IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

Frederick O. Silver Appellant(s)

VS

Candice K. Towner Respondent (s):

No. 78005

DOCKETING STATEMENT CIVIL APPEALS

FILED

FEB 0 5 2019

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. *See KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman*, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.



19-05563

1. Judicial District Eighth Judicial District	Department H
County Clark County	Judge Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.
District Ct. Case No. <u>D-18-565588-C</u>	
2. Attorney filing this docketing statemen	t:
Attorney Frederick O. Silver	Telephone 210-803-2299
Firm	
Address P.O Box 276353, San Antonio TX 782	227
Client(s) FREDERICK O. SILVER	
If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names of their clients on an additional sheet accomplising of this statement. 3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s)	panied by a certification that they concur in the
Attorney Mary D. Perry, Esq	Telephone 702-384-9911
Firm Mary D. Perry, Esq	
Address Mary D. Perry, Esq. 840 S. Rancho Dr., Suite 4-256 Las Vegas, NV 89106	
Client(s) Candice K. Towner	
Attorney Emily McFarling, Esq	Telephone (702) 565-4335
Firm MCFARLING LAW GROUP	
Address Emily McFarling, Esq.Nevada Bar N 6230 W. Desert Inn Road, Las Vegas Co-Counsel for Plaintiff, Candice K.	s, NV 89146,
Client(s) Candice K. Towner	

4. Nature of disposition below (check	all that apply):
⊠ Judgment after bench trial	☐ Dismissal:
☐ Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Lack of jurisdiction
☐ Summary judgment	☐ Failure to state a claim
☐ Default judgment	☐ Failure to prosecute
Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	Other (specify): FRAUD CASE, NO JURISDIGIO
☐ Grant/Denial of injunction	☐ Divorce Decree:
Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	☐ Original ☐ Modification
Review of agency determination	Other disposition (specify): Fraud/Fraud/Fraud
5. Does this appeal raise issues conce	rning any of the following?
Child Custody / NO CHILD BETWEEN Venue / CHAUSNAMA JURISDICTION	I PARTIES
☐ Termination of parental rights / →/o	CHILD BETWEEN PARTIES
77815 SILVER VS. WOLFSON Frederick 77787 SILVER VS. TOWNER Frederick 77673 SILVER VS. TOWNER (CHILD C	
court of all pending and prior proceedings	other courts. List the case name, number and s in other courts which are related to this appeal ted proceedings) and their dates of disposition:
A-18-779756-C: Frederick Silver, Plainti Attorney, Defendant(s) 08/22/2018.	ff(s) vs. Steven Wolfson Clark County District
D-18-565588-C:Candice K Towner, Plair	ntiff. vs. Frederick O Silver, Defendant.02/07/2018.
R-17-196685-R: Candice Katie Towner, I	Petitioner(s). vs. Frederick Omoyuma Silver,

Respondent(s). 01/25/2017

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

Fraudulent Concealment
Deprivation of Rights
Theft of private property for public use
Threat Duress and Coercion
Due Process Rights Violations

9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):

U.S and Texas, Nevada Constitutional rights violations
Fraudulent Concealment
Deprivation of Rights
Theft of private property for public use
Threat Duress and Coercion
Due Process Rights Violations

10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

I dont know, this is a case of Fraud that Frederick O. Silver is appealling

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionalit the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a par have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordand NRS 30.130?	ty to this	appeal,
□ N/A	•	
⊠Yes		
□ No		
If not, explain: constitutional rights violations		
Sixth Amendment and Seventh Amendment		
THE CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF NEVADA: A	ARTICLE.	1
Declaration of Rights. Sec: 3. Trial by jury		
Sec. 18. Unreasonable seizure and search;		
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?		** .
Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))		
🗵 An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitution	ns	
A substantial issue of first impression		
🗵 An issue of public policy		
An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain unifor court's decisions	rmity of th	his
A ballot question		
If so, explain: There is No Family between the parties, No Claim, No	Vitcm, N	o Crime

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

RULE 17. (a)

- (6) Questions of law certified by a federal court;
- (9) Cases involving the termination of parental rights or NRS Chapter 432B;
- (10) Matters raising as a principal issue a question of first impression involving the United States or Nevada Constitutions or common law;
- 14. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? N/A

Was it a bench or jury trial? N/A, Was a FRAUD TO STEAL MONEY

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

I do not know yet, during the cause of appeal any Justice that has received money from Clark County should recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal.

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

	immediates of notice of h		
16. Date of entry of	written judgment or order appealed	d from <u>02/07/2018-0</u>	1/18/2019
If no written judgr seeking appellate	ment or order was filed in the district coreview:	urt, explain the basi	s for
constitutional rigi	hts violations		
17. Date written no	tice of entry of judgment or order w	as served N/A	
	YEVER SERVED ANY DOCUMENT REC	"	
☐ Delivery			
☐ Mail/electronic	c/fax		
18. If the time for fi (NRCP 50(b), 52(b),	iling the notice of appeal was tolled or 59)	by a post-judgmen	nt motion
(a) Specify the the date of i	type of motion, the date and method of sfiling.	service of the motion	, and
☐ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing 01/23/2019		**
☐ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing 01/23/2019		
☐ NRCP 59	Date of filing 01/23/2019		
NOTE: Motions made time for filing P.3d 1190 (201	pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehea a notice of appeal. <i>See <u>AA Primo Builders</u></i> 0).	ring or reconsiderati <u>v. Washington</u> , 126 N	on may toll th ev, 245
(b) Date of ent	ry of written order resolving tolling mot	ion 01/18/2019	
(c) Date writte	n notice of entry of order resolving tollin	ng motion was serve	101/18/2019
Was service	e by:		

☐ Delivery

☐ Mail

19. Date notice of appea	al filed <u>01/23/2019</u>	
_	ty has appealed from the judgm filed and identify by name the p	
	,	
20. Specify statute or rue.g., NRAP 4(a) or other		for filing the notice of appeal,
NRAP 4(a)		
	SUBSTANTIVE APPEAL	ABILITY
the judgment or order a		this court jurisdiction to review
(a)		
(a) ▼ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	▼ NRS 38.205	
	NRS 38.205NRS 233B.150	
NRAP 3A(b)(1)	Wall walls	

(b) Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:

Constitutional Rights Violations

Fraudulent Concealment & Deprivation of Rights

(a) Parties:	es involved in the action or c		
Candice K To	owner, Plaintiff. vs. Frederick O	Silver, Defendant	
	lver, Plaintiff(s) vs. Steven Wolf		rict Attorney.
	owner Defendant(s)		
	- W-101 - C1011 want (C)		
		e de la companya de l	
a> -a -a -a			
	es in the district court are not pa		
other:	es are not involved in this appea	i, e.g., formany dismiss	sea, not servea, or
N/A			
		Υ.	,
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•	₹ •	
	description (3 to 5 words) of cross-claims, or third-party c ach claim.		
unterclaims, c sposition of ea	cross-claims, or third-party c ach claim.		
unterclaims, c	cross-claims, or third-party c ach claim.		
unterclaims, c sposition of ea	cross-claims, or third-party c ach claim.		
unterclaims, c sposition of ea	cross-claims, or third-party c ach claim.		
unterclaims, c sposition of ea	cross-claims, or third-party c ach claim.		
unterclaims, c sposition of ea	cross-claims, or third-party c ach claim.		
unterclaims, c sposition of ea	cross-claims, or third-party c ach claim.		
ounterclaims, c sposition of ea No claim on	cross-claims, or third-party cach claim. the record	laims and the date o	of formal
unterclaims, o sposition of ea No claim on l. Did the judge clow and the ri	cross-claims, or third-party c ach claim.	laims and the date o	e claims alleged
unterclaims, o sposition of ea No claim on	cross-claims, or third-party cach claim. the record ment or order appealed from	laims and the date o	e claims alleged
unterclaims, o sposition of ea No claim on l. Did the judge clow and the ri	cross-claims, or third-party cach claim. the record ment or order appealed from	laims and the date o	e claims alleged
sposition of ea No claim on No claim on elow and the rictions below?	cross-claims, or third-party cach claim. the record ment or order appealed from	laims and the date o	e claims alleged
eunterclaims, of sposition of ear No claim on No claim on elow and the rictions below? ☐ Yes ☐ No	cross-claims, or third-party cach claim. the record ment or order appealed from ights and liabilities of ALL th	laims and the date of adjudicate ALL the he parties to the acti	e claims alleged
No claim on No claim on L. Did the judge clow and the rictions below? ☐ Yes ☐ No S. If you answe	eross-claims, or third-party cach claim. the record ment or order appealed from ights and liabilities of ALL thereof to the company of the	laims and the date of adjudicate ALL the he parties to the acti	e claims alleged
No claim on No claim on L. Did the judge clow and the rictions below? ☐ Yes ☐ No S. If you answe	cross-claims, or third-party cach claim. the record ment or order appealed from ights and liabilities of ALL th	laims and the date of adjudicate ALL the he parties to the acti	e claims alleged

N/A				
		• • •		
			ů.	
(c) Did the district court cer pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?	tify the judgment or o	rder appealed fi	rom as a final	judgmer
⊠ Yes			ч	
□No				* *,
d) Did the district court ma	ıke an express determi	· -		
there is no just reason for de	elay and an express di	rection for the e	entry of judgm	nent?
	elay and an express di	rection for the e	entry of judgm	ient?

27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

- The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
- Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
- Any other order challenged on appeal
- Notices of entry for each attached order

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

Frederick O. Silver		Frederick O. Si	llver	
Name of appellant		Name of counse	el of record	
1st February 2019	.e.v			
Date		Signature of co	unsel of record	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
.			,	
Texas , Bexar County State and county where	signed			
State and county where	signed			
·	CERTIFICATE	OF SERVICE		
I certify that on the 1st	day of Febru	ary , <u>2019</u>	, I served a	copy of this
completed docketing sta	tement upon all counse			
By personally se	erving it upon him/her;	or		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
address(es): (NO	first class mail with su TE: If all names and a h a separate sheet with	ddresses cannot fit b		
Emily McFarling,	Esq. Nevada Bar Numl	per 8567		
	n Road, Las Vegas, NV			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(702) 565-4335	.			
Co-Counsel for Pla	intiff,			
Candice K. Towner	r			
Mary D. Perry				
840 South Rancho	Dr., Ste 4-256, Las Ve	gas, NV 89106		
Data Jakin 1ot	1. C.Fohmoo	ry , 2019		
Dated this 1st	day of Februa	, <u>2019</u>		
			-//	•
			7	
		Signature		