# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

EDWARD SAMUEL PUNDYK,

Electronically Filed Jul 05 2019 08:18 a.m. No. 77587Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.	

Appeal from a Judgment of Conviction in Case Number CR16-1290 The Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada The Honorable Connie J. Steinheimer, District Judge

# JOINT APPENDIX VOLUME FOUR

JOHN L. ARRASCADA Washoe County Public Defender CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS
Washoe County District Attorney

JOHN REESE PETTY Chief Deputy 350 South Center Street, 5th Floor Reno, Nevada 89501 JENNIFER P. NOBLE Chief Appellate Deputy One South Sierra Street, 7th Floor Reno, Nevada 89501

Attorneys for Appellant

Attorneys for Respondent

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Indictment <u>filed</u> on August 24, 2016
2.	Judgment <u>filed</u> on November 2, 2018 1JA 221
3.	Jury Instructions (1-43) <u>filed</u> on July 25, 2018 1JA 172
4.	Minutes: Decision on the Motion to Exclude Expert Expert Testimony and Motion to Prohibit Ultimate Issue Testimony (Winiarz) <u>filed</u> on May 21, 2018
5.	Motion to Prohibit Ultimate Issue Testimony (Winiarz) filed on October 26, 2017
6.	Notice of Appeal <u>filed</u> on November 30, 2018 1JA 223
7.	Notice of Defense <u>filed</u> on June 9, 2017 1JA 4
8.	Opposition to State's Motion to Prohibit Ultimate Issue Testimony ( <i>Winiarz</i> ) <u>filed</u> on November 14, 2017 1JA 24
9.	Order After Hearing <u>filed</u> on May 23, 2018 1JA 169
10.	Order Granting Stipulation Waiving Sentencing by Jury <u>filed</u> on July 25, 2018
11.	Reply in Support of Motion to Prohibit Ultimate Issue Testimony (Winiarz) <u>filed</u> on November 20, 2017 1JA 31
12.	Stipulation Waiving Sentencing by Jury <u>filed</u> on July 25, 2018
15.	Transcript of Proceedings: Pretrial Motions <i>held</i> on June 14, 2017, <u>filed</u> on March 6, 2018
16.	Transcript of Proceedings: Status Hearing <i>held</i> on June 14, 2017, <u>filed</u> on June 29, 2017 1JA 7

17.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial <i>held</i> on July 16, 2018	2JA 225
18.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial <i>held</i> on July 17, 2018	3JA 294
19.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial <i>held</i> on July 18, 2018	4JA 548
20.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial <i>held</i> on July 19, 2018	5JA 648
21.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial <i>held</i> on July 20, 2018	6JA 704
22.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial <i>held</i> on July 23, 2018	7JA 845
23.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial <i>held</i> on July 24, 2018	8JA 1062
24.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial <i>held</i> on July 25, 2018	9JA 1161
25.	Verdict <u>filed</u> on July 25, 2018	1JA 216

1	4185
2	JUDITH ANN SCHONLAU
3	CCR #18
4	75 COURT STREET
5	RENO, NEVADA
6	
7	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CONNIE J. STEINHEIMER, DISTRICT JUDGE
10	-000-
11	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. ) CASE NO. CR16-1290 ) DEPARTMENT NO. 4
14	EDWARD SAMUEL PUNDYK,
15	Defendant. )
16	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	TRIAL
19	WEDNESDAY, JULY 18, 2018, 9:00 A.M.
20	Reno, Nevada
21	
22	Reported By: JUDITH ANN SCHONLAU, CCR #18 NEVADA-CALIFORNIA CERTIFIED; REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
23	Computer-aided Transcription
24	

1				A P l	PEARANCES
2	FOR	THE	PLAINTIFF:		OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
3					BY: AMOS STEGE, ESQ.
4					DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
5					1 S. SIERRA STREET
6					RENO, NEVADA
7					
8	FOR	THE	DEFENDANT:		OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER
9					BY: LINDA NORDVIG, ESQ.
					JENNIFER RAINS, ESQ.
10					DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
11					350 S. CENTER STREET
12			251 H 1971	===	RENO, NEVADA
13					THE
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

1						
1		<u>I N</u>	1 D E X			
2						
3	<u>WITNESSES:</u>		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	DAVID MILLSAP		5	27	53	61
5	MONICA SIEWERTSEN		64			
6	RICHARD G. BELL		69	71		
7	PATRICK BLAS		76			
8	,					
9						
10						
11						
1.2	£2 I	. =				
13						
14					(400)	
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						

1				Admitted
2	EXHIBITS:		Marked for Identification	into Evidence
3	20			6
4	21			6
5	23			10
6	24-a			77
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12 -	In the	II YY NE IIV	e silesi a	16 Sept. 10
13				
14	1101			- 4 8 8
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

- 0	
1	RENO, NEVADA; JULY 18, 2018; 9:00 A.M.
2	-000-
3	
4	THE COURT: Counsel, do you stipulate to the
5	presence of the jury?
6	MR. STEGE: Yes, Your Honor.
7	MS. NORDVIG: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Counsel.
9	MR. STEGE: Detective Millsap should take the stand.
10	THE COURT: Sir, you are still under oath. Please
11	retake the stand. Counsel, you may inquire.
12	MR. STEGE: Thank you.
13	III. SIESE IIISIN Jour
14	DAVID MILLSAP
T.#	DAVID HIBBSAL
15	called as a witness, having been previously sworn,
16	took the witness stand and testified as follows:
17	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
19	BY MR. STEGE:
20	Q Detective, let's go back to the issue of the phone
21	analyses of both the defendant and the victim.
22	MR. STEGE: May I approach the witness?
23	THE COURT: You may.
24	///

#### BY MR. STEGE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Sir, I am handing you proposed Exhibit 20 which is pages 2, 2 and 3. Please review that as well as 21 pages 1 through 4. Please review that as well.
  - A Yes, I recognize both exhibits.
- Q Do you recognize them to be true and accurate copies of the original reports you generated?
  - A Portions of the original report.
- Q Yes. They have been redacted for purposes of this trial?
  - A That's correct.
- 12 MR. STEGE: Move to introduce those two exhibits:
- MS. NORDVIG: No objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Exhibit 20 and 21 are admitted.
- 15 (Exhibit 20 and 21 admitted into evidence.)

#### 16 BY MR. STEGE:

- Q Let's first look at Exhibit 20 which is, let's go right to page 3 which is the victim's cellphone. Can you tell us -- this is titled call log -- tell us what a call log is?
- A Call log is basically the chronological order of incoming calls, outgoing calls, missed calls that the product they use produces. Typically, they are either ascending order or descending order depending on the makeup of the phone. I believe this one was, it looks like descending, earliest at

- the top on the call log and latest on the bottom.
- Q At the top of this call list is at 6:48 p.m on the 9th, no duration. Why is that?
- A That was a missed phone call. There is no connection between the two callers.
- Q Is 10-9 about when, that is two days later when it was recovered and turned off by you?
  - A Yes.

- Q Let's go down to the bottom here. Can you tell us about call 28?
- A 28 was an ongoing call from the person that she lists as Eddy under her contacts. It occurred on October 7, 2015 at approximately 3:22:13 p.m.
  - O What is the 01:36?
  - A That is the duration of the phone call.
  - Q I would like to turn now to Exhibit 21 which is the cellphone analysis of the defendant's phone. Let's go to page 4. Is this the same format as the other would be, the call log on top?
  - A Yes, a portion of his call log. Again, the same type of layout as the other phone.
  - Q Let's look at the bottom here of this series of calls. Can you tell us about call 23?
    - A 23 is an incoming call who is listed as mom in the

- address book. Again, that phone call is incoming to his phone at October 7, 2015 at 3:22:21 p.m.
  - O The duration of the call?
  - A One minute 35 seconds.
- Q Going up from there, would you tell us about line 22?
- A So line 22 is a blocked call, likely a refused answer call where you hit ignore from who he lists as Ken the neighbor. That happens on the 7th at 4:00 p.m. and no duration of time.
  - O Because it wasn't answered?
- 12 A There was no answer.
- 13 | O Tell us about 21?

- A 21 is an outgoing call, so that person that has the phone is making the call out again to the neighbor at 4:05 p.m. on the 7th. That duration is 22 seconds.
- Q This would be from the defendant's phone to Ken, the neighbor?
  - A Yes.
- 20 And line 20 please?
  - A Line 20 is an incoming call. There is no name associated with the phone number. It occurs on the 7th at 4:33 p.m. and it is a 27 minute and 14 second call.
    - Q Do you recognize what that 645 prefix to be?

Α I do. That is a standard prefix for the Reno Police 1 Department mobile phones. 2 Let's move to the video, please. You previously 3 testified you admitted the hard drive of the contents of the 4 DVR system? 5 That is correct. A 7 Subsequent to that, a compilation disk was made; is 8 that right? 9 Α Yes. That shows -- What does that show? 10 It shows portions of the DVR surveillance that was 11 saved on the DVR, specifically the day of this incident. 12 Do you recognize --13 0 MR. STEGE: If I may approach the witness please? 14 THE COURT: You may. Did you show Ms. Nordvig what 15 16 you're approaching with? MS. NORDVIG: Thank you. 17 BY MR. STEGE: 18 Do you recognize proposed Exhibit 23? 19 It is a DVD disk titled Pundyk surveillance with my 20 initials and badge number on it so, yes, I do. 21 Why does it have your initials and badge number on 22 0 it? 23

Because I viewed it and signed it.

24

Α

Before you signed it, you viewed it and recognized 1 it to be a fair compilation of what is on that external hard drive? 3 Yes. Α MR. STEGE: Move to introduce 23. 5 THE COURT: Any objection? 6 MS. NORDVIG: No, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Exhibit 23 is admitted. 8 (Exhibit 23 admitted in evidence.) 9 BY MR. STEGE: 10 Let's start with number one. Before we do that, 11 while we are on scene, did you notice the video cameras 12 throughout the residence? 13 Yes, I did. There were multiple cameras, exterior Α 14 and one that I noticed inside the residence. 15 And when you took that picture, you showed the 16 picture earlier where you photographed the screen that showed 17 18 the number of cameras that were active? It showed 13 cameras that were visible at the time. 19 And you did note that the time stamp on the DVR 20 system was slow; is that correct? 21 That is correct. 22 Α How slow was it? 23 0 It was approximately four minutes twenty-five 24

seconds slow to actual time.

1.2

Q Okay. Now let's begin. What area are we looking at 1:43?

A So as we discussed yesterday, this is the south side yard. In the foreground in the corner of the right side is the hot tub with the hot tub cover. The doorway into the residence would be on the wall you can see on your left, looking towards the back of the residence, the back yard of residence.

Q Now these cameras, are they always running? How are they set up?

A No. They run on motion, so without any motion on the cameras, there will be a time lapse depending on the set up of the cameras, how much motion turns them on. But they are not continuously running.

Q So sometimes for example a person won't trip off the motion detector when they walk by or are too far away?

A Depending, yeah, how far away, how much movement there is. It all depends on each company, each camera, each setting.

Q We'll just keep playing here. So this would be just over that door, that back or side door?

A Yeah, same general area, however, the perspective of the camera, it was pointing south towards the garage door, and then the hot tub again is on your right to the side.

12 -

Q We are just waiting for Mr. Pundyk to come back from the back of the house here. Let's go to two. It starts at 7:57 a.m. Now here we are. I will pause it here 40 seconds into this. What are we seeing here as opposed to that previous clip?

A So this has now switched to color. Most cameras have infrared night vision type cameras where it looks gray scale, blacks. This has now turned to the time the camera has recognized there is enough light to produce a color image.

- Q The previous clip, we are going to see the thing in this clip just from a different perspective?
  - A Yes, sorry, from the previous clip.
  - Q Do you see where Mr. Pundyk went in this clip?
  - A He's towards the back of the residence kind of near the cat enclosure.
    - Q Do you think -- What is that in his hand?
    - A I believe it to be a kitten.
  - Q So this compilation has moved to camera 13 but we advanced in time from the previous portion?
  - A No. It is just a different angle from this camera perspective.
  - Q The area where Pundyk is standing right now you can sort of see, was any evidence found there?

- A There was one dead cat, some casings. That is kind of right where the cat enclose is at.
- Q Where in relation to where he was standing was the SKS rifle?
- A Just beyond out of camera view kind of behind, obscured by that tree area. I'm not sure if I can draw on it.
  - Q If you want to?

- A Okay. Sorry. Generally in this area the background.
- Q Are we now back to the portion we saw before with the kitten?
  - A That's correct.
- What part of the house are we seeing?
- A You are looking at the front yard. This camera is generally placed above the front door to the north directed towards the front stoop area and walkway.
  - Q Is the area -- What is in this area here?
- A So that would be you can see the walkway that leads kind of to the south side of the front yard. It is not depicted in the video, but that fence we have been discussing is basically along this line here. The trailer that we have been using as a reference point would be back in here.
  - O It switches to camera one. Where is this?
  - A This is if you are near south pointing toward the

- driveway, the south driveway. Just out of the camera view to the north would be that trailer that we discussed. This is pointing street side of this residence.
  - O Would you use Exhibit 1 to show us that?
- A So the camera is generally placed on the garage here kind of looking out generally in that direction.
- Q Thank you. It switches to camera two. We are still just after 9:00 o'clock. What area is this?
- A Same general area. How this camera is position is a little more west capturing more of the street and more of the driveway.
- 12 Q What is this right here?
  - A That would be the trailer that we talked about.
  - O Do you have any idea what that was in his hand?
- 15 A Like a plant.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

18

19

22

23

- 16 Q About 9:23, do you know what that is in Mr. PUndyk's hand?
  - A Some type of bottle cutter, cutting device.
    - Q What is in that area he's using the bottle cutters?
- 20 A That would be the metered power source for the residence.
  - Q So let's move to clip four. Is there a gap? That was about 9:28 something. The next clip we have is 12:37?
    - A On the raw data, the entirety of the video, I know

this is a compilation so there is no video stored during that time frame.

- Q Does that jive or match up with the information that was learned during the investigation?
- A Yes. We learned the power was turned off for both Mr. Pundyk's residence, and the attached duplex to the north lost power for sometime.
- Q This, obviously the power would have to be back on sometime before 12:37?
  - A That's right.

- Q Let's begin. Let's go to clip five. We have listed here two clip five's. Let's play the first one. That area where Mr. Pundyk just walked to, what is back there?
  - A That is behind the garage area. There is miscellaneous junk. There is a small shed further leading to the, it would be the south side of his property.
  - Q That bin or kitty litter container did you see where that was on the scene or do you know what became of it?
    - A I do not.
  - Q What we are looking at here is the alternate view of that previous section?
- A Correct.
  - Q Let's skip the nudity here if we can. Here we have 3:05 the defendant entering the home?

Α That's correct. 1 2 What room is this? This is that spare bedroom that has the safe inside 3 of it along with all the cat structures. 4 Which firearm is that? That is the Colt AR-15 style Sportster model. It 6 shoots the 223. 7 We are at 3:19. Where is the defendant? Α In the south inside yard. 9 What did we just see the defendant do there? 10 A few minutes ago? 11 Α Yeah? 1.2 13 Α Fire the weapon. Is that consistent with finding a 223 shell and some 14 casings in that area? 15 Yeah. We found an expended shell. 16 Is there any evidence there that weapon was actually 17 fired in that area? 18 Nothing that I located. 19 Here we have the camera 11 portion of what we just 20 21 saw; is that right? Yes. Different perspective, same time frame. 22

Same time frame different perspective showing a

Camera 12?

Q

23

- 1 | different angle in the hot tub area.
- Q Let's roll that back. We saw him on the clip before this going back into the house about 3:21?
  - A Correct.
    - O We are now at 3:41?
  - A Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

18

- Q So that phone call that we pointed out in Exhibit 21 at 3:22 p.m. has that happened? That has happened already.
  - A Correct.
    - O Even with the four minutes?
- 11 A Twenty-five seconds.
- 12 Twenty-five seconds. Do you recognize that weapon on the table?
  - A Yes. That is the Sega 7.62 X 39 SKS.
- 15 Q That item there we just saw the defendant handle, do
  16 you know what that is?
- 17 A Sorry.
  - O I will pause it when we get there.
  - A I do not. It is some type of paperwork or book.
- 20 | O But you don't recognize it?
- 21 A No. What.
- 22 Q Did we just see the defendant?
- 23 A Place on ear protection. I believe it is the same 24 ear protection we saw yesterday in the photos near the hot

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12-

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Which weapon is that in his hand?

A That is the AR-15 that fires the 223 rounds.

Q What does he appear to be doing now?

A Just a moment ago he was manipulating the magazine for the SKS. Appears he's loading ammunition into the magazine at this point.

Q That rifle he's carrying, which one is that?

A The SKS that fires the 7/62 X 39.

Q What are we seeing there in the upper left.

A Upper left-hand corner you can see a disturbance, appears to be high velocity. It is my belief that is when he fires into the cat enclosure which corresponds to the casings we found in that area.

Q Does it correspond to a deceased cat?

A Yes, it does.

Q Now this portion here, do you what is going on, what the other cameras are picking up at this time?

A The other camera shows movement into the front yard, Mr. PUndyk's mother's arrival.

Q You see him go off the camera, but he comes back towards the door at what time?

A 3:58:07.

Q That same time frame?

A 3:57:24.

1.2

Q Would you point out for us when you believe the shots were fired? Describe this area here?

A So this is again the south side yard with the hot tub on the right of the screen pointing down toward the ground.

Q Where Mr. Pundyk is standing, is that the area where we went over the exhibits those photos were taken?

A Yes. The perspective photo tells if someone would be standing or kneeling on the hot tub. At the time, we were unsure. We didn't have the luxury of having this video until days later.

- O What did we see fall off the tub there?
- A His ear protection.

Q So camera 13. So we are going back a little bit.

Let's watch this from camera 12. Is there a particular area of this video we should be looking at here?

A The hot tub area. Specifically, some of this lighted area will show a reflection and the pool of liquid here. You will see some Mr. Pundyk's feet are in this general area.

- Q What about in this area, what should we be looking for?
  - A The fence that separates the front yard from the

south side yard. You can see some debris or distortion as the shots are being fired through the fence.

Q Would you point out those shots?

1.2

- A There is one. There appears to be another one, maybe two.
  - Q What is going on here at 3:58:50.
- A Appears he's manipulating the firearm. There is a jam. A shot there.
- Q Is that the disturbance in the fence you were talking about?
- A Along with the shadow you can see a recoil of the weapon.
  - Q Let's move to camera six.
- A This is a front yard view. It is generally above the front door onto the north of the front door facing south towards the south side of the front yard.
  - Q What are we going to see coming this way?
- A So coming into the sidewalk you will see an individual walk in. This is that hitch carrier we discussed yesterday. You will see that individual enter the yard. That individual has been identified as the victim.
  - O Is she in view or out of your view?
  - A She's out of view.
    - O Camera four?

- A Same general area just further, the camera position further facing the street.
  - Q I will pause it. 3:57:21, what are we seeing here?
- A You see some slight movement off to the left of camera view. I believe it is the victim moving around about.
- Q However, the clip as recorded on the DVR doesn't last much longer than there, does it?
  - A No, it does not.

- Q Now, the clip we saw was a corner portion of her entering the front yard?
  - A That's correct.
    - Q Here 4:02, is that before or after the killing?
  - A That would have been after.
- Q So we have him returning. This is that portion where he's, Pundyk, has gone over to the body?
  - A That is correct.
- Q And how does that relate to the door, the bloodstaining on the door?
- A It corresponds with the evidence or explains how the victim's blood ended up on the front door. It is believed Mr. Pundyk had some blood transfer onto a portion of his bed, and when he went to the door it transferred to the doorway.
- Q We are now at 4:07. What does Mr. Pundyk have in his hand now?

- - A It goes off camera to the north towards his neighbor's house. I do not know where he got it from specifically.
  - Q This is another time after the magazine, this is another trip outside?
    - A It is.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

20

22

it.

- Q We switch back to this camera one. What are we going to see here there?
- A Actually this is on the south garage facing the roadway. At this point you will start seeing officers arrive.
  - Q Does this person appear to be an officer?
- 14 A I believe it to be.
  - Q Let's go to clip six here. Now were at 4:20?
- 16 A This would have been after the shooting in the side
  17 yard again in the second bedroom where the safe is located.
  - Q That officer we saw, that was around 4:11?
  - A That's correct.
    - Q What did we just see?
- 21 A You saw Mr. Pundyk removing the camera and disabling
- 23 | Which weapons are those?
- A He's currently carrying two weapons, one being the

SKS that fires the 7.62 X 39. The other one being the camo 1 colored Beretta semi-automatic 12-gauge shotgun. 2 Q He appears to have something in his mouth. Do you 3 know what that is? 4 We determined was a shotgun shell we located on the 5 patio table. 6 Q We are at camera 12 at 4:32 p.m. What does this 7 portion of this depict? A This depicts the firing of the shotgun through the 9 trees and toward the officers into the house. 10 How does he accomplish that? 11 A I believe he stands on that milk carton over the 12 13 fence.

Q We are now at camera 13, this same sequence of the two firearms and shooting over the fence. I am going to try to move ahead a little bit.

A Right.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q What did you see there?

A He sets down an item which again was the shotgun shell.

Q 4:35 here by this time stamp. What are we seeing the defendant do?

A He's on his phone.

Q Does this corresond with any phone calls on the call

log from the phone analysis?

12 -

A It is a call placed in to him by the Police Department.

- Q We have gone back a little bit. Camera 11. What was that?
  - A Something came flying through the air.
  - Q Let's see what it is.
  - A Appears to be his mobile phone.
  - Q What did we just see there?
  - A Him tossing that phone.
- Q Camera 13, the same phone series. I am going to try to move in a little bit if you don't mind, detective. Let's go to 4:39. Do you know what is going on on the police presence at this time about 4:40?
- A Based on me hearing what was occurring on the radio before I responded, our helicopter unit, Washoe County helicopter unit Raven was up in the air assisting us with aerial views of the backyard that we could not see.
- Q Let's go ahead a little bit detective. Past about 5:20. What are we go to go see starting with camera four?
- A You are going see the SWAT officers and tech medics enter the front yard. Enter into the front yard.
  - O 5:44. What did we just see there?
    - A It was a distractionary stun device that was

employed by the SWAT officers.

Q Is that Mr. Pundyk there?

A It is.

1.2

THE COURT: How much longer do you have?

MR. STEGE: Thirty minutes.

THE COURT: Thirty minutes? We need to take a recess. I wasn't sure how much longer you had. We should take a recess. Would you turn the lights on? We need to take a morning recess.

During this recess, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I want you to remember the admonition I have given you at the other breaks.

Do not discuss the case among yourselves or with any other person. Do not allow anyone to speak of the case to you nor any manner attempt to influence you in regard to it.

Do not make any independent investigation or inquiry into any facts and circumstances surrounding this case.

Should any person make an attempt to discuss it with you or any manner attempt to influence you, report it to the bailiff immediately. Go ahead and step into the jury room for our morning recess.

Counsel, we will by in recess. It will 10:00 o'clock. We have to be back at 10:00, I mean 11:00.

(Short recess taken.)

(Short )

THE COURT: Counsel, will you stipulate to the 1 presence of the jury? 2 MR. STEGE: Yes. 3 MS. NORDVIG: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Stege. 5 BY MR. STEGE: 6 Let's continue detective. What are we seeing? Different angle being escorted out in police presence. 9 What is this thing here? 10 That is the armored vehicle for SWAT commonly 11 referred to as bear. That completes this clip unless you want to see it on camera two. 13 A 14 I am okay. Okay. Let's go to this clip called clip five. The 15 time name ends in clip five short. What is clip five short? 16 Shortened version of clip five. This camera 17 18 overlays. I will go through the portion with the overlays 19 starting with it is picking up at 3:54. What is this overlay 20 in the bottom left? 21 That shows the front yard, one of the cameras in the 22 front years kind of showing the south side of that front yard. 23

24

Let's pause here. The date and time stamp both say

1	3:56:47 p.m.?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q Do you see that? Have we seen Delphina Medieros?
4	A Yes, in the smaller camera you will see her walking
5	across towards the south side of the yard.
6	Q Now here just before 57:24, it is no longer there.
7	Why is that?
8	A It is because the cameras haven't detected any
9	motion so they stopped recording.
10	Q That climb ended on the DVR?
11	A Yes.
12	MR. STEGE: Pass the witness.
13	THE COURT: Okay. We can turn the lights on or off
14	whatever you like. You want them to start?
15	MS. NORDVIG: On to start. Once we get going.
16	
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. NORDVIG:
19	Q Good morning, detective?
20	A Good morning.
21	MS. NORDVIG: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
22	THE COURT: You may.
23	MS. NORDVIG: Thank you.
24	

## BY MS. NORDVIG: 1 Detective, I am showing you what has been marked and 2 admitted as Exhibit 2. You saw that yesterday, correct? 3 Yes, I did. 4 And on the bottom, it is the left-hand corner there 5 is a series of letters and numbers? 6 That's correct. 7 Α What do those signify? 8 0 Those are the Washoe County lab report case numbers. 9 Α So you did not compile this list; is that correct? 10 I did not compile this document, no. 11 Α B and just under that it says two out of the six; is 12... 13 that correct? Yes, it does. 14 What does that signify to you? 15 This is page 2 of 6. 16 Α So that lab document contains more pages? 17 From what you handed me, yes. I only have one page 18 of that. 19 Correct. We have only admitted one page. Is that 20 0 correct, to your knowledge? 21 22 Α The following pages are diagrams. Okay. Would they have been made by the Washoe County 23 lab? 24

Α Yes. MS. NORDVIG: May I approach? 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 BY MS. NORDVIG: 4 We talked a lot about a series of photographs 5 Q yesterday. Were you present when all of those were taken? 6 7 Yes. Α And some of them were considered defects or 8 Q photographs of defects? 9 10 A Yes. Most of which in this case were made by projectiles 11 from a weapon? 12 That's my belief. 13 A And some of them were pass-through defects and 14 others were just surface defects? 15 Α Yes. 16 To explain the difference between; is that fair? 17 0 Yes. Would you like me to explain the difference? 18 Α 19 am sorry. You can if you would like, sure. 20 Passing through would be something that is not 21 embedded into the structure or into the item. The other one, 22 the other kind could be a ricochet where it doesn't have quite 23

enough velocity to go through or strikes something and bounces

backward. 1 Okay. Thank you. And did you have any way of 2 determining when all of these defects were made? 3 Not at the time. With the assistance of the video Α 4 surveillance I could get a rough sequence. 5 Before the photos were taken, you could not? 6 7 Α No. There were a series of photographs in Exhibit 5 in 8 the side yard, I believe it was page 16, pushing the net up 9 with the head, someone's head? 10 It would have been the photographers. 11 Do you know who the photographer was? · O · 1.2 I believe it was FIS investigator Asklyn Ziarnowski. Α 13 Common spelling? Do you know how tall Ashton is? 14 Based on just my belief, I would say she's 15 approximately 5'7". 16 Give or take? 17 0 Yes, ma'am. Α 18 And some of the photographs that were taken that 19 were included specifically Exhibit 15 page 4 --20 MS. NORDVIG: May I approach? 21 THE COURT: You may. 22 23 MS. NORDVIG: Thank you. /// 24

### BY MS. NORDVIG:

- Q This doesn't have a sticker on it, but you can tell by the stamp in the lower right-hand corner. Can you remind the jury what that is?
- A This is a photo that I took of the insulated piping insulation.
- Q And does that have any relevance to this case at all?
  - A No. Not that I'm aware of.
  - O So it is better safe than sorry?
  - A I try to.
- Q Thank you. And you said that evidence was collected over a three-day period; is correct?
- A Three calendar days. That first night we worked into the early morning of the next day, got a few hours rest and came back, worked till I believe it was maybe midnight, 1:00 o'clock the next day, and then the day after was the final day. So it wasn't a full 24 hour days.
- Q Okay. Did that include all the work at 11903 Rocky Mountain as well?
  - A The neighbor's, yes it did.
- Q The Exhibit 20 which is the cellphone data from mom's cellphone. That is a small portion of your total report, correct?

Yes, it is. 1 Α How big was the total report? 2 I can't recall the page length. Most reports are 800 3 to 1500 pages long. 4 Would this fall within that category? 5 This phone was a smart phone, so it tends to carry 6 more data or hold more data, so I would put it within that 7 8 range, yes. Is it fair to say that your report was voluminous? Α Yes. 10 Several inches thick? 11 A I don't know about several. 12 More than two, less than six? 13 We don't print them out because they are so large, 14 so I'd say digital document. 15 Thank you. Same question for Exhibit 21, that would 16 be Mr. Pundyk's phone? 17 Similar type phone being a Smart phone with multiple 18 capabilities, so I would put that document in that page range. 19 So the three or four pages that have been admitted 20 in Exhibits 20 and/or 21 are three or four of hundreds, 21 correct, if not over a thousand? 22 Potentially yes. 23

Thank you.

0

```
MS. NORDVIG: Court's indulgence, Your Honor.
1
                THE COURT: Yes.
2
                MS. NORDVIG: May I approach?
 3
                THE COURT: You may.
 4
                MR. STEGE: Your Honor may I approach the bench?
 5
                THE COURT: Yes, both of you
 6
                MR. STEGE: This is hearsay.
                THE COURT: I don't even though what you are doing.
                MS. NORDVIG: That's text messages between Ms.
 9
      Medieros and her neighbor Joanne.
10
                THE COURT: Why is it not hearsay?
11
                MS. NORVIG: Joanne will be testifying at this point.
12
                MR. STEGE: I believe it will be hearsay.
13
                           Do you have any statements not hearsay?
                THE COURT:
14
      But Ms. Medieros' statement may still be hearsay.
15
                MS. NORDVIG: I am not going to ask for them to be
16
17
      admitted, just identify.
                THE COURT: Okay.
18
                MS. NORDVIG: May I approach?
19
                THE COURT: You may.
20
                MS. NORDVIG: Thank you.
21
      BY MS. NORDVIG:
22
                Detective take a look at that. Do you know what it
23
          Do you need it to be opened?
24
```

They are photographs of text messages placed into 1 RPD evidence by detective Nevills of the Reno Police 3 Department. And are they part of this investigation? Α Yes. 5 Would you recognize them if you saw the entries? 6 I do not believe so. I did not author those photos 7 or take them. MS. NORDVIG: Okay. May I approach? 9 THE COURT: You may. 10 MS. NORDVIG: We'll call detective Nevilles. 11 -There was a call -- May I approach Your Honor? 12 THE COURT: You may. With what? 13 MS. NORDVIG: Exhibit 20. Three pages. 14 THE COURT: Exhibit 20 is admitted. 15 MS. NORDVIG: It is already admitted. 16 BY MS. NORDVIG: 17 Showing you what has been marked and admitted as 18 Exhibit 20, would you turn to the back page, please? Are you 19 familiar with that? 2.0 Yes. This is the portion of the victim's cellphone 21 22 data report. And the bottom entry, call 28? 23

24

Yes.

Α

The duration is 1:36, correct? 1 0 That is correct. Α 2 From this report, can you tell if the call was 3 answered or if it was answered by voicemail? 4 On this one it is a outgoing call, so it is that 5 A person making that phone call. It would not be on her report. 6 But you can't tell from that report? No. Α Correct? 9 10 Ά No. Thank you. The first clip, excuse me, the second 11 clip, clip number two is the clip where Mr. Pundyk has something in his hand while he's meeting the other cat? 13 Α Yes. 14 And you believe that to be a kitten; is that 15 correct? 16 It appears to me to be a kitten. 17 Α Do you know the condition of that kitten? 18 Q I do not. 19 Α But there was a kitten found at the scene, correct? 20 0 There actually was not. 21 Α There was not? 22 0 I am trying to go off memory. I do not believe we 23 captured any small kittens. 24

- In clip three at the very end we see Mr. Pundyk with Q 1 what you describe to be bold cutter type tool, a bottle cutter 2 type tool; is that correct?
  - That's correct. Α
  - Do you recall that? 0
  - I recall that portion of the video. Α
    - Did you inspect the meter? 0
    - I did not. Α

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

- And it is your belief that they were able to recover power a few hours later?
- I received information from other detectives that were conducting interviews who said the power was restored by I believe the neighbor.
  - So there was no service call to restore it?
    - Not that I am aware of.
- Okay. Clip 5, is clip 5-1 from the beginning Mr. Pundyk walks out into the backyard. He has something in his mouth. Do you know what that was?
  - It appears to be a lint roller. Α
- The kind with the adhesive on the roll, correct? 20 0
- Multiple layers. 21 Α
  - Did you find that at the scene? 0
  - I do not believe it was there. I did not note it. Α
- We'll skip forward a little bit. Mr. Pundyk's 24

demonstrating some behavior. Do you know what it was?

A He's animated. It should be noted there is no audio in this surveillance system, but it does appear he may be verbalizing something.

Q He may be what?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A Verbalizing something just based on his movements.
- Q Based upon his body actions we are seeing him kind of punch at nothing right now; is that correct?
  - A At the shrubbery.
- Q He just throws something. Did you see what that was?
- A It is a small tin container.
- Q Tin container?
  - A Tin, metallic. I can't recall exactly what the writing was on it. It was a tin container though.
  - Q We continue to see strange movements. He could be picking up an imaginary cat or screaming like Tarzan or the Hulk I guess?
  - A Yeah. The video kind of speaks for itself. I couldn't comment as to what he's screaming at or what not.
    - Q But he's not standing there?
- 22 A No.
- Q And there is no one else in at least the vicinity that we can see at this point?

A That's correct.

- Q He's not facing towards the street, front yard area. He's facing towards the patio table, and it would bee the east side?
- A Yes. It would be the far end east side of the backyard.
- Q This is just a different camera angle of about the same time. I will go forward a little. Here he appears to be talking to the cat; is that correct?
  - A The little cat. It's tough to see from this angle.
- Q So this is yet a different angle, correct, at approximately 3:05:31?
  - A Yes.
  - Q He's just gone inside and into the safe room, correct?
    - A Yes, the spare bedroom.
  - Q It appears that he is dancing, for lack of a better term, in the corner there?
    - A I would agree with that description.
- Q So now we see him opening the safe. So it hadn't been opened before that, correct?
- A Not on the clips we have seen.
  - Q And so at this point he's has one of the weapons in his hand?

- Correct. 1 Α He's gesturing with the other hand, correct? 2 Yes. 3 Α At something we don't know. Would it be fair to say 4 that he is doing poses, military type poses with the firearm? 5 Yes, it would be fair to say. I was not in the 6 7 military, so --8
  - $\,$  Q  $\,$  Neither was I. We see him doing a 180 degree turn with the gun straight up and down in front of him, correct?
    - A Yes, we do.

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q We jump to camera 9 at 3:19 started about 12. It would appear at 19 seconds he's at attention or some kind of formal position; is that correct?
  - A That's correct.
- Q He's just picked up that empty tin and threw it somewhere; is that correct?
  - A Placed it in front of him at this point.
- Q At 3:20:57 we see him walk towards the area that has been described as behind the garage?
  - A That is correct.
  - Q And he's gone out of sight lines for the time being?
- 22 A Yes, he has.
- Q Now we see him enter back in approximately 17
- 24 seconds. It looks like he's looking at the gun, holding it

up?

12-

- A That's correct.
- O Back at an attention-type position; is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Another attention type position doing some kind of arm movements we would see a drill team do with the gun; is that a fair description?
  - A That's a fair description, yes.
- Q At this point, 56 seconds, it would appear his face is moving like he's talking or yelling?
- A It appears the shadow is changing at his jaw line which would indicate his mouth is opening.
- Q I believe you testified on direct there was no evidence found in that area as far as shell casings or defects of any kind?
- A No. Again we didn't have the detailed view of the cameras at the time of the search. If I would have had access to that, I probably would have gone through these bushes a little bit better, maybe cut them down to see if there was in fact a casing.
  - Q But you didn't find anything, correct?
- 22 A No.
  - Q We'll move a little forward. So we see him on camera

    14 at 3:43 starting with 06 at 9 seconds. He appears to put

something up to his face at 14 seconds. He puts on ear 1 2 protection, correct? That's correct. 3 And that would be consistent with ear protection you 4 would buy when you are at a target -- go to to a target range 5 6 or out target shooting? Just go shooting in general I would say. Those 7 appear to be that type of device. Standard ear protection you can buy at Sheels or 9 Cabelas? 10 Any supporting goods store. 11 Q Those were collected as well, correct? 12 I believe so. 13 Α And we just skipped forward a little bit to a 14 different place 4:40:25. He's come back into the second 15 bedroom, still has the ear protection on his head, correct? 16 That is correct. Α 17 And he still has, now he's at attention or doing 18 some other arm and leg movements; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 And acting, dancing, talking? 21 0 Animated dancing. 22 Α And he continues that type behavior? 23 0

In this clip, yes.

24

Α

It would appear from the facial movements in 1 this clip that he is making some kind of verbal, besides the 2 dancing we are currently seeing at 50:28:29 it looks like he's 3 either making some kind of verbal statement, signing, yelling? 4 That is a fair representation. 5 Ά We are now at camera 9 I believe. So that is 6 7 outside in the backyard? Α That is correct. 8 It looks like he's bent over and doing some kind of 9 hand motion similar to what you would use to pet something? 10 Yes, I would agree with that. 11 MR. STEGE: Argumentative. 12 THE COURT: Sustained. 13 BY MS. NORDVIG: 14 But nothing else is there? 15 0 Not that you can see on the video. 16 Would it be fair to describe his movements at this 17 point as continuing can the behavior we saw earlier, the 18 dancing, the stopping and starting, strange arm movements? 19 Similar behavior that we have seen. 2.0 Α And it appears he still has his ear protection one? 21 Yes, it does. 22 Α And going forward again. Trying to go forward 23

again. We are at 56:20. He's not in range of this camera at

this point. Now we see him coming back in, but he's still 1 exhibiting behavior that he had before? 2 Similar behavior as before. 3 With the waiving arms? 0 4 That's correct. 5 Α It would appear he still has his ear protection on 6 0 7 correct? A Appears they are on his head. It is now 3:57, correct, and he's pointing the gun? 9 That's correct. 10 Α Now were at 3:58:04 on camera 13 and you can see him 11 take his ear protection off? 12 Yes. 13 Α Skipping over we see him at the front door, correct, 14 15 reading a magazine? 16 Α Yes. In all the shots, he gets outside to the street, 17 comes back with the magazine, correct? 18 Yes. He goes out towards the street. 19 The front. We don't know exactly where he gets the 20 magazine, but we never see him touch the victim, correct? 21

When he's up on the hot tub and we can see his right

22

23

24

Α

foot?

No.

A	I believe it is his right foot.
Q	Would it be fair to say that he appears to be
kneeling?	
A	Kneeling or crouching.
Q	But he's not standing up?
A	Maybe not on his knees, but crouched position.
Q	It would be fair to say he's not standing on two
feet like	now?
A	It does not appear that way.
Q	So he would have to be lower than his normal height,
correct ?	
A	It appears that he is.
Q	So page 16 of Exhibit 5 where you are holding up the
tarp?	
A	Uh-huh.
Q	Is another photograph that probably is not relevant
in this c	ase?
А	We did a series of them both in a crouched
position	
Q	Various positions?
А	Yes.
	1 librate take a minture of the top
Q	The milk can, you didn't take a picture of the top
	t I am aware of from looking down at it; is that
	Q kneeling? A Q A Q feet like A Q correct ? A Q tarp? A Q in this c A position Q

I believe there is a photo that shows the top. 1 A is probably not direct, but you can see. 2 Were there any footprints on it? Not that I noted. Ά But you would have had it been important? 5 0 If I would have seen them. Α 6 Okay. And later after the negotiation team comes in 7 and sets up at approximately 4:34:16, we see a phone fly over 8 the fence, correct? I don't believe it flies over the fence. It comes 10 from Mr. Pundyk. 11 Q Okay. Was that -- Do we know what phone that was? 12 My belief is it was his phone. Α 13 So we don't know? 14 Q In later videos he picks it up, his own phone, and 15 places it where I later locate his phone. 16 So is the other phone tossed over shortly 17 thereafter, the negotiating phone? 18 To my knowledge, we did not toss a phone to him. 19 THE COURT: Is this a good place to stop? 20 MS. NORDVIG: Probably. 21 THE COURT: Okay. We will stop for the lunch hour. 22 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I am going to let 23

you go to lunch. You will be back at 1:30 today. Try to get

back a few minutes before 1:30 because we don't always start doing the things we need to do until you are all hear. If you are here a little bit early, we can probably get in the courtroom by 1:30. Otherwise, we'll be a few minutes after that. But have your lunch hour and come back here.

During this break, it is your duty not to discuss among yourselves or with anyone else including members of your family, friends co-workers or people involved in the trial any matter having to do with this case. This includes discussing the case or anything to do with parties, attorneys, verbally, in person, by phone, in writing or on the Internet, through any Internet features such as chat rooms, blogs, bulletin board, text messaging, websites or any other electronic method of communicating with others.

It is your further duty not to form or express any opinion concerning the guilt or innocence of this defendant until this case is finally submitted to you for decision.

You are not to read, look at or listen to any news media account or any other account or commentary including any Online information about the trial or anyone or thing associated with it. You will be required to decide all questions of fact in this case from the evidence received in the trial and not from any other source.

Do not make any independent investigation into or

about the case or the subject matter of the case. This means for example that you must not do any research such as consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet, other reference material.

You may not visit the scene on conduct experiments. Should any person attempt to discuss it with you or in any manner influence you, report it to the bailiff immediately.

Go ahead, go out and enjoy your lunch. I will see you back at 1:30.

Please be seated. Counsel, do you have anything for the Court?

MR. STEGE: Loosely we have a witness issue.

Detective Millsap and detective Blas were up all night, so I am going to try to maybe push through the end of the day, get detective Millsap off the stand and then I might get to a point I am going to ask to break early so detective Blas can be called tomorrow. I am not certain I can to do that. I want the court to be aware of that.

THE COURT: Have you told me who is going to be called today?

MR. SEGE: I don't think so.

THE COURT: You just told me detective Millsap. What about Mr. Johnson? Have decided you are not going to use Mr. Johnson? That was on your list for Tuesday.

```
MR. STEGE: It is Ms. Johnson.
1
               THE COURT: Oh, Ms. Johnson.
2
               MR. STEGE:
                           Yes.
 3
                          So you have detective Millsap. Who is
                THE COURT:
 4
      the other detective?
 5
                MR. STEGE: Blas. He's going to take some time.
 6
                THE COURT: Do you have anybody else?
 7
                            Yeah. My plan this afternoon would be
                MR. STEGE:
      finish up Millsap, Monica Siewertsen from the lab, Mr. Bell
 9
      from the Crime Lab. I am going to try to get to Steve Shinmei
10
      from the lab. Then from there we'll see. Either Toni Olsen or
11
      it will be to the point of Pat Blas.
12
                THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll see what we can
13
      do. Remember tomorrow morning. We'll see you at 1:30.
14
      Court's in recess?
15
           (Whereupon the Court adjourned for the noon recess.)
16
                THE COURT: Counsel, will you stipulate to the
17
      presence of the jury?
18
                MR. STEGE: Yes:
19
                MS. NORDVIG: Yes, Your Honor.
2.0
                THE COURT: You may continue your inquiry.
21
                MS. NORDVIG: Thank you, Your Honor.
22
      BY MS. NORDVIG:
23
                Officer, when we left off at this time was when you
24
```

were discussing Raven flying overhead. 1 When I left off with Mr. Stege, yes. I don't believe 2 we had gotten to that point. 3 We were just getting to Raven. We were talking 4 about the telephones? 5 Yes, ma'am. Α 6 And though that would have been approximately 4:20, 7 4:35? 8 I believe it was somewhere around that time. MS. NORDVIG: Court's indulgence. 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MS. NORDVIG: Thank you. 12 BY MS. NORDVIG: 13 And you testified on direct that the Reno Police 14 Department started responding or the first car started 15 responding at 4:11? 16 I believe that's generally --17 Close? 18 0 -- where we first started responding. 19 I have skipped forward we see Mr. Pundyk on the 20 telephone. This is clip six at approximately 4:35:39,40; is 21 that correct? 22 That is correct. 23 Α And at that point that matches up with his telephone 24

call to the hostage negotiator?

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A The incoming call to his phone number, yes.
- Q We see him walking, correct, or standing?
  - A Yes. He is moving about the yard.
- Q Okay. Do you recall if you ever see him running during this part of the tape?
  - A I don't believe so.
  - Q Or during the phone conversation?
- A I do not believe so.
  - Q Do we ever see him running on this tape?
  - A Not from my recollection.
- You have been present at negotiation calls prior to this one, haven't you?
- A Yes, I have.
  - Q Is it standard protocol for the negotiator to request the person that you are trying to get out to have them raise their arms in the air?
- A Each situation is different, but that is a likely request we would ask for.
  - Q Were you present for this one?
- 21 A No, I was not.
- 22 Did you hear anything over the radio regarding that?
- A Not that I recall. I remember hearing they had made contact with Mr. Pundyk via cellphone and that he had had a

short conversation, set the phone down and left the line open. 1 Okay. And so this is camera 9 at 4:40:15 and we see 2 him with his hands up, correct? 3 That's correct. Α And he's looking up towards the sky? 5 Yes, he is. 6 Α And he stays in that position how long, do you know? 7 I don't know off the top of my memory. I believe it 8 is several minutes. 9 So his arms went up about 4:40 and they are still up 10 in the air at 4:52:30? 11 A Yes. 12 And he finally dropped them? 13 0 14 Α Yes. And then they go back up again, correct? 15 Q I believe at some point he does. Α 16 So there he walks back to the same place he was and 17 goes back into arms up? 18 That's correct. 19 Now he's down on his knees, correct? 20 Q 21 Α Yes. Or one knee I guess and up and now back down, I 22 believe camera 9, 4:54. And he continues in this position for 23

another few minutes, correct?

1 Α Yes. Then he's out of camera range for a while and the 2 tape skips ahead 20 minutes or so. So he's still standing? 3 The time has gone backwards. Now it is just a 4 different angle. 5 Now we see the SWAT team coming in and doing their 6 initial assessment. And we eventually see Mr. Pundyk on the 7 ground in the backyard? There he is? Α Yes. And that is with SWAT officer all around him? 10 Yeah, culmination of SWAT officers. 11 Α And RPD? 12 Medics and it looks like a K-9 officer. 13 Α And he has been carried to this position by officers 14 earlier? 15 I believe that is what we saw earlier. 16 Α 17 But he didn't appear to be agitated? There didn't appear to be a struggle. A 18 Thank you. Would this be the period of time where he 19 would be checked by the team medic, initial check? 20 Likely. Again, I was not there so I cannot say for 21 22 sure. Okay. At any time during any of these clips, do you 23

recall seeing Mr. Pundyk on the shed?

1	А	Did you say on the shed?
2	Q	On the shed?
3	А	No.
4	Q	Did you have any direct contact with Mr. Pundyk?
5	А	I did not.
6		MS. NORDVIG: Thank you. Nothing further.
7		THE COURT: Counsel.
8		MR. STEGE: Yes.
9		
LO		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
L1	BY MR. SI	EGE:
L2	· Q	As to this issue of the diagram, you were shown the
13	diagram t	hat starts at page 2?
14	A A	Yes.
15	Q	Do you remember that portion of your cross?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Do you know what is on page 1?
18	A	It is typically a synopsis of why the Crime Lab was
19	called ou	at and what they are tasked with.
20	Q	First page of the report saying I did a diagram
21	which is	attached here or continues after page 1?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Let's talk about the phones, the issue of the
24	phones.	You have examined phones before this case?

Yes, I have. 1 Α And since this case? 2 3 Yes. Α You have special training and experience in that? 0 4 Yes, I do. 5 Α And you have attended for examples trainings on how 6 7 to do it? Yes. I am a certified technician in the equipment I 8 9 use. And on at least weekly, if not daily basis --10 MS. NORDVIG: Your Honor, I object. The telephone 11 information has already come in. It is beyond the scope of cross? 13 THE COURT: Where re you going with this? 14 MR. STEGE: The question is about whether this was a 15 voicemail call or actual call. 16 THE COURT: I WILL allow to you ask that question. 17 Overruled. 18 BY MR. STEGE: 19 Is it true at least on a weekly basis you are doing 20 forensic phone examinations? 21 Basically, yes. 22 A For how many years have you been doing that? 23 Q

Approximately eight years.

24

Α

MR. NORDVIG: We'll stipulate to his qualifications. 1 We have already done that. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 BY MR. STEGE: 4 Based on your expertise and experience in this area, 5 do you have an opinion whether as to the I believe 1:37 or38 6 second call between the defendant's phone and the victim's is 7 a call or a voice mail? 8 Based on both extractions, looking at the outgoing 9 calls and incoming calls, I concluded it is a made phone call 10 not a voicemail. 11 If it were a voicemail you would see something else? 12 On Mr. Pundyk's phone it would indicate to be placed Α 13 in the right folder if you will that it was a voicemail, missed call as opposed to an answered call. 15 Incoming. Okay. Very good. Does the certainty of 16 that conclusion increase because you have both phones? 17 Yes, it does. Α 18 Were you asked if-- Let's talk about the dead cats. 19 You have three dead cats inside the house? 20 There were three inside the living room portion. A 21

Did they appear to have been shot recently?

And did they appear to have been shot?

Yes, they did to me.

22

23

24

Q

Α

1 Α Yes. Were there also shell cases in the area where you 2 would expect them to be around shot to death cats? 3 Α Yes. 4 In the backyard sort of the cat structure there was 5 a dead cat? 6 Yes, there was. 7 Was there evidence that they had been shot to death? 8 Yes. There appeared -- It appeared to have been 9 shot. 10 Were there shell casings consistent with that cat 11 having been shot to death? Yes, in that area. Α 13 And the video appearing to show them having been 14 shot to death? 15 Yes. Α 16 Th cat on the hot water or on the hot tub appears to 17 be shot to death? 18 It was shot. It scurries off into the bushes. We 19 never could determine where it ended up. We never found the 20 carcass of that cat. 21 Conclusively it was shot based on the video? 22 Yes. A 23

24

All of those shooting from the defendant shooting at

actual things, right? 1 Α Yes. And this area behind the garage where we see him 3 with the AR-15, you did look there? 5 Α Yes. Was there evidence of a cat having been shot to 6 7 death? Α No. 8 In fact, can you even tell on the video if he is 9 Q 10 shooting? 11 No you cannot. No shell casings on there? 12 13 Α No. Is everything he's shooting real stuff? 14 It appears. 15 Α Now you were asked I quess to infer the military 16 type behavior, sort of infer what is in his mind. You were 17 18 asked to infer that the movements might be dancing. Remember 19 that portion of your testimony? 20 Yes. As well as the striking or punching out at the 21 22 trees? 23 Α Yes. As you viewed it, in addition to that, we also have, 24

would you agree, at least from observation, behavior that seems to be either of those, right? He's not flailing in the air, he's not military type stuff if we accept the military type stuff. There are moments when none of that is being present, right.

A Yes.

Q As to the portion where he kills his mother, did you see any of those? Which of those did you see?

A As it appears his attention is drawn towards that fence. He brings the rifle up in an aiming style and appears to go towards that towards his mother.

You were asked at some point, like we see him, the back or the top of the video or in the room with the safe moving his mouth?

A Yes.

2.0

Q Would you agree we also see him moving his mouth, appearing to speak just before killing his mother?

A Yes.

Q Of those things you were asked, do you thin you are the best person to say what is in the defendant's mind?

A Absolutely not.

Q Did you ever see, along those lines, let's go down it anyway, do you see fear?

MS. NORDVIG: Objection. Calls for speculation and

conclusion this detective is not qualified to render.

MR. STEGE: That's been most of their cross asking him to speculate about his mindset. It goes to the heart of the issue.

MS. NORDVIG: We asked him to describe behavior not speculate.

THE COURT: I think there was some speculation requested. You didn't object. I am going to sustain the objection.

# BY MR. STEGE:

Q After shooting, do you ever see the defendant take the rifle out front to where the body is of his mother?

A No.

Q Let's go -- Would you agree with me that at clip five at 3:57:24 is the time stamp, 29 minutes 18 seconds on this clip, agree with me that this is a good portion of the video to determine whether the ear protection was on the defendant's ears?

A From this photo, yes, it still appears they are off his ear.

Q As well as this portion is a good portion would you agree to determine if he's in fact yelling or speaking?

A Yes. It appears that he is opening his mouth possibly saying something.

Would you agree that forwarding it a few second, 1 3:57:39 is a good portion to determine whether the defendant was standing, kneeling or crouching? 3 Α Yes. Do you still agree with that, this is a good portion 5 of the video clip to determine if he was kneeling or standing? 6 Yes, I'd agree. Having just seen it, which does it appear? 8 That he is kneeling in some type of position with I Α 9 believe it was his left leg that we could see. 10 The position does change, would you agree with that? 11 A It does change. Is that what you just saw as to the issue of what it 13 is that we see as it relates to the defendant going up to the 14 15 body of his mother? You can see him start to bend down towards where I 16 believe the body was at the time before she was moved. 17 Is that where -- Is that consistent with the blood 18 on the floor? 19 It is. Α 20 Let's get to that. So that would be this section 21 here at four. This portion 4:04:50, is that what you are 22

That is the one I was referring to.

referring to?

Α

23

```
Let's play that out. As to this usual of -- Well,
1
      you spent some time on the helicopter being overhead and the
2
      surrender?
 3
           Α
                Yes.
                Based on your understanding of the case, there is an
 5
      actual helicopter and he's actually surrendering to it?
                Yes, from what I know from the case.
 7
                Not putting his hands up because he's seeing
 8
      something else?
 9
10
           A
                No.
                The issue of the guns being out, do whenever see the
11
    shotgun being taken out of the safe?
12
                No, I don't believe so.
13
           Α
                And on the scene, where was the case for the
14
15
      shotqun?
                It was in the master bedroom.
16
           Α
                So no surveillance in there?
17
           Q
18
           Ά
                Correct.
                MR. STEGE: Nothing further from the witness.
19
      Thanks.
20
                THE COURT: Okay. Anything further?
21
                MS. NORDVIG: Briefly, Your Honor. Thank you.
22
      /// =
23
      111
24
```

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION 1 BY MS. NORDVIG: 2 Just a couple of things to follow-up. When 3 Mr. Stege showed you the clip at 3:57:24 with the ear 4 protection, the still shot, it was still on his head, correct? 5 It was on his head. It appeared it wasn't covering 6 7 his ear. On one side, correct? 8 0 On the side you could see. Α 9 So right side? 10 Right side. 11 Α You can't see the left side, correct? That's correct. Α 13 We don't know when it got that way? 14 Q A No. 15 At 3:57:38 is, I know you are looking at me like --16 That is when the second clip of him up on the hot tub and you 17 can see his foot and his knee touch the top of the hot tub? 18 That's correct. 19 Ά He stays that way until 58:04? 20 O About that. 21 Α That would indicate he was --22 0 Correct. 23 Α Crouching, kneeling? And the tape shows Mr. Pundyk 24 Q

1	with his hands up staring at the sky, correct?
2	A Yes, it does.
3	Q Raven happened to be up there, correct?
4	MR. STEGE: Argumentative.
5	THE COURT: Where are we going with? I think we
6	established Raven was up there.
7	MS. NORDVIG: Okay.
8	BY MS. NORDVIG:
9	Q But we can't get inside Mr. Pundyk's head, can we?
10	A I cannot.
11	Q Mr. Stege asked you about the shotgun. Do we know
12	that it was ever in the safe?
13	A Not from the surveillance that we've seen.
14	MS. NORDVIG: Thank. Nothing further.
15	THE COURT: Anything further?
16	MR. STEGE: No.
17	THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
18	MR. STEGE: I would like to reserve him, please.
19	THE COURT: You may step down. You are reserved.
20	MS. NORDVIG: Just to make it clear, he can leave
21	the building and just check in later.
22	THE COURT: Absolutely. He knows.
23	Call your next witness.
24	MR. STEGE: Monica Siewertsen, please,

THE COURT: You may proceed.

## MONICA SIEWERTSEN

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, took the witness stand and testified as follows:

1.0

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. STEGE:

- Q Ma'am, please state said spell your name?
- A Monday Siewertsen. M-O-N-I-C-A.

S-I-E-W-E-R-T-S-E-N.

- Q Are you employed?
- A I am currently employed as a criminalist with the Washoe County Sheriff's Office Forensic Science Division in the biology and chemistry unit.
- Q What work is done in the biology and chemistry section?
- A The purpose of that is to receive items of evidence that have been submitted for examination for a particular investigation to attempt to identify a biological material.

  When I say biological material, I mean potentially blood, semen, saliva and to take a portion of that material and to performed DNA typing analysis in an attempt to determine who that biological material may have come from.

- Q And do you have training in this field?
- A I do.

MS. NORDVIG: Your Honor, we will stipulate this witness has credentials.

THE COURT: Okay. That stipulation will by accepted.

MR. STEGE: Okay.

#### BY MR. STEGE:

- Q How long have you been doing this type of work?
- A Molecular biologist work almost thirty years. Forensic work over twenty years.
- identified as having been taken from the front door in this case?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Walk is through that procedure, please?
  - A Yes. I received the item of evidence and looked at it for staining. There was red-brown staining. I performed a presumptive testing which is a quick test to help determine whether the material indeed would be blood. If I obtain a positive result, then it is something I might want to continue analyzing. If I obtain a negative result, that indicates it is not possibly blood and I wouldn't pursue it. In this instance I also performed a second test to demonstrate it was

indicative of human blood as opposed to animal blood. I then 1 took a portion of those swabs and performed DNA type analysis 2 3 on it.

It was human blood?

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- It was human blood, yes.
- What was the next thing?
- I received reference samples in this particular Α instance from two individuals, and I removed a portion of each of those reference samples. I performed DNA type analysis.
- The reference samples were identified having come from Delphina Medieros and Edward Pundyk?
  - A That's correct.
    - What were your results of comparing?
- Comparing the DNA typing profile obtained from Delphina Medieros, the profile matched the DNA typing profile obtained from the red-brown staining on the swab. The estimated frequency of occurrence of that particular match was approximately one in 320.2 quadrillion individuals. Based on that result, Delphina Medieros can be the source of that biological material.
  - The blood on the door is Delphina Medieros' blood? 0
  - Α Yes.
    - MR. STEGE: Thank you, pass the witness.
    - MS. NORDVIG: No questions, Your Honor.

- 1	
1	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may step down.
2	You are excused.
3	(Witness excused.)
4	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
5	MR. STEGE: Mr. Bell, please.
6	
7	
8	RICHARD G. BELL
9	called as a witness, having been first duly sworn,
10	took the witness stand and testified as follows:
11	
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. STEGE:
14	Q Sir, please state and spell your name?
15	A Richard G. Bell. B-E-L-L.
16	Q How are you employed?
17	A I am a criminalist at the Washoe County Sheriff's
18	Office Forensic Science Division in the toxicology section.
19	Q What does a toxicology section do?
20	A We perform testing for alcohol and drugs, blood and
21	urine, other body fluids and then also provide testimony in
22	relation to the results that are found.
23	Q How long have you been doing this work?
24	MS. NORDVIG: Your Honor, we'll stipulate to

Mr. Bell's credentials as well. I have known him for a very 1 long time. 2. THE COURT: Counsel? 3 MR. STEGE: That will make it faster. 4 THE COURT: The Court will accept him as an expert 5 in testing chemical substances. You may proceed. 6 BY MR. STEGE: How long have you been doing it? 8 For almost nine years. Α 9 And in this case, were you asked to analyze a blood 10 sample identified as having been taken from the defendant, 11 Edward Pundyke? ----12 Α Yes. 13 Please describe that testing? 14 The testing for alcohol is using a technique called 1.5 head space gas chromatograph. We also did testing for the 16 presence of drugs using a variety of techniques. The screening 17 procedures that are used to determine the presence or absence 18 of many drugs and drug classes. 19 Let's talk about the alcohol one first. What was 20 the results of the testing for alcohol? 21 It was none detected. 22 Α The panel that you ran for drugs, tell us about that 23

panel?

A We did a panel that included a class of drugs, benzodiazepines. That class of drugs includes things like Valium if you are familiar with that. We found a positive result for the benzodiazepine screen.

- Q Was that subject to further analysis to determine the quantity of benzodiazepine?
  - A Yes, it was.

-12

- Q What was the result of that?
- A Diazapam -- May I refer to my notes.
- Q Yes, please.
- A Nordiazepam consisting of 27 nanograms per milliliter plus or minus five nanograms per milliliter.
  - Q Is that within the therapeutic range?
- A The therapeutic range varies widely depending on the intended use of the drug and what its purpose would be. But this level is a very low level, below therapeutic ranges.
- Q You and I know therapeutic range. What is the therapeutic range?
- A The concentration of the drug that would be desired to achieve a certain effect of that drug. So when a physician writes a prescription, he will specify a dosage of that drug in an attempt to achieve a certain level of that drug in the bloodstream. The therapeutic range is the range of that concentration that would achieve the desired effect.

Q As a general rule or general guideline, what are the general effects of benzodiazepine?

A It is a central nervous system depressant, kind of like alcohol, so it slows down brain function.

Q I asked about a panel. What was in the panel. What is a panel? What do we mean when we say you ran a certain panel?

A The panel just includes a series of drugs for different drugs in a class and drug classes, so we screen for a wide variety of compounds using multiple panels.

Q As you sit here today, do you know what is on the panel that the lab runs?

A I do know them. It would be easier for me to read them from the report so I can be sure to capture all of them.

Q Please do so?

- 12

A Okay. We screen for the benzodiazepines which we already discussed. Also amphetamine. Barbiturates.

Carisoprodol, cocaine, fentanyl, Methadone, methamphetamine,

Q The result from running screens or testing for all those was what?

opiates oxycodone, marijuana or THC metabolite and sulfadin.

A Was all negative.

Q Had one of those been in the blood sample of Mr. Pundyk, you would expect to find it in forensic testing?

1	1 A Yes.		
2	2 Q In addition, was this blo	ood sent out to an outside	
3	3 lab?		
4	4 A Yes, it was.		
5	5 Q To run a more expanded p	anel?	
6	A That's correct.		
7	7 Q Because this outside lab	has very big panels?	
8	8 A Yes.		
9	9 Q The results reported bac	k was nothing in addition to	
10	what you had found, benzodiazepine	?	
11	11 A That's correct.		
12	MR. STEGE: Thank you. P	ass the witness. Thank you.	
13	THE COURT: You may inqu	ire.	
14	MS. NORDIVG: Thank you.	*1 × 12	
15	15		
16	16 CROSS-EXAMIN	IATION	
17	17 BY MS. NORDVIG:	BY MS. NORDVIG:	
18	18 Q I just have one or two q	questions. You said that the	
19	19 initial test came back as a low le	vel for nordiazepam?	
20	20 A The confirmatory test ca	me back as a low level.	
21	21 Q Thank you. Below the the	erapeutic level?	
22	22 A Yes.		
23	23 Q And do you understand th	ne dissipation rate of that	
24	24 drug?		

It has a wide range. It is what is called a half 1 life. That is the time it takes for one-half of the drug to 2 be eliminated from the bloodstream. And for nordiazepam it is 3 31 to 97 hours so it is over several days. So would it be fair to say this was late in the life 5 0 span of this dosage, the half life? I can't say with certainty because it would depend 7 on how much of the drug the individual took. Are they a 8 frequent user, a one time user. So that can affect what that 9 blood level would be. Typically this would have been several 10 days, most likely, since the drug was used: 11 MS. NORDVIG: Thank you. Nothing further. 12 MR. STEGE: No redirect. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. May this witness be excused? 14 MR. STEGE: Yes. 15 MS. NORDVIG: Yes. 16 17 THE COURT: You may step down. 18 (Witness excused.) THE COURT: Call your next witness. 19 MR. STEGE: Detective Lawson did not get here, Your 20 21 Honor. THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the 22 jury, go ahead and go into the jury room for a short recess. 23

24

During this break, do not discuss the case among

yourselves or with anyone else. Do not allow anyone to speak 1 of the case with you or in any manner attempt to influence you 2 in regard to it. Should any person attempt to do so, please 3 notify the bailiff immediately before coming back in the 4 courtroom. Go ahead and go into the injury. 5 (Whereupon the jury left the courtroom.) 6 I kind of looked at you funny because I THE COURT: 7 thought you said Toni Olsen was going to be a witness also. 8 MR. STEGE: She is potential, yes. 9 THE COURT: But she's not here? 10 MR. STEGE: She's not here. 11 -MR. STEGE: She's was also on this OIS from last 12-night. My preference would be to do Olsen just before Knight 13 which I want to do last. I want to finish with Olsen. If we 14 can get Blas who I was told when Ms. Siewertsen took the stand 15 is on his way. I have we get far enough, it would be Nevills. 16 THE COURT: What is the nature of Blas' testimony? 17 MR. STEGE: He interviewed the defendant. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So you want to do Olsen and Knight 19 after that? 20 21 MR. STEGE: Yes. THE COURT: And didn't you tell me yesterday the 22 interviews were quite lengthy? 23

Yes.

MR. STEGE:

1	THE COURT: Then did you have someone else,		
2	Shadduck?		
3	MR. STEGE: Shinmei. Steve Shinmei. In my trial memo		
4	he's not available until tomorrow or the next day.		
5	THE COURT: Which one		
6	MR. STEGE: Jim, Shinmei. Both.		
7	THE COURT: He's available or he isn't available.		
8	MR. STEGE: His only other availability is tomorrow		
9	or the next day.		
10	THE COURT: Who do you have on for tomorrow?		
11	MR. STEGE: I think the testimony will be the		
12 -	remainder of detective Blas, then I could go to Steve Shinmei.		
13	Detective Nevills is also available tomorrow. Then I would go		
14	Olsen, Knight if we get that far.		
15	THE COURT: They are available tomorrow?		
16	MR. STEGE: Yes.		
17	THE COURT: Okay. Then who else do you have?		
18	MR. STEGE: I will rest my case after Dr. Knight I		
19	anticipate.		
20	THE COURT: Okay. You have witnesses standing by for		
21	Friday afternoon, Ms. Nordvig?		
22	MS. NORDVIG: We are working on it. I have one I		
23	thought would take a while. They are not able to be here. I		
24	was surprised at lunch. So my investigator is working on it		

right now. I will have some. I will make sure I have some 1 available for Friday afternoon even if we take people out of 2 3 order. THE COURT: Whatever. Just let me know what is going on. I would like to be able to let the jury know as 5 soon as I can. 6 MS. NORDVIG: I will do my best to have an answer 7 for you as soon as possible. 8 THE COURT: We'll be in recess while we wait. 9 MR. STEGE: He's here. 10 THE COURT: Oh, he's here? 11 I think it is best if we let the jury-- It is 2:15. 12 I can't go to 5:00, but if we took a break now they are 13 already in, if we come back on the record around 2:30, I get 14 closer to 5:00. 15 MR. STEGE: Okay. Go straight through 2:30 to 5:00. 16 THE COURT: Well, if we come back on the record now 17 we can't go through till 5:00. Do you have the ability to 18 break it up? 19 MR. STEGE: Not naturally, but if we are going to 20 21 have to, I accept that. THE COURT: Well let's bring them back in now if the 22

jury is available. Let's see if the jury has gotten so they

can come back in. If they can, we'll have them come back in

23

1	and go for a while, then take a break. Bring them in.		
2		Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the	
3	jury?		
4		MR. STEGE: Yes, I will.	
5	Ω.	MS. NORDVIG: Yes, Your Honor.	
6		THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Call your	
7	next witness.		
8		MR. STEGE: Pat Blas.	
9		THE WITNESS: Good afternoon, Your Honor?	
10		THE COURT: Good afternoon you may proceed.	
11			
12	PATRICK BLAS		
13	called as a witness, having been first duly sworn,		
14	took the witness stand and testified as follows:		
15			
16		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
17	Q	Please state and spell your name?	
18	A	My name is Patrick Blas. First of P-A-T-R-I-C-K.	
19	Last of Bl	Las, B-L-A-S.	
20	Q	You are a detective with the Reno Police Department?	
21	A	Yes, I am.	
22	Q	How long have you been a detective?	
23	A	Approximately six years.	
24	Q	And what part of RPD do you work in?	

- A Currently assigned as a detective to the Reno Police Department robbery-homicide unit.
  - What was your role in this case against Mr. Pundyk?
  - A I was assigned as the lead investigator in the case.
  - O What did that entail?

- A From the initial call and conducting the investigation into this case, being assigned as the lead investigator, it is my assignment, my responsibility to conduct the investigation as well as direct any other detectives or seek assistance or resources to help me conduct the investigation.
- o When the call came out, did you end up having any role at the scene before Mr. Pundyk was taken into custody?
  - A No, I did not.
- Q Were you at the scene when Mr. Pundyk was taken into custody?
- A Yes, I was.
- Q What happened? Did you have -- Did you talk to him there or anything like that?
- A Yes. Once the scene had been secured and I had a chance to meet with Mr. Pundyk, I introduced myself to him. I asked him his name, just to talk with him, and I asked him if he would be willing to talk to me and conduct a formal interview.

How did that conversation go? 1 He agreed, and he was transported down to the RPD main station. I was able to follow and meet with him. 3 When you asked him his name, did he say his name? 4 Yes, he did. 5 And once at the police station, who conducted the 6 interview of Mr. Pundyk? 7 I conducted the interview with Mr. Pundyk along with 8 detective Dave Nevills. 9 That occurred at the police station? 10 Yes, it did. 11 Α That room, is that audio and video recorded? 12 Yes, it is. 13 Α Prior to start of this case, did you review a CD of 14 the recording of Mr. Pundyk's interview? 15 Yes, I did. 16 Α MR. STEGE: May I approach the witness? 17 THE COURT: You may. 18 BY MR. STEGE: 19 Handing you 24, Exhibit 24-a which is proposed at 20 this point. Do you recognize that? 21 Yes, I do. 22 Α How do you recognize it? 23 It is a copy of the disk that contains the full 24

```
length interview that I conducted with Mr. Pundyk along with
1
      detective Nevills.
2
                And after reviewing that, did you place your
 3
      initials on it?
 4
                Yes, I did.
           A
 5
                To indicate it is a true and accurate depiction of
 6
 7
      the original?
                Yes, I did.
           Α
 8
                MR. STEGE: Move in this exhibit.
 9
                MS. NORDVIG: No objection.
10
                THE COURT: 24-a is admitted.
11
           (Exhibit 24-a admitted in evidence.)
12
                 MR. STEGE: May I publish this Exhibit?
13
                THE COURT: You may.
14
      BY MR. STEGE:
15
                Can you see that okay? What are we seeing here?
16
                This is Mr. Pundyk along with officer Tyler Clark.
17
           Α
      I can see, I believe that is officer now detective Jeff White.
18
                Who is this gentleman here?
19
                That is officer Dan Knight. Now that I see the
20
      face, corrections on the other officer with the black hat
21
      appears to be officer Kyle Meseberg.
22
                So this interview is lengthy isn't it?
23
                Yes, it is.
24
           Α
```

- Q And to the extent we can, I may skip over some parts but let's keep going for now?
  - Q If we can, let's go to --

THE COURT: If you are going to stop it, Mr. Stege, make sure you note where you are stoping it and where you are going forward to.

MR. STEGE: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.

## BY MR. STEGE:

- Q Let's attempt to move here from, wait until it is exactly five minutes. Let's try to go to about ten minutes.

  9:49 we'll start at. I will go from 10:30 to 14:27. What is he referring to there-when he says he likes the way that looks?
- A There is a vent that allows air to flow from the hallway into the room.
- Q Why did you take this tactic or this approach to the interviewing asking about his morning or his day?
- A I wanted him to try and recall his day. Obviously,
  I was also trying to carry a conversation on with him so I
  could ascertain if he would be able to answer questions, if he
  could understand what I was asking him and he would be able to
  articulate himself. It appeared to me he was able to, was
  able to answer some questions and indicated to me he
  understood some of the statements I was making or questions I
  was asking.

- Q You gave him his Miranda warnings?
- A Yes.

1.0

- Q That is something you were looking through the warning to see if he understood?
  - A Yes.
  - Q In fact you asked him if he understood?
  - A Yes. I asked him twice.
- Q For the record we stopped at 19:14. I am pausing at 22:23. What is your thinking up to this point?

A I continued with the interview and continued to ask him questions. One of the things that I noticed was he did not want to make eye contact with me which indicated to me that he was having a hard time me asking him questions, and he was uncomfortable, which, in my experience, is common when people are having a hard time discussing an issue or talking about something during an interview. In this particular case, given the circumstances of the violent and traumatic event that had just occurred literally within minutes or almost an hour prior to this, I wanted to just talk to him and kind of give him a chance to decompress and at the same time try to talk with him and get a better understanding of where he was at and what happened up at the incident. So I decided that I would continue just to try to talk to him, establish a rapport with him, try to get a better understanding of where he was at

in terms of the interview and in terms of his mood or his willingness to cooperate and to talk with me. And as long as he was willing to talk to me, and he was willing to answer my questions, I would continue the interview.

- Q Is it fair to state you have been in an interview before with people who don't want to tell you what they know?
  - A Yes.

- Q That is part of that avoiding eye contact?
- A Yes.
- Q Let's continue. Is it fair to say this sort of goes along for a while, this sort of not really answering?

notice was when I would ask or I would hint towards what his day was or what had happened that specific date, that is when he would kind of look off and not make eye contact. And he used the phrase "Let me think about that for a while," quite often. And it almost, it appeared to me he was trying to search for an answer, figure out how he wanted to answer that question. However, when we talked about certain things that didn't directly relate to the actions of that day or what he had done that day, like when we talked about the boat or talked about fishing spots, certain specific things that he, from what I could interpret, it wasn't directly associated to what happened earlier, he would look at us. He would talk and

he would kind of engage in the conversation a little bit more. It was that constant back and forth, back and forth. It is hard to see, however one of the other things I did notice was when I would ask him about his day and to describe what happened that day, there was a point where he kind of looked up and it was apparent to me he was trying to recollect, and he almost started to become emotional like he didn't really want to think about it or talk about it.

Q We are at 25:17. We'll try to go near 32. Let's try 31:38. Let's pick up there. Pause at 35:24. Up until this point, we have had multiple instances of Pundyk searching for an answer?

A Yes.

1.2

Q Now being in the room with him like sitting across from him, was it your impression that he was really searching for it or--

MS. NORDVIG: Objection. Leading?

THE COURT: Sustained.

## BY MR. STEGE:

Q What was your impression of why he was searching?

A As I mentioned before we was talking to him, we were almost a half hour into the interview. We were still trying to discuss the same topic, what his daily event was, what he had done that day. There would be times when he would not

make eye contact. He would look around. He would use the phrase again, "Let me think about that for a while," as if he was trying to search for and/or figure out what he was going to say. By this time, one of the things I noticed is his bottle of water, not all the time, there were several times where he had sipped or he would take a swig but he wasn't drinking. He was just kind of wetting his lips or just pretending he was drinking, then put it down. He would kind of look around, then "Let me think about that for a while." That continued. At one point he said he needed to kind of stretch, so he stood up. He approached detective Nevills, and kind of stood over us, hands on his hips, changed his posture. And while he was trying to answer those questions, he would look down at me, and it became almost as if what I felt he was trying to figure out, you know, whether he was going to be able to outlast me and frustrate me.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I continued with the interview and just maintained patience with him to try to get him to understand all I was asking was simply what was his daily events were. So he continues with this and kind of makes movements forward and backwards and drinks and continues again with the, "Let me think about this for a while." But his posture, that is one of the things I noticed was compared to him sitting down and doing it, now he was standing up.

- Q Thank you. Let's continue. Had you really met Ken?
- A No.

- Q Did you know his name was Ken?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q Did you know something about the power before beginning this interview, or this information coming from him?

A The power I had heard when one of the other detectives had informed me as to who the neighbor was and that they had spoken to, one of the things I recalled them mentioning was earlier in the day the power had gone out and Ken had to go over to Mr. Pundyk's side of the duplex to access the power box so he could turn the power back up.

- Q Now he's accurately describing Ken and the power was out?
  - A Yes.
- Q Let's continue. Why did you bring up this issue of the military where he ends up saying "dream on about the military?"

A Some of the information I received during the initial call is that he had possibly like a semi-automatic military type rifle like AR-15 and SKS or variation of an AK-47. I wanted to kind of investigate his familiarity with weapons, that type of weapon as well as even just maybe find out if he had any prior military service. That would possibly

be a way, something we could talk about as well. So we could continue our conversation. I could continue to get to know him and try to find out from with him what happened that day, what his day, what occurred during the day and what he had done for the whole today.

Q Pause at 46:19. Why did you specifically ask about his mom and dad?

A Given the fact that the victim on scene was identified as his mother, I wanted to bring up his family situation, talk to him about his mom and dad. That changed his demeanor. You can't really see it here. He bent down to pick up the bottle, kind of stared, gave me a glare when I asked about mom and dad, and then he responded, "Why do you ask?" We continued to go back and forth with whether he had family in Reno. He still had difficulty expressing or telling me, you know, that he -- It was obvious to me he didn't want to talk about family or about his mom.

Q Let's continue. Up this point you haven't told him about a sandwich. Did you know anything about a sandwich when you started?

A I did know. There was outside on like kind of a tray out in the front yard, that there was a purse and some other items, groceries and a sandwich.

Q You didn't mention it. He's the first one to

mention it?

- 1.2

A No. That is why I asked him open endedly was she bringing you something? And he was the one that actually offered up she was bringing him a sandwich.

THE COURT: I am going to stop you there. We'll take a recess now.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we'll take a short recess. During this recess do not discuss the case among yourselves or with any other person.

Do not form or express any opinion about the ultimate outcome of this matter.

- Do not allow anyone to speak of the case to you in anyway.

Do not make any independent investigation or inquiry into the case or circumstances. Do not consult dictionaries or the Internet. If anyone tries to talk to you about it or influence you, let the bailiff know immediately. Go ahead and go in the jury room for the afternoon recess.

(Short recess taken.)

THE COURT: Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. STEGE: Yes.

MS. NORDVIG: Yes.

THE COURT: Please be seated. You may continue.

BY MR. STEGE:

Q Let's continue, detective. We picked up at 48 minutes 30 seconds. What was he just doing there when he asked for a minute?

A We were talking about his mom. Basically he said his mom had come over, brought him a sandwich. I kept asking if they were talking and if there was some type of an argument between them, and he looked away from me again and paused for, he had a pause there, was just kind of staring off. He became emotional and then once again, "Let me think about that." It was apparent to me it had struck a chord, that we had reached a point where he acknowledged his mom was there. It was him and mom.

Q Let's continue. We pick up at 31:34. Pausing here at 50:47:18. This transition to that she was upset, where did that conversation start? What was the beginning of that? Who was upset? Who did he say was upset first?

A I kept asking what they were talking about or if they had argued, if they had talked. I still had not got a clear answer. I kept asking what were they arguing about or talking about. And he indicated that she was upset. And at one point he said she's always worrying a lot. I asked him directly if he was upset. He said no, she was upset. And I just wanted to continue to try and get out of him or try and

have him describe or explain to me what exactly they were talking about or arguing about while they were at the house. It still wasn't clear. He continued with kind of evading it and doing the whole, you know, "Let me think about that." And then, but he did — he did sit down, and he started to think about it, and he would answer. And then we would continue to talk, but then he would kind of go off topic. And then I would have to ask him again. So it was just a consistent back and forth about what were you talking about or arguing about.

Q Let's continue. Let's pause at 1:04:21. Did you ever an idea from the scene maybe something had happened in front of the house?

A I wasn't sure if anything had occurred in front of the house. I was trying to talk to him about it and ask him if any type of interaction or incident occurred, whether it was in front of the house, out by the street. I wanted to try and get information from him to kind of get an idea of how it started, where did they first meet up, where were they talking or arguing, and pinpoint where they were when this was going on.

At this point right here, he said the front door.

So I went with, okay, the front door. But it was throughout the interview, it is a constant back and forth, back and forth. I would have to ask him a more specific question, give

him a little more detail and help deal with it a little if he's not comfortable.

- Q Can I interrupt you there? At the time of the interview, you did not know it was through the fence?
  - A No, I did not.
- Q Are we going to see a series here where, I think both you and detective Nevills think he was out front when the shootings happened?
  - A Yes.
- Q Even though he had just said I said hand it over, hand the sandwich over?
- Yes.

1.2-

Q Let's continue. Let's pause here at 1:12:10. We have seen a change in body language from the defendant. How is that affecting the way you're questioning him?

A As you saw earlier where he started to breakdown, it appeared to me that he was close to finding, accepting what was going on and possibly going to tell me what happened. I tried to -- I tried to take an approach of being like a friend and telling him that I would be there to help him get it off his chest and help him come to terms with it and discuss it. But he was able to gather himself as we were sitting there holding hands. He kind of, he kept stalling and kind of gathered himself and realized --

MS. NORDVIG: Objection, speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

## BY MR. STEGE:

Q Was there some interaction with detective Nevills you saw that sort of changed from leaning towards holding your hands to sitting back?

A Yes, he became uncomfortable with me at that point and diverted his attention now to detective Nevills. That is when he turned and asked "Who are you?" He never asked that before. We introduced ourselves. Now he shifted his focus from me, and I felt he didn't want to continue with talking to me and trying or listening to me ask my questions and try to get him to get whatever it was he was holding back off his chest. He turned to detective Nevills. That is when we broke contact, and he sat back. And we were right back into going back and forth and trying to get him to answer questions about why this happened and what exactly happened.

Q Okay. Continuing. Let's skip from 1:32:51 to 1:49:03. Let's settle for 1:49:06. 152:03. Have you made any progress so far in getting anymore detail from Mr. Pundyk?

A No.

- Q Let's continue to skip from 1:52, 1:52:03. Let's try to go to 1:58:06.
  - Q You just told him he wasn't being honest. Based on

your understanding of the evidence, was what you said true, that just handing the sandwich over the fence, she wanted to come in, he didn't want her to?

A No, it was not.

Q He said, now we are paused at 2:08 and no seconds. He said a few times now about the sandwich being over the fence?

A Yes.

2.

Q As you're interviewing him, did you know that or were you thinking maybe it happened out in the front yard?

A I still wasn't clear whether he was -- whether everything had happened out in the front yard by a small gate and a fence that lines the sidewalk just as you walk through the entry, like a walkup going up to the front door, or if he was talking about the wooden fence along the north side of the residence.

Q Let's continue. Let's pause at 2:13:58 detective, shortly in this interview, you and detective Nevills leave the room; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Up until that point, do you make any progress in getting Mr. Pundyk to tell you details, anymore details than you already know at this point?

A No. It took that amount of time just to get

whatever information we had at that time up until that point. 1 It was a slow process and required a lot of patience. 2 continued with it and continued with the interview to get as 3 much as we can. 4 MR. STEGE: Your Honor, perhaps if we could approach 5 the bench? 6 THE COURT: Yes. MR. STEGE: This is a good place to stop. I can 8 skip to the break in the video. Starting tomorrow I can skip 9 10 20 minutes. THE COURT: So do you want to skip to the beginning 11 and then we'll let the jury go? Because if you just skip that that, they won't figure where you're at. How much more do you 13 14 have? This goes on forever. MR. STEGE: 15 THE COURT: How much are you going to play. 16 17 MR. STEGE: I am trying to --THE COURT: What do you think? 18 MR. STEGE: I think he will be here most of 19 20 tomorrow. Tomorrow is Thursday. 21 THE COURT: MS. NORDVIG: If we start at 1:00, he will probably 22 be here the rest of afternoon. 23

MR. STEGE:

24

There is more breaks coming up or more

1 jumps coming up. THE COURT: You're at -- Are we at 16 tomorrow 2 morning or 15? This is tomorrow, so I am trying to figure out 3 what time. 4 THE CLERK: 14. 5 THE COURT: 14. Two hours. Bring them book at 6 7 11:30? MR. STEGE: You are probably good. Most of them are 8 sentencings. 9 THE COURT: Maybe not. I am worried about the four 10 11 probation revocations. MS. NORDVIG: That and this one might go a little 12 while. I don't remember this one. Don't know anything about 13 that. We can all figure that one out. 14 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 MS. NORDVIG: This one won't be long, I know that. 16 THE COURT: Oh. 17 MS. NORDVIG: We only have one report on that one. 18 THE COURT: You don't have two? 19 MS. NORDVIG: It will be even shorter. 20 THE COURT: I have nothing else really scheduled, so 21 we can start this at 11:30, but I have got to give the court 22 reporter a break. 23 MS. NORDVIG: What if we play it safe and start at 24

12:30, then everybody can have lunch before they come in. 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 3 MR. STEGE: Whatever we need to do. MS. NORDVIG: So Judy, whoever is here, has a break. 4 THE COURT: Will you be able to get done tomorrow if we start at 12:30? That is what I am worried about. 6 7 MR. STEGE: It will be close. MS. NORDVIG: We have to get done with him. 8 MR. STEGE: I think I might be able to finish the 9 10 case, is that what you are asking about? THE COURT: Or close. 11 MR. STEGE: It will be short. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 13 Okay. We are going to go ahead. You are going to 14 go a little bit further. 15 BY MR. STEGE: 16 Detective, let's note here we are going to skip from 17 2:13:58 and aim for 2:28:38: Let's pause at 2:29:04. We'll 18 19 pickup with this tomorrow. THE COURT: Okay, ladies and gentlemen of the 20 jury, we are going to take our evening recess, and I have been 21 communicating with the clerk. We are trying to figure out 22 what time to bring you back. I know I told you 1:00. There 23

is quite a bit more video. I want to make sure we can get

through it all. So we are thinking well have you come back at 12:30 instead of 1:00 o'clock. Does that mess anybody up?

Tell me, otherwise that way we can have a few more, a little bit more time. So if you will try to get back before 12:30 so we can actually start at 12:30, I would appreciate that. And we will go tomorrow until around 5:00 again. And then Friday it looks like we'll start at 9:00 in the morning. So that could change tomorrow. I may give a change up on that. Right now that is what it looks like.

Now this recess we are going to go to, you must remember the admonition I have been giving you all at breaks. You are not to discuss among yourselves or with anyone else including members of your family, friends, co-workers or people involved in the trial any matter having to do with this case. This includes discussing the case or anything to do with it, the parties, the attorneys, verbally, in person, by phone, in writing or on the Internet, through Internet features such as chat rooms, blogs, bulletin boards, Facebook, Twitter, e-mail, instant messaging, text messaging or other forms of electronic communication.

It is further your duty not to form or express any opinion concerning the guilt or innocence of the defendant until this matter is finally submitted to you for decision.

You are not to read, look at, listen to any news

media account or any other account or commentary including any Online information about the trial or anyone or things associated with it. You will be required to decide all questions of fact in this case from the evidence received in this trial and not from any other source.

1.2

Do not make any independent investigation or inquiry into the facts of the case or the subject matter of it. This means for example you must not do any research, consult dictionaries, search the Internet or use any other reference materials, visit the scene or conduct experiments. Should any person attempt to discuss the case with you or in any manner attempt to influence you, report it to the bailiff immediately.

Go ahead and leave now, and I will see you back tomorrow at 12:30 in the afternoon thank you.

(Whereupon the jury left the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Sir, I will have you come back tomorrow ready to be on the stand by 12:30.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down. Counsel, while you were at the bench there was a discussion about Michael Spaulding's case. We have received the second evaluation. I just wanted to give you that head up. You don't plan to be here?

MS. NORDVIG: No. I will try to make sure whoever is covering it gets it, at least knows about it. I will be in the office so I can run over if I need to do deliver it.

THE CLERK: It was filed about 3:30, approved shortly after that.

THE COURT: Okay.

1.2

MS. NORDVIG: Perfect. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: You give to whoever is going to be here.

MS. NORDVIG: They probably won't, but I will text her. I will text him with what the findings are. Thank you.

THE COURT: So tomorrow 12:30. Is there anything that needs to be resolved outside the presence of the jury?

MR. STEGE: No. I think at some point we are going to have to pick up the text message photos.

MS. NORDVIG: Maybe not. Maybe not. We are talking about it.

THE COURT: You all talk about it. It hasn't come before me yet.

MR. STEGE: Right.

THE COURT: In its totality. So you all continue to discuss whatever you need to discuss. But I would like, if we were to do something else out of the presence of the jury, I would like to do it make Friday morning before I call the jury back. So let me know if you need to have a little extra time

built in. Rather than them waiting on us, I would rather they didn't come to the courthouse as soon as we normally would have them come, otherwise, we'll see you back tomorrow afternoon by 12:30. That concludes today's hearing. Court's in recess. (Whereupon the Court adjourned until 12:30 p.m. Thursday, July 19, 2018. 1.2 

1 STATE OF NEVADA, SS. COUNTY OF WASHOE. 2 I, Judith Ann Schonlau, Official Reporter of the 3 Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and 4 for the County of Washoe, DO HEREBY CERTIFY: 5 That as such reporter I was present in Department 6 7 No. 4 of the above-entitled court on July 18, 2018 at the hour of 9:00 a.m. of said day and that I then and there took 8 9 verbatim stenotype notes of the proceedings had in the matter 10 of THE STATE OF NEVADA vs. EDWARD SAMUEL PUNDYK, Case Number 11 CR16-1290. That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 12 13 numbered 1-100 inclusive, is a full, true and correct transcription of my said stenotypy notes, so taken as 14 15 aforesaid, and is a full, true and correct statement of the proceedings had and testimony given upon the trial of the 16 17 above-entitled action to the best of my knowledge, skill and 18 ability. 19 DATED: At Reno, Nevada this 3rd day of September, 2018. 20 21 22 /s/ Judith Ann Schonlau JUDITH ANN SCHONLAU CSR #18 23

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 5th day of July 2019. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

> Jennifer P. Noble, Chief Appellate Deputy, Washoe County District Attorney

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:

Edward Samuel Pundyk (#1207257) Ely State Prison P.O. Box 1989 Ely, Nevada 89301

> John Reese Petty Washoe County Public Defender's Office