| FILED | |
|---------------------|--------------|
| Electronicall | v |
| CR98-0516 | |
| 2019-02-25 02:39 | 09 PM |
| Jacqueline Bry | ant |
| Clerk of the Co | purt |
| ransaction # 713398 | 4 : yviloria |
| | |

| Electronically File | k |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Feb 28 2019 09:02 | 2 a.m. |
| Elizabeth A. Brow | |
| Clerk of Supreme | Court |

1 2515T **RENE L. VALLADARES** $\mathbf{2}$ Federal Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 11479 RANDOLPH M. FIEDLER 3 Assistant Federal Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 12577 4 Randolph_fiedler@fd.org JOANNE L. DIAMOND $\mathbf{5}$ Assistant Federal Public Defender 6 Nevada Bar No. 14139C Joanne_diamond@fd.org 7 SCOTT WISNIEWSKI Assistant Federal Public Defender 8 Nevada Bar No. 14675C Scott_wisniewski@fd.org 411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250 9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6577 10 (702) 388-5819 (Fax) 11 Attorneys for Petitioner 12IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE 1314SIAOSI VANISI, Case No. CR96-0516 Dept. No. IV 15Petitioner, v. (Death Penalty Habeas Corpus Case) 16WILLIAM GITTERE, Warden, et. al., NOTICE OF APPEAL 17Respondents. 18 1920212223

Notice is hereby given that Siaosi Vanisi appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court from the Order Granting Waiver of Evidentiary Hearing, Order Denying Relief, and the Order Denying Motion for Leave to File Supplement. The Notice of Entry of Order was filed on February 6, 2019; another Notice of Entry of Order was filed on February

DATED this 25th day of February, 2019.

Randolph M. Fiedler Assistant Federal Public Defender

Respectfully submitted, **RENE L. VALLADARES** Federal Public Defender

Joanne L. Diamond Assistant Federal Public Defender

Scott Wisniewski Assistant Federal Public Defender

| 1 | AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NEV. REV. STAT. § 239B.030 |
|----|---|
| 2 | The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding NOTICE OF APPEAL |
| 3 | filed in the District Court Case No. CR96-0516 does not contain the social security |
| 4 | number of any person. |
| 5 | DATED this 25th day of February, 2019. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | <u>Randolph M. Fiedler</u> Assistant Federal Public Defender |
| 8 | 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Suite 250 Las Vegas, NV 89101 |
| 9 | Attorney for Respondent |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| | 3 |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, the undersigned hereby |
| 3 | certifies that on this 25th day of February, 2019, a true and correct copy of the |
| 4 | foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL was filed electronically with the Second Judicial |
| 5 | District Court. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be made in |
| 6 | accordance with the master service list as follows: |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Jennifer P. Noble Appellate Deputy Nevada Bar No. 9446 P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV 89520-0027 Joseph R. Plater Appellate Deputy Nevada Bar No. 2771 P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV 89520-0027 <u>/s/Jessica Pillsbury</u> An Employee of the Federal Public Defender |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| | 4 |

FILED Electronically CR98-0516 2019-02-25 02:40 26 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 7133998 : yviloria

| 1 | 1310 DENIEL MALLADADES | Clerk of the Co Transaction # 713399 |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | RENE L. VALLADARES Federal Public Defender | |
| 3 | Nevada Bar No. 11479 RANDOLPH M. FIEDLER Assistant Federal Public Defender | |
| 4 | Nevada Bar No. 12577 Randolph_fiedler@fd.org | |
| 5 | JOANNE L. DIAMOND Assistant Federal Public Defender | |
| 6 | Nevada Bar No. 14139C | |
| 7 | Joanne_diamond@fd.org SCOTT WISNIEWSKI | |
| 8 | Assistant Federal Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 14675C | |
| 9 | Scott_wisniewski@fd.org 411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250 | |
| 10 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6577 (702) 388-5819 (Fax) | |
| 11 | Attorneys for Petitioner | |
| 12 | IN THE SECOND JUDICIA | L DISTRICT COURT OF THE |
| 13 | | FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE |
| 14 | SIAOSI VANISI, | Case No. CR96-0516 |
| 15 | Petitioner, | Dept. No. IV |
| 16 | V. | (Death Penalty Habeas Corpus Case) |
| 17 | WILLIAM GITTERE, Warden, et. al., | CASE APPEAL STATEMENT |
| 18 | Respondents. | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| 1 | 1. | Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: |
|---|----|---|
| 2 | | Siaosi Vanisi |
| 3 | 2. | Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appeals from: |
| 4 | | Hon. Connie Steinheimer |
| 5 | 3. | Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant: |
| 6 7 | | Rene Valladares Federal Public Defender |
| 8 | | Randolph M. Fiedler Joanne L. Diamond |
| 9 | | Scott Wisniewski |
| 10 | | Assistants Federal Public Defender 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 |
| $\begin{array}{c c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | | Counsel for Appellant Siaosi Vanisi |
| 13 | 4. | Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for each respondent: |
| 14 15 | | Chris Hicks Washoe County District Attorney |
| | | Jennifer P. Noble |
| 16 | | Chief Appellate Deputy Joseph Plater |
| 17 | | Appellate Deputy P.O. Box 11130 |
| 18 | | Reno, Nevada 89520-0027 |
| 19 | | Counsel for Respondent William Gittere, Warden, Ely State Prison Counsel for Respondent Aaron Ford, Attorney General |
| 20 | 5. | Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 |
| 21 | | is not licensed to practice in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42 (attach a copy of any |
| 22 | | district court order granting such permission): |
| 23 | | |

| 1 | All attorneys are licensed to practice in Nevada. |
|--------|--|
| 2 | 6. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court: |
| 3 | The United States District Court for the District of Nevada appointed counsel |
| 4 | for Vanisi on August 5, 2010. See Vanisi v. Filson, No. 3:10-cv-00448-MMD-CBC, |
| 5 | Docket No. 5. Pursuant to our appointment in federal court, undersigned counsel |
| 6 | remained Vanisi's appointed counsel for these state proceedings. |
| 7 8 | 7. Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal: |
| 9 | Appellant is represented by appointed counsel, the Federal Public Defender for |
| 10 | the District of Nevada. |
| 11 | 8. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, |
| 12 | and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave: |
| 13 | The United States District Court granted Vanisi leave to proceed in forma |
| 14 | pauperis on August 5, 2010. |
| 15 | 9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed): |
| 16 | The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) was filed on May 4, |
| 17 | 2011. |
| 18 | 10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district |
| 19 | court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court: |
| 20 | Vanisi filed the instant petition, which the district court originally denied on |
| 21 | April 10, 2014; the Notice of Entry of Order was filed on April 25, 2014. The Nevada |
| 22 | Supreme Court reversed and remanded for the district court to conduct an |
| 23 | evidentiary hearing "concerning whether Vanisi was prejudiced by postconviction |
| | |

counsel's failure to substantiate their claim of ineffective assistance of trial counsel for failure to introduce mitigation evidence."¹

On remand, after Vanisi indicated an interest in waiving his evidentiary hearing, the district court conducted a competency hearing, found Vanisi competent, and allowed him to waive his evidentiary hearing. The district court then denied Vanisi's remaining claim on its merits.

Vanisi then moved to supplement his petition to consider a claim that he is categorically ineligible for the death penalty. The district court denied this motion. A Notice of Entry of Order was filed on February 6, 2019; a second Notice of Entry of Order was filed on February 22, 2019.

11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:

Vanisi v. District Court, No. 34771

Vanisi v. State, No. 35429

Vanisi v. District Court, No. 45061

Vanisi v. State, No. 50607

Vanisi v. Warden, No. 65774

12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:

This appeal does not involve child custody or visitation.

13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement:

22

23

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

4

¹ Vanisi v. Baker, No. 6577, Order Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part, and Remanding (Nev. Sept. 28, 2017)

| 1 | This is not a civil case. | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | DATED this 25th day of February, 2019. | |
| 4 | | Respectfully submitted, RENE L. VALLADARES |
| 5 | | Federal Public Defender |
| 6 | | <u>Randolph M. Fiedler</u> Assistant Federal Public Defender |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | <u>Joanne L. Diamond</u> Assistant Federal Public Defender |
| 9 | | Assistant rederar rubic Defender |
| 10 | | <u>Scott Wisniewski</u> Assistant Federal Public Defender |
| 11 | | Assistant reueral rubic Defender |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| | | 5 |
| | | |
| | | |

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NEV. REV. STAT. § 239B.030 The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding CASE APPEAL $\mathbf{2}$ STATEMENT filed in the District Court Case No. CR96-0516 does not contain the social security number of any person. DATED this 25th day of February, 2019. $\mathbf{5}$ Randolph M. Fiedler Assistant Federal Public Defender 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Suite 250 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorney for Respondent

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE |
|-------------|--|
| 2 | In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, the undersigned hereby |
| 3 | certifies that on this 25th day of February, 2019, a true and correct copy of the |
| 4 | foregoing CASE APPEAL STATEMENT was filed electronically with the Second |
| 5 | Judicial District Court. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be made in |
| 6 | accordance with the master service list as follows: |
| 7 8 9 | Jennifer Noble Appellate Deputy Nevada Bar No. 9446 P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV 89520-0027 |
| 10 | Joseph R. Platter Appellate Deputy Nevada Bar No. 2771 |
| 11 | P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV 89520-0027 |
| 12 | <u>/s/Jessica Pillsbury</u> An Employee of the Federal |
| 13 | Public Defenders Office |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| | 7 |

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT COUNTY OF WASHOE

Case History - CR98-0516

DEPT. D4

HON. CONNIE J. STEINHEIMER

Report Date & Time 2/25/2019

3-27-05PM

| ase ID: | CR98-0516 | C Case Type: | ase Des CRIM | cription: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI NAL | (D4) Initial Filing Date: | 2/26/1998 |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| | | cuse Type. | | Parties | | |
| PLTF | | STATE OF NEVA | DA - ST | | | |
| DA | | Jennifer P. Noble, E | | | | |
| DA | | Joseph R. Plater, III | | | | |
| DEFT | | SIAOSI VANISI - | | | | |
| PD | | Randolph Fiedler, I | | | | |
| DATY | | Scott Wisniewski, l | | | | |
| DATY | | Joanne L. Diamond | | | | |
| DATY | | Rene L. Valladares, | ESq 1 | | | |
| | | | | Charges | | |
| Charge No. | Charge Code F720 | <i>Charge Date</i> 2/26/1998 | INF | <i>Charge Descript</i> MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE | ion | |
| 2 | F960 | 2/26/1998 | INF | ROBBERY WITH THE USE OF A DEADLY W | WEAPON | |
| 3 | F960 | 2/26/1998 | INF | ROBBERY WITH THE USE OF A FIREARM | | |
| 4 | F960 | 2/26/1998 | INF | ROBBERY WITH THE USE OF A FIREARM | | |
| 5 | F520 | 2/26/1998 | INF | GRAND LARCENY | | |
| | | | | Plea Information | | |
| Charge No. | Plea Code | Plea Date | | Plea Description | | |
| 5 | F520 | 3/10/1998 | | PLED NOT GUILTY | | |
| 1 | F720 | 3/10/1998 | | PLED NOT GUILTY | | |
| 2 | F960 | 3/10/1998 | | PLED NOT GUILTY | | |
| 3 | F960 | 3/10/1998 | | PLED NOT GUILTY | | |
| 4 | F960 | 3/10/1998 | | PLED NOT GUILTY | | |
| | | | | Sentences | | |
| Date 11/22/199 | Charge No. | Charge Desc | | <i>Time Served</i> DEATH | Sentence Text | |
| 11/22/199 | | State Prison | | NSP MAX 180 MOS, MIN PAROLE 72 MOS, | W/CONSEC LIKE | |
| | 2 1.0.444 | | | TERM FOR USE, CONSEC TO CT I + \$10,00 | | |
| 11/22/199 |) 3 - Nevada | State Prison | | + DNA TESTING NSP MAX 180 MOS, MIN PAROLE 72 MOS | W/CONSEC LIKE | |
| 11/22/199 | > - INEVAUA | 5aac 1 115011 | | TERM FOR USE, CONSEC TO CTS I & II + 5 | | |
| 11/22/199 | 9 4 - Nevada | State Prison | | \$250 DNA FEE NSP MAX 180 MOS, MIN PAROLE 72 MOS, | W/CONSEC LIKE | |
| 11/22/177 | | 5446 1 115011 | | TERM, CONSEC TO CTS I, II & III | WEONDEC LIKE | |
| 11/22/199 | 9 5 - Nevada | State Prison | | NSP MAX 120 MOS, MIN PAROLE 48 MOS, I, II, III & IV | , CONSEC TO CTS | |

Custody Status

Hearings

| ID: | CR98-0516 Case Type: | Case Description: STATI CRIMINAL | E VS SIAOSI VANISI (E | 04) Initial Filing Date: | 2/26/1998 |
|-----|--|---|--|---|---------------|
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | t Time | Disposed Date |
| 1 | D4 TRIAL - JURY | | 12/11/2001 | 11:00:00 | 12/11/2001 |
| | Event Extra Text: | | , 1999 IN D4 | 001 LD SEPTEMBER 20, 1999 THROUC BY JURY ON 9/27/99 ON ALL COU | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | a Time | Disposed Date |
| 2 | D4 HEARING | | 1/18/2002 | 13:15:00 | 1/18/2002 |
| | Event Extra Text: TO SET EXECUTION DATE | Event Extra Text: TO SET EXECUTION DATE | | <i>Disposition:</i> D435 1/18/2002 COURT ENTERED EXECUTION DATE/MOTION FO PENDING POST CONVICTION MUST BE PLACED | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | Time | Disposed Date |
| 3 | D4 HEARING | | 9/2/2010 | 11:00:00 | 8/31/2010 |
| | Event Extra Text: ON REMITTITUR | | <i>Disposition:</i> D870 8/31/20 CASE STAYED P | 10 ER USDC ORDER | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | a Time | Disposed Date |
| 4 | D4 EXHIBITS TO BE MARKED W/C | LERK | 12/5/2013 | 10:00:00 | 12/5/2013 |
| | Event Extra Text: | | Disposition: D596 12/5/20 | 13 | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | a Time | Disposed Date |
| 5 | D4 EVIDENTIARY HEARING | | 12/5/2013 | 13:30:00 | 12/5/2013 |
| | Event Extra Text: ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF | HABEAS CORPUS | Disposition: D498 12/5/20 | 13 | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | a Time | Disposed Date |
| 6 | D4 EVIDENTIARY HEARING | | 12/6/2013 | 09:00:00 | 12/5/2013 |
| | Event Extra Text: (ONGOING) ON PETITION F CORPUS | OR WRIT OF HABEAS | <i>Disposition:</i> DUP 12/5/20 | 13 | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | e Time | Disposed Date |
| 7 | D4 HEARING ONGOING | | 12/6/2013 | 09:00:00 | 12/6/2013 |
| | Event Extra Text: - PETITION FOR WRIT OF H CONVICTION) | ABEAS CORPUS (POST | Disposition: D840 12/6/20 | 13 | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | e Time | Disposed Date |
| 8 | D4 Request for Submission | | 12/6/2013 | 12:00:00 | 2/7/2014 |
| | Event Extra Text: - PETITION FOR WRIT OF H G MOTION TO DISMISS) UNDER ADVISEME ARGUMENTS | | Disposition: S200 2/7/2014 | 4 | |

| 9 <i>L</i> 10 <i>L</i> 11 | Department Event Description D4 DECISION Event Extra Text: (TELEPHONIC) Department Event Description D4 Tickle Start Code Event Extra Text: - FINDINGS GRANTING MOTION PETITION/SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION? Department Event Description D4 Tickle Start Code Event Extra Text: - FINDINGS GRANTING MOTION PETITION/SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION? Department Event Description D4 D4 Tickle Start Code Event Extra Text: - HAS REMITTITUR ISSUED? | TO DISMISS | 3/4/2014 Disposition D425 3/4 MOTION TO STATE TO PI COUNSEL - THEREAFTE Sched. Do 4/4/2014 Disposition 1/8/2018 Disposition | /2014 D DISMISS GRANTED REPARE FINDINGS AND SUBM PETITIONER'S COUNSEL HAS BR TO FILE OBJECTION ate & Time 07:00:00 on: /2014 ate & Time 07:00:00 | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| <i>E</i> 10 <i>E</i> 11 | Event Extra Text: (TELEPHONIC) Department Event Description D4 Tickle Start Code Event Extra Text: - FINDINGS GRANTING MOTION PETITION/SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION? Department Event Description D4 Tickle Start Code | TO DISMISS | Dispositie D425 3/4 MOTION TO STATE TO PI COUNSEL - THEREAFTE Sched. Da 4/4/2014 Dispositie T200 4/4 Sched. Da 1/8/2018 Dispositie | m: /2014 DISMISS GRANTED REPARE FINDINGS AND SUBM PETITIONER'S COUNSEL HAS SR TO FILE OBJECTION ate & Time 07:00:00 m: /2014 ate & Time 07:00:00 | IT TO PETITIONER'S 10 DAYS Disposed Date 4/4/2014 Disposed Date |
| <i>L</i> 10 <i>L</i> 11 | Department Event Description D4 Tickle Start Code Event Extra Text: - FINDINGS GRANTING MOTION PETITION/SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION? Department Event Description D4 Tickle Start Code | TO DISMISS | D425 3/4 MOTION TO STATE TO PI COUNSEL - THEREAFTE Sched. Da 4/4/2014 Disposition 1/8/2018 Disposition | /2014 D DISMISS GRANTED REPARE FINDINGS AND SUBM PETITIONER'S COUNSEL HAS BR TO FILE OBJECTION ate & Time 07:00:00 on: /2014 ate & Time 07:00:00 | 10 DAYS Disposed Date 4/4/2014 Disposed Date |
| 10 | D4 Tickle Start Code Event Extra Text: - FINDINGS GRANTING MOTION PETITION/SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION? Department Event Description D4 Tickle Start Code | TO DISMISS | 4/4/2014 Dispositio T200 4/4 Sched. Do 1/8/2018 Dispositio | 07:00:00 pn: /2014 ate & Time 07:00:00 | 4/4/2014 Disposed Date |
| <i>D</i> | Event Extra Text: - FINDINGS GRANTING MOTION PETITION/SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION? Department Event Description D4 Tickle Start Code | TO DISMISS | Disposition T200 4/4 Sched. Do 1/8/2018 Disposition | on: /2014 ate & Time 07:00:00 | Disposed Date |
| 1 D | PETITION/SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION? Department Event Description D4 Tickle Start Code | TO DISMISS | T200 4/4 Sched. Do 1/8/2018 Dispositio | /2014 ate & Time 07:00:00 | |
| 11 | D4 Tickle Start Code | | 1/8/2018 Dispositio | 07:00:00 | |
| | | | Dispositio | | 1/8/2018 |
| Ι | Event Extra Text: - HAS REMITTITUR ISSUED? | | - | on: | |
| | | | | /2018 | |
| Event Extra Text: - HAS EVIDENTIARY HEARING BEEN SET? | | Dispositio T200 2/2 | on: 3/2018 | | |
| L | Department Event Description | | Sched. Do | ate & Time | Disposed Date |
| 13 | D4 CONFERENCE CALL | | 3/7/2018 | 11:00:00 | 3/7/2018 |
| Event Extra Text: - TO SET POST-CONVICTION EVIDENTIARY HEARING ***ADMINISTRATIVE ONLY*** | | EVIDENTIA | m: /2018 RY HEARING WAS ABLE TO BI JT JUDGE ASSISTANCE | E SET THROUGH E-M | |
| Ľ | Department Event Description | | Sched. Do | ate & Time | Disposed Date |
| 14 | D4 Request for Submission | | 4/16/2018 | 16:09:00 | 5/10/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATIO TO PETITIONER'S WAIVER OF ATTENDANCE AT E HEARING (NO ORDER PROVIDED) | | MOTION TO COURT SET PERSONALI | 0/2018 RECONSIDER ORDER TO PRO HEARING FOR DEFENDANT T .Y WAIVE HIS APPEARANCE A' RY HEARING AS WELL AS ANY | O BE PRODUCED TO T THE 4-WEEK |
| D | Department Event Description | | Sched. Do | ate & Time | Disposed Date |
| 15 | D4 Tickle Start Code | | 4/24/2018 | 07:00:00 | 4/24/2018 |
| 1 | Event Extra Text: - HAS MOTION TO RECONSIDER | ORDER TO | Dispositio | on: | |

| ID: | CR98-0516 Case Type: | CRIMINAL | TE VS SIAOSI VANISI (I | Initial Filing Da | nte: 2/26/1998 |
|-----|---|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | & Time | Disposed Date |
| 16 | D4 Request for Submission | | 5/1/2018 | 16:51:00 | 5/10/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: AMENDED MOTION FOR ORD DISCOVERY (NO ORDER PROVIDED) | ER TO CONDUCT | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 5/10/20 ORAL ARGUME | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | & Time | Disposed Date |
| 17 | D4 CONFERENCE CALL | | 5/10/2018 | 13:45:00 | 5/10/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: ADMINISTRATIVE MEETING C RECONSIDERATION OF THE ORDER TO PRODU (TELEPHONIC) | | COURT SET HE/ PERSONALLY W EVIDENTIARY I SET IN THIS MA | CONSIDER ORDER TO PRC ARING FOR DEFENDANT T VAIVE HIS APPEARANCE A HEARING AS WELL AS AN ITTER ISCOVERY/ISSUANCE OF S | O BE PRODUCED TO T THE 4-WEEK Y OTHER HEARINGS |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | & Time | Disposed Date |
| 18 | D4 ORAL ARGUMENTS | | 5/30/2018 | 14:00:00 | 5/30/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: ON MOTION FOR DISCOVERY SUBPOENAS/WAIVER OF PETITIONER'S APPEA EVIDENTIARY HEARING AND ANY OTHER HE MATTER | ARANCE AT | ALL FUTURE HI PETITION FOR V) MOTION FOR D TECUMS GRAN COUNSEL MUS' EVIDENTIARY I WITNESS SCHE STATUS HEARIN | RMALLY WAIVED RIGHT EARINGS PERTAINING TO WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ISCOVERY/ISSUANCE OF S TED IN PART/DENIED IN P. I MEET AND CONFER PRIC HEARING IN OCTOBER TO | THE CURRENT 5 (POST-CONVICTION SUBPOENA DUCES ART DR TO THE DETERMINE DME OF ANY |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | & Time | Disposed Date |
| 19 | D4 Request for Submission | | 6/22/2018 | 11:13:00 | 7/20/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: MOTION TO SET DATE FOR TE STATE WITNESS | STIMONY OF OUT OF | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 7/20/20 ORDER GRANT OF OUT OF STA | ING MOTION TO SET DATE | E FOR TESTIMONY |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | & Time | Disposed Date |
| 20 | D4 Tickle Start Code | | 7/9/2018 | 07:00:00 | 7/9/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: - HAS A RESPONSE TO THE M OUT-OF-STATE WITNESS BEEN FILED? | OTION REGARDING | <i>Disposition:</i> T200 7/9/201 NO RESPONSE I | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & | & Time | Disposed Date |
| 21 | D4 Request for Submission | | 7/30/2018 | 08:30:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE | WASHOE COUNTY | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 8/21/20 MOTION TO DIS |)18 SQUALIFY SET FOR ORAL / | ARGUMENTS |

| e ID: | CR98- | 0516 Case Type: | Case Description: STA CRIMINAL | TE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D | 4) Initial Filing Date: | 2/26/1998 |
|-------|------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date & | Time | Disposed Date |
| 22 | D4 | Request for Submission | | 7/30/2018 | 10:29:00 | 8/27/2018 |
| | | Text: MOTION IN LIMINE TO ADMIT IDUM OF MICHAEL SPECCHIO (NO C | | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 8/27/201 MOTION GRANT | 8 ED - STATE TO PREPARE ORDER | |
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date & | Time | Disposed Date |
| 23 | D4 | Request for Submission | | 8/6/2018 | 15:19:00 | 8/27/2018 |
| | | Text: SUGGESTION OF INCOMPETEN ON (NO ORDER PROVIDED) | ICY AND MOTION FOR | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 8/27/201 ORAL ARGUMEN | 8 VTS SET FOR 9/5/18 | |
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date & | Time | Disposed Date |
| 24 | D4 | Request for Submission | | 8/7/2018 | 12:57:00 | 8/27/2018 |
| | | Text: MOTION TO SET HEARING REG O WAIVE EVIDENTIARY HEARING | GARDING VANISI'S | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 8/27/201 ORAL ARGUMEN | | |
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date & | Time | Disposed Date |
| 25 | D4 | Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:04:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | | Text: APP FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERT STATE WITNESS (DAVID KINIKINI) | | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 8/21/201 | 8 | |
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date & | Time | Disposed Date |
| 26 | D4 | Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:53:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | | Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE ICE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS | | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 8/21/201 | 8 | |
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date & | Time | Disposed Date |
| 27 | D4 | Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:51:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | | Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE | | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 8/21/201 | 8 | |
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date & | Time | Disposed Date |
| 28 | D4 | Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:51:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | | Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE | | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 8/21/201 | 8 | |
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date & | Time | Disposed Date |
| 29 | D4 | Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:49:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | | Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE (ICE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (| | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 8/21/201 | 8 | |

| D: | CR98-0516 Case Type: CF | Description: STATE VS SIA RIMINAL | | | Initial Filing Date: | 2/26/1998 |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | Department Event Description | | Sche | ed. Date & T | ime | Disposed Date |
| 30 | D4 Request for Submission | 8/ | /2018 | | 16:49:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CE ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (NO OR) | | Disp 5200 | <i>oosition:</i> 8/21/2018 | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sche | ed. Date & T | ime | Disposed Date |
| 31 | D4 Request for Submission | 8/ | /2018 | | 16:48:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CE ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (NO OR) | | Disp S200 | <i>bosition:</i> 8/21/2018 | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sche | ed. Date & T | ime | Disposed Date |
| 32 | D4 Request for Submission | 8/ | /2018 | | 16:47:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CE ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (NO ORI) | | Disp 5200 | <i>oosition:</i> 8/21/2018 | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sche | ed. Date & T | ime | Disposed Date |
| 33 | D4 Request for Submission | 8/ | /2018 | | 16:46:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CE ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (NO OR) | | Disp 8200 | <i>bosition:</i> 8/21/2018 | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sche | ed. Date & T | ime | Disposed Date |
| 34 | D4 Request for Submission | 8/ | /2018 | | 16:30:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CE ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (MOLEN | | Disp S200 | <i>oosition:</i> 8/21/2018 | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sche | ed. Date & T | ime | Disposed Date |
| 35 | D4 Request for Submission | 8/ | /2018 | | 16:26:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CE ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (NO OR) | | Disp 5200 | <i>oosition:</i> 8/21/2018 | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sche | ed. Date & T | ime | Disposed Date |
| 36 | D4 Request for Submission | 8/ | /2018 | | 16:24:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CE ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (FORRE | | Disp 5200 | <i>oosition:</i> 8/21/2018 | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sch | ed. Date & T | ime | Disposed Date |
| 37 | D4 Request for Submission | 8/ | /2018 | | 16:23:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CE ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (NO OR) | | Disp 5200 | <i>oosition:</i> 8/21/2018 | | |

| D: | CR98-0516 Case Type: | Case Description: STAT CRIMINAL | | Initial Filing Da | te: 2/26/1998 |
|----|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 38 | D4 Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:23:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE O ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (1) | | Disposition S200 8/21/ | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 39 | D4 Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:22:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE O ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (?) | | Disposition S200 8/21/ | : /2018 | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 40 | D4 Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:21:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE O ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (?) | | Disposition S200 8/21/ | : 2018 | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 41 | D4 Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:20:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE O ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (I | | Disposition S200 8/21/ | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 42 | D4 Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:15:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APP FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERT (AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (BISHOP NIFAI TON | | Disposition S200 8/21/ | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 43 | D4 Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:13:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APP FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERT (AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (BISHOP DAVID HA | | Disposition S200 8/21/ | : 2018 | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 44 | D4 Request for Submission | | 8/9/2018 | 16:10:00 | 8/21/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE O ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS (1) | | Disposition S200 8/21/ | : 2018 | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 45 | D4 Tickle Start Code | | 8/20/2018 | 07:00:00 | 8/20/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: - HAS COUNSEL SELECTED A D | ATE IN OCTOBER TO | Disposition | : | |

| ID: | CR98- | -0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STAT | e və siauşı vanışı (d | 14) Initial Filing Date: | 2/26/1998 |
|-----|---|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Department | | Event Description | | Sched. Date & | Time | Disposed Date |
| 46 | D4 | CONF | ERENCE CALL | | 8/27/2018 | 10:00:00 | 8/27/2018 |
| | Event Extra | Text: (A | DMINISTRATIVE) | | EVALUATION AN EVIDENTIARY H 8 AT 10:00 A.M. MOTION IN LIMI MICHAEL SPECC MOTION FOR OF FEDERAL PD TO TO BE PRODUCE COURT NOTED T DEPOSITIONS - C EVIDENCE WILL HEARING - SHOU VIDEO DEPOSIT THE STATES, CO | 18 F INCOMPETENCY AND MOTION ND REQUEST FROM DEFENDANT IEARING SET FOR ORAL ARGUM INE TO ADMIT SCR 250 MEMORA CHIO GRANTED - STATE TO PREP RDER TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY PREPARE ORDER DIRECTING DO ED WITHIN 10 DAYS TO THE COU CHE FILING OF MOTIONS TO CON COURT ADVISED COUNSEL THAT . PRESENTED DURING THE OCTOC ULD COUNSEL NEED ORDERS TO IONS WHEREIN ALL COUNSEL R URT WILL ORDER SUCH IN ORDIN S HELD PRIOR TO THE OCTOBE | TO WAIVE ENTS ON 9/5/1 ARE ORDER GRANTED - OCUMENTS RT VTINUED TALL DBER 4 WEEK O CONDUCT EMAIN IN ER TO HAVE |
| | Department | | Event Description | | Sched. Date & | | Disposed Date |
| 47 | D4 | STATU | IS CONFERENCE | | 9/5/2018 | 10:00:00 | 9/5/2018 |
| | 47 D4 STATUS CONFERENCE Event Extra Text: - REVIEW OF WITNESS SCHEDULE A OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUMS/ORAL ARGUMENTS O DISQUALIFY THE WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTO HEARING ON SUGGESTION OF INCOMPETENCY AND EVALUATION AND REQUEST FOR DEFENDANT TO W EVIDENTIARY HEARING | | ENTS ON MOTION TO FATTORNEY'S OFFICE/ CY AND MOTION FOR | EACH OF THEIR COURT ADVISEI TESTIMONY NEI COMPLETED BY DURING THE MC DEPOSITIONS IN CONTINUE DEPO MOTION TO DISC ATTORNEY'S OF DISCUSSION EN NO SUBPOENAS SUGGESTION OF EVALUATION GF PETITIONER TO IS COMPETENT THE CONSEQUE HE IS COMPETENT REQUEST FOR D HEARING HELD PSYCHIATRIC EY PETITIONER IS C HEARING PETITIONER WIT EVIDENTIARY H TION - SHOULD NOT BE GRANTH DISCUSSION EN: WITNESS AND E | SED CONCERNS OF COUNSEL NO TRIAL MEMORANDUMS D PETITIONER'S COUNSEL THAT. EDED FROM TONGA OR HAWAID VIDEO DEPOSITION/REMOTE AL DITH OF OCTOBER WITHOUT DI PETITIONER'S UNSUBMITTED N DITIONS QUALIFY THE WASHOE COUNTY FICE DENIED SUED RE: WITNESS SCHEDULE RETURNED AT THIS TIME F INCOMPETENCY AND MOTION RANTED IN PART/DENIED IN PAR BE EVALUATION ONLY AS TO WITO FO WAIVE THE EVIDENTIARY HI NCES OF DOING SUCH - NOT AS NT TO AID AND ASSIST COUNSEI DEFENDANT TO WAIVE EVIDENT IN ABEYANCE PENDING OUTCO VALUATIONS AS TO WHETHER O COMPETENT TO WAIVE EVIDENT FHDREW WAIVER OF APPEARAN (EARING AND REQUESTED SELF- HIS REQUEST TO WAIVED SUCH ED SUED REGARDING THE LATE DIS XPERT REPORTS | ANY CAN BE PPEARANCES ELAY FOR MOTIONS TO 7 DISTRICT FOR T - HETHER HE EARING AND TO WHETHER L IARY ME OF R NOT THE TARY CE AT REPRESENTA HEARING SCLOSURE OF | |
| | Department | | Event Description | | Sched. Date & | | Disposed Date |
| 48 | D4 | HEAR | - | | 9/13/2018 | 09:00:00 | 9/13/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: - RETURN OF SERVICE OF SUBP TECUM (NDOC) | | BPOENA DUCES | <i>Disposition:</i> D435 9/13/201 RECORDS RECE EXHIBIT CUSTO | IVED VIA FEDEX AND LODGED V | WITH THE | |

| ID: | CR98-0516 Case Type: | Case Description: STA CRIMINAL | ATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4) | Initial Filing Date: | 2/26/1998 |
|-----|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & Tin | ne | Disposed Date |
| 49 | D4 CONFERENCE CALL | | 9/17/2018 | 14:00:00 | 9/17/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: - SCHEDULING OF WITNES | SES | PROVIDE THE COUI NAMES OF THE WIT PROVIDED SCHEDU ALSO SUBPOENA'D EXAMINATION SHA NO WITNESS ARE T SHOULD RECORDS | THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFEN RT NO LATER THAN 9/28/18 W 'NESS TO BE CALL EACH DAY ILE - IF PETITIONER CALLS A BY THE STATE, THE STATE'S LL OCCUR DURING PETITION O BE CALLED PRIOR TO 10/4/ RECEIVED FROM NDOC NOT DNER MUST ADDRESS ISSUE | ITH THE 7 OF THE WITNESS NER'S CASE 18 BE |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & Tin | ne | Disposed Date |
| 50 | D4 Request for Submission | | 9/18/2018 | 11:04:00 | 9/18/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: MOTION FOR FURTHER DISC PROVIDED) | COVERY (NO ORDER | <i>Disposition:</i> S200 9/18/2018 Motion granted | | |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & Tin | ne | Disposed Date |
| 51 | D4 REPORT PSYCHIATRIC EVALUA | TION | 9/24/2018 | 10:00:00 | 9/24/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: - COMPETENCY FOR PETITI EVIDENTIARY HEARING | ONER TO WAIVE | WAIVER OF HIS EVI COURT ALLOWED I | D COMPETENT TO MAKE A K DENTIARY HEARING DEFENDANT TIME TO THINK A E THE EVIDENTIARY HEARIN | ABOUT HIS |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & Tin | ne | Disposed Date |
| 52 | D4 STATUS HEARING | | 9/25/2018 | 10:30:00 | 9/25/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: - PETITIONER'S WAIVE OF F HEARING | IIS EVIDENTIARY | ACCEPTED BY THE COURT RULED ON T WITHOUT ANY EVII REMAINING CLAIM | YER OF EVIDENTIARY HEARI COURT - STATE PREPARED TO THE MERITS OF THE REMAIN DENCE BEING PRESENT, COU - STATE TO PREPARE ORDER RING AND EXHIBIT MARKING | O ORDER ING AND RT DENIED |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & Tin | ne | Disposed Date |
| 53 | D4 EXHIBITS TO BE MARKED W/CI | LERK | 9/25/2018 | 13:30:00 | 9/25/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: | | <i>Disposition:</i> D845 9/25/2018 | | NITI A D 37 |
| | | | BASED ON PETITIO. HEARING | NER'S WAIVER OF THE EVIDE | ENTIAKÍ |
| | Department Event Description | | Sched. Date & Tin | ne | Disposed Date |
| 54 | D4 PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION | ON | 10/1/2018 | 10:00:00 | 9/19/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: (4 WEEKS) | | | CTOBER 4, 2018 DUE TO COU FIME WOULD BE NEEDED FO | |

| e ID: | CR98-051 | 6 Case Type: | Case Description: STATI CRIMINAL | e və əlausi vanisi | (D4) Initial Filing D | ate: 2/26/1998 |
|----------------------|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 55 | | TITION FOR POST CONVICTI | ON | 10/4/2018 | 10:00:00 | 9/25/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: | (3 WEEKS) | | <i>Disposition</i> D845 9/25/ BASED ON PE HEARING | | E EVIDENTIARY |
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 56 | D4 Tic | kle Start Code | | 10/11/2018 | 07:00:00 | 10/11/2018 |
| | Event Extra Text: | - HAVE ORDERS BEEN REC | EIVED FROM THE STATE? | Disposition T200 10/11 | : /2018 | |
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 57 | D4 Re | quest for Submission | | 10/15/2018 | 14:53:00 | 12/21/2018 |
| | | MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FIL WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS F | | | : 1/2018 T ORAL ARGUMENTS FILEI |) |
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 58 | D4 Tic | kle Start Code | | 1/17/2019 | 07:00:00 | 1/14/2019 |
| | | - HAVE ORAL ARGUMENTS SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION F | | Disposition T200 1/14/ | | |
| | Department | Event Description | | Sched. Date | e & Time | Disposed Date |
| 59 | D4 Tic | kle Start Code | | 2/8/2019 | 07:00:00 | 2/8/2019 |
| | | - HAS ORDER DENYING MC ENTAL PETITION BEEN RECE | | Disposition T200 2/8/2 | | |
| | | | Agency Cross Re | ference | | |
| Code | Agend | cy Description | Case Reference | ce I.D. | | |
| DA RJ SC SC | District Att Reno Justic Supreme C Supreme C | Court | 159523 RCR89820 SCN 65774 SCN 45061 | | | |
| | | | Actions | | | |
| Action 1 2/26/19 | Entry Date Cod 998 2610 | le Code Description Notice | NOTICE OF INTENT T | <i>Text</i> O SEEK DEATH PENALT | Υ | |
| 2/26/19 | | Information | | | | |
| 3/4/199 | | Proceedings | | | | |
| 3/10/19 | | ***Minutes | | | | |
| 4/9/199 | | Transcript | ARRAIGNMENT - MAR | RCH 10, 1998 | | |
| 4/28/19 | | Transcript | MOTION TO SET BAIL | | | |
| 5/28/19 | 998 2245 | Mtn in Limine | | E: PROSECUTORIAL MIS | SCONDUCT | |
| | | Mtn in Limine | | E: COURTROOM SECUR | ITY | |
| 5/28/19 | 998 2245 | | | | | |

| e ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4)CRIMINALInitial Filing Date: 2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|---|
| 5/28/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR PRDUCTION OF SAMPLES, PROCEDURES AND REPORTS OF ALL DNA TESTING FOR IN |
| 5/29/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: PRIOR BAD ACTS |
| 5/29/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: GRUESOME PHOTOGRAPHS |
| 5/29/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: CUSTODY STATUS OF DEFENDANT |
| 5/29/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR HEARING TO DETERMINE COMPETENCY OF WITNESSES UNDER AGE OF FOURTEEN Y |
| 6/1/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: ARREST OF DEFENDANT |
| 6/1/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO EXPAND JURY ADMONITION |
| 6/1/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR PROPER NUMBER OF JURY VENIREMEN |
| 6/1/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES |
| 6/2/1998 | 2470 | Mtn to Sever | |
| 6/4/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: REFERENCE TO GANG AFFILIATION |
| 6/4/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO AVOID DEATH-PRONE JURY |
| 6/5/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO PRECLUDE PHOTOGRAPHS AND TELEVISION COVERAGE IN THE COURTROOM |
| 6/8/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR INVOCATION OF RULE OF EXCLUSION |
| 6/8/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR ALL BACKGROUND INFORMATION OF PROSEPECTIVE JURORS |
| 6/8/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR EARLY JURY LIST |
| 6/8/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS |
| 6/9/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: ARREST OF DEFENDANT |
| 6/9/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR JURY QUESTIONNAIRE |
| 6/9/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: CRIMINAL HISTORY OF DEFENDANT |
| 6/9/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR ORDER OF EXCHANGE OF EXPERT WITNESSES |
| 6/17/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: STATE'S DNA EXPERT |
| 6/17/1998 | 2270 | Mtn to Compel | MOTION TO COMPEL STATE TO DESIGNATE TRIAL WITNESSES |
| 6/17/1998 | 1250 | Application for Setting | |
| 6/18/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO DISQUALIFY CERTAIN POTENTIAL JURORS |
| 6/18/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO ALLOW JURY CONSULTANTS AND PSYCHOLOGISTS AT COUNSEL TABLE DURING JURY |
| 6/24/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR INVOCATION OF RULE OF EXCLUSION |
| 6/24/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION TO AVOID DEATH-PRONE JURY |
| 6/24/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: COURTROOM SECURITY |
| 6/24/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT |
| 6/24/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO SEVER |
| 6/24/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: REFERENCE TO GANG AFFILIATION |
| 6/24/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING ARREST OF DEFENDANT |
| 6/24/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR DISCOVERY |
| 6/26/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: PRIOR BAD ACTS |
| 6/26/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR PRODUCTIONS OF SAMPLES PROCEDURES AND REPORTS OF ALL DN |
| 6/30/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR HEARING TO DETERMINE COMPETENCY OF WITNESSES UNDER AGE |
| 6/30/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: GRUESOM PHOTOGRAPHS |
| 6/30/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION IN LIMINE: RE CUSTODY STATUS OF DEFENDANT |

| se ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4)CRIMINALInitial Filing Date:2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|---|
| 7/1/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PROPER NUMBER OF JURY VENIRE |
| 7/1/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES |
| 7/1/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION TO EXPAND JURY ADMONITION |
| 7/7/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS |
| 7/7/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ALL BACKGROUND INFORMATION OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS |
| 7/7/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR EARLY JURY LIST |
| 7/9/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JURY QUESTIONNAIRE |
| 7/9/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR ORDER OF EXCHANGE OF EXPERT WITNESSES |
| 7/9/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: CRIMINAL HISTORY OF DEFENDANT |
| 7/14/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: RACIALLY MIXED JURY |
| 7/14/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: UNDISCLOSED INFORMANTS |
| 7/14/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO DECLARE NEVADA'S DEATH PENALTY STATUES UNCONSTITUTIONAL |
| 7/15/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL STATE TO DESIGNATE TRIAL WITNESSES |
| 7/15/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR REASONABLE TIME BETWEEN GUILT AND PENALTY PHASES OF TRIAL |
| 7/15/1998 | 2030 | Mtn for Change of Venue | |
| 7/15/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: HEARSAY EVIDENCE AT PENALTY HEARING |
| 7/15/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO EXERCISE RIGHT OF ALLOCUTION |
| 7/15/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: STAT'S DNA EXPERT |
| 7/15/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO LIMIT VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENTS |
| 7/16/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF ALL AGGRAVATING FACTORS AND CHARACTER EVIDENCE THE ST |
| 7/16/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: EXCLUDE INADMISSABLE AND PREJUDICIAL EVIDENCE AT PENALTY HEARI |
| 7/16/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR CONSIDERATION OF ALL MITIGATING FACTORS |
| 7/16/1998 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: FUTURE DANGEROUSNESS |
| 7/16/1998 | 2610 | Notice | NOTICE OF MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES |
| 7/20/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO ALLOW JURY CONSULTANTS AND PSYCHOLOGISTS AT COUNSEL TAE |
| 7/20/1998 | 4105 | Supplemental | SUPPLEMENT TO TO STATE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: STATE'S DNA EXPERT |
| 7/20/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISQUALIFY CERTAIN POTENTIAL JURORS |
| 7/21/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR BEFURCATED PENALTY HEARING |
| 7/22/1998 | 1250 | Application for Setting | |
| 7/22/1998 | 2475 | Mtn to Strike | |
| 7/29/1998 | 1250 | Application for Setting | |
| 8/4/1998 | 3370 | Order | |
| 8/4/1998 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 8/5/1998 | 1250 | Application for Setting | |
| 8/10/1998 | 4185 | Transcript | STATUS HEARING - AUG. 4, 1998 |
| 8/13/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: EXCLUDE INADMISSIBLE AND PREJUDICIAL EVIDENCE AT P |
| 8/13/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR REASONABLE TIME BETWEEN GUILT AND PENALTY PHASES OF TR |
| 8/13/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: UNDISCLOSED INFORMATE |
| 8/13/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION TO EXERCISE RIGHT OF ALLOCUTION |

| se ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4)CRIMINALInitial Filing Date: 2/26/1998 |
|------------|-----------|----------------------------|---|
| 8/13/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO LIMIT VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENTS |
| 8/13/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DECLARE DEAITH PENALTY UNCONSTITUTIONAL |
| 8/13/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE |
| 8/13/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: FUTURE DANGEROUSNESS |
| 8/13/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: HEARSAY EVIDENCE AT PENALTY HEARING |
| 8/13/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CONSIDERATION OF ALL MITIGATING FACTORS |
| 8/13/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF ALL AGGRAVATING FACTORS AND CHARACTER EVIDE |
| 8/13/1998 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO MOTION IN LIMINE RE: RACIALLY MIXED JURY |
| 8/19/1998 | 3373 | Other | DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED JURY QUESTIONNAIRE |
| 8/20/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STRIKE AGGRAVATING FACTORS |
| 8/20/1998 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR BIFURCATED PENALTY HEARING |
| 8/28/1998 | 2630 | Objection to | |
| 9/4/1998 | 4050 | Stipulation | DISCOVERY STIPULATIONS |
| 9/4/1998 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 9/15/1998 | 4185 | Transcript | STATUS HEARING - SEPT. 4, 1998 |
| 9/16/1998 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR SUBMISSION OF SPECIFIED PRE-TRIAL MOTION |
| 9/28/1998 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 10/1/1998 | 4185 | Transcript | STATUS HEARING - SEPT. 28, 1998 |
| 10/1/1998 | 2960 | Ord Psychiatric Evaluation | |
| 10/9/1998 | 2610 | Notice | NOTICE OF ASSOCIATION OF COUNSEL |
| 11/6/1998 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 11/10/1998 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 11/13/1998 | 4185 | Transcript | HEARING REGARDING COUNSEL - NOV. 10, 1998 |
| 11/24/1998 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 11/25/1998 | 3370 | Order | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRECLUDE PHOTOGRAPHS AND TELEVISION COVERAGE IS DENIED |
| 11/25/1998 | 3370 | Order | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO AVOID DEATH PRONEJURY IS GRANTED |
| 12/1/1998 | 4185 | Transcript | REPORT ON PSYCH EVAL - NOV. 6, 1998 |
| 12/4/1998 | 4185 | Transcript | PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS - NOV. 24, 1998 |
| 12/10/1998 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 12/14/1998 | 3373 | Other | DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE |
| 12/16/1998 | 2501 | Non-Opposition | DEFENDANT'S NON OPPOSITION TO JURY QUESTIONNAIRE AND NON OPPOSITION TO THE ENDORSI |
| 12/16/1998 | 3373 | Other | STATE'S PROPOSED JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE |
| 12/16/1998 | 2490 | Motion | |
| 12/16/1998 | 3370 | Order | |
| 12/18/1998 | 3370 | Order | |
| 12/23/1998 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 12/28/1998 | 3370 | Order | |
| 12/31/1998 | | Order | |
| | / - | | |

| e ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4)CRIMINALInitial Filing Date:2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1/4/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 1/4/1999 | 3940 | Special Instruction | SPECIAL JUROR INSTRUCTION "A" AND SPECIAL JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE |
| 1/6/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | JURY SELECTION - JAN. 4, 1999 |
| 1/7/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 1/8/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 1/11/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | HEARING - JAN. 7, 1999 |
| 1/11/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | JURY SELECTION - JAN. 8, 1999 |
| 1/11/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) - JAN. 11 - 15, 1999 |
| 1/11/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | JURY TRIAL - JAN. 11 - 15, 1999 |
| 1/11/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | CONTINUED JURY SELECTION - JAN. 7, 1998 |
| 1/12/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | PRE-TRIAL HEARING - DEC. 10, 1998 |
| 1/12/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 1I) - JAN. 11, 1999 |
| 1/13/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 2) - JAN. 12, 1999 |
| 1/14/1999 | 2840 | Ord Denying | ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE |
| 1/14/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 3) - JAN. 13, 1999 |
| 1/15/1999 | 3370 | Order | |
| 1/15/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 4) - JAN. 14, 1999 |
| 1/19/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 5) - JAN. 15, 1999 |
| 1/19/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 1/28/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | HEARING TO RESET TRIAL DATE - JAN. 19, 1999 |
| 2/18/1999 | 1120 | Amended | AMENDED NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY |
| 3/19/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 4/6/1999 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO DECLARE ADVERSE WITNESSES |
| 4/13/1999 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO RENEW REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES |
| 4/15/1999 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DECLARE ADVERSE WITNESSES |
| 4/15/1999 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR INDIVIDUAL SEQUESTERED VOIR DIRE |
| 4/23/1999 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO RENEW REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES |
| 4/26/1999 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR INDIVIDUAL SEQUESTERED VOIR DIRE |
| 5/3/1999 | 3795 | Reply | REPLY TO STATE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR INDIVIDUAL SEQUESTERED VOIR DIRE |
| 5/10/1999 | 1250 | Application for Setting | |
| 5/12/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 5/18/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | IN CHAMBERS REVIEW - MAY 12, 1999 |
| 6/1/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 6/3/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS - JUNE 1, 1999 |
| 6/3/1999 | 3370 | Order | |
| 6/9/1999 | 4505 | Crt Ord Psych Eval - Conf. | |
| 6/9/1999 | 1105 | Amended Ord and/or Judgment | |
| 6/9/1999 | 3370 | Order | |
| 6/10/1999 | 4505 | Crt Ord Psych Eval - Conf. | |

| ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4) CRIMINALInitial Filing Date:2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|
| 6/16/1999 | 2315 | Mtn to Dismiss | MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL |
| 6/18/1999 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL |
| 6/18/1999 | 1360 | Certificate of Service | |
| 6/18/1999 | 2315 | Mtn to Dismiss | MOTION TO DISMISS COUSEL AND MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL |
| 6/21/1999 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR ORDER RELEASING PSYCHIATRIC REPORT |
| 6/23/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 6/23/1999 | 3370 | Order | |
| 6/28/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | SEALED TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - JUNE 23, 1999 |
| 6/28/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS - JUNE 23, 1999 |
| 6/29/1999 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ORDER RELEASING PSYCHIATRIC REPORT |
| 6/30/1999 | 3370 | Order | |
| 7/1/1999 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ORDER RELEASING PSYCHIATRIC REPORT |
| 7/2/1999 | 3795 | Reply | REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER RELEASING PSYCHIATRIC REPORT |
| 7/2/1999 | 3860 | Request for Submission | |
| 7/8/1999 | 4505 | Crt Ord Psych Eval - Conf. | |
| 7/12/1999 | 1675 | Ex-Parte Ord | * SEALED * EX PARTE ORDER FOR MEDICAL TREATMENT |
| 7/13/1999 | 1250 | Application for Setting | |
| 7/19/1999 | 1270 | Application | |
| 7/26/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | e |
| 7/26/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | e |
| 7/26/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | e |
| 7/26/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | e |
| 7/26/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | e |
| 7/26/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | e |
| 7/26/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | e |
| 7/26/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | e |
| 7/26/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | e |
| 7/26/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | e |
| 7/26/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendanc | |

| se ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4) CRIMINAL | Initial Filing Date: 2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 7/28/1999 | 1250 | Application for Setting | | |
| 8/3/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | | |
| 8/4/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | SEALED PROCEEDINGS - AUG. 3, 1999 | |
| 8/4/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | MOTION RE: MEDICATION - AUG. 3, 1999 | |
| 8/5/1999 | 3370 | Order | | |
| 8/5/1999 | 2490 | Motion | * SEALED * COURT ORDERED MOTION FOR SELF R | EPRENSTATION |
| 8/5/1999 | 3370 | Order | | |
| 8/9/1999 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO "COURT-ORDERED MOTION FOR SE | ELF REPRESENTATION" POINTS AND AUTHORITI |
| 8/10/1999 | 3370 | Order | | |
| 8/10/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | | |
| 8/11/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | | |
| 8/11/1999 | 2840 | Ord Denying | DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SELF RESPRESENTAT | ION |
| 8/11/1999 | 2840 | Ord Denying | DENYING MOTION FOR STAY | |
| 8/12/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | MOTION FOR SELF REPRSENTATION - AUG. 10, 199 | 9 |
| 8/12/1999 | 3370 | Order | | |
| 8/12/1999 | 1670 | Ex-Parte Mtn | * SEALED * EX PARTE MOTION TO RECONSIDER SE | ELF-REPRESENTATION |
| 8/12/1999 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR JURY QUESTIONNAIRE | |
| 8/16/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | MOTIONS - AUG. 11, 1999 | |
| 8/16/1999 | 4105 | Supplemental | STATE'S PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL JUROR QUES | TIONNAIRE |
| 8/16/1999 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO UNDER SEAL EX PARTE MOTION TO | RECONSIDER SELF REPRESENTATION |
| 8/17/1999 | 3795 | Reply | REPLY TO RESPONSE TO MOTION TO RECONSIDER | R SELF-REPRESENTATION |
| 8/18/1999 | 1670 | Ex-Parte Mtn | * SEALED * EX PARTE (NEVADA SUPREME COURT F | RULE 172) MOTION TO WITHDRAW |
| 8/18/1999 | 2840 | Ord Denying | DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER SELF-REF | PRESENTATION |
| 8/20/1999 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO REQUIRE PRIOR NOTICE OF COURTRO | DOM CLOSURE OR CHAMBERS HEARINGS |
| 8/24/1999 | 1360 | Certificate of Service | | |
| 8/24/1999 | 1670 | Ex-Parte Mtn | EX PARTE REQUEST FOR HEARING | |
| 8/24/1999 | 3370 | Order | | |
| 8/26/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | | |
| 8/27/1999 | 2610 | Notice | NOTICE OF EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT AGGRAVATOR | S |
| 8/30/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | | |
| 8/30/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | * SEALED * REQUEST FOR HEARING ON EX PARTE | MOTION TO WITHDRAW (IN CAMERA HEARING) |
| 8/30/1999 | 3370 | Order | ORDER UNDER SEAL | |
| 8/30/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | REQUEST FOR HEARING ON EX PARTE MOTION TO |) WITHDRAW - AUGUST 26, 1999 |
| 8/30/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | DECISION ON MOITON TO RELIEVE COUNSEL - AUC | G. 30, 1999 |
| 8/30/1999 | 3370 | Order | | |
| 8/30/1999 | 1485 | Corrected Judgment or Ord | CORRECTED ORDER | |
| 8/30/1999 | 3370 | Order | | |
| 9/1/1999 | 2840 | Ord Denying | DENYING MOTION FOR ORDER RELEASING PSYCH | IIATRIC REPORT |
| 9/10/1999 | 4125 | Supreme Court Order | | |

| e ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4)CRIMINALInitial Filing Date:2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|
| 9/10/1999 | 3373 | Other | SPEICAL JUROR INSTRUCTION "A" AND SPECIAL JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE |
| 9/10/1999 | 3370 | Order | COURT WILL UTILIZE THE SPECIAL JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE |
| 9/13/1999 | 4128 | Supreme Court Order Denying | ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR MANDAMUS |
| 9/13/1999 | 2592 | Notice of Witnesses | |
| 9/13/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 9/14/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | ce |
| 9/14/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | ce |
| 9/14/1999 | 1270 | Application | |
| 9/14/1999 | 3860 | Request for Submission | |
| 9/14/1999 | 1270 | Application | |
| 9/14/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | ce |
| 9/14/1999 | 1270 | Application | |
| 9/14/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | ce |
| 9/14/1999 | 1270 | Application | |
| 9/14/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | ce |
| 9/14/1999 | 1270 | Application | |
| 9/14/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | ce |
| 9/14/1999 | 1270 | Application | |
| 9/14/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | ce |
| 9/14/1999 | 1270 | Application | |
| 9/14/1999 | 1340 | CCUA-Secure Witness Attendance | ce |
| 9/14/1999 | 1270 | Application | |
| 9/15/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TELEPHONE CONFERENCE - SEPT. 13, 1999 |
| 9/17/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 9/20/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | JURY TRIAL - SEPT. 20 - OCT. 6, 1999 |
| 9/20/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | JURY SELECTION (QUESTIONNAIRE) - SEPT. 13, 1999 |
| 9/20/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS - SEPT. 17, 1999 |
| 9/21/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL - VOLUME 1 - SEPT. 20, 1999 |
| 9/21/1999 | 2490 | Motion | |
| 9/21/1999 | 1302 | Material Witness-Bench Warrant | |
| 9/21/1999 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER |
| 9/21/1999 | 3940 | Special Instruction | COURT'S SPECIAL INSTRUCTION "B" |
| 9/21/1999 | 3870 | Request | CITADEL BROADCASTING COMPANY'S REQUESTION TO UTILIZE AUDIO EQUIPMENT TO RECORD |
| 9/21/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | * SEALED * TRIAL - VOLUME 1A - SEPT. 20, 1999 |
| 9/21/1999 | 1075 | Affidavit | |
| 9/22/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 2) - SEPT. 21, 1999 |
| 9/23/1999 | 3893 | Return on B/W - Quashed | |
| 9/23/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 3) - SEPT. 22, 1999 |
| 9/24/1999 | 3755 | Refused Instructions-Deft | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION C |

Report Does Not Contain Sealed Cases or Confidential Information Page 17 of 31

10/6/1999

3950

Special Verdict ...

| se ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4) CRIMINAL | Initial Filing Date: | 2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 9/24/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 4) - SEPT. 23, 1999 | | |
| 9/24/1999 | 3755 | Refused Instructions-Deft | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION B | | |
| 9/24/1999 | 3755 | Refused Instructions-Deft | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION A | | |
| 9/24/1999 | 3370 | Order | | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 4245 | Verdict(s) | GUILTY - COUNT V | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 2840 | Ord Denying | ORDER DENYING GAZETT'S MOTION TO REQUIRE F | PRIOR NOTICE OF COURT | ROOM CLOSURE OR |
| 9/27/1999 | 4245 | Verdict(s) | GUILTY - COUNT III | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 4245 | Verdict(s) | GUILTY - COUNT II | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 4245 | Verdict(s) | GUILTY OF MURDER | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 4235 | Unused Verdict Form(s) | | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 1890 | Jury Question, Court Response | | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 4245 | Verdict(s) | GUILTY - COUNT IV | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 1892 | Jury Question, No Response | | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 1892 | Jury Question, No Response | | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 5) - SEPT. 24, 1999 | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | | | |
| 9/27/1999 | 1890 | Jury Question, Court Response | | | |
| 9/28/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 6) - SEPT. 27, 1999 | | |
| 9/28/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | * SEALED * TRIAL - VOLUME 6A - SEPT. 27, 1999 | | |
| 9/30/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 7) - SEPT. 28, 1999 | | |
| 10/1/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 8) - SEPT. 30, 1999 | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 9) - OCT. 1, 1999 | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION O | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION N | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION M | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION L | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION K | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION J | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION I | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION H | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT'S OFFERED INSTRUCTION G | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT OFFERED INSTRUCTION F | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT OFFERED INSTRUCTION E | | |
| 10/4/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | DEFENDANT PROPOSED INSTRUCTION D | | |
| 10/5/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 10) - OCT. 4, 1999 | | |
| 10/5/1999 | 4235 | Unused Verdict Form(s) | | | |
| 10/6/1999 | 1892 | Jury Question, No Response | | | |
| 10/6/1999 | 4235 | Unused Verdict Form(s) | | | |
| | | | | | |

| ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4) CRIMINALInitial Filing Date:2/26/1998 |
|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|
| 10/6/1999 | 1890 | Jury Question, Court Response | |
| 10/6/1999 | 1885 | Jury Instructions | |
| 10/6/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 11) CORRECTED TRANSCRIPT - OCT. 5, 1999 |
| 10/6/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 11) - OCT. 5, 1999 |
| 10/7/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | TRIAL (VOLUME 12) - OCT. 6, 1999 |
| 10/7/1999 | 4131 | Supreme Ct Not/Lieu/Remittitur | |
| 10/12/1999 | 1075 | Affidavit | |
| 10/18/1999 | 1075 | Affidavit | POST-TRIAL AFFIDAVITS |
| 10/22/1999 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO THE DEFENSE POST TRIAL AFFIDAVITS FILED ON OCTOBER 18, 1999 |
| 10/25/1999 | 3795 | Reply | REPLY TO STATE'S RESPONSE |
| 10/26/1999 | 4055 | Subpoena | |
| 11/22/1999 | 3143 | Ord of Execution | |
| 11/22/1999 | 3138 | Ord of Committal or Release | |
| 11/22/1999 | 4500 | PSI - Confidential | |
| 11/22/1999 | 1315 | ** Case Closed | |
| 11/22/1999 | MIN | ***Minutes | |
| 11/22/1999 | 1850 | Judgment of Conviction | |
| 11/22/1999 | 4292 | Warrant of Execution | |
| 11/23/1999 | 3370 | Order | ORDER STAYING EXECUTION PENDING DIRECT APPEAL |
| 11/23/1999 | 4185 | Transcript | SENTENCING/IMPOSITION OF JURY SENTENCE - NOV. 22, 1999 |
| 11/30/1999 | 2515 | Notice of Appeal Supreme Court | |
| 11/30/1999 | 1365 | Certificate of Transmittal | |
| 11/30/1999 | 1310 | Case Appeal Statement | |
| 6/13/2001 | 4120 | Supreme Court Opinion | |
| 6/13/2001 | 4145 | Supreme Court Remittitur | |
| 6/13/2001 | 4111 | Supreme Ct Clk's Cert & Judg | |
| 6/25/2001 | 4125 | Supreme Court Order | ORDER RECALLING AND STAYING REMITTITUR |
| 9/7/2001 | 4120 | Supreme Court Opinion | |
| 9/7/2001 | 4111 | Supreme Ct Clk's Cert & Judg | |
| 9/7/2001 | 4145 | Supreme Court Remittitur | |
| 9/20/2001 | 4125 | Supreme Court Order | ORDER RECALLING REMITTITUR |
| 11/26/2001 | 4145 | Supreme Court Remittitur | |
| 11/29/2001 | 4126 | Supreme Ct Order Directing | |
| 11/29/2001 | 4111 | Supreme Ct Clk's Cert & Judg | |
| 12/11/2001 | 1260 | Application Produce Prisoner | |
| 12/11/2001 | 1325 | ** Case Reopened | |
| 12/11/2001 | 1250 | Application for Setting | 1/18/02 |
| 12/12/2001 | 3340 | Ord to Produce Prisoner | |
| 1/18/2002 | 3138 | Ord of Committal or Release | |

| e ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4) CRIMINAL | Initial Filing Date: | 2/26/1998 |
|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1/18/2002 | 1315 | ** Case Closed | NEW EXECUTION DATE SET | | |
| 1/18/2002 | 3143 | Ord of Execution | | | |
| 1/18/2002 | 4292 | Warrant of Execution | | | |
| 2/19/2002 | 4185 | Transcript | IN-CHAMBERS HEARING & HEARING SETTING EXEC | CUTION DATE | |
| 3/11/2002 | 3370 | Order | (FOR POST CONVICTION APPT OF COUNSEL) STAY | (ING EXECUTION | |
| 2/20/2003 | FIE | **Document Filed in Error | 02/05/03 - CONFERENCE CALL - IN CHAMBERS - Tra | nscript filed in CR98P0516, s | ame date - MPurdy, 9/2 |
| 3/9/2005 | 3105 | Ord Granting | COMPENSATION (QUALLS) | | |
| 8/16/2005 | PAYRC | **Payment Receipted | A Payment of -\$52.97 was made on receipt DCDC14700 | 03. | |
| 8/8/2008 | 2777 | Ord Approving | FEES AND COSTS OF COURT-APPOINTED ATTORNI | EYS (DEATH PENALTY CAS | E) |
| 7/26/2010 | 1325 | ** Case Reopened | | | |
| 7/26/2010 | 1250 | Application for Setting | HEARING TO SET EXECUTION DATE - 09/02/10 AT 11 | 1:00 A.M. | |
| 8/2/2010 | 1260 | Application Produce Prisoner | | | |
| 8/6/2010 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 1643691 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-0 |)6-2010:10:07:18 | |
| 8/6/2010 | 3340 | Ord to Produce Prisoner | SEPTEMBER 2, 2010 - Transaction 1643658 - Approve | d By: NOREVIEW : 08-06-20 | 10:10:02:47 |
| 8/31/2010 | 2192 | ** Case Stayed | PER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT ORDER | | |
| 8/31/2010 | 1315 | ** Case Closed | | | |
| 8/31/2010 | 4075 | Substitution of Counsel | JEREMY T. BOSLER, ESQ. CONSENTS TO THE SUB | STITUTION OF THE LAW OF | FICE OF THE FEDEF |
| 8/31/2010 | 3980 | Stip and Order | STIPULATION AND ORDER TO VACATE HEARING - T | ransaction 1690538 - Approv | ved By: NOREVIEW : 0 |
| 8/31/2010 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 1690546 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-3 | 31-2010:13:57:30 | |
| 9/9/2010 | 1360 | Certificate of Service | | | |
| 10/11/2013 | 1477 | **Consolidated With | CONSOLIDATED WITH CR98P0516. PLEASE FILE AL | L FUTURE PLEADINGS IN 1 | THIS CASE. |
| 11/15/2013 | 4270 | Waiver of Appearance | WAIVER OF PETITIONER'S PRESENCE AT EVIDENTI | IARY HEARING - Transactior | 1 4138237 - Approved |
| 11/15/2013 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4138285 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-1 | 5-2013:16:54:29 | |
| 12/4/2013 | СОС | Evidence Chain of Custody Forn | n | | |
| 12/6/2013 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4180473 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-0 |)6-2013:10:23:07 | |
| 12/6/2013 | 4185 | Transcript | PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION - DAY ONE - Tran | saction 4180464 - Approved | By: NOREVIEW : 12-0 |
| 12/9/2013 | 4185 | Transcript | post conviction - day 2 - Transaction 4184522 - Approve | ed By: NOREVIEW : 12-09-20 |)13:14:20:17 |
| 12/9/2013 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4184542 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-0 |)9-2013:14:23:01 | |
| 1/13/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4253133 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-1 | 3-2014:14:37:29 | |
| 1/13/2014 | MIN | ***Minutes | PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST C | ONVICTION)/ONGOING MO | TION TO DISMISS - I |
| 1/13/2014 | MIN | ***Minutes | PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST C | ONVICTION)/ONGOING MO | TION TO DISMISS - I |
| 1/13/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4253030 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-1 | 3-2014:14:14:07 | |
| 2/7/2014 | 3370 | Order | SETTING TELEPHONIC HEARING FOR THE COURT | TO RENDER DECISION ON | POST CONVICTION |
| 2/7/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4296218 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-0 |)7-2014:14:46:14 | |
| 2/7/2014 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | t | | |
| 3/4/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4328755 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-0 |)4-2014:15:16:11 | |
| 3/4/2014 | 4185 | Transcript | DECISION (TELEPHONIC) - MARCH 4, 2014 - Transac | tion 4328737 - Approved By: | NOREVIEW : 03-04-2 |
| 3/10/2014 | MIN | ***Minutes | (TELEPHONIC) DECISION - PETITION FOR WRIT OF | HABEAS CORPUS (POST (| CONVICTION)/ONGO |
| 3/10/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4336924 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-1 | · · | , |

| e ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4)CRIMINALInitial Filing Date:2/26/1998 |
|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|
| 3/13/2014 | 3370 | Order | ORDER - TRANSCRIPTION FEES GRANTED; STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER PAY CAPIONS \$1860.00 PER A |
| 3/13/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4342881 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-13-2014:15:51:33 |
| 3/19/2014 | 2520 | Notice of Appearance | TIFFANI HURST, GARY TAYLOR AND RANDOLPH FIEDLER - FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE - 1 |
| 3/19/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4350695 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-19-2014:14:38:05 |
| 3/31/2014 | 2630 | Objection to | OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT DISMISSI |
| 4/1/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4367424 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-01-2014:08:56:21 |
| 4/4/2014 | T200 | Tickle End Code | |
| 4/7/2014 | 3880 | Response | RESPONSE TO "OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDG |
| 4/7/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4376211 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-07-2014:14:23:17 |
| 4/10/2014 | 1750 | Findings, Conclusions & Judg | Transaction 4381806 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-10-2014:11:44:25 |
| 4/10/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4381809 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-10-2014:11:45:13 |
| 4/10/2014 | F230 | Other Manner of Disposition | |
| 4/24/2014 | 3370 | Order | ORDER - PAYMENT OF TRANSCRIPTION FEES PAYABLE BY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER TO CAPTIC |
| 4/24/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4400860 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-24-2014:08:45:08 |
| 4/25/2014 | 2540 | Notice of Entry of Ord | Transaction 4403627 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-25-2014:11:20:24 |
| 4/25/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4403632 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-25-2014:11:21:23 |
| 5/23/2014 | 2515 | Notice of Appeal Supreme Court | Transaction 4448003 - Approved By: ASMITH : 05-27-2014:08:25:54 |
| 5/23/2014 | 1310 | Case Appeal Statement | Transaction 4448007 - Approved By: ASMITH : 05-27-2014:08:26:31 |
| 5/27/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4448333 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-27-2014:08:26:59 |
| 5/27/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4448335 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-27-2014:08:27:37 |
| 5/27/2014 | 1350 | Certificate of Clerk | CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL - NOTICE OF APPEAL - Transaction 4448615 - Approved By |
| 5/27/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4448621 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-27-2014:09:46:27 |
| 6/9/2014 | 1188 | Supreme Court Receipt for Doc | SUPREME COURT NO. 65774/RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS - Transaction 4468865 - Approved By: NORE\ |
| 6/9/2014 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4468883 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-09-2014:16:12:58 |
| 3/9/2015 | 4125 | Supreme Court Order | SUPREME COURT NO. 65774/ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO TRANSMIT EXHIBITS - Transaction 48509 |
| 3/9/2015 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4850938 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-09-2015:11:07:02 |
| 3/11/2015 | 1350 | Certificate of Clerk | CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL - EXHIBITS - Transaction 4855230 - Approved By: NOREVIE |
| 3/11/2015 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4855186 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-11-2015:11:30:38 |
| 3/11/2015 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 4855236 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-11-2015:11:37:36 |
| 3/11/2015 | FIE | **Document Filed in Error | FIE - asmith 03/11/15 |
| 9/29/2017 | 3863 | **Submit regarding Appeals | DOCUMENT TITLE: SUPREME COURT NO. 65774 / ORDER AFFIRMING IN PART, REVERSING IN PAR |
| 9/29/2017 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6323884 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-29-2017:10:03:08 |
| 9/29/2017 | 4134 | Supreme Court Order Affirming | SUPREME COURT NO. 65774 / ORDER AFFIRMING IN PART, REVERSING IN PART AND REMANDING - |
| 11/30/2017 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6416875 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-30-2017:14:16:49 |
| 11/30/2017 | 4128 | Supreme Court Order Denying | SUPREME COURT NO. 65774 / ORDER DENYING REHEARING - Transaction 6416860 - Approved By: NO |
| 12/27/2017 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6455500 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-27-2017:14:34:36 |
| 12/27/2017 | 4128 | Supreme Court Order Denying | SUPREME COURT NO. 65774 / ORDER DENYING REHEARING - Transaction 6455491 - Approved By: NO |
| 12/27/2017 | 4134 | Supreme Court Order Affirming | SUPREME COURT NO. 65774 / ORDER AFFIRMING IN PART, REVERSING IN PART AND REMANDING - |
| | | | |

| e ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4)CRIMINALInitial Filing Date:2/26/1998 |
|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|
| 12/27/2017 | 3863 | **Submit regarding Appeals | DOCUMENT TITLE: SUPREME COURT NO. 65774 / ORDER AFFIRMING IN PART, REVERSING IN PART A |
| 12/27/2017 | 4145 | Supreme Court Remittitur | SUPREME COURT NO. 65774 / REMITTITUR - Transaction 6455491 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-27-201 |
| 1/8/2018 | T200 | Tickle End Code | |
| 1/23/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6494458 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-23-2018:14:33:25 |
| 1/23/2018 | 3347 | Ord to Set | COUNSEL MUST APPEAR BEFORE D4 AA WITHIN 30 DAYS TO SET THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING IN ACC |
| 2/23/2018 | T200 | Tickle End Code | |
| 3/20/2018 | 2526 | Notice of Change of Attorney | JENNIFER P. NOBLE AND JOSEPH R. PLATER IN PLACE OF TERRENCE P. MCCARTHY - Transaction 65 |
| 3/20/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6586437 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-20-2018:14:15:26 |
| 3/20/2018 | 1250 | Application for Setting | OCTOBER 1, 2018 @10:00AM - Transaction 6586252 - Approved By: CVERA : 03-20-2018:14:21:12 |
| 3/20/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6586475 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-20-2018:14:21:55 |
| 3/20/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6587111 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-20-2018:16:34:29 |
| 3/20/2018 | 1260 | Application Produce Prisoner | Transaction 6587092 - Approved By: RRODRIGU : 03-20-2018:16:33:31 |
| 3/23/2018 | 3340 | Ord to Produce Prisoner | - OCTOBER 1, 2018 AT 10:00 FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS - Transaction 6592630 - Approved |
| 3/23/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6592636 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-23-2018:10:08:06 |
| 4/2/2018 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - Transaction 6608259 - Approved By: CVERA : 04-03-2018:08:53:11 |
| 4/3/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6608724 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-03-2018:08:54:29 |
| 4/11/2018 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | STATE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND OBJECTION TO PETITIONERS WAIVI |
| 4/11/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6623477 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-11-2018:12:01:09 |
| 4/16/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6631291 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 04-16-2018:16:06:58 |
| 4/16/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6631830 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-16-2018:15:56:57 |
| 4/16/2018 | 3790 | Reply to/in Opposition | REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND OBJECTION TO PETITIONER'S WA |
| 4/16/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6631894 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-16-2018:16:08:26 |
| 4/16/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6631911 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-16-2018:16:10:46 |
| 4/16/2018 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR ORDER TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY - Transaction 6631161 - Approved By: JAPARICI : 04-16- |
| 4/18/2018 | 1120 | Amended | AMENDED MOTIO NFOR ORDER TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY - Transaction 6637048 - Approved By: YVILO |
| 4/19/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6637214 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-19-2018:08:16:10 |
| 4/24/2018 | T200 | Tickle End Code | |
| 4/26/2018 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO AMENDED MOTION FOR ORDER TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY - Transaction 6650279 - A |
| 4/26/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6650642 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04-26-2018:15:56:24 |
| 5/1/2018 | 3790 | Reply to/in Opposition | REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO AMENDED MOTION FOR ORDER TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY - Transaction 6 |
| 5/1/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6657880 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-01-2018:16:32:04 |
| 5/1/2018 | 3790 | Reply to/in Opposition | DFX: INCORRECT FILING. MR. FIEDLER'S OFFICE CALLED TO SAY THIS WAS FILED IN ERROR. |
| 5/1/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6657825 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 05-01-2018:16:45:19 |
| 5/1/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6657955 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-01-2018:16:45:49 |
| 5/1/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6657959 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-01-2018:16:46:40 |
| 5/9/2018 | 1250E | Application for Setting eFile | - ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE CALL ON THE MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE ORDER TO PRODUCE |
| 5/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6670111 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-09-2018:09:09:41 |
| 5/10/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER TO PRODUCE GRANTED - COURT SET HEARING FOR DEFENDANT |
| 5/10/2018 | | Request for Submission Complet | ORAL ARGUMENTS SET |

| e ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4) CRIMINAL Initial Filing Date: 2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------|---|
| 5/11/2018 | 1260 | Application Produce Prisoner | Transaction 6675049 - Approved By: RRODRIGU : 05-11-2018:11:54:50 |
| 5/11/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6675407 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-11-2018:11:56:01 |
| 5/11/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6675468 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-11-2018:12:03:44 |
| 5/11/2018 | 4185 | Transcript | 5-10-18 Conference Call - Transaction 6675451 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-11-2018:12:01:51 |
| 5/14/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6677344 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-14-2018:10:44:36 |
| 5/14/2018 | 3340 | Ord to Produce Prisoner | Transaction 6677335 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-14-2018:10:43:29 |
| 5/16/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6681962 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-16-2018:09:49:54 |
| 5/16/2018 | 3370 | Order | REGARDING PRE-HEARING BRIEFING AND PROCEDURE - Transaction 6681943 - Approved By: NOREV |
| 5/16/2018 | 2520 | Notice of Appearance | SCOTT WISNIEWSKI, FED PD - Transaction 6682668 - Approved By: CSULEZIC : 05-16-2018:13:12:49 |
| 5/16/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6682728 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-16-2018:13:13:56 |
| 5/17/2018 | MIN | ***Minutes | CONFERENCE CALL REGARDING THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE ORDER TO PRODU |
| 5/17/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6684164 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-17-2018:09:16:16 |
| 5/30/2018 | 4185 | Transcript | Oral Arguments - 5-30-18 - Transaction 6705063 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-30-2018:21:27:18 |
| 5/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6705064 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-30-2018:21:28:18 |
| 6/4/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6710963 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-04-2018:14:10:27 |
| 6/4/2018 | MIN | ***Minutes | ORAL ARGUMENTS/WAIVER OF APPEARANCE - 5/30/18 - Transaction 6710955 - Approved By: NOREVIE |
| 6/8/2018 | 2592 | Notice of Witnesses | Transaction 6720824 - Approved By: CSULEZIC : 06-08-2018:15:45:48 |
| 6/8/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6721251 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-08-2018:16:52:38 |
| 6/8/2018 | 2592 | Notice of Witnesses | Transaction 6721238 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 06-08-2018:16:51:50 |
| 6/8/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6720932 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-08-2018:15:46:52 |
| 6/20/2018 | 4105 | Supplemental | SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF WITNESSES - Transaction 6738253 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 06-20-2018: |
| 6/20/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6738331 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-20-2018:15:08:51 |
| 6/21/2018 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO SET DATE FOR TESTIMONY OF OUT OF STATE WITNESS - Transaction 6741422 - Approved |
| 6/22/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6742413 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-22-2018:11:13:50 |
| 6/22/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | Transaction 6742148 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 06-22-2018:11:12:04 |
| 6/22/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6741600 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-22-2018:08:24:13 |
| 6/28/2018 | 2245 | Mtn in Limine | MOTION IN LIMINE TO ADMIT SCR 250 MEMORANDUM OF MICHAEL SPECCHIO - Transaction 6752676 |
| 6/28/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6752817 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-28-2018:14:18:21 |
| 6/29/2018 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE - Transaction 675557 |
| 7/2/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6756001 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-02-2018:08:19:11 |
| 7/9/2018 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE - T |
| 7/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6767182 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-09-2018:16:43:12 |
| 7/9/2018 | T200 | Tickle End Code | NO RESPONSE FILED |
| 7/20/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6788735 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-20-2018:15:25:37 |
| 7/20/2018 | 3060 | Ord Granting Mtn | TO SET DATE FOR TESTIMONY OF OUT OF STATE WITNESS/DIRECTING COUNSEL TO CONSULT AND |
| 7/20/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complete | ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SET DATE FOR TESTIMONY OF OUT OF STATE WITNESS |
| 7/20/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6788847 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-20-2018:15:50:00 |
| 7/20/2018 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO ADMIT - Transaction 6788806 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 07-20-2018:15:49: |
| | | | |

| e ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4)CRIMINALInitial Filing Date: 2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|--|
| 7/25/2018 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION TO SET HEARING REGARDING VANISI'S REQUEST TO WAIVE EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Transa |
| 7/25/2018 | 2170 | Mtn for Psyc. Evaluation | Suggestion of Incompetency and Motion for Evaluation - Transaction 6796098 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 07- |
| 7/25/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6796096 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-25-2018:16:29:16 |
| 7/25/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6796138 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-25-2018:16:38:31 |
| 7/27/2018 | 3795 | Reply | REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE TO ADMIT SCR 250 MEMORANDUM OF MICHAEL SPECCHIO |
| 7/27/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | Transaction 6800813 - Approved By: CVERA : 07-30-2018:08:09:56 |
| 7/27/2018 | 3790 | Reply to/in Opposition | REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OF |
| 7/27/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6800625 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-27-2018:16:35:59 |
| 7/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6801981 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-30-2018:11:59:53 |
| 7/30/2018 | 2501 | Non-Opposition | NOTICE OF NON-OPPOSITION TO STATE'S REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL BRIEFING AND ORAL ARGUMEN |
| 7/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6800920 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-30-2018:08:10:55 |
| 7/30/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6801406 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 07-30-2018:10:22:25 |
| 7/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6801512 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-30-2018:10:23:53 |
| 7/30/2018 | 3845 | Request for Hearing | REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTION TO DISQUALIFY WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY |
| 7/30/2018 | 3880 | Response | STATE'S RESPONSE TO VANISI'S SUGGESTION OF INCOMPETENCY AND MOTION FOR EVALUATION - 1 |
| 7/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6802822 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-30-2018:15:42:58 |
| 7/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6800909 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-30-2018:07:57:44 |
| 7/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6802993 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-30-2018:16:15:42 |
| 7/31/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6804286 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-31-2018:11:59:00 |
| 7/31/2018 | 1270 | Application | Transaction 6804242 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 07-31-2018:11:57:43 |
| 8/3/2018 | 1372 | Certification | CRIMINAL CERTIFICATION UNIFORM ACT TO SECURE THE ATTENDANCE OF WITNESSES FROM WITHO |
| 8/3/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6812579 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-03-2018:15:59:18 |
| 8/6/2018 | 3795 | Reply | Reply to State's Response to Petitioner's Suggestion of Incompetence and Motion for Evaluation - Transaction 6 |
| 8/6/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6814503 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-06-2018:14:39:16 |
| 8/6/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6814546 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-06-2018:14:45:44 |
| 8/6/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6814152 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-06-2018:14:43:22 |
| 8/7/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | Transaction 6816092 - Approved By: CVERA : 08-07-2018:12:57:02 |
| 8/7/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6816433 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-07-2018:12:59:28 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822510 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:17:02 |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | Application for Issuance of a Certificate of Attendance of an Out of State Witness - Transaction 6822417 - Appro |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | Transaction 6822422 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:17:21 |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | Transaction 6822364 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 08-09-2018:16:17:40 |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | Application for Issuance of a Certificate of Attendance of an Out of State Witness - Transaction 6822440 - Appro |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822444 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:18:39 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822527 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:19:22 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822526 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:19:16 |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS - T |
| | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822531 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:19:51 |
| 8/9/2018 | | | |

| ase ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4) CRIMINAL Initial Filing Date: 2/26/1998 | |
|----------|-----------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822537 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:20:25 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822378 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 08-09-2018:16:22:02 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822552 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:22:24 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822548 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:22:24 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822555 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:23:05 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822546 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:23:07 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822544 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:23:06 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822566 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:23:12 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS - | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822587 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:25:57 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | Transaction 6822454 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 08-09-2018:16:26:56 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822610 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:28:30 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822619 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:29:24 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS - | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822634 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:30:48 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822475 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:35:09 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | Application for Issuance of a Certificate of Attendance of an Out of State Witness - Transaction 6822486 - App | |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822489 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:36:23 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822658 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:36:26 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822226 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:37:02 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | Application for Issuance of a Certificate of Attendance of an Out of State Witness - Transaction 6822233 - App | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822662 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:37:27 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822663 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:37:28 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | Application for Issuance of a Certificate of Attendance of an Out of State Witness - Transaction 6822499 - App | |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS - | |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822503 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:37:55 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822667 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:38:06 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | Application for Issuance of a Certificate of Attendance of an Out of State Witness - Transaction 6822516 - App | |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822522 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:38:30 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | Application for Issuance of a Certificate of Attendance of an Out of State Witness - Transaction 6822532 - App | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822670 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:38:55 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822538 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:39:00 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822674 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:39:03 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822673 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:39:02 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822675 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:39:17 | |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | Application for Issuance of a Certificate of Attendance of an Out of State Witness - Transaction 6822565 - App | |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822556 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:39:34 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822677 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:39:55 | |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822678 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:40:03 | |

| se ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4)CRIMINALInitial Filing Date:2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|--|
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822579 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:40:14 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822680 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:40:20 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822686 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:41:19 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822689 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:41:48 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822691 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:41:54 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822695 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:42:47 |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | Transaction 6822236 - Approved By: CSULEZIC : 08-09-2018:15:57:00 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822392 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:15:58:02 |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS - |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822401 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:00:14 |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | Transaction 6822191 - Approved By: CVERA : 08-09-2018:16:00:37 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822410 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:01:37 |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS - |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | Application for Issuance of a Certificate of Attendance of an Out of State Witness - Transaction 6822353 - App |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822358 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:07:26 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822431 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:06:29 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822446 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:08:56 |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | Transaction 6822212 - Approved By: CVERA : 08-09-2018:16:11:45 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822471 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:12:04 |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS - |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822386 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:13:57 |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | Application for Issuance of a Certificate of Attendance of an Out of State Witness - Transaction 6822391 - App |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822497 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:14:50 |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822393 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:15:08 |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | DFX: EX2 MISSING COVER PAGE - APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | Application for Issuance of a Certificate of Attendance of an Out of State Witness - Transaction 6822402 - App |
| 8/9/2018 | 1270 | Application | APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE OF AN OUT OF STATE WITNESS - |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822507 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:16:27 |
| 8/9/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6822406 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-09-2018:16:16:37 |
| 8/9/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6822509 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2018:16:16:42 |
| 8/13/2018 | 2280 | Mtn to Continue | Motion to Continue Depositions - Transaction 6826296 - Approved By: CSULEZIC : 08-13-2018:14:33:54 |
| 8/13/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6826448 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-13-2018:14:34:59 |
| 8/13/2018 | 2490 | Motion | MOTION FOR ORDER TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY - Transaction 6827033 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 08-13 |
| 8/13/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6827226 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-13-2018:17:13:42 |
| 8/20/2018 | | Tickle End Code | |
| 8/20/2018 | 1020 | Addendum | ADDENDUM TO MOTION TO SET HEARING REGARDING VANISI'S REQUEST TO WAIVE EVIDENTIARY |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840331 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:49:53 |
| 8/21/2018 | 2501 | Non-Opposition | Non-Opposition to Presence of Defendant - Transaction 6839777 - Approved By: SWILLIAM : 08-21-2018:13: |
| | | Proof of Electronic Service | |

| Case ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4) CRIMINAL | Initial Filing Date: | 2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 8/21/2018 | 3242 | Ord Setting Hearing | - ORAL ARGUMENT ON THE MOTION TO DISQUALIF | Y THE WASHOE COUNTY | DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OF |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840483 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-2 | 1-2018:14:20:42 | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | MOTION TO DISQUALIFY SET FOR ORAL ARGUMEN | TS | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | | | |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6839485 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-2 | 1-2018:09:41:29 | |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (TIM WILLIAMS) - Trans | action 6840238 - Approved | By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-201 |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (TOEUMU TAFUNA) - Tr | ansaction 6840241 - Approv | ved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21- |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (TERRY WILLIAMS) - Tr | ansaction 6840246 - Approv | ved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21- |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840245 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-2 | 1-2018:13:32:56 | |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840248 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-2 | 1-2018:13:33:29 | |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (SELA VANISI-DEBRUC | E) - Transaction 6840250 - / | Approved By: NOREVIEW : |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840252 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-2 | 1-2018:13:34:13 | |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (RENEE PEAUA-MUAM | OHOLEVA) - Transaction 68 | 40254 - Approved By: NOR |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840255 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-2 | 1-2018:13:35:12 | |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (MOLENI VANACEY) - T | ransaction 6840259 - Appro | wed By: NOREVIEW : 08-21 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840261 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-2 | 1-2018:13:36:06 | |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (OLISI LUI) - Transaction | 1 6840264 - Approved By: N | OREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:; |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840266 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-2 | 1-2018:13:36:46 | |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (ROBERT KIRTS) - Tran | saction 6840268 - Approvec | By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-20 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840269 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-2 | 1-2018:13:37:26 | |

| ase ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4) CRIMINAL Initial Filing Date: 2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (MILES KINIKINI) - Transaction 6840272 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-20 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840273 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:38:06 |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (LUISA FINAU) - Transaction 6840277 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840278 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:38:51 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840283 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:40:04 |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (LITA TAFUNA) - Transaction 6840289 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018 |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (LEANNA MORRIS) - Transaction 6840293 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840294 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:42:31 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840297 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:43:03 |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (HEIDI BAILEY-ALOI) - Transaction 6840300 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-2 |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (FORREST VANACEY) - Transaction 6840302 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-: |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840304 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:44:11 |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (EDGAR DEBRUCE) - Transaction 6840305 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21 |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | DAVID KINIKINI - Transaction 6840315 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:47:31 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840310 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:47:30 |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (DEANN OGEN) - Transaction 6840313 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-201 |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (BISHOP NIFAI TONGA) - Transaction 6840317 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840319 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:48:09 |
| 8/21/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (BISHOP DAVID HALES) - Transaction 6840323 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 04 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840326 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:49:02 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840327 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:49:02 |
| 8/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6840328 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-21-2018:13:49:13 |
| 8/22/2018 | 2280 | Mtn to Continue | MOTION TO CONTINUE DEPOSITION - Transaction 6842469 - Approved By: YVILORIA : 08-22-2018:13:31:21 |
| 8/22/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6842725 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-22-2018:13:32:50 |
| 8/23/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6844780 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-23-2018:10:57:01 |
| 8/23/2018 | 2501 | Non-Opposition | NON-OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY - Transaction 6844690 - Approved By: YVILORIA |
| 8/24/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6847782 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-24-2018:14:27:12 |
| 8/24/2018 | 1250E | Application for Setting eFile | - ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE CALL - 8/27/18 AT 10:00 A.M Transaction 6847769 - Approved By: NORI |
| 8/27/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6850173 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-27-2018:14:32:01 |
| 8/27/2018 | MIN | ***Minutes | ADMINSTRATIVE CONFERENCE CALL - 8/27/18 - Transaction 6850169 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-27-20 |
| 8/27/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6849552 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-27-2018:12:04:05 |
| 8/27/2018 | 4185 | Transcript | Daily transcript - Transaction 6849547 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-27-2018:12:00:58 |
| 8/27/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | ORAL ARGUMENTS SET FOR 9/5/18 |
| 8/27/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | MOTION GRANTED - STATE TO PREPARE ORDER |
| 8/27/2018 | 3373 | Other | Proposed Order and Amended Subpoena Duces Tecum - Transaction 6850930 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08 |
| 8/27/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | ORAL ARGUMENTS SET |
| 8/28/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6851505 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-28-2018:09:18:25 |
| 8/29/2018 | 3370 | Order | MATERIAL WITNESS ORDER (CRYSTAL CALDERON) - Transaction 6854782 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08 |
| 8/29/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6854785 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-29-2018:13:00:49 |
| | | | |

| se ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4)CRIMINALInitial Filing Date: 2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|---|
| 8/30/2018 | 1960 | Memorandum | HEARING MEMORANDUM - Transaction 6857439 - Approved By: CVERA : 08-30-2018:13:40:41 |
| 8/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6857519 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-30-2018:13:42:05 |
| 8/30/2018 | 2592 | Notice of Witnesses | SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF WITNESSES - Transaction 6857452 - Approved By: CVERA : 08-30-2 |
| 8/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6856084 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-30-2018:08:14:24 |
| 8/30/2018 | 3060 | Ord Granting Mtn | ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ORDER TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY - Transaction 6856081 - Approved B |
| 8/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6857580 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-30-2018:13:55:48 |
| 8/30/2018 | 2488 | Motion to Seal Document | Motion to Seal Ex Parte Motion for Order Allowing Witness Funds - Transaction 6857621 - Approved By: PMSE |
| 8/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6858138 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-30-2018:15:48:07 |
| 8/30/2018 | 1670 | Ex-Parte Mtn | Ex Parte Motion for Order Allowing Witness Funds - Transaction 6857628 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 08-30-2 |
| 8/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6858143 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-30-2018:15:49:38 |
| 8/30/2018 | 1960 | Memorandum | STATE'S PREHEARING MEMORANDUM - Transaction 6858250 - Approved By: NMASON : 08-30-2018:16:16 |
| 8/30/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6858273 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-30-2018:16:17:56 |
| 8/31/2018 | 3795 | Reply | STATE'S SUR-REPLY TO VANISI'S MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNE |
| 9/4/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6860830 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-04-2018:08:34:04 |
| 9/5/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6865326 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-05-2018:20:58:59 |
| 9/5/2018 | 4185 | Transcript | Status Conference - 9-5-18 - Transaction 6865325 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-05-2018:20:57:59 |
| 9/6/2018 | 2960 | Ord Psychiatric Evaluation | Transaction 6865732 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-06-2018:09:40:29 |
| 9/6/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6865737 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-06-2018:09:41:52 |
| 9/7/2018 | 2777 | Ord Approving | RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR WITNESS FEES (POST CONVICTION) - Trans |
| 9/7/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6869452 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-07-2018:14:58:39 |
| 9/10/2018 | 2592 | Notice of Witnesses | NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES - Transaction 6871588 - Approved By: CVERA : 09-10-2018:15:44:04 |
| 9/10/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6871930 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-10-2018:15:45:21 |
| 9/12/2018 | 2490 | Motion | Motion to Modify Oct. 1, 2018 Hearing Schedule - Transaction 6875757 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 09-12-20 |
| 9/12/2018 | 2490 | Motion | Motion for Further Discovery - Transaction 6875755 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 09-12-2018:13:23:56 |
| 9/12/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6875793 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-12-2018:13:25:11 |
| 9/12/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6875791 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-12-2018:13:25:04 |
| 9/12/2018 | 3060 | Ord Granting Mtn | ORDER GRANTING STATE'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO ADMIT SCR250 MEMORANDUM OF MICHAEL SPECO |
| 9/12/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6876759 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-12-2018:16:18:19 |
| 9/13/2018 | 3735 | Receipt | RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS PURSUANT TO |
| 9/13/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6879329 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-13-2018:16:32:54 |
| 9/17/2018 | 4185 | Transcript | Telephonic Conference - 9-17-18 - Transaction 6884012 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-17-2018:17:50:34 |
| 9/17/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6884015 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-17-2018:17:51:33 |
| 9/17/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6882354 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-17-2018:10:52:28 |
| 9/17/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6883934 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-17-2018:17:01:53 |
| 9/17/2018 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR FURTHER DISCOVERY - Transaction 6883708 - Approved By: CVERA : 09-7 |
| 9/17/2018 | 2842 | Ord Denying Motion | TO DISAUALIFY THE WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE - Transaction 6882337 - Approve |
| 9/18/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6884694 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-18-2018:11:01:50 |
| 9/18/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6884698 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-18-2018:11:02:52 |
| | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | MOTION GRANTED |

| e ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4)CRIMINALInitial Filing Date:2/26/1998 |
|------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|
| 9/18/2018 | 3060 | Ord Granting Mtn | FOR FURTHER DISCOVERY - Transaction 6886246 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-18-2018:16:36:29 |
| 9/18/2018 | 3795 | Reply | Reply to Opposition to Motion for further Discovery - Transaction 6884636 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 09-18-2 |
| 9/18/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6886261 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-18-2018:16:39:56 |
| 9/18/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | - Transaction 6884647 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 09-18-2018:11:01:34 |
| 9/19/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6888764 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-19-2018:16:42:12 |
| 9/19/2018 | 4505 | Crt Ord Psych Eval - Conf. | Transaction 6888369 - Approved By: PMSEWELL : 09-19-2018:16:40:49 |
| 9/24/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6895223 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-24-2018:15:03:05 |
| 9/24/2018 | 4185 | Transcript | Report Psychiatric Evaluations - 9-24-18 - Transaction 6895788 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-24-2018:20:43 |
| 9/24/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6895789 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-24-2018:20:44:35 |
| 9/24/2018 | MIN | ***Minutes | ADMINSTRATIVE CONFERENCE CALL - 9/17/18 - Transaction 6895220 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-24-2 |
| 9/24/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6895137 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-24-2018:14:42:22 |
| 9/24/2018 | MIN | ***Minutes | STATUS CONFERENCE - 9/5/18 - Transaction 6895128 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-24-2018:14:40:55 |
| 9/25/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6895804 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-25-2018:05:33:57 |
| 9/25/2018 | 4185 | Transcript | Transaction 6895803 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-25-2018:05:32:57 |
| 9/25/2018 | 4185 | Transcript | Transaction 6897507 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-25-2018:15:29:21 |
| 9/25/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6897515 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-25-2018:15:30:37 |
| 9/26/2018 | MIN | ***Minutes | REPORT ON PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATIONS - 9/24/18 - Transaction 6900114 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-2 |
| 9/26/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6900115 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-26-2018:17:08:16 |
| 9/28/2018 | MIN | ***Minutes | STATUS HEARING - PETITIONER'S WAIVER OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING - 9/25/18 - Transaction 6903702 - / |
| 9/28/2018 | 2490 | Motion | Motion for Leave to File Supplement to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus - Transaction 6903790 - Approved By |
| 9/28/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6903709 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-28-2018:15:04:10 |
| 9/28/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6903328 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-28-2018:12:45:09 |
| 9/28/2018 | 4185 | Transcript | Transaction 6903321 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-28-2018:12:44:07 |
| 10/1/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6904577 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-01-2018:10:49:06 |
| 10/8/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6916981 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-08-2018:16:16:38 |
| 10/8/2018 | 2645 | Opposition to Mtn | OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORF |
| 10/11/2018 | T200 | Tickle End Code | |
| 10/15/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6928832 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-15-2018:14:53:46 |
| 10/15/2018 | 3860 | Request for Submission | MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS FILED 9/28/18 |
| 10/15/2018 | 3795 | Reply | Reply to Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Supplement to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus - Transaction 6 |
| 10/15/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6928796 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-15-2018:14:49:02 |
| 10/19/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 6938207 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-19-2018:16:32:13 |
| 10/19/2018 | MIN | ***Minutes | RETURN OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM (NDOC) - 9/13/18 - Transaction 6938204 - Approved By: NOREVIEN |
| 12/21/2018 | 3347 | Ord to Set | - ORAL ARGUMENTS ON THE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF H |
| 12/21/2018 | S200 | Request for Submission Complet | ORDER TO SET ORAL ARGUMENTS FILED |
| 12/21/2018 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7036929 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-21-2018:14:25:23 |
| 1/8/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7057521 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-08-2019:15:47:28 |
| 1/8/2019 | 1250 | Application for Setting | APPLICATION FOR SETTING: ORAL ARGUMENTS ON MOTION - JAN 25, 2019, 9:00 AM - Transaction 7057 |
| | | | |

| se ID: | CR98-0516 | Case Type: | Case Description: STATE VS SIAOSI VANISI (D4) CRIMINAL Initial Filing Date: 2/26/1998 |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|---|
| 2/6/2019 | 2827 | Ord Deny/Dism Post Conviction | ORDER DENYING RELIEF - GROUND 20 OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICT |
| 2/6/2019 | F230 | Other Manner of Disposition | |
| 2/6/2019 | 3105 | Ord Granting | ORDER GRANTING WAIVER OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING - Transaction 7105196 - Approved By: NOREVIEV |
| 2/6/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7105199 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-06-2019:13:20:37 |
| 2/6/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7105978 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-06-2019:15:49:55 |
| 2/6/2019 | 2540 | Notice of Entry of Ord | Transaction 7105974 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-06-2019:15:48:58 |
| 2/6/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7105204 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-06-2019:13:21:30 |
| 2/8/2019 | T200 | Tickle End Code | |
| 2/14/2019 | 3370 | Order | ORDER - PAYMENT OF TRANSCRIPT FEES - Transaction 7120187 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-14-2019 |
| 2/14/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7120188 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-14-2019:17:11:30 |
| 2/15/2019 | 4185 | Transcript | Oral Arguments - 1-25-19 - Transaction 7121165 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-15-2019:11:45:27 |
| 2/15/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7121376 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-15-2019:12:18:53 |
| 2/15/2019 | 2842 | Ord Denying Motion | ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CO |
| 2/15/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7121171 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-15-2019:11:46:48 |
| 2/21/2019 | MIN | ***Minutes | MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS - 1/25/19 - T |
| 2/21/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7128593 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-21-2019:11:37:51 |
| 2/22/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7131373 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-22-2019:14:11:54 |
| 2/22/2019 | 2540 | Notice of Entry of Ord | Transaction 7131369 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-22-2019:14:10:55 |
| 2/25/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7134036 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-25-2019:14:49:36 |
| 2/25/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7134037 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-25-2019:14:49:56 |
| 2/25/2019 | 1350 | Certificate of Clerk | CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL - NOTICE OF APPEAL - Transaction 7134253 - Approved By: |
| 2/25/2019 | 1310 | Case Appeal Statement | DFX: CASE NUMBER ON DOCUMENT DOESN'T MATCH CASE FILING INTO - CASE APPEAL STATEMENT |
| 2/25/2019 | NEF | Proof of Electronic Service | Transaction 7134259 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 02-25-2019:15:26:29 |
| 2/25/2019 | 2515 | Notice of Appeal Supreme Court | DFX: CASE NUMBER ON DOCUMENT DOESN'T MATCH CASE FILING INTO Transaction 7133984 - App |

FILED Electronically CR98-0516 2019-02-06 01:18:38 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 7105196

CODE No. 3105

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

SIAOSI VANISI,

Petitioner,

Case No. CR98-0516

Dept. No. 4

WILLIAM GITTERE, Warden, et. al.,

v.

Respondent.

ORDER GRANTING WAIVER OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING

The Court has read and considered 1) the Request from Defendant to Waive Evidentiary Hearing, filed in proper person by Petitioner Siaosi Vanisi on July 24, 2018; 2) the Federal Public Defender's Suggestion of Incompetency and Motion for Evaluation, filed July 25, 2018; 3) the State's Response to Suggestion of Incompetency and Motion for Evaluation, filed July 30, 2018; 4) the Federal Public Defender's Reply to State's Response to Petitioner's Suggestion of Incompetence and Motion for Evaluation, and the supporting exhibit attached thereto, filed August 6, 2018; 5) the State's Motion to Set Hearing Regarding Vanisi's Request to Waive Evidentiary Hearing, filed July 25, 2018; and 6) the State's Addendum to Motion to Set Hearing Regarding Vanisi's Requests to Waive Evidentiary Hearing, and the exhibit attached thereto, filed August 20, 2018. Additionally, the Court has considered the written evaluations of Dr. Moulton and Dr. Zuchowski, as well as their testimony during the evidentiary hearing held September 24, 2018. The Court has also considered the arguments of the parties, and Petitioner's own statements, made in open court on September 24 and September 25, 2018.

Procedural History

Petitioner was convicted of first degree murder and sentenced to death by a jury in 1999. His direct appeal was denied on May 17, 2001. See Vanisi v. State, 117 Nev. 330, 22 P.3d 1164 (2001). Petitioner filed his first post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus in 2002, and this Court denied it after an evidentiary hearing. The Nevada Supreme Court affirmed that order on April 20, 2010. See Order of Affirmance, April 20, Dkt. No. 50607. Petitioner then filed a second petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging the performance of post-conviction counsel Scott Edwards and Thomas Qualls. On April 20, 2014, this Court entered its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment Dismissing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, which dismissed Petitioner's second petition for writ of habeas corpus (postconviction). On September 28, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court entered its Order Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part and Remanding (hereafter "the Order"). The Order affirmed the bulk of this Court's 2014 decision dismissing the petition, agreeing with its analysis on 21 out of the 22 asserted grounds for relief. Id. The only exception was Ground 20, which was Petitioner's claim that his first post-conviction counsel were ineffective for failing to challenge the trial attorneys' approach to mitigation evidence during the penalty phase. The Order remanded the matter to district court for an evidentiary hearing focused on the guestion of whether or not trial counsel were ineffective with respect to their investigation and strategy regarding sentencing phase mitigation.

Pursuant to the Order, this Court set an evidentiary hearing, scheduled to begin in early October, 2018. On May 30, 2018, this Court canvassed Petitioner in open court regarding his desire to waive his appearance during the evidentiary hearing, and accepted Petitioner's decision to waive his presence. Subsequently, this Court received a letter from Petitioner dated July 24, 2018. The letter indicated to the Court that he wished to waive the evidentiary hearing altogether. The letter also indicated that the remaining ground pursued by the Federal Public Defender ("FPD") was inconsistent with Petitioner's wishes, and without his consent.

Subsequently, Petitioner sent a second letter dated August 13, 2018, addressed to the prosecutors assigned to his case, which was provided to this Court by counsel for the State. In the letter Petitioner made it clear he desired to pursue a waiver of the upcoming evidentiary hearing, but that the Federal Public Defender has rebuffed his requests for research on this issue. On September 5, 2018, Petitioner appeared before this Court with his counsel. He told the Court that he wanted to waive the evidentiary hearing, and that he did not agree with the strategy of the FPD. See Transcript of Proceedings, September 5, 2018. Petitioner argued that he was oriented to time and place, and understood the proceedings against him. *Id.*, 35-36. He argued that a competency evaluation would be a waste of resources, but that he would cooperate with an evaluation if this Court ordered one. *Id.*

This Court observed that Petitioner appeared to be competent during the September 5, 2018 hearing, articulating his position cogently. In an abundance of caution, the Court ordered that Petitioner be evaluated for competency. The Order For Expedited Psychiatric Evaluations required the evaluators to make the inquiry contemplated by *Calambro v. District Court*, 114 Nev. 961 (1998): 1) whether Petitioner has the capacity to appreciate his position and make a rational choice with respect to waiving the scheduled evidentiary hearing; or 2) whether Petitioner has such a mental disease, disorder, or defect that his capacity to make that decision might be substantially affected. *See* Order for Expedited Psychiatric Evaluations, filed September 6, 2018. Two written evaluations were provided to this Court, both opining that Petitioner had the capacity to appreciate his position, and that his choice to waive the evidentiary hearing was rational. A hearing on the evaluations was conducted on September 24, 2018, and counsel for the State and Petitioner had the opportunity to traverse the findings. *See* Transcript of Proceedings, September 24, 2018.

II. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

A. <u>Petitioner Has the Capacity to Appreciate His Position, and To Make</u> <u>a Rational Choice Regarding His Litigation Options</u>.

The Court has received the report from Dr. Steven Zuchowski indicating that Petitioner has the capacity to appreciate his decision, and to make a rational choice with respect to his desire to waive the evidentiary hearing. On September 24, 2018, Dr. Zuchowski testified regarding his findings. See Transcript of Proceedings, Competency for Petitioner to Waive Evidentiary Hearing. Dr. Zuchowski testified that he is a forensic psychiatrist, and has testified as an expert regarding the subject of competency approximately 100 times. He has been recognized as an expert in this subject in the Second Judicial District Court, Eighth Judicial District Court, and the Federal District of Nevada. *Id.*, 9.

Dr. Zuchowski testified that Petitioner has schizoaffective disorder, bipolar type, but that the symptoms of Petitioner's mental illness were in good remission at the time of the interview. *Id.*, 22, 52. He observed Petitioner to be alert and cooperative, with linear thought processes and no suicidal ideation. *Id.*, 70-71; 81. Petitioner told Dr. Zuchowski that his intent in waiving the remaining penalty phase claim is to more quickly exhaust his state habeas claims and related state appeals, so that his trial phase claims can be considered by the federal courts. *Id.*, 42-43; 58-59. Dr. Zuchowski explained that Petitioner was not interested in a lesser sentence, and that Petitioner felt that the FPD's efforts were directed at the goal of avoiding the death penalty, while Petitioner's goal is to avoid lingering in prison for the rest of his life. *Id.*, 68, 86.

Dr. Zuchowski was confident that Petitioner understood his position, and that Petitioner's expressed intent was the product of rational thought, and not that Petitioner's decision was impacted by Petitioner's mental illness. *Id.*, 10-11. Dr. Zuchowski opined that Petitioner's decision was not the product of mania, grandiosity, or delusional thinking. *Id.*, 19-/// 20; 63. Petitioner acknowledged to Dr. Zuchowski that he has no influence over the federal courts, and that he could lose in in federal court and ultimately face execution. *Id.*, 64.

Dr. Moulton, a forensic psychologist, also evaluated Petitioner. Dr. Moulton testified that though he also has experience in the area of correctional psychology, the bulk of his work is in the area of adjudicative competence. *See* Transcript of Proceedings, Report on Psychiatric Evaluation, September 24, 2018, 5-7. He has been recognized as an expert in the area of competency by courts in the Second, Eighth, and Tenth Judicial Districts. *Id.*, 53-54.

Dr. Moulton explained that during the evaluation, he did not assess Petitioner's mental illness to be active to the degree that rendered him unfit to make decisions about his case. *Id.*, 13. He noted that Petitioner was forthcoming during the interview, and explained that Petitioner explained his own perspective on how Petitioner would like to proceed, which Petitioner believes to be at odds with what the current course of FPD's representation. *Id.*, 33. Dr. Moulton testified that Petitioner expressed his dissatisfaction with the FPD's strategy of continuing to pursue penalty phase relief, and expressed his desire to pursue relief in the federal courts, with Petitioner's goal being a new trial. *Id.*, 44-45; 64-66. Dr. Moulton further explained that Petitioner was concerned about the additional time that litigation of the remaining state court claim would take, including the resulting state appellate litigation. *Id.*, 67.

Dr. Moulton was clear in his assessment that Petitioner's desired course of action is rational, and does not flow from any delusion or other aspect of Petitioner's mental illness. *Id.*, 66-69. Dr. Moulton further testified that instead, Petitioner understands that he is in a life or death situation, understands that his attorneys advise against waiving the upcoming hearing, and wishes to take that chance nonetheless. *Id.* According to Dr. Moulton, Petitioner simply does not share the priority of penalty phase relief, and wishes to instead waive his state court claims so that he can return to pursuing trial phase relief via the federal courts. *Id.*, 44, 64-66.

Based on the reports and testimony of Dr. Zuchowski and Dr. Moulton, the Court finds that Petitioner has the capacity to appreciate his position and to make a rational choice with

respect to waiving the scheduled evidentiary hearing. While the decision may be contrary to the advice of the FPD, based on the experts' unequivocal reports and testimony, the Court is confident that Petitioner's capacity to make this decision is not substantially affected by a mental disease, disorder, or defect.

B. Petitioner May Properly Decide His Litigation Objective.

Having determined that Petitioner is competent to make decisions about his case, the Court turns to the question of whether waiver of the evidentiary hearing is the sort of decision that a client can make. The Federal Public Defender asserts that waiver of the evidentiary hearing is a strategic decision within the exclusive purview of counsel, and that Petitioner may not properly waive the hearing against the advice of counsel. The FPD cites to NRPC 1.2 (a) and NRPC 1.14. The FPD asserts that Petitioner is suffering from a diminished capacity, and therefore decisions such as the waiver of the evidentiary hearing should be left to the FPD, not to Petitioner himself. Having determined, based on the competency evaluations and the experts' testimony, that Petitioner's capacity is not diminished, the Court is not persuaded by this argument.

The State asserts that pursuant to NRPC 1.2(a), the FPD is obligated to abide by its client's decision concerning the objectives of representation. The Court agrees. Petitioner is competent, and clearly expressed that he is not interested in the objective of penalty phase relief. Instead, he wishes to exhaust his State penalty-phase claim faster by waiving the evidentiary hearing ordered by the Nevada Supreme Court, as well as the appeals that would normally follow. Petitioner has the right to decide the goals of his litigation, even if his choice were to submit to a death sentence. *Calambro v. Second Judicial Dist. Court*, 114 Nev. 961, 964 P.2d 794 (1998), *cert. denied*, 525 U.S. 1149, 119 S.Ct. 1048 (1999). The FPD wishes to continue litigating the penalty-phase claim regarding mitigation, but Petitioner is their client, and the client does not authorize that action. While this Court informed Petitioner that the Court believes his decision is ill-advised, it is Petitioner's decision to make.

C. <u>Petitioner Made a Knowing, Voluntary, and Intelligent Waiver of the</u> <u>Evidentiary Hearing.</u>

Having found that Petitioner possesses the capacity to make decisions about his case, the Court canvassed Petitioner about his desire to waive the upcoming evidentiary hearing. The canvass began in the afternoon on September 24, 2018. Transcript of Proceedings, Competency for Petitioner to Waive Evidentiary Hearing, 90-94. The Court explained to Petitioner that such a waiver would mean that Petitioner would not prevail regarding the remaining ground for relief, and this Court would have to set a new execution date for him. *Id.* At that time, Petitioner expressed a clear desire to waive the hearing. *Id.* Nonetheless, this Court took a recess so that Petitioner could discuss his decision further with his attorneys. *Id.*, 94-95. When the Court reconvened the proceedings, Petitioner had not changed his mind. *Id.* The Court explained to Petitioner that without the scheduled hearing, it would not have any evidence to consider regarding the mitigation claim, and would not be able to rule in Petitioner's favor. *Id.*, 104-105. Petitioner to have additional time to consider his decision. *Id.*, 108.

The next day, Petitioner again appeared with his counsel. See Transcript of Proceedings, Report on Psychiatric Evaluation, September 25, 2018. This Court asked Petitioner if he understood that he could ultimately lose his federal appeals. *Id.*, 4. Petitioner acknowledged the end result of exhausting his federal appeals: "I would be executed." *Id.* This Court emphasized to Petitioner that his attorneys advised against taking such a risk, and that his attorneys did not necessarily anticipate that Petitioner would prevail in federal court. 5-7. The Court then further advised Petitioner that if he were to prevail on his remaining State court claim, he would be eligible for resentencing, and that possible sentences included life with the possibility of parole, or a term of years. Petitioner indicated that he still wanted to waive the evidentiary hearing, and repeated that he was not interested in "penalty phase relief." *Id.*

THE COURT: If you keep going with your appeal and finish out your state court appeal and then go to the federal court, do you understand that you might—it might be another period of time in the federal court that would prolong the death sentence, even if you lose?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand my prolonged—but my life clock is ticking, your honor. I want to be able to go into federal court to see what they will give me. I'm willing to take my chances in federal courts.

THE COURT: And federal court, what if federal court says, No, we're not going to grant any relief to you?

THE DEFENDANT: That's fine, your Honor. I'm going to accept that.

ld., 8-9.

The Court then recessed again to allow Petitioner to review the Nevada Supreme Court's Order Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part and Remanding. *Id.*, 9-14. After the recess, Petitioner indicated that he still had not changed his mind. *Id.*, 14. This Court then canvassed Petitioner to ensure he understood that if he waived the evidentiary hearing, he would not be able to take a substantive appeal on this Court's ruling regarding the mitigation claim. *Id.*, 17. The Court informed Petitioner that he would not be entitled to an evidentiary hearing in the future on his mitigation claim. The Court also canvassed Petitioner regarding the possible penalties for which he might be eligible if he were to receive penalty-phase relief. *Id.*, 18-21. Petitioner advised the Court that he had not been forced to make his decision, and agreed that no one had guaranteed him anything. *Id.*, 21. The Court then accepted Petitioner's waiver of the evidentiary hearing.

111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The Court finds that Petitioner's decision to waive the evidentiary hearing on the remaining state habeas claim, which alleged ineffective assistance with respect to mitigation during the penalty phase, was knowing, voluntary, and intelligent.

DATED this <u>5</u> day of <u>February</u>, 2019.

Connies J. Strinbeimer DISTRICT JUDGE

| | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE |
|----------|---|
| | I certify that I am an employee of the SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of th |
| s | TATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF WASHOE; that on the 👉 day of |
| _ | February, 2019, I filed the attached document with |
| ł | ne Clerk of the Court. |
| | I further certify that I transmitted a true and correct copy of the foregoing docume |
| b | y the method(s) noted below: Personal delivery to the following: [NONE] |
| A | Electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the eFlex system whic onstitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile Use greement: |
| Je | enny Noble, Esq. hief Deputy District Attorney |
| R | andolph Fiedler, Esq. ssistant Federal Public Defender |
| | |
| | Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing system |
| in Se | a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Posta ervice in Reno, Nevada: |
| | aosi Vanisi |
| In | mate no. 63376 |
| | NCC .O. Box 7000 |
| | arson City, Nevada 89702 |
| | |
| - | Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] |
| | Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] |
| | Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] |
| | 1# 1-1 |
| | DATED thisday ofFebruary, 2019. |
| | m Han |
| | - Asiche |

FILED Electronically CR98-0516 2019-02-06 01:19:52 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 7105198

CODE No. 2827

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

SIAOSI VANISI,

Petitioner,

Case No. CR98-0516

Dept. No. 4

WILLIAM GITTERE, Warden, et. al.,

٧.

Respondent.

ORDER DENYING RELIEF

On April 10, 2014, this Court entered its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment Dismissing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, which dismissed Petitioner's second petition for writ of habeas corpus (post-conviction). On September 28, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court entered its Order Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part and Remanding (hereafter "the Order"). The Order affirmed the bulk of this Court's 2014 decision dismissing the petition, agreeing with its analysis on 21 out of the 22 asserted grounds for relief. *Id.* The only exception was Ground 20, which was Petitioner's claim that his first post-conviction counsel were ineffective for failing to challenge the trial attorneys' approach to mitigation evidence during the penalty phase. The Order remanded the matter to the district court for an evidentiary hearing focused on the question of whether or not trial counsel were ineffective with respect to their investigation and strategy regarding sentencing-phase mitigation.

Pursuant to the Order, this Court set an evidentiary hearing, scheduled to begin in early October, 2018. Subsequently, this Court received a letter from Petitioner dated July 24, 2018. The letter indicated to the Court that he wished to waived the evidentiary hearing altogether. The letter also indicated that the remaining ground pursued by the Federal Public Defender ("FPD") was inconsistent with Petitioner's wishes, and without his consent. Petitioner also sent a second letter, dated August 13, 2018, addressed to the prosecutors assigned to his case, which was provided to this Court by counsel for the State. In the letter Petitioner made it clear he desired to pursue a waiver of the upcoming evidentiary hearing, but that the Federal Public Defender has rebuffed his requests for research on this issue. On September 5, 2018, Petitioner appeared before this Court with his counsel. He told the Court that he wanted to waive the evidentiary hearing, and that he did not agree with the strategy of the FPD. *See* Transcript of Proceedings, September 5, 2018. Petitioner argued that he was oriented to time and place, and understood the proceedings against him. *Id.*, 35- 36. He argued that a competency evaluation requested by his counsel would be a waste of resources, but that he would cooperate with an evaluation if this Court ordered one. *Id.*

This Court observed that Petitioner appeared to be competent during the September 5, 2018 hearing, and that he articulated his position cogently. In an abundance of caution, the Court ordered that Petitioner be evaluated by two mental health experts. The Order For Expedited Psychiatric Evaluations required the evaluators to make the inquiry contemplated by *Calambro v. District Court*, 114 Nev. 961 (1998): 1) whether Petitioner has the capacity to appreciate his position and make a rational choice with respect to waiving the scheduled evidentiary hearing; or 2) whether Petitioner has such a mental disease, disorder, or defect that his capacity to make that decision might be substantially affected. *See* Order for Expedited Psychiatric Evaluations, filed September 6, 2018.

Two written evaluations were provided to this Court, both opining that Petitioner had the capacity to appreciate his position, and that his choice to waive the evidentiary hearing was

rational. A hearing on the evaluations was conducted on September 24, 2018, and counsel for the State and Petitioner had the opportunity to traverse the findings. This Court canvassed Petitioner about his desire to waive the hearing on September 24 and September 25, 2018. Ultimately, this Court concluded that Petitioner had the capacity to make the decision, and the right to make decisions regarding his litigation objective. *See* Order Granting Waiver of Evidentiary Hearing.

The Court now turns to Petitioner's sole remaining State-court claim, which contends that post-conviction counsel were ineffective for failing to investigate mitigation evidence to substantiate an ineffective assistance of trial counsel claim. The Nevada Supreme Court's Order held that post-conviction counsel's performance was deficient in failing to pursue such a claim, but remanded for an evidentiary hearing so that this Court could hear evidence regarding prejudice. Order, pp. 6-7. Specifically, the evidentiary hearing was an opportunity for Petitioner to establish that trial counsel could have discovered and presented mitigation evidence at the penalty hearing. *Id.*

Petitioner has waived his right to the hearing ordered by the Nevada Supreme Court. To be entitled to relief based upon a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, a petitioner must demonstrate, by a preponderance of evidence, that his counsel's performance was deficient, falling below an objective standard of reasonableness, and that counsel's deficient performance prejudiced the defense. *Means v. State*, 120 Nev. 1001, 1012, 103 P.3d 25, 33 (2004); *Riley v. State*, 110 Nev. 638, 647, 878 P.2d 272, 278 (1994). Because Petitioner has ///

- 5 111
- 5 111

not presented any evidence that the outcome of the proceedings would have been different had additional mitigation evidence been presented, he has failed to demonstrate prejudice. This Court therefore finds that Petitioner is not entitled to relief.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on May 5, 2011 as to ground 20 is DENIED.

DATED this 5_ day of <u>Kebruary</u>, 2019.

CONNIE J. Steinbermer DISTRICT JUDGE

| I certify that I am an employee of the SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF WASHOE; that on theday of | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE |
|--|---|
| STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF WASHOE; that on the day of feature of the Court, and the Clerk of the Court. 2019, I filed the attached document the Clerk of the Court, and the clerk of the Court. I further certify that I transmitted a true and correct copy of the foregoing docur by the method(s) noted below: Personal delivery to the following: [NONE] V Electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the eFlex system will constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile to Agreement: Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Market and the court of the Second Judicial District Court mailing systin a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Poservice in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Immate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: | I certify that I am an employee of the SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of th |
| | 그는 그는 것 같은 정말을 제공을 만들어야 할 수 있는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것을 들었다. 가슴 것 같은 것 같 |
| the Clerk of the Court. I further certify that I transmitted a true and correct copy of the foregoing docur by the method(s) noted below: Personal delivery to the following: [NONE] Electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the eFlex system will constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile L Agreement: Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Thu . |
| by the method(s) noted below: Personal delivery to the following: [NONE] Electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the eFlex system will constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile U Agreement: Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | |
| Personal delivery to the following: [NONE] Electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the eFlex system will constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile U Agreement: Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | I further certify that I transmitted a true and correct copy of the foregoing docume |
| Constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile L Agreement: Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | |
| Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the eFlex system whic constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile Use Agreement: |
| Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: | Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney |
| in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender |
| in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | |
| in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing system |
| Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Posta |
| NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: | Siaosi Vanisi |
| P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | |
| Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | P.O. Box 7000 |
| Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Carson City, Nevada 89702 |
| Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: |
| Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |
| | 그는 것은 것 같은 |
| DATED this lot down FeloDILLOW(1) | |
| DATED this day of the way of , 2019. | DATED this 6th day of February, 2019. |
| 1.10 | 1 . 1 . 00/ |
| Mone | Masone |

| 1 | FILED Electronically CR98-0516 2019-02-06 03:48:26 Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Clerk of the Court | t |
|----------|--|----|
| 2 | Transaction # 71059 | 74 |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA | |
| 6 | IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | STATE OF NEVADA, | |
| 9 | Plaintiff, Case No: CR98-0516 | |
| 10 | vs. Dept. No: 4 | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | SIAOSI VANISI, | |
| 13 | / | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 6, 2019 the Court entered a decision or | |
| 18 | order in this matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto. | |
| 19 | You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or Order of the Court. If | |
| 20 | you wish to appeal, you must file a Notice of Appeal with the Clerk of this Court within | |
| 21 | thirty-three (33) days after the date this notice is mailed to you. | |
| 22 23 | Dated February 6, 2019. | |
| 23 | | |
| 25 | JACQUELINE BRYANT | |
| 26 | Clerk of the Court | |
| 27 | /s/N. Mason | |
| 28 | N. Mason-Deputy Clerk | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE |
|--|---|
| 2 | Case No. CR98-0516 |
| 3 | Pursuant to NRCP 5 (b), I certify that I am an employee of the Second |
| 4 | Judicial District Court; that on February 6, 2019, I electronically filed the Notice of Entry of |
| 5 | Order with the Court System which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following: |
| 6 7 | JOANNE L. DIAMOND, ESQ. for SIAOSI VANISI |
| 8 | JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF NEVADA |
| 9 | RANDOLPH FIEDLER, ESQ. for SIAOSI VANISI |
| 10 | JOSEPH R. PLATER, III, ESQ. for STATE OF NEVADA |
| 11 | I further certify that on February 6, 2019, I deposited in the Washoe |
| 12 | County mailing system for postage and mailing with the U.S. Postal Service in Reno, |
| 13 14 | Nevada, a true copy of the attached document, addressed to: |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | Attorney General's Office 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717 Siaosi Vanisi # 63376 NNCC P. O. Box 7000 Carson City, NV 89702 The undersigned does hereby affirm that pursuant to NRS 239B.030 and NRS 603A.040, the preceding document does not contain the personal information of any person. Dated February 6, 2019. |
| | |

FILED Electronically CR98-0516 2019-02-06 01:19:52 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 7105198

CODE No. 2827

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

SIAOSI VANISI,

Petitioner,

Case No. CR98-0516

Dept. No. 4

WILLIAM GITTERE, Warden, et. al.,

٧.

Respondent.

ORDER DENYING RELIEF

On April 10, 2014, this Court entered its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment Dismissing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, which dismissed Petitioner's second petition for writ of habeas corpus (post-conviction). On September 28, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court entered its Order Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part and Remanding (hereafter "the Order"). The Order affirmed the bulk of this Court's 2014 decision dismissing the petition, agreeing with its analysis on 21 out of the 22 asserted grounds for relief. *Id.* The only exception was Ground 20, which was Petitioner's claim that his first post-conviction counsel were ineffective for failing to challenge the trial attorneys' approach to mitigation evidence during the penalty phase. The Order remanded the matter to the district court for an evidentiary hearing focused on the question of whether or not trial counsel were ineffective with respect to their investigation and strategy regarding sentencing-phase mitigation.

Pursuant to the Order, this Court set an evidentiary hearing, scheduled to begin in early October, 2018. Subsequently, this Court received a letter from Petitioner dated July 24, 2018. The letter indicated to the Court that he wished to waived the evidentiary hearing altogether. The letter also indicated that the remaining ground pursued by the Federal Public Defender ("FPD") was inconsistent with Petitioner's wishes, and without his consent. Petitioner also sent a second letter, dated August 13, 2018, addressed to the prosecutors assigned to his case, which was provided to this Court by counsel for the State. In the letter Petitioner made it clear he desired to pursue a waiver of the upcoming evidentiary hearing, but that the Federal Public Defender has rebuffed his requests for research on this issue. On September 5, 2018, Petitioner appeared before this Court with his counsel. He told the Court that he wanted to waive the evidentiary hearing, and that he did not agree with the strategy of the FPD. *See* Transcript of Proceedings, September 5, 2018. Petitioner argued that he was oriented to time and place, and understood the proceedings against him. *Id.*, 35- 36. He argued that a competency evaluation requested by his counsel would be a waste of resources, but that he would cooperate with an evaluation if this Court ordered one. *Id.*

This Court observed that Petitioner appeared to be competent during the September 5, 2018 hearing, and that he articulated his position cogently. In an abundance of caution, the Court ordered that Petitioner be evaluated by two mental health experts. The Order For Expedited Psychiatric Evaluations required the evaluators to make the inquiry contemplated by *Calambro v. District Court*, 114 Nev. 961 (1998): 1) whether Petitioner has the capacity to appreciate his position and make a rational choice with respect to waiving the scheduled evidentiary hearing; or 2) whether Petitioner has such a mental disease, disorder, or defect that his capacity to make that decision might be substantially affected. *See* Order for Expedited Psychiatric Evaluations, filed September 6, 2018.

Two written evaluations were provided to this Court, both opining that Petitioner had the capacity to appreciate his position, and that his choice to waive the evidentiary hearing was

rational. A hearing on the evaluations was conducted on September 24, 2018, and counsel for the State and Petitioner had the opportunity to traverse the findings. This Court canvassed Petitioner about his desire to waive the hearing on September 24 and September 25, 2018. Ultimately, this Court concluded that Petitioner had the capacity to make the decision, and the right to make decisions regarding his litigation objective. *See* Order Granting Waiver of Evidentiary Hearing.

The Court now turns to Petitioner's sole remaining State-court claim, which contends that post-conviction counsel were ineffective for failing to investigate mitigation evidence to substantiate an ineffective assistance of trial counsel claim. The Nevada Supreme Court's Order held that post-conviction counsel's performance was deficient in failing to pursue such a claim, but remanded for an evidentiary hearing so that this Court could hear evidence regarding prejudice. Order, pp. 6-7. Specifically, the evidentiary hearing was an opportunity for Petitioner to establish that trial counsel could have discovered and presented mitigation evidence at the penalty hearing. *Id.*

Petitioner has waived his right to the hearing ordered by the Nevada Supreme Court. To be entitled to relief based upon a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, a petitioner must demonstrate, by a preponderance of evidence, that his counsel's performance was deficient, falling below an objective standard of reasonableness, and that counsel's deficient performance prejudiced the defense. *Means v. State*, 120 Nev. 1001, 1012, 103 P.3d 25, 33 (2004); *Riley v. State*, 110 Nev. 638, 647, 878 P.2d 272, 278 (1994). Because Petitioner has ///

- 5 111
- 5 111

not presented any evidence that the outcome of the proceedings would have been different had additional mitigation evidence been presented, he has failed to demonstrate prejudice. This Court therefore finds that Petitioner is not entitled to relief.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on May 5, 2011 as to ground 20 is DENIED.

DATED this 5_ day of <u>Kebruary</u>, 2019.

CONNIE J. Steinbermer DISTRICT JUDGE

| I certify that I am an employee of the SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF WASHOE; that on theday of | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE |
|--|---|
| STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF WASHOE; that on the day of feature of the Court, and the Clerk of the Court. 2019, I filed the attached document the Clerk of the Court, and the clerk of the Court. I further certify that I transmitted a true and correct copy of the foregoing docur by the method(s) noted below: Personal delivery to the following: [NONE] V Electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the eFlex system will constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile to Agreement: Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Market and the court of the Second Judicial District Court mailing systin a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Poservice in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Immate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: | I certify that I am an employee of the SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of th |
| | 그는 그는 것 같은 정말을 제공을 만들어야 할 수 있는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것을 들었다. 가슴 것 같은 것 같 |
| the Clerk of the Court. I further certify that I transmitted a true and correct copy of the foregoing docur by the method(s) noted below: Personal delivery to the following: [NONE] Electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the eFlex system will constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile L Agreement: Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Thu . |
| by the method(s) noted below: Personal delivery to the following: [NONE] Electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the eFlex system will constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile U Agreement: Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | |
| Personal delivery to the following: [NONE] Electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the eFlex system will constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile U Agreement: Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | I further certify that I transmitted a true and correct copy of the foregoing docume |
| Constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile L Agreement: Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | |
| Chief Deputy District Attorney Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the eFlex system whic constitutes effective service for all eFiled documents pursuant to the efile Use Agreement: |
| Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing sys in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: | Jenny Noble, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney |
| in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Randolph Fiedler, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender |
| in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | |
| in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Po Service in Reno, Nevada: Siaosi Vanisi Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Transmitted document to the Second Judicial District Court mailing system |
| Inmate no. 63376 NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | in a sealed envelope for postage and certified mailing with the United States Posta |
| NNCC P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: | Siaosi Vanisi |
| P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702 Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | |
| Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | P.O. Box 7000 |
| Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Carson City, Nevada 89702 |
| Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE] Federal Express or other overnight delivery service – [NONE] Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | Placed a true copy in a sealed envelope for service via: |
| Inter-Office Mail – [NONE] | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |
| | 그는 것은 것 같은 |
| DATED this lot down FeloDILLOW(1) | |
| DATED this day of the way of , 2019. | DATED this 6th day of February, 2019. |
| 1.10 | 1 . 1 . 00/ |
| Mone | Masone |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. PE, a.k.a. GEORGE

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|---|--|------------------|
| COURT PRESE | | <u>CONT'D TO</u> |
| 3/10/98 | ARRAIGNMENT | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Dick Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton | |
| CONNIE | represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public Defender, | 9:00 am |
| | Michael Specchio, and Deputy Public Defender, Walter Fey. | Motion to |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Defendant handed copy of Information; indicated to the Court that name as | Set Trial |
| M. Stone | set forth on same was his true name; waived reading and stood mute. Upon | |
| (Clerk) | the Defendant standing mute, Court entered a plea of not guilty to the | |
| K. Bokelmann | charges set forth in the Information. | |
| (Reporter) | Defendant did waive the 60-Day Rule and COURT ORDERED this matter | |
| | continued for jury trial and a briefing schedule to be set. | |
| | Upon a notice of intent to seek the death penalty being filed, State's counsel | |
| 60 | Gammick set forth aggravating circumstances. State's counsel Gammick | |
| | further reserved right to file any additional aggravating circumstances if | |
| | necessary. | |
| Davis | Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| င်းပီးကို ကို ကို ကို ကို ကို ကို ကို ကို ကို | | |
| | | |
| | | |

MIN

-

CASE NO. CR98-0516 STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI

| | | · · |
|---------------|--|-----------------|
| DATE, JUDGE | | |
| OFFICERS OF | | |
| COURT PRESENT | | <u>)NT'D TO</u> |
| 8/4/98 | STATUS HEARING | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. | |
| STEINHEIMER | Defendant was present with counsel, Public Defender Michael Specchio. | • |
| DEPT. NO. 4 | Court furnished a file stamped copy of the Order dated August 4, 1998 to | |
| B. Walker | respective counsel and discussed the rulings therein. | |
| (Clerk) | Regarding the Motion in Limine as to Prior Bad Acts, District Attorney | • |
| E. Nelson | Gammick addressed the Court stating he feels this motion is "moot" and if | |
| | they come across something, they will produce same to the Court and | |
| | Defense counsel; Public Defender Specchio requested the Court to "reserve | |
| | ruling" on this matter. | |
| | Deputy District Attorney Stanton addressed the Court as to the housing of the | ; |
| | Defendant who is presently housed in the Nevada State Prison for security | |
| New New | reasons, because the Washoe County Jail is having difficulty with the | L. |
| | situation; response by Public Defender Specchio who stated he doesn't have | |
| | the luxury of driving to Carson City and wants to have the Defendant | • |
| | transferred back to the Washoe County Jail. | • |
| | COURT ORDERED: The Department of Prisons to provide copies of any | |
| | evaluation to the State and the Public Defender's office and copies be | |
| ````` | ongoing. Respective counsel to be notified of any disciplinary action or notes | S |
| | taken by prison officials. | |
| | Deputy District Attorney Stanton addressed the Court, requesting any | |
| • | competency issues be placed on the record. | |
| | COURT will contact Sheriff Means to discuss the housing of the Defendant. | |
| · . | Public Defender Specchio addressed the Court requesting the personnel file | |
| · · | of Sgt. Sullivan; response by District Attorney Gammick, who suggested | |
| .• | meeting to discuss the matter. | * |
| | Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. | , |
| | | |
| | <u>11/24/98 at 10:00 a.m.</u> | , |
| • | Motion in Limine Re: Reference to Gang Affiliation | |
| • | Motion in Limine Re: Arrest of Defendant | |
| · . · | <u>11/24/98 at 1:30 p.m.</u> | |
| | Motion to Avoid Death-Prone Jury | |
| | Motion to Preclude Photographs and Television in the Courtroom | |
| | Motion for Individual voir dire of Prospective Jurors | |
| | <u>3:30 p.m.</u> | |
| | Motion in Limine Re: State's DNA Expert | |
| | | |
| | 11/25/98 at 10:00 a.m. Motion in Limine Re: Prior Bad Acts | |

Case No. CR98-0516

STATE OF NEVADA -VS- SIAOSI VANISI, AKA

| DATE,JUDGE | | · · · |
|---------------|---|-----------------|
| · OFFICERS OF | | |
| COURT PRESENT | APPEARANCES - HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 09/04/98 | <u>STATUS HEARING</u> | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick was present for the State. Defendant | 09/28/98 |
| CONNIE | was present being represented by counsel, Washoe County Public | 9:00 a.m. |
| STEINHEIMER | Defender Michael Specchio. | Status Hearing/ |
| DEPT. NO. 4 | Court reviewed the letters and memos between counsel. | Motion for |
| S. Hopper | Counsel Gammick addressed the Court regarding jury questionnaires and | Psych Eval |
| (Clerk) | evidence. Court further reviewed personal profile of Sergeant Sullivan. | / |
| E. Nelson | Counsel Specchio addressed the Court regarding custody status of the | |
| (Reporter) | Defendant at Washoe County Jail/Nevada State Prison. | |
| NOFZU | COURT ORDERED: Defendant shall be incarcerated at the Washoe | |
| | County Jail per Captain Means. | , |
| 088.28 | Counsel Specchio further addressed the Court regarding a psychiatric | • |
| | evaluation of the Defendant. | |
| | COURT ORDERED: Matter continued. Defendant was in custody. | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · |
| E S | | |

CASE_NO. CR98-0516

ı¦

STATE OF NEVADA VS. SLAOSI VANISI

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|----------------------------|--|--------------|
| COURT PRESENT | APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 9/28/98 | STATUS HEARING | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | 11/6/98 |
| CONNIE J | Stanton represented the State. | 3:00 p.m. |
| STEINHEIMER | Defendant was present with counsel, Chief Public Defender Michael | Report on |
| DEPT. NO. 4 | Specchio and Deputy Public Defender Steve Gregory. | Psych. Eval. |
| B. Walker | Respective counsel stipulated to the Defendant's submitting to a | |
| (Clerk) | psychological evaluation. | |
| L. Clarkson | COURT ORDERED: Two (2) Psychiatrists or Psychologists appointed to | • • |
| (Reporter) | evaluate the Defendant. | |
| | Matter continued. | |
| | Defendant in custody. | |
| | | • |
| 0000 0000 0000 | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | <u>.</u> |
| | | |
| 1 == 252 | | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TTTLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI

DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF

| NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
|---|--|
| REPORT ON PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION REPORTS | |
| District Attorney Richard Gammick represented the State. Defendant | |
| | |
| • • • • • | |
| 0 | |
| | · . |
| | |
| | |
| admitted as exhibits. | · |
| Exhibits A and B marked and admitted into evidence. | |
| Discussion ensued regarding the Defendant obtaining private counsel or representing himself. Defendant made statement. | |
| COURT ORDERED matter set for hearing on November 10, 1998, at 11:00 a.m. Defendant must speak with counsel Specchio to weigh his options. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | |
| | REPORT ON PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION REPORTS District Attorney Richard Gammick represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public Defender, Michael Specchio. Court noted receipt of reports from psychiatrists; advised counsel of findings set forth therein. COURT ENTERED ORDER finding defendant competent to stand trial and to aid counsel in preparation of that trial pursuant to statute. Court further entered ORDER that the Psychiatric Reports be marked and admitted as exhibits. Exhibits A and B marked and admitted into evidence. Discussion ensued regarding the Defendant obtaining private counsel or representing himself. Defendant made statement. COURT ORDERED matter set for hearing on November 10, 1998, at 11:00 a.m. Defendant must speak with counsel Specchio to weigh his options. |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TTTLE: THE STATE

TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI

| DATE, JUDGE | | |
|-------------|--|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF | | |
| COURT PRESE | NTAPPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 11/10/98 | HEARING REGARDING COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public | , |
| STEINHEIMER | Defender, Michael Specchio. | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | 11:00 a.m. Court convened. | |
| M. Stone | Defendant indicated to the Court that he wants Public Defender Specchio | |
| (Clerk) | and his Office to represent him at trial. | |
| E. Nelson | Upon request of State's counsel, COURT FURTHER advised the defendant | |
| (Reporter) | that any future requests for new counsel or to represent himself will be | |
| 8883H | denied, if those requests are made solely to continue the trial and/or if they | - |
| | are not made timely prior to trial. | |
| | 11:10 a.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the | |
| | sheriff. | • |
| | · | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | - |

CASE NO. CR98-0516

TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI

| OFFICERS OF | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
|--|--|-----------|
| 11/24/98 HONORABLE CONNIE STEINHEIMER DEPT, NO.4 | <u>PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS</u> District Attorney Richard A. Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public Defender Michael Specchio and Deputy Public Defender Steve Gregory. | |
| M. Stone (Clerk) D- Phipps | Discussion ensued regarding the order in which the Motions shall be heard. | |
| C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | Respective counsel Gammick and Specchio made statement regarding the use of Jury Questionnaires and Individual Voir Dire. COURT ORDERED that decision on this Motion shall be held in abeyance pending receipt by the Court of a Recommendation being prepared by a Jury Consultant. COURT FURTHER ORDERED matter set for hearing on December 10, 1998, at 10:00 a.m. | |
| Auntalia E VS SIAGS F VS SIAGS F VS SIAGS County Not County Not County | Upon agreement of respective counsel, Motion to Avoid Death Penalty is submitted for decision on the pleadings, without oral argument. | · · · · |
| | Motion to preclude photographs and T.V. Reporters by defense counsel Specchio; presented argument. COURT ENTERED ORDERED denying the Motion to preclude photographs and T.V. Reporters in the Courtroom, as long as they abide by the rules and regulations set out by the Court. If there is any violations of those rules, the photographers shall be removed from the Courtroom. COURT FURTHER ENTERED ORDER that reporters, with or without cameras may not congregate outside the Courtroom, or be on the floor, with the exception of the one in the Courtroom. | |
| | Jeff Riolo called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 marked and offered by State's counsel Stanton; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Riolo further direct examined; cross-examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined; examined by the Court; excused. | |
| • | Exhibits 4A-4J marked. | |
| | Dr. Ellen Clark called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| , * | Exhibit 4A withdrawn. | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516

TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI

PAGE TWO

| | DATE, JUDGE. | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| | OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| | 11/24/98 | CONTINUED PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS | |
| • | D. Phipps (Reporter) | Witness Clark further direct examined. | |
| | | Exhibit 5 marked as demonstrative by State's counsel. | |
| | | Witness Clark further direct examined; cross-examined; excused. | |
| | | Motion in Limine regarding Gruesome Photographs by defense counsel Specchio; presented argument; objection and argument by State's counsel Stanton. Upon finding the photographs necessary during the testimony of the Pathologist, COURT ENTERED ORDER denying Motion and allowing the use of pictures marked as Exhibits 4B - 4 J during trial. | |
| | | Request to Use the Doar System to Display exhibits during trial, including photographs, by State's counsel Stanton; presented argument; objection and argument by defense counsel. Upon finding that the photographs were not any more gruesome when used with the Doar System, COURT ENTERED ORDER granting request. Defense counsel's Objection is noted for the record and shall continue through trial. | |
| | | Motion in Limine regarding the State's DNA Expert by defense counsel; presented argument; objection and argument by State's counsel. COURT took matter under advisement and ORDERED counsel to provide copies of cases sited to the Law Clerk. | |
| | | Discussion ensued regarding the Motion in Limine regarding the Arrest of the Defendant. | |
| | | Exhibit A marked. | |
| | | COURT ORDERED that any statements against interest made by the | |

ſ

12:00 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff.

Defendant, stated in a report or not in a report, must be disclosed in a hearing outside the presence of the jury to determine it's admissibility.

1:40 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant

CASE NO. CR98-0516

TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI

PAGE THREE

| | DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| | COURT PRESE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | <u>CONT'D TO</u> |
| , | 11/24/98 | CONTINUED PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS | |
| | D. Phipps | present. | 12/ 10/98 |
| | (Reporter) | | 1 0:00 a.m. |
| | | Motion in limine regarding prior bad acts and any gang affiliations of the Defendant by defense counsel; presented argument; argument by State's counsel Stanton. Upon agreement by respective counsel, COURT ORDERED that testimony of Vienga Kinney-Kinney be redacted to exclude any reference to gang activity; and if necessary, the State may lead this witness during direct examination. COURT FURTHER ORDERED that the prior bad act regarding the religion of Mormons, be held in abeyance pending progress of trial. | Status Hrg Re: Jury Question- naire |
| | | Court reviewed the Motions filed and decided with counsel. | |
| | | Upon Motion by State's counsel and no objection by defense counsel, COURT ORDERED exhibits 4B-4J and 5 released to the State once copied by the Clerk of the Court. | |

Case No. CR98-0516

STATE OF NEVADA -VS- SIAOSI VANISI

| DATE, JUDGE | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| OFFICERS OF | | |
| COURT PRESENT | APPEARANCES - HEARING | <u>CONT'D TO</u> |
| 12/10/98 | MOTION FOR JURY QUESTIONNAIRE | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick was present for the State. Defendant | |
| CONNIE | was present being represented by counsel, Public Defender Michael | |
| STEINHEIMER | Specchio. | |
| DEPT. NO. 4 | Counsel Specchio addressed the Court regarding the seating arrangements | |
| S. Hopper | of counsel and the Defendant during trial. Counsel Specchio further | |
| (Clerk) | addressed the Court regarding trial materials and the possibility of such. | |
| L. Clarkson | materials remaining in the courtroom overnight during trial weeks. | |
| (Reporter) | COURT ORDERED: All of counsels' trial materials may remain in the | |
| T S BE SHE | courtroom. | |
| | Court addressed the security issue of firearms being checked in with the | |
| | bailiff, Deputy Max Brocaw, when entering the courtroom. | |
| | Court further addressed counsel regarding pre-trial jury questionnaire and | |
| | the approved Jury Questionnaire to be submitted to the Jury | |
| | Commissioner by January 4th, 1999 at 1:30 p.m. | |
| | Court reviewed the jury confidentiality process and policy in Department | |
| | Four (4). | |
| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Court further reviewed its ruling regarding the Motion in Limine as to the | |
| | District Attorney's DNA expert. | |
| | Counsel Gammick addressed the Court regarding Exhibits 5 and 4 (b | |
| | through j); said exhibits were returned to Clerk Hopper to be returned to | |
| | Exhibit Clerk Dick Duer. Counsel Gammick further addressed the Court | |
| | regarding the photos presented to the Public Defender pursuant to | |
| | discovery. | |
| | Counsel Specchio addressed the Court regarding the security of the | |
| | Defendant and his restraints. | |
| | Respective counsel further addressed the Court regarding the Jury | |
| | Questionnaire; respective counsel shall prepare and submit their respective | |
| | questions for approval by Wednesday, December 16th, 1998. | |
| | SO APPROVED. Defendant was in custody. | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

.

CASE NO. CR98-0516

TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|------------------------------|--|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 12/30/98 | TELEPHONIC HEARING REGARDING JURORS | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. Public Defender Michael Specchio | |
| STEINHEIMER | represented the Defendant, who was not present. | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Court informed respective counsel of conflict with Juror Haskell. Upon no | |
| . M. Stone | objection by respective counsel, COURT ENTERED ORDER releasing Juror | |
| (Clerk) | Haskell from reporting for Jury Service on January 4, 1999. | |
| D. Phipps | Further discussion ensued regarding Jury Selection. Upon waiver and no | |
| (Reporter) | objection by State's counsel, COURT ENTERED ORDER allowing the | , |
| | Defendant to waive his presence at the January 4, 1999, hearing. Upon | |
| | request of State's counsel, the Defendant shall place his waiver on the | |
| | record at the January 7, 1999. | |
| | Upon discussion regarding the marking the exhibits for the trial, COURT | |
| 00- 1151 | ENTERED ORDER that State's counsel provide a list of exhibits to the Clerk | |
| | to assist in marking the exhibits and that exhibits shall be marked on | |
| | January 8, 1999, at 2:00 p.m. | |
| | | |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | |

.

| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 1/4/99 | VOIR DIRE (JURY SELECTION) | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. Defendant not present, appearance | |
| STEINHEIMER | previously waived, being represented by counsel, Public Defender Michael | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Specchio and Deputy Public Defenders Steve Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. | |
| M. Stone | 10:10 a.m. Court convened. | |
| (Clerk) | First panel of prospective jurors present. | |
| D. Phipps | Court addressed prospective jurors. Court personnel and respective counsel | |
| (Reporter) | introduced to the jury panel. | |
| HIN BOOM | Roll taken of prospective jurors. Upon prospective juror Palmer having her | |
| | children present, Prospective juror Palmer sworn by Court Clerk and excused | |
| 000020820- 10:04 10:05 10:05 | to complete Special Juror Instruction "A" and Special Juror Questionnaire. | |
| | Further roll taken of prospective jurors; all present except jurors Crook, | |
| | Dallmann, Fratini, Garaventa, E. Green, Haskell, Latimore, Loring, Morancy, | |
| | Munns, O'Daye, Polikalas, Ramsey and Saputo. Prospective jurors | |
| | Armentrout and Domingo also present, although names not initially called in roll. | - |
| SIROS Courty Unity | | |
| | Upon request, Juror Null sworn by affirmation. All prospective jurors sworn as to their qualifications to serve as trial jurors. | |
| | First panel of prospective jurors excused to complete Special Juror Instruction | - |
| | "A" and Special Juror Questionnaire. | |
| | 10:30 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 10:45 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel present. | ł |
| | Second panel of prospective jurors present. | |
| | Court addressed prospective jurors. Court personnel and respective counsel | |
| | introduced to the jury panel. | |
| | Roll taken of prospective jurors; all present except jurors Bacigalupi, Brown, | |
| | Ebright, Gibson, Malone, Meluis, Papas, Reinbold, Richter, Roberts, Rogers, | • |
| | Sheets, Sowers and Turnage. Prospective juror E. Green also present, | |
| | although name was initially called with first panel of prospective jurors. | |
| | Upon request, Juror Judson sworn by affirmation. | |
| | All prospective jurors sworn as to their qualifications to serve as trial jurors. | |
| | First panel of prospective jurors excused to complete Special Juror Instruction | |
| | "A" and Special Juror Questionnaire. | |
| | Defense counsel Gregory and Specchio set forth Defendant's waiver of right | |
| | to be present. | |
| | 11:03 a.m. Juror Palmer re-entered Courtroom. Upon discussion at the bench | |
| | and no objection by respective counsel, COURT ENTERED ORDER excusing | |
| | juror Palmer. 11:05 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | · | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D T(|
| 1/7/99 | CONTINUED VOIR DIRE (IURY SELECTION) | ŕ |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. Defendant not present, appearance | |
| STEINHEIMER | | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Specchio and Deputy Public Defenders Steve Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. | |
| M. Stone | 8:40 a.m. Court convened. | |
| (Clerk) | Third panel of prospective jurors present. | |
| E. Nelson | Court addressed prospective jurors. Court personnel and respective counsel | |
| (Reporter) | introduced to the jury panel. | |
| | Roll taken of prospective jurors. | |
| | All prospective jurors sworn as to their qualifications to serve as trial jurors. | |
| | Third panel of prospective jurors excused to complete Special Juror | |
| | Instruction "A" and Special Juror Questionnaire. | |
| | 8:50 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| ARN VER | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| CASE NO. CR98-0516 | TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "Pe", a.k.a. |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <u>"GEORGE"</u> |

.

. .

1

| | DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|----------|
| | COURT PRESE | | CONTD TO |
| | 1/8/99 | PRE-TRIAL HEARING | |
| | HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney Gammick | |
| | CONNIE | represented the State. Defendant not present with counsel, Public | |
| | STEINHEIMER | Defendant Michael Specchio and Deputy Public Defenders Steve Gregory | |
| | DEPT. NO.4 | and Jeremy Bosler. | |
| | M. Stone | Defendant's appearance waived. | ļ |
| | (Clerk) | Discussion ensued regarding Jurors Peak and Agee (a.k.a. Lyle). Upon no | |
| | K. Ramage | objection, COURT ENTERED ORDER releasing Jurors Peak and Agee. | |
| | | · . | |
| • | | ······································ | |
| | 6 5 8 F | | |
| | | | 1 |
| | | | , |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | * +· | | |

-

.

•

•

•

.

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE ONE - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | <i>,</i> |
|--|--|----------------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONTD TO |
| 1/11/99 | | waived right |
| HONORABLE CONNIE STEINHEIMER | 9:50 a.m. Court convened in chambers with respective counsel Stanton and Bosler. Discussion ensued regarding voir dire questions. 10:00 a.m. Court recessed. | to be present. |
| DEPT. NO.4 M. Stone (Clerk) | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public Defender Michael Specchio, and Deputy Public Defenders, Steve Gregory | . |
| E. Nelson (Reporter) | and Jeremy Bosler. 10:15 a.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury. Court canvassed Defendant regarding waiver of presence when Court | |
| 10.20820-098 15 Pages MIN MIN THHITT | excused Jurors Lyle (a.k.a. Agee) and Peak and during side bars during the course of the trial. Defendant waive right. Discussion ensued regarding security of the Courtroom. | ţ |
| VANIS1 (D4) | 10:30 a.m. Court recessed. 10:55 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Prospective jurors present. | |
| 6 5 SIAOSI V County County | Court personnel, respective counsel and defendant introduced to the jury panel. | |
| CR98-051 CR98-051 District Mashoe C | Roll taken of prospective jurors; all present. All prospective jurors sworn as to their qualifications to serve as trial jurors. Thirty-Six names drawn (Mueller, Guiler, Aguirre, Adamson, Hill, Hinxman, Kominek, Lafond, Burkholder, Sepahpour, Saputo, Judson, Gerbetz, Thomas, Getz, Stephenson, Barger, Arlitz, Lyman, Viernes, Kenny, Cadena, Frandsen, L. Jones, Battaglia, Furrie, Timmerman, Estey, Kizis, Imasaki, Turnage, Geach, Frankel, Booth, Burke and Dunn); jurors seated and generally questioned by the Court. | |
| | 12:20 p.m. Jury excused. Seated Jury Panel to Department 9's Courtroom. Un- selected Jury Panel to Department 3's Courtroom. Outside the presence of the jury, Defense counsel Bosler objection to the jury selection process. State's counsel Stanton made statement. COURT | |
| • • | ENTERED ORDER denying Motion regarding Jury Selection, with leave to renew at another time. 12:33 p.m. Court proceeded with individual voir dire. | |
| | Juror Aguirre entered; examined by Court, State's counsel Stanton and Defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused for cause. | |
| | Court addressed defendant about his presence during the excusing of the panels for lunch and roll call following the lunch. Defendant and counsel | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE TWO - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | |
|------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| COURT PRESE | | <u>CONT'D TO</u> |
| 1/11/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED JURY TRIAL</u> Juror Geach entered; examined by Court; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused for cause. | |
| | 12:46 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. 12:50 p.m. Court reconvened in Department 3 with panel of un-selected jurors. Court excused panel for lunch to return at 1:45 p.m. in Department 9. 12:58 p.m. Court reconvened in Department 9 with panel of selected jurors. Court excused panel for lunch to return at 1:45 p.m. in Department 3's juryroom. 2:00 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 1:45 p.m. Court reconvened in Department 3's juryroom; roll called, all 34 present of selected jury panel. 1:50 p.m. Court reconvened in Department 9; roll called, all present of un- selected jury panel, except Meidell. | |
| | 2:00 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present in Department 4. Outside the presence of the jury, Court informed respective counsel of missing juror. | |
| | Juror J. Thomas entered; examined by Court and State's counsel Stanton; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused for cause. | |
| | 2:08 Juror Meidell present and excused to Department 9. | |
| | Juror Burke entered; examined by Court and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; traversed by State's counsel Stanton; further examined by Court; challenge denied. | |
| | Juror Getz entered; examined by Court and State's counsel Stanton; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused for cause. | |
| | Juror Adamson entered; examined by Court, State's counsel Stanton and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. | |

DATE, JUDGE PAGE THREE - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) OFFICERS OF <u>COURT PRESENT</u> <u>APPEARANCES-HEARING</u> <u>CONT'D TO</u> 1/11/99 <u>IURY TRIAL</u>

E. Nelson (Reporter)

Juror Hill entered; examined by Court, State's counsel Stanton and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge renewed by State's counsel Specchio; excused.

Juror Sepahpour entered; examined by Court and State's counsel Stanton challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused.

Juror Gerbatz entered; examined by Court, State's counsel Stanton and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied.

Juror Cadena entered; examined by Court, State's counsel Stanton and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied.

Outside the presence of the jury, Motion for Court to Modify Jury Selection by defense counsel Bosler granted. The Defense would be allowed to examine panel before the State.

Juror Battaglia entered; examined by Court and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; traversed and objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied.

Juror Kizis entered; examined by Court and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied.

Juror Turnage entered; examined by Court and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; traversed and no objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused.

Juror Kenny entered; examined by Court; stipulation to excuse by respective counsel Stanton and Bosler; excused.

3:45 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. 4:07 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Entire prospective panel present.

| DATE,JUDGE | PAGE FOUR - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 1/11/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Law Clerk Davis and Jury Commissioner Lopshire indicated that no jurors left their assigned room since roll was last taken. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury panel. | |
| | Eight additional names drawn. Frank X. Sotero, John W. Auld, Bo H. Larsson, Mary H. Schultz, Grace B. Mills, Randall A. McCargar, Alan R. Golbov and Stephen L. Sowers called, seated and generally examined by Court. Jury panel further generally examined by Court. | |
| | Upon discussion at the bench and no objection by respective counsel, COURT excused Jurors Schulz, Larsson, Burkeholder, Saputo, Judson, Timmerman, Sowers, Burke and Auld. | , , |
| | Nine additional names drawn. James G. Rumbaugh, Preston, E. O'Daye, George A. Decker, Rayetta Buckley, Rebeka A. Hilliary, John J. Giordano, Doris E. Roberts, Rhonda D. Pembrook and Peter G. Thomas called. | |
| - ¹ - | 5:13 p.m. Court admonished and excused panel until January 12, 1999, at 9:30 a.m. Outside the presence of the jury, respective counsel invoked the rule of exclusion with the exception of the witnesses to be used in penalty phase of the trial. If any person display any emotion whatsoever during the course of the trial, they will be removed from the courtroom and not allowed back in for the duration of the trial. Discussion ensued regarding security. | |
| | EXHIBIT 7 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | · . |
| • | 5:30 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| 1/12/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | CONTINUED IURY TRIAL. District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public Defender Michael Specchio, and Deputy Public Defenders, Steve Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 9:37 a.m. Court reconvened with all prospective jurors present except Juanita | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE FIVE - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | |
|----------------------------|--|------------------|
| COURT PRESE | • | <u>CONT'D TÒ</u> |
| 1/12/99 | CONTINUED JURY TRIAL | |
| E. Nelson (Réporter) | Pierce. Upon the Court being notified that Juror Pierce was hospitalized and no objection by respective counsel, COURT excused juror Pierce. | |
| | Upon discussion at the bench with juror Pembrook, COURT excused Pembrook. | |
| х. • | Another name drawn. Jerome A. Moss called and seated. | |
| . • | Jury panel further generally examined by the Court. | : |
| • • | Juror Rumbaugh challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. | |
| , , | Jury panel further generally examined by Court. | |
| | Juror Giordano excused due to hearing impairment. | |
| | Another name drawn. William V. King called, seated and generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Jury panel further generally examined by Court. | |
| · , | Juror Hilliary challenged for cause by State's counsel Stanton; objection by defense counsel Bosler; challenge granted; excused. | |
| | Another name drawn. Anita J. Cason called, seated and generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Upon discussion at the bench, Juror Cason excused. | |
| | Another name drawn. Paul E. Damoth called, seated and generally examined by the Court. | |
| · · · | Upon direction of the Court, State's counsel Stanton specifically examined the panel. | • |
| · · · | Juror Mills challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted. | N |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE SIX - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 1/12/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Juror Buckley challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted; excused. | |
| , | Juror Gerbatz challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel; challenge denied. | |
| | Two additional name drawn. Menandro H. Domingo and Robin W. Sheets called, seated and generally examined by Court. | |
| | Juror Domingo challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; stipulation by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted; excused. | |
| | Another name drawn. Sandra J. Ellin called, seated and generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Juror Ellin challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; traversed and no objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted; excused. | · , |
| | Another name drawn. Gordon D. Berg called, seated and generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Jury panel further specifically examined by State's counsel. | |
| | Juror Rumbaugh challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. | , |
| | 11:47 a.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, defense counsel set forth arguments for certain challenges. | |
| | Juror Mueller challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; stipulation by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted; excused. | |
| . <u>.</u> | Juror Rumbaugh challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. | |
| | Court informed respective counsel of voir dire questions that could be asked during specific voir dire. | |
| | Exhibit C marked by the Court. | |
| | | • • |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE SEVEN - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | |
|------------------------------------|---|------------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 1/12/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED IURY TRIAL</u> 12:25 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. 1:13 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Clerk called roll; all prospective jurors present. Based upon hearing outside the presence of the jury, Court excused Jurors Mueller and Rumbaugh. | |
| , | Two additional names drawn. Shelby A. Denton and Julie C. Springer called, seated and generally examined by the Court. Panel further specifically examined by State's counsel Stanton. Upon direction of the Court, Defense counsel Bosler specifically examined the panel; passed for cause. Court thanked and excused un-selected jurors. | |
| | Respective counsel exercised, silently, 8 peremptory challenges each as to the jury and 2 peremptory challenges each as to alternate jury. | |
| | EXHIBIT D marked by the Court. | |
| | The following twelve persons and four alternates were sworn to try this case: | |
| | Shelby Y. DentonCheryl L. KominekGeorge A. DeckerDaniel M. GerbatzWilliam V. KingGordon D. BergJames A. StephensonVictoria A. LymanBenilda G. ViernesRandall A. McCargarLawrence L. JonesDoris E. Roberts.Alternates: Jerome A. Moss, Susan M. Frankel, Peter G. Thomas andJames H. Dunn | |
| • | 5:30 p.m. Jury admonished; said admonishment administered prior to each recess throughout the trial. Jury excused. Outside the presence of the jury, discussion ensued regarding the schedule of trial. Court further instructed all persons present in the audience, that there may not be any visible reactions to any testimony during the trial. | <i>۱</i> . |
| | 5:35 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE EIGHT - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | |
|--|--|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 1/13/99 HONORABLE | CONTINUED IURY TRIAL District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| CONNIE J. STEINHEIMER DEPT. NO. 4 | and Jeremy Bosler. | |
| M. Stone (Clerk) E. Nelson (Reporter) | 11:15 a.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury. Motion for Mistrial or in the alternative, Motion for Change of Venue by defense counsel Bosler; presented argument; objection and argument by State's counsel Stanton. COURT ENTERED ORDER denying the Motion for Mistrial and for Change of Venue. | |
| | Motion to use transparency during opening statement by defense counsel Bosler; objection and argument by State's counsel Stanton. COURT ENTERED ORDER allowing the use of the transparency with the words redacted off. | ۰. ۲ |
| | EXHIBIT 38 marked and offered by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel; ordered admitted into evidence. | £ . |
| ì | Exhibit 37 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| • | 11:30 a.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Court Clerk read the Information aloud and indicated that pleas of not guilty had previously been entered by the defendant. | |
| | State's counsel Gammick presented opening statement. Defense counsel Bosler presented opening statement. | |
| | 11:56 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | |
| | 1:30 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Brenda Martinez called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | • |
| | EXHIBIT 6 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Martinez further direct examined; excused. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE NINE - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------|
| COURT PRESE | | <u>CONTD TO</u> |
| 1/13/99 | CONTINUED IURY TRIAL | |
| E. Nelson | Carl Smith called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| (Reporter) | ***Record reflected identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | , |
| | EXHIBITS 12 and 13 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| · · · | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| • | EXHIBIT 17A offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | _ |
| | EXHIBIT 15A offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 16A and 16B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | , |
| | EXHIBIT 18 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| · . | EXHIBITS 14A and 14B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined; cross-examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined. | · |
| | EXHIBITS 24A and 24B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by | |
| | | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE TEN - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | |
|----------------------------|---|----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONTD TO |
| 1/13/99 | CONTINUED JURY TRIAL | |
| E. Nelson | defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| (Reporter) | Witness Smith further redirect examined; excused. | |
| | 3:00 p.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, defense Bosler made statement regarding people in the audience making faces at the defendant. 3:05 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 3:25 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. | |
| Ň | Andrew Ciocca called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBITS 17B and 17C offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness further direct examined; cross-examined by defense counsel Specchio; excused. | - |
| | Willie Stephenson called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Stipulation entered regarding this witness being a fingerprint expert. | |
| | Witness Stephenson further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 19 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| · , | Witness Stephenson further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 17D marked by State's counsel Gammick. | |
| | Witness Stephenson further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 17D offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Stephenson cross-examined by defense counsel Specchio; rédirect examined; excused. | |
| | | |
| | | |

,

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE ELEVEN - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | NTAPPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 1/13/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED IURY TRIAL</u> Mele Maveni called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; cross- examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined; recross- examined; excused. | |
| | 5:00 p.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | |
| l/14/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED IURY TRIAL</u> District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public Defender Michael Specchio, and Deputy Public Defenders, Steve Gregory | |
| | and Jeremy Bosler. 10:25 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Sateki Taukieuvea called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 36 offered by State's counsel Stanton; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Taukieuvea further direct examined. | |
| | (10:50 a.m. Steve Hopper - Court Clerk entered) | |
| | Witness Taukieuvea further direct examined; cross-examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined; recross-examined; excused subject to recall. | |
| | 11:55 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. 1:40 p.m. (M. Stone - Court Clerk) Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and defendant present. | |
| . / | Motion to endorse additional witness John Oakes, Esq., by State's counsel Stanton; presented argument; defense counsel stood moot. COURT ENTERED ORDER granting motion. | |
| | Upon request, COURT will give the jury an Instruction regarding stipulations. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE TWELVE - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 1/14/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED IURY TRIAL</u> Defense counsel Specchio did not wish a continuance due to the newly endorsed witness. | |
| | 1:45 p.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | John Oakes called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; excused. | |
| | Maria Louis called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; cross- examined by defense counsel Specchio; excused. | |
| | Priscilla Endemann called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 20A and 20B offered by State's counsel Stanton; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Endemann further direct examined; excused. | |
| | Manaoui Peaua called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; cross- examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined; excused. | |
| | 3:04 p.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. 3:34 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Metuisel Tauveli called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 8 offered by State's counsel Stanton; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | . Witness Tauveli further direct examined; cross-examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined; excused. | |
| | Jim Duncan called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | , |
| | EXHIBIT 24D offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | | |

+ .N

1

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE THIRTEEN - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | • , |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| l/14/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED IURY TRIAL</u> Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 21 offered by State's counsel Gammick; based on stipulation by defense counsel Specchio and discussion at bench, ordered admitted into evidence. | , |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 23A and 23B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | · |
| | EXHIBIT 11 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 25 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 29A and 29B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| ς. | EXHIBITS 15B, 15C and 15D offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 22 and 26 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | - |
| | EXHIBITS 16, 16A and 16B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection | ł |
| | | |

PAGE FOURTEEN - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF APPEARANCES-HEARING COURT PRESENT CONT'D TO 1/14/99 CONTINUED JURY TRIAL E. Nelson (Reporter) by State's counsel; ordered admitted into evidence. 5:00 p.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, Defendant canvassed by the Court regarding a stipulation to be read to the jury and jury instruction. Defense counsel opposed the use of a jury instruction at this time, but had no objection to a jury instruction regarding stipulations at the time of all the instructions. 5:05 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. 1/15/99 CONTINUED JURY TRIAL District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David E. Nelson Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public (Reporter) Defender Michael Specchio, and Deputy Public Defenders, Steve Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 10:10 a.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury. State's counsel Gammick made statement regarding statement made to police by Michelle Chaitra. EXHIBIT A marked by State's counsel Gammick. State's counsel made further statement. EXHIBIT B and C marked by State's counsel Gammick. State's counsel made further statement. Motion for Mis-Trial by Defense counsel Specchio; presented argument.; response by State's counsel Gammick. Defense counsel Specchio stipulated that a Mis-Trial would not create a double jeopardy issue for the Defendant. Defendant canvassed regarding a potential Mis-Trial and double jeopardy. Upon finding a manifest necessity, COURT ENTERED ORDER granting the Defense's Motion for Mis-Trial. 10:40 a.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury.

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE FIFTEEN - CORRECTED MINUTES (3/29/99) | |
|-------------|--|---------------|
| OFFICERS OF | | |
| COURT PRESE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 1/15/99 | CONTINUED IURY TRIAL | |
| E. Nelson | Court informed jury panel of Mis-Trial. | 1/19/99 |
| (Reporter) | 10:45 a.m. Jury excused. | 10:00 a.m. |
| | COURT ORDERED respective counsel to return on January 19, 1999, at 10:00 | Hrg to Re-Set |
| | a.m. to re-set the matter for trial. | Trial |
| | 10:55 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| . • | | |

.

| DATEJUDGE | PAGE ONE | |
|--|--|-----------------|
| OFFICERS OF | | |
| <u>COURT PRESE</u> 1/11/99 | NTAPPEARANCES-HEARING | <u>CONTD TO</u> |
| HONORABLE | 9:50 a.m. Court convened in chambers with respective counsel Stanton and | |
| CONNIE | Bosler. Discussion ensued regarding voir dire questions. | |
| STEINHEIMER | 10:00 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| DEPT. NO.4 M. Stone | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public | |
| (Clerk) | Defender Michael Specchio, and Deputy Public Defenders, Steve Gregory | |
| E. Nelson | and Jeremy Bosler. | |
| (Reporter) | 10:15 a.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury. | |
| 200 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 9 | Court canvassed Defendant regarding waiver of presence when Court excused Jurors Lyle (a.k.a. Agee) and Peak and during side bars during the | |
| | course of the trial. Defendant waive right. | |
| 999000 11 (504) | Discussion ensued regarding security of the Courtroom. | |
| | 10:30 a.m. Court recessed. 10:55 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. | |
| | Prospective jurors present. | |
| | Court personnel, respective counsel and defendant introduced to the jury | |
| | ponel. Dell'tale a statut de la sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub- | * |
| | Roll taken of prospective jurors; all present. All prospective jurors sworn as to their qualifications to serve as trial jurors. Thirty-Six names drawn | |
| Χ., . | (Mueller, Guiler, Aguirre, Adamson, Hill, Hinxman, Kominek, Lafond, | |
| | Burkholder, Sepahpour, Saputo, Judson, Gerbetz, Thomas, Getz, Stephenson, | |
| | Barger, Arlitz, Lyman, Viernes, Kenny, Cadena, Frandsen, L. Jones, Battaglia, | |
| | Furrie, Timmerman, Estey, Kizis, Imasaki, Turnage, Geach, Frankel, Booth, Burke and Dunn); jurors seated and generally questioned by the Court. | |
| | 12:20 p.m. Jury excused. Seated Jury Panel to Department 9's Courtroom. Un- | |
| | selected Jury Panel to Department 3's Courtroom. | |
| | Outside the presence of the jury, Defense counsel Bosler objection to the jury selection process. State's counsel Stanton made statement. COURT | |
| | ENTERED ORDER denying Motion regarding Jury Selection, with leave to | |
| ~ | renew at another time. | |
| | 12:33 p.m. Court proceeded with individual voir dire. | |
| | Juror Aguirre entered; examined by Court, State's counsel Stanton and | |
| | Defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; | |
| | objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused for cause. | |
| | Court addressed defendant about his presence during the excusing of the | |
| | panels for lunch and roll call following the lunch. Defendant and counsel | |
| | waived right to be present. | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS O | | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------|
| <u>COURT PRESI</u> | ENT APPEARANCES-HEARING | <u>CONTD T(</u> |
| 1/11/99 | CONTINUED JURY TRIAL | • |
| E. Nelson | Juror Geach entered; examined by Court; challenged for cause by defense | |
| (Reporter) | counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused for cause. | |
| | 12:46 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. 12:50 p.m. Court reconvened in Department 3 with panel of un-selected jurors. Court excused panel for lunch to return at 1:45 p.m. in Department 9. 12:58 p.m. Court reconvened in Department 9 with panel of selected jurors. Court excused panel for lunch to return at 1:45 p.m. in Department 3's juryroom. 2:00 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 1:45 p.m. Court reconvened in Department 3's juryroom; roll called, all 34 | |
| | present of selected jury panel. | |
| | 1:50 p.m. Court reconvened in Department 9; roll called, all present of un- selected jury panel, except Meidell. | |
| | 2:00 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present in Department 4. | |
| | Outside the presence of the jury, Court informed respective counsel of missing juror. | |
| | Juror J. Thomas entered; examined by Court and State's counsel Stanton; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused for cause. | |
| | 2:08 Juror Meidell present and excused to Department 9. | |
| | Juror Burke entered; examined by Court and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; traversed by State's counsel Stanton; further examined by Court; challenge denied. | |
| | Juror Getz entered; examined by Court and State's counsel Stanton; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused for cause. | |
| | Juror Adamson entered; examined by Court, State's counsel Stanton and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE | | |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT D TC |
| 1/11/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Juror Hill entered; examined by Court, State's counsel Stanton and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge renewed by State's counsel Specchio; excused. | · |
| | Juror Sepahpour entered; examined by Court and State's counsel Stanton challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused. | |
| | Juror Gerbatz entered; examined by Court, State's counsel Stanton and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. | |
| | Juror Cadena entered; examined by Court, State's counsel Stanton and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. | |
| | Outside the presence of the jury, Motion for Court to Modify Jury Selection by defense counsel Bosler granted. The Defense would be allowed to examine panel before the State. | |
| | Juror Battaglia entered; examined by Court and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; traversed and objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. | |
| | Juror Kizis entered; examined by Court and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. | |
| | Juror Turnage entered; examined by Court and defense counsel Bosler; challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; traversed and no objection by State's counsel Stanton; excused. | |
| | Juror Kenny entered; examined by Court; stipulation to excuse by respective counsel Stanton and Bosler; excused. | |
| | 3:45 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. 4:07 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Entire prospective panel present. | |
| | | • |

| DATE, JUDGE | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--------|
| OFFICERS OI COURT PRESE | | CONTDT |
| 1/11/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Law Clerk Davis and Jury Commissioner Lopshire indicated that no jurors left their assigned room since roll was last taken. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury panel. | |
| | Eight additional names drawn. Frank X. Sotero, John W. Auld, Bo H. Larsson, Mary H. Schultz, Grace B. Mills, Randall A. McCargar, Alan R. Golbov and Stephen L. Sowers called, seated and generally examined by Court. Jury panel further generally examined by Court. | : |
| | Upon discussion at the bench and no objection by respective counsel, COURT excused Jurors Schulz, Larsson, Burkeholder, Saputo, Judson, Timmerman, Sowers, Burke and Auld. | |
| | Nine additional names drawn. James G. Rumbaugh, Preston, E. O'Daye, George A. Decker, Rayetta Buckley, Rebeka A. Hilliary, John J. Giordano, Doris E. Roberts, Rhonda D. Pembrook and Peter G. Thomas called. | |
| | 5:13 p.m. Court admonished and excused panel until January 12, 1999, at 9:30 a.m. Outside the presence of the jury, respective counsel invoked the rule of exclusion with the exception of the witnesses to be used in penalty phase of the trial. If any person display any emotion whatsoever during the course of the trial, they will be removed from the courtroom and not allowed back in for the duration of the trial. Discussion ensued regarding security. | |
| | EXHIBIT 7 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | 5:30 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| 1/12/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED IURY TRIAL</u> District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public Defender Michael Specchio, and Deputy Public Defenders, Steve Gregory and Jacomy Pagler | · |

and Jeremy Bosler. 9:37 a.m. Court reconvened with all prospective jurors present except Juanita

CONT'D TO

DATE, JUDGE PAGE FIVE OFFICERS OF APPEARANCES-HEARING 1/12/99 CONTINUED IURY TRIAL E. Nelson Pierce. (Reporter) Upon the Court being notified that Juror Pierce was hospitalized and no objection by respective counsel, COURT excused juror Pierce. Upon discussion at the bench with juror Pembrook, COURT excused Pembrook. Another name drawn. Jerome A. Moss called and seated.

Jury panel further generally examined by the Court.

Juror Rumbaugh challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied.

Jury panel further generally examined by Court.

Juror Giordano excused due to hearing impairment.

Another name drawn. William V. King called, seated and generally examined by the Court.

Jury panel further generally examined by Court.

Juror Hilliary challenged for cause by State's counsel Stanton; objection by defense counsel Bosler; challenge granted; excused.

Another name drawn. Anita J. Cason called, seated and generally examined by the Court.

Upon discussion at the bench, Juror Cason excused.

Another name drawn. Paul E. Damoth called, seated and generally examined by the Court.

Upon direction of the Court, State's counsel Stanton specifically examined the panel.

Juror Mills challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted.

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE SIX | · |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D T(|
| 1/12/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Juror Buckley challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted; excused. | |
| | Juror Gerbatz challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel; challenge denied. | |
| | Two additional name drawn. Menandro H. Domingo and Robin W. Sheets called, seated and generally examined by Court. | |
| | Juror Domingo challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; stipulation by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted; excused. | |
| | Another name drawn. Sandra J. Ellin called, seated and generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Juror Ellin challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; traversed and no objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted; excused. | |
| | Another name drawn. Gordon D. Berg called, seated and generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Jury panel further specifically examined by State's counsel. | |
| | Juror Rumbaugh challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. | |
| | 11:47 a.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, defense counsel set forth arguments for certain challenges. | |
| | Juror Mueller challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; stipulation by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted; excused. | |
| | Juror Rumbaugh challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. | |
| | Court informed respective counsel of voir dire questions that could be asked during specific voir dire. | |
| | Exhibit C marked by the Court. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OI | PAGE SEVEN | |
|--|---|-----------------|
| <u>COURT PRESE</u> 1/12/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | | <u>CONTD TO</u> |
| | Two additional names drawn. Shelby A. Denton and Julie C. Springer called, seated and generally examined by the Court. Panel further specifically examined by State's counsel Stanton. Upon direction of the Court, Defense counsel Bosler specifically examined the panel; passed for cause. Court thanked and excused un-selected jurors. | |
| . · | Respective counsel exercised, silently, 8 peremptory challenges each as to the jury and 2 peremptory challenges each as to alternate jury. | |
| | EXHIBIT D marked by the Court. | |
| | The following twelve persons and four alternates were sworn to try this case: | |
| | Shelby Y. DentonCheryl L. KominekGeorge A. DeckerDaniel M. GerbatzWilliam V. KingGordon D. BergJames A. StephensonVictoria A. LymanBenilda G. ViernesRandall A. McCargarLawrence L. JonesDoris E. RobertsAlternates: Jerome A. Moss, Susan M. Frankel, Peter G. Thomas andJames H. Dunn | |
| | 5:30 p.m. Jury admonished; said admonishment administered prior to each recess throughout the trial. Jury excused. Outside the presence of the jury, discussion ensued regarding the schedule of trial. Court further instructed all persons present in the audience, that there may not be any visible reactions to any testimony during the trial. 5:35 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | |
| | | |

ı.

ы**л**.,

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE EIGHT | |
|---|--|----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONTD T(|
| 1/13/99 HONORABLE CONNIE J. STEINHEIMER DEPT. NO. 4 M. Stone (Clerk) E. Nelson (Reporter) | CONTINUED IURY TRIAL District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public Defender Michael Specchio, and Deputy Public Defenders, Steve Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 11:15 a.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury. Motion for Mistrial or in the alternative, Motion for Change of Venue by defense counsel Bosler; presented argument; objection and argument by State's counsel Stanton. COURT ENTERED ORDER denying the Motion for Mistrial and for Change of Venue. Motion to use transparency during opening statement by defense counsel Bosler; objection and argument by State's counsel Stanton. COURT ENTERED ORDER allowing the use of the transparency with the words redacted off. | |
| | EXHIBIT 38 marked and offered by defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel; ordered admitted into evidence. Exhibit 37 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. 11:30 a.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. Court Clerk read the Information aloud and indicated that pleas of not guilty had previously been entered by the defendant. | |
| | State's counsel Gammick presented opening statement. Defense counsel Bosler presented opening statement. | |
| | 11:56 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. 1:30 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Brenda Martinez called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 6 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Martinez further direct examined; excused. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE NINE | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D T(|
| 1/13/99 E. Nelson | <u>CONTINUED IURY TRIAL</u> . Carl Smith called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| (Reporter) | ***Record reflected identification of the Defendant. | , |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 12 and 13 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 17A offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 15A offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 16A and 16B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 18 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 14A and 14B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined; cross-examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 24A and 24B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by | |
| | | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE TEN | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 1/13/99 | CONTINUED JURY TRIAL | |
| E. Nelson (Reporter) | defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further redirect examined; excused. | |
| | 3:00 p.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, defense Bosler made statement regarding people in the audience making faces at the defendant. 3:05 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 3:25 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. | |
| | Andrew Ciocca called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBITS 17B and 17C offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness further direct examined; cross-examined by defense counsel Specchio; excused. | |
| | Willie Stephenson called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Stipulation entered regarding this witness being a fingerprint expert. | |
| | Witness Stephenson further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 19 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Stephenson further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 17D marked by State's counsel Gammick. | |
| | Witness Stephenson further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 17D offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Stephenson cross-examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined; excused. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

,

.

| 01001100.011 | NO COLO ILIMI, IMI DIVILI OL MUVIDI VO, DELODI VIANNA GARA LA AND | al anonat |
|---------------------------|---|-----------|
| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS O | | |
| COURT PRESI | | CONT'D TO |
| 1/13/99 | CONTINUED JURY TRIAL | |
| E. Nelson | Mele Maveni called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; cross- | • |
| (Reporter) | examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined; recross- | |
| | examined; excused. | |
| | | |
| | 5:00 p.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | |
| | | |
| 1/14/99 | <u>CONTINUED JURY TRIAL</u> | |
| E. Nelson | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| (Reporter) | Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public | |
| | Defender Michael Specchio, and Deputy Public Defenders, Steve Gregory | |
| | and Jeremy Bosler. | |
| | 10:25 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. | |
| | Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Sateki Taukieuvea called by State's counsel Stanton, swom and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 36 offered by State's counsel Stanton; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Taukieuvea further direct examined. | |
| | (10:50 a.m. Steve Hopper - Court Clerk entered) | |
| | Witness Taukieuvea further direct examined; cross-examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined; recross-examined; excused subject to recall. | |
| | 11:55 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. | |
| | 1:40 p.m. (M. Stone - Court Clerk) Court reconvened outside the presence of | |
| | the jury with respective counsel and defendant present. | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | Motion to endorse additional witness John Oakes, Esq., by State's counsel Stanton; presented argument; defense counsel stood moot. COURT ENTERED ORDER granting motion. | |
| | Upon request, COURT will give the jury an Instruction regarding stipulations. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE TWELVE | · |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TC |
| 1/14/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED JURY TRIAL</u> Defense counsel Specchio did not wish a continuance due to the newly endorsed witness. | |
| | 1:45 p.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | 1 |
| | John Oakes called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; excused. | • |
| | Maria Louis called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; cross- examined by defense counsel Specchio; excused. | |
| | Priscilla Endemann called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 20A and 20B offered by State's counsel Stanton; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Endemann further direct examined; excused. | |
| | Manaoui Peaua called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; cross- examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined; excused. | |
| | 3:04 p.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. 3:34 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Metuisel Tauveli called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 8 offered by State's counsel Stanton; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Tauveli further direct examined; cross-examined by defense counsel Specchio; redirect examined; excused. | |
| | Jim Duncan called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 24D offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | | |

| | o ooro <u>mide, mid binni or midmini (b. birtobi (mite), diata, 127 a.e.</u> | |
|----------------------------|--|----------|
| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE THIRTEEN | |
| COURT PRESE | | CONTD T(|
| 1/14/99 | CONTINUED IURY TRIAL | · • |
| E. Nelson (Reporter) | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 21 offered by State's counsel Gammick; based on stipulation by defense counsel Specchio and discussion at bench, ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 23A and 23B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 11 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 25 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 29A and 29B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 15B, 15C and 15D offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | • |
| | EXHIBITS 22 and 26 offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by defense counsel Specchio; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 16, 16A and 16B offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE FOURTEEN | |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TC |
| 1/14/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | CONTINUED IURY TRIAL by State's counsel; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | 5:00 p.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, Defendant canvassed by the Court regarding a stipulation to be read to the jury and jury instruction. Defense counsel opposed the use of a jury instruction at this time, but had no objection to a jury instruction regarding stipulations at the time of all the instructions. 5:05 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | |
| 1/15/99 E. Nelson (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED IURY TRIAL</u> District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public Defender Michael Specchio, and Deputy Public Defenders, Steve Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 10:10 a.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury. State's counsel Gammick made statement regarding statement made to police by Michelle Chaitra. | |
| | EXHIBIT A to the Motion for Mis-Trial marked by State's counsel Gammick. | |
| | State's counsel made further statement. | |
| , | EXHIBIT B and C to the Motion for Mis-Trial marked by State's counsel Gammick. | |
| | State's counsel made further statement. | |
| | Motion for Mis-Trial by Defense counsel Specchio; presented argument.; objection and argument by State's counsel Gammick. | |

Defense counsel Specchio stipulated that a Mis-Trial would not create a double jeopardy issue for the Defendant.

Defendant canvassed regarding a potential Mis-Trial and double jeopardy. Upon finding a manifest necessity, COURT ENTERED ORDER granting the Defense's Motion for Mis-Trial.

 $10{:}40\ \text{a.m.}$ Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury.

| | DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | NTAPPEARANCES-HEARING | CONTD TO |
|---|---|--|--------------|
| | 1/15/99 | CONTINUED JURY TRIAL | |
| | E. Nelson | Court informed jury panel of Mis-Trial. | 1/19/99 |
| | (Reporter) | 10:45 a.m. Jury excused. | 10:00 a.m. |
| | | COURT ORDERED respective counsel to return on January 19, 1999, at 10:00 | Hrg to Re-Se |
| | | a.m. to re-set the matter for trial. | Trial |
| | | 10:55 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| - | | | |

| | DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| | COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| | 1/19/99 | HEARING TO RESET TRIAL | |
| | HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | 6/1/99 |
| • | CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public | 9:00 a.m. |
| | STEINHEIMER | Defender Michael Specchio and Deputy Public Defender Steve Gregory. | Pre-Trial |
| | DEPT. NO.4 | Upon discussion regarding trial date, COURT ENTERED ORDER setting | Mtns |
| | M. Stone | the trial for September 7, 1999, for a period of 3 weeks. | |
| | (Clerk) | Discussion ensued regarding the new Rule 250. COURT ORDERED that all | 8/30/99 |
| | K. Bokelmann | parties must comply with the rules as set forth in the new Rule 250 and all | 9/2/99 |
| | (Reporter) | deadlines must be met with the starting date of January 30, 1999, as set | at 10:00 a.m. |
| (= | | forth in the Rule. | Jury Quest. |
| | | Upon discussion, COURT set out the new briefing schedule. COURT | 0.10.100 |
| | 10:00 10:00 10:00 10:00 | FURTHER ORDERED that all new motions must be filed by April 15, 1999. | 9/2/99 11:00 a.m. |
| | | Upon request of respective counsel, COURT ENTERED ORDER that all | Mtn to |
| | 19/19/ | witnesses are held to their original subpoenas. COURT FURTHER ENTERED ORDER that all prior Motions and their | Confirm |
| | | Rulings will remain. | Commi |
| | IST | Upon request by the State and no objection by Defense counsel, COURT | 9/2/99 |
| | | ENTERED ORDER that the tapes marked B and C are released to the | 2:00 p.m. |
| | S C C C C S | State's Investigator for copying and then returned to the Clerk of the Court. | Exhibits |
| | | Discussion ensued regarding discovery, COURT ORDERED all video and | · |
| Ċ | | audio tapes to be copied and provided to the defense, then it is the | 9/7/99 |
| | | Defenses responsibility to check if the transcript matches the actual tape. | 10:00 a.m. |
| | | 11:00 a.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the | Jury Trial |
| | | Sheriff. | |
| ÷ | | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|---|---|----------------|
| COURT PRESE | NTAPPEARANCES-HEARING | CONTD TO |
| 3/19/98 | MOTION TO SET TRIAL | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | 7/23/98 |
| CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public | 4:00 p.m. |
| STEINHEIMER | Defender Michael Specchio and Deputy Public Defender Walter Fey. | Status Conf. |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Upon discussion, COURT ENTERED ORDERED setting the jury trial and | |
| M. Stone | briefing schedule. | 11/23/98 |
| (Clerk) | COURT FURTHER ENTERED ORDER finding all counsel involved qualified to | 10:00 a.m. |
| D. Phipps | try a death penalty case pursuant to Rule 250. | Pre-Trial Mtns |
| | Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | |
| | | 1/7/99 |
| 68 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 | | 9:00 am |
| | | Motion to |
| | | Confirm/Pre- |
| SVI CONTRACTOR | | Trial Motions |
| VAN | | |
| | | 1/11/99 |
| ju su | | 10:00 am |
| | | Jury Trial |
| | | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------|
| COURT PRESE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONTD TO |
| 5/12/99 | IN CHAMBERS REVIEW HEARING | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick represented the State. Defendant not | |
| CONNIE | present being represented by counsel, Deputy Public Defenders Steve | |
| STEINHEIMER | Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Discussion ensued regarding the Defendant's actions at the Washoe | |
| M. Stone | County Jail | |
| (Clerk) | Upon stipulation, COURT ORDERED Defendant to remain at the Nevada | (|
| N. Alexander | State Prison until 6/1/99, at which time the Defendant's Housing shall be re- | |
| (Reporter) | evaluation. | |
| | Respective counsel Gammick and Gregory made further statements. | • |
| | | |
| 1 2003 | | |
| 0000 0000 0000 | · · · | |
| | | |
| | | |

- te s staosi vani t court county

| | DATE, JUDGE DFFICERS OF <u>OURT PRESE</u> /1/99 IONORABLE CONNIE TEINHEIMER DEPT. NO.4 1. Stone Clerk) | ENT APPEARANCES-HEARING <u>PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS</u> District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David L. Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Deputy | CONTD TO 6/23/99 1:15 p.m. Report on Psych. Eval./ Pre-Trl Mtns |
|-------------|---|--|---|
| | Nelson Reporter) | COURT ENTERED ORDER granting the Motion for Psychiatric Evaluation; and appointing two Doctors to evaluate the Defendant. Court informed counsel that any motions could be submitted without oral argument. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | 8 0 8 6 9 0 | · | |
| | 000 000 1/1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | د. |
| | | _ | |
| | | | |
| | | | د. |
| | | | |
| l | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| · | | | · . |
| | - | | |
| | · · · · · | | |
| | × . | ••• | |
| : | | | |
| • | 、· • | | |
| . • | | | • |
| ,- , | -' | | ۰. ۱ |
| • • | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| : | · | | |
| 1 | , , | | , , , , |
| • | | | , |
| `` ' | | | |
| | | | |
| | • • | ang | |
| | · . | | |
| | | | in the second |

| CASE NO. CR9 | 8-0516 <u>TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI</u> | |
|----------------------------|--|----------|
| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE ONE | |
| COURT PRESE | | CONTD TO |
| 6/23/99 | REPORT ON PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION/PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard A. Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| CONNIE | L. Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Public | |
| | Defender, Michael R. Specchio, and Deputies Public Defender Jeremy | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Bosler, | |
| M. Stone | Court noted receipt of Psychiatric Evaluations. Upon no objections, | |
| (Clerk) E. Nelson | COURT ENTERED ORDER finding the Defendant competent to aid and assist counsel in preparation of trial. | |
| (Reporter) | COURT ORDERED Courtroom closed and following proceedings sealed. | |
| | Court Security Detail and Personnel sworn as to the rules of a sealed | . · |
| | hearing. | |
| | Motion to Dismiss Counsel by Defendant; presented argument. Defense | |
| 00000 | counsel Specchio made statement. | |
| | | |
| | EXHIBIT V1 marked by Defense counsel Specchio. | |
| | Defense counsel Specchio made further statement. | ·, |
| | | |
| | Defendant presented further argument. COURT ENTERED ORDER | |
| | denying Motion to Dismiss Counsel. Upon request of Defense counsel Gregory, COURT ENTERED ORDER | |
| | denying any Request for Medication to be Administered to Defendant. If | |
| | the NSP and/or WCJ Medical Personnel examine the Defendant and | |
| ų. | decide it is necessary to medicate the Defendant, Counsel must request a | |
| | hearing. | , |
| | 2:27 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 2:50 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant | |
| | present. Courtroom unsealed. | |
| | Upon submission on the pleadings by respective counsel, COURT took the | |
| | Motion for Additional Peremptory Challenges and the Motion to Declare Adverse Witnesses under advisement. | |
| | Motion for Sequestered Individual Voir Dire by Defense counsel Bosler; | |
| | presented argument; objection and argument by State's counsel Stanton; | |
| | Reply by Defense counsel Bosler. COURT ENTERED ORDER denying | |
| | Motion. | |
| , | Request for Challenges of the Jury Panel to be made outside the presence | |
| | of the Jury made by Defense counsel Bosler denied. | |
| | Motion for Defendant to be transported back to the Washoe County Jail by | |
| | Defense counsel Gregory; present argument; response by State's counsel | |
| | | |

.

,

| | DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE TWO | |
|---|----------------------------|--|------------------------|
| | COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| | 6/23/99 | REPORT ON PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION/PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS | |
| | HONORABLE | Stanton; reply by Defense counsel Gregory. COURT ENTERED ORDER | 8/ 5 /99 |
| • | CONNIE | that the Defendant be transported back to the Washoe County Jail on July | 2:00 p.m. |
| | STEINHEIMER | 15, 1999, where he shall remain until the completion of this case. The | Hearing Re: |
| | DEPT. NO.4 | Washoe County Sheriff has the authority to transport the Defendant back | Press |
| | M. Stone | to the Nevada State Prison, if it is deemed by him that the Defendant is a | |
| | (Clerk) | threat to his facility or that of this community. | |
| | E. Nelson | 3:14 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the | |
| | (Reporter) | sheriff. | |
| | | 3:36 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant | |
| | | present. | |
| | | Motion to Reconsider use of Photographs by State's counsel Gammick; | |
| | | presented argument; no objection by Defense counsel. COURT ENTERED | |
| | | ORDER allowing the use of Exhibits 4B, 4C, 4D, 4G, 4H, 4I, 4J, 4K and 4L; | |
| | | and that the Photographs be displayed on the poster board without the use | |
| | | of the DOAR System, for purposes of Dr. Ellen Clark's Testimony. | |
| | | Upon discussion, COURT ORDERED that counsel brief the issue regarding | |
| | | whether or not the Psychiatric Evaluations should be released to the Press | |
| | | and whether or not the press should be allowed to attend In-Chambers | |
| | | Meetings; and set the matter for hearing. | |
| | | 3:50 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the | |
| | | sheriff. | |
| | | | |

.

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 8/3/99 | HEARING REGARDING MEDICATIONS | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Deputies | |
| STEINHEIMER | Public Defender Steven Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Discussion ensued regarding the Ex-Parte Order for Medication. | |
| M. Stone | Court canvassed Defendant regarding the Voluntariness of taking | |
| (Clerk) | Medication. | |
| K. Yates | Upon withdraw of the Ex-Parte Order by Defense counsel Gregory, COURT | |
| (Reporter) | advised Defense counsel to consider a Release of Information for Dr. Lind | |
| | to release information to the Washoe County Jail Personnel and, if | |
| | requested, Court would visit the issue of medication for the Defendant at a | |
| | later date. | |
| | Upon request by Defense counsel Gregory, COURT ORDERED the | |
| | Courtroom closed and sealed the transcript. | |
| | All personnel admonished regarding the confidentiality of the following | |
| | proceedings. | |
| | Motion for Self Representation by Defendant; presented argument. | |
| | COURT ORDERED Defendant to place the Motion in writing and a hearing | |
| | would be set. | |
| SECOC | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF <u>COURT PRESE</u> 8/10/99 HONORABLE CONNIE STEINHEIMER DEPT. NO.4 M. Stone (Clerk) K. Yates (Reporter) | | CONTD TO 8/11/99 10:00 a.m. Motions Re: Media |
|--|--|---|
| | Defendant made statement regarding statements made on video tape | |
| | Respective counsel Stanton and Gregory made further statements regarding the Motion. COURT took matter under advisement. | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | • | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 8/11/99 | MOTION FOR RELEASE OF PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATIONS | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| CONNE | Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Deputies | |
| STEINHEIMER | | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Inc., represented by counsel, Phillip Bartlett. | |
| M. Stone (Clerk) | COURT ENTERED ORDER denying Motion for Self Representation. | |
| D. Phipps | Request for Stay pending Decision whether or not to file a Writ of | |
| (Reporter) | Mandamus by Defense counsel Gregory; presented argument; objection | |
| (10)011017 | and argument by State's counsel Gammick. COURT ENTERED ORDER | |
| E SEALT | denying Request for Stay. | |
| | Motion for Release of Psychiatric Evaluations by counsel Bartlett; | |
| 0000 | presented argument; objection and argument by State's counsel | |
| | Gammick; Defense counsel Bosler stood on written Opposition; reply by | |
| | counsel Bartlett. | |
| Dan New Dan Participation | COURT took matter under advisement. | · · |
| | Discussion ensued regarding letter requesting a hearing prior to any in | |
| | chambers/closed hearings by Reno Newspapers, Inc. | |
| | Motion for Request to be submitted in writing by Defense counsel Gregory. COURT took motion under advisement. | |
| | Request for a Hearing prior to any In chambers/Closed Hearings by | |
| | counsel Bartlett. Upon issues involved in the Request, COURT ORDERED | |
| | counsel to reduce the request to writing, with responses to follow. If | |
| | requested, Court will allow for a shortened Briefing Schedule. | |
| | 11:00 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| | | |

.

CASE NO. CR98-0516

TTTLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI

| DATE, JUDGE | | |
|-------------|---|------------------|
| OFFICERS OF | | |
| COURT PRESE | | <u>CONT'D TO</u> |
| 8/26/99 | EX-PARTE MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard A. Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David L. | |
| CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Deputies | • |
| STEINHEIMER | | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | 7:00 a.m. Court convened. | |
| M. Stone | Discussion ensued regarding whether or not the Courtroom should be | |
| (Clerk) | sealed for the following proceedings. | |
| E. Nelson | Request for In-Camera Inspection by Defense counsel Gregory; objection | |
| (Reporter) | by State's counsel. | |
| | Motion to continue by Representative Mike Henderson, on behalf of Reno | |
| | Newspaper, Inc. COURT ENTERED ORDER denying Motion to Continue | |
| | upon counsel of record not being present to provide legal basis. | |
| | 7:15 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| BC-5 | 7:21 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. | , |
| | Upon finding that an Ex-Parte Hearing is needed for Defense counsel to set | |
| | forth the ethical consideration for the Motion, COURT ENTERED ORDER | |
| | granting the Request for a sealed hearing. | |
| | | |
| | Court admonished Court Security and personnel as to confidentiality of the following proceedings. | |
| SUCTE | COURTROOM SEALED. | • |
| | COURTROOM SLALLD. | |
| | Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record by Defense counsel Gregory | |
| | and Bosler; presented argument. | |
| | COURT took matter under advisement. | |
| | 8:15 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| | | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516

TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI

| DATE, JUDGE | | |
|-------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| OFFICERS OF | | ì |
| COURT PRESE | NTAPPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 8/30/99 | MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | 9/13/99 |
| CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Deputies | 10:00 a.m. |
| STEINHEIMER | Public Defender Steve Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. | Jury Selection |
| DEPT. NO.4 | COURT ENTERED ORDER denying Motion to Withdraw as Counsel made | |
| . M. Stone | by Defense counsel. COURT FURTHER ENTERED ORDER that the Trial is | 9/20/99 |
| (Clerk) | continued for a period of two weeks for Defense counsel to file documents | 10:00 a.m. |
| E. Nelson | with the Supreme Court of Nevada or be prepared for Trial. | Jury Trial |
| (Reporter) | State's counsel Gammick made statement. COURT FURTHER ORDERED | |
| | that all witnesses are held to their subpoenas. | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | |
| | | |

- 16 DC-99 SIAOSI VRNISI ((t Court 98/30/19 County
 - CR98 STATE Massing MIN

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE ONE | |
|---|---|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF | | CONT'D TO |
| <u>COURT PRESE</u> 9/13/99 | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. Defendant not present, appearance | |
| | previously waived, being represented by counsel, Public Defender Michael | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Specchio and Deputies Public Defender Steve Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. | |
| M. Stone | 9:58 a.m. Court convened outside the presence of the jury. | |
| (Clerk) | Defense counsel Bosler set forth objections to Question Nos. 4 and 9 of the | |
| E. Nelson | Juror Questionnaire. State's counsel Gammick made statement. Upon | |
| (Reporter) | finding that the Defense did not objection to Question No. 4 in the previous | |
| | trial nor was an objection made in a timely fashion, COURT ENTERED | |
| | ORDER denying the Request for Modification of the Juror Questionnaire. | |
| 100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | 10:05 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 10:11 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel present. | |
| De-990 De-900 Contail (C 09/13/19/ | First panel of prospective jurors present. | |
| | Court addressed prospective jurors. Court personnel and respective counsel | |
| 5 Staosi 5 Staosi 5 County County | introduced to the jury panel. | |
| | Roll taken of prospective jurors; all present except jurors Billbao, Easterly, | |
| | Hite, Lombardo, Ohotto, Phillips, Prater, Shutts, Simpson and Vermeys. | |
| | All prospective jurors sworn as to their qualifications to serve as trial jurors. | |
| ്വനവംഭ | First panel of prospective jurors excused to complete Special Juror Instruction "A" and Special Juror Questionnaire. | |
| | 10:20 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 10:36 α.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel present. | |
| | Second panel of prospective jurors present. | |
| | Court addressed prospective jurors. Court personnel and respective counsel | Y. |
| | introduced to the jury panel. | |
| | Roll taken of prospective jurors; all present except jurors Basinger, Doreen, | |
| | Fiechtl, Germano, Leonard, McKittrick, Oberg, Rosa, Salley, Smith and | |
| | Tsatso. | |
| | All prospective jurors sworn as to their qualifications to serve as trial jurors. | |
| | First panel of prospective jurors excused to complete Special Juror Instruction | |
| | "A" and Special Juror Questionnaire. | |
| | 10:50 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 2:20 p.m. Court reconvened in chambers with District Attorney Gammick and Deputy Public Defender Gregory present via telephone. | |
| | Defense counsel Gregory waived Defendant's right to be present. | |
| | Court advised counsel as to issues regarding Juror Boynton's Questionnaire. | |
| | Defense counsel Gregory set forth objection to release of Juror. State's | |
| | counsel Gammick presented non-objection to release of Juror. Upon | |
| | objection by Defense counsel, COURT ENTERED ORDER that Juror Boynton | |
| | | |
| | | |

1

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE TWO | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| OFFICERS OF | ? | |
| COURT PRESE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | <u>CONTD TO</u> |
| 9/13/99 | VOIR DIRE (IURY SELECTION) | |
| HONORABLE | must remain on the Jury Panel. | |
| CONNIE | | |
| STEINHEIMER | | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | | |
| M. Stone | | |
| (Clerk) | | |
| E. Nelson | | |
| (Reporter) | | |
| | | |

.

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------|
| COURT PRESE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONTD TO |
| 9/17/99 | PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS/STATUS HEARING | |
| HONORABLE | District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David | |
| CONNIE | Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Deputies | |
| STEINHEIMER | Public Defender Stephen Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Discussion ensued regarding releasing Jurors. Upon stipulation of | |
| M. Stone | respective counsel, COURT ENTERED ORDER releasing Jurors Boynton, | |
| (Clerk) | Faehling and Kidder. | |
| D. Phipps | Defense counsel Bosler informed the Court that he had completed the | |
| (Reporter) | appropriate CLE Classes for the Rule 250 requirements. | |
| | Discussion ensued regarding the Exhibits. Defense counsel Gregory | |
| | would not stipulate to any evidence being admitted into evidence. | |
| 10,208 | | |
| | EXHIBITS 40 - 43G marked by State's counsel Gammick. | |
| | | |
| | Discussion ensued regarding stipulations entered during the First Trial. | |
| | COURT ORDERED that all previously entered Stipulations shall remain in | |
| | effect unless notified otherwise. | |
| C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | Court responsed | |
| | Court recessed. | |
| | | |

: -

| • | DATE, JUDGE | PAGEONE | |
|-----|--|---|-----------|
| | | | CONTD TO |
| | OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE 9/20/99 HONORABLE CONNIE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING IURY TRIAL District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Deputies Public Defender, Steve Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 9:53 a.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury. Court canvassed Defendant regarding waiver of presence when Court excused Jurors Boynton, Faehling and Kidder and during side bars during the course of the trial. Defendant waive right. Court advised counsel of additional issues with regard to Jurors Carsten, Rameriz, Scrottish and Barrientos. Upon no objections, COURT ENTERED ORDER excusing Jurors Carsten and Rameriz, upon receipt of medical releases. Discussion ensued regarding the lack of Defense Witnesses. Court advised Defense counsel that Robert Bare, Legal Counsel for the State Bar of Nevada, is available to them for advice anytime during this trial. Request of In-Camera Hearing by Defense counsel Gregory. 10:11 a.m. Court reconvened with respective Defense counsel Gregory, Bosler and John Petty present. Defense counsel Petty requested matter sealed. COURT ENTERED ORDER sealing hearing. Defense counsel made statement regarding the representation of the Defendant. Matter unsecided. State's counsel Gammick and Stanton present. Rule of Exclusion invoked. Upon discussion regarding witnesses, COURT ORDERED that all Penalty Phase witnesses and Carolyn and Megham Sullivan are excluded from the Rule of Exclusion. Discussion ensued regarding voi dire questions regarding Aggravators and Mitigators. COURT | CONTID TO |
| , L | | 10:58 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Prospective jurors present. Court personnel, respective counsel and Defendant introduced to the jury panel. Roll taken of prospective jurors; all present except Barrientos and Doiron. | |
| | | All prospective jurors sworn as to their qualifications to serve as trial jurors. Thirty-Six names drawn (James, Kersbergen, Patch, Ziler, N. Jones, | |
| | | | |

C

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE TWO | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/20/99 | IURY TRIAL | <u> </u> |
| SNR (Reporter) | Caramella, Grate, Minassian, Mullins, Ralston, Ashley, Sheahan, Sharkey, Bell, Jones, Grider, Johnson, Ayers, O'Keefe, Kruse, Salais, Buck, S. Butler, Scolari, Hodges, Estes, Tower, Carmichael, Hullin, Petrilak, Magnin, Whitmore, Beers-Diaz, Williams, D. Smith and Webb); jurors seated and generally questioned by the Court. | |
| | Juror Caramella challenged for cause by Defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; examined by the Court; challenge denied. Jury panel further generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Juror Williams challenged for cause by Defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted. | |
| | Another name drawn. Lori T. Frazer called, seated and generally examined by Court. | |
| | Jury panel further generally examined by the Court. Upon stipulation of respective counsel, Court excused Juror N. Jones due to language barrier. | |
| | Another name drawn. Tish A. Kelly called, seated and generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Jury panel further generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Upon no objection by respective counsel, Court excused Juror Caramella due to Husband's scheduled surgery. | |
| | Juror Grate challenged for cause by Defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; examined by the Court; challenge denied. Another name drawn. Nettie Horner called and seated. | |
| | Jury panel further generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Juror Sharkey challenged for cause by Defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted. Another name drawn. William R. Meyers called and seated. | |
| | Jury panel further generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Juror O'Keefe challenged for cause by Defense counsel Bosler; traversed by State's counsel Stanton; examined by the Court; challenge denied. Jury panel further generally examined by the Court. | |
| | Juror Hullin challenged for cause by Defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted. | |
| | Another name drawn. Marria L. Knight called and seated. | |
| | Jury panel further generally examined by the Court. Juror Meyer challenged for cause by Defense counsel Bosler; no objection by | |
| | State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted. | |
| | Another name drawn. Mary E. Callahan called, seated and generally examined by the Court. | |
| | | |

.

| <u> </u> |
|-----------------|
| |
| |
| |
| idant present. |
| |
| Ray. |
| |
| |
| |
| riaz. |
| |
| |
| re. |
| |
| -ll-, |
| ally examined |
| oromined by |
| examined by |
| anton. |
| ; no objection |
| |
| Smith called, |
| Simul conce, |
| anton. |
| examined and |
| |
| anton. |
| tate's counsel |
| ed as to Jurors |
| |
| fcMorran and |
| the Court and |
| |
| |
| ant and Jurors |
| |
| ally examined |
| |
| ; traversed by |
| |
| e V t |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OI | | |
|---|--|----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONTD TO |
| 9/20/99 SNR (Reporter) | IURY TRIAL 4:55 p.m. Jury panel admonished. Court recessed. 5:08 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Court addressed respective counsel regarding an Instruction regarding Mitigating and Aggravating Circumstances. Request to Specifically examined the Jury panel regarding Information received from Outside Resources. Discussion ensued regarding schedule of witnesses and use of peremptory challenges. 5:20 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. | |
| 9/21/99 M. Stone (Clerk) SNR (Reporter) | CONTINUED IURY TRIAL District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton represented the State. Defendant, Siaosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Deputies Public Defender, Stephen Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 10:29 a.m. Court reconvened. Clerk called roll; all present. Court read Instruction regarding Mitigators and Aggravators. Jury panel further specifically examined by Defense counsel Bosler. Juror Grate challenged for cause by Defense counsel Bosler; objection and examination by State's counsel Stanton; challenge denied. Jury panel further specifically examined by Defense counsel Bosler. 12:05 p.m. Jury panel admonished. Court recessed. 1:11 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Clerk called roll; all present. Jury panel further specifically examined Defense counsel Bosler. Jury panel further specifically examined Defense counsel Bosler. 12:05 p.m. Jury panel admonished. Court recessed. 1:11 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Clerk called roll; all present. Jury panel further specifically examined Defense counsel Bosler. Juror O'Keefe challenged for cause by Defense counsel Bosler. Juror O'Keefe challenged for cause by Defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; challenge granted. Another name drawn. Connie E. Ryan called, seated and generally examined by the Court and State's counsel Stanton. Juror Ryan challenged for cause by State's counsel Stanton; no objection by Defense counsel Bosler; challenge granted. Another name drawn. Kevin M. Stevens called, seated and generally examined by the Court and State's counsel Stanton. Jury panel further specifically examined by Defense counsel Bosler. Juror Butler challenged for cause by defense counsel Bosler; examination | |

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE FIVE | |
|--|---|----------|
| | | CONTD TO |
| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF <u>COURT PRESE</u> 9/21/99 SNR (Reporter) | 7 | |
| | the jury and 2 peremptory challenges each as to alternate jury. | |
| | - | |

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE SIX | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| OFFICERS O | F | |
| COURT PRES | ENTAPPEARANCES-HEARING | <u> </u> |
| 9/21/99 | IURY TRIAL | |
| SNR | | |
| (Reporter) | EXHIBIT G marked by the Court. | |

The following twelve persons and four alternates were sworn to try this case:

| Bonnie K. James | Lauren Ziler | Nettie Horner | |
|---|------------------------|------------------|--|
| Shaylene J. Grate | Jeannette L. Minassian | Larry F. Mullins | |
| James D. McMorran | Michael Sheahan | Alice J. Bell | |
| Leslie C. Johnson | James L. Ayers | Robert T. Buck | |
| Alternates: Richard A. Tower, Shaun L. Carmichael, Pete S. Costello | | | |
| and Lori T. Frazier | | | |

5:50 p.m. Jury admonished; said admonishment administered prior to each recess throughout the trial. Jury excused.

Outside the presence of the jury, Defense counsel Bosler set forth objections to Jury panel as sworn.

Motion for Objection to Jury panel and/or Mis-Trial by Defense counsel Bosler; presented argument; objection and argument by State's counsel. COURT ENTERED ORDER denying Motion.

Discussion ensued Motion for Change of Venue.

5:57 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff.

9/22/99 CONTINUED JURY TRIAL District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David SNR Stanton. Defendant, Sigosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Deputies Public (Reporter) Defender, Stephen Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 9:11 a.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury. Court read letter from Juror Ziler. Juror Ziler entered, seated, examined by Court, and excused. Defense counsel Bosler objected to the release of the Juror. State's counsel Stanton had no objection to release of Juror. COURT ENTERED ORDER releasing the Juror from any further service due to the stress creating a serious mental state. Defense counsel Bosler did not have a Motion to Mis-Trial to present. Respective counsel had no objection to Information as shown being read. 9:20 a.m. Court recessed.

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE SEVEN | |
|------------------------------|---|----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONTD TO |
| 9/22/99 SNR (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> 10:13 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. Court Clerk read the Information aloud and indicated that pleas of not guilty had previously been entered by the defendant. | · · |
| | State's counsel Gammick presented opening statement. Defense counsel Gregory waived opening statement. | |
| | Ellen Clark called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | • |
| | EXHIBIT 9 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Clark further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, 4F, 4G, 4H, 4J, 4K and 4L offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Clark further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 5 offered by State's counsel Gammick for demonstrative purposes; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence for demonstrative purposes only. | |
| | Witness Clark further direct examined; excused. | |
| | 11:18 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff.11:34 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Brenda Martinez called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 7 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Martinez further direct examined. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE EIGHT | |
|----------------------------|--|------------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONTD TO |
| 9/22/99 SNR | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> | |
| (Reporter) | EXHIBITS 41A and 41B offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Martinez further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 6 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel; ordered admitted into evidence. | , , |
| | Witness Martinez further direct examined; cross-examined by Defense counsel Gregory; excused. | |
| | 11:56 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. 1:42 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Carl Smith called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 8 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | ***Record reflected identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 12 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 17A offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 10A and 10B offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE NINE | |
|----------------------------|--|----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONTD TO |
| 9/22/99 SNR | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| (Reporter) | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 16, 16A and 16B offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 14A and 14B offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 18 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 17B offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence, | |
| | Witness Smith further direct examined; cross-examined by Defense counsel Gregory; redirect examined; excused. | |
| | Andrew Ciocca called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 17C offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Ciocca further direct examined; excused. | |
| | Willie Stephenson called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 19 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Stephenson further direct examined. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE TEN | |
|----------------------------|--|----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONTD TO |
| 9/22/99 | URY TRIAL | |
| SNR (Reporter) | EXHIBIT 17D offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Stephenson further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 30 offered by State's counsel Gammick; stipulated by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Stevenson further direct examined; excused. | |
| | 3:27 p.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. 3:56 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Jeff Riolo called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 40 offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | • |
| | Witness Riolo further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 43A - 43G offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Riolo excused. | |
| | Mele Maveni called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 24A offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Maveni further direct examined; excused, subject to recall. | • |
| | 4:55 a.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, discussion ensued regarding transcript of exhibit 18. Upon no objection of respective counsel, COURT ORDERED exhibit 18 released to State's Investigator for copying. Once tape transcribed, the Transcript shall be marked and admitted into evidence. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE ELEVEN | |
|---|---|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/22/99 SNR (Reporter) | IURY TRIAL 5:00 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | |
| 9/23/99 M. Stone (Clerk) SNR (Reporter) | CONTINUED IURY TRIAL District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David Stanton represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Deputies Public Defender, Steve Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 2:09 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Makaleta Kauapalu called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; excused. | |
| | Sateki Taukieuvea called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Taukieuvea further direct examined; excused. | |
| | Renee Peaua called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Peaua further direct examined; excused, subject to recall. | |
| | 3:37 p.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. Defendant remanded into the custody of the Sheriff. | |
| | 4:06 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Maria Louis called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Louis further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 23A and 23B offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE TWELVE | |
|---|--|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/23/99 HONORABLE CONNIE STEINHEIMER DEPT. NO.4 M. Stone (Clerk) SNR | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Witness Louis further direct. | |
| | EXHIBIT 27 offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Louis further direct examined. | |
| (Reporter) | EXHIBIT 24B offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Louis further direct examined. | |
| - | EXHIBIT 26 offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Louis further direct examined; cross-examined by defense counsel Gregory; redirect examined; recross-excused; excused. | |
| | William Louis called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Louis further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 20A and 20B offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Louis further direct examined; excused. | |
| | Priscilla Endemann called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Endemann further direct examined; excused. | |
| | Manaoui Peaua called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE THIRTEEN | |
|---|---|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/23/99 SNR (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Witness Peaua further direct examined; excused. | |
| (reporter) | 5:05 p.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, Court set forth for the record that when the Defense requested the Court indulgence, Defense counsel was having a conversation with the Defendant. Discussion ensued regarding the admission of exhibits 33A. COURT ORDERED that the Exhibit shall not be admitted in the State's case-in-chief, but may be re-addressed for Rebuttal Witnesses. 5:17 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| 9/24/99 M. Stone (Clerk) SNR (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED IURY TRIAL</u> District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney Dave Stanton represented the State. Defendant, Siaosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Deputies Public Defender, Stephen Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 9:10 a.m. Court reconvened. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Jim Duncan called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 11 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| • | EXHIBIT 25 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| : | EXHIBIT 21 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 29A and 29B offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | | |
| | | |

| DATE JUDGE | PAGE FOURTEEN | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------|
| DEPT. NO.4 M. Stone (Clerk) | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 24C offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined. | |
| SNR (Reporter) | EXHIBIT 15A, 15B and 15C offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Duncan further direct examined; excused. | |
| | Fernando Moreira called by State's counsel Gammick. | |
| | EXHIBITS 31E and 31F offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Moreira further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 31A and 31B offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; exhibit 31A ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Moreira further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 31C, 31D and 31G offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Moreira further direct examined; excused. | |
| | Louis Hill called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBITS 32A and 32B offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Hill excused. | |
| | 10:07 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. 10:26 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | | |

| CASE NO. CR9 | 8-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k. | .a. "GEORGE" |
|------------------------------|--|--------------|
| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OI | | |
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/24/99 SNR (Reporter) | IURY TRIAL Patricia Masito called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Masito further direct examined. | • |
| | EXHIBIT 42 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Masito further direct examined; excused. | |
| | Kaleb Bartelheim called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Bartelheim cross-examined by Defense counsel Gregory; redirect examined; recross-examined; excused. | |
| | Dianna Shouse called by State's counsel Gammick, sworn and testified. | • |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Shouse excused. | |
| | 10:51 a.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, Court made additional record regarding the Defense's "Court's Indulgence". Discussion ensued regarding Jury Instructions. 10:52 a.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded into the custody of the Sheriff. | |

1:24 Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel and Court settled Jury Instructions. Defendant offered three Instructions: presented argument; objection and argument by State's counsel Stanton. COURT ENTERED ORDER marking the Instructions "Defendant's Offered Instructions A, B and C" and denied their use.

Respective counsel had no additional instructions to offer.

Discussion ensued regarding Defense Witnesses. COURT ORDERED the District Attorney's Investigator and the Public Defender's Investigator to meet and exchange contacts with the Defense Witness to assist in locating all

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OI | PAGE SIXTEEN | · |
|---|---|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/24/99 M. Stone (Clerk) SNR (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Witnesses. Court advised counsel of Question No. 1 received for a Juror. 2:18 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded into the custody of the Sheriff. | |
| 9/27/99 M. Stone (Clerk) SNR (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED JURY TRIAL</u> District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney Dave Stanton represented the State. Defendant, Siaosi Vanisi present with counsel, Deputies Public Defenders, Stephen Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 9:20 a.m. Court reconvened. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the Jury. | · |
| | David Kinikini called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBITS 33C and 33D offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Kinikini further direct examined; excused, subject to recall. | |
| | Keith Stevens called by State's counsel, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of Defendant. | |
| | Witness Stevens further direct-examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 28 offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Stevens further direct-examined; excused. | |
| | Craig Meyer called by State's counsel, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBITS 34A and 34B offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Meyer further direct examined. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE SEVENTEEN | |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| COURT PRESE | | <u>CONTD TO</u> |
| 9/27/99 SNR (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> EXHIBIT 33B offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Meyer further direct examined. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Meyer further direct examined; cross-examined; excused. | |
| | Vainga Kinikini called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | 10:39 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. 10:58 a.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and Defendant present. State's counsel notified the Court and Defense counsel that Witness Kinikini is the last State's Witness. Court canvassed Defendant as to his 5th Amendment Right. Defendant made statement and waived right to testified. Court further canvassed the Defendant. Defense counsel Gregory requested an In-Camera Hearing. 11:03 a.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the Jury. | |
| | Witness Kinikini, heretofore sworn, resumed stand and was further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBITS 15C and 15D offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Kinikini further direct examined; excused. | |
| | EXHIBITS 35, 36 and 37 offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | State rested. | |
| | 11:35 a.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, discussion ensued at the Bench. 11:37 a.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE EIGHTEEN | |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/27/99 SNR (Reporter) | INI APPEARANCES-HEARING IURY TRIAL Sheriff. 11:52 a.m. Court reconvened in-camera outside the presence of the Jury with Defense counsel Gregory and Bosler and Defendant present. Discussion ensued regarding the Defense of this case. Court canvassed the Defendant. 12:06 p.m. Jury entered. State's counsel also entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the Jury. | <u>.</u> |
| | Defense counsel Gregory waived opening statement. Defense rested. | |
| | 12:09 p.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, further discussion ensued regarding the Jury Instruction. Defense counsel Bosler had no additional Instructions to offer, with the exception of the Instruction regarding the Defendant's right to not testify. Respective counsel had no further Instructions or Objections to offer to the Instructions. 12:15 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. 1:29 p.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and Defendant present. Court reviewed order of Instructions. Respective counsel had no further Instructions nor Objections to offer. Respective counsel had no objection to the Verdict forms. 1:32 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. 1:32 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. 1:41 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. 1:41 p.m. Court recent outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and Defendant present. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | EXHIBIT 18A (transcript of Exhibit 18) marked and offered by State's counsel Gammick; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence, but not to jury. | |
| | Court advised respective counsel of the procedure used regarding the evidence that is ammunition. | |
| | 1:44 p.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. Court read answer to Question No. 1 aloud to the Jury. Court read Instructions to the Jury. State's counsel Gammick presented opening argument. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OI | PAGE NINETEEN | - |
|----------------------------|--|----------|
| COURT PRESE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONTD TO |
| 9/27/99 | IURY TRIAL | |
| SNR | Defense counsel waived answering argument. | |
| (Reporter) | 2:38 p.m. Bailiff and Law Clerk sworn and jury retired for deliberations. | |
| | Outside the presence of the jury, Court advised counsel of clerical errors in the Instructions. | |
| | 2:40 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. | |
| • | 3:23 p.m. Court reconvened in chambers with respective counsel present. | |
| | Defense counsel Gregory waived Defendant's appearance for the purpose | |
| | of answering a question from the Jury. Court read Question to counsel. Upon agreement of counsel, COURT | |
| | ORDERED answer to Question No. 2 delivered to the Jury. | |
| | 3:30 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 4:31 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. | |
| | Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Clerk called Roll. | |
| | Jury returned the following verdicts: | |
| | VERDICT | |
| | We, the jury in the above-entitled matter, find the defendant, SIAOSI | |
| | VANISI, also known as "PE", also known as "GEORGE", GUILTY of | |
| | MURDER. | |
| | DATED this <u>27th</u> day of <u>September</u> , 19 <u>99</u> . | |
| | <u>(Sqd) James L. Avres</u> | |
| | FOREPERSON | |
| | | |

Having found the defendant guilty of Murder, you mus answer the following question: Was it Murder of the First Degree or Murder of the Second Degree?

X Murder of the First Degree

X Murder of the Second Degree

<u>(Sgd) James L. Ayres</u> FOREPERSON

If you have found the defendant the defendant guilty of Murder, you must answer the following question: Was a deadly weapon used in the

 DATE JUDGE
 PAGE TWENTY-ONE

 OFFICERS OF
 OFFICERS OF

 COURT PRESENT
 APPEARANCES-HEARING
 CONTD TO

 9/27/99
 IURY TRIAL

 SNR
 commission of this Murder as defined in these instructions?

 (Reporter)
 Yes X
 No

<u>(Sgd) James L. Ayres</u> FOREPERSON

<u>verdict</u>

We, the jury in the above-entitled matter, find the defendant, SIAOSI VANISI, also known as "PE", also known as "GEORGE", GUILTY of COUNT II. ROBBERY.

DATED this <u>27th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 1999.

<u>(Sgd) ľames L. Ayres</u> FOREPERSON

If you have found the defendant guilty of Robbery, you must answer the following question: Was a deadly weapon used in the commission of this Robbery as defined in these instructions?

Yes <u>X</u> No ____

<u>(Sgd) James L. Ayres</u> FOREPERSON

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled matter, find the defendant, SIAOSI VANISI, also known as "PE", also known as "GEORGE", GUILTY of COUNT III. ROBBERY.

DATED this 27th day of September, 1999.

(Sgd) James L. Ayres FOREPERSON

If you have found the defendant guilty of Robbery, you must answer the following question: Was a deadly weapon used in the commission of

PAGE TWENTY-TWO

DATE, JUDGE

 OFFICERS OF

 COURT PRESENT
 APPEARANCES-HEARING
 CONTD TO

 9/27/99
 IURY TRIAL
 SNR
 this Robbery as defined in these instructions?
 (Reporter)

Yes X No ____

<u>(Sgd) James L. Ayres</u> FOREPERSON

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled matter, find the defendant, SIAOSI VANISI, also known as "PE", also known as "GEORGE", GUILTY of COUNT IV. ROBBERY.

DATED this <u>27th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 1999.

<u>(Sgd) James L. Ayres</u> FOREPERSON

If you have found the defendant guilty of Robbery, you must answer the following question: Was a deadly weapon used in the commission of this Robbery as defined in these instructions?

Yes X No ____

<u>(Sgd) James L. Ayres</u> FOREPERSON

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled matter, find the defendant, SIAOSI VANISI, also known as "PE", also known as "GEORGE", GUILTY of COUNT V. GRAND LARCENY.

DATED this <u>27th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 1999.

<u>(Sgd) James L. Ayres</u> FOREPERSON

Court inquired of the jurors as a whole if this was the verdict to which they

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE TWENTY-THREE | |
|---|--|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/27/99 SNR (Reporter) | NTAPPEARANCES-HEARINGIURY TRIALagreed and there were no negative responses.Neither counsel requested the Jury polled.COURT ORDERED jurors to contact the Court's Chambers on September28, 1999, between 4:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. for further instructions regardingwhen they must report back to Court for the Penalty Phase of the Trial.4:50 p.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury,COURT ORDERED respective counsel to return to Court on September 28,1999, at 1:30 p.m. to give the Court a report on the availability of witnesses. | |
| | | |
| 9/28/99 M. Stone (Clerk) SNR (Reporter) | CONTINUED IURY TRIAL District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney Dave Stanton represented the State. Defendant, Siaosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Deputies Public Defender, Stephen Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 1:38 p.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the Jury. Court advised counsel of her conversations with the Judge handling the Motions to Compel Witnesses to Testify in San Mateo. Defense counsel Bosler made statement. Upon request by State's counsel Gammick and no objection by the Defense, COURT ENTERED ORDER that the Penalty Phase shall begin on October 1, 1999, at 9:00 a.m. COURT FURTHER ENTERED ORDER that respective counsel must submit their Penalty Phase Instructions to the Court by 4:00 p.m. on September 30, 1999. Upon Request by State's counsel Gammick, COURT ORDERED Defense counsel to provide the State with all defense witnesses immediately following this hearing. 1:55 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | · |
| 9/30/99 M. Stone (Clerk) SNR (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED JURY TRIAL</u> District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney Dave Stanton represented the State. Defendant, Siaosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Deputies Public Defender, Stephen Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 3:40 Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury. | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a. "GEORGE"

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE TWENTY-FOUR | |
|------------------------------|---|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/30/99 SNR (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Court advised respective counsel that all but one witnesses was Ordered by the California Judge to appear for trial. | |
| | State's counsel Gammick made statement regarding Defense witnesses. | |
| | EXHIBITS H and I marked by State's counsel Gammick. | |
| | State's counsel Gammick presented argument regarding Notice and timeliness of Defense Witnesses. Defense counsel Gregory presented argument. State's counsel Gammick presented further argument. | |
| | EXHIBIT J marked by State's counsel Gammick. | |
| | State's counsel Gammick presented further argument. | |
| | UPON COURT ORDER, Defense counsel Gregory presented the names of which Witnesses where to be called and the general topic of their testimony. | |
| | Motion in Limine to exclude Defense Witnesses from testifying as to the sentence the Defendant should receive by State's counsel Gammick; presented argument; no objection by Defense counsel Gregory. COURT ENTERED ORDER granting Motion. | |
| | State's counsel Stanton provided Court with proposed Jury Instructions. Discussion ensued regarding Victim Impact Statements. | |
| | EXHIBITS K & L marked by State's counsel Gammick. | |
| | Upon discussion regarding redactions to the Victim Impact Statements, COURT ORDERED counsel to be prepared to argue the issues at 8:30 a.m. on October 1, 1999. | |
| | Defense counsel Bosler provided Court with proposed Jury Instructions. Discussion ensued regarding the admissibility of Exhibit 33A. State's counsel put the Defense on notice of potential use of that exhibit. Discussion ensued regarding the photograph taken of the Defendant at the Washoe County Jail. | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TTTLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a. "GEORGE"

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE TWENTY-FIVE | |
|---|---|-----------|
| <u>COURT PRESE</u> 9/30/99 | | CONT'D TO |
| SNR (Reporter) | EXHIBIT 44 marked by State's counsel Stanton. | |
| | COURT ENTERED ORDER that in light of all the other evidence the State has against the Defendant, exhibit 44 is not admitted into evidence. | |
| | EXHIBIT 45 marked and offered by State's counsel Gammick; objection by Defense counsel Gregory; Court reserved ruling pending review of the video tape and the Defense providing the law their objections are based on. | |
| | EXHIBITS 46A - 46E marked and offered by State's counsel Gammick; no objection by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence upon the testimony. | |
| | Discussions ensued regarding the Victim Impact Statements and the testimony of Deputy Ellis. | |
| | 4:50 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the sheriff. | · |
| 10/1/99 M. Stone (Clerk) SNR (Reporter) | CONTINUED IURY TRIAL District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney Dave Stanton represented the State. Defendant, Siaosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Deputies Public Defender, Stephen Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 8:30 a.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury. Discussion ensued regarding Victim Impact Statement. Upon no objections, all redactions were made by the Court to Exhibit K by interlineation. | - - |

Motion in Limine to exclude State's counsel Gammick of making comments about his law enforcement background during closing arguments by Defense counsel Gregory; presented argument; no objection by State's counsel Stanton. COURT ENTERED ORDER granting Motion.

Upon review of all cases provided by the Defense and arguments made by respective counsel, COURT ENTERED ORDER finding the victim impact

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a. "GEORGE"

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE TWENTY-SIX | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D_TO |
| | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> statements admissible as redacted. Defense counsel Gregory made statement regarding the number of uniformed police officers in the Courtroom. Court indicated that the number of uniformed Police Officers in the Courtroom was not noticeable with the number of civilian Persons. | <u> </u> |
| | EXHIBIT 47 - 52 marked by Defense counsel Bosler. | |
| | 9:22 a.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | State's counsel Stanton presented opening statement. Defense counsel Gregory presented opening statement. | |
| | EXHIBITS PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence for the Penalty Phase. | |
| | Michael Wiley called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; cross-examined by Defense counsel Gregory. | |
| | EXHIBIT 53 marked and offered by Defense counsel Gregory; ono objection by State's counsel Stanton; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Wiley further cross-examined; redirect examined; recross- examined; excused. | |
| | David Molnar called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; cross-examined by Defense counsel Gregory; excused. | |
| | Debra Mann called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; cross- examined; excused. | |
| | 10:39 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. 11:01 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Deputy James Ellis called by State's counsel, sworn and testified; cross- | |
| | | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a. <u>"GEORGE"</u>

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE TWENTY-SEVEN | |
|------------------------------|--|----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONTD TO |
| 10/1/99 SNR (Reporter) | IURY TRIAL examined by Defense counsel Gregory; excused. | |
| | Vianga Kinikini, heretofore sworn, called by State's counsel Stanton and direct examined; cross-examined by Defense counsel Gregory; redirect examined; excused, subject to recall. | |
| | 11:40 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. 1:09 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Lt. Geoffrey Wise called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; cross-examined by Defense counsel Gregory; redirect examined; recross- examined; excused. | |
| | Sue Millard called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 46A - 46E offered by State's counsel Stanton; no objection by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Millard further direct examined; excused. | |
| | Steve Sauter called by State's counsel, sworn and testified; excused. | |
| | 2:16 p.m. Jury admonished. Outside the presence of the jury, Defense counsel Gregory set forth for the record that the last two witnesses and gallery were emotional. | |
| | Discussion ensued regarding the Victim impact statement. COURT ORDERED that the word "savagely" be stricken from the statement. 2:30 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 2:45 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Carolyn Sullivan called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 45 offered by State's counsel Stanton; submitted by Defense counsel Gregory; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Sullivan further direct examined. | |
| | | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI. a.k.a. "PE". a.k.a. "GEORGE"

÷

;

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE TWENTY-SEVEN | , |
|------------------------------|---|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 10/1/99 SNR (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> 3:38 p.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. 3:56 p.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and Defendant present. Defense counsel set forth for the record the emotional state of the witnesses, the Jury and the Audience during last testimony. 3:57 p.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Witness Sullivan, heretofore sworn, resumed stand and was further direct examined; excused. | |
| • • | Meaghan Sullivan called by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; excused. | |
| | State's counsel rested. | |
| | Sione Peaua called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 51B offered by Defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Peaua cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton; redirect examined; excused. | |
| | Renee Peaua, heretofore sworn, called by Defense counsel Gregory and direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 51A offered by Defense counsel Gregory; no objection by State's counsel Gammick; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness further direct- examined; cross-examined; excused. | |
| | 4:36 Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, Discussion ensued regarding the schedule of witnesses. Defense counsel provided the Court and the State with a list of witnesses. 4:40 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a. "GEORGE"

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE TWENTY-EIGHT | |
|---|---|------------------|
| COURT PRESE | | <u>CONT'D TO</u> |
| 10/4/99 M. Stone (Clerk) SNR (Reporter) | <u>CONTINUED JURY TRIAL</u> District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney Dave Stanton represented the State. Defendant, Siaosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Deputies Public Defender, Steven Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. 9:40 Court reconvened. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | <u> </u> |
| | Matthew McGinn called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 52 offered by Defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness McGinn further direct examined. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness McGinn cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton; excused. | |
| | LeAnn Jones called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified; cross- examined by State's counsel Stanton; excused. | |
| | Tupouhea Luae called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified; cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton; excused. | |
| | Sitiveni Tafuna called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified; cross-examined; excused. | |
| | Anna Marie Jones called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified; excused. | |
| | Sela Oto Ota DeBruce called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified; excused. | |
| | 11:08 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. 11:33 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the Jury. | |
| | Nifai Tonga called by Defense counsel Gregory, sworn and testified. | |
| | | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 <u>TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a.</u> <u>"GEORGE"</u>

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE TWENTY-NINE | |
|-------------------|--|------------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| | CONTINUED JURY TRIAL | |
| SNR (Reporter) | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Tonga further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 33A offered by Defense counsel Gregory; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Tonga further direct examined; cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton; redirect examined; excused. | <i>4</i> . |
| | 11:59 a.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, Court advised respective counsel that Tui Finau will be sworn to interpret the proceedings for the witnesses if necessary. 12:03 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 1:35 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Brian Verna called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified. | |
| , | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Verna further direct examined; cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton; excused. | |
| | Kurt Krueger called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 38 offered by Defense counsel Bosler; no objection by State's counsel Stanton; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | Witness Krueger further direct examined; cross-examined by State's - counsel Stanton; redirect examined; excused. | |
| | Judith Celeste called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified. | |
| | ***Record reflects identification of the Defendant. | |
| | Witness Celeste further direct examined; excused. | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a. <u>"GEORGE"</u>

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE THIRTY | · |
|------------------------------|--|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 10/4/99 SNR (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> Ole Thienhaus called by Defense counsel Gregory, sworn and testified; cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton; redirect examined; recross- examined; further examined by Defense counsel Gregory; excused. | |
| | 3:04 p.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the Jury, Discussion ensued regarding the Interpreter. 3:05 p.m. Court recessed. 3:30 p.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and Defendant present. Tui Finau sworn to interpret the proceedings for any witness needing the | |
| | services. 3:35 p.m. Court recessed. 3:50 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | Deanne Vanacey called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified; cross-examined; redirect examined; recross-examined; excused. | |
| | Toa Vimahi called by Defense counsel Bosler, assisted by an Interpreter, sworn and testified; excused. | |
| | Louisa Tafuna called by Defense counsel Bosler, sworn and testified; cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton; excused. | |
| | 5:02 p.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, State's counsel Stanton set forth a standing objection to further testimony regarding the Defendant's Sister' wedding, the custom of raising children and the use of first names. COURT ENTERED ORDER that all witnesses must be addressed by their Sir-Names. 5:05 Court recessed. | |
| | 5:37 p.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and Defendant present. Court set forth order of Instructions. Respective counsel and Court settled | |
| | Jury Instructions. Defendant offered five Instructions; presented argument; objection and argument by State's counsel Stanton. COURT ENTERED ORDER marking the Instructions "Defendant's Offered Instructions D, E, F, G and H" and denied use of Offered Instructions D, E and G. 6:24 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a. "GEORGE"

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OI | PAGE THIRTY-ONE | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| COURT PRESI | | CONT'D TO |
| 10/4/99 | IURY TRIAL | |
| SNR | 6:38 p.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with | |
| (Reporter) | respective counsel and Defendant present. | |
| | Upon review of case law, Respective counsel and Court settled Jury | |
| | Instructions. COURT ENTERED ORDER denying use of Offered | |
| | Instructions F and H. | |
| | Defendant offered seven additional Instructions; presented argument; | |
| | objection and argument by State's counsel Stanton. COURT ENTERED | |
| | ORDER marking the Instructions "Defendant's Offered Instructions I, J, K, L, | |
| | M, N and O" and denied their use. | |
| | Upon arguments, COURT ENTERED ORDER allowing for a modification of | |
| | Defendant's Offered Instruction H. | |
| | Defense counsel Bosler objected to the verdict forms as offered by the | |
| | State. | |
| | 7:55 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the | |
| | sheriff. | |
| | | |
| | | |

10/5/99 <u>CONTINUED JURY TRIAL</u>

M. StoneDistrict Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David(Clerk)Stanton represented the State. Defendant, Siaosi Vanisi, present withSNRcounsel, Deputies Public Defender, Stephen Gregory and Jeremy Bosler.(Reporter)10:41 a.m. Court reconvened. Respective counsel stipulated to the
presence of the jury.

Kathy Peaua called by Defense counsel Gregory, sworn and testified.

***Record reflects Identification of the Defendant.

Witness Peaua further direct examined; cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton; excused.

Renee Peaua, heretofore sworn, called by Defense counsel Gregory and direct examined; cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton; redirect examined; excused.

Sateki Taukieuvea, heretofore sworn, called by Defense counsel Gregory and direct examined; cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton;

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a. "GEORGE"

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE THIRTY-TWO | |
|------------------------------|---|----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | NTAPPEARANCES-HEARING | CONTD TO |
| 10/5/99 SNR (Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> excused. | |
| - | Mele Mauveni, heretofore sworn, called by defense counsel Gregory and direct examined. | |
| | 11:00 a.m. Jury admonished and excused. | |
| | Witness Mauveni examined by State's counsel Gammick; excused. | |
| | Motion for Sanctions and a Curative Instruction by State's counsel Gammick; presented argument; objection and argument by Defense counsel Gregory. | |
| | COURT HELD matter under abeyance pending receipt of the transcript of Witness Mauveni's direct examination. 11:25 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 11:56 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and defendant present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. Court admonished and excused jury for lunch. 11:57 a.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 1:43 p.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and Defendant present. Court noted receipt of the Transcript of Witness Mauveni's direct examination. 1:44 p.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of | |
| | the jury. | |
| | Witness Mauveni, heretofore, resumed stand and was cross-examined by State's counsel Gammick; redirect-examined; recross-examined; further examined by Defense counsel Gregory; excused. | |
| | David Kinikini, heretofore sworn, called by Defense counsel Gregory and direct examined; cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton; redirect examined; excused. | |
| | Toeumu Tafuna called by Defense counsel Gregory, assisted by an interpreter, sworn and testified; cross-examined by State's counsel Stanton; excused. | |
| | Crystal Calderon called by Defense counsel Gregory, sworn and testified; | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 <u>TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a.</u> <u>"GEORGE"</u>

| DATE JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE THIRTY-THREE | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 10/5/99 SNR Reporter) | <u>IURY TRIAL</u> cross-examined by State's counsel Gammick; excused. | • |
| · | 2:27 p.m. Jury admonished and excused. Outside the presence of the jury, COURT canvassed the Defendant as to his right to testify. Defendant advised the Court of his wish to not testify, but make a Statement in Allocution. 2:33 p.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | David Jenkins called in rebuttal by State's counsel Stanton, sworn and testified; cross-examined by Defense counsel Gregory; redirect examined; excused. | |
| | State's counsel rested rebuttal. | |
| | 3:00 p.m. Jury excused. Outside the presence of the jury, COURT advised the Defendant of the parameters of α Statement in Allocution. 3:03 Court recessed. | |
| - . | 3:26 p.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and Defendant present. Defendant advised Court further of his wish to make a Statement in | |
| 1 | Allocution. 3:29 p.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of | |
| | the jury. Defendant made Statement in Allocution. 3:31 p.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed. | |
| | 3:53 p.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and Defendant present to finalize jury instructions. Court review order of Instructions. | |
| | Defense counsel Bosler withdrew request for 5th Amendment Instruction. Respective counsel had no further Instruction or Objections to offer. Defense counsel Bosler had one Verdict form to offer; presented argument; objection and argument by State's counsel Stanton. COURT ORDERED proposed Verdict marked "Defendant Offered Verdict Form P" and denied request. | |
| | Upon stipulation of respective counsel, COURT ORDERED packet of | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a. "GEORGE"

| DATE JUDGE | | |
|-------------|---|----------|
| COURT PRESI | | CONTD TO |
| 10/5/99 | JURY TRIAL | |
| SNR | Instructions, Verdict form and all admitted exhibits to be delivered to the | |
| (Reporter) | jury upon their deliberations. | |
| | Discussion ensued regarding whether or not the Guilt Phase Jury | |
| | Instructions should be delivered to the Jury for their deliberations. | |
| | 4:30 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| þ | 4:54 p.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with | |
| | respective counsel and Defendant present. | |
| | State's counsel presented the Court with a Corrected Verdict Form. | |
| | Upon agreement of counsel, COURT ENTERED ORDER that the Guilt | |
| | Phase Jury Instructions will not be delivered to the Jury. | |
| | 5:00 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the | |
| | Sheriff. | |
| | | |

10/6/99 M. Stone (Clerk) SNR (Reporter)

<u>CONTINUED JURY TRIAL</u>

District Attorney Richard Gammick and Deputy District Attorney David
Stanton represented the State. Defendant, Siaosi Vanisi, present with
counsel, Deputies Public Defender, Stephen Gregory and Jeremy Bosler.
9:12 a.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury.
Discussion ensued regarding the State's Closing Arguments.
Motion to Seal Courtroom by Defense counsel Gregory; presented
argument; objection and argument by State's counsel.
COURT ENTERED ORDER that the State may not commit prosecutorial
mis-conduct and that if a person from the gallery leaves the Courtroom
they may not re-enter until the next recess.

Court advise counsel of a clerical correction made to Instruction No. 7. 9:17 a.m. Jury entered. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury.

Court read instructions aloud.

State's counsel Stanton presented opening argument.

Defense counsel Bosler presented answering argument.

EXHIBIT 54 marked and offered at bench conference by Defense counsel Bosler; objection by State's counsel Stanton; denied.

Defense counsel Bosler further presented answering argument. 11:00 a.m. Jury admonished. Court recessed.

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE". a.k.a. "GEORGE"

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | PAGE THIRTY-FOUR | • |
|----------------------------|--|----------|
| COURT PRESE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONTD TO |
| 10/5/99 | IURY TRIAL | |
| SNR | 11:25 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant | |
| (Reporter) | present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| | State's counsel Stanton presented closing argument. | |
| | 12:05 p.m. Bailiff and Law Clerk sworn and jury retired for deliberations. | |
| | Outside the presence of the jury, Court advised counsel of clerical errors | |
| | in the Instructions. | |
| | Court advised gallery that they must leave the fourth floor during the jury's | |
| | deliberations. | |
| | 12:09 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff. | |
| | 2:31 p.m. Court reconvened outside the presence of the jury with respective counsel and Defendant present. | |
| | Court read Question to counsel. Upon agreement of counsel, COURT | |
| | ORDERED answer to Question No. 3 delivered to the Jury. | |
| 10/6/99 | 2:39 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| M. Stone | 4:07 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Defendant | |
| (Clerk) | present. Respective counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. | |
| SNR | Clerk called Roll. | |
| (Reporter) | Jury returned the following verdict: | |

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled matter, having previously found the defendant, SIAOSI VANISI, also known as "PE", also known as "GEORGE", guilty of murder in the first degree, find that the following aggravating circumstance exists, to wit:

1. The murder of SGT. GEORGE SULLIVAN was committed by defendant SIAOSI VANISI, also known as "PE", also known as "GEORGE", in the commission of or attempt to commit the crime of Robbery With the Use of a Deadly Weapon.

Yes X No

2. The murder of SGT. GEORGE SULLIVAN was committed by defendant SIAOSI VANISI, also known as "PE", also known as "GEORGE", upon a peace officer who was engaged in the performance of his official

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE", a.k.a. "GEORGE"

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE THIRTY-FOUR | |
|--------------------|--|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF | | |
| <u>COURT PRESE</u> | | CONT'D TO |
| 10/5/99 | IURY TRIAL | |
| SNR | duty and the defendant knew or reasonably should have known that the | |
| (Reporter) | victim was a peace officer. | |
| | Yes X No | |
| ٨ | 3. The murder involved mutilation of SGT. GEORGE SULLIVAN. | |
| | Yes X No | |
| | 4. The murder of SGT. GEORGE SULLIVAN was committed by | |
| | defendant SIAOSI VANISI, also known as "PE", also known as "GEORGE", | |
| | because of the actual or perceived race, color, religion or national origin | |
| | of SGT. GEORGE SULLIVAN. | |
| | Yes <u>No X</u> | |
| T. | The jury further finds that there are no mitigating circumstances | |
| | sufficient to outweigh the aggravating circumstance or circumstances | |
| | found, and , therefore, set the penalty to be imposed upon the defendant at DEATH. | - |
| | | |
| | DATED this <u>6th</u> day of <u>October</u> , 19 <u>99</u> . | |
| • | <u>(Sad) James L. Ayres</u> | |
| | FOREPERSON | |
| | I OILLI LIIBON | |
| | Court inquired of the jurors as a whole if this was the verdict to which they | |
| | agreed and there were no negative responses. | |
| | Upon motion by defense counsel Gregory, COURT ORDERED THE JURY | |
| | POLLED. To the question, "Is this your verdict as read?", posed to each of | |
| | the jurors individually, as to each Defendant, each responded "Yes". | |
| | Court thank and excused the Jurors from further jury service. | |
| | Motion to Waive PSI by Defense counsel Gregory; presented argument; no | |
| | objection by State's counsel. | |
| | COURT ENTERED ORDER denying motion. The Division of Parole and | |

Probation may contact the Defendant through the Shift Supervisor at the Washoe County Jail. The Defendant may refuse to talk to the Division of Parole and Probation.

COURT ORDERED Defendant referred to Probation Department for PSI on Counts II, III, IV and V and continued for entry of judgment, consideration of probation report and imposition of sentences on Counts I, II, III and IV. COURT FURTHER ORDERED that the imposition of the Death Sentence shall be held in abeyance pending sentencing on the other charges. Discussion ensued regarding Motion for Sanctions and Motions for

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TTTLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, a.k.a. "PE". a.k.a. "GEORGE"

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE THIRTY-FOUR | |
|-------------|---|---------------|
| OFFICERS OF | | |
| COURT PRESE | INT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 10/5/99 | IURY TRIAL | |
| SNR | Prosecutorial Misconduct. COURT ORDERED that all motions must be | 11/22/99 |
| (Reporter) | filed and personally served by October 18, 1999, at 4:00 p.m., all | 10:00 a.m. |
| | Responses must be filed and personally served by October 22, 1999, at | Sentencing/ |
| | 5:00 p.m., and all Replies must be filed and personally served by October | Imposition of |
| | 26, 1999, at 4:00 p.m. If counsel wants oral arguments on the Motions, then | Death |
| | Counsel must request an hearing in their pleadings. | Sentence |
| | 4:35 p.m. Court recessed. Defendant remanded to the custody of the | |
| | sheriff. | |

| 11/02/99 10:2 | SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, COUNTY 6 FULL CASE HISTORY | | OE PAGE: 1 |
|--|--|------|---|
| Case No: CR98- Title: STATE O Dept: 4 Addl | 0516 Filed: 02/24/98 Type: CRIMINAL F NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI Info: | At | issue: 00/00/00 Clerk: MB |
| Trial: 11/22/9 | 9 HEARING - SENTENCING | | |
| This case is e | exempt from purge | | |
| | | | |
| | EXHIBITS | | |
| ID | Description | Туре | Relshp Dept Clrk |
| 1 | CURRICULUM VITAE - JEFFREY RIOLO | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 11/24/98 Off/Obj: | | Admit: 00/00/00 |
| 2 | U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | OF INVESTIGATION - QUALITY ASSURANCE | | |
| | STANDARDS FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING LAB | | |
| | Intro: 11/24/98 Off/Obj: | | Admit: 00/00/00 |
| 3 | THE EVALUATION OF FORENSIC DNA EVIDENCE | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | BY NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL | | |
| | Intro: 11/24/98 Off/Obj: | | Admit: 00/00/00 |
| 4.A | PIECE OF PAPER ACCIDENTIALLY MARKED | | STATE 4 MT |
| - | Intro: 11/24/98 Off/Obj: | | Admit: 00/00/00 |
| | Disp: E01 11/24/98 WITHDRAWN | | |
| 4.B | PHOTOGRAPH - FACE OF VICTIM | 01 | |
| | Intro: 11/24/98 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | • | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| | LOC: EXHIBIT ROOM | | |
| 4.C | PHOTOGRAPH - LEFT HAND OF VICTIM | 01 | |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | • | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 4 .D | PHOTOGRAPH - TOP OF HEAD OF VICTIM | 01 | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/22/99 STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | • | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| · 4.E | PHOTOGRAPH - RIGHT CHEEK OF VICTIM | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 4.F | PHOTOGRAPH - TOP OF HEAD | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 4.G | PHOTOGRAPH - TOP OF HEAD | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | • | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 4.H | PHOTOGRAPH - TOP OF HEAD | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/09/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 4.I | PHOTOGRAPH - MOUTH OF VICTIM | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 4.J | PHOTOGRAPH - LEFT EYE OF VICTIM | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 4.K | PHOTOGRAPH - RIGHT FACE OF VICTIM | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 4.L | PHOTOGRAPH - TOP | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| _ | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 5 | HATCHET (DEMONSTRATIVE) | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| ~ | Intro: 11/24/98 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 6 | COMPOSITE BY BRENDA MARTINEZ | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| - | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 7 | DIAGRAM - AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 0 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 8 | MAP OF AREA | 01 | STATE 4 MT $Admite OP/22/OP$ |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | • | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| | | | |

ï

| 11/02/99 10: | SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, COUNTY OF WAS FULL CASE HISTORY | HOE PAGE: 2 |
|---------------|--|--|
| Case No: CR98 | -0516 Filed: 02/24/98 Type: CRIMINAL OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI A' | t issue: 00/00/00 |
| IICIE: SIAIB | OF NEVALA VS. STAUST VANIST | L IBBUC. 00/00/00 |
| | EXHIBITS | |
| ID | Description Type PHOTOGRAPH - SGT. GEORGE SULLIVAN 01 | Relshp Dept Clrk |
| 9 | PHOTOGRAPH - SGT. GEORGE SULLIVAN 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 10.A | PHOTOGRADH - VICTIM'S WER BELT 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 10.B | PHOTOGRAPH - VICTIM'S RADIO 01 | Admit: 09/22/99 STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 11 | DEFENDANT'S MAROON LEATHER COAT 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/24/99 |
| 12 | BLACK LEATHER NOTEBOOK OF VICTIMS 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 13 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. FI CARD BY VICTIM OF WOOD 01 | Admit: 09/22/99 STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | . Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 14.A | VICTIMS GLASSES 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/22/9 |
| 14.B | ENVELOPE WITH GLASSES LENS 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 15.A | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. VICTIM'S MODEL 21 GLOCK 45 01 | Admit: 09/22/9 STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 09/24/9 |
| 15.B | GLOCK MAGAZINE WITH AMMO 01 | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/24/9 |
| 15.C | 13 ROUNDS OF AMMUNITION FROM MAGAZINE 01 | Admit: 09/24/9 STATE 4 MT |
| 15 5 | INTRO 1/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. 1 ROUND OF AMMUNITION FROM MAGAZINE 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 | Admit: 09/24/9 |
| 15.D | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: | Admit: 00/00/0 |
| 16 | BOX CONTAINING WHITE PLASTIC BAG W/ 01 | STATE 4 MI |
| | VICTIM'S GUN BELT AND EQUIPMENT Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 09/22/9 |
| 16.A | RADIO OF VICTIM 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 16.B | Intro: 01/13/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. FLASHLIGHT OF VICTIM 01 | Admit: 09/22/9 STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/13/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 09/22/9 |
| 17.A | PHOTOGRAPH - CRIME SCENE 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/22/9 |
| 17.B | PHOTOGRAPH - UNR SCENE & TELEPHONE 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 17.C | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. PHOTOGRAPH - INSIDE OF VICTIM'S CAR WITH 01 COFFEE CUP | STATE 4 MT |
| 17.D | PHOTOGRAPH - CAR WITH RED YARN MARKING 01 | Admit: 09/22/9 STATE 4 MT |
| | SPOTS ON GROUND Intro: 01/13/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 09/22/9 |
| 18 | RPD DISPATCH TAPE 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 18.A | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. TRANSCRIPT OF RPD 911 DISPATCH TAPE 01 | Admit: 09/22/9 STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 09/27/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 09/27/9 |
| 19 | CRIME SCENE VIDEO 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/22/9 STATE 4 MT |
| 20.A | | |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 09/23/9 |

| 11/02/99 10:26 | SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, COUNTY OF WASH FULL CASE HISTORY | OE PAGE: 3 |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Case No: CR98-0 Title: STATE OF | 516 Filed: 02/24/98 Type: CRIMINAL NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI At | issue: 00/00/00 |
| | EXHIBITS | |
| ID | Description Type PHOTOGRAPH - CLOSEUP OF HATCHET 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Relshp Dept Clrk |
| 20.B | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/23/99 |
| 20.B 21 22 23.A 23.B | HATCHET 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 22 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. PHOTOGRAPH - WHITE PLASTIC BAG 01 | Admit: 09/24/99 STATE 4 MT |
| 22 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 09/24/99 |
| 23.A | PHOTOGRAPH - JACKET 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 23.B | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. PHOTOGRAPH - JACKET & GLOVE 01 | Admit: 09/23/99 STATE 4 MT |
| | | Admit: 09/23/99 |
| 24.A | PHOTOGRAPH - DEFENDANT BEFOR TRIM 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 24.B | PHOTOGRAPH - DEFENDANT AFTER TRIM 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 24.C | | Admit: 09/23/99 STATE 4 MT |
| 24.0 | PHOTOGRAPH - BOOKING OF DEFENDANT 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/24/99 |
| 24.D | PHOTOGRAPH - DEFENDANT'S PASSPORT 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 25 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: DEFENDANT'S TAN LEATHER GLOVES 01 | Admit: 00/00/00 STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 09/24/99 |
| 26 | PHOTOGRAPH - WHITE PLASTIC BAG AT 1098 01 ROCK | STATE 4 MT |
| 27 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. PHOTOGRAPH - DEFENDANT IN JACKSON'S 01 FOOD MART | STATE 4 MT |
| 28 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. PHOTOGRAPH - GUN IN SLC 01 | Admit: 09/23/99 STATE 4 MT |
| | | Admit: 09/27/99 |
| 29.A | PHOTOGRAPH - DEFENDANT'S CLOTHES FROM 01 SLC | STATE 4 MT |
| 29.B | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. PHOTOGRAPH - DEFENDANT'S CLOTHES FROM 01 | Admit: 09/24/99 STATE 4 MT |
| | SLC | |
| 30 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. DNA TABLES AND RESULTS 01 | Admit: 09/24/99 STATE 4 MT |
| 20 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 31.A | PHOTOGRAPH - BEANIE 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 31.B | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. PHOTOGRAPH - BEANIE 01 | Admit: 09/24/99 STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/ | Admit: 00/00/00 |
| 31.C | PHOTOGRAPH - WIG 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/24/99 |
| 31.D | PHOTOGRAPH - WIG 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 21 12 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 09/24/99 |
| 31.E | PHOTOGRAPH - ORR DITCH 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/24/99 |
| 31.F | PHOTOGRAPH - BEANIE IN ORR DITCH 01 Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/24/99 |
| | , U_ , U | |

| 11/02/99 10:26 | SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, COUNTY 6 FULL CASE HISTORY | OF WASH | OE PAGE: 4 |
|------------------------------------|---|---------|-------------------------------|
| Case No: CR98-0 Title: STATE OF | 0516 Filed: 02/24/98 Type: CRIMINAL F NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI | At | issue: 00/00/00 |
| * | EXHIBITS | | ~ |
| ID | Description | Туре | Relshp Dept Clrk |
| 31.G | PHOTOGRAPH - WIG IN ORR DITCH | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 32.A | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ PHOTOGRAPH - CAR WITH COVER | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 32.B | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ PHOTOGRAPH - CAR WITHOUT COVER | | Admit: 09/24/99 |
| | | | Admit: 09/24/99 |
| 33.A | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ PHOTOGRAPH - INSIDE OF KINIKINI HOUSE Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 33.B | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ PHOTOGRAPH - INSIDE OF KINIKINI HOUSE HALL | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/27/99 |
| 33.C | PHOTOGRAPH - KINIKINI HOME/GARAGE Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | 01 | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/27/99 |
| 33.D | PHOTOGRAPH - KINIKINI HOME/INSIDE GARAGE | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 34.A | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ PHOTOGRAPH - SWAT OFFICER | 01 | Admit: 09/2//99 STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | • | Admit: 09/27/99 |
| 34.B | PHOTOGRAPH - SWAT OFFICER Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | 01 | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/27/99 |
| 35 | STIPULATION REGARDING THE CHAIN OF | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 36 37 38 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: JANUARY 1998 CALENDAR | 01 | Admit: 00/00/00 STATE 4 MT |
| 20 | Intro: 01/08/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | • | Admit: 09/27/99 |
| 37 | TIMES OF EVENTS Intro: 01/13/99 Off/Obj: | 01 | STATE 4 MT Admit: 00/00/00 |
| 38 | OVERHEAD TRANSPARENCY - PHOTOGRAPHS OF DEFENDANT IN HIGH SCHOOL | 01 | DEF: 4 MT |
| ٥c | Intro: 01/13/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | 01 | Admit: 10/04/99 STATE 4 MT |
| 39 | STIPULATION DATED 1/14/99 Intro: 01/14/99 Off/Obj: | 01 | Admit: 00/00/00 |
| 40 | BLOW-UP | 01 | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 41.A | Intro: 09/17/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ PHOTOGRAPH OF DOG | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 41 D | Intro: 09/17/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 41.B | PHOTOGRAPH OF DOG Intro: 09/17/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | 01 | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 42 | VIDEO TAPE OF 7-11 ROBBERY | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 43.A | Intro: 09/17/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ "DNA" | 01 | Admit: 09/24/99 STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 09/17/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 43.B | "WHERE CAN DNA BE FOUND" Intro: 09/17/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | 01 | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 43.C | "WHERE DOES DNA COME FROM?" | 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| 43.D | Intro: 09/17/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ "DNA - THE MOLECULE" | 01 | Admit: 09/22/99 STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 09/17/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 STATE 4 MT |
| . 43.E | "ISOLATION" Intro: 09/17/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ | | Admit: 09/22/99 |
| | | | |

•

| Case No: CR98-0516 Filed: 02/24/98 Type: CRIMINAL Title: STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI At i | issue: 00/00/00 |
|--|--------------------------------|
| EXHIBITS | |
| L | Relshp Dept Clrk |
| | STATE 4 MT Admit: 09/22/99 |
| 43.G "PCR - SEQUENCE DETECTION" 01 S | STATE 4 MT |
| Intro: 09/17/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ.44PHOTOGRAPH OF DEFENDANT AT WCJ015015 | Admit: 09/22/99 STATE 4 MT |
| INTRO: 09/30/99 011/00]: | Admit: 00/00/00 |
| | STATE 4 MT Admit: 10/01/99 |
| 46.A PHOTOGRAPH OF VICTIM 01 | STATE 4 MT |
| | Admit: 10/01/99 STATE 4 MT |
| Intro: 09/30/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 10/01/99 |
| | STATE 4 MT Admit: 10/01/99 |
| | STATE 4 MT |
| , , , | Admit: 10/01/99 STATE 4 MT |
| | STATE 4 MT Admit: 10/01/99 |
| 47 CERTIFICATE FOR FRESH-SOPH TRACK & FIELD 01 I | DEF: 4 MT |
| Intro: 10/01/99 Off/Obj: 48 CERTIFICATE FRESH-SOPH WRESTLING 01 I | Admit: 00/00/00 DEF: 4 MT |
| Intro: 10/01/99 Off/Obj; | Admit: 00/00/00 |
| | DEF: 4 MT . Admit: 00/00/00 |
| 50 CERTIFICATE VASITY FOOTBALL 88-89 01 I | DEF: 4 MT |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Admit: 00/00/00 DEF: 4 MT |
| | Admit: 10/01/99 |
| 51.B PHOTOGRAPH OF DEFENDANT 01 I | DEF: 4 MT |
| Intro: 10/01/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. 51.C PHOTOGRAPH OF DEFENDANT 01 I | Admit: 10/01/99 DEF: 4 MT |
| Intro: 10/01/99 Off/Obj: | Admit: 00/00/00 |
| 52 PHOTOGRAPH - CAPUCHINO HIGH SCHOOL 01 I 86-87 FOOTBALL TEAM | DEF: 4 MT |
| Intro: 10/01/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 10/04/99 |
| 53 DIAGRAM DRAWN BY WITNESS WILEY 01 I Intro: 10/01/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | DEF: 4 MT Admit: $10/01/99$ |
| 54 DIAGRAM WITH QUOTES FROM KERRY KENNEDY 01 I | DEF: 4 MT |
| CUOMO, AND CORETTA SCOTT KING Intro: 10/06/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/OBJ.SUSTAINED | Admit: 00/00/00 |
| A PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION BY DR. PHILIP 04 (| COURT 4 MT |
| | $\lambda_{dmi} + 11/06/09$ |
| | Admit: 11/06/98 STATE 4 MT |
| | Admit: 11/24/98 |
| A RPD TRANSCRIPT OF CHAITRA HANKE 071 S DATE 1/13/98 (EXHIBIT TO MTN | STATE 4 MT |
| FOR MISTRIAL) | Daute of laston |
| Intro: 01/15/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ. | Admit: 01/15/99 |

.

| 11/02/99 10:26 | SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, COUNTY (FULL CASE HISTORY | OF WASHO | | GE: 6 |
|------------------------------------|---|----------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Case No: CR98-0 Title: STATE OF | 516 Filed: 02/24/98 Type: CRIMINAL NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI | At | issue: (| 00/00/00 |
| | ЕХНІВІТS | | | |
| ID | Description | Туре | Relshp I | Dept Clrk |
| A | JURY LIST | 04 | | |
| В | Intro: 01/04/99 Off/Obj: STIP. PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION BY DR. RICHARD LEWIS | 04 | | 01/04/99 4 MT |
| | Intro: 11/06/98 Off/Obj: ADMITTED Disp: E01 01/19/99 RELEASED PER COURT | משתפה ח | | 11/06/98 |
| В | LIST OF ABSENT JURORS | 04 | COURT | 4 MT |
| 5 | Intro: 01/07/99 Off/Obj: NO OBJ. | | | 01/07/99 |
| В | AUDIO TAPE OF STATEMENT BY CHAITRA HANKE (EXH. TO MTN FOR MISTRIAL) | | | |
| С | | 071 | | 01/15/99 4 MT |
| | HANKE (EXH. TO MTN FOR MISTRIAL) Intro: 01/15/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/NO OBJ Disp: E01 01/19/99 RELEASED PER COUR | | Admit: | 01/15/99 |
| С | DEFENSE'S VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS (PROPOSED) | | COURT | 4 MT |
| D | Intro: 01/09/99 Off/Obj: JURY SELECTION PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES | 04 | COURT | |
| F | Intro: 01/12/99 Off/Obj: STIPULATED BACKGROUND SEARCH INFORMATION TRIAL #2 | 04 | Admit: STATE | 01/12/99 4 MT |
| | Intro: 09/20/99 Off/Obj: STIPULATED | - | Admit: | 09/20/99 |
| F | MEMORANDUM TO GAMMICK/STANTON FROM BOSLER/GREGORY - LIST OF MITIGATION WITNESSES | 04 | STATE | 4 MT |
| G | Intro: 09/30/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES TRIAL #2 | 04 | Admit: COURT | 09/30/99 4 MT |
| | Intro: 09/21/99 Off/Obj: STIPULATED | | Admit: | 09/21/99 |
| Н | WASHOE COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER PEOPLE VS. SIAOSI VANISI WITNESS LIST | 04 | STATE | 4 MT |
| | Intro: 09/30/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D | | Admit: | 09/30/90 |
| I | E-MAIL TO STANTON FROM CRYSTAL CALDERON RE: TWO WITNESSES | 04 | STATE | 4 MT |
| J | Intro: 09/30/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D E-MAIL TO GAMMICK/STANTON FROM SPECCHIO RE: WITNESSES/EXHIBITS | 04 | Admit: STATE | 09/30/99 4 MT |
| | Intro: 09/30/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/ | | | 09/30/99 |
| ĸ | VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT BY CAROLYN SULLIVAN - REDATED 10/1/99 | 04 | STATE | 4 MT |
| L | Intro: 09/30/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/OBJECT VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT BY DEBRA MANN Intro: 09/30/99 Off/Obj: OFF'D/OBJ. | 04 | STATE | 10/01/99 4 MT 10/01/99 |
| Μ | DOCUMENTS SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT PURSUANT TO ORDER DATED 9/9/99 | 04 | COURT | 4 MT |
| V.1 | Intro: 09/15/99 Off/Obj: SCR 250 TIME RECORD BY MICHAEL R. SPECCHIO | 071 | Admit: DEF: | 00/00/00 4 MT |
| | Intro: 06/23/99 Off/Obj: | | Admit: | 00/00/00 |

•

| 11/02/99 10:26 | SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, COUNTY FULL CASE HISTORY | OF WASH | OE PAGE: 7 |
|----------------|--|---------|-------------------------------|
| | 516 Filed: 02/24/98 Type: CRIMINAL 'NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI | At | issue: 00/00/00 |
| | EXHIBITS | | |
| ID | Description | | Relshp Dept Clrk |
| AA | WCSO MEMORANDUM REGARDING DEFENDANTS BEHAVIOR IN THE WCJ | 04 | STATE 4 MT |
| | Intro: 05/12/99 Off/Obj: | | Admit: 00/00/00 |
| A1-A150 ' | JURY QUESTIONIONNAIRES (JURY SELECTION) | 04 | COURT 4 MT |
| E1-E144 | Intro: 01/04/99 Off/Obj: STIP. JUROR QUESTIONNAIRES FROM TRIAL #2 | 04 | Admit: 01/04/99 COURT 4 MT |
| | Intro: 09/13/99 Off/Obj: STIPULATED | | Admit: 09/13/99 |

.

.

-

.

-

.

.

. . CASE NO. CR98-0516

<u>TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, also known as</u> <u>"GEORGE", also known as "PE"</u>

.

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF <u>COURT PRESE</u> 11/22/99 HONORABLE CONNIE STEINHEIMER DEPT. NO.4 M. Stone (Clerk) E. Nelson (Reporter) | NTAPPEARANCES-HEARINGENTRY OF IUDGMENT AND IMPOSITION OF SENTENCEDistrict Attorney Richard Gammick represented the State. Defendant present with counsel, Deputies Public Defender, Stephen Gregory and Jeremy Bosler. Probation Officer Robert Tucker also present.Court noted receipt of report. Defense counsel Gregory had no factual corrections to the report and submitted matter on the Report. State's counsel concurred with the recommendation. Probation Officer Tucker stood on recommendation. Respective counsel made statement regarding entry of the Order for Execution. Defendant declined to make a statement on his own behalf.COURT ORDERED JUDGMENT ENTERED and sentenced defendant to the punishment of Death for Count I; by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Prisons for the maximum term of one hundred eighty (180) months with the minimum parole eligibility of seventy-two (72) months, with a consecutively to sentence in Count I; by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Prisons for the maximum term of one hundred eighty (180) months with the minimum parole eligibility of seventy-two (72) months, with a consecutive like term for the use of a deadly weapon, for Count II, to be served consecutively to sentence in Count I; by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Prisons for the maximum term of one hundred eighty (180) months with the minimum parole eligibility of seventy-two (72) months, with a consecutive like term for the use of a firearm, for Count III, | <u>CONTID TO</u> |
|--|---|------------------|
| | months, with a consecutive like term for the use of a firearm, for Count III, to be served consecutively to sentences in Counts I and II; by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Prisons for the maximum term of one hundred eighty (180) months with the minimum parole eligibility of | |
| | seventy-two (72) months, with a consecutive like term for the use of a firearm, for Count IV, to be served consecutively to sentences in Counts I, II and III; and by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Prisons for the maximum term of one hundred twenty (120) months with the minimum parole eligibility of forty-eight (48) months, for Count V, to be served | |
| | consecutively to sentences in Counts I, II, III and IV. Defendant shall receive credit for six hundred sixty seven (667) days time served. Defendant is further punished by payment of a fine in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00); and by submission to a DNA Analysis Test for the purpose of determining genetic markers. Defendant shall | |
| | reimburse the Washoe County Public Defender attorney's fees in the amount of Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$750.00). Defendant is further ordered to pay a Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) administrative assessment fee and a Two Hundred Fifty Dollar (\$250.00) DNA analysis fee to the Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court. | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 <u>TITLE: THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. SIAOSI VANISI, also known as</u> <u>"GEORGE", also known as "PE"</u>

| | DATE, JUDGE | PAGE TWO | |
|---|-------------|--|------------------|
| | OFFICERS OF | | |
| | COURT PRESE | NT APPEARANCES-HEARING | <u>CONT'D TO</u> |
| | 11/22/99 | ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE | |
| | HONORABLE | COURT FURTHER ORDERED that the sentence of Death take place during | |
| | CONNIE | the week commencing on Monday, the 24th day of January, 2000. | |
| • | STEINHEIMER | Upon request by defense counsel, COURT ENTERED ORDER staying | |
| | DEPT. NO.4 | execution pending direct appeal. | |
| * | M. Stone | | - |
| | (Clerk) | | |
| | E. Nelson | | |
| | (Reporter) | | |
| | | | + |

FILED

Electronically 01-13-2014:02:10:16 PM Joey Orduna Hastings

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS) Clerk of the Court Transaction # 4252949

| DATE, JUDG OFFICERS O | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------|
| COURT PRES | ENT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 12/5/13 | PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST | |
| HONORABLE | CONVICTION)/ONGOING MOTION TO DISMISS – DAY 1 | |
| CONNIE | Deputies Federal Public Defenders Gary Taylor, Esq., and Tiffani Hurst, | |
| STEINHEIMEF | R Esq., represented the Petitioner. Chief Deputy District Attorney Terrence | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | McCarthy, Esq., represented the State. | |
| M. Stone | Petitioner's counsel Taylor advised the Court that the Petitioner continues to | |
| (Clerk) | waive his appearance at these proceedings. | |
| S. Loder | | |
| (Reporter) | ***Upon request of counsel, COURT took judicial notice of all the previous | |
| | proceedings associated with this case. | |
| | | |
| | Thomas Qualls called by Petitioner's counsel Taylor, sworn and testified. | |
| | EXHIBIT 213 offered by Petitioner's counsel Taylor. COURT took judicial | |
| | notice of such exhibit. | |
| | | |
| | Witness Qualls further direct examined. | |
| | | |
| | EXHIBIT 201 offered by Petitioner's counsel Taylor; no objection by State's | |
| | counsel; ordered admitted into evidence. | |
| | | |
| | Witness Qualls further direct examined. | |
| | | |
| | EXHIBITS 214 – 220 offered by Petitioner's counsel Taylor; upon the | |
| | presentation of an offer of proof, no objection by State's counsel; ordered | |
| | admitted into evidence. | |
| | With and Qually further direct eventing d | |
| | Witness Qualls further direct examined. | |
| | EXHIBIT 178 offered by Petitioner's counsel Taylor; objection by State's | |
| | counsel; COURT took judicial notice of such exhibit. | |
| | | |
| | | |

Witness Qualls further direct examined.

EXHIBIT 205 offered by Petitioner's counsel Taylor; no objection by State's counsel; ordered admitted into evidence.

Witness Qualls further direct examined.

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS)

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|----------------------------|--|--------------------|
| COURT PRESI | | CONT'D TO |
| 12/5/13 | PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST | |
| S. Loder | CONVICTION)/ONGOING MOTION TO DISMISS – DAY 1 | 12/6/13 |
| (Reporter) | | 9:00 a.m. |
| , i , | EXHIBIT 192 offered by Petitioner's counsel Taylor; no objection by State's counsel; ordered admitted into evidence. | Ongoing Hearing |
| | Stipulation to Admit Exhibits 42 – 199, unless previously offered, entered into by respective counsel. Based on such stipulation, EXHIBITS 42, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 110, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 110, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 110, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 107, 108, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100 | |

111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155, 156, 163, 164, 173, 179, 180, 181, 195, 196, 197, 198 and 199 ordered admitted into evidence not for the truthfulness of the matters contained within, but for the Witnesses to assume that it is truthful.

3:15 p.m. Court recessed.3:38 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel present.

EXHIBIT 223 marked by Petitioner's counsel Taylor.

Witness Qualls, heretofore sworn, resumed stand and was further direct examined.

EXHIBIT 223 offered by Petitioner's counsel Taylor; objection by State's counsel; further offer by Petitioner's counsel; objection sustained.

Witness Qualls further direct examined; cross-examined; redirect examined; excused, subject to recall.

4:57 p.m. Court recessed until 9:00 a.m. on December 6, 2013.

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA Ele (POST CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS) 01-13-20

DA Electronically 01-13-2014:02:33:20 PM Joey Orduna Hastings Clerk of the Court Transaction # 4253109

FIIFD

DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT

12/6/13

CONT'D TO

HONORABLE
CONVICCONVICTION)/ONGOING MOTION TO DISMISS – DAY 2
Deputies Federal Public Defenders Gary Taylor, Esq., and Tiffani Hurst,
STEINHEIMER
DEPT. NO.4M. Stone
(Clerk)9:15 a.m. Court convened.
(Clerk)S. Culp
(Reporter)Scott Edwards called by Petitioner's counsel Taylor, sworn and testified.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST

EXHIBIT 200 offered by Petitioner's counsel Taylor; objection by State's counsel; objection as to hearsay sustained.

Witness Edwards further direct examined; cross-examined; redirect examined.

APPEARANCES-HEARING

EXHIBIT 224 marked Petitioner's counsel Taylor.

Witness Edwards further redirect examined.

EXHIBIT 224 offered by Petitioner's counsel Taylor; no objection by State's counsel; ordered admitted into evidence.

Witness Edwards further redirect examined.

10:35 a.m. Court recessed.11:00 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel present.

Witness Edwards, heretofore sworn, resumed stand and was further redirect examined.

EXHIBIT 220 re-offered by Petitioner's counsel Taylor; no objection by State's counsel; ordered admitted into evidence.

Witness Edwards further redirect examined; recross-examined; excused.

Petitioner rested. State's counsel had no evidence to present.

State's counsel presented opening argument. Petitioner's counsel Hurst presented answering argument. State's counsel presented closing argument.

COURT took matter under advisement.

FILED

Electronically

01-13-2014:02:33:20 PM Joey Orduna Hastings Clerk of the Court

Exhibits

Transaction # 4253109

Title: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST CONVICTION)

PET: SIAOSI VANISI **RESP:** THE STATE OF NEVADA

PATY: GARY TAYLOR, ESQ. **RATY: TERRENCE MCCARTHY**

Case No: CR98-0516

Dept. No: 4 Clerk: M. STONE

Date: 12/5/2013

| Exhibit No. | Party | Description | Marked | Offered | Admitted |
|-------------|------------|---|---------|-------------|----------|
| 42. | Petitioner | Findings of Fact (CR98P0516 – First Petition) | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 92. | Petitioner | Declaration of Paulotu Palu (Translation) | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 93. | Petitioner | Declaration of Siaosi Vuki Mafileo (Translation) | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 94. | Petitioner | Declaration of Sioeli Tuita Heleta (Translation) | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 95. | Petitioner | Declaration of Tufui Tafuna | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 96. | Petitioner | Declaration of Toeumu Tafuna (Translation) | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 97. | Petitioner | Declaration of Duzant re: Interview of Michael Finau | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 98. | Petitioner | Declaration of Edgar DeBruce | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 99. | Petitioner | Declaration of Duzant re: Interview of Bishop Nifai Tonga | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 100. | Petitioner | Declaration of Lita Tafuna | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 101. | Petitioner | Declaration of Sitiveni Tafuna | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 102. | Petitioner | Declaration of Blackwill re: Interview of Alisi Peaua | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 103. | Petitioner | Declaration of Tevita Vimahi (Translation) | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |

1

Title: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST CONVICTION)

PET: SIAOSI VANISI RESP: THE STATE OF NEVADA

PATY: GARY TAYLOR, ESQ. RATY: TERRENCE MCCARTHY

Case No: CR98-0516

Dept. No: 4 Clerk: M. STONE

Date: 12/5/2013

| Exhibit No. | Party | Description | Marked | Offered | Admitted |
|-------------|------------|---|---------|-------------|----------|
| 104. | Petitioner | Declaration of DeAnn Ogan | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 105. | Petitioner | Declaration of Greg Garner | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 106. | Petitioner | Declaration of Robert Kirts | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 107. | Petitioner | Declaration of Manamoui Peaua | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 108. | Petitioner | Declaration of Toa Vimahi | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 110. | Petitioner | Declaration of Olisi Lui | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 111. | Petitioner | Declaration of Peter Finau | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 112. | Petitioner | Declaration of Kinikini | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 113. | Petitioner | Declaration of Renee Peaua | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 114. | Petitioner | Declaration of Heidi Bailey- Aloi | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 115. | Petitioner | Declaration of Duzant re: Interview with Tony Tafuna | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 116. | Petitioner | Declaration of Terry Williams | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 117. | Petitioner | Declaration of Tim Williams | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 118. | Petitioner | Declaration of Mele Maveni- Vakapuna | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 119. | Petitioner | Declaration of Priscilla Endemann | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 120. | Petitioner | Declaration of Mapa Puloka, M.D. | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |

Title: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST CONVICTION)

PET: SIAOSI VANISI RESP: THE STATE OF NEVADA

PATY: GARY TAYLOR, ESQ. RATY: TERRENCE MCCARTHY

Case No: CR98-0516

Dept. No: 4 Clerk: M. STONE

Date: 12/5/2013

| Exhibit No. | Party | Description | Marked | Offered | Admitted |
|-------------|------------|--|---------|-------------|----------|
| 121. | Petitioner | Declaration of Limu Havea (Translation) | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 122. | Petitioner | Declaration of Sione Pahahau | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 123. | Petitioner | Declaration of Tavake Peaua | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 124. | Petitioner | Declaration of Totoa Pahahau | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 125. | Petitioner | Declaration of Siausi Vuki Mafileo | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 127. | Petitioner | Declaration of Crystal Calderon | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 128. | Petitioner | Declaration of Laura Lui | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 129. | Petitioner | Declaration of Le'o Kinikini-Tongi | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 130. | Petitioner | Declaration of Sela Vanisi- DeBrucee | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 131. | Petitioner | Declaration of Vainga Kinikini | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 132. | Petitioner | Declaration of David Hales | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 149. | Petitioner | Declaration of Steven Kelly | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 150. | Petitioner | Declaration of Scot Thomas | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 151. | Petitioner | Declaration of Josh Iveson | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 152. | Petitioner | Declaration of Luisa Finau | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 153. | Petitioner | Declaration of Leanna Morris | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |

Title: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST CONVICTION)

PET: SIAOSI VANISI RESP: THE STATE OF NEVADA

PATY: GARY TAYLOR, ESQ. RATY: TERRENCE MCCARTHY

Case No: CR98-0516

Dept. No: 4 Clerk: M. STONE

E Date: **12/5/2013**

| Exhibit No. | Party | Description | Marked | Offered | Admitted |
|-------------|------------|--|---------|-------------|--------------------|
| 155. | Petitioner | Declaration of Miles Kinikini | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 156. | Petitioner | Declaration of Nancy Chaidez | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 163. | Petitioner | Neuropsychological and Psychological Evaluation of Siaosi Vanisi dated April 18, 2011 | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 164. | Petitioner | Independent Medical Examination in the Field of Psychiatry by Dr. Siale 'Alo Faliaki dated April 18, 2011 | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 173. | Petitioner | Declaration of Duzant re: Interview with Aminiasi Kefu | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 178. | Petitioner | Declaration of Thomas Qualls | 12/5/13 | Objection | Judicial Notice |
| 179. | Petitioner | Declaration of Walter Fey | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 180. | Petitioner | Declaration of Stephen Gregory | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 181. | Petitioner | Declaration of Jeremy Bosler | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 195. | Petitioner | Declaration of Duzant re: Interview with Richard Tower | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |

Title: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST CONVICTION)

PET: SIAOSI VANISI RESP: THE STATE OF NEVADA

PATY: GARY TAYLOR, ESQ. RATY: TERRENCE MCCARTHY

Case No: CR98-0516

Dept. No: 4 Clerk: M. STONE

Date: 12/5/2013

| Exhibit No. | Party | Description | Marked | Offered | Admitted |
|-------------|------------|---|---------|---|--------------------|
| 196. | Petitioner | Declaration of Duzant re: Interview with Nettie Horner | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 197. | Petitioner | Declaration of Duzant re: Interview of Bonnie James | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 198. | Petitioner | Declaration of Duzant re: Interview of Robert Buck | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 199. | Petitioner | Letter from Aminiask Kefu | 12/5/13 | Stipulation | 12/5/13 |
| 200. | Petitioner | Declaration of Scott Edwards, Esq. | 12/5/13 | Objection Sustained/ Re- Offered/No Objection | 12/6/13 |
| 201. | Petitioner | Billing Records – Thomas Qualls, Esq. | 12/5/13 | No Objection | 12/5/13 |
| 202. | Petitioner | Billing Records – Scott Edwards, Esq. | 12/5/13 | No Objection | Judicial Notice |
| 203. | Petitioner | E-Mail Exchange between Roseann Schaye and Marc Picker, Esq. | 12/5/13 | | |
| 204. | Petitioner | Letter to Siaosi Vanisi from Marc Picker dated 3/13/02 (Unsigned) | 12/5/13 | | |
| 205. | Petitioner | Hand-Written Notes – Post Conviction Attorney File | 12/5/13 | | |
| 206. | Petitioner | Transcript – 1/1/02 Status Hearing | 12/5/13 | | |

Title: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST CONVICTION)

PET: SIAOSI VANISI RESP: THE STATE OF NEVADA

PATY: GARY TAYLOR, ESQ. RATY: TERRENCE MCCARTHY

Case No: CR98-0516

Dept. No: 4 Clerk: M. STONE

Date: 12/5/2013

| Exhibit No. | Party | Description | Marked | Offered | Admitted |
|-------------|------------|--|---------|--|--------------------|
| 207. | Petitioner | Transcript – 1/28/03 Hearing | 12/5/13 | | |
| 208. | Petitioner | Transcript – 2/5/03 Conference Call | 12/5/13 | | |
| 209. | Petitioner | Transcript – 1/19/05 In- Chambers Hearing | 12/5/13 | | |
| 210. | Petitioner | Transcript – 1/27/05 Report on Psychiatric Evaluation | 12/5/13 | | |
| 211. | Petitioner | Transcript – 2/18/05 Report on Psychiatric Evaluation | 12/5/13 | | |
| 212. | Petitioner | Transcript - 4/25/05 Conference Call | 12/5/13 | | |
| 213. | Petitioner | 12/19/03 Order | 12/5/13 | | Judicial Notice |
| 214. | Petitioner | 3/22/02 Memorandum to File from MP | 12/5/13 | No Objection after Offer of Proof | 12/5/13 |
| 215. | Petitioner | Client Background Info Summary | 12/5/13 | No Objection after Offer of Proof | 12/5/13 |
| 216. | Petitioner | Investigation-Interview Outline | 12/5/13 | No Objection after Offer of Proof | 12/5/13 |

Title: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST CONVICTION)

PET: SIAOSI VANISI RESP: THE STATE OF NEVADA

PATY: GARY TAYLOR, ESQ. RATY: TERRENCE MCCARTHY

Case No: CR98-0516

Dept. No: 4 Clerk: M. STONE

TONE Date: 12/5/2013

| Exhibit No. | Party | Description | Marked | Offered | Admitted |
|-------------|------------|---|---------|--|----------|
| 217. | Petitioner | Table of Contents "Mitigating Circumstances" | 12/5/13 | No Objection after Offer of Proof | 12/5/13 |
| 218. | Petitioner | Publication "Defense Resources In Capital Cases" | 12/5/13 | No Objection after Offer of Proof | 12/5/13 |
| 219. | Petitioner | Communication between Center for Capital Assistance and Marc Picker, Esq. | 12/5/13 | No Objection after Offer of Proof | 12/5/13 |
| 220. | Petitioner | Communication between Marc Picker, Esq., and Roseann M. Schaye | 12/5/13 | No Objection after Offer of Proof | 12/5/13 |
| 221. | Petitioner | Letter to the Tongan Consulate General from Scott Edwards, Esq., dated March 6, 2005 | | | |
| 222. | Petitioner | Internet Printout re: Tonga Consulate General in San Francisco | | | |
| 223. | Petitioner | Declaration of Shaylene Grate-Springer | 12/5/13 | Objection Sustained | |
| 224. | Petitioner | Letter to Scott Edwards, Esq., from Michael Pescetta, Esq., dated 1/30/03 | 12/6/13 | No Objection | 12/6/13 |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS)

| DATE, JUDGE | | |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF | - | |
| COURT PRES | ENT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 3/4/14 | (TELEPHONIC) DECISION - PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS | |
| HONORABLE | (POST CONVICTION)/ONGOING MOTION TO DISMISS | |
| CONNIE | Deputies Federal Public Defenders Gary Taylor, Esq., and Tiffani Hurst, | |
| | Esq., represented the Petitioner. Chief Deputy District Attorney Terrence | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | McCarthy, Esq., represented the State. | |
| M. Stone | Having reviewed the pleadings filed by each side and having reviewed the | |
| (Clerk) | testimony and evidence presented at the evidentiary hearing, the Court | |
| S. Loder | finds that the arguments presented by the State that Post Conviction | |
| (Reporter) | Counsel did not fall below an objective standard of reasonableness in the | |
| | Petitioner's first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Post Conviction. The | |
| | Court further finds that the testimony from Mr. Edwards regarding the | |
| | Psychological expert was the best evidence of the prevailing norm in this | |
| | area and further, that the time spent by Post Conviction Counsel in | |
| | preparing the Supplement to the Petitioner's First Petition was reasonable | |
| | and did not fall below the standard of professional norm for this area. Based | |
| | on those findings, COURT ENTERED ORDER granting the State's Motion | |
| | to Dismiss the instant Petition as it is before the Court untimely, is abusive, | |
| | is successive and is without excuse for delay. | |
| | State's counsel shall prepare the Order in accordance with the above | |
| | decision. | |
| | | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST-CONVICTION)

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|---|---|---|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 5/10/18 HONORABLE CONNIE STEINHEIMER DEPT. NO.4 M. Stone (Clerk) J. Schonlau (Reporter) | CONFERENCE CALL RE: MOTON FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE ORDER TO PRODUCE Assistant Federal Public Defender Randolph Fiedler represented the Petitioner. Chief Deputy District Attorney Jennifer Noble represented the State. Court noted that the Petitioner was not transported to Court for this hearing due to the nature of the instant motion. Court noted that a new waiver of appearance from the Petitioner has been received. State's counsel had no objection to the language contained in that waiver, but is concerned about the waiver in itself as the Petitioner has a history of not agreeing his attorneys. State's counsel requested that the Petitioner be transported on one occasion for the sole of formally waiving his appearance in person and on the record. Petitioner's counsel objected to the State's request. State's counsel replied. COURT ENTERED ORDER granting the Motion to Reconsider the Order to Produce the Petitioner for the 4-week evidentiary hearing set October 1, 2018. The Petitioner shall be produced on May 30, 2018 at 2:00 p.m. to formally waive his appearance on the record for the October 1, 2018 evidentiary hearing. Petitioner's counsel requested the Petitioner's appearance at the May 30, 2018 hearing be telephonic. COURT ENTERED ORDER denying such request. Court noted the submission of the Motion for Discovery. COURT ORDERED oral arguments on the Motion for Discovery set. Court recessed. | 5/30/18 2:00 p.m. Waiver of Appearance/ Motion for Discovery 10/1/18 10:00 a.m. Evidentiary Hearing (4 weeks) |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 (POST-CONVICTION)

| DATE, JUDGE | PAGE ONE | |
|------------------------|---|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF | | |
| COURT PRESE 5/30/18 | ENT APPEARANCES-HEARING ORAL ARGUMENTS ON MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND ISSUANCE OF | CONT'D TO |
| HONORABLE | SUBPOENAS/WAIVER OF PETITIONER'S APPEARANCE AT | |
| CONNIE | EVIDENTIARY HEARING AND ALL OTHER HEARINGS | |
| STEINHEIMER | Petitioner, Siaosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Assistants Federal Public | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Defender Randolph Fiedler and Joanne Diamond. Chief Deputy District | |
| M. Stone | Attorney Jennifer Noble and Deputy District Attorney Joseph Plater. | |
| (Clerk) J. Schonlau | 2:08 p.m. Court convened. Counsel Fiedler advised the Court that the Petitioner still wishes to waive his | |
| (Reporter) | appearance at all future hearings in this matter, including the evidentiary | |
| | hearing in October. Counsel Fiedler further advised the Court that the | |
| | Petitioner has been advised of his right to be present, personally hear the | |
| | testimony being presented at the evidentiary hearing and assist counsel with examination of the witnesses. | |
| | Court canvassed Petitioner, fully advised him of his rights. Court accepted | |
| | the Petitioner's waiver of appearance after finding the Petitioner's waiver | |
| | was voluntary, and that he fully understood his rights to be present at all | |
| | hearings. | |
| | COURT ENTERED ORDER waiving the Petitioner's appearance at all future hearings in this matter, including the evidentiary hearing in October. | |
| | Counsel Fiedler advised the Court that the Defendant is scheduled to be | |
| | transported from Carson City to Ely on Tuesday, June 5, 2018. Should that | |
| | not occur, counsel Fiedler requested leave to ask this Court for assistance | |
| | in getting the Petitioner transported to Ely. Court advised counsel that the | |
| | Nevada Department of Corrections is not located within this Court's jurisdiction and if relief is needed, it will need to be requested in the First | |
| | Judicial District Court. | |
| | Counsel Noble advised the Court that the State stipulates to the following | |
| | video depositions being taken with the State being present via | |
| | teleconference at the Federal Public Defender's expense: Dr. Mapa Pulka, | |
| | Limu Havea, Sioeli Tuita, Totoa Pohahau, Tufui Tafuna, and Sioasi Mafileo, | |
| | as well the witnesses in the State of California. Counsel Fiedler and Noble presented argument regarding the deposition of | |
| | Bishop Tonga. COURT ENTERED ORDER allowing for the deposition of | |
| | Bishop Tonga to be taken. | |
| | Counsel Fiedler and Noble presented arguments on narrowing the scope of | |
| | the requested subpoenas duces tecum. COURT ENTERED THE | |
| | FOLLOWING ORDERS: the Petitioner may subpoena any mitigating evidence of the Petitioner's mental health contained in the Washoe County | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OI | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| COURT PRES | | CONT'D TO |
| 5/30/18 | ORAL ARGUMENTS ON MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND ISSUANCE OF | |
| J. Schonlau | SUBPOENAS/WAIVER OF PETITIONER'S APPEARANCE AT | |
| (Reporter) | EVIDENTIARY HEARING AND ALL OTHER HEARINGS | |
| | District Attorney's file; the Petitioner may subpoena records from the | |
| | Nevada Department of Corrections only from the period of time between | |
| | 1998 and 1999, when the Petitioner was housed there pre-trial; the | |
| | Petitioner may subpoena records from the Washoe County Sheriff's | |
| | Department for the period of time he was in jail in 1998; the Petitioner may | |
| | subpoena records from Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories as to the drug Phen | |
| | Phen from 1997 up to 1999 and the Court will not delay the evidentiary hearing if the records are not received timely; the Petitioner may subpoena | |
| | records from the National Council – Boy Scouts of America from the time | |
| | period of 1970 through 1999; the Petitioner may subpoena records from the | |
| | National Council – San Mateo County Children's Protective Services only as | |
| | to the Petitioner; the Petitioner may subpoena records from the National | |
| | Council – Alameda County Children's Protective Services only as to the | |
| | Petitioner; and the Petitioner may subpoena records from Nevada Board of | |
| | Continuing Legal Education as to the Petitioner's trial counsel from the | |
| | period of time of 1995 through 1999. | |
| | COURT FURTHER ENTERED THE FOLLOWING ORDERS: Denying the | |
| | request to subpoena records from the Reno Police Department as the | |
| | request is too broad and not relevant to the limited scope of the evidentiary | |
| | hearing; denying the request to subpoena records from the Consul General | |
| | of Tonga as the Washoe County Public Defender's Office did reach out the | |
| | Consul General of Tonga pre-trial and the Supreme Court has already ruled | |
| | on the issue; denying request to subpoena records from the University of | |
| | Nevada-Reno Police Services as those records are not relevant for the | |
| | limited scope of the evidentiary hearing; denying the request to subpoena | |
| | records from the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints with leave to | |
| | renew if becomes relevant after depositions are taken; denying request to | |
| | subpoena records of Edward Lynn, M.D., with leave to renew if new expert | |
| | needs the Doctor's raw data; denying request to subpoena records of | |
| | James Butcher of the University of Minnesota, with leave to renew if new | |
| | expert needs his raw data; and denying request to subpoena records from the National Council – Alameda County Juvenile Court – South County | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE PAGE THREE OFFICERS OF | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| COURT PRESENT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 5/30/18 ORAL ARGUMENTS ON MOTION FOR DISCOVERY A | ND ISSUANCE OF |
| J. Schonlau SUBPOENAS/WAIVER OF PETITIONER'S APPEARAN | <u>ICE AT</u> 9/5/18 |
| (Reporter) EVIDENTIARY HEARING AND ALL OTHER HEARINGS | <u>6</u> 10:00 a.m. |
| Division. | Status |
| COURT FURTHER ORDERED counsel to further brief wi | ith more specificity Hearing |
| the request to subpoena records from U.S. Department o | f Homeland |
| Security, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration. | 10/1/18 |
| Court advised counsel that this case must take preceden | ce over all other 10:00 a.m. |
| cases and the evidentiary hearing in October will not be on counsel must meet and confer regarding the schedule of | |
| hearing. | (4 weeks) |
| Status hearing set in order to review the outcome of the a | |
| as well as to review the schedule of witnesses. | |
| 3:37 p.m. Court recessed. | |

FILED Electronically CR98-0516 2018-08-27 02:28:29 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 6850169

CASE NO. CR98-0516 TITLE: SIAOSI VANISI VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA (POST-CONVICTION)

| COURT PRESENTAPPEARANCES-HEARINGCONT'D TO8/27/18ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE CALL | DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|-----------|
| 8/27/18 ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE CALL | | | CONT'D TO |
| | 8/27/18 | ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE CALL | |
| HONORABLE Assistant Federal Public Defender Randolph Fiedler, Assistant Federal 9/5/18 | | • • • | |
| CONNIE Public Defender Scott Wisniewski, and Assistant Federal Public Defender 10:00 p.m. | | · | • |
| STEINHEIMER Joanne Diamond, represented the Petitioner. Chief Deputy District Attorney Status | | | |
| DEPT. NO.4 Jennifer Noble and Deputy District Attorney Joseph Plater represented the Hearing/Oral | | | - |
| M. Stone State. Arguments | | | Arguments |
| (Clerk) 10:00 a.m. Court convened. C. Amundson Court noted that a numerous motions/pleadings have been filed as of late in 10/1/18 | · / | | 10/1/10 |
| (Reporter) this case. 10:00 a.m. | | | |
| COURT ENTERED ORDER that the Suggestion of Incompetency and Evidentiary | (Reporter) | | |
| Motion for Evaluation and the Request from the Defendant to Waive the Hearing | | | • |
| Evidentiary Hearing in this matter are both set for hearing on September 5, (4 weeks) | | • | - |
| 2018 at 10:00 a.m. State's counsel shall confirm that the Nevada | | | . , |
| Department of Prisons will transport the Petitioner on that date. Should an | | Department of Prisons will transport the Petitioner on that date. Should an | |
| new Order to Produce be needed, the State shall file the application and | | ••• | |
| submit the order for this Court's signature. | | 0 | |
| Based on the State having filed a non-opposition and counsel Fiedler having | | | |
| orally submitted the motion during this hearing, COURT ENTERED ORDER | | | |
| granting Petitioner's Motion for Order to Conduct Discovery. Petitioner's counsel must prepare a proposed order for this Court's signature. The order | | | |
| must direct the Nevada Department of Prisons to produce the documents to | | | |
| this Court. A date for the return of the documents will be established by the | | | |
| Court once the proposed order is received. | | • | |
| COURT ENTERED ORDER granting the State's Motion in Limine to Admit | | • • | |
| SCR 250 Memorandum of Michael Specchio. State's counsel shall prepare | | | |
| a proposed order for this Court's signature. | | a proposed order for this Court's signature. | |
| Court further noted that two (2) Motions to Continue Depositions have been | | | |
| filed but are yet to be fully briefed and/or submitted. Court advised counsel | | | |
| that the entire month of October has been set aside for this matter and the | | | |
| Court expects all evidentiary evidence to be presented during that time | | | |
| period even if this Court has to approve simultaneous videoconferencing for | | | |
| depositions and/or testimony. 10:08 a.m. Court adjourned. | | | |
| 10.00 a.m. Oburt adjourned. | | | |
| | | | |

FILED Electronically CR98-0516 2018-09-24 02:40:11 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 6895128

| DATE, JUDGE | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| OFFICERS OF COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/5/18 | STATUS CONFERENCE | <u> </u> |
| HONORABLE | Petitioner, Siaosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Assistants Federal Public | |
| CONNIE | Defender Randolph Fiedler and Joanne Diamond. Chief Deputy District | |
| | Attorney Jennifer Noble and Deputy District Attorney Joseph Plater | |
| DEPT. NO.4 M. Stone | represented the State of Nevada. 10:06 a.m. Court convened. | |
| (Clerk) | Appearances set forth for the record. | |
| J. Schonlau | Court reviewed the Pre-Trial Memorandums filed by each party. | |
| (Reporter) | COURT directed counsel for each side to coordinate with each as to what | |
| | technology is needed during the evidentiary hearing and determine if the | |
| | State's or the Court's IT Department will provide the equipment. | |
| | COURT advised counsel that the Court is not limiting the amount of binders that contain the exhibits. Petitioner's exhibits start at #1, and Respondent's | |
| | exhibits start at the number next in line at the end of Petitioner's. The | |
| | attorneys must work together to create one exhibit list. There must not be | |
| | duplicate exhibits marked. | |
| | Court noted the filing of Motions to Continue Deposition filed by the | |
| | Petitioner. Court indicated that if the depositions are to occur they must | |
| | occur prior to the October evidentiary hearing. The depositions may take place remotely by simultaneous video deposition. Counsel Fiedler advised | |
| | the Court that he would prefer to be physically present while the deposition | |
| | was occurring. | |
| | COURT advised counsel for the Petitioner that it is not a requirement to be | |
| | physically present at the time depositions are occurring. Should the | |
| | Petitioner believe a witness is necessary for the hearing, Petitioner must secure such testimony for October hearing. | |
| | Petitioner's Motion to Disqualify the Washoe County District Attorney's | |
| | Office by counsel Diamond; presented argument; objection and argument by | |
| | counsel Noble; reply argument by Petitioner's counsel Diamond. | |
| | Based on review of all the motion and all the pleadings related thereto, the | |
| | Court finds that it is not an appropriate time or proper pleading to raise this | |
| | new issue, further the Supreme Court of Nevada returned the matter for a limited purpose and the Federal Public Defender's Office cannot raise new | |
| | issues. COURT ENTERED ORDER denying Petitioner's Motion to | |
| | Disqualify the Washoe County District Attorney's Office as there is no ethical | |
| | violation with the District Attorney's Office and the Petitioner's trial team to | |
| | investigate and further the allegations are not supported in the motion in | |
| | order for an evidentiary hearing to be warranted. The issues contained in the Mation may be litigated in the future but are not timely per appropriate. | |
| | the Motion may be litigated in the future but are not timely nor appropriate | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGI | | |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| OFFICERS O | | |
| COURT PRES | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/5/18 | STATUS CONFERENCE | |
| J. Schonlau | at this time. Court directed State's counsel to prepare the order in accordance with the | |
| (Reporter) | above ruling. | |
| | Discussion ensued regarding the notice of witnesses and the length of the | |
| | October evidentiary hearing. Counsel Fiedler advised the Court that it is | |
| | believed that the October hearing may not take as long as anticipated. | |
| | Court requested counsel, when scheduling witness, to avoid the first week | |
| | of October. | |
| | Court noted receipt of Mr. Vanisi's written request to waive the evidentiary | |
| | hearing in its entirety. | |
| | Suggestion of Competency and Motion for Evaluation by counsel Fiedler; presented argument; objection and argument by counsel Plater; reply | |
| | argument by counsel Fiedler. | |
| | Court canvassed the Defendant as to his written requests to the Court and | |
| | the District Attorney's requesting to waive the evidentiary hearing ordered by | |
| | the Supreme Court of Nevada. | |
| | Court further canvassed the Defendant as to his competency to waive the | |
| | evidentiary hearing. | |
| | Mr. Vanisi advised the Court that his request to waive the evidentiary | |
| | hearing is a tactical decision and has made the request to his attorneys to not proceed with the evidentiary hearing. | |
| | Court noted for the record that Mr. Vanisi appears to be competent to make | |
| | his own decisions and his request to waive the evidentiary hearing is | |
| | because he does not agree with the strategies of his counsel. If this Court | |
| | accepts Mr. Vanisi's waiver without a current evaluation, a higher court may | |
| | overturn the matter. | |
| | COURT ENTERED ORDER for competency evaluations be conducted by | |
| | Lake's Crossing. The competency evaluations are not as to Mr. Vanisi | |
| | ability to aid and assist counsel, but are as to the ability of Mr. Vanisi to waive the evidentiary hearing ordered by the Supreme Court of Nevada. | |
| | Hearing set in order for counsel to traverse the findings of the evaluators. | |
| | Evaluations must be filed in the Court no later than September 20, 2018. | |
| | The request of Mr. Vanisi to waive the evidentiary hearing is held in | |
| | abeyance pending the competency finding. | |
| | Mr. Vanisi further advised the Court, that should the evidentiary hearing | |
| | proceed, he wishes to represent himself. Additionally, Mr. Vanisi withdrew | |
| | his waiver of appearance at all future hearings. | |
| | Discussion ensued regarding the Federal Public Defender's notice that it will | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDG OFFICERS O | | |
|--------------------------|--|--------------|
| COURT PRES | ENT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 9/5/18 | STATUS CONFERENCE | |
| J. Schonlau | accept rough draft transcripts instead of certified daily transcripts. Court | 9/24/18 |
| (Reporter) | indicated that it would look into payment of the transcripts with Court | 10:00 a.m. |
| | Administration. | Rpt – Psych. |
| | Counsel Noble expressed concern regarding the Federal Public Defender's late disclosure of witnesses and the lack of receipt of the expert witness | Evals |
| | reports. | 10/1/18 |
| | Counsel Fiedler advised the Court that no further disclosures will occur and | 10:00 a.m. |
| | the expert reports will be provided to the State at least 21 days prior to the | Evidentiary |
| | hearing. | Hearing |
| | 11:31 p.m. Court adjourned. | (4 weeks) |
| | | |

FILED Electronically CR98-0516 2018-09-24 03:01:47 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 6895220

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| COURT PRES | ENT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO |
| 9/17/18 | ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE CALL | |
| HONORABLE | Assistants Federal Public Defender Randolph Fiedler, Joanne Diamond and | 9/24/18 |
| CONNIE | Scott Wisniewski present on behalf of the Petitioner. Chief Deputy District | 10:00 a.m. |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Attorney Jennifer Noble and Deputy District Attorney Joseph Plater represented the State of Nevada. | Rpt – Psych. Evals |
| M. Stone | 2:00 p.m. Court convened. | |
| (Clerk) | Appearances set forth for the record. | 10/1/18 |
| J. Schonlau (Reporter) | Court noted receipt of the Federal Public Defender's schedule of witnesses. Court also noted her comment at a previous hearing that witnesses would not be called early in the first week. The first witness will be called on October 4, 2018. Closing arguments will be held on October 24, 2018. If a State's witness is called by the Petitioner, the State will be allowed to conduct their direct examination of that witness at the same time. Discussion ensued regarding the medical records received from the NDOC. Court advised the Federal Public Defender's Office to review the records and if not complete, raise the issue with the attorney for NDOC. Court adjourned. | 10:00 a.m. Evidentiary Hearing (4 weeks) |

FILED Electronically CR98-0516 2018-09-26 05:06:44 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 6900114

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OI | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------|
| COURT PRES | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/24/18 | REPORT ON PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATIONS | |
| HONORABLE | Petitioner, Siaosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Assistant Federal Public | |
| | Defender Randolph Fiedler and Assistant Federal Public Defender Scott Wisniewski. Chief Deputy District Attorney Jennifer Noble and Deputy | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | District Attorney Joseph Plater represented the State of Nevada. | |
| M. Stone | 10:05 a.m. Court convened. | |
| (Clerk) | Appearances set forth for the record. | |
| J. Schonlau/ C. Amundson | Court noted receipt of evaluations from Dr. Zuchowski and Dr. Moulton and advised counsel of the findings therein. | |
| (Reporter) | Counsel Fiedler advised the Court that they wished to traverse the findings | |
| (| of the Doctors. | |
| | Rule of Exclusion invoked by counsel Wisniewski. | |
| | Counsel Fiedler regarding leave as ask leading question with Dr. Zuchowski. Counsel Plater objected to such request. COURT denied | |
| | request. | |
| | | |
| | Dr. Steven Zuchowski called by counsel Fiedler, sworn and testified; cross- examined by counsel Plater. | |
| | examined by courser riater. | |
| | 12:02 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 12:18 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Petitioner | |
| | present. At request of State, Court allowed Dr. Moulton to leave and return to testify | |
| | after lunch break. | |
| | Dr. Zuchowski further cross-examined; redirect examined; recross- | |
| | examined; excused. | |
| | | |
| | 12:38 p.m. Court recessed for lunch. 1:38 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Petitioner present. | |
| | 1.30 p.m. Court reconvened with respective course and relitioner present. | |
| | Dr. Moulton called by counsel Wisniewski, sworn and testified; cross- | |
| | examined; redirect examined; excused. | |
| | 3:15 p.m. Court recessed. | |
| | 3:32 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Petitioner present. | |
| | Court reviewed the purpose of the evaluations and the scope of arguments of counsel. | |
| | Counsel Fiedler presented argument for Mr. Vanisi to be deemed | |
| | | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE | | |
|-------------|--|-----------|
| COURT PRESE | | CONT'D TO |
| OFFICERS OF | ENT APPEARANCES-HEARING REPORT ON PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATIONS | |
| | 4:15 p.m. Court adjourned. Petitioner remanded to the custody of the Warden. | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|
| COURT PRES | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/25/18 | STATUS HEARING – PETITIONER'S WAIVER OF EVIDENTIARY | |
| HONORABLE | HEARING | |
| CONNIE | Petitioner, Siaosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Assistant Federal Public | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Defender Randolph Fiedler and Assistant Federal Public Defender Scott Wisniewski. Chief Deputy District Attorney Jennifer Noble and Deputy | |
| M. Stone | District Attorney Joseph Plater represented the State of Nevada. | |
| (Clerk) | 10:35 a.m. Court convened. | |
| C. Amundson | Appearances set forth for the record. | |
| (Reporter) | Mr. Vanisi sworn and canvassed as to his waiver of the evidentiary hearing. | |
| | Counsel Platter requested that the Court canvass Mr. Vanisi as to the | |
| | possible penalties for First Degree Murder should his current sentence be | |
| | overturned through post-conviction proceedings in State Court. 10:48 a.m. Court recessed in order for Counsel Fiedler and Wisniewski to | |
| | review with the Petitioner the Supreme Court of Nevada's Decision directing | |
| | the Court to have the scheduled evidentiary hearing. | |
| | 11:21 a.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Petitioner | |
| | present. | |
| | Mr. Vanisi notified the Court that he had sufficient time to review the | |
| | Supreme Court Decision with counsel and after that review, it does not change his mind as to his waiver of the scheduled evidentiary hearing. | |
| | Court further canvassed Mr. Vanisi, who remained under oath, as to the | |
| | effects of waiving the scheduled evidentiary hearing and as to the possible | |
| | penalties for First Degree Murder should his current sentence be overturned | |
| | through post-conviction proceedings in State Court. | |
| | Mr. Vanisi advised the Court that he still wishes to waive the scheduled | |
| | evidentiary. Neither counsel for Mr. Vanisi had any additional question for the Court to | |
| | ask Mr. Vanisi. | |
| | Although, the Court does not believe it is the right decision to make, the | |
| | Court does believe it is Mr. Vanisi's right to make the decision and that he | |
| | understands the consequences of waiving his right to have the scheduled | |
| | evidentiary hearing. The Court found that Mr. Vanisi is freely and | |
| | voluntarily waiving the scheduled evidentiary hearing and accepted such waiver. | |
| | Counsel Fiedler motioned the Court to proceed with the scheduled | |
| | evidentiary hearing despite the waiver by Mr. Vanisi. Counsel Noble | |
| | presented objection to such. Court found that Mr. Vanisi freely and | |
| | voluntarily waived the evidentiary hearing and has a right to make such | |
| | decision. Therefore, COURT ENTERED ORDER denying request. | |
| | | |
| | | |

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|
| COURT PRESI | | CONT'D TO |
| 9/25/18 | STATUS HEARING – PETITIONER'S WAIVER OF EVIDENTIARY | |
| C. Amundson | HEARING | |
| (Reporter) | Discussion ensued regarding setting the oral arguments on the Petition. Mr. | |
| | Vanisi advised the Court that he wishes to be present at such hearing. | |
| | Respective counsel indicated that the arguments on the mitigation claim in | |
| | the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) would not take long | |
| | and could be completed during this hearing. | |
| | 11:45 a.m. Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. | |
| | 1:34 p.m. Court reconvened with respective counsel and Petitioner present. Counsel Fiedler and Noble advised the Court that the Court should rule on | |
| | the merits of the claim of ineffective assistance of counsel for first post- | |
| | conviction counsel's failure to investigate and present claims regarding | |
| | mitigation. Based on Mr. Vanisi's failure, by waiver of the evidentiary | |
| | hearing, to present evidence in support of that remaining claim, he has not | |
| | met the burden and the claim should be denied. | |
| | Mr. Vanisi advised the Court that he still wants to waive the evidentiary | |
| | hearing and have the Court deny the claim so that he could proceed in | |
| | Federal Court. | |
| | Based on Mr. Vanisi's waiver of the evidentiary hearing and arguments | |
| | presented at this hearing, there is no basis for this Court to find that the | |
| | claim regarding mitigating evidence has merit. Therefore, COURT | |
| | ENTERED ORDER denied the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus on the | |
| | claim that first post-conviction counsel failed to investigate and present | |
| | evidence regarding mitigation. | |
| | Court directed the State to prepare an Order regarding Mr. Vanisi's competency and waiver of the evidentiary hearing, as well as an Order | |
| | denying the remaining claim in the Petition. | |
| | Court advised Mr. Vanisi and his counsel that once the Notice of Entry of | |
| | Order has been entered, they have 33 days to file an appeal to the Supreme | |
| | Court of Nevada. | |
| | Counsel Fiedler advised the Court that there is currently a Federal habeas | |
| | petition pending and it is stayed pending the outcome of the State petition. | |
| | COURT ENTERED ORDER that all witness for the evidentiary hearing in | |
| | this matter shall be called off. All witnesses shall be directed to maintain | |
| | contact with either the Federal Public Defender's Office and/or the Washoe | |
| | County District Attorney's Office for a period of 1 year. | |
| | Court adjourned. Petitioner remanded to the custody of the Warden. | |

CASE NO. CR98-0516 (POST-CONVICTION)

| DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|--|--|
| COURT PRES | ENT APPEARANCES-HEARING | CONT'D TO | | |
| 9/25/18 | RETURN ON SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM (NDOC) | | | |
| HONORABLE | No one present on behalf of either side. | | | |
| CONNIE | Court noted for the record that records from the Nevada Department of | | | |
| STEINHEIMER | Corrections were received by the Clerk and will be lodged with the Evidence | | | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Custodian. | | | |
| M. Stone | | | | |
| (Clerk) | | | | |
| J. Schonlau | | | | |
| (Reporter) | | | | |
| | | | | |

FILED Electronically CR98-0516 2019-02-21 11:35:27 AM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 7128584

| DATE, JUDGE | | | | | |
|-------------|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| OFFICERS OF | | | | | |
| COURT PRES | | CONT'D TO | | | |
| 1/25/19 | MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT PETITION FOR WRIT OF | | | | |
| HONORABLE | HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) | | | | |
| CONNIE | Petitioner, Siaosi Vanisi, present with counsel, Assistant Federal Public | | | | |
| | R Defender Randolph Fiedler and Assistant Federal Public Defender Scott | | | | |
| DEPT. NO.4 | Wisniewski. Chief Deputy District Attorney Jennifer Noble and Deputy | | | | |
| M. Stone | District Attorney Joseph Plater represented the State of Nevada. | | | | |
| (Clerk) | 9:07 a.m. Court convened. | | | | |
| J. Schonlau | Appearances set forth for the record. | | | | |
| (Reporter) | Motion for Leave to File a Supplemental Petition by counsel Fiedler; | | | | |
| | presented argument; objection and argument by counsel Plater; reply | | | | |
| | argument by counsel Fiedler. | | | | |
| | Further, counsel Fiedler answered questions presented by the Court. Petitioner addressed the Court as to his wishes and advised the Court that | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | he continues to want the State Court proceedings to be concluded. | | | | |
| | Upon the Court finding that the Nevada Supreme Court remanded the matter for the sole purpose of an evidentiary hearing on ground 20 of the | | | | |
| | original Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on May 5, | | | | |
| | 2011 and not for the petition to be supplemented, COURT ENTERED | | | | |
| | ORDER denying the Motion for Leave to File a Supplemental Petition. | | | | |
| | Petitioner addressed the Court as to his housing at the Nevada Department | | | | |
| | of Corrections. | | | | |
| | Petitioner remanded to the custody of the Warden. | | | | |
| | Court adjourned. | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 1 | C 1 1250 | FILED Electronically CR98-0516 2019-02-25 03:24:36 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court | | |
|----------|---|---|--|--|
| | Code 1350 | Transaction # 7134253 | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 4 | | | | |
| 5 | IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | SIAOSI VANISI, | Case No. CR98-0516 | | |
| 8 | Petitioner, vs. | Dept. No. 4 | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | WILLIAM GITTERE, Warden, et al., | | | |
| 11 | Respondent. | , | | |
| 12 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 13 | CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSM | ITTAL – NOTICE OF APPEAL | | |
| 14 | I certify that I am an employee of the Second Juc | | | |
| 15 16 | County of Washoe; that on the 25th day of February, 2016, I electronically filed the Notice of Appeal in the above entitled matter to the Nevada Supreme Court. | | | |
| 17 | I further certify that the transmitted record is a true and correct copy of the original | | | |
| 18 | pleadings on file with the Second Judicial District Court. | | | |
| 19 | Dated this 25th day of February, 2016 | | | |
| 20 | | ueline Bryant | | |
| 20 | Cler | k of the Court | | |
| 22 | | s/ Yvonne Viloria | | |
| 23 | | Vonne Viloria Deputy Clerk | | |
| 24 | | Sepury Clerk | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |