IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID BURNS,

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed
Feb 28 2019 10:43 a.m.
Supreme Court Caselizabeth 24. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME 5 OF 12 PAGES 0910-1136

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

RESCH LAW, PLLC d/b/a Conviction Solutions Jamie J. Resch Nevada Bar Number 7154 2620 Regatta Dr., Suite 102 Las Vegas, Nevada, 89128 (702) 483-7360

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTY. Steven B. Wolfson 200 Lewis Ave., 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4711

NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL Aaron Ford 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, Nevada 89701 (775) 684-1265

INDEX Vol 5 DAVID BURNS, CASE NO. 77424

DOCUMENT	VOL.	PAGE NO.
Burns Exhibits in Support of Supp. Petition: 11/27/17	12	2440-2441
Supp 001-002 District Court Minutes on 2/9/15	12	2442-2443
Supp 003-004 Stip/Order Waiving Sep. Penalty Hrg	12	2444-2445
Supp 005-059 Cornelius Lee Mayo Justice Court case	12	2446-2500
Supp 060-067 Cornelius Lee Mayo Guilty Plea Agr.	12	2501-2508
Supp 068-070 Cornelius Lee Mayo Judg. Of Conviction	n 12	2509-2511
Supp 071-072 Order: Rev. Probation/Amended Judg.	12	2512-2513
Burns Motion (Disclosure-Materials/Facts), Exhs: 10/9/	14 1	0018-0221
Burns Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (PC): 10/13/1	5 11	2284-2306
Burns Reply to State Response to Supp. To Petition (HC	2) 12	2545-2555
Burns Request: Evidentiary Hrg (H.C.): 10/15/15	11	2306-2312
Burns Supp. Petition: Writ of Habeas Corpus: 11/27/17	11	2394-2439
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, Order: 3/21/16	11	2380-2388
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, Order: 10/25/18	12	2623-2650
Indictment (Superceding): 10/13/10	1	0001-0008
Instructions to the Jury: 2/17/15	10	2199-2256
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial): 5/5/15	11	2281-2283
Notice of Appeal: 11/8/18	12	2651-2652
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law	12	2622
NvSC 2/17/17 Cert./Judgment (reversal and remand)	11	2389-2393
Slip Sheet – Defendant's Sentencing Memorandum	11	2273
State Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty: 10/28/10	1	0009-0012
State Notice of Witnesses: 9/6/13	1	0013-0017
State Response: Petition (HC), M/Appt Counsel: 1/26/1	6 11	2313-2379
State Response to Supp to Petition (HC): 1/16/18	12	2514-2544
State Second Supp. Notice of Witnesses: 10/15/14	1	0222-0226
State Third Supp. Notice of Witnesses: 1/12/15	2	0323-0327
Stipulation/Order Waiving Separate Penalty Hrg: 2/9/1	5 8	1723-1724
Transcript: 10/14/14 Calendar Call; Defendant Motions	2	0227-0281

Transcript:	10/20/14 All Pending Motions	2	0282-0322
Transcript:	1/27/15 Jury Trial (Day 6)	2	0328-0454
Transcript:	1/27/15 Jury Trial (Day 6) continued	3	0455-0545
Transcript:	1/28/15 Jury Trial (Day 7)	3	0546-0660
Transcript:	1/29/15 Jury Trial (Day 8)	3	0661-0685
Transcript:	1/29/15 Jury Trial (Day 8) continued	4	0686-0792
Transcript:	1/30/15 Jury Trial (Day 9)	4	0793-0909
Transcript:	1/30/15 Jury Trial (Day 9) continued	5	0910-1011
Transcript:	2/5/15 Jury Trial (Day 10)	5	1012-1136
Transcript:	2/5/15 Jury Trial (Day 10) continued	6	1137-1315
Transcript:	2/6/15 Jury Trial (Day 11)	6	1316-1351
Transcript:	2/6/15 Jury Trial (Day 11) continued	7	1352-1525
Transcript:	2/9/15 Jury Trial (Day 12)	7	1526-1589
Transcript:	2/9/15 Jury Trial (Day 12) continued	8	1590-1722
Transcript:	2/10/15 Jury Trial (Day 13)	8	1725-1804
Transcript:	2/10/15 Jury Trial (Day 13) continued	9	1805-1899
Transcript:	2/11/15 Jury Trial (Day 14)	9	1900-2032
Transcript:	2/11/15 Jury Trial (Day 14) continued	10	2033-2101
Transcript:	2/12/15 Jury Trial (Day 15)	10	2102-2198
Transcript:	2/17/15 Jury Trial (Day 16) Verdict	11	2257-2268
Transcript:	4/23/15 Sentencing	11	2274-2280
Transcript:	4/17/18 Hearing Argument	12	2556-2565
Transcript:	9/20/18 Evidentiary Hearing	12	2566-2621
Verdict: 2/	17/15	11	2269-2272

medium of information including newspapers, television, or 1 radio, and you may not form or express an opinion on any 2 3 subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted 4 to you. We'll be in recess until 1:30 this afternoon. (Jury recessed at 12:06 p.m.) 6 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 7 THE COURT: All right. I'd like you back a few minutes before 1:30, please. 9 10 MR. ORAM: Yes, thank you, Judge. MR. DIGIACOMO: Are we going to go 1:30? I thought 11 12 you said 1:15. 13 THE COURT: The jurors got until 1:30, but I want 14 everybody back, ready to go because that other jury is going 15 to use -- going to kick them out, and I don't want it delayed. 16 MR. DIGIACOMO: Sure. We'll be ready. 17 THE COURT: All right. MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, we have just two witnesses 18 19 left for the day, so whenever we're done with them we're done 20 for the day. Because we didn't know how long --21 That's fine. THE COURT: 22 MR. DIGIACOMO: -- they were going to take --23 THE COURT: That's fine. 24 MR. DIGIACOMO: -- on Ms. Martinez. I know, you 25 would never admit to be unhappy but --

1	THE COURT: I'm not unhappy. I
2	MR. DIGIACOMO: no, I know you'll be happy to
3	[inaudible].
4	THE COURT: I know, a little early on Friday
5	afternoon, that's all right by me.
6	Are we still looking to finish next Thursday for
7	next Friday?
8	MS. WECKERLY: Your Honor, I think we'll go into
9	Monday, but not longer than that, and maybe only a half a day
10	Monday.
11	THE COURT: Do they know that?
12	MS. WECKERLY: The Defense?
13	THE COURT: Yeah.
14	MS. WECKERLY: I've told them
15	THE COURT: They know
16	MS. WECKERLY: that.
17	THE COURT: to be ready to start
18	MS. WECKERLY: Yes. And I'll remind them after the
19	break.
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: I let Mr. Langford know that too
21	because he wanted me to let the jury know that we're we're
22	still we're still on schedule, despite our breaks.
23	(Court recessed at 12:07 p.m. until 1:23 p.m.)
24	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
25	THE COURT: Okay. On the record. Mr. Oram, you

said you wanted to say something on the record.

MR. ORAM: Yes, Your Honor. I believe that when they call Donovon Rowland that they may elicit something similar to what they elicited in front of the grand jury, which I think is completely inadmissible pursuant to Crawford. And that is the State and the defense have been given some latitude with respect to co-conspirator hearsay statements from Job-Loc.

But at one point Donovon Rowland, his — they're very close, Donovon Rowland and Job—Loc are very close. They elicited that — from Donovon Rowland at the grand jury that while talking to Job—Loc, Job—Loc said that the two defendants must have gone inside and gone crazy and then the shooting occurred. That, to me, is a — that's not a co—conspirator statement. That's this man, Job—Loc, directly implicating these two defendants without our right to confront.

Now, I do understand the prior statements that have been made as to they're going over there, I'm involved, but this seems like somebody saying I didn't do it, they did it, or they're responsible, they're the shooters. And without the right to confront him, he becomes the main accuser under the Sixth Amendment to The United States Constitution.

I brought Crawford, and so that is the one limited area as I have read in this entire case where I thought that is a direct allegation that these two are guilty, they're

responsible, and Donovon and Job-Loc are eliminating themselves and I don't get to cross-examination Job-Loc over it.

MR. DiGIACOMO: I'll be very brief about this,

Judge. So you know the fact pattern here, the morning of the
homicide, Donovon Rowland, as you've heard, goes over to Job's
apartment. Job gives him the gun, tells him to hold onto
this, and then he takes it.

And what his testimony — well, at least what his statement to the police is, his statement to the police is that later on that morning or the next morning, and it's not real clear, he gets a phone call from Job. Job tells him watch the news and call me back. He watches the news. He sees the homicide. He calls Job back.

Job says to him basically it was a dope rip gone bad, the crack whore set it up, Monica was driving, either D-Shot or G-Dogg, he's not sure which one, went crazy. I need you to get rid of that gun for me. You can sell it, you can bury it, you can keep the money you get from it, but you need to get rid of that gun. Clearly that's a statement of co-conspirator in the course or in furtherance of the conspiracy because he's trying to get rid of the murder weapon.

And so to the extent that there's no Crawford problem because, one, Crawford requires there to be

testimonial, which means the person speaking expects it to be 1 utilized in a courtroom. He's telling -- and the reason it's outside of Crawford and why the Supreme Court says it's 3 outside of Crawford is be he's making these statement not for 4 5 a testimonial purpose. He's making the statements for what? To get rid of the weapon to conceal the murder and, therefore, 6 they're clearly outside of Crawford and they're also 7 co-conspirator statements and thus admissible. 8 Your Honor, that -- that's a very nice 9 MR. ORAM:

MR. ORAM: Your Honor, that — that's a very nice rendition of it, but there is something huge that Mr. DiGiacomo is not telling us, or he's saying, but it's a big point that's being missed. And that is if he's trying to bring up that Donovon is supposed to get rid of the gun, fine, I have no problem with that. If they want to elicit that information, fine. The problem I'm saying is that very limited statement that these two defendants, one of them went crazy.

THE COURT: That's why he wants to get rid of the gun. No, I agree with the State on this. I'm going to overrule the objection.

MR. ORAM: Yes, Your Honor.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Off the record until the jury comes in.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: All right. Now we're back on the

record.

MR. LANGFORD: Your Honor, I need to move for a severance. I was just informed by counsel for Mr. Burns that Donovon Rowland who is about to testify, and you've heard a bit about what he's going to testify to about getting rid of the gun, that that's what he was instructed.

He is now going to say because he told this to Mr. Sgro's investigator two days ago that, in fact, that the shooter was G-Dogg, my client, Mr. Mason, and not Mr. Burns, and that he went crazy and he's actually the one who is now the shooter. And that's going to be Mr. Sgro's position to say that based on Donovon Rowland's testimony that his client is not the shooter, my client is.

THE COURT: Well, how would this gentleman know because he wasn't there?

MR. SGRO: Job-Loc. So --

THE COURT: How -- Job-Loc wasn't there.

MR. SGRO: Well, now, to be fair, Your Honor, I came in at the tail end of the argument, so I'm trying to put together what happened in my absence.

THE COURT: I can't let him testify to that.

MR. SGRO: Well, Your Honor, it's --

MR. DiGIACOMO: Well, he can testify to — as long as what he testifies to is the portion, which we've already discussed where he's being told to get away from the gun,

there's a statement where he says I don't know if it's G-Dogg
or D-Shot. If he has other information as it relates to he's
had subsequent conversations and G-Dogg is the shooter, if
there's a foundation for that, this is a trial about the
truth.

If there's some evidence that G-Dogg is the shooter
and D-Shot is the shooter, it doesn't matter. But he won't be
testifying at least on our direct as it relates to that, so

THE COURT: Well --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for --

MR. DiGIACOMO: -- what he's going to now testify.

THE COURT: -- it's got to be something within his personal knowledge. Percipient --

I'm not really sure what the foundational predicate would be

MR. ORAM: Judge, my understanding as what he's just telling us out here is the State's going to elicit what the — what we've just argued about, what did Job-Loc tell you, and he's going to say Job-Loc told me it's G-Dogg and not D-Shot. So what was just found to be admissible —

THE COURT: It's inconsistent with what the State tells me that he's going to say.

MR. SGRO: That's right. That's right.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Well, it's inconsistent with his statement where he says I don't know if it's G-Dogg or D-Shot, heck, it could even be Monica.

THE COURT: He wouldn't know. He wasn't there. 1 MS. WECKERLY: Right. 3 MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct. MR. SGRO: But the -- but the ruling has got to be 4 5 whether or not it's admissible what Job-Loc told him. they're --6 7 THE COURT: I don't want to get in what he thinks 8 happened because --9 MR. SGRO: No.No --10 MR. DiGIACOMO: No, just merely the statements that Job-Loc made to him when he told him to get rid of the gun. 11 12 Here's --MR. SGRO: 13 MR. DiGIACOMO: That comes in. If they want to 14 cross him on you're changing your story now and you're saying 15 Job-Loc said to you to get rid of the gun, hey, G-Dogg fired 16 the gun, get rid of it, that would be admissible as far as I'm 17 concerned. If they're going to ask him that, that's cross-examination that they're entitled to do. The statement 18 19 he gave --20 We'll worry about it when we get there, THE COURT: but I -- you be careful about this because I don't want --21 22 that would be inadmissible because it has nothing to do with 23 the conspiracy. 24 It -- Your Honor, if this -- if the MR. SGRO: 25 ruling is what did Job-Loc tell you and the preface is we're

letting in what Job-Loc said or did because of the fact that 1 he's a co-conspirator, if the ruling -- I didn't --2 Job-Loc's the co-conspirator. 3 THE COURT: 4 MR. SGRO: Right. So in its simplest form --And so was what's his name in this? 5 THE COURT: MR. SGRO: Donovon Rowland. 6 7 THE COURT: Mr. Rowland? MR. SGRO: Yes, sir. Is a -- is a co-conspirator if he was THE COURT: getting rid of the gun for them. 10 11 Of course. Of course. MR. SGRO: 12 THE COURT: So, I mean, and he's -- apparently 13 Job-Loc, Mr. Thomas, is telling Mr. Rowland to get rid of the 14 gun. 15 MR. SGRO: Right. 16 THE COURT: So that statement is going to come in. 17 MR. SGRO: Right. Now, if we're going to -- so here's what -- here's, I guess, what -- and maybe we're just 18 19 talking past each other. What we need guidance on is this. Is the ruling -- is the ruling that whatever Job-Loc told him 20 in conjunction with get rid of the gun, whether it's I don't 21 22 know if it was one shooter or another, or what he told us, 23 which is G-Dogg did it, okay. 24 If any of those statements are coming in, we had to 25 alert Mr. Langford that based on our interview he's going to

say G-Dogg did it and -- and David Burns had nothing to do 1 with it at all. So, again, because I came in late, my under 3 -- what I heard was the State's going to get into -- and correct me if I'm wrong, the State is going to get into what 4 5 Job-Loc said to Donovon Rowland. If that's the case --THE COURT: He's getting it in because it was part 6 7 of a sentence that says get rid of the gun. Now, maybe if we 8 can exclude the part about who did what, which may not be as important as just --10 Exactly. MR. SGRO: THE COURT: -- get rid of the gun. 11 12 MR. DiGIACOMO: Well, but he says they did it, and 13 he says I don't know if it's G-Dogg, but he says the crack whore set it up, Monica drove these two individuals, and 14 15 someone went crazy, I don't remember if it's G-Dogg or it's 16 D-Shot or Monica, somebody went crazy, you need to get rid of 17 the gun. The -- the -- that --18 THE COURT: Well, why they --19 MR. DiGIACOMO: -- statement --20 -- why they needed to get rid of the gun is important. 21 22 MR. DiGIACOMO: -- is important to me.

telling you is going to come from the witness stand and I --

23

24

25

KARR REPORTING, INC.

Honor. Your Honor is -- is making rulings based on what we're

MR. SGRO: Right. So here is the difficulty, Your

and the difficulty, Your Honor, is that these witnesses that we have gone through, one a couple days and now the next one, have changed their stories several times. And so there is no uniformity for us to be able to tell you what they're going to say.

The only proviso is this. If the State elicits

Job-Loc told me one of these two defendants here to my left

did it, right, we get up on cross and say we just interviewed

you and you just told us that D-Shot had nothing to do with it

and G-Dogg did it. And by the way, I walked Mr. Langford and

Mr. Oram outside and in the presence not only of them but of

the DA investigator who is outside he said, yeah, that's —

that's my recollection. So — so that's the recollection.

Now, in the next ten minutes, Your Honor, having dealt with these folks for awhile, in the next ten minutes he might change his mind again.

THE COURT: I --

MR. SGRO: All I'm telling you is --

THE COURT: I can't help what he's --

MR. SGRO: Right.

THE COURT: -- going to testify to.

MR. SGRO: But that -- that's going to create --

THE COURT: You can cross him on that.

MR. SGRO: That's -- once I cross him, which we would be entitled to do, the State concedes --

THE COURT: You're entitled to do that. 1 -- the State concedes we're entitled to MR. SGRO: 3 do that. Then we kind of punt over to Mr. Langford who's got a severance problem because --4 MR. DiGIACOMO: Why does he have a severance problem? 6 7 MR. SGRO: Well, I don't want to speak for a very competent and capable attorney, but I would say he wouldn't 8 elicit that on cross and he's fine with the shooter was one or 10 the other. In other words, I'm the one that's going to sort of sharpen the focus. And without me here, without me here, 11 12 the State doesn't know about that statement and it never comes 13 into evidence. And I would think it's pretty prejudicial to Mr. Mason. 14 So --15 MR. DiGIACOMO: But it comes in at both trials. 16 You're doing --THE COURT: 17 MR. DiGIACOMO: Even in a separate trial --You're doing an awful lot of argument on 18 THE COURT: a motion that has nothing to do with you. 19 20 Judge, let -- Judge, can I say something? MR. ORAM: I think the Court has ruled, as I understood it, that there's 21 22 going to be testimony, Donovon is going to say I had this 23 conversation with Job-Loc about get rid of the gun. 24 is saying we want to know why. Are my -- okay. And now we're

going to hear what this guy has to say. I think the Court's

25

The State

1	ruling, let's hear what he has to say.
2	THE COURT: Well, I've got to hear what he has to
3	say.
4	MR. SGRO: Okay.
5	THE COURT: We'll worry
6	MR. ORAM: Submit it.
7	THE COURT: about it then.
8	MR. SGRO: Thank you, sir.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: At least at this point, the motion to
11	sever is denied.
12	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
13	THE COURT: Are we ready to bring the jury in?
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: We are.
15	(In the presence of the jury.)
16	THE COURT: All right. State versus Burns and
17	Mason. The record will reflect the presence of the
18	defendants, their counsel, the District Attorneys, and all
19	members of the jury.
20	All right. You can call your next witness.
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: Donovon Rowland.
22	DONOVON ROWLAND, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
23	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your
24	name and spell your first and last name for the record.
25	THE WITNESS: Donovon Rowland; D-O-N-O-V-O-N

1	R-O-W-L-A-N-D.
2	MR. DiGIACOMO: May I inquire, Judge?
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
6	Q Mr. Rowland, you don't have to tell us what
7	state, but do you currently live in the state of Nevada?
8	A No.
9	Q When did you move?
10	A A couple months ago.
11	Q And as you sit here today, in the last ten
12	years have you, and I apologize I have to ask this, have you
13	picked up a felony conviction?
14	A No.
15	Q You're not
16	A Yes. Actually, yes. Yes.
17	Q Aren't you convicted of carrying a concealed
18	weapon in
19	A Yes.
20	Q — 2011?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And you're currently on probation for that?
23	A No.
24	Q You're off probation now?
25	A Yes.

1	Q	Okay. I want to direct your attention back to
2	well, let me	ask you this. How old are you?
3	А	23.
4	Q	23. So I want to direct your attention back
5	to when you were	e about 18, 19 years old. Sometime in the
6	spring or summe	of 2010, did you meet an individual that
7	identified thems	selves to you as Slick?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	How did you meet Slick?
10	A	From a friend. From a friend.
11	Q	From a friend?
12	A	Yeah.
13	Q	And where did you meet Slick?
14	А	Like Jones area.
15	Q	Jones area?
16	А	Uh-huh.
17	Q	And eventually did you form a friendship with
18	Slick?	
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Have you ever heard Slick go by the
21	name Job?	
22	А	No.
23	Q	At some point in time did the police show you
24	a photograph of	Slick when you were interviewed in 2010?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q	And do you identify that person as the person
2	you know as Sli	ck?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	At the time at some point in time in 2010
5	does Slick wind	up getting an injury?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	What happened?
8	А	We were at Walmart
9	Q	And
10	А	and
11	Q	how did he hurt himself?
12	А	I think jumping a wall.
13	Q	Okay. And what happened? What part of his
14	body was injure	d?
15	А	His leg.
16	Q	And did he have to have some medical attention
17	as it relates t	o that?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	The ambulance come that day?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. And he was taken by ambulance?
22	А	Uh-huh.
23	Q	Is that a yes?
24	A	Yes. Correct.
25	Q	I'm sorry. She's going to type down
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	everything we s	ay, so uh-huhs and huh-uhs are a problem for
2	her, okay?	
3	А	All right.
4	Q	So if I were you present when Job hurt his
5	leg?	
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Okay.
8	А	Well, no, not actually. I was already
9	stopped, so I w	asn't in the actual spot where he did.
10	Q	Were you part of the situation that
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	caused Slick to run and ultimately
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	hurt himself?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	All right. Let me well, after he hurt
17	himself, where	would what would Job I'm sorry, Slick
18	normally do?	
19	А	I have no idea, to be honest.
20	Q	How often would you see Slick after he hurt
21	himself?	
22	А	A couple of times, probably a week or so
23	later.	
24 25		And then does there come a point in time when
25	you start seein	g him pretty much on a daily basis?
	Ī	

1	A Yes.
2	Q And where would you see him at?
3	A The apartment off of Torrey Pines.
4	Q Do you remember the name of the apartment
5	complex?
6	A No.
7	Q If you've previously said the Brittany Pines
8	Apartments, does that sound right to you?
9	A Yeah, that's about right.
10	Q Okay. Did he have an upstairs or a downstairs
11	apartment?
12	A Up.
13	Q And what kind of did he have any sort of
14	medical device that he needed for his leg?
15	A I don't recall.
16	Q Well, how did he get around?
17	A Crutches.
18	Q Crutches? Okay. When you would see him on
19	the day to day basis, what was the purpose of you and him
20	having contact back then?
21	A He was just cool. I met him. He was all
22	right. We smoked something.
23	Q What did you smoke?
24	A Embalming fluid.
25	Q You smoked embalming fluid?
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	A Yeah.
2	Q How about marijuana?
3	A Yeah, that too.
4	Q That too?
5	A Yeah.
6	Q What does smoking embalming fluid do for you?
7	A A whole lot.
8	Q Okay.
9	MR. SGRO: What did he say? I missed it.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: Not a whole lot.
11	THE COURT: I've tried a lot of cases in my life.
12	I've never had an embalming fluid case.
13	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
14	Q Okay. Did Job have a girlfriend?
15	A Yes. Yes.
16	Q You sure?
17	A Yeah.
18	Q Okay.
19	A If you would
20	Q What was
21	A — consider it that.
22	Q Do you know what her name was?
23	A No.
24	Q Do you remember well, had you ever been to
25	her house before?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Did you meet her children?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Did you did you text with some of her kids
5	at some point i	n time?
6	A	Yeah.
7	Q	Which one?
8	A	Tyler.
9	Q	The daughter?
10	A	Yeah.
11	Q	Okay. Can you describe his girlfriend for me
12	if you don't re	emember her name?
13	A	Mexican lady, probably like 5'6, 5'7.
14	Q	You've previously described her as Monica.
15	Does that sound	l right to you?
16	A	Yeah, that's about right.
17	Q	At some point in time do you meet some of
18	Job's friends d	over at Monica's house?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Who do you meet?
21	A	G-Dogg and someone else. I can't remember the
22	name.	
23	Q	Okay. So you meet G-Dogg. How many times do
24	you think you'r	re seen G-Dogg in your life?
25	A	Probably about like ten.
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	Q	Ten times?
2	A	Yeah.
3	Q	Okay. And in relation do you know what
4	you're here to	testify about, the incident you're here to
5	testify about?	
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. How long prior to the incident you're
8	here to testify	about did you first meet G-Dogg?
9	A	Probably like a week or two.
10	Q	A week or two?
11	А	Yeah.
12	Q	And where did you where did you first meet
13	him at?	
14	A	I don't recall. I don't recall where I first
15	met him.	
16	Q	Did there come a point in time when you were
17	over at Monica's	s house and G-Dogg was there with Job?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Or, sorry, Slick.
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Do you now know that Slick is also Job-Loc now
22	that we're four	and a half years later?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. So do you know that his true name is
25	Jerome Thomas no	?wC

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. So if I use the term Jerome Thomas with
3	you or Job-Loc,	you know who I'm talking about?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. You said that there was somebody else
6	over at the	at the house when you meet G-Dogg. Did you
7	actually see hi	m at that time you saw him in the that you
8	were over at th	e house?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. And do you remember anything about him,
11	his nickname, a	nything else like that?
12	А	No.
13	Q	Does there come a point in time when you go
14	over to Job's h	ouse early in the morning, or Job's apartment
15	early in the mo	rning, and you get a weapon from him?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. So I want to talk about that morning.
18	Where were you	the night before, do you recall?
19	A	No, I don't recall.
20	Q	How about do you recall what time it is that
21	you wound up go	ing over to Job's place?
22	A	Probably about 3:00 in the morning, 4:00 in
23	the morning.	
24	Q	Was it light or dark outside?
25	А	Dark.

1	Q Z	And when you get over to Job's apartment, how
2	do you get in the	e apartment?
3	A 1	Knock on the door.
4	Q	Was there something unusual about the way the
5	door was set up o	on that occasion?
6	A	I don't remember.
7	Q I	Who opened the door?
8	A I	Monica.
9	Q	All right. And when Monica opened the door,
10	who else was pre	sent inside the apartment?
11	А	Job and G-Dogg and someone else. I really
12	couldn't tell.	
13	Q	The one person that you really couldn't tell
14	who that was, whe	ere was he in the apartment when you were
15	there?	
16	Α '	The kitchen.
17	Q	Okay. And do you remember whether or not he
18	had a shirt on o	r not?
19	A	I don't remember.
20	Q	When you first come inside the apartment, what
21	are you well,	what happens?
22	A	I talk for a little bit, and then I get the
23	firearm and then	I leave.
24	Q	Who do you talk to?
25	Α	Job.
_		

1	Q	When you when you first come in you said
2	that well,	you get the firearm and then you leave. When
3	you first come	in, is Job doing anything with that firearm?
4	А	No.
5	Q	How is it that you wound up getting the
6	firearm?	
7	А	I don't remember, actually, to be honest.
8	Q	Okay. But you wind up leaving that apartment
9	with that fire	arm?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Do you remember anything about the firearm?
12	А	No.
13	Q	Do you remember if it was a semi-automatic or
14	a revolver?	
15	А	Revolver, yes.
16	Q	Okay. Do you remember the caliber?
17	А	No.
18	Q	Was the gun loaded or unloaded when you
19	received it?	
20	А	Unloaded?
21	Q	You ask me like that's a question. Do you
22	remember or no	t remember?
23	А	Unloaded, if I recall. Unloaded.
24	Q	And what do you do with the gun?
25	А	I take it with me, and that was it.

1	Q Does there come a point in time when Job calls
2	you about that weapon?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. And does he ask you to do something
5	with the weapon?
6	A Yes, but never got a chance to.
7	Q What is it that he asked you to do?
8	A To something — something. I can't remember
9	what he said to do with it, but he told me to do something
10	with it.
11	Q Okay. Well, what do you mean like do
12	something with it?
13	A I don't recall.
14	Q Okay. Do you recall what it is that he told
15	you was the reason you had to do something with it?
16	A Because it was used to shoot some mother and
17	her daughter.
18	Q Okay. Do you remember anything else that he
19	told you about that situation when he was asking you to do
20	something with the gun?
21	A No.
22	Q Now, eventually the police find you in I
23	think it's August 19th of 2010. Do you remember coming into
24	contact with the police?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And you were well, were you present with
2	your father when you get contacted?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And eventually do you, after having a
5	conversation with the police, do you agree to go down to the
6	police station and give a tape recorded interview?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Since August 19th of 2010, have you seen that
9	interview?
10	A Yes.
11	Q When did you see it?
12	A About a month ago.
13	Q About a month ago?
14	A Yeah.
15	Q Who showed it to you?
16	A No one. It was e-mailed to me.
17	Q From who?
18	A From the District Attorney's office.
19	MR. DiGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge?
20	THE COURT: Yes. What is that?
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: It's a copy of his statement.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
24	BY MR. DiGIACOMO: Q Sir, I want you to look at that and tell me if that appears to be a copy of the statement that you read.
25	that appears to be a copy of the statement that you read.

1	A Yes.
2	Q Now, let me ask a couple questions about that.
3	Were at the time that the police contact you, you would
4	agree with me that's much closer in time than it is today?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And were you trying to be as truthful as
7	possible to the police when you gave that statement?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. I've asked you a bunch of questions
10	where you said you don't remember. If you do remember in that
11	statement, can we take that as being truthful?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. So if it's in the statement, it's
14	likely to be true, is that fair?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. Let me ask you I'm going to ask you
17	to turn to a couple pages. Well, let me ask you this, first
18	of all. Did you ever play with the gun after Job gave it to
19	you?
20	A I don't recall.
21	Q Okay. Turn to page 8 and 9 in that statement.
22	Turn to page 8. And just read it to yourself.
23	A Yes, I recall off of this. Yes.
24	Q Okay. After reading that, does that refresh
25	your recollection?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. So did you play with that gun after you
3	received it?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And does it also refresh your recollection
6	that the gun was empty when you received it?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. ORAM: Judge, he testified it was empty. It's
9	not inconsistent.
10	THE COURT: He said it was he said it was empty.
11	MR. ORAM: Right. Well, it's not inconsistent. In
12	other words, you refresh with
13	THE COURT: Well, he said he didn't remember if he
14	had played with it. Okay. I see what you're saying.
15	MR. DiGIACOMO: May I proceed?
16	THE COURT: Technically it didn't refresh his
17	recollection as to whether the gun was empty.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay.
19	THE COURT: Let's go on.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you.
21	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
22	Q Do you remember what Job's phone number was
23	back on that time period?
24	A No.
25	Q I can't imagine you do. Why don't you turn to
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

page 18. 1 It's on here, but I don't remember. I really 2 3 don't remember. 4 Why don't you just read to the ladies and Q gentlemen of the jury what that number is. 5 510 -- well, 512-629-0041. 6 Α 7 Let me ask you this question. Does it --Q well, before I go to the next portion of the statement, do you 8 recall whether or not Job could physically do anything like walk or run or do anything else like that? 10 11 No. Α 12 You don't recall or --Q 13 He couldn't. Α -- or he couldn't? 14 He couldn't. 15 Α 16 He couldn't. Let me -- did you like Monica, Q Job's girlfriend? 17 18 As far as I could remember, yes. Α 19 Let me put it the other way. Did you have an Q 20 impression as to whether or not she liked you? I don't remember. I -- I doubt it. 21 Α 22 You doubt it? Q 23 I doubt it. Α 24 Did -- did Job have a car? Q 25 Α No.

1	Q All right. Who would drive Job when he had to
2	go somewhere?
3	A Monica.
4	Q You indicated that you saw G-Dogg at the
5	apartment and that or, sorry, at Monica's and that there's
6	a second individual you don't know that you saw at the
7	apartment that morning. Do you remember
8	A Yes.
9	Q — saying that? Okay. Do you recall at all
LO	what that guy's name or nickname was?
L1	A No.
L2	Q Okay. Turn to page 28.
L3	MR. ORAM: What was the question, Counsel?
L4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Does he recall the nickname of the
L5	other individual who he didn't who he doesn't remember what
L6	his name is.
L7	MR. ORAM: That he the individual that he did not
L8	see there?
L9	THE COURT: No.
20	MR. ORAM: Is that what you're asking
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: No, he said he
22	THE COURT: There was another individual
23	MR. DiGIACOMO: saw him at the apartment.
24	THE COURT: that he said he saw there, but he
25	didn't remember his name.

1	MR. C	RAM: Well, if he's referring to page 28, he
2	says he didn't	see this individual.
3	MR. D	iGIACOMO: He didn't see him at the house. He
4	saw him at the	apartment, Counsel.
5	MR. C	RAM: Judge.
6	THE W	ITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember this. I don't
7	remember.	
8	BY MR. DiGIACOM	io:
9	Q	Do you remember at least telling the police
10	the nickname fo	r the individual who
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	who was with G-Dogg?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And what nickname did you give?
15	A	D-Shot or D-Shock.
16	Q	Okay. Do you remember telling the police what
17	your phone numb	er was back then?
18	A	Oh, no.
19	Q	Do you remember what it was back then?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Okay. Turn to page 35.
22	A	I don't remember that number.
23	Q	Okay. Is that number do you reference your
24	phone number ba	ck then?
25	A	Possibility. I've had a lot of numbers, so
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

148

1	it's possible,	yes.
2	Q	Did you have more than one number back then?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Okay. What number do you tell the police is
5	your number bac	k then?
6	A	788-4584.
7	Q	And I'm assuming since you were in Las Vegas
8	that's a 702 nu	mber?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. Do you remember the number 788-4513?
11	А	No.
12	Q	Okay. You indicated that you don't remember
13	there being any	thing unusual about the door when you arrive
14	that morning at	the apartment. Do you remember
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	testifying to that? Okay. Why don't you
17	turn to page 37	and read that to yourself.
18	А	I don't remember, but
19	Q	Does that refresh your recollection at all, or
20	is that	
21	A	A little, but it's
22	Q	you don't have a memory?
23	A	Yeah, a little, but it's been a long time.
24		But you already said that you you tried to
25	tell the police	the truth, so if it's in here that that
		ZADD DEDODTING ING

1	A Yes.
2	Q would be an accurate statement?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. Why don't you go ahead and read I'll
5	read the questions, you read the answers. Well, it starts
6	with an okay, so read your answer.
7	MR. DiGIACOMO: Middle of the page, counsel.
8	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
9	Q At answer.
10	A And then so I get out the car, walk through
11	front gate, go past the pool, go up the stairs, knock on the
12	door. G-Dogg answers. And it's a chair and like a box
13	blocking the door like it was barricaded. And then I come in,
14	there he Slick was cleaning the gun, and then we sit there. I
15	sat there for a minute, we smoking, and he's telling me what's
16	going on.
17	Q And the detective says, okay, hold on a
18	second. And you say?
19	A What, what happened?
20	Q And then they confirm with you, so G-Dogg
21	opens the door and there's some box and a chair. And you
22	answer yeah.
23	A Yes.
24	Q And then you answer?
25	A And it like it was barricaded, actually.

1	Q And they ask you, so you had to move it to
2	open the door all the way, and your answer is?
3	A Yes.
4	Q You even describe the box four four answers
5	down. What did you describe the box as?
6	A It's like a red, white, and black box.
7	Q Okay. And then they said, the question, a big
8	long one? And your was?
9	A It looked like speakers.
10	Q If you turn I think I just turned to page
11	38; right? Are you on page 38 right now?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. I want you to read the rest of 38 to
14	yourself briefly, and then I'm going to turn to page 39.
15	MR. ORAM: Judge, is that a that's not refreshing
16	his memory. If there's a question
17	THE COURT: It doesn't sound like he's got something
18	that he has forgotten about that he's about to have his memory
19	refreshed on.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: Well, he already said that he
21	doesn't remember Slick cleaning the gun, and so I was going to
22	have him finish this for context, and then I was going to ask
23	him a question so I can turn him to page 39, Judge.
24	THE COURT: It is true that he didn't remember Slick
25	cleaning the gun.

1	MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct.
2	THE COURT: Is this
3	MR. DiGIACOMO: That's where I'm
4	THE COURT: about that?
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: Yes, it is.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll let him read it.
7	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
8	Q Have you finished page 38?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. Now, I want you to turn to page 39. Do
11	you remember testifying that you didn't remember Slick
12	cleaning the gun?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. Do you remember what Monica was doing
15	when you arrived at the apartment?
16	MR. ORAM: I thought he was
17	THE WITNESS: No.
18	MR. ORAM: refreshing his memory for cleaning of
19	the gun and that that's what he's reading. But that's not
20	what's on page 39 that I
21	THE COURT: I understand.
22	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
23	Q Do you remember Monica sleeping when you
24	arrived?
25	A No.

1	Q Okay. Do you remember where D-Shot was in the
2	house, or in the apartment?
3	A The kitchen.
4	Q Read page 39 to yourself for a second.
5	A Yeah.
6	Q Are you finished?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. Now, does that refresh your
9	recollection that Monica was laying on the floor?
10	A No.
11	Q Okay. But that's what it says, is that fair?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. So you told the police, anyway, that
14	Monica is laying on the floor and that D-Shot or D-Shock or
15	whatever his name is is in the kitchen?
16	A Yes.
17	MR. ORAM: Judge, he's leaving out the next part
18	where he says I can't see it. So I don't know how he leaves
19	that out and says that implies that he's
20	THE COURT: He's entitled to have parts that are
21	consistent.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: I can it says is in the kitchen
23	doing something. Question, what's he doing in the kitchen?
24	doing something. Question, what's he doing in the kitchen? Answer, I don't know. I can't see and it's a little thing and it wasn't really paying attention to him.
25	it wasn't really paying attention to him.

1	MR. ORAM: Correct.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. DiGIACOMO: So he sees him. He just doesn't
4	know what he's doing.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
7	Q Now, the bottom of page 39 they ask you you
8	mentioned a gun. And now I want you to read to yourself, see
9	if that refreshes your recollection as it relates to the gun.
10	A No, I don't recall.
11	Q Would you agree with me that you tell the
12	police that when you come through the door, Slick is cleaning
13	the gun with some short of sheet?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. Do you remember the detailed
16	description you gave of the interior of the apartment?
17	A No.
18	Q Okay. So I want you to read from the
19	answer
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: In the middle of page 41, counsel.
21	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
22	Q — to — well, just read until you finish the
23	description, which I think is about a page and a half. So
24	start there and just read to yourself.
25	A [Witness complied].

1	Q Did you finish your the description of the
2	apartment?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Now, did that refresh your recollection of
5	exactly what the apartment looked like inside?
6	A No.
7	Q No, okay. That's what I thought. So here's
8	what I'm going to do. It starts off Marty Wildemann
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: Counsel, third Marty Wildemann.
10	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
11	Q I'll read you the questions. Right in the
12	living room? Answer
13	MR. ORAM: Judge
14	THE WITNESS: Right in the living room.
15	MR. ORAM: I just want the record to reflect I
16	don't mind that he does it because it sounds like it's
17	foundational, but this is improper normally and I want the
18	record to reflect that I recognize that. I'll let him do it.
19	THE COURT: Well, if you're objecting, I'll sustain
20	it.
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: Well, it's past recollection
22	recorded. He can read the statement. He says it's true. He
23	doesn't remember it. It's a past recollection recorded.
24	MR. ORAM: He he
25	MR. DiGIACOMO: And he can read in the statement

THE COURT: I don't know --1 MR. DiGIACOMO: -- to the record. THE COURT: -- that qualifies as past recollection 3 4 recorded. MR. DiGIACOMO: It does. That's the -- as long as he says what he told the police was true, it was closer in 6 time to when the time occurred and he has no memory of it now, 7 then it's past recollection recorded. 8 MR. ORAM: No, I -- I disagree. I think he's asked 9 him to refresh his memory, he can't be refreshed, and so I 10 leave it to the Court's discretion. 11 12 THE COURT: Well, this -- this part I don't care 13 about. Go ahead and do it. 14 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. 15 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 16 Q I think you -- your answer was right in the 17 living room. So the next question. Was there -- was there -sorry. Sleeping bag in there, too? Did you see? And what's 18 your answer? 19 20 All right. The setup from the last time I was there when I come in it's the boxes, the chair. When you look 21 22 to the right it's like a long chair that you can lay on, lay 23 like one of those outside chairs that you could lay back. 24 And then the detective says, the gravity Q 25 chairs; right? And then your answer?

1	A Yes, one of those. And then Monica is on the
2	floor, and then it's like another chair that Slick is sitting
3	on. It's like a metal it's like a metal the it's
4	just like that you can lean back like this and just sit there
5	regular.
6	Q The detective says, right. And then you go on
7	to say?
8	A And then there was like a bag or something
9	like a sleeping bag right here.
10	Q The detective says, okay. And you say?
11	A Towards where you turn on the air and right
12	here is the kitchen. And it was like the sheets and there was
13	a pair of pants or something on that on that gravity chair.
14	And then it was a pair of shorts, clothes by the clothes by
15	the window and the door, the patio door.
16	Q The detective says, you've got a good memory,
17	Donovon. Good job. And then the question being you remember
18	seeing any cigarettes or and then your answer?
19	A Yeah, cigarettes.
20	Q And then condoms or anything like that? And
21	the detective jumps in, who who smokes? Answer?
22	A Everybody.
23	Q Detective, everybody? And your answer?
24	A I smoke cigarettes, too.
25	Q And then he says, okay. And then what's your

1	answer as the des	scription?
2	A I	It was a pack it was a pack of two
3	cigarettes right	by the door by where the door.
4	Q	It was a pack of it's two packs of
5	cigarettes	
6	A Y	Yeah.
7	Q -	right by the door?
8	A Y	Yes.
9	Q P	And then they say which door, the rear door or
10	the patio door?	And you say
11	A T	The patio door.
12	Q -	the patio door.
13	A Y	Yeah, right there by the shorts, if I
14	remember. And th	nen it was an ashtray by the gravity chair.
15	Q I	The detective says which is the gravity chair
16	is over here to t	the rear of the door? Answer, yeah, coming in
17	the apartment. Y	Your answer?
18	А Т	Then you turn right.
19	Q P	And then just read to yourself there. Do you
20	remember telling	the detectives that you were sitting smoking
21	next to that asht	tray that's by the gravity chair?
22	A N	No, I don't remember that.
23	Q C	Okay. Does your statement say you smoking
24	A Y	Zes.
25	Q -	by that ashtray by the gravity chair?
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	A Yes.
2	Q Do you remember Job and Monica having any sort
3	of argument while you were in the apartment?
4	A No.
5	Q Turn to page 44. Did you read that and does
6	that refresh your recollection?
7	A A little, but not really.
8	Q Okay. Would you agree with me that you told
9	the police everybody is really quiet, though. Monica then
10	when I first came in they were arguing. He was like, bitch,
11	shut up, I don't want to talk to you. And they asked you who
12	said that and you said Slick. Do you remember? Does that
13	did I read that accurately?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. Now, you indicated previously that Job
16	gave you the gun. Do you remember specifically what Slick or
17	Job said to you when he gave you the gun?
18	A No.
19	Q Okay. Did you just read that on page 44 as to
20	what you said at the time he said specifically to you?
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: Right at the second answer, counsel.
22	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. Sort of, but not too
23	much.
24	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
25	Q Is that generally what you remember him
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	saying?	
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. Does your statement reflect he said
4	something happe	ned and I got to leave, so pretty soon I'm
5	going to be gon	e, I want you to hold this for me?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. That's what your statement says?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And then you leave the apartment; correct?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	With the gun?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	And you have the gun with you?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	You indicated that at some point Job called
16	you and asked y	ou to do something with the gun, do you
17	remember that?	
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Okay. I want you to read the second answer on
20	page 50 to your	self first.
21	А	[Witness complied].
22	Q	Okay. After reading that do you remember Job
23	calling you and	telling you to watch the news and asking to
24	call him back?	
25	A	Yes.

Q And do you watch the news? A No. Q Okay. Do you call him back? A Yes. Q Okay. When you call him back, is that when l	
Q Okay. Do you call him back? A Yes.	
A Yes.	
O Okav. When you call him back, is that when l	
	he
asks you to do something with the gun?	
A Yes.	
Q Okay. And do you remember exactly what he	
told you to do with the gun?	
A No.	
Q Did you do you remember exactly why it is	
well, what he told you the reason why you had to do	
something with the gun?	
A Yes.	
Q Okay. Why is it that you needed to something	3
with the gun?	
A That it was used in a murder.	
Q Okay. Do you remember any of the anything	3
else that he told you during that conversation?	
A That G-Dogg had shot someone and that was	
pretty much it.	
Q Okay. I want you to look at page 55, middle	
answer. Do you recall telling the police the specific thing	
he said was that Monica, G-Dogg, and I and I guess D-Shock	k
or whatever his name is went to go do a drug deal, I guess,	or
	A Yes. Q Okay. And do you remember exactly what he told you to do with the gun? A No. Q Did you — do you remember exactly why it is — well, what he told you the reason why you had to do something with the gun? A Yes. Q Okay. Why is it that you needed to something with the gun? A That it was used in a murder. Q Okay. Do you remember any of the — anything else that he told you during that conversation? A That G-Dogg had shot someone and that was pretty much it.

whatever to -- at the apartment or whatever on Lake Mead and 1 Nellis, whatever it is, and that when I guess Monica met up with the lady, something like didn't seem right --3 4 MR. ORAM: Judge. Judge. BY MR. DiGIACOMO: -- and everything turned out --6 7 Judge, what's causing me concern here is MR. ORAM: there is a witness on the stand. They way this works is he 8 asks --THE COURT: I think you've got to have him read it. 10 MR. DiGIACOMO: Good. 11 12 MR. ORAM: Yeah, he --BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 13 14 Read your whole answer. 15 THE COURT: I think he ought to read his own 16 statement. 17 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 18 All right. Read the answer that you gave to Q the police. 19 20 The specific thing he said was that Monica, G-Dogg, and I guess D-Shock or whatever his name is went to go 21 22 to do a drug deal, I guess, or whatever to -- at the apartment 23 or whatever on Lake Mead and Nellis, whatever it is, and that 24 when I guess Monica met up with the lady something like didn't

KARR REPORTING, INC.

seem right and everything turned out -- and one of either

_	fi
1	G-Dogg, or he didn't tell me specifically, but he said either
2	G-Dogg or D-Shot shot or one of them flipped out and
3	everything went bad from there. And he never said that he was
4	there, none of that.
5	Q They ask you a couple of questions.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: And then, counsel, page 56.
7	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
8	Q Just read to yourself first the middle answer.
9	A That crack head lady set the whole meeting up
10	for Monica and them meeting the drug dealer.
11	Q I want to ask you some questions about your
12	perceptions of the relationship between Monica and Job or
13	Slick. Do you do you remember, first of all, how you
14	characterized that relationship to the police?
15	A No.
16	Q As you sit here today, how would you describe
17	the relationship between Monica and Job?
18	A Four years ago, I really couldn't tell you.
19	Four four years later now I couldn't.
20	Q Turn to page 91. Read that to yourself.
21	A Okay. I'm done reading it.
22	Q After reading it does that refresh your
23	recollection as to the nature of their relationship?
24	A No.
25	Q Okay. We're going to do this, I guess, by
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	question and answer here. Let me go back. They're asking you
2	about whether or not Slick what about hoeing girls. We
3	talked about that earlier. What's your answer?
4	A Yeah, I don't really know. He never had a
5	hoe. Monica was just like a girl that would give him money
6	and shit that I know of, just give him.
7	Q Question, so he's just basically using her?
8	Answer.
9	A Yes, pretty much.
10	Q Question, I mean answer.
11	A And she thought he loved her, but no.
12	Q Question, all right. So he's just playing her
13	because she's a source of income for him basically?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And you say, actually, uh-huh, yeah.
16	A Uh-huh. Yes.
17	Q Okay.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: May I approach [inaudible] for just
19	a moment.
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge. I pass the
22	witness.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. ORAM:
25	Q Good afternoon.

1	A Good afternoon.
2	Q Mr. Rowland, you were out in the hallway and
3	there was a DA investigator with you. Do you remember that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And the prosecutor just asked you a question
6	about what Job-Loc had said when getting rid of the gun.
7	A Yes.
8	Q Do you remember that? Do you remember Mr.
9	Sgro and myself and Mr. Langford came out and asked you a
10	similar question?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did Job-Loc say that D-Shock was not involved
13	in the crime?
14	A Correct.
15	Q And the DA investigator was sitting right
16	there when you said it?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And you're aware that these prosecutors had
19	heard that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q That that man is innocent?
22	A Yes.
23	Q I need to go through quite a few questions
24	Q I need to go through quite a few questions with you, Mr. Rowland. At the time it's fair to say that you were hustling to make a living?
25	were hustling to make a living?

1		А	Correct.
2		Q	Fair to say when the police asked you about a
3	cell phon	e you	had indicated that a few days before the police
4	conversat	ion wi	th you you dropped it in a hot tub and it had
5	been dest	royed?	
6		A	I don't recall.
7		Q	You don't recall. If I showed you a copy of
8	your stat	ement,	would that refresh your memory?
9		А	Possibly.
10		MR. O	RAM: Page 12, counsel.
11		Permi	ssion to approach.
12	BY MR. OR	AM:	
13		Q	The police asked you on page 12 how come your
14	cell phon	e got	turned off, did you turn it off? And you said,
15	no, I dro	pped i	t in the water in the hot tub. Is that what
16	you say?		
17		A	That's that's what I said on there, yes.
18		Q	And then what do you say underneath?
19		A	Then it messed up. The phone was just too
20	damaged.	Yeah,	I just got a phone like two days ago.
21		Q	Okay. And you see this is a Metropolitan
22	Police De	partme	nt statement. And it appears to say that the
23	date of i	t is A	ugust 19, 2010?
24		А	Yes.
25		Q	You're real close with Job-Loc?
			KARR REPORTING, INC.

1		A	Correct.
2		Q	He was a real good friend of yours?
3		A	Yes.
4		Q	You almost loved him, would you agree with
5	that?		
6		А	Yes.
7		Q	You were meeting with him on a daily basis?
8		A	Correct.
9		Q	You'd go over to his girlfriend's house every
10	other day	?	
11		A	Correct.
12		Q	And you'd see her there quite often, Monica?
13		A	Yes.
14		Q	Anywhere you guys went excuse me, anywhere
15	Job went,	often	Monica was the driver?
16		A	Correct.
17		Q	Do you remember being asked to look at a
18	lineup to	see i	f you could identify D-Shock?
19		A	Yes.
20		Q	And you couldn't?
21		A	No.
22		Q	I think you told the prosecutor had you
23	read some	thing	about D-Shock being in the kitchen. And when re was a portion where you say he's in the couldn't see him. Do you remember reading
24	he read i	t, the	re was a portion where you say he's in the
25	kitchen, l	but I	couldn't see him. Do you remember reading
			TARR REPORTING THE

1	that?	
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Is that true?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Did you take the gun to a person named
6	Anthony's house	2?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Has he got a nickname Cricket?
9	A	I have no idea.
10	Q	Okay. Fair enough. Is his last name
11	Lassiter?	
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	How long did you hold that gun before you took
14	it to Anthony's	?
15	А	I don't recall.
16	Q	Had you touched that gun before?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Had you shot that gun before?
19	A	No.
20	Q	Never shot that gun?
21	A	No.
22	Q	When the police asked you about the person in
23	the kitchen, do	you remember calling saying he was stocky,
24	he had meat on	him?
25	A	I don't recall.
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	Q Page 74.
2	MR. ORAM: Permission to approach.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	BY MR. ORAM:
5	Q 74, second answer. You said about kind of
6	stocky a little bit, had a little bit of meat on him.
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: Well, is that in relationship to the
10	guy in the kitchen, Mr. Oram?
11	MR. ORAM: If you you have to read that in
12	conjunction
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: Oh, 73. You're right. I apologize,
14	Counsel.
15	MR. ORAM: No, it's okay. You had to read it in
16	conjunction with the two pages.
17	BY MR. ORAM:
18	Q You had been to California with Monica, the
19	girlfriend?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. Do you remember the police asked you on
22	August 6th, slash, August 7th that you had talked to Job-Loc
23	about 17 times?
24	A I don't recall.
25	MR. ORAM: Court's indulgence.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	Now I'm looking at grand jury, counsel, page 98.		
2	BY MR. ORAM:		
3	Q If I showed you a copy of your not only did		
4	you give a statement to the police, do you remember you		
5	actually came into a, what kind of looks like a courtroom and		
6	there were probably people like this sitting there?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q So you remember that, you took an oath?		
9	A Yes.		
10	Q Okay.		
11	MR. ORAM: Permission to approach.		
12	THE COURT: Yes.		
13	BY MR. ORAM:		
14	Q Do you remember —		
15	THE COURT: What is this? This is not his		
16	statement?		
17	MR. ORAM: It's his grand jury statement.		
18	THE COURT: Oh, okay.		
19	MR. ORAM: Yeah.		
20	BY MR. ORAM:		
21	Q Do you recall Mr. DiGiacomo asking you if I		
22	told you on the day you talked to him 17 times, would that		
23	seem unusual to you? And you said, no, sir.		
24	A I don't recall.		
25	Q If I showed it to you, would that refresh your		
	KARR REPORTING, INC.		

1	memory?
2	A Possibly.
3	Q Did Job-Loc tell you to bury the gun or sell
4	it?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Do you remember at the grand jury Mr.
7	DiGiacomo asking you if you had made threats to anyone in this
8	case?
9	A No, I don't recall.
10	Q If I showed you, would that refresh your
11	memory of being asked the question?
12	A Possibly. I couldn't tell you, to be honest.
13	MR. ORAM: Page 121.
14	Permission to approach, Your Honor.
15	MR. DiGIACOMO: Page 1 what?
16	MR. ORAM: 121, lines you actually have to start
17	on 120, line 25, to 121, lines 1 through 4.
18	May I approach?
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	BY MR. ORAM:
21	Q Sir, I'm going to ask you if you I'm going
22	to read the question, you give us the answer, okay? Mr.
23	DiGiacomo asked you, and you're telling the ladies and
24	gentlemen of the grand jury you never made any threats to
25	gentlemen of the grand jury you never made any threats to anyone? And you say?

1	A No, I never made no threats to nobody.
2	Q And then the prosecutor asks you, you never
3	said to anybody, hey, Monica, she might be talking, I'll do
4	something to the kids?
5	A No.
6	Q But that's what it says and that's your
7	answer, no, sir; right?
8	A Yeah.
9	Q When Job-Loc was in jail, you would write to
10	him, do you recall that?
11	A No, I don't recall.
12	MR. ORAM: Do you know which letter it is?
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: Can I see it?
14	(Pause in the proceedings.)
15	MR. SGRO: Your Honor, is that a question or
16	stretch?
17	THE COURT: Have you got a question?
18	JUROR: No, I just need another book.
19	THE COURT: You need another book?
20	JUROR: Yes, sir.
21	MR. ORAM: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
22	THE COURT: Yes. I've forgotten what we were doing.
23	MR. ORAM: We are looking at a letter. We are
24	looking at a letter, Judge.
25	THE COURT: All right. We're looking at a letter.

1	BY MR. ORAM:
2	Q Does that look like your handwriting, sir?
3	A Yeah.
4	Q Do you see at the top it's Wyatt Earth? Does
5	it say that?
6	A Yeah, that's what it says.
7	Q Is Wyatt Earth Job-Loc?
8	A Huh?
9	Q Is that Job-Loc, Wyatt Earth?
10	THE COURT: I think he knows him as
11	MR. ORAM: Slick.
12	THE COURT: Slick.
13	BY MR. ORAM:
14	Q But this says — who is Wyatt Earth?
15	A I have no idea.
16	MR. ORAM: It doesn't sound real relevant, does it?
17	THE WITNESS: No.
18	MR. ORAM: But the letter is.
19	THE COURT: The letter.
20	BY MR. ORAM:
21	Q Do you — is that your signature?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Do you sign it Baby Job-Loc?
24	A No, I don't I don't remember putting
25	nothing like that.

1	Q Is that your handwriting?
2	A It looks like it.
3	MR. SGRO: Your Honor, I'm sorry. If we could ask
4	Mr. Rowland to keep his voice up a little bit.
5	THE COURT: Yeah, you need to speak up
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
7	THE COURT: sir.
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it looks like it, but I don't
9	remember.
10	BY MR. ORAM:
11	Q Here's what I want you to do. I want you to
12	read that letter to yourself, okay. And then I'm going to ask
13	you if you're if you're writing that letter to Job-Loc.
14	Okay. You read it to yourself.
15	A [Witness complied].
16	Q Have you had a chance to read that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Is that a letter you wrote to Job-Loc?
19	A I don't recall at all.
20	Q Let me ask you this way. Does the substance
21	of it sound like you're talking to Job-Loc?
22	A Sort of, but not really.
23	Q Was — do you see the part where you talk
24	about becoming a correctional officer to break someone out?
25	A Yeah, I seen that.

1	Q	Who do you know in jail that you could have
2	been talking to?	?
3	A	To be honest, I'm not sure. I've got a couple
4	of friends, but	I don't recall saying nothing like that.
5	Q	Do you see the envelope on there? See it's
6	addressed to Jei	rome Thomas?
7	A	Uh-huh.
8	Q	Is that a yes?
9	A	Yeah.
10	Q	When you took that firearm before I go
11	there, when was	the last time you were in Monica's car?
12	А	I don't know. I can't I don't recall at
13	all.	
14	Q	Do you know a Loyanda Yolanda Cooper?
15	А	No.
16	Q	Did you when you were going to you were
17	trying to sell t	the gun, do you recall that?
18	A	Yeah. Yeah.
19	Q	Did you tell anyone that you were present at
20	the time of the	homicide?
21	A	No.
22	Q	Did you tell anyone that you received \$1,000
23	as a result of t	this homicide?
24	A	No.
25	Q	Did you tell anyone that the woman was shot
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	because she was going for some type of weapon?
2	A I don't recall.
3	Q Okay.
4	MR. ORAM: Court's indulgence.
5	Your Honor, that concludes cross-examination.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Langford.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. LANGFORD:
9	Q Mr. Rowland, we talked briefly outside the
10	courtroom; is that right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And that's the first time you ever told myself
13	at least that that D-Shot, David Burns, was not the
14	shooter.
15	A Correct.
16	Q And you had not told the DA that ever; right?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And, in fact, when you first talked to the
19	police, isn't it true that you told the police you didn't know
20	who the shooter was?
21	A I don't recall.
22	Q Do you recall testifying in front of a grand
23	jury?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Mr. Oram asked you about that earlier;
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	correct?
2	A Yes.
3	MR. LANGFORD: May I approach, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	BY MR. LANGFORD:
6	Q Do you remember testifying let me ask you
7	this. Do you remember testifying that in fact that when
8	talking with Job-Loc he didn't tell you who the shooter was?
9	A I don't recall.
10	Q Okay. Mr. DiGiacomo is asking you questions;
11	right? See his name there?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. And you had read you had seen
14	something on the news about this case; is that right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And what's what did you do after you
17	saw something on the news?
18	A I don't recall.
19	Q All right.
20	A I called him back.
21	Q That does that refresh your recollection?
22	A No.
23	Q Okay. So what did you tell the grand jury?
24	A I called him back.
25	Q Under oath that's what you told the grand
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	jury; right?	
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Who are you talking about, called him back?
4	А	Slick.
5	Q	Slick, Job-Loc?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. Why did you call him back?
8	A	I don't recall.
9	Q	Okay. Mr. DiGiacomo asked you another
10	question; ric	ght? Do you remember what the question was?
11	А	No.
12	Q	Okay. You want to read that question? Does
13	that refresh	your recollection?
14	A	And describe the
15	Q	No, read it to yourself.
16	A	Okay.
17	Q	Does that refresh your recollection?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Okay. So what's the question?
20	A	Read it out loud?
21	Q	Now you can.
22	A	And describe the conversation that you had
23	with Slick at	this point.
24	Q	Do you remember what you answered?
25	A	No.

1	Q Well, why don't you read that out loud.
2	A That G-Dogg, Monica, and I guess D-Shot or
3	whatever his name had did something, that it was them or
4	whatever.
5	Q Okay. Question, it was them that did what?
6	What did you say?
7	A The shooting, I guess. I don't know who did
8	it. Like it was never said who did it, but they were all
9	there, I guess.
10	Q Okay. So Job-Loc, then, didn't tell you that
11	conversation. He didn't tell you who the shooter was, did he?
12	A I don't recall.
13	Q Well, that's what you testified under oath;
14	correct?
15	A Correct, that's what's
16	Q In 2010 —
17	A — on there. Yes.
18	Q correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. So now you're coming in and saying that
21	you think the shooter now is not D-Shot; is that right?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. It's not David Burns.
24	A No.
25	Q And now you think it's Willie Mason; is that
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Well, why is that?
4	A Because that's what was said.
5	Q When was that said?
6	A I don't recall. To be honest, it's been so
7	long. Like this is damn near like five years later, so
8	Q Okay. So in spite of the fact that you
9	testified under oath to the grand jury
10	A Uh-huh.
11	Q that you were never told who the shooter
12	was or how the shooting happened, now all of the sudden you
13	think something different; is that right? Is that right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q But you don't know why you think that
16	something different; is that correct?
17	A No.
18	Q That's not correct? You do know why you think
19	something different?
20	A Well, I don't I don't recall, to be honest,
21	so I really can't I don't know.
22	Q So you sat out there and just out of thin air,
23	the thought comes into your head, oh, you know what, David
24	Burns had nothing to do with it. It was Willie Mason. Is
25	that what you're saying?

1	A No.
2	Q Okay. But under oath in 2010 you said you
3	you were never told who the shooter was; is that right?
4	A Yes, that's what the grand jury thing says
5	Q And you —
6	A right there. Yes.
7	Q And today you can't tell us why it is you
8	think something different?
9	A No.
10	MR. LANGFORD: No further questions, Judge.
11	THE COURT: Any redirect?
12	MR. DiGIACOMO: Briefly.
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
15	Q Mr. Langford sort of summed it up for me, but
16	let me just get this straight. Outside today you told the
17	lawyers for the defense that your memory today is that Job
18	said that G-Dogg was the shooter?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Okay. I think we talked about this. You said
21	that you told the truth to the police when you talked to them
22	in in 2010; correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And so would you rely upon what you told the
25	police in 2010 or your memory outside in the hall today?

1	A Today.
2	Q Okay.
3	MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, I offer 287 which is the
4	videotape recording of Donovon Rowland's statement.
5	MR. ORAM: Objection.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: It's a prior inconsistent statement
7	admissible under statute.
8	MR. ORAM: If he wants to if he wants to impeach
9	him with a portion of it, that's fine. But that's not the way
10	it —
11	THE COURT: The portion that would
12	MR. ORAM: Yes.
13	THE COURT: be inconsistent would be
14	inappropriate, and I'm sure you can do that on your you're
15	so technically advanced, I'm sure you can do that.
16	MR. DiGIACOMO: I believe that there is a number of
17	different times, so I'll get with counsel, but I want to make
18	sure that the video is admitted for
19	THE COURT: I'll admit it for purposes of
20	inconsistent statements. All right.
21	(State's Exhibit 287 admitted.)
22	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
23	Q Now, you also testified in front of a grand
24	jury; correct?
25	A Yes.

1	Q You didn't want to be there, would you agree
2	with me?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Back in 2010?
5	A Yes.
6	Q You didn't want to be here today, either, did
7	you?
8	A No.
9	Q Okay. And it was much the procedure we
10	went through in the grand jury was similar to this one except
11	for I played you the little video portions of your statements,
12	do you remember that?
13	A I don't recall.
14	Q You would agree with me you weren't real happy
15	to be there when you were answering questions; correct?
16	MR. ORAM: Judge, objection to the leading nature.
17	Correct, correct.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, he's obviously
19	THE COURT: He can lead.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: adverse at this point. Thank
21	you.
22	THE WITNESS: Correct.
23	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
24	Q All right. You took an oath when you went
25	into that room; correct?

1	A Correct.
2	Q You took an oath to tell the truth, the whole
3	truth, and nothing but the truth; right?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And did you do that at that time?
6	A I don't remember to be honest, so if anything,
7	yes.
8	MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, I have marked 289, the grand
9	jury transcript of Donovon Rowland. Under the Statute 51.035
LO	it's prior testimony at a proceeding. In this case it's
L1	admissible. I'd offer it.
L2	THE COURT: Any objection?
L3	MR. ORAM: Yes, Your Honor.
L4	THE COURT: What?
L5	MR. ORAM: He's on the witness stand. I'd ask
L6	before it be
L7	THE COURT: If it's in to the extent that it's
L8	inconsistent it's
L9	MR. ORAM: And just the portion.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, the entire transcript is
21	admissible under 51.035 as prior testimony of a witness in the
22	proceeding.
23	MR. ORAM: Your Honor, we were unable to
24	cross-examination the defendant or this particular witness at
25	that time. And I don't think under Funches that's correct.

You know, and, Your Honor, I don't really have much 1 disagreement with it. However, what I'd like to do is at 2 least do what you're doing right now and research it because I 3 just haven't seen a prosecutor do that. 4 MR. DiGIACOMO: I've done it with a number of witnesses, but I believe it's (2)(b), 51.035(2)(b). 6 (2)(b). I think it's admissible. 7 THE COURT: Objection is overruled. It'll be received. 8 (State's Exhibit 289 admitted.) 9 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 10 Now, Mr. Oram also showed you a copy --11 12 MR. DiGIACOMO: And I apologize, Linda. I forgot to 13 have this marked. BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 14 15 This is another copy of the letter Mr. Oram 16 showed you. It's State's Proposed Exhibit No. 290. Did you 17 have a chance to read the whole letter before? When he just brung it up, yes. 18 Α 19 Okay. How would you describe the nature of this letter between you and Job-Loc? 20 Judge, objection. He said that he didn't 21 MR. ORAM: 22 know. 23 THE COURT: How would you describe the nature of it? 24 I don't understand what that means. 25 MR. DiGIACOMO: I'll rephrase.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. ORAM: And, Judge, he at least when I asked
3	him questions, I thought he was saying he didn't know if it
4	was to Job-Loc. So just for that purpose I would object
5	because I think he said he didn't know if it was to him.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: I don't think he said that.
7	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
8	Q You know Job—Loc to be Jerome Thomas to be
9	Slick; right?
10	A Yeah, now after everything, yes.
11	Q All right. You've maintained some contact
12	with Job-Loc at least for some period of time after
13	A I don't recall.
14	Q 2010?
15	A I don't recall.
16	Q You haven't had any contact with
17	THE COURT: You don't recall that you've maintained
18	contact?
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember if I maintained
20	contact or not.
21	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
22	Q You did he write you don't remember
23	getting letters back from him from jail or prison in
24	California?
25	A No.

1	Q Let me ask you this. Did you take a .44
2	caliber magnum and execute a woman in her living room at 5662
3	Meikle
4	A No.
5	Q on August 7th of 2010?
6	A No.
7	
·	Q Okay. Did you then chase her daughter down
8	the hall and shoot her in the stomach?
9	A No.
10	Q Were you there that night at the murder?
11	A No.
12	Q Okay. Do you recall telling the police that
13	Job couldn't be there because he couldn't walk or run or do
14	anything else?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And that was true; right?
17	A Yes.
18	Q So as far as you know Job wasn't at this
19	murder scene; correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Now, I saw Mr. Oram point over to his client
22	and say this man is innocent. Do you know this man?
23	A No, sir.
24	Q Had you seen him before?
25	A No, sir.

1	Q Okay.
2	MR. ORAM: No, he did because, Judge, apparently the
3	prosecutor said that he had seen him at the at the kitchen,
4	I think; right? And you said that he was there at the
5	kitchen.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: Well, that's my question.
7	MR. ORAM: Now it seems it seems like you're
8	inconsistent, Counsel.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: That's that's my question. I
10	didn't ask him to identify him because he says he couldn't
11	identify him. Now he's saying this man is innocent and he
12	doesn't even know who this man is. That's the whole purpose
13	of the question. He's never been able to identify D-Shot
14	before, now apparently everybody is agreeing this is D-Shot.
15	I just want to make sure that's clear that he doesn't know who
16	this guy is.
17	THE COURT: I'm not sure he knows which one is
18	which.
19	MR. DiGIACOMO: That's a great question. It was
20	going to be my next one.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
23	Q Do you know the other guy over here?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Okay. Who is that?

1	A G-Dogg.
2	Q So you know G-Dogg?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Okay. So we can get this straight, at least a
5	couple weeks ago you would agree with me that you had a
6	conversation with
7	MR. ORAM: Objection as to leading, Judge.
8	MR. DiGIACOMO: No, I'm allowed to
9	MR. ORAM: You would agree with me.
10	THE COURT: He can lead
11	MR. DiGIACOMO: cross-examination him
12	THE COURT: a witness
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: at this point.
14	THE COURT: like this. He's obviously identified
15	with the defendants, not with the plaintiff. Strange
16	identification, I must admit, but
17	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you.
18	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
19	Q You had a conversation with Ms. Weckerly a
20	couple weeks ago; correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Okay. And basically what you told her is I
23	don't really remember anything and I don't want to come back
24	to Las Vegas —
25	A Yes.

1	Q — right? Eventually you agreed to show up;
2	correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And now in the last four and a half years the
5	first time you ever said I know for sure D-Shot had nothing to
6	do with this is when you were sitting outside this
7	MR. ORAM: Objection.
8	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
9	Q courtroom; correct?
10	MR. SGRO: Counsel was here
11	THE WITNESS: Correct.
12	MR. SGRO: when we made the record, Your Honor.
13	MR. ORAM: Judge, he knows that's not accurate. But
14	I guess I'll get up after him, but that's an inaccurate
15	statement and he knows it.
16	MR. DiGIACOMO: How do I know that? Didn't Mr. Oram
17	walk in this courtroom and say right before we started
18	THE COURT: I thought it was right
19	MR. DiGIACOMO: Donovon Rowland just told us?
20	THE COURT: I thought it was right out there.
21	MR. SGRO: No, it was
22	MR. ORAM: Judge Judge, I'll tell you what. I'll
23	get up on cross.
24	THE COURT: Okay. That's what I understood.
25	MR. ORAM: Go ahead.

1	MR. D	iGIACOMO: Yeah.
2	BY MR. DiGIACOM	O:
3	Q	Did you tell anybody prior to that?
4	А	Someone from their office, yes, before I got
5	here.	
6	Q	When did you tell
7	А	A couple days ago.
8	Q	A couple days ago?
9	А	Yeah.
10	Q	Okay. So whether it was 20 minutes ago or two
11	hours or two	days ago, other than that have you ever told
12	anybody that be	fore?
13	А	No.
14	Q	Okay. Well, so, you're not present at the
15	crime scene; co	rrect?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	What you know is based upon what Job has told
18	you; correct?	
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	Or what you saw when you got the murder weapon
21	and took it fro	m Job; correct?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	So I guess my question is if you don't know
24	this man, how w	ere you able to answer the question for Mr.
25	Oram this is an	innocent guy sitting here?

1	A I can't.
2	Q All right. You don't know that question, do
3	you?
4	A Correct.
5	Q You just know what people have told you or
6	what you've seen; correct?
7	A Correct, but I have never seen him.
8	Q Okay. And you, so we're clear, you describe
9	the individual that you saw in the kitchen as 5'11; correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q A little bit lighter skin that you are?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Curly hair?
14	MR. ORAM: Page counsel. A little lighter.
15	THE WITNESS: Correct.
16	MR. DiGIACOMO: 73.
17	MR. ORAM: Of grand jury or his statement?
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: No, it's his his statement right
19	before you get to 74 where you ask the stocky question.
20	MR. ORAM: 73?
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah, the rest of the description.
22	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
23	Q A little bit lighter than you with curly hair.
24	A Correct.
25	Q You remember that?
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	A Yeah.
2	MR. DiGIACOMO: I have nothing further. Thank you,
3	Judge.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Oram.
5	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. ORAM:
7	Q Mr. Rowland, you've never met me before, have
8	you?
9	A No.
10	Q You've never seen my face?
11	A No.
12	Q You've never talked to me?
13	A No.
14	Q Apparently you had a conversation with an
15	investigator, okay?
16	A Uh-huh.
17	Q Is that a yes?
18	A Yes.
19	Q One appointed by the Court to help and assist
20	Mr. Burns. You don't know him; right? You don't know Mr.
21	Burns sitting over there; right?
22	A No.
23	Q And in when does this conversation with
24	this court appointed investigator take place?
25	A A couple days ago.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	Q	Can you be more accurate just so we can
2	A	I can't tell you exactly.
3	Q	And in that conversation did you reveal what
4	Job-Loc had told	d you?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And do you think today as you're testifying
7	that your memory	of what Job-Loc told you is accurate?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And did he say that the other guy, D-Shock or
10	D-Shot, was not	guilty?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	Innocent. And outside, when we were outside
13	and came out the	ere, that's the first time you had seen me,
14	isn't it?	
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	And who was with you, sitting right next to
17	you?	
18	A	The DA investigator.
19	Q	And has he been did he pick you up from the
20	airport?	
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	So you had been with him; right?
23	A	Yes.
232425	Q	And Mr. Sgro, that man right there, okay, he
25		ked you these questions, didn't he, in front of

1	the DA investigator?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And he asked you what Job-Loc had said, didn't
4	he?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Or words to that effect?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And since you don't know that man Mr. Burns,
9	you have no reason to help him, do you?
10	A Correct.
11	MR. ORAM: Nothing further.
12	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. LANGFORD:
14	Q The fact is you don't remember anything, do
15	you?
16	A Bits and pieces. Trying to.
17	Q Bits and pieces. But you don't remember when
18	it was that somebody told you that D-Shot wasn't the shooter,
19	do you?
20	A Correct.
21	Q You don't really remember if somebody told you
22	that, do you?
23	A I do.
24	Q You do remember? Okay. Where did the
25	conversation take place?

1	А	That I don't remember.
2	Q	Who was the conversation with?
3	А	Slick.
4	Q	Slick. Was it on the phone?
5	А	More than anything, most likely, yes.
6	Q	Most likely yes. When was it that this
7	conversation to	ok place?
8	А	I don't recall.
9	Q	You don't recall.
10	А	No.
11	Q	So the only think you vaguely remember is him
12	saying that D-Shot, David Burns, was not the shooter?	
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	And Willie Mason was the shooter?
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	Did Job-Loc go around telling you things about
17	people who comm	itted crimes?
18	А	No.
19	Q	Is he one of those kind of people that does
20	that a lot?	
21	А	I'm not sure.
22	Q	Well, he hasn't ever told you anything like
23	that before, ha	s he?
24	А	No.
25	Q	In fact, you testified to the grand jury that
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	he did not tell	you that when you called him back after you
2	had seen somethi	ng on the news; isn't that right?
3	А	I don't recall.
4	Q	Well, I just showed you your testimony. You
5	don't recall tha	at now? That was like five minutes ago.
6	А	You didn't say that. You just said do I
7	recall, and I to	old you no, so
8	Q	But that's what you testified to, or do I need
9	to show you that	again?
10	A	I seen it on there, yes, but you didn't
11	Q	Okay.
12	A	That's not what you said.
13	Q	Is that what you testified to?
14	A	Yes, that's what I testified to.
15	Q	That he didn't tell you anything about who the
16	shooter was; rig	ght?
17	A	Yes, that's what the testimony said, yeah.
18	Q	And he didn't tell you why they were there to
19	do the shooting	or what how the shooting happened; right?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	But now you come in today four years later,
22	and it's Willie	Mason that's the shooter, but you don't
23	remember why; co	errect?
24	A	Correct.
25	Q	You just kind of remember Job-Loc told you?
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	A Correct.
2	Q Job-Loc, your good friend; correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Job-Loc, the person you're going to become a
5	corrections officer so you can bust him out of jail; right?
6	A I don't recall that I said that, so
7	Q Still think you can become a corrections
8	officer?
9	A I don't never remember saying nothing like
10	that, so, no.
11	MR. LANGFORD: No further questions.
12	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to
13	take a brief recess. During the recess it's again your duty
14	not to converse amount yourselves or with anyone else on any
15	subject connected with this trial, or to read, watch, or
16	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any
17	medium of information, including newspapers, television, and
18	radio. You may not form or express an opinion on any subject
19	connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.
20	We'll be in recess for about ten minutes.
21	(Jury recessed at 3:00 p.m.)
22	MR. SGRO: We have something very quick.
23	THE COURT: The record will reflect that the jury
24	has left the courtroom.
25	MR. SGRO: Your Honor, just very briefly, under NRS

```
51.325, the prior testimony, one of the prerequisites under
 1
     that statute is the unavailability of the declarant. And --
 2
               MR. DiGIACOMO: I'm sorry. But is Mr. Rowland free
 3
     to go, Judge? I apologize.
 4
 5
               MR. ORAM:
                          Yes.
               MR. DiGIACOMO: He's still sitting there.
6
               MR. ORAM:
 7
                          Yeah.
               MR. DiGIACOMO: We're done with --
               THE COURT:
                           035?
               MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, I apologize, but Mr. Rowland
10
     I don't think --
11
12
               THE COURT: You want Mr. Rowland in here no longer?
13
                          We don't.
               MR. ORAM:
14
               MR. DiGIACOMO: No, he's free to go. We --
15
                         Right.
               MR. SGRO:
16
               MR. DiGIACOMO: We've finished; right?
17
               THE COURT: All right.
           (Donovon Rowland exits the courtroom at 3:01 p.m.)
18
19
                           I must tell you that the testimony from
               THE COURT:
20
     that witness is probably -- lacks more credibility than I've
     ever had a witness in 40 years.
21
22
               MS. WECKERLY: He'd be a good one to give a gun to.
23
               THE COURT:
                          You know, I just -- I don't think he was
24
     telling the truth from the moment he started. I don't think
25
     he -- I think he remembers a lot and has decided he doesn't
```

1 want to remember anything.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct. That's why there's a case and that's why I wanted to discuss the — his statement to the police. The case is called Crowley —

THE COURT: I'm curious of whether he has been threatened or instructed by somebody to testify a certain way.

MR. DiGIACOMO: I'm curious by that too. But there's a case that says Crowley, C-R-O-W-L-E-Y, when a person denies knowledge of a particular fact that's the same as -- as denying it for inconsistent statement purposes. He had no memory of anything whatsoever and thus there is everything in his statement is inconsistent.

THE COURT: He obviously denied a recollection of a lot of things that I am sure he recalled. I mean, it would be almost impossible not to recall it.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct. So what I'm saying to the Court is that I don't know what needs to be redacted from the statement since everything that he says I don't remember is something that is admissible as a prior inconsistent statement. And, thus, I would offer the entire entirety of 289 to the extent — unless there is a specific thing that defense —

THE COURT: Well, let's do --

MR. DiGIACOMO: -- wants to redact.

THE COURT: -- let's do the points that were related

```
to the --
 1
               MR. SGRO: So here's -- here's the difficulty. If
 2
     you start with 51.035, which is what the State suggested was
3
 4
     the appropriate statute, and they said under -- under letter
         Letter (d) is --
 5
               MR. DiGIACOMO: (b), (2)(b).
6
                         (b), the --
 7
               MR. SGRO:
               THE COURT: Consistent --
               MR. DiGIACOMO: No, (2).
10
               THE COURT: (2)(b), as in boy. The declarant
     testifies at the trial --
11
12
               MR. SGRO: Right. And is --
13
               THE COURT: -- subject to --
14
               MR. SGRO:
                         -- subject to --
                           -- cross-examination --
15
               THE COURT:
16
                          -- cross-examination and the --
               MR. SGRO:
                          -- and the statement --
17
               THE COURT:
                          -- statement is --
18
               MR. SGRO:
19
                          -- is consistent with his testimony --
               THE COURT:
20
               MR. DiGIACOMO: No, that's not the right one. It's
     the one that says prior testimony in the proceeding. Mr. Sgro
21
22
     has it up on his.
23
                        That's (d).
               MR. SGRO:
24
               MR. DiGIACOMO: Oh, sorry.
25
                           Transcript of a testimony given under
               THE COURT:
```

oath at a trial before a grand jury. 1 MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct, so that's (2)(d). I 2 apologize. That was not (b), (d). 3 THE COURT: Well, he testified at the trial, he was 4 subject to cross-examination, and it is a transcript of 5 testimony given under oath before a grand jury. 6 7 MR. SGRO: Yeah, the -- the difficulty is, Your Honor, if you look at 51.235, which also governs former 8 testimony, and I can't imagine the --10 THE COURT: That's under the witness and unavailability section of the statute. 11 12 MR. SGRO: If I could just make a record we'll go a 13 lot quicker. The -- the former testimony of a witness --THE COURT: I'm sorry. 51.235 is statements of 14 15 ancient documents. 16 MR. SGRO: I'm sorry, Your Honor, if I misspoke. 17 51.325. 18 THE COURT: Former testimony. 19 MR. SGRO: Yes, sir. Testimony given as a witness at another hearing of the same or different proceedings or in 20 a deposition taken in compliance with the law is not 21 22 inadmissible under the hearsay rule if (1) the declarant is 23 unavailable. 24 Now, there are two -- clearly there are two statutes 25 which govern prior recorded testimony. Clearly in a criminal

proceeding Mr. Rowland or any grand jury witness would not have been subject to cross-examination. I'm not certain — and perhaps the Court can tell me and I'm happy to sit down, but I'm not certain how you can read one statute to the exclusion of the other when they both govern former testimony.

It strikes me that two things need to occur if you look at them both at the same time. One is either subject to cross-examination at the time the prior recorded statement needs to be — was given, which we do not have, or choice (b) unavailability of the declarant, which clearly we don't have. So I think for purposes of the record, Your Honor, we would object to it because it strikes me that the two statutes need to be read in conjunction with one another, not to the exclusion of each other.

In other words, neither one of the has an annotation that this Statute No. 51 et seq. only applies in these situations. Chapter 50 only applies in these situations. I think the Court knows where I'm going. There seems to be an inherent conflict, and so the only thing we can do, then, is read them in conjunction. And that's the basis for the objection, Your Honor, and I'll submit it to the Court.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, it's very simple. 51.035 is the definition of hearsay, and those items which are listed in there are not hearsay. It's much like the co-conspirator statements are not hearsay. All the statutes after that are

exceptions to the hearsay rule, some of which require -- the 1 exception requires, and it's under the unavailability of a 2 3 witness. If you have an unavailable witness and you have 4 prior testimony in the same proceeding, it's an exception to 5 the hearsay rule. You don't need an exception to the hearsay 6 rule if the witness is on the stand and their testimony is 7 from the same proceeding, it's just not hearsay. It is 8 admissible under 51.035. 10 THE COURT: I agree it's not hearsay. MR. DiGIACOMO: It's not hearsay, so there doesn't 11 12 need to be an exception. There is an exception for an 13 unavailable declarant, which would make it hearsay. THE COURT: I agree with that. I'm -- no, I think 14 15 it's admissible. Let's take a five minute recess. 16 MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you. 17 MR. SGRO: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Do we have one more witness? 19 MS. WECKERLY: Yeah. 20 MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah, one --21 MS. WECKERLY: She's like --22 MR. DiGIACOMO: -- five-minute witness. 23 MS. WECKERLY: -- five minutes. 24 THE COURT: Five. Good. 25 MR. DiGIACOMO: So we get one short witness and

1	we're done.				
2	THE COURT: Very good. I'm sure the jury will				
3	appreciate that.				
4	(Court recessed at 3:07 p.m., until 3:15 p.m.)				
5	(In the presence of the jury.)				
6	THE COURT: All right. State versus Burns and				
7	Mason. The record will reflect the presence of the				
8	defendants, their counsel, that District Attorneys and all				
9	members of the jury.				
10	You can call your next witness.				
11	MS. WECKERLY: Thank you, Your Honor. Samantha				
12	Knight. Do you want me to get her? Oh, are you going to get				
13	her?				
14	SAMANTHA KNIGHT, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN				
15	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your				
16	name, and spell your first and last name for the record.				
17	THE WITNESS: Samantha Knight; S-A-M-A-N-T-H-A				
18	K-N-I-G-H-T.				
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
20	BY MS. WECKERLY:				
21	Q Ms. Knight, do you know someone by the name of				
22	Monica Martinez?				
23	A Yes, ma'am.				
24	Q How did you meet her?				
25	A She worked with me.				

1	Q	And where was it that you two worked?	
2	А	At Novum Pharmaceutical Research.	
3	Q	Was that back in 2010?	
4	А	Yes, ma'am.	
5	Q	And what were you coworkers or what was	
6	your position i	n the	
7	A	I was actually her supervisor, but like we	
8	became best friends.		
9	Q	Okay. And as best friends, would you	
10	socialize outsi	de of work together?	
11	А	Yes, ma'am.	
12	Q	Pretty often, not too often?	
13	А	I was very close with her, but I spent a	
14	majority of the	time with her children. Like they would come	
15	to my house and	play with my children, and like every once in	
16	awhile I'd go with her, but		
17	Q	Okay. Do you remember any any of the	
18	people she was	dating towards the end of the summer of 2010?	
19	A	Yes, ma'am.	
20	Q	And do you know what that person's name was?	
21	А	I only knew him by Job.	
22	Q	As Job?	
23	А	Job.	
24	Q	Did you ever see him?	
25	A	I saw him one time.	

1	Q And approximately when was that?		
2	A I can't remember the exact time, but it was		
3	not too long before whatever happened. He actually showed up		
4	at work with her daughter, she was driving, her daughter was		
5	driving. He was in the car in the passenger side.		
6	Q And do you remember anything about him sitting		
7	in the car with her daughter?		
8	A I remember that he had a broken leg.		
9	Q Okay. And you know there was a day where		
10	detectives came and came to work and Monica left with them;		
11	correct?		
12	A Yes, ma'am.		
13	Q Using that as your as your marker, if you		
14	could, how much before that do you think you saw him in the		
15	car with her daughter?		
16	A That was the only time I had ever seen him.		
17	I've heard of him a lot, but I had never seen him before.		
18	Q Okay. But like still, how much before did you		
19	see him in the car?		
20	A I was walking to go clock out so maybe like		
21	five or ten minutes because I stopped		
22	Q Oh, the same		
23	A to say high to her daughter.		
24	Q Oh, okay. And it was the same day the		
25	detectives came there?		

1	A Oh, no, no. I'm sorry. Like maybe two or		
2	three weeks before		
3	Q Okay.		
4	A — the incident. I'm sorry.		
5	Q Okay. I'm sorry. We miscommunicated. So you		
6	see him with her daughter, her daughter is driving the car.		
7	A Yes, ma'am.		
8	Q And you see that he's got a broken leg or		
9	something?		
10	A Yes, ma'am.		
11	Q Did he actually get out of the car?		
12	A No.		
13	Q And then two weeks or so after that is when		
14	the detectives show up?		
15	A Yes, ma'am.		
16	Q Okay. And do you know what her daughter's		
17	name is?		
18	A Tyler.		
19	Q Tyler. Okay. Tyler was driving?		
20	A Yes, ma'am.		
21	Q Now, on the day the detectives come to see		
22	Monica, do you remember if she was at work the previous day or		
23	if that was her day off or		
24	A She was off on Mondays.		
25	Q She was off on Mondays. So the detectives		
	KARR REPORTING, INC.		
I	1 208		

1	came on a Tuesd	ay?
2	А	Yes, ma'am.
3	Q	Do you know how it was that Monica got to work
4	that day?	
5	А	She actually called me the night before and
6	told me that sh	e needed a ride to work.
7	Q	And when you did you drive her to work that
8	Tuesday?	
9	А	I had actually just gotten out of a really bad
10	relationship, s	o my brother was taking me. So my brother took
11	both of us to w	ork.
12	Q	And where did you pick Monica up from?
13	А	At her grandmother's house.
14	Q	So not at her regular house?
15	А	No, ma'am.
16	Q	And you picked her up or your brother was
17	actually the dr	iver, I assume.
18	А	Yes, ma'am.
19	Q	And he drives both of you to work?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	And it's sometime after that that the
22	detectives show	up?
23		Yes, ma'am.
24	Q	Now, prior well, actually, when Monica was
25	in the car on t	he way to work, did you have an opportunity to
	_	

observe her demeanor or observe her behavior at all?

A She was really distracted. Like we're normally really happy people, you know. We talk to a lot of people, we know a lot of people. She knew Vegas a lot better than I. I'm very unfamiliar with that side of town other than getting from Henderson to my house — or to my work. And when she gave me instructions how to get there, she was very vague. My brother was kind of just driving around in circles. And I'm like if I'm at a school am I too far, if I'm here where am I supposed to be? And she's like I don't know. And I'm like, well, this is your grandmother's house. Like I'm going to be late to work. You have to tell me how to get there or else, you know, I can't take you. And eventually she told me how to get there, we pulled up, picked her up, and we just drove to work.

Q When she was in the car did -- did -- was she talkative or --

A No, I just kind of busted her about like not telling me how to get there sooner because I was really literally just going in circles.

Q Okay. And then you get to work. Do you work next to each other?

A My desk as a supervisor was here. Her desk was literally right next to mine.

Q Did she -- did she give you anything that day?

1	A Yes.
2	Q What did she give you?
3	A A phone number.
4	Q And describe how that happened.
5	A She was at the front desk working that day
6	because we had screening. And she said that there were
7	detectives next door and she had to go. And she handed me a
8	phone number and told me if anything happened to call the
9	number. And I said who do I ask for or what am I supposed to
10	do. And she's like if anything happens, you call the number.
11	Q Okay.
12	A And that's pretty much it.
13	Q The detectives ultimately talk to you;
14	correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And did you give them that piece of paper that
17	she had given you with the phone number on it?
18	A I actually called my boss that night that it
19	happened because I'm pretty sure her husband used to work in
20	the Metropolitan Police Department and I didn't want to really
21	get involved. So I asked her how to handle it, and he kind of
22	helped me out with it to get it to the right people.
23	Q Okay.
24	MS. WECKERLY: Counsel, I'm going to show her.
25	MR. SGRO: Oh, yeah. Yeah.

1	BY MS. WECKERLY:			
2	Q Ms. Knight, I'm going to show you what's been			
3	marked as State's 281. Do recognize that?			
4	A [Nods head yes].			
5	Q What is that?			
6	A That's the number she gave me.			
7	Q That's the number she gave you?			
8	A Monica, yes.			
9	Q Okay.			
10	MS. WECKERLY: State moves to admit 281.			
11	MR. SGRO: No objection.			
12	THE COURT: It'll be received.			
13	(State's Exhibit 281 admitted.)			
14	BY MS. WECKERLY:			
15	Q And did you actually call this number?			
16	A No.			
17	Q Okay. Since			
18	THE COURT: That's a no?			
19	THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. Or, no, sir. Sorry.			
20	THE COURT: Okay.			
21	BY MS. WECKERLY:			
22	Q Since that time with the police, have you seen			
23	or spoken to Monica?			
24	A I've received letter from her. I haven't			
25	actually gone to see her. I've talked to her children a few			
	KARR REPORTING, INC.			

1	times, and I actually I'm close friends with her		
2	ex-husband, but that's pretty much all I have with her.		
3	Q She's written you some letters and then you've		
4	talked to her ex-husband?		
5	A Uh-huh.		
6	Q Is that yes?		
7	A Yes, ma'am. Sorry.		
8	Q That's okay. Which what's his name?		
9	A He would be I think it's Willie. That's		
10	how I know him.		
11	Q Okay. And so you've talked to him a couple		
12	times, and then maybe you've seen the kids?		
13	A I've only seen the kids one time after, but		
14	that then I talk to them through Facebook and whatnot, but		
15	I haven't actually been able to see them see them.		
16	Q Okay. Thank you.		
17	MS. WECKERLY: Your Honor, I'll pass the witness.		
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION		
19	BY MR. SGRO:		
20	Q Good afternoon.		
21	A Good afternoon.		
22	Q So I want to start out first of all, you		
23	gave a statement to the police; right?		
24	A Yes, sir.		
25	Q And you know it's recorded?		
	KARR REPORTING, INC.		

213

1	А	_	Yes, sir.
2	Q	1	Okay. Relative to the names that the
3	boyfriend h	ad, d	id she ever say that sometimes he went by
4	Anthony?		
5	А	_	I only heard it the day of. She said that I
6	had to scre	en he	r calls.
7	Q]	Okay.
8	А	_	And tell my staff that if anyone called in
9	that she wa	s on	vacation and then to give the calls to me. I
LO	asked her w	ho I	was looking for. She said it was either Job,
L1	Mohammed, o:	r Ant	hony, or Jamar was the names that I heard.
L2	Q		Okay. And and was Anthony Anthony
L3	Lassiter, o	r was	Anthony just going to be a pseudonym for some
L4	who was cal.	ling?	
L5	А	_	It was just an Anthony. There was no last
L6	name.		
L7	Q		And there and you said Jamar. That was a
L8	conversation	n tha	t revolved around a tattoo she told you she
L9	wanted to -	_	
20	А	_	Yes, sir.
21	Q)	to get; right? And at the time she says I
22	want to get	a ta	ttoo of my boyfriend; right?
23	А	_	Yes, sir.
24	Q	}	And you said Job?
25	А	_	Yes, sir.

1	Q	And she said, no, well, Jamar.
2	А	Yes, sir.
3	Q	Okay. So this is sort of a context of all of
4	the names that	this individual Job would use; right?
5	А	Yes, sir.
6	Q	Do you know his real name?
7	А	No.
8	Q	Now, I think I just heard you describe for the
9	jury you were ve	ery close with Monica; right?
10	А	Yes, sir.
11	Q	You were best friends?
12	А	Yes, sir.
13	Q	And you even told the police the same kind of
14	thing; right?	
15	А	Yes, sir.
16	Q	She told you everything?
17	А	Pretty much, yes, sir.
18	Q	Okay. And you knew her for how long?
19	А	Probably two and a half years
20	Q	So for
21	А	at or about.
22	Q	two and a half years and I didn't mean
23	to interrupt you	ı. But over two and a half years you developed
24	a relationship w	where you believed you were close.
25	А	Yes, sir.

1	Q Let me ask you this. Did you help her ever
2	commit crime?
3	A No, sir.
4	Q Were you aware that when she worked at your
5	pharmaceutical company that she was committing identity theft?
6	A No, sir.
7	Q Were you aware that she was writing down
8	people's names and dates of birth and social security numbers
9	and taking that information home?
10	A No, sir.
11	Q And that wouldn't be something you would have
12	condoned; right?
13	A No, sir.
14	Q Were you aware that she was loaning her car to
15	individuals to commit crimes while she was at work?
16	A No, sir.
17	Q So you would agree that even as if what I'm
18	saying is true, and I know you don't know, right, but if what
19	I'm saying is true, you would agree that even knowing someone
20	for as long as you did, as close as you thought that you guys
21	were, she can look at you straight in the eye and keep a lot
22	of things away from you; right?
23	A I understand where you're coming from. As
24	best friends you reveal a lot to your friend about you, but
25	there's certain aspects of your life you don't tell a person

about you, you know. I mean, I just had cheated on my 1 boyfriend and broken up and gotten into a terrible domestic 2 abuse where my ex-boyfriend almost killed me. So, I mean, she 3 only knew certain aspects of it, but she didn't know the whole 4 5 picture. So I understand where you're saying I didn't know everything about her, but I knew enough about her to love her 6 and to know that she was a really good friend of mine. 7 I didn't say -- I didn't say you knew everything. You said you knew everything. 9 Okay. I'm sorry. I didn't know everything, 10 Α but I knew a lot about her. 11 12 Ma'am, I understand she was your friend. I 13 understand your desire to want to defend her, okay. My question is very simple. Despite the closeness that you have 14 15 just described for these folks to my right, you agree there is 16 a lot of things, if what I'm saying is true, there is a lot you really don't know about her; right? 17 18 Yes, sir. Α 19 And if what I'm saying is true, she was 20 capable of being two-faced, fair? 21 Yes, sir. 22 MR. SGRO: That's all. 23 MR. LANGFORD: I don't have anything, Judge. 24 Redirect? THE COURT:

KARR REPORTING, INC.

25

MS. WECKERLY: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Knight, you'll be excused. Thank you for being a witness. You can leave.

Ladies and gentlemen, I have good news. They — they told me that that would be the last witness today, so that means you get to go home early. I hope you have a very pleasant Super Bowl weekend whatever your choice is. And we will see you next Thursday, that's the 5th of February, here at 9:30 in the morning. Have a good weekend.

During the weekend, again, it's your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial from any medium of information, including newspapers, television, and radio. And you may not form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you. We'll see you next Thursday. Have a good weekend.

(Court recessed at 3:29 p.m.)

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

KARR REPORTING, INC. Aurora, Colorado

KIMBERLY LAWSON

TRAN

Alter & La Court

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

WILLIE DARNELL MASON, AKA

WILLIE DARNELL MASON, JR.,

AKA G-DOGG,

DAVID JAMES BURNS, AKA

D-SHOT,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C-10-267882-1

C-10-267882-2

TRANSCRIPT OF

PROCEEDING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES THOMPSON, SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 10

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2015

APPEARANCES:

For the State: MARC P. DIGIACOMO, ESQ.

PAMELA C. WECKERLY, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

For Defendant Mason: ROBERT L. LANGFORD, ESQ.

For Defendant Burns: CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.

ANTHONY P. SGRO, ESQ.

RECORDED BY SUSAN DOLORFINO, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

INDEX

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:

KENNETH LeCESNE	
Direct Examination By Mr. DiGiacomo	17
Cross-Examination By Mr. Sgro	32
Redirect Examination By Mr. DiGiacomo	42
MICHAEL MAINES	
Direct Examination By Mr. DiGiacomo	46
ERIN TAYLOR	
Direct Examination By Ms. Weckerly	49
Cross-Examination By Mr. Sgro	63
Redirect Examination By Ms. Weckerly	76
Recross Examination By Mr. Sgro	78
TYLER MITCHELL	
Direct Examination By Mr. DiGiacomo	83
Cross-Examination By Mr. Oram	101
JAMES KRYLO	
Direct Examination By Mr. DiGiacomo	107
Cross-Examination By Mr. Sgro	140
Redirect Examination By Mr. DiGiacomo	195
Recross Examination By Mr. Sgro	202
CORNELIUS MAYO	
Direct Examination By Ms. Weckerly	212
Cross-Examination By Mr. Sgro	248

EXHIBITS

STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED:	PAGE
295 Metro PCS Records	20
297 and 298 Metro PCS Records	24
296 Metro PCS Records	25
170 through 190 Photograph	52
135 through 147 Photographs	59
262A Audio of Erica Newman	82
308 Contacts from Tyler Mitchell's Phone	96
309 Text Messages from Tyler Mitchell's Phone	99
311, 311A, 312, 312A, 315, and 315A	114
148 and 149 Photographs	134
323 9-1-1 Audio	226
294 Text Message	245
DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED:	PAGE
S Photographs	70

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2015, 9:44 A.M.
2	* * * *
3	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
4	THE COURT: All right. State of Nevada versus Burns
5	and Mason. The record will reflect that we're back on the
6	record with the defendants and all counsel in the absence of
7	the jury.
8	Mr. Sgro.
9	MR. SGRO: Your Honor, two two quick matters.
LO	One is that today we expect two kids of two of the defendants
L1	to testify, Tyler Mitchell and Christine Tamika Pierce. And I
L2	did speak with the State.
L3	THE COURT: Two of the defendants' kids?
L4	MR. SGRO: Monica Martinez has a daughter. Her name
L5	is Tyler Mitchell.
L6	THE COURT: Yeah, I know it's Monica Martinez's
L7	kids. Not the defendants' kids.
L8	MR. SGRO: Well, and Stephanie Cousins that you've
L9	obviously heard a lot about, she has a kid and and her name
20	is Tamika Pierce.
21	THE COURT: But they're not defendants.
22	MR. SGRO: No, it's daughters of defendants. It's
23	the daughters of
24	MS. WECKERLY: The co-defendants of these two.
25	There's four people charged in this case, so it's Stephanie's

daughter and Monica's daughter.

THE COURT: Oh, well, right now only Burns and Mason are charged as far as we're concerned.

MS. WECKERLY: Well, I mean, the other two are charged. They're just not --

THE COURT: They're not charged in -- okay. Anyway,

I know what you're talking about now.

MR. SGRO: Okay. The bottom line, Your Honor, is our concern was relative to the eliciting of any hearsay statements because we are joined at this trial. And one of the — one of the individuals, specifically Ms. Cousins' daughter is going to talk about some conversations that she had with Mr. Mason.

Now, I am assured, I am assured, that there is no responses that are going to be gleaned from the witness stand where the answer would be Mason told me we did this and we did that, which would be violative of the confrontation clause, violative of the Ducksworth decision, etcetera. So I'm told that there is — none of that is going to occur, so I want to put that on the record.

And relative to Ms. Mitchell, Monica Martinez's daughter, that's Mr. DiGiacomo's witness, he also assures me he is not intending on eliciting any hearsay information. Now, Ms. Mitchell did testify before the grand jury. She did go through a photo lineup and she did some affirmative things

which we don't quarrel with. 1 It's -- I want to caution the Court that if anything 3 starts to look like hearsay, we're immediately going to ask for a break to make sure we don't have a problem, a 4 confrontation clause problem. So that's number one is that I want to make those representations that we had those 6 conversations. 7 THE COURT: Statements by the defendants would be admissible. 10 MR. SGRO: Not to the extent Mr. Mason -- a statement by Mr. Mason that inculpates Mr. Burns would not be 11 12 admissible at a joint trial delivered through another witness. 13 THE COURT: That would pose a problem. 14 Yes, sir. And I'm assured that that's MR. SGRO: 15 not going to happen. 16 THE COURT: Okay. But statements by a defendant as 17 to what they did --18 Of course. MR. SGRO: 19 -- is admissible. THE COURT: 20 MR. SGRO: Of course. And we're not -- again, our only problem on behalf of Burns was just the hearsay if Mr. 21 22 Mason --23 THE COURT: I understand. 24 Okay. Secondly, the State has endorsed MR. SGRO:

KARR REPORTING, INC.

and has told me they're calling today an individual named

25

James Krylo, K-R-Y-L-O. He is a tool mark examiner that's been at Metro for a long time. And so just — just to give some context, there has been an attack that has been lodged on forensic science relative to tool mark and firearm examination. And — and some on an extreme level have equated it to a junk science like bite marks.

And I'll tell you why, Your Honor. The attack stems from the subjectivity employed by the individual tool mark examiner as he or she views evidence. In other words, they're use — they're using their vision and their training and experience. They don't have databases. They don't have quantifying data. It's not like a fingerprint where you need so many points of comparison before you say, yes, I have a match. It's completely subjective as to whether you have a match or not.

So here's what happens. In 2005 there begins some litigation and it starts in Boston where attorneys begin to challenge the science of the forensics. A few judges — a few judges exclude and limit the testimony of forensic scientists. Congress in 2009 commissions a study, and it's by the National Academy of Science.

Now, the National Academy of Science is someone that's often relied on by our government to validate certain scientific genres, DNA, fingerprints, etcetera. And essentially it's touching base in, hey, where are we at today

in DNA? Because in 1980 it was a lot different than 1990, which is a lot different than 2000.

So the Academy of Science goes out and they do a 450-page report going through and breaking down the sciences that they were tasked to investigate objectively. Are they — are they good or bad? And obviously they're a lot more artful than how I'm delivering it to you. So in 2009 they came back with this 450-page report. A chapter of this report was dedicated to the pitfalls and unreliability of tool mark examination.

Now, this just happened in 2009. The challenge — the challenge becomes now how are different states dealing with these proposed admission of quote, unquote, expert testimony in a science that has so much subjectivity attached to it, okay. So some states have Daubert, some states have Frei, some have a combination. In Nevada — and admittedly in Nevada we don't adopt the Daubert federal standard.

THE COURT: Sort of a modified Daubert.

MR. SGRO: Yes, sir. And there is an expert statute and it is left to — it is left to the discretion of the Court. There are some things, though, that the Court does have to find. Will it aid the jury in its assistance of actual predicate, is it something that's generally relied upon, etcetera.

And I guess what I'm suggesting to the Court is the

_

_

temperature relative to took mark examination is changing because it has nationally become under attack. So now how does Nevada handle it? And as the Court points out, we have a modified Daubert test. So I only could find — I only could find one case and it was filed in 2014. And the —

MR. DiGIACOMO: Would that be an unpublished order you're talking about?

MR. SGRO: Yeah, it's an unpublished order.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay.

MR. SGRO: And this is — this is the only thing I can find. So if we're going to agree it has no precedence because it's unpublished, that's great, because the Supreme Court came down in favor of the State. So I'll — I'll stipulate that this has no authority. What I'm telling the Court, though, as an officer of the Court, I wanted you to be fully aware of what's going on in this science because it's evolving every day.

So in Nevada, Dan Albregts, a trial lawyer here in our district, has a case. He challenges — he challenges the science that was admitted relative to tool mark examinations just based on some of the Daubert and the Frei things and he calls it junk science in his brief, etcetera, etcetera. He does not cite — for whatever reason, he does not cite the report that was established and published in 2009.

The Nevada Supreme Court apparently in a

non-authoritative opinion issues an order saying we're not going to accept your version of this being junk science. He then does a petition for rehearing, and he now has located the study which I have been telling the Court about.

And in May of 2014, the Nevada Supreme Court denies it based on — based on NRAP 40(c) which says that matters in the briefs and oral argument may not be reargued in the petition for a rehearing. And this is the part they denied it on, no point may be raised for the first time on rehearing. So what he did is he got it denied on procedural grounds.

So I give you that long-winded predicate. And I apologize. However, I would ask that Mr. Krylo be precluded from testifying as an expert witness relative to comparisons he made based on the non-scientific nature of the testing — testing mechanisms he employs insofar as they are not scientifically accepted standards.

And I'm happy to — and I would actually like to make it a part of the record, Your Honor. The chapter that I referenced in the study is Chapter 3 of the National Academy of Science. And I don't see your clerk here, but if I may approach the clerk. I just want to give you the Thompson unpublished decision, the thing — the order that says we can't consider the study because it wasn't raised initially, and then the chapter which is controlling relative to this issue.

Now, I do understand we have a little bit of evidence we're going to — we're going to go through before we get to Mr. Krylo. I just wanted the opportunity to make the record. May I approach?

THE COURT: You may approach and give it to me.

MR. SGRO: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SGRO: Sure.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you like to hear from me?

THE COURT: Do you want to respond?

MR. DiGIACOMO: Just briefly, which is actually Mr. Sgro is wrong. It's the exact same argument that was made about fingerprints. And we've actually had those hearings here in Clark County at least as it relates, I know I've done one, as it relates to fingerprints.

And, ultimately, the question under our statute is whether or not the testimony will assist the trier of fact, he has a specialized knowledge skill or other thing that will assist the trier of fact in making a determination as to the — as a fact in issue.

What the argument from everybody is, and it's been going around for ten years now, is that ultimately at the end of the day there is an opinion by the expert as to whether or not there is a conclusive identification. And based upon some of these studies, the experts actually changed their — their

opinion.

They used to say this was fired from this gun and no other gun on earth, and now they say, you know, my opinion is it was fired from this gun, I wouldn't expect to find another gun that would leave the same rifling characteristics as — as this one. And that was in conformance to this study not only on fingerprints, but as well as on all the other ballistic ones.

I'm certain that had this been a timely raised motion, the Court would have held very lengthy evidentiary hearings. There's experts from all over the country that fly out and they testify to them. It's being raised the morning we're calling the witness in trial after they've already opened with some of the conclusions that Mr. Krylo is going to testify.

And for the record, I would suggest that, you know, if there is some concern after we lay the foundation with Mr. Krylo that he's not qualified to give the opinions that he's not qualified to, the Court won't allow him to issue his opinions. But to suggest that the underlying science is not valid, they're wrong in the fact that there is empirical data that can be checked, which is they fire guns and then they give blind tests to the experts and they have to qualify and go through the certification process. And I'm sure Mr. Krylo is going to testify to all of that.

And so ultimately, unless the Court wants to take five, six days and call in experts from all over the country to hear the testimony, most courts that I am aware of, certainly every court in Nevada, has determined this is admissible evidence in trials here in Nevada.

THE COURT: Well, I will wait to hear the expert's qualifications, background, and what he did and why he did what he did before I make the decision.

All right. The only other thing — well, we've got two other things on the — that we have to discuss. I have a motion that was on calendar this morning at 8:30. It was the — Mr. Burns's motion to prohibit the presentation of a summary regarding the course of the investigation.

MR. ORAM: Yes, Your Honor. I raised this several times on appeal, but I've never done it pretrial. I was a little concerned when I saw the grand jury in this case and the prosecution asked the detective, you know, sort of summarize what happened. I think they can ask — on the witness stand should be able to ask questions, obviously, but to sort of stand back and say why don't you just sort of summarize everything I think is problematic.

And here is why, and then I'll just submit it to the Court's discretion. If we were to call our investigator and say tell us, you know, what happened in this case, what have you done, and they just sort of summarize the case up with the

conclusion that Mr. Burns and Mr. Mason must be innocent based upon his or her determinations and investigations, I don't think that would be permitted. And it --

THE COURT: I don't know what they're doing, but I

-- I have heard occasions where the prosecution with their
investigator on the stand has said, well, what did you do
next? And he explains what next happened, and then after
that, what did you do next? Now, if that's what you're
talking about, I don't find that offensive.

MR. ORAM: No, I think — I don't — I don't think that's the problem. I think it's sort of when they just sort of give a — it's almost like a closing argument. I think if the Court heard it it would recognize it.

THE COURT: Well, if it's — if it's wandering, we won't let them do that. On the other hand, if they try to elicit anything that isn't admissible, you object and I'll sustain the objection.

MR. ORAM: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. LANGFORD: Here's --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LANGFORD: Here's my problem. I joined in this motion, Your Honor. Here's my problem with this. And that is that what did you do next? Well, we called and talked to — objection, hearsay. The Court is about to sustain it. And they'll say, well, it's not offered for the truth of the

matter asserted. So there's no substantive evidence to it at that point. And we run all the way through it, and every time the person says what they did, it's because they're doing that because of hearsay, or, you know, we ordered the finger — we — whatever. And then at the —

THE COURT: That's okay.

MR. LANGFORD: At the very end what they say, and I'd at least ask the Court to preclude them from the following, at the very end they say what did you do next? We arrested them for first degree murder.

THE COURT: If that's what he did next, that's okay.

MR. LANGFORD: I think it is prejudicial. It's not

probative of anything. That's for the jury to decide whether

they committed first degree murder.

THE COURT: Well, it shows the time and date of arrest.

MR. LANGFORD: It's irrelevant --

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection and deny the motion.

Now, there's one additional thing we need to put on the record. Over the weekend we — my office received a call from Juror Kelly Rowan, who was Badge No. 93 sitting in Seat No. 1. You'll recall when we did voir dire she explained that her husband was going to have surgery for bladder cancer. And I understand that that surgery did occur, things have not gone

well, and the bottom line is she was hysterical on the phone when she talked to staff. And I told staff that she was to be excused and so I am substituting Alternate No. 1 who is Mr. Nunez in place of Juror Kelly Rowan.

Anything further on the record before we bring the jurors in?

MR. LANGFORD: Nothing from Mr. Mason, Your Honor.

MR. SGRO: No, sir.

MR. DiGIACOMO: No, Your Honor.

(In the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. State of Nevada versus Burns and Mason. The record will reflect the presence of the defendants, their counsel, the District Attorneys, and all members of the jury except Juror Ms. Rowan.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

JURY PANEL: Good morning.

THE COURT: First of all, let me apologize for being a little late today. We had some other matters we had to take care of out of your presence. One of the matters had to do with Juror Ms. Rowan. You'll — I don't know those of you who were here when she was voir dired, she explained that her husband was going in for a surgery. Apparently, that has happened but has not gone real well. And the short story is I have excused her. And so alternate No. 1, Mr. Nunez, has been assigned to replace her. That's why we have the alternates.

1	So, Mr. Nunez, you're now one of the regular jurors
2	and before you continue we have to ask you to stand, raise
3	your right hand, and the clerk will administer the oath for
4	the regular jurors.
5	(Juror Nunez sworn.)
6	THE COURT: Please be seated.
7	All right. We're still in the State's case in chief
8	and the State can call their next witness.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: It's the custodian of records for
10	Metro PCS.
11	KENNETH LECESNE, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
12	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
14	THE CLERK: Please state your name and spell your
15	first and last name for the record.
16	THE WITNESS: Kenneth LeCesne; K-E-N-N-E-T-H L-E
17	Capital C-E-S-N-E.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: May I inquire, Judge?
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
22	Q Sir, how are you employed?
23	A I'm a custodian of records for Metro PCS
24	cellular telephone company in Richardson, Texas.
25	Q In addition to being the custodian of records,

do you have an understanding essentially how Metro PCS cell 1 phones work and how the records are generated? Yes, sir. Α And can you explain to the ladies and 4 Q gentlemen of the jury -- well, first, how does a cell phone work with Metro PCS? 6 Well, a cell phone works with -- basically any 7 Α cell phone works when an individual makes a call, a signal 8 goes out from the phone. It usually goes to the nearest tower with the strongest signal. That information is actually 10 picked up and it's in the call logs, the actual call detail 11 12 records. When an individual answers a call, you hit the send 13 button, the signal will go out from that phone and it'll go to 14 the nearest tower with the strongest signal. 15 And are the information about a particular 16 phone connecting to a particular tower, are those records 17 maintained by Metro PCS in the ordinary course of their 18 business? 19 Yes, ma'am. Yes, sir. I'm sorry. Α 20 Let me ask you this, at Metro PCS is there a general distance that the phone needs to be in relationship to 21 22 a tower to connect to a particular tower? 23 With regards to Metro PCS towers and the Metro Α 24 PCS phones, the range is not a finite range. 25

estimated range.

The phone can be up to a half mile on in to

the tower up to a mile and a half on in to the tower. 1 So the phone is generally -- can be right at the tower, I guess. You could physically be standing at the 3 tower, but it could be anywhere from a half mile to a mile and 4 a half away from the tower when it connects? 5 Α That's correct. 6 Were you asked to come and testify and 7 Q authenticate certain records from Metro PCS in this case? 8 Yes, sir. Α And this morning did you have a chance to 10 review those records to confirm they are, in fact, Metro PCS 11 12 records? 13 Yes, sir. Α 14 MR. DiGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge? 15 THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 16 17 Sir, I'm going to start with State's Proposed Exhibit No. 295. Can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen 18 19 of the jury basically what is 295. 20 Exhibit 295 is actually subscriber records that were created at the time the individual customer when 21 22 into a Metro PCS store or went online and actually purchased a 23 cell phone and/or the service. 24 And are those records kept in the ordinary course of business of Metro PCS? 25

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q And does that appear to be a true, fair, and
3	accurate copy of the records?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: Move to admit 295.
6	MR. SGRO: No objection, Your Honor.
7	MR. LANGFORD: No objection, Judge.
8	THE COURT: It'll be received.
9	(State's Exhibit 295 admitted.)
10	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
11	Q I want to start with is there two different
12	subscriber information on this record?
13	A Yes, sir, there is.
14	Q Okay. And let's start with the top
15	subscriber. What's the phone number for this subscriber?
16	A The phone number is 702-542-4661.
17	Q And the subscriber?
18	A The subscriber name given is a Stephanie
19	Cousins.
20	Q That phone, can you tell from those records
21	whether or not that phone is, the term I would use, prepaid
22	versus somebody who is paying their monthly bill to Metro PCS?
23	A Metro PCS is an advance pay company. When a
24	customer comes in, they pay \$40 for 30 days of service. On
25	the 29th day of that service they will get a text message to

1	their phor
2	don't pay
3	another 30
4	bill. If
5	you've got
6	and start
7	
8	there any
9	happens wi
10	utilize Me
11	
12	verificat
13	purchases
14	
15	Mickey Mou
16	check to r
17	Mouse?
18	
19	identifica
20	
21	informatio
	I

22

23

24

25

their phone telling them pay your bill tomorrow. If they don't pay that bill, they can't use their phone. The do give another 30 days before they cancel your account to pay that bill. If you don't do it then, the account is cancelled, you've got to back in and start all over again or go online and start all over again with your account.

Q Based on the fact that it's an advance pay, is there any investigation or a credit check or anything that happens with somebody who comes into a store and wants to utilize Metro PCS cell phone service?

A There's no credit check done, so there's no verification of the identity of the person that comes in and purchases a phone and/or service.

Q So I could walk in, get a phone in the name of Mickey Mouse, and ultimately there wouldn't be — there is no check to make sure my license says my legal name is Mickey Mouse?

A There is no check of your -- your identification or your social security number.

Q What is the second phone subscriber information on this record?

A The second phone number is a 909-233-0860.

Q And who is the -- what is the name associated with that account?

A The name is -- I'll spell the first name,

1	R-I-C-C, and the last name is James.
2	Q So Ricc James. And is that also the same way
3	that the previous phone was, there had been no verification or
4	there would be no verification of the name that was provided
5	for that cell phone?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q Is there an address associated with both of
8	those cell phones? Let's start with the phone in Stephanie
9	Cousins' name. Is there an address?
10	A Yes, they search number ending in 4661, the
11	subscriber address given is a 4640 Vegas Valley Drive,
12	Apartment 1031, Las Vegas, Nevada, and the zip code.
13	Q How about for Ricc James, is there an address
14	that was provided?
15	A For that particular phone number ending 0860,
16	the subscriber address given is 1258 North Riverside Avenue,
17	Rial R-I-A-L Rialto, California, and the zip code.
18	Q Now I want to move on to the individual
19	records themselves, so let me start with actually, I'm
20	going to start with State's Proposed Exhibit No. 298.
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q Do you recognize what that document is?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q And what is it?
25	A These are called detail records or phone logs

1	for the search number $702-542$ — I'm sorry, $702-542-4661$. And
2	the specific dates to be searched are is 8/1/2010 through
3	8/23/2010.
4	Q And then those records, it's a 52-page record
5	of —
6	A Yes, they're called detail records. They're
7	phone logs for that specific phone number.
8	Q And much like the last record, is this a
9	business record kept in the ordinary course of business of
10	Metro PCS?
11	A Yes, sir, it is.
12	Q And does this appear to be a true, fair, and
13	accurate copy of those records?
14	A Yes, sir, it does.
15	Q Now I'm going to show you State's Proposed
16	Exhibit 297.
17	A Yes, sir. State's Exhibit 297 is 23 pages of
18	call detail records for the phone number 909-233-0860. And
19	the search dates are from 8/1/2010 through 8/23/2010.
20	Q And those once again, those appear to be
21	business records kept in the ordinary course of business and a
22	true, fair, and accurate copy of those records?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	MR. DiGIACOMO: Move to admit 297 and 298.
25	MR. SGRO: No objection.

1	MR. LANGFORD: No objection.
2	THE COURT: It'll be received.
3	(State's Exhibit 297 and 298 admitted.)
4	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
5	Q Now, lastly I'm going to show you 296 and ask
6	you do you recognize what's depicted in 296.
7	A Yes, sir. This is eight pages of Metro PCS
8	cell sites, specifically Metro PCS cell sites in the greater
9	Las Vegas area.
10	Q And is that record, once again, a record kept
11	in the ordinary course of business of the Las Vegas Metro
12	sorry, of the Metro PCS company?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q And does it appear to be a true, fair, and
15	accurate copy of that record?
16	A Yes, sir, a partial. It's not all of the cell
17	sites because there are a lot more cell sites.
18	Q Right. There's thousands and thousands
19	A Yeah.
20	Q of cell sites
21	A Yeah.
22	Q — across the country.
23	A It actually it actually says all Metro PCS
24	cell sites, but it's specifically in the Las Vegas area.
	cerr breed, but he breeding in the hab vegus area.

1	MR. SGRO: I'm sorry. Just to make sure I
2	understand, is the witness saying that the cell site record is
3	complete relative to Las Vegas? Was that the testimony?
4	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
5	Q Do you have any way of knowing whether or not
6	it's complete as to Las Vegas or are those towers that are in
7	Las Vegas?
8	A These are towers that are in Las Vegas. I'm
9	not sure that it's all of the towers that are in Las Vegas.
10	It's eight pages of of towers and it's
11	MR. SGRO: I mean, I'll submit it, I guess.
12	THE COURT: For what it's for what it's worth.
13	MR. SGRO: For what it's worth. Okay. Fair enough.
14	THE COURT: It'll be received.
15	(State's Exhibit 296 admitted.)
16	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you.
17	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
18	Q So let me start with
19	MR. DiGIACOMO: Actually, Madam Reporter, can we put
20	this on the overhead for just a second?
21	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
22	Q And I'm going to go to 296. I'm just going to
23	zoom in on one each portion of it. I'm going to start off
24	with what information do you receive — or what information is on 296?
25	on 296?

A The information on 296, actually over here, the first column it's Broadcast SID. I'm not seeing that particular column on this document. The next is NID. I'm not seeing that on this. What usually comes up is the switch. The switch is the computer that created the call detail records for those specific phone numbers. The next column is the cell. That is the cell tower number of the tower that actually received the signal with the actual phone call when each individual phone call was made.

The next column is the sector. The sector is the side of the tower the antenna is on that picked up the signal. And then the next column is the antenna orientation, or it's also commonly referred to as the ASMIT (phonetic). It's in degrees. That sector is 120 degrees. That's going to be the midpoint of that particular sector on that particular tower.

The next column is the physical address of Tower 701. The next column is the city that Tower 701 on the switch 49 is actually located. The next column is the county, the next column is the state, and then the next column is the zip code of that particular address. At the very end you see an abbreviation LAT and LONG. That is the latitude and longitude in decimal form of the exact location of where that tower is located.

Q So there is a mailing address back here, but ultimately the exact location is measured in latitude and

longitude and put on a record so if, for example, I were to go 1 to a Google map I could stick in the latitude and longitude 2 3 for Tower 701 and see on the map exactly where in the world this tower is? 4 It would give you the exact location. Yes, 6 sir. 7 MR. DiGIACOMO: Madam Reporter, can we go back to 8 me? BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 10 I just want to ask you a couple of questions about the call detail records, as well, and I'll start with 11 12 This is the first page of 297; is that correct? 13 Α That's correct. 14 Okay. And so I'm going to zoom in onto each Q 15 kind of side of this so that the jury can kind of see. And I will do the first half of it here. If you could tell us --16 17 Okay. Α -- what information is on 297. 18 19 Again, at the very top you're going to have Α 20 the search number. In this case it's the search number ending in 0860, and there were specific dates for that number to be 21

KARR REPORTING, INC.

time is listed in the 24-hour clock commonly referred to as

22

23

24

25

searched, 8/1/2010 through 8/23/2010. The first column on the

left is the date. That is the date a specific call is made.

The second column is the time a specific call is made.

military time.

The next column is the duration in minutes and seconds, the duration of each individual phone call. The next column is DIR. That is an abbreviation for direction. A phone call with either be outgoing from the target number to another phone, or incoming to the target number or search number from the other phone.

The next number is dialed number. The dialed number is the number dialed by the caller. In an instance of an incoming call, if there's a special feature involved, in other words if the phone call was handled by the voicemail platform of the search number, there will be three additional numbers in front of the phone number that's actually dialed. That is dialed by the computer, by the switch, not the caller.

The next column is destination number. The destination number is the number reached by the specific caller. The next column is status. The status of a phone call will either be answered or not answered. An answered phone call can be answered by a person, or in an instance where the voicemail platform picked up the phone call from the search number, it could be answered by the voicemail. If you go over a little bit more —

- Q Now I'm going to jump to the other --
- A Yeah.
- Q -- side of the columns.

1 A Okay.

Q And I'll just grab it from where we started from and pull over to the other side.

A The next column is special features. The special features will show if the call was actually handled by a — by the voicemail platform on an incoming call to the target number. The next column is called an ID. If there is an incoming call to the target number, the number that called the target number or search number will be listed in the caller ID on the incoming phone call.

At the very end you have two larger columns, the beginning cell and ending cell. The switch is the computer that handled a specific phone call that created the call detail records. You will have the tower number of that particular tower that's associated with that particular switch, and you will also have the sector of the side of the tower the antenna was on from that particular tower that handled the beginning of that phone call.

At the very end you see ending cell. It will also show the same switch will handle the call at the beginning and at the end. In other words, the same computer will create the call detail records at the beginning and the end of the call. It then will show which tower where the call ended and the sector of that specific tower where each individual call ended.

Q So in this case the switch was located in
Anaheim, California, and it's Tower 254 with SW1, Anaheim 1
switch?

A Yes, the — the Anaheim 1 SW1 is just an abbreviation for that particular switch.

Q Okay. And then I'm just going to put up for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury 298. And this is the 702 number, and I'm going to just zoom into a portion of this. I don't know how much bigger it's going to get for the screen there. But it's the same similar information that was on 297, as well, they're in the same sort of format?

A Same information. The columns are exactly the same on that as they were in the other, the headings of the columns.

Q It says on August 1st this phone was hitting off a switch in Las Vegas. I'm going to ask you about Tower 811 on August 1st. Could you then take this list of Tower locations and find —

A Whenever you get the records with the cell tower information, you will get a list of all of the towers that Metro PCS owns or controls for Metro PCS customers as close to the time as the search time for the number that you're searching. What I'm doing here is I'm going to the specific cell tower 811 on the Las Vegas switch, and there's a physical address of that particular tower. It is at 2425

South Nellis in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, and the zip code, and there is also a latitude and longitude in decimal form.

Q So if somebody wanted to track either one of these phones' general location in the country, I guess, you could go through each individual call, find the location of that tower, and then plot where that tower is in town in relationship to the call and the phone should be generally within a half mile to a mile and a half of that tower?

A That's correct. Nobody can tell you the exact location of the phone. It just tells you which tower handled each individual phone call from the start of the phone call until the end of the phone call, what happened in the middle, the records don't indicate that.

Q And if you wanted to get really technical, you could even go to the sector and on the 360 degrees, based upon the sector lists that are on those records, you could even find in which direction from a cell tower a particular phone generally is?

A That's correct.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge. I pass the witness.

Do you want this down or up?

MR. SGRO: What's that?

MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you want this down or up?

1	MR. SGRO: You can leave it up.
2	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay.
3	MR. SGRO: Thank you.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. SGRO:
6	Q Good morning, sir.
7	A Good morning, sir. How are you?
8	Q Excellent. Thank you. LeCesne, is that how
9	you
10	A LeCesne.
11	Q LeCesne.
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q Okay. Mr. LeCesne, you came from Texas to
14	talk about some specific Metro PCS records; correct?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q All right. So I want to ask you a couple
17	questions just on how cell phone records work, okay, relative
18	to accuracy. So first of all, would you expect if you have
19	one Metro PCS record and let me give you a hypothetical.
20	You and I have Metro PCS phones. I call you I called you
21	this morning at 8:00 a.m. You would expect to see on my bill
22	me calling you at 8:00 a.m., would that be right?
23	A There's no billing with Metro PCS.
24	Q On my record.
25	A On your particular phone records

1	Q Yes.
2	A — if your number was searched, it would show
3	where your phone was.
4	Q Right.
5	A Okay.
6	Q And then you'd want to have or you would
7	expect to have a corresponding entry on your phone receiving
8	the call at 8:00 a.m.
9	A If the records were actually requested by a
10	search warrant or court order, yes, sir.
11	Q Correct. And what I think I hear you saying
12	is you would expect the records to match. If the records are
13	requested, the records should match; right? My call to you
14	should be on my search and on your search; right?
15	A If both records were searched
16	Q Right.
17	A — depending on where you were. If you're in
18	the same location, they may match. If you're in a different
19	location, it'll show where the phone was on one record, where
20	that phone was and the call that was made to on the other
21	person's record. The records only indicate the number that is
22	searched, not the number that is called.
23	Q Right. I understand. I'm looking for clarity
	whether or not if both of our numbers were searched in my
25	hypothetical, you would expect to see both my my outgoing

1	call to you and your incoming call from me; right?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q Okay. The towers certainly could be different
4	if I'm calling you and we're not together; right? The tower
5	information could be different; right?
6	A They could be.
7	Q Okay. And that tower information could be the
8	same; right?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q Okay. So do you — strike that. Did you
11	examine these records to do any comparisons? And by that I
12	mean did you go through the records to see if an outgoing call
13	from one search record matched the incoming call on the other
14	or vice versa?
15	A No, sir, I did not.
16	Q All right. Do you know what a pen register
17	is?
18	A Of course I do.
19	Q Okay. What's can you can you tell the
20	ladies and gentlemen what a pen register is?
21	A A pen register is when that's the first
22	step when you're doing a Title III or a wiretap on a phone.
23	And what you do is you would get all of the phone calls that
24	are made and you compare those phone calls. And that's basically to get an idea on the locations that the phone is on
25	basically to get an idea on the locations that the phone is on

1	the pen register that you're looking at.
2	Q Okay. Are you aware of any pen registers
3	being done in this case?
4	A No, sir, I'm not.
5	Q All right. Have you been asked to compare
6	phone bills to pen registers in this case?
7	A No, sir. There is no billing with Metro PCS,
8	and that's not my job to do that.
9	Q I apologize.
10	A Yes, that's that's law enforcement's job to
11	do that.
12	Q Were you asked to compare search records that
13	you can we call these search records?
14	A Call detail records.
15	Q Call detail records. Were you asked to
16	compare call detail records to a pen register?
17	A No, sir, I wasn't.
18	Q All right. Now, let's talk about this tower
19	for a minute, okay. Cell phone towers, I heard Mr. DiGiacomo
20	just state some of them have a 360 degree ability; is that
21	right?
22	A All of them have a 360 degree.
23	Q All of them do.
24	A All Metro PCS.
25	Q Okay. Metro PCS has 360 degree capability?

1	A On a conventional tower.
2	Q What's a what's a conventional tower?
3	A 360 degree coverage.
4	Q Okay. Are there towers that are not
5	conventional towers?
6	A There is something called directional antenna
7	system, which is an individual antenna. Those individual
8	antennas pick up a call. And the difference between the
9	individual antennas on DAS system, the directional antenna
10	systems, is that the phone is actually a quarter mile to a
11	half mile from that antenna.
12	Q So the differences would be reflected
13	differently?
14	A If it's a DAS as opposed to a conventional
15	tower.
16	Q Okay. And relative to the tower you gave a
17	range of one to one and a half miles per tower; is that right?
18	A Half mile to one a half miles, and that is the
19	range that was given to me during training by the engineers
20	who actually set up the towers and work on those towers.
21	Q And you're aware, sir, sometimes towers can
22	have a greater range than that?
23	A Yes, but according to the Metro PCS engineers
24	that set up the Metro PCS towers, the range for the Metro PCS towers are between half mile to a mile and a half.
25 	towers are between half mile to a mile and a half.

Right. I understand what you just said about Q 1 I'm talking about going from the training to 2 the training. real life. In real life you know that those towers can reach 3 more than a mile and a half; right? 4 In real life, my training from the Metro PCS engineers who set up the Metro PCS towers, this is what I was 6 trained and told by those individuals during my training 7 process. I don't -- I don't deal in real life. I don't get 8 up and climb on towers. I don't do that. 10 Okay. So --I only do what these records show, what they 11 12 indicate, and the training that I was given by Metro PCS. 13 Okay. Did you just say you don't deal in real Q life when it comes to this stuff? 14 I don't follow --15 Α 16 Is that what you just said, sir? Q 17 -- cell phones. Yes, in real life. Α Okay. 18 Q 19 Using your term. Α 20 Okay. Now, let me ask you this question. You Q brought with you a sheet of paper that lists a number of 21 22 towers in Clark County that Metro PCS had; right? 23 That's correct. Α 24 All right. How many of those power -- or

KARR REPORTING, INC.

towers were functioning that day.

25

A I don't have that information. I can only tell you what the records indicated on the specific dates and the specific times of each individual phone call. The records only show what happened. The records do not show something that didn't happen.

Q Okay. Sir, just try and bear with me and stick with my questions, okay. The point of the extended range beyond a mile and a half comes into play if you have a series of towers going down a street and one of them doesn't work, one of them is being repaired, whatever the reason is, the towers on both ends of it might extend their range or pick it up sooner than expected, fair?

A That could — that could happen, but that does not indicate — the records do not indicate that. They only indicate which tower handled which call.

Q Okay. Trust me. Everyone gets what the records say, okay.

A Well, that's all I'm here to talk about are the records, the business records, the --

Q You were asked --

A -- Metro PCS business records.

You were asked a bunch of questions, though, about what the columns mean. You defined some things. I'm not — you and I aren't fighting right now. You understand that?

Oh, no, sir. 1 Α THE COURT: Just ask questions, though. 3 BY MR. SGRO: Can you tell the jury if when a cell tower is 4 Q being repaired or down for maintenance if that expands the range of other surrounding towers. Do you know that? 6 7 I don't know that. All right. Can you -- can you -- do you have Exhibit 296 in front of you still? 10 Yes, sir. Α MR. SGRO: May I approach the witness, please, Your 11 12 Honor. 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 BY MR. SGRO: 15 Exhibit 296 is the one that you said names the 16 towers that were in Las Vegas. 17 Some of the towers in Las Vegas. 18 Q Okay. So how is it that on Exhibit 296 a 19 document can be generated that lists some, but not all of the How does that happen? 20 towers? I'm not sure if -- if the prosecution got the 21 22 full list of towers, or law enforcement did. Usually they get 23 the full list. It can be sometimes 500 pages, 1,000 pages. 24 That's why I don't print them. You'd have to ask the law 25 enforcement person why there's only a portion. Whoever

received the document from the search warrant that was issued,
why there's only eight pages over here. Probably because it's
only the pertinent towers that they were looking for in this
records. I don't know. You've got to ask them that.
Q So someone before you filtered the information
that's in 296; correct?
A This is eight pages of the cell towers in Las
Vegas. I know there's more than eight pages of cell towers in
Las Vegas. That's all I can tell you. What they did with it,
they probably got the pertinent towers from there. I don't
know. You'll have to ask them that.
Q All right. Now, the exhibits 296, when was
that document created?
A 10/23 of 2009.
Q 10/23 or 2009?
A That's correct.
Q So in our case, we're dealing with some events
that happened in August of 2010.
A That's correct.
Q So just to give you some context, so the cell
tower information that you brought with you predates the
events we're talking about here by about a year?
A 10/23 of 2009.
O So 10 months.

25

Α

10/23/2009. You have to do the math.

1	Q Okay. How many towers had been added from
2	October of 2009 until August of 2010?
3	A I can't answer that. I don't know.
4	Q And you were asked some questions about
5	tracking a phone. Do you remember that, those questions just
6	a minute ago?
7	A Not refresh my memory, please, about
8	tracking a phone.
9	Q Okay. You were asked if you wanted to track a
10	phone. Do you remember that?
11	A If if the investigators wanted to find out
12	where a specific phone call was during a specific phone
13	during a specific call in a specific date and time, there is a
14	way by looking at the tower information that's on the call
15	detail records and comparing that tower information to the
16	tower listings to figure out where the phone was. Nobody can
17	tell you exactly where the phone was.
18	Q Okay. And that and that, I guess, is my
19	point. All the towers in these records can do is is give
20	us an educated guess. We can approximate; right?
21	A It tells you which tower handled the call.
22	Q Okay.
23	A I can tell you this. The call detail records
24	will show that this phone on the specific — some of these specific phone calls was in Las Vegas. It wasn't in New York.
25	specific phone calls was in Las Vegas. It wasn't in New York.

1	It wasn't in Dallas. It was in the Las Vegas area. And on
2	the other phone, some of those phone calls were in the Los
3	Angeles area. It wasn't in New York, it wasn't in Dallas.
4	The records only indicate what happened, not something that
5	didn't happen.
6	Q Okay.
7	MR. SGRO: Nothing else.
8	MR. LANGFORD: Nothing, Your Honor.
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
11	Q I just to clarify something.
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q Mr. Sgro kind of gave you a hypothetical if
14	his PCS phone calls your Metro PCS phone, you would expect to
15	see record on both his call detail records and your call
16	detail records; correct?
17	A That that's correct.
18	Q Okay.
19	A If I had a Metro PCS phone and he had a Metro
20	PCS phone and both records were actually requested by search
21	warrant or a court order, yes.
22	Q There are some things that Mr. Sgro was
23	assuming from that, like if I call your phone that your phone
24	is on; correct?
25	A Well, you've got to ask Mr. Sgro what he was

assuming. I don't know what he was assuming. 1 But if your phone is off, it can't connect to a tower, would that be fair? 3 It shows which phone is being searched. 4 Α In other words, the records for the phone ending in 0860 shows that happened on a specific phone call for that phone. 6 The records 4661 only show what happened on a specific date and 7 time with the phone records for that phone. By looking at the 8 other records, you can't tell what happened with the other 10 phone. You have to have both. You have to have both in order to know --11 \bigcirc 12 Yeah. Α 13 -- what happened with both phones? Q 14 With both phones. Right. Α 15 Q There was some questions asked to you Okay. about, I think you called it DAS towers. 16 17 DAS system, yes, sir. Α 18 The DAS system. The DAS system -- first of Q 19 all, are any of these records related to DAS system towers? 20 On your document on 296 I only see one DAS Α system, and that was for Tower 830, and I don't know if that's 21 22 one of your pertinent towers or not that you were asking 23 about. 24 Okay. Well, that's my next question. Q 25 Right. Α

1	Q If 830 is the DAS system tower that's in those
2	records, if you don't see 830 on those phone records, and I'm
3	not going to make you go through all 75 pages there, there
4	isn't a DAS system tower associated with this particular
5	event?
6	A These particular —
7	Q Or those particular records.
8	A These particular phone records, that's
9	correct.
10	Q There were some questions asked about there
11	were some timing questions asked. Let me ask you this. The
12	date and time of the call on these records, are they tied to
13	any well, I mean, is that Pacific Standard time? How do we
14	know what time that is, where in the world it is?
15	A It's Pacific Standard time because Las Vegas
16	is in Pacific Standard time and Los Angeles is in Pacific
17	Standard time.
18	Q Okay. So the location of the switch defines
19	what the time on those records are for?
20	A The location of the tower and the switch
21	defines the time zone.
22	Q Okay. Lastly, Mr. Sgro asked you some
23	questions about the list being from October of 2009. Does
24	questions about the list being from October of 2009. Does Metro PCS periodically update their list as required by
25	federal law to provide lists of towers that are in the

country? 1 That's correct. And -- and when this document Α 3 is sent out, this huge document is sent out in electronic form, they're going to give you the -- the -- the latest 4 5 update as close to the time of the call detail records, the search times, as possible. 6 Okay. So that is called -- or a list of 7 Q towers that predates August of 2010; correct? 8 That's correct. Α There may be other lists that are generated, 10 Q you know, October of 2010, but that's after the event of those 11 12 records. 13 That's correct. 14 I have nothing further. Thank you, sir. THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Sgro? 15 16 MR. SGRO: No.17 THE COURT: Mr. Langford? MR. LANGFORD: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. LeCesne, for 19 being here. 20 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 22 THE COURT: You'll be excused, sir. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. I'll be back next 24 week. 25 MR. DiGIACOMO: Sergeant Maines. I guess retired

1	sergeant.
2	MR. SGRO: Sergeant who?
3	MR. DiGIACOMO: Maines.
4	MICHAEL MAINES, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
5	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your
6	name and spell your first and last name for the record.
7	THE WITNESS: Michael Maines, Michael,
8	M-I-C-H-A-E-L, Maines, M-A-I-N-E-S.
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
11	Q Sir, I want to direct your attention back to
12	2010. How were you employed?
13	A As a detective sergeant with the Las Vegas
14	Metropolitan Police Department.
15	Q What was your assignment back then?
16	A As a major crime sergeant.
17	Q How long were you with the Las Vegas
18	Metropolitan Police Department?
19	A 23.8 years.
20	Q And are you now retired?
21	A Yes.
22	Q In the early morning hours of August 7th of
23	2010, did you respond to a location generally near Nellis and
24	Vegas Valley here in Clark County, Las Vegas, Nevada?
25	A Yes, I did.

1	Q And when you got there, did you come into
2	contact with a woman named Stephanie Cousins, as well as her
3	daughter Christine Pierce?
4	A Yes. Prior to that I responded to a scene of
5	a shooting and then I was alerted to the presence of Ms.
6	Cousins at an address over on, I believe it was Aloha.
7	Q Let me back up. Did you initially respond to
8	the homicide that occurred at 5662 Meikle Lane?
9	A Correct.
LO	Q During the time period you were at the
L1	homicide, do you receive information that there may be some
L2	relevant witnesses or information down in the area of Nellis
L3	and Vegas Valley?
L4	A That's correct.
L5	Q And was that based upon a 911 call made by
L6	Christine Pierce?
L7	A To the best of my knowledge, yes.
L8	Q When you got to the area of Vegas Valley and
L9	Nellis, did you come into contact with a woman identified to
20	you as Stephanie Cousins?
21	A Yes, I did.
22	Q And without telling us what she said, did you
23	ultimately look into her phone to identify the phone number
24	associated with a person known as Willie and/or G-Dogg?
25	A That's correct.

1		Q	And did you gather the information as to what
2	that cell	phone	number was and then report it to the homicide
3	detective?	?	
4		A	Yes, I did.
5		Q	Have you had an opportunity to review the
6	report of	Detec-	tives Bunting and Wildemann to familiarize
7	yourself v	vith t	ne phone number for Willie and/or G-Dogg?
8		А	Yes, I did.
9		Q	Okay. Do you remember it off the top of your
10	head right	now?	
11		А	It was a 909 area code. That's about all I
12	can —		
13		Q	Would it help you to refresh your recollection
14	to look at	t page	15 of that officer's report?
15		A	Yes.
16		Q	Do you have that up there with you?
17		A	Yes, I do.
18		Q	Okay.
19		MR. D.	iGIACOMO: Second paragraph, counsel.
20		THE W	ITNESS: 909-233-0860.
21	BY MR. Di(GIACOM) :
22		Q	And thank you, sir.
23		MR. D.	iGIACOMO: I pass the witness.
24		MR. S	GRO: Court's indulgence, Your Honor. No
25	questions.	•	

1	MR. LANGFORD: No questions, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Thank you for being a witness,
3	Detective. You'll be excused.
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5	MS. WECKERLY: Erin Taylor.
6	ERIN TAYLOR, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
7	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your
8	name, and spell your first and last name for the record.
9	THE WITNESS: It's Erin Taylor; E-R-I-N T-A-Y-L-O-R.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. WECKERLY:
12	Q How are you employed?
13	A I am a senior crime scene analyst with Las
14	Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
15	Q And how long have you worked as a crime scene
16	analyst?
17	A I have been employed in that capacity a little
18	over eight years.
19	Q And you said you're a senior crime scene
20	analyst?
21	A Yes, I am.
22	Q And how do you get that qualification?
23	A So when you hire on at Metro as a crime scene
24	analyst, you you enter as a crime scene analyst I. And then when you've been there for two years, you become a II.
25	then when you've been there for two years, you become a II.

And then at the four year mark you can test to become a senior. So that involves a written portion, a practical examination, and then an oral board. And if you pass that, you promote to become a senior.

Q Okay. And you obviously went through that

Q Okay. And you obviously went through that process?

A I did.

Q You — when you were first brought on an as a crime scene analyst for Metro, what kind of training do you undergo?

A Well, I hired one, I had a bachelor of science in forensic science from Baylor University with a minor in criminal justice. And then when I hired on with Metro, we go through a crime scene analyst academy. And then after that we're in a field training program where we train with a senior crime scene analyst and ride along with them for 12 weeks and perform job duties with them. And then from there we get various training after that in different aspects of crime scene processing.

Q Now, we're all TV watchers, so what does a crime scene analyst do?

A Generally, I respond to crime scenes and then I document those scenes through reports, photographs, latent print processing if necessary, evidence collection, and diagrams.

1	Q	And you were obviously working as a crime
2	scene analyst k	back in 2010.
3	A	Yes, I was.
4	Q	And you were involved in the homicide
5	investigation v	with the victim by the name of Derecia Newman?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Now, did you respond to the original scene
8	right after it	happened?
9	A	No, I did not.
10	Q	Okay. So your involvement was after that
11	first call out?	
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	Can you describe for the members of the jury
14	what your first	task was with regard to this investigation?
15	A	Of course. So on August 10th I was requested
16	to the ISD buil	ding, which is like the Investigative Services
17	Division buildi	ng, where I photographed a female by the name
18	of Monica Marti	nez. And then do you want me to keep going?
19	Q	Sure.
20	A	Okay. And then after that I responded to a
21	residence to do	ocument a vehicle at that residence and the
22	residence itsel	_f.
23	Q	And was there a search warrant served at that
24	residence?	
25	A	Thee was.

1	Q And so there were detectives there, along with
2	yourself and other crime scene analysts to document that
3	that residence, as well as the vehicle that you mentioned?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Was the vehicle at the residence?
6	A It was. It was inside the attached garage.
7	MS. WECKERLY: May I approach, Your Honor?
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	BY MS. WECKERLY:
10	Q Ms. Taylor, I'm showing you what's been marked
11	as State's 183 to 190.
12	A Okay.
13	Q Could you look through those. And I should
14	have said this in a different order. And then I'm also going
15	to show you 170 to 182.
16	A Okay.
17	MS. WECKERLY: Your Honor, the State moves to admit
18	170 to 190.
19	MR. SGRO: No objection.
20	MR. LANGFORD: No objection, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: They'll be received.
22	(State's Exhibit 170 through 190 admitted.)
23	MS. WECKERLY: Thank you.
24	BY MS. WECKERLY:
25	Q If it's okay with you, Ms. Taylor, I'm going

1	to start with the residence, okay?
2	A Okay.
3	Q And I'll put these on the
4	MS. WECKERLY: Ready?
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah.
6	BY MS. WECKERLY:
7	Q on the overhead. Let's start with what's
8	been admitted as State's 183. What are obviously, what are
9	we looking at in that photograph?
10	A This is the residence I responded to.
11	Q And that's where the search warrant was
12	served?
13	A Correct.
14	Q Let me put on the overhead — this would be
15	State's 190. And what are we looking at in that?
16	A That's a gas bill that was inside the master
17	bedroom of the residence with the the name of the people
18	that lived there, and then the address which was the 5824
19	Cinnabar Avenue.
20	Q And, I mean, what's the purpose of impounding
21	or taking the gas bill?
22	A It's to document who is recorded as living
23	there. That's one way they do it is that homicide detectives
24	will find bills with the name of a person and the address to
25	show the residency.

1	Q So who is there and who turned on the power,
2	at least.
3	A Yes, correct.
4	Q And now I'm going to show you 185. And what
5	are we looking at there?
6	A That's inside the the open garage door, and
7	that was the vehicle that I photographed and then it was
8	sealed and and towed.
9	Q In terms of the residence itself, were there
10	any weapons that you saw or weapons that were impounded?
11	A No.
12	Q What items were impounded or taken, if you
13	recall?
14	A The gas bill that we just saw, a spiral
15	Spiderman notebook with writing in it, there were two condom
16	wrappers and two condoms, and I believe that was everything.
17	Q Okay. But no no gun was found?
18	A No, no weapons.
19	Q Now, this vehicle, what happened to that?
20	A That vehicle, after I photographed it in
21	place, I affixed orange seals on it and then it was towed back
22	to the CSI office and it was followed by Detective Sanborn to
23	the office.
24	Q And now I'm going to show you State's 170. Is
25	that the vehicle back at the CSI offices?

1	
2	
3	either
4	location
5	
6	again [.]
7	affixe
8	proces
9	homici
10	additi
11	Crysta.
12	
13	
14	
15	you pr
16	
17	powder
18	is aff.
19	where ·

21

22

23

24

25

II

A	Corroat
\boldsymbol{H}	Correct.

Q And what's done — what's done to the vehicle either by yourself or other analysts with you at this location?

A The next day I — I photographed the vehicle again to show that the seals on the vehicle were still affixed. And then after all the photographs were taken, I processed it for latent fingerprints and did a search with the homicide detectives for items of evidence, and then did an additional search for the presence of blood using Leuco Crystal Violet.

Q Okay. Now, in terms of the fingerprints --

A Yes.

Q -- when you collect the fingerprints, how do you preserve them?

A I preserve them — so basically apply a powder. And once a print is developed, a piece of clear tape is affixed over it. And then we take a photo of it to show where that tape was on an item. And then we lift the tape and then put it on a white — almost like a photo paper, a piece of photo paper, a plain white card. Then all of the latent prints are labeled and put into an envelope that's then submitted to the latent print section.

Q Now, are you the person that does the comparison of the latent print that is developed from the

1	vehicle to known prints?		
2	A No, I am not.		
3	Q That's done by a fingerprint expert?		
4	A Yes. Correct.		
5	Q Okay. Now, you also mentioned that you		
6	processed the vehicle with Leuco Crystal Violet?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q Describe for the members of the jury what that		
9	is and how that's done.		
LO	A So Leuco Crystal Violet, or LCV, it's a		
L1	colorless chemical that we mix together and then put basically		
L2	in a spray bottle. And then you spray it on surfaces and in		
L3	the presence of blood it'll change from a clear color to		
L4	purple.		
L5	Q And what were the results or what did you see		
L6	when that was applied to the vehicle?		
L7	A Negative results, so there was no blood using		
L8	LCV recovered from the vehicle.		
L9	Q Were there swabs taken for DNA purposes in the		
20	vehicle?		
21	A Yes, there were.		
22	Q Okay. And where what types of locations		
23	would you typically be kind of looking for to swab for DNA?		
24	A For for DNA, I took samples from the door		
25	handles, the steering wheel, the gear shift, the rearview		

1	mirror, surfaces that would have been touched and that are
2	more rough in texture that would be more suitable for DNA as
3	opposed to fingerprint processing.
4	Q And that would be the texture like some skin
5	cells may come off onto an objection?
6	A Exactly. Yes.
7	Q And, again, are you the person that later does
8	the DNA analysis or
9	A No, I'm not.
10	Q Okay. And you submit all of those for later
11	testing?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And is that that the Leuco Crystal
14	Violet, the prints, and the DNA. Did you do a footwear in the
15	car?
16	A There was an envelope in the back floorboard
17	on one of the rear floorboards that had a partial footwear on
18	it, and I did photograph that and impound it, and then that
19	was that can be submitted to a footwear examiner. That
20	would be who would look at that.
21	Q For later testing?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Okay. Now, after you did that work, did you
24	ever respond to the original crime scene on Meikle Lane?
25	A I did a little over a month later.

A Homicide detectives requested us back to document bullet holes that were in a hallway wall. Q And I'm going to show you now State's 135 to 147 and ask you to look through those photographs, please. MR. SGRO: The numbers, Counsel? I'm sorry. MS. WECKERLY: 135 to 147. BY MS. WECKERLY: Q Do you recognize those photographs? A I do. I took those photographs. Q Okay. And do they reflect accurately the later processing you did, as well as the collection of a bullet fragment? A Yes.	:O
document bullet holes that were in a hallway wall. Q And I'm going to show you now State's 135 to 147 and ask you to look through those photographs, please. MR. SGRO: The numbers, Counsel? I'm sorry. MS. WECKERLY: 135 to 147. BY MS. WECKERLY: Q Do you recognize those photographs? A I do. I took those photographs. Q Okay. And do they reflect accurately the later processing you did, as well as the collection of a bullet fragment?	:0
Q And I'm going to show you now State's 135 to 147 and ask you to look through those photographs, please. MR. SGRO: The numbers, Counsel? I'm sorry. MS. WECKERLY: 135 to 147. BY MS. WECKERLY: Q Do you recognize those photographs? A I do. I took those photographs. Q Okay. And do they reflect accurately the later processing you did, as well as the collection of a bullet fragment?	:0
147 and ask you to look through those photographs, please. MR. SGRO: The numbers, Counsel? I'm sorry. MS. WECKERLY: 135 to 147. BY MS. WECKERLY: Do you recognize those photographs? A I do. I took those photographs. Q Okay. And do they reflect accurately the later processing you did, as well as the collection of a bullet fragment?	10
MR. SGRO: The numbers, Counsel? I'm sorry. MS. WECKERLY: 135 to 147. BY MS. WECKERLY: Do you recognize those photographs? A I do. I took those photographs. Q Okay. And do they reflect accurately the later processing you did, as well as the collection of a bullet fragment?	
MS. WECKERLY: 135 to 147. BY MS. WECKERLY: Do you recognize those photographs? A I do. I took those photographs. Q Okay. And do they reflect accurately the later processing you did, as well as the collection of a bullet fragment?	
9 BY MS. WECKERLY: 10 Q Do you recognize those photographs? 11 A I do. I took those photographs. 12 Q Okay. And do they reflect accurately the 13 later processing you did, as well as the collection of a 14 bullet fragment?	
10 Q Do you recognize those photographs? 11 A I do. I took those photographs. 12 Q Okay. And do they reflect accurately the 13 later processing you did, as well as the collection of a 14 bullet fragment?	
A I do. I took those photographs. 12 Q Okay. And do they reflect accurately the 13 later processing you did, as well as the collection of a 14 bullet fragment?	
Q Okay. And do they reflect accurately the later processing you did, as well as the collection of a bullet fragment?	
later processing you did, as well as the collection of a bullet fragment?	
14 bullet fragment?	
15 A Yes.	
16 MR. SGRO: Objection. Leading.	
MS. WECKERLY: Well, it's foundational.	
18 THE COURT: Okay. What do they reflect.	
19 BY MS. WECKERLY:	
Q What do they reflect?	
21 A Those photographs show the apartment that I	- -
responded to and the bullet holes inside. And then it also)
23 shows another apartment that I responded to with a big screen	en
24 TV that I recovered a bullet fragment from.	
Q Let's look at, first, State's 136.	

1	THE CLERK: Are they admitted?
2	MS. WECKERLY: Mark can do it, thank you.
3	Or can you?
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Did you offer them?
5	MS. WECKERLY: Oh, well, State moves thank you.
6	Now I know what you said. State moves to admit 135 to 147.
7	MR. SGRO: No problem.
8	MR. LANGFORD: No objection.
9	THE COURT: They'll be received.
10	(State's Exhibit 135 through 147 admitted.)
11	BY MS. WECKERLY:
12	Q Okay. So looking at 136, can you explain to
13	the members of the jury what we're looking at in that
14	photograph?
15	A This is the interior of the apartment, and
16	this is a hallway, north/south hallway, and this is the north
17	wall of that hallway.
18	Q And this is in in September at this point;
19	correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q So it's a couple months after the homicide?
22	A Yes. I believe five or six weeks later.
23	Q Okay. And so things have been cleared out
24	that were there, obviously, earlier?
25	A Yes. When I went there the apartment was

1	unfurnished.
2	Q Okay. Now, looking back at the wall in the
3	back of 136 there appears to be like defects in the wall. Can
4	you explain what that is?
5	A Yes. The these right here are the the
6	bullet holes that I documented that were in the wall.
7	Q And I'm going to ask
8	MS. WECKERLY: Can you put on 138, please.
9	BY MS. WECKERLY:
10	Q And if you touch the bottom corner of your
11	yeah.
12	A Oh, sorry.
13	Q That's okay. So is that a closer view of
14	those bullet holes?
15	A It is. They're turned on their side, but
16	that's yes, that's them.
17	Q So now it's oriented correctly?
18	A Correct.
19	Q And those when we saw the prior cutouts,
20	that was done by other crime scene analysts?
21	A Yes, those were there when I arrived, and I
22	don't know what they were there from.
23	Q Okay. But these bullet holes, which if we go
24	back to 136, I'm going to just have you circle where those
25	were.

1	A They were right down here.
2	Q Okay. And close up is 137 and you were
3	documenting those?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Now, did you make any effort to to like
6	recover a projectile or anything like that in the apartment?
7	A I did. I did a search and I did not recovery
8	any bullet fragments or bullets from the apartment.
9	Q Okay. Associated with what you saw there?
10	A Correct. Yes.
11	Q Later that day did you do something else in
12	terms of the investigation?
13	A Yes, I after we were done here, we went to
14	an apartment on Owens Avenue. I can't remember the exact
15	address off the top of my head. But that is where reportedly
16	the big screen TV that was in the bedroom was moved to. And I
17	recovered I documented a hole I the TV and recovered a
18	bullet fragment from inside.
19	Q Now I'm putting on State's 142. please. And
20	what are we is that the second location that you just spoke
21	of?
22	A That is. This is the Owens address and this
23	is the TV that was reportedly in in the bedroom of that
24	original apartment.
25	Q Okay. And 144, what are we looking at in that

one? 1 Well, can we just turn it, please? MS. WECKERLY: 3 MR. DiGIACOMO: Oh, sure. This here is the side of the 4 THE WITNESS: television, and right here is where the apparent bullet hole 5 is in it. 6 7 MS. WECKERLY: Can we put on 145, please. 8 BY MS. WECKERLY: What are -- is that a closer view? Q 10 It is. Right here is the bullet hole that I Α was documenting. 11 12 Okay. And now lastly, 147. What is that? Q 13 That right there is the bullet fragment that I Α 14 recovered from inside the television. We opened it up and 15 there was a bullet fragment inside. 16 And what did you do with that fragment? 17 That was impounded. After I -- I photographed it, I put it in a vial and impounded it. And that could be 18 19 sent to a firearm's examiner for later testing. 20 And so you're obviously not the person who does that later testing? 21 22 No, I do not. Α 23 Okay. Thank you. I'll pass the witness, Your Honor. 24 MS. WECKERLY: 25 MR. SGRO: May I approach the clerk briefly, Your

1	Honor.	
2	THE COL	JRT: Certainly.
3	MR. SGF	RO: And could I have the Elmo, please.
4	May I r	proceed, Your Honor?
5	THE COL	JRT: Certainly.
6		CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. SGRO:	
8	Q	Good morning. How are you doing?
9	Α (Good morning. I'm well, thank you.
10	Q	I want to ask you I'm going to go in the
11	same order.	
12	Α (Okay.
13	Q S	So first of all let's talk about your
14	qualifications, a	all right?
15	Α (Okay.
16	Q F	How long have you been in Metro?
17	Α (Over eight years.
18	Q P	And have you well, strike that. Were you
19	in 2010, in Augus	st, someone that other law enforcement
20	officials could r	rely upon in terms of the quality and accuracy
21	of your work?	
22	A Y	Yes.
23	Q F	Have you come to be known as someone who does
24	a good job, who i	is accurate?
25	A Y	Yes.

Q Pardon me?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And you continued your training even
beyond August of 2010; right? You're still going to classes.
I see in your resume here you're still going to seminars; is
that right?
A Correct. Yes.
Q Now, one of the things that you do as part of
your job is you create reports; right?
A Yes.
Q And these reports — and let's just take an
example. You were asked about you know, you recovered a
fire or a bullet or a fragment. That gets sent off; right?
A Correct. Yes.
Q Now, whoever that person is that it gets sent
off to is going to rely on what you tell them in your report;
right?
A Yes.
Q Where you got it, what time it was, the
circumstances, all those things; correct?
A Correct.
Q And you're trained in the discipline not only
of the science, but also how to draft a report that people can
rely on.
A Yes, that's correct.

_		
1	Q	And you told the jury that one of the
2	another thing t	hat you did was you lifted some prints and you
3	sent those off,	as well.
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And, again, same questions, same answers.
6	That person rec	eiving those fingerprint cards needs to be able
7	to trust you, r	ely on you, and believe that you're accurate?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	All right.
LO	A	Correct.
L1	Q	Now, when we get to the issue of the car,
L2	first of all, d	o you remember the condition of the car as you
L3	photographed it	?
L4	A	The do you just the doors were closed,
L5	the windows were	e up, the seals were affixed.
L6	Q	Okay. Did you look inside the car?
L7	А	Yes. After the photographs were taken from
L8	outside, then w	e proceed inside the car.
L9	Q	Right. And you took picture of the inside of
20	the car, too?	
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	And would you agree with me that the car was
23	dirty?	
24	А	It wasn't do you mean the outside or the
25	 inside?	rrv.

1	Q	The inside. I'm sorry.
2	A	The inside
3	Q	The inside of the car has stuff all over it;
4	right?	
5	A	Not items. It wasn't cluttered with items,
6	but	
7	Q	What does it have?
8	A	it wasn't clean. There was a towel on the
9	rear floorboard,	an envelope. But it wasn't cluttered with
10	items.	
11	Q	Do you recall any clothing in the back seat?
12	A	In the back seat I believe there are a pair of
13	shoes, a pair of	heels.
14	Q	Okay. Now, let's start with the is the
15	acronym LCV?	
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. Can you say that again, the word?
18	A	Leuco Crystal Violet.
19	Q	All right. That is a chemical agent that is
20	going to react to	o blood; right?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	And it also reacts to cleaning agents, doesn't
23	it?	
24	A	Yes, it can.
25	Q	Was there a reaction from the LCV to a
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	cleaning agent in the backseat?
2	A No, there was no reaction of LCV at all.
3	Q Okay. So just to put it to bed, do you know
4	the name of the individual, the names, of the defendants in
5	this case?
6	A I do now that I have the the subpoena and
7	yes.
8	Q Okay. So let's go from the generic to the
9	specific.
10	A All right.
11	Q David Burns's DNA was not in the left side of
12	the back seat; right?
13	A Well, I don't know if his DNA was or not. I
14	took DNA swabs, but I don't know the results.
15	Q Blood.
16	A Okay, blood. No. No blood.
17	Q All right. You spoke to the jurors about some
18	footwear impressions that you got from the backseat of the
19	car; right?
20	A Correct.
21	Q What side of the backseat?
22	A It was the the left side.
23	Q The driver side?
24	A Yes
25	Q Are you aware that those footwear impressions
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	that you obtained were compared to someone named Job-Loc?	
2	A No.	
3	Q So I guess let me ask a more broader question.	
4	When you tell when you come in and you testify and you say,	
5	hey, we sent these things out, do you ever circle back and	
6	determine what the results are or what the findings were?	
7	A No, not usually, only if I find out about	
8	them. So I submit them as items of evidence. And if a	
9	request is put in for them to be examined, then they are.	
10	Q So let me ask it this way. Relative to the	
11	footwear impression that you obtained from the driver side	
12	backseat of the car, does the name Job-Loc ring a bell to you	
13	at all?	
14	A No.	
15	Q Jerome Thomas?	
16	A No.	
17	Q Okay. I asked you a number of questions about	
18	your qualifications. Would one of your qualifications be to	
19	be able to do something like take apart a big screen TV and	
20	locate a fragment?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q And are you trained how to look for things	
23	like that?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And do you do the best that you can so you	

1	don't miss anything?	
2	A Yes, of course.	
3	Q And you understand how important, especially	
4	in a homicide case, bullets and bullet fragments can be;	
5	correct?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q In this case did you take pains to go through	
8	and dismantle that TV?	
9	A Yes, we so it was one of those big TVs with	
10	the back on it, so we took the back off and the bullet	
11	fragment was recovered. And then we even looked in the base	
12	under the TV portion, and that was searched with with	
13	negative results. There were no other bullets or bullet	
14	fragments found in it.	
15	Q Were you comfortable when you left that you	
16	had adequately searched that television and that you recovered	
17	the one and only fragment that was in there?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q And that's the same kind of comfort you have	
20	in terms of allowing people beyond you to rely on you on what	
21	you found; right? Things that you submit to other people.	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Okay. Now, I have here some photos I want to	
24	flip through.	
25	MR. SGRO: May I approach, Your Honor?	

THE COURT: Certainly. 1 BY MR. SGRO: 3 And I'm showing you -- they're all grouped together. Defense Exhibit S, like Sam. Right? You see that 4 there? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 MR. SGRO: And I believe the State has no objection. MS. WECKERLY: That's correct. 8 THE COURT: All right. They'll be received. (Defendant's Exhibit S admitted.) 10 11 MR. SGRO: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 BY MR. SGRO: 13 Have you had a chance to flip through them? Q 14 Yes. Α 15 And do they appear to reflect the -- the two Q 16 bullet holes that you testified on direct examination? 17 Α Yes. 18 Now, I want to show -- I want to go through a Q 19 couple of them. Let's see here. Just so we have some 20 To the left it's black. Is that the end of the context. wall, is that an open door? Can you orientate the jury to 21 22 what this hole is? 23 Yes, this is not going to be in the hallway 24 This is inside the master bedroom. And so this is 25 small wall. It's like a cutout along the West side of the

1	bedroom. So on	the other side of this wall is the hallway	
2	wall.		
3	Q	So we've had some testimony earlier in this	
4	case about how	crime scene analysts mark travel paths of	
5	bullets. You're	e familiar with that; right?	
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	And it's very common to take a travel path and	
8	and of one	e bullet and call it A, and do the next one B,	
9	C, etcetera; ri	ght?	
LO	A	Yes, that's correct.	
L1	Q	Okay. And that's what you did here; right?	
L2	You have an A and a B?		
L3	A	Yes. These ones are actually $A-2$ and $B-2$	
L4	because these as	re the the other side.	
L5	Q	Right. Right. This is the from the master	
L6	bedroom perspec	tive.	
L7	A	Correct. Yes.	
L8	Q	All right. Can you orientate us as to this	
L9	one?		
20	A	Yes, this right here, now we're back in the	
21	hallway and thi	s is the ones that we saw before. And then so	
22	this doorway to	the right is opening into that master bedroom.	
23	Q	All right. Now, this is A-1 and B-1; right?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	This is the entry of each bullet?	
1	II		

1	1 A Yes.		
2	2 Q And you also have, I don't know	what the term	
3	3 of art is, but tools or instruments that allow y	ou to	
4	4 calculate flight paths of projectiles, would tha	t be fair?	
5	A Yes, that's correct.		
6	Q And would this photo within the	packet of	
7	7 Defense Exhibit S, would this be an example of s	ome of the	
8	things you can use to have ideas of flight paths?		
9	9 A Yes, they are. Those are trajec	tory cards	
10	sorry, trajectory rods with centering cones.		
11	11 Q All right. And then this photo	here is simply	
12	12 a close up, would that be fair?	a close up, would that be fair?	
13	13 A Yes, that's that's right.		
14	Q The same wall looking in the hal	lway?	
15	15 A Yes, this is the hall wall again	. •	
16	Q All right. So you go you go	to this	
17	17 residence. You, amongst other things, memoriali	ze these holes	
18	18 in the wall and you and you create a report a	bout it;	
19	19 right?		
20	20 A That's correct.		
21	Q And in your report you describe	two bullets	
22	creating the holes in that wall; right?		
23	A Yes.		
24	Q Do you have your report?		
25	25 A I do. It's right here.		

1	Q (Okay. Do you need to refresh your
2	recollection or r	no?
3	A 1	Not at this point.
4	Q (Okay.
5	A E	Possibly after.
6	Q	If you need to refresh your recollection, let
7	me know.	
8	Α (Okay.
9	Q I	Did you recall in your report also well,
10	strike that. Let	t me ask you first, do you recall the
11	direction of the	trajectory of Bullet A?
12	A I	Do you mean the just the flight path that
13	they were in a do	own mostly downward angle?
14	Q I	Do you remember which direction, north, south,
15	east, west?	
16	Α (Oh, north.
17	Q	Okay.
18	Α (Generally north directions.
19	Q	Generally northwest, does that sound right?
20	Α (Generally northwest.
21	Q V	Would it refresh your recollection?
22	Α	Yes, it would.
23	MR. SGI	RO: Your Honor, may I approach? I have it
24	highlighted here	•
25	BY MR. SGRO:	

1	Q	Do you see here it says the flight path of the
2	bullet that	created the west hole in the north hallway wall
3	labeled A tr	aveled in a generally northwest direction.
4	A	Okay. Yes.
5	Q	Is that right?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	And then as to the second bullet, the flight
8	path of the	bullet that created the east hole labeled B
9	traveled in	generally north.
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	So one of those bullets when northwest
12	generally; r	ight?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And one of them went north.
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Were you ever shown the media console or the
17	niche? I'm	not a big
18	A	Oh.
19	Q	furniture person, but you know the shelving
20	unit that was in front of that wall?	
21	A	No, I never saw any other furniture besides
22	the TV.	
23	Q	So I guess what I'm asking is this. You were
24	sent to a di	fferent location to examine the big screen
25	television.	

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	All right. Did anyone ever send you to a
3	location to loo!	at that piece of furniture that was in front
4	of the two bulle	et paths that you
5	А	No. I wouldn't have known what I didn't
6	even know what t	type of furniture was in that hallway.
7	Q	Now, you were asked if you looked for any
8	other evidence :	related to bullets or fragments. Do you
9	remember that?	
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	And did you do that?
12	А	Yes, I did.
13	Q	What did you do relative to efforts to seek
14	those materials	out?
15	А	A excuse me. A visual search, and I also
16	cut a hole in th	nat hallway wall.
17	Q	When you say a visual search, you just looked
18	around the resid	dence to see if you could see anything?
19	A	Yes, in that bedroom area.
20	Q	And this search that you did, the visual
21	search, would have been about seven weeks after the tenants	
22	had relocated?	
23	A	I don't know when they relocated, but seven
24	weeks since the	original incident, yes.
25	Q	Seven weeks. Okay. Let's stick with that.
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	Seven weeks since the incident, that's when they that's
2	when you found yourself seeing if there was additional
3	evidence?
4	A Correct.
5	Q In the same residence that's the scene of the
6	homicide?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. SGRO: Court's indulgence, Your Honor. Pass the
9	witness.
LO	MR. LANGFORD: Nothing, Your Honor.
L1	THE COURT: Redirect.
L2	MS. WECKERLY: Just briefly.
L3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
L4	BY MS. WECKERLY:
L5	Q When you say you did a visual search in the
L6	A Yes.
L7	Q residence, is it can you describe what
L8	you do?
L9	A Yes, like I — I look in all the areas. So as
20	I saw where the bullet holes went through that master bedroom
21	wall, I looked on the carpeting area just to made sure
22	there was no other bullet holes in another wall. There
	wasn't. There was some items in there, not not very many.
24	I just I just checked all of those.
25	Q Now, when you say in your report bullet holes,

1	what do you mean by that?
2	A The bullet holes I'm sorry. I don't
3	understand.
4	Q Well, do you know I mean, I guess you've
5	seen bullet holes before; correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q In your work. And do you in your report
8	writing, do you distinguish between something that could be
9	caused by an intact bullet or a fragment of the bullet?
LO	A No, I don't, so I just call them bullet so
L1	when I refer to bullet and those bullet holes, it could be the
L2	bullet broke apart first and those are fragments making both
L3	these holes, or they're two separate separate bullets. I
L4	don't distinguish that. I just say the flight path of
L5	basically whatever part of the bullet that went through the
L6	wall created that hole and traveled at those directions.
L7	Q And would there be any way to tell to your
L8	knowledge and your experience and training and work, when you
L9	looked at those two holes that that were marked A and B to
20	ever tell if it was a bullet or a fragment?
21	A I couldn't tell on those.
22	Q And when you said you looked at in the
23	secondary part of that day when you go and look at the TV
24	A Yes.
25	Q —— and there's sort of the defects in the
1	

1	bottom.
2	A Yes.
3	Q What what did you physically take apart of
4	the TV
5	A We took the the back plastic backing, I
6	guess you'd call it. of the TV off. And then we also it
7	was on one of those big wooden bases, and lifted it off of
8	there just to check underneath.
9	Q Okay. And that and you didn't see anything
10	in either of those places?
11	A No.
12	Q Okay. Thank you.
13	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. SGRO:
15	Q Ma'am, did I hear you just tell the jury that
16	you didn't distinguish between bullets and bullet fragments in
17	the report?
18	A I don't know. I don't.
19	Q Then why did you just tell the jury that you
20	didn't?
21	A I I don't distinguish between them.
22	Q You don't?
23	A And that's what you just
24	Q Can you go to
25	A I'm sorry. I'm confused.
	KARR REPORTING INC

1	Q	Can you go well, let's clear it up.
2	А	Okay.
3	Q	Do you have your report?
4	А	I do.
5	MR. S	GRO: May I approach, Your Honor?
6	THE C	OURT: Yes.
7	BY MR. SGRO:	
8	Q	Does it say here in your report relative to
9	the television	the back of the television was removed and a
10	bullet fragment	
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	was located let me finish.
13	А	Okay.
14	Q	Was located inside the television. Does it
15	say that?	
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Do you in your report distinguish between
18	bullets and bul	let fragments?
19	А	On items of evidence, yes.
20	Q	Did you qualify that when the prosecutor asked
21	you the questio	n?
22	А	No, I'm sorry. She was discussing the holes,
23	so I was talkin	g about the holes at that time.
24	Q	Ma'am, are you aware that there is an issue in
25	this case relat	ive to the amount of shots that were fired in

1	the residence?	
2	A No) .
3	Q Ok	ay. Are you answering in conformity with
4	what you believe t	the prosecutor wants to hear?
5	A No) •
6	Q Yo	ou were asked a very general question. Do
7	you in your report	, in your report, distinguish between bullet
8	and bullet fragmer	nt, do you remember that question?
9	A I	do.
10	Q Al	l right. And you told the jury, no, I
11	don't; right?	
12	A I	did.
13	Q Yo	ou did. So your prior answer was a mistake;
14	right?	
15	A In	relation to that, yes.
16	Q Ar	nd when it comes to in relation to the wall,
17	clearly at the tim	ne of the report that you drafted you knew
18	the difference bet	ween what a bullet was and what a fragment
19	was; correct?	
20	A To	look at them as items of evidence, yes.
21	Q Ok	ay. Do you at any point in your report that
22	you drafted at the	e time you're at the scene characterize the
23	two holes that I s	showed you, the A and the B, as anything
24	other than bullet	holes?
25	A No).

Do you ever in your report suggest anything 1 Q along the line of this could have been caused by a fragment? 3 In relating to holes, no. Α Okay. That's all. 4 Q MR. LANGFORD: Nothing. THE COURT: Thank you for being a witness, Ms. 6 You'll be excused. 7 Taylor. 8 THE WITNESS: Thanks. THE COURT: Do any of the jurors want a recess before we continue on this morning? I'm seeing -- oh, we've 10 got one back here. All right. Let's take a ten minute 11 12 recess, ladies and gentlemen. 13 During the recess you're again admonished it's your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on 14 15 any subject connected with this trial. You may not read, 16 watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial 17 from any medium of information, including newspapers, television, and radio, and you may not form or express an 18 19 opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you. We'll be in recess for about ten 20 minutes. 21 22 The Court will be at ease while the jury --23 (Jury recessed at 11:22 a.m.) 24 Ten minutes. THE COURT:

KARR REPORTING, INC.

Thank you, sir.

MR. ORAM:

25

MR. DiGIACOMO: Just -- just for the record, or 1 maybe off the record, the first witness, Erica Newman, we 3 offered 262 and you said if you make the disc of the actual audio itself, which is now marked as 262A, I just want to make 4 sure the record is clear that the Court admitted that based upon the request of the defense that the audio be admitted. 6 THE COURT: That was my understanding. 7 MR. ORAM: Yes, sir. It will be received. THE COURT: (State's Exhibit 262A admitted.) 10 (Court recessed at 11:23 a.m., until 11:36 a.m.) 11 12 (In the presence of the jury) 13 THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record. 14 All right. State versus Burns and Mason. The record will 15 reflect the presence of the defendants, their counsel, and the District Attorneys, and all members of the jury. 16 17 You can call your next witness. 18 MR. DiGIACOMO: Tyler Mitchell. TYLER MITCHELL, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 19 20 THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name and spell your first and last name for the record. 21 22 THE WITNESS: Tyler Mitchell; T-Y-L-E-R 23 M-I-T-C-H-E-L-L. 24 MR. DiGIACOMO: May I inquire, Judge? 25 THE COURT: Yes.

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
3	Q Good morning, ma'am.
4	A Good morning.
5	Q Do you know
6	THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up so that
7	we can hear you. There's a
8	THE WITNESS: Oh.
9	THE COURT: There's a microphone right there and
10	we'll probably need you to kind of speak loudly so it'll pick
11	you up, okay?
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
16	Q That's our very high tech mechanism to get the
17	microphone.
18	A Yeah.
19	Q Ma'am, do you know Monica Martinez?
20	A Yes, I do.
21	Q How do you know her?
22	A She's my mother.
23	Q Okay. And how old are you today?
24	A 23.
25	Q 23. So back in 2010 you were about 19?
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	А	Yeah, 18, 19.
2	Q	18, 19, somewhere in that range. Were you
3	living with you	r mother when you were 18 or 19 in 2010?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	And I want to direct you generally to the time
6	period around w	here your mother got arrested. Do you remember
7	that?	
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. Prior to your mom being arrested, did
10	she have a boyf	riend?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	And what was his name?
13	А	Job.
14	Q	Job?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And did there come a well, let me ask you
17	this. Did Job	live at your house?
18	А	He was around a lot, but he didn't really live
19	there.	
20	Q	How often did you meet Job?
21	А	I probably met him quite a few times.
22	Q	Quite a few times?
23	A	Yeah.
24	Q	Have you seen him since your mother was
25	arrested?	

1	А	No.
2	Q	So prior to your mom getting arrested, did Job
3	have an injury	of some sort?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	And where was that injury?
6	А	He broke his leg. I think it was his left
7	leg.	
8	Q	Did he have some sort of was he able to get
9	around?	
10	А	No, he had crutches.
11	Q	And even up into sort of the time period your
12	mom got arreste	d, was he still on those crutches?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Does there come a point in time when you meet
15	some friends of	Job's?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. And who do you meet?
18	А	D-Shot and G D-Shot and G
19	Q	Something G?
20	А	Yeah.
21	Q	Okay. Let me ask you this. Do you meet G
22	first or D-Shot	first, do you remember?
23	А	I believe D-Shot.
24	Q	Okay. And how do you meet him?
25	А	Through Job. He was at the house.
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	Q At what house?	
2	A The house that me and my mother lived on	
3	Cinnabar.	
4	Q So at the Cinnabar house you met D-Shot?	
5	A Uh-huh.	
6	Q Is that a yes?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q How was he introduced to you?	
9	A He was just sitting on the couch and they just	
10	said he was a friend of Job's.	
11	Q Okay.	
12	MR. LANGFORD: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I can't hear	
13	what she's saying.	
14	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I met him through Job.	
15	They introduced me as his friend.	
16	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:	
17	Q So they introduced you to D-Shot	
18	A Uh-huh.	
19	Q — as a friend of his?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q Okay. You said you also met G. Where did you	
22	meet G?	
23	A At the house, as well.	
24	Q The Cinnabar house?	
25	A Yes.	

1	Q	Okay. And about how long do you think this
2	was prior to the	e time your mom got arrested?
3	А	It was weeks before.
4	Q	Weeks before?
5	А	Yeah.
6	Q	Okay. And how was it that you meet G?
7	А	Through Job, as well. They were both at the
8	house. I just 1	happened to walk in and they were there.
9	Q	Do you ever exchange phone numbers with either
10	well, first (of all, did you have Job's phone number?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. Did you have G-Dogg or G's number?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. What about D-Shot, did you have a phone
15	number for D-Sh	ot?
16	А	No.
17	Q	Okay. Did you even now if D-Shot had a phone?
18	А	No.
19	Q	How many times do you think you met G?
20	А	I met them once.
21	Q	Both of them one time?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Had you ever communicated with them on text
24	messages that y	ou remember as you sit here today?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	Okay. Who did you text with?
2	A	Really D-Shot.
3	Q	D-Shot?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. And do you know whose phone he was
6	using as he was	texting with you?
7	A	Job's.
8	Q	Job's phone?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Does there come a point in time well, let
11	me ask you this	. I know it's been several years now. Do you
12	think you would	recognize G again if you were to see him
13	again?	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Do you see him here in court today?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Can you point him out and describe something
18	he's wearing?	
19	А	He's wearing a collared shirt and ponytail.
20	Q	Okay. So that's the individual you know as G?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Okay.
23	MR. D:	iGIACOMO: And may the record reflect the
24	identification (of Burns.
25	THE CO	OURT: The record will so reflect.

1	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
2	Q And then the person you know as D-Shot, do you
3	see him over at the table?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Or do you see him in the courtroom? Okay.
6	And where is he sitting?
7	A The last one in the row, or that's to the
8	right.
9	Q The gentleman all the way at the end?
10	A Yes.
11	MR. DiGIACOMO: May the record reflect the
12	identification of Mason?
13	THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
14	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
15	Q So let me take you back to 2010. Do you
16	remember the police coming to you and showing you a photo
17	lineup of an individual?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And were you able to identify the person that
20	you knew as D-Shot in that photo lineup?
21	A Yes.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
25	Q Ma'am, I'm going to show you what's been
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	marked as State	's Proposed Exhibit No. 279 and ask you if you
2	recognize the f	ront of that exhibit.
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Do you recognize your name on there?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Do you recognize your writing?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Were these instructions provided to you prior
9	to them showing	you the photo lineup?
10	А	What do you mean?
11	Q	Well, did they show you this form at the time
12	they showed you	the photo lineup?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And did either you read it or they have a
15	chance to read	it to you and is that your signature at the end
16	of the instruct	ions?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	And then I'm assuming they showed you some
19	photographs.	
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And then they asked you to write out a
22	statement as to	what it is you know and how you know it?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	So now I'm going to show you page 2 here. Do
25	you recognize w	hat's been circled there as TM?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. Is that your circle and indication of
3	identification?
4	A Yes.
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: Move to admit 279.
6	MR. ORAM: No objection.
7	MR. LANGFORD: No objection.
8	THE COURT: It will be received.
9	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
10	Q Ma'am, I'm going to put up on the overhead in
11	front of you or that screen in front of you. Or I won't be.
12	I guess we'll go to the overhead.
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: Can we go to the overhead?
14	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
15	Q Okay, Tyler. I'm going to ask you to read
16	what you wrote.
17	A The photograph that I have circled is known as
18	D-Shot. In the beginning of August 2010, D-Shot, G-Dogg, and
19	Job were at my house, alone with my mother's house along
20	with my mother's house for a day and that is how I know D-Shot
21	both and G-Dogg. And Job introduced me to D-Shot, but D-Shot
22	introduced himself as Curly.
23	Q As you sit here today, do you remember D-Shot,
24	that individual, identifying himself to you as Curly?
25	A Yes.

1	(Q	Okay. But the other individuals identified
2	him to you	as D-	-Shot?
3	_	A	Yes.
4		Q	I'm going to turn to page 2. When you were
5	shown the	photo	lineup, were you able to identify the
6	individual	in th	nat photograph as D-Shot?
7		A	Yes.
8	,	Q	Okay. Now, in addition to those individuals,
9	did you me	et sor	meone that you knew as Wes?
10		A	Yes.
11	,	Q	Is it Wes or West?
12		A	West.
13	,	Q	With a t?
14		A	Yes.
15	,	Q	Okay. How did you meet West?
16		A	I've known him through a mutual friend before.
17		Q	Did you know that he was also friends with
18	Job, as we	11?	
19		A	Yes.
20		Q	Okay. And does there come a point in time
21	where afte	r youi	r mom was arrested West has contact with you?
22		A	Yes.
23		Q	And ultimately did the police make a request
	of you to	get yo	our phone and download your text messages from
25	your phone		

1	A Yes.
2	Q In addition to that well, did you give them
3	you and your mother both give them consent to download your
4	phone?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay.
7	MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, I believe there's an
8	agreement between the parties as to State's Proposed Exhibit
9	No. 308 which is the list of contacts from the phone, 310
LO	which is the entirety of all the text messages on Ms.
L1	Mitchell's phone, and then 309 which is just portions of
L2	relevant text messages on this phone, and I would offer them
L3	at this point.
L4	MR. ORAM: May we approach, Judge?
L5	THE COURT: Sure.
L6	(Bench conference.)
L7	MR. ORAM: Judge, my understanding is we have no
L8	objection to the like the phone numbers, but I understand
L9	what my understanding is the State wants to put in all the
20	actual text of the information and we'd have to look that over
21	before we agree to that. I didn't agree to that.
22	MR. SGRO: Your Honor, I thought we were trying to
23	save time with Larry Smith who is my expert because he did the
24	cell phone dump which I agreed to and I, you know
25	THE COURT: Well, if they're texts that she made or

1	received that are otherwise relevant, they're admissible,
2	aren't they?
3	MR. SGRO: They'd be hearsay statements.
4	THE COURT: They could be. You've not seen them
5	before?
6	MR. ORAM: I have seen them. I just don't know
7	which ones they're putting in.
8	THE COURT: Well, look at them.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: Those are the relevant ones. I
10	mean, she's got a whole bunch of them to different people,
11	but
12	MR. ORAM: How about we do this while are you
13	going to show them right now?
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: Oh, absolutely.
15	MR. ORAM: [Inaudible].
16	MR. DiGIACOMO: Some of them do and some of them
17	[inaudible] on Job's phone.
18	MR. ORAM: [Inaudible].
19	THE COURT: I don't know what you're talking about.
20	I can't hear you.
21	MR. ORAM: I said we had no idea of this. We have
22	to look at it before they
23	MR. DiGIACOMO: They were in discovery.
24	MR. ORAM: No, I realize that but you're saying that
25	we stipulate to admissions when

THE COURT: No, you don't have to stipulate. I 1 mean, she can testify as to foundation. 3 MR. DiGIACOMO: I don't think they have a foundation Their problem is that they want to have a 4 problem. 5 substantive objection to the records. We agreed to this because they happened to hire Larry Smith as their expert. 6 THE COURT: I understand. I remember that business. MR. DiGIACOMO: So --MR. SGRO: What Mr. DiGiacomo just said is right. I 10 agreed with him --MR. DiGIACOMO: Right. 11 12 MR. SGRO: -- because we wanted to avoid the whole 13 Larry Smith situation because we endorsed him as our expert 14 witness. 15 THE COURT: Okay. The only issue is whether there's 16 something that's inadmissible in there; right? 17 MR. ORAM: Right. THE COURT: Well, look through it and see if there's 18 19 anything inadmissible. 20 MR. ORAM: Oh, there's a lot of them, Judge. There's a lot of them. 21 22 THE COURT: There's four five -- it looked like five 23 or six pages. 24 MR. DiGIACOMO: There's only eight pages of 25 relevant.

1	MR. ORAM: [Inaudible].
2	THE COURT: Well, look at the eight pages, see if
3	there's anything objectionable.
4	MR. ORAM: Sure. Okay. Okay. Want me to do it
5	right now?
6	THE COURT: Yeah.
7	MR. ORAM: Okay.
8	(End of bench conference.)
9	(Pause in the proceedings.)
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, I think I can continue with
11	some questions on 308 because I believe there is going to be
12	no objection to that one.
13	THE COURT: All right. Any objection to the
14	admission?
15	MR. SGRO: No, those are the contacts and that's
16	fine.
17	THE COURT: All right. They'll be received.
18	(State's Exhibit 308 admitted.)
19	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
20	Q So let's go to 308 which is the phone
21	contacts. And there's a lot of people that you have by phone
22	numbers in here and you have kind of nicknames for them, okay?
23	A Uh-huh.
24	Q So — is that a yes?
25	A Yes.

1	Q	All right. So I just want to go through some
2	of the contacts	that you have. Not all of them.
3	А	Okay.
4	Q	All right. So first I'm going to zoom into
5	702-927-8742.	It kind of looks like a sort of smiley face
6	with a star, Ma	mi my heart.
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Who are you referring to?
9	А	My mom.
10	Q	Monica?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	It says Q-Dogg, but there's a number that says
13	909-233-0860.	
14	А	Yes, G-Dogg. I use my Qs as Gs.
15	Q	Okay. So you so you use your Qs and Gs.
16	So that's, if y	ou were to read it, G-Dogg. And his number is
17	909-233-0860?	
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And then, ma'am, I apologize in advance for
20	asking you this	, but do you remember how you had Job-Loc in
21	your phone?	
22	А	I remember a few words, but, yes, I do.
23	Q	What was it?
24	А	I think it was faggot ass bitch or something.
25	THE C	OURT: I'm sorry. Repeat that?

1	THE WITNESS: Faggot ass bitch. Or Faggot ass.
2	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
3	Q And that's 512-629-0041?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Why is it that you used that term for Job?
6	A I did not like him.
7	Q Did you ever like him?
8	A No.
9	(Pause in the proceedings.)
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: I guess I could ask a couple more
11	questions while Mr. Langford finishes looking at that.
12	THE COURT: And we have some jurors are going to ask
13	questions, too.
14	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
15	Q Did you ever travel to California with your
16	mother?
17	A No.
18	Q Had you met either one of these two
19	individuals as best as you can remember prior to that couple
20	of weeks prior to your mom got arrested?
21	A Can you repeat that?
22	Q Had you met either G-Dogg or D-Shot as far as
23	you could remember prior to the one time you saw him at the
24	house together?
25	A No.

Okay. 1 Q MR. DiGIACOMO: I believe they are done looking at 3 the records, 309. THE COURT: Okay. I have a juror's question that 4 isn't a problem. It's just she wants the phone numbers again. 5 MR. DiGIACOMO: Well, I'll get to that again. 6 THE COURT: You'll get to that. Okay. 7 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. 8 THE CLERK: So is --MR. DiGIACOMO: 309 admitted? 10 THE COURT: Yeah, 309 is received. 11 12 (State's Exhibit 309 admitted.) 13 MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you. 14 BY MR. DiGIACOMO: 15 Now, I'm going to turn to page 3 of -- of 309. 16 And I'm going to just back up to this very first one. You see 17 it says G-Dogg there, it's kind of highlighted in purple 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. And for the juror, the number Q 20 associated in your phone with G-Dogg is 909-233-0860? 21 Yes. Α 22 And then as it relates to Job as in your Q 23 phone, the number that's associated with Job's phone is 24 512-629-0041? 25 Yes. Α

1	Q And I walked off with my other exhibit. For
2	your mom's phone, State's Exhibit No. 308, which is Mami my
3	heart, it's 702-927-8742.
4	A Yes.
5	Q So I really only want to focus right now for
6	your testimony on this one page that references text messages
7	from Job's phone. And you can see it starts off with sent,
8	which would have been sent from your phone in your inbox,
9	phone number, and then date and time that they're sent. And
LO	so for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, what I want you
L1	to start with is I'll tell you the bottom says sent at
L2	8/5/2010 at 9:41:37 a.m. You send a text to Job's phone that
L3	says what?
L4	A She's home. Thanks for, I believe, asking.
L5	Q And then at 8/5/2010 at 9:44 a.m. you receive
L6	a text. And can you read that as to what your understanding
L7	what that says?
L8	A Ay, this D-Shot. What that shit do?
L9	Q And then your response at 9:44:13, so 13
20	second later, what does that say?
21	A Nothing. Bye.
22	Q Okay. And then the response back from Job's
23	phone at 9:45:50, what is it?
24	A Job sleep. He just wanted to check on your
25	mom.

1	Q And your response back being?
2	A Okay. I sent him a text letting him know
3	she's good. So when he wakes, he will see.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge. I pass the
5	witness. THE COURT: Cross-examination.
6	MR. ORAM: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. ORAM:
9	Q Good morning.
10	A Good morning.
11	Q If I understood you right when Mr. DiGiacomo,
12	the gentleman who was just asking you questions, he asked you
13	if you had ever traveled with your mother to California and I
14	understood you to say, no, that you hadn't don't that.
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And that's true, isn't it?
17	A Yes.
18	Q That would be something you would remember if
19	you traveled with your mother, wouldn't it, to California?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Did she ever talk to you about whether you
22	guys traveled to California?
23	A No.
24	Q All right. Now, you also told the ladies and
25	gentlemen of the jury you knew a man named West. North,

1	south, east, we	st.
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	With a ton the end.
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Was this somebody who would come by the house
6	on a reasonably	regular basis?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Somebody who was pretty close with Job?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Very close. I mean, did do you know West
11	also as, I thin	k he signed his name Baby Job-Loc on a letter.
12	Do you know tha	t name?
13	A	I've never heard him refer to himself as that.
14	Q	Fair enough. But you you know that West is
15	Donovon Rowland	?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Can you at that time describe what Donovon
18	Rowland sort of	looked like, his physical appearance?
19	A	I'd say he's about like 5'6, slip, short hair.
20	Q	Okay. So short curly hair?
21	A	No curly hair. Just he had like a fade.
22	Q	Okay. And you said that he was slim?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	A slight build?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q Now, the prosecutor asked you, Mr. DiGiacomo
2	asked you if you could identify D-Shock and D-Shot and
3	G-Dogg in the courtroom. Do you remember that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And you told the ladies and gentlemen of the
6	jury that the man with the ponytail was G-Dogg.
7	A D-Shot. I don't they're they're names
8	are similar so they sound the same to me.
9	Q Okay. And and I can understand that. And
10	so really what I want to do is I you did say that the man
11	with the ponytail, originally you told the jury that was
12	G-Dogg.
13	A Their their names are the same. I get the
14	names confused with the person. But I know who he is.
15	Q Okay. And I'm not trying to confuse you. I
16	just want to go through this, okay. At first when you were
17	asked
18	A Yes.
19	Q I understand
20	A At first, yes.
21	Q you said that you could identify the man
22	with the ponytail as G-Dogg and the other man with the white
23	shirt with the glasses on his shirt, you thought that was
24	D-Shot. That's what you said at first? A Yes.
25	A Yes.

1	Q	Okay. But then the prosecutors showed you
2	A	A picture.
3	Q	some evidence of where you looked at a six
4	pack lineup.	
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And you realize that you have identified
7	it's a mistake.	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And so now you can tell the ladies and
10	gentlemen of t	he jury you're confident that the man you know
11	as D-Shot is t	he man with the ponytail?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And the man you know as G-Dogg is the man with
14	the white shir	t?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Now, my understanding is you said that you met
17	D-Shot one time at at the house; is that right?	
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And he was at the house on what was the
20	name of the st	reet? Do you recall?
21	А	Cinnabar.
22	Q	Cinnabar. Okay. And you said he was sort of
23	lounging on th	e sofa relaxing of something along those lines?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. And at some point you believed he
		KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	thought you were pretty?		
2	A Yes.		
3	Q And so it's your testimony that at some point		
4	you think he's using somebody else's phone just with that text		
5	we saw?		
6	A Yes.		
7	Q Okay. You really don't know who is sending a		
8	text, but you assume, based upon what you know that it was		
9	from D-Shot?		
10	A Yes.		
11	Q Okay.		
12	MR. ORAM: Court's indulgence. Nothing further.		
13	MR. LANGFORD: Nothing, Your Honor.		
14	THE COURT: Anything further?		
15	MR. DiGIACOMO: Nope.		
16	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mitchell, thank you very		
17	much for being a witness. You'll be excused.		
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		
19	THE COURT: Can she be permanently excused?		
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: She can.		
21	THE COURT: Okay.		
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: As far as we're concerned.		
23	MR. SGRO: Yes, sir.		
24	THE COURT: Now, as I understand it, this is the		
25	last witness you had this morning?		

MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah. I let the next witness go to 1 lunch and I told him to be back at 1:00, so --THE COURT: All right. We'll recess until 1:00. 3 Ladies and gentlemen, again, it's your duty not 4 converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or to read, watch, or listen to any 6 report of or commentary on the trial from any medium of 7 information, including newspapers, television, and radio. 8 may not form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you. We'll be 10 in recess until 1:00. 11 12 (Jury recessed at 12:03 p.m.) 13 THE COURT: The record will reflect that the jury has exited the courtroom. 14 15 MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, the only question I have is 16 on 310 which is the original which I really put in for solely 17 foundational purposes. I don't know that there was ever a ruling by the Court as to whether or not they want all of this 18 19 to put some of those text messages in context. 20 You guys want it? THE COURT: 21 We don't. We don't. MR. SGRO: 22 THE COURT: Mr. Langford? 23 MR. LANGFORD: No, Your Honor. 24 MR. DiGIACOMO: Then 310 is not in, but 309 is in?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

Yes.

THE COURT:

25

4				
<u>T</u>	MR. ORAM: Thank you, sir.			
2	MR. SGRO: Thank you.			
3	THE COURT: Recess until 1:00.			
4	(Court recessed at 12:04 p.m. until 1:06 p.m.)			
5	(In the presence of the jury.)			
6	THE COURT: State vs. Burns and Mason, the record			
7	reflect the presence of the defendants, their counsel, the			
8	district attorneys and all members of the jury. We're still			
9	on the State's case in chief and you can call your next			
10	witness.			
11	MR. DiGIACOMO: Jim Krylo.			
12	JAMES KRYLO, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN			
13	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name			
14	and spell your first and last name for the record.			
15	THE WITNESS: James Krylo, J-A-M-E-S K-R-Y-L-O.			
16	MR. DiGIACOMO: May I inquire, Judge?			
17	THE COURT: Yes.			
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
19	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:			
20	Q Sir, how are you employed?			
21	A I'm the lab manager of the firearms tool mark			
22	detail for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department's			
23	Forensic Laboratory.			
24	Q What does that mean you do for a living?			
25	A Well, basically, I manage the firearms and tool			

_	
1	mark detail. I run the detail, assign cases, review reports,
2	anything having to do with the running of that detail.
3	MR. SGRO: I'm sorry I'm sorry to interrupt. Your
4	Honor, do you mean, can I just this thing has lined itself
5	up perfect for Mr. Krylo.
6	THE COURT: That was designed that way.
7	MR. SGRO: Can I take it down? I'll take it down
8	until I need it. How's that?
9	THE WITNESS: I'm not going to take that personal at
10	all.
11	BY MR. DiGIACOMO:
12	Q How long have you been the manager of that unit?
13	A Since last March.
14	Q Prior to becoming the manager of that unit, what
15	did you do for Metro?
16	A I was a firearms and tool mark examiner in that
17	same detail.
18	Q And how long have you been a firearms and tool
19	mark examiner?
20	A With Metro, let's see, almost 16 years.
21	Q Prior to Metro did you also do firearms and tool
22	mark?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And where is it that you did that at?
25	A I started with the sheriff's department in

_	Orange County, California. Took a break for a while and did
	crime scenes and latent print processing, then went back into
}	firearms with the Washington State Patrol in Tacoma,
<u>l</u>	Washington.
-)	Q Grand total, how many years have you been doing

firearms and tool mark examinations?

Over 20. Α

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In order to be a firearms and tool mark Q examiner, do you have to have a certain education, training, experience, and background?

> Yes. Α

And could you explain that to the ladies and 0 gentlemen of the jury?

Well, the firearms and tool mark detail's within Α the forensic laboratory. So pretty much all the -- the scientist jobs in the laboratory retire -- require some sort of science degree. I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in criminalistics, which is kind of half science, half criminal justice type course work. Once you have your degree and then you're in the door, then the majority of the training is on-the-job training. So I received my on-the-job training with the sheriff's department in Orange County, California.

And then beyond that, then we just go to continuing education. And that's seminars presented by law enforcement agencies, forensic associations, and even classes offered by 1 the manufacturers themselves. So that continued education,
2 I've got over 600 hours of that now.

And then if we go on, there's an association, an international association that's actually here in the United States. It's the Association of Firearm and Tool Mark Examiners. I'm a distinguished member and past president of that association. I'm also certified by that association to form these type of examinations.

Q Now, you talked about certifications. I guess I should start off with what exactly does a firearms and tool mark examiner do?

A Basically, we examine firearms and tool mark type evidence. What that means is we examine firearms themselves, we examine ammunition and fired components of ammunition, the main aspect there being trying to identify ammunition as having fired from a certain gun. We do those same type exams with tool marks. If you have a tool mark, that leaves a — like a screwdriver leaves a pry mark on something, we actually do the comparison of the tool to the mark that it left.

Q And is there under — some underlying forensic science basis in order to do some sort of comparison of either a firearm or a tool mark to a mark being left on a particular piece of evidence?

A The basis is that no two tools will leave marks

that are so similar that it would confuse you and think that two marks that were made by two different tools could have been made by the same tools. So in other words, at a microscopic level you can look at these marks and look at tool-to-mark, or bullet-to-gun, cartridge-case-to-gun, and you can tell one gun apart from another, one screwdriver apart from another.

Q You indicated that you've been certified by an association, the International Association of Firearms and Tool Marks, is that what you said it was?

A It's the — the Association of Firearm and Tool Mark Examiners.

Q Okay. You — in order to be certified by them, what do you have to do to gain a certification?

A Well, minimum, you have to have five years experience before they let you take the test. And then it's a written test, followed by a practical test.

Q And what kind of — what do you mean by a practical test? What does that mean you do?

A The practical test is they actually give you fired bullets, fired cartridge cases, and have you examine them, and, you know, then you submit your answers on that exam.

Q So they know the answers to the questions, and then they submit to you as if it's questioning evidence, and

then you have to answer the questions as to whether or not you think a particular bullet was fired from a particular gun, those type of things?

A Correct.

Q Does the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police

Department, their forensic lab also conduct certifications of their examiners?

A Not so much certifications. There's a -- you have to, based on your qualifications, you are basically given a memo that says, Yes, you can perform case work. But beyond that, within the scope of our accreditation, we take what are called proficiency tests. And these are tests similar to the practical test from -- from AFTE, the Association of Firearm and Tool Mark Examiners, where an outside entity submits the test, we take the test, it's a practical test, they actually send bullets or cartridge cases or pieces of metal with tool marks on them. We take that test, submit our answers back to that agency, and find out if we passed or not.

Q Let me ask this question. Have you testified in the area of firearms and tool marks analysis here in the state of Nevada?

A Yes, I have.

Q Have you done so in front of a jury in jury trials?

A Yes.

And can you guesstimate how many times you've 1 Q testified as a -- testified and given opinions in the area of 3 firearms and tool marks analysis in the state of Nevada? Well, if you -- if you combine them all, I've 4 Α got state of California I've testified, state of Washington, and now in Nevada, all total it's almost 300 times. 6 Were you requested to be the firearms examiner Q on a homicide case that was identified to you under Metro 8 Event No. 100807-0732? Yes. 10 Α Okay. And what is it that you were asked to do 11 12 under that event number? 13 Basically, I was asked to examine a firearm, Α 14 some bullets and some bullet fragments, and compare those 15 bullets and bullet fragments back to the firearm. 16 Okay. Let me start with what's been marked as Q 17 State's Proposed Exhibit 320, and then 320A and B. 18 MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, and I believe there's a 19 stipulation between the parties that this will be admitted, 20 there'll be subsequent foundation to -- for purposes of Mr. Krylo's testimony that it'll be admitted. 21 22 MR. SGRO: And in fact, to save some time, Your 23 Honor, I don't have a problem with the admissibility of any of

the things that Mr. DiGiacomo and I -- and I reviewed at the

However, I would like to submit for the Court's

24

25

break.

consideration the -- the expert admission here at this time. 1 The qualifications? THE COURT: MR. SGRO: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Well, he has a Bachelor of Science in 4 criminalistics. He has over 20 years of experience in 5 firearms and -- and tool mark analysis. He's been certified 6 and this is a recognized field that, albeit with some controversy, has been recognized in virtually every state in 8 this country. I -- I think that it will be of assistance to the jury to have the testimony. You can cross-examine on any 10 issues that you think are appropriate. I'll -- I'm willing to 11 12 permit him to testify. 13 MR. SGRO: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. DiGIACOMO: And for the clerk, that means we're 14 15 admitting 311 and 311A, 312 and 312A, and 315 and 315A are 16 admitted now by stipulation. 17 THE COURT: Is that correct, gentlemen? Subject to the Court's ruling just now --18 MR. SGRO: 19 Yes, Your Honor. MR. ORAM: 20 MR. SGRO: -- yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: Okay. They'll be received. 22 (State's Exhibit 311, 311A, 312, 312A, 315, and 315A 23 admitted.) 24 And 320 and 320A and B, I already MR. DiGIACOMO: 25 told you; is that correct?

BY MR. DiGIACOMO:

Q So let me start with 320, have you look at the outside of this box, obviously. It's been cut prior to you being entered into it. But did you receive that item when it was still sealed either by your — the crime scene analyst or by a prior forensic examiner who sealed it with blue tape?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And if you were to open that you would expect to find what?

A The revolver and the holster.

Q Okay. Go ahead and open 320. 320A, for the record, is the holster that was submitted to you along with the — the firearm in — in 320?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And then 320B, we've cut it out of the box at this point, but it's still safe, meaning that it's not operational, correct — is that correct?

A Well, can I examine?

Q Sure.

A Basically correct. It's — what's keeping it from being functional is this orange strap right here. That means the — the cylinder can't close, so it can't be put completely into a ready—to—fire position.

Q So I'm going to refer my questions to 320, but basically can you explain to us what 320B is?

A This is a Ruger revolver. The caliber is .44 magnum. And it's — basically, it's — it's a revolver, will fire both single or double action.

Q Well, why don't you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury first, what makes it a revolver versus semiautomatic pistol?

A The main difference is in a semiautomatic pistol. Each time you fire it, a cartridge case is ejected from the gun and another round of ammunition is chambered, making it ready to fire. With a revolver, you have this revolving cylinder right here. When you want to get it ready to fire, you load the ammunition into the cylinder, close the cylinder up, now it's ready to fire. You can fire — this particular revolver will hold six shots.

After you've fired it, you have to manually unload it. You have to open the cylinder back up and then you can use the ejector rod here and you can dump the ammunition — the fired cartridge cases of the revolver.

Q Okay. You also mentioned the term double action versus single action. Explain what the difference between those two are.

A It's two different ways to fire the gun. For single-action firing, you manually — this piece here is called the hammer. You manually cock the hammer, and then when you pull the trigger, it's a fairly short and light

1	trigger pull.				
2	Double-action firing would be with the hammer down,				
3	like it is here, and all you do is just pull the trigger. And				
4	as you pull the trigger, the hammer comes back and releases				
5	and fires.				
6	Q	And then if you were to pull the if it's			
7	fully loaded with six live rounds, right, that holds six				
8	shots?				
9	А	Correct.			
10	Q	Okay. If you had six live rounds in there, you			
11	could pull the trigger six times and fire all six rounds as				
12	the cylinder rotates?				
13	A	Correct.			
14	Q	Okay. Did you do testing on that weapon to			
15	determine whether or not it was an operational firearm?				
16	A	Yes, I did.			
17	Q	And is it, in fact, an operational.44 caliber			
18	firearm?				
19	A	Yes.			
20	Q	Did you make any determination as to the trigger			
21	pull in either single action or double action?				
22	A	I measured both.			
23	Q	And could you tell us what the trigger pull on			
24	single action	is?			
25	A	Yeah. May I use my work			

1	TI	E COURT: Yes.			
2	А	notes? The single-action trigger pull was			
3	4-1/4 to $4-3/4$ pounds.				
4	BY MR. DiG	ACOMO:			
5	Q	And then is that within the manufacturer's			
6	specification or a reasonable trigger pull?				
7	А	Yes.			
8	Q	And then on double action?			
9	А	Double action trigger pull was 8-3/4 to 9-1/4			
10	pounds.				
11	Q	And is that a reasonable trigger pull for the			
12	manufacturer's specifications?				
13	А	Yes.			
14	Q	Okay. So essentially it's a normal functioning			
15	firearm?				
16	А	Correct.			
17	Q	And it takes about twice the amount of pressure			
18	to to p	all the trigger double action than it does in single			
19	action?				
20	А	Yeah. Not only not only more pressure, but			
21	more trave	· •			
22	Q	More travel. So it takes a longer time for it			
23	or more	pull on the trigger for it to			
24	А	More movement and more and more pressure.			
25	Q	Did you also have a number of pieces of expended			

•					
1	firearms evidence in order for you to do an analysis of?				
2	A Yes.				
3	Q So I'm going to show you what's now been				
4	admitted as State's Proposed Exhibits 263, 264, 311, 312, and				
5	315, and ask you are those five of the packages of expended				
6	firearms evidence that you looked at?				
7	A Yes.				
8	Q Now, was there a sixth package that isn't going				
9	to be here till this afternoon that you also looked at?				
10	A Yes.				
11	Q Okay. So let's go through each one of the				
12	packages and what's contained in each one of the packages. So				
13	I'll let you go in do you want to go in the order of your				
14	report or you want to go the order of the packages?				
15	A Well, the order of my report works easier for				
16	me.				
17	Q Okay. So why don't do you have your report				
18	with you?				
19	A I do.				
20	Q And would it help you refresh your recollection				
21	to be able to refer to your report and go in the order of				
22	packages that you have?				
23	A Yes.				
24	Q Okay. So why don't we start with what was the				
25	first package that you looked at?				

1	A It's State's Exhibit 263.
2	Q And what is contained in State's Exhibit 263?
3	A There are three items in here. A basically
4	all fragments of a bullet.
5	Q Okay. Let's pull those out for just a second
6	here. They're all going to be I believe contained in A, B,
7	and C. And those are all portions of a bullet. So let's
8	start with A.
9	Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
10	what A is?
11	A A is what I called a bullet jacket fragment from
12	a .44 basically, a .44 caliber bullet.
13	Q Okay. Let's talk a little bit about how bullets
14	are made. You said something about a jacket. Can you explain
15	what what it is you're talking about?
16	A Yeah. One of the the common styles of bullet
17	here in the United States is a copper jacketed bullet with a
18	lead core. So you have a a piece of copper that they form
19	into basically a cup. Then into this cup goes a piece of
20	lead. So you have a piece of lead surrounded by this copper
21	jacket. So you have the copper jacket and the lead core.
22	And a common manufacturing process for this is just
23	they are press fit. They're not glued together. They're
24	not for a lot of manufacturers they're not fused together. So you have a copper jacket with a lead core, and then you can
25	So you have a copper jacket with a lead core, and then you can

-- they can take and kind of shape those to -- into different styles. If you have a style where the -- the copper kind of covers the top and the sides and it's got a rounded nose, typically a full metal jacket bullet. If you put the lead exposed at the top and you can put a -- a cavity in there, then you have a jacketed hollow point bullet.

So a lot of different styles. But it's a basic construction of this outer copper jacket with a lead core.

Q And then before I go farther, once you have a bullet that's a copper jacketed with a lead core, is it attached to anything in order to make it fire out of a firearm?

A Well, then that bullet is loaded into a cartridge case. And those components, along with gunpowder and a primer, that makes up one round of ammunition that I call a cartridge.

Q And then as using 320B, the firearm, can you explain to us essentially how it is that a bullet leaves a gun?

A Sure. So if we go back to our Ruger revolver here, remember we have this multi-chambered cylinder, we take a cartridge and we load it into one of these holes. And those holes are called chambers. So obviously I can load six rounds of ammunition into this gun, close the cylinder up, lock it in place. And then when I go to fire this gun, the firing pin,

which is right kind of up in this part of the gun here in front of the hammer, between the hammer and where the cylinder closes, the firing pin hits the primer of the cartridge case. Primer has a really shock sensitive material in it. That material ignites, which in turn ignites the gunpowder inside the cartridge.

That gunpowder burns, starts to generate gas pressure, and the gas pressure then forces the bullet, which is in the — the open end of the cartridge case, the mouth of the cartridge case, which is in this chamber, and that gas pressure then pushes the bullet down the barrel and out the muzzle.

Q Okay. Is there anything left on the bullet that is helpful to you in doing a firearms and tool marks analysis?

A Yes.

Q What is that?

A Well, if you were to look down the barrel of this firearm, you'll see that there are spiraling grooves in that barrel. It's called rifling. Looks kind of like a candy cane. That rifling is there, so as the bullet goes down the barrel, it picks up spin. And that spin then imparts stability on that bullet so it'll just fly straighter. Kind of like when a, you know, quarterback throws a football and wants to throw a spiral, same thing with that bullet. You want that bullet spinning so that it flies straight.

Well, as that bullet went down the — the barrel, that rifling is impressed on the sides of the bullet. And it's that — those rifling impressions that I can use to compare a fired bullet back to a specific firearm.

Q And is there a difference between general class characteristics as — as opposed to unique identifying characteristics that are placed on the expended bullet?

A Yes. The — the class characteristics of the rifling are the manufactured or — or the intentional characteristics of that rifling. So we know that that rifling as these spiraling grooves. So different manufacturers can use different numbers of grooves. They can have four, five, $\sin x$ — oh.

JUROR NO. 7: I'm sorry. I'm trying to get the marshal's attention. I'm sorry, folks. I'm really not feeling very well. I —

THE COURT: You okay?

JUROR NO. 7: I'm not sure. I may need to exit the courtroom [indiscernible].

THE COURT: Let's take a recess for a few minutes, ladies and gentlemen.

During the recess it's again your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial from any medium of

information including newspapers, television, or radio. You 1 may not form or express an opinion on any subject connected 3 with this case until it's finally submitted to you. Be in recess for a few minutes. 4 THE MARSHAL: Juror, please. (Jury recessed at 1:27 p.m.) 6 THE COURT: Record reflect that the jury has exited 7 the courtroom. Off the record. 8 (Court recessed at 1:27 p.m., until 1:37 p.m.) 10 (Outside the presence of the jury.) THE COURT: All right. We're on the record now. 11 12 Record should reflect that in the absence, the marshal advised 13 me that Juror No. 7, Ms. Paradis, was sick and -- sick enough that I invited counsel into chambers and we all agreed, and I 14 15 wanted to put this on the record, that she be excused, and we substitute an alternate. 16 17 MR. ORAM: That's correct. 18 MR. SGRO: Correct. MS. WECKERLY: 19 Yes. 20 MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct, Your Honor. All right. Anything further on the 21 THE COURT: 22 record before --23 Yes, Judge. MR. ORAM: 24 Quickly. The jury's in the hall. THE COURT: 25 Yes, very quickly. The State has -- I MR. ORAM:

thank the State for bringing it to my attention, that 1 Cornelius Mayo --3 THE MARSHAL: I'm not bringing them in. Cornelius Mayo, he is the -- the man in 4 MR. ORAM: the house, the, you know, the significant other. 5 State has indicated that he seems very agitated. And the 6 State has shown some concern for if he testifies, that we want 7 to make sure that the Court is aware that he just controls 8 himself. I'll make sure that Mr. Burns --THE COURT: I'll watch him. 10 MR. ORAM: -- doesn't stare at him or anything along 11 12 those lines, laugh --13 THE COURT: I'll watch him. 14 MR. ORAM: Okay. 15 MS. WECKERLY: I don't think he's, like, agitated. 16 But he's obviously extremely emotional about this -- this 17 incident. Because --18 THE COURT: I'll --19 MS. WECKERLY: Okay. 20 -- if I need to, I'll tell him to calm 21 down. 22 MS. WECKERLY: Okay. Thank you. 23 THE COURT: All right. You can bring in the jurors. 24 Thank you, Judge. MR. ORAM: 25 THE MARSHAL: All rise for an entering jury, please.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID	BU	RNS	Ò,
-------	----	-----	----

Appellant,

٧.

Supreme Court Case No. 77424

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 28th day of February, 2019. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Steven Wolfson, Clark County District Attorney's Office Aaron Ford, Nevada Attorney General Jamie J. Resch, Resch Law, PLLC d/b/a Conviction Solutions

By:

Employee, Resch Law, PLLC d/b/a Conviction Solutions