LCC LL FORM 28.042

· ·	* * * * *
Justin Odell Langtord.	Case No. 75825
Appellant	Case No. 76075
-vs-	Case No. 78144
The State of Nevada	
) and the second
Respondent	JUN 0 3 2019
	ELIZABETH A. BROWN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
•	BY DEPUTY CLERK
MOTION T	O APPOINT COUNSEL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

comes now, Justin Odell Langford, Plaintiff in prose, and moves the Court for an order appointing him counsel for the foregoing proceedings.

This motion is based upon all documents in the original record and/or appellate record herein, NRAP 46(c) and the following.

This Court may "appoint counsel to represent indigent criminal defendants and indigent habeas corpus petitioners in original proceedings" before it. NRAP 46(c). See also George v. State, 122 Nev. 1, 127 P.3d 1055 (2006) (Court may remand case to district court for appointment of counsel for appeal).

Appointment would properly promote justice herein, as (1) the issues at bar are complex, (2) the movant is financially unable to obtain counsel at his own expense, and (3) the issues presented in this matter are meritorious and entitle movant to relief. (Check if applicable) X There are additional or

hereto on additional page(s), which are incorporated as if set IN 0 3 2019

ERK OF SUPREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK 19-24067

1	forth herein. These factors demonstrate the propriety of
2	appointment of counsel herein. <u>See e.g.</u> NRS 34.750(1) (examples
3	of criteria warranting appointment of counsel in habeas corpus
4	proceedings).
5	For the reasons set forth herein, the Court should order
6	the appointment of counsel for and in relation to the instant
7	proceedings.
8	Dated this 30 day of May, 2019.
9	Gest Odell Empol
10	Lovelock Correctional Center
11	1200 Prison Road Lovelock, Nevada 89419
12	In Pro Se
13	
14	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
15	I do certify that I mailed a true and correct copy of
16	the foregoing to the below address(es) on this 30^{-} day of
17	May, 2019, by placing same in the U.S. Mail via
18	prison law library staff:
19	
20	Dorumant Proposad B.
21	Document PrePared By: Benjamin McCordy # 1157357
22	Derijamin 1 (20072)
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Justin Odell Langued
1	

28

In Pro Se

Appeallant has all three listed cases on the toregoing motion which stem from devial of appointment of counsel and devial of an evidentiary hearing on letitions for Writ of habeas corpus which were denied. The decrissions were contrary to law, even though it was let up to the courts descression to allow the appointment at course during the Appellants Post Conviction Process, All of which due to the Complexity of the case and tilings that requires in the intrest of tairand Proper Justice, the appointment of counsel as Supported by the appellants motion to appoint Counsel and Supported by required affidivit. It is an unreasonable application of clearly established state & tederal laws, and was based upon an unreasonable determination that was a bias & Prejudice to this Appellant of the actual truth & facts. The District Court has abused it's descretion and Power & Authority by allowing the clark county District Attorney of the Eby its staff DA Preparation of the findings of facts and Conclusions of law and to pretend the Judge was the one to prepare & sign said document that was questionable. It this court was to appoint Counsel to the said cases, so counsel can gother the evidence needed to prove claims or at the very least reverse all of these case's and order the lower courts to appoint counsel? do an evidentiary heavy where all evidence can be

presented son true decision can be made. One of appellants claims raised in his first petition had counsel been appointed and a evidentiary hearing been done there would be no appeals. All Appellantask is it do the right thing. This Courtin Gary Wagne Walters v The State of Nevada, No 57862 entered an order of reversal for the same is sues above that order was filed Mar. 18 2011 (doc. No. 11-08419). This Court has seen Appellants petition for rehearing in which he references Gary Walters YouTube videos & his case were he proved the statutes invalid.