

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

J.E. JOHNS & ASSOCIATES, A  
NEVADA BUSINESS ENTITY; AND  
A.J. JOHNSON, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Appellants/Cross-Respondents,


vs.

JOHN LINDBERG, AN INDIVIDUAL;  
MICHAEL LINDBERG, AN  
INDIVIDUAL; AND JUDITH L.  
LINDBERG, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Respondents/Cross-Appellants.

No. 78086

**FILED**

OCT 10 2019

ELIZABETH L. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

*O R D E R*


Nevada REALTORS® have filed an untimely motion for leave to file a brief of amicus curiae in support of appellants/cross-respondents. Respondents/cross-appellants oppose the motion. After reviewing the motion and proposed brief, this court concludes that the participation of Nevada REALTORS® in this matter as amicus curiae is not warranted. *See* NRAP 29(a); *Ryan v. Commodity Futures Trading Comm'n*, 125 F.3d 1062, 1063 (7th Cir. 1997) (pointing out that amicus curiae participation is appropriate when the amicus has unique information or perspective that can aid the court beyond what the parties' lawyers are able to provide). Accordingly, the untimely motion is denied. The clerk shall return the proposed brief of amicus curiae and appendix received on August 20 and 23, 2019, unfiled.

Cause appearing, and notwithstanding its untimeliness, appellants/cross-respondents' motion for an extension of time to file the combined reply brief on appeal and answering brief on cross-appeal is granted. The combined brief was filed on September 24, 2019.

However, the certificate of compliance included with the combined brief pursuant to NRAP 32(a)(9) is incomplete because it does not state the number of words in the brief. Accordingly, appellants/cross-respondents shall have 7 days from the date of this order to file and serve an amended certificate of compliance. Failure to file an amended certificate of compliance may result in the imposition of sanctions.

Respondents/cross-appellants shall have until October 24, 2019, to file and serve any reply brief on cross-appeal. Failure to timely file a reply brief on cross-appeal may be construed as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

  
C.J.

cc: Glade L. Hall  
Moore Law Group, PC