IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTIAN A. MILES,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
JENNIFER P. TOGLIATTI, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 77220



OCT 3 0 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This emergency pro se petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges district court minutes denying petitioner's motion to dismiss on the basis of an insufficient information.

Having considered this petition and supporting documents, we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. See NRAP 21(b); Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). The district court considered petitioner's motion and determined that the information is adequate to provide petitioner with notice of the charges sufficient to defend and to invoke double jeopardy protections, as it provides petitioner with the date, location, and type of offense and the particulars needed to understand the charges. See Sheriff, Clark County v. Levinson, 95 Nev. 436, 437, 596 P.2d 232, 233 (1979); Simpson v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 88 Nev. 654, 661, 503 P.2d 1225, 1230 (1972). Petitioner has not demonstrated that the

(O) 1947B

district court so abused its discretion in making this determination that our extraordinary intervention is appropriate. Accordingly, we ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Gilner

Silver

J.

Tao

Gibbons

Christian A. Miles cc: Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

¹Petitioner's failure to include a verification and the lack of any written order constitute additional bases on which to deny relief. NRAP 21(a)(5); Div. of Child & Family Servs. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 445, 451, 92 P.3d 1239, 1243 (2004).