	Roy D. Morraga #31584 NO. 7634
	NNCC. P.J. Box 2000
1	CARSON CITY, NV 89203
2	JUL 12 2018
3	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADARY SUPREME COURT
4	BY DEPUTY CLERK
5	Para Danas de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della comp
7	ROY D. MORAGA Petitioner/Plaintiff, Case No. 890092174
8) Dept. No
9	The EIBHTH Judicial District) Court of the State Of Nevada, In and
10	For the County of CLARK
11	
12	PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS
13	
14	Comes now, Petitioner/Plaintiff, Roy D. Moraga, pro per, And
15	respectfully moves this Honorable Court to issue a Petition for Writ of Mandamus, being filed
16	contemporaneously herewith, directing Steven Grierson, to reverse and vacate his order,
17	and/or actions in denying Petitioner/Plaintiff Booking Sheet of 1989, initial
18	Arryigment - 12-14-89, Sentencing Trans-6-13-90, resentencing Trans
19	This motion is made and based pursuant to the supporting Points and Authorities attached hereto,
20	N.R.S. 34.150 through N.R.S. 34.310, N.R.A.P. Rule 21, as well as all papers, pleadings, and documents
21	on file herein.
22	
23	
24	



18-26651

II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Petitions for Extraordinary Writs are addressed to the sound discretion of the Supreme Court of Nevada and may issue when there is no plains, speedy, and adequate remedy at law,. See, <u>State v. Second Judicial District Court ex. Rel. County of Washoe</u>. 116 Nev. 953, 11 P.3d 1209 (2000).

A writ of mandamus is issued to compel performance of an act which the law especially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust or station. See, <u>Lewis v. Stewart</u>, 96 nev. 846, 619 P.2d 1212 (1980).

A writ of mandamus ma issue to control arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See, <u>Barnes v. Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for Clark County</u>, 103 Nev. 679, 748 P.2d 483 (1987).

This Court has also held that the action being sought to be compelled must be one already required By law. See, Mineral County v. State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources. 117 Nev. 235, 20 P.3d 800 (2001).

Mandamus is the appropriate vehicle for challenging contested orders entered by the District Court.

See, <u>Angell v. Eighth judicial District Court In and For the County of Clark</u>, 18 Nev. 923,

839 P.2d 1329, (1992).

It has also been held that a writ of mandamus is proper when the petitioner raises urgent and important issues(s) of law requiring clarification by the Supreme Court. See, <u>Falcke v. Douglas County</u>, 116 Nev. 583, 3 P.3d 661 (2000).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

1	Petitioner has made (4) request to the Clerk of the
2	Court & Stevent Brierson To Send me these documents
3	in Order to file a New writ of habeas corpus
4	Pursuant to NRS 19.013(5) AND S. Grierson has
5	ONLY Sent me the Court Minutes My Time is
6	running out For me to File my writ, so with All
7	do respect Please order Steven D. Grierson To
8	Mail me All the requested documents right AWAY
9	The Following is what I weed:
- 1	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Sentencing Trans of June 13 1990
	Re-Scatencing Trans. of Nov. 23, 1991
14	Respectfully Requested
15	- Roy D. Moraga
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
^°	