## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DEANGELO CARROLL,

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed
May 30 2019 01:20 p.m.
Supreme Court Cas Elizabeth %. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

#### **APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME 2 OF 13 PAGES 0218-0437**

### ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

RESCH LAW, PLLC d/b/a Conviction Solutions Jamie J. Resch Nevada Bar Number 7154 2620 Regatta Dr., Suite 102 Las Vegas, Nevada, 89128 (702) 483-7360

#### ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTY. Steven B. Wolfson 200 Lewis Ave., 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4711

NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL Aaron Ford 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, Nevada 89701 (775) 684-1265

# INDEX Vol 2 DEANGELO CARROLL, CASE NO. 78081

DOCUMENT	VOL.	PAGE NO.
DA Notice of Intent to Sook Death Benefity 7/6/05	1	0005 0000
DA - Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty, 7/6/05	1	0005-0009
DA - Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses, 4/19/10		0009-0010
Carroll – Motion to Suppress, 4/30/10	1	0011-0017
Ex A: LVMPD Arrest Rpt. (Deangelo Carroll)	1	0018-0023
Ex B: Deangelo Carroll Vol. Statement, 5/18/05	1	0024-0152
DA – State Opp. To Motion to Suppress, 5/4/10	1	0153-0163
DA – State Response: Petition (Habeas Corpus), 7/13/1		
DA – State Response to Supplement to Petition, 10/30,	/18 13	2611-2635
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, 7/30/1	2 10	1971-1972
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, 1/3/14	10	1981-1987
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, 1/18/1	9 13	2646-2670
Information, 6/20/05	1	0001-0004
Information (Fifth Amended), 5/21/10	5	0936-0939
Instructions to the Jury, 5/25/10	7	1471-1518
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial), 9/8/10	9	1928-1929
Judgment of Conviction (Amended) (Jury Trial), 3/23/1	1 9	1930-1931
Jury List, 5/21/10	5	0940
Notice of Appeal, 5/1/13	10	1973
Notice of Appeal, 1/6/14	10	1988
Notice of Appeal, 1/31/19	13	2671-2672
Notice of Entry of Order, 8/3/12	10	1969-1970
Notice-Entry: Findings of Fact/Conclusions of Law/Orde	er 10	1980
Nv Supreme Ct Judgment, 8/23/13	10	1974
Nv Supreme Ct Order of Remand, Remittitur, 7/23/13	10	1975-1979
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (PC), 12/29/11	10	1932-1967
Petition: Writ of Habeas Corpus (PC), Pro Per 5/10/17	10	1989-2043
Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial, 5/21/10	6	1107-1113
Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial, 5/21/10	6	1114-1115
Receipt of Copy (Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Pe	C) 10	1968

Reply to State Response to Petition, Pro Per 8/7/17	10	2071-2104
Supplement to Petition (Habeas Corpus), 8/31/18	10	2105-2151
Petitioner's Exhibits in Support of Supplement, 8/31/18	11	2152-2153
Supp 0001-0142 Transcript 2/1/08 Hrg re Ronte Zone	11	2154-2295
<i>0143-0159</i> Transcript 2/6/08 Jury Trial Day 7	11	2296-2312
0160-0213 Appellant's Opening Brief, 12/4/14	11	2313-2366
0214-0267 Appellant's Opening Brief (cont)	12	2367-2420
0268-0338 Respondent's Answering Brief, 2/4/15	12	2421-2491
<i>0339-0389</i> Appellant's Reply Brief, 4/8/15	12	2492-2542
0390-0404 Appellant's Petition-Rehearing, 5/17/16	12	2543-2557
0405-0425 Petition for En Banc Reconsideration	12	2558-2578
<i>0426-0427</i> Nv S Ct Judgment, 10/27/16	12	2579-2580
<i>0428-0451</i> Nv S Ct Opinion, 4/7/16	13	2581-2604
<i>0452-0453</i> Nv S Ct Order Denying Rehrg, 6/23/16	13	2605-2606
0454-0456 Nv S Ct Order Denying En Banc Recon.	13	2607-2609
0457 Receipt for Remittitur by District Ct	13	2610
Transcript 5/11/10: Motion to Suppress & M/Disc/Limine	1	0164-0172
Transcript 5/17/10: Jury Trial (Day 1) Jury Voir Dire	1	0173-0217
Transcript 5/17/10: Jury Trial (Day 1) (cont.)	2	0218-0437
Transcript 5/17/10: Jury Trial (Day 1) (cont.)	3	0438-0474
Transcript 5/18/10: Jury Trial (Day 2) Jury Voir Dire	3	0475-0658
Transcript 5/19/10: Jury Trial (Day 3)	4	0659-0875
Transcript 5/19/10: Jury Trial (Day 3) (cont.)	5	0876-0935
Transcript 5/20/10: Jury Trial (Day 4)	5	0941-1093
Transcript 5/20/10: Jury Trial (Day 4) (cont.)	6	1094-1106
Transcript 5/21/10: Jury Trial (Day 5)	6	1116-1309
Transcript 5/21/10: Jury Trial (Day 5) (cont.)	7	1310-1327
Transcript 5/24/10: Jury Trial (Day 6)	7	1328-1470
Transcript 6/2/10: Penalty Phase – Day 1	8	1521-1732
Transcript 6/3/10: Penalty Phase – Day 2	9	1733-1920
Transcript 6/4/10: Penalty Phase – Verdict	9	1922-1927
Transcript 12/4/18: Hearing	13	2636-2645
Verdict, 5/25/10	7	1519-1520
Verdict, 6/4/10	9	1921

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes, the accused was
2	innocent. And the accuser actually gave up the case because
3	of non you know, not enough because of the witness against
4	her. So I was just saying when he was in the court it just
5	it was automatically assumed he was, you know, guilty of some
6	domestic violence. So, you know, it's
7	MR. PESCI: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I'm just saying there are
9	biases and stuff like that.
10	MR. PESCI: And you felt to some degree that the
11	court in that particular case, that court, different court,
12	seemed bias against
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yeah. I mean, I think
14	domestic violence in general I think there is some biases. I
15	mean, so I'm just saying courts in general can be biased.
16	MR. PESCI: All right. We're going to pass for
17	cause. Thank you, Judge.
18	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
19	Mr. Ericsson, will it be you
20	MR. ERICSSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: to question Potential Juror Number 1.
22	MR. ERICSSON: Good morning, sir.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: 'Morning.
24	MR. ERICSSON: I want to follow up on some of the
25	responses that you made in the questionnaire that you filled

| out.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Uh-huh.

MR. ERICSSON: When you were asked if you could consider any mitigation or any -- actually, let me back up.

You were informed that there are four different types of potential sentences for a first degree murder conviction, and those being life with possibility of parole, life without the possibility of parole, a definite term of years in prison, or the death sentence. And then you were asked if you could consider what they call mitigation issues of the defendant, his background, things of that, in coming up with a potential verdict in a case like this. And you -- you indicated that you could not fairly, according to your response here, consider mitigation issues. Explain to me what you mean by that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I'm not quite sure -those mitigations that you listed, I just don't think those
should be much of an issue. I mean, I do believe, you know -I was following order, death penalty. So I just don't think
those would be much of an issue.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And in fact you wrote that if it were a first degree murder conviction you'd feel it's always appropriate to impose a death sentence.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Sure.

MR. ERICSSON: And when you were asked if there was

1	anything about yourself that would prevent you from sitting as
2	a fair and impartial juror, you indicated yes, there is
3	something about you that would prevent you from being a fair
4	juror in this type of case; is that correct?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yeah. I mean, I'm pretty
6	opinionated, so probably.
7	MR. ERICSSON: Thank you.
8	Your Honor, may we approach?
9	THE COURT: You may.
10	(Off-record bench conference)
11	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12	State may question Potential Juror Number 2.
13	MR. PESCI: Thank you.
14	Ma'am, you've got a Masters in social work?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes, I do.
16	MR. PESCI: And what do you do now for a living?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I work for the State of
18	Nevada. I work with mentally ill, I work with the in their
19	homes providing support and care for them.
20	MR. PESCI: Do you ever have interaction with any
21	other agencies within the State of Nevada that be tied to the
22	criminal justice system?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I come into court with
24	clients.
25	MR. PESCI: Okay. Have you ever testified on their
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 48

behalf, or do you kind of bring them to court to facilitate 1 2 them? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Just recently I testified 4 for a client. 5 MR. PESCI: Okay. And was it -- normally is it the 6 State that's calling you as a witness, or how does that 7 normally work out as far as when you testify? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: This time I went as 9 testifying for the client because it was an assault case. 10 MR. PESCI: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: And she's been my client 12 for four years, and not a very high-functioning client. And I 13 went in to assist the client. 14 MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you ever deal with or interact 15 with law enforcement based on your job? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. 17 MR. PESCI: Okay. And generally speaking would you 18 hold that against the State or would you hold that against the 19 defendant, the fact that you have this interaction with law 20 enforcement? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No. 22 MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you feel as if you can trust an 23 officer, or do you feel as if they, like every other witness, 24 should be questioned and should be subjected to 25 cross-examination [inaudible]?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: They should be subjected 2 to cross-examination. 3 MR. PESCI: Okay. Kind of jumping to the death penalty questions, there was -- your opinion you talked about 4 5 swiftness with the death penalty; is that accurate? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. 7 MR. PESCI: Okay. And then, you know, the crazy 8 thing about this questionnaire is we ask you about six 9 different ways about the death penalty, so sometimes they seem 10 to be slightly different. And I wanted to follow up on one of 11 them. And you indicated that -- in I think it was Question 12 38, it was a question about automatically going with death or 13 automatically going against death. 14 Is it all right if I approach her, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yeah. That's fine. 16 MR. PESCI: Because you haven't seen these in a 17 while. Can I show you that for a second. So in 38 it appears 18 as if you've checked --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: See, the reason I'm 20 against -- against the death penalty is because of the appeal 21 process. 22 MR. PESCI: Okay. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: It costs more money to 24 execute somebody in this country and more time, and -- than 25 what originally should have been, as far as my belief is.

1 had always been for the death penalty until I found cut about 2 the cost and the time and the fact that a felon is allowed to 3 live longer than the person that they may have killed. 4 MR. PESCI: So, understanding your feelings about it 5 based on those cost issues, are those feelings such that you 6 would automatically vote against it because you wouldn't want 7 to create that cost, or would you vote for it? See, 'cause 8 they're going to --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: That's where mitigating 10 circumstances comes in, okay, on this one, all right, in the 11 sense of you have to hear. There's not a black-and-white for 12 me on that. Like I said, I was always for the death penalty 13 until I realized how much it cost. 14 MR. PESCI: Okay. I guess what I'm saying to some 15 degree is you've talked about swiftness and wanting swiftness 16 about it. I suspect that they're going to be concerned about 17 that, they'll ask you questions about that. And so what I'm 18 trying to gather is you're not the person that will 19 automatically vote for it because you feel strongly about it 20 or strongly against it? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No, I'm not. 22 MR. PESCI: Will you be able to consider the 23

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.

different penalties?

24

25

MR. PESCI: Because technically, speaking, if

someone's found guilty of first degree murder, there's options, there's four options. Death is never required. It's not something that you have to do because you've come back with a guilty verdict of first degree murder. So the question is can you consider the other options, which are life without the possibility of parole, a fixed term of years, and things of that nature.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.

MR. PESCI: Okay. We're going to pass for cause.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Pesci.

The defense may follow up with Potential Juror Number 2.

MR. BUNIN: You know, I'm going to just jump straight into some of the issues you were discussing with Mr. Pesci just now. I want to go over the sheet you filled out just to make sure I understand what you're talking about, okay.

One of the questions, it was Question 36, said, "Do you believe the death penalty should always be imposed if the defendant is found guilty of intentional murder no matter what the circumstances?" And your answer to that was yes. Do you remember filling that out?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: (No audible response)

MR. BUNIN: So your belief is so long as he's found guilty of first degree murder there are no other circumstances you need to consider. At that point your personal belief is

1	that the death penalty is the only appropriate sentence?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yeah.
3	MR. BUNIN: Okay. So if in
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Just because your father
5	beat you doesn't mean it's okay to murder.
6	MR. BUNIN: Well, and that's exactly consistent with
7	how you answered a different question. We asked you about
8	potential mitigators, meaning if we get to a guilty to a
9	penalty phase in this case would you consider things such as
10	what you just said about a childhood, maybe mental health
11	issues. There's a lot of issues that could be taken into
12	consideration. You don't believe those are factors that you
13	could
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Unless you're thoroughly
15	psychotic, no.
16	MR. BUNIN: All right. So you honest answer if I ask
17	you so long as it's a first degree murder conviction there's
18	nothing else that you would take into consideration at that
19	point, you believe death is the only appropriate sentence?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: (No audible response)
21	MR. BUNIN: Yes?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.
23	MR. BUNIN: I appreciate it.
23	THE DOLLAR I APPLICATION
24	Can we approach, Judge?

1	(Off-record bench conference)
2	THE COURT: All right. The State may question
3	Potential Juror Number 3.
4	MR. PESCI: Mr. Bates?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes.
6	MR. PESCI: All right. [Unintelligible] is death
7	penalty questions right now, so we'll get to that. And if I'm
8	gathering from what you indicated, you think the death penalty
9	should be abolished?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: That's correct.
11	MR. PESCI: You're anti death penalty such that you
12	would not consider the other options or consider that
13	option?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: That's right, yes.
15	MR. PESCI: And that you could never vote for a
16	sentence of death?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: That's right.
18	MR. PESCI: And there's no way you could change about
19	that? We're not trying to change you, I just there's no
20	way you're going to change that; right?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: That's correct.
22	MR. PESCI: Okay. So we'll
23	THE COURT: Any questions for Mr. Bates?
24	MR. ERICSSON: Yes, Your Honor. Briefly.
25	THE COURT: All right.

1	MR. ERICSSON: Good morning, sir.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Good morning.
3	MR. ERICSSON: Now, in filling out this you've
4	indicated that you have extensive training you're an
5	attorney; is that correct?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: I'm not a member of the
7	bar. I'm a law school graduate.
8	MR. ERICSSON: Okay. So you have probably given a
9	fair amount of thought to the death penalty over the years
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes.
11	MR. ERICSSON: is that fair to say?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: That's right.
13	MR. ERICSSON: That's often something that's
14	discussed in law school quite a bit?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yeah.
16	MR. ERICSSON: And you're you may you're
17	probably aware of this, that after the evidence is presented
18	to the jurors that the Judge will present to the jury jury
19	instructions that outline the law of Nevada, and in Nevada one
20	of the potential penalties for a first degree murder
21	conviction is the death penalty.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Uh-huh.
23	MR. ERICSSON: Now, you've indicated that you have
24	strong feelings against the death penalty. What I would like
25	to know is if you were instructed that the law of Nevada is
- 1	I and the second se

KARR REPORTING, INC. 55 .

1	that the death penalty is one of the four options of
2	sentencing for a first degree murder conviction, would you be
3	able to consider all four of those options, including the
4	death penalty if that were instructed to you as the law in
5	Nevada?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: No, I don't believe I
7	would.
8	MR. ERICSSON: Okay. Thank you for your honesty.
9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
10	State may question Potential Juror Number 4.
11	MR. PESCI: How are you, ma'am?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Good.
13	MR. PESCI: Okay. You said that you had some medical
14	assistant degree.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Just like phlebotomy,
16	that kind of stuff. Nothing major.
17	MR. PESCI: Okay. And do you do you work with
18	that now? You work in a casino right now?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I was at Boulder Station.
20	I just retired.
21	MR. PESCI: Well, good. All right. You talked about
22	a situation in which there was a custody issue.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.
24	MR. PESCI: And you felt that case was not
25	investigated enough; is that accurate?
ſ	I

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Correct.
2	MR. PESCI: All right. Who investigated it, do you
3	know?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: State of Nevada.
5	MR. PESCI: All right. And did you have to come to
6	court?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.
8	MR. PESCI: Okay. What court?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I don't know, actually.
10	MR. PESCI: All right. That's fine. And how long
11	ago?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: It would have been
13	years ago.
14	MR. PESCI: Okay. Have you had any issues with that
15	since then, anything resurface as far as custody and having to
16	go to court?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.
18	MR. PESCI: Okay. Since that 10-year-ago time has
19	there been more investigation or not?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: No.
21	MR. PESCI: Have you felt kind of the same way that
22	you did 10 years ago about the system?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.
24	MR. PESCI: All right. I'm just going to kind of be
25	a little specific. We're kind of a part of the system where
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 57

we represent the system to a degree. Should we be concerned 1 2 based on your feelings about the system on your situation? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Not -- not with this 4 case. I mean, it was a -- it was child custody involving 5 molestation, and I don't -- I don't believe even though all 6 the evidence, that it was looked into and even with 7 requestioning from the, you know, opposing side, they said, 8 can we reopen this case, and it still wasn't. And it just 9 kind of felt like, you know -- I'm not even sure how to say 10 it, like, you know, you're guilty, that's it, you know. And 11 it was the one protecting the child that ended up being the 12 criminal. 13 MR. PESCI: Okay. Were police actually involved? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes. 14 15 MR. PESCI: Was there a criminal case, as well? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes. 17 MR. PESCI: All right. And we're going to have 18 police officers coming here to testify. Based on that 19 experience do you feel you can give them kind of a fair 20 opportunity to hear their testimony, or would that kind of 21 influence your assessment of them? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I believe I can be fair 23 about it. I mean, I --24 MR. PESCI: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I mean, I believe they

were doing their job, just I just don't think it got -- it may have been the time frame. You know, that's when, you know, people were just throwing that stuff out.

MR. PESCI: You indicate later on in your questionnaire that you consider yourself very scientific.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I just like to see like hundred percent. I'm just not very -- I like to see both sides, and I can see both sides, but I have a hard time making decisions. I'm not very [unintelligible] at all. I kind of just see all of it.

MR. PESCI: You'd like to see everything.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Right.

MR. PESCI: Okay. Here's a general concept Her Honor is going to explain to you, and I'll touch on it. If I go too far, please stop me. But the defendant is not required to present any evidence, kind of going to this whole story aspect. The burden is completely upon the State, Mr. DiGiacomo and myself. We have to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt. He doesn't have to do -- the defendant doesn't have to do anything. That's our legal system. Would you feel as if you didn't get the whole story if that's what happened, the State put on evidence and the defense didn't? I'm not saying that's what they're going to do, but just if that were to happen.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: No, I don't --

MR. PESCI: Can you then confine yourself to what the 1 2 Court tells you as far as just looking at the evidence 3 admitted to the evidence? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes. 4 5 Okay. And then you've indicated as far MR. PESCI: 6 as the death penalty -- you said it's your -- you don't really 7 have a problem with or you're for it if you're a hundred percent sure. 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes. 10 Okay. So you want to know definitively MR. PESCI: before you make a determination [inaudible]? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes. 13 MR. PESCI: Okay. We'd pass for cause, Judge. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 15 Defense may question Potential Juror Number 4. 16 MR. BUNIN: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 Can you please say your last name for me again. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Vigilia. The G is like 18 19 an H, Vigilia. MR. BUNIN: Thank you. I didn't want to --20 21 All right. I'm going to follow up with a couple of 22 things Mr. Pesci just talked to you about, and then I want to 23 talk a little bit about penalty phase, too. But, you know, 24 there are a lot of issues a juror you're going to have to 25 confront you've probably never dealt with before. And some of

them are concepts like the one Mr. Pesci talked about. He talked about burden of proof. And I think he made it pretty clear, but, you know, just to clarify, as Mr. Carroll sits here today is he innocent, or is he guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I don't know.

MR. BUNIN: And I'm not being -- lawyer trick questions I shouldn't be asking, but law presumes that he's innocent. So as he sits here today before you hear any evidence, the law would say he's an innocent man. Do you agree with that concept?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: And the reason is — it's not to try to be tricky, it's that the prosecution, they have the sole burden to prove beyond a reasonable doubt facts that show every element of the allegations, meaning at this point they've offered you no facts at all, so they haven't yet met their burden. So as Deangelo sits here he's not guilty. Does that make sense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: Do you believe that? I mean, some people would listen to what I'm saying, and these are fairly basic concepts, but a lot of people just simply don't believe it. They'll say, no, I don't believe that's truly the state of things even though the law may say that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I believe that.

MR. BUNIN: Okay. Good. So you understand that it's only the burden of the prosecution to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Deangelo is guilty of anything. The defense has no obligation to do anything.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Right.

MR. BUNIN: So that would mean at the end of the case, at the end of the prosecution's case, because under the rules they go first because they're the ones with the burden, the defense may choose to put on no evidence at all. Deangelo may or may not testify, but we might make a choice where he's not going to and we're not going to put on any evidence. How do you feel about that? What if the defense chose to put on no evidence whatsoever after the prosecution was done with their case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I agree with that. I mean, they may not need to. They may not have enough proof to -- you know, where the defendant would need to do anything.

MR. BUNIN: And that's exactly right. So it's possible that once the prosecution put their entire case on, the defense might say, you know what, they didn't prove anything beyond a reasonable doubt, why should we put any evidence on.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Right.

MR. BUNIN: It's also possible the defense will put on evidence but possibly Deangelo wouldn't testify at all.

1 How would you feel about that? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: That's perfectly fine 3 with me. Okay. Well, I ask because it's not fine 4 MR. BUNIN: 5 with everybody. You know, a lot of people say, I would rather 6 have heard, or they say, well, if he's not testifying there's 7 got to be some sinister reason why. Can you think of some 8 reasons why a person might choose not to testify, a person 9 who's innocent? 10 MR. PESCI: Judge, I apologize. Can we approach? 11 THE COURT: Sure. 12 (Off-record bench conference) 13 MR. BUNIN: So, just to follow up, I mean, you know, 14 do you think it's a reasonable possibility that a person like 15 Deangelo might want to testify because he's nervous about 16 testifying? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Sure. 18 MR. BUNIN: And is it reasonable for him to possibly 19 not testify just because, you know, maybe he's not 20 particularly well spoken or well educated compared to the 21 attorneys that are going to cross-examine him? Is that a 22 reasonable --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes. 24 MR. BUNIN: And you wouldn't hold that against him if

KARR REPORTING, INC.

you were in the jury room?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: No.

MR. BUNIN: You know, it's possible if he doesn't testify you would receive an instruction talking about the fact that you can't comment on the fact that a person chose not to testify and you can't hold it against him in any way. Is that something you believe in, and is it something you can do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: If you were in the jury room and, you know, the 12 of you are in there discussing the case and you determine the guilt or innocence and somebody in the room says, you know what, that guy should have testified, I don't like that he didn't testify, I'm going to hold it against him. Are you the type of person that would maybe tell the bailiff or tell whoever's appropriate that somebody's not following the instructions give to you? Do you have that personality type?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: You'd feel comfortable doing that?

Because a lot of people -- you know, you've got 11 people surrounding you in there. They could get aggressive.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: [Inaudible] for 11 years, we're not here to make friends.

MR. BUNIN: That's great. That's exactly right. All right. You know, I want to talk a little bit about penalty

phase. Honestly, as a defense attorney, you know, I hate the idea of even talking about this right now, because we're kind of putting the cart before the horse. I want to make it clear we're talking about penalty phase because we have to, not because we want to. And what I mean by that is we absolutely firmly believe that Deangelo is not guilty of murder, and if you don't find him guilty of first degree murder, there's never going to be a penalty phase. But we're obligated to talk about the penalty phase just in case, because we have no other opportunity to talk to you. So you understand that's why we're bringing this up right now. So we have to do it.

We just don't like the fact that we have to do it.

But let me ask you, and I know you filled out the questionnaire. And if recall, you weren't against the death

But let me ask you, and I know you filled out the questionnaire. And if recall, you weren't against the death penalty, but it wasn't something that you were -- is it accurate to say it's not something you're completely comfortable with, you kind of fall somewhere in the middle?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Right. Well, actually, I'm not opposed to the death penalty, I'm opposed to being the one to implement it, being a part of that process. That's what I'm uncomfortable with. I don't want to be the one that says yes or no to that part of it, that penalty part of it.

MR. BUNIN: I understand. But I don't know that anybody wants to do anything like that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: And that's my whole part

of being uncomfortable is that particular area. 1 The rest of 2 it I have no problem with, making the decision or, you know, 3 deciding whether or not they were guilty or innocent. 4 then the penalty phase is what makes me most uncomfortable. 5 MR. BUNIN: The fact that you're uncomfortable with 6 it, despite that discomfort, if you're told in order to get on 7 this jury you have to be able to equally consider all 8 possibilities, from a definite term of years to a term of 9 years to life, to life without, or death, you have to be able 10 to take these into consideration even though you might not be 11 comfortable. Is that something you can do fairly? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I want to say yes, but 13 I'm not a hundred percent sure that I could be [inaudible]. MR. BUNIN: You would try your best? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes. 16 MR. BUNIN: You think it's possible you could be 17 fair, but you're not a hundred percent sure how you'd react 18 when it really came down to a death penalty? Is that a --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Right. 20 MR. BUNIN: -- fair way of putting it? 21 You know, you listed in this that you could consider 22 mitigating factors. And by mitigating factors what happens is 23 in the penalty phase the prosecution will argue there's 24 certain aggravators that in their opinion should get you to

KARR REPORTING, INC.

consider the death penalty, and they have to prove these

1 aggravators beyond a reasonable doubt. The defense will argue 2 certain mitigators. And you can take into consideration 3 whatever mitigator you want even if the defense doesn't argue 4 it. And each one of you as a juror would say, well, I think 5 the mitigators are -- the aggravators were not proven beyond a 6 reasonable doubt, these are the mitigators that I believe are 7 important for whatever subjective reason you believe it, and 8 then you can make your choice, you can say, look, they've 9 proven it and it's death, or they haven't. And there are many 10 mitigators that -- I mean, there's no -- there's no box, 11 there's no checklist. We're not going to say, well, there's 12 mental health, there's abuse as a child, he's an alcoholic. 13 That's not how it is. The mitigators are pretty much anything 14 that you believe is something could be properly taken into 15 consideration, and if you choose life it's never 16 inappropriate. Do you understand the law agrees with that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: (No audible response) 18 THE COURT RECORDER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. 19 I mean her.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes. I'm sorry. Yes
THE COURT RECORDER: Thank you.

MR. BUNIN: Some of the mitigators listed in the sheet are defendant's mental health, mental status, childhood experiences of Deangelo, his education level, his -- maybe his IQ, his intelligence level. Do you think those things are

KARR REPORTING, INC.

20

21

22

23

24

1 proper to take into account when considering the sentence of a 2 person if he's been convicted of first degree murder? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes. 4 MR. BUNIN: Would you agree that if a person is 5 convicted of first degree murder the reason the law gives 6 these four choices is because some cases are deemed more 7 heinous than others, even though it's first degree murder? 8 mean, it's absolutely, you know, among the worst things you 9 can be convicted of. The law says certain people are 10 deserving of certain punishments compared to others. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes. 12 MR. BUNIN: So what you do is you look at it and say, 13 who are the worst types of offenders that have been convicted 14 of first degree murder and who would fall lower on the scale 15 after first degree murder. Does that make sense? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes. 17 MR. BUNIN: Can you think of the type of people that 18 you might consider the worst types of offenders say if they're 19 convicted of first degree murder? 20 MR. PESCI: Judge, objection. 21 THE COURT: Yeah. That's sustained. 22 MR. BUNIN: Well --23 THE COURT: If you want to approach, you can. 24 MR. BUNIN: May I? 25 THE COURT: Yes.

1	(Off-record bench conference)
2	MR. BUNIN: I think I've bothered you enough. Thank
3	you.
4	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Bunin.
5	State may question Potential Juror Number 5.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
7	Is it Ms. Wright?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: You've indicated that you work at a
10	local casino. What is it that you do for the casino?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I doing accounting.
12	MR. DiGIACOMO: The accounting?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I doing the express
14	office accounting.
15	THE COURT: You're a microphone person.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Oh.
17	MR. DiGIACOMO: Yes. How long have you been
18	THE COURT: And also
19	I'm sorry to interrupt you.
20	you need to hold it up, because it won't pick up
21	if you hold it down.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: How long have you been doing that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Almost eight years.
24	MR. DiGIACOMO: Almost eight years now? Okay. There
25	was a lot of questions asked in the questionnaire about the
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 69

death penalty. I'm going to skip to those, and maybe I'll go 1 2 back to a few other things, okay. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Okay. 4 MR. DiGIACOMO: Generally before you ever got this 5 questionnaire had you ever thought about the death penalty 6 before? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes. 8 MR. DiGIACOMO: And in thinking about the death 9 penalty is it something that you believe in, or you don't 10 believe? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Dependent only is that 12 what kind of murder it is. 13 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. All right. And that's our 14 question, is at least in some situation you could consider the 15 death penalty or you think at least that it's an appropriate 16 punishment in some murder cases. Is that a fair 17 characterization of your beliefs? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Sorry, I'm not understand 19 the question. My English is not good enough to answer it. 20 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. That was going to be my 21 question. You've been here now about two hours, two and a 22 half hours, somewhere in that range. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Uh-huh. 24 MR. DiGIACOMO: During that time period have you been 25 able to understand what's being said back and forth? KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No, I'm not really
2	understand.
3	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay.
4	THE COURT: Where are you from originally?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: China.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you lived in the
7	U.S.?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I living here 26 years.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. DiGiacomo.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you. And you said you worked
11	in accounting. Do you do you work with people who speak
12	English?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Are you able to at least
15	communicate enough with them to be able to do your job?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yeah. Only it is for
17	accounting stuff.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. So it's the words we're using
19	here in the courtroom that's causing you some confusion?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: All right. Let me ask you just a
22	couple more questions, and I think the Judge may have a couple
23	of questions for you, too, okay.
24	You had indicated on your questionnaire that
25	basically it depends on the type of case, you'd consider the
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	death penalty depending on what the type of case was.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
3	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. But then you also indicated
4	that you wouldn't consider mitigation. Did you is that one
5	of the words you were confused by?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
7	MR. DiGIACOMO: All right. Mitigation are things
8	like the defendant's background. You understand what I'm
9	saying? Stuff like that. What his childhood was like, other
10	factors that influence his behavior. Can you understand what
11	I'm talking to you right now about? No?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No.
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: How far did you go in where first
15	of all, where are you from in China?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Canton.
17	THE COURT: From Canton, okay. And how far did
18	you ever go to school here in the United States?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Where did you college or high school
21	or what?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I get the BA accounting
23	degree in UNLV.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Well, now, is that so you have
25	a BA, not a BS? Bachelor of Arts.
ľ	

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Now, I'm assuming at UNLV you have
3	to take other types of classes to get a Bachelor of Arts
4	degree, not just accounting. Is that true?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay. So maybe like history and English.
7	What kind of other types of classes do you have to take to get
8	a degree at UNLV?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: You take all of the basis
10	[sic], regular classes, all of them. Then take most of the
11	like business classes.
12	THE COURT: Mostly business, but kind of everything.
13	And in China did you finish high school in China?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And then you have an accounting
16	degree here in the United States?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Uh-huh.
18	THE COURT: Are you a CPA?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No.
20	THE COURT: Okay. I'll see counsel up here at the
21	bench, please.
22	(Off-record bench conference)
23	THE COURT: Defense, any questions for Ms. Wright?
24	MR. ERICSSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
25	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
1	

2	State may question Potential Juror Number 6.
<u>,   </u>	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you.
3	Good morning, sir. How are you?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Fine.
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sir, there's a couple of questions
6	that have to relate to some of the things we haven't actually
7	talked about here. And I'm going to start kind of at the
8	middle of your questionnaire. You wrote an answer that
9	concerns your opinion as it relates to prosecutors that
10	obviously as a prosecutor gives me some concern. So do you
11	recall what you wrote about your opinion of prosecutors?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Well, see, it's been
13	about a week. No, I think I remember.
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: It has to do with
16	sometimes and I'm not saying all prosecutors, of course
17	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: but there are some
19	prosecutors out there that are willing to convict an innocent
20	man to further their careers.
	man to further their careers.  MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure. And, you know, that's I
20	
20 21	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure. And, you know, that's I
20 21 22	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure. And, you know, that's I guess the question I had isn't so much that you had that

1	heard and things that I've seen like on TV and stuff like
2	that, and things that I have read in different papers and like
3	that.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Obviously
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Just over the years.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: Right. Obviously you don't know Mr.
7	Pesci and I; right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No. No. I'm not like
9	I'm saying, I'm not saying all of them. But I have read about
10	some, yeah.
11	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure. You'd agree with me that
12	there's probably good prosecutors, there's probably bad
13	prosecutors?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Oh, yeah. Absolutely.
15	MR. DiGIACOMO: And, you know, it would be hard to
16	lump any particular person of any profession into one group of
17	good or bad.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Right. But it gives me
19	my suspicions.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: It's a healthy suspicion.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: And I guess that leads me back to you
23	have either a family member or yourself had some contact
24	with the criminal justice system.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Right.

1	MR. DiGIACOMO: Was your opinions of prosecutors
2	based on that interaction?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: It kind of opened my
4	eyes, yeah.
5	THE COURT: We're going to have I'm sorry to
6	interrupt you. We're going to have Ms. Wright hand you the
7	microphone.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Oh. Okay.
9	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. DiGiacomo.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you.
11	Do you think the prosecutor in that case was
12	overzealous?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yeah.
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: And do you think that outcome of that
15	just kind of colored your views of the way prosecutors handle
16	themselves?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yeah.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: Is there something should Mr.
19	Pesci and I have any concerns that you might think that we
20	would be career driven and not care about whether or not the
21	truth is brought out in the courtroom?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: No. But it would kind of
23	make me particular attention
24	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: to what you know,
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1 how you guys handle it and like that. 2 MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you -- could you give us a 3 guarantee that you'd listen to the evidence in the courtroom 4 and make --5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Absolutely. 6 MR. DiGIACOMO: -- a decision from the evidence, not 7 from --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Right. 9 MR. DiGIACOMO: -- whether or not you like myself, 10 Mr. Pesci or any of the defense attorneys? At the end of the 11 day you might not like any of us. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Right. 13 THE COURT: Or even at the beginning of the day. 14 MR. DiGIACOMO: Thanks. 15 But at the end of the day you'll listen to the 16 witnesses, you'll decide their credibility, you'll weigh the 17 evidence, and make a determination? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Absolutely. 19 MR. DiGIACOMO: Now let me jump to kind of the flip 20 side here. You know, as prosecutors I was concerned about 21 what you think of prosecutors. But I think as a defense 22 attorney they might be concerned about what your opinions of 23 the death penalty are. You believe in the death penalty? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Absolutely. 25 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. In fact, you think it's used a KARR REPORTING, INC.

1 little too -- not -- not often enough. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Yeah, not often enough. 3 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Do you think that at the end 4 of the day that, you know, if you find Mr. Carroll guilty of 5 first degree murder you're going to consider all four possible 6 punishments, or do you think if find him guilty of first 7 degree murder you're going to jump automatically to the death 8 penalty? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: It would be the death 10 penalty. 11 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Is there anything that I'm 12 going to be able to say to change your mind about that? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: If I think he's involved 14 with deliberately taking somebody else's life, I think he 15 should pay with his. 16 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And so I'm guessing by that 17 you wouldn't consider the other possibilities, life with the 18 possibility of the parole, life without the possibility of 19 parole, term of years. It was be, hey, this guy's guilty of 20 first degree murder, deliberately killing somebody, I think he 21 deserves the death penalty? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 054: Deserves the death 23 penalty. 24 Thank you very much, sir. MR. DiGIACOMO: 25 THE COURT: All right. Submit it?

1	. MR. DiGIACOMO: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Any questions from the defense?
3	Approach?
4	MR. ERICSSON: Yes.
5	(Off-record bench conference)
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7	State, you may question Potential Juror Number 7.
8	Yeah. We're just going to pass the microphone down.
9	My court recorder was giving me looks, so we're just going to
10	use the microphone from now on.
11	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sir, you how are you, Mr. Johnson?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Great.
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: You indicated that you took some
14	classes in political science back at San Jose State
15	University.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
17	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. But then when asked about
18	criminal justice or law, you didn't have any classes in that.
19	So you're I guess the classes were different as it relates
20	to, you know, government and those type of things.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: It was just a general
22	poly sci class.
23	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. You have a very interesting
24	job. Were you an air traffic controller before you were a
25	supervisor?
i,	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes. For 25 years.
2	MR. DiGIACOMO: Twenty-five years. You indicated
3	that you have some friends that are in law enforcement. Are
4	they local law enforcement officers?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And you said you don't really
7	have any discussions with them on law-related factors; right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: At the end of the day obviously
10	are they do they work with Metro?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
12	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. There's going to be some Metro
13	detectives that are coming here, and they're going to testify.
14	Obviously your relationship to any other people in Metro, you
15	can set that aside and judge the facts solely from the
16	evidence that's presented to you?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: You indicated that you had some
19	contact with the criminal justice system but essentially it
20	all worked itself out, and the right result, I'm guessing,
21	came
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
23	MR. DiGIACOMO: came about; right?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
25	MR. DiGIACOMO: Generally do you think that means
ļ	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	that the criminal justice system is fair and treats people
2	fairly, or not?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I believe, yes, that
4	eventually it does treat people fair, that the right outcome
5	normally comes out.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: Right. Obviously it was a little
7	unfair your case for at least a period of time, but at the end
8	of the day the right result resulted?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: Anything about that experience that
11	would cause you to have any concerns about sitting here and
12	judging the facts in this particular case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: You had indicated that you'd
15	previously served on a jury. Was that here?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: In California.
17	MR. DiGIACOMO: In California. Was it a criminal or
18	civil case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Civil.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Did you actually get to the
21	point where you wound up in the back room deliberating a
22	verdict?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
24	MR. DiGIACOMO: All right. Were you the foreperson
25	of the jury?
ı	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I was in a jury.
2	MR. DiGIACOMO: You were in the jury, but were you
3	the foreperson, or were you just
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I was not the foreperson.
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And did you guys actually
6	reach a verdict?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No, we didn't. They
8	pleaded while we were in deliberation.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Anything about that experience
10	that would cause you any concern in sitting on a jury here in
11	Nevada?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: You did have the best answer to
14	Question 26, which was, "I don't like to sit around and do
15	nothing all day." Unfortunately, for most of the jurors
16	that's what's going to happen at least for several days.
17	You'd indicated that you believe in the death
18	penalty.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: In most circumstances I
20	do.
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. When you say most
22	circumstances, what do you mean by that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I believe that the system
24	is flawed at times, and so there's times where we see that
25	later on evidence came forward and it turned out that the
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1 person was not guilty and that person was given the death 2 penalty. 3 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Would you agree with me that 4 those are the exceptions, not the usual case? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Correct. 6 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And I quess that's probably 7 what your answer -- or why your answer is that you want a 8 confession or clear indication of guilt before you'd be 9 willing to consider it. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes. 11 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. You also indicated in here, 12 and you're not alone, that you thought life without the 13 possibility of parole would actually be worse punishment for 14 somebody than the death penalty. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: At times, yes. 16 MR. DiGIACOMO: Why is that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Death at times is quick, 18 and it's over. A person that's been in -- I mean, other than 19 the costs, but at times the costs with the death penalty can 20 increase, also. And so I go back and forth over those 21 questions at times. But sometimes somebody sitting in jail 22 for -- without the possibility of parole can be worse. 23 MR. DiGIACOMO: You would agree with me that somebody 24 who sits in jail with life without the possibility of parole,

KARR REPORTING, INC.

at least from your standpoint, right, you know, you would

1 think that that person might think about their crime, they'd 2 have to think about it for the rest of their lives, as opposed 3 to what you said, the quick and easy death answer; right? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: If they have any kind of 5 conscience, yeah. Right. You'd agree with me, though, 6 MR. DiGIACOMO: 7 that maybe some people in this world just don't have a 8 conscience? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Correct. 10 MR. DiGIACOMO: And maybe that wouldn't be the worst 11 punishment for them, although it might be for us? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Correct. 13 MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think that you could sit here 14 and listen to all the testimony and make a decision as to 15 quilt or innocence of Mr. Carroll? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes. 17 MR. DiGIACOMO: And likewise, if we ever got to the 18 position where he was convicted of first degree murder, do you 19 think you could consider all the evidence presented and reach 20 a decision as to the appropriate punishment of all the four 21 possibilities that are out there in Nevada? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes. 23 MR. DiGIACOMO: If you were one of the people that 24 was accused in this particular case, would you want 12 people 25 like yourself sitting on this jury?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes. I think I'm fair.
2	MR. DiGIACOMO: You think you're a fair person?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much, sir.
5	Judge, we'd pass for cause.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7	Mr. Ericsson, you may question Potential Juror Numbe
8	7.
9	MR. ERICSSON: Good morning, Mr. Johnson.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Uh-huh.
11	MR. ERICSSON: I just want to start off by, one, jus
12	making sure and this is kind of a general statement for
13	everybody that we understand that this process of asking
	1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

oy, one, just ement for of asking all those fairly intrusive questions is -- can get tedious and is not easy for you. But I hope everyone understands the importance of what both sides are trying to do. The objective for everyone in this, prosecutors, as well as the defense attorneys, is to have 12 jurors who are fair and impartial and are appropriate for this type of case. I believe the Judge mentioned earlier that there are civil cases, there are other types of cases that some people might be a more appropriate jury for than this type. Probably the most serious case that goes on in this courthouse is a death penalty case.

Juror Number

As my co-counsel indicated, we are in the difficult position of talking about penalty issues before we even get to

the guilt or innocence phase, which is going to be the first part of the trial. And we believe that after that we won't even have to deal with the penalty issues. But because this is the only time we get to speak with potential jurors, we have to go through those issues.

Now, one of the things that was asked in the questionnaire is a lot of questions related to your position on the death penalty. And you've indicated -- you've seen the list of the four different potential sentences that can be imposed if somebody is found guilty of a first degree murder in the state of Nevada. And you are comfortable with imposing any of those four penalties; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I don't know about comfort, but, yes, I could -- believe I would fairly be able to make a decision on those penalties.

MR. ERICSSON: One of the issues that was brought up earlier is the burden of proof that the State of Nevada has. The prosecutors must prove beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the charges that have been brought against Mr. Carroll. Do you have any problems in that concept of the law, that the State has the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

MR. ERICSSON: And would you have any problem or hesitancy of coming back after the trial, after you've heard

all of the evidence, if you felt that the State had not met its burden of proof, of coming back in this room and rendering a not guilty verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

MR. ERICSSON: And on the flip side of that, if you felt that they had met every element of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt, would you have a problem coming back and rendering a guilty verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Not at all.

MR. ERICSSON: You may be aware that the because the State has the burden of proof, they are the first ones to present their evidence, their witnesses in the trial. One of the instructions that you'll be given from the Judge is that you are not to come to any opinions or decisions regarding the final outcome in this case until after you've heard all of the evidence and from both sides. Do you think that you would be able to hold off judgment until you've had the opportunity to hear all of the evidence before you came to an opinion as to whether Mr. Carroll is guilty or innocent?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I mean -- yes. I mean, I would definitely ever -- every attempt not to -- to hold off any type of judgment, yes.

MR. ERICSSON: Thank you very much. I have no further questions.

Pass for cause.

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
2	State, you may question Potential Juror Number 8.
3	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
4	As the microphone's coming down I'll ask. You said
5	you served on a jury before, but I believe it settled or
6	negotiated before you got to a verdict?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: We were lined up to come
8	into the court, and the we were dismissed back to the jury
9	room because it had settled right before we sat for the trial.
10	MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you know if it was criminal cr
11	if it was civil?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: It was a criminal trial.
13	Forgery, I believe.
14	MR. PESCI: Was it here in this jurisdiction, or
15	somewhere else?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes, it was here.
17	MR. PESCI: How long ago?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: The best I can recall was
19	six or seven years ago.
20	MR. PESCI: Okay. And you also indicated that you
21	have some friends that are involved in the criminal justice
22	system.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I am the dive master for
24	Sports Chalet. There are instructors that are in law
25	enforcement. I'm not sure which level, but I know them more
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 88

1	professionally as divers than I don't fraternize with them
2	outside of dive activities.
3	MR. PESCI: Okay. And then was there a friend also
4	are you from Illinois originally?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: South Bend, Indiana.
6	MR. PESCI: Okay. I think you said there was a
7	friend back there that's in law enforcement.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Oh. There was a lawyer.
9	One of the people that I grew up with is a lawyer in Chicago.
10	He comes out here and visits on occasion.
11	MR. PESCI: Okay. As far as the death penalty
12	questions you were asked, it seems as if you indicated that
13	you you're not against it, it would depend on the
14	circumstances of the case.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Needs to be weighed
16	appropriately.
17	MR. PESCI: You indicate that you can consider all
18	four potential penalties.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
20	MR. PESCI: And you wouldn't vote automatically for
21	or against the death penalty?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No, I would not.
23	MR. PESCI: We would pass for cause.
24	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bunin, you may follow up
25	with this potential juror.
j	

1	MR. BUNIN: Mr. Sleeter; right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Sleeter, yes.
3	MR. BUNIN: Let me start by asking a couple of
4	questions really from either phase of the trial, but really
5	maybe more on the guilt phase. You know, as part of your job
6	as a juror you have to judge credibility of witnesses that
7	testify. You know, you don't think just because somebody
8	takes an oath means they're going to fairly tell the truth on
9	the stand, do you?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: You need to, you know,
11	pay attention to the person, what they're saying. I'm not a
12	poker player. I don't know tells.
13	MR. BUNIN: Have you ever been in a situation where
14	you've had to judge credibility before?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No, I have not.
16	MR. BUNIN: Can you think of things that you might
17	look for? Not poker tells, but can you think of anything you
18	might look for maybe from a person on the stand, or listen for
19	that might help you determine a person's credibility?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Appearing nervous,
21	jittering, you know, agitation.
22	MR. BUNIN: Absolutely. What about a person
23	inconsistency. What if a person says one thing at one time
24	but another thing at another time and that comes out during
25	their examination on the stand? Is that something that you

1 would take into consideration for credibility? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Look at, you know, how 3 the question was asked. You know, people's memories aren't 4 perfect. You may remember details that, you know, may have 5 been missed the first time recalling them. 6 And then, you know, on that topic, MR. BUNIN: Sure. 7 ability to remember, would something about -- if a person may 8 have been using drugs or alcohol [inaudible] and they're 9 describing them today, do you believe that that use could 10 affect their memory of the event? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Drugs or alcohol usually 12 impairs recollection. 13 MR. BUNIN: Sure. And then I guess another thing you 14 might look for or maybe just agree with me would be an 15 incentive. Maybe some people on the stand have a certain 16 incentive to say certain things and maybe not other things. 17 Is that something that for your could weigh in the credibility 18 of a witness? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: You know, I don't know 20 the exact circumstances. 21 MR. BUNIN: Yeah. And you'd listen for that while 22 they were on the stand? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I'd be paying attention, 24 yes. 25 MR. BUNIN: Okay. And, you know, there are a couple

91

of types of witnesses that you see. You see just regular people called to be witnesses, people that may have just witnessed part of the event, and then there are other witnesses that are more professional, police officers and crime scene analysts and people like that. Do you think that the fact a person is a police officer makes him inherently more credible than a person who's not a police officer when they testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Police officer is a job. You know, they're human just like everybody else.

MR. BUNIN: So is that -- I mean, you would agree that a police officer, then, isn't necessarily somebody who is more credible than anybody else just because he's a police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: They're trained in law enforcement, you know, but infallible, no.

MR. BUNIN: Well, I mean, police have very hard jobs. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Very much so.

MR. BUNIN: They have to investigate the crime and do everything right, and they eventually get cross-examined by people like me and Mr. Ericsson, so it's not an easy job, and everybody acknowledges that. But, you know, some people I guess I've found over the years might have a hard time seeing an attorney trying to maybe even aggressively at times cross-examine a police officer. Do you think as defense

attorneys we should try and point out maybe mistakes that are made in an investigation or conclusions that may have been jumped to or tactics that we think are inappropriate, do you think it's proper for a defense attorney to point that out -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: All things need to be looked at, yes.

MR. BUNIN: Okay. You wouldn't hold that against the defense for doing something like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No, I would not.

MR. BUNIN: And again, when the officer answers the questions, one fact that you won't take into consideration is he's an officer therefore he must be more credible. You'll look at all the surrounding circumstances and determine whether or not the information you're getting is accurate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yeah. The person doing their job, you know, doing what they are, you know, supposed to be doing.

MR. BUNIN: Okay. Another topic that we haven't really talked about yet, and, I mean, when you look at Deangelo, you know, I don't know what anybody initially first thinks. But Deangelo has said to me, you know, I'm a black man and the alleged victim in this case is not black, he's white, and, you know, am I going to have a hard time getting through this trial or are these things that I'm supposed to be scared of.

1	MR. PESCI: Can we approach?
2	THE COURT: Yes, please.
3	(Off-record bench conference)
4	MR. BUNIN: So, you know, I guess what I'm getting at
5	is do you think it's a legitimate concern when the person
6	accused of the crime is black and the person who died is
7	white? Should we as the defense be concerned about race?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: If I was on the defense,
9	yes, I would be concerned about that.
10	MR. BUNIN: And I have to ask you personal questions,
11	and I'm sorry about that. You understand
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: This [inaudible] a
13	problem.
14	MR. BUNIN: Okay. Is there is there anything
15	about Deangelo's race that would bother you?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No, there isn't. You
17	know, back high school I was a wrestler, I competed
18	heavyweight against another African-American. You know, we
19	were, you know, training partners, battled for the position,
20	and we did everything to make each other stronger. I would
21	say that I try to be fair and just with everybody that I meet.
22	MR. BUNIN: Do you think I know these are horrible
23	questions at times, but there are many people that give very
24	different answers to all of these questions. Do you think
25	Deangelo's more likely to be guilty because he's black?
Ì	

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: It's a person behind a 2 Skin color doesn't -- shouldn't weigh into anything. desk. 3 MR. BUNIN: And is the crime that occurred any worse 4 because the victim is white? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No. As I said, you know, 6 it is a person -- you know, a person doing something to 7 another person, you know. Skin color, race, ethnicity, you 8 know, shouldn't be played into --- played into factors. 9 MR. BUNIN: And you as a juror, would you feel 10 comfortable ignoring those factors completely in determining 11 not only quilt or innocence but what the punishment should be? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Well, I should be 13 colorblind, yes. 14 MR. BUNIN: So if we get to the point -- and again, I 15 don't think we're ever going to get there, but if we get to 16 the point where there's going to be a penalty phase in this 17 case, that would mean that Deangelo is convicted of first 18 degree murder. And as the sheet that you filled explained to 19 you, there's four possibilities. Can you equally and honestly 20 consider all of those possibilities? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I can weigh things, you 22 know, from start to finish, you know, all the different 23 levels, and apply the appropriate one. 24 MR. BUNIN: And you understand you'll hear evidence

KARR REPORTING, INC.

25

from the prosecution about what are called aggravators, you'll

1	hear some evidence from the defense about what are called
2	mitigators, and then you personally have to weigh these and
3	determine whether or not death is appropriate or life is
4	appropriate? You understand?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
6	MR. BUNIN: Is that something you're comfortable
7	doing?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes. I can I can
9	weigh those factors.
10	MR. BUNIN: Can you foresee a possibility where you
11	believe life with the possibility of parole could be
12	appropriate in a first degree murder case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Life when it comes
14	down to sentencing, you know, a lot of [inaudible] to death
15	penalty, life without parole, you know, is a very serious
16	concern. You know, a possibility with parole is a factor.
17	You know, a set number of years is a factor.
18	MR. BUNIN: Those are all factors you would consider?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
20	MR. BUNIN: And you understand, you know, life with
21	parole would mean a 40-year minimum sentence and potentially
22	life as a maximum sentence? Do you understand?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Uh-huh. Yes.
24	MR. BUNIN: Do you believe that 40 means 40 years?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: You know, I've seen
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

enough TV shows, you know, with, you know, good behavior and 1 things like that. You know, I don't know how --- you know, I 2 3 don't believe 40 years is 40 years. MR. BUNIN: And I hear that, and I think I can tell 4 5 you honestly I don't think anybody in the room would dispute that 40 does mean 40 in Nevada. Meaning if a person is 6 7 sentenced to 40 years minimum and life maximum, they must serve 40 years, no exception, before there's a possibility of 8 9 them getting paroled. Do you understand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Okay. 10 I do now. MR. BUNIN: And I understand many jurisdictions do 11 12 that differently, and maybe the rules were different at one 13 time, but today, as we sit here in Nevada, anybody convicted 14 and sentenced to 40 to life must do 40 years from the date of 15 conviction before they can even consider giving him parole. 16 Make sense? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Makes sense. MR. BUNIN: And they don't to ever give him parole. 18 19 That's the rule. And that's something that you can consider 20 as a punishment? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: It can be considered, 21 22 yes. And would you consider that a fairly 23 MR. BUNIN: 24 serious punishment, too?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Forty years is a good

1	chunk of a person's life.
2	MR. BUNIN: The four choices are, you know, a term of
3	years, 40 being the minimum
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Uh-huh.
5	MR. BUNIN: live, 40 being the minimum, life
6	without, and death. Would you agree those are all very
7	substantial punishments?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes, they are.
9	MR. BUNIN: I'll pass for cause, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11	State, you may question Potential Juror Number 9.
12	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
13	Sir, you've indicated that you watch Channel 718. I
14	apologize. What's on 718? We asked of ton of crazy
15	questions. One was like what channels are good.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: I can't remember what's
17	on 718 right now.
18	MR. PESCI: Okay. You said you watched the I
19	can't remember, either. I was trying to remember what was on
20	718, but no big deal. What do you like to watch on TV?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Well, I watch a lot of
22	sports.
23	MR. PESCI: A lot of sports. Okay. Is there a
24	particular sport that you like?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Basketball.
- 1	

1	MR. PESCI: Basketball. You served on a jury before?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes.
3	MR. PESCI: And was that here?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Seattle, Washington.
5	MR. PESCI: Seattle. And you had a lot of contacts
6	with well, not a lot. You had some contacts with law
7	enforcement in Seattle?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Well, I have a cousin
9	that's a judge.
10	MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you feel as if you have to
11	explain yourself to him based on whatever your verdict is, or
12	do you think you can make your decision regardless of having
13	to talk it over with him afterwards?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: I wouldn't have to talk
15	to her. It's a female, my cousin.
16	MR. PESCI: Oh. Now, you what kind of a case was
17	it in Seattle?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: It was a criminal.
19	MR. PESCI: Criminal case. Was there a verdict?
20	Without saying what the verdict was, was there a verdict?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes.
22	MR. PESCI: And were you the foreperson?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: No.
24	MR. PESCI: You work for the postal service now?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 99

1	MR. PESCI: You're a manager. What do you do?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Actually, I run the whole
3	state of Nevada.
4	MR. PESCI: Do you really?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes.
6	MR. PESCI: Okay. Are there times when you have to
7	make determinations between two parties that might not see
8	things the same way?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: That's all time, union
10	and management.
11	MR. PESCI: Okay. So you have to sometimes hear
12	people give two versions of the same event?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes, I do.
14	MR. PESCI: And based on your years of experience do
15	you think you can have do you have the ability to make a
16	determination as to what you think happened?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Based on the factual
18	data, yes.
19	MR. PESCI: Okay. And sometimes that'll be contrary
20	to one of the people or more than one person that's in front
21	of you?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Correct.
23	MR. PESCI: Do you have any problem with that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: No problems.
25	MR. PESCI: Okay. We asked some general questions
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 100

about kind of the players in the criminal justice system, and one of the questions you answered talking about prosecutors was -- let's see how you worded it exactly -- is "They will win by any means." Was that based on your Seattle experience?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Well, pretty much, you

know, like people said they watch TV, everything, you know, and you watch movies, and it's like what they said, it's what you can prove and no prosecutor will normally take a case they probably can't prove. So you go for the win.

MR. PESCI: Okay. And then there was the question about Public Defenders, and you indicated you thought they were public servants.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Depending on who -- who they have as their -- you know, their job is to find the loopholes in the case.

MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you think that we as the State would do anything to win, or do you think we would put on the evidence and let you decide?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: I think you'd put on a case where the evidence would show that the person was guilty, so you wouldn't put anything on that would contradict your case.

MR. PESCI: Okay. All right. Do you have any problem with the concept of the burden of proof being on the State of Nevada, meaning us as the prosecutors?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Well, it's kind of like
2	the contract. The contract is the union, discipline is
3	management, so I understand the burden of proof.
4	MR. PESCI: Okay. And you normally carry that
5	burden?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes. Most of the
7	discipline ones, yes, we do.
8	MR. PESCI: And at times can people think or construe
9	your efforts as negative towards them?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes, at times.
11	MR. PESCI: Well, you're I'm assuming in your
12	position you're just putting forth the facts, you're trying to
13	discern the facts, and you're not trying to hurt someone in
14	particular.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: No. We're just trying to
16	find out what the root cause and the facts are, yes.
17	MR. PESCI: Do you think you could be fair to both
18	sides?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes, I can.
20	MR. PESCI: And if you're sitting where Mr. DiGiacomo
21	and I are, are you comfortable with you as a juror?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes.
23	MR. PESCI: If you're sitting over where Mr. Carroll
24	is, are you comfortable with you as a juror?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes.
ı	

All right. Pass for cause. 1 MR. PESCI: 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Pesci. 3 Mr. Ericsson. MR. ERICSSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 Good afternoon. We've crossed the noon barrier. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yeah. I'm hungry, too. 6 7 I'm doing great. Yeah, I'm hungry. 8 THE COURT: Did you say you're hungry? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes. 9 10 THE COURT: We'll take a break soon. MR. ERICSSON: I appreciate the detail and the 11 12 honesty seem very apparent in your responses to this 13 questionnaire. And I just want to follow up on a few things. 14 Do you -- do you believe that -- that an innocent person could be charged with a murder charge in this state? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes, there could be 17 evidence that could have him look like he's the guilty party, 18 yes. 19 MR. ERICSSON: Okay. Is there -- there are some 20 people who, you know, have a pretty strong opinion that if 21 someone is charged with something that serious that, you know, the cops wouldn't have got that wrong. But you understand 22 that there are occasions where law enforcement can make a 23 mistake and have somebody who is innocent charged with very 24

KARR REPORTING, INC.

25

serious charges?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes.

MR. ERICSSON: Now, if you — at the end of this if you found — came to the conclusion that somebody was guilty of first degree murder, that there was an intentional killing, it wasn't self defense, it wasn't an accident or any type of issue where the person did not intend to kill, would you still be able to consider all four of the sentencing options in that situation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes.

MR. ERICSSON: So you would be able to consider the option of death ---

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes.

MR. ERICSSON: -- is that correct? And if you felt that -- if you found that beyond a reasonable doubt it was an intentional killing, first degree murder, you would be able to consider the penalty of life with the possibility of parole after 40 years?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes.

MR. ERICSSON: We obviously have a very short time to try to get some understanding of who you are and if you're the right type of person to sit on this type of very serious case. And I'll just end with this question. If you were either Mr. Carroll or the prosecutor sitting at these tables today, would you feel comfortable with somebody with your background and real-life perceptions sitting in judgment on this case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes.
2	MR. ERICSSON: Thank you very much.
3	THE COURT: All right. Pass?
4	MR. ERICSSON: Pass for cause.
5	THE COURT: State, you may question Potential Juror
6	Number 10.
7	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you.
8	Is it Mr. Briggs?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: Mr. Briggs, I'm going to jump to the
11	end of your questionnaire, and talk to you a little bit about
12	the death penalty. Obviously you believe in it.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: Had you ever thought about it before
15	you filled out the questionnaire a week or two ago, whenever
16	it was?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No. No.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: Since the time you've kind of filled
19	it out have you thought a little bit more about it?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes. Yeah.
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: So let me ask you because I know
22	we asked it in so many different ways that it might have been
23	somewhat confusing, so I just want to ask you, now that you've
24	sat here, you've heard everything everybody's had to say, at
25	the end of the day do you think you could consider all four
٠.٠	KARR REPORTING, INC. 105

	I forms of punishment should you reach the point where you a be
2	considering that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you agree with the statement
5	that, you know, in some cases, in murder cases, the death
6	penalty is appropriate and some it's not?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Right. Right.
8	MR. DiGIACOMO: The last question I want to ask you
9	is about kind of at the conclusion you kind of explained that
10	it seems like when you were about 10 years or 11 years old
11	there was some interaction with the Palomino Club.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah. I have a bad case
13	about that place.
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: Right. But that was a long time ago,
15	long before the current owners
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I still have
17	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: a problem with it.
19	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Well, let me ask you this. If
20	you hear that, for example, Mr. Carroll worked at the Palomino
21	Club, is that going to cause you
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: It would it would
23	bother me.
24	MR. DiGIACOMO: It would bother you?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
,	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	MR. DiGIACOMO: The ultimate question in this is can
2	you set that aside and look at the facts
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: or is it such that this is not
5	something you should be doing since it involves the Palom:.no
6	Club?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: It bothers me, yes.
8	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much.
9	We'll submit it.
10	THE COURT: Any questions from the defense?
11	MR. BUNIN: Can we approach, or
12	THE COURT: Yeah.
13	(Off-record bench conference)
14	THE COURT: All right. State, you may question
15	Potential Juror Number 11.
16	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
17	Now, you've served on a jury before?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes, I have.
19	MR. PESCI: Was it a criminal or a civil?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: There was one criminal,
21	one federal.
22	MR. PESCI: So you served twice?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.
24	MR. PESCI: When was which one was the most
25	recent?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: The federal. Medical
2	malpractice.
3	MR. PESCI: Was that here in town?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.
5	MR. PESCI: And in the non-federal case, what was
6	that one about?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: It was forgery.
8	MR. PESCI: Forgery. Was that here in with the
9	County, as opposed to federal?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.
11	MR. PESCI: Either time were you the foreperson?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.
13	MR. PESCI: And generally your experience I think
14	you said that you enjoyed it, it was a good thing.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: It was interesting.
16	MR. PESCI: Interesting. It may not be the best use
17	of time, but it's interesting?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Well, no. It's to
19	find out how the system works and, you know, we've always been
20	told it's our civic duty. So, yeah, interesting.
21	MR. PESCI: Okay. I think you said you took some
22	criminal justice classes in college.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I took one semester
24	years ago.
25	MR. PESCI: Nothing since then?
:	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.
2	MR. PESCI: You've indicated that there was some
3	experience with your family with the criminal justice system
4	and that you felt that the way it was handled was appropriate.
5	Is that accurate?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.
7	MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you hold any ill will towards
8	the State of Nevada? Were we the prosecuting agency?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. No ill will. I
10	mean, they deserve what they did.
11	MR. PESCI: Okay. And then as far as the death
12	penalty goes, I believe your indication was is that you can
13	consider all the different possible punishments, including the
14	death penalty.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I could. I don't really
16	have an opinion one way or the other at this point.
17	MR. PESCI: Right. You wouldn't automatically vote
18	for it or against it?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.
20	MR. PESCI: All right. We'll pass for cause.
21	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
22	Defense, you may question Potential Juror Number 11.
23	MR. BUNIN: Good afternoon.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Hello.
25	MR. BUNIN: I'm also hungry.

1	THE COURT: Well, I'm hungry, too. And we will be
2	taking a break in a few minutes.
3	MR. BUNIN: You know, have you thought much about the
4	death penalty before you were faced with this questionnaire
5	recently?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.
7	MR. BUNIN: Never something you debated with anybody
8	or considered from, I don't know, watching a news program or a
9	radio or TV show, anything like that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.
11	MR. BUNIN: Have you thought about it since you
12	received this, which has been about a week?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.
14	MR. BUNIN: No? All right. You have a fresh mind.
15	You know, do you believe it's something that you
16	could impose if a person was found guilty of first degree
17	murder?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I believe I could listen
19	to and discuss with everyone and yes.
20	MR. BUNIN: Do you agree that all four possibilities
21	if a person's convicted of first degree murder, a long term of
22	years, life with the possibility of parole, life without, and
23	death are all legitimate options?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.
25	MR. BUNIN: Are there any of those options that you
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 110

would just discard saying, that one's just not appropriate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.

1.5

1.6

MR. BUNIN: Do you -- well, let's talk about some of the things you would consider if a person is convicted of first degree murder. Do you believe -- we asked about this in the questionnaire -- that there are mitigating factors that are legitimate to take into consideration of a person who's convicted of a crime? And by mitigating factors I mean things in his background that might help explain and, you know, tell you who he is, and then maybe you would take that into consideration in determining if he should get the most severe of the four options or the least severe of the four options?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I believe there's that

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I believe there's that possibility, there's mitigating circumstances.

MR. BUNIN: And by mitigating circumstances -- you know, we listed a few in here. Do you think these are all legitimate, such as the health of the person accused, the mental status of a person accused, the age, childhood experiences, his overall education, you know, maybe things that he experienced when he was a kid or just naturally whether or not he's a particularly intelligent person? Are these all things that are legitimate to take into consideration when you look at mitigation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I don't think all of them are.

Which ones don't you agree with? MR. BUNIN: 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Well, I believe that 2 mental status would be a mitigating circumstances, or IQ could 3 be a mitigating circumstances, but the other ones no. 4 5 Childhood experiences, maybe if a person MR. BUNIN: had an unstructured and very difficult childhood, is that 6 7 something that you would consider? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I would talk with 8 everyone, and, you know, they could discuss it with me. 9 10 off the top of my head, no. MR. BUNIN: You don't think you can consider that at 11 all as a mitigating factor? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Well, I could consider it 13 14 15 MR. BUNIN: Oh. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: -- with people discussing 16 I mean, you know, I could be persuaded, I 17 it with me. imagine. But off the top of my head, no. I mean, I wouldn't 18 19 automatically say that. MR. BUNIN: Okay. You know, people discussing with 20 you, that's something I've asked a lot of jurors. I used to 21 call it the Friday question. I'm just kind of asking you 22 about your own personality. I call it the Friday question 23 because, you know, a lot of times jury trials end on a Friday 24 at 5:00 or 6:00 o'clock, everybody kind of wants to go home, 25

112

but the judge says, go back and deliberate and do your best.

And let's -- a situation a couple hours into it where you disagree with most people in the room and you're feeling a lot of pressure to change your mind. Are you the type of personality that would kind of go with the flow just to get the thing done if 10 or 11 people were -- really disagreed with you anyway, or are you the type of person who would only change your mind if you personally felt it was appropriate to change?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No. I -- it would have to be my -- my idea.

MR. BUNIN: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I couldn't be talked into that. This is a person's life.

MR. BUNIN: Appreciate that. And we asked a question kind of similar to that on the questionnaire here about if you have a strong personality. But, you know, I was — people to think the through, because it is a hard thing, I guess, if 11 people are saying, come on, we all disagree with you, we want to go home, we don't want to come back Monday, just please come our way, you're not the person that would ever be pressured by that sort of argument anyway?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No. Because it's someone's life. I mean, I think I would take that pretty seriously.

MR. BUNIN: You know, I was talking a minute ago 1 about credibility and how you judge credibility of people that 2 3 testify. Have you ever had to judge people's credibility 4 before? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Just in the two juries I 6 served in. MR. BUNIN: Oh, I guess so. Well, the things that I 7 discussed with Mr. Sleeter -- that's one I can remember the 8 name -- do you think those were -- would you agree with things 9 we talked about, the general demeanor on the stand, 10 consistency of statements on the stand, you know, ability to 11 remember what occurred, or incentive to maybe say certain 12 13 things are all legitimate factors to consider when weighing 14 credibility? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. 15 MR. BUNIN: Did you find it difficult to weigh 16 people's credibility when you were a juror in other cases? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No. 18 19 MR. BUNIN: No? It's something you're comfortable 20 doing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. 21 MR. BUNIN: All right. And then, you know, I don't 22 want to get back into it in a lot of detail right now, 23 partially the hunger factor, but we will get back into it for 24 25 sure later. But do you think race is a legitimate issue for

1	the defense to be concerned about?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I think they should be
3	concerned about it. I'm not.
4	MR. BUNIN: It's not an issue for you?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Not for me.
6	MR. BUNIN: If you were in the jury room and a juror
7	was using race as an issue, is that what would you do in a
8	situation like that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I would try to take mace
10	off the table. I mean, it shouldn't be a circumstance.
11	MR. BUNIN: Okay. And to you does it make the crime
12	is it any more or less serious because the person who died
13	is white and the person who's being charged with the crime is
14	black?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.
16	MR. BUNIN: Okay. For you you feel no prejudice at
16 17	MR. BUNIN: Okay. For you you feel no prejudice at all, you don't think
17	all, you don't think
17 18	all, you don't think PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I work in a very diverse
17 18 19	all, you don't think  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I work in a very diverse  industry, deal with a lot of different people, so
17 18 19 20	all, you don't think  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I work in a very diverse  industry, deal with a lot of different people, so  MR. BUNIN: Okay. We'll probably into more detail on
17 18 19 20 21	all, you don't think  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I work in a very diverse industry, deal with a lot of different people, so  MR. BUNIN: Okay. We'll probably into more detail on that later. But I appreciate your answers.
17 18 19 20 21 22	all, you don't think  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I work in a very diverse  industry, deal with a lot of different people, so  MR. BUNIN: Okay. We'll probably into more detail on that later. But I appreciate your answers.  I'll pass.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	all, you don't think  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I work in a very diverse  industry, deal with a lot of different people, so  MR. BUNIN: Okay. We'll probably into more detail on  that later. But I appreciate your answers.  I'll pass.  THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Bunin.

Wooten will give additional instruction at the doorway. Badge Number 25, Mr. Yeung; Badge Number 32, Ms. Eaves; Badge Number 78, Mr. Bates; Badge Number 49, Ms. Wright; Badge Number 54, Mr. Pedrola. And Badge Number 67, Mr. Briggs. Officer Wooten will give you instruction at the door.

For the rest of us, we're going to go ahead and take our lunch break at this time. Before I excuse the rest of you for the lunch break, a couple of things I must tell you.

First of all, obviously you haven't heard any evidence or any testimony in this case. However, you have heard discussion about what the case is about. During our lunch break please don't discuss anything that's transpired in the courtroom with each other or with anyone else. "Anyone else" would include members of your family and your friends. You may, of course, tell them that you are participating in jury selection in a criminal jury trial, but please do not discuss anything else relating to this case.

Additionally, do not read, watch, listen to any reports of or commentaries on any subject matter relating to the trial, don't do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium. Obviously don't visit the location of the Palomino Club during our lunch break, and please don't do anything else to form or develop an opinion on any matter relating to this trial.

One final thing. Court personnel, other than the

bailiff, and the attorneys, the parties are precluded from speaking directly with members of the jury until the case is completely over. So, should you see one of these people in the hallway or the elevator during the break, please don't think that they're being unfriendly or antisocial. They are precluded by the law and the rules of ethics from 7 communicating or speaking to the members of the jury. We're going to be in recess for an hour. until 1:25. If anyone has any questions regarding where to meet or anything like that, please direct those questions to 11 Officer Wooten in the hallway.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, if everyone would please remember where they are seated. You do need to take those seats again when we return from the lunch break.

Did you want to know if you could leave --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Can we just leave our stuff here?

THE COURT: Yes. If anyone has any personal property, they can leave it in the courtroom. The courtroom will be secured during the lunch break.

Having said that, I need all of the prospective jurors to please exit and follow Officer Wooten through the double doors.

> (Prospective jurors recessed at 12:26 p.m.) THE COURT: Sue, we have what, five qualified?

right. I just need to put on the record that all of the for cause challenges from the defense, and I think there was one for the State, were granted.

Additionally, with respect to Potential Juror Number 49, the defense had a concern based on her responses that she was not completely familiar sufficiently with English. So based on that conversation at the bench I did excuse her at the defense's request.

Does that comport with everyone's recollection?

MR. PESCI: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Just a housekeeping matter. We have the remainder of the jurors coming back at 12:30 [sic], I'm assuming. Well, I have to let them eat. We're going to take -- we're all going to take our lunch now. What I wanted to do, there's no room for them anyway, finish with almost everybody in here until we get to the ones we had put on the end for hardship and whatnot. Just also to put that on the record, we all agreed in the hallway for those people to be excused or placed at the end due to hardship issues. So when we get through more, then we'll break, send those people out, and we'll have to bring the new ones in and do our speech and all of that with them. But, I don't know, maybe we'll get really lucky and --

MR. PESCI: Judge, if I could --

MR. DiGIACOMO: I'm surprised at how far we've gone, 1 2 actually. THE COURT: Well, you guys are doing really great in 3 terms of focusing right on the issues and everything. I think 4 5 that's why we've gotten as far as we have. 6 Yes. MR. PESCI: If I can really fast, Juror Number 66, 7 Mr. I think it's Melonson --8 9 THE COURT: Right. MR. PESCI: -- as he was walking out he said to me 10 11 that 718 is TNT. MR. DiGIACOMO: He's got Cox Cable apparently. 12 MR. PESCI: So just wanted to --13 THE COURT: Oh. 14 MR. BUNIN: I know it is. That's where I watch 15 basketball, too. He's exactly right. 16 MR. PESCI: He just said that. I wanted everybody to 17 know that, and I just shook my head, and that's the end of 18 19 that. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, maybe they think 20 they can talk to you if it's not in the hallway or in the 21 22 elevator. All right. Go to lunch. You guys can leave your 23 stuff spread out. The courtroom's locked. 24 (Court recessed at 12:29 p.m., until 1:38 p.m.) 25

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1 I just need to inform everyone my THE COURT: 2 bailiff, when we took the lunch break, observed a juror 3 crying. He asked her what was wrong. Her dog died. She had 4 called her mother and learned that her dog had died. And then 5 Jeff asked her, well, are you going to be okay, do we need you 6 to come back. She said, no, she would be okay. But if you 7 guys see someone crying --8 It was Juror No. 114? 9 THE MARSHAL: 217, McNicholas. 10 THE COURT: Juror No. 217, McNicholas. If you see her crying, I wanted you to know it has nothing to do with the 11 12 It's just because of the dog. 13 MR. BUNIN: And I guess it's worth saying that as we 14 were going to the elevators, the person that was in the electricians union, the IBEW --15 16 THE COURT: Right. -- looked at me and asked how I'm doing 17 MR. BUNIN: right after you admonished him. And I just kind of looked 18 19 down and didn't say anything and that was the end of it. 20 THE COURT: Okay. I'll just remind them again. 21 MR. BUNIN: Sure. 22 MR. DiGIACOMO: That is the electrician issue? 23 no, no. 24 Yeah, he's -- we put him at the end. THE COURT: 25 MR. BUNIN: We put him at the end anyway.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	MR. DiGIACOMO: Oh, okay.
2	THE COURT: Yeah, he's at the end anyway.
3	MR. DiGIACOMO: 217, dog died. Oh, that's sad.
4	THE COURT: McNicholas was crying in the hallway, and
5	then crying down to the cafeteria.
6	MR. PESCI: I can give her dog. Would that make her
7	happy?
8	THE MARSHAL: Are we ready for the jury, Your Honor?
9	THE COURT: Is everybody ready?
10	Yeah.
11	THE MARSHAL: Jury is coming in.
12	(Prospective jury panel enters at 1:40 p.m.)
13	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in
14	session. The record will reflect the presence of the State
15	through the deputy district attorneys, the presence of the
16	defendant and his counsel, the officers of the court, and the
17	members of the prospective jury panel.
18	And the State may question the prospective juror in
19	chair No. 12.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
21	Is it Ms. Tripp?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Fripp.
23	MR. DiGIACOMO: Fripp. You indicated in your
24	questionnaire that you're a legal assistant in a personal
25	injury law firm. What does that mean you do all day? What do
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 121

1	you do?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Oh, that was ten years
3	ago.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Oh, ten years ago.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yeah.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: So you don't currently work for a law
7	firm?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No. No.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: Nothing about that experience,
10	obviously, would be relevant in this courtroom?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Absolutely not.
12	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. There was a lot of questions
13	in here that led into the criminal justice system, and like
14	many people you probably haven't really had much contact with
15	it. Is that a fair characterization?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
17	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And so when you're asked
18	certain questions about like the death penalty, you indicated,
19	well, basically I hadn't thought about it so I don't know
20	where I stand. Have you thought about it all since the time
21	you filled this out?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I mean, I've thought
23	about it before, just in you know, if I was watching some
24	show, Lock Up or something like that, and thought about it
25	before, had some conversations here and there, but never
	II

really -- not really.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Do you think you believe in the death penalty as a form of punishment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yeah.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think that if you were to sit here throughout a couple of weeks and listened to the evidence first, and if we got through the guilt phase and found Mr. Carroll guilty, do you think you could sit and judge the evidence and decide on a punishment for him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Absolutely.

THE RECORDER: Ma'am, could you hold the microphone up, please.

MR. DiGIACOMO: You had also indicated, like many people, that you think maybe life without the possibility of parole is worse than the death penalty.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.

on what they did and what their crime was.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Why, for you, do you think that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Because I think death is pretty quick, and I believe that if somebody is sitting in jail for a long time, and if they're like any human being would think about what -- I think it -- it gives them time to think about what they've done, you know, more time to reflect

MR. DiGIACOMO: And that's a common refrain from lots of jurors, in fact.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Uh-huh. 1 MR. DiGIACOMO: As a matter of fact, another juror 2 3 said that earlier. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes. 4 MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you agree with that other juror 5 that, you know, there are some people that don't have a 6 conscience and maybe they wouldn't sit around thinking about 7 it for the rest of their lives? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yeah. MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think maybe for that type of 10 person the death penalty might be worse than life without the 11 12 possibility of parole? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yeah. 13 MR. DiGIACOMO: That pretty much covers my questions. 14 Is there anything that any of us have asked that you felt --15 either side asked of any of the other jurors that you think 16 17 might be important to tell us? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I honestly can't 18 19 remember. MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Good answer. Let me ask you 20 this. Do you think you're a fair person? 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Absolutely. MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think if you were accused of a 23 crime you'd want 12 people with your state of mind sitting on 24 a jury deciding whether or not you had or had not committed 25

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	the crime?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
3	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much.
4	Judge, we pass for cause.
5	THE COURT: Thank you.
6	Mr. Ericsson.
7	MR. ERICSSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: You may follow up.
9	MR. ERICSSON: Good afternoon, ma'am.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Hello.
L1	MR. ERICSSON: You've indicated in your responses to
12	the questionnaire that you could consider all four of the
L3	potential sentences in a if you were to find Mr. Carroll
14	guilty of first degree murder; is that right?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
16	MR. ERICSSON: And I want to make just follow up
17	on that a little bit. If if you were to find him guilty of
18	a murder that was premeditated and intentional, that there
19	wasn't accidental or any type of self-defense or anything like
20	that, would you would you still be able to find would
21	you still be able to consider all four of the options?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes, depending on the
23	evidence that was shown.
24	MR. ERICSSON: You you've heard some questions
25	earlier this morning about about mitigation. And that was

one of the questions you answered in the questionnaire as to whether you would be able to listen if there were a penalty phase to the mitigation issues such as intelligence level or background or other things like that. And you indicated that you would be able to consider those in coming to a decision as to the appropriate penalty. Is that still your --- your feeling on that issue?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.

MR. ERICSSON: Do you have any -- any concerns that you would have difficulty in holding the prosecutors to their burden of proof in a case like this, that they would have to prove every element of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.

MR. ERICSSON: And lastly, we're limited in to really knowing exactly how a person feels and, like I said earlier, we are just trying to find people who are appropriate for this type of very serious case. Do you feel that -- that you have the proper frame of mind and prospective to be able to be completely fair to both Mr. Carroll and the prosecution in a case like this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.

MR. ERICSSON: Thank you very much.

I would pass for cause.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Ms. Husted, please call up the next six prospective

_	jurors.
2	THE CLERK: Badge No. 81, Michael Redondo in seat
3	one.
4	Badge No. 82, William Hartfield in seat two.
5	Badge No. 92, Sharon Overton in seat three.
6	Badge No. 19 I'm sorry. Badge No. 120, Valer…e
7	Keith in seat five.
8	Badge No. 125, Tammy Cottam in seat six.
9	And badge 126. Gloria Torres-Gamboa in seat ten.
10	THE COURT: Ma'am, I need you in that empty seat
11	there in the middle.
12	All right. The State may question the juror in chair
13	No. 1.
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: Mr. Redondo?
15	THE RECORDER: Excuse me. Did they pass the
16	microphone down?
17	THE COURT: Yes, he has it.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: I have it.
19	THE RECORDER: Thank you.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sir, it indicates that you're a
21	production tech and that you fill canisters with various types
22	of industrial gases. What's it what's it used for?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: It's used for industrial
24	uses, medical uses, and specialty gases.
25	MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you have any specialized training
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 127

1	or experience that allows you to do that or
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
3	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. What is that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Hazmat training and also
5	training throughout the company.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: Not a lot of other questions I'm
7	going to ask you. I just want to go a little bit through your
8	questionnaire just because we ask so many questions in so many
9	different ways. So let me start first with jury service. You
10	were previously on a jury?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
12	MR. DiGIACOMO: Was it here or was it in another
13	state?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: In California.
15	MR. DiGIACOMO: In California. Do you remember if it
16	was criminal or civil?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Criminal.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: And do remember the type of charge?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: What was it?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Rape.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And without telling us what
23	the result was, did you wind up in the back room and
24	deliberate?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
	<b>}</b>

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	MR. DiGIACOMO: And were you the foreperson of the
2	jury?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Did you guys reach a result?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: You indicated that you thought, you
7	know, jury service was your civic duty. Did you find that to
8	be a positive experience in California, or a negative
9	experience?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Positive.
11	MR. DiGIACOMO: My only other questions center or the
12	death penalty. And I think it's pretty clear. You basically
13	say in certain cases it's appropriate, and certain cases it's
14	not.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Correct.
16	MR. DiGIACOMO: At the end of the day after you hear
17	all the evidence, can you weigh all four possible punishments
18	against Mr. Carroll and make the decision between those four
19	punishments?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think you'd be a fair juror?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
23	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much, sir.
24	Judge, I pass for cause.
25	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	Mr. Bunin.
2	MR. BUNIN: Thank you.
3	Good afternoon, Mr. Redondo.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Good afternoon.
5	MR. BUNIN: I want to talk about a few things before
6	we talk about penalty phase, what I talked about with some
7	other jurors and just see what your thoughts are. And, you
8	know, I kind of asked this question of one of the other
9	prospective jurors, but as Deangelo sits here today, is he
10	considered guilty?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: I don't know.
12	MR. BUNIN: And, again, you understand that the
13	prosecutors in this case are the only ones that have a burden
14	of proof, meaning they're obligated to show beyond a
15	reasonable doubt each and every element of the crimes charged
16	or you must find not guilty. That'll be the rule. Do you
17	understand?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
19	MR. BUNIN: And it at this point they've provided
20	no evidence. So would you agree that at least at this point,
21	hearing no evidence, Deangelo would be presumed innocent?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
23	MR. BUNIN: Do you believe that? I mean, as you sit
24	here because maybe I'm wrong, but I see a little
25	hesitation. You're a poker room are you a dealer or are
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 130

1	you a manager?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No, my wife is.
3	MR. BUNIN: Oh, your wife is. I'm sorry. I read it
4	wrong. [indecipherable]. But as you sit here today, do you
5	believe you can look at Deangelo and say he is absolutely
6	innocent and believe that until such a time occurs, if it ever
7	occurs, could the State prove beyond a reasonable doubt the
8	elements of the crime? Or do you believe that because he's
9	here he must be guilty of something?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No, I believe you're
11	innocent until proven guilty.
12	MR. BUNIN: Okay. Do you absolutely believe that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes, I do.
14	MR. BUNIN: And you can look at him right now and
15	honestly say this is an innocent person and until the State
16	proves, if they ever do, you will find him not guilty?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
18	MR. BUNIN: Could you do that without hesitation if
19	you didn't believe the State proved beyond a reasonable doubt
20	each and every element of the crime that he is not guilty?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
22	MR. BUNIN: Okay. And, again, along that same theme,
23	how would you feel if Deangelo did not testify at trial?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: It wouldn't matter to me.
25	MR. BUNIN: And, you know, this is a decision that
	ll .

KARR REPORTING, INC.

Deangelo makes at the conclusion of the State's case with 1 2 advice from Tom and myself. But if the State goes through their entire case and the defense believes they didn't prove 3 beyond a reasonable doubt the elements, we may make a 4 strategic decision and say there's no reason to put on 5 Is that something that you're okay with, or would 6 evidence. you as a juror be upset when you go back in the deliberation 7 room and you saw no evidence from the defense? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No, I'd be okay with 9 10 that. And you understand under the rules it's 11 MR. BUNIN: just absolutely clear that the defense has no obligation 12 whatsoever to put on any evidence if they choose not to? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes. 14 MR. BUNIN: And you're okay with that? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes. 16 There were a couple things we haven't. 17 MR. BUNIN: talked about, is you're going to hear evidence in this case, 18 and part of the evidence is going to have to do with a gun and 19 a gunshot wound. You know, do you have any personal 20 experiences with people that own or use guns that will affect 21

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No.

your ability to be fair in a case like this?

22

23

24

25

MR. BUNIN: You're also going to hear -- and I want everybody to think about this because I'll ask others as we

move along during the day. But you're going to hear allegations that people involved in this case were possibly using drugs or alcohol. Do you have personal experiences of family or people you know or whoever that — that affect your ability to be fair if you hear that certain people in this case may have used drugs or alcohol?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No.

MR. BUNIN: It wouldn't bother you at all?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No.

MR. BUNIN: Nothing that would affect you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No.

MR. BUNIN: Okay. Let's talk a little bit about the penalty phase. And I -- I will keep repeating that I hate the fact that I have to talk about the penalty phase, but I'm going to do it at least one more time right now. I'm talking about it because we have to. We're obligated to. Do you understand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: And, you know, I have no other opportunity to speak to anybody in the panel, so this is our one chance to talk to you. But we firmly believe that Deangelo is not guilty and we're never going to get to a penalty phase. This is just something we're obligated to do. Is that fair game that we're going to talk about this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

1	MR. BUNIN: Okay. Have you ever thought much about
2	the death penalty before?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No.
4	MR. BUNIN: Do you believe in the death penalty? Is
5	it something that we should have as a policy?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: I don't
7	MR. BUNIN: No real answer?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No real answer. I just
9	don't I've just never thought about it.
10	MR. BUNIN: Okay. You didn't I know it's been
11	about a week since you filled this out.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yeah.
13	MR. BUNIN: Did you sit and think about it a little
14	bit, or did you just fill it out as best you could and didn't
15	really [inaudible]?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Well, I haven't thought
17	about it, and I don't think I will think about it until after
18	the case is over.
19	MR. BUNIN: All right. Do you agree are you okay
20	with the law when it says if a person is convicted of first
21	degree murder, there are four choices that all must be
22	considered as legitimate choices?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
24	MR. BUNIN: And you're okay with every one of those
25	choices?

**AA 0306** 

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: Are you the type of person that would consider a term of years or life for a person that's been convicted of premeditated murder, first degree murder?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: Would you also consider the death penalty as an option?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: Do you think that there are -- well, let's talk about how you evaluate that sort of thing. I know you've never really thought about it before, most people never would. Most people would never be in a situation where they made this type of impact. You know, the law essentially is saying there is four options, and some options some people believe are worse than others. I would submit that death is probably the worst option, although a lot of people think that life without might be the worst option. And then, you know, the best option, I suppose, would be a term of years, 40 years to 100 years, or life with the possibility of parole, 40 to life. But they're all -- would you agree those are all pretty substantial punishments?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: Now, what the law essentially is saying is everybody treated -- I mean, everybody convicted of first degree murder is not to be treated the same way. There are

different grades of people that commit first degree murder.

So you have to evaluate the person to determine if he falls in what you believe are the worst or the -- the least of those four categories. Is that something you're comfortable doing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: And we ask you in this questionnaire, in order to do that you have to listen to the prosecution, and they're going to argue about aggravated factors or they're going to give you reasons that they have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt outweigh any mitigating factors that the defense will argue. And unless the prosecution does that, the law says you would pick some sort of life choice. Do you agree with that law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: Is that something you could do without hesitation where you listen to all the arguments and in the end you conclude the prosecution did not show beyond a reasonable doubt the aggravators outweigh the mitigators, I saw some reasonable doubt, therefore I must decline? Could you do that without hesitation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: And obviously you are, you said that you could pick the death penalty if you believe the opposite is true?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

1	MR. BUNIN: And then mitigating factors, they listed
2	some in this questionnaire. Do you believe these are all
3	legitimate things to look at when considering what the and
4	by these I mean some of the things we listed, the age of the
5	defendant, mental health issues, family environment, how the
6	person was raised, you know, potential allegations of abuse,
7	or a non-structured family environment. Are those all things
8	that are legitimate to consider when deciding how to punish
9	somebody for committing a crime?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes, I'd have to listen
11	to all the evidence and facts to make a decision on that.
12	MR. BUNIN: And you would weigh all of them before
13	you made a decision?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes, I would.
15	MR. BUNIN: Do you want to be on this jury?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: You know, it doesn't
17	really matter to me. If I get chosen, I get chosen. It's
18	just I feel like it's a duty as a civilian to be here.
19	MR. BUNIN: I'll pass for cause, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
21	The State may question the potential juror in chair
22	No. 2, Mr. Hartfield.
23	MR. PESCI: Thank you.
24	Sir, what is your exact position at UMC? You're a
25	manager?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Security supervisor,
2	public safety.
3	MR. PESCI: Do you deal with or interact with Metro
4	or other police agencies within that job?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes.
6	MR. PESCI: And you didn't recognize any of the names
7	that we read off?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: No.
9	MR. PESCI: The fact that you deal with them, would
10	that be something that would affect your ability to be fair to
11	both sides?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: No.
13	MR. PESCI: And if I've understood correctly, you
14	were actually a transit officer in D.C.?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes.
16	MR. PESCI: And then after that security in
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Detroit.
18	MR. PESCI: Detroit.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Uh-huh.
20	MR. PESCI: So you have a lengthy history in law
21	enforcement?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes.
23	MR. PESCI: Okay. Let's just kind of cut to the
24	chase. Should they be nervous if these if you were on the
25	jury?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Maybe. MR. PESCI: That's fair. Thank you. Well, let me --2 let me ask you this, then. Kind of focus in with that in mind 3 towards the death penalty. Is it fair to say that you had 4 5 strong feelings about the death penalty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes. 6 7 MR. PESCI: And that you're in favor of the death 8 penalty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes. 9 MR. PESCI: And you've indicated actually on one of 10 these questions that you could consider all four possible 11 12 sentences? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes. 13 MR. PESCI: So even though you might feel that you're 14 in favor of that penalty, you could consider life without the 15 possibility of parole or a fixed term of years or something 16 17 like 40 to life? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes. 18 MR. PESCI: It would depend on the facts and 19 20 circumstances of the case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes. 21 MR. PESCI: All right. They might be concerned just 22 23 based on the fact that in other responses you said that murderers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the 24 Does that mean that you automatically go with the death 25

139

1	penalty, or does that mean that you could consider the other
2	circumstances?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Pretty much consider
4	other circumstances and the elements of the crime and the
5	preponderance of the evidence presented.
6	MR. PESCI: All right. There was there was
7	somebody, I think, in your family who was charged with a
8	crime; is that correct?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes.
10	MR. PESCI: And then there was a question as to now
11	that affected your overall feelings of the of the system.
12	I didn't see an answer there. How did that affect your
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: It was my brother. He
14	committed some robberies in Detroit and he did some prison
15	time.
16	MR. PESCI: Do you feel that the system treated him
17	fairly?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes.
19	MR. PESCI: Would you hold that against the State?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: No.
21	MR. PESCI: Would you hold it in some way against the
22	defense?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: No.
24	MR. PESCI: Do you think you could be fair to both
25	sides?
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: 1 2 MR. PESCI: Pass for cause, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 3 The defense may question the juror in chair No. 2. 4 5 MR. ERICSSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, sir. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Good afternoon. 7 8 MR. ERICSSON: We all chuckled at your response of should the defense be a little concerned about some of your 9 10 responses. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Okay. 11 12 MR. ERICSSON: Is it -- there are some -- some answers that you gave that I do want to go through because I'm 13 14 sure that you can appreciate the -- the position that -- that we're in to make sure that we've got jurors who are the right 15 type for -- for this -- for this kind of case. 16 17 And you've -- I'll go to the last question. sorry, it's the second to the last question and your response. 18 And in that question you were asked is there anything that you 19 know about yourself or this case that would prevent you from 20 21 sitting as a fair and impartial juror? And you checked the 22 box, yes. And under your explanation you indicated police

That coupled with when you were asked if you believe the death penalty should always be imposed if the defendant is

KARR REPORTING, INC.

23

24

25

security background.

found guilty of intentional murder no matter what the 1 2 circumstance is, you checked yes on that box as well. 3 is that an accurate reflection of your opinion? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes. 5 MR. ERICSSON: And in question 38 you also -- you checked that your beliefs about the death penalty are such 6 7 that you would automatically vote in favor of the death penalty regardless of the facts and circumstances of the case. 8 9 And you checked yes in that box as well. Is that -- is that 10 still your opinion? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Pretty much. 12 MR. ERICSSON: Thank you. And I appreciate your honesty. This is a case that obviously is important to 13 14 everybody. Thank you, sir. 15 THE COURT: I want to clarify something. You're 16 standing between --17 MR. ERICSSON: Sorry. THE COURT: I couldn't see you. Because you -- your 18 19 answers were a little different, and maybe I just misheard you 20 between your first group of answers and sort of your second 21 group of answers. And there's no right or wrong answers 22 because we're talking about people's opinions and how they 23 feel, so it is what it is.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

24

25

then later you said, no, I think that death is appropriate for

You said you could consider all four punishments, but

intentional, you know, first degree murder. Can you kind of clarify just in your own words what your feelings are on the subject? And, again, you know, there is no right or wrong answer. We're just interested in finding out how people feel about this.

2.3

prospective juror No. 082: Pretty much as far as murder goes, I think that the intentional killing of a person that's premeditated with the wrong thought of mind or malice, I think that I would have to rule for the death penalty. I couldn't waiver on the fact about a person's background or other mitigating circumstances. Because they knew exactly what they were doing, I feel, at the time.

THE COURT: Okay. So you -- you think just based on the crime, you think death is appropriate regardless of what the mitigating circumstances might be such as some of the things we've talked about, someone's background, their intellectual or cognitive ability, their mental health situation; is that what you're -- is that your opinion?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Any other questions, Mr. Ericsson?

MR. ERICSSON: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. ERICSSON: Your Honor, may we --

THE COURT: Yeah, you can approach.

	(OII-lecord bench conference)
2	THE COURT: All right. I think Ms. Overton is next
3	in chair No. 3. And, State, you may question the prospective
4	juror in in chair No. 3.
5	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
6	Ma'am, when you worked in it was New York City in
7	corrections?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I'm fine. How are you?
9	MR. PESCI: I got tissues for you, though.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: That's okay.
11	MR. PESCI: How are you, ma'am?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I'm doing good. Thank
13	you.
14	MR. PESCI: May I ask you some questions?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: You may.
16	MR. PESCI: All right. Thank you. When you were
17	working in New York City in corrections, obviously you dealt
18	with a lot of other people in law enforcement; is that
19	correct?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Exactly.
21	MR. PESCI: And if I understood you retired; was it
22	in 2006?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes.
24	MR. PESCI: Did you move out here then?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	MR. PESCI: Have you dealt with or had any
2	interaction with law enforcement people since then?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes.
4	MR. PESCI: Do you maintain lots of contacts with
5	people from back home?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Here and there, yes.
7	MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you think that you would
8	automatically believe what an officer says just because it's
9	an officer?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Oh, no.
11	MR. PESCI: Okay. Would you automatically disbelieve
12	what an officer said because it's an officer?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Just depends.
14	MR. PESCI: It just depends. Okay. You had you
15	talked about your feelings in the death penalty. And you
16	expressed that your it depends on the situation, but you're
17	not against the death penalty?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No. No.
19	MR. PESCI: You're not against it?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No.
21	MR. PESCI: Okay. But even with that, you did
22	indicate that you could consider all four possible forms of
23	punishment?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Exactly.
25	MR. PESCI: And you would not automatically vote for
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 145

	the death penalty.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Oh, no.
3	MR. PESCI: Or automatically against the death
4	penalty?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Oh, no.
6	MR. PESCI: Are there any questions that have been
7	asked of everyone else that you would like to answer that we
8	didn't get to?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No.
10	MR. PESCI: And then if I could just really quickly,
11	you said that you think that the laws are not strict enough.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Exactly.
13	MR. PESCI: Could you explain that a little bit?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: You want me to elaborate
15	on that?
16	MR. PESCI: A little bit, though.
17	THE COURT: Maybe not. No, I'm kidding.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I just see the recidivism
19	rate is ridiculous.
20	MR. PESCI: With with that feeling, is it is it
21	such that they should be concerned that you would
22	automatically go with the harshest punishment because of the
23	fear of the recidivism?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I'll put it to you like
25	this. How many times does it take for someone to get the
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	point or how many times does it take for someone to make a
2	point?
3	MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you feel as you'd be making a
4	point with your verdict?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: It depends on what's
6	presented before me.
7	MR. PESCI: So then your decision would be based on
8	the facts of this case and not any other situation?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: It's not what you know.
10	It's what you can prove.
11	MR. PESCI: All right. Would you hold us to our
12	standard?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I sure would.
14	MR. PESCI: Thank you.
15	Pass for cause.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
17	Mr. Bunin.
18	MR. BUNIN: Good afternoon.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Good afternoon.
20	MR. BUNIN: How are you?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I'm wonderful; and
22	yourself?
23	MR. BUNIN: I feel safer right now. You know, I want
24	to cut right to one of the answers that you gave in your
25	questionnaire, and we really do appreciate the only wrong
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 147

answer you can give is one that's not honest. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh. 2 MR. BUNIN: Okay? Otherwise what we just need to 3 know are the honest answers, no matter what your opinion. 4 Like the Judge said, there's no right or wrong. Whatever your 5 opinion is, that's your opinion. It's on number -- somewhere 6 7 near the back, 36. And here was the question, and it says do you believe 8 the death penalty should always be imposed if the defendant is 9 found guilty of intentional murder no matter what the 10 circumstances. And it looks like you underlined intentional 11 and then you checked the box yes; is that correct? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Read that again. MR. BUNIN: Do you -- want me to show it to you? 14 15 Would that help? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yeah. Yeah, because, you 16 know, that was the sixth, that was a long time ago for me. 17 MR. BUNIN: Okay. I'm showing No. 36 on page 11. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Okay. 19 MR. BUNIN: On the very bottom of the page. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No. 36, do you believe 21 the death penalty should always be imposed if defendant is 22 found guilty of intentional murder no matter what the 23 circumstances are? 24 MR. BUNIN: It looked to me like you underlined the 25 KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	word intentional when you checked the box yes.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yeah, I had no it's a
3	sticky situation. That's a that's a trap question you ask
4	there.
5	MR. BUNIN: You know
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: It's not fair.
7	MR. BUNIN: We often try to ask not fair questions,
8	but not
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: That's not fair.
10	MR. BUNIN: not to you guys.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yeah, I really shouldn't
12	have answered that at all. I should've scribbled both of them
13	out.
14	MR. BUNIN: Okay. So
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Because it's not fair.
16	MR. BUNIN: Well, why do you think that question is
17	not fair?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Because it's all
19	extenuating circumstances. You say intentional. It's just
20	it's hard to say.
21	MR. BUNIN: Well, first degree murder
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh.
23	MR. BUNIN: is always intentional.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes.
25	MR. BUNIN: So what we're asking you is if a person
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

is convicted of first degree murder, that's intentional 1 2 premeditated murder --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh. 3 MR. BUNIN: -- do you think that that person -- that 4 the death penalty should always be imposed? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Back to, again, it just 6 7 depends. MR. BUNIN: What does it depend on? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Well, I believe a child 9 murderer, there shouldn't be no questions asked. That's a 10 11 done deal. MR. BUNIN: Okay. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I mean, it's just -- I --13 I can't honestly answer that and give you a definite yes or 14 15 no. I'm not going to do that. MR. BUNIN: Well, can you envision any circumstance 16 where a person is convicted of first degree murder that they 17 should not get the death penalty? And I'm just asking you 18 this based on your answers to these questions. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 20 MR. BUNIN: So that I understand what your thoughts 21 Okay? So please don't -- I'm not trying to come after 22 are. 23 you at all. I'm trying to --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Oh, no. 24 MR. BUNIN: -- get your best answers. Can you 25 KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	envision any scenario where a person can be convicted of
2	intentional first degree murder
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I can think of a lot of
4	them.
5	MR. BUNIN: and you would not want to impose the
6	death penalty.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Those I would, the ones
8	that I'm thinking of.
9	MR. BUNIN: Okay. And these are people that in your
10	mind committed intentional murder and there are certain
11	scenarios well, describe to me, then, some of the scenarios
12	that you
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: A gentleman threw an
14	infant out a window, a gentleman pushed a woman in front of a
15	train. That's intentional. I believe that.
16	MR. BUNIN: But you you're saying those scenarios
17	you would not want to impose the death
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No, I would.
19	MR. BUNIN: You would.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I would, yes.
21	Definitely.
22	MR. BUNIN: And I'm asking you if there's any
23	scenario of intentional killing where you would not want to
24	impose the death penalty, or if in your mind does first degree
25	murder mean death penalty, and then other types, maybe the
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

second degree, other types of murder you might learn about, those are the ones that don't mean death penalty?

So what I'm asking you is is there any scenario in first degree murder where you would not impose the death penalty? You've given me a lot of scenarios where you would. And like I said, there's no wrong answer unless it's not an honest answer. The only one I'm looking for is your -- your most honest answer.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I could think of a couple where I wouldn't impose the death penalty.

MR. BUNIN: And what do you mean by that?

MR. PESCI: Judge, I apologize. Can we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Off-record bench conference)

THE COURT: I have like a bubble in my ear. That's why I'm doing this. I'm echoing in my own head. Again, we're talking -- it's kind of difficult because we ask these questions and we haven't instructed anyone on the law, and the five of us all are operating with one definition, and you may or may not be operating with the same definition of some of these terns that the five of us, meaning the lawyers, are operating with.

By first degree murder we mean intentional, deliberate, premeditated murder. Not murder itself, not some kind of self defense or accidental killing or anything like

that. Those are not on the table. So I think what Mr. Bunin is asking is are there cases where murder was intentional, premeditated, where you think because of circumstances surrounding the crime itself, or mitigating factors in the defendant's background that the death penalty would not be appropriate, that the appropriate penalty would be life without the possibility of parole, or life with the possibility of parole after a number of years have been served? Can you conceive of those situations?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, mitigation, you heard the lawyers question other prospective jurors about mitigation, and you saw some of the factors listed on the questionnaire. Do you think that some or all of those factors are important things that you would consider in determining the appropriate punishment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Definitely.

THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Mr. Bunin.

MR. BUNIN: So you can envision a scenario where a person is convicted of first degree murder and then there are certain mitigating factors you would take into consideration; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Definitely.

MR. BUNIN: And in taking those into consideration, could you possibly conclude, despite the fact that it was

1	intentional murder, that you should not impose the death
2	penalty?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Definitely.
4	MR. BUNIN: Okay. Now, I want to ask you just based
5	on some of your other answers, so please don't jump on me too
6	hard. In fact, I'm going to start with one the prosecutor
7	asked the last prospective juror. If you were on the jury,
8	should the defense be a little nervous?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No.
10	MR. BUNIN: Would you want 12 people just like you if
11	you were in Deangelo's position?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Oh, yeah.
13	MR. BUNIN: You would?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh.
15	. MR. BUNIN: Why is that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Because I'm fair.
17	MR. BUNIN: Okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Very fair.
19	MR. BUNIN: Do you view defense attorneys or
20	prosecuting attorneys as any different?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I I don't know how to
22	I don't know how to answer that question.
23	MR. BUNIN: I made it a little tricky there. I
24	shouldn't ask it that way.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yeah. Exactly.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	MR. BUNIN: You wrote something under defense
2	attorneys. I think it's a little rough.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yeah, it's rough. Don't
4	don't go there. Just leave it alone. Leave it alone.
5	MR. BUNIN: I'm landing on it and going right there,
6	so I'm sorry.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Okay.
8	MR. BUNIN: Because, you know, we're looking at 12
9	people that this isn't the only [indecipherable], but this
10	is a very serious thing.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I understand that.
12	MR. BUNIN: And, you know, my client is on trial for
13	murder.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh.
15	MR. BUNIN: A murder we don't believe he committed.
16	And I don't know if you're the type of juror that can sit
17	there and say, yeah, right, the defense attorneys don't
18	believe he committed this murder; yeah, right, the defense
19	attorneys truly don't think this guy did it. And I say that
20	because of your answer to this question.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Exactly.
22	MR. BUNIN: So we're going to take a look at it.
23	MR. PESCI: I apologize. Can we approach before
24	that's
25	THE COURT: Uh-huh.

^	MR. PESCI: finished out?
2	THE COURT: Yeah. Oh, I'm sorry.
3	MR. PESCI: I didn't know if you said we could, so
4	THE COURT: I nodded. I did something.
5	(Off-record bench conference)
6	MR. BUNIN: So going right where you just told me
7	not to go.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Okay. Go there. Go
9	ahead.
10	MR. BUNIN: I was going to have you, you know, talk
11	about this answer. Okay?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh.
13	MR. BUNIN: And we're thick skinned. We can take
14	this, so don't worry about.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Okay.
16	MR. BUNIN: You said defense attorneys why don't
17	you read your answer. No. 24 on page seven.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Okay.
19	THE COURT: You can just read it to yourself.
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh.
20	li de la companya de
20 21	MR. BUNIN: And then do you believe that's true in
	MR. BUNIN: And then do you believe that's true in all circumstances?
21	
21 22	all circumstances?

1	MR. BUNIN: Do you have any idea, I guess, if that's
2	true here today?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No, I don't.
4	MR. BUNIN: Is this opinion of yours
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh.
6	MR. BUNIN: is this something the defense should
7	be concerned about?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No.
9	MR. BUNIN: Okay. Are we staring on an equal playing
10	field? In other words
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I don't know what you
12	know, and you don't
13	MR. BUNIN: And and forgetting what the attorneys
14	know
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh.
16	MR. BUNIN: does the defense or the prosecution,
17	do they have any inherent advantage before we even start this?
18	In other words, do you just inherently believe the prosecution
19	has a little more legitimacy than the defense and you might
20	give credit to what they say more so than than defense when
21	you listen to a case?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No, I don't think so.
23	MR. BUNIN: Never?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No.
25	MR. BUNIN: How are you going to judge, then, the
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

evidence that comes in? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: What's before me, what's 3 presented to me, before me. 4 MR. BUNIN: By whom? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: By both parties. 5 your -- in your case, you're not doing any presenting. 6 7 are. That's right. So you -- so you won't 8 MR. BUNIN: consider anything presented by the defense if we choose not to 9 10 present it; right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: You can't present -- if 11 you don't give nothing, I can't -- I can't make a decision. 12 MR. BUNIN: So then the real question becomes -- and 13 what you say -- you know, I want to be clear on what you mean 14 because what you say does concern me a little. You say if we 15 don't present anything as the defense, you can't make a 16 17 decision. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Right. 18 MR. BUNIN: Do you understand that the -- that the 19 20 defense has no obligation to present anything. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Exactly. So I can't make 21 a decision on anything on your behalf because you're not 22 23 making anything. They are. 24 THE COURT: Let me ask --MR. BUNIN: Maybe we're not communicating. 25 KARR REPORTING, INC.

I'm sorry. Yeah. Let me ask -- let me THE COURT: 1 2 ask the question. You understand that in our constitutional 3 system, regardless from a death penalty case to a misdemeanor 4 case --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh. 5 THE COURT: -- the State has the burden of proving 6 the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. We all hear 7 that, but do you understand what that means? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh. 10 THE COURT: Basically what that means is the State has the burden to prove that each and every element of the 11 crime and that the defendant committed each and every element 12 beyond a reasonable doubt, and there'll be an instruction on 13 what that means at the end of the case. But essentially what 14 that means is even if the defense does nothing, doesn't 15 cross-examine the witness, you know, sits over there reading 16 Sports and Leisure, if the State doesn't prove the defendant 17 quilty beyond a reasonable doubt, if they don't meet their 18 burden, they don't put on the right evidence or enough 19 evidence according to the collective minds of the jurors --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh. 21 THE COURT: -- he is entitled to a verdict of not 22 23 guilty --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Exactly. THE COURT: -- regardless of what the defense 25

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	attorneys may or may not do. Are you comfortable with that
2	idea?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Exactly. It's just what
4	I said. It's not what you know. It's what you can prove.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And you understand that the
6	defense, because of our system, the defense is not required to
7	prove anything?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Exactly.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And you're comfortable with that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No problem.
11	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12	Sorry for the interruption, Mr. Bunin.
13	MR. BUNIN: Thank you.
14	And so meaning you wouldn't hold it against the
15	defense if they chose to put on no evidence whatsoever?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No. No.
17	MR. BUNIN: It wouldn't hurt the the defense's
18	chance of getting a not guilty verdict if we chose to put no
19	evidence on? You would only consider the evidence that the
20	prosecution put on?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Exactly.
22	MR. BUNIN: And if they if they put on a lot of
23	evidence, but in your mind it doesn't rise to the level of
24	beyond a reasonable doubt
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I can't make a decision.
1	

1	MR. BUNIN: Well, see that and I think we maybe
2	just communicate a little differently. You say you can't make
3	a decision. To me, if they don't if the prosecution does
4	not present evidence that rises to a level of reasonable doubt
5	and you had to vote either guilty or not guilty, how would you
6	vote?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Not guilty.
8	MR. BUNIN: Okay. So you would make a decision, but
9	the decision would be for not guilty?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Exactly.
11	MR. BUNIN: I think we understand each other. Good.
12	Can I have Court's indulgence?
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. BUNIN: We're talking about you.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I know. It's okay. I'm
16	used to it.
17	MR. BUNIN: I think you can handle it. I'm trying to
18	see if there's anything else, I'm sorry, really quickly that
19	you wrote down that I wanted to talk about. You do believe
20	the death penalty is the worse type of punishment that there
21	could possibly be?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I think it's easy.
23	MR. BUNIN: You think it's easy?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh.
25	MR. BUNIN: Do you think that life without the

1	possibility of parole would be harder than the death penalty?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I think so.
3	MR. BUNIN: In a lot of ways that could be considered
4	a worse punishment than death?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes. It's torture every
6	day.
7	MR. BUNIN: All right. Is there anything else that
8	we've talked about just in terms of how evidence is presented
9	and the burdens of proof and everything else that that you
10	would like to comment on? Is there anything else that you
11	think I need to know about you before we make our our
12	decision? Is there anything that we said to any of the other
13	jurors, because we don't want to keep repeating ourselves
14	other than a little bit to everybody as we go along, that you
15	would like to talk about?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No.
17	MR. BUNIN: All right. Thank you.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: You're welcome.
19	MR. BUNIN: Pass.
20	THE COURT: Pass? All right. Thank you.
21	The State may question Ms. Keith in chair five.
22	MR. PESCI: Thank you.
23	Ma'am, how are you?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: I'm fine. Thank you.
25	MR. PESCI: I want to start off right. It it says
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	here that at some point your husband was the victim of a
2	crime.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Yes.
4	MR. PESCI: Was that here in Las Vegas?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Yes.
6	MR. PESCI: How long ago?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: '08, '09.
8	MR. PESCI: Okay. And you did not indicate which
9	crime. What what was the crime?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Well, he was riding his
11	scooter down the road close to the sidewalk when a young man
12	in his '20s took a Billy club and hit him in the face as he
13	drove past him, knocked him unconscious off his motor scooter
14	and caused him injuries.
15	MR. PESCI: Okay. You explained that you felt that
16	the criminal justice system moved slowly and that it didn't
17	communicate enough with the with the victim. Are you
18	referring to the situation with your husband?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Yes.
20	MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you know was it was it our
21	office that prosecuted?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: City Attorney.
23	MR. PESCI: The City Attorney's office?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Uh-huh.
25	MR. PESCI: Uh-huh.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: It hasn't done anything.
2	MR. PESCI: Nothing has come from it?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Nothing.
4	MR. PESCI: And it was, what, six years ago?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: No, '09.
6	MR. PESCI: '09. Okay. Do you feel as if you would
7	hold that against the State of Nevada or the police officers
8	that would come and testify in this case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: No.
10	MR. PESCI: Do you think you could be fair to the
11	defendant in this case considering the fact that your family
12	has been the victim of a crime? Not this crime, but a
13	different crime.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Hold it against him? No.
15	MR. PESCI: So you can be fair to both sides?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Yes.
17	MR. PESCI: All right. Touching on the death
18	penalty, you indicated that you did not like it, but that in
19	certain circumstances it could be appropriate.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Correct.
21	MR. PESCI: Help me to understand more your feelings
22	about not liking it. What in particular are you referring to?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Putting someone to death.
24	MR. PESCI: Is it is it the actual putting to
25	death, or is it being part of the decision to have someone put
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	to death?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: I think both. I don't
3	know if I would sleep well if I did make a decision that
4	someone needed to be put to death. I wouldn't be happy about
5	it, but some crimes I think it's called for
6	MR. PESCI: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: whether you like it or
8	not.
9	MR. PESCI: I think that's reflected in your answers
10	where you said you could consider all possible forms of
11	punishment.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Yes, definitely.
13	MR. PESCI: Even the death penalty, notwithstanding
14	you don't like it?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Correct.
16	MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you have any problems,
17	sometimes either religious or moral, of standing in judgment
18	of another human being?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: No, I don't I don't
20	believe so.
21	MR. PESCI: So nothing prevents you or concludes you
22	from having to make a determination on the evidence in this
23	case based on some personal or religious belief?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: No.
25	MR. PESCI: You think you could be fair to both
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 165

sides? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: 3 MR. PESCI: Pass for cause. 4 THE COURT: All right. 5 Mr. Ericsson. Thank you, Your Honor. 6 MR. ERICSSON: 7 Good afternoon, ma'am. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Hello. MR. ERICSSON: We're starting to obviously repeat 9 ourselves on a lot of these issues, but I -- I apologize for 10 I hope you can understand that we do need to make sure 11 12 we have the best feeling for your personal opinions on these 13 important issues for this case. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: MR. ERICSSON: The -- the fact that your husband was 15 a victim of a very serious violent crime, do you think that 16 17 would affect you in listening to a case that has allegations of the most violent crime there is, that is first degree 18 19 murder. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: No. That's an individual It may be personal, and the person that did it to 21 situation. 22 him I may not like, but that has nothing to do with anybody 23 here or anything that's going on here. 24 MR. ERICSSON: Okay. In one of your responses you've 25 indicated that your -- your husband is disabled.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

- 1	Thought delice that I are a series of
2	MR. ERICSSON: Is he disabled because of that attack?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: No, he was disabled
4	first.
5	MR. ERICSSON: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: And that just kind of
7	added to problems.
8	MR. ERICSSON: I want to to focus in on your
9	opinions about the death penalty.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Okay.
11	MR. ERICSSON: You've indicated that you can consider
12	all four of the options provided for in Nevada law. And I
13	want to focus, make sure that you understand that when we're
14	talking about a first degree premeditated murder that that
15	we're talking about an intentional murder. No excuses such as
16	accident or self defense or anything like that, but an
17	intentional taking of the life of another person. If after
18	you heard all the evidence you came back and the jury found
19	that that Mr. Carroll was guilty of first degree
20	intentional murder, would you still be able to consider all
21	four options?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Yes.
23	MR. ERICSSON: You will be able to consider life with
24	the possibility of parole after 40 years?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Yes.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Correct.

MR. ERICSSON: And obviously you would be able to 1 consider the death penalty? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Correct. May I let you 4 know something? 5 MR. ERICSSON: Please. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: On the form it asked if 6 7 we had close friends or relatives that had dealings with the courts. And I do have a friend that's in Ely for murder. 8 a close friend, but a long time friend from the early '70s. 9 10 MR. ERICSSON: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Not someone that we saw 11 on a continuous basis, but someone that -- well, the second 12 person we met in '72 when we moved here. So we've just known 13 him for a long time. And like I said, we don't -- didn't see 14 him often. We did in the beginning, but as time went, you 15 know, that was further and further down the road. But we do 16 converse. We write. He calls occasionally. He's in prison, 17 18 life with the possibility of parole. But since it didn't, you 19 know, it says close, I wasn't sure how to answer that 20 question. 21 MR. ERICSSON: And I appreciate you bringing that --22 that up because it's important to -- to both sides. 23 ask you some questions about that. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Okay. MR. ERICSSON: How long ago was it that -- that he 25

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	went to trial or entered a plea or
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: I believe he's been in
3	prison for 15 years now.
4	MR. ERICSSON: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Close to somewhere
6	around there.
7	THE COURT: Do you ever visit him up there?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: No. We did fill out
9	paperwork and we're approved to, but since that time my
10	husband's disability happened, and then everything has kind of
11	prevented us from going up to Ely.
12	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13	Go on, Mr. Ericsson.
14	MR. ERICSSON: Thank you, ma'am.
15	Ma'am, is there anything about that that friend of
16	yours serving time for murder that would affect your ability
17	to be fair to either side in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: No.
19	MR. ERICSSON: During the course of this trial you
20	will likely see photographs of the victim in this case.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Uh-huh.
22	MR. ERICSSON: We're not disputing that someone was
23	shot and killed in this case. The the fact that whoever is
24	selected to sit on this jury will will have to view very
25	difficult graphic photographs of someone who has been killed.

is that something that would cause you concern in being able 1 2 to -- to sit and be impartial on this -- in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: No. 3 MR. ERICSSON: And -- and lastly, if you were either 4 5 sitting at the prosecution table or -- or at the defense 6 table, would you be completely comfortable with your outlook 7 on -- on life and your life experiences sitting in this type 8 of a case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: I believe so. 9 Yes. 10 MR. ERICSSON: Thank you very much. 11 Your Honor, we pass for cause. 12 THE COURT: All right. State, you may question juror No. 6. 13 14 MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge. 15 Ms. Cottam? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Uh-huh. 17 MR. DiGIACOMO: Earlier when the Judge asked the 18 question about hardship, you didn't answer but you filled out 19 some information. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right. 20 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And without getting into the 21 22 specifics, since the time you filled this out have you been able to resolve that situation, or is it still a problem? 23 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: It's a problem, but it's working 25 itself.

1	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. You were asked a question
2	about your general attitude towards law enforcement. You said
3	good, and then you added mostly. What did you mean about.
4	that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: There's good cops,
6	there's bad cops.
7	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure. Like any job; right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: And without getting too personal, you
10	checked off the answer about arrested or charged before,
11	family member or friends
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right.
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: or something like that. Okay.
14	And then you answered that and you said they were doing their
15	job. Do you think whoever it was that was charged was treated
16	appropriately by the system?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes, I do.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. I'm going to ask you a couple
19	of questions about your feelings about the death penalty. It
20	sounds like you believe in it.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: That you're that you believe it's
23	not used enough.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
25	MR. DiGIACOMO: Despite that fact, after sitting here
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	and listening to bind of the year the greater works, that you
	and listening to kind of the way the system works, that you
2	have to be willing to consider all four punishments, are you
3	someone who can sit there and consider all four punishments?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: And lastly, there was a question
6	where you indicated that life without the possibility of
7	parole you think might be worse than the death penalty.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes, for some.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. You would agree with me that
10	there is that there may be some people out there where it's
11	not the worst possible punishment?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right.
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: Anything that anybody has asked that
14	you think it's important for the lawyers to know about you?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: No.
16	MR. DiGIACOMO: And could you be fair?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much, ma'am.
19	Judge, we pass for cause.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	Mr. Bunin.
22	MR. BUNIN: Thank you, Judge.
23	Ms. Cottam; is that how you say it?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
25	MR. BUNIN: You had listed some reasons, and I don't
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	want to get into too many personal things with you, but on the
2	back of the sheet why you might have trouble concentrating if
3	you had to get on this jury.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
5	MR. BUNIN: Is that still
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: No.
7	MR. BUNIN: Okay. That's resolved, at least for now?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
9	MR. BUNIN: Okay. So if you had to sit for a week or
10	two you would be able to concentrate
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
12	MR. BUNIN: and listen to all the evidence?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
14	MR. BUNIN: That's great. Now, I want to talk about
15	some of your answers on the questionnaires. You obviously
16	believe in the death penalty.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
18	MR. BUNIN: Is it can you explain? I mean, I know
19	this is not an easy thing, besides it's just intuitive, but
20	why do you believe in the death penalty?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Because I feel an eye for
22	an eye. That's the way I feel.
23	MR. BUNIN: And that's what you wrote
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right.
25	MR. BUNIN: exactly what you wrote on the sheet.
j	

1	You think it should be used more and you believe in an eye for
2	an eye.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right.
4	MR. BUNIN: Is that
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: I think if we had that
6	instated that I don't think there would be so many crimes.
7	MR. BUNIN: Okay. And by an eye for an eye, explain
8	what you mean by that.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: It means if somebody goes
10	out and steals, I think the person that was stolen from, they
11	should be able to go take a possession from the person that
12	stole from them.
13	MR. BUNIN: I like that. What does it mean in a case
14	like this?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: I think if there is no
16	reasonable doubt, that it was proven that somebody in this
17	case went up to another person and shot the person, yes, I
18	think that the death penalty should be there.
19	MR. BUNIN: In every in every case? And just so I
20	understand your
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: No, not in every case.
22	It depends on the circumstances.
23	THE COURT: So you feel like it should be an option?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Okay.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

Well, just -- just so I'm clear, because 1 MR. BUNIN: 2 I wasn't exactly sure how you meant this. You know, there are 3 -- I'm not going to give you a line of the law right now. 4 You're eventually going to get instructions --5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right. 6 MR. BUNIN: -- from the Judge as to what the law is. 7 But not every killing is a crime. Not every killing is a 8 first degree murder. There are other options. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right. 10 MR. BUNIN: And I wasn't sure if you were talking 11 about some other option or if you were specifically talking 12 about premeditated first degree murder. You know, you wrote 13 on here death penalty should be used more, and eye for an eye. 14 Do you mean if it's -- in every circumstance where it's 15 specifically premeditated first degree murder, in every one of 16 those circumstances do you think the death penalty is 17 appropriate for the person who did it? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: I think it would depend 19 on the circumstances. 20 MR. BUNIN: Well, and what do you mean by that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: I mean, if -- if they did 22 it, there has to be a reason. Sometimes -- you know, 23 sometimes it's just, you know, it's go out and blow somebody 24 away just for the heck of it. You know, there are circum --

KARR REPORTING, INC.

certain circumstances that there is just cause.

1	MR. BUNIN: Okay. Just cause to have committed a
2	killing?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
4	MR. BUNIN: Is that what you mean?
5	THE COURT: Not legally you mean, but
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right. I mean, like
7	THE COURT: Jealousy or
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right. Exactly.
9	MR. BUNIN: Okay. So if you had an explanation like
10	that, is it possible, then, that that's somebody that you
11	wouldn't think should get the death penalty? And, again, only
12	the honest answer. There's no
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right.
14	MR. BUNIN: no wrong answer.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: It would still depend on
16	the circumstances.
17	MR. BUNIN: Okay. I want to narrow down what that
18	means with you a little bit. I know it's a hard thing, but,
19	again, we're trying to pick, you know, the best 12 jurors to
20	hear
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right.
22	MR. BUNIN: a case such as this, one where a
23	person is charged with first degree murder and a death penalty
24	as an option. And it's a very serious thing. So I want to be
25	able to narrow down what you mean. And I'm not totally
ı	

1 following you. And it's not your fault. I might just be 2 missing it. So I just want to, you know, kind of --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right. MR. BUNIN: You keep -- you said a couple of times it 4 5 depends on the circumstances. Number one, what depends on the circumstances, whether or not you think death is appropriate? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes. 8 MR. BUNIN: Now, tell me the circumstances that you 9 mean where you think death would always be appropriate. 10 MR. DiGIACOMO: Objection, Judge. 11 THE COURT: That's sustained. 12 MR. BUNIN: What I'm getting at with that -- with that question is are you saying that if it's -- if a person 13 14 doesn't make a mistake, he killed somebody on purpose, he 15 meant to do it, is that a situation where you would always go 16 for the death penalty? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes. 18 MR. BUNIN: Okay. And when you say depends on the 19 circumstances, you're talking about cases where a person may 20 have committed a crime and killed somebody, but it wasn't necessarily premeditated and intentional. 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right. 23 MR. BUNIN: So every time where it's premeditated and 24 intentional, those are the ones where you think it should 25 always be the death penalty?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
2	MR. BUNIN: Okay.
3	Can we approach, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Yeah.
5	Let's go ahead, actually, this is a good time for our
6	afternoon recess. We're going to take about ten minutes for
7	the afternoon recess. And I would just remind everyone of the
8	admonition. Don't talk about the case or do anything relating
9	to the case during the afternoon recess.
10	Once again, I would also remind everybody, the
11	lawyers and the parties and the everyone other than the
12	marshals in uniform cannot speak directly to members of the
13	jury.
14	Yes, ma'am.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I want to know, they got
16	these laptops up here.
17	THE COURT: Right.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Are they keeping
19	information on the jury in these laptops?
20	THE COURT: I don't believe so. You'll see the
21	lawyers will work they might say, you know I don't know
22	what they write on their notes because they don't share those
23	with me. But it you'll see throughout the trial that the
24	attorneys use the laptops to keep their notes and their
25	questions and other things like that.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: And that paper that he
2	was reading, you have a copy, he has a copy, and they have a
3	copy?
4	THE COURT: Right. All the papers have is I get a
5	copy, just so you know, that has all of the details on it.
6	That only goes to the Court. And everything with your
7	personal information is shredded by a uniformed marshal. It
8	all goes through the shredder so there's no danger of any of
9	your personal identifying information being disseminated or
10	anything like that. The bailiff shreds what I have, which is
11	everything. They just have your names and and like that,
12	and, of course, the questionnaires.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Okay.
14	THE COURT: All right?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: All right. Everyone needs to follow the
17	marshals through the double doors.
18	(Prospective jury panel recessed at 2:42 p.m.)
19	MR. PESCI: Judge, we need your marshal.
20	THE COURT: Oh.
21	MR. ERICSSON: You all right?
22	THE COURT: Ma'am, just stay there. Oh, my goodness.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She's bleeding.
24	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Are you having a baby? Are you
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 179

1	pregnant?
2	THE COURT: No, she's okay.
3	MR. PESCI: Do you want me to have her sit down,
4	Judge?
5	THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead.
6	Can you guys call somebody?
7	THE CLERK: Yeah, we need somebody.
8	THE COURT: Ma'am, we're going to call downstairs and
9	get somebody for you. Okay?
10	She's having a bit of a medical situation.
11	Do you want to go in the back? And he's going to
12	take you and we'll get someone from downstairs.
13	(Off-record discussion.)
14	(Court recessed at 2:46 p.m. until 3:07 p.m.)
15	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
16	THE COURT: We do need to just put on the record
17	that Juror No. 126, Ms. Torres-Gamboa has been excused. She
18	was having a medical situation that manifested at the break.
19	THE MARSHAL: Jury is coming in.
20	(Prospective jury panel enters at 3:13 p.m.)
21	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in
22	session. The record will reflect the presence of the State
23	through the deputy district attorneys, the defendant and his
24	counsel, the officers of the court, and the members of the
25	prospective jury panel.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	And had the defense finished with Ms. Cottam?
2	MR. BUNIN: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: And did the State have anything else for
4	Ms. Cottam?
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: I want to ask her a couple of
6	questions.
7	Sorry.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: It's okay.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: It's kind of gone, you know, back and
10	forth a little bit, and so I just wanted to clarify a little
11	bit with you.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Okay.
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay? We talked a little bit about
14	it, and I guess it's kind of hard to ask you questions in a
15	vacuum. You know, you don't know anything about the facts in
16	the case
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: other than the little bit we told
19	you. At the end of the day the only thing we want are fair
20	jurors.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: So without knowing what the
23	definition of first degree murder is, just in a general
24	concept, it seemed to me that you were talking a little bit
25	about what the motivations of a person are. It might be
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

something that you were willing to consider something less 1 2 than death, like why he committed the killing. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right. 4 MR. DiGIACOMO: It may not be a legal excuse or a 5 defense to the case, but if you find out they had a good 6 reason for doing it, let's say, that might be something you 7 would take into consideration. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes. 9 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. So at least without knowing 10 anything about the facts in the case, in that situation at the 11 very least you would at least consider something other than 12 death. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes. 14 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And so if you learned certain 15 information about kind of the reasons behind or the 16 motivations behind the crime, that's something you would 17 consider in considering all four of the possible punishments? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes. 18 19 MR. DiGIACOMO: And you think you could do that? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes. 21 MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: And just to make it clear, now, you 23 understand motive doesn't mean self defense, because then we 24 wouldn't be in --25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1 THE COURT: So you're talking, just so I understand, 2 things other than possible self defense as a motive? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right. 4 MR. BUNIN: Can -- can I follow up? 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. BUNIN: Thank you. 7 You know, just because, Ms. Cottam, the last thing 8 you said to me when we were talking about the different things 9 you would consider when looking at the death penalty, I wanted 10 to make sure we were talking about the same thing. 11 concerned that we were -- you were talking about premeditated 12 first degree murder or maybe some other type of killing that 13 was not necessarily first degree murder. And what I said to 14 you, you know, you wrote that the death penalty should be used 15 more and you think an eye for an eye is appropriate. 16 And then I said so what you're saying there is 17 anytime the killing is one that is deliberate, it's 18 purposeful, it's a first degree murder where it's 19 premeditated, it's not an accident, you know, it's done on 20 purpose, in that situation you think the death penalty is 21 appropriate every time. And I think you agreed with me, 22 but --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes. 24 MR. BUNIN: Do you agree with me? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

MR. BUNIN: Okay.
THE COURT: So so when would you be considering
motive then? And, again, there's no right or wrong answer.
We just want to know what your opinions are.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: I think
THE RECORDER: Ma'am, you need to turn
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: if it was
THE RECORDER: the microphone on.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: premeditated, then
THE RECORDER: I'm sorry.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Yes.
THE RECORDER: You need to turn the microphone on.
THE COURT: Okay.
THE RECORDER: All right. Thank you.
THE COURT: Yes. What what did you mean?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: I mean, if it's
premeditated, then, yes, I do think that it should be the
death penalty.
THE COURT: Okay. So when would you be thinking
about if a motive was important or what the motive might've
been in determining what the appropriate penalty would be of
the four?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: The death penalty.
THE COURT: Okay. Well, you obviously were and,

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Right.
2	THE COURT: But you obviously were thinking of
3	something where motive could be important. What kind of
4	things would those be?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: I mean, like self
6	defense, like, you know, that kind of thing.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. BUNIN: Can we approach?
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	(Off-record bench conference)
11	THE COURT: All right. And, Ms. Husted, I need you
12	to call up the next prospective juror.
13	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. That's badge 132,
14	Christie Baker.
15	THE COURT: Yes?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: On the questionnaire, I
17	answered one of my questions incorrectly. It asked if I knew
18	anybody that was in law enforcement.
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: And I completely spaced,
21	I'm sorry, but I have a sister-in-law in New York that's a
22	police officer.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	And, ma'am, you don't need to stand there. Go ahead
25	and have that empty seat and I'll just follow up briefly with
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	juror No. 12.
2	And is she with New York City Police?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
4	THE COURT: And what is she patrol in a uniform or
5	does she do detective work or anything like that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I don't know.
7	THE COURT: Okay. So you're not
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I don't really talk to
9	her about work or anything like that.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that relationship
11	that could impact your ability to be fair and impartial to
12	both sides?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15	And we'll let the State question the juror in chair
16	No. 10.
17	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
18	Ma'am, how are you?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Good.
20	MR. PESCI: Good. You indicated when we were kind of
21	going through the people that are involved in the criminal
22	justice system, we specifically talked about defense attorneys
23	and public defenders and DAs. And you talked about a hard,
24	stressful career. And then when it came t the victims of a
25	crime, you said you were unsure. What do you mean by that?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Victims of crime
2	THE RECORDER: I'm sorry, ma'am. Could you holc up
3	thank you.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Victims of crime being
5	like it depends on the victim of a crime.
6	MR. PESCI: Depends on maybe what the crime is?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: [nods head yes].
8	MR. PESCI: Okay. And then in that same area you
9	talk about how you were unsure about the death penalty, at
10	least that's what you checked off in that area. And does that
11	depend basically on the facts and circumstances of the case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.
13	MR. PESCI: In one answer you said that you lean
14	towards the death penalty.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.
16	THE RECORDER: Sorry. They microphone has been
17	turned off again.
18	MR. PESCI: Do you think the batteries are gone?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Must be. It's on.
20	THE COURT: Maybe we could keep going and just kind
21	of try to project until we get new batteries in the
22	microphone.
23	MR. PESCI: All right. We'll give it a shot. You
24	explained that you even though you might lean towards the
25	death penalty, you could consider all four of the possible
	W100 0000000 TV0

1	potential punishments?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.
3	MR. PESCI: Okay. And that you would not
4	automatically vote for the death penalty or against the death
5	penalty?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Correct.
7	MR. PESCI: Is there anything about you that makes it
8	difficult or such as you don't want to do as far as standing
9	in judgment of another human being?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: No.
11	MR. PESCI: Could you be fair to both sides?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.
13	MR. PESCI: And if you were where I'm sitting, would
14	you want someone like you?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.
16	MR. PESCI: And if you were
17	THE RECORDER: I'm sorry, ma'am. Could please hold
18	that microphone up? Thank you.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.
20	MR. PESCI: Last one. If you were where the
21	defendant is, would you want someone like you?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.
23	MR. PESCI: All right. Thank you.
24	Pass for cause.
25	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Pesci.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 188

1 Defense. 2 MR. ERICSSON: Good afternoon. It's Ms. Baker? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Correct. 4 MR. ERICSSON: You've -- have you been able to hear 5 the questions when --6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes. 7 MR. ERICSSON: -- you were sitting out in the 8 audience? Any concern or issues in your mind with the -- the 9 fact that in our constitutional system that the State has the 10 burden of proving every element of -- of any charges they have 11 brought beyond a reasonable doubt? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: I'm sorry. Ask that 13 again? 14 MR. ERICSSON: I kind of lost you? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yeah. 16 MR. ERICSSON: Do you have any personal concerns or 17 problems with -- with holding the State to their burden of 18 proof in a criminal case such as this? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: No. 20 MR. ERICSSON: And do you have any concern in your 21 mind if -- if after the State presented all of their evidence 22 that we as a defense did not present any evidence would --23 well, let me rephrase that question. Do you understand that 24 -- that the defendant, Mr. Carroll, does not have to present 25 any type of defense in a case such as this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.

MR. ERICSSON: And that if the State does not meet its burden of proof would you have any problem in coming back and — and telling these prosecutors that — that it's your opinion that they failed to reach the beyond a reasonable doubt standard and rendering a not guilty verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: No.

MR. ERICSSON: And your response to the question about your -- characterize your current feelings about the death penalty, and you indicated that if convicted of murder would lean towards the death penalty. Would you explain how you feel about that, or what you mean by that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: That would depend, again, on the circumstances of the case. But I'm not against it, but I'm not for it completely.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. If -- if after you heard all the evidence that you, as a member of the jury, came back and found Mr. Carroll guilty of first degree murder, of intentional, deliberate murder of another individual, would you in that circumstance be able to consider all four of the penalties provided in Nevada?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.

MR. ERICSSON: You would be able to consider imposing the death penalty; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.

1	MR. ERICSSON: And on the other end you would be able
2	to consider imposing a sentence, a life sentence with the
3	possibility of parole at some point?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.
5	MR. ERICSSON: You were asked whether you would be
6	able to consider mitigation factors if you were got to the
7	penalty phase in a case like this. Is it your belief that
8	that there are certain types of background issues or or
9	mitigation factors that have been listed before that that
10	could cause you to render a non death decision in a case even
11	if somebody was guilty of intentional first degree murder?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: Yes.
13	MR. ERICSSON: With your background and life
14	prospective, any concerns that you have in being able to be
15	completely fair to both sides in this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 132: No.
17	MR. ERICSSON: Thank you, ma'am.
18	Pass for cause, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20	At this time I would excuse badge No. 125, Ms.
21	Cottam.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 125: Thank you.
23	THE COURT: You are excused from this department.
24	And also badge No. 82, Mr. Hartfield. Sir, you are
25	excused from this department. Officer Wooten will direct you
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	from the courtroom.
2	And, Ms. Husted, if you would please call up the next
3	prospective jurors.
4	THE CLERK: Badge No. 136, Warren Koch, in seat six.
5	THE COURT: No, he's in seat two.
6	THE CLERK: In seat two.
7	THE COURT: Sir, if you would just have that empty
8	seat, chair number two there, please.
9	THE CLERK: And then badge No. 138, Nicole Delong, in
10	seat six.
11	THE COURT: Right.
12	THE CLERK: Okay.
13	THE COURT: All right. The State may question the
14	juror in chair number two, Mr. Koch.
15	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
16	How are you, sir?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Doing well.
18	MR. PESCI: Is the microphone picking up now?
19	THE RECORDER: Yes, it is.
20	MR. PESCI: Okay.
21	I'm going to stand here so the Judge can see you.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Okay.
23	MR. PESCI: Sir, you said you work within social
24	work?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 192

1	MR. PESCI: And what specifically do you do?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: I'm a medical social
3	worker at University Medical Center in the pediatric emergency
4	room.
5	MR. PESCI: In the emergency room do you ever deal
6	with police officers?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: All the time.
8	MR. PESCI: And those interactions, have have they
9	affected your assessment of police officers overall?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No.
11	MR. PESCI: Would you give them more weight or less
12	weight if they came in or officers came in and testified?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No, not necessarily.
14	MR. PESCI: Would you judge the case based on the
15	facts of the case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes.
17	MR. PESCI: Okay. When it comes to jury service,
18	you've served on a jury before.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes, I have.
20	MR. PESCI: Were you the foreperson?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No, I wasn't.
22	MR. PESCI: Okay. Without going into specifics about
23	that verdict, could you was it was it here in Las Vegas?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes.
25	MR. PESCI: How recently?
J	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: 1995.
2	MR. PESCI: Would you apply the laws as Her Honcr
3	gave it now as opposed to what you might remember back in '95?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: The laws were a little
5	different back then, so
6	MR. PESCI: Right. That's the whole thing is that
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Right.
8	MR. PESCI: whatever she tells you, that's the
9	law.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Right.
11	MR. PESCI: Will you apply that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes.
13	MR. PESCI: Perfect. Do you want to say something?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Well, if the law I
15	mean, going to in regards to first degree murder, I think that
16	the law says that we need to consider the four. I have a
17	difficult time considering all four. I I consider the
18	death penalty to be in first degree murder to be the thing.
19	MR. PESCI: Okay. And kind of going to your answer
20	on that, I think you said that if the person planned this and
21	took a life, then the other options are not I think the
22	word you said was equivalent to the crime?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes.
23	
23 24	MR. PESCI: All right. And we don't necessarily take

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Uh-huh.
2	MR. PESCI: The real issue is whether or not you
3	could consider. Not not trying to weigh them, it's can you
4	consider all the potential options.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: I would lean more towards
6	that because I believe everyone has a conscience and we've got
7	the, you know, responsibility to utilize that.
8	MR. PESCI: Sure. And that that makes sense that
9	you'd lean towards it because you can have that opinion. I
10	think later on in your questionnaire, in 38 specifically, it
11	asks if you would automatically vote for the death penalty or
12	automatically vote against it and you said no to both.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Right.
14	MR. PESCI: So it's not an automatic situation, if we
15	can prove guilt automatically he gets death? Is that
16	accurate, that you would not do that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes.
18	MR. PESCI: That was really convoluted on my part.
19	I'm sorry.
20	THE COURT: So so what would you do?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: I would consider all
22	four, but I naturally lean towards the death penalty.
23	THE COURT: Okay. But not automatically?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Not automatically.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	MR. PESCI: All right. Pass for cause.
2	Thank you.
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
4	Mr. Bunin.
5	MR. BUNIN: Thank you, Judge.
6	And, sir, you know, we appreciate your honest answers
7	here today. I do want to go over your questionnaire with you
8	a little bit because, you know, I think maybe you you've
9	given answers a little bit different than you gave on the
10	sheet. And I could be wrong. Let's talk about it a little
11	bit
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Okay.
13	MR. BUNIN: and see what's right. One of the
14	questions says if you were a juror could you fairly consider
15	all possible forms of punishment. And then the choices were
16	yes or no, and you put no. And then you put if the person
17	planned this and took a life, the other options are not
18	equivalent to the crime.
19	So what you're saying is is you couldn't be fair to
20	the four options, that if you believe it was premeditated
21	murder you would pretty much always pick the death penalty.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: In most situations, yes,
23	I would.
24	MR. BUNIN: And you don't believe you would fairly
25	consider all four options?
[	

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: I would consider all fair 2 options, but by some people's standards it might not be fair. 3 MR. BUNIN: In other words, are you saying that you 4 wouldn't -- again, I'm not trying to get -- you know I'm not, 5 I hope. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yeah, I know. 7 MR. BUNIN: I'm just asking questions that we have to 8 And, again, the only right answer you give is the honest ask. 9 one. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Right. 11 Whatever that may be. MR. BUNIN: It seems to me 12 that what you're saying is you -- you really may not consider 13 all four options. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: That may -- that may be 15 the case. I mean, I would -- I would want to go ahead and do 16 what is right if you ask me to be a part of this jury, but my 17 natural bend is going to be lean towards the death penalty. 18 MR. BUNIN: And, in fact, in the very next question 19 on here, the question is would you automatically vote either 20 for or against the death penalty? You marked yes, and then 21 you wrote I would vote for, meaning you would automatically 22 vote for the death penalty, that's your feeling, at least, in

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: My typical in first degree, yes, it would be a bend towards voting that way.

a first degree murder case.

23

24

25

MR. BUNIN: Well, the question is would you 1 2 automatically do it. And you put yes on that. And I just 3 want to know if that's your honest answer, that you really 4 would just go yes if it was first degree murder. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: I would, yes. 6 MR. BUNIN: And, in fact, in the next section we talk 7 about mitigating factors. And I know you know exactly where I'm going. You know, we talked about them already, some of 8 9 the ones that a person may have that we would ask you to 10 consider, their age, their mental health, their family 11 background. And you wrote, no, I would not consider those 12 factors fairly. And you even wrote the words these factors 13 are irrelevant. And that is how you feel; isn't it? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes. 15 MR. BUNIN: Is that an honest statement? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yeah. 17 So you wouldn't consider those in MR. BUNIN: 18 considering the death penalty in -- in this case? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No. No. 20 MR. BUNIN: Can we approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Well -- sure. 21 22 (Off-record bench conference) THE COURT: All right. Let me -- sometimes I think, 23 24 you know, the questions that the lawyers ask can get in the 25 way of the answers. And I know all of us lawyers do that.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

But let me ask you this. Sometimes I think I can learn more about someone's opinion and their feelings just by listening to them talk without me trying to figure out, well, is this what you mean, is this what you're saying? So why don't you just tell me in your own words?

Now, obviously we don't get to a penalty phase unless the jurors collectively have unanimously voted that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of murder in the first degree. That has to have occurred first. If that occurs and only if that occurs, then, as I said at the beginning, the jurors in a first degree murder case, it's the only time the jurors determine the appropriate penalty.

So let's just say hypothetically you're on a jury. It doesn't have to be, you know, any -- any case of an alleged first degree murder. The jury has rendered a verdict, meaning unanimously, of murder in the first degree, and you're considering the penalty along with your fellow jurors collectively. And when you say you could consider the four penalties, but mitigation you didn't think it was, I think relevant was the word that you used. Can you kind of tell me what you mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Mitigation is irrelevant, but motive sometimes is.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Motive -- I mean, if

there are different motives that may lead an individual to go 1 2 ahead and commit a crime, some of those motives would be less 3 and would have less impact in regards to going ahead and 4 giving the death penalty. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: I would consider 7 depending on the motive. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And, again, it's not self defense 9 because that's not first degree murder. So I'm -- this is an 10 objection. If someone else asked it, I would probably sustain 11 the objection. But what -- to sort of clarify, you're not 12 talking about first -- I mean, you're not talking about a 13 situation of self defense? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No. I'm only talking 15 about first degree murder. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So you think that there's some 17 first degree murders that maybe are worse than others because 18 of what motivated the individual to -- to commit the killing; 19 is that what you're saying? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Right. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes. 23 THE COURT: And that's something that you would 24 consider? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1 THE COURT: Okay. And we talked about some of -- I 2 mean, again, as -- as has been pointed out previously, 3 anything could be mitigation if in the minds of a juror or the 4 jury together it is mitigation. You said you don't think 5 mitigation is -- is relevant ever? I mean, you don't think 6 that -- or do you think that there is anything that you could 7 learn about a defendant's background, condition, physical 8 condition, mental condition that would be relevant for you to 9 consider in determining an appropriate punishment? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: The only think that I may 11 consider is psychological or, you know, very large 12 developmental delays within the individual. Other than that, 13 I see individuals all the time that have come from horrible 14 backgrounds that do great. And on the opposite side, I see 15 individuals that come from great backgrounds that do 16 absolutely heinous things. And so from that standpoint, the 17 mitigating information that we will receive, it's not going to 18 carry much weight with me unless those two things that I said. 19 THE COURT: Okay. All right 20 State, do you wish to follow up with Mr. Koch? 21 MR. PESCI: No. 22 THE COURT: Defense? 23 MR. BUNIN: Yes, thank you. 24 THE COURT: So just to be clear, the mitigating

KARR REPORTING, INC.

factors you just mentioned, can you tell me what those are

again that you would consider?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: The two that I mentioned there were if there is severe psychological problems. I mean, if you've got someone who is a paranoid schizophrenia that does actions that are just outside of their mind. They may have written down at one time that they're planning, have plans to kill someone, and then days later all of the sudden go through with it, but then there's this period of time where they came back to themselves and they're going almost in and out of the reality state. That's an individual I would not consider, you know, that — that mitigating circumstance. I would go ahead and consider, and would not consider the death penalty.

MR. BUNIN: Any other mitigating circumstances you would consider, or do you believe they're all irrelevant?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: The others -- the other one that I was mentioning is if you've got someone that has an IQ typically that's below 70 percent, I would consider that that individual would have a difficult time being able to have a true abstract thought. And from that standpoint, I would be hesitant to go ahead and give them the death penalty.

MR. BUNIN: Other than that, you wouldn't find any other factor relevant?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No.

MR. BUNIN: While I'm looking for what I thought I

was looking for, can you tell me, you know, your thought --1 2 obviously you favor the death penalty. Can you tell me --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yeah. 4 MR. BUNIN: -- why, why you think it's a good thing? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: I believe that an 6 individual has a conscience and that they recognize that -- I 7 mean, some people's consciences are definitely clouded a lot 8 more than others. But for the most part no one ever 9 appreciates when someone that they're close to, their life is 10 taken. And in the same way I know that individuals recognize 11 and it goes through their mind prior to, if it's meditated 12 upon, if they thought about it, and taking the steps, I think 13 that they -- that shows that -- that there is a problem with 14 them being, you know, among -- among us. I just think that 15 that is the equal and fair punishment. 16 MR. BUNIN: So --- and I think that's exactly 17 consistent with what you wrote. So just tell me if I'm wrong 18 and -- and I'm not trying to bash you or any of your answers. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Right. 20 MR. BUNIN: I want --21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No, no. 22 MR. BUNIN: -- to be very clear on that. 23 me if I -- if I push -- I don't mean to push too hard. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Okay. 25 MR. BUNIN: I have a way of asking questions like a

KARR REPORTING, INC.

I can't help it. 1 lawyer. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yeah, that's your jcb. 3 MR. BUNIN: You say that the death penalty should be used in cases when another life is taken with intent and 4 5 premeditated; correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Uh-huh. 6 7 MR. BUNIN: Do you -- that's yes? You have to say 8 ves --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes. Yes. MR. BUNIN: -- or no because we're on the record. 10 So 11 -- and that's what you wrote on characterize your feelings 12 about the death penalty. Now, you just talked about two 13 narrow mitigating circumstances that you would take into 14 account. But beyond that, do you believe it should be used 15 every time intent and premeditation is proven? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Well, we also have to go back to the motives. 17 MR. BUNIN: And that's what I want to ask about too, 18 19 because I don't know if I understand exactly what you mean by 20 that. I mean, I just might be missing something. Like what 21 are you talking about when you say motive has to be taken into 22 consideration. I want to make sure we're talking about first 23 degree murder and nothing else. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Maybe I have the wrong

interpretation or wrong definition for motive, but when the

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1 motive is not going to bring an individual -- I don't know. 2 It's hard to define. 3 MR. BUNIN: Are you talking about where -- I mean, are we maybe talking about something other than intentional 4 5 murder? Maybe some type of killing in --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No, no. 6 I'm talking 7 about intentional murder. 8 MR. BUNIN: Okay. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: But when an individual 10 may feel that they've distances themselves because they're not 11 actually the one that's, say, like pulling the trigger, but, 12 you know, is still engaged in it, I'm still --13 MR. BUNIN: So you're saying he actually physically 14 did the act, but may not have known what he was doing? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No, not that. No. 16 MR. BUNIN: I'm sorry. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: That's okay. 18 MR. BUNIN: Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: That's okay. 19 20 MR. BUNIN: You can explain. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: You know, the individual 22 takes part in it, but isn't the individual that actually, say, 23 like pulls the trigger. There was an intent to go ahead and 24 see the individual die, but that individual wasn't actually 25 the one who pulled the trigger.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	MR. BUNIN: So you're somebody could be convicted of
2	intentional first degree murder, but they didn't actually
3	commit the killing. They were just somebody else actually
4	did it?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes.
6	MR. BUNIN: And in that situation you don't think the
7	death penalty would be appropriate?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: It's it's sort of up
9	in the air for me.
10	MR. PESCI: Judge, I apologize. I want to interject
11	an objection. This is hypothetical as to what someone would
12	vote. That's inappropriate.
13	MR. BUNIN: Well, we're trying
14	THE COURT: Yeah, I think the question isn't
15	Is that a situation where it not wouldn't be
16	appropriate, but may not be appropriate?
17	MR. BUNIN: May not be appropriate. Is that a
18	situation where the death penalty may not be appropriate?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yeah, maybe.
20	MR. BUNIN: Would you, on any situation of intention
21	and premeditated murder, would you consider just a term of
22	years? Is that one of the possibilities you would consider or
23	is that one you would just throw out?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Minimum of 40 years?
25	MR. BUNIN: 40 40 years minimum. Where it's not
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	life on the top, but it's a long term. Somewhere between 40
2	and 100 years.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yeah.
4	MR. BUNIN: Is that one that you would consider?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes.
6	MR. BUNIN: And you would consider a life with the
7	possibility of parole too?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes.
9	MR. BUNIN: I'm going to jump to another subject. I
10	think we covered this fairly well. But I talked to a couple
11	of different jurors earlier.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Uh-huh.
13	MR. BUNIN: And I keep talking to you. I hope you're
14	okay with it.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: That's fine.
16	MR. BUNIN: I talked to a couple of jurors earlier
17	about race.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Uh-huh.
19	MR. BUNIN: And something maybe it's something
20	is it something the defense should be concerned about in a
21	case where the defendant in this case is black and the person
22	who died is white. Do you believe that's something that we
23	should be concerned about?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: From my standpoint?
25	MR. BUNIN: Yes.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No.
2	MR. BUNIN: And, you know, when you're saying from
3	your personal standpoint race is not an issue
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Right.
5	MR. BUNIN: do you think that there's a legitimate
6	concern that race is taken as a to be an issue sometimes?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: For some individuals I'm
8	sure it has because of their experiences.
9	MR. BUNIN: Uh-huh. And you don't believe, at least
10	as far as you're concerned on that jury
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: No.
12	MR. BUNIN: As you sit and look at Deangelo now, is
13	he guilty, not guilty?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: At this point I think the
15	right answer is not guilty, so
16	MR. BUNIN: Because I keep saying that. But but
17	what do you believe?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Well, you haven't
19	presented any of the facts so I can't I can't make
20	MR. BUNIN: So if somebody said, all right, we're
21	done, you 12 go back and deliberate, what's your vote?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: I can't I can't vote.
23	MR. BUNIN: Well, you can vote.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: I could, but I'm not
25	going to give a vote because there's no evidence. I'd have to
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1 vote not guilty. 2 MR. BUNIN: Okay. Why don't you tell everybody here 3 Deangelo is not guilty until ---4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: He is not quilty. 5 MR. BUNIN: So do you agree with the fact that the 6 State has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Oh, yeah. Yes. 8 MR. BUNIN: And would you hold them to that burden if 9 you were a juror? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes. 11 MR. BUNIN: Would you also hold them to the burden in 12 the death penalty phase if there is one of proving beyond a 13 reasonable doubt that the aggravators outweigh the mitigators? 14 MR. DiGIACOMO: Objection. That's a misstatement of 15 the law. 16 THE COURT: Right. That's sustained. 17 MR. BUNIN: Would you hold them to the legal burden 18 that's going to be explained to you by the Judge, but they --19 they must prove their aggravators. And would you hold them to 20 the burden as the Judge instructs you? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes. 22 MR. BUNIN: And I quess where I have trouble going 23 here, and I'm sorry, Judge, but I'm still trying to figure out 24 if you would consider mitigators. And I think you -- you've 25 given very narrow situations.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	MR. PESCI: Judge, objection. Asked and answered.
2	THE COURT: Well, I'll just let Mr. Bunin finish his
3	question before I determine to sustain or overrule the
4	objection.
5	MR. BUNIN: That's the only [indecipherable]. You've
6	given you would consider aggravators, like you just said,
7	that the that the State was asked to you during the penalty
8	phase as to what in determining whether or not there should
9	be a death penalty.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Uh-huh.
11	MR. BUNIN: Yes?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Yes.
13	MR. BUNIN: And other than the couple of mitigators
14	that you stated to me, you wouldn't consider any mitigators
15	when when other than the few that you've already stated?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 136: Just the couple that I've
17	stated.
18	MR. BUNIN: Okay.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	MR. BUNIN: Can can we approach?
21	THE COURT: Sure.
22	(Off-record bench conference)
23	THE COURT: Let's see, next up is the juror in chair
24	number six. And the State may question prospective juror
25	number six.

1	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
2	How are you?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I'm fine. Thank you.
4	MR. PESCI: Do you have eh microphone? We're all
5	set? Now, you said your husband is in the Air Force?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.
7	MR. PESCI: Is he stationed here?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.
9	MR. PESCI: Is he gone often?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.
11	MR. PESCI: Have you spent any time in the military?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: No.
13	MR. PESCI: You indicated in kind of your overall
14	assessment of of punishment that we should face our
15	consequences.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.
17	MR. PESCI: Is that an accurate statement?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.
19	MR. PESCI: Okay. And with that in mind, however,
20	does that definitively mean that the consequence must be the
21	death penalty?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: No, not at all.
23	MR. PESCI: Could you consider all four options?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Absolutely.
25	MR. PESCI: And you said you're a research analyst?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 211

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.
2	MR. PESCI: What do you do exactly?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I work for a DOD
4	contractor. My job is to find jobs to keep the people within
5	my company employed.
6	MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you interact with law
7	enforcement with that job?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: No. It's Department of
9	Defense only.
10	MR. PESCI: Do you have any problems standing in
11	judgment of another human being?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: No.
13	MR. PESCI: Can you be fair to both sides?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.
15	MR. PESCI: We'll pass for cause, Judge.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
17	Defense.
18	MR. ERICSSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	I know it's getting late. It's 4:00 and you folks
20	have been here since early this morning. And I appreciate
21	your your patience. But I hope you understand the
22	importance for us to go through these questions with you.
23	Anything about your background that you think either
24	side should be aware of that would affect your ability to be
25	fair to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: No, not at all. 1 2 MR. ERICSSON: If -- jumping to the penalty phase. 3 And, again, we only get to that if -- if Mr. Carroll is found 4 guilty of first degree murder. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes, sir. MR. ERICSSON: And that's -- that's not accidental, 6 7 that's not self defense, that's not heat of passion. That is 8 intentional, willful murder of another person. If you were 9 seated on the jury and someone was found guilty of intentional 10 first degree murder, would you be able to consider all four of 11 the sentences that Nevada provides? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes, I would. 13 MR. ERICSSON: Would you be able to consider the 14 death penalty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes. 15 16 MR. ERICSSON: And on the other end you'd be able to 17 consider life with the possibility of parole? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes. 19 MR. ERICSSON: Do you have any concerns or issues 20 with -- with the law in Nevada that someone charged of a crime 21 does not have to take the stand? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: 23 MR. ERICSSON: And can you imagine situations or 24 scenarios in your mind where an innocent person for whatever 25 reason elects not to take the stand in his or her trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I think it's a personal 1 2 choice. It's a choice made between a defendant and his 3 lawyers, based on his best interest. I don't see a problem 4 with it either way. 5 MR. ERICSSON: In this case obviously an individual died. He was shot and killed. Whoever is seated in this case 6 7 will be shown graphic photographs of the victim. You think that seeing something like that would affect your ability to 8 9 -- to be impartial and be fair to both sides? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I don't think death is 11 pretty, regardless of how it gets there, so, no, I don't think 12 that it would cloud my judgment in either direction. 13 MR. ERICSSON: Tell me your -- your opinion. 14 were asked a question about whether you could consider 15 mitigation in a penalty phase. What is your opinion of -- of 16 mitigating factors? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: I think we shouldn't be 18 allowed to make excuses, but I couldn't come up with an 19 example of what I would think that would be. I would have to 20 hear what was presented to me and make a decision based on 21 what was presented to me. 22 MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And what do you mean by -- by 23 your statement that -- that, you don't think we should be able

KARR REPORTING, INC.

24

25

to make excuses?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Under the pretense of

premeditated it pretty well knocks out, you know, someone had a gun to my head and forced me to do something that I would not have ordinarily done.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And make sure we're talking about the same thing here. We're talking about a situation where a person has been found guilty of --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Right.

MR. ERICSSON: -- premeditated murder. It's not -you weren't forced to do it by somebody else under threat of
physical harm or anything like that. It is intentional
murder. And in that situation would you be able to consider
mitigating factors, background, history, education,
intelligence level, things like that, in deciding what an
appropriate sentence would be for an individual?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes.

MR. ERICSSON: Do you have any -- any concerns with that concept in -- in your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Not to sound blas, but once evidence is presented, then I would make my decision based on what information I had been given to mitigation as well as whether or not there are any mitigating circumstances presented.

MR. ERICSSON: Do you feel that the law in Nevada is appropriate where -- and you'll hear the detailed law from the Judge, but that even in a first degree murder case a jury is

1	to consider mitigation factors in deciding the appropriate
2	sentence for for a person?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 138: Yes, I think that's
4	appropriate.
5	MR. ERICSSON: Thank you very much.
6	THE COURT: Pass?
7	MR. ERICSSON: We pass for cause.
8	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
9	I believe we're on State's first.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: We are, Judge.
11	Judge, the State would thank and excuse juror who is
12	in seat number four, juror No. 38, Ms. Vigilia.
13	THE COURT: Ma'am, thank you very much for being here
14	and your willingness to serve as a juror. You are excused at
15	this time. And just exit through the double doors.
16	And, Ms. Husted, please call up the name of the next
17	prospective juror.
18	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
19	Badge 151, Maria Silva.
20	THE COURT: Ma'am, have that empty seat there in the
21	front row of the jury box. And before I turn you over to the
22	DAs, you had raised your hand earlier about your English.
23	Where are you from originally?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I was born in LA, but I
25	was raised in Mexico.
J	

1	THE COURT: Okay. Did you go to school in Mexico?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes.
3	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: [indecipherable]
5	University in Mexico.
6	THE COURT: You went to the University in Mexico?
7	Did you graduate?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes.
9	THE COURT: What's your degree in?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Marketing.
11	THE COURT: In marketing?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Uh-huh.
13	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living now?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I'm sorry?
15	THE COURT: What do you do for a job?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Right now?
17	THE COURT: Right.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I'm working at Wal-Mart.
19	THE COURT: At Wal-Mart? What do you do at Wal-Mart?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I was on the cash office
21	making deposits.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So you do like the accounts
23	receivable? You make deposits?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yeah. Well, count all
25	the money from the registers.

1	THE COURT: Oh, from the registers. Okay. Like how
2	much is there and then you fill out the bank statement?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Right.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And then how long have you lived
5	here in Clark County?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Four years.
7	THE COURT: Where did you live before Clark County?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I was living in Michigan.
9	THE COURT: In where? Michigan?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: In Michigan.
11	THE COURT: What did you do there for for work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Wal-Mart.
13	THE COURT: Also at Wal-Mart. Okay. And then
14	obviously being born here you're a United States you're a
15	born United States citizen. Okay.
16	State, you may question Ms. Silva.
17	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
18	And you had indicated that you had some trouble
19	speaking you're understanding English; right?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I understand just the
21	basic words.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: A lot of what we talked about in
23	here, have you understood all of it, or is there some that
24	you're missing?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I'm missing some words of
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 218

1 some -- there are some things that I don't understand the 2 words. 3 MR. DiGIACOMO: Now, you seem to speak it pretty 4 Is reading and writing English a problem for you as 5 well? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Just in like terms like 7 mitigations and other words that I don't understand, so I 8 don't [indecipherable] I mean, I would not like to give over 9 an answer on that. 10 MR. DiGIACOMO: And, you know, we've kind of said 11 this before, there's really no wrong answer. We're just 12 trying to figure out kind of your level of -- of understanding of English. Because there's a lot of questions in here that 13 14 just weren't answered. Were you not answering them because 15 you didn't understand what was being said? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Usually when I'm just 17 writing or answering the questions I have my dictionary. 18 when I was answer the questionary, I didn't have my dictionary 19 at that time so that's why it -- I just --20 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. As we're sitting here talking 21 have you gone back to your dictionary to look at it to figure 22 out words sometimes, or have you kind of just had that tucked 23 away?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

24

25

my dictionary for like mitigations, but I didn't -- and what

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Well, I was looking in

1	means mitigation, where I don't I didn't find anything,
2	just that translation mitigation mean English into Spanish.
3	But the meaning of the word [inaudible].
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Let me ask you this question.
5	Have you ever thought about the death penalty before? Do you
6	know what I'm saying when I say the death penalty?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes, a little bit.
8	THE COURT: Well, what do you think it what does
9	it mean to you?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: It's well, that means
11	that it's somebody it's something bad. There's
12	[inaudible]. It's something that you do something wrong you
13	have how do I say it?
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: Can we approach?
15	THE COURT: Sure.
16	(Off-record bench conference)
17	THE COURT: Ms. Silva, thank you very much for being
18	here. We're going to go ahead and excuse you from this
19	department. Just thank you. Hand over the microphone, and
20	thank you very much for your participation. Just through the
21	double doors.
22	And, Ms. Husted, call you the next prospective juror.
23	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
24	158, Patrick Gallahan.
25	THE COURT: And, sir, if you would just have that
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 220

1	empty chair there in the front row please. Just have a seat.
2	And, State, you may question Mr. Gallahan.
3	MR. PESCI: Thank you.
4	Sir, are you presently working in a warehouse?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: At the Mirage.
6	MR. PESCI: At Mirage? And before that you were a
7	bartender?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: That's correct.
9	MR. PESCI: Was that here in Las Vegas?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: It sure was.
11	MR. PESCI: How long have you been here in Vegas?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: 1980.
13	MR. PESCI: Okay. As a bartender, have you ever had
14	any crimes occur while you were working?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes.
16	MR. PESCI: Were you the victim of a crime?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Once.
18	MR. PESCI: Did the police respond?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes, they did.
20	MR. PESCI: And do you know if they every apprehended
21	the individual?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: They did.
23	MR. PESCI: Did you have to go to court and testify?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I had to go to court, but
25	he pleaded guilty so I didn't have to testify.

1	MR. PESCI: All right. Do you feel that do you
2	feel that that was handled appropriately?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes.
4	MR. PESCI: Would you hold that against the State or
5	the defendant the fact that you had been a victim of a crime
6	and the case had been negotiated?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Not at all.
8	MR. PESCI: You, in fact, have had some interaction
9	with the criminal justice system. Did you you indicated
10	you thought it was handled appropriately?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I'm not sure what I
12	what I put down on that.
13	MR. PESCI: All right. I think there was a
14	driving
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Oh, yeah, when I was 19.
16	Yeah.
17	MR. PESCI: Okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: That was fine.
19	MR. PESCI: Do you feel as if it was handled
20	appropriately?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Oh, yeah.
22	MR. PESCI: You wouldn't hold that against anybody
23	here?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No.
25	MR. PESCI: Okay. When it comes to the death
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 222

•	1
1	penalty, is it fair to say that you're you're not opposed
2	to it?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Correct.
4	MR. PESCI: But in order for you to vote for it, you
5	would have to know 100 percent?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes.
7	MR. PESCI: Okay. Can you consider all four possible
8	punishments, the other three besides the death penalty?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes.
10	MR. PESCI: Okay. Would you would you definitively
11	go against the death penalty or definitively for the death
12	penalty?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Again, it would have to
14	be what the evidence was.
15	MR. PESCI: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: And how it was presented.
17	MR. PESCI: Do you have any reason why you cannot sit
18	in judgment of another human being?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I don't like to judge a
20	human being.
21	MR. PESCI: That's understandable. I guess but is
22	it is it such that you couldn't make a determination as to
23	whether somebody was guilty or not because of that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No.
25	MR. PESCI: Could you do that if you were asked to?
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes.
2	MR. PESCI: Do you feel you can be fair to both
3	sides?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Definitely.
5	MR. PESCI: Thank you.
6	We pass for cause.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
8	Defense, you may question Mr. Gallahan.
9	MR. BUNIN: Thank you.
10	Mr. Gallahan?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: That's correct.
12	MR. BUNIN: In answering these questions on the
13	questionnaire here, you said that you could fairly consider
14	the mitigators listed at least on this particular
15	questionnaire. Do you remember that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes.
17	MR. BUNIN: The mitigators being, I know it's not
18	important right now, but, you know, childhood experiences,
19	mental status, health, age, education, just general
19 20	mental status, health, age, education, just general background.
20	background.
20 21	background.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Uh-huh.
20 21 22	background.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Uh-huh.  MR. BUNIN: You have to say yes or no.

1 When you're -- when we're talking about mitigating 2 factors, you know, somebody -- I want to know what you think 3 that means or at least I want to make sure we're on the same 4 page. Somebody else talked about it being an excuse. And do 5 you understand that we're -- if we get to a penalty phase, 6 that means somebody has already been convicted of premeditated 7 first degree murder. Do you understand? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I understand that. 9 MR. BUNIN: So we're not saying in any of these 10 factors that there wasn't a first degree murder. What we're 11 saying is you need to understand who this person is before you 12 make a decision about -- before sentencing. Do you 13 understand? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I do. There -- there is 15 a question though. The mil -- miligating factors --16 MR. BUNIN: Mitigating, yes. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: -- are they brought up in 18 the penalty phase or in the trial phase? 19 MR. BUNIN: These would only be in the penalty phase. 20 So if we get to a penalty phase, you're going to have to 21 determine one of the four possible sentences, that being a 22 term of years, life with the possibility of parole, life 23 without the possibility of parole, or death. Could you 24 consider all four of those factors?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes, I could.

MR. BUNIN: And during that phase is when the defense may present evidence of mitigating factors that explain to you certain things about the defendant that we think you should take into consideration when considering those four sentences. These things that we list under mitigating factors, is that fair game? Is that something that you think you should fairly consider when determining what the sentence should be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yeah. It -- again, it. would be how it was presented, and when I heard them as far as how it would affect my judgment.

MR. BUNIN: And, you know, essentially what we're saying with the law is that after there has been a conviction of first degree murder, if there is going to be a conviction, but after there has been a conviction, the law says that you almost, you know, rate a particular person that's convicted. In other words, you have to determine is he the worst of the worst, and that would lead to the death penalty, or does he not fall in that category, and that can lead to something as low as a term of years, here being 40 years minimum, 100 maximum. Do you agree that all four of the possibilities are pretty serious punishments?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Oh, definitely.

MR. BUNIN: And do you -- do you agree that -- I know I asked this question earlier and a lot of people really do think differently, but do you agree 40 means 40?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes. 2 MR. BUNIN: So if you sentenced a person to 40 years 3 minimum, you understand and agree that they wouldn't be 4 eligible for parole for 40 years. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Correct. 6 MR. BUNIN: And they may not get it. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I understand. 8 MR. BUNIN: Okay. And that -- are you comfortable 9 enough being in a situation where you're sitting in a jury and 10 having to make these types of decisions? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I've never -- never done 12 I can't answer that truthfully, but as a civic duty, I 13 under -- understand that part of it. Yeah, I could do it. 14 MR. BUNIN: You can truthfully say you'll try your 15 best? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes. 17 I know it's a difficult situation MR. BUNIN: Okay. 18 to be in. You know, talking just briefly about the -- not the 19 penalty phase, and, again, I'm hoping we don't get to a 20 penalty phase, but we've talked about a lot of different 21 things. I don't know if you have anything you'd like to ask 22 or if you have any comments you want to make. 23 We talked about the burden of proof is solely on the 24 prosecution, that the defense doesn't have to put on a case.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

25

We've talked about how you judge and weigh credibility or the

1	difference between lay witnesses and police officer witnesses.
2	Is there anything among those topics that that we talked
3	about that you would like to comment on and anybody we have
4	agreed or disagreed or talked about with anybody?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No, not really.
6	MR. BUNIN: I'll pass for cause, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
8	Defense's challenge.
9	MR. BUNIN: We would thank and excuses juror No. 3,
10	Your Honor, Mr. Koch.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Koch, thank you for being here and
12	your participation and your willingness to serve as a juror.
13	You are excused. If you would just exit through the double
14	doors.
15	And, Ms. Husted, if you would please call up the next
16	prospective juror.
17	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
18	Badge No. 165, Tiffany Ortiz.
19	THE COURT: And, Ms. Ortiz, if you would just have
20	that empty chair down there in the front row of the jury box.
21	State, Mr. DiGiacomo, you may question Ms. Ortiz.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
23	Now, it looks like you used to work for Nextel as a
24	fraud investigator.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes, I did.
]	

1 Okay. Now, was that before Sprint MR. DiGIACOMO: 2 and Nextel combined or --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No, I lost my job twice 4 to Sprint. 5 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And you were also a manager 6 for a Sprint call center as well? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes. When I originally 8 worked for Sprint it was in their collections department. 9 was laid off because they went to Bermuda. And so I then gct 10 a job with Nextel where I was a collections representative 11 because they didn't have manager positions, then I was 12 promoted to the fraud department. 13 MR. DiGIACOMO: And then they -- was this before --14 there was also Sprint PCS, I guess. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yeah, and they merged, 16 and they bought Sprint, and I refused to work for Sprint 17 again, so I resigned and found employment elsewhere. 18 MR. DiGIACOMO: Anything -- obviously you don't have 19 a lot of love for Sprint. You know, there's going to be some 20 Sprint records that are introduced here. There's nothing 21 about your prior employment that's going to cause you to go, 22 I'm not going to listen to this? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No, it's going to be 24 completely different from what I -- I did collections. 25 not analyze anything. Completely different department, and it

wouldn't cause any heartbreak to me one way or another.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Let me ask you that question then too. Did you have any experience or knowledge about how a cell phone works, cell sites, and what information cell cite records from Sprint can tell people in a jury, any of that type of stuff?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I know that they can ping from certain sites, and I know that it does record inbound and outbound calls, only if the phone was picked up in most cases is where it can pick up. I know it can -- there is data from message -- my text messages that could be requested at some point, but that would have to be subpoenaed. So me, personally, as a manager, wasn't able to go in there and look at every text message that you sent. That was somebody else's capability on the back end.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: So they do have databases for that.

MR. DiGIACOMO: And then generally my question for you would be if we called -- we are going to call somebody from Sprint Nextel who is sent out here who knows how to read these records and testify as to exactly how it works, you would rely upon their testimony as to what they say as opposed to what your knowledge is? You can set that aside and listen to the testimony?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Absolutely because that's
2	completely different from what I did. I only called you and
3	told you you had a bill to pay. That was it.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. You also indicated that your
5	current fiancis an ex police officer?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes.
7	MR. DiGIACOMO: Merely because he was a police
8	officer and there will be police officers coming in here
9	you would agree with me there are good police officers and
10	there are bad police officer; right?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Correct.
12	MR. DiGIACOMO: So you can judge the credibility of
13	police officers from the stand like any other witness?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Correct.
15	MR. DiGIACOMO: You also indicated that your sister
16	had some problems with the law.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Absolutely.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think she was treated fairly
19	by the system?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Absolutely.
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: You also indicated that your toddler
22	had some doctor appointments. Is that something that
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I've already made
24	arrangements with family to bring her to those appointments.
25	MR. DiGIACOMO: And then obviously the big question,
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

or at least the time that we spent a lot of time on here is the death penalty. And now that you've sat here and read this questionnaire you know that there's four possible punishments. Are you somebody that if you get to that point, if you found that Mr. Pesci and I have proven beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Carroll has committed first degree murder, do you think that you're someone that could consider all four forms of punishment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think you'd be a fair juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much.

Pass for cause.

THE COURT: All right. Before we move into questioning from the defense, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a break. We're going to take a 35 minute break. At the end of the break I want you all to convene out in the hallway and to remain in the hallway until Officer Wooten comes and gets you.

Once again, the admonition about discussing the case or doing anything relating to the case is still, of course, in effect during our 35 minute break. On this particular break, I need everyone to take all of their personal belongings with them from the courtroom. Do not leave anything in your chairs.

If you haven't already done so, make 1 THE MARSHAL: sure you down to the third floor and get your stamps for your 2 3 parking pass, then come back up here. Do not mingle with the jurors that already in line outside. 4 5 THE COURT: Right. And during the break, obviously, 6 you can go get a coffee or something like that. Just --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What time are we going to get out of here? That's what I want to know. 8 9 THE COURT: I don't know. Any other questions, that's Officer 10 Wooten's job. 11 (Court recessed at 4:18 p.m. until 4:24 p.m.) 12 (In the presence of the jury.) 13 THE COURT: Counsel approach. (Off-record bench conference) 14 15 THE COURT: All right. Court is now in session. This is the time for Case No. C212667, plaintiff State of 16 17 Nevada versus Deangelo Carroll, defendant. The record will reflect the presence of the State 18 through the deputy district attorneys Giancarlo Pesci and Marc 19 20 DiGiacomo; the presence of the defendant, Deangelo Carroll, 21 along with his attorneys, Dan Bunin and Tom Ericsson; the officers of the Court and the second half of the members of 22 23 the prospective jury panel.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

Department XXI of the 8th Judicial District Court for the

24

25

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. You are in

State of Nevada. My name is Valerie Adair and I am the presiding judge.

As I'm sure you've ascertained from filling out the questionnaire, you have been summoned here today to potentially serve as jurors in a capital murder trial.

Let me first take this opportunity to apologize to all of you. I know that you had to come in and fill out the questionnaire. I also know that you've been waiting around all day and I think that's probably one of the most difficult things for jurors. I want to apologize for that.

As you can see, this courtroom is not very big. We had to divide the panel of prospective jurors into two parts because we simply could not fit all of you into the courtroom at the same time. We have been in session all morning with the first part -- well, all morning and afternoon with the first part of the panel. That is why you had to remain downstairs because, as I said, there simply was not room for everyone in the courtroom. I know that can be a very boring and long day and I want to apologize for that.

Counsel for the State is going to introduce themselves to you. They are going to briefly tell you the nature of the State's case and they are going to give you the names of any witnesses which the State may be calling.

Please listen very carefully to the names of the witnesses as they are read to you.

Mr. DiGiacomo.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Marc DiGiacomo. I'm a deputy district attorney here in Clark County. Along with a fellow deputy district attorney Giancarlo Pesci, we've been assigned to prosecute the case of State of Nevada versus Deangelo Carroll.

Mr. Carroll is accused, in 2005, of being an employee of the Palomino Club, which is an adult cabaret that is in North Las Vegas across from Jerry's Nugget. He is accused of being requested by the owners and managers of the Palomino to have a former employee by the name of Timothy Hadland killed. He's thereafter accused of finding a hit man, luring the victim to a dark location, driving the hit man to that location and eventually bringing the hit man back to the Palomino Club to be paid for killing Mr. Hadland.

In order to establish that -- those facts, we're going to call a number of witnesses. I'm going to read those names out loud. If you recognize any of the names, you're later going to be asked about them.

In addition to the witnesses, we're also going to name some other people whose names you're going to hear but may not necessarily hear their testimony throughout the case.

There's an officer from Henderson by the name of Kenneth Simpson. There's a medical examiner by the name of

Dr. Gary Telgenhoff, Detective Marty Wildemann, a SWAT officer by the name of Manuel Rivera, Detective Theresa Kyger,

Detective Michael McGrath, crime scene analyst Larry Morton,

Officer Patrick Ledbetter, a fingerprint examiner by the name of Fred Boyd, a crime scene analyst by the name of Louise

Rhinehart, a firearms examiner by the name of James Krylo,

crime scene analyst Jeffrey Schmink, crime scene analyst

Stephanie Smith, and Officer Jeff -- Jason Lefenyero, a crime scene analyst Kristin Grammas, a DNA analyst by the name of Julie Marshner.

There is the alleged shooter in this case by the name of Kenneth Counts. There is actually three different Luis Hidalgos that you may hear testimony about. There's Luis Hidalgo, Sr. He's the oldest of the Hidalgos. He doesn't have a direct relationship with the Palomino Club. You'll hear testimony about Luis Hidalgo, Jr., who was the owner at the time of the Palomino Club. His name is also Mr. H, people know him as. There is Luis Hidalgo, III, which is Mr. H's son. They call him Luis or Little Lou.

There's Anabel Espindola. There's Jayson Taoipu.

There's a Rontae Zone, an Ismael Madrid, a Pajit Karson, Allen

Hadland, Michelle Schwanderlik.

There's a custodian of records from Sprint Nextel.

I don't know their names specifically to give you, but that

person will come in here. If any of you have ever worked for

Sprint Nextel, we need to know that.

Steven Blajette, Gary McWharter. Also, the custodian of records for Yellow Cab Company may come in and testify. Alana Hadland, Dorie Gibbs or Dorie Luecher, Alex Hadland, Jennifer Hadland, Omar Manner and a former detective with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department by the name of Jimmy Vaccaro.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. DiGiacomo.

Ladies and gentlemen, counsel for the defense will now introduce themselves to you along with their client.

An accused in a criminal case is never required to call any witnesses or present any evidence whatsoever.

However, if there are any witnesses which the defense may call, they will give you those names at this time.

Mr. Bunin.

MR. BUNIN: Thank you.

Good afternoon. My name is Dan Bunin. I'm a private attorney. I'm a partner in the firm of Bunin & Bunin. This is Tom Ericsson. He's also a private attorney. Together we represent Deangelo Carroll and he is the defendant in this case.

You're going to hear evidence presented from the prosecution and after you hear all that evidence, the evidence will not show that Deangelo Carroll committed murder and we

1	believe that you'll find him not guilty.
2	Now, I'm going to read some witness names. Other
3	than the witnesses stated just now by the prosecution, these
4	are other people you may hear from but you won't necessarily
5	hear from from the defense.
6	Janique Carroll, Felicia Arkaletta, Joseph
7	Arkaletta, Calvin Williams, Richard Frankie, Janae Morris,
8	Antonio Williams, a corrections officer whose last name is
9	Denton, Virginia Carroll, Lisa Page, Violet Dillon, Michele
10	Pruitt, Katie Hunt, Lisa Grippentraub, Norton Roitman, and
11	David Schmidt.
12	Thank you.
13	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Bunin.
14	Ladies and gentlemen, the clerk is now going to call
15	the roll of the panel of prospective jurors. When your name
16	is called, please answer present or here.
17	Ms. Husted, please call the roll.
18	THE CLERK: Badge 154, Jennifer Lee.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 154: Here.
20	THE CLERK: 266, Laura Cox. I think she's in the
21	last one.
22	THE COURT: Right.
23	THE CLERK: 267, Daisy
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Present.
25	THE CLERK: Thank you.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	269, Michelle Rinaldi.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 269: Present.
3	THE CLERK: 271, Eloise Price.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 271: Present.
5	THE CLERK: 284, Dan Smith.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 284: Present.
7	THE CLERK: 294, Freda Davis.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 294: Here.
9	THE CLERK: 300, Brandy Kuntz.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300: Present.
11	THE CLERK: 302, Abraham Ruelas.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Here.
13	THE CLERK: 307, Salvador Avila.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: Here.
15	THE CLERK: 308, Richard Rettinger.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 308: Here.
17	THE CLERK: Or Rettinger. How do you say that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 308: Rettinger.
19	THE CLERK: 320, Kevin Peterson.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Here.
21	THE CLERK: 323, Aracely Sorto-De-Mc
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 323: Present.
23	THE CLERK: Thank you 326, Gerald Hill.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Here.
25	THE CLERK: 327, Tamara Collins.

ŀ	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: Here.
2	THE CLERK: 330, Spanzian Dawson.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Here.
4	THE CLERK: 331, Li Belanger. Not present.
5	342, Gary Markel.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: Here.
7	THE CLERK: 343, Antionette Champion.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: Here.
9	THE CLERK: 353, Righard Tagg.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 353: Here.
11	THE CLERK: 354, Elizabeth Stessel.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Here.
13	THE CLERK: 356, Norma Koot.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 356: Here.
15	THE CLERK: 360, Cristopher Pennewell. Not present.
16	369, Stefani Galvan.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Here.
18	THE CLERK: 376, Carol Druan.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: Here.
20	THE CLERK: 377, Javier Michel.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 377: Here.
22	THE CLERK: 378, Simonetta Meza.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 378: Here.
24	THE CLERK: 380, Christopher Gebase.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 380: Present.
	(1

1	THE CLERK: Gibase, thank you.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 380: Present.
3	THE CLERK: 386, Kayla Evans.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 386: Here.
5	THE CLERK: 387, Jared Montarbo.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 387: Here.
7	THE CLERK: 388, Patricia O'Connor.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 388: Present.
9	THE CLERK: 389, Kaye Willis.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: Here.
11	THE CLERK: 394, Kristina Marie Templin.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 394: Here.
13	THE CLERK: 399, Carol Anderson.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Here.
14 15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Here.  THE CLERK: 402, Sandra Gonzalez. Not present.
15	THE CLERK: 402, Sandra Gonzalez. Not present.
15 16	THE CLERK: 402, Sandra Gonzalez. Not present.
15 16 17	THE CLERK: 402, Sandra Gonzalez. Not present.  407, Philip Korinas.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 407: Korinas.
15 16 17 18	THE CLERK: 402, Sandra Gonzalez. Not present.  407, Philip Korinas.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 407: Korinas.  THE CLERK: Korinas.
15 16 17 18 19	THE CLERK: 402, Sandra Gonzalez. Not present.  407, Philip Korinas.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 407: Korinas.  THE CLERK: Korinas.  421, John Cordier.
15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CLERK: 402, Sandra Gonzalez. Not present.  407, Philip Korinas.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 407: Korinas.  THE CLERK: Korinas.  421, John Cordier.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 421: Here.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CLERK: 402, Sandra Gonzalez. Not present.  407, Philip Korinas.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 407: Korinas.  THE CLERK: Korinas.  421, John Cordier.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 421: Here.  THE CLERK: 430, Elizabeth Lishnevsky.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CLERK: 402, Sandra Gonzalez. Not present.  407, Philip Korinas.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 407: Korinas.  THE CLERK: Korinas.  421, John Cordier.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 421: Here.  THE CLERK: 430, Elizabeth Lishnevsky.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 430: Here.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Present.
2	THE CLERK: 441, Donald A. Rendal.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 441: Here.
4	THE CLERK: 445, Leon P. Clark.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 445: Present.
6	THE CLERK: 452, Nicole Robinson.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Present.
8	THE CLERK: 456, Kimberly Maltman.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Here.
10	THE CLERK: 459, Ronald Peters.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 459: Here.
12	THE CLERK: 462, Judy Jones.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 462: Here.
14	THE CLERK: 474, Joseph A. Gaglio.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 474: Here.
16	THE CLERK: 480, Derrick Hillburn. Not present.
17	481, Lolita Bowens.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 481: Here.
19	THE CLERK: 484, Jared Bilberry.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 484: Here.
21	THE CLERK: 492, Clifford Moss.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Here.
23	THE CLERK: 498, Felicidad Morrison.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Present.
25	THE CLERK: 504, Ana Zelaya-Melendez.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 504: Present.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: 508, Gherezgher Ghebrekristos. He's not present.

634, Martha Anaya. Not present.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anyone whose name was not called?

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, the questioning of the jury at the beginning of the case is done under oath. If everyone would please stand, the clerk is going to administer the oath to the panel of prospective jurors.

(Jury panel sworn.)

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, in THE COURT: addition to what you filled out on the questionnaires, we're going to commence examination of prospective jurors in this case. During this process you'll be asked questions bearing on your ability to sit as fair and impartial jurors. Court, the lawyers, the defendant and obviously everyone involved in this case are all deeply interested in having this matter tried by a jury composed of 15 open-minded people who have no bias or prejudice toward or against either side. order for us to accomplish this, we must ask you some It is not our desire to pry unnecessarily into questions. your personal lives; although, the questioning can at times become quite personal. Please understand that our only objective is to ascertain if there is any reason why any of

you cannot sit as completely fair, neutral and impartial jurors in this case.

It is important that you know the significance of full, complete and honest answers. Please don't try to hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications to sit as jurors.

I'm going to ask some questions addressing the individuals in the jury box as well as the ladies and gentlemen seated in the audience. If you wish to respond to any of my questions in the affirmative, please raise your hand. When I call on you, please stand and state your name and your badge number.

recorded. You'll see small black boxes spread around the courtroom. The lady seated at the end here is Ms. Janie Olson. She is our official court recorder. It is her job to record everything that is said and to prepare an accurate transcript at the end of all these proceedings. Please be mindful of that and speak up so we can make sure we have an accurate transcription.

All right. My first question is this: Is there anyone who believes they may be acquainted with either of the deputy district attorneys, either Mr. DiGiacomo or Mr. Pesci?

All right. No one.

Is there anyone who believes they may be acquainted

1	with the defendant Mr. Deangelo Carroll?
2	No one.
3	Is there anyone who believes they may be acquainted
4	with either of his attorneys, either Mr. Dan Bunin or Mr. Tom
5	Ericsson?
6	No one.
7	Is there anyone who believes they may be acquainted
8	with any of the witnesses whose names were read by
9	Mr. DiGiacomo?
10	All right. Yes, ma'am, your name and badge number,
11	please.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300: Brandy Kuntz, 040300.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300: I worked at Sprint
15	Nextel.
16	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for them?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300: I don't work there
18	anymore.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And what did you do for them?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300: I did strategic
21	accounting for the government accounts.
22	THE COURT: Okay. How long has it been since you've
23	not been a Sprint employee?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300: Three plus years.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And how long did you work there?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 245

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300: Six years.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat for right now.
3	Anyone else who believes they may be acquainted with
4	any of the witnesses whose names were read by Mr. DiGiacomo?
5	All right. Anyone who believes they may be
6	acquainted with any of the witnesses whose names were read by
7	Mr. Bunin? Anyone who thinks they know any cf those
8	witnesses?
9	All right. Is there anyone who believes they may
10	have read, seen or heard anything about this case prior to
11	coming into court today?
12	The whole first row, okay. We'll start with the
13	gentleman kind of by the corner in the glasses.
14	Sir, your name and badge number, please.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: My name's Gary Markel
16	and the badge is
17	THE COURT: Just 342, last three digits.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: 342.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And don't tell me what you think
20	you read, saw or heard, but where do you think you heard
21	something or saw something?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: On TV.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Do you remember a particular
24	station?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: No.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 246

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: Just it was on the news
3	when it happened.
4	THE COURT: Okay. When it hadn't happened. Have
5	you, sir, seen anything, any coverage about the case since the
6	time of the incident?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: No, not recently.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Have a seat.
9	And I believe the lady next to you also raised her
10	hand. And are you No. 343, Ms. Champion?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 343: Yes, I am.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And again, don't tell me what you
13	think you saw, but where did you see or hear it?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 343: When it happened in the
15	newspaper and on TV.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So that would have been back in
17	'05 you think you
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 343: Yes, ma'am.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And what newspaper, if you know?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 343: RJ.
21	THE COURT: RJ. And do you recall what television
22	station you would have been watching?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 343: (No audible answer).
24	THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, thank you. Have a seat.
25	And I believe there was another lady in that row.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 356: Badge No. 356. I saw it
2	in the newspaper, the RJ.
3	THE COURT: And you're Ms. Koot?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 356: Yes.
5	THE COURT: I have to ask you, are you related to
6	Bill Koot?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 356: Yes, I am.
8	THE COURT: Okay. That's a whole other area of
9	inquiry.
10	The RJ, now, was this back at the time, back in '05?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 356: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Do you think or do you
13	remember seeing anything about this case since the time of the
14	incident?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 356: No.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Have a seat.
17	And did I see another yes, ma'am.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Stephanie Galvan, 369.
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: The same thing,
21	newspaper, RJ newspaper. And then again my boyfriend saw
22	something on the news and he brought it up.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And the RJ when you yourself saw
24	it, would that have been back in '05 or have you seen
25	something since?
	11

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Back in '05.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And then you say your boyfriend
3	brought something up.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Right.
5	THE COURT: When would that have been?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: That would have been in
7	the last year.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And your boyfriend mentioned
9	seeing it on the news?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Right.
11	THE COURT: Okay. We'll get into that one later.
12	Have a seat. Thank you.
13	Anyone else in that front row?
14	Okay. That side of the room, did I see any other
15	hands as to people who may have read, seen or heard about this
16	case?
17	All right. On this side of the room, does anyone
18	remember yes, we'll start with the lady in green. You can
19	stay seated. That's okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 378: I'm 378, Dimonetta Meza.
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 378: I had seen it on the
23	television.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And do you recall about when that
25	would have been?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 378: When it first happened.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And do you recall what station
3	that was?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 378: I only watch 13.
5	THE COURT: You only watch 13. Okay. Anything
6	since then about the case or anything like that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 378: No.
8	THE COURT: And then other hands?
9	Yes, ma'am, in the purple.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: 389, Kaye Willis.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: Same thing, 2005, on
13	in the RJ and on television, and I'm a news
14	THE COURT: A news junky.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: Yes [inaudible].
16	Recently, and I'm not positive, you know they had like a
17	you know how they bring things back?
18	THE COURT: Okay. They have recapped it?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: Recapped.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: And I just glanced over
22	and they showed a picture of the young man, the two people up
23	in the
24	THE COURT: Okay. And that would have been on the
25	TV news?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: TV.
2	THE COURT: And do you recall what station that was?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: No. I didn't I just
4	looked at it and didn't really think anything about it.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Now, when you saw it recently,
6	how long ago might that have been within the last
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: It was close. That's
8	what I'm saying, you know, because it's coming around again.
9	THE COURT: Within the last few weeks or month?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: [Inaudible].
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: Before I came in.
13	THE COURT: Was it after you filled out your
14	questionnaire or before?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: No. No.
16	THE COURT: It was before?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 389: Yes.
18	THE COURT: All right. Thanks. Have a seat.
19	And other hands in that front row?
20	Anyone else on that side of the room that saw or
21	read or heard anything about this case?
22	All right. That's it. Now, this case is
23	expected the the guilt phase we should finish by Friday,
24	Monday of next week at the latest. As you've probably
25	gathered from filling out the questionnaire, cases of
	lk

first-degree murder are unique. If a defendant is convicted of murder in the first degree, then the jury determines the penalty after what's called a penalty phase.

Because of the holiday, we might be passing it, if and only if there's a guilty verdict, into the first week of June because of the holiday and people have travel plans. I know a lot of people leave town. We probably wouldn't start until Wednesday after the Memorial Day weekend and then it would just be that week.

Now, I know that can sound very inconvenient for people who have to miss work and make child care and other arrangements. However, please understand that in the spectrum of jury trials in this jurisdiction, that's not a very long trial. Some of you may watch the news coverage of other civil and criminal high profile cases and know that those can take weeks, if not months, to try.

We obviously live in a community where many, many people work in the service industry, the gaming industry, construction, and they're not paid if they miss work. I simply cannot excuse everyone because they're going to be missing work or aren't going to be paid because probably 80 percent of you would get up and walk out and it is my job to make sure that we have enough prospective jurors so that both sides can exercise all of their challenges and have a completely fair and impartial jury.

1	Having said that, is there anyone for whom serving
2	through this week and then after the holiday, again,
3	recognizing there's a break the week of the holiday, is there
4	anyone for whom serving that period would constitute an
5	extreme or undue hardship?
6	All right. We'll start with the jury box, the lady
7	in chair No. 4.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 271: Eloise Price.
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 271: My son is getting
11	married May 30th in New York.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 271: And we've got travel
14	plans from the 27th through June 9th. And I already have, you
15	know, plane tickets, reservations.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Did you bring anything with you?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 271: Yes, I did.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Great, excellent. I'm going to
19	have Officer Wooten get whatever you've brought with you.
20	All right. Did I see any other hands in the jury
21	box?
22	Yes, the gentleman in the back row.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: Salvador Avila.
24	THE COURT: Yes.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: My daughter attends a
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	year-round school. We plan all of our educational trip when
2	the school year begins. This Thursday and Friday we have her
3	fifth grade out-of-state educational tour.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Is that something you go with
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: I'm a chaperone.
6	THE COURT: Oh, you're a chaperone.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: I'm a parent chaperone,
8	yes. I don't have documentation with me, but the school will
9	be glad to provide the year-round schedule.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And what school does she go to?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: John C. Anaberg
12	Elementary.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And where is the trip?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: To SeaWorld.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: I would like to serve,
17	but priorities.
18	THE COURT: Well, you can come back.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: I can come back.
20	THE COURT: All right. Have a seat for right now,
21	sir. Thank you very much.
22	All right. Anyone else in the box? I saw the lady
23	at the end. Yes, ma'am.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: 327, Tamara Collins.
25	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
	THE PROPERTY TWO

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: I'm a casino employee
2	and I work graveyard. And also, I'm a single parent for my
3	daughter so it would be kind of hard with my bills and
4	everything.
5	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: I work in the cage.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And then you said you're a single
8	parent?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: Yes.
10	THE COURT: How old how many children do you have
11	and what
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: I have one.
13	THE COURT: And how old?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: She's 5.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Who watches your child when
16	you're at work?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: Either my boyfriend or
18	my mom.
19	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks. Have a seat
20	for right now.
21	And I saw some hands from this side of the room.
22	All right. Starting in the corner, the lady in the black top,
23	it looks like.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: No. 330, Spanzian
25	li

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: I'm currently in the
3	process of moving and I've already rented a truck and a U-Haul
4	starting this Thursday and I'm driving to California, so I
5	won't be here Thursday, Friday. I don't have any papers, but
6	I can send them in, if
7	THE COURT: Okay. So you've rented a truck for
8	Thursday and Friday?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Yeah, I'll be in
10	California.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And then you're moving to
12	California?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: No. I'm staying here,
14	but I'm moving all of my stuff to California, which I kncw it
15	doesn't make any sense.
16	THE COURT: I was going to say, what are you going
17	to do here without any stuff?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Because I'm moving in
19	December.
20	THE COURT: I see.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: My job is keeping πe
22	here until December of this year.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So you will be a Clark County
24	residence through December?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Yeah.

1	THE COURT: You could serve on a jury September,
2	October, November, et cetera?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Yeah.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So we can have you come back
5	then. All right. Thank you. Have a seat.
6	And I saw the gentleman, it looked like yes, sir,
7	Mr. Markel.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: That's too much time off
11	of my work and they won't pay me to come here to court.
12	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for a living, sir?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: I work for Alarmco
14	putting in security systems.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Do you do the installation?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: Yes.
19	THE COURT: What does your wife do?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: She was laid off for a
21	year and she just went back to work and she started working
22	for Wal-Mart.
23	THE COURT: Okay. What does she do for Wal-Mart?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: She works graveyard,
25	putting stuff out.

1	THE COURT: Like stocking the shelves?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: Stocking.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat, sir.
4	Did I see any other hands in that row? Yes, the
5	gentleman in the plaid, it looks like.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 353: Yes, Your Honor, I also
7	have travel plans. My granddaughter is graduating high school
8	and we already have travel plans to leave May 26 through
9	June 6th.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And, sir, I need your name and
11	badge number, please.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 353: Oh, I'm sorry. Jury Tag
13	353.
14	THE COURT: All right. And where are you going?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 353: Cleveland.
16	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 353: Cleveland.
18	THE COURT: All right. And you brought something.
19	Very good. Yes, you are. Have a seat for right now. Thank
20	you.
21	All right. Other people in the first row? Yes, the
22	lady in the pink.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: Carol Druan, 376. Your
24	Honor, I am the only salesperson for a management consulting
25	business for the jewelry industry and the largest trade show
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	that we have is the first week of June for JCK. It's already
2	been booked and I am it. My next two weeks are to be spent
3	cultivating clients, making appointments and I absolutely
4	cannot miss this show because it's one of the strongest
5	revenue generators for the company.
6	THE COURT: Is that a local show?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: Yeah, the JCK is right
8	here in Las Vegas at the Sands and it is the first the
9	whole first week of June.
10	THE COURT: Okay. They have that in the fall too.
11	Is that the one
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: Not the JCK. It's a
13	different one.
14	THE COURT: Oh, okay. And so you would be abl∈ to
15	serve, just not when there's a big show in town?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: Right. Impossible
17	THE COURT: All right. We can have you come back.
18	Have a seat. And I'm sorry. When does the show end?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: It ends, I believe, on
20	the 7th or 8th.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: It's the first full week
23	of June and I'm not sure exactly
24	THE COURT: Okay. So you would be able to come in
25	any time after June 8th?
	11

- 1	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: Well, not honestly
2	because I have trade shows through July and August.
3	THE COURT: Any time in the fall?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: Should be, yes. Thank
5	you.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And the gentleman in
7	the green, it looks like, in the back corner there.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 407: Philip Korinis, Badge
9	No. 407.
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 407: Commission pay.
12	THE COURT: I'm sorry? Commission pay?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 407: Three weeks is a long
14	time to be out of the office.
15	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 407: I'm a service consultant
17	for a luxury automobile dealer.
18	THE COURT: A service consultant for what?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 407: A Lexus dealer.
20	THE COURT: All right. Sir, have a seat.
21	And yes, ma'am.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 430: Elizabeth Lishnevsky,
23	430.
24	THE COURT: I'm sorry, you're Badge 430?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 430: Yes.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	THE COURT: Yes.		
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 430: I would be out of town		
3	26 through 3rd of June family event, Seattle.		
4	THE COURT: Okay. So you're leaving		
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 430: The 26 of May until		
6	June 3.		
7	THE COURT: Which is you're coming back that		
8	Thursday?		
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 430: Correct.		
10	THE COURT: Okay. Where are you going?		
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 430: Seattle.		
12	THE COURT: I'm sorry. You said that. Did you		
13	bring tickets or any information with you?		
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 430: I can give you the		
15	reservation.		
16	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you can come back		
17	after that. And you were badge I'm sorry, what was your		
18	badge number?		
19	MR. ERICSSON: 430.		
20	THE COURT: Thank you.		
21	All right. Did I see any other hands on that side		
22	of the room? Yes, the lady in lavender.		
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Your Honor, I'm eight		
24	and a half months pregnant. Starting next Tuesday, I have to		
25	go to the doctor every week and he thinks I'll be early.		
	1		

1	THE COURT: Yeah. My bailiff has enough to do. We	
2	don't need that. And, ma'am, when is your baby due actually?	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Her due date is	
4	June 23rd.	
5	THE COURT: And I'm sorry, what was your badge	
6	number again?	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: 452.	
8	THE COURT: All right. So you would be eligible	
9	maybe in six months to come back?	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: After probably November,	
11	Decemberish, we're moving to our house in Arizona and we won't	
12	be in Vegas at all anymore.	
13	THE COURT: When are you moving?	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: November, Decemberish.	
15	THE COURT: Okay.	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: We're not sure yet.	
17	THE COURT: All right. And you are, for the record,	
18	Nicole Robinson; is that correct?	
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yes.	
20	THE COURT: All right. This side of the room I saw	
21	a couple of hands. All right. Yes, the lady on the end.	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Carol Anderson, 399. I	
23	have nobody to bring my children to school.	
24	THE COURT: All right. And are you married?	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Yes.	
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 262	

1	THE COURT: What does your husband do?		
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Currently he works at		
3	Office Max.		
4	THE COURT: Okay. What does he do for them?		
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: He's everything.		
6	He's like cashier, does sales.		
7	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?		
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Bartender.		
9	THE COURT: Okay. Swing shift, grave?		
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Day shift.		
11	THE COURT: Day. Okay. So who takes your kids to		
12	school during the		
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: I do. I take them to		
14	school because I start at 10:00.		
15	THE COURT: Okay.		
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: And my husband picks		
17	them up because he works 5:00 to 1:00.		
18	THE COURT: Okay. So as long as we started after		
19	10:00, you would be okay?		
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Yes.		
21	THE COURT: Okay.		
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: But I work for tips, and		
23	three weeks is		
24	THE COURT: It's actually only two weeks because		
25	we'll have a week in between where we're not most of a week		
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 263		

1	that we're dark. Okay. Where do you work as a bartender?		
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: The Flamingo Hotel.		
3	THE COURT: Okay. Is that just one of the bars		
4	there in the casino area type thing?		
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Yes.		
6	THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat for right now.		
7	And any other hands? Yes, the gentleman in blue.		
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 387: Jared Montarbo, 387.		
9	Actually I have to leave early. I can only stay until 5:00.		
10	O'clock I have to go to my job. I run the kitchen and we open		
11	at 5:00 o'clock for service so		
12	THE COURT: All right. Well you run a kitchen?		
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 387: Yes.		
14	THE COURT: Where is that?		
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 387: The City Center,		
16	Brasserie Puck.		
17	THE COURT: Okay. And you're the manager or		
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 387: Yeah, executive chef.		
19	THE COURT: Executive okay. And are you married,		
20	sir?		
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 387: No.		
22	THE COURT: And I imagine you haven't been working		
23	there too long because it hasn't been open.		
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 387: Yeah, I've been in the		
25	company for six years.		

1	THE COURT: Okay. At another property?		
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 387: Still here in Vegas.		
3	THE COURT: Okay. Where did you work before that?		
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 387: Spago, [inaudible] and		
5	the [inaudible] Center.		
6	THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat for right now.		
7	THE COURT RECORDER: I'm sorry. Where did you say		
8	you worked?		
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 387: Brasserie Puck, City		
10	Center.		
11	THE COURT RECORDER: Brasserie Puck?		
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 387: Yes.		
13	THE COURT RECORDER: Thank you.		
14	THE COURT: All right. Other hands on that side of		
15	the room? Yes, the lady way in the back row.		
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 504: Your Honor, I'm a single		
17	mother also and I work on commission and I work for the Paris		
18	Hotel at the front desk.		
19	THE COURT: Okay. Your name and badge number,		
20	please, ma'am?		
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 504: I'm sorry. 504,		
22	Melendez, Zelaya-Melendez, Ana.		
23	THE COURT: All right. And you work at the Paris as		
24	a front desk clerk?		
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 504: Yes, Your Honor. And		
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 265		

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DEANGELO CARROLL,	
Appellant,	
v.	Supreme Court Case No. 78081
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
Respondent.	
	1

## **APPELLANT'S APPENDIX**

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 30th day of May, 2019. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Steven Wolfson, Clark County District Attorney's Office Aaron Ford, Nevada Attorney General Jamie J. Resch, Resch Law, PLLC d/b/a Conviction Solutions

Employee, Resch Law, PLLC d/b/a Conviction Solutions