IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST; AND AMERICAN GRATING, LLC,

Appellants/Cross Respondents.

VS.

DANIEL S. SIMON; THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL S. SIMON, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION; DOES I through X, inclusive, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,

Respondents/Cross-Appellants.

EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST; AMERICAN GRATING, LLC,

Appellants,

vs.

DANIEL S. SIMON; THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL S. SIMON, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION; DOES I through X, inclusive, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,

Respondents.

Electronically Filed Aug 08 2019 12:08 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Supreme Court Case

No. 77678 consolidated with No. 78176

Appeal from a Final Judgment entered by the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County
The Honorable Tierra Jones, District Judge

APPELLANTS' APPENDIX

VOL. 3 PART 1 of 3

Date			Bates
Filed	Document Title	VOL. No.	Number
1/9/18	Acceptance of Service of the Summons and Complaint	1	AA000024
3/15/18	Amended Complaint	2	AA000305
1/4/2018	Complaint	1	AA000013
11/19/2018	Decision and Order on Motion to Adjudicate Lien	2	AA000353
11/19/2018	Decision and Order on Motion to Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5)	2	AA000376
1/24/2018	Motion to Adjudicate Lien of the Law Office of Daniel Simon On Order Shortening Time • Simon's Invoices • Itemization of Costs • Simon's 11/27/18 Letter to Edgeworth's	1 & 2	AA000025
12/7/2018	Motion for Attorneys Fees and Costs	2	AA000386
4/9/2018	Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Pursuant to 12(b)(5)	2	AA000317
1/2/2018	Notice of Amended Attorney's Lien	1	AA000006
12/17/2018	Notice of Appeal (Adjudicate Lien and Motion to Dismiss)	2	AA000425
2/15/19	Notice of Appeal (Attorney's Fees and Costs)	2	AA000485
12/17/2018	Notice of Cross Appeal	2	AA000440
2/08/2019	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs • Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	2	AA000479

12/27/2018	Notice of Entry of Orders (Adjudicate Lien and Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5))	2	AA000442
12/13/2018	Plaintiff's Motion for an Order to Release Funds	2	AA000415
2/02/18	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motions to Consolidate and to Adjudicate Attorney Lien	2	AA000277
	 Affidavit of Brian Edgeworth (2/2/18) Deposition of Brian Edgeworth (9/29/17) 		
4/24/2018	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's (Third) Motion to Dismiss	2	AA000335
12/17/2018	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Simon's Motion for Fees and Costs	2	AA000428
6/13/19	Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 1 August 27, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 2 August 28, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 4 August 30, 2018	3	AA000488
11/30/2017	Simon's Notice of Attorney's Lien	2	AA000001

Date			Bates
Filed	Document Title	VOL. No.	Number
11/30/2017	Simon's Notice of Attorney's Lien	1	AA000001
1/2/2018	Notice of Amended Attorney's Lien	1	AA000006
1/4/2018	Complaint	1	AA000013
1/9/2018	Acceptance of Service of the Summons and Complaint	1	AA000024
1/24/2018	Motion to Adjudicate Lien of the Law Office of Daniel Simon On Order Shortening Time • Simon's Invoices • Email to Simon labeled "Contingency • Itemization of Costs • Simon's 11/27/18 Letter to Edgeworth's	1 & 2	AA000025
2/02/18	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motions to Consolidate and to Adjudicate Attorney Lien • Affidavit of Brian Edgeworth (2/2/18) • Deposition of Brian Edgeworth (9/29/17)	2	AA000277
3/15/18	Amended Complaint	2	AA000305
4/9/2018	Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Pursuant to 12(b)(5)	2	AA000317
4/24/2018	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's (Third)	2	AA000335
11/19/2018	Motion to Dismiss Decision and order on Motion to Adjudicate	2	AA000353
11/19/2018	Lien Decision and Order on Motion to Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5)	2	AA000376
12/7/2018	Motion for Attorneys Fees and Costs	2	AA000380
12/13/2018	Plaintiff's Motion for an Order to Release Funds	2	AA00041
12/17/2018	Notice of Appeal (Adjudicate Lien and Motion to Dismiss)	2	AA00042

12/17/2018	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Simon's Motion for Fees and Costs	2	AA000428
12/17/2018	Notice of Cross Appeal	2	AA000440
12/27/2018	Notice of Entry of Orders (Adjudicate Lien and Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5))	2	AA000442
2/08/2019	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs • Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	2	AA000479
2/15/19	Notice of Appeal (Attorney's Fees and Costs)	2	AA000485
6/13/19	Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 1 August 27, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 2 August 28, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 4 August 30, 2018	3	AA000488

Electronically Filed 6/13/2019 3:22 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 **EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST;** AMERICAN GRATING, LLC, CASE#: A-16-738444-C 8 Plaintiffs, DEPT. X 9 VS. 10 LANGE PLUMBING, LLC, ET AL., 11 Defendants. 12 CASE#: A-18-767242-C **EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST;** 13 AMERICAN GRATING, LLC, DEPT. X 14 Plaintiffs, 15 VS. 16 DANIEL S. SIMON, ET AL., 17 Defendants. 18 BEFORE THE HONORABLE TIERRA JONES, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 19 MONDAY, AUGUST 27, 2018 20 **RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING - DAY 1** 21 **APPEARANCES:** 22 ROBERT D. VANNAH, ESQ. For the Plaintiff: JOHN B. GREENE, ESQ. 23 JAMES R. CHRISTENSEN, ESQ. For the Defendant: 24 PETER S. CHRISTIANSEN, ESQ. 25 RECORDED BY: VICTORIA BOYD, COURT RECORDER

making sure --

MR. VANNAH: You know what? It's hard to spit the big numbers out.

THE COURT: It's all right, but you're talking about the \$6 million settlement?

MR. VANNAH: I am, and I --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. VANNAH: So, the \$6 million settlement had occurred, was over with. Mr. Simon had the clients, both Mr. and Mrs. Edgeworth, come to his office, and he had prepared a fee agreement saying, look, I want to be fair about this to myself and this is what I want you guys to sign. I want you to sign this fee agreement that gives me basically a \$2 million bonus. And he showed it to them, and then he said -- they said, well, you know, we're not prepared to -- for you to bring us in out of the blue and show us this. And we're not at all happy about it, but having said that, he said, well, then you need to get independent counsel.

That's me. I'm the independent counsel.

So, they obviously retained me, and I did a get written fee agreement. Of all cases, this is the one I'm going to get a written fee agreement on. I have a written fee agreement. There's nothing in the margins, but in the subpoena, it said to bring everything with me, which would have included my notes that day. Those are attorney-client notes. He's, obviously -- he's not entitled to even that, but it's his fee agreement where I got retained.

I don't -- there's no constructive discharge. So, the only

_

thing left in the case, at that point, was to do the releases. They looked at the release and signed them, the case was settled, so I --

THE COURT: But this is prior to the Lange settlement, but this is the settlement with --

MR. VANNAH: But there was an offer --

THE COURT: -- Viking?

MR. VANNAH: -- there was an offer on the table in Lange.

THE COURT: Okay. So, the offer was still pending, but Lange had -- Lange hadn't settled?

MR. VANNAH: It hadn't settled.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. VANNAH: It was on the table, and there was an offer. The clients asked me to look at it. Mr. Simon gave me the information. We talked. I looked at it and I concluded that the best interests in the clients, in my opinion, was -- my advice to them was, you know what, if I were you, rather than to continue with Danny on this case and bring in somebody else, just take the settlement; accept it. That was it, that was my advice, accept the settlement. They wanted me to put that in writing, I put it in writing, and I explained it to the client and, based on everything we're looking at, they wanted to accept it; please accept the settlement.

The communication had broken down really badly between the clients, you know, the client and the other lawyer. So, I said, look, you know, it doesn't seem to me a great idea for you guys to be having meetings and stuff. My clients don't want to meet with you anymore, but you are counsel of record, go ahead and finish it up, do the releases,

and sign whatever you have to do to get the Lange settlement done.

Just accept it. Accept it and whatever you have to do, that's it. Do what you have to do with the Judge, and you do that.

I'm not -- I'm not substituting in as counsel. I'm not associating as counsel. I made that very clear. You guys are counsel of record. If you want to withdraw -- if that's your threat, you're going to withdraw from the case, you can withdraw, but if you withdraw from the case at the last minute, and I have to come into the case because you withdraw and spend 40, 50 hours bringing myself up to speed, you know, I -- the client is not going to be very happy about that. And I'm not even sure Your Honor would allow them to withdraw with that going on. The case was over. I mean, the \$600,000 settlement had been made. It was over, signed and gone --

THE COURT: Six million, Mr. Vannah? Six million?

MR. VANNAH: Six million, I'm sorry. And the settlement for the 100- was on the table, and my sole part in that was to say my clients want to accept it, do whatever you got to do to accept it, which is his obligation. And he did, accepted it, and then we came to court because you wanted me to be in court when this thing went down to just express our opinions that we're happy with that. We had that settlement agreement with Teddy Parker who was hearing everybody, and then I wasn't going to say anything, but I asked to say that -- stand up and say that's what the client wants to do, and I said, yeah, I'm communicating, they're here too, but that's what they want to do. They want to settle the case. Now that's it.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
1
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

So, my fee agreement it's -- there's no relevance to it. It's -- I'm -- it's just a fee agreement with a client, and it's a fee agreement I had that Mr. Simon suggested that they do, to go out and hire somebody to be independent counsel and to -- you know, he's trying to get them to sign some fee agreement they don't want to sign, and they want to know what their rights are. So, he said get independent counsel. They did, and here I am, and that's how they got to where they got to. So, I don't see any relevance whatsoever to this fee agreement between me and the Edgeworths. That's the bottom line.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I mean, this issue of constructive discharge, the issue that's hanging there, and I agree with Mr. Christensen's legal analysis of, if there is constructive discharge, then we have a whole completely different discussion in regards to the contract. So, based upon this Court having to make that determination, Mr. Vannah, I believe that the fee agreement is relevant, but only the fee agreement itself. No notes, no notes you took that day, no conversations, just the fee agreement itself. So, I'm going to order you to provide a copy of that to Mr. Christensen. Can you --

MR. VANNAH: I got it right now.

THE COURT: Okay. I was going to say; I know you have people at your office who work there --

MR. VANNAH: No, no, we brought it.

THE COURT: -- you can -- okay. So --

MR. CHRISTENSEN: Have his people do it.

THE COURT: Okay. So, can you just make sure he has that

1	by the is that going to become relevant to someone's testimony today?
2	MR. VANNAH: I'll have it to him right now. It's just going to
3	take a second. I have it.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. VANNAH: So, we can get that over with and
6	THE COURT: And then we'll be ready.
7	MR. VANNAH: I think it's one page, right?
8	THE COURT: Because it's just the agreement. It's no notes
9	or anything
10	MR. VANNAH: No, no, no, just a one-page agreement. So,
11	when they hired me, they paid me so much dollars per hour, and that's
12	it.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. VANNAH: Simple as that.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So, this is the motion to in regards to
16	adjudicating the lien. The motion was filed by you Mr. Christensen. Are
17	you ready to call your first witness?
18	MR. CHRISTENSEN: Your Honor, if you could just I'm not
19	quite as fast a reader as I used to be.
20	THE COURT: It's okay. Me either.
21	[Pause]
22	MR. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. We do have an opening
23	PowerPoint
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MR. CHRISTENSEN: that we'd like to go through
	II

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. CHRISTENSEN: if that's acceptable to the Court?
3	THE COURT: Sure. Any objection, Mr. Vannah?
4	MR. VANNAH: I don't care.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And I was wondering if this was a
6	PowerPoint or if this was going to be demonstrative to like share photos.
7	MR. CHRISTENSEN: Right.
8	THE COURT: I wasn't sure.
9	MR. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. Okay.
10	DEFENDANT'S OPENING STATEMENT
11	BY MR. CHRISTENSEN:
12	Your Honor, we believe that the theme of this case is no
13	good deed goes unpunished. What you see is, this is a
14	MR. VANNAH: I'm not sure whether that's evidence, Your
15	Honor, so are we going to have evidence like an opening statement or
16	are we going to have argument? I mean
17	THE COURT: Counsel?
18	MR. VANNAH: this is clearly argument; no good deed goes
19	unpunished. That's is this going to be an opening argument or is this
20	an opening statement, I guess?
21	THE COURT: Well, it's going to be an opening statement and
22	we're going to get to what they what the evidence is going to show.
23	Mr. Christensen?
24	MR. CHRISTENSEN: Your Honor, we believe the evidence
25	will show that no good deed goes unpunished. What you see here is a

street-side picture of the house where the flood occurred. This is available on the internet. This is one of those pictures that was made available when the house was being marketed for sale.

THE COURT: And this is 2017, so this is after the flood, right?

MR. CHRISTENSEN: Correct, that's a post-flood picture.

That's after the certificate of occupancy has been issued. All original construction and any repair and remediation after the fire sprinkler flood has already been taken of.

That's a picture of the interior. That's essentially the area where the flood occurred. Of course, water goes where water goes, so. There was also damage in the kitchen area. The cabinets in that area are quite expensive. They're several hundred thousand dollars, and they sustained some damage in the flood. This is another picture, another angle of that same general area of the home. The costs to repair, for the flood, as you can see, it's quite a nice home with very nice finishes, was approximately in the ballpark of a half a million dollars.

So as things developed, Mr. Edgeworth tried to handle the claim on his own, didn't reach much success. He probably should have been able to, truth be told, be able to handle it on his own, but he was dealing with a plumber that was being rather recalcitrant and he -- Viking wasn't stepping up. He didn't have course of construction coverage. He didn't have any other route of recovery, so he first asked Mr. Simon to give him some suggestions as to attorneys who could help him out. Those attorneys all quoted very high numbers to him. He didn't want to lay out \$50,000 for a retainer or something of that sort.

So, there was a meeting at Starbucks and in connection with that, Mr. Simon agreed to send a few letters. I think that's actually the quote from the email. And that was in May of 2016. And from then on, the case progressed until it was filed in June, and then when it became active really in late 2016 through 2017 before Your Honor.

So, we are here because, of course, there was a very large settlement. Mr. Simon got a result, and there's a dispute over the fees. So, the first question we have is whether there was an expressed contract to the fees or expressed contract regarding the retention. We all know, and we all agree, there was no expressed written contract. It started off as a friends and family matter. Mr. Simon probably wasn't even going to send them a bill if he could have triggered adjusters coming in and adjusting the loss early on, after sending a letter or two.

So, the claim of Mr. Edgeworth is that, in the -- as stated in the complaint, is that there was an expressed oral contract formed in May of 2016 to pay Mr. Simon \$550 per hour. So, a meeting of the minds exist when the parties have agreed upon the contract's essential terms.

MR. VANNAH: I'm sorry, Your Honor, this isn't facts anymore. Now, we're arguing the law. We're getting beyond what -- I mean, I thought this was going to be a fact -- opening statement is supposed to be the factual presentation. This is an argument of the law. If we're going to do that, that's fine, I guess, but I don't think it's proper.

THE COURT: Mr. Christensen?

MR. CHRISTENSEN: Your Honor, the evidence is going to

show that there was no meeting of the minds in May of 2016, that the parties agree that Mr. Simon was going to work on this friends and family matter for 550 an hour.

MR. VANNAH: That's not what --

MR. CHRISTENSEN: The evidence is going to show otherwise, that there was no expressed payment term reached in May of 2016, or at any time.

MR. VANNAH: Again, here's my problem. I mean, the evidence isn't going to show citations, and this is a statement of law, citations. I mean, he wouldn't do this in front of a jury, he wouldn't do this in a bench trial. This is argument, pure and simple. Now, we're even arguing what the law is in the case. I thought this was going to be a factual presentation of what the facts were going to show. We're way beyond all that.

MR. CHRISTENSEN: Your Honor, if I could. First of all, we're not arguing what the law is. The law is the law, but I mean, we might be arguing over its application of the case, but that's a whole other issue.

Secondly, this is a lien adjudication hearing. This is not opening statement. We don't have a jury. This is being presented to the Court in order for the Court to have a full understanding of the facts as they come in. We believe this is useful and will be helpful to the Court. There's really no rules governing what you can say or can't say in an introductory statement to a court in an adjudicatory -- in a adjudication hearing. I mean, when we submitted our briefs to you, we submitted law, and we submitted facts, and we argued the application of the law to

1	the outset	was May 27th or May 28th, right?
2	Α	That's incorrect.
3	Q	Sir, I didn't write these, and I didn't sign them.
4	Α	Okay.
5	a	Right? You said you retained Danny May 27th, right?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Then you said at the outset, he told you his fee was 550 an
8	hour and t	hat's what you agreed to, correct?
9	А	Correct.
10	a	That's a fantasy. That's not true, correct?
11	A	No, it's not. That's ridiculous. The it's
12	a	Mr. Edgeworth
13	Α	a 24-month case. You're trying to define the outset as one
14	day and n	ot one week later. It's a general term.
15	a	Sort of like when you write all these affidavits saying that he
16	told you h	is associate was going to bill you at 275 an hour, and then hit
17	the stand	and agree in front of Her Honor that you never knew that until
18	14 or 15 m	nonths after he was retained?
19		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, these questions have been
20	asked	
21		THE WITNESS: Is that a question, sir?
22		MR. GREENE: and answered.
23		MR. CHRISTIANSEN: It is.
24		THE COURT: Hold on
25		THE WITNESS: No.

I.		
1		THE COURT: sir.
2		THE WITNESS: Is there a question on the end of it?
3		THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Edgeworth.
4		THE WITNESS: Sorry.
5		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, this is like the fourth or fifth time
6	this questio	n has been asked and answered. It just keeps getting asked,
7	Your Honor	. We'd ask that he be asked to move on.
8		THE COURT: Well, I mean, he said that 275 was never told to
9	him until 14	I months later, Mr. Christiansen. He's already acknowledged
10	that, so we	can ask another question.
11		MR. CHRISTIANSEN: Okay.
12	BY MR. GR	EENE:
13	Q	Other than yourself, Mr. Edgeworth, did anybody else hear
14	Danny Sim	on tell you his rate was 550 an hour at the outset?
15	A	I don't know if anybody was on the phone at his end.
16	a	Anybody on your end on the phone?
17	Α	No.
18	Q	Did you record it?
19	Α	No.
20	a	There's Mr. Christensen had some estimation for pages of
21	emails ove	r here.
22	Α	How many pages?
23	Q	A lot more than I felt like reading this weekend, I can tell you
24	that much.	Did you find a single email from yourself confirming that
25	rate?	

1	A	I didn't look through the emails, sir.
2	a	Can you point me to a single email confirming that rate?
3	Α	Yeah, Danny Simon emailed me bills constantly.
4	a	That's not what I asked you, sir. I asked you can you point
5	me to an	email of yours confirming the rate of Danny Simon at 550 an
6	hour from	the outset of this litigation that you told the Judge he took as
7	a favor?	
8	Α	I don't know. I'd have to look.
9	Q	So, is that a different way of saying you've never been able
10	to identify	an email confirming that in writing?
11	А	I guess so.
12	a	Okay. Getting a little out of order, which is making Ms. Ferrel
13	nervous, t	out let's turn to paragraph 11. As I understand from listening to
14	Mr. Vanna	ah's opening statement this morning and from reading your
15	affidavits,	it's your contention that Danny or that you really did all the
16	heavy lifti	ng in the case that effectuated or made it worth 6 million bucks
17	against Vi	king, correct?
18	Α	Definitely.
19	Q	Okay. And sir and I mean this not in a pejorative sense, but
20	you're not	t a lawyer, fair?
21	A	No, I'm not a lawyer, sir.
22	Q	You can't walk into a courtroom in the 8th Judicial District
23	Court for	the State of Nevada, County of Clark and make an appearance,
24	correct?	
25	Α	I don't know. Can I? I don't know.

Electronically Filed 6/13/2019 3:38 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 **EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST;** CASE#: A-16-738444-C AMERICAN GRATING, LLC, 8 DEPT. X Plaintiffs, 9 VS. 10 LANGE PLUMBING, LLC, ET AL., 11 Defendants. 12 **EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST;** CASE#: A-18-767242-C 13 AMERICAN GRATING, LLC, DEPT. X 14 Plaintiffs, 15 vs. 16 DANIEL S. SIMON, ET AL., 17 Defendants. 18 BEFORE THE HONORABLE TIERRA JONES, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 19 TUESDAY, AUGUST 28, 2018 20 **RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING - DAY 2** 21 APPEARANCES: 22 ROBERT D. VANNAH, ESQ. For the Plaintiff: JOHN B. GREENE, ESQ. 23 JAMES R. CHRISTENSEN, ESQ. For the Defendant: 24 PETER, S. CHRISTIANSEN, ESQ. 25 RECORDED BY: VICTORIA BOYD, COURT RECORDER

- 1	1		
1		MR. GREENE: Well, it's relevant to show that Brian well,	
2	actually, I'll withdraw that, forget that.		
3	BY MR. GR	EENE:	
4	α	At any time in the beginning of your relationship with Danny	
5	did he ever	ask for a contingency fee agreement?	
6	А	No.	
7	a	Was it ever discussed?	
8	A	No until we started having the discussion in the airport bar.	
9		THE COURT: In where?	
10		THE WITNESS: The San Diego	
11	BY MR. GR	EENE:	
12	Q	And what date was that?	
13	Α	August 9th, I believe, 2017.	
14	Q	Did Danny have a structure a structured discussion with	
15	you on wh	at the what the attorney/client relationship' would be?	
16	Α	No, it was you mean in the airport bar	
17	Q	No, back up, I'm sorry. I'm sorry to confuse you. Let's go	
18	back to Jui	ne of 2016. Did he have a structured relationship with you?	
19	There's dis	cussion with as to what the nature of the fee agreement	
20	would be?		
21	Α	Yes. I would pay him \$550 an hour, and he would represent	
22	me in this	case. He would file the lawsuit, and follow-up and did	
23	everything	that lawyers do in cases.	
24	Q	I appreciate that.	
25		THE COURT: And was this at the bar in San Diego?	

up to you as the client deciding to settle that claim?

A Just there was -- the whole case was overwhelming. The number was good, it was fair. And I just wanted the whole thing to end, you know. Right after I said I'd accept, I had remorse. I thought we could get them to pay fifteen million because they had subrogated the 326 claims that I found and stuffed other insurance companies with the payments.

So that alone to them is worth 25 million that they're covering up just from the spreadsheet; because they made all the homeowners' insurance pay for it and then they would pay the fee that you pay with an insurance company, you know; what's it called? You pay like \$1,000 and then the insurance company fixes your house, pays for the rest of it.

THE COURT: A deductible?

MR. GREENE: Is that deductible?

THE WITNESS: Deductible. I'm sorry, I couldn't think of the term. Viking and Zurich would pay the deductibles and then leave the other insurance companies with all the damage. And I've been told that that would --

MR. CHRISTIANSEN: Objection. Hearsay.

THE COURT: Okay. Sir, can we get back to the point?

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

THE COURT: The question was, how did you settle this case?

MR. GREENE: Yeah.

BY MR. GREENE:

Q What were the primary considerations and what went

1	other during that timeframe were there any other invoices sent to you		
2	from Mr. Simon's office for you to pay?		
3	Α	No.	
4	a	Did you review those invoices before you paid them?	
5	Α	Yes.	
6	a	Did you pay them in full?	
7	A	Yes, I did.	
8	a	How long did it take for you to pay those after you received	
9	them?		
10	A	Sometimes the same day.	
11	σ	Did you have an opportunity to review those invoices, Brian,	
12	what the h	ourly rate was for Danny?	
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	Sorry. Mr. Simon.	
15	Α	Yes.	
16	a	And what was that each time?	
17	А	Five hundred and fifty dollars an hour.	
18	Q	Did you ever see any of Mr. Simon's entries in which he	
19	billed anyt	hing other than \$550 per hour?	
20	A	No, I did not.	
21	Q	Did you ever get bored and count the number of billing	
22	entries tha	t Mr. Simon put on those first four invoices?	
23	Α	No, I did not.	
24	Q	Okay. Did you get an understanding as to what Ms. Ferrel's	
25	hourly rate	e was in each of those invoices where her time was contained?	

1		
1	Α	Two hundred and seventy-five dollars an hour.
2	Q	Every entry?
3	Α	Every entry.
4	Q	Did you pay that invoice in full, all those invoices in full in
5	which her	time was on?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	How about Ben Miller, he hasn't been all that involved in the
8	handling o	of this case, so he prepared almost \$6,000 worth of time; is that
9	your understanding, as well?	
10	А	Yes.
11	٥	Did you gain an understanding as to what his hourly rate
12	was?	
13	A	Two hundred and seventy-five dollars an hour.
14	a	Did he ever bill at any other rate?
15	A	No.
16	a	Did you pay those invoices in full?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Brian, we talked about this Exhibit 5. Again, the Judge has
19	seen this a bazillion times. That's the invoice that was produced towards	
20	late January of 2018. Did you take the opportunity to review that	
21	invoice?	
22	A	I'm sorry, I don't know which invoice it was. Can I just see it?
23	Q	Of course you can. It's kind of thick. I'm not sure if we have
24	the witness binder up there, but.	
25	Α	Oh, is this

1	a	To you?	
2	А	No. Out of my guess would be to James Christensen.	
3	Q	No, no, no.	
4		THE COURT: That's what that means, Mr. Greene.	
5		MR. GREENE: No. I'm am dumb, not quite that dumb.	
6	BY MR. GI	REENE:	
7	a	But is this the label that you had put on this email when you	
8	sent it to Mr. Simon?		
9	A	Yeah. I wrote Contingency in the subject line.	
10	a	Right there?	
11	Α	Correct.	
12	a.	What did Mr. Simon communicate with you, if anything, at	
13	the bar in San Diego until August 22nd of 2017 following your discussion		
14	in the bar	about a contingency fee	
15	Α	About this	
16	a	or anything fee related?	
17	A	He hadn't he hadn't explained anything about this topic.	
18	And I was	coming up to the point where I needed to think about how to	
19	get more money, what options I was going to going to have to take.		
20	And so, I thought I'd email him and see if this a dead deal or not.		
21	Move on.	If I can't do it, that's fine, I don't care. I would just keep paying	
22	the 550. I	'd borrow the money. I'd likely have to sell some assets if the	
23	bills kept	accumulating, but nothing was responded to.	
24	Q	First line, We never really had a structured discussion about	
25	how this r	night be done. Do you read that?	

A Three hundred and something with interest.

Q So how was she going to be paid back through this hybrid agreement that you would have -- that you had at least entertained for Mr. Simon?

A Well, he would give me some money back, and I would take whatever I was stealing in the kitty from my working capital, and I would pay her right off and get rid of one of the loans.

Q The sentence goes on, Probably explore a hybrid of hourly on the claim and then some other structure that incents both of us to go after the appeal that these scumbags will file. What did you mean by that, Brian?

A I was told around this time that most large judgments would be appealed, which scared the daylights out of me because I had no idea how long that takes. And this whole thing was timely. I needed cash to keep building houses. The whole thing with construction is you need cash; you need to convert stuff into cash.

So, this would get me out of the cash flow disaster of the lawsuit, paying for the lawsuit, and all the way through the appeal, which could be a year or two years. It could be anything. It would just give me a lot of financial flexibility.

Q As a consumer and as the client who owns the case and the settlement, did there come a time in this case where you believed that the value of the case had increased?

A Yes.

Q When was that?

A Right after talking to Harold Rogers I found it had gone up substantially.

THE COURT: When is that, sir?

THE WITNESS: July -- July 26, two thousand -- or I spoke with him on the 24th, July 24th, 2017.

BY MR. GREENE:

Q Did that have anything to do with the number of activations, initial activations, that were revealed?

A Yeah. I didn't have evidence of each of them, but I had his numbers of how many were out there, and I had a clear path on how I was going to start tracking them down to make that spreadsheet that I made.

Q So when you put in here, Obviously that could not have been done earlier, since who could have thought this case would meet their hurdle of punitives at the start, what did you mean by that?

A That was -- the hurdle of punitives was the email on August

1st of 2017 that he had forwarded saying do we meet -- and I

misunderstood it. I thought we had to meet all three hurdles; the malice,
the oppression, and the fraud, I believe they were.

Q Are you saying Ben Miller's email?

A Correct. Ben Miller's email of August 1st. And we had it on -- I had evidence on all three of them, so I felt yeah, this can meet the hurdle because I didn't know it was an or between each one. I thought it was an and. Just my mistake.

Q Okay. But things changed value-wise?

A Definitely.

Q As you were evaluating what to do as a consumer in this case, did those additional activations have any kind of a swaying factor with you on what to do?

A As we gathered more and more evidence of the wrongdoing, it made my percentage in my head, the percentage I put on the chance of me winning, go higher and higher and higher. And then it gave a lot of credibility to at this point maybe we can get punitive damages, how are they valued, everything else, or we can force a settlement.

Q Did these increased number of activations and therefore meeting the burden of punitives, did that have any bearing upon you as a consumer on what you would have been willing to entertain from Mr. Simon in this hybrid fee agreement that you asked him to give to you?

A You know, on this date he would have gotten a much better deal out of me. As the avalanche of evidence against them kept coming, and then I just wouldn't have given up as much because I -- you know, at that point you paid more in the kitty, there's -- to Mr. Simon there's less, you know, fees left until the light at the end of the tunnel, so why would you give up more; you've taken all the risk.

Q You mean who?

A Me as Brian Edgeworth, why would I give up more of the settlement? Every day that goes by, this deal would get a little bit worse for Mr. Simon because a lot of the risk in the deal has been abated.

Q Finishing up with this email, beginning with "I could," do you see that?

- 11			
1	Α	Yes.	
2	a	I could also swing hourly for the whole case unless I am off	
3	what this is	s going to cost. What did you mean before the paren, I could	
4	also swing	hourly for the whole case?	
5	Α	Don't worry about it, keep working on my case, I can get the	
6	money and	l keep paying you as our original agreement.	
7	a	And did you?	
8	Α	Yes, I did.	
9	Q	Did you have to get additional loans from the date of this	
10	email forward to pay Mr. Simon's invoices?		
11	Α	Yes, I did.	
12	Q	About how much?	
13	A	After this date I think I took one more for 200 out.	
14	a	Did you use that money to pay his invoice in full?	
15	A	Yes, I did. I received an invoice approximately a month after	
16	this email t	for \$255,000, some of which were costs and the rest of which	
17	were fees.	I don't know the breakdown. And I paid it in full.	
18	a	Let's cover that now before we finish up with this email. Did	
19	Mr. Simon	ever provide you with the proposal that you asked for, hybrid	
20	or otherwise?		
21	Α	Never.	
22	a	What did you get instead?	
23	Α	A bill an hourly bill of \$550 an hour and \$275 per hour for	
24	his associate.		
25		Looking at the new superbill of January 2018, what was	

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST; AND AMERICAN GRATING, LLC,

Appellants/Cross Respondents.

VS.

DANIEL S. SIMON; THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL S. SIMON, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION; DOES I through X, inclusive, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,

Respondents/Cross-Appellants.

EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST; AMERICAN GRATING, LLC,

Appellants,

vs.

DANIEL S. SIMON; THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL S. SIMON, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION; DOES I through X, inclusive, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,

Respondents.

Supreme Court Case

No. 77678 consolidated with No. 78176

Appeal from a Final Judgment entered by the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County
The Honorable Tierra Jones, District Judge

APPELLANTS' APPENDIX

VOL. 3 PART 2 of 3

Date			Bates
Filed	Document Title	VOL. No.	Number
1/9/18	Acceptance of Service of the Summons and Complaint	1	AA000024
3/15/18	Amended Complaint	2	AA000305
1/4/2018	Complaint	1	AA000013
11/19/2018	Decision and Order on Motion to Adjudicate Lien	2	AA000353
11/19/2018	Decision and Order on Motion to Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5)	2	AA000376
1/24/2018	Motion to Adjudicate Lien of the Law Office of Daniel Simon On Order Shortening Time • Simon's Invoices • Itemization of Costs • Simon's 11/27/18 Letter to Edgeworth's	1 & 2	AA000025
12/7/2018	Motion for Attorneys Fees and Costs	2	AA000386
4/9/2018	Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Pursuant to 12(b)(5)	2	AA000317
1/2/2018	Notice of Amended Attorney's Lien	1	AA000006
12/17/2018	Notice of Appeal (Adjudicate Lien and Motion to Dismiss)	2	AA000425
2/15/19	Notice of Appeal (Attorney's Fees and Costs)	2	AA000485
12/17/2018	Notice of Cross Appeal	2	AA000440
2/08/2019	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs • Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	2	AA000479

12/27/2018	Notice of Entry of Orders (Adjudicate Lien and Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5))	2	AA000442
12/13/2018	Plaintiff's Motion for an Order to Release Funds	2	AA000415
2/02/18	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motions to Consolidate and to Adjudicate Attorney Lien	2	AA000277
	 Affidavit of Brian Edgeworth (2/2/18) Deposition of Brian Edgeworth (9/29/17) 		
4/24/2018	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's (Third) Motion to Dismiss	2	AA000335
12/17/2018	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Simon's Motion for Fees and Costs	2	AA000428
6/13/19	Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 1 August 27, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 2 August 28, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 4 August 30, 2018		AA000488
11/30/2017	Simon's Notice of Attorney's Lien	2	AA000001

Date			Bates
Filed	Document Title	VOL. No.	Number
11/30/2017	Simon's Notice of Attorney's Lien	1	AA000001
1/2/2018	Notice of Amended Attorney's Lien	1	AA000006
1/4/2018	Complaint	1	AA000013
1/9/2018	Acceptance of Service of the Summons and Complaint	1	AA000024
1/24/2018	Motion to Adjudicate Lien of the Law Office of Daniel Simon On Order Shortening Time • Simon's Invoices • Email to Simon labeled "Contingency • Itemization of Costs • Simon's 11/27/18 Letter to Edgeworth's	1 & 2	AA000025
2/02/18	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motions to Consolidate and to Adjudicate Attorney Lien • Affidavit of Brian Edgeworth (2/2/18) • Deposition of Brian Edgeworth (9/29/17)	2	AA000277
3/15/18	Amended Complaint	2	AA000305
4/9/2018	Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Pursuant to 12(b)(5)	2	AA000317
4/24/2018	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's (Third) Motion to Dismiss	2	AA000335
11/19/2018	7.5	2	AA000353
11/19/2018	Decision and Order on Motion to Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5)	2	AA000376
12/7/2018	Motion for Attorneys Fees and Costs	2	AA000386
12/13/2018	Plaintiff's Motion for an Order to Release Funds	2	AA000415
12/17/2018	Notice of Appeal (Adjudicate Lien and Motion to Dismiss)	2	AA000425

			T A A 000 400
12/17/2018	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Simon's Motion for Fees and Costs	2	AA000428
12/17/2018	Notice of Cross Appeal	2	AA000440
12/27/2018	Notice of Entry of Orders (Adjudicate Lien and Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5))	2	AA000442
2/08/2019	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs • Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	2	AA000479
2/15/19	Notice of Appeal (Attorney's Fees and Costs)	2	AA000485
6/13/19	Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 1 August 27, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 2 August 28, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 4 August 30, 2018	3	AA000488

1	Α	Yes.	
2	α	How so?	
3	А	Whenever you pull down your working capital to a certain	
4	point, you	put your risk of bankruptcy very high. Most companies go	
5	bankrupt	not because they had a big loss that year, it's because they ran	
6	out of mo	ney. And you can run out of money in a lot of ways. Mostly	
7	it's when	you're draining your working capital. That's when you get low	
8	on workin	g capital, you need to do detailed planning to make sure you	
9	don't run out of cash. And that's what I was trying to do. I just needed -		
10	that's why I kept asking him for bills, too, because I couldn't have		
11	surprises. I couldn't just get a huge bill and then not have the money in		
12	the bank.		
13		UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I go to the restroom?	
14		MR. GREENE: Sure.	
15	BY MR. G	REENE:	
16	Q	You talked about borrowing some more money, the next line	
17	down, you	went to borrow another 450 from Margaret. Did you read	
18	that?		
19	А	Yes.	
20	a	Is that what happened?	
21	А	Yeah, except not in the order I wrote. I borrowed I signed a	
22	new contract for 200 and 200 for 400 total and I took the first 200 on it.		
23	Q	Okay. How about sell the house to pay these fees?	
24	A	I listed both the houses. The house that I was living in the	
25	house tha	t I was living in is on the same street as the house that's the	

spec building. They're two doors apart. So, I listed both houses. The house with no flood problems overhanging it, I was told would be likely to sell quicker. We moved out of that house to stage it and get it ready for sale and moved into the new house.

And I had both of them listed. I believe Mr. Simon knew. I'm basically saying I can get cash from one of these house sales to keep financing the -- the lawsuit, too. I'm just giving him an open look at my sources to pay him. And I'm giving him from a negotiation standpoint where I want to be negotiating another deal, I'm giving him a great look. I'm laying all my cards on the table. I should be the easiest person to negotiate whatsoever because you know the other steps I'm going to take if I don't get a deal with you.

- Q Finally, well, did you sell any of those two houses?
- A I sold the 637 St. Croix house in December of 2017 after this. I sold it for cash because the guy would close in six days and this had started, and I needed cash.
 - Q This wasn't the flood house you sold, correct?
- A No. I sold the older house, which is 637. It's two doors down from the flood house.
- Q If it had come to that, what would have been involved in selling the Bit Coin investment to be able to pay Mr. Simon's hourly fees?
- A I had already gone to Roger, which was my partner and my brother and told them that I needed out. I couldn't keep on with them.

 And I had already taken my share out, and I sold a bunch to start

Electronically Filed 6/13/2019 3:22 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 **EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST;** CASE#: A-16-738444-C AMERICAN GRATING, LLC, 8 DEPT. X Plaintiffs. 9 VS. 10 LANGE PLUMBING, LLC, ET AL., 11 Defendants. 12 CASE#: A-18-767242-C **EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST;** 13 AMERICAN GRATING, LLC, DEPT. X 14 Plaintiffs, 15 VS. 16 DANIEL S. SIMON, ET AL., 17 Defendants. 18 BEFORE THE HONORABLE TIERRA JONES, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 19 WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 29, 2018 20 **RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING - DAY 3** 21 APPEARANCES: 22 ROBERT D. VANNAH, ESQ. For the Plaintiff: JOHN B. GREENE, ESQ. 23 JAMES R. CHRISTENSEN, ESQ. For the Defendant: 24 PETER S. CHRISTIANSEN, ESQ. 25 RECORDED BY: VICTORIA BOYD, COURT RECORDER

Electronically Filed 6/13/2019 3:22 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 **EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST;** CASE#: A-16-738444-C AMERICAN GRATING, LLC, 8 DEPT. X Plaintiffs, 9 VS. 10 LANGE PLUMBING, LLC, ET AL., 11 Defendants. 12 CASE#: A-18-767242-C **EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST;** 13 DEPT. X AMERICAN GRATING, LLC, 14 Plaintiffs, 15 VS. 16 DANIEL S. SIMON, ET AL., 17 Defendants. 18 BEFORE THE HONORABLE TIERRA JONES, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 19 THURSDAY, AUGUST 30, 2018 20 **RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING - DAY 4** 21 **APPEARANCES:** 22 ROBERT D. VANNAH, ESQ. For the Plaintiff: JOHN B. GREENE, ESQ. 23 JAMES R. CHRISTENSEN, ESQ. For the Defendant: 24 PETER S. CHRISTIANSEN, ESQ. 25 RECORDED BY: VICTORIA BOYD, COURT RECORDER

to him?

Because I got the impression, and correct me if I'm wrong, you were kind of drafting these -- you testified you were kind of drafting these for later down the road, if there's a settlement with Lange and there's an attorney's fee provision in their policy?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE COURT: And so, when you forwarded them to Edgeworth was it more informational, or was it forwarding like, you need to pay these?

THE WITNESS: No. I expected costs to be reimbursed, I didn't expect the actual attorney's fees part of it to be paid, but I wanted to show him what I was producing, and he was creating the calculation of damages on his spreadsheet --

THE COURT: Right.

THE WITNESS: -- that kept going.

THE COURT: Right.

THE WITNESS: So, and obviously, we gave him a ton of information on this case, I mean, pretty much everything that -- information that that was being generated we were giving it to him. So, yeah, I sent it to him. We didn't have a discussion; I want this paid. But when he got it, he did pay it, I did put, you know, some good amount of work into it at that point. But I thought with that bill being generated Lange was going to trigger coverage, and I could have just -- really just forwarded it to Lange.

So, I didn't really expect payment on the first one for that

this meeting. He instructs you to go ahead and file the lawsuit, and there's absolutely no discussion about fees whatsoever, right?

- A I don't recall talking about fees.
- Q Okay. And then later, you determined, that it being in the best interest of the client, on the Lange portion of the indemnity, to prepare a bill for your time in the case, when you do that first invoice, and you determined that that would be a good thing to do is prepare a bill and give that to the Lange people so they can see that you're spending a lot of time on the case, and ultimately, they're going to have to pay this, right?
 - A Yes.
- Q All right. It was -- you presented a bill to Mr. Edgeworth, right, but you didn't expect him to pay the bill. Is that -- that was your testimony, you didn't expect him to pay the bill, he wasn't required to, and you didn't expect him to pay the bill? Is that fair? That's what you said yesterday.
- A Yeah, and I said that -- oh, yeah, the initial bill that was sent was generated for the Lange case, and I sent it to him so he could see what was going on, and he just turned around and paid it right away.
 - Q But you didn't expect him to pay it?
- A Not that quickly, and we never had a discussion, and if he didn't pay it, I didn't expect him to pay it, but he paid it and so, okay.
- Q All right. Then -- I want to go into a lot of detail, and you remember how you came up with the 550 because you got -- Judge Gizel [phonetic] said 600 would be reasonable in a mistrial, and you took

a few dollars off and said let's just make it 550 and -- right?

- A Yes, yes.
- Q All right. I remember that. So, then you generated a second invoice, right?
 - A Correct.
- Q That was also, I take it, submitted to Lange, his -- whoever did the damages, correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q And you sent a copy of that to Mr. Edgeworth and he paid that bill, did he not?
 - A He did.
- And before this meeting in August, that you guys had in the bar, you know, in the airport, did you ever have a conversation, you, personally, with Mr. Edgeworth or Angela, where you said look, I don't know why you guys are paying these bills. I didn't really mean for you to pay them. I'm going to have you pay me my fee at the end of the case. Did you ever tell them that before this meeting, any time before this meeting in San Diego, that we're going to go to?
- A The entire term of our relationship, from day one throughout the process, was we will just continue to do what is fair. I created the bills so he could see what was going on as far as his damages and they would turn around and pay it, and that was part of what he started to want to do because he wanted -- he was taking out these loans.

So, he wanted to pay these bills, for whatever reason. We didn't have a specific conversation to pay them, but he did want them to see

what I was doing so he could increase his damages.

- Q So, you know, so you surely recognize that he's borrowing money at a pretty high interest rate to pay these bills, right?
 - A Yes.
- Q And I assume that you recognized that coming before Judge Jones, here one day, and having her rule on whether or not paying 30 percent interest on the loans, the interest, itself, was really a reasonable element of damages, even if the Court were to determine that the legal fees were reasonable. Do you see what I'm saying?

In other words, Judge Jones, you surely recognize at Lange, if they were still in the case, would say wait a minute. Yeah, I mean you went out and borrowed money at 30 percent interest. Maybe the legal fees - maybe we owe the legal fees, maybe we don't, but, but we certainly -- where you got the money and the fact you paid 30 percent interest, did you not recognize that certainly would be an issue that would be hotly contested, that the interest and the -- on those loans, or did you know that?

- A Are you talking about the Lange, because you said you were --
 - Q I meant Lange.
- A -- digressing into being reimbursed by Lange under the attorney fee provision.
 - Q That's --
 - A Did you mean Viking, also?
 - Q No, no. Viking doesn't owe you any money.

You don't read it that way? You don't see where he's saying there's ways for me to get money and I can go get it and I'll give it and I'll pay your hourly bills if that's what you want to do.

But then you see in there that he's saying yeah, I can do it, but it's kind of stressful. I would sure like to work something different out. Did you not read it that way?

A Mr. Vannah, he was whining about the cost of repair from day one. He was whining about what this is going to take and how everybody's not stepping up to the plate to satisfy this claim. That was from day one. He was whining all the way up through August, and that's why all of those things weren't billed in all my bills either, because he was always complaining about how much things cost.

So, this email was just some more reiteration of what he's been whining about the entire time. And I know it was stressful for him. I had to talk him off the ledge many times because he was so stressed out about what does this mean, what does that mean. And he had -- it was very stressful this litigation for him. No doubt about it, and I was there to help him through that process.

- Q I appreciate that, and you're billing him \$550 an hour to do so, right?
- A We created bills for \$550 an hour, correct, that didn't include a fraction of my time, correct.
- Q And when you're working for somebody, regardless of how you're being paid, you're going to do the best job you can do because that's who you are; isn't that true?

1		MR. VANNAH: You know what, I'll just withdraw the
2	question.	We've covered it before.
3		THE WITNESS: Okay.
4		MR. VANNAH: I think I got my point out before. But I want
5	to kind of I	move along, because I do want to get Mr. Kemp on the stand.
6	All right.	
7	BY MR. VA	ANNAH:
8	Q	One of the things I wanted to ask you about, is, you said you
9	included th	nis fee agreement, the first one you ever drafted, this retainer
10	agreement	t. I'm going to show it to you. This is Exhibit 48 and 49. This
11	is the retai	ner agreement that you sent with the letter saying that you
12	want them	to sign this, right?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And this is the first written agreement you ever asked them
15	to sign, rig	ht?
16	А	Correct.
17	Q	And this is days after you'd reached, in principle, a
18	settlement	for \$6 million, correct?
19	Α	It was November 27th.
20	Q	Right.
21	Α	And the final agreement wasn't reached until after that.
22	Q	December 1st. That's why I said
23	Α	Right. December 1st, so, yeah.
24	σ	You settled the case, in principle, for \$6 million?
25	A	Yeah. But there was still some things to work out, and

1	Vannah. I	t's one of the ones you admitted today?
2		MR. VANNAH: Yeah. I'm sorry, I guess we gave you our
3	copy, so -	-
4		THE COURT: Okay. Let's see, 10 is Mr. Hale's letter, 11 is the
5	original se	ettlement agreement, and then 12 is the Gmail?
6		MR. VANNAH: That might be, Your Honor.
7		THE COURT: I think that's it; I think it's 12.
8		MR. VANNAH: I think it is.
9		THE COURT: I gather you're referring to the second part of
10	12.	
11	i	MR. VANNAH: Yes, I am, Your Honor.
12	BY MR. V	ANNAH:
13	Q	So showing you Exhibit 12 again. This is dated November
14	30th, not	even December 1st; this is November 30th. At the very same
15	day, on th	e very same day that you filed for the first time that the clients
16	had taken	up your suggestion, and just come over consulted me. That's
17	the first d	ay you learned that, right, November 30th?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And on November 30th, you're right, we'll just go down to
20	the last pa	art.
21		Additionally, this morning, you asked me to approach Lange
22		to accept the 25,000 offer from the mediation. Since this
23		time, I was able to secure a \$100,000 offer, less all monies
24		Lange is claiming they are owed. Lange, within this missed
25		their claims against Viking, allowing the client to avoid the

motion for determination of a good faith settlement, as part of the settlement. Please advise that the clients want to move forward do finalize a settlement with Lange pursuant to these terms.

And then you say, let's move quickly. And then we communicated with you that we did want to settle that, wrap it up, right?

- A All right. The timing of this, so just we're clear, Mr. Vannah, because I know you want to be clear on this.
 - Q I do.
 - A All right. So, there's the \$25,000 offer, right?
 - Q Right.
- A On November 30th, Teddy and I talked over the phone, he offered a 100 grand, but he also wanted his clients, Lange Plumbing paid back for what was outstanding, were due at the Edgeworth house during the construction, which was 22,000.
 - Q And that all happened, didn't it, the settlement --
- A Eventually. But the timing of all this is, that was the offer that was communicated to you, and then -- right, and then you had to go talk, take that offer to the clients who wouldn't talk to me, and then that's what ultimately led to the consent to settle.
- Q No. I had already authorized you on behalf of the clients to take 25,000 for -- do you see that right here? It says right here --
 - A Yeah --
- Q This morning -- let me read it. This morning you asked me to approach Lange to accept the \$25,000 offer for remediation?

A Agreed, it says that.

Q I said, take it, take the 25,000. So, you went back to him and talked, and listen, I'm grateful for you, and you used your skills, which are legendary. You've got good skills. You will use your skills, and not only did you get 25 you got it up to a 100, and they had to pay back 22, but they still -- now they're getting 75 instead of 25, which means you've done better than what all authority you had.

So, basically, on that day, and that turned out to be exactly what was eventually signed and settled, right?

A Yes.

Q And when we came to Court, I mean, I want to -- because Mr. Christensen who maybe wasn't here that day, and I don't want to impugn him, but at Court you point out, oh, I'm not, Mr. Vannah is the one that's on that settlement document; he's the one that signed it, not me.

Well, that's because, when we're standing here, and I can pull that document out, you said, I don't want to sign, I don't want to sign it because Mr. Vannah has talked to these people, and the judge said, Mr. Vannah, do you have any trouble signing this? I'm like, I'm not even in this case. Now, I have that, I could read that transcript, but if you doubt me, we can --

- A I know exactly what the transcript says.
- Q Yeah. And I said, I'm not even in that case, but if you want me to sign it, fine, I'll sign it, because I want this thing to wrap up, and it's not a big deal to me, and I remember I said, it's trivial, is the words I

1		THE COURT: What is your response to the objection?
2		MR. VANNAH: Well, it's not compound. And I don't know
3	what lack	of foundation we're talking about. I mean, he's the person that
4	did it. I'm	just asking
5		MR. CHRISTENSEN: May I respond, Your Honor?
6		MR. VANNAH: did this happen that way?
7		THE COURT: Mr. Christensen?
8		MR. CHRISTENSEN: It's compound because of all the
9	informatio	n in there. There's two or three different questions, I actually
10	lost track.	There's a lack of foundation because although Mr. Vannah
11	keeps on s	aying you accepted. There's no evidence that backs that up.
12		THE COURT: Okay.
13	BY MR. VA	NNAH:
14	Q	Well, you were told to accept it.
15		THE COURT: Well, hold on
16	BY MR. VA	NNAH:
17	Q	You were
18		THE COURT: Mr. Vannah, I haven't ruled yet.
19		MR. VANNAH: Oh, I'm sorry.
20		THE COURT: I'm still here.
21		MR. VANNAH: I was just going to try to make it easier.
22		THE COURT: Well, Mr. Vannah, re-ask the question. I mean,
23	is the ques	tion, did Mr. Simon wrap the Lange and the Viking
24	settlement	s on November 30th?
25	1	MR. VANNAH: He wrapped up he did.

1		THE COURT: But, I mean, is that the question?
2	i	MR. VANNAH: Yeah.
3		THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Simon, can you answer that
4	question?	
5		THE WITNESS: Yeah. The Viking settlement was December
6	1st, and yo	our Lange settlement was December 7th.
7	BY MR. VA	ANNAH:
8	a	That's when you signed, the documents were signed for
9	Lange.	
10	A	Right. That's when the settlement was done. I'm
11	communic	ating to you this better offer that you're going to go take to the
12	clients, wh	ich led to a discussion for a consent to sell on December 7th.
13	a	I didn't take it to the clients, because it was more than the
14	authority I	had. It said, oh, if we have more authority do it.
15	A	Well, the consent to settle that is from drafted by your
16	office has	both of their signatures saying that you advised them.
17	a	l did.
18	A	About the 100,000?
19	a	I did that too. But I already had authority at 25.
20	A	Oh, okay, well, I just heard you say that you
21		THE COURT: Okay, you guys. I don't really know what's
22	happening	here, but there's not any questions being asked. You two are
23	having sor	me sort of conversation.
24		THE WITNESS: Fair enough.
25		MR. VANNAH: I know.

1		THE COURT: Can we get back to the question section.
2	BY MR. VA	ANNAH:
3	a	November 30th, I told you. Clients have authorized a
4	settlemen	t for \$25,000 with Lange.
5	Α	That's what the email says, yes.
6	a	Go do it. That's what it's
7	Α	Yes.
8	a	saying, go take it?
9	Α	Right.
10	a	They had authority at 25, so when he came back and said, I'll
11	pay you a	100, even though you got to pay 22 back, that's certainly bette
12	than 25, ri	ght?
13	Α	Right.
14	a	I mean, haven't you ever had authority from a client, where
15	the client	says, I'll take a million dollars, and you came back, and you
16	said, gues	s what, I got you a million-one, did you think you had to go
17	back and t	alk to him about that?
18	Α	This particular deal, yes.
19	a	All right.
20	Α	Because Teddy Parker was requiring 22 be paid back to
21	Lange Flei	ming, who that man over there despised at the time.
22	a	All right. In any event the Lange Plumbing settlement
23	document	s were all signed by December 7th, with exactly what we
24	talked abo	ut, the 100,000
25	A	Yes.

1	a	minus the 22?
2	A	Agreed.
3	Q	And got paid?
4	А	Agreed.
5	٥	Okay. And the rule is if you anyway, you didn't withdraw
6	from the c	ase, you're still attorney of record. I am not attorney of record,
7	am I?	
8	А	No. You never provided a substitution attorney, correct?
9	Q	l didn't sub
10	А	And you didn't associate-in either?
11	Q	I didn't substitute-in, I didn't associate-in, and I even when I
12	came to Co	ourt I clearly said I can show you that, to the Judge. I don't
13	I'm not he	re representing them on this case as Mr. Simon, he's attorney
14	of record.	Do you want me to sign a document? I'll sign anything you
15	want to ge	t the case to go down, but at no time did you ever withdraw
16	from the c	ase or become not the attorney of record, correct?
17	Α	Correct.
18	Q	Okay.
19		MR. VANNAH: Let me see if there's anything else.
20		[Counsel confer]
21		MR. VANNAH: One second, Your Honor, if you don't mind?
22		THE COURT: No problem.
23		MR. VANNAH: I don't have any further questions. Thank
24	you.	
25		THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Christensen, do you have any

1	that Lange	e was supposed to pay, just further evidence of the attorney fee
2	provision.	
3		MR. CHRISTENSEN: Your Honor, I'm going to this is from
4	Office Exh	nibit 56, Bate 468, the construction agreement between
5	American	Grating and Lange.
6		THE COURT: Okay.
7	BY MR. C	HRISTENSEN:
8	Q	Is this essentially the clause you're talking about here, Mr.
9	Simon?	
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	Contractor shall also assume full responsibility for enforcing
12	manufact	urer's warranty on all products provided and/or installed by
13	contracto	r?
14	Α	Correct.
15	Q	This provision shall survive the completion of the project and
16	contracto	r's work?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	And ten in italics, only for Lange Plumbing scope of work?
19	Α	Correct.
20	Q	Who installed the defective Viking fire sprinkler?
21	А	Lange Plumbing.
22	Q	So it was within their scope of work?
23	Α	Correct.
24	Q	So in essence you were doing Lange's work for them?
25	Α	That's the premise of the entire claim.

1	a	We have a little bit of a timeline issue, that I'd like to address
2	if I could.	I believe this is the Edgeworths' new Exhibit 11. This is the
3	email wh	ere you send the release?
4	Α	Yes.
5	a	And the time and date on that is November 30, 2017 at 8:38
6	a.m.?	
7	А	Yes.
8	a	And then you receive notice, I'm going to show the Court
9	exhibit	Office Exhibit 43, Bate 420. This is the, as you can see from
10	here, this	is the fax from Brian Edgeworth, saying he's hired Vannah &
11	Vannah?	
12	A	Yes.
13	a	And this fax came in at boy, it says 11/30/2017, 9:35 a.m.?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Do you get all the faxes immediately upon them hitting your
16	office?	
17	A	When I they come in immediately, but whether I look at
18	them imn	nediately is another question.
19	a	Right. Well, take a look at Exhibit 12. It indicates later on
20	througho	ut that day at some point in time you got some better terms for
21	the Edgev	vorths?
22	Α	Yes.
23	a	Despite maybe any conversations that you had with Mr.
24	Greene, o	r that fax that you received; is that correct?
25	Α	Right.

1	a	When you receive that fax and/or when you received the call	
2	did you ju	st drop everything on the file?	
3	Α	What do you mean?	
4	a	Did you stop work on the file?	
5	A	No, of course not.	
6	a	Could stopping work place the clients in jeopardy?	
7	Α	It depends on the situation.	
8	a	But at any rate you continued to do some work on the file	
9	and actua	ly increased offers for them, correct?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	a	Now that work all occurred on November 30th, correct?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	<u> </u>	We were shown, this is Edgeworth Exhibit 3, this is Bate 1,	
14	this is that	infamous contingency email of August 22, 2017?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	a	And the forward on this indicates that you sent it to me on	
17	December	1, 2017?	
18	А	Yes.	
19	a	So you went out and consulted your own lawyer?	
20	А	Yes.	
21	Q	Why did you do that?	
22	А	Because I felt that I was terminated, when he's meeting with	
23	other lawy	ers, and I'm getting letters that I'm supposed to be talking to	
24	other lawy	ers about a case that I had been representing on for a	
25	substantia	al time and did amazing work on and gave amazing advice.	

And the only reason for that would -- for another law firm to get involved is if I'm out.

- Q And you were in an awkward position, weren't you? As I think Mr. Vannah made abundantly clear you never did move to withdraw?
 - A Right.
 - Q Why not.

A Number one, I'm not going to just blow up any settlements, number one. I've never done that, never will. I continue to work, and I always put the client's interest above mine, which I did in this case, even after I'm getting all of these letters.

Number two, even later, Mr. Vannah was making it abundantly clear that they were coming after me, if I decided to do something that might even remotely be considered adverse to the client.

So, I'm in an awkward position, I'm going to fulfill my duties regardless, and it was clear they didn't want to pay me. But I'm still going to do it, and do my job for the client regardless, and payment is going to be an issue that we deal with later.

- Q And that's the same day I believe you filed your first attorney's lien?
 - A Yes.

THE COURT: And what was the first day you consulted with Mr. Christensen to represent you? Do you remember?

THE WITNESS: I don't, but it would have been around that time, or a few days or more, before, when I felt that I wasn't getting

	1	
1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	I will need to consider all options available to me.
3	Α	Okay.
4	Q	So what you're telling him, I mean, as I'm reading the letter,
5	if I were a	client, I'm reading the letter and it says, if you're not
6	agreeable	to signing this fee agreement, then I cannot continue to lose
7	money to	help you, to me that would say, I can't continue to work on
8	this case b	ecause I'm losing money; is that what you're telling him?
9	A	Unless we work something out.
10	a	And then you say, I will need to consider all options available
11	to me?	
12	A	Yeah.
13	a	One of those is to withdraw from the case, right?
14	А	I don't know. I didn't know what my options were at that
15	time.	
16	a	Well, you talked to Mr. Christensen by then, hadn't you?
17	A	Around that time, I guess, yeah.
18	a	Okay.
19	A	Because I needed to learn my options, because I haven't had
20	any comm	nunication with them, verbally, since November 25th, and
21	they're pro	omising to meet with me, and they were being cagey about it,
22	and, you k	know, so I needed to figure out what my options were.
23	a	I understand. But when you make the statement, if you were
24	-	able, then I cannot continue to lose money to help you, I will
25	need to co	onsider all options available to me. Did that not dawn on you

1	А	Yes.
2	a	And you say, if you're not going to agree, then I can't
3	continue lo	osing money on a case, which is a veiled threat, that I'm going
4	to withdra	w, that's a veiled threat, right?
5	Α	No. It's not a veiled threat, because if you look at my actions
6	afterwards	I didn't do anything of the sort.
7	Q	But we're not looking at your actions afterwards, we're
8	looking at	your actions on the date that the client is receiving this letter.
9	Α	Right.
10	Q	Well, the date the client is receiving the letter they don't
11	know what	t you're going to do, because you're telling them that I can't
12	continue to	lose money on this case if you don't sign this agreement.
13	What does	that mean to client when you say, I can't continue? Doesn't
14	that mean	to the client that they should be concerned as to whether or
15	not you're	going to wrap this thing up or not?
16	А	They should have come they should have had a
17	conversati	on with me, which they were refusing to have.
18	Q Q	Or follow your advice. Your other advice was, you know
19	what, you	can go out and talk to any other attorney in town and they'll
20	tell you th	e same thing I'm telling you, this is fair?
21	Α	Absolutely.
22	Q	Well, then they took up your advice and they came and
23	talked to m	ne.
24	A	And I guess
25	l 0	I guess they got the one guy that didn't think it was fair.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST; AND AMERICAN GRATING, LLC,

Appellants/Cross Respondents.

vs.

DANIEL S. SIMON; THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL S. SIMON, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION; DOES I through X, inclusive, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,

Respondents/Cross-Appellants.

EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST; AMERICAN GRATING, LLC,

Appellants,

vs.

DANIEL S. SIMON; THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL S. SIMON, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION; DOES I through X, inclusive, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,

Respondents.

Supreme Court Case

No. 77678 consolidated with No. 78176

Appeal from a Final Judgment entered by the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County
The Honorable Tierra Jones, District Judge

APPELLANTS' APPENDIX

VOL. 3 PART 3 of 3

Date			Bates
Filed	Document Title	VOL. No.	Number
1/9/18	Acceptance of Service of the Summons and Complaint	1	AA000024
3/15/18	Amended Complaint	2	AA000305
1/4/2018	Complaint	1	AA000013
11/19/2018	Decision and Order on Motion to Adjudicate Lien	2	AA000353
11/19/2018	Decision and Order on Motion to Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5)	2	AA000376
1/24/2018	Motion to Adjudicate Lien of the Law Office of Daniel Simon On Order Shortening Time • Simon's Invoices • Itemization of Costs • Simon's 11/27/18 Letter to Edgeworth's	1 & 2	AA000025
12/7/2018	Motion for Attorneys Fees and Costs	2	AA000386
4/9/2018	Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Pursuant to 12(b)(5)	2	AA000317
1/2/2018	Notice of Amended Attorney's Lien	1	AA000006
12/17/2018	Notice of Appeal (Adjudicate Lien and Motion to Dismiss)	2	AA000425
2/15/19	Notice of Appeal (Attorney's Fees and Costs)	2	AA000485
12/17/2018	Notice of Cross Appeal	2	AA000440
2/08/2019	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs • Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	2	AA000479

12/27/2018	Notice of Entry of Orders (Adjudicate Lien and Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5))	2	AA000442
12/13/2018	Plaintiff's Motion for an Order to Release Funds	2	AA000415
2/02/18	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motions to Consolidate and to Adjudicate Attorney Lien	2	AA000277
	 Affidavit of Brian Edgeworth (2/2/18) Deposition of Brian Edgeworth (9/29/17) 		
4/24/2018	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's (Third) Motion to Dismiss	2	AA000335
12/17/2018	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Simon's Motion for Fees and Costs	2	AA000428
6/13/19	Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 1 August 27, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 2 August 28, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 4 August 30, 2018	3	AA000488
11/30/2017	Simon's Notice of Attorney's Lien	2	AA000001

Date			Bates
Filed	Document Title	VOL. No.	Number
11/30/2017	Simon's Notice of Attorney's Lien	1	AA000001
1/2/2018	Notice of Amended Attorney's Lien	1	AA000006
1/4/2018	Complaint	1	AA000013
1/9/2018	Acceptance of Service of the Summons and Complaint	AA000024	
1/24/2018	Motion to Adjudicate Lien of the Law Office of Daniel Simon On Order Shortening Time • Simon's Invoices • Email to Simon labeled "Contingency • Itemization of Costs • Simon's 11/27/18 Letter to Edgeworth's	1 & 2	AA000025
2/02/18	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motions to Consolidate and to Adjudicate Attorney Lien • Affidavit of Brian Edgeworth (2/2/18) • Deposition of Brian Edgeworth (9/29/17)	2	AA000277
3/15/18	Amended Complaint	2	AA000305
4/9/2018	Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Pursuant to 12(b)(5)		AA000317
4/24/2018	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's (Third) Motion to Dismiss	2	AA000335
11/19/2018	7.5	2	AA000353
11/19/2018	Decision and Order on Motion to Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5)	2	AA000376
12/7/2018	Motion for Attorneys Fees and Costs	2	AA000386
12/13/2018	Plaintiff's Motion for an Order to Release Funds	2	AA000415
12/17/2018	Notice of Appeal (Adjudicate Lien and Motion to Dismiss)	2	AA000425

			T A A 000 400
12/17/2018	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Simon's Motion for Fees and Costs	2	AA000428
12/17/2018	Notice of Cross Appeal	2	AA000440
12/27/2018	Notice of Entry of Orders (Adjudicate Lien and Dismiss NRCP 12(B)(5))	2	AA000442
2/08/2019	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs • Decision and Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part, Simon's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	2	AA000479
2/15/19	Notice of Appeal (Attorney's Fees and Costs)	2	AA000485
6/13/19	Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 1 August 27, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 2 August 28, 2018 Recorder's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing- Day 4 August 30, 2018	3	AA000488

1	straightforward. Okay.				
2	A	All right.			
3	Q	All right. Thank you.			
4	А	You're welcome. Thank you.			
5		THE COURT: Not so quick, Mr. Simon. Mr. Christensen, did			
6	you have any follow-up?				
7		MR. CHRISTENSEN: Yes, Your Honor.			
8		THE COURT: I assume you do, you're at the podium.			
9		FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION			
0	BY MR. CHRISTENSEN:				
1	Q	Nevada has an option for an attorney to secure a fee in a			
2	case? Do you know				
3		THE COURT: Who has the option, I'm sorry?			
4		MR. CHRISTENSEN: To secure a fee in a case.			
5		THE COURT: But you said who			
6		MR. CHRISTENSEN: An option, Nevada does.			
7		THE COURT: Nevada, okay. I was just was, what's the first			
8	name.				
9		THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "secure"?			
20	BY MR. CHRISTENSEN:				
21	Q	Protect, perceive?			
22	A	Oh, yeah.			
23	Q	What is that?			
24	Α	That is the Attorney Lien Statute 18.015.			
25	a	And when did you file an attorney's lien?			