## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BOBBY LEN FRANKLIN. Petitioner, VS. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY C. WILLIAMS, DISTRICT JUDGE. Respondents, and WILLIAM R. URGA; D.J. LAUGHLIN, D/B/A BWD PROPERTIES 2, LLC, BWD PROPERTIES 3, LLC, BWD PROPERTIES 4, LLC; AND SHELLEY YOUNG, STATE BAR OF NEVADA, Real Parties in Interest.

No. 77825-COA

FILED

MAY 0 2 2019

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDMAUS

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus in a civil action.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). A writ of mandamus will not issue, however, if the petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. See NRS 34.170; Int'l Game Tech., 124 Nev. at 197, 179 P.3d at 558. Further, mandamus is an extraordinary remedy, and it is within the discretion of this court to determine if a petition will be considered. See Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849,

COURT OF APPEALS
OF
NEVADA

(O) 1947B 🐠

19-19377

851, 853 (1991). Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

Having considered the documents before us, we conclude that petitioner has failed to demonstrate that extraordinary writ relief is warranted. See id. Accordingly, we deny the petition. See NRAP 21(b)(1); Smith, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851.

It is so ORDERED.<sup>1</sup>

Gibbons, C.J

Tao, J.

Bulla , J.

cc: Hon. Timothy C. Williams, District Judge Bobby Len Franklin Parsons Behle & Latimer/Reno Eighth District Court Clerk

(O) 1947B

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>No action is required on petitioner's February 5, 2019, filing titled Notice of Standing.