

CLERK OF THE COURT

**COMP**

JIE "AMY" SUN, ESQ.  
Nevada State Bar No. 11289  
SUN LAW GROUP  
6145 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD, SUITE 201  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89146  
TELEPHONE: (702) 968-9900  
FACSIMILE: (800) 708-9518  
Email: sunlawgroup@yahoo.com  
Attorney for Plaintiff

**DISTRICT COURT  
FAMILY DIVISION  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

RUORONG YU,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BRIAN YU,

Defendant.

) Case No.: D - 13 - 478791 - D

) Dept. No.: R

**COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE**

Plaintiff, RUORONG YU, by and her attorney, Jie "Amy" Sun, Esq. for a cause of action  
against Defendant, BRIAN YU, alleges as follows:

1. Plaintiff is now, and for more than six weeks prior to the commencement of this action  
has been, a bona fide resident of Clark County, Nevada, having actually and physically resided and  
domiciled herein during all relevant periods of time preceding the commencement of this action.

2. Plaintiff and Defendant were duly and legally married on March 8, 2002 in Shanghai,  
China and have been continually married since that time.

3. There are no minor children born to the issue of this marriage, no minor children have  
been adopted during the course of the marriage and Defendant is not now pregnant.

4. The community property to be equitably divided.

5. Defendant should pay Plaintiff attorney's fees.

6. There is separate property of the parties which should be confirmed.

7. Plaintiff should be awarded alimony in a length and amount to be determined by the court.

8. The parties are incompatible in their tastes, natures, views, likes, and dislikes, which have become so widely separate and divergent that they are incompatible in marriage with no possibility of reconciliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

1. The bonds of matrimony existing between the parties be dissolved, and that the parties be granted an absolute Decree of Divorce and that each of the parties be restored to the status of single, unmarried persons.

2. Equitably dividing community property.

3. Confirming the separate property of the parties.

4. Award Plaintiff alimony in an amount and length to be determined by the court.

5. Awarding Plaintiff attorney's fees, and;

6. For such other relief that the Court deems proper and just.

DATED this 18th day of April 2013.

SUN LAW GROUP, LLC

By:


J. Amy Sun, Esq.  
Nevada State Bar No. 11289  
6145 Spring Mountain Rd., #201  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
Telephone: (702) 968-9900  
Attorney for RUORONG YU

1  
2 VERIFICATION


3 STATE OF NEVADA       )  
4                               : ss.  
5 COUNTY OF CLARK       )


6 I, RUORONG YU, first being duly sworn, deposes and says:


7 That she is the Plaintiff in the above-entitled action; that she has read the above and  
8 foregoing Complaint for Divorce and knows the contents thereof and that the same is true of her  
9 own knowledge, except as to those matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to those  
10 matters, she believes them to be true.  
11

12  
13   
14 RUORONG YU

15 SIGNED and SWORN to before me on  
16 this 18th day of April 2013 by RUORONG YU.

17   
18 NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said  
19 County and State  
20





CLERK OF THE COURT

ACDAS  
LEAVITT LAW FIRM  
DENNIS M. LEAVITT, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 3757  
229 Las Vegas Boulevard South  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
(702) 384-3963

Attorney for Brian Yu

**District Court**  
**CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

RUORONG YU,	)	CASE NO.: D-13-478791-D
	)	DEPT. NO.: T
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
BRIAN YU,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE AND COUNTERCLAIM**  
**FOR ANNULMENT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, DIVORCE**

COMES NOW, Brian Yu, by and through his undersigned counsel of record and responds to Plaintiff's Complaint for Divorce as follows:

1. Answering Paragraphs 3, 6 and 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Divorce, Brian Yu admits the allegations contained therein.

2. Answering Paragraphs 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Divorce, Brian Yu denies the allegations contained therein.

///

///

**COUNTERCLAIM FOR ANNULMENT OR,  
IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR DIVORCE**

1. Brian Yu is and has been for at least six weeks immediately preceding the date of verification of this document a resident of the County of Clark, State of Nevada.

2. Ruorong Yu apparently resides in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, but has abandoned Brian Yu as of October 2012.

3. That Ruorong Yu "duped" Brian Yu into marrying her in China solely for the purpose of her obtaining a green card to become a citizen of the United States, thereby committing fraud.

4. That Ruorong Yu remained in China for at least two years after the date of the alleged marriage while Brian Yu resided in the County of Clark, State of Nevada.

5. That the alleged marriage in Shanghai, China is not a valid marriage according to the laws of the State of Nevada.

6. That Brian Yu only recently became aware that he was "duped" by Ruorong Yu into marrying her for the sole purpose of Ruorong Yu gaining American citizenship, coming to the United States and thereafter committing further fraudulent acts upon him solely for the purpose of financial gain as Brian Yu is an old man (75 years old) and Ruorong Yu is approximately twenty years younger.

7. That as part of her scheme to defraud Brian Yu of his finances and property, Ruorong Yu entered into a calculated scheme of making false reports to the police alleging abuse, none of which had any corroboration whatsoever.

8. That Brian Yu requests an Order from this Court declaring the alleged marriage occurring on March 8, 2002 in Shanghai, China void pursuant to NRS 125.340 because Brian Yu's consent to marry was obtained by fraud.

1           9. Brian Yu also requests an Order from this Court declaring the alleged marriage  
2 void pursuant to Chapter 122 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.  
3

4           10. That there are no minor children born the issue of these parties, no children  
5 have been adopted and Ruorong Yu is not pregnant to the best of Brian Yu's knowledge.  
6

7           11. That there is no community property of the parties.  
8

9           12. That neither party is entitled to an award of alimony.  
10

11           13. That Brian Yu has been required to retain the services of an attorney to defend  
12 and prosecute this action and is, therefore, entitled to attorney's fees and costs.  
13

14           14. That Ruorong Yu abandoned Brian Yu by vacating Brian Yu's residence on  
15 October 8, 2012 and the parties have been living separate and apart and are incompatible.  
16

17           **WHEREFORE**, Brian Yu prays for judgment as follows:  
18

19           1. That Ruorong Yu take nothing by reason of her Complaint for Divorce on  
20 file herein;  
21

22           2. For an Order from this Court declaring the alleged marriage of March 8,  
23 2002 in Shanghai, China null and void;  
24

25           3. For an Order confirming the separate property of Brian Yu as his sole and  
26 separate property;  
27

28           4. For an Order that neither party be awarded alimony;  
29

30           5. For attorney's fees and costs; and  
31

32           ///  
33

34           ///  
35


36           ///  
37

38           ///  
39

1                   6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper in  
2 the premises.  
3

4                   DATED this 16th day of May, 2013.


5 **LEAVITT LAW FIRM**


6  
7  
8 BY:   
9 **DENNIS M. LEAVITT, ESQ.**  
10 Nevada Bar No. 3757  
11 229 Las Vegas Boulevard South  
12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
13 Attorney for Brian Yu

14                   **Certificate of Mailing**

15                   Pursuant to NEVADA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 5 (b), I hereby certify that on  
16 the 16th day of May, 2013, service of the foregoing ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR  
17 DIVORCE AND COUNTERCLAIM FOR ANNULMENT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE,  
18 DIVORCE was made this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class  
19 mail, at Las Vegas, Nevada addressed as follows:

20                   Amy Sun, Esq.  
21 6145 Spring Mountain Road  
22 Suite 201  
23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

24   
25 An employee of Leavitt Law Firm  
26  
27  
28



CLERK OF THE COURT

**DISTRICT COURT**  
**CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

RUORONG YU,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRIAN YU,

Defendant.

Case No. D-13-478791

Dept. R

Date of Hearing: 02/01/2016

Time of Hearing: 11:00 a.m.

**ORDER FROM HEARING**

This matter having come on for Hearing on the above date and time in the Family Division of the Eighth Judicial District Court, County of Clark; and Plaintiff, being present with her attorney of record, Robert Blau, Esq. at the beginning of the hearing prior to his withdrawal, and Defendant being present in proper person, and the Court being fully advised of the premises, both as to the subject matter as well as the parties thereto, having considered the papers and pleadings on file and oral argument presented and good cause appearing therefore;

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Attorney Robert Blau shall be allowed to withdraw as counsel for Plaintiff.

**Non-Trial Dispositions:**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other                             | <b><u>Settled/Withdrawn:</u></b>                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dismissed - Want of Prosecution   | <input type="checkbox"/> Without Judicial Conf/Hrg         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Involuntary (Statutory) Dismissal | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> With Judicial Conf/Hrg |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Default Judgment                  | <input type="checkbox"/> By ADR                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Transferred                       |  |

**Trial Dispositions:**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Disposed After Trial Start | <input type="checkbox"/> Judgment Reached by Trial |
|---|--|



**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant's motion set for 02/18/2016 is **HEREBY DENIED** and removed from calendar pursuant to EDCR 2.20 for failure to provide points and authorities and because his request to reopen the divorce and change substantive terms of the divorce has no legal basis. His motion is merely a continuing narrative of his allegations and concerns.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that because Defendant is still unable to demonstrate that property of substantial value was not addressed in the Decree of Divorce, his claim is now barred.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that regarding Plaintiff's personal property, she shall list these items that have not been returned to her. Defendant shall return them to her by Saturday, 02/20/2016 at noon, with Plaintiff going to the residence with a police escort to retrieve the items on the list.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that as to the Court preserving Defendant's argument regarding the inequitable division of community property, this claim is permanently barred because Defendant was provided repeat opportunities to present documentation to establish and advance this argument, but continues to fail to do so. In fact, the Court finds that Defendant received the more valuable piece of real property, and Defendant presented nothing to meaningfully dispute this conclusion.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant still has not documented the removal of the \$176,000.00, which, incident to the Decree of Divorce, Defendant apparently moved, transferred, or concealed in order to deny Plaintiff her rightful share of such proceeds. Plaintiff, therefore, shall receive a judgment in the amount of one-half (1/2) of that amount in the amount of \$88,000.00.

1           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the frozen accounts are immediately ordered  
2 to be unfrozen so Plaintiff can receive one-half (1/2) of all such accounts, plus an  
3 additional \$88,000.00, or one-half (1/2) entitlement to the \$176,000.00 of funds which  
4 Defendant apparently concealed or converted for his exclusive use.

5           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that upon presentation of this order to the agency  
6 or bank holding the frozen funds, the accounts shall be immediately unfrozen. The funds  
7 shall be distributed pursuant to this order, with Plaintiff, Ruorong Yu, receiving her full  
8 one-half (1/2) share of each account or fund, plus \$88,000.00, from Defendant, Brian  
9 Yu's, share.

10           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that both Plaintiff and Defendant are hereby  
11 deemed vexatious litigants. Both Plaintiff and Defendant are hereby prohibited from  
12 filing any further motions without obtaining prior court approval. The court clerk shall  
13 place a note in Odyssey at this hearing under Judicial Department Miscellaneous  
14 indication: vexatious litigants: no motions to be filed without court permission. This  
15 shall be entered in open court. The Clerk's Office shall thus accept no further filings  
16 from either party without permission of this Court.


17           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that based on a deluge of attempted improper ex  
18 parte communications from Plaintiff, she he hereby instructed that any further attempts to  
19 submit improper ex parte communications to chambers may result in (1) a finding of  
20 contempt against her; and (2) sanctions for wasting court resources and possibly

21 ///

22 ///


1 attempting to create bias and/ or attempting to receive improper preferential treatment  
2 and improper advantages.  
3

4 DATED this 25 day of April, 2016.

5   
6

7 Bill Henderson  
8 District Court Judge *et*  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

NEO



CLERK OF THE COURT

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**  
\* \* \*


Ruorong Yu, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Brian Kwok Sheung Yu, Defendant.

Case No: D-13-478791-D  
Department R

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER**

Please take notice that an ORDER FROM HEARING on 2/1/16 was entered in the foregoing action and the following is a true and correct copy thereof.

Dated: April 29, 2016



Nancy Diaz  
Judicial Executive Assistant  
Department R

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the above file stamp date:

☒ I placed a copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER in the appropriate attorney folder located in the Clerk of the Court's Office of:

F Peter James

☒ I mailed, via first-class mail, postage fully prepaid, the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER to:

Ruorong Yu  
6721 Old Valley ST  
Las Vegas, NV 89149



Nancy Diaz  
Judicial Executive Assistant  
Department R

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

**INDICATE FULL CAPTION:**

BRIAN YU,

Appellant,

v.

ROURONG YU,

Respondent.

No. 70348

Electronically Filed  
May 24 2016 04:30 p.m.

Tracie K. Lindeman  
Clerk of Supreme Court  
**DOCKETING STATEMENT  
CIVIL APPEALS**

**GENERAL INFORMATION**

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

**WARNING**

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. See *KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman*, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District Eighth Department R  
County Clark Judge Bill Henderson  
District Ct. Case No. D-13-478791-D

**2. Attorney filing this docketing statement:**

Attorney F. Peter James, Esq. Telephone 702-256-0087  
Firm Law Offices of F. Peter James, Esq.  
Address 3821 West Charleston Blvd.  
Suite 250  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Client(s) Appellant, Brian Yu

If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel and the names of their clients on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

**3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s):**

Attorney \_\_\_\_\_ Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
Firm \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_

Client(s) Rourong Yu is in proper person

Attorney \_\_\_\_\_ Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
Firm \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_

Client(s) \_\_\_\_\_

(List additional counsel on separate sheet if necessary)

**4. Nature of disposition below (check all that apply):**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Judgment after bench trial         | <input type="checkbox"/> Dismissal:  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Judgment after jury verdict        | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of jurisdiction  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Summary judgment                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Failure to state a claim  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Default judgment                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Failure to prosecute  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief  | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grant/Denial of injunction         | <input type="checkbox"/> Divorce Decree:   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grant/Denial of declaratory relief | <input type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Modification                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Review of agency determination     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other disposition (specify): <u>post-decree motion</u> |

**5. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following?**

- ☐ Child Custody  
☐ Venue  
☐ Termination of parental rights

**6. Pending and prior proceedings in this court.** List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal:

None.

**7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts.** List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g., bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

None, other than the district court action that is on appeal.

Yu v. Yu; D-13-478791-D  
Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Division

**8. Nature of the action.** Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

A Decree of Divorce was entered.

There are no minor children.

The issues relate to division of assets / debts.

Both parties filed various post-decree motions.

The Court entered orders--some of which were unrelated to what was requested.

This appeal followed.

**9. Issues on appeal.** State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):

Whether the district court erred in denying Appellant's motion filed February 18, 2016.

Whether the district court erred in denying Appellant's other requests for relief.

Whether the district court erred in awarding Respondent's requests for relief.

Whether the district court erred in awarding Respondent \$88,000 due to a purported removal of assets by Appellant.

Whether the Court erred in sua sponte and without due process declaring the parties vexatious litigants.

**10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues.** If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

None known.



**11. Constitutional issues.** If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

☐ N/A

☐ Yes

☒ No

If not, explain:

**12. Other issues.** Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?

☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent(identify the case(s))

☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions

☐ A substantial issue of first impression

☐ An issue of public policy

☐ An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions

☐ A ballot question

If so, explain:

**13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court.** Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

This matter is presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals as it is a family law matter which does not include a termination of parental right / 432B proceedings. See NRAP 17(b)(5).

Appellant is not arguing that the Supreme Court should retain the case.

**14. Trial.** If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? \_\_\_\_\_

Was it a bench or jury trial? \_\_\_\_\_

**15. Judicial Disqualification.** Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

N/A.

## TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from Apr 26, 2016

If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking appellate review:

17. Date written notice of entry of judgment or order was served Apr 29, 2016

Was service by:

☐ Delivery

☒ Mail/electronic/fax

18. If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion (NRCP 50(b), 52(b), or 59)

(a) Specify the type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and the date of filing.

☐ NRCP 50(b)      Date of filing \_\_\_\_\_

☐ NRCP 52(b)      Date of filing \_\_\_\_\_

☐ NRCP 59      Date of filing \_\_\_\_\_

**NOTE:** Motions made pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the time for filing a notice of appeal. See *AA Primo Builders v. Washington*, 126 Nev. \_\_\_\_, 245 P.3d 1190 (2010).

(b) Date of entry of written order resolving tolling motion \_\_\_\_\_

(c) Date written notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served \_\_\_\_\_

Was service by:

☐ Delivery

☐ Mail

**19. Date notice of appeal filed** May 5, 2016

If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each notice of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:

**20. Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other**

---

### **SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY**

**21. Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review the judgment or order appealed from:**

(a)

- |   |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NRAP 3A(b)(1)                                   | <input type="checkbox"/> NRS 38.205   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NRAP 3A(b)(2)  | <input type="checkbox"/> NRS 233B.150 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NRAP 3A(b)(3)  | <input type="checkbox"/> NRS 703.376  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) <u>NRAP 3A(b)(8); NRS 2.090</u> |                                       |
- 

(b) Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:

NRAP 3A(b)(1) provides jurisdiction as the Order on appeal is a final order.

NRAP 3A(b)(8) might apply as the Order on appeal might be considered a special order after final judgment as a Decree has been entered, but a post-decree motion to modify was denied.

NRS 2.090 would apply as the Order on appeal is decision on the merits that necessarily affects the judgment.

**22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court:**

(a) Parties:

Appellant / Defendant Brian Yu

Respondent / Plaintiff Ruorong Yu

(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, e.g., formally dismissed, not served, or other:

**23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.**

This was a divorce action with no children. There were only property and assets to divide, along with alimony. The divorce was official on June 9, 2015. Each side filed post-decree motions. The final order was filed April 26, 2016.

**24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?**

☒ Yes

☐ No

**25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:**

(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:

(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?

☐ Yes

☐ No

**26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):**

**27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:**

- The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
- Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, cross-claims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
- Any other order challenged on appeal
- Notices of entry for each attached order

## VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

Brian Yu  
Name of appellant

F. Peter James, Esq.  
Name of counsel of record

May 23, 2016  
Date

/s/ F. Peter James  
Signature of counsel of record

Clark County, Nevada  
State and county where signed

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 23rd day of May, 2016, I served a copy of this completed docketing statement upon all counsel of record:

- ☐ By personally serving it upon him/her; or
- ☒ By mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address (es): (NOTE: If all names and addresses cannot fit below, please list names below and attach a separate sheet with the addresses.)

Ruorong Yu  
6721 Old Valley Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149  
Respondent in proper person

Dated this 23rd day of May, 2016

/s/ F. Peter James  
Signature