FILED Case No. 14-CV-00260-DC Dept. II RECEIVED 2018 DEC 17 AM 11: 4U 2 DEC 1 7 2018 Electronically Riled 3 Dec. 20 20 18 03:11 p.m. Douglas County Elizabeth Attanown District Court Clerk Clerk of Supreme Court 5 6 NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS 7 8 9 HELMUT KLEMENTI, an individual; 10 Plaintiff, 11 vs. 12 JEFFREY D. SPENCER, an individual & DOES 1-5; 13 Defendants. 14 15 NOTICE OF APPEAL JEFFREY D. SPENCER, an individual, 16 17 Counterclaimant, 18 vs. 19 HELMUT KLEMENTI, an individual, EGON KLEMENTI, an individual, ELFRIEDE KLEMENTI, an individual, MARY ELLEN KINION, an individual, 21 ROWENA SHAW, an individual, PETER SHAW, an individual, and DOES 1-5. 22 Counterdefendants. Doyle Lour Office 23 Kerry S. Doyle, Esq. 8755 Technology Way Counterclaimant, Jeffrey Spencer, by and through his counsel, DOYLE LAW 25 Reno, Nevada 89521 OFFICE, PLLC, hereby files this appeal from the Order dated November 5, 2018, granting 26 kerry@rdoylelaw.com three motions for attorneys' fees and costs. Written notice of entry of the order was served 27 28

Docket 77711 Document 2018-909810

Suite I

(775) 525-0889

(775) 525-0889

on November 16, 2018. See Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 426, 996 P.2d 416, 417 (2000).

DATED this 12th day of December, 2018.

DOYLE LAW OFFICE, PLLC

NEVADA BAR NO. 10866

4600 KIETZKE LANE, SUITE I-207

RENO, NEVADA 89502

(775) 525-0889

ATTORNEYS FOR SPENCER

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	
3	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the DOYLE LAW
4	OFFICE, PLLC, and that on the date shown below, I caused service to be completed of a
5	true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL by:
6	personally delivering;
8	delivery via Reno/Carson Messenger Service;
9	sending via Federal Express (or other overnight delivery service);
10	<u>X</u> depositing for mailing in the U.S. mail, with sufficient postage affixed thereto;
11	or,
12	delivery via electronic means (fax, eflex, NEF, etc.) to:
13	Douglas R. Brown
14	Sarah Molleck Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg
15	6005 Plumas Street, Suite 300
16	Reno NV 89519
17	Michael A Pintar
18	Glogovac & Pintar 427 W. Plumb Lane
	Reno NV 89509
19	Takil M.C. and
20	Tanika M. Capers American Family Mutual Insurance Company
21	6750 Via Austi Parkway, Stc. 310
22	Las Vegas NV 89119
Dayle Law Office 23	
Kerry S. Doyle, Esq. 8755 Technology Way Suite I 25	DATED this 12 December 2018.
Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 525-0889 26	By All
kerry@rdoylelaw.com 27	

RECEIVED

DEC 1 7 2018

Douglas County District Court Clerk FILED

2018 DEC 17 AM 11: 40

868615 R. WILLIAMS

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS

9 HELMUT KLEMENTI, an individual;

Case No. 14-CV-00260-DC

Dept. II

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY D. SPENCER, an individual & DOES 1-5;

13 14

Defendants.

15

JEFFREY D. SPENCER, an individual,

HELMUT KLEMENTI, an individual, EGON KLEMENTI, an individual, ELFRIEDE KLEMENTI, an individual, MARY ELLEN KINION, an individual,

ROWENA SHAW, an individual,

17

18

vs.

19

22

PETER SHAW, an individual, and DOES 1-5,

Counterdefendants.

Counterclaimant,

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT -APPEAL FROM ATTORNEYS' **FEES ORDERS**

Dayle Law Office

Kerry S. Doyle, Esq. 4600 Kietzke Lane Suite 1-207 Reno, Nevada 89502

26 kerry@rdoylelaw.com

(775) 525-0889

25

LAW OFFICE, PLLC, files this Case Appeal Statement pursuant to Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure 3(f).

28

27

Counterclaimant, Jeffrey Spencer, by and through his counsel of record, DOYLE

- 1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: Jeffrey D. Spencer.
- 2. Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from:
 Senior Judge Steven Kosach.
- 3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant: Jeffrey Spencer is represented on appeal by Kerry S. Doyle of the Doyle Law Office, PLLC at 4600 Kietzke Lane, Ste. I-207, Reno, Nevada 89502.
- 4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for each respondent (if the name of a respondent's appellate counsel is unknown, indicate as much and provide the name and address of that respondent's trial counsel):

Listed below are the respondents and their counsel in the trial court proceedings:

Helmut Klementi Represented by: Douglas R. Brown Christian L. Moore Sarah Molleck Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg 6005 Plumas Street, Suite 300 Reno NV 89519

Elfriede Klementi, Mary Ellen Kinion, and the Estate of Egon Klementi, Represented by Michael A Pintar Glogovac & Pintar 427 W. Plumb Lane Reno NV 89509

Rowena Shaw and Peter Shaw Represented by: Tanika M. Capers American Family Mutual Insurance Company 6750 Via Austi Parkway, Ste. 310 Las Vegas NV 89119

5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42 (attach a copy of any district court order granting such permission): All of the listed attorneys listed are licensed in Nevada.

Doyle Law Office 23

Kerry S. Doyle, Esq. 24
4600 Kietzke Lane
Suite I-207
Reno, Nevada 89502
(775) 525-0889
26
kerry@rdoylelaw.com

28

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 7. Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal: Jeffrey Spencer is represented by retained counsel on appeal.
- 8. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave: Jeffrey Spencer has not been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.
- 9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed): The proceedings were initiated on December 17, 2014.
- 10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court:

When Egon and Elfriede Klementi were upset by a fence the Spencer's built, they began a campaign of falsehoods against Spencer. They enlisted Egon's twin brother Helmut, and their neighbors Peter and Rowena Shaw and Mary Ellen Kinion. Although the dispute started over a fence, it became much more sinister when Helmut Klementi falsely accused Spencer of punching him, Egon falsely accused Spencer of assaulting him, and the others repeated these falsehoods, presenting them to public officials, medical care providers, Spencer's employer, and law enforcement, as though they personally witnessed the alleged crimes.

Respondents pushed for criminal prosecution based on the false claims and admitted that they had been trying to get him fired by his employer and his race team. Respondents succeeded in getting criminal charges filed, they succeeded in getting Spencer fired, and they succeeded in ruining Spencer's reputation. They did not succeed in obtaining a conviction;

Doyle Law Office 23

Kerry S. Doyle, Esq. 4600 Kietzke Lane Suite I-207 25 Reno, Nevada 89502 (775) 525-0889

kerry@rdoylelaw.com

y@idoyiciaw.com

Spencer was acquitted of all charges against him after the witnesses' deceptions and lack of personal knowledge were revealed. Not only did Spencer suffer financial damage from defending these claims, these actions understandably caused Spencer severe emotional distress.

Helmut Klementi initially filed this action, suing Spencer civilly after Spencer was acquitted of all criminal charges. Spencer counterclaimed against Helmut Klementi and the other respondents, asserting defamation, intentional infliction of emotional distress, malicious prosecution, and civil conspiracy. Despite evidence creating genuine issues of material fact as to the claims, the trial court granted summary judgment in favor of each respondent. Spencer appealed the orders granting summary judgment, and that appeal is docketed in the Nevada Supreme Court as case number 77086.

At the hearing on summary judgment, the trial court announced that it would award attorneys' fees and costs to the prevailing parties, before any such motions had been filed. The parties filed motions as a formality and the court entered orders awarding over \$100,000 in attorneys' fees and costs. This appeal is from that separate post-judgment order entered on November 5, 2018.

- 11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding: The orders granting summary judgment have been appealed from this action and those are docketed in the Nevada Supreme Court as case number 77086.
- 12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation: This action does not involve child custody or visitation.

Doyle Law Office 2

Kerry S. Doyle, Esq. 4600 Kietzke Lane Suite 1-207 25 Reno, Nevada 89502 (775) 525-0889 26

kurry@rdoylelaw.com

28 | / / /

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
Doyle Law Office	23
Kerry S. Doyle, Esq.	24
4600 Kietzke Lane Suite I-207 Reno, Nevada 89502	25
(775) 525-0889 kerry@rdoylelaw.com	26
retrigitoriyichw.com	27
	28

1

If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement: The parties have previously discussed settlement and Spencer is willing to continue those discussions; however, the settlement judge assigned in the underlying substantive action excused the parties from the settlement program as he deemed further discussions futile.

DATED this 12th day of December, 2018.

DOYLE LAW OFFICE, PLLC

KerryS. Doyle, Eşq. NEVADA BAR NO. 10866

4600 ŘIETZKE LANÉ, SUITE I-207

Reno, Nevada 89502

(775) 525-0889

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	
3	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the DOYLE LAW
4	OFFICE, PLLC, and that on the date shown below, I caused service to be completed of a
5	true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL by:
6	personally delivering;
7 8	delivery via Reno/Carson Messenger Service;
9	sending via Federal Express (or other overnight delivery service);
10	X depositing for mailing in the U.S. mail, with sufficient postage affixed thereto:
11	or,
12	delivery via electronic means (fax, eflex, NEF, etc.) to:
13	Douglas R. Brown
14	Sarah Molleck
15	Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg 6005 Plumas Street, Suite 300
16	Reno NV 89519
17	Michael Λ Pintar
18	Glogovac & Pintar 427 W. Plumb Lane
	Reno NV 89509
19	Tanika M. Capers
20	American Family Mutual Insurance Company
21	6750 Via Austi Parkway, Ste. 310 Las Vegas NV 89119
22	Las vegas INV 69119
Doyle Law Office 23	
Kerry S. Doyle, Esq. 24	DATED this 12 December 2018.
4600 Kietzke Lane Suite I-207 25 Reno, Nevada 89502	By MALL
(775) 525-0889	
kerry@rdoylelaw.com 27	
28	

9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT Bobbie R. Williams Clerk of the Court Ph 782-9820 Fax 782-9954 1038 Buckeye Rd. P.O. Box 218 Minden, NV 89423-0000

(775) - 782 - 9820, TTY for Deaf: (775) - 782 - 9964(775) 782-9820

12/18/18

Case Number: 14-CV-00260-DC CV-OTH

Date Filed: 12/19/14

Status: Re-Closed

Dispo

Judge Assigned: Kosach, Steven

Entered

Klementi V Spencer

Type Num Name(Last,First,Mid,Title)

CASE HISTORY

INVOLVED PARTIES

PD 001 Klementi, Egon	05/09/16
Attorney: 003789 Pintar, Michael	
50 West Liberty St., Suite	700
Reno,, NV 89501	
PD 002 Klementi, Elfriede	05/09/16
LT 001 Klementi, Helmut	12/17/14
Attorney: 003664 Laub, Joe	Removed: 05/09/16
003777 Moore, Christian	1
Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg	ſ
6005 Plumas Street, Suite	300
Reno, NV 89509	
7620 Brown, Douglas R	
6005 Plumas St, Suite 300	•
Reno, NV 89509-6000	
OTH 001 Kinion, Mary Ellen	AH JSUM 04/03/ 03/19/15

Attorney: 003789 Pintar, Michael

DEF 001 Spencer, Jeffrey D.

50 West Liberty St., Suite 700

Reno,, NV 89501

12/17/14

Attorney: 003567 Pierce, Lynn

Removed: 07/18/18

7962 Zaniel, David M

Removed: 07/18/18

14-CV-00260-DC Date: 12/18/18 Time: 10:19

1111 Person, Proper P. O. Box 218 Minden, NV 89423

Type Num Name (Last, First, Mid, Title)

Dispo

Entered

Page:

2

DEF 002 Shaw, Rowena

07/10/17

Attorney: 10867 Capers, Tanika M

6775 Edmond Street, Suite 210

Las Vegas, NV 89118

(702) 733-4989

DEF 003 Shaw, Peter

07/10/17

Attorney: 10867 Capers, Tanika M

6775 Edmond Street, Suite 210

Las Vegas, NV 89118

(702) 733-4989

CALENDAR EVENTS

Time Dur Cer Evnt Jdg L Day Of Rslt By ResultDt Jdg T Notice Rec Date _____ 09/08/16 01:00P 001 yes MOTN NTY D 01 /01 VAC C 08/26/16 TWG 10/05/16 01:30P 001 yes MOTN TWG D 01 /01 VAC C 10/04/16 SRK 12/05/16 09:00A 007 yes CIJT NTY D 01 /01 VAC C 11/02/16 SRK 12/07/16 09:00A 007 yes CIJT NTY D 01 /02 VAC C 11/02/16 SRK 12/08/16 09:00A 007 yes CIJT NTY D 02 /02 VAC C 11/02/16 12/14/16 09:00A 007 yes CIJT NTY D 01 /02 VAC C 11/02/16 SRK 12/15/16 01:30P 001 yes CALL NTY D 01 /01 CON C 12/15/16 NTY P N 12/16/16 09:00A 001 yes CIJT NTY D 01 /01 VAC C 11/02/16 SRK 01/30/17 01:30P 001 yes CALL NTY D 01 /01 CON C 01/30/17 NTY P N 07/12/18 10:00A 001 yes OTSC NTY D 01 /01 CON C 07/12/18 NTY N 10/08/18 09:00A 001 yes CIJT NTY D 01 /01 VAC C 07/12/18 SRK 10/10/18 09:00A 001 yes CIJT NTY D 01 /03 VAC C 07/12/18 NTY 10/11/18 09:00A 001 yes CIJT NTY D 02 /03 VAC C 07/12/18 10/12/18 09:00A 001 yes CIJT NTY D 03 /03 VAC C 07/12/18

Page: 3

14-CV-00260-DC Date: 12/18/18 Time: 10:19

Date Time Dur Cer Evnt Jdg L Day Of Rslt By ResultDt Jdg T Notice Rec

10/15/18 01:30P 001 yes CIJT NTY D 01 /01 VAC C 07/12/18 NTY

10/17/18 09:00A 001 yes CIJT NTY D 01 /03 VAC C 07/12/18 NTY

10/18/18 09:00A 001 yes CIJT NTY D 02 /03 VAC C 07/12/18

10/19/18 09:00A 001 yes CIJT NTY D 03 /03 VAC C 07/12/18

JUDGE HISTORY

JUDGE ASSIGNED Type Assign Date Removal RSN
 MPG
 Gibbons, Michael
 J
 12/17/14
 ER
 07/01/15

 NTY
 Young, Nathan Tod
 J
 07/01/15
 ER
 07/24/15

 SRK
 Kosach, Steven
 J
 07/24/15

DOCUMENT TRACKING

Num/Seq	Description		Received	Party	Routed	Ruling	Closed	Use:	r ID
001000	Complaint	12/17/14	MPO	PLT00	1		: !	мв	MB
002000	Summons Issued	12/17/14	MPO	PLT00	1			MB	MB
003000	Summons Filed	01/28/15	DRO	FLT00	1			МВ	МВ
004000	Summons Issued	02/03/15	DRO	FLT00	1			N/A	MB
005000	Summons Issued	02/03/15	DRO	FLT00	1		I	N/A	MB
006000	Answer and Counterclaim	02/03/15	DRO	G DEF00	1			N/A	МВ
007000	Summons Issued	02/03/15	DRO	PLT00	1			N/A	MB
008000	Summons Issued	02/03/15	DRO	PLT00	1			N/A	MB
009000	Answer to Counterclaim	02/23/15	DRO	PLT00	1		1	N/A	MB
010000	Summons Filed	02/25/15	DRO	9 000				N/A	MB
011000	Summons Issued	02/25/15	DR	G 000				N/A	МВ
012000	Summons Filed	02/25/15	DR	9 000				N/A	MB
013000	Answer to Counterclaim	02/26/15	DR	FLT00	1			N/A	MB

Num/Seq Description Filed Received Party Routed Ruling Closed User ID ------014000 Notice of Appearance 03/13/15 DRG 000 N/A MB 015000 Application to Proceed in Informa 03/19/15 DRG OTH001 Ruled 05/09/16 N/A MB Pauperis 016000 Answer to Counterclaim 03/23/15 DRG 000 N/A MB 017000 Order to Proceed in Forma Pauperis 03/23/15 DRG OTH001 N/A MB 018000 Certificate of Service 03/30/15 DRG PLT001 N/A MB 019000 Notice of Association of Counsel 04/13/15 DRG PLT001 N/A MB DRG 000 N/A MB 020000 Order 04/14/15 TWG PLT001 DG DG 021000 Notice of 16.1 Early Case Conference 05/14/15 TWG PLT001 HC HC 022000 Demand for Jury Trial 06/03/15 023000 Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave to 06/15/15 HC HC TWG PLT001 Amend Complaint DG DG 024000 Order Transferring Case to Dept. I 07/01/15 TWG 000 DG DG 025000 Notice of Peremptory Challenge of Judge 07/16/15 NTY DEF001 026000 Request For Assignment of Judge NTY 000 07/20/15 MB MB 027000 Memorandum of Temporary Assignment 07/24/15 NTY 000 TWG DEFO01 DG DG 028000 Joint Case Conference Report 08/12/15 Filed by DEF001-Spencer, Jeffrey D., OTH001-Kinion, Mary Ellen, PLT001-Klementi, Helmut NTY 000 MB MB 029000 Order 09/09/15 030000 Scheduling Order 10/12/15 NTY 000 MB MB NTY 000 031000 Order Setting Trial 10/12/15 MR MR KW KW 11/25/15 TWG OTH001 032000 Notice of Appearance 06/01/16 N/A KW 033000 Motion For Substitution of Counsel 01/08/16 NTY DEF001 Ruled 02/09/16 TWG OTHO01 MB MB 034000 Answer to Counterclaim TWG PLT001 MB MB 035000 Notice of Association of Counsel 04/04/16 TWG PLT001 MB MB 036000 Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint 04/12/16 TBA 000 MB MB 04/13/16 037000

Num/Seq	Description	Filed	Received	Party	Routed	Ruling		Closed	Use	r ID
038000	Third-Party Defendant Mary Kinion's Motion for Summary Judgment	04/22/16	TWG	OTH001					MB	MB
039000		04/25/16	TBA	000					MΒ	МВ
040000	Demand for Prior Pleadings and Discovery	04/25/16	TWG	OTH001					нС	HC
041000		04/26/16	TBA	000					нС	НС
042000	Notice of Withdrawal of Counsel Laub & Laub	05/09/16	NTY	PLT001					нС	НС
043000	Order	05/09/16	TWG	000					MB	МВ
044000	Joinder to Third-Party Defendant Mary Kinion's Motion for Summary Judgmen	05/09/16 t	TWG	OTH001					МВ	MB
045000	Substitution of Attorney	05/09/16	TWG	PLT001					MB	МВ
046000	Notice of Non-Opposition to Helmut Klementi's Motion for Leave to File Amend	05/13/16 ded Compla		PLT001			1		нс	НC
047000	Notice of Appearance	05/18/16	TWG	DEF001					нс	НC
048000	Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment	05/18/16	TWG	DEF001					НС	НC
049000	Request for Submission of Motion for Substitution of Counsel	05/20/16	TWG	DEF001			1		МВ	МВ
050000	Reply in Support of Third-Party Defendant Mary Kinion's Motion for Summa:	05/23/16 ry Judgme:	TWG	OTH001					DG	DG
051000	Order	06/01/16	TWG	000			1		MB	МВ
052000	Defendant's Motion to Compel Response to Subpoena Duces Tecum	07/05/16	TWG	DEF001					МВ	МВ
053000		07/06/16	TBA	000					МВ	МВ
054000	Defendant's Designation of Expert Witnesses	07/13/16	TWG	DEF001			1		KW	KW
055000	Douglas County's Opposition to Defendant Motion to Compel Response to Subpoena Du Motion to Quash Subpoena			000					N/A	KW
056000	Defendant's Reply to Motion to Compel Response to Subpoena Duces Tecum	08/01/16	TWG	DEF001					KW	ĸw

AN AN

075000 Transcript of Proceedings (Hearing) 02/01/17

Closed User ID ------KW KW N/A KW KW KW N/A KW KW KW NTY 000 071000 Order Setting Hearing and Vacating KW KW 11/02/16 Trial Dates Scheduled for December 2016 072000 Order 12/15/16 SRK 000 DG DG 073000 Order Granting Helmut Klementi's Motion 12/15/16 SRK 000 DG DG For Leave to Amend a Complaint NTY DEF001 074000 Supplemental Opposition to Motion for 01/30/17 DG DG Summary Judgment

NTY 000

Page:

Filed Received Num/Seq Description Party Routed Ruling Closed User ID 076000 Amended Notice of Taking Depositions 02/09/17 NTY PLT001 KW KW 077000 Answer to Amended Complaint & Amended 03/03/17 NTY DEF001 AN AN Counterclaim and Third Party Complaint 078000 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements 03/21/17 NTY OTHO01 AN AN NTY OTHO01 AN AN 079000 Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs 03/21/17 03/21/17 TBA 000 AN AN 080000 TWG OTHO01 AN AN 081000 Answer to Amended Counterclaim and Third 03/24/17 Party Complaint 082000 Answer to Amended Counterclaim and Third 03/24/17 AN AN Party Complaint Filed by TPD001-Klementi, Egon, TPD002-Klementi, Elfriede AN AN 083000 Opposition to Motion for Attorney's Fees 03/27/17 TWG DEF001 & Costs & to Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements 04/03/17 TWG 000 AN AN 084000 Order 085000 Plaintiff Helmut Klementi's Motion for 04/03/17 NTY PLT001 AN AN Preferential Trial Setting AN AN 04/04/17 TBA 000 086000 AN AN 04/05/17 NTY OTHO01 087000 Reply in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs TWG OTHO01 AN AN 04/05/17 088000 Counterdefendant's Motion to Compel Response to Subpoena Duces Tecum TBA 000 AN AN 04/06/17 089000 AN AN NTY 000 090000 Order Granting Helmut Klementi's Motion 04/07/17 for Preferential Trial Setting AN AN 04/21/17 NTY PLT001 091000 Notice of Entry of Order AN AN 04/26/17 SRK PLT001 092000 Plaintiff/Counterdefendant Helmut Klementi's Motion to Bifurcate Trial AN AN TBA 000 093000 04/27/17 AN AN NTY PLT001 094000 Notice to Set Trial 04/27/17 AN AN NTY PLT001 095000 Exparte Motion for Order Shortening 04/27/17 Time

Num/Seq	Description	Filed	Received		Party	Routed	Ruling	Closed	Use	er ID
096000		04/27/17	Т	BA	000				AN	AN
097000	Defendant Jeff Spencer's Opposition to Counterclaimant's Motion to Bifurcate Tr		N	TY	DEF001				AN	AN
098000	Motion to Bifurcate Filed by OTH001-Kinion, Mary Ellen, TPD0 TPD002-Klementi, Elfriede	05/03/17 01-Klement		TY	OTH001			! 	AN	AN
099000		05/03/17	Т	BA	000			1 1 1	AN	AN
100000	Plaintiff/Counterdefendant Helmut Klementi's Reply in Support of Motion to	05/04/17 Bifurcate		TY	PLT001				AN	AN
101000	Order	05/04/17	N	TY	000				AN	AN
102000	Counterclaimant's Opposition to Helmut Klementi's Motion to Bifurcate Trial	05/04/17	N	TY	DEF001			: : :	AN	AN
103000	Order	05/08/17	N	TY	000			1	AN	AN
104000	Defendant Jeffrey D. Spencer's Motion to Continue Trial	05/12/17	N	TY	DEF001				KW	KW
105000		05/12/17	Т	ва	000			<u> </u>	KW	KW
106000	Defendant Jeffrey D. Spencer's Ex-Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time	05/12/17	N	TY	DEF001			1	KW	KW
107000		05/12/17	Т	BA	000			:	KW	KW
108000	Summons Issued (Peter Shaw)	05/15/17	N	TY	DEF001				AN	AN
109000	Summons Issued (Rowena Shaw)	05/15/17	N	TY	DEF001			i	AN	AN
110000	Notice of Entry of Order Filed by TPD001-Klementi, Egon, TPD002-K	05/15/17 lementi, 1		TY	TPD001				AN	AN
111000	Affidavit of Personal Service	05/18/17	N	ΤY	000				AN	AN
113000		05/23/17	Т	ВА	000			I	AN	AN
114000	Affidavit of Service	06/15/17	И	TY	DEF001				AN	AN
115000	Affidavit of Service	06/15/17	И	TY	DEF001				AN	AÑ
116000	Defendant Rowena Shaw and Peter Shaw's Answer to Defendant/Counter-Claimant/Thi Jeffrey Spencer's Amended Counterclaim a Filed by DEF002-Shaw, Rowena, DEF003-Sha	nd Third	Plaintiff		DEF002				AN	AN
		,								

Num/Seq	Description	Filed	Received	Party	Routed	Ruling	Closed	Use	r ID
117000	Request for Trial Setting	08/01/17	NTY	OTH001			! !	нс	нс
118000	Order (Calendar Call)	09/05/17	NTY	000			•	KW	KW
119000	Amended Order (Calendar Call)	09/06/17	NTY	000				KW	KW
120000	Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice Filed by DEF001-Spencer, Jeffrey D., DEF DEF003-Shaw, Peter, OTH001-Kinion, Mary Helmut, TPD001-Klementi, Egon, TPD002-Kl	002-Shaw, Ellen, PI	Rowena, T001-Klementi	DEF001				DG	DG
121000	Information Questionnaire	09/13/17	ИТУ	PLT001				DG	DG
122000	Information Questionnaire Filed by OTH001-Kinion, Mary Ellen, PLTC TPD001-Klementi, Egon, TPD002-Klementi,			OTH001				DG	DG
123000	Information Questionnaire	09/14/17	NTY	DEF001	-			DG	DG
124000	Order Setting Trial	09/19/17	, NTY	000				DG	DG
126000	Scheduling Order	09/19/17	NTY	000			I	DG	DG
125000		09/20/1	7 TBA	000			1	DG	DG
127000	Information Questionnaire Filed by DEF002-Shaw, Rowena, DEF003-Shaw	09/20/1 aw, Peter	7 NTY	DEF00	2		1	DG	DG
128000	Order	10/17/1	7 NTY	000			I	DG	DG
129000		10/18/1	7 TBA	000			!	DG	DG
130000	Order	10/19/1	7 NTY	000			! !	AN	AN
131000		10/19/1	7 TB A	000				AN	AN
132000	Notice of Entry of Order Filed by TPD001-Klementi, Egon, TPD002-	10/26/1 Klementi,		TPD00	1		' !	DG	DG
133000	Suggestion of Death on the Record	11/16/1	7 TWO	PLT00	1		 	DG	DG
134000	Motion for Order to Show Cause	01/12/1	8 SRI	OTH00	1	Ruled	: 02/16/1	8 DG	ВН
135000		01/16/1	8 TB#	000				DG	DG
136000	Order	02/26/1	8 NTY	000			·	НC	нС
138000	Third Party Defendant Rowena Shaw and Peter Shaw's Motion for Summary Judgmen Filed by DEF003-Shaw, Peter, DEF002-Sha			DEF00	3			МВ	MΒ

14-CV-00260-DC Date: 12/18/18 Time: 10:19 Page: Num/Seq Description Filed Received Party Routed Ruling Closed User ID ------140000 Order 02/26/18 NTY 000 MB MB 137000 02/27/18 TBA 000 HC HC 139000 02/27/18 TBA 000 MB MB 141000 Response To Motion for Order to Show 03/01/18 TWG DEF001 MB MB Cause 142000 Request for Order to Set Settlement 03/01/18 TWG DEF001 MB MB Conferences & to Pend Further Pleading 143000 Notice of Association of Counsel 03/07/18 NTY PLT001 AN AN 144000 Counter-Defendant Helmut Klementi's 03/07/18 NTY PLT001 AN AN Answer to Amended Counterclaim and Third-Party Complaint 145000 Joinder to Motion for Summary Judgment 03/12/18 NTY TPD002 MR MR Filed by TPD002-Klementi, Elfriede, OTH001-Kinion, Mary Ellen 146000 Order 03/14/18 SRK 000 AN AN 147000 03/15/18 TBA 000 148000 Response To Motion for Summary Judgment 03/28/18 TWG DEF001 MB MB Filed by DEF001-Spencer, Jeffrey D., DEF002-Shaw, Rowena, DEF003-Shaw, Peter 149000 Reply in Support of Third-Party 04/10/18 MB MB Defendant Mary Kinion's Motion for Summary Judgment Filed by OTH001-Kinion, Mary Ellen, TPD002-Klementi, Elfriede 150000 Counter-Defendant Helmut Klementi's 04/12/18 NTY PLT001 MB MB Motion for Summary Judgment on all Counterclaims 151000 04/13/18 TBA 000 мв мв 152000 Third-Party Defendant Kinion's Motion 04/24/18 AN AN for Summary Judgment and Joinder in Helmut Klementi's Motion for Summary Judgment 153000 04/24/18 TBA 000 AN AN 154000 Third-Party Defendant Elfride Klementi's 04/24/18 AN AN Motion for Summary Judgment and Joinder in Helmut Klementi's Motion for Summary Judgment 155000 04/24/18 TBA 000 04/24/18 NTY OTHO01 AN AN 156000 Third-Party Defendant's Motion for Sanctions Based on Spoliation of Evidence

Page: 11

14-CV-00260-DC Date: 12/18/18 Time: 10:19

Filed by OTH001-Kinion, Mary Ellen, TPD002-Klementi, Elfriede

Num/Se	q Description	Filed	Received	Party	Routed Ruling	Closed	Use	r ID
157000		04/24/18	TBA	000			AN	AN
158000	Request for Submission Filed by DEF003-Shaw, Peter, DEF002-Shaw	05/14/18 , Rowena	TWG	DEF003		ч	MB	МВ
159000	Joinder to Motion for Sanctions	05/18/18	NTY	PLT001		I	AN	AN
160000	Joinder to Third-Party Defendant Mary Kinion's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Ex	05/25/18 pert Witn		TPD002 n			ΑN	AN
161000	Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Expert Witness Designation	05/25/18	SRK	OTH001			AN	AN
162000		05/25/18	TBA	000		1	AN	AN
163000	Joinder to Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Expert Witness Designation	06/01/18	NTY	PLT001			AN	AN
164000	Video Exhibit in Support of Response to Motions for Summary Judgment & to Motion Spoilation of Evidence			DEF001			DG	DG
165000	Response To Motion for Summary Judgment	06/05/18	SRK	DEF001		· !	DG	DG
166000	Responses To Motion for Sanctions Based on Spoilation of Evidence	06/05/18	SRK	DEF001			DG	DG
167000	Response To Motion for Summary Judgment	06/05/18	SRK	DEF001			DG	DG
168000	Response To Motion for Summary Judgment	06/05/18	SRK	DEF001			DG	DG
169000	Amended Certificate of Service	06/05/18	SRK	DEF001			DG	DG
170000	Third Party Defendant Rowena Shaw and	06/11/18	SRK	DEF002			MB	MB
	Peter Shaw's Joinder to Third Party Defe Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Expert Witn Filed by DEF002-Shaw, Rowena, DEF003-Sha	ess Deleg		's				
171000	Elfriede Klementi's Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	06/13/18	SRK	TPD002			AN	AN
172000	Reply in Support of Third-Party Defendant's Motion for Sanctions Based of Filed by OTH001-Kinion, Mary Ellen, TPD0	_	ion of Evidenc	OTH001 e		!	AN	AN
173000	Reply in Support of Third-Party Defendant Mary Kinion's Motion for Summa	06/13/18 ry Judgme		OTH001			AN	AN
174000	Order	06/13/18	NTY	000			AN	AN

12

Page:

14-CV-00260-DC Date: 12/18/18 Time: 10:19 Page: 13

Num/Seq	Description	Filed	Received	Party	Routed	Ruling	· ·	Closed	Use	r ID
198000	Counter-Defendant Helmut Klementi's Verified Memorandum of Costs	09/10/18	SRK	PLT001	·				AN	AN
199000	Notice of Appeal	09/17/18	SRK	DEF001					AN	AN
200000	Case Appeal Statement	09/17/18	SRK	DEF001					AN	AN
201000	Counter-Defendant Helmut Klementi's Motion for Attorney's Fees	09/20/18	NTY	PLT001			i		AN	AN
202000		09/21/18	TBA	000			1		AN	AN
203000	Request for Submission	09/27/18	SRK	TPD002					AN	AN
204000	Request for Submission	09/27/18	SRK	OTH001					AN	AN
205000	Notice of Entry of Order Filed by DEF003-Shaw, Peter, DEF002-Shaw	09/28/18 , Rowena	SRK	DEF003					AN	AN
206000	Receipt for Documents (Supreme Court)	10/03/18	SRK	000					МВ	MB
207000	Request for Submission	10/12/18	NTY	PLT001					AN	AN
208000	Affidavit of Mailing	10/18/18	YTY	PLT001					MB	MB
209000	Order	11/05/18	SRK	000					AN	AN
210000		11/06/18	TBA	000					AN	AN
211000	Transcript Request	11/07/18	SRK	DEF001					AN	AN
212000	Transcript Request	11/07/18	SRK	000			1		AN	AN
213000	Notice of Entry of Order	11/19/18	SRK	PLT001			<u>.</u>		AN	AN
214000	Request for Entry of Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 58 and NRS 17.130	11/28/18	SRK	PLT001					AN	AN
215000		11/28/18	TBA	000					AN	AN
216000	Third-Party Defendant Elfriede Kelemti's Request for Entry of Judgment Pursuant to			TPD002			1		AN	AN
217000		12/06/18	TBA	000					AN	AN
218000	Third-Party Defendant Mayr Ellen Kinion's Request for Entry of Judgment Po NRS 17.130	12/06/18 ursuant to		OTH001					AN	AN
219000		12/06/18	TBA	000					AN	AN

14-CV-00260-DC Date: 12/18/18 Time: 10:19 Page: 14

Num/Seq Description	Filed Received	Party Routed	Ruling	Closed	User	: ID
220000 Notice of Appeal	12/17/18	SRK DEF001			AN	AN
221000 Case Appeal Statement - Appeal From Attorneys' Fees Orders	12/17/18	SRK DEF001			AN	AN
222000 Transcript of Proceedings (1/30/17)	12/17/18	SRK 000	1		AN	AN

TICKLE

Code Tickle	Name	Status	Expires	#Days	AutoExpire	GoAhead	From	Type
PMON Run Mon	thly Reports	OPEN	07/03/15	30	ves	no	DDJT	D

RECEIVED Case No. 14-CV-0260 FILED NOV 05 2018 Dept. No. I Douglas County 2018 NOV -5 PM 3: 28 District Court Clerk 3 GCBBIE R. VILLIAMS 4 5 IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF N 6 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS 7 HELMUT KLEMENTI, 8 9 Plaintiff, 10 JEFFREY D. SPENCER & DOES 1-5, 11 Desendant. 12 JEFFREY D. SPENCER. 13 Counterclaimant, ORDER 14 HELMUT KLEMENTI, an individual, 15 EGON KLEMENTI, an individual, ELFRIEDE KLEMENTI, an individual, MARY ELLEN KINION, an individual, ROWENA SHAW, an individual, PETER SHAW, an individual, 17 & DOES 1-5. 18 Counterdefendants & 19 Third Party Defendants. 20 THIS MATTER comes before the court upon three unopposed motions for attorney fees 21 following entry of summary judgment. All three motions rely upon NRS 18.010(2)(b) as au nority 22 for issuing an award of attorney's fees. The moving parties also have provided their memor, and of 23 costs; no objection or motion to retax costs has been received. Having now examined all relevant pleadings and papers on file herein, the court eners the 24 25 following order, good cause appearing: THAT the unopposed motions are GRANTED; costs are also awarded as set forth herein. 26 27 Nevada Revised Statute 18.010(2)(b) provides that "the court may make an allowance of attorney's fees to a prevailing party:"

Without regard to the recovery sought, when the court finds that the claim, counterclaim, cross-claim or third-party complaint or defense of the opposing party was brought or maintained without reasonable ground or to harass the prevailing party. The court shall liberally construct he provisions of this paragraph in favor of awarding attorney's fees in all appropriate situations.

Furthermore, DCR 13(3) notes that "failure of the opposing party to serve and file his written opposition may be construed as an admission that [a] motion is meritorious and a consent to granting the same." The court construes Jeffrey Spencer's failure to oppose the motions as a concession that his counterclaims should not have been brought given the applicable privileges and the lack of admissible evidence produced, as reflected within the written orders issued following the summary judgment hearing of July 12, 2018. As reflected within those resulting written orders, Jeffrey Spencer's counterclaims were not alleged upon reasonable ground. Liberally construing NRS 18.010(2)(b), and hearing no objection via opposition to the motions, the court finds awarding movants' attorney's fees appropriate for having to defend against Jeffrey Spencer's counterclaims and third party claims.

Counter-Defendant Helmut Klementi's Motion for Attorney's Fees

An Order Granting Counter-Defendant Helmut Klementi's Motion for Summary Judgment on All Claims was entered on August 23, 2018, following oral argument heard on July 12, 2018.

After ruling from the bench, the court invited motions for attorney's fees, emphasizing that any amount sought should be reasonable. Helmut Klementi's motion seeks an award of \$30,000.00, reducing the amount actually billed by his attorney's from \$48,787.00.

In determining whether an award of attorneys' fees is reasonable, four factors are to be considered, as provided within *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31, 33 (1969):

- 1. Professional Qualities: The law firm of Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg is a well-established firm, having practiced in many different areas of law in Northern Nevada for decades. As attached to the motion, the resumes of the three attorneys representing Helmut Klementi's interests in this matter speak for themselves, reflecting qualified and well-trained advocates and litigators.
 - 2. Character Of Work To Be Done: Obtaining entry of summary judgment successfully

resolving causes of action for defamation, malicious prosecution, civil conspiracy, punitive damages, and intentional infliction of emotional distress presents a challenge for any attorney, requiring gathering of factual support during the discovery process and the application of the law to those facts, conveyed concisely via advocacy set forth before the court in writing and during oral argument.

- 3. The Work Actually Performed: Based upon the quality of the analysis and advocacy contained within the pleadings and presented on behalf of Helmut Klementi during oral arguments, both of which have been observed by the court, the court finds the work presented on behalf of Helmut Klementi to be excellent.
- 4. The Result Obtained: Summary judgment was entered entirely in favor of Helmut Klementi, a high value achievement by counsel.

Furthermore, as reflected within the billing attached to the motion, billing nearly 300 hours results in a more than reasonable rate of \$100 per hour to reach the \$30,000.00 total requested. Paralegals now often bill at a rate of more than \$100 per hour, further demonstrating the inherent reasonableness of the award sought for having to defend against Jeffrey Spencer's unfounded counterclaims. Three attorneys billing a total of two and a half weeks each during the course of a nearly four year old case is not unexpected given the nature of the counterclaims; Jeffrey Spencer himself retained multiple attorneys. Therefore, balancing all the factors set forth above, as well as the overall reasonableness of the fee requested, the full \$30,000.00 is awarded to Helmut Klementi.

Regarding Helmut Klementi's memorandum of costs filed on September 10, 2018, NRS 18.020(3) requires costs be allowed to the prevailing party against any adverse party against whom judgment is rendered in an action for the recovery of money or damages, where the plaintiff seeks to recover more than \$2,500. Reviewing the memorandum of costs from the prevailing party, without opposition or a motion to retax costs the court accepts all costs presented as falling within the definitions provided within NRS 18.005, including the settlement conference related fee constituting a reasonable and necessary expense pursuant to NRS 18.005(17). The presented costs total \$12,820.30, the full amount of which are also awarded to Helmut Klementi.

Third Party Defendants' Motions for Attorney's Fees and Costs

Third party defendants Elfriede Klementi and Mary Ellen Kinion seek an award of roughly \$20,000 each for fees incurred during this round of motion practice resulting in the entry of summary judgment against third party plaintiff Jeffrey Spencer. This is in addition to the award of attorney's fees issued previously in favor of Mary Ellen Kinion in the amount of \$14,870.00.

As stated previously regarding the same counsel while issuing the earlier award benefiting Mary Ellen Kinion:

- 1. Professional Qualities: The law firm of Glogovac & Pintar is known to practice regularly and successfully in the State of Nevada, serving clients well during formal litigation of disputes. Based upon the quality of the pleadings contained within the record and the breadth of knowledge required to properly conduct the motion practice and defense conducted in this matter, the court finds the professional qualities of the primary billing attorney, Michael Pintar, as well as the law firm of Glogovac & Pintar, to be quite satisfactory and reasonable, particularly considering the maximum billing rate of only \$150.00 per hour or less reflected within the supporting affidavit from counsel.
- 2. Character Of Work To Be Done: The motions for summary judgment, opposition, reply, and supporting documentation reflect the substance of the disputes between the parties, with the nature of the matter being important to both sides. The legal work necessary consisted of conducting and participating in contested litigation, which in turn required legal analysis and research in preparation for, and specific to, this matter as it has progressed now to the conclusion of the matter. Motion practice is an acquired skill possessed by the parties' counsel, including the presentation of oral arguments during multiple hearings in this instance. Pursuit of discovery in factual support of the analyses presented has also been necessary.
- 3. The Work Actually Performed: Based upon the court's observations during oral argument and while analyzing the substance of the pleadings during the course of the most recent motion practice, the court finds the work presented by Glogovac & Pintar to continually be excellent and reasonable.
 - 4. The Result Obtained: After pursuit of discovery, submission of written briefs, and oral

arguments in open court, summary judgment was entered against Jeffrey Spencer regarding all of his remaining claims. Entry of summary judgment entirely resolving a case is a result not often achieved in litigation practice.

"[G]ood judgment would dictate that each of these factors be given consideration by the trier of fact and that no one element should predominate or be given undue weight." *Brunzell*, 85 Nev. at 349, 455 P.2d at 33. Considering the subject matter presented during the motion practice, the quality and character of the work, the work actually performed, and the result achieved, the court finds the amount of attorney's fees now requested to be reasonable and in accordance with the *Brunzell* factors.

Furthermore, comparing the billing in support of the two motions, along with the billing supporting the prior award of attorney's fees, the attorney appears to have split his billing appropriately where work overlapped, with no recurring bills from the prior award being present. The same holds true for costs also sought.

Regarding the requested award of costs, NRS 18.020(3) requires costs be allowed to the prevailing party against any adverse party against whom judgment is rendered in an action for the recovery of money or damages, where the plaintiff seeks to recover more than \$2,500. Reviewing the two memoranda of costs, without opposition or a motion to retax costs, the court accepts all costs presented pursuant to the definitions contained within NRS 18.005, including the settlement conference related court reporter fees as a reasonable and necessary expense pursuant to NRS 18.005(17) and NRS 18.005(8).

Therefore, Mary Ellen Kinion is awarded her costs of \$601.23, separate from the costs awarded previously, and attorney's fees in the amount of \$20,398.50 in addition to the \$14,870.00 awarded previously. Elfriede Klementi is awarded her costs of \$581.23 and attorney's fees in the amount of \$20,500.00.

Conclusion

With no basis factually or legally to bring his claims, the court finds and concludes that Jeffrey Spencer's counterclaims and third party claims were alleged without reasonable basis.

Therefore, pursuant to NRS 18.010(2)(b), reasonable attorney's fees have been awarded to the

I	prevailing parties as set forth herein. Costs have also been awarded pursuant to NRS 18.020(3).
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Dated this 2 day of November, 2018.
4	
5	STEVEN R. KOSACTIVILLA
6	Senior District/Judge
7	
8	Copies served by mail this day of November, 2018, to:
9	Douglas R. Brown, Esq. Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg
	6005 Plumas St., 3 rd Floor Reno, NV 89519
11	David Zaniel, Esq.
12	Ranalli & Zaniel, LLC 50 W. Liberty St., Ste. 1050 Reno, NV 89509
13	
14	Michael A. Pintar, Esq. Glogovac & Pintar 427 West Plumb Lane
15	Reno, NV 89509
16	Tanika M. Capers, Esq. 6750 Via Austi Parkway, Ste. 310
17	Las Vegas, NV 89119
18	Kerry S. Doyle, Esq. 4600 Kietzke Ln., Ste. I-207
19	Reno, NV 89502
20	Jeffrey D. Spencer P.O. Box 2326
21	Stateline, NV 89449
22	Judicial Executive Assistant
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Case No. 14-CV-0260 RECEIVED	FILED	
2	Dept. No. 1 NOV 1 9 2018	2018 NOV 19 AM 10: 30	
3	Douglas County District Court Clerk	002818 R 19 AM 18: 30	
4		BY ANDWAY	
5		O 31 7 IV TO THE WAY	
6	IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS		
8	HELMUT KLEMENTI,	:	
9	Plaintiff,		
10	vs.		
11	JEFFREY D. SPENCER,		
12	Defendant JEFFREY D. SPENCER,		
13	Counterclaimant,	;	
14	VS.		
1 5	HELMUT KLEMENTI, an individual, EGON		
16 17	KLEMENTI, an individual, EGON KLEMENTI, an individual, MARY ELLEN KINION, an individual, and DOES 1-5		
18	Counterdefendants.		
19	NOTICE OF EN	TRY OF ORDER	
20	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an <i>Order</i> was entered November 5, 2018, that granted the		
21	following: Counter-defendant HELMUT KLEMENTI's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Verified		
22	Memorandum of Costs, Third-Party Defendant ELFRIEDE KLEMENTI's Motion for Attorneys		
23	Fees and Costs, and Third-Party Defendant MARY ELLEN KINION's Motion for Attorneys' Fee		
24	and Costs.		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	1///	:	

LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG 6005 PLUMAS ST. THIRD FLOOR RENU, NV 89519 (775) 786-6868

A copy of said Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated: November 10, 2018.

Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg 6005 Plumas Street, Third Floor Reno, Nevada 89519 (775) 786-6868

By:

Douglas R. Brown, Esq. Christian L. Moore, Esq. Sarah M. Molleck, Esq. Attorneys for Counter-Defendant Helmut Klementi

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg and that on November 16, 2018, I deposited in the United States Mail, with postage fully prepaid, a true and correct copy of the within NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER, addressed to the following:

Jeffrey D. Spencer P. O. Box 2326 Stateline, Nevada 89449 In Pro Per

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Kerry S. Doyle, Esq. 4600 Kietzke Lane, Suite I-207 Reno, Nevada 89502 Attorney for Jeffrey Spencer

David M. Zaniel, Esq. Ranalli & Zaniel, LLC 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 1050 Reno, Nevada 89501 Attorney for Jeffrey Spencer Michael A. Pintar, Esq.
Glogovac & Pintar |
427 West Plumb Lane
Reno, Nevada 89509
Attorney for Mary Ellen Kinion,
Egon Klementi and Elfriede Klementi

Tanika Capers, Esq.
6750 Via Austi Parkway, Suite 310
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
Attorneys for Rowena Shaw and Peter Shaw

Susan H. Dairs

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

RECEIVED

NOV 05 2018 Case No. 14-CV-0260 2018 MOV -5 PH 3: 29
A. NEWTON LIAMS Douglas County District Court Clerk 2 Dept. No. I 3 4 5 IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA REPUTY 6 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS 7 HELMUT KLEMENTI, 8 9 Plaintiff, VS. 10 JEFFREY D. SPENCER & DOES 1-5, 11 Defendant. 12 JEFFREY D. SPENCER, 13 Counterclaimant, ORDER 14 15 | HELMUT KLEMENTI, an individual, EGON KLEMENTI, an individual, ELFRIEDE 16 KLEMENTI, an individual, MARY ELLEN KINION, an individual, ROWENA SHAW, an individual, PETER SHAW, an individual, 17 & DOES 1-5, 18 Counterdefendants & 19 Third Party Defendants. 20 THIS MATTER comes before the court upon three unopposed motions for attorney. fees following entry of summary judgment. All three motions rely upon NRS 18.010(2)(b) as autority 21 22 for issuing an award of attorney's fees. The moving parties also have provided their memoranda of costs; no objection or motion to retax costs has been received. 24 Having now examined all relevant pleadings and papers on file herein, the court enters the following order, good cause appearing: 26 THAT the unopposed motions are GRANTED; costs are also awarded as set forth herein. 27 Nevada Revised Statute 18.010(2)(b) provides that "the court may make an allowance of 28 attorney's fees to a prevailing party:"

Without regard to the recovery sought, when the court finds that the claim, counterclaim, cross-claim or third-party complaint or defense of the opposing party was brought or maintained without reasonable ground or to harass the prevailing party. The court shall liberally construe the provisions of this paragraph in favor of awarding attorney's fees in all appropriate situations.

Furthermore, DCR 13(3) notes that "failure of the opposing party to serve and file his written opposition may be construed as an admission that [a] motion is meritorious and a consent to granting the same." The court construes Jeffrey Spencer's failure to oppose the motions as a concession that his counterclaims should not have been brought given the applicable privileges and the lack of admissible evidence produced, as reflected within the written orders issued following the summary judgment hearing of July 12, 2018. As reflected within those resulting written orders, Jeffrey Spencer's counterclaims were not alleged upon reasonable ground. Liberally construing NRS 18.010(2)(b), and hearing no objection via opposition to the motions, the court finds awarding movants' attorney's fees appropriate for having to defend against Jeffrey Spencer's counterclaims and third party claims.

Counter-Defendant Helmut Klementi's Motion for Attorney's Fees

An Order Granting Counter-Defendant Helmut Klementi's Motion for Summary Judgment on All Claims was entered on August 23, 2018, following oral argument heard on July 12, 2018.

After ruling from the bench, the court invited motions for attorney's fees, emphasizing that any amount sought should be reasonable. Helmut Klementi's motion seeks an award of \$30,000.00, reducing the amount actually billed by his attorney's from \$48,787.00.

In determining whether an award of attorneys' fees is reasonable, four factors are to be considered, as provided within *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31, 33 (1969):

- 1. Professional Qualities: The law firm of Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg is a well-established firm, having practiced in many different areas of law in Northern Nev ada for decades. As attached to the motion, the resumes of the three attorneys representing Helmut Klementi's interests in this matter speak for themselves, reflecting qualified and well-trained advocates and litigators.
 - 2. Character Of Work To Be Done: Obtaining entry of summary judgment successfully

resolving causes of action for defamation, malicious prosecution, civil conspiracy, punitive

damages, and intentional infliction of emotional distress presents a challenge for any attorney,

requiring gathering of factual support during the discovery process and the application of the law to

those facts, conveyed concisely via advocacy set forth before the court in writing and during oral

argument.

- 3. The Work Actually Performed: Based upon the quality of the analysis and advocacy contained within the pleadings and presented on behalf of Helmut Klementi during oral arguments, both of which have been observed by the court, the court finds the work presented on behalf of Helmut Klementi to be excellent.
- 4. The Result Obtained: Summary judgment was entered entirely in favor of Helmut Klementi, a high value achievement by counsel.

Furthermore, as reflected within the billing attached to the motion, billing nearly 300 hours results in a more than reasonable rate of \$100 per hour to reach the \$30,000.00 total requested.

Paralegals now often bill at a rate of more than \$100 per hour, further demonstrating the inherent reasonableness of the award sought for having to defend against Jeffrey Spencer's unfounded counterclaims. Three attorneys billing a total of two and a half weeks each during the course of a nearly four year old case is not unexpected given the nature of the counterclaims; Jeffrey Spencer himself retained multiple attorneys. Therefore, balancing all the factors set forth above, as well as the overall reasonableness of the fee requested, the full \$30,000.00 is awarded to Helmut Klementi.

Regarding Helmut Klementi's memorandum of costs filed on September 10, 2018, NRS 18.020(3) requires costs be allowed to the prevailing party against any adverse party against whom judgment is rendered in an action for the recovery of money or damages, where the plaintiff seeks to recover more than \$2,500. Reviewing the memorandum of costs from the prevailing party, without opposition or a motion to retax costs the court accepts all costs presented as falling within the definitions provided within NRS 18.005, including the settlement conference related fee constituting a reasonable and necessary expense pursuant to NRS 18.005(17). The presented costs total \$12,820.30, the full amount of which are also awarded to Helmut Klementi.

28 / / /

Third Party Defendants' Motions for Attorney's Fees and Costs

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

15

16

18

20

21

23

24

25

27

28

Third party defendants Elfriede Klementi and Mary Ellen Kinion seek an award of roughly \$20,000 each for fees incurred during this round of motion practice resulting in the entry of summary judgment against third party plaintiff Jeffrey Spencer. This is in addition to the award of attorney's fees issued previously in favor of Mary Ellen Kinion in the amount of \$14,870.00.

As stated previously regarding the same counsel while issuing the earlier award benefiting Mary Ellen Kinion:

- 1. Professional Qualities: The law firm of Glogovac & Pintar is known to practice regularly and successfully in the State of Nevada, serving clients well during formal litigation of disputes. Based upon the quality of the pleadings contained within the record and the breadth of knowledge required to properly conduct the motion practice and defense conducted in this matter, 12 the court finds the professional qualities of the primary billing attorney, Michael Pintar, as well as the law firm of Glogovac & Pintar, to be quite satisfactory and reasonable, particularly considering the maximum billing rate of only \$150.00 per hour or less reflected within the supporting affidavit from counsel.
 - 2. Character Of Work To Be Done: The motions for summary judgment, opposition, reply, and supporting documentation reflect the substance of the disputes between the parties, with the nature of the matter being important to both sides. The legal work necessary consisted of conducting and participating in contested litigation, which in turn required legal analysis and research in preparation for, and specific to, this matter as it has progressed now to the conclusion of the matter. Motion practice is an acquired skill possessed by the parties' counsel, including the presentation of oral arguments during multiple hearings in this instance. Pursuit of discovery in factual support of the analyses presented has also been necessary.
 - 3. The Work Actually Performed: Based upon the court's observations during oral argument and while analyzing the substance of the pleadings during the course of the most recent motion practice, the court finds the work presented by Glogovac & Pintar to continually be excellent and reasonable.
 - 4. The Result Obtained: After pursuit of discovery, submission of written briefs, and oral

arguments in open court, summary judgment was entered against Jeffrey Spencer regarding all of his remaining claims. Entry of summary judgment entirely resolving a case is a result not often achieved in litigation practice.

"[G]ood judgment would dictate that each of these factors be given consideration by the trier of fact and that no one element should predominate or be given undue weight." *Brunzell*, 85 Nev. at 349, 455 P.2d at 33. Considering the subject matter presented during the motion practice, the quality and character of the work, the work actually performed, and the result achieved, the court finds the amount of attorney's fees now requested to be reasonable and in accordance with the *Brunzell* factors.

Furthermore, comparing the billing in support of the two motions, along with the billing supporting the prior award of attorney's fees, the attorney appears to have split his billing appropriately where work overlapped, with no recurring bills from the prior award being present. The same holds true for costs also sought.

Regarding the requested award of costs, NRS 18.020(3) requires costs be allowed to the prevailing party against any adverse party against whom judgment is rendered in an action for the recovery of money or damages, where the plaintiff seeks to recover more than \$2,500. Reviewing the two memoranda of costs, without opposition or a motion to retax costs, the court accepts all costs presented pursuant to the definitions contained within NRS 18.005, including the settlement conference related court reporter fees as a reasonable and necessary expense pursuant to NRS 18.005(17) and NRS 18.005(8).

Therefore, Mary Ellen Kinion is awarded her costs of \$601.23, separate from the costs awarded previously, and attorney's fees in the amount of \$20,398.50 in addition to the \$14,870.00 awarded previously. Elfriede Klementi is awarded her costs of \$581.23 and attorney's fees in the amount of \$20,500.00.

Conclusion

With no basis factually or legally to bring his claims, the court finds and concludes that Jeffrey Spencer's counterclaims and third party claims were alleged without reasonable basis. Therefore, pursuant to NRS 18.010(2)(b), reasonable attorney's fees have been awarded to the

1	prevailing parties as set forth herein. Costs have also been awarded pursuant to NRS 18.020(3).
. 2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Dated this 2 day of November, 2018.
4	With the state of
5	SHEVEN R. KOSACH
6	Senior District/Judge
7	
8	Copies served by mail this day of November, 2018, to:
9	Douglas R. Brown, Esq. Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg
	6005 Plumas St., 3 rd Floor Reno, NV 89519
11	David Zaniel, Esq.
12	Ranalli & Zaniel, LLC 50 W. Liberty St., Ste. 1050 Reno, NV 89509
13	
14	Michael A. Pintar, Esq. Glogovac & Pintar 427 West Plumb Lane
15	Reno, NV 89509
	Tanika M. Capers, Esq. 6750 Via Austi Parkway, Ste. 310 Las Vegas, NV 89119
18	Kerry S. Doyle, Esq.
19	4600 Kietzke I.n., Ste. I-207 Reno, NV 89502
20	Jeffrey D. Spencer
21	P.O. Box 2326 Stateline, NV 89449
22	Judicial Executive Assistant
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	•

CASE NO.

14-CV-0260

DEPT NO.

I

HELMUT KLEMENTI,

Plaintiff.

PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL:

Douglas R. Brown, ESQ.

v.

JEFFREY D. SPENCER,

Defendant.

DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL:

William Routsis, II, ESQ.

DATE:

12-15-2016

Lynn G. Pierce, ESQ.

David Zaniel, ESQ.

JUDGE:

STEVEN R. KOSACH

CLERK:

Delores Goelz

COURT REPORTER:

Lesley Clarkson

LAW CLERK:

John Seddon

BAILIFFS:

David Nishikida

OTHERS:

Scott Glogavac, ESQ. - counsel for Egon & Elfriede Klementi and

Mary Ellen Kinion

Tanika M. Capers, ESQ. - counsel for Rowena & Peter Shaw

The above-entitled matter was before the Court this being the time set by the Court for a hearing on PRE-TRIAL PENDING MOTIONS. The plaintiffs were present in Court and represented by counsel.

EXHIBITS MARKED:

1

Mr. Zaniel informed the Court that Defendant's Motion to Compel Response to Subpoena Duces Tecum will be withdrawn with prejudice. Prior to the hearing, Mr. Zaniel met with Deputy District Attorney, Zach Wadle and an agreement was reached where the District Attorney's Office will produce all documents requested.

Mr. Zaniel requested that the hard drive containing footage from Mr. Spencer's camera be produced.

Counsel agrees to give the hard drive to Mr. Brown today.

The Court will view the hard drive in camera for relevance.

The Court signed an Order Granting Helmut Klementi's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint.

The Court directed the parties to refrain from filing any answers until the Court has ruled on other pending motions.

The Court withhold a ruling on the Motion for Summary Judgment and the Motion to Amend Counterclaim & Third Party Complaint.

The Court set a Review for Monday, January 30th, 2017 at 1:30 p.m.

CASE NO.

14-CV-0260

DEPT NO.

T

HELMUT KLEMENTI.

Plaintiff.

PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL:

Douglas R. Brown, Esq.

v.

JEFFREY D. SPENCER.

Defendant,

DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL:

William Routsis, II, Esq.

DATE:

1-30-17

Lynn G. Pierce, Esq.

David Zaniel, Esq.

JUDGE:

STEVEN R. KOSACH

CLERK:

Delores Goelz

COURT REPORTER:

Not Reported

LAW CLERK:

John Seddon

BAILIFFS:

Eric Lindsay

OTHERS:

Michaael Pintar, Esq. - counsel for Egon & Elfriede Klementi and

Mary Ellen Kinion

Tanika M. Capers, Esq. - counsel for Rowena & Peter Shaw

The above-entitled matter was before the Court this being the time set by the Court for a hearing on CONTINUED PRE-TRIAL PENDING MOTIONS. The plaintiffs were present in Court and represented by counsel. The defendant was present in Court and represented by counsel.

EXHIBITS MARKED:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5

EXHIBITS MARKED AND ADMITTED:

1, 3, 4, 5

WITNESSES SWORN AND TESTIFIED:

MARIA PENCE

The Court had withheld ruling on pending motions and set the matter for a hearing today, so that the Court and counsel could hear from, Maria Pence, the District Attorney who prosecuted the criminal case against Jeffrey Spencer.

Ms. Caper presented argument.

Ms. Pierce presented argument.

Mr. Moore presented argument.

Mr. Routsis presented argument.

Mr. Pintar presented argument.

The Court granted Ms. Kinion's Motion for Summary Judgment as to Spencer's Claim for Malicious Prosecution.

The Court previously granted Mr. Klementi's Motion to Amend Complaint.

The Court granted Mr. Spencer's Motion to Amend Counterclaim & Third Party Complaint.

The Court instructed counsel to file answers within 30 days.

The parties discussed possible trial dates and were unable to find a date to accommodate all parties. The Court instructed counsel to confer with each other and contact the Court to set a trial date.

Mr. Pintar will prepare the order.

CASE NO.

14-CV-0260

DEPT NO.

I

HELMUT KLEMENTI,

Plaintiff,

PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL:

Douglas R. Brown

v.

Sarah Molleck

JEFFREY D. SPENCER,

Defendant,

DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL:

William Routsis, II

DATE:

07/12/2018

Lynn G. Pierce

JUDGE:

STEVEN R. KOSACH

CLERK:

Delores Goelz

COURT REPORTER:

Lesley Clarkson

LAW CLERK:

John Seddon

BAILIFFS:

Les Vido

OTHERS:

Michael Pintar - counsel for Egon & Elfriede Klementi and Mary

Ellen Kinion

Tanika M. Capers - counsel for Rowena & Peter Shaw

The above-entitled matter was before the Court this being the time set by the Court for a hearing on ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND ALL PENDING MOTIONS. The plaintiffs were present in Court and represented by counsel. The defendants were present in Court and represented by counsel.

Motion for Summary Judgment as to Rowena and Peter Shaw:

Ms. Capers presented argument.

Ms. Pierce presented argument.

The Court GRANTED.

Motion for Summary Judgment as to Helmut Klementi:

Mr. Brown presented argument.

Ms. Pierce presented argument.

The Court GRANTED.

Motion for Summary Judgment as to Elfriede Klementi:

Mr. Pintar presented argument.

Ms. Pierce presented argument.

The Court GRANTED.

Motion for Summary Judgment as to Mary Ellen Kinion:

Mr. Pintar presented argument.

Ms. Pierce presented argument.

The Court GRANTED.

Motion for Sanctions Based on Spoilage of Evidence:

Mr. Pintar presented argument.

Ms. Pierce presented argument.

The Court DENIED.

Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Expert Witness Designation:

The Court GRANTED.

Motion to Dismiss as to Egon Klementi:

The Court GRANTED.

Mr. Routsis orally motioned the Court to reconsider it's previous ruling on Mary Ellen Kinion's Motion for Summary Judgment as to the claim for malicious prosecution.

The Court DENIED.

The Court instructed counsel to prepare the order granting summary judgment as to their perspective clients along with attorney's fees and cost.

The Court ordered the trial dates be vacated.

EXHIBIT LIST

CASE NAME:

KLEMENTI V SPENCER

CASE NUMBER: 14-CV-0260

DATE OF HEARING: 12/15/2016

JUDGE:

STEVEN R. KOSACH

DEPT NO:

Ι

ATTORNEYS:

DOUGLAS BROWN/WILLIAM ROUTSIS/LYNN

PIERCE/DAVID ZANIEL/SCOTT GLOGAVAC/TANIKA M.

CAPERS

PURPOSE OF HEARING: CONTINUED HEARING ON PENDING MOTIONS

Exhibit #	Description	Marked for ID	Admitted
1	PACKET OF DOCUMENT RELATED TO CRIMINAL CASE 13-CR-0036	Х	

EXHIBIT LIST

CASE NAME:

KLEMENTI V SPENCER

CASE NUMBER: 14-CV-0260

DATE OF HEARING: 01-31-17

JUDGE:

NATHAN TOD YOUNG

DEPT NO:

I

ATTORNEYS:

DOUGLAS BROWN/WILLIAM ROUTSIS/LYNN

PIERCE/DAVID ZANIEL/MICHAEL PINTAR/TANIKA

CAPERS

PURPOSE OF HEARING: CONTINUED HEARING ON PENDING MOTIONS

Exhibit #	Description	Marked for ID	Admitted
1	LETTER FROM MARY ELLEN KINION	Х	Х
2	FIRST PAGE OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT IN CASE 13-CR-0068	Х	
3	AMENDED INFORMATION IN CASE 13-CR-0036	Х	X
4	PAGE 266 OF TRANSCRIPT	Х	х
5	DCSO INCIDENT REPORT 12SO17219	Х	Х

STATE OF NEVADA SS 2 COUNTY OF DOUGLAS

I, BOBBIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Ninth Judicial 4 District Court, State of Nevada, in and for the County of 5 Douglas; said Court being a Court of Record, having common law 6 jurisdiction, and a Clerk and a Seal, do hereby certify that 7 the foregoing are true copies of the following originals in 8 Case No. 14-CV-0260 (KLEMENTI VS. SPENCER); Notice of Appeal, 9 Case Appeal Statement, Case History, Order(s) appealed from, 10 Notice of Entry of Order(s) appealed from and District Court 11 Minutes and Exhibit list(s). 12

> IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my Official Seal at Minden, in said County and State this 18th day of December, 2018

CLERK OF THE COURT

22

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

3

23

24

25

26 237

28

Douglas * County

BOBBIE R. WILLIAMS

CLERK OF COURT COURT ADMINISTRATOR JURY COMMISSIONER District Court Clerk's Office (775) 782-9820

Tahoe Justice Court (775) 586-7200

East Fork Justice Court (775) 782-9955

Transmittal to the Supreme Court

Date: December 18, 2018

To: Nevada Supreme Court 210 South Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89710

Re: District Court Case #: 14-CV-0260

District Court Case Name: KLEMENTI VS. SPENCER

The following documents are transmitted to the Supreme Court pursuant to the July 22, 1996 revisions to the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure. Checked items are NOT included in this appeal:

	Notice of Appeal
Ō	Case Appeal Statement
$\sqrt{}$	Second Notice of Posting of Appeal Bond
Ō	District Court Docket entries
$\sqrt{}$	Request for Transcript
Ō	Judgment(s) or order(s) appealed from
$\sqrt{}$	Order (NRAP FORM 4)
Ō	Notice of entry of the judgment(s) or order(s) appealed from
$\sqrt{}$	Certification order directing entry of judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)
	District Court Minutes
	Exhibit List
	Supreme Court filing fee (\$250.00) under separate cover.

Respectfully, BOBBIE WILLIAMS CLERK OF THE COURT

By: May Dragon

Deputy Court Clerk