

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT G. REYNOLDS, AN
INDIVIDUAL; AND DIAMANTI FINE
JEWELERS, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY,

Appellants,

vs.


RAFFI TUFENKJIAN, AN
INDIVIDUAL; AND LUXURY
HOLDINGS LV, LLC, A NEVADA
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,

Respondents.

No. 78187

FILED

APR 25 2019

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

This is an appeal from a district court order granting a motion for summary judgment. Initial review of the docketing statement and documents before this court reveals a potential jurisdictional defect. It is not clear if the district court has entered a final judgment appealable under NRAP 3A(b)(1). *See Lee v. GNLV Corp.*, 116 Nev. 424, 426, 996 P.2d 416, 417 (2000) (defining a final judgment). Appellant named Great Wash Park LLC as a defendant in the third amended complaint. But it is not clear whether Great Wash was served with process such that it became a party to the underlying litigation.¹ *See Albert D. Massi, Ltd. v. Bellmyre*, 111 Nev. 1520, 1521, 908 P.2d 705, 706 (1995) (a party for purposes of NRAP 3A(a) is an entity that has “been named and served”). If Great Wash was served, it does not appear that any written order resolves any claims against it. Thus, claims may remain pending in the district court. If Great Wash was


¹Appellant does not identify Great Wash as a party in its docketing statement.

not served, it appears that the summary judgment order constitutes the final judgment in this matter.

Accordingly, appellants shall have 30 days from the date of this order to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. In responding to this order, appellants should provide, in addition to points and authorities, a copy of any district court order formally resolving any claims against Great Wash. Respondents may file any reply within 14 days of appellants' response. Failure to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in the dismissal of this appeal.

Briefing of this appeal is suspended pending further order of this court.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Marx Law Firm, PLLC
Marquis Aurbach Coffing