IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JAMES J. COTTER, JR., derivatively on behalf of Reading International, Inc., Appellant, v.	Electronically Filed Aug 30 2019 02:35 p.m. Supreme Condita Basic Mo E75003 Consolidate Clevitle Case None Court 76981, 77648 & 77733
DOUGLAS MCEACHERN, EDWARD (KANE, JUDY CODDING, WILLIAM GOULD, MICHAEL WROTNIAK, and nominal defendant READING INTERNATIONAL, INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION (Respondents.)	District Court Case No. A-15-719860-B Coordinated with: Case No. P-14-0824-42-E

Appeal (77648 & 76981)

Eighth Judicial District Court, Dept. XI The Honorable Elizabeth G. Gonzalez

JOINT APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEFS FOR CASE NOS. 77648 & 76981 Volume XXIX JA7059 – JA7308

Steve Morris, Esq. (NSB #1543) Akke Levin, Esq. (NSB #9102) Morris Law Group 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 474-9400

Attorneys for Appellant James J. Cotter, Jr.

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2015-06-12	Complaint	I	JA1-JA31
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - Douglas	I	JA32-JA33
	McEachern		***
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - Edward Kane	I	JA34-JA35
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - Ellen Cotter	I	JA36-JA37
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - Guy Adams	I	JA38-JA39
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - Margaret Cotter	I	JA40-JA41
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - RDI	I	JA42-JA43
2015-06-18	Amended AOS – Timothy Storey	I	JA44-JA45
2015-06-18	Amended AOS – William Gould	I	JA46-JA47
2015-08-10	Motion to Dismiss Complaint	I	JA48-JA104
2015-08-20	Reading International, Inc. ("RDI")'s Joinder to Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, Douglas McEachern, Guy Adams, & Edward Kane ("Individual Defendants") Motion to Dismiss Complaint	I	JA105-JA108
2015-08-28	T2 Plaintiffs' Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint	Ι	JA109-JA126
2015-08-31	RDI's Motion to Compel Arbitration	Ι	JA127-JA148
2015-09-03	Individual Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Complaint	Ι	JA149-JA237
2015-10-06	Transcript of 9-10-15 Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss & Plaintiff Cotter Jr. ("Cotter Jr.")'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction	I, II	JA238-JA256
2015-10-12	Order Denying RDI's Motion to Compel Arbitration	II	JA257-JA259
2015-10-19	Order Re Motion to Dismiss Complaint	II	JA260-JA262
2015-10-22	First Amended Verified Complaint	II	JA263-JA312
2015-11-10	Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Calendar Call	II	JA313-JA316

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2016-02-12	T2 Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	II	JA317-JA355
2016-02-23	Transcript of 2-18-16 Hearing on Motion to Compel & Motion to File Document Under Seal	II	JA356-JA374
2016-03-14	Individual Defendants' Answer to Cotter's First Amended Complaint	II	JA375-JA396
2016-03-29	RDI's Answer to Cotter, Jr.'s First Amended Complaint	II	JA397-JA418
2016-03-29	RDI's Answer to T2 Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	II	JA419-JA438
2016-04-05	Codding and Wrotniak's Answer to T2 Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	II	JA439-JA462
2016-06-21	Stipulation and Order to Amend Deadlines in Scheduling Order	II	JA463-JA468
2016-06-23	Transcript of 6-21-16 Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Compel & Motion to Disqualify T2 Plaintiffs	II	JA469-JA493
2016-08-11	Transcript of 8-9-16 Hearing on Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, Motion to Compel & Motion to Amend	II, III	JA494-JA518
2016-09-02	Cotter Jr.'s Second Amended Verified Complaint	III	JA519-JA575
2016-09-23	Defendant William Gould ("Gould")'s MSJ	III, IV, V, VI	JA576-JA1400
2016-09-23	MIL to Exclude Expert Testimony of Steele, Duarte-Silva, Spitz, Nagy, & Finnerty	VI	JA1401-JA1485
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 1) Re: Plaintiff's Termination and Reinstatement Claims ("Partial MSJ No. 1)	VI, VII, VIII, IX	JA1486-JA2216 (FILED UNDER SEAL JA2136A-D)

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 2) Re: The Issue of Director Independence ("Partial MSJ No. 2")	IX, X	JA2217-JA2489 (FILED UNDER SEAL JA2489A-HH)
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 3) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Purported Unsolicited Offer ("Partial MSJ No. 3")	X, XI	JA2490-JA2583
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 4) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Executive Committee ("Partial MSJ No. 4")	XI	JA2584-JA2689
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 5) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Appointment of Ellen Cotter as CEO ("Partial MSJ No. 5")	XI, XII	JA2690-JA2860
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 6) Re Plaintiff's Claims Re Estate's Option Exercise, Appointment of Margaret Cotter, Compensation Packages of Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter, and related claims Additional Compensation to Margaret Cotter and Guy Adams ("Partial MSJ No. 6")	XII, XIII, XIV	JA2861-JA3336
2016-09-23	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment ("MPSJ")	XIV, XV	JA3337-JA3697
2016-10-03	Order Granting Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel Production of Documents & Communications Re the Advice of Counsel Defense	XV	JA3698-JA3700

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2016-10-03	Order Re Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Permit Certain Discovery re Recent "Offer"	XV	JA3701-JA3703
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to MIL to Exclude Expert Testimony	XV	JA3704-JA3706
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial-MSJ No. 1	XV	JA3707-JA3717
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 2	XV	JA3718-JA3739
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 3	XV	JA3740-JA3746
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 4	XV	JA3747-JA3799
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 5	XV	JA3800-JA3805
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 6	XV, XVI	JA3806-JA3814
2016-10-13	Individual Defendants' Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s MPSJ	XVI	JA3815-JA3920
2016-10-13	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s MPSJ	XVI	JA3921-JA4014
2016-10-13	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Gould's MSJ	XVI	JA4015-JA4051
2016-10-13	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Partial MSJ No. 1	XVI, XVII	JA4052-JA4083
2016-10-13	Cotter, Jr.'s Opposition to Partial MSJ No. 2	XVII	JA4084-JA4111
2016-10-13	Cotter, Jr.'s Opposition to Partial MSJ No. 6	XVII	JA4112-JA4142
2016-10-17	Cotter Jr.'s Appendix of Exhibits ISO Opposition to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 1	XVII, XVIII	JA4143-JA4311 (FILED UNDER SEAL JA4151A-C)

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2016-10-17	Cotter Jr.'s Appendix of Exhibits ISO Opposition to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 2	XVIII	JA4312-JA4457
2016-10-17	Cotter Jr.'s Appendix of Exhibits ISO Opposition to Gould's MSJ	XVIII	JA4458-JA4517
2016-10-21	Individual Defendants' Reply ISO of Partial MSJ No. 1	XVIII	JA4518-JA4549
2016-10-21	Individual Defendants' Reply ISO Partial MSJ No. 2	XVIII, XIX	JA4550-JA4567
2016-10-21	RDI's Reply ISO Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 1	XIX	JA4568-JA4577
2016-10-21	RDI's Reply ISO Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 2	XIX	JA4578-JA4588
2019-10-21	RDI's Consolidated Reply ISO Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ Nos. 3, 4, 5 & 6	XIX	JA4589-JA4603
2016-10-21	RDI's Reply ISO Gould's MSJ	XIX	JA4604-JA4609
2016-10-21	Gould's Reply ISO MSJ	XIX	JA4610-JA4635
2016-10-21	Declaration of Bannett ISO Gould's Reply ISO MSJ	XIX	JA4636-JA4677
2016-10-21	Individual Defendants' Reply ISO Partial MSJ Nos. 3, 4, 5, and 6	XIX	JA4678–JA4724
2016-10-26	Individual Defendants' Objections to Declaration of Cotter, Jr. Submitted in Opposition to Partial MSJs	XIX	JA4725-JA4735
2016-11-01	Transcript of 10-27-16 Hearing on Motions	XIX, XX	JA4736-JA4890
2016-12-20	RDI's Answer to Cotter Jr.'s Second Amended Complaint	XX	JA4891-JA4916
2016-12-21	Order Re Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ Nos. 1–6 and MIL to Exclude Expert Testimony	XX	JA4917-JA4920
2016-12-22	Notice of Entry of Order Re Partial MSJ Nos. 1-6 and MIL to Exclude Expert Testimony	XX	JA4921-JA4927

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2017-10-04	First Amended Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial Conference, and Calendar Call	XX	JA4928-JA4931
2017-10-11	Individual Defendants' Motion for Evidentiary Hearing Re Cotter Jr.'s Adequacy as Derivative Plaintiff	XX	JA4932-JA4974
2017-10-17	Gould's Joinder to Motion for Evidentiary Hearing re Cotter Jr.'s Adequacy as Derivative Plaintiff	XX	JA4975-JA4977
2017-10-18	RDI's Joinder to Motion for Evidentiary Hearing re Cotter Jr.'s Adequacy as Derivative Plaintiff	XX	JA4978-JA4980
2017-11-09	Individual Defendants' Supplement to Partial MSJ Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6	XX	JA4981-JA5024
2017-11-21	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Supplement to Partial MSJ Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5 & 6	XX	JA5025-JA5027
2017-11-27	Transcript of 11-20-17 Hearing on Motion for Evidentiary Hearing re Cotter Jr.'s Adequacy & Motion to Seal	XX	JA5028-JA5047
2017-11-28	Individual Defendants' Answer to Cotter Jr.'s Second Amended Complaint	XX, XXI	JA5048-JA5077
2017-12-01	Gould's Request For Hearing on Previously-Filed MSJ	XXI	JA5078-JA5093
2017-12-01	Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 1 & 2 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5094-JA5107
2017-12-01	Declaration of Levin ISO Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 1 & 2 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5108-JA5118

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2017-12-01	Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 2 & 5 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5119-JA5134
2017-12-01	Declaration of Levin ISO Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 2 & 5 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5135-JA5252
2017-12-01	Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 2 & 6 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5253-JA5264
2017-12-01	Declaration of Levin ISO Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 2 & 6 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5265-JA5299
2017-12-01	Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 2 & 3 & Gould MSJ	XXI, XXII	JA5300-JA5320
2017-12-01	Declaration of Levin ISO Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to So-Called MSJ Nos. 2 & 3 & Gould MSJ	XXII	JA5321-JA5509
2017-12-04	Individual Defendants' Reply ISO Renewed Partial MSJ Nos. 1 & 2	XXII	JA5510-JA5537
2017-12-04	Gould's Supplemental Reply ISO of MSJ	XXII	JA5538-JA5554
2017-12-05	Declaration of Bannett ISO Gould's Supplemental Reply ISO MSJ	XXII, XXIII	JA5555-JA5685
2017-12-08	Joint Pre-Trial Memorandum	XXIII	JA5686-JA5717
2017-12-11	Transcript of 12-11-2017 Hearing on [Partial] MSJs, MILs, and Pre-Trial Conference	XXIII	JA5718-JA5792
2017-12-19	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration of Ruling on Partial MSJ Nos. 1, 2 & 3 and Gould's MSJ on OST ("Motion for Reconsideration")	XXIII, XXIV	JA5793-JA5909

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2017-12-26	Individual Defendants' Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion For Reconsideration	XXIV	JA5910-JA5981
2017-12-27	Gould's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration	XXIV	JA5982-JA5986
2017-12-27	Declaration of Bannett ISO Gould's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration	XXIV, XXV	JA5987-JA6064
2017-12-28	Order Re Individual Defendants' Partial MSJs, Gould's MSJ, and MILs	XXV	JA6065-JA6071
2017-12-28	Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Stay on OST	XXV	JA6072-JA6080
2017-12-29	Notice of Entry of Order Re Individual Defendants' Partial MSJs, Gould's MSJ, and MIL	XXV	JA6081-JA6091
2017-12-29	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification and Stay on OST	XXV	JA6092-JA6106
2017-12-29	Transcript of 12-28-17 Hearing on Motion for Reconsideration and Motion for Stay	XXV	JA6107-JA6131
2018-01-02	Individual Defendants' Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification and Stay	XXV	JA6132-JA6139
2018-01-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification and Stay	XXV	JA6140-JA6152
2018-01-03	RDI's Errata to Joinder to Individual Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification and Stay	XXV	JA6153-JA6161
2018-01-03	RDI's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Show Demand Futility	XXV	JA6162-JA6170
2018-01-03	Cotter Jr.'s Reply ISO Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification and Stay	XXV	JA6171-JS6178

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2018-01-04	Order Granting Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification	XXV	JA6179-JA6181
2018-01-04	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification	XXV	JA6182-JA6188
2018-01-04	Order Denying Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration and Stay	XXV	JA6189-JA6191
2018-01-04	Adams and Cotter sisters' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	XXV	JA6192-JA6224 (FILED UNDER SEAL
			JA6224A-F)
2018-01-05	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to RDI's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Show Demand Futility	XXV	JA6225-JA6228
2018-01-05	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	XXV	JA6229-JA6238
2018-01-05	Declaration of Krum ISO Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	XXV	JA6239-JA6244
2018-01-05	Transcript of 1-4-18 Hearing on Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification	XXV	JA6245-JA6263
2018-01-08	Transcript of Hearing on Demand Futility Motion and Motion for Judgment	XXV	JA6264-JA6280
2018-01-10	Transcript of Proceedings of 01-8- 18 Jury Trial–Day 1	XXV	JA6281-JA6294
2018-02-01	Cotter Jr.'s Notice of Appeal	XXV	JA6295-JA6297
2018-04-18	Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel (Gould)	XXV, XXVI	JA6298-JA6431

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2018-04-23	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Omnibus Relief on OST	XXVI, XXVII	JA6432-JA6561 (FILED UNDER SEAL JA6350A; JA6513A-C)
2018-04-24	Gould's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel	XXVII	JA6562-JA6568
2018-04-24	Gould's Declaration ISO Opposition to Motion to Compel	XXVII	JA6569-JA6571
2018-04-24	Bannett's Declaration ISO Gould's Opposition to Motion to Compel	XXVII	JA6572-JA6581
2018-04-27	Cotter Jr.'s Reply ISO Motion to Compel (Gould)	XXVII	JA6582-JA6599
2018-04-27	RDI's Opposition to Cotter's Motion for Omnibus Relief	XXVII	JA6600-JA6698
2018-05-03	Transcript of 4-30-18 Hearing on Motions to Compel & Seal	XXVII	JA6699-JA6723
2018-05-04	Second Amended Order Setting Jury Trial, Pre-trial Conference, and Calendar Call	XXVII	JA6724-JA6726
2018-05-07	Transcript of 5-2-18 Hearing on Evidentiary Hearing	XXVII, XXVIII	JA6727-JA6815
2018-05-11	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to RDI's Motion for Leave to File Motion	XXVIII	JA6816-JA6937
2018-05-15	Adams and Cotter sisters' Motion to Compel Production of Docs re Expert Fee Payments on OST	XXVIII, XXIX	JA6938-JA7078
2018-05-18	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Motion to Compel Production of Docs re Expert Fee Payments	XXIX	JA7079-JA7087
2018-05-18	Adams and Cotter sisters' Pre- Trial Memo	XXIX	JA7088-JA7135
2018-05-18	Cotter Jr.'s Pre-Trial Memo	XXIX	JA7136-JA7157

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2018-05-24	Transcript of 05-21-18 Hearing on Adams and Cotter sisters' Motion to Compel	XXIX	JA7158-JA7172
2018-06-01	Adams and Cotter sisters' Motion for Summary Judgment ("Ratification MSJ")	XXIX	JA7173-JA7221
2018-06-08	Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel on OST	XXIX, XXX, XXXI	JA7222-JA7568
2018-06-12	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Relief Based on Noncompliance with Court's May 2, 2018 Rulings on OST ("Motion for Relief")	XXXI	JA7569-JA7607
2018-06-13	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Ratification MSJ	XXXI	JA7608-JA7797
2018-06-13	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to RDI's Demand Futility Motion	XXXI, XXXII	JA7798-JA7840
2018-06-15	Adams and Cotter sisters' Reply ISO of Ratification MSJ	XXXII	JA7841-JA7874
2018-06-18	RDI's Combined Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel & Motion for Relief	XXXII	JA7875-JA7927
2018-06-18	Adams and Cotter sisters' Joinder to RDI's Combined Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel & Motion for Relief	XXXII, XXXIII	JA7928-JA8295
2018-06-18	Gould's Joinder to RDI's Combined Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel & Motion for Relief	XXXIII	JA8296-JA8301
2018-06-18	Cotter Jr.'s Reply ISO Motion for Relief Re: 05-02-18 Rulings	XXXIII, XXXIV	JA8302-JA8342
2018-06-20	Transcript of 06-19-18 Omnibus Hearing on discovery motions and Ratification MSJ	XXXIV	JA8343-JA8394

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2018-07-12	Order Granting In Part Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel (Gould) & Motion for Relief	XXXIV	JA8395-JA8397
2018-07-12	Order Granting in Part Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Omnibus Relief & Motion to Compel	XXXIV	JA8398-JA8400
2018-08-14	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Judgment	XXXIV	JA8401-JA8411
2018-08-16	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Judgment	XXXIV	JA8412-JA8425
2018-08-24	Memorandum of Costs submitted by RDI for itself & the director defendants	XXXIV	JA8426-JA8446
2018-08-24	RDI's Appendix of Exhibits to Memorandum of Costs	XXXIV, XXXV, XXXVI	JA8447-JA8906
2018-09-05	Notice of Entry of SAO Re Process for Filing Motion for Attorney's Fees	XXXVI	JA8907-JA8914
2018-09-05	Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Retax Costs	XXXVI	JA8915-JA9018
2018-09-07	RDI's Motion for Attorneys' Fees	XXXVI, XXXVII	JA9019-JA9101
2018-09-12	RDI's Motion for Judgment in Its Favor	XXXVII	JA9102-JA9107
2018-09-13	Cotter Jr.'s Notice of Appeal	XXXVII	JA9108-JA9110
2018-09-14	RDI's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Retax Costs	XXXVII	JA9111-JA9219
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix ISO Opposition to Motion to Retax ("Appendix") Part 1	XXXVII, XXXVIII, XXXIX	JA9220-JA9592
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 2	XXXIX, XL, XLI	JA9593- JA10063
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 3	XLI, XLII, XLIII	JA10064- JA10801

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 4	XLIII,	JA10802-
		XLIV	JA10898
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix Part 5	XLIV,	JA10899-
		XLV	JA11270
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 6	XLV,	JA11271-
		XLVI	JA11475
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 7	XLVI,	
		XLVII,	JA11476-
		XLVIII,	JA12496
		XLIX, L	
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 8	L, LI, LII	JA12497-
		L, Ll, Lll	JA12893
2018-09-14	Suggestion of Death of Gould	LII,	JA12894-
	Upon the Record	ын,	JA12896
2018-09-24	Cotter Jr.'s Reply to RDI's Opp'n to	LII	JA12897-
	Motion to Retax Costs	LII	JA12921
2018-09-24	Cotter Jr.'s Appendix of Exhibits		IA 12022
	ISO Reply to RDI's Opposition to	LII, LIII	JA12922-
	Motion to Retax Costs		JA13112
2018-10-01	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to RDI's	LIII	JA13113-
	Motion for Judgment in its Favor	LIII	JA13125
2018-10-02	Transcript of 10-01-18 Hearing on	LIII	JA13126-
	Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Retax Costs	LIII	JA13150
2018-11-02	Cotter Jr.'s Letter to Court	LIII	JA13151-
	Objecting to Proposed Order	LIII	JA13156
2018-11-02	Cotter Jr.'s Errata to Letter to		JA13157-
	Court Objecting to Proposed	LIII	JA13157- JA13162
	Order		JA13102
2018-11-06	Order Granting in Part Motion to		JA13163-
	Retax Costs & Entering Judgment	LIII	JA13165- JA13167
	for Costs ("Cost Judgment")		JA1310/
2018-11-06	Notice of Entry of Order of Cost	LIII	JA13168-
	Judgment		JA13174
2018-11-16	Order Denying RDI's Motion for	LIII	JA13175-
	Attorneys' Fees	L111	JA13178

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2018-11-06	Order Denying RDI's Motion for Judgment in Its Favor	LIII	JA13179- JA13182
2018-11-20	Notice of Entry of Order Denying RDI's Motion for Attorneys' Fees	LIII	JA13183- JA13190
2018-11-20	Notice of Entry of Order Denying RDI's Motion for Judgment in Its Favor	LIII	JA13191- JA13198
2018-11-26	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration & Amendment of Cost Judgment, for Limited Stay of Execution on OST	LIII	JA13199- JA13207
2018-11-30	RDI's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration and Response to Motion for Limited Stay of Execution on OST	LIII	JA13208- JA13212
2018-11-30	Adams and Cotter sisters' Joinder to RDI's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration and Response to Motion for Limited Stay of Execution	LIII	JA13213- JA13215
2018-12-06	Order Re Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration & Amendment of Judgment for Costs and for Limited Stay	LIII	JA13216- JA13219
2018-12-06	Cotter Jr.'s Notice of Appeal from Cost Judgment	LIII	JA13220- JA13222
2018-12-07	Notice of Entry of Order Re Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration & Amendment of Cost Judgment and for Limited Stay	LIII	JA13223- JA13229
2018-12-14	Cotter Jr.'s Notice of Posting Cost Bond on Appeal	LIII	JA13230- JA13232

Date	Description	Vol. #	Page Nos.
2018-06-18	Adams and Cotter sisters' Joinder to RDI's Combined Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel & Motion for Relief	XXXII, XXXIII	JA7928- JA8295
2018-11-30	Adams and Cotter sisters' Joinder to RDI's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration and Response to Motion for Limited Stay of Execution	LIII	JA13213- JA13215
2018-01-04	Adams and Cotter sisters' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	XXV	JA6192- JA6224 (FILED UNDER SEAL JA6224A-F)
2018-06-01	Adams and Cotter sisters' Motion for Summary Judgment ("Ratification MSJ")	XXIX	JA7173- JA7221
2018-05-15	Adams and Cotter sisters' Motion to Compel Production of Docs re Expert Fee Payments on OST	XXVIII, XXIX	JA6938- JA7078
2018-05-18	Adams and Cotter sisters' Pre- Trial Memo	XXIX	JA7088- JA7135
2018-06-15	Adams and Cotter sisters' Reply ISO of Ratification MSJ	XXXII	JA7841- JA7874
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - Douglas McEachern	I	JA32-JA33
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - Edward Kane	I	JA34-JA35
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - Ellen Cotter	I	JA36-JA37
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - Guy Adams	I	JA38-JA39
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - Margaret Cotter	I	JA40-JA41
2015-06-18	Amended AOS - RDI	I	JA42-JA43
2015-06-18	Amended AOS – Timothy Storey	I	JA44-JA45
2015-06-18	Amended AOS – William Gould	I	JA46-JA47

Date	Description	Vol. #	Page Nos.
2018-04-24	Bannett's Declaration ISO Gould's Opposition to Motion to Compel	XXVII	JA6572- JA6581
2016-04-05	Codding and Wrotniak's Answer to T2 Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	II	JA439- JA462
2015-06-12	Complaint	I	JA1-JA31
2016-10-17	Cotter Jr.'s Appendix of Exhibits ISO Opposition to Gould's MSJ	XVIII	JA4458- JA4517
2016-10-17	Cotter Jr.'s Appendix of Exhibits ISO Opposition to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 1	XVII, XVIII	JA4143- JA4311 (FILED UNDER SEAL JA4151A-C)
2016-10-17	Cotter Jr.'s Appendix of Exhibits ISO Opposition to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 2	XVIII	JA4312- JA4457
2018-09-24	Cotter Jr.'s Appendix of Exhibits ISO Reply to RDI's Opposition to Motion to Retax Costs	LII, LIII	JA12922- JA13112
2018-11-02	Cotter Jr.'s Errata to Letter to Court Objecting to Proposed Order	LIII	JA13157- JA13162
2018-11-02	Cotter Jr.'s Letter to Court Objecting to Proposed Order	LIII	JA13151- JA13156
2018-04-23	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Omnibus Relief on OST	XXVI, XXVII	JA6432- JA6561 (FILED UNDER SEAL JA6350A;
2016-09-23	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment ("MPSJ")	XIV, XV	JA6513A-C) JA3337- JA3697

Date	Description	Vol. #	Page Nos.
2018-11-26	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration & Amendment of Cost Judgment, for Limited Stay of Execution on OST	LIII	JA13199- JA13207
2017-12-19	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration of Ruling on Partial MSJ Nos. 1, 2 & 3 and Gould's MSJ on OST ("Motion for Reconsideration")	XXIII, XXIV	JA5793- JA5909
2018-06-12	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Relief Based on Noncompliance with Court's May 2, 2018 Rulings on OST ("Motion for Relief")	XXXI	JA7569- JA7607
2017-12-29	Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification and Stay on OST	XXV	JA6092- JA6106
2018-04-18	Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel (Gould)	XXV, XXVI	JA6298- JA6431
2018-06-08	Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel on OST	XXIX, XXX, XXXI	JA7222- JA7568
2018-09-05	Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Retax Costs	XXXVI	JA8915- JA9018
2017-12-28	Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Stay on OST	XXV	JA6072- JA6080
2018-02-01	Cotter Jr.'s Notice of Appeal	XXV	JA6295- JA6297
2018-09-13	Cotter Jr.'s Notice of Appeal	XXXVII	JA9108- JA9110
2018-12-06	Cotter Jr.'s Notice of Appeal from Cost Judgment	LIII	JA13220- JA13222
2018-12-14	Cotter Jr.'s Notice of Posting Cost Bond on Appeal	LIII	JA13230- JA13232
2018-01-05	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	XXV	JA6229- JA6238

Date	Description	Vol. #	Page Nos.
2016-10-13	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Gould's MSJ	XVI	JA4015- JA4051
2018-05-18	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Motion to Compel Production of Docs re Expert Fee Payments	XXIX	JA7079- JA7087
2016-10-13	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Partial MSJ No. 1	XVI, XVII	JA4052- JA4083
2018-06-13	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Ratification MSJ	XXXI	JA7608- JA7797
2018-06-13	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to RDI's Demand Futility Motion	XXXI, XXXII	JA7798- JA7840
2018-10-01	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to RDI's Motion for Judgment in its Favor	LIII	JA13113- JA13125
2018-05-11	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to RDI's Motion for Leave to File Motion	XXVIII	JA6816- JA6937
2018-01-05	Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to RDI's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Show Demand Futility	XXV	JA6225- JA6228
2018-05-18	Cotter Jr.'s Pre-Trial Memo	XXIX	JA7136- JA7157
2018-06-18	Cotter Jr.'s Reply ISO Motion for Relief Re: 05-02-18 Rulings	XXXIII, XXXIV	JA8302- JA8342
2018-01-03	Cotter Jr.'s Reply ISO Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification and Stay	XXV	JA6171- JS6178
2018-04-27	Cotter Jr.'s Reply ISO Motion to Compel (Gould)	XXVII	JA6582- JA6599
2018-09-24	Cotter Jr.'s Reply to RDI's Opp'n to Motion to Retax Costs	LII	JA12897- JA12921
2016-09-02	Cotter Jr.'s Second Amended Verified Complaint	III	JA519- JA575
2017-12-01	Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 1 & 2 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5094- JA5107

Date	Description	Vol. #	Page Nos.
2017-12-01	Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 2 & 3 & Gould MSJ	XXI, XXII	JA5300- JA5320
2017-12-01	Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 2 & 5 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5119- JA5134
2017-12-01	Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 2 & 6 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5253- JA5264
2016-10-13	Cotter, Jr.'s Opposition to Partial MSJ No. 2	XVII	JA4084- JA4111
2016-10-13	Cotter, Jr.'s Opposition to Partial MSJ No. 6	XVII	JA4112- JA4142
2017-12-27	Declaration of Bannett ISO Gould's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration	XXIV, XXV	JA5987- JA6064
2016-10-21	Declaration of Bannett ISO Gould's Reply ISO MSJ	XIX	JA4636- JA4677
2017-12-05	Declaration of Bannett ISO Gould's Supplemental Reply ISO MSJ	XXII, XXIII	JA5555- JA5685
2018-01-05	Declaration of Krum ISO Cotter Jr.'s Opposition to Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	XXV	JA6239- JA6244
2017-12-01	Declaration of Levin ISO Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 1 & 2 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5108- JA5118
2017-12-01	Declaration of Levin ISO Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 2 & 5 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5135- JA5252
2017-12-01	Declaration of Levin ISO Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to Partial MSJ Nos. 2 & 6 & Gould MSJ	XXI	JA5265- JA5299

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2017-12-01	Declaration of Levin ISO Cotter Jr.'s Supplemental Opposition to So-Called MSJ Nos. 2 & 3 & Gould MSJ	XXII	JA5321- JA5509
2016-09-23	Defendant William Gould ("Gould")'s MSJ	III, IV, V, VI	JA576- JA1400
2018-08-14	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Judgment	XXXIV	JA8401- JA8411
2017-10-04	First Amended Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial Conference, and Calendar Call	XX	JA4928- JA4931
2015-10-22	First Amended Verified Complaint	II	JA263- JA312
2018-04-24	Gould's Declaration ISO Opposition to Motion to Compel	XXVII	JA6569- JA6571
2017-10-17	Gould's Joinder to Motion for Evidentiary Hearing re Cotter Jr.'s Adequacy as Derivative Plaintiff	XX	JA4975- JA4977
2018-06-18	Gould's Joinder to RDI's Combined Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel & Motion for Relief	XXXIII	JA8296- JA8301
2017-12-27	Gould's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration	XXIV	JA5982- JA5986
2018-04-24	Gould's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel	XXVII	JA6562- JA6568
2016-10-21	Gould's Reply ISO MSJ	XIX	JA4610- JA4635
2017-12-01	Gould's Request For Hearing on Previously-Filed MSJ	XXI	JA5078- JA5093
2017-12-04	Gould's Supplemental Reply ISO of MSJ	XXII	JA5538- JA5554
2017-11-28	Individual Defendants' Answer to Cotter Jr.'s Second Amended Complaint	XX, XXI	JA5048- JA5077

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2016-03-14	Individual Defendants' Answer to Cotter's First Amended Complaint	II	JA375- JA396
2017-10-11	Individual Defendants' Motion for Evidentiary Hearing Re Cotter Jr.'s Adequacy as Derivative Plaintiff	XX	JA4932- JA4974
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 1) Re: Plaintiff's Termination and Reinstatement Claims ("Partial MSJ No. 1)	VI, VII, VIII, IX	JA1486- JA2216 (FILED UNDER SEAL JA2136A-D)
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 2) Re: The Issue of Director Independence ("Partial MSJ No. 2")	IX, X	JA2217- JA2489 (FILED UNDER SEAL JA2489A- HH)
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 3) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Purported Unsolicited Offer ("Partial MSJ No. 3")	X, XI	JA2490- JA2583
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 4) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Executive Committee ("Partial MSJ No. 4")	XI	JA2584- JA2689
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 5) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Appointment of Ellen Cotter as CEO ("Partial MSJ No. 5")	XI, XII	JA2690- JA2860

Date	Description	Vol. #	Page Nos.
2016-09-23	Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 6) Re Plaintiff's Claims Re Estate's Option Exercise, Appointment of Margaret Cotter, Compensation Packages of Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter, and related claims Additional Compensation to Margaret Cotter and Guy Adams ("Partial MSJ No. 6")	XII, XIII, XIV	JA2861- JA3336
2015-09-03	Individual Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Complaint	I	JA149- JA237
2016-10-26	Individual Defendants' Objections to Declaration of Cotter, Jr. Submitted in Opposition to Partial MSJs	XIX	JA4725- JA4735
2017-12-26	Individual Defendants' Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion For Reconsideration	XXIV	JA5910- JA5981
2018-01-02	Individual Defendants' Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification and Stay	XXV	JA6132- JA6139
2016-10-13	Individual Defendants' Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s MPSJ	XVI	JA3815- JA3920
2016-10-21	Individual Defendants' Reply ISO of Partial MSJ No. 1	XVIII	JA4518- JA4549
2016-10-21	Individual Defendants' Reply ISO Partial MSJ No. 2	XVIII, XIX	JA4550- JA4567
2016-10-21	Individual Defendants' Reply ISO Partial MSJ Nos. 3, 4, 5, and 6	XIX	JA4678- JA4724
2017-12-04	Individual Defendants' Reply ISO Renewed Partial MSJ Nos. 1 & 2	XXII	JA5510- JA5537
2017-11-09	Individual Defendants' Supplement to Partial MSJ Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6	XX	JA4981- JA5024

Date	Description	Vol. #	Page Nos.
2017-12-08	Joint Pre-Trial Memorandum	XXIII	JA5686- JA5717
2018-08-24	Memorandum of Costs submitted by RDI for itself & the director defendants	XXXIV	JA8426- JA8446
2016-09-23	MIL to Exclude Expert Testimony of Steele, Duarte-Silva, Spitz, Nagy, & Finnerty	VI	JA1401- JA1485
2015-08-10	Motion to Dismiss Complaint	I	JA48-JA104
2018-08-16	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Judgment	XXXIV	JA8412- JA8425
2018-11-20	Notice of Entry of Order Denying RDI's Motion for Attorneys' Fees	LIII	JA13183- JA13190
2018-11-20	Notice of Entry of Order Denying RDI's Motion for Judgment in Its Favor	LIII	JA13191- JA13198
2018-01-04	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification	XXV	JA6182- JA6188
2018-11-06	Notice of Entry of Order of Cost Judgment	LIII	JA13168- JA13174
2018-12-07	Notice of Entry of Order Re Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration & Amendment of Cost Judgment and for Limited Stay	LIII	JA13223- JA13229
2017-12-29	Notice of Entry of Order Re Individual Defendants' Partial MSJs, Gould's MSJ, and MIL	XXV	JA6081- JA6091
2016-12-22	Notice of Entry of Order Re Partial MSJ Nos. 1-6 and MIL to Exclude Expert Testimony	XX	JA4921- JA4927
2018-09-05	Notice of Entry of SAO Re Process for Filing Motion for Attorney's Fees	XXXVI	JA8907- JA8914

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2018-01-04	Order Denying Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration and Stay	XXV	JA6189- JA6191
2018-11-16	Order Denying RDI's Motion for Attorneys' Fees	LIII	JA13175- JA13178
2018-11-06	Order Denying RDI's Motion for Judgment in Its Favor	LIII	JA13179- JA13182
2015-10-12	Order Denying RDI's Motion to Compel Arbitration	II	JA257- JA259
2018-01-04	Order Granting Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification	XXV	JA6179- JA6181
2016-10-03	Order Granting Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel Production of Documents & Communications Re the Advice of Counsel Defense	XV	JA3698- JA3700
2018-07-12	Order Granting in Part Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Omnibus Relief & Motion to Compel	XXXIV	JA8398- JA8400
2018-07-12	Order Granting In Part Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel (Gould) & Motion for Relief	XXXIV	JA8395- JA8397
2018-11-06	Order Granting in Part Motion to Retax Costs & Entering Judgment for Costs ("Cost Judgment")	LIII	JA13163- JA13167
2018-12-06	Order Re Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration & Amendment of Judgment for Costs and for Limited Stay	LIII	JA13216- JA13219
2016-10-03	Order Re Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Permit Certain Discovery re Recent "Offer"	XV	JA3701- JA3703
2016-12-21	Order Re Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ Nos. 1–6 and MIL to Exclude Expert Testimony	XX	JA4917- JA4920

Date	Description	Vol. #	Page Nos.
2017-12-28	Order Re Individual Defendants' Partial MSJs, Gould's MSJ, and MILs	XXV	JA6065- JA6071
2015-10-19	Order Re Motion to Dismiss Complaint	II	JA260- JA262
2016-12-20	RDI's Answer to Cotter Jr.'s Second Amended Complaint	XX	JA4891- JA4916
2016-03-29	RDI's Answer to Cotter, Jr.'s First Amended Complaint	II	JA397- JA418
2016-03-29	RDI's Answer to T2 Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	II	JA419- JA438
2018-08-24	RDI's Appendix of Exhibits to Memorandum of Costs	XXXIV, XXXV, XXXVI	JA8447- JA8906
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix ISO Opposition to Motion to Retax ("Appendix") Part 1	XXXVII, XXXVIII , XXXIX	JA9220- JA9592
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 2	XXXIX, XL, XLI	JA9593- JA10063
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 3	XLI, XLII, XLIII	JA10064- JA10801
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 4	XLIII, XLIV	JA10802- JA10898
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix Part 5	XLIV, XLV	JA10899- JA11270
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 6	XLV, XLVI	JA11271- JA11475
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 7	XLVI, XLVII, XLVIII, XLIX, L	JA11476- JA12496
2018-09-14	RDI's Appendix, Part 8	L, LI, LII	JA12497- JA12893

Date	Description	Vol.#	Page Nos.
2018-06-18	RDI's Combined Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Compel & Motion for Relief	XXXII	JA7875- JA7927
2019-10-21	RDI's Consolidated Reply ISO Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ Nos. 3, 4, 5 & 6	XIX	JA4589- JA4603
2018-01-03	RDI's Errata to Joinder to Individual Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification and Stay	XXV	JA6153- JA6161
2016-10-13	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s MPSJ	XVI	JA3921- JA4014
2018-01-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification and Stay	XXV	JA6140- JA6152
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial-MSJ No. 1	XV	JA3707- JA3717
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 2	XV	JA3718- JA3739
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 3	XV	JA3740- JA3746
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 4	XV	JA3747- JA3799
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 5	XV	JA3800- JA3805
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 6	XV, XVI	JA3806- JA3814
2017-11-21	RDI's Joinder to Individual Defendants' Supplement to Partial MSJ Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5 & 6	XX	JA5025- JA5027
2016-10-03	RDI's Joinder to MIL to Exclude Expert Testimony	XV	JA3704- JA3706

Date	Description	Vol. #	Page Nos.
2017-10-18	RDI's Joinder to Motion for Evidentiary Hearing re Cotter Jr.'s Adequacy as Derivative Plaintiff	XX	JA4978- JA4980
2018-09-07	RDI's Motion for Attorneys' Fees	XXXVI, XXXVII	JA9019- JA9101
2018-09-12	RDI's Motion for Judgment in Its Favor	XXXVII	JA9102- JA9107
2015-08-31	RDI's Motion to Compel Arbitration	I	JA127- JA148
2018-01-03	RDI's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Show Demand Futility	XXV	JA6162- JA6170
2018-11-30	RDI's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Reconsideration and Response to Motion for Limited Stay of Execution on OST	LIII	JA13208- JA13212
2018-09-14	RDI's Opposition to Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Retax Costs	XXXVII	JA9111- JA9219
2018-04-27	RDI's Opposition to Cotter's Motion for Omnibus Relief	XXVII	JA6600- JA6698
2016-10-21	RDI's Reply ISO Gould's MSJ	XIX	JA4604- JA4609
2016-10-21	RDI's Reply ISO Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 1	XIX	JA4568- JA4577
2016-10-21	RDI's Reply ISO Individual Defendants' Partial MSJ No. 2	XIX	JA4578- JA4588
2015-08-20	Reading International, Inc. ("RDI")'s Joinder to Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, Douglas McEachern, Guy Adams, & Edward Kane ("Individual Defendants") Motion to Dismiss Complaint	I	JA105- JA108
2015-11-10	Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Calendar Call	II	JA313- JA316

Date	Description	Vol. #	Page Nos.
2018-05-04	Second Amended Order Setting Jury Trial, Pre-trial Conference, and Calendar Call	XXVII	JA6724- JA6726
2016-06-21	Stipulation and Order to Amend Deadlines in Scheduling Order	II	JA463- JA468
2018-09-14	Suggestion of Death of Gould Upon the Record	LII,	JA12894- JA12896
2016-02-12	T2 Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	II	JA317- JA355
2015-08-28	T2 Plaintiffs' Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint	I	JA109- JA126
2015-10-06	Transcript of 9-10-15 Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss & Plaintiff Cotter Jr. ("Cotter Jr.")'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction	I, II	JA238- JA256
2016-02-23	Transcript of 2-18-16 Hearing on Motion to Compel & Motion to File Document Under Seal	II	JA356- JA374
2016-06-23	Transcript of 6-21-16 Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Compel & Motion to Disqualify T2 Plaintiffs	II	JA469- JA493
2016-08-11	Transcript of 8-9-16 Hearing on Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, Motion to Compel & Motion to Amend	II, III	JA494- JA518
2016-11-01	Transcript of 10-27-16 Hearing on Motions	XIX, XX	JA4736- JA4890
2017-11-27	Transcript of 11-20-17 Hearing on Motion for Evidentiary Hearing re Cotter Jr.'s Adequacy & Motion to Seal	XX	JA5028- JA5047
2017-12-11	Transcript of 12-11-2017 Hearing on [Partial] MSJs, MILs, and Pre-Trial Conference	XXIII	JA5718- JA5792

Date	Description	Vol. #	Page Nos.
2017-12-29	Transcript of 12-28-17 Hearing on Motion for Reconsideration and Motion for Stay	XXV	JA6107- JA6131
2018-01-05	Transcript of 1-4-18 Hearing on Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification	XXV	JA6245- JA6263
2018-01-08	Transcript of Hearing on Demand Futility Motion and Motion for Judgment	XXV	JA6264- JA6280
2018-01-10	Transcript of Proceedings of 01-8- 18 Jury Trial–Day 1	XXV	JA6281- JA6294
2018-05-03	Transcript of 4-30-18 Hearing on Motions to Compel & Seal	XXVII	JA6699- JA6723
2018-05-07	Transcript of 5-2-18 Hearing on Evidentiary Hearing	XXVII, XXVIII	JA6727- JA6815
2018-05-24	Transcript of 05-21-18 Hearing on Adams and Cotter sisters' Motion to Compel	XXIX	JA7158- JA7172
2018-06-20	Transcript of 06-19-18 Omnibus Hearing on discovery motions and Ratification MSJ	XXXIV	JA8343- JA8394
2018-10-02	Transcript of 10-01-18 Hearing on Cotter Jr.'s Motion to Retax Costs	LIII	JA13126- JA13150

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of MORRIS LAW GROUP; I am familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing documents for mailing; that, in accordance therewith, I caused the following document to be e-served via the Supreme Court's electronic service process. I hereby certify that on the 28th day of August, 2019, a true and correct copy of the foregoing JOINT APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEFS FOR CASE NOS.

77648 & 76981, was served by the following method(s):

☑ Supreme Court's EFlex Electronic Filing System:

Stan Johnson Cohen-Johnson, LLC 255 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Christopher Tayback Marshall Searcy Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA

Attorneys for Respondents Edward Kane, Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak

Mark Ferrario Kara Hendricks Tami Cowden Greenberg Traurig, LLP 10845 Griffith Peak Drive Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Attorneys for Nominal Defendant Reading International, Inc.

Donald A. Lattin Carolyn K. Renner Maupin, Cox & LeGoy 4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, Nevada 89519

Ekwan E. Rhow Shoshana E. Bannett Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert, Nessim, Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow, P.C. 1875 Century Park East, 23rd Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90067-2561

Attorneys for Respondent William Gould

Judge Elizabeth Gonzalez Eighth Judicial District court of Clark County, Nevada Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

By: <u>/s/ Gabriela Mercado</u>

411 E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422 MORRIS LAW GROUP

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

particular claim to any particular category or amount of damages. For example, Defendants have no idea what relief Plaintiff is seeking in connection with the "involuntary retirement of Storey" or "process/process failures in connection with nomination and retention of directors, including Codding and/or Wrotniak." Plaintiff's list of claims/damages is indecipherable and nonsensical; Plaintiff has attempted to reserve the right at trial to pursue any claim he wants and seek whatever damages he wants. Defendants cannot prepare for trial based on these inadequate disclosures, which amount to nothing but gamesmanship and are highly prejudicial.

RDI's Position:

RDI contends the equitable relief sought would result in significant disruption of RDI management and the pursuit of its long term business strategy. Additionally, RDI joins in the statement of the Director Defendants regarding Plaintiff's purported damages.

MORRIS LAW GROUP

By: <u>/s/ AKKE LEVIN</u> Steve Morris, Bar No. 1543 Akke Levin, Bar No. 9102 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Mark G. Krum, Bar No. 10913 YURKO, SALVESEN & REMZ, P.C. 1 Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, MA 02108

Attorneys for Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr.

H. Stan Johnson (00265) Cohen Johnson Parker Edwards 375 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, NV 89119 702.823.3500

31

MORRIS LAW GROUP 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 \cdot Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 \cdot Fax 702/474-9422

28

Christopher Tayback (pro hac vice) Marshall Searcy (pro hac vice) Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 213.443.3000

Attorneys for Defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, Douglas McEachern, Guy Adams, Edward Kane, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak

Mark Ferrario (No. 1625) Kara Hendricks (No. 7743) Tami Cowden (No. 8994) Greenberg Traurig, LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 400 North Las Vegas, NV 89169 702.792.3773

Attorneys for Reading International, Inc.

Donald A. Lattin (NV SBN. 693) Carolyn K. Renner (NV SBN. 9164) Maupin, Cox & Legoy 4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, Nevada 89519 775.827.2000

Ekwan E. Rhow (admitted pro hac vice) Shoshana E. Bannett (admitted pro hac vice) Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert, Nessim, Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow, P.C. 1875 Century Park East, 23rd Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-2561 310.201.2100

EXHIBIT 8



Laura Batista <laurabatista310@gmail.com>

Visitor at Cecelia

Mon, Apr 23, 2018 at 1:50 PM

Hi Laura,

Please see the attached incident description provided by our office staff Laura Lopez. We make every effort here to shield our employees from any personal complications. We do not allow visitors in the packing house for that very reason. We try to keep the drama down and make this a pleasant environment in which to work. I hope we do not receive any more visitors like this.

Thank you, Karen and David

WHAT YOU DO TODAY CAN IMPROVE ALL YOUR TOMORROWS

Karen C. Vargas, CPA

Controller Cecelia Packing Corporation Phone: (559) 626-5000 Fax: (559) 626-7561 kvargas@ceceliapack.com



A gentlemen came in on 03/29/2018 looking for James Cotter Jr. I told him that Jim Jr. did not work out of this office. He started asking me if Jr. lived up at the front house. I told him no Jr. did not live at the house up front. He started asking for Jim Jr's address or what office he worked out of or if I had a phone number for him and I told him that I could not give out that kind of information. He was very persistent trying to get information about Jim Jr. which made me uncomfortable because I'm not to give out personal information. He did not look like any kind of professional person, just a scruffy off the street looking guy. He then asked me to mail a paper to Jim Jr and left.

mail a paper to Jim Jr and left.

Xaura Laura Lopez

EXHIBIT 9

Ellen Cotter

From:

amcotter1@aol.com

Sent:

Monday, April 23, 2018 12:06 PM

To:

Ellen Cotter

Subject:

Fwd: Letter 03/29/2018

Attachments:

Jim Jr. 03-29-2018.pdf; ATT00001.htm

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: David Roth droth@ceceliapack.com
Date: April 17, 2018 at 2:22:56 PM EDT
To: Margaret Cotter amcotter1@aol.com

Subject: Fwd: Letter 03/29/2018

David Roth

Cecelia Packing Corporation

559-626-5000

www.ceceliapack.com

www.facebook.com/dimplescitrus

----- Forwarded message -----

From: David Roth < droth@ceceliapack.com>

Date: Tue, Apr 17, 2018 at 11:20 AM

Subject: Fwd: Letter 03/29/2018

To: Margaret Cotter < margaret.cotter@readingrdi.com >

David Roth

Cecelia Packing Corporation

559-626-5000

www.ceceliapack.com

www.facebook.com/dimplescitrus

----- Forwarded message -----

From: David Roth droth@ceceliapack.com>

Date: Tue, Apr 17, 2018 at 11:15 AM Subject: Fwd: Letter 03/29/2018

To: Margaret Cotter < margaret.cotter@readingrdi.com>

David Roth

Cecelia Packing Corporation

559-626-5000

www.ceceliapack.com

www.facebook.com/dimplescitrus

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Laura Lopez < llopez@ceceliapack.com>

Date: Thu, Mar 29, 2018 at 1:56 PM

Subject: Letter 03/29/2018

To: David Roth droth@ceceliapack.com>

A gentleman came in today with a letter for Jim Jr. I told him that Jim Jr didn't work out of this office, so he asked me to email this sheet to him. Should I email it to him.

Thank you.

Laura Lopez

Cecelia Packing Corp.

559-626-5000

llopez@ceceliapack.com

Urgent Message

March 28, 2018

Name: James Cotters

Account Number:

12690226

Concerning:

Alix Partners LLP

Address:

24780 east South Avenue Orange Cove. CA 93646

Dear Sir or Madam:

This is an URGENT message to inform you that your account is in a delinquent status. Since you have not responded to our continued efforts to reach you via telephone and mail, I have been forced to send your account for a field visit. I ask that upon receipt of this letter you immediately phone me so we can discuss this matter further.

Sincerely,

Account Manager:

Larry Klein

847-407-2666

Ext: 295

Manager:

Peter Gonzalez

847-407-2328

Ext:

Urgent Message... Urgent Message...

EXHIBIT 10

quinn emanuel trial lawyers | los angeles

865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 | TEL (213) 443-3000 FAX (213) 443-3100

Writers Direct Dial No. (213) 443-3152

WRITER'S EMAIL ADDRESS marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com

May 7, 2018

VIA E-MAIL

Mark G. Krum, Esq. Yurko, Salvesen & Remz, P.C. One Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, MA 02108-2603 mkrum@bizlit.com

Re: James J. Cotter, Jr., v. Margaret Cotter, et al., Case No. A-15-719860-B / P-14-082942-E

Dear Counsel:

We recently learned that a debt collector purporting to represent AlixPartners LLP, the consulting firm that employs Dr. John D. Finnerty (Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr.'s rebuttal damages expert), appeared at the offices of Cecelia Packing Corporation and demanded to see Plaintiff regarding unpaid bills to AlixPartners. Apparently, this field visit was triggered by the fact that Plaintiff's account was in a delinquent status and Plaintiff had not responded to earlier debt collection inquiries made via telephone and mail.

In the parties' December 8, 2017 Joint Pretrial Memorandum, submitted on the eve of the initial trial date for this matter, Plaintiff represented to the Court and Defendants that each of his disclosed experts—including Dr. Finnerty—would appear at trial and "will offer opinion testimony., (See 12/8/17 J. Pretrial Mem. at 24-25.) Plaintiff made similar representations to the Court and Defendants regarding the anticipated appearances of each of his experts at the final pretrial conference held on January 5, 2018. (See 1/5/18 Hr'g Tr. at 28:4-32:10.)

The recent visit from the AlixPartners representative calls into question the continued accuracy of Plaintiff's previous representations regarding the anticipated appearances of his experts. As you are aware, Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e)(1) creates a duty "to supplement at appropriate intervals,, a party's initial disclosures, disclosures regarding expert testimony, and pretrial disclosures whenever the previously-disclosed information "is incomplete or incorrect and if the additional or corrective informative has not otherwise been made known to the other

quinn emanuel urquhart & sullivan, Ilp

 $LOS ANGELES + NEW YORK + SAN FRANCISCO + SILICON VALLEY + CHICAGO + WASHINGTON, DC + HOUSTON + SEATTLE \\ LONDON + TOKYO + MANNHEIM + MOSCOW + HAMBURG + PARIS + MUNICH + SYDNEY + HONG KONG + BRUSSELS + ZURICH + SYDNEY + S$

parties during the discovery process or in writing., In light of Plaintiff's obligations under NRCP 26(e)(1), and given the parties' need to file another Joint Pretrial Memorandum in less than two weeks, we ask that Plaintiff confirm by Wednesday, May 9, 2018 which of Plaintiff's disclosed experts will appear at the forthcoming trial.

In addition, in each of the deposition subpoenas (duces tecum) that they served upon Plaintiff and his experts, Defendants sought the production of all communications between the expert "and Plaintiff, Plaintiff's counsel, or anyone acting on their behalf, relating to this litigation, and "documents sufficient to identify the total fees paid to [the expert] for any work [the expert] performed on behalf of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel during the course of this litigation., (See, e.g., Dep. Subpoena (Duces Tecum) to John Finnerty, Req. Nos. 2, 6.) Information pertaining to the expert fees incurred by Plaintiff and his payment—or nonpayment—thereof is captured by these requests. Such information should be produced to Defendants, as it is not privileged. See Subpoenaed Witness v. United States, 171 F.3d 511, 513 (7th Cir. 1999) ("[I]nformation regarding . . . fees is not protected by the attorney-client privilege because the payment of fees is not a confidential communication.,.); Ralls v. United States, 52 F.3d 223, 225 (9th Cir. 1995) (the attorney-client privilege "applies only to confidential professional communications, and the payment of fees is usually incidental to the attorney-client relationship,); Vingelli v. United States, 992 F.2d 449, 452 (2d Cir. 1993) ("fee arrangements do not fall within the attorney-client privilege because they are not the kinds of disclosures that would not have been made absent the privilege and their disclosure does not incapacitate the attorney from rendering legal advice,); Washington v. Sheppard, 52 Wash. App. 707, 711, 763 P.2d 1232, 1234 (Wash. Ct. App. 1988) ("the amount, source, and manner of payment of the fee [must] be disclosed,,).

Accordingly, we further request that Plaintiff confirm by Wednesday, May 9, 2018 that, pursuant to Defendants' ongoing document requests and Plaintiff's obligation to timely supplement his document production, he will produce forthwith all correspondence with his expert witnesses regarding their fees and his payment (or nonpayment) of any amounts he owes or has owed resulting from expert services in this matter. Should Plaintiff fail to provide the requested assurances or fail to agree to produce all responsive information regarding his (non)payments to his experts, Defendants will be compelled to bring a motion before the Court. Defendants are available to meet and confer on Wednesday morning if any of this is unclear.

Very truly yours,

Marshall M. Searcy, III

cc: All Counsel

David Armillei

From:

Marshall Searcy

Sent:

Wednesday, May 09, 2018 4:21 PM

To:

'Mark G. Krum'; 'hendricksk@gtlaw.com'; 'ferrariom@gtlaw.com'

Cc:

'sm@morrislawgroup.com'; 'al@morrislawgroup.com'; 'Sanford F. Remz'; 'Noemi A.

Kawamoto'; Noah Helpern; 'sbannett@birdmarella.com'; 'sheffieldm@gtlaw.com'; Cotter

Team

Subject:

RE: Cotter/RDI

Mark, I have not received a response to my letter from Monday. In light of the urgency of this matter, we again ask that you confer with us tomorrow morning or else we will be obligated to seek relief from the Court.

From: Marshall Searcy

Sent: Monday, May 07, 2018 9:23 PM

To: Mark G. Krum <mkrum@bizlit.com>; hendricksk@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com

Cc: sm@morrislawgroup.com; al@morrislawgroup.com; Sanford F. Remz < sremz@bizlit.com>; Noemi A. Kawamoto

<nkawamoto@bizlit.com>; Noah Helpern <noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com>; sbannett@birdmarella.com;

sheffieldm@gtlaw.com; Cotter Team <CotterTeam@quinnemanuel.com>

Subject: Cotter/RDI

Mark,

Please see the attached letter.

EXHIBIT 12

David Armillei

From: Mark G. Krum <mkrum@bizlit.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 11:59 AM

To: Marshall Searcy; 'hendricksk@gtlaw.com'; 'ferrariom@gtlaw.com'

Cc: 'sm@morrislawgroup.com'; 'al@morrislawgroup.com'; Sanford F. Remz; Noemi A.

Kawamoto; Noah Helpern; 'sbannett@birdmarella.com'; 'sheffieldm@gtlaw.com'; Cotter

Team

Subject: RE: Cotter/RDI

Marshall,

I have your letter transmitted at approximately 9:30 p.m. on Monday night, which demands a response within less than 48 hours. As a pretext for demanding a response in less than 48 hours, you claim to have "recently" learned that AlixPartners, who employs Dr. John D. Finnerty, an expert designated by plaintiff, apparently has a fee dispute with plaintiff. When I did not meet your less than 48 hour deadline, you sent an email last night at 7:22 p.m. eastern demanding that I be available this morning to speak because the matter is "urgent."

That is utter fiction. Counsel for defendants have known about this matter for months, as evidenced by the fact that Mr. Ferrario raised it months ago. He likewise referred to it in court last week when he opted to obfuscate and accuse rather than speak to the failure of defense counsel to produce and/or log certain documents regarding the "ratifications" upon which they seek to have the case dismissed. Simply put, there is nothing "urgent" about the matter raised in your letter of Monday, which matter you delayed raising by months.

For those reasons and others, your letter is recognized for what it is, namely, a pretext to create a dispute you intend to use to raise with the Court in an effort to prejudice plaintiff and deflect attention from the machinations of defense counsel that resulted in the rulings of May 2. This squarely is in the category of Mr. Ferrario's unfounded and shameless implications last week to the effect that what transpired in January precipitating a trial continuance was a fiction perpetrated by plaintiff. We have no obligation to acquiesce to, much less facilitate, additional misuse of the litigation process by defense counsel.

As to Dr. Finnerty, you may and should understand that we do not intend to call him as a witness at trial presently anticipated to occur in July. That advice moots both the claimed "urgency" and any reason to meet and confer. If you maintain something remains, kindly advise what it is and we can speak at a mutually convenient time. For me, the first such time will be Monday, when I can be available until 5 p.m. eastern.

Mark

Mark G. Krum, Esq. YURKO, SALVESEN & REMZ, P.C. One Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02108 T: (617) 723 6900 F: (617) 723 6905 http://www.bizlit.com

YURKO, SALVESEN&REMZ, P.C.

From: Marshall Searcy [mailto:marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 9, 2018 7:21 PM

To: Mark G. Krum <mkrum@bizlit.com>; 'hendricksk@gtlaw.com' <hendricksk@gtlaw.com>; 'ferrariom@gtlaw.com' <ferrariom@gtlaw.com>

Cc: 'sm@morrislawgroup.com' <sm@morrislawgroup.com>; 'al@morrislawgroup.com' <al@morrislawgroup.com>; Sanford F. Remz <sremz@bizlit.com>; Noemi A. Kawamoto <nkawamoto@bizlit.com>; Noah Helpern <noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com>; 'sbannett@birdmarella.com' <sbannett@birdmarella.com>; 'sheffieldm@gtlaw.com' <sheffieldm@gtlaw.com>; Cotter Team <CotterTeam@quinnemanuel.com>

Subject: RE: Cotter/RDI

Mark, I have not received a response to my letter from Monday. In light of the urgency of this matter, we again ask that you confer with us tomorrow morning or else we will be obligated to seek relief from the Court.

From: Marshall Searcy

Sent: Monday, May 07, 2018 9:23 PM

To: Mark G. Krum < mkrum@bizlit.com >; hendricksk@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com

Cc: <u>sm@morrislawgroup.com</u>; <u>al@morrislawgroup.com</u>; Sanford F. Remz <<u>sremz@bizlit.com</u>>; Noemi A. Kawamoto <<u>nkawamoto@bizlit.com</u>>; Noah Helpern <<u>noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com</u>>; <u>sbannett@birdmarella.com</u>;

sheffieldm@gtlaw.com; Cotter Team <CotterTeam@quinnemanuel.com>

Subject: Cotter/RDI

Mark,

Please see the attached letter.

EXHIBIT 13

quinn emanuel trial lawyers | los angeles

865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 | TEL (213) 443-3000 FAX (213) 443-3100

WRITERS DIRECT DIAL No. (213) 443-3152

WRITER'S EMAIL ADDRESS marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com

May 10, 2018

VIA E-MAIL

Mark G. Krum, Esq. Yurko, Salvesen & Remz, P.C. One Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, MA 02108-2603 mkrum@bizlit.com

Re: James J. Cotter, Jr., v. Margaret Cotter, et al., Case No. A-15-719860-B / P-14-082942-E

Dear Mark:

I write in response to the numerous inaccuracies in your May 10, 2018 email to me, which purports to "moot"—but does not actually address—the two simple questions posed in my May 7, 2018 letter to you.

<u>First</u>, my letter asked that you "confirm by Wednesday, May 9, 2018 which of Plaintiff's disclosed experts will appear at the forthcoming trial." (5/7/18 Searcy Ltr. at 2.) There is no reason that you could not answer this basic question over a 48-hour period. Indeed, this inquiry was perfectly reasonable in light of the potential consequences of Plaintiff's apparent unpaid debt to AlixPartners (and possibly other experts), the rapidly-approaching trial for which Defendants need to prepare, the parties' upcoming Joint Pretrial Memorandum (due in about one week), Plaintiff's previous representations to the Court, and Plaintiff's continuing obligations under NRCP 26(e)(1). You have now informed us that Dr. Finnerty will not be appearing at trial, but have avoided my actual question, which was not specific to him. Are there any other experts, previously disclosed by Plaintiff, that will not be appearing at trial? If so, who?

Second, my letter requested that you "confirm by Wednesday, May 9, 2018 that, pursuant to Defendants' ongoing document requests and Plaintiff's obligation to timely supplement his document production, [Plaintiff] will produce forthwith all correspondence with his expert witnesses regarding their fees and his payment (or nonpayment) of any amounts he owes or has owed resulting from expert services in this matter." (5/7/18 Searcy Ltr. at 2.) Plaintiff

quinn emanuel urquhart & sullivan, Ilp

LOS ANGELES | NEW YORK | SAN FRANCISCO | SILICON VALLEY | CHICAGO | WASHINGTON, DC | HOUSTON | SEATTLE LONDON | TOKYO | MANNHEIM | MOSCOW | HAMBURG | PARIS | MUNICH | SYDNEY | HONG KONG | BRUSSELS | ZURICH

previously produced some of these materials prior to expert depositions in 2016. (*See*, *e.g.*, Dep. Exs. 428, 467, 476.) Such communications are responsive, relevant, and not privileged, and Plaintiff has a duty under Rule 26(e)(1) to supplement his production. Again, there is no reason that you could not answer this "yes/no" question in a 48-hour period. You did not, and your May 10 email ignores this question entirely. Please answer the question: Are you producing the requested communications? If so, when can we expect them?

<u>Finally</u>, the attorney colloquy in your email is irrelevant, incorrect, and unnecessarily vituperative. Defendants were informed about the visit to Cecelia Packing Corporation by the AlixPartners debt collector in late April—which is far from "months" ago, as you inaccurately assert. Similarly, Defendants' request that Plaintiff supplement his existing production to include relevant, newly-generated documents is in no way a "misuse of the litigation process by defense counsel"; rather, it is something that Plaintiff—like all litigants—is required to do by Nevada procedure. And your insinuation that Defendants' request has anything to do with issues surrounding the production of ratification documents is sadly misguided.

I ask that you actually respond to my two simple questions, originally posed on Monday, by the end of the day today. Should Plaintiff agree to produce the requested documents (as he is obligated), we are happy to meet and confer with you regarding a production schedule, including on Monday, May 14 as you suggest. But there is no reason for further baseless delay, especially in light of the rapidly-approaching trial.

Very truly yours,

Marshall M. Searcy, III

cc: All Counsel

Case Number: A-15-719860-B

Electronically Filed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

READING INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Nevada corporation,	
Nominal Defendant.	

I. INTRODUCTION

Defendants' disingenuous Motion to Compel is nothing more than a litigation tactic aimed at disparaging the plaintiff before trial under the guise of raising an "urgent" discovery issue. Defendants ran to the Court before making meaningful efforts to resolve the purported discovery issue and despite knowing that it was rendered moot when Plaintiff's counsel advised, on May 10, that Mr. Finnerty would not testify as an expert at trial.

The Motion is also baseless: Defendants did not ask Cotter for, nor do they need or are they entitled to, correspondence between him and his experts regarding expert fee payments. Such documents have no bearing on the issues in this case as to which discovery long ago. Defendants acknowledge as much: They have not supplemented their own production with the type of documents they now seek from Plaintiff. For these reasons and those stated below, the Court should deny Defendants' Motion to Compel.

II. **ARGUMENT**

A. The Motion to Compel is Untimely.

A party may not "delay a motion to compel with impunity." Gault v. Nabisco Co., 184 F.R.D. 620, 622 (D. Nev. 1999) (quoting The Rutter Group, Federal Civil Procedure Before Trial, ¶ 11.753 (1998)). Thus, courts have denied motions to compel filed on or after the discovery deadline, e.g., E.E.O.C. v. Pioneer Hotel, Inc., 2014 WL 5045109, *1 (D. Nev. Oct. 9, 2014), and

$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{MORRIS\ LAW\ GROUP} \\ \textbf{411\ E.\ Bonneville\ Ave., STE.\ 360 \cdot LAS\ VEGAS, NEVADA\ 89101} \\ \textbf{702/474-9400 \cdot FAX\ 702/474-9422} \end{array}$

after the time set for filing dispositive motions. *Gault*, 184 F.R.D. at 622. "Untimeliness is sufficient ground, standing alone, to deny a discovery motion." *Williams v. Las Vegas Metro. Police Dep't.*, 2015 WL 3489553 *2 (D. Nev. June 3, 2015) (citing *Affiliated FM Ins. Co. v. LTK Consulting Servs., Inc.*, 2012 WL 1903149, *5 (W.D. Wash. May 25, 2012)).

Here, discovery closed last October, 2017. Dispositive motions were due to be filed no later than November 9, 2017. Plaintiff's experts had produced their documents in response to Defendants' subpoenas more than a year earlier and been deposed. *See* Exs. 1-6 to Motion to Compel, on file. The Court only reopened discovery in January 2018 for the limited purpose of allowing Plaintiff to conduct discovery on the purported ratification and demand futility. As Defendants concede, the expert fee documents Defendants belatedly seek for the first time do not have a thing to do with ratification and demand futility.

Further, counsel for the moving Defendants knew no later than April 17, 2018—and likely earlier¹—that a bill collector had stopped by a Cotter-owned company to collect the purported bill from AlixPartners, expert Finnerty's company. *See* Motion to Compel, Ex. 9 (April 17, 2018 email from David Roth to Margaret Cotter). But their counsel did not raise this issue with Plaintiff's counsel until May 7. *See id.*, Ex. 10. Based on this (knowing) delay alone, the Motion should be denied.

when counsel for RDI raised the matter with counsel for plaintiff.

¹ David Roth first received word of the bill collector a month earlier, on March 29, 2018, the same day the bill collector allegedly stopped by Cecilia Packing Co. *See id.* (Email dated March 29, 2018 of Laura Lopez to David Roth). Counsel for RDI, who advised the Court that his client intends to join in Defendants' Motion, was aware of a billing dispute as early as January,

411 E. Bonneville Ave., STE. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

В. Defendants' counsel did not make good faith efforts to confer before filing the Motion.

Before bringing a motion to compel discovery, the moving party's counsel must make a "good faith" effort to meet and confer with opposing counsel to resolve the dispute. EDCR 2.34 (d). The rule contemplates an in-person or telephone conference. *Id*. If a telephone conference "was not possible, [counsel's] affidavit shall set forth the reasons." *Id.* Further, the affidavit must state "what was resolved and what was not resolved and the reasons therefore."

Consistent with their practice in this case, moving Defendants' counsel failed to comply with EDCR 2.34(d). Defendants' counsel first wrote Plaintiff's counsel on May 7, after hours, giving Plaintiff's counsel less than 48 hours to advise: (1) which experts he plans to call at trial; and (2) whether he will supplement his document production with correspondence between him and his experts. *See* Motion to Compel, Ex. 10.

On May 10, Plaintiff's counsel, Mark Krum, responded and advised that Mr. Finnerty would not be called at trial and that this should moot the issue, but still offered to speak, if necessary, on Monday May 14, advising he would be available until 5 p.m. EST. Motion to Compel, Ex. 12.

Rather than taking Plaintiff's counsel up on this offer, Defendants' counsel fired off another letter on May 10. See id., Ex. 13. Although Mr. Searcy wrote that he was "happy to meet and confer" regarding a "production schedule," id. at 2 (emphasis added), he did not offer to meet and confer about the basis for his requests. Indeed, the next day, on May 11—before having the telephone conference offered by Plaintiff's counsel—Defendants served their Motion to Compel. See Motion to Compel (electronically served on May 11, at 3:54 pm).

Thus, the record belies Mr. Searcy's "belie[f]" that he made "good faith" efforts "to resolve this matter without Court intervention." *Id.* at page

411 E. Bonneville Ave., STe. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

iv (Declaration of Marshall M. Searcy III), ¶ 16. Mr. Searcy's declaration also failed to acknowledge that Mr. Finnerty not testifying resolved Defendants' May 7 request for documents between Finnerty and Plaintiff based on the bill collector's March 29 visit. Nor did he explain why a telephone conference was not possible. Defendants' failure to comply with EDCR 2.34(d) provides a separate basis to deny Defendants' Motion.

- C. There is no Legal Basis for the Motion to Compel.
 - Plaintiff Has no Obligation to Respond to Expert Subpoenas.

Defendants' Motion to Compel repeatedly suggests that (1) there are outstanding "document requests" to **Plaintiff** that ask for or encompass correspondence between him and his experts relative to the payment or non-payment of their fees; and that (2) **Plaintiff** previously responded to them. See, e.g., Motion to Compel at 1:12-15 ("Defendants' preexisting document requests cover all communications. . . . Plaintiff produced such correspondence prior to expert depositions in late 2016") (emphasis added); id. at 4:26-27 ("...documents... pertaining to the expert fees ... are captured by Defendants' existing document requests"); id. at 6:25-26 ("... documents... .pertaining to the expert fees . . . are captured by Defendants' existing document requests, and Plaintiff previously produced such documents on behalf of his experts")(emphasis added).

These representations are false. Defendants served no Rule 34 document requests on Plaintiff pertaining to payment of expert fees to which Plaintiff responded. Defendants served **subpoenas** on Plaintiff's experts back in October 2016 asking for fee payment documents to which the **experts** responded. *See* Motion to Compel, Exs. 1-3 (subpoenas); and Exs. 4-6 (deposition exhibits of documents produced by experts, such as Depo Ex. 428, bearing bates number "FINNERTY000029").

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Thus, there are no "preexisting document requests" to which Plaintiff responded that he is under a duty to supplement under Rule 26(e)(1), as Defendants argue. Motion to Compel at 6:25-7:1. Plaintiff never had, nor does he now have, an obligation to respond to these expert subpoenas, Nev. R. Civ. P. 45(a).

> 2. Plaintiff has no obligation to supplement his expert disclosures with correspondence about the payment or non-payment of expert witness fees.

While Nev. R. Civ. P. 26(e)(1) requires parties to correct an expert report if the expert's compensation changes, nothing in Rule 26(e)(1) requires Plaintiff to supplement his expert disclosures with *correspondence* between him and any of his experts as to what fees were paid and what fees remain outstanding. Notably, Defendants do not point to a single statement in the expert reports or in the experts' testimony that is now incorrect or incomplete. Moreover, Defendants knew before filing their Motion that Mr. Finnerty will not testify at trial. Thus, their request for fee payment correspondence is misplaced for this reason, too.

> 3. Expert fee correspondence is not relevant to the subject matter of the case.

While a party is "under a duty to supplement at appropriate intervals its [initial] disclosures under Rule 16.1(a)," Nev. R. Civ. P. 26(e)(1), only documents that are "discoverable under Rule 26(b)"—i.e., "relevant to the subject matter" of the case and not privileged—are required to be produced. Nev. R. Civ. P. 16.1(a)(1)(B).

While arguing that letters between Cotter and his experts relative to the payment and non-payment of their fees are "clearly relevant," Motion at 5:19, Defendants' Motion does not bother to explain *how or why*. They cannot back up this hyperbolic statement because such correspondence has no bearing on Plaintiff's claims or Defendants' defenses.

$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{MORRIS\ LAW\ GROUP} \\ \textbf{411\ E.\ Bonneville\ Ave.,\ STE.\ 360\cdot Las\ Vegas,\ Nevada\ 89101} \\ \textbf{702/474-9400\cdot FAX\ 702/474-9422} \end{array}$

This is so whether Mr. Finnerty testifies or not, but especially now that Mr. Finnerty will *not* testify.²

Even assuming fee payment correspondence were relevant, the cases cited by Defendants for the proposition that communications about expert billing are not privileged do not support that broad proposition. In *Ralls v. United States*, 52 F.3d 223 (9th Cir. 1995), for example, the court reversed and quashed the grand jury subpoena because the fee communications were "inextricably linked to privileged communications and [] therefore privileged." *Id.* at 224. Moreover, all cases cited by Defendants involve grand jury or special inquiry subpoenas served on lawyers, seeking fee arrangements. *See, e.g., id.* (grand jury subpoena on criminal defense attorney); *see also In re Subpoenaed Grand Jury Witness*, 171 F.3d 511 (7th Cir.1999) (federal grand jury subpoena served on attorney). Defendants did not cite to a single civil case in which a court ordered a party to disclose—much less without an outstanding discovery request—fee payment correspondence with his or her expert.

///

///

22 ///

² Defendants' feigned concern about the impact of "lengthy [payment] delinquencies" on the appearance of Plaintiff's experts at trial, Motion at 5:22-25, is disingenuous and only underscores that Defendants' only motive for bringing the Motion is to disparage Plaintiff and reiterate the defamatory non sequitur regarding plaintiff's availability in January 2017.

$\begin{array}{c} MORRIS\ LAW\ GROUP \\ \text{411 E. Bonneville Ave., STE. 360 \cdot LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101} \\ \text{702/474-9400 \cdot FAX 702/474-9422} \end{array}$

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Court should deny Defendants' Motion to Compel in its entirety.

MORRIS LAW GROUP

By: <u>/s/ Akke Levin</u> Steve Morris, Bar No. 1543 Akke Levin, Bar No. 9102 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Mark G. Krum, Bar No. 10913 Sanford Floyd Remz (admitted *pro hac vice*) Noemi Ann Kawamoto (admitted *pro hac vice*) YURKO, SALVESEN & REMZ, P.C. 1 Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, MA 02108

Attorneys for Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr.

$MORRIS\ LAW\ GROUP$ 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I certify that I am an employee of MORRIS LAW GROUP and that on the date below, I cause the following document(s) to be served via the Court's Odyssey E-Filing System: **OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFF**

PAYMENTS to be served on all interested parties, as registered with the Court's E-Filing and E-Service System. The date and time of the electronic proof of service is in place of the date and place of deposit in the mail.

TO PRODUCE COMMUNICATIONS RELATING TO EXPERT FEE

Stan Johnson Cohen-Johnson, LLC 255 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Christopher Tayback Marshall Searcy Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA

Attorneys for /Defendants Edward Kane, Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak

Kara Hendricks Tami Cowden Greenberg Traurig, LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 400 North Las Vegas, NV 89169

Mark Ferrario

Attorneys for Nominal Defendant Reading International, Inc.

DATED this 18th day of May, 2018.

By: /s/ Judy Estrada

Donald A. Lattin Carolyn K. Renner Maupin, Cox & LeGoy 4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, Nevada 89519

Ekwan E. Rhow Shoshana E. Bannett Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert, Nessim, Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow, P.C. 1875 Century Park East, 23rd Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90067-2561

Attorneys for Defendant William Gould

9

Electronically Filed 5/18/2018 5:28 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT PTM COHENJOHNSONPARKEREDWARDS H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 00265 sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com 375 E. Warm Springs Rd., Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: (702) 823-3500 Facsimile: (702) 823-3400 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP CHRISTOPHER TAYBACK, ESQ. California Bar No. 145532, pro hac vice christayback@quinnemanuel.com MARSHALL M. SEARCY, III, ESQ. California Bar No. 169269, pro hac vice marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 11 Attorneys for Defendants Margaret Cotter, 12 Ellen Cotter, and Guy Adams 13 (Additional attorneys listed on signature page) 14 15 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 16 JAMES J. COTTER, JR.,) Case No. A-15-719860-B 17 derivatively on behalf of Reading Dept. No. XI International, Inc., 18 Plaintiff, Coordinated with: 19 Case No. P-14-0824-42-E 20 MARGARET COTTER, ELLEN Dept. No. XI COTTER, GUY ADAMS, 21 EDWARD KANE, DOUGLAS Jointly Administered 22 McEACHERN, WILLIAM GOULD, JUDY CODDING, **DEFENDANTS' PRE-TRIAL** 23 MICHAEL WROTNIAK, **MEMORANDUM** 24 Defendants. 25 And READING INTERNATIONAL, 26 INC., a Nevada corporation, 27 Nominal Defendant. 28

Case Number: A-15-719860-B

DEFENDANTS' PRE-TRIAL MEMORANDUM

Defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, and Guy Adams, and Nominal Defendant Reading International, Inc., through their counsel of record, hereby submit the following pre-trial memorandum in accordance with this Court's 2nd Amended Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Calendar Call, dated May 4, 2018, and Local Rule 2.67. Defendants are filing separately because, after providing their redline edits to Plaintiff's "discussion draft" of the Pre-Trial Memorandum, Plaintiff unilaterally filed his own Pre-Trial Memorandum separately. When Defendants provided their proposed edits, Plaintiff's counsel responded at 2:53 p.m. that Defendants had "included material not properly included in a pre trial memorandum." See Ex. A (May 18, 2018 email chain). Also at 2:53 p.m., Defendants' counsel asked Plaintiff's counsel to explain what he was referring to. *Id.* Rather than providing any explanation, Plaintiff's counsel proceeded to file his own Pre-Trial Memorandum separately. After Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum had already been filed, Plaintiff's counsel responded and stated: "For example, it argues the not yet filed 'ratification' summary judgment motion. I am out to dinner and will leave it at that." However, Defendants' proposed edits properly described ratification as a defense. Thus, Defendants are now forced to file their own version of the Pre-Trial Memorandum separately.

I. MATTER REFERENCED IN MAY 4, 2018 ORDER, PARAGRAPH D

A. Motions in Limine

1. None currently pending. See Section II.I for motions *in limine* previously ruled upon.

2728

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

B. Motions for Summary Judgment

1. See Section II. J. Defendants contend there are several potentially dispositive issues that must be resolved prior to trial

II. OTHER PRETRIAL MATTER

A. Statement of Facts

Plaintiff's Statement:

In view of the significant prior proceedings in this case, including motions to dismiss and summary judgment motions, as well as the detail in the pending Second Amended Complaint (the particular allegations of which have been or will be admitted or denied in the individual defendants' respective answers), and the Court's resulting familiarity with this case, the parties respectfully provide the following abbreviated, summary statement of facts of the case:

Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr. ("Mr. Cotter" or "Plaintiff") was and is a substantial shareholder and a director of nominal defendant Reading International, Inc. ("RDI" or the "Company"), as well as a former President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"). Defendants Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter were and are members of the RDI board of directors (the "Board") and at all times relevant hereto have purported to be and/or been the controlling shareholder(s) of RDI. Each of the remaining individual defendants was at relevant times and is a member of the RDI Board, as well of certain Board committees.

The facts of this case include and concern acts and omissions of individual director defendants which the Plaintiff claims give rise to entail breaches of fiduciary duties individually and/or together with other acts and omissions, including with respect to the following matters: the threat

27

28

to terminate Mr. Cotter as President and CEO of RDI, the termination of Mr. Cotter as President and CEO of RDI, the demand that he resign from the Board, RDI Board governance matters, RDI SEC filings and press releases, the search for a permanent CEO that resulted in Ellen Cotter becoming permanent CEO, the hiring and compensation of Margaret Cotter as EVP RED NY, the payment of certain monies to certain of the individual defendants and the actions and or lack of actions by each of the individual defendants in response to offers or expressions of interest by Patton Vision and others to purchase all of the outstanding stock of RDI.

Director Defendants' Statement:

On June 12, 2015, the Board of Directors of Reading International, Inc. ("RDI") voted to terminate Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr. as President and CEO of RDI. Plaintiff claims that this decision was a breach of fiduciary duty. Plaintiff also claims various other breaches of fiduciary duty, including with respect to the search for a new President and CEO of RDI, the hiring of Margaret Cotter as an Executive Vice President for Real Estate -- NYC, and the approval by the Compensation Committee of the use of Class A stock by the Estates of James J. Cotter, Sr. (the "Cotter Estate") to exercise an option held by the Cotter Estate to purchase 100,000 shares of RDI Class B voting stock (the "Cotter Estate Stock Option Exercise").. The Director Defendants contend that they acted in the best interests of RDI stockholders at all times and fulfilled their fiduciary duties to the Company. The Director Defendants further contend that the actions taken by the Board and its committees is protected by the Business Judgment Rule and, furthermore, that none of the actions of which Plaintiff complains caused any damage to the Company.

In December 2017, this Court entered judgment on behalf of five of the nine current Directors of RDI—William Gould, Douglas McEachern, Edward Kane, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak—because there is no material issue of fact that these Directors were independent and disinterested. As a result, all of the corporate "transactions" alleged by Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr. to be actionable breaches of fiduciary duty were indisputably approved by a majority of disinterested, independent directors, save for two: (1) the actions taken by Board members leading up to and including the termination of Plaintiff as CEO and President of RDI; and (2) the RDI Compensation Committee's approval of the Cotter Estate Stock Option Exercise. Following the Court's decision, the full RDI Board convened a Special Meeting on December 29, 2017 at the request of these five disinterested, independent directors to reevaluate these two remaining transactions.

After discussing Plaintiff's allegations as to the potential interestedness or non-independence of Mr. Adams, Ellen Cotter, and Margaret Cotter, the independent directors addressed the challenged termination and stock-option decisions at the Special Meeting. In doing so, they were informed by the Company's counsel, their own extensive knowledge of the applicable facts, their previous corporate-board experience, and a further review of the contemporaneous RDI Board materials relevant to those decisions. The Board also allowed additional debate and comment. Ultimately, with Mr. Adams, Ellen Cotter, and Margaret Cotter not voting, the RDI Board voted 5-1 (with only Plaintiff dissenting) to ratify Plaintiff's termination and the Compensation Committee's stock-option decision. With the RDI Board having met all of the legally required criteria, Nevada's business judgment rule therefore

applies to those "transactions," as it does to the other corporate decisions questioned by Plaintiff in this derivative suit. Given the principal purpose of the Business Judgement Rule—to prevent the second-guessing of Board decisions—none of the actions of which Plaintiff complains (including these two actions specifically ratified in December) can now be invalidated or be a basis for a claim of damages regardless of any decision with respect to the independence of Guy Adams, Ellen Cotter, or Margaret Cotter. The five independent directors have exercised the authority vested in them by the Nevada Corporations Code, and their determination must under such law, be respected.

RDI's Statement:

RDI joins in the Director Defendants' Statement above.

B. List of Claims

Plaintiff's list of claims for relief is as follows:

- A. Breaches of the Duty of Care (SAC 1 179) (First Cause)
 - 1. Process in connection with termination, including aborting ombudsman and lack of process/process failures (SAC 3, 35, 36, 43, 50 57, 61 94) (EC, MC, GA) (equitable relief)¹
 - 2. Breach(es) of the duty of care and abdication of fiduciary responsibilities by some or all acts and omissions in SAC (SAC all), including paragraph A. 1. above and the following:

¹ Arabic numbered bold typeface paragraphs indicate matters which Plaintiff contends give rise to and/or constitute breaches of fiduciary duty independently, as well as together with other matter.

- Use of executive committee (SAC 8, 99) (EC, MC, Kane, Adams/WG, JC, MW)
- Process/process failures from aborted CEO search selecting EC (SAC 6, 14, 137 – 147, 152) (Search Committee: MC, DM, WG) (Board: All)
- Erroneous and/or materially misleading statements in board materials such as agendas and minutes, and in public disclosures including SEC filings and press releases (SAC 9, 13, 72, 101a.-i., 109 – 119, 135a.-k., 136a.-i., 147) (all)
- Process/process failures in connection with nomination and retention of directors, including adding Codding and/or Wrotniak (SAC 11, 12, 121-134) (EC, MC, DM, GA, EK, WG)
- Hiring MC as EVP RED NY (SAC 6, 15, 57 61, 92, 95, 149 151, 166) and paying the \$200,000 pre-employment bonus (committees members) (Board all)
- \$50,000 to Adams (SAC 153, 166) (Committees members)
 (Board all but GA)
- Process/process failures in response to Patton Vision offer(s)
 (SAC 16, 154-162) (all)
- 3. Damages/injury (SAC 163 168)
 a. injury to RDI's reputation and goodwill (164)
 b. impairment of shareholder rights due to SEC filings (165)
- B. Breaches of the Duty of Loyalty (SAC 1 172, 180-186) (Second Cause)
 - 1. Threat to terminate (SAC 2, 35, 36, 64-71, 78 82, 84, 87, 88, 91) (GA, EC, MC)

- 2. Termination (SAC 3, 35, 36, 43, 50 57, 64 94) (GA, EC, MC) (equitable relief also sought)
- 3. Authorizing exercise of the 100,000 share option (SAC 10, 102
 108) (GA, EK) (equitable relief also sought)
- 4. Aborted CEO search selecting EC (SAC 6, 14, 137 147, 152) (Search Committee: MC) (Board: all)
- 5. Hiring MC as EVP RED NY (SAC 6, 15, 57 61, 92, 95, 149 151, 166) and paying \$200,000 pre-employment bonus (Committee members) (Board: all)
- 6. Breach of the duty of loyalty (all) and misuse of their position as controlling shareholders (EC, MC) by some or all such acts and omissions in the SAC, including those in paragraphs B. 1. − 7. above and the following:
- Threat to terminate insurance if JJC, Jr. does not resign as a director (SAC 4, 38) (EC, WG)
- use of executive committee (SAC 8, 99) (EC, MC, Kane, Adams, WG)
- manipulating board materials (SAC 9, 72, 100) (EC)
- involuntary retirement of Storey (SAC 12, 127-130) (EC, MC, DM, GA, EK)
- Board stacking/adding Codding and Wrotniak (SAC 11, 121-134) (nominating committee) (Board - all others)
- Process/process failures in response to Patton Vision offer(s)
 (SAC 16, 154-162) (all)
- \$50,000 to Adams (SAC 153, 166) (EC) (all)
- SEC filings (SAC 13, 101a.-i., 109 119, 135a.-k., 136a.-i., 147) (all)

- 2. Termination (SAC 3, 35, 36, 43, 50 57, 64 94) (Threat to terminate (SAC 2, 35, 36, 78 82, 87, 88, 91) (EC, MC)
- 3. Authorizing exercise of the 100,000 share option (SAC 10, 102 108) (EC)
- 4. Involuntary retirement of Storey (SAC 12, 127-130) (EC, MC)
- 5. Board stacking/adding Codding and Wrotniak (SAC 11, 121-134) (EC, MC)
- 6. Aborted CEO search selecting EC (SAC 6, 14, 137 147, 152) (EC)
- 7. Hiring MC as EVP RED NY (SAC 6, 15, 57 61, 92, 95, 149 151, 166) and paying \$200,000 pre-employment bonus (EC, MC)
- 8. Damages/injury (SAC 163 168)
 - a. diminution in value of RDI (163)
 - b. injury to reputation and goodwill (164)
 - c. impairment of shareholder rights due to SEC filings (165)
 - d. other monetary damages (166)
 - i. \$200,000 and job to MC
 - ii. \$50,000 to Adams
 - iii. duplicate cost of paying consultants to performMC's position's responsibilities
 - iv. class A nonvoting stock accepted *in lieu* of cash consideration for exercise of 100,000 share option

C. List of Affirmative Defenses

Plaintiff has not abandoned any purported claims identified in the Second Amended Complaint. Director Defendants therefore cannot abandon any affirmative defenses asserted in its Answer to the Second Amended Complaint. Depending on which particular claims for relief

1	Plaintiff actually pursues at trial, Director Defendants may raise the
1 2	following affirmative defenses:
3	Failure to State a Cause of Action;
4	Statute of Limitations and Repose;
5	• Laches;
6	Unclean Hands;
7	Spoliation;
8	Illegal Conduct and Fraud;
9	Waiver, Estoppel, and Acquiescence;
10	Ratification and Consent;
11	No Unlawful Activity;
12	No Reliance;
13	Failure to Plead Fraud with Particularity;
14	Uncertain and Ambiguous Claims;
15	Privilege and Justification;
16	Good Faith and Lack of Fault;
17	No Entitlement to Injunctive Relief;
18	Damages too Speculative;
19	 No Entitlement to Punitive Damages;
20	Failure to Mitigate;
21	Comparative Fault;
22	Business Judgment Rule;
23	Equitable Estoppel;
24	Election of Remedies;
25	• N.R.S. 78.138;
26	Failure to Make Appropriate Demand; and
27	

 Conflict of Interest and Unsuitability to Serve as a Derivative Representative.

RDI

- Failure To State A Claim;
- Failure To Make Demand;
- Corporate Governance;
- Irreparable Harm To Company;
- Unclean Hands;
- Spoliation;
- Waiver, Estoppel, And Acquiescence;
- Ratification And Consent;
- No Unlawful Activity;
- Privilege And Justification;
- Good Faith And Lack Of Fault;
- No Entitlement To Injunctive Relief;
- Damages Too Speculative;
- Mitigation Of Damages;
- Comparative Fault;
- Equitable Estoppel;
- Nevada Revised Statute 78.138; and
- Conflict Of Interest And Unsuitability To Serve As Representative.

D. Claims or Defenses to be Abandoned

None. However, Plaintiff will not seek equitable relief with respect to historical or past actions relating to the executive committee, to corporate governance of RDI such as misleading or inaccurate meeting agendas

and/or minutes, to the addition or removal of persons to and/or from the RDI board of directors and to SEC filings and press releases. Plaintiff will seek equitable relief with respect to the vote to terminate James J. Cotter Jr. as President and CEO and reserves the right to do so with respect to authorization of the exercise of the so-called 100,000 share option.

E. List of Exhibits

Under paragraph (B) of the Second Amended Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Calendar Call (dated May 4, 2018), the parties' exhibit lists are to be provided to the Court at the Calendar Call on June 18, 2018.

F. Agreements to Limit or Exclude Evidence

None presently.

G. Witness List

A. Nonexpert Witnesses

For Plaintiff:

- James Cotter, Jr. (plaintiff expects to present this witness) c/o Mark Krum Yurko, Salvesen & Remz. P.C. One Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, MA 02108 617.723.6900
- Person Most Knowledgeable, Reading International, Inc. (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises)
 c/o Mark E. Ferrario, Esq.
 Leslie S. Godfrey, Esq.
 Greenberg Traurig LLP
 773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
 702-792-3773

1	3.	Margaret Cotter (plaintiff expects to present this witness)
2		c/o Stan Johnson Cohen-Johnson, LLC
3		255 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
4		Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
5		702-823-3500
6	4.	Ellen Cotter (plaintiff expects to present this witness)
7		c/o Stan Johnson
8		Cohen-Johnson, LLC
9		255 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
10		702-823-3500
11	5	Douglas McEachern (plaintiff expects to present this witness and/or
12	5.	present the witness's testimony by means of a deposition)
13		c/o Stan Johnson
14		Cohen-Johnson, LLC
15		255 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
16		702-823-3500
17	6	Guy Adams (plaintiff expects to present this witness)
18	0.	c/o Stan Johnson
19		Cohen-Johnson, LLC
20		255 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
21		Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 702-823-3500
22		
23	7.	Edward Kane (plaintiff expects to present this witness) c/o Stan Johnson
24		Cohen-Johnson, LLC
25		255 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
26		Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
27		702-823-3500
20		

1 2 3 4 5	8. William Gould (plaintiff expects to present this witness) Donald A. Lattin, Esq. Carolyn K. Renner, Esq. MAUPIN, COX & LeGOY 4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, Nevada 89519 775-827-2000
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	9. Timothy Storey (plaintiff expects to present this witness and/or present the witness's testimony by means of a deposition) Donald A. Lattin, Esq. Carolyn K. Renner, Esq. MAUPIN, COX & LeGOY 4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, Nevada 89519 775-827-2000
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 10.John Hunter (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises) Milken Institute, Chief Financial Officer 1250 4th Street Santa Monica, CA 90401 11.Antoinette Jefferies (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises) 10488 Eastborne Avenue, Unit #211 Los Angeles, California 90024 310-293-7384
2021222324252627	12.Eric Barr (<i>plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises</i>) 9 Park Street, Brighton, VIC 3186 Southern Melbourne, Australia 011-61-488-096-616 ebarr@optushome.com.au 13.Al Villasenor (<i>plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises</i>) 116 – 19th Street Manhattan Beach, California 90266
28	

	Home- 310-546-5193
1	Mobile- 310-897-0407
2	
3	14.Lois Marie Kwasigroch (plaintiff may call this witness if the need
4	arises
5	20100 Wells Drive Woodland Hills, California 91364
6	(805) 447-6265
7	
8	15.Harry P. Susman (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises)
9	Susman Godfrey, LLP
10	1000 Louisiana, Suite 5100
11	Houston, Texas 77002
12	713-653-7875 (w) <u>hsusman@susmangodfrey.com</u>
13	
14	16.Fehmi Karahan (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises)
	The Karahan Companies
15	7200 Bishop Road, Suite 250
16	Plano, Texas 75024
17	214-473-9700 (w) fehmi@karahaninc.com
18	<u>ICHIII@Karariariii (COII)</u>
19	17.Judy Codding (plaintiff expects to present this witness and/or
20	present the witness's testimony by means of a deposition)
21	2266 Canyon Back Road
22	Los Angeles, California 90049
23	
24	18. Michael J. Wrotniak (plaintiff expects to present this witness and/or
25	present the witness's testimony by means of a deposition) Aminco Resources USA
26	World Headquarters
27	81 Main Street Suite 110
28	
20	

	White Plains, NY 10601
1	914 949 4400
2	M.Wrotniak@Aminco.biz
3	
4	19.Gil Borok (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises)
5	3835 Hayvenhurst Avenue
	Encino, California 91436
6	Mobile- 818-0528-3689
7	Email- <u>gborok@me.com</u>
8	
9	20.Robert Wagner (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises)
10	Korn Ferry 1900 Avenue of the Stars Suite 2600
11	Los Angeles, CA 90067
12	310-226-2672 (w)
13	Robert.wagner@kornferry.com
14	21.John M. Genovese (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises)
15	7584 Coastal View Drive
16	Los Angeles, CA 90045
17	Mobile: 310-245-1760
18	Email- <u>jmgenovese@yahoo.com</u>
19	
20	22. William D. Ellis (plaintiff expects to present this witness and/or
21	present the witness's testimony by means of a deposition)
	c/o Mark E. Ferrario, Esq. Leslie S. Godfrey, Esq.
22	Greenberg Traurig LLP
23	3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North
24	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
25	702-792-3773
26	
27	23.Craig Tompkins (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises)
28	

	c/o Mark E. Ferrario, Esq.
1	Leslie S. Godfrey, Esq.
2	Greenberg Traurig LLP
3	3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
5	702-792-3773
6	24.Gary McLaughlin (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises)
	Akin Gump
7	2029 Century Park East, Suite 2400
8	Los Angeles, CA 90067
9	310-728-3358
10	25.C.N. Franklin Reddick, III (plaintiff may call this witness if the
11	need arises)
12	Akin Gump
13	2029 Century Park East, Suite 2400
	Los Angeles, CA 90067
14	310-728-3358
15	26.Robert Mayes (plaintiff expects to present this witness and/or
16	present the witness's testimony by means of a deposition)
17	Korn Ferry
18	c/o Samantha Goodman
19	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2600
20	Los Angeles, CA 90067
21	310.556.8557
	27.Andrew Shapiro (plaintiff expects to present this witness and/or
22	present the witness's testimony by means of a deposition)
23	c/o Jahan Raissi
24	Shartsis Freise LLP
25	One Maritime Plaza, 18 th Floor
26	San Francisco, CA 94111 415.421.6500
27	410.421.0000
20	

1	28.Jonathan Glaser (plaintiff expects to present this witness and/or
	present the witness's testimony by means of a deposition)
2	c/o Alexander Robertson, IV
3	Robertson & Associates, LLP
4	32121 Lindero Canyon Road, Suite 200
5	Westlake Village, CA 91361 818.851.3850
6	010.001.0000
	29. Whitney Tilson (plaintiff expects to present this witness's testimony
7	by means of a deposition)
8	c/o Alexander Robertson, IV
9	Robertson & Associates, LLP
10	32121 Lindero Canyon Road, Suite 200
11	Westlake Village, CA 91361
	818.851.3850
12	30.Andrez Matycynski (plaintiff may call this witness if the need
13	arises)
14	c/o Greenberg Traurig, LLP
15	3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 400N
16	Las Vegas, NV 89169
17	
	31.Dev Ghose (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises)
18	c/o Greenberg Traurig, LLP
19	3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 400N
20	Las Vegas, NV 89169
21	For the Director Defendants.
22	For the Director Defendants:
	1. Ellen Cotter (the director defendants expect to present this witness)
23	c/o COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS
24	375 E. Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104
25	Las Vegas, NV 89119
26	702-823-3500
27	And
	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
28	

		865 S. Figueroa St., 10 th Floor
1		Los Angeles, 90017
2		213-443-3000
3	2.	Margarat Cattor (the director defendants expect to present this
4	∠.	Margaret Cotter (the director defendants expect to present this witness)
5		c/o COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS
6		375 E. Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104
		Las Vegas, NV 89119
7		702-823-3500
8		And
9		Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
10		865 S. Figueroa St., 10 th Floor Los Angeles, 90017
11		213-443-3000
12		210 116 0000
13	3.	James Cotter, Jr. (the director defendants expect to present this
		witness)
14		c/o Mark Krum
15		Yurko, Salvesen & Remz. P.C.
16		One Washington Mall, 11 th Floor Boston, MA 02108
17		617-723-6900
18		
19	4.	Guy Adams (the director defendants expect to present this witness)
20		c/o COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS
		375 E. Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104
21		Las Vegas, NV 89119
22		702-823-3500
23		And Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
24		865 S. Figueroa St., 10 th Floor
25		Los Angeles, 90017
26		213-443-3000
27		
28		
40	i	

1	5.	Edward Kane (the director defendants expect to present this
		witness) c/o COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS
2		375 E. Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104
3		Las Vegas, NV 89119
4		702-823-3500
5		And
6		Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
7		865 S. Figueroa St., 10 th Floor
8		Los Angeles, 90017
		213-443-3000
9	6.	Douglas McEachern (the director defendants expect to present this witness)
11		c/o COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS
12		375 E. Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104
13		Las Vegas, NV 89119
		702-823-3500
14		And
15		Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
16		865 S. Figueroa St., 10 th Floor
17		Los Angeles, 90017
18		213-443-3000
19	7.	Michael Wrotniak (the director defendants expect to present this witness)
20		c/o COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS
21		375 E. Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104
22		Las Vegas, NV 89119
23		702-823-3500
24		And
		Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP 865 S. Figueroa St., 10 th Floor
25		Los Angeles, 90017
26		213-443-3000
27		
28		

1	8.	Judy Codding (the director defendants expect to present this witness)
2		c/o COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS
3		375 E. Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104
		Las Vegas, NV 89119
4		702-823-3500
5		And
6		Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
7		865 S. Figueroa St., 10 th Floor
8		Los Angeles, 90017 213-443-3000
9		215 416 6000
10	9.	William Gould (the director defendants expect to present this
11		witness)
12		c/o Maupin Cox & LeGoy
13		4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, NV 89519
14		775-827-2000
		And
15		c/o Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert,
16		Nessim, Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow
17		1875 Century Park East, 23rd Floor
18		Los Angeles, CA 90067
19		310-201-2100
20	10.	Timothy Storey (the director defendants may call this witness if the
21		need arises)
22		c/o Maupin Cox & LeGoy
23		4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, NV 89519
24		775-827-2000
		And
25		c/o Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert,
26		Nessim, Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow
27		1875 Century Park East, 23rd Floor
28		

1		Los Angeles, CA 90067 310-201-2100
3	11.	Craig Tompkins (the director defendants may call this witness if the need arises)
4		c/o Greenberg Traurig, LLP
5		3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 400N
6		Las Vegas, NV 89169 702-792-3773
7	10	
8	12.	Bob Smerling (the director defendants expect to present this
9		witness)
10		c/o Greenberg Traurig, LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 400N
11		Las Vegas, NV 89169
12		702-792-3773
13	13.	Terri Moore (the director defendants expect to present this witness)
14		c/o Greenberg Traurig, LLP
15		3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 400N
16		Las Vegas, NV 89169
17		702-792-3773
18	14.	Andrzej Matyczynski (the director defendants expect to present
19		this witness) c/o Greenberg Traurig, LLP
20		3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 400N
21		Las Vegas, NV 89169
22		702-792-3773
23	15.	Linda Pham (the director defendants expect to present this witness)
24		c/o Greenberg Traurig, LLP
25		3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 400N
26		Las Vegas, NV 89169 702-792-3773
27		1021720110
7× 1		

1	16.	Debbie Watson (the director defendants expect to present this
2		witness)
3		c/o Greenberg Traurig, LLP
4		3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 400N
5		Las Vegas, NV 89169 702-792-3773
		7.02.7.72.67.76
6	17.	Laura Batista (the director defendants expect to present this
7		witness)
8		c/o Greenberg Traurig, LLP
9		3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 400N
10		Las Vegas, NV 89169
11		702-792-3773
12	18.	David Roth (the director defendants expect to present this witness)
13		Cecelia Packing Corp.
14		24780 E South Ave.
15		Orange Cove, CA 93646 559-626-5000
16		
17	19.	Michael Buckley (the director defendants may call this witness if the need arises)
18		Edifice Real Estate Partners
		545 8th Ave.
19		New York, NY 10018
20		347-826-4569
21	20.	Derek Alderton (the director defendants expect to present this
22		witness)
23		Highpoint Associates 100 N Sepulveda Blvd.
24		El Segundo, CA 90245
25		310-616-0100
26	21	Mary Cottor (the director defendants expect to present this mitness)
27	∠1.	Mary Cotter (the director defendants expect to present this witness)
28		2818 Dumfries Road

1	Los Angeles, CA 90064 310-559-0581
2	
3	22. Jill Van (the director defendants expect to present this witness)
4	Grant Thornton 515 S. Flower St., 7th Floor
5	Los Angeles, CA 90071
6	213-627-1717
7	23. Whitney Tilson (the director defendants may call this witness if the
8	need arises)
9	c/o Alexander Robertson, IV Robertson & Associates, LLP
10	32121 Lindero Canyon Road, Suite 200
11	Westlake Village, CA 91361
12	818-851-3850
13	24. Jon Glaser (the director defendants may call this witness if the need
14	arises)
15	c/o Alexander Robertson, IV Robertson & Associates, LLP
16	32121 Lindero Canyon Road, Suite 200
17	Westlake Village, CA 91361
	818-851-3850
18	For Reading International, Inc.:
19	RDI does not intend to call witnesses, but reserves all rights to
20	question witnesses identified by Plaintiff and/or the other defendants in
21	this matter.
22	B. Expert Witnesses and Summaries of Opinions
23	For Plaintiff:
24	1. Former Chief Justice Myron Steele will offer opinion testimony
25 26	relating to matters of corporate governance, including
27	regarding proper exercise of directors' fiduciary duties. Among
<i>- 1</i>	

28

other things, he will offer opinion testimony regarding appropriate corporate governance practices and activities where a board of directors is faced with circumstances in which directors lack or may lack independence and/or disinterestedness, including the appropriate practices and activities to address such circumstances, and to evaluate the success of such practices and activities, including with respect to the following matters (i) the process used to terminate James J. Cotter, Jr. as President and Chief Executive Officer of Reading International, Inc. ("RDI")., (ii) the use of the Executive Committee of RDI's Board of Directors, (iii) the appointment of EC and MC to their respective current positions and the revised compensation and bonuses that they and Adams were given and (iv) the rejection of the Offer. ² Former Chief Justice Steele

² As stated in the Steele Report, it is Justice Steele's understanding that Nevada courts look to Delaware case law when there is no Nevada statutory or case law on point for an issue of corporate law. See, e.g. Brown v. Kinross Gold U.S.A., Inc., 531 F. Supp. 2d 1234, 1245 (D. Nev. 2008) ("Because the Nevada Supreme Court frequently looks to the Delaware Supreme Court and the Delaware Courts of Chancery as persuasive authorities on questions of corporation law, this Court often looks to those sources to predict how the Nevada Supreme Court would decide the question."); Hilton Hotels Corp. v. ITT Corp., 978 F. Supp. 1342, 1346 (D. Nev. 1997) ("Where, as here, there is no Nevada statutory or case law on point or an issue of corporate law, this Court finds persuasive authority in Delaware case law."); Cohen v. Mirage Resorts, Inc., 62 P.3d 720, 727 n.10 (Nev. 2003) ("Because the Legislature relied upon the Model Act and the Model Act relies heavily on New York and Delaware case law, we look to the Model Act and the law of those states in interpreting the Nevada statutes.").

- also will offer opinion testimony to rebut opinions offered by defendants' expert Michael Klausner.
- 2. Richard Spitz will offer opinion testimony relating to executive and CEO searches and RDI's supposed CEO search. It is anticipated that he will offer opinion testimony that the execution of the (supposed) executive search process undertaken at RDI in 2015 to find a CEO was not conducted properly and that the search failed, including because the selection of Ellen Cotter as CEO was not the product of completing the search process undertaken and was not a result of the search activities conducted.
- 3. Tiago Duarte-Silva will offer opinion testimony about money damages Plaintiff seeks by this action. It is anticipated that his opinion testimony will include opinions that (i) Reading's earnings have declined and underperformed since Ellen Cotter became Reading's CEO, and (ii) Reading's value has declined and underperformed since Ellen Cotter became Reading's CEO. Mr. Duarte-Silva also will offer opinion testimony to rebut opinions offered by defendants' expert Richard Roll.

For the Director Defendants:

Justice Steele is aware that the defendants in this action have filed a motion in limine because the Steele Report stated that the opinions therein were based on what a court that applied Delaware law would find. That phraseology was intended simply to refer to Justice Steele's years of experience in Delaware's well-versed body of law. The Delaware law on which Justice Steele relies neither supplants nor modifies the plain meaning of Nevada law, but only is used to inform Nevada law.

- 1. Michael Klausner Mr. Klausner will offer opinion testimony regarding the Board of Directors' proper exercise of their duties and obligations in connection with their decision to terminate James Cotter, Jr. as President and CEO and their decision not to pursue the third-party indication of interest, including as a rebuttal to Plaintiffs' expert Justice Myron Steele.
- 2. Jon Foster Mr. Foster will offer opinion testimony regarding the Board of Directors' decision-making and analysis in connection with their consideration of the third-party indication of interest, as a rebuttal to the expected testimony of Plaintiffs' expert Tiago Duarte-Silva.
- 3. Richard Roll Dr. Roll will offer opinion testimony about the claimed money damages being sought by Plaintiff in this action based on fluctuations or changes in RDI's stock price, including as a rebuttal to Plaintiffs' purported damages experts.
- 4. Bruce Strombom Mr. Strombom will offer opinion testimony to rebut the purported damages analysis set forth by Plaintiffs' expert Tiago Duarte-Silva.

For Reading international, Inc.:

RDI joins in the expert designations of the Director Defendants.

H. Issues of Law

Plaintiff's Position:

Plaintiff's position is that any such issues will be raised with the Court in the context of jury instructions.

Director Defendants' Position:

18

15

23

24

21

28

In order to allow Director Defendants to adequately prepare for trial, they request an early conference on jury instructions.

Director Defendants believe that for each purported breach of fiduciary described in the Second Amended Complaint, each of them (1) were subject to the protections and presumptions afforded by Nevada's business judgment rule, (2) properly exercised their fiduciary obligations, (3) did not engage in any "intentional misconduct, fraud or a knowing violation of law" required by N.R.S. 78.138 to impose individual liability on corporate directors, and, although not relevant under Nevada law, and (4) were independent for each relevant decision made by the Board in which they participated. Their decisions were duly ratified by a majority of the Board consisting entirely of independent directors, and also did not result in any damages to RDI. Moreover, Plaintiff lacks standing to bring this derivative action or to derivatively assert certain claims (a) that are wholly personal to him, such as his termination claim and his claims that he was somehow "threatened" by one or more of the Defendant Directors, and (b) since he has not proven his allegations that demand would have been futile. Similarly, the equitable relief that Plaintiff seeks -i.e., reinstatement as President and CEO of RDI—is not available as a matter of law. Finally, Director Defendants' contend that the Board's December 29, 2017 vote ratifying the Board's earlier decisions with respect to Plaintiff's termination and the exercise of the 100,000 share option eliminated any potential issues remaining for trial.3

³ Additionally, certain documents remain which may be subject to in camera review regarding production shortly. *See James J. Cotter, Jr. v. The Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada*, Case No. 18-16774, 134 Nev., Advance Opinion 32 (Nev. May 3, 2018).

RDI's Position:

RDI joins in the Director Defendants' request for an early conference on jury instructions.

RDI contends that Plaintiff lacks standing to act on behalf of RDI, because he is unable to show that it would have been futile for him to make a demand on RDI's Board of Directors with respect to his most recently amended Complaint. Because standing is jurisdictional, this Court lacks jurisdiction to proceed with this matter.

RDI notes that all decisions related to the compensation of any board member in any capacity, are presumed pursuant to Nevada statute, regardless of any contention of personal interest, to be fair to RDI, pursuant to NRS 78.240(5).

All board decisions challenged by Plaintiff, with the exception of the termination of Cotter, Jr., were approved by a majority of directors whose decisions in that regard this Court has already determined were the exercise of valid business judgment. Additionally, the termination of Cotter, Jr, and the decision by the Compensation Committee to permit the Estate of Cotter, Sr. to pay for the exercise of its option to purchase shares with shares that it already owned are decisions that have been ratified by a majority of the independent members of RDI's board. Accordingly, Plaintiff will be unable to prove any damages incurred by RDI.

Additionally, as the result of the Court's Dember 2017 ruling, much of the proposed testimony of former Justice Steele (specifically, that related to his conclusions (ii)-(iv)) has been rendered irrelevant. The Court's dismissal of the claim related to the rejected "offer" also renders testimony related to Steele's conclusion (iv) irrelevant. Moreover, because Steele's testimony involves application of the "entire fairness" doctrine, a doctrine

27

28

inconsistent with Nevada law, Steele's proposed testimony with respect to his conclusion (i) is likely to confuse the jury.

Additionally, RDI joins in the position of the Director Defendants.

I. Previous Orders on Motions in Limine

- a. Defendants' Motion In Limine to Exclude Expert Testimony of Myron Steele, Tiago Duarte-Silva, Richard Spitz, Albert Nagy, and John Finnerty
 - i. Granted in Part. With respect to Chief Justice Steele, he may testify only for the limited purpose of identifying what appropriate corporate governance activities would have been, including activities where directors are interested, including how to evaluate if directors are interested. Withdrawn as to Dr. Finnerty. Denied as to all other experts. See December 21, 2016 Order Regarding Defendants' Motions for Partial Summary Judgment Nos. 1-6 and Motion In Limine to Exclude Expert Testimony ("December 21, 2016 Order"), on file.
- b. Plaintiff James J. Cotter Jr.'s Motion In Limine No. 1 Regarding Advice of Counsel
 - i. Denied (see Order filed on 12/28/18)

- c. Plaintiff James J. Cotter Jr.'s Motion In Limine No. 2
 Regarding the Submission of Merits-Related Evidence

 By Nominal Defendant Reading International, Inc.
 - i. **Denied** (see Order filed on 12/28/18)
- d. Plaintiff James Cotter Jr.'s Motion In Limine No. 3
 Regarding After Acquired Evidence
 - i. Denied, however, "to the extent that Plaintiff's retention and use of Highpoint Associates and Derek Alderton is admitted at trial, it will be admitted with an instruction limiting the evidence solely to the issue of Plaintiff's suitability as President and CEO of RDI." (see Order filed on 12/28/18)
- e. Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, Guy Adams, Edward Kane, Douglas McEachern, William Gould, Judy Codding, Michael Wrotniak's Motion In Limine to Exclude Evidence that is More Prejudicial Than Probative
 - i. Denied (see Order filed on 12/28/18)
- f. Renewed Motion In Limine to Exclude Expert
 Testimony of Myron Steele Based on Supplemental
 Authority
 - i. Denied (see Order filed on 12/28/18)

- g. Defendant William Gould's Motion In Limine To Exclude Irrelevant Speculative Evidence
 - i. **Denied as premature** (see Order filed on 12/28/18)

J. Previous Orders on Motions for Partial Summary Judgment

- a. Ellen Cotter, Margaret Cotter, and Guy Adams' Motion For Summary Judgment (motion is not to be filed until Plaintiff has an opportunity to review the discovery ordered on May 2, 2018);
- Motion for Leave to File Dispositive Motion/Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction Due to Failure to Show Demand Futility (Hearing scheduled for May 25, 2018);
- c. RDI's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Show Demand Futility
 - Denied, without prejudice to renew after obtaining leave of Court to file renewed motion. (See Transcript on Hearing for Motion on Continuance (January 8, 2018 – Public), 10:22 – 11:1.)
- d. The Remaining Director Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law
 - i. Denied, without prejudice to renew after obtaining leave of Court to file renewed motion.(See Transcript on Hearing for Motion on

Continuance (January 8, 2018 – Public), 10:22 – 11:1.)

- e. Individual Defendants' Motion for Summary

 Judgment (No. 1.) Re: Plaintiff's Termination and
 Reinstatement Claims
 - GRANTED with respect to Edward Kane,
 Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, Michael
 Wrotniak, and William Gould, and DENIED
 with respect to Guy Adams, Ellen Cotter, and
 Margaret Cotter. See Order dated December 28,
 2017.
- f. Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary
 Judgment (No. 2) Re: The Issue of Director
 Independence
 - GRANTED with respect to Edward Kane,
 Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, Michael
 Wrotniak, and William Gould, and DENIED
 with respect to Guy Adams, Ellen Cotter, and
 Margaret Cotter. See Order dated December 28,
 2017.
- g. Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 3) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Purported Unsolicited Offer
 - i. Granted. See Order dated December 28, 2017.
- h. Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 4) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Executive Committee

- Granted in Part. Granted as to the formation and revitalization (activation) of the Executive Committee; Denied as to the utilization of the committee. See December 21, 2016 Order. Included among the claims dismissed against Directors Codding, Gould, Kane, McEachern and Wrotniak.
- i. Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary
 Judgment (No. 5) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the
 Appointment of Ellen Cotter as CEO
 - i. GRANTED with respect to Edward Kane,
 Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, Michael
 Wrotniak, and William Gould, and DENIED
 with respect to Guy Adams, Ellen Cotter, and
 Margaret Cotter. See December 28, 2017 Order.
- j. Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 6) Re: Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Estate's Option Exercise, the Appointment of Margaret Cotter, the Compensation Packages of Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter, and the Additional Compensation of Margaret Cotter and Guy Adams
 - GRANTED with respect to Edward Kane,
 Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, Michael
 Wrotniak, and William Gould, and DENIED
 with respect to Guy Adams, Ellen Cotter, and
 Margaret Cotter. See Order dated December 28,
 2017.

- k. Judgment in favor of Defendants Edward Kane, Douglas McEachern, William Gould, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak GRANTED on all claims asserted by Plaintiff. See Order dated December 28, 2017.
- Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.
 - Denied. See October 3, 2016 Order Denying James J. Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Granting RDI's Countermotion for Summary Judgment.
- m. Defendant William Gould's Motion for Summary Judgment
 - i. Granted. See Order dated December 28, 2017.

K. Estimated Length of Trial

Defendants estimate 15 days; 80 trial hours.

L. Other Issues

Director Defendants' Statement:

Plaintiff's list of claims above neither complies with the rules for pretrial disclosures nor provides *any* clarity about what claims Plaintiff actually intends to prove at trial or what relief (money or equitable) he seeks. Eighth District Rule of Practice 2.67(b)(2) requires Plaintiff to provide "[a] list of all claims for relief designated by reference to each claim or paragraph of a pleading and a description of the claimant's theory of recovery with each category of damage requested." The Director Defendants intend to address at trial any purported breaches of fiduciary

duty—and will show that Plaintiff's claims are baseless—but must be told which specific actions are at issue in order to properly prepare their defense.

Plaintiff states that he will pursue claims for breaches of fiduciary duty potentially based on each and every allegation in the Second Amended Complaint by, for example, stating his intent to pursue "[b]reach(es) of the duty of care and abdication of fiduciary responsibilities by some or all acts and omissions in SAC." This provides no more information than if Plaintiff had never made his pre-trial disclosures—he may or may not pursue a claim based on any act or omission mentioned or alluded to anywhere in the Second Amended Complaint.

Plaintiff's list of claims also fails to recognize that Directors Codding, Gould, Kane, McEachern and Wrotniak are no longer defendants in this case, and purports to continue to assert claims of wrongdoing against each of these individuals. He apparently seeks to end-run the determination of this Court that the actions taken by these individuals are protected by the Nevada Business Judgment Rule and seeks to overturn decisions (for example, hiring Margaret Cotter or promoting Ellen Cotter) that the Board made by arguing, nevertheless, that these actions constituted breaches of fiduciary duty. Once independence and disinterestedness is established, however, such corporate action is protected.

Plaintiff's witness list similarly fails to shed any light on the claims Plaintiff intends to pursue—his list strays so far afield that Plaintiff has stated his intent to call Defendant Guy Adams' ex-wife (Lois Marie Kwasigroch) at trial.

Plaintiff also fails to disclose the actual monetary damages or equitable relief he intends to seek at trial. For example, Plaintiff states that

his damages resulting from Defendants' alleged breaches of the duty of care are "injury to RDI's reputation and goodwill" and "impairment of shareholder rights due to SEC filings." If these are supposed money damages, Plaintiff does not state his claim for damages, or even explain what shareholder rights are purportedly impacted. With the exception of the equitable relief he seeks in connection with his termination from RDI (i.e., being reinstated as President and CEO), Plaintiff does not link any particular claim to any particular category or amount of damages. For example, Defendants have no idea what relief Plaintiff is seeking in connection with the "involuntary retirement of Storey" or "process/process failures in connection with nomination and retention of directors, including adding Codding and/or Wrotniak." Moreover, Plaintiff's damages expert is unable to testify to any causal link between any alleged breach of duty and any alleged damage to the Company. In connection with his claims related to the Cotter Estate Stock Option, Plaintiff "reserves" the right to seek equitable relief, but he does not disclose what equitable relief he may seek.

Plaintiff's list of claims/damages is indecipherable and nonsensical; Plaintiff has attempted to reserve the right at trial to pursue any claim he wants and seek whatever damages he wants. Defendants cannot prepare for trial based on these inadequate disclosures, which amount to nothing but gamesmanship and are highly prejudicial.

///

24 |

23

2627

25

28

RDI's Position: RDI joins in the Statement of the Director Defendants. DATED this 18th day of May 2018. COHENIJOHNSONIPARKERIEDWARDS /s/ CJ Barnabi Nevada Bar No.: 14477 for By: H. Stan Johnson (00265) Cohen | Johnson | Parker | Edwards 375 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, NV 89119 702.823.3500 Christopher Tayback (pro hac vice) Marshall Searcy (pro hac vice) Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 213.443.3000 Attorneys for Defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, Douglas McEachern, Guy Adams, Edward Kane, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak Mark Ferrario (No. 1625) Kara Hendricks (No. 7743) Tami Cowden (No. 8994) Greenberg Traurig, LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 400 North Las Vegas, NV 89169 702.792.3773 Attorneys for Reading International, Inc.

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby certify that on the 18 th day of May 2018, I served a copy of
3	the foregoing DEFENDANTS' PRE-TRIAL MEMORANDUM upon each
5	of the parties, and any other parties so identified, via Odyssey E-Filing
6 7	System pursuant to NRCP 5(b)(2)(D) and EDCR 8.05 to:
8 9 10 11	<u>James J Cotter:</u> Akke Levin (al@morrislawgroup.com) Mark Krum (mkrum@bizlit.com) Steve Morris (sm@morrislawgroup.com)
12 13 14 15	Other Service Contacts not associated with a party on the case: "Alan D. Freer, Esq." . (afreer@sdfnvlaw.com) "H. Stan Johnson, Esq." . (calendar@cohenjohnson.com) "Scott C. Thomas, Esq." . (sthomas@fr.com)
16 17	"Thomas M. Melsheimer, Esq." . (tmelsheimer@fr.com) 6085 Joyce Heilich . (heilichj@gtlaw.com) 7132 Andrea Rosehill . (rosehilla@gtlaw.com)
18 19	Aaron D. Shipley . (ashipley@mcwlaw.com) Adam Streisand . (astreisand@sheppardmullin.com) Allison Rose . (allisonrose@chubb.com)
20	Andrea Sager . (sager@fr.com)
21 22	Andrew D. Sedlock . (asedlock@psrlegal.com) Ashley Andrew . (aandrew@royalmileslaw.com)
23	Asmeen Olila-Stoilov . (astoilov@santoronevada.com)
24	Bora Lee . (blee@birdmarella.com) C.J. Barnabi . (cj@cohenjohnson.com)
25	Calendar . (calendar@cohenjohnson.com)
2627	Carolyn K. Renner . (crenner@mcllawfirm.com) Christopher Tayback . (christayback@quinnemanuel.com) Craig Tompkins . (craig tompkins@readingrdi.com)
28	Craig Tompkins . (craig.tompkins@readingrdi.com)

```
Dana Provost . (dprovost@lrrc.com)
1
   Docket . (Docket@BirdMarella.com)
   Dolores Gameros . (dgameros@sheppardmullin.com)
  Donald A. Lattin . (dlattin@mcllawfirm.com)
   Ellen Cotter . (Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com)
   Hernan E. Vera . (hdv@birdmarella.com)
5
   IOM Mark Ferrario . (lvlitdock@gtlaw.com)
   Jason D. Smith . (jsmith@santoronevada.com)
6
   Jennifer Salisbury . (jsalisbury@mcllawfirm.com)
   Karen Bernhardt . (kbernhardt@mcllawfirm.com)
   Karen Minutelli . (kmm@birdmarella.com)
   Katie Arnold . (karnold@mcllawfirm.com)
10
  KBD Kara Hendricks . (hendricksk@gtlaw.com)
   Kenneth Tucker . (Kenneth.Tucker@readingrdi.com)
11
   Kirsten Story . (kstory@lrrc.com)
12
   Kristen Capella . (kcapella@santoronevada.com)
13
   Lauren Laiolo . (laurenlaiolo@quinnemanuel.com)
  Leah Jennings . (ljennings@mcdonaldcarano.com)
   LVGTDocketing . (lvlitdock@gtlaw.com)
15
   Margaret Cotter . (margaret.cotter@readingrdi.com)
   Mario Gutierrez . (mariogutierrez@quinnemanuel.com)
17
  | Mark Krum . (mkrum@bizlit.com)
   |Marshall M. Searcy III . (marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com)
18
   MNQ Megan Sheffield . (sheffieldm@gtlaw.com)
19
   Nelson Achaval . (nachaval@psrlegal.com)
20
   Nicholas J. Santoro . (nsantoro@santoronevada.com)
21
   | Noah Helpern . (noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com)
   Pam Miller . (pmiller@mcdonaldcarano.com)
   Rachel Jenkins . (rjenkins@santoronevada.com)
23
   Rebekah Graham . (rgraham@fr.com)
24
   Sarah Gondek . (sgondek@cohenjohnson.com)
   Shoshana E. Bannett . (seb@birdmarella.com)
   Stephen Lewis . (slewis@pattisgrolewis.com)
26
   Susan Villeda . (susan.villeda@readingrdi.com)
27
   William Gould . (wgould@troygould.com)
```

WTM Tami Cowden . (cowdent@gtlaw.com) ZCE Lee Hutcherson . (hutcherson@gtlaw.com) Erik Foley (efoley@lrrc.com Dated this 18th day of May, 2018. /s/ CJ Barnabi An employee of Cohen Johnson Parker Edwards

EXHIBIT A

From: Mark G. Krum <mkrum@bizlit.com>
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2018 3:09 PM

To: Lauren Lindsay; Noemi A. Kawamoto; hendricksk@gtlaw.com; Christopher Tayback
Cc: sm@morrislawgroup.com; Marshall Searcy; Noah Helpern; ferrariom@gtlaw.com;

cowdent@gtlaw.com; sbannett@birdmarella.com; erhow@birdmarella.com

Subject: Re: Cotter/RDI - Pre trial Memo

For example, it argues the not yet filed "ratification" summary judgment motion. I am out to dinner and will leave it at that.

Get Outlook for Android

From: Christopher Tayback <christayback@quinnemanuel.com>

Sent: Friday, May 18, 2018 5:53:35 PM

To: Mark G. Krum; Lauren Lindsay; Noemi A. Kawamoto; hendricksk@gtlaw.com

Cc: sm@morrislawgroup.com; Marshall Searcy; Noah Helpern; ferrariom@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com;

sbannett@birdmarella.com; erhow@birdmarella.com

Subject: RE: Cotter/RDI - Pre trial Memo

Mark: what are you referring to?

From: Mark G. Krum [mailto:mkrum@bizlit.com]

Sent: Friday, May 18, 2018 2:53 PM

To: Lauren Lindsay <laurenlindsay@quinnemanuel.com>; Noemi A. Kawamoto <nkawamoto@bizlit.com>;

hendricksk@gtlaw.com

Cc: sm@morrislawgroup.com; Christopher Tayback <christayback@quinnemanuel.com>; Marshall Searcy <marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com>; Noah Helpern <noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com>; ferrariom@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com; sbannett@birdmarella.com; erhow@birdmarella.com

Subject: Re: Cotter/RDI - Pre trial Memo

Defendants have included material not properly included in a pre trial memorandum. Whether by design ot oversight, you provided it to us too late to have any discussion, much less reach agreement. We therefore need to file separately.

Get Outlook for Android

From: hendricksk@gtlaw.com>

Sent: Friday, May 18, 2018 5:39:59 PM

To: laurenlindsay@quinnemanuel.com; Noemi A. Kawamoto

 $\textbf{Cc: Mark G. Krum; } \underline{sm@morrislawgroup.com; } \underline{christayback@quinnemanuel.com; } \underline{marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com; } \underline{noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com; } \underline{ferrariom@gtlaw.com; } \underline{sbannett@birdmarella.com; } \underline{s$

erhow@birdmarella.com

Subject: RE: Cotter/RDI - Pre trial Memo

RDI's comments are attached and have been added to the document circulated by Quinn Emanuel.

From: Lauren Lindsay [mailto:laurenlindsay@guinnemanuel.com]

Sent: Friday, May 18, 2018 2:10 PM

To: nkawamoto@bizlit.com

Cc: mkrum@bizlit.com; mkrum@bizlit.com; mkrum.com; mkrum.

LV-LT) < cowdent@gtlaw.com">cowdent@gtlaw.com; Shoshana E. Bannett < sbannett@birdmarella.com; Ekwan E. Rhow

<erhow@birdmarella.com>

Subject: RE: Cotter/RDI - Pre trial Memo

Noemi,

Attached is the pre-trial memo with our edits in redline. Let us know if there is anything you would like to discuss before filing with the court today.

We did not change this in the document, but think that a 10-15 day estimate for the trial length may be more appropriate.

Thanks,

Lauren Lindsay

www.quinnemanuel.com

Associate
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
213-443-3224 Direct
213-443-3000 Main Office Number
213-443-3100 Fax
laurenlindsay@quinnemanuel.com

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

From: Noemi A. Kawamoto [mailto:nkawamoto@bizlit.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 2:40 PM

To: Noah Helpern < noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com >; cowdent@gtlaw.com; Mark G. Krum < mkrum@bizlit.com >; sm@morrislawgroup.com; al@morrislawgroup.com

Cc: ferrariom@gtlaw.com; hendricksk@gtlaw.com; Christopher Tayback <christayback@quinnemanuel.com>; Marshall

Searcy <marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com>; sbannett@birdmarella.com; erhow@birdmarella.com

Subject: RE: Cotter/RDI - Pre trial Memo

Attached is a draft of the pre-trial memo for review and discussion.

Thanks,

Noemi

From: Noah Helpern [mailto:noahhelpern@guinnemanuel.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 12:52 PM

To: Noemi A. Kawamoto < nkawamoto@bizlit.com>; cowdent@gtlaw.com; Mark G. Krum < mkrum@bizlit.com>; sm@morrislawgroup.com; alemorrislawgroup.com; alemorrislawgroup.com; alemorrislawgroup.com;

Cc: ferrariom@gtlaw.com; hendricksk@gtlaw.com; Christopher Tayback <christayback@quinnemanuel.com>; Marshall

Searcy <marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com>; sbannett@birdmarella.com; erhow@birdmarella.com

Subject: RE: Cotter/RDI - Pre trial Memo

Noemi:

Can you let us know when we can expect to see a draft?

Thanks,

Noah

From: Noemi A. Kawamoto [mailto:nkawamoto@bizlit.com]

Sent: Monday, May 14, 2018 11:04 AM

Subject: RE: Cotter/RDI - Pre trial Memo

Hi Tami,

We are working on this and expect to circulate a draft for discussion shortly.

Thanks,

Noemi

From: cowdent@gtlaw.com [mailto:cowdent@gtlaw.com]

Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 1:15 PM

To: Mark G. Krum < mkrum@bizlit.com; sm@morrislawgroup.com; al@morrislawgroup.com; gc: ferrariom@gtlaw.com; hendricksk@gtlaw.com; christayback@quinnemanuel.com; marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com; sbannett@birdmarella.com; erhow@birdmarella.com; sbannett@birdmarella.com; erhow@birdmarella.com; <a href="mailto:

noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com; Noemi A. Kawamoto <nkawamoto@bizlit.com>

Subject: Cotter/RDI - Pre trial Memo

Mark, Steve and Akke,

Looking ahead, based on the new scheduling order, we need to file the Pretrial Memo by May 18. The one filed Dec. 8 needs to be modified, given the grant of judgment to five of the defendants.

Would you like to take the lead on this, and circulate a new draft?

Thanks,

Tami D. Cowden
Of Counsel

Greenberg Traurig, LLP
Suite 400 North
3773 Howard Hughes Parkway | Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
T 702.938.6874
cowdent@gtlaw.com | www.gtlaw.com | View GT Biography

If you are not an intended recipient of immediately at postmaster@gtlaw.c		elete it, notify us

411 E. Bonneville Ave., STe. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422 MORRIS LAW GROUP

5/18/2018 2:50 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **PTM** MORRIS LAW GROUP 2 Steve Morris, Bar No. 1543 3 Akke Levin, Bar No. 9102 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 5 Telephone: (702) 474-9400 Facsimile: (702) 474-9422 6 Email: sm@morrislawgroup.com 7 Email: al@morrislawgroup.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr. 9 10 DISTRICT COURT 11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 12 JAMES J. COTTER, JR.,) Case No. A-15-719860-B derivatively on behalf of Reading) Dept. No. XI 13 International, Inc., 14 Coordinated with: Plaintiff, 15 Case No. P-14-0824-42-E 16 Dept. No. XI MARGARET COTTER, ELLEN 17 COTTER, GUY ADAMS, Jointly Administered EDWARD KANE, DOUGLAS 18 McEACHERN, WILLIAM PLAINTIFF'S PRE-TRIAL 19 GOULD, JUDY CODDING, **MEMORANDUM** MICHAEL WROTNIAK, 20 Defendants. 21 And 22 READING INTERNATIONAL, 23 INC., a Nevada corporation, 24 Nominal Defendant. 25 26 27 28

Case Number: A-15-719860-B

Electronically Filed

MORRIS LAW GROUP

411 E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

The Plaintiff, through his counsel of record, hereby submits the
following pre-trial memorandum in accordance with this Court's 2 nd
Amended Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-trial Conference and Calendar
Call dated May 4, 2018 and Local Rule 2.67. This pretrial memorandum is
substantively the same as the joint pretrial memorandum previously
submitted by the parties on December 8, 2017, except that it has been
updated to reflect the Court's order of December 29, 2017 with respect to
motions for partial summary judgment, for summary judgment and motions
in limine on which the Court ruled on December 11, 2017. A draft of this
pretrial memorandum was provided to counsel for defendants at 2:40 p.m.
on Tuesday, May 15, 2018, after counsel for nominal defendant Reading
International, Inc. ("RDI") had asked if counsel for plaintiff would modify
the joint pretrial memorandum filed previously "given the grant of
judgment to five of the defendants." Notwithstanding the modest and
straightforward edits required, counsel for defendants and RDI provided no
response to the May 15, 2018 draft until approximately 2:10 p.m. Friday,
May 18, the afternoon the pretrial memorandum was to be filed and a
courtesy copy provided to the Court. The lateness of this response was only
half of the problem; defendants included in their revised draft of the joint
pretrial memorandum matter not appropriately included, as well as
arguments to which counsel for plaintiff would respond, but for the
eleventh hour provision of defendants' draft. Faced with such
gamesmanship by counsel for defendants, counsel for plaintiff had little if
any choice but to file this separate pretrial memorandum.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I. MATTER REFERENCED IN MAY 4, 2018 ORDER, PARAGRAPH D

Motions in Limine Α.

See Section II.H.

В. **Motions for Summary Judgment**

See Section II. I.

OTHER PRETRIAL MATTER II.

Α. Statement of Facts

In view of the significant prior proceedings in this case, including motions to dismiss and summary judgment motions, as well as the detail in the pending Second Amended Complaint (the particular allegations of which have been or will be admitted or denied in the individual defendants' respective answers), and the Court's resulting familiarity with this case, the parties respectfully provide the following abbreviated, summary statement of facts of the case:

Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr. ("Mr. Cotter" or "Plaintiff") was and is a substantial shareholder and a director of nominal defendant Reading International, Inc. ("RDI" or the "Company"), as well as a former President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"). Defendants Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter were and are members of the RDI board of directors (the "Board") and at all times relevant hereto have purported to be and/or been the controlling shareholder(s) of RDI. Each of the remaining individual defendants was at relevant times and is a member of the RDI Board, as well of certain Board committees.

The facts of this case include and concern acts and omissions of individual director defendants which the Plaintiff claims give rise to entail breaches of fiduciary duties individually and/or together with other acts and omissions, including with respect to the following matters: the threat to terminate Mr. Cotter as President and CEO of RDI, the termination of Mr.

MORRIS LAW GROUP 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 \cdot Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 \cdot Eax 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Cotter as President and CEO of RDI, the demand that he resign from the Board, RDI Board governance matters, RDI SEC filings and press releases, the search for a permanent CEO that resulted in Ellen Cotter becoming permanent CEO, the hiring and compensation of Margaret Cotter as EVP RED NY, the payment of certain monies to certain of the individual defendants and the actions and or lack of actions by each of the individual defendants in response to offers or expressions of interest by Patton Vision and others to purchase all of the outstanding stock of RDI.

B. List of Claims

Plaintiff's list of claims for relief is as follows:

- 1. Breaches of the Duty of Care (SAC 1 179) (First Cause)
- Process in connection with termination, including aborting ombudsman and lack of process/process failures (SAC 3, 35, 36, 43, 50 – 57, 61 – 94) (EC, MC, GA) (equitable relief)¹
- Breach(es) of the duty of care and abdication of fiduciary responsibilities by some or all acts and omissions in SAC (SAC - all), including paragraph A. 1. above and the following:
- Use of executive committee (SAC 8, 99) (EC, MC, Kane, Adams/WG, JC, MW)
- Process/process failures from aborted CEO search selecting EC (SAC 6, 14, 137 – 147, 152) (Search Committee: MC, DM, WG) (Board: All)
- Erroneous and/or materially misleading statements in board materials such as agendas and minutes, and in public disclosures

¹ Arabic numbered bold typeface paragraphs indicate matters which Plaintiff contends give rise to and/or constitute breaches of fiduciary duty independently, as well as together with other matter.

MUKKIS LAW GKOUF	411 E. Bonneville Ave., STE. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422	

including SEC filings and press releases (SAC 9, 13, 72, 101ai.,
109 – 119, 135ak., 136ai., 147) (all)
Process/process failures in connection with nomination and

- Process/process failures in connection with nomination and retention of directors, including adding Codding and/or Wrotniak (SAC 11, 12, 121-134) (EC, MC, DM, GA, EK, WG)
- Hiring MC as EVP RED NY (SAC 6, 15, 57 61, 92, 95, 149 151, 166) and paying the \$200,000 pre-employment bonus (committees members) (Board all)
- \$50,000 to Adams (SAC 153, 166) (Committees members) (Board all but GA)
- Process/process failures in response to Patton Vision offer(s)
 (SAC 16, 154-162) (all)
- Damages/injury (SAC 163 168)
 a. injury to RDI's reputation and goodwill (164)
 b. impairment of shareholder rights due to SEC filings (165)
 - 2. Breaches of the Duty of Loyalty (SAC 1 172, 180-186) (Second Cause)
- Threat to terminate (SAC 2, 35, 36, 64-71, 78 82, 84, 87, 88, 91) (GA, EC, MC)
- Termination (SAC 3, 35, 36, 43, 50 57, 64 94) (GA, EC, MC)
 (equitable relief also sought)
- Authorizing exercise of the 100,000 share option (SAC 10, 102 108) (GA, EK) (equitable relief also sought)
- Aborted CEO search selecting EC (SAC 6, 14, 137 147, 152) (Search Committee: MC) (Board: all)
- Hiring MC as EVP RED NY (SAC 6, 15, 57 61, 92, 95, 149 151, 166) and paying \$200,000 pre-employment bonus
 (Committee members) (Board: all)
- Breach of the duty of loyalty (all) and misuse of their position

MORRIS LAW GROUP

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

as controlling shareholders (EC, MC) by some or all such acts and omissions in the SAC, including those in paragraphs B. 1. - 7. above and the following:

- Threat to terminate insurance if JJC, Jr. does not resign as a director (SAC 4, 38) (EC, WG)
- use of executive committee (SAC 8, 99) (EC, MC, Kane, Adams,
- manipulating board materials (SAC 9, 72, 100) (EC)
- involuntary retirement of Storey (SAC 12, 127-130) (EC, MC,
- Board stacking/adding Codding and Wrotniak (SAC 11, 121-134) (nominating committee) (Board - all others)
- Process/process failures in response to Patton Vision offer(s)
- \$50,000 to Adams (SAC 153, 166) (EC) (all)
- SEC filings (SAC 13, 101a.-i., 109 119, 135a.-k., 136a.-i., 147) (all)
- Damages/injury (SAC 163 168)
 - a. diminution in value of RDI (163)
 - b. injury to reputation and goodwill (164)
 - c. impairment of shareholder rights due to SEC filings (165)
 - d. other monetary damages (166)
 - i. \$200,000 and job to MC
 - ii. \$50,000 to Adams
 - duplicate cost of paying consultants to perform iii. MC's position's responsibilities

411 E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422 MORRIS LAW GROUP

1	iv. class A nonvoting stock accepted <i>in lieu</i> of cash
2	consideration for exercise of 100,000 share
3	option
4	3. Breaches of the Duty of Candor (SAC 1 – 172, 187 – 192)
5	(Third Cause)
6	• SEC filings and press releases (SAC 13, 101ai., 109 – 119, 135a
7	k., 136ai., 147) (EC - all) (WG - Form 8-Ks and press releases
8	about termination and CEO) (each as to disclosures regarding
9	themselves (e.g., proxies))
10	• Damages/injury (SAC 163 – 168)
11	a. diminution in value of RDI (163)
12	b. impairment of shareholder rights due to SEC filings (165)
13	c. injury to reputation and goodwill (168)
14	4. Aiding and Abetting Breaches of Fiduciary Duty (SAC
15	193 – 200) (Fourth Cause)
16	• Threat to terminate (SAC 2, 35, 36, 64-71, 78 – 82, 84, 87, 88, 91)
17	(EC, MC)
18	• Termination (SAC 3, 35, 36, 43, 50 – 57, 64 – 94) (Threat to
19	terminate (SAC 2, 35, 36, 78 – 82, 87, 88, 91) (EC, MC)
20	 Authorizing exercise of the 100,000 share option (SAC 10, 102 –
21	108) (EC)
22	• Involuntary retirement of Storey (SAC 12, 127-130) (EC, MC)
23	 Board stacking/adding Codding and Wrotniak (SAC 11, 121-
24	134) (EC, MC)
25	 Aborted CEO search selecting EC (SAC 6, 14, 137 – 147, 152) (EC)
26	• Hiring MC as EVP RED NY (SAC 6, 15, 57 – 61, 92, 95, 149 – 151,
27	166) and paying \$200,000 pre-employment bonus (EC, MC)
28	• Damages/injury (SAC 163 – 168)

diminution in value of RDI (163)

MORRIS LAW GROUP E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-0403 · EAV 702/474-0432

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
77+	11	
4/4-7 7	12	
/07/	13	
0 · FAX	14	
4-9400	15	
j	16	
707	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

1

h	initiry	to reputation	and o	roodwill i	(16/1
D.	injury	to reputation	ana ş	gooawiii (104

- c. impairment of shareholder rights due to SEC filings (165)
- d. other monetary damages (166)
 - i. \$200,000 and job to MC
 - ii. \$50,000 to Adams
 - iii. duplicate cost of paying consultants to perform MC's position's responsibilities
 - iv. class A nonvoting stock accepted *in lieu* of cash consideration for exercise of 100,000 share option

C. Claims or Defenses to be Abandoned.

None. However, Plaintiff will not seek equitable relief with respect to historical or past actions relating to the executive committee, to corporate governance of RDI such as misleading or inaccurate meeting agendas and/or minutes, to the addition or removal of persons to and/or from the RDI board of directors and to SEC filings and press releases. Plaintiff will seek equitable relief with respect to the vote to terminate James J. Cotter Jr. as President and CEO and reserves the right to do so with respect to authorization of the exercise of the so-called 100,000 share option.

D. List of Exhibits

Under paragraph (F) of the Second Amended Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Calendar Call (dated May 4, 2018), the parties' exhibit lists are to be provided to the Court prior to the final Pre-Trial Conference, the date for which has not yet been set.

E. Agreements to Limit or Exclude EvidenceNone presently.

F. Witness List

(a) Nonexpert Witnesses For Plaintiff:

	1 2	17.Judy Codding (plaintiff expects to present this witness and/or present the witness's testimony by means of a deposition) 2266 Canyon Back Road
	3	Los Angeles, California 90049
	4	
	5	18. Michael J. Wrotniak (plaintiff expects to present this witness and/or
	6	present the witness's testimony by means of a deposition) Aminco Resources USA
	7	World Headquarters
	8	81 Main Street Suite 110 White Plains, NY 10601
	9	914 949 4400
m MORRIS~LAW~GROUP411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422	10	M.Wrotniak@Aminco.biz
UP levado 22	11	10 Cil Danalı (ulaintiff man call this suituses if the most arises)
RO 3AS, N 4-942	12	19.Gil Borok (<i>plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises</i>) 3835 Hayvenhurst Avenue
m MORRIS~LAW~GROUP onneville Ave., Ste. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevad 702/474-9400 · Fax 702/474-9422	13	Encino, California 91436
MW 50 · L 57 XY	14	Mobile- 818-0528-3689
L/ iff. 36	15	Email- <u>gborok@me.com</u>
XIS WE., S 4-940		20 Polo ant IAI are an (also stiff man call this puitures if the most anises)
)RJ	16	20.Robert Wagner (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises) Korn Ferry
MC ONNEY 70	17	1900 Avenue of the Stars Suite 2600
Б	18	Los Angeles, CA 90067
411	19	310-226-2672 (w) Robert.wagner@kornferry.com
	20	Nobert. Wagner & Korriterry . Cont
	21	21.John M. Genovese (plaintiff may call this witness if the need arises)
	22	7584 Coastal View Drive
	23	Los Angeles, CA 90045 Mobile: 310-245-1760
	24	Email- <u>jmgenovese@yahoo.com</u>
	25	
	26	22.William D. Ellis (plaintiff expects to present this witness and/or present the witness's testimony by means of a deposition)
	27	c/o Mark E. Ferrario, Esq.
	28	Leslie S. Godfrey, Esq. Greenberg Traurig LLP

MORRIS LAW GROUP

411 E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

27

proper exercise of directors' fiduciary duties. Among other things, he will offer opinion testimony regarding appropriate corporate governance practices and activities where a board of directors is faced with circumstances in which directors lack or may lack independence and/or disinterestedness, including the appropriate practices and activities to address such circumstances, and to evaluate the success of such practices and activities, including with respect to the following matters (i) the process used to terminate James J. Cotter, Jr. as President and Chief Executive Officer of Reading International, Inc. ("RDI")., (ii) the use of the Executive Committee of RDI's Board of Directors, (iii) the appointment of EC and MC to their respective current positions and the revised compensation and bonuses that they and Adams were given and (iv) the rejection of the Offer. ² Former Chief Justice Steele also will offer opinion

² As stated in the Steele Report, it is Justice Steele's understanding that Nevada courts look to Delaware case law when there is no Nevada statutory or case law on point for an issue of corporate law. See, e.g. Brown v. Kinross Gold U.S.A., Inc., 531 F. Supp. 2d 1234, 1245 (D. Nev. 2008) ("Because the Nevada Supreme Court frequently looks to the Delaware Supreme Court and the Delaware Courts of Chancery as persuasive authorities on questions of corporation law, this Court often looks to those sources to predict how the Nevada Supreme Court would decide the question."); Hilton Hotels Corp. v. ITT Corp., 978 F. Supp. 1342, 1346 (D. Nev. 1997) ("Where, as here, there is no Nevada statutory or case law on point or an issue of corporate law, this Court finds persuasive authority in Delaware case law."); Cohen v. Mirage Resorts, Inc., 62 P.3d 720, 727 n.10 (Nev. 2003) ("Because the Legislature relied upon the Model Act and the Model Act relies heavily on New York and Delaware case law, we look to the Model Act and the law of those states in interpreting the Nevada statutes.").

Justice Steele is aware that the defendants in this action have filed a motion in limine because the Steele Report stated that the opinions therein were based on what a court that applied Delaware law would find. That phraseology was intended simply to refer to Justice Steele's years of experience in Delaware's well-versed body of law. The Delaware law on

$\begin{array}{c} MORRIS\ LAW\ GROUP \\ \text{1 E. Bonneyille Ave., STE. 360 \cdot Las Vegas, Nevada 89101} \\ \text{202/474-9400 \cdot FAX 702/474-9422} \end{array}$

testimony to rebut opinions offered by defendants' experts Michael Klausner and Alfred Osborne.

- 2. Richard Spitz will offer opinion testimony relating to executive and CEO searches and RDI's supposed CEO search. It is anticipated that he will offer opinion testimony that the execution of the (supposed) executive search process undertaken at RDI in 2015 to find a CEO was not conducted properly and that the search failed, including because the selection of Ellen Cotter as CEO was not the product of completing the search process undertaken and was not a result of the search activities conducted. Mr. Spitz also will offer opinion testimony to rebut opinions offered by defendants' expert Alfred Osborne.
- 3. Albert Nagy will offer opinion testimony in rebuttal to defendants' expert Alfred Osbourne. Among other things, it is anticipated that he will offer opinion testimony that Margaret Cotter's compensation from RDI is not within a reasonable range for a person with her experience and qualifications.
- 4. Tiago Duarte-Silva will offer opinion testimony about money damages Plaintiff seeks by this action. It is anticipated that his opinion testimony will include opinions that (i) Reading's earnings have declined and underperformed since Ellen Cotter became Reading's CEO, and (ii) Reading's value has declined and underperformed since Ellen Cotter became Reading's CEO. Mr. Duarte-Silva also will offer opinion testimony to rebut opinions offered by defendants' expert Richard Roll.

which Justice Steele relies neither supplants nor modifies the plain meaning of Nevada law, but only is used to inform Nevada law.

$MORRIS\ LAW\ GROUP$ 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

G. Issues of Law

Plaintiff's position is that any such issues will be raised with the Court in the context of jury instructions.

H. Previous Orders on Motions in Limine

- a. Defendants' Motion In Limine to Exclude Expert
 Testimony of Myron Steele, Tiago Duarte-Silva, Richard
 Spitz, Albert Nagy, and John Finnerty
 - i. Granted in Part. With respect to Chief Justice
 Steele, he may testify only for the limited purpose
 of identifying what appropriate corporate
 governance activities would have been, including
 activities where directors are interested, including
 how to evaluate if directors are interested.
 Withdrawn as to Dr. Finnerty. Denied as to all
 other experts. *See* December 21, 2016 Order
 Regarding Defendants' Motions for Partial
 Summary Judgment Nos. 1-6 and Motion In
 Limine to Exclude Expert Testimony ("December
 21, 2016 Order"), on file.
- b. Plaintiff James J. Cotter Jr.'s Motion In Limine No. 1 Regarding Advice of Counsel.
 - ii. **Denied** (see Order filed on 12/28/18)
- c. Plaintiff James J. Cotter Jr.'s Motion In Limine No. 2
 Regarding the Submission of Merits-Related Evidence
 By Nominal Defendant Reading International, Inc.
 - iii. Denied (see Order filed on 12/28/18)

MORRIS LAW GROUP

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

25

26

27

28

1

d.	Plaintiff James Cotter Jr.'s Motion In Limine No. 3
	Regarding After-Acquired Evidence.

- iv. **Denied**, however, "to the extent that Plaintiff's retention and use of Highpoint Associates and Derek Alderton is admitted at trial, it will be admitted with an instruction limiting the evidence solely to the issue of Plaintiff's suitability as President and CEO of RDI." (see Order filed on 12/28/18).
- e. Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, Guy Adams, Edward Kane, Douglas McEachern, William Gould, Judy Codding, Michael Wrotniak's Motion In Limine to Exclude Evidence that is More Prejudicial Than Probative
 - v. **Denied** (see Order filed on 12/28/18).
- f. Renewed Motion In Limine to Exclude Expert Testimony of Myron Steele Based on Supplemental Authority
 - vi. **Denied** (see Order filed on 12/28/18).
- g. Defendant William Gould's Motion In Limine Exclude Irrelevant Speculative Evidence
 - vii. Denied as premature (see Order filed on 12/28/18).

I. **Previous Orders on Motions for Partial Summary Judgment**

a. Ellen Cotter, Margaret Cotter, and Guy Adams' Motion Summary Judgment (motion is not to be filed until Plaintiff has a chance to review the discovery ordered on May 2, 2018);

$MORRIS\ LAW\ GROUP$ 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

b.	Motion for Leave to File Dispositive Motion/Motion to
	Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction Due to
	Failure to Show Demand Futility (Hearing scheduled
	for May 25, 2018);

- c. RDI's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Show Demand Futility.
 - viii. Denied, without prejudice to renew after obtaining leave of Court to file renewed motion. (See Transcript on Hearing for Motion on Continuance (January 8, 2018 Public), 10:22 11:1.)
- d. The Remaining Director Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law.
 - ix. Denied, without prejudice to renew after obtaining leave of Court to file renewed motion. (See Transcript on Hearing for Motion on Continuance (January 8, 2018 Public), 10:22 11:1.)
- e. Individual Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (No. 1.) Re: Plaintiff's Termination and Reinstatement Claims.
 - x. GRANTED with respect to Edward Kane,
 Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, Michael
 Wrotniak, and William Gould, and DENIED with
 respect to Guy Adams, Ellen Cotter, and Margaret
 Cotter. See Order dated December 28, 2017.
- f. Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary
 Judgment (No. 2) Re: The Issue of Director
 Independence.

$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{MORRIS\ LAW\ GROUP} \\ \textbf{411\ E.\ BonnevILLe\ AVE.,\ STE.\ 360 \cdot Las\ VEGAS,\ NEVADA\ 89101} \\ \textbf{702/474-9400 \cdot FAX\ 702/474-9422} \end{array}$

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	o
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	o
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

26

27

28

xi.	GRANTED with respect to Edward Kane,
	Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, Michael
	Wrotniak, and William Gould, and DENIED with
	respect to Guy Adams, Ellen Cotter, and Margaret
	Cotter, See Order dated December 28, 2017.

- g. Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 3) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Purported Unsolicited Offer.
 - xii. Granted. See Order dated December 28, 2017.
- h. Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 4) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Executive Committee.
 - xiii. Granted in Part. Granted as to the formation and revitalization (activation) of the Executive Committee; Denied as to the utilization of the committee. See December 21, 2016 Order.
- Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 5) On Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Appointment of Ellen Cotter as CEO.
 - xiv. GRANTED with respect to Edward Kane,
 Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, Michael
 Wrotniak, and William Gould, and DENIED with
 respect to Guy Adams, Ellen Cotter, and Margaret
 Cotter. See December 28, 2017 Order.
- j. Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary
 Judgment (No. 6) Re: Plaintiff's Claims Related to the
 Estate's Option Exercise, the Appointment of Margaret
 Cotter, the Compensation Packages of Ellen Cotter and

411 E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 MORRIS LAW GROUP 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		Margaret Cotter, and the Additional Compensation of
2		Margaret Cotter and Guy Adams.
3		xv. GRANTED with respect to Edward Kane,
4		Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, Michael
5	Wrotniak, and William Gould, and DENIED with	
6	respect to Guy Adams, Ellen Cotter, and Margare	
7		Cotter. See Order dated December 28, 2017.
8		k. Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr.'s Motion for Partial
9	Summary Judgment.	
10	xvi. Denied. See October 3, 2016 Order Denying James	
11	J. Cotter Jr.'s Motion for Partial Summary	
12	Judgment and Granting RDI's Countermotion for	
13		Summary Judgment.
14		l. Defendant William Gould's Motion for Summary
15	Judgment.	
16		xvii. Granted. See Order dated December 28, 2017.
17	J. Estimated Length of Trial.	
18	Plaintiff estimates 15 to 19 days; 80-100 trial hours.	
19		
20		MORRIS LAW GROUP

By: __ /s/ Akke Levin Steve Morris, Bar No. 1543 Akke Levin, Bar No. 9102 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Mark G. Krum (10913) Yurko, Salvesen, & Remz. P.C. One Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, MA 02108

> Attorneys for Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr.

$MORRIS\ LAW\ GROUP$ 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

Stan Johnson

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I certify that I am an employee of MORRIS LAW GROUP and that on the date below, I cause the following document(s) to be served via the Court's Odyssey E-Filing System: **PLAINTIFF'S PRETRIAL MEMORANDUM**, to be served on all interested parties, as registered with the Court's E-Filing and E-Service System. The date and time of the electronic proof of service is in place of the date and place of deposit in the mail.

Donald A. Lattin

Cohen-Johnson, LLC	Carolyn K. Renner
255 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 110	Maupin, Cox & LeGoy
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119	4785 Caughlin Parkway
	Reno, Nevada 89519
Christopher Tayback	T1 T D1
Marshall Searcy	Ekwan E. Rhow
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP	Shoshana E. Bannett
865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert,
Los Angeles, CA	Nessim, Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow, P.C.
Attorneys for /Defendants Edward Kane,	1875 Century Park East, 23rd Fl.
Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, and	Los Angeles, CA 90067-2561
Michael Wrotniak	
	Attorneys for Defendant William
Mark Ferrario	Gould
Kara Hendricks	
Tami Cowden	
Greenberg Traurig, LLP	
3773 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 400 North	
Las Vegas, NV 89169	
Attorneys for Nominal Defendant	
Reading International, Inc.	
DATED this 18th day of May, 2018.	
Ву:	/s/ Judy Estrada

Electronically Filed 5/24/2018 1:26 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
* * * * *

JAMES COTTER, JR.

. CASE NO. A-15-719860-B

Plaintiff .

A-16-735305-B P-14-082942-E

VS.

DEPT. NO. XI

MARGARET COTTER, et al.

Transcript of

Defendants .

Proceedings

.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL

MONDAY, MAY 21, 2018

COURT RECORDER: TRANSCRIPTION BY:

JILL HAWKINS FLORENCE HOYT

District Court Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MARK G. KRUM, ESQ. AKKE LEVIN, ESQ.

FOR THE DEFENDANTS: MARSHALL M. SEARCY, ESQ.

KEVIN M. JOHNSON, ESQ.

TAMI COWDEN, ESQ.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, MAY 21, 2018, 8:54 A.M. 1 2 (Court was called to order) THE COURT: That takes me to page 3, which is the 3 4 Cotter case. Good morning. 5 MR. KRUM: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 MR. SEARCY: Good morning. 7 THE COURT: Who's arguing the motion? 8 MR. SEARCY: I'm arguing the motion, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right? MR. SEARCY: And, again, it's Marshall Searcy for 10 11 defendants Ellen Cotter, Margaret Cotter, and Don Adams. 12 Your Honor, this motion is about seeking answers to 13 basic questions, questions that are fundamental to the trial that we're supposed to have in July and the one that we were 14 15 supposed to have in January. THE COURT: No. The one we had in January. 16 actually almost started. The jury was here. 17 18 MR. SEARCY: That's right. The jury was here, Your 19 And that question --THE COURT: Just no Mr. Cotter. 20 MR. SEARCY: -- is has plaintiff paid his experts, 21 22 does he have expert testimony to put on, and were those 23 experts available to testify when he called in sick. 24 The opposition that's been submitted and all the 25 correspondence in the case show that there are no answers to

these questions. There's no answer anywhere in plaintiff's brief. The only answer that we've received has to do with plaintiff's expert Mr. Finnerty [phonetic], and Mr. Finnerty, as we saw, has sent out a bill collector to Mr. Cotter and said, you haven't paid me.

When we presented that to plaintiff the answer we got back basically, Your Honor, was, you got me, I'm not going to call Finnerty.

Well, we're entitled to know about the rest of those experts, because we have reason to believe based upon Mr. Finnerty's, the fact that he hasn't been paid, that those other experts haven't been paid, either. Mr. Finnerty was a rebuttal expert, and his bill should actually be much smaller than the other experts that plaintiff, we suspect, hasn't paid. And if plaintiff isn't going to call those experts because he hasn't paid those experts, then we should be entitled to know that, and the Court should be entitled to know whether or not those experts were paid at the time we were supposed to go to trial back in January.

The only answer that we've gotten back from plaintiff on this is a lot of excuses. And, Your Honor, this is really a straightforward application of Rule 26. Rule 26, especially Rule 26(e), says that there's a duty to supplement materials relating to a claim or defense when a party learns

that in some material respect the information disclosed is incomplete or incorrect. Clearly the information about payments to experts here is incomplete, because we haven't received it. But we don't know as a result of that whether or not those experts will be coming to trial.

THE COURT: You received it at the time you took their depositions. You haven't received updated information since the trial was cancelled at the last minute due to Mr. Cotter's illness.

MR. SEARCY: That's correct, Your Honor. We have not received updated information to know whether the bills that we received at the deposition have actually been paid.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SEARCY: And we're entitled to that information under Rule 26(e), particularly in light of the fact that that pertains to trial that's right around the corner, hopefully on July 9th, as Your Honor indicated.

THE COURT: No, no. It is July 9th. There's no questions about that.

MR. SEARCY: Well, okay. As we learned from the last hearing. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SEARCY: And that's what this goes to. Rule 26(e), plaintiff is required to supplement that information. There's no question that information about payments to experts

is relevant to claims and it's relevant to issues as to 1 whether or not plaintiff may have misled this Court about 3 whether or not he was ready to go to trial back in January. 4 THE COURT: That's really what you're trying to do; 5 right? It's really about whether I was misled. 6 MR. SEARCY: That is-7 THE COURT: It's not really as much about what the 8 bills are and what happened; it's whether they lied to me about Mr. Cotter being ill or whether there was some other 10 reason. 11 MR. SEARCY: That is a fundamental issue here, Your 12 Honor. Absolutely. However --13 THE COURT: Just be straight up and say it. MR. SEARCY: Let me be absolutely clear. That is 14 15 100 percent one of the reasons. However, it's not the only 16 reason. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MR. SEARCY: The other reason is we do have a trial 19 coming up. 20 THE COURT: Because, you know, there's still some people who don't believe Mr. Cotter was actually sick. 21 22 MR. SEARCY: There's some who are skeptical. 23 THE COURT: I required a letter from the doctor and 24 an affidavit before I believed him. And I got it, and I 25 believe the doctor would not have put his medical license on

the line to say Mr. Cotter's too sick to come for trial. But that's up to you guys whether you believe it or not.

MR. SEARCY: There's some skepticism, Your Honor --

THE COURT: I am aware of that.

MR. SEARCY: -- especially in light of these expert bills that we're seeking information about whether or not there was payment. And, frankly, Your Honor, with the July 9th trial coming up we should know whether or not those experts are going to be coming to trial. That's also a fundamental issue.

THE COURT: You should know that.

MR. SEARCY: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's see. Ms. Levin, are you handling

14 this one?

MS. LEVIN: I am.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. LEVIN: Your Honor, this is -- I think the Court alluded to it, but this motion is really about something different. It's disingenuous, and it's a distraction. Apart from the delay in filing the motion and the absence of making any meaningful efforts to meet and confer, Mr. Krum was ready to discuss these matters on May 14. And even though Mr. Krum mooted the issue on which it was based, which is Mr. Finnerty's bill collector arriving at one of the sister

companies, nevertheless they filed this motion. But the

motion was moot before it was filed, because, as I said, the expert -- Mark Krum already advised opposing counsel that Mr. Finnerty would not be an expert at trial.

The other thing is, Your Honor, there are no outstanding document requests to the plaintiff. They repeatedly say in their motion, well, you know --

THE COURT: But don't you have a duty to supplement?

MS. LEVIN: Maybe experts do. There were subpoenas served on the experts in 2016.

THE COURT: Well, but the parties have a duty to supplement, too; right?

MS. LEVIN: But in response to what? I mean, they haven't identified any outstanding document requests to the plaintiff that these documents are responsive to. Remember, they're asking for correspondence between the plaintiff and his experts regarding to the payment or nonpayment of the fees. They haven't pointed to a single document request to what that is that's responsive to -- that's outstanding. And although they are saying that this is clearly relevant, they're saying clearly relevant, they're not bothering to explain to the Court or to us what relevance --

THE COURT: Well, you got the relevance a minute ago, didn't you?

MS. LEVIN: Well, yeah. But if that's the relevance, then we're talking about a whole different motion,

1 Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Yes. It's a different issue. MS. LEVIN: Yeah. And I think that -- the trial 3 4 prep, there's a time and place to disclose experts. We both 5 served pretrial memorandums -- memoranda, and so it's a distraction. I think it's too late, and there's nothing 6 7 outstanding. And they're speculating about other experts, but they don't know. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. MS. LEVIN: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: So the motion's granted in part. 11 12 plaintiffs will produce updated billing statements for each of 13 the experts they intend to use at trial. They are not required to produce their own correspondence with the experts 14 15 at this point, but updated billing information is something 16 that falls within the scope that is required to be provided. I am denying the request for sanctions and 17 18 attorneys' fees. 19 So that takes me to your pretrial conference. 20 what experts are coming for trial? 21 Well, Your Honor --MR. KRUM: 22 THE COURT: See how I managed to hit that, the next 23 step that it's relevant to? 24 Yeah. That's good, Your Honor. MR. KRUM: 25 received even later than usual by the -- you know,

understanding some sandbagging is a methodology that they've employed. So we received about 2:15 on Friday their first proposed redline of the pretrial, and then a second one about a half hour later. So we didn't have a chance to process that. I note, by the way, Your Honor, the track changes showed most of the changes they made were made on Tuesday. There were some made on Thursday, and a single change beyond Friday.

So, among other things, to go to the point you raised, Your Honor, they changed the experts that are being called. So we'll have to look at that. I don't know if I [inaudible].

THE COURT: So answer my question. Just tell me. Are there any of your experts, other than Mr. Finnerty, that you know are not coming?

MR. KRUM: Not today. But that may change. And we will apprise them as you've just ordered. Well, that's not what you ordered, but --

THE COURT: I ordered billing statements and up-to-date payment ledgers.

So previously we had identified the jury notebooks, we'd worked on the electronic exhibits, we'd done the preinstructions, we'd done the jury instructions. You guys had talked to me about PowerPoint issues. We have previously been through this all once before because we were starting

trial when Mr. Cotter became ill.

So is there anything from that last pretrial conference, other than a reselection of alternate jurors that we will do at our final pretrial conference, that we need to talk about?

MR. KRUM: No.

THE COURT: Do you still think it's going to take the full three weeks?

MR. KRUM: 80 hours is the estimate that we had,
Your Honor, so, yeah, we expect the two weeks. I believe that
plaintiff has a longer estimate.

MR. SEARCY: Three weeks is fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything that you are going to update, other than arguably the list of experts, from which you previously provided me for our January trial that failed?

MR. SEARCY: That may well be, Your Honor. Well, so, for example, as you know, we've had discovery ordered which has not yet been provided. There was some provided before. We had motion practice and so forth. So there might be exhibits. I say might.

THE COURT: So the reason I'm asking you is, remember, we have an electronic exhibit protocol in place in this case --

MR. KRUM: Right.

THE COURT: -- and I have things I have to do if 1 2 we're going to have stuff --3 Did you return all their drives to them? 4 Okay. So we're going to have to start over with all 5 the drives. So if you're going to add them, make sure that you give me enough advance notice so we can have the guys from 7 our IT department be here for your calendar call, which should 8 be on June 25th --9 Am I correct? THE CLERK: 18th. 10 11 THE COURT: -- June 18th with all your drives so we 12 can run that. 13 MR. KRUM: Understood. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Final pretrial 14 15 Anything else you want to update me on, other conference. 16 than you're going to file a nasty motion after you get the 17 bills? I got that part. 18 MR. SEARCY: That's right, Your Honor. And, if I may, I don't believe that the Court set a time frame on 19 20 production of the invoices, the updating billing statements. 21 MR. KRUM: Well, how about three weeks after they 22 produce what you ordered them to produce? 23 THE COURT: How about a week. MR. KRUM: 24 Two weeks, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: How about a week?

It's a Memorial Day holiday. 1 MR. KRUM: 2 MR. SEARCY: A week is acceptable to us, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: How about 10 days? 4 MR. SEARCY: Ten days. 5 Ten real days, not ten judicial days. THE COURT: 6 MR. KRUM: Well, that still puts me in the Memorial 7 Day weekend, Your Honor. In fairness to me, I have to spend 8 the day on the plane going back to my office. I do my best, 9 Your Honor. When you scheduled that evidentiary hearing I was 10 in Minneapolis on my way back. 11 THE COURT: I understand, Mr. Krum, when we moved 12 I understand. I'm not criticizing you about your that up. 13 travelling from the East Coast to here on a regular basis. 14 What I am concerned about is that you are trying to 15 get to June 4th to produce this, which will then put any 16 motion that I'm having up on the eve your trial. I don't want that happening. I want them produced by May 30th. 17 18 MR. KRUM: Okay, Your Honor. That'll be on the 19 timetable that we'll be filing our motion. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Krum, May 30th. 21 Of course, Your Honor. MR. KRUM: 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 MR. KRUM: I'm just tired of not getting what you 24 ordered them to produce until the day before it's too late.

As I said, what you ordered on May 2nd we still don't have,

```
and I'll be reporting on whatever the state of play is later
    this week.
              THE COURT: Thanks.
 3
 4
              MR. KRUM: Thank you.
 5
              MR. SEARCY: Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. What else?
 6
 7
              MR. SEARCY: Nothing else, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. So please make sure -- we're
 8
 9
    going to need all new drives.
              MR. SEARCY: Understood, Your Honor.
10
11
              MR. KRUM: Understood.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. 'Bye, guys.
                THE PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 9:07 A.M.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

FLORENCE HOYT Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

FLORENCE M. HOYT, TRANSCRIBER

5/21/18

DATE

Electronically Filed 6/1/2018 1:26 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

COHENJOHNSONPARKEREDWARDS 1 H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 00265 sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com 375 E. Warm Springs Rd., Suite 104 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: (702) 823-3500 4 Facsimile: (702) 823-3400 5 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP CHRISTOPHER TAYBACK, ESQ. 6 California Bar No. 145532, pro hac vice 7 christayback@quinnemanuel.com MARSHALL M. SEARCY, ESQ. California Bar No. 169269, pro hac vice 8 marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com 9 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 10 11 Attorneys for Defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, and Guy Adams 12 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 13 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 14 Case No.: A-15-719860-B 15 Dept. No.: XI JAMES J. COTTER, JR. individually and derivatively on behalf of Reading 16 Case No.: P-14-082942-E International, Inc., Dept. No.: XI 17 Plaintiff, Related and Coordinated Cases 18 MARGARET COTTER, et al., **BUSINESS COURT** 19 Defendants. ELLEN COTTER, MARGARET 20 AND **COTTER, AND GUY ADAMS' MOTION** 21 FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT READING INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Nevada corporation, 22 Nominal Defendant. Judge: Hon. Elizabeth Gonzalez 23 Date of Hearing: Time of Hearing: 24 25 26

27

TO ALL PARTIES, COUNSEL, AND THE COURT:

Pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 56, Defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, and Guy Adams (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their counsel of record, Cohen|Johnson|Parker|Edwards and Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, hereby submit this Motion for Summary Judgment.

This Motion is based upon the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Noah S. Helpern, the pleadings and papers on file, and any oral argument that the time of a hearing on this motion.

Dated: June 1, 2018

COHEN|JOHNSON|PARKER|EDWARDS

By: /s/ H. Stan Johnson

H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 00265 sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com 375 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: (702) 823-3500

Telephone: (702) 823-3500 Facsimile: (702) 823-3400

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

CHRISTOPHER TAYBACK, ESQ. California Bar No. 145532, pro hac vice christayback@quinnemanuel.com
MARSHALL M. SEARCY, ESQ.
California Bar No. 169269, pro hac vice marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com
865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Telephone: (213) 443-3000

Attorneys for Defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, and Guy Adams

1 NOTICE OF MOTION 2 TO: ALL PARTIES, COUNSEL, AND THE COURT: 3 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above Motion will be heard on **July 5** 4 2018 at _____ in Department XI of the above designated Court or as soon thereafter 5 as counsel can be heard. 6 Dated: June 1, 2018 7 COHEN|JOHNSON|PARKER|EDWARDS 8 By: /s/ H. Stan Johnson 9 H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 00265 10 sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com 255 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 100 11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: (702) 823-3500 12 Facsimile: (702) 823-3400 13 14 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 15 CHRISTOPHER TAYBACK, ESQ. 16 California Bar No. 145532, pro hac vice christayback@quinnemanuel.com 17 MARSHALL M. SEARCY, ESO. California Bar No. 169269, pro hac vice 18 marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 19 Los Angeles, CA 90017 20 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 21 Attorneys for Defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, Douglas McEachern, Guy Adams, 22 Edward Kane, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak 23 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27 28

DECLARATION OF COUNSEL NOAH HELPERN

- I, Noah Helpern, state and declare as follows:
- 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California, and am an attorney with Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP ("Quinn Emanuel"), attorneys for Defendants. I make this declaration based upon personal, firsthand knowledge, except where stated to be on information and belief, and as to that information, I believe it to be true. If called upon to testify as to the contents of this declaration, I am legally competent to testify to its contents in a court of law. This declaration is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.
- 2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the December 29, 2017 Notice of Entry of the Court's December 28, 2017 Order Regarding Defendants' Motions for Partial Summary Judgment and Plaintiff's and Defendants' Motions in Limine.
- 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of the Minutes of the Meeting of the Reading International, Inc. ("RDI") Board of Directors held on December 29, 2017.
- 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit** C is a true and correct copy of the Minutes of the RDI Board of Directors held on January 8, 2016.
- 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of the Minutes of the RDI Board of Directors held on March 10, 2016.
- 6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct copy of the Minutes of the RDI Board of Directors held on June 23, 2016.
- 7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit F** is a true and correct copy of the RDI Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 13, 2015.
- 8. Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** is a true and correct copy of correspondence between counsel for Plaintiff and Defendants regarding the potential deposition of Plaintiff.
- 9. Attached hereto as **Exhibit H** is a true and correct copy of RDI's 1999 Stock Option Plan.
- 10. Attached hereto as **Exhibit I** is a true and correct copy of the Minutes of the RDI Board of Directors held on May 15, 2014.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 1, 2018, in Los Angeles, California. /s/ Noah Helpern Noah Helpern

1 TABLE OF CONTENTS MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES 2 3 4 FACTUAL BACKGROUND......2 5 Plaintiff Failed to Show a Genuine Disputed Material Issue of Fact as to A. the Disinterestedness of William Gould, Edward Kane, Judy Codding, 6 7 A Majority of Independent, Disinterested RDI Directors Subsequently В. Ratified the Board's Decision to Terminate Plaintiff and the 8 Compensation Committee's Decision to Permit the Exercise of a Share 9 1. The Ratification of Actions Taken by Board Members Relating to 10 the Termination of Plaintiff as President and CEO of RDI4 11 2. The Ratification of the Compensation Committee's Decision to Approve the Exercise of a Share Purchase Option Held by the 12 13 Plaintiff Took Full and Complete Discovery Regarding the Board's C. Ratification Decision and Does Not Challenge the Accuracy of the 14 15 ARGUMENT8 16 I. THE BUSINESS JUDGMENT RULE APPLIES TO ALL DECISIONS COMPLAINED OF BY PLAINTIFF..... 17 JUDGMENT ON ALL BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY CLAIMS IN FAVOR II. OF DEFENDANTS IS WARRANTED UNDER THE BUSINESS JUDGMENT 18 19 III. ABSENT ANY COGNIZABLE BREACH, JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFF'S 20 AIDING AND ABETTING BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY CLAIMS IN FAVOR OF ELLEN AND MARGARET COTTER IS APPROPRIATE13 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES 2 3 **CASES** 4 Benihana of Tokyo, Inc. v. Benihana, Inc., 5 Blackmore Partners, L.P. v. Link Energy LLC, 6 7 Carlson v. Hallinan, 8 9 Franklin v. Tex. Int'l Petroleum Corp., 10 Friedman v. Khosrowshahi, 11 Goldman v. Pogo.com, Inc., 12 13 Guilfoyle v. Olde Monmouth Stock Transfer Co., Inc., 14 Horwitz v. SW. Forest Indus., Inc., 15 16 In re Amerco Deriv. Litig., 17 In re Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc. S'holder Litig., 18 19 *In re NYMEX S'holder Litig.*, 20 In re Walt Disney Co. Deriv. Litig., 21 22 Katz v. Chevron Corp., 23 Lift Certification Co. v. Thomas, 24 25 Manzo v. Rite Aid Corp., 26 Shoen v. SAC Holding Corp., 27 122 Nev. 621, 137 P.3d 1171 (2006) passim 28

1	Wynn Resorts, Ltd. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court in & for Cty. of Clark, 399 P.3d 334 (Nev. 2017)11
2 3	
4	OTHER AUTHORITIES
5	NRS 78.138(3)
6	NRS 78.138(7)
7	NRS 78.140
8	NRS 78.140(2)(a)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

INTRODUCTION

In December 2017, this Court entered judgment on behalf of five of the nine current Directors of RDI—William Gould, Douglas McEachern, Edward Kane, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak—because these Directors are independent as a matter of law. As a result, all of the corporate "transactions" alleged by Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr. to be actionable breaches of fiduciary duty were indisputably approved by a majority of disinterested, independent directors, save for two: (1) the actions taken by Board members leading up to and including the termination of Plaintiff as CEO and President of RDI; and (2) the RDI Compensation Committee's approval of the exercise of a stock option held by the Estate of James J. Cotter, Sr. With respect to those transactions, the outcome-determinative vote was cast by Director Guy Adams, and the Court concluded there were issues of material fact as to his independence that precluded judgment as a matter of law in his favor.

Following the Court's decision, the full RDI Board convened a Special Meeting on December 29, 2017 at the request of five disinterested, independent directors to reevaluate these two remaining transactions. Such reconsideration made logical sense, given that Plaintiff is asking that those Board decisions be re-reviewed through this litigation. This reexamination was also appropriate under NRS 78.140 and the Nevada Supreme Court's decision in *Shoen v. SAC Holding Corp.*, 122 Nev. 621, 636, 137 P.3d 1171, 1181 (2006), which provide that a transaction involving or depending on an interested director shall become "valid" and subject to the business judgment rule following an informed ratification at any time.

After discussing Plaintiff's allegations as to the potential interestedness or non-independence of Mr. Adams, the independent directors addressed the challenged termination and stock option decisions at the Special Meeting. In doing so, they were informed by the Company's counsel, their own extensive knowledge of the applicable facts, their previous corporate board experience, and a further review of the contemporaneous RDI Board materials relevant to those decisions. The Board also allowed additional debate and comment. Ultimately, with Mr. Adams, Ellen Cotter, and Margaret Cotter not voting, the RDI Board voted 5-1 (with

and judgment in favor of

only Plaintiff dissenting) to ratify Plaintiff's termination and the Compensation Committee's stock option decision. With the RDI Board having met all of the legally-required criteria, Nevada's business judgment rule therefore applies to those "transactions," as it does to the other corporate decisions questioned by Plaintiff in this derivative suit. Because Plaintiff's breach of fiduciary duty claims cannot survive upon an application of Nevada's business judgment rule and his aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty claim also fails without a cognizable breach, and judgment in favor of Defendants as to all claims is fully warranted.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Plaintiff Failed to Show a Genuine Disputed Material Issue of Fact as to the Disinterestedness of William Gould, Edward Kane, Judy Codding, Michael Wrotniak, or Douglas McEachern

Plaintiff filed his currently-operative Second Amended Complaint in this action on September 2, 2016, which asserts broad derivative claims for breach of the fiduciary duties of care, loyalty, candor, and disclosure against the other eight current members of the RDI Board: Douglas McEachern, Edward Kane, William Gould, Judy Codding, Michael Wrotniak, Guy Adams, Ellen Cotter, and Margaret Cotter—as well as an additional claim for aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty against Ellen and Margaret Cotter. (*See* Second Am. Compl. ("SAC") ¶ 173-200.) As Plaintiff subsequently clarified, his Second Amended Complaint identifies six "actions or transactions" by these RDI directors that he claimed were "independently entailing or constituting breaches of fiduciary duty": (1) the supposed threat to terminate Plaintiff "if he did not resolve [the Cotter family] trust disputes"; (2) Plaintiff's actual termination; (3) the authorization of the exercise of the 100,000 share option by the Estate of James J. Cotter, Sr.; (4) the permanent CEO search, which resulted in Ellen Cotter's selection; (5) the decision to hire Margaret Cotter as Executive Vice President, Real Estate Development-New York; and (6) the Board's response to the indications of interest presented by Patton Vision. (*See, e.g.*, Pl.'s Opp'n to Ind. Defs.' Suppl. Mot. for Summ. J. Nos. 1 & 2 at 5-6, filed on Dec. 1, 2017.)

In conformity with the case management schedule set forth by the Court, the Director Defendants moved for summary judgment on each of these issues, as well as generally as to all claims with respect to their independence and disinterestedness. At the hearing on the Director

Defendants' motions held on December 11, 2017, the Court determined that Plaintiff failed to raise a genuine issue of triable fact as to the disinterestedness and/or independence of Directors Wrotniak, Codding, McEachern, Kane, and Gould. (See Helpern Dec., Ex. A (12/29/17 Notice of Entry of Order).) In light of Nevada's strong business judgment rule and consistent with well-established law, the Court granted summary judgment in favor of these directors on all breach of fiduciary duty claims asserted by Plaintiff. (Id.) Separately, the Court granted summary judgment in favor of all directors on the claims related to Patton Vision "because of Plaintiff's failure to show damages related to an unenforceable, unsolicited, nonbinding offer." (Id.) Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff moved for reconsideration of the Court's ruling, which the Director Defendants opposed. At a hearing held on December 28, 2017, the Court denied Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration and indicated it would enter a written order later that day granting summary judgment in favor of Directors Wrotniak, Codding, McEachern, Kane, and Gould on all claims—which it subsequently did. (Id.)

B. <u>A Majority of Independent, Disinterested RDI Directors Subsequently</u> Ratified the Board's Decision to Terminate Plaintiff and the Compensation Committee's Decision to Permit the Exercise of a Share Purchase Option

Plaintiff cannot reasonably dispute that a majority of disinterested, independent RDI directors approved two of the transactions identified as "breaches" by Plaintiff, thereby triggering the application of Nevada's business judgment rule as to those decisions: the search for a permanent CEO of RDI, which culminated in the hiring of Ellen Cotter, and the hiring of Margaret Cotter as Executive Vice President, Real Estate Development-New York. See Shoen, 122 Nev. at 632, 137 P.3d at 1178-79; NRS 78.138(3), (7); see also Goldman v. Pogo.com, Inc., No. Civ. A. 18532-NC, 2002 WL 1358760, at *2 (Del. Ch. June 14, 2002) ("Only upon a showing by a challenger that raises a reasonable doubt as to the independence and/or disinterestedness of a majority of a company's directors who approved the challenged transaction

¹ Discounting the votes of Guy Adams and Margaret Cotter, the selection of Ellen Cotter was approved by a vote of 5-1, with Plaintiff voting "no" and Ellen Cotter abstaining. (*See* Helpern Dec., Ex. C). Discounting the vote of Mr. Adams, the decision to hire Margaret Cotter was approved by a vote of 5-0, with each of the Cotters abstaining. (*See* Helpern Dec., Ex. D).

will the presumption of director fealty which lies at the core of the business judgment rule be rebutted.") (citation omitted).

Accordingly, only the following RDI Board decisions were arguably made without a majority of disinterested, independent RDI directors voting in favor: (1) Plaintiff's June 12, 2015 termination, which was approved by legally-independent directors McEachern and Kane, as well as Mr. Adams and the Cotter sisters, for whom independence/disinterestedness remains a jury question; and (2) the September 21, 2015 decision by RDI's Compensation Committee, consisting of legally-independent director Kane and director Adams, to approve the use of Class A Stock to pay the exercise price of an option held by the Estate of James J. Cotter, Sr.²

The full RDI Board subsequently met on December 29, 2017. (*See* Helpern Dec., Ex. B (12/29/17 RDI Board Minutes) at 1.) Counsel for the Company was present, and updated the Board both on the status of this litigation as well as the content of Plaintiff's allegations as to why Mr. Adams was purportedly not "independent" with respect to the at-issue decisions. (*Id.* at 3.) Counsel further informed the Board as to the scope of NRS 78.140 ("Restrictions on Transactions Involving Interested Directors or Officers"), as well as the Board's fiduciary duties under Nevada law, including the duties of due care and loyalty. (*Id.* at 4.) Without conceding the independence or disinterestedness of any directors that remain as Defendants in this action, the RDI Board then proceeded to consider the actions taken leading up and including Plaintiff's termination, as well as the option decision. (*Id.* at 4-5.) Mr. Adams, as well as Margaret and Ellen Cotter, did not vote on either issue—leaving the ultimate decisions to the five disinterested, independent directors. (*Id.* at 4-6.)

1. <u>The Ratification of Actions Taken by Board Members Relating to the Termination of Plaintiff as President and CEO of RDI</u>

Following the introduction by counsel, Lead Independent Director Gould summarized the

² The Board's decision not to further pursue the Patton Vision indication of interest is no longer at issue because of the Court's prior ruling that Plaintiff has failed to show any damages resulting from that decision. However, that claim would also be untenable due to the vote of a majority of disinterested directors in favor of not pursuing that indication of interest; discounting the votes of Mr. Adams and the Cotter sisters, the Board's response to the Patton Vision indication of interest was approved by a vote of 5-0. (*See* Helpern Dec., Ex. E.)

first issue for consideration: ratification of the actions taken by the Board members relating to the termination of Plaintiff as President and CEO of RDI, as such actions are outlined in the Minutes of the Board Meetings held on May 21, May 29, and June 12, 2015. (*Id.* at 4.) All directors were provided copies of the referenced Minutes. (*Id.*) In addition to their "thorough" review of the relevant Board materials, Directors Codding and Wrotniak, who were not yet members of the RDI Board at the time of Plaintiff's termination, stated that they were drawing on their "extensive knowledge about the Board's reasons for the termination of Mr. Cotter, Jr.," including their observations of Plaintiff's "behavior and demeanor in Board meetings" since each joined over two years ago. (*Id.*) Director Codding expressed her view that Plaintiff "did not possess the knowledge, experience, ability, temperament or demeanor to be chief executive officer of the Company," an opinion with which Mr. Wrotniak concurred. (*Id.*) Discussion then ensued regarding the Board materials, including the fact that Plaintiff had retained an outside consultant, Highpoint Associates, to assist him in his CEO duties—a fact that he did not disclose to the Board prior to his termination. (*Id.* at 4-5.)

Director McEachern then made a motion, seconded by Ms. Codding, as follows:

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED that the Board ratifies the actions taken by the Company's board members relating to the termination of James J. Cotter, Jr. as President and CEO as such actions are outlined in the minutes of the Board meetings held on May 21, 2015, May 29, 2015 and June 12, 2015.

(*Id.* at 5.) After debate and further discussion, including an opportunity by Plaintiff to make comments, the proposed resolution was adopted by Directors Codding, Gould, Kane, McEachern, and Wrotniak, with Plaintiff casting the sole vote in opposition. (*Id.*) Plaintiff characterized the ratification as simply being a litigation device (*id.*), despite the fact that the five ratifying directors were no longer parties to his derivative litigation and have no personal stake in whether the litigation goes forward.

2. <u>The Ratification of the Compensation Committee's Decision to Approve the Exercise of a Share Purchase Option Held by the Cotter, Sr. Estate</u>

Director Gould then introduced the second issue for consideration: ratification of the September 21, 2015 decision by RDI's Compensation Committee to permit the Estate of James J.

17 18

19 20

22

21

24

25

23

26

2728

Cotter, Sr. to use Class A non-voting stock as the means of payment (as opposed to cash) for the exercise of an option to purchase 100,000 shares of Class B voting stock in RDI. (Id. at 5.) Counsel for the Company summarized the information regarding the matter considered by the Compensation Committee in 2015, including the fact that acceptance of stock was within the discretion of the Compensation Committee as Administrators of the 1999 Stock Option Plan under which the stock option was granted. (Id. at 5-6.) The disinterested, independent Board members then generally expressed their awareness of the information as well as their review of the relevant Board materials and Compensation Committee minutes, and opened the floor up for debate, including comment by Plaintiff. (Id.) The independent directors noted, among other things, that the Compensation Committee had discretion under the 1999 Stock Option Plan to allow the use of Class A Shares to exercise options to acquire Class B Stock, that the Company was at the time buying in its Class A Shares under its stock repurchase plan, that the market price of Class A shares has significantly increased since the date of the transaction, and that, from the point of view of the Cotter Estate, the same economic results could have been achieved by the sale of Class A shares into the market and using those sale proceeds to exercise the options to acquire Class B Stock. (Id.)

A motion was made and seconded, as follows:

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED that the Board ratifies the decision of the Compensation Committee of the Company, as outlined in the minutes of its September 21, 2015 meeting, to permit the Estate of James J. Cotter, Sr. to use Class A non-voting stock as the means of payment for the exercise of an option to purchase 100,000 shares of Class B voting stock of the Company.

(*Id.* at 6.) The proposed resolution was then adopted by Directors Codding, Gould, Kane, McEachern, and Wrotniak, with Plaintiff casting the sole vote in opposition. (*Id.*) Plaintiff failed to offer and substantive or material objection to the ratification, complaining simply that it was taken for a "litigation purpose." (*Id.* at 5-6.)

The Board then moved, without objection, that its resolutions include the "authorization to take such other actions as may be necessary to accomplish the matters approved herein." (*Id.* at 6.) Given the legal impact of the ratification of these previous decisions by a majority of

disinterested, independent directors under NRS 78.140 and Nevada Supreme Court precedent, Defendants now bring this Motion for Summary Judgment as to all claims asserted by Plaintiff.

C. Plaintiff Took Full and Complete Discovery Regarding the Board's Ratification Decision and Does Not Challenge the Accuracy of the Relevant Board Meeting Minutes

After the Court reopened discovery regarding the Board's December 29, 2017 vote on ratification, Plaintiff pursued discovery on this subject, including through interrogatories, requests for production, subpoenas, and depositions. Plaintiff deposed William Gould, Edward Kane, Judy Codding, Ellen Cotter, Douglas McEachern, and Michael Wrotniak regarding the Board's ratification decisions. Plaintiff served document subpoenas on Judy Codding, William Gould, Edward Kane, Douglas McEachern, and Michael Wrotniak. Plaintiff served interrogatories and requests for production of documents on Guy Adams, Ellen Cotter, and Margaret Cotter. Defendants (and dismissed former defendants) searched for, produced, and/or logged all documents relating to the Board's ratification vote, searching for documents dating back to 2015 (well before ratification was even being discussed) and using expansive search terms designed to capture all documents even potentially relevant.

During this process, Defendants sought to take Plaintiff's deposition. However, rather than appearing for deposition, Plaintiff (through his counsel) stipulated that he would not offer any written or oral testimony regarding the ratification process or meeting. In the words of Plaintiff's counsel: "Based on the deposition testimony and documents provided by defendants, we do not intend to offer testimony by Mr. Cotter about what happened regarding the ratifications at the December 29 telephonic board meeting, including the content of discussions, the accuracy of minutes and the reasons he voted against the ratifications." (*See* Helpern Dec., Ex. G (Correspondence between counsel regarding Plaintiff's deposition).) Accordingly, the accuracy of the minutes of the December 29, 2017 meeting of the Board of Directors cited and referenced throughout this Motion is not in dispute.

ARGUMENT

I. THE BUSINESS JUDGMENT RULE APPLIES TO ALL DECISIONS COMPLAINED OF BY PLAINTIFF

NRS 78.140 provides, in relevant part, that a "transaction" by a Nevada corporation such as RDI "is not void or voidable" because an interested or non-independent director is present during a meeting or joins in a board resolution approving the transaction if "[t]he fact of the common directorship, office or financial interest is known to the board of directors or committee, and the directors or members of the committee, other than any common or interested directors or members of the committee, approve or *ratify* the contract or transaction in good faith." NRS 78.140(2)(a) (emphasis added). Citing NRS 78.140, the Nevada Supreme Court has made clear that the business judgment rule applies "in the context of *valid* interested director action, or the valid exercise of business judgment by disinterested directors in light of their fiduciary duties." *Shoen*, 122 Nev. at 636, 137 P.3d at 1181 (emphasis added).

Here, all of the requirements for the application of NRS 78.140, and thus the business judgment rule, are met with respect to the Board's actions relating to Plaintiff's termination and the approval of the contested option exercise. All members of the RDI Board have long been aware of Plaintiff's claims that Mr. Adams, Ellen Cotter, and Margaret Cotter are interested or not independent in light of their financial interests. Plaintiff made such allegations at the time of his termination, and in every iteration of his complaints; indeed, Plaintiff has not alleged that Mr. Adams' purported conflicts were not "known," but rather that RDI's directors went forward in the face of these known conflicts. (See, e.g., SAC ¶ 1, 6, 21, 33, 35, 37, 48, 49, 64-71.) The RDI Board has also repeatedly discussed Plaintiff's allegations at various board meetings, including at the December 29, 2017 Special Meeting. (See Helpern Dec., Ex. B (12/29/17 RDI Board Minutes) at 3-4 (corporate counsel summarizing allegations of interestedness/non-independence against Director Adams).) Thus, the "fact" of the purported "financial interest" alleged by Plaintiff was certainly "known to the board of directors" at the time a majority of independent, disinterested directors made their ratification decisions on December 29, 2017, as required by NRS 78.140(2)(a).

Moreover, as required by NRS 78.140(2)(a), the RDI Board ratified each of the remaining challenged "transactions" by a 5-1 vote, counting only the votes of those directors whose disinterestedness and independence Plaintiff cannot reasonably challenge. (*See* Helpern Dec., Ex. B (12/29/17 RDI Board Minutes) at 5-6.) And the December 29, 2017 ratification vote was certainly "in good faith": the directors who were not present at the time these matters were initially decided, Directors Wrotniak and Codding, reasonably informed themselves of the relative merits of the decisions, including by reviewing contemporaneous materials and drawing on their personal knowledge gleaned in their two years of Board service; corporate counsel was present and advised the entire Board of its fiduciary duties under Nevada law, as well as the history of each decision; no ratifying director had a personal stake in the derivative litigation brought by Plaintiff or in the particular transaction ratified; and discussion and debate occurred prior to the final votes, with all directors—including Plaintiff—afforded the chance to ask questions or make comments. (*See id.*) Accordingly, all of the preconditions necessary for a "valid interested director transaction" under NRS 78.140(2)(a), and thus the application of the business judgment rule under *Shoen*, are present.³

Significantly, nothing in the text of NRS 78.140 places any deadline or time limitation upon ratification. In fact, the Nevada Supreme Court in *In re Amerco Deriv. Litig.*, 127 Nev. 196, 252 P.3d 681 (2011), acknowledged that a ratification that occurred years after the challenged conduct could have a potentially case-dispositive effect. *See* 127 Nev. at 217, 252 P.3d at 697, n. 6 (noting that a ratification that had apparently occurred in 2007, after the *Shoen* remand, could have had a dispositive effect, but refusing to reach the issue because it was raised for the first time on appeal); *see also id.*, 127 Nev. at 233, 252 P.3d at 707 n.4 (Pickering, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part) (noting that "this issue is potentially dispositive in this case"). Nor should a deadline be unilaterally imposed here, especially given that Plaintiff

³ In taking this ratification action and making this argument, Defendants do not concede that Mr. Adams, Ellen Cotter, or Margaret Cotter are interested or not independent; rather, they continue to believe that Mr. Adams was not on both sides of any disputed transaction and satisfies the legal definition of a disinterested, independent director. Similarly, Defendants do

continues to seek injunctive relief to reverse his June 12, 2015 termination and to be forcibly reinstated as RDI's CEO and President nearly three years after he was removed. As such, it makes logical sense that the present RDI Board can and should evaluate the actions leading up to and involving his termination, and either reverse or ratify the earlier decisions. Moreover, in the instant case, it would have been fruitless as a practical matter for the Board to have considered a motion for ratification prior to the Court's determination of the independence and disinterest of Directors Codding, Gould, Kane, McEachern, and Wrotniak; the effectiveness of any earlier ratification would have been subject to Plaintiff's claim that these directors were in fact not independent or disinterested.

Here, because the RDI Board properly ratified the earlier termination and option approval actions in conformity with NRS 78.140, "valid interested director" transactions are present and the business judgment rule applies—as it does to those transactions that the Court has already found to be the product of actions by a majority of disinterested, independent directors.

II. JUDGMENT ON ALL BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY CLAIMS IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANTS IS WARRANTED UNDER THE BUSINESS JUDGMENT RULE

In this litigation, Plaintiff has never contested that if the business judgment rule were to apply, his fiduciary duty claims would fail as a matter of law; instead, his entire argument has been that the business judgment rule does not apply. The business judgment rule is a "presumption that in making a business decision the directors of a corporation acted on an informed basis, in good faith and in the honest belief that the action taken was in the best interests of the company." *Shoen*, 122 Nev. at 632, 137 P.3d at 1178-79 (citation omitted); *see also* NRS 78.138(3) (codifying the rule under Nevada law). "The business judgment rule postulates that if directors' actions can arguably be taken to have been done for the benefit of the corporation, then the directors are presumed to have been exercising their sound business judgment rather than to have been responding to self-interest motivation." *Horwitz v. SW. Forest Indus., Inc.*, 604 F. Supp. 1130, 1135 (D. Nev. 1985).

not concede the relevance of any independence/disinterestedness determination under Nevada law to any of the claims at issue.

Plaintiff has advocated, and the Court has accepted, a legal framework governing Plaintiff's Nevada law claims under which, "with respect to the challenged actions the individual director defendants [can] . . . invok[e] the business judgment rule" if "the majority of those making the challenged decisions were independent generally and independent specifically with respect to the challenged decisions." (Pl.'s Opp'n to Ind. Defs.' Mot. for Partial Summ. J. (No. 2) re: Director Independence at 1, filed October 13, 2016.) "The business judgment rule does not only protect individual directors from personal liability, rather, it expresses a sensible policy of judicial noninterference with business decisions and is designed to limit judicial involvement in business decision-making so long as a minimum level of care is exercised in arriving at the decision." Wynn Resorts, Ltd. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court in & for Cty. of Clark, 399 P.3d 334, 342 (Nev. 2017). Where "a majority of informed and disinterested directors of the Board voted in favor of the Transaction" at issue, the business judgment rule applies. Benihana of Tokyo, Inc. v. Benihana, Inc., 891 A.2d 150, 173 (Del. Ch. 2005) (examining whether the "voting directors" were disinterested and independent such that the business judgment rule should apply); Blackmore Partners, L.P. v. Link Energy LLC, No. Civ. A. 454-N, 2005 WL 2709639, at *7 (Del. Ch. 2005) ("The protections of the business judgment rule may still insulate a board decision from challenge so long as a majority of the directors approving the transaction remain disinterested."). Where a corporate decision is approved by a majority of independent, disinterested directors, the plaintiff's claim "fails for lack of a valid premise." In re Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc. S'holder Litig., No. C.A. 15944, 2000 WL 130630, at *7-8 (Del. Ch. Jan. 31, 2000) (granting a motion to dismiss because the merger was approved by a majority of disinterested directors); In re NYMEX S'holder Litig., C.A. Nos. 3621-VCN, 3835-VCN, 2009 WL 3206051, at *6 (Del. Ch. Sept. 30, 2009) (to state a duty of loyalty claim, a plaintiff "must plead sufficient facts to show that a majority of the Board of Directors breached the fiduciary duty of loyalty"); Benihana, 891 A.2d at 191 (dismissing breach of duty of loyalty claim after finding that a majority of disinterested and independent directors approved the transaction at issue).

28

27

24

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

As the Nevada Supreme Court has stressed, "even a bad decision is generally protected by the business judgment rule" Shoen, 122 Nev. at 636, 137 P.3d at 1181, and the rule protects corporate decisions whenever they can be "attributed to any rational business purpose." Katz v. Chevron Corp., 22 Cal. App. 4th 1352, 1366 (1994). Courts have routinely found that the same concerns that animated the majority of RDI directors in their termination decisions to be valid business judgments, immune from any claims under the operation of the business judgment rule. See, e.g., In re Walt Disney Co. Deriv. Litig., 906 A.2d 27, 72-73 (Del. 2006) (fact that a company's CEO cannot "work well" with its directors or executives, and requires "close and constant supervision," is a valid basis for terminating the officer, and is a decision protected by the business judgment rule); Carlson v. Hallinan, 925 A.2d 506, 540 n.232 (Del. Ch. 2006) (where "the evidence indicated that Carlson was not effective in the role of President of CR and that he had important managerial shortcomings," "firing him could have fostered CR's welfare" and was thus protected by the business judgment rule); Franklin v. Tex. Int'l Petroleum Corp., 324 F. Supp. 808, 813 (W.D. La. 1971) (an officer's "inability to perform adequately" and lack of "experience, expertise, and proper degree of affability" are protected reasons under the business judgment rule for his or her termination). Defendants have identified no cases where such matters were found not to support a determination to terminate.

The business judgment rule also protects the Compensation Committee's decision regarding the Estate's option exercise. *See Friedman v. Khosrowshahi*, No. CIV.A. 9161-CB, 2014 WL 3519188, at *12 (Del. Ch. July 16, 2014), *aff'd*, No. 442, 2014, 2015 WL 1001009 (Del. Mar. 6, 2015) (Absent "a clear or intentional violation of a compensation plan," compensation decisions made by a disinterested Board of Directors are protected by the business judgment rule). The Compensation Committee's decision was made in accordance with the Company's 1999 Stock Option Plan, which designates the Board as the ultimate controlling body with respect to stock option matters, the power held by the Compensation Committee being by delegation. (*See* Helpern Dec., Ex. H). Well before the Estate sought to exercise the option at issue, RDI had implemented this Stock Option Plan allowing exercise of options using Class A shares and a Company policy of repurchasing Class A shares when they were available. (*See*

supposedly perpetuate control.

votes attributable to the Class B shares issued in the transaction have had no impact on any election.⁴ Moreover, the options were exercisable as a matter of right for cash; the only element of the transaction that was discretionary with the Compensation Committee and/or the Board was the use of Class A shares to pay the exercise price. Plaintiff has failed to provide any evidence whatsoever that the acceptance of Class A Shares to pay the exercise price caused any harm to RDI. The indisputable evidence is that such shares trade at a materially higher price today, then the price at which they were effectively repurchased by the Company.

Helpern Dec., Exs. H (1999 Stock Option Plan) and I (Minutes of 5/15/14 Board Meeting).) The

In light of the Board's recent ratifications, all of the RDI Board transactions challenged by Plaintiff are protected by Nevada's strong business judgment rule. Because Plaintiff has not shown, and cannot establish, that the challenged transactions were not attributable to any rational business purpose, all of his breach of fiduciary duty claims are legally untenable. No trial on them is necessary. Summary judgment should be entered in favor of Defendants on all breach of fiduciary duty claims.

III. ABSENT ANY COGNIZABLE BREACH, JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFF'S AIDING AND ABETTING BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY CLAIMS IN FAVOR OF ELLEN AND MARGARET COTTER IS APPROPRIATE

In addition to his untenable breach of fiduciary duty claims against Mr. Adams, Ellen Cotter, and Margaret Cotter, Plaintiff has also asserted a claim against Ellen and Margaret Cotter for aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, in which he contends that his sisters "solicited and aided and abetted the decisions and actions of" the other RDI Directors that he claims constituted breaches of his fiduciary duties. (*See* SAC ¶¶ 193-200.) In Nevada, "[a]iding and abetting the breach of a fiduciary duty has four required elements: (1) there must be a fiduciary relationship between the two parties, (2) that the fiduciary breached, (3) the defendant knowingly

⁴ Every director elected to the Board at the 2015 Annual Stockholders' Meeting received approximately 1.3 million votes, *i.e.*, the votes of more than 75% of the Class B stockholders. (*See* Helpern Dec. Ex. F (RDI 11/13/15 Form 8-K).) The 100,000 shares obtained by the Estate through exercising the option did not make, and could not have made, any difference to the outcome of the vote, rendering nonsensical Plaintiff's argument, made throughout this litigation, about the Compensation Committee helping Ellen and Margaret Cotter

and substantially participated in or encouraged that breach, and (4) the plaintiff suffered damage as a result of the breach." *Guilfoyle v. Olde Monmouth Stock Transfer Co., Inc.*, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 78, 335 P.3d 190, 198 (2014); *see also In re Amerco Deriv. Litig.*, 127 Nev. at 225, 252 P.3d at 701 (same).

Given that the Court has awarded summary judgment to Directors Gould, Kane, McEachern, Codding, and Wrotniak on all breach of fiduciary duty claims against them, Plaintiff cannot sustain an "aiding and abetting" claim against Ellen and Margaret Cotter based on any of those directors' purported "breaches," as one cannot aid and abet a breach that does not exist. *See Lift Certification Co. v. Thomas*, No. A521533, 2008 WL 8588925 (Nev. Dist. Ct. Dec. 2, 2008) (because "Thomas did not breach his duty of loyalty to his employer Lift, while he prepared to change employment and compete with Lift, . . . it is not legally possible for American Equipment to have committed the Tort of Civil Aiding and Abetting"); *Manzo v. Rite Aid Corp.*, No. Civ. A. 18451-NC, 2002 WL 31926606, at *6 (Del. Ch. Dec. 19, 2002) ("Because the breach of fiduciary duty claims are dismissed with prejudice, the claim against KPMG for aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty is similarly dismissed with prejudice.").

With respect to Director Adams, the fact that a majority of disinterested, independent RDI directors has now either approved or ratified all challenged transactions involving Mr. Adams is further evidence that he did not commit any breach of fiduciary duty, since his decisions were fully consistent with those of legally disinterested, independent directors. Moreover, since Adams is only one of eight directors and he voted either along with a majority of disinterested directors or had his decisions ratified by a majority of such directors means that any purported "breach" by him could not have caused any damages to RDI. Plaintiff's failure to show causal damages with respect to Mr. Adams, another required element, provides yet another reason why Plaintiff's aiding and abetting claim against Ellen and Margaret Cotter is unsustainable. Accordingly, judgment also should be entered in favor of Ellen and Margaret Cotter on Plaintiff's aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty claim—leaving no viable claims for trial.

CONCLUSION 1 2 For the reasons set forth above, Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant their 3 Motion for Summary Judgment. 4 Dated: June 1, 2018 5 COHEN|JOHNSON|PARKER|EDWARDS 6 7 By: /s/ H. Stan Johnson H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ. 8 Nevada Bar No. 00265 sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com 9 375 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 10 Telephone: (702) 823-3500 Facsimile: (702) 823-3400 11 12 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 13 CHRISTOPHER TAYBACK, ESQ. California Bar No. 145532, pro hac vice 14 christayback@quinnemanuel.com 15 MARSHALL M. SEARCY, ESQ. California Bar No. 169269, pro hac vice 16 marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 17 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 18 19 Attorneys for Defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, and Guy Adams 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

E-Filing and E-Service System.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on June 1, 2018, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing

ELLEN COTTER, MARGARET COTTER, AND GUY ADAMS' MOTION FOR

SUMMARY JUDGMENT to be served on all interested parties, as registered with the Court's

/s/ Sarah Gondek

An employee of Cohen|Johnson|Parker|Edwards

Exhibit A

Exhibit A

NEO MORRIS LAW GROUP Steve Morris, Bar No. 1543 Akke Levin, Bar No. 9102 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 477-9402 Email: sim@morrislawgroup.com Email: al@morrislawgroup.com Email: al@morrislawgroup.com Mark G. Krum, Bar No. 10913 Yurko, Salvesen & Remz, P.C. 1 Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, MA 02108 Telephone: (617) 723-6900 Facsimile: (617) 723-6905 Email: mkrum@bizlit.com Attorneys for Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr. DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA JAMES J. COTTER, JR., Case No. A-15-719860-B derivatively on behalf of Reading International, Inc., Plaintiff, v. Coordinated with: Plaintiff, v. Case No. P-14-0824-42-E Dept. No. XI MARGARET COTTER, ELLEN COTTER, GUY ADAMS, Jointly Administered EDWARD KANE, DOUGLAS McACHERN, WILLIAM GOULD, JUDY CODDING, MICHAEL WOTNIAK, Defendants. and READING INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Nevada corporation, Nominal Defendant.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 16 JAMES J. COTTER, JR., derivatively on behalf of Reading International, Inc., 18 Plaintiff, v. Case No. A-15-719860-B Dept. No. XI Coordinated with: Plaintiff, v. Case No. P-14-0824-42-E Dept. No. XI MARGARET COTTER, ELLEN COTTER, GUY ADAMS, EDWARD KANE, DOUGLAS McEACHERN, WILLIAM GOULD, JUDY CODDING, MICHAEL WROTNIAK, Defendants. 26 and READING INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Nevada corporation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MORRIS LAW GROUP Steve Morris, Bar No. 1543 Akke Levin, Bar No. 9102 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 474-9400 Facsimile: (702) 474-9422 Email: sm@morrislawgroup.com Email: al@morrislawgroup.com Mark G. Krum, Bar No. 10913 Yurko, Salvesen & Remz, P.C. 1 Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, MA 02108 Telephone: (617) 723-6900 Facsimile: (617) 723-6905 Email: mkrum@bizlit.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	12/29/2017 1:23 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT		
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA JAMES J. COTTER, JR., derivatively on behalf of Reading International, Inc., Plaintiff, v. Case No. A-15-719860-B Coordinated with: Plaintiff, v. Case No. P-14-0824-42-E Dept. No. XI MARGARET COTTER, ELLEN COTTER, GUY ADAMS, EDWARD KANE, DOUGLAS McEACHERN, WILLIAM GOULD, JUDY CODDING, MICHAEL WROTNIAK, Defendants. And READING INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Nevada corporation,	14				
derivatively on behalf of Reading International, Inc., Plaintiff, V. Case No. P-14-0824-42-E Dept. No. XI MARGARET COTTER, ELLEN COTTER, GUY ADAMS, EDWARD KANE, DOUGLAS McEACHERN, WILLIAM GOULD, JUDY CODDING, MICHAEL WROTNIAK, Defendants. and READING INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Nevada corporation,	15				
COTTER, GUY ADAMS, Jointly Administered EDWARD KANE, DOUGLAS McEACHERN, WILLIAM GOULD, JUDY CODDING, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER MICHAEL WROTNIAK, Defendants. and READING INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Nevada corporation,	17 18 19	derivatively on behalf of Reading International, Inc., Plaintiff, v.) Dept. No. XI)) Coordinated with:)) Case No. P-14-0824-42-E		
McEACHERN, WILLIAM GOULD, JUDY CODDING, MICHAEL WROTNIAK, Defendants. and READING INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Nevada corporation, MOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER		COTTER, GUY ADAMS, EDWARD KANE, DOUGLAS)) Jointly Administered)		
Defendants. and READING INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Nevada corporation,	23	GOULD, JUDY CODDING,)) NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER)		
INC., a Nevada corporation,	25	and)))		
		INC., a Nevada corporation,)))		

MORRIS LAW GROUP 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Regarding Defendants' Motions for Partial Summary Judgment and Plaintiff's and Defendants' Motions *in Limine* was entered by this Honorable Court on the 28th day of December, 2017. A copy of the Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

MORRIS LAW GROUP

By: <u>/s/ Akke Levin</u>
Steve Morris, Bar No. 1543
Akke Levin, Bar No. 9102
411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Mark G. Krum, Bar No. 10913 YURKO, SALVESEN & REMZ, P.C. 1 Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, MA 02108

Attorneys for Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr.

MORRIS LAW GROUP 111 E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 8910

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I certify that I am an employee of MORRIS LAW GROUP and that on the date below, I cause the following document(s) to be served on all interested parties as registered with the Court's E-Filing/E-Service System: **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER**. The date and time of the electronic proof of service is in place of the date and place of deposit in the mail.

DATED this 121 day of December, 2017.

By: <u>/s/ Linda P. Daniel</u>
An employee of Morris Law Group

EXHIBIT A

			Electronically Filed 12/28/2017 4:22 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	ORDR COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS		Stevent Line
2	H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 00265		
3	sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com 375 E. Warm Springs Rd., Suite 104		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: (702) 823-3500		
5	Facsimile: (702) 823-3400	,	
6	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIV CHRISTOPHER TAYBACK, ESQ.	AN, LLP	
7	California Bar No. 145532, pro hac vice christayback@quinnemanuel.com		
8	MARSHALL M. SEARCY, ESQ. California Bar No. 169269, pro hac vice		
9	marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10 th Floor		
10	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000		
11	Attorneys for Defendants Margaret Cotter,		
12	Ellen Cotter, Douglas McEachern, Guy Adams, E Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak	dward Kane	
13			
14	EIGHTH JUDICIAL	DISTRICT CO	OURT
15	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA Case No.:	А-15-719860-В
16	JAMES J. COTTER, JR. individually and	Dept. No.:	XI
17	derivatively on behalf of Reading International, Inc.,	Case No.: Dept. No.:	P-14-082942-E XI
18	Plaintiffs,	Related and Co	oordinated Cases
19	v. MARGARET COTTER, et al.,	BUSINESS C	OURT
20	Defendants. AND	ORDER REG	SARDING DEFENDANTS'
21		MOTIONS F	OR PARTIAL SUMMARY
22 23	READING INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Nevada corporation,		AND PLAINTIFF'S AND IS' MOTIONS <i>IN LIMINE</i>
24	Nominal Defendant.	Judge:	Hon. Elizabeth Gonzalez
25		Date of Hearin Time of Hearin	ng: December 11, 2017 ng: 8:30 a.m.
26	-	•	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THIS MATTER HAVING COME TO BE HEARD BEFORE the Court on December 11, 2017, Mark G. Krum, Steve Morris, and Akke Levin appearing for plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr. ("Plaintiff"); James L. Edwards, Christopher Tayback, and Marshall M. Searcy III appearing for defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, Douglas McEachern, Guy Adams, Edward Kane, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak (collectively, the "Individual Defendants"); Mark E. Ferrario and Kara B. Hendricks appearing for nominal defendant Reading International, Inc. ("RDI"); and Ekwan Rhow appearing for defendant William Gould ("Gould," together, with the Individual Defendants and RDI, "Defendants"), on the following motions: Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment

- (No. 1) re: Plaintiff's Termination and Reinstatement Claims, and supplement thereto;
- Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 2) re: The Issue of Director Independence, and supplement thereto;
- Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 3) on Plaintiff's Claims Relating to the Purported Unsolicited Offer, and supplement thereto;
- Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 5) on Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Appointment of Ellen Cotter as CEO, and supplement thereto;
- Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 6) re: Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Estate's Option Exercise, the Appointment of Margaret Cotter, the Compensation Packages of Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter,

-
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

and the Additional Compensation to Margaret Cotter and Gu	ıy
Adams, and supplement thereto;	

- Defendant Gould's Motion for Summary Judgment;
- Individual Defendants' Renewed Motion in Limine to Exclude Expert Testimony of Myron Steele Based on Supplemental Authority;
- Individual Defendants' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence That Is More Prejudicial Than Probative;
- Defendant Gould's Motion in Limine to Exclude Irrelevant Speculative Evidence;
- RDI's Motion to Redact Opposition to Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr.'s Motion in Limine No. 1 re: Advice of Counsel and File Exhibit "E" Under Seal;
- Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 1 re: Advice of Counsel;
- Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 2 re: the Submission of Merits-Related Evidence by Nominal Defendant Reading International, Inc.;
- Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 3 re: After-Acquired Evidence;
- Plaintiff's Motion to Seal Exhibit 2 to Plaintiff James J. Cotter's Opposition to Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence That Is More Prejudicial Than Probative;
- Plaintiff's Motion to Seal Exhibits 3-6, 8-9, 11-2 and to Redact Portions of Plaintiff's Supplemental Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment Nos. 2 and 3 and Gould Summary Judgment Motion;

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Plaintiff's Motion to Seal Exhibits 7-11, and 15-17 to Plaintiff's Supplemental Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment Nos. 2 and 5 and Gould Summary Judgment Motion; and
- Plaintiff's Motion to Seal Exhibits 4 Through 11 to Plaintiff's Supplemental Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment Nos. 2 and 6 and Gould Summary Judgment Motion.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 1) re: Plaintiff's Termination and Reinstatement Claims is GRANTED with respect to Defendants Edward Kane, Douglas McEachern, William Gould, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak because there are no genuine issues of material fact related to the disinterestedness and/or independence of those directors, and is DENIED with respect to Defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, and Guy Adams because there are genuine issues of material fact related to the disinterestedness and/or independence of those directors.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 2) re: The Issue of Director Independence is GRANTED with respect to Defendants Edward Kane, Douglas McEachern, William Gould, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak because there are no genuine issues of material fact related to the disinterestedness and/or independence of those directors, and is DENIED with respect to Defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, and Guy Adams because there are genuine issues of material fact related to the disinterestedness and/or independence of those directors.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 3) on Plaintiff's Claims Relating to the Purported Unsolicited Offer is GRANTED because of

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Plaintiff's failure to show damages related to an unenforceable, unsolicited, nonbinding offer. While Plaintiff at trial cannot claim any damages arising from Defendants' actions with respect to the Patton Vision indications of interest, Plaintiff may still attempt to use evidence regarding the Patton Vision indications to show a breach of fiduciary duty.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 5) on Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Appointment of Ellen Cotter as CEO is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (No. 6) re: Plaintiff's Claims Related to the Estate's Option Exercise, the Appointment of Margaret Cotter, the Compensation Packages of Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter, and the Additional Compensation to Margaret Cotter and Guy Adams is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Defendant Gould's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT judgment in favor of Defendants Edward Kane, Douglas McEachern, William Gould, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak is GRANTED on all claims asserted by Plaintiff.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Individual Defendants' Renewed Motion in Limine to Exclude Expert Testimony of Myron Steele Based on Supplemental Authority is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Individual Defendants' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence That Is More Prejudicial Than Probative is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHERED ORDERED THAT Defendant Gould's Motion in Limine to Exclude Irrelevant Speculative Evidence is DENIED as

90

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 90

⊒	
=	
≡	
-	
=	
_	
☴	
_	
-	
\equiv	
=	
\rightarrow	
_	

premature, with the issues raised in the motion to be addressed at trial based upon the relevant foundation laid.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 1 re: Advice of Counsel is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 2 re: the Submission of Merits-Related Evidence by Nominal Defendant Reading International, Inc. is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 3 re: After-Acquired Evidence is DENIED. However, to the extent that Plaintiff's retention and use of Highpoint Associates and Derek Alderton is admitted at trial, it will be admitted with an instruction limiting the evidence solely to the issue of Plaintiff's suitability as President and CEO of RDI.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT RDI's Motion to Redact Opposition to Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr.'s Motion in Limine No. 1 re: Advice of Counsel and File Exhibit "E" Under Seal is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Motions to Seal and/or Redact are GRANTED.

DATED this 28th day of December 2017.

PREPARED AND SUBMITTED BY:

COHEN|JOHNSON|PARKER|EDWARDS

By: /s/ H. Stan Johnson

H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 00265 sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com 375 E. Warm Springs Rd., Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: (702) 823-3500 Facsimile: (702) 823-3400

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

CHRISTOPHER TAYBACK, ESQ. California Bar No. 145532, pro hac vice christayback@quinnemanuel.com MARSHALL M. SEARCY, ESQ. California Bar No. 169269, pro hac vice marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000

Attorneys for Defendants Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter, Douglas McEachern, Guy Adams, Edward Kane, Judy Codding, and Michael Wrotniak

Exhibit B

FILED UNDER SEAL

Exhibit B

Exhibit C

FILED UNDER SEAL

Exhibit C

Exhibit D

FILED UNDER SEAL

Exhibit D

Exhibit E

FILED UNDER SEAL

Exhibit E

Exhibit F

Exhibit F

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, DC 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT
Pursuant to Section 13 OR 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of report (Date of earliest event reported): November 13, 2015

Reading International, Inc.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

1-8625 95-3885184 Nevada (State or Other Jurisdiction (IRS Employer (Commission of Incorporation) File Number) Identification No.) 6100 Center Drive, Suite 900, Los Angeles, California (Address of Principal Executive Offices) 90045 (Zip Code) Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (213) 235-2240 N/A (Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

registratic ander any of the following provisions.
☐ Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
☐ Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
☐ Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
☐ Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))
Item 5.07. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.
The Company held its Annual Meeting of Stockholders on November 10, 2015. The stockholders considered two

Commission on October 20, 2015 . The proposals voted upon and the results of the vote were the following:

 $Proposal\ 1:\ To\ elect\ nine\ Directors\ to\ serve\ until the\ Company's\ 2016\ Annual\ Meeting\ of\ Stockholders\ and\ thereafter\ until\ their\ successors\ are\ duly\ elected\ and\ qualified$

	FOR	WITHHOLD
Ellen M. Cotter	1,294 , 544	138,968
Guy W. Adams	1,324,103	109,409
Judy Codding	1,325,103	108,409
James J. Cotter, Jr.	1,291,860	141,652
Margaret Cotter	1,294,544	138,968
William D. Gould	1,294,792	138,720
Edward L. Kane	1,324,103	109,409
Douglas J. McEachern	1,331,094	102,418
Michael Wrotniak	1,325,103	108,409

Proposal 2: To ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscall year ended December 31,2015

FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
649 828	3 135	1 048

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

READING INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Date: November 1 3, 201 5 By: /s/ Ellen M. Cotter

By: /s/ Ellen M. Cotter
Name: Ellen M. Cotter
Title: Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit G

Exhibit G

Noah Helpern

From: Mark G. Krum <mkrum@bizlit.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2018 1:04 PM

To: Noah Helpern

Cc: Christopher Tayback; Marshall Searcy; Akke Levin; Steve Morris; Noemi A. Kawamoto

Subject: RE: Cotter/RDI -- Deposition of James Cotter, Jr.

Noah.

Yes, "testimony" as used in my email below includes written testimony with respect to motion practice regarding the purported ratifications. To clarify, my reference to the May and June 2015 board minutes was an illustration, not a limited exception, of matters otherwise raised in the litigation, independent of being raised in connection with what we in shorthand have called the ratifications.

Mark

Dictated to smartphone. Get Outlook for Android

From: Noah Helpern <noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2018 1:48:03 PM

To: Mark G. Krum

Cc: Christopher Tayback; Marshall Searcy; Akke Levin; Steve Morris; Noemi A. Kawamoto

Subject: RE: Cotter/RDI -- Deposition of James Cotter, Jr.

Mark:

Based on your representations below, we can likely agree to forego taking Plaintiff's deposition on the subject of ratification. However, before we do so, I want to confirm that where you indicate Plaintiff will not offer "testimony" about the Board's ratification decision—with the limited exception of possible testimony regarding minutes of *prior* Board meetings that were re-circulated in connection with the ratification decision—you are including written testimony (*i.e.*, declarations and affidavits) in addition to oral testimony. To the extent there is motion practice regarding the ratification decision, does Plaintiff agree not to submit any fact declarations or affidavits about that Board decision or the December 29 meeting?

Best,

Noah

From: Mark G. Krum [mailto:mkrum@bizlit.com]

Sent: Monday, April 09, 2018 2:06 PM

To: Noah Helpern < noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com>

Cc: Christopher Tayback <christayback@quinnemanuel.com>; Marshall Searcy <marshallsearcy@quinnemanuel.com>;

Akke Levin <al@morrislawgroup.com>; Steve Morris <sm@morrislawgroup.com>; Noemi A. Kawamoto

<nkawamoto@bizlit.com>

Subject: Re: Cotter/RDI -- Deposition of James Cotter, Jr.

Noah,

I almost lost track of your 2 a.m. email below. We appreciate receiving an explanation of why defenddants contend they need and are entitled to take the deposition of Plaintiff with respect to what we collectively have called the ratifications.

Based on the deposition testimony and documents provided by defenddants, we do not intend to offer testimony by Mr. Cotter about what happened regarding the ratifications at the December 29 telephonic board meeting, including the content of discussions, the accuracy of minutes and the reasons he voted against the ratifications. Insofar as "the Board's preparation for that meeting" refers to deposition exhibit 525 (and the same document bearing a different production number and including redactions, which marked at the deposition of Bill Gould), we do not intend to offer his testimony about that exhibit (which of course is different than testimony regarding certain of the exhibits in it, such as the May and June 2015 board minutes about which he has been examined previously). So that obviates the stated need for his deposition.

Mark

Dictated to a smartphone. Get Outlook for Android

From: Noah Helpern < noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com >

Sent: Monday, April 9, 2018 1:55:35 AM

To: Mark G. Krum

Cc: Christopher Tayback; Marshall Searcy; Akke Levin; Steve Morris; Noemi A. Kawamoto

Subject: Cotter/RDI -- Deposition of James Cotter, Jr.

Mark:

To follow up on our recent conversation, Defendants are entitled to take Plaintiff's deposition. Plaintiff participated in the December 29 Board meeting and to the extent he intends to offer any testimony about what happened at that meeting, including but not limited to the content of discussions, the accuracy of minutes, the reasons he voted against ratification, and/or the Board's preparation for that meeting, Defendants are entitled to know what Plaintiff intends to say. Please let us know by the end of the day Monday if you will agree to make Plaintiff available for deposition or if Defendants need to raise this issue with the Court.

Best,

Noah Helpern Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP (213) 443-3653 / noahhelpern@quinnemanuel.com

Exhibit H

FILED UNDER SEAL

Exhibit H

Exhibit I

FILED UNDER SEAL

Exhibit I

702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to NRCP 16, 26, 34, 37, 45, and EDCR 2.34, plaintiff James J. Cotter ("Plaintiff") hereby moves the Court for relief against the remaining individual defendants, former defendants William Gould, Judy Codding, and Doug McEachern, and nominal defendant Reading International, Inc. ("RDI") (collectively, "Responding Parties"). In particular and without limitation, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- (1) Order Responding Parties to produce all documents which have been withheld based on claims of attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine and which mention, concern or in any way relate to (i) the December 21, 2017 meeting of the "Special Independent Committee" of the RDI Board of Directors, the members of which are Gould, Codding and McEachern, including its scheduling, content, scope, minutes or anything else related to that meeting; (ii) the December 27, 2017 email from Gould's assistant, marked as P-1 at May 2, 2018 evidentiary hearing, including its subject matter, preparation, drafting, circulation, how it would be used to get its contents on the agenda for the December 29, 2017 RDI Board of Directors meeting and otherwise related to that document; and (iii) ratification.
- (2) Alternatively, with respect to documents withheld based on claims of attorney work product protection, order Responding Parties to deliver to the Court for in camera review all such documents which have been withheld based on claims that they are protected by the attorney work product doctrine and which mention, concern or in any way relate to (i) the December 21, 2017 meeting of the "Special Independent Committee" of the RDI Board of Directors, the members of which are Gould, Codding and McEachern, including its scheduling, content, scope, minutes or anything else related to that meeting; (ii) the December 27, 2017 email from Gould's assistant, marked as P-1 at May 2, 2018 evidentiary hearing, including its subject matter, preparation, drafting, circulation, how it would be used to get its contents on the agenda for the December 29, 2017 RDI Board of Directors meeting and otherwise related to that document; and (iii) ratification;

MORRIS LAW GROUP E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	ĺ
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27

28

(3) Order Gould, Codding, McEachern, Ellen Cotter, Margaret Cotter and Craig Tompkins to appear for further deposition (should Plaintiff seek further deposition testimony from any or all of them) to provide testimony regarding the subject matters of the Court's May 2, 2018 order and this motion, the scope of which testimony is to track the Court's rulings on this motion or, alternatively,

(4) Order that defendants are precluded, whether by motion for summary judgment, at trial or otherwise, from asserting a defense based on the purported ratifications.

Plaintiff further moves the Court, under EDCR 2.26, for an order shortening the time for hearing this motion.

This Motion is based upon the pleadings and papers on file, the declaration of Mark G. Krum, the exhibits attached hereto, the following memorandum of points and authorities, and any oral argument the Court may allow.

Morris Law Group

By: /s/ AKKE LEVIN
Steve Morris (BN 1543)
Akke Levin (BN 9102)
Morris Law Group
411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Mark G. Krum (BN 10913) Yurko, Salvesen & Remz, P.C. One Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, MA 02108 Tel: 617.723.6900 Fax: 617.723.6905

Attorneys for Plaintiff *James J. Cotter, Jr.*

E-mail:mkrum@bizlit.com

MORRIS LAW GROUP E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

DECLARATION OF MARK G. KRUM IN SUPPORT OF ORDER SHORTENING TIME ON JAMES J. COTTER, JR.'S MOTION TO COMPEL

I, Mark G. Krum, Esq., being duly sworn, depose and say that:

- 1. I am an attorney with the firm Yurko, Salvesen & Remz, P.C., attorneys for James J. Cotter, Jr., plaintiff in the above-captioned action ("Plaintiff"). I make this declaration based upon personal knowledge, except where stated to be upon information and belief, and as to that information, I believe it to be true. If called upon to testify as to the contents of this Declaration, I am legally competent to testify to the contents of this Declaration in a court of law.
- 2. By the instant motion, Plaintiff seeks an order: (1) compelling the production of documents responsive to the Court's rulings of May 2, 2018 which have been withheld based on claims of attorney-client privilege and/or attorney work product; (2) alternatively with respect to such documents withheld based on claims of attorney work product, for an *in camera* inspection of such documents to determine if they are properly withheld on that basis; and (3) compelling further deposition testimony from Gould, Codding, McEachern, Ellen Cotter, Margaret Cotter and Craig Tompkins, or, alternatively, an order; (4) that defendants are precluded, whether by motion for summary judgment, at trial or otherwise, from asserting a defense based on the purported ratifications.
- 3. Defendants have just filed two renewed motions for summary judgment, one based on "ratification" and the other on "demand futility." The documents which are the subject of this motion bear directly on these motions, including the issue of independence. On information and belief, those documents, which were withheld based on claims of attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine, will prove that the "ratification" claimed to have been an independent business judgment by independent directors was in fact a "litigation strategy" approved and directed by defendant Ellen Cotter (with "advice" from attorney and former defendant Craig

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Tompkins) and carried out by "independent" directors doing as RDI's conflicted lawyers told them.

Reason for Order Shortening Time

- Plaintiff respectfully submits that this Motion should be heard on an order shortening time because unless and until Plaintiff obtains the documents and information sought by this motion, Plaintiff will not be have obtained the discovery he needs and to which he is entitled with respect to the purported "ratification" by Gould, Codding, McEachern, and two other former director defendants of certain prior actionable conduct. More fundamentally, Plaintiff will not be able to provide the opposition to the remaining defendants' renewed "ratification" summary judgment motion and/or any defense they proffer at trial based on "ratification" plaintiff is entitled to and otherwise would be able to provide. For such reasons, Plaintiff respectfully submits that the Motion should be heard on an order shortening time rather than in the ordinary course. In particular, Plaintiff requests that this motion be set for hearing on Monday, June 18, 2018, when counsel for the parties are scheduled to appear before the Court.
- 5. This Declaration is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay. Discovery Disputes and EDCR 2.34 Conference
- 6. After receiving voluminous privilege logs on May 30 and 31, 2018, I reviewed those logs and, on June 4, 2018 wrote counsel for the responding parties and identified a number of issues with respect to those privilege logs and claims of privilege or work product made therein. On June 6, 2018, I met and conferred telephonically with counsel for RDI, the remaining defendants and former defendants McEachern, Codding, Wrotniak and Kane with respect to a number of discovery matters bearing upon the defendants renewed "ratification" and "demand futility" summary judgment motions, including matters which are the subject of this motion. No consensual resolution of these

MORRIS LAW GROUP	411 E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101	702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422
------------------	--	---------------------------------

matters was reached. I believe the foregoing efforts, made in good faith to resolve these matters without Court intervention, satisfy the parties' obligations under EDCR 2.34.

Executed this 8th day of June, 2018

Mark G. Krum, Esq.

MORRIS LAW GROUP 1 E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 8910

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

28

ORDER SHORTENING TIME

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the hearing on James J. Cotter, Jr.'s Motion to Compel shall be heard before the above-entitled Court in Department XI, before Judge Elizabeth Gonzalez on the day of _______, 2018, at _______, 2018, at _______, as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, at the Regional Justice Center, 200 Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101.

DATED this ____ day of June, 2018

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE CV

Respectfully submitted:

Morris Law Group

By: Steve Morris (BN 1543)

Akke Levin (BN 9102) Morris Law Group

411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Mark G. Krum (BN 10913)

Yurko, Salvesen & Remz, P.C.

One Washington Mall, 11th Floor

22 | Boston, MA 02108

Tel: 617.723.6900 Fax: 617.723.6905

24 | E-mail:mkrum@bizlit.com

25 Attorneys for Plaintiff

26 James J. Cotter, Jr.

27

702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

Defendants have just filed two renewed motions for summary judgment, one based on "ratification" (the "Ratification MSJ") and the other based on claimed demand futility. The moving party in each bears the burden of proving the independence of the directors whose claimed independence is the basis and sine qua non of each motion.

The documents which are the subject of this motion bear directly on the issue of independence. These documents belie the premise of the summary judgment motions that the five dismissed directors are independent. More particularly, these documents will show that the December 2017 "ratifications" claimed to have been independent business judgments by independent directors were, instead, part of a "litigation strategy" approved by defendant Ellen Cotter and executed by directors who relied on the "advice" of lawyers who conferred with and took direction from Ellen Cotter.

The documents in question have been withheld based on claims of attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. For the attorney-client privilege to apply, the communications claimed privileged must have been between an attorney and his or her client, for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of legal services, and must have been maintained as confidential. A board of directors' special committee with authority to act, such as the "Special Independent Committee" ("SIC") here, possesses its own independent attorney client privilege. Where, as here, the work of the committee entails assessing the conduct of other members of the board, the committee does not share its privilege or a common interest with the corporation's other board members or with the corporation. Of course, where the committee discloses its privileged communications to persons who are not members of it, such disclosures e waive any privilege with respect to the subject matters of those communications.

Here, the "independent" directors, including the SIC whose previously concealed approval of "ratification" was the means by which "ratification" was raised and assured

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

success, did not maintain attorney-client privilege or confidences vis-à-vis the Company, or even vis-à-vis defendants Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter, who stand to benefit from the actions of the "independent" directors, including in particular "ratification."

On the contrary, the lawyers "advising" the SIC and the other "independent" directors did just the opposite. They sought and obtained approval of the "ratification" "process" from Ellen Cotter, Margaret Cotter, and Craig Tompkins (now RDI's General Counsel reporting to Ellen Cotter) before seeking and securing approval of "ratification" from the SIC. After obtaining approval of "ratification" from the SIC on December 21, 2018, the lawyers then apprised Ellen Cotter and Tompkins of the SIC's approval and obtained input from Tompkins and apparently Ellen Cotter regarding one or more drafts of the December 27, 2017 email before providing it to Gould to send on behalf of the "independent" directors. Thus, as to the operative language of the December 27, 2017 email, the agenda for the December 29, 2017 board meeting based thereon, and finally the minutes of the December 29, 2017 meeting which are the basis of the Ratification MSJ, the "work product" in question not only was disclosed to Tompkins and Ellen Cotter, it was subject to their contemporaneous input.

Disclosure of matter relating to all aspects of the "ratifications" to Tompkins, and separately to Ellen Cotter, shows that the matter was not treated as privileged or confidential to the SIC or the five. Even were that matter privileged and confidential, the disclosure of it to Tompkins, and separately to Ellen Cotter, waives any privilege with respect thereto.

For such reasons, documents which are the subject of the Court's May 2, 2018 order and which have been withheld on the basis of attorney-client privilege must be produced.

Independent of the foregoing, assertions that such documents or communications are protected by the work product doctrine are inconsistent with, and cannot be reconciled with, the position taken by defendants in the Ratification MSI that the purported ratifications were business judgments made by independent directors with

BONNEVILLE AVE.,

VEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

respect to RDI business matters. In order to constitute attorney work product, documents must be prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial, and must be prepared by or for another party or by or for that other party's representative. To satisfy the "prepared in anticipation of litigation" requirement, the proper party opposing this motion must persuade the Court that these "ratification" communications were work of a special litigation committee undertaken in anticipation of use in this litigation. However, according to the Ratification MSJ, the "ratification" was an ordinary course "business judgment" of the five "independent" directors with respect to RDI business matters.

Defendants cannot have it both ways. They must either admit that the subject documents are not work product, or admit that the purported ratifications were not the result of business judgments and that the business judgment rule does not apply to them. Therefore, documents claimed to be subject to the work product protection must be produced or the Court must conclude that the five claimed independent directors are not independent. Additionally, even if the documents are determined to be subject to work product protection, Plaintiff has made a showing of substantial need for the documents because, without them, Plaintiff will be hard-pressed if not unable to show what actually happened in the "ratification" "process," which goes directly to the issue of independence upon which the renewed summary judgment motions are based.

In view of the foregoing, and for the reasons described herein, Plaintiff respectfully requests an order: (1) compelling the production of documents responsive to the Court's rulings of May 2, 2018 which have been withheld based on claims of attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work product; (2) alternatively with respect to such documents withheld based on claims of attorney work product, for an in camera inspection of such documents to determine if they are properly withheld on that basis; (3) compelling further deposition testimony from Gould, Codding, McEachern, Ellen Cotter, Margaret Cotter and Craig Tompkins; or, alternatively, an order (4) that defendants are precluded, whether by motion for summary judgment, at trial or otherwise, from asserting a defense based on the purported ratifications.

MORRIS LAW GROUP E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

A. Creation and Delegation of Authority to the SIC

In August 2017, the RDI board of directors to (the "Board") authorized the formation of a "Special Independent Committee" (the "SIC" or "SLC"). (See Ex. 4, RDI's February 23, 2018 Form 8-K.) On or about February 23, 2018, RDI filed a Form 8-K with the SEC, which attached and disclosed publicly for the first time the Charter of the SIC. (*Id.*) (The charter was admitted as defendants' Ex. B at the May 2, 2018 evidentiary hearing.) Section IV of the Charter describes the responsibilities and duties of the SIC, including the authority delegated to it with respect to this derivative action and other lawsuits (defined therein as "Cotter Related Proceedings"). The Charter states in relevant part as follows:

RESPONSIBILITIES AND DUTIES

ii. ... [I]nstruct legal counsel representing the Company to take certain actions, including but not limited to, file pleadings or other papers, appear in any proceedings... and otherwise take such steps as the [SIC] deemed to be in the best interest of the Company in any Cotter Related Proceedings [which includes this derivative action] or

iii. Participate in and direct legal counsel representing the Company to conduct negotiations and take actions to resolve matters related to the Cotter Related Proceedings...

iv. Report to the Board, as it determines to be appropriate (subject to the maintenance of attorney-client privileges and with due regard for and the institution of appropriate safeguards in order to take into account any conflicts of interest that may exist involving other members of the Board and without limiting its delegated authority under this Charter), its recommendations and conclusions with respect to the determinations delegated to it by this Charter; and

MORRIS LAW GROUP

VEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEV 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

 Take all such other actions as the [SIC] may deem to be necessary or appropriate in connection with the above.

The [SIC] shall have the authority to enter into or bind the Company in connection with a Cotter Related Proceedings... provided, however, that the [SIC] shall not have any authority to ... approve any merger, consolidation or liquidation of the Company.

Ex. 4 (RDI's February 23, 2018 Form 8-K) (emphasis supplied.)

B. The Inception of "Ratification" With the SIC

According to the deposition testimony of former defendants and current RDI directors Douglas McEachern and William Gould, the subject of ratification was first raised with them by lawyers from Greenberg Traurig ("GT"). McEachern testified that the subject of ratification was raised "in late Fall sometime of 2017," at which time it was tabled. (See Ex. 12, McEachern 2/28/18 dep. tr. at 548:21-550:1.) McEachern further testified that the "main focus was on the termination of Jim Cotter, Jr." (Id.) Gould testified that the first communication he had regarding ratification was telephonically in mid or late November 2017 with GT lawyers Michael Bonner and Mark Ferrario. (See Ex. 10, Gould 4/5/18 dep. tr. at 509:13-15.) Gould described this telephone call as follows: "I was the chairman of the special [independent] committee and [GT lawyers Bonner and Ferrario] were discussing [ratification] with me in my capacity as the chairperson of that committee." (Id.)

C. GT First Cleared "Ratification" With Defendants Ellen and Margaret Cotter.

Late last week, on May 30 (and 31), 2018, GT produced supplemental privilege logs jointly on behalf of RDI and the five dismissed directors. The May 30 log discloses for the first time what apparently were communications in November or December 2016 and January 2017 regarding "ratification," among other things. (Although the log entries are deficient on their face, the inclusion of them in the log must mean that they concern "ratification.") The first entry, dated November 22, 2016, is an email from Craig

MORRIS LAW GROUPE. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

Tompkins, who then was special counsel to Ellen Cotter as CEO of RDI (Ex. 18, Tompkins 10/18/17 dep. tr. at 60:1-12), to GT attorneys Bonner and Ferrario, copied to Ellen Cotter, the subject of which is "alternative approaches: attorney-client privileged attorney work product communication." (See Ex. 2, GT May 30, 2018 privilege log at entry ending in 71278.) The next entry is a December 7, 2016 email from Ferrario to Tompkins and Quinn Emanuel attorneys Marshall Searcy and Christopher Tayback, the subject of which is the attached "option memo." (See Ex. 2, GT May 30, 2018 privilege log at entry ending in 67300.) The next two entries are dated January 6 and 7, 2017, concern "alternative litigation resolution approaches" and are between Tompkins and Bonner, and copied to Ferrario and to Ellen Cotter. (See Ex. 2, GT May 30, 2018 privilege log at entries ending in 71290 and 64891.)

In December 2017, before seeking and securing approval of "ratification" from the SIC on December 21 (described below), GT lawyers cleared the "ratification" "process" with Margaret Cotter, Ellen Cotter and Tompkins.¹

Specifically, on December 13, 2017—a week before the SIC purportedly decided to present ratification to the board—GT attorneys Mark Ferrario and Michael Bonner exchanged emails with Tompkins, on which Ellen Cotter was copied, bearing the subject "Special Committee," which emails were withheld as privileged and described as "Communication[s] regarding Ratification process." (See Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log at entry ending in 60907 and 60911; see also Ex. 3, GT May 31, 2018 privilege log at entries ending in RDI 73538, 76569, 76783.)

Two days later, on December 15, 2017, GT attorney Bonner again exchanged emails with Craig Tompkins, on which Ellen Cotter was copied, entitled "Misc." (See Ex. 1,

¹As Kane testified, Craig Tompkins—RDI's General Counsel to whom GT attorneys report—is on Ellen and Margaret Cotter's side. (*See* Ex. 14, Kane 5/2/16 dep. tr. at 176:18-177:1 (interpreting email stating "according to [Ellen Cotter], Craig is also on the 'team[,]' "to mean that Tompkins "was [with] Ellen and Margaret versus Jim."); Ex. 17 hereto (Dep. Ex. 105)).

MORRIS LAW GROUPE. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 · LAS Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1.

GT February 22, 2018 privilege log at entries ending in 60823 and 60824.) Those emails are also described as "Communication[s] regarding ratification process." (*Id.*)

Also on December 15, 2017, GT attorney Ferrario discussed the subject of ratification with *Margaret Cotter* in person. (*See* Ex. 16, Margaret Cotter's February 14, 2018 Interrogatory Responses No. 2.) (Margaret Cotter's interrogatory responses disclosed this communication regarding "ratification," but not others described herein.)

On December 21, 2017, GT attorney Bonner emailed Tompkins, copied to *Ellen Cotter* and GT attorney Ferrario, regarding "special committee/stockholder action alternatives." (*See* Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log at entry ending in 60533.) Ellen Cotter at her deposition acknowledged receiving this email. (*See* Ex. 9, Ellen Cotter 4/4/18 dep. tr. at 479:21-480:6.)

D. The SIC Approves "Ratification"

The SIC met telephonically with GT attorneys Bonner and Ferrario on December 21, 2017. (*See* Ex. 5, redacted minutes of that December 21, 2017 meeting; *see also* GT May 31, 2018 Privilege log at entries CN 2075, 2174, 2494, 2504, 2634.) As described below, they approved "ratification" of the two decisions which thus became the subject of "ratification" votes at the December 29, 2017 Board meeting.

With respect to the December 21, 2017 SIC telephonic meeting, the SIC's members testified as follows: McEachern testified that the SIC approved ratification in an effort to "resolve" certain issues that remained in this derivative action, stating:

"[I]t was delegated to the [SIC] to handle this type of matters. We were approving [ratification]. ... I think we had a call [on December 21, 2017] to talk about a couple issues that were still existing in this -- in this derivative case by Jim Cotter, Jr., and we were trying to address them in a fashion to resolve them."

(Ex. 12, McEachern 2/28/18 dep. tr. at 507:1-508:2 and 546:1-10) (emphasis supplied).

Gould testified that the SIC "formally [took] action" to advance the purported ratification(s). (*See* Ex. 10, Gould 4/5/18 dep. tr. at 529:10-18.) Gould admitted that the

MORRIS LAW GROUP I E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

purpose of the "ratification(s)," "might be a litigation strategy" employed in this derivative action (to create a basis upon which to seek dismissal in advance of trial). (*See* Ex. 10, Gould 4/5/18 dep. tr. at 541:15-18.)

Codding testified that Bonner or Gould explained the notion of ratification with respect to the two matters later taken up at the December 29, 2017 Board meeting. She testified that the SIC approved "ratification," explaining that she did not distinguish between the process or fact of "ratification" and the merits of the two "ratification" decisions (that defendants claim were made at the December 29, 2017 Board meeting). (See Ex. 8, Codding 2/28/18 dep. tr. at 205:24-207:4.)

E. GT Works With Tompkins and Ellen Cotter to Effectuate the "Ratification" by "Independent" Directors.

The next day, December 22, 2017, GT followed through with the ratification scheme. Ferrario's assistant sent an email to Tompkins (and other GT lawyers) regarding "call re letter for special committee meeting re ratification." (*See* Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entries ending in entries ending in 60162; *see also* May 31, 2018 Privilege log at entries RDI 76075 and 76151.) Tompkins responded and an email chain ensued. (*See* Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entries ending in entries ending in 60258, 60260, 60262, 60265 and 60267.) (The "letter for special committee meeting re ratification" appears to refer to what came to be the December 27, 2017 email from Gould purportedly on behalf of the five "independent" Directors.)

Also on December 22, 2017, GT attorney Bonner: (1) emailed Gould and GT lawyer Ferrario about the "ratification issue discussed yesterday" (Ex. 3, GT May 31, 2018 Privilege Log at entries RDI 76320 and 77234); (2) sent an email regarding the "derivative trial" to Gould, copying Ferrario, Ellen Cotter and Tompkins (Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entries ending in entries ending in 60005); and (3) sent an email to Ellen Cotter, her assistant Laura Batista, Tompkins and GT attorney Ferrario regarding "board time check" (which presumably refers to the scheduling of the Board meeting to have the

NEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"ratification" vote). (See Ex. 3, GT May 31, 2018 privilege log at entries ending in entries ending in RDI 76933, 77266.)

F. Litigation Counsel Prepares the December 27 Email Request for a Special Board Meeting to Address Ratification With Input From Tompkins and likely Ellen Cotter—Not Gould or the other "Independent" Directors.

On December 27, 2017, Bonner and other GT lawyers exchanged a number of emails with Tompkins with one or more drafts of what came to be the December 27, 2017 email request sent by Gould's assistant, purportedly on behalf of the five dismissed directors, to take up ratification at a special board meeting. (See Ex. 15, 5/2/18 hearing tr. at 59:1-8.) Several of those emails had file names such as "For Bill Gould to sign.msg," a subject of "For Bill Gould to sign," and a description of the emails as "Communication regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting." (See Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entries ending in 57090, 59768, 59899, 59911, 59912, 59959, 60790, 60802 and 60810.) Another email read "Communication regarding board meeting, notice and ratification process." (See Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entries ending in 60798.) Other emails exchanged on December 27, 2017 between Tompkins and GT had file names including "Ratificat.zip?ratificat/For Bill Gould to sign," the subjects of which were "Ratification," and which are described as "Communication[s] regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting" or "Communication[s] regarding Special Meeting Request," (See Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entries ending in entries ending in 60404, 60408, 60412, 60424, 60428, 60450, 60464, 60843, 60846.);

Several of the December 27, 2017 emails with file names such as "Ratificat.zip?ratificat/Ratification" and "Ratification.msg" and the subject "Ratification" also were copied to Ellen Cotter. (See Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entries ending in entries ending in 60450, 60452, 60464 and 60846; Ex. 2, 5/30/18 privilege log at entries ending in RDI 68619, 68626, 70083, 70095.)

Another December 27, 2017 email from Tompkins to Bonner and Ferrario concerned "ratification" according to the email subject line, but the privilege log provides

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

no description of the communication. (See Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entry ending in 60843.) A subsequent entry also is an email regarding "ratification," and is from Bonner to Tompkins and Ferrario, but also copied Ellen Cotter. (See Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entry ending in 60846.)

After receiving responses from Tompkins and possibly Ellen Cotter (see id.) regarding the draft of what came to be Gould's December 27, 2017 email, GT attorney Bonner on December 27, 2017 sent Gould an email, with a copy to GT attorney Ferrario, the "re" line of which read "FW: for Bill Gould to sign," which RDI's privilege log also describes as "communication regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting." (See Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entries ending in entries ending in 59792 and 59937) (emphasis supplied.)

At his April 5, 2018 deposition, Gould identified the December 27, 2017 email (Ex. 6, marked as Dep. Ex. 527 and Ex. P-1 from the 5/2/18 evidentiary hearing), which was sent shortly before 8:00 p.m. Pacific by Marcia Wizelman, Gould's assistant, to Ellen Cotter, as the notice ("call") by the five dismissed directors for the two "ratification" matters to be raised and approved at the next regularly scheduled board meeting or, failing that, at a special meeting. (See Ex. 10, Gould 4/5/18 Dep. Tr. at 530:2-10.) Gould testified that he did not draft or edit the December 27, 2017 email, but that it was drafted by GT attorneys Bonner and Ferrario. (See Ex. 10, Gould 4/5/18 Dep. Tr. at 530:18-25.) As described above, Tompkins and apparently Ellen Cotter participated in drafting or reviewing draft(s) of the December 27, 2017 email.

Gould testified that the December 27, 2017 email had been approved (presumably by McEachern and Codding as SIC members). (See Ex. 10, Gould 4/5/18 Dep. Tr. at 525:10-17.) However, none of the five other than Gould saw the December 27, 2017 email (Dep. Ex. 527) prior to their depositions. McEachern testified with respect to Dep. Ex. 527 that "I don't recall having seen this before, but I do recall speaking in our [December 21, 2017 special committee [meeting] with Bill Gould and Judy Codding about asking to have this done." (See Ex. 12, McEachern 2/28/18 Dep. Tr. at 544:3-8.) Codding's testimony

702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

was to the same effect. (See Ex. 8, Codding 2/28/18 Dep. Tr. at 231:7-232-5.) Wrotniak testified that he did not recall seeing Dep. Ex. 527 prior to preparing for his deposition. (See Ex. 13, Wrotniak 3/6/18 Dep. Tr. at 91:17-92:4.) Kane also testified that he had no recollection of seeing Dep. Ex. 527 prior to his deposition. (See Ex. 11, Kane 4/19/18 dep. tr. at 681:14-19.)

G. The December 27 Email was the Source of the "Ratification" Agenda for the December 29, 2017 Board Meeting.

The text of the December 27, 2017 email was used to prepare the corresponding portion of the agenda for the December 29, 2017 board meeting. (See Ex. 15, 5/2/18 hearing tr. at 56:25-57:5; Ex. 9, Ellen Cotter dep. tr. at 485:9-486:3.) In that regard, GT attorney Bonner **o**n December 26, 2017 exchanged emails with Tompkins, *Ellen Cotter*, Gould, and GT litigators, the subject line of which was "Draft for your review," described in the February 22, 2018 privilege log as "Communication regarding notice and agenda for upcoming board meeting." (See Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entries ending in entry ending in 60777 and 60780.) (See also Ex. 1, GT February 22, 2018 privilege log entries ending in 60273, which is described as "[c]ommunication re draft board meeting materials.")

That agenda (Dep. Ex. 525 (excerpts), Ex. 7 hereto) was distributed at approximately 5:30 p.m. Pacific on December 27, 2017. The draft minutes of the December 29, 2017 meeting (Dep. Ex. 526) reflect that they were prepared in part by copying from the agenda (Dep. Ex. 525), which was prepared in relevant part based upon the December 27, 2017 email (Dep. Ex. 527). GT attorney Bonner testified that the December 29, 2017 meeting minutes were memorialized on an expedited basis because of the "particular legal import" of "the ratification of the matters that were considered at the December 29 meeting." (See Ex. 15, 5/2/18 hearing tr. at 43:5-8.) Thus, the text of the December 27 email was the source of language of "particular legal import" to the purported ratifications.

as Vegas, Nevada 89101-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Wrotniak first learned that ratifying prior conduct would be taken up at the December 29, 2017 Board meeting from a telephone call he and Codding had with GT lawyers Ferrario and Bonner. Wrotniak described the subject matter of the call as the agenda for the December 29 Board meeting and "protection for Reading." (Ex. 13, Wrotniak 3/6/18 dep. tr. at 41:2-42:25.) (Emphasis supplied.) (See also Ex. 3, GT May 31, 2018 privilege entries dated 12/28/18 ending in RDI 76390, 76391, 76466, 76469 and 76522.) The call lasted less than an hour and occurred just a day or so before the December 29 Board meeting. (Ex. 13, Wrotniak 3/6/18 dep. tr. at 44:3-22.) (See also Ex. 3, GT May 31, 2018 privilege entries dated 12/28/18 ending in RDI 76466 and 76469.) Wrotniak testified that [i]t was agreed" in that telephone call that the December 29 Board meeting would address the ratification matters. (See Ex. 13, Wrotniak 3/6/18 Dep. Tr. at 87:7-22.)

III. **ARGUMENT**

A. Plaintiff Is Entitled to Review the Documents Sought Because They Are Not Privileged, and Even If They Were, the Privilege Has Been Waived.

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 37(a) and 45(c)(2)(B), the Responding Parties should be ordered to produce any and all documents which have been withheld based on claims of attorney-client privilege or the work product doctrine (or, alternatively as to documents withheld based on claims that they are protected by the work product doctrine, the Responding Parties should be ordered to deliver them to the court for in camera review) and which mention, concern or in any way relate to (i) the December 21, 2017 meeting of the "Special Independent Committee" of the RDI Board of Directors, the members of which are Gould, Codding and McEachern, including its scheduling, content, scope, minutes or anything else related to that meeting; (ii) the December 27, 2017 email from Gould's assistant, marked as P-1 at May 2, 2018 evidentiary hearing, including its subject matter, preparation, drafting, circulation, how it would be used to get its contents on the agenda for the December 29, 2017 RDI Board of Directors meeting and otherwise related to that document; and (iii) ratification.

MORRIS LAW GROUP

NEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

As the Court already has ruled, such documents are responsive to several of Plaintiff's document requests. As explained below, the documents are not privileged or, even if they otherwise were privileged and/or subject to the attorney work product doctrine, both have been waived as to each of the subject matters identified in the immediately preceding paragraph.

B. Where, as Here, Dismissal of a Derivative Action is Sought Based on Decisions of a Committee or Subset of "Independent" Directors, the Moving Party Bears the Burden of Proving Their Independence.

A board of directors may "delegate to a committee of disinterested directors the board's power to control corporate [derivative] litigation." Kaman v. Kemper Fin. Servs., Inc., 500 U. S. 90, 102 (1991). Such committee typically is called a special litigation committee or an "SLC." Beam v. Stewart, A. 2d 1040, 1055 (Del. 2004). Where that has occurred, a moving party seeking relief based on the decision(s) of such committee bears the burden of proving the independence of board members whose actions or decisions serve as the basis for the relief sought, among other things. Jacksonville Police & Fire Pension Fund v. Brokaw (In re DISH Network Derivative Litig.), 401 P.3d 1081, 1088, 1089 (Nev. 2017).

Here, without regard to whether the actions of "independent" directors are or entail "ratification"—(as Plaintiff will demonstrate in response to a renewed summary judgment motion, they are not)—they are actions which defendants argue serve as a basis for seeking dismissal of this derivative action. Indeed, as described above, both Gould and McEachern testified that the ratification served to resolve remaining issues in the litigation. The SIC met and approved "ratification" of two matters (generally, the termination of Plaintiff as President and CEO of RDI and the use of RDI Class A nonvoting stock as consideration for the exercise of the so-called 100,000 share option). The SIC authorized Gould to send what came to be his December 27, 2017 email on behalf of the five "independent" directors calling for "ratification" to be raised at the next RDI board meeting. At that meeting two days later, each of the five voted in favor of the

MORRIS LAW GROUP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

purported ratifications. Based on the foregoing, a renewed "ratification" motion for summary judgment has been filed by the remaining defendants, Ellen Cotter, Margaret Cotter and Guy Adams.

The terms of the SIC Charter are not the only evidence that the SIC functioned as a special litigation committee or SLC. The testimony of SIC members about what they decided and authorized at the December 21, 2017 SIC committee meeting comports with the function of the SIC as an SLC. For example, Gould testified that GT attorneys Bonner and Ferrario communicated with him about "ratification" in his capacity as chair of the SIC, and that the SIC "formally" approved "ratification" and authorized what came to be his December 27, 2017 email.

Additionally, the May 30, 2018 privilege log produced by GT includes a March 21, 2018 email exchange between Tompkins and GT lawyers the subject of which is "SLC Resolution." (See Ex. 2, GT May 30, 2018 privilege log at entries ending in 68527, 69418 and 69420) (Emphasis supplied.) The log contains another March 21, 2018 email exchange between Tompkins and GT lawyers, the subject of which includes the words "resolution re special litigation committee." (See Ex. 2, GT May 30, 2018 privilege log at entry ending in 69421.) (Emphasis supplied.)

The independence of the SIC and the five therefore is an issue as to which Plaintiff is entitled to discovery. In re DISH Network Derivative Litig., 401 P.3d at 1088. Recently, in ruling on Plaintiff's motion for omnibus relief, the Court ordered the Responding Parties to make supplemental productions of responsive documents and provide supplemental privilege logs, identifying the categories of information as follows:

> THE COURT: ... So three categories, [i] the 12/21 special committee meeting, whether its scheduling, content, scope, minutes, whatever, related to that meeting; [ii] P-1 [the 12/27/18 email], whether its subject matter, preparation, drafting, circulation, how we're going to get it on the agenda for the 12/29 meeting; and the third item is [iii] any discussion of ratification, not limited by time.

MORRIS LAW GROUP E. Bonneville ave., Ste. 360 \cdot Las Vegas, Nevada 8910 \cdot 702/474-9400 \cdot Fax 702/474-9422

(5/2/18 hearing tr. at 79:6-13.) (Emphasis supplied.)

As shown above, a significant number of documents relating to one or more of the foregoing three categories have been withheld based on claims of attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or both. As demonstrated below, those documents are not privileged and are not properly claimed to be subject to work product protection and, even if they were subject to proper claims of privilege and/or work product protection, both have been waived. The documents therefore should be produced.

C. Independent Directors Charged with Acting as Such Do Not and Cannot Share Privilege with the Company, Much Less With Other Directors Whose Conduct is the Subject of Actions by the Independent Directors.

An SLC or other board committee with authority to act possesses its own independent attorney client privilege. *Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp., Nos.* 13911. 14595, 1996 Del. Ch. LEXIS 56 at *6 (Del. Ch. June 4, 1996). Conversely, counsel to a special board committee passing on conduct of board members not on the committee does not have an attorney-client relationship or a privilege with the corporation's board, or even a common interest with the board, because the work of the committee necessarily entails assessing the conduct of other members of the board. *SEC v. Roberts*, 2008 U. S. Dist. LEXIS 64615, at *17 n.4 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 22, 2008).

Here, the SIC's charter, quoted above, makes clear that the SIC has "authority to enter into or bind the Company in connection with [this derivative action among other lawsuits]..." In fact, the SIC's charter specifies that the SIC "is authorized, in its discretion[,]" to report to the full RDI Board and, in doing so, may withhold certain information in order to protect its attorney client privilege:

iv. [The SIC may] [r]eport to the Board, as it determines to be appropriate (subject to the maintenance of attorney-client privileges and with due regard for and the institution of appropriate safeguards in order to take into account any conflicts of interest that may exist involving other members of the Board and without limiting its delegated authority

BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

under this Charter), its recommendations and conclusions with respect to the determinations delegated to it by this Charter..

(Ex. 4.) (Emphasis supplied.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

However, where an SLC or other board committee to which authority has been delegated makes a report to the full board of directors, and/or where it otherwise discloses privileged communications to persons who are not members of it, such disclosures constitute a waiver of any privilege with respect to the subject matter(s) of those communications. Ryan v. Gifford, 2007 Del Ch. LEXIS 168, at *9 (Del Ch. November 30, 2007) (presentation of a report by special committee to the company's full board of directors constituted a waiver of privilege with respect to the subject matters of the report).

The attorney-client privilege is codified at NRS 49.095. For the attorney-client privilege to apply, the communications claimed privileged must have been between an attorney and his or her client, for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of legal services, and must have been maintained as confidential. Wynn Resorts, Ltd., 399 P.3d at 341 (citation omitted).

Here, as demonstrated above and by the privilege logs produced by RDI, throughout the "ratification" "process," disclosure of matter claimed privileged—whether regarding the December 21, 2017 SIC meeting, the December 27, 2017 Gould email on behalf of the "independent" directors, or "ratification" generally—was made not only to Craig Tompkins, but also to defendants Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter, both of whom remain defendants in this case and stand to benefit from the actions of the "independent" directors, including in particular the members of the SIC, whose December 21, 2017 approval of "ratification" assured that a majority of the "independent" directors would "ratify" prior actionable conduct of the remaining defendants.

As the case law discussed herein makes clear, the subject matters of those communications are not privileged or, if they were privileged, privilege has been waived with respect to the subject matters thereof by disclosure of them to Tompkins, and

702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

separately by disclosure of them to Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter. NRS 49.385(1)("A person . . . waives the privilege if the person . . . voluntarily discloses or consents to disclosure of any significant part of the matter").

Even if some or all of those communications properly were claimed to be subject to the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine, the case law makes clear that, in circumstances such as those here, there was a waiver. For example, in Ryan v. Gifford, the court held that a special committee waived any privilege by presenting its report to the corporation's other directors because certain of them were defendants in a shareholder derivative action concerning conduct which was a subject of the committee's report. 2007 Del Ch. LEXIS 168, at *7-14. 2008 Del. Ch. LEXIS 2, at *12-24. The court held that the waiver of privilege from the presentation of the report operated as a complete waiver for all communications regarding the subject matters thereof. Id. See Wardleigh v. Second Judicial Dist. Ct., 111 Nev. 345, 355, 891 P.2d 1180, 1186 (1995) (disclosure of portions of communications claimed to be privileged waives the privilege as to the balance of such communications).

By the same analysis, the communications GT lawyers had with Tompkins, and the communications GT lawyers had with Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter, each give rise to a waiver of any privilege with respect to all communications regarding the subject matters of these communications. As the privilege log entries described above reflect, GT lawyers had communications with Tompkins, and with Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter regarding "ratification" generally, and with both Tompkins and Ellen Cotter regarding the December 21, 2017 SIC meeting and the December 27, 2017 Gould email.

In Ryan v. Gifford, the court also concluded that because the special committee was formed to investigate [claimed] wrongdoing and in response to litigation in which" certain directors were named as individual defendants," "there can be no doubt that the common interest exception is inapplicable to extend the protection of the attorney-client privilege to the communications disclosed at the... board meetings." 2007 Del Ch. LEXIS 168, at *13. With respect to the handwritten notes of the attorneys engaged by the special

702/474-9400 FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

committee, as to which attorney work product was claimed, the court ruled that those interview notes were to be produced to the Court for in camera inspection, to determine if in fact they reflected attorney work product. Id., 2007 Del Ch. LEXIS 168, at *16-17.

By the same analysis, insofar as GT will argue that RDI and the SIC share a common interest, such a claim fails because of the role of the SIC (assuming for the sake of analysis only that the SIC was comprised of independent directors who received advice from independent counsel, not conflicted counsel) supposedly was that of "independent" directors who made supposedly good faith, informed decisions with respect to whether to "ratify" prior conduct of certain other directors, including the remaining defendants Ellen Cotter, Margaret Cotter and Guy Adams.

The case of Klein v. FPL Group, Inc., 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19979 (S.D. Fla. 2003), in which a special committee first undertook certain action and then all supposedly independent directors voted with respect to the ultimate action, also is instructive here. In Klein v. FPL Group, in response to a demand to the company to commence an action against certain of its officers and/or directors, the company established a special committee (called the "Evaluation Committee") comprised of three outside directors. That special committee conducted an investigation and issued a report that recommended that dismissal of derivative actions be sought. That report was provided to the Company's full board of directors. The full board met and, with interested directors abstaining, the remaining directors agreed with the report that the derivative actions should be dismissed and authorized counsel to move to dismiss. Id. at *10. In ruling on a motion to compel discovery, the court held that "documents which members of the Board created or reviewed prior to the vote... to accept the [Evaluation] Committee's recommendation regarding dismissal of this lawsuit... are not privileged..." Klein, 2003 U. S. Dist. LEXIS 19979, at *32. With respect to claims of attorney work product regarding notes of witness interviews, the court ruled that "work-product protection has been waived by the manner in which the Report was prepared and communicated..." Id. at **38-39.

702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Other courts have held that a motion to terminate a derivative action pursuant to a special litigation committee recommendation waives the attorney-client privilege with respect to both the committee's report and all underlying data. Joy v. North, 692 F.2d 880, 893 (2d Cir. 1982), cert. denied, 460 U. S. 1051 (1983); In re PSE&G Shareholder Litig., 726 A.2d 994, 996 (N.J. Super. Ct. Ch. Div. 1998, aff'd on other grounds, 801 A.2d 295 (Del. 2002). By such an analysis, of all of the documents which are the subject of the instant motion should be produced.

Here, the documents which are the subject of this motion bear directly on the issue of independence. The documents listed on defendants' privilege logs described above are likely if not certain to provide unequivocal evidence that the "ratification" "process" was approved, if not directed, by one or both of defendants Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter, together with Tompkins and GT lawyers. Such evidence, together with the communications with conflicted counsel who represent the Company but nonetheless purported to "advise" "independent" directors, will undermine claims of independence on the part of the five "independent" directors. Because the moving party in the renewed motions for summary judgment bear the burden of proving the independence of the directors whose claimed independence it is the basis and sine qua non for each motion, such evidence obviously is highly probative if not dispositive of that issue.

In the foregoing regard, the fact that "independent" directors relied on the advice of counsel (GT lawyers) who represent RDI and, in doing so, answer to the remaining defendants, starting with Ellen Cotter, separately evidences that those directors lack independence, as a matter of law. Gesoff v. IIC Industries Inc., 902 A.2d 1130 (Del. Ch. 2006), subsequent proceedings, 2006 Del. Ch. LEXIS 161, 2006 WL 2521441 (Del. Ch. Aug. 22, 2006) ("[A] special committee's decision to use the legal and financial advisors already advising the parent 'alone rais[ed] questions regarding the quality and independence of the counsel and advice received' "); id. at 1147 (citing In re Tele-Communications, Inc. Shareholders Litigation, 2005 Del. Ch. LEXIS 206, 2005 WL 3642727 (Del. Ch. Dec. 21, 2005)).

411 E. Bonneville Ave., STe. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Consistent with the fact that the "independent" directors were advised by counsel who represent the Company and answer to Ellen Cotter, no efforts were made to maintain any attorney-client privilege or confidences held by the SIC or by any of the five "independent" directors vis-à-vis the Company, much less defendants Ellen Cotter and Margaret Cotter. On the contrary, GT lawyers did not even pay lip service to the independence of the SIC when it came to the subject of "ratification" and developments with respect to the "ratification" "process." They did just the opposite. GT lawyers sought and obtained approval of the "ratification" "process" from the Ellen Cotter, Margaret Cotter, and from Tompkins before seeking and securing approval of the 'ratification" "process" from the SIC and then Wrotniak (and possibly Kane).

After obtaining approval of "ratification" from the SIC on December 21, 2017, GT lawyers apprised Ellen Cotter and Tompkins of the SIC's decision and obtained input from Tompkins and apparently Ellen Cotter regarding one or more drafts of the December 27, 2017 email before providing it to Gould to send on behalf of the five "independent" directors. Thus, as to the operative language of the December 27, 2017 email, the agenda for the December 29, 2017 board meeting based thereon, and finally the minutes of the December 29, 2017 meeting, the "work product" in question not only was disclosed to Tompkins and Ellen Cotter, it was subject to their contemporaneous input.

That role continued through the preparation of the forthcoming, renewed "ratification" summary judgment motion. The May 30, 2018 privilege log contains entries from April 21 and 22, 2018, the subject matter of which includes the description "draft motion for summary judgment re ratification." (See Ex. 2, GT May 30, 20187 privilege log at entries ending in 68156 and 69304.) The first is an email from Tompkins to Quinn Emanuel lawyer Noah Halpern, with copies to Quinn Emanuel and GT lawyers and to Ellen Cotter. (Id.) The second is an email from Quinn Emanuel lawyer Tayback to GT lawyer Kara Hendricks, with copies to Quinn Emanuel lawyers, GT lawyers, Tompkins and to Ellen Cotter. (Id.) The foregoing communications evidence, among other things, the absence of an attorney-client privilege or, if there was one, waiver of it, as well as

MORRIS LAW GROUP

BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEY 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

waiver of work product protection, with respect to all communications regarding each of the three subjects of the Court's May 2, 2018 order, namely, (i) the December 21, 2017 SIC meeting, (ii) the December 27, 2017 Gould email, and (iii) "ratification."

Independent of the foregoing, assertions that such documents are protected by the attorney work product doctrine are erroneous. Of course, "NRCP 26(b)(3) protects documents with 'two characteristics: (1) they must be prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial, and (2) they must be prepared by or for another party or by or for that other party's representative.' "Wynn Resorts, Ltd., 399 P.3d at 347 (quoting In re Grand Jury Subpoena (Mark Torf/Torf Envtl. Mgmt.), 357 F.3d 900, 907 (9th Cir. 2004)). Thus, to satisfy the "prepared in anticipation of litigation" requirement, RDI and the defendants must claim and persuade the Court that these "ratification" communications were work of a special litigation committee undertaken in anticipation of use in this litigation. However, according to RDI and defendants, the "ratification" documents pertained to a business judgment" of the five "independent" directors. If that is what they claim, they" cannot invoke the work product doctrine. They can only do so if they admit that "ratification" was not a business judgment by "independent" directors but, instead, a "litigation strategy" undertaken by all at the direction of conflicted counsel to obtain dismissal of this derivative action. Separately, documents prepared or reviewed by Craig Tompkins and Ellen Cotter cannot be claimed to be prepared by a representative of any of the "independent" directors. Therefore, documents claimed to be subject to the work product protection must be produced or the Court must conclude that the five claimed independent directors are not independent for the purposes of their "ratification" MSJ.

MORRIS LAW GROUP RONNEYILE AVE STE 350-1 AS VECAS NEVARA 80000

Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 · Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter an order granting this motion and awarding the relief specified herein, and such other relief as the Court determines appropriate.

MORRIS LAW GROUP

By: /S/ AKKE LEVIN
Steve Morris, Bar No. 1543
Akke Levin, Bar No. 9102
411 F. Bonneville Ave. Ste. 36

411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Mark G. Krum, Bar No. 10913 YURKO, SALVESEN & REMZ, P.C. One Washington Mall, 11th Floor Boston, MA 02108

Attorneys for Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr.

MORRIS LAW GROUP 111 E. BONNEVILLE AVE., STE. 360 · LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-9400 · FAX 702/474-9422

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Wrotniak

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I certify that I am an employee of MORRIS LAW GROUP and that on the date below, I cause the following document(s) to be served via the Court's Odyssey E-Filing System: PLAINTIFF JAMES J. COTTER JR.'S MOTION TO COMPEL AND APPLICATION FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME AND ORDER SHORTENING TIME, to be served on all interested parties, as registered with the Court's E-Filing and E-Service System. The date and time of the electronic proof of service is in place of the date and place of deposit in the mail. Stan Johnson Donald A. Lattin Cohen-Johnson, LLC Carolyn K. Renner 255 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 110 Maupin, Cox & LeGoy Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, Nevada 89519 Christopher Tayback Marshall Searcy Ekwan E. Rhow Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP Shoshana E. Bannett

Los Angeles, CA

Attorneys for /Defendants Edward Kane,
Douglas McEachern, Judy Codding, and Michael

865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90067-2561 Ichael Attorneys for Defendant William

Gould

Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert, Nessim

Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow, P.C. 1875 Century Park East, 23rd Fl.

Mark Ferrario Kara Hendricks Tami Cowden Greenberg Traurig, LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 400 North Las Vegas, NV 89169

Attorneys for Nominal Defendant Reading International, Inc.

DATED this <u>8</u> day of June, 2018.

By: /S/ JUDY ESTRADA

30

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
1	2-22-18 Privilege Log
2	5-30-18 Privilege Log
3	5-31-18 Privilege Log
4	SIC Charter
5	Redacted SIC December 21 Minutes (to be filed under seal)
6	December 27, 2017 email (to be filed under seal)
7	Deposition Exhibit 525 (to be filed under seal)
8	Excerpts from Judy Codding Deposition taken February 28, 2018
9	Excerpts from Ellen Cotter Deposition taken April 4, 2018
10	Excerpts from William Gould Deposition taken April 5, 2018
11	Excerpts from Edward Kane Deposition taken April 20, 2018
12	Excerpts from Douglas McEachern Deposition taken February 28, 2018
13	Excerpts from Michael Wrotniak Deposition taken March 6, 2018
14	Excerpts Edward Kane Deposition taken May 2, 2018
15	Evidentiary Hearing Transcript May 2, 2018

16	Defendant Margaret Cotter's Objections and Response to Plaintiff James J. Cotter, Jr.'s January 12, 2018 Interrogatories
17	Deposition Exhibit 105 (to be filed under seal)
18	Excerpts from Craig Tompkins Deposition taken October 18, 2017

EXHIBIT 1

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

Additional Information requested by							Communication regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting	Communication re attendance of Meeting	Communication regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting	
Privilege	Communication with Coursel; Work product	Work product	Work product	Communication with Coursel; Work product	Work product	Work product	Communication with	Communication with Counsel; Work product	n Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product
Email CC) T) ipients r-			Hendricks, Kara (Shld-LV-LT) chendricks@gtlaw.com>; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) cferrariom@gtlaw.com>; Ellen cotter - Reading International, Inc. Communication with (Ellen.Cotter@readingdi.com) Counse! Work produ				ients	E. (Shid-LV-L1) u=LV/cn=Recipients >; Cowden, Tami D. aw.com>	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) //o=GTLAW/ou=LV/cn=Recipients
Email From	Hendricks, Kara (Shid-LV-LT chendricks, Kara (Shid-LV-LT chendricks) Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT chenge) Mark E. (Shid-LV-L chenge) Mark E. (Shid-LV-L chenge) Mark E. (Shid-LV-L chenge) Mark E. (Shid-LV-L chenge) Mark E. (Shid-LV-Cp) Craig Tompkins Bonner Michael J. (Shid-LV-Cp)						Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) <pre></pre> <pre>/co=GTLAW/ou=LV/cn=Recipients</pre>	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) /cn=bonnerm>	Petrano, Mark c/o=GTLAW/o Solid-LV-CP Conferration c/o=GTLAW/ou=LV/cn=Recipients (OfCn=LV-LP) cro=bonnerm>	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP)
Email To	S. Craig Tompkins (Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com			S. Craig Tompkins (Craig. Tompkins@readingrdi.com Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) - Abonnerm@gtlaw.com>			Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsl-LV-LT) ccowdent@gtlaw.com>; Brewer, ubin M. (Shid-LV-CP) cbrewerjn@gtlaw.com>; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) c/o=GTLAW/ou=LV/cn=Recipient c/o=GTLAW/ou=LV, Craig Tompkins (Craig. Karla (Shid-LV-LT)); Hendricks, Karla (Shid-LV-LT) (Chaig. Karla (Shid-LT) (Chaig.	William D. Gould Esq. (wgould@troygould.com)		William D. Gould Esq. (wgould@troygould.com)
Email Subject	Draft Press Release— suggested revisions			Draft Press Release- suggested revisions			For Bill Gould to sign	FW: Can you review	William D. Gould Esq. FW: For Bill Gould to sign (wgould@troygould.com)	of ials for ir 29,
FileName	Draft Press Release— suggested revisions (4).msg	1/4/2018 Document1.docx	1/4/2018 Document1.docx	Draft Press Release suggested revisions.msg	1/4/2018 Document1.docx	1/4/2018 Document1.docx	For Bill Gould to sign.msg	FW Can you review.msg	FW For Bill Gould to sign.msg	FW Sent on Behalf of FW: Sent on Behalf Ellen Cotter: Materials Ellen Cotter: Mater for Board of Directors Board of Directors Meeting - December Meeting - December 29 2017, mag
Date Created		1/4/2018	1/4/2018		1/4/2018	1/4/2018				
Date Sent	1/4/2018			1/4/2018			12/27/2017	12/29/2017	12/27/2017	12/29/2017
AttachIDs	RDI0000059763;R DI0000059764			RD10000059766;R D10000059767						RD10000059815;R D10000059816;RD 10000059817
Control Number	RD10000059762	RD10000059763	RDI0000059764	RDI0000059765	RDI0000059766	RDI0000059767	RD10000059768	RD100000059775	RD10000059792	RD 0000059814

Page 1 of 37

Page 2 of 37

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

	1			Г		
Attachment to Privileged Communication	Attachment to Privileged	Attachment to Privileged Communication	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Communication with	Work product
			olents mi D.			
			Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT <pre></pre>		Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) TS/ON=DOWNERN»	
			William D. Gould Esq. (wgould@troygould.com)		Ellen Cotter - Reading International, Inc. [Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com]; William D. Gould Esq. (wgould@troygould.com); S. Craig Tompkins @readingrdi.com); Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) ; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT)	
			Ratfication issue discussed yesterday		Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board Of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	
ZUI/ 12 29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re Compensation_Final, pdf	2011 12 2/ Compensation and Stock Options Committee (Materials pdf	2017 12 29 Board 12/28/2017 Materials.pdf	Ratrification issue discussed yesterday.msg	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board	Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting Deember 29 2017 (5),msg	421037223_v 2_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Beard of Directors Meeting December 29, 12/31/2017 2017.DOCX
2017 BOD Com 12/28/2017 pdf	12/28/2017	12/28/2017		1/3/2018		12/31/2017
			12/22/2017		12/31/2017	
					RD10000059863	
RD10000059815	RD10000059816	RD10000059817	RDIGOQO059829	RD10000059843	RDI0000055862	RD10000059863

Page 3 of 37

EIDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

RDIO000059865		4210372 4. Readin Internati Minutes of Direct Decembe 1/3/2018 (2).DOC	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 (2),DOC					Work product	
RDIDODOGS9866		1/3/2018	421038703_v 1_GTRedine_421037 223v1 - 1/3/2018 421037223v4,pdf					Communication with Counsel; Work product	
RD)0000059899	12/27/2017		For Bill Gould to sign.msg	For Bill Gould to sign	Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsl-LV-LT) ccowden(@glaw.com): Brewer, non N. (Shid-LV-CP) chrewerin@glaw.com): Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) cferrarion@glaw.com): S. Craig Tompkins (Craig_Tompkins@readingrdi.com); Hendricks, Kara (Shid-LV-LT) chendricksk@glaw.	Borner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>		Communication with Counse! Work product	Communication regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting
RD10000059902	12/22/2017		FW use of Executive Committee.msg	FW: use of Executive Committee	Craig Tompkins (Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com)	connet, intraect capacity connet, intraect capacity capacity	Bonner, Michael J. (Shd-LV-L7) - Kbonnerm@glaw.com-; Hendricks, Kara (Shld-LV-LT) - Chendricks@glaw.com-; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) - cferrariom@gtlaw.com-	Communication with Counsel; Work product	
RD10000055911	12/27/2017		RE For Bill Gould to sign (2).msg	RE: For Bill Gould to sign	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) - chonnerm@gilaw.com>; Brewer, - lohn N. (Shid-LV-CP) - cherwario Jalan Salan Sala	Cowden, Tâmi D. (OfCnsI-LV-LT) 		Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting

ge 4 of 37

EIDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Suppiemented)

Communication regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting			·	
Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work praduct	Communication with Counsel; Work product
	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gilaw.com>; Rosehiji, Andrea (Secy-LV-LT) rcrosehilia@gilaw.com>; Cotter Team Contrerfeam@quinnemanuel.com Communication with	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) Andrea (Secy-LV-LT) rosshilla@getaw.com?		Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) -cbonnerm@gtlaw.com; Hendricks, Kara (Shld-LV-LT) -chendricksk@gtlaw.com; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) -cferrariom@gtlaw.com;
Brewer, John N. (Shid-LV-CP) cbrewerjn@gtlaw.com>	David Armillei cdavidarmillei@quinnemanuel.co	lackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) ejecksonc@gtlaw.com>		Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-C-chonnerm@gtlaw.com> Cong Tompkins Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsi-LV-LT) chendricks (@gtlaw.com> Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsi-LV-LT) chendricks(@gtlaw.com> Colig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com c/o=GTLAW/ou=LV/cn=Recipients Ferrario_Mextlew.com> Condended Constant Con
Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsl-LV-LT) ccowdent@gtlaw.com>; Bonner, Michael J. (Shd-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>; Ferrario Mark E. (Shd-LV-LT) cferrariom@gtlaw.com>; S. Craig Craig_Tompkins (Craig_Tompkins@readingrdi.com); Hendricks, Kara (Shd-LV-LT) chendricksk@gtla	Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) cjacksonc@gtlaw.com.; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) ferrarion@gtlaw.com.; Cowden, Tami D. (OfcnS-LV-LT) ccowdent@gtlaw.com.; Craig.Tompkins@readingridi.com	Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) cferrariom@gitaw.com->- cowdent.getiaw.com->- Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com; David Armillei cmadiadrmillei@quinnemanuel.c Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) cmadiadrmillei@quinnemanuel.c Jackson.getiaw.com->- cjacksono@gitaw.com->-		Graig Tompkins (Graig:Tompkins@readingrdi.com)
RE: For Bill Gould to sign	RE: Revised draft; Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board Of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	RE: Revised draft; Cowden, Tagel Reading International, Craig Tompkin Inc. Minutes of the Board Armillel December 29, 2017 Cadariamilistic December 29, 2017 Character Meeting Cadavidamilistic C		RE: use of Executive Committee
RE For Bill Gould to sign.msg	RE Revised draft Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors 29.2017 (1).msg	RE Revised draft Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017.msg	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Directdoc	RE use of Executive Committee.msg
			1/3/2018	
12/27/2017	1/3/2018	1/3/2018		7102/2017
		RD10000059916		
RD 0000059912	RD10000059914	RD10000059915	RD10000059916	RDI0000059917

age 5 of 37

				Communication regarding Special Board Meeting	Communication regarding Special Board Meeting			Communication regarding draff letter re Special Board Meeting		
	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Attachment to Privileged Communication	Attachment to Privileged Communication
			Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) <bonnerm@gtlaw.com></bonnerm@gtlaw.com>	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>			Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) cferrariom@gtlaw.com>; Cowden, Tani D. (OfCnsI-LV-LT) ccowdent@gtlaw.com>		
RFPs dated January 12, 2018) emented)			Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsI-LV-LT) Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com	Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT)	Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT)			Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP)		
EDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)			Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com	Judycodding@gmail.com; in.wrotniak@aminco.biz	dmceachern@deloitteretired.co m; Edward Kane <elkane@san. f<br="">rr. com> <elkane@san.rr.com></elkane@san.rr.com></elkane@san.>	П				
Reading International's Priv Februar			use of Executive Committee	Call	<u>.</u> g			 William D. Gould Esq. FW: For Bill Gould to sign (wgould@troygould.com)		
	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board Of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 (2),DOC	421038703_v 1_GTRedline_421037 22341 1/3/2018 42103722344.pdf	use of Executive Committee.msg	Call (3).msg	Call .msg	1/4/2018 Document1.docx	1/4/2018 Document1.docx	FW For Bill Gould to sign.msg	2017 12 29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re Compensation_Final. Ipdf	2017 12 27 Compensation and Stock Options Committee Committee 12/28/2017 Materials.pdf
	4210372 4_Readil Internatil Minutes of Direct Decemb 1/3/2018 (2).DOC	1/3/2018				1/4/2018	1/4/2018		2017 BOD Com 12/28/2017 pdf	12/28/2017
			12/27/2017	12/28/2017	12/28/2017			12/27/2017		
	RDI0000059919	RD10000059920	RD10000059921	RD10000059927	RD10000059928	RDI0000059932	KD100000059933	RD10000059937	RD1000005939	RD10000059940

Page 6 of 37

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

RD10000059941			12/28/2017	2017 12 29 Board 12/28/2017 Materials.pdf					Attachment to Privileged Communication	
RD10000059956		727/2017		Re Special Committee meeting.msg	Re Special Committee Re: Special Committee meeting.msg	Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) <bonnerm@gtlaw.com>; WGould@troygould.com</bonnerm@gtlaw.com>	McEachern, Doug (US - Retired) <dmceachern@deloitteretired.co m></dmceachern@deloitteretired.co 	Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) <ferrariom@gtlaw.com></ferrariom@gtlaw.com>	Communication with Counsel; Work product	
RD10000059959		12/27/2017		RE For Bill Gould to sign (4).msg	RE: For Bill Gould to sign	Bonner, Mitchael J. (Shid-LV-CP) - dobonnerm@gtlaw.com>; Brewer, - dorewerjn@gtlaw.com>; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) - ferrariom@gtlaw.com>; S. Craig - Tompkins - (Tompkins - (Tompkins & Chaig Tompkins & Cha	Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsI-LV-LT) <cowdent@gtlaw.com></cowdent@gtlaw.com>		Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication regarding draff letter re Special Board Meeting
RDIOOOOOS9965		1/3/2018		RE Revised draft Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017 (1).msg	RE: Revised draft; Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) -jacksonc@gtlaw.com>; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) -ferrariom@gtlaw.com>; Cowden, Tarni D. (Gf0s-LV-LT) -cowdent@gtlaw.com>; Craig_Tompkins@readingrdi.com	David Armillei cdavidarmillei@quinnemanuel.co m>	Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) -donnerm@gtlaw.com>; Rosehili, -drotee (Secy-LV-LT) -crosehilla@gtlaw.com>; Cotter Team -cotterTeam@quinnemanuei.com	Communication with Counsel; Work product	
RD10000059967			1/3/2018	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Directdoc					Work product	
RD10000059972		12/27/2017		RE use of Executive Committee.msg	RE: use of Executive Committee	Graig Tompkins (Graig Tompkins@readingrdi.com Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsI±1V.LT))		Bonner, Michael I. (Shid-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>; Heardricts, Kara (Shid-LV-LT) chendricts(@gtlaw.com>; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) eferrariom@gtlaw.com>;	Communication with Counsel; Work product	:
RD10000059979	RD 0000059980	12/31/2017		Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017 (2).msg	Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	Ellen Cotter - Reading International, Inc. [Fillen.Cotte@readingrdi.com]; William D. Gould Esq. (wgould@trovgould.com); S. Craig Tompkins@readingrdi.com); Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) - derrario/Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) - derrario/Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT)	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-tV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>		Communication with Coursel, Work product	

age 7 of 37

	Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel, Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product
						Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-Lv-LT) cferrariom@gtlaw.com>; Rosehill, Andrea (Secy-Lv-LT) crosehila@gtlaw.com>; Ellen Cotter-Reading International, Inc. (fillen.Cotter@readingrdi.com); S. Craig Tompkins@readingrdi.com); S. (Craig_Tompkins@readingrdi.com); Communication with ; Laura Batista (Laura.Ba Coursel; Work produ	Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>; Hendricks, kara (Shld-LV-LT) chendricks/@gtlaw.com>; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) cferrariom@gtlaw.com>
i RFPs dated January 12, 2018) lemented)						Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP)	Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsl-LV-LT) ccowdent@gtlaw.com>
EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)						William D. Gould Esq. (wgould@troygould.com)	Craig Tompkins (Craig, Tompkins@readingrdi.com (Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsi-LV-LT))
ading International's Pri Februa		;				FW: Derivative Trial	FW: use of Executive Committee
Rec	421037223_v 2_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 12/34/2017, 2017, DOCX	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 1/3/2018 (2).DCC	421038703_v 1_GTRedline_421037 223341 - 1/3/2018 421037223v4, pdf	1/4/2018 Document1.docx	1/4/2018 Document1.docx	FW Derivative Trjal.msg	FW use of Executive Committee.ms
	12/31/201	1/3/2018	1/3/2018	1/4/2018	1/4/2018		
						12/22/2017	12/27/2017
	RDIOODOGS9980	RDIO000055982	RD1000005983	RD10000060002	RD100000060003	RDIOODOOGGOODS	RDIOODOGGODGE

age 8 of 37

ounsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Communication with Communication with Communication with Communication with Work product Work product <ferrariom@gtlaw.com>; Cowden, | Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) | Secy-LV-CP| | Secy-LV-CP| | Secy-LV-CP| | Secy-LV-CP| | Secy-LV-LY| | Secy-LV-<cowdent@gtlaw.com>;
Hendricks, Kara (Shid-LV-LT)
<hendricksk@gtlaw.com> Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) Tami D. (OfCnsl-LV-LT) <rosehilla@gtlaw.com> (bonnerm@gtlaw.com) Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP)
</o=GTLAW/ou=LV/cn=Recipients | Michael J. Bonner Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) </o=GTLAW/ou=LV/cn=Recipients /cn=jacksonc> Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented) Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) <bonnerm@gtlaw.com> /cn=jacksonc> 'cn=jacksonc> Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com; David Armillei <davidarmillei@quinnemanuel.c 'Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) eferrariom@gtlaw.com>; Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsI-LV-LT) Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsl-LV-LT) Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) wgould@troygould.com) <ferrariom@gtlaw.com>; <cowdent@gtlaw.com>; <cowdent@gtlaw.com>; William D. Gould Esq. inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board inc. Minutes of the Board Reading International, Reading International, of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 discussed yesterday FW: Revised draft; RE: Revised draft; Ratification Issue Reading International Finc Minutes of the Board of Directors International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Direct....doc Reading International Minutes of the Board Reading International Board of Directors Meeting December Meeting December 29 2017.msg Meeting December Inc Minutes of the Inc Minutes of the International, Inc. **Board of Directors** Ratification issue FW Revised draft RE Revised draft 1/3/2018 of Direct....doc resterday.msg 421037223_v 421037223_v 29 2017.msg 29 2017.msg 4_Reading -Reading discussed 12/22/2017 1/3/2018 1/3/2018 1/3/2018 RD100000060032;R RD10000060028 RD100000060030 D10000000033 RD100000060029 RDI00000060027 RD100000060031 RD100000060012 RD100000060030 RDI00000060028

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860

Page 9 of 37

	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel, Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product
			m); Rosehill,				
RFPs dated January 12, 2018) lemented)			ferratio, Matk E. (Shid-tV-LT) ferration@gtdaw.com>: Cowden, Tami D. (Offss-LV-LT) Craig_Tompkins@readingrdi.com 1: David Armillei' Advadarmillei@quinnemanuel.c Aloca (Sec-LV-CP) Andrea (Sec-LV-CP) Andrea (Sec-LV-CP) Andrea (Sec-LV-LV-CP) Andrea (Sec-LV-LV-LV-CP) Andrea (Sec-LV-LV-LV-CP) Andrea (Sec-LV-LV-LV-CP) Andrea (Sec-LV-LV-LV-LV-LV-LV-LV-LV-LV-LV-LV-LV-LV-		Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) Michael J. Bonner C/o=GTLAW/ou=LV/cn=Recipients (bonner-megtlaw.com); Gregory //cn=jacksonc>		
EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)			Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) Ceveration@gtlaw.com>. Cowden, Tami, Offics-LV-LT) ccov/dent@gtlaw.com>. 'Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com 'Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com cdavidarmillei@quinnemanuel.c		Einig, Michael R. (Shld-Mia-Tx) <einigm@gtlaw.com>; Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com</einigm@gtlaw.com>		
Reading International's Privi Februan			Revised draft; Reading International, Inc. Wintutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017		RSU Grant		
	421037223_v 4_Reading International, inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 (2),DOC	421038703_v 1_GTRedline_421037 223v1 - 1/3/2018 421037223v4.pdf	Revised draft Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board Of Directors Meeting December 29	4_Reading 1nternational, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 (3).DOC	RSU Grant.msg	GTRedline_2017 Form of Non-Employee Directors +RSU Grant - RINAL - Filed 1/4/2018 Document.pdf	2017 Form of Non- Employee Directors - RSU Grant - 1/4/2018 FINAL DOCK
	421037 4_Read 4_Read internal Minute of Direc Decemt 1/3/2018 (2).DOC	1/3/2018		4 Fasad Internal Minutes of Direc Decemt 1/3/2018 (3).DOC		1/4/2018	1/4/2018
			1/3/2018	·	1/4/2018		
			RDIOOOOGGOGS		RD10000060037;R		
	RDI0000000032	RDI0000060033	RD10000060034	RDIOODOOGOGSS	RD100000060036	RD10000060037	RD10000060038

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Work product	Communication with
					Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP)
		Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>			Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@readingrdi.com (shid-lv-cp)<="" bonner,="" j.="" michael="" td=""></craig.tompkins@readingrdi.com>
		Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) ejacksonc@gitaw.com>			Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) cjacksonc@gtlaw.com>; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) Gerrariom@gtlaw.com>; Cowden, Tami D. Ofcrasi-LV-LT) coowden, Tami D. Ofcrasi-LV-LT)
		FW: Sent on Behalf of Ellen Cotter: DRAFT BOD Agenda & Special Board Meeting			RE: Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Beard of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017
2017 12.29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re 12/26/2017 Compensation docx	421037223_v 2_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 12/34/2017, 2017, DOCX	FW Sent on Behalf of Ellen Cotter DRAFT BOD Agenda & Special Board Meeting (Bonner Michael J (Shid-LV- CP)).msg	2017 12 29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re 12/26/2017 Compensation.docx	42103723_v 2_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 12/31/2017 2017.DOCX	RE Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 22 2017 (Craig Tompkins) (L.) msg
12/26/2017	12/31/2017		12/26/2017	12/31/2017	
		12/27/2017			1/3/2018
		RD10000060084;R D10000060085			
RDIOODOGGOGS	RDIODOGGGGG	RDIODOGGGB3	RD100000060084	RDIODODOGGORS	RD10000060100

Page 10 of 37

Page 11 of 37

regarding draft Board Minutes Communication Communication with Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Communication with Communication with Communication with <jacksonc@gtlaw.com>; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) cferrariom@gtlaw.com>; Cowden <Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>; Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) <Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com> cferrariom@gtlaw.com>; Ellen <cowdent@gtlaw.com>; Ellen Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) Ferrario, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) <jacksonc@gtlaw.com>

<br Tami D. (OfCnsl-LV-LT) Cotter Craig Tompkins <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com Craig Tompkins <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented) Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP)

<br Craig Tompkins Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP)
carbone@gitaw.com; Ferrario,
Mark E. (Shd-LV-LT)
carbone@gitaw.com;
Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsi-LV-LT) <ferrariom@gtlaw.com>;
Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsl-LV-LT)
<cowdent@gtlaw.com> Craig Tompkins <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co <bonnerm@gtlaw.com>; Jackson Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) 3onner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) <cowdent@gtlaw.com>

donnerm@gtlaw.com> Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) International, Inc.
Minutes of the Board of
Directors Meeting
December 29, 2017 Minutes of the Board of Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 RE: Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board International, Inc. RE: Reading Re: Reading RE: Minutes. of Directors Meeting II
December 29 2017 N
(Craig Tompkins) Minutes of the Board R of Directors Meeting II December 29 2017 N (Craig Tompkins) Minutes of the Board December 29 2017 (Craig Tompkins).msg of Directors Meeting RE Minutes (Bonner Michael J (ShId-LV-International Inc International Inc nternational Inc RE Reading **RE Reading** Re Reading CP)).msg (3).msg 1/3/2018 1/3/2018 1/3/2018 1/3/2018 RD1000000103 RD100000060123 RD100000060101 RDI00000060102

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860

Page 12 of 37

EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RPps dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

Communication with Coursel, Work product	Communication with Counsel, Work product	Communication with Coursel, Work product	Communication with
Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) (Jackson-@glaw.com>; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) -ferrarion-@glaw.com>; Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsl-LV-LT) Tami D. (OfCnsl-LV-LT) Coxter Cotter -fellen.Cotter@readingrid.com>		Ellen Cotter - Reading International, Inc. (Ellen Cotter@readingrdi.com)	Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) cjackson-@gtlaw.com>; Ferrarlo, Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) deferration-@gtlaw.com>; Ellen Cotter - Cillen-Cotter @readingrdi.com>
Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>	Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>	Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsl-LV-LT)
Craig Tompkins <craig td="" tompkins@readingrdi.co<=""><td>Craig Tompkins Craig Tompkins@eadingrdi.co m>; Jackson, Caroly M. (Secy-LV- CP) qacksonc@gtlaw.com; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) referrariom@gtlaw.com; Cowden, Taml D. (OfcnsI-LV-LT) ccowden(@gtlaw.com;</td><td>Craig Tompkins Craig Tompkins@readingrdi.co m>; Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV- CP) - Glacksonc@gtlaw.com; Ferrarion Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) rietrarion@gtlaw.com; Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsi-LV-LT) coowdent@gtlaw.com></td><td>Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>; Craig Tompkins rcaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rcaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rcaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rccaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rccaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rccaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rccaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co</td></craig>	Craig Tompkins Craig Tompkins@eadingrdi.co m>; Jackson, Caroly M. (Secy-LV- CP) qacksonc@gtlaw.com; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) referrariom@gtlaw.com; Cowden, Taml D. (OfcnsI-LV-LT) ccowden(@gtlaw.com;	Craig Tompkins Craig Tompkins@readingrdi.co m>; Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV- CP) - Glacksonc@gtlaw.com; Ferrarion Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) rietrarion@gtlaw.com; Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsi-LV-LT) coowdent@gtlaw.com>	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>; Craig Tompkins rcaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rcaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rcaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rccaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rccaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rccaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co rccaig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co
Re: Reading International, Inc. Minuses of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	RE: Reading International, Inc. Minuses of the Board of Directors Neeting December 29, 2017	RE: Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	RE: Reading International, Inc. Minues of the Board of Diectors Neeting December 29, 2017
Re Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017 (Bonner Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP)) (3),msg	RE Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017 (Sonner Michael J (Shid-tV-CP) (3).msg	RE Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017 (Bonner Michael J (Shid-LV-CP)).msg	RE Reading International inc Minutes of the Board RE: Reading of Directors Meeting Internations December 29.017 Minutes of the (Cowden Tami D Directors Meeting) (Orden Tami D December 2.
1/3/2018	1/3/2018	1/3/2018	1/3/2018
RD 0000660124	RD 0000060125	RD 000060126	RD10000060127

Page 13 of 37

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

Communication regarding materials for Board Meeting		
Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with	Work product
Ellen Corter - Fellan Corter (@readingrdi.com>; Dev Ghose (Dev/Ghose@readingrdi.com)		
Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>	Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>	
'Craig Tompkins' «Craig Tompkins@readingrdi.co m>; Ferrarion Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) - Gerrarion@gtlaw.com>	Ellen Cotter - Reading International, Inc. (Ellen Cotter@readingrdi.com); (Ellen Cotter@readingrdi.com); S. William D. Gould Ego. (Wgould.com); S. Creig Tornpkins (Craig. Tornpkins (Craig. Tornpkins Granghors); Pi: Ferrario, Mark E. (Shl-LV-LT); retrarion@gtlaw.com>	
RE: Sent on Behalf of Ellen Corter - CONFIDENTIAL	Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	
RE Sent on Behalf of Ellen Cotter - COMFIDENTIAL (Sonner Michael J (Shid-LV-CP)).msg	Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017 (Bonner Michael J (Shid-LV- CP)).msg	42103723_v 2_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29,
72017	2102.	12/31/201
72/21		
0000060129	· ·	RDI0000060142
	the first on Behalf of Craig Tompkins' AL RE: Sent on Behalf of Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com Communication with Capture construction Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) Communication with channel construction of the construction of the constant communication with constant communication with channel construction of the constant communication with constant	RE Sent on Behalf of Craig Tompkins' Craig Tom

Page 14 of 37

				
	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Communication with Connest: Work product	Work product
	Bonner, Michael J. (Snid-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gdaw.com>			
RFPs dated January 12, 2018) lemented)	Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) -{jacksonc@gtlaw.com>			
EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)	Reading International, Cferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) Cferrarion@ggtiaw.com; Ferrarion@ggtiaw.com; Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsi-LV-LT) Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-L December 29, 2017 Craig.Tompkins@readingrdl.com			
ading International's Priv Februai	Reading international, inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017			
. Re	Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors 20 2017 (Jackson Carolyn Secy-LV-C9)).msg	421037223_v 4 Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	421038703_v 1_GTRedline_421037 22341 - 13/2018 42103722344.pdf	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 (3) DOC
		1/3/2018	1/3/2018	4210372 4_Readii Internati Minutes of Direct Decembu 1/3/2018 (3).DOC
	. 1/3/2018			
	RD10000060144;R D10000060145			
	RD10000060143	RD10000060144	RD10000060145	RD100000660147

Page 15 of 37

							Communication regarding draft Press Release
	Communication with	Communication with Coursel, Work product	Attachment to Privileged Communication	Attachment to Privileged Communication	Attachment to Privileged Communication	Attachment to Privileged Communication	Communication with Counsel, Work product
	Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) cferrariom@gtlaw.com», Cowden, Tami D. (OfCns-LV-LT) ccowdent@gtlaw.com>; davidermilie@quinnemanuel.com ; Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) Andrea (Sery-LV-CP) crossbillie@gtlaw.com>; Rosehill, crossbillie@gtlaw.com>	Susan Villeda susan, Villeda					reading.jf creading- jf@joelefrank.com>
RFPs dated January 12, 2018) lemented)	Ferrario, Mark E. (Sh. cferrariom@gtlaw.co. Tami D. (OKDsi-LV-L. ccowdent@gtlaw.co. davidarmile@gtlaw.co. Craig_Tompkins ccraig_Tompkins@cadingrdi.com Andrea (Sec)-LV-LT) >>	Rosehill, Andrea (Secy-LV-LT) -rosehilla@gitaw.com>					Bonner, Michael J. (Shld-LV-CP) cbonnerm@gtlaw.com>
EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)	Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) cjacksonc@gtlaw.com>	Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) chonnern@gtlaw.com; Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) ferrariom@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com; chendricksk@gtlaw.com; Cowden, Tami D. (OfCns-LV-LT) Cowden, Tami D. (OfCns-LV-LT) Tompkins Greadingrdi.c. cCode: Tompkins@readingrdi.c.					eda@readingrdi.com>; ter@readingrdi.com>; ter@readingrdi.com>; pkins mpkins@readingrdi.co io. Mark E. (Shld-LV-LT) l@gtdaw.com>; 'Gross,
ading International's Priv Februar	Re: Recall: Revised draft; Reading international, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	Call re letter for Special Meeting re ratification					Susan VIII Sus
Re	Re Recall Revised draft Revised International Inc. Minutes of the Board Reading Internation of Directors Meeting Inc. Minutes of the IDecember 29 2017 of Directors Meeting Internation (Craig Tompkins).msg December 29, 2017	Call re letter for Special Meeting re ratification msg	2015/0921 Compensation & Stock Option Committee 12/22/2017 Mintues,pdf	20150612 BOD 22/22/2017 Minutes.pdf	20150529 BOD 12/22/2017 Minutes.pdf	20150521 BOD 12/22/2017 Minutes.pdf	RE ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION - Press Release (Bonner Michael (Shid-LV- CP)).msg
			12/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2017	
	1/3/2018	12/22/2017					1/4/2018
		RDIODODGGLGS;R DIODODGGLG4;RD IODODGGLES;RDIO OOOGGLES					
	RD10000060161	RD10000060162	RD10000060163	RD10000060164	RD10000060165	RD10000060166	RD10000060185

Page 16 of 37

	Communication with Coursel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product
	Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) rderrarion of griaw.com>; Cowden, Tami D. (OfCnsi-LV-LT) coowdent@griaw.com>; Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) Abonnerm@griaw.com>; Rosehili, Andrea (Seo-LV-LT) rdrosehili@griaw.com>; Rosehili, rdrosehili@griaw.com>; Rosehili.			Bonner, Michael J. (Shid-LV-CP) Andrea (Secy-LV-LT) rozehilliegetlaw.com>	
RFPs dated January 12, 2018) emented)	David Armillei cdavidarmillei@quinnemanuel.co m>	David Armillei cdavidarmillei@quinnemanuel.co m≻		Jackson, Carolyn (Secy-LV-CP) ejacksonc@gtlaw.com>	
EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)	RE: Recall: Revised draft; Reading International, Craig Tompkins Inc. Minutes of the Board Craig. Tompkins@readingrdi.co Directors Meeting my, Jackson, Caroly (Secy-LV- December 29, 2017			Ferrario, Mark E. (Shid-LV-LT) referration@gitaw.com>; Cowden, Taml D. (OfCh-LV-LT) cocwdent@gitaw.com>; Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com; David Armillel cdavidarmillel@quinnemanuel.c	
ading International's Pri Februa		RE: Revised draft; Reading International, Inc. Millutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017		Revised draft; Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	
Re	RE Recall Ravised draft Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017 (David Armillel).msg	RE Revised draft Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 22 2017 (David Armillei).msg	421037223_v 4. Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Directdoc	Revised draft Reading International Inc Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017 (Jackson Grolyn (Secy-LV-CP)). msg	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 1/3/2018 (3).DOC
	80	00	1/3/203	m	1/3/201
ĺ	1/3/2018	1/3/2018		1/3/2018	
				RDIOOGOGGZ88	
	RD10000060193	RD 0000060194	RD100000660196	RD10000060207	RDIOODOGGOZD8

Page 17 of 37

Communication regarding draft Press Release Communication with Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Communication with Counsel; Work product Communication with Communication with Work product reading-jf@joelefrank.com EIDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented) nnerm@gtlaw.com CCRig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co
m2; mgross@loelefrank.com;
Susan Villeds
csusan.willed@readingrdi.com>;
ATTORNEY CLIENT
Ellen Cotter
COMMUNICATION - Press cellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>;
Release Craig Tompkins 421035975_v 2_2017 12.29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re Compensation 12/27/2017 (3).DOCX Ratificat.zip?Ratificat/ ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION - A Press Release [01.04.18 B].msg R 421035975_v 2_2017 12 29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re 2018 01 03 Reading
Provides Update on
Court Ruling re
Derivative Lawsuit DRAFT 1.4.18
1/4/2018 11.122am.docx 2018 01 03 Reading
Provides Update on
Court Ruling re
Derivative Lawsuit DRAFT 1.4.18
11.22am (SCT
1/4/2018 Comments).docx Compensation 12/27/2017 (3).DOCX 1/4/2018 RD100000060220 RD100000060245 RD10000060215 RD100000060236 RD100000060237

Page 18 of 37

	Communication regarding draft Press Release	Communication regarding draft Press Release		Communication regarding draft Press Release		
	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Course!, Work product	Communication with Coursel, Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product
	reading-jf creading- jf@loelefrank.com>; mark ferrario (ferrariom@gtlaw.com); Craig Tompkins Tompkins@readingrdi.com Communication with Counsel; Work produ	reading-jf creading- jf@joelefrank.com>		reading-if <pre>creading- jf@joelefrank.com>; mark ferrario (ferrariom@gtlaw.com); bonnerm@gtlaw.com; Susan yllleda <pre>csusan.villeda@readingrdi.com></pre></pre>		Susan Villeda susan, villeda@readingrdi.com>; Communication with bonnerm@gtlaw.com Counsel, Work produ
RFPs dated January 12, 2018) lemented)	Gross, Matthew	Gross, Matthew		craig Tompkins		Gelg Tompkins
EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)	Ellen Cotter ⊂Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>	Craig Tompkins -Graig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co m.> Ellen Cotter -Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>; mark ferrario (ferrariom@gtlaw.com); bonnerm@gtlaw.com); sonsm.villeda@readingrdi.com> Gross, Matthew -esusan.villeda@readingrdi.com> Gross, Matthew		Gross, Matthew cmgross@joelefrank.com>; Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com>		rosehilla@gtlaw.com
ading International's Priv Februar	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION	ATTORNEY CLENT COMMUNICATION		ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION		Call re: Letter for Special Meeting re ratification
R	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION [01.03.17].msg	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION [01.04.18 B] msg	2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling re Derivative Lawsuit - DRAFT (# COMMENTS) OCCX	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION [01.04.18 C].msg	2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling re Derivative Lavsuit - DRAFT (Tompkins 1/4/2018 Comments).docx	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Call re Letter for Special Meeting re ratification [12.22.17 A].msg
			2018 Prov Cour Deriv COM (COM 1/4/2018 OCX		1/4/2018	
	1/4/2018	1/4/2018		1/4/2018		12/22/2017
		RD100000060250		RD10000000252		
	RD10000060246	RD10000060249	RDIO000060250	RD10000060251	RD10000060252	RD10000060258

Page 19 of 37

						٠			Communication re draft board meeting materials
	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Attachment to Privileged Communication	Attachment to Privileged Communication	Attachment to Privileged Communication	Attachment to Privileged Communication	Communication with Counsel; Work product
	Craig Tompkins <craig. communication="" tompkins@readingrdi.com="" with<br="">>: bonnerm@gtlaw.com Counsel; Work produ</craig.>		Susan Villeda csusan, villeda@eadingrdi.com>; Communication with bonnern@gtdaw.com Counse!, Work produc	Susan Villeda <pre><mooxib:gnipsdipsdipsdipsdipsdipsdipsdipsdipsdipsd< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></mooxib:gnipsdipsdipsdipsdipsdipsdipsdipsdipsdipsd<></pre>					
RFPs dated January 12, 2018) Iemented)	rosetiila@gttaw.com	Susan Villeda	rosehilla@gtław.com	rosehilla@gtław.com			į		Ellen Cotter
EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)	Susan Villeda susan villeda@readingrdi.com> rosetrilla@gtlaw.com	Craig Tompkins <craig_tompkins@readingrdi.co m></craig_tompkins@readingrdi.co 	Graig Tompkins CCraig Tompkins@readingrdi.co m>	ferrariom@gtlaw.com; hendricks@gtlaw.com; cowdenr@gtlaw.com; Tompkins <craig_tompkins@readingrdi.co m></craig_tompkins@readingrdi.co 					bonnerm@gtlaw.com; Graig Tompkins GCraig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co my; Laura Batista CLaura.Batista@readingrdi.com> [Ellen Cotter
ading International's Priv Februar	Call re: Letter for Special Meeting re ratification	Call re: Letter for Special Meeting re ratification	Call re: Letter for Special Meeting re ratification	Call re letter for Special Meeting re ratification					Can you review
Ree	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Call re Letter for Special Meeting re retification [12.22.17 C].msg	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Call re Letter for Special Meeting re ratification [12.22.17B].msg	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Call re Letter for Special Meeting re ratification [12.22.17].msg	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Call re letter for Special Meeting re ratification.msg	20150921 Compensation & Stock Option Committee 12/22/2017 Mintues, pdf	20150612 BOD 12/22/2017 Minutes.pdf	20150529 BOD 12/22/2017 Minutes.pdf	20150521 BOD 12/22/2017 Minutes.pdf	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Can you review.msg
					12/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2017	
	12/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2017					12/29/2017
				RD10000060269;R D10000060270;RD 10000060271;RD10 000060272			_		
	RD10000060260	RD10000060262	RD10000060265	RD10000060267	RD10000060269	RD10000060270	RD10000060271	RD10000060272	RD10000060273

Page 20 of 37

Communication with Coursel, Work product	Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with	Work product	Mork product
cowdent@gtlaw.com; Cotter Trann «CotterTeam@quinnemanuel.com >; ferrarion@gtlaw.com;			cowdent@gtlaw.com; Cotter Team CotterTeam@quinnemanuel.com >; ferrariom@gtlaw.com;	otter Ianuel.com		
David Armillei			Craig Tompkins	David Armillei		
Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>David Armillei cdavidarmillei@quinnemanuel.c om></td><td>Craig Tompkins <craig tompkins@readingrdi.co<br="">m></craig></td><td></td><td></td></craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co<>			David Armillei cdavidarmillei@quinnemanuel.c om>	Craig Tompkins <craig tompkins@readingrdi.co<br="">m></craig>		
Cotter/RDI: Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Lew on Plaintiff's Termination and Share Pobiol Exercise Claims For Your Review			Cotter/RDI: Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law on Plaintiff's Termination and Share Potrol Reverse Claims For Your Review	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\) CotterRDI Motion for Iudgment as a Matter Cotter/RDI: Wotion for of Law on Plaintiff's Judgment as a Matter of Law on Plaintiff's Share Option Exercise Termination and Share Option Exercise Termination and Share Claims For Your Exercise Claims For Your Review.		
Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Cotter/Di Motion for a Judgment as a Matter of law on Plaintiff's Termination and Share Option Exercise Law on Plaintiff's Galins For Your Review (01.03.18 For Your Review A).msg	421037223_v 4_Reading Hernational, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Directdoc	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Directdoc	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Cotter/Di Motion for Judgment as a Matter of law on Plaintiff's Termination and Share Option Exercise Law on Plaintiff's Claims - For Your Termination and Idalms - For Your Permination and Share (101.03.18).msg For Your Review	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ CotterRDI Motion for Ludgment as a Matter of Law on Plaintiff's Termination and Share Option Exercise Clains For Your Review.msg	1/18/2018 Document1.docx	1/18/2018 Document1.docx
	1/3/2018	1/3/2018			1/18/2018	1/18/2018
1/3/2018			1/3/2018	1/3/2018		-
RD10000060299						
RD10000066236	RD 0000060299	RD 0000060329	RD1000060358	RD10000060364	RD10000060376	RD100000060377

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

Page 21 of 37

			_		_	_		_	_				
	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Work product	Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel: Work product	Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel, Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product
	hendricks@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Ellen Cotter Cotter Susan Villeda esusan.willeda@readingrdi.com>						Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@readingrdi.com communication="" course!="" produc<="" td="" with="" work=""><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></craig.tompkins@readingrdi.com>		3				
RFPs dated January 12, 2018) emented)	роплегт@gtlaw.com	bonnerm@gtlaw.com					bonnerm@gtlaw.com			Susan Villeda			·
EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)	Craig Tompkins <craig_tompkins@readingrdi.co m></craig_tompkins@readingrdi.co 	Craig Tompkins					Susan Villeda <susan villeda@readingrdi.com=""></susan>			Ellen Cotter, Craig Tompkins; 'bonnerm@gtlaw.com'			
ading International's Priv Februa	Draft Press Release- suggested revisions	Draft Press Release— suggested revisions					Draft Press Release suggested revisions			Ratificat.zip?Ratificat.\ Dorft Press Release- Update on Court Draft Press Release- Ruling [01.03.17].msg Update on Court Ruling			
R	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Draft Press Release suggested revisions [01.03.18 B].msg	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Draft Press Release suggested revisions [01.03.18 C].msg	1/18/2018 Document1.docx	1/18/2018 Document1.docx	1/18/2018 Document1.docx	1/18/2018 Document1.docx	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Draft Press Release suggested revisions.msg	1/18/2018 Document1.docx	1/18/2018 Document1.docx	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Draft Press Release Update on Court Ruling [01.03.17].msg	2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling re Derivative Lawsuit - 1/4/2018 DRAFT doox	2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling re Derivative Lawsuit - comparison to GT 1/4/2018 draft 1.3.18.docx	2017 12 29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re Compensation_Final. docx
!			1/18/2018	1/18/2018	1/18/2018	1/18/2018		1/18/2018	1/18/2018		1/4/2018	1/4/2018	2017 BOD I Comp 12/28/2017 docx
	1/4/2018	1/4/2018					1/4/2018			1/4/2018			
		RD10000060382;R D10000060383					RD(0000060390;R D(0000060391			RDI0000060395;R DI0000060396			
	RD10000060378	RDIOODOGGO380	RD10000060382	RD100000060383	RD100000060386	RD100000060387	RD10000060388	RD10000060390	RD10000060391	RD10000060382	RD10000060395	RD(0000060396	RD10000060402

Page 22 of 37

Communication regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting Communication regarding draft letter re Special Board regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting regarding Special Meeting Request Communication Communication Communication Meeting Counsel; Work product Communication with Counsel; Work product Communication with Communication with Communication with Communication with Communication with Work product brewerjn@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com; hendricksk@gtlaw.com oonnerm@gtlaw.com; Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JICJr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented) oonnerm@gtlaw.com bonnerm@gtlaw.com brewerjn@gtlaw.com Craig Tompkins Craig Tompkins Susan Villeda <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co</p> <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co m>; hendricksk@gtlaw.com Andrzej Matyczynski; Dev Ghose orewerjn@gtlaw.com; ierrariom@gtlaw.com; Craig bonnerm@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Craig m>; hendricksk@gtlaw.com m>; cowdent@gtlaw.com; bonnerm@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com; brewerjn@gtlaw.com; errariom@gtlaw.com; iendricksk@gtlaw.com errariom@gtlaw.com; nendricksk@gtlaw.com prewerjn@gtlaw.com; :owdent@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com Craig Tompkins ompkins **Fompkins** Press Release - Update on For Bill Gould to sign NV Court Ruling re Derivative Lawsuit For Bill Gould to sign [12.26.17 C].msg Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ For Bill Gould to sign [12.26.17 A].msg Ratificat.zip?Ratificat For Bill Gould to sign [12.26.17 E].msg For Bill Gould to sign [12.27.18 A].msg For Bill Gould to sign [12.27.18].msg Press Release -Update on NV Court Ratificat,zip?Ratificat Ruling re Derivative DERAFT 1.4.18 174/2018 11.53am.docx Provides Update on 2018 01 03 Reading Court Ruling re 12/27/2017 12/27/2017 12/27/2017 12/27/2017 1/4/2018 12/27/2017 RD100000060449 RD100000060447 RD100000060404 RD100000060408 RD10000060412 RD100000060424 RD100000060428 RD100000060449

(

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860

Page 23 of 37

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Suppiemented)

Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel: Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with	Communication with Coursej; Work product
ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com>	ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com>				jacksone@tlaw.com; ferranom@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com; Ellen Cotter Communication with cellen.cotter@readingric.com	bonnerm@gtlaw.com
bonnerm@gtlaw.com	Craig Tompkins	Craig Tompkins			Craig Tompkins	jacksonc@gtław.com
Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co m></craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co 	bonnerm@gtlaw.com	bonnerm@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com		5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	bonnerm@gtlaw.com	ferrariom@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com; Craig Tompkins <craig_tompkins@readingrdi.co< td=""></craig_tompkins@readingrdi.co<>
Ratification	Ratification	Ratification			Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	ferrariom@ Reading International, cowdent@ Inc. Minutes of the Board Tompkins of Directors Meeting Craig.Ton December 29, 2017 m>
Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Ratification [12.16.17].msg	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Ratification [12.26.17 A].msg	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Ratification [12.27.18].msg	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 1/3/2018 (2), DOC	421038703_v 1_GTRedline_421037 223v1 - 1/3/2018 421037223v4.pdf	Ratificat zip?Ratificat\ Reading international inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 22 2017 [01.03.18 C].msg	Ratificat zip?Ratificat\ Reading International Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 22 2017 [01.03.18 D].msg
12/27/2017	12/27/2017.	12/27/2017	1/3/20	1/3/20:	1/3/2018	1/3/2018
						RD10000060482;R D10000060483
RD10000060450	RD10000060452	RD10000060464	RD10000060475	RD10000060476	RD100000060477	RD10000060480

Page 24 of 37

	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel, Work product	Communication with Counsel, Work product	Work product
,				jacksonc@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com; Ellen Cotter Cellen.cotter@eaedingcil.com>	
s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) Ilemented)			bonnerm@gtlaw.com	роплетт@gtlaw.com	
EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)			Craig Tompkins Craig Tompkins Craig Tompkins@readingrdi.co lnc. Minutes of the Board my. jacksonc@gtlaw.com; of Directors Neeting ferrariom@gtlaw.com; December 29, 2017 cowdent@gtlaw.com;	Craig Tompkins <craig. tompkins@readingrdi.co<br="">m></craig.>	
ading International's Priv				Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board Craig Tompkins of Directors Meeting Carie Tompkins December 29, 2017	
Re	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 1/3/2018 [2).DOC	421038703_v 1_GTRedline_421037 22341 - 1/3/2018 (421037223v4.pdf	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\(Reading International Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017 [01.03.18]	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Reading international Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1.03.18	421037223_v 2_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29,
	1/3/2018	1/3/2018		:	1/18/2018
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1/3/2018	
	RD10000060482	RD10000060483	RD10000060484	RD10000060486	RD100000060496

Page 25 of 37

Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Communication with Communication with Work product Work product Work product bonnerm@gtlaw.com; rosehilla@gtlaw.com EJDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented) onnerm@gtlaw.com acksonc@gtlaw.com Ellen Cotter <Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>; wgould@troygould.com; Craig <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co davidarmillei@quinnemanuel.co <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co ferrariom@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com; Craig m>; ferrariom@gtlaw.com Inc. Minutes of the Board Tompkins Tompkins International, Inc.
Minutes of the Board of 11
Directors Meeting 0
December 29, 2017 Reading International, Revised draft; Reading of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 4, Reading International, Inc.
Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017
1/3/2018 (3).DOC 421037223_v 4. Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Direct....doc Reading international Inc. Minutes of the Radard of Directors in Meeting December No. 29 2017 [01.03.18 DA].msg Reading International Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors 2_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Meeting December 29 2017 [12.30.17].msg December 29, Revised draft; 421037223_v 421037223_v 2017.DOCX 1/18/2018 1/3/2018 12/31/2017 RD100000060499 RD10000060506 RD100000060497 RDI00000060504 RD100000060509 RD100000060499 RD100000060506

Page 26 of 37

	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Work product	Work product
		bonnerm@gtlaw.com; rosehilla@gtlaw.com			
RFPs dated January 12, 2018) emented)		Jacksonc@gtlaw.com			
EDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)		ferrarion@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com; Craig Tompkins <craig_tompkins@readingrdi.co m="">; m>; m>; m> in</craig_tompkins@readingrdi.co>			
ading International's Privil February	·	Revised draft; Reading T international, Inc. Minutes of the Board of In Directors Meeting December 29, 2017			
Rea	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting beeember 29, 2017	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Revised draft; Reading International Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017 [01.03.18].msg	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Directdoc	421037223_v 4_Reading international, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Directdoc	421037223_v 4. Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Directdoc
:	1/3/2018		1/3/2018	1/3/2018	1/3/2018
		1/3/2018			
		RD10000060515			
	RD10000060512	RDI0000060513	RD10000060515	RDIOODOGG518	RD10000060521

Page 27 of 37

		Follow-up regarding various derivative case issues including briefs, firmeline and arbitration scheduling	Communication regarding draft Board Meeting Minutes	Communication regarding draft Board Meeting Minutes & draft Motion to Dismiss	Communication regarding draft Board Meeting Minutes & draft Motion to Dismiss		Communication regarding draft Board Meeting Minutes	
	Communication with Coursel, Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with	Communication with Counsel; Work product
	Ellen Cotter <ellen, @readingrdi.com="" cotter="">; Margaret Cotter <margaret.cotter@readingrdi.com communication="" with=""> Counsel, Work produ.</margaret.cotter@readingrdi.com></ellen,>	bonnerm@gtlaw.com; Ellen Cotter CEllen.Cotter@readingrdl.com>; Christopher Tayback Christopher Tayback Christayback@quinnermanuel.co m>; Marshall Searcy Christayback@quinnermanuel.c om>; Marshallsearcy@quinnermanuel.c om>; Margaret Cotter Cotter@readingrdl.com Communication with Coursel; Work produ	cowdent@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com	ferrariom@gtlaw.com	cowdent@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com			
s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) Ilemented)	bonnern@gdaw.com	Gaig Tompkins	bonnerm@gtlaw.com	bonnerm@gtlaw.com	Craig Tompkins		Craig Tompkins	
EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)	Graig Tompkins eCraig Tompkins@eadingrdi.co m>: ferrariom@gtlaw.com	ferrariom@gtlaw.com	Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co< td=""><td>cowdent@gtlaw.com; Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co m></craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co </td><td>bonnerm@gtlaw.com</td><td></td><td>bonnerm@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com</td><td></td></craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co<>	cowdent@gtlaw.com; Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co m></craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co 	bonnerm@gtlaw.com		bonnerm@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com	
ading International's Priv Februa	Special Committee/Stockholder Action Alternatives	To Do List	who can work with GT today	who can work with GT today	who can work with GT today		who can work with GT today	·
Rea	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ Special CommitteeStockhold et Action Alternatives.msg	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ To Do List.msg	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ who can work with GT today [01,,02.18].msg	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ who can work with GT today [01.02.18 A].msg	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ who can work with GT today [01.02.18 C].msg	Document1 [Compatibility 1/2/2018 Mode].doc	Ratificat.zip?Ratificat\ who can work with GT today [01.02.18 G].msg	Draft December 29, 2017 Board 1/3/2018 Minutes.doc
						1/2/2018		1/3/2018
	12/21/2017	1/9/2018	1/3/2018	1/3/2018	1/3/2018		1/3/2018	
							RD10000060576	
	RD 0000060533	RDIODODGGS36	RD10000060560	RD10000060562	RD1000006566	RD10000060573	RD10000060574	RD100000060576

Page 28 of 37

									i.
	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product
					ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com>	ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com>			
RFPs dated January 12, 2018) lemented)				Craig Tompkins	Unspecified Sender	Craig Tompkins		тоот в Втам сош	
EDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading international's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)				Susan Villeda <susan.villeda@readingrdi.com> Craig Tompkins</susan.villeda@readingrdi.com>	bonnerm@gtlaw.com	bonnerm@gtlaw.com		Laura Batista	
ading International's Priv Februa				8K and press release	BK and press release	8K and press release		2017 12 29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re Compensation.DOCX	
Re	Draft December 29, 2017 Board 1/3/2018 Minutes.doc	Document1 [Compatibility 1/2/2018 Mode].doc	Draft December 29, 2017 Board 1/3/2018 Minutes.doc	8K and press release [01.03.18 B].msg	8K and press release [01.03.18 C].msg	8K and press release 01.03.18 Cj.msg	421035975_v 2_2017 12 29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re Compensation (3).DOCX	2017 12 29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re Compensation.DOCX. msg	421035975_v 2_2017 12 29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re Compensation 12/27/2017[3].DOCX
	1/3/2018	1/2/2018	1/3/2018		:		42103597 12 29 Age Meeting F Compens: 12/27/2017 (3).DOCX		12/27/2017
				1/4/2018	1/3/2018	1/3/2018		12/28/2017	
				RD10000060593	RD100000060595	RD10000060597		RD10000060612;	
	RD10000060579	RD10000000588	RD100000G0591	RD10000060592	RD10000060594	RD100000060596	RD10000060607	RD100000060609	RD100000060612

Page 29 of 37

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

Communication regarding draft Press Release		Communication regarding draft Press Release	Communication regarding draft Press Release	
Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Communication with Coursel, Work product	Communication with Counsel, Work product	Communication with
reading-jf-creading- jf@joelefrank.com>		reading-jf@joelefrank.com	reading-jf <reading- jf@joelefrank.com></reading- 	
Susan Villeda		роппетт@gtaw.com	Graig Tompkins	
refereadingruli com>; keins npkins@readingruli.co ferrario @griaw.com); ggriaw.com; 'Gross,		Susan Villeda Susan villeda@readingrdi.com>; Ellen Cotter Ellen Cotter@readingrdi.com>; callen Cotter@readingrdi.com>; Craig Tompkins@readingrdi.co m>; ferrarion@glaw.com; mp:osc@polefraw.com; mgrosc@polefraw.com;	di.com>;	
ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION - Press Marthew COMMUNICATION - Press Marthew Release COMMUNICATION - Press Marthew Release		Susan Villeda susan villeda@readingrdi.d Ellen Cotter @readingrdi.c Ellen Cotter @readingrdi.c Craig Tompkins ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION - Press m:; ferrarion@gtiaw.com; Releasse	Gross, Matthew <pre>cmgross@joelefrank.cor Susan Villeda <usan.villeda@readingr (ferrarion@gtlaw.com);="" -="" <ellen="" bonnerm@gtlaw.com);="" communication="" cotter="" cotter@readingrd="" ellen="" ferrario="" mark="" pre="" press="" release="" release<=""></usan.villeda@readingr></pre>	
ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION - Press Release [01.04.18 A].msg	2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling re Denivative Lawsuit - DRAFT 14.18	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION - Press Release [01.04.18 c].msg	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION - Press Release [01.04.18].msg	2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling re Derivative Lawsuit - DBAFFT 1.4.18 11.22am (SCT 11.42018 Comments) docx
· σ.	1/4/201	60		1/4/201
1/4/2018		1/4/2018	1/4/2018	
RD10000060616			RD10000066625	
RD10000060614	RD 0000060516	RD10000060620	RD10000060623	RD1000006625

Page 30 of 37

	Communication regarding draft Press Release	Communication regarding draft Press Release			Communication regarding draft Press Release	Communication regarding draft Press Release
	Communication with	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Coursel; Work product	Communication with Coursel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel, Work product
		reading-if creading- jf@joelefrank.com>; mark ferrario (ferrariom@gtlaw.com); bonnerm@gtlaw.com			reading-jf@jcelefrark.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com	reading-jf creading. jf@joelefrank.com>; nark ferrario (ferrariom@gtlaw.com); bonnerm@gtlaw.com
RFPs dated January 12, 2018) emented)	Ellen Cotter	Craig Tompkins			bonnerm@gtlaw.com	Gross, Matthew
EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)	Reading-JF@)celefrank.com'; mark ferrarion@gilaw.com); (ferrarion@gilaw.com); bonnerm@gilaw.com); Craig Tompkins <craig-tompkins@readingrdi.co< td=""><td>Gross, Matthew <mgross@joelefrank.com>; Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></mgross@joelefrank.com></td><td></td><td></td><td>Craig Tompkins CCraig Tompkins@readingrdi.co m>; mgross@joelefrank.com; Ellen Cotter CEllen.Cotter@readingrdi.com></td><td>Craig Tompkins «Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co m>; Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></td></craig-tompkins@readingrdi.co<>	Gross, Matthew <mgross@joelefrank.com>; Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></mgross@joelefrank.com>			Craig Tompkins CCraig Tompkins@readingrdi.co m>; mgross@joelefrank.com; Ellen Cotter CEllen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>	Craig Tompkins «Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co m>; Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com>
ading International's Pri Februa	ATTORNEY CLENT COMMUNICATION	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION			ATTORNEY CLENT COMMUNICATION	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION
Rec	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION [01.03.18 B].msg	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION [01.04.17 A].msg	2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling re Derivative Lawsuit - DRATT (JF COMMENTS) OCX	2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling re Derivative Lavauit - DRAFT (Tompkins 1/4/2018 Comments).docx	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION [01.04.18 D].msg	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION.ms ATTORNEY CLIENT GOMMUNICATION.
			2018 Provi Court DRAF COM (0094	1/4/2018		
	1/4/2018	1/4/2018			1/4/2018	1/4/2018
	RD 0000060627	RD10000060628	RD10000060630	RD10000060632	RDI0000060633	RD10000060635

Page 31 of 37

Communication regarding scheduling Board Meeting Attachment to Privileged Communication Attachment to Privileged Communication Attachment to Privileged Attachment to Privileged Communication with Counsel; Work product Communication Work product
Work product Work product Communication <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com cowdent@gtlaw.com; Ellen Cotter <Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>; Craig Tompkins errariom@gtlaw.com; EJDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented) bonnerm@gtlaw.com <Laura.Batista@readingrdi.com> Laura Batista 3oard Time check 421037223_v
4_Reading
International, Inc.
Minutes of the Board
1/3/2018 of Direct....doc 421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/18/2018 | Document1.docx | 1/18/2018 | Document2.docx | 1/18/2018 | Docu 1/18/2018 Document1.docx 1/18/2018 Document1.docx 1/18/2018 Document1.docx 20150921 Compensation & Stock Option Committee 20150612 BOD Minutes.pdf 2/22/2017 Minutes.pdf 20150529 BOD 12/22/2017 Minutes.pdf of Direct....doc 12/22/2017 Mintues.pdf **Board Time** check.msg 1/3/2018 12/22/2017 12/22/2017 RDI0000000053 RDI0000000053 RDI00000000766 RDI00000000770 RDI00000000771 3D100000060636 RD10000060649 RD100000060650 RD10000060679 RD100000060709 RD100000060651 RD100000060652 RD100000060757

(

(

Page 32 of 37

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860
Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)
February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

RD10000060775			1/4/2018	2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling re Derivative Lawsult - 1/4/2018 DRAFT.docx					Work product	
RD10000060776			1/4/2018	2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling re Derivative Lawsuit - comparison to GT 1/4/2018 draft 1.3.18.doox					Communication with Counsel; Work product	
RD10000060777		12/26/2017		Draft your your review [12.26.17 A].msg	Draft your your review	Ellen Cotter <ellen cotter@readingrdi.com=""></ellen>	bonnerm@gťlaw.com	Craig Tompkins Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com >; ferrariom@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com; wgould@troygould.com	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication regarding draft Board Meeting Materials
RD10000060780		12/26/2017		Draft your your review.msg	Draft your your review	Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co m></craig.tompkins@readingrdi.co 	bonnerm@gtlaw.com	Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com>; ferrarion@gitaw.com; cowdent@gitaw.com; wgould@troygould.com</ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com>	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication regarding notice and agenda for upcoming Board Meeting
RD10000060781 R	RD10000060782;	12/28/2017		Final Version .msg	Final Version	bonnerm@gtlaw.com	Laura Batista	Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com>; Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@readingrdi.com connunication="" with=""> Coursel; Work produce</craig.tompkins@readingrdi.com></ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com>	Communication with Counsel; Work product	
RD10000060782			2017 BOD: Comp 12/28/2017 docx	2017 12 29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re Compensation_Final.					Communication with Counsel; Work product	
RD10000060790		12/27/2017		For Bill Gould to sign [12.26.17 D].msg	For Bill Gould to sign	bonnerm@gtlaw.com; cowdent@gtlaw.com; brewerjn@gtlaw.com; ferrarion@gtlaw.com; hendricksk@gtlaw.com	Craig Tompkins		Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting
RD10000060798		12/27/2017		For Bill Gould to sign [12.26.17].msg	For Bill Gould to sign	Craig Tompkins <craig tompkins@readingrdi.co<br="">m></craig>	bonnerm@gtlaw.com	cowdent@gtlaw.com; brewerjn@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com; hendricksk@gtlaw.com	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication regarding board meeting, notice and ratification process

Page 33 of 37

Communication regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting Communication regarding draft letter re Special Board Meeting regarding draft Board Minutes Communication regarding ratification Communication regarding ratification Communication process process Counsel; Work product Communication with Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Communication with Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Communication with Communication with Communication with Communication with Communication with Work product <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com <Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>; jacksonc@gtlaw.com <Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>; ferrariom@gtlaw.com <Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>; <Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>;
cowdent@gtlaw.com cowdent@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Craig ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Ellen >; hendricksk@gtlaw.com ferrariom@gtlaw.com Ellen Cotter Ellen Cotter llen Cotter **Fompkins** Cotter orewerjn@gtlaw.com oonnerm@gtlaw.com oonnerm@gtlaw.com oonnerm@gtlaw.com cowdent@gtlaw.com Craig Tompkins Craig Tompkins February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented) ferrariom@gtlaw.com
Craig Tompkins
<Craig.Tompkins@readingrdl.co
m>; ferrariom@gtlaw.com <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co m>; hendricksk@gtlaw.com Craig Tompkins <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co Craig Tompkins <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co bonnerm@gtlaw.com; brewerjn@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Craig Tompkins <bonnerm@gtlaw.com>; connerm@gtlaw.com oonnerm@gtlaw.com Aichael J. Bonner For Bill Gould to sign For Bill Gould to sign Ratification Ratification Minutes. Misc 2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling re Derivative Lawsuit -DRAFT 1.4.18 For Bill Gould to sign [12.27.17 B].msg Misc [12.15.17].msg For Bill Gould to Ratification [12.27.17].msg Minutes. [01.03.18].msg Ratification.msg 1/4/2018 11.53am.docx Aisc.msg sign.msg 1/3/2018 12/27/2017 12/27/2017 12/15/2017 12/15/2017 12/27/2017 72/27/2017 RD10000060802 RD100000060810 RD100000060822 RD100000060823 RD10000060843 RD100000060824 RD100000060829 RDI0000060846

(

Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018)

EIDC Case No. A-15-719860

(

Page 34 of 37

	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Work product
					Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com></ellen.cotter@readingrdi.com>	
EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)					bonnerm@gtlaw.com	
EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 al's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs date February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)		:			Craig Tompkins Reading International, <craig.tompkin@readingrdi.co board="" inc.="" m="" minutes="" of="" the="">; jacksonc@gtlaw.com; of Directors Meeting ferrariom@gtlaw.com; December 29, 2017 cowdent@gtlaw.com</craig.tompkin@readingrdi.co>	
ading International's Pri Februa					Reading international, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	
Re	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting 1/3/2018 (2).DOC	421038703_v 1_GTRedline_421037 223v1 - 1/3/2018 421037223v4.pdf	421037223_v 4. Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017	421038703_v 1_GTRedline_421037 223v1 - 1/3/2018 421037223v4.pdf	Reading International Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29 2017 [01.03.18].msg	421037223_v 2_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 1/18/2018 [2017.DOCX
	1/3/2018	1/3/2018	1/3/2018	1/3/2018		1/18/2018
					1/3/2018	
			,			
	RDIOOGOGORSS	RD10000060856	RD10000060862	RD10000060863	RD10000060872	RD10000060876

Page 35 of 37

	Work product	Work product	Work product	Work product	Work product	Work product
018)						
EDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)						
EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 hal's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs date February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)						
EJDC Case N Privilege Log (Resp ruary 22, 2018 (De						
ading International's						
Rea	421037223_v 2_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 1/18/2018 2017. DOCX	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 (3).DOC	421037223_v ;4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Directdoc.	421037223_v 4_Reading International, inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 (3), DOC	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Directdoc	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board 1/3/2018 of Directdoc
·	1/18/2018	42103722 4. Readin Internatic Minutes of Of Directe Decembe 1/3/2018 (3).DOC	1/3/2018	4_Readin Internation Minutes of Direct Decembe 1/3/2018 (3) DOC	1/3/2018	1/3/2018
	RD10000060879	RDIDODDOGO886	RDIOODOOGO889	RD10000050892	RDI0000060895	RDIDODOGEOS98

Page 36 of 37

Communication regarding Ratification regarding materials for Board Meeting egarding Ratification Communication Communication process Communication with Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Communication with Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Communication with Counsel; Work product Communication with Counsel; Work product Counsel; Work product Communication with Counsel; Work product Communication with Communication with Communication with Work product <Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com>; <Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com> <Ellen.Cotter@readingrdi.com> <Dev.Ghose@readingrdi.com> onnerm@gtlaw.com; Ellen bonnerm@gtlaw.com; hendricksk@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com bonnerm@gtlaw.com; hendricksk@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com bonnerm@gtlaw.com; hendricksk@gtlaw.com; errariom@gtlaw.com bonnerm@gtlaw.com Ellen Cotter Ellen Cotter Dev Ghose acksonc@gtlaw.com onnerm@gtlaw.com errariom@gtlaw.com wdent@gtlaw.com cowdent@gtlaw.com cowdent@gtlaw.com Craig Tompkins Craig Tompkins Craig Tompkins <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co Craig Tompkins <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co Craig Tompkins <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co
m>; ferrariom@gtlaw.com Craig Tompkins <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdi.co Craig Tompkins <Craig.Tompkins@readingrdl.co bonnerm@gtlaw.com; ferrariom@gtlaw.com cowdent@gtlaw.com Craig Tompkins Minutes of the Board of Sent on Behalf of Ellen Cotter - CONFIDENTIAL Revised draft; Reading Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 special Committee pecial Committee International, Inc. use of Executive Committee use of Executive use of Executive use of Executive Committee Committee Committee Committee [12.27.17 use of Executive Committee [12.27.17 International, Inc. Minutes of the Board Reading International Sent on Behalf of Ellen Cotter -CONFIDENTIAL msg Special Committee [12.12.17 A].msg Inc. Minutes of the Meeting December **Board of Directors** use of Executive Committee.msg use of Executive use of Executive of Direct....doc [12.27.18].msg Revised draft; 421037223_v 29 2017.msg Committee 4_Reading B].msg Special A].msg 1/3/2018 1/3/2018 12/13/2017 12/27/2017 12/27/2017 12/13/2017 12/27/2017 72/27/2017 12/27/2017 RD100000060901 RD100000060899 RD10000060928 RD100000060930 RD100000060936 RD100000060901 RD100000060907 RD10000060911 RD10000060904 RD100000060932

(

(

Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JIC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860

Page 37 of 37

EIDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log (Responses to JJC Jr.'s RFPs dated January 12, 2018) February 22, 2018 (Deduped and Supplemented)

,				who can work with GT today [01.02.18	who ran work with GT	Craig Tompkins			4+in acidesium	Communication regarding draft Board Meeting Minutes &
RD10000060944		1/3/2018			today		cowdent@gtlaw.com	ferrariom@gtlaw.com	Counsel; Work product	Dismiss
RD10000060949		1/3/2018		who can work with GT today [01.02.18 E].msg	who can work with GT today	bonnerm@gtlaw.com	cowdent@gtlaw.com	ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Craig Tompkins Come Tompkins@readingrdi.com Communication with	Communication with	Communication regarding draft Board Meeting Minutes & draft Motion to Dismiss
RD10000060953			1/2/2018	Document1 [Compatibility 1/2/2018 Mode].doc					Communication with Counsel: Work product	
RD10000060956			1/3/2018	Draft December 29, 2017 Board 1/3/2018 Minutes.doc					Communication with Counsel; Work product	
RD10000060959 -			1/3/2018	Draft December 29, 2017 Board 1/3/2018 Minutes.doc					Communication with Counsel; Work product	
RD100000609E4		1/3/2018		who can work with GT today [01.02.18 K].msg	who can work with GT today	cowdent@gtlaw.com	bonnerm@gtlaw.com	ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Craig Tompkins Corlig.Tompkins@readingrdi.com Communication with	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication regarding draft Board Meeting Minutes
RD1000000060966	RDID000060968	1/2/2018		rk with 1.02.18	who can work with GT today	cowdent@gtlaw.com	bonnerm@gtlaw.com	ferrariom@gtlaw.com; Craig Tompkins CCrieg.Tompkins@readingrdl.com Communication with	Communication with Counsel; Work product	Communication regarding draft Board Meeting Minutes
RD10000060968			1/2/2018	Document1 [Compatibility 1/2/2018 Mode].doc					Communication with Counsel; Work product	
RD10000060971			8102/5/1	Draft December 29, 2017 Board 1/3/2018 Minutes doc					Communication with	

EXHIBIT 2

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 5/30/2018 4:37 PM

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log May 30, 2018

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
								Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>	
							Susan Villeda	Tompkins	Communication
RD10000063415	KD10000063416;K D10000063417	4/4/2018		Board Minutes - December 29, 2017.msg	Board Minutes - December 29, 2017	(bonnerm@gtlaw.co	<pre><susan.villeda@readi ngrdi.com=""></susan.villeda@readi></pre>	<susan.villeda@readi <craig.tompkins@rea="" counsel;<br="" with="" =""> ngrdi.com></susan.villeda@readi>	with Counsel;
				2017 12 29 - RDI Board of	+		0		
				Directors Meeting					-
RDI00000063416			2/23/2018	Minutes - FINAL.pdf					Work Product
				2018 03 09 - RDI Board of					
RD10000063417			4/2/2018	Directors Meeting Minutes - FINAL.docx					Work Product
						Michael Bonner	Susan Villeda	Craig Tompkins	Communication
				2017 Form 10-K		(bonnerm@gtlaw.co	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td>s@rea</td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<>	s@rea	with Counsel;
RDI0000063431	RDI0000063432	3/14/2018		review.msg	2017 Form 10-K review		ngrdi.com>	dingrdi.com>	Work product
				Extract of Risk Factors					
				and Note 12 - version					
KD10000063432			3/14/2018	3.13.2018 5.40 pm.docx					Work Product
						Craig Tompkins			12-1
						<craig.tompkins@rea susan="" td="" villeda<=""><td>Susan Villeda</td><td>Dev Ghose</td><td>Communication</td></craig.tompkins@rea>	Susan Villeda	Dev Ghose	Communication
						dingrdi.com>;	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td>se@reading</td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<>	se@reading	with Counsel;
RD10000063451	RDI0000063452	3/7/2018		RE: 10K.msg	RE: 10K	bonnerm@gtlaw.com ngrdi.com>		rdi.com>	Work product
22/23/00/00/14			0,000	DRAFT 2017 10K FY_Taxonomy2017 Draft					
75+cannonnin			3/2/2018	TOT BALL DUCK			3		Work Product
						bonnerm@gtlaw.com ; Ellen Cotter			
						<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>			
						grdi.com>; Craig			
							Susan Villeda		Communication
						cins@rea	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<>		with Counsel;
RDI0000063463	RDI00000063464	2/23/2018		RE: press release.msg	RE: press release	dingrdi.com>	ngrdi.com>		Work product

Page 1 of 64

Case Number: A-15-719860-B

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log May 30, 2018

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
				2018-02-23 Form 8K -					
				Annouocement of Appt					
				of Temp TAL re Cotter					
				Living Trust litigation -					
RD10000063464			2/23/2018	DRAFT v.2.docx					Work Product
								Craig Tompkins	
								<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>	
								dingrdi.com>: Ellen	
		•						Cotter	
								<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>	
				-				grdi.com>: Laura	
							Susan Villeda	Batista	Communication
							<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td><susan.villeda@readi counse :<="" p="" with="" ="" <laura.batista@readi=""></susan.villeda@readi></td><td>with Counsel:</td></susan.villeda@readi<>	<susan.villeda@readi counse :<="" p="" with="" ="" <laura.batista@readi=""></susan.villeda@readi>	with Counsel:
RD10000063465	RD10000063466	2/23/2018		8-K Filing.msg	8-K Filing	bonnerm@gtlaw.com ngrdi.com>	ngrdi.com>	ngrdi.com>	Work product
				70 000					
				Z018-02-23 Form 8K -					
				Annouocement of Appt					
				of Temp TAL re Cotter					
				Living Trust litigation -					
RD10000063466			2/23/2018	DRAFT v.3 11.40am.docx					Work Product
						Craig Tompkins	Susan Villeda		Communication
- >						<craig.tompkins@rea td="" <susan.villeda@readi<=""><td><susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<></td></craig.tompkins@rea>	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<>		with Counsel;
RD10000063467	RD10000063468	2/23/2018		Draft 8-K filing.msg	Draft 8-K filing	dingrdi.com>	ngrdi.com>		Work product
				2018-02-23 Form 8K -					
				Annouocement of Appt			·		
				of Temp TAL re Cotter					
				Living Trust litigation -					
RDI0000063468			2/23/2018	DRAFT v.2.docx					Work Product
						Andrzej Matyczynski		Craig Tompkins	
						<andrzej.matyczynski< td=""><td></td><td><craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td></craig.tompkins@rea<></td></andrzej.matyczynski<>		<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>	
	-					@readingrdi.com>;		dingrdi.com>; Ellen	
					-		Susan Villeda		Communication
				Press Release -	Press Release -	<dev.ghose@reading< td=""><td><susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td>Cotter@readin</td><td>with Counsel:</td></susan.villeda@readi<></td></dev.ghose@reading<>	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td>Cotter@readin</td><td>with Counsel:</td></susan.villeda@readi<>	Cotter@readin	with Counsel:
RD10000063469	RD10000063470	2/23/2018		Appointment of TAL .msg Appointment of TAL	Appointment of TAL	rdi.com>	ngrdi.com>	grdi.com>	Work product

Page 2 of 64

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log May 30, 2018

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
				2018-02-22 Reading					
				Annouces CA Court's					
				Appointment of Temp					
RD10000063470			2/23/2018	TAL re Cotter Living Trust litigation - FINAL docx					Work Danking
						Craig Tompkins			WOLK FLOUDEL
				FW: 2018-02-22 Reading		<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>			
				Annouces CA Court's	Annouces CA Court's	dingrdi.com>; Ellen			
				Appointment of Temp	Appointment of Temp	Cotter	Susan Villeda	Laura Batista	Communication
				TAL re Cotter Living Trust	TAL re Cotter Living Trust TAL re Cotter Living Trust <ellen.cotter@readin <susan.villeda@readi<="" td="" =""><td><ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td><susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td><laura.batista@readi counsel;<="" td="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></laura.batista@readi></td></susan.villeda@readi<></td></ellen.cotter@readin<></td></ellen.cotter@readin>	<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td><susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td><laura.batista@readi counsel;<="" td="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></laura.batista@readi></td></susan.villeda@readi<></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td><laura.batista@readi counsel;<="" td="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></laura.batista@readi></td></susan.villeda@readi<>	<laura.batista@readi counsel;<="" td="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></laura.batista@readi>	with Counsel;
RD10000063472	RD10000063473	2/23/2018		litigation.docx.msg	litigation.docx	grdi.com>	ngrdi.com>	ngrdi.com>	Work product
_				2018-02-22 Reading					
				Annouces CA Court's					
				Appointment of Temp					
				TAL re Cotter Living Trust					
RDI0000063473			2/23/2018	litigation.docx					Work Product
						Craig Tompkins			
				FW: 2018-02-22 Reading	FW: 2018-02-22 Reading	<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>			
				Annouces CA Court's	Annouces CA Court's	dingrdi.com>; Ellen			
				Appointment of Temp	Appointment of Temp	Cotter	Susan Villeda		Communication
				TAL re Cotter Living Trust	TAL re Cotter Living Trust TAL re Cotter Living Trust < Ellen.Cotter@readin < susan.villeda@readi	<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td><susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<>		with Counsel;
RDI0000063474	RDI0000063475	2/23/2018		litigation.docx.msg	litigation.docx	grdi.com>	ngrdi.com>		Work product
				2018-02-22 Reading					
				Annouces CA Court's					
				Appointment of Temp					
				TAL re Cotter Living Trust					
RDI0000063475			2/23/2018	litigation.docx					Work Product
						Craig Tompkins	Susan Villeda		Communication
						<craig.tompkins@rea <susan.villeda@readi<="" td=""><td><susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<></td></craig.tompkins@rea>	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<>		with Counsel;
RDI0000063476	RD10000063477	2/23/2018		Press Release .msg	Press Release	dingrdi.com>	ngrdi.com>		Work product
				2018-02-22 Reading					
				Annouces CA Court's					
				Appointment of Temp					
				TAL re Cotter Living Trust					
RDI0000063477			2/23/2018	litigation.docx					Work Product

Page 3 of 64

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log May 30, 2018

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
							Susan Villeda		Communication
				RE: Draft Press Release	RE: Draft Press Release	s@rea	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<>		with Counsel;
RDI0000063478	RDI0000063479	2/23/2018		V2.msg	V2	dingrdi.com>	ngrdi.com>		Work product
				2018-02-22 Reading					
				Annouces CA Court's				,	
				Appointment of Temp					
				TAL re Cotter Living Trust					
RDI0000063479			2/23/2018	litigation.docx					Work Product
						Craig Tompkins	Susan Villeda		Communication
				RE: Draft Press Release	RE: Draft Press Release	<craig.tompkins@rea <susan.villeda@readi<="" td="" =""><td><susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<></td></craig.tompkins@rea>	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<>		with Counsel;
RDI0000063480	RD10000063481	2/22/2018		V2.msg	٧2	dingrdi.com>	ngrdi.com>		Work product
				2018-02-22 Reading					
				Annouces CA Court's					
				Appointment of Temp					
				TAL re Cotter Living Trust					
RDI0000063481			2/22/2018	litigation.docx					Work Product
						cilen cotter <ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>			
						grdi.com>; Craig			
						Tompkins			
						<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>			
						dingrdi.com>; mark			
						ferrario			
						(ferrariom@etlaw.co			
						m):			
						hopperm@atlaw.com			
				ATTORNEY CLIENT	ATTORNEY CLIENT	Gross Matthew'			Communication
				COMMUNICATION -	COMMUNICATION -	cmgross@ioelefrank.		reading-if creading-	with Counsel.
RDI0000063542	RD10000063544	1/4/2018		Press Release.msg	Press Release		Susan Villeda	if@ioelefrank.com>	Work product
				2018 01 03 Reading					
				Provides Update on					
				Court Ruling re Derivative					
				lawsuit - DRAFT 1 4 18					
RD10000063544			1///2018	11 22 am docv					0 1
			-	11.22aiii.UOCA					Work Product

Page 4 of 64

Page 5 of 64

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Fmail From	Fmail CC	Drivilage
				the Description of the Control of th		Ellen Cotter; Craig			
	RD10000063548;R			Update on Court	Draft Press Release	lompkins; 'bonnerm@#flaw.co			Communication with Coursel:
RDI0000063545	D10000063549	1/4/2018		Ruling.msg	Б		Susan Villeda		Work product
				2018 01 03 Reading					
				Provides Update on					
1000000				Court Ruling re Derivative					
ADIO0000003348			1/4/2018	Lawsuit - DRAFI.docx					Work Product
				2018 01 03 Reading					
				Provides Update on					
				Court Ruling re Derivative					
				Lawsuit - comparison to					
RD10000063549			1/4/2018	GT draft 1.3.18.docx					Work Product
				:		:		Susan Villeda	
				Call re: Letter for Special		Craig Tompkins		<susan.villeda@readi communication<="" td="" =""><td>Communication</td></susan.villeda@readi>	Communication
				Meeting re	-	<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td>ngrdi.com>;</td><td>with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea<>		ngrdi.com>;	with Counsel;
KDI0000064872		12/22/2017		ratification.msg	Meeting re ratification	dingrdi.com>	rosehilla@gtlaw.com	rosehilla@gtlaw.com bonnerm@gtlaw.com Work product	Work product
								:	
				:				Craig Tompkins	
				Call re: Letter for Special		Susan Villeda		<craig.tompkins@rea communication<="" td="" =""><td>Communication</td></craig.tompkins@rea>	Communication
				Meeting re	- m	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td></td><td>dingrdi.com>;</td><td>with Counsel;</td></susan.villeda@readi<>		dingrdi.com>;	with Counsel;
RD10000064874		12/22/2017		ratification.msg	Meeting re ratification	ngrdi.com>	rosehilla@gtlaw.com	rosehilla@gtlaw.com bonnerm@gtlaw.com Work product	Work product
								bonnerm@gtlaw.com	
					-			forms of melanism	
				A language at 1 (a)		<u>:</u>		ieilailoilleguaw.com	
				Aiternative Litigation		Craig Tompkins		; Ellen Cotter	Communication
						<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td><ellen.cotter@readin counsel;<="" td="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></ellen.cotter@readin></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>		<ellen.cotter@readin counsel;<="" td="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></ellen.cotter@readin>	with Counsel;
RD10000064885		1/9/2017		Approaches.msg	Resolution Approaches	dingrdi.com>	rosehilla@gtlaw.com grdi.com>	grdi.com>	Work product

Page 6 of 64

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
-								bonnerm@gtlaw.com ;	1
				414		- - - -		ferrariom@gtlaw.com	:
				Alternative Litigation	3	Craig lompkins		; Ellen Cotter	Communication
				Resolution	Alternative Litigation	ins@rea		<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td>with Counsel;</td></ellen.cotter@readin<>	with Counsel;
KDI0000064888		1/9/2017		Approaches.msg	Resolution Approaches	dingrdi.com>	rosehilla@gtlaw.com	grdi.com>	Work product
						Craig Tompkins		ferrariom@gtlaw.com	-
				Alternative Litigation		<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Communication</td></craig.tompkins@rea<>			Communication
				Resolution	Alternative Litigation	dingrdi.com>;		<ellen.cotter@readin counsel;<="" td="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></ellen.cotter@readin>	with Counsel;
RDI00000064891		1/7/2017		Approaches.msg	Resolution Approaches	bonnerm@gtlaw.com rosehilla@gtlaw.com	rosehilla@gtlaw.com	grdi.com>	Work product
							Craig Tompkins		Communication
						Michael Bonner	<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea<>		with Counsel;
RD100000065239		5/3/2018		Untitled Message.msg		(mbonner@kkbr.com) dingrdi.com>	dingrdi.com>		Work product
				2018 Q1_Taxonomy2017					
				May 01 sent to Jill (SCT					
RDI0000065240			5/2/2018	Comments).docx					Work Product
						Craig Tompkins	Matt Elwell	David O'Hagan	Communication
				FW: 10-Q legal section	FW: 10-Q legal section	<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td><matt.elwell@readin< td=""><td><craig.tompkins@rea counsel;<="" p="" <david.ohagan@read="" <matt.elwell@readin="" with=""></craig.tompkins@rea></td><td>with Counsel;</td></matt.elwell@readin<></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>	<matt.elwell@readin< td=""><td><craig.tompkins@rea counsel;<="" p="" <david.ohagan@read="" <matt.elwell@readin="" with=""></craig.tompkins@rea></td><td>with Counsel;</td></matt.elwell@readin<>	<craig.tompkins@rea counsel;<="" p="" <david.ohagan@read="" <matt.elwell@readin="" with=""></craig.tompkins@rea>	with Counsel;
RDI00000065456	RD10000065457	5/7/2018		comments.msg	comments	dingrdi.com>	grdi.com>	ingrdi.com>	Work product
				07052018102221-					
RDI0000065457			5/6/2018	0001.pdf					Work Product
								Ellen Cotter	
								<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>	
								Craig	
					_			Tompkins	Communication
								<craig.tompkins@rea counsel;<="" td="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea>	with Counsel;
RDI0000065936	RD10000065937	12/28/2017		Final Version .msg	Final Version	bonnerm@gtlaw.com Laura Batista		dingrdi.com>	Work product
				2017 12 29 Agenda BOD Meeting Re					
				Compensation Final.doc					
RD10000065937			12/28/2017	. ×					Work Product

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log May 30, 2018

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
								Ellen Cotter	
								<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>	
				Sent on Behalf of Ellen	Sent on Behalf of Ellen			grdi.com>; Craig	
		-		Cotter: DRAFT BOD	Cotter: DRAFT BOD			Tompkins	Communication
				Agenda & Special Board	Agenda & Special Board			<craig.tompkins@rea counsel;<="" td="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea>	with Counsel;
RD10000065939	RD10000065940	12/27/2017		Meeting.msg	Meeting	bonnerm@gtlaw.com Laura Batista	Laura Batista	dingrdi.com>	Work product
				2017 12 29 Agenda BOD					
				Meeting Re					
RD10000065940			12/26/2017	Compensation.docx					Work Product
						Craig Tompkins		Ellen Cotter	Communication
						<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td><ellen.cotter@readin counsel;<="" td="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></ellen.cotter@readin></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>		<ellen.cotter@readin counsel;<="" td="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></ellen.cotter@readin>	with Counsel;
RDI0000065942	RD10000065943	12/27/2017		Board agendamsg	Board agenda.	dingrdi.com>	Laura Batista	grdi.com>	Work product
				2017 12 29 Agenda BOD					
				Meeting Re					
RD10000065943			12/26/2017	Compensation.docx					Work Product
				Revised draft; Reading	Revised draft; Reading				
				International, Inc.	International, Inc.				
				Minutes of the Board of	Minutes of the Board of	Craig Tompkins			Communication
				Directors Meeting	Directors Meeting	<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>with Counsel:</td></craig.tompkins@rea<>			with Counsel:
RD10000066745	RD10000066747	1/3/2018		December 29, 2017.msg	December 29, 2017	dingrdi.com>	jacksonc@gtlaw.com		Work product
					,				
				421037223_v 4_Reading					
				International, Inc.					
				Minutes of the Board of					
RD10000066747			1/3/2018	Directdoc					Work Product
						ferrariom@gtlaw.com			
						cowdent@gtlaw.com;			
				Revised draft; Reading	Revised draft; Reading	Craig Tompkins			_
				International, Inc.	International, Inc.	<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>			
				Minutes of the Board of	Minutes of the Board of	dingrdi.com>;			Communication
				Directors Meeting	Directors Meeting	davidarmillei@quinne		bonnerm@gtlaw.com with Counsel;	with Counsel;
RDI0000066748	RD10000066750	1/3/2018		December 29, 2017.msg			jacksonc@gtlaw.com	jacksonc@gtlaw.com ; rosehilla@gtlaw.com Work product	Work product

Page 7 of 64

Page 8 of 64

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
RD10000066750			1/3/2018	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directdoc					Work Product
RD10000066751	RD10000066753	1/3/2018		Revised draft; Reading Revised draft; Readi International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Minutes of the Boar Directors Meeting Directors Meeting December 29, 2017.msg December 29, 2017	ing d of	ferrariom@gtlaw.com cowdent@gtlaw.com; Craig Tompkins Craig.Tompkins@rea dingrdi.com>; davidarmillei@quinne manuel.com	jacksonc@gtlaw.com	Communicatic bonnerm@gtlaw.com with Counsel; iscksonc@gtlaw.com ; rosehilla@gtlaw.com Work product	Communication with Counsel; Work product
RD10000066753			1/3/2018	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 (3).DOC					Work Product
RD10000066754	RDI0000066756;R DI0000066757	1/3/2018		Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017.msg	Reading International, Reading International, cowdent@gtlaw inc. Minutes of the Board Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting of Directors Meeting of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017.msg December 29, 2017 dingrdi.com>	w.com; /.com; s@rea	acksonc@gtlaw.com	Communicatic with Counsel; with Counsel;	Communication with Counsel; Work product
RD10000066756			1/3/2018	421037223_v 4_Reading International, Inc. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting December 29, 2017 (2).DOC					Work Product

Page 9 of 64

Control Number	r AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
RD10000066757			1/3/2018	421038703_v 1_GTRedline_421037223 v1 - 421037223v4.pdf					Work Product
	RD10000067049;R					Ellen Cotte Craig Tompkins Gross, Matthew grdi.com> <craig.tompkins@rea <mgross@loelefrank.="" <reading-<="" td=""><td>Gross, Matthew <pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></td><td>ir :er@readin reading-jf</td><td>Communication with Counsel:</td></craig.tompkins@rea>	Gross, Matthew <pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>	ir :er@readin reading-jf	Communication with Counsel:
RD10000067048	D10000067050	2/23/2018		RE: Press Release.msg	RE: Press Release	dingrdi.com>	com>	ank.com>	Work product
				2018-02-22 Reading Annouces CA Court's					
RD10000067049			2/23/2018	Appointment of Temp TAL re Cottdocx					Work Product
				2018-02-22 Reading Annouces CA Court's			:		
RD10000067050			2/23/2018	Appointment of Temp TAL re Cottpdf			į		Work Product
ראסהסחחקון		1/4/2018		ATTORNEY CLIENT	ATTORNEY CLIENT	Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@rea dingrdi.com="">; Ellen Cotter <!-- The content is a second in the content is a second in the content is a second in the content in the co</td--><td></td><td>reading-jf <reading- jf@joelefrank.com="">; imark ferrario (ferrariom@gtlaw.co Communication m); m);</reading-></td><td>Communication with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea>		reading-jf <reading- jf@joelefrank.com="">; imark ferrario (ferrariom@gtlaw.co Communication m); m);</reading->	Communication with Counsel;

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading international's Privilege Log May 30, 2018

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
RDI0000067052	RDI0000067053	1/4/2018		ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION.msg	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION	@rea len eadin w.co v.com	Gross, Matthew	reading.jf creading- jf@Joelefrank.com>	Communication with Counsel;
RDI0000067053			1/4/2018	2018 01 03 Reading Provides Update on Court Ruling te Derivative Lawsuit - DRAFT (JF COMIMENTS) (00943644xA26CA), DOCX					Work Product
RDI0000067054		1/4/2018		ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION.msg	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION	Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readin grdi.com></ellen.cotter@readin 	Gross, Matthew	Treading-Jf <pre>Treading- jf@joelefrank.com>; mark ferrario (ferrariom@gtlaw.co m); bonnerm@gtlaw.com communicati craig_fompkins ccraig_fompkins@rea with Counsel; dingrdi.com> Work product</pre>	Communication with Counsel; Work product
RD10000067080		12/27/2017		Special Board Meeting	Special Board Meeting	Ellen Cotter <ellen.cotter@readin grdi.com></ellen.cotter@readin 	Gould, William D.	ns ins@rea aw.com	Communication with Counsel; Work product

Page 10 of 64

Page 11 of 64

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
								christayback@quinne	
								manuel.com; Ellen	
								Cotter	
								<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>	
								grdi.com>;	
					`			bonnerm@gtlaw.com	
						Craig Tompkins		,.	Communication
				Re: Derivative		<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td>marshallsearcy@quin with Counsel;</td><td>with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea<>		marshallsearcy@quin with Counsel;	with Counsel;
RDI0000067247		4/21/2018		Litigation.msg	Re: Derivative Litigation	dingrdi.com>	ferrariom@gtlaw.com nemanuel.com	nemanuel.com	Work product
								bonnerm@gtlaw.com	
								1.	
								nendricksk@gtiaw.co	
						Craig Tompkins		m; Ellen Cotter	Communication
				Re: Availability of	Re: Availability of	<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td><ellen.cotter@readin counsel;<="" p="" with=""></ellen.cotter@readin></td><td>with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea<>		<ellen.cotter@readin counsel;<="" p="" with=""></ellen.cotter@readin>	with Counsel;
RDI0000067248		4/21/2018		Injunctive Relief.msg	Injunctive Relief	dingrdi.com>	ferrariom@gtlaw.com grdi.com>	grdi.com>	Work product
								christayback@quinne	
								manuel.com;	
								marshallsearcy@quin	
								nemanuel.com;	
	-							bonnerm@gtlaw.com	
						Craig Tompkins		; Ellen Cotter	Communication
						<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td><ellen.cotter@readin counsel;<="" p="" with="" =""></ellen.cotter@readin></td><td>with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea<>		<ellen.cotter@readin counsel;<="" p="" with="" =""></ellen.cotter@readin>	with Counsel;
RD10000067252		1/29/2018		Re: To do list.msg	Re: To do list	dingrdi.com>	ferrariom@gtlaw.com grdi.com>	grdi.com>	Work product
								Ellen Cotter	
								<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>	
								grdi.com>; Margaret	
								Cotter	
					-			<margaret.cotter@re< td=""><td></td></margaret.cotter@re<>	
								adingrdi.com>;	
								bonnerm@gtlaw.com	
						Craig Tompkins		••	Communication
				RE: Discovery		<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td>hendricksk@gtlaw.co with Counsel;</td><td>with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea<>		hendricksk@gtlaw.co with Counsel;	with Counsel;
RD10000067253		1/22/2018		Matterse.msg	RE: Discovery Matterse	dingrdi.com>	ferrariom@gtlaw.com	E	Work product

Page 12 of 64

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
						:		bonnerm@gtlaw.com	
						Craig Tompkins		; Ellen Cotter	Communication
						<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td><ellen.cotter@readin counsel;<="" td="" with="" =""><td>with Counsel;</td></ellen.cotter@readin></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>		<ellen.cotter@readin counsel;<="" td="" with="" =""><td>with Counsel;</td></ellen.cotter@readin>	with Counsel;
RD10000067258		12/13/2017		Special Committee.msg	Special Committee	dingrdi.com>	ferrariom@gtlaw.com grdi.com>	grdi.com>	Work product
						Craig Tompkins			
						<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>			
						dingrdi.com>;			
						marshallsearcy@quin			
						nemanuel.com;			Communication
						christayback@quinne			with Counsel;
RDI0000067300	RD10000067302	12/7/2016		the option memo.msg	the option memo	manuel.com	ferrariom@gtlaw.com		Work product
				420594623_v					
				1_420524398_v					
				11 Reading Int 1 - Memo					
				Re Exercise of					
RD10000067302			12/17/2015	Optionpdf					Work Product
						bonnerm@gtlaw.com			
							Ellen Cotter		Communication
				FW: 10K as at March		@rea	<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td></td><td>with Counsel;</td></ellen.cotter@readin<>		with Counsel;
RDI0000067462	RD10000067463	3/12/2018		11.msg	FW: 10K as at March 11	dingrdi.com>	grdi.com>		Work product
				2017 10K					
				FY_Taxonomy2017 as at					
RD10000067463			3/11/2018	20180311.docx					Work Product
						ferrariom@gtlaw.com Ellen Cotter	Ellen Cotter	Craig Tompkins	Communication
	RDI00000067465;R						<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td><ellen.cotter@readin <craig.tompkins@rea="" counsel;<="" p="" with="" =""></ellen.cotter@readin></td><td>with Counsel;</td></ellen.cotter@readin<>	<ellen.cotter@readin <craig.tompkins@rea="" counsel;<="" p="" with="" =""></ellen.cotter@readin>	with Counsel;
RDI0000067464	D10000067466	3/11/2018		DRAFT 10K.msg	DRAFT 10K	bonnerm@gtlaw.com grdi.com>	grdi.com>	dingrdi.com>	Work product
				:					
RD10000067465			3/8/2018	Litigation Discisoure Undate (03.08.18) V2 dor					Work Broduct
			200 /2 /2	Dick Contour (Induto					A COLVE TO GOLD
RD10000067466			3/8/2018	KISK FACTORS UPDATE (03.08.18) V2.doc					Work Product

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log May 30, 2018

_							_								
Privilege	Communication with Counsel;	Work Product		Communication with Counsel:	Work product		Work Product			Communication	with Counsel;	Work product	Communication	with Counsel;	Work product
Email CC	Matthew Bourke ; /Margaret Cotter <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th>													-	
Email From	Very curose CDev Clanose COMMUNICATION C			ferrarion@gtlaw.com , Craig Tompkins - Ellen Cotter - Ctrair Tomnkins@rea kellen Cotter	grdi.com>							Ellen Cotter			Ellen Cotter
Email To	Clev Ghose@reading rdi.com; David O'Hagan Chagan (Chagan@read ingrdi.com; Andrzej Matyczynski Gardingrdi.com; Craig Tompkins Craig Tompkins Craig.Tompkins@rea		bonnerm@gtlaw.com	; ferrariom@gtlaw.com ; Craig Tompkins <craig th="" tompkins@rea<=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>bonnerm@gtlaw.com ; Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@rea< th=""><th>dingrdi.com>; Laura</th><th>Batista</th><th><laura.batista@readi< th=""><th>ngrdi.com></th><th>Craig Tompkins</th><th><craig.tompkins@rea< th=""><th>dingrdi.com></th></craig.tompkins@rea<></th></laura.batista@readi<></th></craig.tompkins@rea<></th></craig>				bonnerm@gtlaw.com ; Craig Tompkins <craig.tompkins@rea< th=""><th>dingrdi.com>; Laura</th><th>Batista</th><th><laura.batista@readi< th=""><th>ngrdi.com></th><th>Craig Tompkins</th><th><craig.tompkins@rea< th=""><th>dingrdi.com></th></craig.tompkins@rea<></th></laura.batista@readi<></th></craig.tompkins@rea<>	dingrdi.com>; Laura	Batista	<laura.batista@readi< th=""><th>ngrdi.com></th><th>Craig Tompkins</th><th><craig.tompkins@rea< th=""><th>dingrdi.com></th></craig.tompkins@rea<></th></laura.batista@readi<>	ngrdi.com>	Craig Tompkins	<craig.tompkins@rea< th=""><th>dingrdi.com></th></craig.tompkins@rea<>	dingrdi.com>
Email Subject	Comments on first few pages of 10K				FW: 2017 Year in Review							Can you review		December 29, 2017	Board Materials
FileName	Comments on first few pages of 10K.msg	2017 10K FY_Taxonomy2017 March 01 Evening (002).EMC.docx		FW: 2017 Year in	Review.msg	2018 03 01 Compensation Committee - 2017 RDI	Ical III Neview T.pui	_				Can you review.msg	-		Board Materials.msg
Date Created		3/2/2018				3/1/2018	Ī						-		
Date Sent	3/2/2018				3/2/2018							12/29/2017		1	12/28/2017
AttachIDs	RDI0000067469				RD10000067471									200000000000000000000000000000000000000	KDIUUUUUU6/532
Control Number	RDI0000067468	RDI0000067469			RD10000067470	RD10000067471					000000000000000000000000000000000000000	KD10000067528		000000100	KDIUUUUUUD 1323

Page 13 of 64

Page 14 of 64

	_			_			_														_	_			_
Privilege			Work Product	Communication	with Counsel;	Work product					Work Product							مائنون المسمى	Confinentiation	with Counsel;	Work product	work product		· ·	Work Product
Email CC				Ellen Cotter	<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td>grdi.com></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Susan Villeda</td><td><susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td>ngrdi.com>; David</td><td> О навал</td><td><david.ohagan@read< td=""><td>ingrdi.com>: Steve</td><td>2001</td><td>Lucas</td><td><steve.lucas@readin< td=""><td>ardi com></td><td>0.000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></steve.lucas@readin<></td></david.ohagan@read<></td></susan.villeda@readi<></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>	grdi.com>						Susan Villeda	<susan.villeda@readi< td=""><td>ngrdi.com>; David</td><td> О навал</td><td><david.ohagan@read< td=""><td>ingrdi.com>: Steve</td><td>2001</td><td>Lucas</td><td><steve.lucas@readin< td=""><td>ardi com></td><td>0.000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></steve.lucas@readin<></td></david.ohagan@read<></td></susan.villeda@readi<>	ngrdi.com>; David	 О навал	<david.ohagan@read< td=""><td>ingrdi.com>: Steve</td><td>2001</td><td>Lucas</td><td><steve.lucas@readin< td=""><td>ardi com></td><td>0.000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></steve.lucas@readin<></td></david.ohagan@read<>	ingrdi.com>: Steve	2001	Lucas	<steve.lucas@readin< td=""><td>ardi com></td><td>0.000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></steve.lucas@readin<>	ardi com>	0.000			
Email From				Dev Ghose	<dev.ghose@reading< td=""><td>rdi.com></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Devichore</td><td>ביי פווספי</td><td><craig.tompkins@rea counsel;<="" p="" with="" ="" <dev.ghose@reading="" <steve.lucas@readin=""></craig.tompkins@rea></td><td>rdi com></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></dev.ghose@reading<>	rdi.com>												Devichore	ביי פווספי	<craig.tompkins@rea counsel;<="" p="" with="" ="" <dev.ghose@reading="" <steve.lucas@readin=""></craig.tompkins@rea>	rdi com>				
Email To				Craig Tompkins	<craig.tompkins@rea <dev.ghose@reading="" <ellen.cotter@readin="" counsel;<="" p="" with="" =""></craig.tompkins@rea>	dingrdi.com>												Craig Tompking	Claig Louinpalits	<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td>dingrdi.com></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>	dingrdi.com>				
Email Subject					FW: 2018 First Quarter	Form 10-Q															FW: 100 First draft				
FileName	421036510_v 1_Board	Materials 12-29-17	meeting (2).pdf		FW: 2018 First Quarter	Form 10-Q .msg		2018 Q1_Taxonomy2017	draft April 25 to	disclosure	committee.docx										FW: 100 First draft.msg	т	T 2000	ZUIS QI_I axonomyzui/	ist diait April 20.000X
Date Created			12/28/2017								4/25/2018				•									0100/00/1	4/ 20/ 2010
Date Sent						4/25/2018								•							4/20/2018				
AttachIDs						RDI0000067876															RDI0000067878				
Control Number			RD10000067532			RDI00000067875					RD10000067876										RD100000067877			RDIOOOOG7878	0.00000000

EJDC Case No. A-15-719860 Reading International's Privilege Log May 30, 2018

Control Number	AttachIDs	Date Sent	Date Created	FileName	Email Subject	Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
						בשבנו בסנובו			
						<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>			
						pica) . \mos ipan			
						ei al.colli/, ci alg			
						Tompkins			
						<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>			
						dingrdi.com>; Andrzej			
						Matyczynski			
						<andrzej.matyczynski< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></andrzej.matyczynski<>			
						@readingrdi.com>:			
						Robert Smerling			
						<rb><robert.smerling@rea< ri=""></robert.smerling@rea<></rb>		David O'Hagan	
						dingrdi.com>;		<david.ohagan@read< td=""><td></td></david.ohagan@read<>	
						Margaret Cotter		ingrdi.com>: Matt	
						<pre><marranet.cotter@re dev="" ghose<="" pre="" =""></marranet.cotter@re></pre>	Dev Ghose	Elwell	Communication
						adinerdi.com>:	CDev.Ghose@reading	SDev. Ghose@reading SMatt Elwell@readin with College:	with Counsel.
RD10000067885	RD10000067886	2/26/2018		Form 10-K for 2017,msg Form 10-K for 2017	Form 10-K for 2017		rdi.com>	grdi.com>	Work product
				2017 10K					
				FY_Taxonomy2017 02-25-	-				
RD100000067886			2/26/2018	2018 evening.docx					Work Product
						dary rang			
						<gary.yang@readingr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></gary.yang@readingr<>			
						di.com>; Jorge E.			
						Aivarez			
						<jorge.alvarez@readi< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></jorge.alvarez@readi<>			
						ngrdi.com>; Andrzej			
						Matyczynski		Steve Lucas	
						<andrzej.matyczynski< p=""></andrzej.matyczynski<>		<steve.lucas@readin< td=""><td></td></steve.lucas@readin<>	
						@readingrdi.com>;		grdi.com>; David	
		-				Craig Tompkins		O'Hagan	
						<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td></td><td><david.ohagan@read< td=""><td></td></david.ohagan@read<></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>		<david.ohagan@read< td=""><td></td></david.ohagan@read<>	
						dingrdi.com>; Gilbert		ingrdi.com>; Matt	
						Avanes	Dev Ghose	Elwell	Communication
				FW: 10K Draft Feb 20	FW: 10K Draft Feb 20	<gilbert.avanes@read< td=""><td><dev.ghose@reading< td=""><td><gilbert.avanes@read <dev.ghose@reading="" <matt.elwell@readin="" counsel;<="" p="" with="" =""></gilbert.avanes@read></td><td>with Counsel;</td></dev.ghose@reading<></td></gilbert.avanes@read<>	<dev.ghose@reading< td=""><td><gilbert.avanes@read <dev.ghose@reading="" <matt.elwell@readin="" counsel;<="" p="" with="" =""></gilbert.avanes@read></td><td>with Counsel;</td></dev.ghose@reading<>	<gilbert.avanes@read <dev.ghose@reading="" <matt.elwell@readin="" counsel;<="" p="" with="" =""></gilbert.avanes@read>	with Counsel;
RD10000067888	RD10000067889	2/21/2018		2018.msg	2018	ingrdi.com>	rdi.com>	grdi.com>	Work product

Page 15 of 64

Page 16 of 64

AttachIDs Date Sent Date Created FileName Email Subject	Date Created FileName	FileName		Email Subject		Email To	Email From	Email CC	Privilege
									0
2/21/2018 sent on Feb 20 2018.docx			FY_Taxonomy2017 Draft sent on Feb 20 2018.docx						Work Product
	RE: Comments on the	nents on the	nents on the		the .	Craig Tompkins David O'Hagan <craig.tompkins@rea <david.ohagan@read<="" td=""><td>David O'Hagan <david.ohagan@read< td=""><td></td><td>Communication with Counsel;</td></david.ohagan@read<></td></craig.tompkins@rea>	David O'Hagan <david.ohagan@read< td=""><td></td><td>Communication with Counsel;</td></david.ohagan@read<>		Communication with Counsel;
RDI0000067992 5/1/2018 10Q.msg 10Q	10Q.msg			10Q		dingrdi.com>	ingrdi.com>		Work product
2018 Q1_Taxonomy2017 5/1/2018 May 01 sent to Jill.docx			2018 Q1_Taxonomy2017 May 01 sent to Jill.docx						Work Product
						Craig Tompkins	David O'Hagan	Laura Batista	Communication
4;R 10K and ER as at	10K and ER as at					<craig.tompkins@rea< td=""><td><david.ohagan@read< td=""><td><craig.tompkins@rea counsel;<="" td="" <david.ohagan@read="" <laura.batista@readi="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea></td></david.ohagan@read<></td></craig.tompkins@rea<>	<david.ohagan@read< td=""><td><craig.tompkins@rea counsel;<="" td="" <david.ohagan@read="" <laura.batista@readi="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea></td></david.ohagan@read<>	<craig.tompkins@rea counsel;<="" td="" <david.ohagan@read="" <laura.batista@readi="" with=""><td>with Counsel;</td></craig.tompkins@rea>	with Counsel;
DI0000067995 3/14/2018 now.msg 10K and ER as at now	now.msg	_	_	10K and ER as at n	νo	dingrdi.com>	ingrdi.com>	ngrdi.com>	Work product
rdi-2017 Q4 ER for Graig evening of March	rdi-2017 Q4 ER for Craig evening of March	rdi-2017 Q4 ER for Craig evening of March	rdi-2017 Q4 ER for Craig evening of March						
3/14/2018 13.docx	-	-	13.docx	-					Work Product
2017 10K	2017 10K	2017 10K	2017 10K						
FY_Taxonomy2017 for	FY_Taxonomy2017 for	FY_Taxonomy2017 for	FY_Taxonomy2017 for						
	_	_	Craig evening of March						
3/14/2018 13.docx	T	T	13.docx						Work Product
						Ellen Cotter			
						<ellen.cotter@readin< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ellen.cotter@readin<>			
						grdi.com>; Dev Ghose			
						<dev.ghose@reading< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></dev.ghose@reading<>			
						rdi.com>; Andrzej			
						Matyczynski		Matthew Bourke	
						<andrzej.matyczynski< td=""><td></td><td><matthew.bourke@r< td=""><td></td></matthew.bourke@r<></td></andrzej.matyczynski<>		<matthew.bourke@r< td=""><td></td></matthew.bourke@r<>	
		-				٠ <u>,</u>		eadingrdi.com>;	
						Craig Tompkins	David O'Hagan	Margaret Cotter	Communication
RE: Comments on first	RE: Comments on first			RE: Comments on	first	<craig.tompkins@rea counsel;<="" p="" with="" ="" <david.ohagan@read="" <margaret.cotter@re=""></craig.tompkins@rea>	<david.ohagan@read< td=""><td><margaret.cotter@re< td=""><td>with Counsel;</td></margaret.cotter@re<></td></david.ohagan@read<>	<margaret.cotter@re< td=""><td>with Counsel;</td></margaret.cotter@re<>	with Counsel;
RDI0000067997 3/2/2018 few pages of 10K.msg few pages of 10K	few pages of 10K.msg			few pages of 10K		dingrdi.com>	ingrdi.com>	adingrdi.com>	Work product