1	SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation;
_	DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
2	4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL
3	ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation;
	GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a
4	Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE
5	MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation;
3	J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
6	KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba
	SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD
7	POOL MAINT. dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a
8	Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST
	ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE
9	ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
	PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation;
10	RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA
11	FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L
	ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY
12	PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada
13	Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC dbs
13	BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited
14	Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION
	SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability
15	Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or
16	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,
	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,
17	Defendants.
18	Deletidants.
10	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
19	Corporation,
	Corporation,
20	Cross-Claimant,
21	
	vs.
22	100
23	BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited
23	Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada
24	Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES
	CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;
25	CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK
26	SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation;
a.V	DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
27	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign
	Corporation: GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING.

1 2 3 4 5	LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC.,
	Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a
6	Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT
7	APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION
8	SPECIALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability
9	Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,
10	525 BF 80 B B
11	Cross-Defendants.
12	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
13	Corporation,
14	Third-Party Plaintiff,
15	vs.
16	BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
17	EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada
18	Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada
19	Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada
20	Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada
21	Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL &
	PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101
22	through 150, inclusive,
23	
24	Third-Party Defendants.
	"The same of the s

ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC

This matter having been heard in Court on September 12, 2018, and upon further review of all pleadings and supplemental briefing by this Court on September 28, 2018,

28 //

25

26

Byrne vs. Sunridge Builders, et al.
District Court Case # A-16-742143-D
Order Granting Default Judgment Against Window Installation Specialists, LLC

3

3

2

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST ("Plaintiff") is hereby awarded Judgment for damages against Window Installation Specialists, LLC ("WIS") in the amount of \$58,342.98;
- 2. Plaintiff is hereby awarded attorney's fees against WIS in the amount of \$31,843.40;
- Plaintiff is hereby awarded costs against WIS in the amount of \$1,144.47;
- 4. Plaintiff is hereby awarded expert fees against WIS in the amount of \$4,199.34;
- Therefore, Plaintiff is awarded a total Default Judgment against Window Installation Specialists, LLC in the amount of \$95,530.19 in the above-captioned case.

DATED this 15 day of OC & Ja, 2018

JOANNA S. KISHNER

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Charldala

Respectfully submitted by:

WENDY L/WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791

MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 11902 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Co-Counsel for Plaintiff

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the

UOFM TRUST and per SCR 42.1

Electronically Filed 10/25/2018 4:35 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

		Atemas. Line
1	NEOJ	Chunt. Die
2	TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ.	
	Nevada Bar No. 7091 MENTER & WITKIN LLP	
3	19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800	
4	Irvine, California 92612	
5	Telephone: (949) 250-9000	
	Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com	
6	E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com	
7	WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ.	
8	Nevada Bar No. 10791	
	MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902	
9	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP	
10	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275	
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144	
*,0.401	Telephone: (702) 804-0706	
12	Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 E-Mail: wwalker@springelfink.com	
13	marata@springelfink.com	
14	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)	
15	DISTRIC	COURT
	CLARK COUN	
16	**	*
17	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM	Case No.: A-16-742143-D
18	TRUST,	Dept. No.: XXXI
55.55	District CC	
19	Plaintiff, ) vs.	
20	3	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING
21	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada	DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST SPRAY
800	Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a )	PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC
22	Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC,) a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT )	
23	MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability )	
24	Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES )	
	CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; )	
25	CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK )	
26	SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; ) DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; )	
27	4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL )	
	ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; )	
28		

GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 3 KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba 4 SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a 5 Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE 6 ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 7 PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA 8 FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY: PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada 10 Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC dba BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited 11 Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability 12 Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN 13 PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive, 14 Defendants. 15 16 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, 17 18 Cross-Claimant, 19 VS. 20 BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited 21 Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 22 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK 23 SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 24 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign 25 Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 26 MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 27 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE dba 28

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC

LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada 2 Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a 3 Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability 5 Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability 6 Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE 7 CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive, 8 Cross-Defendants. 9 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada 10 Corporation, 11 Third-Party Plaintiff, 12 VS. 13 BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 14 EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS 15 INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada 16 Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada 17 Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & 18 PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 19 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive, 20 21 Third-Party Defendants. 22

## NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 19th day of October, 2018, the Court entered the Order Granting Default Judgment Against Window Installation Specialists, LLC, in the above-captioned case, a copy

///

A14.191

23

24

25

26

27

of which is attached hereto.

DATED this 25th day of October, 2018.

## SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

/s/ Wendy L. Walker

By:

WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Co--Counsel for Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST and per SCR 42.1

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, et al. Case No. A-16-742143-D 2 3 STATE OF NEVADA ss. 4 COUNTY OF CLARK 5 6 I, Helena Linakis, declare as follows: 7 I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275, Las Vegas, 8 Nevada 89144. 9 On October 25, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC. 10 on the parties/counsel to this case, as follows: 11 VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by submitting the foregoing to the Court's E-filing System for 12 Electronic Service upon the Court's Service List pursuant to EDCR 8. The copy of the document electronically served bears a notation of the date and time of service. The original document will be 13 maintained with the document(s) served and be made available, upon reasonable notice, for inspection by counsel or the Court. The foregoing document was served as follows: 14 SEE THE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST 15 16 VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the U.S. mail at Las Vegas, NV; being "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence by mailing, and under that practice it would be 17 deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage fully prepaid thereon at Las 18 Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business, addressed as follows: 19 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 21 /s/ Helena Linakis By: 22 An Employee of SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 23 24 25

26

27

## E-Service Master List Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al. Case No. A-16-742143-D

	Case No. A-16-74214
Brown Bonn & Friedman	
Contact	Email
Aaron M. Young	ayoung@brownbonn.com
Denise Rutherford	drutherford@brownbonn.com
Admin NV	nvadmin@brownbonn.com
Bremer Whyte Brown &	O'Meara
Contact	Email
Crystal Williams	cwilliams@bremerwhyte.com
Amree Stellabotte	astellabotte@bremerwhyte.com
Jeffrey Saab	jsaab@bremerwhyte.com
Jennifer Vela	jvela@bremerwhyte.com
Alondra A. Reynolds	areynolds@bremerwhyte.com
Lorrena Perez	lperez@bremerwhyte.com
Devin Gifford	dgifford@bremerwhyte.com
Peter C. Brown	pbrown@bremerwhyte.com
Contact	Email
Cristina B. Pagaduan	cpagaduan@grsm.com
Sean Owens	sowens@grsm.com
Gordon & Rees	
Contact	Email
Lisa Law	llaw@gordonrees.com
Robert Schumacher	rschumacher@gordonrees.com
Chelsey Holland	cjholland@gordonrees.com
Andrea Montero	amontero@gordonrees.com
Brian Walters	bwalters@gordonrees.com
Marie Ogella	mogella@gordonrees.com
Hurtik Law & Associates	
Contact	Email
Matt Walker	mwalker@hurtiklaw.com
Carrie Hurtik	churtik@hurtiklaw.com
John Patterson	jpatterson@hurtiklaw.com
Nancy Ramirez	nramirez@hurtiklaw.com
Ivancy Kamirez	mannez@nurukiaw.com
Keating Law Group	2012
Contact	Email
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	D.D alexandran (America a alexantin a grans
Bryce Buckwalter	BBuckwalter@princekeating.com
Stephanie Spilotro Bryce Buckwalter	SSpilotro@keatinglg.com BBuckwalter@keatinglg.com

Contact	Email
Admin	tdlvplea@nationwide.com
Stefania	rosss26@nationwide.com
Law Offices of Eric R. L	arsen
Contact	Email
Joshua A. Montoya	Joshua.Montoya@thehartford.co
Debra Watson	Debra.Watson@thehartford.com
Mark Brown	Mark.Brown@thehartford.com
Reed Werner	Reed.Werner@thehartford.com
Law Offices of Floyd Ha	le
Contact	Email
Floyd Hale	floyd@jamsadr.com
Debbie Holloman	dholloman@jamsadr.com
Floyd Hale	fhale@floydhale.com
Morris Sullivan	
Contact	Email
Christopher Turtzo	turtzo@morrissullivan.com
Leah DaSilva	dasilva@morrissullivan.com
Allyson Lodwick	lodwick@morrissullivan.com
Admin—MSL Wiznet	wiznet@morrissullivan.com
Resnick & Louis	
Contact	Email
Anthanasia Dalacas	adalacas@rlattorneys.com
Susan Carbone	scarbone@rlattorneys.com
Coreene Drose	cdrose@rlattorneys.com
Rhonda Onorato	ronorato@rlattorneys.com
Skane Wilcox	
Contact	Email
Beth Cook	bcook(a)skanewilcox.com
Lilia Ingleberger	lingleberger@skanewilcox.com
Sarai L. Brown	sbrown@skanewilcox.com
The Bourassa Law Group	, LLC
Contact	Email
Hilary Daniels	hdaniels@blgwins.com
Jennifer Fornetti	jfornetti@blgwins.com
NEW TOWN AND THE PROPERTY OF T	A control of the state of the s

1	Wilson Elser Moskowitz	Edelman & Dicker
	Contact	Email
2	Charles Simmons	Charles.Simmons@wilsonelser.com
3	E-File Las Vegas	EfileLasVegas@wilsonelser.com
2000	Pam Lamper	Pamela.Lamper@wilsonelser.com
4		
5	Worthe Hanson & Worth	7.70
	Contact John Hanson	Email
6		jhanson@whwlawcorp.com
7	Michelle Rigley	mrigley@whwlawcorp.com
10.1	Stephenson & Dickinson	T .
8	Contact	Email
9	Marsha L. Stephenson	admin@sdlawoffice.net
10		**************************************
10	Contact	Email
11	Cindy A. Ingland	cingland@mvillp.com
245	John Dorame	jdorame@mvjllp.com
12	Lele Mai	lmai@mvjllp.com
13	Quinn Dube	gdube@mvjllp.com
336	Lisa Rommel	lrommel@mvjllp.com
14	Contact	Email
15	Camie Devoge	camie.devoge@aig.com
1.0	Jessica West	jessica.west@aig.com
16		
17	Contact	Email
	Timothy S. Menter	tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com
18	W-16 D-11-	
19	Wolfenzon Rolle	Eil
1000	Contact	Email
20	Araba Panford	apanford@wolfenzon.com
21	Brandy Calfee	brandy@wolfenzon.com
21	Bruno Wolfenzon	bruno@wolfenzon.com
22	Dion Sayles Jeremiah Pendleton	dion@wolfenzon.com jpendelton@wolfenzon.com
23	Jonathan P. Rolle	jrolle@wolfenzon.com
23	Lourdes Lordon	lourdes@wolfenzon.com
24	Anthony Adams	anthony@wolfenzon.com
25	W-16- 0- W-	80 (001) - Continet = 1000 - 1000   1
35.74	Wolfe & Wyman Contact	Email
26	Evelyn Pastor	empastor@wolfewyman.com
27	Jarad Beckman	jdbeckman@wolfewyman.com
	Eservice Irvine	wiznet@wolfewyman.com
28	Escivice II ville	wizneta;wonewyman.com

1	Contact	Email
2	David R. Johnson	david@drjohnson
3	Springel & Fink LLP	70.2700-700
4	Contact Admin	Email espringel@springe
5	Wendy L. Walker Helena Linakis	wwalker@springe hlinakis@springel
6	Adam H. Springel	aspringel@springe
6 7	Michael A. Arata	marata@springelf
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
576 X	I	

apllc-law.com gelfink.com gelfink.com gelfink.com gelfink.com fink.com

## ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 10/19/2018 4:29 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR 1 TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7091 MENTER & WITKIN LLP 3 19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800 Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 250-9000 5 Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com 6 Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2) 7 8 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 9 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 10 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 11 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 12 Telephone: (702) 804-0706 Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 13 wwalker@springelfink.com E-Mail: 14 marata@springelfink.com 15 Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2) 16 17 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 18 19 20 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM CASE NO .: A-16-742143-D Dept. No.: XXXI TRUST, 21 Plaintiff, 22 VS. 23 ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada AGAINST SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, 24 Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a LLC Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, 25 a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT 26 MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 27 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK 28

OCT 08'18#11:56\*

1	SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation;
2	DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
11.700	4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL
3	ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a
4	Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE
501.01	MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation;
5	J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
6	KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba
	SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD
7	POOL MAINT. dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a
8	Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST
0	ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE
9	ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
10	PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation;
10	RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA
11	FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY
	PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada
12	Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC dba
13	BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited
	Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION
14	SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability
15	Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN
	PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or
16	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,
17	Defendants.
18	Defendants.
10	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
19	Corporation,
20	Corporations
20	Cross-Claimant,
21	Superinance of the control of the co
22	vs.
23	BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited
	Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada
24	Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES
25	CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;
	CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation;
26	DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
27	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign
	Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING,
28	And because of managers a said or said on the said of the said of

J.C.W LIFEC LIFEC MOU	a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE HANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; . CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; BUARD POOL MAINTENANCE dba BUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; NTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada ration; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC.,
Nevad	a Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a a Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a
Nevad	a Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT ICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability
SPECI	any; WINDOW INSTALLATION (ALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability
	any, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE ORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,
	Cross-Defendants.
SUNR	IDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada ration,
	Third-Party Plaintiff,
vs.	
EART Limite INC., of Corpor Corpor Corpor PAINT 101 th	DON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; HCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada d Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada ration; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada ration; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada ration; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada ration; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & T, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES rough 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 h 150, inclusive,
	Third-Party Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC

This matter having been heard in Court on September 12, 2018, and upon further review of all pleadings and supplemental briefing by this Court on September 28, 2018,

28 /

(N0387191:2)

Byrne vs. Sunridge Builders, et al.
District Court Case # A-16-742143-D
Order Granting Default Judgment Against Spray Product Applications, LLC

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST ("Plaintiff") is hereby awarded Judgment for damages against Spray Product Applications, LLC ("SPA") in the amount of \$411,281.37;
- 2. Plaintiff is hereby awarded attorney's fees against SPA in the amount of \$223,725.69;
- 3. Plaintiff is hereby awarded costs against SPA in the amount of \$6,744.65;
- 4. Plaintiff is hereby awarded expert fees against SPA in the amount of \$29,395.36;
- Therefore, Plaintiff is awarded a total Default Judgment against Spray Product Applications, LLC in the amount of \$671,147.07 in the above-captioned case.

DATED this 15 day of Ochth , 2018

JOANNA S. KISHNER

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Respectfully submitted by:

19

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

WENDY'L. WALKER, ESQ.

21 Nevada Bar No. 10791

MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ.

22 Nevada Bar No. 11902

SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

25 | Co-Counsel for Plaintiff

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the

26 UOFM TRUST

27 and per SCR 42.1

28

-4-

(N0387191;2)

1	NEOJ	
177	TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 7091	
3	MENTER & WITKIN LLP 19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800	
4	Irvine, California 92612	
5	Telephone: (949) 250-9000 Facsimile: (949) 250-9045	
6	E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com	
7	WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ.	
8	Nevada Bar No. 10791	
9	MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902	
0.5%	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP	
10	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144	
11	Telephone: (702) 804-0706	
12	Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 E-Mail: wwalker@springelfink.com	
13	marata@springelfink.com	
14	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)	
15	DISTRIC	
16	CLARK COUN	NIY, NEVADA
17	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM	Case No.: A-16-742143-D
18	TRUST,	Dept. No.: XXXI
19	Plaintiff,	
20	vs.	
	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada )	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST KARL
21	Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a )	HENRY LINSENBARDT dba SIGNATURE
22	Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC,) a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT )	DOOR & TRIM
23	MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability )	
24	Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES ) CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; )	
25	CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK )	
26	SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; ) DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; )	
27	4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL )	
28	ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; )	
20		

GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 2 MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 3 KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba 4 SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT, dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a 5 Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE 6 ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA 8 FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada 10 Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC dba BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited 11 Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability 12 Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN 13 PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive, 14 Defendants. 15 16 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, 17 Cross-Claimant, 18 19 VS. 20 BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited 21 Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 22 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK 23 SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 24 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign 25 Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 26 MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 27 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE dba 28

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM

```
LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation;
     MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada
    Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC.,
     Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a
    Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a
    Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT
    APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability
 5
    Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION
    SPECIALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability
 6
    Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE
    CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,
 8
                       Cross-Defendants.
 9
    SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
10
    Corporation,
11
                       Third-Party Plaintiff,
12
    VS.
13
    BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
14
    EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada
    Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS
15
    INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada
16
    Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada
    Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada
17
    Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada
    Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL &
18
    PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES
19
    101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101
    through 150, inclusive,
20
21
                       Third-Party Defendants.
22
```

24

25

26

27

28

## NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2018, the Court entered the Order Granting Default Judgment Against Karl Henry Linsenbardt dba Signature Door & Trim, in the above-captioned case, a copy

///

of which is attached hereto.

DATED this 25th day of October, 2018.

## SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

/s/ Wendy L. Walker

By:

WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Co--Counsel for Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST and per SCR 42.1

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, et al. Case No. A-16-742143-D

STATE OF NEVADA

COUNTY OF CLARK

I, Helena Linakis, declare as follows:

) ss.

I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275, Las Vegas, Nevada 89144.

On November 5, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM, on the parties/counsel to this case, as follows:

X VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by submitting the foregoing to the Court's E-filing System for Electronic Service upon the Court's Service List pursuant to EDCR 8. The copy of the document electronically served bears a notation of the date and time of service. The original document will be maintained with the document(s) served and be made available, upon reasonable notice, for inspection by counsel or the Court. The foregoing document was served as follows:

SEE THE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the U.S. mail at Las Vegas, NV; being "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence by mailing, and under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage fully prepaid thereon at Las Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business, addressed as follows:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

/s/ Helena Linakis By:

An Employee of SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

## E-Service Master List Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al. Case No. A-16-742143-D

2		Case No. A-16-74214
3	Brown Bonn & Friedman	
4	Contact	Email
4	Aaron M. Young	ayoung@brownbonn.com
5	Denise Rutherford	drutherford@brownbonn.com
6	Admin NV	nvadmin@brownbonn.com
7	Bremer Whyte Brown &	O'Meara
	Contact	Email
8	Crystal Williams	cwilliams@bremerwhyte.com
	Amree Stellabotte	astellabotte@bremerwhyte.com
9	Jeffrey Saab	jsaab@bremerwhyte.com
10	Jennifer Vela	jvela@bremerwhyte.com
5000	Alondra A. Reynolds	areynolds@bremerwhyte.com
11	Lorrena Perez	lperez@bremerwhyte.com
10	Devin Gifford	dgifford@bremerwhyte.com
12	Peter C. Brown	pbrown@bremerwhyte.com
13	C	P11
14	Contact	Email
1.79	Cristina B. Pagaduan	cpagaduan@grsm.com
15	Sean Owens	sowens@grsm.com
16	Gordon & Rees	
1.77	Contact	Email
17	Lisa Law	llaw@gordonrees.com
18	Robert Schumacher	rschumacher@gordonrees.com
	Chelsey Holland	cjholland@gordonrees.com
19	Andrea Montero	amontero@gordonrees.com
20	Brian Walters	bwalters@gordonrees.com
20	Marie Ogella	mogella@gordonrees.com
21	Hurtik Law & Associates	
22	Contact	Email
22	Matt Walker	mwalker@hurtiklaw.com
23	Carrie Hurtik	churtik@hurtiklaw.com
	John Patterson	jpatterson@hurtiklaw.com
24	Nancy Ramirez	nramirez@hurtiklaw.com
25	Ivancy Ramnez	mannezagiurukiaw.com
0.0	Keating Law Group	
26	Contact	Email
27	Bryce Buckwalter	BBuckwalter@princekeating.com
	Stephanie Spilotro	SSpilotro@keatinglg.com
28	Bryce Buckwalter	BBuckwalter@keatinglg.com
	D. Joe David Hallot	22 dell'anter agreeming provin

tdlvplea@nationwide.com rosss26@nationwide.com  arsen Email Joshua.Montoya@thehartford.com Debra.Watson@thehartford.com Mark.Brown@thehartford.com Reed.Werner@thehartford.com  tle Email floyd@jamsadr.com dholloman@jamsadr.com fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
Arsen  Email  Joshua.Montoya@thehartford.com  Debra.Watson@thehartford.com  Mark.Brown@thehartford.com  Reed.Werner@thehartford.com  le  Email  floyd@jamsadr.com  dholloman@jamsadr.com  fhale@floydhale.com  Email  turtzo@morrissullivan.com  dasilva@morrissullivan.com
Email Joshua.Montoya@thehartford.com Debra.Watson@thehartford.com Mark.Brown@thehartford.com Reed.Werner@thehartford.com  le Email floyd@jamsadr.com dholloman@jamsadr.com fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
Joshua.Montoya@thehartford.com Debra.Watson@thehartford.com Mark.Brown@thehartford.com Reed.Werner@thehartford.com  le  Email floyd@jamsadr.com dholloman@jamsadr.com fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
Debra.Watson@thehartford.com Mark.Brown@thehartford.com Reed.Werner@thehartford.com  le  Email floyd@jamsadr.com dholloman@jamsadr.com fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
Mark.Brown@thehartford.com Reed.Werner@thehartford.com  le  Email floyd@jamsadr.com dholloman@jamsadr.com fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
Reed.Werner@thehartford.com  le Email floyd@jamsadr.com dholloman@jamsadr.com fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
le Email floyd@jamsadr.com dholloman@jamsadr.com fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
Email floyd@jamsadr.com dholloman@jamsadr.com fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
Email floyd@jamsadr.com dholloman@jamsadr.com fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
dholloman@jamsadr.com fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
dholloman@jamsadr.com fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
fhale@floydhale.com  Email turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
turtzo@morrissullivan.com dasilva@morrissullivan.com
dasilva@morrissullivan.com
A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
1 1 1 1 22 1 111
lodwick@morrissullivan.com
wiznet@morrissullivan.com
Email
adalacas@rlattorneys.com
scarbone@rlattorneys.com
cdrose@rlattorneys.com
ronorato@rlattorneys.com
Email
bcook@skanewilcox.com
lingleberger@skanewilcox.com
sbrown@skanewilcox.com
, LLC
Email
hdaniels@blgwins.com
jfornetti@blgwins.com
,

1	Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker	
_	Contact	Email
2	Charles Simmons	Charles.Simmons@wilsonelser.com
3	E-File Las Vegas	EfileLasVegas@wilsonelser.com
4	Pam Lamper	Pamela.Lamper@wilsonelser.com
5	Worthe Hanson & Worth	ne
5	Contact	Email
1	John Hanson	jhanson@whwlawcorp.com
l	Michelle Rigley	mrigley@whwlawcorp.com
	Stephenson & Dickinson	
	Contact	Email
l	Marsha L. Stephenson	admin@sdlawoffice.net
l	Contact	Email
l	Cindy A. Ingland	cingland@mvjllp.com
l	John Dorame	jdorame@mvjllp.com
l	Lele Mai	lmai@mvjllp.com
l	Quinn Dube	gdube@mvjllp.com
	Lisa Rommel	lrommel@mvjllp.com
l	Contact	Email
l	Camie Devoge	camie.devoge@aig.com
	Jessica West	jessica.west@aig.com
	Contact	Email
	Timothy S. Menter	tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com
l	Wolfenzon Rolle	
l	Contact	Email
ı	Araba Panford	apanford@wolfenzon.com
	Brandy Calfee	brandy@wolfenzon.com
	Bruno Wolfenzon	bruno@wolfenzon.com
ı	Dion Sayles	dion@wolfenzon.com
	Jeremiah Pendleton Jonathan P. Rolle	jpendelton@wolfenzon.com jrolle@wolfenzon.com
	Lourdes Lordon	lourdes@wolfenzon.com
	Anthony Adams	anthony@wolfenzon.com
	Wolfe & Wyman	
	Contact	Email
l	Evelyn Pastor	empastor@wolfewyman.com
Ì	Jarad Beckman	jdbeckman@wolfewyman.com
١	Eservice Irvine	wiznet@wolfewyman.com

Contact Email David R. Johnson Springel & Fink LLP Contact Email Admin Wendy L. Walker Helena Linakis Adam H. Springel Michael A. Arata 

david@drjohnsonpllc-law.com espringel@springelfink.com wwalker@springelfink.com hlinakis@springelfink.com aspringel@springelfink.com marata@springelfink.com

Electronically Filed
10/9/2017 3:46 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

NEO 1 Kevin A. Brown, Esq. (Bar #7621) 2 Aaron M. Young, Esq. (Bar #8317) BROWN, BONN & FRIEDMAN, LLP 3 5528 South Fort Apache Road Las Vegas, NV 89148 4 (702) 942-3900 5 (702) 942-3901 FAX kbrown@brownbonn.com 6 ayoung@brownbonn.com 7 Attorneys for Defendant PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC. 8 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM 12 TRUST, CASE NO.: A-16-742143-D 13 Plaintiffs, DEPARTMENT 2 14 (ELECTRONIC FILING CASE) 15 16 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., AND ORDER TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT 17 a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, AGAINST DEFENDANT PRESTIGE LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; ROOFING, INC. 18 BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited 19 Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; 20 CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 21 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; 23 GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 24 MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT 26 DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. DBA 27 LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada 28

-1

1	Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a
2	Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING, INC.
3	DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado
4	Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT
5	APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA
6	BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited
7	Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a
	Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20
	through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2
9	through 50 inclusive,
10	Defendants.
11	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
12	Corporation,
13	Third-Party Plaintiffs,
14	
15	V.
16	BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada
17	Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS, INC. dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a
18	Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC.,
	a Nevada Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a
20	Nevada Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business
21	Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE
22	CORPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive,
23	Third-Party Defendants.
24	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
25	AND CONTRACTOR OF THE STANDARD CONTRACTOR OF THE
26	Cross-Claimants,
27	v.
28	

	TO A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY O
1	BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited
2	Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada
	Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES
3	CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT dba DECK
4	SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada
11000	Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada
5	Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC
6	COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN
0.75	PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada
7	Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
	J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada
	Corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL
9	MAINTENANCE dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a
10	Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST
	ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID
11	PLUMBING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING, INC., a Nevada
12	Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada
13	Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT
551 900	APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited
14	Liability Company; WINDOW
15	INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a
13	Nevada Limited Liability Company; and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1
16	through 100, inclusive,
17	
.,	Cross-Defendants.
18	
19	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT
	AGAINST DEFENDANT PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC.
20	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Stipulation and Order to Set Aside Default of
21	
22	PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC. was entered on 9th day of October, 2017. A copy of the order is
	attached hereto.
23	attached hereto.
24	111
25	777
	28240
26	111
27	

**Electronically Filed** 10/9/2017 3:00 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT [SAO] Kevin A. Brown, Esq. (Bar #7621) 2 Aaron M. Young, Esq. (Bar #8317) BROWN, BONN & FRIEDMAN, LLP 3 5528 South Fort Apache Road Las Vegas, NV 89148 4 (702) 942-3900 5 (702) 942-3901 Fax kbrown@brownbonn.com 6 avoung@brownbonn.com 7 Attorneys for Defendant PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC. 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM 11 CASE NO.: A-16-742143-D TRUST. 12 DEPARTMENT 16-2 Plaintiff, 13 (ELECTRONIC FILING CASE) 14 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET 15 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., ASIDE DEFAULT AGAINST DEFENDANT a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited 18 Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; 19 CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 20 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 21 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; 22 GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 23 MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada 24 Corporation: KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT 25 DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. DBA 26 LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; OCT 0 4 2017 MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada 27 Corporation: PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING, INC.

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT AGAINST DEFENDANT PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC.

2	DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,  Defendants.					
10	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT AGAINST DEFENDANT					
	PRESTIGE I	ROOFING, INC.				
11	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties hereto, through their					
12						
13						
14	on June 12, 2017 be set aside.	DATED: September, 2017				
15	DATED: September 2, 2017	DATED: September, 2017				
	SPRINGEL & FINK LLA	BROWN, BONN & FRIEDMAN, LLP				
16	Maradall					
17	MINIONNOUS					
18	Worldy L. Walker, Esq. (Bar #10791) SPRINGEL & FINK, LLP	Kevin A. Brown, Esq. (Bar #7621) Aaron M. Young, Esq. (Bar #8317)				
19	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275	BROWN, BONN & FRIEDMAN, LLP				
	Las Vegas, NV 89144	5528 South Fort Apache Road Las Vegas, NV 89148				
20	(702) 804-0706 (702) 804-0798 Fax	(702) 942-3900				
21	782 8 3 AN	(702) 942-3901 FAX				
22	Attorneys for Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the	Attorneys for Defendant				
23	UOFM TRUST	PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC.				
24						
25						
26						
27						

## ORDER 1 Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the default 2 entered against Defendant, PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC. be, and hereby is, set aside. 3 DATED: Uttaber 6 ,2017. 4 5 6 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 7 Submitted by: 8 BROWN, BONN & FRIEDMAN, LLP 9 10 11, Kevin A. Brown, Esq. (Bar #7621) Aaron M. Young, Esq. (Bar #8317) 12 BROWN, BONN & FRIEDMAN, LLP 13 5528 South Fort Apache Road Las Vegas NV 89148 14 (702) 942-3900 (702) 942-3901 FAX 15 Attorneys for DEFENDANT 16 PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1 NEO Jarad D. Beckman, Esq. 2 Nevada State Bar # 12328 WOLFE & WYMAN LLP 3 6757 Spencer Street Las Vegas, NV 89119 4 Tel: (702) 476-0100 Fax: (702) 476-0101 5 jdbeckman@wolfewyman.com Attorneys for Defendant/Cross Defendant 6 CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA 7 DECK SYSTEMS 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 COUNTY COUNTY, NEVADA JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM 10 CASE NO. A-16-742143-D TRUST, Dept. II 11 Plaintiff, 12 ٧. 13 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada 14 OF DEFENDANT CIRCLE S Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC 15 NEVADA AND GRANT SUMMARY a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT JUDGMENT 16 MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 17 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK 18 SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 19 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL 20 ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a 21 Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 22 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY LISENBARDT DBA 23 SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD 24 POOL MAINT. DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST 25 ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 26 PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING, INC. DBA RIVERA 27 FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L 28 ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY

Electronically Filed 3/6/2018 9:17 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

1 PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC 2 DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION 3 SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100, DESIGN 4 PROFESSIONAL; DOES 101 through 150 and/or 5 SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50, inclusive, 6 Defendants. 7 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, 8 Cross-Claimaint, 9 10 BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited 11 Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 12 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK 13 SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; 14 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING. 15 LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada corporation; 16 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA 17 LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada corporation: MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada 18 corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., a Nevada corporation; RIVERA FRAMING, INC., a 19 Nevada corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT 20 APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLTIONS 21 SPECIALISTS, LLC a Nevada Limited Liability Company and MOES 1 through 110 and OE 22 CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive; 23 Cross-Defendants. 24 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, 25 Third-Party Plaintiff, 26 27 BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada corporation; 28 EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Limited Company; HARDY CABINETS, INC. dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; JD STAIRS, INC. a Nevada corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150; and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive,

Third-Party Defendants,

## NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT OF CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA AND GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Stipulation and Order to Set Aside Default of Circle S Development dba Deck Systems Nevada and Grant Summary Judgment was entered on February 28, 2018 and the same was filed on March 5, 2018. A copy of said Stipulation and Order are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

DATED: March 6, 2018

WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

By:/s/ Jarad D. Beckman Jarad D. Beckman Nevada Bar No. 12328 6757 Spencer St. Las Vegas NV 89119 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross Defendant CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS

# WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

## CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2018, the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT OF DEFENDANT CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA AND GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT was served on the following by Electronic Service to:

(All Parties on the E-Service List)

## /s/ Evelyn M. Pastor

Evelyn M. Pastor An employee of WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

## **EXHIBIT "A"**

**EXHIBIT "A"** 

**Electronically Filed** 3/5/2018 10:18 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 Jarad D. Beckman, Esq. 2 Nevada State Bar # 12328 WOLFE & WYMAN LLP 3 6757 Spencer Street Las Vegas, NV 89119 Tel: (702) 476-0100 4 Fax: (702) 476-0101 5 idbeckman@wolfewyman.com Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Defendant 6 CIRCLÉ S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA 7 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM CASE NO. A-16-742143-D 10 Dept. XVI Dept ZZ TRUST, 11 Plaintiff, 12 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET 13 DEFENDANT DEFAULT OF ASIDE SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK 14 Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a NEVADA AND GRANT SYSTEMS Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC SUMMARY JUDGMENT 15 a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability 16 Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 17 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK 18 SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 19 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; 20 GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a 21 Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 22 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY LISENBARDT DBA 23 SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a 24 Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST 25 ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 26

2960391.2

27

28

FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L

PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING, INC. DBA RIVERA

ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY

1	PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada
2	Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited
3	Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION
4	SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100, DESIGN
	PROFESSIONAL; DOES 101 through 150 and/or
5	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50, inclusive,
5	Defendants.
7	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
,	Cross-Claimaint,
,	v.
	BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited
	Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES
	CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK
	SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation;
1	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign
•	corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE
	MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
	LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada corporation;
	MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., a
	Nevada corporation; RIVERA FRAMING, INC., a
	Nevada corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT
	APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLTIONS
	SPECIALISTS, LLC a Nevada Limited Liability
	Company and MOES 1 through 110 and OE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive;
	Cross-Defendants.
	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
	Third-Party Plaintiff,
- [	

BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada

27

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Limited Company; HARDY CABINETS, INC. dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; JD STAIRS, INC. a Nevada corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150; and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive,

Third-Party Defendants,

#### STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET ASIDE ENTRY OF DEFAULT OF DEFENDANT CIRCLE'S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA AND GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Defendant/Cross-Defendant CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT dba DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA. ("DECK SYSTEMS"), and Plaintiff, JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of UOFM TRUST ("UOFM Trust"), by and through their respective counsel, (hereinafter "Parties"), as follows:

UOFM Trust filed its Second Amended complaint on March 31, 2017 naming DECK SYSTEMS as a Defendant. On March 31, 2017, Summons and Second-Amended Complaint was duly served upon DECK SYSTEMS through its Registered Agent's address. On June 12, 2017, UOFM Trust filed a Default against DECK SYSTEMS.

Thereafter, on or about September 11, 2017, Defendant Sunridge Builders, Inc. and Lands West Builders, Inc. filed a Joint Motion for Summary Judgment against UOFM Trust, arguing that its claims were time barred pursuant to NRS 11.202 (2015). On November 3, 2017, the Court granted the Joint Motion for Summary Judgment. On or about December 14, 2017 the Court issued a Nunc Pro Tune Order, which granted summary judgment to include all joining parties.

Based upon, and in light of the foregoing, the Parties hereto now STIPULATE as follows:

UOFM Trust STIPULATES to set aside the previously entered default of Deck Systems.

111

111 26

111 27

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

LLP I	11
]; Z:	12
W S S	13
WOLFE & WYMAN	14
Щ. Щ.	15
02.5	16
Treate.	17
9	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23

24

25

26

27

28

- UOFM Trust further STIPULATES that the December 14, 2017 Order granting Summary Judgment, including all Joinders thereto, shall be held applicable to DECK SYSTEMS as if it had timely joined Sunridge and Lands West's Joint Motion.
- In exchange for the above stipulations by Plaintiff, DECK SYSTEMS stipulates that it will not seek recovery from PLAINTIFF of any of its costs in relation to the December 14, 2017 Summary Judgment Order.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED:	W of	Felyenny	_, 2018.

WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

12753, Ar

Jarad D. Beckman, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 12328

6757 Spencer St.

Las Vegas NV 89119

Attorneys for Defendant/Cross Defendant,

CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA DATED 21 of February, 2018.

SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

By: Wendy L. Walker, Esq.

Nevada Backo. 10791 Michael A. Arata, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 11902

10655 Park Run Dr., Suite 275

Las Vegas, NV 89144

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of UOFM TRUST

# a.E

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-	
_1	
_	4
-	~
z	Wh.
*	w
٠,	o.
•	-
•	160
٠.	64
	×
Ś	2
-	0
	v
ø	
	•
ш	14
	*
ц,	WANT.
_	×
=	æ
O	0
=	
•	*
_	4

#### ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, in the matter of Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al., Clark County Case No. A-16-742143-D, the Default entered against Defendant CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT D/B/A DECK SYSTEMS filed on or about June 12, 2017, is set aside.

It is further ORDERED that Defendant, LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.'s and SUNRIDGE BUILDERS INC.'s Joint Motion for Summary Judgment is HEREBY GRANTED in favor of CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT D/B/A DECK SYSTEMS and against Plaintiff upon the same bases as set forth in the Court Dec. 14, 2017 Order granting the Joint Motion for Summary Judgment.

It is further ORDERED that CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT D/B/A DECK SYSTEMS will not seek any cost recovery from PLAINTIFF in relation to it being included in the Order granting Summary Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ebruny 28, 2018

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by,

WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

Jarad D. Beckman

Nevada Bar No. 12328

6757 Spencer St.

Las Vegas NV 89119

Attorneys for Defendant/Cross Defendant,

CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS

NEVADA

Electronically Filed
4/20/2018 4:50 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

1 NESO WILL LEMKUL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6715 CHRISTOPHER A. TURTZO, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 10253 MORRIS, SULLIVAN & LEMKUL, LLP 3770 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 170 Las Vegas, NV 89169 5 Telephone: (702) 405-8100 Facsimile: (702) 405-8101 6 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Defendant, 7 S & L Roofing, Inc. 8

#### DISTRICT COURT

#### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,

Plaintiffs,

13 | V

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

27

SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF

18 NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDCAPING, LLC, a Nevada

20 Limited Liability Company; IVIE

MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation;

21 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada

corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT

DBA SIGNATURE DOOR AND TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA

23 LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada

24 Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING,

25 NC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA

26 ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation;

SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a

28 Nevada Limited Liability Company;

CASE NO.: A-16-742143-D

DEPT. NO.: II

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO: 1) SET ASIDE DEFAULT AGAINST S & L ROOFING, INC.; AND 2) ENTER SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF S & L ROOFING, INC.

	WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and DOES 20 through 100 DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150,
	and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50, inclusive,
	Defendants.
	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
	Cross-Claimant,
	v,
	BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a
	Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware
	Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a
I	Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL
	ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET
	LANDCAPÍNG, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL,
	INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation;
	LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada
	Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING
	INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada
	Limited Liability Company; WINDOW
	INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1
II	through 100, inclusive,
	Cross-Defendants.
	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
	Third Party Plaintiff,
	v,
	BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company;

HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., A Nevada Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada Corporation; 3 WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 4 through 150 and ZOE CÓRPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive, 5 Third-Party Defendants. 6 7 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO: 1) SET ASIDE DEFAULT AGAINST S & L ROOFING, INC.; AND 2) ENTER JUDGMENT IN FAVOR 8 OF S & L ROOFING, INC. 9 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a STIPULATION AND ORDER TO: 1) SET ASIDE 10 DEFAULT AGAINST S & L ROOFING, INC.; AND 2) ENTER JUDGMENT IN FAVOR 11 OF S & L ROOFING, INC. was entered on this 20th day of April, 2018, a true and correct file-12 stamped copy of which is attached hereto. 13 Dated this 20th day of April, 2018 14 MORRIS SULLIVAN & LEMKUL, LLP 15 16 By: /s/ Christopher A. Turtzo WILL LEMKUL, ESQ. 17 Nevada Bar No. 6715 CHRISTOPHER A. TURTZO, ESQ. 18 Nevada Bar No. 10253 3770 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 170 19 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Defendant, 20 S & L Roofing, Inc. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that on April 20, 2018, I served a copy of the following document(s):

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO: 1) SET ASIDE DEFAULT

AGAINST S & L ROOFING, INC.; AND 2) ENTER JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF S & L

ROOFING, INC. on all parties in this action through the Eighth Judicial District Court's

Odyssey File & Serve Filing System.

/s/ Allyson Lodwick
AN EMPLOYEE OF MORRIS, SULLIVAN & LEMKUL, LLP

**Electronically Filed** 4/20/2018 10:48 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 SAO WILL LEMKUL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6715 CHRISTOPHER A. TURTZO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10253 MORRIS, SULLIVAN & LEMKUL, LLP 3770 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 170 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (702) 405-8100 Facsimile: (702) 405-8101 6 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Defendant, 7 S & L Roofing, Inc. 8

#### DISTRICT COURT

#### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

10

11

12

9

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,

Plaintiffs,

13

14

15

16

17

26

SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S

DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF 18 NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC 19 COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDCAPING, LLC, a Nevada

20 Limited Liability Company; IVIE

MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation;

21 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada

corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT

22 DBA SIGNATURE DOOR AND TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA 23

LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada

24 Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING,

25 INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA

FRAMING INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation;

SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, 27 Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a 28

Nevada Limited Liability Company;

CASE NO.: A-16-742143-D

DEPT. NO.: II

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO: 1) SET ASIDE DEFAULT AGAINST S & L ROOFING, INC.; AND 2) ENTER SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF S & L ROOFING, INC.

	LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and DOES 20 through 100 DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50,
	inclusive,
	Defendants.
	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
	Cross-Claimant,
	v.
	BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a
١	Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware
l	Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a
١	Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL
	ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET
	LANDCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL,
1	INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation;
	LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation;
	MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING
	INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY
	PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a
	Nevada Limited Liability Company and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,
	Cross-Defendants.
	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
	Third Party Plaintiff,
	v.
	BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company;

HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA
CABINETS, a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W.
CONCRETE, INC., A Nevada Corporation; JD
STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PIECE
OF THE ROCK, a Nevada Corporation;
WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an
Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101
through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101
through 150, inclusive,

Third-Party Defendants.

#### STIPULATION AND ORDER TO: 1) SET ASIDE DEFAULT AGAINST S & L ROOFING, INC.; AND 2) ENTER JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF S & L ROOFING, INC.

COMES NOW Defendant/Cross-Defendant, S & L ROOFING, INC., ("S&L") by and through its attorneys of record, Will Lemkul, Esq., and Christopher A. Turtzo, Esq. of the law firm of MORRIS, SULLIVAN & LEMKUL, LLP, and Plaintiff, JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM Trust, ("BYRNE") by and through her attorneys of record, Wendy L. Walker, Esq. and Michael A. Arata, Esq., of the law firm of SPRINGEL & FINK, LLP, hereby stipulate as follows:

BYRNE filed her Second Amended Complaint on March 31, 2017, naming S&L as a defendant. On June 30, 2017, BYRNE filed a Default against S&L.

Thereafter, on or about September 11, 2017, Defendant Sunridge Builders, Inc., and Defendant Lands West Builders, Inc., filed a Joint Motion for Summary Judgment against BYRNE, arguing that her claims were time barred pursuant to NRS 11.202. On November 3, 2017, the Court granted the Joint Motion for Summary Judgment. On or about December 14, 2017, the Court issued a Nunc Pro Tunc Order, which amended summary judgment to include all joining parties.

Based upon, and in light of the foregoing, the parties hereto now stipulate as follows:

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that the Default entered on June 30, 2017 against Defendant S & L ROOFING, INC., is hereby set aside by stipulation of the parties.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the Court's December 14, 2017 order granting summary judgment applies to S&L Roofing as if S&L Roofing had timely joined the original motion.

1 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that judgment be entered in favor of S & L 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 By: 13 WHILL LEMKLIL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6715 14 CHRISTOPHER A. TURTZO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10253 15 3770 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 170 Las Vegas, NV 89169 16 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Defendant, S & L Roofing, Inc. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

ROOFING, INC., and against Plaintiff, JANETTE BYRNE as Trustee of the UOFM Trust pursuant to the December 15, 2017 Nunc Pro Tunc Order Granting Lands West Builders, Inc.'s and Sunridge Builders, Inc.'s Joint Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1). IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that in exchange for the above agreements by BYRNE, S&L agrees that it will not seek recovery from BYRNE of any of its costs in relation to the December 14, 2017 summary judgment order. IT IS SO STIPULATED. Dated this | C day of April, 2018 Dated this /3day of April, 2018 MORRIS SULLIVAN/& LEMKUL, LLP SPRINGEL & FINK, LLP By:

WENDY LIWALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, NV 89144 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Janette Byrne as

Case No..: A-16-742143-D STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT AGAINST S & L ROOFING, INC. AND ENTER JUDGMENT

#### ORDER

Based on the foregoing stipulation between the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- The Default against Defendant/Cross-Defendant, S & L ROOFING by Plaintiff,
   Janette Byrne, as Trustee of the UOFM Trust, is hereby vacated and set aside;
- 2) Lands West Builders, Inc.'s and Sunridge Builders Inc.'s Joint Motion for Summary Judgment is hereby granted in favor of S&L Roofing and against Plaintiff upon the same bases as set forth in the Court's December 14, 2017 Order granting the Joint Motion for Summary Judgment;
- Judgment shall entered in favor of S & L ROOFING, INC., and against Plaintiff, JANETTE BYRNE as Trustee of the UOFM Trust, pursuant to the December 15, 2017 Nunc Pro Tunc Order Granting Lands West Builders, Inc.'s and Sunridge Builders, Inc.'s Joint Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1); and
- S&L will not seek any cost recovery from Plaintiff in relation to it being included in the Order granting Summary Judgment.

DATED this 18th day of April, 2018.

HONORABÉE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

85

Respectfully Submitted:

MORRIS, SULLIYAN & LEMKUL, LLP

23 24 B

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

27

CHRISTOPHER A. TURTZO, ESQ.

25 Nevada Bar No. 10253

3770 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 170

26 Las Vegas, NV 89169

Telephone: (702) 405-8100 Facsimile: (702) 405-8101

Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Defendant,

28 S & L ROOFING, INC.

Electronically Filed 3/13/2018 1:19 PM

	Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,
l	Defendants.
	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada ) Corporation, )
I	Cross-Claimant,
	vs. )
	BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION; a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE) S DEVELOPMENT dba DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, ) LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, ) a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,
	Cross-Defendants.
	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada ) Corporation, )
	Third-Party Plaintiff,
	vs. )
	BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL &

PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and M 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS through 150 inclusive,  Third-Party Defe  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER OF BUILDERS, INC.'S MOTION FOR	3 101 )  ndants. )  GRANTII	- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Ord	er Granti	ng Defendant Lands West Builders, Inc.'s
Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs was en	tered on t	he 13 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2018. A copy of
said Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
Dated: March 13, 2018.		GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP
	Ву:	/s/ Brian K. Walters ROBERT E. SCHUMACHER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7504 BRIAN K. WALTERS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9711 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 Las Vegas, NV 89101
		Attorneys for Defendant LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC

## Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 Las Vegas, NV 89101

1130482/37177566v.1

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), Administrative Order 14-2 effective June 1, 2014, and N.E.F.C.R. Rule 9, I certify that I am an employee of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP and that on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2018, I did cause a true and correct copy of NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS to be served via the Court's electronic filing service upon the parties on the Court's service list for the above-referenced case.

/s/ Chelsey Holland

An employee of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP

## EXHIBIT A

### ORIGINAL

**Electronically Filed** 3/13/2018 10:43 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR 1 ROBERT E. SCHUMACHER, ESQ 2 Nevada Bar No. 7504 BRIAN K. WALTERS, ESO. 3 Nevada Bar No. 9711 GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP 4 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 5 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 577-9319 6 Facsimile: (702) 255-2858 Email: rschumacher@grsm.com bwalters@grsm.com 8 Attorneys for Defendant 9 LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 10 11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 12 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST, 13 Plaintiff, 14 VS. 15 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada 16 Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a 17 Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability 18 Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE) 19 S DEVELOPMENT dba DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 4M 20 CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; 21 GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada

Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE,

INC., a Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. dba

LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada

Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. dba

RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY

PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada

Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada)

CASE NO. A-16-742143-D DEPT. NO.: II

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 Las Vegas, NV 89101

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MAR 0 5 2018

- 1	
1	Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC dba )
	BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited )
	Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION
.	SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability
	Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or
1	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,
	SOLITETER KOES 2 modeli 30 metasive,
	Defendants.
	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada )
	Corporation,
	Const Claiment
	Cross-Claimant,
	vs.
1	BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited
1	Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada
	Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES
	CORPORATION; a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE) S DEVELOPMENT dba DECK SYSTEMS OF
1	NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK
	CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
1	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign )
1	Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING
	LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE
1	MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
	J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation;
	LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation;
1	MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada
	Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada)
1	Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a Nevada
1	Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, )
1	LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company;
1	WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, )
	a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MOES 1 ) through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1 through )
1	100, inclusive,
	100, memore,
	Cross-Defendants.
ı	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
	Corporation,
	5
	Third-Party Plaintiff,
	)
1	vs.
	BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
	EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada
	Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS
	INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada
	Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada
	Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada
	Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada )
- 1	PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH

Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL &	
PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES	
101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150 inclusive,	
Third-Party Defendants.	

#### ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS

On January 8, 2018, Defendant LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.'s ("Lands West") Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Motion") came on for hearing before Department XVI of the above entitled Court, The Honorable Richard F. Scotti presiding. Brian K. Walters, Esq., with the law firm of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP appeared for Lands West. Leonard T. Fink, Esq. with the law firm of SPRINGEL & FINK LLP appeared for Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST ("Plaintiff").

Having considered the Motion, Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion, Lands West's Reply in Support of the Motion, oral arguments of counsel during the January 8, 2018 hearing and supplemental briefing submitted by Lands West and Plaintiff as requested by the Court, and for good cause appearing, the Court finds as follows:

#### I. Application of the Beattie Factors.

The Court applies the factors under *Beattie v. Thomas*, 99 Nev. 579, 588-89 (1983) to determine whether Lands West is entitled to an award of fees under NRCP 68 and NRS 40.652(4) and, if so, how much.

#### A. Whether Plaintiff's claim was brought in good faith.

The first Beattie factor is whether Plaintiff's claim was brought in good faith.

Lands West coontends that Plaintiff had no good faith reason to drag it into this lawsuit.

It is true that Plaintiff knew or should have known that Lands West was not the general contractor and not involved in the initial construction. Plaintiff contends that it brought Lands

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

West into the lawsuit due to Lands West's defectively performed repair work. However, Plaintiff's initial Chapter 40 Notice did not identify any defects in repair work by Lands West. However, the existence of later defects possibly caused by Lands West became eventually known. Further, Plaintiff never identified the extent to which Lands West might be liable for any of the \$1.8 million in damages claimed by Plaintiff. Plaintiff contends that it expected to obtain this information in discovery. Plaintiff never distinguished the damages attributable to Defendant Sunridge Builders, Inc. ("Sunrdige") arising from original construction from the damages allegedly attributable to Lands West for its allegedly defective post-construction repairs. Plaintiff suggests that Sunridge and Lands West should have been able to determine such allocation.

On these facts, the COURT FINDS that the Complaint was not brought in good faith. Thus, the first factor weighs in favor of Lands West.

#### В. Whether Lands West's offer of judgment was reasonable in its timing and amount.

The Beattie second factor is whether Lands West's offer of judgment was reasonable in its timing and amount. Lands West made its offer of judgment in the amount of \$10,001.00 two (2) months after it entered the lawsuit. At that time, Plaintiff either knew, or should have known, that Lands West was not the general contractor on the job. From the time of Plaintiff's Chapter 40 Notice until the time of Lands West's offer of judgment, Plaintiff knew that it might have a statute of repose problem. Plaintiff could have accepted Lands West's offer of judgment and recovered an amount to pay for a significant portion of its costs; instead, Plaintiff decided to take the risk that the Court would, in the future, resolve the statute of limitations issue in its favor. Under these circumstances, the COURT FINDS that Lands West's offer of judgment was made in good faith in both timing and amount. Thus, the second factor weighs in favor of Lands West.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### C. Whether Plaintiff's decision to reject Lands West's offer was grossly unreasonable or in bad faith.

The third Beattie factor is whether Plaintiff's decision to reject Lands West's offer was grossly unreasonable or in bad faith. Plaintiff alleged damages in the amount of roughly \$1.8 million. It appears from the documents provided in its supplemental brief that Plaintiff developed the post-Complaint view that Lands West might be responsible for defective repairs. It is undisputed that Lands West did perform some repairs over a two-year period of time.

Plaintiff did engage experts that expressed opinions that the repair work was defective. The experts found that it would cost about \$36,739.29 to make roof repair and \$186,817.02 to make foundation repairs. At the time of the offer of judgment, Plaintiff had not yet determined how much of these repair costs were Lands West's responsibility, but Plaintiff's expert opined that the amount of Lands West's liability was certainly greater than \$10,001.00.

At the time of the offer of judgment, Plaintiff had to consider: (1) the amount potentially owed by Lands West; (2) the attorney's fees and time and effort to recover the amount owed; (3) the risk in seeking to convince the trier of fact of the amount owed; (4) and the risk of some legal impediment to recovery.

Plaintiff either knew or should have known of the probability that the Court might interpret the statute of repose issue differently than its understanding of the law, which could result in the elimination of its claims. It seems clear to the Court that Plaintiff had considered, to some extent, the statute of repose issues applicable to this case. Although the Court believes it properly interpreted and applied the law, Plaintiff had a different view.

In determining whether Plaintiff's rejection of the offer was grossly unreasonable, the proper analysis is not whether Plaintiff got it wrong or whether Plaintiff should have known that it would lose on the issue. The proper analysis is whether any reasonable attorney would have

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

continued to pursue the claim after the offer of judgment. Under this standard, the COURT FINDS that, at the time of the offer, Plaintiff had enough information to decide not to continue to pursue its claim against Lands West, and should have accepted the offer. Thus, the Beattie third factor weighs slightly in favor of Lands West.

#### D. The reasonableness of the requested fees (Brunzell factors).

As to the fourth Beattle factor, the Court has considered the factors set forth in Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349 (1969). Lands West's attorneys were eminently qualified. The attorneys' work was difficult given the issues of apportionment, alter ego, analysis of defects and repairs, and the statute of repose. The work actually performed was commensurate to the needs of the case. The rates charged and time incurred were both reasonable. The result was clearly in favor of Lands West.

The Court has studied Lands West's attorney invoices reflecting the hours worked and billed on this case. The COURT FINDS that the hours were reasonable and necessary, and are supported by the documents, except as follows: (a) the Court finds that Lands West billed approximately ten (10) hours for excessive document review and/or excessive analysis; (b) the COURT FINDS that Lands West billed approximately ten (10) hours on matters that have entries that are too vague; (c) the Court finds that Lands West billed about five (5) hours for excessive legal research; and (d) the COURT FINDS that Lands West billed about twenty (20) hours on matters that the Court disallows because the redactions made by Lands West made it too difficult for the Court to determine whether such hours were indeed reasonable and necessary for the case. The total disallowed hours is 45.

The Court has applied the rough average rate appearing from the billing of \$225/hr. Accordingly, the total disallowed fees is \$10,125.00. The resulting amount of allowable fees is \$94,662.50.

THE COURT THEREFORE ORDERS that Lands West's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs be GRANTED under NRCP 68 and NRS 40.652. Lands West is entitled to an award of attorney's fees from Plaintiff in the amount of \$94,662.50. Dated this \_\_\_ day of March, 2018.

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST

28 1130482/36919445v.1

27

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

On balance, considering all of the factors, the Court concludes that Lands West in entitled to an award of attorney's fees under NRCP 68 and NRS 40.652, in the amount of \$94,662.50. THE COURT THEREFORE ORDERS that Lands West's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs be GRANTED under NRCP 68 and NRS 40.652. Lands West is entitled to an Dated this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of February, 2018. award of attorney's fees from Plaintiff in the amount of \$94,662.50. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST

1130482/36919445v.1

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), Administrative Order 14-2 effective June 1, 2014, and N.E.F.C.R. Rule 9, I certify that I am an employee of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP and that on this 13th day of March, 2018, I did cause a true and correct copy of ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS to be served via the Court's electronic filing service upon the parties on the Court's service list for the above-referenced case.

/s/ Chelsey Holland

An employee of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP

Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550

Las Vegas, NV 89101

1130482/36919445v.1

1	
2	
3	ı
4	١
5	ı
6	ı
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	I
13	I
14	I
	ı
15	I
16	ı
17	ı
18	ı
19	
20	
21	
22	ı
23	I
24	ı
25	
26	
27	

Greg W. Mash, Esq. **LAW OFFICES OF GREG W. MARSH** Nevada Bar No. 322 731 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101-6907

Attorneys for Defendant General Electric Company

3

# 1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 I hereby certify that on the 8th day of December, 2017, I served upon the registered 3 parties herein a copy of the following: NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING

parties herein a copy of the following: NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY WITH PREJUDICE via Clark County Odyssey Electronic Service upon the parties on the Court's service list for the above-referenced case.

 /s/ Jeannette Felix
An employee of Bowman and Brooke LLP

18854465v1

Electronically Filed 12/7/2017 10:24 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

SODW GREG W. MARSH, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 322 LAW OFFICES OF GREG W. MARSH 3 731 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101-6907 4 (702) 387-0052 (Telephone) (702) 387-0063 (Facsimile) 5 gwm4253@aol.com 6 CURTIS J. BUSBY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6581 BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 1600 8 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 (602) 643-2300 (Telephone) 9 (602) 248-0947 (Facsimile) curtis.busby@bowmanandbrooke.com 10 Attorneys for Defendant General Electric Company 11 12 DISTRICT COURT 13 DEPARTMENT CHANGE CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 14 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM 15 CASE NO.: A-16-742143-D TRUST. 16 Dept.: Plaintiff, 17 STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR ٧. 18 DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY WITH 19 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada PREJUDICE Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a 20 Nevada Corporation: AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 21 BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Date of Hearing: N/A Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 22 N/A Time of Hearing: CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; 23 CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 24 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL 25 ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; 26 GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 27 MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada 28 KBV 3 0 2017

Corporation: KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; 2 LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT, DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN 3 WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada 4 Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada 5 Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a 7 Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW 9 INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 10 100: DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 11 through ISO, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive. 12 Defendants. 13 14 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee 15 of the UOFM TRUST and Defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, that JANETTE 16 BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST hereby dismisses Defendant GENERAL 17 ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, each party to bear its own fees and costs. 18 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that this Stipulation and Order of 19 Dismissal with Prejudice is made pursuant to a good faith settlement by and between the 20 parties hereto made in accordance with N.R.S. 17.245. 21 22 111 23 111 24 111 25 26 27 28

1	DATED this 29T day of November, 2017. DATED this 16 day of November, 2017.
2	LAW OFFICES OF GREG W. MARSH SPRINGEL & FINK LLP
3 4	By: Wendy L. Walker, Esq.
5	Nevada Bar No. 322 Michael A. Arata, Esq.
6	731 South Seventh Street SPRINGEL & FINK LLP Las Vegas, Nevada 89101-6907 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 In conjunction with:
8	In conjunction with:
9	Curtis J. Busby, Esq.  Nevada Bar No. 6581  Suite 1600, Phoenix Plaza  Timothy S. Menter, Esq.  MENTER & WITKIN LLP
10	2901 North Central Avenue 19900 MacArthur Boulevard, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2736 Irvine, California 92612
11	
12	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Attorneys for Plaintiff General Electric Company
13	
14	
15	ORDER AND DECREED that Plaintiff IANETTE
16	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff JANETTE
17	BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST's claims for relief against Defendant GENERAL
18	ELECTRIC COMPANY, as stated in the operative Complaint in Case No. A-16-742143-D, are
19	dismissed with prejudice with each party to bear its own fees and costs.
20	DATED this day of, 2017.
21	
22	(13 craw
23	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
24	$\bigcup \circ \downarrow$
25	111
26	111
27	111
28	

Respectfully submitted by, LAW OFFICES OF GREG W. MARSH Greg W. Mash, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 322 In conjunction with: Curtis J. Busby, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6581 BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP Attorneys for Defendant General Electric Company 

Electronically Filed 12/20/2017 2:47 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT NEO 1 PETER C. BROWN Nevada State Bar No. 5887 2 DEVIN R. GIFFORD Nevada State Bar No. 14055 3 BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP 4 1160 N. TOWN CENTER DRIVE SUITE 250 5 LAS VEGAS, NV 89144 TELEPHONE: (702) 258-6665 FACSIMILE: (702) 258-6662 6 pbrown@bremerwhyte.com 7 dgifford@bremerwhyte.com 8 Counsel for Defendant, Trim Time, LLC dba Blitz Construction 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY NEVADA 11 12 Case No. A-16-742143-D JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM 13 TRUST, Dept. No.: II 14 Plaintiff, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF 15 VS. DEFENDANT TRIM TIME, LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION WITH SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC; a NEVADA 16 Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC; a PREJUDICE Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, 17 LLC, a Nevada Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, BSH HOME APPLIANCES COPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; 19 CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 20 DMK CONCRETE, INC; a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP, a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL 21 ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANT LANDSCAPING LLC, a 22 Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL INC; a Nevada Corporation; 23 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC.; a Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT 24 DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. DBA 25 LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada 26 Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING INC; a Nevada Corporation; pyramid plumbing; a 27 Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. 28 BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP 160 N. Town Center Drive Suite 250 Las Vegas, NV 89144 (702) 258-6665 H:\1233\857\CF\Settlement\SAO - Dismissal with Prejudice

Case Number: A-16-742143-D

1 2	BBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada ) Corporation; S&L ROOFING INC; a Colorado ) Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT )
3	APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA
4	BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited ) Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION )
5	SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability ) Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN )
6	PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 THROUGH 150, ) and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,, )
7	Defendants.
8	)
9	YOU AND EACH OF YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a STIPULATION AND
10	ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT TRIM TIME, LLC DBA BLITZ
11	CONSTRUCTION WITH PREJUDICE was entered in the above-entitled action on December
12	18, 2017, a copy of which is attached hereto
13	DATED December 20, 2017 BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP
14	1 / ·
15	Ву:
16	Peter C. Brown, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 5887
17	Devin R. Gifford, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 14055
18	Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant, TRIM TIME, LLC dba BLITZ
19	CONSTRUCTION
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
LP ter Drive	2

BREMER WIPYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP 1160 N. Town Center Drive Suite 250 Las Vegas, NV 89144 (702) 258-6665

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 20th day of December, 2017, a true and correct copy of the foregone document was electronically delivered to Odyssey for filing and service upon all electronic service list recipients.

(Bes. )

BREMER, WHYTE, BROWN & O'MEARA, LLP

Amree Stellabotte, an Employee of

BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP 1160 N. Town Center Drive Suite 250 Las Vegas, NV 89144 (702) 258-6665

## ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 12/18/2017 4:54 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

60000	-
SAO PETER C. BROWN	
DEVIN R. GIFFORD	
BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP	
SUITE 250	
TELEPHONE: (702) 258-6665	
phrown@bremerwhyte.com	
dgifford@bremerwhyte.com	
Counsel for Defendant, Trim Time, LLC dba Blitz Construction	,
	COURT
CLARK COUNT	Y NEVADA
IANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM	Case No. A-16-742143-D
TRUST,	Dept. No.: II
Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR
vs.	DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT TRIM TIME, LLC DBA BLITZ
SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC; a NEVADA	CONSTRUCTION WITH PREJUDICE
Navada Corporation: AVANTI PRODUCTS,	}
MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability	
COPORATION a Delaware Corporation;	{
Reverence NEVADA a Nevada Corporation:	(
LAM CODD a Nevada Corporation: GENERAL	{
GREEN PLANT LANDSCAPING LLC, a	{
MECHANICAL INC: a Nevada Corporation;	{
Corporation: KARL HENRY LINSENBARD	{
LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT, DBA	{
MOINTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada	{
Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING INC; a	{
Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC.	)
	DEC 1 & 2017
	PETER C. BROWN Nevada State Bar No. 5887 DEVIN R. GIFFORD Nevada State Bar No. 14055 BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP 1160 N. TOWN CENTER DRIVE SUITE 250 LAS VEGAS, NV 89144 TELEPHONE: (702) 258-6665 FACSIMILE: (702) 258-6662 pbrown@bremerwhyte.com dgifford@bremerwhyte.com Counsel for Defendant, Trim Time, LLC dba Blitz Construction  DISTRICT C CLARK COUNT  JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,  Plaintiff,  vs.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC; a NEVADA Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC; a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, BSH HOME APPLIANCES COPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC; a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC; a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANT LANDSCAPING LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL INC; a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANT LANDSCAPING LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL INC; a Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation;

GMEMER WAYTE BROWN A GMEARA LLP 1980 N Tour Center Drive Suite 759 Les Veges, NV 09144 (707) 234-0005

H:\1233\857\CI^\Settlement\SAO - Dismissal with Prejudice

DEC 1 4 2017

```
BBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada
             Corporation; S&L ROOFING INC; a Colorado
             Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT
         3 Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA
             BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited
             Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION 
SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability
             Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN
             PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 THROUGH 150,
             and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,,
                            Defendants.
          7
          8
                     COMES NOW, Defendant, TRIM TIME, LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, ("TRIM
          9
             TIME") by and through its attorneys of record, Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara, and Plaintiff,
             JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST, by and through her counsel of record,
             Springel & Fink, LLP, and hereby stipulate and agree that this matter, Plaintiff's Second Amended
             Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial, and each and every cause of action alleged therein be
         13
             dismissed with prejudice as to TRIM TIME, with each party to bear their own attorney's fees and
         14
             costs. This Stipulation is entered into in good faith, in the interests of judicial economy and not for
         15
         16
              the purposes of delay.
         17
              111
         18
             111
          19
             111
         20
             111
          21
              111
          22
              111
          23
              111
          24
              111
          25
              ///
          26
              111
          27
              ///
DREMER WHATE BROWN &
GMEANA LLP
15GON Town Carles Brive
Bulle 25G
                                                                2
 Les Voges, NY 68144
(702) 208-8605
```

H:\1233\857\CF\Settlement\SAO - Dismissal with Prejudice

,,,		
1	Dated this 11 day of Docom 02017	Dated this 13 day of Docuber, 2017.
- 1	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP	BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA,
3	SPRINGED & PARK 22	LLP
4	// //	
5	Mondollar	
- 1	Wendy L. Walker, Esq.	Peter C. Brown, Esq., Nevada State Bar No. 5887
6	Nevada Bar/No. 10791 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275	Devin R. Gifford, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 14055
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144	1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 250
8	Counsel for Plaintiff	Las Vegas, NV 89144
9	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST	Counsel for Defendant, TRIM TIME, LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION
10		CONSTRUCTION
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	1	
26		
27	1	
28	<b>3</b>	

#### ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this matter, Plaintiff's

Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al., Case #: A-16-742143-D

Second Amended Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial, and each and every cause of action alleged therein be dismissed with prejudice as to TRIM TIME, with each party to bear their own attorney's

fees and costs. This Stipulation is entered into in good faith, in the interests of judicial economy and

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

٠.

11

12

Submitted by:

13 BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA, LLP

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

BIVEMER WARY HE BROWN A ONGANA LLP 1160 N Trent Center Drive Suria 250 Lee Vages, NV 80144 (702) 256-6005 4

H:\1233\857\CF\Settlement\SAO - Dismissal with Prejudice

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Peter C. Brown, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 5887 Devin R. Gifford, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 14055

not for the purposes of delay.

1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 250 Las Vegas, NV 89144

TRIM TIME, LLC DBA BLITZ

Counsel for Defendant,

CONSTRUCTION

## ORIGINAL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NVDP TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7091 MENTER & WITKIN LLP 19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800 Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 250-9000 Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com  WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 804-0706 Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 E-Mail: wwalker@springelfink.com marata@springelfink.com	
12	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)	
13	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
14	**	*
15	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM	) Case No.: A-16-742143-D
16	TRUST,	) Dept. No.: II )
17	Plaintiff,	
18	vs.	PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF
19	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a	) VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT ) PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO NRCP 41(a)
20	Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC,	
21	a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability	) CORPORATION
22	Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;	
23	CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK	
24	SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;	)
25	4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL	
26	ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a	)
27	Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE	
28	MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;	) )
20		

-1-

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,	)
12	Defendants.	
13	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada	
14	Corporation,	
15	Cross-Claimant,	
16		
17	vs.	
18	BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited ) Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada )	
19	Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES	
20	CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; ) CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK )	
21	SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; )	
22	DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; ) GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign )	
23	Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, )	
	LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE ) MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; )	
24	J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; )	
25	LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation;	
26	MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada )	
27	Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a )	
28	,	

-2-

1	Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability	
3	Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION )	
4	SPECIALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability ) Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE )	PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF
5	CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,	VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO NRCP 41(a)
6	Cross-Defendants.	OF DEFENDANT BSH HOME APPLIANCES
7	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada )	CORPORATION
8	Corporation, )	
9	Third-Party Plaintiff,	
10	vs.	
11	BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation;	
12	EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada ) Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS )	
13	INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada ) Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada )	
14	Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada )	
15	Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada ) Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & )	
16	PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES ) 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 )	
17	through 150, inclusive,	
18	Third-Party Defendants.	
19		
20	Defendant BSH Home Appliances ("BSH")	not having filed or served an answer, motion for
21	summary judgment or otherwise having appeared her	rein Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the
22	UOFM TRUST requests, authorizes and directs the	Clerk of the Court to enter a dismissal without
23	prejudice pursuant to NRCP 41(a) as to Defendant BSI	H only. Defendant BSH. has incurred no filing fees.
24	DATED this 11 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2018	DDDVCEI & EDW IID
25	S.	PRINGEL & FINK LLP
26	By:	/s/ Wendy L. Walker VENDY L. WALKER, ESQ.
27		levada Bar No. 10791

10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Counsel for Plaintiff

On application of Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST, no answer, 1 motion for summary judgment or other appearance having been filed or served by Defendant BSH Home 2 Appliances, a notice of the dismissal of this action having been duly signed, the above-entitled action as 3 to Defendant BSH Home Appliances is hereby dismissed without prejudice pursuant to NRCP 41(a). 4 CLERK OF THE COURT 5 APR 1 2 2018 6 7 Deputy Cler Date 8 PRISH LAPIRA Submitted by: 9 10 /s/ Wendy L. Walker 11 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 12 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 13 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 14 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 15 wwalker@springelfink.com marata@springelfink.com 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

(N0387191;2)

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al.	
2	2 Case No. A-16-742143-D	
3	II.	
4	]] ) ss.	
5	5 CLARK COUNTY )	
6	I, Kathleen Bratton, declare:	
7 8	and not a party to the within action. My business address is 10655 Park Run Dr	the age of eighteen years ive, Suite 275, Las Vegas,
9 10	DISMISSAL, WITHOUT PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO NRCP 41(a), OF DI	
11	SEE ATTACHED ELECTRONIC SERVICE LIST	
12	2	
13	II mercon rany prepara, in the critica states man at Eas vegas	, Nevada. I am "readily
14 15	with postage fully prepaid at Las Vegas. Nevada in the ordinary	service on that same day
16	VIA FACSIMILE: by transmitting to a facsimile machine ma whom it is served at the facsimile machine telephone number a	t last given by that person
17	the date and place of transmission and the facsimile transm	ission bears a notation of
18	transmitted. A confirmation of the transmission containing	the facsimile telephone
20	o	o Courtle P Cline Sustan
21	X VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by submitting the foregoing to the for Electronic Service upon the Court's Service List pursuant to	EDCR 8. The copy of the
22	document electronically served bears a notation of the date and tindeduced document will be maintained with the document(s) served and	be made available, upon
23	reasonable notice, for inspection by counsel or the Court.	
24	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
25		
26		
27	An Employee of SPRINGI	EL & FINK LLP

## E-Service Master List For Case of *Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al.*Case No. A-16-742143-D

1

3	fhale@jamsadr.com	bbuckwalter@keatinglg.com
4	dholloman@jamsadr.com	spilotro@keatinglg.com
	fhale@floydhale.com	
5		tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com
6	camie.devoge@aig.com	
	jessica.west@aig.com	dasilva@morrissullivanlaw.com
7	Nami de Oblemica com	lodwick@morrissullivanlaw.com
8	hdaniels@blgwins.com	turtzo@morrissullivanlaw.com
۰	jfornetti@blgwins.com	wiznet@morrissullivanlaw.com
9	astellabotte@bremerwhyte.com	cingland@mvjllp.com
10	cwilliams@bremerwhyte.com	jdorame@mvjllp.com
10	dgifford@bremerwhyte.com	lmai@mvjllp.com
11	jsaab@bremerwhyte.com	qdube@mvjllp.com
12	jvela@bremerwhyte.com	
12	kvasquez@bremerwhyte.com	rosss26@nationwide.com
13	lperez@bremerwhyte.com	tdlvplea@nationwide.com
14	pbrown@bremerwhyte.com	
14		bbuckwalter@princekeating.com
15	ayoung@brownbonn.com	
1.0	drutherford@brownbonn.com	adalacas@rlattorneys.com
16	nvadmin@brownbonn.com	cdrose@rlattorneys.com ronorato@rlattorneys.com
17	david@drjohnsonpllc-law.com	scarbone@rlattorneys.com
10	davidigarjonnsonprie-iaw.com	scar concustanto meys.com
18	amontero@gordonrees.com	admin@sdlawoffice.net
19	bwalters@gordonrees.com	
	cjholland@gordonrees.com	bcook@skanewilcox.com
20	llaw@gordonrees.com	lingleberger@skanewilcox.com
21	mogella@gordonrees.com	sbrown@skanewilcox.com
	rschumacher@gordonrees.com	
22		debra.watson@thehartford.com
23	cpagaduan@grsm.com	joshua.montoya@thehartford.com
	sowens@grsm.com	mark.brown@thehartford.com reed.werner@thehartford.com
24	churtik@hurtiklaw.com	reed.werner@dienartiord.com
25	jpatterson@hurtiklaw.com	jhanson@whwlawcorp.com
	mwalker@hurtiklaw.com	mrigley@whwlawcorp.com
26	nramirez@hurtiklaw.com	
27		charles.simmons@wilsonelser.com
		efilelasvegas@wilsonelser.com
28		pamela.lamper@wilsonelser.com
- 1	II	

1	apanford@wolfenzon.com
2	brandy@wolfenzon.com bruno@wolfenzon.com
3	dion@wolfenzon.com
4	jpendleton@wolfenzon.com jrolle@wolfenzon.com lourdes@wolfenzon.com
5	
6	empastor@wolfewyman.com jdbeckman@wolfewyman.com
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

## ORIGINAL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NVDP TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7091 MENTER & WITKIN LLP 19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800 Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 250-9000 Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com  WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 804-0706 Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 E-Mail: wwalker@springelfink.com	
11	marata@springelfink.com	
12	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)	
13		T COURT NTY, NEVADA
14	*	**
15	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,	) Case No.: A-16-742143-D
16	TROST,	) Dept. No.: II )
17	Plaintiff,	}
18		) PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF
19	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a	) VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT ) PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO NRCP 41(a)
20	Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT	) OF DEFENDANT 4M CORP
21	MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability	)
22	Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;	)
23	CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK	Ó
24	SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;	)
25	4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL	
26	ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a	)
27	Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation;	
28	J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;	)

1	KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a	)
2	Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST	)
3	ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada	PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF
4	Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada	) VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT ) PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO NRCP 41(a)
5	Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L	OF DEFENDANT 4M CORP
6	ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY	)
7	PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC	
8	DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited	
9	Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability	
10	Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN	
11	PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada ) Corporation, )	
15	)	
16	Cross-Claimant,	
	vs.	
17	BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited )	
18	Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada )	
19	Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES ) CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; )	
20	CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK )	
21	SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; ) DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; )	
22	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign )	
23	Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, ) LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE )	
24	MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; )	
25	J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; ) LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA )	
26	LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada	
27	Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC.,	
28	Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a )	

- 2 -

1	Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT	) ) ) PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF
2	APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION	VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT
4	SPECIALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE	) PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO NRCP 41(a) ) OF DEFENDANT 4M CORP
5	CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,	) )
6	Cross-Defendants.	
7	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,	
8	Third-Party Plaintiff,	) )
9		
10	vs.	
11	BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; ) EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada )	) )
12	Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada	
13	Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada	ı.
14	Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada	) )
15	Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & ) PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES )	
16	101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101	
17	through 150, inclusive,	
18 19	Third-Party Defendants.	
20	Defendant 4M Corp. not having filed or set	rved an answer, motion for summary judgment or
21	otherwise having appeared herein Plaintiff JANETTE	
22	authorizes and directs the Clerk of the Court to enter	
23	41(a) as to Defendant 4M CORP. only. Defendant 4M	A CORP, has incurred no filing fees.
24	DATED this 11th day of April, 2018	TRADICIES & PRIZATE
25		SPRINGEL & FINK LLP
26	By:	/s/ Wendy L. Walker WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ.
27	1	Nevada Bar No. 10791
28	I	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
		Counsel for Plaintiff

- 3 -

1	On application of Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST, no answer
2	motion for summary judgment or other appearance having been filed or served by Defendant 4M CORP.
3	a notice of the dismissal of this action having been duly signed, the above-entitled action as to Defendant
4	4M CORP. is hereby dismissed without prejudice pursuant to NRCP 41(a).
5	CLERK OF THE COURT
6	ADV
7	By: De Claron of All
8	Deputy Clerk Date  APR 1 2 2018
9	Submitted by: RISH LAPIRA
10	/s/ Wendy L. Walker
11	WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ.
12	Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ.
13	Nevada Bar No. 11902 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP
14	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
15	wwalker@springelfink.com marata@springelfink.com
16	marata@springerink.com
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

(N0387191;2)

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al.
2	Case No. A-16-742143-D
3	
4	STATE OF NEVADA ) ss.
5	CLARK COUNTY
6	I, Kathleen Bratton, declare:
7 8	I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275, Las Vegas, Nevada 89144.
9	On April 13, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL, WITHOUT PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO NRCP 41(a), OF DEFENDANT 4M CORP, as
10	follows:
11	SEE ATTACHED ELECTRONIC SERVICE LIST
12	
13	VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada. I am "readily
14	familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence by mailing.  Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage fully prepaid at Las Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business
15	
16 17	VIA FACSIMILE: by transmitting to a facsimile machine maintained by the person on whom it is served at the facsimile machine telephone number at last given by that person on any document which he/she has filed in the cause and served on the party making the
18	service. The copy of the document served by facsimile transmission bears a notation of the date and place of transmission and the facsimile telephone number to which transmitted. A confirmation of the transmission containing the facsimile telephone
19	numbers to which the document(s) was/were transmitted will be maintained with the document(s) served.
20	X VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by submitting the foregoing to the Court's E-filing System
21	for Electronic Service upon the Court's Service List pursuant to EDCR 8. The copy of the document electronically served bears a notation of the date and time of service. The original
22	document will be maintained with the document(s) served and be made available, upon reasonable notice, for inspection by counsel or the Court.
23	
24	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
25	/s/ Kathleen Bratton
26	An Employee of SPRINGEL & FINK LLP
27	The Employee of Strations of Third Best
28	

(N0387191;2) - 1 -

## E-Service Master List For Case of Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al. Case No. A-16-742143-D

-	Case 140. 21	-10-742143-D
3	fhale@jamsadr.com	bbuckwalter@keatinglg.com
4	dholloman@jamsadr.com	spilotro@keatinglg.com
4	fhale@floydhale.com	7
5		tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com
6	camie.devoge@aig.com	
	jessica.west@aig.com	dasilva@morrissullivanlaw.com
7	11.11.011	lodwick@morrissullivanlaw.com
8	hdaniels@blgwins.com	turtzo@morrissullivanlaw.com
0	jfornetti@blgwins.com	wiznet@morrissullivanlaw.com
9	astellabotte@bremerwhyte.com	cingland@mvjllp.com
10	cwilliams@bremerwhyte.com	jdorame@mvjllp.com
10	dgifford@bremerwhyte.com	lmai@mvjllp.com
11	jsaab@bremerwhyte.com	qdube@mvjllp.com
12	jvela@bremerwhyte.com	1
12	kvasquez@bremerwhyte.com	rosss26@nationwide.com
13	lperez@bremerwhyte.com	tdlvplea@nationwide.com
1.4	pbrown@bremerwhyte.com	
14		bbuckwalter@princekeating.com
15	ayoung@brownbonn.com	11
1.6	drutherford@brownbonn.com	adalacas@rlattorneys.com
16	nvadmin@brownbonn.com	cdrose@rlattorneys.com ronorato@rlattorneys.com
17	david@drjohnsonpllc-law.com	scarbone@rlattorneys.com
18	davidiscijomisonpile iavieom	seuroone as runtorneys.com
18	amontero@gordonrees.com	admin@sdlawoffice.net
19	bwalters@gordonrees.com	
20	cjholland@gordonrees.com	bcook@skanewilcox.com
20	llaw@gordonrees.com	lingleberger@skanewilcox.com
21	mogella@gordonrees.com	sbrown@skanewilcox.com
22	rschumacher@gordonrees.com	11
22	anagaduan@gaaan gaaa	debra.watson@thehartford.com
23	cpagaduan@grsm.com sowens@grsm.com	joshua.montoya@thehartford.com mark.brown@thehartford.com
24	sowens@grsm.com	reed.werner@thehartford.com
24	churtik@hurtiklaw.com	reed, werner @thenartrord.com
25	jpatterson@hurtiklaw.com	jhanson@whwlawcorp.com
0.0	mwalker@hurtiklaw.com	mrigley@whwlawcorp.com
26	nramirez@hurtiklaw.com	,,,
27		charles.simmons@wilsonelser.com
20		efilelasvegas@wilsonelser.com
28		pamela.lamper@wilsonelser.com

1	apanford@wolfenzon.com
2	brandy@wolfenzon.com bruno@wolfenzon.com
3	dion@wolfenzon.com
4	dion@wolfenzon.com jpendleton@wolfenzon.com jrolle@wolfenzon.com lourdes@wolfenzon.com
5	lourdes@wolfenzon.com
6	empastor@wolfewyman.com
7	empastor@wolfewyman.com jdbeckman@wolfewyman.com
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 4/11/2018 4:56 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

NEO CARRIE E. HURTIK, ESO. 2 Nevada Bar No. 7028 JONATHON R. PATTERSON, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 9644 HURTIK LAW & ASSOCIATES 7866 West Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 (702) 966-5200 Telephone (702) 966-5206 Facsimile

churtik@hurtiklaw.com jpatterson@hurtiklaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant, IVIE MECHANICAL INC.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,

Plaintiffs.

VS.

SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation:

DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; 22 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada

Corporation; GREEN PLANET 23

LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited

Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL INC.,

a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE INC., a Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY

LINSENBARDT DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. DBA

LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada

Case No.: A-16-742143-D

Dept. No.: II

ELECTRONIC FILING CASE

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO DISMISS DEFENDANT IVIE MECHANICAL, INC. WITH PREJUDICE

Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING INC.., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONALS DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive, Defendants.

### NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO DISMISS DEFENDANT IVIE MECHANICAL, INC. WITH PREJUDICE

#### TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Please take notice that the ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT IVIE MECHANICAL INC. WITH PREJUDICE was filed and entered by the Clerk of the above entitled Court on 04/10/2018, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

DATED this \_\_/\_ day of April 2018

HURTIK LAW & ASSOCIATES

CARRIE E. HURTIK, ESQ.

Neyada Bar No. 7028

JONATHON R. PATTERSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9644 7866 West Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Attorney for Defendant, IVIE MECHANICAL INC.

27

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

### 

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 11<sup>h</sup> of April 2018 I served a copy of the attached: NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER TO DISMISS DEFENDANT IVIE MECHANICAL, INC. WITH PREJUDICE via electronic service to all parties on the Odyssey E-Service Master List.

Nancy Ramirez, employee of HURITK LAW & ASSOCIATES

Manag Damin

### 

# EXHIBIT A

Electronically Filed 4/10/2018 10:21 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

STIP

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

26

27

28

CARRIE E. HURTIK, ESO.

Nevada Bar No. 7028

JONATHON R. PATTERSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9644

HURTIK LAW & ASSOCIATES

7866 West Sahara Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

(702) 966-5200 Telephone

(702) 966-5206 Facsimile

churtik@hurtiklaw.com

jpatterson@hurtiklaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant, IVIE MECHANICAL INC.

#### DISTRICT COURT

#### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,

Plaintiffs.

VS.

SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.,
a Nevada Corporation, AVANTI PRODUCTS,
LLC a Nevada Limited Liability Company;
BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited
Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES
CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;
CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK
SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation;
DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada
Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation;
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada

Corporation; GREEN PLANET
LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited
Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL INC.,
a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE
INC., a Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY

INC., a Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. DBA

LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a

.....

Case No.: A-16-742143-D

Dept. No.: II

ELECTRONIC FILING CASE

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO DISMISS DEFENDANT IVIE MECHANICAL, INC. WITH PREJUDICE

Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING INC..., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONALS DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

Defendants.

### STIPULATION AND ORDER TO DISMISS DEFENDANT IVIE MECHANICAL, INC. WITH PREJUDICE

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, JANETTE BYRNE, as TRUSTEE OF THE UOFM TRUST (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through her counsel of record, SPRINGEL & FINK, LLP, and Defendant, IVIE MECHANICAL, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "IVIE"), by and through its counsel of record, HURTIK LAW & ASSOCIATES, and hereby stipulate to dismiss Plaintiff's claims against IVIE in the above-entitled litigation with prejudice.

Plaintiff and IVIE hereby further stipulate and agree that each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

HURTIK LAW & ASSOCIATES

SMAN ONAS PLY

ARRIE E. HURTÍK, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 7028

7866 West Sahara Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Attorney for Defendant

IVIE MECHANICAL INC.

Dated: <u>3/30/18</u>

SPRINGEL & FINK, LLP

WEADY WALKER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 10791

10655 Park Run Drive, Suite, #275

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

JANETTE BYRNE, TRUSTEE

Dated: 3/30//8

ORDER 1 Plaintiff hereby dismisses its claims, and all claims, against IVIE MECHANICAL INC. with 2 3 prejudice. 4 It is further stipulated and agreed that each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs. IT IS SO ORDERED this bt day of April , 2018. 5 6 7 8 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 9 Respectfully Submitted by: 10 HURTIK LAW & ASSOCIATES 11 12 ARRIE E. HURTIK, ESO. 13 Mevada Bar No. 7028 JONATHON R. PATTERSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9644 7866 West Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 churtik@hurtiklaw.com 16 Attorneys for Defendant, IVIE MECHANICAL INC. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

Electronically Filed 10/12/2018 3:22 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

NVDP 1 TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 7091 MENTER & WITKIN LLP 3 19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800 Irvine, California 92612 4 Telephone: (949) 250-9000 5 Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com 6 7 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 8 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 9 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 10 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 11 Telephone: (702) 804-0706 Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 12 E-Mail: wwalker@springelfink.com 13 marata@springelfink.com Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2) 14 DISTRICT COURT 15 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 16 17 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST, 18

Case No.: A-16-742143-D Dept. No.: XXXI

PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO NRCP 41(a) OF MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC

Plaintiff,

Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC,

a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT

DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL

MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability

CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;

CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation;

Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES

vs.

20 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada

21

19

22

23

24

25

826 827

ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a

(N0387191;2)

- 1 -

Case Number: A-16-742143-D

1	Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE
2	MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation;
	J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba
3	SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD
4	POOL MAINT. dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a
5	Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE
6	ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
	PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation;
7	RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA
8	FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY
9	PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada
	Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC dba
10	BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited
11	Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability
12	Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN
	PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or
13	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,
14	Defendants.
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
15	
15 16	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
16	
16 17	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
16	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,
16 17	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
16 17 18	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited
16 17 18	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES
16 17 18 19 20	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;
16 17 18 19 20 21	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation;
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation;

MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada 1 Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., 2 Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a 3 Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability 4 Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION 5 SPECIALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE 6 CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive, 7 Cross-Defendants. 8 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada 9 Corporation, 10 Third-Party Plaintiff, 11 12 VS. 13 BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada 14 Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada 15 Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada 16 Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada 17 Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & 18 PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 19 through 150, inclusive, 20 Third-Party Defendants. 21

### PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO NRCP 41(a) OF MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC

Defendant MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC not having filed or served an answer, motion for summary judgment or otherwise having appeared herein Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST requests, authorizes and directs the Clerk of the Court to enter a dismissal without

28

22

23

24

25

26

1	prejudice pursuant to NRCP 41(a) as to Defendant MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC only. Defendant
2	MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC has incurred no filing fees.
3	DATED this 10th day of October, 2018
4	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP
5	By: /s/ Wendy L. Walker
6	WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791
7	MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902
8	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144  Counsel for Plaintiff
10	
11	On application of Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST, no answer,
12	motion for summary judgment or other appearance having been filed or served by Defendant
13	MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a notice of the dismissal of this action having been duly signed, the
14	above-entitled action as to Defendant MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC is hereby dismissed without
15	prejudice pursuant to NRCP 41(a). STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT
16	CLERK OF THE COURT
17	0CT 1 1 2018
18	Deputy Clerk a negation Date
19	Respectfully submitted by:    Respectfully submitted by:
20	Respectfully submitted by:
21	/s/ Wendy L. Walker
22	WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ.
23	Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ.
24	Nevada Bar No. 11902 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP
25	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
26	CoCounsel for Plaintiff  JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of
27	the UOFM TRUST and per SCR 42.1
28	
- 1	·

1 2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE  Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, et al.  Case No. A-16-742143-D
3	STATE OF NEVADA )
4	COUNTY OF CLARK ) ss.
5	
6	I, Kathleen Bratton, declare as follows:
7 8	I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of eighteen year and not a party to the within action. My business address is 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275, Las Vegas Nevada 89144.
9 10	On October 12, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as PLAINTIFF'S VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO NRCP 41(a) OF MOUNTAIN WEST
11	ELECTRIC, on the parties/counsel to this case as follows:
12	VIA II C MATE be a legislation of the Complete
	VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the U.S. mail at Las Vegas, NV; being "readily familiar" with the firm's practice
13	of collection and processing correspondence by mailing, and under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage fully prepaid thereon at Las
	Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business, addressed as follows:
15	V. AHA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by obsiding the County II Stire Service
16	X VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by submitting the foregoing to the Court's E-filing System for Electronic Service upon the Court's Service List pursuant to EDCR 8. The copy of the document
18	electronically served bears a notation of the date and time of service. The original document will be maintained with the document(s) served and be made available, upon reasonable notice, for inspection by counsel or the Court. The foregoing document was served on the following:
19	SEE THE COURT'S ELECTRONIC SERVICE LIST
20	
21	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
22	/s/ Kathleen Bratton
23	By:
24	An Employee of SPRINGEL & FINK LLP
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed
7/6/2018 9:25 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

NOTC
RESNICK & LOUIS, P.C.

ATHANASIA E. DALACAS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9390

adalacas@rlattornevs.com
5940 S. Rainbow Blvd.

Las Vegas, NV 89118
Telephone: (702) 997-3800
Facsimile: (702) 997-3800
Attorneys for Defendant,

Sunridge Builders, Inc.

7

8

9

#### DISTRICT COURT

#### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,

Plaintiffs,

13

SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S

DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDCAPING, LLC, a Nevada

20 | Limited Liability Company; IVIE

MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation;

21 J.C.W. CONCRÉTE, INC., a Nevada

corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT

22 DBA SIGNATURE DOOR AND TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA

23 LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada

Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING,

25 INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA

26 | FRAMING INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC,

27 Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM

CASE NO.: A-16-742143-D

DEPT: II

NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT JD STAIRS, INC.

1 2 3 4	TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and DOES 20 through 100 DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50, inclusive,
5	Defendants.
6	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
7	Corporation,
8	Cross-Claimant,
9	v.
10	BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a
11	Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware
12	Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a
13	Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL
14	ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET
15	LANDCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL,
16	INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation;
17	LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation;
18	MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC.,
19	Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING,
20	INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada
21	Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a
22	Nevada Limited Liability Company and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1
23	through 100, inclusive,
24	Cross-Defendants.
25	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
26	Corporation,
27	Third Party Plaintiff,

1 2 3 4 5 6	v.  BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., A Nevada Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive,
8	Third-Party Defendants.
9	COMES NOW, Third Party Defendant, SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., by and through
10	its counsel of record, ATHANASIA E. DALACAS, ESQ., of the law offices of RESNICK &
11	LOUIS, P.C., pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a) hereby voluntarily dismisses
13	Third Party Defendant, JD STAIRS, INC., without prejudice, only.
14	DATED this 6 <sup>TH</sup> day of July, 2018.
15	RESNICK & LOUIS, P.C.
16	/s/ Athanasia E. Dalacas
17	ATHANASIA E. DALACAS, ESQ.
18	Nevada Bar No. 9390 5940 S. Rainbow Blvd.
19	Las Vegas, NV 89118 Attornevs for Defendant.
20	Sunridge Builders, Inc.
21	
22	
23	
24	
26	
27	

1		CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2		I HEREBY CERTIFY that service of the foregoing NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY
3	DISM	IISSAL OF THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT JD STAIRS, INC. was served this 6T
4	day of	f July, 2018, by:
5		
6 7	[]	BY U.S. MAIL: by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, addressed as set forth below.
8	[]	BY FACSIMILE: by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m. pursuant to
10	1	EDCR Rule 7.26(a). A printed transmission record is attached to the file copy of this document.
11		
12	[]	BY PERSONAL SERVICE: by causing personal delivery by an employee of Resnick & Louis, P.C. of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the
13		address(es) set forth below.
14	[X]	BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by transmitting via the Court's electronic filing services the document(s) listed above to the Counsel set forth on the service list
15		on this date pursuant to EDCR Rule 7.26(c)(4).
16		
17		
18		
19		/s/ Susan Carbone
20		An Employee of Resnick & Louis, P.C.
21		An Employee of Resiner & Louis, F.C.
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

Electronically Filed 10/25/2018 5:11 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

		and the
1	NEOJ	Stoub. Le
2	TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7091	
3	MENTER & WITKIN LLP	
4	19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800 Irvine, California 92612	
5	Telephone: (949) 250-9000	
	Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com	
6		
7	WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791	
8	MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ.	
9	Nevada Bar No. 11902 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP	
10	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275	
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 804-0706	
12	Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 E-Mail: wwalker@springelfink.com	
13	marata@springelfink.com	
- 1	Co. Commont for Photostiff and any CCP 42 1/2)	
14	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)	
14 15	DISTRIC	T COURT
	DISTRIC	T COURT NTY, NEVADA **
15	DISTRIC CLARK COUN	
15 16	DISTRIC	NTY, NEVADA **
15 16 17	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM	NTY, NEVADA **  Case No.: A-16-742143-D
15 16 17 18	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,	NTY, NEVADA  **  Case No.: A-16-742143-D  Dept. No.: XXXI
15 16 17 18 19 20	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,  Plaintiff, vs.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada	TTY, NEVADA  **  Case No.: A-16-742143-D  Dept. No.: XXXI  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,  Plaintiff, vs.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a	NTY, NEVADA  Case No.: A-16-742143-D  Dept. No.: XXXI  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING  DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W.  CONCRETE, INC.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,  Plaintiff, vs.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC,) a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT	NTY, NEVADA  Case No.: A-16-742143-D  Dept. No.: XXXI  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING  DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W.  CONCRETE, INC.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,  Plaintiff, vs.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC., a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability	NTY, NEVADA  Case No.: A-16-742143-D  Dept. No.: XXXI  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING  DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W.  CONCRETE, INC.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,  Plaintiff, vs.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;	NTY, NEVADA  Case No.: A-16-742143-D  Dept. No.: XXXI  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING  DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W.  CONCRETE, INC.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,  Plaintiff, vs.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK	NTY, NEVADA  Case No.: A-16-742143-D  Dept. No.: XXXI  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING  DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W.  CONCRETE, INC.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,  Plaintiff, vs.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;	NTY, NEVADA  Case No.: A-16-742143-D  Dept. No.: XXXI  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING  DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W.  CONCRETE, INC.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,  Plaintiff, vs.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC., a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation;	NTY, NEVADA  Case No.: A-16-742143-D  Dept. No.: XXXI  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING  DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W.  CONCRETE, INC.

GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 2 MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 3 KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT, dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a 5 Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE 6 ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 7 PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA 8 FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY: PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada 10 Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC dba BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited 11 Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability 12 Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN 13 PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive, 14 Defendants. 15 16 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, 17 18 Cross-Claimant, 19 VS. 20 BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited 21 Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 22 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK 23 SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 24 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign 25 Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 26 MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 27 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE dba 28

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC.

```
LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation;
     MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada
 2
     Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC.,
     Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a
 3
     Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a
     Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT
     APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability
 5
     Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION
     SPECIALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability
 6
     Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE
 7
     CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,
 8
                        Cross-Defendants.
 9
     SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
10
     Corporation,
11
                        Third-Party Plaintiff,
12
     VS.
13
    BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
14
    EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada
    Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS
15
    INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada
16
    Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada
    Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada
17
    Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada
    Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL &
18
    PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES
19
     101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101
    through 150, inclusive,
20
21
                        Third-Party Defendants.
22
23
          NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W.
                                         CONCRETE, INC.
24
25
           PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 19th day of October, 2018, the Court entered the Order
    Granting Default Judgment J.C.W. Concrete, Inc., in the above-captioned case, a copy
26
27
    111
28
```

of which is attached hereto.

DATED this  $\underline{25}^{th}$  day of October, 2018.

#### SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

/s/ Wendy L. Walker

By:

WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Co--Counsel for Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST and per SCR 42.1

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, et al. 2 Case No. A-16-742143-D 3 STATE OF NEVADA ) ss. 4 COUNTY OF CLARK 5 I, Helena Linakis, declare as follows: 6 7 I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275, Las Vegas, 8 Nevada 89144. 9 On October 25, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., on the 10 parties/counsel to this case, as follows: 11 VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by submitting the foregoing to the Court's E-filing System for Electronic Service upon the Court's Service List pursuant to EDCR 8. The copy of the document 12 electronically served bears a notation of the date and time of service. The original document will be 13 maintained with the document(s) served and be made available, upon reasonable notice, for inspection by counsel or the Court. The foregoing document was served as follows: 14 SEE THE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST 15 16 VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the U.S. mail at Las Vegas, NV; being "readily familiar" with the firm's practice 17 of collection and processing correspondence by mailing, and under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage fully prepaid thereon at Las 18 Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business, addressed as follows: 19 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 21 /s/ Helena Linakis 22 An Employee of SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 23 24 25 26 27

## E-Service Master List Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al. Case No. A-16-742143-D

2		Case No. A-16-742143
3	Brown Bonn & Friedman	
4	Contact Aaron M. Young	Email ayoung@brownbonn.com
5	Denise Rutherford	drutherford@brownbonn.com
6	Admin NV	nvadmin@brownbonn.com
7	Bremer Whyte Brown & (	
8	Contact Crystal Williams	Email
-	Amree Stellabotte	cwilliams@bremerwhyte.com astellabotte@bremerwhyte.com
9	Jeffrey Saab	jsaab@bremerwhyte.com
10	Jennifer Vela	jvela@bremerwhyte.com
11	Alondra A. Reynolds Lorrena Perez	areynolds@bremerwhyte.com lperez@bremerwhyte.com
~ ~	Devin Gifford	dgifford@bremerwhyte.com
12	Peter C. Brown	pbrown@bremerwhyte.com
13	Contact	Email
14	Cristina B. Pagaduan	cpagaduan@grsm.com
15	Sean Owens	sowens@grsm.com
16	Gordon & Rees	
17	Contact Lisa Law	Email
_	Robert Schumacher	llaw@gordonrees.com rschumacher@gordonrees.com
18	Chelsey Holland	cjholland@gordonrees.com
19	Andrea Montero	amontero@gordonrees.com
20	Brian Walters	bwalters@gordonrees.com
21	Marie Ogella	mogella@gordonrees.com
	Hurtik Law & Associates	
22	Contact Matt Walker	Email mwalker@hurtiklaw.com
23	Carrie Hurtik	churtik@hurtiklaw.com
24	John Patterson	jpatterson@hurtiklaw.com
25	Nancy Ramirez	nramirez@hurtiklaw.com
26	Keating Law Group	
	Contact	Email
27	Bryce Buckwalter Stephanie Spilotro	BBuckwalter@princekeating.com SSpilotro@keatinglg.com
28	Bryce Buckwalter	BBuckwalter@keatinglg.com
- 1	I	

	11	
1	Law Office of Kenneth B	
2	Contact	Email
-	Admin	tdlvplea@nationwide.com
3	Stefania	rosss26@nationwide.com
4	Law Offices of Eric R. L.	
5	Contact Joshua A. Montoya	Email Joshua.Montoya@thehartford.com
_	Debra Watson	Debra, Watson@thehartford.com
6	Mark Brown	Mark.Brown@thehartford.com
7	Reed Werner	Reed.Werner@thehartford.com
8	Law Offices of Floyd Ha	le
9	Contact	Email
	Floyd Hale	floyd@jamsadr.com
10	Debbie Holloman	dholloman@jamsadr.com
11	Floyd Hale	fhale@floydhale.com
12	Morris Sullivan	
13	Contact	Email
13	Christopher Turtzo	turtzo@morrissullivan.com
14	Leah DaSilva	dasilva@morrissullivan.com
15	Allyson Lodwick Admin—MSL Wiznet	lodwick@morrissullivan.com wiznet@morrissullivan.com
	Admini—WSL Wizhet	Wizhettighioffissanivanteom
16	Resnick & Louis	
17	Contact	Email
	Anthanasia Dalacas	adalacas@rlattorneys.com
18	Susan Carbone	scarbone@rlattorneys.com
19	Coreene Drose	cdrose@rlattorneys.com
	Rhonda Onorato	ronorato@rlattorneys.com
20	Skane Wilcox	
21	Contact	Email
22	Beth Cook Lilia Ingleberger	bcook@skanewilcox.com lingleberger@skanewilcox.com
23	Sarai L. Brown	sbrown@skanewilcox.com
24	The Bourassa Law Group	
25	Contact Hilam Daniels	Email
	Hilary Daniels Jennifer Fornetti	hdaniels@blgwins.com jfornetti@blgwins.com
26	Jemmer Pometti	Hometmasorgwins.com
27		

1	Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker	
2	Contact Charles Simmons	Email Charles.Simmons@wilsonelser.com
3	E-File Las Vegas	EfileLasVegas@wilsonelser.com
	Pam Lamper	Pamela.Lamper@wilsonelser.com
4	Worthe Hanson & Worthe	1
5	Contact	Email
6	John Hanson	jhanson@whwlawcorp.com
7	Michelle Rigley	mrigley@whwlawcorp.com
8	Stephenson & Dickinson	
	Contact	Email
9	Marsha L. Stephenson	admin@sdlawoffice.net
10	Contact	Email
11	Cindy A. Ingland	cingland@mvjllp.com
12	John Dorame Lele Mai	jdorame@mvjllp.com lmai@mvjllp.com
	Quinn Dube	gdube@mvjllp.com
13	Lisa Rommel	lrommel@mvjllp.com
14	Contact	Email
15	Camie Devoge	camie.devoge@aig.com
16	Jessica West	jessica.west@aig.com
17	Contact	Email
	Timothy S. Menter	tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com
18	Wolfenzon Rolle	
19	Contact	Email
20	Araba Panford	apanford@wolfenzon.com
21	Brandy Calfee Bruno Wolfenzon	brandy@wolfenzon.com bruno@wolfenzon.com
22	Dion Sayles	dion@wolfenzon.com
23	Jeremiah Pendleton Jonathan P. Rolle	jpendelton@wolfenzon.com jrolle@wolfenzon.com
	Lourdes Lordon	lourdes@wolfenzon.com
24	Anthony Adams	anthony@wolfenzon.com
25	Wolfe & Wyman	
26	Contact	Email
27	Evelyn Pastor Jarad Beckman	empastor@wolfewyman.com jdbeckman@wolfewyman.com
28	Eservice Irvine	wiznet@wolfewyman.com

	I I
1	Contact
2	David R. Johnson
3	Springel & Fink LLP Contact
4	Admin
5	Wendy L. Walker Helena Linakis
6	Adam H. Springel Michael A. Arata
7	Michael A. Arata
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

## Email david@drjohnsonpllc-law.com

# Email espringel@springelfink.com wwalker@springelfink.com hlinakis@springelfink.com aspringel@springelfink.com marata@springelfink.com

## ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 10/19/2018 4:29 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	ORDR		
	TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 7091		
3	MENTER & WITKIN LLP		
J	19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800		
4	Irvine, California 92612		
	Telephone: (949) 250-9000		
5	Facsimile: (949) 250-9045		
6	E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com		
0	Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)		
7			
8	WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ.		
9	Nevada Bar No. 10791		
	MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ.		
10	Nevada Bar No. 11902		
11	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP		
11	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275		
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144		
	Telephone: (702) 804-0706		
13	Facsimile: (702) 804-0798		
14	E-Mail: wwalker@springelfink.com		
	marata@springelfink.com		
15	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)		
16			
17	DISTRIC	T COURT	
	CLARK COUN		
18	161 16	k ak	
19			
19			
20	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM	CASE NO.:	A-16-742143-D
	TRUST,	Dept. No.:	XXXI
21		,	
22	Plaintiff,		
-2	vs.		
23		ORDER GRA	NTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT_
. ]	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada	AGAINST J.O	C.W. CONCRETE, INC.
24	Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a		,
25	Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC,		
	a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT		
26	MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability		
27	Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES		
6/	CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;		
28	CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK		
- 1	ı		

OCT 08'18#11:56#

	SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation;
2	DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL
3	ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a
4	Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE
5	MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
6	KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba
7	SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOLS, a
8	Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE
9	ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
10	PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA
11	FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY
12	PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada
13	Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC dba BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited
14	Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability
15	Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN
	PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or
16	
16	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,
17	
	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,  Defendants.
17	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,
17 18	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,  Defendants.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
17 18 19	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,  Defendants.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,
17 18 19 20	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,  Defendants.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
17 18 19 20 21	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,  Defendants.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,  Defendants.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada
17 18 19 20 21 22	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,  Defendants.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Defendants.  Defendants.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation;
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,  Defendants.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK

1	LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE			
2	MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation;			
3				
4	LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada			
5	Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a			
6	Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a			
7	Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability			
8	Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability			
9	Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE			
10	CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,			
11	Cross-Defendants.			
12	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,			
13				
14	Third-Party Plaintiff,			
15	vs.			
16	BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation;			
17	EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada			
18	Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada			
19	Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada			
20	Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada			
21	Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL &			
21 22	Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101			
	Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive,			
22	Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101			
22	Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive,			

ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC.

This matter having been heard in Court on September 12, 2018, and upon further review of all pleadings and supplemental briefing by this Court on September 28, 2018,

28 ///

27

(N0387191;2)

District Court Case # A-16-742143-D Order Granting Default Judgment Against JCW Concrete, Inc. 2 3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 4 Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST ("Plaintiff") is hereby 1. 5 awarded Judgment for damages against J.C.W. Concrete, Inc. ("JCW") in the amount of 6 \$132,391.41; 7 Plaintiff is hereby awarded attorney's fees against JCW in the amount of \$75,680.39; 2. 8 Plaintiff is hereby awarded costs against JCW in the amount of \$2,200.69; 9 3. 4. Plaintiff is hereby awarded expert fees against JCW in the amount of \$16,768.68; and 10 5. Therefore, Plaintiff is awarded a total Default Judgment against J.C.W. Concrete, Inc. in 11 the amount of \$227,041.17 in the above-captioned case. 12 13 DATED this / day of OC toda, 2018 14 15 JOANNA S. KISHNER 16 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 17 Respectfully submitted by: 18 19 20 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada BaríNo. 10791 21 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. 22 Nevada Bar No. 11902 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 23 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 24 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 25 Co-Counsel for Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the 26 UOFM TRUST and per SCR 42.1 27

Byrne vs. Sunridge Builders, et al.

{N0387191;2}

Electronically Filed 10/25/2018 4:56 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

NEOJ TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7091 MENTER & WITKIN LLP 3 19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800 4 Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 250-9000 5 Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com 6 7 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 8 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 10 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 11 Telephone: (702) 804-0706 Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 12 wwalker@springelfink.com E-Mail: 13 marata@springelfink.com Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2) 14 DISTRICT COURT 15 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 16 17 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM Case No.: A-16-742143-D Dept. No.: XXXI TRUST, 18 Plaintiff, 19 VS. 20 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST WINDOW 21 Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a ) INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC,) 22 a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT 23 MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 24 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; 25 CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 26 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL 27 ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; 28

GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 3 KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD 4 POOL MAINT. dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a 5 Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 7 PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA 8 FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada 10 Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC dba BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited 11 Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability 12 Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN 13 PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive, 14 Defendants. 15 16 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, 17 Cross-Claimant, 18 19 VS. 20 BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited 21 Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 22 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK 23 SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 24 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign 25 Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 26 MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 27 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE dba 28

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC

LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a 3 Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability 5 Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability 6 Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE 7 CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive, 8 Cross-Defendants. 9 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada 10 Corporation, 11 Third-Party Plaintiff, 12 VS. 13 BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 14 EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS 15 INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada 16 Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada 17 Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & 18 PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 19 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive, 20 21 Third-Party Defendants. 22

## NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2018, the Court entered the Order Granting Default Judgment Against Window Installation Specialists, LLC, in the above-captioned case, a copy

23

24

25

26

27

of which is attached hereto.

DATED this 25th day of October, 2018.

#### SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

/s/ Wendy L. Walker

By:

WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Co--Counsel for Plaintiff
JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of
the UOFM TRUST
and per SCR 42.1

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, et al. Case No. A-16-742143-D 2 3 STATE OF NEVADA ) ss. 4 COUNTY OF CLARK 5 I, Helena Linakis, declare as follows: 6 7 I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275, Las Vegas, 8 Nevada 89144. 9 On October 25, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, 10 **LLC**, on the parties/counsel to this case, as follows: 11 VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by submitting the foregoing to the Court's E-filing System for 12 Electronic Service upon the Court's Service List pursuant to EDCR 8. The copy of the document electronically served bears a notation of the date and time of service. The original document will be 13 maintained with the document(s) served and be made available, upon reasonable notice, for inspection by counsel or the Court. The foregoing document was served as follows: 14 SEE THE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST 15 16 VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the U.S. mail at Las Vegas, NV; being "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence by mailing, and under that practice it would be 17 deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage fully prepaid thereon at Las 18 Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business, addressed as follows: 19 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 21 /s/ Helena Linakis 22 An Employee of SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 23 24 25 26 27 28

#### E-Service Master List Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al. Case No. A-16-742143-D

2	Case No. A-16-742143
3 Brown Bonn & Friedman	
4 Contact Ema Aaron M. Young ayou	<b>il</b> ing@brownbonn.com
	herford@brownbonn.com
-	lmin@brownbonn.com
Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Mea	ra
Contact Ema	
	liams@bremerwhyte.com
0 11	labotte@bremerwhyte.com o@bremerwhyte.com
10 Jennifer Vela <u>jvela</u>	(a)bremerwhyte.com
	nolds@bremerwhyte.com
	e <u>z@bremerwhyte.com</u> ford@bremerwhyte.com
12 []	wn@bremerwhyte.com
13	:1
Contact Ema Cristina B. Pagaduan cpag	aduan@grsm.com
Section 2 to a sectio	ens@grsm.com
16 Gordon & Rees	
Contact Ema	il
	@gordonrees.com
I & II	umacher@gordonrees.com
	lland@gordonrees.com ntero@gordonrees.com
	lters@gordonrees.com
20	ella@gordonrees.com
21 Hurtik Law & Associates	
22 Contact Ema	il
Matt Walker mwa	ılker@hurtiklaw.com
	tik@hurtiklaw.com
24 11	erson@hurtiklaw.com
Nancy Ramirez <u>nran</u>	nirez@hurtiklaw.com
Keating Law Group	
Contact Ema	
Contact Ema Bryce Buckwalter BBu	il ckwalter@princekeating.com ilotro@keatinglg.com

1	Law Office of Kenneth E	
2	Contact	Email
2	Admin	tdlvplea@nationwide.com
3	Stefania	rosss26@nationwide.com
4	Law Offices of Eric R. L.	
5	Contact	Email
	Joshua A. Montoya	Joshua.Montoya@thehartford.com
6	Debra Watson Mark Brown	Debra.Watson@thehartford.com Mark.Brown@thehartford.com
7	Reed Werner	Reed.Werner@thehartford.com
.	Reed Werner	Reed. werner@dienartiord.com
8	Law Offices of Floyd Ha	le
9	Contact	Email
	Floyd Hale	floyd@jamsadr.com
10	Debbie Holloman	dholloman@jamsadr.com
11	Floyd Hale	fhale@floydhale.com
-	Marria Callina	
12	Morris Sullivan Contact	Email
13	Christopher Turtzo	turtzo@morrissullivan.com
~	Leah DaSilva	dasilva@morrissullivan.com
14	Allyson Lodwick	lodwick@morrissullivan.com
15	Admin—MSL Wiznet	wiznet@morrissullivan.com
16	Resnick & Louis	
17	Contact	Email
	Anthanasia Dalacas	adalacas@rlattorneys.com
18	Susan Carbone	scarbone@rlattorneys.com
19	Coreene Drose	cdrose@rlattorneys.com
	Rhonda Onorato	ronorato@rlattorneys.com
20	Skane Wilcox	
21	Contact	Email
	Beth Cook	bcook@skanewilcox.com
22	Lilia Ingleberger	lingleberger@skanewilcox.com
23	Sarai L. Brown	sbrown@skanewilcox.com
23		,
24	The Bourassa Law Group	, LLC
25	Contact	Email
23	Hilary Daniels	hdaniels@blgwins.com
26	Jennifer Fornetti	jfornetti@blgwins.com
27		

1	Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker	
2	Contact Charles Simmons	Email
3	E-File Las Vegas	Charles.Simmons@wilsonelser.com EfileLasVegas@wilsonelser.com
	Pam Lamper	Pamela.Lamper@wilsonelser.com
4	Worth Women & Worth	
5	Worthe Hanson & Worthe Contact	e Email
6	John Hanson	jhanson@whwlawcorp.com
7	Michelle Rigley	mrigley@whwlawcorp.com
	Stephenson & Dickinson	
8	Contact	Email
9	Marsha L. Stephenson	admin@sdlawoffice.net
10	Contact	Email
11	Cindy A. Ingland	cingland@mvjllp.com
	John Dorame	jdorame@mvjllp.com
12	Lele Mai Quinn Dube	lmai@mvjllp.com qdube@mvjllp.com
13	Lisa Rommel	lrommel@mvjllp.com
14	Contact	Email
15	Camie Devoge	camie.devoge@aig.com
16	Jessica West	jessica.west@aig.com
	Contact	Email
17	Timothy S. Menter	tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com
18		
19	Wolfenzon Rolle Contact	Email
20	Araba Panford	apanford@wolfenzon.com
	Brandy Calfee	brandy@wolfenzon.com
21	Bruno Wolfenzon Dion Sayles	bruno@wolfenzon.com dion@wolfenzon.com
22	Jeremiah Pendleton	jpendelton@wolfenzon.com
23	Jonathan P. Rolle	jrolle@wolfenzon.com
24	Lourdes Lordon Anthony Adams	lourdes@wolfenzon.com anthony@wolfenzon.com
	Anthony Adams	anthony(a) wonenzon.com
25	Wolfe & Wyman	
26	Contact Evolus Postor	Email
27	Evelyn Pastor Jarad Beckman	empastor@wolfewyman.com jdbeckman@wolfewyman.com
28	Eservice Irvine	wiznet@wolfewyman.com

1	Contact	Email
2	David R. Johnson	david@drjohnsonpllc-law.com
3	Springel & Fink LLP Contact	Email
4	Admin	espringel@springelfink.com
5	Wendy L. Walker Helena Linakis	wwalker@springelfink.com hlinakis@springelfink.com
6	Adam H. Springel Michael A. Arata	aspringel@springelfink.com marata@springelfink.com
7	Michael A. Alata	marata a springerime.com
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

### ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 10/19/2018 4:29 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR 1 TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 7091 MENTER & WITKIN LLP 3 19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800 Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 250-9000 5 Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com 6 Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2) 7 8 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 10 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 11 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 12 Telephone: (702) 804-0706 Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 13 E-Mail: wwalker@springelfink.com 14 marata@springelfink.com Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2) 15 16 17 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 18 19 CASE NO.: A-16-742143-D 20 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM Dept. No.: XXXI TRUST, 21 Plaintiff, 22 VS. 23 ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST WINDOW INSTALLATION SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada 24 SPECIALISTS, LLC Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, 25 a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT 26 MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 27 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;

OCT 08'18AM11:55XV

CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK

28

- 1 -

1	9. For such other and further re	lief as the Court may deem just, equitable, an
2	proper.	
3		
4	DATED this 30 day of March, 201	7.
5	R	ESNICK & LOUIS, P.C.
6		A EDalacos
7		ENA M. LOUIS, ESQ.
8	II N	evada Bar No. 6398 THANASIA E. DALACAS, ESQ.
9	N 59	evada Bar No. 9390 940 S. Rainbow Blvd.
10	A	as Vegas, NV 89118 ttorneys for Defendant/Cross-Claimani/
11	T)	lurd Party Plaintiff wridge Builders, Inc.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
- 11		
22		
24		
25		
26		
57		

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that service of the foregoing DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT/ THIRD PARTY PLAINTIFF SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC.'S ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CROSS CLAIM AND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT was served this 3 day of March, 2017, by:

- [] BY U.S. MAIL: by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, addressed as set forth below.
- [] BY FACSIMILE: by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m. pursuant to EDCR Rule 7.26(a). A printed transmission record is attached to the file copy of this document.
- [] BY PERSONAL SERVICE: by causing personal delivery by an employee of Resnick & Louis, P.C. of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- [X] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by transmitting via the Court's electronic filing services the document(s) listed above to the Counsel set forth on the service list on this date pursuant to EDCR Rule 7.26(c)(4).

An Employee of Resnick & Louis, P.C.

Electronically Filed 11/21/2017 5:20 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT MOT TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7091 MENTER & WITKIN LLP 19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800 Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 250-9000 Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com ADAM H. SPRINGEL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7187 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 804-0706 Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 E-Mail: wwalker@springelfink.com aspringel@springelfink.com Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2) DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM Case No.: A-16-742143-D TRUST, Dept. No.: II Plaintiff, VS. PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT UNDER NRCP 59(e) SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC.) a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation;

(N0382157;1)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; ) 1 KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD 2 POOL MAINT. DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST 3 ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; 4 PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada 5 Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L 6 ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY) PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada 7 Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada 8 Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 10 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 11 50 inclusive, 12 Defendants. 13

#### PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT UNDER NRCP 59(e)

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST (hereinafter "TRUST"), by and through its counsel of record, the law firms of Menter & Witken LLP and Springel & Fink LLP, hereby files this Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Under NRCP 59(e). This Motion is based on the attached Points and Authorities, together with all other pleadings and papers on file herein, which are hereby incorporated by reference, and upon such oral argument as this Honorable Court will permit at the hearing on this matter.

DATED this 21st day of November, 2017.

22

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

/s/ Wendy L. Walker, Esq.

By:

ADAM H. SPRINGEL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7187 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)

1	NOTICE OF MOTION
2	TO: ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL:
3	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff will bring its Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Under In Chambers
4	NRCP 59(e),) for hearing on the 27 day of Dec. , 2017, at the hour ofm., or as soon
5	thereafter as counsel may be heard, in Department II of the Eighth Judicial District Court, located at the
6	Regional Justice Center, 200 Lewis Avenue, in Las Vegas, Nevada.
7	DATED this <u>21st</u> day of November, 2017.
8	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP
9	/s/ Wendy L. Walker, Esq.
10	By: ADAM H. SPRINGEL, ESQ.
11	Nevada Bar No. 7187 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ.
12	Nevada Bar No. 10791 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
14	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

(N0382157;1) -3-

#### 

#### 

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## I. LEGAL AUTHORITY TO ALTER OR AMEND THE EXISTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT ORDER

NRCP 59(e) allows this Court to alter or amend its existing November 6, 2017 Order Granting Defendants Summary Judgment in four situations: "(1) where the motion is necessary to correct 'manifest errors of law or fact upon which the judgment rests;' (2) where the motion is necessary to present newly discovered or previously unavailable evidence; (3) where the motion is necessary to 'prevent manifest injustice;' and (4) where the amendment is justified by an intervening change in controlling law. Stevo Design, Inc. v. SBR Mktg. Ltd., 919 F. Supp. 2d 1112, 1117 (D. Nev. 2013) (citations omitted). Here, the existing Order contains both manifest errors of law and fact and altering or amending the judgment is necessary to prevent manifest injustice. The Court's November 6, 2017 Order Granting Defendant Summary Judgment is inconsistent with the clear meaning of NRS 11.202, AB 125 and NRS 40.695.

#### II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

This construction defect lawsuit involves the single-family residence located at 578 Lairmont Place in Henderson, Nevada (hereinafter the "Residence"). This Court, without ruling on the evidentiary objections to clearly forged evidence, determined the Residence was substantially completed on May 26, 2009. Plaintiff, the UOFM Trust (hereinafter the "Trust"), bought the Residence on February 28, 2012. Immediately thereafter, and through 2014, as supported by the uncontested evidence in Adam Springel's Affidavit Supporting the Trust's Opposition, Lands West Builders, Inc. (hereinafter "Lands West") made significant repairs to numerous defective aspects of the Residence, yet these defects remain because the repairs were ineffective. The Court's Order not only fails to address these uncontested facts, it also fails to recognize that these "alterations" to the Residence commence a new six-year period of repose as to every area where defective or ineffective repairs took place.

¹ It should be noted prior to this case being administratively transferred from Judge Williams' department, that a motion for preferential trial setting was granted given the extensive damage to this home. Derailing this case for years while the obvious error is corrected on appeal will only exponentially increase the damage to the home as water intrusion continues to destroy its various components.

#### III. ARGUMENT

#### A. Plaintiff's Chapter 40 Notice Tolled the Statute of Limitations

The statute of repose for a construction defect claim commences upon a residence's substantial completion. Here, the Court concluded the Residence at issue was substantially completed May 26, 2009, a point in time when the statute of repose to bring a claim for latent construction defects was 10-years. This meant a "Chapter 40 Notice," not a Complaint, had to be issued within 10-years, at which point NRS 40.695 would toll the statute of repose until the pre-litigation process concluded. So long as the complaint was then filed with the 10-year timeframe plus the duration of the tolling period, then the complaint would be timely. But in 2015, AB 125 retroactively shortened the period of repose to bring a construction defect claim from ten-years to six-years. Moreover, to survive a constitutional challenge from divesting claimants of existing accrued claims from losing their right to sue under the newly shortened statute of repose, AB125 also provided a *one-year grace period* to commence an action that had already accrued (*i.e.*, every house completed within ten-years of AB125's enactment had an existing accrued claim). The Legislature amended NRS 40.695 as part of AB125, but the amendment did not change NRS 40.695's intent to toll all statute of limitation and repose during the mandatory pre-litigation process.

In granting summary judgment, this Court ruled that Plaintiff's Chapter 40 Notice did not toll the limitations period established by AB 125 (extending the six year statute with a one year grace period), but that the tolling provisions of NRS 40.695 only apply to a notice that is filed within six years of the date of completion. Thus, this Court concluded that Plaintiff's Notice was untimely because, although filed during the one-year grace period, it was not filed within 6 years of the date of completion (May 26, 2015, just 93 days after NRS 11.202 went into effect). For the reasons discussed below, this Court's decision is contrary to the plain text of NRS 11.202, AB 125 and NRS 40.695, discordant with the recognized design and purpose of those sections, and inconsistent with existing federal and state district court decisions.

(N0382157;1) -5-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This decision must have been based on inadmissible forged evidence submitted by Lands West's principal without ruling on Plaintiff's evidentiary objections.

2 3 4

NRS 40.695 clearly states on its face that all applicable statutes of limitation and repose are tolled until the earlier of one year or 30-days after the pre-litigation Mediation concludes. If the Legislature did not intend for tolling to apply to the grace period it would have expressly said so.

Statutes of limitation and repose are, by their nature, aimed at creating the final date by which the complaint must be filed. If a statute creates a grace period, then the grace period logically must be considered to be part of the statute of limitations/repose. Accordingly, the only effective limitation on the filing of an action is the final deadline for filing the complaint, and any act that triggers tolling in any context should, unless explicitly qualified, always be effective if performed before the final complaint deadline.

Additionally, as a practical matter, the purpose of any tolling provision is to allow the claimant to have additional time to file a complaint in order to effectuate the policies behind the tolling statute. Here the express purpose of NRS 40.695 is to give the builder the right to repair and to also give pre-litigation Mediation a chance to succeed before clogging the court with unnecessary lawsuits. Since the express purpose of NRS 40.695 is to extend the time for filing a complaint for construction defects until after the pre-litigation process concludes (or for one year if earlier), and the Trust's Complaint did not need to be filed until February 24, 2016, the only way to effectuate the purpose of NRS 40.695 is to apply the tolling statute to a pre-litigation notice that was filed before the deadline to file the complaint. That is precisely what happened here - and is precisely why the Court's Order is incorrect.

Although the Order limits the application of the tolling statute in contravention of the legislative purpose – to keep the case out of court until Mediation has failed -- there is nothing in the Order that explains why the Court believed that the tolling statute does not apply to a notice filed during the grace period. And the effect of the Order is simply to eliminate the ability of claimants like Plaintiff to wait until the end of the tolling period before incurring the (potentially avoidable) expense of filing a complaint. Significantly, it does not appear that any other District Courts (either federal or state) has reached the same conclusion as this Court in interpreting these related provisions.

-6-

{N0382157;1}

This Court's reliance on by the Dykema' decision is also misplaced. That case makes it clear that the act necessary to toll an action must be performed before the end of the limitations period, which here is extended by the grace period to February 24, 2016.

The Order is also incongruous with the principle that grace periods themselves are typically held to be subject to tolling.4 This is hardly surprising. Grace periods, after all, are merely special periods of limitations or repose. Hence, if the underlying deadlines are tolled, so too are the accompanying grace periods.

This result is reinforced by considerations of judicial and public policy. In Locke v. Bonello, for example, plaintiffs filed suit in Illinois state court, but then voluntarily dismissed the action on November 1, 1988. The defendants appealed, and the appellate court affirmed, issuing its mandate on October 30, 1989. On March 21, 1990, the plaintiffs refiled their suit in federal court, but the trial court dismissed the action on the ground it was barred by the statute of limitations. At the time, Illinois law allowed a one-year grace period to refile actions that had been voluntarily dismissed, and the court held that the plaintiffs had not refiled their action within one year of the November 1, 1988 dismissal. The federal appeals court, however, reversed. An Illinois abatement statute forbade plaintiffs from refiling their action while the state court appeal was pending. Had they brought suit before the appeal ended, their case would have been dismissed. The federal appeals court therefore held that the abatement statute tolled the one-year grace period while the state court appeal was pending. To hold otherwise, the court explained, "would give a defendant in this situation the keys to the courthouse" because defendants could use the abatement statute to obstruct plaintiffs from filing within the grace period.6

A similar situation exists here. In theory, AB125's grace period allows owners with defect claims accruing before February 25, 2015 only one full year to file suit. But NRS 40.645(1) forbids claimants from filing that suit until they complete the pre-litigation process. During the pre-litigation process, their

{N0382157;1} -7-

10 11

13 14

17

19

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

Dykema v. Del Webb Communities, Inc., 132 Nev. Adv. Op. 82, 385 P.3d 977, 979 (2016).

Smith v. McGinnis, 208 F.3d 13, 15 (2d. Cir. 2000); Locke v. Bonello, 965 F.2d 534, 536-37 (7th Cir. 1992); Doe v. Jayark Corp., 84 F.Supp.3d 609, 614-17 (E.D. Mich. 2015); Reid v. Spazio, 970 A.2d 176, 181-82 (Del. 2009).

<sup>965</sup> F.2d 534.

<sup>965</sup> F.2d at 536.

hands are tied; by law, they cannot commence an action. Worse still, builders can prolong the Chapter 40 pre-litigation process until after the grace period expires, thereby waiting out the clock. This is precisely the inequity denounced in Locke v. Bonello. Under these circumstances, tolling the underlying repose period but not the grace period would, as the Locke court aptly concluded, "give a defendant in this situation the keys to the courthouse." It is implausible, and would render the newly created statute of repose unconstitutional (as explained in detail in the original Opposition), that the Legislature intended such a draconian anomaly, especially when the Legislature knew similar prior amendments to the statute of repose were struck down as unconstitutional on three separate occasions. Hence, even if owners of homes substantially completed more than 6-years before service of the Chapter 40 notice were obligated to file suit within the one-year grace period, that grace period is tolled during the Chapter 40 prelitigation process.

#### В. The Order is Internally Inconsistent

The Order acknowledges that the deadline for filing Plaintiff's complaint was February 24, 2016, but inexplicably identified May 26, 2015 as the deadline that applies to the filing of the notice. In this connection, the Order states that:

- "the claimant could still bring an action if commenced by February 24, 2016. Claimant failed to meet this deadline."7
- "the six-year statute of repose applied to claimant's claim accruing on May 26, 2009, would have given a deadline of May 26, 2015 [to file a Complaint].

For all of the reasons discussed above, the only deadline for filing the complaint was February 24, 2016. The date relied upon by this Court, May 26, 2015, simply has no arguable significance under the current statutory scheme. Since the Legislature allowed plaintiff until February 24, 2016 within which to file her complaint, there is no textual or logical reason for imposing a deadline of May 26, 2015 with respect to the operation of the tolling provisions of NRS 40.695.

Furthermore, it should be noted that the statutory interpretation that forms the basis of this Court's decision will have anomalous and arbitrary consequences that are incompatible with the purpose of the tolling provision and the Mediation scheme. First, if tolling does not apply to notices filed in the

(N0382157:1) -8-

26

21

22

23

24

25

27

See Order at 3:3; and See 3:20.

extended grace period, but only to those filed within the six-year base period, a claimant whose six years expired before February 24, 2015 would not receive the benefit of tolling at all if they had not filed their notice before the date when the new statute became effective. Thus, as to claimants whose date of completion was prior to February 24, 2009, the effect of NRS 11.202 under this Court's interpretation would be to eliminate the effect of NRS 40.695 completely, and neither the claimant nor the court would have the benefit of the tolling provision (notwithstanding the grace period enacted by the Legislature).

The second consequence would be that some claimants (particularly those for whom the six year period would expire in the first half of 2015) would need to file their notice very early in the grace period in order to get the benefit of the tolling period. So even though the Legislature provided a grace period, some claimants would need to rush to prepare and file notices, while other claimants could wait until the latter half of the year. This places an arbitrary and additional burden on those claimants whose date of substantial of substantial completion happened to be earlier than the middle of 2009.

Third, and most importantly, this Court's interpretation undermines the central purpose of the tolling provision. It forces claimants in Plaintiff's position to file a complaint by February 24, 2016 simply because they did not file a notice within six years. There is nothing in the new statutory scheme, however, that implies that the Legislature did not intend to continue to extend the deadline for filing the complaint until after Mediation had been completed (or for one year if earlier). Indeed, under NRS 40.645, complaints must still be dismissed if they are filed too soon before the end of the grace period.

Lastly, even if the statutes were reasonably susceptible to the interpretation given by this Court, it is submitted that this Court should consider the issue of interpretation in light of what a claimant standing in Plaintiff's shoes might reasonably construe the statutes to mean. At the very least, one could reasonably read the statutes together as providing that, since the complaint may be filed until February 24, 2016, a notice filed at any time prior to that date would toll the limitations period. The result reached by this Court leaves a claimant such as Plaintiff without any remedy and results in a complete loss of her claim. As a matter of due process and fundamental fairness, no claimant who relies on a reasonable interpretation of the law should be deemed to have forfeited their claim. As the Nevada Supreme Court has noted on numerous occasions, procedural rules should never be interpreted in a manner that creates inescapable traps for the unwary. Indeed, it has long been the overriding policy of

(N0382157;1) -9-

4 5

6

7 8

11 12

9

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

23 ///

24

25

26

27

28

the Nevada Supreme Court that cases should, whenever possible, be decided on their merits and not by default. However, as a result of this Court's order, Plaintiff has been barred from seeking justice despite having made every reasonable attempt to pursue her claim in compliance with Chapter 40.

#### C. The Court's Order Entirely Ignores the Undisputed Facts Proving the Residence was Altered by Defendants Within the Past Six Years

Section V(B) of Plaintiff's Opposition clearly explained that after Claimant moved into the Residence, Defendant Lands West made significant "alterations" to the Residence between 2012 and 2014. Under NRS § 40.615, a "constructional defect" is a defect in the construction of a new residence, or in the alteration or repair of an existing residence.9 Without explanation, the Court's Order entirely ignores the legal impact of these extensive repairs, which commence a new six-year period of repose under Chapter 40. Because both the Chapter 40 Notice and the Complaint were filed within 6-years of these 2012 to 2014 alterations, summary judgment must be denied as to Lands West. Moreover, Plaintiff alleged in the Complaint that Lands West and Sunridge Builders, Inc. ("Sunridge") are alter egos and nothing in the summary judgment motion sought to disprove this point. Thus, summary judgment is unsupportable as to Lands West and Sunridge due to their defective repairs to the Residence that commenced a new 6-year period of repose to file the Complaint.

111

111

As noted in Plaintiff's original Opposition:

Lands West began making repairs in 2012 [SS # 5] through 2014 [SS # 8] to multiple locations in the home including attempts to repair the multiple sources of water intrusion. [SS # 9]. As new construction under Chapter 40, any constructional defect claims regarding the repairs would not be barred by NRS § 11.202's 6-year statute of repose. Thus, there are triable issues of fact regarding which portions of the residence, and which alleged defects, were impacted by Lands West's repairs.

See also Westpark Associates, LLC v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court of State ex rel. County of Clark, 281 P.3d 1230 (Nev. 2009).

#### CONCLUSION V.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to alter or amend its recent judgment, or alternatively, to certify the Order under NRCP 54(b) for appeal.

DATED this 21st day of November, 2017.

SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

/s/ Wendy L. Walker, Esq.

By:

ADAM H. SPRINGEL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7187 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)

-11-(N0382157;1)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE  Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al.  District Court Case No.: A-16-742143-D
STATE OF NEVADA ) ss. CLARK COUNTY ) ss.
I, Julianna K. Ferguson, declare:
I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275, Las Vegas, Nevada 89144.
On November 21, 2017, I served the document described as PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT UNDER NRCP 59(e) on the following parties:
SEE ELECTRONIC SERVICE LIST
VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence by mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the
U.S. postal service on that same day with postage fully prepaid at Las Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business
VIA FACSIMILE: by transmitting to a facsimile machine maintained by the person on whom it is served at the facsimile machine telephone number at last given by that person on any document which he/she has filed in the cause and served on the party making the service. The copy of the document served by
facsimile transmission bears a notation of the date and place of transmission and the facsimile telephone number to which transmitted. A confirmation of the transmission containing the facsimile telephone numbers to which the document(s) was/were transmitted will be maintained with the document(s) served.
VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by submitting the foregoing to the Court's E-filing System for Electronic Service upon the Court's Service List pursuant to EDCR 8. The copy of the document electronically served bears a notation of the date and time of service. The original document will be maintained with the document(s) served and be made available, upon reasonable notice, for inspection by counsel or the Court.
inspection by counsel of the court.
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
/s/ Julianna K. Ferguson
An Employee of SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

ORDR

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

147

Electronically Filed 11/3/2017 3:00 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-16-742143-D Dept. No.: II

Dept. No.. II

Date of Hearing: October 18, 2017

ORDER GRANTING LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.'S AND SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC.'S JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1)

### INTRODUCTION

This is a construction defect action in which the contractors contend that Janette Byrne, as Trustee of the UOFM Trust's (hereinafter "claimant," "plaintiff," or "Byrne") claims are barred by the applicable statute of repose. The Court agrees that claimant's claim is barred by the new six-year statute of repose, retroactively applied as the enacting bill requires, after giving claimant the benefit of the so-called one-year "grace period." The claimant was required to file her Complaint by February 26, 2016. Claimant did not file her Complaint until August 22, 2016. There is no genuine issue of material fact that claimant's Complaint is barred by the statute of repose. Accordingly, summary judgment is granted in favor of Lands West Builders, Inc. and Sunridge Builders, Inc. (collectively "Contractors" herein) and against Plaintiff.

### UNDISPUTED FACTS

This is a single family home construction defect action initiated under NRS 40.600 by Plaintiff. The subject residence is located at 578 Lairmont Place in Henderson, Nevada ("Residence"). The Residence is a six bedroom, 11,255 square foot custom home located within McDonald Highlands, a guard-gated golf course community.

Plaintiff is not the original owner of the Residence. In or about early 2007, the original owners, Charles and Erin Catledge, hired TyCorp Development, Inc. to serve as the general contractor for the construction of the Residence. In or about July of 2007, Defendant Sunridge

Richard F. Scotti

Department Two Las Vegas, NV 89155

12

13

11

14 15

17

18

16

19 20

21 22

24 25

23

26

27

28

Richard F. Scotti

District Judge Department Two Las Vegas, NV 89155 Builders, Inc. replaced TyCorp Development, Inc. as the general contractor. Construction of the Residence commenced shortly thereafter.

Substantial Completion was achieved on May 26, 2009.

In 2015 the Nevada Legislature adopted AB 125, with an effective date of February 24, 2015, reducing the statute of repose for construction defects to six years.

The claimant presented her Chapter 40 notice of construction defects on December 2, 2015. Claimant commenced her action by filing her Complaint on August 22, 2016.

#### APPLICATION OF THE NEW STATUTE OF REPOSE

In 2015 the Nevada Legislature by AB 125 reduced the statute of repose from ten (10), eight (8), and six (6) years, for known, latent, and patent deficiencies, to six (6) years for all actions for damages. The six (6) year period begins to run from the date of substantial completion of a work of improvement.

The legislative history of AB 125 expressed in the actual bill, § 21, sub. 5, (although not in the statute itself), mandates that the new six (6) year statute of repose be applied retroactively. The Nevada Legislature provided a grace period of one year to protect claimants who would otherwise lose their rights by retroactive application.

As explained below, Plaintiff in this action failed to commence her action within this grace period. Accordingly her claims are barred.

Contractors achieved substantial completion on May 26, 2009. Under the most lenient statute of repose of NRS 11.203(1) (ten years), claimant would have been required to file her Complaint by May 26, 2019. But AB 126 reduced that time period to six years: in this case meaning May 26, 2015. Claimant filed her Complaint on August 22, 2016 - thirteen months after the expiration of the six-year period.

The retroactive application would have had the effect of barring claimant's claim. The so-called "grace period" gave claimant more time to avoid this harsh result. The "grace period" built into the statute reads, in pertinent part, as follows: "The provisions of subsection 5 do not limit an action: (a) that accrued before the effective date, and was commenced within 1 year after the effective date of this act." AB 125, Sec. 21, Subsection 6.

Richard F. Scotti District Judge

Department Two Las Vegas, NV 89155 The effective date of AB 125 was February 24, 2015. This means that if a claimant's action accrued before February 24, 2015, and would have been otherwise limited, then the claimant could still bring an action if commenced by February 24, 2016. Claimant failed to meet this deadline.

#### APPLICATION OF THE TOLLING STATUTE

Claimant incorrectly argues that she should receive a further extension beyond the "grace period" by application of the tolling provision of NRS 40.695. NRS 40.695 provides that the statute of repose is tolled from the date the notice of claim is given, until one-year after the notice of claim is given. This provision does not help the claimant.

Suppose the original ten-year statute of repose applied to claimant. Then, absent tolling, the deadline to file would have been May 26, 2019. But claimant would have one year of tolling benefit. Claimant presented her notice of claim of construction defects on December 2, 2015, prior to the expiration period. Thus, absent retroactive application of the new six-year statute of repose, the deadline for claimant to file her Complaint would have been May 26, 2020.

AB 125 curtailed the statute of repose such that claimant here was required to file her Complaint much earlier than May 26, 2020. Again, pursuant to AB 125, any action that accrued prior to the effective date of AB 125 (February 24, 2015) was not limited by the reduced statutory period provided the claimant filed its Complaint within one-year after the effective date (February 24, 2016). It is undisputed that claimant's claim accrued before the effective date of the statute. It is also undisputed that claimant failed to file her Complaint within one year of the effective date of the statute. Thus, claimant's claim was late and is barred by the new six-year statute of repose.

Even if the tolling provision were to be considered <u>after</u> the new statute of repose was applied to claimant's claim, the claim would still be barred. As said, the six year statute of repose applied to claimant's claim accruing on May 26, 2009, would have given a deadline of May 26, 2015. In this case, the tolling provision does not apply because the new six-year statute of repose would have expired before the tolling could start. Any tolling could not start

5

8

11

10

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Richard F. Scotti District Judge

Department Two Las Vegas, NV 89155 until the claimant presented her notice of construction defect. Claimant presented her notice of construction defects on December 2, 2015. By this date the deadline for claimant to file her Complaint had already expired – so there was nothing to toll!

The Court's interpretation of the tolling provision is consistent with *Dykema v. Del Webb Communities, Inc.*, 132 Nev. Adv. Op 82, 385 P.3d 977, 980-81 (2016). In that case, the Nevada Supreme Court held: "[B]ecause Dykema and Turner served their Chapter 40 notices within the ten-year repose period, it was tolled for one year and Dykema's and Turner's February 27, 2015, Complaint against Del Webb was timely filed." The Nevada Supreme Court recognized that the one-year tolling only applied if the notice of claim was presented within (i.e. before) the expiration of the statute of repose. Applied here, *Dykema* means that a claimant receives no tolling if the applicable statute of repose expires before the notice of construction defect is presented.

### EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL

The Court rejects claimant's argument regarding equitable estoppel, as there is no genuine issue of fact that equitable estoppel does not apply here.

### CONCLUSION

It is undisputed that claimant did not file her Complaint within the six-year statute of repose as retroactively applied. The new statute of repose, retroactively applied, expired before the notice of construction defects. So there is no tolling, and claimant failed to commence her Complaint within the new six-year statute of repose. Also, claimant failed to file her Complaint within the statutory grace period. Summary Judgment is granted in favor of Lands West Builders, Inc. and Sunridge Builders, Inc. and against Plaintiff Janette Byrne, as trustee of the UOFM Trust.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of November, 2017.

RICHARD F. SCOTTI DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on or about the date signed, a copy of this Order was electronically served through the Eighth Judicial District Court's efiling system to the proper parties as follows:

Timothy Menter, Esq.
Robert Schumacher, Esq.
Adam Springel, Esq.
Carrie Hurtik, Esq.
Lena Louis, Esq.
Bryce Buckwalter, Esq.
Greg Marsh, Esq.
Cary Domina, Esq.
Curtis Busby, Esq.
Todd Jones, Esq.
Mark Brown, Esq.
John Dorame, Esq.
Peter Brown, Esq.
Kevin Brown, Esq.
Kevin Brown, Esq.
Kenneth Goates, Esq.
Reed Werner, Esq.
Jessica A. West, Esq.
Sarah Suter, Esq.
Will Lemkul, Esq.
Jonathan Rolle, Esq.
Charles Simmons, Esq.

/s/ Melody Howard

Melody Howard Judicial Executive Assistant

Richard F. Scotti
District Judge

Department Two Las Vegas, NV 89155

Electronically Filed 3/1/2018 11:42 AM Steven D. Grierson

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	1 2 3 4	PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150 inclusive,  Third-Party Defendan  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING	) ts. )	AINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR
	5	AMEND JUDGMENT		
	6	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend		
	7	Judgment Under NRCP 59(e) was entered on the 28 <sup>th</sup> day of February, 2018. A copy of said		
	8	Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
	9	Dated: March 1, 2018.		GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP
	10		Ву:	/s/ Brian K. Walters
T	11	,	by.	ROBERT E. SCHUMACHER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7504
Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 Las Vegas, NV 89101	12			BRIAN K. WALTERS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9711
on Rees Scully Mansukhani, 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 Las Vegas, NV 89101	13			300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 Las Vegas, NV 89101
lly Mis treet, as, NV	14			
4th S S Veg	15			Attorneys for Defendant LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC
on Re 300 S. La	16			
5	17			
	18			
	19			
	20 21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			

## Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 Las Vegas, NV 89101

1130482/37034727v.1

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), Administrative Order 14-2 effective June 1, 2014, and N.E.F.C.R. Rule 9, I certify that I am an employee of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP and that on this 1<sup>st</sup> day of March, 2018, I did cause a true and correct copy of NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT UNDER NRCP 59(E) to be served via the Court's electronic filing service upon the parties on the Court's service list for the above-referenced case.

/s/ Chelsey Holland

An employee of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP

## EXHIBIT A

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 2	Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & ) PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES ) 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101
3	through 150 inclusive,
4	Third-Party Defendants.
5	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT
	UNDER NRCP 59(e)
6	On December 27, 2017, this Comment of the Links LANGERT DATE OF THE COMMENT OF TH
7	On December 27, 2017, this Court considered Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of
8	the UOFM TRUST's ("Plaintiff") Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Under NRCP 59(e)
9	("Motion") in Chambers. Having Considered the Motion, the Oppositions to the Motion filed by
10	Defendants (and joinders thereto), having reviewed Defendants' Lands West Builders, Inc. and
11	Sunridge Builders, Inc.'s Joint Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1) and
12	all related briefing and relevant law, and for good cause,
13	THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that Plaintiff's Motion constitutes a re-explanation of
14	its position related to Defendants' Lands West Builders, Inc. and Sunridge Builders, Inc.'s Joint
15	Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1);
16	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that, after taking another look at the parties' briefs
17	and the relevant law, the Court remains convinced that it properly applied Nevada law to the
18	undisputed facts set forth in Defendants' Lands West Builders, Inc. and Sunridge Builders, Inc.'s
19	Joint Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1);
20	///
21	///
22	///
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///

· , · · ·

1	THE COURT THEREFORE ORDERS that Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend
2	Judgment Under NRCP 59(e) is DENIED.
3	Dated this 26 day of February, 2018.
4	
5	
6	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
7	GG
8	Respectfully submitted by:
9	GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP
10	
11	ROBERT E. SCHUMACHER Nevada Bar No. 7504
12	BRIAN K. WALTERS Nevada Bar No. 9711
13	300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550
14	Las Vegas, NV 89101
15	Attorneys for Defendant Lands West Builders, Inc.
16	Approved as to form and content:
17	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP
18	H13929
19	WENDY WALKER Nevada Bar No. 10797
20	MICHAEL A. ARATA
21	Nevada Bar No. 11902 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275
22	Las Vegas, NV 89144 Attorneys for Plaintiff
23	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	THE COURT THEREFORE ORDERS that Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend
2	Judgment Under NRCP 59(e) is DENIED.
3	Dated this day of February, 2018.
4	
5	
6	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
7	
8	Respectfully submitted by:
9	GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANIALLP
10	B-1-10
11	ROBERT E. SCHUMACHER Nevada Bar No. 7504
12	BRIAN K. WALTERS
13	Nevada Bar No. 9711 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550
14	Las Vegas, NV 89101
15	Attorneys for Defendant Lands West Builders, Inc.
16	Approved as to form and content:
17	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP
18	STATION OF THE PLAN
19	WENDY WALKER
20	Nevada Bar No. 10797 MICHAEL A. ARATA
21	Nevada Bar No. 11902 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275
22	Las Vegas, NV 89144
23	Attorneys for Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

### Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani LLP 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 Las Vegas, NV 89101

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), Administrative Order 14-2 effective June 1, 2014, and N.E.F.C.R. Rule 9, I certify that I am an employee of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI LLP and that on this 28th day of February, 2018, I did cause a true and correct copy of ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT UNDER NRCP 59(e) to be served via the Court's electronic filing service upon the parties on the Court's service list for the above-referenced case.

1130482/34087691v.1

**Electronically Filed** 

Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,	)))))
Defendants.	)
SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,	(
Cross-Claimant,	) )
vs.	)
BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION; a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT dba DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,	)))))))))))
Cross-Defendants.	)
SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,	)
Third-Party Plaintiff,	)
vs.	)
BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL &	))))))))

	PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150 inclusive,	) ) )
	Third-Party Defendants.	)
	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF NUNC PRO TUNC OBUILDERS, INC.'S, JOINING PARTIES', AND SMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC.'S JOINT
	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Nunc Pro Tur	nc Order Granting Lands West Builders,
	Inc.'s, Joining Parties', and Sunridge Builders, Inc.'s J	Joint Motion for Summary Judgment
	Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1) was entered on the 14 <sup>th</sup> day	y of December, 2017. A copy of said Nunc
	Pro Tunc Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.	
	Dated: December 14, 2017.	GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP
	Ву:	/s/ Brian K. Walters ROBERT E. SCHUMACHER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7504 BRIAN K. WALTERS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9711 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 Las Vegas, NV 89101
		Attorneys for Defendant LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC
I		

### Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550 Las Vegas, NV 89101

1130482/36011963v.1

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), Administrative Order 14-2 effective June 1, 2014, and N.E.F.C.R. Rule 9, I certify that I am an employee of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP and that on this 14<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2017, I did cause a true and correct copy of NOTICE OF ENTRY OF NUNC PRO TUNC ORDER GRANTING LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.'S, JOINING PARTIES', AND SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC.'S JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1)) to be served via the Court's electronic filing service upon the parties on the Court's service list for the above-referenced case.

/s/ Chelsey Holland

An employee of GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP

-4-

# EXHIBIT A

Electronically Filed 12/14/2017 10:31 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

3

4

6 7

9

8

10

12

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

24 25

26 27

28

Richard F. Scotti

Department Two Las Vegas, NV 89155

### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST,

Plaintiff, .

VS.

SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-16-742143-D

Dept. No.: II

Date of Hearing: October 18, 2017

NUNC PRO TUNC ORDER GRANTING LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.'S, JOINING PARTIES', AND SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC.'S JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1)

#### INTRODUCTION

This is a construction defect action in which the contractors contend that Janette Byrne, as Trustee of the UOFM Trust's (hereinafter "claimant," "plaintiff," or "Byrne") claims are barred by the applicable statute of repose. The Court agrees that claimant's claim is barred by the new six-year statute of repose, retroactively applied as the enacting bill requires, after giving claimant the benefit of the so-called one-year "grace period." The claimant was required to file her Complaint by February 26, 2016. Claimant did not file her Complaint until August 22, 2016. There is no genuine issue of material fact that claimant's Complaint is barred by the statute of repose. Accordingly, summary judgment is granted in favor of Lands West Builders, Inc. and Sunridge Builders, Inc. (collectively "Contractors" herein) and against Plaintiff.

### UNDISPUTED FACTS

This is a single family home construction defect action initiated under NRS 40.600 by Plaintiff. The subject residence is located at 578 Lairmont Place in Henderson, Nevada ("Residence"). The Residence is a six bedroom, 11,255 square foot custom home located within McDonald Highlands, a guard-gated golf course community.

Plaintiff is not the original owner of the Residence. In or about early 2007, the original owners, Charles and Erin Catledge, hired TyCorp Development, Inc. to serve as the general

Richard F. Scotti

Department Two Las Vegas, NV 89155 contractor for the construction of the Residence. In or about July of 2007, Defendant Sunridge Builders, Inc. replaced TyCorp Development, Inc. as the general contractor. Construction of the Residence commenced shortly thereafter.

Substantial Completion was achieved on May 26, 2009.

In 2015 the Nevada Legislature adopted AB 125, with an effective date of February 24, 2015, reducing the statute of repose for construction defects to six years.

The claimant presented her Chapter 40 notice of construction defects on December 2, 2015. Claimant commenced her action by filing her Complaint on August 22, 2016.

### APPLICATION OF THE NEW STATUTE OF REPOSE

In 2015 the Nevada Legislature by AB 125 reduced the statute of repose from ten (10), eight (8), and six (6) years, for known, latent, and patent deficiencies, to six (6) years for all actions for damages. The six (6) year period begins to run from the date of substantial completion of a work of improvement.

The legislative history of AB 125 expressed in the actual bill, § 21, sub. 5, (although not in the statute itself), mandates that the new six (6) year statute of repose be applied retroactively. The Nevada Legislature provided a grace period of one year to protect claimants who would otherwise lose their rights by retroactive application.

As explained below, Plaintiff in this action failed to commence her action within this grace period. Accordingly her claims are barred.

Contractors achieved substantial completion on May 26, 2009. Under the most lenient statute of repose of NRS 11.203(1) (ten years), claimant would have been required to file her Complaint by May 26, 2019. But AB 126 reduced that time period to six years: in this case meaning May 26, 2015. Claimant filed her Complaint on August 22, 2016 – thirteen months after the expiration of the six-year period.

The retroactive application would have had the effect of barring claimant's claim. The so-called "grace period" gave claimant more time to avoid this harsh result. The "grace period" built into the statute reads, in pertinent part, as follows: "The provisions of subsection 5 do not limit an action: (a) that accrued before the effective date, and was

Sec. 5

1.

commenced within 1 year after the effective date of this act." AB 125, Sec. 21, Subsection 6. The effective date of AB 125 was February 24, 2015. This means that if a claimant's action accrued before February 24, 2015, and would have been otherwise limited, then the claimant could still bring an action if commenced by February 24, 2016. Claimant failed to meet this deadline.

### APPLICATION OF THE TOLLING STATUTE

Claimant incorrectly argues that she should receive a further extension beyond the "grace period" by application of the tolling provision of NRS 40.695. NRS 40.695 provides that the statute of repose is tolled from the date the notice of claim is given, until one-year after the notice of claim is given. This provision does not help the claimant.

Suppose the original ten-year statute of repose applied to claimant. Then, absent tolling, the deadline to file would have been May 26, 2019. But claimant would have one year of tolling benefit. Claimant presented her notice of claim of construction defects on December 2, 2015, prior to the expiration period. Thus, absent retroactive application of the new six-year statute of repose, the deadline for claimant to file her Complaint would have been May 26, 2020.

AB 125 curtailed the statute of repose such that claimant here was required to file her Complaint much earlier than May 26, 2020. Again, pursuant to AB 125, any action that accrued prior to the effective date of AB 125 (February 24, 2015) was not limited by the reduced statutory period provided the claimant filed its Complaint within one-year after the effective date (February 24, 2016). It is undisputed that claimant's claim accrued before the effective date of the statute. It is also undisputed that claimant failed to file her Complaint within one year of the effective date of the statute. Thus, claimant's claim was late and is barred by the new six-year statute of repose.

Even if the tolling provision were to be considered <u>after</u> the new statute of repose was applied to claimant's claim, the claim would still be barred. As said, the six year statute of repose applied to claimant's claim accruing on May 26, 2009, would have given a deadline of May 26, 2015. In this case, the tolling provision does not apply because the new six-year

ACL I

Richard F. Scotti District Judge

Department Two Las Vegas, NV 89155 statute of repose would have expired before the tolling could start. Any tolling could not start until the claimant presented her notice of construction defect. Claimant presented her notice of construction defects on December 2, 2015. By this date the deadline for claimant to file her Complaint had already expired – so there was nothing to toll!

The Court's interpretation of the tolling provision is consistent with *Dykema v. Del Webb Communities, Inc.*, 132 Nev. Adv. Op 82, 385 P.3d 977, 980-81 (2016). In that case, the Nevada Supreme Court held: "[B]ecause Dykema and Turner served their Chapter 40 notices within the ten-year repose period, it was tolled for one year and Dykema's and Turner's February 27, 2015, Complaint against Del Webb was timely filed." The Nevada Supreme Court recognized that the one-year tolling only applied if the notice of claim was presented within (i.e. before) the expiration of the statute of repose. Applied here, *Dykema* means that a claimant receives no tolling if the applicable statute of repose expires before the notice of construction defect is presented.

### EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL

The Court rejects claimant's argument regarding equitable estoppel, as there is no genuine issue of fact that equitable estoppel does not apply here.

### CONCLUSION

It is undisputed that claimant did not file her Complaint within the six-year statute of repose as retroactively applied. The new statute of repose, retroactively applied, expired before the notice of construction defects. So there is no tolling, and claimant failed to commence her Complaint within the new six-year statute of repose. Also, claimant failed to file her Complaint within the statutory grace period. Summary Judgment is granted in favor of Lands West Builders, Inc., Sunridge Builders, Inc., and the Joining Parties (Lifeguard Pool Maintenance dba Lifeguard Pools & Spas, Pyramid Plumbing, Inc., Rivera Framing, Inc.,

dich it :

Trim Time, LLC dba Blitz Construction, Ivie Mechanical Inc., Green Planet Landscaping, LLC, White Feather Paint and Drywall, Bryant Masonry, LLC, Prestige Roofing, Inc., DMK Concrete, Inc.) and against Plaintiff Janette Byrne, as trustee of the UOFM Trust.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated this / day of December, 2017.

RICHARD F. SCOTTI DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Richard F. Scotti District Judge

Department Two Las Vegas, NV 89155

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on or about the date signed, a copy of this Order was electronically served through the Eighth Judicial District Court's efiling system to the proper parties as follows:

Timothy Menter, Esq. Robert Schumacher, Esq. Adam Springel, Esq. Carrie Hurtik, Esq. Lena Louis, Esq. Bryce Buckwalter, Esq. Greg Marsh, Esq. Cary Domina, Esq. Curtis Busby, Esq. Todd Jones, Esq. Mark Brown, Esq. John Dorame, Esq. Peter Brown, Esq. Kevin Brown, Esq. Kenneth Goates, Esq. Reed Werner, Esq. Jessica A. West, Esq. Sarah Suter, Esq. Will Lemkul, Esq. Jonathan Rolle, Esq. Charles Simmons, Esq.

/s/ Melody Howard

Melody Howard Judicial Executive Assistant

Richard F. Scotti
District Judge

Lich.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Department Two Las Vegas, NV 89155

Electronically Filed 11/29/2018 4:56 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 NEOJ TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESO. 2 Nevada Bar No. 7091 MENTER & WITKIN LLP 3 19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800 Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 250-9000 5 Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com 6 7 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 8 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 11902 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 10 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 11 Telephone: (702) 804-0706 Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 12 wwalker@springelfink.com E-Mail: 13 marata@springelfink.com Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2) 14 DISTRICT COURT 15 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 16 17 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM Case No.: A-16-742143-D TRUST, Dept. No.: XXXI 18 Plaintiff, 19 VS. 20 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada DEFAULT CERTIFICATION OF FINAL 21 JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 54(b) Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC,) 22 a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT 23 MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 24 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; 25 CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 26 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL 27 ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; 28

(N0387191;2)

	CDEPPLE AND AND AND ASSESSED ASSESSEDATED ASSESSED ASSESSEDATED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESSED ASSESS	١
1	GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE	)
2	MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation;	)
3	J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation;	)
4	KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD	)
	POOL MAINT. dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a	)
5	Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST	)
6	ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation;	)
7	PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation;	)
8	RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA	)
9	FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY	)
	PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada	)
10	Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC dba	)
11	BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION	)
12	SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability	)
	Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN	)
13	PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,	)
14	SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive,	)
14	I (	1
15	Defendants.	)
		)
15 16	Defendants.  SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,	() () ()
15 16 17	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,	() () () ()
15 16 17 18	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada	() () () () ()
15 16 17	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,	() () () () () ()
15 16 17 18	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.	00000000
15 16 17 18 19	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
15 16 17 18 19 20	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation;	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING,	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,  Cross-Claimant,  vs.  BRYANT MASONRY. LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING,	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT CERTIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 54(b)

	1
1	LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation;
2	MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC.,
3	Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a
4	Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT
5	APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION
6	SPECIALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability
7	Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,
8	Cross-Defendants.
9	)
10	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
11	Third-Party Plaintiff,
12	}
13	vs.
14	BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada
15	Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS
16	INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada
17	Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada
18	Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL &
19	PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101
20	through 150, inclusive,
21	Third-Party Defendants.
22	,
	A N. A. CONT. AND A. LEW SAME STATE OF THE SAME AND ASSOCIATION OF THE SAME ASSOCIATIO

### NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING CERTIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 54(b)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 15th day of November, 2018, the Court entered the Order Granting Certification of Final Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 54(b), in the above-captioned case, a copy

27 28

23

24

25

of which is attached hereto.

DATED this 29th day of November, 2018.

### SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

/s/ Wendy L. Walker

By:

WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Co--Counsel for Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST and per SCR 42.1

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, et al. Case No. A-16-742143-D 2 3 STATE OF NEVADA ) ss. 4 COUNTY OF CLARK 5 I, Helena Linakis, declare as follows: 6 7 I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275, Las Vegas, 8 Nevada 89144. 9 On November 29, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING CERTIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 54(b) on the 10 parties/counsel to this case, as follows: 11 VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by submitting the foregoing to the Court's E-filing System for Electronic Service upon the Court's Service List pursuant to EDCR 8. The copy of the document 12 electronically served bears a notation of the date and time of service. The original document will be 13 maintained with the document(s) served and be made available, upon reasonable notice, for inspection by counsel or the Court. The foregoing document was served as follows: 14 SEE THE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST 15 16 VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the U.S. mail at Las Vegas, NV; being "readily familiar" with the firm's practice 17 of collection and processing correspondence by mailing, and under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage fully prepaid thereon at Las 18 Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business, addressed as follows: 19 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 21 /s/ Helena Linakis 22 An Employee of SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 23 24 25 26 27 28

### E-Service Master List Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al. Case No. A-16-742143-D

2		Case No. A-16-74214
3	Brown Bonn & Friedman	
4	Contact	Email
1	Aaron M. Young	ayoung@brownbonn.com
5	Denise Rutherford Admin NV	drutherford@brownbonn.com nvadmin@brownbonn.com
6	Admin NV	nvadmin(njorownoonn,com
7	Bremer Whyte Brown & C	
	Contact	Email
8	Crystal Williams	cwilliams@bremerwhyte.com
9	Amree Stellabotte Jeffrey Saab	astellabotte@bremerwhyte.com jsaab@bremerwhyte.com
	Jennifer Vela	jvela@bremerwhyte.com
10	Alondra A. Reynolds	areynolds@bremerwhyte.com
11	Lorrena Perez	lperez@bremerwhyte.com
	Devin Gifford	dgifford@bremerwhyte.com
12	Peter C. Brown	pbrown@bremerwhyte.com
13		T- "
14	Contact	Email
14	Cristina B. Pagaduan Sean Owens	cpagaduan@grsm.com sowens@grsm.com
15	Scan Owens	sowens(tegram.com
16	Gordon & Rees	
	Contact	Email
17	Lisa Law	llaw@gordonrees.com
18	Robert Schumacher	rschumacher@gordonrees.com
19	Chelsey Holland Andrea Montero	cjholland@gordonrees.com amontero@gordonrees.com
19	Brian Walters	bwalters@gordonrees.com
20	Marie Ogella	mogella@gordonrees.com
21		
	Hurtik Law & Associates	
22	Contact	Email
23	Matt Walker	mwalker@hurtiklaw.com
	Carrie Hurtik	churtik@hurtiklaw.com
24	John Patterson Nancy Ramirez	jpatterson@hurtiklaw.com nramirez@hurtiklaw.com
25	Nancy Rainiez	manneza/nartikiaw.com
	Keating Law Group	
26	Contact	Email
27	Bryce Buckwalter	BBuckwalter@princekeating.com
	Stephanie Spilotro	SSpilotro@keatinglg.com
28	Bryce Buckwalter	BBuckwalter@keatinglg.com

_	Contact	Email
2	Admin	tdlvplea@nationwide.com
3	Stefania	rosss26@nationwide.com
4	Law Offices of Eric R. L.	
5	Contact Joshua A. Montoya	Email Joshua.Montoya@thehartford.com
6	Debra Watson	Debra.Watson@thehartford.com
7	Mark Brown Reed Werner	Mark.Brown@thehartford.com Reed.Werner@thehartford.com
8	Law Offices of Floyd Ha	le
9	Contact	Email
_	Floyd Hale	floyd@jamsadr.com
10	Debbie Holloman	dholloman@jamsadr.com
11	Floyd Hale	fhale@floydhale.com
12	Morris Sullivan Contact	Email
13	Christopher Turtzo	turtzo@morrissullivan.com
	Leah DaSilva	dasilva@morrissullivan.com
14	Allyson Lodwick	lodwick@morrissullivan.com
15	Admin—MSL Wiznet	wiznet@morrissullivan.com
16	Resnick & Louis	
17	Contact	Email
18	Anthanasia Dalacas	adalacas@rlattorneys.com
18	Susan Carbone Coreene Drose	scarbone@rlattorneys.com cdrose@rlattorneys.com
19	Rhonda Onorato	ronorato@rlattorneys.com
20	Skane Wilcox	
21	Contact	Email
22	Beth Cook Lilia Ingleberger	<u>bcook@skanewilcox.com</u> lingleberger@skanewilcox.com
23	Sarai L. Brown	sbrown@skanewilcox.com
24	The Bourassa Law Group	, LLC
25	Contact	Email
20	Hilary Daniels	hdaniels@blgwins.com
26	Jennifer Fornetti	jfornetti@blgwins.com
27		

Law Office of Kenneth E. Goates

1	Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker	
	Contact	Email
2	Charles Simmons	Charles.Simmons@wilsonelser.com
3	E-File Las Vegas	EfileLasVegas@wilsonelser.com
	Pam Lamper	Pamela.Lamper@wilsonelser.com
4	Worth a Honoron & Worth	
5	Worthe Hanson & Worthe Contact	; Email
_	John Hanson	jhanson@whwlawcorp.com
6	Michelle Rigley	mrigley@whwlawcorp.com
7	Thereto ragicy	,
۰	Stephenson & Dickinson	
8	Contact	Email
9	Marsha L. Stephenson	admin@sdlawoffice.net
10	Contact	Email
11	Cindy A. Ingland	cingland@mvjllp.com
	John Dorame	jdorame@mvjllp.com
12	Lele Mai Quinn Dube	lmai@mvjllp.com qdube@mvjllp.com
13	Lisa Rommel	lrommel@mvjllp.com
	Lisa Rolline	nonincreantymp.com
14	Contact	Email
15	Camie Devoge	camie.devoge@aig.com
16	Jessica West	jessica.west@aig.com
10		
17	Contact	Email
18	Timothy S. Menter	tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com
10	Wolfenzon Rolle	
19	Contact	Email
20	Araba Panford	apanford@wolfenzon.com
20	Brandy Calfee	brandy@wolfenzon.com
21	Bruno Wolfenzon	bruno@wolfenzon.com
22	Dion Sayles	dion@wolfenzon.com
22	Jeremiah Pendleton	jpendelton@wolfenzon.com
23	Jonathan P. Rolle	jrolle@wolfenzon.com
24	Lourdes Lordon	lourdes@wolfenzon.com
24	Anthony Adams	anthony@wolfenzon.com
25	Wolfe & Wamen	
	Wolfe & Wyman Contact	Email
26	Evelyn Pastor	empastor@wolfewyman.com
27	Jarad Beckman	jdbeckman@wolfewyman.com
ا ء	Eservice Irvine	wiznet@wolfewyman.com
28		

1	Contact David R. Johnson	Email david@drjohnsonpllc-law.com
2		david marjonnisonpric-raw.com
3	Springel & Fink LLP Contact	Email
4	Admin	espringel@springelfink.com
5	Wendy L. Walker Helena Linakis	wwalker@springelfink.com hlinakis@springelfink.com
6	Adam H. Springel Michael A. Arata	aspringel@springelfink.com marata@springelfink.com
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	NOA	
2	TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7091	
3	MENTER & WITKIN LLP	
4	19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800 Irvine, California 92612	
1	Telephone: (949) 250-9000	
5	Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com	
6	Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)	
7		
8	WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ.	
9	Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ.	
10	Nevada Bar No. 11902	
11	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP	
	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144	
12	Telephone: (702) 804-0706	
13	Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 E-Mail: wwalker@springelfink.com	
14	marata@springelfink.com	
15	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)	
16		
17		T COURT
18	CLARK COUN	JTY, NEVADA **
19		
20	JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM	CASE NO.: A-16-742143-D
21	TRUST,	Dept. No.: XXXI
22	Plaintiff,	
23	vs.	ORDER GRANTING CERTIFICATION OF
24	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada	FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP
25	Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC,	54(b)
	a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT	
26	MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES	Voluntary Dismissal   Summary Judgment   Stipulated Judgment
27	CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation;	Stipulated Dismissal Motion to Dismiss by Deft(s)  Default Judgment Judgment of Arbitration
28	CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK	The state of the s

1 SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 2 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; 3 GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a 4 Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation; 5 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT dba 6 SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD 7 POOL MAINT. dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST 8 ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 9 PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; 10 RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L 11 ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada 12 Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC dba 13 BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION 14 SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN 15 PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or 16 SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada 19 Corporation, 20 Cross-Claimant, 21 vs. 22 BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited 23 Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada 24 Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; 25 CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 26 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 27 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, 28

1	LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE
2	MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation;
3	LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE dba
4	LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada
5	Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a
6	Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a
7	Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability
8	Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability
9	Company, and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,
10	CORPORATIONS I unough 100, inclusive,
11	Cross-Defendants.
12	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada
13	Corporation,
14	Third-Party Plaintiff,
15	vs.
16	BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation;
17	EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS
18	INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada
19	Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada
20	Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada
21	Corporation; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES
22	101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive,
23	through 150, inclusive,
24	Third-Party Defendants.
25	
26	
27	

1

ORDER GRANTING CERTIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 54(b) Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE UOFM TRUST's Motion for Certification of Final Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 54(b) was heard by the Honorable Joanna S. Kishner on November 13, 2018. The Court, find having reviewed the Motion and finding no Opposition, finds that there is no just reason for delay the entry of final judgment against Plaintiff. As such, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that JANETTE BYRNE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE UOFM TRUST's Motion for Certification of Final Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 54(b) is GRANTED. DATED and DONE this 1 5 day of November, 2018. JOANNAS, KISHNER DISTRICT COURT JUDGE Respectfully submitted by: SPRINGEL & FINK LLP By: WENDY L. WALKER, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Attorneys for Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the **UOFM TRUST** 

NESO 1 CHRISTINE D. BURKHART, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 9330 WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN 3 & DICKER LLP 300 South 4th Street, 11th Floor 4 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 727-1400; FAX (702) 727-1401 5 Christine.Burkhart@wilsonelser.com 6 Attorneys for Defendant AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC. 7 8 9 10 11 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST, 12 13 Plaintiff, VS. 14 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC. a Nevada 15 Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI 16 PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited 17 Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 18 BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; 19 CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada 20 Corporation; 4M CORP, a Nevada 21 Corporation: GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; 22 GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 23 MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a 24 Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY 25 LINSENBARDT DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL 26 MAINT. DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST 27 ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC. a Nevada 28

Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a

Electronically Filed 7/31/2017 1:12 PM Steven D. Grierson

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE NO.: A-16-742143-D DEPT NO.: XVI

NOTICE OF ENTRY STIPULATION AND OF ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC WITH PREJUDICE

179556v.1

Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, Nevada 2 Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT 3 APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA 4 BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada 5 Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a 6 Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL 7 DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive, 8 9 Defendants. 10 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, 11 Cross-Claimant, 12 13 BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada 14 Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a 15 Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a 16 Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS 17 OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 18 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a 19 Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited 20 Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. 21 CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE 22 DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada 23 Corporation: MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; 24 PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a 25 Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY 26 PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada 27 Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a 28 Nevada Limited Liability Company and

MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE 1 CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive, 2 Cross-Defendants. 3 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, 4 Third-Party Plaintiff 5 BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada 6 Corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, 7 LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA 8 CABINETS, a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., A Nevada Corporation; 9 JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada 10 Corporation; WHITE FEATHER 11 DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 12 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive, 13 Third Party Defendants. 14 15 NOTICE OF ENTRY STIPULATION AND OF ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC WITH PREJUDICE 16 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Stipulation and Order for Dismissal of Defendant Avanti 17 Products, LLC with Prejudice was entered by the Court on July 26, 2017. A true and correct 18 19 copy is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". 20 DATED: this 31st day of July, 2017. 21 WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 22 23 24 BY: /s/ CHRISTINE D. BURKHART CHRISTINE D. BURKHART, ESO. 25 Nevada Bar No. 9330 300 South 4th Street, 11th Floor 26 Las Vegas, NV 89101 27 Attorneys for Defendant AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC 28

1179556v.1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Wilson Elser Moskowitz		
Edelman & Dicker LLP, and that on this 31st day of July, 2017, I served a true and correct copy		
of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR		
DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT AVANTI PRODUCTS LLC WITH PREJUDICE as		
follows:		
by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada;		
via electronic means by operation of the Court's electronic filing system, upon each party in this case who is registered as an electronic case filing user with the Clerk;		
via hand-delivery to the addressees listed below;		
via facsimile;		
by transmitting via email the document listed above to the email address set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.		
BY:/\$\textit{YPAM LAMPER} An Employee of WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER LLP		

1179556v.1

### EXHIBIT "A"

### ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 7/28/2017 3:58 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

SAO

1

2

3

5

CHRISTINE D. BURKHART, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9330

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

300 South 4th Street, 11th Floor 4

Las Vegas, NV 89101

(702) 727-1400; FAX (702) 727-1401

Christine.Burkhart@wilsonelser.com

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the

Plaintiff,

6 Attorneys for Defendant

UOFM TRUST.

VS.

AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC 7

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC. a Nevada 15 Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP, a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation: GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC. a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE

MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada

Nevada Corporation; KARL HENRY

LINSENBARDT DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM: LIFEGUARD POOL

MAINT, DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST

Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a

ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC. a Nevada

Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a

CASE NO .: A-16-742143-D DEPT NO .: XVI

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC WITH PREJUDICE

07-25-17 15:17 RCVD

1176296v.1

Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50 inclusive, Defendants. SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, Cross-Claimant. BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL. INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation: LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation: MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation: PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a

Nevada Limited Liability Company and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE 2 Cross-Defendants. 3 Corporation, 4 Third-Party Plaintiff 5 6 BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada 7 8 9 10 PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada Corporation; WHITE FEATHER 11 12 through 150, inclusive, 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,

SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada

Corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., A Nevada Corporation; JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; DRYWALL & PAINT, an Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101

Third Party Defendants.

### STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC WITH PREJUDICE

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST and Defendant, AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, and each party's respective attorneys of record, that the Complaint against Defendant AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC and any amendments, cross-claims and/or counter-claims and all causes of action contained therein between and among JANETTE BYRNE as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST and AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC be dismissed with prejudice, with each party to bear its own fees and costs.

/// 25

21

22

23

24

26 ///

27

1	This stipulation is entered into in good fai	ith, in the interest of judicial economy and not
2	for the purposes of delay.	
3	DATED: this 25 day of July, 2017.	DATED: this Juday of July, 2017.
4	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP
5	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP	
6	Bu ( 0 /2 /) / - Fix	
7	CHRISTINE D. BURKHART, ESQ.	WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ.
8	Nevada Bar No.: 9330 井り4つ4 300 South 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	Nevada Bar No.: 10791 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275
9	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Defendant	Las Vegas, NV 89144 Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC	JANETTE BYRNE as Trustee of the
11		UOFM TRUST
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
27		
28		
20		

1176296v.1

### ORDER

Based upon the above stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff JANETTE BYRNE as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST's Complaint against Defendant AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC and any amendments, cross-claims and/or counter-claims and all causes of action contained therein, be dismissed with prejudice, with each party to bear its own fees and costs.

DATED this 36 day of Tely, 2017.

Submitted by:

WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP

CHRISTINE D. BURKHART, ESO.

Nevada Bar No. 9330 300 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Defendant

AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC

176296v.1

Electronically Filed 12/8/2017 9:58 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

NEOJ 1 GREG W. MARSH, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 322 LAW OFFICES OF GREG W. MARSH 731 South Seventh Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101-6907 (702) 387-0052 (Telephone) (702) 387-0063 (Facsimile) 4 5 gwm4253@aol.com 6 CURTIS J. BUSBY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6581 7 BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 1600 8 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 (602) 643-2300 (Telephone) 9 (602) 248-0947 (Facsimile) curtis.busby@bowmanandbrooke.com 10 Attorneys for Defendant General Electric Company 11 12 DISTRICT COURT 13 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 14 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM 15 CASE NO.: A-16-742143-D TRUST. 16 Dept.: Ш Plaintiff, 17 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 18 ٧. GRANTING STIPULATION AND 19 ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada DEFENDANT GENERAL ELECTRIC Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a 20 COMPANY WITH PREJUDICE Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; 21 BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited 22 Liability Company: BSH HOME APPLIANCES Date of Hearing: N/A CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; 23 CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK Time of Hearing: N/A SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 24 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 25 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; 26 GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 27 MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation; 28 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada

1	Corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARD I
2	DBA SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM;
	LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT, DBA LIFEGUARD
3	POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation;
4	PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada
5	Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA
6	RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation;
7	S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a
8	Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME
9	LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW
-	INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada
10	Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101
11	through ISO, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2
12	through 50 inclusive,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	TO: ALL PARTIES:
16	TO: THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD:
17	ALL OF YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Order Granting Stipulation
18	and Order for Dismissal of Defendant General Electric Company With Prejudice was
19	entered and filed with the above-entitled court on the 7th day of December, 2017. A copy
20	of the Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
21	DATED this 8th day of December, 2017.
22	BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP
23	
24	By: <u>/s/ Curtis J. Busby, Esq.</u> Curtis J. Busby, Esq.
25	Nevada Bar No. 6581
26	Suite 1600, Phoenix Plaza 2901 North Central Avenue
	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2736
27	In conjunction with:
20	

Electronically Filed 03/16/2017 05:08:35 PM

ACOMP 1 TIMOTHY S. MENTER, ESQ. CLERK OF THE COURT Nevada Bar No. 7091 2 MENTER & WITKIN LLP 3 19900 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 800 Irvine, California 92612 4 Telephone: (949) 250-9000 Facsimile: (949) 250-9045 5 E-Mail: tmenter@menterwitkinlaw.com 6 WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ. 7 Nevada Bar No. 10791 MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. 8 Nevada Bar No. 11902 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 10 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 804-0706 11 Facsimile: (702) 804-0798 12 E-Mail: wwalker@springelfink.com marata@springelfink.com 13 Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2) 14 15 DISTRICT COURT 16 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 17 18 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM Case No.: A-16-742143-D TRUST, Dept. No.: XVI 19 20 Plaintiff, SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL VS. 21 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Arbitration Exempt: 22 Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a ) \*Damages in Excess of \$50,000.00 23 Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC,) \*Declaratory Relief Requested a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BRYANT 24 MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES 25 CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; 26 CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 27 DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL 28

ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; 1 GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; IVIE 2 MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation; 3 J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; ) KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT DBA 4 SIGNATURE DOOR & TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT, DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a 5 Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST 6 ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada 7 Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA 8 RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation; S&L) 9 ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY) PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada 10 Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada 11 Limited Liability Company; WINDOW 12 INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DOES 20 through 13 100; DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 14 50 inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COMES NOW, PLAINTIFF JANETTE BYRNE, on behalf of UOFM TRUST, by and through its counsel of record, the law firms of Menter & Witken LLP and Springel & Fink LLP, and hereby submits its Second Amended Complaint against Defendants, and each of them, and alleges as follows:

I.

#### PARTIES

- PLAINTIFF JANETTE BYRNE, as co-trustee of UOFM TRUST, (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is and was at all times relevant herein an individual residing in Clark County, Nevada.
- Plaintiff is, and at all times relevant hereto, was the owner of record for the home located at 578 Lairmont Place in Henderson, Nevada (hereinafter "Subject Property").

9

10

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

- At all times relevant herein, SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC. (hereinafter "SUNRIDGE"),
   a Nevada corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC. (hereinafter "LANDS WEST"), a Nevada corporation doing business in the State of Nevada was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability
   Company, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS
   NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada
   Limited Liability Company, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, IVIE MECHANICAL INC., a Nevada Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT DBA SIGNATURE
   DOOR & TRIM, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.

- At all times relevant herein, LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS,
   a Nevada Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada
   Limited Liability Company, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a
   Nevada Limited Liability Company, was doing business in Clark County, Nevada.
- 25. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Subcontractor DOES 20 through 100, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sue said Defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants designated as DOES 20 through 100 were doing business in Clark County, Nevada, and are responsible in some manner as an individual or entity developing, designing, performing construction related activities and/or providing materials for construction of the Subject Property and are responsible for the events and happenings, described in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, which proximately caused damages to Plaintiff as alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of the Court to further amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of DOES 20 through 100 and state appropriate charging allegations when that information has been ascertained.

- 26. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Design Professional DOES 101 through 150, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sue said Defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants designated as Design Professional DOES 101 through 150 were doing business in Clark County, Nevada, and are responsible in some manner as an individual or entity engineering, developing and/or designing construction plans and specifications for the Subject Property and are responsible for the events and happenings, described in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, which proximately caused damages to Plaintiff as alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of the Court to further amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of Design Professional DOES 101 through 150 and state appropriate charging allegations when that information has been ascertained.
- 27. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Supplier/Manufacturer ROES 2 through 100, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sue said Defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants designated as ROES 2 through 100 were doing business in Clark County, Nevada, and are responsible in some manner as an individual or entity that developed, designed, manufactured, supplied, distributed, marketed, sold, and warranted products for the Subject Property and are responsible for the events and happenings, described in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, which proximately caused damages to Plaintiff as alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of the Court to further amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of ROES 2 through 100 and state appropriate charging allegations when that information has been ascertained.
- 28. Defendants, and each of them, were developers, contractors, subcontractors, suppliers, manufacturers and/or design professionals who designed, developed, constructed, improved, altered, repaired, supplied material, and/or under certain works of improvement upon the Subject Property, for the benefit of Plaintiff.
- 29. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis, alleges that Defendants are, and at all times relevant were, individuals, sole proprietors, partnerships, corporations or other business entities doing business in Clark County, Nevada.

- 30. Defendants, and each of them, were the agents, servants, employees, and/or representatives of each other in doing the things alleged herein and in doing so were acting within the scope of their respective agency.
- 31. Defendants, and each of them, undertook certain works of improvement upon the Subject Property, including all works of development, design and construction for the benefit of all owners of the Subject Property, including Plaintiff.

#### П.

#### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. Defendants were engaged in the business of planning, developing, designing, constructing, manufacturing materials, manufacturing products, supplying materials and/or supplying products for use at residential real property in County of Clark, State of Nevada.
- 34. Defendants acted as the developer/builder/contractor of the Subject Property and were directly responsible for the construction and/or supervision of the construction of the Subject Property.
- 35. Defendants, and each of them, undertook certain works of improvement upon the Subject Property, including all works of development, design and construction of the Subject Property, as well as manufacturing products, supplying materials and supplying products for the Subject Property, which were intended to be used as a residential dwelling, which could be sold to and used by members of the general public for the purpose of a residence and said Defendants knew or reasonably should have known that persons who would purchase said units would do so without inspecting for defects set forth herein.
- 36. Defendants, and each of them, failed to properly and adequately investigate, design, inspect, plan, engineer, supervise, construct, produce, manufacture, develop, prepare, market, distribute, and/or supply the Subject Property and its components in that said Subject Property has experienced, and continues to experience, incomplete work pursuant to the contracts, defects and deficiencies, and damages resulting therefrom.
- Defendants, and each of them, failed to properly and adequately investigate, design, inspect, plan, engineer, supervise, construct, produce, manufacture, develop, prepare, market, distribute,

9

5

10

13 14

15

12

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

24 25

23

26 27

28

and/or supply the Subject Property and its components in that said Subject Property has experienced, and continues to experience, incomplete work pursuant to the contracts, defects and deficiencies, and damages resulting therefrom.

- 38. The Subject Property may be defective or deficient in other ways and to other extents not presently known to Plaintiff, and not specified above. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint upon discovery of any additional defects or deficiencies not referenced herein and/or to present evidence of the same at the trial of this action.
- Plaintiff has complied with all prefiling requirements of Nevada Revised Statutes §40.600 through §40.695.
- To date, Defendants have not resolved Plaintiff's claims as set forth in Plaintiff's notice as required by NRS §40.6472.
- 41. To date, Defendants, and each of them, have failed and continue to fail to perform all necessary repairs or complete the work necessary to repair all the defective conditions at the Subject Property.
- 42. Prior to placing the Defendants on notice of her claims of construction deficiencies, Plaintiff became aware of facts which thereafter, upon investigation, resulted in Plaintiff being informed that portions of the Subject Property has been incompletely and/or inadequately constructed, developed, designed, supervised or otherwise improved so that the above-described defective conditions existed and do now exist and the works of improvement are defective, not of merchantable quality and not fit for the purpose of permitting persons to reside thereabouts in a proper manner and fashion.
- The damages to the Subject Property known to Plaintiff at this time are progressive and continue to worsen.
- 44. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that any and all repair attempts by Defendants failed to adequately correct said damages and deficiencies thereby resulting in further property damages caused thereby.
- 45. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that instead of causing the necessary and required reconstruction and repairs of the Subject Property, Defendants have caused cosmetic, temporary or ineffective repairs to be made to various portions of the Subject Property for the

purpose of leading Plaintiff to believe that said Defendants were resolving and correcting all deficiencies. By virtue of such conduct, said Defendants are estopped to assert that Plaintiff has not commenced this action in a timely fashion and are further estopped to assert that Plaintiff may not seek the damages herein sought.

- 46. In the event that Plaintiff failed to file suit within the statutorily prescribed time period for any allegations contained herein, Plaintiff alleges that she detrimentally relied upon the conduct and representations of the Defendants, and each of them in making repairs and/or representations to Plaintiff concerning the Subject Property and therefore the statute of limitations and repose are thus tolled. Notwithstanding these actions, this lawsuit is being filed to stop the running of any and all applicable statutes of repose and limitations.
- 47. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the defects arose out of, were attributable to and are directly and proximately caused by the above-described deficiency in the design, specification, planning, supervision, observation of construction, development and/or improvement and any repairs of the Subject Property and that prior to the time when it was discovered by Plaintiff, as set forth herein, it could not have been discovered by the exercise of reasonable diligence.
  - Plaintiff has standing to commence this action against the Defendants and each of them.
- 49. Plaintiff seeks all available damages statutorily codified in NRS §40.655 on behalf of herself and as the homeowner of the Subject Property developed, constructed and designed by the Defendants and all other damages and remedies available by law.

III.

# FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Breach of Implied Warranty Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. Defendants impliedly warranted, among other express and/or implied warranties, that the Subject Property was designed and constructed in accordance with applicable law, according to sound standards of construction and engineering, in a commercially reasonable, habitable and workmanlike manner, free from defective materials and fit and safe for human habitation.

 52. Defendants SUNRIDGE and LANDS WEST impliedly warranted that the home a \$10 million custom home containing "11,000 square feet of luxury," built by "hillside construction experts" who have been building "quality [custom] homes" since 1989 and that "Both owners [Van Nelson and Dave Hardy] are qualified licensed general contractors with combined experience of over 65 years in the industry." More specifically, Defendants SUNRIDGE and LANDS WEST impliedly warranted through co-owners Van Nelson and Dave Hardy that:

"Their many years in the field allow them a unique understanding of the building process and multi-level coordination required to provide customer satisfaction. Client communication is handled directly through Van or Dave from start to finish and they personally supervise each project along with a project superintendent. They pride themselves on their "hands-on, in the field, personalized" service. Owners are faced with a myriad of decisions to ensure that their home is a true reflection of their personality. This is why SBI is there to offer input and support to their clients. <u>Van and Dave share a commitment to building extraordinary custom homes and solid client relationships.</u>"

The Subject Property fails to meet the heightened implied warranty of fitness befitting an 11,000 square foot \$10 million custom home.

- 53. The Subject Property, and its components, in particular, are not of quality befitting a multi-million dollar custom home, let alone a merchantable quality, but, in fact, are defective and fail to meet all applicable building codes and industry standards and have caused damage to the Subject Property.
- 54. Defendants breached their implied warranties and covenants of repair because the Subject Property is in disrepair, unfit and unsafe in violation of the Nevada Revised Statutes and other codes and regulations.
- 55. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that as a direct and proximate result of the defects set forth herein, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount precisely unknown, but believed to be within the jurisdiction of this Court in that it has been and will hereafter be required to perform works of repair, restoration and construction to portions of the structures to prevent further damages and to restore the structures to their proper condition.
- 56. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff has suffered costs and out of pocket expenses, in an amount in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00), to be shown specifically at the time of trial.

 57. As a further direct and proximate result of the Defendants' breach of implied warranties related to the Subject Property, Plaintiff was compelled to retain legal counsel to obtain recovery for the defective conditions. Therefore, pursuant to NRS §40.600 et seq., Defendants are liable for those attorney's fees and costs reasonably and necessarily incurred by Plaintiff in order to obtain compensation in a sum to be determined at trial.

IV.

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Breach of Express Warranty Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Defendants expressly warranted, through advertisements and other documentation, that the Subject Property was designed and constructed in accordance with applicable law, according to sound standards of construction and engineering, in a commercially reasonable, habitable and workmanlike manner, free from defective materials and fit and safe for human habitation.
- 60. Plaintiff relied on Defendants' express representation that the Subject property was of merchantable quality suitable for its intended purpose, without major and/or significant defective causes, effects or conditions un-remedied or unrepaired by said Defendants.
- Defendants breached these express warranties in the design, specification, planning, supervision, observation of construction, development and/or improvement and repair.
- 62. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of the express warranties by Defendants, Plaintiff suffered damages stemming from the construction defects at the real property and structures thereon.
- 63. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, as a direct and proximate result of the defects set forth herein, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount precisely unknown, but believed to be within the jurisdiction of this Court in that it has been and will hereafter be required to perform works of repair, restoration and construction to portions of the structures to prevent further damages and to restore the structures to their proper condition.

11 12

10

14 15

16

13

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

28

26 111 27

64. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing violations of codes, negligence, carelessness and unworkmanlike conduct, actions and/or omissions by Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) in order to correct the defective conditions of the Subject Property and to restore it to its proper condition including reasonable expenses of temporary housing reasonably necessary during the repair in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.

- 65. Plaintiff has incurred and will incur expert fees and costs to investigate the defective conditions at the Subject Property to determine the nature, extent, cause of the defects and the reasonable and appropriate repairs.
- 66. Plaintiff has suffered loss of other property damaged by the defective conditions; Plaintiff is presently unaware of the precise amount of the damages, but will establish the same at trial, according to proof.
- As a further direct and proximate result of the defective conditions of the Subject Property, Plaintiff's interests in the Subject Property and the value thereof has been reduced and diminished. All of the above-described damages have occurred in an amount in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) to be established according to proof at the time of trial.
- 68. As a further direct and proximate result of the incomplete and/or defective conditions of the Subject Property, Plaintiff has lost and will continue to lose the use and enjoyment of the Subject Property, including the use of the Subject Property as a result of the restoration required to repair and restore the defects.
- 69. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that as a further direct and proximate result of the breach of express warranties, Plaintiff was compelled to retain legal counsel to obtain recovery for the defective conditions. Therefore, pursuant to NRS §40.600 et seq., Defendants are liable for those attorney's fees and costs reasonably and necessarily incurred by Plaintiff in order to obtain compensation in a sum to be determined at trial.

/// 111

# THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Negligence/Negligence Per Se Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 71. Defendants, and each of them, were builders, contractors, subcontractors, suppliers, material men, architects and/or engineers, or other persons, entities or professionals who participated in the process of developing, designing, engineering and/or construction of the Subject Property and who performed works of labor, supplied materials, equipment and/or services necessary for the building and construction, including supervision of construction of the Subject Property.
- 72. In their capacity as developer, builder, contractor, subcontractor, supplier, material men, architect, engineer and/or general contractor or otherwise, Defendants, caused the Subject Property to be designed, engineered and/or constructed through their own works of labor, and supplying of materials, equipment and services, and through causing other contractors and subcontractors, including Defendants to perform works of labor, and to supply materials and/or equipment and services in order to properly complete the Subject Property.
- 73. Defendants, and each of them, whether developer, builder, contractor, subcontractor, supplier, material men, architect, engineer or otherwise, performed work, labor and/or services upon the Subject Property.
- 74. Defendants were under a duty to exercise ordinary care as builders, contractors, subcontractors, suppliers, material men, manufacturers, engineers or otherwise to avoid reasonably foreseeable injury to users and purchasers of the Subject Property, and knew or should have foreseen with reasonable certainty that purchasers and/or users would suffer the damages set forth herein if said Defendants, and each of them, failed to perform their duty to cause the Subject Property to be designed, engineered and constructed in a proper workmanlike manner and fashion.
- 75. In performing works of a builder and/or contractor, subcontractor, supplier, material man, engineer or otherwise, Defendants breached their duty to Plaintiff and neglected to perform the work, labor and services properly or adequately in that each said Defendant so negligently, carelessly and in an

 unworkmanlike manner performed the aforesaid work, labor and/or services such that the Subject Property was designed, engineered and/or constructed improperly and without ordinary care. Defendants failed to perform their duty to cause the Subject Property to be designed, engineered and completed in a proper and workmanlike manner and fashion.

- 76. Despite their duty to act reasonably, Defendants breached their respective duties of care by negligently, recklessly and/or intentionally failing to engineer or construct the Subject Property in a good and workmanlike manner.
- 77. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the Subject Property was not constructed in accordance with applicable law or according to the sound standards of engineering and construction, was not constructed in a workmanlike manner, was not free from defective materials, was not of proper durability, reliability, habitability, merchantability, and/or general quality and not fit for its intended use as herein described.
- 78. Defendants violated the building codes, municipal codes and regulations of the City of Henderson and/or the Nevada Revised Statutes relating to construction of homes, developments, common interest subdivisions, trade professionals, design professionals, construction and sale of real estate.
- Plaintiff is a member of the class of person for whose protection the aforementioned
   Codes were adopted.
- 80. Plaintiff has sustained damages that are proximately caused by violations of the Building Codes and regulations of the County of Clark, the Uniform Building Codes and/or the Nevada Revised Statutes by Defendants as alleged above.
- 81. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing violations of codes, negligence, carelessness and unworkmanlike conduct, actions and/or omissions by Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) in order to correct the defective conditions of the Subject Property and to restore it to its proper condition including reasonable expenses of temporary housing reasonably necessary during the repair in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.
- 82. Plaintiff has incurred and will incur expert fees and costs to investigate the defective conditions at the Subject Property to determine the nature, extent, cause of the defects and the reasonable and appropriate repairs.

- 83. Plaintiff has suffered loss of other property damaged by the defective conditions; Plaintiff is presently unaware of the precise amount of the damages, but will establish the same at trial, according to proof.
- 84. As a further direct and proximate result of the defective conditions of the Subject Property, Plaintiff's interests in the Subject Property and the value thereof has been reduced and diminished. All of the above-described damages have occurred in an amount in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) to be established according to proof at the time of trial.
- 85. As a further direct and proximate result of the incomplete and/or defective conditions of the Subject Property, Plaintiff has lost and will continue to lose the use and enjoyment of the Subject Property, including the use of the Subject Property as a result of the restoration required to repair and restore the defects.
- 86. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that as a further direct and proximate result of the negligence and negligence per se of Defendants for the conditions of the Subject Property, Plaintiff was compelled to retain legal counsel to obtain recovery for the defective conditions. Therefore, pursuant to NRS §40.600 et seq., Defendants are liable for those attorney's fees and costs reasonably and necessarily incurred by Plaintiff in order to obtain compensation in a sum to be determined at trial.

VI.

# FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Alter Ego Against Defendants SUNRIDGE and LANDS WEST)

- Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant LANDS WEST is the partner, owner, parent corporation and/or successor-in-interest to Defendant SUNRIDGE.
- 89. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges the identify of Defendant LANDS WEST and Defendant SUNRIDGE are in substance one and the same and that Defendant SUNRIDGE is but the alter ego of Defendant LANDS WEST and/or LANDS WEST is the successor-in-interest to

SUNRIDGE and as such both companies are jointly and severally liable for their individual or combined actions in causing damage to Plaintiff.

- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant SUNRIDGE is fully influenced and governed by Defendant LANDS WEST.
- 91. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that there is total unity of interest in ownership between Defendant SUNRIDGE and Defendant LANDS WEST such that each entity is inseparable from the other.
- 92. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the reason for the difference between Defendant LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC. and its alter ego Defendant SUNRIDGE is to cause harm or prejudice to those dealing with it, sanctions fraud and promote injustice. In support, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant herein, Defendant LANDS WEST: (1) was and is the owner of all or substantially all of the stock of Defendant SUNRIDGE; (2) was the President of Defendant SUNRIDGE; (3) was and/or is a member of the board of directors of Defendant SUNRIDGE.; and (4) was and is the controlling influence over all of Defendant SUNRIDGE'S corporate affairs.
- 93. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant herein Defendant LANDS WEST ignored and ignores the separate existence of Defendant Corporation SUNRIDGE in numerous ways, including: (1) failure to conduct regular meetings of shareholders and directors; (2) undercapitalizing Defendant SUNRIDGE; (3) performing unauthorized diversions of funds from Defendant SUNRIDGE to Defendant LANDS WEST; (4) failure to observe corporate formalities between Defendant LANDS WEST and Defendant SUNRIDGE; (5) required annual meetings are not held; (6) corporate records are not properly maintained; and (7) there is a failure to maintain separate offices and the existence of Defendant SUNRIDGE as corporate entities are only facades for the activities of Defendant LANDS WEST who in fact is the corporate alter ego of Defendant SUNRIDGE.
- 94. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant SUNRIDGE is virtually insolvent and has ceased operations and Plaintiff therefore invokes the trust fund doctrine and alleges that Defendant LANDS WEST is placed in a fiduciary relationship, and owes a fiduciary duty to Plaintiff and to all other creditors of SUNRIDGE.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### VII.

# FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Successor Liability Against Defendants SUNRIDGE and LANDS WEST)

- 95. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant LANDS WEST is the successor-in-interest to Defendant SUNRIDGE.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant LANDS WEST expressly or impliedly agreed to assume the debts of Defendant SUNRIDGE.
- 98. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants LANDS WEST and SUNRIDGE have consolidated or merged operations.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes that LANDS WEST is merely the continuation of SUNRIDGE.
- 100. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that any transactions between LANDS WEST and SUNRIDGE were fraudulently made in order for SUNRIDGE to escape liability for debts to Plaintiff.
- 101. Because Defendant LANDS WEST is the successor-in-interest to SUNRIDGE, and one is simply the mere continuation of the other, both companies are jointly and severally liable for their individual or combined actions in causing damage to Plaintiff.

### VIII.

### SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Declaratory and Equitable Relief Regarding NRS 40.600 et seq. Against All Defendants)

- 102. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all previous paragraphs inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 103. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy now exists between Plaintiff and Defendants, and each of them, with respect to Defendants' obligations under NRS §40.600 et seq. (hereinafter "Chapter 40") and Plaintiff's' rights thereunder. Plaintiff contends that the defective conditions at issue arose before AB125 was enacted. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants.

and each of them, contend to the contrary. Therefore, an actual controversy exists relative to the legal duties and rights of the respective parties, which Plaintiff requests the Court to resolve.

- 104. All of the rights and obligations of the parties hereto arose out of what is actually one transaction or one series of transactions, happenings or events, all of which can be settled and determined in a judgment in this one action. Plaintiff alleges that an actual controversy exists between the parties under the circumstances alleged. A declaration of rights, responsibilities and obligations of Plaintiff and Defendants, and each of them, is essential to determine their respective obligations in connection with Plaintiff's operative Complaint. Plaintiff has no true and speedy remedy at law of any kind.
- 105. As the determination of the foregoing issue is essential to the administration of justice in this case and therefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to resolve this issue prior to trial.
- 106. It has been necessary for Plaintiffs to retain the services of legal counsel to bring this action. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their attorney's fees, expert fees and costs incurred herein pursuant Nevada law.

IX.

## SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Strict Liability against BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION and ROES 2 through 50)

- 107. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all previous paragraphs inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 108. BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION and ROES 2 through 50 developed, designed, manufactured, supplied, distributed, marketed, sold, and warranted defective products that were used and/or installed into the Subject Property.
- 109. BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION and ROES 2 through 50 knew and/or should have known and expected that their products would be placed in the stream of commerce and on the market, and would reach Plaintiff without substantial change and would be installed in the same defective condition in which they were originally designed, manufactured and sold.
- 110. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION'S and ROES 2 through 50's products are defective by design and/or unsuitable for use. As a direct and proximate result, Plaintiff has been injured, damaged and caused harm. The

 damages include, but are not limited to the cost to replace the defective units, which can be calculated based on common methods and proof. Incidental damages also include loss of use and function, damage to other property, economic loses including costs of maintenance and/or repair, and all reasonable fees, costs, interest, and/or expenses associated therewith in an amount in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) to be established according to proof at the time of trial.

111. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain legal counsel to bring this action. Plaintiff is entitled to recover their attorney's fees, expert fees and costs incurred herein pursuant Nevada law.

X.

## EIGTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Professional Negligence Against Design Professionals DOES 101 through 150)

- 112. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all previous paragraphs inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 113. Design Professionals DOES 101 through 150, and each of them, owed a contractual and/or legal duty to Plaintiff to exercise due and reasonable care in the rendering of professional services, design, construction and/or development of the subject property. Design Professionals DOES 101 through 150 also had a legal duty to abide by professional standards of care, industry standards, governmental codes and restrictions, manufacturer requirements, Building Codes, product specifications and/or the laws of the State of Nevada.
- 114. If the subject property is defectively designed, developed and/or constructed, Design Professionals DOES 101 through 150, and each of them are responsible for such defects in that they failed to act reasonably in the rendering of professional services, design, development and construction of the subject property, thereby breaching their duty owed to Plaintiff.
- 115. The breach(es) of the aforementioned duties by each Design Professionals DOES 101 through 150, as described in herein was and is the actual and proximate cause of damages to Plaintiff in excess of \$10,000.
- 116. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain legal counsel to bring this action. Plaintiff is entitled to recover their attorney's fees, expert fees and costs incurred herein pursuant Nevada law.

26

27

28

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all Paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein and pray for judgment as against the Defendants as follows:

- General and specific damages in excess of this Court's minimum jurisdiction of \$10,000.00 including but not limited to any costs to identify, mitigate, cure or repair any defects or deficiency in the construction of the SUBJECT PROPERTY and improvements and appurtenances thereto, and any and all damages proximately caused thereby, in a sum to be determined according to proof;
- Incidental and consequential damages proximately caused by any defect or deficiency in the construction of the SUBJECT PROPERTY and improvements and appurtenances thereto, including but not limited to the loss of use, relocation and alternative housing, incidental expenses, diminished value, stigma, lost rents and lost business opportunity, all in sums to be determined according to proof;
  - All entitlements as set forth in NRS §40.655;
- Reasonable attorney's fees and costs based on the construction contracts and Nevada Revised Statutes;
  - 5. All interest as provided by law, including prejudgment interest; and
  - Such other declaratory and equitable relief as the court deems just and proper.

DATED this 16th day of March, 2017.

SPRINGEL & FINK LLP

By: /s/ Wendy L. Walker
WENDY L. WALKER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10791
MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 11902
10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275
Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and per SCR 42.1(2)

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2		Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al. Case No. A-16-742143-D						
3	STATE OF NEVADA )							
4	CLARK COUNTY ) ss.							
5	I, Lori-Anne Harrison, declare:							
6	I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of eighteen years and							
7	not a party to the within action. My business address is 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275, Las Vegas, Nevada 89144.							
8	On March 16, 2017 I served the	document described as SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT						
9	On March 16, 2017, I served the document described as SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL on the following parties:							
10	Lena M. Louis, Esq.	Robert Schumacher, Esq.						
11	Athanasia E. Dalacas, Esq.	Brian K. Walters, Esq.						
12	RESNICK & LOUIS, P.C. 5940 S Rainbow Blvd	GORDON & REES, LLP 300 South 4 <sup>th</sup> St, Suite 1550						
13	Las Vegas NV 89118	Las Vegas NV 89101						
14	Attorneys for Defendant Sunridge Builders, Inc.	Attorneys for Defendant Lands West Builders, Inc.						
15		1						
16	prepaid, in the United States	a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully mail at Las Vegas, Nevada. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of respondence by mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the						
17	U.S. postal service on that sai of business	c on that same day with postage fully prepaid at Las Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course						
18	VIA FACSIMILE: by transr	VIA FACSIMILE: by transmitting to a facsimile machine maintained by the person on whom it is served						
19	at the facsimile machine telep filed in the cause and serve	nachine telephone number at last given by that person on any document which he/she has and served on the party making the service. The copy of the document served by ssion bears a notation of the date and place of transmission and the facsimile telephone transmitted. A confirmation of the transmission containing the facsimile telephone						
20	number to which transmitted							
21	numbers to which the docume	ent(s) was/were transmitted will be maintained with the document(s) served.						
22	Electronic Service upon the	ICE: by submitting the foregoing to the Court's E-filing System for Court's Service List pursuant to EDCR 8. The copy of the document						
23	electronically served bears a maintained with the docume	ved bears a notation of the date and time of service. The original document will be the document(s) served and be made available, upon reasonable notice, for inspection						
24	by counsel or the Court.							
25	I declare under penalty of perjury	that the foregoing is true and correct.						
26								
27		/s/ Lori-Anne Harrison An Employee of SPRINGEL & FINK LLP						
28								

Electronically Filed 03/31/2017 10:18:18 AM

Steen to Lane

CLERK OF THE COURT

ANS RESNICK & LOUIS, P.C. 2 LENA M. LOUIS, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 6398 3 ATHANASIA E. DALACAS, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 9390 llouis@rlattornevs.com adalacas@rlattornevs.com 5 5940 S. Rainbow Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89118 6 Telephone: (702) 997-3800 Facsimile: (702) 997-3800 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Claimant/Third Party Plaintiff. Sunridge Builders, Inc. 8 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 12 JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM TRUST. 13 DEPT: XVI Plaintiffs,

CASE NO.: A-16-742143-D

DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT/ THIRD PARTY PLAINTIFF SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC.'S ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CROSS CLAIM AND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT

14 15 SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, 16 INC., a Nevada Corporation; AVANTI PRODUCTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability 17 Company; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORÁTION, a 18 Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S 19 DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; 4M CORP., 20 a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDCAPING, LLC, a Nevada 21 Limited Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada 23 corporation; KARL HENRY LINSENBARDT DBA SIGNATURE DOOR AND TRIM; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada 25 Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a 26 Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA 27 FRAMING INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L

1 2 3 4 5	ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company; TRIM TIME LLC DBA BLITZ CONSTRUCTION, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and DOES 20 through 100 DESIGN PROFESSIONAL DOES 101 through 150, and/or SUPPLIER ROES 2 through 50, inclusive,
7	Defendants.
8	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,
9	Cross-Claimant,
10	v.
11	BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada
12	Limited Liability Company; 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation; BSH HOME
13	APPLIANCES CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT
14	DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; DMK CONCRETE,
15	INC., a Nevada Corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign
16	Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited
17	Liability Company; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W.
18	CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada corporation; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA
19	LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada
20	Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING
21	INC., a Nevada Corporation; S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; SPRAY
22	PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company; WINDOW
23	INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company and MOES
24	1 through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,
25	Cross-Defendants.
26	
27	SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation,

Third Party Plaintiff,

,

BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada
Corporation; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES,
LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company;
HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA
CABINETS, a Nevada Corporation; J.C.W.
CONCRETE, INC., A Nevada Corporation; JD
STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PIECE
OF THE ROCK, a Nevada Corporation;
WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an
Unknown Business Entity; and MOES 101
through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101
through 150, inclusive,

Third-Party Defendants.

Defendant, SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., (hereinafter "SUNRIDGE") by and through its counsel of record, ATHANASIA E. DALACAS, ESQ., of the law offices of RESNICK & LOUIS, P.C., hereby answers Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint as follows:

I.

### PARTIES

- Answering Paragraphs 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20,
   21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint,
   SUNRIDGE lacks sufficient information upon which to admit or deny the allegations made and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, SUNRIDGE admits the allegations contained therein as to SUNRIDGE, but lacks sufficient information as to the remainder of the Defendants.

и.

### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

3. Answering Paragraphs 32, 38, 39, 40, 48, and 49of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, SUNRIDGE lacks sufficient information upon which to admit or deny the allegations made and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.

2	2
3	,
4	,
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	п
26	
27	
	ш

///

28

	4.	An	swering	Para	graphs	34 of	Pla	aintiff	's S	Secon	nd Am	ended	С	mp	laint,	sunrid	GE
admits	as	to	SUNR	DGE	only.	With	ıre	espect	to	the	allega	tions	as	to	other	Defenda	nts
SUNR	DG	E la	acks suf	ficient	inforn	nation	upo	on wh	ich	to ad	lmit or	deny	the	alle	egation	ns made,	and
on that	bas	ies,	denies	each a	nd ever	y alle	gati	on cor	ıtaiı	ned t	herein.						

 Answering Paragraphs 35, 36, 37, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, and 47 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Defendant specifically and generally deny each and every allegation contained therein.

### III.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Breach of Implied Warranty Against Defendants)

- Answering Paragraph 50 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, SUNRIDGE lacks sufficient information upon which to admit or deny the allegations made and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering Paragraphs 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, and 57 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Defendant specifically and generally deny each and every allegation contained therein.

### IV.

### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Breach of Express Warranty Against Defendants)

- Answering Paragraph 58 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, SUNRIDGE lacks sufficient information upon which to admit or deny the allegations made and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 9. Answering Paragraphs 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68 and 69 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Defendant specifically and generally deny each and every allegation contained therein.

V.

13

18

20

21

25

26

27 28

### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Negligence/Negligence Per Se Against Defendants)

- Answering Paragraphs 70 and 79 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, SUNRIDGE lacks sufficient information upon which to admit or deny the allegations made and on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 11. Answering Paragraphs 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85 and 86 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Defendant specifically and generally deny each and every allegation contained therein.

### VI.

### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Alter Ego Against Defendants SUNRIDGE AND LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.)

- 12. Answering Paragraph 87 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, SUNRIDGE lacks sufficient information upon which to admit or deny the allegations made and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 13. Answering Paragraphs 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93 and 94 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Defendant specifically and generally deny each and every allegation contained therein.

### VII.

### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (Successor Liability Against Defendants SUNRIDGE AND LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC.)

14. Answering Paragraph 95 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, SUNRIDGE lacks sufficient information upon which to admit or deny the allegations made and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

15. Answering Paragraphs 96, 97, 98, 99, 100 and 101 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Defendant specifically and generally deny each and every allegation contained therein.

### VIII.

### SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory and Equitable Relief Regarding NRS 40.600 et seq. Against All Defendants)

- 16. Answering Paragraph 102 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, SUNRIDGE lacks sufficient information upon which to admit or deny the allegations made and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 17. Answering Paragraphs 103, 104, 105 and 106 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Defendant specifically and generally deny each and every allegation contained therein.

### IX.

### SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Strict Liability against BSH HOME APPLIANCES AND ROES 2 through 50))

- 18. Answering Paragraph 107 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, SUNRIDGE lacks sufficient information upon which to admit or deny the allegations made and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 19. Answering Paragraphs 108, 109, 110 and 1111 Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Defendant specifically and generally deny each and every allegation contained therein.

### х.

### EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Professional Negligence Against Design Professionals DOES 101 through 150)

20. Answering Paragraph 112 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, SUNRIDGE lacks sufficient information upon which to admit or deny the allegations made and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.

Answering Paragraphs 113, 114, 115, 116 of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint,
 Defendant specifically and generally deny each and every allegation contained therein.

### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations of the Second Amended Complaint, and each cause of action, and each paragraph in each cause of action, and each and every part thereof, including a denial that Plaintiff was damaged in the sum or sums alleged, or to be alleged, or any other sum or sums whatsoever.

### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant denies that by reason of any act or omission, fault, conduct or liability on the part of this answering Defendant, whether negligent, careless, unlawful or whether as alleged, or otherwise, Plaintiff was injured or damaged in any of the amounts alleged, or in any other manner or amount whatsoever; this answering Defendant further denies that this answering Defendant was negligent, careless, reckless, wanton, acted unlawfully or are liable, whether in the manner alleged or otherwise.

### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the Second Amended Complaint, and each and every cause of action stated therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action, or any cause of action, as against this answering Defendant.

### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by issue preclusion and/or the Doctrine of Res Judicata.

///

# 7 8

## 

### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that if Plaintiff suffered or sustained any loss, injury, damage or detriment, the same is directly and proximately caused and contributed to, in whole or in part, by the breach of warranty, conduct, acts, omissions, activities, carelessness, recklessness, negligence, and/or intentional misconduct of Plaintiff, thereby completely or partially barring Plaintiffs' recovery herein.

### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that it is not legally responsible in any fashion with respect to the damages and injuries claimed by Plaintiff; however, if this Defendant is subjected to any liability to Plaintiffs, it will be due, in whole or in part, to the breach of warranty, acts, omissions, activities, carelessness, recklessness, and negligence of others; wherefore any recovery obtained by Plaintiff against this Defendant should be reduced in proportion to the respective negligence and fault and legal responsibility of all other parties, persons and entities, their agents, servants and employees who contributed to and/or caused any such injury and/or damages, in accordance with the law of comparative negligence; consequently, this Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the liability of this answering Defendant, if any, is limited in direct proportion to the percentage of fault actually attributed to this answering Defendant.

### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

If this Defendant is found responsible in damages to Plaintiff or some other party, whether as alleged or otherwise, then this Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the liability will be predicated upon the active conduct of Plaintiff, whether by negligence, breach of warranty, strict liability in tort or otherwise, which unlawful conduct proximately caused the alleged incident and that Plaintiff's action against this Defendant is barred by that active and affirmative conduct.

3 4 5

# 7 8

б

10

11

9

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at the time or place of the incidents alleged in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs knowingly, freely, and voluntarily assumed all risk of harm and the consequent injuries and damages, if any, resulting therefrom.

### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the Second Amended Complaint, and each and every cause of action contained therein is barred by the applicable Statutes of Repose.

### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that as to each alleged cause of action, Plaintiff has failed, refused and neglected to take reasonable steps to mitigate their alleged damages, if any, thus barring or diminishing Plaintiff's recovery herein.

### ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the Second Amended Complaint, and each and every cause of action contained therein, is barred by the applicable Statutes of Limitation.

### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff unreasonably delayed both the filing of the Complaint and notification of this Defendant to the alleged causes of action, and the basis for the causes of action alleged against this answering Defendant, all of which has unduly and severely prejudiced this Defendant in its defense of the action, thereby barring or diminishing Plaintiff's recovery herein under the Doctrine of Estoppel.

### THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff reasonably delayed both filing of the Second Amended Complaint and notification of this Defendant to the alleged causes of action, and the basis for the causes of action alleged against this answering

Defendant, all of which has unduly and severely prejudiced this Defendant in his defense of the action, thereby barring or diminishing Plaintiff's recovery herein under the Doctrine of Waiver.

### FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiffs unreasonably delayed both the filing of the Second Amended Complaint and notification of this Defendant to the alleged causes of action, and the basis for the causes of action alleged against this answering Defendant, all of which has unduly and severely prejudiced this Defendant in his defense of the action, thereby barring or diminishing Plaintiff's recovery herein under the Doctrine of Laches.

### FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff has failed to join all necessary and indispensable parties to this lawsuit.

### SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the injuries and damages of which Plaintiff complains were proximately caused by, or contributed to by, the acts of other Defendants, Cross-Defendants, Third-Party Defendants, persons, and/or other entities, and that said acts were an intervening and superseding cause of the injuries and damages, if any, of which Plaintiff complains, thus barring Plaintiffs from any recovery against this answering Defendant.

### SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second

Amended Complaint is barred by the Statute of Frauds.

### EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

It has been necessary for this Defendant to retain the services of an attorney to defend this action, and this Defendant is entitled to a reasonable sum as and for attorney's fees.

### NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the claims of Plaintiff is reduced, modified and/or barred by the Doctrine of Unclean Hands.

3

5 6

8

9

7

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26 27

28

### TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that any and all events, happenings, injuries and damages alleged by Plaintiff are a direct result of an act of God.

### TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because Plaintiff received payment.

### TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant's performance was excused because of Impossibility of Performance.

### TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because Plaintiff abandoned the contract(s).

### TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because Plaintiffs ratified the contract(s).

### TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because of changed circumstances.

### TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because Plaintiff's released their claims.

### TWENTY -SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because of the doctrine of Accord and Satisfaction.

3

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

### TWENTY -EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because of the Parol Evidence Rule.

### TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because of the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment.

### THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because Plaintiff failed to fulfill a condition or conditions precedent to the enforcement of each and every oral, implied or other contract alleged herein.

### THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred by the Economic Loss Doctrine.

### THIRTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because the subject construction and products incorporated therein were modified, changed, or altered so as to change their character with respect to the defects complained of in the Second Amended Complaint. Any defect in the subject construction and/or product, if any, resulted solely from modification, change, or alteration of the products, and not from any act or omission on the part of this Defendant. Furthermore, the defects created by the aforesaid alteration, change, or modification, if any, were the sole and proximate cause of damages, if any, alleged in the Complaint.

///

///

### THIRTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because Plaintiff's claimed damages arising from the incident sued upon herein resulted from misuse of the subject construction and products incorporated therein. If there was any defect in the product or property referred to in the Second Amended Complaint at the time of said damages, such defect did not exist at the time said product or property left the possession or control of this Defendant and was caused by the misuse, abuse, changes, modification, lack of maintenance, improper maintenance, and alterations of others, including Plaintiffs herein, and that said damages were caused by such misuse, abuse, changes, alterations, lack of maintenance, and modifications. The misuse was without the knowledge, approval, or consent of this Defendant and was not reasonably foreseeable to this Defendant either before the time of the sale or construction of the lot or house or at any time prior to the manifestation of the alleged defects, if any.

### THIRTY -FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is reduced, modified, and/or barred because Plaintiffs lack privity with this Defendant, lack standing to sue, and/or lack capacity to sue this Defendant.

### THIRTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent that there is any agreement between the parties to utilize Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") procedures to resolve any or all of the issues or disputes raised in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, Centex expressly reserves the right to enforce those ADR provisions and does not waive the right to enforce those ADR provisions by filing this Answer. ADR procedures include, without limitation, arbitration, mediation, and/or a judicial reference.

### THIRTY -SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's damages, if any, are speculative and/or uncertain and, therefore, are not compensable.

# 3

# 5

# 7

# 8

### 10

### 11

## 12 13

# 14

# 15

# 16

### 17

### 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

### THIRTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is barred, in whole or in part, from recovering attorney's fees in this matter based on contract, equity, or other exclusions in law or equity.

### THIRTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available for this Defendant after reasonable inquiry, and therefore, this Defendant reserves the right to amend its Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses, if subsequent investigation so warrants.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, SUNRIDGE respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. That Plaintiff takes nothing by the way of the Second Amended Complaint;
- B. That the Second Amended Complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that SUNRIDGE be awarded judgment in this action;
  - That SUNRIDGE be awarded their costs incurred herein;
  - That SUNRIDGE be awarded their attorneys' fees; and
  - For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

### CROSS-CLAIM

Cross-Claimant, SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation (hereinafter "SUNRIDGE"), hereby states its Cross-Claim against BRYANT MASONRY, LLC; 4M CORP. BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA; DMK CONCRETE, INC.; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY; GREEN PLANET LANDCAPING, LLC; IVIE MECHANICAL, INC.; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC.; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA LIFEGUARD POOLS; MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC; PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC.; RIVERA FRAMING, INC.; S&L ROOFING, INC.; SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC; WINDOW INSTALLATION

26

27

28

SPECIALISTS, LLC; and MOES 1 through 100 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive (hereinafter collectively "Cross-Defendants"), as follows:

### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- At all relevant times herein, SUNRIDGE was a Nevada Corporation formed under the laws of the State of Nevada and authorized to do business in the State of Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, each of the Cross-Defendants were either Nevada Corporations, Limited Liability Companies or unknown business entities doing business in the State of Nevada, County of Clark.
- 3. Cross Claimant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the Cross-Defendants, and each of them, including DOES and ROES, performed architectural services, engineering services, construction related work and/or supplied materials for the construction of or around the home located at 578 Lairmont Place, City of Henderson, County of Clark, State of Nevada (referred to herein as the "Subject Property"), which is the subject of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint.
- 4. Cross-Defendant, BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- Cross-Defendant, 4M CORP., a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 6. Cross-Defendant, DMK CONCRETE, INC., A Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.

26

27

- 7. Cross-Defendant, BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION., A Delaware Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 8. Cross-Defendant CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 9. Cross-Defendant, GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 10. Cross-Defendant, GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 11. Cross-Defendant, IVIE MECHANICAL, INC., a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 12. Cross-Defendant, J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- Cross-Defendant, LIFEGUARD POOL MAINTENANCE DBA LIFEGUARD
   POOLS, a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in

Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.

- 14. Cross-Defendant, MOUNTAIN WEST ELECTRIC, a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 15. Cross-Defendant, PYRAMID PLUMBING, INC., a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 16. Cross-Defendant, RIVERA FRAMING, INC., a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 17. Cross-Defendant, S&L ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 18. Cross-Defendant, SPRAY PRODUCT APPLICATIONS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 19. Cross-Defendant, WINDOW INSTALLATION SPECIALISTS, LLC, A Nevada Limited Liability Company, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.

20. Cross-Claimant is presently unaware of the true names and capacities and liability of Cross-Defendants named herein as MOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive, and Cross-Claimant will seek leave of Court to amend this Cross Claim to allege their true names and capacities after the same have been ascertained.

- 21. Cross-Claimant is informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of the Cross-Defendants, including MOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and ZOE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive, dispute Cross Claimant's contentions herein and are in some manner legally responsible for the acts and omissions alleged herein, and actually and proximately caused and contributed to the various injuries and damages referred to herein.
- 22. Cross-Claimant is informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times herein mentioned, each of the Cross-Defendants, including MOES and ZOES, was the agent, partner, co-developer, joint venturer and/or employee of each of the remaining Cross-Defendants and MOES and ZOES, and were at all times mentioned acting within the course and scope of such agency and employment.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Breach of Contract as to All Cross-Defendants)

- Cross-Claimant repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 22 of this Cross Claim as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that pursuant to the terms of written agreements, Cross-Defendants undertook obligations, including but not limited to, maintaining liability policies, naming Cross-Claimant as an additional insured under their respective policies of liability insurance, indemnifying Cross-Claimant, defending Cross-Claimant, and performing their work in a good and workmanlike manner in accordance with the plans and specifications for the construction of the Subject Property.

14 15

11

12

13

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

23

25 26

27

28

- 25. Cross-Claimant has fully performed all conditions, covenants and promises required of it in accordance with the terms and conditions of the written agreements.
- 26. Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Cross-Defendants, and each of them, have breached the written agreements by refusing and failing to comply with their contractual obligations to maintain liability insurance, to name Cross-Claimant as an additional insured under Cross-Defendants' policies of liability insurance, to indemnify Cross-Claimant, to defend Cross-Claimant, and to perform their work in a good and workmanlike manner, without defects, and in accordance with the written agreements.
- 27. Cross-Claimant has provided notice of the breach of contract, or by way of this Third Party Complaint, hereby provides notice of the breach to Cross-Defendants.
- It has been necessary for Cross-Claimant to retain Resnick & Louis, P.C. to 28. defend against the Complaint filed by Plaintiff as well as any subsequent amendments Plaintiff may file in the future. As a result, Cross-Claimant has incurred, and continues to incur, costs and attorneys' fees defending this action and in prosecuting the Third Party Complaint.
- 29. Cross-Claimant is entitled to recover, from the Cross-Defendants, the costs and attorneys' fees Cross-Claimant has incurred in defending this action against the Plaintiff and in persecuting this action against the Cross-Defendants. The amount of the costs and attorneys' fees Cross-Claimant has had to consequently incur will be established according to proof at trial.

### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Express Indemnity as to All Cross-Defendants)

- 30. Cross-Claimant repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Cross Claim as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that it entered into written agreements with Cross-Defendants wherein the Cross-Defendants agreed to defend and indemnify Cross-Claimant.

27

28

1

2

- 32. Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that the defects and damages asserted by Plaintiff in her Complaint involved alleged defects and alleged damage to the Subject Property.
- 33. Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that any damages alleged by Counterclaimant were caused by Cross-Defendants, and each of them, and arise out of the performance of the Cross-Defendants' obligations pursuant to the written agreements referred to herein.
- Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Cross-Defendants have failed and refused to defend and indemnify Cross-Claimant.
- Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Cross-Defendants continue to fail and refuse to defend and indemnify Cross-Claimant.
- 36. It has been necessary for Cross-Claimant to retain Resnick & Louis, P.C. to defend against the Complaint filed by the Plaintiff as well as any subsequent amendments Plaintiff may file in the future. As a result, Cross-Claimant has incurred, and continues to incur, costs and attorneys' fees in defending this action and in prosecuting the Cross Claim.
- Cross-Claimant is entitled to express indemnity from the Cross-Defendants and to recover its costs and attorneys' fees according to proof at trial.

### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Breach of Express Warranty as to All Cross-Defendants)

- Cross-Claimant repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Cross Claim as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. The written agreements between Cross-Claimant and Cross-Defendants provide the descriptions of the work to be performed by Cross-Defendants and the Cross-Defendants' guarantees and warranties of their work.

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

- 40. As set forth in the written agreements between Cross-Claimant and Cross-Defendants, Cross-Defendants agreed and guaranteed to perform their respective scope of work in a good and workmanlike manner and to provide warranties for their work.
- 41. Cross-Claimant relief upon such warranties and believed in good faith that the Subject Property would comply with the approved plans and specifications and would be free from defective construction or workmanship.
- 42. Cross-Claimant has fully performed all conditions and promises required on their part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the underlying written agreements.

### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Declaratory Relief Regarding Duty to Defend as to All Cross-Defendants)

- Cross-Claimant repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 42 of this Cross Claim as though fully set forth herein.
- 44. An actual controversy exists between Cross-Claimant and Cross-Defendants as to their rights and liabilities with respect to any ultimate responsibility to Plaintiff and with respect to the rights of the Cross-Claimant to receive, or duty of the Cross-Defendants to provide, a defense to Cross-Claimant.
- 45. Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Cross-Defendants contend to the contrary. Therefore, an actual controversy exists relative to the legal rights and duties of the respective parties pursuant to their written agreements, which controversy Cross-Claimant requests the Court to resolve in the form of Declaratory Judgment.

### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Declaratory Relief Regarding Duty to Indemnify as to All Cross-Defendants)

 Cross-Claimant repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 45 of this Cross Claim as though fully set forth herein.

28

1

47. An actual controversy exists between Cross-Claimant and Cross-Defendants as to their rights and liabilities with respect to any ultimate responsibility to Plaintiff and with respect to the rights to receive, or duty to provide, indemnification in proportion to their comparative fault, if any.

48. Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Cross-Defendants contend to the contrary. Therefore, an actual controversy exists relative to the legal rights and duties of the respective parties pursuant to their written agreements, which controversy Cross-Claimant requests the Court to resolve in the form of Declaratory Judgment.

### SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Equitable Indemnity as to All Cross-Defendants)

- Cross-Claimant repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 48 of this Cross Claim as though fully set forth herein.
- 50. By reason of the foregoing, if Plaintiff should recover judgment against Cross-Claimant and/or if Cross-Claimant should enter into a settlement or compromise with Plaintiff, then Cross-Claimant will be entitled to judgment in the like amount, or in proportion to fault, for comparative indemnity over and against Cross-Defendants.

### SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Breach of Implied Warranty as to All Cross-Defendants)

- Cross-Claimant repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 50 of this Cross Claim as though fully set forth herein.
- 52. Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Cross-Defendants impliedly warranted that the Subject Property and/or adjacent improvements were designed and constructed is a reasonably workmanlike manner.
- 53. Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Cross-Defendants impliedly warranted that the Subject Property and/or adjacent improvements were of merchantable quality and safe and fit for their foreseeable intended use.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2

Cross-Claimant intends this Third Party Complaint to constitute notice to said
 Cross-Defendants of their breach of implied warranty.

### EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Contribution as to All Cross-Defendants)

- Cross-Claimant repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 54 of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 56. Based upon the acts and/or omissions of Cross-Defendants, if judgment is rendered in favor of Plaintiff and against Cross-Claimant, Cross-Claimant is entitled to contribution from the Cross-Defendants.
- Cross-Claimant herein has been required to retain the services of Resnick & Louis, P.C. to prosecute this action, and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs.

### NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Apportionment against All Cross-Defendants)

- 58. Cross-Claimant repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 57 of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. Based upon the acts and/or omissions of Cross-Defendants, if judgment is rendered in favor of Plaintiff and against Cross-Claimant, Cross-Claimant is entitled to an apportionment of liability among the Cross-Defendants.
- Cross-Claimant has been required to retain the services of Resnick & Louis, P.C.
   to prosecute this action and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs.

### TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Negligence against All Cross-Defendants)

- Cross-Claimant repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 60 of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Cross-Defendants negligently, carelessly and wrongfully failed to use reasonable care in the design,

development, manufacture, supervision, maintenance, repair, supply of material, installation, inspection and/or construction of the Subject Property that is at issue in the Second Amended Complaint and which is more particularly described therein.

- 63. Cross-Claimant is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Cross-Defendants negligently and carelessly failed to exercise reasonable care and diligence to avoid loss and to minimize and mitigate damages which could have been prevented by reasonable efforts on the part of Cross-Defendants or by expenditures which should have been made in the exercise of due care.
- 64. Cross-Claimant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the failures and damages alleged by Plaintiff occurred because of the negligence of Cross-Defendants.
- 65. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Cross-Defendants, it is herein alleged that Cross-Claimant has incurred and continues to incur costs and expenses including but not limited to litigation costs, contractors' fees, attorneys' fees and consultants' fees to inspect, repair and mitigate damages arising out of the alleged negligent design, construction, repair and maintenance and to defend against Plaintiff's action herein.
- Cross-Claimant has been required to retain the services of Resnick & Louis, P.C.
   to prosecute this action and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Cross-Claimant respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Cross-Defendants, and each of them as follows:

- A determination that Cross-Defendants, and each of them, contributed in some percentage to the loss, damage and detriment alleged by Plaintiff and for a declaration of percentage by which the conduct of Cross-Defendants, and each of them, contributed to the loss, damage and detriment, if any, of the Plaintiff;
- If the Plaintiff should recover sum or judgment against Cross-Claimant, that the Cross-Claimant should have judgment against Cross-Defendants;

2

- 3. That Cross-Claimant is entitled to a defense from Cross-Defendants;
- 4. For general and special damages in an amount to be proved at trial;
- For indemnity of all damages and/or economic losses that Plaintiff recovers against Cross-Claimant by way of judgment, order, settlement, compromise, or trial;
  - For reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees and costs;
  - For prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
  - 8. For contribution pursuant to NRS 17.225; and
- For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just, equitable, and proper.

### THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT

Third Party Plaintiff, SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation (hereinafter "SUNRIDGE"), hereby states its Third Party Complaint against BRANDON IRON, INC.; EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC; HARDY CABINETS INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS; J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC.; JD STAIRS, INC.; PIECE OF THE ROCK; WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT; MOES 101 through 150 and ZOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 150, inclusive (hereinafter collectively "Third Party Defendants"), as follows:

### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- At all relevant times herein, SUNRIDGE was a Nevada Corporation formed under the laws of the State of Nevada and authorized to do business in the State of Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, each of the Third Party Defendants were either Nevada Corporations, Limited Liability Companies or unknown business entities doing business in the State of Nevada, County of Clark.
- Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the Third Party Defendants, and each of them, including MOES and ZOES, performed architectural services, engineering services, construction related work and/or supplied materials

for the construction of or around the home located at 578 Lairmont Place, City of Henderson, County of Clark, State of Nevada (referred to herein as the "Subject Property"), which is the subject of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint.

- 4. Third Party Defendant, BRANDON IRON, INC., a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 5. Third Party Defendant, EARTHCORE INDUSTRIES, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 6. Third Party Defendant, HARDY CABINETS, INC., dba ARTESIA CABINETS, a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 7. Third Party Defendant, J.C.W. CONCRETE, INC., an Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 8. Third Party Defendant, JD STAIRS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- Third Party Defendant, PIECE OF THE ROCK, a Nevada Corporation, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or

- 10. Third Party Defendant, WHITE FEATHER DRYWALL & PAINT, an unknown business entity, was at all times material hereto, a legal entity doing business in Nevada who designed, engineered and/or performed the work for, construction of, and/or installation of or supplied materials to the Subject Property.
- 11. Third Party Plaintiff is presently unaware of the true names and capacities and liability of Third Party Defendants named herein as MOES 101 through 150, inclusive, and ZOES 101 through 150, inclusive, and Third Party Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Third-Party Complaint to allege their true names and capacities after the same have been ascertained.
- 12. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of the Third Party Defendants, including MOES 101 through 150, inclusive, and ZOES 101 through 150, inclusive dispute Third Party Plaintiff's contentions herein and are in some manner legally responsible for the acts and omissions alleged herein, and actually and proximately caused and contributed to the various injuries and damages referred to herein.
- 13. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times herein mentioned, each of the Third-Party Defendants, including MOES and ZOES, was the agent, partner, co-developer, joint venturer and/or employee of each of the remaining Third-Party Defendants and MOES and ZOES, and were at all times mentioned acting within the course and scope of such agency and employment.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Breach of Contract as to All Third Party Defendants)

14. Third Party Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 15. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that pursuant to the terms of written agreements, Third Party Defendants undertook obligations, including but not limited to, maintaining liability policies, naming Third Party Plaintiff as an additional insured under their respective policies of liability insurance, indemnifying Third Party Plaintiff, defending Third Party Plaintiff, and performing their work in a good and workmanlike manner in accordance with the plans and specifications for the construction of the Subject Property.
- 16. Third Party Plaintiff has fully performed all conditions, covenants and promises required of it in accordance with the terms and conditions of the written agreements.
- 17. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Third Party Defendants, and each of them, have breached the written agreements by refusing and failing to comply with their contractual obligations to maintain liability insurance, to name Third Party Plaintiff as an additional insured under Third Party Defendants' policies of liability insurance, to indemnify Third Party Plaintiff, to defend Third Party Plaintiff, and to perform their work in a good and workmanlike manner, without defects, and in accordance with the written agreements.
- 18. Third Party Plaintiff has provided notice of the breach of contract, or by way of this Third Party Complaint, hereby provides notice of the breach to Third Party Defendants.
- 19. It has been necessary for Third Party Plaintiff to retain Resnick & Louis, P.C. to defend against the Complaint filed by Plaintiff as well as any subsequent amendments Plaintiff may file in the future. As a result, Third Party Plaintiff has incurred, and continues to incur, costs and attorneys' fees defending this action and in prosecuting the Third Party Complaint.
- 20. Third Party Plaintiff is entitled to recover, from the Third Party Defendants, the costs and attorneys' fees Third Party Plaintiff has incurred in defending this action against the Plaintiff and in persecuting this action against the Third Party Defendants. The amount of the costs and attorneys' fees Third Party Plaintiff has had to consequently incur will be established according to proof at trial.

# 3

# 6 7

5

# 9

8

# 11 12

# 13

# 14

# 15 16

# 17

## 18

# 19 20

## 21

# 22

## 23

# 24

# 25

## 26 27

# 28

### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Express Indemnity as to All Third Party Defendants)

- Third Party Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 20 of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 22. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that it entered into written agreements with Third Party Defendants wherein the Third Party Defendants agreed to defend and indemnify Third Party Plaintiff.
- 23. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that the defects and damages asserted by Plaintiff in her Complaint involved alleged defects and alleged damage to the Subject Property.
- 24. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that any damages alleged by Counterclaimant were caused by Third Party Defendants, and each of them, and arise out of the performance of the Third Party Defendants' obligations pursuant to the written agreements referred to herein.
- 25. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Third Party Defendants have failed and refused to defend and indemnify Third Party Plaintiff.
- 26. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Third Party Defendants continue to fail and refuse to defend and indemnify Third Party Plaintiff.
- 27. It has been necessary for Third Party Plaintiff to retain Resnick & Louis, P.C. to defend against the Complaint filed by the Plaintiff as well as any subsequent amendments Plaintiff may file in the future. As a result, Third Party Plaintiff has incurred, and continues to incur, costs and attorneys' fees in defending this action and in prosecuting the Third Party Complaint.
- 28. Third Party Plaintiff is entitled to express indemnity from the Third Party Defendants and to recover its costs and attorneys' fees according to proof at trial.

# 3

# 5

# 7 8

## 9 10

# 11 12

### 13

# 14

# 15

# 16

# 17

# 18

# 19 20

# 21

# 22 23

# 24

### 25

# 26

## 27

# 28

### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Breach of Express Warranty as to All Third Party Defendants)

- 29. Third Party Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 28 of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. The written agreements between Third Party Plaintiff and Third Party Defendants provide the descriptions of the work to be performed by Third Party Defendants and the Third Party Defendants' guarantees and warranties of their work.
- 31. As set forth in the written agreements between Third Party Plaintiff and Third Party Defendants, Third Party Defendants agreed and guaranteed to perform their respective scope of work in a good and workmanlike manner and to provide warranties for their work.
- 32. Third Party Plaintiff relief upon such warranties and believed in good faith that the Subject Property would comply with the approved plans and specifications and would be free from defective construction or workmanship.
- 33. Third Party Plaintiff has fully performed all conditions and promises required on their part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the underlying written agreements.

### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Declaratory Relief Regarding Duty to Defend as to All Third Party Defendants)

- 34. Third Party Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 35. An actual controversy exists between Third Party Plaintiff and Third Party Defendants as to their rights and liabilities with respect to any ultimate responsibility to Plaintiff and with respect to the rights of the Third Party Plaintiff to receive, or duty of the Third Party Defendants to provide, a defense to Third Party Plaintiff.
- 36. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Third Party Defendants contend to the contrary. Therefore, an actual controversy exists relative to the

legal rights and duties of the respective parties pursuant to their written agreements, which controversy Third Party Plaintiff requests the Court to resolve in the form of Declaratory Judgment.

### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Declaratory Relief Regarding Duty to Indemnify as to All Third Party Defendants)

- Third Party Plaintiff repeats, rc-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 36
   of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. An actual controversy exists between Third Party Plaintiff and Third Party Defendants as to their rights and liabilities with respect to any ultimate responsibility to Plaintiff and with respect to the rights to receive, or duty to provide, indemnification in proportion to their comparative fault, if any.
- 39. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Third Party Defendants contend to the contrary. Therefore, an actual controversy exists relative to the legal rights and duties of the respective parties pursuant to their written agreements, which controversy Third Party Plaintiff requests the Court to resolve in the form of Declaratory Judgment.

### SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Equitable Indemnity as to All Third Party Defendants)

- 40. Third Party Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 39 of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 41 By reason of the foregoing, if Plaintiff should recover judgment against Third Party Plaintiff and/or if Third Party Plaintiff should enter into a settlement or compromise with Plaintiff, then Third Party Plaintiff will be entitled to judgment in the like amount, or in proportion to fault, for comparative indemnity over and against Third Party Defendants.

///

///

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

# 

## 

## 

### 

## 

## 

## 

# 

# 

# 

### 

///

### 

### 

# 

# 

# 

### SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Breach of Implied Warranty as to All Third Party Defendants)

- 42. Third Party Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 43. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Third Party Defendants impliedly warranted that the Subject Property and/or adjacent improvements were designed and constructed is a reasonably workmanlike manner.
- 44. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Third Party Defendants impliedly warranted that the Subject Property and/or adjacent improvements were of merchantable quality and safe and fit for their foreseeable intended use.
- 45. Third Party Plaintiff intends this Third Party Complaint to constitute notice to said Third Party Defendants of their breach of implied warranty.

### EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Contribution as to All Third Party Defendants)

- 46. Third Party Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 45 of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 47. Based upon the acts and/or omissions of Third Party Defendants, if judgment is rendered in favor of Plaintiff and against Third Party Plaintiff, Third Party Plaintiff is entitled to contribution from the Third Party Defendants.
- 48. Third Party Plaintiff herein has been required to retain the services of Resnick & Louis, P.C. to prosecute this action, and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs.

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

## 

# 

# 

# 

## 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

## 

# 

# 

### NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Apportionment against All Third Party Defendants)

- 48. Third Party Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 47 of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 49. Based upon the acts and/or omissions of Third Party Defendants, if judgment is rendered in favor of Plaintiff and against Third Party Plaintiff, Third Party Plaintiff is entitled to an apportionment of liability among the Third Party Defendants.
- Third Party Plaintiff has been required to retain the services of Resnick & Louis,
   P.C. to prosecute this action and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs.

### TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Negligence against All Third Party Defendants)

- Third Party Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 50
   of this Third Party Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 52. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Third Party Defendants negligently, carelessly and wrongfully failed to use reasonable care in the design, development, manufacture, supervision, maintenance, repair, supply of material, installation, inspection and/or construction of the Subject Property that is at issue in the Second Amended Complaint and which is more particularly described therein.
- 53. Third Party Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Third Party Defendants negligently and carelessly failed to exercise reasonable care and diligence to avoid loss and to minimize and mitigate damages which could have been prevented by reasonable efforts on the part of Third Party Defendants or by expenditures which should have been made in the exercise of due care.
- 54. Third Party Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the failures and damages alleged by Plaintiff occurred because of the negligence of Third Party Defendants.

55. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Third Party Defendants, it is herein alleged that Third Party Plaintiff has incurred and continues to incur costs and expenses including but not limited to litigation costs, contractors' fees, attorneys' fees and consultants' fees to inspect, repair and mitigate damages arising out of the alleged negligent design, construction, repair and maintenance and to defend against Plaintiff's action herein.

56. Third Party Plaintiff has been required to retain the services of Resnick & Louis,P.C. to prosecute this action and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Third Party Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Third Party Defendants, and each of them as follows:

- A determination that Third Party Defendants, and each of them, contributed in some percentage to the loss, damage and detriment alleged by Plaintiff and for a declaration of percentage by which the conduct of Third Party Defendants, and each of them, contributed to the loss, damage and detriment, if any, of the Plaintiff;
- If the Plaintiff should recover sum or judgment against Third Party Plaintiff, that the Third Party Plaintiff should have judgment against Third Party Defendants;
  - 3. That Third Party Plaintiff is entitled to a defense from Third Party Defendants;
  - 4. For general and special damages in an amount to be proved at trial;
- For indemnity of all damages and/or economic losses that Plaintiff recovers against Third Party Plaintiff by way of judgment, order, settlement, compromise, or trial;
  - For reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees and costs;
  - 7. For prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
  - For contribution pursuant to NRS 17.225; and

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

JANETTE BYRNE, as Trustee of the UOFM

Appellant,

VS.

TRUST,

SUNRIDGE BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; LANDS WEST BUILDERS, INC., a Nevada Corporation; BRYANT MASONRY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; DMK CONCRETE, INC., a Nevada Corporation; CIRCLE S DEVELOPMENT DBA DECK SYSTEMS OF NEVADA, a Nevada Corporation; GREEN PLANET LANDSCAPING, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; LIFEGUARD POOL MAINT. dba LIFEGUARD POOLS, a Nevada Corporation; PRESTIGE ROOFING, INC., a Nevada Corporation; PYRAMID PLUMBING, a Nevada Corporation; RIVERA FRAMING INC. DBA RIVERA FRAMERS, a Nevada Corporation;

Respondents.

S&L ROOFING, INC., a Colorado Corporation,

\*\*\*

Supreme Court No. 77608 04 2019 04:20 p.m. District Court Case No. A 22143 04 Brown

APPELLANT'S DOCKERKIONSSUPAREINE STOURT

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

All appellants not in proper person must complete this docketing statement. NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, classifying cases for en banc, panel, or expedited treatment, compiling statistical information and identifying parties and their counsel.

## WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 26 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

1	Attorney(s):	William A. Lemkul Jeffrey I. Pitegoff	Telephone: (702) 405-8100
2		Christopher A. Turtzo	
3	Firm: Address:	MORRIS, SULLIVAN & LEMKUI 3700 Howard Hughes Parkway, #17	
4	Address.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169	O .
5	Client(s)	Bryant Masonry, Llc	
6	Attorney(s):	Kevin A. Brown Aaron M. Young	Telephone: (702) 942-3900
7	Firm:	BROWN, BONN & FRIEDMAN, L	LLP
8	Address:	5528 S. Fort Apache Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89148	
9	Client(s)	DMK Concrete, Inc.	
10	Attorney(s):	Jarad D. Beckman	Telephone: (702) 476-0100
11	Firm: Address:	Wolfe & Wyman 6757 Spencer Street	
12		Las Vegas, Nevada 89119	
13	Client(s)	Circle S Development dba Deck Sys	stems of Nevada
14	Attorney(s):	Bruno Wolfenzon Jonathan P. Rolle	Telephone: (702) 836-3138
15	Firm:	WOLFENZON ROLLE	
16	Address:	6725 Via Austi Pkwy, Suite 260 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119	
17	Client(s)	Green Planet Landscaping Llc	
18	Attorney(s):	Marsha L. Stephenson Jacquelyn M. Franco	Telephone: (702) 474-7229
19	Firm:	STEPHENSON & DICKINSON	
20	Address:	2820 W. Charleston Blvd, Suite 19	
21	Client(s)	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102  Lifeguard Pool Maint. Dba Lifegua	ard Pools
22	Attorney(s):	Kevin A. Brown	Telephone: (702) 942-3900
23	Firm:	BROWN, BONN & FRIEDMAN, L	
24	Address:	5528 S. Fort Apache Road	
25	Client(s)	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148  Prestige Roofing, Inc.	
26	Attorney(s):	Bryce B. Buckwalter	Telephone: (702) 228-6800
27	Firm: Address:	KEATING LAW GROUP PC 9130 West Russell Road, Suite 200	
28		Las Vegas, Nevada 89148	

1		Client(s)	Pyramid Plumbing		
2		Attorney(s):	David R. Johnson	Telepl	hone: 702-997-5974
3		Firm: Address:	DAVID R. JOHNSON, PLLC 8712 Spanish Ridge Avenue		
4			Las Vegas, Nevada 89148		
5		Client(s)	Rivera Framing Inc. Dba River	a Framers	
6		Attorney(s):	William A. Lemkul		Telephone: (702) 405-8100
7			Jeffrey I. Pitegoff Christopher A. Turtzo		
8		Firm: Address:	MORRIS, SULLIVAN & LEMK 3700 Howard Hughes Parkway,		
9			Las Vegas, Nevada 89169	#170	
10		Client(s)	S&L Roofing, Inc.		
11	4.	Nature of disposition below (check all that apply):			
12			•		D' ' I
13		_	nent after bench trial nent after jury verdict		Dismissal Lack of jurisdiction
14			ary Judgment		Failure to state a claim
15			lt Judgment Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief		Failure to prosecute Other (specify):
16			Denial of injunction		Divorce Decree:
17			Denial of declaratory relief		Original
			w of agency determination		Other disposition (specify):
18	5.	Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following?			
19		□ Child Custo	ody		
20		☐ Venue			
21		☐ Termination of parental rights			
22		N/A.			
23	6.	<b>Pending and prior proceedings in this court.</b> List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are			
24		related to this		viousty pen	ding before this court which are
25		N/A.			
26	l				
27	///				
28	///				

1 2	7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g. bankruptcy,			
	consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:			
3	N/A.			
4 5	8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:			
6	This is a construction defect action subject to NRS 40.600 et al. Appellant's home was			
7	substantially completed on May 26, 2009. On December 2, 2015, Appellant issued its Chapter 40 Notice and the parties proceeded to engage in the prelitigation process. On August 22, 2016,			
8	within 30 days of the conclusion of the mediation, Plaintiff filed her Complaint. The District Court granted Summary Judgment against Plaintiff, ruling that Plaintiff's complaint was			
9	untimely under AB 125 and NRS 11.202 (together providing for a 6-year statute of repose plus a one-year grace period). The district court further ruled that the statute of limitations was not			
10 11	extended by NRS 40.695, which expressly tolls the statute until prelitigation mediation has been concluded, or one year after the notice of the claim has been given, whichever is earlier.			
12	9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as			
13	necessary):			
14	(1) Whether the district court erred in ruling that the 6-year statute of repose barred Plaintiff's action, notwithstanding that AB 125 provides a 1-year grace period from February 24, 2015 for filing			
15	Chapter 40 actions and NRS 40.695 tolls the statute until the earlier of 30 days after the conclusion of mediation or one year after the notice of the claim has been given, where Plaintiff served her			
16 17	Chapter 40 Notice of Claim during the grace period and filed this action within 30 days of the conclusion of the mediation and within 1-year of the Notice.			
18	(2) Whether the district court erred in awarding attorney's fees to Lands West Builders based on NRCP 68.			
19	10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any			
20	proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:			
21	N/A			
22	11. Constitutional Issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state,			
23	any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you			
24	notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?			
25	⊠ N/A			
26	☐ Yes			
27	☐ No If not, explain:			
28				

1	12.	Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?	
2		☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))	
3		<ul> <li>□ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions</li> <li>□ A substantial issue of first impression</li> </ul>	
4		□ An issue of public policy     □	
5		An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions.	
6		☐ A ballot question  If so, explain: This case involves a substantial issue of first impression and an issue of	
7		public policy because neither the Nevada Supreme Court nor the Court of Appeals has addressed	
8		the interplay between the tolling provisions of NRS 40.695 and the newly enacted statute of repose and grace period under AB 125 (effective February 24, 2015). It is Appellant's	
9		understanding that Nevada district courts have reached different conclusions on how these	
10		statutes should be interpreted.	
11	13.	Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? N/A	
12		Was it a bench trial or a jury trial? N/A	
13	14.	Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse	
14		him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?	
15		No.	
16	15.	Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from:	
17		December 14, 2017 (summary judgment); March 13, 2018 (order awarding attorney's fees).	
18	16. Date written notice of entry of judgment or order was served: December 15, 2017 (summary judgment)		
19			
20		Was service by:  □ Delivery	
21		☑ Mail/electronic/fax	
22	17.	If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion (NRCP	
23		50(b), 52(b), or 59)	
24		(a) Specify the type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and the date of filing.	
25			
26		□ NRCP 50(b) Date of filing □ NRCP 52(b) Date of filing	
27		□ NRCP 59 Date of filing	
28		(b) Date of entry of written order resolving tolling motion: March 1, 2018	

1		(c) Date written notice of entry of judgment or order was served:		
2		Was service by:		
3		☐ Delivery ☑ Mail/electronic/fax		
5	18.	Date notice of appeal filed:		
6		If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each notice of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal: December 10, 2018		
7	10			
8	19.	Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other: NRAP 4(a)(1).		
9   10	20.	Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review the judgment or order appealed from:		
11				
12		(a) ⊠ NRAP 3A(b)(1) □ NRS 38.205		
13		□ NRCP 3A(b)(2) □ NRS 233B.150 □ NRCP 3A(b)(3) □ NRS 703.376		
14				
15		(b) Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:		
16		This appeal is from a final judgment as certified under NRCP 54(b).		
17	21.	List all parties involved in the action or consolidation actions in the district court: (a)		
18		Parties: Plaintiff:		
19				
20		1) Janette Byrne, as Trustee of the UOFM Trust		
21		Defendants:		
22		<ol> <li>Sunridge Builders, Inc.;</li> <li>Lands West Builders, Inc.;</li> </ol>		
23		3) Avanti Products, LLC,		
24		<ul><li>4) Bryant Masonry, LLC,</li><li>5) BSH Home Appliances Corporation,</li></ul>		
25		<ul><li>6) Circle S Development dba Deck Systems Nevada;</li><li>7) DMK Concrete, Inc.;</li></ul>		
26 27		8) 4M Corp.,		
28		10) Green Planet Landscapings, LLC;		
20		11) Ivie Mechanical Inc.;		

1	12)	J.C.W. Concrete, Inc.;	
2	13)	Karl Henry Linsenbardt dba Signature Door & Trim;	
	14)	Lifeguard Pool Maint. dba Lifeguard Pools;	
3	15)	Mountain West Electric;	
4	16)	Prestige Roofing, Inc.;	
7	17) 18)	Pyramid Plumbing; Rivera Framing Inc. dba Rivera Framers;	
5	19)	S&L Product Applications, LLC;	
6	20)	Spray Product Applications, LLC;	
١	21)	Trim Time LLC dba Blitz Construction;	
7	22)	Window Installation Specialists, LLC;	
8			
	Cross-Claimant	:	
9	1)	Sunridge Builders, Inc.	
10	,		
11	Cross-Defendants:		
12	1)	Bryan Masonry, LLC;	
	2)	4M Corp.;	
13	3)	BSH Home Appliances Corporation;	
14	4)	Circle S Development dba Deck Systems of Nevada;	
_	5)	DMK Concrete, Inc.;	
15	6)	General Electric Company;	
16	7)	Green Planet Landscaping, LLC;	
10	8)	Ivie Mechanical, Inc.;	
17	9) 10)	J.C.W. Concrete, Inc.; Lifeguard Pool Maintenance dba Lifeguard Pools;	
18	11)	Mountain West Electric;	
10	12)	Pyramid Plumbing, Inc.;	
19	13)	Rivera Framing Inc.;	
20	14)	S&L Roofing, Inc.;	
20	15)	Spray Product Application, LLC;	
21	16)	Window Installation Specialists, LLC.	
22	Third-Party Plaintiff:		
23	,		
	1)	Sunridge Builders, INc.	
24	Third-Party Defendants		
25	Tillid-Fally Defendants	5.	
26	1)	Brandon Iron, Inc.;	
27	2)	Earthcore Industries, LLC;	
27	3)	Hardy Cabinets Inc., dba Artesia Cabinets;	
28	4) 5)	J.C.W. Concrete, Inc.; JD Stairs, Inc.;	
	]	JD Gairs, me.,	

1		10)	Negligence	
2	22	Did the independent		
3	23.		or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the soft ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?	
4		□ Yes		
5		⊠ No		
6	24.	If you answered "N	o" to question 23, complete the following:	
7			is remaining pending below:	
8		(a) specify the claim	is remaining pending below.	
9	As of the date of the summary judgment, claims remained pending by Plaintiff against parties who had never appeared in the action and defendants who were not parties to the summary judgment			
10 11	Plaintiff subsequently obtained judgments or orders of dismissal with respect to all of her claims again all defendants who appeared in the action.			
12		Additionally Sumid	go Duildong Ing still has all of its awas alaims and third newty alaims	
13	outstar	Additionally, Sunridge Builders, Inc. still has all of its cross-claims and third-party claims outstanding.		
14		(b) Specify the parties remaining below:		
15		1)	Bryant Masonry, LLC;	
16		2)	4M Corp.;	
17		3) 4)	BSH Home Appliances Corporation,; Circle S Development dba Deck Systems of Nevada;	
		5)	DMK Concrete, Inc.;	
18		6) 7)	General Electric Company; Green Planet Landscaping, LLC;	
19		8)	Ivie Mechanical, Inc.;	
20		9) 10)	J.C.W. Concrete, Inc., Lifeguard Pool Maintenance dba Lifeguard Pools,;	
21		11)	Mountain West Electric,;	
22		12) 13)	Pyramid Plumbing, Inc.; Rivera Framing Inc.;	
23		14)	S&L Roofing Inc.;	
24		15) 16)	Spray Product Applications, LLC,; Window Installation Specialists, LLC.;	
25		17)	Brandon Iron, Inc.;	
26		18) 19)	Earthcore Industries, LLC,; Hardy Cabinets Inc., dba Artesia Cabinets,;	
		20)	JD Stairs, Inc.;	
27		21) 22)	Piece of the Rock; White Feather Drywall & Paint.	
28		22)		

1 2	(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?	order appealed from as a final judgment	
3 4			
5	(d) Did the district court make an express determ no just reason for delay and an express direct		
6 7	∥ ⊠ Yes		
8	25. If you answered "No" to any part of question 24, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):		
10	N/A		
11   12	26. Attach file-stamped copies of tile following do	cuments:	
13 14 15 16		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
18	VERIFICAT	TION	
19 20 21	I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read to provided in this docketing statement is true and information and belief, and that I have attached statement.	d complete to the best of my knowledge,	
22 23	Name of appellant	Molof & Vohl Name of counsel of record	
24	1/2/2019	/s/ Robert C. Vohl	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	Date	Signature of counsel of record	
27 28	Wahoe County Nevada State and county where signed		

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 Byrne v. Sunridge Builders, Inc., et al. 2 Supreme Court No. 77668 3 STATE OF NEVADA ) ss. 4 COUNTY OF CLARK 5 I, Helena Linakis, declare as follows: 6 7 I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275, Las 8 Vegas, Nevada 89144. 9 On January 2, 2019, I served the foregoing document described as APPELANT'S DOCKETING **STATMENT**, on the parties/counsel to this case, as follows: 10 11 VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by submitting the foregoing to the Court's E-filing System for Electronic Service upon the Court's Service List pursuant to EDCR 8. The copy of the 12 document electronically served bears a notation of the date and time of service. The original document will be maintained with the document(s) served and be made available, 13 upon reasonable notice, for inspection by counsel or the Court. The foregoing document was served as follows: 14 SEE THE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST 15 VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with 16 postage thereon fully prepaid, in the U.S. mail at Las Vegas, NV; being "readily familiar" with 17 the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence by mailing, and under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage fully 18 prepaid thereon at Las Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business, addressed as follows: 19 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 21 /s/ Helena Linakis 22 An Employee of SPRINGEL & FINK LLP 23 24 25 26 27 28