IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

ROBERT G. REYNOLDS, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND DIAMANTI FINE JEWELERS, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIBAILITY COMPANY, Appellants, vs.

RAFFI TUFENKJIAN, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND LUXURY HOLDINGS LV, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, Respondents

N₀. 78187 Electronically Filed Mar 21 2019 11:11 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown CIVIL APPEALS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. See <u>KDI Sylvan</u> <u>Pools v. Workman</u>, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

Revised December 2015

1. Judicia	l DistrictEighth	Department 13
County	Clark	Judge Honorable Mark R. Denton
Distric	t Ct. Case No. <u>A-17-753532-C</u>	
2. Attorn	ey filing this docketing statemen	t:
Attorney	Bradley M. Marx	Telephone702-900-2541
Firm M	larx Law Firm PLLC	
Address	900 S. Rancho Dr. Suite B14 LasVegas, NV 89106	
Client(s)	Robert G. Reynolds and Diamanti Fir	ne Jewelers, LLC
	f their clients on an additional sheet accomp	he names and addresses of other counsel and panied by a certification that they concur in the
3. Attorne	ey(s) representing respondents(s	•
Attorney	Christian T. Balducci	Telephone702-382-0711
Firm <u>Ma</u>	rquis Aurbach Coffing	
Address	10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, NV 89145	
Client(s)_	Raffi Tufenkjian and Luxury Holding	gs LV, LLC
Attorney		Telephone
Firm		
Address		
Client(s)		

•

.

(List additional counsel on separate sheet if necessary)

4. Nature of disposition below (check all that apply):

🔲 Judgment after bench trial	🗋 Dismissal:		
🔲 Judgment after jury verdict	Lack of jurisdiction		
🕱 Summary judgment	🗖 Failure to state a claim		
🗋 Default judgment	🗖 Failure to prosecute		
Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	□ Other (specify):		
Grant/Denial of injunction	Divorce Decree:		
☐ Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	🗆 Original 📃 Modification		
Review of agency determination	Other disposition (specify):		
1 1 1 1			

5. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following?

Child Custody

🗌 Venue

,

.

Termination of parental rights

6. Pending and prior proceedings in this court. List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal:

None.

7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g., bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

None.

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

In this action, Appellants allege that Respondents made certain fraudulent misrepresentations in a contract to purchase a jewelry store. Respondents filed a Motion for Summary Judgment to be entered against each of Appellants' claims based on contractual disclaimers. Appellants alleged that the contractual disclaimers were not dispositive when combined with reasonable reliance on material misrepresentations. The district court granted Respondents' motion for summary judgment over Appellant's opposition. Appellants now appeal the order granting Respondent Summary Judgment

9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):

Whether Appellants reasonably relied on Respondents' misrepresentations during due diligence.

Whether Respondents' misrepresentations are excused by contractual disclaimers.

Whether Appellant Reynolds is entitled to the protection of NRS 41.1395 even though Appellant Reynolds lost money through a transaction consummated through Reynolds' 100% owned limited liability company.

10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

None.

.

.

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

🗆 N/A

٠

- 🗌 Yes
- 🖾 No
- If not, explain:

12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?

- □ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
- An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
- X A substantial issue of first impression
- □ An issue of public policy
- \square An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
- A ballot question
 - If so, explain:

Appellants argue that the Court has not determined whether non-reliance provisions in a purchase agreement excuse the seller's material misrepresentations and concealment of information peculiarly within his or her knowledge. As other states have concluded, Nevada may set aside the contractual protections when due diligence is frustrated.

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

This matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court as the principal issue is a question of first impression. NRAP 17(a)(11). It is also retained by the Supreme Court because it originated in business court. NRAP 17(a)(9).

14. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last?

Was it a bench or jury trial?

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

No.

.

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from October 11, 2018

If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking appellate review:

Was service by:

Delivery

,

X Mail/electronic/fax

18. If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion (NRCP 50(b), 52(b), or 59)

(a) Specify the type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and the date of filing.

□ NRCP 50(b) Date of filing November 27, 2018

- □ NRCP 52(b) Date of filing
- X NRCP 59 Date of filing
- NOTE: Motions made pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the time for filing a notice of appeal. See <u>AA Primo Builders v. Washington</u>, 126 Nev. ____, 245 P.3d 1190 (2010).
 - (b) Date of entry of written order resolving tolling motion January 24, 2019
 - (c) Date written notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served January 29, 2019

Was service by:

🛛 Delivery

🗆 Mail

19. Date notice of appeal filed February 19, 2019

If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each notice of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:

Robert G. Reynolds and Diamanti Fine Jewelers, LLC filed a joint notice of appeal on February 19, 2019

20. Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, *e.g.*, NRAP 4(a) or other

NRAP 4(a)(1)

SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

21. Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review the judgment or order appealed from:

(a)

.

NRAP 3A(b)(1)	🗌 NRS 38.205
□ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	□ NRS 233B.150
□ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	🗆 NRS 703.376
Other (specify)	

(b) Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:

NRAP 3A(b)(1) allows for an appeal from a final judgment. An order granting summary judgment is a final judgment. This appeal arises from the District Court's Order granting summary judgment in favor of Respondents.

22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court: (a) Parties:

ROBERT G. REYNOLDS, DIAMANTI FINE JEWELERS, LLC, RAFFI TUFENKJIAN AND LUXURY HOLDINGS LV, LLC

(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, *e.g.*, formally dismissed, not served, or other:

23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.

Robert G. Reynolds and Diamanti Fine Jewelers, LLC filed claims for fraud/misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract, and exploitation. Each claim was disposed of on January 24, 2019.

24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?

X Yes

٠

🗌 No

25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:

(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:

(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?

🗌 Yes

.

÷

🖾 No

(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?

🗌 Yes

 \Box No

26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):

27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

- The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
- Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
- Any other order challenged on appeal
- Notices of entry for each attached order

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

Robert G. Reynolds and Diamanti Fine Jewelers, LLC Name of appellant

ē

March 19, 2019 Date

Bradley M. Marx Name of counsel of record Signature of counsel of record

Clark County, Nevada State and county where signed

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

completed docketing statement upon all counsel of record:

By personally serving it upon him/her; or

X By mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es): (NOTE: If all names and addresses cannot fit below, please list names below and attach a separate sheet with the addresses.)

Christian T. Balducci Marquis Aurbach Coffing 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, NV 89145

Lansford W. Levitt 4230 Christy Way Reno, NV 89519

Dated this	20th 21st day of	March	, 2019	
			Signature	_