## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROSAISET JARAMILLO, AS SPECIAL ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF MARIA JARAMILLO, Appellants, vs. SUSAN R. RAMOS, M.D., F.A.C.S., Respondent. No. 77385



## ORDER GRANTING MOTION

The parties' stipulation extending the time for the filing of the answering brief is treated and granted as a joint motion for an extension of time. See NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from due date established by NRAP 31(a)(1)). Respondent shall have until April 18, 2019, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. Id. Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. Varnum v. Grady, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions, including disposition of this appeal without an answering brief form respondent. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

cc: Bradley Drendel & Jeanney Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA