that plate came off of. At least it's a clue. It's

- 1 | a lead to try to find the car that lost that plate.
 - Q. And so that was done in this particular incident?
- 3 A. Yes.

5

6

7

- Q. Were you able to get an address associated with that license plate?
 - A. That did happen, yes.
- Q. So who else from the Nevada Highway Patrol was there on the scene?
- 9 A. That was one of my troopers, Trooper Alyssa
 10 Howald.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach the witness, your
- 12 Honor?
- 13 THE COURT: You may.
- 14 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
- Q. I'm going to show you what has been admitted as

 Exhibits 1 through 8. They're in kind of a series, 1 through

 here, and then 7 and 8. Can you take a minute and look

 through those?
- 19 A. Okay.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish these, your
- 21 Honor?
- THE COURT: Granted.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you, your Honor.
- 24 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

- Q. I'm going to focus mostly on the ones of the vehicle. I'm going to show you number three. Can you tell us what you observed in that photograph?
- A. Yes. It's what I referred to earlier as the SUV or the victim vehicle with moderate damage to the rear of the vehicle.
- Q. And you can actually circle on there and it will come up on the screen so everybody can see. Can you circle what you're referring to?
 - A. Sure. I'll circle the damaged area.
- Q. I'll show you number four. It's another angle. Can you show us again what you're looking at here in this photograph in terms of damage?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So, lieutenant, is that damage that we're looking at, is that consistent with a car being struck from behind?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Now, I want to show you, this is number two, can you show us the vehicle in that picture?
- A. Yes. It's right -- you can see up here off the shoulder.
- Q. On this photograph —— let me show you these first.

 I'll show you 7 and 8. Is that the license plate you're

 referring to? That's number seven.

A. Yes.

- Q. And then number eight, another picture of the license plate?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, it comes up faint, but on the top left of that picture, can you see a line?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I want to show you number two. I'm going to circle it. Is that where the license plate was located?
 - A. Yes, it appears so.
 - O. That will be to the right of the photograph?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. So kind of take us through, then, what you do when you get there.
 - A. Well, Trooper Howald had made it to the scene
 before myself. Once I arrived to the scene, met with Trooper
 Howald. She had already begun to process the accident scene.
 When we do that, we're gathering names, insurance
 information, things like that, obtaining witness statements,
 if there are any.

But as I arrived, things continued to evolve. It was discovered that the Washoe County Sheriff's Department were at a residence associated with that license plate and were possibly contacting a suspect driver. When this

information was discovered by myself and Trooper Howald, I remember standing in the road looking at Officer Howald saying, go, go to the house. I'll take over the crash part and I'll allow her to go and investigate further to see if we located the driver.

So at that point in time, I took over the investigation of the crash and Trooper Howald departed to go respond to the address associated with the plate.

- Q. And timing wise, are we talking how long before -- after you get there to when you learned that Washoe County is actually already at the residence?
- A. Pretty quick. I didn't -- I recall getting out of my patrol vehicle, walking up, making contact with Howald, and it was proximity to my arrival, sometime after that, soon after that is when Trooper Howald departed to respond to the address.
- Q. And you say you'll finish up the crash part, what do you mean by that?
- A. I then take over the role of memorializing all the information that is obtained on to a crash form. And then I would supply vehicle information, vehicle years, colors, makes, models, drivers' names, license numbers, things of nature, and then turn that in for a review.
 - Q. And after you're done there, did you respond,

then, to the address associated with the license plate?

A. I did.

1.5

- Q. Was that at 290 Bent Pine Circle?
- A. Bent Pine, yes, that is familiar.
- Q. Give us a description of what you observed when you arrived there at the address?

A. By the time I got there, really, a lot of things had transpired already. I just -- there was a driver I believe already in custody. I merely -- I'm completing the accident investigation part, so I really wanted to see the car that I had been looking for. And, you know, like I said, with that license plate being kind of gifted to me in the way of evidence and being something provided to help me make the victim whole, there is, you know, a little pickup truck with the same license plate I'd just seen out in the middle of the travel lane with the rear of it attached to the rear of the vehicle.

Everybody gets two license plates when they apply for registration in the State of Nevada, and I had the first license plate from being out in the travel lane, and the second one is now found affixed to the back of this vehicle.

MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach again, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

I'm going to show you what has been admitted as 1 Exhibits 10 through 19. Go ahead and take a look at those 3 Α. Okay. MR. BOLENBAKER: And if I could approach again, 4 your Honor? 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 7 I wanted to show you nine as well. 8 0. 9 Α. Okay. Now, number nine, does that appear to be an 10 accurate representation of the area of Sun Valley and Fifth, 11 as well as 290 Bent Pine Circle? 12 Yes. Α. 13 MR. BOLENBAKER: Your Honor, at this time I move 14 to admit proposed Exhibit 9. 15 MS. BRADY: No objection, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Nine is admitted, Ms. Clerk. 17 THE CLERK: Thank you. 18 MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish, your 19 20 Honor? THE COURT: Granted. 21 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 22 Q. So can you on this circle where the accident 23 24 occurred?

- Yes. It would be in this area here. 1 Α.
 - Can you describe this area right here? Q.
 - Yes. It's just a large parking area. Α.
- Okay. And then can you show us where 290 Bent Q. 4 5 Pine Circle is?
 - Yes. It's noted up here in this cul-de-sac. Α.
 - How long did it take you to get there? Q.
- Not long at all. It's a quick drive down Fifth Α. and, you know, left on Leon. I can't say precisely if that's 9 the path I traversed in order to get there, but it is not 10 11 far.
 - Right. I'm looking at this right on the bottom. 0. It says it's 200 feet. So do you know approximately the distance between these two points? Hate to put you on the spot there.
 - I would say perhaps 2,000 feet, roughly. Α.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish these, your 17 18 Honor, 10 through 19?
- THE COURT: Granted. 19
- BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 20

3

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

- I'll start with ten. What can you tell us about 21 Ο. this photograph? 2.2
- This is the suspect vehicle, if you will, or the 2.3 at fault vehicle from my collision vehicle. But what's most 24

notable, of course, is the license plate affixed to the rear of the vehicle, which is the same as the plate found on scene.

- Q. And did you observe then and go around and look at this truck?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. I'll show you 11. Is that the same truck?
- A. Yes.
- O. And then 12?
- A. Yes, same truck.
- Q. What do you see here in this photograph?
- 12 A. I'm sorry?
- Q. What do you see here?
- A. Again, the accident vehicle that's many different colors. It's got damage in most locations of the vehicle.

 It's an older vehicle.
 - Q. When you say many different colors, the door looks green and the side paneling looks white or the wood paneling?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I'll show you 16. What do you see here in this photograph?
- A. We've got damage to the right front of the vehicle.
 - Q. What color does the hood appear to be?

A. It's red.

- Q. I'll show you another photo from another angle.

 That's number 13. Is that just from another side of the

 truck?
 - A. Yes, left side front.
 - Q. And then 14, what do you see here?
 - A. The right front, right side of the vehicle.
 - Q. Can you circle the damage you were referring to?
 - A. Again, right here in the right front.
 - Q. And then number 17, what do you see here?
 - A. Again, more damage to the front of the vehicle, and then we start to get some -- for my standpoint, what I'm looking for are evidence, things like that, to establish this is in fact the vehicle that hit the victim vehicle on scene. And most notably, of course, is the absence of the front license plate, which is out on scene of the crash.
 - And then we start to see things like white paint transfer. The other vehicle, the SUV, was white. And then you start see things like white paint transfer to marry with the victim vehicle that was on scene.
 - O. And so what is paint transfer?
 - A. Well, when you have varied colors of vehicles, we've got a red hood here and then a white SUV out on scene, there's an exchange there. When that contact is made, the

collision forces are very violent and there's scrubbing, disengaging, you know, metal on metal, and sometimes paint is deposited on each vehicle.

- O. Is that common?
- A. Yes.

- Q. So I'll show you number 18. Can you point out what you're referring to with the paint transfer?
- A. Yes, you can see it most notably here. And then the crack in the grill right in this section.
 - Q. So essentially in the middle of this photograph?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What kind of things, when you have a situation where obviously one vehicle is no longer at the scene of a two-car crash and no one says

MS. BRADY: Objection, the DA is testifying.

THE COURT: He's setting up. Overruled.

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

- Q. And no one says it's this guy here or this lady here who was the driver of that vehicle, how do you piece it altogether?
- A. Basically some of the things we talked about. I had the plate out on the scene of the crash, which takes me to a location. Hopefully, the car is there. Sometimes you get lucky, sometimes you don't. In 16 years, I've done a lot

of these where sometimes it takes two weeks I'll be at the house and finally the car is there. I've found cars up in California before. You start following where the evidence leads you.

And then when you get a car, in this particular case, I get the car that's got the other plate still on it, it doesn't get much better than that from an investigative standpoint. That helps me out a lot. I've got the car now. Now I can get insurance information, if it exists. All of it is in an effort to make that victim whole again.

And then you start solidifying the case, looking for things like the paint transfer. You know, is the damage consistent on that vehicle, that pickup truck, is it consistent with the damage that is on the rear of the white SUV victim vehicle on scene of the crash? And in this particular case, it made perfect sense. You got white paint transfer.

You'll notice or recall the picture of the SUV, the damage wasn't like perfectly into the back of it. It was kind of down and to the left off of center and then to the left a little bit, indicating that the driver of that pickup perhaps at the last second made a steering input to the left. And you'll notice that the damage on that pickup is mostly kind of center and to the right, which means he just kind of

turned to the left at the last second when he hit the back of that vehicle.

So that's even better than, you know, if it were straight on, because it just makes the most sense to see that kind of damage, the plate, all of that together when weighed in the totality of circumstances, it at least let's me know I've got the right car that damaged the other one.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ BOLENBAKER: No further questions, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Brady.

MS. BRADY: Thank you, your Honor.

CROSS EXAMINATION

13 BY MS. BRADY:

1.5

- Q. Good morning.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. So you didn't have -- nobody gave you identifying information about the driver?
- A. No.
- Q. And when you entered the property, to talk about the property a little bit, you entered through the gate, do you recall?
- A. I don't recall. I think I was just kind of out front with the car. I don't recall going into the house or up on the

- Q. I mean the gate in the property?
- 2 A. I don't recall a gate.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall that there was -- that the car was off to the side on the driveway?
 - A. It was to the right, if you will, perhaps the right of the home as you faced it.
 - Q. And it was dark?
 - A. Yes.

6

- Q. Did you need a flashlight? You needed a
 flashlight to be able to see what was there?
- 11 A. I'm certain I had a flashlight, yes.
- Q. There weren't any ambient -- there wasn't much ambient light in the yard?
- A. I don't believe so. It was quite dark.
- Q. When you were heading to the truck, you had to go off to the right?
- 17 A. That's where the truck was.
- Q. It wasn't right in front of the house? You had to go off to the right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. You said that you met Officer Howald on the scene?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And then when the call came in that they were at the Bent address, you told her to go?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And then you came later?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You were not involved in identifying the driver on location at the address, correct?
 - A. No.

7

8

9

- Q. So you don't know how that was conducted, how they actually identified or located a driver?
 - A. No. I do.
- 10 Q. You weren't a part of that?
- 11 A. I know of it. I was there. I saw the things that
 12 they looked at, but I didn't --
- Q. No. In terms of the driver, you weren't there in terms of being on property and searching for a driver?
 - A. That's correct. I was not.
- Q. You didn't collect any fingerprints on the truck in question?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. To your knowledge, did any of the other
 officers -- did you observe any other officers collecting
 fingerprints?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Sun Valley Boulevard, talk a little bit about that intersection and Fifth Street. That's a major intersection?

A. It's a big intersection.

MS. BRADY: Thank you. No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Brady. Mr. Bolenbaker.

MR. BOLENBAKER: Just briefly.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

- Q. Can you give us a little more information on the fingerprints or lack of fingerprinting of a vehicle in this kind of situation?
- A. Yes. In 16-plus years in the Highway Patrol, I've never processed a car for fingerprints in any of the hit and run crashes I've done.
 - O. Why is that?
- A. Typically, I've found people, had discussions, and whereby people have just taken ownership of what they've done and I'm able to quickly close out a case. I've not been on scene of a serious enough crash to where -- I'll give you an example. There was a fatality to Pyramid and Disk where two people were killed. The driver left.

MS. BRADY: Objection, relevance. And it's also prejudicial. This wasn't a crash where anyone was killed.

THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker.

MR. BOLENBAKER: I think he actually said it was a crash unlike the crash he was about to talk about. I think

it would settle that. I think he was explaining the fingerprint she brought up.

THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.

THE WITNESS: In that particular case, the driver fled, but that car was processed for evidence, because there were flesh and things in the windshield. So that driver was no longer there and they had to process that car with respect to that, because of glaring evidence that was right there.

In crashes with claimed injuries, they would be hard-pressed to bring out a crime scene investigation team to collect fingerprints on a crash of this nature. It wouldn't rise to the level for them to respond to the scene to do that, nor would it be necessary.

I mean, given the level of information that we had to put that car on scene as the car, that pickup that hit the other vehicle was sufficient.

MR. BOLENBAKER: No further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Brady.

MS. BRADY: No, thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Lieutenant, you may step down. Watch your step, sir.

You want to take a break here?

MR. BOLENBAKER: I think it would be good time, your Honor.

morning break here. I've spoken to the attorneys. We're on track. It's likely we will finish up your testimony today.

So, please, over the break, don't talk about this case amongst yourselves or with anybody else. Don't allow anybody else to talk to you about the case. Don't conduct any independent experiments or investigations. Remember the admonition, the rest of the admonition. The jury may retire.

(The following proceedings were had outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker, what's next?

MR. BOLENBAKER: We have Robert Lastine, Trooper Howald, and the Deputies Obos and Gamboa. I have four witnesses left. So probably won't get them all done. Well, maybe. I'm always hopeful.

THE COURT: All right. All right. I think that's all we need to address right now. Ms. Brady, anything else you want to bring up?

MS. BRADY: The only other thing is, just in terms of logistics with Mr. Lastine, Robert Lastine, and drawing the picture. I have some paper that he can draw a picture of his house there. I want to put it on the Elmo as opposed to having him stand up at an easel, because he's older and that's not comfortable for him.

THE COURT: Can he draw a picture over the break of his property and both parties agree that that's -- that would be what he would draw if he was asked to get off the stand and then we can just put that on the Elmo?

MS. BRADY: I think that would be perfect if the DA would agree with that.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ BOLENBAKER: Definitely I'm fine with that. We can just do in on a regular 8 by 11 and we can just put up it up there.

MS. BRADY: I brought an 11 by 17 paper, too.

THE COURT: Well, whatever, but let's use this break for him to draw the schematic of his property. Maybe two. Maybe you'll have a schematic of the property and maybe a schematic of the house.

MS. BRADY: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I just want to follow up on the objections to Mr. Beck's testimony as to the statements made by Ms. Green at the scene regarding her medical conditions.

Just briefly, the Court overruled the objections based on NRS 51.105, which reads that a statement of the declarant's then existing state of mind, emotion, sensation or physical condition, such as intent, plan, motive, design, mental feelings, pain and bodily health is not inadmissible under the hearsay rule. That was the basis I overruled the

```
objections. All right. Let's be in recess for about
1
    20 minutes.
              (A short break was taken.)
 3
               (The following proceedings were had in the
 4
 5
    presence of the jury.)
              THE COURT: Will counsel stipulate to the presence
 6
7
    of the jury?
              MR. BOLENBAKER: Yes, your Honor.
8
              MS. BRADY: Yes, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Thank you very much. Mr. Bolenbaker,
10
11
    next witness.
              MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you, your Honor. The State
12
13
    will call Robert Lastine.
              (One witness sworn at this time.)
14
              THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker, your witness.
15
              MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you.
16
                           ROBERT LASTINE
17
       called as a witness and being duly sworn did testify as
18
                               follows:
19
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
20
    BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
21
         O. Mr. Lastine, can you state your name and spell
22
    your last for the record?
23
         A. Robert Lastine, L-a-s-t-i-n-e.
24
```

- Q. And, Mr. Lastine, where do you live?
- A. 290 Bent Pine Circle, Sun Valley.
 - Q. Is that in Washoe County?
 - A. Washoe County.
- 5 Q. How long have you lived there for?
- 6 A. Since 1986.

- 7 | Q. Do you know an individual named Andrew Lastine?
- 8 A. Yes, I do. It he's my nephew.
 - Q. Do you see Andrew Lastine in the courtroom today?
- 10 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Can you point him out and name an article of clothing he's wearing today?
- 13 A. Brown jacket, sitting over there.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Your Honor, may the record
- 15 | reflect the identification of the defendant?
- 16 | THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
- 17 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
- Q. Now, you said you've been living in that address
- 19 | since 1986?
- 20 A. At that address.
- Q. At some point, did the defendant, Andrew, did he
- 22 move in with you?
- 23 A. Yes, he did. He lived in -- about two years ago,
- 24 | I guess.

Okay. And why did he move in? Q. 1 He needed a place == 2 Α. MS. BRADY: Objection, relevance. 3 THE COURT: Relevance? 4 MR. BOLENBAKER: Just foundational questions to get him comfortable. I can move on. 6 7 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 8 You say he moved in about two years ago? 9 Q. Yes. 10 Α. And what was the arrangement between you and him 11 O. 12 in regards to him living there? He was supposed to pay me so much rent each month. 13 Α. Was this a formal agreement or an informal 14 Q. 15 agreement? An oral agreement. I didn't put anything on 16 Α. 17 paper. So just something that you spoke about with him, 18 he would contribute to or pay you rent? 19 Pay me rent. 20 Α. And where in the house was he living at? 21 Ο. In the extension behind the house that I built. 22 Α. And when did you build that extension to the 23 Q.

24

house?

A. I'm not sure of the exact date. It was maybe around 2006. I would have to look at the permits to get the actual date.

1.3

- Q. And, Mr. Lastine, I want to ask you a question.

 I'm not trying to embarrass you. Do you recall testifying in a pretrial hearing about some memory issues that you have?
 - A. Yes. I was taking some Dalfampridine.
 - Q. And when were you taking that medication?
- A. I was taking it since the end of January up until a week ago, I had cut it down, and I stopped taking it completely, because of the side effects.
- Q. When you say the end of January, you mean the end of January of this year?
- A. January of this year, I had taken it previous, the year before.
- Q. And you say side effects, does that mean -- can you tell us about how it affects the memory?
- A. It would cause me to have a little confusion, diarrhea, muscle cramps.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall if you were taking it back in January of 2016?
 - A. I could have been, but I'm not sure.
 - Q. Okay. And the house you live at on Bent Pine, do you own that home?

Yes, I do. Α. 1 And who else lives there with you? 0. My wife. 3 Α. So it's you and your wife and Andrew living there? 0. Α. Yes. 5 MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach the clerk? THE COURT: You may. 7 MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach the witness, your 8 Honor? 9 THE COURT: You may. 10 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 11 Mr. Lastine, I'm going to show you what has been 0. 12 admitted as Exhibit 10. Are you familiar with that truck? 13 Yes. Α. 14 And whose truck is that? Ο. 15 Andrew's. 16 Α. What I'd like to do, Mr. Lastine, is talk about 17 January 7th of 2016. Do you recall what you were doing, 18 let's talk about the daytime, do you remember? 19 I can't be 100 percent sure, but most likely I had 20 left for a doctor's appointment with a friend, maybe, on that 21 particular day. I would have to go back and look at it. 22 MS. BRADY: Objection, speculation, he's not 23 certain if it was that day. 24

- THE COURT: You can bring it up on cross
- 2 examination. Overruled.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Okay.
- 4 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

15

- 5 Q. Do you recall seeing Andrew's truck on that day 6 during the daytime?
- 7 A. I left early that morning. I think I remember
 8 seeing the truck there. When I came back later in the day,
 9 it was gone.
- 10 Q. In the morning, did you notice any front end damage to it?
- 12 A. No. I wouldn't have any reason to look at the truck or to see if there was damage to it.
 - Q. Okay. But you say when you came back him, the truck was no longer there, to your recollection?
- 16 A. It was no longer there.
 - Q. Do you recall about what time you got home?
- A. No. No. I can't recall. It was in the afternoon, I'm sure.
- 20 O. Was it still light out or was it dark?
- 21 A. It was probably still light out.
- Q. What did you do when you got home?
- A. I usually let the dogs out, sit and watch TV the rest of the night until I go to bed.

- Q. Was your wife home with you that evening?
- 2 A. Yes, she was.

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. To your knowledge, when you got home, was Andrew, your nephew, there?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
 - Q. And then I want to focus now into the evening hours. Did something unusual happen? Did you get a knock at the door?
 - A. Yes. I heard a loud knock. I opened up the door. There was nobody in front of the door. I went outside and from the -- from the inside of the house, I seen an officer standing next to my son's car pointing a flashlight at me and asked me to come out.
 - Q. And did you have a conversation, then, with that deputy?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. What did he tell you?
- 18 A. He told me --
- MS. BRADY: Objection, hearsay.
- THE COURT: Overruled. It's not for the truth of
 the matter asserted therein. It's simply to explain what he
 did after he spoke to the officer.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you, your Honor.
- 24 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

- O. What did the deputy tell you, do you recall?
- A. He told me the truck had been in an accident. I looked over at the truck. I didn't see anything wrong with it. He said it was smoking. I didn't see any smoke coming from the truck at all. I asked the fire truck had arrived with another sheriff's car. I asked them why the fire truck was there. And, again, it was in reference to the truck smoking, which I didn't see anything happening to the truck at the time.
 - Q. Did he ask you who the owner of the truck was?
- A. He asked me who the owner of the truck was and I replied my nephew.
 - Q. And then what happened?
- A. I didn't have my shoes on. I went back into the house and put the dogs away so the officers could -- the officers could come into the house.
 - Q. And did you allow them to come into the house?
 - A. Yes, I did.

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

- Q. Did you tell them that you were the owner of the home?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did they ask you if Andrew was in the house? Do you recall that?
 - A. They probably did. I don't recall.

MS. BRADY: Objection, leading. 2 THE COURT: Overruled. 3 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 4 Do you recall telling them to go get him in 5 Ο. reference to Andrew? I told them that he was probably there, go back to 7 the back of the house and go get him. Exact words, I'm not sure. Okay. I wanted to clear a couple of things up. 10 Ο. You didn't drive Andrew's truck on January 7th, 2016? 11 No. I have my other insured vehicles on my Α. 12 13 property. And you said when you got home, your wife was with 14 0. you. To your knowledge, did she ever drive the vehicle? 15 No. She can't drive a clutch. Α. 16 MR. BOLENBAKER: I want to learn more about the 17 truck in question. Permission to publish this, number ten? 18 THE COURT: Granted. 19 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 20 Can you give us a little background on that truck? 21 I bought it from a relative in pretty much the 22 same condition that you see it in now. It was a well-used 23

Do you recall telling them --

Ο.

1

2.4

truck. It's original paint. It has some dents and dings in

- 1 | it on the bumpers, but nothing major.
- Q. And so you actually were the one who originally purchased the truck?
 - A. Yes. I purchased it for my son.
 - O. Do you recall about when you purchased the truck?
 - A. When my son was 16.
 - Q. Do you know about how long ago that was?
 - A. He was born in '77. So 16 years from '77.
- 9 Q. Testing my math there, so the early '90s, does 10 that sound about right?
- 11 A. Yes.

7

- 12 O. Did he drive that truck?
- A. He drove that truck and broke that truck, and he drove another truck and broke that one. And I fixed them both again.
- 16 O. You eventually, then, gave the truck to Andrew?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. Why did you give it to Andrew?
- 19 A. Because my son passed away and I had too many .

 20 vehicles and I thought he could benefit from the use of the

 21 truck with better gas mileage.
- 22 Q So when you gave it to him, then, did he register 23 the truck his name?
- MS. BRADY: Objection, leading.

THE COURT: Overruled. 1 THE WITNESS: As far as I know, the truck is 2 registered in his name. 3 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: Now, the next day, so I guess January 8th, did you Q. get a look at the some of the damage to the front end of the 7 truck? Yes, I did. Α... I'll show you 17. Is that some of the damage you 9 noticed on the truck? 10 Yes. Yes. 11 Α. And then also number 14, I'm referring to this 12 Q. area right here. Is that some of the damage you noticed on 13 the truck 14 Yes. 15 Α. on the hood to the passenger side? How did it 16 make you feel when you saw that? 17 I was upset, because the truck was damaged. Α. 18 And why were you upset? 0. 19 Because it belonged to my son at one time. 20 Α. Who were you upset with? Q. 21 Initially, I was upset with my nephew. 22 Α.

THE COURT: Thank you. Cross examination.

23

24

MR. BOLENBAKER: No further questions, your Honor.

```
MS. BRADY: Thank you, your Honor.
1
                          CROSS EXAMINATION
2
    BY MS. BRADY:
3
              Good morning, sir.
         Q.
4
              Good morning.
         Α.
5
              You are retired?
         Q.
6
7
         Α.
              Yes.
              What was your career?
8
         0.
              I was a maintenance manager for the post office.
         Α...
             And you have some sort of electrical experience?
10
         Q
              Yeah. I have three degrees.
         Α.
11
              What are those?
         0.
12
              One in electronics, one in system analyst
13
         Α.
    computers, and general studies.
14
               Okay. And Andrew is your nephew. He's your
15
         Ο.
16
    brother's son?
17
         Α.
              Yes.
         Q. What is your brother's name?
18
19
              Mark.
         Α.
              What is your brother's full name?
20
         Q .
             Mark Allen -- actually, it's Burton Allen Lastine,
21
         A
    but he added Mark to it when he was younger, because he
22
    didn't like Burton.
23
         Q. What is Andrew's first name?
24
```

- A. Andrew Robert -- the middle names gets -- there's another one in there.
- 3 O. Was the Robert in honor of you?
- 4 A. Yes.

- 5 O. And you have another nephew, Andrew's brother?
- A. I have a couple of different nephews, yes.
- 7 | Q. What are their names?
 - A. Matthew and Brandon.
 - Q. What was your son's name?
- 10 A. Robert Wesley.
- Q. I'm going to talk about your property now. Your
- 12 | property is fairly large, about a third of an acre?
- 13 A. A little over a third of an acre.
- 14 MS. BRADY: Okay. If I may approach?
- 15 THE COURT: Certainly.
- MS. BRADY: If I may show the witness what has
- 17 been marked as proposed Exhibit Number 37.
- 18 BY MS. BRADY:

- 19 O. I'd like you to take a look at this. Does this
- 20 look familiar to you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what is this?
- 23 A. It's a drawing I made of my property.
- 24 Q. Okay.

MS. BRADY: Permission to have this admitted? 1 MR. BOLENBAKER: No objection, your Honor. 2 MS. BRADY: Permission to publish? 3 THE COURT: Certainly. It's admitted, Ms. Clerk. 4 MS. BRADY: Thank you. 5 6 BY MS. BRADY: So that's just sideways what the whole thing looks 7 like, correct? 8 Yes. Α. Could you just describe your property and the 10 various automobiles and buildings located on your property? 11 I collect cars. I have collected a lot of 12 Barracudas at one time. I bought a couple of different 13 trucks for my son. My wife's got a Durango. I have a 2006 14 Mega Cab. I have two other Durangos. I have a boat in the 15 backyard. I had two trailers, two RVs. 16 Q. Sir, can you point to the boat on the -- if you 17 touch the screen, you can make a mark with your nail, if you 18 touch. Is that the boat? 19 That's the boat. Α. 20 And what about the RV? Could you point, touch 21 0 that? 22 This other one is the trailer. Α. 23 When you say trailer, what do you mean by a 0 . 24

- 1 trailer?
- A. A 32-foot like Fleetwood, but I forget the name of
- 3 the brand.
- Q. Okay. And where is the truck in question, the
- 5 Ford?
- A. Right there in front of the truck bed that I use
- 7 as a planter, flower bed.
- 8 Q. And where is your -- could you circle your house?
- 9 That's fine. Actually, if you could circle the add on? How
- 10 | big was the add on?
- 11 A. 20-by-20.
- 12 Q. There's a refrigerator in there?
- 13 A. There's a refrigerator in there.
- 14 Q. And a bed?
- 15 A. And a bed.
- 16 Q. Do you know if there was a microwave?
- 17 A. No. There's no microwave.
- 18 Q. That's the room that Andrew was renting from you?
- 19 A. Yes. That's the room that he was renting from me.
- Q. He's not currently living there?
- A. No. He's no longer currently living there.
- 22 | O. And then you have a garage as well. Could you
- 23 | please point to the garage? In that schematic, it looks like
- 24 the garage is bigger than the house?

1 A. Yes, it is.

5

6

7

8

9

18

- Q. And then all the blue squares are various automobiles that you were discussing just now?
 - A. That I'm in the process of restoring.
 - Q. And you drew something in red. What is the red indicate?
 - A. That's all the fencing around the property and into the back of the yard.
 - Q. And on this night in question, it was pretty dark?
- 10 A. Yes, it was.
- 11 Q. You don't have a separate light in your backyard?
- 12 A. They weren't turned on.
- Q. Actually, if you can indicate to me on this where your backyard is.
- 15 A. This is the backyard.
- Q. Okay. Does Andrew usually -- how does he usually enter the home, his portion of the home?
 - A. Half the time through the back.
- 19 O. Okay. And then the other half through?
- 20 A. The front.
 - Q. The front would be your front door?
- 22 A. Would be my front door.
- 23 Q. And the back would be where?
- 24 A Right here on this corner.

- Okay. And you don't monitor Andrew's comings and Q. 1 2 goings? 3
 - There's no reason to. Α.
 - So you wouldn't -- you really don't note any point in time if he's home?
 - No. Α.

5

7

- And on this day in question, you didn't know if he was home?
- Α. No. 9
- And you didn't know -- you said that at one point 10 0. you think maybe the truck was gone in the morning? 11
- Yes. No, in the afternoon. Α. 12
- In the afternoon. But you're not positive that Q. 13 was the same day? 14
- No. It was probably gone that afternoon. Α. 15
- That afternoon. But you didn't check to see 16 0. whether or not he was home? 17
- No. 18 Α.
- And you also didn't monitor which friends he had 19 0. coming over? 20
- No. It's too hard to keep track. 21
- Because he had a lot of friends that would come 22 Ο. 23 over?
- A. Yes, he did. 24

- Q. And he also had a girlfriend that was living there?
 - A. Yes.

11

12

13

18

- Q. At the time of this incident, she was living with him?
- A. Up until a month before. She moved out just before Christmas.
 - Q. Okay. So he's lived there with other people and he has friends that come and go?
- 10 A. Yes.
 - Q. And that actually annoyed you a little bit about him, didn't it, the friends coming and going? If not, you were fine with it?
- A. No, not really. They didn't do anything to bother me at the time.
- Q. One thing that did bother you was that he used to leave the keys in the truck sometimes?
 - A. Yes. All his brothers did.
- Q. And at one point, there were three to four sets of keys at one point?
 - A. Yes.
- 22 Q. That you lost track of?
- A. I lost track of and I ended up changing the ignition switch once because the keys couldn't be found.

- Q. And so at this point, you don't keep track of where the keys are, how many there are, whether others were made?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Andrew also had a Yukon?
- 6 A. Yes.

2

3

5

7

10

12

13

- Q. And you mentioned on direct examination that the officer told you the truck was smoking, but you didn't see the smoke?
 - A. No. I didn't see any smoke.
- 11 Q. And that bothered you?
 - A. It bothered me, because the fire department was there and I couldn't go look at the truck. He told me not to go near the truck.
- Q. And the officers, to your knowledge, didn't tow the truck?
- A. No, they didn't.
- Q. And to your knowledge, they didn't run any tests on it?
- 20 A. I wouldn't have been aware of any tests done to 21 the truck after I went back into the house.
- Q. But to your knowledge, there was they didn't take it somewhere and do any kind of testing or fingerprinting to your knowledge?

No. Α. 1 MS. BRADY: No further questions. 2 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Brady. Any questions, 3 Mr. Bolenbaker? MR. BOLENBAKER: Just briefly. THE COURT: Redirect. 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 8 You said Andrew is not living there anymore? 9 0. Α. No, he's not. 10 Why not? Q. 11 I have a policy if the sheriff's department comes 12 Α. to my property, that any person that causes them to show up 13 in my area, I decided it's not worth the frustration of 14 drawing the attention to me. 15 I'm going to show you number 37 again. Just so 16 we're clear, this is the truck in question? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And where is the front entrance to the home? 19 Q. Right there off the porch. 20 Α. And where is the entrance, the outside entrance 21 0 . into the add on? 22 Right there. 23 Α. So on your property, one would have to bypass the 24 Q.

front entrance and go around through here all the way to get to the side entrance, is that fair?

A. Yes.

- Q. And most evenings, where are you residing within the house?
 - A. In the living room.
 - O. Where is the living room on there?
 - A. The living room is in this area.
 - Q. Right next to the front entrance?
 - A. Next to the front door.
- Q. You said you changed the ignition switch on the truck. What does that mean?
- A. When my son was driving it, he lost the original set of keys, so I ended up changing the ignition switch.
- Q. So what does that do in order for the keys in question, the missing keys?
- A. The question I had at that time had made up spare sets of ignition keys that I don't think it had a door key for it. He, my son, had keys for it. When Andrew got the truck, I could only find one set of keys for it.
- Q. So after you change the ignition switch on the truck, to your knowledge, there was only one set of keys?
- A. There was actually three -- three sets made up off of the one key. Well, there were two keys that came with the

- ignition switch originally and I had two made up besides that
 for spares in case -- my son had a problem with losing keys.

 Q. And I want to try and focus this time line a
 - little bit. So you testified that your son had a habit of losing keys?
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

- Q. And when, and I'm not trying to pry, when did your son pass?
- A. He passed away in 2013. If he hadn't passed, I would have still been in Iowa.
 - Q. So you were in Iowa at the time he passed?
- A. I was in Iowa working for the post office at the time.
- Q. And then after he passed, did you come back then to the property at 290 Bent Pine Circle?
 - A. I came back to the property to take care of my wife. I had to resign my job.
- 18 Q. And when did --
 - MS. BRADY: Objection, relevance. It seems like it's going far afield.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Overruled at this point.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you.
- 23 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
 - Q. When did you give the truck, then, to Andrew?

```
About a year ago -- not a year ago. Just probably
         Α...
 1
    before Christmas -- actually, during the summer, probably in
 2
    June of 2014.
 3
               Of 2014?
         Q.
 4
              Or 2015.
 5
         Α.
 6
         Ο.
              2015?
 7
              Yeah.
         Α.
         Q. So the summer before this happened?
 8
              The summer before this happened.
 9
         Α.
               Do you recall meeting in my office a couple of
10
         Q.
    weeks ago and talking about the case?
11
12
         Α.
               Yes.
               Do you recall telling me and my investigator that
13
         Q.
    to your knowledge, there was only one set of keys to the
14
15
    truck?
16
         Α.
              Yes.
              And Andrew had those keys?
17
         Q.
               Yes.
18
         Α.
               MR. BOLENBAKER: No further questions, your Honor.
19
20
    Thank you.
21
               THE COURT: Ms. Brady.
               MS. BRADY: Thank you.
22
                           CROSS EXAMINATION
23
24
    BY MS. BRADY:
```

- Q. There was only one set of keys that you knew where they were?
- A. There was only one set that I knew where they were.
- Q. But when you changed out the ignition, so we're clear, it came with two and then you got another one made?
 - A. Yes.

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. In terms of that evening, just to clarify, too, you were sitting in the front room with your wife watching TV?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And you never saw Andrew come or go?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. You never saw anybody come or go?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. You never heard anybody come or go?
- 17 A. No. We usually have the TV up loud, because I
 18 have a -- I have a hearing aid, but I don't wear them,
 19 because the batteries always discharging, it gets
 20 uncomfortable.
- Q. Okay. And at what point -- you said when you got there, or when you opened the door, someone was already looking at the truck?
 - A. No. I didn't see anybody looking at the truck.

```
All I seen when I walked up to the door was the flashlight in
1
    my eyes and an officer standing in front of my son's truck --
 2
    I mean my son's car.
 3
               MR. BRADY: All right. No further questions.
 4
    Thank you, sir.
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Brady. Does that raise
 6
 7
    any questions, Mr. Bolenbaker?
               MR. BOLENBAKER: No, your Honor. Thank you.
 8
               THE COURT: Mr. Lastine, you may step down. Watch
 9
    your step down.
10
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11
               THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker, do you wish to start
12
    on another witness.
13
               MR. BOLENBAKER: We can start, I don't anticipate
14
    finishing, but I do have one more witness present.
15
               THE COURT: Let's bring in that witness.
16
               MR. BOLENBAKER: Trooper Alyssa Howald.
17
               (One witness sworn at this time.)
18
               MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach your clerk, your
19
    Honor?
20
               THE COURT: You certainly may.
21
                            ALYSSA HOWALD
22
       called as a witness and being duly sworn did testify as
23
                                follows:
24
```

1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BOLENBAKER:	
3	Q.	Good morning, sergeant.
4	Α.	Good morning.
5	Q.	Can you state your name and spell your last for
6	the record?	
7	Α.	Sure. First name is Alyssa, last name is Howald,
8	H-o-w-a-l-d.	
9	Q.	And where do you work?
10	Α.	I work for the Nevada Highway Patrol.
11	Q.	And how long have you worked there for?
12	Α.	I've been with the Department of Public Safety
13	since 2007 and with the Highway Patrol since 2012.	
14	Q.	What were you doing at the Department of Public
15	Safety?	
16	Α.	When I was first hired, I worked for the Division
17	of Parole and Probation.	
18	Q.	And what did you do there?
19	Α.	There I supervised offenders, convicted felons.
20	When I left, I was in the sex offender unit. And then I	
21	transferred over to the Highway Patrol Division.	
22	Q.	That was 2012, you said?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	Can you briefly describe to the jury the training

you undergo to become a trooper, then?

A. We have our POST academy training where we learn accident investigation, DUI investigation, traffic enforcement. Then we're on the FTO program, the field training program, where we apply those techniques in real life on the street with a training officer.

And then we're released, we're on our own, and we do day-to-day accident investigation, DUI investigation, public contacts.

- Q. And at some point, were you promoted to sergeant?
- A. I was.

- Q. When was that?
- A. I was promoted last year August 31st.
- Q. And so what's the difference between a trooper and a sergeant?
- A. So as a sergeant, supervisor duties, such as overseeing paper work, time sheets, managerial things. And then you have the leadership aspect of things, coaching, training, mentoring, making sure the troopers are doing what they're supposed to be doing, overseeing daily activities.
- Q. Sergeant, what I want to do is focus your attention to January 7th of 2016 at approximately 5:59 p.m.. Did you get a call out to Sun Valley Boulevard and Fifth Avenue in Washoe County?

A. I did.

- O. What was the nature of that call?
- A. The initial call was a hit and run collision that occurred on Sun Valley and Fifth Avenue where the suspect vehicle fled the scene.
- Q. So describe to the jury what you do when the call comes in?
- A. So for a hit and run, knowing that the other vehicle is not on scene, I'm starting to think when I get there I'm going to look for evidence of what kind of vehicle it was or the roadway marking. I'm going to try to talk to the victim, figure out what kind of a crash it was. I'm starting to think of what kind of preliminary investigation I'm going to do when I get there.
- Q. Do you recall about how long it took you to get there?
- A. Off the top of my head, I'm thinking maybe ten minutes.
 - Q. And describe the scene when you arrived there.
- A. When I arrived on scene, the first thing I see is a white Kia SUV in the number two northbound lane of Sun Valley and it's angled sort of into the bike lane. I see a debris field behind the SUV. I see a paramedic unit on scene. That's what I initially see when I get there.

- Q. Okay. And this area, just so -- is there some sort of concurrence of jurisdiction with Washoe County? How does that work?
- A. Yes. In that area, Washoe County works that area as well. So I work for the State of Nevada. Essentially, I can have jurisdiction anywhere in the State of Nevada. In Washoe County, deputies have jurisdiction in Washoe County. I don't know the exact reason why they can be there, but they can be there.
- Q. So when you arrived, were there any representatives from Washoe County Sheriff's Office that you saw?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Is that Deputy Zerkle, do you recall?
- A. I don't recall his name, but there was a deputy there.
- Q. Okay. You said a REMSA unit was there. Can you give a little more description on what you saw with that?
- A. So the white Kia SUV that was in the number two lane, the ambulance was sort of parallel to that or a little bit in front of that. I walked up to the ambulance, opened the door, and there was a female strapped to a back board. She was the driver of the Kia. I just wanted to get some preliminary injury information on her. And I was told she --

MS. BRADY: Objection, hearsay within hearsay. 1 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I was told by the paramedics in the 3 ambulance that she had some neck and back pain. 4 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 5 And then what do you do? 6 Ο. I don't want to take up too much time of the 7 Α. ambulance, because obviously they need to get to the 8 hospital. So I shut the door, came back to the scene, and I started looking at exactly what happened. 10 And what did observe? Q. 11 So as I explained earlier, there was the white Kia 12 SUV, it had moderate damage to the rear of the vehicle. 13 Behind it was a debris field. Located in that debris field 14 was a license plate. 1.5 And what did you do with that license plate? 16 Ο. I ran the license plate number through our 17 Α. dispatch. 18 Did you get an address associated with it? Q. 19 I did. 20 Α. What was the address? Ο. 21 The address was 290 Bent Pine Circle in Sun Α. 22 Valley. 23

At the time you were a trooper, is that right?

24

Ο.

- 1 A. Correct.
 - Q. Was your sergeant then Sergeant Bowers?
- 3 A. Yes.

- Q. So once you get an address associated with the license plate, what do you do?
- A. So right around the time that I got the return back in, meaning I got the name and the address of the registered owner of the license plate left on scene, we were notified through dispatch that Washoe County deputies were already at that listed address, that same address, and they had located the vehicle.
 - Q. So what did you do?
- A. At the time, Sergeant Bowers continued the accident investigation. I left the scene of the accident and proceeded to that address 290 Bent Pine Circle.
- Q. And how far away is that address from Fifth and Sun Valley?
- A. It was very close to the accident scene, maybe a minute drive.
 - Q. When you got to the address, what did you observe?
- A. When I arrived on scene, the Washoe County deputies were already on scene. It was a cul-de-sac location and I walked into the driveway of the listed address. I observed an older model Ford pickup in the driveway. I

observed the license plate, the rear license plate to that

Ford pickup was the same license plate that I observed on the

scene of the crash.

- Q. Then what did you do?
- A. I met with the deputy of the Washoe County

 Sheriff's Office who informed me that he had matched up

 footprints.

MS. BRADY: Objection, hearsay.

MR. BOLENBAKER: I don't think it's being offered for the truth, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

- O. Go ahead.
- A. On that particular night, it had been snowing, so the ground had snow on it. The deputy that I met with had gotten there before me, he had matched up, told me he had matched up footprints from the on driver's side of the door leading to a door at that residence. And that they had the suspect in custody already.
 - Q. And then what did you do?
- A. I went to the front door of the residence. It was already open. Inside was the subject that they had placed in handcuffs sitting on the crouch.
 - Q. I'll stop you there. Do you see that individual

- 1 | in the courtroom today?
 - A. I do.

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

17

- Q. Can you point to him and name an article of clothing he's wearing today?
 - A. Sitting at the defendant table in maybe a dark green jacket, black shirt.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Your Honor, may the record reflect the identification of the defendant?
- 9 THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
- 10 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
- Q. And so where was he -- give us the scene of when you enter, then, again?
 - A. Inside the residence, when I looked to my left, there was a black couch. When I looked to my right, it looked like a little living room with the TV and some chairs.
- 16 O. And where was the defendant?
 - A. The defendant was sitting on the couch.
- 18 Q. Pursuant to statute, did you ask him what his name
- 19 | was?
- 20 A. I did.
- 21 | Q. And what was his response?
- 22 A. He did not provide any information regarding his 23 identity.
 - O. Did he refuse to answer the question?

Α. 1 Yes. Q. Did you notice any mail in the residence of 2 significance? 3 I did. Near the couch was a pile of papers. One 4 of which had a piece of mail addressed to the name of the 5 registered owner of the older Ford pickup that was at the 6 residence. 7 MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach, your Honor? 9 THE COURT: You may. BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 10 Let me show you what has been marked as proposed 11 Exhibit 32. Is that the piece of mail that you were 12 referring to? 13 14 Α. Yes. Does that photograph accurately depict the piece 15 Q. of mail you were referring to? 16 17 Α. Yes. MR. BOLENBAKER: Your Honor, at this time I'd move 18 to admit proposed Exhibit 32. 19 THE COURT: Ms. Brady. 20 MS. BRADY: No objection. 21 THE COURT: 32 is admitted, Ms. Clerk. 22 MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish, your 23

24

Honor?

THE COURT: Granted.

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Obviously, you see the address here is addressed to Andrew Lastine, 290 Bent Pine Circle?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So as a result of your investigation and Washoe County's investigation, did you make the decision to arrest the defendant?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you perform what's commonly referred to as a search incident to arrest?
- A. I did.
 - O. What is a search incident to arrest?
- A. So once a person is placed under arrest, search their person for any evidentiary items, any items that could be used to hurt either jail staff or myself, search for weapons, just search for items for inventory purposes.
 - Q. And did you perform that on the defendant?
- A. I did.
 - Q. And what did you locate in his pockets?
- A. In his right rear, he was wearing jeans at the time, I located a set of keys.
- Q. And did they appear to you to have a vehicle key on it?

```
MS. BRADY: Objection, leading.
1
              THE COURT: Overruled.
2
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
3
              MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach again, your Honor?
              THE COURT: You may.
5
    BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
              I'm going to show you what has been marked as
7
    proposed Exhibit 31? Could you take a look at that
8
    photograph?
9
10
         Α.
              Yes.
             Does that photograph fairly and accurately depict
11
         Q.
    the keys in the defendant's pocket on January 7th, 2016?
12
         Α.
              Yes.
13
              MR. BOLENBAKER: At this time, your Honor, I'd
14
    move to admit proposed Exhibit 31.
15
              THE COURT: Ms. Brady.
16
               MS. BRADY: I would object in terms of relevance
17
    as to what vehicle they pertain to.
18
               THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
19
    Ms. Clerk, Exhibit 31 is admitted.
20
               MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish this, your
21
22
    Honor?
               THE COURT: Granted.
23
    BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
24
```

Are those the keys you found inside the pocket? 0.. 1 Α. Yes. 2 Now, was the defendant transported, then, to the 3 Washoe County Jail? 4 Yes. Α. 5 And during the process of him going to jail, did 6 you have an opportunity to be in his presence for a period of 7 time? I did. Α. Did you question him about what had happened? 10 talking about some of the statements he made, just to focus 11 Were you questioning him at this time period? in here. 12 13 Α. No. Did he make some spontaneous statements, then, to 14 Q. 15 you? MS. BRADY: Objection leading. 16 THE COURT: Overruled. 17 THE WITNESS: He did. 18 19 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: What were those statements? 20 0. To the exact quote, I would like to look at the 21 Α. report so I can report it exactly. 22 MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach again? 23 THE COURT: You may. 24

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

- Q. Sergeant, I'm going to show you your report. Just take a look at the relevant paragraph right here and then let me know when you're done.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Now, in your report, did you put quotations around the statements that the defendant had said on January 7th, 2016?
- A. I did.
 - Q. And why did you put them in quotation marks?
- 11 A. I put them in quotation marks, because those were 12 the exact words that he said.
 - Q. Okay. And then did you memorialize that, then, in your report?
 - A. I did.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: I'd ask that she read in the quotations as a recorded recollection into the record.
- THE COURT: Would it refresh your recollection to read those quotes?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: You may.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Quote, I was a fucking idiot and
 23 that's all that matters, end quote. Quote, one, you're a
 24 fucking idiot, two, you're a fucking idiot, three, idiot,

1 this guy. BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 2 And was there anybody else around you during this 3 time period? Let me ask it a better way. Does it appear to 4 you from the statements that he was referring to himself? 5 Α. Yes. 6 MS. BRADY: Objection, speculation. 7 THE COURT: It's her present impression, so the 8 objection is overruled. MR. BOLENBAKER: I have no further questions, your 10 Honor. Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Ms. Brady, cross examination. 12 MS. BRADY: Thank you. 13 CROSS EXAMINATION 14 15 BY MS. BRADY: Good morning. 16 Q. Good morning. 17 Α. You were the one that took the photographs that 18 Q. 19 night? I took some photographs, but not all of them. 20 Α. Which other deputy was taking photographs? 21 Q. Deputy Gamboa. 22 Α. You had nothing to do with the search and 23 Ο. identification of the suspect on the property at Bent Pine 24

Circle, correct?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 2 A. I never searched inside the residence?
 - Q. Correct.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Or the property at all in search of the suspect?

 You weren't the one to do that?
- 7 A. No.
 - Q. And as far as you knew when you arrived on the scene, it was packaged up nicely, he was on the couch, they had a suspect, you didn't inquire any further?
 - A. He was on the couch. I inquired my own about the pickup truck in the front yard, but nothing to do with getting him inside the residence.
 - Q. And you didn't inquire as to who else would be on the property?
- 16 A. I did not.
- Q. And you didn't search the property for anyone else?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. You didn't fingerprint the truck doors?
- 21 A. I did not.
- Q. And you didn't inquire as to whether or not anyone else drove that truck? Let me phrase that another way. You didn't inquire as to whether anyone else had access to that

1 truck?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

- 2 A. I did not.
 - Q. I'm going to show you some pictures. Let the record reflect I'm showing the witness what is marked as Exhibit 20. Is this one of the pictures you took?
 - A. I did not take that photo.
 - Q. Does this look familiar to you? Is this to your knowledge what was taken on the day in question?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you observe this area? Can you see where it is?
- 12 A. I can see the photo, yes.
- Q. And what is this up here? Do you know what that is?
- 15 A. I don't.
- Q. Okay. All right. Do you recognize this? Did you take this picture?
- 18 A. I did not.
 - Q. Do you recognize this?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. So you don't recognize this as the gate to the back area?
- A. I am not sure where that is on the property.
 - Q. Do you recognize this?

- 1 A. Yes. That's the Ford pickup truck.
 - Q. And you recognize the snow?
 - A. Yes.
- 4 THE COURT: Ms. Brady, can we get an exhibit
- 5 | number?

- 6 MS. BRADY: Yes, sir. This is marked as
- 7 Exhibit 11.
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 9 BY MS. BRADY:
- 10 | O. There's lots of footprints there, correct?
- 11 A. There is footprints there, yes.
- 12 Q. In other words, it wasn't untouched fresh snow?
- 13 A. Correct.
- MS. BRADY: No further questions.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Brady. Does that raise any questions, Mr. Bolenbaker?
- MR. BOLENBAKER: No, your Honor. Thank you.
- 18 THE COURT: Sergeant, you may step down. Watch
- 19 | your step. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take our
- 20 | lunch break here. As I said, we're on track. We'll probably
- 21 be close to finishing with the testimony. So you may get an
- 22 | early release this afternoon. The attorneys and I have to
- 23 | work on the jury instructions. Trust me, not all of these
- 24 | are going to be given to you, but we'll weed them out.

So over the lunch brick, which will go to 1:30, over the lunch break, please, don't talk about this case amongst yourselves or with anybody else. Don't allow anybody else to talk to you about the case. Don't form any conclusions until the case has been submitted to you. Don't perform any independent investigation or experiments. Don't listen to any radio, television news reports, engage in social media. Keep an open mind. We're in the clubhouse turn, so to speak. So I'll see you after lunch. The jury may retire.

1.7

(The following proceedings were had outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: What's next, Mr. Bolenbaker?

MR. BOLENBAKER: Your Honor, I have two more witnesses. They're both the deputies from the Washoe County Sheriff's Office who responded to the residence.

Ms. Brady and I spoke over the break about timing. We thought that we certainly would finish those two witnesses in the afternoon. We could perhaps canvass the defendant at that time. They only noticed one witness, which is Robert Lastine, who already testified. We could canvass the defendant, settle jury instructions. It would allow Mr. Lastine the opportunity tonight to consider whether he wanted to testify and then I would tomorrow morning we start with

his testimony or we move right into closing arguments. That was kind of our plan is.

THE COURT: Ms. Brady.

MS. BRADY: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, I do need sometime to speak with Mr. Lastine now that the evidence has, you know, been presented, to talk to him more about whether or not he wants to testify. And also I have to discuss with him some of the jury instructions. There's some things I would need his permission to argue for. So I do need some time to speak with him before we settle the jury instructions.

THE COURT: That's certainly appropriate. Can you speak to him over the lunch hour, at least, so we can get a head start. If he agrees to some of these jury instructions, we can at least use this afternoon to settle those.

MS. BRADY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: What I suggest we do is let me canvass Mr. Lastine after we've settled the jury instructions, but I won't accept his decision until tomorrow morning. That will give him overnight to reflect and engage in any further discussions with you and Ms. Maher as to what his options are.

And then tomorrow morning, we'll reengage perhaps about quarter of 9:00 and I'll inquire of Mr. Lastine what

```
his decision is and we'll start at 9:00. How does that
 1
    sound?
 2
               MS. BRADY: Thank you, your Honor.
               MR. BOLENBAKER: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: We have the packet for you, I think.
 5
 6
               MS. BRADY: We just received them. Thank you.
               THE COURT: Take a look at them over the lunch
 7
    hour. Anything else before we retire, Mr. Bolenbaker?
 8
               MR. BOLENBAKER: No, your Honor. Thank you.
               THE COURT: Ms. Brady.
10
11
              MS. BRADY: No, thank you.
              THE COURT: Ms. Maher.
12
              MS. MAHER: No, your Honor.
13
14
              (A lunch break was taken.)
               (The following proceedings were had in the
15
16
    presence of the jury.)
               THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.
17
    Will counsel stipulate to the presence of the jury?
18
19
              MR. BOLENBAKER: Yes, your Honor.
20
              MS. BRADY: Yes, your Honor.
               THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker.
21
               MR. BOLENBAKER: The State would call Deputy
22
    Martin Obos.
23
               (One witness sworn at this time.)
24
```

THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker, your witness.

MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you, your Honor.

3 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Deputy, can you state your name and spell your last?
 - A. It is Marty Obos. Last name, O-b-o-s.
 - O. Deputy, where do you work?
 - A. I work for the Washoe County Sheriff's Office.
 - Q. And how long have you worked there for?
- A. 17 years.
- Q. Can you for the jury just briefly describe the training you undergo to be a deputy in the Washoe County Sheriff's Office?
- A. Sure. Once you get selected to go to the police academy, it's an 18-week program. Once you graduate the academy, you go through a training program in the jail. I spent about seven years in there. Then I went to patrol. I did ten years on patrol. And I currently work in the civil division.
 - Q. What do you do in the civil division now?
- A. On a daily basis, we serve evictions and temporary and extended protection orders.
- Q. And just so we're on the same page, that
 particular duty has nothing to do with this particular case?

- A. No. No. It does not.
- Q. What I want to do is focus your attention to

 January 7th of 2016. Did you go to an address at 290 Bent

 Pine Circle in Washoe County?
 - A. I did.

- Q. Can you describe the circumstances of how you got initially involved in the case?
- A. I was assigned to work the south district, which is I80 south of that towards Washoe Valley. There had been a call that came out that was up in the Sun Valley area and it seemed like they needed additional resources. So I self-dispatched myself to the call and responded from somewhere down Damonte Ranch area.
- Q. Can you describe the difference between someone, a deputy being dispatched to a call versus someone self-dispatching themselves to a call?
- A. Yeah. A lot of times we'll get calls into our dispatch center and they'll dispatch whatever units are available and in the area of the approximate incident and they'll be dispatched by the dispatch center.

Sometimes they don't know where everybody is at or who is available. So me having that knowledge, I dispatched myself to the call knowing everybody else was tied up on another assignment.

Q. So let's talk about, then, your arrival. What were your initial observations upon arrival?

- A. When I arrived down the short street, Bent Pine is very short street, ends up in a cul-de-sac, I noticed there were already a couple of uniformed officers there along with a sergeant. I exited my patrol vehicle and approached the sergeant who gave me very brief information on what had occurred.
- Q. Did you notice a truck in question on the property?
- 11 A. At first, I did not, but I did later on in the 12 call.
 - Q. Okay. So after, then, you get the information from your sergeant, what do you do?
 - A. I get the information from the sergeant. I meet up with one of my partners, Deputy Gamboa, who explains to me that he wanted to make contact with the subject inside the residence, who possibly could have been involved in the incident.
 - Q. And so what do you do?
 - A. I walk with him towards the front door, what I believe the front door was. Some of the houses in that area, they have multiple entrances. This was the closest one to the driveway area. So at the door, we made contact at first,

- entered into a little enclosed mud room, which beyond that was the door into the trailer area.
 - O. And did somebody or one of you knock on the door?
 - A. I don't remember who knocked on the door.
- Q. And did an individual later identified to you as Robert Lastine --
 - MS. BRADY: Objection, leading.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 9 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

2

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did an individual later identified to you as
 Robert Lastine answer the door?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you describe the interaction with Robert Lastine?
 - A. My interaction was very brief. Deputy Gamboa explained why he was there. He invited us into the residence and stated that he didn't know
 - MS. BRADY: Objection, hearsay.
- THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker.
 - MR. BOLENBAKER: I think I know what he's going to say. I don't know if it's necessarily being offered for the truth of the matter. I think it's stated as to whether he believed Mr. Lastine was home or not.
 - MS. BRADY: He doesn't need to quote anybody. He

can say based on what was said, I did X, Y, Z.

THE COURT: Rephrase the question.

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

1.5

- Q. Based on what you were told, then, did you enter into the home?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. What did you do when you entered into the home?
- A. I received information from the homeowner, Robert Lastine, that there was a bedroom in the back which the subject we may have been looking for stays at temporarily or periodically. When we went back, there Deputy Gamboa and I went back there to see if he was in the room.
- Q. What is the purpose, then, of trying to meet with this individual in the back room?
- A. Based on the information I received outside with the sergeant, I knew there had been some sort of an accident. I didn't witness the accident. I wasn't at the accident scene. My concern was, is this person injured? Do they need immediate medical attention? And to find out to continue the investigation from what the officers or deputies are doing at the accident scene.
- Q. And when you arrived, then, at the bedroom door, can you kind of give us a rundown on what happened?
 - A. Yeah. We walked through the main front room to a

- 1 | small hallway and just off to the right of the hallway was
- 2 | the bedroom door and I believe the door was closed. I don't
- 3 know if it was latched shut, but the door was closed. We
- 4 attempted to make contact several times. There was no answer
- 5 and then we had opened the door.
- Q. And describe what you mean in your efforts to make
- 7 | contact? What do you mean by that?
- A. We knocked on the door frame. We knocked on the
- 9 door. We called out names to see if anybody would answer the
- 10 door that was inside the room.
- 11 Q. And did you get any response?
- 12 A. Did not get any response.
- Q. And, verbally, what are you actually saying?
- 14 A. Police department, come out with your hands up.
- 15 If you're inside the room, step out towards us.
- 16 | Q. And do you recall approximately how many times you
- 17 | did that?
- 18 A. I don't recall.
- 19 Q. But more than once?
- 20 A. More than once.
- Q. Several?
- 22 A. Two to three.
- 23 O. At that time, did you believe that someone was
- 24 | inside the room?

- A. At that point, it was unknown, because the door was closed up, I couldn't see inside.
- Q. So was there a decision, then, to open the door further?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And when the door was opened further, what did you observe?
- A. Still standing outside in the hallway, not entering the room, as the door opened directly in front of the open doorway, there was a bed. And on the bed, there appeared to be somebody laying on top of the bed covered in blankets.
 - Q. And how covered? Completely covered?
- A. The way the bed was set up, it wasn't sideways facing the door, it was from the foot of the bed back into the room towards the head of the bed. So the person was laying from the feet to the head away from us.
 - Q. Could you see the head when you first observed it?
- A. I did not. I just saw what I saw thought was the shape of a body laying on top of the bed.
 - O. Then what happened?
- A. Again, we called out several more times to try to make verbal contact with the subject that was in the room, again, approximately two or three more times and we still got

no response.

- Q. Now, as a deputy, when you have an individual in this particular situation, are you concerned for anybody's safety?
 - MS. BRADY: Objection, leading.

6 THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. There are several concerns.

Again, we're standing in an unknown hallway facing an unknown room, not knowing the subject inside has been injured based on the information we got earlier that there was an accident, and if this person was involved or not.

- 12 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
 - Q. So what happened then?
 - A. Deputy Gamboa and I made the decision to go in and check the welfare of the subject on the bed.
 - O. And describe that.
 - A. The bed was only, my best estimation, probably five feet from the opening of the doorway. We walked in, took probably two or three steps towards the bed and touched the person who I thought was underneath the blanket and tried to make contact.
 - Q. And what happened then when you touched the person?
 - A. At that point, the person underneath the blankets

moved. Again, tried to verbally make contact. Are you okay? Why are you not responding? Come to us. And we did not -- the instructions did not -- the instructions we gave were not followed. Show me your hands, show me your hands, we said several times, because they were still underneath the blankets.

- Q. So what happened then when he doesn't show his hands?
- A. Eventually, we moved a little closer to go hands-on to make sure this person doesn't come up or present any type of a weapon. On the hands we know are unknown, they're hidden underneath the blankets. So we kind of close in just a little bit just in case there's something presented.

As we go with the light touching on the subject, he becomes a little bit resistant. Still, there's no verbal communication between the two of us, but he becomes a little resistant.

- Q. How? How does he become resistant? What do you mean by that?
- A. When I go up, I don't remember if it was the left or right arm, I grab his arm just to know that there's nothing that's going to come at me, he kind of pulls away.
 - Q. So then what happens?

- A. Because he was already laying down, it was easy to go ahead and position the person on their stomach and I placed the subject in handcuffs.
- Q. And that person you placed in handcuffs, do you see that individual in the courtroom today?
 - A. I do.

2

3

5

7

9

10

15

16

23

24

- Q. Can you point to that person and name an article of clothing he's wearing today?
- A. He's wearing a black shirt and a dark colored sport coat.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Your Honor, may the record reflect the identification of the defendant?
- THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
- 14 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
 - Q. So he's placed into handcuffs. What happens after that?
- A. At that point, we're still in the room that we have not cleared. We decided to make a -- we decided to take the subject out of the room and go into a room that we already know that kind of has been checked by us and that's the front room where we entered the front door. It was well-lit. The bedroom we were in was very, very dark.
 - Q. What do you mean by clearing the room, just so the jury understands?

- A. We clear a room, you can go in and you can visually clear a room. That there's no big obstacles in your way that you have to look around. You can visually clear a room by standing in one specific spot. There's no unknown areas that haven't been seen.
 - Q. To go further, clearing the room of any threats?
- A. I'm sorry. Clearing the room of any threats, yes, something that may cause harm to myself, my partner, or anybody else in the house.
- Q. So the defendant, then, was taken into, I guess the living room area in the front, towards the front of the house?
 - A. Yeah, I would consider it the front room.
 - O. And what happened then?

- A. At that point, I sat the subject down on the couch. He was still in handcuffs. There was still no verbal communication between the two of us. Again, I asked, are you okay? Are there any injuries? Again, there was very to no communication between the two of us.
- Q. Just to clarify, you say there's no verbal communication. You're saying you're asking these questions to him and he's not responding?
 - "A. That's correct.
 - Q. And what were the questions you were asking?

- A. Very general factfinding questions. If we know somebody may have been involved in an accident, our first concern is the safety of that subject. So we ask, are you hurt? We do kind of an overall scan to see if we see any physical injuries, bumps to the head, bleeding, anything like that.
 - Q. Do you recall any medical personnel coming to the area?
 - A. I do.

- O. Can you describe that?
- A. Yeah. Approximately 15 minutes after we had entered that front room, there was, I believe, two REMSA medics that walked in the front room.
- Q. And were they there to look at the defendant, then?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you observe the defendant's interaction with the REMSA personnel?
- A. I don't recall if there was. If there was, it was very minimal.
 - Q. Then what happened after that?
 - A. Based on the information that they gathered from him, they had cleared him medically. I guess they had to -- MS. BRADY: Objection, hearsay and speculation.

He doesn't know. There's no testimony as to whether or not 1 he was cleared or what they did. 2 THE COURT: All right. He was there. It appears 3 that this is personal knowledge, so the objection is 4 overruled. 5 BY MR. BOLENBAKER: Please go ahead and answer. 7 0. Okay. So they didn't choose to transport him. 8 They had him sign a form, which they have the right to refuse further treatment at the hospital or in the ambulance. 10 How is his demeanor at this point? 11 It really hadn't changed. It was still the same, 12 Α. very quiet, very distant. 13 MR. BOLENBAKER: I have no further questions, your 14 Honor. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Brady, cross 16 examination. 17 CROSS EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. BRADY: 19 Good afternoon. 20 0. Good afternoon. 21 Α. Did you take any photographs on the scene? 22 0. I did not. 23 Α.

Did you observe any of the photographs being

24

Ο.

1 taken?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Did I observe somebody taking photographs on the scene?
- Q. Uh-huh.
- A. I did notice somebody taking, but I don't know who it was.
- 7 Q. But it wasn't you?
 - A. It was not me.
- 9 Q. When you came in onto the property, who knocked on the door?
- 11 A. I can't recall. I don't remember that I knocked
 12 on a door.
 - Q. Where were you standing when Mr. Lastine opened the door?
 - A. Again, it's kind of hard to explain the way the porch was. We walked towards the house and you make a 90-degree to our right and that was the entrance to the enclosed porch area or mud room. Once you walk in the mud room, you make another 90 degree and face the house to the left. So it was kind of like a zig-zag.
 - So we had to go through two doors in order to enter. And I was standing on the right-hand side of the front door, I believe, when we knocked, initially knocked.
 - Q. Were you standing. And where was Deputy Gamboa

standing?

1

3

4

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

- A. Deputy Gamboa was standing to my right.
- Q. Okay. When you arrived on the property, Deputy Gamboa was already there?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you observed him looking at the truck when you arrived?
 - A. I don't recall anybody looking at the truck. I don't remember the truck there initially.
 - Q. It was pretty dark?
 - A. It was very dark.
- 12 Q. You couldn't really see much of the yard?
- A. Yeah. There was a lot of vegetation and trees in that particular area.
 - Q. And the yard itself had a bunch of automobiles?
 - A. Yes. Car parts, yeah. Maybe large appliances. I don't remember everything specifically, but it just appeared that there was a lot of debris in the yard.
 - O. Do you remember a garage?
- 20 A. I don't remember a garage.
- 21 Q. Do you remember any RVs parked in the yard?
- 22 A. I don't remember.
- 23 | Or how about a trailer?
- 24 A. I don't remember.

- Q. One of the reasons you don't recall is you didn't search the property?
 - A. I did not search the property.
- Q. And when you arrived, Gamboa had already had in his mind, -- had already identified who he thought who the suspect was?
 - A. I don't think we discussed that.
- Q. So when you knocked on the door in the direct examination, you said that he -- there was a suspect that might possibly be inside?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. When you arrived, he already determined that there was a suspect that might possibly be inside?
- A. I believe what we were doing is we were trying to find an individual that may have been linked to the truck.
 - Q. Sure.

- A. Which --
- Q. There was a specific individual he had in mind?
- A. I don't know if he had a specific individual. I don't know if we ever had any physical identifiers at that point in the investigation. Again, I got on scene, I got very brief information, and I was acting as a cover to the officer -- or Deputy Gamboa.
 - O. So in your mind, there was no, for you, there was

- 1 | no specific individual in mind?
- 2 A. There was no physical descriptors.
- Q. I'm not talking about physical descriptors.
- 4 A. Right.
 - Q. I'm just talking about names.
- 6 A. No.

20

- 7 Q. There was no specific person in mind?
- 8 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- 9 Q. You thought maybe somebody would be in the house 10 that was attached -- that was related to the truck?
- 11 A. To the vehicle, yes.
- 12 Q. But you didn't have a specific person in mind?
- 13 A. No, I did not.
- Q. And you didn't look anywhere on the property besides in that house, correct?
- A. Initially when we approached the house, directly into the house, that's correct.
- Q. And you don't -- you identify Andrew Lastine as
 the person you put cuffs on, is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And you had not met him before?
- 22 A. I had not met him.
- Q. You don't know him. You don't know how he sleeps, if he's a hard sleeper or a soft sleeper?

1 Α. No. You don't know any of that? 2 0. I do not. 3 Α. You said he was quiet pretty much the whole time? 4 Ο. 5 Yes, he was quiet. Α. Did you actually see the paper work for REMSA 0. 6 paper work? Did you ever observe that? 7 I don't recall any of the paper work, no. 8 Α. You don't know for sure that there was paper work 0. 10 that was there? No, I do not know for sure that there was paper Α. 11 12 work. So you don't really know what REMSA did or didn't 13 0. 14 do? 15 Α. No. Or if anything? Q. 16 Specifically, no, I don't. 17 Α. You know they didn't take him to the hospital? 18 Q. They did not take him to the hospital. They did 19 Α. not transport him, correct. 20 MS. BRADY: No further questions. Thank you. 21 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Brady. Mr. Bolenbaker. 22 MR. BOLENBAKER: Nothing further, your Honor. 23

24

Thank you.

1	THE COURT: All right. Deputy, thank you very
2	much. Watch your step going down. Ladies and gentlemen,
3	just a couple of things. You may have noticed that there's a
4	number of sheriff's deputies that are coming in and out. It
5	has nothing to do with this trial or anybody here. There's a
6	new class of deputies that are cycling through and they're
7	just observing the court proceedings here.
8	They'll do the same thing up at the jail. They'll
9	do the same thing in other county buildings. And I just
10	don't want you to infer anything. It's just a bunch of
11	not just a bunch there's a several new deputies. So thank
12	you very much. Mr. Bolenbaker.
13	MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you. State would next call
14	Deputy Francisco Gamboa.
15	(One witness sworn at this time.)
16	MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach your clerk, your
17	Honor?
18	THE COURT: You may.
19	FRANCISCO GAMBOA
20	called as a witness and being duly sworn did testify as
21	follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
24	Q. Good afternoon.

1 A. Good afternoon.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Could you state your name and spell your last for the record?
- A. It's Deputy Francisco Gamboa and the last name is G-a-m-b-o-a.
 - Q. And where do you work?
 - A. I work for the Washoe County Sheriff's Office.
 - Q. And how long have you worked there for?
 - A. This is my 17th year.
- Q. And can you describe to the jury the training you undergo to become a Washoe County Sheriff's Deputy?
- A. Initially, there's a patrol or a Category 1 police academy, approximately almost four months long. And then once you pass that, you go to the sheriff's office and you go through an FTO program, which is a couple of months. Once you pass that, you're a deputy and you have to have so many hours of training every year to continue to keep your POST standard.
- Q. And what role did you start out, then, as a deputy or where were you?
 - A. Initially at the detention.
 - Q. And how long did you do that for?
- 23 A. Six years.
 - Q. Is that normal? Do most of the deputies, when

- they go through the training program, they start in the jail?

 Is that how it works?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

7

8

9

12

15

16

- Q. And then after six years in the jail, what did you do?
 - A. I took a patrol assignment in Incline.
 - O. How long was that for?
 - A. Approximately three years.
 - Q. Then what happened after that?
- 10 A. I transferred to Reno patrol and I worked there
 11 for I think it was almost a year.
 - Q. And where are you currently assigned?
- 13 A. I'm currently assigned to the Northern Nevada
 14 Interdiction Task Force.
 - Q. What are your duties there, then?
 - A. I'm a K-9 handler with them and I've been with that task force about seven years now.
- Q. Just so everybody is on the same page, the K-9, all of that stuff, that's not relevant to this particular investigation, was it?
- 21 A. No. It's just my role with that assignment.
- Q. Okay. What I want to do is focus your attention
 to January 7th, 2016 at approximately 6:00 in the evening.

 Were you dispatched to an area in Sun Valley, it's Sun Valley

- Boulevard and Fifth Avenue?
- Yes, sir. Α.

3

7

8

15

16

20

21

22

23

- Can you describe the nature of that call? 0.
- I was driving through Sun Valley when the call 4 Α. came out for an accident and that one of the vehicles left 5 the scene. And because I was in the area, I responded to 6 help look for the vehicle that left the area.
 - Were you on duty, off duty? 0.
- I was on duty. I was on my way home. I wasn't on 9 We don't respond to calls for what I do for my 10 assignment. I was just driving home and happened to be in 11 the area. 12
- 13 0. Were you in uniform, though?
- 14 Α. Yes, sir.
 - And did you drive into the area of Fifth Avenue Q. and Sun Valley Boulevard?
- 17 Yes, sir. Α.
- Did you ever stop at that area, at the accident 18 0. 19 scene?
 - I slowed down through the parking lot, but I didn't come to a complete stop. I just drove through and was told the last area that the vehicle left, so I took off and started heading down Fifth Avenue.
 - Let me look at that, Sun Valley Boulevard. May I

- 1 approach, your Honor?
- 2 THE COURT: You may.
- 3 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
- Q. Let me show you Exhibit 9. Just a second to kind of orient yourself to the map there and let me know when
- 6 you're done.
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. You feel comfortable?
- 9 MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish this, your
- 10 Honor?
- 11 THE COURT: Granted.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you.
- 13 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
- Q. You mentioned you slowed down through a parking
- 15 lot. You can actually draw on that screen and it will come
- 16 up for everybody to see. Just circle the area that you were
- 17 | referring to.
- 18 A. This area right here.
- 19 Q. You said you took off in the direction that you
- 20 believed that the truck had been heading?
- 21 A. Yeah, last seen heading east on Fifth.
- 22 Q. Can you just show kind of the direction of travel,
- 23 | kind of a line on it so we can see what you're talking about?
- 24 Did you head down that area, then?

1 A. Yes, sir.

3

4

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- Q. Now, while you're heading down Fifth Avenue, did you get any updates on a potential residence associated with the vehicle?
- A. A deputy on scene had put on the radio that there was a license plate left on scene from the vehicle that left.
- Q. And was that an address, then, at 290 Bent Pine Circle?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What did you do when you got that information?
- 11 | A. I headed towards Bent Pine Circle.
 - Q. Give us an idea on time line and the length of duration between you getting the call, to being in the parking lot, to going to Bent Pine Circle?
 - A. It was only within a few minutes after me leaving the accident area that I got the information from the license plate.
 - Q. Did you immediately go to Bent Pine Circle?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Approximately how long did it take you to get from where you were traveling on Fifth Avenue to Bent Pine Circle?
- A. If I recall, I was just past Leon. So I had to turn around and go back to Leon. It was maybe two, three minutes.

- Q. Let's start with your initial observations, then, upon arrival at Bent Pine Circle, 290.
- A. It's a cul-de-sac, so when I pulled in, the address is directly ahead and off to the right a little bit.
- Q. Let's zoom in on that. So go ahead. So what did you initially observe, then, upon arrival?
- A. I pulled into where the street meets the driveway right around there and I stopped.
- Q. Point where. Can you point on there where you're talking about?
 - A. Somewhere around like right here.
- Q. Okay.

- A. And the first thing I observed was a light colored small truck. Do you want me to mark it?
- Q. So we're clear, so everybody knows, this photo is just a generalized scene map. It's not of January 7th, 2016, at 6:00 in the evening just so everybody is on the same page. Can you describe, then, kind of where on here you saw the truck?
- A. The truck was off to the right, right around this area.
- Q. Okay.

 MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach again, your Honor?

 THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

1

2

3

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked and admitted as Exhibits 10 through 19. Take a look at those.
- 4 MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish these 5 again, your Honor?
- THE COURT: Granted.
- 7 MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

- Q. Deputy, I'll start with number ten. Can you take us through what your initial observations of this truck were?
- 11 A. How it was?
- 12 Q. Yeah, just what you observed upon seeing it.
- A. Well, the first thing I noticed was there was a lot of steam coming from the front engine area, as like a radiator had been punched.
 - Q. When you see that, what do you do then?
 - A. I called it out on the radio saying I see a lot of smoke or steam coming up on the radio, and then I put out the plate and the matching plate that was left on the scene to the rear plate on the truck.
 - Q. Okay. Then what did you do?
 - A. I walked up to the side of it.
- Q. I'll show you, then, 11. That's the side of the truck?

- 1 A. When I first approached, I was on the other side
- 2 of it.
- Q. Okay. Let's get you this, then. This is 14.
- 4 This side, then, the passenger side?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Open Did you notice damage, then, in addition to the smoke or steam you saw?
- A. There was heavy damage to the front that was consistent with a car accident.
- Q. First of all, I'll show you 16. Is that also the front end damage you were referring to?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Then 15. What are we looking at here in number 14 15?
- 15 A. I was trying -- radiator fluid.
- Q. Describe that. Describe where you're seeing the
- 17 | radiator fluid?
- A. The tire, which is sitting right here, it's hard to see on the picture, but the radiator has that like a neon green fluid.
- Q. Can you circle what you're looking at on the photograph?
- 23 A. Right here.
- Q. So you saw smoke or steam coming up from the -- is

- it from the hood of the car it was coming out or where?
- A. The front, the front where the damage was.
 - Q. And then you saw this one. Did you actually see fluid dripping on to the tire or had that already stopped?
 - A. I didn't really see so much dripping.
 - Q. But it appeared to be wet and it appeared to be radiator fluid?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Now, it's January. Was there snow on the ground?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

- 11 Q. Did you notice anything in particular with the 12 snow regarding the truck?
- A. When I walked from the passenger side around, I could see footprints from the door in that led to the side of the house.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: May I approach again, your Honor?

 THE COURT: You may.
- 18 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
- Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as
 Exhibits 20 through 28. Can you take a moment to look at
 those?
- 22 A. Okay.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish these, your
- 24 Honor?

1 THE COURT: Granted.

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. So let's start with number 20. Can you describe what you see in this photograph?
 - A. This is footprints at the driver's side door.
 - Q. Can you circle the footprint you're referring to?
- A. There's a bunch of them, but the most distinct ones are right there.
 - Q. Basically in the middle of the photograph?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And to the top of photograph, is that the driver's door of the truck?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. I'll show you 21. Can you describe what you see in this photograph?
 - A. There's -- on the path that the prints led to, there was a lot of cars over there, and this is in between, and the footprints are heading towards that way.
 - Q. Is that the path towards the side entrance of the home?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you circle what you're referring to as the prints? Then in 23, what do you see here?
 - A. More footprints. I can't tell which direction.

- 1 There's a lot of traffic right there.
- Q. To be fair, there were a lot of footprints in the area, is that fair?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were you able to see to your eyes a distinct path from the driver's door into the side entrance?
- A. The front door was off to the right more. So you can see two different directions. There was a distinct path to that side.
- Q. To the side. I'll show you 22. What do you see the here?
- 12 A. That's the side gate and more footprints.
- 13 Q. Then I'll show you 24. Is this another photograph
 14 of that side gate that you were just referring to?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
 - O. Where does that side gate lead into?
- 17 A. The back yard and the side door that goes into the
- 18 house.

- Q. I'll show you 25. Is this here on the right, is that the same gate?
- 21 A. Yeah. And then that's the backyard to that side.
- Q. Now, did you ever go into this backyard area through the side entrance?
- A. I don't recall going into that backyard area. I

- 1 did walk up to the fence you pointed out.
- Q. Can you circle the entrance that you're referring to?
- A. The entrance right here.
- Q. The side and the gate and the door you're referring into the home?
- 7 A. Yeah. This is the side door right here.
 - Q. So in the middle of the photograph?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. So after seeing the damage to the truck and the footprints, what did you do?
- 12 A. I went up and knocked on the front door.
- 13 Q. And can you describe that?
- 14 A. The front door?
- Q. Well, just how was the process of going to the front door and things of that nature.
- 17 A. I just walked up and by myself still. I had
 18 already called out on the radio, so I knew somebody was on
 19 his way, and I just went up and knocked on the door.
 - Q. Did an individual identified later to you as Robert Lastine answer the door?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.

21

24

- Q. Can you describe your initial interaction with

Mr. Robert Lastine?

- A. I identified myself as the sheriff's office and I told him that there was a vehicle accident and one of the vehicles left the scene and it came back to this residence and it was parked out front at his house and I pointed to the truck.
 - Q. What was his reaction to that information?
- A. I learned, he told me that the truck was his nephew's.
- Q. Did you ask, then, to enter into the home at that point or was that later?
- A. It was later. I told him what happened. He told me, that's my nephew's truck. He's in the back bedroom.
- MS. MAHER: Objection, hearsay.
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker.
 - MR. BOLENBAKER: I don't think it's being offered for the truth. I think it's being offered for what he's being told and why he eventually makes entry into the home.
- THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase the question.
- 19 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

- Q. Based on what you were told, did you have reason to believe that the suspect was inside the home, another suspect besides potentially Mr. Robert Lastine, I guess?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. When you met with Mr. Robert Lastine, do you

- remember how he was, what he was wearing and things of that nature?

 A. I can't recall. I don't recall exactly what he was wearing.
 - Q. At some point, did Deputy Martin Obos show up to the scene?
 - A. Yes.

7

8

- Q. Then did you ask, with Deputy Obos did you ask for permission --
- MS. BRADY: Objection, leading.
- 11 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 12 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
- Q. Did you ask for his permission to enter into the home?
- 15 A. I asked before Obos got there.
- 16 Q. Can you describe that, then?
- 17 A. I asked for permission to go into the owner of the truck -- to find the owner of the truck.
- 19 Q. What did Robert Lastine say to you?
- 20 A. He said, go get him.
- MS. MAHER: Objection, hearsay.
- THE COURT: It's a present sense impression, not
- 23 offered for the truth of the matter asserted therein.
- 24 Objection is overruled.

BY MR. BOLENBAKER:

1

3

- Q. I'm sorry. What did he say?
 - A. He said, go get him, he's in the back room.
- Q. Did you then wait for Deputy Obos to arrive before you made entry?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Why did you do that?
- A. It's an officer safety issue. We don't just walk into people's residence, especially if I'm looking for somebody, alone.
- Q. When Deputy Obos arrived, did you make entry into the home?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And describe the entry into the home and what you did once you entered?
- A. We walked -- Robert let us in, we walked into a small living room area, and it went around to a kitchen, and then through the kitchen there's a doorway into a hallway.
- 19 Q. And did you go that way?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Why did you go that way?
- 22 A. Robert pointed in the direction and said the 23 bedroom is back there.
- Q. So that's why you went in that direction?

Yes, sir. Α. 1

5

7

8

- And when you got to the bedroom, what did you 2 0. 3 observe?
 - There was a hallway with a side door that goes Α. outside to the left and there was a doorway in the hallway.
- Q. So one door goes outside and one door goes into 6 the bedroom?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Describe the door. Was it open, closed, 10 partially?
- A. It was almost all the way closed, maybe an inch, 11 approximately, cracked. It was closed all the way to the 12 13 thing.
- Q. And what did you do, then, when you arrived at the 14 bedroom door? 15
- A. I had pushed it open and announced, police, 16 sheriff's office. 17
- Q. When you looked into the room, what did you 18 19 observe?
- A. It was lights out. It was dark. But directly in 20 front of the door, there was a bed, and on the bed, there was 21 a person under a blanket. The only thing I could see was the 22 23 top of a head, like a portion of it.
 - O. And are you making your presence known?

- A. Several commands, police, sheriff's office, show your hands, police, sheriff's office, show your hands.
 - Q. Was there any response?

- A. At first, there was nothing.
- Q. So at first nothing, but then was there eventually a response?
 - A. After several commands, I heard a distinct no.
 - Q. When you heard that distinct no, what question was that in reference to?
 - A. I believe it was to showing your hands.
 - Q. And so as a deputy when you are faced in a situation like this and you have someone who says no to your request to show your hands, what does that indicate to you?
 - A. He's not going to cooperate with our commands.
 - Q. And so what did you do in response to that?
- A. Immediately, both of us entered the room and placed him in handcuffs.
 - Q. Now, while you were in the bedroom, did you notice anything of significance?
 - A. The bed was directly in front of the door and next to the bed was a pair of black tennis shoes.
- Q. What was it about the tennis shoes that stuck out to you?
 - A. Well, the tread was very distinct and I recognized

- it from being outside. And I also noticed that the shoes had 1 fresh water, snow and mud all over them and led out the door. 2 Q. Let me show you what has been admitted as 29 and 3
- 30. May I approach? 4
- THE COURT: You may: 5
- 6 BY MR. BOLENBAKER:
- O. Just take a look at these. Do you recognize those 7 photographs?
- Yes, sir. Α.
- MR. BOLENBAKER: Permission to publish these, your 10
- 11 Honor?
- THE COURT: Granted. 12
- BY MR. BOLENBAKER: 13
- 14 Q. I'll start with 29. Are those the shoes you were referring to? 15
- Yes, sir. 16 Α.
- Q. Now, this photograph was taken, is this after the 17 shoes had been taken out of the bedroom? 18
- Yes, sir. That's not next to the bed. 19 Α.
- O. Just so we're clear, we're talking about these 20 shoes, not these slippers? 21
- A. Yes, sir.

Q. And then 30, can you tell us what you see in that 23 photograph? 24

- A. Those are the distinct treads I recognized, wet and muddy still.
 - Q. This white substance here, was that snow?
- A. That's my boot.

- Q. That's your boot. Right here, then, is this what you're talking about in terms of the wetness?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You got me on that one. What I want to do is compare those to the photos of the footprints. I'm going to show you 30 again. And you said there was a distinct footprint, what do you mean by that?
- A. The tennis shoe tracks had those distinct four big circles on the back heel. I thought that was very distinct, not normal, regular shoe tread. It leaves an impression of the circles, plus with the regular tread on the front half of the shoe.
- Q. I wanted to show you, then, number 20. Can you point out on this photograph where you're talking about these four -- I'm going to zoom in first. Can you circle where the four little circles you're referring to?
- A. It's the distinct four circles right here at the first half of the shoe right here.
- Q. And so everyone, just a frame of reference, number 20, this is the photograph you described that the top of this

- being the driver door?

 A. Yes, sir.

 MR. BOLENBAK
- MR. BOLENBAKER: I have no further questions.
- 4 Thank you, your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bolenbaker. Ms. Maher,
- 6 cross examination.
 - CROSS EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MS. MAHER:

- Q. Good afternoon, deputy.
- 10 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. So on the night in question, you never stopped at the accident scene?
- 13 A. I never got out of the car. I drove by, slowed down, and then took off.
- Q. Right. So you never heard any of the witnesses describe the vehicle damage?
- 17 A. No, ma'am.
- 18 Q. And you never got out and looked at the vehicle
 19 damage?
- 20 A. No, ma'am.
- O. But you were told about a license plate?
- A. On the radio, yes, ma'am.
- Q. And based on that license plate alone, you went to
- 24 | the Lastine residence?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. You mentioned the first thing you observed was the
- 3 truck, is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. But you had to get on to the property first?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q. So you entered the property?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. And you went and you looked at the truck in
- 10 question?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q. You walked around to the side of it?
- 13 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And at this point, you hadn't spoken with the
- 15 owner of the property?
- 16 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. So you looked at the truck and you saw it was
- 18 | steaming?
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q. But you didn't take any photos of that steam?
- 21 A. Not at that time.
- 22 O. And you didn't take any video of the steam?
- A. No. I didn't have video on me.
- Q. You don't have a body camera?

```
No, ma'am.
 1
         Α.
         Q .
               So you saw the truck had some damage to it?
 3
         A
               Yes, ma'am.
               Again, you hadn't gone and looked at the damage on
 4
         0.
    the SUV?
 5
               No, ma'am.
 6
         Α.
              You saw some footprints?
 7
         Q.
         Α.
              Yes, ma'am.
 8
              And you took the photos?
 9
         0.
              I took some of them.
10
         Α.
              You took some of the photos?
         0.
11
               MS. MAHER: Permission to approach?
12
13
               THE COURT: Granted.
    BY MS. MAHER:
14
               You mentioned that the photos were important to
15
         Q.
16
    you, because they were leading from the truck to the
17
    building, is that correct?
18
         Α.
               The footprints?
19
         Q.
              Yes.
              The photo of the footprints?
20
         Α.
21
         Q ...
              The footprints were important to you, correct?
22
              Yes.
         Α.
               Because they were leading away from the truck,
23
         0 -
24
    correct?
```

- 1 A. There was a path that went away from it, yes.
- Q. To the --
- 3 A. House.

12

- Q. -- house. You took this photo?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. That's one of the footprints you thought was important? The one you indicated earlier on direct, you thought that was an important footprint?
 - A. It matches, yes, ma'am.
- Q. So more about footprints, you mentioned that there
 was mud leading out the doorway, is that correct?
 - A. Out the door?
- Q. You mentioned in your police report that there were muddy footprints inside?
- 15 A. It was near the bed and to the door, yes.
 - O. But you didn't take any photos of those?
- 17 A. I don't recall.
- Q. So you've seen the truck, you've seen the footprints, and you think that the suspect might be inside, correct?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. So you asked specifically to speak with the owner of the truck?
- 24 A. After making contact, yes.

And then just to clarify, Officer Obos came after Q. 1 you spoke, you first spoke to Robert? 2 Yes, ma'am. 3 Α. Now, you and Obos go to the back of the house? Q. 4 Yes, ma'am. 5 Α. And you push open the bedroom door? Q. 6 Yes, ma'am. 7 Α. And at that point, you are arresting him for 8 Q. noncompliance? Detaining him. 10 Α. Q. He was in handcuffs? 11 Yes, ma'am. 12 Α. Q. He wasn't free to go? 13 Yes, ma'am. 14 Α. And it was for noncompliance? Q. 15 Yes, ma'am. 16 Α. Now, while you were on the Lastine residence, 17 Q. there were other vehicles there, correct? 18 Yes, ma'am. 19 Α. But you didn't search any of those vehicles? 20 0. 21 No, ma'am. Α. You didn't look inside the boat?

You didn't look inside an RV?

22

23

24

Ο.

Α.

Q.

No, ma'am.

```
Α.
               No, ma'am.
 1
               You didn't look inside a garage?
 2
         Q ...
               No, ma'am.
 3
         Α.
               You didn't run any fingerprints on the truck?
 4
         0.
               No, ma'am.
 5
         Α.
               You didn't ask how many people had keys to the
 6
         0.
    truck?
 7
              To who?
 8
         Α.
 9
         Q.
              Anyone.
10
              I didn't ask, no.
         Α.
               MS. MAHER: Indulgence, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Take your time, counsel.
12
               MS. MAHER: No further questions, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Mr. Bolenbaker,
14
15
    any questions?
16
               MR. BOLENBAKER: Nothing further, your Honor.
17
    Thank you.
               THE COURT: All right. Deputy Gamboa, you may
18
19
    step down. Mr. Bolenbaker.
               MR. BOLENBAKER: May we approach?
20
               THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, stand up,
21
    stretch your legs.
22
               (Discussion at the bench.)
23
24
              THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, you
```

can be seated. Counsel have pointed out a couple of legal matters that need to be addressed before we can proceed further. It might take 15 or 20 minutes. So, please, remember the admonition. No independent experiments or investigations. And just remember the rest of it. We'll be in recess. Jury may retire for about 20 minutes or so.

1.5

(The following proceedings were had outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Let's start with Ms. Brady and make a further record.

MS. BRADY: Yes, thank you, your Honor. I wanted to make a further record regarding my motion to suppress, renewing the motion to suppress that Deputy Obos today testified very contradictory to Deputy Gamboa where the door was shut.

Additionally, Deputy Gamboa contradicted himself a bit today in that when I asked him what direction the shoes were pointing, when I crossed him on that, he said he couldn't tell -- he couldn't tell when I asked him about it. But today he did indicate which was the heel and which was the front. And when you look on those pictures, the heel -- the footprint is very distinctly going towards the truck, not away from it.

And so in terms of his reliance on probable cause,

seeing footprints going to the door, and reliance on also the door was open and that he could see some shoes in the doorway through the -- when he opened the door, even though it was dark, today he testified -- they testified today that it was very dark in the room. So just based on the testimony added by Gamboa and Obos, it's clear that there wasn't the probable cause to enter the room.

THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker.

1.7

MR. BOLENBAKER: Your Honor, I didn't find the same sort of contradiction between Deputy Obos and Deputy Gamboa. I believe his testimony was actually that he couldn't recall if it was closed all the way or if it was latched or not. That is what Deputy Obos testified to.

In terms of the probable cause, I think even if you took the entire footprint notion out of it, I think there's still probable cause. So I would start with that and I don't see how that rises to the level of reassessing the motion to suppress.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. If we do remove the testimony regarding the footprints, there's still ample probable cause that would lead the deputy to approach the front door, knock, ask permission to enter from the owner Robert Lastine, which led to the back bedroom. Whether or not the door was closed completely, I think one of the

deputies testified it was closed up to the doorjamb. Whether it was open an inch or so, I don't think is of such a material deviation that would cause this Court to revisit or reverse its decision on the motion to suppress. But the record has been made.

MS. BRADY: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, with respect to the jury instruction, Mr. Bolenbaker.

2.2

MR. BOLENBAKER: I was going to bring this up when we were settling instructions today. I was a little concerned with the instruction getting into determining whether a physical injury occurred, the jury is allowed to consider bias, such as whether the person claiming the injury would benefit from such a claim. She cites Davis versus Alaska.

And I'll say one of the reasons why I was hoping to get to the instructions a little sooner than perhaps last night at 8:30, I was trying to go through this as quickly as I could, I couldn't exactly see where that particular notion is cited in the case, first of all.

Second of all, the question was asked on cross examination whether Ms. Green was represented by an attorney and she said yes. Now, the full truth of the surrounding circumstances, which I did not go into on redirect, because I

didn't want to tread where I wasn't sure I could, is that she has an attorney, that Mr. Lastine, the defendant's insurance company has already settled out and paid her.

And if they're going to try and somehow claim that her hiring an attorney somehow has biased her testimony, then that full knowledge and explanation would be appropriate, then, and to recall Ms. Green and ask specifically. I don't know the full details. That's not my position to do. But it's my understanding that she has been paid by the insurance company for Mr. Lastine. So that would come in, in my opinion if they want this instruction.

THE COURT: Ms. Brady.

2.0

MS. BRADY: What I cited there was based on the defense's ability to be able to point out the bias in general. It didn't specifically talk as to money or anything like that, but just to bias.

THE COURT: What bias is there?

MS. BRADY: It would be the bias that he says that she has an interest in seeing that he's convicted.

THE COURT: What's her interest?

MS. BRADY: Well, knowing what I know now in terms of her being already paid out, but just in terms of getting the money from Mr. Lastine's insurance company or from Mr. Lastine, being able to subsequently sue him for any ongoing

damage. 1 THE COURT: If she's already received that payout, 2 how is there any bias now that that's been resolved? 3 MS. BRADY: Well, I don't know. 4 MR. BOLENBAKER: I don't know if it's been fully 5 resolved. I believe the insurance company paid out and paid 6 medical expenses. I'm unsure of what will happen if they go 7 after him personally. And like I said, I always like to be aware of it, but I don't like to get involved in it. So it's 9 my understanding that perhaps there may be further 10 litigation. I don't know, to answer that question. 11 But I do know that the insurance company did pay 12 13 Ms. Green and I don't know how much or anything like that. THE COURT: Ms. Brady, what do you want to do with 14 15 this testimony? MS. BRADY: With what? 16 THE COURT: With the testimony relative to the 17 civil suit? I mean, we have to make sure --18 MS. BRADY: I wasn't going to -- I'm sorry. What 19 did you say? 20 THE COURT: I just want to make sure that the jury 21 doesn't veer off course and talk about negligence or 22 contributory negligence or an apportionment of guilt, any of 23 the civil concepts. However, I think you're entitled to 24

raise the issue of bias, but it's generally covered in that instruction that talks about the factors that jurors consider in determining the credibility of the witnesses.

MS. BRADY: I was not going to go into any kind of contributory negligence or anything like that. I wasn't going to go into that.

THE COURT: I understand.

2.3

MS. BRADY: I wasn't going to argue anything about she was doing something wrong. I wasn't going to go that route. Just suffice it to say that my impression, your Honor, of her testimony was there's some inference there that was this injury really all that she says it is or that the State is trying to say it is.

And one of the things by saying there is an injury, because one of the elements of the crime that I was intending to talk about would be whether there was an injury, you know, such that Mr. Lastine would be liable feloniously -- not liable, but guilty for leaving the scene of an accident when there's been an injury. So it was going to attack that portion of it, you know, the extent of her injury if there was one.

THE COURT: I'm looking for that jury instruction.

MS. BRADY: I was looking for it, too.

MR. BOLENBAKER: The one I just read?

THE COURT: No, the one that --

MR. BOLENBAKER: The one that has the list of what you can consider?

THE COURT: Correct:

MR. BOLENBAKER: There's one proposed by the defense, considering the testimony of a witness taking into account opportunity to see and hear, the memory, manner, interest in the outcome of the case, any bias or prejudice, or other evidence is contradicted, reasonableness and the facts. I think that's what you're referring to, that's essentially covered in that instruction.

It kind of goes exactly to what my point is. It sounds like what potentially they're going to argue is that Mr. Green is overinflating or overstating what her injuries are as parted of an effort to increase whatever financial interest she has pursuing him civilly. That's what I just heard her say right there.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. BOLENBAKER: I think it's a little unfair, then, to allow her to ask that question and me in an abundance of caution not delve into it, because I didn't want to, you know, I never want to risk something that would be inappropriate, so I didn't go into it with her. But not to get the full idea of exactly what's going on and to explore

exactly whether she would be biased or not based on that. So 1 if that's what they're planning on arguing, I do think it's 2 3 appropriate. THE COURT: I'll give you that opportunity to call 5 her if you wish. MR. BOLENBAKER: All right. THE COURT: Can we do it this afternoon? Probably 7 not. 8 MS. BRADY: I'm sorry, what did you say, your 9 10 Honor? MR. BOLENBAKER: If I can check with my 11 investigator to see if he can reach out. 12 THE COURT: Go ahead. 13 MR. BOLENBAKER: I think he left, because he 14 thought we were settling jury instructions. 15 THE COURT: Why don't we do this, why don't we 16 pick it up at 9:00 tomorrow morning with Ms. Green. I'll 17 canvass the defendant as well tonight. I won't accept any 18 waiver or make any decision until he's had a chance to talk 19 with counsel overnight. And then we'll reengage with him at 20 about quarter to 9:00, bring Ms. Green in at 9:00, take a 21 break. I'll ask the defense what their decision is and we'll 22 look at probably instructing the jury around 10:00 with 23 closing arguments shortly thereafter. How does that sound? 24

MS. BRADY: Just for the record, I will be objecting to recalling her. I mean, he had the opportunity to discuss that and made a strategic decision not to, just for the record.

THE COURT: I understand. I think, as Mr.

Bolenbaker pointed out, it wasn't until we delivered the -the Court delivered the jury instructions to Mr. Bolenbaker
after Ms. Green testified that he realized the significance
of that testimony. And I believe it would be unfair if I
would preclude that testimony.

So we'll start again, I'll bring the jury in, release them for the day. Let's just take a rough cut through the jury instructions tonight and do the best we can to settle them, leaving open a couple of instructions. And we'll reengage tomorrow at 8:45. Let's bring the jury in.

(The following proceedings were had in the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Will counsel stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. BOLENBAKER: Yes, your Honor.

MS. BRADY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I've had an opportunity to talk to the lawyers. We may have one or two more witnesses to hear from. They're not available until

first thing in the morning. So I'm going to release you this afternoon. I'll be working with the attorneys on the jury instructions this evening.

We'll reconvene at 9:00 tomorrow. We'll hear the remaining testimony. I'll give you have the instructions.

We're still on track. I'll give you the instructions on the law, you'll hear closing arguments, and the case will be yours. Probably get you there just before noon. Then I'll lift the admonition and allow you to talk about the case with a view towards reaching a verdict.

But until I do, please, remember the admonition.

Don't talk about this case amongst yourselves or with anybody else. Don't allow anybody else to talk to you about the case. Don't form any conclusions until the case has been submitted to you. Don't conduct any independent experiments and investigations, engage in social media. Remember the rest of the admonition. Ms. Brady.

MS. BRADY: Can we approach?

THE COURT: Can you wait?

All right. Thank you very much. Ladies and gentlemen, you can be seated.

(Discussion at the bench.)

THE COURT: It's a like church, stand up, sit down, stand up, sit down. Just remember the admonition.

I'll see you 9:00 tomorrow morning. Have a good evening, ladies and gentlemen. The jury may retire.

(The following proceedings were had outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Counsel, you want to take ten minutes to get everything cleared away and we can start with the jury instructions?

MS. BRADY: Thank you, your Honor.

(A short break was taken.)

THE COURT: We are outside the presence of the jury in CR16-0718 to address jury instructions. Counsel have been provided a copy of jury instructions, which is a compilation of instructions submitted by both the District Attorney and Public Defender's Office.

Let's just go through them, make sure we're all in the same order, and we'll do this informally, so it will be off the record. And then when we're ready to proceed, we'll go back on the record, and then I'll number them with the exemption of a couple of the other ones we'll reserve until tomorrow depending on the decision of the defense. And what I can do is I can sub letter them. So the defendant has a constitutional right not to testify could be 32A, so we can go 32B, and just interplead the instructions that we'll take out today.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE COURT: We're back on the record in CR16-0718 for purposes of settling jury instructions. Counsel have previously been provided a packet of the instructions. The Court and counsel have conferred. Subject to objection, the Court will give the following instructions.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, it is my duty as judge to instruct you in the law is number one.

Next, the defendant in this matter, Andrew Robert Allen Lastine, is being tried upon an information is number two.

Next, an information is a formal method of accusing a defendant of a crime is number three.

Next, if these instructions any rule, direction or idea is stated is number four.

Next, if during this trial I have said or done anything is number five.

Next, evidence may be direct or circumstantial is number six.

Next, to the jury alone belongs the duty of weighing the evidence is number seven.

Next, the evidence from which you are to decide the facts are is number eight.

Next, nothing that counsel say during the trial is

evidence in a case is number nine.

2.3

Next, it is the duty of attorneys on each side of the case to object is number ten.

MS. BRADY: Your Honor, may I make a record on number seven? I didn't when we were off the record, but actually Ms. Maher pointed this out, and do you want to make that record? No.

Just that if on line seven, if the jury believes that any witness has willfully sworn falsely, as opposed to just sworn falsely instead of willfully. I would just object to the word willfully in there.

THE COURT: Well, I'm glad you brought this to our attention, because I generally modify this instruction to say they may disregard the whole or part of the evidence of any such witnesses. But let me hear from Mr. Bolenbaker with respect to willfully.

MR. BOLENBAKER: I have no position.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BOLENBAKER: I agree that it should be whole or part of the evidence. I appreciate that.

THE COURT: Ms. Clerk.

THE CLERK: Could you read it to me, your Honor?

THE COURT: Number seven should be if the jury

24 believes that any witness has, strike willfully, sworn

falsely, they may disregard the whole, comma, or any part,
comma, of the evidence against such witness.

Back in sequence, it is the duty of attorneys on

each side of the case to object is number ten.

Next, in deciding the facts of the case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe is number 11.

Next, in determining the weight to be given to eyewitness identification.

MS. BRADY: I think we were going to make a record of that. I took that out.

MR. BOLENBAKER: I have it out.

MS. BRADY: I think I withdrew that, but --

THE COURT: We're off the record, Stephanie.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE COURT: Back on the record. The jury instruction starting, in determining the weight to be given to eyewitness identification testimony has been withdrawn.

MS. BRADY: Should I make the record as to why it was withdrawn?

THE COURT: If you want to.

MS. BRADY: Because at the time that I proffered that instruction, I didn't know whether or not any witness would identify Mr. Lastine as being the actual driver of the car.

THE COURT: That's fine. Next, inconsistencies or 1 discrepancies in the testimony of a witness is number 12. MS. BRADY: Your Honor, on line five, in weighing 3 the affect or effect? Line five of number 12. 4 THE COURT: I think it's effect. 5 MR. BOLENBAKER: I'm going to rely on the grammar 6 7 experts on that one. THE COURT: Stephanie, we're off the record. 8 (Discussion off the record.) 9 THE COURT: Back on the record. Inconsistencies 10 or discrepancies in the testimony of the witness is number 11 12. 12 Next, neither the prosecution nor the defense is 13 to require as witnesses -- is required to call as witnesses 14 is number 13. 15 Next, it is the constitutional right of a 16 defendant in a criminal trial. I'm going to leave that 17 blank. 18 Next, although you are to consider only the 19 evidence in the case in reaching a verdict is number 14: 20 If he decides to not testify, we'll just number 21 the preceding 13A. 22 MS. BRADY: You're going to canvass him tomorrow 23 24 morning?

THE COURT: Yes. We'll do that about quarter of. 1 Quarter of 9:00? I may have told him MS. BRADY: 2 to be here at 9:00 previously. I'll call him. 3 THE COURT: We'll do it as soon as he shows up. 4 MS. BRADY: Okay. He has to test beforehand. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Next, in every crime there must 6 be a union or joint operation is number 15. 7 Next, every person charged with the commission of 8 a crime shall be presumed innocent is number 16. 9 MS. BRADY: If I make a record, your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MS. BRADY: I had proffered the very similar 12 instruction, except for exchanging the word until with 13 unless, because the word until is a sort of eventuality, it 14 implies that it's coming, just wait for it, as opposed 15 unless, that it's not there unless. There's not an 16 eventuality implicit in the word unless. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker. 18 MR. BOLENBAKER: Our position was that it -- this 19 instruction mirrors the statute. I'd also say that in common 20 vernacular when you -- if you went around town and told 21 people the concept of criminal law, they'll say innocent 22 until proven guilty. That's the common vernacular used. I 23

think it's appropriate to mirror the statute.

24

THE COURT: The Court will give the instruction containing the word until over the objection of the defense. That will be defense rejected number one.

Next, the burden rests upon the prosecution to establish every element of the offense is number 17.

Next, a reasonable doubt is based on reason is number 18.

Next, the burden is on the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is the person. The defense objects or the defense wants to make a record.

MS. BRADY: Yes, your Honor. I believe this is an accurate statement of the law that the burden is on the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that it is the defendant. And that if they don't prove beyond a reasonable doubt that it is actually Andrew Lastine driving the car, then the jury must find him not guilty, because that is an essential element to the offense.

THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker.

MR. BOLENBAKER: Your Honor, the State's position is that the element of the defendant is covered in the elements instruction. It's actually number one, that the defendant is the one who drove the vehicle. So it's covered by that instruction. And I don't have -- I already tossed it aside, so I don't remember which one it was.

But I think there's also the other instruction that states that the State has the burden to prove every element beyond a reasonable doubt. So I think it's covered by the other instructions.

THE COURT: I think the Court finds that these concepts are embodied in other instructions and would be cumulative. So the Court will reject this instruction as number two.

Next, a defense is not required to prove innocence. The defense wants to make a record.

MS. BRADY: Your Honor, I believe the record on this one -- which one are you on?

THE COURT: The defense is not required to prove innocence. The burden is on the prosecution to prove.

MS. BRADY: Thank you, your Honor. The reason why I offered that is because during voir dire, there was a bit of discussion about whether or not there was a burden on my client to prove that he didn't commit this crime, so burden shifting. So that's why I'm asking for this to be in there is to further, you know, instruct the jury that my client doesn't have an obligation to prove his innocence.

THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker.

MR. BOLENBAKER: I think that concern is covered with the other instructions where it clearly states that the

State has the burden. I think Ms. Brady also explored that in jury selection and made it very clear that it's the State who has the burden. So if anybody was confused, they were adequately instructed by Ms. Brady during jury instructions and also would be during the jury instructions and they're presumed to follow the jury instructions.

THE COURT: All right. The Court finds that these concepts are covered in other jury instructions and it appears as if this is more argument than an instruction on law. Certainly, there isn't anything here that the defense can't argue, but I don't believe it is a proper instruction of law. So we'll reject this as number three, number four, Ms. Clerk?

THE CLERK: That will be number three, your Honor.

Defendant's offered and rejected number three.

THE COURT: All right. I have to leave the bench for about ten minutes or so and I'll be right back. So we'll finish up.

(A short break was taken.)

THE COURT: We are back on the record CR16-0718.

Next in order is defense proposed elements of leaving the scene of an accident involving personal injury. Ms. Brady, I thought we --

MS. BRADY: I'm sorry, which one are we on? The

elements of leaving the scene?

THE COURT: Correct. I thought we melded both.

MR. BOLENBAKER: I thought we worked this one out.

MS. BRADY: I think, your Honor, I wanted to make a record about the word willfully failed to immediately stop. The reason why, just looking back at it, I don't have the information in front of me, I believe you have the word willful in the information.

MR. BOLENBAKER: It does say willfully, unlawfully being the driver of a Ford pickup, failed to immediately stop such vehicle.

MS. BRADY: So that's why I was -- this was my instruction and that's why I was proffering the word willful in there.

THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker.

MR. BOLENBAKER: It's not in the statute. The elements really are if you're in an accident and you knew or should have known you were in an accident, you have a duty to stop. So I think the intent element is covered under knew or should have known. And it's not -- you don't have to prove that he willfully left, just have to prove that he left.

Because once you're in the accident and you knew or should have known you were in the accident, you have a duty by law to stay.

THE COURT: All right. I'll reject this instruction as to the element of willful. Number four, Ms. Clerk.

THE CLERK: Yes, your Honor, defense offered and reject number four.

THE COURT: Next instruction is the elements of leaving the scene of an accident involving personal injury to require proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Ms. Brady, you wanted to object to the final line, which is outlined in the following jury instruction?

MS. BRADY: Yes, your Honor. I believe that is confusing to -- it's going to be confusing to the jury. So we have this one clean page as to what the elements are, and then it says -- and then it references this next page, which is about 24 lines, 23 and some lines of describing what they do on the scene.

So I'm concerned by referencing that page as being part of the elements of the crime, that they will be looking and focusing on this instruction as to what the elements are and basing their decision on this instruction as opposed to the elements of the leaving the scene instruction.

THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker.

MR. BOLENBAKER: I think it's the best way to, I'm referring to the outline in the following jury instruction

outline, is the best way to meld and encapsulate what the actual statute is, because the statute refers to another statute.

And so I think it's really to fully inform the jury what the law is, you have to have it in there. And this is the best way to mirror exactly what the statute does, which is in the last element, this failing to immediately stop and having to remain at the scene, pursuant to, and what is the next instruction? So it's the best way to do it.

That's why we proposed it that way.

THE COURT: All right. I'll give this instruction over the objection of counsel. I don't believe it is confusing and does elaborate on the requirements of the law. So that will be number 19.

Next, the driver of any vehicle involved in an accident resulting in injury is the companion instruction, and that will be 20.

MS. BRADY: Yes, your Honor. Just for the record, I object to giving this instruction. I think it's something he can argue, but I think also just to be consistent with my prior argument, I think it's confusing to the jury.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I'll give this over objection.

Ms. Brady, you wanted to make a record as to the

1 following instruction, when there is a dispute between 2 degrees. 3 MS. BRADY: Yes, your Honor. This was going to be sort of a draft related to a lesser included argument that I 4 5 was prepared to make. But in discussing whether to offer a lesser included with my client, he has not authorized me to 6 7 do that. So I'll be withdrawing this proposed instruction. THE COURT: All right. Ms. Clerk, I'm going to mark it as defense withdrawn and we'll make it part of the 10 record. 11 MS. BRADY: Thank you. 12 THE CLERK: It will be defense withdrawn number 13 one, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Next, bodily injury must 15 be physical in nature and not merely psychological or intangible is 21. 16 17 Next, in determining whether -- did we ever get a 18 copy of the revised version on that? Stephanie, we're off the record. 19 20 (Discussion off the record.) 21 THE COURT: Next, Ms. Brady, in light of your 22 decision, will you withdraw this one? 23 MS. BRADY: Which one? 24 THE COURT: In determining whether physical injury

occurred, the jury is allowed to consider bias, such as whether the person claiming injury will benefit from such a claim.

MS. BRADY: Yes. I'm withdrawing that, your Honor, based on the discussion that we had or the preliminary ruling you had that if I'm going to connect her testimony and whether or not she was injured to the civil suit, that she could then come in and testify and I made a strategic decision to withdraw it.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I'll mark it as defense withdrawn two.

Next, the flight of a person immediately after the commission of a crime is not sufficient is 22.

MS. BRADY: Your Honor, if I can make a record and I didn't mention this. But in terms of the flight, I would object to offering this, because the crime itself is leaving and what would he be guilty of if he stayed?

Usually, this instruction refers to, like you hit somebody and then you -- like physically hit somebody and then you hide from the police or run or something, but the charge is battery and the flight is indicative of whether or not you battered someone.

THE COURT: Consciousness of guilt.

MS. BRADY: But I don't know if it applies here

when the whole issue is whether or not that was him fleeing. 7 2 THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker. MS. BRADY: What's the may show consciousness of 3 4 quilt? I mean, the charge is the leaving. THE COURT: Mr. Bolenbaker. 5 MR. BOLENBAKER: It is true that basically the 6 entirety of the crime involves, obviously, leaving and it's 7 embodied in this instruction. I think we had testimony, 8 especially from Jason Beck, that the driver of the vehicle almost came to after and I think we know why, but we're not 10 getting into why, but came to and almost popped up and then 11 hit the gas down the embankment. That was his testimony. 12 So I think it fits in to almost an additional idea 13 of consciousness of guilt of leaving the scene. I feel it's 14 appropriate. 15 THE COURT: I tend to degree with the defense in 16 this case. I think this is embodied in the elements of the 17 offense of leaving the scene. Flight instructions are not 18 favored in the law. And so I'll reject this instruction. 19 This will be prosecution rejected number one, 20 21 Ms. Clerk. THE CLERK: Thank you. 22

charged is not to be considered is 22.

THE COURT: Next, the penalty for the offense

23

24

Next, it is your duty as jurors to consult with one another and to deliberate is 23.

Next, upon retiring to the jury room, you will select one of your number is 24.

The verdict, we the jury in the above-entitled matter find the defendant guilty of leaving the scene of an accident involving personal injury.

Next, we the jury in the above-entitled matter find the defendant not guilty of leaving the scene of an accident.

MS. BRADY: So I just think it might be confusing, but I think you put personal injury, because that's how the information is. Is the information bodily or personal?

THE COURT: The information is personal.

MR. BOLENBAKER: The information is personal and the caption of the crime is personal, so that's why we're trying to mirror the statute and the caption as much as possible.

MS. BRADY: Let me say for the record that that's confusing and that the legislature should change that. So I would -- I would object to -- I think the legislative intent is physical injury or bodily injury, but by them throwing in the personal injury, I think that makes it unconstitutionally confusing.

```
THE COURT: That's why John Petty has a job for
 1
    life. All right. I'll overrule the objection and give the
 2
    instruction, because it does mirror the title of NRS
 3
    484E.010.
 4
              Mr. Bolenbaker, other than those instructions
 5
    previously provided and ruled on by the Court, does the State
 6
    have any further instructions?
 7
              MR. BOLENBAKER: No, your Honor. Thank you.
              THE COURT: Ms. Brady, same question?
              MS. BRADY: No, your Honor.
10
11
              THE COURT: See you at quarter to 9:00 for canvass
12
    of the defendant.
13
               MS. BRADY: Thank you.
              THE COURT: Have a good evening, counsel.
14
              MR. BOLENBAKER: Thank you, your Honor.
15
                                --000--
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

STATE OF NEVADA 1) SS. County of Washoe 2 I, STEPHANIE KOETTING, a Certified Court Reporter of the 3 Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and 4 for the County of Washoe, do hereby certify; 5 That I was present in Department No. 7 of the 6 above-entitled Court on March 7, 2017, at the hour of 9:00 7 a.m., and took verbatim stenotype notes of the proceedings 8 had upon the trial in the matter of THE STATE OF NEVADA, 9 Plaintiff, vs. ANDREW ROBERT ALLEN LASTINE, Defendant, Case 10 No. CR16-0718, and thereafter, by means of computer-aided 11 transcription, transcribed them into typewriting as herein 12 13 appears; That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1 14 through 214, both inclusive, contains a full, true and 15 complete transcript of my said stenotype notes, and is a 16 full, true and correct record of the proceedings had at said 17 time and place. 18 19 DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 29th day of June 2017. 20 21 S/s Stephanie Koetting 22 STEPHANIE KOETTING, CCR #207 23 24

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 7th day of December 2017. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

> Terrence P. McCarthy, Chief Appellate Deputy Washoe County District Attorney's Office

I further certify that on this date a copy of this document was mailed to:

Mr. Andrew Robert Allen Lastine (#1178211) Stewart Conservation Camp P.O. Box 5005 Carson City, Nevada 89702

> John Reese Petty Washoe County Public Defender's Office